

AFLAC INC
Form 10-K
February 28, 2007

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006

OR
TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number: 001-07434

Aflac Incorporated

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

GEORGIA

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

1932 Wynnton Road, Columbus, Georgia

(Address of principal executive offices)

58-1167100

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

31999

(ZIP Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: 706.323.3431

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

| Title of each class | Name of each exchange on which registered |
|-------------------------------|---|
| Common Stock, \$.10 Par Value | New York Stock Exchange Tokyo Stock Exchange |

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: **None**

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Edgar Filing: AFLAC INC - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (Section 229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of June 30, 2006, was \$22,618,406,669.

The number of shares of the registrant's Common Stock outstanding at February 20, 2007, with \$.10 par value, was 491,875,747.

Documents Incorporated By Reference

Edgar Filing: AFLAC INC - Form 10-K

Certain information contained in the Notice and Proxy Statement for the Company's Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on May 7, 2007, is incorporated by reference into Part III hereof.

Aflac Incorporated
Annual Report on Form 10-K
For the Year Ended December 31, 2006

Table of Contents

| | Page |
|--|-------------|
| <u>PART I</u> | |
| <u>Item 1. Business.</u> | I-1 |
| <u>Item 1A. Risk Factors.</u> | I-18 |
| <u>Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments.</u> | I-24 |
| <u>Item 2. Properties.</u> | I-24 |
| <u>Item 3. Legal Proceedings.</u> | I-24 |
| <u>Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.</u> | I-24 |
| <u>PART II</u> | |
| <u>Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.</u> | II-1 |
| <u>Item 6. Selected Financial Data.</u> | II-3 |
| <u>Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.</u> | II-5 |
| <u>Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.</u> | II-41 |
| <u>Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.</u> | II-42 |
| <u>Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.</u> | II-96 |
| <u>Item 9A. Controls and Procedures.</u> | II-96 |
| <u>Item 9B. Other Information.</u> | II-98 |
| <u>PART III</u> | |
| <u>Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance.</u> | III-1 |
| <u>Item 11. Executive Compensation.</u> | III-1 |
| <u>Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.</u> | III-1 |
| <u>Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence.</u> | III-2 |
| <u>Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services.</u> | III-2 |
| <u>PART IV</u> | |
| <u>Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules.</u> | IV-1 |

Table of Contents

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS.

We prepare our financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). This report includes certain forward-looking information that is based on current expectations and is subject to a number of risks and uncertainties. For details on forward-looking information, see Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (MD&A), Part II, Item 7, of this report.

Aflac Incorporated qualifies as a large accelerated filer within the meaning of Exchange Act Rule 12b-2. Our Internet address is aflac.com. The information on the Company's Web site is not incorporated by reference in this annual report on Form 10-K. We make available, free of charge on our Web site, our annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and amendments thereto as soon as reasonably practicable after those forms have been electronically filed with or furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC).

General Description

Aflac Incorporated (the Parent Company) was incorporated in 1973 under the laws of the state of Georgia. Aflac Incorporated is a general business holding company and acts as a management company, overseeing the operations of its subsidiaries by providing management services and making capital available. Its principal business is supplemental health and life insurance, which is marketed and administered through its subsidiary, American Family Life Assurance Company of Columbus (Aflac), which operates in the United States (Aflac U.S.) and as a branch in Japan (Aflac Japan). Most of Aflac's policies are individually underwritten and marketed through independent agents. Our insurance operations in the United States and our branch in Japan service the two markets for our insurance business.

We believe Aflac is the world's leading writer of individually issued policies marketed at worksites. We continue to diversify our product offerings in both Japan and the United States. Aflac Japan sells cancer plans, care plans, general medical indemnity plans, medical/sickness riders, living benefit life plans, ordinary life insurance plans and annuities. Aflac U.S. sells cancer plans and various types of health insurance, including accident/disability, fixed-benefit dental, sickness and hospital indemnity, vision care, hospital intensive care, long-term care, ordinary life, and short-term disability plans.

We are authorized to conduct insurance business in all 50 states, the District of Columbia, several U.S. territories and Japan. Aflac Japan accounted for 72% of the Company's total revenues in 2006, 74% in 2005 and 75% in 2004. The percentage of total assets attributable to Aflac Japan was 82% at both December 31, 2006 and 2005. For additional information see Note 2 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in this report.

Results of Operations

For information on our results of operations and financial information by segment, see MD&A and Note 2 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in this report.

[Table of Contents](#)

Foreign Currency Translation

For information regarding the effect of currency fluctuations on our business, see the Foreign Currency Translation and Currency Risk sections of MD&A and Note 2 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in this report.

Insurance Premiums

The growth of earned premiums is directly affected by the change in premiums in force and by the change in weighted-average yen/dollar exchange rates. Consolidated earned premiums were \$12.3 billion in 2006, \$12.0 billion in 2005, and \$11.3 billion in 2004. For additional information on the composition of earned premiums by segment, see Note 2 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in this report. The following table presents the changes in annualized premiums in force for Aflac's insurance business for the years ended December 31.

| (In millions) | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Annualized premiums in force, beginning of year | \$ 12,415 | \$ 12,604 | \$ 11,446 |
| New sales, including conversions | 2,433 | 2,426 | 2,319 |
| Change in unprocessed new sales | (56) | (67) | (106) |
| Premiums lapsed and surrendered | (1,589) | (1,483) | (1,398) |
| Other | 79 | 58 | 86 |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment | (87) | (1,123) | 257 |
| Annualized premiums in force, end of year | \$ 13,195 | \$ 12,415 | \$ 12,604 |

Insurance - Japan

We translate Aflac Japan's annualized premiums in force into dollars at the respective end-of-period exchange rates. Changes in annualized premiums in force are translated at weighted-average exchange rates. The following table presents the changes in annualized premiums in force for Aflac Japan for the years ended December 31.

| (In millions of dollars and billions of yen) | In Dollars | | | In Yen | | |
|---|------------|----------|----------|--------|-------|-------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Annualized premiums in force, beginning of year | \$ 8,705 | \$ 9,230 | \$ 8,403 | 1,028 | 962 | 900 |
| New sales, including conversions | 1,010 | 1,167 | 1,133 | 117 | 129 | 123 |
| Change in unprocessed new sales | (56) | (67) | (106) | (6) | (8) | (11) |
| Premiums lapsed and surrendered | (463) | (470) | (469) | (54) | (52) | (51) |
| Other | (15) | (32) | 12 | (2) | (3) | 1 |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment | (87) | (1,123) | 257 | - | - | - |
| Annualized premiums in force, end of year | \$ 9,094 | \$ 8,705 | \$ 9,230 | 1,083 | 1,028 | 962 |

Table of Contents

For further information regarding Aflac Japan's financial results, sales and the Japanese economy, see the Aflac Japan section of MD&A in this report.

Insurance - U.S.

The following table presents the changes in annualized premiums in force for Aflac U.S. for the years ended December 31.

| (In millions) | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|---|----------|----------|----------|
| Annualized premiums in force, beginning of year | \$ 3,711 | \$ 3,374 | \$ 3,043 |
| New sales, including conversions | 1,423 | 1,259 | 1,186 |
| Premiums lapsed | (1,127) | (1,012) | (929) |
| Other | 94 | 90 | 74 |
| Annualized premiums in force, end of year | \$ 4,101 | \$ 3,711 | \$ 3,374 |

For further information regarding Aflac's U.S. financial results and sales, see the Aflac U.S. section of MD&A in this report.

Insurance Products - Japan

Aflac Japan's insurance products are designed to help consumers pay for medical and nonmedical costs that are not reimbursed under Japan's national health insurance system. Changes in Japan's economy and an aging population have put increasing pressure on Japan's national health care system. As a result, more costs are being shifted to Japanese consumers, who in turn have become increasingly interested in insurance products that help them manage those costs. Aflac Japan has responded to this consumer need by enhancing existing products and developing new products.

Aflac Japan's stand-alone medical product, EVER, offers a basic level of hospitalization coverage with an affordable premium. We introduced two new versions of EVER in early 2005: EVER Half and EVER Bonus. EVER Half is a whole-life medical policy with benefits similar to the original EVER product. With EVER Half, premiums are cut in half when the policyholder reaches age 60 or 65. EVER Bonus has all of the same features of EVER Half, but also provides a bonus payment every 10 years unless the hospitalization benefit was paid for 10 or more consecutive days. In addition, EVER Bonus provides a death benefit and a cash surrender value. We continue to believe that the medical category will be an important part of our product portfolio.

The cancer life insurance plans we offer in Japan provide a lump-sum benefit upon initial diagnosis of internal cancer and a fixed daily benefit for hospitalization and outpatient services related to cancer as well as surgical, convalescent and terminal care benefits. These plans differ from Aflac U.S. cancer plans in that the Japanese policies may also provide death benefits and cash surrender values. In 2006, we designed a new cancer product for distribution by Dai-ichi Life that is customized for their market. In 2005, we introduced a new cancer insurance product that incorporates a wellness benefit, while also increasing the daily outpatient benefit to the same level as the hospitalization

Table of Contents

benefit. Our Rider MAX product provides accident and medical/sickness benefits as a rider to our cancer life policy.

The life products that we offer in Japan provide death benefits and cash surrender values. These products are available as stand-alone policies and riders. We introduced a new product called WAYS in early 2006. WAYS is a life insurance policy that allows policyholders to convert a portion of their life insurance to medical, nursing care, or fixed annuity benefits at a predetermined age.

We also offer traditional fixed-income annuities and care policies. For additional information on Aflac Japan's products and composition of sales, see the Aflac Japan section of MD&A in this report.

Insurance Products - U.S.

We design our U.S. insurance products to provide supplemental coverage for people who already have major medical or primary insurance coverage. The policies are portable and pay regardless of other insurance. Our health insurance plans are guaranteed-renewable for the lifetime of the policyholder (to age 70 for short-term disability policies). We cannot cancel guaranteed-renewable coverage, but we can increase premium rates on existing policies on a uniform, nondiscriminatory basis by class of policy in response to adverse experience. Any premium rate increases are subject to state regulatory approval. We have had minimal rate increase activity in the last five years.

Aflac U.S. offers an accident and disability policy to protect against losses resulting from accidents. The accident portion of the policy includes lump-sum benefits for accidental death, dismemberment, and specific injuries as well as fixed benefits for hospital confinement. Optional disability riders are also available. Short-term disability policies provide disability benefits with a variety of elimination and benefit period options. The longest such benefit period offered is two years.

Our U.S. cancer plans are designed to provide insurance benefits for medical and nonmedical costs that are not covered by major medical insurance. Benefits include a first-occurrence benefit that pays an initial amount when internal cancer is first diagnosed; a fixed amount for each day an insured is hospitalized for cancer treatment; fixed amounts for radiation, chemotherapy, and surgery; and a wellness benefit applicable toward certain diagnostic tests.

Our hospital indemnity products provide fixed daily benefits for hospitalization due to accident or sickness. In 2005, we introduced a new version of our hospital indemnity plan. Indemnity benefits for inpatient and outpatient surgeries, as well as various other diagnostic expenses, are also available. Our sickness indemnity plan provides a fixed daily benefit for hospitalization due to sickness and fixed amounts for physician services for accident or sickness.

In July 2006, we introduced a revised specified health event policy that gives consumers three benefit and premium levels from which they may select. One of the levels combines the new specified health event policy with our intensive care plan. By leveraging administrative efficiencies, consumers can purchase the combined coverage for less money than if they had purchased the policies separately.

Table of Contents

Aflac U.S. offers term and whole-life policies sold through payroll deduction at the worksite and various term and whole-life policies on a direct basis. In early 2006, we introduced a revised life insurance portfolio called the Life Protector Series. This product line offers term policies with varying duration options and a new whole-life policy with additional benefits, including an increased face value option. These revisions greatly enhanced the product category and contributed to its success in the marketplace.

We also offer a series of fixed-benefit dental policies, providing various levels of benefits for dental procedures, including checkups and cleanings. Plan features include a renewal guarantee, no deductible and no network restrictions.

In 2005, we introduced Vision NowSM, which provides benefits for serious eye health conditions and loss of sight. Vision Now includes coverage for corrective eye materials and exam benefits.

We also offer other health insurance products including tax qualified and non-qualified long-term care plans. For additional information on Aflac's U.S. products and composition of sales, see the Aflac U.S. section of MD&A in this report.

Distribution - Japan

We sell our products primarily through two distribution channels: independent/individual agencies and affiliated corporate agencies.

The individual agencies and independent corporate agencies that sell our products give us better access to workers at the vast number of small businesses in Japan. Agents' activities are primarily focused on insurance sales, with customer service support provided by the Aflac Contact Center. Individual agencies contributed 58% of total new annualized premium sales in 2006, and 57% in both 2005 and 2004.

Affiliated corporate agencies are formed when companies establish subsidiary businesses to sell our insurance products to their employees, suppliers and customers. These agencies help us reach employees at large worksites, including 90% of the companies listed on the Tokyo Stock Exchange. Reflecting changed employment patterns and consumers' desire for consultation, Aflac's sales growth through large affiliated corporate agencies has slowed for several years. However, we still consider the corporate channel to be an important part of our distribution system and believe it is a valuable means for reaching workers at large employers. To rejuvenate this channel, we are encouraging our affiliated agencies to move away from passive, or "pull" sales tactics, like direct mailings and newspaper ads, and instead adopt active, or "push" techniques that involve more follow-up calls and face-to-face sales consultations. We are also working to have some of our larger affiliated agencies form alliances with individual agencies who already have expertise in a face-to-face sales approach. Affiliated corporate agencies contributed 33% of total new annualized premium sales in 2006, compared with 35% in 2005 and 36% in 2004.

To improve the overall effectiveness of our sales force, we started a new training initiative. This new program uses six months of classroom training and practical field training to equip sales

Table of Contents

associates with the skills necessary for their success. By the end of 2006, we had trained more than 700 of Aflac Japan's field employees, who in turn, are now positioned to train primarily newly recruited sales associates.

Aflac Service Shops, which are primarily owned and operated by individual sales agencies, are gaining in popularity as many consumers in Japan seek one-on-one consultation and convenience in handling their insurance needs. By the end of 2006, we had 570 Aflac Service Shops throughout Japan.

As of December 31, 2006, there were approximately 18,400 agencies in Japan with more than 90,000 licensed agents, compared with approximately 17,960 agencies and 81,700 licensed agents a year ago. We believe that new agencies will continue to be attracted to Aflac Japan's high commissions, superior products, customer service and brand image.

We also continued to reach consumers through our strategic marketing alliance with Dai-ichi Mutual Life Insurance Company (Dai-ichi Life). We believe our alliance has been one of the most successful partnerships in the insurance industry. In 2006, Dai-ichi Life sold 269,700 of our market leading cancer life policies, compared with 277,700 policies in 2005 and 244,400 policies in 2004, leading Dai-ichi to retain its distinction as the number two seller of cancer insurance behind only Aflac Japan.

We expect that our distribution system will continue to evolve in Japan. Regulatory changes that are scheduled to take effect on December 31, 2007 will enable banks to sell our products to their customers. Although there will undoubtedly be a lot of competition for the bank distribution channel, we have established long-term relationships with many banks throughout Japan, and we are excited about the opportunity to grow our business through this channel beginning in 2008.

For additional information on Aflac Japan's distribution, see the Aflac Japan section of MD&A in this report.

Distribution - U.S.

Our U.S. sales force comprises sales associates who are independent contractors licensed to sell accident and health insurance. Many are also licensed to sell life insurance. Associates are paid personal production commissions based on first-year and renewal premiums from their sales of insurance products. In addition to receiving commissions on personal production, associates who serve as district, regional and state sales coordinators may also receive override commissions and incentive bonuses. Most associates' efforts are principally focused on selling supplemental insurance at the worksite. Administrative personnel in Georgia, New York, and Nebraska handle policyholder service functions, including issuance of policies, premium collection, payment notices and claims.

Table of Contents

We concentrate on marketing our products at the worksite. This method offers policies to individuals through common media such as employment, trade and other associations. This manner of marketing is distinct from the group insurance sales approach, as our primary method of enrollment results from the individual insured being directly contacted by the sales associate. Policies are individually underwritten, with premiums generally paid by the employee. Additionally, Aflac policies are portable, meaning that individuals may retain their full insurance coverage upon separation from employment or such affiliation, generally at the same premium. We collect a major portion of premiums on such sales through payroll deduction or other forms of centralized billing. Worksite marketing enables a sales associate to reach a greater number of prospective policyholders and lowers distribution costs, compared with individually marketed business.

During 2004 and 2005, we took several steps to enhance our distribution system. We concentrated on expanding our sales management infrastructure and training and recruiting initiatives. In 2006, we continued our focus on recruiting and training as a means for growing our producer base. During 2006, recruiting was up 7.8% to approximately 26,100 new sales associates, compared with 2005. The total number of licensed agents was 8.5% higher at December 31, 2006, compared with year-end 2005.

The goal of our recruiting and training focus has been to increase the number of sales associates producing business on a weekly basis. We believe that we can achieve better producer growth through more effective and standardized training for our sales associates and sales management. As previously disclosed, we shifted our focus during 2006 from average monthly producers to average weekly producers as both a reporting and management metric. This shift enables active management of our sales associates and allows our sales management to monitor progress and needs on a real-time basis. On a weekly basis, the average number of U.S. associates actively producing business during 2006 was 10,300, compared with 9,700 in both 2005 and 2004.

For new sales associates, we continued to implement LEASE training, which stands for Larger Earnings by Acquiring Smaller Employers. In 2006, we merged LEASE with the New Associate Training Cycle, combining classroom instruction, e-learning through *Aflac University*® and field training. We believe that combining LEASE into the New Associate Training Cycle will help get new sales associates off to a quick start.

In addition, associates that exhibit leadership skills are invited to participate in our national Coordinator in Training (CIT) program, which we introduced nationwide in July 2005. The goal of the CIT program is to build a pool of well-trained candidates for the district sales coordinator (DSC) position, which is the first level of Aflac sales management. As such, the CIT program concentrates on developing their skills so that they have a better chance to succeed as a DSC and beyond.

And finally, we introduced an enhanced regional coordinator accreditation program (RCAP) in 2006. This program was designed for both new and experienced regional sales coordinators (RSCs). In early 2006, we began bringing current RSCs to Aflac Worldwide Headquarters for five days of intense and interactive workshops in areas such as recruiting, leadership, planning, team building, and technology.

Table of Contents

For additional information on Aflac's U.S. distribution, see the Aflac U.S. section of MD&A in this report.

Competition - Japan

In 1974, Aflac became the second non-Japanese life insurance company to gain direct access to the Japanese insurance market by obtaining an operating license. Through 1981, we faced limited competition for cancer life insurance policy sales. However, Japan has experienced two periods of deregulation since we entered the market. The first came in the early 1980s, when nine mid-sized insurers, including domestic and foreign, were allowed to sell cancer insurance products for the first time. In 2001, all life and non-life insurers were allowed to sell stand-alone cancer and medical insurance products as well as other stand-alone health insurance products. As a result, the number of insurance companies offering stand-alone cancer and medical insurance has more than doubled in the five years since full deregulation. However, based on our growth of annualized premiums in force and agencies, we do not believe that our market leading position has been significantly impacted by increased competition as a result of deregulation. Furthermore, we believe the continued development and maintenance of operating efficiencies will allow us to offer affordable products that appeal to consumers.

Aflac has had substantial success selling cancer life policies in Japan, with 14 million cancer life policies in force as of December 31, 2006. We believe we will remain a leading provider of cancer life insurance coverage in Japan, principally due to our experience in the market, low-cost operations, unique marketing system (see Distribution - Japan above) and product expertise.

We have also experienced substantial success selling medical insurance in Japan. While other companies have recognized the opportunities that we have seen in the medical insurance market and offered new products, we believe our products stand out as a tremendous value to consumers. Aflac Japan continued to be the number one seller of stand-alone medical insurance in the life insurance industry in terms of policy sales throughout the year.

Competition - U.S.

Approximately 2,000 life insurance companies are licensed in the United States. We compete against several insurers on a national basis plus other insurers regionally. We believe our policies and premium rates, as well as the commissions paid to our sales agents, are competitive with those offered by other companies providing similar types of insurance. However, we believe our U.S. business is distinct from our competitors because of our product focus, distribution system, and brand awareness. For many of the other companies that sell supplemental insurance, it represents a secondary business. For us, it is our primary business. We also believe that our growing distribution system of independent sales associates expands our business opportunities, while our advertising campaigns have increased our name awareness and the effectiveness of our branding efforts.

Private insurers and voluntary and cooperative plans, such as Blue Cross and Blue Shield, provide insurance for hospitalization and medical expenses. Much of this insurance is sold on a group basis. The federal and state governments also pay substantial costs of medical treatment through various

Table of Contents

programs. Such major medical insurance generally covers a substantial amount of the medical expenses incurred by an insured as a result of accident and disability, cancer or other major illnesses. Aflac's policies are designed to provide coverage that supplements major medical insurance and may also be used to defray nonmedical expenses. Thus, we do not compete directly with major medical insurers. However, the scope of major medical coverage offered by other insurers does represent a potential limitation on the market for our products. Accordingly, expansion of coverage by other insurers or governmental programs could adversely affect our business opportunities. Conversely, any reduction of coverage, or increased deductibles and copayments, by other insurers or governmental programs could favorably affect our business opportunities.

Investments and Investment Results

Net investment income was \$2.2 billion in 2006, \$2.1 billion in 2005, and \$2.0 billion in 2004. Growth of net investment income during the last three years has been impacted by low available investment yields for new money in both Japan and the United States. In particular, Japan's life insurance industry has contended with low investment yields for a number of years. Although the Bank of Japan ended its zero-interest-rate policy in 2006, market yields on long-duration fixed maturity securities, which we primarily purchase, did not increase significantly during the year.

Investments - Japan

The following table presents the composition of total investments and cash for Aflac Japan (\$42.5 billion in 2006 and \$39.5 billion in 2005) as of December 31.

Composition of Securities by Sector

| | 2006 | 2005 |
|--|--------|--------|
| Debt securities, at amortized cost: | | |
| Government and guaranteed | 19.8 % | 22.2 % |
| Municipalities | .1 | .1 |
| Public utilities | 8.0 | 7.6 |
| Collateralized debt obligations | .3 | - |
| Banks/financial institutions | 44.6 | 43.3 |
| Sovereign and supranational | 8.8 | 8.9 |
| Mortgage- and asset-backed securities | .8 | .4 |
| Other corporate | 16.7 | 16.1 |
| Total debt securities | 99.1 | 98.6 |
| Equity securities and other | .1 | .2 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | .8 | 1.2 |
| Total investments and cash | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Yen-denominated debt securities accounted for 93% of Aflac Japan's total debt securities at both December 31, 2006 and 2005.

Table of Contents

Funds available for investment include cash flows from operations, which include investment income, and funds generated from bond swaps, maturities and redemptions. Aflac Japan purchased debt security investments totaling approximately 687.9 billion yen in 2006 (approximately \$5.9 billion), 873.4 billion yen in 2005 (approximately \$7.8 billion), and 556.1 billion yen in 2004 (approximately \$5.1 billion). Equity security purchases were immaterial during the three-year period ended December 31, 2006. The following table presents the composition of debt security purchases for the years ended December 31.

Composition of Purchases by Sector

| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|--|--------|--------|--------|
| Debt security purchases, at cost: | | | |
| Government and guaranteed | 23.6 % | 43.9 % | 30.0 % |
| Municipalities | .1 | - | - |
| Public utilities | 9.2 | 2.3 | 8.0 |
| Collateralized debt obligations | 2.5 | - | - |
| Banks/financial institutions | 36.3 | 46.8 | 50.0 |
| Sovereign and supranational | 8.9 | .2 | 6.7 |
| Mortgage- and asset-backed securities | 3.5 | .4 | .6 |
| Other corporate | 15.9 | 6.4 | 4.7 |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

The distributions by credit rating of Aflac Japan's purchases of debt securities for the years ended December 31, based on acquisition cost, were as follows:

Composition of Purchases by Credit Rating

| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|-------|--------|--------|--------|
| AAA | 9.7 % | 1.7 % | 6.9 % |
| AA | 53.7 | 50.1 | 47.7 |
| A | 33.4 | 43.6 | 30.8 |
| BBB | 3.2 | 4.6 | 14.6 |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

The distributions of debt securities owned by Aflac Japan by credit rating were as follows:

Composition by Credit Rating

| | December 31, 2006 | | December 31, 2005 | |
|-------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------|------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
| AAA | 4.8 % | 4.8 % | 2.9 % | 2.9 % |
| AA | 37.9 | 39.0 | 37.0 | 38.6 |
| A | 38.0 | 37.6 | 37.0 | 36.6 |
| BBB | 16.6 | 16.4 | 21.0 | 20.3 |
| BB or lower | 2.7 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 1.6 |

| | | | | |
|-------|---------------|---------------|--------|--------|
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |
|-------|---------------|---------------|--------|--------|

I-10

Table of Contents

The increase in Aflac Japan's holding of AAA-rated debt securities resulted from purchases as well as credit ratings upgrades. The increase in BB or lower resulted from credit ratings downgrades.

Investments - U.S.

The following table presents the composition of total investments and cash for Aflac U.S. (\$7.1 billion in 2006 and \$6.5 billion in 2005) as of December 31.

Composition of Securities by Sector

| | 2006 | 2005 |
|--|--------|--------|
| Debt securities, at amortized cost: | | |
| Government and guaranteed | 4.8 % | 4.3 % |
| Municipalities | .8 | .4 |
| Collateralized debt obligations | .2 | - |
| Mortgage- and asset-backed securities | 3.2 | 2.6 |
| Public utilities | 9.4 | 9.8 |
| Sovereign and supranational | 3.3 | 3.7 |
| Banks/financial institutions | 44.0 | 41.6 |
| Other corporate | 29.6 | 31.7 |
| Total debt securities | 95.3 | 94.1 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 4.7 | 5.9 |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Funds available for investment include cash flows from operations, which include investment income, and funds generated from bond swaps, maturities and redemptions. Aflac U.S. purchased debt security investments totaling approximately \$1.2 billion in both 2006 and 2005, and \$1.1 billion in 2004. Equity security purchases were immaterial during the three-year period ended December 31, 2006. The following table presents the composition of debt security purchases for the years ended December 31.

Composition of Purchases by Sector

| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|--|--------|---------|--------|
| Debt security purchases, at cost: | | | |
| Government and guaranteed | 6.5 % | 14.1 % | 9.2 % |
| Municipalities | 2.5 | .1 | .1 |
| Mortgage- and asset-backed securities | 5.4 | 9.9 | 9.9 |
| Collateralized debt obligations | .8 | - | - |
| Public utilities | 4.6 | 3.9 | 8.0 |
| Sovereign and supranational | - | 2.4 | .3 |
| Banks/financial institutions | 54.9 | 36.0 | 45.8 |
| Other corporate | 25.3 | 33.6 | 26.7 |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0 % | 100.0% |

Table of Contents

The distributions by credit rating of Aflac's U.S. purchases of debt securities for the years ended December 31, based on acquisition cost, were as follows:

Composition of Purchases by Credit Rating

| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|-------|---------------|-------------|-------------|
| AAA | 15.1 % | 33.8 % | 19.1 % |
| AA | 26.1 | 17.4 | 12.2 |
| A | 42.9 | 37.4 | 63.0 |
| BBB | 15.9 | 11.4 | 5.7 |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

The distributions of debt securities owned by Aflac U.S. by credit rating were as follows:

Composition by Credit Rating

| | December 31, 2006 | | December 31, 2005 | |
|-------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
| AAA | 11.8 % | 10.9 % | 11.0 % | 10.0 % |
| AA | 17.0 | 17.0 | 12.4 | 12.5 |
| A | 47.5 | 48.2 | 50.6 | 51.7 |
| BBB | 21.6 | 22.0 | 22.2 | 22.5 |
| BB or lower | 2.1 | 1.9 | 3.8 | 3.3 |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

For additional information on the composition of our investment portfolios and investment results, see the Investments and Cash section in MD&A and Notes 3 and 4 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in this report.

Regulation - Japan

The financial and business affairs of Aflac Japan are subject to examination by Japan's Financial Services Agency (FSA). Aflac Japan files annual reports and financial statements for the Japanese insurance operations based on a March 31 fiscal year end, prepared in accordance with Japanese regulatory accounting practices prescribed or permitted by the FSA. Japanese regulatory basis earnings are determined using accounting principles that differ materially from U.S. GAAP. Under Japanese regulatory accounting practices, policy acquisition costs are charged off immediately; deferred income tax liabilities are recognized on a different basis; policy benefit and claim reserving methods and assumptions are different; the carrying value of securities transferred to held to maturity is different; policyholder protection fund obligations are not accrued; and premium income is recognized

Table of Contents

on a cash basis. Reconciliations of the net assets of the Japan branch on a U.S. GAAP basis to net assets determined on a Japanese regulatory accounting basis as of December 31 were as follows:

| (In millions) | 2006 | 2005 |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|
| Aflac Japan net assets on GAAP basis | \$ 5,740 | \$ 5,472 |
| Elimination of deferred policy acquisition costs | (3,857) | (3,624) |
| Adjustment to income tax liabilities | 1,746 | 1,501 |
| Adjustment to policy liabilities | (239) | 139 |
| Adjustment of unrealized gains and other adjustments to carrying value of debt securities | (532) | (518) |
| Elimination of policyholder protection fund liability | 175 | 203 |
| Reduction in premiums receivable | (91) | (96) |
| Other, net | (331) | (290) |
| Aflac Japan net assets on Japanese regulatory accounting basis | \$ 2,611 | \$ 2,787 |

The FSA maintains a solvency standard, which is used by Japanese regulators to monitor the financial strength of insurance companies. Aflac Japan's solvency margin continues to significantly exceed regulatory minimums. A portion of Aflac Japan's annual earnings, as determined on a Japanese regulatory accounting basis, may be repatriated each year to Aflac U.S. after complying with solvency margin provisions and satisfying various conditions imposed by Japan's regulatory authorities for protecting policyholders. These repatriated profits represent a portion of Aflac Japan's after-tax earnings reported to the FSA on a March 31 fiscal year basis. If needed, we may elect not to repatriate profits to Aflac U.S. or to repatriate a reduced amount to strengthen Aflac Japan's solvency margin. In addition, the FSA may not allow profit repatriations or other transfers of funds to Aflac U.S. if they would cause Aflac Japan to lack sufficient financial strength for the protection of Japanese policyholders. We do not expect these requirements to adversely affect the funds available for profit repatriations. Nor do we expect these requirements to adversely affect the funds available for payments of allocated expenses to Aflac U.S. and management fees to the Parent Company.

As disclosed in our third quarter 2006 Form 10-Q, Aflac Japan, along with the entire life insurance industry, began a review of the last five years of paid claims to determine if those claims were paid fully and accurately. In a recent notification from the FSA, the review process has been changed from a voluntary program to one that is mandatory and has been expanded to include a review of cash surrender value payments. Additionally, the FSA established a reporting deadline of April 13, 2007. We expect to complete our review of claims and cash surrender value payments and report our findings to the FSA within the time frame specified. As a result of this review, we have found some payment errors and we are correcting them. Additionally, we are using this review to identify process changes that will help ensure that payment errors such as these are not repeated. Although immaterial to our financial statements, we have provided for our estimate of the underpaid portion of those claims in our unpaid policy claims liability as of December 31, 2006.

Table of Contents

The Japanese insurance industry has a policyholder protection fund that provides funds for the policyholders of insolvent insurers. For additional information regarding the policyholder protection fund, see the Policyholder Protection Fund section of MD&A and Note 2 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in this report.

In October 2005, legislation aimed at privatizing Japan's postal system (Japan Post) was enacted into law. Prior to the move to privatize, Japan Post enjoyed significant advantages over the private sector, which in turn made competing against it difficult for the private sector. The privatization laws split Japan Post into four independent entities and generally recognize the need for a level playing field between Japan Post and the private sector. Specifically, the laws contain a commitment to implement measures to ensure equivalent conditions of competition between the four privatized Japan Post companies and other companies engaged in like business operations. The laws also require the postal insurance entity of Japan Post to ultimately be subject to the same tax and policyholder safety-net contribution requirements as its private industry competitors. In addition, the insurance entity would also be subject to Insurance Business Law and FSA supervision. The privatization process is scheduled to be completed by October 2017.

In July 2006, Japan Post submitted an outline of its privatization plan to the government. When the new Japan Post financial entities start operations on October 1, 2007, they will be limited to the products that they now currently offer. Japan Post is scheduled to submit a full version of its privatization plan to the government in April 2007. Various government entities will then review the plan. This review should be completed by the end of July 2007. After October 2007, any new business proposals would, in addition to the normal FSA approval process, have to undergo a special review by Japan's Postal Privatization Committee and approval by Japan's Prime Minister and the Minister for Internal Affairs and Communications.

Our branch in Japan is also subject to regulation and supervision in the United States (see Regulation - U.S.). For additional information regarding Aflac Japan's operations and regulations, see the Aflac Japan section of MD&A and Notes 2 and 11 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in this report.

Regulation - U.S.

The Parent Company and its insurance subsidiaries are subject to state regulations in the United States as an insurance holding company system. Such regulations generally provide that transactions between companies within the holding company system must be fair and equitable. In addition, transfers of assets among such affiliated companies, certain dividend payments from insurance subsidiaries, and material transactions between companies within the system are subject to prior notice to, or approval by, state regulatory authorities.

Table of Contents

Like all U.S. insurance companies, Aflac is subject to regulation and supervision in the jurisdictions in which they do business. In general, the insurance laws of the various jurisdictions establish supervisory agencies with broad administrative powers relating to, among other things:

- granting and revoking licenses to transact business
- regulating trade practices
- licensing of agents
- approval of policy forms and premium rates
- standards of solvency and maintenance of specified policy benefit reserves and minimum loss ratio requirements
- capital requirements
- limitations on dividends to shareholders
- the nature of and limitations on investments
- deposits of securities for the benefit of policyholders
- filing of financial statements prepared in accordance with statutory insurance accounting practices prescribed or permitted by regulatory authorities
- periodic examinations of the market conduct, financial, and other affairs of insurance companies

Additionally, the National Association of Insurance Commissioners (NAIC) is constantly reviewing regulatory matters and recommending changes and revisions for adoption by state legislators and insurance departments.

The NAIC uses a risk-based capital formula relating to insurance risk, business risk, asset risk and interest rate risk to facilitate identification by insurance regulators of inadequately capitalized insurance companies based upon the types and mixtures of risks inherent in the insurer's operations. The formulas for determining the amount of risk-based capital specify various weighting factors that are applied to financial balances or various levels of activity based on the perceived degree of risk. Regulatory compliance is determined by a ratio of the Company's regulatory total adjusted capital to its authorized control level risk-based capital as defined by the NAIC. Companies below specific trigger points or ratios are classified within certain levels, each of which requires specified corrective action. The levels are Company action, regulatory action, authorized control, and mandatory control. Aflac's NAIC risk-based capital ratio remains high and reflects a very strong capital and surplus position.

For further information concerning Aflac U.S. operations, regulation and dividend restrictions, see the Aflac U.S. section of MD&A and Notes 2 and 11 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in this report.

Table of Contents**Executive Officers of the Registrant**

| NAME | PRINCIPAL OCCUPATION* | AGE |
|----------------------|---|------------|
| Daniel P. Amos | Chairman, Aflac Incorporated and Aflac; Chief Executive Officer, Aflac Incorporated and Aflac; President, Aflac until January 2007 | 55 |
| Paul S. Amos II | President, Aflac since January 2007; Chief Operating Officer, U.S. Operations, Aflac, since February 2006; Executive Vice President, U.S. Operations, Aflac, from January 2005 until January 2007; State Sales Coordinator from November 2002 until December 2004 | 31 |
| Yuji Arai | Senior Vice President, Investments and Investment Analysis, Aflac Japan, since January 2005; Principal Financial Officer, Aflac Japan, since January 2005; Vice President, Investments and Investment Analysis, Aflac Japan from January 2002 until December 2004 | 44 |
| Susan R. Blanck | Senior Vice President, Corporate Actuary, Aflac since January 2006; Senior Vice President, Deputy Corporate Actuary, Aflac from March 2004 until December 2005; Vice President, Associate Actuary until March 2004 | 40 |
| Kriss Cloninger III | President, Aflac Incorporated; Chief Financial Officer, Aflac Incorporated and Aflac; Executive Vice President, Aflac; Treasurer, Aflac Incorporated | 59 |
| Rebecca C. Davis | Executive Vice President, Chief Administrative Officer, Aflac, since October 2004; Senior Vice President, Chief Administrative Officer, Aflac, until October 2004 | 56 |
| Martin A. Durant III | Senior Vice President, Corporate Finance, Aflac Incorporated, since July 2006; Senior Vice President, Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer, Carmike Cinemas, Inc. until March 2006 | 58 |
| Jun Isonaka | Senior Vice President, Sales, Aflac Japan since January 2007; Vice President, Contact Center, Aflac Japan from January 2006 to December 2006; Vice President, Territory Director, Northeast Territory, Aflac Japan from January 2005 to December 2005; Vice President, Administrative and Customer Service Division, Aflac Japan from January 2002 to December 2004 | 49 |
| Kenneth S. Janke Jr. | Senior Vice President, Investor Relations, Aflac Incorporated | 48 |
| W. Jeremy Jeffery | Senior Vice President, Chief Investment Officer, Aflac since January 2007; Senior Vice President, Deputy Chief Investment Officer, Aflac from October 2005 until January 2007; Executive Director, Morgan Stanley until October 2005 | 56 |

Table of Contents

| | | |
|---------------------|--|----|
| Akitoshi Kan | President, Aflac Japan, since April 2005; Chairman, Aflac International, Inc. since January 2005.; Chief Operating Officer, Aflac Japan, since January 2005; Executive Vice President, U.S. Internal Operations, Aflac, until December 2004 | 59 |
| Ronald E. Kirkland | Senior Vice President, Director of Sales, Aflac, since January 2005; Vice President, West Territory Director, Aflac, from October 2004 until January 2005; State Sales Coordinator, Missouri, until October 2004 | 62 |
| Charles D. Lake II | Vice Chairman, Aflac Japan, since April 2005; President, Aflac Japan, from January 2003 until March 2005; Deputy President, Aflac Japan, until December 2002 | 45 |
| Joey M. Loudermilk | Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary, Aflac Incorporated and Aflac; Director, Legal and Governmental Relations, Aflac | 53 |
| Hidefumi Matsui | Chairman, Aflac Japan, since January 2003; President, Aflac Japan, until December 2002 | 62 |
| Takaaki Matsumoto | First Senior Vice President, Director of Marketing and Sales, Aflac Japan since January 2007; Senior Vice President, Director of Marketing, Aflac Japan from February 2006 to December 2006; Vice President, Aflac Japan, from January 2005 to January 2006; General Manager, East Japan Claims Department, Aflac Japan, until December 2004 | 58 |
| Ralph A. Rogers Jr. | Senior Vice President, Financial Services, Aflac Incorporated and Aflac; Chief Accounting Officer, Aflac Incorporated and Aflac, since January 2002; Treasurer, Aflac, since March 2002 | 58 |
| Hiroshi Yamauchi | First Senior Vice President and Chief Administrative Officer, Aflac Japan, since January 2005; First Senior Vice President, Director of Operations, Aflac Japan, from January 2003 until January 2005; First Senior Vice President, Director of Administrative and Customer Service Division, Aflac Japan, from January 2002 until January 2003; Vice President, General Manager of Policy Maintenance Department, Aflac Japan, until January 2002 | 55 |

**Unless specifically noted, the respective executive officer has held the occupation(s) set forth in the table for at least the last five years. Each executive officer is appointed annually by the board of directors and serves until his or her successor is chosen and qualified, or until his or her death, resignation or removal.*

Table of Contents

Employees

Aflac Japan had 3,394 employees as of December 31, 2006. Aflac U.S. had 4,017 employees as of December 31, 2006. We consider our employee relations to be excellent.

Other Operations

Our other operations include the Parent Company and a printing subsidiary. These operations had 293 employees as of December 31, 2006. We consider our relations with these employees to be excellent. For additional information on our other operations, see the Other Operations section of MD&A.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS.

Risk Factors

We face a wide range of risks, and our continued success depends on our ability to identify, prioritize and appropriately manage our enterprise risk exposures. Readers should carefully consider each of the following risks and all of the other information set forth in this Form 10-K. These risks and other factors may affect forward-looking statements, including those in this document or made by the Company elsewhere, such as in earnings release webcasts, investor conference presentations or press releases. The risks and uncertainties described herein may not be the only ones facing the Company. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to us or that we currently believe to be immaterial may also adversely affect our business. If any of the following risks and uncertainties develops into actual events, it could have a material impact on the Company.

We operate in an industry that is subject to ongoing changes.

We operate in a competitive environment and in an industry that is subject to ongoing changes from market pressures brought about by customer demands, legislative reform and marketing practices. These factors require us to anticipate market trends and make changes to differentiate our products and services from those of our competitors. We also face the potential of competition from existing or new companies that have not historically been in the supplemental health insurance industry. Failure to anticipate market trends and/or to differentiate our products and services can affect our ability to retain or grow profitable lines of business.

Our concentration of business in Japan poses risks to our operations.

Our operations in Japan accounted for 72%, 74%, and 75% of our total revenues for 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively, and 82% of our total assets at both December 31, 2006 and 2005. As a result, weakness in Japan's economy could adversely affect our business. The weak economy in Japan that emerged in the early 1990s resulted in a challenging marketing environment for Aflac Japan, with declining available investment yields for new investments and decreased consumer confidence. Although the Japanese economy has shown signs of improvement from time to time, the time required for a full recovery remains uncertain.

Table of Contents

Japanese currency translation risk could adversely impact operating results.

Due to the size of Aflac Japan, where our functional currency is the Japanese yen, fluctuations in the yen/dollar exchange rate can have a significant effect on our reported financial position and results of operations. In periods when the yen weakens, translating yen into dollars causes fewer dollars to be reported. When the yen strengthens, translating yen into dollars causes more dollars to be reported.

Aflac Japan's premiums and most of its investment income are received in yen. Claims and expenses are paid in yen, and we primarily purchase yen-denominated assets to support yen-denominated policy liabilities. These and other yen-denominated financial statement items are translated into dollars for financial reporting purposes. However, it is important to distinguish between translating and converting foreign currency. Except for a limited number of transactions, we do not actually convert yen into dollars. As a result, we view foreign currency translation as a financial reporting issue for Aflac and not an economic event to our Company or shareholders.

General market conditions affect investments and investment income.

We have substantial investment portfolios that support our policy liabilities. Low levels of interest rates on investments, such as those experienced in the United States and Japan during recent years, have negatively impacted the level of investment income earned by the Company. Slower investment income growth will occur if a low-interest-rate environment persists.

Financial market conditions can also affect our realized and unrealized investment gains or losses. During periods of rising interest rates, the fair values of our investments will decline. Conversely, during periods of falling interest rates, the fair values of our investments will rise. However, should significant amounts of unrealized gains/losses occur because of changes in market yields, we would not expect to realize significant gains or losses due to our ability and intent to hold the securities to maturity or recovery of value.

Availability of acceptable yen-denominated investments could adversely affect our profits.

We attempt to match the duration of our assets with the duration of our liabilities. At December 31, 2006, the average duration of Aflac Japan's policy liabilities and its yen-denominated debt securities was approximately 13 years. When our debt securities mature, there is a risk that the proceeds will be reinvested at a yield below that of the interest required for the accretion of policy liabilities. If this occurs, Aflac Japan's business would be adversely affected.

Concentration of our investment portfolios in any particular sector of the economy may have an adverse effect on our financial position or results of operations.

The concentration of our investment portfolios in any particular industry, group of related industries or geographic sector could have an adverse effect on our investment portfolios and, consequently, on our results of operations and financial position. Events or developments that have a negative impact on any particular industry, group of related industries or geographic sector may have a greater adverse effect on the investment portfolios to the extent that the portfolios are concentrated rather than diversified.

Table of Contents

If future policy benefits, claims or expenses exceed those anticipated in establishing premiums and reserves, our financial results would be adversely affected.

We establish and carry, as a liability, reserves based on estimates of how much will be required to pay for future benefits and claims. We calculate these reserves using various assumptions and estimates, including premiums we will receive over the assumed life of the policy; the timing, frequency and severity of the events covered by the insurance policy; and the investment returns on the assets we purchase with a portion of our net cash flow from operations. These assumptions and estimates are inherently uncertain. Accordingly, we cannot determine with precision the ultimate amounts that we will pay for, or the timing of payment of, actual benefits and claims or whether the assets supporting the policy liabilities will grow to the level we assume prior to payment of benefits or claims. If our actual experience is different from our assumptions or estimates, our reserves may prove inadequate. As a result, we would incur a charge to earnings in the period in which we determine such a shortfall exists. This estimation process is a critical accounting policy for the Company.

Our operating subsidiaries provide cash flow to the Parent Company.

Aflac Incorporated is a holding company and has no direct operations or no significant assets other than the stock of its subsidiaries. Because we conduct our operations through our operating subsidiaries, we depend on those entities for dividends and other payments to generate the funds necessary to meet our financial obligations. There is no assurance that the earnings from, or other available assets of, our operating subsidiaries will be sufficient to make distributions to us to enable us to operate.

Extensive regulation can impact profitability and growth.

Aflac's insurance subsidiaries are subject to complex laws and regulations that are administered and enforced by a number of governmental authorities, including state insurance regulators, the SEC, the NAIC, the FSA, the Ministry of Finance (MOF) in Japan, the U.S. Department of Justice, state attorneys general, and the Internal Revenue Service, each of which exercises a degree of interpretive latitude. Consequently, we are subject to the risk that compliance with any particular regulator's or enforcement authority's interpretation of a legal or regulatory issue may not result in compliance with another regulator's or enforcement authority's interpretation of the same issue, particularly when compliance is judged in hindsight. There is also a risk that any particular regulator's or enforcement authority's interpretation of a legal or regulatory issue may change over time to our detriment. In addition, changes in the overall legal or regulatory environment may, even absent any particular regulator's or enforcement authority's interpretation of an issue changing, cause us to change our views regarding the actions we need to take from a legal or regulatory risk management perspective, thus necessitating changes to our practices that may, in some cases, limit our ability to grow or otherwise negatively impact the profitability of our business.

Table of Contents

The primary purpose of insurance company regulation supervision is the protection of insurance policyholders, rather than investors. The extent of regulation varies, but generally is governed by state statutes in the United States and by the FSA and the MOF in Japan. These systems of supervision and regulation cover, among other things:

- standards of establishing and setting premium rates and the approval thereof
- standards of minimum capital requirements and solvency margins, including risk-based capital measures
- restrictions on, limitations on and required approval of certain transactions between our insurance subsidiaries and their affiliates, including management fee arrangements
- restrictions on the nature, quality and concentration of investments
- restrictions on the types of terms and conditions that we can include in the insurance policies offered by our primary insurance operations
- limitations on the amount of dividends that insurance subsidiaries can pay or foreign profits that can be repatriated
- the existence and licensing status of the Company under circumstances where it is not writing new or renewal business
- certain required methods of accounting
- reserves for unearned premiums, losses and other purposes
- assignment of residual market business and potential assessments for the provision of funds necessary for the settlement of covered claims under certain policies provided by impaired, insolvent or failed insurance companies
- administrative practices requirements
- imposition of fines and other sanctions

Sales of our products and services are dependent on our ability to attract, retain and support a network of qualified sales associates.

Our sales could be adversely affected if our sales networks deteriorate or if we do not adequately provide support, training and education for our existing network. Competition exists for sales associates with demonstrated ability. We compete with other insurers and financial institutions primarily on the basis of our products, compensation, support services and financial rating. An inability to attract and retain qualified sales associates could have a material adverse effect on sales and our results of operations and financial condition. Our sales associates are independent contractors and may sell products of our competitors. If our competitors offer products that are more attractive than ours, or pay higher commissions than we do, these sales associates may concentrate their efforts on selling our competitors' products instead of ours.

Table of Contents

Success of our business depends in part on effective information technology systems and on continuing to develop and implement improvements in technology; certain significant multi-year strategic information technology projects are currently in process.

Our business depends in large part on our technology systems for interacting with employers, policyholders and sales associates, and our business strategy involves providing customers with easy-to-use products to meet their needs. Some of our information technology systems and software are older, legacy-type systems that are less efficient and require an ongoing commitment of significant resources to maintain or upgrade to current standards (including adequate business continuity procedures). We are currently developing new systems to keep pace with continuing changes in information processing technology, evolving industry and regulatory standards, and customer demands. Our success is dependent in large part on maintaining or improving the effectiveness of existing systems and continuing to develop and enhance information systems that support our business processes in a cost-efficient manner.

Changes in accounting standards issued by the FASB or other standard-setting bodies may adversely affect our financial statements.

Our financial statements are subject to the application of generally accepted accounting principles in both the United States and Japan, which are periodically revised and/or expanded. Accordingly, we are required to adopt new or revised accounting standards issued by recognized authoritative bodies, including the FASB. It is possible that future changes we are required to adopt could change the current accounting treatment that we apply to our consolidated financial statements and that such changes could have a material adverse effect on our results and financial condition.

Any decrease in our financial strength ratings may have an adverse effect on our competitive position.

Financial strength ratings are important factors in establishing the competitive position of insurance companies and generally have an effect on an insurance company's business. On an ongoing basis, rating agencies review the financial performance and condition of insurers and could downgrade or change the outlook on an insurer's ratings due to, for example, a change in an insurer's statutory capital; a change in a rating agency's determination of the amount of risk-adjusted capital required to maintain a particular rating; an increase in the perceived risk of an insurer's investment portfolio; a reduced confidence in management; or other considerations that may or may not be under the insurer's control. Because all of our ratings are subject to continuous review, the retention of these ratings cannot be assured. A multi-level downgrade in any of these ratings could have a material adverse effect on agent recruiting and retention, sales, competitiveness, and the marketability of our products impacting our liquidity, operating results and financial condition.

Table of Contents

We face risks related to litigation.

We are a defendant in various lawsuits considered to be in the normal course of business. Senior legal and financial management review litigation on a quarterly and annual basis. The final results of any litigation cannot be predicted with certainty. Although some of this litigation is pending in states where large punitive damages bearing little relation to the actual damages sustained by plaintiffs have been awarded in recent years, we believe the outcome of pending litigation will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows. However, litigation could adversely affect us because of the costs of defending these cases, costs of settlement or judgments against us or because of changes in our operations that could result from litigation.

Managing key executive succession is critical to our success.

We would be adversely affected if we fail to adequately plan for succession of our senior management and other key executives. While we have succession plans and employment arrangements with certain key executives, these do not guarantee that the services of these executives will be available to us.

We also face other risks that could adversely affect our business, results of operations or financial condition, which include:

- any requirement to restate financial results in the event of inappropriate application of accounting principles
- failure of our processes to prevent and detect unethical conduct of employees
- a significant failure of internal controls over financial reporting
- failure of our prevention and control systems related to employee compliance with internal policies and regulatory requirements
- failure of corporate governance policies and procedures

Table of Contents

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS.

Not applicable.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES.

Aflac owns land and buildings that comprise two primary campuses located in Columbus, Georgia. These campuses include buildings that serve as our worldwide headquarters and house administrative support functions for our U.S. operations. Aflac also leases administrative office space in Georgia, New York, and Nebraska. In 2005, we announced a multi-year building project for additional office space in Columbus, Georgia. The initial phase is to be completed in 2007 and will provide additional space for administrative support functions. The next phase of the expansion is anticipated to begin in mid-2007.

In Tokyo, Japan, Aflac has two primary campuses. The first campus includes a building that Aflac owns that serves as customer service center. The second campus comprises leased space, which serves as our Japan branch headquarters and houses administrative support functions for the Japan branch. Aflac also leases additional office space in Tokyo, along with regional offices located throughout the country.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.

We are a defendant in various lawsuits considered to be in the normal course of business. Senior legal and financial management review litigation on a quarterly and annual basis. The final results of any litigation cannot be predicted with certainty. Although some of this litigation is pending in states where large punitive damages bearing little relation to the actual damages sustained by plaintiffs have been awarded in recent years, we believe the outcome of pending litigation will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS.

There were no matters submitted to the security holders for a vote during the quarter ended December 31, 2006.

I-24

Table of Contents**PART II****ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES.****Market Information**

Aflac Incorporated's common stock is principally traded on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol AFL. Our stock is also listed on the Tokyo Stock Exchange. The quarterly high and low market prices for the Company's common stock, as reported on the principal exchange market for the two years ended December 31, were as follows:

Quarterly Common Stock Prices

| 2006 | High | Low |
|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 4th Quarter | \$ 46.20 | \$ 42.50 |
| 3rd Quarter | 46.85 | 41.63 |
| 2nd Quarter | 49.29 | 44.40 |
| 1st Quarter | 49.40 | 44.72 |
| | | |
| 2005 | High | Low |
| 4th Quarter | \$ 49.65 | \$ 44.38 |
| 3rd Quarter | 46.33 | 42.72 |
| 2nd Quarter | 44.15 | 35.50 |
| 1st Quarter | 40.42 | 36.86 |

Holders

| | 2006 | 2005 |
|--|--------------------|-------------|
| Number of common shares outstanding | 492,549,966 | 498,893,553 |
| Number of registered common shareholders | 82,647 | 80,808 |

Dividends Paid

| | 2006 | 2005 |
|-------------|---------------|--------|
| 4th Quarter | \$.16 | \$.11 |
| 3rd Quarter | .13 | .11 |
| 2nd Quarter | .13 | .11 |
| 1st Quarter | .13 | .11 |

In October 2006, the board of directors declared the first quarter 2007 cash dividend of \$.185 per share. The dividend is payable on March 1, 2007, to shareholders of record at the close of business on

February 16, 2007. We expect comparable dividends to continue to be paid in future periods. For

II-1

Table of Contents

information concerning dividend restrictions, see the Capital Resources and Liquidity section of the MD&A and Note 11 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements presented in this report.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

During the fourth quarter of 2006, we repurchased shares of Aflac stock as follows:

| Period | (a) Total Number of Shares Purchased | (b) Average Price Paid Per Share | (c) Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs | (d) Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs |
|-----------------------------|---|--|--|---|
| October 1 - October 31 | 1,494,800 | \$ 44.79 | 1,494,800 | 38,283,263 |
| November 1 - November 30 | 1,638,900 | 44.74 | 1,638,900 | 36,644,363 |
| December 1 - December 31 | - | - | - | 36,644,363 |
| Total | 3,133,700 | \$ 44.76 | 3,133,700 | 36,644,363 |

Of the shares available for purchase, 6,644,363 shares relate to a 30,000,000 share repurchase authorization approved by the board and announced in February 2004. The remaining 30,000,000 shares relate to a 30,000,000 repurchase authorization approved by the board and announced in February 2006.

Table of Contents**ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA.****Aflac Incorporated and Subsidiaries
Years Ended December 31,**

(In millions, except for share and per-share amounts)

| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 | 2002 |
|--|-----------|-----------|-------------|------------|----------|
| Revenues: | | | | | |
| Premiums, principally supplemental health insurance | \$ 12,314 | \$ 11,990 | \$ 11,302 | \$ 9,921 | \$ 8,595 |
| Net investment income | 2,171 | 2,071 | 1,957 | 1,787 | 1,614 |
| Realized investment gains (losses) | 79 | 262 | (12) | (301) | (14) |
| Other income | 52 | 40 | 34 | 40 | 62 |
| Total revenues | 14,616 | 14,363 | 13,281 | 11,447 | 10,257 |
| Benefits and expenses: | | | | | |
| Benefits and claims | 9,016 | 8,890 | 8,482 | 7,529 | 6,589 |
| Expenses | 3,336 | 3,247 | 3,026 | 2,720 | 2,445 |
| Total benefits and expenses | 12,352 | 12,137 | 11,508 | 10,249 | 9,034 |
| Pretax earnings | 2,264 | 2,226 | 1,773 | 1,198 | 1,223 |
| Income taxes | 781 | 743 | 507 | 430 | 438 |
| Net earnings | \$ 1,483 | \$ 1,483 | (1)\$ 1,266 | (2)\$ 768 | \$ 785 |
| | | | | | (3) |
| Share and Per-Share Amounts | | | | | |
| Net earnings (basic) | \$ 2.99 | \$ 2.96 | (1)\$ 2.49 | (2)\$ 1.50 | \$ 1.52 |
| Net earnings (diluted) | 2.95 | 2.92 | (1)2.45 | (2)1.47 | 1.49 |
| Cash dividends paid | .55 | .44 | .38 | .30 | .23 |
| Common shares used for basic EPS (In thousands) | 495,614 | 500,939 | 507,333 | 513,220 | 517,541 |
| Common shares used for diluted EPS (In thousands) | 501,827 | 507,704 | 516,421 | 522,138 | 528,326 |
| Supplemental Data | | | | | |
| Yen/dollar exchange rate at year-end (yen) | 119.11 | 118.07 | 104.21 | 107.13 | 119.90 |
| Weighted-average yen/dollar exchange rate (yen) | 116.31 | 109.88 | 108.26 | 115.95 | 125.15 |

(1) Includes a benefit of \$34 (\$.07 per basic and diluted share) for the release of a valuation allowance for deferred tax assets in 2005

(2) Includes a benefit of \$128 (\$.25 per basic and diluted share) for the release of the valuation allowance for deferred tax assets and a benefit of \$3 (\$.01 per basic and diluted share) for the Japan pension obligation transfer in 2004

(3) Includes a charge of \$26 (\$.05 per basic and diluted share) for the policyholder protection fund in 2002 in Japan

Table of Contents**Aflac Incorporated and Subsidiaries
December 31,**

| (In millions) | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 | 2002 |
|--|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Assets: | | | | | |
| Investments and cash | \$ 51,972 | \$ 48,989 | \$ 51,955 | \$ 44,050 | \$ 39,147 |
| Other | 7,833 | 7,372 | 7,371 | 6,914 | 5,911 |
| Total assets | \$ 59,805 | \$ 56,361 | \$ 59,326 | \$ 50,964 | \$ 45,058 |
| Liabilities and shareholders equity: | | | | | |
| Policy liabilities | \$ 45,440 | \$ 42,329 | \$ 43,556 | \$ 39,240 | \$ 32,726 |
| Notes payable | 1,426 | 1,395 | 1,429 | 1,409 | 1,312 |
| Income taxes | 2,462 | 2,577 | 2,445 | 2,187 | 2,362 |
| Other liabilities | 2,136 | 2,133 | 4,320 | 1,480 | 2,262 |
| Shareholders equity | 8,341 | 7,927 | 7,576 | 6,648 | 6,396 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders equity | \$ 59,805 | \$ 56,361 | \$ 59,326 | \$ 50,964 | \$ 45,058 |

II-4

Table of Contents

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.

FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION

The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 provides a "safe harbor" to encourage companies to provide prospective information, so long as those informational statements are identified as forward-looking and are accompanied by meaningful cautionary statements identifying important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those included in the forward-looking statements. We desire to take advantage of these provisions. This report contains cautionary statements identifying important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those projected herein, and in any other statements made by Company officials in communications with the financial community and contained in documents filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). Forward-looking statements are not based on historical information and relate to future operations, strategies, financial results or other developments. Furthermore, forward-looking information is subject to numerous assumptions, risks, and uncertainties. In particular, statements containing words such as "expect," "anticipate," "believe," "goal," "objective," "may," "estimate," "intends," "projects," "will," "assumes," "potential," "target," or similar words as well as specific projections of future results, generally qualify as forward-looking. Aflac undertakes no obligation to update such forward-looking statements.

We caution readers that the following factors, in addition to other factors mentioned from time to time, could cause actual results to differ materially from those contemplated by the forward-looking statements:

- legislative and regulatory developments
- assessments for insurance company insolvencies
- competitive conditions in the United States and Japan
- new product development and customer response to new products and new marketing initiatives
- ability to attract and retain qualified sales associates and employees
- ability to repatriate profits from Japan
- changes in U.S. and/or Japanese tax laws or accounting requirements
- credit and other risks associated with Aflac's investment activities
- significant changes in investment yield rates
- fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates
- deviations in actual experience from pricing and reserving assumptions including, but not limited to, morbidity, mortality, persistency, expenses, and investment yields
- level and outcome of litigation

- downgrades in the Company's credit rating
- changes in rating agency policies or practices
- subsidiary's ability to pay dividends to the Parent Company
- ineffectiveness of hedging strategies
- catastrophic events
- general economic conditions in the United States and Japan

II-5

Table of Contents

COMPANY OVERVIEW

Aflac Incorporated (the Parent Company) and its subsidiaries (the Company) primarily sell supplemental health and life insurance in the United States and Japan. The Company's insurance business is marketed and administered through American Family Life Assurance Company of Columbus (Aflac), which operates in the United States (Aflac U.S.) and as a branch in Japan (Aflac Japan). Most of Aflac's policies are individually underwritten and marketed through independent agents. Our insurance operations in the United States and our branch in Japan service the two markets for our insurance business.

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (MD&A) is intended to inform the reader about matters affecting the financial condition and results of operations of Aflac Incorporated and its subsidiaries for the three-year period ended December 31, 2006. As a result, the following discussion should be read in conjunction with the related consolidated financial statements and notes.

This MD&A is divided into four primary sections. In the first section, we discuss our critical accounting estimates. We then follow with a discussion of the results of our operations on a consolidated basis and by segment. The third section presents an analysis of our financial condition as well as a discussion of market risks of financial instruments. We conclude by addressing the availability of capital and the sources and uses of cash in the Capital Resources and Liquidity section.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES

We prepare our financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires us to make estimates based on currently available information when recording transactions resulting from business operations. The estimates that we deem to be most critical to an understanding of Aflac's results of operations and financial condition are those related to investments, deferred policy acquisition costs and policy liabilities. The preparation and evaluation of these critical accounting estimates involve the use of various assumptions developed from management's analyses and judgments. The application of these critical accounting estimates determines the values at which 95% of our assets and 84% of our liabilities are reported and thus have a direct effect on net earnings and shareholders' equity. Subsequent experience or use of other assumptions could produce significantly different results.

Investments

Aflac's investments in debt and equity securities include both publicly issued and privately issued securities. For privately issued securities, we receive pricing data from external sources that take into account each security's credit quality and liquidity characteristics. We also routinely review our investments that have experienced declines in fair value to determine if the decline is other than temporary. These reviews are performed with consideration of the facts and circumstances of an issuer in accordance with SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 59, Accounting for Non-Current Marketable Equity Securities; Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 115,

Table of Contents

Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities; Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Staff Position 115-1, The Meaning of Other-Than-Temporary Impairment and Its Application to Certain Investments and related guidance. The identification of distressed investments, the determination of fair value if not publicly traded, and the assessment of whether a decline is other than temporary involve significant management judgment and require evaluation of factors, including but not limited to:

- percentage decline in value and the length of time during which the decline has occurred
- recoverability of principal and interest
- market conditions
- ability to hold the investment to maturity
- review of the issuer's overall operating performance
- rating agency opinions and actions regarding the issuer's credit standing
- adverse changes in the issuer's availability of production resources, revenue sources and technological conditions
- adverse changes in the issuer's economic, regulatory or political environment

Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs and Policy Liabilities

Aflac's products are generally long-duration fixed-benefit indemnity contracts. As such, our products are accounted for under the requirements of SFAS No. 60, Accounting and Reporting by Insurance Enterprises. We make estimates of certain factors that affect the profitability of our business to match expected policy benefits and deferrable acquisition costs with expected policy premiums. These assumptions include persistency, morbidity, mortality, investment yields and expenses. If actual results match the assumptions used in establishing policy liabilities and the deferral and amortization of acquisition costs, profits will emerge as a level percentage of earned premiums. However, because actual results will vary from the assumptions, profits as a percentage of earned premiums will vary from year to year.

We measure the adequacy of our policy reserves and recoverability of deferred policy acquisition costs (DAC) annually by performing gross premium valuations on our business. Our testing indicates that our insurance liabilities are adequate and that our DAC is recoverable.

Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs

Under the requirements of SFAS No. 60, certain costs of acquiring new business are deferred and amortized over the policy's premium payment period in proportion to anticipated premium income. Future amortization of DAC is based upon our estimates of persistency, interest, and future premium revenue generally established at the time of policy issuance. However, the unamortized balance of DAC reflects actual persistency. As presented in the following table, the ratio of unamortized DAC to annualized premiums in force has been relatively stable for Aflac U.S. over the last three years. The ratio of unamortized DAC to annualized premiums in force has shown a slight upward trend for Aflac Japan for the last three years. This trend is a result of a greater proportion of our annualized premiums being under the

alternative commission schedule, which pays a higher commission on first-year premiums and lower commissions on renewal premiums. This schedule is very popular with our new agents as it helps them with cash flow for personal and business needs as they build their

II-7

Table of Contents

business. While this has resulted in a higher unamortized DAC balance, the overall cost to the company has been reduced.

Deferred Policy Acquisition Cost Ratios

| (In millions) | Aflac Japan (In Yen) | | | Aflac U.S. (In Dollars) | | |
|---|-------------------------|-----------|---------|----------------------------|----------|----------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Deferred policy acquisition costs | 459,404 | 427,894 | 397,261 | \$ 2,168 | \$ 1,966 | \$ 1,783 |
| Annualized premiums in force | 1,083,126 | 1,027,762 | 961,895 | 4,101 | 3,711 | 3,374 |
| Deferred policy acquisition costs as a percentage of annualized premiums in force | 42.4 | % 41.6 | % 41.3 | % 52.9 | % 53.0 | % 52.8 |

Policy Liabilities

The following table provides details of policy liabilities by segment and in total as of December 31.

Policy Liabilities

| (In millions) | 2006 | 2005 |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|-----------|
| U.S. segment: | | |
| Future policy benefits | \$ 4,391 | \$ 3,780 |
| Unpaid policy claims | 816 | 848 |
| Other policy liabilities | 158 | 143 |
| Total U.S. policy liabilities | \$ 5,365 | \$ 4,771 |
| Japan segment: | | |
| Future policy benefits | \$ 36,447 | \$ 34,071 |
| Unpaid policy claims | 1,574 | 1,657 |
| Other policy liabilities | 2,051 | 1,828 |
| Total Japan policy liabilities | \$ 40,072 | \$ 37,556 |
| Consolidated: | | |
| Future policy benefits | \$ 40,841 | \$ 37,853 |
| Unpaid policy claims | 2,390 | 2,504 |
| Other policy liabilities | 2,209 | 1,972 |
| Total consolidated policy liabilities | \$ 45,440 | \$ 42,329 |

Our policy liabilities, which are determined in accordance with SFAS No. 60 and Actuarial Standards of Practice, include two primary components: future policy benefits and unpaid policy claims, which accounted for 90% and 5% of total policy liabilities as of December 31, 2006, respectively.

Future policy benefits provide for claims that will occur in the future and are generally calculated as the

present value of future expected benefits to be incurred less the present value of future expected net benefit premiums. We calculate future policy benefits based on assumptions of morbidity, mortality, persistency and interest. These assumptions are generally established at the time a policy

II-8

Table of Contents

is issued. The assumptions used in the calculations are closely related to those used in developing the gross premiums for a policy. As required by GAAP, we also include a provision for adverse deviation, which is intended to accommodate adverse fluctuations in actual experience.

Unpaid policy claims include those claims that have been incurred and are in the process of payment as well as an estimate of those claims that have been incurred but have not yet been reported to us. We compute unpaid policy claims on an undiscounted basis using statistical analyses of historical claims payments, adjusted for current trends and changed conditions. We update the assumptions underlying the estimate of unpaid policy claims regularly and incorporate our historical experience as well as other data that provides information regarding our outstanding liability.

Our insurance products provide fixed-benefit amounts that are not subject to medical-cost inflation. Furthermore, our business is widely dispersed in both the United States and Japan. This geographic dispersion and the nature of our benefit structure mitigate the risk of a significant unexpected increase in claims payments due to epidemics and events of a catastrophic nature. Claims incurred under Aflac's policies are generally reported and paid in a relatively short time frame. The unpaid claims liability is sensitive to morbidity assumptions, in particular, severity and frequency of claims. Severity is the ultimate size of a claim, and frequency is the number of claims incurred. Our claims experience is primarily related to the demographics of our policyholders.

During the fourth quarter of 2006, we increased Aflac's U.S. unpaid policy claims liability by \$28 million. As part of our analysis of recent cancer claims experience, we noted that the overall duration of cancer outpatient treatments has lengthened. Since our reserving methods are designed to fully accrue each cancer outpatient treatment as of the date of initial treatment, we increased our estimate of the Aflac U.S. unpaid policy claims liability by \$28 million. This change in estimate is reflected in current year's earnings. If we had been using the new claims factors to produce our claim liability estimates at the end of 2005, the increase for 2006 would have been \$9 million. We expect that the annual impact on future earnings will be approximately \$9 million.

In addition, during the fourth quarter we transferred \$170 million of the Aflac U.S. unpaid policy claims liability to future policy benefits. This transfer was primarily related to new product lines where early policy duration claims have been lower than we anticipated. However, our analysis indicates that it is appropriate to hold this liability in future policy benefits. This transfer had no impact on net earnings.

Also during the fourth quarter of 2006, we transferred \$85 million from Aflac Japan's unpaid policy claims liability to its future policy benefits liability. This transfer, which was primarily related to a continued decline in current period claims caused by changes to health care delivery in Japan, had no effect on net earnings. Our 2006 claims review indicated that we experienced a continued decline in the average number of hospital days associated with the typical cancer treatment period in Japan. We believe the average number of days declined primarily due to changes in financial incentives provided to hospitals by the government-sponsored health care system in Japan to shorten the average hospital stay. However, our claims statistics also indicated that the declines in the average number of days per hospitalization are generally offset by an increase in the frequency of

Table of Contents

hospitalizations associated with the treatment of cancer. This shift reduced current period claims, and future period claims are anticipated to increase by similar amounts.

In computing the estimate of unpaid policy claims, we consider many factors, including the benefits and amounts available under the policy; the volume and demographics of the policies exposed to claims; and internal business practices, such as incurred date assignment and current claim administrative practices. We monitor these conditions closely and make adjustments to the liability as actual experience emerges. Claim levels are generally stable from period to period; however, fluctuations in claim levels may occur. In calculating the unpaid policy claim liability, we do not calculate a range of estimates. The following table shows the expected sensitivity of the unpaid policy claims liability as of December 31, 2006, to changes in severity and frequency of claims. For the years 2004 through 2006, and before the effect of the fourth quarter 2006 adjustments noted above, our assumptions changed on average by approximately 1% in total, and we believe that a variation in assumptions in a range of plus or minus 1% in total is reasonably likely to occur.

Sensitivity of Unpaid Policy Claims Liability

| (In millions) | Total Severity | | | | |
|------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Total Frequency | Decrease by 2% | Decrease by 1% | Unchanged | Increase by 1% | Increase by 2% |
| Increase by 2% | \$ - | \$ 16 | \$ 31 | \$ 48 | \$ 65 |
| Increase by 1% | (16) | - | 16 | 32 | 48 |
| Unchanged | (31) | (16) | - | 16 | 32 |
| Decrease by 1% | (47) | (32) | (16) | - | 16 |
| Decrease by 2% | (62) | (47) | (31) | (16) | - |

New Accounting Pronouncements

During the last three years, various accounting standard-setting bodies have been active in soliciting comments and issuing statements, interpretations and exposure drafts. For information on new accounting pronouncements, see Note 1 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

II-10

Table of Contents**RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

The following table is a presentation of items impacting net earnings and net earnings per diluted share for the years ended December 31.

Items Impacting Net Earnings

| | In Millions | | | Per Diluted Share | | |
|---|-------------|----------|----------|-------------------|---------|---------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Net earnings | \$ 1,483 | \$ 1,483 | \$ 1,266 | \$ 2.95 | \$ 2.92 | \$ 2.45 |
| Items impacting net earnings, net of tax: | | | | | | |
| Realized investment gains (losses) | 51 | 167 | (5) | .10 | .33 | (.01) |
| Impact from SFAS 133 | - | (10) | (13) | - | (.02) | (.03) |
| Release of deferred tax asset valuation allowance | - | 34 | 128 | - | .07 | .25 |
| Japanese pension obligation transfer | - | - | 3 | - | - | .01 |

Realized Investment Gains and Losses

Our investment strategy is to invest in fixed-income securities to provide a reliable stream of investment income, which is one of the drivers of the Company's profitability. We do not purchase securities with the intent of generating capital gains or losses. However, investment gains and losses may be realized as a result of changes in the financial markets and the creditworthiness of specific issuers, tax planning strategies, and/or general portfolio maintenance and rebalancing. The realization of investment gains and losses is independent of the underwriting and administration of our insurance products, which are the principal drivers of our profitability.

In 2006, we realized pretax gains of \$79 million (after-tax, \$51 million, or \$.10 per diluted share) primarily as a result of bond swaps and the liquidation of equity securities held by Aflac U.S. In 2005, we realized pretax gains of \$262 million (after-tax, \$167 million, or \$.33 per diluted share) primarily as a result of bond swaps. We began our bond-swap program in the second half of 2005 and concluded it in the first half of 2006. These bond swaps took advantage of tax loss carryforwards and also resulted in an improvement in overall portfolio credit quality and investment income.

During 2004, we received an issuer's offer to redeem certain available-for-sale yen-denominated debt securities held by the Company. We accepted the issuer's offer of \$205 million and recorded a pretax loss of \$23 million. This investment loss and other investment gains and losses in the normal course of business decreased 2004 pretax earnings by \$12 million (after-tax, \$5 million, or \$.01 per diluted share).

Impact from SFAS 133

We entered into cross-currency swap agreements to effectively convert our dollar-denominated senior notes, which mature in 2009, into a yen-denominated obligation (see Notes 4 and 7 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements). The effect of issuing fixed-rate, dollar-denominated debt and swapping it into fixed-rate, yen-denominated debt has the same economic impact on Aflac

II-11

Table of Contents

as if we had issued yen-denominated debt of a like amount. However, the accounting treatment for cross-currency swaps is different from issuing yen-denominated Samurai and Uridashi notes. SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, as amended (SFAS 133), requires that the change in the fair value of the interest rate component of the cross-currency swaps, which does not qualify for hedge accounting, be reflected in net earnings (other income). This change in fair value is determined by relative dollar and yen interest rates and has no cash impact on our results of operations. At maturity, the fair value will equal initial contract fair value, and the cumulative impact of gains and losses from the changes in fair value of the interest component will be zero. We have the ability and intent to retain the cross-currency swaps until their maturity. The impact from SFAS 133 includes the change in fair value of the interest rate component of the cross-currency swaps, which does not qualify for hedge accounting.

We have also issued yen-denominated Samurai and Uridashi notes. We have designated these notes as a hedge of our investment in Aflac Japan. If the value of these yen-denominated notes and the notional amounts of the cross-currency swaps exceed our investment in Aflac Japan, we would be required to recognize the foreign currency effect on the excess, or ineffective portion, in net earnings (other income). The ineffective portion would be included in the impact from SFAS 133. These hedges were effective during the three-year period ended December 31, 2006; therefore, there was no impact on net earnings.

During the third quarter of 2006, we entered into interest rate swap agreements related to the 20 billion yen variable interest rate Uridashi notes (see Notes 4 and 7 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements). By entering into these contracts, we have been able to lock in the interest rate at 1.52% in yen. We have designated these interest rate swaps as a hedge of the variability in our interest cash flows associated with the variable interest rate Uridashi notes. The notional amounts and terms of the swaps match the principal amount and terms of the variable interest rate Uridashi notes, and the swaps had no value at inception. SFAS 133 requires that the change in the fair value of the swap contracts be recorded in other comprehensive income so long as the hedge is deemed effective. Any ineffectiveness is recognized in net earnings (other income). The impact from SFAS 133 would include any ineffectiveness associated with these interest rate swaps. The fair value of these swaps and related changes in fair value were immaterial during the year ended December 31, 2006. See Notes 1 and 4 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information.

Nonrecurring Items

We received regulatory approval for a change in the allocation of expenses under the management fee agreement between Aflac and the Parent Company in 2005. This enabled the Parent Company to fully utilize its tax-basis, non-life operating losses and therefore release the valuation allowance on the associated deferred tax assets, resulting in a benefit of \$34 million (\$.07 per diluted share) in 2005.

The American Jobs Creation Act of 2004 eliminated the 90% limitation on the utilization of foreign tax credits. As a result of this tax law change, we recognized a benefit of \$128 million (\$.25 per diluted share) in 2004 for the release of the valuation allowance associated with certain deferred tax

Table of Contents

assets. The 2005 and 2004 tax benefits are included as reductions to income tax expense in the consolidated statements of earnings.

During 2004, we concluded the process of returning the substitutional portion of Aflac Japan's pension plan to the Japanese government as allowed by the Japan Pension Insurance Law. We recognized a one-time gain (other income) as the result of this transfer to the Japanese government in the amount of \$6 million (after-tax, \$3 million, or \$.01 per diluted share) in 2004.

Foreign Currency Translation

Aflac Japan's premiums and most of its investment income are received in yen. Claims and expenses are paid in yen, and we primarily purchase yen-denominated assets to support yen-denominated policy liabilities. These and other yen-denominated financial statement items are translated into dollars for financial reporting purposes. We translate Aflac Japan's yen-denominated income statement into dollars using an average exchange rate for the reporting period, and we translate its yen-denominated balance sheet using the exchange rate at the end of the period. However, it is important to distinguish between translating and converting foreign currency. Except for a limited number of transactions, we do not actually convert yen into dollars.

Due to the size of Aflac Japan, where our functional currency is the Japanese yen, fluctuations in the yen/dollar exchange rate can have a significant effect on our reported results. In periods when the yen weakens, translating yen into dollars results in fewer dollars being reported. When the yen strengthens, translating yen into dollars results in more dollars being reported. Consequently, yen weakening has the effect of suppressing current year results in relation to the prior year, while yen strengthening has the effect of magnifying current year results in relation to the prior year. As a result, we view foreign currency translation as a financial reporting issue for Aflac and not an economic event to our Company or shareholders. Because changes in exchange rates distort the growth rates of our operations, management evaluates Aflac's financial performance excluding the impact of foreign currency translation.

Income Taxes

Our combined U.S. and Japanese effective income tax rate on pretax earnings was 34.5% in 2006, 33.4% in 2005, and 28.6% in 2004. Total income taxes were \$781 million in 2006, compared with \$743 million in 2005 and \$507 million in 2004. Japanese income taxes on Aflac Japan's results account for most of our consolidated income tax expense. Our 2005 income tax rate was lower than normal primarily as a result of the release of the valuation allowance for non-life losses discussed previously. Our 2004 income tax rate and tax expense were also lower than normal as a result of the release of the valuation allowance for deferred tax assets discussed previously. See Note 8 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information.

Table of Contents**Earnings Guidance**

We communicate earnings guidance in this report based on the growth in net earnings per diluted share. However, certain items that cannot be predicted or that are outside of management's control may have a significant impact on actual results. Therefore, our comparison of net earnings includes certain assumptions to reflect the limitations that are inherent in projections of net earnings. In comparing year-over-year results, we exclude the effect of realized investment gains and losses, the impact from SFAS 133 and nonrecurring items. We also assume no impact from foreign currency translation on the Aflac Japan segment and the Parent Company's yen-denominated interest expense for a given year in relation to the prior year.

Subject to the preceding assumptions, our objective for 2006 was to achieve net earnings per diluted share of at least \$2.92, an increase of 15.0% over 2005 using the preceding assumptions. We reported 2006 net earnings per diluted share of \$2.95. Adjusting that number for realized investment gains (\$.10 per diluted share), the impact from SFAS 133 (nil per diluted share) and foreign currency translation (a loss of \$.08 per diluted share), we exceeded our objective for the year.

Our objective for 2007 is to increase net earnings per diluted share by 15% to 16% over 2006, on the basis described above. If we achieve this objective, the following table shows the likely results for 2007 net earnings per diluted share, including the impact of foreign currency translation using various yen/dollar exchange rate scenarios.

2007 Net Earnings Per Share (EPS) Scenarios*

| Weighted-Average Yen/Dollar Exchange Rate | Net Earnings Per Diluted Share | % Growth Over 2006 | Yen Impact on EPS |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| 105.00 | \$ 3.45 - 3.48 | 21.1 - 22.1 % | \$.17 |
| 110.00 | 3.37 - 3.40 | 18.2 - 19.3 | .09 |
| 116.31** | 3.28 - 3.31 | 15.1 - 16.1 | - |
| 120.00 | 3.23 - 3.26 | 13.3 - 14.4 | (.05) |
| 125.00 | 3.17 - 3.20 | 11.2 - 12.3 | (.11) |

*Excludes realized investment gains/losses, impact from SFAS 133 and nonrecurring items in 2007 and 2006

**Actual 2006 weighted-average exchange rate

INSURANCE OPERATIONS

Aflac's insurance business consists of two segments: Aflac Japan and Aflac U.S. Aflac Japan, which operates as a branch of Aflac, is the principal contributor to consolidated earnings. GAAP financial reporting requires that a company report financial and descriptive information about operating segments in its annual financial statements. Furthermore, we are required to report a measure of segment profit or loss, certain revenue and expense items, and segment assets.

We measure and evaluate our insurance segments' financial performance using operating earnings on a pretax basis. We define segment operating earnings as the profits we derive from our

II-14

Table of Contents

operations before realized investment gains and losses, the impact from SFAS 133, and nonrecurring items. We believe that an analysis of segment pretax operating earnings is vitally important to an understanding of the underlying profitability drivers and trends of our insurance business. Furthermore, because a significant portion of our business is conducted in Japan, we believe it is equally important to understand the impact of translating Japanese yen into U.S. dollars.

We evaluate our sales efforts using new annualized premium sales, an industry operating measure. Total new annualized premium sales, which include new sales and the incremental increase in premiums due to conversions, represent the premiums that we would collect over a 12-month period, assuming the policies remain in force. Premium income, or earned premiums, is a financial performance measure that reflects collected or due premiums that have been earned ratably on policies in force during the reporting period.

II-15

Table of Contents**AFLAC JAPAN SEGMENT****Aflac Japan Pretax Operating Earnings**

Changes in Aflac Japan's pretax operating earnings and profit margins are primarily affected by morbidity, mortality, expenses, persistency, and investment yields. The following table presents a summary of operating results for Aflac Japan.

Aflac Japan Summary of Operating Results

| (In millions) | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|---|----------|----------|----------|
| Premium income | \$ 8,762 | \$ 8,745 | \$ 8,368 |
| Net investment income: | | | |
| Yen-denominated investment income | 1,064 | 1,111 | 1,087 |
| Dollar-denominated investment income | 624 | 524 | 470 |
| Net investment income | 1,688 | 1,635 | 1,557 |
| Other income | 25 | 31 | 18 |
| Total operating revenues | 10,475 | 10,411 | 9,943 |
| Benefits and claims | 6,847 | 6,898 | 6,679 |
| Operating expenses: | | | |
| Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs | 285 | 284 | 274 |
| Insurance commissions | 859 | 892 | 881 |
| Insurance and other expenses | 832 | 822 | 730 |
| Total operating expenses | 1,976 | 1,998 | 1,885 |
| Total benefits and expenses | 8,823 | 8,896 | 8,564 |
| Pretax operating earnings* | \$ 1,652 | \$ 1,515 | \$ 1,379 |
| Weighted-average yen/dollar exchange rate | 116.31 | 109.88 | 108.26 |

| Percentage change over previous year: | In Dollars | | | In Yen | | |
|---------------------------------------|------------|------|-------|--------|-------|-------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Premium income | .2 % | 4.5% | 14.2% | 5.9 % | 6.3 % | 6.7 % |
| Net investment income | 3.2 | 5.0 | 9.6 | 9.0 | 7.0 | 2.3 |
| Total operating revenues | .6 | 4.7 | 13.4 | 6.3 | 6.6 | 6.0 |
| Pretax operating earnings* | 9.1 | 9.9 | 22.9 | 15.4 | 11.5 | 14.7 |

*See Page II-14 for our definition of segment operating earnings.

The percentage increases in premium income reflect the growth of premiums in force. The increases in annualized premiums in force in yen of 5.4% in 2006 and 6.8% in both 2005 and 2004, reflect the high persistency of Aflac Japan's business and the sales of new policies. Annualized premiums in force at December 31, 2006, were 1.08 trillion yen, compared with 1.03 trillion yen in 2005 and 961.9 billion yen in 2004. Annualized premiums in force, translated into dollars at respective year-end exchange rates, were \$9.1 billion in 2006, \$8.7 billion in 2005 and \$9.2 billion in 2004.

Aflac Japan maintains a portfolio of dollar-denominated and reverse-dual currency securities (yen-denominated debt securities with dollar coupon payments). Dollar-denominated investment income

from these assets accounted for approximately 37% of Aflac Japan's investment income in 2006,

II-16

Table of Contents

compared with 32% in 2005 and 30% in 2004. In years when the yen strengthens in relation to the dollar, translating Aflac Japan's dollar-denominated investment income into yen lowers growth rates for net investment income, total operating revenues, and pretax operating earnings in yen terms. In years when the yen weakens, translating dollar-denominated investment income into yen magnifies growth rates for net investment income, total operating revenues, and pretax operating earnings in yen terms. On a constant currency basis, dollar-denominated investment income accounted for approximately 36% of Aflac Japan's investment income during 2006, compared with 32% in both 2005 and 2004. The following table illustrates the effect of translating Aflac Japan's dollar-denominated investment income and related items into yen by comparing certain segment results with those that would have been reported had yen/dollar exchange rates remained unchanged from the prior year.

Aflac Japan Percentage Changes Over Prior Year

(Yen Operating Results)

| | Including Foreign Currency Changes | | | Excluding Foreign Currency Changes** | | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------|-------|---|-------|-------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Net investment income | 9.0 % | 7.0 % | 2.3 % | 6.8 % | 6.3 % | 4.5 % |
| Total operating revenues | 6.3 | 6.6 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.4 | 6.3 |
| Pretax operating earnings* | 15.4 | 11.5 | 14.7 | 13.3 | 10.8 | 15.3 |

*See Page II-14 for our definition of segment operating earnings.

**Amounts excluding foreign currency changes on dollar-denominated items were determined using the same yen/dollar exchange rate for the current year as each respective prior year.

The following table presents a summary of operating ratios for Aflac Japan.

| Ratios to total revenues, in dollars: | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|---|-------|-------|-------|
| Benefits and claims | 65.4% | 66.2% | 67.2% |
| Operating expenses: | | | |
| Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.8 |
| Insurance commissions | 8.2 | 8.6 | 8.9 |
| Insurance and other expenses | 7.9 | 8.0 | 7.2 |
| Total operating expenses | 18.8 | 19.3 | 18.9 |
| Pretax operating earnings* | 15.8 | 14.5 | 13.9 |

*See Page II-14 for our definition of segment operating earnings.

The benefit ratio has declined over the past several years, reflecting the impact of newer products with lower loss ratios. We have also experienced favorable claim trends in our major product lines. We expect the benefit ratio to continue to decline in future years primarily reflecting the shift to newer products and riders. However, this decline is partially offset by the effect of low investment yields, which impacts our profit margin by reducing the spread between investment yields and required interest on policy reserves (see table and discussion on [Page II-27](#)). The operating expense ratio was higher in 2005 as a result of additional advertising expenditures and the write-off of previously capitalized systems development costs.

We expect the operating expense ratio to be relatively stable

II-17

Table of Contents

in 2007. Due to improvement in the benefit ratio, the pretax operating profit margin expanded to 15.8% in 2006. We expect continued expansion in the profit margin in 2007.

Aflac Japan Sales

Aflac Japan's total new annualized premium sales declined 8.8% in 2006. The sales decline primarily reflected industry-wide weakness in the market for stand-alone medical insurance as well as continued declines in the sale of Rider MAX. The following table presents Aflac Japan's total new annualized premium sales for the years ended December 31.

| (In millions of dollars and billions of yen) | In Dollars | | | In Yen | | | |
|--|------------|----------|----------|---------|--------|-------|---|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | |
| Total new annualized premium sales | \$ 1,010 | \$ 1,167 | \$ 1,133 | 117.5 | 128.8 | 122.5 | |
| Percentage change over prior year | (13.5) |)% 3.0 | % 8.2 | % (8.8) |)% 5.1 | % 1.1 | % |

Aflac Japan's sales mix has been shifting during the last few years. The following table details the contributions to total new annualized premium sales by major product for the years ended December 31.

| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Medical policies | 33 % | 37 % | 31 % |
| Cancer life | 28 | 26 | 23 |
| Ordinary life | 23 | 18 | 19 |
| Rider MAX | 10 | 11 | 20 |
| Other | 6 | 8 | 7 |
| Total | 100 % | 100 % | 100 % |

We believe the 2006 sales decline for our medical product category primarily reflected consumers' concern over the claims-paying issues facing the industry in general. We expect this weakness will continue into 2007. However, with continued cost pressure on Japan's health care system, we expect demand for medical products will continue to rise in the future and we remain encouraged about the outlook for the medical insurance market. Although that market is very competitive, Aflac Japan retains the distinction of being the number one seller of stand-alone medical insurance in Japan. We believe that our number one position benefits us in the marketplace. As a result, we continue to believe that the medical category will be an important part of our product portfolio.

The ordinary life category increased 16.9% in 2006, benefiting from the sale of WAYS, the innovative life insurance product we introduced in January 2006. Unlike traditional life insurance, WAYS allows a policyholder to convert a portion of the life insurance coverage to medical, nursing care, or fixed annuity benefits at retirement age. We remain very pleased with the initial response to WAYS, which accounted for approximately 8% of new sales in 2006.

In June 2006, we began selling a new cancer plan aimed at existing cancer insurance policyholders. This new product provides additional benefits for inpatient and outpatient care. Our

Table of Contents

cancer life policies are also marketed by Dai-ichi Mutual Life and in June 2006, Dai-ichi Life began selling a new cancer product customized for their market. As a result, new sales from cancer insurance products were stable in 2006. We are convinced that the affordable cancer products Aflac Japan provides will continue to be an important part of our product portfolio.

We continue to expect 2007 to be a challenging year from a sales perspective and look for sales to again decline in the first half of the year, followed by modest sales increases in the second half of 2007.

Aflac Japan Investments

Growth of investment income in yen is affected by available cash flow from operations, timing of and yields on new investments, and the effect of yen/dollar exchange rates on dollar-denominated investment income. Aflac Japan has invested in privately issued securities to secure higher yields than Japanese government or other public corporate bonds would have provided, while still adhering to prudent standards for credit quality. All of our privately issued securities are rated investment grade at the time of purchase. These securities are generally issued with standard documentation for medium-term note programs and have appropriate covenants.

The following table presents the results of Aflac Japan's investment activities for the years ended December 31.

| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|---|---------------|-------------|-------------|
| New money yield - yen only | 3.10 % | 2.95 % | 2.94 % |
| New money yield - blended | 3.33 | 3.19 | 3.13 |
| Return on average invested assets, net of investment expenses | 4.11 | 4.14 | 4.26 |

At December 31, 2006, the yield on Aflac Japan's investment portfolio, including dollar-denominated investments, was 4.14%, compared with 4.22% a year ago. See Investments and Cash on [Page II-28](#) for additional information.

Japanese Economy

Recent events indicate that Japan's economy has begun to recover. The Bank of Japan's January 2007 Monthly Report of Recent Economic & Financial Developments stated that Japan's economy is expanding moderately, noting increases in exports and capital expenditures and moderate increases in household income, private consumption and housing investment, all against the backdrop of high corporate profits and expansion of overseas economies. The report did note a downward trend related to public investment and projected the trend to continue. However, it also included an expectation that the economy would continue to expand moderately, supported by expectations of increasing exports and domestic private demand. Nevertheless, the time required for a full economic recovery remains uncertain.

Table of Contents

Japan's system of compulsory public health care insurance provides medical coverage to every Japanese citizen. These public medical expenditures are covered by a combination of premiums paid by insureds and their employers, taxes, and copayments from the people who receive medical service. However, given Japan's aging population, the resources available to these publicly funded social insurance programs have come under increasing pressure. As a result, copayments and other out-of-pocket expenses have been rising and affecting more people. In 2003, copayments were raised from 20% to 30% for most consumers and other reforms were implemented in 2006. Additional reforms are being considered. We believe higher out-of-pocket expenses will lead more consumers to purchase supplemental insurance plans. Many insurance companies have recognized the opportunities for selling supplemental medical insurance in Japan and have launched new products in recent years. However, we believe our favorable cost structure compared with other insurers makes us a very effective competitor. In addition, we believe our brand, customer service, and financial strength also benefit our market position.

Japanese Regulatory Environment

The FSA adopted new mortality tables effective April 1, 2007, that will be used when developing our policy premium and reserving assumptions on newly underwritten policies. These new tables reflect recent improvements in survival rates in Japan. If our other assumptions remain unchanged, these revisions will generally lead to a decrease in policy premiums for death benefit products and an increase in premium rates for third sector products and annuities.

Additionally, the FSA has implemented a new rule for third sector product reserving. The new reserving rule will be implemented in the Japanese fiscal year starting April 1, 2007. Under the new rule, we are required to conduct stress testing of our reserves using a prescribed method that incorporates actual incidence rates. The results of the tests and their relation to our reserves determine whether reserve strengthening is required. We do not anticipate that the new reserving requirements will have a material impact on our FSA-based financial statements or our pricing.

As disclosed in our third quarter 2006 Form 10-Q, Aflac Japan, along with the entire life insurance industry, began a review of the last five years of paid claims to determine if those claims were paid fully and accurately. In a recent notification from the FSA, the review process has been changed from a voluntary program to one that is mandatory and has been expanded to include a review of cash surrender value payments. Additionally, the FSA established a reporting deadline of April 13, 2007. We expect to complete our review of claims and cash surrender value payments and report our findings to the FSA within the time frame specified. As a result of this review, we have found some payment errors and we are correcting them. Additionally, we are using this review to identify process changes that will help ensure that payment errors such as these are not repeated. Although immaterial to our financial statements, we have recorded a liability for our estimate of the underpaid portion of those claims in our 2006 financial statements.

Table of Contents**AFLAC U.S. SEGMENT****Aflac U.S. Pretax Operating Earnings**

Changes in Aflac U.S. pretax operating earnings and profit margins are primarily affected by morbidity, mortality, expenses, persistency and investment yields. The following table presents a summary of operating results for Aflac U.S.

Aflac U.S. Summary of Operating Results

| (In millions) | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|---|-----------------|-------------|-------------|
| Premium income | \$ 3,552 | \$ 3,245 | \$ 2,935 |
| Net investment income | 465 | 421 | 396 |
| Other income | 10 | 10 | 9 |
| Total operating revenues | 4,027 | 3,676 | 3,340 |
| Benefits and claims | 2,169 | 1,991 | 1,803 |
| Operating expenses: | | | |
| Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs | 290 | 258 | 245 |
| Insurance commissions | 444 | 410 | 371 |
| Insurance and other expenses | 539 | 492 | 424 |
| Total operating expenses | 1,273 | 1,160 | 1,040 |
| Total benefits and expenses | 3,442 | 3,151 | 2,843 |
| Pretax operating earnings* | \$ 585 | \$ 525 | \$ 497 |
| Percentage change over previous year: | | | |
| Premium income | 9.5 | % 10.6 | % 13.1 |
| Net investment income | 10.4 | 6.5 | 9.4 |
| Total operating revenues | 9.5 | 10.0 | 12.6 |
| Pretax operating earnings* | 11.4 | 5.6 | 11.7 |

*See Page II-14 for our definition of segment operating earnings.

The percentage increases in premium income reflect the growth of premiums in force. The increases in annualized premiums in force of 10.5% in 2006, 10.0% in 2005, and 10.9% in 2004 were favorably affected by increased sales at the worksite primarily through cafeteria plans and a slight improvement in the persistency of several products. Annualized premiums in force at December 31 were \$4.1 billion in 2006, compared with \$3.7 billion in 2005 and \$3.4 billion in 2004.

Table of Contents

The following table presents a summary of operating ratios for Aflac U.S.

| Ratios to total revenues: | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|---|--------|--------|--------|
| Benefits and claims | 53.9 % | 54.2 % | 54.0 % |
| Operating expenses: | | | |
| Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs | 7.2 | 7.0 | 7.3 |
| Insurance commissions | 11.0 | 11.2 | 11.1 |
| Insurance and other expenses | 13.4 | 13.3 | 12.7 |
| Total operating expenses | 31.6 | 31.5 | 31.1 |
| Pretax operating earnings* | 14.5 | 14.3 | 14.9 |

*See Page II-14 for our definition of segment operating earnings.

After several years of trending upward, the benefit ratio declined modestly in 2006. As a percentage of premium income, the benefit ratio was 61.0% in 2006, 61.3% in 2005, and 61.4% in 2004. We expect the benefit ratio to decline slightly in future periods due to favorable claim cost trends. The 2006 operating expense ratio reflects the write-off of remaining deferred policy acquisition costs associated with a large payroll account that terminated in the first quarter. We expect the operating expense ratio, excluding discretionary promotional expenses, to remain relatively stable in future periods. The pretax operating profit margin is expected to increase slightly in 2007.

Aflac U.S. Sales

For 2006, total new annualized premium sales increased 13.1%, which exceeded our objective of 8% to 12% growth for the year. These record sales results were due, in part, to the re-enrollment of a large payroll account. Our accident/disability and cancer expense products were again the primary contributors to sales. The following table presents Aflac's U.S. total new annualized premium sales for the years ended December 31.

| (In millions) | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|------------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| Total new annualized premium sales | \$ 1,423 | \$ 1,259 | \$ 1,186 |
| Increase over prior year | 13.1 % | 6.1 % | 5.1 % |

Our objective for 2007 is to increase total new annualized premium sales by 6% to 10%.

The following table details the contributions to total new annualized premium sales by major product category for the years ended December 31.

| (In millions) | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|-------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Accident/disability coverage | 52 % | 51 % | 52 % |
| Cancer expense insurance | 17 | 19 | 20 |
| Hospital indemnity products | 12 | 11 | 11 |
| Fixed-benefit dental coverage | 6 | 8 | 7 |
| Other | 13 | 11 | 10 |
| Total | 100 % | 100 % | 100 % |

II-22

Table of Contents

One aspect of our growth strategy is the continued enhancement of our product line. During the third quarter of 2006, we launched revised specified health event and intensive care plans. In 2007 we will introduce an innovative care policy that provides benefits to help offset costs associated with caring for dependents as a result of their accident or illness.

Another aspect of our growth strategy is our focus on growing and improving our U.S. sales force, and we were encouraged to see continued expansion in 2006. Although we did not set a specific recruiting target for 2006, we continued to emphasize recruiting as a means for growing our producer base. We recruited more than 26,000 new associates during 2006, which was 7.8% higher than 2005. At December 31, 2006, Aflac U.S. was represented by more than 68,300 licensed sales associates, which was 8.5% higher than a year ago. Our primary focus has been on increasing producing sales associates. We believe that we can achieve better producer growth through more effective and standardized training for our sales associates and sales management. On an average weekly basis, the number of producing associates rose 6.4% in 2006 to approximately 10,300, compared with a year ago. As previously disclosed, our focus has shifted from average monthly producers to average weekly producers as both a reporting and management metric. This shift provides for a more active management of our sales associates and allows our sales management to monitor progress and needs on a real-time basis.

Aflac U.S. Investments

The following table presents the results of Aflac's U.S. investment activities.

| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|---|---------------|-------------|-------------|
| New money yield | 6.44 % | 6.16 % | 6.30 % |
| Return on average invested assets, net of investment expenses | 6.86 | 6.54 | 6.68 |

At December 31, 2006, the portfolio yield on Aflac's U.S. portfolio was 7.15%, compared with 7.24% a year ago. See Investments and Cash on [Page II-28](#) for additional information.

OTHER OPERATIONS

Corporate operating expenses consist primarily of personnel compensation, benefits, and facilities expenses. Corporate expenses, excluding investment income, were \$57 million in 2006, \$56 million in 2005, and \$67 million in 2004. Investment income included in reported corporate expenses was \$16 million in 2006, \$14 million in 2005, and \$5 million in 2004. Corporate expenses in 2004 were higher than normal primarily as a result of increased expenses associated with our retirement obligations.

II-23

Table of Contents**ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION**

Our financial condition has remained strong in the functional currencies of our operations during the last two years. The yen/dollar exchange rate at the end of each period is used to translate yen-denominated balance sheet items to U.S. dollars for reporting purposes. The exchange rate at December 31, 2006, was 119.11 yen to one dollar, or .9% weaker than the December 31, 2005, exchange rate of 118.07. The weaker yen decreased reported investments and cash by \$357 million; total assets by \$400 million; and total liabilities by \$392 million, compared with the amounts that would have been reported for 2006 if the exchange rate had remained unchanged from December 31, 2005.

Market Risks of Financial Instruments

Because we invest in fixed-income securities, our financial instruments are exposed primarily to two types of market risks: currency risk and interest rate risk.

Currency Risk

The functional currency of Aflac Japan's insurance operation is the Japanese yen. All of Aflac Japan's premiums, claims and commissions are received or paid in yen, as are most of its investment income and other expenses. Furthermore, most of Aflac Japan's investments, cash and liabilities are yen-denominated. When yen-denominated securities mature or are sold, the proceeds are generally reinvested in yen-denominated securities. Aflac Japan holds these yen-denominated assets to fund its yen-denominated policy obligations. In addition, Aflac Incorporated has yen-denominated notes payable and cross-currency swaps related to its dollar-denominated senior notes.

Although we generally do not convert yen into dollars, we do translate financial statement amounts from yen into dollars for financial reporting purposes. Therefore, reported amounts are affected by foreign currency fluctuations. We report unrealized foreign currency translation gains and losses in accumulated other comprehensive income.

On a consolidated basis, we attempt to minimize the exposure of shareholders' equity to foreign currency translation fluctuations. We accomplish this by investing a portion of Aflac Japan's investment portfolio in dollar-denominated securities, by the Parent Company's issuance of yen-denominated debt and by the use of cross-currency swaps (see Hedging Activities on [Page II-35](#) for additional information). As a result, the effect of currency fluctuations on our net assets is mitigated. The dollar values of our yen-denominated net assets, which are subject to foreign currency translation fluctuations for financial reporting purposes, are summarized as follows (translated at end-of-period exchange rates) for the years ended December 31:

| (In millions) | 2006 | 2005 |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|
| Aflac Japan yen-denominated net assets | \$ 2,317 | \$ 2,184 |
| Parent Company yen-denominated net liabilities | (1,434) | (1,403) |
| Consolidated yen-denominated net assets subject to foreign currency translation fluctuations | \$ 883 | \$ 781 |

Table of Contents

The following table demonstrates the effect of foreign currency fluctuations by presenting the dollar values of our yen-denominated assets and liabilities, and our consolidated yen-denominated net asset exposure at selected exchange rates as of December 31.

**Dollar Value of Yen-Denominated Assets and Liabilities
at Selected Exchange Rates**

| (In millions) | 2006 | | | 2005 | | |
|---|------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Yen/dollar exchange rates | 104.11 | 119.11 | *134.11 | 103.07 | 118.07 | *133.07 |
| Yen-denominated financial instruments: | | | | | | |
| Assets: | | | | | | |
| Securities available for sale: | | | | | | |
| Fixed maturities | \$ 21,712 | \$ 18,978 | \$ 16,856 | \$ 21,732 | \$ 18,971 | \$ 16,832 |
| Perpetual debentures | 4,246 | 3,711 | 3,296 | 4,191 | 3,659 | 3,246 |
| Equity securities | 29 | 25 | 22 | 70 | 61 | 54 |
| Securities held to maturity: | | | | | | |
| Fixed maturities | 15,404 | 13,464 | 11,958 | 12,428 | 10,849 | 9,626 |
| Perpetual debentures | 4,565 | 3,990 | 3,544 | 4,779 | 4,172 | 3,702 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 383 | 335 | 297 | 553 | 483 | 429 |
| Other financial instruments | 32 | 28 | 25 | 35 | 31 | 27 |
| Subtotal | 46,371 | 40,531 | 35,998 | 43,788 | 38,226 | 33,916 |
| Liabilities: | | | | | | |
| Notes payable | 1,117 | 976 | 868 | 1,083 | 945 | 839 |
| Cross-currency swaps | 534 | 467 | 414 | 539 | 471 | 417 |
| Japanese policyholder protection fund | 200 | 175 | 155 | 232 | 203 | 180 |
| Subtotal | 1,851 | 1,618 | 1,437 | 1,854 | 1,619 | 1,436 |
| Net yen-denominated financial instruments | 44,520 | 38,913 | 34,561 | 41,934 | 36,607 | 32,480 |
| Other yen-denominated assets | 5,550 | 4,852 | 4,309 | 5,332 | 4,654 | 4,130 |
| Other yen-denominated liabilities | (49,060) | (42,882) | (38,086) | (46,371) | (40,480) | (35,917) |
| Consolidated yen-denominated net assets subject to foreign currency fluctuation | \$ 1,010 | \$ 883 | \$ 784 | \$ 895 | \$ 781 | \$ 693 |

*Actual year-end exchange rate

We are exposed to economic currency risk only when yen funds are actually converted into dollars. This primarily occurs when we repatriate funds from Aflac Japan to Aflac U.S., which is done annually. The exchange rates prevailing at the time of repatriation will differ from the exchange rates prevailing at the time the yen profits were earned. These repatriations have not been greater than 80% of Aflac Japan's prior year earnings determined in accordance with standards established by the FSA. A portion of the repatriation may be used to service Aflac Incorporated's yen-denominated notes payable with the remainder converted into dollars.

Table of Contents**Interest Rate Risk**

Our primary interest rate exposure is to the impact of changes in interest rates on the fair value of our investments in debt securities. We use modified duration analysis, which measures price percentage volatility, to estimate the sensitivity of fair values to interest rate changes on debt securities we own. For example, if the current duration of a debt security is 10, then the fair value of that security will increase by approximately 10% if market interest rates decrease by 100 basis points, assuming all other factors remain constant. Likewise, the fair value of the debt security will decrease by approximately 10% if market interest rates increase by 100 basis points, assuming all other factors remain constant.

The estimated effect of potential increases in interest rates on the fair values of debt securities we own, notes payable, cross-currency swaps and our obligation for the Japanese policyholder protection fund as of December 31 follows:

Sensitivity of Fair Values of Financial Instruments to Interest Rate Changes

| | 2006 | | 2005 | |
|---|-------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|
| (In millions) | Fair Value | +100 Basis Points | Fair Value | +100 Basis Points |
| Debt securities: | | | | |
| Fixed-maturity securities: | | | | |
| Yen-denominated | \$ 32,328 | \$ 28,712 | \$ 29,791 | \$ 26,427 |
| Dollar-denominated | 9,845 | 9,033 | 9,190 | 8,407 |
| Perpetual debentures: | | | | |
| Yen-denominated | 7,735 | 6,965 | 7,911 | 7,086 |
| Dollar-denominated | 698 | 653 | 711 | 661 |
| Total debt securities | \$ 50,606 | \$ 45,363 | \$ 47,603 | \$ 42,581 |
| Notes payable* | \$ 1,421 | \$ 1,386 | \$ 1,395 | \$ 1,362 |
| Cross-currency and interest rate swap liabilities | \$ 7 | \$ 5 | \$ 12 | \$ 10 |
| Japanese policyholder protection fund | \$ 175 | \$ 175 | \$ 203 | \$ 203 |

*Excludes capitalized lease obligations

Changes in the interest rate environment have contributed to the unrealized gains on debt securities we own. However, we do not expect to realize a majority of these unrealized gains because we have the intent and ability to hold these securities to maturity. Likewise, should significant amounts of unrealized losses occur because of increases in market yields, we would not expect to realize these losses because we have the intent and ability to hold such securities to maturity or recovery of value. For additional information on unrealized losses on debt securities, see Note 3 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

We attempt to match the duration of our assets with the duration of our liabilities. The following table presents the approximate duration of our yen-denominated assets and liabilities, along with premiums, as of December 31.

| (In years) | 2006 | 2005 |
|---|------|------|
| Yen-denominated debt securities | 13 | 12 |
| Policy benefits and related expenses to be paid in future years | 13 | 13 |
| Premiums to be received in future years on policies in force | 10 | 10 |

The following table shows a comparison of average required interest rates for future policy benefits and investment yields, based on amortized cost, for the years ended December 31.

Comparison of Interest Rates for Future Policy Benefits and Investment Yields

(Net of investment expenses)

| | 2006 | | 2005 | | 2004 | |
|---------------------------------------|-------|--------|-------|--------|-------|--------|
| | U.S. | Japan* | U.S. | Japan* | U.S. | Japan* |
| Policies issued during year: | | | | | | |
| Required interest on policy reserves | 5.50% | 2.77% | 5.50% | 2.88% | 6.36% | 2.97% |
| New money yield on investments | 6.40 | 3.12 | 6.11 | 3.01 | 6.25 | 3.00 |
| Policies in force during year: | | | | | | |
| Required interest on policy reserves | 6.28 | 4.71 | 6.36 | 4.79 | 6.40 | 4.87 |
| Return on average invested assets | 6.86 | 3.88 | 6.54 | 3.92 | 6.68 | 4.02 |

*Represents yen-denominated investments for Aflac Japan that support policy obligations and therefore excludes Aflac Japan's annuities, and dollar-denominated investments and related investment income

In response to low interest rates in the United States, we lowered our required interest assumption for newly issued products to 5.5% in 2005. In Japan, we lowered our required interest assumption for some newly issued products to 2.5% in 2005. However, the majority of Japan's newly issued products have a required interest assumption of 3.0%. We continue to monitor the spread between our new money yield and the required interest assumption for newly issued products in both the United States and Japan and will re-evaluate those assumptions as necessary.

Over the next two years, we have yen-denominated securities that will mature with yields in excess of Aflac Japan's current net investment yield of 3.88%. These securities total \$1.1 billion at amortized cost and have an average yield of 5.80%. Currently, when debt securities we own mature, the proceeds may be reinvested at a yield below that of the interest required for the accretion of policy benefit liabilities on policies issued in earlier years. As a result, securities that mature may contribute to a decline in our overall portfolio yield. However, adding riders to our older policies has helped offset the negative investment spread. And despite negative investment spreads, adequate overall profit margins still exist in Aflac Japan's aggregate block of business because of profits that have emerged from changes in mix of business and favorable experience from mortality, morbidity, and expenses.

Table of Contents

Investments and Cash

Our investment philosophy is to maximize investment income while emphasizing liquidity, safety and quality. Our investment objective, subject to appropriate risk constraints, is to fund policyholder obligations and other liabilities in a manner that enhances shareholders' equity. We seek to achieve this objective through a diversified portfolio of fixed-income investments that reflects the characteristics of the liabilities it supports. Aflac invests primarily within the debt securities markets.

The following table details investment securities by segment as of December 31.

Investment Securities by Segment

| (In millions) | Aflac Japan | | Aflac U.S. | |
|--|-------------|-----------|------------|-----------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | 2005 |
| Securities available for sale, at fair value: | | | | |
| Fixed maturities | \$ 22,044 | \$ 21,907 | \$ 6,659* | \$ 6,134* |
| Perpetual debentures | 3,935 | 3,888 | 473 | 482 |
| Equity securities | 25 | 61 | - | 23 |
| Total available for sale | 26,004 | 25,856 | 7,132 | 6,639 |
| Securities held to maturity, at amortized cost: | | | | |
| Fixed maturities | 13,464 | 10,849 | 19 | 18 |
| Perpetual debentures | 3,990 | 4,172 | - | - |
| Total held to maturity | 17,454 | 15,021 | 19 | 18 |
| Total investment securities | \$ 43,458 | \$ 40,877 | \$ 7,151 | \$ 6,657 |

*Excludes investment-grade fixed-maturity securities held by the Parent Company of \$102 in 2006 and \$100 in 2005.

We have investments in both publicly issued and privately issued securities. However, the status of issuance should not be viewed as an indicator of liquidity or as a limitation on the determination of fair value. The outstanding amount(s) of a particular issuance, as well as the level of activity in a particular issuance and the state of the market, including credit events and the interest rate environment, affect liquidity regardless of type of issuance. We routinely assess the fair value of all of our investments. This process includes evaluating quotations provided by outside securities pricing sources and/or compiled using data provided by external debt and equity market sources, as described more fully in Note 3 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements. The following table details investment securities by type of issuance as of December 31.

Table of Contents**Investment Securities by Type of Issuance**

| (In millions) | 2006 | | 2005 | |
|-------------------------------------|----------------|------------|----------------|------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
| Publicly issued securities: | | | | |
| Fixed maturities | \$ 15,092 | \$ 16,269 | \$ 14,872 | \$ 16,540 |
| Perpetual debentures | 173 | 176 | 173 | 180 |
| Equity securities | 13 | 22 | 14 | 51 |
| Total publicly issued | 15,278 | 16,467 | 15,059 | 16,771 |
| Privately issued securities: | | | | |
| Fixed maturities | 25,490 | 25,905 | 21,855 | 22,441 |
| Perpetual debentures | 8,158 | 8,256 | 8,254 | 8,442 |
| Equity securities | 3 | 3 | 16 | 33 |
| Total privately issued | 33,651 | 34,164 | 30,125 | 30,916 |
| Total investment securities | \$ 48,929 | \$ 50,631 | \$ 45,184 | \$ 47,687 |

Privately issued securities accounted for 68.8% of total debt securities, at amortized cost, at December 31, 2006, compared with 66.6% at December 31, 2005. Privately issued securities held by Aflac Japan at amortized cost accounted for \$31.3 billion, or 64.0%, of total debt securities at December 31, 2006, and \$27.9 billion, or 61.8%, of total debt securities at December 31, 2005. Reverse-dual currency debt securities accounted for \$9.7 billion, or 28.9%, of total privately issued securities at December 31, 2006, compared with \$8.9 billion, or 29.6%, of total privately issued securities at December 31, 2005. Aflac Japan has invested in privately issued securities to secure higher yields than those available from Japanese government bonds. Aflac Japan's investments in yen-denominated privately issued securities consist primarily of non-Japanese issuers and have longer maturities, thereby allowing us to improve our asset/liability matching and our overall investment returns. Most of our privately issued securities are issued under medium-term note programs and have standard documentation commensurate with credit ratings, except when internal credit analysis indicates that additional protective and/or event-risk covenants are required.

Our investment activities expose us to credit risk, which is a consequence of extending credit and/or carrying investment positions. However, we continue to adhere to prudent standards for credit quality. We accomplish this by considering our product needs and overall corporate objectives, in addition to credit risk. Our investment policy requires that all securities be rated investment grade at the time of purchase. In evaluating the initial rating, we look at the overall senior issuer rating, the explicit rating for the actual issue or the rating for the security class, and, where applicable, the appropriate designation from the Securities Valuation Office (SVO) of the National Association of Insurance Commissioners (NAIC). All of our securities have ratings from either a nationally recognized statistical rating organization or the SVO of the NAIC. In addition, we perform extensive internal credit reviews to ensure that we are consistent in applying rating criteria for all of our securities.

We use specific criteria to judge the credit quality of both existing and prospective investments. Furthermore, we use several methods to monitor these criteria, including credit rating services and

Table of Contents

internal credit analysis. The distributions by credit rating of our purchases of debt securities for the years ended December 31, based on acquisition cost, were as follows:

Composition of Purchases by Credit Rating

| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|-------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| AAA | 10.6 % | 6.1 % | 9.1 % |
| AA | 48.9 | 45.5 | 41.2 |
| A | 35.1 | 42.9 | 36.7 |
| BBB | 5.4 | 5.5 | 13.0 |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

The distributions of debt securities we own, by credit rating, were as follows:

Composition by Credit Rating

| | December 31, 2006 | | December 31, 2005 | |
|-------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
| AAA | 5.8 % | 5.7 % | 4.0 % | 3.9 % |
| AA | 35.0 | 35.8 | 33.7 | 34.9 |
| A | 39.4 | 39.2 | 38.9 | 38.7 |
| BBB | 17.2 | 17.2 | 21.1 | 20.6 |
| BB or lower | 2.6 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 1.9 |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0 % | 100.0% | 100.0 % |

The overall credit quality of our portfolio remained high in part because our investment policy prohibits us from purchasing below-investment-grade securities. In the event of a credit rating downgrade to below-investment-grade status, we do not automatically liquidate our position. However, if the security is in the held-to-maturity portfolio, we immediately transfer it to the available-for-sale portfolio so that the security's fair value and its unrealized gain/loss are reflected on the balance sheet.

Once we designate a security as below investment grade, we intensify our monitoring of the issuer. We do not automatically recognize an impairment if the security's amortized cost exceeds its fair value. Our investment management starts by reviewing its credit analysis. Included in this process are an evaluation of the issuer, its current credit posture and an assessment of the future prospects for the issuer. We then obtain fair value information from at least three independent pricing sources. Upon determining the fair value, we move our focus to an analysis of whether or not the decline in fair value, if any, is other than temporary. For securities with an amortized cost in excess of fair value, investment management then reviews the issue based on our impairment policy to determine if the investment should be impaired and/or liquidated. The assessment of whether a decline is other than

Table of Contents

temporary requires significant management judgment and is discussed more fully in the Critical Accounting Estimates section on Page II-6. Securities classified as below investment grade as of December 31 were as follows:

Below-Investment-Grade Securities

| (In millions) | 2006 Amortized Cost | Fair Value | 2005 Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
|--------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Ahold | \$ 300 | \$ 245 | \$ 302 | \$ 236 |
| KLM Royal Dutch Airlines | 252 | 229 | 254 | 227 |
| Ford Motor Credit | 252 | 229 | 254 | 209 |
| CSAV | 201 | 145 | * | * |
| Ford Motor Company | 122 | 100 | 123 | 84 |
| BAWAG | 118 | 103 | * | * |
| LeGrand | * | * | 46 | 52 |
| Cooper Tire & Rubber Co. | ** | ** | 45 | 45 |
| Tennessee Gas Pipeline | 30 | 35 | 31 | 33 |
| Total | \$ 1,275 | \$ 1,086 | \$ 1,055 | \$ 886 |

*Investment grade at respective reporting date

**Sold during 2006

Occasionally a debt security will be split rated. This occurs when one rating agency rates the security as investment grade while another rating agency rates the same security as below investment grade. Our policy is to review each issue on a case-by-case basis to determine if a split-rated security should be classified as investment grade or below investment grade. Our review includes evaluating the issuer's credit position as well as current market pricing and other factors, such as the issuer's or security's inclusion on a credit rating downgrade watch list. Split-rated securities as of December 31, 2006, represented .2% of total debt securities at amortized cost and were as follows:

Split-Rated Securities

| (In millions) | Amortized Cost | Moody's Rating | S&P Rating | Fitch Rating | Investment-Grade Status |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Tyco Electronics AMP (AMP Japan) | \$ 50 | Ba1 | BBB+ | BBB+ | Investment Grade |
| LeGrand | 46 | Baa3 | BB+ | BBB- | Investment Grade |
| Union Carbide Corp. | 15 | Ba2 | BBB- | BBB | Investment Grade |

Table of Contents

The following table provides details on amortized cost, fair value and unrealized gains and losses for our investments in debt securities by investment-grade status as of December 31, 2006.

| (In millions) | Total Amortized Cost | Total Fair Value | Percent of Fair Value | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Available-for-sale securities: | | | | | |
| Investment-grade securities | \$ 30,165 | \$ 32,127 | 63.5 % | \$ 2,341 | \$ 379 |
| Below-investment-grade securities | 1,275 | 1,086 | 2.1 | 6 | 195 |
| Held-to-maturity securities: | | | | | |
| Investment-grade securities | 17,473 | 17,393 | 34.4 | 488 | 568 |
| Total | \$ 48,913 | \$ 50,606 | 100.0% | \$ 2,835 | \$ 1,142 |

The following table presents an aging of securities in an unrealized loss position as of December 31, 2006.

Aging of Unrealized Losses

| (In millions) | Total Amortized Cost | Total Unrealized Loss | Less Than Six Months Amortized Cost | Less Than Six Months Unrealized Loss | Six Months to 12 Months Amortized Cost | Six Months to 12 Months Unrealized Loss | Over 12 Months Amortized Cost | Over 12 Months Unrealized Loss |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|---|--|---|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Available-for-sale securities: | | | | | | | | |
| Investment-grade securities | \$ 9,163 | \$ 379 | \$ 1,965 | \$ 17 | \$ 782 | \$ 19 | \$ 6,416 | \$ 343 |
| Below-investment-grade securities | 1,209 | 195 | - | - | 16 | - | 1,193 | 195 |
| Held-to-maturity securities: | | | | | | | | |
| Investment-grade securities | 10,148 | 568 | 1,221 | 58 | 1,692 | 58 | 7,235 | 452 |
| Total | \$ 20,520 | \$ 1,142 | \$ 3,186 | \$ 75 | \$ 2,490 | \$ 77 | \$ 14,844 | \$ 990 |

Table of Contents

The following table presents a distribution of unrealized losses by magnitude as of December 31, 2006.

Percentage Decline From Amortized Cost

| (In millions) | Total Amortized Cost | Total Unrealized Loss | Less than 20% Amortized Cost | Unrealized Loss | 20% to 28% Amortized Cost | Unrealized Loss |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|-----------------|
| Available-for-sale securities: | | | | | | |
| Investment-grade securities | \$ 9,163 | \$ 379 | \$ 9,104 | \$ 367 | \$ 59 | \$ 12 |
| Below-investment-grade securities | 1,209 | 195 | 643 | 61 | 566 | 134 |
| Held-to-maturity securities: | | | | | | |
| Investment-grade securities | 10,148 | 568 | 9,980 | 534 | 168 | 34 |
| Total | \$ 20,520 | \$ 1,142 | \$ 19,727 | \$ 962 | \$ 793 | \$ 180 |

The following table presents the 10 largest unrealized loss positions in our portfolio as of December 31, 2006.

| (In millions) | Credit Rating | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Unrealized Loss |
|--------------------------|---------------|----------------|------------|-----------------|
| CSAV | BB | \$ 201 | \$ 145 | \$ 56 |
| Ahold | BB | 300 | 245 | 55 |
| KBC Group | A | 227 | 196 | 31 |
| EFG Euro Bank Ergasias | A | 285 | 256 | 29 |
| National Bank of Greece | A | 252 | 225 | 27 |
| SLM Corp | A | 284 | 258 | 26 |
| Nordea Bank | A | 336 | 310 | 26 |
| Unique Zurich Airport | BBB | 311 | 287 | 24 |
| Ford Motor Credit | B | 252 | 229 | 23 |
| KLM Royal Dutch Airlines | N/R* | 252 | 229 | 23 |

*Not rated

The fair value of our investments in debt securities can fluctuate as a result of changes in interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates, and credit issues. Declines in fair value noted above resulted from changes in interest rates, yen/dollar exchange rates, and issuer credit status. However, we believe that it would be inappropriate to recognize impairment charges because we believe the changes in fair value are temporary. Based on our evaluation and analysis of specific issuers in accordance with our impairment policy, impairment charges recognized in each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2006 were immaterial.

Table of Contents

Realized losses on debt securities were as follows for the year ended December 31, 2006:

Realized Losses on Debt Securities

| (In millions) | Proceeds | Realized Loss |
|---|----------|---------------|
| Investment-grade securities, length of consecutive unrealized loss: | | |
| Less than six months | \$ 426 | \$ 15 |
| Six months to 12 months | 617 | 14 |
| Over 12 months | 37 | 1 |
| Below-investment-grade securities, length of consecutive unrealized loss: | | |
| Less than six months | 37 | 3 |
| Six months to 12 months | 17 | 2 |
| Total | \$ 1,134 | \$ 35 |

Realized losses on below-investment-grade securities primarily relate to the 2006 disposition of Cooper Tire & Rubber Co.

Cash, cash equivalents, and short-term investments totaled \$1.2 billion, or 2.3% of total investments and cash, as of December 31, 2006, compared with \$1.3 billion, or 2.6%, at December 31, 2005.

For additional information concerning our investments, see Notes 3 and 4 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs

Deferred policy acquisition costs totaled \$6.0 billion at December 31, 2006, an increase of \$435 million, or 7.8% over 2005. The following table presents deferred policy acquisition costs by segment for the years ended December 31.

| (In millions) | 2006 | 2005 |
|---------------|-----------------|----------|
| Aflac Japan | \$ 3,857 | \$ 3,624 |
| Aflac U.S. | 2,168 | 1,966 |

Aflac Japan's deferred policy acquisition costs increased 6.4% (7.4% increase in yen). The weaker yen at year-end decreased reported deferred policy acquisition costs by \$34 million. Deferred policy acquisition costs of Aflac U.S. increased 10.3%. The increase in deferred policy acquisition costs was primarily driven by total new annualized premium sales.

Table of Contents**Policy Liabilities**

Policy liabilities totaled \$45.4 billion at December 31, 2006, an increase of \$3.1 billion, or 7.3% over 2005. The following table presents policy liabilities by segment for the years ending December 31.

| (In millions) | 2006 | 2005 |
|---------------|------------------|------------------|
| Aflac Japan | \$ 40,072 | \$ 37,556 |
| Aflac U.S. | 5,365 | 4,771 |

Aflac Japan's policy liabilities increased 6.7% (7.6% increase in yen). The weaker yen at year-end decreased reported policy liabilities by \$353 million. Policy liabilities of Aflac U.S. increased 12.5%. The increase in total policy liabilities is the result of the growth and aging of our in-force business.

Notes Payable

Notes payable totaled \$1.4 billion at both December 31, 2006 and 2005. The ratio of debt to total capitalization (debt plus shareholders' equity, excluding the unrealized gains and losses on investment securities) was 17.2% as of December 31, 2006, compared with 18.8% a year ago. See Note 7 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information.

Benefit Plans

Aflac U.S. and Aflac Japan have various benefit plans. For additional information on our U.S. and Japanese plans, see Note 12 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Policyholder Protection Fund

The Japanese insurance industry has a policyholder protection system that provides funds for the policyholders of insolvent insurers. In 2002, the members of the Life Insurance Policyholder Protection Corporation (LIPPC) approved the Financial Services Agency's proposal, which increased the industry's obligation to the policyholder protection fund. In 2005, legislation was enacted extending the LIPPC framework, which included government fiscal measures supporting the LIPPC through March 2009. These new measures do not contemplate additional industry assessments through March 2009 absent an event requiring LIPPC funds. The likelihood and timing of future assessments, if any, cannot be determined at this time.

Hedging Activities

Aflac has limited hedging activities. Our primary exposure to be hedged is our investment in Aflac Japan, which is affected by changes in the yen/dollar exchange rate. To mitigate this exposure, we have taken the following courses of action. First, Aflac Japan owns dollar-denominated securities, which serve as an economic currency hedge of a portion of our investment in Aflac Japan. Second, we have designated the Parent Company's yen-denominated liabilities (Samurai and Uridashi notes payable and cross-currency swaps) as a hedge of our investment in Aflac Japan. If the total of these

Table of Contents

yen-denominated liabilities is equal to or less than our net investment in Aflac Japan, the hedge is deemed to be effective and the related exchange effect is reported in the unrealized foreign currency component of other comprehensive income. Should these yen-denominated liabilities exceed our investment in Aflac Japan, the portion of the hedge that exceeds our investment in Aflac Japan would be deemed ineffective. As required by SFAS No. 133, we would then recognize the foreign exchange effect on the ineffective portion in net earnings (other income). We estimate that if the ineffective portion was 10 billion yen, we would report a foreign exchange gain/loss of approximately \$1 million for every one yen weakening/strengthening in the end-of-period yen/dollar exchange rate. At December 31, 2006, our hedge was effective with yen-denominated assets exceeding yen-denominated liabilities by 105.4 billion yen, compared with 92.3 billion yen at December 31, 2005.

During the third quarter of 2006, we entered into interest rate swap agreements related to the 20 billion yen variable interest rate Uridashi notes. By entering into these contracts, we have been able to lock in our interest rate at 1.52% in yen. We have designated these interest rate swaps as a hedge of the variability in our interest cash flows associated with the variable interest rate Uridashi notes. The notional amounts and terms of the swaps match the principal amount and terms of the variable interest rate Uridashi notes, and the swaps had no value at inception. Changes in the fair value of the swap contracts are recorded in other comprehensive income. The fair value of these swaps and related changes in fair value were immaterial during the year ended December 31, 2006.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

As of December 31, 2006, we had no material unconditional purchase obligations that were not recorded on the balance sheet. Additionally, we had no material letters of credit, standby letters of credit, guarantees or standby repurchase obligations.

CAPITAL RESOURCES AND LIQUIDITY

Aflac provides the primary sources of liquidity to the Parent Company through dividends and management fees. Aflac declared dividends to the Parent Company in the amount of \$665 million in 2006, compared with \$526 million in 2005 and \$643 million in 2004. During 2006, Aflac paid \$68 million to the Parent Company for management fees, compared with \$73 million in 2005 and \$33 million in 2004. The increase in management fees in 2005 resulted from a change in the allocation of expenses under the management fee agreement between Aflac and the Parent Company. The primary uses of cash by the Parent Company are shareholder dividends and our share repurchase program. The Parent Company's sources and uses of cash are reasonably predictable and are not expected to change materially in the future.

The Parent Company also accesses debt security markets to provide additional sources of capital. Capital is primarily used to fund business expansion and capital expenditures. In 2003, we filed a Shelf Registration Statement (SRS) with Japanese regulatory authorities to issue up to 100 billion yen of Samurai notes in Japan. In July 2005, we issued 40 billion yen (approximately \$360 million) of these securities with a coupon of .71% and a five-year maturity. These securities are not available to U.S. persons. The remaining 60 billion yen of the 2003 SRS expired in December 2005. As a result,

Table of Contents

we filed a new SRS with Japanese regulatory authorities in February 2006 to issue up to 100 billion yen (approximately \$840 million using the December 31, 2006, exchange rate) of Samurai notes in Japan. If issued, these securities will not be available to U.S. persons. We also filed an SRS in August 2006 with Japanese regulatory authorities to issue up to 100 billion yen (approximately \$840 million using the December 31, 2006, period-end exchange rate) of Uridashi notes in Japan. In September 2006, the Parent Company issued 45 billion yen of yen-denominated Uridashi notes from this SRS. If issued, the remaining 55 billion yen of yen-denominated Uridashi notes will not be available to U.S. persons. We believe outside sources for additional debt and equity capital, if needed, will continue to be available. For additional information, see Note 7 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

The principal sources of cash for our insurance operations are premiums and investment income. The primary uses of cash by our insurance operations are policy claims, commissions, operating expenses, income taxes and payments to the Parent Company for management fees and dividends. Both the sources and uses of cash are reasonably predictable.

When making an investment decision, our first consideration is based on product needs. Our investment objectives provide for liquidity through the purchase of investment-grade debt securities. These objectives also take into account duration matching, and because of the long-term nature of our business, we have adequate time to react to changing cash flow needs.

As a result of policyholder aging, claims payments are expected to gradually increase over the life of a policy. Therefore, future policy benefit reserves are accumulated in the early years of a policy and are designed to help fund future claims payments. We expect our future cash flows from premiums and our investment portfolio to be sufficient to meet our cash needs for benefits and expenses.

The following table presents the estimated payments by period of our major contractual obligations as of December 31, 2006. We translated our yen-denominated obligations using the December 31, 2006, exchange rate. Actual future payments as reported in dollars will fluctuate with changes in the yen/dollar exchange rate.

Distribution of Payments by Period

| (In millions) | Total Liability* | Total Payments | Less Than One Year | One to Three Years | Four to Five Years | After Five Years |
|----------------------------------|------------------|----------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Future policy benefits liability | \$ 40,841 | \$ 208,221 | \$ 6,403 | \$ 12,791 | \$ 12,496 | \$ 176,531 |
| Unpaid policy claims liability | 2,390 | 2,390 | 1,794 | 378 | 130 | 88 |
| Long-term debt - principal | 1,416 | 1,416 | 252 | 450 | 630 | 84 |
| Long-term debt - interest | 4 | 71 | 18 | 30 | 14 | 9 |
| Policyholder protection fund | 175 | 175 | 29 | 47 | 53 | 46 |
| Operating service agreements | N/A | ** 530 | 47 | 128 | 121 | 234 |
| Operating lease obligations | N/A | ** 143 | 42 | 36 | 19 | 46 |
| Capitalized lease obligations | 10 | 10 | 4 | 5 | 1 | - |
| | \$ 44,836 | \$ 212,956 | \$ 8,589 | \$ 13,865 | \$ 13,464 | \$ 177,038 |

Edgar Filing: AFLAC INC - Form 10-K

Total contractual
obligations

*Liability amounts are those reported on the consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2006.

***Not applicable.*

II-37

Table of Contents

The distribution of payments for future policy benefits is an estimate of all future benefit payments for policies in force as of December 31, 2006. These projected values contain assumptions for future policy persistency, mortality and morbidity. The distribution of payments for unpaid policy claims includes assumptions as to the timing of policyholders reporting claims for prior periods and the amount of those claims. Actual amounts and timing of both future policy benefits and unpaid policy claims payments may differ significantly from the estimates above. We anticipate that the future policy benefit liability of \$40.8 billion at December 31, 2006, along with future net premiums and investment income, will be sufficient to fund future policy benefit payments.

Consolidated Cash Flows

We translate cash flows for Aflac Japan's yen-denominated items into U.S. dollars using weighted-average exchange rates. In years when the yen weakens, translating yen into dollars causes fewer dollars to be reported. When the yen strengthens, translating yen into dollars causes more dollars to be reported. The following table summarizes consolidated cash flows by activity for the years ended December 31.

Consolidated Cash Flows by Activity

| (In millions) | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|--|----------|------------|----------|
| Operating activities | \$ 4,397 | \$ 4,433 | \$ 4,486 |
| Investing activities | (4,057) | (6,692) | (1,418) |
| Financing activities | (434) | (196) | (313) |
| Exchange effect on cash and cash equivalents | - | (61) | 6 |
| Net change in cash and cash equivalents | \$ (94) | \$ (2,516) | \$ 2,761 |

Operating Activities

Consolidated cash flow from operations was \$4.4 billion in both 2006 and 2005, and \$4.5 billion in 2004. The following table summarizes operating cash flows by source for the years ended December 31.

Cash Provided by Operating Activities

| (In millions) | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|---------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| Aflac Japan | \$ 3,437 | \$ 3,691 | \$ 3,670 |
| Aflac U.S. and Other Operations | 960 | 742 | 816 |

The decrease in Aflac Japan cash flows was due primarily to an increase in income tax payments in 2006 as a result of realized gains related to our bond-swap program.

Table of Contents**Investing Activities**

Operating cash flow is primarily used to purchase debt securities to meet future policy obligations. The following table summarizes investing cash flows by source for the years ended December 31.

Cash Provided (Used) by Investing Activities

| (In millions) | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Aflac Japan | \$ (3,372) | \$ (3,574) | \$ (3,692) |
| Aflac U.S. and Other Operations | (685) | (3,118) | 2,274 |

Cash flows for Aflac U.S. and Other Operations included the January 2005 return of cash collateral from the security lending activities of Aflac U.S. at the end of 2004 (approximately \$2.6 billion).

Prudent portfolio management dictates that we attempt to match the duration of our assets with the duration of our liabilities. Currently, when debt securities we own mature, the proceeds may be reinvested at a yield below that required for the accretion of policy benefit liabilities on policies issued in earlier years. However, the long-term nature of our business and our strong cash flows provide us with the ability to minimize the effect of mismatched durations and/or yields identified by various asset adequacy analyses. When market opportunities arise, we dispose of selected debt securities that are available for sale to improve the duration matching of our assets and liabilities and/or improve future investment yields. As a result, dispositions before maturity can vary significantly from year to year. Dispositions before maturity were approximately 7% of the annual average investment portfolio of debt securities available for sale during the year ended December 31, 2006, compared with 11% in 2005 and 5% in 2004. The increase of dispositions before maturity in 2006 and 2005 primarily resulted from the bond swaps we executed from the third quarter of 2005 through the second quarter of 2006.

Financing Activities

Consolidated cash used by financing activities was \$434 million in 2006, \$196 million in 2005 and \$313 million in 2004. In September 2006, we received \$382 million in connection with the Parent Company's issuance of yen-denominated Uridashi notes. In June 2006, the Parent Company paid \$355 million in connection with the maturity of the 2001 Samurai notes. In October 2005, we paid \$261 million in connection with the maturity of the 2000 Samurai notes. In July 2005, the Parent Company received \$360 million from its issuance of yen-denominated Samurai notes. Cash provided by investment-type contracts was \$217 million in 2006, compared with \$257 million in 2005 and \$220 million in 2004.

Table of Contents

The following table presents a summary of treasury stock activity during the years ended December 31.

| (In millions of dollars and millions of shares) | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|---|---------------|-------------|-------------|
| Treasury stock purchases | \$ 470 | \$ 438 | \$ 392 |
| Shares purchased | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Stock issued from treasury | \$ 42 | \$ 50 | \$ 39 |
| Shares issued | 3 | 4 | 3 |

Cash dividends paid in 2006 of \$.55 per share increased 25.0% over 2005. The 2005 dividend of \$.44 per share increased 15.8% over 2004. The following table presents the sources of dividends to shareholders for the years ended December 31.

| (In millions) | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|---|---------------|-------------|-------------|
| Dividends paid in cash | \$ 258 | \$ 209 | \$ 182 |
| Dividends declared but not paid | 91 | - | - |
| Dividends through issuance of treasury shares | 15 | 11 | 10 |
| Total dividends to shareholders | \$ 364 | \$ 220 | \$ 192 |

Regulatory Restrictions

Aflac is domiciled in Nebraska and is subject to its regulations. The Nebraska insurance department imposes certain limitations and restrictions on payments of dividends, management fees, loans and advances by Aflac to the Parent Company. The Nebraska insurance statutes require prior approval for dividend distributions that exceed the greater of the net gain from operations, which excludes net realized investment gains, for the previous year determined under statutory accounting principles, or 10% of statutory capital and surplus as of the previous year-end. In addition, the Nebraska insurance department must approve service arrangements and other transactions within the affiliated group of companies. These regulatory limitations are not expected to affect the level of management fees or dividends paid by Aflac to the Parent Company. A life insurance company's statutory capital and surplus is determined according to rules prescribed by the NAIC, as modified by the insurance department in the insurance company's state of domicile. Statutory accounting rules are different from GAAP and are intended to emphasize policyholder protection and company solvency.

The continued long-term growth of our business may require increases in the statutory capital and surplus of our insurance operations. Aflac's insurance operations may secure additional statutory capital through various sources, such as internally generated statutory earnings or equity contributions by the Parent Company from funds generated through debt or equity offerings. The NAIC's risk-based capital (RBC) formula is used by insurance regulators to facilitate identification of inadequately capitalized insurance companies. The RBC formula quantifies insurance risk, business risk, asset risk and interest rate risk by weighing the types and mixtures of risks inherent in the insurer's operations. Aflac's RBC ratio remains high and reflects a very strong capital and surplus position. Currently, the NAIC has ongoing regulatory initiatives relating to revisions to the RBC

Table of Contents

formula as well as numerous initiatives covering insurance products, investments, and other actuarial and accounting matters. We believe that we will continue to maintain a strong RBC ratio and statutory capital and surplus position in future periods.

In addition to limitations and restrictions imposed by U.S. insurance regulators, Japan's FSA may not allow profit repatriations or other transfers from Aflac Japan if they would cause Aflac Japan to lack sufficient financial strength for the protection of policyholders. The FSA maintains its own solvency standard. Aflac Japan's solvency margin ratio significantly exceeds regulatory minimums.

Payments are made from Aflac Japan to the Parent Company for management fees and to Aflac U.S. for allocated expenses and profit repatriations. During 2006, Aflac Japan paid \$25 million to the Parent Company for management fees, compared with \$28 million in 2005 and \$24 million in 2004. Expenses allocated to Aflac Japan were \$32 million in 2006, \$30 million in 2005 and \$26 million in 2004. During 2006, Aflac Japan repatriated profits of \$442 million (50.0 billion yen) to Aflac U.S., compared with \$374 million (41.2 billion yen) in 2005 and \$220 million (23.9 billion yen) in 2004. Profits repatriated in 2004 were lower primarily as a result of realized investment losses recognized in 2003. For additional information on regulatory restrictions on dividends, profit repatriations and other transfers, see Note 11 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Rating Agencies

Aflac is rated AA by both Standard & Poor's and Fitch Ratings and Aa2 (Excellent) by Moody's for financial strength. A.M. Best assigned Aflac an A+ (Superior) rating for financial strength and operating performance. Aflac Incorporated's senior debt, Samurai notes, and Uridashi notes are rated A by Standard & Poor's, A+ by Fitch Ratings, and A2 by Moody's.

Other

In October 2006, the board of directors declared the first quarter 2007 cash dividend of \$.185 per share. The dividend is payable on March 1, 2007, to shareholders of record at the close of business on February 16, 2007. In 2004, the board of directors authorized the purchase of up to 30 million shares of our common stock. In 2006, the board of directors authorized the purchase of an additional 30 million shares of our common stock. As of December 31, 2006, approximately 37 million shares were available for purchase under our share repurchase programs.

For information regarding commitments and contingent liabilities, see Note 13 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK.

The information required by Item 7A is incorporated by reference from the Market Risks of Financial Instruments section of MD&A in Part II, Item 7, of this report.

Table of Contents**ITEM 8.** FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA.**Aflac Incorporated and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statements of Earnings**

Years Ended December 31,

| (In millions, except for share and per-share amounts) | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Revenues: | | | |
| Premiums, principally supplemental health insurance | \$ 12,314 | \$ 11,990 | \$ 11,302 |
| Net investment income | 2,171 | 2,071 | 1,957 |
| Realized investment gains (losses) | 79 | 262 | (12) |
| Other income | 52 | 40 | 34 |
| Total revenues | 14,616 | 14,363 | 13,281 |
| Benefits and expenses: | | | |
| Benefits and claims | 9,016 | 8,890 | 8,482 |
| Acquisition and operating expenses: | | | |
| Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs | 574 | 542 | 519 |
| Insurance commissions | 1,303 | 1,302 | 1,252 |
| Insurance expenses | 1,337 | 1,281 | 1,128 |
| Interest expense | 19 | 23 | 23 |
| Other operating expenses | 103 | 99 | 104 |
| Total acquisition and operating expenses | 3,336 | 3,247 | 3,026 |
| Total benefits and expenses | 12,352 | 12,137 | 11,508 |
| Earnings before income taxes | 2,264 | 2,226 | 1,773 |
| Income tax expense: | | | |
| Current | 419 | 499 | 391 |
| Deferred | 362 | 278 | 244 |
| Release of valuation allowance on deferred tax assets | - | (34) | (128) |
| Total income taxes | 781 | 743 | 507 |
| Net earnings | \$ 1,483 | 1,483 | \$ 1,266 |
| Net earnings per share: | | | |
| Basic | \$ 2.99 | \$ 2.96 | \$ 2.49 |
| Diluted | 2.95 | 2.92 | 2.45 |
| Common shares used in computing earnings per share (In thousands): | | | |
| Basic | 495,614 | 500,939 | 507,333 |
| Diluted | 501,827 | 507,704 | 516,421 |

See the accompanying Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**Aflac Incorporated and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Balance Sheets**

December 31,

(In millions)

| | 2006 | 2005 |
|--|-----------|-----------|
| Assets: | | |
| Investments and cash: | | |
| Securities available for sale, at fair value: | | |
| Fixed maturities (amortized cost \$27,099 in 2006 and \$25,860 in 2005) | \$ 28,805 | \$ 28,142 |
| Perpetual debentures (amortized cost \$4,341 in 2006 and \$4,255 in 2005) | 4,408 | 4,370 |
| Equity securities (cost \$16 in 2006 and \$30 in 2005) | 25 | 84 |
| Securities held to maturity, at amortized cost: | | |
| Fixed maturities (fair value \$13,369 in 2006 and \$10,839 in 2005) | 13,483 | 10,867 |
| Perpetual debentures (fair value \$4,024 in 2006 and \$4,252 in 2005) | 3,990 | 4,172 |
| Other investments | 58 | 57 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 1,203 | 1,297 |
| Total investments and cash | 51,972 | 48,989 |
| Receivables, primarily premiums | 535 | 479 |
| Receivables for security transactions | - | 105 |
| Accrued investment income | 538 | 484 |
| Deferred policy acquisition costs | 6,025 | 5,590 |
| Property and equipment, at cost less accumulated depreciation | 458 | 448 |
| Other | 277 | 266 |
| Total assets | \$ 59,805 | \$ 56,361 |

See the accompanying Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

(continued)

II-43

Table of Contents**Aflac Incorporated and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Balance Sheets (continued)**

December 31,

(In millions, except for share and per-share amounts)

| | 2006 | 2005 |
|--|-----------|-----------|
| Liabilities and shareholders equity: | | |
| Liabilities: | | |
| Policy liabilities: | | |
| Future policy benefits | \$ 40,841 | \$ 37,853 |
| Unpaid policy claims | 2,390 | 2,504 |
| Unearned premiums | 645 | 594 |
| Other policyholders funds | 1,564 | 1,378 |
| Total policy liabilities | 45,440 | 42,329 |
| Notes payable | 1,426 | 1,395 |
| Income taxes | 2,462 | 2,577 |
| Payables for return of cash collateral on loaned securities | 807 | 622 |
| Other | 1,329 | 1,511 |
| Commitments and contingent liabilities (Note 13) | | |
| Total liabilities | 51,464 | 48,434 |
| Shareholders equity: | | |
| Common stock of \$.10 par value. In thousands: authorized 1,000,000 shares; issued 655,715 shares in 2006 and 654,522 shares in 2005 | 66 | 65 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 895 | 791 |
| Retained earnings | 9,304 | 8,048 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income: | | |
| Unrealized foreign currency translation gains | 54 | 77 |
| Unrealized gains on investment securities | 1,450 | 1,917 |
| Pension liability adjustment | (78) | (37) |
| Treasury stock, at average cost | (3,350) | (2,934) |
| Total shareholders equity | 8,341 | 7,927 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders equity | \$ 59,805 | \$ 56,361 |

See the accompanying Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

II-44

Table of Contents**Aflac Incorporated and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity**

Years Ended December 31,

| (In millions, except for per-share amounts) | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|--|----------|----------|----------|
| Common stock: | | | |
| Balance, beginning of year | \$ 65 | \$ 65 | \$ 65 |
| Exercise of stock options | 1 | - | - |
| Balance, end of year | 66 | 65 | 65 |
| Additional paid-in capital: | | | |
| Balance, beginning of year | 791 | 676 | 592 |
| Exercise of stock options, including income tax benefits | 32 | 54 | 14 |
| Share-based compensation | 34 | 32 | 34 |
| Gain on treasury stock reissued | 38 | 29 | 36 |
| Balance, end of year | 895 | 791 | 676 |
| Retained earnings: | | | |
| Balance, beginning of year | 8,048 | 6,785 | 5,712 |
| Cumulative effect of change - adoption of SAB 108 | 139 | - | - |
| Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle | (2) | - | - |
| Net earnings | 1,483 | 1,483 | 1,266 |
| Dividends to shareholders (\$.735 per share in 2006, \$.44 per share in 2005, and \$.38 per share in 2004) | (364) | (220) | (193) |
| Balance, end of year | 9,304 | 8,048 | 6,785 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income: | | | |
| Balance, beginning of year | 1,957 | 2,611 | 2,493 |
| Change in unrealized foreign currency translation gains (losses) during year, net of income taxes | (23) | (145) | 9 |
| Change in unrealized gains (losses) on investment securities during year, net of income taxes | (467) | (500) | 101 |
| Pension liability adjustment during year, net of income taxes | (41) | (9) | 8 |
| Balance, end of year | 1,426 | 1,957 | 2,611 |
| Treasury stock: | | | |
| Balance, beginning of year | (2,934) | (2,561) | (2,214) |
| Purchases of treasury stock | (470) | (438) | (392) |
| Cost of shares issued | 54 | 65 | 45 |
| Balance, end of year | (3,350) | (2,934) | (2,561) |
| Total shareholders' equity | \$ 8,341 | \$ 7,927 | \$ 7,576 |

See the accompanying Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**Aflac Incorporated and Subsidiaries**

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

Years Ended December 31,

| (In millions) | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | | |
| Net earnings | \$ 1,483 | \$ 1,483 | \$ 1,266 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash provided by operating activities: | | | |
| Change in receivables and advance premiums | (41) | (43) | 159 |
| Increase in deferred policy acquisition costs | (474) | (461) | (443) |
| Increase in policy liabilities | 3,304 | 3,311 | 3,023 |
| Change in income tax liabilities | 180 | 351 | 347 |
| Realized investment (gains) losses | (79) | (262) | 12 |
| Other, net | 24 | 54 | 122 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 4,397 | 4,433 | 4,486 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | | |
| Proceeds from investments sold or matured: | | | |
| Securities available for sale: | | | |
| Fixed maturities sold | 2,358 | 3,465 | 1,481 |
| Fixed maturities matured | 553 | 743 | 820 |
| Perpetual debentures sold | 1 | 35 | - |
| Equity securities sold | 57 | - | - |
| Securities held to maturity: | | | |
| Fixed maturities matured or called | 172 | 212 | 1 |
| Costs of investments acquired: | | | |
| Securities available for sale: | | | |
| Fixed maturities | (4,402) | (5,523) | (3,914) |
| Perpetual debentures | - | (710) | (464) |
| Equity securities | - | - | (1) |
| Securities held to maturity: | | | |
| Fixed maturities | (2,963) | (2,661) | (1,468) |
| Perpetual debentures | - | - | (358) |
| Cash received as collateral on loaned securities, net | 193 | (2,237) | 2,512 |
| Additions to property and equipment, net | (23) | (16) | (21) |
| Other, net | (3) | - | (6) |
| Net cash used by investing activities | \$ (4,057) | \$ (6,692) | \$ (1,418) |

See the accompanying Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

(continued)

II-46

Table of Contents

Aflac Incorporated and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (continued)
 Years Ended December 31,

| (In millions) | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | | |
| Purchases of treasury stock | \$ (470) | \$ (438) | \$ (392) |
| Proceeds from borrowings | 382 | 360 | - |
| Principal payments under debt obligations | (377) | (269) | (12) |
| Dividends paid to shareholders | (258) | (209) | (182) |
| Change in investment-type contracts, net | 217 | 257 | 220 |
| Treasury stock reissued | 42 | 50 | 39 |
| Other, net | 30 | 53 | 14 |
| Net cash used by financing activities | (434) | (196) | (313) |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents | - | (61) | 6 |
| Net change in cash and cash equivalents | (94) | (2,516) | 2,761 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year | 1,297 | 3,813 | 1,052 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of year | \$ 1,203 | \$ 1,297 | \$ 3,813 |

Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information - See Note 14

See the accompanying Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

II-47

Table of Contents**Aflac Incorporated and Subsidiaries**
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income

Years Ended December 31,

| (In millions) | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Net earnings | \$ 1,483 | \$ 1,483 | \$ 1,266 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) before income taxes: | | | |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments: | | | |
| Change in unrealized foreign currency translation gains (losses) during year | (12) | 44 | (24) |
| Unrealized gains (losses) on investment securities: | | | |
| Unrealized holding gains (losses) arising during year | (642) | (538) | 143 |
| Reclassification adjustment for realized (gains) losses included in net earnings | (79) | (262) | 12 |
| Pension liability adjustment during year | (64) | (13) | 14 |
| Total other comprehensive income (loss) before income taxes | (797) | (769) | 145 |
| Income tax expense (benefit) related to items of other comprehensive income (loss) | (266) | (115) | 28 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of income taxes | (531) | (654) | 117 |
| Total comprehensive income | \$ 952 | \$ 829 | \$ 1,383 |

See the accompanying Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

II-48

Table of Contents

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Description of Business: Aflac Incorporated (the Parent Company) and its subsidiaries (the Company) primarily sell supplemental health and life insurance in the United States and Japan. The Company's insurance business is marketed and administered through American Family Life Assurance Company of Columbus (Aflac), which operates in the United States (Aflac U.S.) and as a branch in Japan (Aflac Japan). Most of Aflac's policies are individually underwritten and marketed through independent agents. Our insurance operations in the United States and our branch in Japan service the two markets for our insurance business. Aflac Japan accounted for 72% of the Company's total revenues in 2006, 74% in 2005 and 75% in 2004, and 82% of total assets at both December 31, 2006 and 2005.

Basis of Presentation: We prepare our financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). These principles are established primarily by the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB). The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires us to make estimates when recording transactions resulting from business operations based on currently available information. The most significant items on our balance sheet that involve a greater degree of accounting estimates and actuarial determinations subject to changes in the future are the valuation of investments, deferred policy acquisition costs, and liabilities for future policy benefits and unpaid policy claims. These accounting estimates and actuarial determinations are sensitive to market conditions, investment yields, mortality, morbidity, commission and other acquisition expenses, and terminations by policyholders. As additional information becomes available, or actual amounts are determinable, the recorded estimates will be revised and reflected in operating results. Although some variability is inherent in these estimates, we believe the amounts provided are adequate.

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Parent Company, its majority-owned subsidiaries and those entities required to be consolidated under applicable accounting standards. All material intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated.

Translation of Foreign Currencies: The functional currency of Aflac Japan's insurance operations is the Japanese yen. We translate our yen-denominated financial statement accounts into U.S. dollars as follows. Assets and liabilities are translated at end-of-period exchange rates. Realized gains and losses on security transactions are translated at the exchange rate on the trade date of each transaction. Other revenues, expenses and cash flows are translated using average exchange rates for the year. The resulting currency translation adjustments are reported in accumulated other comprehensive income. We include in earnings the realized currency exchange gains and losses resulting from transactions. Realized currency exchange gains and losses were immaterial during the three-year period ended December 31, 2006.

Aflac Japan maintains an investment portfolio of dollar-denominated securities on behalf of Aflac U.S. The functional currency for these investments is the U.S. dollar. The related investment income and realized/unrealized investment gains and losses are also denominated in U.S. dollars.

Table of Contents

We have designated the yen-denominated Uridashi and Samurai notes issued by the Parent Company and the cross-currency swaps as a hedge of our investment in Aflac Japan (see the section in this note titled, Derivatives). Outstanding principal and related accrued interest on these items are translated into U.S. dollars at end-of-period exchange rates. Currency translation adjustments are included in accumulated other comprehensive income.

Insurance Revenue and Expense Recognition: The supplemental health and life insurance policies we issue are classified as long-duration contracts. The contract provisions generally cannot be changed or canceled during the contract period; however, we may adjust premiums for supplemental health policies issued in the United States within prescribed guidelines and with the approval of state insurance regulatory authorities.

Insurance premiums for health and life policies are recognized ratably as earned income over the premium payment periods of the policies. When revenues are reported, the related amounts of benefits and expenses are charged against such revenues, so that profits are recognized in proportion to premium revenues during the period the policies are expected to remain in force. This association is accomplished by means of annual additions to the liability for future policy benefits and the deferral and subsequent amortization of policy acquisition costs.

The calculation of deferred policy acquisition costs and the liability for future policy benefits requires the use of estimates consistent with sound actuarial valuation techniques. For new policy issues, we review our actuarial assumptions and deferrable acquisition costs each year and revise them when necessary to more closely reflect recent experience and studies of actual acquisition costs. For policies in force, we evaluate deferred policy acquisition costs by major product groupings to determine that they are recoverable from future revenues. Any resulting adjustment is charged against net earnings.

Cash and Cash Equivalents: Cash and cash equivalents include cash on hand, money market instruments and other debt instruments with a maturity of 90 days or less when purchased.

Investments: Our debt securities include fixed-maturity securities and perpetual debentures, which are classified as either held to maturity or available for sale. Securities classified as held to maturity are securities that we have the ability and intent to hold to maturity or redemption and are carried at amortized cost. All other debt securities and our equity securities are classified as available for sale and are carried at fair value. If the fair value is higher than the amortized cost for debt securities, or the purchase cost for equity securities, the excess is an unrealized gain, and if lower than cost, the difference is an unrealized loss.

The net unrealized gains and losses on securities available for sale, plus the unamortized unrealized gains and losses on debt securities transferred to the held-to-maturity portfolio, less related deferred income taxes, are included in accumulated other comprehensive income.

Amortized cost of debt securities is based on our purchase price adjusted for accrual of discount, or amortization of premium. The amortized cost of debt securities we purchase at a discount will equal the face or par value at maturity. Debt securities that we purchase at a premium will have an amortized cost equal to face or par value at maturity or the call date, if applicable. Interest is reported as income when earned and is adjusted for amortization of any premium or discount.

Table of Contents

Our investments in qualifying special purpose entities (QSPEs) are accounted for as fixed-maturity or perpetual securities. All of our investments in QSPEs are held in our available-for-sale portfolio.

For the collateralized mortgage obligations (CMOs) held in our fixed-maturity securities portfolio, we recognize income using a constant effective yield, which is based on anticipated prepayments and the estimated economic life of the securities. When estimates of prepayments change, the effective yield is recalculated to reflect actual payments to date and anticipated future payments. The net investment in CMO securities is adjusted to the amount that would have existed had the new effective yield been applied at the time of acquisition. This adjustment is reflected in net investment income.

We use the specific identification method to determine the gain or loss from securities transactions and report the realized gain or loss in the consolidated statements of earnings.

Our credit analysts and credit research personnel routinely monitor and evaluate the difference between the amortized cost and fair value of our investments. Additionally, credit analysis and/or credit rating issues related to specific investments may trigger more intensive monitoring to determine if a decline in fair value is other than temporary. For investments with a fair value below amortized cost, the process includes evaluating the length of time and the extent to which amortized cost exceeds fair value, the prospects and financial condition of the issuer, and our evaluation for a potential recovery in fair value, among other factors. This process is not exact and requires consideration of risks such as credit risk, which to a certain extent can be controlled, and interest rate risk, which cannot be controlled. Therefore, if an investment's amortized cost exceeds its fair value solely due to changes in interest rates, impairment may not be appropriate. If, after monitoring and analyses, management believes that a decline in fair value is other than temporary, we adjust the amortized cost of the security and report a realized loss in the consolidated statements of earnings.

We lend fixed-maturity securities to financial institutions in short-term security lending transactions. These securities continue to be carried as investment assets on our balance sheet during the terms of the loans and are not reported as sales. We receive cash or other securities as collateral for such loans. For loans involving unrestricted cash collateral, the collateral is reported as an asset with a corresponding liability for the return of the collateral. For loans collateralized by securities, the collateral is not reported as an asset or liability.

Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs: The costs of acquiring new business are deferred and amortized with interest over the premium payment periods in proportion to the ratio of annual premium income to total anticipated premium income. Anticipated premium income is estimated by using the same mortality, persistency and interest assumptions used in computing liabilities for future policy benefits. In this manner, the related acquisition expenses are matched with revenues. Deferred costs include the excess of current-year commissions over ultimate renewal-year commissions and certain direct and allocated policy issue, underwriting and marketing expenses. All of these costs vary with and are primarily related to the production of new business.

Policy Liabilities: Future policy benefits represent claims that may occur in the future and are computed by a net level premium method using estimated future investment yields, persistency and recognized morbidity and mortality tables modified to reflect our experience, including a provision for adverse deviation. These assumptions are generally established at the time a policy is issued.

Table of Contents

Unpaid policy claims are estimates computed on an undiscounted basis using statistical analyses of historical claims experience adjusted for current trends and changed conditions. The ultimate liability may vary significantly from such estimates. We regularly adjust these estimates as new claims experience emerges and reflect the changes in operating results in the year such adjustments are made.

Income Taxes: Income tax provisions are generally based on pretax earnings reported for financial statement purposes, which differ from those amounts used in preparing our income tax returns. Deferred income taxes are recognized for temporary differences between the financial reporting basis and income tax basis of assets and liabilities, based on enacted tax laws and statutory tax rates applicable to the periods in which we expect the temporary differences to reverse.

Derivatives: We have limited activity with derivative financial instruments. We do not use them for trading purposes, nor do we engage in leveraged derivative transactions. At December 31, 2006, our only outstanding derivative contracts were interest rate swaps related to our 20 billion yen variable interest rate Uridashi notes and cross-currency swaps related to our \$450 million senior notes (see Notes 4 and 7).

We document all relationships between hedging instruments and hedged items, as well as our risk-management objectives for undertaking various hedge transactions. This process includes linking derivatives that are designated as hedges to specific assets or liabilities on the balance sheet. We also assess, both at inception and on an ongoing basis, whether the derivatives and nonderivatives used in hedging activities are highly effective in offsetting changes in fair values of the hedged items. The assessment of hedge effectiveness determines the noncash accounting treatment of changes in fair value.

We have designated our cross-currency swaps as a hedge of the foreign currency exposure of our investment in Aflac Japan. We include the fair value of the cross-currency swaps in either other assets or other liabilities on the balance sheet. We report the changes in fair value of the foreign currency portion of our cross-currency swaps in other comprehensive income. Changes in the fair value of the interest rate component are reflected in other income in the consolidated statements of earnings.

We have designated our interest rate swaps as a hedge of the variability of the interest cash flows associated with the variable interest rate Uridashi notes. We include the fair value of the interest rate swaps in either other assets or other liabilities on the balance sheet. We report the changes in fair value of the interest rate swaps in other comprehensive income as long as they are deemed effective. Should any portion of the swap be deemed ineffective, that value would be reported in other income in the consolidated statements of earnings.

Policyholder Protection Fund and State Guaranty Association Assessments: In Japan, the government has required the insurance industry to contribute to a policyholder protection fund. We recognize a charge for our estimated share of the industry's obligation once it is determinable. We review the estimated liability for policyholder protection fund contributions on an annual basis and report any adjustments in Aflac Japan's expenses.

Table of Contents

In the United States, each state has a guaranty association that supports insolvent insurers operating in those states. To date, our state guaranty association assessments have not been material.

Treasury Stock: Treasury stock is reflected as a reduction of shareholders' equity at cost. We use the weighted-average purchase cost to determine the cost of treasury stock that is reissued. We include any gains and losses in additional paid-in capital when treasury stock is reissued.

Earnings Per Share: We compute basic earnings per share (EPS) by dividing net earnings by the weighted-average number of unrestricted shares outstanding for the period. Diluted EPS is computed by dividing net earnings by the weighted-average number of shares outstanding for the period plus the shares representing the dilutive effect of share-based awards.

New Accounting Pronouncements: In September 2006, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 158, Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans, an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106, and 132(R) (SFAS 158). The provisions of SFAS 158 apply to all plan sponsors who offer defined benefit postretirement plans. SFAS 158 requires a plan sponsor to recognize the plan's funded status as an asset or liability in its balance sheet, and to measure plan assets and liabilities as of the end of the sponsor's fiscal year. It also requires an entity to recognize changes in the funded status of a defined benefit postretirement plan in comprehensive income in the year in which the changes occur. However, SFAS 158 does not change the amount of net periodic benefit cost, or expense, included in net earnings. The recognition and disclosure requirements of SFAS 158 are effective as of the end of the fiscal year ending after December 15, 2006. The measurement date provisions are effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2008. Earlier application of the measurement date provisions is encouraged. We adopted the recognition and measurement date provisions of this standard effective December 31, 2006. The adoption of this standard increased our pension plans' projected benefit obligation by \$64 million; and resulted in a charge to other comprehensive income of \$64 million before taxes to reflect the increase in pension liabilities and an opening adjustment to retained earnings in the amount of \$2 million.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements (SFAS 157). SFAS 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value under GAAP, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. This standard applies to other accounting pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurements, the FASB having previously concluded in those accounting pronouncements that fair value is the relevant measurement attribute. Accordingly, SFAS 157 does not require any new fair value measurements. Where applicable, this standard simplifies and codifies related guidance within GAAP. SFAS 157 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, with earlier application encouraged under limited circumstances. We do not expect the adoption of this standard to have a material effect on our financial position or results of operations.

In June 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 48 (FIN 48), Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109. The provisions of FIN 48 clarify the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise's financial statements in

Table of Contents

accordance with SFAS No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. FIN 48 prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. The evaluation of a tax position in accordance with FIN 48 is a two-step process. Under the first step, the enterprise determines whether it is more-likely-than-not that a tax position will be sustained upon examination by taxing authorities. The second step is measurement, whereby a tax position that meets the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold is measured to determine the amount of benefit to recognize in the financial statements. The tax position is measured at the largest amount of benefit that is greater than 50% likely of being realized upon ultimate settlement. FIN 48 also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure, and transition. FIN 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006, with earlier application encouraged. We do not expect the adoption of this interpretation to have a material effect on our financial position or results of operations.

In February 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 155, Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments, an amendment of FASB Statements No. 133 and 140 (SFAS 155). The provisions of SFAS 155 are effective for all financial instruments acquired or issued after the beginning of the first fiscal year after September 15, 2006. Earlier adoption is permitted. SFAS 155 amends the accounting for hybrid financial instruments and eliminates the exclusion of beneficial interests in securitized financial assets from the guidance under SFAS 133. It also eliminates the prohibition on the type of derivative instruments that qualified special purpose entities may hold under SFAS 140. Furthermore, SFAS 155 clarifies that concentrations of credit risk in the form of subordination are not embedded derivatives. In accordance with the standard's early adoption provisions, we adopted the provisions of SFAS 155 on January 1, 2006. The adoption of this standard did not have any impact on our financial position or results of operations.

In November 2005, the FASB issued Staff Position Number FAS 115-1, The Meaning of Other-Than-Temporary Impairment and Its Application to Certain Investments (FSP 115-1). FSP 115-1 addresses the determination as to when an investment is considered impaired, whether that impairment is other than temporary, and the measurement of an impairment loss. It also includes accounting considerations subsequent to the recognition of an other-than-temporary impairment and requires certain disclosures about unrealized losses that have not been recognized as other-than-temporary impairments. The guidance in FSP 115-1 amends SFAS No. 115, Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities, and became effective January 1, 2006. The adoption of this staff position did not have a material effect on our financial position or results of operations.

In September 2005, the Accounting Standards Executive Committee of the AICPA issued Statement of Position (SOP) 05-1, Accounting by Insurance Enterprises for Deferred Acquisition Costs in Connection with Modifications or Exchanges of Insurance Contracts (SOP 05-1). SOP 05-1 provides accounting guidance on internal replacements of insurance and investment contracts other than those specifically described in SFAS No. 97, Accounting and Reporting by Insurance Enterprises for Certain Long-Duration Contracts and for Realized Gains and Losses from the Sale of Investments. SOP 05-1 is effective for internal replacements occurring in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006, with earlier adoption encouraged. Retrospective application of this SOP to previously issued

Table of Contents

financial statements is not permitted. The adoption of this standard is not expected to have a significant impact on our financial position or results of operations.

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 123 (revised), Share-Based Payment (SFAS 123R). This standard amends SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, and supercedes APB Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and its related implementation guidance. SFAS 123R establishes the accounting for grants of share-based awards in which an entity exchanges its equity instruments for goods or services. It also addresses transactions in which an entity incurs liabilities in exchange for goods or services that are based on the fair value of the entity's equity instruments or transactions that may be settled by the issuance of those equity instruments. SFAS 123R requires that companies use a fair value method to value share-based awards and recognize the related compensation expense in net earnings. The provisions of SFAS 123R, as amended by the Securities and Exchange Commission, were effective as of the beginning of the first fiscal year after June 15, 2005, although earlier application was encouraged. In accordance with the standard's early adoption provisions, we began accounting for share-based awards using the modified-retrospective application method effective January 1, 2005.

Recent accounting pronouncements that were not discussed above are not applicable to our business.

Securities and Exchange Commission Guidance: In September 2006, the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 108 (SAB 108). SAB 108 addresses quantifying the financial statement effects of misstatements, specifically, how the effects of prior year uncorrected errors must be considered in quantifying misstatements in current year financial statements. Under the provisions of SAB 108, a reporting entity must quantify and evaluate errors using a balance sheet approach and an income statement approach. After considering all relevant quantitative and qualitative factors, if either approach results in a misstatement that is material, a reporting entity's financial statements must be adjusted. SAB 108 applies to SEC registrants and is effective for fiscal years ending after November 15, 2006. In the course of evaluating balance sheet amounts in accordance with the provisions of SAB 108, we have identified the following amounts that we have adjusted for as of January 1, 2006: a tax liability in the amount of \$87 million related to deferred tax asset valuation allowances that were not utilized; a tax liability in the amount of \$45 million related to various provisions for taxes that were not utilized; and a litigation liability in the amount of \$11 million related to provisions for various pending law suits that were not utilized. These liabilities were recorded in immaterial amounts prior to 2004 over a period ranging from 10 to 15 years. However, using the dual evaluation approach prescribed by SAB 108, correction of the above amounts would be material to current year earnings. In accordance with the provisions of SAB 108, the following amounts, net of tax where applicable, have been reflected as an opening adjustment to retained earnings as of January 1, 2006: a reduction of tax liabilities in the amount of \$132 million; a reduction of litigation reserves in the amount of \$11 million; and a reduction in deferred tax assets in the amount of \$4 million. These three adjustments resulted in a net addition to retained earnings in the amount of \$139 million.

II-55

Table of Contents**2. BUSINESS SEGMENT AND FOREIGN INFORMATION**

The Company consists of two reportable insurance business segments: Aflac Japan and Aflac U.S., both of which sell individual supplemental health and life insurance.

Operating business segments that are not individually reportable are included in the Other business segments category. We do not allocate corporate overhead expenses to business segments. We evaluate and manage our business segments using a financial performance measure called pretax operating earnings. Our definition of operating earnings excludes the following items from net earnings on an after-tax basis: realized investment gains/losses, the impact from SFAS 133, and nonrecurring items. We then exclude income taxes related to operations to arrive at pretax operating earnings. Information regarding operations by segment for the years ended December 31 follows:

| (In millions) | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Revenues: | | | |
| Aflac Japan: | | | |
| Earned premiums: | | | |
| Cancer life | \$ 4,923 | \$ 5,147 | \$ 5,223 |
| Other accident and health | 2,755 | 2,577 | 2,220 |
| Life insurance | 1,084 | 1,021 | 925 |
| Net investment income | 1,688 | 1,635 | 1,557 |
| Other income | 25 | 31 | 18 |
| Total Aflac Japan | 10,475 | 10,411 | 9,943 |
| Aflac U.S.: | | | |
| Earned premiums: | | | |
| Accident/disability | 1,580 | 1,424 | 1,261 |
| Cancer expense | 1,041 | 982 | 918 |
| Other health | 801 | 721 | 649 |
| Life insurance | 130 | 118 | 107 |
| Net investment income | 465 | 421 | 396 |
| Other income | 10 | 10 | 9 |
| Total Aflac U.S. | 4,027 | 3,676 | 3,340 |
| Other business segments | 42 | 39 | 33 |
| Total business segments | 14,544 | 14,126 | 13,316 |
| Realized investment gains (losses) | 79 | 262 | (12) |
| Japanese pension obligation transfer | - | - | 6 |
| Corporate | 87 | 74 | 24 |
| Intercompany eliminations | (94) | (99) | (53) |
| Total revenues | \$ 14,616 | \$ 14,363 | \$ 13,281 |

Table of Contents

| (In millions) | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|--|----------|------------|------------|
| Pretax earnings: | | | |
| Aflac Japan | \$ 1,652 | \$ 1,515 * | \$ 1,379 * |
| Aflac U.S. | 585 | 525 | 497 |
| Other business segments | 5 | - | - |
| Total business segments | 2,242 | 2,040 | 1,876 |
| Interest expense, noninsurance operations | (17) | (20) | (20) |
| Corporate and eliminations | (40) | (41) | (62) |
| Pretax operating earnings | 2,185 | 1,979 | 1,794 |
| Realized investment gains (losses) | 79 | 262 | (12) |
| Impact from SFAS 133 | - | (15) | (15) |
| Japanese pension obligation transfer | - | - | 6 |
| Total earnings before income taxes | \$ 2,264 | \$ 2,226 | \$ 1,773 |
| Income taxes applicable to pretax operating earnings | \$ 753 | \$ 687 | \$ 641 |
| Effect of foreign currency translation on operating earnings | (39) | (8) | 39 |

*Includes charges of \$46 in 2005 and \$26 in 2004 related to the write-down of previously capitalized systems development costs for Aflac Japan's administration system.

Assets as of December 31 were as follows:

| (In millions) | 2006 | 2005 |
|---------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Assets: | | |
| Aflac Japan | \$ 48,850 | \$ 46,200 |
| Aflac U.S. | 10,249 | 9,547 |
| Other business segments | 110 | 90 |
| Total business segments | 59,209 | 55,837 |
| Corporate | 10,023 | 9,559 |
| Intercompany eliminations | (9,427) | (9,035) |
| Total assets | \$ 59,805 | \$ 56,361 |

II-57

Table of Contents

Yen-Translation Effects: The following table shows the yen/dollar exchange rates used for or during the periods ended December 31. Exchange effects were calculated using the same yen/dollar exchange rate for the current year as for each respective prior year.

| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|--|---------|---------|--------|
| Statements of Earnings: | | | |
| Weighted-average yen/dollar exchange rate | 116.31 | 109.88 | 108.26 |
| Yen percent strengthening (weakening) | (5.5)% | (1.5)% | 7.1 % |
| Exchange effect on net earnings (millions) | \$ (41) | \$ (16) | \$ 39 |

| | 2006 | 2005 |
|---|-----------|------------|
| Balance Sheets: | | |
| Yen/dollar exchange rate at December 31 | 119.11 | 118.07 |
| Yen percent strengthening (weakening) | (.9)% | (11.7)% |
| Exchange effect on total assets (millions) | \$ (400) | \$ (5,703) |
| Exchange effect on total liabilities (millions) | (392) | (5,599) |

Aflac Japan maintains a portfolio of dollar-denominated securities, which serve as an economic currency hedge of a portion of our investment in Aflac Japan. We have designated the Parent Company's yen-denominated notes payable and cross-currency swaps as a hedge of our investment in Aflac Japan. The dollar values of our yen-denominated net assets, which are subject to foreign currency translation fluctuations for financial reporting purposes, are summarized as follows at December 31 (translated at end-of-period exchange rates):

| (In millions) | 2006 | 2005 |
|--|----------|----------|
| Aflac Japan net assets | \$ 5,782 | \$ 5,494 |
| Aflac Japan dollar-denominated net assets | (3,465) | (3,310) |
| Aflac Japan yen-denominated net assets | 2,317 | 2,184 |
| Parent Company yen-denominated net liabilities | (1,434) | (1,403) |
| Consolidated yen-denominated net assets subject to foreign currency translation fluctuations | \$ 883 | \$ 781 |

Transfers of funds from Aflac Japan: Aflac Japan makes payments to the Parent Company for management fees and to Aflac U.S. for allocated expenses and profit repatriations. Information on transfers for each of the years ended December 31 is shown below. See Note 11 for information concerning restrictions on transfers from Aflac Japan.

| (In millions) | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|----------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Management fees | \$ 25 | \$ 28 | \$ 24 |
| Allocated expenses | 32 | 30 | 26 |
| Profit repatriation | 442 | 374 | 220 |
| Total transfers from Aflac Japan | \$ 499 | \$ 432 | \$ 270 |

Table of Contents

Policyholder Protection Fund: The total liability accrued for our obligations to the Japanese life insurance policyholder fund was \$175 million (20.8 billion yen) at December 31, 2006, compared with \$203 million (23.9 billion yen) a year ago. The obligation is payable in semi-annual installments through 2013.

Property and Equipment: The costs of buildings, furniture and equipment are depreciated principally on a straight-line basis over their estimated useful lives (maximum of 45 years for buildings and 10 years for furniture and equipment). Expenditures for maintenance and repairs are expensed as incurred; expenditures for betterments are capitalized and depreciated. Classes of property and equipment as of December 31 were as follows:

| (In millions) | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|--------------------------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|
| Property and equipment: | | | |
| Land | \$ 118 | \$ 119 | \$ 146 |
| Buildings | 379 | 361 | 404 |
| Equipment | 224 | 226 | 225 |
| Total property and equipment | 721 | 706 | 775 |
| Less accumulated depreciation | 263 | 258 | 260 |
| Net property and equipment | \$ 458 | \$ 448 | \$ 515 |

Receivables: Receivables consisted primarily of monthly insurance premiums due from individual policyholders or their employers for payroll deduction of premiums. At December 31, 2006, \$221 million, or 41.4% of total receivables, were related to Aflac Japan's operations, compared with \$192 million, or 40.0%, at December 31, 2005.

Table of Contents**3. INVESTMENTS**

The amortized cost for debt securities, cost for equity securities and the fair values of these investments at December 31 are shown in the following table.

| (In millions) | 2006 Cost or Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value |
|--|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|
| Securities available for sale, carried at fair value: | | | | |
| Fixed maturities: | | | | |
| Yen-denominated: | | | | |
| Government and guaranteed | \$ 8,286 | \$ 733 | \$ 48 | \$ 8,971 |
| Mortgage- and asset-backed securities | 190 | 1 | 1 | 190 |
| Public utilities | 1,575 | 165 | 14 | 1,726 |
| Sovereign and supranational | 794 | 57 | 22 | 829 |
| Banks/financial institutions | 3,482 | 292 | 50 | 3,724 |
| Other corporate | 3,547 | 173 | 183 | 3,537 |
| Total yen-denominated | 17,874 | 1,421 | 318 | 18,977 |
| Dollar-denominated: | | | | |
| Government | 431 | 3 | 10 | 424 |
| Municipalities | 105 | 4 | - | 109 |
| Mortgage- and asset-backed securities | 356 | 3 | 6 | 353 |
| Collateralized debt obligations | 20 | - | - | 20 |
| Public utilities | 921 | 87 | 6 | 1,002 |
| Sovereign and supranational | 394 | 74 | - | 468 |
| Banks/financial institutions | 3,329 | 229 | 21 | 3,537 |
| Other corporate | 3,669 | 305 | 59 | 3,915 |
| Total dollar-denominated | 9,225 | 705 | 102 | 9,828 |
| Total fixed maturities | 27,099 | 2,126 | 420 | 28,805 |
| Perpetual debentures: | | | | |
| Yen-denominated: | | | | |
| Primarily banks/financial institutions | 3,656 | 197 | 143 | 3,710 |
| Dollar-denominated: | | | | |
| Banks/financial institutions | 685 | 25 | 12 | 698 |
| Total perpetual debentures | 4,341 | 222 | 155 | 4,408 |
| Equity securities | 16 | 9 | - | 25 |
| Total securities available for sale | \$ 31,456 | \$ 2,357 | \$ 575 | \$ 33,238 |

II-60

Table of Contents

| (In millions) | 2006 Cost or Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value |
|--|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|
| Securities held to maturity, carried at amortized cost: | | | | |
| Fixed maturities: | | | | |
| Yen-denominated: | | | | |
| Mortgage- and asset-backed securities | \$ 42 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 42 |
| Collateralized debt obligations | 134 | - | 2 | 132 |
| Public utilities | 1,567 | 31 | 50 | 1,548 |
| Sovereign and supranational | 2,783 | 90 | 35 | 2,838 |
| Banks/financial institutions | 6,913 | 98 | 336 | 6,675 |
| Other corporate | 2,025 | 112 | 22 | 2,115 |
| Total yen-denominated | 13,464 | 331 | 445 | 13,350 |
| Dollar-denominated: | | | | |
| Government | 19 | - | - | 19 |
| Total dollar-denominated | 19 | - | - | 19 |
| Total fixed maturities | 13,483 | 331 | 445 | 13,369 |
| Perpetual debentures: | | | | |
| Yen-denominated: | | | | |
| Banks/financial institutions | 3,990 | 156 | 122 | 4,024 |
| Total perpetual debentures | 3,990 | 156 | 122 | 4,024 |
| Total securities held to maturity | \$ 17,473 | \$ 487 | \$ 567 | \$ 17,393 |

II-61

Table of Contents

| (In millions) | 2005 Cost or Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value |
|--|--|---------------------------------------|--|-----------------------|
| Securities available for sale, carried at fair value: | | | | |
| Fixed maturities: | | | | |
| Yen-denominated: | | | | |
| Government and guaranteed | \$ 8,686 | \$ 1,033 | \$ 34 | \$ 9,685 |
| Mortgage- and asset-backed securities | 4 | - | - | 4 |
| Public utilities | 1,560 | 200 | 24 | 1,736 |
| Sovereign and supranational | 859 | 75 | 30 | 904 |
| Banks/financial institutions | 3,433 | 342 | 49 | 3,726 |
| Other corporate | 2,952 | 168 | 205 | 2,915 |
| Total yen-denominated | 17,494 | 1,818 | 342 | 18,970 |
| Dollar-denominated: | | | | |
| Government | 375 | 5 | 6 | 374 |
| Municipalities | 76 | 6 | - | 82 |
| Mortgage- and asset-backed securities | 290 | 6 | 5 | 291 |
| Collateralized debt obligations | - | - | - | - |
| Public utilities | 869 | 113 | 2 | 980 |
| Sovereign and supranational | 398 | 83 | - | 481 |
| Banks/financial institutions | 2,825 | 294 | 6 | 3,113 |
| Other corporate | 3,533 | 382 | 64 | 3,851 |
| Total dollar-denominated | 8,366 | 889 | 83 | 9,172 |
| Total fixed maturities | 25,860 | 2,707 | 425 | 28,142 |
| Perpetual debentures: | | | | |
| Yen-denominated: | | | | |
| Primarily banks/financial institutions | 3,571 | 234 | 146 | 3,659 |
| Dollar-denominated: | | | | |
| Banks/financial institutions | 684 | 37 | 10 | 711 |
| Total perpetual debentures | 4,255 | 271 | 156 | 4,370 |
| Equity securities | 30 | 54 | - | 84 |
| Total securities available for sale | \$ 30,145 | \$ 3,032 | \$ 581 | \$ 32,596 |

II-62

Table of Contents

| (In millions) | 2005 Cost or Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value |
|--|--|---------------------------------------|--|-----------------------|
| Securities held to maturity, carried at amortized cost: | | | | |
| Fixed maturities: | | | | |
| Yen-denominated: | | | | |
| Mortgage- and asset-backed securities | \$ 44 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 44 |
| Collateralized debt obligations | - | - | - | - |
| Public utilities | 1,213 | 28 | 49 | 1,192 |
| Sovereign and supranational | 2,478 | 95 | 53 | 2,520 |
| Banks/financial institutions | 5,152 | 115 | 273 | 4,994 |
| Other corporate | 1,962 | 125 | 16 | 2,071 |
| Total yen-denominated | 10,849 | 363 | 391 | 10,821 |
| Dollar-denominated: | | | | |
| Government | 18 | - | - | 18 |
| Total dollar-denominated | 18 | - | - | 18 |
| Total fixed maturities | 10,867 | 363 | 391 | 10,839 |
| Perpetual debentures: | | | | |
| Yen-denominated: | | | | |
| Banks/financial institutions | 4,172 | 201 | 121 | 4,252 |
| Total perpetual debentures | 4,172 | 201 | 121 | 4,252 |
| Total securities held to maturity | \$ 15,039 | \$ 564 | \$ 512 | \$ 15,091 |

The components of net investment income for the years ended December 31 were as follows:

| (In millions) | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|---|-----------------|-------------|-------------|
| Fixed-maturity securities | \$ 1,782 | \$ 1,693 | \$ 1,621 |
| Perpetual debentures | 387 | 378 | 349 |
| Equity securities and other | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Short-term investments and cash equivalents | 20 | 20 | 7 |
| Gross investment income | 2,191 | 2,092 | 1,978 |
| Less investment expenses | 20 | 21 | 21 |
| Net investment income | \$ 2,171 | \$ 2,071 | \$ 1,957 |

Investment exposures, which individually exceeded 10% of shareholders' equity as of December 31, were as follows:

| (In millions) | 2006 Credit Rating | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | 2005 Credit Rating | Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| Japan National Government | AA | \$ 7,849 | \$ 8,536 | AA | \$ 8,178 | \$ 9,167 |
| HSBC** | * | * | * | AA/A | 784 | * 850 |

*Less than 10%

**For this issuer, we own more than one security with different ratings.

II-63

Table of Contents

Privately issued securities held by Aflac Japan at amortized cost accounted for \$31.3 billion, or 64.0%, of total debt securities at December 31, 2006, compared with \$27.9 billion, or 61.8%, of total debt securities at December 31, 2005. Total privately issued securities, at amortized cost, accounted for \$33.6 billion, or 68.8%, of our total debt securities as of December 31, 2006, compared with \$30.1 billion, or 66.6%, at December 31, 2005. Of the total privately issued securities, reverse-dual currency debt securities (principal payments in yen, interest payments in dollars) accounted for \$9.7 billion, or 28.9%, at amortized cost as of December 31, 2006, compared with \$8.9 billion, or 29.6%, at amortized cost as of December 31, 2005.

At December 31, 2006, we owned below-investment-grade debt securities in the amount of \$1.3 billion at amortized cost (\$1.1 billion at fair value), or 2.6% of total debt securities, compared with \$1.1 billion at amortized cost (\$886 million at fair value), or 2.3% of total debt securities a year ago. Each of the below-investment-grade securities was investment grade at the time of purchase and was subsequently downgraded by credit rating agencies. These securities are held in the available-for-sale portfolio.

As of December 31, 2006, \$91 million, at fair value, of Aflac Japan's debt securities had been pledged to Japan's policyholder protection fund. At December 31, 2006, debt securities with a fair value of \$13 million were on deposit with regulatory authorities in the United States and Japan. We retain ownership of all securities on deposit and receive the related investment income.

Information regarding realized and unrealized gains and losses from investments for the years ended December 31 follows:

| (In millions) | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|---|-----------|-----------|----------|
| Realized investment gains (losses) on securities: | | | |
| Debt securities: | | | |
| Available for sale: | | | |
| Gross gains from sales | \$ 67 | \$ 284 | \$ 36 |
| Gross losses from sales | (34) | (22) | (54) |
| Net gains (losses) from redemptions | 4 | (1) | 6 |
| Total debt securities | 37 | 261 | (12) |
| Equity securities: | | | |
| Gross gains from sales | 43 | 1 | 1 |
| Impairment losses | (1) | - | (1) |
| Total equity securities | 42 | 1 | - |
| Total realized investment gains (losses) | \$ 79 | \$ 262 | \$ (12) |
| Changes in unrealized gains (losses): | | | |
| Debt securities: | | | |
| Available for sale | \$ (624) | \$ (697) | \$ 216 |
| Transferred to held to maturity | (52) | (113) | (64) |
| Equity securities | (45) | 11 | 4 |
| Change in unrealized gains (losses) | \$ (721) | \$ (799) | \$ 156 |

Table of Contents

In 2006, we realized pretax gains of \$79 million (after-tax, \$51 million, or \$.10 per diluted share) primarily as a result of bond swaps and the liquidation of equity securities held by Aflac U.S. In 2005, we realized pretax gains of \$262 million (after-tax, \$167 million, or \$.33 per diluted share) primarily as a result of bond swaps. We began our bond-swap program in the second half of 2005 and concluded it in the first half of 2006. These bond swaps took advantage of tax loss carryforwards and also resulted in an improvement in overall portfolio credit quality and investment income.

During 2004, we received an issuer's offer to redeem certain available-for-sale yen-denominated debt securities held by the Company. We accepted the issuer's offer of \$205 million and recorded a pretax loss of \$23 million. This investment loss and other investment gains and losses in the normal course of business decreased pretax earnings by \$12 million (after-tax \$5 million, or \$.01 per diluted share).

Fair values of debt securities and privately issued equity securities were determined using quotations provided by outside securities pricing sources and/or compiled using data provided by external debt and equity market sources. The data used in estimating fair value include credit spreads of comparably credit-rated securities and market quotations of securities with similar maturity and call structure characteristics. Fair values are then computed using standard industry models that provide pricing data based on a wide variety of inputs as noted above. The fair values provided by outside sources are reviewed internally for reasonableness. If a fair value appears unreasonable, the inputs are re-examined and the value is confirmed or revised. The fair values for publicly traded equity securities were determined using market quotations from the public exchange markets where the securities are principally traded.

II-65

Table of Contents

The following table shows the gross unrealized losses and fair value of our investments with unrealized losses that are not deemed to be other-than-temporarily impaired, aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position at December 31, 2006.

| (In millions) | Total Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Less than 12 months Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | 12 months or longer Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
|--|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Fixed maturities: | | | | | | |
| Government and guaranteed: | | | | | | |
| Dollar-denominated | \$ 366 | \$ 11 | \$ 87 | \$ 1 | \$ 279 | \$ 10 |
| Yen-denominated | 1,815 | 48 | 626 | 2 | 1,189 | 46 |
| Municipalities: | | | | | | |
| Dollar-denominated | 21 | - | 10 | - | 11 | - |
| Mortgage- and asset- backed securities: | | | | | | |
| Dollar-denominated | 205 | 6 | 96 | 2 | 109 | 4 |
| Yen-denominated | 165 | - | 135 | - | 30 | - |
| Collateralized debt obligations: | | | | | | |
| Dollar-denominated | 10 | - | 10 | - | - | - |
| Yen-denominated | 90 | 2 | 90 | 2 | - | - |
| Public utilities: | | | | | | |
| Dollar-denominated | 203 | 6 | 156 | 4 | 47 | 2 |
| Yen-denominated | 1,346 | 64 | 375 | 3 | 971 | 61 |
| Sovereign and supranational: | | | | | | |
| Dollar-denominated | 6 | - | 6 | - | - | - |
| Yen-denominated | 1,442 | 56 | 494 | 10 | 948 | 46 |
| Banks/financial institutions: | | | | | | |
| Dollar-denominated | 865 | 21 | 681 | 13 | 184 | 8 |
| Yen-denominated | 6,030 | 386 | 1,502 | 48 | 4,528 | 338 |
| Other corporate: | | | | | | |
| Dollar-denominated | 1,043 | 60 | 481 | 8 | 562 | 52 |
| Yen-denominated | 2,244 | 204 | 153 | 5 | 2,091 | 199 |
| Perpetual debentures: | | | | | | |
| Dollar-denominated | 272 | 12 | 92 | 1 | 180 | 11 |
| Yen-denominated | 3,255 | 266 | 224 | 32 | 3,031 | 234 |
| Total debt securities | 19,378 | 1,142 | 5,218 | 131 | 14,160 | 1,011 |
| Equity securities | 3 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - |
| Total temporarily impaired securities | \$ 19,381 | \$ 1,142 | \$ 5,220 | \$ 131 | \$ 14,161 | \$ 1,011 |

Table of Contents

The unrealized losses on our investments in government and guaranteed fixed-maturity securities, which include U.S. Treasury obligations, direct obligations of U.S. government agencies, Japan government bonds, and direct obligations of Japan government agencies were caused by changes in interest rates and/or exchange rates. The contractual cash flows of these investments are guaranteed by either the U.S. or Japanese government. Furthermore, the contractual terms of these investments do not permit the issuer to settle the securities at a price less than the amortized cost of the investment. Because we have the ability and intent to hold these investments until a recovery of fair value, which may be maturity, we do not consider these investments to be other-than-temporarily impaired at December 31, 2006.

The unrealized losses on the other investment categories in the preceding table were caused by changes in interest rates, yen/dollar exchange rates, and/or increased credit spreads. For credit related declines in market value, we begin a more focused review of the related issuer including more significantly, issuer credit ratings, issuer financial statements and other available financial data, timeliness of payment and issuer competitive environment. From those reviews, we evaluate the issuer's continued ability to service our investment. If, based on our reviews, we determine that the issuer has the ability to continue to service our investment; we conclude that the investment is temporarily impaired. Because we have the ability and intent to hold these investments until a recovery of fair value, which may be maturity, we do not consider these investments to be other-than-temporarily impaired at December 31, 2006.

Included in the unrealized losses on Other corporate fixed-maturity securities is an unrealized loss of \$56 million on Aflac Japan's \$201 million (24 billion yen) investment in Tollo Shipping Company S.A. Our investment is guaranteed by the issuer's parent, Compañía Sudamericana de Vapores S.A. (CSAV). The decline in fair value of the security was primarily caused by two factors: depressed revenue due to competitive pricing pressures in the container shipping industry and weaker operating margins due to sharply increased fuel costs. The contractual terms of this investment do not permit the issuer or its parent to settle the security at a price less than the amortized cost of the investment, and give priority to repayment of our investment under certain circumstances. While CSAV's credit rating has decreased from BBB- to BB+ (S&P), we currently believe it is probable that we will collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the investment. Therefore, it is expected that our investment would not be settled at a price less than the amortized cost of the investment. Because we have the intent and ability to hold this investment until a recovery of fair value, which may be maturity, we do not consider it to be other-than-temporarily impaired as of December 31, 2006.

II-67

Table of Contents

The following table shows the gross unrealized losses and fair value of our investments with unrealized losses that are not deemed to be other-than-temporarily impaired, aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position at December 31, 2005.

| (In millions) | Total Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Less than 12 months Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | 12 months or longer Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
|--|---------------------------------|------------------------------|---|------------------------------|---|------------------------------|
| Fixed maturities: | | | | | | |
| Government and guaranteed: | | | | | | |
| Dollar-denominated | \$ 294 | \$ 6 | \$ 269 | \$ 6 | \$ 25 | \$ - |
| Yen-denominated | 1,328 | 33 | 1,087 | 20 | 241 | 13 |
| Municipalities: | | | | | | |
| Dollar-denominated | 13 | - | 11 | - | 2 | - |
| Mortgage- and asset-backed securities: | | | | | | |
| Dollar-denominated | 148 | 5 | 147 | 5 | 1 | - |
| Yen-denominated | 31 | - | 24 | - | 7 | - |
| Collateralized debt obligations: | | | | | | |
| Dollar-denominated | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Yen-denominated | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Public utilities: | | | | | | |
| Dollar-denominated | 59 | 1 | 51 | 1 | 8 | - |
| Yen-denominated | 970 | 73 | 706 | 45 | 264 | 28 |
| Sovereign and supranational: | | | | | | |
| Dollar-denominated | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Yen-denominated | 1,235 | 83 | 953 | 51 | 282 | 32 |
| Banks/financial institutions: | | | | | | |
| Dollar-denominated | 241 | 6 | 215 | 5 | 26 | 1 |
| Yen-denominated | 4,422 | 323 | 3,426 | 232 | 996 | 91 |
| Other corporate: | | | | | | |
| Dollar-denominated | 677 | 64 | 640 | 57 | 37 | 7 |
| Yen-denominated | 2,090 | 222 | 1,471 | 104 | 619 | 118 |
| Perpetual debentures: | | | | | | |
| Dollar-denominated | 189 | 10 | 189 | 10 | - | - |
| Yen-denominated | 3,300 | 267 | 2,002 | 91 | 1,298 | 176 |
| Total debt securities | 14,997 | 1,093 | 11,191 | 627 | 3,806 | 466 |
| Equity securities | | | | | | |
| Total temporarily impaired securities | \$ 14,999 | \$ 1,093 | \$ 11,192 | \$ 627 | \$ 3,807 | \$ 466 |

Table of Contents

The net effect on shareholders' equity of unrealized gains and losses from investment securities at December 31 was as follows:

| (In millions) | 2006 | 2005 |
|--|-----------------|-------------|
| Unrealized gains on securities available for sale | \$ 1,783 | \$ 2,452 |
| Unamortized unrealized gains on securities transferred to held to maturity | 378 | 430 |
| Deferred income taxes | (711) | (965) |
| Shareholders' equity, unrealized gains on investment securities | \$ 1,450 | \$ 1,917 |

We attempt to match the duration of our assets with the duration of our liabilities. The following table presents the approximate duration of our yen-denominated assets and liabilities, along with premiums, as of December 31.

| (In years) | 2006 | 2005 |
|---|-------------|-------------|
| Yen-denominated debt securities | 13 | 12 |
| Policy benefits and related expenses to be paid in future years | 13 | 13 |
| Premiums to be received in future years on policies in force | 10 | 10 |

Currently, when our debt securities mature, the proceeds may be reinvested at a yield below that of the interest required for the accretion of policy benefit liabilities on policies issued in earlier years. However, our strategy of developing and marketing riders to our older policies has helped offset the negative investment spread. In spite of the negative investment spreads, overall profit margins in Aflac Japan's aggregate block of business are adequate because of profits that continue to emerge from changes in mix of business and favorable mortality, morbidity, and expenses.

The contractual maturities of our investments in fixed maturities at December 31, 2006, were as follows:

| (In millions) | Aflac Japan Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Aflac U.S. Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
|---|---|-----------------------|--|-----------------------|
| Available for sale: | | | | |
| Due in one year or less | \$ 584 | \$ 603 | \$ 20 | \$ 20 |
| Due after one year through five years | 3,371 | 3,858 | 357 | 382 |
| Due after five years through 10 years | 2,943 | 3,381 | 486 | 536 |
| Due after 10 years | 13,542 | 13,888 | 5,152 | 5,496 |
| Mortgage- and asset-backed securities | 312 | 314 | 229 | 225 |
| Total fixed maturities available for sale | \$ 20,752 | \$ 22,044 | \$ 6,244 | \$ 6,659 |
| Held to maturity: | | | | |
| Due in one year or less | \$ 43 | \$ 44 | \$ - | \$ - |
| Due after one year through five years | 480 | 518 | - | - |
| Due after five years through 10 years | 1,042 | 1,129 | - | - |
| Due after 10 years | 11,857 | 11,617 | 19 | 19 |
| Mortgage- and asset-backed securities | 42 | 42 | - | - |
| Total fixed maturities held to maturity | \$ 13,464 | \$ 13,350 | \$ 19 | \$ 19 |

Table of Contents

The Parent Company has a portfolio of investment-grade available-for-sale fixed-maturity securities totaling \$103 million at amortized cost and \$102 million at fair value, which is not included in the table above.

Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities because some issuers have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

We own subordinated perpetual debenture securities. These securities are subordinated to other debt obligations of the issuer, but rank higher than equity securities. Although these securities have no contractual maturity, the interest coupons that were fixed at issuance subsequently change to a floating short-term interest rate of 125 to 300 basis points above market rates, generally by the 25th year after issuance, thereby creating an economic maturity date. The economic maturities of our investments in perpetual debentures at December 31, 2006, were as follows:

| (In millions) | Aflac Japan Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Aflac U.S. Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
|--|---|-----------------------|--|-----------------------|
| Available for sale: | | | | |
| Due in one year or less | \$ 108 | \$ 109 | \$ 57 | \$ 58 |
| Due after one year through five years | 296 | 316 | 15 | 17 |
| Due after five years through 10 years | 294 | 393 | 35 | 35 |
| Due after 10 years through 15 years | 257 | 235 | - | - |
| Due after 15 years | 2,918 | 2,882 | 361 | 363 |
| Total perpetual debentures available for sale | \$ 3,873 | \$ 3,935 | \$ 468 | \$ 473 |
| Held to maturity: | | | | |
| Due after one year through five years | \$ 727 | \$ 751 | \$ - | \$ - |
| Due after five years through 10 years | 1,600 | 1,682 | - | - |
| Due after 10 years through 15 years | 86 | 88 | - | - |
| Due after 15 years | 1,577 | 1,503 | - | - |
| Total perpetual debentures held to maturity | \$ 3,990 | \$ 4,024 | \$ - | \$ - |

As part of our investment activities, we own investments in qualified special purpose entities (QSPEs). At December 31, 2006, available-for-sale QSPEs totaled \$2.3 billion at fair value (\$2.3 billion at amortized cost, or 4.7% of total debt securities), compared with \$2.2 billion at fair value (\$2.3 billion at amortized cost, or 5.0% of total debt securities) at December 31, 2005. We have no equity interests in any of the QSPEs, nor do we have control over these entities. Therefore, our loss exposure is limited to the cost of our investment.

We also own yen-denominated investments in variable interest entities (VIEs) totaling \$1.9 billion at fair value, (\$2.0 billion at amortized cost, or 4.2% of total debt securities) at December 31, 2006. We are the primary beneficiary of VIEs totaling \$1.5 billion at fair value (\$1.7 billion at amortized cost)

Table of Contents

and have consolidated our interests in these VIEs in accordance with FASB Interpretation No. 46 (revised December 2003), Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities. The activities of these VIEs are limited to holding debt securities and utilizing the cash flows from the debt securities to service our investments therein. The terms of the debt securities mirror the terms of the notes held by Aflac. The consolidation of these investments does not impact our financial position or results of operations. We also have interests in VIEs that we are not required to consolidate totaling \$375 million at fair value (\$373 million at amortized cost) as of December 31, 2006. The loss on any of our VIE investments would be limited to its cost.

We lend fixed-maturity securities to financial institutions in short-term security lending transactions. These short-term security lending arrangements increase investment income with minimal risk. Our security lending policy requires that the fair value of the securities and/or cash received as collateral be 102% or more of the fair value of the loaned securities. At December 31, 2006, we had security loans outstanding with a fair value of \$780 million, and we held cash in the amount of \$807 million as collateral for these loaned securities. At December 31, 2005, we had security loans outstanding with a fair value of \$605 million, and we held cash in the amount of \$622 million as collateral for these loaned securities.

During 2006, we reclassified an investment from held to maturity to available for sale as a result of the issuer's credit rating downgrade. At the date of transfer, this debt security had an amortized cost of \$118 million.

During 2005, we reclassified an investment from held to maturity to available for sale as a result of the issuer's credit rating downgrade. This debt security had an amortized cost of \$254 million at the date of transfer.

During 2004, we reclassified two debt securities from held to maturity to available for sale. The first transfer resulted from the issuer's credit rating downgrade. At the time of transfer, the debt security had an amortized cost of \$118 million. Included in accumulated other comprehensive income immediately prior to the transfer was an unamortized gain of \$24 million related to this security. This gain represented the remaining unamortized portion of a \$32 million gain established in 2001, when we reclassified this investment from available for sale to held to maturity. The second transfer resulted from the deterioration in the issuer's credit worthiness. At the time of transfer, this debt security had an amortized cost of \$291 million.

II-71

Table of Contents**4. FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS**

The carrying values and estimated fair values of the Company's financial instruments as of December 31 were as follows:

| (In millions) | 2006 Carrying Value | Fair Value | 2005 Carrying Value | Fair Value |
|---|---------------------------|---------------|---------------------------|---------------|
| Assets: | | | | |
| Fixed-maturity securities | \$ 42,288 | \$ 42,174 | \$ 39,009 | \$ 38,981 |
| Perpetual debentures | 8,398 | 8,432 | 8,542 | 8,622 |
| Equity securities | 25 | 25 | 84 | 84 |
| Liabilities: | | | | |
| Notes payable (excluding capitalized leases) | 1,416 | 1,421 | 1,382 | 1,395 |
| Cross-currency and interest rate swaps | 7 | 7 | 12 | 12 |
| Obligation to Japanese policyholder protection fund | 175 | 175 | 203 | 203 |

The methods of determining the fair values of our investments in debt and equity securities are described in Note 3. The fair values of notes payable with fixed interest rates were obtained from an independent financial information service. The fair values of our cross-currency swaps are the expected amounts that we would receive or pay to terminate the swaps, taking into account current interest rates, foreign currency rates and the current creditworthiness of the swap counterparties. The fair value of the Japanese policyholder protection fund is our estimated share of the industry's obligation calculated on a pro rata basis by projecting our percentage of the industry's premiums and reserves and applying that percentage to the total industry obligation payable in future years.

The carrying amounts for cash and cash equivalents, receivables, accrued investment income, accounts payable, cash collateral and payables for security transactions approximated their fair values due to the short-term nature of these instruments. Consequently, such instruments are not included in the above table. The preceding table also excludes liabilities for future policy benefits and unpaid policy claims as these liabilities are not financial instruments as defined by GAAP.

We have outstanding cross-currency swap agreements related to the \$450 million senior notes (see Note 7). We have designated the foreign currency component of these cross-currency swaps as a hedge of the foreign currency exposure of our investment in Aflac Japan. The notional amounts and terms of the swaps match the principal amount and terms of the senior notes.

We entered into cross-currency swaps to minimize the impact of foreign currency translation on shareholders' equity and to reduce interest expense by converting the dollar-denominated principal and interest on the senior notes we issued into yen-denominated obligations. By entering into these cross-currency swaps, we have been able to reduce our interest rate from 6.5% in dollars to 1.67% in yen. See Note 1 for information on the accounting policy for cross-currency swaps.

Table of Contents

The components of the fair value of the cross-currency swaps were reflected as an asset or (liability) in the balance sheet as of December 31 as follows:

| (In millions) | 2006 | 2005 |
|--|---------|----------|
| Interest rate component | \$ 6 | \$ 6 |
| Foreign currency component | (17) | (22) |
| Accrued interest component | 4 | 4 |
| Total fair value of cross-currency swaps | \$ (7) | \$ (12) |

The following is a reconciliation of the foreign currency component of the cross-currency swaps as included in accumulated other comprehensive income for the years ended December 31.

| (In millions) | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|--|----------|----------|----------|
| Balance, beginning of year | \$ (22) | \$ (91) | \$ (69) |
| Increase (decrease) in fair value of cross-currency swaps | 5 | 54 | (37) |
| Interest rate component not qualifying for hedge accounting reclassified to net earnings | - | 15 | 15 |
| Balance, end of year | \$ (17) | \$ (22) | \$ (91) |

We have entered into interest rate swap agreements related to the 20 billion yen variable interest rate Uridashi notes (see Note 7). By entering into these contracts, we have been able to lock in the interest rate at 1.52% in yen. We have designated these interest rate swaps as a hedge of the variability in our interest cash flows associated with the variable interest rate Uridashi notes. The notional amounts and terms of the swaps match the principal amount and terms of the variable interest rate Uridashi notes. The swaps had no value at inception. Changes in the fair value of the swap contracts are recorded in other comprehensive income. The fair value of these swaps and related changes in fair value were immaterial during the year ended December 31, 2006.

We are exposed to credit risk in the event of nonperformance by counterparties to our cross-currency and interest rate swaps. The counterparties to our swap agreements are U.S. and Japanese financial institutions with the following credit ratings as of December 31:

| (In millions) | 2006 | | 2005 | |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Counterparty Credit Rating | Fair Value of Swaps | Notional Amount of Swaps | Fair Value of Swaps | Notional Amount of Swaps |
| AA | \$ (7) | \$ 459 | \$ (11) | \$ 375 |
| A | - | 159 | (1) | 75 |
| Total | \$ (7) | \$ 618 | \$ (12) | \$ 450 |

We have also designated our yen-denominated Samurai and Uridashi notes (see Note 7) as hedges of the foreign currency exposure of our investment in Aflac Japan.

Table of Contents**5. DEFERRED POLICY ACQUISITION COSTS AND INSURANCE EXPENSES**

Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs and Insurance Expenses: Consolidated policy acquisition costs deferred were \$1.05 billion in 2006, compared with \$1.00 billion in 2005, and \$962 million in 2004. The following table presents a rollforward of deferred policy acquisition costs by segment for the years ended December 31.

| (In millions) | 2006 | | 2005 | |
|---|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | Japan | U.S. | Japan | U.S. |
| Deferred policy acquisition costs: | | | | |
| Balance, beginning of year | \$ 3,624 | \$ 1,966 | \$ 3,812 | \$ 1,783 |
| Capitalization | 556 | 492 | 562 | 441 |
| Amortization | (284) | (290) | (284) | (258) |
| Foreign currency translation and other | (39) | - | (466) | - |
| Balance, end of year | \$ 3,857 | \$ 2,168 | \$ 3,624 | \$ 1,966 |

Commissions deferred as a percentage of total acquisition costs deferred were 76% in both 2006 and 2005, and 78% in 2004. Personnel, compensation and benefits as a percentage of insurance expenses were 44% in 2006, 41% in 2005 and 43% in 2004.

Advertising expense is reported as incurred in insurance expenses in the consolidated statements of earnings and was as follows for each of the three years ended December 31:

| (In millions) | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|-----------------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Advertising expense: | | | |
| Aflac Japan | \$ 82 | \$ 87 | \$ 70 |
| Aflac U.S. | 88 | 87 | 71 |
| Total advertising expense | \$ 170 | \$ 174 | \$ 141 |

Depreciation and other amortization expenses, which are included in insurance expenses in the consolidated statements of earnings, were as follows for the years ended December 31:

| (In millions) | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|--|-------|--------|-------|
| Depreciation expense | \$ 44 | \$ 44 | \$ 48 |
| Other amortization expense | 15 | 60 | 38 |
| Total depreciation and other amortization expense* | \$ 59 | \$ 104 | \$ 86 |

*Aflac Japan accounted for \$33 in 2006, \$77 in 2005, and \$60 in 2004.

Other amortization expense in 2005 and 2004 included the write-down of previously capitalized systems development costs for Aflac Japan's administration system (\$46 million before taxes in 2005 and \$26 million before taxes in 2004).

Table of Contents**6. POLICY LIABILITIES**

Our policy liabilities primarily include future policy benefits and unpaid policy claims, which accounted for 90% and 5% of total policy liabilities at December 31, 2006, respectively. We regularly review the adequacy of our policy liabilities in total and by component. The liability for future policy benefits as of December 31 consisted of the following:

| (In millions) | Policy Issue Year | Liability Amounts | | Interest Rates | |
|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------|----------------|-------------|
| | | 2006 | 2005 | Year of Issue | In 20 Years |
| Health insurance: | | | | | |
| Japan: | | | | 1.85% - | 1.85% - |
| | 2005 - 2006 | \$ 148 | \$ 31 | 2.5% | 2.5% |
| | 1999 - 2006 | 5,010 | 3,850 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| | 1997 - 1999 | 2,426 | 2,314 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| | 1995 - 1996 | 259 | 248 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| | 1994 - 1996 | 3,493 | 3,353 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| | 1987 - 1994 | 15,911 | 15,526 | 5.25 - 5.5 | 5.25 - 5.5 |
| | 1978 - 1986 | 4,007 | 4,003 | 6.5 - 6.75 | 5.5 |
| | 1974 - 1979 | 853 | 867 | 7.0 | 5.0 |
| U.S.: | 2005 - 2006 | 648 | 178 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| | 1998 - 2004 | 961 | 884 | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| | 1988 - 2004 | 1,026 | 994 | 8.0 | 6.0 |
| | 1986 - 2004 | 1,379 | 1,352 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| | 1985 - 1986 | 26 | 26 | 6.5 | 6.5 |
| | 1981 - 1986 | 217 | 223 | 7.0 | 5.5 |
| | Other | 33 | 36 | | |
| Life insurance: | | | | | |
| Japan: | 2006 | 24 | - | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| | 2001 - 2006 | 98 | 62 | 1.65 - 1.85 | 1.65 - 1.85 |
| | 1999 - 2006 | 1,104 | 875 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| | 1997 - 1999 | 572 | 542 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| | 1994 - 1996 | 886 | 851 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| | 1985 - 1993 | 1,656 | 1,548 | 5.25 - 5.65 | 5.25 - 5.65 |
| U.S.: | 1956 - 2006 | 104 | 90 | 4.0 - 6.0 | 4.0 - 6.0 |
| Total | | \$ 40,841 | \$ 37,853 | | |

The weighted-average interest rates reflected in the consolidated statements of earnings for future policy benefits for Japanese policies were 4.7% in 2006, 4.8% in 2005, and 4.9% in 2004; and for U.S. policies, 6.3% in 2006 and 6.4% in 2005 and 2004.

Table of Contents

Changes in the liability for unpaid policy claims were as follows for the years ended December 31:

| (In millions) | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|---|----------|----------|----------|
| Unpaid supplemental health claims, beginning of year | \$ 2,375 | \$ 2,230 | \$ 2,008 |
| Add claims incurred during the year related to: | | | |
| Current year | 5,045 | 5,203 | 4,886 |
| Prior years | (516) | (401) | (375) |
| Total incurred | 4,529 | 4,802 | 4,511 |
| Less claims paid during the year on claims incurred during: | | | |
| Current year | 3,435 | 3,352 | 3,270 |
| Prior years | 1,162 | 1,106 | 1,067 |
| Total paid | 4,597 | 4,458 | 4,337 |
| Effect of foreign exchange rate changes on unpaid claims | (14) | (199) | 48 |
| Unpaid supplemental health claims, end of year | 2,293 | 2,375 | 2,230 |
| Unpaid life claims, end of year | 97 | 129 | 125 |
| Total liability for unpaid policy claims | \$ 2,390 | \$ 2,504 | \$ 2,355 |

The incurred claims development related to prior years reflects favorable development in the unpaid policy claims liability previously provided for. There are no additional or return of premium considerations associated with that development.

7. NOTES PAYABLE

A summary of notes payable as of December 31 follows:

| (In millions) | 2006 | 2005 |
|--|----------|----------|
| Yen-denominated Uridashi notes: | | |
| 1.52% notes due September 2011 (principal amount 15 billion yen) | \$ 126 | \$ - |
| 2.26% notes due September 2016 (principal amount 10 billion yen) | 84 | - |
| Variable interest rate notes due September 2011 (.71% at December 2006, principal amount 20 billion yen) | 168 | - |
| Yen-denominated Samurai notes: | | |
| .87% notes paid June 2006 (principal amount 40 billion yen) | - | 339 |
| .96% notes due June 2007 (principal amount 30 billion yen) | 252 | 254 |
| .71% notes due July 2010 (principal amount 40 billion yen) | 336 | 339 |
| 6.50% senior notes due April 2009 | 450 | 450 |
| Capitalized lease obligations payable through 2012 | 10 | 13 |
| Total notes payable | \$ 1,426 | \$ 1,395 |

In August 2006, the Parent Company filed a Shelf Registration Statement (SRS) with Japanese regulatory authorities to issue up to 100 billion yen of yen-denominated Uridashi notes in Japan. In September 2006, the Parent Company issued three tranches of Uridashi notes totaling 45 billion yen. The first tranche totaled 15 billion yen and has a five-year maturity. The second tranche totaled

II-76

Table of Contents

10 billion yen and has a 10-year maturity. The third tranche totaled 20 billion yen and has a five-year maturity and a variable interest coupon of six-month yen LIBOR plus a spread. We have entered into interest rate swaps related to the 20 billion yen variable interest rate notes (see Note 4). Each tranche of Uridashi notes pays interest semiannually, may only be redeemed prior to maturity upon the occurrence of a tax event as specified in the respective bond agreement and is not available to U.S. persons. If issued, the 55 billion yen of Uridashi notes remaining under the August 2006 SRS will not be available to U.S. persons.

In 2001, 2002, and 2005, the Parent Company issued yen-denominated Samurai notes, each with five-year maturities. Each series of Samurai notes pays interest semiannually, may only be redeemed prior to maturity upon the occurrence of a tax event as specified in the respective bond agreement and is not available to U.S. persons. In June 2006, we paid in full the .87% Samurai notes issued in 2001.

For our yen-denominated loans, the principal amount as stated in dollar terms will fluctuate from period to period due to changes in the yen/dollar exchange rate. We have designated all of our yen-denominated notes payable as a hedge of the foreign currency exposure of our investment in Aflac Japan. We have also designated the interest rate swaps on our variable interest rate Uridashi notes as a hedge of the variability in our interest cash flows associated with these notes.

The SRS we filed with Japanese regulatory authorities in 2003 expired in December 2005. As a result, the Parent Company filed a new SRS with Japanese regulatory authorities in February 2006 to issue up to 100 billion yen of yen-denominated Samurai notes in Japan. If issued, these securities will not be available to U.S. persons.

In 1999, we issued \$450 million of senior notes. These notes pay interest semiannually and are redeemable at our option at any time with a redemption price equal to the principal amount of the notes redeemed plus a make-whole premium. We have entered into cross-currency swaps related to these notes (see Note 4).

The aggregate contractual maturities of notes payable during each of the years after December 31, 2006, are as follows:

| (In millions) | Long-term Debt | Capitalized Lease Obligations | Total Notes Payable |
|---------------|---------------------------|--|------------------------------------|
| 2007 | \$ 252 | \$ 4 | \$ 256 |
| 2008 | - | 3 | 3 |
| 2009 | 450 | 2 | 452 |
| 2010 | 336 | 1 | 337 |
| 2011 | 294 | - | 294 |
| Thereafter | 84 | - | 84 |
| Total | \$ 1,416 | \$ 10 | \$ 1,426 |

We were in compliance with all of the covenants of our notes payable at December 31, 2006. No events of default or defaults occurred during 2006 and 2005.

II-77

Table of Contents**8. INCOME TAXES**

The components of income tax expense (benefit) applicable to pretax earnings for the years ended December 31 were as follows:

| (In millions) | Japan | U.S. | Total |
|---|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| 2006: | | | |
| Current | \$ 398 | \$ 21 | \$ 419 |
| Deferred | 229 | 133 | 362 |
| Total income tax expense | \$ 627 | \$ 154 | \$ 781 |
| 2005: | | | |
| Current | \$ 485 | \$ 14 | \$ 499 |
| Deferred | 159 | 119 | 278 |
| Release of valuation allowance on deferred tax assets | - | (34) | (34) |
| Total income tax expense | \$ 644 | \$ 99 | \$ 743 |
| 2004: | | | |
| Current | \$ 357 | \$ 34 | \$ 391 |
| Deferred | 148 | 96 | 244 |
| Release of valuation allowance on deferred tax assets | - | (128) | (128) |
| Total income tax expense | \$ 505 | \$ 2 | \$ 507 |

Income tax expense in the accompanying statements of earnings varies from the amount computed by applying the expected U.S. tax rate of 35% to pretax earnings. The principal reasons for the differences and the related tax effects for the years ended December 31 were as follows:

| (In millions) | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|---|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Income taxes based on U.S. statutory rates | \$ 792 | \$ 779 | \$ 632 |
| Utilization of foreign tax credit carryforwards | (21) | (20) | (18) |
| Release of valuation allowance on deferred tax assets | - | (34) | (128) |
| Nondeductible expenses | 10 | 10 | 6 |
| Other, net | - | 8 | 15 |
| Income tax expense | \$ 781 | \$ 743 | \$ 507 |

Table of Contents

Total income tax expense for the years ended December 31, was allocated as follows:

| (In millions) | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|---|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Statements of earnings | \$ 781 | \$ 743 | \$ 507 |
| Other comprehensive income: | | | |
| Changes in unrealized foreign currency translation gains/losses | 10 | 188 | (32) |
| Pension liability adjustment | (22) | (2) | 5 |
| Unrealized gains on investment securities: | | | |
| Unrealized holding gains (losses) arising during the year | (226) | (206) | 61 |
| Reclassification adjustment for realized (gains) losses included in net earnings | (28) | (95) | (6) |
| Total income tax expense (benefit) allocated to other comprehensive income | (266) | (115) | 28 |
| Additional paid-in capital (exercise of stock options) | (18) | (37) | (1) |
| Total income taxes | \$ 497 | \$ 591 | \$ 534 |

Changes in unrealized foreign currency translation gains/losses included deferred income tax expense of \$11 million in 2006, compared with deferred income tax expense of \$122 million in 2005 and deferred income tax benefit of \$31 million in 2004.

II-79

Table of Contents

The income tax effects of the temporary differences that gave rise to deferred income tax assets and liabilities as of December 31 were as follows:

| (In millions) | 2006 | 2005 |
|---|------------|------------|
| Deferred income tax liabilities: | | |
| Deferred policy acquisition costs | \$ 1,680 | \$ 1,576 |
| Unrealized gains on investment securities | 596 | 876 |
| Difference in tax basis of investment in Aflac Japan | 25 | 311 |
| Other basis differences in investment securities | 314 | 132 |
| Premiums receivable | 135 | 128 |
| Policy benefit reserves | 388 | 248 |
| Other | 154 | 1 |
| Total deferred income tax liabilities | 3,292 | 3,272 |
| Deferred income tax assets: | | |
| Depreciation | 92 | 99 |
| Policyholder protection fund obligation | 66 | 66 |
| Unfunded retirement benefits | 45 | 45 |
| Other accrued expenses | 55 | 52 |
| Tax credit carryforwards | 80 | 235 |
| Policy and contract claims | 61 | 93 |
| Unrealized exchange loss on yen-denominated notes payable | 35 | 33 |
| Capital loss carryforwards | - | 21 |
| Deferred compensation | 81 | 51 |
| Other | 494 | 349 |
| Total deferred income tax assets | 1,009 | 1,044 |
| Net deferred income tax liability | 2,283 | 2,228 |
| Current income tax liability | 179 | 349 |
| Total income tax liability | \$ 2,462 | \$ 2,577 |

A valuation allowance is provided when it is more likely than not that deferred tax assets will not be realized. In prior years, we established valuation allowances primarily for alternative minimum tax credit and noninsurance loss carryforwards that exceeded projected future offsets. Under U.S. income tax rules, only 35% of noninsurance losses can be offset against life insurance taxable income each year.

We received regulatory approval for a change in the allocation of expenses under the management fee agreement between Aflac and the Parent Company in 2005. This enabled the Parent Company to fully utilize its tax-basis, non-life operating losses and therefore release the valuation allowance on the associated deferred tax assets, resulting in a benefit of \$34 million (\$.07 per diluted share) in 2005.

The American Jobs Creation Act of 2004 eliminated the 90% limitation on the utilization of foreign tax credits. As a result of this tax law change, we recognized a benefit of \$128 million (\$.25 per diluted share) for the release of the valuation allowance associated with certain deferred tax assets.

Table of Contents

During 2005, the valuation allowance for deferred tax assets decreased by \$34 million primarily due to utilization of non-life losses. For current U.S. income tax purposes, alternative minimum tax credit carryforwards of \$80 million were available at December 31, 2006.

9. SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

The following table is a reconciliation of the number of shares of the Company's common stock for the years ended December 31.

| (In thousands of shares) | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|---------------------------------|----------|---------|----------|
| Common stock - issued: | | | |
| Balance, beginning of year | 654,522 | 652,628 | 651,554 |
| Exercise of stock options | 1,193 | 1,894 | 1,074 |
| Balance, end of year | 655,715 | 654,522 | 652,628 |
| Treasury stock: | | | |
| Balance, beginning of year | 155,628 | 149,020 | 141,662 |
| Purchases of treasury stock: | | | |
| Open market | 10,265 | 10,000 | 10,061 |
| Other | 55 | 245 | 44 |
| Dispositions of treasury stock: | | | |
| Shares issued to AFL Stock Plan | (1,461) | (1,476) | (1,585) |
| Exercise of stock options | (1,240) | (2,127) | (1,160) |
| Other | (82) | (34) | (2) |
| Balance, end of year | 163,165 | 155,628 | 149,020 |
| Shares outstanding, end of year | 492,550 | 498,894 | 503,608 |

We exclude outstanding share-based awards from the calculation of weighted-average shares used in the computation of basic earnings per share. Stock options to purchase approximately 1.8 million shares, on a weighted-average basis, as of December 31, 2006, were considered to be anti-dilutive and were excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share, compared with 2.5 million in 2005, and 1.1 million in 2004. The weighted-average shares used in calculating earnings per share for the years ended December 31 were as follows:

| (In thousands of shares) | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|---|---------|---------|---------|
| Average outstanding shares used for calculating basic EPS | 495,614 | 500,939 | 507,333 |
| Dilutive effect of share-based awards | 6,213 | 6,765 | 9,088 |
| Average outstanding shares used for calculating diluted EPS | 501,827 | 507,704 | 516,421 |

Table of Contents

Share Repurchase Program: In 2004, the board of directors authorized the purchase of up to 30 million shares of our common stock. In 2006, the board of directors authorized the purchase of an additional 30 million shares of our common stock. As of December 31, 2006, approximately 37 million shares were available for purchase under our share repurchase programs.

Voting Rights: In accordance with the Parent Company's articles of incorporation, shares of common stock are generally entitled to one vote per share until they have been held by the same beneficial owner for a continuous period of 48 months, at which time they become entitled to 10 votes per share.

10. SHARE-BASED TRANSACTIONS

The Company has two long-term incentive compensation plans. The first is a stock option plan, which allows grants for incentive stock options (ISOs) to employees and non-qualifying stock options (NQSOs) to employees and non-employee directors. The options have a term of 10 years and generally vest after three years. The strike price of options granted under this plan is equal to the fair market value of a share of our common stock at the date of grant. At December 31, 2006, approximately 26 thousand shares were available for future grants under this plan.

The second long-term incentive compensation plan allows awards to Company employees for ISOs, NQSOs, restricted stock, restricted stock units, and stock appreciation rights. Non-employee directors are eligible for grants of NQSOs, restricted stock, and stock appreciation rights. Generally, the awards vest based upon time-based conditions or time-and-performance-based conditions. Performance-based vesting conditions generally include the attainment of goals related to Company financial performance. As of December 31, 2006, the only performance-based awards issued and outstanding were restricted stock awards. As of December 31, 2006, approximately 24 million shares were available for future grants under this plan.

Share-based awards granted to U.S.-based grantees are settled upon exercise with authorized but unissued Company stock, while those issued to Japan-based grantees are settled upon exercise with treasury shares.

The following table presents the expense recognized as a result of applying the provisions of SFAS 123R for the periods ended December 31.

| (In millions, except for per-share amounts) | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|---|--------|--------|--------|
| Earnings from continuing operations | \$ 35 | \$ 32 | \$ 34 |
| Earnings before income taxes | 35 | 32 | 34 |
| Net earnings | 25 | 23 | 33 |
| Net earnings per share: | | | |
| Basic | \$.05 | \$.05 | \$.07 |
| Diluted | .05 | .05 | .07 |

Table of Contents

We estimate the fair value of each stock option granted using the Black-Scholes-Merton multiple option approach. Expected volatility is based on historical periods generally commensurate with the estimated term of options. We use historical data to estimate option exercise and termination patterns within the model. Separate groups of employees that have similar historical exercise patterns are stratified and considered separately for valuation purposes. The expected term of options granted is derived from the output of our option model and represents the weighted-average period of time that options granted are expected to be outstanding. We base the risk-free interest rate on the Treasury note rate with a term comparable to that of the estimated term of options. The weighted-average fair value of options at their grant date was \$15.28 for 2006, compared with \$13.40 in 2005 and \$10.66 in 2004. The following table presents the assumptions used in valuing options granted during the years ended December 31.

| | | | |
|-------------------------|---------------|--------|--------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Expected term (years) | 6.7 | 6.6 | 4.9 |
| Expected volatility | 28.0 % | 28.0 % | 27.0 % |
| Annual forfeiture rate | .8 | .8 | N/A |
| Risk-free interest rate | 4.5 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Dividend yield | 1.1 | 1.1 | .9 |
| <i>*Not applicable</i> | | | |

The following table summarizes stock option activity.

| (In thousands of shares) | Option Shares | Weighted-Average Exercise Price Per Share |
|---|--------------------------|--|
| Outstanding at December 31, 2003 | 21,636 | \$ 20.87 |
| Granted in 2004 | 2,793 | 39.80 |
| Canceled in 2004 | (58) | 31.25 |
| Exercised in 2004 | (2,284) | 14.84 |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2004 | 22,087 | 23.86 |
| Granted in 2005 | 2,107 | 41.01 |
| Canceled in 2005 | (230) | 35.36 |
| Exercised in 2005 | (3,983) | 14.50 |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2005 | 19,981 | 27.40 |
| Granted in 2006 | 2,456 | 45.08 |
| Canceled in 2006 | (90) | 39.72 |
| Exercised in 2006 | (2,241) | 18.61 |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2006 | 20,106 | \$ 30.48 |

| | | | |
|---------------------------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|
| (In thousands of shares) | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Shares exercisable, end of year | 16,094 | 14,603 | 15,833 |

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes information about stock options outstanding and exercisable at December 31, 2006.

| Range of Exercise Prices | Options Outstanding | | | Options Exercisable | |
|--------------------------|---------------------|--|---------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|
| | Number Outstanding | Wgtd.-Avg. Remaining Contractual Life (Yrs.) | Weighted-Average Exercise Price | Number Exercisable | Weighted-Average Exercise Price |
| \$ 12.38 - \$ 21.16 | 2,990 | 1.65 | \$ 16.09 | 2,990 | \$ 16.09 |
| 21.48 - 23.23 | 3,780 | 3.26 | 23.12 | 3,780 | 23.12 |
| 23.41 - 29.66 | 3,245 | 4.23 | 26.54 | 3,245 | 26.54 |
| 29.68 - 31.75 | 2,882 | 6.05 | 31.22 | 2,865 | 31.22 |
| 32.09 - 40.03 | 2,134 | 7.77 | 38.24 | 1,008 | 37.72 |
| 40.30 - 43.38 | 2,535 | 7.88 | 41.21 | 1,702 | 40.42 |
| 43.66 - 49.15 | 2,540 | 9.19 | 45.35 | 504 | 43.67 |
| \$ 12.38 - \$ 49.15 | 20,106 | 5.39 | \$ 30.48 | 16,094 | \$ 27.33 |

As of December 31, 2006, the aggregate intrinsic value of stock options outstanding was \$333 million, with a weighted-average remaining term of 5.4 years. The aggregate intrinsic value of stock options exercisable at that same date was \$317 million, with a weighted-average remaining term of 4.5 years. The total intrinsic value of stock options exercised during the year ended December 31, 2006, was \$62 million, compared with \$114 million in 2005 and \$57 million in 2004. We received cash from the exercise of stock options in the amount of \$38 million in 2006, compared with \$49 million in 2005 and \$32 million in 2004. The tax benefit realized as a result of stock option exercises was \$19 million in 2006 and \$37 million in 2005. Due to the effect of the valuation allowance in 2004, the tax benefit realized from stock option exercises was immaterial (see Note 8).

Table of Contents

The value of restricted stock awards is based on the fair market value of our common stock at the date of grant. The following table summarizes restricted stock activity during the years ended December 31.

| (In thousands of shares) | Shares | Weighted-Average Grant-Date Fair Value |
|--|-------------|--|
| Restricted stock at December 31, 2003 | - | \$ - |
| Granted in 2004 | 2 | 39.98 |
| Canceled in 2004 | - | - |
| Vested in 2004 | - | - |
| Restricted stock at December 31, 2004 | 2 | 39.98 |
| Granted in 2005 | 274 | 39.55 |
| Canceled in 2005 | (6) | 38.75 |
| Vested in 2005 | - | - |
| Restricted stock at December 31, 2005 | 270 | 39.58 |
| Granted in 2006 | 357 | 46.96 |
| Canceled in 2006 | (8) | 42.92 |
| Vested in 2006 | (6) | 38.75 |
| Restricted stock at December 31, 2006 | 613 | \$ 43.84 |

As of December 31, 2006, total compensation cost not yet recognized in our financial statements related to restricted stock awards was \$16 million, of which \$7 million (305 thousand shares) was related to share-based awards with a performance-based vesting condition. We expect to recognize these amounts over a weighted-average period of approximately two years. There are no other contractual terms covering restricted stock awards once vested.

11. STATUTORY ACCOUNTING AND DIVIDEND RESTRICTIONS

Our insurance subsidiary is required to report its results of operations and financial position to state insurance regulatory authorities on the basis of statutory accounting practices prescribed or permitted by such authorities.

As determined on a U.S. statutory accounting basis, Aflac's net income was \$1.7 billion in 2006, \$1.3 billion in 2005, and \$1.2 billion in 2004. Capital and surplus was \$4.2 billion at December 31, 2006, and \$3.7 billion at December 31, 2005.

Net assets of the insurance subsidiaries aggregated \$9.3 billion at December 31, 2006, on a GAAP basis, compared with \$8.9 billion a year ago. Aflac Japan accounted for \$5.7 billion, or 62.0%, of these net assets, compared with \$5.5 billion, or 61.4% at December 31, 2005.

Table of Contents

Reconciliations of Aflac's net assets on a GAAP basis to capital and surplus determined on a U.S. statutory accounting basis as of December 31 were as follows:

| (In millions) | 2006 | 2005 |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|
| Net assets on GAAP basis | \$ 9,266 | \$ 8,916 |
| Adjustment of carrying values of investments | (2,153) | (2,825) |
| Elimination of deferred policy acquisition costs | (5,922) | (5,499) |
| Adjustment to policy liabilities | 1,526 | 1,194 |
| Adjustment to deferred income taxes | 2,124 | 2,546 |
| Other, net | (655) | (627) |
| Capital and surplus on U.S. statutory accounting basis | \$ 4,186 | \$ 3,705 |

Aflac Japan must report its results of operations and financial position to the Japanese Financial Services Agency (FSA) on a Japanese regulatory accounting basis as prescribed by the FSA. Capital and surplus (unaudited) of Aflac Japan, based on Japanese regulatory accounting practices, aggregated \$2.6 billion at December 31, 2006, and \$2.8 billion at December 31, 2005. Japanese regulatory accounting practices differ in many respects from U.S. GAAP. Under Japanese regulatory accounting practices, policy acquisition costs are charged off immediately; deferred income tax liabilities are recognized on a different basis; policy benefit and claim reserving methods and assumptions are different; the carrying value of securities transferred to held to maturity is different; policyholder protection fund obligations are not accrued; and premium income is recognized on a cash basis.

The Parent Company depends on its subsidiaries for cash flow, primarily in the form of dividends and management fees. Consolidated retained earnings in the accompanying financial statements largely represent the undistributed earnings of our insurance subsidiary. Amounts available for dividends, management fees and other payments to the Parent Company by its insurance subsidiary may fluctuate due to different accounting methods required by regulatory authorities. These payments are also subject to various regulatory restrictions and approvals related to safeguarding the interests of insurance policyholders. Our insurance subsidiary must maintain adequate risk-based capital for U.S. regulatory authorities and our Japan branch must maintain adequate solvency margins for Japanese regulatory authorities. Additionally, the maximum amount of dividends that can be paid to the Parent Company by Aflac without prior approval of Nebraska's director of insurance is the greater of the net gain from operations, which excludes net realized investment gains, for the previous year determined under statutory accounting principles, or 10% of statutory capital and surplus as of the previous year-end. Dividends declared by Aflac during 2007 in excess of \$1.6 billion would require such approval. Dividends declared by Aflac during 2006 were \$665 million.

Table of Contents

A portion of Aflac Japan earnings, as determined on a Japanese regulatory accounting basis, can be repatriated each year to Aflac U.S. after complying with solvency margin provisions and satisfying various conditions imposed by Japanese regulatory authorities for protecting policyholders. Profit repatriations to the United States can fluctuate due to changes in the amounts of Japanese regulatory earnings. Among other items, factors affecting regulatory earnings include Japanese regulatory accounting practices and fluctuations in currency translation of Aflac Japan's dollar-denominated investments and related investment income into yen. Profits repatriated by Aflac Japan to Aflac U.S. were as follows for the years ended December 31:

| (In millions of dollars and billions of yen) | In Dollars | | | In Yen | | |
|--|------------|--------|--------|--------|------|------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Profit repatriation | \$ 442 | \$ 374 | \$ 220 | 50.0 | 41.2 | 23.9 |

The 2004 profit repatriation was lower due to the impact on Japanese regulatory earnings from the realized investment loss on Parmalat in 2003 and our decision to leave capital in Japan in order to maintain a strong solvency margin.

12. BENEFIT PLANS

Our basic employee defined-benefit pension plans cover substantially all of our full-time employees in the United States and Japan. At December 31, 2006, other liabilities included a liability for both plans in the amount of \$102 million, compared with \$65 million a year ago. The increase in the pension liability is due principally to the adoption of SFAS 158. We plan to make contributions of \$20 million to the U.S. plan and \$13 million to the Japanese plan in 2007 to continue to improve their funded status.

On December 31, 2006, we adopted the recognition and disclosure provisions and early adopted the measurement date provisions of SFAS 158. SFAS 158 requires the recognition of the funded status (i.e., the difference between the fair value of plan assets and the projected benefit obligations) of our benefit plans in our financial statements, with a corresponding adjustment to accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax. The adjustment to accumulated other comprehensive income at adoption represents the net unrecognized actuarial losses, unrecognized prior service costs or credits, as applicable, and the unrecognized transition asset remaining from the initial adoption of SFAS 87, all of which were previously netted against the plan's funded status in the past under the provisions of SFAS 87. These amounts will be subsequently recognized as net periodic pension cost over future periods consistent with our historical accounting policy for amortizing such amounts. Further, the components of the benefit obligations that arise in subsequent periods and are not recognized as net periodic pension cost in the same periods will be recognized as a component of other comprehensive income. Those amounts will also be subsequently recognized as a component of net periodic pension cost as previously described. The adoption of SFAS 158 had no effect on our earnings for the year ended December 31, 2006, or for any prior period presented, and it will not affect our operating results in future periods.

The incremental effects of adopting the provisions of SFAS 158 on our consolidated balance sheets, statements of shareholders' equity and statements of comprehensive income at

Table of Contents

December 31, 2006 are presented in the following table. Had we not adopted SFAS 158 at December 31, 2006, we would have recognized an additional minimum liability under the provisions of SFAS 87. The effect of recognizing the additional minimum liability is included in the table below in the column labeled Prior to Application of SFAS 158.

| (In millions) | Prior to Application of SFAS 158 | Effect of SFAS 158 on Pension Plans | Effect of SFAS 158 on Other Plans | As Reported December 31, 2006 |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| Income taxes | \$ 2,488 | \$ (20) | \$ (6) | \$ 2,462 |
| Other liabilities | 1,257 | 56 | 16 | 1,329 |
| Total liabilities | 51,418 | 36 | 10 | 51,464 |
| Retained earnings | 9,306 | (2) | - | 9,304 |
| Pension liability adjustment | 34 | 34 | 10 | 78 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 1,382 | 34 | 10 | 1,426 |
| Total shareholders' equity | 8,387 | (36) | (10) | 8,341 |

We recognized a charge to opening retained earnings of \$2 million net of tax and a reduction of accumulated other comprehensive income of \$4 million, net of tax related to the adoption of the measurement date provisions of SFAS 158 for our U.S. pension plan. The Japanese pension plan previously used a measurement date of December 31. The impact of adopting the measurement date provisions of SFAS 158 for our other post employment benefit plans was negligible.

The following table summarizes the amounts included in accumulated other comprehensive income as of December 31, 2006.

| (In millions) | Japan | U.S. | Other |
|-----------------------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|
| Actuarial loss | \$ 35 | \$ 62 | \$ 16 |
| Prior service cost (credit) | (4) | 1 | - |
| Transition obligation | 2 | - | - |
| Total | \$ 33 | \$ 63 | \$ 16 |

An actuarial gain of \$1 million related to the Japanese plan arose during the year and was included in accumulated other comprehensive income; an actuarial loss of \$4 million related to the U.S. plan arose during the year and was included in accumulated other comprehensive income. During 2006, \$2 million of actuarial loss was amortized to expense for the Japanese plan, while \$3 million was amortized to expense for the U.S. plan.

No prior service costs or credits arose during 2006 and the amounts of prior service costs and credits amortized to expense were immaterial for the year ended December 31, 2006. Amortization of actuarial losses to expense in 2007 is estimated to be \$2 million for the Japanese plan and \$4 million for the U.S. plan, while the amortization of prior service cost and credit is expected to be negligible.

Table of Contents

Reconciliations of the funded status of the basic employee defined-benefit pension plans with amounts recognized in the consolidated balance sheets as of December 31 were as follows:

| (In millions) | 2006 Japan | U.S. | 2005 Japan | U.S. |
|--|---------------|----------|---------------|----------|
| Projected benefit obligation: | | | | |
| Benefit obligation, beginning of year | \$ 104 | \$ 162 | \$ 112 | \$ 131 |
| Adoption of SFAS 158 | - | 3 | - | - |
| Service cost | 8 | 9 | 9 | 7 |
| Interest cost | 3 | 9 | 3 | 8 |
| Plan amendments | - | - | (5) | - |
| Actuarial loss (gain) | (1) | 4 | 1 | 18 |
| Benefits paid | (3) | (3) | (2) | (2) |
| Effect of foreign exchange rate changes | (1) | - | (14) | - |
| Benefit obligation, end of year | 110 | 184 | 104 | 162 |
| Plan assets: | | | | |
| Fair value of plan assets, beginning of year | 47 | 95 | 39 | 70 |
| Adoption of SFAS 158 | - | 2 | - | - |
| Actual return on plan assets | 3 | 12 | 5 | 7 |
| Employer contribution | 20 | 20 | 10 | 20 |
| Benefits paid | (3) | (3) | (2) | (2) |
| Effect of foreign exchange rate changes | (1) | - | (5) | - |
| Fair value of plan assets, end of year | 66 | 126 | 47 | 95 |
| Funded status | (44) | (58) | (57) | (67) |
| Unrecognized net actuarial loss (gain) | - | - | 40 | 68 |
| Unrecognized transition obligation (asset) | - | - | 2 | - |
| Unrecognized prior service cost | - | - | (4) | 2 |
| Adjustment for minimum pension liability | - | - | (21) | (28) |
| Liability for accrued benefit cost | \$ (44) | \$ (58) | \$ (40) | \$ (25) |
| Accumulated benefit obligation | \$ 93 | \$ 137 | \$ 87 | \$ 120 |

The composition of plan assets as of December 31 was as follows:

| | 2006 Japan | | U.S. | | 2005 Japan | | U.S. | |
|---------------------------|---------------|---|------|---|---------------|---|------|---|
| Equity securities | 39 | % | 65 | % | 39 | % | 56 | % |
| Fixed-income securities | 61 | | 34 | | 61 | | 18 | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | - | | 1 | | - | | 26 | |
| Total | 100 | % | 100 | % | 100 | % | 100 | % |

Equity securities held by our U.S. plan included \$3 million (2% of plan assets) of Aflac Incorporated common stock at December 31, 2006, compared with \$3 million (3% of plan assets) at December 31, 2005. Target asset allocations for U.S. plan assets are 55% to 60% equity securities,

Table of Contents

35% to 40% fixed-income securities and 1% to 3% cash and cash equivalents. Target asset allocations for Japanese plan assets are 34% equity securities and 66% fixed-income securities. As discussed below, the investment strategy of our pension plans is long-term in nature. Cash and cash equivalents exceeded the target allocations for the U.S. plan in 2005 primarily as a result of third quarter employer contributions of \$20 million in 2005.

The investment objective of our U.S. and Japanese plans is to preserve the purchasing power of the plan's assets and earn a reasonable inflation adjusted rate of return over the long term. Furthermore, we seek to accomplish these objectives in a manner that allows for the adequate funding of plan benefits and expenses. In order to achieve these objectives, our goal is to maintain a conservative, well-diversified and balanced portfolio of high-quality equity, fixed-income and money market securities. As a part of our strategy, we have established strict policies covering quality, type and concentration of investment securities. For our U.S. plan, these policies prohibit investments in precious metals, limited partnerships, venture capital, and direct investments in real estate. We are also prohibited from trading on margin. For our Japanese plan, these policies include limitations on investments in derivatives including futures, options and swaps, and low-liquidity investments such as real estate, venture capital investments, and privately issued securities.

We monitor the U.S. plan's performance over a three- to five-year period utilizing shorter time frame performance measures to identify trends. We review investment performance and compliance with stated investment policies and practices on a quarterly basis. The specific investment objectives for the U.S. pension plan are: to exceed a composite of asset class target returns, weighted according to the plan's target asset allocation; and to outperform the median fund from a universe of similarly managed corporate pension funds. Both objectives are measured over a rolling five-year period. We monitor the Japanese plan's performance and compliance with stated investment policies and practices on a quarterly basis.

Expected future benefit payments for the U.S. and Japanese plans are as follows:

| (In millions) | Japan | U.S. |
|---------------|-------|------|
| 2007 | \$ 3 | \$ 4 |
| 2008 | 3 | 4 |
| 2009 | 3 | 4 |
| 2010 | 4 | 5 |
| 2011 | 3 | 5 |
| 2012 - 2016 | 23 | 34 |

Prior to 2004, our Japanese pension plan had two distinct components: the corporate portion and the substitutional portion. The corporate portion, which the Company still maintains, is based on a plan established by Aflac Japan. The substitutional portion was transferred back to the Japanese government in 2004. In connection with the transfer, we recognized a pretax gain of \$6 million (after-tax, \$3 million, or \$.01 per diluted share) in the first quarter of 2004.

Table of Contents

The components of retirement expense and actuarial assumptions for the Japanese and U.S. pension plans for the years ended December 31 were as follows:

| (In millions) | 2006 | | 2005 | | 2004 | |
|---|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| | Japan | U.S. | Japan | U.S. | Japan | U.S. |
| Components of net periodic benefit cost: | | | | | | |
| Service cost | \$ 8 | \$ 9 | \$ 9 | \$ 7 | \$ 5 | \$ 5 |
| Interest cost | 3 | 9 | 3 | 8 | 2 | 7 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (1) | (7) | (1) | (6) | (1) | (5) |
| Amortization of net actuarial loss | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 |
| Net periodic benefit cost | \$ 12 | \$ 14 | \$ 13 | \$ 11 | \$ 10 | \$ 9 |
| Weighted-average actuarial assumptions used in the calculations: | | | | | | |
| Discount rate - net periodic benefit cost | 2.5 % | 5.5 % | 2.5 % | 6.0 % | 2.5 % | 6.5 % |
| Discount rate - benefit obligations | 2.5 | 5.5 | 2.5 | 5.5 | 2.5 | 6.0 |
| Expected long-term return on plan assets | 2.5 | 8.0 | 2.5 | 8.0 | 2.5 | 9.0 |
| Rate of compensation increase | N/A * | 4.0 | N/A * | 4.0 | 3.5 | 4.0 |

*Not applicable

During 2005, the Japanese plan was amended. As a result of this amendment, participant salary and future salary increases are not factors in determining pension benefit cost or the related pension benefit obligation and accumulated benefit obligation.

We base the long-term rate of return on U.S. plan assets on the historical rates of return over the last 15 years and the expectation of similar returns over the long-term investment goals and objectives of U.S. plan assets. We base the long-term rate of return on the Japanese plan assets on the historical rates of return over the last 10 years.

In addition to the benefit obligations for funded employee plans, we also maintain unfunded supplemental retirement plans for certain officers and beneficiaries. Retirement expense for these unfunded supplemental plans was \$16 million in 2006, \$20 million in 2005, and \$32 million in 2004. The accrued retirement liability for the unfunded supplemental retirement plans was \$209 million at December 31, 2006, compared with \$203 million a year ago. The assumptions used in the valuation of these plans were the same as for the funded plans.

Stock Bonus Plan: Aflac U.S. maintains a stock bonus plan for eligible U.S. sales associates. Plan participants receive shares of Aflac Incorporated common stock based on their new annualized premium sales and their first-year persistency of substantially all new insurance policies. The cost of this plan, which is included in deferred policy acquisition costs, amounted to \$40 million in 2006, \$37 million in 2005, and \$35 million in 2004.

Table of Contents**13. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENT LIABILITIES**

We have entered into two outsourcing agreements with IBM. The first agreement provides mainframe computer operations and support for Aflac Japan. It began in 2006 and has a remaining term of nine years and an aggregate remaining cost of 26.0 billion yen (\$218 million using the December 31, 2006, exchange rate). The second agreement provides computer server operations and support for Aflac Japan. It begins in 2007 and has a term of nine years and an aggregate cost of 31.8 billion yen (\$267 million using the December 31, 2006, exchange rate).

We have also entered into an outsourcing agreement with Accenture to provide application maintenance and development services for our Japanese operation. The agreement has a term of seven years with an aggregate cost of 5.3 billion yen (\$45 million using the December 31, 2006, exchange rate).

We lease office space and equipment under various agreements that expire in various years through 2021. Future minimum lease payments due under non-cancelable operating leases at December 31, 2006, were as follows:

| | |
|-------------------------------------|--------|
| (In millions) | |
| 2007 | \$ 42 |
| 2008 | 24 |
| 2009 | 12 |
| 2010 | 10 |
| 2011 | 9 |
| Thereafter | 46 |
| Total future minimum lease payments | \$ 143 |

In 2005, we announced a multiyear building project for additional office space in Columbus, Georgia. The initial phase is to be completed in mid-2007 and is expected to cost approximately \$26 million. The next phase of the expansion is anticipated to begin in mid-2007.

We are a defendant in various lawsuits considered to be in the normal course of business. Senior legal and financial management review litigation on a quarterly and annual basis. The final results of any litigation cannot be predicted with certainty. Although some of this litigation is pending in states where large punitive damages bearing little relation to the actual damages sustained by plaintiffs have been awarded in recent years, we believe the outcome of pending litigation will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

Table of Contents**14. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**

(In millions)

| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|--|---------------|-------------|-------------|
| Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information: | | | |
| Income taxes paid | \$ 569 | \$ 360 | \$ 160 |
| Interest paid | 15 | 21 | 22 |
| Impairment losses included in realized investment gains (losses) | 1 | - | 1 |
| Noncash financing activities: | | | |
| Capitalized lease obligations | 9 | 4 | 6 |
| Dividends declared | 91 | - | - |
| Treasury shares issued to AFL Stock Plan for: | | | |
| Associates stock bonus | 35 | 33 | 32 |
| Shareholder dividend reinvestment | 15 | 11 | 10 |

II-93

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The shareholders and board of directors of Aflac Incorporated:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Aflac Incorporated and subsidiaries (the Company) as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, and the related consolidated statements of earnings, shareholders' equity, cash flows, and comprehensive income for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2006. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Aflac Incorporated and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2006, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the effectiveness of Aflac Incorporated's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO), and our report dated February 27, 2007 expressed an unqualified opinion on management's assessment of, and the effective operation of, internal control over financial reporting.

As discussed in note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company adopted the provisions of Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 108, *Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements*, as of January 1, 2006. Additionally, as discussed in notes 1 and 12 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 158, *Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans, an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106 and 132(R)*, as of December 31, 2006.

Atlanta, Georgia
February 27, 2007

Table of Contents**Unaudited Consolidated Quarterly Financial Data**

In management's opinion, this quarterly financial information fairly presents the results of operations for such periods and is prepared on a basis consistent with our annual audited financial statements.

| (In millions, except for per-share amounts) | March 31, 2006 | June 30, 2006 | September 30, 2006 | December 31, 2006 |
|---|---------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Premium income | \$ 3,005 | \$ 3,093 | \$ 3,102 | \$ 3,114 |
| Net investment income | 524 | 542 | 548 | 557 |
| Realized investment gains | 14 | 50 | 11 | 5 |
| Other income | 16 | 12 | 11 | 11 |
| Total revenues | 3,559 | 3,697 | 3,672 | 3,687 |
| Total benefits and expenses | 2,984 | 3,073 | 3,115 | 3,180 |
| Earnings before income taxes | 575 | 624 | 557 | 507 |
| Total income tax | 200 | 216 | 190 | 175 |
| Net earnings | \$ 375 | \$ 408 | \$ 367 | \$ 332 |
| Net earnings per basic share | \$.75 | \$.82 | \$.74 | \$.67 |
| Net earnings per diluted share | .74 | .81 | .73 | .67 |

| | March 31, 2005 | June 30, 2005 | September 30, 2005 | December 31, 2005 |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Premium income | \$ 3,041 | \$ 3,020 | \$ 2,997 | \$ 2,931 |
| Net investment income | 514 | 518 | 522 | 517 |
| Realized investment gains | 3 | 11 | 140 | 108 |
| Other income | 1 | 18 | 10 | 11 |
| Total revenues | 3,559 | 3,567 | 3,669 | 3,567 |
| Total benefits and expenses | 3,053 | 3,051 | 3,024 | 3,008 |
| Earnings before income taxes | 506 | 516 | 645 | 559 |
| Total income tax | 178 | 180 | 190 | 195 |
| Net earnings | \$ 328 | \$ 336 | \$ 455 | \$ 364 |
| Net earnings per basic share | \$.65 | \$.67 | \$.91 | \$.73 |
| Net earnings per diluted share | .64 | .66 | .90 | .72 |

Quarterly amounts may not agree in total to the corresponding annual amounts due to rounding.

Table of Contents

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE.

There have been no changes in, or disagreements with, accountants on accounting and financial disclosure matters during the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act)) as of the end of the period covered by this annual report (the Evaluation Date). Based on such evaluation, such officers have concluded that, as of the Evaluation Date, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

(a) Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting.

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f). Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting based on the framework in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on our evaluation under this framework, management has concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2006.

KPMG LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, has issued an audit report on management's assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, as stated in their report, which is included herein.

(b) Attestation Report of the Registered Public Accounting Firm.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The shareholders and board of directors of Aflac Incorporated:

We have audited management's assessment, included in the accompanying Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting, that Aflac Incorporated and subsidiaries (the Company) maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of

Table of Contents

Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on management's assessment and an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, evaluating management's assessment, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, management's assessment that Aflac Incorporated and subsidiaries maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, is fairly stated, in all material respects, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Also, in our opinion, Aflac Incorporated and subsidiaries maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO).

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Aflac Incorporated and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, and the related consolidated statements of earnings, shareholders' equity, cash flows, and comprehensive income for each of the years in the three-year period ended

Table of Contents

December 31, 2006, and our report dated February 27, 2007 expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements, with an explanatory paragraph as the Company adopted the provisions of Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 108, *Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements*, and Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 158, *Employers Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans, an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106 and 132(R)*, in 2006.

Atlanta, Georgia
February 27, 2007

(c) Changes in Internal Controls Over Financial Reporting.

There have not been any changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the last fiscal quarter of 2006 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION.

Not applicable.

II-98

Table of Contents

PART III

Pursuant to General Instruction G to Form 10-K, Items 10 through 14 are incorporated by reference from the Company's definitive Notice and Proxy Statement relating to the Company's 2007 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, which will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on or about March 21, 2007, pursuant to Regulation 14A under the Exchange Act. The Audit Committee Report and Compensation Committee Report to be included in such proxy statement shall be deemed to be furnished in this report and shall not be incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933 as a result of such furnishing in Items 11 and 12, respectively.

| | | |
|-----------------|--|--|
| ITEM 10. | DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE. | <p>Refer to the Information Contained in the Proxy Statement under Captions (filed electronically)</p> <p>1. Election of Directors; Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance; The Audit Committee; Audit Committee Report; The Corporate Governance Committee; Code of Business Conduct and Ethics</p> |
| | Executive Officers - see Part I, Item 1 herein | |
| ITEM 11. | EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION. | 2006 Director Compensation; The Compensation Committee; Compensation Committee Report; Compensation Discussion and Analysis; 2006 Summary Compensation Table; 2006 Grants of Plan-Based Awards; 2006 Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End; 2006 Option Exercises and Stock Vested; Pension Benefits; Nonqualified Deferred Compensation; Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change-In-Control; and Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation |
| ITEM 12. | SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS. | Voting Securities and Principal Holders Thereof; 1. Election of Directors; Security Ownership of Management; and Equity Compensation Plan Information |

III-1

Table of Contents

| | | |
|-----------------|---|--|
| ITEM 13. | CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE. | Refer to the Information Contained in the Proxy Statement under Captions (filed electronically) Related Person Transactions; and Director Independence |
| ITEM 14. | PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES. | 2. Ratification of Appointment of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm; The Audit Committee |

III-2

Table of Contents**PART IV****ITEM 15.** EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES.

| (a)1. <u>FINANCIAL STATEMENTS</u> | Page(s) |
|---|----------------|
| Included in Part II, Item 8, of this report: Aflac Incorporated and Subsidiaries: | |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Earnings</u> for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2006 | II-42 |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets</u> as of December 31, 2006 and 2005 | II-43 to II-44 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity</u> for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2006 | II-45 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u> for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2006 | II-46 to II-47 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income</u> for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2006 | II-48 |
| <u>Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | II-49 to II-93 |
| <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> | II-94 |
| <u>Unaudited Consolidated Quarterly Financial Data</u> | II-95 |
| 2. <u>FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES</u> | |
| Included in Part IV of this report: | |
| <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> on Financial Statement Schedules | IV-5 |
| Schedule II - <u>Condensed Financial Information of Registrant</u> as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, and for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2006 | IV-6 to IV-11 |
| Schedule III - <u>Supplementary Insurance Information</u> as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, and for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2006 | IV-12 |
| Schedule IV - <u>Reinsurance</u> for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2006 | IV-13 |

Schedules other than those listed above are omitted because they are not required, are not material, are not applicable, or the required information is shown in the financial statements or notes thereto.

IV-1

Table of Contents

3. Exhibit Index

- 3.0 - Articles of Incorporation, as amended - incorporated by reference from Form 10-Q for June 30, 2000, Exhibit 3.0 (File No. 001-07434).
- 3.1 - Bylaws of the Corporation, as amended - incorporated by reference from Form 10-Q for September 30, 2006, Exhibit 3.1 (File No. 001-07434).
- 4.0 - There are no long-term debt instruments in which the total amount of securities authorized exceeds 10% of the total assets of Aflac Incorporated and its subsidiaries on a consolidated basis. We agree to furnish a copy of any long-term debt instrument to the Securities and Exchange Commission upon request.
- 10.0 * - American Family Corporation Retirement Plan for Senior Officers, as amended and restated October 1, 1989 - incorporated by reference from 1993 Form 10-K, Exhibit 10.2 (File No. 001-07434).
- 10.1 * - Aflac Incorporated Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan, as amended April 1, 2003 - incorporated by reference from 2003 Form 10-K, Exhibit 10.4 (File No. 001-07434).
- 10.2 * - Aflac Incorporated Executive Deferred Compensation Plan, as amended, effective January 1, 1999 - incorporated by reference from Form S-8 Registration Statement No. 333-135327, Exhibit 4.1.
- 10.3 * - Fourth Amendment to the Aflac Incorporated Executive Deferred Compensation Plan (incorporated by reference from Form S-8 Registration Statement No. 333-135327, Exhibit 4.1), dated December 29, 2005 - incorporated by reference from 2005 Form 10-K, Exhibit 10.30 (File No. 001-07434).
- 10.4 * - Aflac Incorporated Amended and Restated Management Incentive Plan, effective January 1, 1999 - incorporated by reference from the 2003 Shareholders Proxy Statement, Exhibit A (File No. 001-07434).
- 10.5 * - 1999 Aflac Associate Stock Bonus Plan, as amended, dated February 11, 2003, - incorporated by reference from 2002 Form 10-K, Exhibit 99.2 (File No. 001-07434).
- 10.6 * - Aflac Incorporated 1997 Stock Option Plan - incorporated by reference from the 1997 Shareholders Proxy Statement, Appendix B (File No. 001-07434).
- 10.7 * - Form of Officer Stock Option Agreement (Non-Qualifying Stock Option) under the Aflac Incorporated 1997 Stock Option Plan - incorporated by reference from Form 8-K dated January 28, 2005, Exhibit 10.5 (File No. 001-07434).
- 10.8 * - Form of Officer Stock Option Agreement (Incentive Stock Option) under the Aflac Incorporated 1997 Stock Option Plan - incorporated by reference from Form 8-K dated January 28, 2005, Exhibit 10.6 (File No. 001-07434).
- 10.9 * - Notice of grant of stock options and stock option agreement to officers under the Aflac Incorporated 1997 Stock Option Plan - incorporated by reference from Form 8-K dated January 28, 2005, Exhibit 10.7 (File No. 001-07434).
- 10.10 * - 2004 Aflac Incorporated Long-Term Incentive Plan, dated May 3, 2004 - incorporated by reference from the 2004 Notice and Proxy Statement, Exhibit B (File No. 001-07434).
- IV-2

Table of Contents

- 10.11 * - First Amendment to the 2004 Aflac Incorporated Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference from the 2004 Notice and Proxy Statement, Exhibit B), dated May 2, 2005 - incorporated by reference from Form 10-Q for March 31, 2005, Exhibit 10.1 (File No. 001-07434).
- 10.12 * - Second Amendment to the 2004 Aflac Incorporated Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference from the 2004 Notice and Proxy Statement, Exhibit B), dated February 14, 2006 - incorporated by reference from Form 10-Q for March 31, 2006, Exhibit 10.32 (File No. 001-07434).
- 10.13 * - Form of Non-Employee Director Stock Option Agreement (NQSO) under the 2004 Aflac Incorporated Long-Term Incentive Plan - incorporated by reference from Form 8-K dated January 28, 2005, Exhibit 10.1 (File No. 001-07434).
- 10.14 * - Notice of grant of stock options to non-employee director under the 2004 Aflac Incorporated Long-Term Incentive Plan - incorporated by reference from Form 8-K dated January 28, 2005, Exhibit 10.2 (File No. 001-07434).
- 10.15 * - Form of Non-Employee Director Restricted Stock Award Agreement under the 2004 Aflac Incorporated Long-Term Incentive Plan - incorporated by reference from Form 8-K dated January 28, 2005, Exhibit 10.3 (File No. 001-07434).
- 10.16 * - Notice of restricted stock award to non-employee director under the 2004 Aflac Incorporated Long-Term Incentive Plan - incorporated by reference from Form 8-K dated January 28, 2005, Exhibit 10.4 (File No. 001-07434).
- 10.17 * - Form of Officer Restricted Stock Award Agreement under the 2004 Aflac Incorporated Long-Term Incentive Plan - incorporated by reference from Form 8-K dated February 7, 2005, Exhibit 10.1 (File No. 001-07434).
- 10.18 * - Notice of restricted stock award to officers under the 2004 Aflac Incorporated Long-Term Incentive Plan - incorporated by reference from Form 8-K dated February 7, 2005, Exhibit 10.2 (File No. 001-07434).
- 10.19 * - Form of Officer Stock Option Agreement (Non-Qualifying Stock Option) under the 2004 Aflac Incorporated Long-Term Incentive Plan - incorporated by reference from Form 8-K dated February 7, 2005, Exhibit 10.3 (File No. 001-07434).
- 10.20 * - Form of Officer Stock Option Agreement (Incentive Stock Option) under the 2004 Aflac Incorporated Long-Term Incentive Plan - incorporated by reference from Form 8-K dated February 7, 2005, Exhibit 10.4 (File No. 001-07434).
- 10.21 * - Notice of grant of stock options to officers under the 2004 Aflac Incorporated Long-Term Incentive Plan - incorporated by reference from Form 8-K dated February 7, 2005, Exhibit 10.5 (File No. 001-07434).
- 10.22 * - Aflac Incorporated Employment Agreement with Daniel P. Amos, dated August 1, 1993 - incorporated by reference from 1993 Form 10-K, Exhibit 10.4 (File No. 001-07434).
- 10.23 * - Aflac Incorporated Employment Agreement with Kriss Cloninger, III, dated February 14, 1992, and as amended November 12, 1993 - incorporated by reference from 1993 Form 10-K, Exhibit 10.6 (File No. 001-07434).
- 10.24 * - Aflac Incorporated Employment Agreement with Akitoshi Kan, dated April 1, 2001, and amended February 1, 2005 - incorporated by reference from Form 8-K dated February 7, 2005, Exhibit 10.1 (File No. 001-07434).

Table of Contents

| | |
|--------------|--|
| 10.25 | * - Aflac Employment Agreement with Hidefumi Matsui, dated January 1, 1995 - incorporated by reference from 1994 Form 10-K, Exhibit 10.8 (File No. 001-07434). |
| 10.26 | * - Aflac Incorporated Employment Agreement with Paul S. Amos II, dated January 1, 2005 - incorporated by reference from Form 8-K dated February 7, 2005, Exhibit 10.2 (File No. 001-07434). |
| 10.27 | * - Aflac Retirement Agreement with E. Stephen Purdom, dated February 15, 2000 - incorporated by reference from 2000 Form 10-K, Exhibit 10.13 (File No. 001-07434). |
| <u>10.28</u> | * - Aflac Consulting Arrangement with E. Stephen Purdom. |
| <u>11.0</u> | - Statement regarding the computation of per-share earnings for the Registrant. |
| <u>12.0</u> | - Statement regarding the computation of ratio of earnings to fixed charges for the Registrant. |
| <u>21.0</u> | - Subsidiaries. |
| <u>23.0</u> | - Consent of independent registered public accounting firm, KPMG LLP, to Form S-8 Registration Statement No. 333-135324 with respect to the Aflac Incorporated 401(k) Savings and Profit Sharing Plan. |
| | - Consent of independent registered public accounting firm, KPMG LLP, to Form S-3 Registration Statement No. 33-64535 with respect to the AFL Stock Plan. |
| | - Consent of independent registered public accounting firm, KPMG LLP, to Form S-8 Registration Statement No. 333-27883 with respect to the Aflac Incorporated 1997 Stock Option Plan. |
| | - Consent of independent registered public accounting firm, KPMG LLP, to Form S-8 Registration Statement No. 333-135327 with respect to the Aflac Incorporated Executive Deferred Compensation Plan. |
| | - Consent of independent registered public accounting firm, KPMG LLP, to Form S-8 Registration Statement No. 333-115105 with respect to the 2004 Aflac Incorporated Long-Term Incentive Plan. |
| <u>31.1</u> | - Certification of CEO dated February 27, 2007, required by Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. |
| <u>31.2</u> | - Certification of CFO dated February 27, 2007, required by Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. |
| <u>32.0</u> | - Certification of CEO and CFO dated February 27, 2007, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |

**Management contract or compensatory plan or agreement*

Table of Contents

FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM ON FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

The shareholders and board of directors of Aflac Incorporated:

Under date of February 27, 2007, we reported on the consolidated balance sheets of Aflac Incorporated and subsidiaries (the Company) as of December 31, 2006, and 2005, and the related consolidated statements of earnings, shareholders' equity, cash flows, and comprehensive income for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2006, which are included herein. In connection with our audits of the aforementioned consolidated financial statements, we also audited the related financial statement schedules as listed in Item 15. These financial statement schedules are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statement schedules based on our audits.

In our opinion, such financial statement schedules, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, present fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

As discussed in note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company adopted the provisions of Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 108, *Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements*, as of January 1, 2006. Additionally, as discussed in notes 1 and 12 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 158, *Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans, an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106 and 132(R)*, as of December 31, 2006.

Atlanta, Georgia
February 27, 2007

IV-5

Table of Contents**SCHEDULE II
CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF REGISTRANT**Aflac Incorporated (Parent Only)
Condensed Statements of Earnings

| (In millions) | Years ended December 31, | | |
|---|--------------------------|----------|----------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Revenues: | | | |
| Dividends from subsidiaries* | \$ 667 | \$ 528 | \$ 650 |
| Management and service fees from subsidiaries* | 68 | 73 | 33 |
| Investment income | 16 | 14 | 5 |
| Interest from subsidiaries* | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Realized investment gains (losses) | - | - | 1 |
| Change in fair value of the interest rate component of the cross-currency swaps | - | (15) | (15) |
| Other income (loss) | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Total revenues | 758 | 607 | 680 |
| Operating expenses: | | | |
| Interest expense | 17 | 20 | 20 |
| Other operating expenses | 59 | 57 | 67 |
| Total operating expenses | 76 | 77 | 87 |
| Earnings before income taxes and equity in undistributed earnings of subsidiaries | 682 | 530 | 593 |
| Income tax expense (benefit): | | | |
| Current | - | 2 | 1 |
| Deferred | (2) | (40) | (2) |
| Total income taxes | (2) | (38) | (1) |
| Earnings before equity in undistributed earnings of subsidiaries | 684 | 568 | 594 |
| Equity in undistributed earnings of subsidiaries* | 799 | 915 | 672 |
| Net earnings | \$ 1,483 | \$ 1,483 | \$ 1,266 |

*Eliminated in consolidation

See the accompanying Notes to Condensed Financial Statements.

See the accompanying Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.

Table of Contents**SCHEDULE II
CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF REGISTRANT**Aflac Incorporated (Parent Only)
Condensed Balance Sheets

| (In millions, except for share and per-share amounts) | December 31, 2006 | 2005 |
|--|----------------------|----------|
| Assets: | | |
| Investments and cash: | | |
| Fixed maturity securities available for sale, at fair value (amortized cost \$103 in 2006 and \$100 in 2005) | \$ 102 | \$ 100 |
| Investments in subsidiaries* | 9,341 | 8,970 |
| Other investments | 9 | 16 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 503 | 397 |
| Total investments and cash | 9,955 | 9,483 |
| Due from subsidiaries* | 65 | 72 |
| Other assets | 50 | 55 |
| Total assets | \$ 10,070 | \$ 9,610 |
| Liabilities and Shareholders Equity: | | |
| Liabilities: | | |
| Notes payable | \$ 1,415 | \$ 1,381 |
| Employee benefit plans | 175 | 162 |
| Income taxes | 12 | 35 |
| Other liabilities | 127 | 105 |
| Total liabilities | 1,729 | 1,683 |
| Shareholders Equity: | | |
| Common stock of \$.10 par value. In thousands: authorized 1,000,000 shares; issued 655,715 shares in 2006 and 654,522 shares in 2005 | 66 | 65 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 895 | 791 |
| Retained earnings | 9,304 | 8,048 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income: | | |
| Unrealized foreign currency translation gains | 54 | 77 |
| Unrealized gains on investment securities | 1,450 | 1,917 |
| Pension liability adjustment | (78) | (37) |
| Treasury stock, at average cost | (3,350) | (2,934) |
| Total shareholders equity | 8,341 | 7,927 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders equity | \$ 10,070 | \$ 9,610 |
| <i>*Eliminated in consolidation</i> | | |

See the accompanying Notes to Condensed Financial Statements.

See the accompanying Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.

Table of Contents**SCHEDULE II
CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF REGISTRANT**Aflac Incorporated (Parent Only)
Condensed Statements of Cash Flows

| (In millions) | Years ended December 31, | | |
|---|--------------------------|----------|----------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | | |
| Net earnings | \$ 1,483 | \$ 1,483 | \$ 1,266 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash provided from operating activities: | | | |
| Equity in undistributed earnings of subsidiaries* | (799) | (915) | (672) |
| Other, net | 23 | 3 | 25 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 707 | 571 | 619 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | | |
| Fixed maturity securities sold | - | - | 39 |
| Fixed maturity securities purchased | (4) | (100) | - |
| Other investments sold (purchased) | - | 1 | (2) |
| Other, net | - | 1 | - |
| Net cash provided (used) by investing activities | (4) | (98) | 37 |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | | |
| Purchases of treasury stock | (470) | (438) | (392) |
| Proceeds from borrowings | 382 | 360 | - |
| Principal payments under debt obligations | (355) | (261) | - |
| Dividends paid to shareholders | (258) | (209) | (182) |
| Treasury stock reissued | 77 | 83 | 71 |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options | 15 | 17 | 14 |
| Net change in amount due to/from subsidiaries* | 7 | 100 | (92) |
| Other, net | 5 | 10 | (16) |
| Net cash used by financing activities | (597) | (338) | (597) |
| Net change in cash and cash equivalents | 106 | 135 | 59 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year | 397 | 262 | 203 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of year | \$ 503 | \$ 397 | \$ 262 |

*Eliminated in consolidation

See the accompanying Notes to Condensed Financial Statements.

See the accompanying Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.

Table of Contents**SCHEDULE II
CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF REGISTRANT**

Aflac Incorporated (Parent Only)
Condensed Statements of Comprehensive Income

| (In millions) | Years ended December 31, | | |
|--|--------------------------|----------|----------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Net earnings | \$ 1,483 | \$ 1,483 | \$ 1,266 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) before income taxes: | | | |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments: | | | |
| Change in unrealized foreign currency translation gains (losses) during year - parent only | (1) | 18 | (50) |
| Equity in change in unrealized foreign currency translation gains (losses) of subsidiaries during year | (11) | 26 | 26 |
| Unrealized gains (losses) on investment securities: | | | |
| Unrealized holding gains (losses) arising during the year - parent only | (2) | 1 | - |
| Equity in unrealized gains (losses) on investment securities held by subsidiaries | (640) | (539) | 143 |
| Equity in reclassification adjustment for realized (gains) losses of subsidiaries included in net earnings | (79) | (262) | 12 |
| Pension liability adjustment during year | (64) | (13) | 14 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) before income taxes | (797) | (769) | 145 |
| Income tax expense (benefit) related to items of other comprehensive income | (266) | (115) | 28 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) | (531) | (654) | 117 |
| Total comprehensive income | \$ 952 | \$ 829 | \$ 1,383 |

See the accompanying Notes to Condensed Financial Statements.

See the accompanying Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.

IV-9

Table of Contents**SCHEDULE II
CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF REGISTRANT**Aflac Incorporated (Parent Only)
Notes to Condensed Financial Statements

The accompanying condensed financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto of Aflac Incorporated and Subsidiaries included in Part II, Item 8 of this report.

(A) Notes Payable

A summary of notes payable as of December 31 follows:

| (In millions) | 2006 | 2005 |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|
| Yen-denominated Uridashi notes: | | |
| 1.52% notes due September 2011 (principal amount 15 billion yen) | \$ 126 | \$ - |
| 2.26% notes due September 2016 (principal amount 10 billion yen) | 84 | - |
| Variable interest rate notes due September 2011 (.71% at December 2006, principal amount 20 billion yen) | 168 | - |
| Yen-denominated Samurai notes: | | |
| .87% notes paid June 2006 (principal amount 40 billion yen) | - | 339 |
| .96% notes due June 2007 (principal amount 30 billion yen) | 252 | 254 |
| .71% notes due July 2010 (principal amount 40 billion yen) | 336 | 339 |
| 6.50% senior notes due April 2009 | 450 | 450 |
| Total notes payable | \$ 1,416 | \$ 1,382 |

The aggregate contractual maturities of the notes payable for each of the five years after December 31, 2006, are as follows:

| (In millions) | |
|---------------|--------|
| 2007 | \$ 252 |
| 2008 | - |
| 2009 | 450 |
| 2010 | 336 |
| 2011 | 294 |
| Thereafter | 84 |

For further information regarding notes payable, see Note 7 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**(B) Derivatives**

We have only limited activity with derivative financial instruments. We do not use them for trading purposes nor do we engage in leveraged derivative transactions. The Parent Company has contracts for cross-currency swaps related to its senior notes payable and interest rate swaps related to its variable interest rate Uridashi notes. For further information regarding these derivatives, see Notes 1 and 4 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

(C) Income Taxes

The Company and its eligible U.S. subsidiaries file a consolidated U.S. federal income tax return. Income tax liabilities or benefits are recorded by each principal subsidiary based upon separate return calculations, and any difference between the consolidated provision and the aggregate amounts recorded by the subsidiaries is reflected in the Parent Company financial statements. For further information on income taxes, see Note 8 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

(D) Dividend Restrictions

See Note 11 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for information regarding dividend restrictions.

(E) Supplemental Disclosures of Cash Flow Information

| (In millions) | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|--|-------|-------|-------|
| Interest paid | \$ 17 | \$ 20 | \$ 19 |
| Dividends declared | 91 | - | - |
| Noncash financing activities: | | | |
| Treasury shares issued to AFL Stock Plan | 15 | 11 | 10 |

IV-11

Table of Contents**SCHEDULE III
SUPPLEMENTARY INSURANCE INFORMATION**Aflac Incorporated and Subsidiaries
December 31,

| (In millions) | Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs | Future Policy Benefits & Unpaid Policy Claims | Unearned Premiums | Other Policyholders Funds |
|--------------------|--------------------------------------|---|----------------------|---------------------------------|
| 2006: | | | | |
| Aflac Japan | \$ 3,857 | \$ 38,021 | \$ 538 | \$ 1,512 |
| Aflac U.S. | 2,168 | 5,207 | 106 | 52 |
| All other | - | 3 | 1 | - |
| Total | \$ 6,025 | \$ 43,231 | \$ 645 | \$ 1,564 |
| 2005: | | | | |
| Aflac Japan | \$ 3,624 | \$ 35,727 | \$ 491 | \$ 1,338 |
| Aflac U.S. | 1,966 | 4,628 | 104 | 40 |
| All other | - | 2 | - | - |
| Total | \$ 5,590 | \$ 40,357 | \$ 594 | \$ 1,378 |

*See the accompanying Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.**Segment amounts may not agree in total to the corresponding consolidated amounts due to rounding.*

Years Ended December 31,

| (In millions) | Premium Revenue | Net Investment Income | Benefits and Claims | Amortization of Other Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs | Operating Expenses | Premiums Written |
|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|--|-----------------------|---------------------|
| 2006: | | | | | | |
| Aflac Japan | \$ 8,762 | \$ 1,688 | \$ 6,847 | \$ 284 | \$ 1,691 | \$ 8,834 |
| Aflac U.S. | 3,552 | 465 | 2,169 | 290 | 983 | 3,562 |
| All other | - | 18 | - | - | 88 | - |
| Total | \$ 12,314 | \$ 2,171 | \$ 9,016 | \$ 574 | \$ 2,762 | \$ 12,396 |
| 2005: | | | | | | |
| Aflac Japan | \$ 8,745 | \$ 1,635 | \$ 6,898 | \$ 284 | \$ 1,715 | \$ 8,854 |
| Aflac U.S. | 3,245 | 421 | 1,991 | 258 | 902 | 3,250 |
| All other | - | 14 | - | - | 88 | - |
| Total | \$ 11,990 | \$ 2,071 | \$ 8,890 | \$ 542 | \$ 2,705 | \$ 12,104 |
| 2004: | | | | | | |
| Aflac Japan | \$ 8,368 | \$ 1,557 | \$ 6,679 | \$ 274 | \$ 1,611 | \$ 8,456 |
| Aflac U.S. | 2,935 | 396 | 1,803 | 245 | 795 | 2,941 |
| All other | - | 5 | - | - | 100 | - |
| Total | \$ 11,302 | \$ 1,957 | \$ 8,482 | \$ 519 | \$ 2,506 | \$ 11,397 |

*See the accompanying Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.**Segment amounts may not agree in total to the corresponding consolidated amounts due to rounding.*

Table of Contents**SCHEDULE IV
REINSURANCE**

Aflac Incorporated and Subsidiaries
Years Ended December 31,

| (In millions) | Gross Amount | Ceded to Other Companies | Assumed from Other Companies | Net Amount | Percentage of Amount Assumed to Net |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|---|---|-----------------------|--|
| 2006: | | | | | |
| Life insurance in force | \$ 87,855 | \$ 2,515 | \$ - | \$ 85,340 | - % |
| Premiums: | | | | | |
| Health insurance | \$ 11,100 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 11,100 | - % |
| Life insurance | 1,224 | 10 | - | 1,214 | - |
| Total earned premiums | \$ 12,324 | 10 | - | \$ 12,314 | - % |
| 2005: | | | | | |
| Life insurance in force | \$ 80,610 | \$ 2,263 | \$ - | \$ 78,347 | - % |
| Premiums: | | | | | |
| Health insurance | \$ 10,851 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 10,851 | - % |
| Life insurance | 1,148 | 9 | - | 1,139 | - |
| Total earned premiums | \$ 11,999 | \$ 9 | \$ - | \$ 11,990 | - % |
| 2004: | | | | | |
| Life insurance in force | \$ 80,496 | \$ 1,965 | \$ - | \$ 78,531 | - % |
| Premiums: | | | | | |
| Health insurance | \$ 10,271 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 10,271 | - % |
| Life insurance | 1,040 | 9 | - | 1,031 | - |
| Total earned premiums | \$ 11,311 | \$ 9 | \$ - | \$ 11,302 | - % |

See the accompanying Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.

Premiums by type may not agree in total to the corresponding consolidated amounts due to rounding.

IV-13

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Aflac Incorporated

By: */s/ Daniel P. Amos* February 27, 2007
(Daniel P. Amos)
Chief Executive Officer,
Chairman of the Board of Directors

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

| | | |
|---|--|-------------------|
| <i>/s/ Kriss Cloninger III</i> (Kriss Cloninger III) | President, Chief Financial Officer, Treasurer and Director | February 27, 2007 |
| <i>/s/ Ralph A. Rogers Jr.</i> (Ralph A. Rogers Jr.) | Senior Vice President, Financial Services, Chief Accounting Officer | February 27, 2007 |

IV-14

Table of Contents

| | | |
|---|----------|-------------------|
| /s/ J. Shelby Amos II (J. Shelby Amos II) | Director | February 27, 2007 |
| /s/ Michael H. Armacost (Michael H. Armacost) | Director | February 27, 2007 |
| /s/ Joe Frank Harris (Joe Frank Harris) | Director | February 27, 2007 |
| /s/ Elizabeth J. Hudson (Elizabeth J. Hudson) | Director | February 27, 2007 |
| /s/ Kenneth S. Janke Sr. (Kenneth S. Janke Sr.) | Director | February 27, 2007 |
| /s/ Douglas W. Johnson (Douglas W. Johnson) | Director | February 27, 2007 |
| /s/ Robert B. Johnson (Robert B. Johnson) | Director | February 27, 2007 |
| /s/ Charles B. Knapp (Charles B. Knapp) | Director | February 27, 2007 |
| /s/ Hidefumi Matsui (Hidefumi Matsui) | Director | February 27, 2007 |
| /s/ E. Stephen Purdom (E. Stephen Purdom) | Director | February 27, 2007 |
| /s/ Barbara K. Rimer (Barbara K. Rimer) | Director | February 27, 2007 |
| /s/ Marvin R. Schuster (Marvin R. Schuster) | Director | February 27, 2007 |
| /s/ David G. Thompson (David G. Thompson) | Director | February 27, 2007 |
| /s/ Robert L. Wright (Robert L. Wright) | Director | February 27, 2007 |

IV-15

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

- 3.0 - Articles of Incorporation, as amended - incorporated by reference from Form 10-Q for June 30, 2000, Exhibit 3.0 (File No. 001-07434).
- 3.1 - Bylaws of the Corporation, as amended - incorporated by reference from Form 10-Q for September 30, 2006, Exhibit 3.1 (File No. 001-07434).
- 4.0 - There are no long-term debt instruments in which the total amount of securities authorized exceeds 10% of the total assets of Aflac Incorporated and its subsidiaries on a consolidated basis. We agree to furnish a copy of any long-term debt instruments to the Securities and Exchange Commission upon request.
- 10.0* - American Family Corporation Retirement Plan for Senior Officers, as amended and restated October 1, 1989 - incorporated by reference from 1993 Form 10-K, Exhibit 10.2 (File No. 001-07434).
- 10.1* - Aflac Incorporated Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan, as amended April 1, 2003 - incorporated by reference from 2003 Form 10-K, Exhibit 10.4 (File No. 001-07434).
- 10.2* - Aflac Incorporated Executive Deferred Compensation Plan, as amended, effective January 1, 1999 - incorporated by reference from Form S-8 Registration Statement No. 333-135327, Exhibit 4.1.
- 10.3* - Fourth Amendment to the Aflac Incorporated Executive Deferred Compensation Plan (incorporated by reference from Form S-8 Registration Statement No. 333-135327, Exhibit 4.1), dated December 29, 2005 - incorporated by reference from 2005 Form 10-K, Exhibit 10.30 (File No. 001-07434).
- 10.4* - Aflac Incorporated Amended and Restated Management Incentive Plan, effective January 1, 1999 - incorporated by reference from the 2003 Shareholders Proxy Statement, Exhibit A (File No. 001-07434).
- 10.5* - 1999 Aflac Associate Stock Bonus Plan, as amended, dated February 11, 2003, - incorporated by reference from 2002 Form 10-K, Exhibit 99.2 (File No. 001-07434).
- 10.6* - Aflac Incorporated 1997 Stock Option Plan - incorporated by reference from the 1997 Shareholders Proxy Statement, Appendix B (File No. 001-07434).
- 10.7* - Form of Officer Stock Option Agreement (Non-Qualifying Stock Option) under the Aflac Incorporated 1997 Stock Option Plan - incorporated by reference from Form 8-K dated January 28, 2005, Exhibit 10.5 (File No. 001-07434).
- 10.8* - Form of Officer Stock Option Agreement (Incentive Stock Option) under the Aflac Incorporated 1997 Stock Option Plan - incorporated by reference from Form 8-K dated January 28, 2005, Exhibit 10.6 (File No. 001-07434).
- 10.9* - Notice of grant of stock options and stock option agreement to officers under the Aflac Incorporated 1997 Stock Option Plan - incorporated by reference from Form 8-K dated January 28, 2005, Exhibit 10.7 (File No. 001-07434).
- 10.10* - 2004 Aflac Incorporated Long-Term Incentive Plan, dated May 3, 2004 - incorporated by reference from the 2004 Notice and Proxy Statement, Exhibit B (File No. 001-07434).

IV-16

Table of Contents

- 10.11* - First Amendment to the 2004 Aflac Incorporated Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference from the 2004 Notice and Proxy Statement, Exhibit B), dated May 2, 2005 - incorporated by reference from Form 10-Q for March 31, 2005, Exhibit 10.1 (File No. 001-07434).
- 10.12* - Second Amendment to the 2004 Aflac Incorporated Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference from the 2004 Notice and Proxy Statement, Exhibit B), dated February 14, 2006 - incorporated by reference from Form 10-Q for March 31, 2006, Exhibit 10.32 (File No. 001-07434).
- 10.13* - Form of Non-Employee Director Stock Option Agreement (NQSO) under the 2004 Aflac Incorporated Long-Term Incentive Plan - incorporated by reference from Form 8-K dated January 28, 2005, Exhibit 10.1 (File No. 001-07434).
- 10.14* - Notice of grant of stock options to non-employee director under the 2004 Aflac Incorporated Long-Term Incentive Plan - incorporated by reference from Form 8-K dated January 28, 2005, Exhibit 10.2 (File No. 001-07434).
- 10.15* - Form of Non-Employee Director Restricted Stock Award Agreement under the 2004 Aflac Incorporated Long-Term Incentive Plan - incorporated by reference from Form 8-K dated January 28, 2005, Exhibit 10.3 (File No. 001-07434).
- 10.16* - Notice of restricted stock award to non-employee director under the 2004 Aflac Incorporated Long-Term Incentive Plan - incorporated by reference from Form 8-K dated January 28, 2005, Exhibit 10.4 (File No. 001-07434).
- 10.17* - Form of Officer Restricted Stock Award Agreement under the 2004 Aflac Incorporated Long-Term Incentive Plan - incorporated by reference from Form 8-K dated February 7, 2005, Exhibit 10.1 (File No. 001-07434).
- 10.18* - Notice of restricted stock award to officers under the 2004 Aflac Incorporated Long-Term Incentive Plan - incorporated by reference from Form 8-K dated February 7, 2005, Exhibit 10.2 (File No. 001-07434).
- 10.19* - Form of Officer Stock Option Agreement (Non-Qualifying Stock Option) under the 2004 Aflac Incorporated Long-Term Incentive Plan - incorporated by reference from Form 8-K dated February 7, 2005, Exhibit 10.3 (File No. 001-07434).
- 10.20* - Form of Officer Stock Option Agreement (Incentive Stock Option) under the 2004 Aflac Incorporated Long-Term Incentive Plan - incorporated by reference from Form 8-K dated February 7, 2005, Exhibit 10.4 (File No. 001-07434).
- 10.21* - Notice of grant of stock options to officers under the 2004 Aflac Incorporated Long-Term Incentive Plan - incorporated by reference from Form 8-K dated February 7, 2005, Exhibit 10.5 (File No. 001-07434).
- 10.22* - Aflac Incorporated Employment Agreement with Daniel P. Amos, dated August 1, 1993 - incorporated by reference from 1993 Form 10-K, Exhibit 10.4 (File No. 001-07434).
- 10.23* - Aflac Incorporated Employment Agreement with Kriss Cloninger, III, dated February 14, 1992, and as amended November 12, 1993 - incorporated by reference from 1993 Form 10-K, Exhibit 10.6 (File No. 001-07434).
- 10.24* - Aflac Incorporated Employment Agreement with Akitoshi Kan, dated April 1, 2001, and amended February 1, 2005 - incorporated by reference from Form 8-K dated February 7, 2005, Exhibit 10.1 (File No. 001-07434).

Table of Contents

- 10.25* - Aflac Employment Agreement with Hidefumi Matsui, dated January 1, 1995 - incorporated by reference from 1994 Form 10-K, Exhibit 10.8 (File No. 001-07434).
- 10.26* - Aflac Incorporated Employment Agreement with Paul S. Amos II, dated January 1, 2005 - incorporated by reference from Form 8-K dated February 7, 2005, Exhibit 10.2 (File No. 001-07434).
- 10.27* - Aflac Retirement Agreement with E. Stephen Purdom, dated February 15, 2000 - incorporated by reference from 2000 Form 10-K, Exhibit 10.13 (File No. 001-07434).
- 10.28* - Aflac Consulting Arrangement with E. Stephen Purdom.
- 11.0 - Statement regarding the computation of per-share earnings for the Registrant.
- 12.0 - Statement regarding the computation of ratio of earnings to fixed charges for the Registrant.
- 21.0 - Subsidiaries.
- 23.0 - Consent of independent registered public accounting firm, KPMG LLP, to Form S-8 Registration Statement No. 333-135324 with respect to the Aflac Incorporated 401(k) Savings and Profit Sharing Plan.
 - Consent of independent registered public accounting firm, KPMG LLP, to Form S-3 Registration Statement No. 33-64535 with respect to the AFL Stock Plan.
 - Consent of independent registered public accounting firm, KPMG LLP, to Form S-8 Registration Statement No. 333-27883 with respect to the Aflac Incorporated 1997 Stock Option Plan.
 - Consent of independent registered public accounting firm, KPMG LLP, to Form S-8 Registration Statement No. 333-135327 with respect to the Aflac Incorporated Executive Deferred Compensation Plan.
 - Consent of independent registered public accounting firm, KPMG LLP, to Form S-8 Registration Statement No. 333-115105 with respect to the 2004 Aflac Incorporated Long-Term Incentive Plan.
- 31.1 - Certification of CEO dated February 27, 2007, required by Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
- 31.2 - Certification of CFO dated February 27, 2007, required by Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
- 32.0 - Certification of CEO and CFO dated February 27, 2007, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

**Management contract or compensatory plan or agreement*