

APTARGROUP INC  
Form DEF 14A  
March 20, 2012

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

**SCHEDULE 14A**

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of  
the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No. )

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement
- Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))**
- Definitive Proxy Statement
- Definitive Additional Materials
- Soliciting Material under §240.14a-12

**AptarGroup, Inc.**

---

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

---

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- No fee required.
- Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
  - (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
  - (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
  - (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

Edgar Filing: APTARGROUP INC - Form DEF 14A

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

o Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

o Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

---

Edgar Filing: APTARGROUP INC - Form DEF 14A

Table of Contents

475 West Terra Cotta Avenue, Suite E  
Crystal Lake, Illinois 60014  
815-477-0424

March 20, 2012

Dear Stockholder,

It is my pleasure to invite you to attend our annual meeting of stockholders on May 2, 2012. At the meeting, we will review AptarGroup's performance for fiscal year 2011 and our outlook for the future.

We are pleased to take advantage of the Securities and Exchange Commission rule allowing companies to furnish proxy materials to their stockholders over the Internet. We believe that this e-proxy process expedites stockholders' receipt of proxy materials, while also lowering the costs and reducing the environmental impact of our annual meeting. Today, we mailed to most of our stockholders a Notice of 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders containing instructions on how to access our 2012 proxy statement and annual report and vote online. All other stockholders will continue to receive a copy of the proxy statement and annual report by mail unless they elect to receive the annual meeting materials over the Internet.

The Notice and proxy statement contain instructions on how you can (i) receive a paper copy of the proxy statement and annual report, if you only received a Notice by mail, or (ii) elect to receive your proxy statement and annual report over the Internet, if you received them by mail this year.

A notice of the annual meeting and proxy statement are attached. You will also find enclosed voting instructions. The vote of each stockholder is important to us. Whether or not you expect to attend the annual meeting, I urge you to vote by the Internet or by telephone, or alternatively, to complete and return a paper proxy card as soon as possible.

***Help us "go green" and reduce costs. For those stockholders who are still receiving paper copies of our proxy statement and annual report, please consider contacting your broker or visit [www.proxyvote.com](http://www.proxyvote.com) to request electronic delivery or a Notice which will reduce the amount of paper materials needed to conduct our annual meeting.***

I look forward to seeing you on May 2 and addressing your questions and comments.

Sincerely,

Stephen J. Hagge  
*President and Chief Executive Officer*

---

Table of Contents

475 West Terra Cotta Avenue, Suite E  
Crystal Lake, Illinois 60014  
815-477-0424

March 20, 2012

**NOTICE OF 2012 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS**

**Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to Be Held on May 2, 2012: The Proxy Statement and the 2011 Annual Report/Form 10-K are available at [www.proxyvote.com](http://www.proxyvote.com).**

The annual meeting of stockholders of AptarGroup, Inc. will be held on May 2, 2012, at 9:00 a.m. (local time), at the offices of Sidley Austin LLP, One South Dearborn Street, Chicago, Illinois, 60603 to consider and take action on the following:

1. To elect the three director nominees named in the proxy statement to terms of office expiring at the annual meeting in 2015;
2. To approve, on an advisory basis, AptarGroup's executive compensation;
3. To ratify the appointment of the independent registered public accounting firm; and
4. To transact any other business that is properly raised at the meeting.

**Your Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR all of the director nominees, FOR the resolution on executive compensation, and FOR the ratification of the appointment of the independent registered public accounting firm.**

Stockholders owning our common stock as of the close of business on March 8, 2012, are entitled to vote at the annual meeting. Each stockholder has one vote per share.

Whether or not you plan to attend the annual meeting, we urge you to vote your shares by using the Internet (which is the most cost effective means for AptarGroup), toll free telephone number or by completing and mailing a paper proxy card.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

Robert W. Kuhn  
Secretary  
i

Table of Contents

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b><u>Annual Meeting Information</u></b>	<b>1</b>
<u>Who is entitled to vote?</u>	1
<u>What am I voting on?</u>	1
<u>How does the Board of Directors recommend I vote on the proposals?</u>	2
<u>How do I vote?</u>	2
<u>What is a quorum?</u>	3
<u>How are shares in a 401(k) plan voted?</u>	3
<u>How are shares held in a broker account voted?</u>	3
<u>How many votes are required to approve each proposal?</u>	4
<u>Who will count the votes?</u>	4
<u>How can I reduce the environmental impact of our annual meeting?</u>	4
<b><u>Proposal 1 Election of Directors</u></b>	<b>5</b>
<u>Nominees for Election</u>	6
<u>Directors Whose Present Terms Continue</u>	7
<u>Corporate Governance</u>	11
<u>Board Meeting Attendance</u>	17
<u>Board Compensation</u>	17
<b><u>Proposal 2 Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation</u></b>	<b>20</b>
<b><u>Proposal 3 Ratification of the Appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm for 2012</u></b>	<b>21</b>
<u>Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm Fees</u>	21
<b><u>Executive Officer Compensation</u></b>	<b>22</b>
<u>Compensation Discussion and Analysis</u>	22
<u>Compensation Committee Report</u>	37
<u>Summary Compensation Table</u>	38
<u>Grants of Plan Based Awards</u>	40
<u>Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End</u>	41
<u>Option Exercises and Stock Vested</u>	44
<u>Employment Agreements</u>	44
<u>Pension Benefits</u>	46
<u>Potential Payments upon Termination of Employment</u>	49
<b><u>Equity Compensation Plan Information</u></b>	<b>53</b>
<b><u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners, Directors and Management</u></b>	<b>54</b>
<b><u>Transactions with Related Persons</u></b>	<b>55</b>
<b><u>Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance</u></b>	<b>57</b>
<b><u>Audit Committee Report</u></b>	<b>57</b>
<b><u>Other Matters</u></b>	<b>58</b>
<u>Proxy Solicitation</u>	58
<u>Annual Report/Form 10-K</u>	58
<u>Stockholder Proposals</u>	58

Table of Contents

475 West Terra Cotta Ave, Suite E  
Crystal Lake, Illinois 60014

---

**PROXY STATEMENT**

---

**ANNUAL MEETING INFORMATION**

This proxy statement contains information related to the annual meeting of stockholders of AptarGroup, Inc. to be held on May 2, 2012, beginning at 9:00 a.m. (local time), at the offices of Sidley Austin LLP, One South Dearborn Street, Chicago, Illinois, 60603 and at any postponements or adjournments of the meeting. This proxy statement was prepared under the direction of AptarGroup's Board of Directors to solicit your proxy for use at the annual meeting. In accordance with rules and regulations adopted by the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"), instead of mailing a printed copy of our proxy materials to each stockholder of record or beneficial owner, we are furnishing proxy materials, which include this proxy statement and the accompanying proxy card, notice of meeting, and Annual Report/Form 10-K, to our stockholders over the Internet. If you received a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials ("Notice") by mail, you will not receive a printed copy of the proxy materials. Instead, the Notice instructs you as to how you may access and review all of the important information contained in the proxy materials. The Notice also instructs you as to how you may submit your proxy on the Internet. If you received a Notice by mail and would like to receive a printed copy of our proxy materials, you should follow the instructions for requesting such materials included in the Notice. The Notice will be mailed to stockholders on or about March 20, 2012.

***Who is entitled to vote?***

Stockholders owning our common stock at the close of business on March 8, 2012, are entitled to vote at the annual meeting, or any postponement or adjournment of the meeting. Each stockholder has one vote per share on all matters to be voted on at the meeting. At the close of business on March 8, 2012, there were 66,503,030 shares of common stock outstanding.

***What am I voting on?***

You are asked to vote on the following proposals:

To elect the three director nominees named in this proxy statement to terms of office expiring at the annual meeting in 2015

To approve, on an advisory basis, our executive compensation

To ratify the appointment of the independent registered public accounting firm

Table of Contents

The Board of Directors knows of no other business that will be presented at the meeting. If other matters properly come before the annual meeting, the persons named as proxies will vote on them in accordance with their best judgment.

***How does the Board of Directors recommend I vote on the proposals?***

The Board has unanimously approved and recommends that you vote your shares:

FOR all of the director nominees

FOR the resolution regarding executive compensation

FOR the ratification of the appointment of the independent registered public accounting firm

Unless you give other instructions when voting your proxy, the persons named as proxies will vote in accordance with the recommendation of the Board.

***How do I vote?***

You can vote your proxy in any of the following ways:

- ◆ ***By Internet:*** AptarGroup encourages stockholders to vote by Internet because it allows the least costly method of tabulating votes. You can vote by Internet by following the instructions on the proxy card or the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials.
- ◆ ***By Telephone:*** You can vote by touch-tone telephone by following the instructions on the proxy card.
- ◆ ***By Mail:*** If you received proxy materials by mail or if you request a paper proxy card, you may elect to vote by mail. To do so, you should sign, date and complete the proxy card you receive and return it in the prepaid envelope which accompanied that proxy card.

When voting to elect directors, you have three options:

Vote for all nominees

Vote for only some of the nominees

Withhold authority to vote for all or some nominees

Table of Contents

When voting on the advisory vote on executive compensation and the ratification of the appointment of the independent registered public accounting firm, you again have three options, but they are different from those pertaining to the election of directors:

Vote FOR a given proposal

Vote AGAINST a given proposal

ABSTAIN from voting on a given proposal

If you return your proxy with no votes marked, your shares will be voted in the manner recommended by the Board on all matters presented in this Proxy Statement and as the proxy holders may determine in their discretion with respect to any other matters properly presented for a vote at the Annual Meeting.

You can revoke your proxy at any time before it is exercised by any of the following methods:

Entering a new vote by Internet or telephone

Writing to AptarGroup's Corporate Secretary

Submitting another signed proxy card with a later date

Voting in person at the annual meeting

***What is a quorum?***

A "quorum" is the presence at the meeting, in person or by proxy, of the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of AptarGroup's common stock on March 8, 2012. There must be a quorum for the meeting to be held.

***How are shares in a 401(k) plan voted?***

If you hold shares of AptarGroup through your 401(k) plan, you will be instructing the trustee how to vote your shares by voting by Internet or by telephone, or by completing and returning the proxy card. If you do not vote by Internet or telephone or if you do not return the proxy card, or if you return it with unclear voting instructions, the trustee will not vote the shares in your 401(k) account.

***How are shares held in a broker account voted?***

If you own shares through a broker, you should be contacted by your broker regarding a proxy card and whether telephone or Internet voting options are available. If you do not instruct your broker on how to vote your shares, your broker, as the registered holder of your shares, may represent your shares at the annual meeting for purposes of determining a quorum. Even without instructions, your broker may exercise discretion in voting for the



Table of Contents

ratification of the appointment of the independent registered public accounting firm. Brokers have authority to vote in their discretion on "routine" matters if they do not receive voting instructions from the beneficial owner of the shares. Other than the proposal regarding the ratification of the independent registered public accounting firm, all other proposals are not considered "routine" matters and, as a result, brokers may not vote on behalf of their clients if no voting instructions have been furnished. Broker non-votes are counted as shares present in determining whether the quorum requirement is satisfied but do not affect the outcome of whether a matter is approved.

***How many votes are required to approve each proposal?***

The three persons receiving the greatest number of votes will be elected to serve as directors. As a result, withholding authority to vote for a director nominee and non-votes with respect to the election of directors will not affect the outcome of the election. Approval of the proposal regarding the advisory vote on executive compensation and the ratification of the appointment of the independent registered public accounting firm, require the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares present at the meeting and entitled to vote on these proposals. Abstaining is the legal equivalent of voting against these proposals.

***Who will count the votes?***

Our agent, Broadridge Financial Solutions, Inc., will count the votes cast by proxy or in person at the annual meeting.

***How can I help reduce the environmental impact of our annual meeting?***

We encourage you to choose electronic (e-mail) delivery of future annual meeting materials by contacting your broker or visiting [www.proxyvote.com](http://www.proxyvote.com). Please follow the Vote By Internet instructions on the proxy card or the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials and you will be provided with the opportunity to choose electronic delivery for future meeting materials.

---

Following are the proposals to be voted on at this year's annual meeting.

---

---

## **PROPOSAL 1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS**

---

The Board of Directors is currently comprised of eleven members divided into three classes, with one class of directors elected each year for a three-year term. Effective at the annual meeting, the Board of Directors will be reduced to ten members. The Board of Directors proposes the following nominees, two of whom are currently serving as directors and one new nominee, to be elected for a new term expiring at the 2015 annual meeting. The Corporate Governance Committee of the Board of Directors engaged the executive search firm Egon Zehnder International for the purpose of identifying potential director nominee candidates. As a result of this engagement, the new nominee, Ms. Leslie A. Desjardins, was referred to the Corporate Governance Committee for evaluation and consideration, and has been nominated to stand for election in 2012. Messrs. Baustert and Goldstein are not standing for election in 2012.

If any of the director nominees is unable or fails to stand for election, the persons named in the proxy intend to vote for a substitute nominee nominated by the Corporate Governance Committee of the Board of Directors. The following sets forth information as to each nominee for election at this meeting and each director continuing in office.

We believe all of the members of the Board of Directors and our director nominee are individuals of outstanding character and sound judgment that have the business experience and acumen necessary to work together effectively and to make valuable contributions to the Board of Directors and management. As a U.S.-based company with significant international operations, particularly in Europe, we seek to maintain a balanced Board with approximately one-half of our directors being U.S. citizens and approximately one-half being citizens from countries other than the U.S. Additionally, we value the following attributes: operating experience in packaging or packaging-related businesses, skill sets which may include experience in finance, strategic planning, marketing, pharmaceutical products and manufacturing; diversity, including a mix of genders and multi-cultural viewpoints; and previous board of director experience.

Set forth below is biographical and other background information concerning each director and director nominee. This information includes each person's principal occupation as well as a discussion of the specific experience, qualifications, attributes, and skills of each person that led to the Board of Directors' conclusion that he or she should continue to serve as a director, or in the case of Ms. Desjardins, should be nominated to serve as a director. In addition, set forth below is the year during which each director began serving on the Board of Directors of AptarGroup and their age.

Table of Contents**NOMINEES FOR ELECTION AT THIS MEETING TO TERMS EXPIRING IN 2015**

<b>Name</b>	<b>Director Since</b>	<b>Age</b>	<b>Principal Occupation, Experience, and Directorships</b>
Leslie A. Desjardins		52	<p>From April 2007 until September 2011, Ms. Desjardins was Executive Vice President, Finance, of Amcor Ltd. (packaging). From February 2005 until February 2007, she served as Chief Financial Officer at General Motors Holden Ltd. (automotive). Prior to 2007, she was Controller and Executive Director for General Motors North America.</p> <p>The Board of Directors concluded that Ms. Desjardins should stand for election to the Board due to her background as Executive Vice President, Finance of Amcor Ltd., a global packaging company, her experience as CFO of a division of General Motors, a global manufacturer of automobiles, and her related international and senior management experiences. This experience has also led the Board to determine that Ms. Desjardins qualifies as an "audit committee financial expert" as defined by the SEC.</p>
Leo A. Guthart	1993	74	<p>Mr. Guthart has been the Managing Member of the General Partner of Topspin Partners L.P. (venture capital investing) since 2000. From 2001 to 2003, he was Executive Vice President of the Home and Building Control Group of Honeywell International Inc.</p> <p>The Board of Directors concluded that Mr. Guthart should continue to serve as a director of AptarGroup in part due to his role as the founder of a venture capital fund, his background as Chief Executive Officer of a division of a leading global manufacturer, his role as former Vice Chairman of AptarGroup's former parent company, Pittway Corporation, and his financial and senior management experience. This experience has also led the Board to determine that Mr. Guthart is an "audit committee financial expert" as defined by the SEC.</p>

Table of Contents

<b>Name</b>	<b>Director Since</b>	<b>Age</b>	<b>Principal Occupation, Experience, and Directorships</b>
Ralf K. Wunderlich	2009	45	Mr. Wunderlich has been a member of Amcor's Global Executive Team and President of the business group Amcor Flexibles Asia Pacific (packaging solutions) since 2010. He had been President and Managing Director of LINPAC Packaging Ltd. and an executive director of LINPAC Group Ltd. from 2008 through 2009. From 2005 to 2007, Mr. Wunderlich was President of Alcan Packaging, Global Tobacco Packaging. In 2010, Mr. Wunderlich became a director of AMVIG Holdings Limited, a cigarette packaging and printing company listed on the Hong Kong Stock Exchange.

The Board of Directors concluded that Mr. Wunderlich should continue to serve as a director of AptarGroup in part due to his executive positions at leading global packaging companies, his knowledge of and background in the packaging industry, his international experience in working with and from various European, American and Asian countries and his global financial and senior management experience.

**The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR each of the nominees for Director.**

**DIRECTORS WHOSE PRESENT TERMS CONTINUE UNTIL 2013**

<b>Name</b>	<b>Director Since</b>	<b>Age</b>	<b>Principal Occupation, Experience, and Directorships</b>
Alain Chevassus	2001	67	Mr. Chevassus has been President of COSFIBEL (flexible plastic packaging) since 2000.

The Board of Directors concluded that Mr. Chevassus should continue to serve as a director of AptarGroup in part due to his executive role as President of COSFIBEL, his knowledge of and background in the global packaging, merchandising solutions and cosmetics industries, particularly with respect to product categories that are important to AptarGroup, and his global financial and senior management experience.

Table of Contents

<b>Name</b>	<b>Director Since</b>	<b>Age</b>	<b>Principal Occupation, Experience, and Directorships</b>
Stephen J. Hagge	2001	60	<p>Mr. Hagge is the President and Chief Executive Officer of AptarGroup. He served as AptarGroup's Chief Operating Officer from 2008 to 2011 and as Executive Vice President and Secretary from 1993 to 2011. He served as AptarGroup's Chief Financial Officer from 1993 to 2008. In 2010, Mr. Hagge became a director of CF Industries Holdings, Inc. (nitrogen and phosphate fertilizer manufacturer).</p> <p>The Board of Directors concluded that Mr. Hagge should continue to serve as a director of AptarGroup in part due to his role as President and Chief Executive Officer, his deep understanding of AptarGroup's business, as demonstrated by his 30 years as an executive of AptarGroup and its predecessor company, his knowledge of and background in the global dispensing systems and consumer packaging industry and his financial and senior management experience.</p>
Giovanna Kampouri Monnas	2010	56	<p>Ms. Monnas has been an independent consultant since 1996. She has served in executive positions at Joh. Benckiser GmbH (consumer products company) from 1989 to 1996, including as President of Benckiser International, and at The Procter &amp; Gamble Company (consumer products company) from 1981 to 1988. For more than the past five years, Ms. Monnas has been a member of the Supervisory Board of Randstad Holding nv (a company publicly listed on the Euroenext Amsterdam Exchange providing human resources services). She is also a non-executive director of Puig S.L. (fragrance, cosmetic and fashion products). From 2005 until 2009 Ms. Monnas was a member of the supervisory board of TNT N.V. (mail, express and logistics services).</p> <p>The Board of Directors concluded that Ms. Monnas should continue to serve as a director of AptarGroup in part due to her executive positions at leading global consumer marketing companies, her knowledge of and background in the fragrance and cosmetic markets, which are particularly important to AptarGroup, and her global marketing and senior management experience.</p>

Table of Contents**DIRECTORS WHOSE PRESENT TERMS CONTINUE UNTIL 2014**

<b>Name</b>	<b>Director Since</b>	<b>Age</b>	<b>Principal Occupation, Experience, and Directorships</b>
George L. Fotiades	2011	58	<p>Mr. Fotiades has been Chairman and Operating Partner of Healthcare Investments at Diamond Castle Holdings LLC (private equity investing) since 2007. From 2004 until 2006, Mr. Fotiades served as President and Chief Operating Officer of Cardinal Health, Inc. From 2000 to 2004, he served as President and CEO of Cardinal's Pharmaceutical Technologies and Services segment, which was subsequently acquired by Blackstone and renamed Catalent Pharma Solutions. Mr. Fotiades also served as Catalent's Chairman from 2007 until 2010. Prior to 2000, he held various senior executive roles at Cardinal Health, and other companies, including President of the former Warner-Lambert's Consumer Health Products Group (now part of Johnson &amp; Johnson) and President of Bristol-Myers Squibb's Consumer Products, Japan division. Mr. Fotiades is a member of the board of directors of the following companies: Prologis (integrated distribution facilities and services) since 2001 and Cantel Medical (infection prevention and control products) since 2008. He was a director of Alberto-Culver (personal care and beauty products) from 2006 until the closing of the acquisition of Alberto Culver by Unilever PLC in 2011. He also represents Diamond Castle on the boards of several privately held companies.</p> <p>The Board of Directors concluded that Mr. Fotiades should continue to serve as a director of AptarGroup in part due to his senior executive experience, extensive knowledge of and background in the consumer products and healthcare markets, as well as his board level experience with global organizations.</p>
King W. Harris	1993	68	<p>Mr. Harris has been Chairman of the Board since 1996. Since 2000, he has been Chairman of Harris Holdings, Inc. (investments). He was a director and member of the audit committee of Alberto-Culver (personal care and beauty products) from 2006 until the closing of the acquisition of Alberto Culver by Unilever PLC in 2011.</p>

## Edgar Filing: APTARGROUP INC - Form DEF 14A

### Table of Contents

Name	Director Since	Age	Principal Occupation, Experience, and Directorships
			<p>The Board of Directors concluded that Mr. Harris should continue to serve as a director of AptarGroup in part due to his role as former President and Chief Executive Officer of AptarGroup's former parent company, Pittway Corporation, where he had responsibility for overseeing Pittway's packaging businesses from 1978 to 1993, his experience as a director of public, private and civic organizations and his acquisition, marketing, sales and senior management experience, which includes merging Pittway's Seaquist Division with the Pfeiffer Companies to form AptarGroup in 1993.</p>
Peter H. Pfeiffer	1993	63	<p>Mr. Pfeiffer served as President and Chief Executive Officer of AptarGroup from 2008 to 2011. Prior to this appointment, he had been Vice Chairman of the Board from 1993 to 2008.</p> <p>The Board of Directors concluded that Mr. Pfeiffer should continue to serve as a director of AptarGroup due to his deep understanding of AptarGroup's business, as demonstrated by his more than 30 years as an executive of AptarGroup and the Pfeiffer Companies, his knowledge of and background in the global dispensing systems and consumer packaging industry and his related manufacturing, engineering, marketing and senior management experience.</p>
Dr. Joanne C. Smith	1999	51	<p>Dr. Smith is a physician at the Rehabilitation Institute of Chicago ("RIC") and became RIC's President and Chief Executive Officer in 2006. From 2005 until 2006, Dr. Smith was President of RIC's National Division and from 2002 to 2005, she served as RIC's Senior Vice President, Corporate Strategy. For more than the past five years, Dr. Smith has been a director of Hill-Rom, Inc. (healthcare, medical technology, formerly Hillenbrand Industries).</p> <p>The Board of Directors concluded that Dr. Smith should continue to serve as a director of AptarGroup in part due to her executive background as President and Chief Executive Officer of a leading research and healthcare rehabilitation organization, her public company director experience, her knowledge of and background in the healthcare and medical technology industry, which is particularly relevant for AptarGroup's Pharma business, and her strategic planning, operations and senior management experience.</p>

Table of Contents

## **CORPORATE GOVERNANCE**

AptarGroup's corporate governance documents, including our *Corporate Governance Principles*, *Code of Business Conduct and Ethics*, *Director Independence Standards*, and Board Committee Charters, are available through the Corporate Governance link on the Investor Relations page of the AptarGroup web site at the following address: <http://www.aptar.com>. Within the time period required by the SEC and the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE"), we will post on our website any amendment to or waiver from the Code of Conduct applicable to any executive officer or director. The information provided on our website is not part of this report and is therefore not incorporated herein by reference.

### ***Corporate Governance Principles***

The Board of Directors of AptarGroup ("Board") has adopted a set of *Corporate Governance Principles* to provide guidelines for AptarGroup and the Board to ensure effective corporate governance. The *Corporate Governance Principles* cover topics including, but not limited to, director qualification standards, Board and committee composition, director responsibilities, director compensation, director access to management and independent advisors, director orientation and continuing education, succession planning and the annual evaluations of the Board and its committees. The Corporate Governance Committee is responsible for overseeing and reviewing the *Corporate Governance Principles* and recommending to the Board any changes to the principles.

### ***Code of Business Conduct and Ethics; Policy Against Hedging***

Ethical business conduct is a shared value of our Board, management and employees. AptarGroup's *Code of Business Conduct and Ethics* ("*Code*") applies to our Board as well as our employees and officers, including our principal executive officer and our principal financial and accounting officer.

The Code covers all areas of professional conduct, including, but not limited to, conflicts of interest, disclosure obligations, insider trading, confidential information, as well as compliance with all laws, rules and regulations applicable to AptarGroup's business. AptarGroup encourages all employees, officers and directors to promptly report any violations of the Code to the appropriate persons identified in the Code. In the event that an amendment to, or a waiver from, a provision of the Code that applies to any of our directors or executive officers is necessary, AptarGroup intends to post such information on its web site. As of the date of the mailing of this proxy statement, there are no such amendments or waivers.

Our Board has also adopted a policy that prohibits employees, executive officers and directors from engaging in hedging transactions involving any equity security of AptarGroup.



Table of Contents

***Common Stock Ownership Guidelines***

In 2010, the Board adopted stock ownership guidelines that require non-executive directors to hold shares of AptarGroup common stock having a value of at least \$150,000. Under the guidelines, directors have to achieve the respective level of ownership within five years from the measurement date of July 20, 2010, which is the date when the guidelines were adopted, or if they became a director after the measurement date, within five years from becoming a director.

***Board Structure***

The Chairman of the Board is an independent director who is not an executive officer or employee of the Company. The Company believes that having an independent Chairman enhances the oversight ability of the Board. An independent Chairman can also provide stability and continuity during senior management transitions.

The Board has four committees: the Audit, Compensation, Corporate Governance, and Executive Committees. Each committee is governed by a charter approved by the Board. Each member of the Audit, Compensation, and Corporate Governance Committees has been determined to be independent as discussed below under "Independence of Directors". Committees report their actions to the full Board at each next regular meeting. An affirmative vote of at least 70% of the Board is required to change the size, membership or powers of these committees, to fill vacancies in them, or to dissolve them.

***Risk Oversight***

The Board is responsible for the Company's risk oversight. The Board receives a presentation annually that is prepared by management. This presentation includes an assessment and discussion of various risks, including but not limited to, operational, liquidity, and compensation practice risks. The Company has concluded that there are not any compensation policies or practices that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company. In addition, at each Audit Committee meeting, the Audit Committee discusses whether any new financial risks have arisen and the steps management has taken to monitor and control any such exposures.

***Independence of Directors***

Our *Corporate Governance Principles* provide that the Board must be composed of a majority of independent directors. No director qualifies as independent unless the Board affirmatively determines that the director has no material relationship with AptarGroup either directly or indirectly as a partner, stockholder or officer of an organization that has a relationship with AptarGroup. Our Board has determined that nine out of eleven current directors and the new director nominee are independent in accordance with the New York Stock Exchange listing standards. Those individuals determined to be independent are: S. Baustert, A. Chevassus, L. Desjardins, G. Fotiades, R. Goldstein, L. Guthart, K. Harris, G. Kampouri Monnas, J. Smith, and R. Wunderlich. The Board has made this determination

Table of Contents

based on the following categorical standards, in addition to any other relevant facts and circumstances. These standards provide that a director generally will not be independent if:

The director is or has been an employee of the Company within the last three years or has an immediate family member who is or has been an executive officer of the Company within the last three years.

The director has received or an immediate family member has received, during any twelve-month period within the last three years, more than \$120,000 in direct compensation from the Company other than director and committee fees and pension or other forms of deferred compensation for prior service (provided such compensation is not contingent in any way on continued service).

The director is, or has an immediate family member who is, a current partner of a firm that is the Company's internal or external auditor ("Firm").

The director is a current employee of such Firm.

The director has an immediate family member who is a current employee of such Firm and who participates in the Firm's audit, assurance or tax compliance (but not tax planning) practice.

The director was, or has an immediate family member who was, within the last three years but is no longer a partner or employee of such Firm and personally worked on the Company's audit within that time.

The director or an immediate family member is, or has been within the last three years, employed as an executive officer of another company where any of the Company's present executive officers at the same time serves or served on that company's compensation committee.

The director is a current employee or an immediate family member is a current executive officer of another company that has made payments to, or received payments from, the Company for property or services in an amount which, in any of the last three fiscal years, exceeds the greater of \$1 million, or 2% of such other company's consolidated gross revenues.

The director or an immediate family member is, or has been within the last three years, a director or executive officer of another company that is indebted to the Company, or to which the Company is indebted, if the total amount of either company's indebtedness for borrowed money to the other is or was 2% or more of the other company's total consolidated assets.

The director or an immediate family member is, or has been within the last three years, an officer, director or trustee of a charitable organization if the Company's, or any executive officer's, annual charitable contributions to the organization exceeds or exceeded the greater of \$1 million, or 2% of such charitable organization's gross revenue.

Table of Contents

The Board considers the following to be immaterial when making independence determinations:

If a director is an officer, director or trustee of a charitable organization or entity to which the Company has made grants or contributions in the past year of less than \$100,000.

Investments by Mr. Harris in a private equity fund managed by Mr. Guthart which, in the aggregate, are less than 1% of the fund's total net asset value.

Ms. Monnas' membership on the Board of Directors of Puig S.L., a customer of AptarGroup.

Mr. Wunderlich's position as President of Amcor Flexibles Asia Pacific, a division of Amcor, from which AptarGroup purchases goods in the normal course of business that total less than one tenth of one percent of Amcor's revenues and, where Amcor may from time to time be a customer of AptarGroup and purchase goods in the normal course of business from AptarGroup that total less than one tenth of one percent of AptarGroup's revenues.

*Executive Sessions*

Non-management directors meet regularly in executive sessions without management. "Non-management" directors are all those who are not Company officers. Executive sessions are led by a "Presiding Director." An executive session is held in conjunction with each regularly scheduled Board meeting and other sessions may be called by the Presiding Director in his or her own discretion or at the request of the Board. Mr. Harris has been designated as the Presiding Director.

*Nomination of Directors*

It is the policy of the Corporate Governance Committee to consider candidates for director recommended by stockholders. The Board has established a maximum age limit for director nominees. Nominees must be 74 years old or younger at the time of election. In order to recommend a candidate, stockholders must submit the individual's name and qualifications in writing to the Committee (in care of the Secretary at AptarGroup's principal executive office at 475 West Terra Cotta Avenue, Suite E, Crystal Lake, Illinois 60014) and otherwise in accordance with all of the procedures outlined under "Other Matters - Stockholder Proposals" for a director nomination.

In identifying and evaluating nominees for Director, the Committee takes into account the applicable requirements for directors under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and the listing standards of the New York Stock Exchange. In addition, the Committee may take into consideration such factors and criteria as it deems appropriate, including, but not limited to, the nominee's character, judgment, business experience and acumen, as well as the overall diversity of the Board. Because the Company's operations and customers are located in many different geographic regions, the Committee considers

Table of Contents

international perspectives and cultural diversity when evaluating potential candidates. The Committee also believes that a mix of genders is necessary to have a well-balanced and representative Board. In addition to nominees recommended by stockholders, the Committee also considers candidates recommended by management or other members of the Board. The Committee evaluates candidates recommended for Director by stockholders in the same way that it evaluates any other nominee. The Committee may engage outside advisors to identify potential Director candidates from time to time. The effectiveness of the nomination process is evaluated by the Board each year as part of its annual self-evaluation and more formally by the Corporate Governance Committee as it evaluates and identifies Director candidates.

***Communications with the Board of Directors***

The Board has established a process for stockholders and other interested parties to communicate with the Board or an individual director, including the Presiding Director or the non-management directors as a group. A stockholder or other interested party may contact the Board or an individual director by writing to their attention at AptarGroup's principal executive offices at 475 West Terra Cotta Avenue, Suite E, Crystal Lake, Illinois 60014. Communications received in writing are distributed to the Board or to individual directors as appropriate in accordance with procedures approved by AptarGroup's independent directors.

***Audit Committee***

The Board has determined that each member of the Audit Committee is financially literate and independent in accordance with the requirements of the New York Stock Exchange. The Board has also determined that Messrs. Guthart, Baustert and Goldstein qualify as "audit committee financial experts" as that term is defined in rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission implementing requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. In reaching this latter determination, the Board considered, among other things, the relevant experience of Mr. Guthart as described under "Election of Directors" in this proxy statement and of Messrs. Baustert and Goldstein under "Election of Directors" in the proxy statement for the 2011 annual meeting of stockholders. The Audit Committee operates under a written charter that complies with all regulatory requirements.

This committee oversees the financial reporting process, system of internal controls and audit process of AptarGroup and reviews AptarGroup's annual and interim financial statements. In addition, the Audit Committee reviews the qualifications, independence and audit scope of AptarGroup's external auditor and is responsible for the appointment, retention, termination, compensation and oversight of the external auditor. This committee also reviews AptarGroup's process for monitoring compliance with laws, regulations and its *Code of Business Conduct and Ethics*. The Audit Committee also approves or ratifies all related party transactions in excess of \$120,000.

***Compensation Committee***

The Compensation Committee is comprised solely of independent directors and is appointed by the Board to discharge the Board's responsibilities relating to compensation of

Table of Contents

the Company's executives. This committee may not delegate its authority. The Compensation Committee reviews and recommends to the Board compensation plans, policies and programs, as well as approves CEO and executive officer compensation, and employment and severance agreements, including change-in-control provisions. The Compensation Committee provides input and recommendations to the Board regarding the performance objectives for the CEO and other executive officers and their actual performance against such objectives. In addition, this committee annually reviews the succession plans affecting corporate and other key management positions and approves grants and/or awards of restricted stock, stock options and other forms of equity-based compensation. For further information on this committee's procedures for consideration of executive compensation, see our "Compensation Discussion and Analysis".

The Compensation Committee receives recommendations annually from the CEO regarding the compensation levels of our other executive officers, including salary, annual performance incentives and equity compensation. For a further discussion of compensation information provided to the Compensation Committee by management, see our "Compensation Discussion and Analysis".

Under the Compensation Committee charter, this committee has the authority to retain outside advisers as deemed necessary. In 2011, the Compensation Committee engaged Towers Watson to be the Committee's adviser and has also done so for 2012.

***Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation***

None of the members of the Board who served on the Compensation Committee in 2011 (Messrs. Chevassus, Goldstein, Guthart and Harris) has interlocking relationships as defined by the SEC or had any relationships requiring disclosure by AptarGroup under the SEC's rules requiring disclosure of certain relationships and related party transactions. In 2011, Mr. Pfeiffer, President and Chief Executive Officer, and Mr. Hagge, Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer, participated in all discussions regarding salaries and incentive compensation for all of our executive officers, except during discussions regarding their own salary and incentive compensation. Messrs. Pfeiffer and Hagge made suggestions or recommendations during these discussions; however, all deliberations and determinations regarding the compensation of our executive officers were made solely by the Committee.

***Corporate Governance Committee***

The Corporate Governance Committee is comprised solely of independent directors. This committee identifies, evaluates and recommends to the Board individuals qualified to stand for election as directors, including nominations received from Board members, stockholders or outside parties. This committee evaluates candidates recommended for director by stockholders in the same way that it evaluates any other nominee. Additional information regarding director nominations can be found under the heading "Nomination of Directors".

The Corporate Governance Committee develops and recommends to the Board AptarGroup's corporate governance principles and standards to be applied in determining director independence. This committee reviews and recommends to the Board appropriate compensation for directors, taking into consideration, among other things, director compensation levels of companies with similar annual revenues as AptarGroup. This committee also makes recommendations to the Board regarding changes to the size and composition of the Board or any Board Committee.

***Executive Committee***

The Executive Committee exercises certain powers of the Board, when the Board is not in session, in the management of the business and affairs of AptarGroup.

Table of Contents**BOARD MEETING ATTENDANCE**

The Board met 7 times in 2011. No director attended fewer than 75% of the aggregate number of meetings of the Board and the committees on which each director served. AptarGroup does not have a formal policy regarding director attendance at the annual meeting of stockholders. Messrs. Hagge, Harris, and Pfeiffer attended the 2011 annual meeting.

**COMMITTEE MEMBERSHIP AND MEETINGS HELD**

Name	Corporate Governance	Audit	Compensation	Executive
S. Baustert(I)		X		
A. Chevassus(I)			X	
G. Fotiades(I)		X		
R. Goldstein(I)		X	X*	
L. Guthart(I)		X*	X	
S. Hagge				X
K. Harris(I)	X		X	X*
G. Kampouri Monnas(I)	X			
P. Pfeiffer				X
J. Smith(I)	X*			
R. Wunderlich(I)	X			
Number of Meetings in Fiscal 2011	4	10	6	4

X\* Chairperson; (I) Independent Director

**BOARD COMPENSATION**

Employees of AptarGroup do not receive any additional compensation for serving as members of the Board or any of its committees. In 2011, compensation of non-employee directors consisted of the following:

- ◆ an annual retainer of \$40,000, payable \$10,000 per quarter effective July 1, 2011, increased from the annual retainer of \$30,000, payable \$7,500 per quarter prior to July 1, 2011
- ◆ a fee of \$4,500 for each Board meeting attended in person and \$1,000 for any teleconference Board meeting
- ◆ a fee of \$1,500 for each committee meeting attended in person

Table of Contents

- ◆ \$1,000 for each phone meeting of the Audit Committee, and \$250 for each phone meeting of a committee other than the Audit Committee
- ◆ an annual retainer of \$10,000 for the Chairpersons of the Audit and Compensation Committees
- ◆ an annual retainer of \$7,500 for the Chairperson of the Corporate Governance Committee
- ◆ in lieu of the annual retainer and any meeting fees, an annual fee of \$120,000 is paid to the Chairman of the Board, who is not an executive of AptarGroup

Each director is reimbursed for out-of-pocket expenses incurred while attending Board and committee meetings.

Table of Contents

Pursuant to the 2008 Director Stock Option Plan, on May 6, 2011, each non-employee director was granted a non-qualifying option to purchase 9,500 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$51.26. The option shares granted to each non-employee director have a ten-year term and vest over a three-year period with one third becoming exercisable on each anniversary of the date of grant or the day before each annual meeting of stockholders.

**DIRECTOR COMPENSATION****Fees Earned or Paid in Cash**  
(\$)

<b>Name</b>	<b>Annual Retainer</b> (\$)	<b>Board Meeting and Committee Related Fees</b> (\$)	<b>Total Fees Earned or Paid in Cash</b> (\$)	<b>Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards</b> \$(1)(2)	<b>Total</b> (\$)
S. Baustert	35,000	33,000	68,000	114,000	182,000
A. Chevassus	35,000	27,500	62,500	114,000	176,500
G. Fotiades	20,000	16,000	36,000	114,000	150,000
R. Goldstein	35,000	49,500	84,500	114,000	198,500
L. Guthart	35,000	49,500	84,500	114,000	198,500
S. Hagge					
K. Harris	120,000		120,000	114,000	234,000
G. Kampouri Monnas	35,000	26,000	61,000	114,000	175,000
P. Pfeiffer					
J. Smith	35,000	34,500	69,500	114,000	183,500
R. Wunderlich	35,000	27,000	62,000	114,000	176,000

(1) Option Award amounts represent the grant date fair value using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. Assumptions used in the calculation of the grant date fair values are included in Note 14, "Stock-Based Compensation" to AptarGroup's audited financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2011, included in AptarGroup's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 24, 2012 ("AptarGroup's Financial Statements").

(2) The aggregate number of options outstanding as of December 31, 2011 for each non-employee director is as follows: S. Baustert 19,500, A. Chevassus 21,500, G. Fotiades 9,500, R. Goldstein 47,500, L. Guthart 39,500, K. Harris 39,500, G. Kampouri Monnas 17,500, J. Smith 39,500, and R. Wunderlich 23,500.



Table of Contents

---

## **PROPOSAL 2 ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION**

---

Under the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, AptarGroup stockholders are entitled to cast an advisory vote at the annual meeting to approve the compensation of AptarGroup's Named Executive Officers ("NEOs") as disclosed in the Compensation Discussion & Analysis ("CD&A") and tabular disclosures of this Proxy Statement. Since the required vote is advisory, the result of the vote is not binding upon the Board. AptarGroup currently intends to submit the compensation of our named executive officers annually, consistent with the advisory vote of the stockholders at our annual meeting held in 2011.

AptarGroup's compensation philosophy is to fairly reward our executives for growing our business and returning value to stockholders and to retain our experienced leaders.

The overall compensation program for NEOs has consistently included an annual performance incentive element that rewards the NEOs for the Company's short-term performance as well as an equity element (typically stock options or restricted stock units) that provides for long-term compensation that is driven by our share performance and, therefore, is aligned with our stockholders' interests. The specific objectives of our compensation program are that a substantial portion of the NEOs' compensation should be performance-based and should be delivered in the form of equity awards. Our CD&A describes our compensation philosophy and objectives in more detail.

The Board of Directors values the opinions of our stockholders. Although the resolution is non-binding, the Board will consider the outcome of the advisory vote when making future compensation decisions.

**The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR the following non-binding resolution:**

**"Resolved, that stockholders approve the compensation of the Company's NEOs as described in the CD&A, tabular disclosures, and other narrative executive compensation disclosures in this Proxy Statement."**

Table of Contents


---

## **PROPOSAL 3 RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LLP AS THE INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM FOR 2012**

---

AptarGroup is asking stockholders to ratify the Audit Committee's appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as AptarGroup's independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2012.

### *Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm Fees*

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP has audited AptarGroup's consolidated financial statements annually for over 10 years. Representatives of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP are expected to be present at the annual meeting and will have the opportunity to make a statement if they desire to do so. It is also expected that those representatives will be available to respond to appropriate questions.

The following table sets forth the aggregate fees charged to AptarGroup by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP for audit services rendered in connection with the audited consolidated financial statements and reports for the 2011 and 2010 fiscal years and for other services rendered during the 2011 and 2010 fiscal years to AptarGroup and its subsidiaries.

<b>Fee Category:</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>% of Total</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>% of Total</b>
Audit Fees	\$ 2,973,000	89%	\$ 2,980,000	67%
Tax Fees	372,000	11%	1,464,000	33%
<b>Total Fees</b>	<b>\$ 3,345,000</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>\$ 4,444,000</b>	<b>100%</b>

Audit Fees primarily represent amounts billed for the audit of AptarGroup's annual financial statements, including statutory audits of the financial statements at certain non-U.S. locations, the audit of our internal control over financial reporting, reviews of our quarterly financial statements, consents and reviewing documents to be filed with the SEC.

Tax fees primarily represent amounts billed for services related to tax advice on the Company's global tax structure, particularly to assess alternatives and compliance measures in response to the Company's business reorganization that began in 2010. Tax Fees also include tax compliance and preparation services including federal, state and international tax compliance and assistance with tax audits and appeals.

The Audit Committee's policies and procedures require pre-approval for all audit and permissible non-audit services to be performed by AptarGroup's independent registered public accounting firm. These services are pre-approved by the entire Audit Committee; however, the Committee may delegate to one or more of its members the authority to grant such preapprovals provided that any such decision of such member or members must be presented to the full Committee at its next scheduled meeting.

**The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR the ratification of the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm for 2012.**

Table of Contents

## **EXECUTIVE OFFICER COMPENSATION**

### *Compensation Discussion and Analysis*

#### *Executive Summary*

We are a leading global supplier of a broad range of innovative dispensing packaging solutions for the fragrance/cosmetic, personal care, pharmaceutical, household and food/beverage markets. We have operations located throughout the world including North America, Europe, Asia and South America and our senior management team is a diverse group of experienced executives who are based in these various regions. Accordingly, because certain executive officers reside in Europe, our compensation programs reflect a blend of both U.S. and local market practices in order for us to retain and motivate the best executive talent around the globe. The named executive officers ("NEOs") for 2011 are:

Peter H. Pfeiffer, our former President and Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") who retired December 31, 2011,

Stephen J. Hagge, formerly our Chief Operating Officer ("COO"), now our President and CEO effective January 1, 2012,

Robert W. Kuhn, Chief Financial Officer ("CFO") (principal financial officer),

Patrick Doherty, President of our Aptar Beauty + Home segment, and

Olivier Fourment, President of our Aptar Pharma segment.

Messrs. Pfeiffer and Fourment reside in Europe. The salary and annual performance incentive amounts for Mr. Pfeiffer are denominated in U.S. dollars while the salary and bonus amounts for Mr. Fourment are denominated in Euros. Our other three current NEOs reside in the United States.

Our compensation program objectives are, first and foremost, to fairly reward our executives for growing our business and returning value to stockholders, and secondly, to retain our experienced leaders. The low turnover rate at our senior management level has been a critical factor in the consistency of our long-term performance over the past 19 years. We believe that one of AptarGroup's competitive advantages has been, and will continue to be, the cohesiveness and long-term experience of our executive officer group. AptarGroup's NEOs for 2011 have been employed by the Company, on average, for nearly 30 years.

Our compensation programs include the following elements that support our objectives:

Pay that is reasonable and performance-based;

Significant amount of pay that is at risk (both annual and long-term), with a substantial amount provided in equity (and therefore linked with stockholders);

Stock ownership guidelines and limits on NEO stock trading and hedging;

Table of Contents

Reasonable employment and change-in-control agreements that are competitive in markets in which we compete for executive talent;

Reasonable retirement plans; and

Limited perquisites.

The year 2011 was one of the most successful years in AptarGroup's history with the achievement of the following results:

Record sales of \$2.3 billion, an increase of 13% (from the prior year);

Record operating income of \$287 million, an increase of 7%;

Record earnings per share of \$2.65, an increase of 7%; and

Return on equity of 13%.

The latter two elements listed above were components of the annual performance incentive formula used for 2011.

The Compensation Committee considered the tremendous support that our proposal on executive compensation received from stockholders at our May 2011 annual meeting and no changes were made to our principal compensation policies or practices. The NEO compensation elements for 2011 were consistent with elements traditionally used by AptarGroup and they included: salary, annual performance incentive amounts, restricted stock units (for certain NEOs) and stock options. We believe our compensation programs and philosophy have contributed to our long-term financial performance.

***Compensation Determination***

The Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors (the "Committee") has responsibility for approving the compensation programs for our NEOs and acts pursuant to a charter that has been approved by our Board and is available through the Corporate Governance link on the Investor Relations page of the AptarGroup web site located at: [www.aptar.com](http://www.aptar.com). Under this charter, the Committee has the authority to retain outside advisers as deemed necessary, and in 2011 the Committee retained Towers Watson, a global Human Resources consulting firm. The Board has determined that each member of the Compensation Committee meets the independence requirements of the New York Stock Exchange.

The Committee takes into account an assortment of factors and reviews a variety of information before setting annual compensation levels. As its starting point, the Committee considers the value in the long-term experience of our senior management team and the importance of retaining them. The Committee also reviews past compensation levels when setting current levels. Although the Committee does not solely rely on benchmarking to determine any element of compensation or overall compensation, the Committee does believe compensation data are important in order to confirm the competitiveness of the Company's compensation levels. AptarGroup has historically relied exclusively on size-appropriate

Table of Contents

published survey data as its sole source of compensation data. As discussed in more detail below, in 2011, AptarGroup developed a 16 company compensation peer group to be used as a secondary reference point to the published survey data. The Committee uses its judgment and past experience to determine appropriate compensation for each executive. The Committee also has historically intended to create a compensation program for NEOs that generally targets combined salary, annual performance incentives, and long-term incentives, including equity awards, ("total direct compensation") that targets the median with the ability to move to the 75<sup>th</sup> percentile, if performance warrants this, of similar amounts delivered to individuals with comparable duties and revenue responsibilities in companies similar in size to AptarGroup. A range is considered to allow AptarGroup and the Compensation Committee the flexibility to consider a wide variety of circumstances in setting pay such as executive tenure, company performance, and individual performance. However, as noted below, based on the study conducted by Towers Watson in 2011, AptarGroup's 2011 total direct compensation for our NEOs, on average, is at or below the 50<sup>th</sup> percentile relative to the market survey data. Specifically, average salary and average total annual cash compensation for our NEOs are at the median and average long-term incentives are below the 50<sup>th</sup> percentile relative to the market survey data.

We manage our business for the long-term benefit of all stakeholders and consequently we believe that it is important that our senior management receive a substantial portion of their compensation in the form of equity awards. By making equity awards a substantial portion of senior management compensation, we are ensuring that AptarGroup's leaders are personally sensitive to and aligned with the long-term interests of our stockholders, and that they are rewarded for increases in stockholder value. Historically, a substantial portion of NEO compensation has been delivered in the form of time-vested stock options and, to a lesser degree, restricted stock units. When determining the appropriate amount of equity compensation to be awarded to executive officers, the Committee considers the value of the equity award in relation to total compensation.

As mentioned, in 2011 AptarGroup worked with Towers Watson to develop a 16 company compensation proxy peer group that would serve as a supplement to the general industry published survey data that remains as the primary data source given its appropriateness from a size perspective. Additionally, the proxy peer group companies are used for industry financial comparison purposes and a source of data for compensation plan design characteristics. The following principles were applied in developing the proxy peer group:

U.S.-based companies that either compete with AptarGroup for market share or operate in similar industries as AptarGroup

Companies that compete with AptarGroup for capital

Competitors for senior executive talent (i.e., where AptarGroup would recruit senior talent from, and potentially lose executives to)

Emphasis on non-U.S operations, i.e., a majority of the peers have a significant percentage of revenue attributable to foreign operations

The degree to which certain companies list AptarGroup as a compensation peer

Table of Contents

Revenue and market capitalization

AptarGroup's Compensation Committee reviewed and approved the peer group, and from time to time will monitor the peer group for potential revisions in light of changing market or business conditions. The following 16 companies are contained in the peer group:

Annually the Committee reviews compensation survey information prepared by Towers Watson for the CEO and other executive officer positions, and in 2011, peer group compensation data was also considered for select NEOs. The compensation elements evaluated by Towers Watson are base salary, annual cash incentive compensation, and long-term incentive compensation. In 2011, when determining the compensation of executive officers other than the Messrs. Pfeiffer and Hagge, the Committee reviewed recommendations furnished by Messrs. Pfeiffer and Hagge, including salary, annual cash incentive, and option grant level recommendations.

For U.S.-based executive officers, salary and annual performance incentive survey data provided by Towers Watson is based on its U.S. Executive Compensation Database, a survey containing hundreds of companies from all industries. Data are adjusted to AptarGroup's revenue size using regression analysis (based on AptarGroup's revenue and the respective position's responsibilities, as summarized below). Long-term incentive compensation information is derived from Towers Watson's U.S. Long-term Incentive Plan Report, using data for companies with revenues between \$1 billion and \$3 billion (approximately 78 companies). The same compensation elements were also reviewed in Towers Watson's peer group proxy analysis for Messrs. Pfeiffer, Hagge and Kuhn.

For French-based executive officers, both U.S. and French Market data are reviewed. The U.S. Towers Watson data relies on the same sources and adjustments as mentioned above. All French Towers Watson data is based on Towers Watson's French Top Executive Survey, which includes approximately 76 companies.

For both the U.S. and French market data, given the adjustments made to the data to reflect AptarGroup's business and revenue size, the Committee does not consider the specific identities of the companies included in the surveys to be material for purposes of its compensation deliberations and, accordingly, the specific identities of the companies included within each survey sample are not disclosed to the Committee.

Table of Contents

The information related to base salary and annual cash incentive compensation that was provided by Towers Watson in 2011 was regressed based on the following annual revenue responsibilities, which are representative of AptarGroup's approximate revenue size:

CEO, COO and CFO: corporate revenues of approximately \$2.1 billion, and

Segment Presidents: group/segment revenues of approximately \$220 million to \$1.4 billion.

Based on Towers Watson's benchmarking analysis that was furnished to the Compensation Committee, AptarGroup's 2011 total direct compensation, on average, is between the 25<sup>th</sup> and 50<sup>th</sup> percentile relative to the published survey data and competitive with the 25<sup>th</sup> and 50<sup>th</sup> percentiles (depending on the role) relative to the peer group proxy data. Specifically, total direct compensation for AptarGroup's CEO and CFO were competitive with the 25<sup>th</sup> percentile relative to the peer group proxy data, and total direct compensation for AptarGroup's COO was competitive with the 50<sup>th</sup> percentile.

Fees paid to Towers Watson for services rendered in 2011 to the Compensation Committee for executive compensation consultation (including the proxy and survey benchmarking, participation in meetings with AptarGroup and its Compensation Committee and other requests from the Compensation Committee) totaled approximately \$138,500. Management also engaged Towers Watson for other services that were provided to the Company including work related to compensation market survey data for approximately 200 positions, job classification and grading projects, and actuarial work among others. These services were ratified by the Compensation Committee and totaled approximately \$325,000 in fees.

***Elements of Our Compensation Programs***

Cash Compensation:

Salary

Annual Performance Incentive (non-equity incentive compensation)

Equity-based Compensation:

Stock options

Restricted Stock Units ("RSUs")

Other:

Post-termination compensation (including severance, pension plans, profit sharing and savings plans)

Perquisites

Table of Contents

Salary. We believe that competitive salaries play an integral part in attracting and retaining executive talent.

Annual Performance Incentive. AptarGroup's annual performance incentive programs have historically included continuous improvement elements and incorporated metrics that provide fair but not overly excessive compensation. For example, in 2008, when AptarGroup achieved record results, the maximum annual performance incentive award for our CEO was 103% of base salary and has not been higher since then in spite of additional record results in both 2010 and 2011. We believe that our annual performance incentive plans accomplish these important objectives while rewarding short-term performance. Additional information on the Company's annual performance incentive plans can be found under the heading "Analysis of Our Compensation Programs." To encourage executive officer share ownership, executive officers may elect to receive up to 50% of their annual performance cash incentive in the form of RSUs. If an executive elects to receive a portion of his or her annual performance cash incentive in RSUs, the executive will also receive an additional 20% of the elected amount in the form of RSUs. The value of each RSU is determined by the closing share price on the New York Stock Exchange on the date of grant.

Equity-based Compensation. Equity awards granted to our NEOs are made pursuant to our Stock Awards Plans (the "SAP") which have been approved by stockholders. While the SAP provides for awards in the form of stock options, restricted stock, RSUs, and other awards, NEOs have traditionally only been awarded stock options and, to a small degree, restricted stock units granted at the discretion of the Committee or issued to NEOs at their election in lieu of a portion of their annual performance cash incentive as described above. We believe that stock options and RSUs issued under our SAP are an effective form of equity compensation and that both of these forms of equity compensation have strong retentive value because they vest ratably over a three-year period.

Stock options granted under the SAP vest over a three-year period, with one third becoming exercisable on each anniversary of the grant date, and have a ten-year term. All options are granted with an exercise price equal to the fair market value of our common stock on the date of grant, and option re-pricing is expressly prohibited by the SAP's terms. Fair market value is defined as the closing market price of a share of our common stock on the date of grant.

All option awards made to NEOs or any other employee are authorized by the Committee. The Committee has generally followed a practice of making all option grants to executive officers, including the NEOs, on a single date each year. For 16 out of the last 17 years, the Committee has granted these annual awards at its regularly scheduled meeting in January. The one exception relates to the Committee's decision to delay the granting of options in 2004 until stockholders approved the 2004 Stock Awards Plan, in which case the Committee granted options in June of that year. The January meeting date has historically occurred approximately three to four weeks prior to the issuance of the press release reporting our earnings for the previous fiscal year. The Committee believes that it is appropriate that annual awards be made on a consistent basis.

While NEO option awards have historically been made pursuant to our annual grant program, the Committee retains the discretion to make additional awards to NEOs or other



Table of Contents

employees at other times, generally in connection with the initial hiring of a new executive officer or key employee.

RSUs convert into shares of our common stock if the recipient is still employed by us or is an AptarGroup retiree on the date that RSUs vest. RSUs granted under the SAP vest over a three-year period, with one third vesting on each of the first three anniversaries of the grant date. Recipients of RSUs may not vote the units in stockholder votes and they do not earn or receive any dividend payments on the units.

*Post-termination compensation.* The employment agreements of Messrs. Pfeiffer, Hagge and Doherty provide for guaranteed minimum salary levels, death benefits, non-competition clauses and post-termination commitments. The post-termination commitments do not significantly affect the Committee's decisions concerning other compensation elements. The employment agreement of Mr. Fourment provides for guaranteed minimum salary levels, non-competition clauses, and customary benefits under the French Collective Bargaining Agreement of the Plastics Industry. The Company does not have an employment agreement with Mr. Kuhn; however, it does have a change in control severance agreement with him and he is entitled to certain benefits that are customary for senior executives. We believe the post-termination commitments included in the NEOs' agreements are not substantially different from what is typical at other companies with revenues similar to those of AptarGroup. Additional information about the employment agreements, including a definition of key terms and a quantification of benefits that would have been received by our NEOs had termination occurred on December 31, 2011, is found under "Potential Payments Upon Termination of Employment".

We also offer pension, profit sharing and savings plans to our employees. We believe that the pension plans and retirement agreements are an important part of our NEO compensation program. These plans serve a critically important role in the retention of our senior executives, as plan benefits increase for each year that these executives remain employed by us. The plans thereby encourage our most senior executives to remain employed by us and continue to work on behalf of our stockholders. Additional information regarding our pension plans is found under "Pension Benefits".

We maintain profit sharing and savings plans for our employees, including certain NEOs, because we wish to encourage our employees to save some percentage of their cash compensation for their eventual retirement. These plans permit employees to make such savings in a manner that is relatively tax efficient.

*U.S. Employees*

We have a tax-qualified retirement savings plan ("U.S. Savings Plan") that is available to our employees, including Messrs. Hagge, Doherty and Kuhn. Employees may contribute a percentage of their pre-tax earnings (limited by anti-discriminatory rules and regulations) to the U.S. Savings Plan and we will make a matching contribution equal to \$0.50 for each \$1 contributed by our employees, in accordance with IRS regulations and limits and in no instance shall it be greater than 3% of the employee's earnings. Annual contributions are in accordance with IRS regulations and limits. Amounts held in the U.S. Savings Plan accounts

Table of Contents

may not be withdrawn prior to the employee's termination of employment, or such earlier time as the employee reaches the age of 59<sup>1/2</sup>, subject to certain exceptions set forth in the regulations of the IRS.

*Non-U.S. Employees*

Certain employees, including Mr. Fourment, participate in local profit sharing and savings plans depending on the country of residence.

We do not have deferred compensation plans.

Perquisites. Perquisites have historically not been a significant percentage of overall NEO compensation and therefore generally do not affect the decisions of the Committee when determining other elements of compensation. These perquisites can include a company-provided automobile, memberships in social and professional clubs, and supplemental life insurance, among others. The Committee believes it is necessary to provide NEOs with a limited range of perquisites similar to those provided by other companies in order to recruit and retain the best executive talent. The Committee reviews the perquisites provided to its NEOs on a regular basis.

*Analysis of Our Compensation Programs*

AptarGroup's compensation programs for our NEOs are designed to support our overall objectives of growing our business, increasing stockholder value and retaining our long-term, experienced senior management team. In order to achieve these objectives, the Committee aims to achieve a balance between short-term and long-term rewards using a combination of cash and equity-based compensation, while establishing a competitive overall compensation package that includes a competitive base salary. The use of time vested equity awards also allows the Committee to align the interests of NEOs with those of stockholders while providing compensation with retentive qualities.

The program's specific objectives are as follows:

A Substantial Portion of NEO Compensation Should Be Performance-Based. Our compensation program is designed to reward AptarGroup's short-term and long-term performance. In addition to base salary, the two largest components of total NEO compensation are annual performance incentive amounts and stock option grants. Annual performance incentive amounts, which are paid in cash or, at the election of the executive officer, paid in cash and RSUs, are meant to reward our NEOs for current year results. Annual performance incentive amounts of the NEO, are based on formulas described under "Annual Performance Incentive Plans" below. Stock option awards, which vest ratably over a three-year period and have a ten-year expiration life, and RSUs which generally are awarded in lieu of annual performance cash incentive amounts and that vest ratably over a three-year period, are meant to reward our NEOs for the long-term success and growth of our company. Accordingly, such equity awards are considered performance-based compensation.

Table of Contents

When reviewing the portion of compensation that is performance-based as described above in relation to total compensation, the Committee does not include in total compensation any changes in the actuarial valuation of accrued pension benefits because these values can change dramatically if actuarial assumptions change. In addition, when determining the appropriate amount of equity based compensation to be awarded to executive officers, the Committee considers the value of the equity award in relation to total compensation.

Taken together, the combined annual performance incentive amount (cash and the grant date fair value of any RSUs taken in lieu of cash), and option values (the grant date fair value), represented the following percentages of total compensation (excluding changes in pension benefit valuations) for 2011: 71% for Mr. Pfeiffer, 67% for Mr. Hagge, 64% for Mr. Fourment, 62% for Mr. Doherty and 63% for Mr. Kuhn.

The graphs below illustrate the amount of performance-based compensation (annual performance cash incentive and equity awards, each shown separately) in relation to salary and other compensation. Amounts are represented as percentages of total compensation (excluding changes in pension benefit valuations).

Table of Contents

*A Substantial Portion of NEO Compensation Should Be Delivered in the Form of Equity Awards.* Awarded stock option and RSU values (the grant date fair values) represented the following percentages of total compensation (excluding changes in pension benefit valuations) for 2011: 49% for Mr. Pfeiffer, 42% for Mr. Hagge, 38% for Mr. Fourment, 40% for Mr. Doherty, and 50% for Mr. Kuhn.

When including stock options that are exercisable within 60 days of March 8, 2012 (date of record for voting at the annual meeting), AptarGroup's executive officers and directors, as a group, own approximately 6% of the outstanding shares of our common stock.

***Cash Compensation***

*Base Salary.* We believe that it is appropriate to provide a certain portion of NEO compensation that is fixed. The salary level of the CEO is established by the Committee each January after evaluating individual performance and discussing the information provided by Towers Watson. The salary levels of other NEOs are also set each January after evaluating and discussing the recommendations of the CEO and reviewing any relevant market survey information for the other NEO positions. For 2011, salary levels for our NEOs were increased from 2010 levels as follows: 12% for Mr. Pfeiffer, 13% for Mr. Hagge, 9% for Mr. Fourment, 9% for Mr. Doherty, and 20% for Mr. Kuhn. In considering the base salary increases for 2011, the Compensation Committee reviewed the NEO's 2010 relative positioning to the survey base salary and total cash compensation data. On average, AptarGroup was below the 50th percentile for base salary and total cash compensation relative to the market survey data.

*Annual Performance Incentive.* The Committee has determined that the minimum annual performance incentive amount that can be awarded to each NEO is zero. The Committee believes that the annual performance incentive amounts should reflect AptarGroup's financial performance, and accordingly, if AptarGroup's results declined significantly, it should be possible that no annual performance incentive be awarded to the NEOs.

The Committee has determined that the maximum annual performance incentive amount that can be awarded to each NEO is limited to 200% of base salary and in no circumstance greater than \$2 million. The Committee believes that this maximum limit would allow the NEOs to be sufficiently rewarded for outstanding financial performance while considering the overall tax deductibility of such awards.

Rather than setting thresholds with automatic awards, the annual performance incentive formulas are designed to provide for awards of 0% to 200% of base salary depending on the outcome of the individual elements in the aggregate. Each element has a baseline, or starting point, from which a percentage of salary is established. These baseline percentages are then increased or decreased depending on our actual results as described below.

The 2011 annual performance incentive amounts of Messrs. Pfeiffer, Hagge and Kuhn were based on a two-part bonus formula that includes the following elements:

AptarGroup's earnings per share, and

AptarGroup's return on equity

Table of Contents

The Committee believes the bonus elements for the CEO, COO and CFO should be closely aligned with stockholders' interests and, accordingly, selected the above two elements which are each integral drivers of stockholder value.

The 2011 annual performance incentive amounts for the other NEOs, each of whom is a president of one of AptarGroup's three business segments, are based on a four-part bonus formula that includes the following elements:

AptarGroup's earnings per share

AptarGroup's return on equity

Business segment income, and

Ratio of business segment income to capital

The Committee believes that it is important to award bonuses to our segment presidents that are based on a combination of elements that are closely aligned with stockholder interests and segment-specific elements. The Committee believes that AptarGroup's earnings per share and return on equity elements accomplish the objective of aligning a portion of the segment presidents' annual performance incentive amounts with the interests of stockholders. The Committee also believes that each segment president should be rewarded for increasing the profits of their respective segment and, consequently, segment income is one of the bonus elements. Further, because our business is capital intensive and efficient use of capital resources is critical to our success, the annual performance incentive for segment presidents includes an element for the respective segment's income to capital ratio.

Analysis of annual performance incentive elements for the CEO and COO:

*AptarGroup's earnings per share ("EPS"):* If diluted EPS equals the average of the EPS of the past three years ("Baseline EPS"), a baseline annual performance incentive of 30% of salary is determined. This baseline annual performance incentive percentage is then increased or decreased by a factor for each 1% increase/decrease above or below the Baseline EPS. For example, if EPS were at or below the Baseline EPS, this element percentage would be between 0% and 30% of salary. If EPS were at or moderately above the Baseline EPS, this element percentage would be expected to be between 30% and 60% of salary. If EPS were significantly above the Baseline EPS, this element percentage would be expected to be between 60% and 90% of salary. Due to AptarGroup achieving all-time high annual diluted earnings per share in 2011 of \$2.65, this annual performance incentive element percentage for 2011 was 59% of salary.

*AptarGroup's return on equity ("ROE"):* AptarGroup's ROE element is calculated by dividing the fiscal year consolidated reported net income by the fiscal year's twelve month average stockholder's equity. For this element, a 10% ROE is the Baseline Ratio and would result in a baseline annual performance incentive of 15% of salary. This baseline annual performance incentive percentage is then increased by a factor for each 1% increase above the Baseline Ratio or eliminated if the actual ratio is less than the Baseline Ratio. For example if the ROE ratio falls below 10%, no annual

Table of Contents

performance incentive percentage is awarded for this element. If the ratio is moderately above the Baseline Ratio, this element percentage would be expected to be between 15% and 25% of salary. If this ratio was significantly above the Baseline Ratio, this element percentage would be expected to be between 25% and 35% of salary. Due to AptarGroup's outstanding performance and record results in 2011, including an ROE of 13%, this annual performance incentive element percentage for 2011 was 24% of salary.

Analysis of annual performance incentive elements for the CFO:

*AptarGroup's EPS and AptarGroup's ROE:* For the CFO, the annual performance incentive elements are the same as those of the CEO and COO, however the CFO's annual performance incentive element percentages are 75% of the annual performance incentive element percentages described above for the CEO and COO.

Analysis of annual performance incentive elements for the Segment Presidents:

*AptarGroup's EPS:* If diluted EPS equals the average of the EPS of the past three years ("Baseline EPS"), a baseline annual performance incentive of 5% is determined. This baseline annual performance incentive percentage is then increased or decreased by a factor for each 1% increase/decrease above or below the Baseline EPS. For example, if EPS were at or below the Baseline EPS, this element percentage would be between 0% and 5% of salary. If EPS were at or moderately above the Baseline EPS, this element percentage would be expected to be between 5% and 15% of salary. If EPS were significantly above the Baseline EPS, this element percentage would be expected to be between 15% and 25% of salary. This bonus element percentage for 2011 was 14%.

*AptarGroup's ROE:* For this element, a 10% ROE is the Baseline Ratio and would result in a baseline annual performance incentive of 5% of salary. This baseline bonus percentage is then increased by a factor for each 1% increase above the Baseline Ratio or eliminated if the actual ratio is less than the Baseline Ratio. For example, if the ROE ratio fall below 10%, no annual performance incentive is awarded for this element. If the ratio is moderately above the Baseline Ratio, this element percentage would be expected to be between 5% and 10% of salary. If this ratio was significantly above the Baseline Ratio, this element percentage would be expected to be between 10% and 15% of salary. This annual performance incentive element for 2011 was 8% of salary.

*Business segment income:* If segment income equals the average of the past three years ("Baseline Average"), a baseline annual performance incentive of 6% of salary is determined. This baseline annual performance incentive element is then increased or decreased by a factor for each 1% increase/decrease above or below the Baseline Average. For example, if segment income were at or below the Baseline Average, this element percentage would be between 0% and 6% of salary. If segment income were at or moderately above the Baseline Average, this element percentage would be expected to be between 6% and 20% of salary. If segment income were significantly

Table of Contents

above the Baseline Average, this element percentage would be expected to be between 20% and 40% of salary. The 2011 results of our Pharma and Beauty and Home segments were above the Baseline Average and, consequently, the annual performance incentive elements for 2011 were 31% and 27% of salary, respectively.

*Segment income to capital ratio:* The segment income to capital ratio is calculated by dividing the segment income for the year by the respective segment's fiscal twelve month average capital. Segment income is reported in the footnotes to our financial statements included in our periodic and annual reports filed with the SEC. Segment capital represents segment equity plus segment debt less segment cash and equivalents. This annual performance incentive element is based upon achieving certain fixed levels of segment income to capital ratios. The range of this element percentage is 0% to 15% of salary. For 2011, the segment income to capital annual performance incentive element percentage for the Pharma and Beauty and Home segments were 15% and 11%, respectively.

*Additional element for Aptar Pharma's President:* The Committee also awarded a special one-time performance incentive of 10% of salary in 2011 to the president of our Aptar Pharma segment for achieving the segment's profit plan.

Table of Contents

In 2011, the mix of salary versus annual performance incentive for the NEOs is represented in the following graphs. Annual performance incentive amounts include cash awards and any deferred cash awards taken in the form of RSUs.

*Equity Compensation*

As described above, we believe that a substantial portion of each NEO's compensation should be in the form of equity awards because the Committee believes that such awards serve to align the interests of NEOs with those of our stockholders.

The amount of compensation provided in the form of equity awards as determined by the Committee in a given year is dependent on the value of the option grant on the date of grant relative to the executive's cash compensation. The Committee also reviews the value of long-term incentive compensation in the competitive market when determining equity awards as well as the quantity of shares given to NEOs in prior years. We believe that our current compensation program for NEOs, pursuant to which a portion of compensation is in the form of equity, strikes a reasonable balance. This mix of equity and cash compensation gives our



Table of Contents

NEOs a substantial alignment with stockholders, while also permitting the Committee to motivate the NEOs to pursue specific short and long-term performance goals. For 2011, total equity compensation (comprised of the value of stock options and RSU grants) represented approximately 45% of total compensation (excluding changes in pension benefit valuations) for the NEOs on an aggregate basis, and total cash and other compensation (comprised of salary, annual performance cash incentive, and other compensation) represented approximately 55% of total compensation (excluding changes in pension benefit valuations).

***Stock Ownership and Trading Guidelines***

Under the guidelines, the executive officers must own Company common stock and/or hold restricted stock units representing a value that is as follows: for the CEO, five times his base salary; for the COO, three times his base salary; for the remaining executive officers, one times their base salary. Under the guidelines, executive officers have to achieve the respective levels of ownership within five years from the measurement date of January 1, 2009, which is the date when the guidelines were adopted, or if they became an executive officer after the measurement date, within five years from becoming an executive officer, or if they become CEO or COO, within five years of attaining those positions. In January 2012, the Committee reviewed the stock ownership guidelines for executive officers and determined that each NEO satisfied these guidelines as of December 31, 2011.

We have an Insider Trading Policy that applies to senior management, including the NEOs. The Insider Trading Policy prohibits our senior management from engaging in selling short our common stock or engaging in hedging or offsetting transactions regarding our common stock. Generally, it also establishes a blackout window that prohibits senior management from entering into transactions regarding our common stock from 30 days prior to the date of a regularly scheduled financial press release, through 24 hours after such release (excluding the exercise of a vested stock option with which shares are purchased under the option but not sold). We may impose additional blackout periods from time to time, if we believe it is necessary.

***Tax Considerations***

Section 162(m) of the U.S. IRS Code generally disallows a tax deduction for compensation in excess of \$1 million paid to our CEO and the three other most highly compensated executive officers other than the CFO. Certain compensation is specifically exempt from the deduction limit to the extent that it does not exceed \$1 million during any fiscal year, or is performance-based, as defined in Section 162(m). It is our general policy to qualify U.S. incentive compensation of executives for deductibility under Section 162(m). In accordance with this policy, in 2008, stockholders approved AptarGroup's Annual Bonus Plan, thereby permitting annual performance incentives to be exempt from the deduction limit. Historically, U.S. covered compensation has not exceeded IRS Code Section 162(m) limits. Because Mr. Pfeiffer currently resides in Europe, only portions of his compensation are considered U.S. covered compensation, none of which has exceeded IRS Section 162(m) limits.

Table of Contents

*Compensation Committee Report*

The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors oversees AptarGroup's compensation program on behalf of the Board. In fulfilling its oversight responsibilities, the Compensation Committee reviewed and discussed with management the Compensation Discussion and Analysis set forth in this Proxy Statement.

In reliance on the review and discussions referred to above, the Compensation Committee recommended to the Board that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011 and the Company's Proxy Statement to be filed in connection with the Company's 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

Compensation Committee

Rodney L. Goldstein (Chair)  
Alain Chevassus  
Leo A. Guthart  
King W. Harris

Table of Contents**Summary Compensation Table**

The table below contains compensation information for our current Named Executive Officers of AptarGroup. The bonus and non-equity incentive compensation plan amounts are presented in the fiscal year in which they were earned. These amounts were paid in February of the following year once the consolidated financial results of AptarGroup were completed. For information concerning the objectives of our compensation program, including an analysis of individual compensation elements awarded in 2011, see our "Compensation Discussion and Analysis".

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock Awards (\$)(1)	Grant Date Fair Value of Option Awards (\$)(2)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)	Changes in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$)(3)	All Other Compensation (\$)(4)	Total (\$)
Peter H. Pfeiffer President and Chief Executive Officer (retired December 31, 2011)	2011	925,000			1,624,480	767,750	1,295,938	23,731	4,636,899
	2010	825,000		162,315	1,312,740	726,000	64,051	51,497	3,141,603
	2009	800,000			1,048,190	344,000		46,961	2,239,151
Stephen J. Hagge Executive Vice President (President and Chief Executive Officer effective January 1, 2012)	2011	700,000		60,000	852,000	531,000	601,315	12,574	2,756,889
	2010	620,000		168,210	688,500	495,600	334,355	12,574	2,319,239
	2009	600,000			549,750	258,000	248,233	12,574	1,668,557
Olivier Fourment(5) President, Aptar Pharma	2011	438,974			488,480	330,761	244,933	29,941	1,533,089
	2010	384,887			394,740	260,381		28,341	1,068,349
	2009	383,327			315,190	138,353	153,714	29,046	1,019,630
Patrick Doherty President, Aptar Beauty + Home	2011	445,000			488,480	267,000	283,920	30,639	1,515,039
	2010	410,000		35,080	394,740	270,600	137,213	22,578	1,270,211
Robert W. Kuhn Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (and Secretary effective January 1, 2012)	2011	420,000		120,000	488,480	160,400	167,571	23,733	1,380,184
	2010	350,000		60,000	394,740	181,000	77,781	8,250	1,071,771
	2009	330,000			315,190	105,600	44,672	8,250	803,712

(1)

Stock Award Compensation for Messrs. Hagge and Kuhn in 2011 includes the fair value of RSUs granted in lieu of the executive's annual performance incentive for that year, at the executive's election, and additional RSUs granted to an executive officer who made such election. The value of the additional RSUs granted represents 20% of the value of the annual performance incentive (non-equity incentive compensation plan amount) that was taken in the form of RSUs in lieu of cash. RSUs vest over a three year period. The number of RSUs granted to Messrs. Hagge and Kuhn with respect to 2011 performance is included in the table below. The number of RSUs granted was determined by dividing the amount of the annual performance incentive taken in

Table of Contents

RSUs and the additional 20% on the amount by the closing market price of our common stock (\$52.75) on February 28, 2012, the date of the grant.

	<b>Amounts Included In Stock Awards Column Above Taken In Lieu Of Cash (\$)/(# RSUs)</b>	<b>Amounts Included In Stock Awards Column For Additional 20% On Amounts Taken In Lieu of Cash (\$)/(# RSUs)</b>	<b>Combined Total (\$)/(# RSUs)</b>
S. Hagge	\$50,000/948	\$10,000/189	\$60,000/1,137
R. Kuhn	\$100,000/1,896	\$20,000/379	\$120,000/2,275

- (2) Option Award values represent the grant date fair values determined in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. Assumptions used in the calculation of the expense related to options can be found in Note 14, "Stock-Based Compensation" to AptarGroup's audited financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2011, included in AptarGroup's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 24, 2012 ("AptarGroup's Financial Statements").
- (3) All of these amounts relate to changes in pension values. Assumptions used to calculate the change in the present value of accrued benefits were the same as those disclosed in Note 8, "Retirement and Deferred Compensation Plans" to AptarGroup's Financial Statements.
- (4) Amount of other compensation in 2011 for Mr. Pfeiffer is comprised of approximately \$20,000 relating to a company-provided automobile with the remainder relating to company-provided term life insurance. The amount of other compensation for Mr. Hagge in 2011 include Company contributions to profit sharing and savings plans, premiums related to Company-provided supplemental disability and term life insurance. The amount of other compensation in 2011 for Mr. Fourment includes Company contributions related to a profit sharing program of approximately \$24,000. The amount of other compensation in 2011 for Mr. Doherty includes Company contributions to profit sharing and savings plans, premiums related to Company-provided supplemental disability insurance, club dues, amounts related to a Company-provided automobile and reimbursement for company-required travel expenses for his spouse. The amount of other compensation in 2011 for Mr. Kuhn includes Company contributions to profit sharing and savings plans, amounts related to a Company-provided automobile and reimbursement for company-required travel expenses for his spouse.
- (5) Mr. Fourment's compensation is denominated in Euros and was translated to U.S. dollars using the average exchange rates for each respective year, except for the annual performance incentive amount which was translated using the spot exchange rate on the date the amount was determined.

Table of Contents**Grants of Plan-Based Awards and Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End**

The table below includes information regarding the estimated possible annual performance incentive amounts for 2011 for the named executive officers relating to their annual performance incentive formulas.

The table below also includes information regarding grants of stock options in 2011 and grants of RSUs that were awarded in 2011. The grant date fair value of RSUs is calculated using, and the exercise price of option awards represents, the closing price of AptarGroup's common stock on the New York Stock Exchange on the date of grant.

**GRANTS OF PLAN-BASED AWARDS**

Name	Grant Date	Estimated Possible Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards			Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or Units (#)(4)	Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options (#)	Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards (\$/Sh)	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards (\$)
		Threshold (\$)(1)	Target (\$)(2)	Maximum (\$)(3)				
P. Pfeiffer	01/12/11 02/10/11			1,850,000		143,000	48.20	1,624,480
S. Hagge	01/12/11 02/10/11			1,400,000	1,209	75,000	48.20	852,000 60,000
O. Fourment	01/12/11 02/10/11			878,000		43,000	48.20	488,480
P. Doherty	01/12/11 02/10/11			890,000		43,000	48.20	488,480
R. Kuhn	01/12/11 02/10/11			840,000	1,209	43,000	48.20	488,480 60,000

- (1) The annual performance incentive programs allow for reduction factors that would result in no award being made should the Company's results significantly fall short of averages of the past several years and there are no set thresholds.
- (2) The Company does not establish incentive targets. See our "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" for further information regarding annual performance incentive programs.
- (3) The maximum award allowed under our annual performance incentive plans is 200% of salary provided that no award shall exceed \$2 million.
- (4) Amounts represent RSUs granted to the named executive officers at their election to receive RSUs in lieu of a portion of their 2010 annual performance incentive (paid/awarded in 2011) and an additional 20% of the elected amount granted to those officers making such election.

Table of Contents

The table below provides information on the holdings of stock option and stock awards by the named executive officers as of December 31, 2011.

**OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT FISCAL YEAR-END**

Name	Option Awards				Stock Awards	
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable (1)	Option Exercise Price (\$)(2)	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)(3)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$)(4)
P. Pfeiffer					3,000	156,510
	140,000		20.06	06/03/14		
	140,000		24.25	01/19/15		
	140,000		27.01	01/18/16		
	140,000		30.45	01/17/17		
	155,000		37.52	01/16/18		
	95,333	47,667	30.56	01/14/19		
	47,667	95,333	36.42	01/20/20		
		143,000	48.20	01/12/21		
S. Hagge					3,882	202,524
	50,000		15.13	01/20/13		
	70,000		20.06	06/03/14		
	70,000		24.25	01/19/15		
	70,000		27.01	01/18/16		
	70,000		30.45	01/17/17		
	82,500		37.52	01/16/18		
	50,000	25,000	30.56	01/14/19		
	25,000	50,000	36.42	01/20/20		
		75,000	48.20	01/12/21		

Table of Contents**OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT FISCAL YEAR-END**

Name	Option Awards				Stock Awards	
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable	Option Exercise Price (\$)(2)	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)(3)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$)(4)
O. Fourment	22,000		30.45	01/17/17		
	45,000		37.52	01/16/18		
	28,667	14,333	30.56	01/14/19		
	14,334	28,666	36.42	01/20/20		
		43,000	48.20	01/12/21		

P. Doherty