

CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS INC /MO/
Form 424B3
November 10, 2005

As filed pursuant to Rule 424(b)(3)
Registration Statement File No. 333-121561

PROSPECTUS SUPPLEMENT NO. 6
DATED NOVEMBER 10, 2005

Charter Communications, Inc.

This document supplements the Prospectus, dated July 19, 2005, Prospectus Supplement No. 1, dated August 9, 2005, Prospectus Supplement No. 2, dated August 30, 2005, Prospectus Supplement No. 3, dated September 15, 2005, Prospectus Supplement No. 4, dated September 23, 2005 and Prospectus Supplement No. 5, dated October 18, 2005 (collectively, the "Prospectus"), relating to the resale by certain holders of up to \$862,500,000 aggregate principal amount of Charter Communications, Inc.'s 5.875% convertible senior notes due 2009 (the "Notes") and shares of common stock issuable upon conversion thereof.

This Prospectus Supplement relates to the resale by the holders of the Notes.

The Prospectus is hereby amended as follows:

- (1) The information contained in the attached Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 4, 2005.
- (2) The information contained in the attached sections of the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on November 2, 2005 (Part I. Item 1-4 and Part II. Item 1 and Item 3-5).
- (3) The information appearing in the Selling Securityholder table included in this Prospectus Supplement, as of the date hereof, supersedes the information in the table appearing under the heading "Selling Securityholders" in the Prospectus.

If the information in this Prospectus Supplement is inconsistent with any information contained in the Prospectus or in the reports, proxy statements or other documents previously filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (collectively, the "SEC Reports") incorporated by reference in the Prospectus or delivered in connection therewith, the Prospectus and/or any SEC Report, as applicable, shall be deemed superseded by this Supplement.

In all other ways, the Prospectus shall remain unchanged.

This Prospectus Supplement should be read in conjunction with, and may not be delivered or utilized without, the Prospectus.

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2005

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE
ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number: 000-27927

Charter Communications, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

43-1857213

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) *(I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)*

**12405 Powerscourt Drive
St. Louis, Missouri 63131**

(Address of principal executive offices including zip code)

(314) 965-0555

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. YES NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). YES NO

Number of shares of Class A common stock outstanding as of September 30, 2005: 348,576,466

Number of shares of Class B common stock outstanding as of September 30, 2005: 50,000

Charter Communications, Inc.
Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the Period ended September 30, 2005

Table of Contents

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION	Page
<u>Item 1. Financial Statements - Charter Communications, Inc. and Subsidiaries</u>	
<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2005</u>	
<u>and December 31, 2004</u>	4
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and nine</u>	
<u>months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004</u>	5
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the</u>	
<u>nine months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004</u>	6
<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	7
<u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results</u>	31
<u>of Operations</u>	
<u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>	56
<u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u>	58
PART II. OTHER INFORMATION	
<u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u>	59
<u>Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	61
<u>Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>	61
<u>Item 5. Other Information</u>	62

This quarterly report on Form 10-Q is for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005. The Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") allows us to "incorporate by reference" information that we file with the SEC, which means that we can disclose important information to you by referring you directly to those documents. Information incorporated by reference is considered to be part of this quarterly report. In addition, information that we file with the SEC in the future will automatically update and supersede information contained in this quarterly report. In this quarterly report, "we," "us" and "our" refer to Charter Communications, Inc., Charter Communications Holding Company, LLC and their subsidiaries.

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS:

This quarterly report includes forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act"), and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), regarding, among other things, our plans, strategies and prospects, both business and financial including, without limitation, the forward-looking statements set forth in the "Results of Operations" and "Liquidity and Capital Resources" sections under Part I, Item 2. "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in this quarterly report. Although we believe that our plans, intentions and expectations reflected in or suggested by these forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot assure you that we will achieve or realize these plans, intentions or expectations. Forward-looking statements are inherently subject to risks, uncertainties and assumptions including, without limitation, the factors described under "Certain Trends and Uncertainties" under Part I, Item 2. "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in this quarterly report. Many of the forward-looking statements contained in this quarterly report may be identified by the use of forward-looking words such as "believe," "expect," "anticipate," "should," "planned," "will," "may," "intend," "estimated" and "potential" among others. Important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements we make in this quarterly report are set forth in this quarterly report and in other reports or documents that we file from time to time with the SEC, and include, but are not limited to:

- the availability, in general, of funds to meet interest payment obligations under our debt and to fund our operations and necessary capital expenditures, either through cash flows from operating activities, further borrowings or other sources and, in particular, our ability to be able to provide under applicable debt instruments such funds (by dividend, investment or otherwise) to the applicable obligor of such debt;
- our ability to sustain and grow revenues and cash flows from operating activities by offering video, high-speed Internet, telephone and other services and to maintain and grow a stable customer base, particularly in the face of increasingly aggressive competition from other service providers;
- our ability to comply with all covenants in our indentures, the Bridge Loan and credit facilities, any violation of which would result in a violation of the applicable facility or indenture and could trigger a default of other obligations under cross-default provisions;
- our ability to pay or refinance debt prior to or when it becomes due and/or to take advantage of market opportunities and market windows to refinance that debt in the capital markets through new issuances, exchange offers or otherwise, including restructuring our balance sheet and leverage position;
- our ability to obtain programming at reasonable prices or to pass programming cost increases on to our customers;
- general business conditions, economic uncertainty or slowdown; and
- the effects of governmental regulation, including but not limited to local franchise authorities, on our business.

All forward-looking statements attributable to us or any person acting on our behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by this cautionary statement. We are under no duty or obligation to update any of the forward-looking statements after the date of this quarterly report.

PART 1. FINANCIAL INFORMATION.**Item 1. Financial Statements.**

CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(DOLLARS IN MILLIONS, EXCEPT SHARE DATA)

	September 30, 2005 (Unaudited)	December 31, 2004
ASSETS		
CURRENT ASSETS:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 22	\$ 650
Accounts receivable, less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$15 and \$15, respectively	188	190
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	80	82
Total current assets	290	922
INVESTMENT IN CABLE PROPERTIES:		
Property, plant and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of \$6,393 and \$5,311, respectively	5,936	6,289
Franchises, net	9,830	9,878
Total investment in cable properties, net	15,766	16,167
OTHER NONCURRENT ASSETS	468	584
Total assets	\$ 16,524	\$ 17,673
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' DEFICIT		
CURRENT LIABILITIES:		
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$ 1,172	\$ 1,217
Total current liabilities	1,172	1,217
LONG-TERM DEBT	19,120	19,464
DEFERRED MANAGEMENT FEES - RELATED PARTY	14	14
OTHER LONG-TERM LIABILITIES	504	681
MINORITY INTEREST	665	648
PREFERRED STOCK - REDEEMABLE; \$.001 par value; 1 million shares authorized; 545,259 shares issued and outstanding	55	55
SHAREHOLDERS' DEFICIT:		
Class A Common stock; \$.001 par value; 1.75 billion shares authorized; 348,576,466 and 305,203,770 shares issued and outstanding, respectively	--	--
Class B Common stock; \$.001 par value; 750 million shares authorized; 50,000 shares issued and outstanding	--	--
Preferred stock; \$.001 par value; 250 million shares authorized; no non-redeemable shares issued and outstanding	--	--
Additional paid-in capital	4,821	4,794
Accumulated deficit	(9,830)	(9,196)

Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	3	(4)
Total shareholders' deficit	(5,006)	(4,406)
Total liabilities and shareholders' deficit	\$ 16,524	\$ 17,673

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(DOLLARS IN MILLIONS, EXCEPT SHARE AND PER SHARE DATA)
Unaudited

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2005	2004	2005	2004
REVENUES	\$ 1,318	\$ 1,248	\$ 3,912	\$ 3,701
COSTS AND EXPENSES:				
Operating (excluding depreciation and amortization)	586	525	1,714	1,552
Selling, general and administrative	269	252	762	735
Depreciation and amortization	375	371	1,134	1,105
Impairment of franchises	--	2,433	--	2,433
Asset impairment charges	--	--	39	--
(Gain) loss on sale of assets, net	1	--	5	(104)
Option compensation expense, net	3	8	11	34
Hurricane asset retirement loss	19	--	19	--
Special charges, net	2	3	4	100
	1,255	3,592	3,688	5,855
Income (loss) from operations	63	(2,344)	224	(2,154)
OTHER INCOME AND EXPENSES:				
Interest expense, net	(462)	(424)	(1,333)	(1,227)
Gain (loss) on derivative instruments and hedging activities, net	17	(8)	43	48
Loss on debt to equity conversions	--	--	--	(23)
Gain (loss) on extinguishment of debt	490	--	498	(21)
Gain on investments	--	--	21	--
	45	(432)	(771)	(1,223)
Income (loss) before minority interest, income taxes and cumulative effect of accounting change	108	(2,776)	(547)	(3,377)
MINORITY INTEREST	(3)	34	(9)	24
Income (loss) before income taxes and cumulative effect of accounting change	105	(2,742)	(556)	(3,353)

INCOME TAX BENEFIT (EXPENSE)	(29)	213	(75)	116
Income (loss) before cumulative effect of accounting change	76	(2,529)	(631)	(3,237)
CUMULATIVE EFFECT OF ACCOUNTING CHANGE, NET OF TAX	--	(765)	--	(765)
Net income (loss)	76	(3,294)	(631)	(4,002)
Dividends on preferred stock - redeemable	(1)	(1)	(3)	(3)
Net income (loss) applicable to common stock	\$ 75	\$ (3,295)	\$ (634)	\$ (4,005)
EARNINGS (LOSS) PER COMMON SHARE:				
Basic	\$ 0.24	\$ (10.89)	\$ (2.06)	\$ (13.38)
Diluted	\$ 0.09	\$ (10.89)	\$ (2.06)	\$ (13.38)
Weighted average common shares outstanding, basic	316,214,740	302,604,978	307,761,930	299,411,053
Weighted average common shares outstanding, diluted	1,012,591,842	302,604,978	307,761,930	299,411,053

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(DOLLARS IN MILLIONS)
Unaudited

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2005	2004
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Net loss	\$ (631)	\$ (4,002)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash flows from operating activities:		
Minority interest	9	(24)
Depreciation and amortization	1,134	1,105
Asset impairment charges	39	--
Impairment of franchises	--	2,433
Option compensation expense, net	11	30
Hurricane asset retirement loss	19	--
Special charges, net	--	85
Noncash interest expense	188	237
Gain on derivative instruments and hedging activities, net	(43)	(48)
(Gain) loss on sale of assets, net	5	(104)
Loss on debt to equity conversions	--	23
(Gain) loss on extinguishment of debt	(504)	18
Gain on investments	(21)	--
Deferred income taxes	71	(119)
Cumulative effect of accounting change, net of tax	--	765
Other, net	--	(1)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of effects from dispositions:		
Accounts receivable	(3)	1
Prepaid expenses and other assets	85	2
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other	(241)	(18)
Net cash flows from operating activities	118	383
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:		
Purchases of property, plant and equipment	(815)	(639)
Change in accrued expenses related to capital expenditures	36	(23)
Proceeds from sale of assets	38	729
Purchases of investments	(3)	(15)
Proceeds from investments	17	--
Other, net	(2)	(2)
Net cash flows from investing activities	(729)	50
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
Borrowings of long-term debt	897	2,873
Repayments of long-term debt	(1,141)	(4,707)
Proceeds from issuance of debt	294	1,500

Edgar Filing: CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS INC /MO/ - Form 424B3

Payments for debt issuance costs	(67)	(97)
Net cash flows from financing activities	(17)	(431)
NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	(628)	2
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, beginning of period	650	127
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, end of period	\$ 22	\$ 129
CASH PAID FOR INTEREST	\$ 1,170	\$ 824
NONCASH TRANSACTIONS:		
Issuance of debt by CCH I Holdings, LLC	\$ 2,423	\$ --
Issuance of debt by CCH I, LLC	\$ 3,686	\$ --
Issuance of debt by Charter Communications Operating, LLC	\$ 333	\$ --
Retirement of Charter Communications Holdings, LLC debt	\$ (7,000)	\$ --
Debt exchanged for Charter Class A common stock	\$ --	\$ 30

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(UNAUDITED)

(dollars in millions, except share and per share amounts and where indicated)

1. Organization and Basis of Presentation

Charter Communications, Inc. ("Charter") is a holding company whose principal assets at September 30, 2005 are the 48% controlling common equity interest in Charter Communications Holding Company, LLC ("Charter Holdco") and "mirror" notes which are payable by Charter Holdco to Charter and have the same principal amount and terms as those of Charter's convertible senior notes. Charter Holdco is the sole owner of CCHC, LLC, which is the sole owner of Charter Communications Holdings, LLC ("Charter Holdings"). The condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Charter, Charter Holdco, Charter Holdings and all of their subsidiaries where the underlying operations reside, which are collectively referred to herein as the "Company." Charter consolidates Charter Holdco on the basis of voting control. Charter Holdco's limited liability company agreement provides that so long as Charter's Class B common stock retains its special voting rights, Charter will maintain a 100% voting interest in Charter Holdco. Voting control gives Charter full authority and control over the operations of Charter Holdco. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions among consolidated entities have been eliminated. The Company is a broadband communications company operating in the United States. The Company offers its customers traditional cable video programming (analog and digital video) as well as high-speed Internet services and, in some areas, advanced broadband services such as high definition television, video on demand and telephone. The Company sells its cable video programming, high-speed Internet and advanced broadband services on a subscription basis. The Company also sells local advertising on satellite-delivered networks.

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements of the Company have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States for interim financial information and the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"). Accordingly, certain information and footnote disclosures typically included in Charter's Annual Report on Form 10-K have been condensed or omitted for this quarterly report. The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements are unaudited and are subject to review by regulatory authorities. However, in the opinion of management, such financial statements include all adjustments, which consist of only normal recurring adjustments, necessary for a fair presentation of the results for the periods presented. Interim results are not necessarily indicative of results for a full year. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Areas involving significant judgments and estimates include capitalization of labor and overhead costs; depreciation and amortization costs; impairments of property, plant and equipment, franchises and goodwill; income taxes; and contingencies. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Areas involving significant judgments and estimates include capitalization of labor and overhead costs; depreciation and amortization costs; impairments of property, plant and equipment, franchises and goodwill; income taxes; and contingencies. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Reclassifications

Certain 2004 amounts have been reclassified to conform with the 2005 presentation.

2. Liquidity and Capital Resources

The Company had net income applicable to common stock of \$75 million for the three months ended September 30, 2005. The Company incurred net loss applicable to common stock of \$634 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 and \$3.3 billion and \$4.0 billion for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004, respectively. The Company's net cash flows from operating activities were \$118 million and \$383 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

The Company has a significant level of debt. The Company's long-term financing as of September 30, 2005 consists of \$5.5 billion of credit facility debt, \$12.7 billion accreted value of high-yield notes and \$866 million accreted value of convertible senior notes. For the remainder of 2005, \$7 million of the Company's debt matures, and in 2006, an additional \$55 million of the Company's debt matures. In 2007 and beyond, significant additional amounts will become due under the Company's remaining long-term debt obligations.

CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(UNAUDITED)

(dollars in millions, except share and per share amounts and where indicated)

In September 2005, Charter Holdings and its wholly owned subsidiaries, CCH I, LLC ("CCH I") and CCH I Holdings, LLC ("CIH"), completed the exchange of approximately \$6.8 billion total principal amount of outstanding debt securities of Charter Holdings in a private placement for new debt securities. Holders of Charter Holdings notes due in 2009 and 2010 exchanged \$3.4 billion principal amount of notes for \$2.9 billion principal amount of new 11% CCH I senior secured notes due 2015. Holders of Charter Holdings notes due 2011 and 2012 exchanged \$845 million principal amount of notes for \$662 million principal amount of 11% CCH I senior secured notes due 2015. In addition, holders of Charter Holdings notes due 2011 and 2012 exchanged \$2.5 billion principal amount of notes for \$2.5 billion principal amount of various series of new CIH notes. Each series of new CIH notes has the same stated interest rate and provisions for payment of cash interest as the series of old Charter Holdings notes for which such CIH notes were exchanged. In addition, the maturities for each series were extended three years. See Note 6 for discussion of transaction and related financial statement impact.

The Company has historically required significant cash to fund debt service costs, capital expenditures and ongoing operations. Historically, the Company has funded these requirements through cash flows from operating activities, borrowings under its credit facilities, sales of assets, issuances of debt and equity securities and from cash on hand. However, the mix of funding sources changes from period to period. For the nine months ended September 30, 2005, the Company generated \$118 million of net cash flows from operating activities, after paying cash interest of \$1.2 billion. In addition, the Company used approximately \$815 million for purchases of property, plant and equipment. Finally, the Company had net cash flows used in financing activities of \$17 million.

In October 2005, CCO Holdings, LLC ("CCO Holdings") and CCO Holdings Capital Corp., as guarantor thereunder, entered into a senior bridge loan agreement (the "Bridge Loan") with JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., Credit Suisse, Cayman Islands Branch and Deutsche Bank AG Cayman Islands Branch (the "Lenders") whereby the Lenders have committed to make loans to CCO Holdings in an aggregate amount of \$600 million. CCO Holdings may draw upon the facility between January 2, 2006 and September 29, 2006 and the loans will mature on the sixth anniversary of the first borrowing under the Bridge Loan.

The Company expects that cash on hand, cash flows from operating activities and the amounts available under its credit facilities and Bridge Loan will be adequate to meet its cash needs for the remainder of 2005 and 2006. Cash flows from operating activities and amounts available under the Company's credit facilities and Bridge Loan may not be sufficient to fund the Company's operations and satisfy its interest payment obligations in 2007. It is likely that the Company will require additional funding to satisfy its debt repayment obligations in 2007. The Company believes that cash flows from operating activities and amounts available under its credit facilities and Bridge Loan will not be sufficient to fund its operations and satisfy its interest and principal repayment obligations thereafter.

The Company is working with its financial advisors to address its funding requirements. However, there can be no assurance that such funding will be available to the Company. Although Paul G. Allen, Charter's Chairman and controlling shareholder, and his affiliates have purchased equity from the Company in the past, Mr. Allen and his affiliates are not obligated to purchase equity from, contribute to or loan funds to the Company in the future.

Credit Facilities and Covenants

The Company's ability to operate depends upon, among other things, its continued access to capital, including credit under the Charter Communications Operating, LLC ("Charter Operating") credit facilities. These credit facilities, along with the Company's indentures and Bridge Loan, contain certain restrictive covenants, some of which require the

Company to maintain specified financial ratios and meet financial tests and to provide audited financial statements with an unqualified opinion from the Company's independent auditors. As of September 30, 2005, the Company is in compliance with the covenants under its indentures and credit facilities and the Company expects to remain in compliance with those covenants and the Bridge Loan covenants for the next twelve months. The Company's total potential borrowing availability under the current credit facilities totaled \$786 million as of September 30, 2005, although the actual availability at that time was only \$648 million because of limits imposed by covenant restrictions. In addition, effective January 2, 2006, the Company will have additional borrowing availability of \$600 million as a result of the Bridge Loan. Continued access to the Company's credit facilities and Bridge Loan is subject to the

CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(UNAUDITED)

(dollars in millions, except share and per share amounts and where indicated)

Company remaining in compliance with the covenants of these credit facilities and Bridge Loan, including covenants tied to the Company's operating performance. If the Company's operating performance results in non-compliance with these covenants, or if any of certain other events of non-compliance under these credit facilities, Bridge Loan or indentures governing the Company's debt occur, funding under the credit facilities and Bridge Loan may not be available and defaults on some or potentially all of the Company's debt obligations could occur. An event of default under the covenants governing any of the Company's debt instruments could result in the acceleration of its payment obligations under that debt and, under certain circumstances, in cross-defaults under its other debt obligations, which could have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

Specific Limitations

Charter's ability to make interest payments on its convertible senior notes, and, in 2006 and 2009, to repay the outstanding principal of its convertible senior notes of \$25 million and \$863 million, respectively, will depend on its ability to raise additional capital and/or on receipt of payments or distributions from Charter Holdco or its subsidiaries, including Charter Holdings, CIH, CCH I, CCH II, LLC ("CCH II"), CCO Holdings and Charter Operating. During the nine months ended September 30, 2005, Charter Holdings distributed \$60 million to Charter Holdco. As of September 30, 2005, Charter Holdco was owed \$57 million in intercompany loans from its subsidiaries, which amount was available to pay interest and principal on Charter's convertible senior notes. In addition, Charter has \$123 million of governmental securities pledged as security for the next five semi-annual interest payments on Charter's 5.875% convertible senior notes.

Distributions by Charter's subsidiaries to a parent company (including Charter and Charter Holdco) for payment of principal on parent company notes are restricted by the Bridge Loan and indentures governing the CIH notes, CCH I notes, CCH II notes, CCO Holdings notes, and Charter Operating notes, unless under their respective indentures there is no default and a specified leverage ratio test is met at the time of such event. For the quarter ended September 30, 2005, there was no default under any of the aforementioned indentures. However, CCO Holdings did not meet its leverage ratio test of 4.5 to 1.0. As a result, distributions from CCO Holdings to CCH II, CCH I, CIH, Charter Holdings, Charter Holdco or Charter for payment of principal of the respective parent company's debt are currently restricted and will continue to be restricted until that test is met. However distributions for payment of the respective parent company's interest are permitted.

The indentures governing the Charter Holdings notes permit Charter Holdings to make distributions to Charter Holdco for payment of interest or principal on the convertible senior notes, only if, after giving effect to the distribution, Charter Holdings can incur additional debt under the leverage ratio of 8.75 to 1.0, there is no default under Charter Holdings' indentures and other specified tests are met. For the quarter ended September 30, 2005, there was no default under Charter Holdings' indentures and other specified tests were met. However, Charter Holdings did not meet the leverage ratio of 8.75 to 1.0 based on September 30, 2005 financial results. As a result, distributions from Charter Holdings to Charter or Charter Holdco for payment of interest or principal on the convertible senior notes are currently restricted and will continue to be restricted until that test is met. During this restriction period, the indentures governing the Charter Holdings notes permit Charter Holdings and its subsidiaries to make specified investments in Charter Holdco or Charter, up to an amount determined by a formula, as long as there is no default under the indentures.

3. Sale of Assets

In July 2005, the Company closed the sale of certain cable systems in Texas and West Virginia and closed the sale of an additional cable system in Nebraska in October 2005, representing a total of approximately 33,000 customers. During the nine months ended September 30, 2005, those cable systems met the criteria for assets held for sale under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets*. As such, the assets were written down to fair value less estimated costs to sell resulting in asset impairment charges during the nine months ended September 30, 2005 of approximately \$39 million. At September 30, 2005 assets held for sale, included in investment in cable properties, are approximately \$7 million.

CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(UNAUDITED)

(dollars in millions, except share and per share amounts and where indicated)

In March 2004, the Company closed the sale of certain cable systems in Florida, Pennsylvania, Maryland, Delaware and West Virginia to Atlantic Broadband Finance, LLC. The Company closed the sale of an additional cable system in New York to Atlantic Broadband Finance, LLC in April 2004. These transactions resulted in a \$106 million pretax gain recorded as a gain on sale of assets in the Company's consolidated statements of operations. The total net proceeds from the sale of all of these systems were approximately \$735 million. The proceeds were used to repay a portion of amounts outstanding under the Company's revolving credit facility.

Gain on investments for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 primarily represents a gain realized on an exchange of the Company's interest in an equity investee for an investment in a larger enterprise.

4. Franchises and Goodwill

Franchise rights represent the value attributed to agreements with local authorities that allow access to homes in cable service areas acquired through the purchase of cable systems. Management estimates the fair value of franchise rights at the date of acquisition and determines if the franchise has a finite life or an indefinite-life as defined by SFAS No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*. Franchises that qualify for indefinite-life treatment under SFAS No. 142 are tested for impairment annually each October 1 based on valuations, or more frequently as warranted by events or changes in circumstances. Such test resulted in a total franchise impairment of approximately \$3.3 billion during the third quarter of 2004. The October 1, 2005 annual impairment test will be finalized in the fourth quarter of 2005 and any impairment resulting from such test will be recorded in the fourth quarter. Franchises are aggregated into essentially inseparable asset groups to conduct the valuations. The asset groups generally represent geographic clustering of the Company's cable systems into groups by which such systems are managed. Management believes such grouping represents the highest and best use of those assets.

The Company's valuations, which are based on the present value of projected after tax cash flows, result in a value of property, plant and equipment, franchises, customer relationships and its total entity value. The value of goodwill is the difference between the total entity value and amounts assigned to the other assets.

Franchises, for valuation purposes, are defined as the future economic benefits of the right to solicit and service potential customers (customer marketing rights), and the right to deploy and market new services such as interactivity and telephone to the potential customers (service marketing rights). Fair value is determined based on estimated discounted future cash flows using assumptions consistent with internal forecasts. The franchise after-tax cash flow is calculated as the after-tax cash flow generated by the potential customers obtained and the new services added to those customers in future periods. The sum of the present value of the franchises' after-tax cash flow in years 1 through 10 and the continuing value of the after-tax cash flow beyond year 10 yields the fair value of the franchise.

The Company follows the guidance of Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") Issue 02-17, *Recognition of Customer Relationship Intangible Assets Acquired in a Business Combination*, in valuing customer relationships. Customer relationships, for valuation purposes, represent the value of the business relationship with existing customers and are calculated by projecting future after-tax cash flows from these customers including the right to deploy and market additional services such as interactivity and telephone to these customers. The present value of these after-tax cash flows yields the fair value of the customer relationships. Substantially all acquisitions occurred prior to January 1, 2002. The Company did not record any value associated with the customer relationship intangibles related to those acquisitions. For acquisitions subsequent to January 1, 2002 the Company did assign a value to the customer relationship intangible, which is amortized over its estimated useful life.

In September 2004, the SEC staff issued EITF Topic D-108 which requires the direct method of separately valuing all intangible assets and does not permit goodwill to be included in franchise assets. The Company adopted Topic D-108 in its impairment assessment as of September 30, 2004 that resulted in a total franchise impairment of approximately \$3.3 billion. The Company recorded a cumulative effect of accounting change of \$765 million (approximately \$875 million before tax effects of \$91 million and minority interest effects of \$19 million) for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 representing the portion of the Company's total franchise impairment attributable to no longer including goodwill with franchise assets. The effect of the adoption was to increase net loss and loss per share by

CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(UNAUDITED)

(dollars in millions, except share and per share amounts and where indicated)

\$765 million and \$2.55, respectively, for the nine months ended September 30, 2004. The remaining \$2.4 billion of the total franchise impairment was attributable to the use of lower projected growth rates and the resulting revised estimates of future cash flows in the Company's valuation, and was recorded as impairment of franchises in the Company's accompanying consolidated statements of operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2004. Sustained analog video customer losses by the Company in the third quarter of 2004 primarily as a result of increased competition from direct broadcast satellite providers and decreased growth rates in the Company's high-speed Internet customers in the third quarter of 2004, in part, as a result of increased competition from digital subscriber line service providers led to the lower projected growth rates and the revised estimates of future cash flows from those used at October 1, 2003.

As of September 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, indefinite-lived and finite-lived intangible assets are presented in the following table:

	September 30, 2005			December 31, 2004		
	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying Amount	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying Amount
Indefinite-lived intangible assets:						
Franchises with indefinite lives	\$ 9,797	\$ --	\$ 9,797	\$ 9,845	\$ --	\$ 9,845
Goodwill	52	--	52	52	--	52
	\$ 9,849	\$ --	\$ 9,849	\$ 9,897	\$ --	\$ 9,897
Finite-lived intangible assets:						
Franchises with finite lives	\$ 40	\$ 7	\$ 33	\$ 37	\$ 4	\$ 33

Franchises with indefinite lives decreased \$39 million as a result of the asset impairment charges recorded related to three cable asset sales and \$9 million as a result of the closing of two of the cable asset sales in July 2005 (see Note 3). Franchise amortization expense for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004 was \$1 million and \$3 million, respectively, which represents the amortization relating to franchises that did not qualify for indefinite-life treatment under SFAS No. 142, including costs associated with franchise renewals. The Company expects that amortization expense on franchise assets will be approximately \$3 million annually for each of the next five years. Actual amortization expense in future periods could differ from these estimates as a result of new intangible asset acquisitions or divestitures, changes in useful lives and other relevant factors.

5. Accounts Payable and Accrued Expenses

Accounts payable and accrued expenses consist of the following as of September 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004:

	September 30, 2005	December 31, 2004
Accounts payable - trade	\$ 84	\$ 148

Accrued capital expenditures	101	65
Accrued expenses:		
Interest	298	324
Programming costs	287	278
Franchise-related fees	56	67
Compensation	85	66
Other	261	269
	\$ 1,172	\$ 1,217

CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(UNAUDITED)

(dollars in millions, except share and per share amounts and where indicated)

6. Long-Term Debt

Long-term debt consists of the following as of September 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004:

	September 30, 2005		December 31, 2004	
	Principal Amount	Accreted Value	Principal Amount	Accreted Value
Long-Term Debt				
Charter Communications, Inc.:				
4.75% convertible senior notes due 2006	\$ 25	\$ 25	\$ 156	\$ 156
5.875% convertible senior notes due 2009	863	841	863	834
Charter Communications Holdings, LLC:				
8.250% senior notes due 2007	105	105	451	451
8.625% senior notes due 2009	292	292	1,244	1,243
9.920% senior discount notes due 2011	198	198	1,108	1,108
10.000% senior notes due 2009	154	154	640	640
10.250% senior notes due 2010	49	49	318	318
11.750% senior discount notes due 2010	43	43	450	448
10.750% senior notes due 2009	131	131	874	874
11.125% senior notes due 2011	217	217	500	500
13.500% senior discount notes due 2011	94	91	675	589
9.625% senior notes due 2009	107	107	640	638
10.000% senior notes due 2011	137	136	710	708
11.750% senior discount notes due 2011	125	116	939	803
12.125% senior discount notes due 2012	113	97	330	259
CCH I Holdings, LLC:				
11.125% senior notes due 2014	151	151	--	--
9.920% senior discount notes due 2014	471	471	--	--
10.000% senior notes due 2014	299	299	--	--
11.750% senior discount notes due 2014	815	759	--	--
13.500% senior discount notes due 2014	581	559	--	--
12.125% senior discount notes due 2015	217	187	--	--
CCH I, LLC:				
11.00% senior notes due 2015	3,525	3,686	--	--
CCH II, LLC:				

Edgar Filing: CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS INC /MO/ - Form 424B3

10.250% senior notes due 2010	1,601	1,601	1,601	1,601
CCO Holdings, LLC:				
8¾% senior notes due 2013	800	794	500	500
Senior floating rate notes due 2010	550	550	550	550
Charter Communications Operating, LLC:				
8% senior second lien notes due 2012	1,100	1,100	1,100	1,100
8 3/8% senior second lien notes due 2014	733	733	400	400
Renaissance Media Group LLC:				
10.000% senior discount notes due 2008	114	115	114	116
CC V Holdings, LLC:				
11.875% senior discount notes due 2008	--	--	113	113
Credit Facilities				
Charter Operating	5,513	5,513	5,515	5,515
	\$ 19,123	\$ 19,120	\$ 19,791	\$ 19,464

CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(UNAUDITED)

(dollars in millions, except share and per share amounts and where indicated)

The accreted values presented above represent the principal amount of the notes less the original issue discount at the time of sale plus the accretion to the balance sheet date. The accreted value of CIH notes and CCH I notes issued in exchange for Charter Holdings notes are recorded in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP"). GAAP requires that the CIH notes issued in exchange for Charter Holdings notes and the CCH I notes issued in exchange for the 8.625% Charter Holdings notes due 2009 be recorded at the historical book values of the Charter Holdings notes as opposed to the current accreted value for legal purposes and notes indenture purposes (the amount that is currently payable if the debt becomes immediately due). As of September 30, 2005, the accreted value of the Company's debt for legal purposes and notes indenture purposes is \$18.6 billion.

In October 2005, CCO Holdings and CCO Holdings Capital Corp., as guarantor thereunder, entered into the Bridge Loan with the Lenders whereby the Lenders have committed to make loans to CCO Holdings in an aggregate amount of \$600 million. CCO Holdings may draw upon the facility between January 2, 2006 and September 29, 2006 and the loans will mature on the sixth anniversary of the first borrowing under the Bridge Loan. Each loan will accrue interest at a rate equal to an adjusted LIBOR rate plus a spread. The spread will initially be 450 basis points and will increase (a) by an additional 25 basis points at the end of the six-month period following the date of the first borrowing, (b) by an additional 25 basis points at the end of each of the next two subsequent three month periods and (c) by 62.5 basis points at the end of each of the next two subsequent three-month periods. CCO Holdings will be required to prepay loans from the net proceeds from (i) the issuance of equity or incurrence of debt by Charter and its subsidiaries, with certain exceptions, and (ii) certain asset sales (to the extent not used for other purposes permitted under the Bridge Loan).

In August 2005, CCO Holdings issued \$300 million in debt securities, the proceeds of which were used for general corporate purposes, including the payment of distributions to its parent companies, including Charter Holdings, to pay interest expense.

Gain (loss) on extinguishment of debt

In September 2005, Charter Holdings and its wholly owned subsidiaries, CCH I and CIH, completed the exchange of approximately \$6.8 billion total principal amount of outstanding debt securities of Charter Holdings in a private placement for new debt securities. Holders of Charter Holdings notes due in 2009 and 2010 exchanged \$3.4 billion principal amount of notes for \$2.9 billion principal amount of new 11% CCH I senior secured notes due 2015. Holders of Charter Holdings notes due 2011 and 2012 exchanged \$845 million principal amount of notes for \$662 million principal amount of 11% CCH I senior secured notes due 2015. In addition, holders of Charter Holdings notes due 2011 and 2012 exchanged \$2.5 billion principal amount of notes for \$2.5 billion principal amount of various series of new CIH notes. Each series of new CIH notes has the same stated interest rate and provisions for payment of cash interest as the series of old Charter Holdings notes for which such CIH notes were exchanged. In addition, the maturities for each series were extended three years. The exchanges resulted in a net gain on extinguishment of debt of approximately \$490 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005.

In March and June 2005, Charter Operating consummated exchange transactions with a small number of institutional holders of Charter Holdings 8.25% senior notes due 2007 pursuant to which Charter Operating issued, in private placements, approximately \$333 million principal amount of new notes with terms identical to Charter Operating's 8.375% senior second lien notes due 2014 in exchange for approximately \$346 million of the Charter Holdings 8.25% senior notes due 2007. The exchanges resulted in gain on extinguishment of debt of approximately \$10 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005. The Charter Holdings notes received in the exchange were thereafter

distributed to Charter Holdings and cancelled.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2005, the Company repurchased, in private transactions, from a small number of institutional holders, a total of \$131 million principal amount of its 4.75% convertible senior notes due 2006. These transactions resulted in a net gain on extinguishment of debt of approximately \$4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005.

CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(UNAUDITED)

(dollars in millions, except share and per share amounts and where indicated)

In March 2005, Charter's subsidiary, CC V Holdings, LLC, redeemed all of its 11.875% notes due 2008, at 103.958% of principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest to the date of redemption. The total cost of redemption was approximately \$122 million and was funded through borrowings under the Charter Operating credit facilities. The redemption resulted in a loss on extinguishment of debt for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 of approximately \$5 million. Following such redemption, CC V Holdings, LLC and its subsidiaries (other than non-guarantor subsidiaries) guaranteed the Charter Operating credit facilities and granted a lien on all of their assets as to which a lien can be perfected under the Uniform Commercial Code by the filing of a financing statement.

7. Minority Interest and Equity Interest of Charter Holdco

Charter is a holding company whose primary assets are a controlling equity interest in Charter Holdco, the indirect owner of the Company's cable systems, and \$866 million and \$990 million at September 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively, of mirror notes that are payable by Charter Holdco to Charter and have the same principal amount and terms as those of Charter's convertible senior notes. Minority interest on the Company's consolidated balance sheets as of September 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004 primarily represents preferred membership interests in CC VIII, LLC ("CC VIII"), an indirect subsidiary of Charter Holdco, of \$665 million and \$656 million, respectively. As more fully described in Note 20, this preferred interest arises from the approximately \$630 million of preferred membership units issued by CC VIII in connection with an acquisition in February 2000 and was the subject of a dispute between Charter and Mr. Allen, Charter's Chairman and controlling shareholder that was settled October 31, 2005. The Company is currently determining the impact of the settlement to be recorded in the fourth quarter of 2005. Due to the uncertainties that existed prior to October 31, 2005, related to the ultimate resolution, effective January 1, 2005, the Company ceased recognizing minority interest in earnings or losses of CC VIII for financial reporting purposes until such time as the resolution of the matter was determinable or other events occurred. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, the Company's results include income of \$8 million and \$25 million, respectively, attributable to CC VIII. Subsequent to recording the impact of the settlement in the fourth quarter of 2005, approximately 6% of CC VIII's income will be allocated to minority interest.

Minority interest historically included the portion of Charter Holdco's member's equity not owned by Charter. However, members' deficit of Charter Holdco was \$5.0 billion and \$4.4 billion as of September 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively, thus minority interest in Charter Holdco has been eliminated. Minority interest was approximately 52% as of September 30, 2005 and 53% as of December 31, 2004. Minority interest includes the proportionate share of changes in fair value of interest rate derivative agreements. Such amounts are temporary as they are contractually scheduled to reverse over the life of the underlying instrument. Additionally, reported losses allocated to minority interest on the consolidated statement of operations are limited to the extent of any remaining minority interest on the balance sheet related to Charter Holdco. As such, Charter absorbs all losses before income taxes that otherwise would be allocated to minority interest. Subject to any changes in Charter Holdco's capital structure, future losses will continue to be absorbed by Charter.

Changes to minority interest consist of the following:

	Minority Interest	
Balance, December 31, 2004	\$	648
CC VIII 2% Priority Return (see Note 20)		9

Changes in fair value of interest rate agreements		8
Balance, September 30, 2005	\$	665

CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(UNAUDITED)

(dollars in millions, except share and per share amounts and where indicated)

8. Share Lending Agreement

On July 29, 2005, Charter issued 27.2 million shares of Class A common stock in a public offering, which was effected pursuant to an effective registration statement that initially covered the issuance and sale of up to 150 million shares of Class A common stock. The shares were issued pursuant to the share lending agreement, pursuant to which Charter had previously agreed to loan up to 150 million shares to Citigroup Global Markets Limited ("CGML"). Because less than the full 150 million shares covered by the share lending agreement were sold in the offering, Charter remains obligated to issue, at CGML's request, up to an additional 122.8 million loaned shares in subsequent registered public offerings pursuant to the share lending agreement.

This offering of Charter's Class A common stock was conducted to facilitate transactions by which investors in Charter's 5.875% convertible senior notes due 2009, issued on November 22, 2004, hedged their investments in the convertible senior notes. Charter did not receive any of the proceeds from the sale of this Class A common stock. However, under the share lending agreement, Charter received a loan fee of \$.001 for each share that it lends to CGML.

The issuance of up to a total of 150 million shares of common stock (of which 27.2 million were issued in July 2005) pursuant to a share lending agreement executed by Charter in connection with the issuance of the 5.875% convertible senior notes in November 2004 is essentially analogous to a sale of shares coupled with a forward contract for the reacquisition of the shares at a future date. An instrument that requires physical settlement by repurchase of a fixed number of shares in exchange for cash is considered a forward purchase instrument. While the share lending agreement does not require a cash payment upon return of the shares, physical settlement is required (i.e., the shares borrowed must be returned at the end of the arrangement.) The fair value of the 27.2 million shares lent in July 2005 is approximately \$41 million as of September 30, 2005. However, the net effect on shareholders' deficit of the shares lent in July pursuant to the share lending agreement, which includes Charter's requirement to lend the shares and the counterparties' requirement to return the shares, is de minimis and represents the cash received upon lending of the shares and is equal to the par value of the common stock to be issued.

9. Comprehensive Income (Loss)

Certain marketable equity securities are classified as available-for-sale and reported at market value with unrealized gains and losses recorded as accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) on the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets. Additionally, the Company reports changes in the fair value of interest rate agreements designated as hedging the variability of cash flows associated with floating-rate debt obligations, that meet the effectiveness criteria of SFAS No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), after giving effect to the minority interest share of such gains and losses. Comprehensive income for the three months ended September 30, 2005 was \$77 million and comprehensive loss for the three months ended September 30, 2004 was \$3.3 billion and was \$627 million and \$4.0 billion for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

10. Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

The Company uses interest rate risk management derivative instruments, such as interest rate swap agreements and interest rate collar agreements (collectively referred to herein as interest rate agreements) to manage its interest costs.

The Company's policy is to manage interest costs using a mix of fixed and variable rate debt. Using interest rate swap agreements, the Company has agreed to exchange, at specified intervals through 2007, the difference between fixed and variable interest amounts calculated by reference to an agreed-upon notional principal amount. Interest rate collar agreements are used to limit the Company's exposure to and benefits from interest rate fluctuations on variable rate debt to within a certain range of rates.

The Company does not hold or issue derivative instruments for trading purposes. The Company does, however, have certain interest rate derivative instruments that have been designated as cash flow hedging instruments. Such

CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(UNAUDITED)

(dollars in millions, except share and per share amounts and where indicated)

instruments effectively convert variable interest payments on certain debt instruments into fixed payments. For qualifying hedges, SFAS No. 133 allows derivative gains and losses to offset related results on hedged items in the consolidated statement of operations. The Company has formally documented, designated and assessed the effectiveness of transactions that receive hedge accounting. For the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, net gain (loss) on derivative instruments and hedging activities includes gains of \$1 million and \$1 million, respectively, and for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, net gain (loss) on derivative instruments and hedging activities includes gains of \$2 million and \$3 million, respectively, which represent cash flow hedge ineffectiveness on interest rate hedge agreements arising from differences between the critical terms of the agreements and the related hedged obligations. Changes in the fair value of interest rate agreements designated as hedging instruments of the variability of cash flows associated with floating-rate debt obligations that meet the effectiveness criteria of SFAS No. 133 are reported in accumulated other comprehensive loss. For the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, a gain of \$5 million and \$2 million, respectively, and for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, a gain of \$14 million and \$31 million, respectively, related to derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges, was recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) and minority interest. The amounts are subsequently reclassified into interest expense as a yield adjustment in the same period in which the related interest on the floating-rate debt obligations affects earnings (losses).

Certain interest rate derivative instruments are not designated as hedges as they do not meet the effectiveness criteria specified by SFAS No. 133. However, management believes such instruments are closely correlated with the respective debt, thus managing associated risk. Interest rate derivative instruments not designated as hedges are marked to fair value, with the impact recorded as gain (loss) on derivative instruments and hedging activities in the Company's condensed consolidated statements of operations. For the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, net gain (loss) on derivative instruments and hedging activities includes gains of \$16 million and losses of \$9 million, respectively, and for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, net gain (loss) on derivative instruments and hedging activities includes gains of \$41 million and \$45 million, respectively, for interest rate derivative instruments not designated as hedges.

As of September 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, the Company had outstanding \$2.1 billion and \$2.7 billion and \$20 million and \$20 million, respectively, in notional amounts of interest rate swaps and collars, respectively. The notional amounts of interest rate instruments do not represent amounts exchanged by the parties and, thus, are not a measure of exposure to credit loss. The amounts exchanged are determined by reference to the notional amount and the other terms of the contracts.

Certain provisions of the Company's 5.875% convertible senior notes issued in November 2004 were considered embedded derivatives for accounting purposes and were required to be accounted for separately from the convertible senior notes. In accordance with SFAS No. 133, these derivatives are marked to market with gains or losses recorded in interest expense on the Company's condensed consolidated statement of operations. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, the Company recognized losses of \$1 million and gains of \$26 million, respectively. The loss resulted in an increase in interest expense whereas the gain resulted in a reduction in interest expense related to these derivatives. At September 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, \$2 million and \$10 million, respectively, is recorded in accounts payable and accrued expenses relating to the short-term portion of these derivatives and \$3 million and \$21 million, respectively, is recorded in other long-term liabilities related to the long-term portion.

CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(UNAUDITED)

(dollars in millions, except share and per share amounts and where indicated)

11. Revenues

Revenues consist of the following for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004:

	Three Months		Nine Months	
	Ended September 30,		Ended September 30,	
	2005	2004	2005	2004
Video	\$ 848	\$ 839	\$ 2,551	\$ 2,534
High-speed Internet	230	189	671	538
Advertising sales	74	73	214	205
Commercial	71	61	205	175
Other	95	86	271	249
	\$ 1,318	\$ 1,248	\$ 3,912	\$ 3,701

12. Operating Expenses

Operating expenses consist of the following for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004:

	Three Months		Nine Months	
	Ended September 30,		Ended September 30,	
	2005	2004	2005	2004
Programming	\$ 357	\$ 328	\$ 1,066	\$ 991
Service	203	173	572	489
Advertising sales	26	24	76	72
	\$ 586	\$ 525	\$ 1,714	\$ 1,552

13. Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

Selling, general and administrative expenses consist of the following for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004:

	Three Months		Nine Months	
	Ended September 30,		Ended September 30,	
	2005	2004	2005	2004
General and administrative	\$ 231	\$ 220	\$ 658	\$ 636
Marketing	38	32	104	99
	\$ 269	\$ 252	\$ 762	\$ 735

Components of selling expense are included in general and administrative and marketing expense.

14. Hurricane Asset Retirement Loss

Certain of the Company's cable systems in Louisiana suffered significant plant damage as a result of hurricanes Katrina and Rita. Based on preliminary evaluations, the Company wrote off \$19 million of its plants' net book value. Insignificant amounts of other expenses were recorded related to hurricanes Katrina and Rita.

CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(UNAUDITED)

(dollars in millions, except share and per share amounts and where indicated)

The Company has insurance coverage for both property and business interruption. The Company has not recorded any potential insurance recoveries as it is still assessing the damage of its plant and the extent of insurance coverage.

15. Special Charges

The Company has recorded special charges as a result of reducing its workforce, consolidating administrative offices and management realignment in 2004 and 2005. The activity associated with this initiative is summarized in the table below.

	Three Months		Nine Months	
	Ended September 30,		Ended September 30,	
	2005	2004	2005	2004
Beginning Balance	\$ 4	\$ 6	\$ 6	\$ 14
Special Charges	1	6	5	9
Payments	(1)	(3)	(7)	(14)
Balance at September 30,	\$ 4	\$ 9	\$ 4	\$ 9

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, special charges also included \$1 million related to legal settlements. For the nine months ended September 30, 2005, special charges were offset by approximately \$2 million related to an agreed upon discount in respect of the portion of the settlement consideration payable under the Stipulations of Settlement of the consolidated Federal Class Action and the Federal Derivative Action allocable to plaintiff's attorney fees and Charter's insurance carrier as a result of the election to pay such fees in cash (see Note 17).

For the nine months ended September 30, 2004, special charges also includes approximately \$85 million, as part of the terms set forth in memoranda of understanding regarding settlement of the consolidated Federal Class Action and Federal Derivative Action and approximately \$9 million of litigation costs related to the tentative settlement of the South Carolina national class action suit, which were approved by the respective courts. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004, the severance costs were offset by \$3 million received from a third party in settlement of a dispute.

16. Income Taxes

All operations are held through Charter Holdco and its direct and indirect subsidiaries. Charter Holdco and the majority of its subsidiaries are not subject to income tax. However, certain of these subsidiaries are corporations and are subject to income tax. All of the taxable income, gains, losses, deductions and credits of Charter Holdco are passed through to its members: Charter, Charter Investment, Inc. ("Charter Investment") and Vulcan Cable III Inc. ("Vulcan Cable"). Charter is responsible for its share of taxable income or loss of Charter Holdco allocated to Charter in accordance with the Charter Holdco limited liability company agreement (the "LLC Agreement") and partnership tax rules and regulations.

As of September 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, the Company had net deferred income tax liabilities of approximately \$287 million and \$216 million, respectively. Approximately \$214 million and \$208 million of the

deferred tax liabilities recorded in the condensed consolidated financial statements at September 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively relate to certain indirect subsidiaries of Charter Holdco, which file separate income tax returns.

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, the Company recorded \$29 million and \$75 million of income tax expense, respectively, and during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004, the Company recorded \$304 million and \$207 million of income tax benefit, respectively. The Company recorded the portion of the income tax benefit associated with the adoption of Topic D-108 as a \$91 million reduction of the cumulative effect of accounting change on the accompanying statement of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30,

CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(UNAUDITED)

(dollars in millions, except share and per share amounts and where indicated)

2004. The sale of systems to Atlantic Broadband, LLC in March and April 2004 resulted in income tax expense of \$15 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2004.

Income tax expense is recognized through increases in the deferred tax liabilities related to Charter's investment in Charter Holdco, as well as current federal and state income tax expense and increases to the deferred tax liabilities of certain of Charter's indirect corporate subsidiaries. The Company recorded an additional deferred tax asset of approximately \$222 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2005 relating to net operating loss carryforwards, but recorded a valuation allowance with respect to this amount because of the uncertainty of the ability to realize a benefit from the Company's carryforwards in the future.

The Company has deferred tax assets of approximately \$3.7 billion and \$3.5 billion as of September 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively, which primarily relate to financial and tax losses allocated to Charter from Charter Holdco. The deferred tax assets include approximately \$2.3 billion and \$2.1 billion of tax net operating loss carryforwards as of September 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively (generally expiring in years 2005 through 2025), of Charter and its indirect corporate subsidiaries. Valuation allowances of \$3.4 billion and \$3.2 billion as of September 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively, exist with respect to these deferred tax assets.

Realization of any benefit from the Company's tax net operating losses is dependent on: (1) Charter and its indirect corporate subsidiaries' ability to generate future taxable income and (2) the absence of certain future "ownership changes" of Charter's common stock. An "ownership change," as defined in the applicable federal income tax rules, would place significant limitations, on an annual basis, on the use of such net operating losses to offset any future taxable income the Company may generate. Such limitations, in conjunction with the net operating loss expiration provisions, could effectively eliminate the Company's ability to use a substantial portion of its net operating losses to offset any future taxable income. Future transactions and the timing of such transactions could cause an ownership change. Such transactions include additional issuances of common stock by the Company (including but not limited to the issuance of up to a total of 150 million shares of common stock (of which 27.2 million were issued in July 2005) under the share lending agreement, the issuance of shares of common stock upon future conversion of Charter's convertible senior notes and the issuance of common stock in the class action settlement discussed in Note 17, reacquisition of the borrowed shares by Charter, or acquisitions or sales of shares by certain holders of Charter's shares, including persons who have held, currently hold, or accumulate in the future five percent or more of Charter's outstanding stock (including upon an exchange by Mr. Allen or his affiliates, directly or indirectly, of membership units of Charter Holdco into CCI common stock)). Many of the foregoing transactions are beyond management's control.

In assessing the realizability of deferred tax assets, management considers whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will be realized. Because of the uncertainties in projecting future taxable income of Charter Holdco, valuation allowances have been established except for deferred benefits available to offset certain deferred tax liabilities.

Charter Holdco is currently under examination by the Internal Revenue Service for the tax years ending December 31, 2002 and 2003. The results of the Company (excluding Charter and the indirect corporate subsidiaries) for these years are subject to this examination. Management does not expect the results of this examination to have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition or results of operations.

Securities Class Actions and Derivative Suits

Fourteen putative federal class action lawsuits (the "Federal Class Actions") were filed in 2002 against Charter and certain of its former and present officers and directors in various jurisdictions allegedly on behalf of all purchasers of Charter's securities during the period from either November 8 or November 9, 1999 through July 17 or July 18, 2002. Unspecified damages were sought by the plaintiffs. In general, the lawsuits alleged that Charter utilized misleading accounting practices and failed to disclose these accounting practices and/or issued false and misleading financial

**CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(UNAUDITED)**

(dollars in millions, except share and per share amounts and where indicated)

statements and press releases concerning Charter's operations and prospects. The Federal Class Actions were specifically and individually identified in public filings made by Charter prior to the date of this quarterly report. On March 12, 2003, the Panel transferred the six Federal Class Actions not filed in the Eastern District of Missouri to that district for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings with the eight Federal Class Actions already pending there. The Court subsequently consolidated the Federal Class Actions into a single action (the "Consolidated Federal Class Action") for pretrial purposes. On August 5, 2004, the plaintiffs' representatives, Charter and the individual defendants who were the subject of the suit entered into a Memorandum of Understanding setting forth agreements in principle to settle the Consolidated Federal Class Action. These parties subsequently entered into Stipulations of Settlement dated as of January 24, 2005 (described more fully below) that incorporate the terms of the August 5, 2004 Memorandum of Understanding.

On September 12, 2002, a shareholders derivative suit (the "State Derivative Action") was filed in the Circuit Court of the City of St. Louis, State of Missouri (the "Missouri State Court"), against Charter and its then current directors, as well as its former auditors. The plaintiffs alleged that the individual defendants breached their fiduciary duties by failing to establish and maintain adequate internal controls and procedures. On March 12, 2004, an action substantively identical to the State Derivative Action was filed in Missouri State Court against Charter and certain of its current and former directors, as well as its former auditors. On July 14, 2004, the Court consolidated this case with the State Derivative Action.

Separately, on February 12, 2003, a shareholders derivative suit (the "Federal Derivative Action") was filed against Charter and its then current directors in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri. The plaintiff in that suit alleged that the individual defendants breached their fiduciary duties and grossly mismanaged Charter by failing to establish and maintain adequate internal controls and procedures.

As noted above, Charter and the individual defendants entered into a Memorandum of Understanding on August 5, 2004 setting forth agreements in principle regarding settlement of the Consolidated Federal Class Action, the State Derivative Action(s) and the Federal Derivative Action (the "Actions"). Charter and various other defendants in those actions subsequently entered into Stipulations of Settlement dated as of January 24, 2005, setting forth a settlement of the Actions in a manner consistent with the terms of the Memorandum of Understanding. The Stipulations of Settlement, along with various supporting documentation, were filed with the Court on February 2, 2005. On May 23, 2005 the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri conducted the final fairness hearing for the Actions, and on June 30, 2005, the Court issued its final approval of the settlements. Members of the class had 30 days from the issuance of the June 30 order approving the settlement to file an appeal challenging the approval. Two notices of appeal were filed relating to the settlement. Those appeals were directed to the amount of fees that the attorneys for the class were to receive and to the fairness of the settlement. At the end of September 2005, Stipulations of Dismissal were filed with the Eighth Circuit Court of Appeals resulting in the dismissal of both appeals with prejudice. Procedurally therefore, the settlements are final.

As amended, the Stipulations of Settlement provide that, in exchange for a release of all claims by plaintiffs against Charter and its former and present officers and directors named in the Actions, Charter would pay to the plaintiffs a combination of cash and equity collectively valued at \$144 million, which will include the fees and expenses of plaintiffs' counsel. Of this amount, \$64 million would be paid in cash (by Charter's insurance carriers) and the \$80 million balance was to be paid (subject to Charter's right to substitute cash therefor as described below) in shares of Charter Class A common stock having an aggregate value of \$40 million and ten-year warrants to purchase shares of Charter Class A common stock having an aggregate warrant value of \$40 million, with such values in each case

being determined pursuant to formulas set forth in the Stipulations of Settlement. However, Charter had the right, in its sole discretion, to substitute cash for some or all of the aforementioned securities on a dollar for dollar basis. Pursuant to that right, Charter elected to fund the \$80 million obligation with 13.4 million shares of Charter Class A common stock (having an aggregate value of approximately \$15 million pursuant to the formula set forth in the Stipulations of Settlement) with the remaining balance (less an agreed upon \$2 million discount in respect of that portion allocable to plaintiffs' attorneys' fees) to be paid in cash. In addition, Charter had agreed to issue additional shares of its Class A common stock to its insurance carrier having an aggregate value of \$5 million; however, by agreement with its carrier, Charter paid \$4.5 million in cash in lieu of issuing such shares. Charter delivered the

**CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(UNAUDITED)**

(dollars in millions, except share and per share amounts and where indicated)

settlement consideration to the claims administrator on July 8, 2005, and it was held in escrow pending resolution of the appeals. Those appeals are now resolved. On July 14, 2005, the Circuit Court for the City of St. Louis dismissed with prejudice the State Derivative Actions. The claims administrator is responsible for disbursing the settlement consideration.

As part of the settlements, Charter committed to a variety of corporate governance changes, internal practices and public disclosures, all of which have already been undertaken and none of which are inconsistent with measures Charter is taking in connection with the recent conclusion of the SEC investigation.

Government Investigations

In August 2002, Charter became aware of a grand jury investigation being conducted by the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Eastern District of Missouri into certain of its accounting and reporting practices, focusing on how Charter reported customer numbers, and its reporting of amounts received from digital set-top terminal suppliers for advertising. The U.S. Attorney's Office publicly stated that Charter was not a target of the investigation. Charter was also advised by the U.S. Attorney's Office that no current officer or member of its board of directors was a target of the investigation. On July 24, 2003, a federal grand jury charged four former officers of Charter with conspiracy and mail and wire fraud, alleging improper accounting and reporting practices focusing on revenue from digital set-top terminal suppliers and inflated customer account numbers. Each of the indicted former officers pled guilty to single conspiracy counts related to the original mail and wire fraud charges and were sentenced April 22, 2005. Charter fully cooperated with the investigation, and following the sentencings, the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Eastern District of Missouri announced that its investigation was concluded and that no further indictments would issue.

Indemnification

Charter was generally required to indemnify, under certain conditions, each of the named individual defendants in connection with the matters described above pursuant to the terms of its bylaws and (where applicable) such individual defendants' employment agreements. In accordance with these documents, in connection with the grand jury investigation, a now-settled SEC investigation and the above-described lawsuits, some of Charter's current and former directors and current and former officers were advanced certain costs and expenses incurred in connection with their defense. On February 22, 2005, Charter filed suit against four of its former officers who were indicted in the course of the grand jury investigation. These suits seek to recover the legal fees and other related expenses advanced to these individuals. One of these former officers has counterclaimed against Charter alleging, among other things, that Charter owes him additional indemnification for legal fees that Charter did not pay, and another of these former officers has counterclaimed against Charter for accrued sick leave.

Other Litigation

Charter is also party to other lawsuits and claims that arose in the ordinary course of conducting its business. In the opinion of management, after taking into account recorded liabilities, the outcome of these other lawsuits and claims are not expected to have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial condition, results of operations or its liquidity.

CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(UNAUDITED)

(dollars in millions, except share and per share amounts and where indicated)

18. Earnings (Loss) Per Share

Basic earnings (loss) per share is based on the average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share is based on the average number of shares used for the basic earnings per share calculation, adjusted for the dilutive effect of stock options, restricted stock, convertible debt, convertible redeemable preferred stock and exchangeable membership units. Basic loss per share equals diluted loss per share for the three months ended September 30, 2004 and the nine months ended September 30, 2004 and 2005.

Three Months Ended September 30, 2005

	Earnings	Shares		Earnings Per Share
Basic earnings per share	\$ 75	316,214,740	\$	0.24
Effect of restricted stock	--	840,112		--
Effect of Charter Investment Class B Common Stock	--	222,818,858		(0.10)
Effect of Vulcan Cable III Inc. Class B Common Stock	--	116,313,173		(0.02)
Effect of 5.875% convertible senior notes due 2009	13	356,404,959		(0.03)
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 88	1,012,591,842	\$	0.09

The effect of restricted stock represents the shares resulting from the vesting of nonvested restricted stock, calculated using the treasury stock method. Charter Investment Class B common stock and Vulcan Cable III Inc. Class B common stock represent membership units in Charter Holdco, held by entities controlled by Mr. Allen, that are exchangeable at any time on a one-for-one basis for shares of Charter Class B common stock, which are in turn convertible on a one-for-one basis into shares of Charter Class A common stock. The 5.875% convertible senior notes due 2009 represent the shares resulting from the assumed conversion of the notes into shares of Charter's Class A common stock.

All options to purchase common stock, which were outstanding during the three months ended September 30, 2005, were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because the options' exercise price was greater than the average market price of the common shares or they were otherwise antidilutive. Charter's 4.75% convertible senior notes, Charter's series A convertible redeemable preferred stock and all of the outstanding exchangeable membership units in Charter's indirect subsidiary, CC VIII, LLC, also were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because the effect of the conversions would have been antidilutive.

The 27.2 million shares issued in July pursuant to the share lending agreement are required to be returned, in accordance with the contractual arrangement, and are treated in basic and diluted earnings per share as if they were already returned and retired. Consequently, there is no impact of the shares of common stock lent under the share lending agreement in the earnings per share calculation.

19. Stock Compensation Plans

Prior to January 1, 2003, the Company accounted for stock-based compensation in accordance with Accounting Principles Board ("APB") Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees*, and related interpretations, as permitted by SFAS No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*. On January 1, 2003, the Company adopted the fair value measurement provisions of SFAS No. 123 using the prospective method, under which the Company recognizes compensation expense of a stock-based award to an employee over the vesting period based on the fair value of the award on the grant date consistent with the method described in Financial Accounting Standards Board Interpretation No. 28, *Accounting for Stock Appreciation Rights and Other Variable Stock Option or Award Plans*. Adoption of these provisions resulted in utilizing a preferable accounting method as the condensed consolidated financial statements will present the estimated fair value of stock-based compensation in expense consistently with other forms of compensation and other expense associated with goods and services received for equity instruments. In accordance with SFAS No. 148, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation - Transition and Disclosure*, the fair value

CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(UNAUDITED)

(dollars in millions, except share and per share amounts and where indicated)

method is being applied only to awards granted or modified after January 1, 2003, whereas awards granted prior to such date will continue to be accounted for under APB No. 25, unless they are modified or settled in cash. The ongoing effect on consolidated results of operations or financial condition will depend on future stock-based compensation awards granted by the Company.

SFAS No. 123 requires pro forma disclosure of the impact on earnings as if the compensation expense for these plans had been determined using the fair value method. The following table presents the Company's net income (loss) and income (loss) per share as reported and the pro forma amounts that would have been reported using the fair value method under SFAS No. 123 for the periods presented:

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2005	2004	2005	2004
Net income (loss) applicable to common stock	\$ 75	\$ (3,295)	\$ (634)	\$ (4,005)
Add back stock-based compensation expense related to stock options included in reported net income (loss)	3	8	11	34
Less employee stock-based compensation expense determined under fair value based method for all employee stock option awards	(3)	(6)	(11)	(37)
Effects of unvested options in stock option exchange	--	--	--	48
Pro forma	\$ 75	\$ (3,293)	\$ (634)	\$ (3,960)
Basic income (loss) per common share	\$ 0.24	\$ (10.89)	\$ (2.06)	\$ (13.38)
Add back stock-based compensation expense related to stock options included in reported net income (loss)	0.01	0.03	0.04	0.11
Less employee stock-based compensation expense determined under fair value based method for all employee stock option awards	(0.01)	(0.02)	(0.04)	(0.12)
Effects of unvested options in stock option exchange	--	--	--	0.16
Pro forma	\$ 0.24	\$ (10.88)	\$ (2.06)	\$ (13.23)
Diluted income (loss) per common share	\$ 0.09	\$ (10.89)	\$ (2.06)	\$ (13.38)

Add back stock-based compensation expense related to stock options included in reported net income (loss)	--	0.03	0.04	0.11
Less employee stock-based compensation expense determined under fair value based method for all employee stock option awards	--	(0.02)	(0.04)	(0.12)
Effects of unvested options in stock option exchange	--	--	--	0.16
Pro forma	\$ 0.09	\$ (10.88)	\$ (2.06)	\$ (13.23)

In January 2004, Charter began an option exchange program in which the Company offered its employees the right to exchange all stock options (vested and unvested) under the 1999 Charter Communications Option Plan and 2001 Stock Incentive Plan that had an exercise price over \$10 per share for shares of restricted Charter Class A common stock or, in some instances, cash. Based on a sliding exchange ratio, which varied depending on the exercise price of an employee's outstanding options, if an employee would have received more than 400 shares of restricted stock in exchange for tendered options, Charter issued to that employee shares of restricted stock in the exchange. If, based on the exchange ratios, an employee would have received 400 or fewer shares of restricted stock in exchange for tendered options, Charter instead paid the employee cash in an amount equal to the number of shares the employee would have received multiplied by \$5.00. The offer applied to options (vested and unvested) to purchase a total of 22,929,573 shares of Charter Class A common stock, or approximately 48% of the Company's 47,882,365 total options (vested and unvested) issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2003. Participation by employees was voluntary. Those members of Charter's board of directors who were not also employees of the Company were not eligible to participate in the exchange offer.

**CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(UNAUDITED)**

(dollars in millions, except share and per share amounts and where indicated)

In the closing of the exchange offer on February 20, 2004, the Company accepted for cancellation eligible options to purchase approximately 18,137,664 shares of Charter Class A common stock. In exchange, the Company granted 1,966,686 shares of restricted stock, including 460,777 performance shares to eligible employees of the rank of senior vice president and above, and paid a total cash amount of approximately \$4 million (which amount includes applicable withholding taxes) to those employees who received cash rather than shares of restricted stock. The restricted stock was granted on February 25, 2004. Employees tendered approximately 79% of the options exchangeable under the program.

The cost to the Company of the stock option exchange program was approximately \$10 million, with a 2004 cash compensation expense of approximately \$4 million and a non-cash compensation expense of approximately \$6 million to be expensed ratably over the three-year vesting period of the restricted stock issued in the exchange.

In January 2004, the Compensation Committee of the board of directors of Charter approved Charter's Long-Term Incentive Program ("LTIP"), which is a program administered under the 2001 Stock Incentive Plan. Under the LTIP, employees of Charter and its subsidiaries whose pay classifications exceed a certain level are eligible to receive stock options and more senior level employees are eligible to receive stock options and performance shares. The stock options vest 25% on each of the first four anniversaries of the date of grant. The performance units vest on the third anniversary of the grant date and shares of Charter Class A common stock are issued, conditional upon Charter's performance against financial performance targets established by Charter's management and approved by its board of directors. Charter granted 6.9 million performance shares in January 2004 under this program and recognized expense of \$2 million and \$8 million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004, respectively. However, in the fourth quarter of 2004, the Company reversed the \$8 million of expense recorded in the first three quarters of 2004 based on the Company's assessment of the probability of achieving the financial performance measures established by Charter and required to be met for the performance shares to vest. In March and April 2005, Charter granted 2.8 million performance shares under the LTIP and recognized approximately \$1 million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005.

20. Related Party Transactions

The following sets forth certain transactions in which the Company and the directors, executive officers and affiliates of the Company are involved. Unless otherwise disclosed, management believes that each of the transactions described below was on terms no less favorable to the Company than could have been obtained from independent third parties.

CC VIII

As part of the acquisition of the cable systems owned by Bresnan Communications Company Limited Partnership in February 2000, CC VIII, Charter's indirect limited liability company subsidiary, issued, after adjustments, 24,273,943 Class A preferred membership units (collectively the "CC VIII interest") with a value and an initial capital account of approximately \$630 million to certain sellers affiliated with AT&T Broadband, subsequently owned by Comcast Corporation (the "Comcast sellers"). While held by the Comcast sellers, the CC VIII interest was entitled to a 2% priority return on its initial capital account and such priority return was entitled to preferential distributions from available cash and upon liquidation of CC VIII. While held by the Comcast sellers, the CC VIII interest generally did not share in the profits and losses of CC VIII. Mr. Allen granted the Comcast sellers the right to sell to him the CC VIII interest for approximately \$630 million plus 4.5% interest annually from February 2000 (the "Comcast put

right"). In April 2002, the Comcast sellers exercised the Comcast put right in full, and this transaction was consummated on June 6, 2003. Accordingly, Mr. Allen, indirectly through a company controlled by him, Charter Investment, Inc. ("CII"), became the holder of the CC VIII interest. Consequently, subject to the matters referenced in the next paragraph, Mr. Allen generally thereafter has been allocated his pro rata share (based on number of membership interests outstanding) of profits or losses of CC VIII. In the event of a liquidation of CC VIII, Mr. Allen would be entitled to a priority distribution with respect to the 2% priority return (which will continue to accrete). Any remaining distributions in liquidation would be distributed to CC V Holdings, LLC, an indirect subsidiary of Charter ("CC V"), and Mr. Allen in proportion to CC V's capital account and Mr. Allen's capital account (which will equal the

**CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(UNAUDITED)**

(dollars in millions, except share and per share amounts and where indicated)

initial capital account of the Comcast sellers of approximately \$630 million, increased or decreased by Mr. Allen's pro rata share of CC VIII's profits or losses (as computed for capital account purposes) after June 6, 2003). The limited liability company agreement of CC VIII does not provide for a mandatory redemption of the CC VIII interest.

An issue arose as to whether the documentation for the Bresnan transaction was correct and complete with regard to the ultimate ownership of the CC VIII interest following consummation of the Comcast put right. Specifically, under the terms of the Bresnan transaction documents that were entered into in June 1999, the Comcast sellers originally would have received, after adjustments, 24,273,943 Charter Holdco membership units, but due to an FCC regulatory issue raised by the Comcast sellers shortly before closing, the Bresnan transaction was modified to provide that the Comcast sellers instead would receive the preferred equity interests in CC VIII represented by the CC VIII interest. As part of the last-minute changes to the Bresnan transaction documents, a draft amended version of the Charter Holdco limited liability company agreement was prepared, and contract provisions were drafted for that agreement that would have required an automatic exchange of the CC VIII interest for 24,273,943 Charter Holdco membership units if the Comcast sellers exercised the Comcast put right and sold the CC VIII interest to Mr. Allen or his affiliates. However, the provisions that would have required this automatic exchange did not appear in the final version of the Charter Holdco limited liability company agreement that was delivered and executed at the closing of the Bresnan transaction. The law firm that prepared the documents for the Bresnan transaction brought this matter to the attention of Charter and representatives of Mr. Allen in 2002.

Thereafter, the board of directors of Charter formed a Special Committee (currently comprised of Messrs. Merritt, Tory and Wangberg) to investigate the matter and take any other appropriate action on behalf of Charter with respect to this matter. After conducting an investigation of the relevant facts and circumstances, the Special Committee determined that a "scrivener's error" had occurred in February 2000 in connection with the preparation of the last-minute revisions to the Bresnan transaction documents and that, as a result, Charter should seek reformation of the Charter Holdco limited liability company agreement, or alternative relief, in order to restore and ensure the obligation that the CC VIII interest be automatically exchanged for Charter Holdco units. The Special Committee further determined that, as part of such contract reformation or alternative relief, Mr. Allen should be required to contribute the CC VIII interest to Charter Holdco in exchange for 24,273,943 Charter Holdco membership units. The Special Committee also recommended to the board of directors of Charter that, to the extent contract reformation were achieved, the board of directors should consider whether the CC VIII interest should ultimately be held by Charter Holdco or Charter Holdings or another entity owned directly or indirectly by them.

Mr. Allen disagreed with the Special Committee's determinations described above and so notified the Special Committee. Mr. Allen contended that the transaction was accurately reflected in the transaction documentation and contemporaneous and subsequent company public disclosures.

The parties engaged in a process of non-binding mediation to seek to resolve this matter, without success. The Special Committee evaluated what further actions or processes to undertake to resolve this dispute. To accommodate further deliberation, each party agreed to refrain from initiating legal proceedings over this matter until it had given at least ten days' prior notice to the other. In addition, the Special Committee and Mr. Allen determined to utilize the Delaware Court of Chancery's program for mediation of complex business disputes in an effort to resolve the CC VIII interest dispute.

As of October 31, 2005, Mr. Allen, the Special Committee, Charter, Charter Holdco and certain of their affiliates, having investigated the facts and circumstances relating to the dispute involving the CC VIII interest, after

consultation with counsel and other advisors, and as a result of the Delaware Chancery Court's non-binding mediation program, agreed to settle the dispute, and execute certain permanent and irrevocable releases pursuant to the Settlement Agreement and Mutual Release agreement dated October 31, 2005 (the "Settlement").

Pursuant to the Settlement, CII has retained 30% of its CC VIII interest (the "Remaining Interests"). The Remaining Interests are subject to certain drag along, tag along and transfer restrictions as detailed in the revised CC VIII Limited Liability Company Agreement. CII transferred the other 70% of the CC VIII interest directly and indirectly, through Charter Holdco, to a newly formed entity, CCHC, LLC (a direct subsidiary of Charter Holdco and the direct parent of

**CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(UNAUDITED)**

(dollars in millions, except share and per share amounts and where indicated)

Charter Holdings, "CCHC"). Of that other 70% of the CC VIII preferred interests, 7.4% has been transferred by CII for a subordinated exchangeable note of CCHC with an initial accreted value of \$48.2 million, accreting at 14%, compounded quarterly, with a 15-year maturity (the "Note"). The remaining 62.6% has been transferred for no consideration.

As part of the Settlement, CC VIII issued approximately 49 million additional Class B units to CC V in consideration for prior capital contributions to CC VIII by CC V, with respect to transactions that were unrelated to the dispute in connection with CII's membership units in CC VIII. As a result, Mr. Allen's pro rata share of the profits and losses of CC VIII attributable to the Remaining Interests is approximately 5.6%.

The Note is exchangeable, at CII's option, at any time, for Charter Holdco Class A Common units at a rate equal to then accreted value, divided by \$2.00 (the "Exchange Rate"). Customary anti-dilution protections have been provided that could cause future changes to the Exchange Rate. Additionally, the Charter Holdco Class A Common units received will be exchangeable by the holder into Charter common stock in accordance with existing agreements between CII, Charter and certain other parties signatory thereto. Beginning three years and four months after the closing of the Settlement, if the closing price of Charter common stock is at or above the Exchange Rate for a certain period of time as specified in the Exchange Agreement, Charter Holdco may require the exchange of the Note for Charter Holdco Class A Common units at the Exchange Rate.

CCHC has the right to redeem the Note under certain circumstances, for cash in an amount equal to the then accreted value. CCHC must redeem the Note at its maturity for cash in an amount equal to the initial stated value plus the accreted return through maturity.

The Board of Directors has determined that the transferred CC VIII interests remain at CCHC.

TechTV, Inc.

TechTV, Inc. ("TechTV") operated a cable television network that offered programming mostly related to technology. Pursuant to an affiliation agreement that originated in 1998 and that terminates in 2008, TechTV has provided the Company with programming for distribution via Charter's cable systems. The affiliation agreement provides, among other things, that TechTV must offer Charter certain terms and conditions that are no less favorable in the affiliation agreement than are given to any other distributor that serves the same number of or fewer TechTV viewing customers. Additionally, pursuant to the affiliation agreement, the Company was entitled to incentive payments for channel launches through December 31, 2003.

In March 2004, Charter Holdco entered into agreements with Vulcan Programming and TechTV, which provide for (i) Charter Holdco and TechTV to amend the affiliation agreement which, among other things, revises the description of the TechTV network content, provides for Charter Holdco to waive certain claims against TechTV relating to alleged breaches of the affiliation agreement and provides for TechTV to make payment of outstanding launch receivables due to Charter Holdco under the affiliation agreement, (ii) Vulcan Programming to pay approximately \$10 million and purchase over a 24-month period at fair market rates, \$2 million of advertising time across various cable networks on Charter cable systems in consideration of the agreements, obligations, releases and waivers under the agreements and in settlement of the aforementioned claims and (iii) TechTV to be a provider of content relating to technology and video gaming for Charter's interactive television platforms through December 31, 2006 (exclusive for the first year). For each of the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, the Company recognized approximately

\$0.3 million and \$1 million, respectively, of the Vulcan Programming payment as an offset to programming expense. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, the Company paid approximately \$1 million and \$2 million, respectively, and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004, the Company paid approximately \$0.5 million and \$1 million, respectively, under the affiliation agreement.

The Company believes that Vulcan Programming, which is 100% owned by Mr. Allen, owned an approximate 98% equity interest in TechTV at the time Vulcan Programming sold TechTV to an unrelated third party in May 2004. Until September 2003, Mr. Savoy, a former Charter director, was the president and director of Vulcan Programming and was a director of TechTV. Mr. Wangberg, one of Charter's directors, was the chairman, chief executive officer

**CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(UNAUDITED)**

(dollars in millions, except share and per share amounts and where indicated)

and a director of TechTV. Mr. Wangberg resigned as the chief executive officer of TechTV in July 2002. He remained a director of TechTV along with Mr. Allen until Vulcan Programming sold TechTV.

Digeo, Inc.

In March 2001, a subsidiary of Charter, Charter Communications Ventures, LLC ("Charter Ventures"), and Vulcan Ventures Incorporated formed DBroadband Holdings, LLC for the sole purpose of purchasing equity interests in Digeo, Inc. ("Digeo"), an entity controlled by Mr. Allen. In connection with the execution of the broadband carriage agreement, DBroadband Holdings, LLC purchased an equity interest in Digeo funded by contributions from Vulcan Ventures Incorporated. The equity interest is subject to a priority return of capital to Vulcan Ventures up to the amount contributed by Vulcan Ventures on Charter Ventures' behalf. After Vulcan Ventures recovers its amount contributed and any cumulative loss allocations, Charter Ventures has a 100% profit interest in DBroadband Holdings, LLC. Charter Ventures is not required to make any capital contributions, including capital calls, to Digeo. DBroadband Holdings, LLC is therefore not included in the Company's consolidated financial statements. Pursuant to an amended version of this arrangement, in 2003 Vulcan Ventures contributed a total of \$29 million to Digeo, \$7 million of which was contributed on Charter Ventures' behalf, subject to Vulcan Ventures' aforementioned priority return. Since the formation of DBroadband Holdings, LLC, Vulcan Ventures has contributed approximately \$56 million on Charter Ventures' behalf.

On March 2, 2001, Charter Ventures entered into a broadband carriage agreement with Digeo Interactive, LLC ("Digeo Interactive"), a wholly owned subsidiary of Digeo. The carriage agreement provided that Digeo Interactive would provide to Charter a "portal" product, which would function as the television-based Internet portal (the initial point of entry to the Internet) for Charter's customers who received Internet access from Charter. The agreement term was for 25 years and Charter agreed to use the Digeo portal exclusively for six years. Before the portal product was delivered to Charter, Digeo terminated development of the portal product.

On September 27, 2001, Charter and Digeo Interactive amended the broadband carriage agreement. According to the amendment, Digeo Interactive would provide to Charter the content for enhanced "Wink" interactive television services, known as Charter Interactive Channels ("i-channels"). In order to provide the i-channels, Digeo Interactive sublicensed certain Wink technologies to Charter. Charter is entitled to share in the revenues generated by the i-channels. Currently, the Company's digital video customers who receive i-channels receive the service at no additional charge.

On September 28, 2002, Charter entered into a second amendment to its broadband carriage agreement with Digeo Interactive. This amendment superseded the amendment of September 27, 2001. It provided for the development by Digeo Interactive of future features to be included in the Basic i-TV service to be provided by Digeo and for Digeo's development of an interactive "toolkit" to enable Charter to develop interactive local content. Furthermore, Charter could request that Digeo Interactive manage local content for a fee. The amendment provided for Charter to pay for development of the Basic i-TV service as well as license fees for customers who would receive the service, and for Charter and Digeo to split certain revenues earned from the service. The Company paid Digeo Interactive approximately \$1 million and \$2 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively, and \$1 million and \$2 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004, respectively, for customized development of the i-channels and the local content tool kit. This amendment expired pursuant to its terms on December 31, 2003. Digeo Interactive is continuing to provide the Basic i-TV service on a month-to-month basis.

On June 30, 2003, Charter Holdco entered into an agreement with Motorola, Inc. for the purchase of 100,000 digital video recorder ("DVR") units. The software for these DVR units is being supplied by Digeo Interactive, LLC under a license agreement entered into in April 2004. Under the license agreement Digeo Interactive granted to Charter Holdco the right to use Digeo's proprietary software for the number of DVR units that Charter deployed from a maximum of 10 headends through year-end 2004. This maximum number of headends was increased from 10 to 15 pursuant to a letter agreement executed on June 11, 2004 and the date for entering into license agreements for units deployed was extended to June 30, 2005. The number of headends was increased from 15 to 20 pursuant to a letter

**CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(UNAUDITED)**

(dollars in millions, except share and per share amounts and where indicated)

agreement dated August 4, 2004, from 20 to 30 pursuant to a letter agreement dated September 28, 2004 and from 30 to 50 headends by a letter agreement in February 2005. The license granted for each unit deployed under the agreement is valid for five years. In addition, Charter will pay certain other fees including a per-headend license fee and maintenance fees. Maximum license and maintenance fees during the term of the agreement are expected to be approximately \$7 million. The agreement provides that Charter is entitled to receive contract terms, considered on the whole, and license fees, considered apart from other contract terms, no less favorable than those accorded to any other Digeo customer. Charter paid approximately \$1 million in license and maintenance fees for each of the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005.

In April 2004, the Company launched DVR service using units containing the Digeo software in Charter's Rochester, Minnesota market using a broadband media center that is an integrated set-top terminal with a cable converter, DVR hard drive and connectivity to other consumer electronics devices (such as stereos, MP3 players, and digital cameras).

In May 2004, Charter Holdco entered into a binding term sheet with Digeo Interactive for the development, testing and purchase of 70,000 Digeo PowerKey DVR units. The term sheet provided that the parties would proceed in good faith to negotiate, prior to year-end 2004, definitive agreements for the development, testing and purchase of the DVR units and that the parties would enter into a license agreement for Digeo's proprietary software on terms substantially similar to the terms of the license agreement described above. In November 2004, Charter Holdco and Digeo Interactive executed the license agreement and in December 2004, the parties executed the purchase agreement, each on terms substantially similar to the binding term sheet. Product development and testing has been completed. Total purchase price and license and maintenance fees during the term of the definitive agreements are expected to be approximately \$41 million. The definitive agreements are terminable at no penalty to Charter in certain circumstances. Charter paid approximately \$7 million and \$9 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively, and \$0.2 million for each of the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004 in capital purchases under this agreement.

In late 2003, Microsoft sued Digeo for \$9 million in a breach of contract action, involving an agreement that Digeo and Microsoft had entered into in 2001. Digeo informed us that it believed it had an indemnification claim against us for half that amount. Digeo settled with Microsoft agreeing to make a cash payment and to purchase certain amounts of Microsoft software products and consulting services through 2008. In consideration of Digeo agreeing to release us from its potential claim against us, after consultation with outside counsel we agreed, in June 2005, to purchase a total of \$2.3 million in Microsoft consulting services through 2008, a portion of which amounts Digeo has informed us will count against Digeo's purchase obligations with Microsoft.

In October 2005, Charter Holdco and Digeo Interactive entered into a binding Term Sheet for the test market deployment of the Moxi Entertainment Applications Pack ("MEAP"). The MEAP is an addition to the Moxi Client Software and will contain ten games (such as Video Poker and Blackjack), a photo application and jukebox application. The term sheet is limited to a test market application of approximately 14,000 subscribers and the aggregate value is not expected to exceed \$0.1 million. In the event the test market proves successful, the companies will replace the Term Sheet with a long form agreement including a planned roll-out across additional markets. The Term Sheet expires on May 1, 2006.

The Company believes that Vulcan Ventures, an entity controlled by Mr. Allen, owns an approximate 60% equity interest in Digeo, Inc., on a fully-converted non-diluted basis. Mr. Allen, Lance Conn and Jo Allen Patton, directors of Charter, are directors of Digeo, and Mr. Vogel was a director of Digeo in 2004. During 2004 and 2005, Mr. Vogel

held options to purchase 10,000 shares of Digeo common stock.

Oxygen Media LLC

Oxygen Media LLC ("Oxygen") provides programming content aimed at the female audience for distribution over cable systems and satellite. On July 22, 2002, Charter Holdco entered into a carriage agreement with Oxygen whereby the Company agreed to carry programming content from Oxygen. Under the carriage agreement, the Company currently makes Oxygen programming available to approximately 5 million of its video customers. The term of the

28

**CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(UNAUDITED)**

(dollars in millions, except share and per share amounts and where indicated)

carriage agreement was retroactive to February 1, 2000, the date of launch of Oxygen programming by the Company, and runs for a period of five years from that date. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, the Company paid Oxygen approximately \$2 million and \$7 million, respectively, and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004, the Company paid Oxygen approximately \$3 million and \$11 million, respectively, for programming content. In addition, Oxygen pays the Company marketing support fees for customers launched after the first year of the term of the carriage agreement up to a total of \$4 million. The Company recorded approximately \$0.1 million related to these launch incentives as a reduction of programming expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 and \$0.4 million and \$1 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004, respectively.

Concurrently with the execution of the carriage agreement, Charter Holdco entered into an equity issuance agreement pursuant to which Oxygen's parent company, Oxygen Media Corporation ("Oxygen Media"), granted a subsidiary of Charter Holdco a warrant to purchase 2.4 million shares of Oxygen Media common stock for an exercise price of \$22.00 per share. In February 2005, this warrant expired unexercised. Charter Holdco was also to receive unregistered shares of Oxygen Media common stock with a guaranteed fair market value on the date of issuance of \$34 million, on or prior to February 2, 2005, with the exact date to be determined by Oxygen Media, but this commitment was later revised as discussed below.

The Company recognized the guaranteed value of the investment over the life of the carriage agreement as a reduction of programming expense. For the nine months ended September 30, 2005, the Company recorded approximately \$2 million as a reduction of programming expense and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004, the Company recorded approximately \$3 million and \$11 million as a reduction of programming expense, respectively. The carrying value of the Company's investment in Oxygen was approximately \$33 million and \$32 million as of September 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively.

In August 2004, Charter Holdco and Oxygen entered into agreements that amended and renewed the carriage agreement. The amendment to the carriage agreement (a) revises the number of the Company's customers to which Oxygen programming must be carried and for which the Company must pay, (b) releases Charter Holdco from any claims related to the failure to achieve distribution benchmarks under the carriage agreement, (c) requires Oxygen to make payment on outstanding receivables for marketing support fees due to the Company under the carriage agreement and (d) requires that Oxygen provide its programming content to the Company on economic terms no less favorable than Oxygen provides to any other cable or satellite operator having fewer subscribers than the Company. The renewal of the carriage agreement (a) extends the period that the Company will carry Oxygen programming to the Company's customers through January 31, 2008 and (b) requires license fees to be paid based on customers receiving Oxygen programming, rather than for specific customer benchmarks.

In August 2004, Charter Holdco and Oxygen also amended the equity issuance agreement to provide for the issuance of 1 million shares of Oxygen Preferred Stock with a liquidation preference of \$33.10 per share plus accrued dividends to Charter Holdco on February 1, 2005 in place of the \$34 million of unregistered shares of Oxygen Media common stock. Oxygen Media delivered these shares in March 2005. The preferred stock is convertible into common stock after December 31, 2007 at a conversion ratio per share of preferred stock, the numerator of which is the liquidation preference and the denominator of which is the fair market value per share of Oxygen Media common stock on the conversion date.

As of September 30, 2005, through Vulcan Programming, Mr. Allen owned an approximate 31% interest in Oxygen assuming no exercises of outstanding warrants or conversion or exchange of convertible or exchangeable securities. Ms. Jo Allen Patton is a director and the President of Vulcan Programming. Mr. Lance Conn is a Vice President of Vulcan Programming. Mr. Nathanson has an indirect beneficial interest of less than 1% in Oxygen.

Helicon

In 1999, the Company purchased the Helicon cable systems. As part of that purchase, Mr. Allen entered into a put agreement with a certain seller of the Helicon cable systems that received a portion of the purchase price in the form of a preferred membership interest in Charter Helicon, LLC with a redemption price of \$25 million plus accrued interest.

CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(UNAUDITED)

(dollars in millions, except share and per share amounts and where indicated)

Under the Helicon put agreement, such holder had the right to sell any or all of the interest to Mr. Allen prior to its mandatory redemption in cash on July 30, 2009. On August 31, 2005, 40% of the preferred membership interest was put to Mr. Allen. The remaining 60% of the preferred interest in Charter Helicon, LLC remained subject to the put to Mr. Allen. Such preferred interest was recorded in other long-term liabilities as of September 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004. On October 6, 2005, Charter Helicon, LLC redeemed all of the preferred membership interest for the redemption price of \$25 million plus accrued interest.

21. Subsequent Events

In October 2005, Charter repurchased 484,908 shares of its Series A Convertible Redeemable Preferred Stock (the "Preferred Stock") for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$29 million (or \$60 per share). The shares had liquidation preference of approximately \$48 million and had accrued but unpaid dividends of approximately \$3 million. Following the repurchase, 60,351 shares of Preferred Stock remained outstanding.

In connection with the repurchase, the holders of Preferred Stock consented to an amendment to the Certificate of Designation governing the Preferred Stock that will eliminate the quarterly dividends on all of the outstanding Preferred Stock and will provide that the liquidation preference for the remaining shares outstanding will be \$105.4063 per share, which amount shall accrete from September 30, 2005 at an annual rate of 7.75%, compounded quarterly. Certain holders of Preferred Stock also released Charter from various threatened claims relating to their acquisition and ownership of the Preferred Stock, including threatened claims for breach of contract.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

General

Charter Communications, Inc. ("Charter") is a holding company whose principal assets as of September 30, 2005 are a 48% controlling common equity interest in Charter Communications Holding Company, LLC ("Charter Holdco") and "mirror" notes that are payable by Charter Holdco to Charter and have the same principal amount and terms as Charter's convertible senior notes. "We," "us" and "our" refer to Charter and its subsidiaries.

The chart below sets forth our organizational structure and that of our principal direct and indirect subsidiaries pro forma for the creation of CCHC, LLC and settlement of the CC VIII, LLC dispute. See Note 20 to the condensed consolidated financial statements. Equity ownership and voting percentages are actual percentages as of September 30, 2005 and do not give effect to any exercise, conversion or exchange of options, preferred stock, convertible notes or other convertible or exchangeable securities.

- (1) Charter acts as the sole manager of Charter Holdco and its direct and indirect limited liability company subsidiaries. Charter's certificate of incorporation requires that its principal assets be securities of Charter Holdco, the terms of which mirror the terms of securities issued by Charter.
- (2) These membership units are held by Charter Investment, Inc. and Vulcan Cable III Inc., each of which is 100% owned by Paul G. Allen, our chairman and controlling shareholder. They are exchangeable at any time on a one-for-one basis for shares of Charter Class A common stock.
- (3) The percentages shown in this table reflect the issuance of the 27.2 million shares of Class A common stock issued on July 29, 2005 and the corresponding issuance of an equal number of mirror membership units by Charter Holdco to Charter. However, for accounting purposes, Charter's common equity interest in Charter Holdco is 48%, and Paul G. Allen's ownership of Charter Holdco is 52%. These percentages exclude the 27.2 million mirror membership units issued to Charter due to the required return of the issued mirror units upon return of the shares offered pursuant to the share lending agreement. See Note 8 to the condensed consolidated financial statements.
- (4) Represents the impact of the settlement of the CC VIII, LLC dispute. See Note 20 to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

We are a broadband communications company operating in the United States. We offer our customers traditional cable video programming (analog and digital video) as well as high-speed Internet services and, in some areas, advanced broadband services such as high definition television, video on demand, telephone and interactive television. We sell our cable video programming, high-speed Internet and advanced broadband services on a subscription basis.

The following table summarizes our customer statistics for analog and digital video, residential high-speed Internet and residential telephone as of September 30, 2005 and 2004:

	Approximate as of	
	September 30,	September 30,
	2005 (a)	2004 (a)
Cable Video Services:		
Analog Video:		
Residential (non-bulk) analog video customers (b)	5,636,100	5,825,000
Multi-dwelling (bulk) and commercial unit customers (c)	270,200	249,600
Total analog video customers (b)(c)	5,906,300	6,074,600
Digital Video:		
Digital video customers (d)	2,749,400	2,688,900
Non-Video Cable Services:		
Residential high-speed Internet customers (e)	2,120,000	1,819,900
Residential telephone customers (f)	89,900	40,200

The September 30, 2005 statistics presented above reflect the minimal loss of customers related to hurricanes Katrina and Rita. Based on preliminary estimates, customer losses related to hurricanes Katrina and Rita are expected to be approximately 10,000 to 15,000.

After giving effect to the sale of certain non-strategic cable systems in July 2005, September 30, 2004 analog video customers, digital video customers and high-speed Internet customers would have been 6,046,900, 2,677,600 and 1,819,300, respectively.

(a) "Customers" include all persons our corporate billing records show as receiving service (regardless of their payment status), except for complimentary accounts (such as our employees). At September 30, 2005 and 2004, "customers" include approximately 44,400 and 46,000 persons whose accounts were over 60 days past due in payment, approximately 9,800 and 5,500 persons whose accounts were over 90 days past due in

payment, and approximately 6,000 and 2,000 of which were over 120 days past due in payment, respectively.

- (b) "Residential (non-bulk) analog video customers" include all customers who receive video services, except for complimentary accounts (such as our employees).
- (c) Included within "video customers" are those in commercial and multi-dwelling structures, which are calculated on an equivalent bulk unit ("EBU") basis. EBU is calculated for a system by dividing the bulk price charged to accounts in an area by the most prevalent price charged to non-bulk residential customers in that market for the comparable tier of service. The EBU method of estimating analog video customers is consistent with the methodology used in determining costs paid to programmers and has been consistently applied year over year. As we increase our effective analog prices to residential customers without a corresponding increase in the prices charged to commercial service or multi-dwelling customers, our EBU count will decline even if there is no real loss in commercial service or multi-dwelling customers.
- (d) "Digital video customers" include all households that have one or more digital set-top terminals. Included in "digital video customers" on September 30, 2005 and 2004 are approximately 8,900 and 10,700 customers, respectively, that receive digital video service directly through satellite transmission.
- (e) "Residential high-speed Internet customers" represent those customers who subscribe to our high-speed Internet service. At September 30, 2005 and 2004, approximately 1,896,000 and 1,614,400 of these high-speed Internet customers, respectively, receive video services from us and are included within our video statistics above.
- (f) "Residential telephone customers" include all households who subscribe to our telephone service.

Overview of Operations

We have a history of net losses. Despite having net earnings for the three months ended September 30, 2005, we expect to continue to report net losses for the foreseeable future. Our net losses are principally attributable to insufficient revenue to cover the combination of operating costs and interest costs we incur because of our high level of debt, depreciation expenses that we incur resulting from the capital investments we have made and continue to make in our business, and impairment of our franchise intangibles. We expect that these expenses (other than impairment of franchises) will remain significant, and we therefore expect to continue to report net losses for the foreseeable future. Additionally, reported losses allocated to minority interest on the statement of operations are limited to the extent of any remaining minority interest balance on the balance sheet related to Charter Holdco. Because minority interest in Charter Holdco has been eliminated, Charter absorbs all losses before income taxes that otherwise would be allocated to minority interest. Subject to any changes in Charter Holdco's capital structure, future losses will continue to be absorbed by Charter. Effective January 1, 2005, we ceased recognizing minority interest in earnings or losses of CC VIII, LLC for financial reporting purposes until the resolution of the dispute between Charter and Paul G. Allen, Charter's Chairman and controlling shareholder, regarding the preferred membership units in CC VIII, LLC was determinable or other events occurred. This dispute was settled October 31, 2005. We are currently determining the impact of the settlement. Subsequent to recording the impact of the settlement in the fourth quarter of 2005, approximately 6% of CC VIII's income will be allocated to minority interest.

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, our income from operations, which includes depreciation and amortization expense and asset impairment charges but excludes interest expense, was \$63 million and \$224 million, respectively. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004, our loss from operations was \$2.3 billion and \$2.2 billion, respectively. We had operating margins of 5% and 6% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively, and negative operating margins of 188% and 58% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004, respectively. The increase in income from operations and operating margins for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005 compared to 2004 was principally due to impairment of franchises of \$2.4

billion recorded in 2004 which did not recur in 2005.

Historically, our ability to fund operations and investing activities has depended on our continued access to credit under our credit facilities. We expect we will continue to borrow under our credit facilities from time to time to fund cash needs. The occurrence of an event of default under our credit facilities could result in borrowings from

these credit facilities being unavailable to us and could, in the event of a payment default or acceleration, also trigger events of default under the indentures governing our outstanding notes and would have a material adverse effect on us. Approximately \$7 million of our debt matures during the remainder of 2005, which we expect to fund through borrowings under our revolving credit facility. See "— Liquidity and Capital Resources."

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

For a discussion of our critical accounting policies and the means by which we develop estimates therefore, see "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in our 2004 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS***Three Months Ended September 30, 2005 Compared to Three Months Ended September 30, 2004***

The following table sets forth the percentages of revenues that items in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of operations constituted for the periods presented (dollars in millions, except per share and share data):

	Three Months Ended September 30,		2005		2004	
Revenues	\$	1,318	100%	\$	1,248	100%
Costs and expenses:						
Operating (excluding depreciation and amortization)		586	45%		525	42%
Selling, general and administrative		269	20%		252	20%
Depreciation and amortization		375	29%		371	30%
Impairment of franchises		--	--		2,433	195%
Loss on sale of assets, net		1	--		--	--
Option compensation expense, net		3	--		8	1%
Hurricane asset retirement loss		19	1%		--	--
Special charges, net		2	--		3	--
		1,255	95%		3,592	288%
Income (loss) from operations		63	5%		(2,344)	(188)%
Interest expense, net		(462)			(424)	
Gain (loss) on derivative instruments and hedging activities, net		17			(8)	
Gain on extinguishment of debt		490			--	
		45			(432)	
Income (loss) before minority interest, income taxes and cumulative effect of accounting change		108			(2,776)	
Minority interest		(3)			34	
Income (loss) before income taxes and cumulative effect of accounting change		105			(2,742)	
Income tax benefit (expense)		(29)			213	
Income (loss) before cumulative effect of accounting change		76			(2,529)	

Cumulative effect of accounting change, net of tax	--	(765)
Net income (loss)	76	(3,294)
Dividends on preferred stock - redeemable	(1)	(1)
Net income (loss) applicable to common stock	\$ 75	\$ (3,295)
Earnings (loss) per common share:		
Basic	\$ 0.24	\$ (10.89)
Diluted	\$ 0.09	\$ (10.89)
Weighted average common shares outstanding, basic	316,214,740	302,604,978
Weighted average common shares outstanding, diluted	1,012,591,842	302,604,978

Revenues. Revenues increased by \$70 million, or 6%, from \$1.2 billion for the three months ended September 30, 2004 to \$1.3 billion for the three months ended September 30, 2005. This increase is principally the result of an increase of 300,100 high-speed Internet and 60,500 digital video customers, as well as price increases for video and high-speed Internet services, and is offset partially by a decrease of 168,300 analog video customers and \$6 million of credits issued to hurricane Katrina impacted customers related to service outages. Through September and October, we have been restoring service to our impacted customers and, as of the date of this report, substantially all of our customers' service has been restored. Included in the reduction in analog video customers and reducing the increase in digital video and high-speed Internet customers are 26,800 analog video customers, 12,000 digital video customers and 600 high-speed Internet customers sold in the cable system sales in Texas and West Virginia, which closed in July 2005 (referred to in this section as the "System Sales"). The System Sales reduced the increase in revenues by approximately \$4 million. Our goal is to increase revenues by improving customer service, which we believe will stabilize our analog video customer base, implementing price increases on certain services and packages and increasing the number of customers who purchase high-speed Internet services, digital video and advanced products and services such as telephone, video on demand ("VOD"), high definition television and digital video recorder service.

Average monthly revenue per analog video customer increased to \$74.15 for the three months ended September 30, 2005 from \$68.15 for the three months ended September 30, 2004 primarily as a result of incremental revenues from advanced services and price increases. Average monthly revenue per analog video customer represents total quarterly revenue, divided by three, divided by the average number of analog video customers during the respective period.

Revenues by service offering were as follows (dollars in millions):

	Three Months Ended September 30,					
	2005		2004		2005 over 2004	
	Revenues	% of Revenues	Revenues	% of Revenues	Change	% Change
Video	\$ 848	64%	\$ 839	67%	\$ 9	1%
High-speed Internet	230	18%	189	15%	41	22%
Advertising sales	74	6%	73	6%	1	1%
Commercial	71	5%	61	5%	10	16%
Other	95	7%	86	7%	9	10%
	\$ 1,318	100%	\$ 1,248	100%	\$ 70	6%

Video revenues consist primarily of revenues from analog and digital video services provided to our non-commercial customers. Video revenues increased by \$9 million, or 1%, from \$839 million for the three months ended September 30, 2004 to \$848 million for the three months ended September 30, 2005. Approximately \$34 million of the increase was the result of price increases and incremental video revenues from existing customers and approximately \$3 million was the result of an increase in digital video customers. The increases were offset by decreases of approximately \$20 million related to a decrease in analog video customers, approximately \$3 million resulting from the System Sales and approximately \$5 million of credits issued to hurricanes Katrina and Rita impacted customers related to service outages.

Revenues from high-speed Internet services provided to our non-commercial customers increased \$41 million, or 22%, from \$189 million for the three months ended September 30, 2004 to \$230 million for the three months ended September 30, 2005. Approximately \$34 million of the increase related to the increase in the average number of customers receiving high-speed Internet services, whereas approximately \$8 million related to the increase in average price of the service. The increase was offset by approximately \$1 million of credits issued to hurricanes Katrina and

Rita impacted customers related to service outages.

Advertising sales revenues consist primarily of revenues from commercial advertising customers, programmers and other vendors. Advertising sales increased \$1 million, or 1%, from \$73 million for the three months ended September 30, 2004 to \$74 million for the three months ended September 30, 2005, primarily as a result of \$2 million ad buys by programmers offset by a decline in national advertising sales. For each of the three months

ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, we received \$5 million and \$3 million, respectively, in advertising sales revenues from vendors.

Commercial revenues consist primarily of revenues from cable video and high-speed Internet services to our commercial customers. Commercial revenues increased \$10 million, or 16%, from \$61 million for the three months ended September 30, 2004 to \$71 million for the three months ended September 30, 2005, primarily as a result of an increase in commercial high-speed Internet revenues.

Other revenues consist of revenues from franchise fees, telephone revenue, equipment rental, customer installations, home shopping, dial-up Internet service, late payment fees, wire maintenance fees and other miscellaneous revenues. Other revenues increased \$9 million, or 10%, from \$86 million for the three months ended September 30, 2004 to \$95 million for the three months ended September 30, 2005. The increase was primarily the result of an increase in franchise fees of \$6 million, telephone revenue of \$5 million and installation revenue of \$2 million.

Operating Expenses. Operating expenses increased \$61 million, or 12%, from \$525 million for the three months ended September 30, 2004 to \$586 million for the three months ended September 30, 2005. The increase in operating expenses was reduced by \$2 million as a result of the System Sales. Programming costs included in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of operations were \$357 million and \$328 million, representing 28% and 9% of total costs and expenses for the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively. Key expense components as a percentage of revenues were as follows (dollars in millions):

	Three Months Ended September 30,					
	2005		2004		2005 over 2004	
	Expenses	% of Revenues	Expenses	% of Revenues	Change	% Change
Programming	\$ 357	27%	\$ 328	26%	\$ 29	9%
Service	203	16%	173	14%	30	17%
Advertising sales	26	2%	24	2%	2	8%
	\$ 586	45%	\$ 525	42%	\$ 61	12%

Programming costs consist primarily of costs paid to programmers for analog, premium, digital channels, VOD and pay-per-view programming. The increase in programming costs of \$29 million, or 9%, for the three months ended September 30, 2005 over the three months ended September 30, 2004, was a result of price increases, particularly in sports programming, partially offset by a decrease in analog video customers. Additionally, the increase in programming costs was reduced by \$1 million as a result of the System Sales. Programming costs were offset by the amortization of payments received from programmers in support of launches of new channels of \$9 million and \$15 million for the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Our cable programming costs have increased in every year we have operated in excess of U.S. inflation and cost-of-living increases, and we expect them to continue to increase because of a variety of factors, including inflationary or negotiated annual increases, additional programming being provided to customers and increased costs to purchase or produce programming. In 2005, programming costs have increased and we expect will continue to increase at a higher rate than in 2004. These costs will be determined in part on the outcome of programming negotiations in 2005 and will likely be subject to offsetting events or otherwise affected by factors similar to the ones mentioned in the preceding paragraph. Our increasing programming costs will result in declining operating margins for our video services to the extent we are unable to pass on cost increases to our customers. We expect to partially offset any resulting margin compression from our traditional video services with revenue from advanced video services, increased high-speed Internet revenues, advertising revenues and commercial service revenues.

Service costs consist primarily of service personnel salaries and benefits, franchise fees, system utilities, costs of providing high-speed Internet service, maintenance and pole rent expense. The increase in service costs of \$30 million, or 17%, resulted primarily from increased labor and maintenance costs to support improved service levels and our advanced products, higher fuel prices and pole rent expense. Advertising sales expenses consist of costs related to traditional advertising services provided to advertising customers, including salaries, benefits and commissions. Advertising sales expenses increased \$2 million, or 8%, for the three months ended September 30,

2005 compared to the three months ended September 30, 2004 primarily as a result of increased salaries and benefits and an increase in marketing.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses. Selling, general and administrative expenses increased by \$17 million, or 7%, from \$252 million for the three months ended September 30, 2004 to \$269 million for the three months ended September 30, 2005. The increase in selling, general and administrative expenses was reduced by \$1 million as a result of the System Sales. Key components of expense as a percentage of revenues were as follows (dollars in millions):

	Three Months Ended September 30,					
	2005		2004		2005 over 2004	
	Expenses	% of Revenues	Expenses	% of Revenues	Change	% Change
General and administrative	\$ 231	17%	\$ 220	18%	\$ 11	5%
Marketing	38	3%	32	2%	6	19%
	\$ 269	20%	\$ 252	20%	\$ 17	7%

General and administrative expenses consist primarily of salaries and benefits, rent expense, billing costs, call center costs, internal network costs, bad debt expense and property taxes. The increase in general and administrative expenses of \$11 million, or 5%, resulted primarily from increases in professional fees associated with consulting services of \$11 million and a rise in salaries and benefits of \$10 million related to increased emphasis on improved service levels and operational efficiencies offset by decreases in property taxes of \$4 million, property and casualty insurance of \$4 million, bad debt expense of \$3 million and the System Sales of \$1 million.

Marketing expenses increased \$6 million, or 19%, as a result of an increased investment in targeted marketing campaigns.

Depreciation and Amortization. Depreciation and amortization expense increased by \$4 million, or 1%, from \$371 million for the three months ended September 30, 2004 to \$375 million for the three months ended September 30, 2005. The increase in depreciation was related to an increase in capital expenditures.

Impairment of Franchises. We performed an impairment assessment during the third quarter of 2004 using an independent third-party appraiser. The use of lower projected growth rates and the resulting revised estimates of future cash flows in our valuation, primarily as a result of increased competition, led to the recognition of a \$2.4 billion impairment charge for the three months ended September 30, 2004.

Loss on Sale of Assets, Net. The loss on sale of assets of \$1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2005 primarily represents the loss recognized on the disposition of plant and equipment.

Option Compensation Expense, Net. Option compensation expense for the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004 primarily represents options expensed in accordance with SFAS No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*. The decrease of \$5 million, or 63%, from \$8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2004 to \$3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2005 is primarily a result of a decrease in the fair value of such options related to a decrease in the price of our Class A common stock combined with a decrease in the number of options issued.

Hurricane Asset Retirement Loss. Hurricane asset retirement loss represents the loss associated with the write-off of the net book value of assets destroyed by hurricanes Katrina and Rita in the third quarter of 2005.

Special Charges, Net. Special charges of \$2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2005 primarily represents \$1 million of severance and related costs of our management realignment and \$1 million related to legal settlements. Special charges of \$3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2004 represents \$6 million of severance and related costs of our workforce reduction offset by \$3 million received from a third party in settlement of a dispute.

Interest Expense, Net. Net interest expense increased by \$38 million, or 9%, from \$424 million for the three months ended September 30, 2004 to \$462 million for the three months ended September 30, 2005. The increase in net interest expense was a result of an increase in our average borrowing rate from 8.84% in the third quarter of 2004 to 9.07% in the third quarter of 2005 and an increase of \$770 million in average debt outstanding from \$18.4 billion for the third quarter of 2004 compared to \$19.2 billion for the third quarter of 2005 and \$1 million in losses related to embedded derivatives in Charter's 5.875% convertible senior notes issued in November 2004. See Note 10 to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

Gain (Loss) on Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, Net. Net gain on derivative instruments and hedging activities increased \$25 million from a loss of \$8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2004 to a gain of \$17 million for the three months ended September 30, 2005. The increase is primarily the result of an increase in gains on interest rate agreements that do not qualify for hedge accounting under SFAS No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, which increased from a loss of \$9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2004 to a gain of \$16 million for the three months ended September 30, 2005.

Gain on Extinguishment of Debt. Gain on extinguishment of debt of \$490 million for the three months ended September 30, 2005 represents the net gain realized on the exchange of approximately \$6.8 billion total principal amount of outstanding debt securities of Charter Holdings for new CCH I, LLC ("CCH I") and CCH I Holdings, LLC ("CIH") debt securities. See Note 6 to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

Minority Interest. Minority interest represents the 2% accretion of the preferred membership interests in our indirect subsidiary, CC VIII, LLC, and in the second quarter of 2004, the pro rata share of the profits and losses of CC VIII, LLC. Effective January 1, 2005, we ceased recognizing minority interest in earnings or losses of CC VIII for financial reporting purposes until the dispute between Charter and Mr. Allen regarding the preferred membership interests in CC VIII was resolved. This dispute was settled October 31, 2005. See Note 7 to the condensed consolidated financial statements. Additionally, reported losses allocated to minority interest on the statement of operations are limited to the extent of any remaining minority interest on the balance sheet related to Charter Holdco. Because minority interest in Charter Holdco is eliminated, Charter absorbs all losses before income taxes that otherwise would be allocated to minority interest. Subject to any changes in Charter Holdco's capital structure, future losses will continue to be substantially absorbed by Charter.

Income Tax Benefit (Expense). Income tax expense of \$29 million and income tax benefit of \$213 million was recognized for the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The income tax expense is recognized through increases in deferred tax liabilities related to our investment in Charter Holdco, as well as through current federal and state income tax expense and increases in the deferred tax liabilities of certain of our indirect corporate subsidiaries. The income tax benefit was realized as a result of decreases in certain deferred tax liabilities related to our investment in Charter Holdco as well as decreases in the deferred tax liabilities of certain of our indirect corporate subsidiaries.

The income tax benefit recognized in the three months ended September 30, 2004 was directly related to the impairment of franchises as discussed above. We do not expect to recognize a similar benefit associated with the impairment of franchises in future periods. However, the actual tax provision calculations in future periods will be the result of current and future temporary differences, as well as future operating results.

Cumulative Effect of Accounting Change, Net of Tax. Cumulative effect of accounting change of \$765 million (net of minority interest effects of \$19 million and tax effects of \$91 million) in 2004 represents the impairment charge recorded as a result of our adoption of EITF Topic D-108.

Net Income (Loss). Net loss decreased by \$3.4 billion from net loss of \$3.3 billion for the three months ended September 30, 2004 to net income of \$76 million for the three months ended September 30, 2005 as a result of the

factors described above.

Preferred Stock Dividends. On August 31, 2001, Charter issued 505,664 shares (and on February 28, 2003 issued an additional 39,595 shares) of Series A Convertible Redeemable Preferred Stock in connection with the Cable USA acquisition, on which Charter pays or accrues a quarterly cumulative cash dividend at an annual rate of 5.75% if paid or 7.75% if accrued on a liquidation preference of \$100 per share. Beginning January 1, 2005, Charter is accruing the dividend on its Series A Convertible Redeemable Preferred Stock.

Income (Loss) Per Common Share. Basic loss per common share decreased by \$11.13 from a loss of \$10.89 per common share for the three months ended September 30, 2004 to income of \$0.24 per common share for the three months ended September 30, 2005 as a result of the factors described above.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2005 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2004

The following table sets forth the percentages of revenues that items in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations constituted for the periods presented (dollars in millions, except per share and share data):

	Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	2005		2004	
Revenues	\$ 3,912	100%	\$ 3,701	100%
Costs and expenses:				
Operating (excluding depreciation and amortization)	1,714	44%	1,552	42%
Selling, general and administrative	762	19%	735	20%
Depreciation and amortization	1,134	29%	1,105	30%
Impairment of franchises	--	--	2,433	66%
Asset impairment charges	39	1%	--	--
(Gain) loss on sale of assets, net	5	--	(104)	(3)%
Option compensation expense, net	11	--	34	1%
Hurricane asset retirement loss	19	1%	--	--
Special charges, net	4	--	100	2%
	3,688	94%	5,855	158%
Income (loss) from operations	224	6%	(2,154)	(58)%
Interest expense, net	(1,333)		(1,227)	
Gain on derivative instruments and hedging activities, net	43		48	
Loss on debt to equity conversions	--		(23)	
Gain (loss) on extinguishment of debt	498		(21)	
Gain on investments	21		--	
	(771)		(1,223)	
Loss before minority interest, income taxes and cumulative effect of accounting change	(547)		(3,377)	
Minority interest	(9)		24	
Loss before income taxes and cumulative effect of accounting change	(556)		(3,353)	

Income tax benefit (expense)	(75)	116
Loss before cumulative effect of accounting change	(631)	(3,237)
Cumulative effect of accounting change, net of tax	--	(765)
Net loss	(631)	(4,002)
Dividends on preferred stock - redeemable	(3)	(3)
Net loss applicable to common stock	\$ (634)	\$ (4,005)
Loss per common share, basic and diluted	\$ (2.06)	\$ (13.38)
Weighted average common shares outstanding, basic and diluted	307,761,930	299,411,053

Revenues. Revenues increased by \$211 million, or 6%, from \$3.7 billion for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 to \$3.9 billion for the nine months ended September 30, 2005. This increase is principally the result of an increase of 300,100 and 60,500 high-speed Internet and digital video customers, respectively, as well as price increases for video and high-speed Internet services, and is offset partially by a decrease of 168,300 analog video customers and \$6 million of credits issued to hurricane Katrina impacted customers related to service outages. Through September and October, we have been restoring service to our impacted customers and, as of the date of this report, substantially all of our customers' service has been restored. Included in the reduction in analog video customers and reducing the increase in digital video and high-speed Internet customers are 26,800 analog video customers, 12,000 digital video customers and 600 high-speed Internet customers sold in the cable system sales in Texas and West Virginia, which closed in July 2005. The cable system sales to Atlantic Broadband Finance, LLC, which closed in March and April 2004 and the cable system sales in Texas and West Virginia, which closed in July 2005 (referred to in this section as the "System Sales") reduced the increase in revenues by approximately \$33 million. Our goal is to increase revenues by improving customer service, which we believe will stabilize our analog video customer base, implementing price increases on certain services and packages and increasing the number of customers who purchase high-speed Internet services, digital video and advanced products and services such as telephone, VOD, high definition television and digital video recorder service.

Average monthly revenue per analog video customer increased to \$72.97 for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 from \$66.24 for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 primarily as a result of incremental revenues from advanced services and price increases. Average monthly revenue per analog video customer represents total revenue for the nine months ended during the respective period, divided by nine, divided by the average number of analog video customers during the respective period.

Revenues by service offering were as follows (dollars in millions):

	Nine Months Ended September 30,					
	2005		2004		2005 over 2004	
	Revenues	% of Revenues	Revenues	% of Revenues	Change	% Change
Video	\$ 2,551	65%	\$ 2,534	68%	\$ 17	1%
High-speed Internet	671	17%	538	14%	133	25%
Advertising sales	214	6%	205	6%	9	4%
Commercial	205	5%	175	5%	30	17%
Other	271	7%	249	7%	22	9%
	\$ 3,912	100%	\$ 3,701	100%	\$ 211	6%

Video revenues consist primarily of revenues from analog and digital video services provided to our non-commercial customers. Video revenues increased by \$17 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2004. Approximately \$102 million of the increase was the result of price increases and incremental video revenues from existing customers and approximately \$11 million resulted from an increase in digital video customers. The increases were offset by decreases of approximately \$66 million related to a decrease in analog video customers, approximately \$25 million resulting from the System Sales and approximately \$5 million of credits issued to hurricanes Katrina and Rita impacted customers related to service outages.

Revenues from high-speed Internet services provided to our non-commercial customers increased \$133 million, or 25%, from \$538 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 to \$671 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005. Approximately \$101 million of the increase related to the increase in the average number of customers receiving high-speed Internet services, whereas approximately \$36 million related to the increase in

average price of the service. The increase in high-speed Internet revenues was reduced by approximately \$3 million as a result of the System Sales and \$1 million of credits issued to hurricanes Katrina and Rita impacted customers related to service outages.

Advertising sales revenues consist primarily of revenues from commercial advertising customers, programmers and other vendors. Advertising sales increased \$9 million, or 4%, from \$205 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 to \$214 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005, primarily as a result of an

increase in local advertising sales and an increase of \$3 million in advertising sales revenues from vendors offset by a decline in national advertising sales. In addition, the increase was offset by a decrease of \$1 million as a result of the System Sales. For the nine months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, we received \$12 million and \$9 million, respectively, in advertising sales revenues from vendors.

Commercial revenues consist primarily of revenues from cable video and high-speed Internet services to our commercial customers. Commercial revenues increased \$30 million, or 17%, from \$175 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 to \$205 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005, primarily as a result of an increase in commercial high-speed Internet revenues. The increase was reduced by approximately \$3 million as a result of the System Sales.

Other revenues consist of revenues from franchise fees, telephone revenue, equipment rental, customer installations, home shopping, dial-up Internet service, late payment fees, wire maintenance fees and other miscellaneous revenues. Other revenues increased \$22 million, or 9%, from \$249 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 to \$271 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005. The increase was primarily the result of an increase in telephone revenue of \$11 million, franchise fees of \$11 million and installation revenue of \$7 million and was partially offset by approximately \$2 million as a result of the System Sales.

Operating Expenses. Operating expenses increased \$162 million, or 10%, from \$1.6 billion for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 to \$1.7 billion for the nine months ended September 30, 2005. The increase in operating expenses was reduced by \$13 million as a result of the System Sales. Programming costs included in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of operations were \$1.1 billion and \$991 million, representing 29% and 17% of total costs and expenses for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively. Key expense components as a percentage of revenues were as follows (dollars in millions):

	Nine Months Ended September 30,					
	2005		2004		2005 over 2004	
	Expenses	% of Revenues	Expenses	% of Revenues	Change	% Change
Programming	\$ 1,066	27%	\$ 991	27%	\$ 75	8%
Service	572	15%	489	13%	83	17%
Advertising sales	76	2%	72	2%	4	6%
	\$ 1,714	44%	\$ 1,552	42%	\$ 162	10%

Programming costs consist primarily of costs paid to programmers for analog, premium, digital channels, VOD and pay-per-view programming. The increase in programming costs of \$75 million, or 8%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 over the nine months ended September 30, 2004 was a result of price increases, particularly in sports programming, partially offset by decreases in analog video customers. Additionally, the increase in programming costs was reduced by \$10 million as a result of the System Sales. Programming costs were offset by the amortization of payments received from programmers in support of launches of new channels of \$27 million and \$47 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively. Programming costs for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 also include a \$5 million reduction related to the settlement of a dispute with TechTV, Inc. See Note 20 to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

Our cable programming costs have increased in every year we have operated in excess of U.S. inflation and cost-of-living increases, and we expect them to continue to increase because of a variety of factors, including inflationary or negotiated annual increases, additional programming being provided to customers and increased costs to purchase programming. In 2005, programming costs have increased and we expect will continue to increase at a

higher rate than in 2004. These costs will be determined in part on the outcome of programming negotiations in 2005 and will likely be subject to offsetting events or otherwise affected by factors similar to the ones mentioned in the preceding paragraph. Our increasing programming costs will result in declining operating margins for our video services to the extent we are unable to pass on cost increases to our customers. We expect to partially offset any resulting margin compression from our traditional video services with revenue from advanced video services, increased high-speed Internet revenues, advertising revenues and commercial service revenues.

Service costs consist primarily of service personnel salaries and benefits, franchise fees, system utilities, costs of providing high-speed Internet service, maintenance and pole rent expense. The increase in service costs of \$83 million, or 17%, resulted primarily from increased labor and maintenance costs to support improved service levels and our advanced products, higher fuel prices and pole rent expense. The increase in service costs was reduced by \$3 million as a result of the System Sales. Advertising sales expenses consist of costs related to traditional advertising services provided to advertising customers, including salaries, benefits and commissions. Advertising sales expenses increased \$4 million, or 6%, primarily as a result of increased salary, benefit and commission costs.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses. Selling, general and administrative expenses increased by \$27 million, or 4%, from \$735 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 to \$762 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005. The increase in selling, general and administrative expenses was reduced by \$5 million as a result of the System Sales. Key components of expense as a percentage of revenues were as follows (dollars in millions):

	Nine Months Ended September 30,					
	2005		2004		2005 over 2004	
	Expenses	% of Revenues	Expenses	% of Revenues	Change	% Change
General and administrative	\$ 658	17%	\$ 636	17%	\$ 22	3%
Marketing	104	2%	99	3%	5	5%
	\$ 762	19%	\$ 735	20%	\$ 27	4%

General and administrative expenses consist primarily of salaries and benefits, rent expense, billing costs, call center costs, internal network costs, bad debt expense and property taxes. The increase in general and administrative expenses of \$22 million, or 3%, resulted primarily from increases in professional fees associated with consulting services of \$28 million and a rise in salaries and benefits of \$21 million related to increased emphasis on improved service levels and operational efficiencies, offset by decreases in bad debt expense of \$13 million, property and casualty insurance of \$7 million and the System Sales of \$5 million.

Marketing expenses increased \$5 million, or 5%, as a result of an increased investment in targeted marketing campaigns.

Depreciation and Amortization. Depreciation and amortization expense increased by \$29 million, or 3%, as a result of an increase in capital expenditures.

Impairment of Franchises. We performed an impairment assessment during the third quarter of 2004 using an independent third-party appraiser. The use of lower projected growth rates and the resulting revised estimates of future cash flows in our valuation, primarily as a result of increased competition, led to the recognition of a \$2.4 billion impairment charge for the nine months ended September 30, 2004.

Asset Impairment Charges. Asset impairment charges for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 represent the write-down of assets related to pending cable asset sales to fair value less costs to sell. See Note 3 to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

(Gain) Loss on Sale of Assets, Net. Loss on sale of assets of \$5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 primarily represents the loss recognized on the disposition of plant and equipment. Gain on sale of assets of \$104 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 primarily represents the pretax gain realized on the sale

of systems to Atlantic Broadband Finance, LLC which closed on March 1 and April 30, 2004.

Option Compensation Expense, Net. Option compensation expense of \$11 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 primarily represents options expensed in accordance with SFAS No. 123. Option compensation expense of \$34 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 primarily represents the expense of approximately \$9 million related to a stock option exchange program under which our employees were offered the right to exchange all stock options (vested and unvested) issued under the 1999 Charter Communications Option Plan and 2001 Stock Incentive Plan that had an exercise price over \$10 per share for shares of restricted Charter

Class A common stock or, in some instances, cash. The exchange offer closed in February 2004. Additionally, during the nine months ended September 30, 2004, we recognized approximately \$8 million related to the performance shares granted under the Charter Long-Term Incentive Program and approximately \$17 million related to options granted following the adoption of SFAS No. 123.

Hurricane Asset Retirement Loss. Hurricane asset retirement loss represents the loss associated with the write-off of the net book value of assets destroyed by hurricanes Katrina and Rita in the third quarter of 2005.

Special Charges, Net. Special charges of \$4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 represents \$5 million of severance and related costs of our management realignment and \$1 million related to legal settlements offset by approximately \$2 million related to an agreed upon cash discount on settlement of the consolidated Federal Class Action and Federal Derivative Action. See "— Legal Proceedings." Special charges of \$100 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 represents approximately \$85 million as part of the terms set forth in memoranda of understanding regarding settlement of the consolidated Federal Class Action and Federal Derivative Action and approximately \$9 million of litigation costs related to the tentative settlement of the South Carolina national class action suit, which were approved by the respective courts and approximately \$9 million of severance and related costs of our workforce reduction. For the nine months ended September 30, 2004, the severance costs were offset by \$3 million received from a third party in settlement of a dispute.

Interest Expense, Net. Net interest expense increased by \$106 million, or 9%, from \$1.2 billion for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 to \$1.3 billion for the nine months ended September 30, 2005. The increase in net interest expense was a result of an increase of \$757 million in average debt outstanding from \$18.4 billion for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 compared to \$19.2 billion for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 and an increase in our average borrowing rate from 8.61% in the nine months ended September 30, 2004 to 8.95% in the nine months ended September 30, 2005 combined with approximately \$11 million of liquidated damages on our 5.875% convertible senior notes. This was offset partially by \$26 million in gains related to embedded derivatives in Charter's 5.875% convertible senior notes issued in November 2004. See Note 10 to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

Gain on Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, Net. Net gain on derivative instruments and hedging activities decreased \$5 million from \$48 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 to \$43 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005. The decrease is primarily a result of a decrease in gains on interest rate agreements that do not qualify for hedge accounting under SFAS No. 133, which decreased from \$45 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 to \$41 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005.

Loss on debt to equity conversions. Loss on debt to equity conversions of \$23 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 represents the loss recognized from privately negotiated exchanges in the aggregate of \$30 million principal amount of Charter's 5.75% convertible senior notes held by two unrelated parties for shares of Charter Class A common stock, which resulted in the issuance of more shares in the exchange transaction than would have been issued under the original terms of the convertible senior notes.

Gain (loss) on extinguishment of debt. Gain on extinguishment of debt of \$498 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 primarily represents approximately \$490 million related to the exchange of approximately \$6.8 billion total principal amount of outstanding debt securities of Charter Holdings in a private placement for new debt securities, approximately \$10 million related to the issuance of Charter Communications Operating, LLC ("Charter Operating") notes in exchange for Charter Holdings notes and approximately \$4 million related to the repurchase of \$131 million principal amount of our 4.75% convertible senior notes due 2006. These gains were offset by approximately \$5 million of losses related to the redemption of our subsidiary's, CC V Holdings, LLC, 11.875% notes due 2008. See Note 6 to the condensed consolidated financial statements. Loss on extinguishment of debt of \$21 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 represents the write-off of deferred financing fees and third

party costs related to the Charter Operating refinancing in April 2004.

Gain on investments. Gain on investments of \$21 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 primarily represents a gain realized on an exchange of our interest in an equity investee for an investment in a larger enterprise.

Minority Interest. Minority interest represents the 2% accretion of the preferred membership interests in our indirect subsidiary, CC VIII, LLC, and in 2004, the pro rata share of the profits and losses of CC VIII, LLC. Effective

January 1, 2005, we ceased recognizing minority interest in earnings or losses of CC VIII for financial reporting purposes until the dispute between Charter and Mr. Allen regarding the preferred membership interests in CC VIII was resolved. This dispute was settled October 31, 2005. See Note 7 to the condensed consolidated financial statements. Additionally, reported losses allocated to minority interest on the statement of operations are limited to the extent of any remaining minority interest on the balance sheet related to Charter Holdco. Because minority interest in Charter Holdco is eliminated, Charter absorbs all losses before income taxes that otherwise would be allocated to minority interest. Subject to any changes in Charter Holdco's capital structure, future losses will continue to be substantially absorbed by Charter.

Income Tax Benefit (Expense). Income tax expense of \$75 million and income tax benefit of \$116 million was recognized for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The income tax expense is recognized through increases in deferred tax liabilities related to our investment in Charter Holdco, as well as through current federal and state income tax expense and increases in the deferred tax liabilities of certain of our indirect corporate subsidiaries. The income tax benefit was realized as a result of decreases in certain deferred tax liabilities related to our investment in Charter Holdco as well as decreases in the deferred tax liabilities of certain of our indirect corporate subsidiaries.

The income tax benefit recognized in the nine months ended September 30, 2004 was directly related to the impairment of franchises as discussed above. We do not expect to recognize a similar benefit associated with the impairment of franchises in future periods. However, the actual tax provision calculations in future periods will be the result of current and future temporary differences, as well as future operating results.

Net Loss. Net loss decreased by \$3.4 billion, from \$4.0 billion for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 to \$631 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 as a result of the factors described above.

Preferred stock dividends. On August 31, 2001, Charter issued 505,664 shares (and on February 28, 2003 issued an additional 39,595 shares) of Series A Convertible Redeemable Preferred Stock in connection with the Cable USA acquisition, on which Charter pays a quarterly cumulative cash dividends at an annual rate of 5.75% if paid or 7.75% if accrued on a liquidation preference of \$100 per share. Beginning January 1, 2005, Charter is accruing the dividend on its Series A Convertible Redeemable Preferred Stock.

Loss Per Common Share. The loss per common share decreased by \$11.32, from \$13.38 per common share for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 to \$2.06 per common share for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 as a result of the factors described above.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Introduction

This section contains a discussion of our liquidity and capital resources, including a discussion of our cash position, sources and uses of cash, access to credit facilities and other financing sources, historical financing activities, cash needs, capital expenditures and outstanding debt.

Overview

We have a significant level of debt. For the remainder of 2005, \$7 million of our debt matures, and in 2006, an additional \$55 million matures. In 2007 and beyond, significant additional amounts will become due under our remaining long-term debt obligations.

In September 2005, Charter Holdings and its wholly owned subsidiaries, CCH I and CIH, completed the exchange of approximately \$6.8 billion total principal amount of outstanding debt securities of Charter Holdings in a private placement for new debt securities. Holders of Charter Holdings notes due in 2009 and 2010 exchanged \$3.4 billion principal amount of notes for \$2.9 billion principal amount of new 11% CCH I senior secured notes due 2015. Holders of Charter Holdings notes due 2011 and 2012 exchanged \$845 million principal amount of notes for \$662 million principal amount of 11% CCH I senior secured notes due 2015. In addition, holders of Charter Holdings notes due 2011 and 2012 exchanged \$2.5 billion principal amount of notes for \$2.5 billion principal amount of various series of new CIH notes. Each series of new CIH notes has the same stated interest rate and provisions for

payment of cash interest as the series of old Charter Holdings notes for which such CIH notes were exchanged. In addition, the maturities for each series were extended three years.

Our business requires significant cash to fund debt service costs, capital expenditures and ongoing operations. We have historically funded our debt service costs, operating activities and capital requirements through cash flows from operating activities, borrowings under our credit facilities, sales of assets, issuances of debt and equity securities and cash on hand. However, the mix of funding sources changes from period to period. For the nine months ended September 30, 2005, we generated \$118 million of net cash flows from operating activities after paying cash interest of \$1.2 billion. In addition, we used approximately \$815 million for purchases of property, plant and equipment. Finally, we had net cash flows used in financing activities of \$17 million. We expect that our mix of sources of funds will continue to change in the future based on overall needs relative to our cash flow and on the availability of funds under our credit facilities, our access to the debt and equity markets, the timing of possible asset sales and our ability to generate cash flows from operating activities. We continue to explore asset dispositions as one of several possible actions that we could take in the future to improve our liquidity, but we do not presently consider future asset sales as a significant source of liquidity.

In October 2005, CCO Holdings, LLC ("CCO Holdings") and CCO Holdings Capital Corp., as guarantor thereunder, entered into a senior bridge loan agreement (the "Bridge Loan") with JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., Credit Suisse, Cayman Islands Branch and Deutsche Bank AG Cayman Islands Branch (the "Lenders") whereby the Lenders have committed to make loans to CCO Holdings in an aggregate amount of \$600 million. CCO Holdings may draw upon the facility between January 2, 2006 and September 29, 2006 and the loans will mature on the sixth anniversary of the first borrowing under the Bridge Loan.

We expect that cash on hand, cash flows from operating activities and the amounts available under our credit facilities and Bridge Loan will be adequate to meet our cash needs for the remainder of 2005 and 2006. Cash flows from operating activities and amounts available under our credit facilities and Bridge Loan may not be sufficient to fund our operations and satisfy our interest payment obligations in 2007. It is likely that we will require additional funding to satisfy our debt repayment obligations in 2007. We believe that cash flows from operating activities and amounts available under our credit facilities and Bridge Loan will not be sufficient to fund our operations and satisfy our interest and principal repayment obligations thereafter.

We are working with our financial advisors to address our funding requirements. However, there can be no assurance that such funding will be available to us. Although Paul G. Allen, Charter's Chairman and controlling shareholder, and his affiliates have purchased equity from us in the past, Mr. Allen and his affiliates are not obligated to purchase equity from, contribute to or loan funds to us in the future.

Credit Facilities and Covenants

Our ability to operate depends upon, among other things, our continued access to capital, including credit under the Charter Operating credit facilities. These credit facilities, along with our indentures and Bridge Loan, contain certain restrictive covenants, some of which require us to maintain specified financial ratios and meet financial tests and to provide audited financial statements with an unqualified opinion from our independent auditors. As of September 30, 2005, we were in compliance with the covenants under our indentures and credit facilities and we expect to remain in compliance with those covenants and the Bridge Loan covenants for the next twelve months. Our total potential borrowing availability under the current credit facilities totaled \$786 million as of September 30, 2005, although the actual availability at that time was only \$648 million because of limits imposed by covenant restrictions. In addition, effective January 2, 2006, we will have additional borrowing availability of \$600 million as a result of the Bridge Loan. Continued access to our credit facilities and Bridge Loan is subject to our remaining in compliance with the covenants of these credit facilities and Bridge Loan, including covenants tied to our operating performance. If our operating performance results in non-compliance with these covenants, or if any of certain other events of

non-compliance under these credit facilities, Bridge Loan or indentures governing our debt occur, funding under the credit facilities and Bridge Loan may not be available and defaults on some or potentially all of our debt obligations could occur. An event of default under the covenants governing any of our debt instruments could result in the acceleration of our payment obligations under that debt and, under certain circumstances, in cross-defaults under our other debt obligations, which could have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition and results of operations.

Specific Limitations

Our ability to make interest payments on our convertible senior notes, and, in 2006 and 2009, to repay the outstanding principal of our convertible senior notes of \$25 million and \$863 million, respectively, will depend on our ability to raise additional capital and/or on receipt of payments or distributions from Charter Holdco or its subsidiaries, including Charter Holdings, CIH, CCH I, CCH II, LLC ("CCH II"), CCO Holdings and Charter Operating. During the nine months ended September 30, 2005, Charter Holdings distributed \$60 million to Charter Holdco. As of September 30, 2005, Charter Holdco was owed \$57 million in intercompany loans from its subsidiaries, which were available to pay interest and principal on Charter's convertible senior notes. In addition, Charter has \$123 million of governmental securities pledged as security for the next five semi-annual interest payments on Charter's 5.875% convertible senior notes.

Distributions by Charter's subsidiaries to a parent company (including Charter and Charter Holdco) for payment of principal on parent company notes are restricted by the Bridge Loan and indentures governing the CIH notes, CCH I notes, CCH II notes, CCO Holdings notes, and Charter Operating notes, unless under their respective indentures there is no default and a specified leverage ratio test is met at the time of such event. For the quarter ended September 30, 2005, there was no default under any of the aforementioned indentures. However, CCO Holdings did not meet its leverage ratio test of 4.5 to 1.0. As a result, distributions from CCO Holdings to CCH II, CCH I, CIH, Charter Holdings, Charter Holdco or Charter for payment of principal of the respective parent company's debt are currently restricted and will continue to be restricted until that test is met. However distributions for payment of the respective parent company's interest are permitted.

The indentures governing the Charter Holdings notes permit Charter Holdings to make distributions to Charter Holdco for payment of interest or principal on the convertible senior notes, only if, after giving effect to the distribution, Charter Holdings can incur additional debt under the leverage ratio of 8.75 to 1.0, there is no default under Charter Holdings' indentures and other specified tests are met. For the quarter ended September 30, 2005, there was no default under Charter Holdings' indentures and other specified tests were met. However, Charter Holdings did not meet the leverage ratio of 8.75 to 1.0 based on September 30, 2005 financial results. As a result, distributions from Charter Holdings to Charter or Charter Holdco for payment of interest or principal on the convertible senior notes are currently restricted and will continue to be restricted until that test is met. During this restriction period, the indentures governing the Charter Holdings notes permit Charter Holdings and its subsidiaries to make specified investments in Charter Holdco or Charter, up to an amount determined by a formula, as long as there is no default under the indentures.

Our significant amount of debt could negatively affect our ability to access additional capital in the future. No assurances can be given that we will not experience liquidity problems if we do not obtain sufficient additional financing on a timely basis as our debt becomes due or because of adverse market conditions, increased competition or other unfavorable events. If, at any time, additional capital or borrowing capacity is required beyond amounts internally generated or available under our credit facilities or through additional debt or equity financings, we would consider:

- issuing equity that would significantly dilute existing shareholders;
- issuing convertible debt or some other securities that may have structural or other priority over our existing notes and may also significantly dilute Charter's existing shareholders;
- further reducing our expenses and capital expenditures, which may impair our ability to increase revenue;
- selling assets; or

·requesting waivers or amendments with respect to our credit facilities, the availability and terms of which would be subject to market conditions.

If the above strategies are not successful, we could be forced to restructure our obligations or seek protection under the bankruptcy laws. In addition, if we need to raise additional capital through the issuance of equity or find it necessary to engage in a recapitalization or other similar transaction, our shareholders could suffer significant dilution and our noteholders might not receive principal and interest payments to which they are contractually entitled.

Sale of Assets

In July 2005, we closed the sale of certain cable systems in Texas and West Virginia and closed the sale of an additional cable system in Nebraska in October 2005 for a total sales price of approximately \$37 million, representing a total of approximately 33,000 customers.

In March 2004, we closed the sale of certain cable systems in Florida, Pennsylvania, Maryland, Delaware and West Virginia to Atlantic Broadband Finance, LLC. We closed the sale of an additional cable system in New York to Atlantic Broadband Finance, LLC in April 2004. The total net proceeds from the sale of all of these systems were approximately \$735 million. The proceeds were used to repay a portion of amounts outstanding under our revolving credit facility.

Long-Term Debt

As of September 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, long-term debt totaled approximately \$19.1 billion and \$19.5 billion, respectively. This debt was comprised of approximately \$5.5 billion and \$5.5 billion of credit facility debt, \$12.7 billion and \$13.0 billion accreted value of high-yield notes and \$866 million and \$990 million accreted value of convertible senior notes, respectively. As of September 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, the weighted average interest rate on the credit facility debt was approximately 7.5% and 6.8%, respectively, the weighted average interest rate on the high-yield notes was approximately 10.2% and 9.9%, respectively, and the weighted average interest rate on the convertible notes was approximately 5.8% and 5.7%, respectively, resulting in a blended weighted average interest rate of 9.2% and 8.8%, respectively. The interest rate on approximately 80% and 83% of the total principal amount of our debt was effectively fixed, including the effects of our interest rate hedge agreements as of September 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively.

4.75% Convertible Senior Notes due 2006. During the nine months ended September 30, 2005, we repurchased, in private transactions, from a small number of institutional holders, a total of \$131 million principal amount of our 4.75% convertible senior notes due 2006. Approximately \$25 million principal amount of these notes remain outstanding.

Issuance of Charter Operating Notes in Exchange for Charter Holdings Notes. In March and June 2005, our subsidiary, Charter Operating, consummated exchange transactions with a small number of institutional holders of Charter Holdings 8.25% senior notes due 2007 pursuant to which Charter Operating issued, in private placement, approximately \$333 million principal amount of its 8.375% senior second lien notes due 2014 in exchange for approximately \$346 million of the Charter Holdings 8.25% senior notes due 2007. The Charter Holdings notes received in the exchange were thereafter distributed to Charter Holdings and cancelled.

CC V Holdings, LLC Notes. The Charter Operating credit facilities required us to redeem the CC V Holdings, LLC notes as a result of the Charter Holdings leverage ratio becoming less than 8.75 to 1.0. In satisfaction of this requirement, in March 2005, CC V Holdings, LLC redeemed all of its 11.875% notes due 2008, at 103.958% of principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest to the date of redemption. The total cost of redemption was approximately \$122 million and was funded through borrowings under our credit facilities. Following such redemption, CC V Holdings, LLC and its subsidiaries (other than non-guarantor subsidiaries) guaranteed the Charter Operating credit facilities and granted a lien on all of their assets as to which a lien can be perfected under the Uniform Commercial Code by the filing of a financing statement.

Historical Operating, Financing and Investing Activities

We held \$22 million in cash and cash equivalents as of September 30, 2005 compared to \$650 million as of December 31, 2004. For the nine months ended September 30, 2005, we generated \$118 million of net cash flows from operating

activities after paying cash interest of \$1.2 billion. In addition, we used approximately \$815 million for purchases of property, plant and equipment. Finally, we had net cash flows used in financing activities of \$17 million.

Operating Activities. Net cash provided by operating activities decreased \$265 million, or 69%, from \$383 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 to \$118 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005. For the nine months ended September 30, 2005, net cash provided by operating activities decreased primarily as a result

of changes in operating assets and liabilities that used \$144 million more cash during the nine months ended September 30, 2005 than the corresponding period in 2004 combined with an increase in cash interest expense of \$155 million over the corresponding prior period partially offset by an increase in revenue over cash costs.

Investing Activities. Net cash used by investing activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 was \$729 million and net cash provided by investing activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 was \$50 million. Investing activities used \$779 million more cash during the nine months ended September 30, 2005 than the corresponding period in 2004 primarily as a result of increased cash used for capital expenditures in 2005 coupled with proceeds from the sale of certain cable systems to Atlantic Broadband Finance, LLC in 2004.

Financing Activities. Net cash used in financing activities decreased \$414 million from \$431 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 to \$17 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005. The decrease in cash used during the nine months ended September 30, 2005 as compared to the corresponding period in 2004, was primarily the result of a decrease in net repayments of long-term debt and in payments for debt issuance costs.

Capital Expenditures

We have significant ongoing capital expenditure requirements. Capital expenditures were \$815 million and \$639 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively. Capital expenditures increased as a result of increased spending on support capital related to our investment in service improvements and scalable infrastructure related to telephone services, VOD and digital simulcast. See the table below for more details.

Upgrading our cable systems has enabled us to offer digital television, high-speed Internet services, VOD, interactive services, additional channels and tiers, expanded pay-per-view options and telephone services to a larger customer base. Our capital expenditures are funded primarily from cash flows from operating activities, the issuance of debt and borrowings under credit facilities. In addition, during the nine months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, our liabilities related to capital expenditures increased \$36 million and decreased \$23 million, respectively.

During 2005, we expect capital expenditures to be approximately \$1 billion to \$1.1 billion. The increase in capital expenditures for 2005 compared to 2004 is the result of expected increases in telephone services, deployment of advanced digital set-top terminals and capital expenditures to replace plant and equipment destroyed by hurricanes Katrina and Rita. We expect that the nature of these expenditures will continue to be composed primarily of purchases of customer premise equipment, support capital and for scalable infrastructure costs. We expect to fund capital expenditures for 2005 primarily from cash flows from operating activities and borrowings under our credit facilities.

We have adopted capital expenditure disclosure guidance, which was developed by eleven publicly traded cable system operators, including Charter, with the support of the National Cable & Telecommunications Association ("NCTA"). The disclosure is intended to provide more consistency in the reporting of operating statistics in capital expenditures and customers among peer companies in the cable industry. These disclosure guidelines are not required disclosure under GAAP, nor do they impact our accounting for capital expenditures under GAAP.

The following table presents our major capital expenditures categories in accordance with NCTA disclosure guidelines for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004 (dollars in millions):

	Three Months Ended September		Nine Months Ended September	
	30,	2004	30,	2004
	2005		2005	
Customer premise equipment (a)	\$ 94	\$ 119	\$ 322	\$ 345
Scalable infrastructure (b)	49	22	138	55

Edgar Filing: CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS INC /MO/ - Form 424B3

Line extensions (c)	37	41	114	94
Upgrade/Rebuild (d)	13	12	35	28
Support capital (e)	80	55	206	117
Total capital expenditures (f)	\$ 273	\$ 249	\$ 815	\$ 639

- (a) Customer premise equipment includes costs incurred at the customer residence to secure new customers, revenue units and additional bandwidth revenues. It also includes customer installation costs in accordance with SFAS 51 and customer premise equipment (e.g., set-top terminals and cable modems, etc.).
- (b) Scalable infrastructure includes costs, not related to customer premise equipment or our network, to secure growth of new customers, revenue units and additional bandwidth revenues or provide service enhancements (e.g., headend equipment).
- (c) Line extensions include network costs associated with entering new service areas (e.g., fiber/coaxial cable, amplifiers, electronic equipment, make-ready and design engineering).
- (d) Upgrade/rebuild includes costs to modify or replace existing fiber/coaxial cable networks, including betterments.
- (e) Support capital includes costs associated with the replacement or enhancement of non-network assets due to technological and physical obsolescence (e.g., non-network equipment, land, buildings and vehicles).
- (f) Represents all capital expenditures made during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Certain Trends and Uncertainties

The following discussion highlights a number of trends and uncertainties, in addition to those discussed elsewhere in this quarterly report and in the "Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates" section of Item 7. "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in our 2004 Annual Report on Form 10-K, that could materially impact our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Substantial Leverage. We have a significant amount of debt. As of September 30, 2005, our total debt was approximately \$19.1 billion. For the remainder of 2005, \$7 million of our debt matures and in 2006, an additional \$55 million matures. In 2007 and beyond, significant additional amounts will become due under our remaining obligations. We believe that, as a result of our significant levels of debt and operating performance, our access to the debt markets could be limited when substantial amounts of our current indebtedness become due. If our business does not generate sufficient cash flow from operating activities, and sufficient funds are not available to us from borrowings under our credit facilities or from other sources, we may not be able to repay our debt, fund our other liquidity and capital needs, grow our business or respond to competitive challenges. Further, if we are unable to repay or refinance our debt, as it becomes due, we could be forced to restructure our obligations or seek protection under the bankruptcy laws. If we were to raise capital through the issuance of additional equity or if we were to engage in a recapitalization or other similar transaction, our shareholders could suffer significant dilution and our noteholders might not receive all principal and interest payments to which they are contractually entitled on a timely basis or at all. For more information, see the section above entitled "— Liquidity and Capital Resources."

Restrictive Covenants. Our credit facilities, the Bridge Loan and the indentures governing our and our subsidiaries' other debt contain a number of significant covenants that could adversely impact our ability to operate our business, and therefore could adversely affect our results of operations and the price of our Class A common stock. These covenants restrict our and our subsidiaries' ability to:

- incur additional debt;
- repurchase or redeem equity interests and debt;
- issue equity;
- make certain investments or acquisitions;
- pay dividends or make other distributions;
- dispose of assets or merge;
- enter into related party transactions;
- grant liens; and
- pledge assets.

Furthermore, our credit facilities require us to, among other things, maintain specified financial ratios, meet specified financial tests and provide audited financial statements with an unqualified opinion from our independent auditors. Our ability to comply with these provisions may be affected by events beyond our control.

The breach of any covenants or obligations in the foregoing indentures or credit facilities, not otherwise waived or amended, could result in a default under the applicable debt agreement or instrument and could trigger acceleration

of the related debt, which in turn could trigger defaults under other agreements governing our long-term indebtedness. In addition, the secured lenders under the Charter Operating credit facilities and the Charter Operating senior second-lien notes could foreclose on their collateral, which includes equity interests in our subsidiaries, and exercise other rights of secured creditors. Any default under those credit facilities, the Bridge Loan, the indentures governing our convertible notes or our subsidiaries' debt could adversely affect our growth, our financial condition and our results of operations and our ability to make payments on our notes, the Bridge Loan and the credit facilities and other debt of our subsidiaries. For more information, see the section above entitled "— Liquidity and Capital Resources."

Liquidity. Our business requires significant cash to fund debt service costs, capital expenditures and ongoing operations. Our ongoing operations will depend on our ability to generate cash and to secure financing in the future. We have historically funded liquidity and capital requirements through cash flows from operating activities, borrowings under our credit facilities, issuances of debt and equity securities and cash on hand.

Our ability to operate depends upon, among other things, our continued access to capital, including credit under the Charter Operating credit facilities. These credit facilities are subject to certain restrictive covenants, some of which require us to maintain specified financial ratios and meet financial tests and to provide audited financial statements with an unqualified opinion from our independent auditors. As of September 30, 2005, we are in compliance with the covenants under our indentures and credit facilities, and we expect to remain in compliance with those covenants and the Bridge Loan covenants for the next twelve months. If our operating performance results in non-compliance with these covenants, or if any of certain other events of non-compliance under these credit facilities, the Bridge Loan or indentures governing our debt occurs, funding under the credit facilities and the Bridge Loan may not be available and defaults on some or potentially all of our debt obligations could occur. An event of default under the credit facilities, the Bridge Loan or indentures, if not waived, could result in the acceleration of those debt obligations and, consequently, other debt obligations. Such acceleration could result in exercise of remedies by our creditors and could force us to seek the protection of the bankruptcy laws, which could materially adversely impact our ability to operate our business and to make payments under our debt instruments. Our total potential borrowing availability under the current credit facilities totaled \$786 million as of September 30, 2005, although the actual availability at that time was only \$648 million because of limits imposed by covenant restrictions. In addition, effective January 2, 2006, we will have additional borrowing availability of \$600 million as a result of the Bridge Loan.

If, at any time, additional capital or capacity is required beyond amounts internally generated or available under our credit facilities or through additional debt or equity financings, we would consider:

- issuing equity that would significantly dilute existing shareholders;
- issuing convertible debt or some other securities that may have structural or other priority over our existing notes and may also significantly dilute Charter's existing shareholders;
- further reducing our expenses and capital expenditures, which may impair our ability to increase revenue;
- selling assets; or
- requesting waivers or amendments with respect to our credit facilities, the availability and terms of which would be subject to market conditions.

If the above strategies were not successful, we could be forced to restructure our obligations or seek protection under the bankruptcy laws. If we were to raise additional capital through the issuance of equity or find it necessary to engage in a recapitalization or other similar transaction, our shareholders could suffer significant dilution and our noteholders might not receive all or any principal and interest payments to which they are contractually entitled. For more information, see the section above entitled "— Liquidity and Capital Resources."

Acceleration of Indebtedness of Charter's Subsidiaries. In the event of a default under our credit facilities, the Bridge Loan or notes, our creditors could elect to declare all amounts borrowed, together with accrued and unpaid interest and other fees, to be due and payable. In such event, our credit facilities, the Bridge Loan and indentures would not permit Charter's subsidiaries to distribute funds to Charter Holdco or Charter to pay interest or principal on their notes. If the amounts outstanding under such credit facilities, the Bridge Loan or notes are accelerated, all of the debt and liabilities of Charter's subsidiaries would be payable from the subsidiaries' assets, prior to any distribution of the subsidiaries' assets to pay the interest and principal amounts on Charter's notes. In addition, the lenders under our credit facilities could foreclose on their collateral, which includes equity interests in Charter's subsidiaries, and they could exercise other rights of secured creditors. In any such case, we might not be able to

repay or make any payments on our notes. Additionally, an acceleration or payment default under our credit facilities would cause a cross-default in the Bridge Loan and the indentures governing the Charter Holdings notes, CIH notes, CCH I notes, CCH II notes, CCO Holdings notes, Charter Operating notes and Charter's convertible senior notes and would trigger the cross-default provision of the Charter Operating credit agreement. Any default under any of our credit facilities, Bridge Loan or notes might adversely affect the holders of our notes and our growth, financial condition and results of operations and could force us to examine all options, including seeking the protection of the bankruptcy laws.

Charter Communications, Inc. Relies on its Subsidiaries to Meet its Liquidity Needs, and Charter's Convertible Senior Notes are Structurally Subordinated to all Liabilities of its Subsidiaries. We rely on our subsidiaries to make distributions or other payments to Charter Holdco and Charter to enable Charter to make payments on its convertible senior notes. The borrowers and guarantors under the Charter Operating credit facilities are Charter's indirect subsidiaries. A number of Charter's subsidiaries are also obligors under other debt instruments, including Charter Holdings, CIH, CCH I, CCH II, CCO Holdings and Charter Operating, which are each a co-issuer of senior notes, senior-second lien notes and/or senior discount notes. As of September 30, 2005, our total debt was approximately \$19.1 billion, of which \$18.3 billion was structurally senior to the Charter convertible senior notes. The Charter Operating credit facilities and the indentures governing the senior notes, senior discount notes and senior second-lien notes issued by subsidiaries of Charter contain restrictive covenants that limit the ability of such subsidiaries to make distributions or other payments to Charter Holdco or Charter.

In the event of a default under our credit facilities, the Bridge Loan or notes, our lenders or noteholders could elect to declare all amounts borrowed, together with accrued and unpaid interest and other fees, to be due and payable. An acceleration or certain payment events of default under our credit facilities would cause a cross-default in the Bridge Loan, the indentures governing the Charter Holdings notes, CIH notes, CCH I notes, CCH II notes, CCO Holdings notes, Charter Operating notes and Charter's convertible senior notes. Similarly, such a default or acceleration under any of these notes would cause a cross-default under the notes of the parent entities of the relevant entity. If the amounts outstanding under the credit facilities, the Bridge Loan or notes are accelerated, all of the debt and liabilities of Charter's subsidiaries would be payable from the subsidiaries' assets, prior to any distribution of the subsidiaries' assets to pay the interest and principal amounts on Charter's notes. In addition, the lenders under our credit facilities and noteholders under our Charter Operating notes could foreclose on their collateral, which includes equity interests in Charter's subsidiaries, and they could exercise other rights of secured creditors. Any default under any of our credit facilities, the Bridge Loan or notes could force us to examine all options, including seeking the protection of the bankruptcy laws. In the event of the bankruptcy, liquidation or dissolution of a subsidiary, following payment by such subsidiary of its liabilities, the lenders under our credit facilities and the holders of the other debt instruments and all other creditors of Charter's subsidiaries would have the right to be paid before holders of Charter's convertible senior notes from any of Charter's subsidiaries' assets. Such subsidiaries may not have sufficient assets remaining to make any payments to Charter as an equity holder or otherwise and may be restricted by bankruptcy and insolvency laws from making any such payments.

The foregoing contractual and legal restrictions could limit Charter's ability to make payments of principal and/or interest to the holders of its convertible senior notes. Further, if Charter made such payments by causing a subsidiary to make a distribution to it, and such transfer were deemed a fraudulent transfer or an unlawful distribution, the holders of Charter's convertible senior notes could be required to return the payment to (or for the benefit of) the creditors of its subsidiaries.

Securities Litigation. A number of putative federal class action lawsuits were filed against Charter and certain of its former and present officers and directors alleging violations of securities laws, which have been consolidated for pretrial purposes. In addition, a number of shareholder derivative lawsuits were filed against Charter in the same and other jurisdictions. A shareholders derivative suit was filed in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri against Charter and its then current directors. Also, three shareholders derivative suits were filed in Missouri

state court against Charter, its then current directors and its former independent auditor. These state court actions have been consolidated. The federal shareholders derivative suit and the consolidated derivative suit each alleged that the defendants breached their fiduciary duties.

Charter entered into Stipulations of Settlement setting forth proposed terms of settlement for the above-described class actions and derivative suits. On May 23, 2005 the United States District Court for the Eastern District of

Missouri conducted the final fairness hearing for the Actions, and on June 30, 2005, the Court issued its final approval of the settlements. Members of the class had 30 days from the issuance of the June 30 order approving the settlement to file an appeal challenging the approval. Two notices of appeal were filed relating to the settlement. Those appeals were directed to the amount of fees that the attorneys for the class were to receive and to the fairness of the settlement. At the end of September 2005, Stipulations of Dismissal were filed with the Eighth Circuit Court of Appeals resulting in the dismissal of both appeals with prejudice. Procedurally therefore, the settlements are final. See "Part II, Item 1. Legal Proceedings."

Competition. The industry in which we operate is highly competitive, and has become more so in recent years. In some instances, we compete against companies with fewer regulatory burdens, easier access to financing, greater personnel resources, greater brand name recognition and long-established relationships with regulatory authorities and customers. Increasing consolidation in the cable industry and the repeal of certain ownership rules may provide additional benefits to certain of our competitors, either through access to financing, resources or efficiencies of scale.

Our principal competitor for video services throughout our territory is direct broadcast satellite television services, also known as DBS. Competition from DBS, including intensive marketing efforts and aggressive pricing, has had an adverse impact on our ability to retain customers. DBS has grown rapidly over the last several years and continues to do so. The cable industry, including Charter, has lost a significant number of subscribers to DBS competition, and we face serious challenges in this area in the future. We believe that competition from DBS service providers may present greater challenges in areas of lower population density and that our systems serve a higher concentration of such areas than those of other major cable service providers.

Local telephone companies and electric utilities can offer video and other services in competition with us and they increasingly may do so in the future. Certain telephone companies have begun more extensive deployment of fiber in their networks that will enable them to begin providing video services, as well as telephone and high-bandwidth Internet access services, to residential and business customers. Some of these telephone companies have obtained, and are now seeking, franchises or alternative authorizations that are less burdensome than existing Charter franchises. The subscription television industry also faces competition from free broadcast television and from other communications and entertainment media. Further loss of customers to DBS or other alternative video and data services could have a material negative impact on the value of our business and its performance.

With respect to our Internet access services, we face competition, including intensive marketing efforts and aggressive pricing, from telephone companies and other providers of "dial-up" and digital subscriber line technology, also known as DSL. DSL service is competitive with high-speed Internet service over cable systems. In addition, DBS providers have entered into joint marketing arrangements with Internet access providers to offer bundled video and Internet service, which competes with our ability to provide bundled services to our customers. Moreover, as we expand our telephone offerings, we will face considerable competition from established telephone companies.

In order to attract new customers, from time to time we make promotional offers, including offers of temporarily reduced-price or free service. These promotional programs result in significant advertising, programming and operating expenses, and also require us to make capital expenditures to acquire additional digital set-top terminals. Customers who subscribe to our services as a result of these offerings may not remain customers for any significant period of time following the end of the promotional period. A failure to retain existing customers and customers added through promotional offerings or to collect the amounts they owe us could have an adverse effect on our business and financial results.

Mergers, joint ventures and alliances among franchised, wireless or private cable operators, satellite television providers, telephone companies and others, and the repeal of certain ownership rules may provide additional benefits to some of our competitors, either through access to financing, resources or efficiencies of scale, or the ability to provide multiple services in direct competition with us.

Long-Term Indebtedness — Change of Control Payments. We may not have the ability to raise the funds necessary to fulfill our obligations under Charter's convertible senior notes, our senior and senior discount notes, our Bridge Loan and our credit facilities following a change of control. Under the indentures governing the Charter convertible senior notes, upon the occurrence of specified change of control events, Charter is required to offer to repurchase all of the outstanding Charter convertible senior notes. However, we may not have sufficient funds at the

time of the change of control event to make the required repurchase of the Charter convertible senior notes and Charter's subsidiaries are limited in their ability to make distributions or other payments to Charter to fund any required repurchase. In addition, a change of control under our credit facilities, Bridge Loan and indentures governing our notes could result in a default under those credit facilities and Bridge Loan and a required repayment of the notes under those indentures. Because such credit facilities, Bridge Loan and notes are obligations of Charter's subsidiaries, the credit facilities, Bridge Loan and the notes would have to be repaid by Charter's subsidiaries before their assets could be available to Charter to repurchase the Charter convertible senior notes. Charter's failure to make or complete a change of control offer would place it in default under the Charter convertible senior notes. The failure of Charter's subsidiaries to make a change of control offer or repay the amounts accelerated under their credit facilities and Bridge Loan would result in default under these agreements and could result in a default under the indentures governing the Charter convertible senior notes. See "— Certain Trends and Uncertainties — Liquidity."

Variable Interest Rates. At September 30, 2005, excluding the effects of hedging, approximately 32% of our debt bears interest at variable rates that are linked to short-term interest rates. In addition, a significant portion of our existing debt, assumed debt or debt we might arrange in the future will bear interest at variable rates. If interest rates rise, our costs relative to those obligations will also rise. As of September 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, the weighted average interest rate on the credit facility debt was approximately 7.5% and 6.8%, respectively, the weighted average interest rate on the high-yield notes was approximately 10.2% and 9.9%, respectively, and the weighted average interest rate on the convertible notes was approximately 5.8% and 5.7%, respectively, resulting in a blended weighted average interest rate of 9.2% and 8.8%, respectively. The interest rate on approximately 80% and 83% of the total principal amount of our debt was effectively fixed, including the effects of our interest rate hedge agreements as of September 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively.

Services. We expect that a substantial portion of our near-term growth will be achieved through revenues from high-speed Internet services, digital video, bundled service packages, and to a lesser extent various commercial services that take advantage of cable's broadband capacity. We may not be able to offer these advanced services successfully to our customers or provide adequate customer service and these advanced services may not generate adequate revenues. Also, if the vendors we use for these services are not financially viable over time, we may experience disruption of service and incur costs to find alternative vendors. In addition, the technology involved in our product and service offerings generally requires that we have permission to use intellectual property and that such property not infringe on rights claimed by others. If it is determined that the product or service being utilized infringes on the rights of others, we may be sued or be precluded from using the technology.

Increasing Programming Costs. Programming has been, and is expected to continue to be, our largest operating expense item. In recent years, the cable industry has experienced a rapid escalation in the cost of programming, particularly sports programming. We expect programming costs to continue to increase because of a variety of factors, including inflationary or negotiated annual increases, additional programming being provided to customers and increased costs to purchase programming. The inability to fully pass these programming cost increases on to our customers would have an adverse impact on our cash flow and operating margins. As measured by programming costs, and excluding premium services (substantially all of which were renegotiated and renewed in 2003), as of September 30, 2005 approximately 9% of our current programming contracts were expired, and approximately another 20% are scheduled to expire at or before the end of 2005. There can be no assurance that these agreements will be renewed on favorable or comparable terms. To the extent that we are unable to reach agreement with certain programmers on terms that we believe are reasonable we may be forced to remove such programming channels from our line-up, which could result in a further loss of customers.

Utilization of Net Operating Loss Carryforwards. As of September 30, 2005, we had approximately \$5.7 billion of tax net operating losses (resulting in a gross deferred tax asset of approximately \$2.3 billion), expiring in the years 2005 through 2025. Due to uncertainties in projected future taxable income, valuation allowances have been established against the gross deferred tax assets for book accounting purposes except for deferred benefits available to

offset certain deferred tax liabilities. Currently, such tax net operating losses can accumulate and be used to offset any of our future taxable income. An "ownership change" as defined in the applicable federal income tax rules, would place significant limitations, on an annual basis, on the use of such net operating losses to offset any future taxable income we may generate. Such limitations, in conjunction with the net operating loss expiration provisions, could effectively eliminate our ability to use a substantial portion of our net operating losses to offset future taxable income.

The issuance of up to a total of 150 million shares of common stock (of which 27.2 million were issued in July 2005) offered pursuant to a share lending agreement executed by Charter in connection with the issuance of the 5.875% convertible senior notes in November 2004, as well as possible future conversions of our convertible notes, significantly increases the risk that we will experience an ownership change in the future for tax purposes, resulting in a material limitation on the use of a substantial amount of our existing net operating loss carryforwards. We do not believe that the issuance of shares associated with the share lending agreement would result in our experiencing an ownership change. However, future transactions and the timing of such transactions could cause an ownership change. Such transactions include additional issuances of common stock by us (including but not limited to issuances upon future conversion of our 5.875% convertible senior notes or as issued in the proposed settlement of derivative class action litigation), reacquisitions of the borrowed shares by us, or acquisitions or sales of shares by certain holders of our shares, including persons who have held, currently hold, or accumulate in the future five percent or more of our outstanding stock (including upon an exchange by Mr. Allen or his affiliates, directly or indirectly, of membership units of Charter Holdco into our Class A common stock). Many of the foregoing transactions are beyond our control.

Class A Common Stock and Notes Price Volatility. The market price of our Class A common stock and our publicly traded notes has been and is likely to continue to be highly volatile. We expect that the price of our securities may fluctuate in response to various factors, including the factors described in this section and various other factors, which may be beyond our control. These factors beyond our control could include: financial forecasts by securities analysts; new conditions or trends in the cable or telecommunications industry; general economic and market conditions and specifically, conditions related to the cable or telecommunications industry; any change in our debt ratings; the development of improved or competitive technologies; the use of new products or promotions by us or our competitors; changes in accounting rules or interpretations; new regulatory legislation adopted in the United States; and any action taken or requirements imposed by NASDAQ if our Class A common stock trades below \$1.00 per share for over 30 consecutive trading days. On October 28, 2005, our Class A common stock closed on NASDAQ at \$1.20 per share.

In addition, the securities market in general, and the Nasdaq National Market and the market for cable television securities in particular, have experienced significant price fluctuations. Volatility in the market price for companies may often be unrelated or disproportionate to the operating performance of those companies. These broad market and industry factors may seriously harm the market price of our Class A common stock and our notes, regardless of our operating performance. In the past, securities litigation has often commenced following periods of volatility in the market price of a company's securities, and several purported class action lawsuits were filed against us in 2001 and 2002, following a decline in our stock price.

Economic Slowdown; Global Conflict. It is difficult to assess the impact that the general economic slowdown and global conflict will have on future operations. However, the economic slowdown has resulted and could continue to result in reduced spending by customers and advertisers, which could reduce our revenues, and also could affect our ability to collect accounts receivable and maintain customers. Reductions in operating revenues would likely negatively affect our ability to make expected capital expenditures and could also result in our inability to meet our obligations under our financing agreements. These developments could also have a negative impact on our financing and variable interest rate agreements through disruptions in the market or negative market conditions.

Regulation and Legislation. Cable system operations are extensively regulated at the federal, state, and local level, including rate regulation of basic service and equipment and municipal approval of franchise agreements and their terms, such as franchise requirements to upgrade cable plant and meet specified customer service standards. Additional legislation and regulation is always possible. In fact, there has been legislative activity at the state level to streamline cable franchising and there is proposed legislation in the United States Congress to overhaul traditional communications regulation and cable franchising.

Cable operators also face significant regulation of their channel carriage. They currently can be required to devote substantial capacity to the carriage of programming that they would not carry voluntarily, including certain local broadcast signals, local public, educational and government access programming, and unaffiliated commercial leased access programming. This carriage burden could increase in the future, particularly if cable systems were required to carry both the analog and digital versions of local broadcast signals (dual carriage) or to carry multiple program streams included within a single digital broadcast transmission (multicast carriage). Additional government mandated broadcast carriage obligations could disrupt existing programming commitments, interfere with our preferred use of limited channel capacity and limit our ability to offer services that would maximize

customer appeal and revenue potential. Although the FCC issued a decision on February 10, 2005 confirming an earlier ruling against mandating either dual carriage or multicast carriage, that decision has been appealed. In addition, the FCC could modify its position or Congress could legislate additional carriage obligations.

Over the past several years, proposals have been advanced that would require cable operators offering Internet service to provide non-discriminatory access to their networks to competing Internet service providers. In a June 2005 ruling, commonly referred to as *Brand X*, the Supreme Court upheld an FCC decision making it less likely that any non-discriminatory "open" access requirements (which are generally associated with common carrier regulation of "telecommunications services") will be imposed on the cable industry by local, state or federal authorities. The Supreme Court held that the FCC was correct in classifying cable-provided Internet service as an "information service," rather than a "telecommunications service." This favorable regulatory classification limits the ability of various governmental authorities to impose open access requirements on cable-provided Internet service. Given the recency of the *Brand X* decision, however, the nature of any legislative or regulatory response remains uncertain. The imposition of open access requirements could materially affect our business.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk.

Interest Rate Risk

We are exposed to various market risks, including fluctuations in interest rates. We use interest rate risk management derivative instruments, such as interest rate swap agreements and interest rate collar agreements (collectively referred to herein as interest rate agreements) as required under the terms of the credit facilities of our subsidiaries. Our policy is to manage interest costs using a mix of fixed and variable rate debt. Using interest rate swap agreements, we agree to exchange, at specified intervals through 2007, the difference between fixed and variable interest amounts calculated by reference to an agreed-upon notional principal amount. Interest rate collar agreements are used to limit our exposure to, and to derive benefits from, interest rate fluctuations on variable rate debt to within a certain range of rates. Interest rate risk management agreements are not held or issued for speculative or trading purposes.

As of September 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, our long-term debt totaled approximately \$19.1 billion and \$19.5 billion, respectively. This debt was comprised of approximately \$5.5 billion and \$5.5 billion of credit facility debt, \$12.7 billion and \$13.0 billion accreted value of high-yield notes and \$866 million and \$990 million accreted value of convertible senior notes, respectively.

As of September 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, the weighted average interest rate on the credit facility debt was approximately 7.5% and 6.8%, the weighted average interest rate on the high-yield notes was approximately 10.2% and 9.9%, and the weighted average interest rate on the convertible senior notes was approximately 5.8% and 5.7%, respectively, resulting in a blended weighted average interest rate of 9.2% and 8.8%, respectively. The interest rate on approximately 80% and 83% of the total principal amount of our debt was effectively fixed, including the effects of our interest rate hedge agreements as of September 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively. The fair value of our high-yield notes was \$11.6 billion and \$12.2 billion at September 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively. The fair value of our convertible senior notes was \$718 million and \$1.1 billion at September 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively. The fair value of our credit facilities was \$5.5 billion and \$5.5 billion at September 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively. The fair value of high-yield and convertible notes is based on quoted market prices, and the fair value of the credit facilities is based on dealer quotations.

We do not hold or issue derivative instruments for trading purposes. We do, however, have certain interest rate derivative instruments that have been designated as cash flow hedging instruments. Such instruments effectively convert variable interest payments on certain debt instruments into fixed payments. For qualifying hedges, SFAS No. 133 allows derivative gains and losses to offset related results on hedged items in the consolidated statement of operations. We have formally documented, designated and assessed the effectiveness of transactions that receive

hedge accounting. For the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, net gain (loss) on derivative instruments and hedging activities includes gains of \$1 million and \$1 million, respectively, and for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, net gain (loss) on derivative instruments and hedging activities includes gains of \$2 million and \$3 million, respectively, which represent cash flow hedge ineffectiveness on interest rate hedge agreements arising from differences between the critical terms of the agreements and the related hedged obligations. Changes in the fair value of interest rate agreements designated as hedging instruments of the variability of cash flows associated with floating-rate debt obligations that meet the effectiveness criteria of SFAS No. 133 are reported

in accumulated other comprehensive loss. For the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, a gain of \$5 million and \$2 million, respectively, and for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, a gain of \$14 million and \$31 million, respectively, related to derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges, was recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) and minority interest. The amounts are subsequently reclassified into interest expense as a yield adjustment in the same period in which the related interest on the floating-rate debt obligations affects earnings (losses).

Certain interest rate derivative instruments are not designated as hedges as they do not meet the effectiveness criteria specified by SFAS No. 133. However, management believes such instruments are closely correlated with the respective debt, thus managing associated risk. Interest rate derivative instruments not designated as hedges are marked to fair value, with the impact recorded as gain (loss) on derivative instruments and hedging activities in our statements of operations. For the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, net gain (loss) on derivative instruments and hedging activities includes gains of \$16 million and losses of \$9 million, respectively, and for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, net gain (loss) on derivative instruments and hedging activities includes gains of \$41 million and \$45 million, respectively, for interest rate derivative instruments not designated as hedges.

The table set forth below summarizes the fair values and contract terms of financial instruments subject to interest rate risk maintained by us as of September 30, 2005 (dollars in millions):

	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Thereafter	Total	Fair Value at September 30, 2005
Debt:									
Fixed Rate	\$ --	\$ 25	\$ 105	\$ 114	\$ 1,547	\$ 1,693	\$ 9,576	\$ 13,060	\$ 11,802
Average Interest Rate	--	4.75%	8.25%	10.00%	7.48%	10.29%	10.44%	10.04%	
Variable Rate	\$ 7	\$ 30	\$ 280	\$ 629	\$ 779	\$ 1,536	\$ 2,802	\$ 6,063	\$ 6,059
Average Interest Rate	6.81%	7.88%	7.73%	7.78%	7.88%	8.33%	8.20%	8.12%	
Interest Rate Instruments:									
Variable to Fixed Swaps	\$ 500	\$ 873	\$ 775	\$ --	\$ --	\$ --	\$ --	\$ 2,148	\$ 13
Average Pay Rate	7.49%	8.23%	8.04%	--	--	--	--	7.99%	
Average Receive Rate	7.17%	7.82%	7.83%	--	--	--	--	7.69%	

The notional amounts of interest rate instruments do not represent amounts exchanged by the parties and, thus, are not a measure of our exposure to credit loss. The amounts exchanged are determined by reference to the notional amount and the other terms of the contracts. The estimated fair value approximates the costs (proceeds) to settle the outstanding contracts. Interest rates on variable debt are estimated using the average implied forward London Interbank Offering Rate (LIBOR) rates for the year of maturity based on the yield curve in effect at September 30, 2004.

At September 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, we had outstanding \$2.1 billion and \$2.7 billion and \$20 million and \$20 million, respectively, in notional amounts of interest rate swaps and collars, respectively. The notional amounts of interest rate instruments do not represent amounts exchanged by the parties and, thus, are not a measure of exposure to credit loss. The amounts exchanged are determined by reference to the notional amount and the other terms of the contracts.

Certain provisions of Charter's 5.875% convertible senior notes issued in November 2004 were considered embedded derivatives for accounting purposes and were required to be accounted for separately from the convertible senior notes. In accordance with SFAS No. 133, these derivatives are marked to market with gains or losses recorded in interest expense on our condensed consolidated statement of operations. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, we recognized losses of \$1 million and gains of \$26 million, respectively. The loss resulted in an increase in interest expense whereas the gain resulted in a reduction in interest expense related to these derivatives. At September 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, \$2 million and \$10 million, respectively, is recorded in accounts payable and accrued expenses relating to the short-term portion of these derivatives and \$3 million and \$21 million, respectively, is recorded in other long-term liabilities related to the long-term portion.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

As of the end of the period covered by this report, management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Interim Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures with respect to the information generated for use in this quarterly report. The evaluation was based in part upon reports and affidavits provided by a number of executives. Based upon, and as of the date of that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Interim Chief Financial Officer concluded that the disclosure controls and procedures were effective to provide reasonable assurances that information required to be disclosed in the reports we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Commission's rules and forms.

There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended September 30, 2005 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, our management recognized that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance of achieving the desired control objectives and management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures. Based upon the above evaluation, Charter's management believes that its controls provide such reasonable assurances.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION.

Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

Securities Class Actions and Derivative Suits

Fourteen putative federal class action lawsuits (the "Federal Class Actions") were filed in 2002 against Charter and certain of its former and present officers and directors in various jurisdictions allegedly on behalf of all purchasers of Charter's securities during the period from either November 8 or November 9, 1999 through July 17 or July 18, 2002. Unspecified damages were sought by the plaintiffs. In general, the lawsuits alleged that Charter utilized misleading accounting practices and failed to disclose these accounting practices and/or issued false and misleading financial statements and press releases concerning Charter's operations and prospects. The Federal Class Actions were specifically and individually identified in public filings made by Charter prior to the date of this quarterly report. On March 12, 2003, the Panel transferred the six Federal Class Actions not filed in the Eastern District of Missouri to that district for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings with the eight Federal Class Actions already pending there. The Court subsequently consolidated the Federal Class Actions into a single action (the "Consolidated Federal Class Action") for pretrial purposes. On August 5, 2004, the plaintiffs' representatives, Charter and the individual defendants who were the subject of the suit entered into a Memorandum of Understanding setting forth agreements in principle to settle the Consolidated Federal Class Action. These parties subsequently entered into Stipulations of Settlement dated as of January 24, 2005 (described more fully below) that incorporate the terms of the August 5, 2004 Memorandum of Understanding.

The Consolidated Federal Class Action was entitled:

·In re Charter Communications, Inc. Securities Litigation, MDL Docket No. 1506 (All Cases), StoneRidge Investments Partners, LLC, Individually and On Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated, v. Charter Communications, Inc., Paul Allen, Jerald L. Kent, Carl E. Vogel, Kent Kalkwarf, David G. Barford, Paul E. Martin, David L. McCall, Bill Shreffler, Chris Fenger, James H. Smith, III, Scientific-Atlanta, Inc., Motorola, Inc. and Arthur Andersen, LLP, Consolidated Case No. 4:02-CV-1186-CAS.

On September 12, 2002, a shareholders derivative suit (the "State Derivative Action") was filed in the Circuit Court of the City of St. Louis, State of Missouri (the "Missouri State Court"), against Charter and its then current directors, as well as its former auditors. The plaintiffs alleged that the individual defendants breached their fiduciary duties by failing to establish and maintain adequate internal controls and procedures.

The consolidated State Derivative Action was entitled:

·Kenneth Stacey, Derivatively on behalf of Nominal Defendant Charter Communications, Inc., v. Ronald L. Nelson, Paul G. Allen, Marc B. Nathanson, Nancy B. Peretsman, William Savoy, John H. Tory, Carl E. Vogel, Larry W. Wangberg, and Charter Communications, Inc.

On March 12, 2004, an action substantively identical to the State Derivative Action was filed in Missouri State Court against Charter and certain of its current and former directors, as well as its former auditors. On July 14, 2004, the Court consolidated this case with the State Derivative Action.

This action was entitled:

·Thomas Schimmel, Derivatively on behalf on Nominal Defendant Charter Communications, Inc., v. Ronald L. Nelson, Paul G. Allen, Marc B. Nathanson, Nancy B. Peretsman, William D. Savoy, John H. Tory, Carl E. Vogel, Larry W. Wangberg, and Arthur Andersen, LLP, and Charter Communications, Inc.

Separately, on February 12, 2003, a shareholders derivative suit (the "Federal Derivative Action"), was filed against Charter and its then current directors in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri. The plaintiff in that suit alleged that the individual defendants breached their fiduciary duties and grossly mismanaged Charter by failing to establish and maintain adequate internal controls and procedures.

The Federal Derivative Action was entitled:

· Arthur Cohn, Derivatively on behalf of Nominal Defendant Charter Communications, Inc., v. Ronald L. Nelson, Paul G. Allen, Marc B. Nathanson, Nancy B. Peretsman, William Savoy, John H. Tory, Carl E. Vogel, Larry W. Wangberg, and Charter Communications, Inc.

As noted above, Charter and the individual defendants entered into a Memorandum of Understanding on August 5, 2004 setting forth agreements in principle regarding settlement of the Consolidated Federal Class Action, the State Derivative Action(s) and the Federal Derivative Action (the "Actions"). Charter and various other defendants in those actions subsequently entered into Stipulations of Settlement dated as of January 24, 2005, setting forth a settlement of the Actions in a manner consistent with the terms of the Memorandum of Understanding. The Stipulations of Settlement, along with various supporting documentation, were filed with the Court on February 2, 2005. On May 23, 2005 the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri conducted the final fairness hearing for the Actions, and on June 30, 2005, the Court issued its final approval of the settlements. Members of the class had 30 days from the issuance of the June 30 order approving the settlement to file an appeal challenging the approval. Two notices of appeal were filed relating to the settlement. Those appeals were directed to the amount of fees that the attorneys for the class were to receive and to the fairness of the settlement. At the end of September 2005, Stipulations of Dismissal were filed with the Eighth Circuit Court of Appeals resulting in the dismissal of both appeals with prejudice. Procedurally therefore, the settlements are final.

As amended, the Stipulations of Settlement provide that, in exchange for a release of all claims by plaintiffs against Charter and its former and present officers and directors named in the Actions, Charter would pay to the plaintiffs a combination of cash and equity collectively valued at \$144 million, which will include the fees and expenses of plaintiffs' counsel. Of this amount, \$64 million would be paid in cash (by Charter's insurance carriers) and the \$80 million balance was to be paid (subject to Charter's right to substitute cash therefor as described below) in shares of Charter Class A common stock having an aggregate value of \$40 million and ten-year warrants to purchase shares of Charter Class A common stock having an aggregate warrant value of \$40 million, with such values in each case being determined pursuant to formulas set forth in the Stipulations of Settlement. However, Charter had the right, in its sole discretion, to substitute cash for some or all of the aforementioned securities on a dollar for dollar basis. Pursuant to that right, Charter elected to fund the \$80 million obligation with 13.4 million shares of Charter Class A common stock (having an aggregate value of approximately \$15 million pursuant to the formula set forth in the Stipulations of Settlement) with the remaining balance (less an agreed upon \$2 million discount in respect of that portion allocable to plaintiffs' attorneys' fees) to be paid in cash. In addition, Charter had agreed to issue additional shares of its Class A common stock to its insurance carrier having an aggregate value of \$5 million; however, by agreement with its carrier, Charter paid \$4.5 million in cash in lieu of issuing such shares. Charter delivered the settlement consideration to the claims administrator on July 8, 2005, and it was held in escrow pending resolution of the appeals. Those appeals are now resolved. On July 14, 2005, the Circuit Court for the City of St. Louis dismissed with prejudice the State Derivative Actions. The claims administrator is responsible for disbursing the settlement consideration.

As part of the settlements, Charter committed to a variety of corporate governance changes, internal practices and public disclosures, all of which have already been undertaken and none of which are inconsistent with measures Charter is taking in connection with the recent conclusion of the SEC investigation.

Government Investigations

In August 2002, Charter became aware of a grand jury investigation being conducted by the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Eastern District of Missouri into certain of its accounting and reporting practices, focusing on how Charter reported customer numbers, and its reporting of amounts received from digital set-top terminal suppliers for advertising. The U.S. Attorney's Office publicly stated that Charter was not a target of the investigation. Charter was

also advised by the U.S. Attorney's Office that no current officer or member of its board of directors was a target of the investigation. On July 24, 2003, a federal grand jury charged four former officers of Charter with conspiracy and mail and wire fraud, alleging improper accounting and reporting practices focusing on revenue from digital set-top terminal suppliers and inflated customer account numbers. Each of the indicted former officers pled guilty to single conspiracy counts related to the original mail and wire fraud charges and were sentenced April 22, 2005. Charter fully cooperated with the investigation, and following the sentencings, the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Eastern District of Missouri announced that its investigation was concluded and that no further indictments would issue.

Indemnification

Charter was generally required to indemnify, under certain conditions, each of the named individual defendants in connection with the matters described above pursuant to the terms of its bylaws and (where applicable) such individual defendants' employment agreements. In accordance with these documents, in connection with the grand jury investigation, a now-settled SEC investigation and the above-described lawsuits, some of Charter's current and former directors and current and former officers were advanced certain costs and expenses incurred in connection with their defense. On February 22, 2005, Charter filed suit against four of its former officers who were indicted in the course of the grand jury investigation. These suits seek to recover the legal fees and other related expenses advanced to these individuals. One of these former officers has counterclaimed against Charter alleging, among other things, that Charter owes him additional indemnification for legal fees that Charter did not pay, and another of these former officers has counterclaimed against Charter for accrued sick leave.

Other Litigation

Charter is also party to other lawsuits and claims that arose in the ordinary course of conducting its business. In the opinion of management, after taking into account recorded liabilities, the outcome of these other lawsuits and claims are not expected to have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition, results of operations or our liquidity.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

We did not declare or pay the scheduled dividend payments on our Series A Convertible Redeemable Preferred Stock at March 31, 2005, June 30, 2005 or September 30, 2005. Accordingly, such amounts were accrued, and, since March 31, 2005, dividends have accrued at an increased rate of 7.75% of the redemption value of the shares (which totals approximately \$55 million) and will continue to accrue at that rate until accrued dividends have been paid in full. At September 30, 2005, the total accrued dividends equaled \$3 million.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.

The annual meeting of shareholders of Charter Communications, Inc. was held on August 23, 2005. Of the total 345,694,905 shares of Class A common stock issued, outstanding and eligible to be voted at the meeting, 295,439,569 shares, representing the same number of votes, were represented in person or by proxy at the meeting. Of the total 50,000 shares of Class B common stock issued, outstanding and eligible to be voted at the meeting, 50,000 shares, representing 3,391,820,310 votes, were represented in person or by proxy at the meeting. Four matters were submitted to a vote of the shareholders at the meeting.

ELECTION OF ONE CLASS A/CLASS B DIRECTOR. The holders of the Class A common stock and the Class B common stock voting together elected Robert P. May as the Class A/Class B director, to hold office for a term of one year. The voting results are set forth below:

NOMINEE	FOR	WITHHELD	BROKER NON-VOTE
Robert P. May	3,665,081,181	22,178,698	N/A

ELECTION OF TEN CLASS B DIRECTORS. The holder of the Class B common stock elected ten Class B directors to the Board of Directors, each to hold office for a term of one year. The voting results are set forth below:

NOMINEE	FOR	WITHHELD
Paul G. Allen	3,391,820,310	0
W. Lance Conn	3,391,820,310	0
Nathaniel A. Davis	3,391,820,310	0
Jonathan L. Dolgen	3,391,820,310	0
David C. Merritt	3,391,820,310	0
Marc B. Nathanson	3,391,820,310	0
Jo Allen Patton	3,391,820,310	0
Neil Smit	3,391,820,310	0

John H. Tory	3,391,820,310	0
Larry W. Wangberg	3,391,820,310	0

APPROVAL OF AMENDMENT TO 2001 STOCK INCENTIVE PLAN. The holders of the Class A common stock and the Class B common stock voting together approved an amendment to Charter's 2001 Stock Option Plan. The voting results are as follows:

FOR	AGAINST	ABSTAIN	BROKER NON-VOTE
3,477,799,591	33,515,888	453,122	N/A

RATIFICATION OF KPMG LLP AS INDEPENDENT PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS. The holders of the Class A common stock and the Class B common stock voting together ratified KPMG LLP as Charter Communications, Inc.'s independent public accountants for the year ended December 31, 2005. The voting results are set forth below:

FOR	AGAINST	ABSTAIN	BROKER NON-VOTE
3,685,147,885	1,807,367	304,627	N/A

Under the Certificate of Incorporation and Bylaws of Charter Communications, Inc. for purposes of determining whether votes have been cast, abstentions and broker "non-votes" are not counted and therefore do not have an effect on the proposals.

Item 5. Other Information.

Charter entered into an employment agreement with Sue Ann R. Hamilton, Executive Vice President, Programming, as of October 31, 2005. This agreement sets forth the terms under which Ms. Hamilton will serve as an executive of Charter. The term of this agreement is two years from the date of the agreement.

The agreement provides that Ms. Hamilton shall be employed in an executive capacity to perform such duties as are assigned or delegated by the President and Chief Executive Officer or the designee thereof. She shall be eligible to participate in Charter's incentive bonus plan that applies to senior executives, stock option plan and to receive such employee benefits as are available to other senior executives. In the event that Ms. Hamilton is terminated by Charter without "cause" or for "good reason termination," as those terms are defined in the employment agreement, Ms. Hamilton will receive her salary for the remainder of the term of the agreement or twelve months salary, whichever is greater; a pro rata bonus for the year of termination; twelve months of COBRA payments; and the vesting of options and restricted stock for as long as severance payments are made. The employment agreement contains a one-year, non-compete provision (or until the end of the term of the agreement, if longer) in a "competitive business," as such term is defined in the agreement, and two-year non-solicitation clauses. The agreement provides that Ms. Hamilton's salary shall be \$371,800.

The full text of Ms. Hamilton's employment agreement was previously filed as Exhibit 10.22 to Charter's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on November 2, 2005.

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 8-K

Current Report

Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Date of Report (Date of earliest event reported): October 31, 2005

Charter Communications, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

(State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization)

000-27927	43-1857213
<i>(Commission File Number)</i>	<i>(I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)</i>

12405 Powerscourt Drive
St. Louis, Missouri 63131

(Address of principal executive offices including zip code)

(314) 965-0555

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Not Applicable

(Former name or former address, if changed since last report)


Check the appropriate box below if the Form 8-K filing is intended to simultaneously satisfy the filing obligation of the registrant under any of the following provisions:

- Written communications pursuant to Rule 425 under the Securities Act (17 CFR 230.425)

o Soliciting material pursuant to Rule 14a-12 under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14a-12)

o Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 14d-2(b) under the Exchange Act
(17 CFR 240.14d-2(b))

o Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 13e-4(c) under the Exchange Act
(17 CFR 240.13e-4(c))



ITEM 1.01 ENTRY INTO A MATERIAL DEFINITIVE AGREEMENT.

As part of the acquisition of the cable systems owned by Bresnan Communications Company Limited Partnership in February 2000, CC VIII, LLC ("CC VIII"), Charter Communications, Inc.'s ("Charter") indirect limited liability company subsidiary, issued, after adjustments, 24,273,943 Class A preferred membership units (collectively the "CC VIII interest") with a value and an initial capital account of approximately \$630 million to certain sellers affiliated with AT&T Broadband, subsequently owned by Comcast Corporation (the "Comcast sellers"). While held by the Comcast sellers, the CC VIII interest was entitled to a 2% priority return on its initial capital account and such priority return was entitled to preferential distributions from available cash and upon liquidation of CC VIII. While held by the Comcast sellers, the CC VIII interest generally did not share in the profits and losses of CC VIII. Mr. Allen granted the Comcast sellers the right to sell to him the CC VIII interest for approximately \$630 million plus 4.5% interest annually from February 2000 (the "Comcast put right"). In April 2002, the Comcast sellers exercised the Comcast put right in full, and this transaction was consummated on June 6, 2003. Accordingly, Mr. Allen, indirectly through a company controlled by him, Charter Investment, Inc. ("CII"), became the holder of the CC VIII interest. Consequently, subject to the matters referenced in the next paragraph, Mr. Allen generally thereafter has been allocated his pro rata share (based on number of membership interests outstanding) of profits or losses of CC VIII. In the event of a liquidation of CC VIII, Mr. Allen would be entitled to a priority distribution with respect to the 2% priority return (which will continue to accrete). Any remaining distributions in liquidation would be distributed to CC V Holdings, LLC, an indirect subsidiary of Charter ("CC V"), and Mr. Allen in proportion to CC V's capital account and Mr. Allen's capital account (which will equal the initial capital account of the Comcast sellers of approximately \$630 million, increased or decreased by Mr. Allen's pro rata share of CC VIII's profits or losses (as computed for capital account purposes) after June 6, 2003). The limited liability company agreement of CC VIII does not provide for a mandatory redemption of the CC VIII interest.

An issue arose as to whether the documentation for the Bresnan transaction was correct and complete with regard to the ultimate ownership of the CC VIII interest following consummation of the Comcast put right. Specifically, under the terms of the Bresnan transaction documents that were entered into in June 1999, the Comcast sellers originally would have received, after adjustments, 24,273,943 Charter Communications Holding Company, LLC ("Charter Holdco") membership units, but due to an FCC regulatory issue raised by the Comcast sellers shortly before closing, the Bresnan transaction was modified to provide that the Comcast sellers instead would receive the preferred equity interests in CC VIII represented by the CC VIII interest. As part of the last-minute changes to the Bresnan transaction documents, a draft amended version of the Charter Holdco limited liability company agreement was prepared, and contract provisions were drafted for that agreement that would have required an automatic exchange of the CC VIII interest for 24,273,943 Charter Holdco membership units if the Comcast sellers exercised the Comcast put right and sold the CC VIII interest to Mr. Allen or his affiliates. However, the provisions that would have required this automatic exchange did not appear in the final version of the Charter Holdco limited liability company agreement that was delivered and executed at the closing of the Bresnan transaction. The law firm that prepared the documents for the Bresnan transaction brought this matter to the attention of Charter and representatives of Mr. Allen in 2002.

Thereafter, the board of directors of Charter formed a Special Committee (currently comprised of Messrs. Merritt, Tory and Wangberg) to investigate the matter and take any other appropriate action on behalf of Charter with respect to this matter. After conducting an investigation of the relevant facts and circumstances, the Special Committee determined that a "scrivener's error" had occurred in February 2000 in connection with the preparation of the last-minute revisions to the Bresnan transaction documents and that, as a result, Charter should seek reformation of the Charter Holdco limited liability company agreement, or alternative relief, in order to restore and ensure the obligation that the CC VIII interest be automatically exchanged for Charter Holdco units. The Special Committee further determined that, as part of such contract reformation or alternative relief, Mr. Allen should be required to contribute the CC VIII interest to Charter Holdco in exchange for 24,273,943 Charter Holdco membership units. The Special Committee also recommended to the board of directors of Charter that, to the extent contract reformation were achieved, the board of directors should consider whether the CC VIII interest should ultimately be held by Charter

Holdco or Charter Communications Holdings, LLC ("Charter Holdings") or another entity owned directly or indirectly by them.

Mr. Allen disagreed with the Special Committee's determinations described above and so notified the Special Committee. Mr. Allen contended that the transaction was accurately reflected in the transaction documentation and contemporaneous and subsequent company public disclosures.

The parties engaged in a process of non-binding mediation to seek to resolve this matter, without success. The Special Committee evaluated what further actions or processes to undertake to resolve this dispute. To accommodate further deliberation, each party agreed to refrain from initiating legal proceedings over this matter until it had given at least ten days' prior notice to the other. In addition, the Special Committee and Mr. Allen determined to utilize the Delaware Court of Chancery's program for mediation of complex business disputes in an effort to resolve the CC VIII interest dispute.

As of October 31, 2005, Mr. Allen, the Special Committee, Charter, Charter Holdco and certain of their affiliates, having investigated the facts and circumstances relating to the dispute involving the CC VIII interest, after consultation with counsel and other advisors, and as a result of the Delaware Chancery Court's non-binding mediation program, agreed to settle the dispute, and execute certain permanent and irrevocable releases pursuant to the Settlement Agreement and Mutual Release agreement dated October 31, 2005 (the "Settlement").

Pursuant to the Settlement, CII has retained 30% of its CC VIII interest (the "Remaining Interests"). The Remaining Interests are subject to certain drag along, tag along and transfer restrictions as detailed in the revised CC VIII Limited Liability Company Agreement. CII transferred the other 70% of the CC VIII interest directly and indirectly, through Charter Holdco, to a newly formed entity, CCHC, LLC (a direct subsidiary of Charter Holdco and the direct parent of Charter Holdings, "CCHC"). Of that other 70% of the CC VIII preferred interests, 7.4% has been transferred by CII for a subordinated exchangeable note of CCHC with an initial accreted value of \$48.2 million, accreting at 14%, compounded quarterly, with a 15-year maturity (the "Note"). The remaining 62.6% has been transferred for no consideration.

As part of the Settlement, CC VIII issued approximately 49 million additional Class B units to CC V in consideration for prior capital contributions to CC VIII by CC V, with respect to transactions that were unrelated to the dispute in connection with CII's membership units in CC VIII. As a result, Mr. Allen's pro rata share of the profits and losses of CC VIII attributable to the Remaining Interests is approximately 5.6%.

The Note is exchangeable, at CII's option, at any time, for Charter Holdco Class A Common units at a rate equal to then accreted value, divided by \$2.00 (the "Exchange Rate"). Customary anti-dilution protections have been provided that could cause future changes to the Exchange Rate. Additionally, the Charter Holdco Class A Common units received will be exchangeable by the holder into Charter common stock in accordance with existing agreements between CII, Charter and certain other parties signatory thereto. Beginning three years and four months after the closing of the Settlement, if the closing price of Charter common stock is at or above the Exchange Rate for a certain period of time as specified in the Exchange Agreement, Charter Holdco may require the exchange of the Note for Charter Holdco Class A Common units at the Exchange Rate.

CCHC has the right to redeem the Note under certain circumstances, for cash in an amount equal to the then accreted value. CCHC must redeem the Note at its maturity for cash in an amount equal to the initial stated value plus the accreted return through maturity.

The Board of Directors has determined that the transferred CC VIII interests remain at CCHC.

On November 3, 2005, the parties revised the Note to clarify certain provisions. The revised Note is filed herewith as Exhibit 10.3.

ITEM 2.03. CREATION OF A DIRECT FINANCIAL OBLIGATION OR AN OBLIGATION UNDER AN OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENT OR A REGISTRANT.

The information in Item 1.01 of this Form 8-K is hereby incorporated by reference to this Item 2.03.

ITEM 9.01 FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND EXHIBITS.

The following exhibit is filed pursuant to Item 1.01:

Exhibit Number	Description
10.1†	Settlement Agreement and Mutual Releases, dated as of October 31, 2005, by and among Charter Communications, Inc., Special Committee of the Board of Directors of Charter Communications, Inc., Charter Communications Holding Company, LLC, CCHC, LLC, CC VIII, LLC, CC V, LLC, Charter Investment, Inc., Vulcan Cable III LLC and Paul G. Allen (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.17 to the quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Charter Communications, Inc. filed on November 2, 2005 (File No. 000-27927)).
10.2	Exchange Agreement, dated as of October 31, 2005, by and among Charter Communications Holding Company, LLC, Charter Investment, Inc. and Paul G. Allen (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.18 to the quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Charter Communications, Inc. filed on November 2, 2005 (File No. 000-27927)).
10.3	CCHC, LLC Subordinated and Accreting Note, dated as of October 31, 2005 (revised) (Exhibit 10.3 not included in this Prospectus Supplement).
10.4	Third Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement for CC VIII, LLC, dated as of October 31, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.20 to the quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Charter Communications, Inc. filed on November 2, 2005 (File No. 000-27927)).
10.5	Second Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement for Charter Communications Holdings, LLC, dated as of October 31, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.21 to the quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Charter Communications, Inc. filed on November 2, 2005 (File No. 000-27927)).

† Portions of this document have been omitted pursuant to a request for confidential treatment. The omitted portions of this document have been filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

The information appearing in the table below, as of the date hereof, supersedes the information in the table appearing under the heading "Selling Securityholders" in the Prospectus:

Selling Securityholder	Convertible Senior Notes		Shares of Class A Common Stock	
	Amount of Notes Owned Before Offering	Principal Amount of Notes That May Be Sold	Shares of Class A Common Stock Owned Before Offering	Shares That May Be Sold
ADAR Investment Fund LTD	\$ 22,145,000	\$ 22,145,000	9,150,825	9,150,825
AG Offshore Convertibles, Ltd.	\$ 30,500,000	\$ 30,500,000	12,603,304	12,603,304
AHFP Context	\$ 300,000	\$ 300,000	123,966	123,966
Akanthos Arbitrage Master Fund, LP	\$ 16,000,000	\$ 16,000,000	6,611,569	6,611,569
Argent Classic Convertible Arbitrage Fund L.P.	\$ 2,590,000	\$ 2,590,000	1,070,247	1,070,247
Argent Classic Convertible Arbitrage Fund II, L.P.	\$ 350,000	\$ 350,000	144,625	144,628
Argent Classic Convertible Arbitrage Fund (Bermuda) Ltd.	\$ 7,670,000	\$ 7,670,000	3,169,421	3,169,421
Argent Opportunities Fund LLC	\$ 150,000	\$ 150,000	61,983	61,983
Banc of America Securities LLC (1)	\$ 350,000	\$ 350,000	144,628	144,628
Basso Fund Ltd.	\$ 2,392,000	\$ 2,392,000	988,429	988,429
Basso Holdings Ltd.	\$ 5,290,000	\$ 5,290,000	2,185,950	2,185,950
Basso Multi-Strategy Holding Fund Ltd.	\$ 11,290,000	\$ 11,290,000	4,665,288	4,665,288
BBT Fund, L.P.	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 3,000,000	1,239,669	1,239,669
Bear, Stearns & Co., Inc. (1)	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 1,000,000	413,223	413,223
Canyon Balanced Equity Master Fund, Ltd.	\$ 375,000	\$ 375,000	154,958	154,958
Canyon Value Realization Fund L.P.	\$ 760,000	\$ 760,000	314,049	314,049
Canyon Value Realization MAC 18, Ltd.	\$ 35,000	\$ 35,000	14,462	14,462
CC Convertible Arbitrage, Ltd.	\$ 4,950,000	\$ 4,950,000	2,045,454	2,045,454
Citadel Equity Fund Ltd.	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 10,000,000	4,132,231	4,132,231
Citigroup Global Markets, Inc.(1)	\$ 23,751,000	\$ 23,751,000	9,814,461	9,814,461
Citigroup Global Markets Ltd.(1)	\$ 141,387,000	\$ 141,387,000	58,424,374	58,424,374
CNH CA Master Account, L.P.	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 1,500,000	619,834	619,834
Concentrated Alpha Partners, L.P.	\$ 1,050,000	\$ 1,050,000	433,884	433,884
Context Convertible Arbitrage Fund, LP	\$ 1,725,000	\$ 1,725,000	712,809	712,809
Context Convertible Arbitrage Offshore, Ltd.	\$ 5,400,000	\$ 5,400,000	2,231,404	2,231,404
Corporate High Yield III, Inc.	\$ 945,000	\$ 945,000	390,495	390,495

Edgar Filing: CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS INC /MO/ - Form 424B3

Corporate High Yield V, Inc.	\$ 1,555,000	\$ 1,555,000	642,561	642,561
Corporate High Yield VI, Inc.	\$ 1,625,000	\$ 1,625,000	671,487	671,487
Corporate High Yield Fund, Inc.	\$ 875,000	\$ 875,000	361,570	361,570
Credit Suisse First Boston Europe LTD (1)	\$ 25,000,000	\$ 25,000,000	10,330,577	10,330,577
Credit Suisse First Boston LLC (1)	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 3,000,000	1,239,669	1,239,669
CSS, LLC	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 1,500,000	619,834	619,834
DBAG London	\$ 13,600,000	\$ 13,600,000	5,619,834	5,619,834
D.E. Shaw Investment Group, L.L.C.	\$ 400,000	\$ 400,000	165,289	165,289
D.E. Shaw Valence Portfolios, L.L.C.	\$ 3,100,000	\$ 3,100,000	1,280,991	1,280,991
Deephaven Domestic Convertible Trading Ltd.	\$ 4,650,000	\$ 4,650,000	1,921,487	1,921,487
Delaware Delchester Fund	\$ 1,080,000	\$ 1,080,000	446,280	446,280
Delaware Diversified Income Fund	\$ 415,000	\$ 415,000	171,487	171,487
Delaware Dividend Income Fund	\$ 500,000	\$ 500,000	206,611	206,611
Delaware Group Equity Funds				
I-Delaware Balanced Fund Series	\$ 10,000	\$ 10,000	4,132	4,132
Delaware High-Yield Opportunities Fund	\$ 290,000	\$ 290,000	119,834	119,834
Delaware VIP Diversified Income Series	\$ 45,000	\$ 45,000	18,595	18,595
Delaware VIP High Yield Series	\$ 655,000	\$ 655,000	270,661	270,661
Deutsche Bank Securities Inc. (1)	\$ 4,584,000	\$ 4,584,000	1,894,214	1,894,214
Dividend & Income Fund	\$ 165,000	\$ 165,000	68,181	68,181
DKR Saturn Multi-Strategy Holding Fund Ltd.	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 2,000,000	826,446	826,446
Duma Master Fund, L.P.	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 1,000,000	413,223	413,223
Edge Investment Master Fund, LTD	\$ 3,500	\$ 3,500	1,446	1,446
Eton Park Fund, L.P.	\$ 1,872,000	\$ 1,872,000	773,553	773,553
Eton Park Master Fund, Ltd.	\$ 2,928,000	\$ 2,928,000	1,209,917	1,209,917
Fidelity Advisor Series II: Fidelity Advisor High Income Advantage Fund (2)	\$ 22,580,000	\$ 22,580,000	9,330,578	9,330,578
Fidelity Financial Trust: Fidelity Convertible Securities Fund (2)	\$ 11,300,000	\$ 11,300,000	4,669,421	4,669,421
Fidelity Financial Trust: Fidelity Strategic Dividend & Income Fund (2)	\$ 700,000	\$ 700,000	289,256	289,256
Fidelity Management Trust Company on behalf of funds and accounts managed by it (3)	\$ 7,420,000	\$ 7,420,000	3,066,115	3,066,115
Finch Tactical Plus Class B	\$ 200,000	\$ 200,000	82,644	82,644
Fore Convertible Master Fund, Ltd.	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 1,500,000	619,834	619,834
Fore ERISA Fund, Ltd.	\$ 179,000	\$ 179,000	73,966	73,966
Fore Multi Strategy Master Fund, Ltd.	\$ 350,000	\$ 350,000	144,628	144,628
Fore Opportunity Fund, LP	\$ 69,000	\$ 69,000	28,512	28,512
Fore Opportunity Offshore Fund, Ltd	\$ 231,000	\$ 231,000	95,454	95,454

Geode U.S. Convertible Arbitrage Fund, aggregated account of Geode Capital Master Fund Ltd.	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 3,000,000	1,239,669	1,239,669
Global Dividend & Income Fund	\$ 40,000	\$ 40,000	16,528	16,528
Goldman Sachs & Co. (1)	\$ 47,313,000	\$ 47,313,000	19,550,824	19,550,824
Grace Brothers, Ltd.	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 1,500,000	619,834	619,834
Greywolf Capital Overseas Fund	\$ 6,475,000	\$ 6,475,000	2,675,619	2,675,619
Greywolf Capital Partners II LP	\$ 1,525,000	\$ 1,525,000	630,165	630,165
Greywolf High Yield Master Fund	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 2,000,000	826,446	826,446
Guggenheim Portfolio Company VIII (Cayman), Ltd.	\$ 1,138,000	\$ 1,138,000	470,247	470,247
HFR RVA Combined Master Trust	\$ 125,000	\$ 125,000	51,652	51,652
Highbridge International LLC	\$ 36,520,000	\$ 36,520,000	15,090,907	15,090,907
Institutional Benchmarks Master Fund, Ltd.	\$ 35,000	\$ 35,000	14,462	14,462
Kamunting Street Master Fund, LTD	\$ 22,500,000	\$ 22,500,000	9,297,519	9,297,519
KBC Financial Products USA Inc.	\$ 4,885,000	\$ 4,885,000	2,018,594	2,018,594
KDC Convertible Arbfund L.P.	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 2,000,000	826,446	826,446
Laurel Ridge Capital, LP	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 5,000,000	2,066,115	2,066,115
LDG Limited	\$ 322,000	\$ 322,000	133,057	133,057
Lincoln National Life Insurance Company Separate Account 20	\$ 590,000	\$ 590,000	243,801	243,801
Lyxor/ Context Fund Ltd.	\$ 1,075,000	\$ 1,075,000	444,214	444,214
Man Mac I, Limited	\$ 3,391,000	\$ 3,391,000	1,401,239	1,401,239
Marathon Global Convertible Master Fund Ltd.	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 1,500,000	619,834	619,834
Merced Partners Limited Partnership	\$ 2,500,000	\$ 2,500,000	1,033,057	1,033,057
Merrill Lynch Bond High Income Fund (1)	\$ 9,000,000	\$ 9,000,000	3,719,007	3,719,007
Merrill Lynch Global Investment Series: Income Strategies Fund (1)	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 5,000,000	2,066,115	2,066,115
MLIF US High Yield Fund, Inc. (1)	\$ 500,000	\$ 500,000	206,611	206,611
ML Master US High Yield Fund, Inc. (1)	\$ 2,200,000	\$ 2,200,000	909,090	909,090
Mohican VCA Master Fund, Ltd.	\$ 500,000	\$ 500,000	206,611	206,611
Morgan Stanley Convertible Securities Trust	\$ 800,000	\$ 800,000	330,578	330,578
MSS Convertible Arbitrage 1 c/o TQA Investors, LLC	\$ 31,000	\$ 31,000	12,809	12,809
National Bank of Canada	\$ 700,000	\$ 700,000	289,256	289,256
Openheimer Convertible Securities Fund	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 1,000,000	413,223	413,223
Optimum Fixed Income Fund	\$ 65,000	\$ 65,000	26,859	26,859
PIMCO Convertible Fund	\$ 275,000	\$ 275,000	113,636	113,636
President and Fellows of Harvard College	\$ 350,000	\$ 350,000	144,628	144,628
PSEG Master Employee Benefit Plan Trust	\$ 200,000	\$ 200,000	82,644	82,644
Putnam Convertible Income-Growth Trust	\$ 8,425,000	\$ 8,425,000	3,481,404	3,481,404
Putnam High Income Bond Fund	\$ 2,075,000	\$ 2,075,000	857,437	857,437

Edgar Filing: CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS INC /MO/ - Form 424B3

Quattro Fund Ltd.	\$	1,250,000	\$	1,250,000	516,528	516,528
Raytheon Savings & Investment Plan Trust	\$	150,000	\$	150,000	61,983	61,983
Regiment Capital, Ltd.	\$	650,000	\$	650,000	268,595	268,595
Ritchie Capital Structure Arbitrage Trading, Ltd.	\$	12,000,000	\$	12,000,000	4,958,677	4,958,677
Royal Bank of Canada (Norshield) (1)	\$	100,000	\$	100,000	41,322	41,322
Royal Bank of Ontario	\$	2,000,000	\$	2,000,000	826,446	826,446
Salomon Brothers Asset Management, Inc. (1)	\$	9,350,000	\$	9,350,000	3,863,635	3,863,635
Saranac Capital Management L.P. on behalf of Citigroup Alternative Investments Diversified Arbitrage Strategies Fund Ltd.	\$	357,000	\$	357,000	147,520	147,520
Saranac Capital Management L.P. on behalf of Citigroup Alternative Investments Enhanced Arbitrage Strategies Fund	\$	65,000	\$	65,000	26,859	26,859
Saranac Capital Management L.P. on behalf of Citigroup Alternative Investments QIP Multi Strategy Arbitrage Portfolio	\$	1,692,000	\$	1,692,000	699,173	699,173
Saranac Capital Management L.P. on behalf of Saranac Erisa Arbitrage LTD	\$	698,000	\$	698,000	288,429	288,429
Saranac Capital Management L.P. on behalf of Saranac Erisa Arbitrage LP	\$	48,000	\$	48,000	19,834	19,834
Saranac Capital Management L.P. on behalf of Saranac Arbitrage LTD	\$	40,000	\$	40,000	16,528	16,528
Satellite Asset Management, L.P.	\$	12,300,000	\$	12,300,000	5,082,644	5,082,644
Severn River Master Fund, Ltd.	\$	8,000,000	\$	8,000,000	3,305,784	3,305,784
Sphinx Convertible Arbitrage Fund SPC	\$	350,000	\$	350,000	144,628	144,628
Sphinx Fund c/o TQA Investors, LLC	\$	496,000	\$	496,000	204,958	204,958
Sphinx Special Situations Fund SPC	\$	35,000	\$	35,000	14,462	14,462
SRI Fund, L.P.	\$	180,000	\$	180,000	74,380	74,380
St. Albans Partners Ltd.	\$	1,000,000	\$	1,000,000	413,223	413,223
Sturgeon Limited	\$	71,000	\$	71,000	29,338	29,338
Susquehanna Capital Group	\$	6,980,000	\$	6,980,000	2,884,297	2,884,297
SuttonBrook Capital Portfolio LP	\$	12,500,000	\$	12,500,000	5,165,288	5,165,288
Tamarack International, Ltd.	\$	2,500,000	\$	2,500,000	1,033,057	1,033,057
Tempo Master Fund, LP	\$	2,000,000	\$	2,000,000	826,446	826,446
Tenor Opportunity Master Fund Ltd.	\$	4,200,000	\$	4,200,000	1,735,537	1,735,537
The Canyon Value Realization Fund (Cayman) Ltd.	\$	2,260,000	\$	2,260,000	933,884	933,884
The High-Yield Bond Portfolio	\$	15,000	\$	15,000	6,198	6,198
TQA Master Fund, Ltd.	\$	2,836,000	\$	2,836,000	1,171,900	1,171,900
TQA Master Plus Fund, Ltd.	\$	4,551,000	\$	4,551,000	1,880,578	1,880,578

Tribeca Global Convertible Investments LTD	\$	12,000,000	\$	12,000,000	4,958,677	4,958,677
UBS AG London Branch	\$	45,500,000	\$	45,500,000	18,801,651	18,801,651
UBS AG London F/ B/ O HFS	\$	5,000,000	\$	5,000,000	2,066,115	2,066,115
UBS O'Connor LLC F/B/O O'Connor Global Convertible Arbitrage Master Limited	\$	6,000,000	\$	6,000,000	2,479,338	2,479,338
Univest Convertible Arbitrage Fund II Ltd. (Norshield)	\$	100,000	\$	100,000	41,322	41,322
Van Kampen Harbor Fund	\$	1,200,000	\$	1,200,000	495,867	495,867
Whitebox Convertible Arbitrage Partners, L.P.	\$	3,000,000	\$	3,000,000	1,239,669	1,239,669
Whitebox Hedged High Yield Partners, L.P.	\$	1,000,000	\$	1,000,000	413,223	413,223
White River Securities LLC	\$	1,000,000	\$	1,000,000	413,223	413,223
Wolverine Convertible Arbitrage Fund Limited	\$	250,000	\$	250,000	103,305	103,305
World Income Fund, Inc.	\$	800,000	\$	800,000	330,578	330,578
Yield Strategies Fund I, L.P.	\$	500,000	\$	500,000	206,611	206,611
Yield Strategies Fund II, L.P.	\$	500,000	\$	500,000	206,611	206,611
Zurich Institutional Benchmarks Master Fund Ltd. c/o TQA Investors, LLC	\$	696,000	\$	696,000	287,603	287,603

(1) These entities and/or their affiliates have provided, and may from time to time provide, investment banking services to Charter Communications, Inc. and its subsidiaries, including, among other things, acting as lead and/or co-manager with respect to offerings of debt and equity securities.

(2) The entity is a registered investment fund (the "Fund") advised by Fidelity Management & Research Company ("FMR Co."), a registered investment adviser under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, as amended. FMR Co., 82 Devonshire Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02109, a wholly-owned subsidiary of FMR Corp. and an investment adviser registered under Section 203 of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, is the beneficial owner of 14,961,471 shares (not including the shares into which the notes are convertible) of the Common Stock outstanding of the Company as a result of acting as investment adviser to various investment companies registered under Section 8 of the Investment Company Act of 1940.

Edward C. Johnson 3d, FMR Corp., through its control of FMR Co., and the Fund each has sole power to dispose of the Securities owned by the Fund.

Neither FMR Corp. nor Edward C. Johnson 3d, Chairman of FMR Corp., has the sole power to vote or direct the voting of the shares owned directly by the Fund, which power resides with the Fund's Board of Trustees.

The Fund is an affiliate of a broker-dealer. The Fund purchased the Securities in the ordinary course of business and, at the time of the purchase of the Securities to be resold, the Fund did not have any agreements or understandings, directly or indirectly, with any person to distribute the notes or conversion shares.

(3) Shares indicated as owned by such entity are owned directly by various private investment accounts, primarily employee benefit plans for which Fidelity Management Trust Company ("FMTTC") serves as trustee or managing agent. FMTTC is a wholly-owned subsidiary of FMR Corp. and a bank as defined in Section 3(a)(6) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. FMTTC is the beneficial owner of 0 shares (not including the shares into which the

notes are convertible) of the Common Stock outstanding of the Company as a result of its serving as investment manager of the institutional account(s).

Edward C. Johnson 3d and FMR Corp., through its control of Fidelity Management Trust Company, each has sole dispositive power over 0 shares and sole power to vote or to direct the voting of 0 shares of Common Stock owned by the institutional account(s) as reported above.

If, after the date of this prospectus, a securityholder notifies us pursuant to the registration rights agreement of its intent to dispose of convertible senior notes pursuant to the registration statement, we may supplement this prospectus to include that information.