

Hillenbrand, Inc.
Form 10-Q
May 08, 2013
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

Quarterly Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d)
of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2013

Commission File No. 001-33794

HILLENBRAND, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Indiana
(State of incorporation)

26-1342272
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

One Batesville Boulevard
Batesville, IN
(Address of principal executive offices)

47006
(Zip Code)

(812) 934-7500

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Edgar Filing: Hillenbrand, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

The registrant had 62,799,089 shares of common stock, no par value per share, outstanding as of April 25, 2013.

Table of Contents

HILLENBRAND, INC.

INDEX TO FORM 10-Q

| | Page |
|---|-------------|
| <u>PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u> | |
| <u>Item 1.</u> | |
| <u>Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u> | |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Income for the Three and Six Months Ended March 31, 2013 and 2012</u> | 3 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Three and Six Months Ended March 31, 2013 and 2012</u> | 4 |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets at March 31, 2013 and September 30, 2012</u> | 5 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flow for the Six Months Ended March 31, 2013 and 2012</u> | 6 |
| <u>Condensed Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 7 |
| <u>Item 2.</u> | |
| <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 24 |
| <u>Item 3.</u> | |
| <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risks</u> | 37 |
| <u>Item 4.</u> | |
| <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 38 |
| <u>PART II OTHER INFORMATION</u> | |
| <u>Item 1.</u> | |
| <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 39 |
| <u>Item 1A.</u> | |
| <u>Risk Factors</u> | 39 |
| <u>Item 2.</u> | |
| <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | 44 |
| <u>Item 6.</u> | |
| <u>Exhibits</u> | 44 |
| <u>SIGNATURES</u> | |

Table of Contents**PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****Hillenbrand, Inc.****Consolidated Statements of Income (Unaudited)***(in millions, except per share data)*

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | | Six Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|---------------------------------|-----------|-------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Net revenue | \$ 398.5 | \$ 259.7 | \$ 703.7 | \$ 491.3 |
| Cost of goods sold | 261.9 | 155.4 | 456.5 | 293.3 |
| Gross profit | 136.6 | 104.3 | 247.2 | 198.0 |
| Operating expenses | 111.0 | 60.5 | 197.5 | 120.8 |
| Operating profit | 25.6 | 43.8 | 49.7 | 77.2 |
| Interest expense | 6.8 | 2.8 | 11.3 | 5.7 |
| Other income (expense), net | (0.3) | (0.3) | 0.6 | (0.8) |
| Income before income taxes | 18.5 | 40.7 | 39.0 | 70.7 |
| Income tax expense | 5.3 | 13.3 | 11.2 | 12.0 |
| Consolidated net income | 13.2 | 27.4 | 27.8 | 58.7 |
| Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests | 0.5 | | 0.8 | |
| Net income(1) | \$ 12.7 | \$ 27.4 | \$ 27.0 | \$ 58.7 |
| Net income(1) per share of common stock: | | | | |
| Basic earnings per share | \$ 0.20 | \$ 0.44 | \$ 0.43 | \$ 0.95 |
| Diluted earnings per share | \$ 0.20 | \$ 0.44 | \$ 0.43 | \$ 0.94 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding basic | 62.7 | 62.2 | 62.6 | 62.1 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding diluted | 63.1 | 62.6 | 62.9 | 62.4 |
| Cash dividends per share | \$ 0.1950 | \$ 0.1925 | \$ 0.3900 | \$ 0.3850 |

(1) Net income attributable to Hillenbrand

See Condensed Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents**Hillenbrand, Inc.****Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Unaudited)***(in millions)*

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | | Six Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|---------------------------------|---------|-------------------------------|---------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Consolidated net income | \$ 13.2 | \$ 27.4 | \$ 27.8 | \$ 58.7 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax | | | | |
| Currency translation adjustment | (19.2) | 7.9 | (9.0) | 1.3 |
| Pension and postretirement benefit plan adjustments | 0.2 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.2 |
| Change in net unrealized loss on derivative instruments | (0.9) | (0.3) | (0.7) | (0.4) |
| Change in net unrealized gain (loss) on available-for-sale securities | | 0.2 | (0.2) | (0.9) |
| Total other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax | (19.9) | 8.9 | (8.6) | 1.2 |
| Consolidated comprehensive income (loss) | (6.7) | 36.3 | 19.2 | 59.9 |
| Less: Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests | 0.5 | | 0.8 | |
| Comprehensive income (loss)(2) | \$ (7.2) | \$ 36.3 | \$ 18.4 | \$ 59.9 |

(2) Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to Hillenbrand

See Condensed Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents**Hillenbrand, Inc.****Consolidated Balance Sheets (Unaudited)***(in millions)*

| | March 31, 2013 | September 30, 2012 |
|---|-------------------|-----------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Current Assets | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 40.7 | \$ 20.2 |
| Trade receivables, net | 188.5 | 150.7 |
| Unbilled receivables from long-term manufacturing contracts | 128.4 | |
| Inventories | 191.3 | 90.0 |
| Deferred income taxes | 33.3 | 19.6 |
| Other current assets | 54.8 | 24.8 |
| Total current assets | 637.0 | 305.3 |
| Property, plant, and equipment, net | 168.3 | 117.9 |
| Intangible assets, net | 573.7 | 313.9 |
| Goodwill | 532.2 | 303.7 |
| Other assets | 52.9 | 46.7 |
| Total Assets | \$ 1,964.1 | \$ 1,087.5 |
| LIABILITIES | | |
| Current Liabilities | | |
| Trade accounts payable | \$ 175.3 | \$ 35.3 |
| Liabilities from long-term manufacturing contracts and advances | 78.0 | 15.9 |
| Current portion of long-term debt | 10.0 | |
| Accrued compensation | 24.9 | 29.3 |
| Deferred income taxes | 20.9 | 0.9 |
| Other current liabilities | 125.2 | 70.4 |
| Total current liabilities | 434.3 | 151.8 |
| Long-term debt | 709.6 | 271.6 |
| Accrued pension and postretirement healthcare | 234.9 | 111.8 |
| Deferred income taxes | 32.5 | 21.7 |
| Other long-term liabilities | 39.8 | 24.3 |
| Total Liabilities | 1,451.1 | 581.2 |
| Commitments and contingencies | | |
| EQUITY | | |
| Common stock, no par value, 63.1 and 63.2 shares issued, 62.8 and 62.6 shares outstanding, 0.3 and 0.3 shares restricted | | |
| Additional paid-in capital | 320.1 | 321.9 |
| Retained earnings | 240.0 | 238.3 |
| Treasury stock, 0.3 and 0.6 shares | (3.4) | (11.5) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (51.0) | (42.4) |
| Total Hillenbrand Shareholders' Equity | 505.7 | 506.3 |
| Noncontrolling interests | 7.3 | |
| Total Equity | 513.0 | 506.3 |
| Total Liabilities and Equity | \$ 1,964.1 | \$ 1,087.5 |

See Condensed Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents**Hillenbrand, Inc.****Consolidated Statements of Cash Flow (Unaudited)***(in millions)*

| | Six Months Ended March 31, | |
|--|---------------------------------------|---------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Operating Activities | | |
| Consolidated net income | \$ 27.8 | \$ 58.7 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 42.9 | 21.7 |
| Deferred income taxes | (15.9) | (5.8) |
| Equity in net (gain) loss from affiliates | 0.6 | (0.4) |
| Share-based compensation | 6.0 | 6.9 |
| Trade accounts receivable and receivables on long-term manufacturing contracts | (4.6) | (2.3) |
| Inventories | 6.1 | (7.5) |
| Other current assets | (20.3) | (5.7) |
| Trade accounts payable | 0.3 | (1.5) |
| Accrued expenses and other current liabilities | (37.0) | (4.7) |
| Income taxes payable | 13.0 | (4.4) |
| Defined benefit plan funding | (4.3) | (1.2) |
| Defined benefit plan expense | 8.5 | 6.3 |
| Other, net | (3.4) | |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 19.7 | 60.1 |
| Investing Activities | | |
| Capital expenditures | (11.2) | (8.1) |
| Proceeds on sales of property, plant, and equipment | 1.1 | |
| Proceeds from sales of investments | 1.8 | |
| Acquisition of business, net of cash acquired | (415.6) | |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (423.9) | (8.1) |
| Financing Activities | | |
| Proceeds from term loan | 200.0 | |
| Repayments on term loan | (5.0) | |
| Proceeds from revolving credit facilities, net of financing costs | 576.7 | |
| Repayments on revolving credit facilities | (323.0) | (84.0) |
| Payment of dividends on common stock | (24.3) | (23.8) |
| Other, net | (0.4) | |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 424.0 | (107.8) |
| Effect of exchange rates on cash and cash equivalents | 0.7 | 0.4 |
| Net cash flow | 20.5 | (55.4) |
| Cash and cash equivalents | | |
| At beginning of period | 20.2 | 115.5 |
| At end of period | \$ 40.7 | \$ 60.1 |

See Condensed Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents

Hillenbrand, Inc.

Condensed Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

(financial amounts in millions, except share and per share data)

1. Background and Basis of Presentation

Hillenbrand, Inc. (Hillenbrand) is a global diversified industrial company that makes and sells premium business-to-business products and services for a wide variety of industries. Hillenbrand has two business platforms: the Process Equipment Group and Batesville. The Process Equipment Group is a recognized leader in the design and production of equipment and systems used in processing applications, and Batesville® is a recognized leader in the North American funeral products industry. Hillenbrand, the Company, we, us, our, and similar words refer to Hillenbrand and its subsidiaries.

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Hillenbrand and its subsidiaries, including Coperion Capital GmbH (Coperion), which was acquired on December 1, 2012. The acquisition of Coperion resulted in Hillenbrand holding less than 100% ownership in certain Coperion subsidiaries. These unaudited financial statements have been prepared pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) for interim financial statements and therefore do not include all information required in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (GAAP). The unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared on the same basis as the audited consolidated financial statements as of and for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012. Certain prior period balances have been reclassified to conform to the current presentation. In the opinion of management, these financial statements reflect all normal and recurring adjustments considered necessary to present a fair statement of the Company's consolidated financial position and the consolidated results of operations and cash flow as of the dates and for the periods presented.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of certain assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities as of the dates presented. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Examples of such estimates include, but are not limited to, revenue recognition under the percentage-of-completion method, the establishment of reserves related to customer rebates, doubtful accounts, warranties, early-pay discounts, inventories, income taxes, litigation, self-insurance, and progress toward achievement of performance criteria under the incentive compensation programs.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

The significant accounting policies used in preparing these financial statements are consistent with the accounting policies described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012. The following represents additions to our accounting policies due to the acquisition of Coperion.

Revenue Recognition

With the acquisition of Coperion, a portion of the Company's revenue is derived from long-term manufacturing contracts. This revenue is recognized based on the percentage-of-completion method. Under this method, revenue is recognized based upon the costs incurred to date as compared to the total estimated cost of the project and are included in net revenues on the consolidated income statement. Revenues in excess of billings are presented as unbilled receivables from long-term manufacturing contracts, and deposits in excess of billings are presented as liabilities from long-term manufacturing contracts on the consolidated balance sheet. Approximately 20% of the Company's revenue related to revenue from these long-term manufacturing contracts for the three and six months ended March 31, 2013. Revenue for components, replacement parts, and service is recognized on a completed contract basis when title and risk of loss passes to the customer.

Derivative Financial Instruments

We use derivative financial instruments to manage the economic impact of fluctuations in currency exchange rates. These include foreign currency exchange forward contracts, which generally have terms from 1 to 24 months. The aggregate notional amount of these derivative instruments was \$87.7 at March 31, 2013, and \$46.0 at September 30, 2012.

Table of Contents

We measure all derivative instruments at fair value and report them on our consolidated balance sheet as assets or liabilities. Derivative instruments designated as hedges for customer orders or intercompany purchases have an offsetting tax-adjusted amount in accumulated other comprehensive gain (loss) and derivative instruments designated to hedge foreign currency exposures within our balance sheet have an offsetting amount recorded in other income or expense. The carrying value of all of these contracts, at fair value, resulted in assets of \$0.7 and \$0.0, included in other current assets at March 31, 2013 and September 30, 2012, and liabilities of \$2.2 and \$0.4, included in other current liabilities at March 31, 2013, and September 30, 2012. See Note 13 for additional information on the fair value of our derivative instruments.

Changes in the fair value of derivatives are accounted for depending on the intended use of the derivative, designation of the hedging relationship, and whether or not the criteria to apply hedge accounting has been satisfied. Gains and losses on derivative instruments reported in accumulated other comprehensive gain (loss) are subsequently included in earnings in the periods in which earnings are affected by the hedged item. The amounts recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income and subsequently through earnings were not material for the three or six months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012. Net gains and losses on all derivative instruments were substantially offset by foreign exchange effects on the hedged items.

Recently Adopted and Issued Accounting Standards

In June 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued an accounting standards update titled *Presentation of Comprehensive Income*. This update eliminates the current option to report other comprehensive income and its components in the statement of changes in equity. An entity can elect to present items of net income and other comprehensive income in one continuous statement or in two separate consecutive statements. Each component of net income and other comprehensive income, together with totals for comprehensive income and its two parts, net income and other comprehensive income, must be displayed under either alternative. The new disclosure requirements became effective and were adopted as of October 1, 2012. As the new standard relates to presentation only, the adoption of this standard did not have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In January 2013, the FASB issued an accounting standards update titled *Balance Sheet: Clarifying the Scope of Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities*. This standard limits the scope of accounting standards update titled *Balance Sheet*, issued in December 2011, to derivatives, repurchase agreements and securities lending transactions to the extent that they are (1) offset in the financial statements or (2) subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement or similar agreement. The disclosure requirements shall be applied retrospectively for all periods presented and will be effective for our fiscal year beginning October 1, 2013. We do not expect the adoption of this standard to have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In February 2013, the FASB issued an accounting standards update titled *Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income*. This standard is intended to improve the reporting of reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income of various components. An entity is required to present significant amounts reclassified from each component of accumulated other comprehensive income and the income statement line items affected by the reclassification. The new disclosure requirements will be effective for our fiscal year beginning October 1, 2013. As the new standard relates to disclosure only, we do not expect the adoption of this standard to have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In March 2013, the FASB issued an accounting standard updated titled *Foreign Currency Matters - Parent's Accounting for the Cumulative Translation Adjustment upon Derecognition of Certain Subsidiaries or Groups of Assets within a Foreign Entity or of an Investment in a Foreign Entity*. This update specifies that a cumulative translation adjustment should be released into earnings when an entity ceases to have a controlling financial interest in a subsidiary or group of assets within a consolidated foreign entity and the sale or transfer results in the complete

Edgar Filing: Hillenbrand, Inc. - Form 10-Q

or substantially complete liquidation of the foreign entity. The guidance will be effective for our fiscal year beginning October 1, 2014. We do not expect the adoption of this standard to have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

3. Business Acquisitions

We completed the acquisition of Coperion on December 1, 2012, in a transaction valued at \$540.7. The aggregate purchase consideration consisted of \$269.1 of cash, net of cash acquired, and the assumption of \$146.0 of debt and \$125.6 of pension liabilities. We utilized \$426.3 of borrowings under our revolving credit facility and cash on hand to finance the acquisition, including the repayment of the \$146.0 of debt outstanding under Coperion's prior financing arrangements.

Based in Stuttgart, Germany, Coperion is a global leader in the manufacture of compounding, extrusion, and bulk material handling equipment used in a broad range of industries, including plastics, chemicals, food processing, pharmaceutical, and aluminum. Coperion has been in business since 1879, and has nine manufacturing sites in Germany, the United States (U.S.), China, and India, and sales offices in approximately 30 locations in the Americas, Europe, and Asia. Coperion had approximately 2,000 employees worldwide as of March 31, 2013. Approximately 30% of Coperion's revenue is derived from replacement parts and service, generating a large portion of recurring business due to its well-positioned service network and active installed base of equipment across the world.

Coperion revenues consist of large system sales, equipment, components, replacement parts, and service. Large system sales are fulfilled over 12 to 18 months on average, whereby customers generally pay a deposit and make progress payments before and during the manufacture of the order, reducing or eliminating extensive working capital investments otherwise necessary to finance the manufacturing process. System sales include many components, including those manufactured by Coperion as well as materials manufactured by third parties. The Coperion business model includes a higher proportion of third-party-sourced products compared to the rest of the Process Equipment Group. As a result, we expect gross profit margins in the Process Equipment Group to be lower on certain systems projects. Hillenbrand believes that selling complete systems provides a significant competitive advantage and increases margin dollars.

This acquisition is the largest in the Company's history and represents an important step in our strategic plans to further diversify Hillenbrand and accelerate the growth of the Process Equipment Group business platform. The integration of Coperion with the Process Equipment Group will be a key initiative for the next 18 to 24 months. Combining our product offerings to provide a more complete system solution is our highest priority from an integration perspective. In addition, we believe leveraging Coperion's global infrastructure will enable the existing businesses within the Process Equipment Group platform to enter new global markets more quickly. Additionally, we expect the Process Equipment Group's existing strong U.S. sales network will enhance Coperion's expansion in North America. Finally, the application of the Company's lean tools and principles to Coperion's operations is expected to contribute to improved margins and increased customer satisfaction.

Edgar Filing: Hillenbrand, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes preliminary estimates of fair values of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed in the Coperion acquisition:

| | December 1, 2012 |
|---|-----------------------------|
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 32.8 |
| Inventory | 109.1 |
| Current assets, excluding cash and cash equivalents and inventory | 179.9 |
| Property, plant, and equipment | 54.4 |
| Identifiable intangible assets | 291.8 |
| Goodwill | 234.0 |
| Other assets | 2.1 |
| Total assets acquired | 904.1 |
| Current liabilities | 284.0 |
| Accrued pension obligations | 125.6 |
| Deferred income taxes | 33.4 |
| Other long-term liabilities | 6.7 |
| Total liabilities assumed | 449.7 |
| Noncontrolling interest assumed | 6.5 |
| Aggregate purchase price | \$ 447.9 |

The estimation of fair value of Coperion's assets and liabilities is preliminary and subject to adjustment based on finalization of the closing balance sheet, including deferred tax balances.

During the second quarter, we revised the presentation of certain customer advances classified as trade receivables, net to long-term manufacturing contracts and advances, resulting in a change in classification of \$15.7.

Goodwill is not deductible for tax purposes and was allocated entirely to our Process Equipment Group. Excluding the acquisition of Coperion, the change in goodwill during the six months ended March 31, 2013, was due to the change in foreign currency.

Fair value amounts assigned to identifiable definite-lived intangible assets are being amortized on a straight-line basis over their estimated useful lives. The amounts assigned at the time of acquisition and their useful lives were:

| | Fair Values | Estimated Useful Lives (years) |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------|---|
| Trade names | \$ 55.6 | Indefinite |
| Customer relationships | 157.7 | 20 |
| Technology, including patents | 44.2 | 12 |
| Backlog | 34.3 | <1 |
| Total identifiable intangible assets | \$ 291.8 | |

Table of Contents

The unaudited pro forma information for the periods set forth below gives effect to the Coperion acquisition as if it had occurred at the beginning of the earliest period presented. It includes adjustments for additional interest expense, depreciation, and amortization. The unaudited pro forma information for the three and six months ended March 31, 2012, includes acquisition costs of \$2.5 and \$10.7 as well as backlog amortization and inventory step-up costs of \$21.3 and \$42.2. Acquisition costs, backlog amortization, and inventory step-up costs are not included in the pro forma information for the three and six months ended March 31, 2013. The unaudited pro forma information is presented for informational purposes only and does not necessarily reflect the results of operations that would actually have been achieved had the acquisition been consummated as of that time.

| | Three Months Ended | | | Six Months Ended | | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------|----------|----|------------------|----------|----|
| | March 31, | | | March 31, | | |
| | 2013 | 2012 | | 2013 | 2012 | |
| Pro forma net revenue | \$ 398.5 | \$ 425.3 | \$ | 818.9 | \$ 859.9 | \$ |
| Pro forma net income(1) | 29.7 | 18.3 | | 58.0 | 32.3 | |
| Pro forma basic earnings per share | \$ 0.48 | \$ 0.29 | \$ | 0.93 | \$ 0.52 | \$ |
| Pro forma diluted earnings per share | \$ 0.47 | 0.29 | \$ | 0.93 | 0.52 | \$ |

(1) Pro forma net income attributable to Hillenbrand

We incurred \$2.5 and \$10.7 of net business acquisition costs associated with the acquisition during the three and six months ended March 31, 2013. These costs consist of \$1.9 and \$10.9 of operating expenses and \$0.6 and \$0.6 of interest expense for the three and six months ended March 31, 2013, partially offset by \$0.8 of other income during the first six months.

Coperion's results are included in our Process Equipment Group results. The acquisition of Coperion resulted in Hillenbrand holding less than 100% ownership in certain Coperion subsidiaries. The portion of the business that is not owned by the Company is presented as noncontrolling interests within equity in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Income attributable to the noncontrolling interests was \$0.5 and \$0.8 for the three and six months ended March 31, 2013, is separately reported within the Consolidated Statements of Income, and is also excluded from total Hillenbrand Shareholder's Equity.

4. Supplemental Balance Sheet Information

| | March 31, 2013 | September 30, 2012 |
|--|-------------------|-----------------------|
| Trade accounts receivable reserves | \$ 18.9 | \$ 16.5 |
| Accumulated depreciation on property, plant, and equipment | \$ 262.4 | \$ 263.9 |
| Accumulated amortization on intangible assets | \$ 97.3 | \$ 69.4 |
| Inventories: | | |
| Raw materials and components | \$ 59.8 | \$ 39.1 |
| Work in process | 82.1 | 13.9 |

Edgar Filing: Hillenbrand, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|-------------------|----|-------|----|------|
| Finished goods | | 49.4 | | 37.0 |
| Total inventories | \$ | 191.3 | \$ | 90.0 |

Table of Contents5. Financing Agreements

| | March 31, 2013 | September 30, 2012 |
|--|-------------------|-----------------------|
| \$700 revolving credit facility (excludes outstanding letters of credit) | \$ 375.9 | \$ 123.0 |
| \$200 term loan | 195.0 | |
| \$150 senior unsecured notes, due July 15, 2020, net of discount | 148.7 | 148.6 |
| Total debt | 719.6 | 271.6 |
| Less: current portion of term loan | 10.0 | |
| Total long-term debt | \$ 709.6 | \$ 271.6 |

In November 2012, we fully exercised the \$300 accordion feature under our revolving credit facility to increase our financing capacity. This increase consisted of a \$200 term loan and a \$100 increase in our borrowing capacity under our revolving credit facility, to \$700. The Company also has the potential, under certain circumstances and with the lenders' approval, to increase the total borrowing capacity under the revolving credit facility by an additional \$300. Deferred financing costs of \$3.8 are being amortized to interest expense over the term of the revolving credit facility.

As of March 31, 2013, we (i) had \$151.6 in outstanding letters of credit issued under our \$700 revolving credit facility, (ii) were in compliance with all covenants set forth in the credit agreement for the revolving credit facility, and (iii) had \$172.5 of remaining borrowing capacity available under the revolving credit facility. The weighted-average interest rates on borrowings under the revolving credit facility were 1.39% and 1.38% for the three- and six-month periods ended March 31, 2013, and 0.71% for the three- and six-month periods ended March 31, 2012. The weighted average interest rates on the term loan were 1.71% and 1.74% for the three- and six-month periods ended March 31, 2013. In the normal course of business, the Process Equipment Group is required to provide customers bank guarantees in support of performance, warranty, advance payment, and other contract obligations. This form of trade finance is customary in the industry and, as a result, we are required to maintain adequate capacity to provide the guarantee.

As of March 31, 2013, our Swiss subsidiary maintained additional availability of \$15.3 through local credit facilities secured by cash or real property. There were no borrowings under these facilities as of March 31, 2013, and availability was reduced by \$3.6 for outstanding bank guarantees. Coperion has a \$64.1 guaranty facility under which availability was reduced for outstanding bank guarantees totaling \$46.8 as of March 31, 2013. We had \$17.3 additional outstanding letters of credit and bank guarantees with other financial institutions and restricted cash of \$1.3 at March 31, 2013.

On July 9, 2010, we issued \$150 fixed-rate senior unsecured notes due July 15, 2020 (the Notes). The Notes bear interest at a fixed rate of 5.5%, payable semi-annually in arrears. The Notes were issued at an original issue discount of \$1.6, which is being amortized to interest expense over the term of the Notes using the effective interest rate method, resulting in an annual interest rate of 5.65%. Deferred financing costs of \$2.1 are being amortized to interest expense over the term of the Notes.

6. Retirement Benefits

Edgar Filing: Hillenbrand, Inc. - Form 10-Q

In connection with the Coperion acquisition, we acquired the Coperion defined benefit pension plans based in Germany and the U.S., which were recorded at fair value on the acquisition date. The aggregate fair value of the total projected benefit obligations acquired was \$141.6 and the plan assets at fair value totaled \$16.0, resulting in an assumed liability of \$125.6 at December 1, 2012. We estimate we will be required to make minimum contributions of \$7.7 during the remainder of fiscal year 2013 related to these Coperion defined benefit pension plans, although we may make additional discretionary contributions.

Table of Contents*Defined Benefit Plans*

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | | | | Six Months Ended March 31, | | | |
|--|---------------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------------------------------|-------|------|-------|
| | 2013 | | 2012 | | 2013 | | 2012 | |
| Service costs | \$ | 1.6 | \$ | 1.5 | \$ | 3.2 | \$ | 3.0 |
| Interest costs | | 4.4 | | 3.1 | | 7.9 | | 6.3 |
| Expected return on plan assets | | (3.5) | | (3.3) | | (6.9) | | (6.7) |
| Amortization of unrecognized prior service costs, net | | 0.2 | | 0.2 | | 0.4 | | 0.4 |
| Amortization of net loss | | 1.8 | | 1.5 | | 3.6 | | 2.9 |
| Net pension costs | \$ | 4.5 | \$ | 3.0 | \$ | 8.2 | \$ | 5.9 |

Postretirement Healthcare Plans Net postretirement healthcare costs were \$0.2 and \$0.2 for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, and \$0.3 and \$0.4 for the six months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012.

Defined Contribution Plans Expenses related to our defined contribution plans were \$2.1 and \$2.1 for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, and \$4.1 and \$3.9 for the six months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012.

7. Income Taxes

The effective tax rates for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 were 28.6% and 32.7%. The improvement in the effective tax rate was primarily the result of the Coperion acquisition, because Coperion produces a larger percentage of income from foreign sources in lower tax rate jurisdictions. The effective tax rates for the six months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 were 28.7% and 17.0%. The change in the effective tax rates between these six-month periods was largely due to the \$10.4 reduction of income tax expense in the first quarter of fiscal year 2012, attributable to the permanent reinvestment assertion on historical earnings of certain Swiss operations.

8. Earnings Per Share

At March 31, 2013 and 2012, potential dilutive effects of 2.0 million and 1.7 million shares relating to unvested time-based restricted stock units and stock options were excluded from the computation of earnings per share as their effects were anti-dilutive. At March 31, 2013 and 2012, potential dilutive effects of 2.0 million and 1.8 million shares relating to unvested performance-based stock awards were excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share as the related performance period is not yet complete. The effects of these performance-based shares will be dilutive in the future to the extent various levels of performance criteria are met.

| Three Months Ended March 31, | | | | Six Months Ended March 31, | | | |
|---------------------------------|--|------|--|-------------------------------|--|------|--|
| 2013 | | 2012 | | 2013 | | 2012 | |

Edgar Filing: Hillenbrand, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|------|----|------|----|------|----|------|
| Net income(1) | \$ | 12.7 | \$ | 27.4 | \$ | 27.0 | \$ | 58.7 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding basic (millions) | | 62.7 | | 62.2 | | 62.6 | | 62.1 |
| Effect of dilutive stock options and unvested time-based restricted stock awards (millions) | | 0.4 | | 0.4 | | 0.3 | | 0.3 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding diluted (millions) | | 63.1 | | 62.6 | | 62.9 | | 62.4 |
| Earnings per share basic | \$ | 0.20 | \$ | 0.44 | \$ | 0.43 | \$ | 0.95 |
| Earnings per share diluted | \$ | 0.20 | \$ | 0.44 | \$ | 0.43 | \$ | 0.94 |

(1) Net income attributable to Hillenbrand

Table of Contents9. Shareholders' Equity

During the six months ended March 31, 2013, we paid \$24.3 of cash dividends. The decline in treasury stock is primarily the result of the distribution of vested awards during the first quarter of fiscal year 2013.

10. Share-Based Compensation

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | | | Six Months Ended March 31, | | |
|--|---------------------------------|--------|----|-------------------------------|--------|----|
| | 2013 | 2012 | | 2013 | 2012 | |
| Share-based compensation costs | \$ 1.5 | \$ 1.6 | \$ | \$ 6.0 | \$ 6.9 | \$ |
| Less income tax benefit | 0.6 | 0.5 | | 2.2 | 2.5 | |
| Share-based compensation costs, net of tax | \$ 0.9 | \$ 1.1 | \$ | \$ 3.8 | \$ 4.4 | \$ |

During the six months ended March 31, 2013, we made the following grants:

| | Number of Units |
|---|--------------------|
| Stock options | 497,818 |
| Time-based stock awards | 80,762 |
| Performance-based stock awards (maximum that can be earned) | 765,564 |

Stock options granted had a weighted-average exercise price of \$20.68 and a weighted-average grant date fair value of \$4.89. Our time-based stock awards and performance-based stock awards had a weighted-average grant date fair value of \$22.68 and \$20.68.

11. Other Income and Expense, Net

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | | | Six Months Ended March 31, | | |
|---|---------------------------------|----------|----|-------------------------------|----------|----|
| | 2013 | 2012 | | 2013 | 2012 | |
| Equity in net income (loss) of affiliates | \$ (0.4) | \$ 0.5 | \$ | \$ (0.6) | \$ 0.4 | \$ |
| Foreign currency exchange gain (loss) | 0.3 | (0.2) | | 1.1 | (0.2) | |
| Business acquisition costs, net | | | | 0.8 | | |
| Other, net | (0.2) | (0.6) | | (0.7) | (1.0) | |
| Other income and expense, net | \$ (0.3) | \$ (0.3) | \$ | \$ 0.6 | \$ (0.8) | \$ |

Edgar Filing: Hillenbrand, Inc. - Form 10-Q

The acquisition of Coperion was transacted in euros during our first fiscal quarter of 2013. Business acquisition costs within other income and expense represent the foreign exchange gain recognized on euro-denominated cash required to fund the acquisition, offset by the costs of derivative contracts that hedged currency exposure on the funds required to close the transaction.

Table of Contents12. Commitments and Contingencies

Lease Commitments We lease certain manufacturing facilities, warehouse distribution centers, service centers, and sales offices under operating leases. The aggregate future minimum lease payments for operating leases, including those lease obligations assumed through our Coperion acquisition, as of March 31, 2013, were as follows:

| | Amount | |
|-----------------------------|---------------|-------|
| 2013 (remaining six months) | \$ | 12.4 |
| 2014 | | 13.3 |
| 2015 | | 11.5 |
| 2016 | | 10.8 |
| 2017 | | 10.2 |
| Thereafter | | 53.5 |
| | \$ | 111.7 |

Litigation*General*

Like most companies, we are involved on an ongoing basis in claims, lawsuits, and government proceedings relating to our operations, including environmental, antitrust, patent infringement, business practices, commercial transactions, product and general liability, workers' compensation, auto liability, employment, and other matters. The ultimate outcome of these matters cannot be predicted with certainty. An estimated loss from these contingencies is recognized when we believe it is probable that a loss has been incurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated; however, it is difficult to measure the actual loss that might be incurred related to litigation. If a loss is not considered probable and/or cannot be reasonably estimated, we are required to make a disclosure if there is at least a reasonable possibility that a material loss may have been incurred. Legal fees associated with claims and lawsuits are generally expensed as incurred.

Claims other than employment and related matters have deductibles and self-insured retentions ranging from \$0.5 to \$1.0 per occurrence or per claim, depending upon the type of coverage and policy period. Outside insurance companies and third-party claims administrators assist in establishing individual claim reserves, and an independent outside actuary provides estimates of ultimate projected losses, including incurred but not reported claims, which are used to establish reserves for losses. Claim reserves for employment-related matters are established based upon advice from internal and external counsel and historical settlement information for claims and related fees, when such amounts are considered probable of payment.

The recorded amounts represent our best estimate of the costs we will incur in relation to such exposures, but it is possible that actual costs will differ from those estimates.

Matthews Litigation

In August 2010, the York Group, Inc., Milso Industries Corporation, and Matthews International Corporation (collectively Matthews) filed a lawsuit against Scott Pontone and Batesville Casket Company, Inc. in the United States District Court, Western District of Pennsylvania, which was subsequently amended by Matthews in February 2011 to include two additional defendants, Harry Pontone and Pontone Casket Company, LLC (the Matthews Litigation). The Matthews Litigation arises, in part, as a result of a Marketing Consulting Agreement entered into between Batesville and Pontone Casket Company effective June 24, 2010, and Batesville s hiring of two former employees of certain Matthews entities in June 2010. Scott Pontone provides consulting services to Batesville pursuant to the Marketing Consulting Agreement entered into between Batesville and Pontone Casket Company. Matthews alleges that Scott Pontone and Harry Pontone breached contractual and business obligations with Matthews and that Batesville induced certain of those breaches as part of its sales initiatives in the New York metropolitan area.

Matthews claims that it has lost revenue and will lose future revenue in the New York metropolitan area, although the amount of those alleged damages is unspecified. Matthews seeks to: (i) recover compensatory damages, punitive damages, attorneys fees and costs; and (ii) enjoin certain activities by Harry Pontone, Scott Pontone,

Table of Contents

Pontone Casket Company, and Batesville and its employees in the New York metropolitan area. Although Matthews originally moved for a preliminary injunction, that request was withdrawn. Discovery has closed. Batesville has moved for summary judgment on Matthews' claims. No trial date has been set.

The Company believes Batesville acted lawfully and intends to defend this matter vigorously. The Company does not believe, based on currently available information, that the outcome of this lawsuit will have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and liquidity. If Matthews prevails at trial, however, the outcome could be materially adverse to the Company's operating results or cash flows for the particular period, depending, in part, upon the operating results or cash flows for such period.

Horstmann Litigation

On March 18, 2013, a joint and several judgment was entered by the Higher Regional Court (OLG) Hamm, Germany, in favor of plaintiff, Jürgen Horstmann, and against defendants, Atlas-Vermögensverwaltungs GmbH, ThyssenKrupp Technologies Beteiligungen (ThyssenKrupp), and Hillenbrand subsidiary, Coperion, in the amount of 10.3, plus interest, for a total estimated judgment of 18.5 to 19.6 (the Horstmann Litigation). In the Horstmann Litigation, the plaintiff alleged numerous claims relating to its purchase from ThyssenKrupp of a former ThyssenKrupp business in 1996. This judgment reversed a ruling on September 1, 2010, by the Court of First Instance that previously dismissed these claims.

Pursuant to a Framework Agreement entered into in 2000 between ThyssenKrupp and Admini Zweiundsiebzig (Admini) (predecessor to Coperion), ThyssenKrupp agreed to indemnify Coperion for all liability associated with the Horstmann Litigation. Additionally, pursuant to the Share Purchase Agreement by which the Company acquired Coperion, sellers indemnify Hillenbrand in the event ThyssenKrupp does not fulfill its indemnification obligations, subject to the terms and conditions of such share such Share Purchase Agreement.

Defendants in the Horstmann Litigation are currently analyzing whether or not the March 18 judgment can be appealed. Hillenbrand believes it is fully indemnified with respect to the Horstmann Litigation and does not believe that the outcome of this lawsuit will have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and liquidity. Hillenbrand's balance sheet at March 31, 2013, includes a long-term liability and a corresponding indemnification receivable, recorded in other assets, for \$8.0.

13. Fair Value Measurements

Fair value is defined as the exit price, or the amount that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants as of the measurement date. The authoritative guidance establishes a hierarchy for inputs used in measuring fair value that maximizes the use of observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs by requiring that the most observable inputs be used when available. Observable inputs are from sources independent of the Company. Unobservable inputs reflect the Company's assumptions about the factors market participants would use in valuing the asset or liability developed based upon the best information available in the circumstances. The categorization of financial assets and liabilities within the valuation hierarchy is based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. The hierarchy is broken down into three levels:

Edgar Filing: Hillenbrand, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Level 1: Inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2: Inputs include quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, and inputs (other than quoted prices) that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly.

Level 3: Inputs are unobservable for the asset or liability.

| | Carrying Value at March 31, 2013 | Fair Value at March 31, 2013 Using Inputs Considered as: | | |
|------------------------------|---|---|---------|---------|
| | | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| Assets: | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 40.7 | \$ 40.7 | \$ | \$ |
| Equity investments | 1.0 | | | 1.0 |
| Investments in rabbi trust | 5.3 | 5.3 | | |
| Derivative instruments | 0.7 | | 0.7 | |
| Liabilities: | | | | |
| \$150 senior unsecured notes | 148.7 | 164.5 | | |
| Revolving credit facility | 375.9 | | 375.9 | |
| Term loan | 195.0 | | 195.0 | |
| Derivative instruments | 2.2 | | 2.2 | |

Table of Contents

The fair values of the revolving credit facility and term loan approximated book value at March 31, 2013. The fair values of the revolving credit facility and term loan are estimated based on internally developed models, using current market interest rate data for similar issues, as there is no active market for our revolving credit facility and term loan, which are Level 2 valuation techniques.

We estimate the fair value of our foreign currency derivatives using industry accepted models. The significant Level 2 inputs used in the valuation of our derivatives include spot rates, forward rates, and volatility. These inputs are obtained from pricing services, broker quotes, and other sources.

Table of Contents14. Segment and Geographical Information

The acquisition of Coperion on December 1, 2012, resulted in the addition of Coperion to the Process Equipment Group segment.

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | | Six Months Ended March 31, | |
|-------------------------|------------------------------|----------|----------------------------|----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Net revenue | | | | |
| Process Equipment Group | \$ 227.4 | \$ 96.2 | \$ 381.1 | \$ 181.9 |
| Batesville | 171.1 | 163.5 | 322.6 | 309.4 |
| Total | \$ 398.5 | \$ 259.7 | \$ 703.7 | \$ 491.3 |
| Adjusted EBITDA | | | | |
| Process Equipment Group | \$ 24.2 | \$ 21.2 | \$ 45.2 | \$ 37.4 |
| Batesville | 48.4 | 44.4 | 86.9 | 83.4 |
| Corporate | (8.5) | (7.4) | (16.5) | (14.6) |
| Net revenue (1) | | | | |
| United States | \$ 234.7 | \$ 213.0 | \$ 440.2 | \$ 407.4 |
| International | 163.8 | 46.7 | 263.5 | 83.9 |
| Total | \$ 398.5 | \$ 259.7 | \$ 703.7 | \$ 491.3 |

(1) We attribute revenue to a geography based upon the location of the business unit that consummates the external sale.

| | March 31, | September 30, |
|-----------------------------------|------------|---------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Total assets | | |
| Process Equipment Group | \$ 1,654.6 | \$ 769.7 |
| Batesville | 245.6 | 236.2 |
| Corporate | 63.9 | 81.6 |
| Total | \$ 1,964.1 | \$ 1,087.5 |
| Tangible long-lived assets | | |
| United States | \$ 103.7 | \$ 100.4 |
| International | 64.6 | 17.5 |
| Total | \$ 168.3 | \$ 117.9 |

Table of Contents

The following schedule reconciles segment adjusted EBITDA to consolidated net income.

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------|---------|------------------|---------|
| | March 31, | | March 31, | |
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Adjusted EBITDA: | | | | |
| Process Equipment Group | \$ 24.2 | \$ 21.2 | \$ 45.2 | \$ 37.4 |
| Batesville | 48.4 | 44.4 | 86.9 | 83.4 |
| Corporate | (8.5) | (7.4) | (16.5) | (14.6) |
| Interest income | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Interest expense | (6.8) | (2.8) | (11.3) | (5.7) |
| Income tax expense | (5.3) | (13.3) | (11.2) | (12.0) |
| Depreciation and amortization | (27.8) | (9.5) | (42.9) | (21.7) |
| Business acquisition costs | (1.8) | (0.5) | (10.0) | (1.0) |
| Inventory step-up | (8.1) | | (10.7) | |
| Restructuring | (1.3) | (4.7) | (1.9) | (4.7) |
| Antitrust litigation | | (0.1) | (0.1) | (0.5) |
| Long-term incentive compensation related to the international integration | | | | (2.2) |
| Consolidated net income | \$ 13.2 | \$ 27.4 | \$ 27.8 | \$ 58.7 |

15. Condensed Consolidating Information

On January 9, 2013, the Company's subsidiary, Coperion Corporation, a Delaware corporation, was joined as a party to the Guaranty dated July 27, 2012 ("Guaranty"), by certain subsidiaries of the Company (including Coperion Corporation, the "Guarantors"), which was entered into in connection with the Company's revolving credit facility. In accordance with the terms of the revolving credit facility, Coperion Corporation was required to join the Guaranty as a material domestic subsidiary of the Company following the acquisition of Coperion Capital GmbH.

On January 10, 2013, the Company, the Guarantors, and U.S. Bank National Association ("Trustee") entered into a supplemental indenture pursuant to which the Guarantors agreed to guarantee the obligations of the Company under its 5.50% Notes due 2020 issued pursuant to an Indenture entered into on July 9, 2010 between the Company and the Trustee.

As such, certain 100% owned subsidiaries of Hillenbrand fully and unconditionally, jointly and severally, guarantee all of the indebtedness relating to our obligations under our 5.50% Notes due 2020. The following are the condensed consolidating financial statements, including the guarantors, which present the statements of income, balance sheets, and cash flows of (i) the parent holding company, (ii) the guarantor subsidiaries, (iii) the non-guarantor subsidiaries, and (iv) eliminations necessary to arrive at the information for Hillenbrand on a consolidated basis.

Table of Contents

Condensed Consolidating Statements of Income

| | Three months ended March 31, 2013 | | | | | Three months ended March 31, 2012 | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------------------------|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|
| | Parent | Guarantors | Non-Guarantors | Eliminations | Consolidated | Parent | Guarantors | Non-Guarantors | Eliminations | Consolidated |
| Net revenue | \$ | \$ 227.6 | \$ 216.6 | \$ (45.7) | \$ 398.5 | \$ | \$ 211.4 | \$ 89.8 | \$ (41.5) | \$ 259.7 |
| Cost of goods sold | | 114.7 | 163.6 | (16.4) | 261.9 | | 104.4 | 65.9 | (14.9) | 155.4 |
| Gross profit | | 112.9 | 53.0 | (29.3) | 136.6 | | 107.0 | 23.9 | (26.6) | 104.3 |
| Operating expenses | 9.7 | 67.9 | 62.7 | (29.3) | 111.0 | 8.1 | 60.5 | 18.5 | (26.6) | 60.5 |
| Operating profit | (9.7) | 45.0 | (9.7) | | 25.6 | (8.1) | 46.5 | 5.4 | | 43.8 |
| Interest expense | 5.5 | 0.1 | 1.2 | | 6.8 | 2.8 | | | | 2.8 |
| Other income (expense), net | | (0.8) | 0.5 | | (0.3) | | | (0.3) | | (0.3) |
| Equity in net income (loss) of subsidiaries | 22.0 | 2.7 | | (24.7) | | 34.1 | 0.8 | | (34.9) | |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | 6.8 | 46.8 | (10.4) | (24.7) | 18.5 | 23.2 | 47.3 | 5.1 | (34.9) | 40.7 |
| Income tax expense (benefit) | (5.9) | 16.4 | (5.2) | | 5.3 | (4.2) | 17.1 | 0.4 | | 13.3 |
| Consolidated net income | 12.7 | 30.4 | (5.2) | (24.7) | 13.2 | 27.4 | 30.2 | 4.7 | (34.9) | 27.4 |
| Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests | | | 0.5 | | 0.5 | | | | | |
| Net income (loss)(1) | \$ 12.7 | \$ 30.4 | \$ (5.7) | \$ (24.7) | \$ 12.7 | \$ 27.4 | \$ 30.2 | \$ 4.7 | \$ (34.9) | \$ 27.4 |
| Consolidated Comprehensive income (loss) | \$ (7.2) | \$ 31.7 | \$ (26.3) | \$ (4.9) | \$ (6.7) | \$ 36.3 | \$ 31.5 | \$ 12.3 | \$ (43.8) | \$ 36.3 |
| Less: Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests | | | 0.5 | | 0.5 | | | | | |
| Comprehensive income (loss)(2) | \$ (7.2) | \$ 31.7 | \$ (26.8) | \$ (4.9) | \$ (7.2) | \$ 36.3 | \$ 31.5 | \$ 12.3 | \$ (43.8) | \$ 36.3 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Six months ended March 31, 2013 | | | | | Six months ended March 31, 2012 | | | | |
| | Parent | Guarantors | Non-Guarantors | Eliminations | Consolidated | Parent | Guarantors | Non-Guarantors | Eliminations | Consolidated |
| Net revenue | \$ | \$ 426.3 | \$ 364.8 | \$ (87.4) | \$ 703.7 | \$ | \$ 398.9 | \$ 172.3 | \$ (79.9) | \$ 491.3 |
| Cost of goods sold | | 213.2 | 274.4 | (31.1) | 456.5 | | 193.2 | 128.2 | (28.1) | 293.3 |
| Gross profit | | 213.1 | 90.4 | (56.3) | 247.2 | | 205.7 | 44.1 | (51.8) | 198.0 |
| Operating expenses | 27.8 | 128.7 | 97.3 | (56.3) | 197.5 | 16.9 | 122.3 | 33.4 | (51.8) | 120.8 |
| Operating profit | (27.8) | 84.4 | (6.9) | | 49.7 | (16.9) | 83.4 | 10.7 | | 77.2 |
| Interest expense | 9.6 | 0.1 | 1.6 | | 11.3 | 5.7 | | | | 5.7 |
| Other income (expense), net | 1.5 | (1.7) | 0.8 | | 0.6 | | (0.4) | (0.4) | | (0.8) |
| Equity in net income (loss) of subsidiaries | 47.1 | 4.2 | | (51.3) | | 61.1 | 1.5 | | (62.6) | |
| Income before income taxes | 11.2 | 86.8 | (7.7) | (51.3) | 39.0 | 38.5 | 84.5 | 10.3 | (62.6) | 70.7 |
| Income tax expense (benefit) | (15.8) | 30.8 | (3.8) | | 11.2 | (20.2) | 30.7 | 1.5 | | 12.0 |
| | 27.0 | 56.0 | (3.9) | (51.3) | 27.8 | 58.7 | 53.8 | 8.8 | (62.6) | 58.7 |

Edgar Filing: Hillenbrand, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|------|----|------|----|--------|----|--------|----|------|----|------|
| Consolidated net income | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 0.8 | | 0.8 | | | | | | |
| Net income (loss)(1) | \$ | 27.0 | \$ | 56.0 | \$ | (4.7) | \$ | (51.3) | \$ | 27.0 | \$ | 58.7 |
| Consolidated Comprehensive income (loss) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | \$ | 18.4 | \$ | 57.3 | \$ | (11.1) | \$ | (45.4) | \$ | 19.2 | \$ | 59.9 |
| Less: Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 0.8 | | 0.8 | | | | | | |
| Comprehensive income (loss)(2) | \$ | 18.4 | \$ | 57.3 | \$ | (11.9) | \$ | (45.4) | \$ | 18.4 | \$ | 59.9 |

(1) Net income attributable to Hillenbrand

(2) Comprehensive income attributable to Hillenbrand

Table of Contents**Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheets**

| | As of March 31, 2013 | | | | | As of September 30, 2012 | | | | |
|---|----------------------|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------------------|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|
| | Parent | Guarantors | Non-Guarantors | Eliminations | Consolidated | Parent | Guarantors | Non-Guarantors | Eliminations | Consolidated |
| Cash and equivalents | \$ 0.8 | \$ 8.8 | \$ 31.1 | \$ | \$ 40.7 | \$ 3.9 | \$ 6.3 | \$ 10.0 | \$ | \$ 20.2 |
| Trade receivables, net | | 101.4 | 87.1 | | 188.5 | | 110.4 | 40.3 | | 150.7 |
| Unbilled receivables from long-term manufacturing contracts | | 1.7 | 126.7 | | 128.4 | | | | | |
| Inventories | | 77.7 | 116.2 | (2.6) | 191.3 | | 62.5 | 29.9 | (2.4) | 90.0 |
| Deferred income taxes | 6.3 | 30.6 | 3.3 | (6.9) | 33.3 | | 26.5 | | (6.9) | 19.6 |
| Intercompany receivables | 215.0 | 861.9 | 173.4 | (1,250.3) | | 211.6 | 819.0 | 52.5 | (1,083.1) | |
| Other current assets | 1.8 | 7.6 | 45.4 | | 54.8 | 7.5 | 3.8 | 15.2 | (1.7) | 24.8 |
| Total current assets | 223.9 | 1,089.7 | 583.2 | (1,259.8) | 637.0 | 223.0 | 1,028.5 | 147.9 | (1,094.1) | 305.3 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | 7.0 | 70.9 | 90.4 | | 168.3 | 7.1 | 66.0 | 44.8 | | 117.9 |
| Intangible assets, net | 2.6 | 203.8 | 367.3 | | 573.7 | 1.6 | 185.5 | 126.8 | | 313.9 |
| Goodwill | | 211.1 | 321.1 | | 532.2 | | 176.0 | 127.7 | | 303.7 |
| Investment in consolidated subsidiaries | 1,815.1 | 688.2 | | (2,503.3) | | 1,399.0 | 367.9 | | (1,766.9) | |
| Other assets | 11.8 | 27.7 | 13.4 | | 52.9 | 9.8 | 77.4 | 0.9 | (41.4) | 46.7 |
| Total Assets | \$ 2,060.4 | \$ 2,291.4 | \$ 1,375.4 | \$ (3,763.1) | \$ 1,964.1 | \$ 1,640.5 | \$ 1,901.3 | \$ 448.1 | \$ (2,902.4) | \$ 1,087.5 |
| Trade accounts payable | \$ 0.2 | \$ 24.3 | \$ 150.8 | \$ | \$ 175.3 | \$ 0.7 | \$ 18.2 | \$ 16.4 | \$ | \$ 35.3 |
| Liabilities from long-term manufacturing contracts and advances | | 19.7 | 58.3 | | 78.0 | | 9.6 | 6.3 | | 15.9 |
| Current portion of long-term debt | 10.0 | | | | 10.0 | | | | | |
| Accrued compensation | 2.0 | 17.1 | 5.8 | | 24.9 | | 22.2 | 8.8 | (1.7) | 29.3 |
| Deferred income taxes | | | 27.8 | (6.9) | 20.9 | | | 7.8 | (6.9) | 0.9 |
| Intercompany payable | 913.8 | 236.5 | 102.6 | (1,252.9) | | 853.5 | 222.0 | 10.0 | (1,085.5) | |
| Other current liabilities | 4.5 | 45.6 | 75.1 | | 125.2 | 8.4 | 49.9 | 12.1 | | 70.4 |
| Total current liabilities | 930.5 | 343.2 | 420.4 | (1,259.8) | 434.3 | 862.6 | 321.9 | 61.4 | (1,094.1) | 151.8 |
| Long-term debt | 623.6 | | 86.0 | | 709.6 | 271.6 | | | | 271.6 |
| Accrued pension and postretirement healthcare | | 128.3 | 106.6 | | 234.9 | | 111.8 | | | 111.8 |
| Deferred income taxes | 0.5 | 11.7 | 20.3 | | 32.5 | | 56.3 | 6.8 | (41.4) | 21.7 |
| Other long-term liabilities | 0.1 | 25.0 | 14.7 | | 39.8 | | 24.3 | | | 24.3 |
| Total Liabilities | 1,554.7 | 508.2 | 648.0 | (1,259.8) | 1,451.1 | 1,134.2 | 514.3 | 68.2 | (1,135.5) | 581.2 |
| Total Hillenbrand Shareholders Equity | 505.7 | 1,783.2 | 720.1 | (2,503.3) | 505.7 | 506.3 | 1,387.0 | 379.9 | (1,766.9) | 506.3 |
| Noncontrolling interests | | | 7.3 | | 7.3 | | | | | |
| Total Equity | 505.7 | 1,783.2 | 727.4 | (2,503.3) | 513.0 | 506.3 | 1,387.0 | 379.9 | (1,766.9) | 506.3 |
| Total Liabilities and Equity | \$ 2,060.4 | \$ 2,291.4 | \$ 1,375.4 | \$ (3,763.1) | \$ 1,964.1 | \$ 1,640.5 | \$ 1,901.3 | \$ 448.1 | \$ (2,902.4) | \$ 1,087.5 |

Table of Contents**Condensed Consolidating Statements of Cash Flows**

| | Six months ended March 31, 2013 | | | | Six months ended March 31, 2012 | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------|------------|----------------|--------------|---------------------------------|----------|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|
| | Parent | Guarantors | Non-Guarantors | Eliminations | Consolidated | Parent | Guarantors | Non-Guarantors | Eliminations | Consolidated |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | \$ 61.9 | \$ 98.3 | \$ (44.6) | \$ (95.9) | \$ 19.7 | \$ 109.8 | \$ 332.7 | \$ 28.3 | \$ (410.7) | \$ 60.1 |
| Investing activities: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Capital expenditures | (1.7) | (5.1) | (4.4) | | (11.2) | (3.9) | (2.0) | (2.2) | | (8.1) |
| Acquisition of business, net of cash acquired | (404.2) | (0.5) | (10.9) | | (415.6) | | 56.7 | (56.7) | | |
| Other | 2.8 | 0.1 | | | 2.9 | | | | | |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | (403.1) | (5.5) | (15.3) | | (423.9) | (3.9) | 54.7 | (58.9) | | (8.1) |
| Financing activities: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Proceeds from term loan | 200.0 | | | | 200.0 | | | | | |
| Repayments on term loan | (5.0) | | | | (5.0) | | | | | |
| Proceeds from revolving credit facilities, net of financing costs | 490.8 | | 85.9 | | 576.7 | | | | | |
| Repayments on revolving credit facilities | (323.0) | | | | (323.0) | (84.0) | | | | (84.0) |
| Payment of dividends - intercompany | | (90.3) | (5.6) | 95.9 | | | (388.8) | (21.9) | 410.7 | |
| Payment of dividends on common stock | (24.3) | | | | (24.3) | (23.8) | | | | (23.8) |
| Other, net | (0.4) | | | | (0.4) | | | | | |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 338.1 | (90.3) | 80.3 | 95.9 | 424.0 | (107.8) | (388.8) | (21.9) | 410.7 | (107.8) |
| Effect of exchange rates on cash and cash equivalents | | | 0.7 | | 0.7 | | | 0.4 | | 0.4 |
| Net cash flow | (3.1) | 2.5 | 21.1 | | 20.5 | (1.9) | (1.4) | (52.1) | | (55.4) |
| Cash and equivalents at beginning of period | 3.9 | 6.3 | 10.0 | | 20.2 | 7.4 | 17.7 | 90.4 | | 115.5 |
| Cash and equivalents at end of period | \$ 0.8 | \$ 8.8 | \$ 31.1 | \$ | \$ 40.7 | \$ 5.5 | \$ 16.3 | \$ 38.3 | \$ | \$ 60.1 |

Table of Contents

16. Restructuring

During the three months ended March 31, 2013, Hillenbrand incurred \$2.0 of restructuring costs (\$0.1 at the Process Equipment Group and \$1.9 at Batesville). These costs consisted of \$1.6 classified as cost of goods sold and \$0.4 classified as operating expenses related to severance and other restructuring costs. Restructuring costs totaling \$1.7 relate to Batesville's decision in the second quarter of fiscal year 2013 to discontinue the purchase, sale, and distribution of their vault product line. Restructuring charges for the six months ended March 31, 2013, totaled \$0.2 at the Process Equipment Group, \$2.3 at Batesville and \$0.2 at Corporate. Additional costs of less than \$1.0 and remaining payments associated with these restructurings are expected to conclude in fiscal year 2013.

Table of Contents

Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Forward-Looking Statements and Factors That May Affect Future Results

Throughout this Form 10-Q, we make a number of forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. As the words imply, these are statements about future plans, objectives, beliefs, and expectations that might or might not happen in the future, as contrasted with historical information. Forward-looking statements are based on assumptions that we believe are reasonable, but by their very nature are subject to a wide range of risks.

Accordingly, in this Form 10-Q, we may say something like,

We expect that future revenue associated with the Process Equipment Group will be influenced by order backlog.

That is a forward-looking statement, as indicated by the word expect and by the clear meaning of the sentence.

Other words that could indicate we are making forward-looking statements include:

| | | | | | | |
|----------|-----------|----------|---------|----------|-----------|--------|
| intend | believe | plan | expect | may | goal | would |
| become | pursue | estimate | will | forecast | continue | could |
| targeted | encourage | promise | improve | progress | potential | should |

This is not an exhaustive list, but is intended to give you an idea of how we try to identify forward-looking statements. The absence of any of these words, however, does not mean that the statement is not forward-looking.

Here is the key point: Forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance, and our actual results could differ materially from those set forth in any forward-looking statements. Any number of factors, many of which are beyond our control, could cause our performance to differ significantly from what is described in the forward-looking statements.

For a discussion of factors that could cause actual results to differ from those contained in forward-looking statements, see the discussions under the heading Risk Factors in Item 1A of this Form 10-Q. We assume no obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements.

Executive Overview

(financial amounts in millions, except share and per share data, throughout Management's Discussion and Analysis)

The following discussion provides information regarding significant activity and compares our results for the three-and six-month periods ending March 31, 2013, to the same periods in the prior fiscal year. We begin the discussion at a consolidated level and then provide separate detail about the Process Equipment Group, Batesville, and Corporate. These financial results are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S. (GAAP).

We also provide certain non-GAAP operating performance measures. These non-GAAP measures are referred to as adjusted and exclude expenses associated with backlog amortization, inventory step-up, business acquisitions, restructuring, and antitrust litigation. The measures also exclude the tax benefit of the international integration in the prior year and expenses associated with long-term incentive compensation related to the international integration. The related income tax for all of these items is also excluded. This non-GAAP information is provided as a supplement, not as a substitute for, or as superior to, measures of financial performance prepared in accordance with GAAP.

We use this non-GAAP information internally to make operating decisions and believe it is helpful to investors because it allows more meaningful period-to-period comparisons of our ongoing operating results. The information can also be used to perform trend analysis and to better identify operating trends that may otherwise be masked or distorted by these types of items. We believe this information provides a higher degree of transparency.

Table of Contents

See page 33 for a reconciliation of non-GAAP measures to the closest GAAP-equivalent of each measure.

Coperion Acquisition

The most significant event in the first six months of fiscal year 2013 was our acquisition of Coperion Capital GmbH (Coperion), effective December 1, 2012, in a transaction valued at \$540.7. Based in Stuttgart, Germany, Coperion is a global leader in the manufacture of compounding, extrusion, and bulk material handling equipment used in a broad range of industries, including plastics, chemicals, food processing, pharmaceutical, and aluminum. Coperion has been in business since 1879, and has nine manufacturing sites in Germany, the United States (U.S.), China, and India, and sales offices in approximately 30 locations in the Americas, Europe, and Asia. Coperion had approximately 2,000 employees worldwide as of March 31, 2013. Approximately 30% of Coperion 's revenue is derived from replacement parts and service, generating a large portion of recurring business due to its well-positioned service network and active installed base of equipment across the world.

Coperion revenues consist of large system sales, equipment, components, replacement parts, and service. Large system sales are fulfilled over 12 to 18 months on average, whereby customers generally pay a deposit and make progress payments before and during the manufacture of the order, reducing or eliminating extensive working capital investments otherwise necessary to finance the manufacturing process. System sales include many components, including those manufactured by Coperion as well as materials manufactured by third parties. The Coperion business model includes a higher proportion of third-party-sourced products compared to the rest of the Process Equipment Group. As a result, we expect gross profit margins in the Process Equipment Group to be lower on certain systems projects. Hillenbrand believes that selling complete systems provides a significant competitive advantage and increases margin dollars.

This acquisition is the largest in the Company 's history and represents an important step in our strategic plans to further diversify Hillenbrand and accelerate the growth of the Process Equipment Group business platform. The integration of Coperion with the Process Equipment Group will be a key initiative for the next 18 to 24 months. Combining our product offerings to provide a more complete system solution is our highest priority from an integration perspective. In addition, we believe leveraging Coperion 's global infrastructure will enable the existing businesses within the Process Equipment Group platform to enter new global markets more quickly. Additionally, we expect the Process Equipment Group 's existing strong U.S. sales network will enhance Coperion 's expansion in North America. Finally, the application of the Company 's lean tools and principles to Coperion 's operations is expected to contribute to improved margins and increased customer satisfaction.

The calculation of fair value of Coperion 's assets and liabilities is preliminary and subject to adjustment based on finalization of the closing balance sheet. The fair value assigned to Coperion 's backlog was \$34.3 and will be amortized over approximately 10 months. The fair values assigned to Coperion 's customer relationships and technology total \$201.9 and will be amortized on a straight-line basis over their estimated useful lives, resulting in \$12.0 of ongoing annual amortization expense. The fair value assigned to Coperion 's inventory resulted in a step-up in value of \$21.6, and will be amortized through cost of goods sold over approximately 10 months. The fair value assigned to Coperion 's property, plant and equipment resulted in a step-up in value of \$19.5, and will be depreciated over the useful lives of the assets. The acquisition resulted in preliminary goodwill of \$234.0.

Table of Contents**Consolidated**

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | | | | Six Months Ended March 31, | | | |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------|--------------|----------|--------------|----------------------------|--------------|----------|--------------|
| | 2013 | | 2012 | | 2013 | | 2012 | |
| | Amount | % of Revenue | Amount | % of Revenue | Amount | % of Revenue | Amount | % of Revenue |
| Revenue | \$ 398.5 | 100.0 | \$ 259.7 | 100.0 | \$ 703.7 | 100.0 | \$ 491.3 | 100.0 |
| Gross profit | 136.6 | 34.3 | 104.3 | 40.2 | 247.2 | 35.1 | 198.0 | 40.3 |
| Operating expenses | 111.0 | 27.9 | 60.5 | 23.3 | 197.5 | 28.1 | 120.8 | 24.6 |
| Operating profit | 25.6 | 6.4 | 43.8 | 16.9 | 49.7 | 7.1 | 77.2 | 15.7 |
| Interest expense | 6.8 | 1.7 | 2.8 | 1.1 | 11.3 | 1.6 | 5.7 | 1.2 |
| Other income (expense), net | (0.3) | 0.1 | (0.3) | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.1 | (0.8) | 0.2 |
| Income taxes | 5.3 | 1.3 | 13.3 | 5.1 | 11.2 | 1.6 | 12.0 | 2.4 |
| Net income(1) | 12.7 | 3.2 | 27.4 | 10.6 | 27.0 | 3.8 | 58.7 | 11.9 |

(1) Net income attributable to Hillenbrand

Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 Compared to Three Months Ended March 31, 2012

Consolidated revenue grew \$138.8 (53.4%). Foreign currency exchange rates did not have a material impact on revenue.

- Process Equipment Group's revenue increased \$131.2 (136.4%). The revenue increase was due to the acquisition of Coperion on December 1, 2012, offset in part by an 18% decrease in revenue in the rest of the Process Equipment Group. The decrease in the base business was driven by reduced demand for equipment that processes proppants (used in hydraulic fracturing) and potash (used largely in the agricultural industry), as well as a large base resins project that occurred in the prior year.
- Batesville's revenue increased \$7.6 (4.6%). The increase was primarily driven by volume, as estimated North American deaths were at a record high in January 2013.

Consolidated gross profit margin was 34.3%, a decrease of 590 basis points. On an adjusted basis, which excludes items described below, the consolidated gross profit margin was 36.7%, a decrease of 430 basis points.

- Process Equipment Group's gross profit margin decreased from 44.0% in the prior year to 30.1%. Excluding \$8.2 of inventory step-up related to the Coperion acquisition and restructuring charges, the adjusted gross profit margin was 33.7% compared to 44.3% in the prior year. This decrease was due primarily to the Coperion acquisition, as gross profit margins for Coperion are lower given the higher proportion of third-party-sourced products on certain systems projects compared to the rest of the Process Equipment Group. Gross profit margin in the second quarter of fiscal year 2013 was also lower compared to the same period in the prior year due to the decrease in volume in the base business.

Edgar Filing: Hillenbrand, Inc. - Form 10-Q

- Batesville's gross profit margin increased from 37.9% to 39.9%. Excluding \$1.5 of restructuring charges, the adjusted gross profit margin was 40.7%, a 160-basis-point improvement over the prior year. The improvement was primarily due to higher volumes, offset in part by changes in employee benefits that reduced expense in the prior year.

Table of Contents

Operating expenses as a percentage of sales increased 460 basis points to 27.9%. On an adjusted basis, our operating expense ratio was 24.0%, an increase of 190 basis points, due primarily to the decrease in revenue for the Process Equipment Group excluding Coperion, as well as ongoing amortization expense related to the Coperion acquisition (\$3.2). Adjusted operating expenses exclude the following items:

| | Three months Ended March 31, | | | |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|------|-------------|-----|
| | 2013 | | 2012 | |
| Business acquisition costs | \$ | 1.9 | \$ | 0.5 |
| Backlog amortization | | 12.9 | | |
| Restructuring charges | | 0.4 | | 2.4 |

Our continued focus on the application of Hillenbrand's Lean Business principles and practices continues to increase efficiencies across the organization. This is evident both in the Process Equipment Group, where they are continuing to mature in these practices, and Batesville, where lean has evolved to an advanced level with projects such as single-point scheduling to connect their entire value chain.

Interest expense increased \$4.0 due primarily to higher weighted-average principal borrowings and higher interest rates on the revolving credit facility and the new term loan entered into in connection with the Coperion acquisition.

Other income (expense), net was \$0.3 of expense in the second quarter of fiscal years 2013 and 2012. See Item 1, Note 11 for more detailed information.

The income tax rate was 28.6% compared to 32.7%. Excluding the tax effect of all adjustments, our adjusted effective income tax rate was 29.3% compared to 32.8% for the prior year. The adjusted effective income tax rate was favorably impacted by the acquisition of Coperion, which produces a larger percentage of income from foreign sources in lower tax rate jurisdictions.

Six Months Ended March 31, 2013 Compared to Six Months Ended March 31, 2012

Consolidated revenue grew \$212.4 (43.2%). Foreign currency exchange rates did not have a material impact on revenue.

- Process Equipment Group's revenue increased \$199.2 (109.5%). The revenue increase was due to the acquisition of Coperion, offset in part by a 10% decrease in revenue in the rest of the Process Equipment Group. The decrease in the base business was driven by reduced demand for equipment that processes proppants (used in hydraulic fracturing), potash (used largely in the agricultural industry), and coal (used in the coal power and mining industries), as well as a large base resins project that occurred in the prior year.
- Batesville's revenue increased \$13.2 (4.3%). The increase was primarily driven by volume, as the year-over-year growth rate of North American deaths returned to historical levels for the entire period, with the exception of record high estimated North American deaths reported during January 2013.

Edgar Filing: Hillenbrand, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Consolidated gross profit margin was 35.1%, a decrease of 520 basis points. On an adjusted basis, which excludes items described below, the consolidated gross profit margin was 36.9%, a decrease of 390 basis points.

- Process Equipment Group's gross profit margin decreased from 43.0% to 31.6%. Excluding \$10.9 of inventory step-up related to the Coperion acquisition and restructuring charges, the adjusted gross profit margin decreased from 43.2% to 34.5%. This decrease was due primarily to the Coperion acquisition, as gross profit margins for Coperion are lower given the higher proportion of third-party-sourced products on certain systems projects compared to the rest of our Process Equipment Group. Gross profit margin in the first six months of fiscal year 2013 was also lower compared to the same period in the prior year due to the decrease in volume in the base business.
- Batesville's gross profit margin increased 60 basis points to 39.3%. Excluding \$1.8 of restructuring charges, the adjusted gross profit margin was 39.9%, a 50-basis-point improvement over the prior year. The increase was primarily due to higher volumes, offset in part by changes in employee benefits that reduced expense in the prior year.

Edgar Filing: Hillenbrand, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

Operating expenses as a percentage of sales increased 350 basis points to 28.1%. On an adjusted basis, our operating expense ratio was 24.0% compared to 22.8% in the prior year. The 120 basis point increase is primarily due to four months of amortization expense (\$4.2) related to intangible assets acquired in the Coperion acquisition and changes in employee benefits that reduced expense (\$1.9) in the prior year. Adjusted operating expenses exclude the following items:

| | Six months Ended March 31, | | | |
|---|----------------------------|------|------|-----|
| | 2013 | | 2012 | |
| Business acquisition costs | \$ | 10.9 | \$ | 1.0 |
| Backlog amortization | | 17.1 | | 2.5 |
| Restructuring charges | | 0.7 | | 2.4 |
| Antitrust litigation | | 0.1 | | 0.5 |
| Long-term incentive compensation related to the international integration | | | | 2.2 |

The vesting of our long-term performance-based stock awards is contingent upon the creation of shareholder value as measured by the cumulative cash returns and final period net operating profit after tax compared to the established hurdle rate over a three-year period. As such, the tax benefit from the international integration resulted in additional compensation expense related to performance-based stock awards in fiscal year 2012.

Interest expense increased \$5.6 due primarily to higher weighted-average principal borrowings and higher interest rates on the revolving credit facility and the new term loan entered into in connection with the Coperion acquisition.

Other income (expense), net was \$0.6 of income in the first half of fiscal year 2013 compared to \$0.8 of expense in fiscal year 2012, representing a variance of \$1.4. Excluding \$0.9 of income primarily from acquisition-related foreign currency transactions, adjusted other income (expense), net was \$0.3 of expense in fiscal year 2013 compared to \$0.8 of expense in fiscal year 2012. See Item 1, Note 11 for more detailed information.

The income tax rate was 28.7% compared to 17.0%. The year-over-year change in the effective tax rate was largely due to a \$10.4 reduction of income tax expense in the six months ended March 31, 2012, attributable to the permanent reinvestment assertion on historical earnings of certain Swiss operations. Excluding this tax benefit as well as the tax effect of all other adjustments, our adjusted effective income tax rate was 28.7% compared to 32.0% for the prior year. The adjusted effective income tax rate was favorably impacted by the acquisition of Coperion, which produces a larger percentage of income from foreign sources in lower tax rate jurisdictions.

Process Equipment Group

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | | | | Six Months Ended March 31, | | | |
|--------------------|------------------------------|--------------|---------|--------------|----------------------------|--------------|----------|--------------|
| | 2013 | | 2012 | | 2013 | | 2012 | |
| | Amount | % of Revenue | Amount | % of Revenue | Amount | % of Revenue | Amount | % of Revenue |
| Net revenue | \$ 227.4 | 100.0 | \$ 96.2 | 100.0 | \$ 381.1 | 100.0 | \$ 181.9 | 100.0 |
| Gross profit | 68.4 | 30.1 | 42.3 | 44.0 | 120.4 | 31.6 | 78.2 | 43.0 |
| Operating expenses | 76.2 | 33.5 | 28.8 | 29.9 | 120.7 | 31.7 | 56.6 | 31.1 |

Edgar Filing: Hillenbrand, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|-------|-------|------|------|-------|-------|------|------|
| Operating profit | (7.8) | (3.4) | 13.5 | 14.0 | (0.3) | (0.1) | 21.6 | 11.9 |
|------------------|-------|-------|------|------|-------|-------|------|------|

Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 Compared to Three Months Ended March 31, 2012

Revenue increased \$131.2 (136%), attributable to the Coperion acquisition that closed on December 1, 2012. Excluding the Coperion business, revenue decreased 18%. The decrease in the base business was driven by reduced demand for equipment that processes proppants (used in hydraulic fracturing) and potash (used largely in the agricultural industry), as well as a large base resins project that occurred in the prior year.

We believe that the industries that the Process Equipment Group serves have attractive long-term growth prospects because demand for their products and services will continue to grow as countries such as China and India greatly expand their middle class. While overall demand is expected to increase over the long run, we expect shifts in year-to-year sources of such demand. For example, in fiscal year 2012, demand for equipment that processes proppants used in hydraulic fracturing spiked dramatically in the second quarter and continued at relatively high levels before dropping off dramatically at the end of the year. As a result, revenue in fiscal year 2013 compared to the prior year has been negatively impacted and we expect this trend to continue for the remainder of the fiscal year.

Table of Contents

We expect future revenue for the Process Equipment Group will continue to be influenced by order backlog because of the lead time involved in fulfilling engineered-to-order equipment for customers. Though backlog can be an indicator of future revenue, it might not include many projects and parts orders that are booked and shipped within the same quarter. The timing of order placement, size, extent of customization, and customer delivery dates can create fluctuations in backlog and revenue. Revenue attributable to backlog is also affected by foreign exchange fluctuations for orders denominated in currencies other than U.S. dollars. Based upon new orders accepted, less orders completed and shipped, backlog decreased from \$556.6 on December 31, 2012 to \$544.3 on March 31, 2013.

Gross profit increased 61.7% to \$68.4, primarily as a result of the Coperion acquisition. Gross profit margin decreased from 44.0% to 30.1%.

On an adjusted basis, the gross profit margin decreased to 33.7% and excludes \$8.2 of inventory step-up related to the Coperion acquisition and restructuring charges. Step-ups in inventory value were recorded at the time of the Coperion acquisition and will be expensed when the inventory is sold.

The decrease in adjusted gross profit margin was primarily due to the Coperion acquisition, as gross profit margins for Coperion are lower given the higher proportion of third-party-sourced products on certain systems projects compared to the rest of the Process Equipment Group. As a result, we expect gross profit margins in the Process Equipment Group to be lower following the Coperion acquisition. Gross profit margin in the second quarter of fiscal year 2013 was also lower compared to the same period in the prior year due to the decrease in volume in the base business. In general, gross profit margin for the Process Equipment Group is influenced by a variety of factors, including the timing and size of orders, the mix of products and services sold, and market factors that impact pricing.

Operating expenses increased \$47.4 to \$76.2 and our operating expense to sales ratio increased by 360 basis points, from 29.9% to 33.5%.

On an adjusted basis, our operating expense ratio was 27.7% compared to 27.3% in the prior year. The 40 basis point increase is primarily due to the decrease in revenue for the Process Equipment Group excluding Coperion, as well as \$3.1 of amortization expense related to intangible assets acquired in the Coperion acquisition. The Coperion acquisition will add \$12.0 of annual ongoing amortization expense. This increase in the operating expense to sales ratio was offset in part by the addition of Coperion, which has a lower operating expense to sales ratio than the rest of the Process Equipment Group. Adjusted operating expenses exclude \$12.9 of backlog amortization in the second quarter of fiscal year 2013 related to the Coperion acquisition. Adjusted operating expenses in the second quarter of fiscal year 2012 exclude \$2.4 in restructuring costs.

Six Months Ended March 31, 2013 Compared to Six Months Ended March 31, 2012

Fiscal year 2013 includes four months of Coperion results related to the acquisition on December 1, 2012.

Revenue increased \$199.2 (109.5%), attributable to the Coperion acquisition. Excluding the Coperion business, revenue decreased 10%. The decrease in the base business was driven by reduced demand for equipment that processes proppants (used in hydraulic fracturing), potash (used largely in the agricultural industry), and coal (used in the coal power and mining industries), as well as a large base resins project that occurred

in the prior year.

Backlog increased by \$423.8 over the past six months from \$120.5 on September 30, 2012 to \$544.3 on March 31, 2013.

Gross profit increased 54.0% to \$120.4, primarily as a result of the Coperion acquisition, which added four months of Coperion results in fiscal year 2013. Gross profit margin decreased from 43.0% to 31.6%.

Table of Contents

Adjusted gross profit margin decreased by 870 basis points to 34.5% and excludes \$10.7 of inventory step-up related to the Coperion acquisition and restructuring charges. As discussed above in the quarter results, the decrease in adjusted gross profit margin was primarily due to the Coperion acquisition, as gross profit margins for Coperion are lower given the higher proportion of third-party-sourced products on certain systems projects compared to the rest of the Process Equipment Group. Gross profit margin in the first six months of fiscal year 2013 was also lower compared to the same period in the prior year due to the decrease in volume in the base business.

Operating expenses increased \$64.1 to \$120.7, and our operating expense to sales ratio increased by 60 basis points, from 31.1% to 31.7%.

On an adjusted basis, our operating expense ratio decreased to 27.1% from 28.3% in fiscal year 2012. The 120 basis point improvement was attributable to the Coperion business model, which has a lower operating expense to sales ratio than the rest of the Process Equipment Group. This ratio also includes four months of ongoing amortization expense (\$4.2) related to the intangible assets acquired in the Coperion acquisition. Adjusted operating expenses exclude backlog amortization of \$17.1 in fiscal year 2013 and \$2.5 in fiscal year 2012.

Batesville

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | | | | Six Months Ended March 31, | | | |
|--------------------|------------------------------|--------------|----------|--------------|----------------------------|--------------|----------|--------------|
| | 2013 | | 2012 | | 2013 | | 2012 | |
| | Amount | % of Revenue | Amount | % of Revenue | Amount | % of Revenue | Amount | % of Revenue |
| Net revenue | \$ 171.1 | 100.0 | \$ 163.5 | 100.0 | \$ 322.6 | 100.0 | \$ 309.4 | 100.0 |
| Gross profit | 68.2 | 39.9 | 62.0 | 37.9 | 126.8 | 39.3 | 119.8 | 38.7 |
| Operating expenses | 25.4 | 14.8 | 23.2 | 14.2 | 49.6 | 15.4 | 46.7 | 15.1 |
| Operating profit | 42.8 | 25.0 | 38.8 | 23.7 | 77.2 | 23.9 | 73.1 | 23.6 |

Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 Compared to Three Months Ended March 31, 2012

Revenue increased \$7.6 (4.6%) and was driven primarily by higher volumes. Average sales prices increased by approximately 1% compared to the prior year. The number of estimated deaths was at a record high in the month of January. According to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, influenza activity was above the national baseline and pneumonia and influenza mortality was above the epidemic threshold for 11 weeks during our second fiscal quarter of 2013. The increased number of North American deaths more than offset the increased rate at which consumers opted for cremation, resulting in an increased number of burials in North America. Additionally, in the prior year, North America experienced one of the largest drops in year-over-year deaths in recent history.

Gross profit increased 10.0% to \$68.2. Gross profit margin improved 200 basis points to 39.9%. Adjusted gross profit margin improved 160 basis points to 40.7% and excludes \$1.5 of restructuring costs. The increase was primarily due to higher volumes, offset in part by changes in employee benefits that reduced expense by \$1.0 in the prior year.

Edgar Filing: Hillenbrand, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Operating expenses increased \$2.2 (9.5%) to \$25.4. Our operating expense to sales ratio increased to 14.8% compared to 14.2% in the prior year.

On an adjusted basis, our operating expense to sales ratio increased by 40 basis points to 14.6% from 14.2%. Adjusted operating expenses exclude \$0.4 of restructuring costs. The increase in the operating expense to sales ratio was primarily due to \$1.7 of increased variable compensation and \$1.0 of additional strategic initiatives spending in fiscal year 2013.

Six Months Ended March 31, 2013 Compared to Six Months Ended March 31, 2012

Revenue increased \$13.2 (4.3%) and was driven primarily by higher volumes (3%) and increased average sales prices (1%). The number of estimated deaths was at a record high in the month of January. The increased number

Table of Contents

of North American deaths more than offset the increased rate at which consumers opted for cremation, resulting in an increased number of burials in North America.

Gross profit increased 5.8% to \$126.8. Gross profit margin improved 60 basis points to 39.3%. Adjusted gross profit margin improved 50 basis points to 39.9% and excludes restructuring charges. The increase was primarily due to higher volumes and increased average sales prices, offset in part by changes in employee benefits that reduced expense by \$2.3 in the prior year.

Operating expenses increased \$2.9 (6.2%) to \$49.6. Our operating expense to sales ratio was relatively flat at 15.4% compared to 15.1% in the prior year.

On an adjusted basis, our operating expense to sales ratio increased by 50 basis points to 15.2% from 14.7%. Adjusted operating expenses exclude \$0.5 of restructuring costs in fiscal year 2013, and antitrust litigation expense and long-term incentive compensation related to the international integration in fiscal year 2012. The increase in the adjusted operating expense to sales ratio was primarily due to increased costs in the first six months of fiscal year 2013 including variable compensation (\$2.4) and strategic initiatives spending (\$1.9). In addition, changes in employee benefits reduced expense by \$1.9 in the prior year.

Corporate

| | Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 | | 2012 | | Six Months Ended March 31, 2013 | | 2012 | | % of Revenue |
|---|--------------------------------------|-----------------|--------|-----------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|---------|-----|-----------------|
| | \$ | % of Revenue | \$ | % of Revenue | \$ | % of Revenue | \$ | | |
| Operating expenses | \$ 9.4 | 2.4 | \$ 8.5 | 3.3 | \$ 27.2 | 3.9 | \$ 17.5 | 3.6 | |
| Less: | | | | | | | | | |
| Business acquisition costs | 1.6 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 10.6 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 0.2 | |
| Restructuring | | | | | 0.2 | | | | |
| Long-term incentive compensation related to the international integration | | | | | | | 1.2 | 0.2 | |
| Adjusted operating expenses | \$ 7.8 | 2.0 | \$ 8.0 | 3.1 | \$ 16.4 | 2.3 | \$ 15.3 | 3.1 | |

Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 Compared to Three Months Ended March 31, 2012

Operating expenses increased by \$0.9. Excluding the items detailed in the table, adjusted operating expenses declined \$0.2 (2.5%). These expenses on a percentage of revenue basis were 2.0%, an improvement of 110 basis points compared to 3.1% in the prior year. We expect this expense base to continue to decline as a percentage of revenue.

Edgar Filing: Hillenbrand, Inc. - Form 10-Q

During the second quarter of fiscal year 2013, we incurred \$1.6 of business acquisition costs related to our acquisition of Coperion. During the second quarter of fiscal year 2012, we incurred \$0.5 of business acquisition costs related to our acquisition of Rotex in late fiscal year 2011.

Six Months Ended March 31, 2013 Compared to Six Months Ended March 31, 2012

Operating expenses increased \$9.7. Excluding the items detailed in the table, adjusted operating expenses increased \$1.1 (7.2%). The increase was primarily due to an increase in personnel costs. These expenses on a percentage of consolidated revenue basis were 2.3%, an improvement of 80 basis points compared to 3.1% in the prior year.

Table of Contents

During the first six months of fiscal year 2013, we incurred \$10.6 of business acquisition costs related to our acquisition of Coperion. During the first six months of fiscal year 2012, we incurred \$1.0 of business acquisition costs related to our acquisition of Rotex.

The vesting of our long-term performance-based stock awards is contingent upon the creation of shareholder value as measured by the cumulative cash returns and final period net operating profit after tax compared to the established hurdle rate over a three-year period. As such, the tax benefit from the international integration resulted in \$2.2 of additional expense related to performance-based stock awards in 2012, of which \$1.2 was incurred by our corporate operations.

In the first half of fiscal year 2013, we incurred \$0.2 of restructuring charges related to the cessation of airport operations jointly owned with our previous parent company.

Table of Contents**Non-GAAP Operating Performance Measures**

The following are reconciliations from GAAP operating performance measures to the relevant non-GAAP (adjusted) performance measures.

| | Three months ended March 31, | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------|-------------|----------|----------|-------------|----------|
| | 2013 | | | 2012 | | |
| | GAAP | Adjustments | Adjusted | GAAP | Adjustments | Adjusted |
| Cost of goods sold | \$ 261.9 | \$ (9.7)(a) | \$ 252.2 | \$ 155.4 | \$ (2.3)(e) | \$ 153.1 |
| Gross profit | 136.6 | 9.7 | 146.3 | 104.3 | 2.3 | 106.6 |
| Operating expenses | 111.0 | (15.2)(b) | 95.8 | 60.5 | (3.0)(f) | 57.5 |
| Operating profit | 25.6 | 24.9 | 50.5 | 43.8 | 5.3 | 49.1 |
| Interest expense | 6.8 | (0.6)(c) | 6.2 | 2.8 | | 2.8 |
| Other income (expense), net | (0.3) | | (0.3) | (0.3) | | (0.3) |
| Income tax expense | 5.3 | 7.6(d) | 12.9 | 13.3 | 1.8(d) | 15.1 |
| Net income(1) | 12.7 | 17.9 | 30.6 | 27.4 | 3.5 | 30.9 |
| Diluted EPS | 0.20 | 0.29 | 0.49 | 0.44 | 0.06 | 0.50 |

Ratios:

| | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Gross margin | 34.3% | 2.4% | 36.7% | 40.2% | 0.8% | 41.0% |
| Operating expenses as a % of revenue | 27.9% | -3.9% | 24.0% | 23.3% | -1.2% | 22.1% |

| | Six months ended March 31, | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------|----------|----------|-------------|----------|
| | 2013 | | | 2012 | | |
| | GAAP | Adjustments | Adjusted | GAAP | Adjustments | Adjusted |
| Cost of goods sold | \$ 456.5 | \$ (12.7)(g) | \$ 443.8 | \$ 293.3 | \$ (2.3)(e) | \$ 291.0 |
| Gross profit | 247.2 | 12.7 | 259.9 | 198.0 | 2.3 | 200.3 |
| Operating expenses | 197.5 | (28.8)(h) | 168.7 | 120.8 | (8.7)(k) | 112.1 |
| Operating profit | 49.7 | 41.5 | 91.2 | 77.2 | 11.0 | 88.2 |
| Interest expense | 11.3 | (2.8)(i) | 8.5 | 5.7 | | 5.7 |
| Other income (expense), net | 0.6 | (3.1)(j) | (2.5) | (0.8) | | (0.8) |
| Income tax expense | 11.2 | 11.8(d) | 23.0 | 12.0 | 14.1(l) | 26.1 |
| Net income(1) | 27.0 | 29.4 | 56.4 | 58.7 | (3.1) | 55.6 |
| Diluted EPS | 0.43 | 0.47 | 0.90 | 0.94 | (0.05) | 0.89 |

Ratios:

| | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Gross margin | 35.1% | 1.8% | 36.9% | 40.3% | 0.5% | 40.8% |
| Operating expenses as a % of revenue | 28.1% | -4.1% | 24.0% | 24.6% | -1.8% | 22.8% |

(1) Net income attributable to Hillenbrand

Edgar Filing: Hillenbrand, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

P = Process Equipment Group; B = Batesville; C = Corporate

- (a) Inventory step up (\$8.1 P), restructuring (\$0.1 P, \$1.5 B)
- (b) Business acquisition costs (\$0.3 P, \$1.6 C), backlog amortization (\$12.9 P), restructuring (\$0.4 B)
- (c) Business acquisition costs (\$0.6 C)
- (d) Tax effect of adjustments
- (e) Restructuring (\$0.3 P, \$2.0 B)
- (f) Restructuring (\$2.4 P), business acquisition costs (\$0.5 C), and antitrust litigation (\$0.1 B)
- (g) Inventory step up (\$10.7 P), restructuring (\$0.2 P, \$1.8 B)
- (h) Business acquisition costs (\$0.3 P, \$10.6 C), backlog amortization (\$17.1 P), restructuring (\$0.5 B, \$0.2 C), antitrust litigation (\$0.1 B)
- (i) Business acquisition costs (\$0.6 C)
- (j) Acquisition-related foreign currency transactions (\$0.8 C), other (\$0.1B)
- (k) Restructuring (\$2.4 P), backlog amortization (\$2.5 P), long-term incentive compensation related to the international integration (\$0.2 P, \$0.8 B, \$1.2 C), antitrust litigation (\$0.5 B), business acquisition costs (\$1.0 C), other (\$0.1)
- (l) Tax benefit of the international integration (\$10.4) and tax effect of adjustments (\$3.7)

We have previously discussed our strategy to selectively acquire manufacturing businesses with a record of success which could benefit from our core competencies to spur faster and more profitable growth. Given that strategy, it is a natural consequence to incur related expenses, such as amortization from acquired intangible assets and additional interest expense from debt-funded acquisitions. Accordingly, we use Adjusted Earnings Before Interest, Income Tax, Depreciation, and Amortization (Adjusted EBITDA), among other measures, to monitor our business performance.

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | | | | Six Months Ended March 31, | | | |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------------------------------|-------|------|-------|
| | 2013 | | 2012 | | 2013 | | 2012 | |
| Consolidated net income | \$ | 13.2 | \$ | 27.4 | \$ | 27.8 | \$ | 58.7 |
| Interest income | | (0.2) | | (0.1) | | (0.3) | | (0.3) |
| Interest expense | | 6.8 | | 2.8 | | 11.3 | | 5.7 |
| Income tax expense | | 5.3 | | 13.3 | | 11.2 | | 12.0 |
| Depreciation and amortization | | 27.8 | | 9.5 | | 42.9 | | 21.7 |
| EBITDA | \$ | 52.9 | \$ | 52.9 | \$ | 92.9 | \$ | 97.8 |
| Business acquisition | | 1.8 | | 0.5 | | 10.0 | | 1.0 |
| Inventory step-up | | 8.1 | | | | 10.7 | | |
| Restructuring | | 1.3 | | 4.7 | | 1.9 | | 4.7 |
| Antitrust litigation | | | | 0.1 | | 0.1 | | 0.5 |

Edgar Filing: Hillenbrand, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|------|----|------|----|-------|-----|-------|
| Long-term incentive compensation related to the international integration | | | | | | | 2.2 | |
| Adjusted EBITDA | \$ | 64.1 | \$ | 58.2 | \$ | 115.6 | \$ | 106.2 |

Consolidated adjusted EBITDA increased \$5.9 (10.1%) and \$ 9.4 (8.9%) for the three and six months ended March 31, 2013, due primarily to the acquisition of Coperion.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We believe the ability to generate cash is critical to the value of the Company. In this section, we discuss our ability to generate and access cash to meet business needs. We describe actual results in generating and utilizing cash by comparing the first six months of fiscal year 2013 to the same period last year.

We discuss how we see cash flow being affected for the next 12 months. While it is not a certainty, we explain where we think the cash will come from and how we intend to use it. Finally, we identify other significant matters that could affect liquidity on an ongoing basis.

Edgar Filing: Hillenbrand, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

| | Six Months Ended March 31, | |
|--|----------------------------|-----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Cash flow provided by (used in): | | |
| Operating activities | \$ 19.7 | \$ 60.1 |
| Investing activities | (423.9) | (8.1) |
| Financing activities | 424.0 | (107.8) |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents | 0.7 | 0.4 |
| Net cash flow | \$ 20.5 | \$ (55.4) |

Cash provided by operating activities decreased \$40.4 in the first six months of fiscal year 2013 compared to the same period in the prior year. The decrease in cash provided by operating activities was primarily the result of a significant increase in the working capital at Coperion as investments were made to finance Coperion customer projects in process. Certain projects underway have payment schedules where a large portion of the cash will be received in later stages of manufacturing. The terms of these deposits and progress payments vary greatly among industries and geographies.

Working capital requirements for Coperion range from an optimal negative working capital position, where cash received from customers is more heavily weighted toward the beginning of the project, to our current position where a larger portion of the cash will be received in later stages of manufacturing. We expect working capital may fluctuate in the future due to the mix of customer projects in process at any point in time; however, we believe it is unlikely that further significant working capital investments will be required for the remainder of fiscal year 2013.

The decrease in cash provided by operating activities was also due to \$10.7 of business acquisition costs related to Coperion and \$5.0 related to antitrust litigation.

Cash used in investing activities in the first six months of fiscal year 2013 was \$415.8 greater than the same period in the prior year due to the acquisition of Coperion.

Cash provided by financing activities in the first six months of fiscal year 2013 was \$424.0, compared to a total of \$107.8 cash used for financing activities in the same period in the prior year. Net borrowings in the first six months of fiscal year 2013 were related to the acquisition of Coperion, including net borrowings on the new term loan of \$195.0 and net borrowings on the revolving credit facility of \$253.7.

We increased our quarterly dividend to \$0.1950 per common share from \$0.1925 paid during fiscal year 2012. We plan to continue to pay quarterly cash dividends at this rate throughout fiscal year 2013.

12-Month Outlook

We believe that our cash on hand, cash generated from operations, and cash available under our revolving credit facility will be sufficient to fund operations, working capital needs, capital expenditure requirements, and financing obligations. We may use additional cash generated by

Edgar Filing: Hillenbrand, Inc. - Form 10-Q

the business to pay down our revolving credit facility or we may borrow additional amounts depending on our working capital needs. In November 2012, we fully exercised the \$300 accordion feature under our revolving credit facility to increase our financing capacity. See Item 1, Note 5 for further details.

The cash at our international subsidiaries totaled \$30.4 at March 31, 2013. The majority of these funds represented earnings considered to be permanently reinvested to support the growth strategies of our international subsidiaries.

Other Liquidity Matters

As of March 31, 2013, we: (i) had \$151.6 in outstanding letters of credit issued under our revolving credit facility, (ii) were in compliance with all covenants set forth in the credit agreement for the credit facility, and (iii) had access to the remaining \$172.5 of borrowing capacity available under the revolving credit facility. In addition, we had approximately \$15.3 of available credit under our Swiss facilities as of that date. There were no borrowings under these facilities as of March 31, 2013, and availability was reduced by \$3.6 for outstanding bank guarantees.

In the normal course of business, the Process Equipment Group is required to provide customers bank guarantees in support of performance, warranty, advance payment, and other contract obligations. This form of trade finance is customary in the industry and, as a result, we are required to maintain adequate capacity to provide the guarantees.

Table of Contents

Coperion has a \$64.1 guaranty facility under which availability was reduced for outstanding bank guarantees totaling \$46.8. We also have other trade finance facilities with other financial institutions that provide us capacity for bank guarantees up to \$27.1, in aggregate. We had \$17.3 of outstanding bank guarantees issued under these facilities at March 31, 2013.

We are currently authorized by our Board of Directors to repurchase shares of our common stock, and may elect to do so, depending on market conditions and other needs for cash consistent with our growth strategy. No repurchases were made in the first half of fiscal year 2013.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We have no significant off-balance sheet arrangements.

Inflation

The effect of broad based inflation on the Company's revenues and net earnings was not significant for the three- or six month periods ended March 31, 2013 or 2012.

Contractual Obligations or Contingent Liabilities and Commitments

The following table summarizes our future obligations as of March 31, 2013. This will help give you an understanding of the significance of cash outlays that are fixed beyond the normal accounts payable we have already incurred and have recorded in the financial statements.

| (in millions) | Total | Payment Due by Period | | | |
|---|-----------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------|---------------|
| | | Less Than 1 Year (1) | 1-3 Years | 4-5 Years | After 5 Years |
| 10 year, 5.5% fixed rate senior unsecured notes | \$ 150.0 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 150.0 |
| Revolving credit facility (2) | 375.9 | | | 375.9 | |
| Term loan | 195.0 | 5.0 | 25.0 | 165.0 | |
| Interest on financing agreements (3) | 94.2 | 8.4 | 33.3 | 29.8 | 22.7 |
| Operating lease obligations (noncancellable) | 111.7 | 12.4 | 24.8 | 21.0 | 53.5 |
| Purchase obligations (4) | 177.0 | 90.6 | 86.4 | | |
| Defined benefit plan funding (5) | 197.6 | 12.0 | 56.5 | 53.6 | 75.5 |
| Other long-term liabilities (6) | 24.3 | 2.3 | 12.7 | 3.4 | 5.9 |
| Capital call arrangements (7) | 3.0 | 3.0 | | | |
| Total contractual obligations | \$ 1328.7 | \$ 133.7 | \$ 238.7 | \$ 648.7 | \$ 307.6 |

-
- (1) Represents the remaining six months of fiscal year 2013.
 - (2) Our revolving credit facility expires in July 2017. Although we may make earlier principal payments, we have reflected the principal balance due at expiration.
 - (3) Cash obligations for interest requirements relate to our fixed-rate debt obligation at its contractual rate and borrowings under the variable-rate revolving credit facility and term loan at their current rates at March 31, 2013.
 - (4) Consists of agreements to purchase goods or services that are enforceable and legally binding on us and that specify all significant terms, including fixed or minimum quantities to be purchased; fixed, minimum, or variable price provisions; and the approximate timing of the transaction. The majority of this balance relates to Coperion's business, in which the manufacturing of system sales spans several months.
 - (5) Defined benefit plan funding represents non-discretionary requirements based upon plan funding at March 31, 2013, and excludes any discretionary contributions.
 - (6) Other long-term liabilities include the estimated liquidation of liabilities related to our casket pricing obligation, self-insurance reserves, and long-term severance payments.
 - (7) We could be called upon by our private equity limited partnership investments to provide a maximum of \$3.0 in additional funds.

Table of Contents

Critical Accounting Estimates

During the six months ended March 31, 2013, there were no significant changes to this information, as outlined in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2012, except as it relates to revenue recognition for Coperion.

Revenue Recognition

With the acquisition of Coperion, a portion of the Company's revenue is derived from long-term manufacturing contracts. This revenue is recognized based on the percentage-of-completion method. Under this method, revenue is recognized based upon the costs incurred to date as compared to the total estimated cost of the project and are included in net revenues on the consolidated income statement. Revenues in excess of billings are presented as unbilled receivables from long-term manufacturing contracts, and deposits in excess of billings are presented as liabilities from long-term manufacturing contracts on the consolidated balance sheet. Revenue for components, replacement parts, and service is recognized on a completed contract basis when title and risk of loss passes to the customer.

Recently Adopted and Issued Accounting Standards

For a summary of recently issued and adopted accounting standards applicable to us, see Note 2 to our consolidated financial statements included in Part I, Item 1, of this Form 10-Q.

Item 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISKS

In this section, we tell you about market risks we think could have a significant impact on our bottom line or the financial strength of our Company. Market risks generally mean how results of operations and the value of assets and liabilities could be affected by market factors such as interest rates, currency exchange rates, the value of commodities, and debt and equity price risks. If those factors change significantly, it could help or hurt our bottom line, depending on how we react to them.

We are exposed to various market risks. We have established policies, procedures, and internal processes governing our management of market risks and the use of financial instruments to manage our exposure to such risks. Our primary exposures are to: collection risk (customer receivables); fluctuations in market prices for certain purchases of commodities; volatility in the fair value of our investments; volatility in the value of our pension plans' assets; variability in foreign currency exchange rates; and volatility in interest rates associated with our credit facility.

Edgar Filing: Hillenbrand, Inc. - Form 10-Q

We are subject to market risk from fluctuating market prices of certain purchased commodity raw materials including steel, wood, red metals, and fuel. While these materials are typically available from multiple suppliers, commodity raw materials are subject to market price fluctuations. We generally buy these commodities based upon market prices that are established with the supplier as part of the purchasing process. We generally attempt to obtain firm pricing from our larger suppliers for volumes consistent with planned production. To the extent that commodity prices increase and we do not have firm pricing from our suppliers, or if our suppliers are not able to honor such prices, we may experience a decline in our gross profit margins if we are not able to increase selling prices of our products or obtain supply chain efficiencies to offset increases in commodity costs.

Our pension plans' assets are also subject to volatility that can be caused by fluctuation in general economic conditions. Plan assets are invested by the plans' fiduciaries, which direct investments according to specific policies. Those policies subject investments to the following restrictions in our domestic plan: short-term securities must be rated A2/P2 or higher, fixed income securities will maintain an average credit quality of A- or better, and investments in equities in any one company may not exceed 10% of the equity portfolio. Our income statement is currently shielded from volatility in plan assets due to the way accounting standards are applied for pension plans, although favorable or unfavorable investment performance over the long term will impact our pension expense if it deviates from our assumption related to future rate of return.

We are subject to variability in foreign currency exchange rates in our international operations. We regularly enter into currency exchange agreements to manage our exposure arising from fluctuating exchange rates related to

Table of Contents

specific transactions, primarily customer order and forecasted intercompany purchasing, but also amounts within our balance sheet that are denominated in non-functional currencies. As of March 31, 2013, a 10% change in the foreign exchange rates affecting balance sheet transactional exposures would have resulted in a change in pre-tax earnings of approximately \$0.2. This hypothetical change on transactional exposures is based on the difference between the March 31, 2013, actual foreign exchange rates and hypothetical rates assuming a 10% change in foreign exchange rates on that date.

The translation of the balance sheets of our non-U.S. operations from local currencies into U.S. dollars is also sensitive to changes in foreign exchange rates. These translation gains or losses are recorded as cumulative translation adjustments (CTA) within accumulated other comprehensive loss on our balance sheet. Using the example above, the hypothetical change in CTA would be calculated by multiplying the net assets of our non-U.S. operations by a 10% change in the applicable foreign exchange rates. The result of the appreciation/depreciation of all applicable currencies versus the U.S. dollar would be to change shareholders' equity by approximately \$70 as of March 31, 2013.

At March 31, 2013, we had \$375.9 outstanding under our \$700 revolving credit facility and \$195.0 outstanding under a related term loan. We are subject to interest rate risk associated with our revolving credit facility and related term loan which bear a variable rate of interest that is based upon the lender's base rate or the LIBOR rate. The interest we pay on our borrowings is dependent on interest rate conditions and the timing of our financing needs. Assuming these borrowings remain at \$570.9 for 12 months, a one percentage point move in the related interest rates would increase or decrease our annual interest expense by approximately \$5.7.

Item 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Our management, with the participation of our President and Chief Executive Officer and the Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (the Certifying Officers), evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act)). Based upon that evaluation, the Certifying Officers concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report are effective.

There have been no changes in internal controls over financial reporting for the period covered by this report that have materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect the Company's internal control over financial reporting, except for the potential changes noted in the following paragraph relating to the Coperion acquisition.

On December 1, 2012, we completed our acquisition of Coperion, which includes its existing information systems and internal controls over financial reporting. In conducting our evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2013, we have elected to exclude Coperion from our evaluation as permitted under existing SEC rules. We are currently in the process of evaluating and integrating Coperion's historical internal controls over financial reporting with those of the rest of the Company. The integration may lead to changes in future fiscal periods, but we do not expect these changes to materially affect our internal controls over financial reporting. We expect to complete this integration in fiscal year 2014.

Other than the changes noted above, there were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended March 31, 2013, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Information pertaining to legal proceedings can be found in Note 12 to the interim consolidated financial statements included in Part I, Item 1 of this report.

Item 1A. RISK FACTORS

In this section of the Form 10-Q, we describe the risks we believe are most important for you to think about when you consider investing in, selling, or owning our stock or debt. This information should be assessed along with the other information we provide you in this Form 10-Q and in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2012. Like most companies, our business involves risks. The risks described below are not the only risks we face, but these are the ones we currently think have the potential to significantly affect stakeholders in our Company if they were to develop adversely (due to size, volatility, or both). We exclude risks that we believe are inherent in all businesses broadly as a function of simply being in business. Additional risks not currently known or considered immaterial by us at this time and thus not listed below could also result in adverse effects on our business. In the risk descriptions below, we have assigned the risks into categories to help you understand where they emanate from (e.g. the overall Company or a specific segment).

Risk Related to Our Overall Company

A key component of our growth strategy is making significant acquisitions, some of which may be outside our current industries. We may not be able to achieve some or all of the benefits that we expect to achieve from these acquisitions. If an acquisition were to perform unfavorably, it could have an adverse impact on our value.

All acquisitions involve inherent uncertainties, which may include, among other things, our ability to:

- successfully identify targets for acquisition;
- negotiate reasonable terms;
- properly perform due diligence and determine all the significant risks associated with a particular acquisition;
- properly evaluate target company management capabilities; and

Edgar Filing: Hillenbrand, Inc. - Form 10-Q

- successfully transition the acquired company into our business and achieve the desired performance.

We may acquire businesses with unknown liabilities, contingent liabilities, or internal control deficiencies. We have plans and procedures to conduct reviews of potential acquisition candidates for compliance with applicable regulations and laws prior to acquisition. Despite these efforts, realization of any of these liabilities or deficiencies may increase our expenses, adversely affect our financial position, or cause us to fail to meet our public financial reporting obligations.

We generally seek indemnification from sellers covering these matters; however, the liability of the sellers is often limited, and certain former owners may be unable to meet their indemnification responsibilities. We cannot assure you that these indemnification provisions will fully protect us, and as a result we may face unexpected liabilities that adversely affect our profitability and financial position.

We may not achieve the intended benefits of the acquisition and our business could be materially impacted. Under such circumstances, management could be required to spend significant amounts of time and resources in the transition of the acquired business. In addition, any benefits we anticipate from application of our lean manufacturing and lean business expertise may not be fully realized.

If we acquire a company that operates in an industry that is different from the ones in which we operate, our lack of experience with that company's industry could have a material adverse impact on our ability to manage that business and realize the benefits of that acquisition.

Table of Contents

Global market and economic conditions, including those related to the financial markets, could have a material adverse effect on our operating results, financial condition, and liquidity.

Our business is sensitive to changes in general economic conditions, both inside and outside the U.S. Although we have seen stability or growth in some geographies since the global economic turmoil that began in 2008, we cannot assure you that these improvements will be sustainable or predict when the next recession will occur. In addition, the current uncertainties in the euro zone may depress demand in the area and create additional risk to our financial results.

Instability in the global economy and financial markets can adversely affect our business in several ways, including limiting our customers ability to obtain sufficient credit or pay for our products within the terms of sale. Competition could further intensify among the manufacturers and distributors with whom we compete for volume and market share, resulting in lower net revenue due to steeper discounts and product mix-down. In addition, if certain key or sole suppliers were to become capacity constrained or insolvent, it could result in a reduction or interruption in supplies or a significant increase in the price of supplies.

Substantial losses in the equity markets could have an adverse effect on the assets of the Company's pension plans. Volatility of interest rates and negative equity returns could require greater contributions to the defined benefit plans in the future.

International economic, political, legal, and business factors could negatively affect our operating results, cash flows, financial condition, and growth.

We derived approximately 37% and 17% of our revenue from outside the U.S. for the six-month periods ended March 31, 2013 and 2012. Our international revenue is primarily generated in Europe, the Middle East, Asia, South America, and Canada. We expect our international revenue to continue to grow due to the acquisition of Coperion. In addition, we have manufacturing operations, suppliers, and employees located outside the U.S. Since our growth strategy depends in part on our ability to further penetrate markets outside the U.S., we expect to continue to increase our sales and presence outside the U.S.

Our international business is subject to risks that are customarily encountered in non-U.S. operations, including:

- interruption in the transportation of materials to us and finished goods to our customers;
- differences in terms of sale, including payment terms;
- local product preferences and product requirements;
- changes in the political or economic condition in a country or region, including safety and health issues;
- trade protection measures and import or export licensing requirements;

Edgar Filing: Hillenbrand, Inc. - Form 10-Q

- unexpected changes in laws or regulatory requirements, including negative changes in tax laws;
- limitations on ownership and on repatriation of earnings and cash;
- difficulty in staffing and managing widespread operations;
- differing labor regulations;
- difficulties in implementing restructuring actions on a timely or comprehensive basis; and
- differing protection of intellectual property.

We rely upon our employees, agents, and business partners to comply with laws in many different countries and jurisdictions. We establish policies and provide training to assist them in understanding our policies and the regulations most applicable to our business; however, our reputation, ability to do business, and financial results may be impaired by improper conduct by these individuals.

We cannot provide assurance that our internal controls and compliance systems will always protect us from acts committed by our employees, agents, or business partners that would violate U.S. and/or non-U.S. laws, including the laws governing payments to government officials, bribery, fraud, anti-kickback and false claims rules, competition, export and import compliance, money laundering, and data privacy. In particular, the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, the U.K. Bribery Act, and similar anti-bribery laws in other jurisdictions generally prohibit companies and their intermediaries from making improper payments to government officials for the purpose of obtaining or retaining business, and we operate in many parts of the world that have experienced governmental

Table of Contents

corruption to some degree. Any such improper actions could subject us to civil or criminal investigations in the U.S. and in other jurisdictions; could lead to substantial civil and criminal, monetary and non-monetary penalties, and related shareholder lawsuits; could cause us to incur significant legal fees; and could damage our reputation.

We are subject to risks arising from currency exchange rate fluctuations, which may adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.

We are subject to currency exchange rate risk to the extent that our costs are denominated in currencies other than those in which we earn revenues. In addition, since our financial statements are denominated in U.S. dollars, changes in currency exchange rates between the U.S. dollar and other currencies have had, and will continue to have, an impact on our results of operations. Although we address currency risk management through regular operating and financing activities, and, on a limited basis, through the use of derivative financial instruments, those actions may not prove to be fully effective.

Increased prices for, or unavailability of, raw materials used in our products could adversely affect profitability.

Our profitability is affected by the prices of the raw materials used in the manufacture of our products. These prices fluctuate based on a number of factors beyond our control, including changes in supply and demand, general economic conditions, labor costs, fuel-related delivery costs, competition, import duties, tariffs, currency exchange rates, and, in some cases, government regulation. Significant increases in the prices of raw materials that cannot be recovered through increases in the price of our products could adversely affect our results of operations and cash flows.

We cannot guarantee that the prices we are paying for commodities today will continue in the future or that the marketplace will continue to support current prices for our products or that such prices can be adjusted to fully offset commodity price increases in the future. Any increases in prices resulting from a tightening supply of these or other commodities could adversely affect our profitability. We generally do not engage in hedging transactions for raw material purchases, but we do enter into some fixed-price supply contracts.

Our dependency upon regular deliveries of supplies from particular suppliers means that interruptions or stoppages in such deliveries could adversely affect our operations until arrangements with alternate suppliers could be made. Several of the raw materials used in the manufacture of our products currently are procured from a single source. If any of these sole-source suppliers were unable to deliver these materials for an extended period of time as a result of financial difficulties, catastrophic events affecting their facilities, or other factors, or if we were unable to negotiate acceptable terms for the supply of materials with these sole-source suppliers, our business could suffer. We may not be able to find acceptable alternatives, and any such alternatives could result in increased costs. Extended unavailability of a necessary raw material could cause us to cease manufacturing one or more products for a period of time.

A portion of our workforce is unionized. The Company could face labor disruptions that would interfere with operations.

Edgar Filing: Hillenbrand, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Approximately 40% of Hillenbrand's employees work under collective bargaining agreements. Although we have not experienced any significant work stoppages in the past 20 years as a result of labor disagreements, we cannot ensure that such a stoppage will not occur in the future. Inability to negotiate satisfactory new agreements or a labor disturbance at one of the principal facilities could have a material adverse effect on our operations.

Volatility in our investment portfolio could adversely impact our operating results and financial condition.

In connection with our separation from our previous parent company, certain investments were transferred to us that had an aggregate carrying value of \$12.3 as of March 31, 2013. Volatility in our investment portfolio impacts earnings. These investments could be adversely affected by general economic conditions, changes in interest rates, equity market volatility, and other factors, resulting in an adverse impact on our operating results and financial condition.

Table of Contents

We are involved on an ongoing basis in claims, lawsuits, and governmental proceedings relating to our operations, including environmental, antitrust, patent infringement, business practices, commercial transactions, and other matters. The ultimate outcome of these claims, lawsuits, and governmental proceedings cannot be predicted with certainty, but could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, and cash flows.

We are also subject to other potential claims, including product and general liability, workers compensation, auto liability, and employment-related matters. While we maintain insurance for certain of these exposures, the policies in place are high-deductible policies. For a more detailed discussion of our asserted claims, see our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2012.

Upon the closing of each of our recent acquisitions, we increased our debt obligations significantly. This could adversely affect our Company and limit our ability to respond to changes in our businesses.

As of March 31, 2013, our outstanding debt was \$719.6. This level of debt could have important consequences to our businesses. For example:

- We may be more vulnerable to general adverse economic and industry conditions because we have lower borrowing capacity.
- We will be required to dedicate a larger portion of our cash flow from operations to payments on our indebtedness, thereby reducing the availability of our cash flow for other purposes, including business development efforts and acquisitions.
- We will continue to be exposed to the risk of increased interest rates because a portion of our borrowings is at variable rates of interest.
- We may be more limited in our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our businesses and the industries in which they operate, thereby placing us at a competitive disadvantage compared to competitors that have less indebtedness.

Provisions in our Articles of Incorporation and By-laws and facets of Indiana law may prevent or delay an acquisition of our Company, which could decrease the trading price of our common stock.

Our Articles of Incorporation and By-laws, as well as Indiana law, contain provisions that could delay or prevent changes in control if our Board of Directors determines that such changes in control are not in the best interests of our shareholders. While these provisions have the effect of encouraging persons seeking to acquire control of our Company to negotiate with our Board of Directors, they could enable our Board of Directors to hinder or frustrate a transaction that the Board of Directors feels is not in the best interests of shareholders, but which some, or a majority, of our shareholders might believe to be in their best interests.

These provisions include, among others:

Edgar Filing: Hillenbrand, Inc. - Form 10-Q

- the division of our Board of Directors into three classes with staggered terms;
- the inability of our shareholders to act by less than unanimous written consent;
- rules regarding how shareholders may present proposals or nominate directors for election at shareholder meetings;
- the right of our Board of Directors to issue preferred stock without shareholder approval; and
- limitations on the right of shareholders to remove directors.

Indiana law also imposes some restrictions on mergers and other business combinations between us and any holder of 10% or more of our outstanding common stock, as well as on certain control share acquisitions.

We believe these provisions are important for a public company and protect our shareholders from coercive or otherwise potentially unfair takeover tactics by requiring potential acquirers to negotiate with our Board of Directors and by providing our Board of Directors with appropriate time to assess any acquisition proposal. These provisions are not intended to make our Company immune from takeovers; however, they may apply if the Board of Directors determines that a takeover offer is not in the best interests of our shareholders, even if some shareholders believe the offer to be beneficial.

Table of Contents

Risk Related to the Process Equipment Group

A significant portion of our investments in the Process Equipment Group includes goodwill and intangible assets that are subject to periodic impairment evaluations. An impairment loss on these assets could have a material adverse impact on our financial condition and results of operations.

We acquired intangible assets with the acquisitions of Coperion, K-Tron, and Rotex, portions of which were identified as either goodwill or indefinite-lived assets. We periodically assess these assets to determine if they are impaired. Significant negative industry or economic trends, disruptions to our business, inability to effectively integrate acquired businesses, unexpected significant changes, or planned changes in use of the assets, divestitures, and market capitalization declines may impair these assets. Any charges relating to such impairments could adversely affect our results of operations in the periods recognized.

The Process Equipment Group operates in cyclical industries.

As an industrial capital goods supplier, the Process Equipment Group serves industries that are cyclical. During periods of economic expansion, when capital spending normally increases, the Process Equipment Group generally benefits from greater demand for its products. During periods of economic contraction, when capital spending normally decreases, the Process Equipment Group generally is adversely affected by declining demand for new equipment orders, and it may be subject to uncollectible receivables from customers who become insolvent. There can be no assurance that economic expansion or increased demand will be sustainable.

The Process Equipment Group derives significant revenues from the energy industry. Any decline in demand for electricity, natural gas, or coal or an increase in regulation of the energy industry could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

The Process Equipment Group sells dry material separation and size reduction equipment to the electric generating, natural gas, and coal mining industries. A significant portion of its sales are tied to the consumption of natural gas and coal as a means of generating electricity. The demand for natural gas and coal is dependent upon the availability and cost of alternative sources of energy, such as oil or nuclear power. Additionally, the cost of compliance with federal, state, and local laws and regulations on the energy industry may impact the demand for our products. As a result, any downturn in or disruption to the natural gas or coal industries or decline in the demand for electricity, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

Risk Related to Batesville

Continued fluctuations in mortality rates and increased cremations may adversely affect, as they have in recent years, the sales volume of our burial caskets.

Edgar Filing: Hillenbrand, Inc. - Form 10-Q

The life expectancy of U.S. citizens has increased steadily since the 1950s and is expected to continue to do so for the foreseeable future. As the population of the U.S. continues to age, we anticipate the number of deaths in the U.S. will be relatively flat until aging baby boomers cause the number of deaths to increase.

Cremations as a percentage of total U.S. deaths have increased steadily since the 1960s and are expected to continue to increase for the foreseeable future. The increase in the number of cremations in the U.S. has resulted in a contraction in the demand for burial caskets. This has been a contributing factor to lower burial casket sales volumes for Batesville in each of the last five fiscal years. We expect these trends to continue in the foreseeable future and will likely continue to negatively impact burial casket volumes.

Finally, the number of deaths can vary over short periods of time and among different geographical areas, due to a variety of factors, including the timing and severity of seasonal outbreaks of illnesses such as pneumonia and influenza. Such variations could cause the sale of burial caskets to fluctuate from quarter to quarter and year to year.

Table of Contents

Batesville's business is dependent on several major contracts with large national funeral providers. The relationships with these customers pose several risks.

Batesville has contracts with a number of national funeral home customers that comprise a sizeable portion of its overall sales volume. Any decision by national funeral home customers to discontinue purchases from Batesville could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, and cash flows. Also, while contracts with national funeral service providers give Batesville important access to purchasers of funeral service products, they may obligate Batesville to sell products at contracted prices for extended periods of time, therefore limiting Batesville's ability, in the short term, to raise prices in response to significant increases in raw material prices or other factors.

Batesville is facing competition from a number of non-traditional sources and from caskets manufactured abroad and imported into North America.

Non-traditional funeral product providers, such as large discount retail stores, casket stores, and internet casket retailers, could present more of a competitive threat to Batesville and its sales channel than is currently anticipated. In addition, a few foreign manufacturers, mostly from China, import caskets into the U.S. and Canada. For the past three years, sales from these non-traditional and Chinese providers have remained relatively stable and represent a small percentage of total casket sales in North America, collectively less than 5%. It is not possible to quantify the financial impact that these competitors will have on Batesville in the future. These competitors and any new entrants into the funeral products business may drive pricing and other competitive actions in an industry that already has nearly twice the necessary domestic production capacity. Such competitive actions could have a negative impact on our results of operations and cash flows.

Item 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

There were no unregistered sales of equity securities in the three months ended March 31, 2013.

Item 6. EXHIBITS

The exhibits filed with this report are listed on the Exhibit Index, which is incorporated herein by reference. In reviewing any agreements included as exhibits to this report, please remember that they are included to provide you with information regarding their terms and are not intended to provide any other factual or disclosure information about us or the other parties to the agreements. The agreements may contain representations and warranties by the parties to the agreements, including us. Except where explicitly stated otherwise, these representations and warranties have been made solely for the benefit of the other parties to the applicable agreement and:

- should not necessarily be treated as categorical statements of fact, but rather as a way of allocating the risk to one of the parties if those statements prove to be inaccurate;

Edgar Filing: Hillenbrand, Inc. - Form 10-Q

- may have been qualified by disclosures that were made to the other party in connection with the negotiation of the applicable agreement, which disclosures are not necessarily reflected in the agreement;
- may apply standards of materiality in a way that is different from what may be viewed as material to you or other investors; and
- were made only as of the date of the applicable agreement or such other date or dates as may be specified in the agreement and are subject to more recent developments.

Accordingly, these representations and warranties may not describe the actual state of affairs as of the date they were made or at any other time.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

HILLENBRAND, INC.

Date: May 8, 2013

BY: /s/ Cynthia L. Lucchese
Cynthia L. Lucchese
Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

Date: May 8, 2013

BY: /s/ Elizabeth E. Dreyer
Elizabeth E. Dreyer
Vice President, Controller and Chief Accounting
Officer

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

| | |
|-----------------|---|
| Exhibit 4.1 | Supplemental Indenture dated as of January 10, 2013, by and among Hillenbrand, Inc., Batesville Casket Company, Inc., Batesville Manufacturing, Inc., Batesville Services, Inc., Coperion Corporation, K-Tron Investment Co., TerraSource Global Corporation, Process Equipment Group, Inc., Rotex Global, LLC, and U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 11, 2013) |
| Exhibit 10.1 | Annex to Guaranty dated as of January 9, 2013, by Coperion Corporation in favor of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent, and various other agents and lenders named therein (Incorporated by reference to the Form of Subsidiary Guaranty and Form of Annex to Guaranty, copies of which were filed as exhibits to Exhibit 10.1 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 21, 2012) |
| Exhibit 10.2* | Written description of oral agreement between Hillenbrand, Inc. and Kenneth A. Camp |
| Exhibit 31.1* | Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| Exhibit 31.2* | Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| Exhibit 32.1* | Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| Exhibit 32.2* | Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| Exhibit 101.INS | Instance document |
| Exhibit 101.SCH | Schema document |
| Exhibit 101.CAL | Calculation linkbase document |
| Exhibit 101.LAB | Labels linkbase document |
| Exhibit 101.PRE | Presentation linkbase document |
| Exhibit 101.DEF | Definition linkbase document |

* Filed herewith.