EATON VANCE INSURED MUNICIPAL BOND FUND Form N-CSR December 07, 2006

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM N-CSR

CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act file number 811-21142

Eaton Vance Insured Municipal Bond Fund (Exact name of registrant as specified in charter)

The Eaton Vance Building, 255 State Street, Boston, Massachusetts (Address of principal executive offices)

02109 (Zip code)

Alan R. Dynner

The Eaton Vance Building, 255 State Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02109 (Name and address of agent for service)

Registrant s telephone number, including area code: (617) 482-8260

Date of fiscal year end:September 30

Date of reporting period: September 30, 2006

Item 1. Reports to Stockholders

Annual Report September 30, 2006

EATON VANCE INSURED MUNICIPAL BOND FUNDS

| CLOSED-END FUNDS: | |
|--------------------|--|
| Insured Municipal | |
| Insured California | |
| Insured New York | |

IMPORTANT NOTICES REGARDING PRIVACY, DELIVERY OF SHAREHOLDER DOCUMENTS, PORTFOLIO HOLDINGS AND PROXY VOTING

Privacy. The Eaton Vance organization is committed to ensuring your financial privacy. Each of the financial institutions identified below has in effect the following policy ("Privacy Policy") with respect to nonpublic personal information about its customers:

Only such information received from you, through application forms or otherwise, and information about your Eaton Vance fund transactions will be collected. This may include information such as name, address, social security number, tax status, account balances and transactions.

None of such information about you (or former customers) will be disclosed to anyone, except as permitted by law (which includes disclosure to employees necessary to service your account). In the normal course of servicing a customer's account, Eaton Vance may share information with unaffiliated third parties that perform various required services such as transfer agents, custodians and broker/dealers.

Policies and procedures (including physical, electronic and procedural safeguards) are in place that are designed to protect the confidentiality of such information.

We reserve the right to change our Privacy Policy at any time upon proper notification to you. Customers may want to review our Policy periodically for changes by accessing the link on our homepage: www.eatonvance.com.

Our pledge of privacy applies to the following entities within the Eaton Vance organization: the Eaton Vance Family of Funds, Eaton Vance Management, Eaton Vance Investment Counsel, Boston Management and Research, and Eaton Vance Distributors, Inc.

In addition, our Privacy Policy only applies to those Eaton Vance customers who are individuals and who have a direct relationship with us. If a customer's account (i.e. fund shares) is held in the name of a third-party financial adviser/broker-dealer, it is likely that only such adviser's privacy policies apply to the customer. This notice supersedes all previously issued privacy disclosures.

For more information about Eaton Vance's Privacy Policy, please call 1-800-262-1122.

Delivery of Shareholder Documents. The Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") permits funds to deliver only one copy of shareholder documents, including prospectuses, proxy statements and shareholder reports, to fund investors with multiple accounts at the same residential or post office box address. This practice is often called "householding" and it helps eliminate duplicate mailings to shareholders.

Eaton Vance, or your financial adviser, may household the mailing of your documents indefinitely unless you instruct Eaton Vance, or your financial adviser, otherwise.

If you would prefer that your Eaton Vance documents not be householded, please contact Eaton Vance at 1-800-262-1122, or contact your financial adviser.

Your instructions that householding not apply to delivery of your Eaton Vance documents will be effective within 30 days of receipt by Eaton Vance or your financial adviser.

Portfolio Holdings. Each Eaton Vance Fund and its underlying Portfolio (if applicable) will file a schedule of its portfolio holdings on Form N-Q with the SEC for the first and third quarters of each fiscal year. The Form N-Q will be available on the Eaton Vance website www.eatonvance.com, by calling Eaton Vance at 1-800-262-1122 or in the EDGAR database on the SEC's website at www.sec.gov. Form N-Q may also be reviewed and copied at the SEC's public reference room in Washington, D.C. (call 1-800-732-0330 for information on the operation of the public reference room).

Proxy Voting. From time to time, funds are required to vote proxies related to the securities held by the funds. The Eaton Vance Funds or their underlying Portfolios (if applicable) vote proxies according to a set of policies and procedures approved by the Funds' and Portfolios' Boards. You may obtain a description of these policies and procedures and information on how the Funds or Portfolios voted proxies relating to Portfolio securities during the most recent 12 month period ended June 30, without charge, upon request, by calling 1-800-262-1122. This description is also available on the SEC's website at www.sec.gov.

Eaton Vance Insured Municipal Bond Funds as of September 30, 2006

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Management s Discussion of Performance | 2 |
|---|-------------|
| Performance Information and Portfolio Composition | |
| Insured Municipal Bond Fund Insured California Municipal Bond Fund Insured New York Municipal Bond Fund | 3 4 5 |
| Financial Statements | 6 |
| Federal Tax Information | 33 |
| Dividend Reinvestment Plan | 34 |
| Board of Trustees Annual Approval of the Investment Advisory Agreements | 36 |
| Management and Organization | 39 |
| 1 | |

Eaton Vance Insured Municipal Bond Funds as of September 30, 2006

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION OF PERFORMANCE

Eaton Vance Insured Municipal Bond Funds (the Funds) are designed to provide current income exempt from regular federal income tax, federal alternative minimum tax in state specific funds and state personal income taxes. The Funds invest primarily in high-grade municipal securities that are insured as to the timely payment of principal and interest.

Economic and Market Conditions

Third quarter economic growth slowed to 1.6%, following the 2.6% growth rate achieved in the second quarter. With higher mortgage rates in the market, led largely by the persistent Federal Reserve (the Fed) tightening, the housing market continued to soften, with building permits and existing home sales leading the way. However, energy prices declined significantly in the quarter, somewhat offsetting the impact of a weakening housing market. The economy continued to create jobs over the period, with the unemployment rate standing at 4.6% as of September 30, 2006.

Inflation expectations moderated with the lower energy prices, although the core Consumer Price Index measured on a year-over-year basis has demonstrated a slow but steady rise. The Fed, which raised short-term rates 17 times since June 2004, is currently in a pausing mode, awaiting further economic inputs to determine the future direction of interest rate moves. At September 30, 2006, the Federal Funds rate stood at 5.25%.

Municipal market supply for the first half of the year was lower than that experienced in 2005. As a result, municipals have generally outperformed Treasury bonds for the year ended September 30, 2006, as demand has remained strong. At September 30, 2006, long-term AAA-rated, insured municipal bonds yielded 90% of U.S. Treasury bonds with similar maturities.*

For the year ended September 30, 2006, the Lehman Brothers Municipal Bond Index (the Index), an unmanaged index of municipal bonds, posted a gain of 4.45%. For more information about each Fund s performance and that of funds in the same Lipper Classification, see the Performance Information and Portfolio Composition pages that follow.

Management Discussion

The Funds invest primarily in bonds with stated maturities of 10 years or longer, as longer-maturity bonds historically have provided greater tax-exempt income for investors than shorter-maturity bonds. Given the flattening of the yield curve for other fixed-income securities over the past 18 months—with shorter-maturity yields rising more than longer-maturity yields—management felt that the long end of the municipal curve was a relatively attractive place to be positioned. However, given the leveraged nature of the Funds, rising short-term rates have increased the borrowing costs associated with the leverage. As borrowing costs have risen, the income generated by the Funds has declined. Please see the Performance Information and Portfolio Composition pages that follow for a description of each Fund—s leverage as of September 30, 2006.

Because of the mixed economic backdrop of contained inflation expectations, a weakened housing market and continued growth in the labor market, Fund management continued to maintain a somewhat cautious outlook on interest rates. In this environment, Fund management continued to focus on finding relative value within the marketplace in issuer names, coupons, maturities and sectors. Relative value trading, which seeks to capitalize on undervalued securities, has enhanced the Funds returns during the period.

* Source: Bloomberg L.P. Yields are a compilation of a representative variety of general obligations and are notnecessarily representative of a Fund s yield.

It is not possible to invest directly in an Index or Lipper Classification. The Index s total return does not reflect expenses that would have been incurred if an investor individually purchased or sold the securities represented in the Index. Past performance is no guarantee of future results.

Fund shares are not insured by the FDIC and are not deposits or other obligations of, or guaranteed by, any depository institution. Shares are subject to investment risks, including possible loss of principal invested.

The views expressed throughout this report are those of the portfolio managers and are current only through the end of the period of the report

as stated on the cover. These views are subject to change at any time based upon market or other conditions, and the investment adviser disclaims any responsibility to update such views. These views may not be relied on as investment advice and, because investment decisions for a fund are based on many factors, may not be relied on as an indication of trading intent on behalf of any Eaton Vance fund.

Eaton Vance Insured Municipal Bond Fund as of September 30, 2006

PERFORMANCE INFORMATION AND PORTFOLIO COMPOSITION

Fund Performance as of 9/30/06(1)

| Average Annual Total Return (by share price, American Stock Exchange) | |
|--|--------|
| One Year | 7.32 % |
| Life of Fund (8/30/02) | 8.03 |
| | |
| Average Annual Total Return (by net asset value) | |
| One Year | 10.21% |
| Life of Fund (8/30/02) | 9.21 |
| Market Yields | |
| Market Yield(2) | 5.07 % |
| Taxable Equivalent Market Yield(3) | 7.80 |
| Index Performance(4) | |
| The product of the state of the | |

| Lehman Brothers Municipal Bond Index - Average Annual Total Returns | |
|---|--------|
| One Year | 4.45 % |
| Life of Fund (8/31/02) | 4.72 |

Lipper Averages(5)

| Lipper Insured Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) Classification - Average Annual Total Returns | |
|---|--------|
| One Year | 5.12 % |
| Life of Fund (8/31/02) | 5.55 |

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or share price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Fund performance during certain periods reflects the strong bond market performance and/or the strong performance of bonds held during those periods. This performance is not typical and may not be repeated. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, the Fund s current performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return.

Portfolio Manager: Robert B. MacIntosh, CFA

Rating Distribution(6), (7)

By total investments

Fund Statistics(7)

| • | Number of Issues: | 118 |
|---|-----------------------------|------------|
| • | Average Maturity: | 28.7 years |
| • | Average Effective Maturity: | 11.9 years |
| • | Duration: | 7.7 years |
| • | Average Rating: | AAA |
| • | Average Call Protection: | 9.1 years |
| • | Average Dollar Price: | \$92.99 |
| • | Leverage:* | 35% |

^{*} The leverage amount is a percentage of the Fund s total assets. The Fund uses leverage through the issuance of preferred shares. Use of financial leverage creates an opportunity for increased income but, at the same time, creates special risks (including the likelihood of greater volatility of net asset value and market price of common shares).

- (1) Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in share price or net asset value with all distributions reinvested. The Fund's performance at market share price will differ from its results at NAV. Although share price performance generally reflects investment results over time, during shorter periods, returns at share price can also be affected by factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for the Fund's shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Performance results reflect the effect of leverage resulting from the Fund's issuance of Auction Preferred Shares.
- (2) The Fund s market yield is calculated by dividing the last dividend per share of the fiscal year by the share price at the end of the period and annualizing the result.
- (3) Taxable-equivalent figure assumes a maximum 35.00% federal income tax rate. A lower tax rate would result in a lower tax-equivalent figure.
- (4) It is not possible to invest directly in an Index. The Index s total return does not reflect the expenses that would have been incurred if an investor individually purchased or sold the securities represented in the Index. Index performance is available as of month-end only.

(5) The Lipper Averages are the average total returns at net asset value of the funds that are in the same Lipper Classification as the Fund. It is not possible to invest in a Lipper Classification. Lipper Classifications may include insured and uninsured funds, as well as leveraged and unlever-aged funds. The Lipper Insured Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) Classification (closed end) contained 26 and 23 funds for the 1-year and Life-Of-Fund time periods, respectively. Lipper Averages are available as of month-end only

(6) As of 9/30/06. Rating Distribution is determined by dividing the total market value of the issues by the total investments of the Fund.

(7) As of 9/30/06. Fund information may not be representative of the Fund s current or future investments and may change due to active management.

Eaton Vance Insured California Municipal Bond Fund as of September 30, 2006

PERFORMANCE INFORMATION AND PORTFOLIO COMPOSITION

Fund Performance as of 9/30/06(1)

| Average Annual Total Return (by share price, American Stock Exchange) | |
|---|--------|
| One Year | 12.58% |
| Life of Fund (8/30/02) | 7.15 |
| | |
| Average Annual Total Return (by net asset value) | |
| One Year | 9.85 % |
| Life of Fund (8/30/02) | 7.92 |

Market Yields

| Market Yield(2) | 5.01 % |
|------------------------------------|--------|
| Taxable Equivalent Market Yield(3) | 8.50 |

Index Performance(4)

| Lehman Brothers Municipal Bond Index - Average Annual Total Returns | |
|---|--------|
| One Year | 4.45 % |
| Life of Fund (8/31/02) | 4.72 |

Lipper Averages(5)

| Lipper California Insured Municipal Debt Funds Classification - Average Annual Total Returns | |
|--|--------|
| One Year | 5.80 % |
| Life of Fund (8/31/02) | 5.64 |

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or share price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Fund performance during certain periods reflects the strong bond market performance and/or the strong performance of bonds held during those periods. This performance is not typical and may not be repeated. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, the Fund s current performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return.

Portfolio Manager: Cynthia J. Clemson

Rating Distribution(6), (7)

By total investments

Fund Statistics(7)

| • | Number of Issues: | 92 |
|---|-----------------------------|------------|
| • | Average Maturity: | 26.3 years |
| • | Average Effective Maturity: | 8.9 years |
| • | Duration: | 5.7 years |
| • | Average Rating: | AAA |
| • | Average Call Protection: | 8.1 years |
| • | Average Dollar Price: | \$94.56 |
| • | Leverage:* | 37% |

^{*} The leverage amount is a percentage of the Fund s total assets. The Fund uses leverage through the issuance of preferred shares. Use of financial leverage cre—ates an opportunity for increased income but, at the same time, creates special risks (including the likelihood of greater volatility of net asset value and market price of common shares).

- (1) Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in share price or net asset value with all distributions reinvested. The Fund s performance at market share price will differ from its results at NAV. Although share price performance generally reflects investment results over time, during shorter periods, returns at share price can also be affected by factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for the Fund s shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Performance results reflect the effect of leverage resulting from the Fund s issuance of Auction Preferred Shares.
- (2) The Fund s market yield is calculated by dividing the last dividend per share of the fiscal year by the share price at the end of the period and annualizing the result.
- (3) Taxable-equivalent figure assumes a maximum 41.05% combined federal and state income tax rate. A lower tax rate would result in a lower tax-equivalent figure.
- (4) It is not possible to invest directly in an Index. The Index s total return does not reflect the expenses that would have been incurred if an investor individually purchased or sold the securities represented in the Index. Index performance is available as of month-end only.
- (5) The Lipper Averages are the average total returns at net asset value of the funds that are in the same Lipper Classification as the Fund. It is not possible to invest in a Lipper Classification. Lipper Classifications may include insured and uninsured funds, as well as leveraged and unleveraged funds. The Lipper California Insured Municipal Debt Funds Classification (closed end) contained 13 and 10 funds for the 1-year and Life-Of-Fund time periods, respectively. Lipper Averages are available as of month-end only.

(6) As of 9/30/06. Rating Distribution is determined by dividing the total market value of the issues by the total investments of the Fund.

(7) As of 9/30/06. Fund information may not be representative of the Fund s current or future investments and may change due to active management.

Eaton Vance Insured New York Municipal Bond Fund as of September 30, 2006

PERFORMANCE INFORMATION AND PORTFOLIO COMPOSITION

Fund Performance as of 9/30/06(1)

| 12.95% |
|--------|
| 6.72 |
| |
| |
| 8.41 % |
| 7.58 |
| |

Market Yields

| Market Yield(2) | 5.02 %(4) |
|------------------------------------|-----------|
| Taxable Equivalent Market Yield(3) | 8.37 (4) |

Index Performance(5)

| Lehman Brothers Municipal Bond Index- Average Annual Total Returns | |
|--|--------|
| One Year | 4.45 % |
| Life of Fund (8/31/02) | 4.72 |

Lipper Averages(6)

| Lipper New York Insured Municipal Debt Funds Classification - Average Annual Total Returns | |
|--|--------|
| One Year | 4.88 % |
| Life of Fund (8/31/02) | 5.66 |

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or share price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Fund performance during certain periods reflects the strong bond market performance and/or the strong performance of bonds held during those periods. This performance is not typical and may not be repeated. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, the Fund s current performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return.

Portfolio Manager: Craig R. Brandon, CFA

Rating Distribution(7), (8)

By total investments

Fund Statistics(8)

| • | Number of Issues: | 62 |
|---|-----------------------------|------------|
| • | Average Maturity: | 27.0 years |
| • | Average Effective Maturity: | 8.5 years |
| • | Duration: | 5.9 |
| • | Average Rating: | AAA |
| • | Average Call Protection: | 8.4 years |
| • | Average Dollar Price: | \$97.35 |
| • | Leverage:* | 37% |

^{*} The leverage amount is a percentage of the Fund s total assets. The Fund uses leverage through the issuance of preferred shares. Use of financial leverage creates an opportunity for increased income but, at the same time, creates special risks (including the likelihood of greater volatility of net asset value and market price of common shares).

- (1) Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in share price or net asset value with all distributions reinvested. The Fund's performance at market share price will differ from its results at NAV. Although share price performance generally reflects investment results over time, during shorter periods, returns at share price can also be affected by factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for the Fund's shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Performance results reflect the effect of leverage resulting from the Fund's issuance of Auction Preferred Shares.
- (2) The Fund s market yield is calculated by dividing the last dividend per share of the fiscal year by the share price at the end of the fiscal year and annualizing the result.
- (3) Taxable-equivalent figure assumes a maximum 40.01% combined federal and state income tax rate. A lower tax rate would result in a lower tax-equivalent figure.
- (4) The dividend declared on October 31, 2006 reflects a reduction of the monthly dividend of \$0.001667 per share.
- (5) It is not possible to invest directly in an Index. The Index s total return does not reflect the expenses that would have been incurred if an investor individually purchased or sold the securities represented in the Index. Index performance is available as of month-end only.
- (6) The Lipper Averages are the average total returns at net asset value of the funds that are in the same Lipper Classification as the Fund. It is not possible to invest in a Lipper Classification. Lipper Classifications may include insured and uninsured funds, as well as leveraged and unleveraged funds. The Lipper New York Insured Municipal

Debt Funds Classification (closed end) contained 12 and 9 funds for the 1-year and Life-Of-Fund time periods, respectively. Lipper Averages are available as of month-end only.

- (7) As of 9/30/06. Rating Distribution is determined by dividing the total market value of the issues by the total investments of the Fund.
- (8) As of 9/30/06. Fund information may not be representative of the Fund s current or future investments and may change due to active management.

Eaton Vance Insured Municipal Bond Fund as of September 30, 2006

PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS

| Tax-Exempt Investments 160 | .2% | | |
|----------------------------------|----------------|---|------------------------|
| Principal Amount (000's omitted) | | Security | Value |
| Electric Utilities 1.0% | | Security | , and |
| | | Sabine River Authority, TX, (TXU Energy Co. LLC), | |
| \$ | 10,300 | 5.20%, 5/1/28 | \$ 10,673,066 |
| | | | \$ 10,673,066 |
| Escrowed / Prerefunded 0.2% | | | |
| | | New York City, NY, Prerefunded to 1/15/13, | |
| \$ | 1,885 | 5.25%, 1/15/33 | \$ 2,065,677 |
| | | | \$ 2,065,677 |
| General Obligations 7.7% | | | |
| \$ | 28,400 | California, 4.75%, 9/1/35 | \$ 28,862,920 |
| | 12,500 | California, 5.25%, 4/1/30 | 13,148,625 |
| | 3,750 | California, 5.25%, 4/1/34 | 3,998,737 |
| | 13,250 | California, 5.50%, 11/1/33 | 14,568,772 |
| | | New York City, NY, | |
| | 17,615 | 5.25%, 1/15/33 | 18,632,619 |
| | | | \$ 79,211,673 |
| Hospital 11.9% | | Brevard County, FL, | |
| | | Health Facilities Authority, | |
| \$ | 8,000 | (Health First, Inc.), 5.00%, 4/1/36 California Statewide Communities | \$ 8,250,320 |
| | | Development Authority, (John Muir Health), | |
| | 10,000 | 5.00%, 8/15/36 | 10,342,300 |
| | | California Statewide Communities Development Authority, | |
| | 16,375 | (Kaiser Permanente), 5.00%, 3/1/41 | 16,860,519 |
| | | California Statewide Communities Development Authority, | |
| | 27,150 | (Kaiser Permanente), 5.25%, 3/1/45 | 28,623,973 |
| | | Camden County, NJ, Improvement Authority, | |
| | 1,225 | (Cooper Health System), 5.00%, 2/15/25 | 1,260,831 |
| | | Camden County, NJ, Improvement Authority, | |
| | 2.410 | (Cooper Health System), | 0.660.407 |
| | 2,610 2,500 | 5.00%, 2/15/35 Camden County, NJ, | 2,662,487 2,623,300 |
| | _,000 | Improvement Authority, | _,,000 |

| | | (Cooper Health System), | |
|---|-------------------------|--|---|
| | | 5.25%, 2/15/27 Camden County, NJ, Improvement Authority, | |
| | 6.200 | (Cooper Health System), | (((2 922 |
| | 6,200 | 5.75%, 2/15/34 Cuyahoga County, OH, (Cleveland Clinic Health System), | 6,663,822 |
| | 2,600 | 5.50%, 1/1/29 | 2,781,844 |
| | | Erie County, OH, Hospital Facilities, (Firelands Regional | |
| | 1,995 | Medical Center), 5.00%, 8/15/36 | 2,067,299 |
| | | Erie County, OH, Hospital Facilities, (Firelands Regional | |
| | 6,080 | Medical Center), 5.25%, 8/15/46 | 6,379,744 |
| | 0,000 | Hawaii Department of Budget and Finance, | 0,575,777 |
| | 3,900 | (Hawaii Pacific Health), 5.60%, 7/1/33 | 4,101,084 |
| | 2,700 | Highlands County, FL, Health Facilities Authority, | ,,,,,,,,, |
| | 5,525 | (Adventist Health System), 5.375%, 11/15/35 | 5,820,477 |
| | | | |
| Principal Amount (000's omitted) | | Security | Value |
| (000's omitted) | | Security | Value |
| | | Indiana Health and Educational Facilities Authority, | Value |
| (000's omitted) | 5,880 | Indiana Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Clarian Health Partners), 4.75%, 2/15/34 | \$ Value 5,919,102 |
| (000's omitted) Hospital (continued) | 5,880 | Indiana Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Clarian Health Partners), 4.75%, 2/15/34 Indiana Health and Educational Facilities Authority, | |
| (000's omitted) Hospital (continued) | | Indiana Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Clarian Health Partners), 4.75%, 2/15/34 Indiana Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Clarian Health Partners), | |
| (000's omitted) Hospital (continued) | 5,880 2,625 | Indiana Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Clarian Health Partners), 4.75%, 2/15/34 Indiana Health and Educational Facilities Authority, | 5,919,102 |
| (000's omitted) Hospital (continued) | 2,625 | Indiana Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Clarian Health Partners), 4.75%, 2/15/34 Indiana Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Clarian Health Partners), 5.00%, 2/15/36 Lehigh County, PA, General Purpose Authority, (Lehigh Valley Health | 5,919,102 2,700,075 |
| (000's omitted) Hospital (continued) | | Indiana Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Clarian Health Partners), 4.75%, 2/15/34 Indiana Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Clarian Health Partners), 5.00%, 2/15/36 Lehigh County, PA, General Purpose Authority, | 5,919,102 |
| (000's omitted) Hospital (continued) | 2,625 | Indiana Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Clarian Health Partners), 4.75%, 2/15/34 Indiana Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Clarian Health Partners), 5.00%, 2/15/36 Lehigh County, PA, General Purpose Authority, (Lehigh Valley Health Network), 5.25%, 7/1/32 Michigan Hospital Finance Authority, (Henry Ford Health), 5.25%, 11/15/46 | 5,919,102 2,700,075 |
| (000's omitted) Hospital (continued) | 2,625 8,500 | Indiana Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Clarian Health Partners), 4.75%, 2/15/34 Indiana Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Clarian Health Partners), 5.00%, 2/15/36 Lehigh County, PA, General Purpose Authority, (Lehigh Valley Health Network), 5.25%, 7/1/32 Michigan Hospital Finance Authority, (Henry Ford Health), 5.25%, 11/15/46 South Miami, FL, Health Facility Authority, | 5,919,102 2,700,075 8,955,515 |
| (000's omitted) Hospital (continued) | 2,625 8,500 | Indiana Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Clarian Health Partners), 4.75%, 2/15/34 Indiana Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Clarian Health Partners), 5.00%, 2/15/36 Lehigh County, PA, General Purpose Authority, (Lehigh Valley Health Network), 5.25%, 7/1/32 Michigan Hospital Finance Authority, (Henry Ford Health), 5.25%, 11/15/46 South Miami, FL, Health | 5,919,102 2,700,075 8,955,515 |
| (000's omitted) Hospital (continued) \$ | 2,625 8,500 3,500 | Indiana Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Clarian Health Partners), 4.75%, 2/15/34 Indiana Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Clarian Health Partners), 5.00%, 2/15/36 Lehigh County, PA, General Purpose Authority, (Lehigh Valley Health Network), 5.25%, 7/1/32 Michigan Hospital Finance Authority, (Henry Ford Health), 5.25%, 11/15/46 South Miami, FL, Health Facility Authority, (Baptist Health), 5.25%, | 5,919,102 2,700,075 8,955,515 3,687,285 |
| (000's omitted) Hospital (continued) | 2,625 8,500 3,500 | Indiana Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Clarian Health Partners), 4.75%, 2/15/34 Indiana Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Clarian Health Partners), 5.00%, 2/15/36 Lehigh County, PA, General Purpose Authority, (Lehigh Valley Health Network), 5.25%, 7/1/32 Michigan Hospital Finance Authority, (Henry Ford Health), 5.25%, 11/15/46 South Miami, FL, Health Facility Authority, (Baptist Health), 5.25%, | \$ 5,919,102 2,700,075 8,955,515 3,687,285 2,608,550 |

| | | (Temple University), (MBIA), 4.50%, 4/1/36 | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------|---|----|-------------|
| | | University of California, | | |
| | 6,455 | (MBIA), 4.75%, 5/15/37 | | 6,576,289 |
| | | | \$ | 15,039,428 |
| Insured-Electric Utilities 15.7% | | | | |
| | | Burlington, KS, Pollution Control Revenue, | | |
| | | (Kansas Gas & Electric | | |
| \$ | 13,000 | Co.), (MBIA), 5.30%, 6/1/31 | \$ | 13,929,760 |
| ŷ | 13,000 | Chelan County, WA, Public Utility District No. 1, | φ | 13,727,700 |
| | | (Columbia River), | | |
| | 21,355 | (MBIA), 0.00%, 6/1/27 | | 8,398,067 |
| | | Lincoln, NE, Electric System, (FSA), 4.75%, | | |
| | 18,815 | 9/1/35 | | 19,177,753 |
| | | Municipal Energy Agency, NE, (Power Supply System), | | |
| | 2,625 | (FSA), 5.00%, 4/1/36 | | 2,735,119 |
| | -, | Nebraska, NE, Public Power District, (FGIC), | | 2,000,000 |
| | 18,240 | 4.75%, 1/1/35 | | 18,671,194 |
| | | Omaha, NE, Public Power District, (AMBAC), | | |
| | 7,600 | 5.00%, 2/1/49 | | 7,942,304 |
| | | Omaha, NE, Public Power District, (FGIC), | | |
| | 22,150 | 4.25%, 2/1/35 | | 21,318,489 |
| | | South Carolina Public Service Authority, (FSA), | | |
| | 60,755 | 5.125%, 1/1/37 | | 63,697,972 |
| | | Southern Minnesota Municipal Power Agency, (MBIA), | | |
| | 10,650 | 0.00%, 1/1/22 | | 5,532,036 |
| | | | \$ | 161,402,694 |
| Insured-General Obligations 25.9% | | | | |
| 250/0 | | Alvin, TX, Independent School District, (MBIA), | | |
| \$ | 3,975 | 3.25%, 2/15/27 | \$ | 3,318,926 |
| | 60,000 | California, (XLCA), 5.00%, 10/1/28 | | 62,605,200 |
| | | Chicago, IL, Board of Education, (FGIC), | | |
| | 41,300 | 0.00%, 12/1/21 | | 21,402,073 |
| | | Escondido, CA, (Election of 2004), (MBIA), | | |
| | 24,000 | 4.75%, 9/1/36 | | 24,533,520 |
| | | Frisco, TX, Independent School District, (FSA), | | |
| | 16,645 | 4.00%, 8/15/40 | | 14,977,837 |
| | | See notes to financial statem | | . , |

See notes to financial statements

Eaton Vance Insured Municipal Bond Fund as of September 30, 2006

PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS CONT'D

| Principal Amount (000's omitted) | | Security | | Value |
|---|--------|--|----|-------------|
| Insured-General Obligations (continued) | | | | |
| \$ | 13,180 | Georgia, (MBIA), 2.00%, 9/1/24 | \$ | 9,372,166 |
| • | 15,160 | Kane, Cook and Du Page | Φ | 9,372,100 |
| | | Counties, IL, School District | | |
| | | No. 46, (AMBAC), | | |
| | 20,425 | 0.00%, 1/1/21 | | 10,982,727 |
| | | Kane, Cook and Du Page Counties, IL, School District | | |
| | | No. 46, (AMBAC), | | |
| | 50,650 | 0.00%, 1/1/22 | | 25,919,631 |
| | 7,500 | Louisiana, (FSA), 4.25%, 5/1/25 | | 7,297,500 |
| | , | North Las Vegas, NV, Wastewater Reclamation System, | | , , |
| | | (MBIA), 4.25%, | | |
| | 8,600 | 10/1/33 ⁽¹⁾ | | 8,259,354 |
| | | Philadelphia, PA, School | | |
| | 13,000 | District, (FGIC), 5.25%, 6/1/34 | | 13,882,180 |
| | 13,000 | Phoenix, AZ, (AMBAC), | | 13,002,100 |
| | 8,465 | 3.00%, 7/1/28 | | 6,811,024 |
| | 20,750 | Schaumburg, IL, (FGIC), 5.00%, 12/1/38 | | 21,660,717 |
| | 20,730 | Washington, (Motor Vehicle Fuel), (MBIA), | | 21,000,717 |
| | 21,300 | 0.00%, 6/1/25 | | 9,347,292 |
| | | Washington, (Motor Vehicle Fuel), (MBIA), | | |
| | 21,125 | 0.00%, 6/1/26 | | 8,836,376 |
| | | Washington, (Motor Vehicle Fuel), (MBIA), | | |
| | 21,070 | 0.00%, 6/1/27 | | 8,397,238 |
| | | Washington, (Motor Vehicle Fuel), (MBIA), | | |
| | 21,510 | 0.00%, 6/1/28 | | 8,164,766 |
| | | , | \$ | 265,768,527 |
| Insured-Hospital 1.2% | | | - | |
| insuced Hospital 1.2 // | | Connecticut Health and Educational Facility Authority, | | |
| | | (Danbury Hospital), | | |
| | | (AMBAC), 4.25%, | | |
| \$ | 3,900 | 7/1/36 | \$ | 3,783,702 |
| | | Washington Health Care Facilities Authority, (Providence | | |
| | | Health Care), (FGIC), | | |
| | 8,245 | 4.50%, 10/1/35 | | 8,159,252 |
| | | | \$ | 11,942,954 |
| Insured-Industrial Development Revenue | 2.1% | | | |

| | | Monroe County, GA, Development Authority, | | |
|--|----------------------------|--|----------------------|--|
| | | (Georgia Power Co.), (AMBAC), | | |
| | | Variable Rate, 4.90%, | | |
| \$ | 21,200 | 7/1/36 | \$ | 21,607,888 |
| Insured-Lease Revenue / Certificates of | | | \$ | 21,607,888 |
| Participation 6.3% | | | | |
| | | Anaheim, CA, Public | | |
| | | Financing Authority Lease Revenue, | | |
| ф | 12.010 | (Public Improvements), | d) | 12 200 220 |
| \$ | 12,010 | (FSA), 5.00%, 3/1/37 Jackson County, MO, Leasehold Revenue, | \$ | 12,200,238 |
| | | (Truman Sports), | | |
| | 8,620 | (AMBAC), 4.50%, 12/1/31 | | 8,643,964 |
| | 0,020 | San Jose, CA, Financing Authority, (Civic Center), | | 0,010,501 |
| | | (AMBAC), 5.00%, | | |
| | 42,795 | 6/1/37 | | 44,271,000 |
| | | | \$ | 65,115,202 |
| Insured-Other Revenue 6.0% | | Calden State Takens | | |
| | | Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corp., CA, (AGC), | | |
| \$ | 28,675 | 5.00%, 6/1/45 | \$ | 29,756,047 |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Principal Amount (000's omitted) | | Security | | Value |
| (000's omitted) | | Security | | Value |
| | | Golden State Tobacco | | Value |
| (000's omitted) | | Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corp., CA, | | Value |
| (000's omitted) | 30 000 | Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corp., CA, (FGIC), | | |
| (000's omitted) | 30,000 | Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corp., CA, | \$ | 31,339,200 |
| (000's omitted) Insured-Other Revenue (continued) | 30,000 | Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corp., CA, (FGIC), | \$ | |
| (000's omitted) | 30,000 | Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corp., CA, (FGIC), 5.00%, 6/1/38 Massachusetts Development Finance | \$ | 31,339,200 |
| (000's omitted) Insured-Other Revenue (continued) | 30,000 | Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corp., CA, (FGIC), 5.00%, 6/1/38 Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, | \$ | 31,339,200 |
| (000's omitted) Insured-Other Revenue (continued) | 30,000 | Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corp., CA, (FGIC), 5.00%, 6/1/38 Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Franklin W. Olin | \$ | 31,339,200 |
| (000's omitted) Insured-Other Revenue (continued) | 30,000 | Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corp., CA, (FGIC), 5.00%, 6/1/38 Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, | \$ | 31,339,200 |
| (000's omitted) Insured-Other Revenue (continued) Insured-Private Education 1.0% | | Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corp., CA, (FGIC), 5.00%, 6/1/38 Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Franklin W. Olin College), (XLCA), | | 31,339,200 61,095,247 |
| (000's omitted) Insured-Other Revenue (continued) Insured-Private Education 1.0% | | Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corp., CA, (FGIC), 5.00%, 6/1/38 Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Franklin W. Olin College), (XLCA), 5.25%, 7/1/33 | \$ | 31,339,200 61,095,247 10,590,300 |
| (000's omitted) Insured-Other Revenue (continued) Insured-Private Education 1.0% | | Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corp., CA, (FGIC), 5.00%, 6/1/38 Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Franklin W. Olin College), (XLCA), | \$ | 31,339,200 61,095,247 10,590,300 |
| (000's omitted) Insured-Other Revenue (continued) Insured-Private Education 1.0% | | Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corp., CA, (FGIC), 5.00%, 6/1/38 Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Franklin W. Olin College), (XLCA), 5.25%, 7/1/33 University of Vermont and State Agricultural | \$ | 31,339,200 61,095,247 10,590,300 |
| Insured-Other Revenue (continued) Insured-Private Education 1.0% \$ Insured-Public Education 1.2% | 10,000 | Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corp., CA, (FGIC), 5.00%, 6/1/38 Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Franklin W. Olin College), (XLCA), 5.25%, 7/1/33 University of Vermont and State Agricultural College, | \$ \$ | 31,339,200 61,095,247 10,590,300 10,590,300 |
| Insured-Other Revenue (continued) Insured-Private Education 1.0% \$ Insured-Public Education 1.2% | 10,000 | Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corp., CA, (FGIC), 5.00%, 6/1/38 Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Franklin W. Olin College), (XLCA), 5.25%, 7/1/33 University of Vermont and State Agricultural College, (MBIA), 4.00%, 10/1/35 | \$ \$ | 31,339,200 61,095,247 10,590,300 10,590,300 |
| Insured-Other Revenue (continued) Insured-Private Education 1.0% \$ Insured-Public Education 1.2% | 10,000 | Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corp., CA, (FGIC), 5.00%, 6/1/38 Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Franklin W. Olin College), (XLCA), 5.25%, 7/1/33 University of Vermont and State Agricultural College, (MBIA), 4.00%, 10/1/35 Chicago, IL, Wastewater | \$ \$ | 31,339,200 61,095,247 10,590,300 10,590,300 |
| Insured-Other Revenue (continued) Insured-Private Education 1.0% \$ Insured-Public Education 1.2% \$ Insured-Sewer Revenue 3.6% | 10,000 | Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corp., CA, (FGIC), 5.00%, 6/1/38 Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Franklin W. Olin College), (XLCA), 5.25%, 7/1/33 University of Vermont and State Agricultural College, (MBIA), 4.00%, 10/1/35 Chicago, IL, Wastewater Transmission, (MBIA), | \$ \$ \$ \$ | 31,339,200 61,095,247 10,590,300 10,590,300 12,037,528 12,037,528 |
| Insured-Other Revenue (continued) Insured-Private Education 1.0% \$ Insured-Public Education 1.2% | 10,000 | Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corp., CA, (FGIC), 5.00%, 6/1/38 Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Franklin W. Olin College), (XLCA), 5.25%, 7/1/33 University of Vermont and State Agricultural College, (MBIA), 4.00%, 10/1/35 Chicago, IL, Wastewater Transmission, (MBIA), 0.00%, 1/1/23 King County, WA, Sewer | \$ \$ | 31,339,200 61,095,247 10,590,300 10,590,300 |
| Insured-Other Revenue (continued) Insured-Private Education 1.0% \$ Insured-Public Education 1.2% \$ Insured-Sewer Revenue 3.6% | 10,000 12,995 13,670 | Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corp., CA, (FGIC), 5.00%, 6/1/38 Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Franklin W. Olin College), (XLCA), 5.25%, 7/1/33 University of Vermont and State Agricultural College, (MBIA), 4.00%, 10/1/35 Chicago, IL, Wastewater Transmission, (MBIA), 0.00%, 1/1/23 King County, WA, Sewer Revenue, (FGIC), | \$ \$ \$ \$ | 31,339,200 61,095,247 10,590,300 10,590,300 12,037,528 12,037,528 |
| Insured-Other Revenue (continued) Insured-Private Education 1.0% \$ Insured-Public Education 1.2% \$ Insured-Sewer Revenue 3.6% | 10,000 | Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corp., CA, (FGIC), 5.00%, 6/1/38 Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Franklin W. Olin College), (XLCA), 5.25%, 7/1/33 University of Vermont and State Agricultural College, (MBIA), 4.00%, 10/1/35 Chicago, IL, Wastewater Transmission, (MBIA), 0.00%, 1/1/23 King County, WA, Sewer | \$ \$ \$ \$ | 31,339,200 61,095,247 10,590,300 10,590,300 12,037,528 12,037,528 |

| | | Marysville, OH, Wastewater Treatement System, | | |
|----------------------------------|--------|--|----|-------------|
| | | • | | |
| | | (XLCA), 4.75%, 12/1/46 | _ | |
| | | | \$ | 37,439,487 |
| Insured-Special Tax Revenue 9.8% | | Consideration ND Color | | |
| | | Grand Forks, ND, Sales Tax Revenue, (Alerus Project), | | |
| \$ | 10,000 | (MBIA), 4.50%, 12/15/29 | \$ | 10,040,600 |
| | | Houston, TX, Hotel Occupancy Tax, (AMBAC), | | |
| | 18,980 | 0.00%, 9/1/24 | | 8,624,322 |
| | | Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority, | | |
| | 19,900 | Revenue Assessment, (MBIA), 4.00%, 7/1/33 | | 18,462,225 |
| | | Metropolitan Transportation Authority, NY, | | |
| | | Dedicated Tax Fund, (MBIA), 5.00%, | | |
| | 10,000 | 11/15/30 New York Convention | | 10,430,500 |
| | | Center Development Corp., | | |
| | 17,200 | Hotel Occupancy Tax, (AMBAC), 4.75%, 11/15/45 | | 17,493,604 |
| | 17,200 | New York Convention Center Development | | 17,423,004 |
| | | Corp., Hotel Occupancy Tax, (AMBAC), 5.00%, | | |
| | 28,985 | 11/15/44 | | 30,305,846 |
| | | Phoenix, AZ, Civic Improvement Corp., (Civic Plaza | | |
| | | Expansion Project), | | |
| | 5,750 | (FGIC), 4.25%, 7/1/30 | | 5,610,908 |
| | | | \$ | 100,968,005 |
| Insured-Transportation 33.8% | | | | |
| | | Central, TX, Regional Mobility Authority, (FGIC), | | |
| \$ | 6,000 | 5.00%, 1/1/45 | \$ | 6,206,640 |
| | | Director of the State of Nevada Department of Business | | |
| | | and Industry, (Las Vegas Monorail), (AMBAC), | | |
| | 10,070 | 0.00%, 1/1/23 Director of the State of | | 4,861,796 |
| | | Nevada Department of Business | | |
| | | and Industry, (Las Vegas Monorail), (AMBAC), | | |
| | 3,100 | 0.00%, 1/1/28 | | 1,179,054 |
| | | | | • |

Eaton Vance Insured Municipal Bond Fund as of September 30, 2006

PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS CONT'D

| Principal Amount (000's omitted) | | Consity | Value |
|------------------------------------|--------|---|------------------|
| Insured-Transportation (continued) | | Security | v aluc |
| insured-Transportation (continued) | | Director of the State of Nevada Department of Business | |
| | | and Industry, (Las Vegas Monorail), (AMBAC), | |
| \$ | 20,000 | 5.375%, 1/1/40 | \$ 20,811,800 |
| | | E-470 Public Highway Authority, CO, (MBIA), | |
| | 10,200 | 0.00%, 9/1/21 | 5,343,372 |
| | | E-470 Public Highway Authority, CO, (MBIA), | |
| | 10,000 | 0.00%, 9/1/24 | 4,528,000 |
| | | E-470 Public Highway Authority, CO, (MBIA), | |
| | 20,000 | 0.00%, 9/1/24 | 9,056,000 |
| | | E-470 Public Highway Authority, CO, (MBIA), | |
| | 17,000 | 0.00%, 9/1/25 | 7,337,540 |
| | | E-470 Public Highway Authority, CO, (MBIA), | |
| | 25,000 | 0.00%, 9/1/39 | 5,003,250 |
| | | Harris County, TX, Toll Road Senior Lien, (MBIA), | |
| | 10,810 | 4.50%, 8/15/36 ⁽¹⁾ | 10,660,822 |
| | | Massachusetts Turnpike Authority, Metropolitan Highway | |
| | 34,915 | System, (AMBAC), 5.00%, 1/1/39 ⁽²⁾ | 35,861,546 |
| | | Newark, NJ, Housing Authority, (Newark Marine Terminal), | |
| | 13,700 | (MBIA), 5.00%, 1/1/37 | 14,330,474 |
| | | North Texas Tollway Authority, (FSA), 4.50%, | |
| | 4,590 | 1/1/38 Authority, (FSA), 4.50%, | 4,495,354 |
| | | Northwest Parkway Public Highway Authority, CO, (FSA), | |
| | 24,665 | 5.25%, 6/15/41 | 26,335,314 |
| | | Regional Transportation Authority, IL, (MBIA), | |
| | 9,650 | 4.50%, 7/1/35 ⁽¹⁾ San Joaquin Hills, CA, Transportation Corridor Agency, | 9,580,134 |
| | 75,000 | (MBIA), 0.00%, 1/15/31 | 25,021,500 |
| | | San Joaquin Hills, CA, Transportation Corridor Agency, | |
| | 45,020 | (MBIA), 0.00%, 1/15/26 | 19,149,257 |
| | 87,045 | | 38,840,349 |

| | | San Joaquin Hills, CA, Transportation Corridor | |
|-------------------------------------|--------|--|-------------------|
| | | Agency, | |
| | | (Toll Road Bonds), (MBIA), 0.00%, 1/15/25 | |
| | | Tampa-Hillsborough | |
| | | County, FL, Expressway Authority, | |
| | 10,410 | (AMBAC), 4.00%, 7/1/34 | 9,620,193 |
| | , , | Texas Turnpike | .,, |
| | 40,165 | Authority, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 8/15/20 | 22,134,530 |
| | | Texas Turnpike Authority, (AMBAC), | |
| | 64,900 | 5.00%, 8/15/42 | 66,843,755 |
| | | | \$ 347,200,680 |
| Insured-Utilities 0.5% | | Illinois Development | |
| | | Finance Authority, (Peoples Gas, | |
| | | Light and Coke), | |
| \$ | 5,000 | (AMBAC), 5.00%, 2/1/33 | \$ 5,176,700 |
| | , | | \$ 5,176,700 |
| Insured-Water and Sewer 9.1% | | | |
| | | Birmingham, AL, Waterworks and Sewer Board, | |
| \$ | 20,935 | (MBIA), 5.00%, 1/1/37 ⁽²⁾ | \$ 21,770,725 |
| | | East Baton Rouge, LA, Sewer Commission, (FSA), | |
| | 11,020 | 4.50%, 2/1/31 | 10,946,827 |
| | | East Baton Rouge, LA, Sewer Commission, (FSA), | |
| | 9,455 | 4.50%, 2/1/36 | 9,310,528 |
| | | | |
| Principal Amount | | | |
| (000's omitted) | | Security | Value |
| Insured-Water and Sewer (continued) | | Fort Lauderdale, FL, | |
| | | Water and Sewer, (MBIA), | |
| \$ | 4,100 | 4.25%, 9/1/33 ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 3,962,568 |
| | | New York City, NY, Municipal Water Finance Authority, | |
| | | (Water and Sewer | |
| | 8,500 | System), (MBIA), 5.125%, 6/15/34 | 8,974,555 |
| | 0.500 | Palm Coast, FL, Utility System, (MBIA), 5.00%, 10/1/33 | 9,907,360 |
| | 9,500 | Tampa Bay, FL, | 7,707,300 |
| | | Regional Water Supply Authority, (FGIC), | |
| | 18,000 | 4.50%, 10/1/36 ⁽¹⁾ West Palm Beach, FL, | 17,868,060 |
| | | Utility System, (FGIC), | |
| | 10,000 | 5.00%, 10/1/34 | 10,502,300 |

| | | | \$ | 93,242,923 |
|-----------------------------|--------|--|----|---|
| Insured-Water Revenue 14.8% | | | | , , |
| \$ | 8,930 | Albany, OR, Water Revenue, (FGIC), 5.00%, 8/1/33 | \$ | 9,336,851 |
| | 25,885 | Atlanta, GA, Water and Wastewater, (MBIA), 5.00%, 11/1/39 ⁽³⁾ | | 26,730,404 |
| | | Baltimore, MD, (Water Projects), (FGIC), | | |
| | 3,250 | 5.125%, 7/1/42 Los Angeles, CA, Department of Water and Power, | | 3,424,168 |
| | 61,585 | Water Revenue, (FGIC), 5.00%, 7/1/43 | | 63,701,061 |
| | | Massachusetts Water Resources Authority, (AMBAC), | | |
| | 40,595 | 4.00%, 8/1/40 | | 36,854,171 |
| | 5,000 | Metropolitan Water District, CA, (FGIC), 5.00%, 10/1/33 | | 5,229,750 |
| | 6,000 | Metropolitan Water District, CA, (FGIC), 5.00%, 10/1/36 | | 6,268,320 |
| | | Metropolitan Water District, Southern California, | | |
| | 700 | Water Resource, | | 710 775 |
| | 700 | (MBIA), 5.00%, 7/1/37 | Ф | 712,775 |
| O:1 P 2.00 | | | \$ | 152,257,500 |
| Other Revenue 3.8% | | Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corp., CA, | | |
| \$ | 38,175 | 5.00%, 6/1/45 | \$ | 39,158,388 |
| | | | \$ | 39,158,388 |
| Private Education 0.3% | | | | |
| | | Maryland Health and Higher Educational Facilities Authority, (Loyola College), 5.125%, | | |
| \$ | 3,195 | 10/1/45 | \$ | 3,334,781 |
| | | | \$ | 3,334,781 |
| Special Tax Revenue 1.4% | | | | |
| | | New Jersey Economic Development Authority, | | |
| \$ | 4,600 | (Cigarette Tax), 5.50%, 6/15/24 | \$ | 4,835,198 |
| · | ,, | New Jersey Economic Development Authority, | , | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, |
| | 1.750 | (Cigarette Tax), 5.50%, | | 1.040.550 |
| | 1,750 | 6/15/31 New Jersey Economic Development Authority, | | 1,842,558 |
| | 2,405 | (Cigarette Tax), 5.75%, 6/15/29 | | 2,586,866 |
| | , | New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (Cigarette Tax), 5.75%, | | |
| | 5,110 | 6/15/34 | | 5,462,181 |
| | | | \$ | 14,726,803 |

See notes to financial statements

Eaton Vance Insured Municipal Bond Fund as of September 30, 2006

PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS CONT'D

Principal Amount (000's omitted) Security Value Water and Sewer 1.4% New York City, NY, Municipal Water Finance Authority, (Water and Sewer \$ System), 4.75%, 6/15/33 14,310 \$ 14,661,597 ¢ 14,661,597 Total Tax-Exempt Investments 160.2% (identified cost \$1,556,883,622) \$ 1,647,025,575 Other Assets, Less Liabilities (2.5)% (26,016,299)Auction Preferred Shares Plus Cumulative Unpaid Dividends (57.7)% \$ (592,650,473) Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0% 1,028,358,803

AGC - Assured Guaranty Corp.

AMBAC - AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.

FGIC - Financial Guaranty Insurance Company

FSA - Financial Security Assurance, Inc.

MBIA - Municipal Bond Insurance Association

XLCA - XL Capital Assurance, Inc.

The Fund invests primarily in debt securities issued by municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at September 30, 2006, 82.6% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution ranged from 1.8% to 24.4% of total investments.

- (1) When-issued security.
- (2) Security (or a portion thereof) has been segregated to cover when-issued securities.
- (3) Security (or a portion thereof) has been segregated to cover margin requirements on open financial futures contracts.

See notes to financial statements

Eaton Vance Insured California Municipal Bond Fund as of September 30, 2006

PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS

| Tax-Exempt Investments 15 | 57.3% | | | |
|---|--------|---|----|------------|
| Principal Amount | | Conveite | | Volve |
| (000's omitted) Escrowed / Prerefunded 0.6% | | Security | | Value |
| Escrowed / Prefermined 0.0% | | Foothill/Eastern, Transportation Corridor Agency, | | |
| | | Escrowed to Maturity, | | |
| \$ | 5,110 | 0.00%, 1/1/30 | \$ | 1,836,176 |
| a 10111 1 016 | | | \$ | 1,836,176 |
| General Obligations 8.4% | (1/5 | 0.110 - 1.4559 (11.05 | ф | 6.250.770 |
| \$ | 6,165 | California, 4.75%, 6/1/35 | \$ | 6,258,770 |
| | 6,750 | California, 5.25%, 4/1/30 | | 7,100,257 |
| | 3,250 | California, 5.25%, 4/1/34 | | 3,465,572 |
| | 9,975 | California, 5.50%, 11/1/33 | | 10,967,812 |
| TT '- 1 11 10 | | | \$ | 27,792,411 |
| Hospital 11.4% | | California Health | | |
| | | Facilities Financing Authority, | | |
| \$ | 2,000 | (Catholic Healthcare West), 5.25%, 7/1/23 California Health | \$ | 2,121,340 |
| | | Facilities Financing Authority, | | |
| | 5.575 | (Cedars-Sinai Medical | | 5.744.004 |
| | 5,575 | Center), 5.00%, 11/15/34 California Statewide Communities | | 5,744,034 |
| | | Development Authority, (Huntington Memorial | | |
| | 10,900 | Hospital), 5.00%, 7/1/35 | | 11,246,293 |
| | | California Statewide Communities Development Authority, | | |
| | | (Kaiser Permanente), | | |
| | 3,650 | 5.00%, 3/1/41 | | 3,758,222 |
| | | California Statewide Communities Development Authority, | | |
| | | (Kaiser Permanente), | | |
| | 3,850 | 5.25%, 3/1/45 Torrance Hospital, | | 4,059,017 |
| | | (Torrance Memorial Medical Center), | | |
| | 4,000 | 5.50%, 6/1/31 | | 4,215,520 |
| | | Turlock, (Emanuel Medical Center, Inc.), | | |
| | 3,360 | 5.375%, 10/15/34 | | 3,521,179 |
| | | Washington Township Health Care District, | | |
| | 3,005 | 5.25%, 7/1/29 | | 3,088,779 |
| | | | \$ | 37,754,384 |

| Insured-Electric Utilities 3.0% | | Sacramento, Municipal | | |
|--|--------|---|----|------------|
| | | Electric Utility District, | | |
| | | (FSA), | | |
| \$ | 4,000 | 5.00%, 8/15/28 Sacramento, Municipal | \$ | 4,166,000 |
| | | Electric Utility District, | | |
| | | (MBIA), | | |
| | 5,380 | 5.00%, 8/15/28 | | 5,620,863 |
| | | | \$ | 9,786,863 |
| Insured-Escrowed / Prerefunded 8.0% | | | | |
| | | California Infrastructure and Economic | | |
| | | Development, | | |
| | | (Bay Area Toll Bridges), | | |
| | | (AMBAC), Prerefunded | | |
| \$ | 7,250 | to 1/1/28, 5.00%, 7/1/36 | \$ | 8,152,770 |
| Ф | 7,230 | 5.00%, //1/30 | Ф | 8,132,770 |
| | | | | |
| Principal Amount | | | | |
| (000's omitted) | | Security | | Value |
| Insured-Escrowed / Prerefunded (continued) | ed) | | | |
| | | California Infrastructure and Economic | | |
| | | Development, | | |
| | | (Bay Area Toll Bridges), | | |
| | | Prerefunded to 1/1/28, | | |
| \$ | 12,650 | (AMBAC), 5.00%, 7/1/33 Foothill/Eastern, | \$ | 14,225,178 |
| | | Transportation Corridor | | |
| | | Agency, | | |
| | | Escrowed to Maturity | | |
| | 7,540 | (FSA), 0.00%, 1/1/21 | _ | 4,128,527 |
| 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 20 00 | | | \$ | 26,506,475 |
| Insured-General Obligations 30.0% | | Azusa, Unified School | | |
| | | District, (FSA), 0.00%, | | |
| \$ | 2,840 | 7/1/25 Azusa, Unified School | \$ | 1,241,733 |
| | | District, (FSA), 0.00%, | | |
| | 3,290 | 7/1/27 | | 1,306,360 |
| | | Burbank, Unified School District, (FGIC), 0.00%, | | |
| | 6,030 | 8/1/21 | | 3,184,142 |
| | | Ceres, Unified School | | |
| | 2,180 | District, (FGIC), 0.00%, 8/1/25 | | 949,652 |
| | | Chino Valley, Unified | | |
| | | School District, (FSA), | | |
| | 3,000 | 5.00%, 8/1/26 Coast Community College | | 3,153,060 |
| | | District, (Election of | | |
| | | 2002), | | |
| | 10,600 | (FSA), 0.00%, 8/1/33 | | 2,635,478 |
| | | Coast Community College District, (Election of | | |
| | | 2002), | | |
| | 25,000 | (FSA), 0.00%, 8/1/34 | | 5,878,750 |
| | | Escondido, (Election of | | |
| | 7,725 | 2004), (MBIA), 4.75%, 9/1/36 | | 7,896,727 |
| | 6,555 | | | 2,469,727 |

| | Foothill-De Anza, Community College | |
|--------|--|-------------|
| | District, (Election of 1999), (FGIC), 0.00%, 8/1/28 | |
| | Foothill-De Anza, Community College | |
| | District, (Election of 1999), | |
| 8,500 | (FGIC), 0.00%, 8/1/29 | 3,048,865 |
| | Foothill-De Anza, Community College District, | |
| 0.075 | (Election of 1999), | 2 022 160 |
| 8,865 | (FGIC), 0.00%, 8/1/30 Huntington Beach, City School District, (Election of 2004), | 3,033,160 |
| 2,300 | (MBIA), 4.50%, 8/1/29 | 2,317,411 |
| 2,000 | Huntington Beach, City School District, (FGIC), | -19-13-11-1 |
| 1,835 | 0.00%, 8/1/24 | 838,338 |
| | Huntington Beach, City School District, (FGIC), | |
| 2,060 | 0.00%, 8/1/25 | 897,377 |
| 0.140 | Huntington Beach, City School District, (FGIC), | 000.540 |
| 2,140 | 0.00%, 8/1/26 Jurupa, Unified School | 888,549 |
| 2,000 | District, (FGIC), 0.00%, 8/1/23 | 957,880 |
| 2,000 | Jurupa, Unified School District, (FGIC), 0.00%, 8/1/26 | 830,420 |
| | Kings Canyon, Joint Unified School District, (FGIC), | |
| 2,235 | 0.00%, 8/1/25 | 973,611 |
| | Los Angeles, Unified School District, (Election of 1997), | |
| 10,000 | (MBIA), 5.125%, 1/1/27 | 10,567,400 |
| | Modesto, High School District, Stanislaus County, (FGIC), | |
| 3,225 | 0.00%, 8/1/24 | 1,473,374 |
| | Riverside, Unified School District, (FGIC), 5.00%, | |
| 5,000 | 2/1/27 San Diego, Unified | 5,235,900 |
| 10,000 | School District, (FGIC), 0.00%, 7/1/22 | 5,052,800 |
| 10,000 | San Diego, Unified School District, (FGIC), 0.00%, 7/1/23 | 4,806,900 |
| e 000 | San Juan, Unified School District, (FSA), 0.00%, | 4 224 400 |
| 8,000 | 8/1/21 San Mateo County, Community College District, (FGIC), | 4,224,400 |
| 5,000 | 0.00%, 9/1/22 | 2,508,200 |

See notes to financial statements

Eaton Vance Insured California Municipal Bond Fund as of September 30, 2006

PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS CONT'D

| Principal Amount (000's omitted) | | Security | Value |
|--|--------|---|------------------|
| Insured-General Obligations (continued) | | Security | v aruc |
| insured-octional configurous (continued) | | San Mateo County, Community College District, (FGIC), | |
| \$ | 4,365 | 0.00%, 9/1/23 | \$ 2,082,978 |
| | | San Mateo County, Community College District, (FGIC), | |
| | 3,955 | 0.00%, 9/1/25 | 1,716,549 |
| | | San Mateo, Union High School District, (FGIC), | |
| | 5,240 | 0.00%, 9/1/21 | 2,757,078 |
| | | Santa Ana, Unified School District, (MBIA), | |
| | 2,740 | 5.00%, 8/1/32 | 2,849,710 |
| | | Santa Barbara, High School District, (Election of 2000), | |
| | 2,500 | (FSA), 4.50%, 8/1/25 | 2,544,600 |
| | | Santa Clara, Unified School District, (Election of 2004), | |
| | 5,915 | (FSA), 4.375%, 7/1/30 | 5,914,645 |
| | | Union Elementary School District, (FGIC), | |
| | 3,825 | 0.00%, 9/1/24 | 1,741,102 |
| | | Ventura County, Community College District, (MBIA), | |
| | 3,000 | 5.00%, 8/1/27 | 3,151,230 |
| | | | \$ 99,128,106 |
| Insured-Hospital 6.1% | | | |
| | | California Health Facilities Financing Authority, | |
| | | (Sutter Health), (MBIA), | |
| \$ | 19,495 | 5.00%, 8/15/38 | \$ 20,009,668 |
| Insured-Lease Revenue / Certificates of | | | \$ 20,009,668 |
| Participation 21.0% | | | |
| | | Anaheim Public Financing Authority, | |
| \$ | 2,000 | (Public Improvements), (FSA), 0.00%, 9/1/30 | \$ 682,380 |
| | | Anaheim, CA, Public Financing Authority Lease | |
| | 8,545 | Revenue, (FSA), 0.00%, 9/1/29 | 3,056,290 |
| | | Anaheim, Public Financing Authority Lease Revenue, | |
| | 30,000 | (Public Improvements), (FSA), 5.00%, 3/1/37 | 30,475,200 |
| | | | |

| | Anaheim, Public Financing Authority Lease Revenue, | |
|--------|--|------------------|
| 5,000 | (Public Improvements), (FSA), (AMT), 0.00%, 9/1/35 | 1,344,950 |
| | California Public Works Board Lease Revenue, | |
| | (California Community College), (FGIC), | |
| 12,065 | 4.00%, 10/1/30 | 11,294,408 |
| | California Public Works Board Lease Revenue, | |
| | (Department of General Services), (AMBAC), | |
| 1,000 | 5.00%, 12/1/27 | 1,043,870 |
| | San Jose, Financing Authority, (Civic Center), | |
| 15,000 | (AMBAC), 5.00%, 6/1/37 | 15,517,350 |
| | Shasta, Joint Powers Financing Authority, (County | |
| 5,850 | Administration Building), (MBIA), 5.00%, 4/1/29 | 6,108,921 |
| | , , , | \$ 69,523,369 |

| Principal Amount (000's omitted) | | Security | Value |
|----------------------------------|--------|--|------------------|
| Insured-Other Revenue 4.7% | | , | |
| | | Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corp. (AGC), | |
| \$ | 11,900 | 5.00%, 6/1/45 | \$ 12,348,630 |
| | | Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corp., (FGIC), | |
| | 3,000 | 5.00%, 6/1/35 | 3,140,550 |
| | | | \$ 15,489,180 |
| Insured-Private Education 0.5% | | | |
| | | California Educational Facilities Authority, (St. Mary's | |
| | | College of California), | |
| \$ | 1,560 | (MBIA), 5.125%, 10/1/26 | \$ 1,659,356 |
| | | | \$ 1,659,356 |
| Insured-Public Education 9.1% | | G 110 | |
| | | California State University, (AMBAC), | |
| \$ | 1,000 | 5.125%, 11/1/26 | \$ 1,059,670 |
| | 15,000 | University of California, (FGIC), 5.125%, 9/1/30 | 15,661,800 |
| | | University of California, General Revenues, (FGIC), | |
| | 12,965 | 4.75%, 5/15/37 | 13,208,612 |
| | | | \$ 29,930,082 |
| Insured-Sewer Revenue 5.7% | | | |
| \$ | 18,350 | Livermore-Amador Valley, Water Management Agency, | \$ 18,989,865 |

(AMBAC), 5.00%, 8/1/31

| | | (AMBAC), 5.00%, 8/1/51 | |
|------------------------------------|--------|---|------------------|
| | | | \$ 18,989,865 |
| Insured-Special Assessment Revenue | 5.6% | | |
| | | Murrieta, Redevelopment Agency Tax, (MBIA), | |
| \$ | 1,800 | 5.00%, 8/1/32 | \$ 1,880,802 |
| | | Pomona, Public Financing Authority, (MBIA), | |
| | 7,000 | 5.00%, 2/1/33 | 7,198,660 |
| | | Santa Cruz County, Redevelopment Agency Tax, (MBIA), | |
| | 6,110 | 5.00%, 9/1/35 | 6,398,575 |
| | | Tustin, Unified School District, (FSA), 5.00%, | |
| | 3,000 | 9/1/38 | 3,104,700 |
| | | | \$ 18,582,737 |
| Insured-Special Tax Revenue 7.9% | | | |
| | | North City, School Facility Financing Authority, | |
| \$ | 2,500 | (AMBAC), 0.00%, 9/1/26 | \$ 1,028,175 |
| | | San Francisco, Bay Area Rapid Transportation District | |
| | | Sales Tax Revenue, | |
| | 3,500 | (AMBAC), 5.00%, 7/1/26 | 3,653,090 |
| | | San Francisco, Bay Area Rapid Transportation District | |
| | 13,630 | Sales Tax Revenue, (AMBAC), 5.00%, 7/1/31 | 14,109,640 |
| | , | San Francisco, Bay Area Rapid Transportation District | - 1,100,000 |
| | | Sales Tax Revenue, (AMBAC), 5.125%, | |
| | 7,000 | 7/1/36 | 7,349,930 |
| | | | \$ 26,140,835 |
| | | | |

See notes to financial statements

Eaton Vance Insured California Municipal Bond Fund as of September 30, 2006

PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS CONT'D

| Principal Amount (000's omitted) | | Security | Value |
|----------------------------------|--------|--|------------------|
| Insured-Transportation 8.7% | | | |
| and the point of the | | Los Angeles County, Metropolitan Transportation Authority, | |
| \$ | 5,000 | (AMBAC), 4.50%, 7/1/32 | \$ 5,019,450 |
| | | Sacramento County, Airport System, (FSA), | |
| | 13,940 | 5.00%, 7/1/27 | 14,514,886 |
| | | San Joaquin Hills, Transportation Corridor Agency, (MBIA), | |
| | 3,445 | 0.00%, 1/15/30 | 1,207,920 |
| | | San Joaquin Hills, Transportation Corridor Agency, (MBIA), | |
| | 5,000 | 0.00%, 1/15/31 | 1,668,100 |
| | | San Joaquin Hills, Transportation Corridor Agency, (MBIA), | |
| | 15,000 | 0.00%, 1/15/26 | 6,380,250 |
| | | | \$ 28,790,606 |
| Insured-Utilities 4.6% | | | |
| | | Los Angeles, Department of Water and Power, (MBIA), | |
| \$ | 14,750 | 5.125%, 7/1/41 | \$ 15,282,770 |
| | | | \$ 15,282,770 |
| Insured-Water and Sewer 0.5% | | San Francisco, City and | |
| | | County Public Utilities Commission, | |
| \$ | 1,570 | (FSA), 4.50%, 11/1/31 | \$ 1,574,192 |
| | | | \$ 1,574,192 |
| Insured-Water Revenue 14.1% | | | |
| | | California Water Resource, (Central Valley), (FGIC), | |
| \$ | 8,180 | 5.00%, 12/1/29 ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 8,543,356 |
| | 950 | Contra Costa, Water District, (FSA), 4.50%, 10/1/27 | 956,983 |
| | 930 | Contra Costa, Water District, (FSA), 4.50%, | 930,963 |
| | 5,500 | 10/1/31 | 5,518,315 |
| | | East Bay, Municipal Utility District Water System, (MBIA), | |
| | 2,000 | 5.00%, 6/1/26 | 2,086,080 |
| | | Los Angeles, Department of Water and Power, Water | |
| | | Revenue, (FGIC), 5.00%, | |
| | 9,000 | 7/1/43 | 9,309,240 |

| | | Metropolitan Water District, (FGIC), 5.00%, | |
|---|--------|--|-------------------|
| | 10,000 | 10/1/36 | 10,447,200 |
| | 1,750 | San Diego, (Water Utility Fund), (FGIC), 4.75%, 8/1/28 | 1,763,230 |
| | 1,750 | San Francisco City and County Public Utilities Commission, | 1,700,220 |
| | 8,330 | (FSA), 4.25%, 11/1/33 | 8,100,925 |
| | | | \$ 46,725,329 |
| Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation | 0.9% | | |
| · | | Sacramento, City Financing Authority, | |
| \$ | 2,570 | 5.40%, 11/1/20 | \$ 2,847,920 |
| | | | \$ 2,847,920 |
| Principal Amount | | | |
| (000's omitted) | | Security | Value |
| Water Revenue 6.5% | | | |
| | | Southern California, Metropolitan Water District, | |
| \$ | 21,180 | 5.00%, 7/1/37 | \$ 21,558,698 |
| | | | \$ 21,558,698 |
| Total Tax-Exempt Investments 157.3% (identified cost \$491,933,446) | | | \$ 519,909,022 |

AGC - Assured Guaranty Corp.

Unpaid Dividends (59.0)%

Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0%

Other Assets, Less Liabilities 1.7% Auction Preferred Shares Plus Cumulative

AMBAC - AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.

AMT - Interest earned from these securities may be considered a tax preference item for purposes of the Federal Alternative Minimum Tax.

FGIC - Financial Guaranty Insurance Company

FSA - Financial Security Assurance, Inc.

MBIA - Municipal Bond Insurance Association

The Fund invests primarily in debt securities issued by California municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at September 30, 2006, 82.3% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution ranged from 2.4% to 22.9% of total investments.

See notes to financial statements

5,612,596

(195,057,154)

330,464,464

\$

⁽¹⁾ Security (or a portion thereof) has been segregated to cover margin requirements on open financial futures contracts.

Eaton Vance Insured New York Municipal Bond Fund as of September 30, 2006

PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS

| Tax-Exempt Investments 158.4% Principal Amount | | | |
|--|-------|--|---------------|
| (000's omitted) Electric Utilities 3.2% | | Security | Value |
| Electric Othities 5.2% | | Long Island Power Authority Electric System Revenue, | |
| \$ | 4,260 | 5.00%, 12/1/35 | \$ 4,447,397 |
| · · | .,200 | Long Island Power Authority Electric Systems Revenue, | ų ,,,.,., |
| | 1,950 | 5.00%, 9/1/27 | 2,037,438 |
| | | Puerto Rico Electric Power | |
| | 1,000 | Authority, 5.25%, 7/1/31 | 1,054,820 |
| | | | \$ 7,539,655 |
| Escrowed / Prerefunded 0.2% | | V V 1 61 D 6 1 1 | |
| | | New York City, Prerefunded to 1/15/13, | |
| \$ | 195 | 5.25%, 1/15/33 | \$ 213,691 |
| | 360 | New York City, Prerefunded to 6/1/12, 5.25%, 6/1/27 | 391,522 |
| | | | \$ 605,213 |
| General Obligations 4.2% | | | |
| \$ | 1,500 | New York, 5.25%, 1/15/28 | \$ 1,590,915 |
| | 3,500 | New York City, 5.25%, 8/15/26 | 3,752,630 |
| | 2,715 | New York City, 5.25%, 6/1/27 | 2,867,909 |
| | 1,805 | New York City, 5.25%, 1/15/33 | 1,909,275 |
| | | • | \$ 10,120,729 |
| Hospital 1.0% | | | |
| Toopius To /o | | New York Dormitory Authority Revenue, (Lenox Hill | |
| \$ | 640 | Hospital), 5.50%, 7/1/30 | \$ 663,059 |
| | | New York Dormitory Authority, (Memorial Sloan-Kettering | |
| | 1,750 | Cancer Center), 5.00%, 7/1/34 | 1,815,852 |
| | | | \$ 2,478,911 |
| Industrial Development Revenue 2.9% | | | |
| | | New York City Industrial Development Agency, | |
| \$ | 6,800 | (Liberty-IAC/Interactive Corp.), 5.00%, 9/1/35 | \$ 6,924,712 |
| | | | \$ 6,924,712 |
| Insured-Electric Utilities 3.8% | | | |
| \$ | 7,500 | Long Island Power Authority, (AMBAC), 5.00%, 9/1/34 | \$ 7,873,725 |
| | | Long Island Power Authority, | |
| | 2,785 | (FSA), 0.00%, 6/1/28 | 1,124,193 |
| | | | \$ 8,997,918 |
| Dringing Amount | | | |
| Principal Amount (000's omitted) | | Security | Value |
| Insured-Escrowed / Prerefunded 7.4% | | | |

| | | New York Dormitory Authority, (Memorial Sloan-Kettering | |
|--|----------------|---|------------------------|
| | | Cancer Center), Escrowed to Maturity, (MBIA), | |
| \$ | 18,335 | 0.00%, 7/1/28 | \$ 7,470,229 |
| ų. | 10,555 | New York Dormitory Authority, (Memorial Sloan-Kettering | Ψ 1,110,225 |
| | | Cancer Center), Escrowed to Maturity, (MBIA), | |
| | 26,070 | 0.00%, 7/1/29 | 10,146,965 |
| | 20,070 | , | \$ 17,617,194 |
| Insured-General Obligations 2.9% | | | ,, |
| | | New York Dormitory Authority, (School Districts Financing | |
| \$ | 1,750 | Program), (MBIA), 5.00%, 10/1/30 Sachem Central School District, Holbrook, (MBIA), | \$ 1,824,095 |
| | 2,700 | 5.00%, 10/15/26 | 2,853,252 |
| | | Sachem Central School District, Holbrook, (MBIA), | |
| | 2,085 | 5.00%, 10/15/28 | 2,199,425 |
| | | | \$ 6,876,772 |
| Insured-Health Care Miscellaneous 0.7% | | | |
| | | New York City Industrial Development Agency, (American | |
| \$ | 1,650 | National Red Cross), (AMBAC), 4.50%, 2/1/30 | \$ 1,653,036 |
| ~ | 1,030 | 1.50%, 2/1/50 | \$ 1,653,036 |
| Insured-Hospital 14.2% | | | Ψ 1,033,030 |
| nistred Tospital 11.2% | | New York City Health and | |
| | | Hospital Corp., (Health | |
| \$ | 15,500 | Systems), (AMBAC), 5.00%, 2/15/23 | \$ 16,355,290 |
| | | New York Dormitory Authority, (Hospital Surgery), | |
| | 10,000 | (MBIA), 5.00%, 2/1/38 | 10,187,300 |
| | | New York Dormitory Authority, (Maimonides Medical | |
| | 6,800 | Center), (MBIA), 5.00%, 8/1/33 | 7,138,912 |
| I 101 P 1236 | | | \$ 33,681,502 |
| Insured-Other Revenue 12.3% | | New York City Cultural Resource Trust, (American Museum | |
| \$ | 5,535 | of Natural History), (MBIA), 5.00%, 7/1/44 | \$ 5,770,071 |
| | -, | New York City Cultural Resource Trust, (Wildlife | ,, |
| | 2,000 | Conservation Society), (FGIC), 5.00%, 2/1/34 | 2,094,000 |
| | | New York City Industrial Development Agency, (Queens | |
| | 11,000 | Baseball Stadium), (AMBAC), 4.75%, 1/1/42 | 11,200,530 |
| | | New York City Industrial Development Agency, | |
| | 2,500 | (Yankee Stadium), (FGIC), | 2 405 675 |
| | 2,500 7,500 | 4.50%, 3/1/39 New York City Industrial Development Agency, | 2,495,675 7,636,425 |
| | | | |

4.75%, 3/1/46

\$ 29,196,701

See notes to financial statements

Eaton Vance Insured New York Municipal Bond Fund as of September 30, 2006

PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS CONT'D

| Principal Amount (000's omitted) | | Security | Value |
|-----------------------------------|--------|--|-----------------------------|
| Insured-Private Education 24.9% | | | |
| | | Madison County Industrial Development Agency, | |
| \$ | 4,000 | (Colgate University), (MBIA), 5.00%, 7/1/39 | \$ 4,184,880 |
| | | New York City Industrial Development Agency, | |
| | 16,500 | (New York University), (AMBAC), 5.00%, 7/1/41 | 16,958,700 |
| | | New York Dormitory Authority, (Brooklyn Law School), | |
| | 11,500 | (XLCA), 5.125%, 7/1/30 New York Dormitory Authority, (FIT Student Housing | 12,108,925 |
| | 2,225 | Corp.), (FGIC), 5.125%, 7/1/26 | 2,375,833 |
| | | New York Dormitory Authority, (New York University), | |
| | 4,250 | (AMBAC), 5.00%, 7/1/31 New York Dormitory Authority, | 4,392,120 |
| | | (New York University), | |
| | 4,500 | (AMBAC), 5.00%, 7/1/41 New York Dormitory Authority, | 4,625,100 |
| | | (Rochester Institute of | |
| | 13,585 | Technology), (AMBAC), 5.25%, | 14 440 414 |
| | 15,363 | 7/1/32 | 14,449,414 \$ 59,094,972 |
| Insured-Public Education 3.0% | | | Ψ 37,074,772 |
| | | New York Dormitory Authority, (University Educational | |
| \$ | 7,000 | Facility), (MBIA), 4.75%, 5/15/25 | \$ 7,060,200 |
| | | | \$ 7,060,200 |
| Insured-Solid Waste 2.0% | | Ulster County, Resource Recovery | |
| | | Agency, Solid Waste | |
| \$ | 1,790 | System, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 3/1/21 Ulster County, Resource Recovery | \$ 992,680 |
| | | Agency, Solid Waste | |
| | 1,240 | System, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 3/1/22 Ulster County, Resource Recovery | 658,130 |
| | 1.000 | Agency, Solid Waste | 552.445 |
| | 1,090 | System, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 3/1/23 Ulster County, Resource Recovery Agency, | 553,447 |
| | | Solid Waste System, (AMBAC), | |
| | 1,490 | 0.00%, 3/1/24 | 724,721 |
| | | Ulster County, Resource Recovery Agency, Solid Waste | |
| | 3,735 | System, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 3/1/25 | 1,743,050 |
| | | | \$ 4,672,028 |
| Insured-Special Tax Revenue 19.4% | 15.5(0 | Material East Transit of | ¢ 16 105 702 |
| \$ | 15,560 | Metropolitan Transportation Authority, Petroleum Tax Fund, | \$ 16,195,782 |
| | | | |

| | | 40 | |
|--|--------|--|---------------|
| | | (FSA), 5.00%, 11/15/32 ⁽¹⁾ | |
| | | New York City Transitional | |
| | | Finance Authority, (Future Tax), | |
| | 7,250 | (MBIA), 5.00%, 5/1/31 New York Convention Center | 7,552,543 |
| | | Development Corp., (AMBAC), | |
| | 4,000 | 4.75%, 11/15/45 | 4,068,280 |
| | | New York Convention Center | |
| | | Development Corp., (AMBAC), | |
| | 4,335 | 5.00%, 11/15/44 Puerto Rico Infrastructure | 4,532,546 |
| | | Financing Authority, (AMBAC), | |
| | 3,000 | 0.00%, 7/1/36 | 778,590 |
| | 3,000 | Puerto Rico Infrastructure | 776,570 |
| | | Financing Authority, (AMBAC), | |
| | 4,430 | 0.00%, 7/1/37 | 1,097,754 |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Principal Amount | | Committee | Value |
| (000's omitted) | | Security | Value |
| Insured-Special Tax Revenue (continued) | | Puerto Rico Infrastructure | |
| | | Financing Authority, (AMBAC), | |
| \$ | 35,000 | 0.00%, 7/1/44 | \$ 6,276,200 |
| | , | Puerto Rico Infrastructure | |
| | | Financing Authority, (FGIC), | |
| | 18,305 | 0.00%, 7/1/33 | 5,508,707 |
| | | | \$ 46,010,402 |
| Insured-Transportation 30.1% | | | |
| | | Metropolitan Transportation Authority, (FSA), | |
| \$ | 32,500 | 5.00%, 11/15/30 | \$ 34,028,150 |
| | | Puerto Rico Highway and Transportation Authority, | |
| | 11,500 | (MBIA), 5.00%, 7/1/33 | 12,039,005 |
| | | Triborough Bridge and Tunnel Authority, (MBIA), | |
| | 24,600 | 5.00%, 11/15/32 | 25,605,156 |
| | | | \$ 71,672,311 |
| Insured-Water and Sewer 9.9% | | | |
| | | New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority, (AMBAC), | |
| \$ | 7,000 | 5.00%, 6/15/38 | \$ 7,284,620 |
| | | New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority, Water | |
| | 10.000 | and Sewer, (MBIA), 5.125%, | 10.550.000 |
| | 10,000 | 6/15/34 Niagara Falls Public Water | 10,558,300 |
| | | Authority and Sewer System, | |
| | 5,500 | (MBIA), 5.00%, 7/15/34 | 5,740,570 |
| | | | \$ 23,583,490 |
| Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 6.2% | | | , , , , , , |
| 312/0 | | Metropolitan Transportation Authority, Lease Contract, | |
| \$ | 4,000 | 5.125%, 1/1/29 | \$ 4,220,640 |
| | , | New York Dormitory Authority, (North General Hospital), | |
| | 10,000 | 5.00%, 2/15/25 | 10,440,200 |
| | | | \$ 14,660,840 |
| Private Education 2.1% | | | |
| | | | |

| | | | Hempstead Industrial | |
|----------------|------|--------|---|---------------|
| | | | Development Agency, | |
| | | | (Adelphi University), 5.00%, | |
| | \$ | 150 | 10/1/35 | \$ 156,123 |
| | | | Madison County Industrial | |
| | | | Development Agency, | |
| | | | (Colgate University), 5.00%, | |
| | | 1,630 | 7/1/33 | 1,693,749 |
| | | | Rensselaer County Industrial | |
| | | | Development Agency, | |
| | | | (Rensselaer Polytech Institute), | |
| | | 3,065 | 5.125%, 8/1/27 | 3,160,965 |
| | | | | \$ 5,010,837 |
| Transportation | 6.4% | | | |
| | | | Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, | |
| | \$ | 14,500 | 5.00%, 9/1/38 | \$ 15,160,910 |
| | | | | \$ 15,160,910 |

See notes to financial statements

Eaton Vance Insured New York Municipal Bond Fund as of September 30, 2006

PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS CONT'D

| Principal Amount (000's omitted) | | Security | Value |
|---|-------|--|------------------|
| Water Revenue 1.6% | | Security | , and |
| | | New York State Environmental Facilities Corp., | |
| \$ | 3,750 | 4.50%, 6/15/36 | \$ 3,760,013 |
| | | | \$ 3,760,013 |
| Total Tax-Exempt Investments 158.4% (identified cost \$356,349,858) | | | \$ 376,378,346 |
| Other Assets, Less Liabilities 1.6% | | | \$ 3,840,183 |
| Auction Preferred Shares Plus Cumulative | | | |
| Unpaid Dividends (60.0)% | | | \$ (142,554,260) |
| Net Assets Applicable to | | | |
| Common Shares 100.0% | | | \$ 237,664,269 |

AMBAC - AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.

FGIC - Financial Guaranty Insurance Company

FSA - Financial Security Assurance, Inc.

MBIA - Municipal Bond Insurance Association

XLCA - XL Capital Assurance, Inc.

The Fund invests primarily in debt securities issued by New York municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at September 30, 2006, 82.4% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution ranged from 3.2% to 34.0% of total investments.

(1) Security (or a portion thereof) has been segregated to cover margin requirements on open financial futures contracts.

See notes to financial statements

Eaton Vance Insured Municipal Bond Funds as of September 30, 2006

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Statement of Assets and Liabilities

As of September 30, 2006

| | Insu | red Municipal Fund | Insu | red California Fund | Insure | d New York Fund |
|--|------|--------------------|------|---------------------|--------|-----------------|
| Assets | | | | | | |
| Investments | | | | | | |
| Identified cost | \$ | 1,556,883,622 | \$ | 491,933,446 | \$ | 356,349,858 |
| Unrealized appreciation | | 90,141,953 | | 27,975,576 | | 20,028,488 |
| Investments, at value | \$ | 1,647,025,575 | \$ | 519,909,022 | \$ | 376,378,346 |
| Cash | \$ | | \$ | 376,877 | \$ | |
| Receivable for investments sold | | 15,122,891 | | | | |
| Interest receivable | | 16,786,083 | | 5,519,334 | | 4,147,893 |
| Total assets | \$ | 1,678,934,549 | \$ | 525,805,233 | \$ | 380,526,239 |
| Liabilities | | | | | | |
| Payable for when-issued securities | \$ | 49,823,196 | \$ | | \$ | |
| Due to custodian | | 7,418,747 | | | | 90,367 |
| Payable to affiliate for investment | | 420.255 | | 140.215 | | 102.020 |
| advisory fees | | 438,255 | | 142,315 | | 102,920 |
| Accrued expenses | ф | 245,075 | ф | 141,300 | Φ. | 114,423 |
| Total liabilities Auction preferred shares at liquidation | \$ | 57,925,273 | \$ | 283,615 | \$ | 307,710 |
| value plus cumulative unpaid dividends | | 592,650,473 | | 195,057,154 | | 142,554,260 |
| Net assets applicable to common shares | \$ | 1,028,358,803 | \$ | 330,464,464 | \$ | 237,664,269 |
| Sources of Net Assets | | | | | | |
| Common Shares, \$0.01 par value, | ф | (46.292 | ¢. | 217.202 | ¢. | 156.001 |
| unlimited number of shares authorized | \$ | 646,382 | \$ | 216,282 | \$ | 156,981 |
| Additional paid-in capital Accumulated net realized gain (loss) | | 912,421,604 | | 305,163,036 | | 221,346,825 |
| (computed on the basis of identified cost) | | 29,213,685 | | (1,909,266) | | (2,728,921) |
| Undistributed net investment income | | 1,808,914 | | 528,201 | | 253,842 |
| Net unrealized appreciation (computed | | 0.4.0.0.4.0 | | 26.166.211 | | 10 (05 510 |
| on the basis of identified cost) | | 84,268,218 | | 26,466,211 | | 18,635,542 |
| Net assets applicable to common shares Auction Preferred Shares Issued and Outstanding | \$ | 1,028,358,803 | \$ | 330,464,464 | \$ | 237,664,269 |
| (Liquidation preference of \$25,000 per share) | | | | | | |
| | | 23,700 | | 7,800 | | 5,700 |
| Common Shares Outstanding | | | | | | |
| | | 64,638,238 | | 21,628,202 | | 15,698,145 |
| Net Asset Value Per Common Share | | | | | | |
| Net assets applicable to common shares | | | | | | |
| ÷ common shares issued and outstanding | \$ | 15.91 | \$ | 15.28 | \$ | 15.14 |

See notes to financial statements

Eaton Vance Insured Municipal Bond Funds as of September 30, 2006

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS CONT'D

Statement of Operations

For the Year Ended September 30, 2006

| | Insured Municipal Fund | | Insured California Fund | | Insured | Insured New York Fund | |
|---|------------------------|--------------|-------------------------|-------------|---------|-----------------------|--|
| Investment Income | | | | | | | |
| Interest | \$ | 76,293,732 | \$ | 24,657,811 | \$ | 17,892,980 | |
| Total investment income | \$ | 76,293,732 | \$ | 24,657,811 | \$ | 17,892,980 | |
| Expenses | | | | | | | |
| Investment adviser fee | \$ | 10,295,738 | \$ | 3,350,943 | \$ | 2,429,409 | |
| Trustees' fees and expenses | | 24,158 | | 17,946 | | 13,738 | |
| Custodian fee | | 684,992 | | 248,546 | | 198,391 | |
| Legal and accounting services | | 87,979 | | 85,377 | | 90,727 | |
| Printing and postage | | 80,251 | | 34,183 | | 33,483 | |
| Transfer and dividend disbursing | | 70,584 | | 66,907 | | 66,375 | |
| agent fee Preferred shares remarketing | | 70,364 | | 00,907 | | 00,373 | |
| agent fee | | 1,481,243 | | 487,501 | | 356,251 | |
| Miscellaneous | | 162,296 | | 79,638 | | 48,595 | |
| Total expenses | \$ | 12,887,241 | \$ | 4,371,041 | \$ | 3,236,969 | |
| Deduct | | | | | | | |
| Reduction of custodian fee | \$ | 60,440 | \$ | 26,801 | \$ | 8,359 | |
| Reduction of investment adviser fee | | 5,068,672 | | 1,649,713 | | 1,196,017 | |
| Total expense reductions | \$ | 5,129,112 | \$ | 1,676,514 | \$ | 1,204,376 | |
| Net expenses | \$ | 7,758,129 | \$ | 2,694,527 | \$ | 2,032,593 | |
| Net investment income | \$ \$ | 68,535,603 | \$ | 21,963,284 | \$ | 15,860,387 | |
| Realized and Unrealized Gain (Loss) | Ψ | 08,555,005 | φ | 21,903,204 | Ψ | 13,800,387 | |
| Net realized gain | | | | | | | |
| Investment transactions | | | | | | | |
| (identified cost basis) | \$ | 21,248,716 | \$ | 4,106,291 | \$ | 1,718,462 | |
| Financial futures contracts | | 28,233,489 | | 7,785,235 | | 5,246,278 | |
| Net realized gain | \$ | 49,482,205 | \$ | 11,891,526 | \$ | 6,964,740 | |
| Change in unrealized appreciation (deprec | ciation) | | | | | | |
| Investments (identified cost basis) | \$ | 11,272,805 | \$ | 5,772,451 | \$ | 3,046,809 | |
| , | Ф | <i>'</i> | Φ | | Ф | | |
| Financial futures contracts Net change in unrealized | | (15,895,100) | | (4,823,937) | | (3,295,612) | |
| appreciation (depreciation) | \$ | (4,622,295) | \$ | 948,514 | \$ | (248,803) | |
| Net realized and unrealized gain | \$ | 44,859,910 | \$ | 12,840,040 | \$ | 6,715,937 | |
| Distributions to preferred shareholders | | | | | | | |
| snarenolders From net investment income | \$ | (17,430,699) | \$ | (5,599,504) | \$ | (4,204,905) | |
| From net realized gain | Ŧ | (893,815) | Ŧ | Q- 7 7 / | Ţ | () - /- /- /- | |
| Net increase in net assets from | | | | | | | |
| operations | \$ | 95,070,999 | \$ | 29,203,820 | \$ | 18,371,419 | |

See notes to financial statements

Eaton Vance Insured Municipal Bond Funds as of September 30, 2006

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS CONT'D

Statements of Changes in Net Assets

For the Year Ended September 30, 2006

| Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets | Insur | ed Municipal Fund | Insu | red California Fund | Insure | d New York Fund |
|--|-------|-------------------|------|---------------------|--------|-----------------|
| From operations | | | | | | |
| Net investment income | \$ | 68,535,603 | \$ | 21,963,284 | \$ | 15,860,387 |
| Net realized gain from investment transactions and financial futures contracts Net change in unrealized appreciation | | 49,482,205 | | 11,891,526 | | 6,964,740 |
| (depreciation) from investments and financial futures contracts | | (4,622,295) | | 948,514 | | (248,803) |
| Distributions to preferred shareholders | | | | | | |
| From net investment income | | (17,430,699) | | (5,599,504) | | (4,204,905) |
| From net realized gain | \$ | (893,815) | | | | |
| Net increase in net assets from operations | \$ | 95,070,999 | \$ | 29,203,820 | \$ | 18,371,419 |
| Distributions to common shareholders | | | | | | |
| From net investment income | \$ | (52,576,179) | \$ | (16,523,947) | \$ | (11,867,735) |
| From net realized gain | \$ | (4,466,196) | | | | |
| Total distributions to common shareholders | \$ | (57,042,375) | \$ | (16,523,947) | \$ | (11,867,735) |
| Capital share transactions | | | | | | |
| Reinvestment of distributions to common shareholders | \$ | 480,041 | \$ | | \$ | |
| Net increase in net assets from capital share transactions | \$ | 480,041 | \$ | | \$ | |
| Net increase in net assets | \$ | 38,508,665 | \$ | 12,679,873 | \$ | 6,503,684 |
| Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares | | | | | | |
| At beginning of year | \$ | 989,850,138 | \$ | 317,784,591 | \$ | 231,160,585 |
| At end of year | \$ | 1,028,358,803 | \$ | 330,464,464 | \$ | 237,664,269 |
| Undistributed net investment income included in net assets applicable to common shares | | | | | | |
| At end of year | \$ | 1,808,914 | \$ | 528,201 | \$ | 253,842 |
| | | | | | | |

See notes to financial statements

Eaton Vance Insured Municipal Bond Funds as of September 30, 2006

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS CONT'D

Statements of Changes in Net Assets

For the Year Ended September 30, 2005

| Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets | Insure | ed Municipal Fund | : | Insure | ed California Fund | Insure | d New York Fund |
|---|--------|-------------------|---|--------|--------------------|--------|-----------------|
| From operations | | | | | | | |
| Net investment income | \$ | 68,447,409 | | \$ | 21,869,110 | \$ | 15,736,294 |
| Net realized loss from investment transactions and financial futures contracts | | (10,136,706) | | | (5,857,486) | | (3,736,753) |
| Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) from investments and financial futures contracts | | 49,361,030 | | | 15,434,121 | | 9,072,211 |
| Distributions to preferred shareholders | | .,,, | | | ,, | | 2,00 |
| From net investment income | | (11,235,061) | | | (3,500,228) | | (2,626,097) |
| Net increase in net assets from operations | \$ | 96,436,672 | | \$ | 27,945,517 | \$ | 18,445,655 |
| Distributions to common shareholders | | | | | | | |
| From net investment income | \$ | (59,817,380) | | \$ | (18,438,043) | \$ | (13,257,068) |
| Total distributions to common shareholders | \$ | (59,817,380) | | \$ | (18,438,043) | \$ | (13,257,068) |
| Net increase in net assets | \$ | 36,619,292 | | \$ | 9,507,474 | \$ | 5,188,587 |
| Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares | | | | | | | |
| At beginning of year | \$ | 953,230,846 | | \$ | 308,277,117 | \$ | 225,971,998 |
| At end of year | \$ | 989,850,138 | | \$ | 317,784,591 | \$ | 231,160,585 |
| Undistributed net investment income included in net assets applicable to common shares | | | | | | | |
| At end of year | \$ | 3,569,851 | | \$ | 844,809 | \$ | 479,265 |

See notes to financial statements

Eaton Vance Insured Municipal Bond Funds as of September 30, 2006

7.32%

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS CONT'D

Financial Highlights

Market Value (4)

Selected data for a common share outstanding during the periods stated

Insured Municipal Fund Year Ended September 30, 2002(1)(2) $2006^{(1)}$ 2003⁽¹⁾ $2005^{(1)}$ 2004⁽¹⁾ Net asset value Beginning of \$ 14.325⁽³⁾ \$ 15.320 \$ 14.750 \$ 14.670 \$ 14.810 year (Common shares) Income (loss) from operations \$ 1.059 \$ 1.041 0.040 Net investment income 1.060 \$ 1.084 Net realized and unrealized gain 0.696 0.611 0.043 0.009 0.454 Distributions to preferred shareholders (0.109)(0.091)From net investment income (0.270)(0.174)From net realized gain (0.014)0.494 Total income from operations \$ 1.496 \$ 1.472 \$ 1.018 \$ 0.959 Less distributions to common shareholders \$ \$ (0.938) \$ (0.908) From net investment income \$ (0.813) \$ (0.926) From net realized gain (0.069)Total distributions to common shareholders \$ (0.882) \$ (0.926) \$ (0.938) \$ (0.908) \$ Preferred and Common shares offering costs \$ \$ charged to paid-in capital \$ (0.009)\$ (0.007) \$ Preferred Shares underwriting discounts \$ (0.184) Net asset value End of year (Common shares) \$ 15.910 \$ 15.320 \$ 14.750 \$ 14.670 \$ 14.810 Market value End of year \$ 15.050 \$ 13.950 \$ 13.580 \$ 15.000 (Common shares) \$ 15.220 Total Investment Return on Net 3.39% (5) Asset Value (4) 10.21% 10.70% 7.58% 5.67% Total Investment Return on

See notes to financial statements

9.91%

(3.42)%

14.98%

20

4.71%⁽⁵⁾

Eaton Vance Insured Municipal Bond Funds as of September 30, 2006

 $2006^{(1)}$

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS CONT'D

Financial Highlights

Ratios/Supplemental Data

Selected data for a common share outstanding during the periods stated

Insured Municipal Fund

Year Ended September 30, 2002⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ $2003^{(1)}$ 2004⁽¹⁾

| Net assets applicable to common shares, end of year | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|---------------|------------|------------|----------------|
| (000's omitted) | \$ 1,028,359 | \$ 989,850 | \$ 953,231 | \$ 947,812 | \$ 934,619 |
| Ratios (As a percentage of average n Expenses before custodian fee | et assets applicable to con | mmon shares): | | | |
| reduction ⁽⁶⁾ | 0.79% | 0.78% | 0.77% | 0.75% | 0.48%(7) |
| Expenses after custodian fee | | | | | |
| reduction ⁽⁶⁾ | 0.78% | 0.77% | 0.77% | 0.73% | $0.46\%^{(7)}$ |
| Net investment income ⁽⁶⁾ | 6.91% | 6.97% | 7.41% | 7.20% | 3.20%(7) |
| Portfolio Turnover | 56% | 51% | 37% | 63% | |

 $2005^{(1)}$

The ratios reported above are based on net assets applicable solely to common shares. The ratios based on net assets, including amounts related to preferred shares, are as follows:

| Ratios (As a percentage of average net assets applicable to common and preferred shares): | | | | | | | |
|---|-------|-------|-------|-------|--|--|--|
| Expenses before custodian fee | | | | | | | |
| reduction ⁽⁶⁾ | 0.49% | 0.48% | 0.47% | 0.47% | | | |
| Expenses after custodian fee | | | | | | | |
| reduction(6) | 0.49% | 0.48% | 0.47% | 0.46% | | | |
| Net investment income ⁽⁶⁾ | 4.33% | 4.35% | 4.56% | 4.54% | | | |

Senior Securities:

| Total preferred shares outstanding | 23,700 | 23,700 23,700 | 23,700 |
|---|-----------|---------------------|-----------|
| Asset coverage per preferred share ⁽⁸⁾ | \$ 68,397 | \$ 66,769 \$ 65,233 | \$ 65,008 |
| Involuntary liquidation preference per preferred share ⁽⁹⁾ | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 |
| Approximate market value per preferred | Ψ 25,000 | φ 25,000 φ 25,000 | ψ 25,000 |
| share ⁽⁹⁾ | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 |

⁽¹⁾ Computed using average common shares outstanding.

⁽²⁾ For the period from the start of business, August 30, 2002, to September 30, 2002.

⁽³⁾ Net asset value at beginning of period reflects the deduction of the sales load of \$0.675 per share paid by the shareholder from the \$15.000 offering price.

⁽⁴⁾ Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market value with all distributions reinvested. Total return is not computed on an annualized basis.

⁽⁵⁾ Total investment return on net asset value is calculated assuming a purchase at the offering price of \$15.000 less the sales load of \$0.675 per share paid by the shareholder on the first day and a sale at the net asset value on the last day of the period reported. Total investment return on market value is calculated assuming a

purchase at the offering price of \$15.000 less the sales load of \$0.675 per share paid by the shareholder on the first day and a sale at the current market price on the last day of the period reported. Total investment return on net asset value and total investment return on market value are not computed on an annualized basis.

- (6) Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to preferred shareholders.
- (7) Annualized.
- (8) Calculated by subtracting the Fund's total liabilities (not including the preferred shares) from the Fund's total assets, and dividing this by the number of preferred shares outstanding.
- (9) Plus accumulated and unpaid dividends.

See notes to financial statements

Eaton Vance Insured Municipal Bond Funds as of September 30, 2006

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS CONT'D

Financial Highlights

Selected data for a common share outstanding during the periods stated

Insured California Fund Year Ended September 30, 2002(1)(2) 2006⁽¹⁾ 2005⁽¹⁾ 2004⁽¹⁾ $2003^{(1)}$ Net asset value Beginning of \$ 14.325⁽³⁾ \$ 14.250 \$ 14.180 \$ 14.760 year (Common shares) \$ 14.690 Income (loss) from operations 0.031 Net investment income 1.015 \$ 1.011 \$ 1.033 \$ 0.993 Net realized and unrealized gain (loss) 0.598 0.444 0.021 (0.402)0.420 Distributions to preferred shareholders From net investment income (0.259)(0.162)(0.084)(0.078)\$ 0.513 Total income from operations 1.354 1.293 0.970 \$ 0.451 Less distributions to common shareholders From net investment income (0.764)\$ (0.853) \$ (0.900) \$ (0.901) \$ Total distributions to common shareholders \$ (0.853) \$ (0.900) \$ (0.901) (0.764)Preferred and Common shares offering costs charged to paid-in capital \$ \$ \$ \$ (0.011) \$ (0.016)Preferred Shares underwriting \$ \$ discounts \$ (0.181) Net asset value End of year \$ 14.690 \$ 14.250 \$ 14.180 14.760 (Common shares) 15.280 \$ Market value End of year \$ 15.000 (Common shares) \$ 14.840 \$ 13.920 \$ 13.730 \$ 13.410 Total Investment Return on Net 3.04% (4) Asset Value (5) 9.85% 9.58% 7.34% 2.58% Total Investment Return on 4.71%⁽⁴⁾ Market Value⁽⁵⁾ 12.58% (4.54)% 7.77% 9.36%

See notes to financial statements

Eaton Vance Insured Municipal Bond Funds as of September 30, 2006

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS CONT'D

Financial Highlights

Selected data for a common share outstanding during the periods stated

Insured California Fund

| | | | Year Ended September 30 |), | |
|---|-----------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|
| | $2006^{(1)}$ | $2005^{(1)}$ | $2004^{(1)}$ | 2003 ⁽¹⁾ | 2002 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ |
| Ratios/Supplemental Data | | | | | |
| Net assets applicable to common shares, end of year | | | | | |
| (000's omitted) | \$ 330,464 | \$ 317,785 | \$ 308,277 | \$ 306,656 | \$ 311,634 |
| Ratios (As a percentage of average | net assets applicable to co | ommon shares): | | | |
| Expenses before custodian fee | | | | | |
| reduction ⁽⁶⁾ | 0.85% | 0.84% | 0.83% | 0.80% | 0.61% ⁽⁷⁾ |
| Expenses after custodian fee | | | | | |
| reduction ⁽⁶⁾ | 0.84% | 0.83% | 0.83% | 0.77% | $0.59\%^{(7)}$ |
| Net investment income ⁽⁶⁾ | 6.85% | 6.93% | 7.23% | 7.02% | 2.54% ⁽⁷⁾ |
| Portfolio Turnover | 24% | 16% | 24% | 38% | 0% |

The ratios reported above are based on net assets applicable solely to common shares. The ratios based on net assets, including amounts related to preferred shares, are as follows:

Ratios (As a percentage of average net assets applicable to common and preferred shares):

| Expenses before custodian fee reduction ⁽⁶⁾ | 0.53% | 0.52% | 0.51% | 0.50% | |
|--|-------|-------|-------|-------|--|
| Expenses after custodian fee reduction ⁽⁶⁾ | 0.52% | 0.51% | 0.51% | 0.48% | |
| Net investment income ⁽⁶⁾ | 4.26% | 4.28% | 4.43% | 4.42% | |
| | | | | | |

Senior Securities:

| Total preferred shares outstanding | 7,800 | 7,800 | 7,800 | 7,800 | |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|
| Asset coverage per preferred share ⁽⁸⁾ | \$ 67,375 | \$ 65,745 | \$ 64,524 | \$ 64,316 | |
| Involuntary liquidation preference per | | | | | |
| preferred share ⁽⁹⁾ | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 | |
| Approximate market value per preferred | | | | | |
| share ⁽⁹⁾ | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 | |

⁽¹⁾ Computed using average common shares outstanding.

⁽²⁾ For the period from the start of business, August 30, 2002, to September 30, 2002.

⁽³⁾ Net asset value at beginning of period reflects the deduction of the sales load of \$0.675 per share paid by the shareholder from the \$15.000 offering price.

⁽⁴⁾ Total investment return on net asset value is calculated assuming a purchase at the offering price of \$15.000 less the sales load of \$0.675 per share paid by the shareholder on the first day and a sale at the net asset value on the last day of the period reported. Total investment return on market value is calculated assuming a purchase at the offering price of \$15.000 less the sales load of \$0.675 per share paid by the shareholder on the first day and a sale at the current market price on the last day of the period reported. Total investment return on net asset value and total investment return on market value are not computed on an annualized basis.

- (5) Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market value with all distributions reinvested. Total return is not computed on an annualized basis.
- (6) Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to preferred shareholders.
- (7) Annualized.
- (8) Calculated by subtracting the Fund's total liabilities (not including the preferred shares) from the Fund's total assets, and dividing this by the number of preferred shares outstanding.
- (9) Plus accumulated and unpaid dividends.

See notes to financial statements

Eaton Vance Insured Municipal Bond Funds as of September 30, 2006

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS CONT'D

Financial Highlights

Selected data for a common share outstanding during the periods stated

Insured New York Fund Year Ended September 30, 2002(1)(2) 2006⁽¹⁾ 2005⁽¹⁾ 2004⁽¹⁾ $2003^{(1)}$ Net asset value Beginning of \$ 14.325⁽³⁾ \$ 14.730 \$ 14.390 \$ 14.480 \$ 14.690 year (Common shares) Income (loss) from operations 0.028 Net investment income 1.010 \$ 1.002 \$ 1.019 \$ 0.981 Net realized and unrealized gain $(0.006)^{(10)}$ (loss) 0.424 0.349 (0.120)0.358 Distributions to preferred shareholders From net investment income (0.268)(0.167)(0.089)(0.090)\$ Total income from operations 1.166 1.184 0.810 0.885 \$ 0.386 Less distributions to common shareholders From net investment income (0.756)\$ (0.844) \$ (0.900) \$ (0.900) \$ Total distributions to common shareholders \$ (0.844) \$ (0.900) (0.756)\$ (0.900) Preferred and Common shares offering costs charged to paid-in capital \$ \$ \$ \$ (0.013) \$ (0.021)Preferred Shares underwriting \$ \$ \$ discounts \$ (0.182) Net asset value End of year \$ 14.730 \$ 14.390 \$ 14.480 \$ 14.690 (Common shares) 15.140 Market value End of year \$ 15.060 (Common shares) \$ 14.650 \$ 13.680 \$ 13.860 \$ 13.450 Total Investment Return on Net 2.55% (5) Asset Value (4) 8.41% 8.77% 5.09% 6.10%Total Investment Return on 5.13%⁽⁵⁾ Market Value (4) 12.95% 10.02% 4.88% (4.78)%

See notes to financial statements

Eaton Vance Insured Municipal Bond Funds as of September 30, 2006

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS CONT'D

Financial Highlights

Selected data for a common share outstanding during the periods stated

Insured New York Fund
Year Ended September 30

| | Year Ended September 30, | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|----------------|---------------------|--------------|----------------------|--|
| | $2006^{(1)}$ | $2005^{(1)}$ | 2004 ⁽¹⁾ | $2003^{(1)}$ | $2002^{(1)(2)}$ | |
| Ratios/Supplemental Data | | | | | | |
| Net assets applicable to common shares, end of year | | | | | | |
| (000's omitted) | \$ 237,664 | \$ 231,161 | \$ 225,972 | \$ 227,266 | \$ 223,739 | |
| Ratios (As a percentage of average i | net assets applicable to co | ommon shares): | | | | |
| Expenses before custodian fee | | | | | | |
| reduction(6) | 0.88% | 0.87% | 0.86% | 0.83% | 0.71%(7) | |
| Expenses after custodian fee | | | | | | |
| reduction(6) | 0.88% | 0.86% | 0.85% | 0.79% | $0.68\%^{(7)}$ | |
| Net investment income ⁽⁶⁾ | 6.86% | 6.81% | 7.11% | 6.83% | 2.26% ⁽⁷⁾ | |
| Portfolio Turnover | 14% | 23% | 33% | 64% | 8% | |

The ratios reported are based on net assets applicable solely to common shares. The ratios based on net assets, including amounts related to preferred shares, are as follows:

| Ratios (As a percentage of average net a | assets applicable to comm | on and preferred shares): | | | |
|---|---------------------------|---------------------------|-----------|-----------|--|
| Expenses before custodian fee | 11 | <u>.</u> | | | |
| reduction(6) | 0.55% | 0.54% | 0.52% | 0.52% | |
| Expenses after custodian fee | | | | | |
| reduction ⁽⁶⁾ | 0.54% | 0.53% | 0.52% | 0.50% | |
| Net investment income ⁽⁶⁾ | 4.24% | 4.21% | 4.35% | 4.31% | |
| Senior Securities: | | | | | |
| Total preferred shares outstanding | 5,700 | 5,700 | 5,700 | 5,700 | |
| Asset coverage per preferred | | | | | |
| share ⁽⁸⁾ | \$ 66,705 | \$ 65,560 | \$ 64,646 | \$ 64,884 | |
| Involuntary liquidation preference | | | | | |
| per preferred share ⁽⁹⁾ | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 | |
| Approximate market value per preferred share ⁽⁹⁾ | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 | |

⁽¹⁾ Computed using average common shares outstanding.

⁽²⁾ For the period from the start of business, August 30, 2002, to September 30, 2002.

⁽³⁾ Net asset value at beginning of period reflects the deduction of the sales load of \$0.675 per share paid by the shareholder from the \$15.000 offering price.

⁽⁴⁾ Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market value with all distributions reinvested. Total return is not computed on annualized basis.

⁽⁵⁾ Total investment return on net asset value is calculated assuming a purchase at the offering price of \$15.000 less the sales load of \$0.675 per share paid by the shareholder on the first day and a sale at the net asset value on the last day of the period reported. Total investment return on market value is calculated assuming a purchase at the offering price of \$15.000 less the sales load of \$0.675 per share paid by the shareholder on the first day and a sale at the current market price on the last day of the period reported. Total investment return on net asset value and total investment return on market value are not computed on an annualized basis.

- (6) Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to preferred shareholders.
- (7) Annualized.
- (8) Calculated by subtracting the Fund's total liabilities (not including the preferred shares) from the Fund's total assets, and dividing this by the number of preferred shares outstanding.
- (9) Plus accumulated and unpaid dividends.
- (10) The per share amount is not in accord with the net realized and unrealized gain (loss) on investments for the period because of the timing of sales of Fund shares and the amount of the per share realized and unrealized gains and losses at such time.

See notes to financial statements

Eaton Vance Insured Municipal Bond Funds as of September 30, 2006

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1 Significant Accounting Policies

Eaton Vance Insured Municipal Bond Fund (Insured Municipal Fund), Eaton Vance Insured California Municipal Bond Fund (Insured California Fund), and Eaton Vance Insured New York Municipal Bond Fund (Insured New York Fund), (individually each referred to as a Fund or collectively the Funds) are registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (the 1940 Act), as amended, as non-diversified, closed-end management investment companies. The Insured Municipal Fund was organized under the laws of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts by an Agreement and Declaration of Trust dated July 2, 2002. The Insured California Fund and the Insured New York Fund were organized under the laws of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts by an Agreement and Declaration of Trust dated July 8, 2002. Each Fund's investment objective is to achieve current income exempt from regular federal income tax, including alternative minimum tax, and taxes in its specified state. Each Fund seeks to achieve its objective by investing primarily in high grade municipal obligations that are insured as to the timely payment of principal and interest.

The following is a summary of significant accounting policies consistently followed by each Fund in the preparation of its financial statements. The policies are in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

A Investment Valuation