

HEWLETT PACKARD CO
Form 10-Q
September 07, 2007

[QuickLinks](#) -- Click here to rapidly navigate through this document

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended: July 31, 2007

or

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number 1-4423

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

94-1081436

(I.R.S. employer
identification no.)

3000 Hanover Street, Palo Alto, California

(Address of principal executive offices)

94304

(Zip code)

(650) 857-1501

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act") during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined by Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act) Yes No

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

The number of shares of HP common stock outstanding as of August 31, 2007 was 2,578,061,557 shares.

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
INDEX

		Page No.
Part I.	Financial Information	
	Item 1.	
	Financial Statements	3
	Consolidated Condensed Statements of Earnings for the three and nine months ended July 31, 2007 and 2006 (Unaudited)	3
	Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets as of July 31, 2007 (Unaudited) and as of October 31, 2006 (Audited)	4
	Consolidated Condensed Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended July 31, 2007 and 2006 (Unaudited)	5
	Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Unaudited)	6
	Item 2.	45
	Item 3.	85
	Item 4.	85
Part II.	Other Information	
	Item 1.	86
	Item 1A.	86
	Item 2.	86
	Item 6.	87
	Signature	88
	Exhibit Index	89
	Forward-Looking Statements	

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, including "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in Item 2 of Part I of this report, contains forward-looking statements that involve risks, uncertainties and assumptions. If the risks or uncertainties ever materialize or the assumptions prove incorrect, the results of Hewlett-Packard Company and its consolidated subsidiaries ("HP") may differ materially from those expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements and assumptions. All statements other than statements of historical fact are statements that could be deemed forward-looking statements, including but not limited to any projections of revenue, margins, expenses, tax provisions, earnings, cash flows, benefit obligations, share repurchases or other financial items; any statements of the plans, strategies and objectives of management for future operations, including the execution of cost reduction programs and restructuring plans; any statements concerning expected development, performance or market share relating to products or services; any statements regarding pending investigations, claims or disputes; any statements of expectation or belief; and any statements of assumptions underlying any of the foregoing. Risks, uncertainties and assumptions include macroeconomic and geopolitical trends and events; the execution and performance of contracts by HP and its customers, suppliers and partners; the challenge of managing asset levels, including inventory; the difficulty of aligning expense levels with revenue changes; assumptions related to pension and other post-retirement costs; expectations and assumptions relating to the execution and timing of any cost reduction programs and restructuring plans; the outcome of pending legislation and accounting pronouncements; and other risks that are described herein, including but not limited to the items discussed in "Factors that Could Affect Future Results" set forth in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in Item 2 of Part I of this report, and that are otherwise described from time to time in HP's Securities and Exchange Commission reports, including HP's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2006. HP assumes no obligation and does not intend to update these forward-looking statements.

PART I

Item 1. Financial Statements.

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Condensed Statements of Earnings

(Unaudited)

	Three months ended July 31		Nine months ended July 31	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
In millions, except per share amounts				
Net revenue:				
Products	\$ 20,326	\$ 17,359	\$ 61,279	\$ 53,745
Services	4,964	4,449	14,451	13,109
Financing income	87	82	263	249
Total net revenue	25,377	21,890	75,993	67,103
Costs and expenses:				
Cost of products	15,245	12,910	46,204	40,277
Cost of services	3,845	3,497	11,167	10,373
Financing interest	74	65	212	184
Research and development	917	920	2,697	2,721
Selling, general and administrative	3,002	2,830	8,954	8,380
Amortization of purchased intangible assets	183	153	596	451
In-process research and development charges			186	52
Restructuring	(5)	5	407	6
Pension curtailments and pension settlements, net			(517)	
Total operating expenses	23,261	20,380	69,906	62,444
Earnings from operations	2,116	1,510	6,087	4,659
Interest and other, net	165	221	363	416
Gains on investments	5	7	28	11
Earnings before taxes	2,286	1,738	6,478	5,086
Provision for taxes	508	363	1,378	585
Net earnings	\$ 1,778	\$ 1,375	\$ 5,100	\$ 4,501
Net earnings per share:				
Basic	\$ 0.68	\$ 0.50	\$ 1.93	\$ 1.61
Diluted	\$ 0.66	\$ 0.48	\$ 1.87	\$ 1.57
Cash dividends declared per share	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.32	\$ 0.32
Weighted-average shares used to compute net earnings per share:				

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

	Three months ended July 31		Nine months ended July 31	
Basic	2,600	2,768	2,648	2,799
Diluted	2,697	2,839	2,734	2,870

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements.

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets

	July 31, 2007	October 31, 2006
In millions, except par value (Unaudited)		
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 12,450	\$ 16,400
Short-term investments	40	22
Accounts receivable	11,845	10,873
Financing receivables	2,476	2,440
Inventory	8,006	7,750
Other current assets	10,544	10,779
	<u>45,361</u>	<u>48,264</u>
Total current assets	45,361	48,264
Property, plant and equipment	7,479	6,863
Long-term financing receivables and other assets	7,992	6,649
Goodwill	20,364	16,853
Purchased intangible assets	3,945	3,352
	<u>33,780</u>	<u>33,717</u>
Total assets	\$ 85,141	\$ 81,981
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Notes payable and short-term borrowings	\$ 3,667	\$ 2,705
Accounts payable	11,673	12,102
Employee compensation and benefits	2,819	3,148
Taxes on earnings	1,816	1,905
Deferred revenue	4,983	4,309
Accrued restructuring	168	547
Other accrued liabilities	12,179	11,134
	<u>37,305</u>	<u>35,850</u>
Total current liabilities	37,305	35,850
Long-term debt	4,945	2,490
Other liabilities	5,954	5,497
Commitments and contingencies		
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value (300 shares authorized; none issued)		
Common stock, \$0.01 par value (9,600 shares authorized; 2,597 and 2,732 shares issued and outstanding, respectively)	26	27
Additional paid-in capital	16,326	17,966
Prepaid stock repurchase		(596)
Retained earnings	20,506	20,729
Accumulated other comprehensive income	79	18
	<u>36,937</u>	<u>38,144</u>
Total stockholders' equity	36,937	38,144

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

	<u>July 31,</u> <u>2007</u>	<u>October 31,</u> <u>2006</u>
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 85,141	\$ 81,981

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements.

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Condensed Statements of Cash Flows

(Unaudited)

	Nine months ended July 31	
	2007	2006
In millions		
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net earnings	\$ 5,100	\$ 4,501
Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	2,006	1,757
Stock-based compensation expense	461	395
Provision for bad debt and inventory	272	172
Gains on investments	(28)	(11)
In-process research and development charges	186	52
Restructuring charges	407	6
Pension curtailments and pension settlements, net	(517)	
Deferred taxes on earnings	299	381
Excess tax benefit from stock-based compensation	(340)	(159)
Other, net	(124)	29
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Accounts and financing receivables	(965)	364
Inventory	(503)	(728)
Accounts payable	(446)	472
Taxes on earnings	181	(479)
Restructuring	(539)	(486)
Other assets and liabilities	556	1,843
Net cash provided by operating activities	<u>6,006</u>	<u>8,109</u>
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Investment in property, plant and equipment	(2,227)	(1,571)
Proceeds from sale of property, plant and equipment	503	459
Purchases of available-for-sale securities and other investments	(36)	(29)
Maturities and sales of available-for-sale securities and other investments	403	58
Payments made in connection with business acquisitions, net	(4,893)	(823)
Net cash used in investing activities	<u>(6,250)</u>	<u>(1,906)</u>
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Issuance of commercial paper and notes payable, net	2,324	1,556
Issuance of debt	4,106	1,094
Payment of debt	(3,382)	(1,220)
Issuance of common stock under employee stock plans	2,393	1,690
Repurchase of common stock	(8,847)	(5,015)
Prepayment of common stock repurchases		(1,722)
Excess tax benefit from stock-based compensation	340	159
Dividends	(640)	(675)
Net cash used in financing activities	<u>(3,706)</u>	<u>(4,133)</u>

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

	Nine months ended July 31	
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
(Decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(3,950)	2,070
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	16,400	13,911
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 12,450	\$ 15,981
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Supplemental schedule of noncash financing activities:		
Issuance of options assumed in business acquisitions	\$ 68	\$ 11
Purchase of assets under financing arrangement	\$ 57	\$

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements.

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

Note 1: Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies

In the opinion of management, the accompanying Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements of Hewlett-Packard Company and its consolidated subsidiaries ("HP") contain all adjustments, including normal recurring adjustments, necessary to present fairly HP's financial position as of July 31, 2007, its results of operations for the three and nine months ended July 31, 2007 and 2006, and its cash flows for the nine months ended July 31, 2007 and 2006. The Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheet as of October 31, 2006 is derived from the October 31, 2006 audited financial statements. Certain reclassifications have been made to prior-year amounts in order to conform to the current-year presentation.

The results of operations for the three and nine months ended July 31, 2007 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year. The information included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q should be read in conjunction with "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk" and the Consolidated Financial Statements and notes thereto included in Items 7, 7A and 8, respectively, of HP's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2006.

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in HP's Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ materially from those estimates.

Recent Pronouncements

Updates to recent accounting standards as disclosed in HP's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2006 are as follows:

In July 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued FASB Interpretation No. 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109" ("FIN 48"). FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes by prescribing the recognition threshold a tax position is required to meet before being recognized in the financial statements. It also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition. FIN 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006 and is required to be adopted by HP in the first quarter of fiscal 2008. The cumulative effects, if any, of applying FIN 48 will be recorded as an adjustment to retained earnings as of the beginning of the period of adoption. Additionally, in May 2007, the FASB published FASB Staff Position No. FIN 48-1, "Definition of Settlement in FASB Interpretation No. 48" ("FSP FIN 48-1"). FSP FIN 48-1 is an amendment to FIN 48. It clarifies how an enterprise should determine whether a tax position is effectively settled for the purpose of recognizing previously unrecognized tax benefits. FSP FIN 48-1 is effective upon the initial adoption of FIN 48, and therefore will be adopted by HP in the first quarter of fiscal 2008. The actual impact of the adoption of FIN 48 and FSP FIN 48-1 on HP's consolidated results of operations and financial condition will depend on facts and circumstances that exist on the date of adoption. HP is currently evaluating the impact of the adoption of FIN 48 and FSP FIN 48-1.

In September 2006, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 157, "Fair Value Measurements" ("SFAS 157"). SFAS 157 provides guidance for using fair value to

measure assets and liabilities. It also responds to investors' requests for expanded information about the extent to which companies measure assets and liabilities at fair value, the information used to measure fair value, and the effect of fair value measurements on earnings. SFAS 157 applies whenever other standards require (or permit) assets or liabilities to be measured at fair value, and does not expand the use of fair value in any new circumstances. SFAS 157 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007 and is required to be adopted by HP in the first quarter of fiscal 2009. HP is currently evaluating the effect that the adoption of SFAS 157 will have on its consolidated results of operations and financial condition and is not yet in a position to determine such effects.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 158, "Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans - An Amendment of FASB No. 87, 88, 106 and 132(R)" ("SFAS 158"). SFAS 158 requires that the funded status of defined benefit postretirement plans be recognized on the company's balance sheet and changes in the funded status be reflected in comprehensive income, effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2006, which HP expects to adopt effective October 31, 2007. SFAS 158 also requires companies to measure the funded status of the plan as of the date of their fiscal year end, effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2008. HP expects to adopt the measurement provisions of SFAS 158 effective October 31, 2009. Based upon the most recent actuarial measurement reflecting the modifications to HP's U.S. defined benefit pension plan announced in the second quarter of fiscal 2007, the adoption of SFAS 158 is expected to result in a decrease in assets of \$733 million, a decrease in liabilities of \$141 million and a pretax increase in the accumulated other comprehensive loss of \$592 million. The actual impact of the adoption of SFAS 158 may differ from these estimates due to changes to actual plan assets and liabilities in fiscal 2007.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, "The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities - Including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115" ("SFAS 159"). SFAS 159 expands the use of fair value accounting but does not affect existing standards which require assets or liabilities to be carried at fair value. Under SFAS 159, a company may elect to use fair value to measure accounts and loans receivable, available-for-sale and held-to-maturity securities, equity method investments, accounts payable, guarantees and issued debt. Other eligible items include firm commitments for financial instruments that otherwise would not be recognized at inception and non-cash warranty obligations where a warrantor is permitted to pay a third party to provide the warranty goods or services. If the use of fair value is elected, any upfront costs and fees related to the item must be recognized in earnings and cannot be deferred, e.g., debt issue costs. The fair value election is irrevocable and generally made on an instrument-by-instrument basis, even if a company has similar instruments that it elects not to measure based on fair value. At the adoption date, unrealized gains and losses on existing items for which fair value has been elected are reported as a cumulative adjustment to beginning retained earnings. Subsequent to the adoption of SFAS 159, changes in fair value are recognized in earnings. SFAS 159 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007 and is required to be adopted by HP in the first quarter of fiscal 2009. HP currently is determining whether fair value accounting is appropriate for any of its eligible items and cannot estimate the impact, if any, that SFAS 159 will have on its consolidated results of operations and financial condition.

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

In June 2007, the FASB ratified Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") 06-11, "Accounting for Income Tax Benefits of Dividends on Share-Based Payment Awards" ("EITF 06-11"). EITF 06-11 requires that the tax benefits of dividends on unvested share-based payments be recognized in equity and be reclassified from additional paid-in capital to the income statement when the related award is forfeited or no longer expected to vest. EITF 06-11 is effective, on a prospective basis, for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2007 and will be adopted by HP in the first quarter of fiscal 2009. HP is currently evaluating the impact of EITF 06-11.

In June 2007, the FASB also ratified EITF 07-3, "Accounting for NonRefundable Advance Payments for Goods or Services Received for Use in Future Research and Development Activities" ("EITF 07-3"). EITF 07-3 requires that nonrefundable advance payments for goods or services that will be used or rendered for future research and development activities be deferred and capitalized and recognized as an expense as the goods are delivered or the related services are performed. EITF 07-3 is effective, on a prospective basis, for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2007 and will be adopted by HP in the first quarter of fiscal 2009. HP is currently evaluating the effect that the adoption of EITF 07-3 will have on its consolidated results of operations and financial condition and is not yet in a position to determine such effects.

During the first nine months of fiscal 2007, HP adopted the following accounting standards, none of which had a material effect on HP's consolidated results of operations during such period or financial condition at the end of such period:

SFAS No. 154, "Accounting for Changes and Error Corrections";

Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 108, "Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements";

EITF 05-5, "Accounting for Early Retirement or Postemployment Programs with Specific Features (Such as Terms Specified in Altersteilzeit Early Retirement Arrangements)"; and

EITF 06-9, "Reporting a Change in (or the Elimination of) a Previously Existing Difference between the Fiscal Year End of a Parent Company and That of a Consolidated Entity or between the Reporting Period of an Investor and That of an Equity Method Investee."

Note 2: Stock-Based Compensation

Effective November 1, 2005, HP adopted the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), "Share-Based Payment" ("SFAS 123R"), using the modified prospective transition method. The total stock-based compensation expense before taxes associated with HP stock-based employee compensation plans was \$144 million and \$461 million, respectively, for the three and nine months ended July 31, 2007. Total stock-based compensation expense before taxes for the nine months ended July 31, 2007 excludes a \$14 million credit adjustment in restructuring charges as disclosed below. For the nine months ended July 31, 2007, stock-based compensation expense before taxes also excludes a \$29 million charge for accelerating the vesting of options held by those employees who elected to participate in the 2007 U.S. Enhanced Early Retirement program (the "2007 EER") announced by HP in February 2007. The total compensation expense related to stock-based employee

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

compensation plans was \$127 million and \$395 million, respectively, for the three and nine months ended July 31, 2006. HP allocated stock-based compensation expense under SFAS 123R as follows:

	Three months ended July 31		Nine months ended July 31	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
Cost of sales	\$ 34	\$ 35	\$ 121	\$ 107
Research and development	19	17	56	50
Selling, general and administrative	91	75	284	238
Stock-based compensation expense before income taxes	144	127	461	395
Income tax benefit	(36)	(38)	(128)	(120)
Total stock-based compensation expense after income taxes	\$ 108	\$ 89	\$ 333	\$ 275

In addition, as part of its fiscal 2005 restructuring plans, HP accelerated the vesting of options held by terminated employees and included a one-year post-termination exercise period on the options. This modification resulted in compensation expense of \$107 million that HP included in its fiscal 2005 restructuring charges. HP recorded an adjustment of \$14 million to that \$107 million in the nine months ended July 31, 2007 and an additional \$14 million adjustment in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2006 to reflect actual stock-based compensation expense related to those terminated employees.

HP estimated the fair value of share-based payment awards using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions and weighted-average fair values:

	Stock Options ⁽¹⁾			
	Three months ended July 31		Nine months ended July 31	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
Weighted-average fair value of grants	\$ 14.62	\$ 10.38	\$ 12.89	\$ 9.32
Risk-free interest rate	4.86%	5.07%	4.69%	4.34%
Dividend yield	0.68%	1.00%	0.76%	1.02%
Expected volatility	27%	30%	28%	29%
Expected life in months	61	60	59	57

(1) The fair value calculation was based on stock options granted during the period.

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

Option activity as of July 31, 2007 and changes during the nine months ended July 31, 2007 were as follows:

	Shares (in thousands)	Weighted- Average Exercise Price	Weighted- Average Remaining Contractual Term (in years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in millions)
Outstanding at October 31, 2006	445,740	\$ 31		
Granted and assumed through acquisitions	41,942	\$ 40		
Exercised	(81,392)	\$ 25		
Forfeited/cancelled/expired	(13,219)	\$ 41		
Outstanding at July 31, 2007	393,071	\$ 33	4.3	\$ 5,949
Vested and expected to vest at July 31, 2007	387,240	\$ 33	4.3	\$ 5,862
Exercisable at July 31, 2007	291,298	\$ 33	3.6	\$ 4,439

The aggregate intrinsic value in the table above represents the total pretax intrinsic value (the difference between HP's closing stock price on the last trading day of the third quarter of fiscal 2007 and the exercise price, multiplied by the number of in-the-money options) that option holders would have received had all option holders exercised their options on July 31, 2007. This amount changes based on the fair market value of HP's stock. Total intrinsic value of options exercised for the three and nine months ended July 31, 2007 was \$742 million and \$1.5 billion, respectively. Total intrinsic value of options exercised for the three and nine months ended July 31, 2006 was \$192 million and \$683 million, respectively.

At July 31, 2007, there was \$753 million of unrecognized compensation cost related to stock options that HP expects to recognize over a weighted-average period of 2.3 years.

Nonvested restricted stock awards as of July 31, 2007 and changes during the nine months ended July 31, 2007 were as follows:

	Number of shares (in thousands)	Weighted- Average Grant Date Fair Value
Nonvested at October 31, 2006	6,365	\$ 24
Granted	1,440	\$ 43
Vested	(1,191)	\$ 25
Forfeited	(929)	\$ 24
Nonvested at July 31, 2007	5,685	\$ 29

At July 31, 2007, there was \$91 million of unrecognized stock-based compensation expense related to nonvested restricted stock awards, which HP expects to recognize over a weighted-average period of 1.2 years.

Note 3: Net Earnings Per Share

HP calculates basic earnings per share ("EPS") using net earnings and the weighted-average number of shares outstanding during the reporting period. Diluted EPS includes the effect from potential issuance of common stock, such as stock issuable pursuant to the exercise of stock options and the assumed conversion of convertible notes.

The reconciliation of the numerators and denominators of the basic and diluted EPS calculations was as follows:

	Three months ended July 31		Nine months ended July 31	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
In millions, except per share amounts				
Numerator:				
Net earnings	\$ 1,778	\$ 1,375	\$ 5,100	\$ 4,501
Adjustment for interest expense on zero-coupon subordinated convertible notes, net of taxes	1	1	5	5
Net earnings, adjusted	\$ 1,779	\$ 1,376	\$ 5,105	\$ 4,506
Denominator:				
Weighted-average shares used to compute basic EPS	2,600	2,768	2,648	2,799
Effect of dilutive securities:				
Dilution from employee stock plans	89	63	78	63
Zero-coupon subordinated convertible notes	8	8	8	8
Dilutive potential common shares	97	71	86	71
Weighted-average shares used to compute diluted EPS	2,697	2,839	2,734	2,870
Net earnings per share:				
Basic	\$ 0.68	\$ 0.50	\$ 1.93	\$ 1.61
Diluted	\$ 0.66	\$ 0.48	\$ 1.87	\$ 1.57

HP excludes options with exercise prices that are greater than the average market price from the calculation of diluted EPS because their effect would be anti-dilutive. For the three and nine months ended July 31, 2007, HP excluded 62 million shares and 84 million shares, respectively, from its diluted EPS calculation compared to 135 million shares for both the prior-year comparable periods. Also, as a result of adopting SFAS 123R on November 1, 2005, HP excluded from the calculation of diluted EPS options to purchase an additional 31 million shares and 47 million shares in the third quarter of fiscal 2007 and fiscal 2006, respectively, and options to purchase an additional 32 million shares and 47 million shares in the first nine months of fiscal 2007 and fiscal 2006, respectively, whose combined exercise price, unamortized fair value and excess tax benefits were greater in each of those periods than the average market price for HP's common stock, as their effect would be anti-dilutive.

Note 4: Balance Sheet Details

Balance sheet details were as follows:

Accounts and Financing Receivables

	July 31, 2007	October 31, 2006
	_____	_____
	In millions	
Accounts receivable	\$ 12,070	\$ 11,093
Allowance for doubtful accounts	(225)	(220)
	_____	_____
	\$ 11,845	\$ 10,873
	_____	_____
Financing receivables	\$ 2,516	\$ 2,480
Allowance for doubtful accounts	(40)	(40)
	_____	_____
	\$ 2,476	\$ 2,440
	_____	_____

HP has revolving trade receivables-based facilities permitting it to sell certain trade receivables to third parties on a non-recourse basis. The aggregate maximum capacity under these programs was approximately \$511 million as of July 31, 2007. HP sold approximately \$1.7 billion of trade receivables during the first nine months of fiscal 2007. As of July 31, 2007, there was approximately \$197 million available under these programs.

Inventory

	July 31, 2007	October 31, 2006
	_____	_____
	In millions	
Finished goods	\$ 5,268	\$ 5,424
Purchased parts and fabricated assemblies	2,738	2,326
	_____	_____
	\$ 8,006	\$ 7,750
	_____	_____

Note 5: Acquisitions

During the first nine months of fiscal 2007, HP completed seven acquisitions. The largest of these transactions was the \$4.9 billion acquisition of Mercury Interactive Corporation ("Mercury"), which is further described below. Total consideration for the six other acquisitions was approximately \$609 million, which includes direct transaction costs, the estimated fair value of earned unvested stock options and certain liabilities recorded in connection with these acquisitions. HP recorded approximately \$488 million of goodwill, approximately \$111 million of purchased intangibles, and approximately \$5 million of in-process research and development charges ("IPR&D") related to these six acquisitions. Projects that qualify for treatment as IPR&D have not yet reached technological feasibility and have no alternative use.

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

HP has recorded all acquisitions using the purchase method of accounting and, accordingly, included the results of operations in HP's consolidated results as of the date of each acquisition. HP allocates the purchase price of its acquisitions to the tangible assets, liabilities and intangible assets acquired, including IPR&D, based on their estimated fair values. The excess purchase price over those fair values is recorded as goodwill. HP has not presented pro forma results of operations because these acquisitions are not material to HP's consolidated results of operations on either an individual or an aggregate basis.

Mercury Acquisition

On November 2, 2006, HP completed its tender offer for Mercury, a leading IT management software and services company, and acquired approximately 96% of Mercury common shares for cash consideration of \$52 per share. On November 6, 2006, HP acquired the remaining outstanding common shares, and Mercury became a wholly owned subsidiary of HP. This acquisition combines Mercury's application management, application delivery and IT governance capabilities with HP's broad portfolio of management solutions.

The aggregate purchase price of approximately \$4.9 billion consisted of cash paid for outstanding stock, vested in-the-money stock options and direct transaction costs. In addition, the purchase price also included the estimated fair value of earned unvested stock options and out-of-the-money vested stock options assumed by HP.

The preliminary purchase price allocation as of the date of acquisition is as follows:

	In millions
Cash and short-term investments	\$ 830
Other tangible assets	506
Notes payable	(303)
Other liabilities assumed.	(990)
	43
Total net assets	43
Amortizable intangible assets	1,079
Goodwill	3,580
IPR&D	181
	4,883
Total purchase price.	\$ 4,883

Note 7 contains information related to the cost of restructuring programs for Mercury employees, which was also included as part of other liabilities assumed.

The purchase price allocation was based on management's preliminary valuation and the estimates and assumptions used are subject to change. The primary areas of the purchase price allocation that are not yet finalized relate to restructuring costs, certain income tax-related balances, certain legal matters and residual goodwill.

HP has included Mercury in the OpenView business within the HP Software segment. Goodwill, which represents the excess of the purchase price over the net tangible and intangible assets acquired,

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

is not deductible for tax purposes. The amortizable intangible assets are being amortized over their estimated useful lives as follows:

	In millions	Weighted-average useful life
Technology	\$ 592	4.2 years
Customer relationships	243	7.0 years
Maintenance contracts	239	6.8 years
Trademarks	5	6.0 years
Total amortizable intangible assets	\$ 1,079	5.4 years

Pending and Subsequent Acquisitions

In July 2007, HP agreed to acquire Opware Inc. ("Opware"), a leading data center automation software company, through a cash tender offer for \$14.25 per share, representing an estimated purchase price of approximately \$1.6 billion. The transaction is subject to certain closing conditions and is expected to be completed during HP's fourth fiscal quarter of 2007. Following the close of the acquisition, Opware will be integrated into the HP Software segment.

Also in July 2007, HP agreed to acquire Neoware, Inc. ("Neoware"), a provider of thin client computing and virtualization solutions. The transaction is subject to certain closing conditions and is expected to be completed during the fourth calendar quarter of 2007. Following the completion of the acquisition, Neoware is expected to be integrated into the Personal Systems Group segment.

On August 1, 2007, HP completed its acquisition of SPI Dynamics, Inc. ("SPI Dynamics"), a privately held provider of web application security assessment software and services. SPI Dynamics is being integrated into the HP Software segment.

Note 6: Goodwill and Purchased Intangible Assets

Goodwill

Goodwill allocated to HP's business segments as of July 31, 2007 and changes in the carrying amount of goodwill for the nine months ended July 31, 2007 were as follows:

	HP Services	Enterprise Storage and Servers	HP Software	Personal Systems Group	Imaging and Printing Group	HP Financial Services	Total
In millions							
Balance at October 31, 2006	\$ 6,339	\$ 5,091	\$ 1,098	\$ 2,322	\$ 1,853	\$ 150	\$ 16,853
Goodwill acquired during the period	102	173	3,591	131	71		4,068
Goodwill adjustments	(210)	(185)	(31)	(88)	(38)	(5)	(557)
Balance at July 31, 2007	\$ 6,231	\$ 5,079	\$ 4,658	\$ 2,365	\$ 1,886	\$ 145	\$ 20,364

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

The goodwill adjustments relate primarily to the reversal of income tax reserves of Compaq Computer Corporation ("Compaq"), which HP acquired in 2002, for pre-acquisition tax years. These tax years have been audited and agreed upon with the Internal Revenue Service and the statute of limitations for them has expired. Accordingly, the reserves have been reclassified as a reduction of goodwill.

Purchased Intangible Assets

HP's purchased intangible assets associated with completed acquisitions are composed of:

	July 31, 2007			October 31, 2006		
	Gross	Accumulated Amortization	Net	Gross	Accumulated Amortization	Net
In millions						
Customer contracts, customer lists and distribution agreements	\$ 3,133	\$ (1,584)	\$ 1,549	\$ 2,586	\$ (1,293)	\$ 1,293
Developed and core technology and patents	2,558	(1,604)	954	1,923	(1,307)	616
Product trademarks	110	(90)	20	103	(82)	21
	5,801	(3,278)	2,523	4,612	(2,682)	1,930
Total amortizable purchased intangible assets	5,801	(3,278)	2,523	4,612	(2,682)	1,930
Compaq trade name	1,422		1,422	1,422		1,422
	7,223	(3,278)	3,945	6,034	(2,682)	3,352
Total purchased intangible assets	\$ 7,223	\$ (3,278)	\$ 3,945	\$ 6,034	\$ (2,682)	\$ 3,352

Estimated future amortization expense related to finite lived purchased intangible assets at July 31, 2007 is as follows:

Fiscal year:	In millions
2007 (remaining 3 months)	\$ 183
2008	713
2009	630
2010	522
2011	275
Thereafter	200
	2,523
Total	\$ 2,523

Note 7: Restructuring Charges

Fiscal 2007 U.S. Enhanced Early Retirement Program

On February 20, 2007, HP announced that it was offering eligible employees an option to participate in the 2007 EER. HP recorded a restructuring charge of \$395 million during the second quarter of fiscal 2007 in connection with the 2007 EER. This charge reflected \$366 million of severance and benefits cost for the participating employees and \$29 million of stock-based compensation expense for accelerating the vesting of options held by participating employees. The 2007 EER was open to employees who satisfied defined eligibility criteria based on combined age and years of service as well

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

as to otherwise eligible employees who had been included in previous restructuring programs or who voluntarily left the company since November 30, 2006. A total of 3,080 employees participated in the 2007 EER, including 595 persons who had been included in previous restructuring programs or who had voluntarily left the company since November 30, 2006. All participating employees left the company by May 31, 2007. During the three months ended July 31, 2007, HP included a curtailment gain of \$16 million relating to its U.S. post-retirement benefit plans in the restructuring charges. This gain reflected the reduction in the eligible plan population stemming from the 2007 EER. During the nine months ended July 31, 2007, the net restructuring expense of \$379 million for the 2007 EER program was offset by a \$542 million curtailment gain that HP recognized in the second quarter of fiscal 2007, resulting from the changes in the U.S. defined benefit pension and post-retirement plans that HP also announced on February 20, 2007. HP funded the cash expenditures associated with the 2007 EER primarily by using available U.S. pension plan assets. For more information, see Note 13 to the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements in Item 1, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Fiscal 2007 Mercury Plan

In connection with the acquisition of Mercury, HP's management approved and initiated plans to restructure the operations of Mercury to eliminate certain duplicative activities, reduce cost structure and better align product and operating expenses with existing general economic conditions. During the third quarter of fiscal 2007, HP recorded \$1 million in severance-related costs. For the nine months ended July 31, 2007, HP recorded \$45 million in severance-related costs associated with the elimination of approximately 370 positions primarily in the United States and in Europe. HP expects to eliminate substantially all of these positions and to pay substantially all of the related severance payments by the end of fiscal 2007.

In the third quarter of fiscal 2007, HP also recorded an adjustment of \$1 million to reduce the estimated costs of exiting duplicative leased facilities. In the first nine months of fiscal 2007, the total costs related to exiting duplicative leased facilities totaled \$18 million. HP expects to pay the costs for exiting the facilities through 2014.

All Mercury restructuring costs are reflected in the purchase price of Mercury in accordance with EITF 95-3, "Recognition of Liabilities in Connection with a Purchase Business Combination." These costs are subject to change based on the actual costs incurred. Changes to these estimates could increase or decrease the amount of the purchase price allocated to goodwill.

Fiscal 2005 Restructuring Plans

In the fourth quarter of fiscal 2005, HP's Board of Directors approved a restructuring plan designed to simplify HP's structure, reduce costs and place greater focus on its customers. At that time, HP estimated that it would eliminate 15,300 positions in connection with the restructuring plan. Subsequent to the initial estimate, HP reduced the number of total positions to 14,989. As of July 31, 2007, HP has eliminated 14,936 positions, and HP expects to eliminate the remaining 53 positions by the end of fiscal 2008. The initial charge for these actions totaled \$1.6 billion. During the three months ended July 31, 2007, HP recognized a net charge of \$20 million related to adjustments to employee severance and other benefit charges. During the nine months ended July 31, 2007, HP recognized a net \$2 million reduction in restructuring charges. This amount included a net \$46 million reduction

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

recorded in the first quarter of fiscal 2007 related primarily to severance adjustments for employees whose positions HP eliminated but who found other positions within HP, a non-cash stock-based compensation expense adjustment, and a curtailment gain relating to the HP subsidized U.S. retiree medical program, which was partially offset by an additional \$44 million of employee severance and other benefit charges recorded in the second and third quarters of fiscal 2007. HP had paid the majority of the costs related to severance and other employee benefits by the end of the third quarter of fiscal 2007 and expects to pay out the remaining costs associated primarily with tax payments for early retirees through fiscal 2016.

In the third quarter of fiscal 2005, HP's management approved a restructuring plan and HP recorded restructuring charges of \$109 million related to severance and related costs associated with the termination of approximately 1,450 employees, all of whom left HP as of October 31, 2005. HP had paid all of the costs associated with the restructuring plan as of January 31, 2007.

Fiscal 2003, 2002 and 2001 Restructuring Plans

The 2003, 2002 and 2001 restructuring plans are substantially complete, although HP records minor revisions to previous estimates as necessary. In the three and nine months ended July 31, 2007, HP recorded a \$9 million reduction in restructuring charges and an adjustment of \$30 million in additional restructuring charges, respectively. As of July 31, 2007, the aggregate \$85 million outstanding restructuring liability with respect to these plans relates primarily to facility lease obligations. HP expects to pay the majority of these obligations over the lives of the related obligations, which extend to the end of fiscal 2010.

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

Summary of Restructuring Plans

The activity in the accrued restructuring balances related to all of the plans described above for the three and nine months ended July 31, 2007 was as follows:

	Balance, October 31, 2006	Three months ended July 31, 2007 charges (reversals)	Nine months ended July 31, 2007 charges (reversals)	Goodwill adjustments	Cash payments	Non-cash settlements and other adjustments	As of July 31, 2007		
							Balance, July 31, 2007	Total costs and adjustments to date	Total expected costs and adjustments
In millions									
<i>Fiscal 2007 U.S. Enhanced Early Retirement Program</i>									
Employee severance and other benefit charges	\$	(16)	\$ 379			\$ (379)	\$	379	\$ 379
<i>Fiscal 2007 Mercury plan:</i>									
Employee severance and other benefit charges				\$ 45	\$ (21)		\$ 24	\$ 45	\$ 45
Infrastructure				18	(3)		15	18	18
Total employee severance and other benefits			\$ 63	\$ (24)		\$ 39	\$ 63	\$ 63	\$ 63
<i>Fiscal 2005 Plans:</i>									
Employee severance and other benefits charges (by segment)									
Enterprise Storage and Servers	\$	7	\$ (1)				\$ 183	\$ 183	\$ 183
HP Services		3					585	585	585
HP Software		2					56	56	56
Personal Systems Group		1					60	60	60
Imaging and Printing Group		2	(1)				153	153	153
HP Financial Services							33	33	33
Other infrastructure		5					708	708	708
Total employee severance and other benefits	\$ 521	\$ 20	\$ (2)	\$ (447)	\$ 39	\$ 111	\$ 1,778	\$ 1,778	\$ 1,778
<i>Fiscal 2003, 2002 and 2001 plans</i>									
	\$ 117	\$ (9)	\$ 30	\$ (5)	\$ (68)	\$ 11	\$ 85	\$ 4,147	\$ 4,147
Total restructuring plans	\$ 638	\$ (5)	\$ 407	\$ 58	\$ (539)	\$ (329)	\$ 235	\$ 6,367	\$ 6,367

At July 31, 2007 and October 31, 2006, HP included the long-term portion of the restructuring liability of \$67 million and \$91 million, respectively, in Other Liabilities in the accompanying Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets.

Note 8: Financing Receivables and Operating Leases

Financing receivables represent sales-type and direct-financing leases resulting from the marketing of HP's and third-party products. These receivables typically have terms from two to five years and are usually collateralized by a security interest in the underlying assets. Financing receivables also include billed receivables from operating leases. The components of net financing receivables, which are included in financing receivables and long-term financing receivables and other assets, were as follows:

	July 31, 2007	October 31, 2006
	In millions	
Minimum lease payments receivable	\$ 5,256	\$ 5,010
Allowance for doubtful accounts	(81)	(80)
Unguaranteed residual value	284	289
Unearned income	(474)	(439)
	<u>4,985</u>	<u>4,780</u>
Financing receivables, net	4,985	4,780
Less current portion, net	(2,476)	(2,440)
	<u>2,509</u>	<u>2,340</u>
Amounts due after one year, net	\$ 2,509	\$ 2,340

Equipment leased to customers under operating leases was \$2.1 billion at July 31, 2007 and at October 31, 2006 and is included in property, plant and equipment in the accompanying Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets. Accumulated depreciation on equipment under lease was \$0.5 billion at July 31, 2007 and \$0.6 billion at October 31, 2006.

Note 9: Guarantees*Indemnifications*

In the ordinary course of business, HP enters into contractual arrangements under which HP may agree to indemnify the third party to such arrangement from any losses incurred relating to the services the third party performs on behalf of HP or for losses arising from certain events as defined within the particular contract, which may include, for example, litigation or claims relating to past performance. Such indemnification obligations may not be subject to maximum loss clauses. Historically, payments made related to these indemnifications have been immaterial.

Warranty

HP provides for the estimated cost of product warranties at the time it recognizes revenue. HP engages in extensive product quality programs and processes, including actively monitoring and evaluating the quality of its component suppliers; however, product warranty terms offered to customers, ongoing product failure rates, material usage and service delivery costs incurred in correcting a product failure, as well as specific product class failures outside of HP's baseline experience, affect the estimated warranty obligation. If actual product failure rates, material usage or service delivery costs differ from estimates, revisions to the estimated warranty liability would be required.

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

The changes in HP's aggregate product warranty liability were as follows:

	In millions
Product warranty liability at October 31, 2006	\$ 2,248
Accruals for warranties issued	1,944
Adjustments related to pre-existing warranties (including changes in estimates)	(91)
Settlements made (in cash or in kind)	(1,833)
Product warranty liability at July 31, 2007	\$ 2,268

Deferred Revenue

The components of deferred revenue were as follows:

	July 31, 2007	October 31, 2006
	In millions	
Deferred support contract services revenue	\$ 3,835	\$ 3,598
Other deferred revenue	3,379	2,461
Total deferred revenue	7,214	6,059
Less current portion	4,983	4,309
Long-term deferred revenue	\$ 2,231	\$ 1,750

Deferred support contract services revenue represents amounts received or billed in advance primarily for fixed-price support or maintenance contracts related mainly to commercial products. These services include stand-alone product support packages, routine maintenance service contracts, upgrades or extensions to standard product warranty, as well as high availability services for complex, global, networked, multi-vendor environments. HP defers these service amounts at the time HP bills the customer, and HP then recognizes the amounts ratably over the contract life or as HP renders the services.

Other deferred revenue represents amounts received or billed in advance for contracts related primarily to software customer support contracts, consumer support contracts, outsourcing services start-up or transition work, consulting and integration projects, product sales, and minor amounts for training.

Note 10: Borrowings*Notes Payable and Short-Term Borrowings*

Notes payable and short-term borrowings, including the current portion of long-term debt, were as follows:

	July 31, 2007		October 31, 2006	
	Amount Outstanding	Weighted- Average Interest Rate	Amount Outstanding	Weighted- Average Interest Rate
In millions				
Current portion of long-term debt	\$ 707	4.0%	\$ 2,081	5.7%
Commercial paper	2,465	5.3%	190	3.3%
Notes payable to banks, lines of credit and other	495	5.2%	434	4.6%
	<u>\$ 3,667</u>		<u>\$ 2,705</u>	

Notes payable to banks, lines of credit and other includes deposits associated with HP's banking-related activities of approximately \$447 million and \$393 million at July 31, 2007 and October 31, 2006, respectively.

Long-Term Debt

Long-term debt was as follows:

	July 31, 2007	October 31, 2006
	In millions	
U.S. Dollar Global Notes		
\$1,000 issued December 2001 at 5.75%, matured and paid December 2006	\$	\$ 1,000
\$1,000 issued June 2002 at 5.5%, matured and paid July 2007		999
\$500 issued June 2002 at 6.5%, due July 2012	499	498
\$500 issued March 2003 at 3.625%, due March 2008	500	499
\$1,000 issued May 2006 at floating interest rate, due May 2009 and redeemed June 2007		1,000
\$600 issued February 2007 at floating interest rate, due March 2012	600	
\$900 issued February 2007 at 5.25%, due March 2012	899	
\$500 issued February 2007 at 5.4%, due March 2017	499	
\$1,000 issued June 2007 at floating interest rate, due June 2009	1,000	
\$1,000 issued June 2007 at floating interest rate, due June 2010	1,000	
	<u>4,997</u>	<u>3,996</u>
Series A Medium-Term Notes		
\$50 issued December 2002 at 4.25%, due December 2007	50	50
	<u>50</u>	<u>50</u>
Other		
\$505, U.S. dollar zero-coupon subordinated convertible notes, issued in October and November 1997 at an imputed rate of 3.13%, due 2017 ("LYONs")	368	360
Other, including capital lease obligations, at 3.75%-15%, due 2006-2029	301	228
	<u>669</u>	<u>588</u>
Fair value adjustment related to SFAS No. 133	(64)	(63)
Less current portion	(707)	(2,081)
	<u>\$ 4,945</u>	<u>\$ 2,490</u>

HP may redeem some or all of the Global Notes and the Series A Medium-Term Notes (collectively, the "Notes"), as set forth in the above table, at any time at the redemption prices described in the prospectus supplements relating thereto. The Notes are senior unsecured debt.

In May 2006, HP filed a shelf registration statement (the "2006 Shelf Registration Statement") with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") to enable HP to offer and sell, from time to time, in one or more offerings, debt securities, common stock, preferred stock, depositary shares and warrants. On May 23, 2006, HP issued \$1.0 billion in floating rate global notes due May 22, 2009 under this registration statement. The notes bear interest at a floating rate equal to the three-month USD LIBOR plus 0.125% per annum. HP used a portion of the proceeds it received to repay its 5.25% Euro Medium-Term Notes due July 2006 at maturity and the remainder of the net proceeds for general

corporate purposes. In June 2007, HP redeemed all outstanding floating rate global notes due May 22, 2009. HP funded the redemption of those notes with incremental borrowing as described below.

On February 22, 2007, HP issued an additional \$2.0 billion of global notes under the 2006 Shelf Registration Statement. The global notes included \$600 million of notes due March 2012 with a floating interest rate equal to the three-month USD LIBOR plus 0.11% per annum, \$900 million of notes due March 2012 with a fixed interest rate of 5.25% per annum, and \$500 million of notes due March 2017 with a fixed interest rate of 5.40% per annum. HP issued the \$600 million notes at par, and HP issued the \$900 million notes and \$500 million notes at discounts to par at 99.938% and 99.694%, respectively. HP used the net proceeds from this offering for general corporate purposes, including funding the repurchase of the notes it assumed in connection with the Mercury acquisition as described in detail below and repaying short-term commercial paper that matured during the second quarter of fiscal 2007.

On June 12, 2007, HP issued an additional \$2.0 billion of global notes under the 2006 Shelf Registration Statement. The global notes included \$1.0 billion of notes due June 2009 with a floating interest rate equal to the three-month USD LIBOR plus 0.01% per annum, and \$1.0 billion of notes due June 2010 with a floating interest rate equal to the three-month USD LIBOR plus 0.06% per annum. HP issued these global notes at par. HP used the net proceeds from these offerings for general corporate purposes, including the redemption of the floating rate global notes due May 22, 2009 in June 2007 and the repayment of short-term commercial paper that matured in June and July 2007.

HP registered the sale of up to \$3.0 billion of debt or global securities, common stock, preferred stock, depositary shares and warrants under a shelf registration statement in March 2002 (the "2002 Shelf Registration Statement"). In December 2002, HP filed a supplement to the 2002 Shelf Registration Statement, which allows HP to offer from time to time up to \$1.5 billion of Medium-Term Notes, Series B, due nine months or more from the date of issuance (the "Series B Medium-Term Note Program"). As of July 31, 2007, HP has not issued Medium-Term Notes pursuant to the Series B Medium-Term Note Program.

HP registered the sale of up to \$3.0 billion of Medium-Term Notes under its Euro Medium-Term Note Programme filed with the Luxembourg Stock Exchange. HP can denominate these notes in any currency, including the euro. HP has not and will not register these notes in the United States. In July 2006, HP repaid the previously issued 750 million euro notes at maturity under this programme.

The LYONs are convertible by the holders at an adjusted rate of 15.09 shares of HP common stock for each \$1,000 face value of the LYONs, payable in either cash or common stock at HP's election. At any time, HP may redeem the LYONs at book value, payable in cash only. In December 2000, the HP Board of Directors authorized a repurchase program for the LYONs that allowed HP to repurchase the LYONs from time to time at varying prices. The last repurchase under this program occurred in fiscal 2002.

In November 2006, in connection with the Mercury acquisition, HP assumed notes issued by Mercury (the "Mercury Notes") with a face value of \$300 million, maturing on July 1, 2007 and bearing interest at a rate of 4.75% per annum. As of July 31, 2007, HP had repurchased or repaid at maturity all of the outstanding Mercury Notes.

HP has a U.S. commercial paper program with a \$6.0 billion capacity. Its subsidiaries are authorized to issue up to an additional \$1.0 billion of commercial paper, of which \$500 million of capacity is currently available to be used by Hewlett-Packard International Bank PLC, a wholly-owned subsidiary of HP, for its Euro Commercial Paper/Certificate of Deposit Programme.

HP has a \$3.0 billion five-year credit facility. Commitment fees, interest rates and other terms of borrowing under the credit facility vary, based on HP's external credit ratings. The credit facility is a senior unsecured committed borrowing arrangement primarily to support the issuance of U.S. commercial paper. No amounts are outstanding under the credit facility.

HP also maintains uncommitted lines of credit of approximately \$2.4 billion from a number of financial institutions that are available through various foreign subsidiaries.

At July 31, 2007, HP had up to approximately \$11.4 billion of available borrowing resources under the 2002 Shelf Registration Statement and other programs. HP also may issue additional debt securities, common stock, preferred stock, depositary shares and warrants under the 2006 Shelf Registration Statement.

Note 11: Income Taxes

Provision for Taxes

HP's effective tax rate was 22.2% and 20.9% for the three months ended July 31, 2007 and July 31, 2006, respectively, and 21.3% and 11.5% for the nine months ended July 31, 2007 and July 31, 2006, respectively. Generally HP's effective tax rate differs from the U.S. federal statutory rate of 35% due to the tax rate benefits of certain earnings from HP's operations in lower-tax jurisdictions throughout the world for which HP has not provided U.S. taxes because HP plans to reinvest such earnings indefinitely outside the United States.

In the three months ended July 31, 2007, HP recorded other income tax adjustments of \$64 million. This amount included a tax charge of \$33 million for the adjustment to estimated fiscal 2006 tax accruals upon filing the 2006 U.S. federal income tax returns and a net increase to various tax reserves of \$31 million. In the nine months ended July 31, 2007, HP recorded other income tax adjustments of \$54 million. This amount included a tax charge of \$33 million as discussed above, a net increase to various tax reserves of \$17 million and other items, resulting in a net charge of \$4 million.

In the three months ended July 31, 2006, HP recorded other income tax adjustments of \$55 million. This amount included a tax charge of \$34 million for the adjustment to estimated fiscal 2005 tax accruals upon filing the 2005 U.S. federal income tax return and a net increase to various tax reserves of \$21 million.

In the nine months ended July 31, 2006, other income tax adjustments of \$408 million further decreased the effective tax rate. This amount included net favorable tax adjustments of \$49 million and \$443 million to income tax accruals as a result of the final settlement of the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") examinations of HP's U.S. income tax returns for fiscal years 1993 to 1995 and 1996 to 1998, respectively. The reductions to the net income tax accruals for fiscal years 1996 to 1998 related primarily to the resolution of issues with respect to Puerto Rico manufacturing tax incentives and export tax incentives, other issues involving HP's non-U.S. operations and interest accruals. These

favorable income tax adjustments were offset in part by adjustments to estimated tax accruals, related primarily to the filing of the 2005 tax return as noted earlier, and increases to other tax reserves related to various jurisdictions.

The breakdown between current and long-term deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities was as follows:

	<u>July 31,</u> <u>2007</u>	<u>October 31,</u> <u>2006</u>
In millions		
Current deferred tax assets	\$ 3,441	\$ 4,144
Current deferred tax liabilities	(151)	(138)
Long-term deferred tax assets	2,355	1,475
Long-term deferred tax liabilities	(426)	(291)
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Total deferred tax assets	<u>\$ 5,219</u>	<u>\$ 5,190</u>

On June 28, 2007, HP received a Notice of Deficiency from the IRS for its fiscal 1999 and 2000 tax years. The Notice of Deficiency asserted that HP owes additional tax of \$13 million for these two years. At the same time, HP received a Revenue Agent's Report ("RAR") from IRS for its fiscal 2001 tax year that proposed no change in HP's tax liability for that year. In addition to the proposed deficiencies for fiscal 1999 and 2000, the IRS's adjustments, if sustained, would reduce tax refund claims HP has filed for foreign tax credit and net operating loss carrybacks to earlier fiscal years and reduce the tax benefits of carryforwards to subsequent years, by approximately \$361 million. HP plans to contest certain of the adjustments proposed in the Notice of Deficiency and the RAR. HP believes that it has provided adequate reserves for any tax deficiencies or reductions in refund claims that could result from the IRS actions.

In December 2006, the Tax Relief and Health Care Act of 2006, which included a retroactive reinstatement of the research and development credit, was signed into law. HP recorded the retroactive amount of research and development credit in the first quarter of 2007. This amount did not have a material impact on HP's consolidated results of operations and financial conditions.

Note 12: Stockholders' Equity

Stock Repurchase Program

HP's share repurchase program authorizes both open market and private repurchase transactions. HP paid approximately \$2.5 billion and \$2.3 billion in connection with share repurchases of approximately 55 million shares and 72 million shares during the three months ended July 31, 2007 and July 31, 2006, respectively. HP paid approximately \$7.0 billion and \$5.0 billion in connection with share repurchases of 167 million shares and 160 million shares in the first nine months of fiscal 2007 and 2006, respectively.

In addition to the above transactions, HP entered into an Accelerated Share Repurchase (the "ASR Program") with a third-party investment bank during the second quarter of fiscal 2007. Pursuant to the terms of the ASR Program, HP purchased 40 million shares of its common stock from the

investment bank for \$1.8 billion (the "Purchase Price") on March 30, 2007 (the "Purchase Date"). HP decreased its shares outstanding and reduced the outstanding shares used to calculate the weighted-average common shares outstanding for both basic and diluted EPS on the Purchase Date. The shares delivered to HP included shares that the investment bank borrowed from third parties. The investment bank purchased an equivalent number of shares in the open market to cover its position with respect to the borrowed shares during a contractually specified averaging period that began on the Purchase Date and ended on June 6, 2007. At the end of the averaging period, the investment bank's total purchase cost based on the volume weighted-average purchase price of HP shares during the averaging period was approximately \$90 million less than the Purchase Price. In June 2007, HP received approximately 2 million additional shares purchased by the investment bank in the open market with a value approximately equal to that amount. HP reduced its shares outstanding upon receipt of those shares.

In addition to the above transactions, HP entered into a prepaid variable share purchase program ("PVSP") with a third-party investment bank during the first quarter of 2006 and prepaid approximately \$1.7 billion in exchange for the right to receive a variable number of shares of its common stock weekly over a one-year period beginning in the second quarter of fiscal 2006 and ending during the second quarter of fiscal 2007. HP completed all repurchases under the PVSP on March 9, 2007. As of that date, HP had received a total of 53 million shares. HP retired all shares repurchased and no longer deems those shares outstanding.

On March 15, 2007, HP's Board of Directors authorized an additional \$8.0 billion for future repurchases of HP's common stock. As of July 31, 2007, HP had remaining authorization of \$4.8 billion for future share repurchases.

Comprehensive Income

The changes in the components of other comprehensive income, net of taxes, were as follows:

	Three months ended July 31		Nine months ended July 31	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
	In millions			
Net earnings	\$ 1,778	\$ 1,375	\$ 5,100	\$ 4,501
Change in net unrealized (losses) gains on available-for-sale securities		(9)	(11)	1
Change in net unrealized gains on cash flow hedges	79	70	13	6
Change in cumulative translation adjustment	26	(4)	56	43
Change in additional minimum pension liability			3	
Comprehensive income	\$ 1,883	\$ 1,432	\$ 5,161	\$ 4,551

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

The components of accumulated other comprehensive income, net of taxes, were as follows:

	July 31, 2007	October 31, 2006
In millions		
Net unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities	\$ 5	\$ 16
Net unrealized losses on cash flow hedges	(33)	(46)
Cumulative translation adjustment	123	67
Additional minimum pension liability	(16)	(19)
Accumulated other comprehensive income	\$ 79	\$ 18

Note 13: Retirement and Post-Retirement Benefit Plans

HP's net pension and post-retirement benefit costs were as follows:

	Three months ended July 31					
	U.S. Defined Benefit Plans		Non-U.S. Defined Benefit Plans		Post-Retirement Benefit Plans	
	2007	2006	2007	2006	2007	2006
In millions						
Service cost	\$ 27	\$ 40	\$ 66	\$ 77	\$ 8	\$ 8
Interest cost	65	67	92	83	19	21
Expected return on plan assets	(93)	(90)	(145)	(127)	(10)	(9)
Amortization and deferrals:						
Actuarial (gain) loss	(3)	(7)	22	35	7	9
Prior service cost (benefit)			(2)	(1)	(13)	(13)
Net periodic benefit (income) cost	\$ (4)	\$ 10	\$ 33	\$ 67	\$ 11	\$ 16
Curtailment gain					(16)	(2)
Settlement loss (gain)		(7)				
Special termination benefit cost			1			
Net benefit (income) cost	\$ (4)	\$ 3	\$ 34	\$ 67	\$ (5)	\$ 14

Nine months ended July 31

	U.S. Defined Benefit Plans		Non-U.S. Defined Benefit Plans		Post-Retirement Benefit Plans	
	2007	2006	2007	2006	2007	2006
	In millions					
Service cost	\$ 104	\$ 141	\$ 197	\$ 222	\$ 25	\$ 25
Interest cost	197	205	272	242	57	62
Expected return on plan assets	(270)	(274)	(430)	(368)	(28)	(26)
Amortization and deferrals:						
Actuarial (gain) loss	(8)	(7)	66	101	19	31
Prior service cost (benefit)			(6)	(3)	(39)	(41)
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 23	\$ 65	\$ 99	\$ 194	\$ 34	\$ 51
Curtailement gain	(541)		(9)		(26)	(19)
Settlement loss (gain)	36	(44)	(2)			
Special termination benefit cost	306		2		60	
Net benefit (income) cost	\$ (176)	\$ 21	\$ 90	\$ 194	\$ 68	\$ 32

Plan design changes

In the third quarter and the first nine months of fiscal 2007, HP recognized curtailment gains of \$16 million and \$26 million, respectively, for its U.S. post-retirement benefit plans. The gains primarily include \$16 million recorded in the third quarter of fiscal 2007 and \$9 million recorded in the first quarter of fiscal 2007 resulting from the reduction in the eligible plan population stemming from the 2007 EER and the fiscal 2005 restructuring plans, respectively. HP recorded these gains as reductions of restructuring charges. HP also recorded a one-time curtailment gain of \$1 million for its post-retirement benefit plans as a result of the modification of its Pre-2003 HP Retiree Medical Program in February 2007 as described below in detail.

In the first nine months of fiscal 2007, HP recognized a net curtailment gain of \$9 million for its non-U.S. pension plans. This gain was recorded in the first quarter of fiscal 2007 and primarily reflected a plan design change in Mexico where HP ceased pension accruals for current employees who did not meet defined criteria based on age and years of service (calculated as of December 31, 2006). In the first nine months of fiscal 2007, HP recognized a settlement gain of \$2 million resulting from the completed payout of its remaining pension obligations in Norway. In addition, HP incurred special termination benefit expense of \$2 million associated with the early retirement of employees in the U.K. and Ireland.

In the first nine months of fiscal 2007, HP recognized a settlement expense of \$36 million for its U.S. pension plans. The settlement reflected distributions and the subsequent transfer of accrued pension benefits from the U.S. Excess Benefit Plan to the U.S. Executive Deferred Compensation Plan for the terminated vested plan participants. The distributions and the transfer of this pension obligation represented a reduction in the projected benefit obligation and exceeded the sum of service and interest cost for this plan. As a result, HP recognized a portion of the unrecognized loss, re-measured as of January 31, 2007, in the second quarter of fiscal 2007.

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

On February 20, 2007, HP announced it was modifying its U.S. defined benefit pension plan for the remaining number of U.S. employees still accruing benefits under the program. Effective January 1, 2008, these employees will cease accruing pension benefits, and HP will calculate the final pension benefit amount based on pay and service through December 31, 2007. In addition, HP will limit future eligibility for the Pre-2003 HP Retiree Medical Program to those employees who are within five years of satisfying the program's retirement criteria on June 30, 2007. These actions resulted in reductions to HP's U.S. defined benefit and post-retirement plan obligations. As a result, HP recognized one-time curtailment gains of \$541 million for the U.S. defined benefit pension plan and \$1 million for the post-retirement benefit plan. HP recorded the total curtailment gain of \$542 million in the second quarter of fiscal 2007. As part of this announcement, HP offered an option for eligible affected employees to participate in the 2007 EER. A total of 3,080 employees participated in the 2007 EER. HP recognized a special termination benefit expense of \$306 million in the second quarter of fiscal 2007, which reflects aggregate additional lump-sum benefits that HP expects to pay to those individuals participating in the 2007 EER. HP will distribute this amount from the plan assets. Also, HP recognized a special termination benefit expense of \$60 million for the HP retiree medical plans for those employees participating in the 2007 EER. This expense amount reflects the additional medical coverage that HP expects to provide to those employees participating in the 2007 EER. The total \$366 million expense for the 2007 EER was recorded as the restructuring charges in the second quarter of fiscal 2007. HP funded the cash expenditures associated with the 2007 EER primarily by using available U.S. pension plan assets. Eligible employees whose pension accruals will cease effective December 31, 2007 will benefit from an increased company 401(k) match opportunity from 4 percent to 6 percent of eligible earnings effective January 1, 2008.

Employer Contributions and Funding Policy

HP previously disclosed in its Consolidated Financial Statements for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2006 that it expected to contribute approximately \$120 million to its pension plans, approximately \$15 million to cover benefit payments to U.S. non-qualified plan participants and approximately \$80 million to cover benefit claims under HP's post-retirement benefit plans. As of July 31, 2007, HP has made approximately \$94 million of contributions to non-U.S. pension plans, paid \$16 million to cover benefit payments to U.S. non-qualified plan participants, and paid \$41 million to cover benefit claims under post-retirement benefit plans. HP presently anticipates making additional contributions of between \$20 million and \$30 million to its pension plans and expects to pay \$15 million to cover benefit claims under post-retirement benefit plans during the remainder of fiscal 2007.

In August 2006, the Pension Protection Act of 2006 was enacted into law. The law significantly changes the rules used to determine minimum funding requirements for qualified defined benefit pension plans in the United States. HP does not expect the law to have a material impact on its current funding strategy for its U.S. pension plans.

Note 14: Litigation and Contingencies

HP is involved in lawsuits, claims, investigations and proceedings, including those identified below, consisting of intellectual property, commercial, securities, employment, employee benefits and

environmental matters, which arise in the ordinary course of business. In accordance with SFAS No. 5, "Accounting for Contingencies," HP records a provision for a liability when management believes that it is both probable that a liability has been incurred and HP can reasonably estimate the amount of the loss. HP believes it has adequate provisions for any such matters. HP reviews these provisions at least quarterly and adjusts these provisions to reflect the impact of negotiations, settlements, rulings, advice of legal counsel and other information and events pertaining to a particular case. Based on its experience, HP believes that any damage amounts claimed in the specific matters discussed below are not a meaningful indicator of HP's potential liability. Litigation is inherently unpredictable. However, HP believes that it has valid defenses with respect to legal matters pending against it. Nevertheless, it is possible that cash flows or results of operations could be materially affected in any particular period by the unfavorable resolution of one or more of these contingencies or because of the diversion of management's attention and the creation of significant expenses.

Pending Litigation, Proceedings and Investigations

Copyright levies. As described below, proceedings are ongoing against HP in certain European Union ("EU") member countries, including litigation in Germany, seeking to impose levies upon equipment (such as multifunction devices ("MFDs") and printers) and alleging that these devices enable producing private copies of copyrighted materials. The total levies due, if imposed, would be based upon the number of products sold and the per-product amounts of the levies, which vary. Some EU member countries that do not yet have levies on digital devices are expected to implement similar legislation to enable them to extend existing levy schemes, while some other EU member countries are expected to limit the scope of levy schemes and applicability in the digital hardware environment. HP, other companies and various industry associations are opposing the extension of levies to the digital environment and advocating compensation to rights holders through digital rights management systems.

VerwertungsGesellschaft Wort ("VG Wort"), a collection agency representing certain copyright holders, instituted non-binding arbitration proceedings against HP in June 2001 in Germany before the arbitration board of the Patent and Trademark Office. The proceedings relate to whether and to what extent copyright levies for photocopiers should be imposed in accordance with copyright laws implemented in Germany on MFDs that allegedly enable the production of copies by private persons. Following unsuccessful arbitration, VG Wort filed a lawsuit against HP in May 2004 in the Stuttgart Civil Court in Stuttgart, Germany seeking levies on MFDs sold from 1997 to 2001. On December 22, 2004, the court held that HP is liable for payments regarding MFDs sold in Germany and ordered HP to pay VG Wort an amount equal to 5% of the outstanding levies claimed plus interest on MFDs sold in Germany up to December 2001. VG Wort appealed this decision. On July 6, 2005, the Stuttgart Court of Appeals ordered HP to pay VG Wort levies based on the published tariffs for photocopiers in Germany (which range from EUR 38.35 to EUR 613.56 per unit) plus interest on MFDs sold in Germany up to December 2001. HP has appealed the Stuttgart Court of Appeals' decision to the Bundesgerichtshof (the German Federal Supreme Court).

On September 26, 2005, VG Wort filed an additional lawsuit against HP in the Stuttgart Civil Court in Stuttgart, Germany seeking levies on MFDs sold in Germany between 1997 and 2001, as well as for products sold from 2002 onwards. On July 26, 2007, the court issued a decision following the ruling of the Stuttgart Court of Appeals with respect to the initial VG Wort lawsuit as described above. HP has appealed the decision to the Stuttgart Court of Appeals.

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

In July 2004, VG Wort filed a separate lawsuit against HP in the Stuttgart Civil Court seeking levies on printers. On December 22, 2004, the court held that HP is liable for payments regarding all printers using ASCII code sold in Germany but did not determine the amount payable per unit. HP appealed this decision in January 2005 to the Higher Regional Court of Baden Wuerttemberg. On May 11, 2005, the Higher Regional Court issued a decision confirming that levies are due. On June 6, 2005, HP filed an appeal to the German Supreme Court in Karlsruhe.

In September 2003, VG Wort filed a lawsuit against Fujitsu Siemens Computer GmbH ("FSC") in Munich State Court seeking levies on PCs. This is an industry test case in Germany, and HP has undertaken to be bound by a final decision. On December 23, 2004, the Munich State Court held that PCs are subject to a levy and that FSC must pay 12 euros plus compound interest for each PC sold in Germany since March 2001. FSC appealed this decision in January 2005 to the Higher Regional Court of Bavaria. On December 15, 2005, the Higher Regional Court affirmed the Munich State Court decision. FSC filed a notice of appeal with the German Supreme Court in February 2006.

On December 29, 2005, ZPU, a joint association of various German collection societies, instituted non-binding arbitration proceedings against HP before the arbitration board of the Patent and Trademark Office demanding reporting of every PC sold by HP in Germany from January 2002 through December 2005 and seeking a levy of 18.42 euros plus tax for each PC sold during that period. HP filed a notice of defense in connection with these proceedings in February 2006, and an arbitration hearing was held in December 2006. On August 3, 2007, the arbitration board issued a ruling proposing a levy of 15 euros plus tax for each PC sold during this period. HP has rejected the ruling of the arbitration board, and the arbitration proceedings have concluded.

Based on industry opposition to the extension of levies to digital products, HP's assessments of the merits of various proceedings and HP's estimates of the units impacted and levies, HP has accrued amounts that it believes are adequate to address the matters described above. However, the ultimate resolution of these matters and the associated financial impact on HP, including the number of units impacted, the amount of levies imposed and the ability of HP to recover such amounts through increased prices, remains uncertain.

Alvis v. HP is a defective product consumer class action filed in the District Court of Jefferson County, Texas in April 2001. In February 2000, a similar suit captioned LaPray v. Compaq was filed in the District Court of Jefferson County, Texas. The basic allegation is that HP and Compaq sold computers containing floppy disk controllers that fail to alert the user to certain floppy disk controller errors. That failure is alleged to result in data loss or data corruption. The complaints in Alvis and LaPray seek injunctive relief, declaratory relief, unspecified damages and attorneys' fees. In July 2001, a nationwide class was certified in the LaPray case, which the Beaumont Court of Appeals affirmed in June 2002. The Texas Supreme Court reversed the certification and remanded to the trial court in May 2004. On March 29, 2005, the Alvis trial court certified a Texas-wide class action for injunctive relief only, which HP appealed on April 15, 2005. HP's appeal in the Alvis case is still pending. On June 4, 2003, each of Barrett v. HP and Grider v. Compaq was filed in the District Court of Cleveland County, Oklahoma, with factual allegations similar to those in Alvis and LaPray. The complaints in Barrett and Grider seek, among other things, specific performance, declaratory relief, unspecified damages and attorneys' fees. On December 22, 2003, the District Court entered an order staying the Barrett case until the conclusion of Alvis. On September 23, 2005, the District Court granted the Grider

plaintiffs' motion to certify a nationwide class action which the Oklahoma Court of Civil Appeals affirmed on October 13, 2006. On November 5, 2006, HP filed a Petition for Writ of Certiorari with the Oklahoma Supreme Court seeking reversal of the lower courts' decisions. That petition was denied on March 26, 2007. The Grider case is scheduled for trial in January 2008. On November 5, 2004, Batiste v. HP (formerly Scott v. HP), and on January 27, 2005, Schultz v. HP (formerly Jurado v. HP), were filed in state court in San Joaquin County, California, with factual allegations similar to those in LaPray and Alvis, seeking certification of a California-only class, injunctive relief, unspecified damages (including punitive damages), restitution, costs, and attorneys' fees. On November 27, 2006, the trial court granted plaintiff's motion for class certification and certified the Schultz case as a California-only class. On March 26, 2007, HP filed a Petition for Writ of Mandate with the California Supreme Court. That petition was summarily denied on May 9, 2007. In addition, the Civil Division of the Department of Justice, the General Services Administration Office of Inspector General and other Federal agencies are conducting an investigation of allegations that HP and Compaq made, or caused to be made, false claims for payment to the United States for computers known by HP and Compaq to contain defective parts or otherwise perform in a defective manner relating to the same alleged floppy disk controller errors. HP's agreement with the Department of Justice to extend the statute of limitations on its investigation expired on December 6, 2006. HP is cooperating fully with this investigation.

Barbara's Sales, et al. v. Intel Corporation, Hewlett-Packard Company, et al. and Neubauer, et al. v. Compaq Computer Corporation are separate lawsuits filed on June 3, 2002 in the Circuit Court, Third Judicial District, Madison County, Illinois, alleging that HP and Compaq (along with Intel) misled the public by suppressing and concealing the alleged material fact that systems that use the Intel Pentium 4 processor are less powerful and slower than systems using the Intel Pentium III processor and processors made by a competitor of Intel. The plaintiffs seek unspecified damages, restitution, attorneys' fees and costs, and certification of a nationwide class. The trial court in the HP action certified an Illinois class as to Intel but denied a nationwide class. Both parties appealed the trial court's decision. On July 25, 2006, the Fifth District Appellate Court ruled that the trial court erred in applying Illinois law in deciding to certify the Illinois class and to deny certification of the nationwide class and directed the trial court to reconsider those decisions applying California law instead. On August 28, 2006, Intel appealed the Fifth District's decision to the Illinois Supreme Court, and the Illinois Supreme Court granted Intel's petition for appeal on November 29, 2006. Proceedings against HP have been stayed pending resolution of the parties' appeal of this decision. The class action certification against Compaq has been stayed pending resolution of the parties' appeal in the HP action. Skold, et al. v. Intel Corporation and Hewlett-Packard Company is a lawsuit to which HP was joined on June 14, 2004 that was initially filed in state court in Alameda County, California, based upon factual allegations similar to those in the Illinois cases. The plaintiffs in the Skold matter also seek unspecified damages, restitution, attorneys' fees and costs, and certification of a nationwide class. The Skold case has since been transferred to state court in Santa Clara County, California.

Feder v. HP (formerly Tyler v. HP) is a lawsuit filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California on June 16, 2005 asserting breach of express and implied warranty, unjust enrichment, violation of the Consumers Legal Remedies Act and deceptive advertising and unfair business practices in violation of California's Unfair Competition Law. Among other things, plaintiffs alleged that HP employed a "smart chip" in certain inkjet printing products in order to register ink depletion prematurely and to render the cartridge unusable through a built-in expiration

date that is hidden, not documented in marketing materials to consumers, or both. Plaintiffs also contend that consumers received false ink depletion warnings and that the smart chip limits the ability of consumers to use the cartridge to its full capacity or to choose competitive products. On September 6, 2005, a lawsuit captioned Ciolino v. HP was filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. The allegations in the Ciolino case are substantively identical to those in Feder, and the two cases have been formally consolidated in a single proceeding in the District Court for the Northern District of California under the caption In re HP Inkjet Printer Litigation. In addition, on January 17, 2007, an additional lawsuit captioned Blennis v. HP was filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California with allegations substantially the same as those consolidated in In re Inkjet Printer Litigation. The plaintiffs seek class certification, restitution, damages (including enhanced damages), injunctive relief, interest, costs, and attorneys' fees. Three related lawsuits filed in California state court, Tyler v. HP (filed in Santa Clara County on February 17, 2005), Obi v. HP (filed in Los Angeles County on February 17, 2005), and Weingart v. HP (filed in Los Angeles County on March 18, 2005), have been dismissed without prejudice by the plaintiffs. In addition, two related lawsuits filed in federal court, namely Grabell v. HP (filed in the District of New Jersey on March 18, 2005) and Just v. HP (filed in the Eastern District of New York on April 20, 2005), have been dismissed without prejudice by the plaintiffs. Substantially similar allegations have been made against HP and its subsidiary, Hewlett-Packard (Canada) Co., in four Canadian class actions, one commenced in British Columbia in February 2006, two commenced in Quebec in April 2006 and May 2006, respectively, and one commenced in Ontario in June 2006, all seeking class certification, restitution, declaratory relief, injunctive relief and unspecified statutory, compensatory and punitive damages.

Schorsch v. HP is a consumer class action filed against HP on October 28, 2003 in Illinois state court alleging that in violation of Illinois state law HP has included an electrically erasable programmable read only memory (EEPROM) chip in certain of its LaserJet printers that prematurely advises the user that the drum kit needs replacing. The plaintiffs subsequently filed an amended complaint seeking to expand the class from purchasers of drum kits to purchasers of all HP printer consumables that contain EEPROM chips. The most current amended complaint seeks certification of an Illinois-only class and seeks unspecified damages, attorneys' fees and costs. On June 6, 2007, a separate consumer class action lawsuit captioned Baggett v. HP was filed in the United States District Court for the Central District of California containing similar allegations that HP employs a technology in its LaserJet color printers whereby the printing process shuts down prematurely, preventing customers from using the toner that is stranded in the cartridge. The plaintiffs allege that HP fails to disclose to consumers that they will be unable to utilize the toner remaining in the cartridge after the printer shuts down. The complaint seeks certification of a nationwide class of purchasers of all HP LaserJet color printers and seeks unspecified damages, restitution, disgorgement, injunctive relief, attorneys' fees and costs.

Rich v. HP is a consumer class action filed against HP on May 22, 2006 in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. The suit alleges that HP designed its color inkjet printers to unnecessarily use color ink in addition to black ink when printing black and white images and text. The plaintiffs seek injunctive and monetary relief on behalf of a nationwide class. The Court has granted HP's motion to dismiss several of the plaintiffs' claims, and HP answered the remaining claims in February 2007.

On December 27, 2001, Cornell University and the Cornell Research Foundation, Inc. filed a complaint, amended on September 6, 2002, against HP in United States District Court for the Northern District of New York alleging that HP's PA-RISC 8000 family of microprocessors, and servers and workstations incorporating those processors, infringe a patent assigned to Cornell Research Foundation, Inc. that describes a way of executing microprocessor instructions. The complaint seeks declaratory and injunctive relief and unspecified damages. On March 26, 2004, the district court issued a ruling interpreting the disputed claim terms in the patent at issue. HP filed five motions for summary judgement on September 29, 2006. The district court has not yet ruled on those motions. The patent at issue in this litigation, United States Patent No. 4,807,115, expired on February 21, 2006. Therefore, the plaintiffs are no longer entitled to seek injunctive relief against HP.

Digwamaje et al. v. Bank of America et al. is a purported class action lawsuit that names HP and numerous other multinational corporations as defendants. It was filed on September 27, 2002 in United States District Court for the Southern District of New York on behalf of current and former South African citizens and their survivors who suffered violence and oppression under the apartheid regime. The lawsuit alleges that HP and other companies helped perpetuate, profited from, and otherwise aided and abetted the apartheid regime during the period from 1948-1994 by selling products and services to agencies of the South African government. Claims are based on the Alien Tort Claims Act, the Torture Victims Protection Act, the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act and state law. The complaint seeks, among other things, an accounting, the creation of a historic commission, compensatory damages in excess of \$200 billion, punitive damages in excess of \$200 billion, costs and attorneys' fees. On November 29, 2004, the court dismissed with prejudice the plaintiffs' complaint. In May 2005, the plaintiffs filed an amended notice of appeal in the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit. On January 24, 2006, the Second Circuit Court of Appeals heard oral argument on the plaintiffs' appeal but has not yet issued a decision.

CSIRO Patent Litigation. Microsoft Corporation, Hewlett-Packard Company, et al. v. Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation of Australia is an action filed by HP and two other plaintiffs on May 9, 2005 in the District Court for the Northern District of California seeking a declaratory judgment against Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation of Australia ("CSIRO") that HP's products employing the IEEE 802.11a and 802.11g wireless protocol standards do not infringe CSIRO's US patent no. 5,487,069 relating to wireless transmission of data at frequencies in excess of 10GHz. On September 22, 2005, CSIRO filed an answer and counterclaims alleging that all HP products which employ those wireless protocol standards infringe the CSIRO patent and seeking damages, including enhanced damages and attorneys fees and costs, and an injunction against sales of infringing products. On December 12, 2006, CSIRO successfully moved to have the case transferred to the District Court of the Eastern District of Texas, a court that has granted CSIRO's motions for summary judgment on the issues of validity and patent infringement and a permanent injunction in favor of CSIRO in a patent infringement action brought by CSIRO against a third-party vendor of wireless networking products based on the same patent. On June 15, 2007, CSIRO filed an amended answer and counterclaims adding the allegation that all HP products that employ the draft IEEE 802.11n wireless protocol infringe the CSIRO patent.

Polaroid Corp. v. HP is a lawsuit filed against HP by Polaroid Corporation on December 2006 in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware. The lawsuit involves a single U.S. patent that will expire in April 2008. Polaroid alleges that certain HP products containing "Digital Flash" or

"Adaptive Lighting" technology infringe Polaroid's U.S. Patent No. 4,829,381 relating to a system and method for continuously enhancing electronic images by varying the contrast in different portions of the image. Polaroid seeks monetary relief. A trial is scheduled for December 2008.

The United States of America, ex rel. Norman Rille and Neal Roberts v. Hewlett-Packard Company, et al. In 2004, two private individuals filed a civil "qui tam" complaint under the False Claims Act in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Arkansas containing generalized allegations that HP and several other companies participated in an industry-wide practice of using partnership and alliance programs to make improper payments and cause the submission of false claims in connection with contracts to provide products and services to the federal government. On April 12, 2007, the U.S. Department of Justice intervened in the qui tam action and filed a complaint against HP (and several other companies in separate actions) on behalf of the United States containing allegations that HP violated the False Claims Act and the Anti-Kickback Act of 1986 by providing millions of dollars in kickbacks to its alliance partners, including "influencer fees" and "new business opportunity rebates." The U.S. complaint further alleges that HP violated the False Claims Act and the Anti-Kickback Act, breached its federal government contracts, induced the federal government to make payments to HP to which HP was not entitled to receive under those contracts, and was unjustly enriched by expressly or impliedly making false statements, records or certifications to the federal government that it complied with and would continue to comply with the Anti-Kickback Act and by submitting claims to the government that allegedly were inflated because they included the amounts of the influencer fees and new business opportunity rebates. The U.S. complaint seeks treble damages plus civil penalties in connection with the alleged violations of the False Claims Act, double damages plus civil penalties in connection with the alleged violations of the Anti-Kickback Act and disgorgement of profits earned in connection with the breach of contract and unjust enrichment claims.

Leak Investigation Proceedings. As described below, HP is or has been the subject of various governmental inquiries concerning the processes employed in an investigation into leaks of HP confidential information to members of the media that concluded in May 2006:

In August 2006, HP was informally contacted by the Attorney General of the State of California requesting information concerning the processes employed in the leak investigation. On December 7, 2006, HP announced that it has entered into an agreement with the California Attorney General to resolve civil claims arising from the leak investigation, including a claim made by the California Attorney General in a Santa Clara County Superior Court action filed on December 7, 2006 that HP committed unfair business practices under California law in connection with the leak investigation. As a result of this agreement, which includes an injunction, the California Attorney General will not pursue civil claims against HP or its current and former directors, officers and employees. Under the terms of the agreement, HP paid a total of \$14.5 million and agreed to implement and maintain for five years a series of measures designed to ensure that HP's corporate investigations are conducted in accordance with California law and the company's high ethical standards. Of the \$14.5 million, \$13.5 million has been used to create a Privacy and Piracy Fund to assist California prosecutors in investigating and prosecuting consumer privacy and information piracy violations, \$650,000 was used to pay statutory damages and \$350,000 reimbursed the California Attorney General's office for its investigation costs. There was no finding of liability against HP as part of the settlement.

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

Beginning in September 2006, HP has received requests from the Committee on Energy and Commerce of the U.S. House of Representatives (the "Committee") for records and information concerning the leak investigation, securities transactions by HP officers and directors, including an August 25, 2006 securities transaction by Mark Hurd, HP's Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, and related matters. HP has responded to those requests. In addition, Mr. Hurd voluntarily gave testimony before the Committee regarding the leak investigation on September 28, 2006.

In September 2006, HP was informally contacted by the U.S. Attorney for the Northern District of California requesting similar information concerning the processes employed in the leak investigation. HP is responding to that request.

Beginning in September 2006, HP has received requests from the Division of Enforcement of the Securities and Exchange Commission, ("SEC"), for records and information and interviews with current and former HP directors and officers relating to the leak investigation, the resignation of Thomas J. Perkins from HP's Board of Directors, HP's May 22, 2006 and September 6, 2006 filings with the SEC on Form 8-K, stock repurchases by HP and securities transactions by its officers and directors that occurred between May 1 and October 1, 2006, and HP's policies, practices and approval of securities transactions. In May 2007, HP consented to the entry of an order by the SEC ordering HP to cease and desist from committing or causing violations of the public reporting requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"). HP has been advised by the staff of the Division of Enforcement that the staff has completed its investigation and does not intend to recommend that any other SEC enforcement action be brought in connection with these matters.

In September 2006, HP received a request from the U.S. Federal Communications Commission for records and information relating to the processes employed in the leak investigation. HP has responded to that request.

HP is continuing to cooperate fully with all ongoing inquiries and investigations.

In addition, four stockholder derivative lawsuits have been filed in California purportedly on behalf of HP stockholders seeking to recover damages for alleged breach of fiduciary duty and to require HP to improve its corporate governance and internal control procedures as a result of the activities of the leak investigation: *Staehr v. Dunn, et al.* was filed in Santa Clara County Superior Court on September 18, 2006; *Worsham v. Dunn, et al.* was filed in Santa Clara County Superior Court on September 14, 2006; *Tansey v. Dunn, et al.* was filed in Santa Clara County Superior Court on September 20, 2006; and *Hall v. Dunn, et al.* was filed in Santa Clara County Superior Court on September 25, 2006. On October 19, 2006, the Santa Clara County Superior Court consolidated the four California cases under the caption *In re Hewlett-Packard Company Derivative Litigation*. The consolidated complaint filed on November 19, 2006 also seeks to recover damages in connection with sales of HP stock alleged to have been made by certain current and former HP officers and directors while in possession of material non-public information. Two additional stockholder derivative lawsuits, *Pifko v. Babbio, et al.*, filed on September 19, 2006, and *Gross v. Babbio, et al.*, filed on November 21, 2006, were filed in Chancery Court, County of New Castle, Delaware, both of which seek to recover damages for alleged breaches of fiduciary duty and to obtain an order instructing the defendants to refrain from further breaches of fiduciary duty and to implement corrective measures that will prevent

future occurrences of the alleged breaches of fiduciary duty. On January 24, 2007, the Delaware court consolidated the two cases under the caption *In re Hewlett-Packard Company Derivative Litigation* and subsequently stayed the proceedings until September 10, 2007. The HP Board of Directors has appointed a Special Litigation Committee consisting of independent Board members authorized to investigate, review and evaluate the facts and circumstances asserted in these derivative matters and to determine how HP should proceed in these matters.

Mercury Interactive Corporation Proceedings. In November 2006, HP completed its acquisition of Mercury Interactive Corporation ("Mercury"). Upon completion of the acquisition, HP assumed oversight for all litigation and regulatory matters pending or subsequently commenced against Mercury. The following Mercury-related litigation and regulatory inquiries currently are pending:

Prior to the announcement of the acquisition, and beginning on or about August 19, 2005, four securities class action lawsuits were filed against Mercury and certain of its officers and directors on behalf of purchasers of Mercury's stock from October 2003 to November 2005: *Archdiocese of Milwaukee Supporting Fund, Inc. v. Mercury Interactive, et al.*, *Johnson v. Mercury Interactive, et al.*, *Munao v. Mercury Interactive, et al.*, and *Public Employees' Retirement System of Mississippi v. Mercury Interactive, et al.* These class action lawsuits were consolidated in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California as *In re Mercury Interactive Corporation Securities Litigation*. The consolidated complaint filed on September 8, 2006 alleges that, during the putative class period of October 17, 2000 through November 1, 2005, the defendants made false or misleading public statements regarding Mercury's business and operations in violation of Section 10(b) and Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act, and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder and seeks unspecified monetary damages and other relief. On July 30, 2007, the court granted the defendants' motion to dismiss the consolidated complaint with leave to amend.

On February 26, 2007, HP received a request from the Permanent Subcommittee on Investigations of the U.S. Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs for information relating to Mercury's past executive compensation and stock option granting policies and procedures, including information about the practice of backdating the grant date of options that allegedly occurred before HP acquired Mercury. HP has responded to the Subcommittee's request and intends to cooperate with the inquiry.

European Commission OEM Investigation. In May 2002, the European Commission of the EU publicly stated that it was considering conducting an investigation into original equipment manufacturer activities concerning the sales of printers and supplies to consumers within the EU. The European Commission contacted HP requesting information on the printing systems businesses. HP has cooperated fully in response to the initial inquiry and intends to cooperate fully with respect to subsequent requests for information.

Concluded Litigation, Proceedings and Investigations

Miller, et al. v. Hewlett Packard Company was a lawsuit filed on March 21, 2005 in the United States District Court for the District of Idaho on behalf of a putative class of persons who were employed by third-party temporary service agencies and who performed work at HP facilities in the United States. The plaintiffs claimed that they were incorrectly classified as contractors or contingent workers and, as

a result, were wrongfully denied employee benefits covered by the Employment Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 ("ERISA") and benefits not covered by ERISA. The plaintiffs also claimed they were denied participation in HP's Share Ownership Plan, service award program, adoption assistance program, credit union, dependent care reimbursement program, educational assistance program, time off programs, flexible work arrangements, and the 401(k) plan. On May 22, 2005, plaintiffs amended their complaint to add a Worker Adjustment and Retraining Notification Act ("WARN") claim. The plaintiffs sought declaratory relief, an injunction, retroactive and prospective benefits and compensation, unspecified damages and enhanced damages, interest, costs and attorneys' fees. HP successfully moved to dismiss the ERISA and WARN claims on June 23, 2005, and the court dismissed those claims on December 15, 2005. The plaintiffs voluntarily dismissed the sole remaining claim, for breach of contract, on January 4, 2007.

Environmental

HP is party to, or otherwise involved in, proceedings brought by U.S. or state environmental agencies under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act ("CERCLA"), known as "Superfund," or state laws similar to CERCLA. HP is also conducting environmental investigations or remediations at several current or former operating sites pursuant to administrative orders or consent agreements with state environmental agencies. It is our policy to apply strict standards for environmental clean-up to sites inside and outside the United States, even where we are not required to do so under applicable local laws and regulations.

The EU adopted the Waste Electrical and Electronic Equipment Directive in January 2003. The directive makes producers of electrical goods, including computers and printers, financially responsible for specified collection, recycling, treatment and disposal of past and future covered products. The deadline for the individual member states of the EU to enact legislation implementing the directive in their respective countries was August 13, 2004 (such legislation, together with the directive, the "WEEE Legislation"). The EU member states were obliged to make producers participating in the market were financially responsible for implementing these responsibilities under the WEEE Legislation beginning in August 2005. Implementation in certain of the member states has been delayed into 2006 and 2007. Similar legislation has been or may be enacted in other jurisdictions, including in the United States, Canada, Mexico, China and Japan. HP is continuing to evaluate the impact of and take steps to comply with the WEEE Legislation and similar legislation in other jurisdictions as individual countries issue their implementation legislation and guidance.

The liability for environmental remediation and other environmental costs is accrued when it is considered probable and the costs can be reasonably estimated. We have accrued amounts in conjunction with the foregoing environmental issues that we believe was adequate as of July 31, 2007. These accruals were not material to our operations or financial position, and we do not currently anticipate material capital expenditures for environmental control facilities.

Note 15: Segment Information

Description of Segments

HP is a leading global provider of products, technologies, software, solutions and services to individual consumers, small and medium sized businesses ("SMBs"), and large enterprises including the

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

public and education sectors. HP's offerings span personal computing and other access devices; imaging and printing-related products and services; enterprise information technology ("IT") infrastructure, including enterprise storage and server technology; software that optimizes business technology investments; and multi-vendor customer services, including technology support and maintenance, consulting and integration and outsourcing services.

HP and its operations are organized into seven business segments: Enterprise Storage and Servers ("ESS"), HP Services ("HPS"), HP Software, the Personal Systems Group ("PSG"), the Imaging and Printing Group ("IPG"), HP Financial Services ("HPFS"), and Corporate Investments. HP's organizational structure is based on a number of factors that management uses to evaluate, view and run its business operations, which include, but are not limited to, customer base, homogeneity of products and technology. The business segments disclosed in the accompanying Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements are based on this organizational structure and information reviewed by HP's management to evaluate the business segment results. ESS, HPS and HP Software are structured beneath a broader Technology Solutions Group ("TSG"). In order to provide a supplementary view of HP's business, aggregated financial data for TSG is presented herein.

HP has reclassified segment operating results for the three and nine months ended July 31, 2006 to conform to certain fiscal 2007 organizational realignments. Future changes to this organizational structure may result in changes to the business segments disclosed. A description of the types of products and services provided by each business segment follows.

Technology Solutions Group. Each of the business segments within TSG is described in detail below.

Enterprise Storage and Servers provides storage and server products. The various server offerings range from low-end servers to high-end scalable servers, including the Superdome line. Industry standard servers include primarily entry-level and mid-range ProLiant servers, which run primarily on the Windows®⁽¹⁾, Linux and Novell operating systems and leverage Intel Corporation ("Intel") and Advanced Micro Devices ("AMD") processors. The business spans a range of product lines, including pedestal-tower servers, density-optimized rack servers and HP's BladeSystem family of blade servers. Business critical systems include Itanium®⁽²⁾-based Integrity servers running on HP-UX, Windows®, Linux and OpenVMS operating systems, including the high-end Superdome servers and fault-tolerant Integrity NonStop servers. Business critical systems also include the Reduced Instruction Set Computing ("RISC")-based servers with the HP 9000 line running on the HP-UX operating system, HP AlphaServers running on both Tru64 UNIX®⁽³⁾ and OpenVMS, and MIPS-based NonStop servers. HP's StorageWorks offerings include entry-level, mid-range and high-end arrays, storage area networks ("SANs"), network attached storage ("NAS"), storage management software, and virtualization technologies, as well as tape drives, tape libraries and optical archival storage.

(1) Windows® is a registered trademark of Microsoft Corporation.

(2) Itanium® is a registered trademark of Intel Corporation.

(3) UNIX® is a registered trademark of The Open Group.

HP Services provides a portfolio of multi-vendor IT services including technology services, consulting and integration and outsourcing services. HPS also offers a variety of services tailored to particular industries such as communications, media and entertainment, manufacturing and distribution, financial services, health and life sciences and the public sector, including government services. HPS collaborates with the Enterprise Storage and Servers, and HP Software groups, as well as with third-party system integrators and software and networking companies to bring solutions to HP customers. HPS also works with HP's Imaging and Printing Group and Personal Systems Group to provide managed print services, end user workplace services, and mobile workforce productivity solutions to enterprise customers. Technology Services provides a range of services, including standalone product support and high availability services for complex, global, networked and multi-vendor environments. Technology Services also manages the delivery of product warranty support through its own service organization, as well as through authorized partners. Consulting and Integration provides services to architect, design and implement technology and industry-specific solutions for customers. Consulting and Integration also provides cross-industry solutions in the areas of architecture and governance, infrastructure, applications and packaged applications, security, IT service management, information management and enterprise Microsoft solutions. Outsourcing Services offers services including infrastructure, application, business process, end user workplace services and business continuity and recovery outsourcing.

HP Software has OpenView and OpenCall businesses, OpenView, including Mercury's product lines, provides a suite of Business Technology Optimization ("BTO") software for automating key processes across critical IT functions, including strategy, applications, and operations. HP BTO software solutions help customers drive business results for a wide range of functional IT initiatives, including demand and portfolio management, service oriented architecture transformation, software quality management, business service management, IT service management, and IT infrastructure library. Under the OpenCall brand, HP Software also delivers a suite of solutions and platforms that enables service providers to develop and deploy next generation multimedia services including voice, data and video.

HP's other business segments are described below.

Personal Systems Group provides commercial PCs, consumer PCs, workstations, handheld computing devices, digital entertainment systems, calculators and other related accessories, software and services for the commercial and consumer markets. Commercial PCs are optimized for commercial uses, including enterprise and SMB customers, and for connectivity and manageability in networked environments. Commercial PCs include the HP Compaq business desktops and Thin Clients, business notebooks and Tablet PCs, as well as the HP Point of Sale and Blade PC Solutions. Consumer PCs are targeted at the home user and include the HP Pavilion and Compaq Presario series of multi-media consumer desktop PCs and notebook PCs, as well as HP Media Center PCs, and Voodoo Gaming PCs. Workstations are individual computing products designed for users demanding enhanced performance, such as computer animation, engineering design and other programs requiring high-resolution graphics. Workstations run on UNIX®, Windows® and Linux-based operating systems. Handheld computing devices include a series of HP iPAQ handheld computing devices, ranging from Pocket PCs and navigation devices to smartphones and data devices, that run on Windows®

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

Mobile software, and include software and support. Handheld computing also offers software and support that provide security and manageability of mobile devices. Digital entertainment products include plasma and LCD flat-panel televisions, the HP Digital Entertainment Center, HD DVD and RW drives, and DVD writers.

Imaging and Printing Group provides consumer and commercial printer hardware, printing supplies, printing media and scanning devices. IPG is also focused on imaging solutions in the commercial markets, from managed print services solutions to addressing new growth opportunities in commercial printing in areas such as industrial applications, outdoor signage, and the graphic arts business. Inkjet systems include desktop single function and inkjet all-in-one printers, including photo, productivity and business inkjet printers and scanners. Digital imaging products and services include photo specialty printers, photo kiosks, digital cameras, accessories and online photo services through Snapfish. LaserJet systems include monochrome and color laser printers, printer-based MFDs and Total Print Management Solutions for enterprise customers. Graphics and Imaging products include large format (DesignJet) printers, Indigo and Scitex digital presses, digital publishing solutions and graphics printing solutions. Printer supplies include LaserJet toner and inkjet printer cartridges and other related printing media such as HP-branded Vivera and ColorSphere ink and HP Premium and Premium Plus photo papers.

HP Financial Services supports and enhances HP's global product and services solutions, providing a broad range of value-added financial life cycle management services. HPFS enables HP's worldwide customers to acquire complete IT solutions, including hardware, software and services. HPFS offers leasing, financing, utility programs, and asset recovery services, as well as financial asset management services, for large global and enterprise customers. HPFS also provides an array of specialized financial services to SMBs and educational and governmental entities. HPFS offers innovative, customized and flexible alternatives to balance unique customer cash flow, technology obsolescence and capacity needs.

Corporate Investments is managed by the Office of Strategy and Technology and includes HP Labs and certain business incubation projects. Revenue in this segment is attributable to the sale of certain network infrastructure products, including Ethernet switch products that enhance computing and enterprise solutions under the brand "ProCurve Networking," as well as the licensing of specific HP technology to third parties.

Segment Data

HP derives the results of the business segments directly from its internal management reporting system. The accounting policies HP uses to derive business segment results are substantially the same as those the consolidated company uses. Management measures the performance of each business segment based on several metrics, including earnings from operations. Management uses these results, in part, to evaluate the performance of, and to assign resources to, each of the business segments. HP does not allocate to its business segments certain operating expenses, which it manages separately at the corporate level. These unallocated costs include primarily amortization of purchased intangible assets, stock-based compensation expense related to HP-granted employee stock options and the employee stock purchase plan, certain acquisition-related charges and charges for purchased IPR&D, as well as certain corporate governance costs.

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

HP does not allocate to its business segments restructuring charges and any associated adjustments related to restructuring actions.

Selected operating results information for each business segment was as follows:

	Three months ended July 31			
	Total Net Revenue		Earnings (Loss) from Operations	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
	In millions			
Enterprise Storage and Servers	\$ 4,547	\$ 4,133	\$ 464	\$ 296
HP Services	4,186	3,888	430	364
HP Software	554	318	81	13
Technology Solutions Group	9,287	8,339	975	673
Personal Systems Group	8,894	6,917	519	275
Imaging and Printing Group	6,751	6,234	981	884
HP Financial Services	582	519	39	35
Corporate Investments	220	155	(5)	(33)
Segment total	\$ 25,734	\$ 22,164	\$ 2,509	\$ 1,834
	Nine months ended July 31			
	Total Net Revenue		Earnings (Loss) from Operations	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
	In millions			
Enterprise Storage and Servers	\$ 13,619	\$ 12,638	\$ 1,287	\$ 944
HP Services	12,279	11,537	1,303	1,002
HP Software	1,627	952	170	25
Technology Solutions Group	27,525	25,127	2,760	1,971
Personal Systems Group	26,276	21,343	1,350	816
Imaging and Printing Group	20,911	19,503	3,221	2,898
HP Financial Services	1,679	1,533	107	112
Corporate Investments	552	406	(52)	(115)
Segment total	\$ 76,943	\$ 67,912	\$ 7,386	\$ 5,682

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

The reconciliation of segment operating results information to HP consolidated totals was as follows:

	Three months ended July 31		Nine months ended July 31	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
In millions				
Net revenue:				
Total segments	\$ 25,734	\$ 22,164	\$ 76,943	\$ 67,912
Elimination of intersegment net revenue and other	(357)	(274)	(950)	(809)
Total HP consolidated net revenue	\$ 25,377	\$ 21,890	\$ 75,993	\$ 67,103
Earnings before taxes:				
Total segment earnings from operations	\$ 2,509	\$ 1,834	\$ 7,386	\$ 5,682
Corporate and unallocated costs and eliminations	(101)	(53)	(242)	(175)
Unallocated costs related to stock-based compensation expense	(114)	(113)	(385)	(339)
Amortization of purchased intangible assets	(183)	(153)	(596)	(451)
In-process research and development charges			(186)	(52)
Restructuring	5	(5)	(407)	(6)
Pension curtailments and pension settlements, net			517	
Interest and other, net	165	221	363	416
Gains on investments	5	7	28	11
Total HP consolidated	\$ 2,286	\$ 1,738	\$ 6,478	\$ 5,086

HP allocates its assets to its business segments based on the primary segments benefiting from the assets. As a result of the Mercury acquisition, the total assets of HP Software increased by approximately 253% to \$6.7 billion as of July 31, 2007 from \$1.9 billion as of October 31, 2006 due primarily to the goodwill and amortizable intangible assets acquired. There have been no material changes in the total assets of other segments.

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

Net revenue by segment and business unit

	Three months ended July 31		Nine months ended July 31	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
	In millions			
Net revenue ⁽¹⁾ :				
Industry standard servers	\$ 2,814	\$ 2,427	\$ 8,321	\$ 7,288
Business critical systems	811	833	2,521	2,659
Storage	922	873	2,777	2,691
Enterprise Storage and Servers	4,547	4,133	13,619	12,638
Technology services	2,164	2,064	6,412	6,231
Outsourcing services ⁽²⁾	1,234	1,116	3,554	3,197
Consulting and integration	788	708	2,313	2,109
HP Services	4,186	3,888	12,279	11,537
OpenView ⁽³⁾	481	215	1,372	648
OpenCall & other	73	103	255	304
HP Software	554	318	1,627	952
Technology Solutions Group	9,287	8,339	27,525	25,127
Desktops	3,924	3,515	11,640	10,938
Notebooks	4,253	2,768	12,481	8,537
Workstations	441	339	1,248	1,006
Handhelds	105	136	393	481
Other	171	159	514	381
Personal Systems Group	8,894	6,917	26,276	21,343
Commercial hardware	1,738	1,632	5,213	5,026
Consumer hardware	982	893	3,205	3,131
Supplies	4,017	3,693	12,453	11,302
Other	14	16	40	44
Imaging and Printing Group	6,751	6,234	20,911	19,503
HP Financial Services	582	519	1,679	1,533
Corporate Investments	220	155	552	406
Total segments	25,734	22,164	76,943	67,912
Eliminations of intersegment net revenue and other	(357)	(274)	(950)	(809)
Total HP consolidated	\$ 25,377	\$ 21,890	\$ 75,993	\$ 67,103

- (1) Certain fiscal 2007 organizational realignments have been reflected retroactively to provide improved visibility and comparability. For fiscal year 2006, the realignments primarily resulted in revenue movement within business units within the ESS and HPS segments. There was no impact to total segment revenue.
- (2) Reflects the name change from Managed Services to Outsourcing Services effective in fiscal 2007.
- (3) Includes the operations of Mercury from November 2006.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

**Management's Discussion and Analysis of
Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements and the related notes that appear elsewhere in this document.

OVERVIEW

We are a leading global provider of products, technologies, software, solutions and services to individual consumers, small and medium sized businesses ("SMBs"), and large enterprises, including the public and education sectors. Our offerings span:

personal computing and other access devices;

imaging and printing-related products and services;

enterprise information technology infrastructure, including enterprise storage and server technology, and software that optimizes business technology investments; and

multi-vendor customer services, including technology support and maintenance, consulting and integration and outsourcing services.

We have seven business segments: Enterprise Storage and Servers ("ESS"), HP Services ("HPS"), HP Software, the Personal Systems Group ("PSG"), the Imaging and Printing Group ("IPG"), HP Financial Services ("HPFS"), and Corporate Investments. ESS, HPS and HP Software are structured beneath a broader Technology Solutions Group ("TSG"). While TSG is not an operating segment, we sometimes provide financial data aggregating the segments within TSG in order to provide a supplementary view of our business.

The operating framework in which we manage our businesses and guide our strategies is based on the disciplined management of three business levers: targeted growth, operational efficiency and capital strategy. Although we have made progress towards our goals in recent periods, there are still many areas in which we believe that we can improve. To implement this operating framework, we are focused on the following initiatives:

We are engaged in a process of examining every function and every business in the company in order to optimize efficiency and reduce cost;

We are in the process of consolidating 85 data centers worldwide into six state-of-the-art centers in three U.S. cities and consolidating several hundred real estate locations worldwide to fewer core sites in order to reduce our IT spending and real estate costs;

We are reinvesting the cost savings from these initiatives by expanding our sales force and aligning our resources in order to build our market share in emerging markets while expanding our coverage to drive growth in mature markets;

We are developing training programs for our sales forces designed to enhance our ability to provide solutions to our customers and build customer loyalty;

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

We are building and expanding our services organization to support our technology businesses and provide comprehensive solutions to our customers;

We are developing a global delivery structure to take advantage of regions where advanced technical expertise is available at lower costs;

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

We are expanding our ethics and compliance programs and enhancing our corporate governance to ensure that all of our actions are consistent with HP's values; and

We are repurchasing shares of our common stock under an ongoing program to manage the dilution created by shares issued under employee benefit plans as well as to repurchase shares opportunistically.

We continue to grow our business organically and through strategic acquisitions. During the first nine months of fiscal 2007, we acquired seven companies, the largest of which was Mercury Interactive Corporation ("Mercury"), and we expect to continue to make strategic acquisitions from time to time in the future. We announced our acquisitions of Opsware Inc., Neoware, Inc. and SPI Dynamics, Inc. during the third quarter of fiscal 2007 and completed the SPI Dynamics acquisition on August 1, 2007.

In February 2007, we announced our decision to modify our U.S. defined benefit pension plan for the remaining number of U.S. employees still accruing benefits under the program. Effective January 1, 2008, these employees will cease accruing pension benefits and will, instead, receive an increased 401(k) match to 6 percent from 4 percent of eligible earnings. The final pension benefit amount will be calculated based on pay and service through December 31, 2007. In addition, future eligibility for the Pre-2003 HP Retiree Medical Program will be limited to those employees who are within five years of satisfying the program's eligibility criteria on June 30, 2007. These actions reduced our U.S. defined benefit and post-retirement plan obligations, and, as a result, we recorded a one-time curtailment gain of \$542 million in the second quarter of fiscal 2007. In conjunction with this announcement, we provided eligible affected employees with the opportunity to participate in a 2007 U.S. Enhanced Early Retirement program (the "2007 EER") and recorded a restructuring charge of \$379 million in the first nine months of fiscal 2007. A total of 3,080 employees participated in the 2007 EER, including 595 persons who had been included in previous restructuring programs or who voluntarily left the company since November 30, 2006. All employees who participated in the 2007 EER left the company by May 31, 2007. For more information, see Notes 7 and 13 to the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements in Item 1, which are incorporated herein by reference.

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

The following provides an overview of key third quarter and year-to-date fiscal 2007 financial metrics:

TSG

HP Consolidated	TSG				PSG	IPG	HPFS
	ESS	HPS	Software	Total			

In millions, except per share amounts

Three Months Ended July 31

Net revenue	\$	25,377	\$	4,547	\$	4,186	\$	554	\$	9,287	\$	8,894	\$	6,751	\$	582
Year-over-year net revenue % increase		15.9%		10.0%		7.7%		74.2%		11.4%		28.6%		8.3%		12.1%
Earnings from operations	\$	2,116	\$	464	\$	430	\$	81	\$	975	\$	519	\$	981	\$	39
Earnings from operations as a % of net revenue		8.3%		10.2%		10.3%		14.6%		10.5%		5.8%		14.5%		6.7%
Net earnings	\$	1,778														
Net earnings per share																
Basic	\$	0.68														
Diluted	\$	0.66														

Nine Months Ended July 31

Net revenue	\$	75,993	\$	13,619	\$	12,279	\$	1,627	\$	27,525	\$	26,276	\$	20,911	\$	1,679
Year-over-year net revenue % increase		13.2%		7.8%		6.4%		70.9%		9.5%		23.1%		7.2%		9.5%
Earnings from operations		6,087	\$	1,287	\$	1,303	\$	170	\$	2,760	\$	1,350	\$	3,221	\$	107
Earnings from operations as a % of net revenue		8.0%		9.5%		10.6%		10.4%		10.0%		5.1%		15.4%		6.4%
Net earnings	\$	5,100														
Net earnings per share																
Basic	\$	1.93														
Diluted	\$	1.87														

Cash and cash equivalents at July 31, 2007 totaled \$12.5 billion, a decrease of approximately \$4.0 billion from the October 31, 2006 balance of \$16.4 billion. The decrease for the first nine months of fiscal 2007 was due primarily to the \$8.8 billion paid to repurchase our common stock, the \$4.9 billion of net cash paid for business acquisitions and a \$1.7 billion net investment in property, plant and equipment, all of which were partially offset by \$6.0 billion in cash provided from operations, a \$3.0 billion net increase in debt and commercial paper and \$2.4 billion in proceeds from issuance of our common stock under employee stock plans.

We intend the discussion of our financial condition and results of operations that follows to provide information that will assist in understanding our Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements, the changes in certain key items in those financial statements from year to year, and the primary factors that accounted for those changes, as well as how certain accounting principles, policies and estimates affect our Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements.

The discussion of results of operations at the consolidated level is followed by a more detailed discussion of results of operations by segment.

For a further discussion of factors that could impact operating results, see the section entitled "Factors That Could Affect Future Results" below.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations is based upon our Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements, which we have prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. The preparation of these financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. Management bases its estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that it believes to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Senior management has discussed the development, selection and disclosure of significant estimates with the Audit Committee

of our Board of Directors. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

An accounting policy is deemed to be critical if it requires an accounting estimate to be made based on assumptions about matters that are highly uncertain at the time the estimate is made, if different estimates reasonably could have been used, or if changes in the estimate that are reasonably likely to occur could materially impact the financial statements. Management believes that there have been no significant changes during the nine months ended July 31, 2007 to the items that we disclosed as our critical accounting policies and estimates in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2006.

RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

Updates to recent accounting standards as disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2006 are as follows:

In July 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued FASB Interpretation No. 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109" ("FIN 48"). FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes by prescribing the recognition threshold a tax position is required to meet before being recognized in the financial statements. It also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition. FIN 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006 and is required to be adopted by HP in the first quarter of fiscal 2008. The cumulative effects, if any, of applying FIN 48 will be recorded as an adjustment to retained earnings as of the beginning of the period of adoption. Additionally, in May 2007, the FASB published FASB Staff Position No. FIN 48-1, "Definition of Settlement in FASB Interpretation No. 48" ("FSP FIN 48-1"). FSP FIN 48-1 is an amendment to FIN 48. It clarifies how an enterprise should determine whether a tax position is effectively settled for the purpose of recognizing previously unrecognized tax benefits. FSP FIN 48-1 is effective upon the initial adoption of FIN 48, and therefore will be adopted by us in the first quarter of fiscal 2008. The actual impact of the adoption of FIN 48 and FSP FIN 48-1 on our consolidated results of operations and financial condition will depend on facts and circumstances that exist on the date of adoption. We are currently evaluating the impact of the adoption of FIN 48 and FSP FIN 48-1.

In September 2006, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 157, "Fair Value Measurements" ("SFAS 157"). SFAS 157 provides guidance for using fair value to measure assets and liabilities. It also responds to investors' requests for expanded information about the extent to which companies measure assets and liabilities at fair value, the information used to measure fair value, and the effect of fair value measurements on earnings. SFAS 157 applies whenever other standards require (or permit) assets or liabilities to be measured at fair value, and does not expand the use of fair value in any new circumstances. SFAS 157 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007 and is required to be adopted by us in the first quarter of fiscal 2009. We are currently evaluating the effect that the adoption of SFAS 157 will have on our consolidated results of operations and financial condition and are not yet in a position to determine such effects.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 158, "Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans - An Amendment of FASB No. 87, 88, 106 and 132(R)" ("SFAS 158"). SFAS 158 requires that the funded status of defined benefit postretirement plans be recognized on the company's balance sheet and changes in the funded status be reflected in comprehensive income, effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2006, which we expect to adopt effective October 31, 2007. SFAS 158 also requires companies to measure the funded status of

the plan as of the date of their fiscal year end, effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2008. We expect to adopt the measurement provisions of SFAS 158 effective October 31, 2009. Based upon the most recent actuarial measurement reflecting the modifications to our U.S. defined benefit pension plan announced in the second quarter of fiscal 2007, the adoption of SFAS 158 is expected to result in a decrease in assets of \$733 million, a decrease in liabilities of \$141 million and a pretax increase in the accumulated other comprehensive loss of \$592 million. The actual impact of the adoption of SFAS 158 may differ from these estimates due to changes to actual plan assets and liabilities in fiscal 2007.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, "The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities Including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115" ("SFAS 159"). SFAS 159 expands the use of fair value accounting but does not affect existing standards which require assets or liabilities to be carried at fair value. Under SFAS 159, a company may elect to use fair value to measure accounts and loans receivable, available-for-sale and held-to-maturity securities, equity method investments, accounts payable, guarantees and issued debt. Other eligible items include firm commitments for financial instruments that otherwise would not be recognized at inception and non-cash warranty obligations where a warrantor is permitted to pay a third party to provide the warranty goods or services. If the use of fair value is elected, any upfront costs and fees related to the item must be recognized in earnings and cannot be deferred, e.g., debt issue costs. The fair value election is irrevocable and generally made on an instrument-by-instrument basis, even if a company has similar instruments that it elects not to measure based on fair value. At the adoption date, unrealized gains and losses on existing items for which fair value has been elected are reported as a cumulative adjustment to beginning retained earnings. Subsequent to the adoption of SFAS 159, changes in fair value are recognized in earnings. SFAS 159 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007 and is required to be adopted by us in the first quarter of fiscal 2009. We currently are determining whether fair value accounting is appropriate for any of our eligible items and cannot estimate the impact, if any, that SFAS 159 will have on our consolidated results of operations and financial condition.

In June 2007, the FASB ratified Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") 06-11, "Accounting for Income Tax Benefits of Dividends on Share-Based Payment Awards" ("EITF 06-11"). EITF 06-11 requires that the tax benefits of dividends on unvested share-based payments be recognized in equity and be reclassified from additional paid-in capital to the income statement when the related award is forfeited or no longer expected to vest. EITF 06-11 is effective, on a prospective basis, for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2007 and will be adopted by us in the first quarter of fiscal 2009. We are currently evaluating the impact of EITF 06-11.

In June 2007, the FASB also ratified EITF 07-3, "Accounting for NonRefundable Advance Payments for Goods or Services Received for Use in Future Research and Development Activities" ("EITF 07-3"). EITF 07-3 requires that nonrefundable advance payments for goods or services that will be used or rendered for future research and development activities be deferred and capitalized and recognized as an expense as the goods are delivered or the related services are performed. EITF 07-3 is effective, on a prospective basis, for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2007 and will be adopted by us in the first quarter of fiscal 2009. We are currently evaluating the effect that the adoption of EITF 07-3 will have on our consolidated results of operations and financial condition and are not yet in a position to determine such effects.

During the first nine months of fiscal 2007, we adopted the following accounting standards, none of which had a material effect on our consolidated results of operations during such period or financial condition at the end of such period:

SFAS No. 154, "Accounting for Changes and Error Corrections";

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 108, "Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements";

EITF 05-5, "Accounting for Early Retirement or Postemployment Programs with Specific Features (Such as Terms Specified in Altersteilzeit Early Retirement Arrangements)"; and

EITF 06-9, "Reporting a Change in (or the Elimination of) a Previously Existing Difference between the Fiscal Year End of a Parent Company and That of a Consolidated Entity or between the Reporting Period of an Investor and That of an Equity Method Investee."

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Results of operations in dollars and as a percentage of net revenue were as follows:

	Three months ended July 31				Nine months ended July 31			
	2007		2006		2007		2006	
	Dollars	% of Revenue	Dollars	% of Revenue	Dollars	% of Revenue	Dollars	% of Revenue
In millions								
Net revenue	\$ 25,377	100.0%	\$ 21,890	100.0%	\$ 75,993	100.0%	\$ 67,103	100.0%
Cost of sales ⁽¹⁾	19,164	75.5%	16,472	75.2%	57,583	75.8%	50,834	75.8%
Gross margin	6,213	24.5%	5,418	24.8%	18,410	24.2%	16,269	24.2%
Research and development	917	3.6%	920	4.2%	2,697	3.5%	2,721	4.1%
Selling, general and administrative	3,002	11.8%	2,830	13.0%	8,954	11.8%	8,380	12.4%
Amortization of purchased intangible assets	183	0.8%	153	0.7%	596	0.9%	451	0.7%
In-process research and development charges					186	0.2%	52	0.1%
Restructuring	(5)		5		407	0.5%	6	
Pension curtailment and pension settlements, net					(517)	(0.7)%		
Earnings from operations	2,116	8.3%	1,510	6.9%	6,087	8.0%	4,659	6.9%
Interest and other, net	165	0.7%	221	1.0%	363	0.5%	416	0.6%
Gains on investments	5		7		28		11	0.1%
Earnings before taxes	2,286	9.0%	1,738	7.9%	6,478	8.5%	5,086	7.6%
Provision for taxes	508	2.0%	363	1.6%	1,378	1.8%	585	0.9%
Net earnings	\$ 1,778	7.0%	\$ 1,375	6.3%	\$ 5,100	6.7%	\$ 4,501	6.7%

(1) Cost of products, cost of services and financing interest.

Net Revenue

The components of weighted-average net revenue growth as compared to prior-year periods were as follows:

Three months ended July 31, 2007	Nine months ended July 31, 2007
-------------------------------------	------------------------------------

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

	Three months ended July 31, 2007	Nine months ended July 31, 2007
	Percentage Points	
Personal Systems Group	9.0	7.4
Imaging and Printing Group	2.4	2.1
Enterprise Storage and Servers	1.9	1.5
HP Services	1.4	1.1
HP Software	1.1	1.0
HP Financial Services	0.2	0.1
Corporate Investments/Other	(0.1)	
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Total HP	15.9	13.2
	<hr/>	<hr/>

For the three and nine months ended July 31, 2007, net revenue increased 16% and 13%, respectively, from the prior-year comparable periods and increased 12% and 10%, respectively, on a

constant currency basis. The favorable currency impact was due primarily to the movement of the dollar against the euro. U.S. net revenue increased 12% to \$8.8 billion for the third quarter of fiscal 2007, while international net revenue increased 18% to \$16.6 billion. U.S. net revenue increased 8% to \$25.5 billion for the first nine months of fiscal 2007, while international net revenue increased 16% to \$50.5 billion.

For the three and nine months ended July 31, 2007, PSG had double digit net revenue growth across all regions as a result of unit volume increases of 33% and 27%, respectively. The unit volume increases resulted from strong growth in notebooks with significant improvements in emerging markets. The increases were partially offset by declines in average selling prices ("ASPs") in consumer and commercial clients of 0.4% and 6%, respectively, in the third quarter of fiscal 2007 and by 2% and 5%, respectively, in the first nine months of fiscal 2007 as compared to the prior-year periods.

IPG net revenue growth in the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal 2007 was due mainly to increased unit volumes of printer supplies resulting from the continued expansion of printer hardware placements and the strong performance of supplies for color-related products.

ESS net revenue growth in the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal 2007 was the result primarily of strong blade revenue and unit growth in our industry standard servers business, increased option attach rates in our ProLiant server line, continued strong performance in mid-range EVA products, growth in commercial storage area networks and revenue increases from our Integrity servers. The ESS growth was partially moderated by the revenue declines in our tape business and in our PA-RISC and Alpha server product lines in both periods and a decline in high-end arrays in the first nine months of fiscal 2007.

HPS net revenue in the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal 2007 increased due primarily to favorable currency impacts, revenue increases in outsourcing services driven by existing accounts growth and new business and revenue increases in consulting and integration associated with acquisitions made since the second quarter of fiscal year 2006.

The net revenue growth in HP Software for the three and nine months ended July 31, 2007 was due primarily to growth in our OpenView business as a result of the Mercury acquisition and increases in revenue from support contracts.

The HPFS net revenue increase for the three and nine months ended July 31, 2007 was due primarily to operating lease growth and higher end-of-lease activity. The revenue increase in the three months ended July 31, 2007 also resulted from higher used equipment sales.

Stock-Based Compensation Expense

See Note 2 to the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements in Item 1, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Gross Margin

The weighted-average components of the change in gross margin as a percentage of net revenue as compared to prior-year periods were as follows:

	Three months ended July 31, 2007	Nine months ended July 31, 2007
	Percentage Points	
HP Software	0.5	0.5
HP Services		0.2
Imaging and Printing Group	(0.6)	(0.2)
HP Financial Services		(0.1)
Personal Systems Group	(0.2)	(0.3)
Enterprise Storage and Servers		(0.2)
Corporate Investments/Other		0.1
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Total HP	(0.3)	
	<hr/>	<hr/>

The improvement in HP Software gross margin in the three and nine months ended July 31, 2007 was due primarily to a favorable change in revenue mix driven by Mercury licenses and support, which typically have a higher gross margin than the other products within the segment.

HPS gross margin increased for the first nine months of fiscal 2007 over the prior-year period due primarily to continued focus on cost structure improvements from delivery efficiencies and cost controls. This gross margin increase was partially offset by the continued competitive pricing environment and the ongoing portfolio mix shift from higher-margin proprietary support to lower-margin areas such as IT solution services.

For the three and nine months ended July 31, 2007, IPG gross margin decreased due primarily to unfavorable hardware margins, increased costs associated with new product introductions and a change in revenue mix within supplies.

HPFS gross margin decline for the nine months ended July 31, 2007 was caused primarily by increased current-year bad debt expenses as a result of a reserve reduction in the corresponding prior-year period, decreased current-year bad debt recoveries and lower margins on used equipment sales.

For the three and nine months ended July 31, 2007, PSG contributed unfavorably to our total company's weighted-average change in gross margin as a result of rapid growth in the segment. However, PSG gross margin increased for both periods primarily as a result of component cost declines and improvements in supply chain costs per unit, which were partially offset by ASP declines.

In the third quarter of fiscal 2007, ESS made little contribution to our total company's weighted-average change in gross margin. ESS gross margin increased for the third quarter of fiscal 2007 due primarily to the favorable impact of unsustainable component prices and warranty improvements, which was partially offset by price reductions, an ongoing mix shift to lower-margin Integrity products within business critical systems and a continued mix shift towards industry standard servers. ESS gross margin decreased for the first nine months of fiscal 2007 due primarily to the same ongoing mix shifts to lower-margin Integrity products and to industry standard servers.

Operating Expenses

Research and Development

Total research and development ("R&D") expense decreased in the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal 2007 due primarily to effective cost controls. The favorable impact of the cost controls was offset in part by additional R&D expense as a result of the Mercury acquisition in the first quarter of fiscal 2007. As a percentage of net revenue, each of our major segments experienced a year-over-year decrease in R&D expense for the three and nine months ended July 31, 2007.

Selling, General and Administrative

Total SG&A expense increased in the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal 2007 due primarily to additional expense as a result of the acquisition of Mercury in the first quarter of fiscal 2007 and unfavorable currency impacts related to the movement of the dollar against the euro. As a percentage of net revenue, the ESS, HPS, PSG and IPG segments experienced a year-over-year decrease in SG&A expense for the three and nine months ended July 31, 2007, while HP Software experienced a year-over-year increase in SG&A expense.

Amortization of Purchased Intangible Assets

The increase in amortization expense for the three and nine months ended July 31, 2007 as compared to the same periods in the prior year was due primarily to amortization expense related to the acquisition of Mercury in the first quarter of fiscal 2007. This increase was partially offset by a decrease in amortization expense related to certain intangible assets associated with prior acquisitions, including the Compaq Computer Corporation ("Compaq") acquisition, that had reached the end of their amortization period.

For more information on our amortization of purchased intangibles assets, see Note 6 to the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements in Item 1, which is incorporated herein by reference.

In-Process Research and Development Charges

For the nine months ended July 31, 2007, we recorded \$186 million of in-process research and development charges ("IPR&D") as compared to \$52 million for the nine months ended July 31, 2006. IPR&D charges are incurred in connection with our acquisitions. The increase in IPR&D during the first nine months of fiscal 2007 was due primarily to our acquisition of Mercury in the first quarter of fiscal 2007.

Restructuring

Restructuring charges for the three months ended July 31, 2007 resulted in a credit of \$5 million. This included a curtailment gain of \$16 million related to a reduction in the eligible plan population under our U.S. post-retirement benefit plans stemming from the 2007 EER. Such gain was partially offset by a net charge of \$11 million related to our 2005, 2003, 2002 and 2001 restructuring programs. Restructuring charges for the nine months ended July 31, 2007 were \$407 million. These charges include \$379 million of expenses related to severance and other benefit costs associated with those employees who elected to participate in the 2007 EER and a net charge of \$28 million relating to adjustments to our fiscal 2005, 2003, 2002 and 2001 restructuring programs.

Restructuring charges for the three months ended July 31, 2006 were \$5 million. This amount included a net charge of \$14 million for adjustments to severance and other related restructuring charges for all plans, which were partially offset by a \$2 million curtailment gain from the U.S. retiree medical program and a \$7 million settlement gain from the U.S. pension plans, both related to the fiscal 2005 restructuring plan. The restructuring charges for the nine months ended July 31, 2006 were

\$6 million. This amount included \$69 million in adjustments to severance and other related restructuring charges, which were partially offset by a \$19 million curtailment gain and a \$44 million settlement gain from our U.S. retiree medical program and U.S. pension plans.

For more information, see Note 7 to the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements in Item 1, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Workforce Rebalancing

As part of our ongoing business operations, we incurred workforce rebalancing charges for severance and related costs within certain business segments during the first nine months of fiscal 2007. Workforce rebalancing activities are considered part of normal operations as we continue to optimize our cost structure. Workforce rebalancing costs are included in our business segment results, and we expect to incur additional workforce rebalancing costs through the remainder of fiscal 2007.

Pension Curtailments and Pension Settlements, Net

In the first nine months of fiscal 2007, we recognized a net gain on pension curtailments and settlements of \$517 million, relating primarily to a \$542 million curtailment gain associated with a modification to our U.S. defined benefit pension plan. This curtailment gain was offset partially by settlement losses related to our other pension plan design changes. For more information, see Note 13 to the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements in Item 1, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Interest and Other, Net

For the three and nine months ended July 31, 2007, interest and other, net decreased by \$56 million and \$53 million, respectively, compared to the same periods in fiscal 2006. The decrease for both periods was due primarily to higher interest expense resulting from higher average debt balances, which was partially offset by a more favorable foreign currency impact on our various balance sheet items.

Gains on Investments

Net gains on investment for the three and nine months ended July 31, 2007 and July 31, 2006 resulted primarily from gains on the sale of equity investments, which were offset in part by impairment charges on our investment portfolio.

Provision for Taxes

Our effective tax rate was 22.2% and 20.9% for the three months ended July 31, 2007 and July 31, 2006, respectively, and 21.3% and 11.5% for the nine months ended July 31, 2007 and July 31, 2006, respectively. Generally our effective tax rate differs from the U.S. federal statutory rate of 35% due to the tax rate benefits of certain earnings from our operations in lower-tax jurisdictions throughout the world for which we have not provided U.S. taxes because we plan to reinvest such earnings indefinitely outside the United States.

In the three months ended July 31, 2007, we recorded other income tax adjustments of \$64 million. This amount included a tax charge of \$33 million for the adjustment to estimated fiscal 2006 tax accruals upon filing the 2006 U.S. federal income tax returns and a net increase to various tax reserves of \$31 million. In the nine months ended July 31, 2007, we recorded other income tax adjustments of \$54 million. This amount included a tax charge of \$33 million as discussed above, a net increase to various tax reserves of \$17 million and other items, resulting in a net charge of \$4 million.

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

In the three months ended July 31, 2006, we recorded other income tax adjustments of \$55 million. This amount included a tax charge of \$34 million for the adjustment to estimated fiscal 2005 tax accruals upon filing the 2005 U.S. federal income tax return and a net increase to various tax reserves of \$21 million.

In the nine months ended July 31, 2006, other income tax adjustments of \$408 million further decreased the effective tax rate. This amount included net favorable tax adjustments of \$49 million and \$443 million to income tax accruals as a result of the final settlement of the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") examinations of our U.S. income tax returns for fiscal years 1993 to 1995 and 1996 to 1998, respectively. The reductions to the net income tax accruals for fiscal years 1996 to 1998 related primarily to the resolution of issues with respect to Puerto Rico manufacturing tax incentives and export tax incentives, other issues involving our non-U.S. operations, and interest accruals. These favorable income tax adjustments were offset in part by adjustments to estimated tax accruals, related primarily to the filing of the 2005 tax return as noted earlier, and increases to other tax reserves related to various jurisdictions.

On June 28, 2007 we received a Notice of Deficiency from the IRS for our fiscal 1999 and 2000 tax years. The Notice of Deficiency asserted that we owe additional tax of \$13 million for these two years. At the same time, we received a Revenue Agent's Report ("RAR") from IRS for our fiscal 2001 tax year that proposed no change in our tax liability for that year. In addition to the proposed deficiencies for fiscal 1999 and 2000, the IRS's adjustments, if sustained, would reduce tax refund claims we have filed for foreign tax credit and net operating loss carrybacks to earlier fiscal years and reduce the tax benefits of carryforwards to subsequent years, by approximately \$361 million. We plan to contest certain of the adjustments proposed in the Notice of Deficiency and the RAR. We believe that we have provided adequate reserves for any tax deficiencies or reductions in refund claims that could result from the IRS actions.

Segment Information

A description of the products and services for each segment can be found in Note 15 to the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements. We have presented the business segments in this Form 10-Q based on our management organizational structure as of July 31, 2007 and the distinct nature of various businesses. Future changes to this organizational structure may result in changes to the business segments disclosed.

Technology Solutions Group

ESS, HPS and HP Software are structured beneath TSG. The results of the business segments of TSG are described in more detail below.

Enterprise Storage and Servers

	Three months ended July 31		
	2007	2006	% Increase
	In millions		
Net revenue	\$ 4,547	\$ 4,133	10.0%
Earnings from operations	\$ 464	\$ 296	56.8%
Earnings from operations as a % of net revenue	10.2%	7.2%	
	Nine months ended July 31		
	2007	2006	% Increase
	In millions		
Net revenue	\$ 13,619	\$ 12,638	7.8%
Earnings from operations	\$ 1,287	\$ 944	36.3%
Earnings from operations as a % of net revenue	9.5%	7.5%	

The components of weighted-average net revenue growth as compared to prior-year periods by business unit were as follows:

	Three months ended July 31, 2007	Nine months ended July 31, 2007
	Percentage Points	
Industry standard servers	9.3	8.2
Storage	1.2	0.7
Business critical systems	(0.5)	(1.1)
Total ESS	10.0	7.8

On a constant currency basis, ESS net revenue increased 7% and 4% for the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal 2007, respectively, compared to the same periods in fiscal 2006. The favorable currency impact for both periods was due primarily to the movement of the dollar against the euro. Industry standard servers revenue grew 16% and 14% for the third quarter and first nine months, respectively, of fiscal 2007 as a result of strong growth in blade revenue and units, as well as increased option attach rates in the ProLiant server line. Storage net revenue increased 6% and 3% for the third quarter and first nine months, respectively, of fiscal 2007 compared to the prior-year periods, with continued strong performance in mid-range EVA products and improved revenue in commercial products within the storage area networks offerings. This increase was partially moderated by the revenue declines in our tape business in both periods and by high-end array decline in the first nine months of fiscal 2007. Business critical systems net revenue decreased 3% and 5% for the third quarter and first nine months, respectively, of fiscal 2007 as compared to the same periods in the prior year. The decrease for both periods was due primarily to revenue declines in the PA-RISC product line and to the planned phase out of our Alpha server product line. The declines were partially offset by strong net revenue growth in our Integrity servers, which represented 67% of the business critical systems revenue mix in the third quarter of fiscal 2007 and 61% in the first nine months of fiscal 2007, up from 38% and 34%, respectively, in the same periods in the prior year. We expect revenue mix from Integrity servers to continue to grow as customers migrate from PA-RISC and Alpha products. Integrity server revenue in the first nine months of fiscal 2007 also included revenue from Montecito-based Integrity servers, which first shipped in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2006. NonStop server net revenue

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

declined 6% for the third quarter and 4% for the first nine months of fiscal 2007 compared to the same periods in the prior year due primarily to discontinued products.

ESS earnings from operations as a percentage of net revenue for the third quarter of fiscal 2007 increased by 3.0 percentage points due to a decrease in operating expenses as a percentage of net revenue and an increase in gross margin. ESS earnings from operations as a percentage of net revenue for the first nine months of fiscal 2007 increased by 2.0 percentage points due primarily to a decrease in operating expenses as a percentage of net revenue, which was partially offset by a decline in gross margin. Gross margin increased for the third quarter of fiscal 2007 due primarily to the favorable impact of unsustainable component prices and warranty improvements, which was partially offset by price reductions, an ongoing mix shift to lower-margin Integrity products within business critical systems and a continued mix shift towards industry standard servers. Gross margin decreased for the first nine months of fiscal 2007 due primarily to the same ongoing mix shifts to lower-margin Integrity products and to industry standard servers. The decrease in operating expense as a percentage of net revenue for both periods was due primarily to efficient expense management.

HP Services

	Three months ended July 31		
	2007	2006	% Increase
	In millions		
Net revenue	\$ 4,186	\$ 3,888	7.7%
Earnings from operations	\$ 430	\$ 364	18.1%
Earnings from operations as a % of net revenue	10.3%	9.4%	

	Nine months ended July 31		
	2007	2006	% Increase
	In millions		
Net revenue	\$ 12,279	\$ 11,537	6.4%
Earnings from operations	\$ 1,303	\$ 1,002	30.0%
Earnings from operations as a % of net revenue	10.6%	8.7%	

The components of weighted-average net revenue growth as compared to prior-year periods by business unit were as follows:

	Three months ended July 31, 2007	Nine months ended July 31, 2007
	Percentage Points	
Technology services	2.6	1.6
Outsourcing services ⁽¹⁾	3.0	3.1
Consulting and integration	2.1	1.7
Total HPS	7.7	6.4

(1) Reflects the name change from Managed Services to Outsourcing Services effective in fiscal 2007.

On a constant currency basis, HPS net revenue increased 4% and 3% for the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal 2007, respectively, as compared to the same periods in fiscal 2006. The favorable currency impact for both periods was due primarily to the movement of the dollar against the euro. Net revenue in technology services increased 5% and 3% for the third quarter and first nine months, respectively, of fiscal 2007 due primarily to favorable currency impacts and changes in the mix of platforms being serviced, which were partially offset by competitive

pricing pressures. During the

three and nine months ended July 31, 2007, outsourcing services net revenue grew by 11% from the same periods in fiscal 2006, driven mainly by existing account growth, new business and favorable currency impacts. Net revenue in consulting and integration increased 11% and 10% for the third quarter and first nine months, respectively, of fiscal 2007 compared to the same periods in fiscal 2006, due mainly to acquisitions made since the second quarter of fiscal 2006, favorable currency impacts and positive impacts from one-time customer contract items.

HPS earnings from operations as a percentage of net revenue for the three months ended July 31, 2007 increased by 0.9 percentage points. The operating margin increase was the result primarily of a decrease in operating expenses as a percentage of net revenue. For the first nine months of fiscal 2007, HPS earnings from operations as a percentage of net revenue increased by 1.9 percentage points. The operating margin increase was the result of a combination of an increase in gross margin and a decrease in operating expenses as a percentage of net revenue. The gross margin increase for the first nine months of fiscal 2007 was due primarily to a continued focus on cost structure improvements from delivery efficiencies and cost controls, which were partially offset by the continued competitive pricing environment and the ongoing portfolio mix shift from higher-margin proprietary support to lower-margin areas such as IT solution services. For the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal 2007, continued efficiency improvements in our operating expense structure contributed to the decline in operating expenses as a percentage of net revenue compared to the prior-year periods. Technology services operating margin for both periods continued to benefit from improved delivery efficiencies and cost controls, which were offset in part by the impact of the ongoing portfolio mix shift from higher margin proprietary support to lower margin areas such as IT solution services. Outsourcing services operating margin increased for both the three and nine months ended July 31, 2007 as compared to the same periods in fiscal 2006, due primarily to improved delivery efficiencies, cost controls and reduced operating expenses, all of which were partially offset by contractual pricing pressure. Consulting and integration operating margin increased slightly for the three and nine months ended July 31, 2007 as compared with the same periods in fiscal 2006 due mainly to more efficient utilization of our consultants and operating expense improvement, which were partially offset by costs related to a recent acquisition.

HP Software

	Three months ended July 31		
	2007	2006	% Increase
	In millions		
Net revenue	\$ 554	\$ 318	74.2%
Earnings from operations	\$ 81	\$ 13	523.1%
Earnings from operations as a % of net revenue	14.6%	4.1%	

	Nine months ended July 31		
	2007	2006	% Increase
	In millions		
Net revenue	\$ 1,627	\$ 952	70.9%
Earnings from operations	\$ 170	\$ 25	580.0%
Earnings from operations as a % of net revenue	10.4%	2.6%	

On a constant currency basis, HP Software revenue increased 70% and 66% for the three and nine months, respectively, ended July 31, 2007, as compared to the same periods in fiscal 2006. The favorable currency impact was due primarily to the movement of the dollar against the euro. Excluding the results of Mercury, HP Software's revenue was flat during the third quarter of fiscal 2007 and grew 2% during the first nine months of fiscal 2007. Net revenue associated with the Mercury acquisition was included in the net revenue of OpenView, our management solutions software product line, which

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

increased 124% and 112% for the third quarter and first nine months, respectively, of fiscal 2007, and 14% and 11% in the same respective periods without Mercury. OpenView net revenue growth in both periods was the result of the Mercury acquisition and increases in revenue from support contracts. The growth in revenue from OpenView license contracts also contributed to the net revenue growth for the three months ended July 31, 2007. Net revenue for OpenCall, our telecommunications solutions product line, decreased 29% and 16% for the three and nine months, respectively, ended July 31, 2007. The decrease in OpenCall net revenue for both periods was due primarily to the decline in hardware revenue as a result of a platform shift that resulted in a transfer of the higher growth hardware revenue to ESS. Additionally, OpenCall license revenue decreased in the third quarter of fiscal 2007.

The operating margin improvements of 10.5 and 7.8 percentage points for the three and nine months, respectively, ended July 31, 2007 as compared to the same periods in fiscal 2006 were the result primarily of an increase in gross margin and, to a lesser degree, a decrease in operating expense as a percentage of net revenue. For both the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal 2007, the improvement in gross margin was a result of a favorable change in revenue mix driven by Mercury licenses and support, which typically have a higher gross margin than the other products in the segment, and to a lesser degree by more effective management of the support costs for OpenView and OpenCall. Operating expense as a percentage of net revenue for the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal 2007 decreased due primarily to cost controls and synergy savings from the Mercury acquisition.

Personal Systems Group

	Three months ended July 31		
	2007	2006	% Increase
	In millions		
Net revenue	\$ 8,894	\$ 6,917	28.6%
Earnings from operations	\$ 519	\$ 275	88.7%
Earnings from operations as a % of net revenue	5.8%	4.0%	

	Nine months ended July 31		
	2007	2006	% Increase
	In millions		
Net revenue	\$ 26,276	\$ 21,343	23.1%
Earnings from operations	\$ 1,350	\$ 816	65.4%
Earnings from operations as a % of net revenue	5.1%	3.8%	

The components of weighted-average net revenue growth as compared to prior-year periods by business unit were as follows:

	Three months ended July 31, 2007	Nine months ended July 31, 2007
	Percentage Points	
Notebook PCs	21.4	18.5
Workstations	1.5	1.1
Desktop PCs	5.9	3.3
Handhelds	(0.4)	(0.4)
Other	0.2	0.6
Total PSG	28.6	23.1

On a constant currency basis, PSG's net revenue increased 24% and 19% for the third quarter and first nine months, respectively, of fiscal 2007, as compared to the same periods in fiscal 2006. The

favorable currency impact for both periods was due primarily to the movement of the dollar against the euro. Unit volumes increased by 33% and 27%, respectively, for the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal 2007, as compared to the same periods in fiscal 2006, driving double-digit net revenue growth across all regions. The unit volume increases were the result of strong growth in notebooks, with significant improvements in emerging markets. For the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal 2007, net revenue for notebook PCs increased 54% and 46%, respectively, while net revenue for desktop PCs increased 12% and 6%, respectively, from the prior-year periods. For the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal 2007, net revenue for consumer clients increased 46% and 38%, respectively, while net revenue for commercial clients increased 19% and 13%, respectively, from the prior-year periods. The net revenue increases in Other PSG for the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal 2007 compared to the same periods in the prior year were related primarily to improvements in extended warranty sales. The revenue increases were partially offset by decreases in handhelds revenue for both periods due to declines in the personal digital assistant product market, which were partially offset by our new converged device and travel companion products. The positive revenue impact from the PSG unit volume increase in the third quarter was moderated by ASP declines of 0.4% in consumer client and 6% in commercial client as compared to the prior year. For the first nine months of fiscal 2007, the positive revenue impact from the PSG unit volume increase compared to the first nine months of fiscal 2006 was also moderated by a 2% decline in consumer client ASPs and a 5% decline in commercial client ASPs. ASPs declined in both periods from the prior year as a result of price erosion related to component cost reductions, which were partially offset by increased notebook mix and monitor attach rates.

PSG earnings from operations as a percentage of net revenue increased by 1.8 percentage points for the third quarter of fiscal 2007 and 1.3 percentage points for the first nine months of fiscal 2007 from the same periods in fiscal 2006 as a result of decreases in operating expenses as a percentage of net revenue coupled with an increase in gross margin for both periods. The increased gross margin for both periods was primarily a result of component cost declines and improvements in supply chain costs per unit, which were partially offset by ASP declines. The operating expense decline as a percentage of net revenue for the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal 2007 was the result primarily of the increased net revenue and continued efforts to improve our cost structure through efficiency measures.

Imaging and Printing Group

	Three months ended July 31		
	2007	2006	% Increase
	In millions		
Net revenue	\$ 6,751	\$ 6,234	8.3%
Earnings from operations	\$ 981	\$ 884	11.0%
Earnings from operations as a % of net revenue	14.5%	14.2%	

	Nine months ended July 31		
	2007	2006	% Increase
	In millions		
Net revenue	\$ 20,911	\$ 19,503	7.2%
Earnings from operations	\$ 3,221	\$ 2,898	11.1%
Earnings from operations as a % of net revenue	15.4%	14.9%	

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

The components of weighted-average net revenue growth as compared to prior-year periods by business unit were as follows:

	Three months ended July 31, 2007	Nine months ended July 31, 2007
	Percentage Points	
Supplies	5.2	5.9
Commercial hardware	1.7	0.9
Consumer hardware	1.4	0.4
	8.3	7.2
Total IPG		

On a constant currency basis, IPG's net revenue increased 5% and 4% for the three and nine months, respectively, ended July 31, 2007 from the prior-year comparable periods. The favorable currency impact was due primarily to the movement of the dollar against the euro. The growth in printer supplies net revenue for the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal 2007, as compared to the same periods in the prior year, reflected higher unit volumes of supplies as a result of the continued expansion of printer hardware placements and the strong performance of supplies for color-related products. The year-over-year growth in commercial hardware net revenue for the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal 2007 was attributable mainly to unit volume growth in multifunction printers and revenue from our large format printing products. The increase in consumer hardware net revenue for the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal 2007 was attributable to increased unit volumes, improved average revenue per unit performance and a mix shift from single function products to All-in-Ones, all of which were partially offset by the continued shift in demand to lower priced products and strategic pricing decisions.

For the three and nine months ended July 31, 2007, IPG earnings from operations as a percentage of net revenue increased by 0.3 and 0.5 percentage points, respectively, as compared to the same periods in fiscal 2006, driven by a decrease in operating expenses as a percentage of net revenue that was partially offset by a decrease in gross margin. Gross margin decreased for both periods due primarily to unfavorable hardware margins, increased costs associated with new product introductions and a change in revenue mix within supplies. Operating expenses as a percentage of net revenue decreased for both periods due primarily to higher prior-year research and development expenses associated with product introduction costs and a seasonal shift in advertising costs, coupled with higher revenue and more effective spending controls.

HP Financial Services

	Three months ended July 31		
	2007	2006	% Increase
	In millions		
Net revenue	\$ 582	\$ 519	12.1%
Earnings from operations	\$ 39	\$ 35	11.4%
Earnings from operations as a % of net revenue	6.7%	6.7%	

	Nine months ended July 31		
	2007	2006	% Increase (decrease)
	In millions		
Net revenue	\$ 1,679	\$ 1,533	9.5%
Earnings from operations	\$ 107	\$ 112	(4.5)%
Earnings from operations as a % of net revenue	6.4%	7.3%	

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

For the three and nine months ended July 31, 2007, HPFS net revenue increased by 12% and 10%, respectively, compared to the prior-year comparable periods. The net revenue increase for both periods in fiscal 2007 was due primarily to operating lease growth and higher end-of-lease activity. The revenue increase in the current quarter also resulted from higher used equipment sales.

Earnings from operations as a percentage of net revenue remained flat for the three months ended July 31, 2007 and decreased 0.9 percentage points for the nine months ended July 31, 2007 as compared to the corresponding prior-year periods. The operating margin decrease for the nine months ended July 31, 2007 resulted primarily from a decrease in gross margin, which was partially offset by a decrease in operating expenses. The gross margin decrease was driven primarily by increased current-year bad debt expenses as a result of a reserve reduction in the corresponding prior-year period, decreased current-year bad debt recoveries and lower margins on used equipment sales. The decrease in operating expenses was due to continued cost controls.

Financing Originations

	Three months ended July 31		Nine months ended July 31	
	2007	2006	2007	2006

In millions

Total financing originations	\$ 1,075	\$ 1,002	\$ 3,058	\$ 2,873
------------------------------	----------	----------	----------	----------

New financing originations, which represent the amounts of financing provided to customers for equipment and related software and services and include intercompany activity, increased 7% and 6% in the third quarter and first nine months, respectively, of fiscal 2007, compared to the same periods in fiscal 2006. The increase was driven by higher financings associated with HP product sales.

Portfolio Assets and Ratios

HPFS maintains a strategy to generate a competitive return on equity by effectively leveraging its portfolio against the risks associated with interest rates and credit. The HPFS business model is asset-intensive and uses certain internal metrics to measure its performance against other financial services companies, including a segment balance sheet that is derived from our internal management reporting system. The accounting policies used to derive these amounts are substantially the same as those used by the consolidated company. However, certain intercompany loans and accounts that are reflected in the segment balances are eliminated in our Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements.

The portfolio assets and ratios derived from the segment balance sheet for HPFS were as follows:

	July 31, 2007	October 31, 2006
	In millions	
Portfolio assets ⁽¹⁾	\$ 7,824	\$ 7,345
Allowance for doubtful accounts	81	80
Operating lease equipment reserve	45	42
Total reserve	126	122
Net portfolio assets	\$ 7,698	\$ 7,223
Reserve coverage	1.6%	1.7%
Debt to equity ratio ⁽²⁾	6.0x	6.0x

(1) Portfolio assets include gross financing receivables of approximately \$5.1 billion at July 31, 2007 and \$4.9 billion at October 31, 2006 and net equipment under operating leases of \$1.6 billion at

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

July 31, 2007 and \$1.5 billion at October 31, 2006, as disclosed in Note 8 to the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements in Item 1, which is incorporated herein by reference. Portfolio assets also include capitalized profit on intercompany equipment transactions of approximately \$500 million at July 31, 2007 and \$400 million at October 31, 2006, and intercompany leases of approximately \$600 million at July 31, 2007 and \$500 million at October 31, 2006, both of which are eliminated in consolidation.

(2)

HPFS debt consists of intercompany equity that is treated as debt for segment reporting purposes, intercompany debt and debt issued directly by HPFS.

Portfolio assets at July 31, 2007 increased 7% from October 31, 2006. The increase resulted from a high level of financing originations and a favorable currency impact in the first nine months of fiscal 2007.

Roll-forward of Reserves:

	October 31, 2006	Additions to allowance	Deductions, net of recoveries	July 31, 2007
In millions				
Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$ 80	\$ 15	\$ (14)	\$ 81
Operating lease equipment reserve	42	8	(5)	45
Total reserve	\$ 122	\$ 23	\$ (19)	\$ 126

Corporate Investments

	Three months ended July 31		
	2007	2006	% Increase (decrease)
In millions			
Net revenue	\$ 220	\$ 155	41.9%
Loss from operations	\$ (5)	\$ (33)	(84.8)%
Loss from operations as a % of net revenue	(2.3)%	(21.3)%	

	Nine months ended July 31		
	2007	2006	% Increase (decrease)
In millions			
Net revenue	\$ 552	\$ 406	36.0%
Loss from operations	\$ (52)	\$ (115)	(54.8)%
Loss from operations as a % of net revenue	(9.4)%	(28.3)%	

The majority of the net revenue in Corporate Investments relates to network infrastructure products sold under the brand "ProCurve Networking." For the three and nine months ended July 31, 2007, revenue from network infrastructure products increased 38% and 34%, respectively, compared to the same periods in fiscal 2006, which reflected continued increased sales of enterprise class gigabit Ethernet switch products.

Corporate Investments' loss from operations for the three and nine months ended July 31, 2007 was due primarily to expenses associated with corporate development, global alliances and HP Labs. The year-over-year decrease in operating losses was driven primarily by higher earnings from operations generated by network infrastructure products.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Our cash balances are held in numerous locations throughout the world, including substantial amounts held outside of the United States. Most of the amounts held outside of the United States could be repatriated to the United States but, under current law, would be subject to United States federal income taxes, less applicable foreign tax credits. Repatriation of some foreign balances is restricted by local laws. HP has provided for the United States federal tax liability on these amounts for financial statement purposes except for foreign earnings that are considered indefinitely reinvested outside of the United States. Repatriation could result in additional United States federal income tax payments in future years. Where local restrictions prevent an efficient intercompany transfer of funds, our intent is that cash balances would remain outside of the United States and we would meet United States liquidity needs through ongoing cash flows, external borrowings, or both. We utilize a variety of tax planning and financing strategies in an effort to ensure that our worldwide cash is available in the locations in which it is needed.

FINANCIAL CONDITION (Sources and Uses of Cash)

	Nine months ended July 31	
	2007	2006
In millions		
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 6,006	\$ 8,109
Net cash used in investing activities	(6,250)	(1,906)
Net cash used in financing activities	(3,706)	(4,133)
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	\$ (3,950)	\$ 2,070

Operating Activities

Net cash provided by operating activities decreased by approximately \$2.1 billion for the nine months ended July 31, 2007 as compared to the corresponding period in fiscal 2006. The decrease was due primarily to higher payments for bonuses earned in fiscal 2006 and paid in the first quarter of fiscal 2007, a year-over-year increase in accounts receivable and a year-over-year decrease in accounts payable. The decrease was offset partially by higher earnings.

Investing Activities

Net cash used in investing activities increased by \$4.3 billion for the nine months ended July 31, 2007 as compared to the corresponding period in fiscal 2006 due primarily to cash paid for acquisitions and higher investments in property, plant and equipment.

Financing Activities

Net cash used in financing activities decreased by \$0.4 billion for the nine months ended July 31, 2007 as compared to the corresponding period in fiscal 2006 due primarily to higher net issuance of our debt and commercial paper, which was partially offset by increased repurchases of our common stock.

We repurchase shares of our common stock under an ongoing program to manage the dilution created by shares issued under employee benefit plans as well as to repurchase shares opportunistically. This program authorizes repurchases in the open market or in private transactions. We completed share repurchases of approximately 167 million shares for approximately \$7.0 billion in the first nine months of fiscal 2007. We completed share repurchases of approximately 160 million shares for approximately \$5.0 billion in the first nine months of fiscal 2006.

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

In addition to the above transactions, we entered into an Accelerated Share Repurchase program (the "ASR Program") with a third-party investment bank during the second quarter of fiscal 2007. Pursuant to the terms of the ASR Program, we purchased 40 million shares of our common stock from a third-party investment bank for \$1.8 billion (the "Purchase Price") on March 30, 2007 (the "Purchase Date"). We decreased our shares outstanding and reduced the outstanding shares used to calculate the weighted-average common shares outstanding for both basic and diluted EPS on the Purchase Date. The shares delivered to us included shares that the investment bank borrowed from third parties. The investment bank purchased an equivalent number of shares in the open market to cover its position with respect to the borrowed shares during a contractually specified averaging period that began on the Purchase Date and ended on June 6, 2007. At the end of the averaging period, the investment bank's total purchase cost based on the volume weighted-average purchase price of our shares during the averaging period was approximately \$90 million less than the Purchase Price. In June 2007, we received approximately 2 million additional shares purchased by the investment bank in the open market with a value approximately equal to that amount. We reduced our shares outstanding upon receipt of those shares.

In addition to the above transactions, we entered into a prepaid variable share purchase program ("PVSP") with a third-party investment bank during the first quarter of 2006 and prepaid \$1.7 billion in exchange for the right to receive a variable number of shares of our common stock weekly over a one year period beginning in the second quarter of fiscal 2006 and ending during the second quarter of fiscal 2007. We completed all repurchases under the PVSP on March 9, 2007. As of that date, we had cumulatively received a total of 53 million shares. We retired all shares repurchased and no longer deem those shares outstanding.

We intend to continue to repurchase shares as a means to manage dilution from the issuance of shares under employee benefit plans and to purchase shares opportunistically. On March 15, 2007, our Board of Directors authorized an additional \$8.0 billion for future repurchases of our common stock. As of July 31, 2007, we had remaining authorization of approximately \$4.8 billion for future share repurchases.

Key Performance Metrics

	July 31, 2007	October 31, 2006
Days of sales outstanding in accounts receivable	42	40
Days of supply in inventory	38	38
Days of purchases outstanding in accounts payable	(55)	(59)
	25	19
Cash conversion cycle		

Days of sales outstanding in accounts receivable ("DSO") measures the average number of days our receivables are outstanding. DSO is calculated by dividing accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts, by a 90-day average net revenue.

Days of supply in inventory ("DOS") measures the average number of days from procurement to sale of our product. DOS is calculated by dividing net inventory by a 90-day average cost of goods sold.

Days of purchases outstanding in accounts payable ("DPO") measures the average number of days our accounts payable balances are outstanding. DPO is calculated by dividing accounts payable by a 90-day average cost of goods sold.

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

Our working capital requirements depend upon our effective management of the cash conversion cycle, which represents effectively the number of days that elapse from the day we pay for the purchase of raw materials to the collection of cash from our customers. The cash conversion cycle is the sum of DSO and DOS less DPO.

The increase in DSO was due primarily to an increased accounts receivable balance as a result of strong shipments towards the end of the current quarter, higher currency impacts from Europe, as well as some extended payment terms and lower cash discount rates for early payments on accounts receivable to certain customers. DOS remained flat compared to the fourth quarter of fiscal 2006. The decrease in DPO was due primarily to a lower accounts payable balance as compared to that at October 31, 2006 resulting from the high purchase activities in the later part of the fourth quarter of fiscal 2006 and an increased cost of goods sold during the third quarter of fiscal 2007 as a result of increased revenue. These changes contributed to the increase in the cash conversion cycle for the third quarter ended July 31, 2007 compared to the fourth quarter ended October 31, 2006.

LIQUIDITY

As previously discussed, we generally use cash generated by operations as our primary source of liquidity, since internally generated cash flows are typically sufficient to support business operations, capital expenditures and the payment of stockholder dividends, in addition to a level of discretionary investments and share repurchases. We are able to supplement this near-term liquidity, if necessary, with broad access to capital markets and credit facilities made available by various foreign and domestic financial institutions.

We maintain debt levels that we establish through consideration of a number of factors, including cash flow expectations, cash requirements for operations, investment plans (including acquisitions), share repurchase activities, and the overall cost of capital. Outstanding debt increased to \$8.6 billion as of July 31, 2007 as compared to \$5.2 billion at October 31, 2006, bearing weighted-average interest rates of 5.2% and 5.1%, respectively. Short-term borrowings increased to \$3.7 billion at July 31, 2007 from \$2.7 billion at October 31, 2006. The increase in short-term borrowings was due primarily to the net issuance of approximately \$2.3 billion of our commercial paper and notes payable and the reclassification from long-term to short-term debts, including \$500 million U.S. Dollar Global Notes that will mature in March 2008 and \$50 million Series A Medium-Term Notes that will mature in December 2007. The increase was offset partially by the repayment of \$1.0 billion Global Notes in December 2006 and \$1.0 billion Global Notes in July 2007. During the first nine months of fiscal 2007, we issued \$27.0 billion and repaid \$24.7 billion of commercial paper.

The majority of our outstanding debt relates to HPFS. We issue debt in order to finance HPFS and as needed for other purposes. HPFS has a business model that is asset-intensive in nature and therefore is more debt-funded than our other business segments. At July 31, 2007, HPFS had approximately \$7.7 billion in net portfolio assets, which included short- and long-term financing receivables and operating lease assets.

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

We have the following resources available to obtain short-term or long-term financings, if we need additional liquidity:

	Original amount available	At July 31, 2007	
		Used	Available
In millions			
2002 Shelf Registration Statement			
Debt, U.S. global securities and up to \$1,500 of Series B Medium-Term Notes	\$ 3,000	\$ 1,000	\$ 2,000
Euro Medium-Term Notes	3,000		3,000
Uncommitted lines of credit	2,400	48	2,352
Commercial paper programs			
U.S.	6,000	2,367	3,633
Euro	500	98	402
	\$ 14,900	\$ 3,513	\$ 11,387

In November 2006, in connection with the Mercury acquisition, we assumed notes issued by Mercury with a face value of \$300 million, maturing on July 1, 2007 and bearing interest at a rate of 4.75% per annum (the "Mercury Notes"). As of July 31, 2007, we repurchased or repaid at maturity all of the Mercury Notes.

In May 2006, we filed a shelf registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") to enable us to offer and sell from time to time, in one or more offerings, debt securities, common stock, preferred stock, depositary shares and warrants. On May 23, 2006, we issued \$1.0 billion in floating rate global notes due May 22, 2009 under this registration statement. We used a portion of the proceeds received from the issuance of those notes to repay our 5.25% Euro Medium-Term Notes due July 2006 at maturity. We used the remainder of the net proceeds for general corporate purposes. In June 2007, we redeemed all outstanding floating rate global notes due May 22, 2009 using incremental borrowings as described below.

On February 22, 2007, we issued an additional \$2.0 billion of global notes under this registration statement. The global notes included \$600 million of notes due March 2012 with a floating interest rate equal to the three-month USD LIBOR plus 0.11% per annum, \$900 million of notes due March 2012 with a fixed interest rate of 5.25% per annum and \$500 million of notes due March 2017 with a fixed interest rate of 5.40% per annum. We issued the \$600 million notes at par and the \$900 million notes and \$500 million notes at discounts to par at 99.938% and 99.694%, respectively. We used the net proceeds from these note offerings for general corporate purposes, including funding the repurchase of the Mercury Notes as described above and repaying short-term commercial paper that matured during the second quarter of fiscal 2007.

On June 12, 2007, we issued an additional \$2.0 billion of global notes under the 2006 shelf registration statement. The global notes included \$1.0 billion of notes due June 2009 with a floating interest rate equal to the three-month USD LIBOR plus 0.01% per annum, and \$1.0 billion of notes due June 2010 with a floating interest rate equal to the three-month USD LIBOR plus 0.06% per annum. We issued these global notes at par. We used the net proceeds from these offerings for general corporate purposes, including the redemption of the floating rate global notes due May 22, 2009 as described above in June 2007 and the repayment of short-term commercial paper that matured in June and July 2007.

The securities issuable under the 2002 shelf registration statement include notes with due dates of nine months or more from issuance. The uncommitted lines of credit are available through various foreign subsidiaries. In April 2005, we increased our U.S. commercial paper program to \$6.0 billion.

We have a \$3.0 billion U.S. credit facility expiring in December 2010. This credit facility is a senior unsecured committed borrowing arrangement primarily to support our U.S. commercial paper program. Our ability to have a U.S. commercial paper outstanding balance that exceeds the \$3.0 billion committed credit facility is subject to a number of factors, including liquidity conditions and business performance.

Our credit risk is evaluated by three independent rating agencies based upon publicly available information as well as information obtained in our ongoing discussions with them. Standard & Poor's Ratings Services, Moody's Investors Service and Fitch Ratings currently rate our senior unsecured long-term debt A, A2 and A+ and our short-term debt A-1, Prime-1, and F1, respectively. We do not have any rating downgrade triggers that would accelerate the maturity of a material amount of our debt. However, a downgrade in our credit rating would increase the cost of borrowings under our credit facilities. Also, a downgrade in our credit rating could limit our ability to issue commercial paper under our current programs. If this occurs, we would seek alternative sources of funding, including our credit facility or the issuance of notes under our existing shelf registration statements and our Euro Medium-Term Note Programme.

We have revolving trade receivables-based facilities permitting us to sell certain trade receivables to third parties on a non-recourse basis. The aggregate maximum capacity under these programs was approximately \$511 million as of July 31, 2007. We sold approximately \$1.7 billion of trade receivables during the first nine months of fiscal 2007. As of July 31, 2007, there was approximately \$197 million available under these programs.

Contractual Obligations

At July 31, 2007, our unconditional purchase obligations are approximately \$3.1 billion, compared with \$2.8 billion as previously reported in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2006. Purchase obligations include agreements to purchase goods or services that are enforceable and legally binding on us and that specify all significant terms, including fixed or minimum quantities to be purchased; fixed, minimum or variable price provisions; and the approximate timing of the transaction. Purchase obligations exclude agreements that are cancelable without penalty. These purchase obligations are related principally to cost of sales, inventory and other items.

Funding Commitments

We previously disclosed in our Consolidated Financial Statements for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2006 that we expected to contribute approximately \$120 million to our pension plans, approximately \$15 million to cover benefit payments to U.S. non-qualified plan participants and approximately \$80 million to cover benefit claims under our post-retirement benefit plans. As of July 31, 2007, we have made approximately \$94 million of contributions to non-U.S. pension plans, paid \$16 million to cover benefit payments to U.S. non-qualified plan participants, and paid \$41 million to cover benefit claims under post-retirement benefit plans. We presently anticipate making additional contributions of between \$20 million and \$30 million to our pension plans and expect to pay \$15 million to cover benefit claims under post-retirement benefit plans during the remainder of fiscal 2007. Our funding policy is to contribute cash to our pension plans so that we meet at least the minimum contribution requirements, as established by local government and funding and taxing authorities. We expect to use contributions made to the post-retirement benefit plans primarily for the payment of retiree health claims incurred during the fiscal year.

In conjunction with our announcement to modify our U.S. defined benefit pension plan and our Pre-2003 Retiree Medical Program, we offered eligible affected employees an option to participate in the 2007 EER. We funded the cash expenditures associated with the 2007 EER primarily by using

available U.S. pension plan assets. No incremental pension contributions are expected to be made to the pension plan stemming from the 2007 EER.

We expect to make additional cash outlays associated with our restructuring plans during fiscal 2007. As a result of our approved restructuring plans, we expect future cash expenditures of \$235 million, which is recorded on our Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheet at July 31, 2007. We expect to make cash payments of approximately \$104 million during the remainder of fiscal 2007 and the majority of the remaining \$131 million through 2014.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

As part of our ongoing business, we have not participated in transactions that generate material relationships with unconsolidated entities or financial partnerships, such as entities often referred to as structured finance or special purpose entities ("SPEs"), which would have been established for the purpose of facilitating off-balance sheet arrangements or other contractually narrow or limited purposes. As of July 31, 2007, we are not involved in any material unconsolidated SPEs.

Indemnifications

In the ordinary course of business, we enter into contractual arrangements under which we may agree to indemnify the third-party to such arrangement from any losses incurred relating to the services they perform on behalf of us or for losses arising from certain events as defined within the particular contract, which may include, for example, litigation or claims relating to past performance. Such indemnification obligations may not be subject to maximum loss clauses. Historically, payments we have made related to these indemnifications have been immaterial.

FACTORS THAT COULD AFFECT FUTURE RESULTS

Because of the following factors, as well as other variables affecting our operating results, past financial performance may not be a reliable indicator of future performance, and historical trends should not be used to anticipate results or trends in future periods.

The competitive pressures we face could harm our revenue, gross margin and prospects.

We encounter aggressive competition from numerous and varied competitors in all areas of our business, and our competitors may target our key market segments. We compete primarily on the basis of technology, performance, price, quality, reliability, brand, reputation, distribution, range of products and services, ease of use of our products, account relationships, customer training, service and support, security, availability of application software, and Internet infrastructure offerings. If our products, services, support and cost structure do not enable us to compete successfully based on any of those criteria, our operations, results and prospects could be harmed.

Unlike many of our competitors, we have a portfolio of businesses and must allocate resources across these businesses while competing with companies that specialize in one or more of these product lines. As a result, we may invest less in certain areas of our businesses than our competitors do, and these competitors may have greater financial, technical and marketing resources available to them than our businesses that compete against them. Industry consolidation also may affect competition by creating larger, more homogeneous and potentially stronger competitors in the markets in which we compete, and our competitors also may affect our business by entering into exclusive arrangements with existing or potential customers or suppliers.

We may have to continue to lower the prices of many of our products and services to stay competitive, while at the same time trying to maintain or improve revenue and gross margin. The markets in which we do business, particularly the personal computer and printing markets, are highly

competitive, and we encounter aggressive price competition for all of our products and services from numerous companies globally. Over the past several years, price competition in the market for personal computers, printers and related products has been particularly intense as competitors have aggressively cut prices and lowered their product margins for these products. Our results of operations and financial condition may be adversely affected by these and other industry-wide pricing pressures.

Because our business model is based on providing innovative and high quality products, we may spend a proportionately greater amount on research and development than some of our competitors. If we cannot proportionately decrease our cost structure on a timely basis in response to competitive price pressures, our gross margin and therefore our profitability could be adversely affected. In addition, if our pricing and other factors are not sufficiently competitive, or if there is an adverse reaction to our product decisions, we may lose market share in certain areas, which could adversely affect our revenue and prospects.

Even if we are able to maintain or increase market share for a particular product, revenue could decline because the product is in a maturing industry. Revenue and margins also could decline due to increased competition from other types of products. For example, refill and remanufactured alternatives for some of HP's LaserJet toner and inkjet cartridges compete with HP's supplies business. In addition, other companies have developed and marketed new compatible cartridges for HP's LaserJet and inkjet products, particularly in jurisdictions outside of the United States where adequate intellectual property protection may not exist. HP expects competitive refill and remanufacturing and cloned cartridge activity to continue to pressure margins in IPG, which in turn has a significant impact on HP margins and profitability overall.

If we cannot continue to develop, manufacture and market products and services that meet customer requirements for innovation and quality, our revenue and gross margin may suffer.

The process of developing new high technology products and services and enhancing existing products and services is complex, costly and uncertain, and any failure by us to anticipate customers' changing needs and emerging technological trends accurately could significantly harm our market share and results of operations. We must make long-term investments, develop or obtain appropriate intellectual property and commit significant resources before knowing whether our predictions will accurately reflect customer demand for our products and services. After we develop a product, we must be able to manufacture appropriate volumes quickly and at low costs. To accomplish this, we must accurately forecast volumes, mixes of products and configurations that meet customer requirements, and we may not succeed at all or within a given product's life cycle. Any delay in the development, production or marketing of a new product could result in our not being among the first to market, which could further harm our competitive position.

In the course of conducting our business, we must adequately address quality issues associated with our products and services, including defects in our engineering, design and manufacturing processes, as well as defects in third-party components included in our products. In order to address quality issues, we work extensively with our customers and suppliers and engage in product testing to determine the cause of the problem and to determine appropriate solutions. However, we may have limited ability to control quality issues, particularly with respect to faulty components manufactured by third parties. If we are unable to determine the cause, find an appropriate solution or offer a temporary fix (or "patch"), we may delay shipment to customers, which would delay revenue recognition and could adversely affect our revenue and reported results. Finding solutions to quality issues can be expensive and may result in additional warranty, replacement and other costs, adversely affecting our profits. If new or existing customers have difficulty operating our products, our operating margins could be adversely affected, and we could face possible claims if we fail to meet our customers' expectations. In addition, quality issues can impair our relationships with new or existing customers and adversely affect our reputation, which could have a material adverse effect on our operating results.

If we do not effectively manage our product and services transitions, our revenue may suffer.

Many of the industries in which we compete are characterized by rapid technological advances in hardware performance and software features and functionality; frequent introduction of new products; short product life cycles; and continual improvement in product price characteristics relative to product performance. Among the risks associated with the introduction of new products and services are delays in development or manufacturing, variations in costs, delays in customer purchases or reductions in price of existing products in anticipation of new introductions, difficulty in predicting customer demand for the new offerings and effectively managing inventory levels so that they are in line with anticipated demand, risks associated with customer qualification and evaluation of new products and the risk that new products may have quality or other defects or may not be supported adequately by application software. If we do not make an effective transition from existing products and services to future offerings, our revenue may decline.

Our revenue and gross margin also may suffer due to the timing of product or service introductions by our suppliers and competitors. This is especially challenging when a product has a short life cycle or a competitor introduces a new product just before our own product introduction. Furthermore, sales of our new products and services may replace sales, or result in discounting of some of our current offerings, offsetting the benefit of even a successful introduction. There also may be overlaps in the current products and services of HP and portfolios acquired through mergers and acquisitions that we must manage. In addition, it may be difficult to ensure performance of new customer contracts in accordance with our revenue, margin and cost estimates and to achieve operational efficiencies embedded in our estimates. Given the competitive nature of our industry, if any of these risks materializes, future demand for our products and services and our results of operations may suffer.

Our revenue, cost of sales, and expenses may suffer if we cannot continue to license or enforce the intellectual property rights on which our business depends or if third parties assert that we violate their intellectual property rights.

We rely upon patent, copyright, trademark and trade secret laws in the United States and similar laws in other countries, and agreements with our employees, customers, suppliers and other parties, to establish and maintain our intellectual property rights in technology and products used in our operations. However, any of our direct or indirect intellectual property rights could be challenged, invalidated or circumvented, or such intellectual property rights may not be sufficient to permit us to take advantage of current market trends or otherwise to provide competitive advantages, which could result in costly product redesign efforts, discontinuance of certain product offerings or other competitive harm. Further, the laws of certain countries do not protect our proprietary rights to the same extent as the laws of the United States. Therefore, in certain jurisdictions we may be unable to protect our proprietary technology adequately against unauthorized third-party copying or use, which could adversely affect our competitive position.

Because of the rapid pace of technological change in the information technology industry, much of our business and many of our products rely on key technologies developed or licensed by third parties. We may not be able to obtain or to continue to obtain licenses and technologies from these third parties at all or on reasonable terms, or such third parties may demand cross-licenses to our intellectual property. In addition, it is possible that as a consequence of a merger or acquisition transaction third parties may obtain licenses to some of our intellectual property rights or our business may be subject to certain restrictions that were not in place prior to the transaction. Consequently, we may lose a competitive advantage with respect to these intellectual property rights or we may be required to enter into costly arrangements in order to terminate or limit these rights.

Third parties also may claim that we or customers indemnified by us are infringing upon their intellectual property rights. For example, in recent years, individuals and groups have begun purchasing intellectual property assets for the sole purpose of making claims of infringement and attempting to extract settlements from large companies such as HP. If we cannot or do not license the infringed technology at all or on reasonable terms or substitute similar technology from another source, our operations could suffer. Even if we believe that the claims are without merit, the claims can be time-consuming and costly to defend and divert management's attention and resources away from our business. Claims of intellectual property infringement also might require us to redesign affected products, enter into costly settlement or license agreements or pay costly damage awards, or face a temporary or permanent injunction prohibiting us from marketing or selling certain of our products. Even if we have an agreement to indemnify us against such costs, the indemnifying party may be unable to uphold its contractual agreements to us.

Finally, our results of operations and cash flows could be affected in certain periods and on an ongoing basis by the imposition, accrual and payment of copyright levies or similar fees. In certain countries (primarily in Europe), proceedings are ongoing against HP seeking to impose levies upon equipment (such as PCs, multifunction devices and printers) and alleging that the copyright owners are entitled to compensation because these devices enable reproducing copyrighted content. Other countries that do not yet have levies on these types of devices are expected to extend existing levy scheme, and countries that do not currently have levy schemes may decide to impose copyright levies on these types of devices. If imposed, the amount of copyright levies would depend on the types of products determined to be subject to the levy, the number of units of those products sold during the period covered by the levy and the per unit fee for each type of product, all of which may be affected by several factors, including the outcome of ongoing litigation involving HP and other industry participants and possible action by the legislative bodies in the applicable countries, but could be substantial. Consequently, the ultimate impact of these potential copyright levies or similar fees and the ability of HP to recover such amounts through increased prices, remains uncertain.

Economic uncertainty could adversely affect our revenue, gross margin and expenses.

Our revenue and gross margin depend significantly on general economic conditions and the demand for computing and imaging products and services in the markets in which we compete. Economic weakness and constrained IT spending has previously resulted, and may result in the future, in decreased revenue, gross margin, earnings or growth rates and problems with our ability to manage inventory levels and collect customer receivables. We could experience such economic weakness and reduced spending, particularly in our consumer and financial services businesses, due to increases in fuel and other energy costs, conditions in the residential real estate and mortgage markets, access to credit and other macroeconomic factors affecting spending behavior. In addition, customer financial difficulties have previously resulted, and could result in the future, in increases in bad debt write-offs and additions to reserves in our receivables portfolio, inability by our lessees to make required lease payments and reduction in the value of leased equipment upon its return to us compared to the value estimated at lease inception. We also have experienced, and may experience in the future, gross margin declines in certain businesses, reflecting the effect of items such as competitive pricing pressures, inventory write-downs, charges associated with the cancellation of planned production line expansion, and increases in pension and post-retirement benefit expenses. Economic downturns also may lead to restructuring actions and associated expenses. Uncertainty about future economic conditions makes it difficult for us to forecast operating results and to make decisions about future investments. Delays or reductions in information technology spending could have a material adverse effect on demand for our products and services, and consequently our results of operations, prospects and stock price.

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

Due to the international nature of our business, political or economic changes or other factors could harm our future revenue, costs and expenses and financial condition.

Sales outside the United States make up more than 60% of our net revenue. Our future revenue, gross margin, expenses and financial condition also could suffer due to a variety of international factors, including:

ongoing instability or changes in a country's or region's economic or political conditions, including inflation, recession, interest rate fluctuations and actual or anticipated military or political conflicts;

longer accounts receivable cycles and financial instability among customers;

trade regulations and procedures and actions affecting production, pricing and marketing of products;

local labor conditions and regulations;

managing a geographically dispersed workforce;

changes in the regulatory or legal environment;

differing technology standards or customer requirements;

import, export or other business licensing requirements or requirements relating to making foreign direct investments, which could affect our ability to obtain favorable terms for components or lead to penalties or restrictions;

difficulties associated with repatriating cash generated or held abroad in a tax-efficient manner and changes in tax laws; and

fluctuations in freight costs and disruptions in the transportation and shipping infrastructure at important geographic points of exit and entry for our products and shipments.

The factors described above also could disrupt our product and component manufacturing and key suppliers located outside of the United States. For example, we rely on manufacturers in Taiwan for the production of notebook computers and other suppliers in Asia for product assembly and manufacture.

As more than 60% of our sales are from countries outside of the United States, other currencies, particularly the euro and the Japanese yen, can have an impact on HP's results (expressed in U.S. dollars). Currency variations also contribute to variations in sales of products and services in impacted jurisdictions. In addition, currency variations can adversely affect margins on sales of our products in countries outside of the United States and margins on sales of products that include components obtained from suppliers located outside of the United States. We use a combination of forward contracts and options designated as cash flow hedges to protect against foreign currency exchange rate risks. Such hedging activities may be ineffective or may not offset more than a portion of the adverse financial impact resulting from currency variations. Gains or losses associated with hedging activities also may impact our revenue and to a lesser extent our cost of sales and financial condition.

In many foreign countries, particularly in those with developing economies, it is common to engage in business practices that are prohibited by laws and regulations applicable to us, such as the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act. Although we implement policies and procedures designed to facilitate compliance with these laws, our employees, contractors and agents, as well as those companies to which we outsource certain of our business operations, may take actions in violation of our policies. Any such violation, even if prohibited by our policies, could have a material adverse effect on our business.

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

Terrorist acts, conflicts and wars may seriously harm our business and revenue, costs and expenses and financial condition and stock price.

Terrorist acts, conflicts or wars (wherever located around the world) may cause damage or disruption to HP, our employees, facilities, partners, suppliers, distributors, resellers or customers. The potential for future attacks, the national and international responses to attacks or perceived threats to national security, and other actual or potential conflicts or wars, including the ongoing military operations in Iraq, have created many economic and political uncertainties. In addition, as a major multi-national company with headquarters and significant operations located in the United States, actions against or by the United States may impact our business or employees. Although it is impossible to predict the occurrences or consequences of any such events, they could result in a decrease in demand for our products, make it difficult or impossible to deliver products to our customers or to receive components from our suppliers, create delays and inefficiencies in our supply chain and result in the need to impose employee travel restrictions. We are predominantly uninsured for losses and interruptions caused by terrorist acts, conflicts and wars.

Business disruptions could seriously harm our future revenue and financial condition and increase our costs and expenses.

Our worldwide operations could be subject to earthquakes, power shortages, telecommunications failures, water shortages, tsunamis, floods, hurricanes, typhoons, fires, extreme weather conditions, medical epidemics and other natural or manmade disasters or business interruptions, for which we are predominantly self-insured. The occurrence of any of these business disruptions could seriously harm our revenue and financial condition and increase our costs and expenses. Our corporate headquarters, and a portion of our research and development activities, are located in California, and other critical business operations and some of our suppliers are located in California and Asia, near major earthquake faults. The ultimate impact on us, our significant suppliers and our general infrastructure of being located near major earthquake faults is unknown, but our revenue, profitability and financial condition could suffer in the event of a major earthquake or other natural disaster. In addition, some areas, including California and parts of the East Coast, Southwest and Midwest of the United States, have previously experienced, and may experience in the future, major power shortages and blackouts. These blackouts could cause disruptions to our operations or the operations of our suppliers, distributors and resellers, or customers. Moreover, the consolidation of all of our worldwide IT data centers into six centers located in the southern United States, when completed, could increase the impact on us of a natural disaster or other business disruption occurring in that geographic area.

If we fail to manage the distribution of our products and services properly, our revenue, gross margin and profitability could suffer.

We use a variety of different distribution methods to sell our products and services, including third-party resellers and distributors and both direct and indirect sales to both enterprise accounts and consumers. Successfully managing the interaction of our direct and indirect channel efforts to reach various potential customer segments for our products and services is a complex process. Moreover, since each distribution method has distinct risks and gross margins, our failure to implement the most advantageous balance in the delivery model for our products and services could adversely affect our revenue and gross margins and therefore our profitability. Other distribution risks are described below.

Our financial results could be materially adversely affected due to channel conflicts or if the financial conditions of our channel partners were to weaken.

Our future operating results may be adversely affected by any conflicts that might arise between our various sales channels, the loss or deterioration of any alliance or distribution arrangement or the loss of retail shelf space. Moreover, some of our wholesale and retail distributors may have insufficient

financial resources and may not be able to withstand changes in business conditions, including economic weakness and industry consolidation. Many of our significant distributors operate on narrow product margins and have been negatively affected by business pressures. Considerable trade receivables that are not covered by collateral or credit insurance are outstanding with our distribution and retail channel partners. Revenue from indirect sales could suffer, and we could experience disruptions in distribution if our distributors' financial conditions, abilities to borrow funds in the credit markets or operations weaken.

Our inventory management is complex as we continue to sell a significant mix of products through distributors.

We must manage inventory effectively, particularly with respect to sales to distributors, which involves forecasting demand and pricing issues. Distributors may increase orders during periods of product shortages, cancel orders if their inventory is too high or delay orders in anticipation of new products. Distributors also may adjust their orders in response to the supply of our products and the products of our competitors and seasonal fluctuations in end-user demand. Our reliance upon indirect distribution methods may reduce visibility to demand and pricing issues, and therefore make forecasting more difficult. If we have excess or obsolete inventory, we may have to reduce our prices and write down inventory. Moreover, our use of indirect distribution channels may limit our willingness or ability to adjust prices quickly and otherwise to respond to pricing changes by competitors. We also may have limited ability to estimate future product rebate redemptions in order to price our products effectively.

We depend on third-party suppliers, and our revenue and gross margin could suffer if we fail to manage supplier issues properly.

Our operations depend on our ability to anticipate our needs for components, products and services and our suppliers' ability to deliver sufficient quantities of quality components, products and services at reasonable prices in time for us to meet critical schedules. Given the wide variety of systems, products and services that we offer, the large number of our suppliers and contract manufacturers that are dispersed across the globe, and the long lead times that are required to manufacture, assemble and deliver certain components and products, problems could arise in planning production and managing inventory levels that could seriously harm us. Other supplier problems that we could face include component shortages, excess supply, risks related to the terms of our contracts with suppliers, risks associated with contingent workers, and risks related to our relationships with single source suppliers, as described below.

Shortages. Occasionally we may experience a shortage of, or a delay in receiving, certain supplies as a result of strong demand, capacity constraints, supplier financial weaknesses, inability of suppliers to borrow funds in the credit markets, disputes with suppliers (some of which are also customers), other problems experienced by suppliers or problems faced during the transition to new suppliers. If shortages or delays persist, the price of these supplies may increase, we may be exposed to quality issues or the supplies may not be available at all. We may not be able to secure enough supplies at reasonable prices or of acceptable quality to build products or provide services in a timely manner in the quantities or according to the specifications needed. Accordingly, our revenue and gross margin could suffer as we could lose time-sensitive sales, incur additional freight costs or be unable to pass on price increases to our customers. If we cannot adequately address supply issues, we might have to reengineer some products or service offerings, resulting in further costs and delays.

Oversupply. In order to secure supplies for the provision of products or services, at times we may make advance payments to suppliers or enter into non-cancelable commitments with vendors. In addition, we may purchase supplies strategically in advance of demand to take advantage of favorable pricing or to address concerns about the availability of future supplies. If

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

we fail to anticipate customer demand properly, a temporary oversupply could result in excess or obsolete components, which could adversely affect our gross margin.

Contractual terms. As a result of binding price or purchase commitments with vendors, we may be obligated to purchase supplies or services at prices that are higher than those available in the current market and be limited in our ability to respond to changing market conditions. In the event that we become committed to purchase supplies or services for prices in excess of the current market price, we may be at a disadvantage to competitors who have access to components or services at lower prices, and our gross margin could suffer. In addition, many of our competitors obtain products or components from the same Contract Manufacturers ("CMs"), Original Design Manufacturers ("ODMs") and suppliers that we utilize. Our competitors may obtain better pricing and other terms and more favorable allocations of products and components during periods of limited supply, and our ability to engage in relationships with certain CMs, ODMs and suppliers could be limited. In addition, certain of our CMs, ODMs and suppliers may decide in the future to discontinue conducting business with us. Any of these actions by our competitors, CMs, ODMs or suppliers could adversely affect our future operating results and financial condition.

Contingent workers. We also rely on third-party suppliers for the provision of contingent workers, and our failure to manage our use of such workers effectively could adversely affect our results of operations. As described in Note 14 to the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements, we have been exposed to various legal claims relating to the status of contingent workers and could face similar claims in the future. We may be subject to shortages, oversupply or fixed contractual terms relating to contingent workers, as described above. Our ability to manage the size of, and costs associated with, the contingent workforce may be subject to additional constraints imposed by local laws.

Single source suppliers. Our use of single source suppliers for certain components could exacerbate our supplier issues. We obtain a significant number of components from single sources due to technology, availability, price, quality or other considerations. For example, we rely on Intel to provide us with a sufficient supply of processors for many of our PCs, workstations, handheld computing devices and servers, and some of those processors are customized for our products. New products that we introduce may utilize custom components obtained from only one source initially until we have evaluated whether there is a need for additional suppliers. Replacing a single source supplier could delay production of some products as replacement suppliers initially may be subject to capacity constraints or other output limitations. For some components, such as customized components and some of the processors that we obtain from Intel, alternative sources may not exist or those alternative sources may be unable to produce the quantities of those components necessary to satisfy our production requirements. In addition, we sometimes purchase components from single source suppliers under short-term agreements that contain favorable pricing and other terms but that may be unilaterally modified or terminated by the supplier with limited notice and with little or no penalty. The performance of such single source suppliers under those agreements (and the renewal or extension of those agreements upon similar terms) may affect the quality, quantity and price of supplies to HP. The loss of a single source supplier, the deterioration of our relationship with a single source supplier, or any unilateral modification to the contractual terms under which we are supplied components by a single source supplier could adversely affect our revenue and gross margins.

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

If we fail to comply with our customer contracts or government contracting regulations, our revenue could suffer.

Our contracts with our customers often include unique and specialized performance requirements. In particular, our contracts with federal, state, provincial and local governmental customers are subject to various procurement regulations, contract provisions and other requirements relating to their formation, administration and performance. Any failure by us to comply with the specific provisions in our customer contracts or any violation of government contracting regulations could result in the imposition of various civil and criminal penalties, which may include termination of contracts, forfeiture of profits, suspension of payments and, in the case of our government contracts, fines and suspension from future government contracting. In addition, we are currently, and in the future may be, subject to *qui tam* litigation brought by private individuals on behalf of the government relating to our government contracts, which could include claims for up to treble damages. Further, any negative publicity related to our customer contracts or any proceedings surrounding them, regardless of its accuracy, may damage our business by affecting our ability to compete for new contracts. If our customer contracts are terminated, if we are suspended from government work, or if our ability to compete for new contracts is adversely affected, we could suffer a material reduction in expected revenue.

The revenue and profitability of our operations have historically varied, which makes our future financial results less predictable.

Our revenue, gross margin and profit vary among our products and services, customer groups and geographic markets and therefore will likely be different in future periods than our current results. Overall gross margins and profitability in any given period are dependent partially on the product, customer and geographic mix reflected in that period's net revenue. In particular, IPG and certain of its business units such as printer supplies contribute significantly to our gross margin and profitability. Competition, lawsuits, investigations and other risks affecting IPG, therefore may have a significant impact on our overall gross margin and profitability. Certain segments, and ESS in particular, have a higher fixed cost structure and more variation in gross margins across their business units and product portfolios than others and may therefore experience significant operating profit volatility on a quarterly basis. In addition, newer geographic markets may be relatively less profitable due to investments associated with entering those markets and local pricing pressures, and we may have difficulty establishing and maintaining the operating infrastructure necessary to support the high growth rate associated with some of those markets. Market trends, competitive pressures, commoditization of products, seasonal rebates, increased component or shipping costs, regulatory impacts and other factors may result in reductions in revenue or pressure on gross margins of certain segments in a given period, which may necessitate adjustments to our operations.

We make estimates and assumptions in connection with the preparation of HP's Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements, and any changes to those estimates and assumptions could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

In connection with the preparation of HP's Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements, we use certain estimates and assumptions based on historical experience and other factors. Our most critical accounting estimates are described in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in our 2006 Annual Report on Form 10-K. In addition, as discussed in Note 14 to the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements, we make certain estimates under the provisions of SFAS No. 5 "Accounting for Contingencies," including decisions related to provisions for legal proceedings and other contingencies. While we believe that these estimates and assumptions are reasonable under the circumstances, they are subject to significant uncertainties, some of which are

beyond our control. Should any of these estimates and assumptions change or prove to have been incorrect, it could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

Unanticipated changes in HP's tax provisions or exposure to additional income tax liabilities could affect our profitability.

We are subject to income taxes in the United States and numerous foreign jurisdictions. Our tax liabilities are affected by the amounts we charge for inventory, services, licenses, funding and other items in intercompany transactions. We are subject to ongoing tax audits in various jurisdictions. Tax authorities may disagree with our intercompany charges or other matters and assess additional taxes. We regularly assess the likely outcomes of these audits in order to determine the appropriateness of our tax provision. However, there can be no assurance that we will accurately predict the outcomes of these audits, and the actual outcomes of these audits could have a material impact on our net income or financial condition. In addition, our effective tax rate in the future could be adversely affected by changes in the mix of earnings in countries with differing statutory tax rates, changes in the valuation of deferred tax assets and liabilities, changes in tax laws and the discovery of new information in the course of our tax return preparation process. In particular, the carrying value of deferred tax assets, which are predominantly in the United States, is dependent on our ability to generate future taxable income in the United States. Any of these changes could affect our profitability.

Our sales cycle makes planning and inventory management difficult and future financial results less predictable.

In some of our segments, our quarterly sales often have reflected a pattern in which a disproportionate percentage of each quarter's total sales occur towards the end of such quarter. This uneven sales pattern makes prediction of revenue, earnings, cash flow from operations and working capital for each financial period difficult, increases the risk of unanticipated variations in quarterly results and financial condition and places pressure on our inventory management and logistics systems. If predicted demand is substantially greater than orders, there will be excess inventory. Alternatively, if orders substantially exceed predicted demand, we may not be able to fulfill all of the orders received in the last few weeks of each quarter. Other developments late in a quarter, such as a systems failure, component pricing movements, component shortages or global logistics disruptions, could adversely impact inventory levels and results of operations in a manner that is disproportionate to the number of days in the quarter affected.

We experience some seasonal trends in the sale of our products that also may produce variations in quarterly results and financial condition. For example, sales to governments (particularly sales to the United States government) are often stronger in the third calendar quarter, consumer sales are often stronger in the fourth calendar quarter, and many customers whose fiscal and calendar years are the same spend their remaining capital budget authorizations in the fourth calendar quarter prior to new budget constraints in the first calendar quarter of the following year. European sales are often weaker during the summer months. Demand during the spring and early summer also may be adversely impacted by market anticipation of seasonal trends. Moreover, to the extent that we introduce new products in anticipation of seasonal demand trends, our discounting of existing products may adversely affect our gross margin prior to or shortly after such product launches. Typically, our third fiscal quarter is our weakest and our fourth fiscal quarter is our strongest. Many of the factors that create and affect seasonal trends are beyond our control.

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

Any failure by us to execute planned cost reductions successfully could result in total costs and expenses that are greater than expected.

We have adopted restructuring and other cost reduction plans to bring operational expenses to appropriate levels for each of our businesses, while simultaneously implementing extensive new company-wide expense control programs. These initiatives include:

A workforce restructuring program and a related U.S. early retirement program announced in July 2005 that we expect to result in the elimination of approximately 14,989 positions by the end of fiscal 2008, approximately 14,936 of which had been eliminated as of July 31, 2007;

A multi-year plan announced in the third fiscal quarter of 2006 to reduce IT spending by consolidating HP's 85 data centers worldwide into six larger centers located in three U.S. cities;

A multi-year program announced in the third fiscal quarter of 2006 to reduce real estate costs by consolidating several hundred HP real estate locations worldwide to fewer core sites;

Modifications to our defined benefit pension plan, our subsidized retiree medical program and our 401(k) plan announced in February 2007 pursuant to which affected employees will

cease accruing pension benefits and will, instead, receive an increased 401(k) match effective January 1, 2008; and

A U.S. early retirement program announced in February 2007 under which 3,080 employees left the company as of May 31, 2007, all of whom have been or we expect will be replaced.

Our ability to achieve the anticipated cost savings and other benefits from these initiatives within the expected time frame is subject to many estimates and assumptions, including estimates and assumptions regarding the cost of consolidating the data centers and real estate locations, the amount of accelerated depreciation or asset impairment to be incurred when we vacate facilities or cease using equipment before the end of their respective lease term or asset life, the savings associated with the benefit plan changes announced in February 2007, the costs associated with the replacement of employees who retired under the February 2007 early retirement program and the costs and timing of other activities in connection with these initiatives. These estimates and assumptions are subject to significant economic, competitive and other uncertainties, some of which are beyond our control. If these estimates and assumptions are incorrect, if we experience delays, or if other unforeseen events occur, our business and results of operations could be adversely affected.

In order to be successful, we must attract, retain and motivate key employees, and failure to do so could seriously harm us.

In order to be successful, we must attract, retain and motivate executives and other key employees, including those in managerial, technical, sales, marketing and IT support positions. Hiring and retaining qualified executives, engineers, skilled solutions providers in the IT support business and qualified sales representatives are critical to our future, and competition for experienced employees in the IT industry can be intense. The failure to hire executives and key employees or the loss of executives and key employees could have a significant impact on our operations.

Changes to our compensation and benefit programs could adversely affect our ability to attract and retain employees.

We have historically used stock options and other forms of share-based payment awards as key components of our total rewards employee compensation program in order to align employees' interests with the interests of our stockholders, encourage employee retention and provide competitive compensation and benefit packages. HP began recording charges to earnings for stock-based compensation expense in the first quarter of fiscal 2006 in accordance with Statement of Financial

Accounting Standards No. 123 (revised 2004), "Share-Based Payment." As a result, we began to incur increased compensation costs associated with our stock-based compensation programs. Moreover, difficulties relating to obtaining stockholder approval of equity compensation plans could make it harder or more expensive for us to grant share-based payment awards to employees in the future. Like other companies, HP has reviewed its equity compensation strategy in light of the current regulatory and competitive environment and has reduced the total number of options granted to employees and the number of employees who receive share-based payment awards. Due to this change in our stock-based compensation strategy, combined with the pension and other benefit plan changes undertaken to reduce costs and our increasing reliance on variable pay, we may find it difficult to attract, retain and motivate employees, and any such difficulty could materially adversely affect our business.

HP's stock price has historically fluctuated and may continue to fluctuate, which may make future prices of HP's stock difficult to predict.

HP's stock price, like that of other technology companies, can be volatile. Some of the factors that could affect our stock price are:

speculation in the press or investment community about, or actual changes in, our business, strategic position, market share, organizational structure, operations, financial condition, financial reporting and results, effectiveness of cost cutting efforts, value or liquidity of our investments, exposure to market volatility, prospects, business combination or investment transactions, or executive team;

the announcement of new products, services, technological innovations or acquisitions by HP or its competitors; and

quarterly increases or decreases in revenue, gross margin, earnings or cash flow from operations, changes in estimates by the investment community or guidance provided by HP, and variations between actual and estimated financial results.

General or industry-specific market conditions or stock market performance or domestic or international macroeconomic and geopolitical factors unrelated to HP's performance also may affect the price of HP common stock. For these reasons, investors should not rely on recent trends to predict future stock prices, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. In addition, following periods of volatility in a company's securities, securities class action litigation against a company is sometimes instituted. If instituted against HP, this type of litigation could result in substantial costs and the diversion of management time and resources.

System security risks and systems integration issues could disrupt our internal operations or information technology services provided to customers, and any such disruption could harm our revenue, increase our expenses and harm our reputation and stock price.

Experienced computer programmers and hackers may be able to penetrate our network security and misappropriate our confidential information or that of third parties, create system disruptions or cause shutdowns. In addition, computer programmers and hackers may be able to develop and deploy viruses, worms, and other malicious software programs that attack our products or otherwise exploit any security vulnerabilities of our products. As a result, we could incur significant expenses in addressing problems created by security breaches of our network and any security vulnerabilities of our products. Moreover, we could lose existing or potential customers for information technology outsourcing services or other information technology solutions or incur significant expenses in connection with our customers' system failures or any actual or perceived security vulnerabilities in our products. In addition, sophisticated hardware and operating system software and applications that we produce or procure from third parties may contain defects in design or manufacture, including "bugs" and other problems that could unexpectedly interfere with the operation of the system. The costs to us

to eliminate or alleviate security problems, bugs, viruses, worms, malicious software programs and security vulnerabilities could be significant, and the efforts to address these problems could result in interruptions, delays, cessation of service and loss of existing or potential customers that may impede our sales, manufacturing, distribution or other critical functions.

Portions of our IT infrastructure also may experience interruptions, delays or cessations of service or produce errors in connection with systems integration or migration work that takes place from time to time. We may not be successful in implementing new systems and transitioning data, including our current project to consolidate all of our worldwide IT data centers into six centers, which could cause business disruptions and be more expensive, time consuming, disruptive and resource-intensive. Such disruptions could adversely impact our ability to fulfill orders and interrupt other processes. Delayed sales, lower margins or lost customers resulting from these disruptions have adversely affected in the past, and in the future could adversely affect, our financial results, stock price and reputation.

Any failure by us to manage, complete and integrate acquisitions, divestitures and other significant transactions successfully could harm our financial results, business and prospects and may result in financial results that are different than expected.

As part of our business strategy, we frequently acquire complementary companies or businesses, divest non-core businesses or assets, enter into strategic alliances and joint ventures and make investments to further our business (collectively, "business combination and investment transactions"). In order to pursue this strategy successfully, we must identify suitable candidates for and successfully complete business combination and investment transactions, some of which may be large and complex, and manage post-closing issues such as the integration of acquired companies or employees. Integration and other risks associated with business combination and investment transactions can be more pronounced for larger and more complicated transactions or if multiple transactions are integrated simultaneously. If we fail to identify and complete successfully business combination and investment transactions that further our strategic objectives, we may be required to expend resources to develop products and technology internally, we may be at a competitive disadvantage or we may be adversely affected by negative market perceptions, any of which may have a material adverse effect on our revenue, gross margin and profitability.

Integration issues are complex, time-consuming and expensive and, without proper planning and implementation, could significantly disrupt our business. The challenges involved in integration include:

combining product offerings and entering into new markets in which we are not experienced;

convincing customers and distributors that the transaction will not diminish client service standards or business focus, preventing customers and distributors from deferring purchasing decisions or switching to other suppliers (which could result in our incurring additional obligations in order to address customer uncertainty), minimizing sales force attrition and coordinating sales, marketing and distribution efforts;

consolidating and rationalizing corporate IT infrastructure, which may include multiple legacy systems from various acquisitions and integrating software code;

minimizing the diversion of management attention from ongoing business concerns;

persuading employees that business cultures are compatible, maintaining employee morale and retaining key employees, engaging with employee works councils representing an acquired company's non-U.S. employees, integrating employees into HP, correctly estimating employee benefit costs and implementing restructuring programs;

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

coordinating and combining administrative, manufacturing, research and development and other operations, subsidiaries, facilities and relationships with third parties in accordance with local laws and other obligations while maintaining adequate standards, controls and procedures;

achieving savings from supply chain integration; and

managing integration issues shortly after or pending the completion of other independent transactions.

We evaluate and enter into significant business combination and investment transactions on an ongoing basis. We may not fully realize all of the anticipated benefits of any business combination and investment transaction, and the timeframe for achieving benefits of a business combination and investment transaction may depend partially upon the actions of employees, suppliers or other third parties. In addition, the pricing and other terms of our contracts for business combination and investment transactions require us to make estimates and assumptions at the time we enter into these contracts, and, during the course of our due diligence, we may not identify all of the factors necessary to estimate our costs accurately. Any increased or unexpected costs, unanticipated delays or failure to achieve contractual obligations could make these agreements less profitable or unprofitable.

Managing business combination and investment transactions requires varying levels of management resources, which may divert our attention from other business operations. These business combination and investment transactions also have resulted and in the future may result in significant costs and expenses and charges to earnings, including those related to severance pay, early retirement costs, employee benefit costs, asset impairment charges, charges from the elimination of duplicative facilities and contracts, in-process research and development charges, inventory adjustments, assumed litigation and other liabilities, legal, accounting and financial advisory fees, and required payments to executive officers and key employees under retention plans. Moreover, HP has incurred and will incur additional depreciation and amortization expense over the useful lives of certain assets acquired in connection with business combination and investment transactions, and, to the extent that the value of goodwill or intangible assets with indefinite lives acquired in connection with a business combination and investment transaction becomes impaired, we may be required to incur additional material charges relating to the impairment of those assets. In order to complete an acquisition, we may issue common stock, potentially creating dilution for existing stockholders, or borrow, affecting our financial condition and potentially our credit ratings. Any prior or future downgrades in our credit rating associated with an acquisition could adversely affect our ability to borrow and result in more restrictive borrowing terms. In addition, HP's effective tax rate on an ongoing basis is uncertain, and business combination and investment transactions could impact our effective tax rate. We also may experience risks relating to the challenges and costs of closing a business combination and investment transaction and the risk that an announced business combination and investment transaction may not close. As a result, any completed, pending or future transactions may contribute to financial results that differ from the investment community's expectations in a given quarter.

We cannot predict the outcome of various regulatory inquiries and stockholder derivative action lawsuits arising out of the processes employed in the investigation into leaks of HP confidential information to members of the media, and we may be named in additional regulatory inquiries and stockholder litigation, all of which could result in significant legal and other expenses.

The Attorney General of the State of California, the Committee on Energy and Commerce of the U.S. House of Representatives, the U.S. Attorney for the Northern District of California, the Division of Enforcement of the SEC and the U.S. Federal Communications Commission all have conducted inquiries or investigations relating to the processes employed in an investigation into leaks of HP confidential information to members of the media that concluded in May 2006. We have entered into an agreement with the California Attorney General to resolve civil claims relating to the leak

investigation. Under the terms of the agreement, which includes an injunction, we have paid a total of \$14.5 million and agree to implement and maintain for five years a series of measures designed to ensure that HP's corporate investigations are conducted in accordance with California law and the company's high ethical standards. We also have consented to the entry of an order by the SEC ordering HP to cease and desist from committing or causing violations of the public reporting requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. If we fail to implement and maintain the measures required under the agreement with the California Attorney General or if we fail to comply with the SEC cease and desist order, we could be subject to civil or criminal penalties.

Four stockholder derivative lawsuits also have been filed in California (all of which have been consolidated into a single lawsuit) and two in Delaware (both of which have been consolidated into a single lawsuit) purportedly on behalf of HP stockholders seeking to recover damages and to obtain specified injunctive relief stemming from the activities of the leak investigations. We may in the future also be subject to additional litigation or other proceedings arising in relation to these matters. The period of time necessary to resolve the stockholder lawsuits is uncertain, and the expense of defending and concluding such litigation may be significant. In addition, we may be obligated to indemnify (and advance legal expenses to) former or current directors, officers or employees in accordance with the terms of our certificate of incorporation, bylaws, other applicable agreements, and Delaware law.

In connection with our acquisition of Mercury, we have assumed responsibility for various stockholder derivative matters and regulatory inquiries that were pending against Mercury at the time of the acquisition, which could result in significant legal expenses and may result in the payment of substantial amounts in damages.

In November 2006, HP completed its acquisition of Mercury. Upon completion of the acquisition, HP assumed oversight for all litigation and regulatory matters pending or subsequently commenced against Mercury. Prior to the announcement of the acquisition, and beginning on or about August 19, 2005, four securities class action lawsuits were filed (all of which have since been consolidated into a single lawsuit) seeking unspecified monetary damages and other relief from Mercury and certain of its officers and directors for alleged violations of the federal securities laws. In addition, on February 26, 2007, HP received a request from the Permanent Subcommittee on Investigations of the U.S. Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs for information relating to Mercury's past executive compensation and stock option granting policies and procedures, including information about the practice of backdating the grant date of options that allegedly occurred before HP acquired Mercury. The cost of defending such litigation and responding to the Senate inquiry may be significant. In addition, if we enter into settlement agreements or are subject to adverse findings in connection with such litigation, we could be required to pay substantial amounts in damages.

Unforeseen environmental costs could impact our future net earnings.

We are subject to various federal, state, local and foreign laws and regulations concerning environmental protection, such as laws governing the conduct of our facilities and operations with respect to the discharge of pollutants into the air and water, the management and disposal of hazardous substances and wastes and the cleanup of contaminated sites. It is our policy to apply strict standards for environmental clean-up to sites outside the United States, even where we are not required to do so under applicable local laws and regulations. Many of our products are subject to various federal, state and international laws governing chemical substances, including laws regulating the manufacture and distribution of chemical substances and laws restricting the presence of certain substances in electronics products. We could incur substantial costs, including cleanup costs, fines and civil or criminal sanctions, third-party property damage or personal injury claims, or our products could be enjoined from entering certain jurisdictions, if we were to violate or become liable under environmental laws or if our products become non-compliant with environmental laws. The ultimate

costs under environmental laws and the timing of these costs are difficult to predict, and liability under some environmental laws relating to contaminated sites can be imposed retroactively and on a joint and several basis. We record a liability for environmental remediation and other environmental costs when we consider the costs to be probable and the amount of the costs can be reasonably estimated. We face increasing complexity in our product design and procurement operations as we adjust to new and future requirements relating to the materials composition of our products, including the restrictions on lead, cadmium and certain other substances that apply to specified electronics products put on the market in the European Union as of July 1, 2006 (Restriction of Hazardous Substances Directive) and similar legislation in other countries including China, Japan and Korea. We also could face significant costs and liabilities in connection with product take-back legislation. The EU has enacted the Waste Electrical and Electronic Equipment Directive (the "WEEE Legislation"), which makes producers of electrical goods, including computers and printers, financially responsible for specified collection, recycling, treatment and disposal of past and future covered products. Similar legislation has been or may be enacted in other jurisdictions, including in the United States, Canada, Mexico, China and Japan. We are continuing to evaluate the cumulative impact of, and are taking steps to comply with, the WEEE Legislation and similar legislation in other jurisdictions as individual countries issue their implementation legislation and guidance.

Some anti-takeover provisions contained in our certificate of incorporation and bylaws, as well as provisions of Delaware law, could impair a takeover attempt.

We have provisions in our certificate of incorporation and bylaws, each of which could have the effect of rendering more difficult or discouraging an acquisition of HP deemed undesirable by our Board of Directors. These include provisions:

authorizing blank check preferred stock, which HP could issue with voting, liquidation, dividend and other rights superior to our common stock;

limiting the liability of, and providing indemnification to, HP's directors and officers;

specifying that HP stockholders may take action only at a duly called annual or special meeting of stockholders and otherwise in accordance with our bylaws and limiting the ability of our stockholders to call special meetings;

requiring advance notice of proposals by HP stockholders for business to be conducted at stockholder meetings and for nominations of candidates for election to our Board of Directors;

requiring a vote by the holders of two-thirds of HP's outstanding shares to amend certain bylaws relating to HP stockholder meetings, the Board of Directors and indemnification; and

controlling the procedures for conduct of HP Board and stockholder meetings and election, appointment and removal of HP directors.

These provisions, alone or together, could deter or delay hostile takeovers, proxy contests and changes in control or management of HP. As a Delaware corporation, HP also is subject to provisions of Delaware law, including Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law, which prevents some stockholders from engaging in certain business combinations without approval of the holders of substantially all of HP's outstanding common stock.

Any provision of our certificate of incorporation or bylaws or Delaware law that has the effect of delaying or deterring a change in control of HP could limit the opportunity for our stockholders to receive a premium for their shares of HP common stock and also could affect the price that some investors are willing to pay for HP common stock.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

For quantitative and qualitative disclosures about market risk affecting HP, see "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk" in Item 7A of Part II, of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2006, which is incorporated herein by reference. Our exposure to market risk has not changed materially since October 31, 2006.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), as of the end of the period covered by this report (the "Evaluation Date"). Based on this evaluation, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded as of the Evaluation Date that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective such that the information relating to HP, including our consolidated subsidiaries, required to be disclosed in our SEC reports (i) is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in SEC rules and forms, and (ii) is accumulated and communicated to HP's management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, we conducted an evaluation of any changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that occurred during our most recently completed fiscal quarter. Based on that evaluation, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that there has not been any change in our internal control over financial reporting during that quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION**Item 1. Legal Proceedings.**

The information set forth above under Note 14 contained in the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements in Item 1 of Part I is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

A description of factors that could materially affect our business, financial condition or operating results is included under "Factors that Could Affect Future Results" in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," contained in Item 2 of Part I of this report. This description includes any material changes to the risk factor disclosure in Item 1A of Part I of our 2006 Annual Report on Form 10-K and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

There were no unregistered sales of equity securities during the period covered by this report.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased under the Plans or Programs
Month #1 (May 2007)	14,690,000	\$ 45.58	14,690,000	\$ 6,685,969,432
Month #2 (June 2007)	42,075,537	\$ 45.61	42,075,537	\$ 4,766,815,442
Month #3 (July 2007)	257,820	\$ 44.93	257,820	\$ 4,755,231,305
Total	57,023,357	\$ 45.60	57,023,357	

HP repurchased shares in the third quarter of fiscal 2007 under an ongoing program to manage the dilution created by shares issued under employee stock plans as well as to repurchase shares opportunistically. This program, which does not have a specific expiration date, authorizes repurchases in the open market or in private transactions. All shares repurchased in the third quarter of fiscal 2007, other than shares repurchased under the Accelerated Share Repurchase program (the "ASR Program") discussed below, were purchased in open market transactions.

HP entered into the ASR Program during the second quarter of fiscal 2007. Pursuant to the terms of the ASR Program, HP purchased 40 million shares of its common stock from a third-party investment bank for \$1.8 billion (the "Purchase Price") on March 30, 2007 (the "Purchase Date"). HP decreased its shares outstanding and reduced the outstanding shares used to calculate the weighted-average common shares outstanding for both basic and diluted EPS on the Purchase Date. The shares delivered to HP included shares that the investment bank borrowed from third parties. The investment bank purchased an equivalent number of shares in the open market to cover its position with respect to the borrowed shares during a contractually specified averaging period that began on the Purchase Date and ended on June 6, 2007. At the end of the averaging period, the investment bank's total purchase cost based on the volume weighted-average purchase price of HP shares during the averaging period was approximately \$90 million less than the Purchase Price. As a result, in June 2007, HP received approximately 2 million HP shares purchased by the investment bank in the open market with a value

approximately equal to that amount. Those shares are included in the total number of shares purchased in June 2007 in the table above. HP reduced its shares outstanding upon receipt of those shares.

As of July 31, 2007, HP had remaining authorization of approximately \$4.8 billion for future share repurchases under the \$8.0 billion repurchase authorization approved by the HP Board of Directors on March 15, 2007.

Item 6. Exhibits.

The Exhibit Index beginning on page 89 of this report sets forth a list of exhibits.

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY

/s/ CATHERINE A. LESJAK

Catherine A. Lesjak
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer and Authorized Signatory)

Date: September 7, 2007

**HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
EXHIBIT INDEX**

Incorporated by Reference

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description	Form	File No.	Exhibit(s)	Filing Date
2(a)	Agreement and Plan of Reorganization by and among Hewlett-Packard Company, Heloise Merger Corporation and Compaq Computer Corporation.	8-K	001-04423	2.1	September 4, 2001
2(b)	Agreement and Plan of Merger by and among Hewlett-Packard Company, Mars Landing Corporation and Mercury Interactive Corporation dated as of July 25, 2006.	8-K	001-04423	2.1	July 25, 2006
3(a)	Registrant's Certificate of Incorporation.	10-Q	001-04423	3(a)	June 12, 1998
3(b)	Registrant's Amendment to the Certificate of Incorporation.	10-Q	001-04423	3(b)	March 16, 2001
3(c)	Registrant's Amended and Restated By-Laws effective May 17, 2007.	8-K	001-04423	99.2	May 18, 2007
4(a)	Indenture dated as of October 14, 1997 among Registrant and Chase Trust Company of California regarding Liquid Yield Option Notes due 2017.	S-3	333-44113	4.2	January 12, 1998
4(b)	Supplemental Indenture dated as of March 16, 2000 to Indenture dated as of October 14, 1997 among Registrant and Chase Trust Company of California regarding Liquid Yield Option Notes due 2017.	10-Q	001-04423	4(b)	September 12, 2000
4(c)	Second Supplemental Indenture to Indenture dated as of October 14, 1997 among Registrant and J.P. Morgan Trust Company (as successor to Chase Trust Company of California) regarding Liquid Yield Option Notes due 2017.	10-Q	001-04423	4(c)	September 10, 2004
4(d)	Form of Senior Indenture.	S-3	333-30786	4.1	March 17, 2000
4(e)	Form of Registrant's Fixed Rate Note and Floating Rate Note and related Officers' Certificate.	8-K	001-04423	4.1, 4.2 and 4.4	May 24, 2001

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

4(f)	Form of Registrant's 5.50% Global Note due July 1, 2007, and form of related Officers' Certificate.	8-K	001-04423	4.1 and 4.3	June 27, 2002
4(g)	Form of Registrant's 6.50% Global Note due July 1, 2012, and form of related Officers' Certificate.	8-K	001-04423	4.2 and 4.3	June 27, 2002
4(h)	Form of Registrant's Fixed Rate Note and form of Floating Rate Note.	8-K	001-04423	4.1 and 4.2	December 11, 2002
4(i)	Form of Registrant's 3.625% Global Note due March 15, 2008, and related Officers' Certificate.	8-K	001-04423	4.1 and 4.2	March 14, 2003
4(j)	Indenture, dated as of June 1, 2000, between the Registrant and J.P. Morgan Trust Company, National Association (formerly Chase Manhattan Bank), as Trustee.	S-3	333-134327	4.9	June 7, 2006
4(k)	Form of Registrant's Floating Rate Global Note due March 1, 2012, form of 5.25% Global Note due March 1, 2012 and form of 5.40% Global Note due March 1, 2017.	8-K	001-04423	4.1, 4.2 and 4.3	February 28, 2007
4(l)	Form of Registrant's Floating Rate Global Note due June 15, 2009 and Floating Rate Global Note due June 15, 2010.				
4(m)	Speciman certificate for the Registrant's common stock.	8-A/A	001-04423	4.1	June 23, 2006
10(a)	Registrant's 2004 Stock Incentive Plan.*	S-8	333-114253	4.1	April 7, 2004
10(b)	Registrant's 2000 Stock Plan, amended and restated effective May 1, 2007.*	10-Q	001-04423	10(b)	June 8, 2007
10(c)	Registrant's 1997 Director Stock Plan, amended and restated effective November 1, 2005.*	8-K	001-04423	99.4	November 23, 2005
10(d)	Registrant's 1995 Incentive Stock Plan, amended and restated effective May 1, 2007.*	10-Q	001-04423	10(d)	June 8, 2007

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

10(e)	Registrant's 1990 Incentive Stock Plan, amended and restated effective May 1, 2007.*	10-Q	001-04423	10(e)	June 8, 2007
10(f)	Compaq Computer Corporation 2001 Stock Option Plan, amended and restated effective November 21, 2002.*	10-K	001-04423	10(f)	January 21, 2003
10(g)	Compaq Computer Corporation 1998 Stock Option Plan, amended and restated effective November 21, 2002.*	10-K	001-04423	10(g)	January 21, 2003
10(h)	Compaq Computer Corporation 1995 Equity Incentive Plan, amended and restated effective November 21, 2002.*	10-K	001-04423	10(h)	January 21, 2003
10(i)	Compaq Computer Corporation 1989 Equity Incentive Plan, amended and restated effective November 21, 2002.*	10-K	001-04423	10(i)	January 21, 2003
10(j)	Compaq Computer Corporation 1985 Nonqualified Stock Option Plan for Non-Employee Directors.*	S-3	333-86378	10.5	April 18, 2002
10(k)	Amendment of Compaq Computer Corporation Non-Qualified Stock Option Plan for Non-Employee Directors, effective September 3, 2001.*	S-3	333-86378	10.11	April 18, 2002
10(l)	Compaq Computer Corporation 1998 Former Nonemployee Replacement Option Plan.*	S-3	333-86378	10.9	April 18, 2002
10(m)	Registrant's Excess Benefit Retirement Plan, amended and restated as of January 1, 2006.*	8-K	001-04423	10.2	September 21, 2006
10(n)	Hewlett-Packard Company Cash Account Restoration Plan, amended and restated as of January 1, 2005.*	8-K	001-04423	99.3	November 23, 2005
10(o)	Registrant's 2005 Pay-for-Results Plan.*	8-K	001-04423	99.5	November 23, 2005
10(p)	Registrant's 2005 Executive Deferred Compensation Plan, as amended and restated effective October 1, 2006.*	8-K	001-04423	10.1	September 21, 2006

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

10(q)	First Amendment to the Registrant's 2005 Executive Deferred Compensation Plan, as amended and restated effective October 1, 2006.*	10-Q	001-04423	10(q)	June 8, 2007
10(r)	Employment Agreement, dated March 29, 2005, between Registrant and Mark V. Hurd.*	8-K	001-04423	99.1	March 30, 2005
10(s)	Employment Agreement, dated June 9, 2005, between Registrant and R. Todd Bradley.*	10-Q	001-04423	10(x)	September 8, 2005
10(t)	Employment Agreement, dated July 11, 2005, between Registrant and Randall D. Mott.*	10-Q	001-04423	10(y)	September 8, 2005
10(u)	Registrant's Amended and Restated Severance Plan for Executive Officers.*	8-K	001-04423	99.1	July 27, 2005
10(v)	Form letter to participants in the Registrant's Pay-for-Results Plan for fiscal year 2006.*	10-Q	001-04423	10(w)	March 10, 2006
10(w)	Registrant's Executive Severance Agreement.*	10-Q	001-04423	10(u)(u)	June 13, 2002
10(x)	Registrant's Executive Officers Severance Agreement.*	10-Q	001-04423	10(v)(v)	June 13, 2002
10(y)	Form letter regarding severance offset for restricted stock and restricted units.*	8-K	001-04423	10.2	March 22, 2005
10(z)	Form of Indemnity Agreement between Compaq Computer Corporation and its executive officers.*	10-Q	001-04423	10(x)(x)	June 13, 2002

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

10(a)(a)	Form of Stock Option Agreement for Registrant's 2004 Stock Incentive Plan, Registrant's 2000 Stock Plan, as amended, Registrant's 1995 Incentive Stock Plan, as amended, the Compaq Computer Corporation 2001 Stock Option Plan, as amended, the Compaq Computer Corporation 1998 Stock Option Plan, as amended, the Compaq Computer Corporation 1995 Equity Incentive Plan, as amended and the Compaq Computer Corporation 1989 Equity Incentive Plan, as amended.*	10-Q	001-04423	10(a)(a)	June 8, 2007
10(b)(b)	Form of Restricted Stock Agreement for Registrant's 2004 Stock Incentive Plan, Registrant's 2000 Stock Plan, as amended, and Registrant's 1995 Incentive Stock Plan, as amended.*	10-Q	001-04423	10(b)(b)	June 8, 2007
10(c)(c)	Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement for Registrant's 2004 Stock Incentive Plan.*	10-Q	001-04423	10(c)(c)	June 8, 2007
10(d)(d)	Form of Stock Option Agreement for Registrant's 1990 Incentive Stock Plan, as amended.*	10-K	001-04423	10(e)	January 27, 2000
10(e)(e)	Form of Common Stock Payment Agreement and Option Agreement for Registrant's 1997 Director Stock Plan, as amended.*	10-Q	001-04423	10(j)(j)	March 11, 2005
10(f)(f)	Form of Restricted Stock Grant Notice for the Compaq Computer Corporation 1989 Equity Incentive Plan.*	10-Q	001-04423	10(w)(w)	June 13, 2002
10(g)(g)	Forms of Stock Option Notice for the Compaq Computer Corporation Non-Qualified Stock Option Plan for Non-Employee Directors, as amended.*	10-K	001-04423	10(r)(r)	January 14, 2005
10(h)(h)	Form of Long-Term Performance Cash Award Agreement for Registrant's 2004 Stock Incentive Plan and Registrant's 2000 Stock Plan, as amended.*	10-K	001-04423	10(t)(t)	January 14, 2005

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

10(i)(i)	Amendment One to the Long-Term Performance Cash Award Agreement for the 2004 Program.*	10-Q	001-04423	10(q)(q)	September 8, 2005
10(j)(j)	Form of Long-Term Performance Cash Award Agreement for the 2005 Program.*	10-Q	001-04423	10(r)(r)	September 8, 2005
10(k)(k)	Form of Long-Term Performance Cash Award Agreement.*	10-Q	001-04423	10(o)(o)	March 10, 2006
11	None.				
12	Statement of Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges.				
15	None.				
18-19	None.				
22-24	None.				
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.				
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.				
32	Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.				

*
Indicates management contract or compensatory plan, contract or arrangement.

Filed herewith.

Furnished herewith.

The registrant agrees to furnish to the Commission supplementally upon request a copy of (1) any instrument with respect to long-term debt not filed herewith as to which the total amount of securities authorized thereunder does not exceed 10 percent of the total assets of the registrant and its subsidiaries on a consolidated basis and (2) any omitted schedules to any material plan of acquisition, disposition or reorganization set forth above.

QuickLinks

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES INDEX

PART I

Item 1. Financial Statements.

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES Consolidated Condensed Statements of Earnings (Unaudited)

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES Consolidated Condensed Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

Item 6. Exhibits.

SIGNATURE

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES EXHIBIT INDEX