Vale S.A. Form 6-K June 07, 2016 Table of Contents

United States Securities and Exchange Commission

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 6-K

Report of Foreign Private Issuer

Pursuant to Rule 13a-16 or 15d-16

of the

Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the month of

June 2016

Vale S.A.

Avenida das Américas, No. 700 Bloco 8, Sala 218 22640-100 Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

(Address of principal executive office)

(Indicate by check mark whether the registrant files or will file annual reports under cover of Form 20-F or Form 40-F.)
(Check One) Form 20-F x Form 40-F o
(Indicate by check mark if the registrant is submitting the Form 6-K in paper as permitted by Regulation S-T Rule 101(b)(1))
(Check One) Yes o No x
(Indicate by check mark if the registrant is submitting the Form 6-K in paper as permitted by Regulation S-T Rule 101(b)(7))
(Check One) Yes o No x
(Indicate by check mark whether the registrant by furnishing the information contained in this Form is also thereby furnishing information to the Commission pursuant to Rule 12g3-2(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.)
(Check One) Yes o No x
(If Yes is marked, indicate below the file number assigned to the registrant in connection with Rule 12g3-2(b). 82)

Table of Contents	
Table of Contents:	
File Signature Page	3 737
	2

1.1. Statement and Identification of the Responsible Individual

Name of the individual responsible for the content of the Reference Form Position of responsible individual	Murilo Pinto de Oliveira Ferreira Executive Director
Name of the individual responsible for the	Luciano Siani Pires
content of the Reference Form Position of responsible individual	Director of Investor Relations
The above-mentioned directors stated that:	
a. They have reviewed the Reference Form;	
b. All the information contained in the Reference	Form complies with Instruction CVM No. 480, in particular with Articles 14 through 19;
c. All the information contained therein is an acc and of the risks inherent to its activities and the s	urate, precise and complete representation of the economic and financial situation of the issue ecurities issued by it.

STATEMENT BY THE PRESIDENT

FOR PURPOSES OF ITEM 1.1 IN THE REFERENCE FORM

Murilo Pinto de Oliveira Ferreira, Brazilian, married, business administrator, bearer of ID card RG IFP/RJ 004.922.272-2, registered with the Taxpayers Registry of the Ministry of Finance under CPF/MF no. 212.466.706-82, resident and domiciled in the City and State of Rio de Janeiro, with business address at Avenida das Américas, no. 700, Bloco 8, Loja 318, 3rd floor, Barra da Tijuca, CEP 22640-100, in the City and State of Rio de Janeiro, as President of Vale S.A., joint-stock company, with registered office at in the city and state of Rio de Janeiro, at Avenida das Américas, no. 700, Bloco 8, Loja 318, 3rd floor, Barra da Tijuca, CEP 22640-100, registered with the Taxpayers Registry of the Ministry of Finance under CNPJ/MF no. 33.592.510/0001-54 (Company), for purposes of item 1.1 in this Reference Form states that:

a	he	has	reviewed	the	R	eference	Form	αf	the	Com	nanx	,.
a.	HC	mas	ICVICWEU	uic	1/	CICICIICE	TOTH	O1	uic	COIII	pany	٠.

b. information in this Reference Form complies with the terms in the Instruction by Comissão de Valores Mobiliários no. 480, dated December 7, 2009, as amended specially to Articles 14 to 19; and

c. the information contained herein is a true, accurate, and comprehensive picture of the financial status of the Company and risks inherent to its activities and securities issued by the Company.

Murilo Pinto de Oliveira Ferreira President

STATEMENT BY THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER OF FINANCE AND INVESTORS RELATIONS

FOR PURPOSES OF ITEM 1.1 IN THE REFERENCE FORM

Luciano Siani Pires, Brazilian, married, mechanic engineer, bearer of ID card RG IFP/RJ 07.670.915-3, registered with the Taxpayers Registry of the Ministry of Finance under CPF/MF no. 013.907.897-56, resident and domiciled in the City and State of Rio de Janeiro, with business address at Avenida das Américas, no. 700, Bloco 8, Loja 318, 3rd floor, Barra da Tijuca, CEP 22640-100, in the City and State of Rio de Janeiro, as Executive Officer of Finance and Investor Relations of Vale S.A., joint-stock company, with registered office at in the City and State of Rio de Janeiro, at Avenida das Américas, no. 700, Bloco 8, Loja 318, 3rd floor, Barra da Tijuca, CEP 22640-100, registered with the Taxpayers Registry of the Ministry of Finance under CNPJ/MF no. 33.592.510/0001-54 (Company), for purposes of item 1.1 in this Reference Form states that:

a	he	has	reviewed	the	R	eference	Form	αf	the	Com	nanx	,.
a.	HC	mas	ICVICWEU	uic	1/	CICICIICE	TOTH	O1	uic	COIII	pany	٠.

b. information in this Reference Form complies with the terms in the Instruction by Comissão de Valores Mobiliários no. 480, dated December 7, 2009, as amended specially to Articles 14 to 19; and

c. the information contained herein is a true, accurate, and comprehensive picture of the financial status of the Company and risks inherent to its activities and securities issued by the Company.

Luciano Siani Pires

Executive Officer of Finance and Investor Relations

2.1/2.2 Identification and remuneration of Auditors:

Does it have auditor? YES **CVM (Securities Commission)** 418.9

Code

Type of Auditor Domestic

Name/Corporate name **KPMG** Auditores Independentes

CPF/CNPJ 57.755.217/0001.29 04/30/2014 Service start date: End of service provision: Ongoing.

Description of the service Provision of professional services associated with (i) auditing financial statements for domestic contracted and international purposes and to develop certification of internal controls (in compliance with

Section 404 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act from 2002) for the fiscal years ending on December 31, 2014, 2015, and 2016, and for reviewing the quarterly information ITR from June 30, 2014 to March 31, 2017. Additionally, the scope of the work should also include providing auditing services, such as

the issue of procedural reports previously agreed upon according to NBC TSC4400.

Total amount of the The services hired from the Company s external auditors relative to the fiscal year that ended on

remuneration of independent December 31, 2015 for the Company and its controlled companies were the following. auditors itemized per service

	Reais (Thousands)
Financial Audit	19,458
Sarbanes Oxley Act Audit	1,133
Audit-Related Services (*)	174
External Audit Total Services	20,765
Total Services Amount	20,765

(*) In their great majority, these services are hired for periods shorter than one year and they are mainly associated with the issue of procedural reports previously agreed upon according to NBC TSC4400).

Justification for replacement Reason submitted by the auditor in case of disagreement of the issuer justification

Not applicable Not applicable

Period of Name of the supervisor provision of responsible

Manuel Fernandes Rodrigues de Av. Almirante Barroso, 52 4° andar Starting on 04/30/2014 783.840.017-15

CPF

Sousa 20031-000, Rio de Janeiro, RJ

email: mfernandes@kpmg.com.br Telephone: (21) 3515-9336

Address

Does it have auditor? YES **CVM (Securities Commission) Code** 287-9 Type of Auditor Domestic

Name/Corporate name PricewaterhouseCoopers Auditores Independentes

CPF/CNP.J 61.562.112/0002-01 Service start date: 07/24/2009

End of service provision: 04/29/2014

Description of the service contracted

Provision of professional services related to (i) auditing the individual and consolidated financial statements for fiscal years ending on December 31, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013 and reviewing the quarterly information ITR for such fiscal years and the quarter ending on March 31, 2014, both for domestic and international purposes, as applicable, (ii) issuing comfort letters for the issuance of debts and equities at the Brazilian and international market, (iii) the certification of internal controls in order to comply with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, and (iv) provision of other services related to the audit and (v) provision of other services, unrelated to the external audit. In the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014, no payments were made.

Total amount of the remuneration of independent auditors itemized per service Justification for replacement Reason submitted by the auditor in case of disagreement of the issuer justification

Change of Independent Auditors according to article 31 in CVM Instruction 308/99 Auditor replacement was expressly approved by the auditor, with no disagreements

Name of the supervisor responsible	Period of provision of service	CPF	Address
João César de Oliveira Lima Junior	06/01/2012 to 04/29/2014	744.808.477-15	Avenida José da Silva de Azevedo Neto nº 200 Bloco 3 - Torre Evolution IV rooms 101, 103 to 108 and 201 to 208, Barra da Tijuca, City and State do Rio de Janeiro-RJ, CEP 22075-556. e-mail: joao.c.lima@br.pwc.com Phone: (21) 3232-6112
Marcos Donizete Panassol	07/24/2009 to 05/31/2012	063.702.238-67	Avenida José da Silva de Azevedo Neto, no. 200, Bloco 3, Torre Evolution IV, salas 101, 103 a 108 e 201 a 208, Barra da Tijuca, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, CEP 22075-556
			Email: marcos.panassol@br.pwc.com
			Telephone: (21) 3232-6112

2.3 Other relevant information

At the meeting of November 28, 2013, the Board of Directors of Vale approved hiring the company KPMG Auditores Independentes to provide auditing services for the Company s financial statements for 3 (three) years starting in fiscal year 2014. Services started with the review of the 2014-second quarter information (ITRs).

The Company has specific internal procedures for pre-approval of engagements for their external auditors in order to avoid conflict of interest or loss of objectivity by its independent auditors.

Table of Contents

The Company s policies regarding independent auditors and other services unrelated to external auditing are grounded in principles that safeguard their independence. In line with best corporate governance practices, all services provided by the independent auditors are pre-approved by the Supervisory Board, and the independent auditor provide us with an independence letter.

Additionally, the Company clarifies that there are no relevant transfers of services or resources between Company-related parties with the Company as defined in CVM deliberation no. 642/10 that approved CPC Technical Pronunciation 05(R1).

3.1 Consolidated Financial Information

		Fiscal year
Fiscal Year (12/31/2015)	Fiscal Year (12/31/2014)	(12/31/2013)
139,419,000,000.00	149,601,000,000.00	152,121,066,000.00
345,547,000,000.00	309,415,000,000.00	291,880,311,000.00
85,499,000,000.00	88,275,000,000.00	101,489,747,000.00
16,841,000,000.00	29,188,000,000.00	48,979,108,000.00
45,997,000,000.00	219,000,000.00	258,000,000.00
5,153,374,926	5,135,374,926	5,153,374,926
27.05392136	29.02971395	29.51872670
(8.580000)	0.190000	0.020000
(8.580000)	0. 190000	0.020000
	139,419,000,000.00 345,547,000,000.00 85,499,000,000.00 16,841,000,000.00 45,997,000,000.00 5,153,374,926 27.05392136 (8.580000)	139,419,000,000.00 149,601,000,000.00 345,547,000,000.00 309,415,000,000.00 85,499,000,000.00 88,275,000,000.00 16,841,000,000.00 29,188,000,000.00 45,997,000,000.00 219,000,000.00 5,153,374,926 5,135,374,926 27.05392136 29.02971395 (8.580000) 0.190000

3.2 Non-Accounting measurements

a. Value of non-accounting measurements

The Company uses EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA as a non-accounting measurement. In 2015, 2014, and 2013, respectively, the EBITDA of the Company was established in the amount of R\$ (14,849) million, R\$ 27,680 million, and R\$ 42,386 million, respectively, while the Adjusted EBITDA was established in the amount of R\$ 23,654 million, R\$ 31,134 million, and R\$ 49,027 million, respectively.

b. Reconciliations between amounts reported and the values of audited financial statements

	Ye	ear ending on December 31	
In R\$ million	2015	2014	2013
Fiscal year net profit (loss)	(45,997)	219	(258)
Income tax and social contribution	(18,879)	2,600	15,249
Net financial results	36,538	14,753	18,442
EBIT	(28,338)	17,572	33,433
Depreciation, amortization and, depletion	13,489	10,108	8,953
EBITDA	14,849	27,680	42,386
Corporate income in joint ventures and affiliates	1,507)	1,141	999
Reduction of corporate recoverable value in assets	34,553	2,713	5,390
Reduction of corporate recoverable value in joint ventures and			
affiliates	1,727	71	
Result from the sale of interest in <i>joint venture</i> and affiliates	(296)	68	(98)
(Gain) Loss non-current asset impairment kept for sale	(52)	441	508
Dividends received	1,064	1,302	1,836
Discontinued operations			4
Adjusted EBITDA	23,654	31,134	49,027
Dividends received	(1,064)	(1,302)	(1,836)
Depreciation, amortization, and depletion	(13,489)	(10,108)	(8,953)
Adjusted EBIT	9,101	19,724	38,238

c. Why the Company believes that this measurement is more appropriate for a correct understanding of its financial situation and results of operations

EBITDA (LAJIDA) is a measure of the company s cash generation, aiming to assist the assessment by the Administration of the performance of operations. The analysis of operating results through EBITDA (LAJIDA) has the benefit of canceling the effect of non-operating gains or losses generated by financial transactions or the effect of taxes.

We calculate the EBIDTA (LAJIDA) according to the terms set forth in CMV Instruction no. 527, from October 4, 2012 (<u>CVM Instruction 52</u>7), as follows: the term s net results, plus the taxes over the profit, of the net financial expenses, of financial revenues, and of depreciation, amortization, and exhaustion.

We also calculate the Adjusted EBITDA (LAJIDA) according to the EBITDA (LAJIDA) adding up to it dividends received from affiliates and joint ventures and excluding depreciation, depletion and amortization, reduction in the recoverable asset of values, burdensome contracts and results from calculations or sale of non-current assets. We

Table of Contents

understand that the Adjusted EBITDA (LAJIDA) has a more precise measure of cash generation in the Company, since it excludes non-recurring and non-cash effects.

The consolidated cash generation measured by EBITDA (LAJIDA) and Adjusted EBITDA (LAJIDA) is not a measure recognized by BR GAAP or IFRS and does not represent cash flow for the periods presented and therefore should not be considered as an alternative to net income (loss), as an isolated indicator of operating performance or as an alternative to cash flow or as a source of liquidity. The EBITDA (LAJIDA) definition used by Vale may not be comparable with EBITDA (LAJIDA) disclosed by other companies, should they not adopt the standard meaning for EBITDA (LAJIDA) determined by CVM Instruction 527.

3.3 Events subsequent to the latest financial statements

The Company does not provide guidance in the form of quantitative predictions about its future financial performance. The Company seeks to disseminate as much information about its vision of the various markets where it operates, guidelines, and implementation strategies in order to provide investors in the capital markets a basis for the formation of expectations about its performance in the medium and long term.

The Company Consolidated Financial Statements for the year ended December 31, 2015 were issued on February 24, 2016.

The following events, subsequent to the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Company, under the terms in the rules in IAS 24, approved by CVM° 593/09, were observed:

- In January 2016, Vale reported that, in order to meet the provisions of its shareholder compensation policy and as a result of the mineral commodities volatility, the Executive Board had approved and submitted to the Administrative Board a proposal for a minimum compensation equal to zero for the year of 2016. When the scenario becomes better defined and if there is enough cash generation, the Administrative Board may decide to distribute compensation to the shareholders. For further information on the referred policy, see item 3.9 below.
- In January 2016, the Company withdrew US\$ 3,000 million from its revolving credit lines. Vale International S.A. withdrew the sum of US\$ 1,800 million and Vale S.A. withdrew US\$ 1,200 million (R\$ 4,686 million).

Such event shall lead to an increase in the Company s debt in the amount of US\$ 3,000 million in the 2016 fiscal year. The purpose of this measure was to increase the Company s liquidity and cover potential cash flow short term needs. Part of the amount raised was used to cover funds employed to amortize bonds that matured in the first quarter of 2016. This strategy is consistent with the Company s focus on managing its liquidity and reducing capital cost.

3.4 Policy for allocation of results

	2015	Fiscal Year Ended December 31 2014	2013
a. Rules on retention of profits	profits of the formation of (i) legislation, and (ii) investmen development of activities that	ws, there should be a consideration in the fiscal benefit reserve, to be constituted treserve for the purpose of ensuring constitute the main object of the Constitute of the constitute the main object of the Constitute the	ited in the form of current g the maintenance and ompany, in an amount not
Values on retention of profits	There was a net loss in the amount of R\$ 44,212,186,731.00, and said loss was absorbed pursuant to the terms of the sole paragraph of Article 189 from Act # 6,404/1976. Therefore, no profit was obtained in the fiscal year ended on December 31, 2015.	Of the total of R\$ 954,384,414.00, the distribution was (i) R\$ 47,719,220.70 to legal reserve and (ii) R\$ 161,770,077.08 (17%) to fiscal benefit reserves.	Of the total of 115,090,671.19, added with accrued gains from the adoption of new accounting principles issued by the Comissão de Valores Mobiliários (CVM) and the Comitê de Pronunciamentos Contábeis (CPC), in the amount of R\$ 14,627,000.00, the distribution was (i) R\$ 485,883.56 to legal reserves and (ii) R\$ 24,161,826.66 (21%) to fiscal incentive reserves. (1)

b. Arrangements for distribution of dividends

According to Article 44 of the bylaws, at least 25% (twenty five percent) of annual net profits, adjusted according to the law, will be provided for the payment of dividends.

Pursuant to Art. 5, §5 of the bylaws, the holders of preferred shares of Class A and special class, shall have their right to participate in the dividend to be distributed and calculated as per Chapter VII of the Bylaws, according to the following criterion:

(a) Priority in the reception of dividends corresponding to (i) 3% (three per cent) at least of the net asset value of the share, calculated based on the financial statements analyzed that served as reference for the payment of dividends or (ii) 6% (six per cent) calculated on the part of the capital to which that class of share belongs, whichever is the greatest of these.

	(b) Right to participate in the distributed incomes, under equal conditions with common shares, after them, guaranteeing a dividend equal to the priority minimum set up pursuant to a above.					
	(c) the right to receive eventual di priority established for dividend d	vidends, under equal conditions with ordina istribution.	ry shares, complying with the			
c. Frequency of dividend distribution	In accordance with the practices adopted by the Company, dividend	In accordance with the practices adopted by the Company, dividend	In accordance with the practices adopted by the Company, dividend			
		12				

Table of Contents

	payments made in the fiscal year ended on December 31, 2015, were made semiannually in the months of April and October. It should be noted that the shareholder compensation policy was amended in the Ordinate and Extraordinary General Assembly held on April 25, 2016. For further information on the referred policy, please see item 3.9 below.	payments made in the fiscal year ended on December 31, 201, were made semiannually in the months of April and October.	payments made in the fiscal year ended on December 31, 2013, were made semiannually in the months of April and October.
d. Eventual restrictions to dividend distribution imposed by legislation or special regulation applicable to the Company, as well as contracts, judicial, administrative, or arbitral decisions	none	none	none

3.5 Distributions of dividends and retention of net income.

(Reais)	Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2015	Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2014	Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2013
Adjusted net income for			
dividend payments	0.00000	744,895,116.22	99,069,960.97
Percentage of dividend			
over the adjusted net			
profit	0.00000	100.00000	100.00000
Rate of return in relation			
to equity	0.00000	0.63795	0.10000
Dividend distributed	0.00000	9,738,750,000.00	9,319,275,000.00
Net income retained	0.00000	161,770,077.08	24,161,826.66
Date of approval of the			
retention	04/25/2016	04/17/2015	04/17/2014

01/01/2015 to 12/31/2015

Share Type	Share Class	Distributed Dividend	Amount (Unit)	Dividend Payment
Common		Interest on Capital	1,917,001,706.26	04/30/2015
Preferred	Preferred Class A	Interest on Capital	1,184,098,296.20	04/30/2015
Common		Mandatory Dividend	1,190,190,329.63	10/30/2015
Preferred	Preferred Class A	Mandatory Dividend	735,159,669.85	10/30/2015

01/01/2014 to 12/31/2014

Share Type	Share Class	Distributed Dividend	Amount (Unit)	Dividend Payment
Common		Interest on Capital	2,863,596,635.71	04/30/2014
Preferred	Preferred Class A	Interest on Capital	1,768,793,364.29	04/30/2014
Common		Mandatory Dividend	1,083,253,396.32	10/31/2014
Preferred	Preferred Class A	Mandatory Dividend	669,106,603.68	10/31/2014
Common		Interest on Capital	2,073,336,466.96	10/31/2014
Preferred	Preferred Class A	Interest on Capital	1,280,663,533.04	10/31/2014

01/01/2013 to 12/31/2013

Share Type	Share Class	Distributed Dividend	Amount (Unit)	Dividend Payment
Common		Interest on Capital	2,263,206,859.28	04/30/2013
Preferred	Preferred Class A	Interest on Capital	1,397,943,140.72	04/30/2013
Common		Mandatory Dividend	489,342,023.63	04/30/2013
Preferred	Preferred Class A	Mandatory Dividend	302,257,976.37	04/30/2013
Common		Interest on Capital	2,624,124,419.28	10/31/2013
Preferred	Preferred Class A	Interest on Capital	1,620,875,580.72	10/31/2013
Common		Mandatory Dividend	384,207,050.57	10/31/2013
Preferred	Preferred Class A	Mandatory Dividend	237,317,949.43	10/31/2013

3.6 Statement of Dividends on account of retained earnings or reserves

		Fiscal Year l	Ended December 31	
Dividends distributed to (in R\$ thousands):	201	15	2014	2013
Retained Earnings				
Reserves Realization	5,0	026,450	8,993,855	9,220,205
	16			

3.7 Debt

Fiscal year		um of current and non- current assets	Type of index	Debt Index	Description and reason for the use of another index of indebtedness
12/31/2015	R\$	206,128,000,000.00	Debt ratio	1.6	
12/31/2015			Other indexes	4.1	Gross adjusted debt/EBITDA. Gross debt is the sum of Loans and short-term debt, Portion of the stock of long-term loans and Loans and long-term financing. The adjusted EBITDA (EBITDA) is calculated as described in section 3.2.b of this reference form, converted to annual bases ADJUSTED EBITDA The debt ratio Gross Debt / Adjusted EBITDA shows the approximate time necessary for a company to pay all its debt with its cash flow. The Company adopts the debt ratio gross debt / Adjusted EBITDA and interest coverage ratio Adjusted EBITDA / Interest expenses. These indexes are widely used by the market (rating agencies and financial institutions) and serve as a benchmark to assess the financial situation of the Company.
12/31/2015			Other indices	4.3	Adjusted EBITDA / Interest expenses The adjusted EBIDTA is calculated as described in item 3.2.b of this Reference form, excluding non-recurrent items. Interest expenses include the sum of all appropriated or adjusted interests, paid or not, at certain times, that result from Company debt. The interest coverage index (Adjusted EBITDA / Interest Expenses) is used to determine a company s cash flow capacity that is sufficient to cover payment on interest expenses The Company adopts the Gross debt/ adjusted EBIDTA debt rate and the adjusted EBIDTA/interest expenses interest coverage rate. These indices are widely used by the market (rating agencies and financial institutions) and they are a baseline to which to compare Vale s financial status.

3.8 Obligations according to the nature and maturity date:

Last accounting information (12/31/2015) Other Warranties Type of Type of and Less than 1 year Between 1 and 3 Between 3 and 5 obligation Warranty **Privileges** (R\$) years (R\$) years (R\$) Over 5 years (R\$) Total (R\$) Loans Other Unsecure and Warranties Guarantees See field 10,291,637,900.68 26,216,330,808.17 25,224,075,074.94 50,934,640,925.59 112,666,684,709.38 **Financing** Unsecured 30,474,362,099.32 16,246,669,191.83 2,898,924,925.06 43,841,359,074.1 93,461,315,290.62 obligations **Total** 40,766,000,000.00 42,463,000,000.00 28,123,000,000.00 94,776,000,000.00 206,128,000,000.00

Note: Information in this item refers to the Company s consolidated financial results.

The value shown in items 3.7 and 3.8 represents total of the obligations based on the addition of the outstanding and non-outstanding liabilities. Debts that lack collaterals or floating guarantees, whether or not they have personal guarantees, have been classified as unsecured obligations. Debts guaranteed with third party assets, as they do not encumber Company assets, were deemed as non-guaranteed debts and are classified as such.

The Financing filed refers mainly to Suppliers, amount to the paid to employees, taxes and provisions owed, among which are the retirement of assets and contingencies.

Additionally, due to system restriction, please note that the field $\,$ Loans $\,$, corresponding to R\$ 112.7 billion, on December 31, 2015 was made up of (i) debt bonds corresponding to R\$ 60.4 billion, noting that said debt bonds were unsecured and, (ii) loans, corresponding to R\$ 52.3 billion, noting that from the referred total loan amount, R\$ 1.9 billion are relative to loans backed by guarantees and the remaining amount of R\$ 50.4 billion are relative to unsecured guarantees.

3.9 Other information that the Company deems relevant

Additional Information on Financing Contracts

Part of the financial contracts entered by the Company, as well as the securities representing the circulating debt issued by the Company (for more information on such securities, see item 18.5 of this Reference Form) have clauses specifying advances maturity of pending amounts for the event of cross acceleration from other financial contract signed with the same party and/or other financial contracts.

Additional Information on Dividend Distribution

Vale calculated the net loss to be equal to R\$ 44,212 million in the fiscal year ended on December 31, 2015, and said loss was absorbed pursuant to the terms of the sole paragraph of article 189 of Act # 6.404/1976. Therefore, dividend distribution was not approved in the Ordinary General Assembly that was held on April 25, 2016.

It should be pointed out that dividends and interest on capital distributed by the Company according to item 3.6 above were distributed based on accrued profit reserves approved in the social accounting relative to fiscal year 2014. Taking into consideration said reserves, the Administrative Board in meeting held on (a) April 14, 2015, approves the payment, starting on April 30, 2015, of the first payment of minimum compensation to Vale shareholders relative to 2015, in the total gross amount of R\$ 3,101,100,000.00, as interest on capital, corresponding to the total gross amount of R\$ 0,601760991 per current, ordinary or preferential share issued by Vale, and this amount was subject to withholding tax; (b) on October 15, 2015, the payment, starting on October 30, 2015, of the second payment of shareholders compensation relative to 2015, as dividends, in the total gross amount of R\$ 1,925,350,000.00, corresponding to the amount of R\$ 0.3736095333 per current, ordinary or preferential share issued by Vale.

Additionally, the Company clarifies that the shareholder compensation policy was amended in the Extraordinary and Ordinary General Assembly help on April 25, 2016. According to the policy provisions approves:

- Shareholder compensation shall fall under the Administrative Board s discretion. The Board shall deliberate on the amount to be distributed based on the Company s business context and taking into consideration, among other factors, leverage levels and the Company s future cash commitments.
- Shareholder compensation shall fall under the Administrative Board s discretion, and said Board shall deliberate on payment in two occasions. The first payment (initial payment) shall be analyzed and, if applicable, shall be paid in the month of October of the current year, and the second payment (complementary payment) shall be analyzed and, if applicable, shall be paid in the month of April of the following year. The amount of the first payment shall be determined based on the results the Company accrued in the period and on the estimated free cash flow

generation for the following year. The second payment shall be determined after the fiscal year results are calculated.

- Proposal of the first payment of shareholders compensation shall be submitted by the Executive Board for deliberation by the Administrative Board in the month of October of each year, and it shall be disclosed to the market as soon as it is approved. The second payment of shareholders compensation shall be part of the net profit disposition of the fiscal year, and it shall be submitted by the Executive Board to the Administrative Board within the first quarter of the following year. The amount relative to the second payment shall be disclosed to the market after it is approved by the Administrative Board, and its payment shall be contingent on approval in Ordinary General Assembly.
- The amount of the first payment of shareholders—compensation shall be expressed in American dollars, and payment shall be made as dividends and / or interest on capital. The amount determined as payment shall be paid in national currency, and the conversion of the amount proposed in American dollars to Reais shall be made based on the sale exchange rate of the American dollar (Ptax-option 5), published by the Brazilian Central Bank (BACEN) on the business day preceding the Administrative Board—s meeting that deliberated on the statement and respective payment of shareholder compensation. The amount of the second payment shall be expressed and paid in Reais, and payment may be made in dividends and / or interest on capital. The amount equivalent to American dollars shall be calculated based on the purchase exchange rate of the American dollar (Ptax-option 5), published by the Brazilian Central Bank (BACEN) on the business day preceding the payment.

• During the year, the Executive Board may present a proposal to the Administrative Board, grounded in the analysis of the evolution of the Company s cash flow and on the availability of profits or reserves of existing profit, for the distribution of additional compensation to the amounts paid in October and April.
4.1 - Description of risk factors
(a) Risks relating to the Company
The Company may not be able to adjust the volume of production in time or cost-effectively in response to changes in demand.
Underuse of Company capacity in periods of low demand may expose the Company to higher production cost per unit since a significant part of its cost structure is fixed in the short term. Thus, any reduction in volume entails a lower dilution of these fixed costs, with a resulting impact on the unit cost of production. Additionally, efforts to reduce costs during periods of low demand may be limited by labor legislation or previous labor or government agreements.
On the other hand, in periods of high demand, Vale s capacity to rapidly increase production is limited, which may make it impossible to meet the demand for its products. Moreover, the Company may be unable to complete expansions and new Greenfield projects in time to take advantage of the increasing demand for iron ore, nickel and other products. When demand exceeds its production capacity, the Company may meet excess demand by purchasing iron ore, iron ore pellets or nickel from its joint ventures or third parties and resell them, which would increase its costs and reduce its operating margins. If it is unable to meet its customers increased demand this way, Vale could lose customers. In addition, operating close to full installed capacity may expose the Company to higher costs, including demurrage fees due to capacity limitations in its logistics systems.
Lower cash flows, resulting from Company products price fall, may adversely affect the Company s credit ratings as well as the cost and availability of funding.
A continued drop in the price of Company products plus the volatility of the global economy may negatively affect the Company s future cash

affected the credit rating and Vale s financial ability to access capital markets. This may negatively impact the Company s ability to finance its capital investments, pay dividends, and meet covenants listed in some of its long-term debt instruments.

flows, credit ratings and its ability to secure financing at attractive rates. Price reductions resulted in lower cash flows, which also negatively

Furthermore, certain Canadian provinces where the Company operates require the provision of financial guarantees by Vale, such as letters of credit, surety or guarantee insurance to cover certain closing costs and recovery after the completion of operations. The Company may be forced to increase the value of these financial guarantees if its credit rating is downgraded to below certain levels. If Vale is unable to provide these financial guarantees, it will have to negotiate other options with the competent jurisdictions and, in the latter case, this could impact the Company s ability to operate in such jurisdictions.

The Company is involved in legal proceedings that may have a substantial negative effect on its businesses is outcomes are unfavorable.

The company is involved in legal proceedings in which the Appellees claim substantial amounts. Such legal procedures include lawsuits and several investigations associated with the collapse of the Fundão tailings dam, owned by Samarco. Although the Company is vigorously contesting these proceedings, outcomes are uncertain and may result in obligations that could rather substantially negatively affect its

Table of Contents

business and the value of its shares. For information on these proceedings, see items 4.3 to 4.7 below.
The Company s projects are subject to risks that may result in increased costs or delay in their implementation.
The Company is investing to maintain and increase its production and logistics capacity, as well as to expand the portfolio of minerals produced. Vale regularly analyses the economic viability of its projects. As a result of this analysis, the Company may decide to postpone, stay, or interrupt the implementation of some of them. Its projects are subject to various risks that may adversely affect its growth and profitability prospects, including:
There may have been delays or costs may be higher than expected in order to obtain the necessary equipment or services and to implement new technologies to build and operate a project.
Its efforts to develop projects according to the schedule may be hampered by the lack of infrastructure, including reliable telecommunication services and power supply.
Suppliers and other corporate contractors may not comply with their contractual obligations to the Company.
The Company may experience unexpected weather conditions or other force majeure events.
The Company may fail to obtain the required permits, authorizations, and licenses for a project, or it may experience delays or have higher than expected costs to obtain them.
Changes in market conditions or legislation may make the project less profitable than expected at the time its operation begins.
There may be accidents or incidents during project implementation.
It may be difficult to find appropriate skilled professionals.
Operational problems may negatively and significantly affect the Company s business and financial performance.

An inefficient project management and operational incidents may lead to the suspension or reduction of the Company s operations, causing an overall decrease of productivity. Operational incidents may result in important failures in essential plant and machinery. There are no guarantees that project management will be efficient or that other operational problems will not occur. Any damage to the Company s projects or delays in its operations caused by inefficient project management or operational incidents may negatively and significantly affect its business and operating results.

Tah	le	οf	Con	tents
1 au	ı	OI.	\sim	wiito

The Company s business is subject to various operational risks that can adversely affect the results of its operations, such as:

Unexpected weather conditions or other force majeure events may occur.

Adverse mining conditions may delay or hinder its ability to produce the expected amount of minerals and to meet the specifications required by customers, which may lead to price reductions.

Table of Contents

There may be accidents or incidents during the business operations, involving its mines, and related infrastructure, such as dams, plants, railways, ports and vessels.
Delays or disruptions in the transportation of its products, including railways, ports and vessels.
Some of its projects are located in regions where tropical diseases, AIDS and other communicable diseases represent a major public health issue and pose risks to the health and safety of its employees.
Labor disputes may disrupt its operations from time to time.
Changes in the market or legislation may affect the economic perspectives of an operation making it incompatible with the Company s business strategy.
Interruptions or unavailability of information technology systems or essential services, which may result from accidents or irregular acts.
The Company s business may be negatively affected if its counterparties fail to meet their obligations.
Customers, suppliers, corporate contractors, financial institutions, and other counterparties may not perform the contracts and obligations assumed before the Company, which may have an adverse impact on the Company s operations and financial results. The ability of its suppliers and customers to meet their obligations may be adversely affected in times of financial stress or economic recession.
The Company currently operates and has projects related to significant parts of its iron ore, pelletizing, bauxite, nickel, coal, copper, fertilizers and steel businesses through joint ventures. Important parts of its investments and projects in electric power are operated through consortia or

Some of the Company investments can be controlled by partners in joint ventures or they may be managed by a separate and independent management company. These investments may not comply fully with Company procedures, including health, safety, environment, and common rules. Failure to adopt any adequate rules, controls or procedures, by any of the Company partners or joint ventures, may increase costs, reduce production or cause environmental, health or security incidents or accidents, which could adversely affect Company results and reputation.

joint ventures. Its forecasts and plans for these joint ventures and consortia assume that its partners will observe their obligations to make capital contributions, purchase products, management, and, in some cases, provide skilled and competent personnel. If any of its partners fails to observe its commitments, the affected joint venture or consortium may not be able to operate in accordance with its business plans, or the

Company may have to increase the level of its investment to implement these plans.

The Company may not have an adequate insurance coverage for certain business risks.

Table of Contents

The Company s businesses are generally subject to numerous risks and uncertainties that could result in damage or destruction of properties, facilities and equipment. Vale s insurance against risks that are typical in such business may not provide adequate coverage. Risk insurance (including liability for pollution or certain environmental damages or interruptions of certain business activities) may not be

Table of Contents

available at a reasonable cost or at all. Even when it is available, the Company can self-insure by determining that this will have better cost-benefit. As a result, accidents and other negative events involving its mining, production or logistics facilities may have an adverse effect on its operations.

The Company reserve estimates may materially differ from the mineral quantities that it may be able to actually recover; its estimates of mine life may prove inaccurate; and market price fluctuations and changes in operating and capital costs may render certain ore reserves uneconomical to mine.

Company reported reserves correspond to estimated quantities the Company determines to be economically possible to be mined and processed under present and anticipated conditions to extract their mineral content. There are numerous uncertainties inherent in estimating quantities of reserves and in projecting potential future rates of mineral production, including factors beyond Company control. Reserve reporting involves estimating deposits of minerals that cannot be measured in an exact manner, and the accuracy of any reserve estimate is based on the quality of available data and engineering and geological interpretation and judgment. Thus, no assurance can be given that the amount of ore indicated in those reports will be effectively recovered or that it will be recovered at the rates anticipated by the Company. Reserve estimates and estimates of mine life may require revisions based on actual production experience, projects, and other factors. For example, the lower market prices of minerals and metals reduced recovery rates or increased operating and capital costs due to inflation, exchange rates, changes in current regulations or other factors may render proven and probable reserves uneconomical to exploit and may ultimately result in a restatement of reserves. This reformulation can affect the rates of depreciation and amortization and cause a negative impact on the Company s financial performance.

The Company may not be able to replenish its reserves, which could adversely affect its mining prospects.

The Company is engaged in mineral exploration, which is highly uncertain in nature, involves several risks and is many times non-productive. Its exploration programs, which involve significant capital expenditures, may fail to result in the expansion or replenishment of reserves depleted by current production. If the Company fails to develop new reserves, it will not be able to sustain its current level of production beyond the remaining lives of its existing mines.

The feasibility of a new mining project may change over time

Once mineral deposits are discovered, it can take a number of years from the initial phases of drilling until production is possible, during which the economic feasibility of production may change. Substantial time and expenditures are required to:

Determine mineral reserves through drilling;

Determine appropriate mining and metallurgical processes for optimizing the recovery of metal contained in ore;

Obtain environmental and other required licenses;

Table of Contents

Construct the necessary mining and processing facilities and infrastructure required for the development of new projects (greenfield); and

Obtain the ore and extract the minerals from the ore.

Table of Contents

If a project proves not to be economically feasible by the time the Company is able to explore it, the Company may sustain significant losses, and eventually be compelled to reduce such assets. In addition, potential changes or complications involving metallurgical and other technological processes arising during the life of a project may result in delays cost overruns that may render the project not economically feasible.

The Company faces rising extraction costs or investment requirements over time as mineral reserves deplete.

Mineral reserves are progressively reduced in the ordinary course of a mining operation, whether open or underground. As mining progresses, distances to the plant and to waste deposits become longer, pits become steeper, open mines become underground mines, and underground operations become deeper. Additionally, for some types of reserves, the mining content is reduced and hardness increases in greater depths. As a result, over time, the Company usually experiences increase in ore extraction in its operations, or it may be required to make additional investments, including adjustment or construction of processing plants and expansion or construction of disposal barriers, it is likely that the Company needs to increase extraction costs per unit in these operations in the future.

Legal and administrative disputes may affect or disrupt the Company s operations from time to time.

The Company has a substantial number of employees and some subcontractors employees are represented by unions and are subject to collective bargaining agreements or other labor agreements that are subject to periodic negotiation.

Additionally, the Company is subject to periodical and regular investigations by the Ministry of Labor and Employment and the Labor Prosecution Office aiming compliance with labor rules, including those related to labor health and security. These investigations may cause fines and processes that could adversely and materially affect the businesses, the results and financial conditions of the Company.

Strikes and other labor disruptions in any of the Company s activities could adversely affect the operation of its facilities, the completion period and the cost of main projects. For more information on labor relations, see item 14 of this Reference Form. Moreover, we may be adversely affected by work stoppages involving third parties that may provide goods or services to the Company.

Higher costs with energy or energy shortages may adversely affect the Company s business.

Energy costs (fuel oil, natural gas, and electricity) are a significant component of the Company s production cost, representing 9.1% of the total cost of goods sold in 2015. To meet its energy demand, the Company depends on the following resources: Oil byproducts (accounting for 43% of all energy needs in 2015, electricity (26%), natural gas (16%), coal (13%), and other sources of energy (2%),

Expenses with electricity accounted for 2.48% of its total cost of goods sold in 2015. If the Company cannot ensure access to electricity at affordable prices, it may be forced to reduce production or may experience higher production costs, both of which can adversely affect its operating results. The Company faces the risk of energy shortages in countries where it

Table of Contents

has operations and projects, especially in Brazil, due to a lack of infrastructure or adverse weather conditions such as floods or droughts.

Table of Contents

Future shortages and government efforts to respond to or prevent electricity shortages may have a negative impact on the cost or supply of electricity to the Company s operations.

Failures on Company information technology systems or difficulties in the integration of new corporate resources planning software may affect regular businesses of the Company.

The Company counts on information technology systems (IT) for the operation of many of its business processes. Failures to such IT systems may, whether caused by accident or ill-intended acts, may cause disclosure or robbery of sensitive information, resource deviation and interruption to commercial operations.

Company s governance processes and compliance with its obligations may fail to avoid regulatory fines and damages to its reputation.

The Company operates in a global environment and its activities extend across multiple jurisdictions and complex regulatory structures with an increase in its legal obligations around the world. Its governance process and compliance with obligations, which include the identification and mitigation of risks through internal controls focused in the information published in their own financial reports, may not be able to avoid future violations of the law and accounting and governance standards. The Company may be subject to violations of its Code of Ethics and Conduct, anticorruption policies, business conduct protocols and fraudulent and dishonest behavior by its employees, contractors and other agents. Failure by the Company to comply with applicable laws and other rules can result in fines, loss of operating licenses and damages to its reputation.

Investors may find it difficult to comply with any judgment rendered outside Brazil against the Company or any of its affiliates.

Company investors can be located in jurisdictions outside Brazil and may file claims against the Company or management members with courts within their jurisdictions. The company is a Brazilian company and nearly all of its executive officers and members of the Board of Directors are Brazilian residents. Most of Company s assets and the assets of its executive officers and members of the Board of Directors will be probably located in jurisdictions other than the jurisdictions of its investors. The investors, in their jurisdictions, may not be able to serve notices against the Company or its manager s resident outside their jurisdictions. Additionally, a foreign decision may be enforced in Brazilian courts, without a new analysis on merits provided that it is previously confirmed by the Brazilian Superior Court of Justice, which confirmation will be granted as long as such judgment: (a) meets all the formal requirements to be enforced pursuant to the legislation in force in the country where it was rendered; (b) has been rendered by a competent court after due process against the company, pursuant to the legislation inforce; (c) has been authenticated by the Brazilian consulate in the country where it was rendered and is accompanied by a sworn translation into Portuguese; and (d) is not contrary to the sovereignty of Brazil, its public policy or morality. Therefore, investors may not obtain favorable decisions outside their jurisdictions in judicial processes filed against the Company or its managers passed by courts in their jurisdictions with decisions on the basis of the legislation in force in those jurisdictions.

(b) Risks relating to Company s controlling shareholder or parent group and (c) Risks related to Company shareholders.

Table of Contents

The Company s controlling shareholder exerts significant influence over Vale and the Brazilian government holds certain veto rights.

On February 29, 2016, Valepar S.A. (Valepar) held 53.9% of the common shares and 33.7% of the Company s total capital. Because of its stock ownership, Valepar may elect the majority of members of the Board of Directors and can control the outcome of some actions requiring shareholder approval. For a description of the Company s ownership structure and of Valepar shareholders agreement, see item 15 of this Reference Form.

The Brazilian government owns 12 special class preferred shares (golden shares) of Vale, granting limited veto power over certain matters regarding the Company, such as changes of corporate name, location of main office and corporate purpose related to mining exploration. For a detailed description on the veto power of golden shares, see item 18.1 in the Reference Form.

(d) Risks relating to Company s subsidiary companies.

For further information on the risks relating to the companies in which the Company invests, see the section Risk Factors described in item (a) above: The Company s business may be negatively affected if the counterparts fail to meet their obligations.

(e) Risks relating to Company suppliers

For further information about risks relating to Company suppliers, please see Risk Factors described in item (a) above: The Company face shortages of equipment, services and skilled personnel . The higher energy cost or lack of energy could adversely affect Company business and The Company s business may be negatively affected if the counterparts do not meet their obligation .

(f) Risks relating to Company customers

Company business could be adversely affected by demand and price reduction for products manufactured by its customers, including steel (for iron ore and coal operations), stainless steel (for nickel operations), copper wire (for copper operations) and agricultural commodities (for fertilizer operations).

The demand for iron ore, metallurgical coal and nickel depends on global demand for steel. Iron ore and pellets, which together accounted for 62% of Company net operating revenues in 2015 are used in the production of carbon steel. Base metals, which accounted for 18% of Company net operating revenues in 2015 are mainly used to produce stainless and alloy steels. Demand for steel depends heavily on global economic conditions as well as on a series of regional and sectorial factors. The prices of the different types of steel and the performance of the global steel industry are highly cyclical and volatile and these business cycles in the steel industry affect the demand for and the prices of its products. Besides, the vertical integration of the steel and stainless steel industry and the use of scrap could reduce the global transoceanic trade of iron ore

and primary nickel. The demand for copper is affected by the demand for copper wire and a

Table of Contents

sustained decline in the demand in the construction industry could have an adverse impact on Company copper businesses. The demand for fertilizer is affected by agricultural commodities prices in the international and domestic markets, and a sustained decline in the price of one or more agricultural commodities may cause an adverse impact on the Company s fertilizer business.

For further information about risks relating to Company clients, please see Risk Factors described in item (a) above: and The Company s business may be negatively affected if the counterparts do not meet their obligation .

(g) Risks relating to the fields of economy in which the Company operates

The mining sector is highly exposed to the cyclicality of global economic activities and requires significant capital investments.

The mining sector is primarily a supplier of industrial raw material. Industrial production tends to be the most cyclical and volatile component of global economic activities, affecting the demand for minerals and metals. At the same time, investment in mining requires a substantial amount of resources, in order to replenish and maintain the reserves, expand the production capacity, build infrastructure and preserve the environment. The sensitivity to the industrial production, along with the need for significant long-term capital investments, are important sources of risks to the financial performance and growth prospects of Vale.

Economic developments in China may cause a negative impact on the Company s revenue, cash flow and profitability.

China has been the main driver of global demand for minerals and metals in recent years. In 2015, Chinese demand represented 69% of global transoceanic demand for iron ore, 51% of global demand for nickel, and 46% of the global demand for copper. The percentage of the Company s net operating revenues attributable to sales to consumers in China was 36% in 2015. Therefore, any contraction in China s economic growth may result in reduction on the demand for products, leading to lower revenues, cash flow and profitability. Poor performance of the Chinese real estate sector, the highest consumer of carbon steel in China, may have a negative impact on the Company s results.

(h) Risks relating to the regulation of the sectors in which the Company operates

Regulatory, political, economic and social conditions in the countries in which the Company has operations or projects could adversely affect its business and the market prices of its securities.

Vale s financial performance may be negatively affected by regulatory, political, economic and social conditions in the countries where the Company has significant operation. In many of these locations, Vale is open to risks, such as potential renegotiations, annulments or changes imposed by existing contracts and licenses, property expropriation or nationalization, currency exchange, changes in legislation, local regulations and policies, political instability, bribery, extortion, corruption, civil war, acts of war, guerrilla activities, piracy in international

transportation routes, and terrorism.

Table of Contents

The Company also faces the risk of having to submit to foreign jurisdiction or arbitration or to be forced to execute a court order against a sovereign nation within its own territory.

Actual or potential political or social changes and changes in economic policy may undermine investors confidence which could hamper investments and therefore reduce still negatively affect economic and other conditions under which the Company operates, so as to adversely affect its business.

Disagreements with local communities where the Company operates may have a negative impact on its business and reputation.

Legal disputes with communities where the Company operates may appear. In some cases, the Company s operations and mineral reserves are located on lands or near lands owned or used by indigenous or people, or other groups of stakeholders. Some of these indigenous populations may have rights to review or participate in the management of natural resources.

Table of Contents

Some Company mining operations and other operations are located in territories where property may be subject to disputes or uncertainties, or in areas destined to be used for agriculture, traditional collection, or for purposes of agrarian reform, which may cause disputes with land owners, communities and local government. The Company may be required to consult with these groups and negotiate them as part of the process to obtain the required mining licenses, to minimize the impact on its operations or to obtain access to its lands.

Disagreements or disputes with local groups, including indigenous, may cause delays or interruptions in operations, adversely affect the Company s reputation or hinder its ability to work in mineral reserves and conduct its operations. Protesters have acted in the past to disrupt Company operations and projects and may continue to do so in future, which may harm Company operations and negatively affect its business. Because the Company is one of Samarco s shareholders, its reputation, particularly in the communities affected by the collapse of Samarco s tailings dam in 2015, was negatively affected. For further information on the collapse of Samarco s dam, please see items 4, 7.9, and 10.1 of this Reference Form.

The Company may experience adverse effects of changes in government policies or trends such as the nationalization of funds, including the imposition of new taxes or royalties on mining activities.

Mining is subject to government regulation in the form of specific taxes, fees and other contributions, as royalties on mining activities, which can have a significant impact on Company operations. In the countries where the Company operates, governments may impose existing taxes, fees or different contributions, or increase the existing rates for taxes, fees and different contributions, including royalties, reduce fiscal exemptions and benefits, solicit, or yet, compel renegotiation of fiscal stabilization agreements or, also, modify the basis on which they are calculated, in a manner unfavorable to the Company. Governments that have undertaken to create a stable tax and regulatory environment may shorten the duration of these commitments.

It is also possible that the Company must comply with internal benefit requirements in some countries, such as processing rules, import taxes, or restrictions, or fees on transformed ore, in all cases, at the operation site. Imposition or increase of such taxes or fees may significantly increase the risk profile and operational cost in these locations. The Company and the mining industry are subject to an increased nationalization trend related to mineral resources in certain countries where it operates, which may cause reductions in operations, tax increases or even expropriation and nationalization.

Concessions, authorizations, licenses and permits are subject to expiration, restriction or renewal and to various other risks and uncertainties.

Vale s operations depend on the granting of authorization and concessions by regulatory organizations from the government of countries where Vale works. The Company is subject to the laws and regulations of several jurisdictions, which can change at a moment s notice. Such changes may require changes in Vale s technologies and operations, resulting in unexpected capital expenses.

Some of Vale s mining concessions are subject to fixed expiration dates and can only be renewed for a limited number of times, and for limited periods. In addition to mining concessions, Company may obtain various authorizations, licenses, and permits from government and regulatory agencies regarding the planning, maintenance, operation, and closure of the Company s mines, and its logistics infrastructure, which may be subject to fixed due dates or regular reviews or renewals.

Table of Contents

Although the Company expects renewals to be granted when and as requested, there is no guarantee that such renewals will be granted as usual, as well as there is no guarantee that new conditions will not be imposed in this regard. Fees due by mining concessions may substantially increase over time in comparison with the original issuance of each operating license. If that is the case, the cost to obtain or renew mining concessions may prevent the Company from reaching its business—goals. Thus, it is necessary to continually assess the mineral potential of each mining concession, especially at the time of renewal, in order to determine if maintenance costs of mining concessions are justified by the expected results of future operations, and thus be able choose whether to keep them. There are no guarantees that such concessions will be granted under terms favorable to the Company, as well as there are no guarantees as to estimate future mining activities or operation goals.

In many jurisdictions where the Company has exploration projects, it may be required to return to the Government a certain portion of the area covered by the operating license as a condition for renewing license or obtaining a mining concession. This retrocession obligation may lead to a substantial loss of part of the mineral deposit originally identified in its feasibility studies. For more information on mining concessions and similar rights, see Mining Activities Regulation in item 7 of this Reference Form.

After the collapse of the tailings dam belonging to Samarco (Fundão) in the State of Minas Gerais, the Brazilian authorities have suspended Samarco s operations in Minas Gerais in addition to taking other measures. For further information on the collapse of the Samarco dam, see items 4, 7.9, and 10.1 of this Reference Form.

(i) Risks relating to Company s ADSs and HDSs (American Depositary Shares and Hong Kong Depositary Shares)

If holders of ADSs or HDSs exchange the ADSs or HDSs, respectively, for underlying shares relative to them, they risk losing the ability to remit abroad funds corresponding to the sale in foreign currency.

The custodian of shares referenced in the Company s ADSs and HDSs keeps records with the Central Bank of Brazil, entitling him to remit U.S. Dollars abroad by way of payment of dividends and other distributions relating to the shares referenced in ADSs and HDSs or to the disposal of the referenced shares. In the event holders of ADSs or HDRs exchange ADSs or HDSs for the shares, they shall be entitled to use the custodian s records of US dollars for only five days from the date of exchange. Upon said term, holders of ADSs or HDRs can no longer hold and remit foreign currency abroad through the sale of underlying shares or distributions regarding such shares, unless they obtain their own registration, pursuant to the terms of applicable legislation, which confers on registered foreign investors the right to buy and sell securities at BMF&BOVESPA. If holders of ADSs or HDRs try to obtain a registration, they may incur expenses or suffer delays in the registration process, which may delay the receipt of dividends and other distributions with respect to the shares or capital return in a timely manner.

The Company is unable to assure holders of ADS or HDR that their custodian registration or any registration will not be affected by future changes to the legislation or additional restrictions applicable to holders of ADS or HDR, the disposal of the referenced shares or the repatriation of resources obtained through disposal will not be taxed in the future.

Holders of ADS and HDR may not be able to exercise their pre-emptive rights relating to shares referenced in their ADSs and HDSs.

Table of Contents

ADS and HDR may not be able to exercise their preemptive rights or other rights relating to the referenced shares. The ability of HDR and ADS holders to exercise their preemptive rights is not guaranteed, especially if the law applicable in holders—jurisdiction (for example, the Securities Act in the United States or the Companies Ordinance in Hong Kong) demands that a registration declaration be effective or that an exemption from registration be available relating to those rights, as is the case in the United States, or for any document enabling preemptive rights to be registered as a prospectus, as is the case in Hong Kong. The Company is not bound to make a registration statement in the United States, or make any other record with respect to preemptive rights in any other jurisdiction, or to take measures that may be necessary to grant exemptions from available registration and it cannot ensure to holders that it shall make any registration statement or take such measures.

ADS and HDR holders may encounter difficulties to exercise their voting rights.

Holders of ADS or HDR do not have the same rights as shareholders. They only hold contract rights established in their favor under their respective deposit contracts. ADS and HDR holders are not entitled to take part in shareholders meetings and may vote by means of providing due instructions to the depositary. In fact, the ability of an ADS and HDR holder to instruct the depositary on how to vote will depend on the term and procedures to provide instruction directly to the depositary or through a holder custody and liquidation system. With respect to ADSs, if no instruction is received, the depositary may, subject to certain limitations, appoint an attorney designated by the Company.

Legal protections for holders of Company securities differ from one jurisdiction to another and may be inconsistent, unknown or less effective than investors expectations.

Vale is a global company whose securities are listed on many markets and which investors are located in many different countries. Investors legal protection systems vary across the world, sometimes in relation to important aspects, and investors must be aware that, as far as the Company s securities are concerned, the protections and remedies available to them may be different from those they are used to in their markets. The company is subject to securities laws applicable in several countries, which provisions and monitoring and enforcement practices are different. The only Corporations Act applicable to the Company is the Brazilian equity companies law, with specific and substantial legal rules and procedures. The Company is also subject to corporate governance standards in various jurisdictions in which its securities are listed, but, as a foreign private issuer, the Company is not obliged to follow many of the corporate governance rules which apply to domestic issuers in the United States with securities listed on the New York Stock Exchange and is not subject to U.S. proxy voting rules. Likewise, the Company has been granted waivers and exemptions regarding certain requirements provided for in the Rules Governing the Listing of Securities on the Stock Exchange of Hong Kong Limited (HKEx Listing Rules), in the Code of Mergers and Acquisitions and Share Repurchases and in the Securities and Futures Ordinance of Hong Kong, which are generally applicable to issuers listed in Hong Kong.

Risks related to environmental issues

The collapse of Samarco Mineração S.A. (Samarco) stailings dam in Minas Gerais may adversely affect the Company s business.

On November 5, 2015, one of Samarco stailings dam (Fundão) burst, releasing mud and waste, which flooded and affected several communities causing environmental damages surrounding areas and communities. Consequently, Mina Alegria, owned by Vale and located in near said dam, began operating only with dry processing and short of its

Table of Contents

capacity/productivity, because the conveyor belt that connects the mine to Fábrica Nova at the Timbopeba plant was damaged, reducing the production of the Alegria complex, in the municipality of Mariana, in the State of Minas Gerais. Moreover, the sale of run-of-mine minerals (ROM) from the Fazendão mine to Samarco s mine was disrupted due to the event. The Company continues explore alternatives for these mines. However, if it can t find suitable alternatives, there could be a negative impact on its overall production.

Table of Contents

The Company s obligations under the agreement signed as the result of the Samarco dam collapse may substantially impact the Company s financial situation.

Samarco and its shareholders, Vale and BHPB Ltda Brazil. (BHPH), a Brazilian subsidiary of BHP Billiton plc (BHP Billiton), signed an agreement on March 2, 2016 with government agencies, including the Federal Government and two Brazilian States that were affected by the dam s collapse (Espírito Santo and Minas Gerais). The agreement provides that Samarco, Vale and BHPB will create a foundation to develop and implement remedial and compensatory programs involving substantial amounts over many years. For more information, please see the item 4.7 below.

Currently, Samarco is suspended from performing mining and processing activities. Samarco s administration is developing a plan that will allow it to resume operations, but possibility, time and scope of said resumption remain uncertain. If the Samarco does not comply with its obligations to contribute resources to the Foundation, Vale and the BHPB are obliged to allocate resources to the Foundation, in proportion to their respective shareholdings to 50% in Samarco. If Samarco eventually cannot resume operations or if it does not comply with the contribution obligations provided for in the agreement, the Company will be required to make such contributions and, therefore, any provision are likely to be acknowledged in its financial statements.

The Company s business is subject to environmental, health and safety incidents.

The company s operations involve the handling, storage, disposal and deposition of hazardous substances to the environment and the use of natural resources. The mining sector is usually subject to significant hazards and risks, including risks of fire, explosions, toxic gas leaks, spill of polluting substances or other dangerous materials, incidents with sliding rocks and slopes in the mining operations and incidents involving equipment or mobile machinery. Such situations can occur by accident or by violation of operating and maintenance standards, resulting in significant environmental impacts, including damages or destruction of mineral assets or production facilities, injuries or death to people, damages to the environment, delays in production, financial losses to the company and third parties, and possible legal liability. Notwithstanding Vale s rules, policies and controls, operations remain subject to incidents or accidents that may adversely affect the business and/or the reputation of the Company.

The Company s businesses may be affected by environmental, health and safety regulations, including regulations pertaining to climate change.

Almost every aspect of the Company s activities, products, and services and projects worldwide are subject to environmental, health and safety regulations, which may expose the Company to increased liability or costs. Such regulations require that the company to obtain environmental licenses, permits and authorizations for its operations, and to conduct assessments on their environmental and social impact, in addition to defining social and environmental programs and mitigation of impact programs to obtain approval of their projects with the issuing of due permission to begin the prospection, implementation and operation of their developments. Significant updates in existing operations may also be subject to new regulations requirements. The complexity of licensing process can lead to delays in the installation of the projects, to cost increases and it may adversely impact the Company s production volumes. Social, environmental, health and safety regulation also imposed standards and control on mining research, mining, pelletizing, rail and maritime services, ports, and maritime transport, ports, decommissioning, refining, distribution and trading of their products. These regulations may entail considerable costs and responsibilities.

Furthermore, communities and other stakeholders may increase their demands for environmental practices and more sustainable social responsibility, which may involve the creation or revision of government regulations and policies, therefore leading to a significant increase in Company s costs with consequent impact on profitability. Disputes related to these and other issues may negatively affect the Company s financial situation or harm its reputation.

Table of Contents

It is worth noting as an example that, in Brazil, according to the Resolution from the National Council on the Environment (CONAMA) No. 237/97, the maximum term for environmental licenses is 5 (five) years for previous license, 6 (six) years for installation license, and 10 (ten) years for operations license.

Environmental, health and safety regulation, in many of the countries where Vale operates, has become more strict in recent years, and it is possible that the greater degree of regulation or more severe enforcement than the existing ones may negatively affect the Company through the imposition of restrictions on Company activities and products, by creating new requirements for the issuing or renewal of environmental licenses, by increasing costs or forcing the Company to engage in costly efforts to recovery certain areas. For example, changes in Brazilian legislation for protection of natural underground chambers forced the Company to conduct extensive technical studies and negotiate compensatory measures with Brazilian environmental regulators in order to continue to operate in certain places. It is possible that in some iron ore mining operations or projects there might arise the obligation to limit or change the mining activities, incurring additional costs to preserve natural underground chambers or to offset the activities impact on them, and the consequences may be relevant to production volumes, costs or reserves in the Company s iron ore business. For further details on Brazilian environmental regulations regarding chambers, please see the item 7 of this Reference Form.

In response to the collapse of the Samarco stailings dam in the State of Minas Gerais, additional environmental, health, and safety rules and regulations and standards and additional may arise in Brazil and the authorities may impose stricter requirements to the project licensing process and Company operations. In addition, the Company may face delays in receiving operational licenses for other tailings dams.

National policies and international regulations on climate change may affect several of the Company s businesses in different countries since the company operates worldwide. For example, there are laws in many countries where the Company operates that limit greenhouse gas emissions in the mining industry. There is more pressure from international agencies to set a global carbon price and for companies and Governments to adopt strategies for set carbon pricing, which can adversely affect the coal business.

Additionally, regulatory initiatives at the national and international levels that affect transportation practices could increase the Company s costs or compel the Company to make new investments.

Natural disasters can cause serious damages to the company s operations and projects in countries where it operates and/or they may have a negative impact on its sales to countries adversely affected by such disasters.

Natural disasters, such as windstorms, droughts, floods, earthquakes, and tsunamis may negatively affect the Company s operations and projects in the countries where it operates, and they may generate a drop in sales to affected countries in negative ways, among other factors, through power failure and the destruction of facilities and industrial infrastructure. In addition, although the physical impact of climate change on the Company s businesses remain highly uncertain, the Company may experience changes in precipitation patterns, water shortages, rising sea levels, more intense storms, and flooding as a result of climate change, which could adversely affect its operations. In a few specific occasions in recent years, the Company had found that force majeure events have occurred due to the effect of rigorous weather on the Company s mining and logistics activities.

4.2 Description of the main market risks

Brazil s political and economic instability could affect the Company s business and the market price of the Company s securities.

The economic policies of Brazilian Federal Government may have major effects on Brazilian companies, including Vale, as well as on market conditions and the prices of Brazilian securities. The Company s financial status and operations results

Table of Contents could be negatively affected by the following factors and by the reaction of the Federal Government to the following factors: currency exchange rate fluctuation and volatility; inflation and high interest rates; current accounts deficit financing; liquidity of the domestic capital and loan markets; tax policy; political instability resulting from allegations of corruption involving political parties, elected officials or other public officials; and, other political, diplomatic, social and economic events taking place in Brazil or that affect Brazil. Historically, the political situation in the Country has influenced the performance of the Brazilian economy and political crises have affected the confidence of investors and the general public, resulting in an economic downturn and increased volatility of securities issued abroad by Brazilian companies, Ongoing corruption investigations have resulted in charges against public officials and members of various political parties. The political turmoil could worsen Brazil s economic uncertainties and increase the volatility of the Brazilian capital market as well as of securities issued by Brazilian companies. In 2015, Brazil faced an economic recession, adverse tax events, and a political instability that has continued through 2016. The Brazilian GDP dropped 3.85% in 2015, while the unemployment rate increased from 4.3% in 2014 to 6.9% in 2015. Inflation in 2015 was 10.67% (reported by the Brazilian Institute of Geography and statistics IBGE), compared to 6.41% in 2014. The Brazilian Central Bank s base interest rate (SELIC) increased from 11.75% on December 31, 2014, to 14.25% on December 31,2015. Future economic, social and political events in Brazil may

harm the Company s businesses, its financial status or the results of operations, or they may cause the market value of its securities to fall.

Significant Market Risks Applicable to the Company

The Company is exposed to various market risk factors that may have an impact the Company s cash flow. Considering the nature of the Company s businesses and operations, the main factors of market risk to which it is exposed are:
Exchange and interest rates;
the price of products and inputs;
Exchange rate risk
39

Table of Contents

The Company s cash flow has been subject to the volatility of several currencies since the price of company products are predominantly indexed to the U.S. dollar, while a significant part of the costs, expenses and investments are indexed to other currencies, mainly the Brazilian Real and Canadian dollars, as shows in the following risk:

The exchange rate volatility of the currencies in which the company performs its operations relative to the U.S. dollar could adversely affect its financial status and operating results.

A substantial part of the Company s revenue and debt are in U.S. dollars, and changes in exchange rates can result in (i) variations in its net debt in USD and in accounts receivable; and (ii) changes in the fair value of its monetary derivatives, used to stabilize its cash flow in U.S. dollars. In 2015, the Company had foreign exchange losses amounting to US\$ 7.2 billion, while in 2014 and 2013 foreign exchange losses were US\$ 2.1 billion and US\$ 2.8 billion, respectively. Additionally, the exchange rate volatility of the Brazilian real, Canadian dollar, Australian dollar, Indonesia rupiah, and other currencies against the U.S. dollar, affects the results of the Company, because the largest part of the cost of goods sold is in currencies other than the U.S. dollar, mainly the Brazilian real (49% in 2015) and the Canadian dollar (13% in 2015). While the Company s revenue is denominated mainly in U.S. dollars. The Company estimates that currency fluctuations shall continue to affect its profit generation, expenses, and cash flow.

A significant volatility in the exchange rate of currencies can also result in disruption of foreign exchange markets and can limit the Company s ability to transfer or convert certain currencies into U.S. dollars and into other currencies for the purpose of making timely payments of principal and interest on debts. Central banks and governments of countries where the Company operates may impose restrictive currency policies in the future and they may impose taxes on foreign exchange transactions.

Risk of Interest Rate

The company is also exposed to interest rates on loans and financing. Debts with floating interest rates in U.S. dollars consist mainly of loans including operations of exports pre-payment and loans from commercial banks and multilateral agencies. In general, these debts are indexed to Libor (London Interbank Offered Rate). Floating debts denominated in Brazilian Real are mainly indexed to the CDI (Interbank Deposit Certificate), to the TJLP (Long Term Interest Rate) and IPCA (Broad National Consumer Price Index), but most of these debts are converted to fixed rates in U.S. dollars through swap operations.

On December 31, 2015, 74.3% of the Company s debt was denominated in U.S. dollars (US\$), corresponding to R\$ 83.682 million, of which R\$ million fixed and interest 56.031 R\$ 27.651 million tied to the Libor. Other 19.2% of debt were in Brazilian Reais (R\$), corresponding to R\$ 21.638 million, and R\$ 11.439 million were tied to the DI Rate, R\$ 8.903 million were linked to TJLP, and R\$ 1.296 million to fixed interest and others. The remaining 6.5% debt, corresponding to R\$ 7.347 million at fixed interest rates, was predominantly in Euros ().

Risk of products and inputs price;

The company is exposed to market risks related to the volatility of the prices of their products and production inputs, as highlighted next:

The prices charged by the Company, including the prices of iron ore, nickel, copper, coal and fertilizers is subject to volatility.

Iron ore prices are based on a variety of price options, which often use spot price indices as a basis to set the price to the customer. Nickel and copper prices are based on reported prices for these metals in commodities markets, such as the London Metal Exchange (LME) and New York Mercantile Exchange (NYMEX). The Company s prices and revenues for these products are, consequently, volatile, which can negatively affect its cash flow. Metals global prices are subject to significant fluctuations and are affected by many factors, including current and projected macroeconomic conditions and global policies, supply and demand levels, availability and cost of substitutes, inventory levels, investments in commodities

Table of Contents

funds and others, and shares from commodities market participants. A continued market price reduction of the products sold by the Company may result in suspension of some of its projects and operations and reduction in mineral reserves and asset impairment, which could negatively affect the Company s financial status and operational results.

In 2015, the price of raw materials for steel production, such as iron ore, coal, and nickel, dropped when the offer was greater than the demand. In addition, copper prices fell as a result of the lower demand, although there were some disruptions in supply.

The company is particularly exposed to fluctuations in the price of iron ore. For example, a price reduction of US\$ 1 per dry metric ton unit (TMS) in the average price of iron ore would have reduced our operating income for the year ended on December 31, 2015, in approximately US\$ 320 million. The average price of iron ore fell 59% over the last two years, from US\$ 135.00 per TMS in 2013 to US\$ 97.00 per TMS in 2014, and US\$ 55.5 per TMS in 2015, according to the Platts IODEX average (62% Fe CFR China). On February 29, 2016, the average price of iron ore at the Platts IODEX until had been US\$ 44.10 per TMS. In addition to the lower iron ore demand, a surplus has been adversely affected the Company s prices since 2014, and it can increase with the expected completion of certain iron ore projects in the coming years.

World nickel prices have also been negatively affected by lower demand and a strong increase in supply in the nickel industry, especially in China. The refining of nickel in China, using mainly nickel ores and related imported raw materials, rose to 417 thousand metric tons from 2006 to 2015, with Chinese production of pig iron from nickel representing 19% of the global nickel production. Chinese production of pig iron from nickel was adversely affected by export restrictions in nickel ore producing and supplier countries. If these export restrictions are withdrawn and the Chinese producers of pig iron from nickel to have access to quality nickel ore again, pig iron production can become competitive again, thus, increasing the overall global supply of nickel and negatively impacting nickel prices.

For additional information on the average prices obtained for the products traded by the Company, please see item 10.2 of this Reference Form.

The financial performance and the economic viability of some of the Company s operations may be significantly impacted by a continuing drop in demand and in product prices. For example, in 2015, the Company suspended certain iron ore and manganese operations, and other operations may be suspended in the future. Furthermore, in the case of nickel operations in New Caledonia, the impact of lower prices and lower demand for nickel has been aggravated by the ramp up in those facilities. The Company is considering several options to ensure the continuation of operations in New Caledonia. If these options are not available and the current conditions remain adverse, the Company might consider a reduction or an interruption of production for some time.

Table of Contents

For more information about the risks related to inputs, please see the Risk Factors described in item 4.1 (a) above: Higher costs of energy or energy shortages may adversely affect the company s business.

Table of Contents

4.3 - Publicly known and relevant in-court, administrative or arbitration proceedings

On December 31, 2015, the Company was not party in non-secret arbitrations.

(i) Labor

On December 31, 2015, the Company was defendant in 23,643 labor lawsuits, in a total of R\$13.5 billion, for which there is R\$7 billion provision due to risks involved. Labor lawsuits filed against the Company relate to matters as overtime, time in itinere, health hazard and dangerous conditions premium, salary equity, and outsourcing, among others.

The tables below present an individual description of labor suits relating to the business of the Company and/or its subsidiaries on December 31, 2015.

1) Claim no. 01266-2006-012

Jurisdiction 6th Panel Supreme Labor Court

Instance 3rd Instance

Date of filing 11/27/2006

Parties in the suit Minas Gerais Public Prosecutor for Labor Matters (MPT-MG) (plaintiff) and Vale (defendant)

Amounts, goods or rights involved R\$ 12,378,497.96

Main facts The MPT-MG filed, on November 27, 2006, a public civil action seeking to prevent the outsourcing

of (i) operation of machines and equipment used for mining, such as wheel loaders, bulldozers and drills; (ii) monitoring and reading of instruments in the tailings dams and waste dumps; and

(iii) preparation and execution of fire-plan (detonation).

On August 20, 2009, the ruling was issued (partially favorable) ordering Vale to refrain from outsourcing the services mentioned above, namely, performing such activities with its own employees. The court stated that such services were the main activities of the Company and thus

could not be outsourced.

On February 22, 20/10, the Superior Regional Labor Court of the Third Region (TRT3) rejected Vale s appeal and partially accepted the appeal filed by the MPT-MG, granting the legal protection

sought, forcing Vale to immediately comply with the decision.

On May 18, 2010, Vale filed an appeal for review before the Supreme Labor Court ($\,$ TST $\,$), claiming the violation of article 129, III, of the Federal Constitution, and article 83 of the Complementary Law No. 75/93, as well as of divergent case law based on the lack of collective

Table of Contents

interests authorizing the filing of the public civil action by the MPT-MG, which could result in the lack of competence of such office to file such a claim and, consequently, dismissal of the action without appreciation on merits (article 267, I and VI and article 295, V, of the Code of Civil Procedure). Vale has also claimed the violation of Article 5, items XXII, LIV and LV, of the Federal Constitution and of Article 899 of the Consolidation of Labor Laws (CLT), because of the inapplicability of the mortgage ordered by the TRT3 without an enforcement procedure. Finally, Vale claimed the violation of items II and XIII, of Article 5, and sole paragraph of article 170, both of the Federal Constitution, in view of the violation of the right to freely work, provided that the legal requirements are met, considering that activities performed by service providers are specialized and can be legitimately agreed.

On May 21, 2010, in the files of the Injunction filed by Vale, the TST granted the preliminary order to suspend anticipated effects determining immediate compliance with the decision.

On July 19, 2010, Vale filed an Interlocutory Appeal before the TST to contest the TRT3 s dismissal of the Appeal for Review. On March 18, 2015, the interlocutory appeal filed by Vale was granted was and Vale s Appeal for Review was accepted.

On April 8, 2015, the Appeal for Review was partially judged in Vale s favor, nullifying the motion for clarification pronounced by the TRT3.

Despite the above decision the MPT-MG understands there is a fine for alleged non-compliance with decision. As a precaution, Vale is calculated the amounts claimed by the Prosecution Office (around R\$ 7.6 million) that would be added to the original claims of the process classified with the probability of remote loss. Due to said questioning by the MPT-MG, the amount set forth in the case was reassessed for the purpose of taking into consideration the MPT-MG s new claims concerning noncompliance with the judicial decision. Therefore, the amount claimed in the case was adjusted from R\$ 856.0 thousand on December 31, 2014, to R\$ 12.8 million on December 31, 2015, despite the fact that Vale does not agree with the noncompliance claim or with the fine. The case files were sent back to the TRT3 for new judgment of the Motion for Clarification. Once the Motion for Clarification was rendered, a new Appeal for Review was filed and, when it was dismissed, an Interlocutory Appeal was filed and is currently pending in the TST.

Chances of loss

1.8% of the total updated claim was classified as a Probable Loss, and the remaining amount has been classified as a Remote Loss

Analysis of impact in the case of losing the suit/ Lawsuit s relevance to the Company In case of maintenance of the unfavorable decision, Vale is obliged, in Minas Gerais, to refrain from outsource services aforementioned, having to perform such activities through its own employees; and to provide for the termination of contracts of outsourcing which may have as their purpose such services.

Table of Contents

Notes

There is only one labor claim filed by the employee from outsourced companies who is on the list attached to the files of the Public Civil Action at stake who claims to be an employee of Vale (Labor Court of Ouro Preto, case no. 1562-2012-069). Regarding recognition of employment relationship with Vale, the case was dismissed in the first and second instances, and the case was finally dismissed on February 24, 2014.

Table of Contents

2) Claim no. 0000676-11.2012.5.24.0041

Court Labor Court of Corumbá Mato Grosso do Sul

Instance 1st Instance

Date of filing 10/24/2012

Parties in the suit Mato Grosso do Sul Public Prosecutor for Labor Matters (MPT-MS) (plaintiff) and Mineração

Corumbaense Reunida - MCR (defendant)

Amounts, goods or rights involved R\$ 180,384.07

Main facts The MPT-MS filed a public civil action claiming that MCR should be compelled to comply with

labor safety rules set forth in Labor Regulatory Rules.

On December 12, 2012, MCR filed its defense, claiming that it has Always complied with Regulatory Rules and that the accident reported in the action has not occurred due to

non-compliance by the employee with the safety rules and procedures required by the Company.

Upon the initial hearing, the court determined an examination to find whether or not there is

non-compliance with Regulatory Rules.

Judicial decision was issued, without a monetary value, condemning MCR to only register the Special Service in Engineering and Safety SESMT and Occupational Medicine, according to the Regulatory Rules. Non-compliance with the obligation to do shall cause incurrence of R\$ 60,000.00 fine per event, for the benefit of the Worker Support Fund (FAT) or any other social fund for the collectivity, to be indicated during the enforcement phase. Other claims were judged inappropriate.

The MPT-MS filed an ordinary appeal that was dismissed, thus the MPT-MS filed an Appeal for

Review. The Appeal for Review was accepted and is currently pending.

Chances of loss Possible and remote.

Analysis of impact in the case of losing the suit/ Reasons for importance for the Company

Non-compliance with the obligation to do shall cause incurrence of R\$ 60,000.00 fine per event, for the benefit of the Worker Support Fund (FAT) or any other social fund for the collectivity, to be indicated during the enforcement phase. The obligation to do so imposed on MCR has already been

fulfilled.

Table of Contents

3) Claim n. 00329.2006.92020003

Jurisdiction Labor Court of Maruim Sergipe

Instance (Supreme Labor Court)

Date of filing 01/23/2001

Parties in the suit Vale S.A. (defendant) and Union for workers extracting iron, basic and precious metals-Sindimina

(plaintiff)

Amounts, goods or rights involved Guarantee of the operational activities at the potassium chloride mine in Sergipe.

Main facts

Lawsuit brought by SINDIMINA union in the State of Sergipe on January 23, 2011, aiming to

improve the suitability of the working conditions of employees in the underground potash mine in Sergipe to bring them up to regulatory standard NR 15, especially as regards the temperature of the mine and noise level. Vale filed the defense on February 14, 2001, claiming the lack of competence of the Union to file the action and the lack of infringement against regulating rule NR-15, which

would be proved in the Discovery phase.

On February 20, 2006, the ruling was issued determining the adoption of measures, within 30 days, to improve the cooling of the mine, otherwise the activities would be interrupted until the implementation of such measures, and a daily fine of R\$ 100,000 would be applied. On September 25, 2006, Vale filed an appeal to the Regional Labor Court (TRT). On August 07, 2007, Vale s appeal was partially accepted. to exclude the interruption of mine activities and the payment of a daily fine of R\$ 100 thousand from the conviction.

On November 29, 2007, Vale filed an Appeal before the Supreme Labor Court (TST) that was rejected on December 19, 2012. On February 6, 2012, Vale filed a motion for clarification, which was rejected. In March 2012, Vale filed another appeal to the Individual Bargaining Session 1 (SDI-1) and also an Extraordinary Appeal to the Federal Supreme Court STF).

On November 2013, the parties requested a dispute settlement, and it was agreed upon in the settlement hearing that a commission would be created to assess the work environment and the commission s report would be entered into the case files and the eventual agreement would be approved.

On May 18, 2015, the case was redistributed by succession to the office Judge Walmir Correia da Costa. The process is still suspended and awaiting the conclusion of the report conducted jointly by the parties.

Chances of loss Probable

Analysis of impact in the case of losing the suit/ Reasons for importance for the Company Any unfavorable decision may impose the adoption of measures to adjust the working hours and the temperature in the underground mine, under the penalty of obligation to do so, fines and, in the worst

Table of Contents

case scenario, total or partial shutdown of the exploration activities in the underground potassium chloride mine

No amount has been allocated since Plaintiff s claim refers to an obligation to do something (that is, to adapt working conditions to the relevant laws and regulations), with no impact on past and current results. It should be noted that, notwithstanding the outcome of the claim, Vale is already making improvements in the mine conditions. Moreover, the decision provides for the payment of a daily fine if the company continues to develop the mine activities without taking into account the obligation to adapt working conditions to the relevant laws and regulations as provided for in the court ruling.

Therefore, the Company will only be subject to a fine (i) when the decision becomes final (res judicata) and (ii) if an expert evidence demonstrates that the measures adopted by the company were not sufficient to adjust the working environment to the court ruling.

4) Case # 0292800-44.2009.5.08.0117

Main facts

Jurisdiction 2nd Labor Court of Marabá-PA

Instance 1st instance

Date of filing 2009

Vale S.A. (defendant) and the Federal Prosecution Service for Labor Matters(MPT PA) (plaintiff) Parties in the suit

Amounts, goods or rights involved R\$ 817,867,226.32

In 2009, after a fatal accident involving a Company employee, the MPT-PA filed a Public Civil action pleading safety and health measures at work and, at the end, it requested that the company was ordered to pay the sum of R\$ 1 million, for collective pain and suffering damages, in addition to a fine in the amount of R\$ 50 thousand per noncompliance. Subsequently, the MPT-BP amended

the initial complaint to demand that the value of compensation for pain and suffering was increased

to R\$ 10 million.

On June 11, 2015, a decision was pronounced by the judge of Marabá who ordered the Company to pay collective pain and suffering damages in the amount of R\$ 44.1 million, a much higher sum than what had been originally requested by the MPT-PA. Vale was also ordered, extra petita (a request not made by the MPT-PA) to pay the amount of R\$ 326.3 million for social dumping, as well as retroactive interest on arrears in the amount of R\$ 310.2 million, and a fine of R\$ 7.7

million for vexatious litigation in addition to court fees in the

Table of Contents

amount of R\$ 15.8 million R\$. The total amount of the conviction was R\$ 804.1 million.

On June 16, 2015 a preliminary decision was published in the Writ of Mandamus filed by Vale before the Regional Labor Court (TRT) determining the reduction of court fee to R\$ 200 thousand to protect the Company s right to appeal against the Marabá judge s decision.

On October 20, 2015, after Vale s Ordinary Appeal, the decision of the 2nd TRT of the 8th Region was published favoring Vale. It ordered review of the Marabá judge s decision to reduce the was published for the second ruling TRT of the eighth region, largely favorable to Vale, ordering the reduction of the compensation for collective pain and suffering to R\$ 1 million and the exclusion of the conviction for social and vexatious litigation pronounced by the Marabá judge.

After this 2nd instance decision of the total amount of the conviction was reduced from R\$ 804 million to R\$ 1.1 million.

On October 26, 2015, Vale filed a Motion for Clarification to clear some questions concerning the decision. The Motion has not yet been heard.

Possible loss, because, after the second instance decision, all items acknowledge in the sentence were removed, and only collective pain and suffering was maintained in the amount of R\$1.1

million.

The amounts from the other orders, assigned by the 1st instance assigned judge in addition to the

claim made by the Plaintiff have a remote loss prognosis.

Analysis of impact in the case of losing the suit/Reasons for importance for the Company

Chances of loss

The Company considers the case relevant on account of the amount involved recognized in first instance sentence (R\$ 804 million) and impact on the adoption of various health and safety measures at the location (Carajás).

5) Notices of Infraction # 20.588.905-1 and 20.589.903-0

Administrative Level Ministry of Labor and Employment (MTE)

Instance 2nd Administrative Instance

Filing Date 12/02/2015

Parties in the suit MTE and Vale

Values, assets or rights involved R\$ 381,168,52 (R\$ 435.62 relative to notice # 20.588.905-1, and R\$ 380,732.90 relative to notice #

20.589.903-0)

Key facts In February 2015, the Ministry of Labor and Employment (MTE) supervised the activities of the

company Transportadora Ouro Verde S.A. (Ouro Verde), which was providing transportation services, to Vale, of finished goods between Mina do Pico (Itabirito-MG) and railway terminals in

Mina de Fábrica (Congonhas-MG).

Table of Contents

This inspection in a notice of infraction issued by the MTE, for alleged (i) inadequate hygiene conditions; (ii) violation of safety regulations; (iii) excessive long shifts; (iv) the outsourcing of finished products was classified as a main activity, and thus not cannot be outsource; and, (v) based on the previous infractions, the MTE issued notice of infraction for practices similar to slavery.

Although the violations listed in the notice of infraction were associated with Ouro Verde, since the outsourcing was deemed illegal, all violations were drawn up against Vale.

Vale filed administrative defenses before the MTE claiming: (i) that the product transportation is an activity that can be outsourced; (ii) that there was not direct employment relationship between Vale and Ouro Verde s employees; (iii) that there was a misunderstanding in the classification of the alleged irregularities as work analogous to slavery . The administrative defenses were not accepted and Vale has filed an administrative appeal at a 2nd instance court. In April 2016, decisions were rendered denying Vale s appeal.

After exhausting its options at the administrative level, Vale filed an injunction (case # 0010627-83.2016.5.03.0005) and was granted a suspension of fine enforceability. The main complaint, a Motion to Annul Notice of Violation, was filed by designation on May 27, 2016.

As a result of the notices of infraction by the Ministry of Labor (MPT), Public Civil Inquiry # 3212.2014.03.000/9-12 was filed to investigate the alleged slavery-like practices by the service provider Ouro Verde. Vale signed a Conduct Adjustment Agreement (TAC) on 118/2015 with the MPT, through which they agreed on preventive and corrective measure to ensure the labor rights of contractors employees of service providers. The agreed upon commitments are being duly implemented. For more information on the TAC, see item 4.7.

Chance of loss

Possible

Analysis of the impact in the event of loss/reasons for the importance of the process for the company

Low economic value, but relevant image impact.

Table of Contents

(ii) Taxes

The tables below present a description of individual tax cases considered relevant to the business of the company and/or its subsidiaries.

As result of some tax exceptions engaging companies at Vale group, the Company creates a provision totaling, on December 31, 2015, the amount of R\$ 1,052 billion, of which (i) R\$369 million are related to controlled companies abroad, (ii) R\$240 million are related to Brazilian controlled companies, (iii) R\$ 338.0 million relate to provisions related to CFEM-related procedures (described in item 4.6(ii) in this Reference Form), and (iv) R\$105 million related to other tax procedures of the Company.

With regard to the processes listed below which challenge the taxation of IRPJ and CSLL on profits from Company's affiliates abroad, it is important to notice that (i) regarding the period from 2009 onwards, tax authorities may issue new tax assessments to ensure the right to collect from the remaining balance of values of said taxes, should they understand that the calculation done by the Company is not correct; (ii) regarding the portion of IRPJ and CSLL questioned in Writ of Mandamus no. 2003.51.01.002937-0 (item 1 in this section), the Company adhered to the Special Installment Program established by Law 12.865, dated October 9, 2013 (Special Installment Program); and (iii) regarding the other portion of IRPJ and CSLL discussed in Writ of Mandamus no. 2003.51.01.002937-0 (item 1 in this section), related to the period between 2002 (containing generating facts occurring in the period between 1996 and 2002), part of the debts related to year 2005 (related to tax credits that appear in Active Debt Certificates no. 70.2.12.000303-20 and 70.6.12.000814-20, arising out of Administrative Procedure no. 18471.001.243/2007-69, and supported in Tax Collection an no. 0015197-06.2012.4.02.5101), and year 2013 and following, were not object of adherence to the installment program.

Debts related to the years between 1996 and 2002 were not included in the tax recovery program due to the retroactive nature of the tax law, principle violated by the sole paragraph of article 74 in MP 2158/01, which, created only in 2001, intended, under legal fiction, require taxation of past events (1996 to 2001) in 2002. regarding the portion of the tax credit for year 2005, there is no adherence, as the portion corresponding to the requirement of taxes arising out of accrued compensated tax losses in prior years (1996 to 2002). Regarding the years 2013 and following, there is no adherence considering that the installment program allows for the payment of debts which generating facts occurred solely by December 31, 2012. These years, therefore, are outside of the scope of the program. The total amount of the dispute between 1996 and 2002 is R\$ 2.051 billion (US\$ 525 million)

Additionally, considering the decision favorable to the Company in May 2012, attributing suspensive effects to the extraordinary appeal and, consequently dismissing the applicability of amounts being questioned, duly approved by the Plenary in April 2013, there is no need to post any bond while such favorable decision is still in force. In this sense, the company has used all the surety bonds and cancelled a pledge related to the third act of infringement (2007).

The special appeal addressed to the Superior Court of Justice (STJ), filed in the Writ of Mandamus no. 2003.51.01.002937-0 was judged in the session held on November 26, 2013, when Reporting Justice an Napoleão Maia, recognized (admitted) in part the appeal, and in this portion, he granted it, while Justice Sérgio Kukina partially granted the appeal, and, in that portion, denied it. This judgment was resumed on March 25, 2014, when Minister Ari Pargendler presented his vote, accompanying the reporting judge Napoleão Nunes Maia Filho, considering inapplicable the taxation of profit from foreign companies controlled by Vale, since international treaties against double taxation should prevail. The judgment session ended on April 24, 2014, when the First Panel of the STJ decided, by majority of votes, in favor of Vale. The decision was published on May 20, 2014.

Table of Contents

This decision determined: (i) the incompatibility of the taxation regime on profits from affiliates domiciled abroad introduced by article 74 of the Preliminary Order no. 2.158-35/01 with certain international treaties against double taxation; (ii) the illegal nature of the taxation with positive results on asset equivalence set forth in article 7th, Normative Instruction no. 213/2002; and (iii) that profits gained by Vale in the Bermudas are subject to the terms in article 74, caput in MP 2.158-35/2001. The National Treasury filed an Extraordinary Appeal before the Federal Supreme Court, which is pending judgment.

Debts listed in said Writ of Mandamus and in discussion on the records of the following processes were included in the Special Installment Program: (i) Tax Collection 0023959-11.2012.4.02.5101 (IRPJ and CSLL debts related to years 2003 to 2006); (ii) Tax Collection 2011.51.01.518168-2 and Motion for Tax Collection 2011.51.01.509917-5 (IRPJ and CSLL debts related to year 2007); (iii) Tax Collection 0023958-26.2012.4.02.5101 (IRPJ and CSLL debts related to year 2007); (iv) Tax Collection 0011487-75.2012.4.02.5101 (CSLL debts related to year 2008); (v) Tax Collection 0011476-46.2012.4.02.5101 and Motion for Tax Collection 0013553-28.2012.4.02.5101 (IRPJ debts related to year 2008); and (vi) Tax Collection 0023974-77.2012.4.02.5101 (CSLL debts related to year 2008).

As determined in the legislation applicable to the Special Installment Program, on November 29, a 2013, the Company made the initial payments of values due as IRPJ and CSLL on the profit of affiliates located abroad, due to adherence to the installment program. At the time, the Company also formally adhered to the terms of the Special Installment Program, upon delivering the respective attachments set forth by Joint Order PGFN/RFB no. 9/2013. Monthly payment of the installments has been duly made, ever since.

Adherence to the Special Installment Program implied payment to the Federal Revenue Secretariat of R\$5,940 billion by the end of November 2013. Additionally, under the terms in REFIS in Law no. 12.865/13, Vale paid US\$ 6.0 billion in 2013, including the advanced payment and the initial payment and Vale agreed upon paying the remaining US\$ 16.3 billion in monthly payments.

Table of Contents

On December 31, 2015, the balance US\$ 4.431 billion (R\$ 17.301 billion) is due in 154 monthly payments, subject to interest at the SELIC rate.

The total liability for the years 2003 to 2012, including filed and unfiled periods for the Company and its affiliates, was estimated at R\$ 45.0 billion - R\$ 17,084 billion as principal, R\$ 9,831 billion as fine, R\$ 11,983 billion as interest and interest on fines, and R\$ 6,094 billion as fees.

Among options offered by the legislation, the Company opted for the payment in cash of the principal related to years 2003, 2004 and 2006 and dividing, into installments, the principal, fines, and interest related to 2005, and 2007 to 2012. According to the legislation, in case of cash payment, only the principal of the tax is due while in the installment payment, 80% of fines are exempted, as well as 50% of interest and 100% of fees.

The option chosen by the Company presents estimated face value of R\$ 22,214 billion, where R\$ 16,222 billion as principal, R\$ 1,565 billion as fine, and R\$ 4,427 billion as interest and interest on fines. Reduction of the principal is due to the discount of R\$798 million due to accrued losses in Brazil. The current value of this option after tax benefits is R\$ 14,425 billion, and it appears to be a better option compared to total payment in cash as it reduces the pressure on liquidity and minimize the present value of payments.

Participation on REFIS had impact of US\$ 6.7 billion (R\$ 15.3 billion) on the net profit in 2013. In 2014, financial expenses with impact on the net profit related to interest comprising the payments made under REFIS were US\$ 451 million (R\$ 1.0 billion). In regards to REFIS in 2015, we had financial expenses in the amount of US\$ 546 million (R\$ 1,798 million).

On this matter, it is important to note that on December 18, 2013, to comply with requirements in Law an 12.865/13, the Company submitted the petition to the records of said proceedings before the Superior Court of Justice (STJ), requiring partial dismissal of the decision and waiver of arguments under which the respective actions are grounded, according to partial waiver/dismissal parameters in the Writ of Mandamus no. 2003.51.01.002937-0.

Administrative procedures nos. 18471.000141/2008-15; 12897.000868/2009-98; 10569.000135/2011-63; 12897.000023/2010-36; and, 10569.000199/2010-84, terminated. This matter is still discussed in the judicial sphere, being object of Tax Collections. These collections, in turn, were subject to dismissal, for purposes of adherence to the Special Payment in Installments, according to requirements set forth in Law 12.865/13, and the respective progress is presented in items 3.1 and 3.2, 4.1 through 4.4, and 5.1 through 5.5.

1) Writ of Mandamus 2003.51.01.002937-0

Jurisdiction Superior Court of Justice and the Federal Supreme Court

Instance 3rd instance

Date of Filing 02/03/2003

Table of Contents

Parties in the suit

Vale (Plaintiff/Appellant) and National Tax Authority (Defendant/Appellee)

Amounts, goods or rights involved

Not applicable

Main facts

In February 2003, Vale filed a Writ of Mandamus to ensure the right not to be subject to income tax and social contribution as far the profits of its subsidiaries and affiliates abroad were concerned, according to the sole paragraph of article 74 of the Provisional Executive Order 2.158-34/2001, and later amendments.

Arguments of the Company:(i) section 74 of the Provisional Measure overlooks the treaties against double taxation signed by Brazil; (ii) the National Tax Code forbids the aforementioned taxation as set forth by the Provisional Measure; (iii) even if section 74 of the Provisional Measure were valid, exchange variation should be excluded from the assessment of due taxes; and (iv) the rule IN 213/2002 is illegal and (v) violation of the principle of prior taxation related to generating facts occurring before December 2001.

In February 2003, an injunction request was granted to suspend the collection of the tax credit resulting from the challenged legislation, so that the rules of Law No. 9.532/97 would continue to apply.

In August 2005, a rejection ruling was issued, causing revocation of the injunction previously obtained by Vale.

Vale filed an appeal, which was received on September 29, 2005, which reestablished the suspension to enforce the tax credit obtained by the Company in the injunction.

On March 29, 2011, the Federal Regional Court of the 2nd Region (TRF 2nd Region) dismissed the appeal, rejecting the arguments of Vale.

After reviewing the ruling, published on May 30, 2011, Vale has changed the prognosis from remote to possible, as reflected in its financial statements for June 30, 2011, filed on July 28, 2011. On June 3, 2011, Vale filed an appeal (motion for clarification) against the decision by the 2nd Region TRF, pointing out omissions regarding the exchange rate variation and on the unconstitutionality of the sole paragraph of article 74 of Provisional Executive Order, in addition to a contradiction relative to the application of treaties to avoid double taxation. The contradiction claimed by Vale is based on the fact that such challenged decision states, at the same time, that (a) Article 7 of the treaties against double taxation prohibits Brazil from taxing profits of affiliates and subsidiaries abroad, (b) that treaties prevail against internal laws and (c) that, however, such provision does not prevent the application of article 74 of the Provisional Executive Order 2158-35/01.

On November 28, 2011, the ruling which judged the motion (motion for clarification) partially in favor of Vale was published determining exclusion of exchange rate variation on the amount of foreign

investment, but rejecting the other requests and the suspension of the tax credit granted by the appeal.

On December 13, 2011, Vale filed a Special Appeal at the Superior Court of Justice (STJ) and an Extraordinary Appeal at the Supreme Court of Justice (STF).

The Special and Extraordinary Appeals were admitted on May 7, 2012, the same day that Vale filed for a Preliminary Order before the Superior Court of Justice (STJ) and the Federal Supreme Court (STF) requesting attribution of suspensive effects to the Extraordinary Appeal. The Preliminary Orders aimed to suspend the application of tax credits. At the STJ, although the preliminary order was granted initially, the decision judging the preliminary order rejected Vale s claim, cancelling the preliminary order. At the STF, the preliminary order was granted on May 9, 2012 and confirmed by the panel at the STF on April 10, 2013, reason why it remains in force.

On October 22, 2013, the Special Appeal by Vale (STJ) was included in the judgment agenda, but was later removed by the Federal Prosecution Office that, subsequently, issued an opinion unfavorable to Vale s claim.

On November 26, 2013, the First Panel of the STJ resumed the judgment of the appeal, when the Reporting Justice and Napoleão Maia partially granted the appeal and, in this portion, granted the appeal, while Justice Sergio Kukina also granted in part the appeal and, in this portion, he denied it. This judgment was resumed on March 25, 2014, when Minister Ari Pargendler presented his vote, accompanying the reporting judge Napoleão Nunes Maia Filho, considering inapplicable the taxation of profit from foreign companies controlled by Vale, since international treaties against double taxation should prevail. The judgment session ended on April 24, 2014, when the First Panel of the STJ decided, by majority of votes, in favor of Vale, and the decision was published on May 20, 2014. In short, the decision determined (i) the incompatibility of the taxation regime on profits from affiliates domiciled abroad introduced by article 74 of the Preliminary Order no. 2.158-35/01 with certain international treaties against double taxation; (ii) the illegal nature of the taxation with positive results on asset equivalence set forth in article 7th, Normative Instruction no. 213/2002; and (iii) that profits gained by Vale in the Bermudas are subject to the terms in article 74, caput in MP 2.158-35/2001. The National Treasury filed an Extraordinary Appeal before the Federal Supreme Court, which is pending judgment.

Chances of loss

Possible (regarding the remaining discussion which debt will not be subject to adherence to the tax recovery program).

Analysis of impact in the case of losing the suit/ Reasons for importance for the Company In the event of a final unfavorable decision, regarding all arguments raised by the Company, the Brazilian Tax Authority may collect income taxes and social contributions on profits of subsidiaries and/or affiliates abroad, taking into account the principle of the due process of law in the specific administrative and in-court collection procedures. This

Table of Contents

Notes

impact refers to the period which is not object of dismissal/waiver, for adherence to the Special Installment Plan, corresponding to the amount of R\$ 1,610 billion, as IRPJ (December 2015), and R\$ 0.441 billion, as CSLL (December 2015), totaling R\$ 2.051 billion. Amounts related to debts in 1996 and 2002, the 2005 portion and 2013 are not included.

- 1 On September 20, 2012, Vale received a summoning by the Federal Revenue of Brazil recognizing extinction of values related to Exchange rate variation, in the approximate value of R\$1.6 billion. Such extinction is due to the partially favorable decision issued in the judgment of an appeal (motion for stay) by the Company in this Writ of Mandamus 2003.51.01.002937-0, as described above in the item Main Facts .
- 2 The judgment of this direct claim of unconstitutionality (ADI) filed by the Confederação Nacional da Indústria (CNI) questioning constitutionality of article 74 in the Provisional Order 2.158-35/01 returned on April 3, 2013. On April 10, 2013, the result of such ADI was issued, and it was defined that article 74 is not applicable to affiliates located in countries without favored taxation (non-fiscal heavens), but is applicable to companies located in countries with favorable taxation (fiscal heavens). There was a decision for the retroactive nature of the sole paragraph of article 74 in the MP, implying the impossibility to apply this legislation to generating facts prior to 2002. On the same date, Extraordinary Appeals filed by Cooperativa Agropecuária Mourãoense COAMO and EMBRACO were judged. The preliminary order of Vale was maintained under unanimous voting, as seen in an item 1.1, below.
- 3 On December 18, 2013, in compliance with the terms in Law and 12.865/13, the Company filed a petition to the Superior Court of Justice requesting partial dismissal of the discussion and, also, waiving arguments under which the claim is grounded. On February 19, 2014, in the files of the Special Appeal, a partial waiver to the rights grounding the action was filed under terms required by Vale. The partial waiver produces effects in every tax contingency related to this issue, listed below
- 1.1) Development of Writ of Mandamus 2003.51.01.002937-0: Injunction no. 3.141

Court

Federal Supreme Court

Table of Contents

Instance 3rd Instance

Date of filing 05/07/2012

Parties to the claim Vale (plaintiff) and Federal Government (defendant)

Values, assets or rights involved Not applicable

Main factors On May 7, 2012, Vale filed for an Injunction to attempt to attribute suspensive effects to the

Extraordinary Appeal filed in the Writ of Mandamus (item 1) aiming suspension of the applicability

of amounts for IRPJ and CSLL being discussed.

On May 9, 2012, Justice Marco Aurélio Mello, from the Federal Supreme Court granted the injunction in this sense. On May 25, 2012, the Union filed an appeal. On May 28, 2012, the Union filed an appeal (interlocutory appeal) against the decision granting the appeal. On June 8, 2012, Vale filed its response to this appeal. On April 10, 2013, there was a decision rejecting, unanimously, the Union Appeal (interlocutory appeal) and maintaining the injunction favorable to Vale. This decision was published on September 30, 2013 and no appeal was filed. Therefore, unless the judges reconsider their decision, the suspensive effect will have effects until judgment of the extraordinary appeal. On December 18, 2013, Vale filed the waiver petition for purposes of adhering to REFIS. On February 14, 2014, a decision was issued determining the filing of a copy of the partial waiver request and the approving decision issued under the main Writ of mandamus (item 1 above). On February 24, 2014, Vale provided requested documents and the files moved to be appreciated by the reporting judge.

The files have been closed since then.

Probability of loss Possible (regarding the remaining discussion, which debt will not be subject to adherence to the tax

recovery program).

Analysis of impact in case of loss/Reasons for the importance of the claim to the Company

In the event of any unfavorable outcome, there is a chance to require guarantee for amounts under discussion. This impact relates to the period that is not subject to dismissal/waiver for adherence to the Special Installment Program.

2) Tax Assessment Notice no. 18471.001243/2007-69

Court Tax Appeals Administrative Council

Instance 2nd administrative instance

Date of filing 12/10/2007

Parties to the claim National Tax Authority (plaintiff) and Vale (defendant)

Table of Contents

Values, assets or rights involved

Total debt R\$ 2.051 billion (December 2015)

Main factors

On November 12, 2007, Vale was made aware of the Tax Assessment Notice which object is the collection of supposed income tax and social contribution debts levied on the accounting gain regarding the ownership equity of foreign subsidiaries in the 1996 to 2002 base years.

On December 10, 2007, Vale filed the defense (Impugnation), arguing that such requirements were not valid and that no penalty could be applied because the injunction issued in favor

of Vale in the writ of mandamus no. 2003.51.01.002937-0 (item 1 above) was still in force. The Internal Revenue Trial Service (DRJ, for its acronym in Portuguese) partially granted the impugnation.

On August 18, 2008, Vale filed an appeal. The National Tax Authority also filed an Appeal regarding the partial reduction of the social contribution collection.

At the judgment of these appeals, held on May 19, 2010, some of Vale s arguments were not assessed by the Administrative Council of Tax Appeals - CARF, because, according to this entity, the matter was deemed to be subject to assessment by the Judicial Branch. Additionally, (i) Vale s argument regarding the running of the statute of limitations as far the collections of taxes referring to generating facts occurring in 1996 and 1997 were concerned, was rejected, (ii) the application of a fine against Vale was canceled, and (iii) the appeal from the National Tax Authority was dismissed.

On September 26, 2011, Vale filed a new appeal (motion for clarification) stating the existence of omissions in the decision by CARF, and the appeal was rejected.

On October 3, 2011, the National Tax Authority filed an appeal (special appeal) before the Superior Chamber of Tax Appeals against the CARF decision, in the portion that cancelled the penalty. Vale responded to the appeal filed by the National Tax Authority, as well as to the Superior Chamber against the CARF decision, regarding dismissal of the claim on the statute of limitations.

On January 24, 2012, the Special Major Taxpayer Office (DEMAC, for its acronym in Portuguese), ex officio, interpreting the decision of the Federal Regional Court of the 2nd Region in the writ of mandamus no. 2003.51.01.002937-0 (item 1 above) in the sense that there is an overlapping between the discussions in this administrative proceeding and in that writ of mandamus, rejected all administrative appeals and ordered the immediate collection of part of the credits that are currently object of Tax Assessment no. 0015197-06.2012.4.02.5101 (item 2.2). Therefore, the appeals filed by Vale and the National Tax Authority against the CARF decision have not been assessed by the Superior Chamber of Tax Appeals.

Table of Contents

Vale filed a writ of mandamus (no. 0001899-44.2012.4.02.5101 item 2.1 below) to attempt to reverse the order of DEMAC and ensure the regular development of the administrative process. Alongside, the Company filed a request for reconsideration at DEMAC, which was denied and, ever since, the files are with the judge, waiting to proceed.

Probability of loss Remote

Analysis of impact in case of loss/Reasons for the importance of the claim to the Company

In the event of any unfavorable outcome, the taxes on the accounting gain regarding the ownership equity of foreign subsidiaries discussed under this tax assessment notice may be levied. Any financial impact, however, shall only occur in case of a final unfavorable decision in the in-court collection claim.

2.1) Writ of mandamus. 0001899-44.2012.4.02.5101 related to the Tax Assessment Notice no. 18471.001243/2007-69

Court 28th Federal Court of Rio de Janeiro

Instance 1st Instance

Date of filing 02/06/2012

Parties to the claim Vale (plaintiff) and DEMAC (defendant)

Values, assets or rights involved Not applicable

Main factors On February 6, 2012, Vale filed a Writ of Mandamus to suspend the order of DEMAC and ensure

the development of the administrative proceeding no. 18471.001243/2007-69 (item 2 above). The injunction request was denied, and Vale filed an appeal (interlocutory appeal) against this decision and a request for reconsideration. Both were rejected. The files remain with the judge, waiting to

proceed.

Probability of loss Possible

Analysis of impact in case of loss/Reasons for the importance of the claim to the Company

Not applicable

2.2) Tax Collection no. 0015197-06.2012.4.02.5101 regarding the Tax Assessment Notice no. 18471.001243/2007-69

Court 5th Tax Collection Court of Rio de Janeiro

Instance 1st federal instance

Date of filing 03/13/2012

Parties to the claim Federal Taxpayer Authority (plaintiff) and Vale (defendant)

Values, assets or rights involved Total debt R\$ 2.051 billion (December 2015)

Main factors On March 12, 2012, the National Tax Authority filed a claim to collect income taxes and social

contributions presumably due, in view of the decision from DEMAC mentioned in item 2 above. On April 25, 2012, the National Tax Authority filed a petition requesting seizure of dividends to be

distributed by Vale on April 30, 2012.

On April 26, 2012, Vale filed a petition challenging the request from the National Tax Authority

and offering, alternatively, a

bank guarantee to secure the debt. On the same day, the court accepted the offering of the

guarantee, presented by Vale on April 27, 2012.

On May 8, 2012, the National Tax Authority presented a request to block monies through the BACENJUD system - through which the judge directly accesses all bank accounts in the country - that, upon objection by Vale, was rejected due to the preliminary order granted by Minister Marco Aurélio de Mello, suspending application of tax credits, object of this enforcement (item 1.1. above). Vale then requested acknowledgement of the lack of need to guarantee the execution since application of credits is suspended and dismissal of the previously granted surety bond, granted by

the Court. Faced with such decision, on May 14, 2012, Vale paid the bail. Due to the aforementioned injunction granted in the provisional remedy cited in item 1.1, the lawsuit has been

stayed, as the national Tax Authority cannot collect non-applicable credits. On July 17, 2014, Vale filed a motion requesting extinction of the tax collection due to the STF decision in ADI 2.588, which determined the unconstitutional nature of the sole paragraph in article 74 in Provisional

Order 2.158-35/01. Waiting for the court to comment on the motion.

Probability of loss Remote

Analysis of impact in case of loss/Reasons for the importance of the claim to the Company

In the event of any unfavorable outcome related to the injunction object of item 1.1 above, Vale may have to present a new guarantee of the amounts in question under this collection.

Table of Contents

3.1) Development of Administrative Claim no. 18471.000141/2008-15: writ of mandamus. 0004826-69.2012.4.01.3400

Court 14th Federal Court of the Federal District

Instance 1st Instance

Date of filing 01/25/2012

Parties to the claim Vale (plaintiff) and President of the 2nd Chamber of the Tax Appeals Administrative Council

(defendant)

Values, assets or rights involved Not applicable

Main factors On January 25, 2012, Vale filed a writ of mandamus against the decision of the President of the 2nd

Chamber of CARF, issued in Administrative Claim no. 18471.000141/2008-15. On January 27, 2012, an injunction order was issued to suspend the effects of the decision mentioned above and to determine the regular processing of administrative claims no. 18471.000141/2008-15 and 12897.00868/2009-98. The National Tax Authority filed a claim to suspend the injunction request (no. 0009426-51.2012.4.01.0000) and, on March 12, 2012, a decision was issued suspending the validity of the injunction obtained by the Company, in the writ of mandamus issued in Administrative Claim no. 18471.000141/2008-15. Vale then filed an appeal (interlocutory appeal) which was rejected. After, in April 2012, a petition was filed notifying about the preliminary order granted by Minister Teori Zavascki, suspending collection of allegedly due values. The decision on

the writ of mandamus is still pending. On November 25, 2013, a decision in favor of Vale was published.

On December 18, 2013, Vale filed the waiver petition for purposes of adhering to REFIS. On February 13, 2014, the judge issued an order determining that he was not entitled to appreciate the waiver request as he understood that, after publication of the decision, the judge ends with his jurisdictional activity and should be limited to correcting possible material and calculation errors. On May 2, 2014, the Prosecution Office filed an Appeal and, later, Vale reiterated its request to dismiss the claim due to adherence to the Special Payment in Installments under Law 12.865/2013. On June 10, 2014, notice was given that the Internal Revenue Service s appeal had been accepted with devolutive effect and a term of 15 days was granted to Vale to present its counterargument, which led to the refilling of Vale s request for withdrawal. The case was sent to the TRF 1 and distributed to the Reporter Hercules Fajoses. The case has been under determination since then.

Probability of loss Not applicable due to adherence to REFIS.

Table of Contents

Analysis of impact in case of loss/Reasons for the importance of the claim to the Company

Not applicable due to adherence to REFIS.

3.2) Development of Administrative Claim no. 18471.000141/2008-15: Tax Assessment Notice no. 0023959-11.2012.4.02.5101

Court 7th Tax Collection Court of Rio de Janeiro

Instance 1st federal instance

Date of filing 3/13/2012

Parties to the claim National Tax Authority (Plaintiff) and Vale (Defendant)

Values, assets or rights involved R\$ 14,216,689,702.56 (in November 2013, date adhering to REFIS), without reduction factors

provided for in the tax recovery program. Amount included in Administrative Claim no.

18471.0000141/2008-15.

Main factors On May 8, 2012, before publication of the unfavorable decision by the STJ, in the injunction related

to the item above and, thus, when the suspensive effect of the preliminary order granted was still in effect, the National Tax Authority, even with the suspended application of credits, filed a tax enforcement act to collect IRPJ and CSLL allegedly due, which, in their understanding, would be possible considering the decision by the President of the 2nd Chamber of CARF, mentioned in item

3.1 above.

On May 11, 2012, Vale filed a petition informing the granting of the injunction by the STF suspending the applicability of credits (item 1.1 above) and, on the same date, a decision was pronounced suspending this tax collection. On December 18, 2013. Vale presented a petition claiming the loss of object of the collection due to adherence to REFIS. On February 24, 2014, an order was issued determining (a) the National Treasury should comment regarding the notified payment, and (b) the presentation by Vale of a legible power of attorney, which has been complied with by the Company. The National Treasury requested an extension of the term for 90 days on November 26, 2014, and it was again invited to comment on the payment informed by Vale. On December 16, certificates were issued attesting that the National Treasury failed to comment, and

suspending the claim. The decision on such request has not been published yet.

Probability of loss Not applicable, as the debt has terminated upon adherence to REFIS.

Analysis of impact in case of loss/Reasons for the

Not applicable, as the debt has terminated upon adherence to REFIS.

importance of the claim to the Company

4.1) Development of Administrative Claim no. 12897.000868/2009-98, dated 01/11/10: Writ of mandamus 2011.51.01.005614-9

Court 32nd Federal Court of Rio de Janeiro

Instance 2nd instance

Date of filing 04/29/2011

Parties to the claim Vale (Plaintiff) and National Tax Authority (Defendant)

Values, assets or rights involved Not applicable

Main factors On March 15, 2011, Vale received a letter collecting income taxes and social contributions which,

according to the National Tax Authority, would not be the object of the appeal filed by the

Company in Administrative Claim no. 12897.000868/2009-98

On March 23, 2011, Vale filed a petition requesting the cancellation of the collection on the grounds that the claimed values were indeed covered by the appeal.

On April 15, 2011, Vale received a notice from the National Tax Authority announcing the maintenance of the collection.

On April 29, 2011, Vale filed a writ of mandamus to suspend the collection. The preliminary order requested in this writ of mandamus was rejected.

On May 25, 2011, the Company filed an appeal (interlocutory appeal) against the decision that rejected the request for injunction to suspend the collection. On July 15, 2011, the request to suspend the effects of the previous decision in this appeal was rejected as well. On January 15, 2013, the ruling denying the writ of mandamus was issued. On January 30, 2013, Vale filed an appeal against the decision. On March 14, 2013, the judge received the appeal and attributed suspensive effect. On December 18, 2013, Vale filed the waiver petition for purposes of adhering to REFIS. The files were remitted to be appreciated by the judge.

REF15. The thes were remitted to be appreciated by the judge.

Despite of the unfavorable decision issued in this writ of mandamus, application of tax credits discussed herein is suspended due to the STF decision (item 1.1 above).

Not applicable, as the debt has terminated upon adherence to REFIS.

Analysis of impact in case of loss/Reasons for the

Probability of loss

Not applicable, as the debt has terminated upon adherence to REFIS.

importance of the claim to the Company

4.2) Development of Administrative Claim no. 12897.000868/2009-98 dated 01/11/10: Tax Collection no. 2011.51.01.518168-2

Court 11th Tax Collection Court of Rio de Janeiro

Instance 1st federal instance

Date of filing 07/08/2011

Parties to the claim Federal Taxpayer Authority (plaintiff) and Vale (defendant)

Values, assets or rights involved R\$ 33,903,846.09 (November 2013, date adhering to REFIS) included in the amount of the main

administrative process described in Administrative Claim no. 12897.000868/2009-98, added with

legal fees.

Main factors On July 8, 2011, the National Tax Authority filed a claim to collect income taxes and social

contributions presumably due, in view of the collection letter mentioned in item 4.1. above.

On August 29, 2011, Vale submitted a surety bond guarantee regarding the tax collection, which

was expressly approved by the National Tax Authority.

On September 28, 2011, Vale filed a defense (motion to stay under No. 2011.51.01.509917-5), requiring the suspension of the collection until the final judgment of the main writ of mandamus (item 1 above) and the cancellation of the Company s Debt Certificate due to a material error, in

view of an inconsistency of the amounts indicated therein.

On September 13, 2012, the National Tax Authority presented its response to Vale s motion for

collection.

Applicability of tax credits discussed herein is suspended due to the preliminary order by the STF (item 1.1 above), enabling cancellation, on July 4, 2013 of the surety bond presented as guarantee. On December 18, 2013, Vale filed a petition claiming loss of objecting of this collection due to adherence to REFIS. On August 20, 2014, suspension of the execution was determined until the end

of the monthly payments.

Probability of loss Not applicable, as the debt has terminated upon adherence to REFIS.

Analysis of impact in case of loss/Reasons for the importance of the claim to the Company

Not applicable, as the debt has terminated upon adherence to REFIS.

Table of Contents

4.3) Development of Administrative Claim no. 12897.000868/2009-98 dated 01/11/10: Motion to Stay Collection no. 2011.51.01.509917-5

Court 11th Tax Collection Court of Rio de Janeiro

Instance 1st federal instance

Date of filing 09/28/2011

Parties to the claim Vale (plaintiff) and National Tax Authority (Defendant)

Values, assets or rights involved Value already mentioned in item 4.2 above.

Main factors On September 28, 2011, Vale filed a defense (motion to stay) requiring the suspension of the

collection until the final judgment of the main writ of mandamus (item 1 above) and the cancellation of the Company s Debt Certificate, that grounds this tax collection, due to a material error, in view of an inconsistency of the amounts indicated therein. On September 13, 2012, the National Tax Authority filed response to the motion for stay. Vale commented on the response by the National Tax Authority (response) and filed an appeal (motion for stay), requesting that the court commented about the suspension request for the collection based on the STF decision (item 1.1 above). The appeal was granted and the process was suspended. On December 18, 2013, Vale filed a petition claiming loss of objecting of this collection due to adherence to REFIS. On September 2, 2014, the National Treasury commented in favor of extinction of the tax collection due to the payments made. On March 26, 2015, the decision was issued judging the claim as extinct, with merit resolution and condemning Vale to pay attorneys fees at R\$ 1,500.00. Award published on April 9, 2015, replacing the decision previously condemning Vale to the payment of attorneys fees. The Internal Revenue Service was informed on May 29, 2015. The files were

downloaded on July 27, 2015.

Probability of loss Not applicable, as the debt has terminated upon adherence to REFIS.

Analysis of impact in case of loss/Reasons for the importance of the claim to the Company

Not applicable, as the debt has terminated upon adherence to REFIS.

4.4) Development of the Administrative Claim no. 12897.000868/2009-98: Tax Assessment 0023958-26.2012.4.02.5101

Court 7th Tax Collection Court of Rio de Janeiro

Instance 1st federal instance

Table of Contents

Date of filing 05/8/2012

Parties to the claim National Tax Authority (Plaintiff) and Vale (Defendant)

Values, assets or rights involved R\$ 17,623,009,684.76 (November 2013, date adhering to REFIS) included in the main

administrative procedure described in Administrative Claim no. 12897.000868/2009-98, added with

legal fees.

Main factors On May 8, 2012, before publication of the unfavorable decision by the STJ, in the injunction related

to item 1 and, thus, when the suspensive effect of the preliminary order granted was still in effect, the National Tax Authority, even with the suspended application of credits, filed a tax enforcement act to collect IRPJ and CSLL allegedly due, which, considering the administrative decision

mentioned in Administrative Claim no. 12897.000868/2009-98.

Applicability of tax credits discussed herein is suspended due to a preliminary order by the STF (item 1.1 above). Vale filed a petition claiming suspension of the collection based on this decision. The claim was granted and the process is suspended. On December 18, 2013, Vale filed a petition claiming loss of objecting of this collection due to adherence to REFIS. On February 20, 2014, an order was issued determining (a) the National Treasury should comment regarding the notified payment, and (b) the presentation by Vale of a legible power of attorney, which has been complied with by the Company. The National Treasury failed to comment under the legal term, and on March 26, 2014, a decision was issued determining suspension of the process. On April 7, 2014, the National Treasury requested suspension of the claim for ninety days due to Vale s adherence to the payment in installments, with legal benefits, using fiscal loss and the negative calculation base of CSLL. Waiting court decision regarding the request filed by the National Treasury.

Probability of loss Not applicable, as the debt has terminated upon adherence to REFIS.

Analysis of impact in case of loss/Reasons for the importance of the claim to the Company

Not applicable, as the debt has terminated upon adherence to REFIS.

5.1) Development of Administrative Claim no. 12897.000023/2010-36, dated 02/12/10: Tax Collection no. 0011487-75.2012.4.02.5101

Court 1st Tax Collection Court of Rio de Janeiro

Instance 1st federal instance

Date of filing 01/26/2012

Parties to the claim Federal Taxpayer Authority (plaintiff) and Vale (defendant)

Table of Contents

Values, assets or rights involved R\$ 21,731,827.64 (November 2013, date adhering to REFIS) included in the amount of

Administrative Claim no. 12897.000023/2010-36, added with legal fees.

Main factors On January 26, 2012, the National Tax Authority filed a claim to collect income taxes and social

contributions presumably due, in view of the collection letter mentioned in item 5.1.

On February 2, 2012, Vale posted a bond to secure the tax collection claim and on February 6, 2012, the Court issued a decision considering such bond. Applicability of tax credits discussed herein is suspended due to a preliminary order by the STF (item 1.1 above). On May 7, 2013, the decision was issued suspending the process based on the STF decision and dismissing the need of guarantee of collected values, also authorizing cancellation of the surety bond presented by Vale. On December 18, 2013, Vale filed the waiver petition for purposes of adhering to REFIS. On January 28, 2014, the files were remitted to the National Tax Authority. On June 24, 2014, the National Treasury requested suspension of the claim for sixty days to evaluate the payment made. On September 3, 2014, the decision was issued determining suspension of the collection until the end of the monthly payments, and the National Treasury represented to be aware on September 25, 2014.

Probability of loss Not applicable, as the debt has terminated upon adherence to REFIS.

Analysis of impact in case of loss/Reasons for the importance of the claim to the Company

Not applicable, as the debt has terminated upon adherence to REFIS.

5.2) Development of Administrative Claim no. 12897.000023/2010-36 dated 02/12/10: Tax Collection no. 0011476-46.2012.4.02.5101

Court 4th Tax Collection Court of Rio de Janeiro

Instance 1st federal instance

Date of filing 01/26/2012

Parties to the claim Federal Taxpayer Authority (plaintiff) and Vale (defendant)

Values, assets or rights involved R\$ 60,325,116.23 (November 2013, date adhering to REFIS), as IRPJ, value already included in the

amount of Administrative Claim no. 12897.000023/2010-36, added with legal fees.

Main factors On January 26, 2012, the National Tax Authority filed a claim to collect income tax presumably

due, in view of the collection letter mentioned in item 5.1, requesting the blockage of Vale credits

in procedure no. 20035101.024181-3, underway at the 12th Federal Court of Rio de

Janeiro. On February 2, 2012, Vale entered the records, filing a surety bond to guarantee collection.

On May 8, 2012, before publication of the unfavorable decision by the STJ, in the injunction related to item 1 and, thus, when the suspensive effect of the preliminary order granted was still in effect, the judge, upon request by the National Tax Authority, blocked on line R\$ 55,654,046.21 in cash, through the BACENJUD system - through which the judge directly accesses all bank accounts in the country. Vale filed an appeal (interlocutory appeal) against this decision. The applicability of tax credits under discussion is suspended according to a preliminary order by the STF (item 1.1 above), reason why on May 14, 2012, a court order suspended the lawsuit.

On May 14, 2014, Vale filed a petition claiming the release of the value blocked online. On May 15, 2013, the Surety Bond was returned to the Company and, subsequently, an order was issued determining that the National Tax Authority should comment regarding the claim to release the blocked value. On June 18, 2013, the National Tax Authority commented contrary to the claim to cancel the online blocking. On July 9, 2013, a decision was issued cancelling the online blocking of Vale credits in procedure no. 2003.5101.024181-3, however, the order maintained the online blocking. On December 18, 2013, Vale filed a petition claiming loss of objecting of this collection due to adherence to REFIS.

On June 16, 2014, the National Treasury requested that Caixa Econômica Federal was notified to convert into income the deposit that guarantees the enforcement, in the amount of R\$ 62,698,188.94, with payment discounts provided for by Law 12.865/13, as well as requesting later review of the files to take required administrative procedures to settle the debt. The National Treasury was granted the review of the files to indicate the amount to be converted into final payment, with applicable deductions. On October 31, 2014, the National Treasury requested conversion into income of the amount of R\$ 41,299,643.64 and on November 5, 2014 the order was issued by Caixa Econômica Federal to be complied with. On January 29, 2015, the National Treasury filed a brief requesting suspension of the claim for five days in order to allow the sector responsible for determining payment and cancellation could conclude the necessary procedures. On March 6, 2015, the National Treasury filed a motion informing that payments were imputed and remaining balances were cancelled, requesting the claim to be extinct. On March 19, 2015 Vale requested to withdraw the remaining judicial balance that, according to an order by Caixa Econômica, was R\$14,198,955.67 on November 25, 2014. On the same date, the award was published determining extinction of the process and authorizing withdrawal of the remaining balance of the judicial deposit. On May 13, 2015, the Internal Revenue Service was informed and on May 15, 2015 the final decision was pronounced. On July 02, 2015, a permit was filed establishing the remaining amount.

Table of Contents

Probability of loss Not applicable, as the debt has terminated upon adherence to REFIS

Analysis of impact in case of loss/Reasons for the importance of the claim to the Company

Based on legal determination, due to adherence to the tax recovery program (REFIS), the value deposited judicially, resulting from money attachment, will be converted into income in favor of the Federal Government, becoming final payment, upon application of reduction factors determined by Law 12.865/13. Possible remaining balance may be raised by Vale

5.3) Development of Administrative Claim no. 12897.000023/2010-36 dated 02/12/10: Motion to Stay Collection no. 0013553-28.2012.4.02.5101

Court 1st Tax Collection Court of Rio de Janeiro

Instance 1st federal instance

Date of filing 03/08/2012

Parties to the claim National Tax Authority (Defendant) and Vale (Plaintiff)

Values, assets or rights involved Value already mentioned in item 5.3 above.

Main factors On March 8, 2012, Vale filed a defense (motion to stay) against the tax collection in item 5.3

above.

The applicability of tax credits being discussed is suspended by a decision by the STF (item 1.1 above), reason why on May 10, 2012 a decision suspended the suit. On December 18, 2013, Vale filed the waiver petition for purposes of adhering to REFIS. On June 16, 2014, the National Treasury requested issuance of an order to Caixa Econômica Federal to convert R\$ 62,698,188.94 into income, with payment discounts provided for by Law 12.865/13, as well as requesting later review of the files to take required administrative procedures to settle the debt. On November 5, 2014, the decision was published, extinguishing the claim, considering the dismissal due to adherence to Payment in Installments. The National Treasury represented to be aware on January 7, 2015, and there were no changes since then. The decision is res judicata and the files were archived.

Probability of loss Not applicable, as the debt has terminated upon adherence to REFIS

Analysis of impact in case of loss/Reasons for the importance of the claim to the Company

Based on legal determination, due to adherence to the tax recovery program, the value deposited judicially, resulting from money attachment, will be converted into income in favor of the Federal Government, becoming final payment, upon application of reduction factors determined by Law 12.865/13. On February 12, 2015, the res judicata certificate was issued and on February 19, the files were written off. The remaining balance will be withdraw in the files of the respective tax collection.

5.4) Development of Administrative Claim no. 12897.000023/2010-36: Tax Collection no. 0023974-77.2012.4.02.5101

Court 1st Tax Collection Court of Rio de Janeiro

Instance 1st federal instance

Date of filing 05/08/2012

Parties to the claim National Tax Authority (Plaintiff) and Vale (Defendant)

Values, assets or rights involved R\$ 4,609,749,384.28 (November 2013, date adhering to REFIS), value included in the main

administrative procedure described in Administrative Claim no. 12897.000023/2010-36, added with

legal fees.

Main factors On May 8, 2012, before publication of the unfavorable decision by the STJ, in the injunction related

to item 1 and, thus, when the suspensive effect of the preliminary order granted was still in effect, the National Tax Authority filed a tax assessment notice to collect the amounts of IRPJ and CSLL

supposedly due, considering the administrative decision in Administrative Claim no.

12897.000023/2010-36.

The Tax Authority filed a request to block and seize monies through the BACENJUD system, which was denied. Vale informed in the files that the applicability of tax credits is suspended by a preliminary order by the STF (item 1.1 above), which caused the judge to determine suspension of

the collection.

On May 11, 2012, Vale filed a petition informing about the attribution of suspensive effect to the extraordinary appeal filed in the writ of mandamus no. 0002937-09.2003.4.02.5101 due to preliminary order request filed in the (items 1 and 1.1 above) and requesting suspension of the enforcement, which was granted on the decision on May 17, 2012. On May 22, 2012, Vale filed an appeal (motion for clarification), which was accepted to clarify that the enforcement will remain suspended until notice of the final judgment of the extraordinary appeal filed by Vale (item 1 above). On December 18, 2013, Vale filed the waiver petition for purposes of adhering to REFIS. On July 22, 2014, the National Treasury requested suspension of the claim for sixty days to accompany the regularity of the payment. On September 3, 2014, the decision was issued determining the suspension of the claim until the end of the payment, and the National Treasury

Probability of loss Not applicable, as the debt has terminated upon adherence to REFIS

Analysis of impact in case of loss/Reasons for the importance of the claim to the Company

Not applicable, as the debt has terminated upon adherence to REFIS

represented being aware on September 25, 2014.

5.5) Development of Administrative Claim no. 12897.000023/2010-36: Writ of mandamus no. 35681-31.2012.4.01.3400

Court 1st Tax Collection Court of the Federal District

Instance 1st federal instance

Date of filing 07/13/2012

Parties to the claim Vale (Defendant) and National Tax Authority (Plaintiff)

Values, assets or rights involved Not applicable

Main factors On July 13, 2012, Vale filed a writ of mandamus to annul the measure taken by the President of the

3rd Chamber of the 1st CARF Section, which ordered the early dismissal of administrative process

no. 12897.000.023/2019-36.

On July 19, 2012, a decision denied the injunction that had not been requested by the Company. Vale filed a petition for reconsideration, in an attempt to correct the decision that, by mistake, rejected an alleged request of preliminary order which was not even made. However, the request

was denied.

In view of this decision on August 22, 2012, Vale filed an appeal, which was not granted. On July 8, 2013, the decision was published determining the claim was inapplicable and extinguishing the suit. Vale filed an appeal (motion for stay) and, after submission of an opinion by the Federal Prosecution Office, the files were remitted to the judge s office. On July 8, 2013, an unfavorable decision was issued, and on July 25, 2013, Vale filed an appeal. Files were received by the Federal Regional Court on October 18, 2013 and we are waiting the judgment on the petition notifying

adherence to REFIS.

After redistribution of the claim to Justice Ângela Catão, the National Treasury filed a petition on December 17, 2014 agreeing with the dismissal requested by Vale. On February 26, 2015, the decision approving the dismissal was published. On March 27, 2015, the term for appeal terminated

and files were returned to the origin.

Dismissed on May 4, 2015.

Probability of loss Not applicable, as the debt has terminated upon adherence to REFIS

Analysis of impact in case of loss/Reasons for the importance of the claim to the Company

Not applicable, as the debt has terminated upon adherence to REFIS

Table of Contents

6) Administrative Proceeding no. 16682.721173/2013-04

Court Office of Large Taxpayers DEMAC / RJ

Instance 2nd Administrative Instance

Date of filing 11/14/2013

Parties to the claim Federal Revenue (plaintiff) and Vale (defendant)

Values, assets or rights involved Initial amount of R\$ 1.1 billion, but after the first instance decision it was adjusted to R\$ 282

million (On December 2015).

Main factors On November 14, 2013, the Office for Large Taxpayers body of the Federal Tax Authority filed

an Act of Infringement to collect a fine due to alleged omissions and errors in data presented in magnetic files, related to operations carried out in calendar years 2008, 2009, and 2010. Magnetic files were presented to prove the relation between entrance and exit tax documents supporting the PIS and COFINS credit operation requested in the refund claim On December 13, 2013, Vale submitted its defense (impugnation), based on the following arguments: (i) the fine was applied in a wrong way; (ii) this is an alleged continuous infringement, which would cause reduction to the fine; (iii) infringement against the principles of proportionality and reasonability; (iv) contradiction between the checking term and the fiscal statement generating the act of infringement and decisions regarding claimed PIS and COFINS credits, (v) impossibility to apply a fine due to insufficient description of goods; and (vi) mistakes made by the supervision, while calculating gross income. On December 16, 2013, the files were forwarded to the Judgment Unit of the Federal Revenue Office of Brazil, in Ribeirão Preto SP. On June 13, 2014, the objection was judged and granted partially, to reduce the fine percentage over the value of the operations from 1 to 0.2%. Vale filed

an Appeal and a Voluntary Appeal and both appeals are pending judgment by CARF.

Probability of loss Possible.

Analysis of impact in case of loss/Reasons for the importance of the claim to the Company

In the event of an unfavorable decision, Vale can discussed the un-due fine in the judicial phase.

Notes Resulting from MPF (Fiscal Procedure Order) no. 0718500.2012.0059

7) Administrative Proceeding no. 16682.721227/2013-23

Court Office of Large Taxpayers DEMAC / RJ

Instance 1st Administrative Instance

Date of filing 11/14/2013

Parties to the claim Federal Revenue (plaintiff) and Vale (defendant)

Table of Contents

Values, assets or rights involved

The case was closed in June 2015.

Main factors

On November 14, 2013, the Office for Large Taxpayers body of the Federal Tax Authority filed an Act of Infringement to collect a 50% fine over a declined claim related to PIS and COFINS credit refund linked to exporting operations in the period between the first quarter 2008 and the fourth quarter 2010. On December 13, 2013, Vale submitted its defense (impugnation), based on the following arguments: (i) lack of final credit creation, (ii) controversial case law regarding the concept of input for purposes of PIS and COFINS credit and difficulties faced by the Company regarding its right to credit and compensations applied; (iii) lack of proportionality in the fine; (iv) fine applied separately, as a means to threaten the taxpayer. On December 16, 2013, the files were forwarded to the Judgment Unit of the Federal Revenue Office of Brazil, in Ribeirão Preto SP. On March 21, 2014, the files were forwarded to the Guiding and Tax Assessment Division of the Office for Large Taxpayers of the Federal Revenue of Brazil in Rio de Janeiro - DEMAC/RJ, where they wait to be appreciated.

On July 3, 2014, Vale was notified about the decision that judged the objection inapplicable and on August 1, 2014, Vale filed an appeal. This appeal was fully granted to cancel the collection, in a decision notified to the company on January 23, 2015. Retroactive effects of MP 656/14, current at the time, was applied as the MP revoked the fine of 50% over the amount of the credit object of the request of refund that was not granted. The legal term that no longer defines as infringement the factual hypothesis described in the claim determines cancellation of the punishment applied before. The case was duly dismissed on February 10, 2015.

Probability of loss

Process ended upon decision fully favorable to the Company

Analysis of impact in case of loss/Reasons for the importance of the

claim to the Company

Not applicable.

Notes

Derived from MPF (Fiscal Procedure Order) no 0718500.2012.00599

8) Motion for Annulment of Decision # 2006.020.1001869-2

Court Superior Court of Justice and Federal Supreme Court

Instance 3rd Instance

Date of filing 20/02/2006

Parties to the claim Federal Union (plaintiff) and Vale (defendant)

R\$ 5.775 billion (US\$ 1.479 billion) on December 31, 2015 Values, assets or rights involved

Table of Contents

Main factors

This is a motion for the annulment of the decision that ordered the collection of CSLL using IRPJ as basis of calculation.

In 2005, a decision was handed down by the Supreme Court granting to Vale the right to deduct the amounts paid as social contributions on net profits (CSLL) from the basis of income tax calculation. In 2006 the Treasury Department s Prosecution Office filed a motion to for the annulment of decision in an attempt to annul the 2004 decision. Both the Federal Court of Rio de Janeiro and the Federal Regional Court of the Second region denied the motion. The Treasury Department s Prosecution Office appealed to the Superior Court of Justice (STJ) and to the Federal Supreme Court (STF). After the Treasury Department s Special Appeal was denied, an internal appeal of the court was filed. This, in turn, was granted, which prompted Vale to file an internal appeal of the court, currently pending decision.

Probability of loss Possible

Analysis of impact in case of loss/Reasons for the importance of the claim to the Company

If the Motion for Annulment is granted, the amount to be collected will depend on the terms and scope of the final decision.

(iii) Civil

The tables below present a description of individual civil nature processes considered relevant to the business of the company and/or its subsidiaries filed until December 31, 2015. For further information on the relevant cases filed after said date, see item 4.7 of this Reference Form.

1) Claim no. 0063023-34.2008.8.19.0001

Jurisdiction 41st Civil Court of the Court of Justice of Rio de Janeiro

Instance 1st Instance

Date of filing 03/17/2008

Parties in the suit Vale (plaintiff) and Movimento dos Sem Terra (MST) (defendant)

Amounts, goods or rights involved Protection of the company s assets and guarantee of its operations

Main facts Vale filed a suit with a request for anticipated relief obliging the defendant to cease attacks, violent

acts or incitements which cause the operational stoppage of the company by the MST. The claim for anticipated relief was granted, establishing that the MST must refrain from such acts. The MST

did not comply with the decision,

Table of Contents

reason why Vale requested an increase in the established fine in the event of noncompliance, which was granted by the court.

In 2012, the parties initiated new efforts to find a possible solution for this case. On June 6, 2015, a decision was published determining that the parties should express opinion regarding a possible interest in reaching a settlement. The parties are no longer allowed to file motion to suspend the process. The discovery phase has started. Due to the recent case of noncompliance with the legal decision that gave rise to the provisional protection, Vale requested new application and increase in the amount of the previously established fine.

Chances of loss Remote

Analysis of impact in the case of losing the suit/ Reasons for importance for the Company The lawsuit was initiated in order to ensure the protection of the assets of the company and its operational activities. A possible unfavorable decision can increase the exposure of the company to MST attacks.

2) Claim no. 0015963-69.2006.4025101

Jurisdiction 7th Specialized Panel of the Federal Circuit Court of Appeals of the 2nd Region (Court of Origin:

30th Court of the Federal Court of Rio de Janeiro)

Instance 2nd Instance

Date of filing 08/18/2006

Parties in the suit Federal Rail Network (Rede Ferroviária Federal S.A.), succeeded by the Federal Union (plaintiff)

and Vale (defendant)

Amounts, goods or rights involved Approximately R\$ 4.1 billion (December 2015)

Main facts

The plaintiff filed a claim against the Company to receive an indemnity claiming that it suffered

losses arising out of contractual default on the part of Vale related to the failure to perform railway transposition in the city of Belo Horizonte. The parties have reached a settlement, through which the construction costs of the new railroad segment will be offset from an eventual conviction of Vale, if any, if the claim is judged in favor of the Federal Government. This agreement was legally

approved. On June 25, 2012, a sentence rendered the lawsuit unfounded.

In appeal, on February 24, 2016, the Federal Regional Court confirmed the decision reached by the

federal judge in June 2012.

Chances of loss Remote

Table of Contents

Date of filing

Analysis of impact in the case of losing the suit/ Reasons for importance for the Company Any unfavorable decision could generate a financial loss for the company, in the light of the amounts involved.

3) Claim no. 0009362-71.1997.4.02.5001

Jurisdiction 5th Panel of the Federal Circuit Court of Appeals of the 2nd Region

11/10/1997

Instance 2nd Instance

Parties in the suit Public Prosecutor Espírito Santo (plaintiff) and Federal Union, Gerdau, Açominas S.A.,

Companhia Siderúrgica de Tubarão, Usinas Siderúrgicas de Minas Gerais S.A., Vale, Odacir Klein, Luis Andre Rico Vicente, Jorge Eduardo Brada Donato, José Armando Figueiredo Campos, Rinaldo Campos Soares, João Jackson Amaral, Claudio José Anchieta de Carvalho Borges, Ivo

Costa Serra and Companhia Docas do Espírito Santo - CODESA (defendants)

Amounts, goods or rights involved Incalculable amount application for annulment of the concession contract for use of port terminals

for the Tubarão Complex.

Main facts

This is a Public Civil Action which aims to annul the authorization by which Vale and some of the

other defendants operate the Port Terminal at Praia Mole, in the State of Espírito Santo. In November 2007, after 10 years of conducting the proceedings, there was a decision judging the requests to be inapplicable and recognizing the validity of concession contracts that allow exploitation of port terminals located in Praia Mole. On July 3, 2012, the decision was upheld by the Federal Circuit Court of Appeals of the 2nd Region (TRF2) when the appeal filed by the Prosecutor s office was heard, filed against the decision by the TRF2, on October 23, 2012, special (STJ) and extraordinary (STF) appeals. Awaiting judgment of special appeal filed before the STJ.

Chances of loss Remote

Analysis of impact in the case of losing the suit/ Reasons why it is relevant to the Company Incalculable amount, and it may have an impact on Vale s operation in the State of Espírito Santo.

4) Claim no. 0024892-89.2011.8.13.0570

Court 1st Civil Court of the District of Salina / MG

Instance 1st Instance

Date of filing 09/14/2011

Table of Contents

Parties in the suit Minas Gerais State s Prosecutor (Plaintiff), Vale S.A., Minas Gerais Land Institute - ITER, Manoel

da Silva Costa Junior, Evandro Carvalho, Mauro Eurípedes Rocha Mendes, Ricardo de Carvalho Rocha, Luciana Rocha Mendes, Orozino Marques de Carvalho, Adelzuith Marques Santos, Altemar

Alves Ferreira, Breno Rodrigues Mendes (Defendants).

Amounts, goods or rights involved Compensation for damages to the State of Minas Gerais in the minimum amount of R\$ 200 million,

civil fine in an amount of no less than R\$ 600 million, plus the lands acquired by Vale.

Main facts This is a Public Civil Action filed by the State s Prosecutor (MP) against Vale and other 10

defendants, in which the Prosecutor claimed that an organized group of people acted with the intention of illegally taking ownership of lands belonging to the State of Minas Gerais . The MP requested an injunction determining defendants unavailability, with the exception of Vale s, up to R\$200,000,000.00, in addition to the search and seizure of tangible properties and the lifting of tax and bank secrecy. The petition was granted by the court and upheld by Minas Gerais Court of Justice. At the end, the Prosecutor petitioned the stay of all effects with consequent annulment of

all agricultural title that had been issued by the ITER involving the lands located in the

Municipalities of Salinas, Santa Cruz de Salinas, Padre Carvalho, Fruta de Leite, Rubelita, between

January 2007 and August 2011 ; that the ITER was

convicted to hire, at their own expense, a specialized company to audit all legitimate titles issued by the State of Minas Gerais, whose amount could correspond to R\$ 200,000,000.00 , a civil fine in the amount of no less than R\$ 600,000,000.00 , the loss of public roles and positions , the stay of political rights , and a ban from providing service or benefitting in any way from the government . Vale filed its defense (objection) on March 15, 2012, but the discovery phase had not yet started.

On April 28, 2016, the case was sent to the Prosecutors Office.

Chances of loss Possible

Analysis of impact in the case of losing the suit/ Reasons why it is relevant to

the Company

Damages to the Company s image as its name is associated with land-grabbing in the Northern region of the State of Minas Gerais, and due to the annulment of acquisition and loss of amounts paid by Vale (approximately R\$ 35 million)

5) Special Appeal 1.262.401 - BA

Court Superior Court of Justice

Instance Superior

Date of filing 08/26/2005

Parties in the suit Interunion Capitalização S.A. (plaintiff) and Companhia Paulista de Ferro Ligas CPFL (defendant)

Table of Contents

Amounts, goods or rights involved F

R\$ 1,209,736,661.34 (December 2015)

Main facts

Interunion filed a collection claim against CPFL (Vale affiliate) to receive R\$248,968,222.18, corresponding to 200 debentures object of the agreement that, although named Forward Purchase and Sale of Debentures , was indeed a debenture Lease Agreement an . The defense (motion for stay) filed by CPFL was rejected, causing the filing of an appeal to the Court of Justice of Bahia. While judging this appeal, the Court of Justice of Bahia sustained the rejecting decision, causing the filing of a special appeal (STJ) by CPFL. STJ accepted the special appeal by CPFL, determining extinction of the claim, understanding that Interunion failed to appropriately show the calculation performed, which is not dispensable to file a collection claim. Against the STJ decision, Interunion filed a series of appeals (motion for stay, motion of difference, interlocutory appeal and new motion for stay), which were all rejected successively. Interunion, then, filed an extraordinary appeal (addressed to the STF). Upon analysis of admissibility, the STJ understood that the appeal was not applicable, rejecting it, that is, its remittance to the STF to have analysis of the merit, under the terms in the decision published on March 10, 2014. Against this inadmissibility decision, Interunion filed an appeal (interlocutory appeal) that was sent to the STF on April 22, 2014, and where awaits judgment. The Attorney General s Office has released opinion denying the extraordinary appeal.

Chances of loss

Remote

Analysis of impact in the case of losing the suit/ Reasons why it is relevant to the Company Eventual unfavorable decision in the process would cause financial losses to the Company.

6) 14 Civ. 3042 (RMB) AJP

Court US Regional Court for the South District of New York

Instance 1st court

Date of filing 04/30/2014

Parties in the suit Rio Tinto plc (plaintiff), Vale S.A., Benjamin Steinmetz, BSG Resources Limited, VBG Vale

BSGR Limited, BSG Resources Guinée SARL, Frederic Cilins, Mamadie Touré and Mahmoud

Thiam(rés)

Amounts, goods or rights involved When the process was judged on November 20, 2015, the case was still in the discovery phase,

therefore it is impossible to calculate related amounts.

Main facts On April 30, 2014, Rio Tinto plc (Rio Tinto) filed a claim against Vale, BSGR and other

defendants before the US Regional Court for the South District of New York, claiming violations

against the U.S. Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act RICO

Table of Contents

regarding the assignment of mining rights in Simandou to BSGR by the Government of Guinea and the subsequent investment by Vale in VBG. On November 20, 2016, the District Court rejected the Rio Tinto s case based on RICO with merit, and the case was dismissed.

Chances of loss

When the process was judged on November 20, 2015, the case was still in the discovery phase; therefore it is impossible to determine probability of loss.

Analysis of impact in the case of losing the suit/ Reasons why it is relevant to the Company The case was dismissed.

7) Case # 0069758-61.2015.4.01.3400

Court 12th Federal Court of Minas Gerais

Instance 1st instance

Date of filing 12/17/2015

Parties in the suit Fe

Federal Union, Brazilian Institute for the Environment and Renewable Natural Resources (IBAMA), Institute Chico Mendes, National Water Authority (ANA), National Department of Mining Production (DNPM), State of Minas Gerais, State Institute for Forestation (IEF), Water Management Institute of Minas Gerais (IGAM), State Foundation for the Environment (FEAM), State of Espírito Santo, State Institute for the Environment and Water Resources (IEMA) and State Agency for Water Resource (AGERH), and jointly, with the above listed plaintiffs, Plaintiffs) and Samarco, Vale, BHPB (jointly, Defendants).

Amounts, goods or rights involved

R\$ 20,204,968,949.00.

Main facts

On December 17, 2015, the Federal Government filed a public civil action to ascribe to Vale, Samarco and BHPB the adoption of a series of urgent measures to obtain compensation for alleged social and environmental damages caused by the collapse of Samarco s tailings dam in the city of Mariana (Fundão Dam), and to prevent any future environmental damages. For further information on this accident, please refer to item 7.9 of this reference form.

On December 18, 2015, a decision was pronounced to grant the injunction to (i) grant injunctive relief for Samarco to stop (or provide proof that it has already done so) the leak of tailings leak still present in the collapsed dam; (ii) determine that the Defendants (a) hire companies that can immediately start assessing the contamination of fish by inorganic matters and the possible risk associated with human consumption, as well as to control the proliferation of synanthropic species; (b) draw up studies and adopt measures to prevent the mud that thrown into the Rio Doce from reaching the Rio Doce lagoon system and to protect the sources of

mineral water mapped by DNPM, (c) draw up mapping studies of the different resilience potential of the places affected; (iii) order Samarco make an escrow deposit in the amount of R\$ 2.0 billion reais; (iv) declare the unavailable the existing concession mining licenses currently held by the Defendants; (v) grant interim relief to the Defendants so that they can provide a global social and environmental plan to recover the Rio Doce Basin and the entire degraded area; and (vi) determine that the people affected by the disaster receive assistance. In the context of said decision, a fine in the amount of R\$150 thousand was set for noncompliance with each of the measured imposed on the Defendants, and a fine in the amount of R\$ 1.5 million per day has been sets for delays in complying with escrow deposit obligation in the amount of R\$ 2.0 billion aforementioned.

On January 7, 2016, Samarco filed a petition, whereby it requested: (i) partial reconsideration of the injunction, arguing that the measures granted needed to take into consideration the technical and procedural factors required to comply with them, under penalty of jeopardizing their purpose, because, according to Samarco, specialized companies need to be hired and social and environmental impact studies need to be conducted in order for the preliminary order to be met; (ii) the extension of the deadlines for: a) presentation of study concerning the thickness of the mud, until January 18, 2016; b) presentation of preliminary recovery plans, within 45 days; c) removal of all the mud deposited on the margins of the Rio Doce, for an undetermined time due to technical difficulties involving the matter; (iii) dismissal of the obligation to make the R\$ 2.0 billion escrow deposit; (iv) reversal of the freezing of the Defendants—rights to mine; and (v) acknowledgment of the impossibility to present the required plans within the originally determined deadline. Furthermore, through this petition, Samarco reiterated its request for a justification and settlement hearing and for the suspension of fine granted by the decision until the final terms of the new deadlines are determined, as requested in the petition.

On the same date, Vale also filed a petition for reconsideration of the injunction, by showing that Samarco was already adopting the necessary measures to mitigate the impact of the accident. Furthermore, it showed that the measures ordered by decision were inappropriate to the extent that they do not take into consideration the studies required to determine the measures that need to be adopted to mitigate the damages, and it also claimed that said measures should not be determined in a preliminary injunction.

Next, January 14, 2016, Vale, Samarco and BHP filed an interlocutory appeal against the decision and requested the preliminary injunction.

On February 3, 2016, Samarco, Vale and BHPB filed a petition to plead the suspension, for another 30 days, of the case and of the effects of the issued in the records, since negotiations towards a settlement had already begun.

February 4, 2016, Samarco filed a petition reiterating its request for reconsideration of the decision that ordered the adoption of certain measures to prevent and mitigate damages caused by the accident, reinforcing the request that to be excused from paying bonds or making an escrow deposits, under penalty of commitment to obligations undertaken spontaneously to restore damage caused by the accident.

On February 5, 2016, Samarco filed an objection arguing a lack of procedural assumptions and merit. Furthermore, Samarco argued that it was already adopting the measures sought in the injunctions voluntarily, and requested the dismissal of the initial requests.

On February 10, 2016, Vale filed its objection in which it requested the dismissal of the case without merit given the Plaintiffs lack of interest to sue. Considering the chance that the case is not dismissed without merit, Vale also petitioned that the requests made in the complaint were dismissed, given the dismissal of the interim relief and of the protection measures summarily granted; in addition to the conviction of the Plaintiffs to pay attorneys costs and fees.

On March 02, 2016, the Federal Government, IBAMA, ANA, DNPM, State of Minas Gerais, IEF, GIMS, FEAM, State of Espírito Santo, IEMA and AGERH signed a Legal Settlement Agreement, which was filed on March 07 for court approval.

The Legal Settlement Agreement was approved by the Federal Regional Court of the 1° Region on May 5, 2016, and the motion is suspended during the period in which the obligations taken by the parties involved in the settlement are met.

The Federal Prosecutors Office (MPF) filed a motion for clarification in which it questioned the jurisdiction of the Federal Regional Court of 1st Region to approve the agreement. Moreover, the MPF questioned the terms of the agreement, with respect to the adequacy of the measures set therein, as well as the legitimacy of the agreeing parties for the conclusion of the agreement. The MPF, thus, request a rehearing en banc and the suspension of the effectiveness of the decision.

For additional information about the main terms and conditions of the aforementioned agreement, see item 4.7 of this Reference Form.

Chances of loss

Possible

Analysis of impact in the case of losing the suit/ Reasons

An agreement was signed between the parties in which it was agreed that programs necessary for environmental and social

Table of Contents

why it is relevant to the Company

recovery in areas affected by the accident would be implemented. For further information on this agreement, please refer to item 4.7 of this reference form.

8) Case # 0197171-92.2015.8.13.0521 (0007284-81.2016.4.01.3800)

Court 2nd Civil Court of Ponte Nova TJMG (12th Federal Court of BH)

Instance 1st instance

Date of filing 17/11/2015

Parties in the suit Núcleo Assessoria Comunidades Atingidas Por Barragens NACAB (Plaintiff) and Samarco, Vale,

BHPB (jointly, Defendants)

Amounts, goods or rights involved R\$ 100,000,000.00.

Main facts On November 17, 2015, the Plaintiff filed a public civil action requesting, summarily, that the

Defendants present and develop, in the area of the municipalities of Santa Cruz do Escalvado, Rio Doce and Barra Longa, along the rivers do Carmo and Doce (i) programs for the recovery of the areas of permanent preservation and the sources affected by the mud resulting from the rupture of Samarco s dam; and, (ii) the registration of persons affected by said rupture and respective damage, with plan for immediate social assistance plans and indemnifications. In other specific areas, the Plaintiffs demand permanent monthly monitoring and genetic population studies of the river s ichthyofauna, and an emergency recovery program is part of the request. In addition to the ratification of the preliminary orders, the Plaintiffs also request the payment of compensation to all

those affected by the accident, as well as for environmental damage, in the amount of

R\$ 100,000,000.00.

On November 18, 2015, a decision was rendered sending the case back to Federal Court, to the

sub-district of Belo Horizonte.

On November 23, 2015, the Plaintiff filed an interlocutory appeal with a preliminary request against the decision. It requested the revision of the first instance decision in order to keep the case in State Courts. Furthermore, the NACAB requested interim relief to force the Defendants to conduct several measures to remediate the damages caused by the accident, such as, presentation, in 30 days, of a recovery program for the ichthyofauna of the Rio Doce, Rio do Carmo and Rio Piranga in the municipalities of Santa Cruz do Escalvado, Rio Doce, Barra Longa and Ponte Nova,

and the provision of social assistance to the victims of the accident, among others.

Table of Contents

On November 26,2015, a decision was rendered postponing the examination of the preliminary order to after the Defendants brief.

On December 17, 2015, an order was given by the reporter determine that an Extraordinary Session was scheduled to attempt conciliation in the TJMG.

On January 7, 2016, the Union filed a petition in the case records expressing its agreement with the decision that ordered the delivery of the case records to the Federal Court, given its interest in the case.

On February 3, 2016, given the Union $\,$ s declared interest, the case records were sent to the 12th Federal Court, pursuant to art. 109, I of the Constitution of the Federative Republic of Brazil from 1988 (Federal Constitution).

Chances of loss Possible.

Analysis of impact in the case of losing the suit/ Reasons why it is relevant to the Company The value cause determined by the Plaintiff is R\$ 100,000,000.00 R\$, and so it is. We point out, however, that the suit is still at a very early stage, which hinders a more accurate analysis of losses in case of loss.

9) Case # 0008423-17.2016.8.13.0400 (0146085-58.2015.4.02.5101)

Court 1st Court of Mariana

Instance 1st instance

Date of filing 30/11/2015

Parties in the suit Sohumana Sociedade Humanitária Nacional (Plaintiff) and Samarco, Vale, BHPB (jointly,

Defendants)

Amounts, goods or rights involved R\$ 20,000,000,000.00.

Main facts On November 30, 2015, the Plaintiff filed a public civil action requesting that the Defendants were

sentenced to pay compensation to the victims of the accident or to their families, as well as to the municipalities, for the restoration of public assets, proportional to the effects of the accident. For

further information on this accident, please refer to item 7.9 of this reference form.

On November 30, 2015, a decision was rendered denying jurisdiction to hear the case to one of the

courts of the State Court of Mariana (State of Minas Gerais).

On December 11, 2015, Vale filed a petition requesting the reconsideration of said decision, so that, before the court files were sent to the Federal Court of Minas Gerais, the Union was summoned to

express its interest in demand because, if the

Table of Contents

Union s response was positive, the demand should be sent to federal jurisdiction, pursuant to article 109, I, of the Federal Constitution.

On December 15, 2015, Sohumana filed a petition pleading the reconsideration of the decision that denied jurisdiction, requiring the court files to be sent to the Federal Courts of the State of Minas Gerais, based on the interest and legitimacy of BDNESPAR, which, as a Vale shareholder, would have an interest in demand.

On December 16, 2015, a decision was rendered upholding the denial of jurisdiction, restating the absolute lack of jurisdiction of Federal Courts and the inappropriateness of summons by any stakeholder, including the Union.

On March 10, 2016, the case was received by the 1st instance court of Mariana.

On April 1, 2016, an order was published order requesting the regularization of the Plaintiff s procedural representation.

On April 20, 2016, a sentence was published dismissing the complaint due to a lack of correct procedural representation. The case was dismissed without prejudice. Pending final decision

Chances of loss Possible.

Analysis of impact in the case of losing the suit/ Reasons why it is relevant to the Company Despite the virtue of the recent decision, the case was dismissed without prejudice, and the Company considers this case relevant process on account of the amount involved.

10) Case # 0426085-72.2015.8.13.0105

Court 7th Civil Court of Governador Valadares TJMG

Instance 1st instance

Date of filing 14/12/2015

Parties in the suit MP-MG (Plaintiff) and Samarco and Vale (jointly Defendants)

Amounts, goods or rights involved R\$ 5,100,000,000.00.

Main facts On December 14, 2015, the MP-MG filed a public civil action requesting that the Defendants are

sentenced to adopt numerous measures to mitigate the impact of the collapse of the Fundão dam. The Plaintiff requests, in the preliminary interim injunction stage, under penalty of a fine in the amount of R\$ 2,000,000.00, that the defendants: (i) provide and maintain measures granted in the Interim Public Civil Action # 0395595-67.2015.8.13.0105, which preceded the present demand,

and, therefore, has the same object (as described below in

Comments); (ii) prepare and implement executive project for water collection, pumping and delivery stations from Rio Suaçuí Pequeno and Grande to the plants belonging to the Water and Sewage Autonomous Service (SAAE) within the maximum period of 12 months; (iii) regularly provide to the SAAE the required polymers for the treatment of the Rio Doce water until the facilities for the collection and distribution of water aforementioned are operational; (iv) install equipment for the temporary collection and distribution of water from Rio Suaçuí Pequeno or Grande to reduce collection in the Rio Doce, within at most 45 days; (v) install modular water treatment plant with a capacity for treating 120 liters per second, for collection in the Capim stream, within at most 45 days; (vi) freeze in their accounts the minimum amount of R\$ 100,000,000.000.00; and, (vii) confirmation the injunction and compensation for collective pain and suffering in the minimum amount R\$ 5.000.000.000.000.00.

On December 17, 2015, a decision was rendered partially granting the injunction to reverse the burden of proof and ordering that the Defendants pay for the cost of monitoring the water quality of Rio Doce and the drinking water served to the people, under penalty of a fine in the amount of R\$ 2,000,000.00. Compliance with the preliminary measure granted in the records of case # 0395595-67.2015.8.13.0105 was also ordered, including the order to deliver water residences, within 48 hours, as well as the presentation of a logistics plan for the delivery of water to residences, within 10 days.

The MP-MG filed an interlocutory appeal against the injunction, requesting interim relief, for the adoption of preventive and emergency measures areas affected by the accident. On February 17, 2016, a decision was rendered suspending the processing of this interlocutory appeal. Therefore, the interlocutory appeal was suspended until the final decision is rendered on the record of Positive Conflict of Jurisdiction filed by Samarco, whose purpose is to solve the matter of competence of the Federal or State Courts to hear the issues pertaining to the city of Governador Valadares. The origin of the conflict is in the fact that two public civil actions address the distribution and drinkability of the water in Governador Valadares, one of which has been filed at the federal court and the other at the state court. The conflict of jurisdictions has not yet been decided. However, there is a decision to the effect that, while there is no final decision, urgent measures should be taken by the federal court.

Chances of loss

Possible.

Analysis of impact in the case of losing the suit/ Reasons

The value of the case determined by MP-MG R\$ 5,100,000,000.00. We point out, however, that the case is

Table of Contents

why it is relevant to the Company

still at a very early stage, which hinders a more accurate analysis of losses in case of loss.

Notes:

Precautionary Public Civil Action # 0395595-67.2015.8.13.0105, which is preventive injunction in preparation for case # 0426085-72.2015.8.13.0105 described above. This lawsuit was filed on November 10, 2015, by MP-MG against Samarco, before the 7th Civil Court of Governador Valadares TJMG. The precautionary injunction has been suspended, by order of the Superior Court of Justice.

11) Case #10264-98.2016.4.01.3800

Court 12th Federal Court of BH (from the 2nd Circuit Court of the District of Mariana)

Instance 1st instance

Date of filing 10/12/2015

Parties in the suit MP-MG (Plaintiff) and Samarco, Vale and BHPB (jointly, Defendants)

Amounts, goods or rights involved R\$ 2,000,000,000.00.

Main facts

On December 10, 2015, the MP-MG filed a public civil action, to request, under penalty of a fine in the amount of R\$ 200,000.00 daily, that the Defendants are ordered to (i) adopt several measures to mitigate the effects of the Fundão dam s collapse; (ii) promote social communication programs on the activities performed; (iii) provide healthcare and education to those affected by the event; and (iv) provide support for the rescue of assets, animals, and others; rescue of tombstones and mortal remains from the places affected, among others.

The main request aims at converting the injunction into a permanent order to allow for the full reimbursement of alleged material and pain and suffering damages incurred by individuals impacted by the accident, and the cost of a Remediation Plan, for the social and environmental recovery, given the alleged damage incurred as a consequence of the Fundão dam. The MP-MG also demands the resettlement and economic and social restructuring of impacted families, and that the effects of the decision rendered in the interim injunction stage of precautionary action # 0039891-33.2015.8.13.0400, which preceded this demand and which was granted the freezing of the R\$ 300,000,000.000.

On December 16, 2015, an order was given postponing the examination of the preliminary order until after the settlement hearing. On the same date, the MP-MG requested the amendment of the original complaint to include therein new demands, among which were: (i) the granting of a preliminary

injunction; (ii) payment of R\$ 10,000.00 as financial support to the victims; (iii) identification and reestablishment of sports groups in the affected communities as well as other leisure practices developed by those impacted; (iv) increase and pay a monetary assistance fee for the victims; (v) payment of financial aid to victims whose source of income has not been directly impacted; and, (vi) present an immediate and concrete action plan, among other measures.

On December 23, 2015 a hearing was held between the parties, approved by the judge, in which they discussed: (i) the allocation of families in rented houses, noting that, with respect to this point, Samarco mentioned that it had already spontaneously met said measure; (ii) emergency relief aid, and Samarco stated that it has already begun making minimum wage payment to each person in the family who lost their income due to the accident, plus 20% for dependent family members, in addition to the value of basic food basket per family, plus the commitment to support this monthly allowance for twelve months, under the conditions set forth in the hearing; (iii) Samarco s payment of (a) R\$ 100,000.00 per family that lost loved ones in the event, and (b) R\$ 10,000.00 for early compensation, per family unit, to the families who have been physically displaced, i.e. whose properties were destroyed buildings, regardless of whether they lost income from the loss of such property; (iv) Samarco s accountability in court for the amount spent on compensation and recovery of the area, by January 31, 2016. For the purposes of payment of the aforementioned sums, except for the monthly assistance aid, a permit in the amount of R\$ 5,500,000.0 was issued.

On January 20, 2016, a second hearing was held between the parties, approved by the judge, in which they discussed, in addition to certain individual cases: (i) the advance payment of R\$ 10,000.00 to the people affected by the accident, and Samarco has committed to pay advance indemnity in that amount as well as agreed in the previous hearing, to individuals who have lost real estate property that was not classified as their habitual home, pursuant to the terms agreed upon in the hearing; (ii) compensation for the loss of vehicles, and Samarco has committed to compensate people who lost vehicles; (iii) the release permit, in which Samarco has agreed to release R\$1.0 million to carry out the previously described purposes.

On February 17, 2016, given the Union s declared interest in the case, the case records were sent to the 12th Federal Court, pursuant to art. 109, I of Constitution of the Federative Republic of Brazil (Federal Constitution). Awaiting decision.

On March 28, 2016, Vale filed an objection in which it requested the dismissal of the case without merit, given the Plaintiffs lack of interest to sue. Taking into consideration the chance that the

Table of Contents

case is not dismissed without merit, Vale has also petitioned that the requests made in the complaint were dismissed; as well as the Plaintiffs sentence to pay attorneys costs and fees.

Chances of loss

Possible.

Analysis of impact in the case of losing the suit/ Reasons why it is relevant to the Company The value of the case determined by MP-MG R\$ 2,000,000,000.00. We point out, however, that the case is still at a very early stage, which hinders a more accurate analysis of losses in case of loss.

12) Case # 0273073-38.2015.8.13.0105

Court 5th Civil Court of Governador Valadares TJMG

Instance 1st instance

Date of filing 28/12/2015

Parties in the suit MP-MG (Plaintiff) and Samarco, Vale, Water and Sewage Autonomous Service (SAAE , and,

jointly with Samarco and Vale, Defendants)

Amounts, goods or rights involved

R\$ 1,000,000.00.

Main facts

On December 23, 2015, the MP-MG filed a public civil action demanding that the Defendants are sentenced to (i) present a solid waste management plan of the water treatment plants in the municipality of Governador Valadares, with adequate final disposal of these solids; as well as (ii) to refrain from allocating, in any way, waste from water treatment in any body or in natura until the implementation of the management plan.

On December 25, 2015, a decision was rendered granting the preliminary injunction sought by ordering the Defendants to present a solid waste management plan at the water treatment plants in the municipality of Governador Valadares and that they refrained from diverting waste from water treatment into any body, whether in natura or out in the open, until the implementation and operationalization of said plan, setting a daily fine form noncompliance and ordering the reversal of the burden of proof.

Against this decision, Samarco and Vale filed an interlocutory appeal that was granted partial suspensive effect.

January 29, 2016, Vale presented its defense claiming that it was not a legitimate party in the case, and that SAAE should be held solely responsible for the public water supply in Governador Valadares. Grounded in this, it requested the dismissal of the case, without prejudice, given the Plaintiff s lack of interest to sue. Taking into consideration the chance that the case is not dismissed without merit, Vale has also petitioned that the requests made in the

Table of Contents

complaint were dismissed; as well as the Plaintiff s sentence to pay attorneys costs and fees.

Chances of loss

Possible.

Analysis of impact in the case of losing the suit/ Reasons why it is relevant to the Company The Prosecution Office alleges that the accident at the Fundão dam directly impacted the water distribution in the municipality of Governador Valadares and that it intends to carry out a constant assessment of the drinkability of the water distributed to that location.

The action is still in a very early stage for an assessment of impacts. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Company also considers the case relevant on account of the matter discussed.

Table of Contents

13) Case # 1:15-cv-09539

Court Federal Court of New York

Instance The United States District Court for the Southern District of New York

Date of filing 12/07/2015 (First Complaint) e 04/29/2016 (Amended Complaint).

Parties in the suit Alameda County Employees Retirement Association e Orange County Employees Retirement

System (Plaintiffs) and Vale S.A., Murilo Pinto de Oliveira Ferreira, Luciano Siani Pires and Gerard

Peter Poppinga (Defendants)

Amounts, goods or rights

involved

The plaintiffs did not specify the amounts claimed for alleged damages.

Main facts Vale S.A. and some of their executives were named as defendants in potential class-action suits

relating to securities before the Federal Court of New York, filed by investors holding securities issued by Vales, grounded on the American federal securities laws. The lawsuits allege that Vale made false and misleading statements or omitted disclosures about the risks and dangers of operations at Samarco s Fundão dam and the adequacy of related programs and procedures. The dispute is at a very preliminary stage. On March 7, 2016, the competent judge in the potential class-action securities suits ordered the consolidation of these suits and designated lead plaintiffs and attorneys. On April 29, 2016, the plaintiffs filed a consolidated and amended complaint. The Amended Complaint claims breach of Sections 10 (b) and 20 (a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Vale intends to vigorously contest these suits and prepare a complete defense against these

allegations.

Chances of loss As a result of the preliminary nature of these cases, it is not possible to determine, at this time, a

variety of reliable outcomes or estimates of potential exposure.

Analysis of impact in the case of

losing the suit/ Reasons why it is relevant to the Company

Any loss may lead to financial loss and damages to the image and reputation of the Company.

(iv) Environmental

The tables below present a description of individual environmental nature processes considered relevant to the business of the company and/or its subsidiaries.

Table of Contents

1) Claim no. 0317.02.002974-8

Jurisdiction 2nd Civil Court of Itabira - Minas Gerais

Instance 1st Instance

Date of filing 09/26/1996

Parties in the suit City of Itabira (plaintiff) and Vale (defendant)

Amounts, goods or rights involved R\$ 4,861,865,965.73 (December 2015).

Main facts The municipality of Itabira seeks compensation for expenses that it alleges to have incurred with

public services rendered as a consequence of Vale s mining activities. The case was suspended, pending judgment of a writ filed by Vale to be used in this lawsuit, so that favorable evidence produced in another lawsuit could be used (item 2 below). On January 2012, the writ was judged against Vale. However, this case remains suspended because the court in the first degree has not yet received from the Court of Justice of Minas Gerais information on the writ. After this

communication, the lawsuit may resume its normal course. However, the parties filed a joint petition on March 12, 2013 requesting suspension of the suit to attempt a settlement. On March 27,

2013, the claim was suspended upon agreement between the parties.

On March 27, 2014, the case was suspended by mutual agreement between the parties. However, the case was resumed when the parties failed to reach a settlement. On November 19, 2015, an order was issued request that the Municipality of Itabira to inform the judgment of the writ of mandamus. On March 2016, the Municipality of Itabira informed that the Writ of Mandamus no. 1.0000.07.465984-8/000 had been denied and summoned the appointed Expert s to provide expert

evidence. The files have been awaiting order since March 31, 2016.

Chances of loss Total amount divided into possible loss (15%) and remote loss (85%).

Analysis of impact in the case of losing the suit/ Reasons for importance for the

Company

Any unfavorable decision in the lawsuit would generate great financial losses for the Company, although there is no risk of stoppage of activities.

2) Claim no. 0317.02.007032-0

Jurisdiction 1st Civil Court of Itabira - Minas Gerais

Instance 1st Instance

Date of filing 08/22/1996

Table of Contents

Parties in the suit City of Itabira (plaintiff) and Vale (defendant)

Amounts, goods or rights involved R\$ 4,198,648,313.69 (December 2015).

Main facts Suit filed in the municipality of Itabira, in the State of Minas Gerais, in which the plaintiff claims

comment on the amount of expert examination fees..

that the operations of the iron mines in Itabira caused environmental and social damage and requires the restoration of the site and the implementation of environmental programs in the region. Expert witnesses were used in this case, and the report issued jointly by the Brazilian Institute of the Environment and Renewable Natural Resources (IBAMA) and the State Foundation for the Environment (FEAM) was favorable to Vale. Nevertheless, the Municipality requested the production of new expert evidence, which was accepted by the judge. For this purpose, a multidisciplinary team from the Federal University of Lavras as appointed. On November 6, 2012, there was a settlement hearing in which the petition to stay the lawsuit was granted until May 6, 2013 in order to form the parties. Considering the end of the suspension, the City was invited to

In February 2014, the municipality of Itabira filed a statement regarding the proposal of expert fees, and it requested a reassessment of the amount of R\$ 1,604,000.00, whereas the municipality may provide some inputs, such as lodging, food, and plants, maps or sketches.

On May 7, 2015, an order was published determining the summons of the appointed expert to provide his statement and report the possibility of lowering the expert fees, within 10 (ten) days. On January 19, 2016, Vale filed a statement reiterating that the expert report to be drawn up therein has been requested by the municipality of Itabira, and therefore, there was no reason why the respondent company should carry the burden of their compensation, pursuant to art. 33 of the Code of Procedures. On February 15, 2016, it was certified held the deadline for the plaintiff, the municipality of Itabira, had expired without the presentation of any statements.

Chances of loss Total amount divided into possible loss (7%) and remote loss (93%).

Analysis of impact in the case of losing the suit/ Reasons for importance for the Company Any unfavorable decision in the lawsuit would generate great financial losses for the Company, although there is no risk of stoppage of activities.

3) Process no. 26.295.47.2012.4.3700

Jurisdiction 8th Federal Court of São Luís Maranhão

Instance 1st instance

Table of Contents

Date of filing

07/22/2012

Parties in the suit

Sociedade Maranhense de Direitos Humanos, Conselho Indigenista Missionário (CIMI), Centro de Cultura Negra do Maranhão CNN (plaintiffs) and IBAMA and VALE (defendants).

Amounts, goods or rights involved

Immeasurable

Main facts

The public civil action aims the suspension of the licensing process for the Expansion of Carajás Railroad. For that, plaintiffs claim that the environmental licenses granted by IBAMA are based on an environmental study which is insufficient to characterize globally impacts caused by the work, as well as fragmenting environmental licenses in order to uncharacterized the company s obligation for environmental compensation due in view of the enterprise. In the end, after criticisms to the required licensing model, plaintiffs claim the nullity of the process and licensing.

In July 2012, the court granted a preliminary order, determining suspension of all Works and activities related to the expansion of the Carajás Railroad. Vale and IBAMA filed appeals (interlocutory appeals), aiming to reverse the decision, and filed before the Presidency of the TRF of 1st Region (DF) a claim to suspend the preliminary order, claiming that (i) the risk of serious irreversible economic losses arising out of any eventual maintenance of such preliminary decision, as well (ii) as the fact that the environmental study prepared by Vale fully complied with the terms in CONAMA RES 237, while there are no grounds for the claim regarding the serious risk of social-environmental unbalance. The suspension request was accepted by the Presidency of the TRF of the 1st Region, and the plaintiffs appealed against this decision (interlocutory), but were not successful and the decision favorable to Vale was maintained.

At the first instance, Vale and IBAMA filed their defenses claiming (a) the regular nature of the licensing process, (b) the clear definition, in the study, of all diagnosis regarding the impacts on areas and communities under direct and indirect influence of the work (including traditional communities), and (c) the need to respect the competence and technical skills held by IBAMA to carry out and conclude the environmental study. In recent decision, the federal judge accepted the claim by the Federal Public Defense Office to appear as plaintiff. Vale filed an appeal (interlocutory) against this decision, aligned with the opinion by the Federal Prosecution Office (MPF), in the sense of lack of legitimacy of the Public Defense to be party in the claim. The appealed decision was sustained, and there was reestablishment of the successive term for the Prosecution Office, IBAMA and VALE to present their comments. The Prosecution Office reiterated the annulment of the Licensing and IBAMA was requested to present new information about the Railroad operation and the removal of families. After IBAMA s manifestation on August 12, 2014, the files were remitted to be analyzed by the court. The preliminary order was not

Table of Contents

granted on September 15, 2014, and the State of Pará presented its lack of interest in the claim. On February 27, 2015 an order was published to inform the beginning of the term applicable to Vale to present its comments on the licensing process filed by IBAMA. On March 30, 2015, Vale s motion was filed. Waiting for decision.

On March 17, 2016, the production of expert evidence requested by Vale was granted and it presented technical issues and assistants on April 5, 2016.

Chances of loss

Remote.

Analysis of impact in the case of losing the suit/ Reasons for importance for the Company Eventual decision unfavorable to Vale, may compromise the licensing process for the expansion of EFC, with impacts on logistic operations of VALE to deploy the production transportation plan for Project S11D.

4) Process no. 0021337-5220114.01.3700

Jurisdiction 8th Federal Court of São Luís Maranhão

Instance 1st instance

Date of filing 11/04/2011

Parties in the suit Federal Prosecution Office (plaintiff) and IBAMA and VALE (defendants)

Amounts, goods or rights involved Immeasurable

Main facts Public Civil Action that aims to impose onto Vale and to IBAMA the duty of redoing part of the

environmental study that was used as basis to the licensing process for the expansion of Carajás Railroad (EFC), due to alleged failure of indication of impacts caused by the expansion of EFC onto quilombolas communities located close to segment 20 of the EFC, in Maranhão (communities

of Monge Belo and Santa Rosa dos Pretos).

On March 8, 2012, the Court approved the agreement entered by and between the parties, under which, Vale agreed upon: (i) transfer the amount of R\$700,000.00, to be managed by the Cultural Foundation Palmares and applied in the structural and cultural development of communities which protection is the object of this claim; (ii) carry out environmental studies of environmental recovery actions with hydric bodies located in the inside of quilombolas lands of Monge Belo and Santa Rosa dos Pretos; (iii) build, subsequently, four bridges in the inside of segment 20 of EFC, the first period is of 18 months, starting on the date of licensing by IBAMA, and the other periods are 12 months each, starting from the end of the first period; (iv) alter all the level passages related to each bridge to be built, signaling and lighting the passages to be used by the communities; (v) place fences on both sides of the EFC at the segment 20, protecting the areas used as crossing by people

and animals. On July 2013, Vale filed a petition

Table of Contents

claiming that all its obligations, disregarding actions by IBAMA and/or Fundação Cultural Palmares have been complied with.

In December 2013, the Prosecution Office filed a brief stating the non-compliance with obligations assumed under the agreement.

In view of this brief by the MPF, the court requested that Instituto Nacional de Colonização e Reforma Agrária (INCRA), IBAMA, Fundação Cultural Palmares, and Vale should prove compliance with the obligations, determining that Vale should comply with such obligations within sixty days.

Vale was ordered to present justification within thirty days for the change in the schedule as provided for the construction of bridges for vehicles and people. Thus, in October 2014, Vale presented a petition informing compliance with items (i), (ii), (iv) and (v) and informing the new schedule to build the bridge, object of this agreement. After comments by MPF, as described above, the files were submitted to the judge. Decision pending.

Chances of loss

Probable (On December 31, 2015). Although, on December 31, 2015, the probable classification, due to delays in the chronogram of the overpass, had no provisioned amounts at that time, since a potential file applicable in connection with the referred delay, but still contingent on legal decision, had not yet been pre-determined in the agreement.

Analysis of impact in the case of losing the suit/ Reasons for importance for the Company Despite of an agreement signed in this claim, under which the Company agrees upon several obligations related to the social-economic aspects of the area, delays in complying with Vale s obligations could motivate judicial decisions that could convert the obligations into fines.

We point out that, on December 31, 2015, the case had a neutral financial nature. Therefore, no amount has been provisioned. An agreement was reached in this case (obligation to do so), reason why the financial nature was changed to neutral. The probable loss is maintained but without contingencies.

Notes:

However, given the foreseen delay in the delivering the second overpass, the possible classification of the case was changed on May 31, 2016 due to third parties, not to Vale.

5) Case # 0002505-76.2015.4.02.5001

Jurisdiction First Federal Court Criminal Justice Federal do Espírito Santo

Instance 1st instance

Date of filing 12/4/2015

Table of Contents

Parties in the suit Brazilian Federal Police (plaintiff) and Vale (defendant)

Amounts, goods or rights involved Suspension of Vale activities at the Pier II and Pier de Carvão of the Tubarão Port, due to potential

environmental damage resulting from the iron ore drop and emissions of particulate material into

the atmosphere and into the sea area surrounding the Pier II and Pier do Carvão.

Main facts
On January 21, 2016, the Federal Court of Espírito Santo ordered the suspension of the Company s activities at the Pier II and Pier do Carvão from at the Tubarão Port due to potential environmental

damages resulting from the iron ore drops and emissions of particulate material into the atmosphere and into the sea area around Pier II and Pier do Carvão. Vale s operations at Pier II and Pier do Carvão at the Tubarão Port Coal were shut down for four days when the Federal Regional Court

(TRF) of the Second Region suspend the effects of the injunction. The TRF granted to Vale 60 days to implement measures to monitor, control and mitigate the iron ore drop and the emission of particulate materials into the sea and into the atmosphere. This 60-day period expired on March 25, 2016, and the Company believes that it is in compliance with the requirements set forth by the TRF. As part of this suit, the Company may have to meet certain additional requirements to prevent or mitigate the iron ore drop and the emissions of particulate materials into the sea and into the

atmosphere.

Chances of loss Remote

Analysis of impact in the case of losing In cathe suit/Reasons for importance for the

Company

In case of loss, the Company will suffer significant monetary losses and damages to its image.

6) Case # 0002383-85.2012.4.01.3905

Jurisdiction Federal Court of subsection Redemption judicial

Instance 1st instance

Date of filing 05/28/2012

Parties in the suit Federal Prosecution Services (MPF) (plaintiff); Indigenous Association of Kakarekre for the

Defense of the Xikrin people of Djudjeko, Indigenous Association of Tuto Pombo, Indigenous Association of Porekro for the Defense of the Xikrin people of Catete, Indigenous Association of Pore Kayapo, Indigenous Association of Baypra for the Defense of the Xikrin people of Oodja (Assistant Co-plaintiffs); Vale, National Foundation for Indigenous People (FUNAI) and the State of

Pará (Defendants).

Amounts, goods or rights involved The value is undefined, as it is a case involving (i) indemnity amount contingent on the opinion of

an expert to set it, and (ii) the request to shut down the Company s nickel operations in Onça Puma,

in the State of Pará.

Main facts In 2012, the MPF filed a Public Civil Action (ACP) against Vale, the State of Pará and FUNAI,

seeking the suspension of the Company s nickel operations at the Onça Puma mine in the State of Pará due to the alleged impact on the indigenous communities Xikrin and Kayapo located near the mining site. The MPF argues (i) that the Company s operations were contaminating the waters of the Cateté River which runs through indigenous land (IT) Xikin; (ii) that the Company failed to meet certain conditions from the environmental license required by the Onça Puma mine development;

and, (iii) that the State of Pará should not have granted an environmental license to this development. In addition, the MPF pleaded the payment of compensation in favor of indigenous

and monthly deposits in the amount of R\$ 1.0 million until a final decision is reached in the suit, on

behalf of the indigenous villages of Xikrin and Kayapo.

On October 18, 2012, the court did not recognize the urgency of the requested injunction petitioned in the ACP, and denied the MPF $\,$ s demand for said injunction.

On May 25, 2015, three years after the injunction was denied, the MPF filed a request for the reconsideration of the Redenção court decision claiming that the Onça Puma mine operations were polluting the Cateté river, causing damage to the health of indigenous tribes and, as a result, the MPF reiterated the demands for the shutdown of the project and for the monthly compensation payment in the amount of R\$ 1.0 million on behalf of indigenous villages of Xikrin and Kayapo.

On June 2, 2015, the court of Redenção partially accepted the MPF $\,$ s request determining Vale to deposit the monthly sum of about

R\$ 400,000, to be received and divided proportionally among the members of the TI Xikrin villages.

In June 2015, Vale and indigenous associations appealed the injunction. Vale objected to the monthly budget payment obligation and indigenous associations, in turn, objected the monthly amount set forth by the court (R\$ 400,000).

On June 25, 2015, the appeal s judge Vale s request for denied the Vale s injunction and granted the indigenous associations injunction, increasing the amount of the monthly deposit to R\$ 1.7 million, to be received and divided proportionally among villages that are members of the TI Xikrin.

On July 9, 2015, Vale filed a Writ of Mandamus ($\,$ MS $\,$) addressed to the President of TRF 1st Region ($\,$ TRF 1 $\,$), requesting the suspension of the injunction effects that increased the amount of the monthly deposit.

Due to delays in the proposed MS analysis and the possibility of running over the deadline for compliance with the injunction granted by the Federal Judge, Vale deposited in court the amount of R\$ 1.7 million, which was determined by injunction, and this amount has already been released to the indigenous people.

On July 20, 2015, the Federal Judge of the TRF accepted the Vale s MS and granted an injunction suspending the effects of the decision that increased the deposit amount and the actual obligation to make the deposits.

On July 14, 2015, the MPF filed another appeal seeking to increase the monthly deposit obligation initially set forth by the Redenção judge, requiring Vale to make monthly deposit in the amount of R\$ 1.0 million per village affected by the project, as well as to immediately stop the Onca Puma mine project. A new decision, the same Judge who granted the first increase fully accepted the MPF s request.

Vale filed on August 21, 2015, a new Writ of Mandamus (MS) addressed to the President of the TRF 1, objecting to this new injunction to increase the compensation and to suspend the development s operations.

Due to delays in the examination of the MS and the possibility of running over the deadline for compliance with the injunction granted by the Federal Judge, Vale deposited in court the amount of R\$ 7.0 million, R\$ 3.0 million of which were meant for the villages of from TI Xikrin, and R\$ 4.0 million would be allocated to the villages from the TI Kayapo.

On August 28, 2015, Federal Judge once again granted Vale s MS and an injunction in favor of the Company ordering the suspension of the effects of the order to shut down the Onca Puma mine and the (second) order to increase the monthly amount to be deposited.

On September 16, 2015, due to this new decision on the MS, the MPF filed a petition requesting the suspension of the writ of mandamus to the President of the STJ, citing risk to public order and health. After collecting the statements from all stakeholders (Vale, State of Pará, and the Indigenous Associations), the President of the STJ recognized the risks claimed by the MPF and granted an injunction ordering the suspension of the effects of the injunction granted to Vale in MS, ordering that the Onca Puma mine is shut down again, and the resumption of monthly deposits (R\$ 7 million).

Due to delays in the analysis of the filed appeal and the possibility of running over the deadline for compliance with the injunction, Vale deposited in court the amount of R \$7.3 million.

On October 29, 2015, the State of Pará filed an appeal requesting the suspension of the injunction to the President of the STJ, claiming that the shutdown of the venture would bring damages to the state. The President of the STJ ordered that all stakeholders made a statement about the State of Pará s request. This time, Vale added the information presented by the State.

On November 11, 2015, Vale filed a statement addressed to the President of the STF, clarifying that (i) as determined by FUNAI, the implementation of impact mitigation and compensation actions started to be conducted through Basic Environmental Plans (PBAs) and not through management plans; (ii) the PBA Kayapó was implemented and the actions set therein were fully implemented; and, (iii) the PBA Xikrin was partially implemented because, to date, Vale had not been authorized to join the TI to perform the PBA s actions.

On November 12, 2015, Vale and the state of Pará appealed against this decision to the Full STJ and, simultaneously, filed a petition to the President of the STJ not to release the amounts until a final decision was reached regarding the appeals.

On December 16, 2015, the STF suspended the effects of the injunction granted by the STJ, allowing the operation of the Onca Puma mine and ordering the implementation, within 120 days, of the Management Plan and other mitigation and compensatory measures for the impacts caused by the Onça Puma in TIs.

During 120-day term, Vale held a series of meetings with the MPF and with indigenous peoples, in order to facilitate access to the TI to perform the PBA s actions, and it created, and then increased, a proposal for economic compensation. Nevertheless, the indigenous people kept on denying access to the TIs and did not accept the proposal.

Due to the lapse of time without the fulfillment of certain actions ordered by the preliminary decision granted by the President of STF, the Plaintiffs filed a petition for the release of the funds deposited in court (R\$ 14.3 million) on the grounds that Vale had not shown an interest in complying with the decision.

Table of Contents

In decision rendered in April 2016, the President of the STF understood that the decision to release or not the deposits fell under the jurisdiction of the court of Redenção. The parties filed responses to this decision. On May 5, 2016, Vale filed an objection to the claims and requested that the amounts were kept by the court. On May 18, 2016, the Federal Court of Redenção partially granted Vale s request and denied the Associations and MPF s petition, keeping the frozen funds deposited in court. Chances of loss Possible loss, given that the process is still in the discovery phase, and the technical assessment required by the parties has not yet been conducted. Analysis of impact in the case of losing Possibility of significant financial impact in the case of a decision unfavorable to Vale and if the the suit/Reasons for importance for the Onca Puma mine is shut down. Company 4.3.1. - Indicate the amount provisioned, if any, for the cases described in item 4.3 On December 31, 2015, the total amount provisioned, taking into considering the individually relevance cases described in subsections (i), (ii), (iii), and (iv) of item 4.3 above, was R\$ 231.0 thousand. (i) Labor On December 31, 2015, the total amount provisioned, taking into considering the labor suits described in subsection (i) of item 4.3 above, was R\$ 231.0 thousand. (ii) Tax On December 31, 2015, no amounts associated with the cases listed in subsection (ii) of item 4.3 above were provisioned. (iii) Civil

On December 31, 2015, no amounts associated with the civil suits described in subsection (iii) of item 4.3 above were provisioned.

(iv) Environmental

On December 31, 2015, no amounts had been provisioned for the environmental processes described in subsection (iv) of item 4.3 above, since these suits (i) were classified as having a remote or possible loss, or (ii) although classified as having a probable loss, no amounts were provisioned pursuant to the justification found in table 4 of 4.3 item (iv) above.

4.4 In-court, administrative or arbitration proceedings that are not confidential involving managers, former managers, controllers, former controllers or investors

The following tables show an individual description of the non-confidential administrative or arbitral cases whose opposing parties are Company s managers, former managers, controllers, former controllers, or investors.

1) Motion to Disagree in Special Appeal no. 1.310.535

Original Claim no. 005530-40.2007.8.19.0001

Jurisdiction Appeals: Motion to Disagree before the 2nd Section of the Special Court of the STJ and

Extraordinary Appeal before the STF (Original Court 48th Civil Court of Rio de Janeiro)

Instance Higher Court

Date of filing 05/09/2007

Parties in the suit Petros (plaintiff) and Vale (defendant)

Amounts, goods or rights involved Vale was requested to make an escrow deposit as payment on March 8, 2010 in the amount of

R\$ 346,773,910.20, due to the temporary collection claim filed by Petros. On August 23, 2011, Petros, to increase the amount deposited in escrow, presented a surety bond issued by Banco

Bradesco in the amount of R\$ 497.0 million.

On April 23, 2015, a decision favorable to Petrobras was reached. It authorized the exclusion of the

surety bond on July 24, 2015.

Main facts Petros filed an action claiming the receipt of purges made because of inflation arising from the

economic plans called Plano Verão and Plano Collor on amounts paid under forward contracts for buying and selling gold concluded with Vale from 1988. These contracts were paid up and settled by Petros at that time. However, Petros started legal proceedings aimed at applying the decision on a matter taken in the STJ for savings accounts books, to contracts concluded with Vale. Vale maintains that the inflationary adjustments are not due. However, the decisions were unfavorable to the Company. An appeal was filed against this decision, but the appeal was not granted.. The special appeal filed by Vale was rejected by the STJ. Currently, there is an appeal (interlocutory appeal) filed by the Company waiting to be judged by the STJ. The preliminary decision, have been judged unsuccessfully. Vale was determined to pay R\$ 346,773,910.20 claimed by PETROS in the lawsuit. To increase the amount deposited in escrow, Petros presented a bank surety bond in the amount of R\$ 497 million. Neither the special nor the extraordinary appeals filed by Vale were

successful.

Final decision has been pronounced in this case.

Chances of loss Probable

Analysis of impact in the case of losing

the suit

The decision unfavorable to Vale became final (res judicata), and the payment in the amount of R\$346,773,910.20 made in escrow. The Company shall make no additional disbursements or provisions. Additionally, such a decision can open a precedent for similar judgments in other cases where future contracts for sale of gold are in dispute (total of 12 cases, including this one. For more

details see item 4.6 of this Reference Form).

Table of Contents

2) Claim no. 0079940-46.2010.4.01.3800

Jurisdiction 18th Federal Court of Belo Horizonte Minas Gerais

Instance 1st Instance

Date of filing 02/18/2004

Parties in the suit Transger S.A. (plaintiff) and Ferrovia Centro Atlântica S.A., Mineração Tacumã Ltda, KRJ

Participações S.A., CPP Participações S.A., Carmo Administração e Participações Ltda, Fundação Vale do Rio Doce de Seguridade Social - Valia and Companhia Siderúrgica Nacional - CSN

(defendants)

Amounts, goods or rights involved Incalculable Request for annulment of the General Meeting.

Main facts

The plaintiff brought a lawsuit requesting additionally to compensation, annulment of the General

Meeting authorizing the capital increase of Ferrovia Centro-Atlântica S.A. (FCA) in 2003 on the grounds of alleged practice of abusive acts by FCA s controlling group. The decision that judged the action to be well founded, was annulled by the Court of Justice of Minas Gerais, and determined the production of new expert evidence. During the preparation of the new expert evidence, the National Agency of Land Carriage (ANTT), according to the initials in Portuguese) stated its interest in participating in the case and, for this reason, the jurisdiction in this procedure was transferred to the

Federal Justice of Minas Gerais.

The judge of the 18th Federal Court of Belo Horizonte issued a decision recognizing the jurisdiction of the Federal Courts to judge the case because of ANTT s interest in the maintenance

of the concession and accurateness of the administrative act. ANTT appeared in the files, ratifying its understanding regarding the validity of the act authorizing increase of FCA s capital stock. The judge pronounced her decision ending the procedural instruction and started the period for final claims. All parties were summoned to present final arguments, including ANTT, without prejudice to the filing of appeals (retained motions for clarification and interlocutory appeals), given that the decision declared the procedural instructions concluded.

New decisions were pronounced and this understanding was maintained. Additionally, we are currently awaiting examination of the motions for clarification files by the opposing party in face of the decision reached by the Judge on March 16, 2016, indicating that the issue of the active joinder of defendants required for two shareholders that are not cited

Table of Contents

in the lawsuit (Sérgio Feijão e Associação da Preservação da Memória Ferroviária) has already

been at default.

Chances of loss Possible.

Analysis of impact in the case of losing the suit / Relevance to the Company Incalculable amount. The case is relevant because of the annulment of the Extraordinary General

Meeting that authorized the FCA s capital increase in 2003.

3. Motion in Special Appeal no. 271.951 (Original Procedure No. 0529364272010.8.13.0145). The original case was redistributed to the 2nd Corporate Court of Rio de Janeiro and was given the no. 0203958-80.2015.8.19.0001

Jurisdiction 4th Panel of the Superior Court of Justice STJ Original Court - 7th Civil Court of Juiz de

Fora/Minas Gerais

Instance Superior

Date of filing October 12, 2012 (original date August 20, 2010)

Parties in the suit SUDFER (plaintiff), and MRS Logística S.A., Companhia Siderúrgica Nacional S.A., Minerações

Brasileiras Reunidas S.A. - MBR, Usiminas Usinas Siderúrgicas de Minas Gerais, Gerdau S.A. and

Vale S.A. (defendants)

Amounts, goods or rights involved Incalculable

Main facts Repair claim filed by Clube Sudfer, claiming that the controlling shareholders of MRS Logística

S.A. (MRS), including Vale, have been acting abusing power, causing direct damages to MRS and, indirectly, to the minor shareholders. The plaintiff claims that ever since privatization of the former Rede Ferroviária Federal S.A. (RFFSA), when the exploration concession for the Southeastern Portion was granted to MRS, which controlling shareholders at the time were (and still are) clients of the railroad, they have adopted a tariff policy in their own favor and in non-egalitarian terms, because, for usual clients, in average, the fee was half (50%) of the maximum fee authorized by the National Agency for Land Transportation (ANTT), while non-usual clients were charged with the maximum tariff. The plaintiff claims that adoption of this tariff policy had caused damage to MRS, and the company failed to have better gains charging a smaller fee from usual clients and supposedly caused indirect loss to the minor shareholders, because they have not received dividends. Based on such claims, the plaintiff asked for: (i) condemnation of the controlling shareholders to pay any and all direct material damages imposed on MRS until the improper practice has been stopped, due to (i) the unfair reduction of the profits of the company, (ii) the non-payment of dividends, and (iii) the payment of less dividends in view of the reduced tariffs for

Table of Contents

controlling shareholders; (ii) controlling shareholders to be obliged to contract with MRS under igualitarian terms , considering the maximum level of the fee authorized by the Competent Authority; and (iii) condemnation of defendants to pay the 5% premium provided for in article 246, § 2 in Law 6.404, dated December 15, 1976, as well as winning fees. In January 2011, Vale and MBR presented their defenses. With the reply, exceptions were presented claiming inapplicability and impugnation of the amount of the cause. The inapplicability exception filed by Vale was rejected. Against this decision, Vale filed a bill of review, which suspension effect was granted until judgment. In January 2012, the appeal was granted to determine the competence transfer to the city of Rio de Janeiro. Against this decision, Clube Sudfer filed a Special Appeal, which was not accepted by the court of origin. Unhappy, the investment club filed a Special Appeal before the STJ, which was not recognized for being untimely. Clube filed an appeal against this decision, to which Vale and the other parties have objected. The court s internal appeal has been waiting to be judged at the STJ since May 2014. The case has already been distributed to the Rio de Janeiro Court, and it is being heard before the 2nd Corporate Court of the State of Rio de Janeiro, where it was numbered 0203958-80.2015.8.19.0001. After the reply was filed and the parties made their statements regarding the evidence, the court summoned CVM to provide statement. After CVM s statement, Vale filed a petition, on February 4, 2016, reiterating the defense arguments, which were ratified in CVM s response. On March 7, 2016, a curative act was published (i) focusing as the point of dispute abuse of power by the controlling companies given the difference in service charges to non-preferred clients and the preferred clients, who are members of the Company s controlling group; (ii) dismissing the injunction filed by the defendants; (iii) dismissing the production of oral evidence requested by the opposing party; (iv) granting the production of additional probative evidence requested by Vale and other parties. Against this decision, motions for clarification were filed and are still pending. In motions for clarification, the court s omission to examine the incidents grounding the case was raised.

Chances of loss

Possible

Analysis of impact in the case of losing the suit / Relevance to the Company Any unfavorable decision in the lawsuit would generate financial losses for the Company and would damage its image.

Table of Contents

4) Special Appeal no. 1363585 (Original Procedure No. 0497166342010.8.13.0145). The original case was redistributed to the 2nd Corporate Court of Rio de Janeiro and was given the no. 0354058-47.2015.8.19.0001

Jurisdiction 4th Panel of the Superior Court of Justice STJ Original Court - 8th Civil Court of Juiz de Fora

Minas Gerais

Instance Higher

Date of filing 01/24/2013

Parties in the suit SUDFER (plaintiff) and Júlio Fontana Neto, Henrique Aché Pillar, José Paulo de Oliveira Alves,

Pablo Javier de La Quintana Bruggemann, Lauro Henrique Campos Rezende, Wanderlei Viçoso Fagundes, Hugo Serrado Stoffel, Guilherme Frederico Escalhão, Delson de Miranda Tolentino, Marcus Jurandir de Araújo Tambasco, Chequer Hanna Bou-Habib, Roberto Gottschalk, Joaquim de Souza Gomes, Luiz Antônio Bonaguara, Companhia Siderúrgica Nacional S.A., Minerações Brasileiras Reunidas S.A. - MBR, Usiminas Usinas Siderúrgicas de Minas Gerais, Gerdau S.A.,

and Vale S.A. (defendant)

Amounts, goods or rights involved Incalculable

Main facts Clube Sudfer, as minor shareholder of MRS Logística S.A. (MRS), filed a claim against the

directors, members of the Board of Directors, and controlling shareholders of MRS (including Vale). The Plaintiff claims that directors and members of the Board have incurred in poor management acts upon approving the tariff model that prejudices MRS, in force in the period between 1998 and 2002. The plaintiff claims that there was a conflict of interests between the controlling shareholders and MRS, to the extent that, as usual clients of the railroad, the determination of fees lower than market value was favorable to them. As consequence of the adoption of this tariff model, MRS faced losses, without distribution of dividends to shareholders. As such dividends were not distributed, the plaintiff claims to be unable to comply with its financial commitments with third parties, and, additionally, was not able to receive financing from BNDES to participate in the second offer of MSR shares, during the privatization process. Based on these claims, plaintiff claims: (i) condemnation of defendants to the payment of indemnity for moral damages in the amount of R\$ 150.0 thousand; (ii) condemnation of controlling shareholders to comply with the obligation to do, namely, the sale, proportional to the share held by each one, of 3,744,440 shares issued by MRS, for the same price and under the same conditions established in the Privatization Term; and (iii) considering the claimed shares, condemnation of defendants to the

payment of all dividend-related differences due to the non-payment of dividends.

Table of Contents

On March 15, 2012, Vale, MBR and former MRS managers Chequer Hanna Bou-Habib, Guilherme Frederico Escalhão, Hugo Serrado Stoffel and Roberto Gottschalk submitted their arguments. Vale also raised procedural issues (lack of competence exception), aiming to have the claim remitted to Rio de Janeiro. There was a decision accepting this claim and determining remittance of the files to the court in Rio de Janeiro. Clube Sudfer filed an appeal against this decision, which was not granted in July 2012. In view of the rejection, Clube Sudfer filed a Special Appeal, which has been waiting to be judged by the STJ since February 2013.

In August 2015, the case was sent to Rio de Janeiro, after the final decision that granted lack of jurisdiction filed by Gerdau. After the registry certified the existence of negative summons from some of the defendants, SUDFER was summoned, on November 16, 2015, to provide statement on the matter. A decision on the objections to the amount of the suit filed by the defendants is pending.

Chances of loss Possible

Analysis of impact in the case of losing the suit / Relevance to the Company

Any unfavorable decision in the lawsuit would generate financial losses for the Company and would damage its image.

5) Procedure No. 0393909-98.2012.8.19.0001

Jurisdiction 3rd Corporate Court of Rio de Janeiro

Instance 1st instance

Date of filing 10/05/2012

Parties in the suit Carteira Administradora Coletiva Dynamo, Ruth Cazal, Fernanda Cazal, Roberto Amaral de

Almeida Rocha, Alexandra Lima Alves Derenzi, Breno Wajchenberg, Roka Fundo de Investimento

Multimercado, and Invester Clube de Investimentos (plaintiff) and Vale (defendant)

Amounts, goods or rights involved Around R\$ 99.0 million.

Main facts Plaintiff s claim that Vale had issued debentures based on deeds that provided for security deadline

conditions other than those agreed upon at general meeting, reason why they claim Vale is condemned to pay the debentures according to the estimated compensation at the general meeting minutes. Vale was cited on May 27, 2013 and filed its defense on June 17, 2013. The court of the

13th Civil Court understood that, due to the issue within, the

Table of Contents

procedure should proceed at one of the corporate courts. The process was forwarded to the 3rd Corporate Court. Being a claim which object arises out of a right, there was no production of evidence and on February 5, 2015, the decision was issued judging the claim to be applicable. On February 20, 2015, Vale appealed. On April 7,2016, a majority decision on the appeal was published upholding

Chances of loss Possible

Analysis of impact in the case of losing the suit / Relevance to the Company Eventual unfavorable decision in the procedure would cause financial losses to the Company.

Amount provisioned (if any) None.

6) Case # 1:15-cv-09539

Notes: Said suit has already been described in item 4.3 above. For further information, see suit information

in Table 13 of the subsection (iii), Civil Cases, of item 4.3 of this Reference Form.

4.4.1. - Indicate the amount provisioned, if any, for the cases described in item 4.4

On December 31, 2015, no amounts associated with the suits mentioned in item 4.4 above were provisioned.

4.5 Relevant confidential claims

On December 31, 2015, the Company was not a party in any relevant and sensitive cases.

4.6 Publicly known and relevant repeated or related in-court, administrative or arbitration proceedings

The following items describe repetitive or subsidiary, non-confidential and relevant judicial, administrative or arbitral suits filed by December 31, 2015. For more information about relevant suits filed after this date, see the item 4.7 of this Reference Form.

(i) Labor

This item 4.6 of the Reference Form highlights the amount allocated in relation to repeated or related claims. Given the size of the Company, the number of employees and service providers and the number of labor claims, only those repetitive processes that represent more than 5% (five percent) of all claims filed against the Company on December 31, 2015, described in the table below, namely: joint/subsidiary liability (14%), overtime (9%) additional payment due to unhealthy or risky work environments (7%), fines (6%) and commuting hours (7%).

Fact and/or legal cause The more recurring objects are subsidiary/joint liability, overtime, additional payment for

hazardous/unhealthy conditions, hours in itinere and fines.

Amounts involved R\$ 5.2 billion

Company practice or that of subsidiary

which caused the contingency

Difference of interpretation between the Company, employees and unions to various facts, legal and

regulatory instruments concerning the issues above.

(ii) Tax

Fact and/or legal cause Discussion about the taxable base for the calculation of the Financial Compensation for Exploring

Amounts involved R\$ 4,954 billion (including interest and fines by December 31, 2015).

Company practice or that of subsidiary which caused the contingency

Vale is involved in many administrative and legal proceedings concerning the collection of CFEM credits. Such claims result from tax assessments by the National Department of Mineral Production DNPM, an independent government agency under the control of the Ministry of Mines and Energy and involve discussions on the alleged difference in values resulting from tax deductions and travel expenses, arbitration and prescription term for collection, incidence of CFEM on pellets, and on

final client s sales invoicing abroad and irretroactivity of IN 6/00.

Table of Contents

DNPM charges contemplated the collection period of up to 20 years before they were issued, under the interpretation that the statute of limitations applicable to CFEM would be the one set forth in the old Civil Code. The Company is disputing all the charges, arguing that the applicable statute of limitation was 5 years.

In 2012, the Company paid values related to external transportation and, additionally, values analyzed by the Workgroup (comprised by Vale and DNPM members) went down, and in 2013 the Company paid the amounts related to external transportation that had not been pointed out in the invoice and had not ended yet, and, at that time, the statute of limitation is set forth as five years. In 2014, the Company withdrew from the provision other discussed theses (pellets, taxes, etc.), keeping only the external transportation not highlighted in the note and considered as under the statute of limitation, this time considering the term of 10 (ten) years.

In December 2015, the Attorney General of the Union (AGU) issued legal opinion accepting recent decisions made by the Superior Court of Justice (STJ), establishing that CFEM collections are subject to a statutory period of 10 years. The Company expects the DNPM to review all ongoing charges to exclude outdated values, pursuant to this legal opinion.

Vale, starting in 2016, will arrange payment of the difference in amounts relative to external transportation not highlighted in note and still valid, given that AGU s opinion stated that the statutory period is 10 years.

Fact and/or legal cause

Collection of State VAT (ICMS) on interstate transfer of ore.

Amounts involved

Pará:

R\$ 1.7 billion

Company practice or that of subsidiary which caused the contingency

Tax authorities in the State of Pará filed, in 2010 and 2013, six acts of infringement claiming payment of ICMS incurring on the transfer of iron ore from the mines in the State of Pará to port facilities in the State of Maranhão. The acts of infringements are based on differences in the understanding related to the calculation of ICMS in interstate transfer operations for iron ore between establishments of the same title holder. In any event, Vale claims that the Tax on Goods and Services, in interstate transfer, should incur on the cost price under the terms in Supplemental Law (LC) no. 87/96, as this is a produced good and not non-industrial product . The first three acts of infringement covering years 2007 to 2009, in the total amount of R\$ 854 million (in December 2015) remained in the administrative phase on 2013. They are object of a lawsuit and they are guaranteed by a collateral letter. The other three acts of infringement related to 2010 to 2012 were judged as final in the administrative phase in November 2014 against the Company, and refer to R\$ 838 million (in December 2015). Discussion on these case files began in March 2015 and they are guaranteed through guarantee insurance. Both cases await final decision..

Table of Contents

Fact and/or legal cause Collection of ICMS on transportation

Amounts involved Minas Gerais:

Total amount: R\$ 1,265 billion

Company practice or that of subsidiary which caused the contingency

Vale disagrees with the payment of Tax on Goods and Services (ICMS), allegedly due to the State of

Minas Gerais, incurring on the transportation of iron ore by Vale itself.

Regarding collection of generating facts related to years 2009 and 2010 (R\$ 507 million), an annulment claim was filed on January 22, 2015. On January 30, 2015, a preliminary decision was published in favor of the Company. Regarding collection of generating facts occurring in years 2011 to 2013 (R\$ 758 million), a motion for annulment was filed on October 7, 2015. On October 8, 2015 a preliminary order favorable to the Company was published.

In any event, Vale claims that ICMS is not due as there is no provision of service for itself.

(iii) Civil

Fact and/or legal cause Twelve pension funds claim receipt of purges made because of inflation arising from economic plans

> called Plano Verão and Plano Collor on amounts paid under contracts for buying and selling gold concluded with Vale from 1988. More specifically, in the Petros case, which is the most significant one in amount terms, Vale was condemned to pay the R\$ 346,773,910.20 (item 4.4, table 1, above).

R\$ 187,002,846.77, corresponding to the total amount from the other 11 cases, that is, excluding the Amounts involved

Petros case, which is dealt with separately.

Company practice or that of subsidiary which caused the

contingency

The contingency has been generated according to the edition of economic plans called Plano Verão and Plano Collor, both created by the Federal Government between 1989 and 1991. The contracts in discussion around these were all paid by Vale and considered to be settled by the plaintiffs at the time. However, the plaintiffs started legal proceedings aimed at extending application of the decision on a

matter judged in the STJ for

Table of Contents

	savings accounts books, to contracts concluded with Vale. The Company maintains that repayment of inflationary purges is not due.	
Fact and/or legal cause	Suits on moral and pain and suffering damages resulting from the collapse of the Fundão dam in the municipality of Mariana	
Amounts involved	Until December 31, 2015, Vale had been named in 14 suits within this category, involving a total amount of R\$ 104,391,600.00. For information suits filed after December 31, 2015, see item 4.7.	
Practices by the Company or its subsidiary that caused such contingency	The suits pledge pain and suffering and/or material damages resulting from the rupture of the Fundão dam in the Municipality of Mariana, in the State of Minas Gerais, owned by Samarco Mineração S.A., a company in which Vale owns 50% of the share capital, and the remaining 50% are held by BHP Billiton Brazil Ltda. (BHPB).	
4.6.1 Indicate the amount provisioned, if any, for the cases described in item 4.6		
On December 31, 2015, the total amount provisioned, considering the suits described in subsection (i), (ii), and (iii) from item 4.6 above, was R\$ 1,182.3 million.		
(i) Labor		
On December 31, 2015, the total amount provisioned, considering the labor suits that are jointly relevant, described in sub-item (i) of item 4.6 above, was R\$ 840.0 million.		
(ii) Tax		
On December 31, 2015, the total amount provisioned, considering the tax suits that are jointly relevant, described in subsection (ii) of item 4.6 above, is R\$ 338.0 million.		
(iii) Civil		
On December 31, 2015, the total amount provisioned, considering the civil suits that are jointly relevant, described in subsection (iii) of item 4.6 above, was R\$ 4.3 million.		

Table of Contents		
4.7 Other significant contingencies		
Discussion on Tax Benefit Concessions		
Vale was involved in discussion with Swiss authorities regarding the granting of tax benefits to its Swiss subsidiary, Vale International. The dispute was resolved in December 2012 when Vale International paid the additional federal taxes claimed by the Swiss federal authorities, in four payments in the total amount of CHF 212 million Swiss francs. The first payment of CHF 53.2 million was made in January 2013 and payment of the last installment was made in December 2015.		
Additional information to item 4.3		
Relevant contingencies filed after December 31, 2015		
Following are descriptions of relevant contingencies in which Vale was named December 31, 2015.		
Considering the recent accident at the Fundão dam, it is probable that Vale is going to be named in other suits related to the accident, in addition to the ones described below, which may involve significantly relevant amounts.		
Civil		
Case # 0000640-06.2016.8.08.0014		
Court.	2nd Civil Court of Colatina State of Espírito Santo s Court	
Instance	First Instance	
Filing Date	15/01/2016	
Parties in the suit	Prosecution Office of the State of Espírito Santo (Plaintiff) (MP-ES) and Samarco Mineração S.A. (Samarco), Vale S.A. (Company or Vale) and BHP Billiton Brasil Ltda. (BHPB) (jointly Defendants	

Values, assets or rights involved

Key facts

R\$ 2,000,000,000.00.

On January 15, 2016, the MP-ES filed a public civil action to sentence Samarco to pay diffuse moral damages as the results of constraints experienced by the people from the municipality of Colatina, as a result of the rupture of the tailings dam in the city of Mariana. For further information on this accident, please refer to item 7.9 of this reference form.

The plaintiff filed protective orders, through which it demands: (i) the freeze of R\$ 2 billion in the accounts of the Defendants to ensure future implementation; (ii) unveil of the Defendants tax secrecy; (iii) delivery of documentation pertaining to the accident; and, (iv) that CVM received communication about this suit.

To this effect, the MP-ES ordered the piercing of the corporate veil of Samarco s shareholders, claiming that, although there is no evidence that Samarco, owner and operator of the Fundão dam, is in a state of insolvency, there was a possibility that that could become the case.

Table of Contents

On January 22, 2016, the MP-ES amended the complaint by including as a beneficiary the Municipal Protection Fund for Consumer Protection, in the amount of R\$ 2,000,000.00.

On January 19, 2016, Samarco filed an objection in which it argued that support measures to those affected by the accident had already been implemented, and financial resources were being allocated for remediation of the damages caused by the accident. Additionally, within that same context, Samarco argued that the protective order had no merit and that, moreover, it could compromise additional efforts to mitigate the impact caused by the accident.

On February 11, 2016, a decision was rendered a denying the MP-ES provisional protection that requested the Defendants cash freeze.

On February 17, 2016, the MP-ES filed an interlocutory appeal (AI) in objection to the decision that denied the provisional protection and petitioning the freezing of R\$ 2.0 billion reais and the piercing of the Defendants corporate veil, among other measures.

On March 10, 2016, a decision was rendered postponing the examination of the additional effect petitioned by MP-S, so that, before a decision was made, the 1st Instance judge was notified to provide information, and the Defendants were summoned to file statements.

On March 23, 2016, a decision was rendered on the AI filed by the MP-ES upholding the decision that it objected. Since it was a monocratic decision, however, it awaits decision by the panel.

On April 19, 2016, Vale filed an objection to the appeal demanding that it was denied.

On April 25, 2016, Vale filed an objection requesting that the plaintiffs petition is denied; as well as the Plaintiff s sentence to pay attorneys costs and fees, grounded on the lack of collective moral damages to be compensated.

In the first instance, the Defendants have already filed an objection requesting that the suit is dismissed.

Chance of loss

Possible

Analysis of the impact in the event of loss/reasons for the importance of the process for the company

The financial impact can reach R\$ 2,000,000,000.00, amount determined by the MP-S. We point out, however, that the suit is still at a very early stage, which hinders a more accurate analysis of losses in case of loss.

Case # 0016395-63.2016.8.13.0521

Court

2nd Civil Court of the Judicial District of Ponte Nova Court of the State of Minas Gerais (TJMG)

Instance

First Instance

Filing Date 18/02/2016

Parties in the suit Prosecution Office of the State of Minas Gerais (plaintiff) (MP-MG) and Samarco, Vale and BHP

(jointly, Defendants)

Values, assets or rights involved R\$ 600,000,000.00.

Key facts

On February 17, 2016, the MP-MG filed a public civil action to sentence the Defendants to adopt certain measures to mitigate damages allegedly caused to urban environmental heritage in the municipality of Barra Longa, District of Gesteira and Barretos Village. The MP-MG demands that the Defendants comply with generic obligations as well as the preventive constriction of a sum of money to ensure the future implementation of yet undetermined measures.

On February 19, 2016, a decision was issued by the TJMG granting the preliminary petition to (i) partially grant the injunction ordering that the following obligations are met, under penalty of a daily fine in the amount of R\$ 500,000.00: (a) implementation of basic, structural, and executive projects for the full recovery of impacted public assets and, (b) implementation of contention work along the riverbed of Rio Carmo, where needed; (ii) the freeze of R\$ 500,000,000.00; and (iii) presentation of a settlement proposal, if there is one.

On February 18, 2016, Samarco filed a petition whereby it (i) required that (a) the suit was sent to the Federal Court because the TJMG did not have jurisdiction to decide on the sure, (b) a settlement hearing was arranged between the parties before a preliminary injunction was filed; and, (ii) it stated that Samarco had already implemented several support measures and that it had signed a preliminary agreement (TCP) with the Federal Prosecution Office and the MP-MG for the creation of a Fund, in the amount of R\$ 1.0 billion, to support the social and environmental damage caused by the disaster.

Additionally, within the framework of the aforementioned petition, Samarco clarified that it had already provided documents showing evidence of relevant deposits and collaterals, amounting to R\$ 2.3 billion, as well as of the adoption of measures aimed at repairing environmental and social damage resulting from the accident at the Fundão dam. It also argued that the granting of financial constrictions could bring negative effects to Samarco and its obligations in the mitigation of the impacts caused by the rupture of the dam in Mariana. Given the foregoing, it petitioned that the preliminary order demanded in the complaint was denied.

On February 23, 2016, a decision was rendered ordering that the case records are kept at the State Courts.

On March 1, 2016, Samarco, in a petition, stated its interest in settling under terms of the demand.

On March 4, 2016, Samarco filed a petition to address the decision that granted the injunction, reporting that it had already started the reconstruction, recovery, and repair of public assets affected by the accident, as well as it had hired a specialized company, named 3T Construções, to perform this action.

On March 17, 2016, Samarco filed the petition in which it provided evidence of full compliance with the injunction, showing that all necessary emergency measures were already being implemented.

On March 18, 2016, Vale filed a petition to provide evidence of compliance with the injunction, noting that Samarco had hired specialized firms to start the reconstruction of Barra Long s infrastructure projects, already in the development phase.

Samarco and Vale filed an interlocutory appeal against the preliminary decision, and it was granted suspensive effect.

Table of Contents

On April 8, 2016, Vale filed a defense showing that the measures demanded by the Plaintiff had already been spontaneously fulfilled by Samarco. Grounded in this, it requested the dismissal of the case, without prejudice, given the Plaintiff s lack of interest to sue. Taking into consideration the chance that the case is not dismissed without merit, Vale has also petitioned that the demands made in the complaint were dismissed, with revocation of the granted injunction; as well as the Plaintiff s sentence to pay attorneys costs and fees.

Chance of loss

Possible

Analysis of the impact in the event of loss/reasons for the importance of the process for the company

The financial impact can reach R\$ 600,000,000.00, amount determined by the MP-MG. We point out, however, that the suit is still at a very early stage, which hinders a more accurate analysis of losses in case of loss.

3) Public Civil Action 23863-07.2016.4.01.3800

Court 12th Federal Court of Belo Horizonte

Instance First Instance

Filing Date 05/03/2016

Parties in the suit

Federal Prosecution Office (MPF or Plaintiff) and Samarco, BHPB, Vale, Federal Union, State of Minas Gerais and Espírito Santo, National Water Authority (ANA), Brazilian Institute for the Environment and Natural Renewable Resources (IBAMA), National Department of Mining Production (DNPM), Chico Mendes Institute for Biodiversity (ICMBio), National Foundation for Indigenous Populations (FUNAI), National Health Surveillance Agency (ANVISA), National Heritage and Arts Institute (IFAN), National Bank for Economic and Social Development (BNDES), State Institute of Forestation (IEF), Minas Gerais Institute of Water Management (IGAM), State Foundation for the Environment (FEAM), State Heritage and Art Institute of Minas Gerais (IEPHA), State Institute for the Environment and Water Resources (IEMA), Institute for the Defense of Agricultural and Cattle Practices and Forestry of Espírito Santo (IDAF) and State Agency of Water Resources (AGERH) (jointly, Defendants).

Values, assets or rights involved

R\$155,052,000,000.00.

Key facts

On May 3, 2016, the MPF filed this civil action demanding (i) the adoption of measures to mitigate the social, economic and environmental impacts arising from the collapse of the Fundão dam, as well as other emergency measures; (ii) sentence of the Defendants to pay compensation to the people for the time they would have been prevented from enjoying a balanced environment; and, (iii) sentence to pay collective moral damages. We point out the following demands: that (i) the Defendants, jointly, within 30 days deposit in a private fund, under their own management and supervision by and independent specialized auditing firm, the initial amount of R\$ 7,752,600,000.00, to be linked to the implementation of social environmental and emergency programs; (ii) the defendant companies, jointly, within 30 days, provide collateral in the amount of R\$ 155,052,000,000.00; (iii) the defendant companies, jointly, in the event of freeze or constrictive measures applied to the fund, shall pay, within 5 business days, the amount equivalent to the amount frozen, to resume the available net balance; (iv) encumbrance or disposal of fixed assets belonging to the defendant companies is forbidden, and the measure must include, among others, real estate properties, mining rights, and shares

held in the national territory; (v) the distributions of profits by the defendant companies shall be forbidden, whether as dividends, interest on capital, or any other means; (vi) legal freeze of all sums from the defendant companies corporate earnings not distributed to date; (vii) the defendant companies, jointly, secondarily, the public entities shall: a) present a recovery, socio and environmental mitigation and compensation plan for the entire environmental impact occurred as a result of the collapse of the Fundão dam, within at most 90 days; b) present a recovery, socio and environmental mitigation and compensation plan for the entire social and economic impact occurred as a result of the collapse of the Fundão dam, within at most 90 days; (viii) the defendant companies and, secondarily, the public entities, shall finance the expenses and fees of international organizations that may come collaborate in the suits to define the most suitable economic, social and environmental measures for mediation and dialogue with affected communities; (ix) the defendant companies shall initiate and implement, in a timely manner, the required measures to restore environmental balance, restoring the environment impacted by the collapse of the Fundão dam, and recovery, compensation and indemnity of damage through social and economic programs, projects and actions included in the environmental recovery plan for the entire environmental impact and in the social and economic recovery plan previously submitted and duly approved by the Government, and this obligation falls jointly to the defendant companies and, secondarily, to public entities; (x) the defendant companies shall adopt effective measures to stop the mining tailings still dammed in the Germano Complex or accumulated on the banks of the rivers Gualaxo do Norte, Carmo and Doce to their water bodies; (xi) the defendant companies shall adopt effective measures to ensure the stability and security of the remaining structures used by Samarco in the city of Mariana, and shall, within 30 days, submit: a) proof of the adoption of measures to ensure the stability of the Germano dam, Santarém dam, and other remaining Fundão structures (Diques 2, Sela, Tulipa and Selinha); b) and emergency action plan to be adopted in case of the collapse of structures; c) systematized update of the existing Emergency Action Plan based on a new study Dam Collapse of the Germano dam, the Santarém dam, and other remaining Fundão structures; d) improvement of roads suitable for the displacement of the population potentially impacted in the case of new collapses, including by paving of escape route planned for the Longa Barra inhabitants, which links this municipality to Ponte Nova (MG); (xi) the companies, within 10 days, shall present a detailed plan of short-term actions, without prejudice to the subsequent submission of a final plan for the management of refuse from the Fundão dam; (xii) the defendant companies shall implement an environmentally appropriate disposal of the mining waste to be removed from the area affected by the collapse of the Fundão dam, and its introduction to another production chain; (xiii) the defendant companies, within 10 days, shall submit a detailed short-term action plan, without prejudice to the subsequent presentation of a final plan, for emergency actions of re-vegetation, reforestation, and recovery of permanent preservation areas; (xiv) the defendant companies, within 60 days, shall present a preliminary diagnostic report of all the permanent preservation areas degraded along the margins of the Doce River basin and, based on the diagnosis, prepare emergency action plans for their full recovery; (xvi) the defendant companies shall, within 30 days, initiate the implementation of emergency action plan for the conservation and recovery of the aquatic fauna, which should contain, at least, the following lines of action: a) a schedule of actions for the temporary repopulation of impacted native species; b) support measures to entities that collected specimens in the Noah s Ark operation, for the conservation of genetic material and research development; (xvii) that, within 30 days, the defendant companies shall

Table of Contents

present and start implementing the emergency plan of actions for the recovery of material cultural property and preservation of the cultural heritage districts of Bento Rodrigues, Paracatu de Baixo, and Gesteira, as well as the municipality of Barra Longa, following at least the following parameters: a) development and implementation, through qualified professionals, of archaeological project of the impacted sites; b) dissemination of scientific knowledge already produced about the archaeological heritage of the area impacted, whose access and research was abandoned due to changes in topography caused by collapse; c) recovery of impacted cultural heritage, preferably through the construction of field schools to encourage the use and training of local labor; d) actions for the rescue, generational transmission, and promotion of cultural activities of the communities, such as parties and celebrations, traditional knowledge and techniques, crafts and cooking; (xviii) the defendant companies that, within 30 days, complete the registration process of all those impacted, including individuals, companies, and groups that have suffered material or intangible impacts as a result of the collapse of the Fundão dam, located in the municipalities bathed by the rivers Doce, Gualaxo Norte, and Carmo, the Santarém stream and, estuary, coastal, and marine are located between the municipalities of São Mateus (ES) and Aracruz (ES), among others.

Pursuant to the MPF s petition, the amounts indicated therein were not determined based on the accident at Samarco s dam, but on an unreasonable comparison with the Gulf of Mexico s oil spill.

On May 9, 2016, the Attorney General of the Union removed the plaintiffs, for the purposes of demonstration.

Chance of loss

Possible

Analysis of the impact in the event of loss/reasons for the importance of the process for the company

The value of the case determined by MP-MG is R\$ 155,052,000,000.00. We point out, however, that the case is still at a very early stage, which hinders a more accurate analysis of losses in case of loss.

Environmental

1) Case # 0001254-18.2016.4.01.3901

Court 2nd Federal Circuit Court of the Judicial District of Marabá

Instance First Instance

Filing Date 4/12/2016

Parties in the suit Indigenous Association of Bayaprã for the defense of the Kikrin People of the O-Odja and

Indigenous Association of Porekro for the defense of the Xikrin people of the Catetê (Plaintiff

Associations) and Company, FUNAI, IBAMA and BNDES (jointly Defendants)

Values, assets or rights involved The amount of the claim assigned by the Plaintiffs is R\$ 72,385,600.00, based on the values reported

by S11D developments to the market.

Table of Contents

Key facts The Plaintiff Associations filed a public civil action demanding (i) the suspension of the

environmental licensing process of the S11D project; (ii) payment of property and pain and suffering damages to be determined; and, (iii) payment of a monthly income in the amount of R\$ 2,000,000.00 per village, on the grounds of failing to conduct a Study of the Indigenous Component (ECI) and to

consult the indigenous community of Xikrin in advance.

May 13, 2016, a statement was filed before the request for an injunction. No decision has been made

regarding the plaintiffs association s request.

Chance of loss Remote

Analysis of the impact in the event of loss/reasons for the importance of the process for the company

In case of loss or injunction, there is risk of suspension of the installation process of the S11D

project, in addition to the financial impact.

Additional information to item 4.6

Relevant contingencies filed after December 31, 2015

Following are descriptions of relevant contingencies in which Vale was named December 31, 2015.

Considering the recent accident at the Fundão dam, it is probable that Vale is going to be named in other suits related to the accident, in addition to the ones described below, which may involve significantly relevant amounts.

Fact and/or legal cause Suits on moral and pain and suffering damages resulting from the collapse of the Fundão

dam in the municipality of Mariana

Amounts involved Until December 31, 2015, Vale had been named in 14 suits within this category, involving

the total amount of R\$ 104,391,600.00, as described in item 4.6 above.

It is important to note that, until March 31, 2016, the aforementioned amount was

increased to 124 demands totaling, on that date, R\$ 138,820,788.00.

Practices by the Company or its subsidiary that caused such

contingency

The suits pledge pain and suffering and/or material damages resulting from the rupture of the Fundão dam in the Municipality of Mariana, in the State of Minas Gerais, owned by Samarco Mineração S.A., a company in which Vale owns 50% of the share capital, and the

remaining 50% are held by BHP Billiton Brazil Ltda. (BHPB).

Court settlement regarding the Samarco Dam s Collapse

Source: Court settlement within the scope of Public Civil Action # 0069758-61.2015.4.01.3400

Parties in the suit

Samarco Mineração S.A., Vale S.A., BHP Billiton Brasil Ltda. (BHPB), Federal Union, States of Espírito Santo and de Minas Gerais, Brazilian Institute for the Environment and Natural Renewable Resource (IBAMA), Institute Chico Mendes, National Water Authority (ANA), National Department for Mining Production (DNPM), National

Table of Contents

Indigenous Foundation (FUNAI), State Institute for Forestation (IEF), Minas Gerais Institute for Water Management (IGAM), State Foundation for the Environment (FEAM), and the State Institute for the Environment and Water Resources, Institute for the Defense of Agricultural and Cattle Practices and Forestry of Espírito Santo and State Agency for Water Resources.

Date of Agreement

03/02/2016

Description of the facts that led to this agreement

The undersigned authorities filed Public Civil Action (case #0069758-61.2015.4.01.3400) against Samarco and its shareholders demanding reimbursement of alleged environmental, social and economic damage resulting from the rupture of Samarco s tailings dam, as well as the adoption of a series of mitigation, remediation and compensatory measures by Samarco and its shareholders for damages allegedly arising from the accident. For more information on Public Civil Action # 0069758-61.2015.4.01.3400, see item 4.3 of this Reference Form, and for additional information concerning the accident, see items 4, 7.9 and 10.1 of this Reference Form.

The amount the plaintiffs have set for the Public Civil action value is R\$ 20,204,968,949.00. After a series of talks between the authorities, Samarco, Vale and BHPB, the parties signed the agreement, which provides a long-term remediation and compensation plan in response to the event occurred (Agreement).

Liabilities

Under the agreement, Samarco, Vale and BHPB shall establish a foundation that will develop and implement the environmental, social and economic programs for remediation and compensation for the damages caused by the rupture of Samarco s dam.

The agreement includes two broad types of programs:

Remediation programs to restore the environment, local communities, and the social conditions of the regions affected;

Compensation programs to compensate for damages, in cases where remediation is not possible, and to provide resources for certain special projects, always acting in good faith.

Additionally, an external independent auditor shall monitor the Foundation s activities.

Table of Contents

Samarco shall provide resources for the Foundation through contributions such as (calendar year):

R\$ 2 billion in 2016, less the amount already spent on or allocated to the remediation and compensation actions;

R\$ 1.2 billion in 2017;

R\$ 1.2 billion in 2018.

Samarco agreed to make the annual contributions needed to implement the remediation projects and compensation for each fiscal year. From 2019 to 2021 these contributions shall be equivalent to R\$ 800 million to R\$ 1.6 billion.

After the agreement is signed, the Foundation will allocate an annual amount of R\$ 240 million, for a period of 15 years, for the implementation of remediation projects and compensation. These annual amounts are already included in the contribution amounts reported for the first six years. In addition, a contribution of R\$ 500 million shall be made to the basic sanitation of the regions affected.

The Agreement has a term of 15 years renewable yearly until all the obligations set forth in the agreement have been met.

Information about the activities adopted to comply with the obligations undertaken in the Agreement

Data and studies are already under assessment and development for compliance with the Agreement.

Consequences in event of non-compliance

Term, if there is one

If Samarco fails to comply with its obligations to contribute resources to the Foundation, Vale and the BHPB are obliged to allocate resources to the Foundation, in proportion with their respective shareholdings of 50% in Samarco.

Other comments

The Agreement was approved by the Federal Regional Court of the 1° Region on May 5, 2016, and it suspended the Public Civil Action (Case # 0069758-61.2015.4.01.34), aforementioned.

The Federal Prosecutors Office (MPF) filed a motion for clarification in which it questioned the jurisdiction of the Federal Regional Court of 1st Region to approve the agreement. Moreover, the MPF questioned the terms of the agreement, with respect to the adequacy of the measures set forth therein, as well as the legitimacy of the agreeing parties for the conclusion of the agreement. The MPF, thus,

Table of Contents

request a rehearing en banc and the suspension of the effectiveness of the decision.

The Agreement does not automatically cover private civil suits, other public civil actions or criminal charges.

Relevant Conduct Modification Agreements and Terms of Commitment

1) Cooperation Agreement not resulting from Administrative / Legal Proceeding

Origin: Terms of Engagement signed with the Indigenous Community (TI) Mãe Maria

c) Parties Vale, Indigenous Association Te Mêmpapytarkate Akrâtikatêjê da Montanha, Jê Jõkrityiti

(Akrãkaprekti) Association, Indigenous Association Parkatêjê Amjip Tar Kaxuwa and

Indigenous Association Kyikatêjê and Fundação Nacional do Índio (FUNAI), with the Federal

Prosecution Office (MPF) at Marabá, acting as intervening party.

d) Agreement Date 03/01/2012, 07/27/2012, 07/24/2012, and 08/02/2012

e) Description of the facts that have led to

entering this agreement

Based on its social accountability policy, Vale already had entered into Engagement Agreements with the indigenous individuals from the Mãe Maria TI, which expired in 2012. Therefore, due to the influence of the Carajás Railroad (EFC) on this community, Vale decided to continue to send funds to meet the urgent needs of the individuals from this community, making sure that the Indigenous

Component study and Basic Environmental Plan (PBA) were conducted, documents that are required for the licensing process to expand the Carajás Railroad, now, with FUNAI, helping communities to manage funds.

f) Commitments made

Financial transfer in support to health, education actions, productive activities, surveillance of the territory and administration. On the other hand, indigenous communities commit not to stop any productive activity or invade Vale facilities, in particular the Carajás Railroad, and they also authorize the Indigenous Component study and the PBA, documents required for the licensing process to the project to expand the Carajás Railroad.

g) Deadline, if any

Many different deadlines due in January 2015, . Said Cooperation Agreement ended and it was renewed, with no interference by the MPF, according to the Cooperation Agreement described in table (2) below.

h)Information about the conduct adopted to comply with the commitments made in the agreement The Community Relations Director had two focal points monitoring compliance with the commitment made in the Engagement Agreement, in particular the transfer of financial

(i) Consequences in the event of noncompliance

Failure to comply by indigenous people causes suspension of the transfer of funds and health service. When non-compliance is by Vale, there is risk of indigenous people promoting actions that stop or affect Company or

agreement

undertaken in the Agreement

subsidiaries activities, as manifestations that imply stoppage at the EFC, prejudicing railroad operations. Said manifestations by the indigenous people also tend to restrict freedom of access of Vale s teams and hired third parties who run studies inherent to environmental licensing processes and actions related to compliance with conditions, which may be characterized as non-compliance with the environmental licenses granted by the environmental entity, weakening Vale s position, or of its subsidiaries, at the institutional level, with not prejudice to the executive measures to be taken by the MPF, IBAMA, FUNAI, and the other autarchies involved with the protection of indigenous rights.

Cooperation Agreement not resulting from Judicial/Administrative Proceedings

Source: Agreement signed with the Indigenous Community (TI) Mãe Maria

(a) Parties in the suit Indigenous Association of Mpakwyri Mpawor, Indigenous Association of Gaviao Je Amjip,

Parkrekapare Association, Je Jokrityiti Association, Indigenous Association of Te

Mempapytarka, Indigenous Association of Parkateje Amjip and Vale

(b) Date of Agreement 5/19/2015; 5/29/2015; 5/26/2015; 5/7/2015; 4/1/2015; 5/1/2015.

(c) Description of the facts that led to this Based on its social responsibility policy, Vale already had Agreements with the indigenous

> inhabitants of TI Mãe Maria, which expired in 2012. Thus, due to the influence of the Carajás Railroad (EFC) on this community, Vale decided to continue to transfer financial resources to meet the emergency needs of the members of the community, ensuring the completion of the Indigenous Component study and of the Basic Environmental Plan (PBA), documents required for the licensing process for the expansion of Carajás railroad, which currently counts with the

participation of FUNAI, which is assisting the communities in the management of resources.

(d) Liabilities To make financial transfers to support healthcare, education actions, productive activities, and

the monitoring and management of the territory. On the other hand, the indigenous communities have committed to not shutting down any productive activity or invade Vale s facilities, particularly the EFC, and they have also authorized the completion of the Indigenous Component study of the PBA, documents required for the permit licensing process for the

expansion of the EFC project.

(e) Term, if any. Various deadlines, due in 2020, time when the Indigenous Component of the PBA would be

concluded.

(f) Information about the activities The Community Liaison Board has focal points to monitor compliance with the obligations set

adopted to comply with the obligations forth in the Agreement, particularly the transfer of financial resources.

(g) Consequences in the event of In the event that the indigenous people fall into noncompliance, the transfer of resources and non-compliance

health services shall de suspended. If Vale is noncompliant, there is a risk that the indigenous

people will shut

down or interfere with the activities of the Company or its subsidiaries, such as through demonstrations involving the shutting down of the EFC, damaging EFC railway operations. Said demonstrations also tend to have an impact on the indigenous people not allowing Vale s teams or contracted teams to have the access needed to carry out studies concerning environmental licensing processes and implementation of actions relating to the fulfillment of conditions, which could be characterized as noncompliance with the environmental licenses granted by the environmental agency, weakening the position of Vale or its subsidiaries at an institutional level, without prejudice to executive measures to be taken by the MPF, IBAMA, FUNAI and other authorities involved in the protection of indigenous rights.

3) 2nd Amendment to the Agreement to Promote Sustainable Development, executed with FUNAI and the Krenak People, effective between 2011 and 2019, and this agreement is an amendment to the Agreement that settled Public Civil Action no. 2006.38.13.009676-0

Origin: Agreement that settled the Public Civil Action filed by the MPF and FUNAI against CEMIG Companhia Energética de Minas Gerais, CVRD Companhia Vale do Rio Doce and CHA Consórcio Hidrelétrico Aimorés (Public Civil Action and Agreement, respectively). After termination of the Agreement, on 11/30/2011, the Company voluntarily executed: (i) the Agreement to Promote Sustainable Development of the Indigenous Land of the Krenak (Agreement to Promote), (ii) the First Amendment to the Agreement to Promote and (ii) the Second Amendment to the Agreement to Promote Segundo Aditivo ao Termo de Fomento.

Parties	a) Agreement - MPF, FUNAI, CEMIG Companhia Energética de Minas Gerais, CVRD Companhia Vale do Rio Doce and CHA Consórcio Hidrelétrico Aimorés;
	b) Agreement to promote Vale, Krenak Indigenous People, FUNAI and MPF;
	c) First Amendment to the Agreement to Promote Vale, Krenak Indigenous People, FUNAI and MPF;
	d) Second Amendment to the Agreement to Promote - Vale, Krenak Indigenous People and FUNAI

Agreement Date

Agreement executed on 07/18/2008 to 11/30/2011

Agreement to Promote executed on 10/24/2011 effective from 12/01/2011 to 06/01/2012

First Amendment to the Agreement to Promote $\,$ executed on 05/03/2012 $\,$ effective from 12/01/2011 to 12/01/2013* $\,$

Second Amendment to the Agreement to Promote executed on 03/27/2015 effective from 12/01/2011 12/01/2019*

^{*}Amendments above alter terms in the original Agreement to Promote, with retroactive effects. This is the reason their respective

Table of Contents

effective dates are 12/01/2011, date of effectiveness of the Agreement to Promote.

Description of the facts that have led to entering this agreement

Approval of the Agreement settled the Public Civil Action filed by the MPF and FUNAI, that aimed the deployment of mitigating and compensation measures arising out of the installation of Usina Hidrelétrica de Aimorés. The purpose of the Agreement was the provision of environmental, social, and economic support, by recovering 54 hectare of green area, construction of 5 cultural centers and deployment of milk cattle project. After termination of the Agreement, voluntarily by the Company, and aiming to maintain the support and the relationship between Vale and the Krenak People, new terms were executed, maintaining the Company assistance to the development of the indigenous people. The contract currently in effect is the Second Amendment to the Agreement to Promote.

Commitments made

Provide financial and technical support to milk cattle project.

Deadline, if any

Agreement 07/18/2008 to 11/30/2011 executed on 07/18/2008

Agreement to Promote 12/01/2011 to 06/01/2012 executed on 10/24/2011

First Amendment to the Agreement to Promote 12/01/2011 to 12/01/2013* - executed on 05/03/2012

Second Amendment to the Agreement to Promote 12/01/2011 12/01/2019* - executed on 03/27/2015

* Amendments above alter terms in the original Agreement to Promote, with retroactive effects. This is the reason their respective effective dates are 12/01/2011, date of effectiveness of the Agreement to Promote.

Information about the conduct adopted to comply with the commitments made in the agreement

The Department of Community Relations includes a focal unit that monitors compliance with obligations set forth in the Second Amendment to the Agreement to Promote.

Consequences in the event of noncompliance

Failure to comply with the Second Amendment of the Agreement to Promote by indigenous people causes suspension of the transfer of funds. When non-compliance is by Vale, there is risk of indigenous people promoting actions that stop or affect Company or subsidiaries activities, as manifestations that imply stoppage at the EFC, prejudicing railroad operations. Said manifestations by the indigenous people also tend to restrict freedom of access of Vale s teams and hired third parties who run studies inherent to environmental licensing processes and actions related to compliance with conditions, which may be characterized as non-compliance with the environmental licenses granted by the environmental entity, weakening Vale s position, or of its subsidiaries, at the institutional level, with not prejudice to the

executive measures to be taken by the MPF, IBAMA, FUNAI, and the other autarchies involved with the protection of indigenous rights.

4) Agreement of Social and Environmental Commitment FUNAI, Vale, MPF and Tupiniquim People of Terra Indígena Comboios (TI Comboios), executed in August 2014, related to the Subprogram of Social and Environmental Liabilities of the Basic Environmental Plan ((PBA) of the Corrective Operation License (LOC) of Estrada for Ferro Vitória-Minas

Origin: - Agreement of Social and Environmental Commitment related to the Subprogram of Social and Environmental Liabilities of the Basic Environmental Plan (PBA) of LOC for Estrada de Ferro Vitória-Minas

Parties Vale, MPF, FUNAI and the Tupiniquim People of TI Comboios.

Agreement Date 08/13/2014

Description of the facts that have led to entering this agreement

Based on the Indigenous Component Study, responsible for identifying possible impacts of the EFVM on the TI Comboios, in the Corrective Operation License Process for EFVM, actions were proposed under the Environmental Basic Plan, including the Subprogram of Social and Environmental Liabilities, aiming to provide financial funds to the indigenous people to be used in specific areas: education, health, home, production activity and social projects.

Commitments made

Transfer financial funds busing a judicial account, with intermediation of the MPF and FUNAI, to support indigenous people in actions at the following areas: education, health, home, production activity, and social projects.

Deadline, if any

All obligations under the Instrument of Commitment were met by the Company, and it was duly closed.

Information about the conduct adopted to comply with the commitments made in the agreement

The Department of Community Relations includes a focal unit that monitors compliance with obligations set forth in the Agreement of Social and Environmental Commitment

Consequences in the event of noncompliance

Failure to comply with the Second Amendment of the Agreement to Promote by indigenous people causes suspension of the transfer of funds. When non-compliance is by Vale, there is risk of indigenous people promoting actions that stop or affect Company or subsidiaries activities, as manifestations that imply stoppage at the EFC, prejudicing railroad operations. Said manifestations by the indigenous people also tend to restrict freedom of access of Vale s teams and hired third parties who run studies inherent to environmental licensing processes and actions related to compliance with conditions, which may be characterized as non-compliance with the environmental licenses granted by the environmental entity, weakening Vale s position, or of its subsidiaries, at the institutional level, with not prejudice to the executive measures to be taken by the MPF,

Table of Contents

IBAMA, FUNAI, and the other autarchies involved with the protection of indigenous rights.

5) Legal Agreement

Parties

Origin: Proceeding no. 21337.52.2011

Vale, MPF, Palmares Cultural Foundation, National Institute for Colonization and Land Reform,

and IBAMA.

Agreement Date 03/08/2012

Description of the facts that have led to entering this agreement

The MPF has accused Vale, who subsidized the licensing process for the Carajás Railroad expansion project, of lacking the environmental study investigating the diagnostic impact of the

expansion on the two quilombo communities located in the State of Maranhão.

Commitments made (i) Transfer the amount of R\$ 700,000.00 to the Palmares Foundation to help with the

construction of clinics and of an educational center; and

(ii) Development of a study of the local environmental impact, recovery of waterways, and the building of overpasses in the next four years, as specified in the legal agreement schedule.

Deadline, if any

Sparse deadlines, with commitment to be met through the end of the Carajás Railroad expansion

project. Among them are: (i) the already made payment of R\$ 700,000.00 to the communities to finance the building of social devices in the community and Palmares Foundation;

(ii) development of an environmental study already done and the adoption of measures to mitigate the impact of the Company s operations and activities in the region; (iii) building of four

overpasses for the communities that are parties in the agreement and with a deadline of construction extending over four years; and (iv) improvement of the current passageways until

the overpasses are built in the region. These commitments are underway.

Information about the conduct adopted to comply with the commitments made in the agreement

The General Manager of Project Relations, who works under the Director of Northern Logistic Projects (DIPL), focuses on engineering and public relations, monitoring compliance with the activities developed by Vale. The commitments and deadlines reflect the item above.

Consequences in the event of noncompliance

The MPF may request that the Company comply with the commitments made, under penalty of a fine determined by a competent federal judge.

Table of Contents

Other notes

6) Conduct Modification Agreement (TAC) no. 283/2004

Origin: Preparation Proceeding no. 0203/01 - Regional Labor Public Prosecution Office of the 1st region Rio de Janeiro

Parties Labor Prosecution Office and Vale S.A.

Agreement Date 12/15/20014

Description of the facts that have led to

entering this agreement

Legal obligation to train and hire people with disabilities to meet legal requirements, including

regarding the quota set forth in article no. 93 of Law no. 8.212/91

Commitments made Initially, professionally train about 34 to 40 disabled people to start. To develop a national

program. To enter into partnerships with Organization like SENAI for training. During training, to provide transportation, food, and medical care. After training, to hire people with disabilities.

Deadline, if any

The TAC is renewed yearly and it indicates the year s quota for training and hiring, which, in

2015, corresponded to the hiring of about 120 people.

Information about the conduct adopted to comply with the commitments made in the

agreement

Development of an inclusion program for people with disabilities.

Consequences in the event of

noncompliance

R\$ 1,000.00 per worker that is not trained and hired, within the quota for that particular year

Other notes The TAC allows Vale to fail to fully comply with the quote set forth by Law no. 8.212/91, while

complying with obligations set forth therein. If there is noncompliance with the TAC, Vale must immediately meet the quote specified by the Law, losing this requirement provided by the

Agreement.

7) Environmental Obligation Agreement: TCA at do Pico do Itabirito

Origin: Public Civil Investigation no. 319.02.0001-8 MPMG

Parties Minerações Brasileiras Reunidas S.A. - MBR, Vale S.A., Ministério Público

Estadual-MG, Instituto Estadual de Florestas, Secretaria de Estado do Meio Ambiente e Desenvolvimento Sustentável de Minas Gerais, and Anglogold Ashanti Brasil Mineração Ltda.

Agreement Date 7/9/2010

Description of the facts that have led to

entering this agreement

Agreement signed for the enforcement of protection measures to the area known as Pico do

Itabirito and archeological site of Cata Branca.

Commitments made Environmental and landscape remediation in protected areas.

Deadline, if any Schedule presented to the State Prosecution Office, which was expected to be concluded in

July 2015.

Information about the conduct adopted to comply with the commitments made in the

agreement

Procedures to recover areas in progress, with fencing and signage as archeological site, environmental education programs and environmental remediation project at the area known as

Pico do Itabirito. The TAC was fully met.

Consequences in the event of

noncompliance

Fine R\$2,500.00/day delaying enforcement of the agreed and non-complied with portion.

Other parties Minerações Brasileiras Reunidas S.A. - MBR, Vale S.A., State Prosecution Office MG, State

Forestry Institute, State Secretary for the Environment and Sustainable Development of Minas

Gerais and Anglogold Ashanti Brasil Mineração Ltda.

Conduct Adjustment Agreement # 118/2015

Source: Civil Public inquiry # 3212.2014.03.000/9-12- Regional Prosecution Office for Labor Related Matters of the 3rd Region/MG Minas Gerais

(a) Parties Prosecution Office for Labor Related Matters and Vale S.A.

(b) Date of Agreement 31/07/2015

(c) Description of the facts that led to the

agreement

The alleged slavery-like practices of Vale s service provider, the company Ouro Verde Locação e Serviços S/A. For additional information, refer to subsection (i) (5) of item 4.3 of this Reference

Form.

Table of Contents

(d) Liabilities

Preventive and corrective measures have been adjusted to ensure the labor rights of service provider employees, especially regarding the sanitary conditions of their facilities, the promotion of decent work, and elimination of all forms of forced or compulsory work analogous to slave labor. The agreed upon commitments are being duly implemented.

(e) Term, if any.

Undetermined term in the absence of diverse provision.

(f) Information about the activities adopted to comply with the obligations undertaken in the Agreement

Vale, throughout the second half of 2015, has provided several training opportunities to company managers in that State to inform them about the obligations undertaken by Vale. Guidelines were given regarding the inclusion of a standard clause in the contracts signed by the company provide the Company s resolution in the event of the employment of child or slave labor or any situation that could be characterized as an offense to human dignity.

(g) Consequences in the event of non-compliance

R\$ 20,000 .00 per item failed to fulfill up to R\$ 500,000.00

(h) Other comments

The Conduct Adjustment Agreement, in addition to preventing further action by the Prosecution Office for Labor Related Matters, has also given Vale the opportunity to objectively adoption of preventive and corrective measures against the exploitation of degrading labor or slavery-like labor in the Company s production chain, showing proof of compliance with the National Pact to Fight Slavery of which the Company is a signatory.

Table of Contents
4.8 Rules of the country of origin and of the country in which the securities are held in custody
Not applicable to the Company, as it is not a foreign issuer.
5.1. Internal controls and risk management policy of risk relative to risks listed in item 4.1
a. If the Company has a formal risk management policy, indicate the body that approved it and the date of approval, otherwise, indicate reasons why the company has not adopted such a policy
The Company understands that risk management is essential to support its growth plan, strategic planning and financial flexibility. Therefore, Vale has developed its risk management strategy with the objective of providing an integrated view of risks to which it is exposed.
The guidelines for corporate risk management strategy are set out in the Company s Corporate Risk Management Policy of the company were approved by the Board of Directors originally on December 22, 2005 and amended on August 25, 2011.
b. Risk management policy s goals and strategies, if any, including:
The Company s Corporate Risk Management Policy is based on the following principles: (i) to support the growth plan, strategic planning, and business continuity; (ii) to strengthen capital structure and asset management; (iii) to allow the appropriate degree of flexibility in financial management; and (iv) to strengthen Vale s corporate governance practices.
i. Risks for which protection is sought
The Company seeks protection for the main risks that may adversely and relevantly impact goals the outlined by the Company s senior management of the company, its reputation as well as its financial and operational results, which are described in item 4.1 of this reference form, among which are:
(i) risks that may impact Company s operations, in particular, events of force majeure or events arising

from the ordinary processes of the Company and its subsidiaries that may impact their production process and

installed capacity;

legal or regulatory sanctions risk, lawsuits against the company and its subsidiaries, whose loss of or application of penaltics, can impact the Company relevantly, from a financial or operational perspective, or harm its image; (iv) risks of shutdown of the Company s and its subsidiaries project activities due to failure to obtain or renewal regulatory licenses, including, but not limited, to environmental licenses; (v) risk of cost increases in Company operations due not only to market conditions but also to legal and regulatory changes in locations in which the company operates; (vi) risks associated with the lack of consistency and adequacy of the Company s systems and control of operations and projects, including, but not limited to information systems, as well as management failures of the Company s internal controls. Instruments used for protection Operational risk management is a structured approach that Vale uses to manage the uncertainty related to possible inadequacy or flaws in internal processes, as well as the people, systems and external events, according to ISO 31000 principles and guidelines. The main risks are monitored on a regular basis, as are the effectiveness of their key controls for the prevention/mitigation and implementation of treatment strategies. Thus, Vale tried to have a clear vision of their main risks, acting on them in a systematic way by adopting of measures of protection or mitigation, including, for example:	(ii) risks associated with the Company s strategic decisions to meet its purposes and/or arising from the Company s ability to protect itself or adapt to changes in the mining sector, in particular concerning the demand for it products, the company s capital structure and performance in different markets;
renewal regulatory licenses, including, but not limited, to environmental licenses; (v) risk of cost increases in Company operations due not only to market conditions but also to legal and regulatory changes in locations in which the company operates; (vi) risks associated with the lack of consistency and adequacy of the Company s systems and control of operations and projects, including, but not limited to information systems, as well as management failures of the Company s internal controls. ii. Instruments used for protection Operational risk management is a structured approach that Vale uses to manage the uncertainty related to possible inadequacy or flaws in internal processes, as well as the people, systems and external events, according to ISO 31000 principles and guidelines. The main risks are monitored on a regular basis, as are the effectiveness of their key controls for the prevention/mitigation and implementation of treatment strategies. Thus, Vale tried to have a clear vision of their main risks, acting on them in a systematic way by adopting of measures of protection or mitigation, including, for example:	application of penalties, can impact the Company relevantly, from a financial or operational perspective, or harm its
regulatory changes in locations in which the company operates; (vi) risks associated with the lack of consistency and adequacy of the Company's systems and control of operations and projects, including, but not limited to information systems, as well as management failures of the Company's internal controls. ii. Instruments used for protection Operational risk management is a structured approach that Vale uses to manage the uncertainty related to possible inadequacy or flaws in internal processes, as well as the people, systems and external events, according to ISO 31000 principles and guidelines. The main risks are monitored on a regular basis, as are the effectiveness of their key controls for the prevention/mitigation and implementation of treatment strategies. Thus, Vale tried to have a clear vision of their main risks, acting on them in a systematic way by adopting of measures of protection or mitigation, including, for example:	
operations and projects, including, but not limited to information systems, as well as management failures of the Company s internal controls. Instruments used for protection Operational risk management is a structured approach that Vale uses to manage the uncertainty related to possible inadequacy or flaws in internal processes, as well as the people, systems and external events, according to ISO 31000 principles and guidelines. The main risks are monitored on a regular basis, as are the effectiveness of their key controls for the prevention/mitigation and implementation of treatment strategies. Thus, Vale tried to have a clear vision of their main risks, acting on them in a systematic way by adopting of measures of protection or mitigation, including, for example:	
Operational risk management is a structured approach that Vale uses to manage the uncertainty related to possible inadequacy or flaws in internal processes, as well as the people, systems and external events, according to ISO 31000 principles and guidelines. The main risks are monitored on a regular basis, as are the effectiveness of their key controls for the prevention/mitigation and implementation of treatment strategies. Thus, Vale tried to have a clear vision of their main risks, acting on them in a systematic way by adopting of measures of protection or mitigation, including, for example:	operations and projects, including, but not limited to information systems, as well as management failures of the
The main risks are monitored on a regular basis, as are the effectiveness of their key controls for the prevention/mitigation and implementation of treatment strategies. Thus, Vale tried to have a clear vision of their main risks, acting on them in a systematic way by adopting of measures of protection or mitigation, including, for example:	ii. Instruments used for protection
of treatment strategies. Thus, Vale tried to have a clear vision of their main risks, acting on them in a systematic way by adopting of measures of protection or mitigation, including, for example:	
129	of treatment strategies. Thus, Vale tried to have a clear vision of their main risks, acting on them in a systematic way by adopting of measures of
	129

Table of Contents

- (i) definition of indicators and parameters for risk monitoring;
- (ii) development of technological solutions for the optimization of Company processes;
- (iii) investment in training Company employees working in the planning and execution of projects;
- (iv) actions to improve efficiency in project licensing processes to avoid delays and shutdowns, such as (a) by promoting greater integration between environment and project development teams, (b) by developing a best practice guide for Environmental Licensing and the Environment, (c) by assembling teams of highly qualified experts, and (d) by encouraging greater interaction with environmental agencies:
- (v) continuous improvement of the Company s healthcare and safety management systems as well as the constant dissemination of information and prevention campaigns in the Company to improve employee healthcare and safety standards;
- (vi) control and management of environmental liabilities in its units, as well as corrective measures to establish quality levels compatible
 with a specific future use;
- (vii) environmental studies aimed at the demarcation of the extent of environmental degradation and the potential risks to health and the environment;
- (viii) choice of high-level partners and maintenance of fair relationship and long-term partnership with key suppliers, customers, and partners in joint ventures. For information on credit risk relationship of counterparties, see item 5.5 of this Reference Form;
- (ix) Management of an energy portfolio made up of hydropower plants of self-production and long-term supply contracts, based on the current and projected energy needs of its mining operations to mitigate the risk of increased cost and/or lack of energy;
- (x) emphasis on cost reduction, capital discipline, liabilities management, working capital management and divestiture. For information concerning Capital Management and Liquidity Risk, see item 5.5 of this Reference Form;
- (xi) in order to mitigate risks related to extraction, (a) acquisition of (an extensive and high-quality base of assets in the businesses in which it operates, without relying solely and exclusively on certain mines, (b) significant investments in mineral exploration, because, larger sampling reduces the risks in estimating reserves; (c) continuous replenishment of its reserves base through new projects to prevent mine depletion; (c) diversification of its activities in various geographical locations as well as through the extraction of various types of minerals;
- (xii) adoption of internal controls and mechanisms to detect failures of control and to gather information on breach of conduct cases, especially through the Complaints Channel;
- (xiii) systematic monitoring of changes in government policies and regulation in the sector, to react and adapt quickly to these changes, as well as, where applicable, participate in discussions relating to such changes through representative entities of the mining industry in which it participates;
- (xiv) promotion of activities in a responsible manner in all location where it operates, seeking respect for communities and the environment;
- (xv) ongoing monitoring of the Company s contingencies and lawsuits, making every possible defense effort in the lawsuits in which the Company and its subsidiaries are a party;
- (xvi) adoption, in situations of crisis and disasters, of measures that include (a) business continuity plans that contemplate immediate response to protect people, assets and the Company s image, (b) alternative solutions for ensuring business continuity and fast recovery to return to normal production flow and, (c) monitoring systems and prediction of weather conditions;
- (xvii) hiring of insurance. For information about hiring insurance, see item 5.5 of this Reference Form.

Organizational structure of risk management

iii.

The Board of Directors is the body responsible for approving Vale s risk policies. It approved the Corporate Risk Management Policy on December 22, 2005 as well as its subsequent amendment on August 25, 2011. The Finance Committee, in turn, as an advisory body to the Board of Directors, is responsible for issuing opinions on Vale s corporate risk policies.

Table of Contents

The Risk Management Executive Committee, created by the Board of Directors, is the main body of the risk management framework. It is responsible for supporting the Executive Board in conducting risk analyses and issuing opinions on Vale Group s risk management. It is also responsible for monitoring and managing corporate risks, as well as for the oversight and review of the principles and instruments of corporate risk management, in addition to regularly reporting to Vale s Executive Board on the main risks and respective exposures.

The Executive Board is responsible for (a) to assess and approve the long-term risk mitigation strategies recommended by the Risk Management Executive Committee, (b) to approve the Corporate Risk Management Policy s development in standards, rules and responsibilities as well as to inform the Management Board about these procedures.

Standards and procedures of risk management complement Corporate Risk Management Policy and define practices, processes, controls, roles and responsibilities in the Company with regard to the management of risks. Under such rules and procedures and determination of responsibilities by the Company s Executive Board, it the responsibility of the:

- (I) Executive Management of Operational Improvements as the body in charge of operational risk management: (a) to consolidate Vales risk profile, regularly reporting to the senior leadership and to the Risk Management Executive Committee; (b) to provide information on Vale s key risks for internal and external stakeholders, which includes producing an annual report to the Internal Audit department to prepare the Audit Annual Plan:
- (II) *Executive Board of Finances and Investor Relations*, to monitor the activities of its boards, whose responsibilities are described next:
- (a) Global Controllership Board, in charge of monitoring risks in the preparation of financial statements through one of its management groups. Internal Controls Management, subject to the Global Controllership Board, it is the area in charge of addressing risks related to the preparation of financial statements;
- (b) Treasury and Finance Board, in charge of Vale s financial risk management and of defining and proposing, to the Risk Management Executive Committee, operations or market risk mitigation measures consistent with Vale s strategy. To this end, said Board has departments specialized insurance as well as a Credit and Market Risk Management group that is in charge of verifying the effectiveness of financial risk policy adopted, as well as of forwarding proposals to the Risk Executive Committee. Also, to support the activities of the Credit and Market Risk Management group, the Treasury and Finance Board has a back-office department, which (i) monitors contracted financial instruments, (ii) is responsible for the confirmation of the financial characteristics of operations of counterparties with which the operations were carried out, (iii) reports the fair value of positions, and (iv) also assess whether the operations in accordance with the internal approval.

(III) Business Areas and areas of support areas for the entire Company: (a) to identify, analyze, evaluate and address major risks, with regular reviews and at each significant change in risk circumstances: (b) to monitor the evolution of the level of risk, the efficiency status of key controls of risk prevention/mitigation and the progress of the implementation of action plans to reduce risks, and (c) to report information, at least quarterly, to the support areas in charge of specific processes of risk management processes and to the Executive Management of Operational Improvements.

In addition to the aforementioned structures, Vale also has (a) and Internal Audit department that is in charge of assessing the processes independently, verifying their compliance with the rules and policies adopted by the company and possible cases of fraud, misappropriation or damage to assets; and, (b) an Ombudsman s Office, which is responsible for the receipt and processing of complaints about breaches in Code of Ethics and Conduct and also to the principles of good corporate governance and legislation such as the Sarbanes-Oxley Act.

Finally, it should be noted, that it is the responsibility of Vale s Supervisory Board to oversee the internal control assessment process run by the Administration for the preparation of the accounting reports and by the independent auditors (KPMG Auditores Independentes), through regular meetings where the results of the work developed by the Internal Control Management are reported together with their respective remediation plans established by those in charge of the process.

c. Adequacy of operational structure of internal controls for verifying the effectiveness of the adopted policy

In line with the Company s Corporate Risk Management Policy, Vale has an Internal Controls Management that evaluates the effectiveness of the controls environment associated with Company risks. Procedures are established to provide safety in the reliability of the financial statements. The internal control assessment process foresees joint action with the business areas for risk assessment, process mapping, assessment of their compliance with other policies and standards, definition of controls, preparation of monitoring reports of indicators and action plan implementation reports. Additionally, the Internal Audit also participates in the compliance process based on the established norms

Table	of	Contents

5.2	Description	of the	market ris	sk manao	ement policy	v renorted i	n item 4.2
0.2	Description	or the	mai net i i	on manag	cincin pone	y icpuited i	11 1101111 7.2

a. If the Company has a formal risk management policy, indicate body that approved it and the date of approval, otherwise, indicate reasons why the company has not adopted such a policy

The Company s risk management is integrated to ensure that the total level of corporate risk remains aligned with the guidelines defined by the Board of Directors and the Executive Board.

Therefore, the Corporate Risk Management Policy, approved by the Board of Directors on December 22, 2005, and amended on August 25, 2011, establishes guidelines applicable to the management of the entire set of corporate risks to which the Company is exposed, and not specifically to market risks only. Following are some of these guidelines:

- Measuring and monitoring of the Group s corporate risk group in a consolidated manner, taking into consideration the effect of diversification, when applicable, of its business set.
- Assessment of the impact of new investments, acquisitions and divestments in the Group s corporate risk profile.
- Adaptation the Group s corporate risk profile to the needs of its growth plan, strategic planning, and business continuity.
- Financial operations with leveraged derivative structures are forbidden.
- b. Risk management policy s goals and strategies:

The Company s Corporate Risk Management Policy is based on the following principles: (i) to support the growth plan, strategic planning, and business continuity; (ii) to strengthen capital structure and asset management; (iii) to allow the appropriate degree of flexibility in financial management; and (iv) to strengthen Vale s corporate governance practices.

i. Market risks for which protection is sought

The Company manages the market risks main risks to which it is exposed, which are: (i) exchange rates and interest rates, and (ii) prices of products and inputs, described in item 4.2 of this Reference Form. When necessary, the Company may seek protection to adapt its risk profile to the principles that underlie its Corporate Risk Management Policy.

ii. Asset protection strategy (hedge)

An assessment of the potential impact of exposure to the market risk factors aforementioned is conducted regularly to support the decision-making processes regarding the appropriate protection strategy. When necessary to match the Group's risk profile and reduce the volatility of its future cash flows, market risk mitigation strategies are assessed and deployed in line with such goals. Some of these strategies use financial instruments, including derivatives. Portfolios comprised of financial instruments are monthly monitored in a consolidated manner, allowing the follow-up of financial results and their impact on cash flow.

The currency exposure of the Company s cash flow is assessed in conjunction with other exposures to market risks and product and input prices and interest rates and mitigated when required to support the Company s growth plan, strategic planning, and business continuity. Various forms of mitigation can be used: financial transactions through the use of derivatives in order to hedge, committed lines of credit to ensuring liquidity, or possible strategic decisions to reduce reducing the risk of cash flow.

In line with their risk management policy, the Company may use strategies to mitigate the risk of product and input prices, including conducting transactions at term, futures contracts and zero cost-collars (hedge involving the simultaneous purchasing and sale of options in order to keep the total premium of the transaction at zero). For more information view item (iii) below.

iii. Instruments used for asset protection (hedge)

The financial instruments used for asset protection include predominantly term operations, swaps, futures, and zero cost-collars (hedge involving the simultaneous purchasing and sale of options in order to keep the total premium of the transaction at zero).

Protection programs and equity programs employed by Vale, and their objectives include:

• <u>Protection program of loans and financing in reais, indexed to CDI</u>: In order to reduce the volatility of the cash flow, swap transactions have been made in order to convert to U.S. dollars the cash flow of debt, indexed to the CDI, in loans and financing contracts. In these operations, Vale pays fixed rates in U.S. dollars and receives remuneration in reais linked to the CDI.

- Protection program of loans and financing in reais, indexed to TJLP: In order to reduce the volatility of the cash flow, swap transactions have been made in order to convert to U.S. dollars the cash flow of debt indexed to the TJLP, in loans and financing contracts with BNDES. In these operations, Vale pays fixed and/or floating rates (Libor) in U.S. dollars and receives remuneration in reais linked to the TJLP.
- Protection program of loans and financing in reais with fixed rates: In order to reduce the volatility of the cash flow, swap transactions have been made in order to convert to US dollars the cash flow of debt denominated in reais at fixed rate in loans contracts with BNDES. In these operations, Vale pays fixed rates in U.S. dollars and receives fixed rates in reais.
- Protection program of loans and financing in reais, indexed to the IPCA. In order to reduce the volatility of the cash flow, swap transactions have been made in order to convert to U.S. dollars the cash flow of debt indexed to the IPCA, in debenture agreements. In these operations, Vale pays fixed rates in U.S. dollars and receives remuneration in reais linked to the IPCA.
- <u>Protection Program for loans and financing in Euros</u>: In order to reduce the volatility of the cash flow, swap transactions were made to convert to U.S. dollars the cash flow of debts in euros... In these operations, Vale receives fixed rates in Euros and pays compensation linked to fixed floating rates in US dollars.
- Exchange hedge program for disbursements in Canadian dollars: In order to reduce the volatility of cash flow, forward operations were made to mitigate the exposure arising out of the unmatched currencies in US dollars revenue and Canadian dollars disbursements.
- <u>Protection program for nickel products operations:</u> The objective of this program is to reduce the risk of decoupling between the price of the nickel products purchase (concentrated, cathode, sinter, and other types) and the sale period of the final product The products purchased are raw material used in the process of production of refined nickel. In this case, operations usually made are the selling of nickel for future liquidation either in the Stock Market (LME) or over-the-counter.
- Sales program for nickel at a fixed price: aiming to maintain revenue exposure to fluctuations in the price of nickel, it has been carried out derivative transactions to convert to a floating-price basis commercial nickel contracts with those clients seeking to fix the price. The operations are intended to ensure that prices for these sales are equivalent to the average price of the London Metal Exchange (LME) upon physical delivery to the customer. Typically, operations made within this program are purchases of nickel for future liquidation, either in the Stock Market (LME) or over-the-counter. These operations are reverted before the original maturity date in order to match

with the dates of liquidation of the commercial contracts that had a fixed price.

• Prote	ection program for selling of copper products: Hedge operations were made in order to reduce the risk of
mismatching b	etween the price period of the purchase of copper products (scrap and others). Copper scrap bought is
combined with	other inputs in order to manufacture copper for final customers. In this case, operations usually made
are copper sale	es for future liquidation either in the Stock Market (LME) or over-the-counter.

•	Hedge Program for purchase of fuel oil - Bunker Oil: In order to reduce the impact of fluctuations in the
price of	fuel oil (Bunker Oil) when procuring freight, and hence reduce the volatility of Company s cash flow, hedge
operatio	ons were carried out. The operations are usually made by the contracting of future purchases and zero
cost-col	lars

Hedge Accounting

According to the CPC 38 Financial instruments: acknowledgement and measurement , all derivatives, assigned in hedge relations or not, are recorded in the balance sheet at fair value and gains and losses in fair value are recorded in the current result, unless when qualified as hedge accounting. A derivative should be assigned in hedge to be qualified as hedge accounting. This rule includes determining which portions of hedge are deemed to be effective or non-effective. In general, a hedge relation is effective when a change in fair value is compensated by an equal and contrary change in the fair value of the hedged item. According to this rule, effectiveness tests are run to evaluate the effectiveness and quantify the non-effectiveness of the hedges.

A cash flow hedge is a protection against the exposure to volatility in the expected future cash flow, attributable to a specific risk, as a future purchase or sale. If a derivative is designated as cash flow hedge, the effective portion in the changes of derivative fair value is recorded in other comprehensive income, and recognized in the result when the hedged item affects the period result. The non-effective portion of the changes in derivative fair value designated as hedge is recorded in result. If a portion of the derivative contract is excluded for effectiveness test purposes (for instance the value in time), the value of such excluded portion is included in the result.

iv. Parameters used for managing those risks

The parameters used to check the qualification or disqualification of the Company s exposure are:

Table of Contents

(i) verification of execution of the programs mentioned in 5.2(iii) above;
(ii) analysis and constant monitoring of the contracted volumes, and
(iii) adjustment to the adequacy of maturity dates, taking into account their corresponding protection strategies, guaranteeing the framing of Vale s exposures. The failure to match exposure and protection strategies may occur if:
a. the protection volume S.A.mounts are higher than the respective exposure volume S.A.mounts;
b. the exposure that is protected ends; or
c. the maturity dates of protection strategies and the respective exposures no longer match.
To avoid potential non-matching due to item (iii.a) above, the adopted procedure is a periodic follow up of volume S.A.mounts to be realized used as basis to propose strategy proposals. In the case of protection of input prices, for instance, if consumption updated estimates point to a decrease in volumes compared to initial estimates used to propose protection strategies, protection strategy volumes will be adjusted accordingly.
To avoid potential non-matching due to item (iii.b) above, if during periodic follow up the initial exposure fails to be realized, the protection strategy ends immediately (unwind position).
To avoid potential non-matching due to item (iii.c) above, the company constantly checks the alignment between protection strategies and the initially estimate exposure maturity.
V. If the Company uses various financial instruments with various objectives for asset protection (hedge and what these goals are
When needed, the company may allocate specific risk limits to management activities requiring those, including, without limitation, limits on market risk, corporate and sovereign risks, according to acceptable limits to corporate risk.

Warrants received from the sale of part of Vale s future gold production (by-product): These warrants are an

American purchase option and they were received as part of the payment for the sale of payable gold flows produced

as a byproduct of the Salobo copper mine and of certain Sudbury nickel mines.

- Debentures purchase options: The Company has debentures agreements in which lenders have purchase options for a certain amount of common shares issued by the Ferrovia Norte Sul S.A., later amended to shares issued by VLI. The price for the execution of these options corresponds to the outstanding debenture balance in the respective fiscal year dates.
- Options associated with shares from Minerações Brasileiras Reunidas S.A. (MBR): The Company entered into a contract that has options associated with MBR shares. If certain contingent contractual terms that are out of the control of the buyer are observed, such as the illegality arising from changes in legislation, there is a clause in the contract that gives the buyer the right to sell its stake to the Company. In this case, the Company could choose to liquidate through cash or shares. On the other hand, the Company has the right to repurchase this minority stake in the subsidiary.
- Position in embedded derivatives: Vale s cash flow is also vulnerable to market risks associated with contracts that contain embedded derivatives or that work as derivatives. From Vale s perspectives, these include, but are not limited to, commercial contracts, purchase agreements, lease agreements, bonds, insurance policies and loans. The embedded derivatives observed on December 31, 2015 were the following: 1) Purchase agreements for raw materials and nickel concentrate that contain price provisions based on the future price of copper and nickel. These provisions are considered embedded derivatives. 2) Gas purchase for the pelleting company in Oman. The *Companhia de Pelotização Vale Omã* (LLC), a Vale subsidiary, has a natural gas purchase agreement with a clause establishing an award that may be paid if the sale price for Vale s pellet is greater than a specific price pre-determined in the gas supply contract. This clause is considered an embedded derivative, whose fair value and respective value at risk are not material on December 31, 2015.

VI. Organizational structure for risk management control

Market risk management is conducted by the same organizational structure described in item 5.1 (b) (iii) above.

c. Adequacy of the operational structure and internal controls to verify the effectiveness of the policy adopted

The monitoring and periodic assessment of Vale s consolidated position of financial instruments used to mitigate market risks allow it to keep pace with the financial results and the impact on cash flow and ensure that the goals originally outlined are met. The fair value calculation of the positions is made available monthly for management monitoring.

To this effect, the back-office, part of the General Board of Financial, monitors these financial instruments, and it is responsible for confirming the financial characteristics of transactions as well as the counter-parties with which the operations were performed, report the fair value of the positions. This area also assesses whether the operations were performed according to internal approval given. As well as this area, the area of internal controls, acts to verify the integrity of the controls that mitigate risks in the contracted transactions within the above mentioned governance criteria. Additionally, internal audit also participates in the compliance process with regulations.

7D 1	1			_			
Tal	٦I	е	Λt	('	Λn	tei	1tc

5.3.	 In regards controls ado 	nted by	the issuer to ensure	the pre	paration of	reliable	financial st	tatements.	indicate:

a. The main practices of internal controls and the degree of efficiency of such controls, indicating possible flaws and steps taken to correct them.

Vale administration 1 assessed the effectiveness of the Company s internal control relative to the financial statements through processes designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial statements and financial reports, in compliance with the criteria established in Internal Control Integrated framework 2013 issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO).

The internal control assessment process provides the mapping of the processes, risk assessment, as well as the allocation of applicable controls aimed at mitigating the risks that can affect the ability of the Company to initiate, authorize, register, process and disseminate relevant information in the financial statements.

Additionally, Internal Controls Management interacts with the Internal Audit department and the Ombudsman s Office to capture any events that could impact the financial statements.

At the end of the fiscal year, based on tests performed by the Administration during the entire period, no relevant flaws were identified in the implementation of controls. Still, during the year, any identified flaws in the implementation of controls, are corrected through the application of action plans to ensure its correct implementation at the end of the financial year.

b. Organizational structures involved

Vale S.A. has an organizational structure of internal controls to ensure the preparation of reliable financial statements consisting of an Internal Controls Management that is subject to the Global Controller Board, which, in turn, is subordinate to the Finance and Investor Relations Executive Board, of with its monitoring of the Audit Committee. The Internal Audit Department and the Ombudsman Office are also part of the process, and they are both subordinate to the Board of Directors. The roles and responsibilities of each are described in item 5.1 (b) (iii).

c. Whether and how the efficiency of internal controls is supervised by the issuer s administration, indicating the position of the people responsible for provided for monitoring

As part of the annual certification process of the internal control environment, the Administration promotes the revision of all controls with the effective participation of all Departments involved in the processes, aimed at the pursuit of effective the controls.

At the end of the cycle, the executives in charge of the processes of all business areas and support areas at the Company, mapped on the controls and adequacy test to the Sarbanes-Oxley, signed sub-certificate that support the environmental assessment of internal controls as well as the publication of the financial statements.

Additionally, it should be noted, that it is the responsibility of Vale s Supervisory Board to oversee the internal control assessment process run by the Administration for the preparation of the accounting reports and by the independent auditors (KPMG Auditores Independentes), through regular meetings where the results of the work developed by the Internal Control Management are reported together with their respective remediation plans established by those in charge of the process.

d. Weaknesses and recommendations on internal controls present in the detailed report are prepared and sent to the company by the independent auditor.

The independent auditors have not reported any significant weaknesses in internal controls, accounting, fiscal procedures and of information technology report for the year ended on December 31, 2015 in letter issued on April 22, 2016.

e. Comments from the officers on weaknesses pointed out in the detailed report prepared by the independent auditor and the corrective measures adopted.

The Company officers made an assessment based on relevance, probability, and potential magnitude of distortions reported by the independent auditor. They concluded that the action plans defined for these weaknesses are appropriate for the implementation of the recommendations made by the Auditors, noting that, as described in item (d) above, the independent auditors did not report any significant weaknesses.

Table of Contents

5.4 - Significant changes in the main risks to which the Company is exposed or in the risk management policy adopted, pointing out possible expectations of reduction or increase in the Company s exposure to such risks

Relative to the last fiscal year, it was included in the list of main risks to which the Company is exposed risks resulting from the rupture of Samarco s tailings dam of Samarco and the market risks related to political and economic instability in Brazil, as described in items 4.1 and 4.2 of this Reference Form. There have been no significant changes in the risk management policy adopted.

Additionally, the Company has not identified significant increases or reductions of the risks already mentioned in items 4.1 and 4.2 of this Reference Form.

5.5 Other relevant information

In line with the integrated view of risks exposure, Vale considers in risk management, additionally to market risks management, liquidity risk, the risk from the obligations assumed by third parties to the Company (credit risk), those inherent to inappropriate and deficient internal processes, personnel, systems or external events (operational risk), and others.

Credit Risk of Counterparties

Vale s credit risk of counterparties arises from potential negative impacts in its cash flow due to uncertainty in the ability of having counterparts meet their contractual obligations, forward sales, transaction with derivatives, warrants, advancement payments to suppliers, and cash investments. To manage that risk, Vale has procedures and processes, such as approval and credit limits control, obligatory exposure diversification through several counterparts and monitoring the portfolio s credit risk, which provide a structure to evaluate and manage the credit risk of counterparties and maintain the risk above the acceptable levels.

Vale s counterparts may be divided into three categories: clients, responsible for obligations represented by receivables related to sales in installments; financial institutions with whom Vale maintains its cash investments or acquires transactions with derivatives; and suppliers of equipment, products and services, in case of anticipated payments.

Regarding credit risk, the Company adopts the following management standards:

Credit Risk Assessment for commercial operations (sales to customers)

For the commercial credit risk, which arises from sales of products and services to final customers, the management of Market Risk Management and Credit of the Treasury and Finance Board, according to current powers, approves or requests the approval of credit risk limits for each counterpart.

Vale attributes an internal credit risk classification and a credit limit for each client based on a credit risk assessment quantitative method. This methodology is based on market prices, external credit classifications and financial information of the counterparty, as well as qualitative information regarding the strategic position and history of the counterparties commercial relationship.

Depending on the counterpart s credit risk or the consolidated credit risk profile of Vale, risk mitigation strategies are used to minimize the Company credit risk in order to achieve the acceptable risk profile approved by the Executive Board. The main credit risk mitigation strategies include discount from non-collateralized receivables, insurance contracts, collateral, credit letter and corporate and bank collaterals.

Vale has a well-diversified accounts receivable portfolio from a geographical standpoint, China, Europe, Brazil and Japan being the regions with more significant exposures. According to each region, different guarantees can be used to enhance the credit quality of the receivables.

The Company controls its account receivables portfolio through Credit Management and Cash Collection committees, in which representatives from risk management, cash collection and commercial departments periodically monitor each counterpart position. Additionally, Vale maintains credit risk systemic controls that block additional sales to counterparts with past due receivables or exposures that exceed approved limits.

The Credit and Collection Management Committees are internal committees of the Company, that were not established by the Board of Directors or the Company By-Laws, and which purpose is not the deliberation or consultation of Vale s managing bodies.

Credit Risk Assessment for treasury operations (cash flow investments and derivative operations)

The control of the exposure from cash investments and derivatives instruments is done through the following procedures: annual approval by the Executive Board on credit limits by counterpart, control of portfolio diversification, counterparts—spread variations and overall credit risk of treasury portfolio. There is also a monitoring of all positions, control of exposure versus limits, and periodical reporting to the Executive Board for Risk Management.

The calculation of exposure to a specific counterpart that has derivative transactions with Vale, we consider the sum of exposures of each derivative acquired with this counterpart. The exposure for each derivative is defined as the future value calculated by the due date, considering a variation of market risk factors affecting the value of the derivative instrument.

Table of Contents

Vale also uses a risk assessment classification to evaluate the counterparts in treasury operations, following a method similar to that used for commercial credit risk management, for purposes of calculating the possibility of counterpart default.

According to the type of counterpart, different variables are used: i) the expected default frequency; ii) credit spreads found in CDS (*Credit Default Swaps*) or in the Bond Market; iii) credit ratings attributed by the main international rating agencies; and iii) client s financial statements to make an economic-financial analysis based on financial indicators.

Liquidity Risk

The liquidity risk arises from the possibility that Vale might not perform its obligations on due dates, as well as face difficulties to meet its cash flow requirements due to market liquidity constraints.

To mitigate such risk, Vale has a revolving credit facility to help manage short term liquidity and to enable more efficiency in cash management, being consistent with the strategic focus on cost of capital reduction. The revolving credit lines available were acquired from a syndicate of several global commercial banks

Capital Management

The Company s capital management policy aims to find a structure that ensures the continuity of its businesses in the long term. In this view, the Company has been able to generate value to its shareholders, through the payment of dividends and capital gains, while it maintains an appropriate debt profile applicable to its activities, with average amortization term of 9.1 years, thus avoiding concentration in one specific period.

Insurance

Vale acquires several types of insurance policies, including: operational risk insurance, engineering (project) insurance, credit risk insurance, liability, life insurance for employees, etc. The coverage of these policies, similar to those used in general in the mining industry, are acquired according to Company s defined goals, the corporate risk management practices and limitations imposed by the global insurance and reinsurance markets.

Insurance management is done with the support of insurance management committees existing in different operational areas of the Company. Management instruments used by Vale include captive reinsurers that allow for the retention of part of the risk, acquiring insurances on competitive basis, as well as direct access to the main insurance and reinsurance international markets and diversification of counterparts.

6.1/6.2/6.4 Establishment of the Company. Company Lifetime and Date of Filing with CVM

Date of Establishment of Issuer 01.11.1943

Legal Form of the IssuerMixed economy Company

Country of Establishment Brazi

Company Lifetime Undetermined

Date of Filing with CVM 01.02.1970

6.3 Brief History

Vale was initially founded by the Brazilian Federal Government (Government of Brazil) on June 1, 1942, through Decree-Law No. 4352, and definitively on January 11, 1943, by the Assembly for the Definitive Constitution of the Companhia Vale do Rio Doce S.A., in the form of mixed economy company, aiming to mine, trade, transport and export iron ore from the Itabira mines, and run the Vitória-Minas Railroad (EFVM), which carried iron ore and agricultural products from Vale do Rio Doce, in south-eastern Brazil, to the port of Victoria, located in Espírito Santo.

The privatization process was initiated by the Company in 1997. Under Privatization Decree PND-A-01/97/VALE and the Resolution of the National Privatization Council - CND paragraph 2, of March 5, 1997, the Extraordinary General Assembly approved on April 18, 1997 the issue of 388,559,056 participatory non-convertible debentures, with a view to guaranteeing its pre-privatization shareholders, including the Federal Government itself, the right to participation in revenues from Vale s and its subsidiaries mineral deposits, which were not valued for purposes of fixing the minimum price in the auction for the privatization of Vale. The Participatory Debentures were allocated to the shareholders of Vale in payment of the redemption value of preferred class B shares issued as bonus, in the proportion of one share owned by holders of class A common and preferred shares at the time, through the part capitalization of Vale s revenue reserves. The Participatory Debentures could only be traded with prior

Table of Contents

authorization of the Securities Commission (CVM), as of three months from the end of Secondary Public Offering of Shares under the privatization process.

On May 6, 1997 the privatization auction was held, when the Brazilian government sold 104,318,070 Vale common shares, equivalent to 41.73% of the voting capital for Valepar SA (Valepar), for approximately R\$ 3.3 billion.

Later, under the terms of the Bid, the Brazilian government sold another 11,120,919 shares representing approximately 4.5% of the outstanding common shares and 8,744,308 class A preferred shares, representing 6.3% of class A shares in circulation, through a limited offer to the employees of Vale.

On March 20, 2002 a Secondary Public Offering of Shares issued by Vale was held, in which the Brazilian Government and the National Bank for Economic and Social Development (BNDES) each sold 34,255,582 Vale common shares. The demand by investors in Brazil and abroad was substantial, exceeding supply by about three times, which led to the sale of the entire batch of 68,511,164 shares. A portion of about 50.2% was posted in the Brazilian market and the remainder was sold to foreign investors. Later, on October 4, 2002, the proper certification of the Participatory Debentures was obtained from CVM allowing their trading on the secondary market.

Table of Contents
Tuble Of Contents
The following describes the most significant historical events in the history of the Company since its incorporation:
1942
• President Getulio Vargas, by Decree-Law n° 4352 of June 1, 1942, sets out the basis on which Companhia Vale do Rio Doce SA would be organized. By Decree-Law, the Brazilian Company for Mining and Metallurgy and Mining Company Itabira would be expropriated.
1943
• Vale is constituted on January 11, 1943, as mixed economy Company, pursuant to Decree-Law n° 4.352/42.
• Listing of Vale shares on the Rio de Janeiro Stock Market (BVRJ) in October 1943.
1944

• First business with Vale shares on the BVRJ occurred in March 1944.
1952
• The Brazilian Government takes definitive control of Vale s operational system.
1953
• First shipment of iron ore to Japan.
1954
• It revises its business practices abroad, and proceeds to directly contact steel mills, without the intermediation of traders.
10/2
 Signed long-term contracts with Japanese and German steel mills.
• Signed long-term contracts with Japanese and German steer mins.
1964
• Opening of Vale s first office outside of Brazil in Dusseldorf, Germany.
1966
• Opening of the Port of Tubarão, in Vitória, in Espírito Santo. This is connected to the iron ore mines by the Vitoria to Minas Railroad.
1967
• Geologists of the Southern Mining Co., a subsidiary of United States Steel Corp. (U.S. Steel), record the occurrence of iron ore in Carajás, Pará State.
141

• Vale shares become part of the IBOVESPA index.

1969

• Inauguration of Vale s first Pellet Plant in Tubarão, in Espírito Santo, with capacity for 2 million tons/year.

1970

• Agreement makes Vale the majority shareholder of the Carajas venture in Para State, along with U.S. Steel.

1972

• Vale signs agreement with Alcan Aluminum Ltd. of Canada for a project to mine bauxite in Rio Trombetas, where Mineração Rio do Norte (<u>MR</u>N) was set up.

1974

• Vale becomes the largest exporter of iron ore in the world, with 16% of seaborne iron ore market.

1975

• For the first time, Vale issues bonds in the international market, worth 70 million marks, with the intermediation of Dresdner Bank.

1976

• Decree No. 77.608/76 grants Vale the concession to construct, use and operate the railroad between Carajás and São Luís, in Pará and Maranhão States, respectively.

1977

• Vale announces priority for the Carajas Project, in order, from 1982, to start the export of iron ore through the Port of Itaqui (MA).

• Beginning of the effective implementation of the Carajás Iron Ore Project, adopted as the main goal of Vale s business strategy.

1980

• Federal Government approves the Carajas Iron Project and gives financial backing.

1982

• With the start of Valesul Aluminio SA operations in Rio de Janeiro, Vale joins the aluminum sector and helps to reduce imports of the metal into Brazil.

1984

• Inauguration of Vale office in Japan.

1985

- On February 28, the Carajás railroad (EFC) is inaugurated and handed over to Vale.
- Inauguration of the Carajás Iron Ore Project, which increases the productive capacity of the company, now organized in two separate logistic systems (North and South).

1986

• Start of operation of the Port Terminal of Ponta da Madeira, in São Luís in the State of Maranhao.

1987

• The EFC begins operating on a commercial scale.

1989

• Implementation of the Profit Sharing Program (PR) for Vale employees.

1994

ullet In March, Vale launches its program for American Depositary Receipts (ADR) Level 1, negotiable on the OTC market of the United States.

1995

• Vale is included in the National Privatization Program by Decree No. 1510 of June 1, signed by the President.

1996

• On October 10, the National Privatization Council (CND) approves the model for privatization of Vale.

1997

- BNDES releases on March 6, the terms of the bidding for the privatization of VALE.
- On April 18, Vale issues 388,559,056 Participatory Debentures that can only be traded with prior authorization of the CVM, as of three months from the end of Secondary Public Offering of Shares under the terms of the privatization process.
- On May 6, Vale is privatized in an auction held at the Stock Exchange of Rio de Janeiro. Valecom consortium, put together by the Votorantim Group, and the Brazil Consortium, led by Companhia Siderurgica Nacional (CSN) took part in the auction. The Brazil Consortium buys 41.73% of common shares of VALE for US\$ 3,338 million at present-day values.

1998

• In the first year after privatization, Vale reaches 46% growth in profit over 1997.

1999

• It has the largest profit in its history so far: US\$ 1.251 billion.

- On February 2, Vale opened the Container Terminal of the Port of Sepetiba.
- In May, Vale acquires Mineração Socoimex S.A. and S.A. Mineração da Trindade (Samitri), companies producing iron ore, initiating the consolidation of the market for Brazilian iron ore.
- On June 20, Vale announced the listing of its ADRs, representing preferred shares of the Company on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) in a DR Level II program approved by the CVM.

• On August 31, the Extraordinary General Meeting approves the merger of a wholly owned subsidiary Mineração Socoimex S.A, without issuing new shares, aiming to add to the assets of the Company the Gongo Soco mine, with reserves of high-grade hematite in the iron quadrangle in Minas Gerais.

2001

- In February, the Board of Directors of Vale authorizes the start of the process of divesting its holdings in the sector of pulp and paper.
- •On February 19, the shares of S.A. Mineração da Trindade (Samitri) are incorporated by Vale, with no increase of capital and without issuing new shares, by using shares held in treasury, as authorized by the CVM.
- In March, shareholdings involving Vale and CSN are unwound.
- In April, Vale acquires 100% shareholding in Ferteco Mining SA, the third largest producer of iron ore in Brazil at the time.
- On October 1, the General Assembly of Shareholders approves the incorporation of wholly owned subsidiary S.A. Mineração da Trindade (Samitri), in line with guidelines for administrative and financial streamlining.

2002

- In March, the pellet plant in Sao Luis, in Maranhão state, is officially opened.
- On March 21, the comprehensive sale offer of 68,511,164 Vale common shares owned by the Brazilian Government and BNDES is concluded, of which approximately 50.2% was placed in the Brazilian market and the remainder sold to outside investors. The selling price in Brazil was R\$ 57.28 per share and abroad US\$ 24.50 per ADR.
- Vale common shares start to be traded on the NYSE in the form of ADRs, in program level III.
- The Company s common shares also start to be traded on the Madrid Stock Exchange Latibex.
- The foundation stone of the Sossego Copper Project, State of Pará, is laid.
- On October 4, VALE obtains from the CVM the registration of Publicly Traded Participatory Debentures.
- On December 16, the General Assembly of Shareholders approves Vale s Dividend Policy in order to increase both transparency and financial flexibility, taking into account the expected path of the Company s cash flow.
- On December 27, the Extraordinary General Meeting approves the Amendment to the Bylaws in order to (i) expand the Company s activities in energy and logistics, (ii) adjust the Statutes to the new rules introduced by Law No. 10303 of October 31, 2001 and (iii) introduce the principles of best corporate governance practices.

- On February 14, Vale completes the acquisition of 100% stake in Elkem Rana AS (Rana), a Norwegian producer of ferroalloys, for the price of US\$ 17.6 million.
- On March 31, Vale acquires 50% stake in Caemi Mineracao e Metalurgia S.A. (Caemi) for US\$ 426.4 million.
- On August 29, Vale incorporates the wholly owned subsidiaries Celmar S.A. Indústria de Celulose e Papel S.A. and Ferteco Mineração S.A.

- On November 7, Vale completes the restructuring of shareholdings in logistics companies, which was aimed at the elimination of the relationship between Vale and CSN in the shareholding structure of the Ferrovia Centro-Atlantica SA (FCA), Companhia Ferroviária do Nordeste (CFN) and CSN Aceros S.A. (CSN Aceros).
- On December 12, Vale adheres to Level 1 of the Program for Differentiated Corporate Governance Practices established by the BM&F Bovespa Exchange.
- Continuing the process of simplifying its operating structure, on December 30, Vale incorporates the following wholly owned subsidiaries: Rio Doce Geologia e Mineração S.A. Docegeo (Docegeo), Mineração Serra do Sossego S.A. (MSS), Vale do Rio Doce Alumínio S.A. Aluvale (Aluvale) and Mineração Vera Cruz S.A. (MVC).

2004

- On July 02, the Sossego mine, the first copper mine in Brazil, opens in the State of Pará. This project was completed in record time.
- In November, Vale wins an international bidding for coal mining in the Moatize region of northern Mozambique.
- In December, Vale signs a memorandum of understanding with ThyssenKrupp Stahl AG (ThyssenKrupp) for the construction of an integrated steel slab plant with a capacity of 5 million tons in the State of Rio de Janeiro.

- Vale is the first Brazilian company to achieve a risk score greater than the host country and the only one to have this recognition for three different rating agencies: reaching, thus, Investment Grade, given by Moody s, and confirmed by Standard & Poor s and Dominion Bond.
- In July, Vale Belvedere Pty Ltd. signs an agreement with two Australian mining companies to carry out studies to exploit the Belvedere Underground Coal Project, located in the State of Queensland, Australia.
- On September 22, it launches *Vale Investir*, a program that allows investors to automatically reinvest Brazilian funds from shareholders payments dividends and/or interest on capital to buy shares of the Company.
- In November, Vale agrees to acquire a minority stake in Ceara Steel, a steel slab project aimed at exporting from the State of Ceará, with a nominal capacity of 1.5 million tons of slabs per year.
- The Company consolidates its entry into the copper concentrate industry, with the first full year of operation of the Sossego Mine and sales to 13 customers in 11 different countries.
- In the last quarter of 2005, Vale acquires 99.2% of Canico Resources Corp. (Canico), which owns the lateritic nickel project Onça Puma, located in Para State, for approximately US\$ 800 million.

2006

- In January, Vale acquires mineral resources, land and mining equipment from the Rio Verde Mineração (Rio Verde) for US\$ 47 million.
- In February, the acquisition of all shares of Canico is completed, these being removed from trading on the Toronto Stock Exchange.
- In March, it inaugurated the expansion of production capacity is inaugurated of alumina refinery Alunorte Alumina do Norte do Brazil S.A. (Alunorte), located in Barcarena in the State of Pará.
- On May 3, Vale completes incorporation of shares of Caemi, now holding 100% of the shares.
- On July 3, Vale buys 45.5% stake in Valesul Aluminio S.A. and now owns 100% of the shares.
- On August 11, the Company announces that it intends to offer to acquire all common shares of Inco Limited (Toronto Stock Exchange TSX and NYSE under the symbol N) (Inco). The offer is consistent with long-term corporate strategy and strategy for the non-ferrous metals business of Vale.
- In the third quarter, Vale divides the administration of former Southern System for production and distribution of iron ore into two departments: the South-eastern System and the Southern System, and began to report production separately for each system.
- In September, Minerações BR Holdings GmbH buys 25% stake in a joint venture, Zhuhai YPM, to build a new pellet plant in Zhuhai, in the region of Guandong, China.
- On October 5, Vale opens the Brucutu Project, the largest mine/plant complex in the world for initial production capacity of iron ore, located in São Gonçalo do Rio Abaixo in Minas Gerais.
- On October 26, Vale concludes the financial settlement of a major part of the acquisition of Canadian miner Inco Ltd., the second largest nickel producer in the world, effecting payment of US\$ 13.3 billion for the purchase of 174,623,019 shares issued by Inco. On November 6, Vale joins the control group of Usinas Siderúrgicas de Minas Gerais S.A. Usiminas.

- In January, Vale completed the expansion of iron ore production capacity in Carajás, which now reaches 100 million tons per year.
- On January 30, the acquisition of Inco (now Vale Canada Limited) is ratified at Vale Extraordinary General Meeting. The nickel business is now managed from Toronto as well as activities related to marketing and sales of metals. With the completion of its acquisition of Inco, Vale becomes the second largest mining and metals company in the world by market value.
- On February 16, Vale announces secondary public offering of shares of Log-In Logistica Intermodal SA (Log In).

- On February 26, Vale signs a sale and purchase agreement to acquire the Australian AMCI Holdings Australia Pty Ltd. (AMCI), which operates and controls coal assets through holdings in joint ventures.
- In March, Vale acquires an 18% stake in Ferro-Gusa Carajás S.A. (FGC), which belonged to Nucor do Brasil S.A for 20 million dollars, and now holds a 100% stake in FGC.
- In May, Vale signs a usufruct contract, and now controls the entire capital of the MBR, for the following 30 years.
- On May 2, Vale signs a freight contract for 25 years with Bergesen Worldwide (B.W. Bulk), which provides for the construction of the four largest bulk carriers in the world, each with a capacity of 388 thousand tons.
- On June 28, the Government of Mozambique approved the mining contract for the operation, by Vale, of the Moatize coal project in the province of Tete in the northwest of the country.
- On August 30, shareholders meeting at an Extraordinary General Meeting, ratify the acquisition of control of AMCI by the Company.
- On November 29, Vale begins to use the brand Vale in all countries where it operates and at the same time takes on a new global identity.
- On December 21, Vale signs an agreement for commercial exploitation for 30 years of 720 km of the Norte-Sul Railroad (FNS).

- In the first half of 2008, Samarco, a (50% -50%) joint venture with BHP Billiton in the Brazilian State of Espírito Santo, becomes operational with a pellet production capacity increase.
- Vale leases three pellet plants in the Tubarão complex, in Vitória, State of Espírito Santo, owned by the JV s in which it participates (Itabrasco, Kobrasco and Nibrasco).
- On May 5, Vale signs a sale and purchase agreement to acquire the mining and surface rights in the municipalities of Rio Acima and Caeté, State of Minas Gerais.
- In July, Vale makes a global offering of 256,926,766 ordinary shares and 189,063,218 preferred shares, including ADSs, in order to promote investment and strategic acquisitions as well as maximizing the financial flexibility of the Company. The aggregate value of Vale s global offer, after underwriting discounts and commissions, including the values of the exercise of further stock options, was US\$ 12.2 billion. In August, exercising the option of complementary lot, Vale issues 24,660,419 class A preferred shares.
- In connection with the offer above, Vale lists and trades its common and preferred ADSs on Euronext Paris.
- On August 3, Vale orders the building of 12 large ships for carrying iron ore, buys used vessels and signs long term freight contracts. The total investment was US\$ 1.6 billion for the construction of new ships and US\$ 74 million for

the purchase of used ships.

- On August 14, Vale announces its intention to invest in building a new steel plant in Marabá in Para State, with an annual production capacity of 2.5 million metric tons of semi-finished steel.
- On October 31, Vale announces a reduction in its rate of production of iron ore, pellets, nickel, manganese, ferro-alloys, aluminum and kaolin, in the face of the impact of global economic crisis on the demand for minerals and metals.
- On December 16, Vale signs with African Rainbow Minerals Limited (ARM) and its subsidiary TEAL Exploration & Mining Incorporated (TEAL) a contract providing for the acquisition of 50% of the capital of a joint venture to hold TEAL subsidiaries for CAD \$ 81 million, therefore increasing the strategic options for Vale to grow in the copper business in Africa.
- On December 23, Vale signs a sale and purchase agreement to acquire 100% of the coal exporting assets of Cementos Argos SA (Argos) in Colombia for US\$ 306 million.

- On January 30, Vale signs with Rio Tinto plc (Rio Tinto) a sale and purchase agreement for the acquisition, through cash payment, of iron ore and potash assets, located in Brazil, Argentina and Canada.
- On March 24, Vale completes the previously announced transaction, and creates a 50%-50% joint venture with ARM for future development and operation of the assets of TEAL, expanding in December 2008 the strategic options for growth in the copper business in Africa.
- On March 27, Vale initiates the construction of the Moatize project, in Tete province, Mozambique.
- On April 1, the Company concluded the acquisition of the assets of export thermal coal with Argos in Colombia.
- On April 16, Vale completes the sale of all of its 14,869,368 common shares issued by Usiminas and linked to the steel mill s existing shareholders agreement.
- On May 21, the Board of Directors of Vale approve the revised 2009 investment budget for US\$ 9.035 billion as compared with the US\$ 14.235 billion announced on October 16, 2008.
- On May 22, the Extraordinary General Meeting of Vale approves the proposal to change its name from Companhia Vale do Rio Doce SA to Vale SA.
- On June 23, Vale launches a project to produce biodiesel to fuel its operations and projects in northern Brazil, to begin in 2014, using palm oil (dende oil) as feedstock, which will be produced by a consortium between Vale and Biopalma Amazonia SA (Biopalma).
- On July 13, the Company announces that its unionized employees in Sudbury and Port Colborne in Ontario, Canada, are on strike. The same happens on the 1st of August, with the unionized employees of its operation in Voisey s Bay in the province of Newfoundland and Labrador, Canada.

- On July 22, Vale signs a memorandum of understanding (MOU) with ThyssenKrupp to raise its stake in ThyssenKrupp CSA Siderurgica do Atlantico Ltda. (TKCSA) from 10% to 26.87% through a capital injection of EUR \$ 965 million.
- On September 18, Vale completes the acquisition of the operations of iron ore in Corumbá, located in Mato Grosso do Sul, owned by Rio Tinto and other controlled entities.
- On October 19, the Board of Directors of Vale approves the investment budget for 2010, including expenditures of US\$ 12.9 billion dedicated to sustaining existing operations and promoting growth through research and development (R & D) and project execution.

- On January 22, integrated subsidiary Valesul Alumínio S.A. (Valesul) enters into an agreement to sell its aluminum assets located in Rio de Janeiro to Alumínio Nordeste S.A., a Metalis group company, for US\$ 31.2 million.
- On the same date, Vale approves at a Special Shareholders Meeting the incorporation of integrated subsidiaries Sociedade de Mineração Estrela de Apolo S.A. (Estrela de Apolo) and Mineração Vale Corumbá S.A. (Vale Corumbá).
- During the first half of the year, Vale closes agreements with its customers in the iron ore business to shift from annual contracts to contracts with values adjusted on a quarterly basis. The new contracts offer more efficiency and transparency for iron ore prices and make it possible to differentiate qualities, which help stimulate long-term investment. Besides, customers can learn in advance the price to be paid in the following quarter.
- In the second quarter, Vale acquires a 51% interest in VBG Vale BSGR Limited (VBG) (formerly BSG Resources (Guinea) Limited), which used to hold iron ore concession rights in Simandou South (Zogota) and iron ore exploration permits in Simandou North (Blocks 1 & 2), Guinea.
- Through a series of transactions in 2010, Vale acquires the phosphate operations of Vale Fertilizantes S.A. (Vale Fertilizantes, formerly Fertilizantes Fosfatados S.A. Fosfertil) and Vale Fosfatados S.A. (formerly Bunge Participações and Investimentos S.A.). The total cost of these acquisitions was US\$ 5.829 billion. The sellers included Bunge Ltd., the Mosaic Company (Mosaic), Yara Brasil Fertilizantes S.A. and other Brazilian companies.
- In May, Vale Internacional S.A. enters into an agreement with Oman Oil Company S.A.O.C. (OOC), an integrated subsidiary of the government of the sultanate of Oman, for the sale of a 30% interest in Vale Oman Pelletizing Company LLC (VOPC), for US\$ 125 million.
- In July, Vale sells to Imerys S.A. 86.2% of its interest in Pará Pigmentos S.A. (PPSA), a kaolin producer, along with other kaolin mining rights, for US\$ 71.3 million (equivalent to R\$ 126.1 million).
- In July, Vale concludes the transaction announced on March 31, 2010, by virtue of which it sells 35% of the total capital of MVM Resources International B.V. (MVM) to Mosaic for US\$ 385 million, and 25% of the total capital of MVM to Mitsui, for US\$ 275 million. MVM manages and operates Bayóvar phosphate rock project in Peru.

- In August, Vale Emirates Ltd. acquired 51% interest in Sociedade de Desenvolvimento do Corredor Nacala S.A. (SDCN) from the Mozambican company Insitec SGPS SA (Insitec) for US\$ 21 million (equivalent to R\$ 36.6 million on the date of disbursement).
- In November, Vale acquired shares from the Bacia do São Francisco blocks (SF-T-80, 82, 83, and 93)
- In the fourth quarter, Vale lists Depositary Receipts representing its common and preferred Class A shares (HDRs) on Hong Kong Limited Stock Exchange (HKEx). The HDRs start to be traded on December 8, 2010.

- On February 28, Vale announces the completion of the operation with Norsk Hydro ASA (Hydro), announced on May 2, 2010, to transfer all its interests in Albras Alumínio Brasileiro S.A. (Albras), Alunorte and Companhia de Alumina do Pará (CAP), receiving in return, through the subsidiary Vale Austria Holdings GmbH, currently known as Vale International Holdings GmbH (Vale Austria), 22% of the outstanding common shares of Hydro and US\$ 503 million in cash. Additionally, Vale Austria sold 60% of Mineração Paragominas S.A. (Paragominas) to Hydro for US\$ 578 million in cash. For more information about these operations, see item 6.5 in this Reference Form.
- In February 2011, Vale pays US\$ 173.5 million to acquire the control of Biopalma, in the State of Pará, to produce palm oil (dende oil) as feedstock to manufacture biodiesel.
- On April 28, the Board of Directors approves the acquisition, subject to certain conditions, of up to 9% of the capital of Norte Energia S.A. (NESA), a stake previously held by Gaia Energia e Participações S.A (Gaia). NESA is a company whose sole purpose is the implementation, operation and management of Belo Monte hydroelectric power plant in Pará. In June 2011, Vale concluded the acquisition of 9% of the equity of NESA.
- In June 2011, Vale Emirates Ltd. acquired additional 16% equity of. SDCN for US\$ 8 million, equivalent to R\$ 12.8 million. The acquisition is aligned with the Company strategy to develop the logistic corridor of Nacala, and continued with the acquisition of 51% of SDCN in September 2010. SDCN has a concession to create the required logistic structure for the flow resulting from coal production expansion in Moatize.
- In July 2011, Vale Logística Integrada S.A. (current VLI S.A.) signed an agreement to create a joint venture with Vale Fertilizantes for purposes of exploring the concession of Terminal Portuário da Ultrafértil (TUF), in the city of Santos, State of São Paulo, with imported cargos of sulfur, ammonia and fertilizers in general, being strategically linked to Vale s railroads, upon payment of R\$150 million to Vale Fertilizantes and capital investment in the joint venture of R\$432 million to fund TUF investment project.

• In December 2011, Vale concluded, by its wholly-owned subsidiary Mineração Naque S.A. a public offer auction (IPO) to acquire outstanding shares issued by Vale Fertilizantes. As a result of the IPO, Vale acquired 211,014 common shares and 82,919,456 preferred shares issued by Vale Fertilizantes, representing 83.8% of outstanding common shares and 94.0% outstanding preferred shares of Vale Fertilizantes. Common and preferred shares were acquired by the par value of R\$25.00, in a total amount of R\$2.078 billion.

- On February 9, the Board of Directors approved the execution of a lease agreement of potassium mining rights and assets with Petróleo Brasileiro S.A. Petrobras, for 30 years, which allows continuing with potassium extraction in Taquari-Vassouras and development of the Carnalita Project in the State of Sergipe.
- In April, Vale sold its 61.5% interest in Cadam S.A. to Kamin LLC, concluding the divestment operation by selling the kaolin business beginning in 2010 with the sale of the interest in Pará Pigmentos S.A.
- In June, together with Vale Internacional Holding GmbH and Vale Internacional S.A., Vale concluded the sale of its thermal coal operations in Colombia to CPC S.A.S., an affiliated company of Colombian Natural Resources S.A.S. (CNR), for US\$ 407 million in cash.
- In May, Vale entered into an operational lease with its affiliate Hispanobras, where Vale leases its pelleting plants owned by Hispanobrás for three years, subject to automatic renewal. The operation was concluded in July 2012.
- On June 7, Vale Emirates Ltd. acquired an additional 18% interest in SDCN, holder of the concessions to create the logistic corridor of Vale in Nacala, Mozambique, for US\$ 18.5 million. Consequently, Vale held at the time 85% of the shares of SDCN.
- On June 27, Vale was granted the prior license (LP) for the iron ore project Carajás S11D, the largest project in the history of Vale, and the largest project in the history of iron ore, with nominal capacity of 90 million annual metric tons (Mtpa) of iron ore. The LP is part of the first phase of licensing of this enterprise. This license signals the approval of its location, conception, and environmental feasibility, establishing the basic requirements to be complied with in the subsequent deployment phase.
- In August, Vale Internacional has informed that it signed a sale agreement for US\$ 600 million for 10 large ore carriers with Polaris Shipping Co. Ltd. (Polaris).
- In October, Vale and Vale International completed the sale of its manganese and ferroalloy operations in Europe to subsidiaries of Glencore International Plc. (Glencore), for US\$ 160 million in cash. Vale also retained Glencore as its marketing agent outside Brazil for metallurgic manganese ore for a five-year period.
- On October 4, the first copper concentrate was produced, upon conclusion of the commissioning of the copper mine processing plant in Lubambe, in the Konkola North project, that includes an underground mine, plant, and related infrastructure, located in the copper belt in Zambia, with estimate nominal capacity of 45,000 metric tons per year of copper concentrate. This operation is part of a joint venture with ARM, holding 80% of the operation, and the remaining 20% is held by Zambia Consolidated Copper Mines Ltd.

• On December 20, Vale concluded the annual evaluation of Onça Puma and aluminum assets, implying recognition of the impairment before tax of US\$8.2 billion, with accounting impact on 2012 4Q.

- On January 31, Vale concluded the option exercised in June 2010, acquiring an additional 24.5% interest in the Belvedere coal project (Belvedere) from Aquila Resources Limited (Aquila), for A\$150 million (equivalent to US\$ 156 million using the AUD/USD rate of 1.04). As a whole, Vale paid US\$338 million for 100% of Belvedere. Belvedere is comprised of an underground coal mine located in the South of Bowen Basin, close to the town of Moura, in the State of Queensland, Australia.
- On February 28, Vale concluded the final agreements with Silver Wheaton Corp. (SLW), Canadian company with shares negotiated at the Toronto Stock Exchange and the NYSE, to sell 70% of payable gold flows produced as byproduct of some Sudbury nickel mines for 20 years and with Silver Wheaton (Caymans) Ltd. to sell 25% of payable gold flows produced as byproduct of the Salobo copper mine during the mine lifetime, for the initial payment of US\$ 1.9 billion in cash, 10 million in SLW warrants with exercise price of US\$ 65 and 10 year term. Additionally, Vale will receive cash payments in the future for each ounce (oz) of gold provided to SLW under the terms in the agreement, at the lowest value between US\$ 400 per ounce (plus annual inflation adjustment of 1% starting in 2016 for Salobo) and the market price.
- On March 11, Vale informed the Government of the Republic of Argentina that the company had suspended deployment of the Rio Colorado project in Argentina.
- On March 14, Vale exercised the preemptive right provided for in the incorporation agreement of Consórcio Capim Branco, acquiring a 12.47% interest of Suzano Papel e Celulose S.A for R\$ 223,030,470.52 in the capital of hydroelectric plants Capim Branco I & II. Consequently, Vale holds 60.89% on Capim Branco I & II, capable of generating 1,524 gigawatts hour per year of power by the end of the concession in 2036.
- On April 29, Vale received the environmental operation license (LO) for railroad terminal Ponta da Madeira (PDM), in the State of Maranhão, issued by the State Secretary of Environment and Natural Resources of Maranhão. The PDM railroad terminal is part of the North Logistic Capacity project (CLN 150), which allows expansion of Carajás capacity to 150 million annual metric tons.
- On May 6, Vale received the environmental installation license (LI) and authorization to remove vegetation for the railroad branch to connect Serra Sul de Carajás to EFC, in the State of Pará, issued by the Brazilian Institute of Environment and Renewable

Natural Resources (IBAMA), which allows beginning the construction of the 101 km railroad branch to connect the storage yard of S11D to EFC. The railroad branch is part of the logistic capacity S11D project, which allows expansion of Carajás logistic capacity to 230 million annual metric tons of iron ore.

- On June 28, 2013, Vale and members of Consortium SF-T-80 received authorization by the National Agency of Petroleum ANP to return the blocks in the São Francisco Basin (SF-T-80, 82, 83 and 93).
- In July 2013, Vale concluded the contract of a revolving credit facility in the amount of US\$ 2 billion for 5 years.
- On September 18, Vale entered into agreements to sell 20% of the total capital of VLI S.A. (VLI) to Mitsui & Co. Ltd. (Mitsui) for R\$ 1.5 billion and 15.9% of the capital of VLI for R\$ 1.2 billion to the Investment Fund of the Severance Fund FGTS (FI-FGTS), which is managed by Caixa Econômica Federal.
- On November 7, 2013, Vale entered into an agreement with GDF, under which it granted to GDF (Gaz de France) its share in the blocks of Parnaíba Basin (BT-PN-2 and BT-PN3), for approximately R\$24 million.
- On November 14, Vale announced the sale of all its 22% interest in Hydro for NOK 25.00 per share, summing NOK 11.196 billion, equivalent to US\$ 1.822 billion (equivalent to R\$ 4.218 billion). Vale Austria has held these shares since 2011, when the company restructured the aluminum asset portfolio. After conclusion of this transaction, Vale Austria no longer holds equity in Hydro.
- On November 27, Vale announces adherence to the federal tax refinancing agreement (REFIS) related to the payment of income tax and social contribution on net profit of affiliates abroad on profit generated abroad in the period between 2003 and 2012, according to terms set forth by Law 12.865/2013 and Provisional Order no. 627/2013. Adherence to REFIS implied the payment to the Federal Revenue Secretariat of R\$ 5.965 billion by the end of November and R\$ 16.360 billion in 179 months, where monthly installments are adjusted according to the SELIC interest rate.
- On December 12, Vale concluded the sale of Sociedad Contractual Minera Tres Valles, cathode copper production company in the area of Coquimbo in Chile, for US\$ 25 million (equivalent to R\$ 54 million) to Inversiones Porto San Giorgio S.A (ISG), company controlled by the Chilean group Vecchiola S.A.
- On December 19, Vale entered into agreements with CEMIG Geração e Transmissão S.A. (CEMIG GT) to create two joint ventures: (i) Aliança Geração de Energia S.A., comprised by assets and power generation projects of both companies; and (ii) Aliança Norte Energia Participações S.A., comprised by the sale to CEMIG GT of 49% of the shares held by Vale in its 9% interest in NESA, company responsible for building, operating and exploring the hydroelectric plant of Belo Monte for approximately R\$ 310 million.
- On December 20, Vale signed an agreement with Israel Chemicals Ltd. (ICL) to sell its 44.25% interest in Fosbrasil, company producer of purified phosphoric acid, located in Cajati, State of São Paulo, for US\$ 52 million.
- On December 23, Vale entered into an agreement with a fund managed by Brookfield Asset Management (Brookfield) to sell 26.5% of its interest in the capital of VLI, for R\$ 2 billion.
- On December 23, Vale informed that it filed with the Superior Court of Justice (STJ) on December 19, a petition for partial dismissal in the process discussing the legality of taxation of profit from affiliates abroad. This dismissal refers to the period between 2003 and 2012. Vale informed that it will continue questioning taxation applicable to the period

between 1996 and 2002 and 2013. Should there be a winning decision, Vale will claim immediate return of values paid relative to the period between 2003 and 2012, according to installment payment under the terms in Provisional Order no. 627/2013 and as mentioned in relevant fact published on November 27, 2013, and it will suspend payments for outstanding installments.

• On December 26, Vale promoted an auction, under the terms in CVM Instruction no. 168/1991, as amended, to sell 28,737,367 common shares issued by Log-in, company listed in BM&FBOVESPA (ticker symbol: LOGN3), corresponding to all common shares issued by Log-in then held by Vale, for R\$ 8.11 per share, totaling R\$ 233 million. This transaction was concluded on January 2, 2014.

- In January, Vale updated its Code of Ethics and Conduct for purposes of obtaining better alignment with its mission, vision, and values, reinforcing ethical standards and updating aspects of the anticorruption and antitrust laws.
- On February 18, Vale closed the offer of infrastructure debentures for R\$ 1 billion and funds from this offer are to be used on investments related to the Company infrastructure projects deemed to be priority, under the terms in article 2 in law no. 12.431/2011, as amended.
- In May, BNDES approved the funding agreement for R\$ 6.2 billion for the deployment of the Carajás Serra Sul S11D and the logistic capacity project S11D projects. The funding term is for ten years and funds will be disbursed in up to three years, according to the project schedule.
- On April 14, the transaction announced on September 18, 2013 was concluded, with the transfer of 20% of the capital stock of VLI to Mitsui by R\$ 1.5 billion and 15.9% to Fundo de Investimento do Fundo de Garantia do Tempo de Serviço FGTS (FI-FGTS), which assets are managed by Caixa Econômica Federal, for R\$ 1.2 billion.
- In April, the Republic of Guinea revoked the mining rights for the Simandou and Zogota concession areas held by VBG.
- On June 4, Vale announced entering an agreement with a subsidiary of Suzano Papel e Celulose (Suzano Subsidiary), company that produces eucalyptus cellulose, to sell its entire share in Vale Florestar Fundo de Investimento em Participações, a reforesting investment fund, for R\$ 205 million. All usual precedent conditions and approvals, including those granted by the Economic

Defense Administrative Board (CADE) were met, and, thus, the transaction executed on August 8, 2014. Simultaneously, BNDESPar, Petros and FUNCEF, other shareholders of the Investment Fund Vale Florestar, also sold their shares to Suzano Subsidiary and, with the conclusion of the transaction, the Investment Fund Vale Florestar was held, solely, by Suzano Subsidiary.

- On August 19, the transaction announced on December 23, 2013 was concluded, with the transfer of 26.5% of the capital of VLI to Brookfield for R\$ 2 billion. As result of this transaction, Vale holds 37.6% of the capital of VLI.
- On August 20, Vale informed the granting of the prior environmental license for the Global EIA, issued by IBAMA. The licensing of the Global EIA comprises the expansion of N4WS, N5S, Morro I and Morro II, containing 1.8 billion tons of reserves and permission to pile sterile in the North System in Carajás, Brazil. Additionally, on November 5, Vale obtained the operation license to expand N4WS.
- On September 12, Vale International SA and China Ocean Shipping Company (Cosco) entered a strategic cooperation agreement in maritime transportation of iron ore. Under the terms of this agreement, four VLOCs, with 400 thousand tons, currently owned and operated by Vale, will be transferred to Cosco.
- On September 26, Vale International S.A. and China Merchants Group entered an agreement contemplating the strategic cooperation in maritime transportation of iron ore. Under the terms of this agreement, the companies agreed upon entering a freight agreement for 25 years to carry Vale Brasil s iron ore to China using 10 VLOCs to be built by the China Merchants Group.
- On October 17, Vale announced that PTVI entered into an amendment to the contract of work with the government of Indonesia, and the agreement will now terminate in 2025, with the option to extend operations until 2045 for two 10-year consecutive periods, subject to approval by the local government, if PTVI complies with the requirements in the amendment.
- On November 9, 2014, Vale Austria sold to Hydro shares issued by Mineração Paragominas S.A. representing 20% of its capital, as exercise of a *put option*. The remaining 20% interest held by Vale Austria in the capital stock of Mineração Paragominas S.A. is also subject to a Vale *put option*, which can be exercised starting on February 28, 2016.
- On December 9, Vale announced entering into an investment agreement with Mitsui, under which Mitsui will, subject to compliance with precedent conditions, hold 15% of Vale s 95% interest in Vale Moçambique (concessionaire of 95% of the Moatize mine) and 50% of Vale s interest in the Nacala Logistic Corridor. The conclusion of the transaction is subject to some precedent conditions and is predicted to take place in 2016.
- On December 18, upon compliance with precedent conditions and approval by CADE, the sale of Vale s entire share corresponding to 44.25% of the capital of Fosbrasil, company that produces purified phosphoric acid, located in Cajati, State of São Paulo, was concluded for US\$ 52 million.
- On December 23, Vale incorporated its wholly-owned subsidiaries Sociedade de Mineração Constelação de Apolo S.A. and Vale Mina do Azul S.A.

- On February 27, 2015, Vale concluded the transaction that started in December 2013 with CEMIG GT to establish the joint venture Aliança Geração de Energia S.A., by transferring its shares in some projects (Central Eólica Garrote Ltda., Central Eólica São Raimundo Ltda., Central Eólica Santo Inácio III Ltda., and Central Eólica Santo Inácio IV Ltda.) and operation assets (Consórcio da Usina Hidrelétrica de Igarapava, Consórcio AHE Porto Estrela, Consórcio AHE Funil, Consórcio UHE Candonga, Consórcio da Usina Hidrelétrica de Aimorés, and Consórcio Capim Branco Energia to Aliança Geração.)
- On March 2, 2015, the amendment to the agreement entered with Silver Wheaton (Caymans) Ltd. On February 28, 2013, was entered, for purposes of determining that the agreement also encompasses the purchase of an additional flow of 25% of payable gold produced as byproduct of the copper mining at the Salobo mine, during the mine s use life.
- On March 13, 2015, Vale transferred its share in VBG back to BSG Resources Limited, due to the revocation by the Government of Guinea of the mining rights held by the joint venture in April 2014.
- On March 31, 2015, Vale concluded the transaction that started in December 2013 with CEMIG GT for the sale of 49% of Vale s share on 9% of the project of the hydroelectric plant Belo Monte, for approximately R\$ 310 million.
- On April 27, 2015, Companhia Siderúrgica do Pecém CSP (CSP) concluded a long term financing agreement worth close to US\$ 3 billion that will contribute to the fulfillment of the main future financing needs of the project. This loan is to be taken directly from CSP.
- On May 15, 2015, Vale concluded the contract of a revolving credit facility in the amount of US\$ 3 billion, for five years. Vale also has another line in the amount of US\$ 2 billion, thus totaling 5 billion in revolving credit lines.
- On May 19, 2015, Vale International SA and China Merchants Energy Shipping Co., Ltd. (<u>CME</u>S), a subsidiary of China Merchants Group, executed an amendment to the agreement that contemplates the long-term strategic cooperation between both companies for maritime transportation of iron ore. The first agreement was entered with China Merchants Group on September 26, 2014. Under the terms in this amendment, Vale would sell 4 VLOCs (very large ore carriers) to CMES.
- On May 19, 2015, Vale concluded a transaction that was disclosed on September 12, 2014, with the sale of four VLOCs ships, with a capacity of 400 thousand ton, to Cosco. The transaction totaled US\$ 445 million.
- On May 29, 2015, Vale obtained vegetal suppression and operation licenses to explore area N5S.
- On May 29, 2015, Vale initiated operations in Conceição Itabiritos II and it will initiate operations in Cauê Itabiritos in the second half of 2015.

- On July 30, 2015, Vale and *Fundo de Investimento em Participações Multisetorial Plus II* (FIP Plus II), whose quotas are held by Banco Bradesco BBI S.A., entered into a Purchase and Sale Contract of Stocks and other assignments, through which Vale promised to sell class A preferential stocks, representative of 36.4% of the share capital of *Minerações Brasileiras Reunidas S.A.* MBR (MBR), for R\$ 4 billion, subject to usually applicable suspensive conditions, including CADE s advance approval of the operation.
- On July 20, 2015, Vale concluded the sale of four VLOC ships, with a capacity of 400 thousand tons, to CMES. The transaction is related to the agreements signed with CMES on September 26, 2014 and May 19, 2015, which had already been disclosed. The total amount of the transaction was US\$ 448 million. The sum was paid to Vale when the ships were delivered to CMES on September 25, 2015.
- On September 1, 2015, Vale and FIP Plus II concluded the sale of 36.4% of MBR s share capital by fulfilling the required precedent conditions for the sale of the operation. The transaction is related to the July 30, 2015 disclosure and the amount that Vale received from the sale of class A preferred shares was R\$ 4 billion or US\$ 1.080 billion. After the sale, Vale holds 61.9% of the total share and 98.3% of the shares with voting rights. Vale has the option to repurchase the shares issued by MBR that are currently held by BBI, FIP Plus II s successor.
- On September 18, 2015, Vale concluded the offer of infrastructure debentures.
- In November 2015, Vale concluded the sale of its 50% share in the Isaac Plains joint venture and all assets related to Stanmore Coal Limited (Stanmore). Pursuant to the terms of this contract, Vale shall pay A\$ 21.6 million in 12 monthly payments to Stanmore, which shall take on Vale s responsibilities in the joint venture contract. Stanmore agreed to pay royalties to Vale in the amount of A\$ 2.0 per ton of coal produced and sold in the Isaac Plains coal mine for 10 years, subject to certain minimum price limits, up to an added value of A\$ 21.6 million.
- On November 5, 2015, one of the iron ore tailings dam belonging to Samarco (Fundão) located in the Complexo Minerário de Germano, in Mariana, in the State of Minas Gerais, collapsed and caused social and environmental impact. As a consequence to the collapse of the dam, Samarco operations in Germano/Alegria (Complexo Mariana) were temporarily suspended by order of government agencies. For further information about the dam s collapse and its impact see items 4. 7.9, 10.1 of this Reference Form.
- In December 2015, Vale concluded the sale of 68.4% of its shares in the Joint Venture de Carvão da Integra (ICJV) and all of its assets related to Glencore Plc (Glencore). As compensation, Glencore agreed to pay Vale royalties in the amount of A\$ 1.50 per ton of coal produced and sold by ICJV, based on the mineral rights currently held by ICJV, proportional to Vale s shares in ICJB before the sale and limited to an annual volume of two million metric tons for ten years. As part of the transaction, Glencore took over some, but not all, of ICJV s obligations including certain mandatory purchase logistic contracts.
- On December 8, 2015, Vale concluded the transaction for the purchase and sale of four VLOC ships with a capacity of 400,000 tons to the consortium led by ICBC Financial Leasing, a subsidiary fully controlled by the Industrial and Commercial Bank of China. The transaction totaled US\$ 423 million and the amount was received by Vale when the ships were delivered to their new owners.

- On January 12, 2016, Vale disbursed US\$ 3 billion from the US\$ 5 billion available from its revolving credit lines to increase liquidity and cover potential cash flow needs until the conclusion of its divestments program, in particular the conclusion of the transaction of coal involving Moatize and the Nacala Logistics Corridor.
- On February 16, 2016, Vale changed the address of its social headquarters to Avenida das Américas, nº 700, Bloco 8 Loja 318, 3° andar, Barra da Tijuca, Rio de Janeiro, RJ.
- On February 26, 2016, the credit rating agency Moody s downgraded Vale s Investment Degree.
- On March 7, 2016, Vale signed a non-binding MOU with the Australian Fortescue Metals Group Ltd. (<u>Fortescue</u>), that established the principles under which Vale and Fortescue agree to seek long term opportunities to develop new business, including the establishment of one or more joint ventures for the blending and distribution of Vales and Fortescue products and the possibility that Vale, optionally, may develop mining projects with Fortescue in Australia and acquire minority share in Fortescue s controlling company.
- On April 4, 2016, Vale announced the sale of its total share of 26.87% in Companhia Siderúrgica do Atlântico (CSA) to Thyssenkrupp as part of its initiative to simplify its portfolio of assets. The conclusion of the agreement is pending on the fulfillment of precedent conditions and approvals, including CADE approval. For additional information, see item 15.7 of this Reference Form.
- •On April 28, 2016, Vale announced that its Board of Directors had approved the proposal to end the program that lists HDRs in the Hong Kong Stock Market (HKEx). The end of the program is subject to HKEx approval and it is expected to become effective in 2016.
- On May 10, 2016, Vale announced the end of the negotiations held with Hydro regarding the potential sale of its 40% share in MRN. Vale and Hydro have prepared a letter of intentions in October 2015 related to a possible transaction, but there was no agreement on the commercial terms.

Vale clarifies that there were no sector political or macroeconomic decisions that could have affected significantly the Company in the year ending on December 31, 2015 and by the annual filing date of this Reference Form.

6.5 Information on bankruptcy filing based on relevant values, or judicial or extrajudicial recovery

Not applicable. There are no bankruptcy filings based on relevant values, or judicial or extrajudicial recovery of the Company.

6.6 Other relevant information

Sale of part of the gold flow produced as byproduct

On February 28, 2013, Vale Switzerland, after approval by the Board of Directors, concluded the contract with Silver Wheaton Corp. (SLW), a Canadian company with share traded in the Toronto Stock Exchange and in the New York Stock Exchange, for the sale of 70% of payable gold flow produced as a byproduct in certain nickel mines in Sudbury, for a period of 20 years, and with Silver Wheaton (Caymans) Ltd. for the sale of 25% of the payable gold flow produced as byproduct in the Salobo copper mine, for the extent of the mine s longevity. In terms of the Sudbury operation, in addition to the initial payment of US\$ 1.9 billion in cash, Vale Switzerland received 10 million in SLW warrants with a strike price of US\$ 65 and a 10-year term. Additionally, Vale will receive cash payments for each ounce (oz.) of gold delivered to SLW as per the agreement, at the lowest amount between US\$ 400 per ounce (plus a 1% annual adjustments starting in 2017 in the case of Salobo) and the market price.

This transaction frees a considerable amount contained in Vale s world class basic metals assets, to the extent that it attributes to the payable gold produced in Salobo de amount of US\$ 5.32 billion, in addition to the payments of US\$ 400 per ounce delivered, given that there will be no additional cost to extract the gold contained in the condensed copper produced at Salobo. The execution of Vale strategic plan reinforces the Company s confidence in the high potential of its world-class basic metals and the belief that they will general significant value to shareholders across the cycles.

Additionally, Vale may also receive an additional payment in cash depending on the decision to expand the copper ore processing capacity in Salobo to 28 Mtpa more before 2036, provided that such additional payment may vary between US\$ 88 million and US\$ 720 million, depending on the time and size of the expansion).

On March 2, 2015, the contract signed with Silver Wheaton (Caymans) Ltd. on February 28, 2013 was amended for the purpose of including the purchase of an additional flow of 25% of payable gold produced as a byproduct of copper mining in the Salobo mine, for the longevity of the mine s useful life. Vale received for this transaction an initial payment of US\$ 900 million and it shall receive future cash payments for each ounce (oz) of gold delivered to Silver Wheaton based on the lowest value between US\$ 400 per ounce and the market price. This amount shall be updated annually at 1% starting in 2017.

Alemão Project Royalties

On June 19, 2015, Vales entered into a contract with BNDES to regulate the participation of BNDES economic rights in the event of Vale s developments in the Alemão Project, foreseen in the Postponement of Future Share Participation Account Agreement signed on March 5, 1985, when Vale paid to BNDES royalties corresponding to ¼ (one third) of the economic rights from the Alemão Project, which was determined through a market based economic model.

Vale shall pay royalties annually when concentrated copper sales from the Alemão Project begin. The royalty corresponds to 2.5% of the Alemão Project s annual net revenue, but in the years when the annual average of copper prices, as published by the LME, reaches US\$ 8,000.00/ton (Trigger Price), the royalty relative to these specific years shall be raised to an additional 2.25%. The Trigger Price shall be adjusted annually by the Consumer Price Index (CPI).

Tabl	e of	Contents
1 au	U OI	Contonts

7.1	Description of main activities engaged by the issuer and its subsidiaries
largest nickel prod cobalt, and platinu world. Vale operat maritime terminals	largest mining companies in the world by market value. Vale is the largest iron ore and iron ore pellets producer and the ucer in the world. Vale also produces manganese ore, ferroalloys, copper, thermal and metallurgical coal, phosphates, potash, m group metals (PGMs), gold and silver and other fertilizers. Vale is engaged in mineral exploration in 6 countries in the es large logistics systems in Brazil and in other areas of the world integrated with its mining operations, including railroads, and ports. In addition, Vale has a portfolio of maritime freight, floating transfer stations and distribution centers to support ore worldwide. Vale also has investments in the sectors of energy and steel, directly or through subsidiaries and joint
exploration, extrac railroad traffic of i port support activi delivery within the form of power, be	pose of the Company is (i) realize the enjoinment of mineral reservoirs in national territory and abroad though research, tion, processing, industrialization, transportation, shipment, and sale of minerals; (ii) build railroads, operate and explore ts own and of third parties, (iii) build and operate maritime terminals, owned or third parties, as well as explore navigation and ties; (iv) provide load transportation integrated logistics services, including receipt, storage, transportation, distribution and context of a multimode transportation system; (v) produce, process, carry, industrialize and sell each and every source and ng entitled to act in the production, generation, transmission, distribution, and sale of its products, byproducts and sub engage, in Brazil and abroad, in other activities that may be directly or indirectly of in the interest of the company to perform

its corporate purpose, including research, industrialization, sale and purchase, importing and exporting, as well as exploration, industrialization and sale of forest resources and provision of services of any nature; and (vii) incorporate or participate, under any model, of other companies,

consortiums, entities which corporate purpose is directly or indirectly linked, assisting or instrumental to its corporate purpose.

For information about the Company, see item 6.3 in this Reference Form.

For information about activities developed by the Company and its subsidiaries in its markets, see items 7.2 and 7.3 below.

Table of Contents

- 7.2 Information on operational segments
- a. Products and services marketed in each operating segment
- (i) Ferrous Materials Includes extraction of iron ore and production of pellets, as well as the North, Southern and Southeastern transportation systems, including railroads, ports, maritime terminals, and ships linked to these operations. Exploration of manganese ore and the production of ferroalloys are also included in this segment.

Iron ore and iron ore pellets. Vale operates four systems in Brazil for production and distribution of iron ore, which are known as Northern, Southeastern, Southern, and Center-Western systems. The North and Southeastern systems are fully integrated and are composed of mines, railroads, maritime terminals and a port. The Southern system is composed of three mining complexes and two maritime terminals. Vale also has iron ore pellets operations in different locations, some of them under joint ventures. Vale operates 11 pelleting plants in Brazil and two in Oman. Operations of three of its pelleting plants in Brazil have been suspended since the fourth quarter of 2012, in response to market conditions, and its capacity has been partially replaced by Tubarão VIII, a more effective plant. In addition, Vale holds a 50% share in Samarco, joint venture that operates an integrated system in the states of Minas Gerais and Espírito Santo, in Brazil. Samarco operations have been suspended following the breakage of the Fundão waste barrage in November 2015. Vale also holds a 25% interest in two pelleting companies in China. For information related to the breakage of the Samarco barrage, see items 4, 7.9, and 10.1 in this Reference Form.

Infrastructure logistics: Vale is a leading operator in logistic services in Brazil and in other areas of the world, with railroads, maritime terminals, distribution centers, and ports. Two of four iron ore systems of Vale include a railroad integrated with a port and maritime terminals. Vale also holds interest in MRS Logística S.A. (MRS), company that carries Vale s iron ore products from the mines in the Southern System to its maritime terminals, and in VLI S.A. (VLI), company that offers integrated logistics solutions for general cargo using railroads and land and maritime terminals in Brazil. The logistic infrastructure to support Vale operations in the Southeast of Africa are currently in ramp up. Vale owns and charters vessels to carry bulk products, sold to clients on a cost and freight (CFR) basis.

Manganese ore and ferroalloys. Vale conducts its manganese mining operations through the its holding (Vale S.A.) and subsidiaries in Brazil, and produces several manganese ferroalloys through a wholly-owned subsidiary in Brazil.

- (ii) Coal extraction of coal and related logistic services. Vale conducts its coal operations primarily in Mozambique through Vale Moçambique S.A., where metallurgic and thermal coal operations are in ramp up. Vale also maintains coal operations in Australia through Rio Doce Austrália Pty Ltd. (Vale Australia), where the Company produces metallurgic coal in Carborough Downs. Vale also holds minor interests in a Chinese coal and coking producer.
- (iii) Base metals Includes the production of non-ferrous minerals, including production of nickel (co-products and by-products), copper and investments in aluminum partnerships.

Nickel. Nickel mines and processing operations are conducted by Vale $\,$ s wholly-owned subsidiary, Vale Canada Limited ($\,$ Vale Canada $\,$), with operations in Canada and in Indonesia. Vale also maintains nickel operations in Onça Puma, in the state of Pará,

Table of Contents

in Brazil. The company also owns and operates, or holds interests in nickel refineries in the United Kingdom, Japan, Taiwan, China and South Korea. Vale is currently in ramp up of its nickel operations in New Caledonia;

Copper. In Brazil, Vale produces copper concentrate in Sossego and Salobo, in Carajás, in the state of Pará. Vale is concluding the ramp up of operations in Salobo. In Canada, Vale produces copper concentrates, copper anodes, and copper cathodes associated to its nickel mining operations in Sudbury and Voisey s Bay. In Zambia, Vale s joint venture produces copper concentrates in Lubambe, in the copper belt in Zambia.

Cobalt, PGMs and other precious metals. Vale produces cobalt as a sub product of its nickel mining and processing activities in Canada and refines most part of it at its facilities in Port Colborne, in the Ontario Province, Canada. Vale also produces cobalt as a sub product of its nickel operations in New Caledonia, which are currently in ramp up. Vale produces platinum group metals (PGMs) as sub product of its nickel operations and processing in Canada. PGMs are concentrated at the Port Colborne facilities and are refined in its precious metal refinery in Acton, England. Vale produces gold and silver as sub products of its nickel mining and processing operations in Canada, and gold is also a sub product of copper mining in Brazil.

- (iv) Fertilizers Includes three important nutrient groups: potassium, phosphates and nitrogen. Vale conducts its potash operations in Rosário do Catete, in the state of Sergipe, Brazil. Main Vale s phosphate operations are conducted by its subsidiary Vale Fertilizantes S.A., which holds most of the fertilizing assets of Vale in Brazil. Vale Fertilizantes is the largest Brazilian producers of fertilizers from phosphate rocks and phosphates and the second largest Brazilian producer of nitrogen-based fertilizers. Vale also maintains operations at the phosphate rock mine in Peru.
- (iv) Others Includes investments in joint ventures and affiliates in other businesses.

The information presented to upper management regarding performance of each segment are usually originated from accounting records maintained according to generally accepted accounting principles in Brazil, with some minimum relocations between segments.

b. Revenue from the segment and its participation in the Company s net revenues

	2015		2014		2013	
In R\$ thousands		% of		% of		% of
Segment	Net Revenue	total	Net Revenue	total	Net Revenue	total
Ferrous Materials	55,413,000.00	64.81	60,395,000.00	68.42	75,668,000.00	74.56
Coal	1,739,000.00	2.03	1,740,000.00	1.97	2,188,000.00	2.16
Base Metals	20,491,000.00	23.97	18,137,000.00	20.55	15,746,000.00	15.51
Fertilizers	7,442,000.00	8.70	5,656,000.00	6.41	6,038,000.00	5.95
Others	414,000.00	0.48	2,347,000.00	2.66	1,850,000.00	1.82
Total Revenue	85,499,000.00	100	88,275,000.00	100.00	101,490,000.00	100.00

Profit or loss resulting from the segment and its participation in the Company s net income

	2015		2014		2013	
In R\$ thousands		% of		% of		% of
Segment	Profit/Loss	total	Profit/Loss	total	Profit/Loss	total
Ferrous Materials	(8,533,000.00)	19.30	1,109,000.00	116.25	7,588,000.00	6,593.04
Coal	(16,097,000.00)	36.41	(1,866,000.00)	(195.60)	(528,000.00)	(458.77)
Base Metals	(17,553,000.00)	39.70	4,793,000.00	502.41	(781,000.00)	(678.59)
Fertilizers	(707,000.00)	1.60	(2,206,000.00)	(231.24)	(6,088,000.00)	(5,289.73)
Others	(1,323,000.00)	2.99	(876,000.00)	(91.82)	(72,000.00)	(62.56)
Discontinued operations						
General load					(3,909.00)	(3.40)
Net Profit of the Period	(44,213,000.00)	100.00	954,000.00	100.00	115,091.00	100.00

7.3	Information on products and services related to the operating segments
a.	Characteristics of the production process
b.	Characteristics of the distribution process
c.	Characteristics of the markets, in particular:
i.	competition conditions in the markets
ii.	participation in each market
d.	Possible seasonality
e.	Main input and raw material, including:
i. indicating entiti	description of relations with suppliers, including if they are subject to control or regulation, es and applicable respective laws
ii.	eventual dependent on small number of suppliers
iii.	eventual price volatility
Ferrous materials	

Vale s ferrous materials business includes iron ore prospecting, pellet production, manganese ore prospecting, and ferroalloy production	ı. Each
activity is described below.	

Iron Ore and Iron Ore Pellets

1.1.1 Iron ore operations

Vale runs the majority of its iron ore operations in Brazil mainly through (a) Vale S.A., parent company, and (b) its wholly-owned subsidiary Mineração Corumbaiense Reunida S.A. (MCR) and (c) Vale s affiliate, Minerações Brasileiras Reunidas S.A. (MBR). Vale s mines, which are all open-pit and their operations are concentrated essentially in three systems: the Southeastern System, the Southern System and the Northern System, each with its own transportation capacity. Vale also has mining operations in the Central Western System and holds 50% interest in Samarco. Samarco operations have been suspended following the breakage of one of its waste Fundão barrages in the State of Minas Gerais in November 2015. All the iron ore operations of Vale in Brazil are held under concession by the federal government, which are granted for undetermined period.

156

Company/ Mining System	Location	Description / History	Mining	Operations	Power source	Access / Transportation
Vale Northern System	Carajás, in the State of Pará	Open-pit mines and ore processing plants. Divided into North Range, South Range, and East Range. Since 1985, Vale has been conducting mining activities in the Serra Norte, which is divided into three main mining bodies (N4W, N4E and N5) and two key processing plants. In 2014, Vale started a new mine and a new processing unit in Serra Leste. Vale expects its operations in Serra Sul, location of its S11D projects, to start in 2016.	High-grade hematite ore (iron content over 66% on average).	One-pit mining operations. The processing consists simply of sizing operations, including screening, hydrocycloning, crushing and filtration. The processing produces sinter feed, pellet feed, and granulated ore.	Power provided by the national power network, produced directly by Vale or acquired under power purchase contracts.	The iron ore is transported by the Carajás Railroad (EFC) to the Ponta da Madeira maritime terminal in the State of Maranhão. Iron ore from Serra Leste is carried by trucks from the mine to the EFC railroad.
Southeastern System	Iron Quadrangle region of the State of Minas Gerais	Three mining complexes: Itabira (two mines, with three important processing plants), Minas Centrais (three mines, with three	The ore reserves have high ratios of itabirite ore relative to hematite ore. Itabirite ore has iron grade between 35% and 60% and requires concentration to	Open-pit mining operations. Vale generally processes the run-of-mine (ROM) by means of standard crushing, followed by	Power provided by the national power network, produced directly by Vale or acquired under	The Vitória a Minas Railroad (EFVM) connects these mines to the Tubarão port.

Company/ Mining System	Location	Description / History	Mining	Operations	Power source	Access / Transportation
		important processing plants and one secondary plant) and Mariana (three mines and two processing plants).	achieve shipping grade.	classification and concentration steps, producing sinter feed, lump ore and pellet feed in the processing plants located at the mining complexes.	power purchase contracts	
Southern System	Iron Quadrangle region of the State of Minas Gerais	Three mining complexes: Minas Itabirito (four mines, and three major processing plants); Vargem Grande (three mines and two major processing plants); and Paraopeba (four mines and two processing plants). Part of these operations is conducted by	The ore reserves have high ratios of itabirite ore relative to hematite ore. Itabirite ore has iron grade between 35% and 60% and requires concentration to achieve shipping grade.	Open-pit mining operations. Vale generally processes the run-of-mine (ROM) by means of standard crushing, followed by classification and concentration steps, producing sinter feed, lump ore and pellet feed in the processing plants located	Power provided by the national power network, produced directly by Vale or acquired under power purchase contracts,	MRS transports Vale s core products from the mines to Guaíba Island and Itaguaí maritime terminals in the Brazilian State of Rio de Janeiro. EFVM railroad connects certain mines to the port of Tubarão.

Company/ Mining System	Location	Description / History	Mining	Operations	Power source	Access / Transportation
		Vale s subsidiary MBR.		at the mining complexes.		
Central western System (1)	State of Mato Grosso do Sul	Open-pit mining operations. Two mines and two plants located in the city of Corumbá.	Hematite ore that mainly generates granulated ore.	Open-pit mining operations. The mine operates through standard crushing, followed by classification, producing granulated and fine.	Power provided by the national power network, acquired from regional utilities.	Part of the sales is carried in barges through the Paraguay River to ports in Argentina, then going to the European and Asian markets. Another portion of the sales is carried to clients at the ports of Corumbá.
Samarco	Iron Quadrangle region of the State of Minas Gerais	Integrated system comprised of two mines, three processing plants, three pipelines, four pellet plants and a port.	Itabiriteore	Open-pit mining operations. Three processing plants located in the facility process ROM by means of standard crushing, classification and concentration steps, producing sinter feed, lump ore and pellet feed. Samarco operations	Power provided by the national power network, acquired from regional utilities or produced by Samarco.	Samarco mines serve Samarco processing plants by three pipelines of approximately 400 km. These pipelines transport the iron ore from the processing plants to the pelleting plants and from the pelleting plants to the port, in the State of Espírito Santo.

Table of Contents

Company/ Mining System	Location	Description / History	Mining	Operations	Power source	Access / Transportation
				have been suspended following the breakage of one of its waste Fundão barrages in the State of Minas Gerais in November 2015		

⁽¹⁾ Part of Vale s operations in the Central Western System is conducted by MCR.

1.2 Iron Ore Production

The following table sets forth information about Vale s iron ore production.

160

		Prod	uction for fiscal year ended o	n	
Mine/Plant	Type	2013	December 31 2014 (million metric tons)	2015	Recovery Process Rate in 2015 (%)
Southeastern System					
Itabira	Open pit	34.0	35.5	35.5	55.2
Minas Centrais	Open pit	37.8	33.0	41.2	67.7
Mariana	Open pit	37.6	38.9	35.9	81.8
Total Southeastern System		109.4	107.4	112.6	
Southern System Minas Itabirito		31.0	33.0	31.6	72.3
Vargem Grande	Open pit	22.0	25.0	29.3	70.7
Paraopeba	Open pit	26.0	28.2	25.8	95.1
Total Southern System		79.0	86.2	86.7	
Central western System					
Corumbá	Open pit	4.5	3.8	2.8	64.1
Urucum	Open pit	2.0	2.1	1.7	82.6
Total Central Western System		6.5	5.8	4.5	
Northern System					
Serra Norte	Open pit	104.9	117.4	127.6	98.2
Serra Leste	Open pit		2.2	2.0	98.7
Total Northern System		104,9	119.6	129.6	
Total of Vale (2)		299.8	319.0	333.4	
Samarco (3)	Open pit	10.9	13.1	12.7	53.6
Total		310.7	332.1	346.1	

The Água Limpa mine and plants are part of the Minas Centrais operations and are owned by Baovale Mineração S.A. (Baovale). Vale owns 100% of the voting shares and 50% of the total shares of Baovale. Production figures for Água Limpa were not adjusted to reflect Vale s ownership interest.

Production figures do not include the purchase of ore by third parties equal to 12.5 Mt in 2015, 12.3 Mt in 2014, and 10.6 Mt in 2013

Production figures for Samarco, in which Vale has a 50% interest, have been adjusted to reflect Vale s ownership interest.

1.1.3. Iron Ore Pellet Operations

Vale produces iron ore pellets in Brazil and in Oman, directly and by means of joint ventures, as shown in the table below. Vale also holds 25% in two iron ore pelleting plants in China, Zhuhai YPM Pellet Co., Ltd. (Zhuhai YPM) and Anyang Yu Vale Yongtong Pellet Co., Ltd. (Anyang). Vale s estimated total capacity is 64.7 million tons per year (Mtpa), including the full capacity of its Oman pelleting plants, but without its joint ventures Samarco, Zhuhai YPM and Anyang. Of the total 2015 pellet production, including the production from joint ventures, 68.6% corresponded to blast furnace pellets, and 31.4% corresponded to direct reduction pellets, which are used in steel mills that employ the direct reduction process rather than blast furnace technology. The Company meets all the iron ore needs of its pelletizing plants and part of the iron ore needs for Samarco and Zhuhai YPM. In 2015, Vale sold 9.8 million metric tons of the mine production to Samarco and 0.9 million metric tons of the pellet production to Zhuhai YPM. Vale suspended its gross ore sales to Samarco due to the suspension of Samarco mining operations caused by the breakage of the Samarco s waste Fundão barrage in November 2015.

Company / Plant	Description / History	Nominal capacity (Mtpa)	Power source	Other information	Our participation (%)	Partners
Brazil:						
Vale						
Tubarão (State of Espírito Santo)	Our Three wholly-owned pelleting units (Tubarão I, II, and VIII) and leasing of five plants. The iron ore is received from Vale s mines in the Southeastern System and distribution is done by Vale s logistics infrastructure. The Tubarão VIII plant started operations in the first half ion 2014	36.7 (1)	Power provided by the national power network, produced directly by Vale or acquired under power purchase contracts	Pelleting operations held in Tubarão I and II were suspended on November 13, 2012, due to changes in the demand of the steel industry for raw material, and they were replaced by operations at the Tubarão VIII plant, a new and more effective plant	100.0	

Company / Plant	Description / History	Nominal capacity (Mtpa)	Power source	Other information	Our participation (%)	Partners
Fábrica (State of Minas Gerais)	Part of the Southern System. Receives iron ore from the João Pereira and Segredo mines. Most part of the production is transported through MRS and EFVM.	4.5	Power provided by the national power network, produced directly by Vale or acquired under power purchase contracts.		100.0	
Vargem Grande (State of Minas Gerais)	Part of the Southern System. Receives iron ore from the Sapecado, Galinheiro, Capitão do Mato and Tamanduá mines, and most of the production is transported through MRS.	7.0	Power provided by the national power network, produced directly by Vale or acquired under power purchase contracts		100.0	
São Luís (State of Maranhão)	Part of the Northern System. Receives iron ore from Carajás mines and production is delivered to customers through Vale s Ponta da Madeira maritime terminal.	7.5	Power provided by the national power network, produced directly by Vale.	On October 8, 2012, Vale suspended operations at the pelleting plant in São Luís, for reasons similar to the ones that led to the suspension of operations at the Tubarão I and II plants.	100.0	

Company / Plant	Description / History	Nominal capacity (Mtpa)	Power source	Other information	Our participation (%)	Partners
Samarco	Four pelleting units with nominal capacity of 22.3 Mtpa. Pelleting units are located at Ponta Ubu, in Anchieta, State of Espírito Santo. The fourth pelleting plant started operations in the first half of 2014.	30.5	Power provided by the national power network, acquired from regional power companies or produced directly by Samarco.	In 2014, Vale started operation of a fourth pelleting plant with capacity of 8.3 Mtpa, to increase Samarco s pelleting nominal capacity to 30.5 Mtpa. In January 2016, Samarco suspended its pelleting operations when the pellet production became unavailable due to the suspension of its mining activities in November 2015.	50.0	BHP Billiton Brasil Ltda.
Oman:						
Vale Oman Pelletizing Company LLC	Vale Industry Complex. Two pelleting plants, with total nominal capacity of 9.0 Mtpa. Pelleting plants are integrated to Vale s distribution center with nominal capacity of 40.0 Mtpa.	9.0	Power provided by the national power network.	Oman facilities are supplied with iron ore from the Quadrilátero de Ferro area, in the State of Minas Gerais, through the port of Tubarão.	70.0	Oman Oil Company S.A.O.C.

⁽¹⁾ Vale s environmental operating licenses for pelleting plants in Tubarão provide for a capacity of 36.2 Mtpa.

1.1.4 Pellet Production

The table below provides information regarding Vale s main iron ore pellet production.

	Fiscal	year ending on December 31	
Firm	2013	2014	2015
		(million metric tons)	
Vale(1)	39.0	43.0	46.2
Samarco (2)	10.6	12.1	12.3
Total Production	49.6	55.1	58.5

The figure includes actual production, including the full production from Vale s pellet plants in Oman and four pelleting plants Vale leased in 2008, and a pelleting plant Vale has leased in Brazil in 2012. Vale signed a 10-year operating lease contract for Itabrasco s pellet plant in October 2008. Vale signed a five-year operating lease contract for Kobrasco s pellet plant in June 2008, renewed for another five years in 2013. Vale signed a 30-year operating lease contract for Nibrasco s two pellet plants in May 2008. On July 1, 2012, Vale signed a three-year operating lease contract for Hispanobrás pelleting plant, renewed for three years in 2015, and started consolidating production of this unit with Vale production.

(2) Production figures for Samarco, were adjusted to reflect Vale s ownership interest.

Clients, sales, and marketing

Vale supplies all of its iron ore and pellets (including its share in joint-venture pellet production) to the steel industry. Prevailing and expected levels of demand for steel products affect demand for iron ore and pellets. Demand for steel products is influenced by several factors, such as global industrial production, civil construction and infrastructure expenses.

In 2015, China accounted for 54% of Vale s iron ore and pellet shipments, and Asia, as a whole, accounted for 69%, while Europe, in turn, accounted for 15%, followed by Brazil with 11%. The ten largest customers of the Company collectively purchased 126 million metric tons of iron ore and pellets, representing 38% of the Company sales volumes of iron ore and pellets in 2015 and 35% of the total iron ore and pellet revenues of Vale. In 2015, no individual customer accounted for more than 10% of Vale s iron ore and pellet shipments.

In 2015, the Asian market (mainly Japan, South Korea, and Taiwan) and the European and Brazilian markets were the primary markets for Vale s blast furnace pellets, while the Middle East, North America and North Africa were the primary markets for direct reduction pellets.

Vale strongly emphasizes customer service in order to improve competitiveness. Vale works with its customers to understand their main objectives and to provide them with iron ore solutions to meet specific customer needs. Using the Company s expertise in mining, agglomeration and iron-making processes, Vale searches for technical solutions that will balance the best use of its world-class mining assets and the satisfaction of its customers. Vale believes that its ability to provide customers with a total iron ore solution and the quality of its products are very important advantages helping the Company to improve competitiveness in relation to competitors who may be more conveniently located geographically. In addition to offering technical assistance to customers, Vale operates sales support offices in St. Prex (Switzerland), Tokyo (Japan), Seoul (South Korea), Singapore, Dubai (UAE), and Shanghai (China) which support the sales made by Vale International. These offices also

Table of Contents

allow the Company to stay in closer contact with its customers, monitor their requirements and its contract performance, and ensure that the customers receive timely deliveries.

In 2015, Vale released a new iron ore fine product (Brazilian Blended Fines) to better serve market needs. Brazilian Blended Fines are a blend of fines from Carajás and the Southern System with good metallurgic performance and sintering capabilities. This product is sold from Terminal Marítimo de Teluk Rubiah in Malaysia, which, being closer to Asian clients, reduces the response time to those markets, and allows serving clients whose cargo receipt infrastructure and production scale are unable to receive large cargos, thus increasing Vale s distribution capillarity, using smaller vessels.

Vale sells iron ore and pellets under different agreements, including long-term agreements with customers and spot sales by means of auctions and business platforms. Vale s price determination is usually related to the index of prices in the spot market, like IODEX, and uses several mechanisms, including current spot prices and average prices for specific periods. When products are delivered prior to determination of the final price, Vale recognizes the sale based on a provisional price with subsequent adjustment to reflect the final price.

In 2015, Vale protected part of its total exposure to fuel prices related to (a) its own fleet and long term charter contracts (used to cover part of its carrying needs regarding CFR Cost and Freight sales) and (b) its FOB - Free on Board and domestic sales. Starting in 2016, Vale will no longer have fuel hedge transactions. Vale s fuel hedge transactions related to its own fleet and all charter contracts have been settled in 2015, but Vale still has outstanding hedge positions related to its FOB and domestic sales, which should be settled in 2016.

Competition

The global iron ore and iron ore pellet markets are highly competitive. The main factors affecting competition are price, quality and range of products offered, reliability, operating costs and shipping costs.

Vale s biggest competitors in the Asian market are located in Australia and include subsidiaries and affiliates of BHP Billiton PLC (BHP Billiton), Rio Tinto Ltd. (Rio Tinto), and Fortescue Metals Group Ltd (FMG). Vale is competitive in the Asian market for two reasons. First, steel companies generally seek to obtain the types (or blends) of iron ore and iron ore pellets that allow them to produce the intended final product in the most economical and efficient manner. Vale s iron ore has low impurity levels and other properties that generally lead to lower processing costs. For example, in addition to its high grade, the alumina grade of Vale s iron ore is very low compared to Australian ores, reducing consumption of coke and increasing productivity in blast furnaces, which is particularly important during periods of high demand. When demand is very high, the Company s quality differential usually is highlighted to customers. Second, steel companies often develop sales relationships based on a reliable supply of a specific mix of iron ore and iron ore pellets.

Operation and control of the logistic systems integrated to the Northern and Southeastern Systems help the Company to ensure that its products are delivered on time and at a relatively low cost. In addition, Vale continues developing a low-cost freight portfolio, aimed at enhancing its ability to offer products in the Asian market at CFR-based competitive prices, despite higher transportation costs, compared to Australian producers. To support this strategy, Vale built two distribution centers, one in Oman and the other in Malaysia, and two floating transfer stations (STFs) in the Philippines. Vale entered into medium and long-term freight contracts, and owns or chartered vessels, including large-size ore carriers, known as Valemax, which reduce power consumption and greenhouse gas emissions, carrying a larger amount of cargo in a single trip,

166

Table of Contents

carrying costs. These investments increase the speed and flexibility for customization and reduce the market time required for its products.

167

Table of Contents

Vale s main competitors in the European market are: Kumba Iron Ore Limited; Luossavaara Kiirunavaara AB (LKAB); Société Nationale Industrielle et Minière (SNIM); and Iron Ore Company of Canada (IOC), subsidiary of Rio Tinto. Vale is competitive in the European market for the same reasons it is competitive in Asia, but also due to the proximity of its port facilities to European customers.

The Brazilian iron market is also competitive and includes several smaller iron ore producers and new companies that are developing projects. Anglo American is working on strengthening the Minas-Rio project. Some steel plants, as Gerdau S.A. (Gerdau), Companhia Siderurgica Nacional (CSN), Vallourec Tubos do Brasil S.A., Usiminas, and ArcelorMittal, also have iron ore operations. Although price is important, quality and reliability are important factors as well. Vale believes that its integrated transportation systems, its high quality ore and technical support make it a strong competitor in the Brazilian market.

Regarding pelleting, Vale s main competitors are LKAB, ArcelorMittal Canada (formerly Quebec Cartier Mining Co.), Iron Ore Company of Canada (IOC), and Bahrain Stell (formerly Gulf Industrial Investment Co).

- 1.2 Manganese ore and ferroalloys
- 1.2.1 Manganese ore production and operations

Vale conducts its manganese operations in Brazil through Vale S.A. and its wholly-owned subsidiaries Vale Manganês S.A. (Vale Manganês), and MCR. The Company s mines produce three types of manganese products:

metallurgical ore used primarily in the production of manganese ferroalloys, raw material to produce carbon and stainless steel;

natural manganese dioxide, suitable for the manufacturing of electrolytic batteries; and

chemical ore used in various sectors for the production of fertilizers, pesticides and animal feed, and is also used as pigment in the ceramics industry.

Mining complex	Company	Location	Description / History	Mining	Operations	Power source	Access / Transportation
Azul (1)	Vale S.A.	Pará	Open pit mining operations and local processing	High content ore (minimum manganese content of	Crushing, followed by classification, producing granulated	Power provided by the national power network,	Manganese ore is carried in trucks and by EFC to Ponta da Madeira

plants.	40%)	and fine.	acquired from regional utility companies.	
	168			

							maritime terminal.
Morro da Mina	Vale Manganês	Minas Gerais	Open pit mining operations and a large processing plant. In January 2015, Vale suspended its operations due to market conditions.	Low content ore (24% content of manganese).	Crushing and screening/ average classification, producing granulated and fine for ferroalloy plants in Barbacena and Ouro Preto.	Power provided by the national power network, acquired from regional utility companies.	Manganese ore is carried in trucks to the ferroalloy plants in Barbacena and Ouro Preto.
Urucum	MCR	Mato Grosso do Sul	Underground mining operations and local processing plants.	High content ore (minimum manganese content of 40%)	Crushing, followed by classification, producing granulated and fine.	Power provided by the national power network, acquired from regional utility companies.	The manganese ore is carried to the Rosário port (Argentina) in barges through the Paraguay and Paraná rivers.

The table below presents information on Vale s manganese production.

		Pro	Production during fiscal year ending December 31				
Mine	Type	2013	2014	2015	2015		
		(million i	metric tons)		(%)		
Azul	Open pit	1.9	1.7	1.7	54.0		
Morro da Mina(1)	Open pit	0.1	0.1				
Urucum	Underground	0.4	0.6	0.7	83.0		
Total		2.4	2.4	2.4			

⁽¹⁾ Vale suspended the operations of the Morro da Mina mine in 2015 due to market conditions.

Table of Contents

1.2.2 Ferroalloy production and operations

Vale conducts its manganese ferroalloy businesses through its wholly-owned subsidiary Vale Manganês.

The production of manganese ferroalloys consumes significant amounts of power, representing 2.7% of the Company total consumption in Brazil in 2015. The power supply for Vale s ferroalloy plants is provided through long-term power purchase contracts. For information on risks associated to possible power supply issues, see item 4.1 in this Reference Form.

Vale produces several types of manganese ferroalloys, such as high carbon and medium carbon manganese and ferro-silicon manganese.

Plant	Location	Description / History	Nominal capacity	Power source
Minas Gerais Plants	Cities of Barbacena and Ouro Preto	Barbacena has six furnaces, two refinery stations and a crushing plant. Ouro Preto has three furnaces.	74,000 tons are processed per year at the plant in Barbacena and 65,000 tons per year at the plant in Ouro Preto.	Power provided by the national power network. Power also acquired from independent producers under power purchase agreements.
Bahia Plants	City of Simões Filho	Four furnaces, two conversion process and one sintering plant.	150,000 tons per year	Power provided by the national power network. Power also acquired from CHESF or power purchase agreements.

The table below presents information on Vale s production of ferroalloys.

	Production during fiscal year ending December 31						
Plant	2013	2014	2015				
	(thousand metric tons)						
Barbacena	45	50	6				
Ouro Preto	48	8	1				
Simões Filho	82	113	92				
Total	175	171	99				

170

Table of Contents

Vale has suspended operations at the Ouro Preto plant in February 2014, due to market conditions. In January 2015, the power purchase agreement, under which the Company purchases power to its plants in Barbacena and Ouro Preto terminated and the Company has suspended operations at the Barbacena plant as well. Vale is considering alternatives for power provision to these plants, considering power prices and current market conditions for manganese ferroalloys.

1.2.3 Manganese ore and ferroalloys: market and competition

The markets for manganese ore and ferroalloys are highly competitive. Competition in the manganese ore market takes place in two segments. High-grade manganese ore competes on a global seaborne basis, while low-grade ore competes on a regional basis. For some manganese ferroalloys, high-grade ore is mandatory, while for others high- and low-grade ores are complementary. The main suppliers of high-grade ores are located in South Africa, Gabon, Australia and Brazil. The main producers of low-grade ores are located in Ukraine, China, Ghana, Kazakhstan, India and Mexico.

The manganese ferroalloy market is characterized by a large number of participants who compete primarily on the basis of price. The principal competitive factors in this market are the costs of manganese ore, power, logistics and reductants, like copper and coal. Vale competes with stand-alone producers and integrated producers that also mine their own ore. The Company competitors are located mainly in countries that produce manganese ore or carbon steel.

- 2. Base Metals
- 2.1 Nickel

2.1.1 Operations

Vale conducts its nickel operations mainly through its wholly-owned subsidiary Vale Canada, which operates two nickel production systems, one at the North Atlantic and one in Asia-Pacific. The Company also operates a third nickel production system, Onça Puma, in South Atlantic. Vale s nickel operations are presented in the table below.

Mining System / Company	Location	Description / History	Operations	Mining license	Power source	Access / Transportation
North Atlantic						
Vale Canada	Canada Sudbury, Ontario	Integrated mining, crushing, smelting and refining	Primarily underground mining	Patented mining rights with no	Power provided by the Ontario	Located at the TransCanada road and two

operations to turn ore into refined nickel with nominal capacity of 66,000 metric tons of refined	operations with sulfate nickel with some copper, cobalt,	expiration date; mining	power network and	main railroads cross Sudbury. Finished products are
--	---	----------------------------	----------------------	--

Mining System / Company	Location	Description / History	Operations	Mining license	Power source	Access / Transportation
		nickel per year and additional feed of nickel oxide to the refinery in Wales. Mining operations in Sudbury started in 1885. Vale acquired Sudbury operations in 2006.	PGMs, gold, and silver. The Company also conducts smelting and refining of nickel concentrate at its operations in Voisey s Bay. Additionally, to producing finished nickel in Sudbury, Vale sends an intermediate product, nickel oxide, to its nickel refinery in Wales to process it into end products. Vale also is able to send nickel oxide to Asian Vale refineries. As part of Vale s efforts to reduce sulphur dioxide emissions and other emissions for purposes of compliance with Ontario and Manitoba rules changes and rationalize its foundry and refining assets throughout Canada, Vale will change its processes, including a change to a unique furnace in Sudbury in 2017.	leases end in 2016 and 2035; and mining license with undetermined validity term.(1)	produced directly by Vale.	delivered to the North-American market by truck. For customers abroad, products are loaded in containers and travel in intermodal model (truck / train / cargo vessel) for ports in Canada s eastern and western coast.
Vale Canada	Canada Thompson,	Integrated mining, crushing, smelting	Primarily underground	Application before the	Power provided by	Finished products are

Edgar Filing: Vale S.A. - Form 6-K

Manitoba and refining operations to turn ore into refined nickel with nominal	mining operations with sulfate nickel. These resources also	Lease Council matures	public utilities at	delivered to the North-American market by
---	---	--------------------------	------------------------	---

Mining System / Company	Location	Description / History	Operations	Mining license	Power source	Access / Transportation
		capacity of 50,000 metric tons of refined nickel per year. Mining at Thompson was discovered in 1956 and acquired by Vale in 2006.	contain some copper and cobalt. The local concentrate with nickel concentrate at the operations in Voisey s Bay for smelting and refining aiming to achieve a high quality nickel plate product. Vale is considering eliminating smelting and refining processes in Thompson, due to new federal rules of sulfur dioxide emissions to be in force in 2015. Vale initially ensured an extension of the deployment of the current Pollution Prevention Plan under the terms in the Canadian Environment Protection Law with Environment Canada that allows smelting and refining until 2018, subject to negotiated issuance limits.	between 2020 and 2025; mining leases end in 2034.	the province.	truck. For customers abroad, products are loaded in containers and travel in intermodal model (truck / train / cargo vessel) for ports in Canada s eastern and western coast.
Vale Newfoundland & Labrador Limited	Canada Voisey s Bay and Long Harbour, Newfound-land & Labrador	Open pit mine and ore processing into intermediate and end products nickel and copper	Comprised by the Ovoid open pit mine and deposits for underground	Mining concession end in 2027, with right to later renewals,	The power at Voisey s Bay is 100% provided by Vale diesel	Nickel and copper concentrates are carried to the port by trucks

of refined contain some copper	estima capaci appro 50,000	simately sulfate nickel ore, which also contain some	always for	generators. The	and then are shipped on solid bulk vessels for
--------------------------------	-------------------------------------	--	------------	--------------------	---

Mining System / Company	Location	Description / History	Operations	Mining license	Power source	Access / Transportation
		nickel per year, with the growth of the Long Harbour plant. s Bay operations started in 2005 and were acquired by Vale in 2006.	and cobalt. Most part of the nickel concentrates are currently sent to Vale operations in Sudbury and Thompson, for final processing (smelting and refinery), while copper concentrate is sold in the market. The Long Harbour plant continued the ramp-up in 2015. During preparation in 2015, Long Harbour processed a mix of concentrate with high nickel content from Voisey s Bay with nickel matt from PT Vale Indonesia Tbk PTVI and will migrate to concentrates from Voisey s Bay in 2016.	ten-year periods.	power at the Long Harbour refinery is provided by public utilities at the province.	external markets or Vale s operations in Long Harbour or other Canadian operations for additional refining.
Vale Europe Limited	UK Clydach, Wales	Autonomous nickel refinery (producer of refined nickel), with nominal capacity of 40 thousand metric tons per year. The Clydach Refinery started operations in 1902 and was acquired by Vale in 2006.	Processes a nickel intermediate product nickel oxide, provided by Sudbury or Matsuzaka to produce refined nickel as powder or pellets.		Power provided by the national power network.	Transported for the end customer in the United Kingdom and the continental Europe by truck. Products are sent to customers abroad by truck to the ports in Southampton and Liverpool, and shipped into ocean

		containers.
		containers.
Asia-Pacific		
	174	

Mining System / Company	Location	Description / History	Operations	Mining license	Power source	Access / Transportation
PT Vale Indonesia Tbk (PTVI,)	Indonesia Sorowako, Sulawesi	Open pit mine and respective processing plant (producer of matte nickel, and intermediate product) with nominal capacity of approximately 80,000 metric tons of matte nickel per year. PTVI stock are traded at the Indonesia Stock Exchange. Vale indirectly holds 59.3% of the capital stock of PTVI and Sumitomo Metal Mining Co., Ltd (Sumitomo) holds 20.2% and Sumitomo Corporation holds 0.1% and the public holds 20.5%. PVTI was created in 1968, started operations in 1978 and was acquired by Vale in 2006.	PTVI extracts lateritic nickel ore and produces matte nickel which is sent to refineries in Japan. According to guaranteed sale agreements during the mine use life, PTVI sells 80% of its production to its wholly-owned subsidiary Vale Canada and 20% to Sumitomo.	The employment agreement ends in 2025, with the right to extend its operations until, for two consecutive ten-year periods, subject to the approval of the Government of Indonesia.	Power produced at PTVI low cost hydroelectric power plants in the Larona River (there are currently three units). PTVI has thermal generators to complement its power supply with a power source that is not subject to hydrological factors.	Carried by truck for approximately 55 km to the river port, in Malili, and shipped in barges to load cargo ships to send to Japan.
Vale Nouvelle-Calédonie S.A.S (VNC)	New Caledonia Southern Province	Mining and processing operations (producer of nickel oxide and cobalt carbonate). VNC shares are held by Vale (80.5%), Sumic (14.5%) and Société de Participation Minière du Sud Caledonien SAS (SPMSC) (5%).(2)	Vale s nickel operations in New Caledonia are in ramp-up. VNC uses a high-pressure acid leaching process (HPAL) to handle lateritic limonitic and lateritic saprolitic ores. Vale expects to continue with the ramp-up in VNC in the next three years	Mining concession ending between 2016 and 2051. VNC requested a renewal of the only concession that is due to end in 2015.	Power supplied by the national power network and independent producers.	Products are carried into containers and transported by truck for approximately 4 km to the Prony port and shipped in an ocean container.

Mining System / Company	Location	Description / History	Operations	Mining license	Power source	Access / Transportation
			to reach nominal production capacity of 57,000 metric tons per year of nickel contained as nickel oxide, to be subsequently processed in its facilities in Asia, as hydroxide (IPNM) and 4,500 metric tons of cobalt as carbonate.			
Vale Japan Limited	Japan - Matsuzaka	Autonomous nickel refinery (producer of refined nickel), with nominal capacity of 60,000 metric tons per year. Vale holds 87.2% of shares, and Sumitomo holds the remaining stock. The refinery was built in 1965 and acquired by Vale in 2006.	Produces intermediate products to be subsequently treated in Vale s refineries in Asia and the United Kingdom, and nickel end products using matte nickel provided by PTVI.		Power provided by the national power network. Acquired from regional utilities.	Products are transported by public roads to customers in Japan. For customers abroad, products are carried into containers in the plant and sent through the Yokkaichi and Nagoya ports.
Vale Taiwan Ltd	Taiwan - Kaoshiung	Autonomous nickel refinery (producer of refined nickel), with nominal capacity of 18,000 metric tons per year. The refinery started production in 1983 and was acquired by Vale in 2006.	Produces refined nickel for the stainless steel industry, using intermediate products from Vale operations in Matsuzaka and New Caledonia.		Power provided by the national power network. Acquired from regional utilities.	Products transported by truck on public roads for customers in Taiwan. For customers abroad, products are carried into containers at the plant and sent through the Kaoshiung port.

Mining System / Company	Location	Description / History	Operations	Mining license	Power source	Access / Transportation
Vale Nickel (Dalian) Co. Ltd	China - Dalian, Liaoning	Autonomous nickel refinery (producer of refined nickel), with nominal capacity of 32,000 metric tons per year. Vale holds 98.3% of shares and a Ningbo Sunhu Chemical Products Co., Ltd. holds the remaining 1.7%. The refinery started production in 2008.	Produces refined nickel for the stainless steel industry, using intermediate products mainly from Vale operations in Matsuzaka and New Caledonia.		Power provided by the national power network. Acquired from regional utilities.	Product carried by truck on public roads and railroads for customers in China. Also provided by containers for some foreign and domestic customers.
South Atlantic						
Vale/Onça Puma	Brazil - Ourilândia do Norte, Pará	Mining, smelting, and refining operations producing high quality ferro-nickel to be used in the stainless steel industry	The Onça Puma mine is built over a nickel deposit of lateritic and saprolitic ore. The operation produces ferro-nickel through a rotating electric furnace process. Vale is currently operating with one single line, which nominal capacity is estimated at 25,000 metric tons per year. The Company will consider opportunities to restart operations at the second line, depending on market perspectives and performance of the single-line furnace.	Mining concession for undetermined period.	Power provided by the national power network, produced directly by Vale or acquired under power purchase contracts.	Ferro-nickel is transported by paved public road and by railroad to Vila do Conde maritime terminal, in the Brazilian State of Pará. Exporting operations are done in ocean containers.

In Sudbury, eight concessions will end in 2016. Vale filed applications to renew these concessions, but the approval process may take several years. Vale will be able to continue with its operations during the approval procedures.

Sumic is a joint venture between Sumitomo and Mitsui. Considering that VNC did not meet a specific production goal by December 2015, Vale Canada will acquire all the interests of Sumic held in VNC according to the shareholders—agreement of

Table of Contents

VNC. The share purchase price is US\$135 million and Vale Canadá will settle a total value of US\$ 218 million of debt financing granted by Sumic to VNC. The transaction is to be concluded in March 2016, but the payment for the purchase by Vale Canadá and the settlement of the financing by Sumic should occur in March 2017. Upon conclusion of the transaction in March 2016, Vale will hold 95% of the shares of VNC. The other shareholder, SPMSC, must increase its share in VNC to 10% in two years starting at the beginning of the commercial production.

2.1.2 Production

The following table sets forth Vale s annual mine production by operating mine (or on an aggregate basis for areas operating in Sulawesi, operated by PTVI, in Indonesia) and the average percentage grades of nickel and copper. The mine production at Sulawesi represents the product from PTVI s dryer kilns delivered to PTVI s processing operations and does not include nickel losses due to drying and smelting. For Vale operations in Sudbury, Thompson and Voisey s Bay, the production and average grades represent the mine product delivered to those operations respective processing plants and do not include adjustments due to processing, smelting or refining. For VNC operations, in New Caledonia, production and average content represent local ore production, without losses due to processing.

		Production during fiscal year ending December 31							
		2013			2014			2015	
		Gra			Grad			Gra	
		Copper	Nickel		Copper	Nickel		Copper	Nickel
	Production	(%)	(%)	Production	(%)	(%)	Production	(%)	(%)
Ontario									
operating mines									
Copper Cliff									
North	913	1.32	1.28	1,053	1.45	1.34	1,138	1.42	1.38
Creighton	915	2.01	2.19	903	1.81	2.47	774	2.00	2.33
Stobie	1,887,	0.59	0.65	2,089	0.58	0.66	1,471	0.63	0.73
Garson	815	1.42	1.75	678	1.39	1.75	778	1.39	1.94
Coleman	1,515,	3.15	1.52	1,385	3.10	1.52	1,309	2.95	1.56
Ellen	109	0.49	1.00	181	0.62	1.07	165	0.70	0.95
Totten	64	1.84	1.92	303	1.98	1.50	528	1.88	1.62
Gertrude	196	0.32	0.89						
Total Ontario									
operations	6,414,	1.61	1.33	6,591	1.57%	1.36	6,164	1.64	1.46
Manitoba									
operating mines									

		Production during fiscal year ending December 31							
		2013			2014			2015	
		Gra			Grade			Grade	
		Copper	Nickel		Copper	Nickel		Copper	Nickel
	Production	(%)	(%)	Production	(%)	(%)	Production	(%)	(%)
Thompson	1,175		2,07	1,184		1.95	1,163		1.82
Birchtree	613		1,39	545		1.39	564		1.47
Total Manitoba									
operations	1,788		1,84	1,729		1.78%	1,727		1.71
Voisey s Bay									
operating mines									
Ovoid	2,318	1,68	2,89	2,243	1.54%	2.58%	2,328	1.51	2.57
Sulawesi									
operating									
mining areas									
Sorowako	4,369		2,00	4,391		1.99%	4,694		1.99%
Mine operations									
in New									
Caledonia									
VNC	1,860		1,36	2,134		1.44%	2,561		1.41%
Mines in									
operation in									
Brazil									
Onça Puma	263		2,28	1,358		2.19%	1,024		2.13%
-									

The following table sets forth information about Vale s nickel production, including: (i) nickel refined at its facilities, and (ii) intermediates designated for sale. The figures below are reported on an ore-source basis.

		Production for fiscal year ending December 31			
Mine	Type	2013	2014	2015	
		(Tho	usands of metric tons	s)	
Sudbury (1)	Underground	69.4	64.3	54.4	
Thompson (1)	Underground	24.5	26.1	24.8	
Voisey s Bay(2)	Open pit	63.0	48.3	53.0	

Sorowako (3)	Open pit	78.8	78.7	79.5
Onça Puma(4)	Open pit	1.9	21.4	24.4
New Caledonia (5)	Open pit	16.3	18.7	26.9
External (6)		6.4	17.5	27.6
Total(7)		260.2	274,9	290.6

- (1) Primary nickel production only (i.e., does not include secondary nickel from unrelated parties).
- (2) Includes finished nickel produced at its Sudbury and Thompson operations, although informed based on the ore source in Voisey s Bay.
- (3) These figures are not required to reflect Vale s share. Vale holds 59.2% interest in PTVI, which owns the Sorowako mines.
- (4) Primary nickel production only. Nickel found in iron nickel.
- (5) Nickel found in nickel hydroxide (NHC) and nickel oxide (NiO). These figures are not required to reflect Vale s share. Vale holds 80.5% in VNC.
- (6) Finished nickel processed at Vale s facilities using feeds purchased from unrelated parties.
- (7) These figures do not include tolling of feeds for third-party.

2.1.3 Clients and sales

Vale s nickel customers are broadly distributed on a global basis. In 2015, 48% of the total nickel sales of Vale were delivered to customers in Asia, 24% to North America, 27% to Europe and 1% to other markets. Vale has short-term fixed-volume contracts with customers for the majority of its expected annual nickel sales. These contracts generally provide stable demand for a significant portion of its annual production.

Nickel is an exchange-traded metal, listed on the London Metal Exchange (LME), and most nickel products are priced according to a discount or premium to the LME price, depending primarily on the nickel product s physical and technical characteristics. Vale s finished nickel products represent what is known in the industry as primary nickel, meaning nickel produced principally from nickel ores (as opposed to secondary

nickel, which is recovered from recycled nickel-containing material). Finished primary nickel products are distinguishable according to the following characteristics, which determine the product price level and the suitability for various end-use applications:

Table of Contents

Nickel content and purity level: (i) intermediate products present various levels of nickel content, ii) nickel pig iron has 1.5% to 6% nickel, (iii) ferro-nickel has 10% to 40% nickel, (iv) finished nickel presenting less han 99.8% of nickel, including products such as Tonimet and Utility Nickel , (v) standard LME grade nickel has a minimum of 99.8% nickel, and (vi) high purity nickel has a minimum of 99.9% nickel and does not contain specific elemental impurities;							
•	Shape (such as pellets, discs, squares, strips and foams); and						
• less than one micro	Size (which varies according to the type of product and covers spherical products, as powder with n or 5mm granulates, and rectangular formats, as 1,000 mm x 750 mm x 15 mm cathode leaves).						
In 2015, the principal en	nd-use applications for nickel were:						
•	Stainless steel (67% of global nickel consumption);						
•	Non-ferrous alloys, alloy steels and smelting (17% of global nickel consumption);						
•	Nickel plating (7% of global nickel consumption); and						
• consumption).	Specialty applications, such as batteries, chemicals and powder metallurgy (9% of global nickel						
producers of 33%, offer	s refined nickel sales were made into non-stainless steel applications, compared to the industry average for primary nickel ring better stability for its sales volumes. As a result of Vale s focus on such higher-value segments, the average realized nickel have typically exceeded LME cash nickel prices.						

The Company offers sales and technical support to its customers on a global basis. The Company has a well-established global marketing network for refined nickel, based in Toronto, Canada. Vale also has sales and technical support offices in St. Prex (Switzerland), Saddle Brook,

New Jersey (United States), Tokyo (Japan), Shanghai (China), Singapore, and Kaohsiung (Taiwan).

2.1.4 Competition

The global nickel market is highly competitive. Vale s key competitive strengths include its long-life mines, low production costs compared to other nickel producers, sophisticated exploration and processing technologies, along with a diversified portfolio of products. The global marketing reach, diverse product mix, and technical support direct the products to the applications and geographic regions that offer the highest margins for its products.

Nickel deliveries of Vale represented 15% of global consumption for primary nickel in 2015. In addition to Vale, the largest suppliers in the nickel industry (each with their own integrated facilities, including nickel mining, processing, refining and marketing operations) are: Mining and Metallurgical Company Norilsk Nickel, Jinchuan Nonferrous Metals Corporation, and Glencore South 32. Together with Vale, these companies accounted for about 46% of global finished primary nickel production in 2015.

While stainless steel production is a major driver of global nickel demand, stainless steel producers can use nickel products with a wide range of nickel content, including secondary nickel (scrap). The choice between primary and secondary nickel is largely based on their relative prices and availability. Between 2012 and 2015, secondary nickel has accounted for about 40% to 43% of total nickel used to manufacture stainless steels, and primary nickel has accounted for about 57% to 601%. Nickel pig

Table of Contents

iron is a low-grade nickel product made mainly in China from imported lateritic ores that is suitable for use in stainless steel production. Starting in January 2014, the production of nickel pig iron in China was adversely affected by the restrictions on the exportation of unprocessed ore in Indonesia. Thus, there is an estimate that the nickel pig iron production dropped 20% year after year to approximately 360,000 metric tons, representing 23% of the global supply of primary nickel. The significant ore inventories stored in Indonesia and China, as well as the increased importation of the ore by the Philippines, minimized the effects of this drop in nickel pig iron production in 2015. Vale expects that the nickel pig iron production in China will drop even more in 2016 and 2017, with the end of high quality ore reserves in China.

Competition in the nickel market is based primarily on quality, reliability of supply and price. Vale believes its operations are competitive in the nickel market because of the high quality of its nickel products and its relatively low production costs.

2.2 Copper

2.2.1 Operations

Vale operates its copper businesses in Brazil at the parent-company level and in Canada through its wholly-owned subsidiaries.

Mining complex / Location	Location	Description / History	Mining / Operations	Mining License	Power source	Access / Transportation
Brazil						
Vale/Sossego	Carajás, State of Pará	Two main areas of copper, Sossego and Sequeirinho, and a processing facility to concentrate the ore. Sossego was developed by Vale and started production in 2004; with nominal capacity of 100,000 Terapascal (tpa) of copper concentrate.	Copper ore is explored in an open pit mine and is processed by primary crushing and transportation, SAG milling (a semiautogene mill using a large rotating drum full of ore, water and steel crushing spheres transform the	Mining concession for undetermined period.	Power provided by the national power network, produced directly by Vale or acquired under power purchase contracts.	The concentrate is transported by truck to the storage terminal in Parauapebas and, subsequently, is taken by the EFC to the port of Itaqui, in São Luís, State of Maranhão. Vale
			ore into a fine paste), milling, copper fluctuation in concentrate, waste disposal, concentrate, discharge filter.			built an 85 km road connecting Sossego to Parauapebas.

Mining complex / Location	Location	Description / History	Mining / Operations	Mining License	Power source	Access / Transportation
Vale/Salobo	Carajás, State of Pará	The Salobo I processing plant started production in 2012 and has a total capacity of 100,000 tpa of copper concentrate. The open pit mine and the plant are working on the increase of the capacity to 200.000 tpa of copper concentrate, with total deployment of the expansion of Salobo II.	Vale s copper and gold mine in Salobo is an open pit mine and the ore is processed by primary and secondary standard crushing, rollers, sphere milling, concentrate copper floating, residue disposal, concentrate closeness, disposal filter.	Mining concession for undetermined period.	Power provided by the national power network, acquired under the terms of power purchase agreements.	The concentrate is transported by truck to the storage terminal in Parauapebas and, subsequently, is taken by the EFC to the port of Itaqui, in São Luís, State of Maranhão. Vale built a 90 km road connecting Salobo to Parauapebas.
Canada						
Vale Canada	Canada Sudbury, Ontario	See Base metals Nickel Operations	Vale generates two intermediate copper products: copper concentrate and copper anodes. Vale also produces copper finished products, copper cathodes of electrolytic copper as by product of nickel refining operations. As part of Vale s efforts to reduce sulphur dioxide emissions and other emissions for purposes of compliance with Ontario and Manitoba rules changes and rationalize its foundry and refining assets throughout Canada, Vale will change its	See table of Vale	s nickel operations.	

Mining complex / Location	Location	Description / History	Mining / Operations	Mining License	Power source	Access / Transportation
			processes, including a change to a unique furnace in Sudbury in 2017. In order to prepare for this change, Vale will close the copper anode production unit in Sudbury in 2016, causing increase to the copper concentrate and copper intermediate productions.			
Vale Canada/Voisey s Bay	Canada s Voisey s Bay, Newfoundland and Labrador	See Base metals Nickel Operations	At Voisey s Bay, Vale produces concentrate copper.	See table of Vale	s nickel operation	ns
Zambia						
Lubambe	Zambian Copperbelt	Lubambe copper mine (formerly known as Konkola North), includes an underground mine, plant and related infrastructure. Teal Minerals (TEAL) (50/50 joint venture of Vale and African Rainbow minerals (ARM) indirectly holds 80% interest in Lubambe. ZCM Investments Holding PLC holds the remaining share (20%).	Nominal production capacity of 45,000 metric tons per year of concentrate copper. Production started in October 2012.	Mining concessions end in 2033.	Long term power supply agreement with a Zesco (power supplier owned by the government of Zambia).	Concentrate copper is transported by truck to local smelters.

2.2.2 Production

The following table provides information about Vale s copper production.

			Fiscal year ending December 31	
Mine	Type	2013	2014	2015
			(million metric tons)	
Brazil:				
Salobo:	Open pit	65	98	155
Sossego	Open pit	119	110	104
Canada:				
Sudbury	Underground	103	98	98
Voisey s Bay	Open pit	36	33	32
Thompson	Underground	2	2	1
External (1)		24	29	23
Chile:				
Tres Valles (2)	Open pit and			
	underground	11		
Zambia:	_			
Lubambe (3):	Underground	9	10	10
Total		370	380	424

Vale processes copper at its facilities using third party resources.

Vale sold Tres Valles in December 2013. Production in 2013 refers to production by the end of October

Vale s attributable production capacity is 40%, representing 80% of indirect interest by means of its 50% share.

2.2.3 Clients and sales

The copper concentrate from Sossego and Salobo is sold under mid and long-term contracts executed with copper smelters in Europe, India, and Asia. Vale maintains medium- and long-term copper distribution agreements with Glencore Canada, to sell anode copper and a significant part of copper concentrate produced in Sudbury. Copper concentrate from Voisey s Bay is sold under mid-term agreements with clients in Europe. Electrolytic copper from Sudbury is sold in North America under short-term sale agreement.

2.2.4 Competition

The copper global market is highly competitive. Producers are mining companies and customized smelters that cover all areas of the world; the customers are mostly producers of copper wires, rods and alloy. Competition takes place mostly at a regional

Table of Contents

level, and is based mostly in production, quality, distribution reliability and logistics costs. The largest cathode copper producers in the world are Corporación Nacional del Cobre de Chile (Codelco), Aurubis AG, Glencore Xstrata, Freeport-McMoRan Copper & Gold Inc. (Freeport-McMoRan), Jiangxi Copper Corporation Ltd and Glencore, operating at the parent company level or through subsidiaries. Vale s participation in the global refined copper cathodes market is negligible, because Vale has adopted a more competitive market position for copper concentrate.

Copper concentrate and copper anodes are intermediate products in the copper production chain. The concentrate and anode markets are competitive, with several producers, but few participants and smaller volumes than in the cathode copper market due to the high levels of integration of large copper producers.

In the copper concentrate market, the main producers are mining companies located in South America, while the consumers are smelters located mainly in Europe and Asia. Competition in the copper concentrate market takes place mostly at a global level, and is based mostly in product cost, quality, logistics costs and distribution reliability. Main competitors in the copper concentrate market are BHP Billiton, Freeport-McMoRan, Glencore, Codelco, and Antofagasta plc, operating at a parent company level and through subsidiaries. Vale s market share in 2015 was approximately 4% of the total concentrate market.

The copper anode/blister market is very limited. In general, anodes are produced to supply the integrated refining of every company. Anode/blister trade is limited to facilities that have more smelting capacity than what the plant can handle or the financial situation regarding logistics costs is an incentive to purchase anodes from other smelters. The main competitors in the anode market in 2015 were Codelco, Glencore, and China Nonferrous Metals, operating at a parent company level or through its subsidiaries.

2.3 PGM and other precious metals

As by-products of the Sudbury nickel operations in Canada, Vale recovers significant quantities of metals of the platinum group, as well as small quantities of gold and silver. Vale operates a processing facility in Port Colborne, Ontario, which produces PGMs, gold and silver intermediate products, using feeding from the operation in Sudbury. Vale has a refinery in Acton, England, where it processes intermediate products, as well as feeds purchased from unrelated parties and toll refined products. In the fiscal year ending on December 31, 2015, PGM concentrates from its Canadian operations account for 60% of its PGM production, which also includes metals purchased from unrelated parties. The base metal commercial department sells PGMs and other precious metals, as well as products from unrelated parties and toll-refined products, based on commission. Vale s copper concentrate from the Salobo and Sossego mines in Carajás, State of Pará, Brazil, also contain gold, which value is considered in the sale of such products.

In February 2013, Vale Switzerland S.A. signed an agreement with Silver Wheaton Corp. to sell 70% of the gold produced as a byproduct at its nickel mines in Sudbury, in Canada, in the next 20 years, and with Silver Wheaton (Caymans) Ltd. to sell 25% of payable gold flows produced as byproduct at its copper mine in Salobo, in Brazil, during the mine use life.

Additionally, on March 2, 2015, Vale executed a contractual amendment with Silver Wheaton (Caymans) Ltd., a wholly-owned subsidiary for purpose of selling the additional 25% flow of payable gold, produced as sub product of copper mining at the Salobo mine during the mine s use life. Under the terms in the goldstream agreement, Silver Wheaton received 141,879 troy ounces of gold in 2015. For further information on the

contracts executed with Silver Wheaton Corp. and Silver Wheaton (Caymans) Ltd., see items 5.2(e), 6.7, and 10.3 (b) in this Reference Form.

Table of Contents

The following table presents information on the Company s production of precious metals.

Mine(1)	Туре	Fis 2013	scal year ending December 31 2014	2015
			(Thousand troy ounces)	
Sudbury:				
Platinum	Underground	145	182	154
Paladium	Underground	352	398	341
Gold	Underground	91	83	89
Salobo:				
Gold	Open pit	117	160	251
Sossego:				
Gold	Open pit	78	78	80

Figures represent 100% of the gold production in Salobo and Cadbury and do not exclude the portion of gold sold to Silver Wheaton

2.4 Cobalt

Vale recovered significant quantities of cobalt, classified as a minor metal, as a by-product of its nickel operations. In the year ending on December 31, 2015, the Company produced 1,448 metric tons of refined cobalt metal at the Port Colborne refinery 2,926 metric tons of cobalt in a cobalt-based intermediate at the nickel operations in Canada and New Caledonia, the remaining cobalt production consisted of 159 metric tons of cobalt contained in other intermediate products (such as nickel concentrates). As result of the ramp-up of VNC operations in New Caledonia, the production of intermediate cobalt as by-product of nickel production is increasing. Vale sold cobalt on a global basis. Its cobalt metal, which is electro-refined at the Port Colborne refinery, has very high purity levels (99.8%), value higher than specified in LME contracts. Cobalt metal is used in the production of various alloys, particularly for aerospace applications, as well as the manufacture of cobalt-based chemicals.

The following table sets forth information on Vale s cobalt production.

		Fise	cal year ending December 31	
Mine	Type	2013	2014 (Metric tons)	2015
Sudbury	Underground	853	833	751

Thompson	Underground	292	489	365
Voisey s Bay	Open pit	256	952	849
New Caledonia	Open pit	1,117	384	2,391
External sources (1)		13	84	177
Total		3,532	743	4,533

3. Coal

3.1. Operations

Vale produces metallurgic and thermal coal by its subsidiaries Vale Moçambique, which operates the Moatize mine, and Vale Australia, which operates the mine in Carborough Downs. Vale also holds minority interest in the Chinese company, Henan Longyu Energy Resources Co., Ltd. (Longyu).

In December 2014, Vale executed an investment contract in order to have Mitsui acquire 15% of its interest in Vale Moçambique. Its interest in Vale Moçambique will be transferred to a company under common control of Vale (85%) and Mitsui (15%). The value attributed to the 15% interest held by Mitsui in Vale Moçambique is US\$ 450 million, and Mitsui is responsible for 15% of capital expenditures incurred since the date of execution of the contract. This transaction is subject to certain precedent conditions and closure is expected to occur in 2016.

Company / Mining complex	Location	Description / History	Mining / Operations	Mining license	Power source	Access / Transportation
Mozambique						
Vale Moçambique						
Moatize	Tete, Mozambique	Open pit mine developed directly by Vale. Operations started in August 2011 and should achieve nominal production capacity of 22 Mtpa, considering the Moatize expansion, comprised of metallurgic and thermal coal, and the	Produces metallurgic and thermal coal. The main brand product of Moatize is Chipanga premium hard coking coal, but there is	Mining concession ends in 2032, renewable after this date.	Power provided by local utility companies. Supply of local back-up.	Coal is transported from the mine to the port of Beira through railroad Linha do Sena and,

Company / Mining complex	Location	Description / History	Mining / Operations	Mining license	Power source	Access / Transportation
		expansion of the Nacala Logistic Corridor. Vale holds 95.0% interest and the remaining shares are held by Empresa Moçambicana de Exploração Mineira, S.A. Due to conclusion of the agreement executed in December 2014, Mitsui will acquire 15% of Vale s share in Vale Moçambique.	handling and preparation)		starting in January 2016, to the port of Nacala-a-Velha, through the Nacala Corridor.
Australia						
Integra Coal	Hunter Valley, New South Wales	Acquired from AMCI in 2007. Mining concessions at Carborough Downs include Rangal Coal Measures, in Bowen Basin, with the Leichardt and Vermont reserves. Both present coking properties and may be processed to produce metallurgic coal products and pulverized coal injection (PCI). Vale holds 90.0% interest and the remaining shares are held by JFE, Posco.	reserve is the main development goal of Vale and comprises 100% of the reserve and current resources.	Mining leases expire in 2035 and 2039.	Power provided by the national power network, acquired from local regional utility companies.	The product is carried in trains at the loading rail station and carried for 163 km to the Dalrymple Bay Coal terminal, in Queensland, Australia.

3.2 Production

The table below presents information on Vale s marketing coal production.

		Production	during fiscal year ending Dece	mber 31
Operation	Mine type	2013	2014	2015
			(thousand metric tons)	
Metallurgic coal:				
Vale Australia				
Integra Coal(1)(4)	Underground and			
	open pit	1,410	715	
Isaac Plains(2)	Open pit	656	746	
Carborough Downs(3)	Underground	2,447	1,857	2,383
Vale Moçambique				
Moatize(5)	Open pit	2,373	3,124	3,401
Total metallurgic coal		6,885	6,443	5,784
Thermal coal:				
Vale Australia				
Integra Coal(1)	Open pit	87	92	
Isaac Plains(2)	Open pit	347	326	
Vale Moçambique				
Moatize(5)	Open pit	1,444	1,784	1,560
Total thermal coal		1,878	2,202	1,560

These figures correspond to Vale s interest of 64.8% in Integra Coal, from the sale of Vale s share in December 2015

- These figures correspond to Vale s interest of 50.0% in Isaac Plains, from the sale of Vale s share in November 2015 a joint venture constituted as a partnership.
- The figures for 2013 and 2014 correspond to Vale s interest of 85.0% in Carborough Downs. Vale s interest in Carborough Downs increased to 90% in December 2014. The figures for 2015 correspond to Vale s interest of 90% in Carborough Downs.
- (4) Operations at Integra Coal and Isaac Plains are suspended since May and November 2014, respectively, and Vale s share in each mine, as well as respective assets, was sold in December and November 2015, respectively

Table of Contents
3.3 Clients and sales
Coal sales at Vale s operations in Australia are basically geared towards the Eastern Asian market. The coal sales from its Moatize operations, in Mozambique, aim global steel and power markets, including Asia, India, Africa, Europe and Americas. Vale s Chinese coal joint ventures directed their sales to the Chinese market.
3.4 Competition
The global coal industry, basically made up by the hard coal (metallurgical and thermal) and brown / lignite coal markets, is highly competitive.
The demand for steel, particularly in Asia, continues to promote a strong demand for metallurgical coal, while the power demand supports the demand for thermal coal. Vale expects a strong supply and lower prices for metallurgical coal in the coming years, reducing investments in greenfield projects, which may cause unbalance in the long term supply. Port and railroad limitations in some production areas, which cannot be solved without significant capital investment, may lead to a limited availability of additional metallurgical coal.
Competition in the coal industry is based mostly in production cost savings, coal quality, and transportation cost. Vale s main competitive advantages are ownership of a new and competitive transportation corridor, proximity compared to the Atlantic and Indian markets (compared to its main competitors) and the size and quality of Vale reserves.
The main participants in the transoceanic coal market are subsidiaries and affiliates and joint ventures BHP Billiton, Glencore Xstrata, Anglo American, Rio Tinto, Teck Cominco, Peabody, Walter Energy, and Shenhua Group, and others.
4. Fertilizers
Phosphates
Vale operates its phosphate business through its subsidiary and joint venture, as presented in the table below.
Our participation (%)
Firm System Voting Total Our partners

100.0

Vale Fertilizantes

Uberaba, Brazil

100.0

Compañia Minera Miski Mayo Bayóvar, Peru(1) 51.0 40.0 Mosaic, Mitsui & Co. S.R.L., located in Bayóvar, Peru.

Vale s share in Compañia Minera Miski Mayo S.R.L. is held through MVM Resources International B.V.

Vale Fertilizantes is a company that produces phosphate rock, phosphate fertilizers (P), (e.g. monoammonium phosphate (MAP), dicalcium phosphate (DCP), triple superphosphate (TSP) and single superphosphate (SSP)) and nitrogen (N) fertilizers (e.g., ammonia and ammonium nitrate). It is the largest producer of phosphate and nitrogen crop nutrients in Brazil. Vale Fertilizantes operates the following phosphate rock mines by means of concessions for undetermined term: Catalão, in

Table of Contents

the State of Goiás, Tapira and Patos de Minas and Araxá, in the State of Minas Gerais, and Cajati, in the State of São Paulo, all in Brazil. In addition, Vale Fertilizantes has nine processing plants for the production of phosphate and nitrogen nutrients located in Catalão, Goiás; Araxá, Patos de Minas, and Uberaba, all located in the state of Minas Gerais; Guará, Cajati, and three plants in Cubatão, all located in the state of São Paulo.

Since 2010, Vale also operates the Bayovar phosphate rock, in Peru, with nominal production capacity of 3.9 Mtpa, by means of one concession for undetermined term.

The following table contains information regarding Vale s phosphate rock production.

	Fiscal year ending December 31			
Mine	Туре	2013	2014	2015
			(million metric tons)	
Bayóvar	Open pit	3,546	3,801	3,881
Catalão	Open pit	1,057	1,055	1,000
Tapira	Open pit	1,869	2,055	1,970
Patos de Minas	Open pit	53	73	23
Araxá	Open pit	1,111	883	707
Cajati	Open pit	640	605	581
Total		8,277	8,421	8,163

Operations in Pato de Minas was suspended in the third quarter in 2015 due to market conditions.

The following table contains information regarding Vale s production of phosphate and nitrogen nutrients.

	F	iscal year ending December 31	
Product	2013	2014	2015
		(million metric tons)	
Monoammonium phosphate			
(MAP)	1,128	1,065	1,097
Triple superphosphate (TSP)	905	910	866
Single superphosphate (SSP)	2,102	1,854	1,953
Bicalcium phosphate (DCP)	444	502	480
Ammonia(1)	347	178	138
Urea(2)	219	0	
Nitric acid	416	469	475
Ammonium Nitrate	419	485	515

⁽¹⁾ After the sale of Araucária in June 2013, Vale produces ammonia only in the plant in Cubatão.

(2) After the sale of Araucária in June 2013, Vale no longer produces urea.

Table of Contents

4.2 Potash

Vale s potash operations are concentrated in Brazil and are carried out by the group comptroller, Vale S.A., at the parent-company level, by means of mining concessions for undetermined term. Vale entered a leasing agreement for Taquari-Vassouras, the only potash mine in Brazil (in Rosario do Catete, in the State of Sergipe), with Petrobras since 1992. In April 2012, Vale extended the concession for other 30 years. The following table sets forth information on Vale s production of potash:

Mine	Туре	2013	Siscal year ending December 31 2014 (Thousands of metric tons)	2015	Process Recovery in 2015 (%)
Taquari-Vassouras	Underground	492	492	481	82.9

4.3 Clients and sales

All potash sales from the Taquari-Vassouras mine are to the Brazilian market. In 2015, Vale sales represented close to 5% of total potash delivered in Brazil. Vale has a presence and long-standing relationships with the major players in Brazil, with more than 50% in 2015 of the sales allocated to four traditional clients.

Vale s phosphate products (MAP, TSP, SSP) are sold mainly to the fertilizer industry. In 2015, Vale sales represented near 31% of the total phosphate delivered in Brazil. In the high concentration segment, Vale s production represented 86% of the total production in Brazil in 2015. In the low phosphate concentration nutrients segment, Vale s production represented near 38% of total consumption in Brazil with products like SSP in 2015.

Vale s nitrogen segment produces 100% of the ammonia nitrate produced in Brazil. In addition, Vale is a global leading supplier of explosive ammonia nitrate in the Brazilian market.

4.4. Competition

The industry is divided into three major groups of nutrients: potash, phosphate and nitrogen. There are limited resources of potash around the world with Canada, Russia and Belarus being the main suppliers, each one with a small number of producers. The industry presents a high level of investment and requires long time for a project to mature. In addition, the potash segment is highly concentrated, with five major producers holding over 69% of the total global production capacity.

Phosphate rock is more accessible, but major exporters are located in Morocco, Algeria, Jordan, Egypt, and Peru. The five major producers of phosphate rock (China, Morocco, United States, Russia, and Jordan) hold 78% of global production in 2015, of which around 10% is exported.

However, products with greater added value, such as MAP and DAP are usually marketed instead of phosphate rock, due to cost-benefit relationship.

Table of Contents

Brazil is one of the largest agribusiness markets in the world due to its high production, exportation and consumption of grains and biofuel. It is the fourth-largest consumer of fertilizers in the world and one of the largest importers of phosphates, potash, and nitrogen. Brazil imports 95% of its consumed potash, which corresponded approximately to 5.1 Mtpa of K2O (potassium oxide) in 2015, 8% less than in 2014, from Canadian, Belarussian, Russian, German, and Israeli producers, in descending order. In terms of global potash consumption, China, the United States, Brazil, and India represented approximately 58% in 2015, where Brazil was responsible for 14% of this total global consumption. Vale s operations and fertilizer projects are highly competitive in terms of cost and logistics to meet the demand in the Brazilian market.

Most phosphate rock concentrate is consumed locally by downstream integrated producers, in the phosphate chain, with the seaborne market correspond in 2015 to 14% of total phosphate rock production. Major phosphate rock exporters are concentrated in Northern Africa, mainly through State-owned companies, with the Moroccan OCP Group, holding in 2015 29% of the total seaborne market. The phosphate rock seaborne trade supplies non-integrated producers of phosphate fertilizers such as SSP, TSP and MAP. Brazil imports 54% of the total phosphate needed, approximately 2.6 Mtpa of P2O5 equivalent (phosphate pentoxide) in 2015, 17% less than in 2014, and the main producers are Morocco, Russia, United States, and China, in descending order. Vale s phosphate operations are highly competitive in terms of cost and logistics to supply the Brazilian market.

Nitrogen-based fertilizers are basically derived from ammonia (NH3), which, in turn, is produced from the nitrogen that is present in the air and in natural gas, making it a nutrient with high level of energy. Ammonia is the main component of nitrogen-based fertilizers, as well as ammonia nitrate and urea. The production of nitrogen-based fertilizers presents a regional profile due to the high cost associated with transportation and storage of ammonia, which requires refrigerated and pressurized facilities. Consequently, in 2015, only 10% of ammonia produced in the world is traded in global markets. Asia receives the largest volume of imports, with 34% of the global market. Major exporting countries are Russia, Trinidad y Tobago, and Canada. Nitrogen operations are highly competitive in terms of cost and logistics to supply the Brazilian market.

5. Infrastructure

5.1. Logistics Services

Vale has developed its logistics business based on the transportation needs of its mining operations, while Vale also provides transportation services for other customers.

Vale conducts its logistics businesses at the parent-company level, through subsidiaries, affiliates, and joint ventures, as set forth in the following table.

			Our particip		
Company	Business	Location	Voting (%)	Total	Partners
Vale	Railroad (EFVM and EFC), port, and maritime operations	Brazil			
VLI (1)	Port, maritime and railroad operations in land terminals. Holding general cargo assets.	Brazil	37,6	37,6	FI-FGTS, Mitsui and Brookfield
MRS	Railroad operations	Brazil	47.1	48.2	CSN, Usiminas Participações e Logísticas and Gerdau
CPBS	Port, maritime and railroad operations	Brazil	100.0	100.0	
PTVI PTV	Port, maritime and railroad operations	Indonesia	59.3	59.3	Sumitomo, public investors
Vale Logística Argentina	Maritime operations.	Argentina	100.0	100.0	

			•	rticipation	
Company	Business	Location	Voting	Total (%)	Partners
CEAR(2) (4)	Railroad.	Malawi	43.4	43.4	Mozambique, P.E. ports and railroads
CDN(3) (4)	Maritime and railroad operations.	Mozambique	43.4	43.4	Mozambique, P.E. ports and railroads
CLIN	Maritime and railroad operations	Mozambique	80.0	80.0	Mozambique, P.E. ports and railroads
Vale Logistics Limited	Railroad operations.	Malawi	100.0	100.0	
Transbarge Navigación	River system in Paraguai and Paraná rivers (Comboios).	Paraguay	100.0	100.0	
VNC	Port and maritime terminal operations	New Caledonia	80,5	80,5	Sumic, SPMSC
VMM	Port and maritime terminal operations	Malaysia	100,0	100,0	
Vale Newfoundland & Labrador Limited	Port operations	Voisey s Bay and Long Harbour, in Newfoundland and Labrador	100	100	
Vale Oman Distribution Center LLC	Port and maritime terminals operations	Oman	100	100	

BNDES holds debentures issued by Vale, exchangeable in part to Vale $\,$ s share in VLI. If BNDES exercises its right on these debentures, Vale $\,$ s share in VLI will be reduced by $\,$ 8%

Vale holds control of its participation in CEAR through 85% interest in SDCN, holding 51% of CEAR.

Vale holds control of its participation in CDN through 85% interest in SDCN, holding 51% of CDN.

Upon conclusion of transactions with Mitsui, Vale held 21.7% of the voting capital of CEAR, 21.7% of the voting capital of CDN, 40% of the voting capital of CLN, and 50% of the voting capital of VLL.

(5) Upon conclusion of the sale of its share in Sumic of 10.5% in VNC for Vale in March 2016, Vale will hold 95% of VNC shares.

Table of Contents
5.1.1. Railroads
Brazil
Vitória a Minas railroad (EFVM). The EFVM railroad links Vale s Southeastern System mines in the Iron Quadrangle region in the State of Minas Gerais to the Tubarão Port, in Vitória, in the State of Espírito Santo. Vale operates this 905 km railroad under a 30-year renewable concession, which expires in 2027. The EFVM railroad consists of two lines of track extending for a distance of 601 km to permit continuous railroad travel in opposite directions, and single-track branches of 304 km. Industries are located in this area and major agricultural regions are also accessible to it. VLI holds rights to use the railroad transportation capacity at Vale s EFVM line. In 2015, EFVM carried daily an average of 341,6 metric tons of iron ore, or a total of 80.2 billion ntk of iron ore and other cargo. The EFVM railroad also carried 967 thousand passengers in 2015. On December 31, 2015, Vale had a fleet of 333 locomotives and 15,263 wagons at EFVM.
Carajás railroad (EFC). The EFC railroad connects Vale s mines in the Northern System in the Carajás region in the State of Pará to the maritime terminal Ponta da Madeira, in São Luís, in the State of Maranhão. Vale operates the EFC railroad under a 30-year renewable concession, which expires in 2027. EFC extends for 892 km from mines in Carajás to the Ponta da Madeira maritime terminal located near the Itaqui Port. Its main cargo is iron ore, principally carried mainly for the Company. VLI holds rights to use the railroad transportation capacity at Vale s EFC line. In 2014, EFC carried daily an average of 319,0 metric tons of iron ore. In 2015, the EFC railroad carried a total of 120.3 billion ntk of iron ore and other cargo. EFC also carried 301 thousand passengers in 2015. EFC supports the largest capacity train in Latin America, which measures 3.5 km, weighs 42,01 gross metric tons when loaded and has 330 cars. In 2015, EFC had a fleet of 284 locomotives and 17,125 wagons.
The principal items of cargo of the EFVM and EFC railroads are:
Iron ore, iron ore pellets, and manganese ore, carried for the Company and its customers;
Steel, coal, pig iron, limestone and other raw materials carried for customers with steel mills located along the railroad;
Agricultural products, such as grains, soybean meal and fertilizers; and
Other general cargo, such as pulp, fuel and chemical products.
Vale charges market prices for customer freight, including iron ore pellets originating from joint ventures and other enterprises in which Vale does not have 100% equity interest. Fees vary based on the distance traveled, the type of product transported and the weight of the freight in question, and are regulated by the Brazilian transportation regulatory agency, the Agência Nacional de Transportes Terrestres (ANTT).

Table of Contents

VLI provides logistic integrated solutions through 7,920 km of railroads in Brazil (Centro-Atlântica Railway and Norte-Sul Railway), eight land terminals with total capacity of storage of 730,000 tons and three maritime terminals and port operations. Vale holds 37.6% interest in VLI and is party in a shareholders—agreement with FI-FGTS, Mitsui, and Brookfield, which hold the remaining shares of VLI.
Main assets owned by VLI are:
Centro-Atlântica Railway. Central-east regional railway network of the Brazilian national railway system under a 30-year renewable concession which expires in 2026. The central east network has 7,220 km extending into the States of Sergipe, Bahia, Espírito Santo, Minas Gerais, Rio de Janeiro, Goiás and the Federal District.
Norte-Sul Railway. A 30-year renewable sub concession for commercial operation of a 720 km stretch of the North-South railroad, in Brazil, between the cities of Acailandia, in the State of Maranhão, and Porto Nacional, State of Tocantins. This railroad is connected to EFC, creating a new corridor for the transportation of general cargo, mainly for the export of soybeans, rice and corn produced in the center-northern region of Brazil.
The right to use the carrying capacity in Vale railroads, EFVM and EFC for general cargo; and
The right to use the carrying capacity in Vale terminals, Tubarão and Praia Mole for general cargo.
In 2015, VLI carried a total of 34.8 billion tku load, including 21.3 billion tku of Centro-Atlântica Railway and Norte-Sul Railway and 13.5 billion tku through Vale s operational agreements.
Additionally, Vale holds direct and indirect interest in MRS Logística S.A. (MRS), company that holds the concession to operate the railroad connecting the States of Rio de Janeiro, São Paulo and Minas Gerais, which is 1,643 km-long. In 2015, the MRS railroad carried a total of 167 million metric tons of cargo, including 80.7 million metric tons of iron ore and other cargo from Vale.
Africa

The Nacala logistic corridor, currently in the ramp up phase, connects the Moatize mine to the Nacala-à-Velha maritime terminal, located in Nacala, Mozambique, crossing the Republic of Malawi. The Nacala corridor is a railroad and a port infrastructure, including greenfield areas and remodeling railroads existing in Mozambique and Malawi and a new maritime terminal for coal in Mozambique. The Nacala Corridor will allow the Moatize mine expansion and will offer support to Vale s operations in the Southern Africa. In Mozambique, Vale operates under two concession agreements, one related to the new Mozambican railroad and the other related to the recently-built coal port, both maintained by Vale s subsidiary Corredor Logístico Integrado de Nacala S.A. (CLN), which mature in 2042, subject to extensions. In addition, Vale is

refurbishing existing railroads under the concession granted by Vale s subsidiary Corredor de Desenvolvimento do Norte S.A. (CDN), which matures in 2035. In Malawi, Vale operates under the concession held by its subsidiary Vale Logistics Limited (VLL), which matures in 2044, subject to extensions. Vale is rehabilitating existing railroads under the concession held by its subsidiary Central East African Railway Company Limited (CEAR), which was extended to 2013, with automatic renewal for a 30-year period starting from the beginning of railroad services under greenfield concession of VLL railroad.

200

Table of Contents

In December 2014, Vale entered into an investment agreement under which Mitsui acquired Vale s share in the Nacala Corridor. Vale s indirect interest in CLN, CDN, VLL and CEAR will be transferred to a controlling company jointly held (50% each) and controlled by Vale and Mitsui. Mitsui will invest US\$ 313 million on this controlling company, in equity and quasi-equity instruments. Vale and Mitsui are looking for project funding to replace part of the funds application provided by Vale. The transaction is subject to certain conditions precedent and the closure is expected to 2016.

5.1.2. Ports and maritime terminals

Brasil

Vale operates a port and maritime terminals principally as means to conclude the delivery of its iron ore and pellets to bulk carrier vessels serving the seaborne market. For more information, see item 1.1 Iron Ore and Pellets in this section of the Reference Form. Vale also uses its port and terminals to handle customers cargo.

Tubarão Termina. The Tubarão Terminal, which covers an area of approximately 18 square kilometers, is located in the city of Vitória, in the State of Espírito Santo, and contains: the iron ore maritime terminal and general cargo terminals (the Terminal de Granéis Líquidos and the Terminal de Produtos Diversos).

The Iron Ore Maritime Terminal has two piers. Pier I can maintain two anchored vessels at a time, one of up to 170,000 DWT on the southern side and one of up to 210,000 DWT on the northern side. Pier II can accommodate one vessel of up to 405,000 DWT at a time, limited at 23 meters draft plus tide. In Pier I, there are two ship loaders, which can load up to a combined total of 13,500 metric tons per hour each. In Pier II there are two ship loaders that could work alternately and can each load up to 16,000 metric tons per hour continuously. In 2015, Vale shipped 105.4 million metric tons of iron ore and pellets through the terminal. The iron ore maritime terminal has a storage yard capacity of 3.4 million metric tons.

Terminal de Produtos Diversos handled 8.1 million metric tons of grains and fertilizers in 2015. VLI is entitled to use the capacity of the Terminal de Produtos Diversos.

Terminal de Granéis Líquidos handled 614.6 thousand metric tons of fuel in 2015. VLI is entitled to use the capacity of the Terminal de Granéis Líquidos.

Terminal de Praia Mole. This is basically a coal terminal and held 12,3 million metric tons of coal in 2015. VLI is entitled to use the capacity of the Terminal de Praia Mole.

Terminal Marítimo de Ponta da Madeira. The Terminal Marítimo de Ponta da Madeira is located in the city of São Luís, State of Maranhão. Pier I can accommodate vessels displacing up to 420,000 DWT and has a maximum loading rate of 16,000 tons per hour. Pier III, with two berths and three ship loaders, can accommodate vessels of up to 200,000 DWT on the south berth and 180,000 DWT on the north berth or two 180,000 DWT ships simultaneously), depending on tide conditions, with maximum loading rate of 8,000 metric tons per hour in each. Pier IV (south berth) can accommodate vessels displacing up to 420,000 DWT and two ship loaders operating alternately with maximum loading rate of 16,000 tons per hour. Cargo shipped through Vale s Ponta da Madeira maritime terminal consists of its own iron ore production and manganese. In 2015, 124.7 million metric tons of iron were handled through the terminal. The Ponta da Madeira maritime terminal has a storage yard with

Table of Contents

capacity of 8.9 million metric tons, to be expanded to 10.7 million metric tons. VLI currently stores and operates with fertilizers, grains, pig iron and manganese ore, which are shipped through the Port of Itaqui.

202

Table of Contents

Terminal Marítimo de Itaguaí Cia. Portuária Baía de Sepetiba (CPBS). CPBS is a wholly owned subsidiary that operates the Itaguaí terminal, in the Port of Itaguaí, in Sepetiba, State of Rio de Janeiro, leased from Companhia Docas do Rio de Janeiro. Itaguaí s maritime terminal has docks that allow the loading of ships up to 17.8 meters of draft and up to 200,000 DWT. In 2015, the terminal loaded approximately 22.0 million metric tons of iron ore.

Terminal Marítimo de Ilha Guaíba. Vale operates a maritime terminal on Guaíba Island in the Sepetiba Bay, in the State of Rio de Janeiro. The iron ore terminal has a pier (with two branches) that allows the loading of ships of up to 350,000 DWT. In 2015, the terminal loaded approximately 47.3 million metric tons of iron ore.

VLI also operates the Terminal Marítimo Inácio Barbosa (TMIB ., owned by Petrobras, in the State of Sergipe; the Maritime Terminal TIPLAM, in the State of São Paulo, owned jointly by VLI and Vale Fertilizantes; and Pier II in the port of Itaqui, that can receive vessels of up to 155,000 DWT with load rate of a maximum of 8,000 tons per hour.

Argentina

Vale Logistica Argentina S.A. (Vale Logistica Argentina) operates a terminal at the San Nicolas port located in the province of Buenos Aires, Argentina, where it has been authorized to use a 20,000 square meters storage yard until October 2016 and has executed an agreement with unrelated parties to an additional 15,000 square meters storage yard. Vale handled 2.7 million metric tons of iron ore and manganese through this port in 2015, from Corumbá, Brazil, and carried through the Paraná and Paraguay rivers to be transported to Brazilian, Asian and European markets. The loading rate at this port is 24,000 tons per day and unloading rate of 13,200 tons per day.

Canada

Vale Newfoundland and Labrador Limited operates a port as part of its mining operations in Voisey s Bay, Labrador, and a port as part of its processing operations in Long Harbour, Newfoundland. The port in Voisey s Bay is used to ship nickel and copper and to refilling. The port in Long Harbour is used to receive nickel concentrate from Voisey s Bay with goods and materials required to operate Long Harbour.

Oman

Vale Oman Distribution Center LLC operates a distribution center in Liwa, Oman. The maritime terminal has a large deep water pier, a 600-m long platform connected to the beach by a 700-m long bridge, which is integrated to a storage Yard with movement and processing capacity of 40 Mtpa of iron ore and pellets per year. The nominal loading capacity of this port is 10,000 tons/hour and nominal unloading of 9,000 tons/hour.

Table of Contents
Indonesia
PTVI owns and operates two ports in Indonesia to support its nickel mining activities.
The Balantang Special Port is located in Balantang Village, in the South Sulawesi, and has two types of piers with total capacity of 10,000 DWT: two resources for barges of up to 4,000 DWT each for bulk dry volume and a dock for general cargo of up to 2,000 DWT.
The Harapan Tanjung Mangkasa Special Port is located in Lampia Village, in the South Sulawesi, with armoring buoys that can accommodate vessels displacing up to 20,000 DWT, and a terminal that can accommodate fuel tankers with displacing up to 2,000 DWT, with total capacity of 22,000 DWT. Recently the 2,000 terminal was expanded to increase the capacity in 5,000 DWT, and it is expected to be in use in June 2016. By July 2016, Tanjung Mangkasa should have total capacity of 25,000 DWT.
New Caledonia
Vale has and operates a port in Prony Bay, South Province, New Caledonia. This port has three terminals, including a passenger boat terminal for two vessels of up to 50 meters, a dock for dry bulk material for vessels of up to 55,000 DWT to unload at 8,000 tons a day and a general cargo dock for vessels of up to 215 meters. The general cargo dock can receive containers at 10 units per hour, liquid fuels (GLP, BPF, Diesel) at 350 cubic meters per hour, and break-bulk. The containers patio, a covered area of about 13,000 square meters, can receive up to 1,000 units. A bulk storage patio is linked to the port by a belt with storage capacity of 94,000 tons of limestone, 95,000 tons of sulfur and 60,000 tons of coal.
Malaysia
The Maritime Terminal Teluk Rubiah (TRMT) is located in the State of Perak, Malaysia, and has one dock and two moors that allow unloading vessels with approximate capacity of 400,000 DWT and loading ships with capacity of up to 220,000 DWT. In 2015, the terminal unloaded 15.2 million metric tons of iron ore and loaded 14.2 million metric tons of iron ore.
5.1.3. Navigation
Vale continued to develop and operate a low cost fleet of vessels, comprised of company-owned vessels and freight vessels through mid and long-term lease agreements, to carry its cargo from Brazil to its markets. Vale has 18 vessels in operation, including seven Valemax vessels, with capacity of 400,000 DWT, and other 9 capesize vessels, with capacities varying between 150,000 to 250,000 DWT. Vale also operates 27 Valemax vessels under long-term agreements. To support its strategy to deliver iron ore, Vale holds and operates 2 floating transfer stations in

the Subic Bay, in the Philippines, that transfers iron ore from Valemax vessels to smaller vessels that deliver the cargo to its destination. With

this service, the Company expects to improve its ability to offer iron ore products to the Asian market at competitive prices. In 2015, Vale carried approximately 188 million metric tons of iron ore and pellets on CFR and Cost, Insurance, and Freight (CIF) basis.

Table of Contents

In 2014 and 2015, Vale entered into cooperation agreements for the transportation of iron ore with carriers and financial institutions, located in China and in Hong Kong. Under these agreements, Vale (i) sold 12 of its 400,000 Valemax vessels for an aggregate value of US\$ 1,316 billion in December 2015, and (ii) negotiated long-term chartering agreements with Chinese shipbuilders to guarantee long-term loading capacity to transport iron ore from Brazil to Asia and protect Vale against the volatility of freight costs. In addition, Vale sold three ore carrying ships for approximately US\$ 23 million in 2015.

205

Table of Contents

On the Paraná and Paraguay fluvial system, Vale carried iron ore and manganese through its subsidiary, Transbarge Navigacion, which transported by rivers 3.86 million tons in 2015 and (ii) other chartered vessels. Barges are unloaded at the terminals of Vale local clients at contracted terminals in Argentina or at the facilities of its subsidiary, Vale Logistica Argentina, which carries ore in ocean vessels. Vale Logística Argentina carried 2.1 million tons of ore (out of a total capacity of 3 million tons) though the port of Saint Nicolas in seaborne vessels in 2015.

Vale manages a fleet with 25 tugboats, owning 25 vessels. Vale directly operates nine tugboats in the ports of Vitória and Mangaratiba, in the States of Espírito Santo and Rio de Janeiro, respectively. Four tugboats in the port of São Luis and Aracaju, in the States of Maranhão and Sergipe, respectively, are operated by consortium companies, in which Vale holds 50% interest. Other ten tugboats are freighted to and operated by third parties, under their responsibility, in other ports in Brazil.

5.1.4. Energy

Vale manages its power generation portfolio based on the current and projected energy needs of its operations, with the goal of reducing energy costs and minimizing the risk of energy shortages.

Brazil

Energy management and efficient supply in Brazil are priorities for Vale, given the uncertainties associated with changes in the regulatory environment, and the risk of rising prices. In 2015, Vale s total installed energy capacity in Brazil was 1.2 GW. Vale uses the electricity produced by these plants for its internal consumption needs. Vale currently has direct interest in three hydroelectric power plants and four small-sized hydroelectric plants in operation. The hydroelectric power plant Candonga in located in the Southeast, Machadinho is located in the South, Estreito is located in the North. The small-sized hydroelectric power plants Ituerê, Melo, Glória and Nova Maurício are located in the Southeast. Vale also has indirect interest in the hydroelectric power plants of Igarapava, Porto Estrela, Funil, Candonga, Aimorés, Capim Branco I, and Capim Branco II, through its 55% share in Aliança Geração. These hydroelectric power plants are located in the Southeast and part of the power generated is directed to Vale operations under a power purchase contract with Aliança Geração.

By means of Vale s subsidiary Aliança Norte Energia Participações S.A., Vale indirectly holds 4.59% of the stocks in Norte Energia S.A. (NESA), a company incorporated with the sole purpose of deploying and operating the Belo Monte Hydroelectric Plant, in the State of Pará, which shall start operations in the first quarter in 2016. Vale s interest in the Belo Monte project grants it the right to acquire 9% of the power generated by the plant, under a long term power purchase agreement, signed in 2012. For more information on this operation, see item 15.7 in this Reference Form.

Vale also produces, through its subsidiary Biopalma da Amazônia S.A. (Biopalma), palm oil in the State of Pará, with the main purpose of producing biodiesel, in the future, through an extraction plant to be installed by Biopalma. Biodiesel will be mixed with regular diesel to produce a fuel known as B20 (with 20% biodiesel), to be used in Vale s fleet of locomotives, trucks, and heavy machinery used in operations of the North System.

Table of Contents
Canada
In 2015, Vale s hydroelectric power plants in Sudbury generated 17% of the electricity requirements of Vale s operations in Sudbury. The power plants consist of five separate generation stations with an installed capacity of 56 MW. The output of the plants is limited by water availability, and by restrictions imposed by a water management plan regulated by the Government of the Province of Ontario. In 2015, the average requirements for power was 195 MW to all surface plants and mines in the Sudbury area.
In 2015, diesel generation provided 100% of the electric requirements of Vale s operations in Voisey s Bay. Vale has six diesel generators on-site, with capacity varying from 12 MW to 14 MW, for purposes of meeting seasonal demands.
Indonesia
Energy costs are a significant component of Vale s nickel production costs for the processing of saprolitic lateritic ores at PTVI operations in Indonesia. A great portion of the PTVI s electric furnace power requirements are supplied at low-cost by its three hydroelectric power plants located in the Larona River: (i) the Larona plant with average generation capacity of 165 MW, (ii) the Balambano plant with average generation capacity of 110 MW; and (iii) the Karebbe plant with average generation capacity of 90 MW. These plants help reducing the costs by replacing the diesel used in power generation for hydroelectric power, reducing CO2 emissions, replacing non-renewable power generation, which allow Vale to increase current nickel production capacity in Indonesia.
6. Other Investments
Vale holds 25% in iron ore pelleting plants in China: Zhuhai YPM and Anyang. The remaining shares of Zhuhai YPM are held by Zhuhai Yueyufeng Iron and Steel Co. Ltd. and Halswell Enterprises Limited, while the remaining shares of Anyang are held by Anyang Iron & Steel Co., Ltd.
Vale holds 25% interest in coal operations in China, in Longyu (Henan Province). Longyu produces metallurgic and thermal coal and other related products, and the remaining capital is held by Yongmei Group Co., Ltd. (formerly known as Yongcheng Coal & Electricity (Group) Co. Ltd.), Shanghai Baosteel International Economic & Trading Co., Ltd. and other minor shareholders. Vale also held a 25% share in Shandong Yankuang International Coking Company Limited (Yankuang), Chinese joint-venture that produces metallurgic coal coke, methanol, tar oil, and benzene, and the remaining shares are held by Yankuang Group Co. Ltd. and Itochu Corporation. However, on December 15, 2014, Vale

Vale holds indirect interest of 25% in Korea Nickel Corporation, which operates a nickel refinery in South Korea. The remaining shares are held by Korea Zinc Co., Ltd., Posteel Co., Ltd., Young Poong Co., Ltd., Pohang Technology College and other individual investors. Korea Nickel

executed an agreement with Itochu Corporation to sell its share in Yankuang to the Yankuang Group for the total amount of US\$1.00 (one real). This sale was approved by the Chinese government on March 30, 2015 in order to have Vale and Itochu Corporation out of said joint venture, and the sale of the interest held by Vale and by Itochu Corporation in Yankuang to the Yankuang Group was considered concluded on this date.

Corporation produces end nickel for the stainless steel industry using intermediate products from Vale operations in Matsuzaka and New Caledonia.

207

Table of Contents

Vale owns 50.0% of capital stock of California Steel Industries, Inc. (CSI), a producer of flat rolled steel and pipes, located in California, in the United States. The remaining 50% belongs to JFE Steel. CSI s annual production capacity is of about 2.8 million metric tons of flat products and pipes. Additionally, Vale holds a 26.9% stake in ThyssenKrupp Companhia Siderúrgica do Atlântico (TKCSA), an integrated producer of steel plates located in the State of Rio de Janeiro. The plant was commissioned in 2010, and produced 4.0 Mt in 2015. The final production capacity of TKSCA is 5.0 Mtpa of plates and will require 8.5 million metric tons per year of iron ore and pellets, while working in full capacity, supplied exclusively by Vale.

Vale is also engaged in two other steel projects in Brazil: Companhia Siderúrgica do Pecém (CSP), which is being constructed, and Aços Laminados do Pará (Alpa), which is under analysis considering discussions with the Brazilian government.

Additionally, Vale holds minor interest in two bauxite mining companies, both in Brazil: Mineração Rio do Norte S.A. (MRN) and Mineração Paragominas S.A. (Paragominas), and Vale has agreed upon transferring Vale s interests in Paragominas to Norsk Hydro ASA (Hydro). In 2014, Vale sold part of its interest in Paragominas to Hydro and expects to conclude the sale of its indirect 13.63% share to Hydro in 2016.

Vale has agreed upon selling its hydrocarbon on-shore exploration license in Peru, subject to regulatory approvals. Vale also holds licenses for off-shore exploration in Brazil, which are abandoned, subject to regulatory approvals.

- e. Key consumables and raw materials:
- i. Description of the relationships with suppliers, including whether they are subject to governmental control or regulation, identifying the institutions and applicable legislation

Vale is committed to build a sustainable business model and contribute to a just, environmentally balanced and economically prosperous society. Vale adopts the strategy in relation to its suppliers to maintain a long-term relationship in order to promote partnerships aimed at gains for both parties, through continuous innovation and development and supply of goods and quality services at a compatible cost.

In order to achieve continuous improvement and contribute to advances in the production chain, the management of relationships with its suppliers comprises the following steps:

Certification and registration of suppliers based on Vale s values, taking into account also the identification and analysis of supply risks (environmental, institutional, labor, social security, financial, health and safety, ethics, and social responsibility);

Third party Due Diligence aiming to mitigate reputation risks, arising out of eventual engagement by Vale s commercial partners with events that violate human rights or anticorruption laws applicable to Vale, as the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act FCPA and the UK Bribery Act and the

Brazilian law against corruption (Federal Law no. 12.846, dated August 1, 2013);

Monitoring the financial health of Vale s main suppliers of material, equipment, and services;

208

<u>Table of Contents</u>
Periodic performance evaluations to ensure compliance with applicable requirements and as defined in the contracting stage, as well as adherence to agreement expectations;
Development and support in suppliers training; and
Promotion and prospection of new suppliers.
Under the UN s Universal Declaration of Human Rights, Vale respects and promotes human rights in its activities, through its production chain and in regions where the Company operates.
In this sense, Vale aims to establish commercial relationships with suppliers who share its principles and values and who respect human rights.
Vale s principles and values are disclosed to its suppliers in its Supplier s Code of Ethics and Conduct, document signed by all the companies that have entered into a supply agreement with Vale. Note that this Code is available at the Company website (www.vale.com).
The suppliers agreements are preceded by a search in the list disclosed at the website of the Ministry of Labor and Employment (MTE) that includes individuals or legal entities found to be engaged in employing workers under slave work or analogous conditions and the list CEIS (National Registry of Suspected Companies) that lists companies with no good standing and suspended by the federal government. Other searches to public sanction lists may apply under specific circumstances.
The guidelines and criteria Vale adopts to evaluate its suppliers are based on the above mentioned environmental legal requirements applicable to suppliers whose operational processes involve the use of natural resources or are potentially polluting or likely to cause environmental degradation. In addition to these legal aspects, Vale s Environmental Management criteria and the principles of its Sustainable Development Policy are considered.
With regard to recipients of waste generated in Vale s production processes, they all are subject to audit by the Department of the Environment and Sustainable Development during their initial approval and periodic revalidation.
The main environmental laws applicable to this process are:
a) Environmental Permit

• Federal Law 6938/81 - National Environmental Policy
• CONAMA Resolution (National Council for the Environment) 237/97
• Complementary Law 140/11
• CONAMA Resolution (National Council for the Environment) 01/86.
• Federal Law 10165/00
• Federal Law 12651/12
• IBAMA (Brazilian Institute of Renewable Natural Resources) Normative Instructions 96/06 and 97/06.
209

Table of Contents
b) Pesticides
• Federal Law 7802/99
• Federal Decree 4047/02
• Law 6360/76 - ANVISA - National Agency for Sanitary Surveillance
c) Transportation of Dangerous Goods
• Decree 96044/88
• ANTT Resolution (National Ground Transportation Agency) 420/02
d) Radioactive Material
• CNEN Resolution (National Nuclear Energy Council) NE 2.01
• CNEN Resolution (National Nuclear Energy Council) NE 5.02
e) Explosive Materials
• Federal Decree 3665/00
f) Controlled Chemicals

• Ministry of Justice Decree 1274/2003.
ii. Potential dependence on few suppliers
The main consumables purchased by Vale in 2015 were: liquid and solid fuels, tires, parts and components of mining equipment, railroad equipment. Moreover, the main rendering of services included equipment installation and assembly, installation repair maintenance and operational services, in project management.
In 2015, the main categories of equipment purchased by the procurement division were comminution equipment and wagons. Vale s largest group of suppliers of these types of equipment are Sandvik and Qiqihar Railway Rolling Stock, accounting jointly for 3.2% of total purchases o Supplies in the period.
Fuel consumption is quite intense, especially in operations and transport of iron ore. Vale s main supplier of this consumable item is Petrobras Distribuidora, which accounted for approximately 80% of Vale s purchase of fuels in 2015.
In 2015, Vale used 59% of the electricity provided by self-production in Brazil and the remaining portion was consumed through purchase of power in the market, which main suppliers were Cemig, Eletronorte, and Enertrade.
210

Table of Contents
The 10 largest input, equipment and service providers represented 22% of the total purchases by December 31, 2015.
iii. Possible volatility in their prices
Vale has some contracts where prices are pegged to market indexes (parametric formulas) and therefore subject to these volatilities. Prices can also vary in relation to historical prices depending on offer versus demand in the market at the time of competition. For information related to eventual volatility in Vale s product prices, see item 4.2 in this Reference Form.
7.1 Description of main activities engaged by the issuer and its subsidiaries
Vale is one of the largest mining companies in the world by market value. Vale is the largest iron ore and iron ore nellets producer and the
Vale is one of the largest mining companies in the world by market value. Vale is the largest iron ore and iron ore pellets producer and the largest nickel producer in the world. Vale also produces manganese ore, ferroalloys, copper, thermal and metallurgical coal, phosphates, potash, cobalt, and platinum group metals (PGMs), gold and silver and other fertilizers. Vale is engaged in mineral exploration in 6 countries in the world. Vale operates large logistics systems in Brazil and in other areas of the world integrated with its mining operations, including railroads, maritime terminals and ports. In addition, Vale has a portfolio of maritime freight, floating transfer stations and distribution centers to support distribution of iron ore worldwide. Vale also has investments in the sectors of energy and steel, directly or through subsidiaries and joint ventures.
The corporate purpose of the Company is (i) realize the enjoinment of mineral reservoirs in national territory and abroad though research, exploration, extraction, processing, industrialization, transportation, shipment, and sale of minerals; (ii) build railroads, operate and explore railroad traffic of its own and of third parties, (iii) build and operate maritime terminals, owned or third parties , as well as explore navigation and

port support activities; (iv) provide load transportation integrated logistics services, including receipt, storage, transportation, distribution and delivery within the context of a multimode transportation system; (v) produce, process, carry, industrialize and sell each and every source and form of power, being entitled to act in the production, generation, transmission, distribution, and sale of its products, byproducts and sub products; and (vi) engage, in Brazil and abroad, in other activities that may be directly or indirectly of in the interest of the company to perform its corporate purpose, including research, industrialization, sale and purchase, importing and exporting, as well as exploration, industrialization and sale of forest resources and provision of services of any nature; and (vii) incorporate or participate, under any model, of other companies, consortiums, entities which corporate purpose is directly or indirectly linked, assisting or instrumental to its corporate purpose.

For information about the Company, see item 6.3 in this Reference Form.

For information about activities developed by the Company and its subsidiaries in its markets, see items 7.2 and 7.3 below.

7.2	Information on	operational segments
-----	----------------	----------------------

a. Products and services marketed in each operating segment

(i) Ferrous Materials Includes extraction of iron ore and production of pellets, as well as the North, Southern and Southeastern transportation systems, including railroads, ports, maritime terminals, and ships linked to these operations. Exploration of manganese ore and the production of ferroalloys are also included in this segment.

- *Iron ore and iron ore pellets.* Vale operates four systems in Brazil for production and distribution of iron ore, which are known as Northern, Southeastern, Southern, and Center-Western systems. The North and Southeastern systems are fully integrated and are composed of mines, railroads, maritime terminals and a port. The Southern system is composed of three mining complexes and two maritime terminals. Vale also has iron ore pellets operations in different locations, some of them under *joint ventures*. Vale operates 11 pelleting plants in Brazil and two in Oman. Operations of three of its pelleting plants in Brazil have been suspended since the fourth quarter of 2012, in response to market conditions, and its capacity has been partially replaced by Tubarão VIII, a more effective plant. In addition, Vale holds a 50% share in Samarco, *joint venture* that operates an integrated system in the states of Minas Gerais and Espírito Santo, in Brazil. Samarco operations have been suspended following the breakage of the Fundão waste barrage in November 2015. Vale also holds a 25% interest in two pelleting companies in China. For information related to the breakage of the Samarco barrage, see items 4, 7.9, and 10.1 in this Reference Form.
- Infrastructure logistics: Vale is a leading operator in logistic services in Brazil and in other areas of the world, with railroads, maritime terminals, distribution centers, and ports. Two of four iron ore systems of Vale include a railroad integrated with a port and maritime terminals. Vale also holds interest in MRS Logística S.A. (_MRS_), company that carries Vale_s iron ore products from the mines in the Southern System to its maritime terminals, and in VLI S.A. (_VLI_), company that offers integrated logistics solutions for general cargo using railroads and land and maritime terminals in Brazil. The logistic infrastructure to support Vale operations in the Southeast of Africa are currently in ramp up. Vale owns and charters vessels to carry bulk products, sold to clients on a cost and freight (_CFR_) basis.
- *Manganese ore and ferroalloys.* Vale conducts its manganese mining operations through the its *holding* (Vale S.A.) and subsidiaries in Brazil, and produces several manganese ferroalloys through a wholly-owned subsidiary in Brazil.
- (ii) Coal extraction of coal and related logistic services. Vale conducts its coal operations primarily in Mozambique through Vale Moçambique S.A., where metallurgic and thermal coal operations are in *ramp up*. Vale also maintains coal

operations in Australia through Rio Doce Austrália Pty Ltd. (<u>Vale Australia</u>), where the Company produces metallurgic coal in *Carborough Downs*. Vale also holds minor interests in a Chinese coal and coking producer.

(iii) Base metals Includes the production of non-ferrous minerals, including production of nickel (co-products and

Nickel. Nickel mines and processing operations are conducted by Vale s wholly-owned subsidiary, Vale Canada Limited (<u>Vale Canada</u>), with operations in Canada and in Indonesia. Vale also maintains nickel operations in Onça Puma, in the state of Pará, in Brazil. The company also owns and operates, or holds interests in

nickel refineries in the United Kingdom, Japan, Taiwan, China and South Korea. Vale is currently in ramp up of its

nickel operations in New Caledonia;

- *Copper.* In Brazil, Vale produces copper concentrate in Sossego and Salobo, in Carajás, in the state of Pará. Vale is concluding the *ramp up* of operations in Salobo. In Canada, Vale produces copper concentrates, copper anodes, and copper cathodes associated to its nickel mining operations in *Sudbury* and *Voisey s Bay.* In Zambia, Vale s *joint venture* produces copper concentrates in Lubambe, in the copper belt in Zambia.
- Cobalt, PGMs and other precious metals. Vale produces cobalt as a sub product of its nickel mining and processing activities in Canada and refines most part of it at its facilities in Port Colborne, in the Ontario Province, Canada. Vale also produces cobalt as a sub product of its nickel operations in New Caledonia, which are currently in ramp up. Vale produces platinum group metals (PGMs) as sub product of its nickel operations and processing in Canada. PGMs are concentrated at the Port Colborne facilities and are refined in its precious metal refinery in Acton, England. Vale produces gold and silver as sub products of its nickel mining and processing operations in Canada, and gold is also a sub product of copper mining in Brazil.

(iv) Fertilizers Includes three important nutrient groups: potassium, phosphates and nitrogen. Vale conducts its potash operations in Rosário do Catete, in the state of Sergipe, Brazil. Main Vale s phosphate operations are conducted by its subsidiary Vale Fertilizantes S.A., which holds

Table of Contents

most of the fertilizing assets of Vale in Brazil. Vale Fertilizantes is the largest Brazilian producers of fertilizers from phosphate rocks and phosphates and the second largest Brazilian producer of nitrogen-based fertilizers. Vale also maintains operations at the phosphate rock mine in Peru.

(iv) Others Includes investments in joint ventures and affiliates in other businesses.

The information presented to upper management regarding performance of each segment are usually originated from accounting records maintained according to generally accepted accounting principles in Brazil, with some minimum relocations between segments.

213

b. Revenue from the segment and its participation in the Company s net revenues

2015		2014		4		2013
In R\$ thousands		% of		% of		% of
Segment	Net Revenue	total	Net Revenue	total	Net Revenue	total
Ferrous Materials	55,413,000.00	64.81	60,395,000.00	68.42	75,668,000.00	74.56
Coal	1,739,000.00	2.03	1,740,000.00	1.97	2,188,000.00	2.16
Base Metals	20,491,000.00	23.97	18,137,000.00	20.55	15,746,000.00	15.51
Fertilizers	7,442,000.00	8.70	5,656,000.00	6.41	6,038,000.00	5.95
Others	414,000.00	0.48	2,347,000.00	2.66	1,850,000.00	1.82
Total Revenue	85,499,000.00	100	88,275,000.00	100.00	101,490,000.00	100.00

Profit or loss resulting from the segment and its participation in the Company s net income

	2015		2014		2013	
In R\$ thousands		% of		% of		% of
Segment	Profit/Loss	total	Profit/Loss	total	Profit/Loss	total
Ferrous Materials	(8,533,000.00)	19.30	1,109,000.00	116.25	7,588,000.00	6,593.04
Coal	(16,097,000.00)	36.41	(1,866,000.00)	(195.60)	(528,000.00)	(458.77)
Base Metals	(17,553,000.00)	39.70	4,793,000.00	502.41	(781,000.00)	(678.59)
Fertilizers	(707,000.00)	1.60	(2,206,000.00)	(231.24)	(6,088,000.00)	(5,289.73)
Others	(1,323,000.00)	2.99	(876,000.00)	(91.82)	(72,000.00)	(62.56)
Discontinued						
operations General	1					
load					(3,909.00)	(3.40)
Net Profit of the						
Period	(44,213,000.00)	100.00	954,000.00	100.00	115,091.00	100.00

7.3 Information on products and services related to the operating segments

- a. Characteristics of the production process
 b. Characteristics of the distribution process
 c. Characteristics of the markets, in particular:
 i. competition conditions in the markets
 ii. participation in each market
 d. Possible seasonality
- e. Main input and raw material, including:

- i. description of relations with suppliers, including if they are subject to control or regulation, indicating entities and applicable respective laws
- ii. eventual dependent on small number of suppliers
- iii. eventual price volatility

1. Ferrous materials

Vale s ferrous materials business includes iron ore prospecting, pellet production, manganese ore prospecting, and ferroalloy production. Each activity is described below.

1.1 <u>Iron Ore and Iron Ore Pellets</u>

1.1.1 Iron ore operations

Vale runs the majority of its iron ore operations in Brazil mainly through (a) Vale S.A., parent company, and (b) its wholly-owned subsidiary Mineração Corumbaiense Reunida S.A. (MCR) and (c) Vale s affiliate, Minerações Brasileiras Reunidas S.A. (MBR). Vale s mines, which are all open-pit and their operations are concentrated essentially in three systems: the Southeastern System, the Southern System and the Northern System, each with its own transportation capacity. Vale also has mining operations in the Central Western System and holds 50% interest in Samarco. Samarco operations have been suspended following the breakage of one of its waste Fundão barrages in the State of Minas Gerais in November 2015. All the iron ore operations of Vale in Brazil are held under concession by the federal government, which are granted for undetermined period.

Company/ Mining System	Location	Description / History	Mining	Operations	Power source	Access / Transportation
Vale Northern	Carajás, in the	Open-pit mines and	High-grade hematite ore	One-pit mining	Power	The iron ore is

Company/ Mining System	Location	Description / History	Mining	Operations	Power source	Access / Transportation
System	State of Pará	ore processing plants. Divided into North Range, South Range, and East Range. Since 1985, Vale has been conducting mining activities in the Serra Norte, which is divided into three main mining bodies (N4W, N4E and N5) and two key processing plants. In 2014, Vale started a new mine and a new processing unit in Serra Leste. Vale expects its operations in Serra Sul, location of its S11D projects, to start in 2016.	(iron content over 66% on average).	operations. The processing consists simply of sizing operations, including screening, hydrocycloning, crushing and filtration. The processing produces sinter feed, pellet feed, and granulated ore.	provided by the national power network, produced directly by Vale or acquired under power purchase contracts.	transported by the Carajás Railroad (_EFC) to the Ponta da Madeira maritime terminal in the State of Maranhão. Iron ore from Serra Leste is carried by trucks from the mine to the EFC railroad.
Southeastern System	Iron Quadrangle region of the State of Minas Gerais	Three mining complexes: Itabira (two mines, with three important processing plants), Minas Centrais (three mines, with three important processing plants and one secondary plant) and Mariana (three mines and two processing plants).	hematite ore. Itabirite ore has iron grade between 35% and 60% and requires	Open-pit mining operations. Vale generally processes the run-of-mine (ROM) by means of standard crushing, followed by classification and concentration steps, producing sinter feed, lump ore and pellet feed in the processing plants located at the mining complexes.	Power provided by the national power network, produced directly by Vale or acquired under power purchase contracts	The Vitória a Minas Railroad (<u>EFVM</u>) connects these mines to the Tubarão port.
Southern System	Iron Quadrangle region of the	Three mining complexes:	The ore reserves have high ratios	Open-pit mining operations. Vale	Power provided by the national	MRS transports Vale s core

State of Minas Gerais	Minas Itabirito (four mines, and three major	of itabirite ore relative to hematite ore.	generally processes the run-of-mine	power network, produced directly by Vale	products from the mines to Guaíba Island
	processing	Itabirite ore has	(ROM) by	or acquired	and Itaguaí
	plants); Vargem	iron grade	means of	under power	maritime
	Grande (three	between 35%	standard	purchase	terminals in the
	mines and two	and 60% and	crushing,	contracts,	Brazilian State
	major processing	requires	followed by		of Rio de
	plants); and	concentration to	classification and		Janeiro. EFVM
	Paraopeba (four	achieve shipping	concentration		railroad connects
	mines and two	grade.	steps, producing		certain mines to
	processing		sinter feed, lump		the port of
	plants). Part of		ore and pellet		Tubarão.
	these operations		feed in the		
	is conducted by		processing plants		
	Vale s subsidiary	•	located at the		
	MBR.		mining		
			complexes.		

Company/ Mining System	Location	Description / History	Mining	Operations	Power source	Access / Transportation
Central western System (1)	State of Mato Grosso do Sul	Open-pit mining operations. Two mines and two plants located in the city of Corumbá.	Hematite ore that mainly generates granulated ore.	Open-pit mining operations. The mine operates through standard crushing, followed by classification, producing granulated and fine.	Power provided by the national power network, acquired from regional utilities.	Part of the sales is carried in barges through the Paraguay River to ports in Argentina, then going to the European and Asian markets. Another portion of the sales is carried to clients at the ports of Corumbá.
Samarco	Iron Quadrangle region of the State of Minas Gerais	Integrated system comprised of two mines, three processing plants, three pipelines, four pellet plants and a port.	Itabiriteore	Open-pit mining operations. Three processing plants located in the facility process ROM by means of standard crushing, classification and concentration steps, producing sinter feed, lump ore and pellet feed. Samarco operations have been suspended following the breakage of one of its waste Fundão barrages in the State of Minas Gerais in November 2015	Power provided by the national power network, acquired from regional utilities or produced by Samarco.	Samarco mines serve Samarco processing plants by three pipelines of approximately 400 km. These pipelines transport the iron ore from the processing plants to the pelleting plants and from the pelleting plants to the port, in the State of Espírito Santo.

⁽¹⁾ Part of Vale s operations in the Central Western System is conducted by MCR.

1.2 Iron Ore Production

The following table sets forth information about Vale s iron ore production.

	Production for fiscal year ended on December 31						
Mine/Plant	Type	2013	2014	2015	Recovery Process Rate in 2015		
		(million	n metric tons)		(%)		
Southeastern System							
Itabira	Open pit	34.0	35.5	35.5	55.2		
Minas Centrais	Open pit	37.8	33.0	41.2	67.7		
Mariana	Open pit	37.6	38.9	35.9	81.8		
Total Southeastern System		109.4	107.4	112.6			
Southern System							
Minas Itabirito		31.0	33.0	31.6	72.3		
Vargem Grande	Open pit	22.0	25.0	29.3	70.7		
Paraopeba	Open pit	26.0	28.2	25.8	95.1		
Total Southern System		79.0	86.2	86.7			
Central western System							
Corumbá	Open pit	4.5	3.8	2.8	64.1		
Urucum	Open pit	2.0	2.1	1.7	82.6		
Total Central Western System		6.5	5.8	4.5			
Northern System							
Serra Norte	Open pit	104.9	117.4	127.6	98.2		
Serra Leste	Open pit		2.2	2.0	98.7		
Total Northern System		104,9	119.6	129.6			
Total of Vale (2)		299.8	319.0	333.4			
Samarco (3)	Open pit	10.9	13.1	12.7	53.6		
Total		310.7	332.1	346.1			

⁽¹⁾ The Água Limpa mine and plants are part of the Minas Centrais operations and are owned by Baovale Mineração S.A. (Baovale). Vale owns 100% of the voting shares and 50% of the total shares of Baovale. Production figures for **Água Limpa were not adjusted to reflect Vale s ownership interest.**

1.1.3. Iron Ore Pellet Operations

Vale produces iron ore pellets in Brazil and in Oman, directly and by means of joint ventures, as shown in the table below. Vale also holds 25% in two iron ore pelleting plants in China, Zhuhai YPM Pellet Co., Ltd. (<u>Zhuhai YPM</u>) and Anyang Yu Vale Yongtong Pellet Co., Ltd. (<u>Anyang</u>). Vale s estimated total capacity is 64.7 million tons per year (Mtpa), including the full capacity of its Oman pelleting plants, but without its joint ventures Samarco, Zhuhai YPM and Anyang. Of the total 2015 pellet production, including the production from joint ventures, 68.6% corresponded to blast furnace pellets, and 31.4% corresponded to direct reduction pellets, which are used in steel mills that employ the direct reduction process rather than blast furnace technology. The Company meets all the iron ore needs of its pelletizing plants and part of the iron ore needs for Samarco and Zhuhai YPM. In 2015,

⁽²⁾ Production figures do not include the purchase of ore by third parties equal to 12.5 Mt in 2015, 12.3 Mt in 2014, and 10.6 Mt in 2013

⁽³⁾ Production figures for Samarco, in which Vale has a 50% interest, have been adjusted to reflect Vale s ownership interest.

Vale sold 9.8 million metric tons of the mine production to Samarco and 0.9 million metric tons of the pellet production to Zhuhai YPM. Vale suspended its gross ore sales to Samarco due to the suspension of Samarco mining operations caused by the breakage of the Samarco s waste Fundão barrage in November 2015.

Company / Plant Brazil:	Description / History	Nominal capacity (Mtpa)	Power source	Other information	Our participation (%)	Partners
Vale						
Tubarão (State of Espírito Santo)	Our Three wholly-owned pelleting units (Tubarão I, II, and VIII) and leasing of five plants. The iron ore is received from Vale s mines in the Southeastern System and distribution is done by Vale s logistics infrastructure. The Tubarão VIII plant started operations in the first half ion 2014	36.7(1)Power provided by the national power network, produced directly by Vale or acquired under power purchase contracts	operations held in Tubarão I and II were	100.0	
Fábrica (State of Minas Gerais)	Part of the Southern System. Receives iron ore from the João Pereira and Segredo	4.5	Power provided by the national power		100.0	
			217			

Company / Plant	Description / History	Nominal capacity (Mtpa)	Power source	Other information	Our participation (%)	Partners
	mines. Most part of the production is transported through MRS and EFVM.		network, produced directly by Vale or acquired under power purchase contracts.			
Vargem Grande (State of Minas Gerais)	Part of the Southern System. Receives iron ore from the Sapecado, Galinheiro, Capitão do Mato and Tamanduá mines, and most of the production is transported through MRS.	7.0	Power provided by the national power network, produced directly by Vale or acquired under power purchase contracts		100.0	
São Luís (State of Maranhão)	Part of the Northern System. Receives iron ore from Carajás mines and production is delivered to customers through Vale s Ponta da Madeira maritime terminal.	7.5	Power provided by the national power network, produced directly by Vale.	On October 8, 2012, Vale suspended operations at the pelleting plant in São Luís, for reasons similar to the ones that led to the suspension of operations at the Tubarão I and II plants.	100.0	
Samarco	Four pelleting units with nominal capacity of 22.3 Mtpa. Pelleting units are located at Ponta Ubu, in Anchieta, State of Espírito Santo. The fourth pelleting plant started operations in the first half of 2014.	30.5	Power provided by the national power network, acquired from regional power companies or produced directly by Samarco.	In 2014, Vale started operation of a fourth pelleting plant with capacity of 8.3 Mtpa, to increase Samarco s pelleting nominal capacity to 30.5 Mtpa. In January 2016, Samarco suspended its pelleting operations when the pellet production became unavailable due to the suspension	50.0	BHP Billiton Brasil Ltda.

			of its mining activities in November 2015.		
Oman:					
Vale Oman Pelletizing Company LLC	Vale Industry Complex. Two pelleting plants, with total nominal capacity of 9.0 Mtpa. Pelleting plants are integrated to Vale s distribution center with nominal capacity of 40.0 Mtpa.	9.0	Oman facilities are supplied with iron ore from the Quadrilátero de Ferro area, in the State of Minas Gerais, through the port of Tubarão.	70.0	Oman Oil Company S.A.O.C.

1.1.4 Pellet Production

The table below provides information regarding Vale s main iron ore pellet production.

	Fiscal year ending on December 31							
Firm	2013	2014	2015					
	(million metric tons)							
Vale(1)	39.0	43.0	46.2					
Samarco (2)	10.6	12.1	12.3					
Total Production	49.6	55.1	58.5					

⁽¹⁾ Vale s environmental operating licenses for pelleting plants in Tubarão provide for a capacity of 36.2 Mtpa.

The figure includes actual production, including the full production from Vale s pellet plants in Oman and four pelleting plants Vale leased in 2008, and a pelleting plant Vale has leased in Brazil in 2012. Vale signed a 10-year operating lease contract for Itabrasco s pellet plant in October 2008. Vale signed a five-year operating lease contract for Kobrasco s pellet plant in June 2008, renewed for another five years in 2013. Vale signed a 30-year operating lease contract for Nibrasco s two pellet plants in May 2008. On July 1, 2012, Vale signed a three-year operating lease contract for Hispanobrás pelleting plant, renewed for three years in 2015, and started consolidating production of this unit with Vale production.

(2) Production figures for Samarco, were adjusted to reflect Vale s ownership interest.

1.1.5. Clients, sales, and marketing

Vale supplies all of its iron ore and pellets (including its share in joint-venture pellet production) to the steel industry. Prevailing and expected levels of demand for steel products affect demand for iron ore and pellets. Demand for steel products is influenced by several factors, such as global industrial production, civil construction and infrastructure expenses.

In 2015, China accounted for 54% of Vale s iron ore and pellet shipments, and Asia, as a whole, accounted for 69%, while Europe, in turn, accounted for 15%, followed by Brazil with 11%. The ten largest customers of the Company collectively purchased 126 million metric tons of iron ore and pellets, representing 38% of the Company sales volumes of iron ore and pellets in 2015 and 35% of the total iron ore and pellet revenues of Vale. In 2015, no individual customer accounted for more than 10% of Vale s iron ore and pellet shipments.

In 2015, the Asian market (mainly Japan, South Korea, and Taiwan) and the European and Brazilian markets were the primary markets for Vale s blast furnace pellets, while the Middle East, North America and North Africa were the primary markets for direct reduction pellets.

Vale strongly emphasizes customer service in order to improve competitiveness. Vale works with its customers to understand their main objectives and to provide them with iron ore solutions to meet specific customer needs. Using the Company's expertise in mining, agglomeration and iron-making processes, Vale searches for technical solutions that will balance the best use of its world-class mining assets and the satisfaction of its customers. Vale believes that its ability to provide customers with a total iron ore solution and the quality of its products are very important advantages helping the Company to improve competitiveness in relation to competitors who may be more conveniently located geographically. In addition to offering technical assistance to customers, Vale operates sales support offices in St. Prex (Switzerland), Tokyo (Japan), Seoul (South Korea), Singapore, Dubai (UAE), and Shanghai (China) which support the sales made by Vale International. These offices also allow the Company to stay in closer contact with its customers, monitor their requirements and its contract performance, and ensure that the customers receive timely deliveries.

In 2015, Vale released a new iron ore fine product (Brazilian Blended Fines) to better serve market needs. Brazilian Blended Fines are a blend of fines from Carajás and the Southern System with good metallurgic performance and sintering capabilities. This product is sold from Terminal Marítimo de Teluk Rubiah in Malaysia, which, being closer to Asian clients, reduces the response time to those markets, and allows serving clients whose cargo receipt infrastructure and production scale are unable to receive large cargos, thus increasing Vale s distribution capillarity, using smaller vessels.

Vale sells iron ore and pellets under different agreements, including long-term agreements with customers and spot sales by means of auctions and business platforms. Vale s price determination is usually related to the index of prices in the spot market, like IODEX, and uses several mechanisms, including current spot prices and average prices for specific periods. When products are delivered prior to determination of the final price, Vale recognizes the sale based on a provisional price with subsequent adjustment to reflect the final price.

In 2015, Vale protected part of its total exposure to fuel prices related to (a) its own fleet and long term charter contracts (used to cover part of its carrying needs regarding CFR — Cost and Freight sales) and (b) its FOB - Free on Board — and domestic sales. Starting in 2016, Vale will no longer have fuel hedge transactions. Vale — s fuel hedge transactions related to its own fleet and all charter contracts have been settled in 2015, but Vale still has outstanding hedge positions related to its FOB and domestic sales, which should be settled in 2016.

1.1.6. Competition

The global iron ore and iron ore pellet markets are highly competitive. The main factors affecting competition are price, quality and range of products offered, reliability, operating costs and shipping costs.

Vale s biggest competitors in the Asian market are located in Australia and include subsidiaries and affiliates of BHP Billiton PLC (BHP Billiton), Rio Tinto Ltd. (Rio Tinto), and Fortescue Metals Group Ltd (FMG). Vale is competitive in the Asian market for two reasons. First, steel companies generally seek to obtain the types (or blends) of iron ore and iron ore pellets that allow them to produce the intended final product in the most economical and efficient manner. Vale s iron ore has low impurity levels and other properties that generally lead to lower processing costs. For example, in addition to its high grade, the alumina grade of Vale s iron ore is very low compared to Australian ores, reducing consumption of coke and increasing productivity in blast furnaces, which is particularly important during periods of high demand. When demand is very high, the Company s quality differential usually is highlighted to customers. Second, steel companies often develop sales relationships based on a reliable supply of a specific mix of iron ore and iron ore pellets.

219

Table of Contents

Operation and control of the logistic systems integrated to the Northern and Southeastern Systems help the Company to ensure that its products are delivered on time and at a relatively low cost. In addition, Vale continues developing a low-cost freight portfolio, aimed at enhancing its ability to offer products in the Asian market at CFR-based competitive prices, despite higher transportation costs, compared to Australian producers. To support this strategy, Vale built two distribution centers, one in Oman and the other in Malaysia, and two floating transfer stations (STFs) in the Philippines. Vale entered into medium and long-term freight contracts, and owns or chartered vessels, including large-size ore carriers, known as Valemax, which reduce power consumption and greenhouse gas emissions, carrying a larger amount of cargo in a single trip, offering lower carrying costs. These investments increase the speed and flexibility for customization and reduce the market time required for its products.

Vale s main competitors in the European market are: Kumba Iron Ore Limited; Luossavaara Kiirunavaara AB (<u>LKAB</u>); Société Nationale Industrielle et Minière (<u>SNIM</u>); and Iron Ore Company of Canada (<u>IOC</u>), subsidiary of Rio Tinto. Vale is competitive in the European market for the same reasons it is competitive in Asia, but also due to the proximity of its port facilities to European customers.

The Brazilian iron market is also competitive and includes several smaller iron ore producers and new companies that are developing projects. Anglo American is working on strengthening the Minas-Rio project. Some steel plants, as Gerdau S.A. (<u>Gerda</u>u), Companhia Siderurgica Nacional (CSN), Vallourec Tubos do Brasil S.A., Usiminas, and ArcelorMittal, also have iron ore operations. Although price is important, quality and reliability are important factors as well. Vale believes that its integrated transportation systems, its high quality ore and technical support make it a strong competitor in the Brazilian market.

Regarding pelleting, Vale s main competitors are LKAB, ArcelorMittal Canada (formerly Quebec Cartier Mining Co.), Iron Ore Company of Canada (IOC), and Bahrain Stell (formerly Gulf Industrial Investment Co).

1.2 Manganese ore and ferroalloys

1.2.1 Manganese ore production and operations

Vale conducts its manganese operations in Brazil through Vale S.A. and its wholly-owned subsidiaries Vale Manganês S.A. (<u>Vale Manganês</u>), and MCR. The Company s mines produce three types of manganese products:

- metallurgical ore used primarily in the production of manganese ferroalloys, raw material to produce carbon and stainless steel;
- natural manganese dioxide, suitable for the manufacturing of electrolytic batteries; and
- chemical ore used in various sectors for the production of fertilizers, pesticides and animal feed, and is also used as pigment in the ceramics industry.

Mining complex	Company	Location	Description / History	Mining	Operations	Power source	Access / Transportation
Azul (1)	Vale S.A.	Pará	Open pit mining operations and local processing plants.	High content ore (minimum manganese content of 40%)	Crushing, followed by classification, producing granulated and fine.	Power provided by the national power network, acquired from regional utility	Manganese ore is carried in trucks and by EFC to Ponta da Madeira maritime terminal.

						companies.	
Morro da Mina	Vale Manganês	Minas Gerais	Open pit mining operations and a large processing plant. In January 2015, Vale suspended its operations due to market conditions.	Low content ore (24% content of manganese).	Crushing and screening/ average classification, producing granulated and fine for ferroalloy plants in Barbacena and Ouro Preto.	Power provided by the national power network, acquired from regional utility companies.	Manganese ore is carried in trucks to the ferroalloy plants in Barbacena and Ouro Preto.
Urucum	MCR	Mato Grosso do Sul	Underground mining operations and local processing plants.	High content ore (minimum manganese content of 40%)	Crushing, followed by classification, producing granulated and fine.	Power provided by the national power network, acquired from regional utility companies.	The manganese ore is carried to the Rosário port (Argentina) in barges through the Paraguay and Paraná rivers.

The table below presents information on Vale s manganese production.

		Production during	Production during fiscal year ending December 31			
Mine	Type	2013	2014	2015	2015	
		(million metri	ic tons)		(%)	
Azul	Open pit	1.9	1.7	1.7	54.0	
Morro da Mina(1)	Open pit	0.1	0.1			
Urucum	Underground	0.4	0.6	0.7	83.0	
Total		2.4	2.4	2.4		

⁽¹⁾ Vale suspended the operations of the Morro da Mina mine in 2015 due to market conditions.

1.2.2 Ferroalloy production and operations

Vale conducts its manganese ferroalloy businesses through its wholly-owned subsidiary Vale Manganês.

The production of manganese ferroalloys consumes significant amounts of power, representing 2.7% of the Company total consumption in Brazil in 2015. The power supply for Vale s ferroalloy plants is provided through long-term power purchase contracts. For information on risks associated to possible power supply issues, see item 4.1 in this Reference Form.

Vale produces several types of manganese ferroalloys, such as high carbon and medium carbon manganese and ferro-silicon manganese.

Plant	Location	Description / History	Nominal capacity	Power source
Minas Gerais Plants	Cities of Barbacena and Ouro Preto	Barbacena has six furnaces, two refinery stations and a crushing plant. Ouro Preto has three furnaces.	74,000 tons are processed per year at the plant in Barbacena and 65,000 tons per year at the plant in Ouro Preto.	Power provided by the national power network. Power also acquired from independent producers under power purchase agreements.
Bahia Plants	City of Simões Filho	Four furnaces, two conversion process and one sintering plant.	150,000 tons per year	Power provided by the national power network. Power also acquired from CHESF or power purchase agreements.

The table below presents information on Vale s production of ferroalloys.

	Production during fiscal year ending December 31					
Plant	2013	2014	2015			
		(thousand metric tons)				
Barbacena	45	45 50				
Ouro Preto	48	48 8				
Simões Filho	82	92				
Total	175	171	99			

Vale has suspended operations at the Ouro Preto plant in February 2014, due to market conditions. In January 2015, the power purchase agreement, under which the Company purchases power to its plants in Barbacena and Ouro Preto terminated and the Company has suspended operations at the Barbacena plant as well. Vale is considering alternatives for power provision to these plants, considering power prices and current market conditions for manganese ferroalloys.

1.2.3 Manganese ore and ferroalloys: market and competition

The markets for manganese ore and ferroalloys are highly competitive. Competition in the manganese ore market takes place in two segments. High-grade manganese ore competes on a global seaborne basis, while low-grade ore competes on a regional basis. For some manganese ferroalloys, high-grade ore is mandatory, while for others high- and low-grade ores are complementary. The main suppliers of high-grade ores are located in South Africa, Gabon, Australia and Brazil. The main producers of low-grade ores are located in Ukraine, China, Ghana, Kazakhstan, India and Mexico.

The manganese ferroalloy market is characterized by a large number of participants who compete primarily on the basis of price. The principal competitive factors in this market are the costs of manganese ore, power, logistics and reductants, like copper and coal. Vale competes with stand-alone producers and integrated producers that also mine their own ore. The Company competitors are located mainly in countries that produce manganese ore or carbon steel.

Tah	le	οf	Con	tents
1 au	ı	OI.	-con	wiito

2. Base Metals

2.1 Nickel

2.1.1 Operations

Vale conducts its nickel operations mainly through its wholly-owned subsidiary Vale Canada, which operates two nickel production systems, one at the North Atlantic and one in Asia-Pacific. The Company also operates a third nickel production system, Onça Puma, in South Atlantic. Vale s nickel operations are presented in the table below.

Mining System / Company	Location	Description / History	Operations	Mining license	Power source	Access / Transportation
North Atlantic						
Vale Canada	Canada Sudbury, Ontario	Integrated mining, crushing, smelting and refining operations to turn ore into refined nickel with nominal capacity of 66,000 metric tons of refined nickel per year and additional <i>feed</i> of nickel oxide to the refinery in Wales. Mining operations in Sudbury started in 1885. Vale acquired Sudbury operations in 2006.	Primarily underground mining operations with sulfate nickel with some copper, cobalt, PGMs, gold, and silver. The Company also conducts smelting and refining of nickel concentrate at its operations in Voisey s Bay. Additionally, to producing finished nickel in Sudbury, Vale sends an intermediate product, nickel oxide, to its nickel refinery in Wales to process it into end products. Vale also is able to send nickel oxide to Asian Vale	Patented mining rights with no expiration date; mining leases end in 2016 and 2035; and mining license with undetermined validity term.(1)	Power provided by the Ontario power network and produced directly by Vale.	Located at the TransCanada road and two main railroads cross Sudbury. Finished products are delivered to the North-American market by truck. For customers abroad, products are loaded in containers and travel in intermodal model (truck / train / cargo vessel) for ports in Canada s eastern and western coast.

refineries. As part of Vale s efforts to reduce sulphur dioxide emissions and other emissions for purposes of compliance with Ontario and Manitoba rules changes and rationalize its foundry and refining assets throughout Canada, Vale will change its processes, including a change to a unique furnace in Sudbury in 2017.

Vale Canada

Canada Thompson, Manitoba

Integrated mining, crushing, smelting and refining operations to turn ore into refined nickel with nominal capacity of also contain 50,000 metric tons of refined nickel per year. Mining at local Thompson was discovered in 1956 and acquired by Vale in 2006.

Primarily underground mining operations with matures sulfate nickel. These resources and 2025; some copper and cobalt. The concentrate with nickel concentrate at the operations in Voisey s Bay for smelting and refining aiming to achieve a high quality nickel plate product. Vale is considering eliminating smelting and refining

processes in Thompson, due to new federal rules of sulfur dioxide emissions to be in force in 2015. Vale initially ensured an extension of the deployment

Application Power provided Finished before the by public Lease Council utilities at the province. between 2020

mining leases

end in 2034.

products are delivered to the North-American market by truck. For customers abroad, products are loaded in containers and travel in intermodal model (truck / train / cargo vessel) for ports in Canada s eastern and western coast.

			of the current Pollution Prevention Plan under the terms in the Canadian Environment Protection Law with Environment Canada that allows smelting and refining until 2018, subject to negotiated issuance limits.			
Vale Newfoundland & Labrador Limited	Canada Bay	Voisey Open pit mine and ore processing into intermediate and	Comprised by the Ovoid open pit mine and deposits for	Mining concession end	The power at Voisey s Bay is	Nickel and copper concentrates are

Mining System Company	Location and Long Harbour,	Description / History end products nicke	Operations elunderground	Mining license in 2027, with	Power source 100% provided	Access / Transportation carried to the
	and Long Harbour, Newfound-land & Labrador	end products nicker and copper concentrate with estimated nominal capacity of approximately 50,000 metric tons of refined nickel per year, with the growth of the Long Harbour plant. s Bay operations started in 2005 and were acquired by Vale in 2006.	operations at a later moment. Vale extracts sulfate nickel ore, which also contain some copper and cobalt. Most part of the nickel concentrates are currently sent to Vale operations in Sudbury and Thompson, for final processing (smelting and refinery), while copper concentrate is sold in the market. The Long Harbour plant continued the ramp-up in 2015. During preparation in 2015, Long Harbour processed a mix of concentrate with high nickel content from Voisey s Bay with nickel matt from PT Vale Indonesia Tbk PTVI and will migrate to concentrates from Voisey s Bay in 2016.	in 2027, with right to later renewals, always for ten-year periods.	by Vale diesel generators. The power at the Long Harbour refinery is provided by public utilities at the province.	carried to the port by trucks and then are shipped on solid bulk vessels for external markets or Vale s operations in Long Harbour or other Canadian operations for additional refining.
Vale Europe Limited	UK Clydach, Wales	Autonomous nickel refinery (producer of refined nickel), with nominal capacity of 40 thousand metric tons per year. The Clydach Refinery started operations in 1902 and was	Processes a nickel intermediate product nickel oxide, provided by Sudbury or Matsuzaka to produce refined nickel as powder or		Power provided by the national power network.	Transported for the end customer in the United Kingdom and the continental Europe by truck. Products are sent to customers

		Edgar	Filing: Vale S	S.A Form 6-	·K	
		acquired by Vale in 2006.	pellets.			abroad by truck to the ports in Southampton and Liverpool, and shipped into ocean containers.
Asia-Pacific						
PT Vale Indonesia Tbk (_PTVI,_)	Indonesia Sorowako, Sulawesi	Open pit mine and respective processing plant (producer of matte nickel, and intermediate product) with nominal capacity of approximately 80,000 metric tons of matte nickel per year. PTVI stock are traded at the Indonesia Stock Exchange. Vale indirectly holds 59.3% of the capital stock of PTVI and Sumitomo Metal Mining Co., Ltd (Sumitomo) holds 20.2% and Sumitomo Corporation holds 0.1% and the public holds 20.5%. PVTI was created in 1968, started operations in 1978 and was acquired by Vale in 2006.	According to guaranteed sale agreements during the mine use life, PTVI sells 80% of its production to its wholly-owned subsidiary Vale Canada and 20% to	The employment agreement ends in 2025, with the right to extend its operations until, for two consecutive ten-year periods, subject to the approval of the Government of Indonesia.	Power produced at PTVI low cost hydroelectric power plants in the Larona River (there are currently three units). PTVI has thermal generators to complement its power supply with a power source that is not subject to hydrological factors.	Carried by truck for approximately 55 km to the river port, in Malili, and shipped in barges to load cargo ships to send to Japan.
Vale Nouvelle- Calédonie S.A.S (<u>VN</u> C)	New Caledonia Southern Province	Mining and processing operations (producer of nickel oxide and cobalt carbonate). VNC shares are held by Vale (80.5%), Sumic (14.5%) and Société de Participation Minière du Sud Caledonien SAS (_SPMSC_) (5%).(2	Vale s nickel operations in New Caledonia are in ramp-up. VNC uses a high-pressure acid leaching process (_HPAL_) to handle lateritic limonitic and lateritic saprolitic ores.) Vale expects to continue with the ramp-up in VNC in the next three years to reach nominal	Mining concession ending between 2016 and 2051. VNC requested a renewal of the only concession that is due to end in 2015.	Power supplied by the national power network and independent producers.	Products are carried into containers and transported by truck for approximately 4 km to the Prony port and shipped in an ocean container.

production capacity of 57,000 metric tons per year of nickel contained as nickel oxide, to be subsequently processed in its facilities in Asia, as hydroxide (IPNM) and 4,500 metric tons of cobalt

224

Mining System / Company	Location	Description / History	Operations	Mining license	Power source	Access / Transportation
Company	Location	Description / History	carbonate.	wining needse	1 ower source	Transportation
Vale Japan Limited	Japan - Matsuzaka	Autonomous nickel refinery (producer of refined nickel), with nominal capacity of 60,000 metric tons per year. Vale holds 87.2% of shares, and Sumitomo holds the remaining stock. The refinery was built in 1965 and acquired by Vale in 2006.	Produces intermediate products to be subsequently treated in Vale refineries in Asia and the United Kingdom, and nickel end products using matte nickel provided by PTVI.	3	Power provided by the national power network. Acquired from regional utilities.	Products are transported by public roads to customers in Japan. For customers abroad, products are carried into containers in the plant and sent through the Yokkaichi and Nagoya ports.
Vale Taiwan Ltd	Taiwan - Kaoshiung	Autonomous nickel refinery (producer of refined nickel), with nominal capacity of 18,000 metric tons per year. The refinery started production in 1983 and was acquired by Vale in 2006.	Produces refined nickel for the stainless steel industry, using intermediate products from Vale operations in Matsuzaka and New Caledonia.		Power provided by the national power network. Acquired from regional utilities.	Products transported by truck on public roads for customers in Taiwan. For customers abroad, products are carried into containers at the plant and sent through the Kaoshiung port.
Vale Nickel (Dalian) Co. Ltd	China - Dalian, Liaoning	Autonomous nickel refinery (producer of refined nickel), with nominal capacity of 32,000 metric tons per year. Vale holds 98.3% of shares and a Ningbo Sunhu Chemical Products Co., Ltd. holds the remaining 1.7%. The refinery started production in 2008.	Produces refined nickel for the stainless steel industry, using intermediate products mainly from Vale operations in Matsuzaka and New Caledonia.		Power provided by the national power network. Acquired from regional utilities.	Product carried by truck on public roads and railroads for customers in China. Also provided by containers for some foreign and domestic customers.
South Atlantic						
Vale/Onça Puma	Brazil - Ourilândia do Norte, Pará	Mining, smelting, and refining operations producing high quality ferro-nickel	The Onça Puma mine is built over a nickel deposit of lateritic and	Mining concession for undetermined period.	Power provided by the national power network, produced directly by Vale	transported by paved public road and by

furnace.		to be used in the stainless steel industry	saprolitic ore. The operation produces ferro-nickel through a rotating electric furnace process. Vale is currently operating with one single line, which nominal capacity is estimated at 25,000 metric tons per year. The Company will consider opportunities to restart operations at the second line, depending on market perspectives and performance of the single- line	or acquired under power purchase contracts.	do Conde maritime terminal, in the Brazilian State of Pará. Exporting operations are done in ocean containers.
----------	--	--	---	---	--

⁽¹⁾ In Sudbury, eight concessions will end in 2016. Vale filed applications to renew these concessions, but the approval process may take several years. Vale will be able to continue with its operations during the approval procedures.

⁽²⁾ Sumic is a joint venture between Sumitomo and Mitsui. Considering that VNC did not meet a specific production goal by December 2015, Vale Canada will acquire all the interests of Sumic held in VNC according to the shareholders—agreement of VNC. The share purchase price is US\$135 million and Vale Canadá will settle a total value of US\$ 218 million of debt financing granted by Sumic to VNC. The transaction is to be concluded in March 2016, but the payment for the purchase by Vale Canadá and the settlement of the financing by Sumic should occur in March 2017. Upon conclusion of the transaction in March 2016, Vale will hold 95% of the shares of VNC. The other shareholder, SPMSC, must increase its share in VNC to 10% in two years starting at the beginning of the commercial production.

2.1.2 Production

The following table sets forth Vale s annual mine production by operating mine (or on an aggregate basis for areas operating in Sulawesi, operated by PTVI, in Indonesia) and the average percentage grades of nickel and copper. The mine production at Sulawesi represents the product from PTVI s dryer kilns delivered to PTVI s processing operations and does not include nickel losses due to drying and smelting. For Vale operations in Sudbury, Thompson and Voisey s Bay, the production and average grades represent the mine product delivered to those operations respective processing plants and do not include adjustments due to processing, smelting or refining. For VNC operations, in New Caledonia, production and average content represent local ore production, without losses due to processing.

			Pro	oduction during	fiscal year en	ding Decem	ber 31		
		2013			2014			2015	
		Gra	de		Grad	de		G	rade
		Copper	Nickel		Copper	Nickel		Copper	
	Production	(%)	(%)	Production	(%)	(%)	Production	(%)	Nickel (%)
Ontario operating									
mines									
Copper Cliff North	913	1.32	1.28	1,053	1.45	1.34	1,138	1.42	1.38
Creighton	915	2.01	2.19	903	1.81	2.47	774	2.00	2.33
Stobie	1,887,	0.59	0.65	2,089	0.58	0.66	1,471	0.63	0.73
Garson	815	1.42	1.75	678	1.39	1.75	778	1.39	1.94
Coleman	1,515,	3.15	1.52	1,385	3.10	1.52	1,309	2.95	1.56
Ellen	109	0.49	1.00	181	0.62	1.07	165	0.70	0.95
Totten	64	1.84	1.92	303	1.98	1.50	528	1.88	1.62
Gertrude	196	0.32	0.89						
Total Ontario									
operations	6,414,	1.61	1.33	6,591	1.57%	1.36	6,164	1.64	1.46
Manitoba operating									
mines									
Thompson	1,175	_	2,07	1,184		1.95	1,163		1.82
Birchtree	613	-	1,39	545		1.39	564		1.47
Total Manitoba									
operations	1,788		1,84	1,729		1.78%	1,727		1.71
Voisey s Bay									
operating mines									
Ovoid	2,318	1,68	2,89	2,243	1.54%	2.58%	2,328	1.51	2.57
Sulawesi operating									
mining areas									
Sorowako	4,369		2,00	4,391		1.99%	4,694		1.99%
Mine operations in									
New Caledonia									
VNC	1,860	_	1,36	2,134		1.44%	2,561		1.41%
Mines in operation in									
Brazil									
Onça Puma	263	-	2,28	1,358		2.19%	1,024		2.13%

The following table sets forth information about Vale s nickel production, including: (i) nickel refined at its facilities, and (ii) intermediates designated for sale. The figures below are reported on an ore-source basis.

		Production for fiscal year	ending December 31	
Mine	Type	2013	2014	2015
		(Th	nousands of metric tons)	
Sudbury (1)	Underground	69.4	64.3	54.4
Thompson (1)	Underground	24.5	26.1	24.8
Voisey s Bay(2)	Open pit	63.0	48.3	53.0
Sorowako (3)	Open pit	78.8	78.7	79.5
Onça Puma(4)	Open pit	1.9	21.4	24.4
New Caledonia (5)	Open pit	16.3	18.7	26.9
External (6)		6.4	17.5	27.6
Total(7)		260.2	274,9	290.6

- (1) Primary nickel production only (i.e., does not include secondary nickel from unrelated parties).
- (2) Includes finished nickel produced at its Sudbury and Thompson operations, although informed based on the ore source in Voisey s Bay.
- (3) These figures are not required to reflect Vale s share. Vale holds 59.2% interest in PTVI, which owns the Sorowako mines.
- (4) Primary nickel production only. Nickel found in iron nickel.
- (5) Nickel found in nickel hydroxide (NHC) and nickel oxide (NiO). These figures are not required to reflect Vale s share. Vale holds 80.5% in VNC.
- (6) Finished nickel processed at Vale s facilities using feeds purchased from unrelated parties.
- (7) These figures do not include tolling of feeds for third-party.

2.1.3 Clients and sales

Vale s nickel customers are broadly distributed on a global basis. In 2015, 48% of the total nickel sales of Vale were delivered to customers in Asia, 24% to North America, 27% to Europe and 1% to other markets. Vale has short-term fixed-volume contracts with customers for the majority of its expected annual nickel sales. These contracts generally provide stable demand for a significant portion of its annual production.

Nickel is an exchange-traded metal, listed on the London Metal Exchange (LME), and most nickel products are priced according to a discount or premium to the LME price, depending primarily on the nickel product s physical and technical characteristics. Vale s finished nickel products represent what is known in the industry as primary nickel, meaning nickel produced principally from nickel ores (as opposed to secondary nickel, which is recovered from recycled nickel-containing material). Finished primary nickel products are distinguishable according to the following characteristics, which determine the product price level and the suitability for various end-use applications:

Table of Contents

- Nickel content and purity level: (i) intermediate products present various levels of nickel content, (ii) nickel pig iron has 1.5% to 6% nickel, (iii) ferro-nickel has 10% to 40% nickel, (iv) finished nickel presenting less than 99.8% of nickel, including products such as Tonimet and Utility Nickel, (v) standard LME grade nickel has a minimum of 99.8% nickel, and (vi) high purity nickel has a minimum of 99.9% nickel and does not contain specific elemental impurities;
- Shape (such as pellets, discs, squares, strips and foams); and
- Size (which varies according to the type of product and covers spherical products, as powder with less than one micron or 5mm granulates, and rectangular formats, as 1,000 mm x 750 mm x 15 mm cathode leaves).

In 2015, the principal end-use applications for nickel were:

- Stainless steel (67% of global nickel consumption);
- Non-ferrous alloys, alloy steels and smelting (17% of global nickel consumption);
- Nickel plating (7% of global nickel consumption); and
- Specialty applications, such as batteries, chemicals and powder metallurgy (9% of global nickel consumption).

In 2015, 58% of Vale s refined nickel sales were made into non-stainless steel applications, compared to the industry average for primary nickel producers of 33%, offering better stability for its sales volumes. As a result of Vale s focus on such higher-value segments, the average realized nickel prices for refined nickel have typically exceeded LME cash nickel prices.

The Company offers sales and technical support to its customers on a global basis. The Company has a well-established global marketing network for refined nickel, based in Toronto, Canada. Vale also has sales and technical support offices in St. Prex (Switzerland), Saddle Brook, New Jersey (United States), Tokyo (Japan), Shanghai (China), Singapore, and Kaohsiung (Taiwan).

2.1.4 Competition

The global nickel market is highly competitive. Vale s key competitive strengths include its long-life mines, low production costs compared to other nickel producers, sophisticated exploration and processing technologies, along with a diversified portfolio of products. The global marketing reach, diverse product mix, and technical support direct the products to the applications and geographic regions that offer the highest margins for its products.

Nickel deliveries of Vale represented 15% of global consumption for primary nickel in 2015. In addition to Vale, the largest suppliers in the nickel industry (each with their own integrated facilities, including nickel mining, processing, refining and marketing operations) are: Mining and Metallurgical Company Norilsk Nickel, Jinchuan Nonferrous Metals Corporation, and Glencore South 32. Together with Vale, these companies accounted for about 46% of global finished primary nickel production in 2015.

While stainless steel production is a major driver of global nickel demand, stainless steel producers can use nickel products with a wide range of nickel content, including secondary nickel (scrap). The choice between primary and secondary nickel is largely based on their relative prices and availability. Between 2012 and 2015, secondary nickel has accounted for about 40% to 43% of total nickel used to manufacture stainless steels, and primary nickel has accounted for about 57% to 601%. Nickel pig iron is a low-grade nickel product made mainly in China from imported lateritic ores that is suitable for use in stainless steel production. Starting in January 2014, the production of nickel pig iron in China was adversely affected by the restrictions on the exportation of unprocessed ore in Indonesia. Thus, there is an estimate that the nickel pig iron production dropped 20% year after year to approximately 360,000 metric tons, representing 23% of the global supply of primary nickel. The significant ore inventories stored in Indonesia and China, as well as the increased importation of the ore by the Philippines, minimized the effects of this drop in nickel pig iron production in 2015. Vale expects that the nickel pig iron production in China will drop even more in 2016 and 2017, with the end of high quality ore reserves in China.

Competition in the nickel market is based primarily on quality, reliability of supply and price. Vale believes its operations are competitive in the
nickel market because of the high quality of its nickel products and its relatively low production costs.

2.2 Copper

2.2.1 Operations

Vale operates its copper businesses in Brazil at the parent-company level and in Canada through its wholly-owned subsidiaries.

228

Mining complex / Location Brazil	Location	Description / History	Mining / Operations	Mining License	Power source	Access / Transportation
Vale/Sossego	Carajás, State of Pará	Two main areas of copper, Sossego and Sequeirinho, and a processing facility to concentrate the ore. Sossego was developed by Vale and started production in 2004; with nominal capacity of 100,000 Terapascal (tpa) of copper concentrate.	Copper ore is explored in an open pit mine and is processed by primary crushing and transportation, SAG milling (a semiautogene mill using a large rotating drum full of ore, water and steel crushing spheres transform the ore into a fine paste), milling, copper fluctuation in concentrate, waste disposal, concentrate, discharge filter.	Mining concession for undetermined period.	Power provided by the national power network, produced directly by Vale or acquired under power purchase contracts.	The concentrate is transported by truck to the storage terminal in Parauapebas and, subsequently, is taken by the EFC to the port of Itaqui, in São Luís, State of Maranhão. Vale built an 85 km road connecting Sossego to Parauapebas.
Vale/Salobo	Carajás, State of Pará	The Salobo I processing plant started production in 2012 and has a total capacity of 100,000 tpa of copper concentrate. The open pit mine and the plant are working on the increase of the capacity to 200.000 tpa of copper concentrate, with total deployment of the expansion of Salobo II.	Vale s copper and gold mine in Salobo is an open pit mine and the ore is processed by primary and secondary standard crushing, rollers, sphere milling, concentrate copper floating, residue disposal, concentrate closeness, disposal filter.	Mining concession for undetermined period.	Power provided by the national power network, acquired under the terms of power purchase agreements.	The concentrate is transported by truck to the storage terminal in Parauapebas and, subsequently, is taken by the EFC to the port of Itaqui, in São Luís, State of Maranhão. Vale built a 90 km road connecting Salobo to Parauapebas.
<u>Canada</u>						
Vale Canada	Canada Sudbury, Ontario	See Base metals Nickel - Operations	Vale generates two intermediate copper products: copper concentrate and copper anodes. Vale also produces copper finished products, copper	See table of Vale s nickel operations.		

			cathodes of electrolytic copper as by product of nickel refining operations. As part of Vale s efforts to reduce sulphur dioxide emissions and other emissions for purposes of compliance with Ontario and Manitoba rules changes and rationalize its foundry and refining assets throughout Canada, Vale will change its processes, including a change to a unique furnace in Sudbury in 2017. In order to prepare for this change, Vale will close the copper anode production unit in Sudbury in 2016, causing increase to the copper concentrate and copper intermediate productions.			
Vale Canada/Voisey s Bay	Canada Voisey s Bay, Newfoundland and Labrador	See Base metals Nickel - Operations	At Voisey s Bay, Vale produces concentrate copper.	See table of Vale s nickel operations		
<u>Zambia</u>						
Lubambe	Zambian Copperbelt	Lubambe copper mine (formerly known as Konkola North), includes an underground mine, plant and related infrastructure. Teal Minerals (TEAL)	Nominal production capacity of 45,000 metric tons per year of concentrate copper. Production started in October 2012.	Mining concessions end in 2033.	Long term power supply agreement with a Zesco (power supplier owned by the government of Zambia).	Concentrate copper is transported by truck to local smelters.
			220			

Mining complex / Location	Location	Description / History	Mining / Operations	Mining License	Power source	Access / Transportation
		(50/50 joint venture				
		of Vale and African				
		Rainbow minerals				
		(ARM) indirectly				
		holds 80% interest				
		in Lubambe. ZCM				
		Investments				
		Holding PLC holds				
		the remaining share				
		(20%).				

2.2.2 Production

The following table provides information about Vale s copper production.

Mine	Туре	2013	Fiscal year ending December 31 2014 (million metric tons)	2015
<u>Brazil:</u>				
Salobo:	Open pit	65	98	155
Sossego	Open pit	119	110	104
Canada:				
Sudbury	Underground	103	98	98
Voisey s Bay	Open pit	36	33	32
Thompson	Underground	2	2	1
External (1)	<u>-</u>	24	29	23
Chile:				
Tres Valles (2)	Open pit and underground	11		
Zambia:				
Lubambe (3):	Underground	9	10	10
Total		370	380	424

⁽¹⁾ Vale processes copper at its facilities using third party resources.

Vale sold Tres Valles in December 2013. Production in 2013 refers to production by the end of October

Vale s attributable production capacity is 40%, representing 80% of indirect interest by means of its 50% share.

2.2.3 Clients and sales

The copper concentrate from Sossego and Salobo is sold under mid and long-term contracts executed with copper smelters in Europe, India, and Asia. Vale maintains medium- and long-term copper distribution agreements with Glencore Canada, to sell anode copper and a significant part of copper concentrate produced in Sudbury. Copper concentrate from Voisey s Bay is sold under mid-term agreements with clients in Europe. Electrolytic copper from Sudbury is sold in North America under short-term sale agreement.

2.2.4 Competition

The copper global market is highly competitive. Producers are mining companies and customized smelters that cover all areas of the world; the customers are mostly producers of copper wires, rods and alloy. Competition takes place mostly at a regional level, and is based mostly in production, quality, distribution reliability and logistics costs. The largest cathode copper producers in the world are Corporación Nacional del Cobre de Chile (<u>Codelco</u>), Aurubis AG, Glencore Xstrata, Freeport-McMoRan Copper & Gold Inc. (<u>Freeport-McMoRan</u>), Jiangxi Copper Corporation Ltd and Glencore, operating at the parent company level or through subsidiaries. Vale s participation in the global refined copper cathodes market is negligible, because Vale has adopted a more competitive market position for copper concentrate.

Copper concentrate and copper anodes are intermediate products in the copper production chain. The concentrate and anode markets are competitive, with several producers, but few participants and smaller volumes than in the cathode copper market due to the high levels of integration of large copper producers.

In the copper concentrate market, the main producers are mining companies located in South America, while the consumers are smelters located mainly in Europe and Asia. Competition in the copper concentrate market takes place mostly at a global level, and is based mostly in product cost, quality, logistics costs and distribution reliability. Main competitors in the copper concentrate market are BHP Billiton, Freeport-McMoRan, Glencore, Codelco, and Antofagasta plc, operating at a parent company level and through subsidiaries. Vale s market share in 2015 was approximately 4% of the total concentrate market.

230

The copper anode/blister market is very limited. In general, anodes are produced to supply the integrated refining of every company. Anode/blister trade is limited to facilities that have more smelting capacity than what the plant can handle or the financial situation regarding logistics costs is an incentive to purchase anodes from other smelters. The main competitors in the anode market in 2015 were Codelco, Glencore, and China Nonferrous Metals, operating at a parent company level or through its subsidiaries.

2.3 PGM and other precious metals

As by-products of the Sudbury nickel operations in Canada, Vale recovers significant quantities of metals of the platinum group, as well as small quantities of gold and silver. Vale operates a processing facility in Port Colborne, Ontario, which produces PGMs, gold and silver intermediate products, using feeding from the operation in Sudbury. Vale has a refinery in Acton, England, where it processes intermediate products, as well as feeds purchased from unrelated parties and toll refined products. In the fiscal year ending on December 31, 2015, PGM concentrates from its Canadian operations account for 60% of its PGM production, which also includes metals purchased from unrelated parties. The base metal commercial department sells PGMs and other precious metals, as well as products from unrelated parties and toll-refined products, based on commission. Vale s copper concentrate from the Salobo and Sossego mines in Carajás, State of Pará, Brazil, also contain gold, which value is considered in the sale of such products.

In February 2013, Vale Switzerland S.A. signed an agreement with Silver Wheaton Corp. to sell 70% of the gold produced as a byproduct at its nickel mines in Sudbury, in Canada, in the next 20 years, and with Silver Wheaton (Caymans) Ltd. to sell 25% of payable gold flows produced as byproduct at its copper mine in Salobo, in Brazil, during the mine use life.

Additionally, on March 2, 2015, Vale executed a contractual amendment with Silver Wheaton (Caymans) Ltd., a wholly-owned subsidiary for purpose of selling the additional 25% flow of payable gold, produced as sub product of copper mining at the Salobo mine during the mine s use life. Under the terms in the goldstream agreement, Silver Wheaton received 141,879 troy ounces of gold in 2015. For further information on the contracts executed with Silver Wheaton Corp. and Silver Wheaton (Caymans) Ltd., see items 5.2(e), 6.7, and 10.3 (b) in this Reference Form.

The following table presents information on the Company s production of precious metals.

Mine(1)	Туре	2013	Fiscal year ending December 31 2014 (Thousand troy ounces)	2015
Sudbury:				
Platinum	Underground	145	182	154
Paladium	Underground	352	398	341
Gold	Underground	91	83	89
Salobo:				
Gold	Open pit	117	160	251
Sossego:				
Gold	Open pit	78	78	80

(1) Figures represent 100% of the gold production in Salobo and Cadbury and do not exclude the portion of gold sold to Silver Wheaton

2.4 Cobalt

Vale recovered significant quantities of cobalt, classified as a minor metal, as a by-product of its nickel operations. In the year ending on December 31, 2015, the Company produced 1,448 metric tons of refined cobalt metal at the Port Colborne refinery 2,926 metric tons of cobalt in a cobalt-based intermediate at the nickel operations in Canada and New Caledonia, the remaining cobalt production consisted of 159 metric tons of cobalt contained in other intermediate products (such as nickel concentrates). As result of the ramp-up of VNC operations in New Caledonia, the production of intermediate cobalt as by-product of nickel production is increasing. Vale sold cobalt on a global basis. Its cobalt metal, which is electro-refined at the Port Colborne refinery, has very high purity levels (99.8%), value higher than specified in LME contracts. Cobalt metal is used in the production of various alloys, particularly for aerospace applications, as well as the manufacture of cobalt-based chemicals.

The following table sets forth information on Vale s cobalt production.

Mine	Туре	Fis 2013	scal year ending December 31 2014	2015
			(Metric tons)	
Sudbury	Underground	853	833	751
Thompson	Underground	292	489	365
Voisey s Bay	Open pit	256	952	849
New Caledonia	Open pit	1,117	384	2,391
External sources (1)	-	13	84	177
Total		3,532	743	4,533

3. Coal

3.1. Operations

Vale produces metallurgic and thermal coal by its subsidiaries Vale Moçambique, which operates the Moatize mine, and Vale Australia, which operates the mine in Carborough Downs. Vale also holds minority interest in the Chinese company, Henan Longyu Energy Resources Co., Ltd. (Longyu).

In December 2014, Vale executed an investment contract in order to have Mitsui acquire 15% of its interest in Vale Moçambique. Its interest in Vale Moçambique will be transferred to a company under common control of Vale (85%) and Mitsui (15%). The value attributed to the 15% interest held by Mitsui in Vale Moçambique is US\$ 450 million, and Mitsui is responsible for 15% of capital expenditures incurred since the date of execution of the contract. This transaction is subject to certain precedent conditions and closure is expected to occur in 2016.

Company / Mining complex	Location	Description / History	Mining / Operations	Mining license	Power source	Access / Transportation
<u>Mozambique</u>						
Vale Moçambique Moatize	Tete, Mozambique	Open pit mine developed directly by Vale. Operations started in August 2011 and should achieve nominal production capacity of 22 Mtpa, considering the Moatize expansion, comprised of metallurgic and thermal coal, and the expansion of the Nacala Logistic Corridor. Vale holds 95.0% interest and the	Produces metallurgic and thermal coal. The main brand product of Moatize is Chipanga premium hard coking coal, but there is operational flexibility for other products. The ideal product portfolio will come as result of market surveys. Coal	Mining concession ends in 2032, renewable after this date.	Power provided by local utility companies. Supply of local back-up.	Coal is transported from the mine to the port of Beira through railroad Linha do Sena and, starting in January 2016, to the port of Nacala-a-Velha, through the Nacala Corridor.

remaining shares	from mines is
are held by Empresa	processed at a
Moçambicana de	coal handling
Exploração	and
Mineira, S.A. Due	preparation
to conclusion of the	plant CHPP)
agreement executed	with capacity
in December 2014,	of 4,000
Mitsui will acquire	metric tons per
15% of Vale s share	hour.
in Vale	
Moçambique.	

Australia

Table of Contents

Company / Mining complex	Location	Description / History	Mining / Operations	Mining license	Power source	Access / Transportation
•		Vale holds 90.0%	at the			Queensland,
		interest and the	Carborough			Australia.
		remaining shares	Downs CHPP,			
		are held by JFE,	with			
		Posco.	processing			
			capacity of			
			1,000 metric			
			tons per hour.			

3.2 Production

The table below presents information on Vale s marketing coal production.

Operation	Mine type	Production 2013	n during fiscal year ending Decem 2014 (thousand metric tons)	ber 31 2015
Metallurgic coal:			(thousand metric tons)	
Vale Australia				
Integra Coal(1)(4)	Underground and open pit	1,410	715	
Isaac Plains(2)	Open pit	656	746	
Carborough Downs(3)	Underground	2,447	1,857	2,383
Vale Moçambique				
Moatize(5)	Open pit	2,373	3,124	3,401
Total metallurgic coal		6,885	6,443	5,784
Thermal coal:				
Vale Australia				
Integra Coal(1)	Open pit	87	92	
Isaac Plains(2)	Open pit	347	326	
Vale Moçambique				
Moatize(5)	Open pit	1,444	1,784	1,560
Total thermal coal		1,878	2,202	1,560

⁽¹⁾ These figures correspond to Vale s interest of 64.8% in Integra Coal, from the sale of Vale s share in December 2015

⁽²⁾ These figures correspond to Vale s interest of 50.0% in Isaac Plains, from the sale of Vale s share in November 2015 a joint venture constituted as a partnership.

⁽³⁾ The figures for 2013 and 2014 correspond to Vale s interest of 85.0% in Carborough Downs. Vale s interest in Carborough Downs increased to 90% in December 2014. The figures for 2015 correspond to Vale s interest of 90% in Carborough Downs.

⁽⁴⁾ Operations at Integra Coal and Isaac Plains are suspended since May and November 2014, respectively, and Vale s share in each mine, as well as respective assets, was sold in December and November 2015,

respectively	
(5)	These figures refer to 100% production at Moatize, and are not adjusted to reflect Vale s portion.
3.3 Clients and sales	
Mozambique, aim gl	operations in Australia are basically geared towards the Eastern Asian market. The coal sales from its Moatize operations, in obal steel and power markets, including Asia, India, Africa, Europe and Americas. Vale s Chinese coal joint ventures of the Chinese market.
3.4 Competition	
The global coal indu	stry, basically made up by the hard coal (metallurgical and thermal) and brown / lignite coal markets, is highly competitive.
demand for thermal greenfield projects, v	I, particularly in Asia, continues to promote a strong demand for metallurgical coal, while the power demand supports the coal. Vale expects a strong supply and lower prices for metallurgical coal in the coming years, reducing investments in which may cause unbalance in the long term supply. Port and railroad limitations in some production areas, which cannot be ficant capital investment, may lead to a limited availability of additional metallurgical coal.
advantages are owne	oal industry is based mostly in production cost savings, coal quality, and transportation cost. Vale s main competitive rship of a new and competitive transportation corridor, proximity compared to the Atlantic and Indian markets (compared to) and the size and quality of Vale reserves.
	s in the transoceanic coal market are subsidiaries and affiliates and joint ventures BHP Billiton, Glencore Xstrata, Anglo , Teck Cominco, Peabody, Walter Energy, and Shenhua Group, and others.
	233

4. Fertilizers

3.1. <u>Phosphates</u>

Vale operates its phosphate business through its subsidiary and joint venture, as presented in the table below.

		Our participation (%))	
Firm	System	Voting	Total	Our partners
		(%)		
Vale Fertilizantes	Uberaba, Brazil	100.0	100.0	
Compañia Minera Miski Mayo S.R.L., located in Bayóvar, Peru.	Bayóvar, Peru(1)	51.0	40.0	Mosaic, Mitsui & Co.

(1) <u>Vale s share in Compañia Minera Miski Mayo S.R.L. is held through MVM Resources International B.V.</u>

Vale Fertilizantes is a company that produces phosphate rock, phosphate fertilizers (P), (e.g. monoammonium phosphate (MAP), dicalcium phosphate (DCP), triple superphosphate (TSP) and single superphosphate (SSP)) and nitrogen (N) fertilizers (e.g., ammonia and ammonium nitrate). It is the largest producer of phosphate and nitrogen crop nutrients in Brazil. Vale Fertilizantes operates the following phosphate rock mines by means of concessions for undetermined term: Catalão, in the State of Goiás, Tapira and Patos de Minas and Araxá, in the State of Minas Gerais, and Cajati, in the State of São Paulo, all in Brazil. In addition, Vale Fertilizantes has nine processing plants for the production of phosphate and nitrogen nutrients located in Catalão, Goiás; Araxá, Patos de Minas, and Uberaba, all located in the state of Minas Gerais; Guará, Cajati, and three plants in Cubatão, all located in the state of São Paulo.

Since 2010, Vale also operates the Bayovar phosphate rock, in Peru, with nominal production capacity of 3.9 Mtpa, by means of one concession for undetermined term.

The following table contains information regarding Vale s phosphate rock production.

		Fisc	al year ending December 31	
Mine	Туре	2013	2014 (million metric tons)	2015
Bayóvar	Open pit	3,546	3,801	3,881
Catalão	Open pit	1,057	1,055	1,000
Tapira	Open pit	1,869	2,055	1,970
Patos de Minas	Open pit	53	73	23
Araxá	Open pit	1,111	883	707
Cajati	Open pit	640	605	581
Total		8,277	8,421	8,163

(1) Operations in Pato de Minas was suspended in the third quarter in 2015 due to market conditions.

The following table contains information regarding Vale s production of phosphate and nitrogen nutrients.

	Fise	cal year ending December 31	
Product	2013	2014	2015
		(million metric tons)	
Monoammonium phosphate (MAP)	1,128	1,065	1,097
Triple superphosphate (TSP)	905	910	866
Single superphosphate (SSP)	2,102	1,854	1,953
Bicalcium phosphate (DCP)	444	502	480
Ammonia(1)	347	178	138
Urea(2)	219	0	
Nitric acid	416	469	475
Ammonium Nitrate	419	485	515

⁽¹⁾ After the sale of Araucária in June 2013, Vale produces ammonia only in the plant in Cubatão.

4.2 Potash

⁽²⁾ After the sale of Araucária in June 2013, Vale no longer produces urea.

Vale s potash operations are concentrated in Brazil and are carried out by the group comptroller, Vale S.A., at the parent-company level, by means of mining concessions for undetermined term. Vale entered a leasing agreement for Taquari-Vassouras, the only potash mine in Brazil (in Rosario do Catete, in the State of Sergipe), with Petrobras since 1992. In April 2012, Vale extended the concession for other 30 years. The following table sets forth information on Vale s production of potash:

					Process
		Fiscal	l year ending December 3	1	Recovery in
Mine	Туре	2013	2014	2015	2015
		(Tl	nousands of metric tons)		(%)
Taquari-Vassouras	Underground	492	492	481	82.9

4.3 Clients and sales

All potash sales from the Taquari-Vassouras mine are to the Brazilian market. In 2015, Vale sales represented close to 5% of total potash delivered in Brazil. Vale has a presence and long-standing relationships with the major players in Brazil, with more than 50% in 2015 of the sales allocated to four traditional clients.

Vale s phosphate products (MAP, TSP, SSP) are sold mainly to the fertilizer industry. In 2015, Vale sales represented near 31% of the total phosphate delivered in Brazil. In the high concentration segment, Vale s production represented 86% of the total production in Brazil in 2015. In the low phosphate concentration nutrients segment, Vale s production represented near 38% of total consumption in Brazil with products like SSP in 2015.

Table of Contents

Vale s nitrogen segment produces 100% of the ammonia nitrate produced in Brazil. In addition, Vale is a global leading supplier of explosive ammonia nitrate in the Brazilian market.

4.4. Competition

The industry is divided into three major groups of nutrients: potash, phosphate and nitrogen. There are limited resources of potash around the world with Canada, Russia and Belarus being the main suppliers, each one with a small number of producers. The industry presents a high level of investment and requires long time for a project to mature. In addition, the potash segment is highly concentrated, with five major producers holding over 69% of the total global production capacity.

Phosphate rock is more accessible, but major exporters are located in Morocco, Algeria, Jordan, Egypt, and Peru. The five major producers of phosphate rock (China, Morocco, United States, Russia, and Jordan) hold 78% of global production in 2015, of which around 10% is exported. However, products with greater added value, such as MAP and DAP are usually marketed instead of phosphate rock, due to cost-benefit relationship.

Brazil is one of the largest agribusiness markets in the world due to its high production, exportation and consumption of grains and biofuel. It is the fourth-largest consumer of fertilizers in the world and one of the largest importers of phosphates, potash, and nitrogen. Brazil imports 95% of its consumed potash, which corresponded approximately to 5.1 Mtpa of K2O (potassium oxide) in 2015, 8% less than in 2014, from Canadian, Belarussian, Russian, German, and Israeli producers, in descending order. In terms of global potash consumption, China, the United States, Brazil, and India represented approximately 58% in 2015, where Brazil was responsible for 14% of this total global consumption. Vale s operations and fertilizer projects are highly competitive in terms of cost and logistics to meet the demand in the Brazilian market.

Most phosphate rock concentrate is consumed locally by downstream integrated producers, in the phosphate chain, with the seaborne market correspond in 2015 to 14% of total phosphate rock production. Major phosphate rock exporters are concentrated in Northern Africa, mainly through State-owned companies, with the Moroccan OCP Group, holding in 2015 29% of the total seaborne market. The phosphate rock seaborne trade supplies non-integrated producers of phosphate fertilizers such as SSP, TSP and MAP. Brazil imports 54% of the total phosphate needed, approximately 2.6 Mtpa of P2O5 equivalent (phosphate pentoxide) in 2015, 17% less than in 2014, and the main producers are Morocco, Russia, United States, and China, in descending order. Vale s phosphate operations are highly competitive in terms of cost and logistics to supply the Brazilian market.

Nitrogen-based fertilizers are basically derived from ammonia (NH3), which, in turn, is produced from the nitrogen that is present in the air and in natural gas, making it a nutrient with high level of energy. Ammonia is the main component of nitrogen-based fertilizers, as well as ammonia nitrate and urea. The production of nitrogen-based fertilizers presents a regional profile due to the high cost associated with transportation and storage of ammonia, which requires refrigerated and pressurized facilities. Consequently, in 2015, only 10% of ammonia produced in the world is traded in global markets. Asia receives the largest volume of imports, with 34% of the global market. Major exporting countries are Russia, Trinidad y Tobago, and Canada. Nitrogen operations are highly competitive in terms of cost and logistics to supply the Brazilian market.

5. Infrastructure

5.1. Logistics Services

Vale has developed its logistics business based on the transportation needs of its mining operations, while Vale also provides transportation services for other customers.

Vale conducts its logistics businesses at the parent-company level, through subsidiaries, affiliates, and joint ventures, as set forth in the following table.

			Our participa	ation	
Company	Business	Location	Voting	Total	Partners
Vale	Railroad (EFVM and EFC), port, and maritime operations	Brazil	(%)		
VLI (1)	Port, maritime and railroad operations in land terminals. Holding general cargo assets.	Brazil	37,6	37,6	FI-FGTS, Mitsui and Brookfield
MRS	Railroad operations	Brazil	47.1	48.2	CSN, Usiminas Participações e Logísticas and Gerdau
CPBS	Port, maritime and railroad operations	Brazil	100.0	100.0	
PTVI PTV	Port, maritime and railroad operations	Indonesia	59.3	59.3	Sumitomo, public investors
Vale Logística Argentina	Maritime operations.	Argentina	100.0	100.0	
CEAR(2) (4)	Railroad.	Malawi	43.4	43.4	Mozambique, P.E. ports and railroads
CDN(3) (4)	Maritime and railroad operations.	Mozambique	43.4	43.4	Mozambique, P.E. ports and railroads
CLIN	•	Mozambique	80.0	80.0	

Edgar Filing: Vale S.A. - Form 6-K

	Maritime and railroad operations				Mozambique, P.E. ports and railroads
Vale Logistics Limited	Railroad operations.	Malawi	100.0	100.0	
Transbarge Navigación	River system in Paraguai and Paraná rivers (Comboios).	Paraguay	100.0	100.0	
VNC	Port and maritime terminal operations	New Caledonia	80,5	80,5	Sumic, SPMSC
VMM	Port and maritime terminal operations	Malaysia	100,0	100,0	
Vale Newfoundland & Labrador Limited	Port operations	Voisey s Bay and Long Harbour, in Newfoundland and Labrador	100	100	
Vale Oman Distribution Center LLC	Port and maritime terminals operations	Oman	100	100	

⁽¹⁾ BNDES holds debentures issued by Vale, exchangeable in part to Vale s share in VLI. If BNDES exercises its right on these debentures, Vale s share in VLI will be reduced by 8%

⁽²⁾ Vale holds control of its participation in CEAR through 85% interest in SDCN, holding 51% of CEAR.

⁽³⁾ Vale holds control of its participation in CDN through 85% interest in SDCN, holding 51% of CDN.

⁽⁴⁾ Upon conclusion of transactions with Mitsui, Vale held 21.7% of the voting capital of CEAR, 21.7% of the voting capital of CDN, 40% of the voting capital of CLN, and 50% of the voting capital of VLL.

⁽⁵⁾ Upon conclusion of the sale of its share in Sumic of 10.5% in VNC for Vale in March 2016, Vale will hold 95% of VNC shares.

Table	of	Contents

5.1.1. Railroads

- Brazil
- <u>Vitória a Minas railroad (EFVM</u>). The EFVM railroad links Vale s Southeastern System mines in the Iron Quadrangle region in the State of Minas Gerais to the Tubarão Port, in Vitória, in the State of Espírito Santo. Vale operates this 905 km railroad under a 30-year renewable concession, which expires in 2027. The EFVM railroad consists of two lines of track extending for a distance of 601 km to permit continuous railroad travel in opposite directions, and single-track branches of 304 km. Industries are located in this area and major agricultural regions are also accessible to it. VLI holds rights to use the railroad transportation capacity at Vale s EFVM line. In 2015, EFVM carried daily an average of 341,6 metric tons of iron ore, or a total of 80.2 billion ntk of iron ore and other cargo. The EFVM railroad also carried 967 thousand passengers in 2015. On December 31, 2015, Vale had a fleet of 333 locomotives and 15,263 wagons at EFVM.
- <u>Carajás railroad (EFC)</u>. The EFC railroad connects Vale s mines in the Northern System in the Carajás region in the State of Pará to the maritime terminal Ponta da Madeira, in São Luís, in the State of Maranhão. Vale operates the EFC railroad under a 30-year renewable concession, which expires in 2027. EFC extends for 892 km from mines in Carajás to the Ponta da Madeira maritime terminal located near the Itaqui Port. Its main cargo is iron ore, principally carried mainly for the Company. VLI holds rights to use the railroad transportation capacity at Vale s EFC line. In 2014, EFC carried daily an average of 319,0 metric tons of iron ore. In 2015, the EFC railroad carried a total of 120.3 billion ntk of iron ore and other cargo. EFC also carried 301 thousand passengers in 2015. EFC supports the largest capacity train in Latin America, which measures 3.5 km, weighs 42,01 gross metric tons when loaded and has 330 cars. In 2015, EFC had a fleet of 284 locomotives and 17,125 wagons.

The principal items of cargo of the EFVM and EFC railroads are:

- Iron ore, iron ore pellets, and manganese ore, carried for the Company and its customers;
- Steel, coal, pig iron, limestone and other raw materials carried for customers with steel mills located along the railroad;
- Agricultural products, such as grains, soybean meal and fertilizers; and
- Other general cargo, such as pulp, fuel and chemical products.

Vale charges market prices for customer freight, including iron ore pellets originating from joint ventures and other enterprises in which Vale does not have 100% equity interest. Fees vary based on the distance traveled, the type of product transported and the weight of the freight in question, and are regulated by the Brazilian transportation regulatory agency, the Agência Nacional de Transportes Terrestres (ANTT).

VLI provides logistic integrated solutions through 7,920 km of railroads in Brazil (Centro-Atlântica Railway and Norte-Sul Railway), eight land terminals with total capacity of storage of 730,000 tons and three maritime terminals and port operations. Vale holds 37.6% interest in VLI and is party in a shareholders agreement with FI-FGTS, Mitsui, and Brookfield, which hold the remaining shares of VLI.

Main assets owned by VLI are:

- Centro-Atlântica Railway. Central-east regional railway network of the Brazilian national railway system under a 30-year renewable concession, which expires in 2026. The central east network has 7,220 km extending into the States of Sergipe, Bahia, Espírito Santo, Minas Gerais, Rio de Janeiro, Goiás and the Federal District.
- Norte-Sul Railway. A 30-year renewable sub concession for commercial operation of a 720 km stretch of the North-South railroad, in Brazil, between the cities of Acailandia, in the State of Maranhão, and Porto Nacional, State of Tocantins. This railroad is connected to EFC, creating a new corridor for the transportation of general cargo, mainly for the export of soybeans, rice and corn produced in the center-northern region of Brazil.
- The right to use the carrying capacity in Vale railroads, EFVM and EFC for general cargo; and
- The right to use the carrying capacity in Vale terminals, Tubarão and Praia Mole for general cargo.

In 2015, VLI carried a total of 34.8 billion tku load, including 21.3 billion tku of Centro-Atlântica Railway and Norte-Sul Railway and 13.5 billion tku through Vale s operational agreements.

Additionally, Vale holds direct and indirect interest in MRS Logística S.A. (MRS), company that holds the concession to operate the railroad connecting the States of Rio de Janeiro, São Paulo and Minas Gerais, which is 1,643 km-long. In 2015, the MRS railroad carried a total of 167 million metric tons of cargo, including 80.7 million metric tons of iron ore and other cargo from Vale.

Africa

The Nacala logistic corridor, currently in the ramp up phase, connects the Moatize mine to the Nacala-à-Velha maritime terminal, located in Nacala, Mozambique, crossing the Republic of Malawi. The Nacala corridor is a railroad and a port infrastructure, including greenfield areas and remodeling railroads existing in Mozambique and Malawi and a new maritime terminal for coal in Mozambique. The Nacala Corridor will allow the Moatize mine expansion and will offer support to Vale s operations in the Southern Africa. In Mozambique, Vale operates under two concession agreements, one related to the new Mozambican railroad and the other related to the recently-built coal port, both maintained by Vale s subsidiary Corredor Logístico Integrado de Nacala S.A. (CLN), which mature in 2042, subject to extensions. In addition, Vale is refurbishing existing railroads under the concession granted by Vale s subsidiary Corredor de Desenvolvimento do Norte S.A. (CDN), which matures in 2035. In Malawi, Vale operates under the concession held by its subsidiary Vale Logistics Limited (VLL), which matures in 2044, subject to extensions. Vale is rehabilitating existing railroads under the concession held by its subsidiary Central East African Railway Company

 $Limited \ (\ CEAR\), which was extended to 2013, with automatic renewal for a 30-year period starting from the beginning of railroad services under greenfield concession of VLL railroad.$

Table of Contents

In December 2014, Vale entered into an investment agreement under which Mitsui acquired Vale s share in the Nacala Corridor. Vale s indirect interest in CLN, CDN, VLL and CEAR will be transferred to a controlling company jointly held (50% each) and controlled by Vale and Mitsui. Mitsui will invest US\$ 313 million on this controlling company, in *equity* and *quasi-equity instruments*. Vale and Mitsui are looking for project funding to replace part of the funds application provided by Vale. The transaction is subject to certain conditions precedent and the closure is expected to 2016.

5.1.2. Ports and maritime terminals

Brasil

Vale operates a port and maritime terminals principally as means to conclude the delivery of its iron ore and pellets to bulk carrier vessels serving the seaborne market. For more information, see item 1.1 Iron Ore and Pellets in this section of the Reference Form. Vale also uses its port and terminals to handle customers cargo.

<u>Tubarão Termina</u>. The Tubarão Terminal, which covers an area of approximately 18 square kilometers, is located in the city of Vitória, in the State of Espírito Santo, and contains: the iron ore maritime terminal and general cargo terminals (the Terminal de Granéis Líquidos and the Terminal de Produtos Diversos).

- The Iron Ore Maritime Terminal has two piers. Pier I can maintain two anchored vessels at a time, one of up to 170,000 DWT on the southern side and one of up to 210,000 DWT on the northern side. Pier II can accommodate one vessel of up to 405,000 DWT at a time, limited at 23 meters draft plus tide. In Pier I, there are two ship loaders, which can load up to a combined total of 13,500 metric tons per hour each. In Pier II there are two ship loaders that could work alternately and can each load up to 16,000 metric tons per hour continuously. In 2015, Vale shipped 105.4 million metric tons of iron ore and pellets through the terminal. The iron ore maritime terminal has a storage yard capacity of 3.4 million metric tons.
- Terminal de Produtos Diversos handled 8.1 million metric tons of grains and fertilizers in 2015. VLI is entitled to use the capacity of the Terminal de Produtos Diversos.
- Terminal de Granéis Líquidos handled 614.6 thousand metric tons of fuel in 2015. VLI is entitled to use the capacity of the Terminal de Granéis Líquidos.

Terminal de Praia Mole. This is basically a coal terminal and held 12,3 million metric tons of coal in 2015. VLI is entitled to use the capacity of the Terminal de Praia Mole.

Terminal Marítimo de Ponta da Madeira. The Terminal Marítimo de Ponta da Madeira is located in the city of São Luís, State of Maranhão. Pier I can accommodate vessels displacing up to 420,000 DWT and has a maximum loading rate of 16,000 tons per hour. Pier III, with two berths and three ship loaders, can accommodate vessels of up to 200,000 DWT on the south berth and 180,000 DWT on the north berth or two 180,000 DWT ships simultaneously), depending on tide conditions, with maximum loading rate of 8,000 metric tons per hour in each. Pier IV (south berth) can accommodate vessels displacing up to 420,000 DWT and two ship loaders operating alternately with maximum loading rate of 16,000 tons per hour. Cargo shipped through Vale s Ponta da Madeira maritime terminal consists of its own iron ore production and manganese. In 2015, 124.7 million metric tons of iron were handled through the terminal. The Ponta da Madeira maritime terminal has a storage yard with capacity of 8.9 million metric tons, to be expanded to 10.7 million metric tons. VLI currently stores and operates with fertilizers, grains, pig iron and manganese ore, which are shipped through the Port of Itaqui.

Table of Contents

Terminal Marítimo de Itaguaí Cia. Portuária Baía de Sepetiba (CPBS). CPBS is a wholly owned subsidiary that operates the Itaguaí terminal, in the Port of Itaguaí, in Sepetiba, State of Rio de Janeiro, leased from Companhia Docas do Rio de Janeiro. Itaguaí s maritime terminal has docks that allow the loading of ships up to 17.8 meters of draft and up to 200,000 DWT. In 2015, the terminal loaded approximately 22.0 million metric tons of iron ore.

Terminal Marítimo de Ilha Guaíba. Vale operates a maritime terminal on Guaíba Island in the Sepetiba Bay, in the State of Rio de Janeiro. The iron ore terminal has a pier (with two branches) that allows the loading of ships of up to 350,000 DWT. In 2015, the terminal loaded approximately 47.3 million metric tons of iron ore.

VLI also operates the Terminal Marítimo *Inácio Barbosa* (*TMIB* ., owned by Petrobras, in the State of Sergipe; the Maritime Terminal TIPLAM, in the State of São Paulo, owned jointly by VLI and Vale Fertilizantes; and Pier II in the port of Itaqui, that can receive vessels of up to 155,000 DWT with load rate of a maximum of 8,000 tons per hour.

Argentina

Vale Logistica Argentina S.A. (Vale Logistica Argentina) operates a terminal at the San Nicolas port located in the province of Buenos Aires, Argentina, where it has been authorized to use a 20,000 square meters storage yard until October 2016 and has executed an agreement with unrelated parties to an additional 15,000 square meters storage yard. Vale handled 2.7 million metric tons of iron ore and manganese through this port in 2015, from Corumbá, Brazil, and carried through the Paraná and Paraguay rivers to be transported to Brazilian, Asian and European markets. The loading rate at this port is 24,000 tons per day and unloading rate of 13,200 tons per day.

Canada

Vale Newfoundland and Labrador Limited operates a port as part of its mining operations in Voisey s Bay, Labrador, and a port as part of its processing operations in Long Harbour, Newfoundland. The port in Voisey s Bay is used to ship nickel and copper and to refilling. The port in Long Harbour is used to receive nickel concentrate from Voisey s Bay with goods and materials required to operate Long Harbour.

Oman

Vale Oman Distribution Center LLC operates a distribution center in Liwa, Oman. The maritime terminal has a large deep water pier, a 600-m long platform connected to the beach by a 700-m long bridge, which is integrated to a storage Yard with movement and processing capacity of 40 Mtpa of iron ore and pellets per year. The nominal loading capacity of this port is 10,000 tons/hour and nominal unloading of 9,000 tons/hour.

Tah	le	οf	Con	tents
1 au	ı	OI.	\sim	wiito

	T 1	
•	Indor	10019
•	muoi	icsia

PTVI owns and operates two ports in Indonesia to support its nickel mining activities.

- The Balantang Special Port is located in Balantang Village, in the South Sulawesi, and has two types of piers with total capacity of 10,000 DWT: two resources for barges of up to 4,000 DWT each for bulk dry volume and a dock for general cargo of up to 2,000 DWT.
- The Harapan Tanjung Mangkasa Special Port is located in Lampia Village, in the South Sulawesi, with armoring buoys that can accommodate vessels displacing up to 20,000 DWT, and a terminal that can accommodate fuel tankers with displacing up to 2,000 DWT, with total capacity of 22,000 DWT. Recently the 2,000 terminal was expanded to increase the capacity in 5,000 DWT, and it is expected to be in use in June 2016. By July 2016, Tanjung Mangkasa should have total capacity of 25,000 DWT.

• New Caledonia

Vale has and operates a port in Prony Bay, South Province, New Caledonia. This port has three terminals, including a passenger boat terminal for two vessels of up to 50 meters, a dock for dry bulk material for vessels of up to 55,000 DWT to unload at 8,000 tons a day and a general cargo dock for vessels of up to 215 meters. The general cargo dock can receive containers at 10 units per hour, liquid fuels (GLP, BPF, Diesel) at 350 cubic meters per hour, and *break-bulk*. The containers patio, a covered area of about 13,000 square meters, can receive up to 1,000 units. A bulk storage patio is linked to the port by a belt with storage capacity of 94,000 tons of limestone, 95,000 tons of sulfur and 60,000 tons of coal.

Malaysia

The Maritime Terminal Teluk Rubiah (TRMT) is located in the State of Perak, Malaysia, and has one dock and two moors that allow unloading vessels with approximate capacity of 400,000 DWT and loading ships with capacity of up to 220,000 DWT. In 2015, the terminal unloaded 15.2 million metric tons of iron ore and loaded 14.2 million metric tons of iron ore.

5.1.3. Navigation

Vale continued to develop and operate a low cost fleet of vessels, comprised of company-owned vessels and freight vessels through mid and long-term lease agreements, to carry its cargo from Brazil to its markets. Vale has 18 vessels in operation, including seven Valemax vessels, with capacity of 400,000 DWT, and other 9 capesize vessels, with capacities varying between 150,000 to 250,000 DWT. Vale also operates 27 Valemax vessels under long-term agreements. To support its strategy to deliver iron ore, Vale holds and operates 2 floating transfer stations in the Subic Bay, in the Philippines, that transfers iron ore from Valemax vessels to smaller vessels that deliver the cargo to its destination. With this service, the Company expects to improve its ability to offer iron ore products to the Asian market at competitive prices. In 2015, Vale carried approximately 188 million metric tons of iron ore and pellets on CFR and Cost, Insurance, and Freight (CIF) basis.

In 2014 and 2015, Vale entered into cooperation agreements for the transportation of iron ore with carriers and financial institutions, located in China and in Hong Kong. Under these agreements, Vale (i) sold 12 of its 400,000 Valemax vessels for an aggregate value of US\$ 1,316 billion in December 2015, and (ii) negotiated long-term chartering agreements with Chinese shipbuilders to guarantee long-term loading capacity to transport iron ore from Brazil to Asia and protect Vale against the volatility of freight costs. In addition, Vale sold three ore carrying ships for approximately US\$ 23 million in 2015.

On the Paraná and Paraguay fluvial system, Vale carried iron ore and manganese through its subsidiary, Transbarge Navigacion, which transported by rivers 3.86 million tons in 2015 and (ii) other chartered vessels. Barges are unloaded at the terminals of Vale local clients at contracted terminals in Argentina or at the facilities of its subsidiary, Vale Logistica Argentina, which carries ore in ocean vessels. Vale Logística Argentina carried 2.1 million tons of ore (out of a total capacity of 3 million tons) though the port of Saint Nicolas in seaborne vessels in 2015.

Vale manages a fleet with 25 tugboats, owning 25 vessels. Vale directly operates nine tugboats in the ports of Vitória and Mangaratiba, in the States of Espírito Santo and Rio de Janeiro, respectively. Four tugboats in the port of São Luis and Aracaju, in the States of Maranhão and Sergipe, respectively, are operated by consortium companies, in which Vale holds 50% interest. Other ten tugboats are freighted to and operated by third parties, under their responsibility, in other ports in Brazil.

5.1.4. Energy

Vale manages its power generation portfolio based on the current and projected energy needs of its operations, with the goal of reducing energy costs and minimizing the risk of energy shortages.

Brazil

Energy management and efficient supply in Brazil are priorities for Vale, given the uncertainties associated with changes in the regulatory environment, and the risk of rising prices. In 2015, Vale s total installed energy capacity in Brazil was 1.2 GW. Vale uses the electricity produced by these plants for its internal consumption needs. Vale currently has direct interest in three hydroelectric power plants and four small-sized hydroelectric plants in operation. The hydroelectric power plant Candonga in located in the Southeast, Machadinho is located in the South, Estreito is located in the North. The small-sized hydroelectric power plants Ituerê, Melo, Glória and Nova Maurício are located in the Southeast. Vale also has indirect interest in the hydroelectric power plants of Igarapava, Porto Estrela, Funil, Candonga, Aimorés, Capim Branco I, and Capim Branco II, through its 55% share in Aliança Geração. These hydroelectric power plants are located in the Southeast and part of the power generated is directed to Vale operations under a power purchase contract with Aliança Geração.

By means of Vale s subsidiary Aliança Norte Energia Participações S.A., Vale indirectly holds 4.59% of the stocks in Norte Energia S.A. (NESA), a company incorporated with the sole purpose of deploying and operating the Belo Monte Hydroelectric Plant, in the State of Pará, which shall start operations in the first quarter in 2016. Vale s interest in the Belo Monte project grants it the right to acquire 9% of the power generated by the plant, under a long term power purchase agreement, signed in 2012. For more information on this operation, see item 15.7 in this Reference Form.

Vale also produces, through its subsidiary Biopalma da Amazônia S.A. (Biopalma), palm oil in the State of Pará, with the main purpose of producing biodiesel, in the future, through an extraction plant to be installed by Biopalma. Biodiesel will be mixed with regular diesel to produce a fuel known as B20 (with 20% biodiesel), to be used in Vale s fleet of locomotives, trucks, and heavy machinery used in operations of the North System.

Canada

In 2015, Vale s hydroelectric power plants in Sudbury generated 17% of the electricity requirements of Vale s operations in Sudbury. The power plants consist of five separate generation stations with an installed capacity of 56 MW. The output of the plants is limited by water availability, and by restrictions imposed by a water management plan regulated by the Government of the Province of Ontario. In 2015, the average requirements for power was 195 MW to all surface plants and mines in the Sudbury area.

In 2015, diesel generation provided 100% of the electric requirements of Vale s operations in Voisey s Bay. Vale has six diesel generators on-site, with capacity varying from 12 MW to 14 MW, for purposes of meeting seasonal demands.

Indonesia

Energy costs are a significant component of Vale s nickel production costs for the processing of saprolitic lateritic ores at PTVI operations in Indonesia. A great portion of the PTVI s electric furnace power requirements are supplied at low-cost by its three hydroelectric power plants located in the Larona River: (i) the Larona plant with average generation capacity of 165 MW, (ii) the Balambano plant with average generation capacity of 110 MW; and (iii) the Karebbe plant with average generation capacity of 90 MW. These plants help reducing the costs by replacing the diesel used in power generation for hydroelectric power, reducing CO2 emissions, replacing non-renewable power generation, which allow Vale to increase current nickel production capacity in Indonesia.

6. Other Investments

Vale holds 25% in iron ore pelleting plants in China: Zhuhai YPM and Anyang. The remaining shares of Zhuhai YPM are held by Zhuhai Yueyufeng Iron and Steel Co. Ltd. and Halswell Enterprises Limited, while the remaining shares of Anyang are held by Anyang Iron & Steel Co., Ltd.

Vale holds 25% interest in coal operations in China, in Longyu (Henan Province). Longyu produces metallurgic and thermal coal and other related products, and the remaining capital is held by Yongmei Group Co., Ltd. (formerly known as Yongcheng Coal & Electricity (Group) Co. Ltd.), Shanghai Baosteel International Economic & Trading Co., Ltd. and other minor shareholders. Vale also held a 25% share in Shandong Yankuang International Coking Company Limited (Yankuang), Chinese joint-venture that produces metallurgic coal coke, methanol, tar oil, and benzene, and the remaining shares are held by Yankuang Group Co. Ltd. and Itochu Corporation. However, on December 15, 2014, Vale executed an agreement with Itochu Corporation to sell its share in Yankuang to the Yankuang Group for the total amount of US\$1.00 (one real). This sale was approved by the Chinese government on March 30, 2015 in order to have Vale and Itochu Corporation out of said *joint venture*, and the sale of the interest held by Vale and by Itochu Corporation in Yankuang to the Yankuang Group was considered concluded on this date.

Vale holds indirect interest of 25% in Korea Nickel Corporation, which operates a nickel refinery in South Korea. The remaining shares are held by Korea Zinc Co., Ltd., Posteel Co., Ltd., Young Poong Co., Ltd., Pohang Technology College and other individual investors. Korea Nickel

Corporation produces end nickel for the stainless steel industry using intermediate products from Vale operations in Matsuzaka and New Caledonia.

Vale owns 50.0% of capital stock of California Steel Industries, Inc. (CSI), a producer of flat rolled steel and pipes, located in California, in the United States. The remaining 50% belongs to JFE Steel. CSI s annual production capacity is of about 2.8 million metric tons of flat products and pipes. Additionally, Vale holds a 26.9% stake in ThyssenKrupp Companhia Siderúrgica do Atlântico (TKCSA), an integrated producer of steel plates located in the State of Rio de Janeiro. The plant was commissioned in 2010, and produced 4.0 Mt in 2015. The final production capacity of TKSCA is 5.0 Mtpa of plates and will require 8.5 million metric tons per year of iron ore and pellets, while working in full capacity, supplied exclusively by Vale.

244

Table of Contents

Vale is also engaged in two other steel projects in Brazil: Companhia Siderúrgica do Pecém (CSP), which is being constructed, and Aços Laminados do Pará (Alpa), which is under analysis considering discussions with the Brazilian government.

Additionally, Vale holds minor interest in two bauxite mining companies, both in Brazil: Mineração Rio do Norte S.A. (MRN) and Mineração Paragominas S.A. (Paragominas), and Vale has agreed upon transferring Vale s interests in Paragominas to Norsk Hydro ASA (Hydro). In 2014, Vale sold part of its interest in Paragominas to Hydro and expects to conclude the sale of its indirect 13.63% share to Hydro in 2016.

Vale has agreed upon selling its hydrocarbon on-shore exploration license in Peru, subject to regulatory approvals. Vale also holds licenses for off-shore exploration in Brazil, which are abandoned, subject to regulatory approvals.

- e. Key consumables and raw materials:
- i. Description of the relationships with suppliers, including whether they are subject to governmental control or regulation, identifying the institutions and applicable legislation

Vale is committed to build a sustainable business model and contribute to a just, environmentally balanced and economically prosperous society. Vale adopts the strategy in relation to its suppliers to maintain a long-term relationship in order to promote partnerships aimed at gains for both parties, through continuous innovation and development and supply of goods and quality services at a compatible cost.

In order to achieve continuous improvement and contribute to advances in the production chain, the management of relationships with its suppliers comprises the following steps:

- (i) Certification and registration of suppliers based on Vale s values, taking into account also the identification and analysis of supply risks (environmental, institutional, labor, social security, financial, health and safety, ethics, and social responsibility);
- (ii) Third party Due Diligence aiming to mitigate reputation risks, arising out of eventual engagement by Vale s commercial partners with events that violate human rights or anticorruption laws applicable to Vale, as the *Foreign Corrupt Practices Act FCPA* and the *UK Bribery Act* and the Brazilian law against corruption (Federal Law no. 12.846, dated August 1, 2013);

(iii)	Monitoring the financial health of Vale s main suppliers of material, equipment, and services;
(iv) contrac	Periodic performance evaluations to ensure compliance with applicable requirements and as defined in the eting stage, as well as adherence to agreement expectations;
(v)	Development and support in suppliers training; and
(vi)	Promotion and prospection of new suppliers.
	245

Table of Contents

Under the UN s Universal Declaration of Human Rights, Vale respects and promotes human rights in its activities, through its production chain and in regions where the Company operates.

In this sense, Vale aims to establish commercial relationships with suppliers who share its principles and values and who respect human rights.

Vale s principles and values are disclosed to its suppliers in its Supplier s Code of Ethics and Conduct, document signed by all the companies that have entered into a supply agreement with Vale. Note that this Code is available at the Company website (www.vale.com).

The suppliers agreements are preceded by a search in the list disclosed at the website of the Ministry of Labor and Employment (MTE) that includes individuals or legal entities found to be engaged in employing workers under slave work or analogous conditions and the list CEIS (National Registry of Suspected Companies) that lists companies with no good standing and suspended by the federal government. Other searches to public sanction lists may apply under specific circumstances.

The guidelines and criteria Vale adopts to evaluate its suppliers are based on the above mentioned environmental legal requirements applicable to suppliers whose operational processes involve the use of natural resources or are potentially polluting or likely to cause environmental degradation. In addition to these legal aspects, Vale s Environmental Management criteria and the principles of its Sustainable Development Policy are considered.

With regard to recipients of waste generated in Vale s production processes, they all are subject to audit by the Department of the Environment and Sustainable Development during their initial approval and periodic revalidation.

The main environmental laws applicable to this process are:

- a) Environmental Permit
- Federal Law 6938/81 National Environmental Policy
- CONAMA Resolution (National Council for the Environment) 237/97
- Complementary Law 140/11
- CONAMA Resolution (National Council for the Environment) 01/86.
- Federal Law 10165/00

• Federal Law 12651/12 • IBAMA (Brazilian Institute of Renewable Natural Resources) Normative Instructions 96/06 and 97/06. b) Pesticides • Federal Law 7802/99 • Federal Decree 4047/02 • Law 6360/76 - ANVISA - National Agency for Sanitary Surveillance c) Transportation of Dangerous Goods • Decree 96044/88 • ANTT Resolution (National Ground Transportation Agency) 420/02 d) Radioactive Material • CNEN Resolution (National Nuclear Energy Council) NE 2.01 • CNEN Resolution (National Nuclear Energy Council) NE 5.02 e) Explosive Materials • Federal Decree 3665/00 f) Controlled Chemicals • Ministry of Justice Decree 1274/2003.

ii. Potential dependence on few suppliers

The main consumables purchased by Vale in 2015 were: liquid and solid fuels, tires, parts and components of mining equipment, railroad equipment. Moreover, the main rendering of services included equipment installation and assembly, installation repair maintenance and operational services, in project management.

Table of Contents

In 2015, the main categories of equipment purchased by the procurement division were comminution equipment and wagons. Vale s largest group of suppliers of these types of equipment are Sandvik and Qiqihar Railway Rolling Stock, accounting jointly for 3.2% of total purchases of Supplies in the period.

Fuel consumption is quite intense, especially in operations and transport of iron ore. Vale s main supplier of this consumable item is Petrobras Distribuidora, which accounted for approximately 80% of Vale s purchase of fuels in 2015.

In 2015, Vale used 59% of the electricity provided by self-production in Brazil and the remaining portion was consumed through purchase of power in the market, which main suppliers were Cemig, Eletronorte, and Enertrade.

The 10 largest input, equipment and service providers represented 22% of the total purchases by December 31, 2015.

iii. Possible volatility in their prices

Vale has some contracts where prices are pegged to market indexes (parametric formulas) and therefore subject to these volatilities. Prices can also vary in relation to historical prices depending on offer versus demand in the market at the time of competition. For information related to eventual volatility in Vale s product prices, see item 4.2 in this Reference Form.

7.4 Customers that accounted for more than 10% of total net revenues

In 2015, no customers accounted for more than 10% of Vale s net revenue.

7.5 Relevant effects of State regulation on the Company s activities

a. Need for government authorization for the exercise of activities and long-standing relationship with the government to obtain such permits

Vale is subject to a wide range of governmental regulations in all jurisdictions where Vale operates worldwide. The following discussion summarizes the regulations that have the most significant impact on Vale s operations.

Mining rights

Mining and mining processing are subject to strict regulations. In order to engage in such activities, usually, Vale must obtain government and private licenses that may include concessions, licenses, claims, leases, rents or permits (all referred to below as Concessions). The legal and regulatory regimes applicable to the mining sector and to government concessions differ among jurisdictions. In many jurisdictions, including in Brazil, mineral resources belong to the State and may only be extracted upon a government concession (see Regulation on mining activities). In other jurisdictions, as Ontario, Canada, a substantial part of Vale s mining operations is conducted pursuant to mining rights that Vale holds (private licenses). Governmental entities are generally responsible for granting mining concessions and monitoring compliance with mining laws and regulations.

The table below summarizes Vale s principal mining concessions and other similar rights.

Location	Concession or other right	Approximate area covered (in thousand hectares)	Expiration date
Brazil			
	Exploration Concession (including applications)	682,913	Undetermined
Canada(1)			
	Exploration Concession (name varies according to the province)	330,560	2016-2036
Indonesia (2)			
	Employment Agreement (Contract of Work)	118,435	2025
Australia			
	Exploration Concession (Mining concessions)	11,135	2021-2041
New Caledonia			
	Exploration Concession (Mining concessions)	21,269	2016-2051
Peru (3)			
	Exploration Concession (Concesión de Exploración)	199,398	Undetermined
Argentina (4)			
	Exploration Concession (Manifestación de Descubrimiento)	33,866	Undetermined
	247		

Mozambique (5)			
	Exploration Concession (Mining Concession)	23.780	2032

- (1) The maturity date of Vale leases in Sudbury is subject to the current renewal applications. The approval procedure is in progress, but it may take a few years.
- (2) Entitled to two 10-year extensions subject to approval by the government of Indonesia. Concessions with no production have maturity dates between 2023 and 2028.
- (3) The Peruvian mining regime includes only one type of license.
- (4) Vale has returned part of its mining rights in Argentina, due to market conditions. Vale has paid and will continue paying its commitments related to potash concessions in Rio Colorado and will examine alternatives to increase perspectives in the project.
- (5) Entitled to 25-year extensions subject to the approval by the Government of Mozambique.

In addition to concessions listed above, Vale holds exploration licenses and exploration applications covering 4.8 million ha in Brazil and 1.7 million ha in other countries.

Many concessions impose specific obligations on the concessionaire governing such matters as how operations are conducted and what investments are required. Vale s ability to maintain its mining rights depends on meeting these requirements, which often involve significant capital expenditures and high operating costs.

Regulation of mining activities

- Brazil. Mining activity in Brazil is currently ruled by the 1967 Brazilian Mining Code, which provides for different enjoinment regimes for mineral substances, which differ according to the mineral to be explored and the size of the Project to be developed. Currently, there are five mining enjoinment regimes, namely: Research Authorization, Concession, License Registry or Mining Licensing, Permission to Explore and Monopoly. The Federal Government has sent to the National Congress in June 2013, the proposal for a new Brazilian Mining Code, which is under discussion. This proposal includes, among others, maintenance of the main rights on mining licenses existing until the date of promulgation, a review on royalties, new regime for concessions for exploration for a period of up to 40 years (renewable for periods of up to 20 years) through bidding processes, and creation of a Mining Agency aiming to simplify the process to grant mining rights.
- *New Caledonia*. The mining law that passed in 2009 requires that new mining projects should obtain formal authorization from government authorities rather than a declaration, as required by the pervious legislation. Vale filed

a new license application updated in October 2015, and the official response is expected to be given in December 2016. Vale s existing mining license will remain valid and in force until the application is approved. Although Vale believes it is unlikely that the authorization application will be rejected, the governmental entities may impose new conditions regarding the authorization. Additionally, in 2014, local authorities of New Caledonia proposed the creation of a protected swamp zone covering 27% of the total area of VNC, with potential to affect mining activities. Part of this swamp zone is adjacent to the future waste deposit of VNC, which could raise the need of additional investments by Vale.

Environmental regulations

Activities, operations, products, services, and projects of Vale are subject to environmental regulations according to corresponding aspects. Such regulation requires environmental licenses, authorizations, and permits that compose of the environmental licensing process, which are based on the analysis and prior approval of several studies of the environmental impacts required by responsible entities, at different government levels. Likewise, at each significant change, alteration or expansion needed for activities, operations, products, services, and projects of Vale, information should be submitted to the appropriate environmental entities for purposes of ensuring legal conformity and obtaining, when needed, the issuance of a new authorization act or adjustment to the one issued in advance.

Authorization acts issued determine control, mitigation, compensation, and environmental management acts, considering parameters and other requirements that should be complied with by the Company during its operation, demanding specific investments for better adherence to processes, tasks, and equipment.

For purposes of improving the environmental licensing process, Vale has developed the Guide of Good Environmental Licensing Practices which sets forth (i) integration between the environmental area and other areas engaged in the project cycle, (ii) actions by fully-qualified technical teams, (iii) greater interaction with environmental entities, and (iv) deployment of an Executive Committee responsible for internal decisions.

Vale also invests in technological innovations and processes that result in solutions for more effective environmental issues. This not only offers improvement to the environmental performance, but also in opportunity for better competitive performance, considering the fact that the environmental regulation applies to all competitive companies, to the extent that such actions result in lower costs in the long term. For instance: (a) the *truckless* system that is being deployed at the Carajás S11D Iron Project, which dismisses the need to use off-road trucks to carry ore and sterile, with consequent reduction of the emission of greenhouse effect gases and particulate, diminished risks of accidents and running over fauna, as well as (b) deployment of water reuse, saving significantly while exploring this resource.

Table of Contents

The use of natural resources is widely protected by current laws and the company demand consider, without limitation, its environmental impact on hydric resources, ecosystems, hydrographic and aerial basins, natural underground cavities, forests, sea, coasts. The Brazilian legal system provides for the possibility of administrative, civil and criminal responsibility, with sanctions in case of non-compliance with the legislation. Regarding environmental civil liability, financial guarantees may be used in some cases, as in case of decommissioning of assets held by the Company and remediation of possible environmental liabilities arising out of these procedures.

249

Table of Contents

Legislation on Environmental Infringements

Environmental regulations that affect Vale relate, among other things, to: (a) air, soil, and water emissions; (b) recycling and waste management; (c) protection and preservation of forests, coasts, natural underground cavities, hydrographic basins and other characteristics of the ecosystem; (d) water usage; (e) financial provisions and plans needed since the mining license, covering climate change, decommissioning, and remediation of the affected area.

Federal Decree no. 6.514/2008, that deals with environmental infringements and regulates the Environmental Crimes Law (Federal Law no. 9.605/98), sets forth the infringements against the regulations to protect fauna, flora, related to pollution, urban organization and cultural heritage, environmental management, conservation units, and others.

Legislation on protected areas

Protection of forests and other vegetation forms is regulated by Federal Law no. 12.651/12, that created the new Forest Code and a set of federal, state and municipal regulations.

Federal Law 12.651/12 sets forth the obligation of owners or holders of rural properties to maintain a Legal Reserve area duly protected, which will vary according to the bioma where the rural property is located, and may be equal to 80% in the Legal Amazon area. In 2014, national deployment of the Rural Environmental Registry (\underline{CAR}), which legal term for adherence by rural owners was for one year, extended for the same period with the publication of Order MMA no. 100/2015, therefore establishing the final registry deadline in May 2016.

The creation and protection of Conservation Units (UCs), in turn, are established in Federal Law 9.885/00, which sets forth the National Conservation Unit System (SNUC). UCs are governed by the creation decrees and management plans, and interferences within their limitations without approval of the applicable managing entity may characterize administrative infringement and cause application of penalties.

As counterpart to environmental impacts inherent to its businesses, Vale supports the deployment of UCs in Brazil, as the funds paid as environmental compensation, related to the license of projects with significant environmental impact, are to be used to support the creation or maintenance of UC defined by the licensing environmental entity.

The Company also adopts mitigating or compensating measures related to environmental impacts compliant with legal requirements, of federal and state origin, considering the interference of its projects and/or operations in the territory, covering: permanent preservation areas, vegetation and species protected by law, speleological and archeological assets, and the payment for the timber used under specific circumstances.

Federal Decree No. 6,514/08, deals with environmental violations and regulates the Law of Environmental Crimes (Federal Law no. 9,605/98), sets forth the infringements to flora and other protected areas, establishing penalties for non-compliance with the legislation, which may vary from warning, to suspension of work, suspension of activities and fine (which may vary from R\$5,000.00 to R\$50,000.00).

Waste Management and Contaminated Areas

Solid waste management activities are regulated by the National Policy on Solid Waste, created by Federal Law no. 12,305/10. This law requires responsibility by all those involved in the management of waste, for instance, environmental friendly disposal of waste.

Table of Contents

All areas identified as contaminated must be investigated and should the risk analysis indicate the need for intervention, it should be subject to remediation until risks are under control. Failure to comply with the responsibility may cause application of administrative and criminal sanctions, and indemnities in the civil sphere.

The holder of a contaminated property must repair any environmental damage or adverse impact, in the area, regardless of the contamination cause, which may cause significant expenses (obligation bound to the property, and not the party exploring it). Note that the responsibility for an environmental damage is not subject to the statute of limitations, that is, it never matures over time.

Cavities

Natural underground cavities represent fragile ecosystems that comprise the national speleological assets. Intervention in natural underground cavities is regulated by Federal Decree 6.640/2008, which sets forth about the means to intervene in such areas, according to the degree of relevance of the cavity. Interference in cavities imposes the need of carrying out broad technical studies and is subject to complex discussions with applicable entities. The occurrence of natural underground cavities may be determining in planning and deployment of mining projects, and limit or alter exploitation plans, as well as generate additional costs related to the preservation of the cavity or compensation measures arising out of impacts to such cavities.

Archeological sites and historical and cultural interest areas

The Federal Constitution provides that the government and the civil society are responsible for protecting the cultural heritage. In areas with the potential of having archeological sites and historic and cultural interest areas, the Company must obtain the appropriate authorization from the National Institute of Historical and Artistic Heritage (IPHAN) at project planning. Non-authorized interference on cultural, historical, and archeological areas is considered to be an administrative infringement, subject to penalties.

Actions adopted by Vale, appropriate to the disclosure and protection of the Cultural Heritage, shall occur in all phases of the project and not only during the planning phase. On the date of this Reference Form, Vale holds over 20 archeological sites preserved inside Vale s properties, and several of these sites are protected and coexist with Company operations. Vale is responsible for their protection, maintenance and assurance of enjoyment by communities.

Hydric resources

Quantitative and qualitative monitoring of hydric resources and effluents defined in the licenses, authorizations, and permits, must be complied with.

According to the National Policy of Hydric Resources, the Company is subject to the granting of the following use rights of hydric resources by the Public Authority: (1) derivation or capture of portion of water existing in a water body for final consumption; (2) extraction of water from underground water reserve for final consumption or as input of productive process; (3) release of sewage in water bodies, as well as other liquid or gas effluents, whether or not treated, for purposes of diluting, transporting, or final disposition of them; (4) use of hydroelectric potential, and (5) other uses that alter the regime, the quantity, and quality of the water existing in a water body.

Table of Contents
Carbon emissions and climate change
Climate changes may adversely affect technical requirements of Company projects, the use of equipment and the provision of services, that may have impact on the schedule and cost of Company activities.
Gas emissions that cause the greenhouse effect may, for instance, impact the operations of the Company by imposing taxation over greenhouse gas emission and/or the establishment of emission limits. These measures will demand more intense power effectiveness actions and the Company should search for a power matrix based on renewable sources.
There is a global trend to tax effects arising out of climate changes, that could affect Vale s businesses in the future, especially initiatives aiming carbon taxation in navigation, which could raise Vale s freight costs, namely for the Asian market.
The Company maintains policies and plans to prevent and mitigate climate changes, adopted in its operations around the world and applicable to the suppliers chain.
Environmental Liability
Environmental liability may occur in three different and independent spheres: (i) civil; (ii) administrative and (iii) criminal.
• <i>Civil Liability:</i> the entrepreneur, regardless of the existence of guilt, must compensate or repair damages caused to the environment and third parties affected by its activities. The environmental legislation sets forth the joint responsibility between polluting agents (Federal Law 6.938/81), which implies responsibility of all parties engaged in an event that causes environmental damages.
• Administrative Responsibility: Administrative responsibility arises out of an action or omission those results in violation against any rule related to environmental protection (Federal Decree 6.514/08). Sanctions against an administrative infraction may include warning, fine, non-use of product, suspension of sale and manufacturing of product, suspension of work or activity, demolition of work, suspension of tax benefits and cancellation or interruption of credit facilities granted by State banks, as well as prohibition to be hired by public entities.

• *Criminal Responsibility:* In the criminal sphere, Federal Law 9.605/98 (Environmental Crime Law) causes any person, individual or legal entity engaged in conducts deemed to be damaging to the environment to be subject to

its effects. The Law also provides for the possibility of piercing the corporate veil of the entity causing the environmental infringement in some cases. Applicable sanctions to legal entities may be (i) partial or total suspension of the activity; (ii) temporary interdiction of establishment, work, or activity; and, (iii) prohibition of contracting with Public Authorities, as well as obtain subsidies, subventions, or donations.

Other Considerations on Environmental Laws

Environmental legislation is becoming increasingly stricter worldwide, which consequently will lead to greater investments in Vale projects. In particular, Vale expects heightened attention from various governments to issues related to reducing greenhouse gases, as result of concerns with climate changes, especially following the Paris Climate Convention in the end of 2015. There are several examples of environmental regulations and compliance initiatives that may affect Vale s operations.

have been proposed, which may affect Vale s operations. In Canada, Vale is making great investments to ensure compliance with the emission regulations, which include, without limitation, reduction in sulphur dioxide emissions,

Canada. In Canada, a greenhouse effect gas limitation and a regulation for the exchange regime

Table of Contents

emissions of greenhouse effect gases, particulates and metals.
• <i>Indonesia.</i> Under the terms in the Government Regulation on B3 waste (hazardous waste), the waste of PTVI is classified as hazardous waste. The unit has environmental authorization for internal storage of waste and is working on the development of solutions and technologies for environmental-appropriate disposal.
• China. An amendment to the environmental protection law has been approved in April 2014, establishing stricter obligations on the prevention and control of pollution for companies, setting forth more severe penalties. This change may adversely affect coal exportations of Vale from Mozambique to China
• New Caledonia. A law, approved in the South Province in New Caledonia, in February 2014, imposed more rigid limits to emissions of nitrogen and Sulphur oxide and particulate from large electric burning plants, impacting the power station that provides power to Vale s operations in New Caledonia. In order to be in conformity with the new law, investments must be made by the Company to improve the control system for such emissions.
Royalties and other taxes on mining activities
In many jurisdictions, Vale must pay royalties or fees on the Company revenues or profits from extraction and sale of minerals. These payments should be considered for the preparation of the economic model of a mining operation. The following royalties and fees apply in some of the jurisdictions in which Vale has its largest operations:
• Brazil. In Brazil, in addition to taxes incurring on the mining prospecting and extracting, Vale pays (a) the Annual Rate per Hectare (TAH) calculated according to the mineral that is sought after, the extension and location of the mining concession in vase of minerals under prospection; (b) locally, mining <i>royalties</i> known as the CFEM (Compensação Financeira pela Exploração de Recursos Minerais or Financial Compensation for Exploration of Mineral Resources) and incurring on the net revenue after taxes from the sale of minerals extracted by Vale, net of insurance and transportation costs. The current annual CFEM rates on Company products are: 2% for iron ore, copper, nickel, fertilizers and other materials; 3% on bauxite, potash and manganese ore; and 1% on gold; and (c) the Mineral Resource Inspection Fee TFRM, with rates varying from R\$0.50 to R\$ 3.025 per metric ton of mineral produced or

transferred from the state, which is the fee due to the states of Minas Gerais, Pará, Amapá and Mato Grosso do Sul due to inspections to mineral resources research, production, exploration and/or development activities, under the

terms in the legislation enacted by each of these States. As mentioned above (in item Regulation of mining activities),

in October 2014, the Special Mining Commission of the House of representatives created to develop a new project to replace the current Mining Code submitted a replacement to the bill submitted by the federal Government in 2013 which consolidated several prior bills, and sets forth regarding new taxes and fees attributable to mining activities, the change to the calculation base and rate of the CFEM, as well as the creation of new fees, for instance (i) the inspection fee, variable according to the company income; (ii) the water retention and occupation fee (possibly replacing TAH); and (iii) reduction from 50% (fifty per cent) to 20% (twenty per cent) of the portion applicable to the owner of the land on the value of the CFEM. Vale is currently engaged in several administrative and legal proceedings that relate to the non-payment of amounts due as CFEM. See item 4.3 in this Reference Form for further information.

- <u>Canada</u>. The Canadian provinces in which Vale operates charge a tax on profit from mining operations. Profit from mining operations is generally determined by reference to gross revenue from the sale of products of mine output and deducting certain costs, such as mining and processing costs and investment in processing assets. The rates are 10% in Ontario; up to 17% in Manitoba; and a combination of mining fee and royalties equal to 16% in Newfoundland and Labrador. The mining fee paid is deductible for corporate income tax purposes.
- <u>Indonesia</u>. Vale s subsidiary PTVI pays mining royalties corresponding to 2% over its matte nickel revenue, when LME nickel price is lower than US\$ 21,000 per metric ton; and 3% over its matte nickel revenue, when LME nickel price is equal or higher than US\$ 21,000 per metric ton.
- <u>Australia</u>. In Australia, royalties are paid on the revenues from sales of minerals. In the State of Queensland, royalty applicable to coal is 7% of the net income (deducting freight costs, fines over stay, and other costs) up to A\$100 per ton and 12.5% of the amount between A\$100 and A\$150 per ton and 15% over A\$ 150 per ton.
- Zambia. In 2015, the government of Zambia deployed a series of changes to the fiscal regime applicable to the mining sector. For the period between January 1, 2015 and June 30, 2015, the government has eliminated income tax from mining companies (except for taxes related to ore processing) and increased royalties applicable to underground mine operations as is the case of Vale s operations in *joint ventures*, from 6% to 9%. In July 2015, the government (i) reduced mineral royalties on underground operations to 6%, (ii) reintroduced the previously abolished tax of 15% on the net profit of operations, applicable when taxable gains exceed 8% of gross sales, and (iii) reintroduced income tax at 30% over net profit of mining operations and 35% over the net profit from mineral processing.

Regulation of other activities

In addition to mining and environmental regulation, Vale is subject to comprehensive regulatory regimes for some of its other activities, including rail transport, port operations, and electricity generation. Vale is also subject to workers health and safety legislation, safety and support of communities near mines, and other matters. Descriptions below relate to some regulatory regimes applicable to its operations:

• <u>Brazilian railroad and ports regulation</u>. Aiming to ensure the reliability on the railroad and port logistics aimed to meet specific needs to larger volumes with operation efficiency, Vale acts in these sectors by means of its assets Estrada de Ferro Carajás, Estrada de Ferro Vitória a Minas and its port terminals detailed in this Reference Form. In this sense, Vale holds the concession of railroad services and authorizations to explore its Private Port Terminals, and executed a lease agreement preceded by bidding process to explore the Private Terminal for M Ore Exportation by CPBS Companhia Portuária Baía de Sepetiba (<u>CPBS</u>).

Vale s Brazilian railroad businesses operate according to concession contracts by the Federal Government, and its railroad concessions are subject to regulations and supervision by the Ministry of Transports and the land transportation regulating agency (ANTT). The EFC and EFVM concessions mature in 2027 and may be renewed at the sole discretion of the Federal Government. VLI also has a sub concession contract for commercial operation of a 720 km segment of the FNS railroad in Brazil, which expires in 2037, while the concessions for FCA and MRS mature in 2026. Railroad transportation prices may be negotiated directly with the users of these services, subject to maximum limitations of fees approved by the ANTT for each concessionaire and each different product that is carried. ANTT regulations also require the concessionaires to grants use rights of the railroad (*trackage rights*) to other railroad operators, to make investments in the railroad, as well as to comply with specific productivity and safety requirements, among other obligations.

In these activities, Vale is subject to regulatory inspection by the ANTT, linked to the Ministry of Transportation for railways; and the National Agency of Water Transportation (ANTAQ), linked to the Secretary of Ports of the Presidency of the Republic (SEP), for port activities. Both Agencies were created in 2001 by Federal Law no. 10.233/01, that established attributions to inspect compliance with the contracts and establish complementary rules to regulate the railroad and port sectors. Regulatory acts issued by the ANTT in recent years include rules dealing with User Regulations, rules for determination of goals, rules for sharing infrastructure in 2011 and independent railroad operator in 2014. In terms of ports, Law 12.815/2013 presented substantial changes, for instance, the end of restrictions associated to third party cargo, and the possibility of having exceptional third party access to private terminals. ANTAQ promoted adaptation of adherence agreements and issued new resolutions with procedures for new grants, inspection of private use terminals, and applicable penalties.

Regarding prices, railroads are subject to tariff tables determined by ANTT, with annual adjustments, applicable to third party users of railroad services. When carrying own cargo, a transfer price was set merely for purposes of accompaniment of the concession. In case of port terminals, this is a private economic activity exercised with free prices. For own cargo, there is no price asked, considered to be production cost, except for the service provided by CPBS to Vale, as this is a specific purpose company, it asks for fee for port movements.

In 2014, Vale has renewed the agreements under which SEP grants rights to Vale to operate its private terminals, except for the contract with CPBS, which expires in 2026. These renewed agreements shall be in force until 2039.

- Regulation of chemical products. Some of Vale s products are subject to regulations applicable to the marketing, distribution and use of chemicals in their compositions. For example, the European Commission has adopted a European Chemicals Policy, known as REACH (Registration, Evaluation, and Authorization of Chemicals). Under REACH, European manufacturers and importers are required to register substances prior to their entry into the European market and in some cases may be subject to an authorization process. A company that fails to comply with the REACH regulation could be subject to fines and penalties.
- Regulation in Transocean transportation of iron ore and iron ore fines. Vale is subject to health, safety and environmental rules issued by the International Maritime Organization (IMO) that governs the safe shipment of products, including iron ore. IMO is currently discussing additional technical and operational measures to increase energetic effectiveness of international maritime transportation, including the development of a global monitoring system, report checking and presentation, which will ultimately allow that market-based measures stop greenhouse effect gases. These measures may increase Vale s freight cost in the future.

b. Environmental policy of the Company and costs incurred for compliance with environmental regulation and, where appropriate, other environmental practices, including adherence to international standards of environmental protection

Vale s governance structure is based on policies with guidelines and principles that direct the strategy, the process and the actions taken by the Company. Key policies related to the environment are the Sustainable Development Policy, the Security and Health Policy, the Climate Global Change Policy, and the Human Rights Policy. These policies, with specific plans and programs for each Vale unit provide the necessary guidance to achieve its sustainability goals and its short, medium, and long term business decisions.

Vale s Environmental Management System determines the development and implementation of effective control, monitoring, conservation, environmental protection and rehabilitation, aimed at ensuring the minimization of the environmental impacts on the ecosystems in which it operates. Vale s management system is based on ISO 14001 (*International Organization for Standardization*) guidelines, to which it adds additional requirements that make up Vale s standard of environmental quality, which, in some cases, establish more restrictive requirements to the list of applicable legal requirements, for purposes of promoting continuous improvement of environmental management. Aiming to assess the effectiveness of the environmental management system, Vale submitted the operations, periodically, to internal and external audits.

Vale s units with ISO 14001 are listed below:

- Iron ore: Ferrosos Sul, Ferrosos Sudeste, Ferrosos Centro-Oeste, Ferrosos Norte and Complexo de Tubarão;
- Manganese: Conselheiro Lafaiete (Morro da Mina);
- Nickel: Onça Puma, Vale Europa (Refineries Acton and Clydach), Vale Dalian (China), Vale KNC (South Korea), Vale Taiwan (Taiwan) and Vale Matsusaka (Japan);
- Logistics: Port and railway operation at Complexo de Tubarão;
- Copper: Minas do Sossego and Salobo;
- Fertilizers: Cubatão (1, 2 and 3), Catalão, Araxá, Tapira and Uberaba.

In the last three years, US\$2,451.8 million was invested in environmental management actions; these actions were focused on compliance with regulations or other environmental practices.

As Vale is a mining company, air emissions is one a significant environmental aspect inherent to its operations and impose to the Company the need to deploy specific control actions in order to ensure conformity of its activities with legal requirements (emission standards and air quality

in neighboring communities) and Vale s performance standards. Vale s systems and equipment related to pollution control include: a water aspersion system for unpaved roads, as well as the use of chemical inhibiting substances to control dust, filters and electrostatic precipitators complemented by integrated monitoring systems and online control software.

Another relevant aspect of the Environmental Management System is the rational use and quality of the water resources where Vale has control systems for the effluents generated, as well as programs and development of technologies for continual reduction of consumption and/or re-use.

The waste management program is another initiative that prioritizes minimization of generation, as well as re-use and recycling procedures, as well as adequate disposal practices.

The orientation for decommissioning of assets include practices and procedures implemented over the project life cycle. These procedures are aligned with the guidelines of the *Comissão de Valores Mobiliários* - CVM and the Securities Exchange Commission - SEC (IAS 37) for the annual estimates of asset demobilization costs and provisioning of resources for future deactivation and recovery of the area. The definition of future use of each unit is established in the Closing Plan, considering environmental, social and economic aspects, according to the specific operational procedure, noting that the Sustainability Report reflects the percentage of compliance with these guidelines.

In regards to risk management, the tailings dams are highly relevant and are submitted to periodic safety audits, as well as to operation and monitoring practices to evaluate geotechnical stability.

Vale s environmental program also includes management of recovery of degraded areas (RAD) in the territories where the Company has activities, whether or not these areas were degraded by operational activities. RAD management also covers the preparation of restoration and repair projects aimed at protection of water resources, harmonization of scenery, geotechnical stability, increasing, gene flow and the well-being of human populations. Vale has partnerships with universities, institutions and governmental research agencies to optimize methods of protecting the ecosystem.

Table of Contents

Vale acts in several areas, including areas of high cultural value and high relevance for biodiversity. In all the stages of its projects, Vale develops and supports actions that encourage the conservation and sustainable use of natural resources through the adoption of good practices to mitigate negative impacts and promote positive impacts in the locations where Vale acts.

For preservation of biodiversity and ecosystem services, Vale contributes to the maintenance of protected natural areas, whether its own or in partnership with local governments, intended for conservation, and promotion of engagement with communities, scientific institutions, governments and other relevant actors.

In order to build a positive legacy, in the past 25 years, Vale has offered support to indigenous communities in education, health, infrastructure development and technical assistance to improve the quality of life and self-sufficiency of these communities. For more details on information highlighted above, see the Sustainability Report of the Company, available at the website indicated in item 7.8(d) in this Reference Form.

c. Reliance on patents, trademarks, licenses, concessions, franchises, contracts, royalties for the development of relevant activities.

Vale operates mines, railways, ports, marine terminals and power plants, in general, through concessions granted by federal and State governments in several countries. Accordingly, Vale depends greatly on the concession of operating licenses for such assets for the development of its activities. For more information on Vale s permits and concessions, see item 9.1 b of this Reference Form.

Additionally, Vale s intangible assets portfolio, as a whole, generates added value for our operating units in different aspects, whether by the commercial side, which includes technology transfer, open innovation, and economic exploration, whether as unique competitiveness tool, as it creates technological barriers to competitors, or even as instrument to increase productivity and/or reduce personal and environmental risks, as patents related to employee health and security in strategic areas. Among its intangible assets, Vale considers its registrations for the brand VALE as the most relevant ones for its activities which, with other assets, offer direct technical and financial benefits for Vale s activities in different production fronts.

7.6 Relevant revenue from abroad

Fiscal year ending on December 31, 2015

Revenue from customers attributed to:	Revenue (R\$ thousands)	% In total income
China	30,812	43.5
Japan	6,498	9.0
United States	2,804	3.9
Europe	15,535	21.5
Middle East/Africa/Oceania	3,941	5.4
Other countries	12,829	17.7
Total income from abroad	72,419	100

7.7 Effects of foreign regulations on activities

For information on the effects of foreign regulations on Vale s activities see item 7.5 in this Reference Form.

7.8 Social environmental policies

(a) if the issuer discloses social and environmental information:

Sustainability

Vale is committed with sustainability, as it is unable to grow disregarding the physical limitations of our planet or the well-being of communities where it operates. Since 2013, Vale incorporates environmental and social actions directly in its strategic plan, moving away from an isolated investment model. The company is engaged in sustainable mining, dedicating resources to education and searching the application of technologies to use natural resources more effectively. Vale is also committed to reducing the consumption of water in its activities and with more effective use, especially through reuse and recirculation of water. The water actively supports open dialogue with the main stakeholders (governments, communities, clients, suppliers, collaborators, and others), because it recognizes that only with joint action it may achieve sustainable growth and contribute to social well-being. Vale follows social action rules and principles on businesses and human rights based on the guidelines by the United Nations Human Rights Council. Vale is also committed to reduce greenhouse effect gases.

The Company, with the support by its Board of Directors, reaffirms in its Sustainability Report its commitment with the United Nations Global Pact and reports, in such document, the progress in the deployment of its principles.

The focus of Company investments is the development of world-class assets, with long use life, low cost, high-quality products, employing state of the art technology and expansion capacity, taking into account value generation linked to commitments assumed with people at different sites where the Company acts and with the planet.

The pillar Incorporate Sustainability into Business is one of the five pillars in Vale s environmental strategy and in order to leverage progress in its management the Company established global policies as the Sustainable Development Policy, the Human Rights Policy, and the Health and Security Policy. Additionally, Vale (i) maintains the Sustainability Action Plan (PAS), which indicators are related to issues that aim continuous improvements of Company performance regarding sustainability aspects; (ii) established priority environmental aspects as diminishing the issuance of greenhouse gas effects, preservation of the quality of water resources and protection of natural areas, and (iii) is committed to promoting the sustainability agenda with its vendors and clients and to acting to promote the sustainable development in partnership with governments and the society.

Additionally, Vale s variable compensation program binds the economic and financial performance to operational excellence and sustainability which in turn are linked to issues that aim continuous improvement. These indicators are focused on the environment, and starting in 2014, incorporate social issues of the operational units as well. Environmental indicators consider water, residues, emissions, direct and indirect power, and the recovery of damaged areas.

In 2015, Vale continued to be part of ICO2 Efficient Carbon Index and was also selected, for the fifth consecutive year, to be part of the BM&F Bovespa ISE Corporate Sustainability Index (ISE). However, Vale is not part of the ISE portfolio in 2016. Notwithstanding, Vale s commitment with sustainability in its operations hasn t changed.

Table of Contents

The Company is part of the group of companies of the United Nations Lead Global Pact and is committed with the promotion of its principles, in issues related to human rights, labor rights, fight against corruption, and environment protection.

The Company obtained the best grade, regarding quality in transparency related to the disclosure of information on climate changes, among Latin American companies that respond to the CDP questionnaire, and for the fifth time it is part of the *Climate Disclosure Leadership Index* (CDLI).

In line with sustainability practices, Vale continues to deploy measures to reduce the incurrence of accidents. In Brazil, in 2015, the Lost Work Case Frequency Rate (LWCFR), measured in number of accidents with lost work per million man/hours worked, was 0.56. The Total Recordable Injury Frequency Rate (TRIFR), in number of accidents per million man/hours worked was 1.47. Global indicators reflect, likewise, progress in Vale s goals, with a 3% reduction in LWCFR, reaching 0.586 in 2015.

Sponsorship and Social Activity Policy

The Company has a global sponsorship policy for projects that contemplates the following segments:

- Cultural: the Company values the culture in the areas where it operates, favoring its promotion and the cultural exchange between territories. Cultural sponsorships follow its Cultural Policy, which sets forth the following lines of action: a) access to culture; (ii) promotion of indigenous culture and culture of traditional communities; (iii) valuation of cultural identity and heritage; and (iv) musical education
- Technical Institutional: the Company sponsors projects and partnerships that contribute to the theoretical and practical evolution of knowledge aiming sectors the company Works with, whether directly connected to its business and other areas of institutional interest or areas related to its mission.

In order to guide its social investment, Vale has a specific policy for Brazilian territory, namely, the Social Action Policy, which establishes the following priorities: (i) basic attention to health, (ii) education, and (iii) employment and income generation. This policy also establishes guidelines for the relationship with communities. In addition, the social investment also considers the Company Cultural Policy regarding the social and cultural aspects.

(b) methodology adopted in the preparation of information:

The methodology used to prepare the Sustainability Report is the *Global Reporting Initiative* (*GRI*). GRI guidelines are an international reference for all the players interested in disclosing information about organization management, their environmental, social, and economic performance, and impact on these areas. GRI offers principles, content and a deployment manual in order to allow different organizations, regardless of their size, location, or industry, to prepare sustainability reports.

(c)	whether th	e information	is audited	or revised b	y independen	t entity:
-----	------------	---------------	------------	--------------	--------------	-----------

The Sustainability Report is annually audited by an independent audit company. The 2015 Sustainability Report was audited by Bureau Veritas Brasil.

(d) the world wide web webpage where this information is available:

The Company Sustainability Report is available at www.vale.com/rs2015.

7.9 - Other relevant information

Updates related to the collapse of the Samarco tailings dam

On November 5, 2015, Samarco S.A. (Samarco) experienced the burst of the iron ore tailings dam (Fundão) in the State of Minas Gerais, Brazil, which affected communities and ecosystems, including the Rio Doce.

As a consequence of the collapsed of the dam, the Government of Minas Gerais has determined the suspension of operations at Samarco.

On March 02, 2016, Samarco, and their shareholders, Vale S.A. (Vale or Company) and BHP Billiton Brazil Ltda. (BHPB) entered into an agreement with the Federal Government, the two Brazilian States affected by the collapse of the dam (Espírito Santo and Minas Gerais) and other parts (Agreement). The agreement, which does not foresee the assumption of criminal, civil, or administrative liabilities related to the collapse of the Fundão dam, should end the suit filed in Brazilian courts by various Brazilian governmental authorities. The Agreement has a term of 15 years renewable yearly until all the obligations set forth in the agreement have been met.

Table of Contents

Under the Agreement, Samarco, Vale and BHPB shall establish a foundation (Foundation) to develop and implement restoration programs to restore the environment, local communities and, the social conditions in areas affected areas, as well as compensation programs.

Samarco has agreed to make contributions to the Foundation in the amount of R\$ 2,000 million in 2016, R\$ 1,200 million in 2017 and R\$ 1,200 million in 2018. The amounts already spent by Samarco in restoration and compensatory actions shall be deducted from these contributions. Samarco has agreed to make the annual contributions needed to conduct the remaining approved projects of restoration and compensation, and in 2019 to 2021 these contributions are subject to a minimum annual amount of R\$ 800 million and maximum annual amount of R\$ 1,600 million. The Foundation shall allocate an annual amount of R\$ 240 million, over 15 years, for the implementation of compensation programs. This amount will be included in the first six years of the annual contributions described above. By the end of 2018, the Foundation shall also allocate R\$ 500 million for basic sanitation on the affected areas.

In compliance with the agreement, Samarco shall continue to be the primary funder and operator of restoration and compensation programs until the Foundation initiates operations, likely by the end of 2016.

Currently, Samarco is unable to resume mining and processing activities. Samarco s management is developing a plan to resume operations, however, the possibility, time, and scope this still remain uncertain.

If Samarco fails to comply with its obligation to invest funds in the Foundation, Vale and BHPB shall be liable, pursuant to the terms of the agreement, for providing resources to the Foundation to the extent of their stake Samarco, i.e., 50% each.

Vale analyses concerning its real need to provide resources to the Foundation are based on future projections of Samarco s cash, which have critical estimates and assumptions in their preparation, including, but not limited to: (i) resumption of operations in a reasonable time; (ii) the favorable outcome of renegotiations with financial institutions and holders of Samarco bonds, since Samarco is not going to meet the financial indicators

(financial covenants) foreseen contractually; and, (iii) the recovery of judicial deposits in connection with ongoing lawsuits.

Failure to meet the key premises aforementioned in a timely manner significantly increases the risk that Vale and BHPB are required to make contributions to the Foundation. To this effect, the Company s Management anticipates that these contributions, if required, shall be made for a limited period of time, until Samarco manages to develop the required actions to implement those premises, which shall re-establish its cash generation and alignment with the disbursement necessary to the comply with the terms of the agreement.

Right now, in light of the existing uncertainties, it is not possible to reasonably estimate or define the amounts that Vale may be required to provide nor how. Consequently, as of now, no provision has been specified in the Company s financial statements.

For information about the legal and administrative procedures associated with the collapse of the Fundão dam in which Vale is a party, as well
as about the agreement entered into by Samarco, its shareholders, Vale and BHPB, and the Federal Government, the two Brazilian States
affected by collapse (Espírito Santo and Minas Gerais) and other entities, see the items 4.3 to 4.7 of this Reference Form

Suspension of some iron ore operations in the Southeast System

In July 2015, the Company temporarily suspended operations on certain processing units of iron ore that shower higher processing costs and lower quality products in the mining complex of Paraopeba. It reduced the production of lower quality products in certain mines of the mining complex of Minas Itabiritos, both in the Southern System. The Company resumed some of these operations, although with lower productivity. The decision is consistent with the Company s strategy to improve product quality and increase profit margins.

Act # 12.973

Act # 12.973 was amended on May 13, 2014 (regulated by Normative Instruction # 1.515 and 1.520), which significantly changed the current federal tax laws, widely affecting the taxation of Brazilian companies. Among the issues addressed by Act # 12.973 are:

- a new mechanism to tax in Brazil profits generated abroad by direct and indirect subsidiaries located abroad, on an accrual basis, and of the sister companies on a cash-basis, earned in the balance sheet according to local practices, and limited deductions on taxes paid abroad are possible. If certain conditions set forth in this legislation are met, the following shall be allowed: (1) consolidation of results (profits and losses) of eligible direct and indirect subsidiaries for tax purposes until 2022, and, (2) deferred payment for up to eight years of taxes due on the profits of eligible foreign companies. This change may result in a tax income increase starting on 2015;
- end of Transitional Tax Regime (RTT), with the adaptation of the current tax rules to the new accounting standards in force in Brazil. Generally speaking, Act # 12.973 regulated the tax treatment applicable to revenue, costs, expenses and assets variations recognized by Brazilian companies in accordance with the new accounting principles adopted in Brazil, which are a result of the process of convergence of accounting rules then adopted in Brazil to international standards (IFRS);

260

• rule changes in tax amortization applied to the premium paid in the acquisition of share participation. Among the many new rules concerning premium from the acquisition of share participation, Act # 12.973 establishes that it shall only be deductible from the IRPJ and CSLL calculation basis premium generated between unrelated parties. It is no longer accepted deduction of premium generated between companies of the same group or through the exchange of shares (between the respective issuers). Additionally, Act #12.973 established the order in which the PPA (purchase price allocation) shall be held in acquisitions and corporate reorganizations.
• new concept of gross revenue, for PIS and COFINS purposes. Act #12.973 also expanded the concept gross revenue, which may have an impact on the PIS and COFINS calculation, applied to the gross revenue of corporations;
• treatment of dividends and interest on equity (JCP). Act # 12.973 acknowledged the exemption from profits and dividends distributed until November 12, 2013, the date on which Act # 12.973 was published, on excess earnings calculate based on accounting principles in force in 2007. To calculate the JCP deductible limit, Act # 12,973 authorized the use of the respective Brazilian company s net equity, calculated pursuant to Act # 6.404/76.
The new rules arising from Act # 12.973 comes into force on January 1, 2015.
8.1 Acquisition or sale of any relevant asset that does not fit as a normal operation in the issuer s business
There was no acquisition or sale of any relevant asset that did not fit as a normal operation relative to the Company s businesses in the past 3 fiscal years.
8.2 Significant changes in the way the issuer conducts business
There have been no significant changes in the way the Company conducts its businesses in the past 3 fiscal years.
8.3. Relevant contracts entered into by the issues or its controlling companies that are not directly related to their operational activities

There have been no relevant contracts entered into by the Company and/or its controlling companies with third parties that are not directly

related to their operational activities in the past 3 fiscal years.

8.4 Other information which the Company judges to be relevant

There is no relevant information additional to what has already been disclosed in the other items.

9.1 Relevant non-current assets

Items 9.1 (a), 9.1 (b), and 9.1(c) of this Reference Form, describe the principal non-current assets of the Company.

The main fixed assets of the Company consist of various buildings, facilities, equipment, and mining rights, as described in item 9.1 (a) of this Reference Form.

The following tables describe the book value of fixed assets of the Company on December 31, 2015 by category and geographic location:

As of December 31, 2015	Brazil	Canada	New Caledonia	Asia	Africa	Others	Total
Properties and buildings	25,371,666	4,730,765	2,486,252	5,227,468	432,696	278,205	38,527,052
Equipment and facilities	34,210,422	5,984,892	7,222,523	6,882,689	1,089,535	4,913,245	60,303,306
Mining rights	12,377,073	21,114,914	2,095,557	3,514,858		1,067,061	40,169,463
Others (1)	16,586,149	5,360,140	676,850	3,938,107	204,695	1,434,164	28,200,105
Ongoing (2)	37,150,883	4,155,358	1,268,077	815,255		669,633	44,059,206
Total	125,696,193	41,346,069	13,749,259	20,378,377	1,726,926	8,362,308	211,259,132

Table of Contents	
(1) Computing equipment, railroads, and others.	
(2) Ongoing fixed assets.	
(-)	
	262

9.1 Relevant non-current assets / 9.1.a Fixed assets

	Location			Type of
Description of the fixed asset	Country	Location State	Location Municipality	Property
Integrated iron ore production system in North System	Brazil	Various	Various	N/A
Integrated iron ore production system in Southeast	Brazil	Various	Various	N/A
System	- ·			27/1
Integrated iron ore production system in South System	Brazil	Various	Various	N/A
Pelletizing plant - Tubarão I	Brazil	Espírito Santo	Vitória	Own
Pelletizing plant - Tubarão II	Brazil	Espírito Santo	Vitória	Own
Pelletizing plant Tubarão III	Brazil	Espírito Santo	Vitória	Lease
Pelletizing plant Tubarão IV	Brazil	Espírito Santo	Vitória	Lease
Pelletizing plant Tubarão V	Brazil	Espírito Santo	Vitória	Lease
Pelletizing plant Tubarão VI	Brazil	Espírito Santo	Vitória	Lease
Pelletizing plant Tubarão VII	Brazil	Espírito Santo	Vitória	Lease
Pelletizing plant Tubarão VIII	Brazil	Espírito Santo	Vitória	Own
Pelletizing plant - Fábrica	Brazil	Minas Gerais	Congonhas	Own
Pelletizing plant - Vargem Grande	Brazil	Minas Gerais	Nova Lima	Own
Integrated nickel production system: mine, processing	Canada		Sudbury	N/A
plant, smelter				
Integrated nickel production system: mine, processing	Canada		Thompson	N/A
plant, smelter				
Nickel mine and processing plant	Canada		Voisey s Bay	N/A
Manganese Mines	Brazil	Various	Various	N/A
Thermal and metallurgical coalmine	Australia		Hunter Valley	N/A
Thermal and metallurgical coalmine	Australia		Bowen Basin	N/A
Iron ore mines various Central West system	Brazil	Mato Grosso do Sul	Various	N/A
Pelletizing plant - Oman	Oman			Own
Nickel mine and processing plant	New		Noumea	N/A
	Caledonia			
PVTI nickel mine	Indonesia		Sorowako	N/A
Nickel refinery Clydach	Wales		Clydach	Own
Sossego mine	Brazil	Pará	Various	N/A
Salobo mine	Brazil	Pará	Various	N/A
Onça Puma mine	Brazil	Pará	Various	N/A

Thermal and metallurgical coalmine - Moatize	Mozambique		Various	N/A
Potash mine Taquari-Vassouras	Brazil	SE	Various	Own
Phosphorite mine - Bayóvar	Peru		Piura	N/A
Phosphorite mine and processing plant	Brazil	Various	Various	N/A
Port Colborne smelter of precious metals	Canada		Ontario	Own
Ferroalloys plant	Brazil	Various	Various	Own
Platinum Refinery	Great Britain		Acton	Own
Nickel refinery Taiwan	Taiwan		Kaoshing	Own
Nickel refinery Matsuzaka	Japan		Matsuzaka	Own
Nickel refinery Dalian	China		Dalian	Own

9.1 - Relevant non-current assets / 9.1.b Intangible assets, like patents, trademarks, licenses, concessions, franchises, and contracts for technology transfer and domain name in the World Wide Web

Type of asset	Description of asset	Duration	Events which might cause a loss of rights	Consequences of loss of rights
Concessions	Mining concessions in Brazil	Undetermined	Persistent breach of current mining legislation: predatory mining; mining stopped without notice to, and consent of, the competent agency; not answering repeated requests for routine inspections.	Interruption and/or cancellation of mining operations in Brazil
Concessions	Lease license to mining in Canada	2016-2036	Failure to pay taxes (mining tax or rental fees), non-compliance with regulation, failure to present renewal application. Rejection of application for renewal, noncompliance with renewal requirements.	Interruption and/or cancellation of mining operations and/or mineral exploration in Canada
			265	

Type of asset	Description of asset	Duration	Events which might cause a loss of rights	Consequences of loss of rights
Concessions	Contract of Work in Indonesia	2025	End of the term of the contract; cancellation due to errors or irregularity in the procedure for or in the act of its granting; and in the case of the bankruptcy or dissolution of the concessionaire. Breach of legislation. The Contract of Work of mines that Vale has in Indonesia expires in 2025. However, according to the new Mining Law, Vale may request at least one extension of 10 years.	Interruption and/or cancellation of mining operations in Indonesia.
Concessions	Mining lease in Australia	2021-2041	Non-payment of lease/royalties; failure to submit report on activities. Breach of legislation.	Interruption and/or cancellation of mining operations in Australia.
Concessions	Mining concessions in New Caledonia	2016-2051	Non-payment of fees, non-payment of lease/royalties; non-submission of report on activities; lack of activity on the concessions. Breach of legislation.	Interruption and/or cancellation of mining operations in New Caledonia; impossibility of adding mineral resources that allow expanding our mining activities outside the area of the VNC project.
Concessions	Mining concessions in Peru	Undetermined	Non-payment of fees for more than two consecutive years and non-payment of fines. Breach of legislation. Additional information in the Duration field: Undetermined Deadline, given that the unproductive mining concessions end between 2023 and 2028.	Interruption and/or cancellation of mining operations in Peru.

266

Argentina measurement, failure to use legal labor of min	ruption and/or cancellation
(22), non pujment of the (emion number)	ining operations in entina.
Mozambique concession are, above all, related to: (i) the of min	ruption and/or cancellation ining operations in ambique.

Type of asset	Description of asset	Duration	Events which might cause a loss of rights	Consequences of loss of rights
Concessions	Rail concession for passenger and freight transport on the EFC Railroad	2027	The concession will be terminated if one of the following takes place: the end of the contractual period, nationalization, forfeiture, rescission, cancellation, or bankruptcy or dissolution of the concessionaire Additional information in the Duration field 2027 (extendible for 30 years)	Interruption and/or cancellation of the railroad operations, which make up the Northern System of Vale.
Concessions	Rail concession for passenger and freight transport on the Vitória a Minas Railroad	2027	The concession will be terminated if one of the following takes place: the end of the contractual period, nationalization, forfeiture, rescission, cancellation, or bankruptcy or dissolution of the concessionaire. Additional information in the Duration field 2027 (extendible for 30 years)	Interruption and/or cancellation of the railroad operations, which make up the Southeast System of Vale.
Concessions	Concession for the Center-East network belonging to the Rede Ferroviária Federal S.A., granted to FCA	2026	The concession will be terminated if one of the following takes place: the end of the contractual period, nationalization, forfeiture, rescission, cancellation, or bankruptcy or dissolution of the concessionaire. Additional information in the Duration field 2026 (extendible for 30 years)	Interruption and/or cancellation of the railroad operations.

Type of asset	Description of asset	Duration	Events which might cause a loss of rights	Consequences of loss of rights
Concessions	Sub concession contract with lease of North-South Railroad network	2038	The concession will be terminated if one of the following takes place: the end of the contractual period, nationalization, forfeiture, rescission, cancellation, or bankruptcy or dissolution of the subconcessionaire. Additional information in the Duration field 2038 (extendible for 30 years)	Interruption and/or cancellation of the railroad operations.
Concessions	Concession for use of public property for electric energy generation UHE Igarapava	2028	(i) by reversion of the asset at the end of the contractual period; (ii) by nationalization.	Interruption and/or cancellation of the supply of energy from the hydroelectric plant.
Concessions	Concession for use of public property for electric energy generation UHE Porto Estrela	2032	(i) the termination of the contractual period; (ii) by nationalization of the services; (iii) by forfeiture; (iv) by rescission; (v) by cancellation as a result of defect or irregularity verified in the procedure or act of making the grant; (vi) in the case of bankruptcy or dissolution of the concessionaire.	Interruption and/or cancellation of the supply of energy from the hydroelectric plant.
Concessions	Concession for use of public property for electric energy generation UHE Capim Branco I and II	2036	(i) the termination of the contractual period; (ii) by nationalization of the services; (iii) by forfeiture; (iv) by rescission; (v) by cancellation as a result of defect or irregularity verified in the procedure or act of making the grant; (vi) in the case of bankruptcy or dissolution of the concessionaire.	Interruption and/or cancellation of the supply of energy from the hydroelectric plant.

269

Type of asset	Description of asset	Duration	Events which might cause a loss of rights	Consequences of loss of rights
Concessions	Concession for use of public property for electric energy generation UHE Funil	2035	(i) the termination of the contractual period; (ii) by nationalization of the services; (iii) by forfeiture; (iv) by rescission; (v) by cancellation as a result of defect or irregularity verified in the procedure or act of making the grant; (vi) in the case of bankruptcy or dissolution of the concessionaire.	Interruption and/or cancellation of the supply of energy from the hydroelectric plant.
			270	

Type of asset	Description of asset	Duration	Events which might cause a loss of rights	Consequences of loss of rights
Concessions	Concession for use of public property for electric energy generation UHE Aimorés	2035	(i) the termination of the contractual period; (ii) by nationalization of the services; (iii) by forfeiture; (iv) by rescission; (v) by cancellation as a result of defect or irregularity verified in the procedure or act of making the grant; (vi) in the case of bankruptcy or dissolution of the concessionaire.	Interruption and/or cancellation of the supply of energy from the hydroelectric plant.
Concessions	Concession for use of public property for electric energy generation UHE Candonga	2035	(i) the termination of the contractual period; (ii) by nationalization of the services; (iii) by forfeiture; (iv) by rescission; (v) by cancellation as a result of defect or irregularity verified in the procedure or act of making the grant; (vi) in the case of bankruptcy or dissolution of the concessionaire.	Interruption and/or cancellation of the supply of energy from the hydroelectric plant.
			271	

Type of asset	Description of asset	Duration	Events which might cause a loss of rights	Consequences of loss of rights
Concessions	Concession for use of public property for electric energy generation UHE Estreito	2037	(i) termination of the contractual period; (ii) nationalization; (iii) forfeiture; (iv) rescission; (v) cancellation as a result of defect or irregularity verified in the procedure or act of making the grant; (vi) bankruptcy or dissolution of the concessionaire.	Interruption and/or cancellation of the supply of energy from the hydroelectric plant.
			272	

Type of asset	Description of asset	Duration	Events which might cause a loss of rights	Consequences of loss of rights
Concessions	Concession in a shared arrangement for electric energy generation UHE Machadinho	2032	(i) by reversion of the asset at the end of the contractual period; (ii) by nationalization: (iii) by forfeiture.	Interruption and/or cancellation of the supply of energy from the hydroelectric plant.
Concessions	Concession for the utilization of hydraulic energy PCH Nova Maurício	2021	(i) termination of the contractual period.	Interruption and/or cancellation of the supply of energy from the small hydroelectric plant.
Concessions	Concession for the utilization of hydraulic energy PCH Glória	2021	(i) termination of the contractual period.	Interruption and/or cancellation of the supply of energy from the small hydroelectric plant.
			273	

Type of asset	Description of asset	Duration	Events which might cause a loss of rights	Consequences of loss of rights
Concessions	Concession for the utilization of hydraulic energy PCH Ituerê	2021	(i) termination of the contractual period.	Interruption and/or cancellation of the supply of energy from the small hydroelectric plant.
~ .		2027		
Concessions	Concession for the utilization of hydraulic energy PCH Mello	2025	(i) termination of the contractual period.	Interruption and/or cancellation of the supply of energy from the small hydroelectric plant.
Trademarks	Registration of Mixed Trademark Vale	2017	Within the administrative sphere (the Brazilian National Institute for Industrial Property INPI), the registration of trademarks already granted may be contested through nullification procedures or be subject to petitions for partial or total cancellation under the allegation that the trademark is not being used in the way that the registration was granted. In the judicial sphere, third parties may sue for the nullification of trademark registrations alleging violation of their rights to industrial property. Trademark registrations are maintained by periodic payments to INPI. The payment of the fees due and the continual use of the trademarks are indispensable to avoid the termination of their registration and the consequent cessation of the rights of the holder.	The loss of rights to trademarks results in the impossibility of stopping third parties from using identical or similar trademarks to brand even competing products and services, since the holder loses the right to the exclusive use of them. There also exists the possibility that the holder be subject to criminal and civil lawsuits for improper use, in the case of the violation of the rights of third parties, resulting in the impossibility of using the trademarks when carrying out its activities. It is not possible to quantify the impact of these events.

			Additional information in the Duration field: 2017 (extendible every 10 years)	
Type of asset Licenses/Domain name in the world wide web	Description of asset Domain name in the world wide web: Vale.com.br	Duration 9/16/2018	Events which might cause a loss of rights The loss of rights related to such assets relates to: (i) lack of payment to maintain the domain; (ii) finding that at registration or later, there was use of false CNPJ, CPF, corporate name, or invalid, incorrect, or outdated; (iii) failure to timely comply with the provision of documents; (iv) by court order; and (v) by express request of the applicant of the domain registration.	Consequences of loss of rights It is not possible to quantify the impact; however, it is certain that with the loss of the domain name, this could be registered by third parties.
			275	

Type of asset	Description of asset	Duration	Events which might cause a loss of rights	Consequences of loss of rights
Licenses/Domain	Domain name in the	10/7/2020	The loss of rights related to such assets	It is not possible to quantify the
name in the	world wide web:		relates to: (i) lack of payment to maintain	impact; however, it is certain that
world wide web	Vale.com		the domain; (ii) finding that at registration	with the loss of the domain name,
			or later, there was use of false CNPJ, CPF,	this could be registered by third
			corporate name, or invalid, incorrect, or	parties.
			outdated; (iii) failure to timely comply	
			with the provision of documents; (iv) by	
			court order; and (v) by express request of	
			the applicant of the domain registration.	

9.1 - Relevant non-current assets / 9.1.c Participation in Corporations

	CNPJ					HQ -	Issuer participation
Corporate Name	(Corporate Tax ID)	CVM Code	Type of Corporation	HQ - Country	HQ - State	Municipality	(%)
Aços Laminados do	10.335.963/0001-08		Controlled company	Brazil	RJ	Rio de Janeiro	100.000000
Pará S.A.							

Description of activities

Develop studies for technical-economic viability, as well as market studies, business plans, and other related studies to build an integrated steel plant in Marabá (Project), in the State of Pará, dedicated to the production of steel, including one or more plants for sintering, coking, high furnace, steel mill, and machines for ingot casting/finishing for the Project. The studies will also include market, engineering, and environmental assessments, budgets, and fiscal and economic analysis (the Project Study). Acquire the premises where the Project will be installed and sign the necessary agreements for said acquisition. Obtain the required licenses for the implementation of the Project, including, but not limited to the environmental licenses. Negotiate all commercial agreements that are necessary for the implementation of the Project, including the supply of iron ore/pellets, contracts for the supply of coal, of ferroalloys, and contracts for the supply of logistic services, among others.

			Market value	Amount of dividends	Marke	et Value	Bo	ook Value
Year	Book value	variation (%)	variation (%)	received (Reais)	Date	Value (R\$)	Date	Value (R\$)
12/31/2015		2.108434	0.000000	0.00				
12/31/2014		3.426791	0.000000	0.00	12/31/2015	0.00	12/31/2015	339,000,000.00
12/31/2013		0.626959	0.000000	0.00				

Reasons for buying and holding that participation

Encourage the consumption of iron ore in Brazil through investment in the iron and steel company.

277

Table of Contents

Corporate Name (Corporate Tax ID) CVM Code Type of Corporation HQ - Country HQ - State HQ - Municipality (%)
Biopalma da Amazônia 08.581.205/0001-10 Controlled company Brazil PA Belém 93.900000
S.A.,
Reflorestamento, Indústria e Comércio.

Description of activities Biopalma is a privately-owned corporation which main activities relate to growing palm and other vegetables and extraction, processing, and sale of their oils

	Book value variation	Market value	Amount of dividends	Marke	t Value	Ве	ook Value
Year	(%)	variation (%)	received (Reais)	Date	Value (R\$)	Date	Value (R\$)
12/31/2015	-32.507740	0.000000	0.00				
12/31/2014	15.563506	0.000000	0.00	12/31/2015	0.00	12/31/2015	436,000,000.00
12/31/2013	60.171920	0.000000	0.00				

	CNPJ					HQ -	Issuer participation
Corporate Name	(Corporate Tax ID)	CVM Code	Type of Corporation	HQ - Country	HQ - State	Municipality	(%)
California Steel	00.000.000/0000-00		Affiliate	United States			50.000000
Industries Inc							

Description of activities Exploration of any type of activity that is legal in the State of Delaware.

	Book value variation	Market value	Amount of dividends	Market Value		Во	ok Value
Year	(%)	variation (%)	received (Reais)	Date	Value (R\$)	Date	Value (R\$)
12/31/2015	25.357873	0.000000	0.00				
12/31/2014	15.058824	0.000000	0.00	12/31/2015	0.00	12/31/2015	613,000,000.00
12/31/2013	24.269006	0.000000	0.00				

Reasons for buying and holding that participation Relaminating operations in the USA.

278

Table of Contents

CNP.J

Corporate Name	(Corporate Tax ID)	CVM Code	Type of Corporation	HQ - Country	HQ - State	HQ - Municipality	Issuer participation (%)
Companhia	33.931.494/0001-87		Controlled	Brazil	ES	Vitória	50.000000
Coreano-Brasileira							
de Pelotização							
Kobrasco							

Description of activities

Production and marketing of iron ore pellets, as well as the performance of other activities directly or indirectly related to its purpose, including the import, export, and provision of services of any nature. The company is also able to participate, in any manner, in other companies

	Book value variation Market		Iarket value Amount of dividends		et Value	Book Value	
Year	(%)	variation (%)	received (Reais)	Date	Value (R\$)	Date	Value (R\$)
12/31/2015	6.140351	0.000000	67,000,000.00				
12/31/2014	7.042254	0.000000	39,000,000.00	12/31/2015	0.00	12/31/2015	242,000,000
12/31/2013	-2.293580	0.000000	47,000,000,00				

Reasons for buying and holding that participation

Production and sale of iron ore pellets and performing any activity directly or indirectly related to the production and sale of iron ore pellets. The company may also participate in any other industrial and/or commercial activities correlated with its main purpose, as well as participate in other undertakings in Brazil.

	CNPJ						
Corporate Name	(Corporate Tax ID)	CVM Code	Type of Corporation	HQ - Country	HQ - State	HQ - Municipality	Issuer participation (%)
Companhia	27.240.092/0001-33		Controlled	Brazil	ES	Vitória	50.890000
Lichana Procilaira							

Hispano-Brasileira de Pelotização Hispanobrás

Description of activities

Production and sale of iron ore pellets and performing any activity directly or indirectly related to the production and sale of iron ore pellets. The company may also participate in any other industrial and/or commercial activities correlated with its main purpose, as well as participate in other undertakings in Brazil.

	Book value variation	Market value	Amount of dividends	Marke	t Value	Bo	ook Value
Year	(%)	variation (%)	received (Reais)	Date	Value (R\$)	Date	Value (R\$)
12/31/2015	4,225352	0.000000	44,000,000.00				
12/31/2014	8.673469	0.000000	25,000,000.00	12/31/2015	0.00	12/31/2015	222,000,000.00
12/31/2013	-7.981221	0.000000	20.000.000.00				

Reasons for buying and holding that participation Expansion of Vale s participation in the pellet market in Brazil

Table of Contents

CNPJ

Corporate Name	(Corporate Tax ID)	CVM Code	Type of Corporation	HQ - Country	HQ - State	HQ - Municipality	Issuer participation (%)
Companhia	27.063.874/0001-44		Controlled	Brazil	ES	Vitória	50.900000
Ítalo-Brasileira de							
Pelotização							
Itabrasco							

Description of activities

Production and sale of iron ore pellets and performing any activity directly or indirectly related to the production and sale of iron ore pellets. The company may also participate in any other industrial and/or commercial activities correlated with its main purpose, as well as participate in other undertakings in Brazil.

	Book value variation	Market value	dividends received	Marke	t Value	Boo	ok Value
Year	(%)	variation (%)	(Reais)	Date	Value (R\$)	Date	Value (R\$)
12/31/2015	19.753086	0.000000	36,000,000,00				
12/31/2014	11.724138	0.000000	13,000,000.00	12/31/2015	0.00	12/31/2015	194,000,000.00
12/31/2013	11.538462	0.000000	0.00				

Reasons for buying and holding that participation

Expansion of Vale s participation in the pellet market in Brazil

	CNPJ		Type of			HQ -	Issuer participation
Corporate Name	(Corporate Tax ID)	CVM Code	Corporation	HQ - Country	HQ - State	Municipality	(%)
Companhia	27.251.842/0001-72		Affiliate	Brazil	ES	Vitória	51.000000
Nipo-Brasileira de							
Pelotização							
Nibrasco							

Description of activities

Production and sale of iron ore pellets and performing any activity directly or indirectly related to the production and sale of iron ore pellets. The company may also participate in any other industrial and/or commercial activities correlated with its main purpose, as well as participate in other undertakings in Brazil

280

Table of Contents

	Book value variation	Market value variation	Amount of dividends received	Marke	t Value	Bo	ook Value
Year	(%)	(%)	(Reais)	Date	Value (R\$)	Date	Value (R\$)
12/31/2015	7.407407	0.000000	102,000,000.00				
12/31/2014	1.612903	0.000000	114,000,000.00	12/31/2015	0.00	12/31/2015	406,000,000.00
12/31/2013	2.197802	0.000000	51,000,000.00				

Reasons for buying and holding that participation

Expansion of Vale s participation in the pellet market in Brazil.

	CNPJ		Type of				
Corporate Name	(Corporate Tax ID)	CVM Code	Corporation	HQ - Country	HQ - State	HQ - Municipality	Issuer participation (%)
Companhia	72.372.998/0001-66		Controlled	Brazil	RJ	Rio de Janeiro	100.000000
Portuária da Baia							
de Sepetiba							

Description of activities

The construction and utilization of a port facility for private, mixed use, located within the area of Porto de Sepetiba, Rio de Janeiro, specialized in moving and storage of iron ore and its derivatives. Secondarily and with a complementary character, the company may carry out port operations with other dry bulks, as long as these complementary operations do not interfere with the main operations. It is forbidden for the company to perform any activity different from its purpose, except with the express authorization of Companhia Docas do Rio de Janeiro CDRJ.

	Book value variation	Market value	Amount of dividends	Marke	t Value	Во	ook Value
Year	(%)	variation (%)	received (Reais)	Date	Value (R\$)	Date	Value (R\$)
12/31/2015	37.922078	0.000000	188,000,000.00				
12/31/2014	2;122016	0.000000	341,000,000.00	12/31/2015	0.00	12/31/2015	531,000,000.00
12/31/2013	16:060352	0.000000	263 000 000 00				

Reasons for buying and holding that participation

Supply port services for iron ore operations.

Table of Contents

CNP.J

Corporate Name	(Corporate Tax ID)	CVM Code	Type of Corporation	HQ - Country	HQ - State	HQ - Municipality	Issuer participation (%)
Henan Longyu	00.000.000/0000-00		Affiliate	China			25.000000
Energy Resources							
Co. Ltd.							

Description of activities

Exploration and development of coal resources; producing, washing, processing, trading, and selling (including exportation) of coal and other related products; use, for different purposes, of the natural resources of coal; manufacturing and repair of mechanical and electrical equipment for mining, rental of equipment, and treatment of waste; and supply of post-sale technical and advisory services regarding the items above. The company can adjust its corporate purpose, based on the needs to develop the business and its own capacity, through the approval by the general meeting of shareholders and the competent government authorities.

	Book value variation	Market value	Amount of dividends	Market Value		Book Value	
Year	(%)	variation (%)	received (Reais)	Date	Value (R\$)	Date	Value (R\$)
12/31/2015	26.617179	0.000000	109,000,000.00				
12/31/2014	12.934132	0.000000	75,000,000.00	12/31/2015	0.00	12/31/2015	1,194,000,000.00
12/31/2013	19.799139	0.000000	90,000,000.00				

Reasons for buying and holding that participation

Hold interest in company that owns coal assets in China

	CNPJ						Issuer participation
Corporate Name	(Corporate Tax ID)	CVM Code	Type of Corporation	HQ - Country	HQ - State	HQ - Municipality	(%)
Mineração	03.327.988/0001-96		Controlled	Brazil	MS	Corumbá	100.000000
Corumbaense							
Reunida S.A.							
MCR							

Description of activities

Investigate and research ores and minerals; utilize and administer mine and mineral resources in general; obtain research permits and mining concessions for all kinds of ores and minerals under the terms of the laws regarding these matters; purchase and lease land, equipment, and facilities, including rights and interests in the subsoil and on the surface; purchase, sell, improve, process, refine, industrialize, import and export, market, and transport by rail, road and/or sea, ores, minerals, and metals of any kind whatsoever, on its own behalf or that of third parties; purchase and sell any and all manufactured products, machinery, and equipment related to the activities listed above; represent other companies, domestic and foreign; and participate in other commercial or civil companies, either as a partner, shareholder, or quota holder.

	Book value variation	Market value	Amount of dividends	Marke	t Value	Во	ook Value
Year	(%)	variation (%)	received (Reais)	Date	Value (R\$)	Date	Value (R\$)
12/31/2015	97.150611	0.000000	147,000,000.00				
12/31/2014	11.944870	0.000000	456,000,000.00	12/31/2015	0.00	12/31/2015	46,000,000.00
12/31/2013	4 322344	0.000000	279 000 000 00				

Table of Contents

Reasons for buying and holding that participation

Expansion of Vale s participation in the market for granulated iron ore.

	CNPJ						Issuer participation
Corporate Name	(Corporate Tax ID)	CVM Code	Type of Corporation	HQ - Country	HQ - State	HQ - Municipality	(%)
Minerações	33.417.445/0001-20		Controlled	Brazil	MG	Nova Lima	62.50000
Brasileiras							
Reunidas S.A.							
MBR							

Description of activities

The mineral extraction industry, including prospecting and mining; provision of technical services specially to mining companies; transportation, processing, shipping, and sale of ores, for its own account or that of third parties; export and import of ores; participation in other companies, especially those whose objective is mining or transportation, processing, and shipping and sale of ores; provision of transport services; port and fluvial support.

	Book value variation	Market value	Amount of dividends	Market Value		Book Value	
Year	(%)	variation (%)	received (Reais)	Date	Value (R\$)	Date	Value (R\$)
12/31/2015	25.918093	0.000000	324,000,000.00				
12/31/2014	15.577778	0.000000	0.00	12/31/2015	0.00	12/31/2015	6,549,000,000.00
12/31/2013	8 000000	0.000000	341 000 000 00				

Reasons for buying and holding that participation

Running iron ore operations in Brazil.

Table of Contents

	CNPJ						Issuer participation
Corporate Name	(Corporate Tax ID)	CVM Code	Type of Corporation	HQ - Country	HQ - State	HQ - Municipality	(%)
MRS Logística	01.417.222/0001-77	1794-9	Affiliate	Brazil	RJ	Rio de Janeiro	47.590000
S.A.							

Description of activities

Provide rail transport services for cargo; operate services for loading, unloading, warehousing, and transshipment at the stations, yards, and land within the range of existing railway lines which are object of the concession; run modal transport related to rail transport; serve, as per the law, as a port operator, and run services and operations for moving and storage of goods destined for or coming from water transport; participate in projects that aim to promote the socio-economic development of the areas of influence and seek to expand rail services offered; perform all similar or related activities to those described above; and engage in other activities that utilize the infrastructure of the Company as a base.

	Book value variation	Market value	Amount of dividends	Market Value		Book Value	
Year	(%)	variation (%)	received (Reais)	Date	Value (R\$)	Date	Value (R\$)
12/31/2015	5,977860	0.000000	87,000,000.00				
12/31/2014	2.496218	0.000000	108,000,000.00	12/31/2015	0.00	12/31/2015	1,436,000,000.00
12/31/2013	10 442774	0.000000	149,000,000,00				

Reasons for buying and holding that participation

Provide logistics services for iron ore and pellet operations.

							Issuer
	CNPJ						participation
Corporate Name	(Corporate Tax ID)	CVM Code	Type of Corporation	HQ - Country	HQ - State	HQ - Municipality	(%)
Salobo Metais S.A.	33.931.478/0001-94		Controlled	Brazil	RJ	Rio de Janeiro	100.000000

Description of activities

Utilization of mineral resources within Brazil, particularly the Salobo deposit, located in the Serra dos Carajás in the district and municipality of Marabá in the State of Pará, the subject of Mining Ordinance No. 1121 of 14/Jul/87, including the mining, processing, smelting, refining, transport, and marketing of copper, gold, and their by-products

	Book value variation	Market value	Amount of dividends	Market Value		Book Value	
Year	(%)	variation (%)	received (Reais)	Date	Value (R\$)	Date	Value (R\$)
12/31/2015	7.574760	0.000000	0.00				
12/31/2014	6.615169	0.000000	0.00	12/31/2015	0.00	12/31/2015	8,166,000,000.00
12/31/2013	12.249724	0.000000	0.00				

Reasons for buying and holding that participation

Running the Salobo copper deposit operations in Brazil.

							Issuer
	CNPJ						participation
Corporate Name	(Corporate Tax ID)	CVM Code	Type of Corporation	HQ - Country	HQ - State	HQ - Municipality	(%)
Samarco Mineração	16.628.281/0001-61		Affiliate	Brazil	MG	Belo Horizonte	50.000000
S.A.							

Description of activities

Prospecting and mining of ores throughout Brazil; processing and marketing of ores; transport and navigation within the port, including for third parties; and importation of equipment for its own use, spare parts, and raw materials, production and distribution of power and sale of coal, and the company may also hold interest in other companies as shareholder or quota holder.

	Book value variation	Market value	Amount of dividends	Mark	Market Value		ok Value
Year	(%)	variation (%)	received (Reais)	Date	Value (R\$)	Date	Value (R\$)
12/31/2015	0,000000	0.000000	459,000,000.00				
12/31/2014	0,000000	0.000000	906,000,000.00	12/31/2015	0.00	12/31/2015	0.00
12/31/2013	0,000000	0.000000	1,323,000,000.00				

Reasons for buying and holding that participation

Expansion of Vale s participation in the market for iron ore and pellets in Brazil.

							Issuer
	CNPJ						participation
Corporate Name	(Corporate Tax ID)	CVM Code	Type of Corporation	HQ - Country	HQ - State	HQ - Municipality	(%)
Thyssenkrupp CSA	07.005.330/0001-19		Affiliate	Brazil	RJ	Rio de Janeiro	26.870000
Cia. Siderúrgica do							
Atlântico							

Description of activities

Construction and operation of an integrated plant for the production, selling, and transformation of iron and steel products, as well as the production, transformation, and selling of all by-products related to the working of the plant, including the importation and exportation of all products, inputs, and capital assets of the plant; construction, management, operation, and utilization of a commercial complex of port operations, including operation as a Brazilian shipping company in performing shipping services, port support, and carrying out drainage services; construction, administration, operation, and utilization of a thermoelectric plant; creation of subsidiaries, as well as the participation in any other company, consortium, or entity in Brazil or abroad for achieving the business purpose; and development, within Brazilian or abroad, of any other activity that is directly or indirectly related to the achievement of the business purpose of the company.

	Book value variation	Market value	Amount of dividends	Marke	et Value	Bo	ok Value
Year	(%)	variation (%)	received (Reais)	Date	Value (R\$)	Date	Value (R\$)
12/31/2015	0,000000	0.000000	0.00				
12/31/2014	0,000000	0.000000	0.00	12/31/2015	0.000000	12/31/2015	0.00
12/31/2013	0.000000	0.000000	0.00				

Reasons for buying and holding that participation

Encourage the consumption of iron ore in Brazil through the investment in a company that manufactures steel sheets.

							Issuer
	CNPJ						participation
Corporate Name	(Corporate Tax ID)	CVM Code	Type of Corporation	HQ - Country	HQ - State	HQ - Municipality	(%)
Vale International	00.000.000/0000-00		Controlled	Austria			100.000000
Holdings GMBH							

Description of activities

Acquisition and management of shares and participation in companies associations of any kind and investments in assets; control and management of one or more activities related to any of the companies in which it participates or the assets in which it has investments; exercise of any and all activities which are necessary or useful in order to reach the above-mentioned purposes.

	Book value variation	Market value	Amount of dividends	Market Value		Book Value	
Year	(%)	variation (%)	received (Reais)	Date	Value (R\$)	Date	Value (R\$)
12/31/2015	83.427159	0.000000	0.00				
12/31/2014	-48.075004	0.000000	0.00	12/31/2015	0.000000	12/31/2015	13,359,000,000,00
12/31/2013	60.502868	0.000000	0.00				

Reasons for buying and holding that participation

Holding of a company that exploits minerals world-wide.

	CNPJ						Issuer
Corporate Name	(Corporate Tax ID)	CVM Code	Type of Corporation	HQ - Country	HQ - State	HQ - Municipality	participation (%)
Vale Canada	00.000.000/0000-00		Controlled	Canada			100.000000
Limited							

Description of activities

The global activities of Vale Canada Limited are managed from headquarters in Toronto, in the Canadian province of Ontario, which continues its corporate functions and has significant local involvement.

	Book value variation	Market value	Amount of dividends	Market Value		Book Value	
Year	(%)	variation (%)	received (Reais)	Date	Value (R\$)	Date	Value (R\$)
12/31/2015	-22.853599	0.000000	0.00				
12/31/2014	12.722659	0.000000	0.00	12/31/2015	0.00	12/31/2015	16,794,000,000.00
12/31/2013	101.691906	0.000000	0.00				

Reasons for buying and holding that participation

Running nickel and by-products operations (copper, cobalt, platinum group metals, and other precious metals) in Canada, the United Kingdom, and Indonesia.

	CNPJ						Issuer
Corporate Name	(Corporate Tax ID)	CVM Code	Type of Corporation	HQ - Country	HQ - State	HQ - Municipality	participation (%)
Vale International	00.000.000/0000-00		Controlled	Switzerland			100.000000
S A							

Description of activities

Buy, own, manage, and sell direct or indirect holdings in companies or businesses, especially abroad; trade and distribute the products of companies within the group, develop relationships with customers, and provide technical assistance, including product development and production planning, to customers and companies of the group throughout the world; perform research and development activities in the sectors of mining, logistics, and energy; finance companies and businesses of the group and provide business, financial, administrative, and legal services to other companies and businesses of the group in Switzerland and abroad. The company may conduct any activities that have a relation to its business objective, or support them, especially as regards managing and defining ownership rights and/or licensing of patents, trademarks of any kind, know-how and other intellectual property rights, and buy, hold, and sell real estate.

	Book value variation	Market value	Amount of dividends	Market Value		Book Value	
Year	(%)	variation (%)	received (Reais)	Date	Value (R\$)	Date	Value (R\$)
12/31/2015	18.715821	0.000000	0.00				
12/31/2014	-27.720040	0.000000	0.00	12/31/2015		12/31/2015	25,182,000,000.00
12/31/2013	-19 229330	0.000000	0.00				

Reasons for buying and holding that participation

Perform financial transactions and business activities.

	CNPJ						Issuer
Corporate Name	(Corporate Tax ID)	CVM Code	Type of Corporation	HQ - Country	HQ - State	HQ - Municipality	participation (%)
Vale Manganês	15.144.306/0001-99		Controlled	Brazil	BA	Congonhas	100.000000
SA							

Description of activities

The steel industry, metallurgy, industry, and sale of ferroalloys; utilization, for its own account or in combination with other companies, of mineral deposits, including prospecting, mining, processing, transportation, sale, import, and export of mineral substances; reforestation; extraction, production, sale, import, and export of wood and charcoal and other goods of mineral or vegetable origin used in its production processes and their derivatives and by-products; import and export of goods related to or required for its activities, including equipment, inputs, and miscellaneous materials; any other related activities that do not conflict with its business purpose or with prevailing legislation

Table of Contents

	Book value variation	Market value	Amount of dividends	Marke	t Value	Ве	ook Value
Year	(%)	variation (%)	received (Reais)	Date	Value (R\$)	Date	Value (R\$)
12/31/2015	-6.241331	0.000000	0.00				
12/31/2014	8.421053	0.000000	0.00	12/31/2015	0.00	12/31/2015	676,000,000.00
12/31/2013	-3.202329	0.000000	0.00				

Reasons for buying and holding that participation

Running ferroalloy and manganese operations in Brazil

							Issuer
	CNPJ						participation
Corporate Name	(Corporate Tax ID)	CVM Code	Type of Corporation	HQ Count	ry HQ - State	HQ - Municipality	(%)
Vale Soluções em	09.327.793/0001-22		Affiliate	Brazil	RJ	Rio de Janeiro	53.12870
Energia S.A VSE							

Description of activities

Research and development of technologies in order to obtain systems and products ecologically efficient for the generation of energy, as well as the development and test of prototypes; development of technical and economic viability studies related to the business purpose of the company, as well as market studies, business plans, and other related studies; participation as a partner or shareholder in other simple or business companies and in business undertakings of any nature, including partnerships, in Brazil and/or abroad; development, manufacturing, construction, purchase, sale, distribution, renting, gratuitous loan, importation, and exportation of machines and equipment for the industry, including accessories, parts, and other materials that are necessary in order to obtain ecologically efficient products for generating energy; and rendering services for the assembly, maintenance, and technical assistance of machines and equipment for the generation of energy.

	Book value variation	Market value	Amount of dividends	Marke	et Value	Bo	ok Value
Year	(%)	variation (%)	received (Reais)	Date	Value (R\$)	Date	Value (R\$)
12/31/2015	0.00000	0.000000	0.00				
12/31/2014	0.00000	0.000000	0.00	12/31/2015	0.00	12/31/2015	-79,646,000.00
12/31/2013	0.00000	0.000000	0.00				

Reasons for buying and holding that participation

Develop power generation systems.

Table of Contents

							Issuer
	CNPJ						participation
Corporate Name	(Corporate Tax ID)	CVM Code	Type of Corporation	HQ - Country	HQ - State	HQ - Municipality	(%)
Vale Fertilizantes	33.931.486/0001-30		Controlled	Brazil	SP	São Paulo	100.000000
S.A.							

Description of activities

The purpose of Vale Fertilizantes S.A. (Company), formerly known as Mineração Naque S.A. (Naque), which new name was approved by the Shareholders Meeting held on May 15, 2012, is mining activity in general, the use of mineral deposits in domestic and foreign lands, by research, extraction, processing, concentration, industrialization, transportation, shipping, and sale of goods for animal nutrition, chemical products, input of above mentioned products and other agriculture and cattle-raising products, as well as activities arising out of the development of the production, industrialization, and sale of such products and others.

	Book value variation	Market value	Amount of dividends	Market Value		Book Value	
Year	(%)	variation (%)	received (Reais)	Date	Value (R\$)	Date	Value (R\$)
12/31/2015	11.242692	0.000000	0.00				
12/31/2014	-2.974329	0.000000	0.00	12/31/2015	0.00	12/31/2015	14,842,000,000.00
12/31/2013	2.588779	0.000000	0.00				

Reasons for buying and holding that participation

Conduct and promote Vale business in the fertilizer segment.

CNDI	
CINE	

Corporate Name	(Corporate Tax ID)	CVM Code	Type of Corporation	HQ - Country	HQ - State	HQ - Municipality	Issuer participation (%)
VLI S.A.	12.563.794/0001-80		Affiliate	Brazil	SP	São Paulo	37,610000

Description of activities

The corporate purpose of VLI S.A is the provision of integrated cargo railroad and road transportation services, construction, conservation, maintenance, monitoring, operation, and exploration of railroads and/or railroad traffic, of its own or third parties, construction, conservation, maintenance, monitoring, operation of its own maritime terminals or third parties , exploration with owned or third party vessels the long-course maritime trade, seaborne and river borne in general cargo transportation, including port support navigation, exploration of activities directly or indirectly related to cargo transportation services.

Table of Contents

	Book value variation	Market value	Amount of dividends	Marke	t Value	Ве	ook Value
Year	(%)	variation (%)	received (Reais)	Date	Value (R\$)	Date	Value (R\$)
12/31/2015	3.157895	0.000000	25,000,000.00				
12/31/2014	100.000000	0.000000	0.00	12/31/2015	0.00	12/31/2015	3,038.000.000,00
12/31/2013	0.000000	0.000000	0.00				

Reasons for buying and holding that participation

Integrated cargo transportation.

9.2 Other Relevant Information

As of December 31, 2015, Vale considered as intangibles assets of Intellectual Property a total of 6,553 processes throughout the world, in a total of 172 countries (155 patents in Brazil and 1,003 abroad; 925 trademark processes in Brazil and 1,642 abroad; and 2,151 domain names in Brazil and 604 abroad; and 72 software in Brazil and 1 abroad).

10. Director Comments

10.1. General Financial and Equity Conditions

Financial information included in this Reference Form, except expressly otherwise noted, refers to consolidated financial statements of years ending on December 31, 2013, 2014, and 2015.

Information in this item 10 in the Reference Form should be read and analyzed jointly with our consolidated financial statements, available at our website (www.vale.com.br) and the website of Comissão de Valores Mobiliários (www.cvm.gov.br).

a. General Financial and Equity Conditions

On December 31, 2015, Vale net operational revenue was R\$ 85.5 billion and the operational margin (prior to the recoverable values of noncurrent assets and loss in the measuring of the sale of noncurrent assets) was 10.6%. The operational loss was R\$ 25.4 billion, mainly due to a drop in the prices of its products. This year, that Company has sought after several opportunities to reduce costs. Vale has presented an 18.2% reduction in net operational revenues (including SG&A, research and development, pre-operational and stoppage, and other expenses), corresponding to R\$ 1,724 billion, compared to the same period in 2014, especially marked by the revenue arising out of the *gold stream* operation in the amount of R\$ 722 million and provision reversion to fixed assets retirement, in the amount of R\$ 1,281 billion, due to rate change due to risk change. Additionally, Vale has had an increase in impairment of assets , to R\$ 34,553 billion compared to R\$ 2,713 billion in 2014. Cash generation, measured by Adjusted EBITDA(1), was R\$ 23.7 billion in 2015.

On December 31, 2014, Vale net operational revenue was R\$ 88.3 million, and the operational margin (prior to the recoverable values of noncurrent assets and loss in the measuring of the sale of noncurrent assets) was 22.3%. The operational profit was R\$ 16.6 billion in 2014, an approximate 50% reduction compared to the operational profit in 2013, mainly due to a drop in ore prices. Continuous Company efforts to cut costs, investment discipline and focus on core business were seen this year. Vale had a 11.9% reduction in net operational costs (including

(1) Adjusted EBITDA is the operational profit or loss added with dividends received from affiliates and *joint ventures* and excluding depreciation, depletion, and amortization, impairment, contracts and resulting in the measurement or sale of noncurrent assets.

Table of Contents

SG&A, research and development, pre-operational and stoppage, as well as other costs), corresponding to R\$ 1,277 billion, relative to 2013. This drop was due mainly to the reduction of costs related to operation stoppage and optimization in the Company s cost structure, after adjustments made in 2013. Additionally, in 2014, Vale had a reduction of 49.7% in the impairment of assets, R\$ 5,390 billion in 2013 to R\$ 2,713 billion in 2014, due to return on the Onça Puma operation. Cash generation, measured in Adjusted EBITDA(1), was R\$ 31.1 billion in 2014.

In 2013, Vale net operational revenue was R\$ 101,490 billion and the operational margin (prior to the recoverable values of noncurrent assets and loss in the measuring of the sale of noncurrent assets) was 37.7%. The operational profit was R\$ 32,340 billion in 2013. In 2013, as a result of the Company s focus on investments in world-class assets, several adjustments were made, among the non-realization of the potash project (PRC) in Argentina, and the sale of the company Tres Valles, as described in Explanatory Note no. 7 of the Company s 2013 consolidated accounting statements. Besides, Vale also reported an operation in the Tax Recovery Program REFIS, which substantially involved all of the claims related to income tax collection and social contributions on capital gains of subsidiary and associated companies

Table of Contents

abroad, whose loss expectations were classified as possible. Such factors negatively affected the Company financial performance in 2013, resulting in financial indicators reduction relative to what was obtained in 2012. In this scenario, the Company had a net loss of R\$ 258 million in 2013; relative to net profit of R\$ 9.4 billion in 2012.

The sale of *ferrous minerals* - iron ore, pellets, manganese ore and ferroalloy represented 64.8% of total net operating revenues in the year ended on December 31, 2015, relative to 68.4% in the year ended on December 31, 2014. In 2013, the total net operational revenue of ferrous minerals was 74.6%.

Base metals participation in the total net revenue was 24.0% in 2015 compared to 20.6% in 2014. In 2013, the base metals participation in net revenue was 15.5%.

For the years of 2015, 2014 and 2013, the fertilizer revenue in total net revenue was 8.7%, 6.4% and 6.0%, respectively.

Other products represented 2.5% of the 2014 total operating net revenue in 2015, relative to 4.6% in 2014. In 2013, the contribution of other products to the total net operational revenue was 3.9%.

	Fiscal Year Ending on December 31 of:				
Business segments	2013	2014	2015		
Ferrous minerals	74.6%	68.4%	64.8%		
Base metals	15.5%	20.6%	24.0%		
Fertilizers	6.0%	6.4%	8.7%		
Others	3.9%	4.6%	2.5%		
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		

On December 31, 2015, the gross debt of the Company (understood as the sum of loans and financing of our current liabilities and non-current liabilities) summed R\$ 112,666 billion, compared to R\$ 76,517 billion, on December 31, 2014, representing a 47.2% increase, mainly due to depreciation of real compared to the US dollar. Despite the increase in gross debt in reais for that period, the gross debt in US dollars had an increase lower than the increase of the gross debt in Reais, namely, 0.2%, from US\$ 28,807 billion on December 31, 2014 to US\$ 28,853 billion on December 31, 2015. On December 31, 2014 and 2013, the gross debt summed R\$ 76,517 billion and R\$ 68,977 billion, respectively, and the 10.9% increase perceived between those fiscal years was due to depreciation of real compared to the US dollar. Despite the increase in gross debt in the period between December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2014, the absolute debt in dollars decreased a little, from US\$ 29.655 billion on December 31, 2013 to US\$ 28.807 billion on December 31, 2014.

Table of Contents

On December 31, 2015, the current liquidity index of the Company (which is the index found by dividing the current asset by the current liability) was 1.09, compared to 1.56 on December 31, 2014 and 2.25 on December 31, 2013. The decrease in the liquidity index in 2015 compared to the previous fiscal year was mainly due to increase in Company debt due to depreciation of real compared to the US dollar, with impact on the debt expressed in dollars. In turn, the drop in liquidity on December 31, 2014, compared to December 31, 2013 resulted mainly from lower cash generation from operational activities due to the lower price of most *commodities* sold by the Company.

On December 31, 2015 the Company had controlling shareholders equity of R\$ 131,160 billion, compared to 146,414 billion on December 31, 2014.

Shareholders equity suffered 10.4% reduction, mainly due to absorption of loss in 2015 with reserves. On December 31, 2013, the controlling shareholders equity was R\$ 148,346 billion, that is, 1.3% lower than the net equity on December 31, 2014, mainly justified by the use of the net profit of the year to pay the shareholders compensation and set forth provisions.

In 2015, 2014, and 2013, Vale delivered to its shareholders dividends in the amount of US\$ 1.5 billion (R\$ 5.0 billion), US\$ 4.2 billion (R\$ 9.7 billion), and US\$ 4.5 billion (R\$ 9.3 billion), respectively.

b. Capital Structure

The table below presents the funding standard adopted for Company activities, considering equity and third party capital:

	Fiscal year ended on December 31 of:			
R\$ billion	2015	2014	2013	
Third party capital (liabilities + noncurrent liabilities)	206,128	159,814	139,760	
Equity (shareholders equity)	139,419	149,601	152,121	
Total Capital (third party + equity)	345,547	309,415	291,881	

c. Payment Capacity in Relation to the Financial Commitments Assumed

(1) The leverage, measured by the gross debt//adjusted(2) EBITDA, showed decrease in the fiscal year ending on December 31, 2015 compared to the fiscal year ended on December 31, 2014, especially due to drop in the price of *commodities*, as the following table illustrates:

(2)

	Fiscal year ended on December 31 of:			
(in R\$ million, except %)	2015	2014	2013	
Net profit (loss) for the year	(45,997)	219	(258)	
(+) Income tax and social contribution	(18,879)	2,600	15,249	
(+) finance results	36,538	14,753	18,442	
EBIT	(28,338)	17,572	33,433	
(+) Depreciation, amortization and depletion	13,489	10,108	8,953	
EBITDA	(14,849)	27,680	42,386	
Equity held on <i>joint ventures</i> and affiliates	1,507	(1,141)	999	
Impairment of noncurrent assets and investments	34,553	2,713	5,390	
Impairment of equity on joint ventures and affiliates	1,727	71	0	
Gains from the sale of equity on joint ventures and affiliates	(296)	68	(98)	

Edgar Filing: Vale S.A. - Form 6-K

(Gain) loss in the realization of noncurrent assets held for sale	(52)	441	508
Dividends received	1,064	1,302	1,836
Discontinued operations			4
Adjusted EBITDA	23,654	31,134	49,027
Dividends received	(1,064)	(1,302)	(932)
Depreciation, amortization and depletion	(13,489)	(10,108)	(8,953)
Adjusted EBIT	9,101	19,724	38,238

⁽²⁾ Adjusted EBITDA is used by the Company management to help in the decision-making process of segments. The definition of Adjusted EBITDA is operational loss or profit added with dividends received from affiliates or joint ventures, excluding depreciation, depletion, and amortization, impairment, agreements, and result of measurement or sale of noncurrent assets

Table of Contents

in R\$ billion	2015	2014	2013
Gross debt	112,666	76,517	68,977
Leverage, measured by gross debt/Adjusted EBITDA ratio	4.8	2.5	1.4

(3)

(4) The gross debt/ Adjusted EBITDA (4.8 on December 31, 2015 compared to 2.5 on December 31, 2014 and 1.4 on December 31, 2013. The increase of this index in the period between December 31, 2013 and 2015, is due to decrease on the price of commodities and depreciation of real compared to the US dollar.

(5)

The index of interest coverage, measured by the indicator EBITDA adjusted/payment of interest, was 4.3x on December 31, 2015, 7.6x on December 31, 2014, 14.4x on December 31, 2013. The decrease of this index in the period between December 31, 2013 and 2015, is due to decrease in EBITDA, with impact from the drop on the price of commodities in the international market and the impact of foreign exchange depreciation of real compared to the US dollar, with negative impact on the portion of costs and expenses expressed in other currencies (mainly US dollar and Canadian dollar).

(7)

	On December 31 of:					
in R\$ billion	2013	2014	2015			
Gross debt	68,977	76,517	112,666			
Cash position*	12,465	10,555	14,022			
Financial investments	0,008	0,392	0,109			
Net debt	56,504	65,570	98,535			

d.	Source o	of financ	ing for	working	capital ar	nd invest	tments in non	-current	assets
----	----------	-----------	---------	---------	------------	-----------	---------------	----------	--------

The sources of funds utilized by Vale in the last three years were generation of operating cash, loans and financing, and issue of bonds and securities, convertible or not, launched in the capitals market and sale of investments.

Operating activities from continuing operations generated cash flows of R\$ 15,719 billion in 2015, compared to R\$ 27.792 billion in 2014 and R\$ 31.876 billion in 2013. Comparing 2013 and 2014, the operating cash flow suffered a reduction in 2012 determined mainly by price drops in our products. In 2013, operating cash flow suffered variation mainly due to the gold stream operation outsourced in February 2013 with Silver Wheaton Corp. (SLW) to sell 70% of the payable gold flows produced as a byproduct in the nickel mines in Sudbury Coleman, Cooper Cliff, Creighton, Garson, Stobie, Totten and Victor for a 20-year term, and with Silver Wheaton (Caymans) Ltd. to sell 25% of the payable gold flows produced as a by-product in the copper mine in Salobo for the longevity of the mine. In 2015, the operating cash flow suffered variation compared to the previous fiscal year mainly due to the drop of the price of ore and pellets

Among other more relevant operations in the three-year period, the following are highlighted:

- In November 2015, Vale issued R\$ 1.5 billion in credit notes to export with due date in 2022 before Banco do Brasil.
- In August 2015, Vale issued infrastructure debentures to be placed by means of a public offer, in the total amount of R\$ 1,350 billion. Infrastructure debentures are to be monetarily adjusted and will pay annual interest, priced at IPCA + 6.6232% a year and IPCA + 6.6252% a year, depending on the series.
- In May 2015, Vale acquired a new revolving credit facility in the amount of US\$ 3.0 billion (equivalent to R\$ 9.5 billion), for five years. This revolving credit facility replaces the revolving credit facility of the same amount acquired in 2011, being acquired from a syndicate comprised by 24 global banks.
- In March 2015, Vale signed a bank credit note with Bradesco for R\$ 700 million. This agreement has been used as grounds for the CRI, to fund projects under the S11D Logística, in Complexo Portuário de Ponta Madeira. The agreement term is for seven years, and funds have been fully disbursed by June 30, 2015.
- From March to July 2015, Vale has acquired and disbursed exporting pre-payments with due dates in five or six years, linked to future sales, summing US\$ 1.20 billion (equivalent to R\$ 54.7 billion).

- In December 2014, Vale acquired exporting prepayment with the Bank of China, due in six years, in the amount of US\$ 300 million (equivalent to R\$ 797 million), which has been fully disbursed. The total term of this operation is 6 years and by December 31, 2014 there was no disbursement related to this operation.
- In May 2014, Vale signed two new funding agreements with the BNDES in the total amount of R\$ 6.2 billion. These agreements refer to the deployment of S11D and CLN S11D projects. The financing shall be in effect for ten years and funds will be disbursed in up to three years, according to the projects schedule. By December 31, 2015, R\$ 3.3 billion were disbursed under these agreements.
- In January 2014, Vale issued infrastructure debentures in the amount of US\$ 376.5 million (equivalent to R\$ 913.5 million). Infrastructure debentures are to be adjusted and will pay annual interest, according to IPCA + 6.46% per year (equivalent to NTN-B 2020 0.15%), IPCA + 6.57% per year (equivalent to NTN-B 2022 0.10%), IPCA + 6.71% per year (equivalent to NTN-B 2024 + 0%) and IPCA + 6.78% per year (equivalent to NTN-B 2030 + 0%), and mature in 2021, 2024, 2026, and 2029, depending on the series.
- In January 2014, Vale acquired a financing in the amount of US\$ 775 million with the Canadian agency EDC. The financing was divided into two tranches that are in effect for 5 and 7 years. The amount was fully disbursed by December 31, 2014.
- In December 2013, Vale issued R\$ 650 million in export credit notes from Brazilian commercial banks with maturity in 2023.
- In October, November and December 2013, Vale acquired export pre-payments with maturity in five and seven years, linked to future sales, totaling US\$ 1.4 billion (equivalent to R\$ 3.2 billion), which have been fully disbursed at the time.
- In July 2013, Vale signed a financing contract with Banco Safra, a BNDES financing agent, in the amount of R\$ 109.3 million. This contract is for the purchase of domestic equipment and was fully disbursed by December 31, 2014.
- In July 2013, Vale acquired a new revolving credit facility in the amount of US\$ 2.0 billion (equivalent to R\$ 4.7 billion), with a five-year maturity in a best effort transaction.

e.	Potential sources of financing used for working capital and for investments in non-current
assets for coverag	ge of liquidity deficiency

In the regular course of business, the principal need for funds of Vale refers to capital investments, payments of dividends and debt service. The sources of funds frequently used are operating cash flow and financing.

The main sources of financing to cover liquidity deficiency are the facilities related to the export transactions offered by local banks (Advance on Foreign Exchange Contract ACCs, and Advance on Delivered Exchange Instruments - ACEs).

Vale has, moreover, revolving lines of credit available that may be used at the option of the debtor. In 2013, Vale obtained a revolving credit facility in the amount of US\$ 2.0 billion (equivalent to R\$ 4.7 billion), for five years, while in fiscal year ending on December 31, 2014, Vale has not acquired revolving credit facilities.

In May 2015, the Company acquired another revolving line of credit in the amount of US\$ 3.0 billion (equivalent to R\$ 9.5 billion), with maturity period of 5 years, totaling, in lines of this type, available on December 31, 2015, \$US\$ 5 billion, that may be used by Vale, Vale Canada Ltd. (Vale Canada), and Vale International S.A. (Vale International).

In January 2016, Vale has disbursed US\$ 3 billion in revolving credit facilities, for purposes of increasing liquidity and covering potential cash flow needs until conclusion of the divestment program.

f. Indebtedness levels and composition of such debts

On December 31, 2015, the total debt was R\$ 112.666 billion, with a tranche of R\$ 1.937 billion guaranteed by Vale s assets, with an average maturity period amortization of 8.13 years and an average cost of 4.47% per year in US dollars.

DEBT STRUCTURE	ON DECEMBER 31 of:				
In R\$ billion	2013	2014	2015		
Gross debt	68,977	76,517	112,667		
Tranche guaranteed by assets of Vale	5%	5%	1.7%		
Average term of amortization (in years)	9.89	9.10	8.13		
Average cost (in US dollars)	4.6%	4.6%	4.5%		

Since July 2005, Vale has been considered investment grade by some of the main rating agencies. On the date of this Reference Form, Vale currently has the following credit risk ratings: BBB- (Standard & Poor s), Ba3 (Moody s), BBB- (Dominion Bond Ratings) and BBB (Fitch).

i. Relevant loan and financing contracts

The most important categories of the total debt of the Company are presented below. The values presented exclude the accumulated costs.

- Loans and financing contracted in US dollars (R\$ 27.5 billion, R\$ 18.7 billion, and R\$ 15.5 billion on December 31, 2015, 2014, and 2013, respectively). These loans include credit facilities for exports, financing imports from the export credit agencies and loans from commercial banks and multilateral organizations.
- Fixed income instruments issued in US dollars (R\$ 55.1 billion, R\$ 35.3 billion, and R\$ 32.4 billion on December 31, 2015, 2014, and 2013, respectively). Vale issued several debt securities in the capital market, inclusive through its wholly owned subsidiary Vale Overseas in the total amount of US\$ 13 billion (equivalent to R\$ 50.8 billion). The subsidiary Vale Canada issued debt securities in the amount of US\$ 400 million (equivalent to R\$ 1.6 billion).
- Fixed income instruments issued in euros (R\$ 4.8 billion, R\$ 4.8 billion and R\$ 4.0 billion on December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012, respectively). Vale issued debt securities in the capital market in the total amount of 1.5 Billion (equivalent to R\$ 4.8 billion).
- Other debts (R\$ 21.2 billion, R\$ 16.5 billion, and R\$ 15.2 billion on December 31, 2015, 2014, and 2013, respectively). The Company has several loans acquired in Brazil, mainly with the BNDES and some private Brazilian banks, as well as loans and financing in other currencies.

For information on most relevant financing operations in last three fiscal years, see item 10.1(d) above.

ii. Other long-term relationships with financial institutions

Vale and its associated and subsidiary companies have a commercial relationship in the normal course of their business with some of the main financial institutions in the country, according to regular financial market practices.

iii. Degree of subordination among debts

There is no degree of contractual subordination among the Company sunsecured financial debts. Financial debts that are secured through collateral have the privileges and prerogatives granted by the law.

Additionally, considering the total of current and non-current liabilities of the Company, the R\$ 206,128 billion or 99.1%, corresponded to unsecured debts on December 31, 2015 (compared to R\$ 156,329 million or 97.8% on December 31, 2014; and R\$ 136.350 billion or 97.6% on December 31, 2013). Such amounts are subject to the preference of Company obligations that appear as collateral, including mortgage of assets totaling, on December 31, 2015, R\$ 1,937 billion or 1.7% of the total current and non-current liabilities of the Company, compared to R\$ 3.485 or 2.2 % on December 31, 2014; and R\$ 3.410 billion or 2.4% on December 31, 2013.

iv. Any restrictions that might be imposed on the issuer in particular, in relation to limits of indebtedness and contracting the company s debts, the distribution of dividends, the disposal of assets, the issue of new securities and the transfer of corporate control, and if the issuer has been compliant with these restrictions

Table of Contents

Some long-term financial instruments contain obligations related compliance with financial indicators. The main indicators are: (i) leveraging (which is the index found with the division of debt by Earnings Before Interest Tax, Depreciation and Amortization (EBITDA), and (ii) interest coverage (which is the index found by dividing EBITDA with interest expenses). Vale is in conformity with the levels required for the indicators.

Preventively, Vale has executed agreements in the last quarter to increase the upper limit of the financial covenant of the gross debt for adjusted EBITDA from 4.5x to 5.5x by the end of 2016. This type of measure ensures greater flexibility during the period when Vale closes its investment cycle. In addition, no clause is directly limiting the ability to distribute dividends or interest on equity.

g. Limits of financing contracted and percentage of use

The following is a description of limits set forth by the relevant infrastructure debentures and financing contracts in the last three fiscal years:

					Percentage	
Date	Counterparty	Allocation		Value	Used	Disbursement of funds
8/15/2015	N/A	Use or reimbursement with expenses and/or debts related to the project for Expansion of Carajás Railway	R\$	1.35 billion	100%	All infrastructure debentures issued by the Company have been fully subscribed and paid up.
3/24/2015	Banco Bradesco S.A.	Funding of CLN S11D project	R\$	700 million	100%	Funds were disbursed entirely.
5/19/2014	BNDES	Funding of S11D and CLN S11D projects	R\$	6.2 billion	54%	The credit is available in tranches, according to the projects schedule
01/15/2014	N/A	Used in the reimbursement of spending, expenses and/or debt related to the Southeastern Railroad	R\$	1.0 billion	100%	The entire infrastructure debentures issued by the Company have already been subscribed and paid

Table of Contents

		Branch of the Pará Project (RFSP)			
12/27/2013	BNDES	Financing for the Tecnored Investment Plan	R\$	136.7 million	100% Credit is provided in tranches according to the project schedule
06/15/2013	Banco J. Safra	Financing for equipment	R\$	109.31 million	100% Credit is provided in tranches according to the project schedule
10/19/2012	BNDES	Financing for Vale Fertilizantes Project	R\$	88.63 million	100% Credit is provided in tranches according to the project schedule
09/24/2012	BNDES	Financing for CLN150 Project	R\$	3.88 million	94% Credit is provided in tranches according to the project schedule
8/04/2011	Banco Bilbao Viscaya, Banco Santander, BNP Paribas, Citibank Europe, Credit Agricole, HSBC, Natixis, and Societé Generale	Financing for the acquisition of ships with the Korean shipbuilders Daewoo and Sungdong	R\$	2,062 billion	82% The credit was provided in tranches according to the ship delivery schedule, and the remaining unused balanced was cancelled
4/01/2008	BNDES	investments made in Brazil	R\$	7.3 billion	76% The credit is provided in tranches according to the project schedule

h. Significant alterations in each item of the financial statements

Fiscal year ending on December 31, 2014 compared to fiscal year ending on December 31, 2015

Analysis of Operating Results 2014 x 2015

The table below presents the values for the consolidated income statements for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014:

	Fiscal Year ending on December 31,				
(in R\$ billion)					Variation (%)
Income statement	2014	AV (%)	2015	AV (%)	(2014 x 2015)
Net Operating Revenues	88,275	100.0	85,499	100.0	(3.1)
Cost of products and services	(59,087)	(66.9)	(68,658)	(80.3)	16.2
Administrative and sales expenses	(2,603)	(2.9)	(2,143)	(2.5)	(17.7)
Research and development	(1,738)	(2.0)	(1,603)	(1.9)	(7.8)
Pre-operational and shutdown operation	(2,563)	(2.9)	(3,408)	(4.0)	33.0
Other net operating expenses	(2,560)	(2.9)	(0,586)	(0.7)	(77.1)
Impairment of noncurrent assets	(2,713)	(3.1)	(34,553)	(40.4)	1,173.6
Gain (loss) in the measurement or					
noncurrent assets sale	(0,441)	(0.5)	0,052	0.1	NA
Operating Revenues	16,570	18.8	(25,400)	(29.7)	NA
Equity results	1,141	1.3	(1,507)	(1.8)	NA
Result of disposal of shares in joint					
ventures and associated companies	(0,068)	(0.1)	0,296	0.3	NA
Net financial result	(14,753)	(16.7)	(36,538)	(42.7)	147.7
Impairment of investments	(0,071)	n/a	(1,727)	(2.0)	2,332.4
Income before income tax and social					
contribution	2,819	3.2	(64,876)	(75.9)	NA
Taxation on profits	(2,600)	(2.9)	18,879	22.1	NA

Table of Contents

	Fiscal Year ending on December 31,				
(in R\$ billion) Income statement	2014	AV (%)	2015	AV (%)	Variation (%) (2014 x 2015)
Net income (loss) in the year	0,219	0.2	(45,997)	(53.8)	NA
		304			
		201			

Table of Contents
Revenues
The net operating revenue reached R\$ 85,499 billion in 2015, compared to R\$ 88,275 billion in 2014, corresponding to a 3.1% decrease. The revenue decrease was mainly due to the drop in commodities prices, partially offset by the depreciation of real, because company income is mainly expressed in dollars.
Iron ore
The revenue from the sales of ore decreased by 8.6%, from R\$ 45,341 billion in 2014 to R\$ 41,427 billion in 2015, especially due to the drop in ore price in the international market, led by drop in consumption in China, partially offset by the increase in the volume of sales of iron ore, due to improvement in distribution channel.
Pellets
The revenue from the sale of pellets decreased by 4.0%, from R\$ 12,397 billion in 2014 to R\$ 11,916 billion in 2015, due to the drop in pellets price in the international market, partially offset by the depreciation or real, as company revenue is mainly expressed in dollars.
Manganese ore and ferroalloys
The revenue from the sale of manganese and ferroalloys decreased by 44.5% , from R\$ 0,933 billion in 2014 to R\$ 0,518 billion in 2015, due to the drop in prices.
Coal
The revenue from the sale of coal was maintained in line, from R\$ 1,740 billion in 2014 to R\$ 1,739 billion in 2015, despite the drop of prices in the international market, considering the depreciation of real.

Base Metals

Nickel and other products

Table of Contents

There was a 5.7% increase in the revenue from these products, from R\$ 14,703 billion in 2014 to R\$ 15,534 billion in 2015, especially due to the increase in the volume of sales of nickel, resulting from annual production records, in the operations of New Caledonia, Indonesia and Onça Puma.
Copper
The revenue from the sale of copper increased by 44.4%, from R\$ 3,434 billion in 2014 to R\$ 4,957 billion in 2015, due to the ramp-up in Salobo.
Fertilizers
Potash
The revenue from the sale of potash increased by 22.0% , from R\$ 363 million in 2014 to R\$ 443 million in 2015 , due to variation in the US dollar.
Phosphate
The revenue from the sale of phosphate increased by 36.3%, from R\$ 4,259 billion in 2014 to R\$ 5,806 billion in 2015, due to variation in the US dollar.
Nitrogen
The revenue from the sale of nitrogen increased by 21.8%, from R\$ 820 million in 2014 to R\$ 999 million in 2015, due to variation in the US dollar.
306

Other products and services

The revenue from other products and services decreased by 82.3%, from R\$ 2,347 billion in 2014 to R\$ 414 million in 2015, due to the drop in the sale of power to third parties.

Costs of Business Areas

Comments on the Cost of Business Areas

The cost of product sold (excluding depreciation) was R\$ 56,855 billion in 2015, a R\$ 6,852 billion increase (13.7%) compared to R\$ 50,003 billion in 2014, especially due to the impact of exchange variation (R\$ 8,372 billion) on costs expressed in dollars, for instance, costs with maritime freight of iron ore and costs of base metals outside Brazil.

Costs related to each business area are detailed below:

CPV BY BUSINESS AREA

In R\$ million	2014	2015
Ferrous Minerals	30,840	34,211
Base metals	10,835	14,427
Coal	2,514	2,857
Fertilizers	4,406	4,896
Others	1,408	464
Cost with no depreciation	50,003	56,855

It is important to note that all amounts mentioned were considered after discounting depreciation.

Sales and administrative expenses

Sales and administrative expenses decreased by 17.7%, from R\$ 2,603 billion in 2014 to R\$ 2,143 billion in 2015, especially due to the continuous streamlining of our corporate structure.

Research and development expenses

Research and development expenses remained stable, from R\$ 1,738 billion in 2014 to R\$ 1,603 billion in 2015.

Pre-operational and operation stoppage expenses

In 2015, pre-operational and operation stoppage expenses were R\$ 3,408 billion, representing a R\$ 845 million increase compared to 2014, especially due to higher expenses with Long Harbour and Nacala projects.

Other operational expense/income, net

Other operational expenses decreased by 77.1%, from R\$ 2,560 billion in 2014 to R\$ 0,586 billion in 2015, especially due to nonrecurring positive effect from the R\$ 722 million transaction of gold stream registered in the first quarter in 2015 and R\$ 1,281 billion, registered in the fourth quarter of 2015, related to the annual revision of mine closure provisions and other assets, generating a positive impact, resulting from the increase in the use life of some mines and revision of the work scope required for closure of the assets.

Noncurrent asset impairment

In 2015, asset impairment totaled R\$ 34,553 billion mainly due to the significant reduction in price assumptions used in impairment tests (see table below). The reduction registered in 2014, in the amount of R\$ 2.713 billion refers mainly to Vale Fertilizantes and Vale BSGR Limited, in the amount of R\$ 5,593 billion, and reversion of part of the impairment of Onça Puma in the amount of R\$ 4,295 billion, which initial value was recorded in 2012.

Impairment R\$ million	Total impairment of assets in 2015	Impairment in agreements 2015	Recoverable value Dec 31, 2015
Ferrous minerals			
Iron ore Central-Western System (1)	2,023	1,382	
Pelleting plants	213		
Others	225		
Coal			
Assets in Mozambique	9,302		6,751
Assets in Australia(1)	2,460		286

Base metals

Edgar Filing: Vale S.A. - Form 6-K

Vale New Caledonia (VNC)	5,660		14,545
Vale New Foundland and Labrador (VNL)	13,394		9,188
Onça Puma	(976)		9,102
Others	240		
Fertilizers			
Phosphate assets	(1,515)		15,002
Rio Colorado Project (PRC)	2,123		78
Others	22		
Total	33,171	1,382	

Table of Contents

Teal Minerals **Total**

Impairment in investments on affiliates and joint ventures	
R\$ million	Total impairment in 2015
Iron ore	
Samarco	510
Base metals	

On November 5, 2015, Samarco Mineração S.A. (\underline{Samarc} o) experienced the failure of iron ore tailings dam (Fundão) in state of Minas Gerais - Brazil. Following the dam failure, the competent authorities ordered the suspension of Samarco operations.

a) Accounting impacts on the investment resulting from the dam failure

Samarco is a closed capital company, in which each shareholder, Vale and BHP Billiton Brasil Ltda. (BHP), has a 50% ownership interest.

As a consequence of the dam failure, Samarco has incurred in expenses, wrote off assets, and recognized provisions for remediation, which affected its balance sheet and income statement.

309

1,727

Table of Contents

Because Samarco is a *joint venture*, the effects of the dam failure are accounted for under equity method by Vale, in which the balance sheet and income statement impact is limited to

Table of Contents

the Vale s interest in Samarco s capital as per the Brazilian Corporate Law. The dam failure had no effects on Vale s cash flow for the year ended on December 31, 2015.

The accounting impact of the investment in Samarco in Vale s financial statements, including effects of the dam failure, are as follows:

	Consolida	ted		
In Million	Investments in associated and joint ventures	Accounts receivable	Related parties	Total
Balance on December 31, 2014	533	63	822	1.418
Equity results on income statement				(533)
Dividends received			(459)	(459)
Royalties declared		-120		120
Royalties received		(36)		(36)
Transfers	510	(147)	(363)	
Impairment of assets	(510)			(510)
Balance on December 31, 2015				

Due to the preliminary stage of the legal proceedings related to the dam failure involving Samarco and its shareholders, it is not possible to provide a range of possible outcomes or a reliable estimate of the potential future exposure for Vale related to the lawsuits. Therefore, no provision was recognized in the financial statements of Vale and no contingent liability was quantified.

On March 2, 2016, Samarco and its shareholders, Vale and BHP have entered into an agreement with the States of Espírito Santo and Minas Gerais and certain other public authorities for the restoration of the environment and communities affected by the Samarco s dam failure. The agreement provides a long-term remedial and compensation framework for responding to the impact of the event (Agreement).

Under the terms in the Agreement, Samarco, Vale and BHP will establish a Foundation that will develop and execute environmental and social-economic programs to remediate and provide compensation for damages caused by the Samarco s dam failure.

The term of the Agreement is 15 years, renewable for periods of one year successively until all obligations under the Agreement have been performed.

Table of Contents

Samarco will fund the Foundation with contributions as follows (calendar year):

- R\$ 2 billion in 2016, less the amount of funds already spent on or allocated to, remediation and compensation activities;
- R\$ 1.2 billion in 2017; and
- R\$ 1.2 billion in 2018.

Samarco has agreed upon approved annual contributions required for the remediation and compensation projects for each year, while for the period from 2019 to 2021, these contributions will range from R\$ 800 million to R\$ 1.6 billion.

From signature of the agreement, the Foundation will allocate an annual amount of R\$ 240 million, for a period of 15 years, to the execution of compensation projects. These annual amounts are already included in the contribution for the first six years. There is also a one-time contribution of R\$ 500 million for basic sanitation in the affected areas.

Table of Contents

Samarco management is working on a plan that would permit it to resume operations. However, the feasibility, timing and scope of restarting remain uncertain. Samarco expects that it will be able to resume operations and to generate all or a substantial part of the funding required under the agreement.

Samarco will continue to conduct and fund the humanitarian and environmental recovery and remediation work until the Foundation is operational, which is likely to be in the next few months.

To the extent Samarco does not meet its funding obligations in the Foundation, each of Vale and BHP is liable, under the terms of the Agreement, for providing funds to the Foundation in proportion to its 50 per cent shareholding in Samarco. The Samarco's cash flow projections included in its business plan consider that, from resumption of its operations, Samarco will be able to satisfy its obligations without the capital contributions or cash disbursements by its shareholders. Presuming that Samarco will resume its operations, Vale does not believe that the Agreement will have material impact on its financial statements in the long run. However, Vale may be required to make investments, this year, under the terms in the Agreement, until resumption of Samarco s activities.

At this time, due to remaining uncertainties, it is impossible to reasonably estimate or measure the amounts that Vale may be required to invest, or how this should be done. Any evidence on the need of future cash disbursement by shareholders under the Agreement will be immediately reflected in Vale s financial statements, in proportion to its percentage of interest at Samarco, as a provision of remediation and compensation related to the dam failure.

Result in the measurement or sale of noncurrent assets

The result of the sale of assets increased from an expense of R\$ 441 million in 2014 to income of R\$ 52 million in 2015. In 2015, the result of the measurement or sale of noncurrent assets referred to the navigation and power assets, in 2014 the result referred to the assignment of the area of Vale Canada Limited under the CoW agreement of Vale Canada Limited.

Result from equity

The result from equity decreased R\$ 2,648 billion, from income of R\$ 1,141 billion in 2014 to expense of R\$ 1,507 billion in 2015, especially due to Teal Minerals (copper operation in Lubambe, Africa), which had the negative impact of the impairment of assets and Samarco results.

<u>Table</u>	of	Contents

Net Financial Results

Net income decreased by 147.7%, from R\$ 14,753 billion expense in 2014 to R\$ 36,538 billion expense in 2015, basically due to exchange variation.

Taxation on profits

In 2015, the net income tax income was R\$ 18,879 billion, compared to R\$ 2,600 billion expense in 2014, basically due to fiscal losses calculated in companies abroad and foreign exchange results.

Net gains/loss in the fiscal year

Net profit from R\$ 219 million in 2014 to net loss of R\$ 45,997 billion in 2015, mainly due to factors explained above.

Analysis of equity variation on December 31, 2015 compared to December 31, 2014

	12.31.2014	AV (%)(3)	12.31.2015	AV (%)(4)(7)	Variation (%) (2014 x 2015)
Current Assets	1210112011	12 ((7,0)(0)	12.01,2010	12 (((() () () () ()	(2011112010)
Cash and cash equivalents	10,555	3.4	14,022	4.0	32.8
Short-term investments	0,392		0,109		(72.2)
Derivatives financial instruments	0,441	0.1	0,474	0.1	7.5
Accounts Receivable	8,700	2.8	5,763	1.7	(33.8)
Inventory	11,956	3.9	13,775	4.0	15.2
Taxes on estimated profit	4,200	1.4	3,513	1.0	(16.4)
Taxes to be refunded	4,515	1.5	5,482	1.6	21.4
Related parties	1,537	0.5	0,273	0.1	(82.2)
Others	1,780	0.6	1,215	0.3	(31.7)
Non-current assets held for sale	9,669	3.1	15,792	4.6	63.3
	53,745	17.4	60,418	17.5	12.4
Noncurrent					
Derivative financial instruments	0,231	0.1	0,363	0.1	57.1
Loans	0,609	0.2	0,732	0.2	20.2
Taxes on profits	1,271	0.4	1,840	0.5	44.8
Taxes to be refunded	1,064	0.3	1,956	0.6	83.8
Taxes on profit deferred	10,560	3.4	30,867	8.9	192.3
Judicial deposits	3,370	1.1	3,445	1.0	2.2

⁽³⁾ Relative to asset total.

⁽⁴⁾ Relative to asset total.

Table of Contents

	12.31.2014	AV (%)(3)	12.31.2015	AV (%)(4)(7)	Variation (%) (2014 x 2015)
Related parties	0,093		0,005		(94.6)
Others	1,873	0.6	2,392	0.7	27.7
	19,071	6.1	41,600	12.0	118.1
Investments	10,978	3.6	11,481	3.3	4.6
Intangible Assets	18,114	5.9	20,789	6.0	14.8
Fixed Assets	207,507	67.0	211,259	61.2	1.8
	255,670	82.6	285,129	82.5	11.5
Total assets	309,415	100.0	345,547	100.0	11.7

Liabilities and shareholders equity	12.31.2014	AV (%)(1)	12.31.2015	AV (%)(1)	(2014 x 2015)
Current					
Accounts Payable to suppliers and contractors	11,566	3.8	13,140	3.8	13.6
Salaries and employment taxes	3,089	1.0	1,464	0.4	(52.6)
Derivatives financial instruments	3,760	1.2	8,107	2.3	115.6
Loans and financing	3,768	1.2	9,788	2.8	159.8
Related Parties	0,813	0.3	1,856	0.5	128.3
Taxes on profit Refinancing Program	1,213	0.4	1,348	0.4	11.1
Taxes on royalties payable	1,461	0.5	0,977	0.3	(33.1)
Taxes on profit payable	0,937	0.3	0,943	0.3	0.6
Pension and retirement benefits	0,177		0,266	0.1	50.3
Asset retirement obligation	0,361	0.1	0,346	0.1	(4.2)
Others	1,074	0.3	2,531	0.8	135.7
	28,219	9.1	40,766	11.8	44.5

Table of Contents

					Variation (%)
	12.31.2014	AV (%)(3)	12.31.2015	AV (%)(4)(7)	(2014 x 2015)
Liabilities related to non-current assets held for sale	0,294	0.1	0,416	0.1	41.5
	28,513	9.2	41,182	11.9	44.4
Non-current					
Derivatives financial instruments	4,276	1.4	5,581	1.6	30.5
Loans and financing	72,749	23.5	102,878	29.8	41.4
Related Parties	0,288	0.1	0,830	0.2	188.2
Pension and retirement benefits	5,941	1.9	6,831	2.0	15.0
Legal provisions	3,405	1.1	3,210	0.9	(5.7)
Taxes on profit Refinancing Program	15,572	5.0	15,953	4.6	2.4
Taxes on profits deferred	8,874	2.9	6,520	1.9	(26.5)
Asset retirement obligation	8,588	2.8	9,313	2.7	8.4
Participation Debentures	4,584	1.5	1,336	0.4	(70.9)
Non-controlling shareholders redeemable share	0,645	0.2			(100.0)
Others	6,379	2.1	12,494	3.6	106.7
	131,301	42.4	164,946	47.7	25.6
Shareholders Equity					
Shareholders equity of Vale shareholders	146,414	47.3	131,160	38.0	(10.4)
Shareholders equity of non-controlling shareholders	3,187	1.0	8,259	2.4	159.1
Total shareholders equity	149,601	48.3	139,419	40.4	(6.8)
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	309,415	100.0	345,547	100.0	11.7

⁽¹⁾ Relative to total liabilities

Ta	ble	of	Content	S

Position on December 31, 2013 compared to the position on December 31, 2014

Vale has assets and debts referenced to different currencies, the main ones being the *real*, the US dollar and the Canadian dollar. On December 31, 2015, the Company had 54% of assets related to Brazilian *reais*, 11% to US dollars, 17% to Canadian dollars and 8% to other currencies, while the majority of our debt was expressed in US dollars. Consequently, the effects of changes in exchange rates had an impact on the financial statements, especially the depreciation of the *real* by 47% against the US dollar between December 31, 2014 and 2015.

Current Assets

Cash and cash equivalents

The 32.8% increase, from R\$ 10,555 billion on December 31, 2014 to R\$ 14,022 billion on December 31, 2015, occurred as a function of divestment transactions: (i) R\$ 4.8 billion from the sale of twelve VLOCs (*very large ore carriers*) for Chinese shipyards; (ii) R\$ 4 billion from the sale of 36.4% of preferred shares of MBR; (iii) R\$ 2.8 billion from the *gold stream* transaction as byproduct of the Salobo copper mine; and (iv) R\$ 306 million, from the sale of power assets. Part of the amounts above were used for Company general purposes.

Inventories

The 15.2% increase, from R\$ 11,956 billion on December 31, 2014 to R\$ 13,775 billion on December 31, 2015, was mainly due to exchange variation, considering that some inventory is evaluated in US dollars.

Taxes on estimated profit

Taxes on estimated profit decreased by 16.4%, from R\$ 4,200 billion on December 31, 2014 to R\$ 3,513 billion on December 31, 2015, due to offset values in the period.

<u>Table</u>	of	Conte	ents

Non-current assets held for sale and discontinued operations

The sale of assets totaled R\$ 15,792 billion on December 31, 2015, related to Nacala, comparing to R\$ 9,669 billion on December 31, 2014 related to the sale of power generation assets and general load.

Noncurrent assets

Deferred taxes on profit

The amount of deferred taxes on profits increased by 192.3%, from R\$ 10,560 billion on December 31, 2014 to R\$ 30,867 billion on December 31, 2015, basically due to fiscal loss of the controller and its affiliate abroad and previous years related to the inventory in losses due to Law 12,973.

Investments

Investments increased by 4.6%, from R\$ 10.978 billion on December 31, 2014 to R\$ 11.481 billion on December 31, 2015, reflecting the investment made on Aliança Geração de Energia S.A.

Table of Contents
Fixed Assets
Fixed assets increased by 1.8%, from R\$ 207,507 billion on December 31, 2014 to R\$ 211,259 billion on December 31, 2015, due to exchange variation of some assets abroad, partially offset by depreciation expenses and asset offsetting, mainly Nacala.
Current Liabilities
Derivatives
Derivatives increased by 115.6%, from R\$ 3,760 billion on December 31, 2014 to R\$ 8,107 billion on December 31, 2015, basically due to (i) maturity of fuel operations, with the effect of the drop of price in the fuel market and appreciation of US dollar compared to real, affecting fuel operations and exchange swaps.
Loans and financing
The increase in long-term loans by 159.8%, from R\$ 3,768 billion on December 31, 2014 to R\$ 9,788 billion on December 31, 2015, was basically due to exchange variation and especially reclassification of part of the long-term debt to mature in 2016.
Liabilities related to non-current assets held for sale and discontinued operations
Liabilities related to non-current assets held for sale increased by 41.5%, from R\$ 0,294 billion on December 31, 2014 to R\$ 0,416 billion on December 31, 2015, due to the availability of Nacala for sale.
Noncurrent liabilities
Derivatives

Derivatives increased by 30.5%, from R\$ 4,276 billion on December 31, 2014 to R\$ 5,581 billion on December 31,2015, basically due to appreciation of US dollar against real, with a loss in swap

Table of	Contents	

mark-to-market, which goal is to reduce the volatility of cash flow, net of transfer of fuel positions to current liabilities.

Loans and financing

Loans and financing increased by 41.4%, from R\$ 72,749 billion on December 31, 2014 to R\$ 102,878 billion on December 31,2015, reflecting exchange variation, as considerable portion of loans were acquired in foreign currency.

Provisions for contingencies

Provisions for contingencies decreased by 5.7%, from R\$ 3,405 billion on December 31, 2014 to R\$ 3,210 billion on December 31,2015, reflecting the changes to the prognosis of part of the lawsuits where Vale appears as party.

Obligations for asset retirement

Obligations for asset retirement increased by 8.4%, from R\$ 8,588 billion on December 31, 2014 to R\$ 9,313 billion on December 31,2015, mainly due to exchange reduction applicable to part of the balance of companies abroad, partially offset by the adjustment of the present value

Table of Contents

of the obligation due to reduction in the discount rate.

Debentures

Debentures decreased by 70.9%, from R\$ 4,584 billion on December 31, 2014 to R\$ 1,336 billion on December 31, 2015, due to decrease in the mark-to-market due to commodities prices.

Shareholders Equity held by Controlling Shareholders

Shareholders equity held by controlling shareholders decreased by 11.2%, from R\$ 146,414 billion on December 31, 2014 to R\$ 129,958 billion on December 31, 2015, due to losses in the period.

Fiscal year ended on December 31, 2013 compared to fiscal year ended on December 31, 2014

Analysis of Operating Results, 2014 vs. 2013

The table below presents the values for the consolidated income statements for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2014:

	Fiscal year ended on December 31,				
(in R\$ billion) Income statement	2013	AV (%)(1)	2014	AV (%)(1)	Variation (%) (2013 x 2014)
Net Operating Revenues	101,490	100.0	88,275	100.0	(13.0)
Cost of products and services	(52,511)	(51.7)	(59,087)	(66.9)	12.5
Administrative and sales expenses	(2,804)	(2.8)	(2,603)	(3.0)	(7.2)
Research and development	(1,745)	(1.7)	(1,738)	(2.0)	(0.4)
Pre-operational and shutdown operation	(4,035)	(4.0)	(2,563)	(2.9)	(36.5)
Other net operating expenses	(2,157)	(2.1)	(2,560)	(2.9)	18.7
Reduction of noncurrent assets recoverable					
value	(5,390)	(5.3)	(2,713)	(3.1)	(49.7)

Fiscal year ended on December	r 31.	
-------------------------------	-------	--

					Variation (%)
(in R\$ billion)					(2013 x
Income statement	2013	AV (%)(1)	2014	AV (%)(1)	2014)
Gain (loss) in the measurement or					
noncurrent assets sale	(0,508)	(0.5)	(0,441)	(0.5)	(13.2)
Operating Revenues	32,340	31.9	16,570	18.7	(48.8)
Result of corporate participations	0,999	1.0	1,141	1.3	14.2
Result of disposal of shares in joint					
ventures and associated companies	0,098	0.1	(0,068)	(0.1)	(169.4)
Net financial result	(18,442)	(18.2)	(14,753)	(16.7)	(20.0)
Reduction of investments recoverable value		n/a	(0,071)	(0.1	n/a
Income before income tax and social					
contribution	14,995	14.8	2,819	3.2	(81.2)
Taxation on profits	(15,249)	(15.0)	(2,600)	(2.9)	(82.9)
Losses from discontinued operations	(0.004)			n/a	n/a
Net income (loss) in the year	(0.258)	(0.2)	0.219	0.2	(184.9)

⁽¹⁾ Relative to the net operating revenue.

Revenues

The net operating revenue reached R\$ 88.275 billion in 2014, compared to R\$ 101.490 billion in 2013, corresponding to a 13% decrease. The revenue decrease was mainly due to the drop in commodities prices, partially offset by the increase in the volume of iron ore sales resulting from the *ramp-up* of ore projects.

Table of Contents
Iron Ore
The revenue from the sales of ore decreased by 26.0%, from R\$ 61.271 billion in 2013 to R\$ 45.341 billion in 2014, especially due to the drop in ore price. This drop was mainly offset by the increase in sales volume, with the ramp up of some ore projects.
Pellets
The revenue from the sale of pellets decreased by 4.4%, from R\$ 12.972 billion in 2013 to R\$ 12.397 billion in 2014, due to the drop in the price reference index of ore IODEX partially offset by the increase in the quality premium attributed to pellets.
Manganese ore and ferroalloys
The revenue from the sale of manganese and ferroalloys decreased by 18.2% , from R\$ 1.140 billion in 2013 to R\$ 0.933 billion in 2014 , due to the drop in volume and prices.
Coal
The revenue from the sale of coal decreased by 20.5%, from R\$ 2.188 billion in 2013 to R\$ 1.740 billion in 2014, due to the drop in prices and suspension of operations of Integra and Isaac Plains, partially offset by the increased volume in Moatize. Suspension of operations of Integra and Isaac Plains was due to the economic unviability of the current market conditions.
Base Metals
Nickel and other products
There was a 17.0% increase in the revenue from these products, from R\$ 12.566 billion in 2013 to R\$ 14.703 billion in 2014, especially due to the increase in nickel price, responding to the demand cycle, and the increase volume of sales of

nickel, due to the return of production at Onça Puma, after recovery of the furnace.

Copper

The revenue from the sale of copper increased by 8.0%, from R\$ 3.180 billion in 2013 to R\$ 3.434 billion in 2014, due to the increased sales volume driven by the *ramp-up* of Salobo II, copper operation in Brazil.

Table of Contents
Fertilizers
Potash
The revenue from the sale of potash decreased by 16.4%, from R\$ 434 million in 2013 to R\$ 363 million in 2014, due to the decrease in sales volume and prices due to the lower demand resulting from weak conditions in the global market of fertilizers.
Phosphate
The revenue from the sale of phosphate decreased by 4.1% , from R\$ 4.443 billion in 2013 to R\$ 4.259 billion in 2014 , due to the decrease prices due to the lower demand resulting from weak conditions in the global market of fertilizers.
Nitrogen
The revenue from the sale of nitrogen decreased by 17.2%, from R\$ 990 million in 2013 to R\$ 820 million in 2014, partially due to the sale of the company Araucária in 2013.
Other products and services
The revenue from other products and services increased by 26.9% , from R\$ 1.850 billion in 2013 to R\$ 2.347 billion in 2014 , due to the drop in the sale of steel.
Costs of Business Areas
Comments on the Cost of Business Areas

The cost of products and services sold (excluding depreciation) was R\$50.003 billion in 2014, a R\$5.523 billion increase compared to R\$44.480 billion in 2013, especially due to exchange variation and greater volume sold. The cost of ferrous minerals increased by R\$5.082 billion (19.7%) and the cost of base metals increased by R\$747 million (7.4%), both increases especially due to the greater volume sold. Moreover, costs with coal and fertilizers increased by R\$29 million and reduced by R\$29 million, respectively.

Costs related to each business area are detailed below:

CPV BY BUSINESS AREA

in R\$ million	2013	2014
Ferrous	25,758	30,840
Base metals	10,088	10,835
Coal	2,485	2,514
Fertilizers	4,699	4,406
Others	1,450	1,408
Cost with no depreciation	44,480	50,003

It is important to note that all amounts mentioned were considered after discounting depreciation.

Sales and administrative expenses

Sales and administrative expenses decreased by 7.2%, from R\$ 2.804 billion in 2013 to R\$ 2.603 billion in 2014, especially due to the continuous simplification of our corporate structure.

Research and development expenses

Research and development expenses remained stable, from R\$ 1.745 billion in 2013 to R\$ 1.738 billion in 2014.

Noncurrent asset impairment

In 2014, asset impairment totaled R\$ 2.713 billion mainly due to Vale Fertilizantes and Vale BSGR Limited, in the amount of R\$ 5.593 billion, and the review of part of the impairment of Onça Puma, in the amount of R\$ 4,295 billion, which initial value was registered in 2012. Impairment in 2013 registered in the amount of R\$ 5.390 billion refers mainly to the suspension of the Rio

Table	αf	Contents

Colorado Project (PRC) in the amount of R\$ 4.963 billion and pelleting plants in the amount of R\$ 427 million.

Pre-operational and operation stoppage expenses

In 2014, pre-operational and operation stoppage expenses were R\$ 2.563 billion, representing a R\$ 1.472 billion decrease compared to 2013, especially due to lower expenses with the Rio Colorado and Long Harbor Projects.

Other net operational expense/income

Other operational expenses increased by 18.7%, from R\$ 2.157 billion in 2013 to R\$ 2.560 billion in 2014. If excluding the transaction nonrecurring positive effect of the sale of 25% of payable gold flow produced as byproduct of the copper mine of Salobo during the mine life, and 70% of payable gold flow produced as byproduct of the nickel mines in Sudbury Coleman, Copper Cliff, Creighton, Garson, Stobie, Totten, and Victor paid in the first quarter in 2013, net operational expenses would decrease by 3.4% from 2013 to 2014.

Loss in the measurement or sale of noncurrent assets

The result of the sale of assets decreased by 13.2%, from R\$ 508 million in 2013 to R\$ 441 million in 2014. In 2013, the result referred to the loss in the sale of Tres Valles, while in 2014 the loss referred to the termination of the area of Vale Canada Limited. For more information, see explanatory note 30 in the Company financial statement.

Result from shareholding

The result from shareholding increased by 14.2%, from R\$ 999 million in 2013 to R\$ 1.141 billion in 2014, especially due to discontinued consolidation of VLI into Vale results.

Net Financial Results

Net income decreased by 20.0%, from R\$ 18.442 billion expense in 2013 to R\$ 14.753 billion expense in 2014, due to the impact of the Fiscal Recovery Program $\begin{array}{c} \text{REFIS} \ (\ \text{REFIS} \end{array})$, registered in 2013.

Table	e of	Contents

Taxation on profits

In 2014, the net income tax expense was R\$ 2.600 billion, compared to R\$ 15.249 billion in 2013, basically due to company results abroad taxed at rates other than the ones applicable to the controlling company and the inability to reduce the impairment of certain assets.

Net profit/loss of the fiscal year

Net loss in the amount of R\$ 258 million in 2013 turned into net profit of R\$ 219 million in 2014, especially due to factors mentioned above.

Analysis of equity changes on December 31, 2014 compared to December 31, 2013

in R\$ billion	12.31,2013	AV (%)(1)	12.31.2014	AV (%)(1)	Variation (%) (2014 x 2013)
Current Assets	12,31,2013	(70)(1)	12,31,2014	(70)(1)	(2014 X 2013)
Cash and cash equivalents	12,465	4.3	10,555	3.4	-15.3
Short-term investments	0.008	0.0	0.392	0.0	n/a
Derivatives financial instruments	0,471	0.2	0,441	0.1	-6.4
Accounts Receivable	13,360	4.6	8.7	2.8	-34.9
Related parties	0,611	0.2	1,537	0.5	151.6
Inventory	9,622	3.3	11,956	3.9	23.7
Taxes on estimated profit	5,563	1.9	4,2	1.4	-24.5
Taxes to be refunded	3,698	1.3	4,515	1.5	22.1
Advances to suppliers	0,292	0.1	0,256	0.1	-12.3
Others	2,151	0.7	1,524	0.6	-29.1
Non-current assets held for sale	8,822	3.0	9,669	3.1	9.6
	57,103	19.6	53,745	17.4	-5.9
Non-current					
Related Parties	0,235	0.1	0,093	0.0	-63.2
Loans and financing	0,564	0.2	0,609	0.2	8.0
Legal deposits	3,491	1.2	3,37	1.1	-3.5
Taxes on profits to be refunded	0,899	0.3	1,271	0.4	41.4
Taxes on profits deferred	10,596	3.6	10,56	3.4	-0.3
Taxes to be refunded	0,668	0.2	1,064	0.3	59.3
Derivatives financial instruments	0,329	0.1	0,231	0.1	-29.8
Others	1,730	0.6	1,693	0.6	-2.1
	18,977	6.5	19,071	6.1	0.5
Investments	8,397	2.9	10,978	3.6	30.7
Intangibles	16,096	5.5	18,114	5.9	12.5
Fixed Assets	191,308	65.5	207,507	67	8.5
	234,778	80.4	255,67	82.6	8
Total Assets	291,881	100.0	309,415	100	6.0

		AV		AV	Variation (%)
Liabilities and shareholders equity	12.31.2013	(%) (1)	12.31.2014	(%) (1)	(2014 x 2013)
Current	0.027	2.0	11.566	2.0	20.0
Accounts Payable to suppliers and contractors	8,837	3.0	11,566	3.8	30.9
Salaries and employment taxes	3,247	1.1	3,089	1.0	-4.9
Derivatives financial instruments	0,556	0.2	3,76	1.2	576.3
Loans and financing	4,158	1.4	3,768	1.2	-9.4
Related Parties	0,479	0.2	0,813	0.3	69.7
Taxes on profit Refinancing Program	1,102	0.4	1,213	0.4	10.1
Taxes to be refunded	0,766	0.3	1,461	0.5	90.7
Taxes on estimated profit	0,886	0.3	0,937	0.3	5.8
Pension and retirement benefits	0,227	0.1	0,177	0.0	-22.0
Asset retirement obligation	0,225	0.1	0,361	0.1	60.4
Other	0,985	0.3	1,074	0.3	9.0
	21,468	7.4	28,219	9.1	31.4
Liabilities related to non-current assets held for sale	1,050	0.4	0,294	0.0	(72.0)
	22,518	7.8	28,513	9.2	26.6
Non-current	ĺ		ĺ		
Derivatives financial instruments	3,496	22.2	4,276	1.4	22.3
Loans and financing	64,819	0.0	72,749	23.5	12.2
Related Parties	0,011	0.0	0,288	0.1	n/a
Pension and retirement benefits	5,148	1.8	5,941	1.9	15.4
Legal provisions	2,989	1.0	3,405	1.1	13.9
Taxes on profit Refinancing Program	15,243	5.2	15,572	5.0	2.2
Taxes on profits deferred	7,562	2.6	8,874	2.9	17.3
Asset retirement obligation	5,969	2.0	8,588	2.8	43.9
Participation Debentures	4,159	1.4	4,584	1.5	10.2
Non-controlling shareholders redeemable share	0,646	0.2	0,645	0.2	-0.2
Others	7,200	2.5	6,379	2.1	-11.4
	117,242	40.1	131,301	42.4	12.0
Shareholders Equity					
Vale s controlling shareholders equity	148.346	50.8	146.414	47.3	(1.3)
Non-controlling shareholders interest	3.775	1.3	3.187	1.0	(15.6)
Total equity	152.121	52.117	149.601	48.3	(1.7)
Total liabilities and equity	291.881	100.000	309.415	100	6.0

⁽¹⁾ Relative to total liabilities and shareholders equity.

Table of Contents

Position on December 31, 2013 compared to the position on December 31, 2014

Vale has assets and debts referenced to different currencies, the main ones being the *real*, the US dollar and the Canadian dollar. On December 31, 2014, the Company had 55% of assets related to Brazilian *reais*, 12% to US dollars, 19% to Canadian dollars and 14% to other currencies, while the majority of our debt was expressed in US dollars. Consequently, the effects of changes in exchange rates had an impact on the financial statements, especially the

Table of Contents
depreciation of the <i>real</i> by 13 % against the US dollar between December 31, 2013 and 2014.
Current Assets
Cash and cash equivalents
The 15.3% decrease, from R\$ 12.465 billion on December 31, 2013 to R\$ 10.555 billion on December 31, 2014, occurred as a function of the non-recurring transaction related to the sale of 25% of payable gold flow in 2013 and the negative impact from the drop of commodities prices in the generation of operational cash flow in 2014, partially offset by the increase of volume and reduction of expenses.
Inventories
The 23.7% increase, from R\$ 9.662 billion on December 31, 2013 to R\$ 11.956 billion on December 31, 2014, was mainly due to the ramp up of the distribution center in Malaysia, as well as exchange variation.
Taxes on estimated profit
Taxes on estimated profit decreased by 24.5%, from R\$ 5.563 billion on December 31, 2013 to R\$ 4.200 billion on December 31, 2014, due to offset values of income tax and social contribution.
Non-current assets held for sale
The sale of assets totaled R\$ 9.669 billion on December 31, 2014, refers mainly to the divestment in power generation assets and share held in the assets in Nacala, comparing to R\$ 8.822 billion on December 31, 2013 refers to the sale of power generation assets and general load.

Table of Contents
Noncurrent Assets
Deferred taxes on profit
The amount of deferred taxes on profits decreased by 0.3%, from R\$ 10.596 billion on December 31, 2013 to R\$ 10.560 billion on December 31, 2014, due to fiscal loss.
Investments
Investments increased by 30.7%, from R\$ 8.397 billion on December 31, 2013 to R\$ 10.978 billion on December 31, 2014, reflecting the investment increase on affiliates due to the consolidation of Vale Logística da Argentina S.A. which investment was partially sold and the remaining portion is no longer consolidated.
Fixed Assets
Fixed assets increased by 8.5%, from R\$ 191.308 billion on December 31, 2013 to R\$ 207.507 billion on December 31, 2014, due to Capex execution, mainly the S11D project, including the Integrated Logistic Corridor of Nacala - CLN and partial impairment of assets related to Onça Puma in 2012.
Current liabilities
Accounts payable to suppliers and contractors
Accounts payable to suppliers and contractors increased by 30.9%, from R\$ 8.837 billion on December 31, 2013 to R\$ 11.566 billion on December 31, 2014, was basically due to the extension on the average due date of payments.
Derivatives

Derivatives increased by 576.3%, from R\$ 0.556 billion on December 31, 2013 to R\$ 3.760 billion on December 31, 2014, basically due to appreciation of US dollar against real, with a loss in swap mark-to-market, which goal is to reduce the volatility of cash flow.

Table of Contents
Loans and financing
The 9.4% reduction in the long-term loan current debt, from R\$ 4.158 billion on December 31, 2013 to R\$ 3.768 billion on December 31, 2014, was due to the due date of long term debts, acquired in reais.
Liabilities related to non-current assets held for sale and discontinued operations
Liabilities related to non-current assets held for sale decreased by 72.0%, from R\$ 1.050 billion on December 31, 2013 to R\$ 0.294 billion on December 31, 2014, due to the conclusion of the general load sale and negotiation at the Nacala corridor with Mitsui.
Noncurrent Liabilities
Derivatives
Derivatives increased by 22.3%, from R\$ 3.496 billion on December 31, 2013 to R\$ 4.276 billion on December 31, 2014, basically due to appreciation of US dollar against real, with a loss in swap mark-to-market, which goal is to reduce the volatility of cash flow.
Loans and financing
Loans and financing increased by 12.2%, from R\$ 64.819 billion on December 31, 2013 to R\$ 72.749 billion on December 31, 2014, reflecting exchange variation, as considerable portion of loans were acquired in foreign currency.
Provisions for contingencies

Provisions for contingencies increased by 13.9%, from R\$ 2.989 billion on December 31, 2013 to R\$ 3.405 billion on December 31, 2014, reflecting the changes to the prognosis of part of the lawsuits where Vale appears as party.

Edgar F	-ilina: ˈ	Vale	S.A	Form	6-K
---------	-----------	------	-----	------	-----

Obligations for asset retirement

Obligations for asset retirement increased by 43.9%, from R\$ 5.969 billion on December 31, 2013 to R\$ 8.588 billion on December 31, 2014, was due to the reduction in the discount rate and annual revision of realized estimates.

Debentures

Debentures increased by 10.2%, from R\$ 4.159 billion on December 31, 2013 to R\$ 4.584 billion on December 31, 2014, due to mark-to-market.

Shareholders Equity held by Controlling Shareholders

Shareholders equity held by controlling shareholders decreased by 1.3%, from R\$ 148.346 billion on December 31, 2013 to R\$ 146.414 billion on December 31, 2014, due to realization of provisions for shareholders compensation.

Analysis of Cash Flows 2015 vs. 2014

The table below presents the values for the cash flow statements for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014:

(in R\$ million)	2015	%	2014
Cash flow from operational activities:			
Net gain (loss) in the period	(45,997)	n/a	219
Adjustments to consolidate net profit with revenues from operational activities	61,716	173.4	22,573
Net revenue from operational activities	15,719	(31.0)	22,792
Net revenue used in investment	(20,116)	(10.0)	(22,360)
Net revenue from (used in) financing	5,250	n/a	(8,634)
Increase (reduction) in cash and cash equivalents	853	n/a	(3,202)
Beginning of the year cash and cash equivalents	10,555	(15.3)	12,465
Effect of exchange variations in cash and cash equivalents	2,614	102.3	1,292
End of the year cash and cash equivalents	14,022	32.8	10,555

Year ending on December 31, 2014 compared to the year ended on December 21, 2015

Table	of	Contents

Net operating revenues

Net operating revenues totaled R\$ 15,719 billion in 2015, compared to R\$ 27,792 billion in 2014, representing a 31.0% decrease, basically due to the drop in the iron ore price.

Net Operating revenues from (used in) investments

Net revenues used in investments totaled R\$ 20,116 billion in 2015, compared to R\$ 22,360 billion in 2014, representing a 10.0% decrease, due to Company adjustment to projects, as

Table of Contents

some of them are entering the deployment phase, as is the case of S11D project, one of the largest of the Company, expected to end in the second half in 2016.

Net revenue from (used in) financing

Net revenue from (used in) financing totaled R\$ 5,250 billion in 2015, compared to R\$ 8,634 billion in 2014, due to decrease in the payment of dividends to shareholders and the MBR transaction, comprised by the sale of class-A preferred shares of MBR, representing 36.4% of its capital.

Analysis of Cash Flow 2014 vs. 2013

The following table shows the values related to the consolidated cash flow statements for the years ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2014:

(in R\$ million)	2014	%	2013
Operating cash flow:			
Net gains (loss)	219	n/a	(258)
Adjustments to consolidate net profit with revenues from operational			
activities	27,573	(15.1)	32,491
Net revenue from operational activities	27,792	(13.8)	32,233
Net revenue used in investment	(22,360)	(3.4)	(23,150)
Net revenue from (used in) financing	(8,634)	(8.1)	(9,396)
Increase (reduction) in cash and cash equivalents	(3,202)	n/a	(313)
Beginning of the year cash and cash equivalents	12,465	4.6	11,918
Effect of exchange variations in cash and cash equivalents	1,292	50.2	860
End of the year cash and cash equivalents	10,555	(15.3)	12,465

Year ended on December 31, 2013 compared to year ended on December 31, 2014

Table	of	Contents

Net operating revenues

Net operating revenues totaled R\$ 27.792 billion in 2014, compared to R\$ 32.233 billion in 2013, representing a 13.8% decrease, basically due to the drop in the iron ore price.

Net Operating revenues from (used in) investments

Net revenues used in investments totaled R\$ 22.360 billion in 2014, compared to R\$ 23.150 billion in 2013, representing a 3.4% decrease, due to the reduction in investment sale.

Table of Contents

Net revenue from (used in) financing

Net revenue from (used in) financing totaled R\$ 8.634 billion in 2014, compared to R\$ 9.396 billion in 2013, representing an 8.1% decrease, due to the funding levels in 2014.

Table of Contents

10.2 Operating and Financial Results
a. Results of Vale Operations, in particular:
i. Description of key components of revenue
In 2015, net operating revenues totaled R\$ 85.5 billion with operational margin (prior to gains from the measurement of the sale of noncurrent assets) of 10.6%. Operating loss was R\$ 25.4 billion, a R\$ 42.0 billion variation compared to the operating profit in 2014, mainly due to reduction in the prices of its products.
This year, that Company has sought after several opportunities to improve its margin. Vale has presented an 18.2% reduction in net operational revenues (including SG&A, research and development, pre-operational and stoppage, and other expenses), corresponding to R\$ 1.7 billion, compared to the same period in 2014, especially marked by the revenue arising out of the <i>gold stream</i> operation and reduction in the provision for fixed asset retirement, due to risk change.

In addition, Vale had a R\$ 31.8 billion variation in impairment of assets , from R\$ 2.7 billion in 2014 to R\$ 34.5 billion in 2015, due to drop in the price of our commodities, with impact in the adjustment of the performance assumption and return of our activities. Cash generation measured by Adjusted EBITDA, was R\$ 23.7 billion in the fiscal year ending on December 31, 2015.

On December 31, 2014, Vale net operational revenue was R\$ 88.3 billion, and the operational margin (prior to the recoverable values of noncurrent assets and loss in the measuring of the sale of noncurrent assets) was 22.3%. The operational profit was R\$ 16.6 billion in 2014, an approximate 50% reduction compared to the operational profit in 2013, mainly due to a drop in ore prices. Continuous Company efforts to cut costs, investment discipline and focus on core business were seen this year. Vale had a 11.9% reduction in net operational costs (including SG&A, research and development, pre-operational and stoppage, as well as other costs), corresponding to R\$ 1,277 billion, relative to 2013. This drop was due mainly to the reduction of costs related to operation stoppage and optimization in the Company s cost structure, after adjustments made in 2013. Additionally, in 2014, Vale had a reduction of 49.7% in the impairment of assets, R\$ 5,390 billion in 2013 to R\$ 2,713 billion in 2014, due to return on the Onça Puma operation. Cash generation, measured in Adjusted EBITDA(1), was R\$ 31.1 billion in 2014.

In 2013, Vale net operational revenue was R\$ 101,490 billion and the operational margin (prior to the recoverable values of noncurrent assets and loss in the measuring of the sale of noncurrent assets) was 37.7%. The operational profit was R\$ 32,340 billion in 2013.

Table of Contents

In the fiscal year ending on December 31, 2015, operating loss measured by EBIT was R\$ 28.3 billion, compared to the EBIT operating profit of R\$ 17.6 billion in the same period in 2014. In 2015 operating loss was R\$ 25,4 billion while in 2014 and 2013, operating profit was R\$ 16.6 billion and R\$ 32.3 billion, respectively.

Adjusted EBITDA

	Fiscal	Fiscal year ending on December 31		
in R\$ billion	2013	2014	2015	
Net operating revenue	101,490	88,275	85,499	
Adjusted EBIT	38,238	19,724	9,101	
Adjusted EBITDA	49,027	31,134	23,654	
Net profit (loss) of the fiscal year	(0,258)	0,219	(45,997)	
Shareholders compensation (controller)	9,319	9,739	5,026	

EBITDA

	Fiscal year ending on December 31		
in R\$ billion	2013	2014	2015
Net profit (loss) of the period	(0,258)	0,219	(45,997)
Taxation on profit	15,249	2,600	(18,879)
Net financial income	18,442	14,753	36,538
EBIT (Earnings Before Income and Taxes)	33,433	17,572	(28,338)
Depreciation, amortization, and depletion	8,953	10,108	13,489
EBITDA (Earnings Before Income, Taxes, Depreciation, and			
Amortization)	42,386	27,680	(14,849)

Net operating revenue presented (i) a 3.1% decreased compared to fiscal years ending on December 31, 2015 and 2014 and (ii) a 13.0% decrease compared to fiscal years ending on December 31, 2014 and 2013, both due to the drop in the price of *commodities*.

Individually, the most important product in terms of revenue generation in fiscal years 2015, 2014, and 2013 was iron ore.

	Fiscal year ending on December 31					
R\$ million	2013	%	2014	%	2015	%
Ferrous minerals	75,668	74.6	60,395	68.4	55,413	64.8
Iron ore	60,653	59.8	45,341	51.4	41,427	48.5
Pellets	12,972	12.8	12,397	14.0	11,916	13.9
Manganese and ferroalloys	1,140	1.1	933	1.1	518	0.6
Others	903	0.9	1,724	2.0	1,552	1.8
Coal	2,188	2.1	1,740	2.0	1,739	2.0
Base metals	15,746	15.5	18,137	20.6	20,491	24.0
Nickel and others	12,566	12.4	14,703	16.7	15,534	18.2
Finished copper	3,180	3.1	3,434	3.9	4,957	5.8
Fertilizers	6,038	6.0	5,656	6.4	7,442	8.7
Potash	434	0.4	363	0.4	443	0.5
Phosphate	4,443	4.4	4,259	4.8	5,806	6.8
Nitrogen	990	1.0	820	0.9	999	1.2
Others	171	0.2	214	0.3	194	0.2
Others	1,850	1.8	2,347	2.6	414	0.5
Net Income	101,490	100.0	88,275	100.00	85,499	100.0

Table of Contents

The sale of ferrous minerals - iron ore, pellets, manganese ore and ferroalloy represented 64.8% of total net operating revenues in the year ended on December 31, 2015, relative to 68.4% in the year ended on December 31, 2014. In 2013, the total net operational revenue of ferrous minerals was 74.6%.

Table of Contents

In 2015, 2014, and 2013, the base metals participation in the total net revenue was 24.0%, 20.6%, and 15.5%, respectively.

In 2015, 2014, and 2013, fertilizer revenue was 8.7%, 6.4%, and 6.0%, respectively.

In 2015, 2014, and 2013, the contribution by other products in net revenue represented 2.5%, 4.6%, and 3.9%, respectively.

In the fiscal year ending on December 31, 2015, sales to Asia represented 52.6% of total revenues, in line with 52.2% in 2014 and lower than 57.1% in 2013. Sales to the Americas amounted to 24.1%, lower than 25% in 2014 and higher than 19.7% in 2013. Revenues from Europe represented 18.2% in this period, 17.8% in 2014, and 18.6% in 2013, while the remainder contributed with 5.2% of the revenues for the fiscal year ending on December 31, 2015.

Considering sales per country, China was responsible for 36.0% of our revenue in the fiscal year ending on December 31, 2015, followed by Brazil with 15.3%, Japan with 7.6%, Germany with 5.6%, Canada with 4.3%, and the USA with 3.3%. In 2014, China was responsible for 33.7% of our revenues, followed by Brazil with 15.8%, Japan with 9.7%, Germany with 6.1%, South Korea with 4.1% and the United States and Canada with 3.7%. In 2013, China was responsible for 40.7% of our revenue, followed by Brazil with 13.1%, Japan with 8.7%, Germany with 7.0%, South Korea with 3.9%, and the United States with 2.8%.

		Fiscal year ended on December 31				
R\$ million	2013	%	2014	%	2015	%
North America	5,065	5.0	6,486	7.4	6,500	7.6
USA	2,823	2.8	3,210	3.7	2,803	3.3
Canada	2,242	2.2	3,276	3.7	3,697	4.3
South America	14,960	14.7	15,561	17.6	14,073	16.5
Brazil	13,295	13.1	13,946	15.8	13,081	15.3
Others	1,665	1.6	1,615	1.8	992	1.2
Asia	57929	57.1	46,076	52.2	44,976	52.6
China	41,277	40.7	29,762	33.7	30,812	36.0
Japan	8,853	8.7	8,530	9.7	6,497	7.6
South Korea	3,897	3.9	3,639	4.1	2,633	3.1
Taiwan	2,103	2.1	1,697	1.9	2,064	2.4
Others	1,799	1.8	2,448	2.8	2,970	3.5
Europe	18,816	18.6	15,732	17.8	15,535	18.2
Germany	7,104	7.0	4,936	5.6	4,746	5.6
France	2,105	2.1	1,329	1.5	1,086	1.3
United Kingdom	2,156	2.1	1,665	1.9	1,285	1.5
Italy	2,263	2.2	345	0.4	1,531	1.8
Others	5,188	5.2	7,457	8.4	6,887	8.1
Other countries	4,630	4.6	4,420	5.0	4,415	5.2
Net Revenues	101,490	100.0	88,275	100.0	85,499	100.0

Table of Contents

ii. Factors that materially affected the operating results

Demand and prices

The following table summarizes the average sale price of the main products for the periods indicated.

	Fiscal year ended on December 31,		
	2013	2014	2015
	\$/metric to	on, unless otherwise indicated(5	5)
Iron ore	231.30	178.89	148.94
Pellets	317.96	292.39	268.13
Manganese	340.74	283.23	191.54
Ferroalloys	2,286.62	3,422.18	3,018.72
Coal			
Thermal coal	174.93	159.30	175.02
Metallurgical Coal	280.29	245.76	285.63
Nickel	32,038.31	38,679.67	39,012.71
Copper	14,847.03	14,164.84	14,566.75
Potash	1,864.07	837.78	1,061.87
Phosphates			
MAP	1,223.37	1,277.29	1,708.41

⁽⁵⁾ Amounts converted using the average exchange rate in each period: R\$ 1.9546 in 2012, R\$ 2.1605 in 2013, and R\$ 2.354716 in 2014 and R\$ 3.3387 in 2015.

Table of Contents

	Fiscal year ended on December 31,			
	2013	2014	2015	
	\$/metric to	on, unless otherwise indicated	d(5)	
TSP	1,007.12	1,010.13	1,328.97	
SSP	573.51	500.64	682.60	
DCP	1,162.35	1,392.84	1,852.58	
Nitrogen	1,113.87	1,423.21	1,850.00	

The following table summarizes the average volume sold of main products for the periods indicated.

	2013	Fiscal year ended on December 31, 2014 (in thousand metric tons)	2015
Fine iron ore	251,029	255,877	276,393
Pellets	40,992	43,684	46,284
Manganese	2,116	1,879	1,764
Ferroalloys	183	151	69
Coal			
Thermal coal	726	1,152	892
Metallurgical Coal	7,353	6,330	5,614
Nickel	261	272	291
Copper	353	353	398
Potash	531	475	462
Phosphates			
MAP	1,133	1,040	1,081
TSP	681	749	744
SSP	1,969	2,091	1,847
DCP	461	493	459
Nitrogen	890	680	3,193
	348		

Table	of	Contents

Iron ore and pellets

The demand for iron ore and pellets is a result of the global demand for carbon steel, which in turn is highly influenced by global industrial production. The pricing of iron ore and pellets is done according to several levels of quality and physical characteristics. Several factors affect the prices of different types of iron ore, such as the iron content in deposits, the size of particles, humidity and the type and concentration of contaminants (such as phosphorus, alumina and manganese) in the ore. Generally, the classification of ore into thin ore, lump ore and pellet feed determines price differences.

Demand from China has been one of the main drivers of worldwide demand and prices.

Chinese imports of iron ore reached 953 million metric tons in 2015, 2% above the level of 933 million metric tons in 2014, which in turn was 15% above the level of 810 million metric tons in 2013. The higher ore import in 2015 was despite a 2.3% decrease in domestic steel production, reinforcing the hypothesis of mines closure in China.

Our iron ore prices are grounded in a variety of price option that are usually based on spot indices for the specification of prices charged to clients. Final prices may be based on spot rates for months prior to the shipping date or the shipping quarter, or according to the spot rate at delivery to customer.

Fine iron ore prices command premiums as a function of the content of iron. The lump ore and pellet prices contain premiums in relation to fine iron ore prices and are determined based on client negotiations. The average prices for iron ore in fiscal year ending on December 31, 2015 were 40.9% and 60.2% lower than the average prices in 2014 and 2013, respectively. The average price for pellets on December 31, 2015, was 35.3% and 46.5% lower compared to average prices in 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Manganese and ferroalloys

The prices of manganese ore and ferroalloys are mainly influenced by trends in the carbon steel market. The prices of ferroalloys are also influenced by the prices of its main inputs, such as manganese ore, energy and coke. Manganese ore sales are based on the spot market or calculated on a quarterly basis. Ferroalloys prices are determined on a quarterly basis.

Coal

The demand for metallurgical coal is driven by the demand for steel, especially in Asia. The demand for thermal coal is directly related to electricity consumption, which will continue to be driven by worldwide economic growth, especially in emerging economies. Since April 2010, the prices for metallurgical coal have been established on a quarterly basis for most volumes sold in the transoceanic market, while some players are selling it on a quarterly basis, and a minority continues to use annual pricing. The prices of thermal coal are set in spot negotiations and/or through annual contracts.

Nickel

Nickel is traded on the London Metal Exchange (LME), and it is mainly used to produce stainless steel. Most nickel products are priced using a discount or a premium to the LME price, depending on the technical and physical characteristics of the product. Nickel demand is heavily affected by production of stainless steel, which represented 66% of consumption in 2015 and 68% in 2014.

Vale has short-term fixed-volume contracts with customers for the majority of our expected annual nickel sales. These contracts, together with its sales of nickel products to be used for other purposes (high nickel alloys, steel alloys, forging, plating, batteries and special applications), provide stable demand for a significant portion of its annual production. In 2015, 52% of the refined nickel sales were destined to applications outside the stainless steel industry. This, when compared to the industry average of 34%

Table of Contents

among nickel producers, results in its sales volume being more stable. As a result of its focus on such higher-value segments, the average realized nickel prices have typically exceeded LME prices.

Table of Contents
Copper
Copper prices are determined on the basis of: (a) copper prices in final markets, such as the LME and the NYMEX; and (b) for intermediate products, such as copper concentrate (which represents the majority of the company s sales) and copper anode, treatment and refining rates are negotiated with each client. According to a pricing system known as MAMA (month after month of arrival), sale prices of copper concentrate and anode are provisionally set at the time of shipment, and the final prices are based on the LME at a future time, typically three months after shipment of product.
Fertilizers
The demand for fertilizers depends on the same market fundamentals as those for global demand for minerals, metals and energy. The rapid <i>per capita</i> growth of emerging economies causes changes in food, marked by an increase in the consumption of proteins, which contributes to higher demand for fertilizers. Demand is also driven by biofuels that are emerging as an alternative source of energy to reduce global dependency on greenhouse gases [sic], and essential raw materials for the production of biofuels sugar cane, corn and palm which are intensively used in fertilizers.
Fertilizers are sold in the market mainly on a cash basis using international reference prices, although certain large importers, such as China and India, often sign annual contracts. Seasonality is an important factor for determining price throughout the year, given that agricultural production in each region depends on the climactic conditions of the harvest.
Exchange Rates
The impact of exchange rate variations on Vale s operational results are described in item 10.2 (b) of this Reference Form.
b. Variations in revenues attributable to changes in prices, exchange rates, inflation, changes in volumes and the introduction of new products and services
Exchange rate variations
Most of the Company s revenues are expressed in US dollars, whereas most of our costs of goods sold are expressed in other currencies, mainly the Brazilian <i>real</i> (49% on December 31, 2015), the US dollar (34% on December 31, 2015), Canadian dollars (13% on December, 31, 2015), Indonesian rupees, Australian dollars, the euro, and others. As a result, changes in exchange rates affect the Company s operating margin.

Most of our long-term debt is expressed currencies other than the Brazilian real, mainly in American dollars (approximately 81% on December 31, 2015). Due to the fact that Vale s functional currency is the Brazilian *real*, changes in the value of the US dollar against the Brazilian *real* result in exchange gains or losses on our net liabilities, which, in turn, affect our financial results.

On December 31, 2015 our debt expressed in *reais* was R\$ 20.508 billion. Since most of our revenue is in US dollars, Vale uses derivatives to convert our debt from Brazilian *reais* to US dollars. As a consequence of the depreciation of the Brazilian *real* against the US dollar in the

Table of Contents

fiscal year ending on December 31, 2015 and inflation, the net exchange rate and monetary variation caused a negative impact on net profits of R\$ 25.5 billion. The net result of the currency and interest rate swaps, structured mainly to convert the debt expressed in Brazilian *reais* into US dollars to protect our cash flow from currency price volatility, produced a negative

Table of Contents

accounting effect of R\$ 4.6 billion in the fiscal year ending on December 31, 2015, of which R\$ 1.0 billion generated a negative impact on the cash flow.

Regarding years 2013 and 2014, most part of the company revenue was in US dollars, while most part of the costs of assets sold was in other currencies, especially real (54% in 2013 and 54% in 2014, respectively), additional to US dollar (27% in 2013 and 30% in 2014, respectively), Canadian dollar (14% in 2013 and 13% in 2014 respectively), Indonesian Rupiah, Australian dollars, Euro, and others. Exchange rates, thus, affected the Company s operating margin.

Most of the long term debt in years 2013 and 2014 was in currencies other than real, especially US dollar (70% in 2013 and 70% in 2014). As Vale s functional currency is real, changes to the US dollar against the real, caused variations to net liabilities, resulting in exchange gains or losses in the financial income.

On December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2014, the debt in reais was R\$ 18,807 billion and R\$ 20.952, respectively. As most of the revenue was in US dollars, Vale used derivatives to translate the debt in real into US dollars. As consequence of real depreciation against the US dollar in 2013 and in 2014 (additional to inflation, this year), net exchange and monetary variation caused negative impact on net profit of R\$ 6,484 billion in 2013 and R\$ 5,445 billion in 2014. The net result of the currency and interest rate swaps, structured mainly to convert the debt expressed in Brazilian *reais* into US dollars to protect our cash flow from currency price volatility, produced a negative accounting effect of R\$ 1,872 billion in 2013, where R\$ 362 million generated positive cash effect, and (ii) negative accounting effect of R\$ 1.8 billion in 2014, where R\$ 11 million generated negative cash effect.

a) Variations in the inflation rates

The company s revenues are not significantly affected by inflation rates, and main variations in operational income is attributable to price changes, volume changes and the introduction of new products and services

For more information on changes to its products prices and services, as well as changes to the volumes sold, see item 10.2(a)(ii) of this Reference Form.

c. Impact of inflation, price variations of main inputs and products, exchange rate and interest rates on operating results and the issuer s financial result, when relevant

For comments on the inflationary impact, price variations in the main products and exchange rates, see item 10.2 (b) of this Reference Form.

Table of Contents

Interest Rate

Vale exposed to the risk of interest rates for loans and financings. Debt tied to interest rates in US\$ consists mainly of loans, including export prepayment operations, loans from commercial banks and multilateral organizations. In general, these debts are indexed to the LIBOR (London Interbank Offered Rate). The floating rate of its debt expressed in Brazilian *reais* includes debentures, loans obtained from the BNDES, fixed assets and financing for the purchase of services in the Brazilian market. The interest on these obligations is tied primarily to the CDI (Interbank Deposit Certificate), the reference interest rate on the Brazilian interbank market and the TJLP (long-term interest rate). We use swap operations to convert most part of this debt into fixed rates in US dollars. On December 31, 2015, before swap operations, 18% of the

Table of Contents

debt was in reais and the remaining 82% was in other currencies. On December 31, 2014, 22% of the debt was on reais and the remaining 78% was in other currencies. On December 31, 2013, 22% of the debt was in reais and the remaining 78% was in other currencies.

On December 31, 2015, around 42.4% of the debt was tied to the floating interest rate, compared to approximately 45.2% on December 31, 2014 and 45.3% on December 31, 2013.

Fuel and gas costs are an important component of our production cost and represented 6.3% of our total cost of products sold in the fiscal year ending on December 31, 2015; 7.33% in 2014; and 7.4% in 2013. Decreases in the price of oil and gas impact positively all the Company businesses according to local prices used by each unit. Electricity costs were 2.8% the fiscal year ending on December 31, 2015; 2.4% in 2014, and 2.7% in 2013.

The non-current assets impairment registered in 2015 resulted in a loss of about R\$ 34,553 billion. This amount refers to the Company s assets recoverable value, based on the premise that includes the discounted cash flow and the commodities price.

Vale does not provide guidance regarding its future financial performance. Vale seeks to disclose as much information as possible about its views on the different markets where it operates, its strategic guidelines and implementation in order to give the capital markets a sound basis for their expectations regarding the Company s performance in the medium and long term.

Table of Contents
10.3 Events with relevant effects, occurred and expected, in the financial statements
a. Introduction or disposal of operating segment
In September 2013, the Company made public its intention to give away the control over its subsidiary VLI S.A. (VLI), responsible for operations in the General Load sector. Consequently, the General Load sector in 2013 was treated as under a phasing out process and assets and liabilities were reclassified as non-current assets/liabilities held for sale.
As part of the closure process, Vale signed share transfer agreements of (a) 20% of the VLI s capital to Mitsui & Co. Ltd. (Mitsui) for the amount of R\$ 1.5 billion, (b) 15.9% to Accrued Severance Pay FGTS (Portuguese acronym) (FGTS) in the amount of R\$ 1.2 billion, which equity is managed by Caixa Econômica Federal. This transaction was concluded on April 14, 2014. Revenue from the sale to FI-FGTS and R\$ 800 million of proceedings of the sale to Mitsui will be comprised by capital investment on VLI, who issued new shares to Mitsui and FI-FGTS. Amount invested in VLI will be used to fund part of the VLI s investment plan. The remaining revenue of the transaction, R\$ 709 million, was paid directly to Vale by Mitsui.
Additionally, on December 23, 2013, Vale entered into an agreement with a fund managed by Brookfield Asset Management (Brookfield) to sell 26.5% of its share in VLI, for R\$ 2 billion. This transaction was concluded on August 19, 2014, and now Vale holds 37.6% of the capital of VLI. Vale, Mitsui, FI-FGTS and Brookfield jointly control VLI, under a shareholders—agreement.
b. Incorporation, acquisition or divestiture of stakeholder positions
Main Acquisitions
2015
There were no shareholding acquisitions in fiscal year 2015 that have caused or may cause relevant effects on the financial statements.
2014

There were no shareholding acquisitions in fiscal year 2014 that have caused or may cause relevant effects on the financial statements.

Share increase in the Belvedere coal project.

On January 31, 2013, Vale Belvedere Pty Ltd. signed agreements to complete a purchase option exercised in June 2010 by means of which it purchased 24.5% additional share in the Belvedere da Aquila Resources Limited (Aquila) coal project. The purchase price of A\$ 150 million (equivalent

Table of Contents

to US\$ 156 million using the AUD/USD tax rate of 1.04) is equivalent to the market price, using as base date the date when the option is exercised (June 2010), as determined by an independent assessor hired by Vale and Aquila at the time. The outcome of this transaction is that Vale Belvedere Pty Ltd. increased is Belvedere share to 100%. Additionally, Vale Belvedere Pty Ltd. agrees to pay the amount of A\$ 20 million (equivalent to US\$ 21 million) to settle lawsuits and disputes associated with Belvedere and Aquila.

Overall, Vale paid US\$ 338 million for 100% of Belvedere. Belvedere is a future growth option. It is a project for an underground coal mine located in the southern Bowen Basin, near the city

Table of Contents

of Moura, in the state of Queensland, Australia. The project was approved by Vale s Administrative Council. According to preliminary estimates, Belvedere can potentially reach a production capacity of 7.0 million metric tons per year of mainly metallurgic coal.

Increased share in the Capim Branco I and II hydroelectric power plants

On March 12, 2013, Vale, together with Cemiq Capim Branco Energia S.A., purchased for R\$ 223 million, exercising its preemptive right set forth in the consortium agreement of Consórcio Capim Branco, 12.47% interest held by Suzano Papel e Celulose S.A. and Suzano Holding S.A. in the Capim Branco I and II in Minas Gerais. Consequently, Vale now holds 60.89% interest in Capim Branco I and II, being able to generate 1,524 gigawatts hour per year by the end of the concession in 2036. The purchase of the additional shares of Capim Branco I and II hydroelectric power plants adds value to the extent that there is immediate reduction in the cost of energy for our operations; and it is a low risk investment with a clearly higher return to Vale capital expenditure.

Main investment disposals and asset sales

In accordance with the strategy, the Company continues to reduce our stakes in non-core assets. The following is a summary of the main disposals and sales of assets during the three-year period under discussion.

2015

Sale of part of the gold stream produced as byproduct

On March 2, 2015, Vale has entered into an agreement with Silver Wheaton for the sale of other 25% of the gold stream payable produced as byproduct in Salobo copper mine during the mine use life. In this transaction, Vale and Silver Wheaton have signed an amendment to the original agreement for gold purchase, dated February 2013, to include the purchase of this additional gold stream with 25% of payable gold.

Vale has received an initial payment in cash in the amount of US\$ 900 million and will receive future cash payments for each ounce (oz) of gold delivered to Silver Wheaton based on the smaller amount between US\$ 400 per ounce and market price. This amount will be updated annually at 1% starting in 2017.

Vale may also receive an additional payment in cash, depending on its decision to expand the copper processing capacity at Salobo for additional 28 Mtpa before 2036. Salobo I and Salobo II, that are in ramp-up process, will have total processing capacity of 24 Mtpa run-of-mine (ROM). This additional amount may vary between US\$ 88 million and US\$ 720 million, depending on the time and size of the expansion.

Table	of	Contents

Restructuring power generation assets

On February 27, 2015, Vale has concluded the transaction that began in 2013 with Cemig GT to create the *joint venture* Aliança Geração de Energia S.A., and on March 31, 2015, Vale concluded the transaction with CEMIG GT for the sale of 49% of Vale s share in Norte Energia. As these transactions are related to the agreement with Cemig GT announced in December 2013, see item Sale of power generation assets below related to fiscal year 2013, for additional information.

Sale of Share at MBR

On July 29, 2015, Vale has executed the Purchase and Sale Agreement of Shares and other issues, with the Fundo de Investimento em Participações Multisetorial Plus II (FIP Plus II), which shares are held on this date by Banco Bradesco BBI S.A. (BBI), under which it promised to sell the class A preferred shares representing 36.4% of the capital stock of Minerações Brasileiras Reunidas S.A. MBR (MBR), for R\$ 4 billion, subject to suspension conditions usually applicable, including prior approval of the operation by CADE. On September 1, 2015, the sale was concluded for the agreed price, which was transferred in one single payment on that date, and Vale now holds directly and indirectly 61.9% of the capital stock and 98.3% of the common capital of MBR. Vale also holds a purchase option of shares issued by MBR currently held by BBI, successor of FIP Plus II.

Sale of maritime transportation assets and cooperation agreement

On December 8, 2015, Vale and a consortium led by ICBC Financial Leasing, a wholly-owned subsidiary controlled by Industrial and Commercial Bank of China, concluded a purchase and sale transaction of four VLOCs with 400,000 tons. The transaction totaled US\$ 423 million.

2014

Sale of share in Vale Moçambique and the Logistic Corridor of Nacala

On December 9, 2014, Vale announced the execution of an investment agreement with Mitsui, under which Mitsui will hold 15% of Vale s 95% share in Vale Moçambique (<u>VM</u>) (concessionaire of the Moatize mine) and 50% of Vale s share in the Logistic Corridor of Nac<u>ala (</u>CLN).

Table of Contents

The transaction is comprised by the capital increase and partial assignment of the debt held by VM and CLN with Vale, and Vale now indirectly holds 81% of the Moatize mine and approximately 35% of the Nacala Logistics Corridor, under joint control with Mitsui.

The amount attributed to Mitsui s 15% share in VM is US\$ 450 million. Moreover, Mitsui may pay US\$ 30 million more related to an *earn out* clause. On the other hand, *claw-back* clause of up to US\$ 120 million is included in these US\$ 450 million. The earn outs amounts as well as the claw-back amounts are conditioned to mass recovery goals of the refining plant and production goals agreed upon between Vale and Matsui. As result of these clauses, the final amount attributed to the 15% share in VM may vary between US\$ 330 million and US\$ 480 million. Additionally, Mitsui will be responsible for funding, *pro-rata* to its 15% share, its portion in the investment needed to complete the expansion of the Moatize mine, which amount is estimated at US\$ 188 million.

Upon concluding the transaction, Mitsui will contribute with US\$ 313 million to fund the CLN and, therefore, will hold 50% of some financial instruments, having joint control with Vale over the CLN. Until conclusion of this transaction and delivery by Mitsui, Vale will continue to fund the CLN with loans by Vale itself.

The conclusion of this transaction is subject to some precedent conditions and is expected to occur in 2016.

The transaction supports Vale s strategy to operate world-class assets, improves its balance sheet and reduces its need of future investment, while minimizing the exposure to project risk.

Sale of shares in Vale Florestar

On June 4, 2014, Vale announced the execution with a subsidiary of Suzano Papel e Celulose S.A. (<u>Suzano Subsidiary</u>), company that produces eucalyptus cellulose, an agreement to sell its entire share in Vale Florestar Fundo de Investimento em Participações (<u>FIP Vale Florestar</u>), in the amount of R\$ 205 million. The usual precedent conditions and approvals, including by

Tah	le	οf	Con	tents
1 au	ı	OI.	-con	wiito

Conselho Administrativo de Defesa Econômica CADE were complied with and, thus, the transaction was effective on August 8, 2014.

BNDESPar, Petros and FUNCEF, other shareholders of FIP Vale Florestar also sold their respective shares to the Suzano Subsidiary that now holds 100% of the FIP Florestar.

Sale of maritime transport assets and cooperation agreement

In September 2014, Vale Internacional and China Ocean Shipping Company (<u>Cosc</u>o) entered into a strategic cooperation agreement for maritime iron ore transportation. Under this agreement, four 400 thousand tons VLOCs (Very Large Ore Carriers), currently owned and operated by Vale Internacional, would be sold to Cosco. The sale was concluded on June 2, 2015, and the transaction summed US\$ 445 million.

In September 2014, Vale Internacional and China Merchants Group entered into an agreement that covers a strategic cooperation between both maritime iron ore transportation companies. Under this agreement, the companies agreed upon a long term freight agreement to carry iron ore from Brazil to China, using VLOCs to be built and operated by China Merchants Group (Cooperation Agreement).

On May 19, 2015, Vale International and China Merchants Energy Shipping Co., Ltd. (<u>CME</u>S), subsidiary of China Merchants Group, entered into an amendment to the Cooperation Agreement, under which Vale would sell 4 VLOCs, with 400 thousand tons, to CMES, which are currently owned and operated by Vale for CMES. This sale was concluded on September 29, 2015, and the transaction totaled US\$ 448 million.

2013

Sales of part of the gold flow produced as a by product

On February 28, 2013, Vale Switzerland, after approval by the Administrative Council, finalized the contract with Silver Wheaton Corp. (SLW), a Canadian company with share traded in the Toronto Stock Exchange and in the New York Stock Exchange, for the sale of 70% of payable gold flow produced as a byproduct in certain nickel mines in Sudbury, for a period of 20 years, and with Silver Wheaton (Caymans) Ltd. for the sale of 25% of the payable gold flow produced as byproduct in the Salobo copper mine, for the extent of the mine s longevity.

Table of Contents

In addition to the initial payment of US\$ 1.9 billion in cash, Vale Switzerland received 10 million in SLW warrants with a strike price of US\$ 65 and a 10-year term. Additionally, Vale will receive cash payments in the future for each ounce (oz.) of gold delivered to SLW as per the agreement, at the lowest amount between US\$ 400 per ounce (plus a 1% annual adjustments starting in 2017 in the case of Salobo) and the market price.

This transaction frees a considerable amount contained in Vale s world class basic metals assets, to the extent that it attributes to the payable gold produced in Salobo de amount of US\$ 5.32 billion, in addition to the payments of US\$ 400 per ounce delivered, given that there will be no additional cost to extract the gold contained in the condensed copper produced at Salobo. The execution of Vale strategic plan reinforces the Company s confidence in the high potential of its world-class basic metals and the belief that they will general significant value to shareholders across the cycles.

Sale of shareholding position in VLI

For information on this operation, see item 10.3 (a) above.

Table of Contents

Norsk Hydro total share sale

On November 14, 2013, Vale announced that it would sell all of its 22% Norsk Hydro ASA (Hydro) shares, held by its subsidiary Vale Austria Holding GmbH (currently named Vale International Holdings GmbH) (Vale Austria), for the price of NOK 25.00 per share, resulting in the amount of NOK 11.196 billion, equivalent to US\$ 1.822 billion (equivalent to R\$ 4.243 billion). Vale Austria held these shares since 2011, when it restructured its aluminum assets portfolio. After this transaction is concluded, Vale Austria will no longer hold Hydro shares.

Under the same operation, on November 9, 2014, Vale Áustria sold to Norsk Hydro ASA (Hydro) shares issued by Mineração Paragominas S.A. representing 20% of the total capital, resulting from the exercise of a *put option*. The remaining 20% of shares held by Vale Áustria in the capital stock of Mineração Paragominas S.A. is also subject to a *put option* of Vale, which may be exercised starting on February 28, 2016.

Tres Valles share sales

On December 12, 2013, Vale concluded the Sociedade Contractual Minera Tres Valles (Tres Valles) sale for US\$ 25 million (equivalent to R\$ 54 million) to Inversiones Porto San Giorgio S.A. (ISG), a company controlled by the Chilean group Vecchiola S.A.

Tres Valles is a company that produces cathode copper in the Coquimbo region in Chile. The transaction included Vale total share of 90% in Tres Valles equity and also certain mining right held by Vale in the Coquimbo region. Tres Valles has underground and opencast mines and it has the production capacity of 18,500 metric tons of cathode copper.

Energy production assets sale

On December 19, 2013, Vale signed agreements with CEMIG Geração e Transmissão S.A. (CEMIG GT) for the sale of 49% of its shares of 9% of Norte Energia S.A. (Norte Energia) s equity, a company in charge of the construction, operation, and exploration of the Belo Monte hydroelectric power plant (Belo Monte), for R\$ 310 million, and for the creation of a joint venture of energy generation assets.

Table of Contents

For this goal, two distinct vehicles were created to host energy generation projects and assets. In the first, Aliança Norte Energia Participações S.A., (<u>Aliança Norte</u>), comprised by Vale and CEMIG GT, respectively with 51% and 49% of the total capital. The incorporation of this company was done in two steps: initially, Vale transferred its 9% share in Norte Energia to its subsidiary Aliança Norte and, after that, CEMIG GT acquired 49% of the shares of this company for approximately R\$ 310 million. This transaction was concluded on March 31, 2015, and Vale reduced its share in Norte Energia to 4.59%, although remaining entitled to acquire 9% of the power produced by the plant, under the terms in the power purchase long-term agreement executed in 2012.

The second vehicle, Aliança Geração de Energia S.A. (<u>Aliança Geração de Energia</u>), formed by Vale and CEMIG GT, respectively with 55% and 45% of the total equity, by means of contribution of its share in the following energy generation assets: Porto Estrela, Igarapava, Funil, Capim Branco I and II, Aimorés and Cadonga. These power plants hold 1.158 MW of attributed installed capacity and an average of 652 MW of secured energy. Electric energy supply to Vale operations will be secured by long-term contracts with Aliança Geração de Energia S.A., in order to maintain the same amount of energy currently supplied to our operations.

Tah	le	οf	Con	tents
1 au	ı	OI.	-con	wiito

The transaction related to Aliança Geração de Energia was concluded on February 27, 2015, and such conclusion had no impact on financial disbursements or financial investment and was executed by delivering the above-mentioned assets.

Purified phosphoric acid sales

On December 20, 2013, Vale signed a contract with Israel Chemicals Ltd. (ICL) for the sale of all of its shares, corresponding to 44.25%, of Fosbrasil s equity, a company that produces purified phosphoric acid, located in Cajati, in the state of São Paulo, for US\$ 52 million.

On December 18, 2014, upon compliance with preconditions, including approval by the Conselho Administrativo de Defesa Econômica (CADE), this transaction was concluded by Vale that no longer holds any shares in Fosbrasil.

Log-in share sale

On December 26, 2013, Vale, according to the rules in CVM s Instruction No. 168, from December 23, 1991, as edited, held an auction to dispose of 28,737, 367 of common shares it owned from Log-in Logística Intermodal S.A. (Log-in), a company listed in the BM&FBOVESPA (ticker symbol: LOGN3), corresponding to all of the ordinary shares issued by Log-in and held by Vale, for the price of R\$ 8.11 per share, totaling R\$ 233 million. The completion of this transaction took place on January 2, 2014.

c. Unusual events or operations

2015

Impairment of assets

According to the accounting policy described in note 31(1) in the 2015 Financial Statement of the Company, the Company identified evidence of impairment in some intangible and fixed investments. *Impairment* adjustments and reversions are presented below:

Impairment (reversion)

Table of Contents

Segments by asset class	Assets or cash generating units	Impairment	2015	2014	2013
Fixed asset	9	•			
Iron ore	Center-Western System		2,023		
Iron ore	Simandou Project			2.794	
Iron ore	Others		133		
Pellets	North System (stopped				
	operations)		213		
Pellets	Pelleting assets				427
Pellets	Others		12		
Other products and ferrous					
services	Others		80		
Coal	Mozambique	6,751	9,302		
Coal	Australia	286	2,146	787	
Nickel	Newfoundland (VNL)	9,188	13,394		
Nickel	New Caledonia (VNC)	14,545	5,660	628	
Nickel	Onça Puma	9,102	(976)	(4.295)	
Nickel	Others	,	102		
Copper	Others		138		
Potash	Potash Rio Colorado	78	2,123		4.963
Phosphate	Phosphate	15,002	(1,515)	1.576	
Other	Others	,	22		
			32,857	1.490	5.390
Intangible asset			·		
Coal	Australia		314		
Phosphate	Phosphate			1.223	
Impairment of noncurrent	•				
assets			33.171	2,713	5,390
				ĺ	ĺ
Agreements					
Iron ore	Center-Western System		1,382		
Impairment of noncurrent assets and agreement	<u>-</u>		34.553	2.713	5,390
Investments on affiliates and joint ventures					
Pellets	Samarco Mineração S.A.		510		
Copper	Teal Minerals Inc.		1,217		
Others	Vale Soluções em Energia				
	S.A.			71	
Impairment of investments on affiliates and join	t ventures		1.727	71	

Table of Contents

2014

Impairment of assets

The Company identified evidences of *impairment* in some investments, intangible and fixed assets. Main impairment adjustments are presented below:

		Net Accounting		Impairment
Assets	Cash Generating Unit	Value	Recoverable Value	Adjustment
Fixed Assets				
Coal	Australia assets (i)	1,228	441	787
Fertilizers	Assets in Brazil	10,769	9,193	1,576
Nickel	Operations at Onça Puma	2.245	6.540	(4.295)
Nickel	Operations in New			
	Caledonia	15,071	14,443	628
Iron ore	VBG	2,794		2,794
		32,107	30,617	1,490
Intangible				
Fertilizers	Assets in Brazil	1,223		1,223
		1,223		1,223
		33,330	30,617	2,713
Investment				
Energy	Vale Soluções em Energia			
	S.A.	71		71
		71		71

2013

Impairment of assets

The Company identified evidences of *impairment* in some investments, intangible and fixed assets. Main impairment adjustments are presented below:

Table of Contents

		Net Accounting	Recoverable	Impairment
Assets	Cash Generating Unit	Value	Value	Adjustment
Fertilizantes	PRC	6,489	1,526	4,963
Pelotas	Pellets Assets	527	100	427
		7,016	1,626	5,390

T	ab	le	of	Con	tents

REFIS

On November 2013, Vale decided to join the Tax Recovery Program REFIS for the payment of amounts relative to taxation on the profit from its subsidiaries and affiliated companies abroad from 2003 to 2012. By joining the REFIS, Vale generated a substation reduction in the amounts under dispute and is in consonance with the Company s goals to eliminate uncertainties to focus on its business, in order to keep potential benefits from legal appeals under the tax regime for its foreign subsidiaries.

Among the options offered by REFIS legislation, Vale chose to make early payment of debts relative to 2003, 2004, and 2006, and to pay principal tranches, fines and interest for the remaining years of 2005, and 2007 through 2012.

The payments face value resulting from Vale's decision reached the amount of R\$ 22.2 billion. Considering early payments and payment of the first tranche, in 2013 R\$ 6.0 billion were paid; in 2014, R\$ 1.2 billion were paid; and in 2015, R\$ 1.3 billion were paid. The remaining amount in 2015, that is, R\$ 17.3 billion, will be paid in 154 monthly tranches. For further details, see Explanatory Note No. 19 of the accounting statements relative to the fiscal year ended on December 31, 2013.

Table of Contents
10.4 Significant changes in Accounting Practices - Corrections and Remarks in auditor s opinion.
a. Significant changes in accounting practices
2015
There were no significant changes in the accounting practices in the fiscal year that ended on December 31, 2015.
2014
In 2014 there was no significant change in any pronouncement. All pronouncements issued in 2014 aim adoption of new accounting guidelines starting in 2016, and among which IFRS 15 - <i>Revenue from Contracts with Customers</i> is the most significant one. IFRS 15 covers recognition of revenue from contracts with clients (except contracts within the scope of leasing agreements, insurance agreements, and financial instruments), and replaces the current pronouncements IAS 18 Revenue, IAS 11 <i>Construction contracts</i> , being adopted when effective, provided that there is deployment in Brazil CPC and approval by CVM and CFC.
(I) Pronouncements, interpretations, or updates issued by the IASB to be adopted after December 31, 2014
• Sale or Contribution of Assets between an Investor and its Associate or Joint Venture

Equity Method in Separate Financial Statements

IFRS 15 Revenue from Contracts with Customers.

December 31, 2014

Accounting for Acquisitions of Interests in Joint Operations

Clarification of Acceptable Methods of Depreciation and Amortization

(II) Pronouncements, interpretation, guidelines and revision approved by the CVM to be adopted after

• CVM Deliberation 733, dated December 23, 2014 Approves the Technical Pronouncements Revision Document no. 07 related to Pronouncements CPC 18, CPC 35 and CPC 37 issued by the Accounting Pronouncements Committee

Table of Contents

(III) Pronouncements, interpretations and guidelines issued and/or updated by CPC, adopted during year 2014

- ICPC 09 (R2) Individual Financial Statements, Separate Statements, Consolidated Statements and Application of the Equity Method
- ICPC 19 Taxes
- ICPC 20 Defined Limit of Benefit Asset, Minimum Funding Requirements and Interaction
- OCPC 07 Evidence in the Disclosure of Financial-Accounting Reports for General Purpose
- OCPC 8 TECHNICAL GUIDELINES OCPC 08

2013

Starting on January 1st, 2013, Vale adopted the revise pronouncement IAS 19 Benefits to employees. The Company applied the pronouncement retroactively according to the transition provided in the pronouncement, which (i) eliminated the hallway method, (ii) rationalized the changes between assets and liabilities in the plans, acknowledging in the results of the fiscal year the cost of services, interest rate expenses on obligations, interest rate of revenues on the plan s assets, and (iii) acknowledged in the comprehensive results new measurements of actuarial gains and losses, return of plan s assets (net revenue of interest rates on assets) and changes in the ceiling effect of onerous assets and liabilities.

For further details, see explanatory note no. 6 of the accounting statements relative to the fiscal year ended on December 31, 2013.

(I) Pronouncements, interpretations issued by the IASB or updates to subsequently adopt the December 31, 2013

- Annual Improvements to IFRSs: 2010-2012 Cycle
- Defined Benefit Plans: Employee Contributions
- Hedge Accounting and amendments to IFRS 9, IFRS 7 and IAS 39
- *Novation of Derivatives and Continuation of Hedge Accounting*
- IFRIC 21 Levies

	•	Recoverable A	Amount Discle	osures for N	on-Financi	ial Assets
--	---	---------------	---------------	--------------	------------	------------

All of the above pronouncements, interpretations, and updates have correlated CPCs that were issued and approved, or that are in the process of being issued and approved by the CVM, with the same adoption dates.

(II) Pronouncements, interpretation, guidelines and revision approved by the CVM to be adopted after December 31, 2012

There are new pronouncements, interpretations and changes to the IFRS adopted in 2013, as follows. The retrospective impact of the new rules is limited to effects on the revision of CPC 33 (R1) Benefits to employees. For further details, see explanatory note no. 6 of the accounting statements relative to the fiscal year ended on December 31, 2013.

b. Significant effects of changes in accounting practices

There were no significant changes in the accounting practices adopted by the Company between fiscal years that ended on December 31, 2013, 2014, and 2015.

Table of Contents

	a			43	104	
C.	Corrections	and re	marks i	n the	andifor	s oninion

There were no corrections on the opinions drafted by our independent auditors relating to the financial statements for 2013, 2014, and 2015.

There are no paragraphs to emphasize in the financial statements for fiscal years ending on December 31, 2014 and 2015.

As result of a difference between practices adopted in Brazil and those defined through the International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS), the opinion by independent auditors on the accounting statements ending on December 31, 2013 present the emphasis paragraph as follows:

As described in Note 2, individual financial statements were prepared in accordance with accounting practices adopted in Brazil. In the case of Vale S.A., these practices differ from IFRS, applicable to separate accounting statements, only related to the evaluation of investments in subsidiaries, affiliates, and joint ventures, by the equity method, as in accordance to IFRS, the fair value method should be adopted.

As discussed on Note 6 of the 2013 accounting statements, the Company changed how it pays employee benefits in 2013. Our opinion is not an exception in regards to this topic.

In 2014, KPMG Auditores Independentes assumed as responsible for the independent audit of Company Financial Statements, and issued the report of the financial statements for 2014. Amounts corresponding to the year ended on December 31, 2013, presented for purposes of comparison were previously audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers Auditores Independentes, who issued the report dated February 26, 2014, that present no changes.

Ta	ble	of	Con	tents

10.5 Critical Accounting Policies

Preparation of financial statements requires the use of certain critical accounting estimates and also the exercise of judgment by the Company s Management in the process of applying the Group s accounting policies.

These estimates are based on the best information available in each fiscal year. Changes in facts and circumstances may lead to revision of estimates, therefore the actual future results may differ from estimates.

Significant estimates and assumptions used by the Company s Management in preparing these financial statements are thus presented:

a) Mineral reserves and useful life of the mines

The estimates of proven and probable reserves are regularly evaluated and updated. These reserves are determined using generally accepted geological estimates. The calculation of reserves requires that the Company assume positions of future conditions that are uncertain, including future ore prices, exchange and inflation rates, mining technology, availability of permits and production costs. Changes in some of these assumptions could have a significant impact on proven reserves and probable reserves recorded.

The estimated volume of mineral reserves is based on calculation of the portion of the mines that is depleted, and the estimated useful life of the mine is a major factor in quantifying the provision of environmental rehabilitation of mines during the write-down of fixed assets. Any change in the estimates of the volume of mine reserves and the useful life of the assets linked to them may have a significant impact on charges for depreciation, depletion and amortization, which are recognized in the financial statements as cost of goods sold. Changes in the estimated useful life of the mines could cause a significant impact on estimates of the provision for environmental costs of recovery after write-down of fixed assets and impairment analysis.

b) Disposal of Assets

The Company recognizes an obligation according to the fair value for disposal of assets during the period in which they occur, pursuant to the Accounting Statement s Explanatory Note 3(b). The Company believes the accounting estimates related to recovery of degraded areas and closure costs of a mine are a critical accounting policy because they involve significant values for the provision and it is expected to involve several assumptions, such as interest rates, inflation,

Table of Contents

the useful life of the asset considering the current stage of depletion and the projected dates of depletion of each mine. These estimates are reviewed each year.

c) Taxation on profit

The Company recognizes the effect of the deferred tax from the tax loss and/or temporary differences in its consolidated financial statements and the financial statements of the controlling company.

Determination of the provision for income taxes or deferred income tax, assets and liabilities, and any provision for losses on tax credits requires estimates from Management. For each future tax credit, the Company assesses the likelihood of part or the entire asset not being recovered. The provision for devaluation depends on the assessment by the Company, the probability of generating taxable income in the future, on production and sales planning, commodity prices, operating costs, restructuring plans, the costs of recovering degraded areas, and planned capital costs.

Tabl	e of	Contents
1 au	U OI	Contents

d) Provision for legal suits

Provisions for legal suits are only recorded when the possibility of loss is considered to be probable by the legal director and their legal advisers.

Legal suit provisions are recorded when the value of losses can be reasonably estimated. By their nature, legal suits will be resolved when one or more future events occur or fail to occur. The occurrence of such events does not typically depend on the Company s activities, which makes it difficult to give precise estimates about the date on which such events are recorded. Assessing such liabilities, particularly in the uncertain legal environment in Brazil, and well as in other jurisdictions, involves exercising significant estimates and judgments from management regarding the results of future events.

e) Employee Retirement Benefits

The amounts reported in this section depend on a number of factors that are determined based on actuarial calculations that use several assumptions to determine costs and liabilities, among other things. One of the assumptions used in determining the amounts to be recorded in the financial statements is the discount and corrective interest rate. Any changes in these assumptions will affect the accounting records.

At the end of each year, the Company, together with external actuaries, reviews which assumptions should be used for the following year. These assumptions are used to update and discount the fair value of assets and liabilities, costs and expenses, and to determine future values of estimated cash outflows that are necessary to pay pension plan obligations.

f) Reduction in Recoverable Value of Assets

Every year the Company tests the recoverability of its tangible and intangible assets separated by cash-generation unity, usually employing discounted cash flow criteria, which depends on several estimates that are influenced by market conditions prevailing at the time that such recoverability is tested.

Table of Contents

g) Fair Value of Derivatives and Other Financial Instruments

The fair value of financial instruments not traded in an active market is determined by using valuation techniques. The Company uses its judgment to choose the various methods and to define assumptions, which are mainly based on market conditions existing at balance sheet date

The analysis of the impact if actual results vary from Management s estimates is presented in 2015 accounting statement s explanatory note 24 on the topic of Sensitivity Analysis.

n 1	1		0			
Tal	٦le	• U.	† ('	on	ten	ŧ٩

10.6 Significant items Not included in the Financial Stateme	s Not Included in the Financial Statemen
--	--

a. Assets and liabilities held by the Company, directly or indirectly, that do not appear on its balance sheet (off-balance sheet items)

i. Operational leases, assets and liabilities

Pelleting operations

Vale maintains an operational lease with its entities under common control, Companhia Coreano-Brasileira de Pelotização, Companhia Hispano-Brasileira de Pelotização, Companhia Ítalo-Brasileira de Pelotização, and Companhia Nipo-Brasileira de Pelotização, under which Vale leases its pelleting plants. These operational leases are in effect for 3 and 10 years, and are renewable.

Future minimum payment obligations for operational leases and procurement are as follows, on December 31, 2015:

in R\$ million

2016	187
-04-	407
2017	196
2018	206
2019	177
2020 and forward	186
2020 and forward	100
All minimum payments required	952

ii. offset portfolio of receivables where the entity maintains risks and responsibilities, including respective liabilities

There is no offset portfolio of receivables where Vale maintains risks and responsibilities, that are not included in the Company balance sheet.

iii.	Agreement fo	or future	sale and	purchase of	products or	services
111.	1151 controlle	, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	baic aiia	pui ciiuse oi	pi oddetto oi	DCI VICCO

There are no agreements for future sale and purchase of products or services that are not included in the Company balance sheet.

Table of Contents

iv. Unfinished construction agreeme	ents
-------------------------------------	------

There are no unfinished construction agreements that are not included in the Company balance sheet.

v. agreements for futures from financing

(I) Base Metal

Nickel Operations Project New Caledonia

Regarding the construction and installment of our nickel processing plant in New Caledonia, the subsidiary Vale Canada Limited (Vale Canada) offered guarantees for the financing agreements, structured under the terms of the French tax law to BNP Paribas (agent acting on behalf of the tax benefit of certain investors). The guarantees refer to payments related to financing due by the subsidiary Vale Nouvelle-Calédonie SAS (VNC) to an entity controlled by French investors on some assets of the operation. Consistent with the commitments assumed by VNC on the financing, the assets were substantially concluded on December 31, 2012. Vale Canada has also agreed that the assets will be operated for five years. Vale Canada believes that the probability of the guarantees being claimed is remote.

(II) Participating Debentures

Due to the 1997 privatization, the Company issued debentures for existing shareholder, including the Brazilian Government. The terms of the debentures were established to make sure that the pre-privatization shareholders had a share in potential future benefits, which were to be obtained by means of the exploration of certain mineral resources.

A total 388,559,056 debentures were issued in the nominal amount of R\$ 0.01 (one cent of a real), whose value will be adjusted based on the Market Price General Index (IGP-M), as defined in the Debenture Deed. On December 31,2015, December 31, 2014, and December 31, 2013, the debentures fair value was R\$ 1,336 billion, R\$ 4,584 billion, and R\$ 4,159 billion, respectively

The owners of these debentures have the right to receive premiums payable every six months, equivalent to a percentage of the net revenues from certain mineral resources, as per the Debenture Deed. In 2015 and 2014, the Company paid to debenture holders compensation in the total amount of R\$ 207 million and R\$ 285 million, respectively.

(III) Guarantee granted to affiliates

On December 31, 2015, the total of guarantees granted by Vale (limited to its indirect or direct share) for Norte Energia S.A. and Companhia Siderúrgica do Pecém S.A. summed R\$ 1.1 billion and R\$ 4.6 billion, respectively. With the conclusion of the operation of power generation assets (explanation note 5 in the Financial Statement), the guarantee of Norte Energia S.A. was shared with Cemig GT.

Table of Contents

b. other items not evident in the financial statements
(II). Concessions and Sub-Concession Agreements
Railway transportation companies
The Company entered into concession contracts with the Federal Government, through the Ministry of Transport, for exploration and development of public railway transport of cargo and passengers, under supervision of the National Agency of Ground Transportation (ANTT). The accounting records of concessions are classified as intangible assets.
Termination of the concession for the railways Vitória-Minas and Carajás are June 2027. The contractual bases and expiration dates of the railway transport did not change during the period.
The concession will be terminated in case of end of the contractual period, expropriation, forfeiture, termination of period, cancellation, bankruptcy or closure of the Concessionary.
379

Table of Contents

Port Terminals

Vale owns specialized port terminals, as follows:

Vale S.A.	Vitória/ES	2039
Vale S.A.	Vitória/ES	2039
Vale S.A.	São Luís/MA	2039
Vale S.A.	Mangaratiba/RJ	2039
CPBS - Companhia Portuária Baía de		
Sepetiba	Itaguaí/RJ	2021
MCR - Mineração Corumbaense Reunida		
S.A.	Corumbá/MS	2039
	Vale S.A. Vale S.A. CPBS - Companhia Portuária Baía de Sepetiba MCR - Mineração Corumbaense Reunida	Vale S.A. Vitória/ES Vale S.A. São Luís/MA Vale S.A. Mangaratiba/RJ CPBS - Companhia Portuária Baía de Sepetiba Itaguaí/RJ MCR - Mineração Corumbaense Reunida

Except for Terminal de Exportação de Minério granted to CPBS Companhia Portuária Baía de Sepetiba, the Adherence Agreements applicable to other port terminals were adapted to the new port law, Law 12.815/2013, and new Agreements were executed in September 2014, for 25 years, renewable for equal periods.

There are no other items not shown in Vale s financial statements other than those previously reported.

Table of Contents
10.7 - Comments on items not shown in the Financial Statements
(a) how these items alter or may alter revenue, expenses, operating income, financial expenses or other items in the issuer financial statements
Vale s Directors do not expect relevant effects on the operations described in item 10.8 of this Reference Form and not recorded in the financial statements that would change the revenues, expenses, operating result, financial expenses or other items in Vale s financial information.
(b) nature and purpose of the operation
For a description of the nature and purpose of each operation, see item 10.8 in this Reference Form.
(c) nature and amount of obligations and rights in favor of the issuer arising out of the operation
For a description of the amount of the obligations assumed and rights generated on behalf of Vale as a result of operations not shown in our financial statements, please refer to item 10.6 of this Reference Form.
381

Tah	le	οf	Con	tents
1 au	ı	OI.	\sim	wiito

10.10	Company	Business	Plan
-------	---------	-----------------	------

- a. Investments, including: (i) quantitative and qualitative description of ongoing and planned investments; (ii) sources of investment financing; and (iii) relevant ongoing and planned divestments.
- b. Already-disclosed acquisition of plant, equipment, patents or other assets that should materially affect Vale s production capacity
- c. New products and services, including: (i) description of ongoing research already published; (ii) the total amounts spent by the issuer on research to develop new products or services; (iii) ongoing projects already announced; and (iv) the total amounts spent by the issuer to develop new products or services

NOTE: To convert the amounts of investments made, the average exchange rates in the periods were used for conversion.

In 2015, Vale investments (execution of projects and maintenance of operations) totaled R\$ 28,047 billion. The investment for project execution was R\$ 18,524 billion while for maintenance of existing operations was R\$ 9,.524 billion. Investments on corporate social responsibility totaled R\$ 2,673 million, comprised by R\$ 1,764 million in environmental protection and R\$ 909 million in social projects.

In 2014, Vale investments (projects execution and operation maintenance) were R\$ 28.207 billion. A total of R\$ 18.649 billion were invested on project executions and R\$ 9.558 billion on the maintenance of existing operations. Investments on corporate social responsibility summed R\$ 2.693 billion, where R\$ 2.050 billion are destined to environmental protection, and R\$ 0.643 billion to social projects. Investments on acquisitions totaled R\$ 162 million in 2014.

Starting in 2013, expenses with Research and Development (R&D) were not included in the investments amount, which, in turn, include project execution and maintenance of existing operations, and are based in disbursements.

In 2013, Vale s investments (project execution and maintenance of existing operations) cost R\$ 30.750 billion. R\$ 20.845 billion were invested in project execution and R\$ 9.906 billion in the maintenance of existing operations. Investments in corporate social responsibility reached R\$ 2.776 billion, R\$ 2.194 billion of which were dedicated to environmental protection and R\$ 572 million to social projects.

Acquisition investments summed up to R\$ 579 million in 2013. The main acquisitions are discussed in item 10.3 of this Reference Form.

In 2015, Vale started operation in three projects: Conceição Itabiritos II, Cauê Itabiritos and Nacala Port.

Table of Contents

In 2014, Vale started operations in eight projects: the 5th line in Brucutu, Tubarão VIII, Teluk Rubiah, Salobo II, Serra Leste, Vargem Grande, Long Harbour, and Nacala.

In 2013, Vale started operations in five projects: (i) Conceição Itabiritos, an iron ore processing plant in Minas Gerais; (ii) Planta 2, a dry processing plant previously known as *Adicional* 40 Mtpa, in Pará; (iii) CLN 150, a logistic corridor in Brazil s Northern System (including Pier IV with its first cradle in Ponta Madeira), (iv) Long Harbour, a nickel and copper hydrometallurgical refinery in Canada, and (v) Totten, nickel and copper mine in Canada.

In 2012, Vale started operations in two projects (a) Salobo I, a copper and gold operation in Pará, and (b) Lubambe, a copper operation located in Africa s copper belt, in Zambia.

Table of Contents

2016 Capital Budget

In December 2015, the Board of Directors approved the budget for investments in 2016, totaling US\$ 6,167 billion (equivalent to R\$ 23,434 billion) including disbursements of US\$ 3,172 billion (equivalent to R\$ 12,053 billion) for projects and US\$ 2,995 billion (equivalent to R\$ 11,381 billion) dedicated to maintenance of existing operations and replacement projects.

In February 2016, the Board of Directors approved a contingency plan for 2016 in order to reduce, among others, investments to US\$ 5,561 billion (equivalent to R\$ 21,132 billion), comprised by US\$ 3,130 billion (equivalent to R\$ 11,894 billion) for project execution and US\$ 2,431 billion (equivalent to R\$ 9,238 billion) to support existing operations and replacement projects.

Our main iron ore growth initiatives respond for 72% of the US\$ 3,172 billion budget for project development in 2015. These programs include: (i) expansion of our integrated iron ore operations in Carajás (US\$ 2,293 billion) through S11D and CLN S11D projects.

The following table shows the estimated allocation of investments for the maintenance of existing operations:

INVESTMENTS TO MAINTAIN OPERATIONS 2016 ALLOCATION BY BUSINESS AREA

US\$ million	Operations	Piles and Rejection Barriers	Health and Safety	Corporate Social Responsibility	Administrative and Others	Total
Ferrous materials	677	137	179	41	75	1,110
Base metals	747	36	112	404	13	1,313
Coal	106	3	9	13	7	138
Fertilizers	154	18	16	38	15	241
Others	1			0	1	2
Total	1,685	194	317	496	112	2,803

⁽¹⁾ Includes the Clean era project.

The following table shows the main projects under development by Vale and/or by companies in the group:

Table of Contents

	Estimated	Investment Made		Expected Investment			
Project	Start-up Date	2013	2014	R\$ millions 2015	2016	Total	Status(1)
IRON ORE Project CLN S11 D Increase logistic capacity of Northern System to support mine S11D, including duplicating approximately 570 km of railroad, building 101 km of a new railroad branch, purchase of cars and locomotives and onshore and offshore expansion at the Ponta da Madeira Maritime terminal Increase nominal logistic capacity on the Carajás Railroad to approximately 230 Mtpa.	1S14 a 2S18	1,504	3,671	3,883	5,214	22,973	Civil works for the foundations to grow the port underway placement of beams on the North <i>off shore</i> area (99% concluded). Duplication of the railway achieved 41% physical advance. Railway branch achieved 81% of physical advance 57% physical advance
Carajás Serra Sul S11D Development of mine and processing plant. Location in the southern mountains of Carajás, Pará. Estimated nominal capacity of 90 Mtpa.	2S16	1,767	291	6,056	3,498	17,810	Delivery of mine and plan electrical centers underway. Transmission line connecting Carajás to Canãa is powered. 80% physical advance.
		COAL	VIIIVIING AIN	D LOGISTIC	3		
Moatize II	2S15	827	1,342	1,863	399	5,340	Electromechanic assembly achieved 99% physical advance. Commissioning of belts began. Tests in CHPP lines began 99% physical advance.
CSP(2) Development of a steel sheet plant in partnership with Dongkuk e Posco, located in Ceará. Vale holds	2S15	816	428		714	3,101	Assembly of metallic structures achieved 97% physical advance.

50% of the joint venture.	Civil works achieved
	99% physical advance

Table of Contents

Estimated nominal capacity of 3.0 Mtpa	97% physical advance.
(1) Expected investment is related to Vale s stake in the project.	
(2) Status on December 31, 2015	
10.11 - Other factors with relevant influence on the operating performance that have not been identithis section.	fied or commented on other items in
There are no facts occurred in the last three fiscal years with relevant influence on the operational perform commented in other items in this section.	ance other than those identified and
386	

Table of Contents	
11.1 Identification	of forecasts
	nantitative projections about its future financial performance (earnings guidance), as provided in Article 20 of Securities and sion (CVM) Instruction #. 480, from December 7, 2009, as amended.
a.	Object of projections
Not applicable.	
b.	Term under consideration and the validity of forecasts
Not applicable.	
c. administration	Premises of projections, with an indication of those which can be influenced by the of the Company
Not applicable.	
d.	Values of indicators that are the object of projections for the last 3 fiscal years
Not applicable.	
11.2 Follow-up and	d changes to disclosed projections:
a. being repeated	identify which are being replaced by new projections included in the form and which ones are in the form

Edgar Filing: Vale S.A Form 6-K
Not applicable.
b. regarding projection periods already elapsed, compare the data projected with the effective performance of the indicators, indicating clearly the reasons that led to deviations in the projections
Not applicable.
c. regarding projections for periods still ongoing, to make known if projections are still valid on the date of submission of the form, and, when applicable, explain why they have been abandoned or replaced
Not applicable.
12.1 - Description of Administrative Structure
a. Attributions of each body and committee, pointing out whether they have their own regulations.
Board of Directors:
The Board of Directors of Vale is comprised of 11 members and their respective deputies, with unified term of two years, and subject to reelection. Under Vale By-Laws, the Chairman of the Board of Directors and Managing Director positions cannot be held by one single individual. Also under the terms of the Bylaws, the Board of Directors has the powers contemplated in law:
I. Electing, evaluating, and removing Vale s Executive Directors, and determining their attributions
II. Distributing the compensation set by the general meeting among its members and the Executive Board;

Approving policies of selection, evaluation, development, and compensation of the members of the IV.

Attributing to an Executive Director the role of Investors Relations;

III.

Evan	141770	Board	1.
EXEC	mve	DOALG	L

Table of Contents

V. companies;	Setting the general direction on the businesses of Vale, its fully-owned subsidiaries and controlled
VI.	Approving Vale s general Human Resource policies proposed by the Executive Board;
VII. Board;	Approving strategic guidelines and the strategic plan of Vale proposed annually by the Executive
VIII.	Approving Vale s annual and multi-annual budgets proposed by the Executive Board;
IX. reports from	Monitoring and evaluating the financial and economic performance of Vale, being entitled to request the Executive Board with specific performance indicators;
X. exceed the l	Approving investment and/or development opportunities proposed by the Executive Board that imits established for the Executive Board as defined by the Board of Directors;
XI. share purcha	Issuing opinions on merger, split-off, or incorporation decisions of which Vale is a party, as well as asses, proposed by the Executive Board;
consortia, for rights of pre-	In accordance with the corporate purpose of Vale, making decisions on the setting-up of companies or on into a different type of company, direct or indirect participation or withdrawal from other companies, bundations, and other organizations through exercise of withdrawal rights, exercise or non-exercise of ference in subscription and acquisition, directly or indirectly, of corporate equity or of any other form of nor withdrawal as prescribed by law, including, but not limited to, merger, split-off, and incorporation of n which it participates;
XIII.	Approving the corporate risk and financial policies of Vale, proposed by the Executive Board;
XIV. proposed by	Approving the issuance of simple debentures, not convertible into shares and without collateral, the Executive Board;

XV. Approving the accounts of the Executive Board, presented in the Annual Management Report, as well as Financial Statements, for later submission for appreciation by the annual general shareholders meeting;
XVI. Approving profit application in the fiscal year, distribution of dividends, and, when necessary, capital budget, proposed by the Executive Board, for later submission for appreciation by the annual general shareholders meeting;
XVII. Appointing and removing external auditors of Vale, by recommendation of the Supervisory Board, according to item (ii) in § 1 in Article 39;
XVIII. Appointing and removing the person responsible for internal auditing and for the Ombudsman of the company, who shall report directly to the Board of Directors;
XIX. Approving policies and the annual internal audit plan of Vale, as well as to acknowledge the respective reports and determine the adoption of any necessary measures;
XX. supervising the management by Executive Directors and examining at any time the books and papers of Vale, requesting information on contracts executed or to be executed, and any other acts, in order to ensure the financial integrity of Vale;
XXI. Approving alterations in corporate governance rules, including without limitation the accountability and information disclosure processes;
XXII. Approving policies on employee conduct based on the ethical and moral standards described in the Code of Ethics and Conduct of Vale, to be complied with by all managers and employees at Vale, its subsidiaries and controlled companies;
XXIII. Approving policies to avoid conflicts of interest between Vale and its shareholders or managers, as well as on the adoption of measures considered necessary in the event such conflicts arise;
XXIV. Approving Vale s policies of institutional responsibility, especially those related to: the environment, work health and safety, and the social responsibility of Vale, proposed by the Executive Board;

Establishing criteria for the Executive Board for purchase of, financed sale of, or placing liens on, fixed assets and for the constitution of encumbrances, compliant with the terms in article 7 in the By-Laws;

XXVI. Approving the provision of guarantees in general for the Executive Board to obtain loans, and finance, and other contracts,

XXVII. Establishing criteria for the Executive Board for the execution of commitments, non-exercise of rights and transactions of any nature, except waiver of preemptive rights in the subscription and purchase of corporate shares, under the terms in item XII in article 14 in the By-Laws;

XXVIII. Approving any matters, which are not under responsibility of the Executive Board, under the terms in the By-Laws, as well as matters which limitations are outside of the scope of the Executive Board, as provided for in article 14 in the By-Laws;

Table of Contents

XXIX. Approving any reformulations, alterations or amendments to shareholder agreements or consortia contracts or agreements among shareholders or among consortia parties of companies in which the company participates and, moreover, signing of new agreements and/or consortia contracts that address matters of this nature;

Authorizing the negotiation, signing, or alteration of contracts of any kind or value between Vale and (i) its shareholders, either directly or through intermediary companies, (ii) companies that directly or indirectly participate, in the capital of a controlling shareholder or which are controlled by or are under joint control of entities that participate in the capital of the controlling shareholder and/or (iii) companies in which the controlling shareholder of the Company participates, and the Board of Directors may establish delegations, with standards and procedures that meet the requirements and nature of operations, without prejudice of keeping the aforementioned group duly informed of all company transactions;

XXXI. Comment on any issue to be presented at the annual shareholders meeting;

XXXII. Authorizing the purchase of shares of their own issuance for maintenance in treasury, cancelation or subsequent sale;

XXXIII. Approving or delegating to the Executive Board recommendation of persons who should form part of the administrative, consulting, and financial bodies of those companies and organizations in which Vale participates, either directly or indirectly;

XXXIV. Approving recommendations submitted by the Supervisory Board of the Company in the exercise of its legal and statutory attributions.

The Company s Board of Directors has its own by-laws, which were approved by the Board of Directors on May 25, 2016.

Advisory Committees:

The Board of Directors counts on the assistance, on a permanent basis of five (5) technical and advisory committees, as follows: Executive Development Committee; Strategic Committee; Finance Committee; Accounting Committee, and Governance and Sustainability Committee.

The mission of these committees is to assist the Board of Directors, including the monitoring of Vale activities, in order to provide more effective and higher quality solutions.

The Company s Advisory Committee has its own by-laws, which were approved by the Board of Directors on November 27, 2014. The Strategic Committee Bylaws was amended on June 25, 2015. **Executive Development Committee:** Under terms of Article 21 of the Bylaws, the Executive Development Committee shall be responsible for: I - Issuing reports on the human resources general policies of the Company submitted by the Executive Board to the Board of Directors; II - Analyzing and issuing reports to the Board of Directors on the proposal of distribution of the annual global amount reserved for compensation of managers and appropriateness of the remuneration model for members of the Executive Board; III - Submitting and keeping current the methodology of performance evaluation of the members of the Executive Board; and Helping the Board of Directors to define the goals to evaluate the performance of the Executive Board. V - Accompanying the development of the succession plan of the Executive Officers. **Strategic Committee:** Under terms of article 22 of the Bylaws, the Strategic Committee is responsible for: I Recommending Vale s strategic guidelines and strategic plan; II Recommending investment and/or divestment opportunities;

Recommending operations relating to merger, split-off, and incorporation of Vale and its subsidiaries.

Table of Contents **Finance Committee:** Under terms of article 23 of the Bylaws, the Financial Committee is responsible for: I - Evaluating the risks and internal financial control systems of the Company; II Evaluating the compatibility between the shareholders remuneration level and the parameters established in the annual budget and financial planning, as well as their consistency with the general policy on dividends and the capital structure of the company; Evaluating Vale s annual budget and the annual investment plan; IV - Evaluating Vale s annual fund raising and risk exposure limit plan; V- Evaluating Vale s risk management process; Accompanying the financial performance of capital projects and current budget. **Accounting Committee:** Under terms of article 24 of the Bylaws, the Comptroller s Committee is responsible for: I - Issuing reports on policies and the Company s annual auditing plan submitted by the employee responsible for internal auditing, and on its execution; II - Tracking the results of the Company s internal auditing, and identifying, prioritizing, and submitting to the Board of Directors actions to be

monitored by the Executive Board;

Evaluating, upon request by the Board of Directors, the internal audit procedures and performance, regarding best practices;
IV Supporting the Board of Directors, upon request, in the process to choose and evaluate the annual performance of those responsible for Vale s internal audit
Governance and Sustainability Committee:
Under terms of article 25 of the Bylaws, the Committee on Governance and sustainability is responsible for:
I - Evaluating the efficiency of Vale s governance practices and the workings of the Board of Directors, and submitting improvements;
II - Submitting improvements to the Code of Ethics and Behavior and the management system in order to avoid conflicts of interest between the company and its shareholders or managers;
III Evaluating transactions with related parties submitted to analysis of the Board of Directors, as well as issuing reports on potential conflicts of interest involving related parties
IV Evaluating the proposal to change Policies that are not attributed to other committees, the By-Laws and Internal Regulations of Vale s Advisory Committees;
V Analyzing and proposing improvements to Vale s Sustainability Report;
VI Evaluating Vale s performance regarding sustainability aspects and proposing improvements based on a long term strategic view;
VII Supporting the Board of Directors, upon request, in the process to choose and evaluate the annual performance of those responsible for Vale s Ombudsman;
VIII - Supporting the Board of Directors, upon request, in the process to evaluate the Ombudsman while dealing with issues related to Ombudsman and violations against the Code of Ethics and Behavior.

Executive Board:

The Executive Board of Vale is comprised by, at least, 6, and at the most, 11 members, with a term of two years, subject to reelection. Under Vale By-Laws, the Chairman of the Board of Directors and Managing Director positions cannot be held by one single individual. Also, under terms of the Bylaws, the Executive Board has the following responsibilities, in addition to those contemplated in law:

- I. Approving the creation and elimination of Executive Departments subordinated to each Executive Director;
- II. Preparing and submitting to the Board of Directors the company s general policies on human resources, and executing the approved policies;

Table of Contents

III. Complying and requiring compliance with the general direction of the company businesses as established by the Board of Directors;
IV. Preparing and submitting, annually, to the Board of Directors, Vale s strategic guidelines and the strategic plan, and executing the approved strategic plan;
V. Preparing and submitting Vale s annual and multi-annual budgets to the Board of Directors, and executing the approved budgets;
VI. Planning and conducting Vale s operations and reporting Vale s economic and financial performance to the Board of Directors, and producing reports with specific performance indicators;
VII. identifying, evaluating and submitting investment and/or divestiture opportunities to the Board of Directors which exceed the limits of the Executive Board as defined by the Board of Directors, and executing the approved investments and/or divestitures;
VIII. Identifying, evaluating and submitting to the Board of Directors operations relating to merger, split-off, incorporation in which Vale is a party, as well as share purchases, and conducting the approved mergers, split-offs, incorporations and purchases;
IX. Preparing and submitting Vale s finance policies to the Board of Directors, and executing the approved policies;
X. Submitting to the Board of Directors the issuance of simple debentures, not convertible into shares and without collateral;
XI. Defining and submitting to the Board of Directors, upon preparation, the company s balance sheet, profit distribution, dividend distribution, and, if needed, capital budget;

XII. Preparing, at each fiscal year, the Annual Management Report and Financial Statements to be submitted to the Board of Directors and, later, to the general shareholders meeting
XIII. Adhering to and encouraging adhesion to Vale s Code of Ethics and Conduct, established by the Board of Directors;
XIV. Preparing and submitting to the Board of Directors Vale s policies on corporate responsibility, such as the environment, health, safety and social responsibility, and implementing the approved policies;
XV. Authorizing the purchase of, sale of and placing of liens on fixed and non-fixed assets including securities, contracting of services, whether the company is the provider or receiver of such services, being empowered to establish standards and delegate powers, all in accordance with the criteria and standards established by the Board of Directors;
Authorizing the signing of agreements, contracts and settlements that constitute liabilities, obligations or commitments on the Company, being empowered to establish standards and delegate powers, all in accordance with the criteria and standards established by the Board of Directors;
XVII. Proposing to the Board of Directors any reformulations, alterations, or amendments of shareholders agreements or of agreements among the shareholders of companies in which Vale participates, as well as suggesting the signing of new agreements and consortia contracts that address subjects of this nature;
XVIII. Authorizing the opening and closing of branch offices, subsidiary branch offices, depots, agencies, warehouses, representative office or any other type of establishment in this country [Brazil] or abroad;
XIX. Authorizing the signing of commitments, waiver of rights, and transactions of any nature, except in regard to the waiver of preemptory rights in subscription and purchase, under the terms in item XII above in matters related to the Board of Directors, and may establish rules and delegate powers, all within the limits of the Executive Board as established by the Board of Directors;
XX. Informing the Board of Directors of the limits of the individual responsibility of Executive Directors, within the limits of the Executive Board as established by the Board of Directors;

Establishing, based on the limitations determined by the Board of Directors to the Executive Board, the

XXI.

limitations along the hierarchic line of Vale s management organization

Laying down voting guidelines to be followed at the General Assemblies or their equivalent by its representatives in the companies, foundations and other organizations in which Vale participates, directly or indirectly, respecting the investment opportunities the , and guidelines approved by the Board of Directors, as well as the respective budget and all within its respective limits in regard to, among other things, indebtedness, the sale of or placing of liens on assets, the waiver of rights, and the increase or reduction of corporate equity;

XXIII. Complying and requiring compliance with the general direction of the company as determined by the Board of Directors; and

XXIV. Appointing, for approval by the Board of Directors, the people to be members at management, Consulting, and fiscal boards of the companies and entities where Vale holds interest, including indirect interest

Table of Contents

The Company s Executive Board has its own by-laws, which were approved on December 9, 2013.

Non-Statutory Committees:

The Executive Board shall have, for advice on a permanent basis, 3 (three) technical and advisory committees, denominated as follows: Disclosure Committee, Risk Management Executive Committee, and Ethics Committee.

The Ethics Committee and the Risk Management Executive Committee have their own by-laws. The Disclosure Committee, on the other hand, does not. Its activities are described in the Disclosure Policy approved by the Board of Directors on May 24, 2012.

Disclosure Committee:

The primary attributes of the Disclosure Committee are (a) the evaluation of the relevance of acts or events that have occurred and are related to the business of Vale; and (b) the oversight of the disclosure of information to the capital markets pursuant to the terms of the Disclosure Policy. For more information on the Disclosure Committee see item 21.1.

Risk Management Executive Committee:

The primary responsibilities of the Risk Management Executive Committee are issuing an opinion on Vale s principles and instruments of risk management; and periodic reporting to the Executive Board on (a) the primary risks to which Vale is exposed (by type of risk and/or business) and the impact of these risks on the asset portfolio and cash flow; (b) how the risks are being monitored and managed, and (c) the impact on the profile of risk of the asset portfolio and on cash flow resulting from the inclusion of new investments and/or projects in the business plan, and, if necessary, what strategies of risk mitigation are recommended. The Risk Management Executive Committee reports regularly to the Executive Board, and the latter is responsible for evaluating and approving strategies for risk mitigation over the long term, as recommended by the Risk Management Committee.

Ethics Committee

The main tasks of the Ethics Committee are: (i) to promote Company values through discussion, evaluation, and the proposal of actions related to: (a) non-compliance with the applicable law; (b) breach of the Code of Ethics and Conduct; and (c) unethical actions or actions that infringe the Company s internal regulations (standards, policies, codes); (ii) assist the Office of the Ombudsman in the establishment of performance indicators that will allow for the assessment Board s work; (iii) assist the Office of the Ombudsman is making use of a database containing the history of previous cases, classified as per type of complaint, severity and scope; (iv) discuss issues related to different action plans to address complaints of a similar nature,

seeking, whenever possible, take consistent actions based on the catalogued history; (v) discuss training programs/actions on ethics and conduct to promote awareness of all Company employees, including outsourced employees; (vi) assist in the clarification of search situations that are not in the Code of Ethics and Conduct, when the Ombudsman Board fails to solve them. It should be noted that cases involving the Ombudsman Officer must be sent to the Board of Directors by any member of the Committee. The Ethics Committee reports regularly to Vale s Ombudsman Office, which is responsible for the continuous improvement of ethical awareness of values such as Do the right thing in all company units worldwide, and it answers to Vale s Board of Directors. The Ethics Committee is made up of the Audit, Human Resources, Ombudsman and General Consultant Officers.

Supervisory Board:

The Supervisory Board is comprised by at least 3 and at the most 5 permanent members and the same number of deputy members. The Supervisory Board shall be responsible for exercising the functions attributed to it by the applicable prevailing legislation, in Vale By-Laws, and as regulated by its own Internal Rules to be approved by its members.

The Supervisory Board, additionally to attributions set forth in Law 6404/76, is responsible to:

Table of Contents

I. Identify critical accounting aspects and analyze appropriate application of generally accepted accounting principles;
II - monitor the integrity of the financial statements, the annual report, the semestral and quarterly reports of the Company, reviewing financial content decisions in such documents and debating them with the Management and the External Auditor;
III - maintain the communication between the Supervisory Board and the External Audit, under applicable regulation (<i>Rule 10A-3</i> by SEC and PCAOB AS 16);
IV - comment on the equity and reasonability of operations to alter capital stock, issuance of debentures, or subscription bonus, investment plans or capital budgets, dividend distribution, transformation, incorporation, merger or split, responsibility of the Shareholders Meeting, as well as eventual conflicts of interest between the controlling shareholder and minor shareholders of the Company, related to such operations;
V - request to Company Management, books, documents, or information needed to perform its inspection activities;
VI - recommend to the Board of Directors the choice, compensation and removal of the Company s External Auditor;
VII - supervise and evaluate annually the works presented by the External Auditor and, if deemed to be necessary, recommend to the Management the eventual withholding of the compensation and/or removal of the External Auditor;
VIII - evaluate and monitor the independence and objectiveness of the External Auditor, debating the audit plan, including the nature of the work, the scope, the audit risks, its effectiveness in line with the applicable regulation, and disclosure obligations prior to the service provision;
IX - deliberate with the External Audit the acquisition of services unrelated to the audit of financial statements, being entitled to pre-approve a list of services that could be provided by the Auditor, to be periodically reviewed;
X - be aware of Internal Audit reports, by the External Auditor and the Controlling Committee, analyzing recommendations and opinions and inviting them to attend, if needed, meetings of the Supervisory Board;
XI - ensure coordination between the Internal Audit and the External Auditor, ensure that the Internal Audit has sufficient resources to perform its functions, review and monitor its effectiveness and that it is in an appropriate position within the Company functional structure;

393
XX engage in any other attributions or duties, competence of independent members of the Board, under the terms in the Trading Regulation, according to exemptions granted by the Hong Kong Stock Exchange (HKSE) to the Company.
XIX engage in the attributions applicable to its supervision position during Company liquidation, according to applicable laws; and
XVIII prepare the annual budget, including, especially, services provided by external assistants (lawyers, consultants, analysts, and others) fo purposes of helping it to achieve its purpose, being entitled to approve the payment to these assistants and any administrative expenses needed for performance of their functions, always compliant with the budget;
XVII - Evaluate the effectiveness of procedures adopted by the Company to receive, process and deal with claims related to accounting matters, internal accounting controls, and auditing matters, that should guarantee the confidentiality and unknown identity of the claimant, compliant with applicable laws;
XV - discuss with the External Auditor, Internal Audit, Controlling Committee, and the Financial Executive Directors, the result of the evaluation of the internal control systems as a whole, aiming its improvement and certifying that recommendations made and not questioned by Executive Directors will be deployed within the appropriate terms;
XIV - evaluate financial controls, internal controls and the Company risk management system, in order to ensure effectiveness and appropriateness as well as funds spent, qualification, and experience of the responsible parties and their training programs;
XIII. Mediate eventual disputes between Management and the External Auditor regarding the financial statements of the Company, and guarantee that the Board of Directors promptly receives relevant information on questions in the report on internal controls by the External Auditor;
XII - evaluate the report issued by the External Auditor, with material questions addressed to the Management, regarding accounting record financial statements, internal control systems applicable to Vale and its subsidiaries and affiliates, accompanied by the respective comments and responses by the Management;

Table	of	Contents

The Supervisory Committee has its own by-laws, whose review was approved on September 29, 2014.

Internal Audit:

The main role of Vale s Internal Audit area is to support the management and the Company as a whole is to improve its internal controls, in order to allow that eventual deficiencies found are solved effectively and timely. The main mechanism used for evaluation purposes by the Internal Audit are the goals and procedures established in the Annual Audit Plan (Plan), approved by the Board of Directors of Vale, evaluating compliance with it by other areas of Vale. The Plan, in turn, is the schedule of audit examinations, as well as budget and resources needed to perform such evaluation. It is based on a methodology focused on risk, including the history of works done, information included by the Management and the Board of Directors. The non-statutory director of Internal Audit should review and adjust the Plan, accordingly, in response to changes in Vale businesses and considering eventual risks, operations, systems, and controls. Any significant deviation from the approved Plan must be notified to the Board of Directors, by the Controlling Committee.

The Internal Audit area was created by the end of the 1960s and is currently directly subordinated to the Board of Directors, and the Controlling Committee, also subordinated to the Board of Directors, accompanies the performance of the Internal Audit.

The Internal Audit department has its own by-laws, which was approved on December 10, 2015 by the Board of Directors.

b. Date of formation of the Supervisory Board, if it is not permanent, and of the formation of the committees.

The Supervisory Board has been a permanently functioning body since September 25, 1997.

The five Advisory Committees were formed by the Board of Directors on December 19, 2001, and pursuant to resolutions of the Special Shareholders Meeting held on December 27, 2002, upon which date their existence became part of the Bylaws.

The Disclosure Committee and the Risk Management Committee were formed upon deliberations by the Board of Directors on June 19, 2002 and December 12, 2005, respectively, and the Ethics Committee was created in 2014.

c. Mechanisms for evaluating the performance of each body or committee as well as the performance of its members, with identification of method applied.

Pursuant to Chapter VI of the Internal Regulations of the Supervisory Board and provisions of the Sarbanes-Oxley Law, the Supervisory Board evaluates its own performance annually at the end of each audit cycle. The self-evaluation process considers the following: matters covered in monthly meetings, financial Statements, risk management, and internal controls, management and internal audit responsibility, relationship with external auditors, resources and special research, formation of the Supervisory Board, and training and professional development of members. Only the independent auditors of Vale shall have knowledge of the self-evaluation conducted by the members of the Supervisory Board.

As of December 31, 2015, Vale did not have in place mechanisms of formal evaluation of the performance of its Board of Directors, of its Supervisory Committees, or of their members.

On December 31, 2015, Vale did not have mechanisms to formally assess the Company s Executive Board. Members of the Executive Board, on the other hand, are assessed annually based on their performance and based on objective and measurable goals derived from strategic planning and from the annual budget approved by the Board of Directors. These goals are based on Vale s performance and performance indicators such as EBITDA and Free Cash Flow are used to measure them, in addition to general productivity and sustainability indicators. The budget and

394

Tah	le	οf	Con	tents
1 au	ı	OI.	\sim	wiito

performance management departments are in charge of monitoring the goals.	The final results must be formally validated by Vale	s Board of
Directors.		

d. On Executive Officers, their responsibilities and individual powers

Chief Executive Officer:

Under terms of article 33 of the Bylaws, the Chief Executive Officer has the following responsibilities:

- I. Presiding over meetings of the Executive Board;
- II. Exercising executive direction of the Company, with powers to coordinate and supervise the activities of the other Executive Officers, exerting his best efforts to ensure faithful compliance with the decisions and guidelines laid down by the Board of Directors and the General Meeting;
- III. Coordinating and supervising the activities of the business areas and units that are directly subordinated to him;
- IV. Selecting and submitting to the Board of Directors the names of candidates for Executive Officer posts to be elected by the Board of Directors, as well as to propose their respective removal;
- V. Coordinating and processing the decision-making of the Executive Board in order to prioritize consensual decision among its members. If consensus is not achieved, the Chief Executive Officer may (i) withdraw the subject in debate; (ii) articulate the position of the majority, including making use of the deciding vote or (iii) in the interest of the Company and through well-based reasoning, decide individually on matters of joint deliberation; in this case he must report to the Board of Directors on the use of this prerogative at the first meeting of the Board of Directors that occurs after the corresponding decision. Decisions related to annual and multi-annual budgets and the Strategic Plan and the Annual Report on Administration of Vale shall be taken by a majority of votes, when considering all of the Executive Officers, so long as the favorable vote of the Chief Executive Officer is among the m;
- VI. Indicating who among the Executive Officers shall replace an Executive Officer in case of a temporary impairment or absence;
- VII. Keeping the Board of Directors informed about the activities of Vale;

VIII. Preparing the annual report and drawing up the balance sheet together with the other Executive Officers.
Executive Officers:
Under terms of article 34 of the Bylaws, the Executive Officers have the following responsibilities:
I Performing the services for which they are responsible;
II Participating in meetings of the Executive Board, contributing to the definition of the policies to be followed by the company reporting on matters of the respective areas of responsibility;
III Complying with and ensuring compliance with the policy and general direction of the company s business established by the Board of Directors, each being responsible for his specific area of activities; and
IV Contracting the services of attorneys, consultants, analysts, and other resources necessary for performance of the functions of the Supervisory Board, within budget, as well as contracting experts under terms of article 163 §8 of Law 6,404/76.
In addition to this, under terms of article 28 of the Bylaws and within the limits established for each Executive Officer, decisions on matters affecting the specific area of responsibility of each one shall be made by him alone, so long as the matter does not affect the area of responsibility of another Executive Officer, or in conjunction with the Chief Executive Officer in matters or situations pre-established by the latter.
395

Tah	le	οf	Con	tents
1 au	ı	OI.	\sim	wiito

12	2.2	Descri	ntion	of th	ie rules.	policies	. and	practices	relating	to	General	Assemblies

a. Notification Periods

Vale customarily calls for the General Shareholders Meetings by sending out a notice of call for general meeting, at least (i) 30 days before the meeting, the first call, pursuant to Article 8 of CVM Instruction # 559, from March 27, 2015 and, (ii) 15 days prior to the meeting s date, second call, in accordance with the recommendations of the CVM and commitments assumed before the Hong Kong Stock Market.

In addition, pursuant to article 8, §2 of Vale s Bylaws, a holder of special class preferred shares (Golden Shares) shall be called formally by the company, by means of personal correspondence directed to his legal representative at least fifteen (15) days in advance, for the purpose of considering any matter subject to the right of veto specified in Article 7 of the Bylaws and in item 18 of this Reference Form.

b. Powers

Vale s General Shareholders Meeting has powers pursuant to Law 6.404/76, and the General Shareholders Meeting shall be responsible to:

- I amend the bylaws;
- II elect or dismiss, at any time, members of the Company Board of Directors and Supervisory Board, provided that the Board of Directors is entitled to elect and dismiss Company directors and determine their attributions;
- III annually receive accounts from manager and deliberate on financial Statements;
- IV global compensation for Company s managers and members of the Supervisory Board
- V authorize the issuance of debentures, provided that the Board of Directors may authorize the issuance of debentures in specific cases provided for in Law 6.404/76 and the Company Bylaws;
- VI suspend the exercise of shareholders rights;

VII deliberate on the valuation of assets provided by shareholders to comprise the capital stock;
VIII authorize the issuance of beneficiaries;
IX deliberate on the transformation, merger, incorporation and division of the company, its dissolution and liquidation, elect and dismiss liquidating agents and appreciate the accounts; and
X authorize managers to declare bankruptcy and composition with creditors.
c. Addresses (physical or electronic) at which documents relating to the General Meeting shall be available to shareholders for their review
At Vale s headquarters at Avenida das América no. 26, 700, Bloco 8, Loja 318, 3rd floor, Barra da Tijuca, city of Rio de Janeiro, State of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil and at the electronic addresses of Vale (www.vale.com) the Comissão de Valores Mobiliários - CVM (www.cvm.gov.br), BM&FBOVESPA Bolsa de Valores, Mercadorias e Futuros (www.bmfbovespa.com.br); the Securities and Exchange Commission (www.sec.gov) and the Hong Kong Stock Market (www.hkex.com.hk).
d. Identification and handling of conflicts of interests
According to Vale s Bylaws, the Board of Directors may set policies to avoid conflicts of interest between Vale and its shareholders or its managers, as well as on the adoption of provisions deemed necessary should conflicts of interest arise.
On December 19, 2013, the Board of Directors approved the Policy on Transactions with Related Parties, which sets forth the guidelines and principles to assure that the transfer, onerous or free of charge, of resources, services, or obligations engaging people and/or companies with whom Vale may contract under conditions which are not independent conditions as the ones that characterize transactions with third parties (Related Parties and Transactions with Related Parties, respectively), is conducted within Market standards, compliant with the best corporate governance practices, the appropriate transparency, prioritizing the best interests of Vale, avoiding abuses or misuse of company assets. This policy applies to Vale, its controlled companies, joint ventures and entities where Vale holds significant influence, Vale shareholders, managers, and controlling shareholders, as well as kin to Vale managers and controlling shareholders. For more information on the Policy on Transactions with Related Parties, see item 16.1 in this Reference Form.
396

Table of Contents

Under terms of the Bylaws and the Policy for Transactions with Related Parties, the Governance and Sustainability Committee may issue reports related to potential conflicts of interest between Vale and its shareholders or managers. The Committee may also evaluate the selection process and conditions of transactions to be considered by the Board of Directors.

Additionally, Vale shareholders or shareholders representatives at General Meetings should comply with the following procedure in case of conflict of interests:

- I. The shareholder or shareholder representative must immediately state its particular conflict interest. Should he failed to do so, another person may state such conflict;
- II. As soon as the conflict of interest is stated regarding a specific issue, the respective Vale s shareholder or shareholder representative will have access only to documents and information on the matter that is disclosed to the Market, under the terms in the effective legislation, and should be away, even physically, of the discussions at the General Meeting, compliant with his legal duties. The statement of conflict of interests, absence, and temporary reservation should be recorded in the minutes.

If requested by the President, the shareholders or shareholder representatives engaged in a situation of conflict of interests may partially attend the discussion, aiming to provide more information on the Transaction with Related Party object of the discussion. In this case, they should be removed at the final portion of the discussion.

e. Request for power-of-attorney by the directors to exercise voting rights

There are no rules, policies or practices for requesting powers-of-attorney by the directors to exercise voting rights in General Shareholders Meetings.

f. Necessary formalities to accept powers-of-attorney granted for shareholders, indicating whether Vale accepts powers from shareholders electronically

A shareholder who wishes to attend the General Meetings must provide valid photo identification (original or notarized copy) and proof of Vale share ownership issued by the bookkeeping financial institution or custody agent up to 4 (four) business days before the date of the Meeting.

Any shareholder may appoint a proxy, or more than one as the case may be, to attend meetings and vote in his name. If represented by proxy, the shareholder shall comply with the terms of Art. 126, Law No. 6,404/76, and must have been appointed by power-of-attorney no earlier than one year and qualify as a shareholder, Vale manager, attorney who is a Member of the Brazilian Bar Association, or be a financial institution, and it is the role of the investment fund manager to represent the c-owners. If the power-of-attorney is in a foreign language, it should be accompanied by corporate documents in the case of a legal entity, and of a letter of mandate duly translated into Portuguese, and notarized and with a consular stamp.

According to CVM s understanding, under the terms in Circular Order CVM/SEP 002/16, corporate shareholders may be represented at the shareholder assemblies by their legal representatives or by duly established attorneys, pursuant to the company s bylaws and to applicable rules in the Civil Code. The attorney must not necessarily be shareholder of manager of Vale or, be a lawyer. In case of power of attorney in foreign language, this should be accompanied by corporate documents, related to the corporation and the power of attorney duly translated into Portuguese by a sworn translator, consularized and notarized.

For the purposes of facilitating the Assemblies, shareholders represented by proxy may, at their exclusive discretion, deliver the documents within 72 (seventy two) hours prior to the Assemblies.

Vale does not accept powers-of-attorney granted electronically by shareholders.

The validity of the representation documents will be verified prior to the Meeting, thus it is requested that shareholders arrive at the Assemblies earlier to have enough time to verify said documents on time for the meeting.

397

g.	Maintenance of Internet forums and pages intended to receive and share shareholder comments
relating	to meetings.

Vale does not keep Internet forums and pages for shareholders to receive and share comments relating to meeting minutes. Despite the above, Vale makes available, following the management proposal related to General and Extraordinary Shareholders Meetings held on April 17, 2015, an email address (rio@vale.com) where shareholders can solve their questions and find additional clarification on matters included in the agenda.

h. Transmission of meetings by live video or audio.

Vale does not transmit meetings by live video or audio.

i. Mechanisms allowing for inclusion of shareholders proposals.

There are no mechanisms allowing for inclusion on the agenda of proposals formulated by shareholders, except for those mechanisms contemplated in applicable law.

398

Tabl	e of	Contents
1 au	U OI	Contents

12.3.	Board	of I	Director	s rules.	policies	and	practices
14.0.	Duaru	VI 1)II (((U)	o i uico.	DOHLICS	anu	DIACHCE

a. Number of meetings conducted in the past fiscal year, distinguishing between ordinary and extraordinary meetings.

The Board of Directors ordinarily holds meetings once a month, and special meetings whenever called by the Chairman or, in his absence, by the Vice-Chairman or by any other two (2) board members.

Meetings of the Board of Directors are held at the Company headquarters, and may exceptionally be held elsewhere, and attendance may be by means of teleconference, videoconference or other communication means that ensures effective participation and voting authenticity.

In the fiscal year ended on December 31, 2015, the Company held 12 ordinary meetings and three extraordinary meetings.

b. Shareholder provisions establishing voting restrictions on members of the Board of Directors

Vale does not have a shareholders—agreement. However, controlling shareholders of Valepar S.A. signed a Private Shareholders—Agreement of Valepar S.A. (Shareholders—Agreement) to be in effect for 20 years from May 1997, extendable for equal periods of ten years.

The Shareholders Agreement sets forth that the signatories agree upon guiding their representatives at General Meetings and Meetings of the Board of Directors of Vale to vote according to the decisions at Valepar Prior Meeting.

Except for qualified quorum mentioned below, at Prior Meetings matters should be decided by simple majority of votes of the attending Signatories.

According to the Shareholders Agreement, there should be approval by at least 75% of the holders of common shares for adoption of the following:

I. Changes to Vale By-Laws, except when required by law;

	crease of Vale s capital stock by the subscription of shares, creation of new stock class, changes to f existing stock or capital reduction;
III. Issu options or any other	te of Vale debentures, whether or not convertible into shares, subscription bonuses, stock purchase security;
IV. De	termination of the issuing price of new shares of Vale capital stocks or any other securities;
v. M	Ierger, division, or acquisition operations where Vale acts as party, as well as its transformation;
VI. Rec voluntary acts of fin	quest by Vale or respective suspension of liquidation, dissolution, bankruptcy, insolvency, or ancial composition;
VII. Appo	Dintment and removal of the Board of Directors and executive Directors of Vale;
VIII. Appoi	ntment and removal of the Chairman of the Board of Directors of Vale;
IX. Sal Vale to be kept in T	e or purchase by Vale of interest in any other company, as well as the purchase of shares issued by reasury;
X. Ir	nterest of Vale in holdings or consortium of any kind;
	399

	Execution of distribution, investment, sale, exporting, technology transfer, license of brand, patent concession and/or lease agreements where Vale acts as party;
XII. Aţ	oproval and change of Vale business plan;
	ermination of the compensation of members of the Board of Directors and Executive Directors, as ective attributions;
XIV. Attı	ribution of profit shares to Vale managers;
XV. C	hanges to Vale corporate purpose;
XVI. Dis payment of interes	stribution of dividends in amounts other than provided for in Vale By-Laws or non-distribution, and st on own capital;
XVII. Appo	pintment and removal of Vale s independent auditor;
	on of encumbrance or liens, including collateral, by Vale, to guarantee third-party obligations, s controlled or subsidiary companies;
	option of deliberation on any matter that, according to the law, entitles the shareholder to be removed efund of his shares;
	ppointment and removal by Vale Executive Directors of its representatives in controlled or associate ompanies where Vale holds the right to appoint the managers; and
XXI. Cha	ange to the maximum debt limit and respective debt/shareholders equity ratio, and others.

For further information, see item 15.5 in this Reference Form.

c. Rules on identifying and handling conflicts of interest

which sets forth the guidelines and principles to assure that the transfer, onerous or free of charge, of resources, services, or obligations engaging people and/or companies with whom Vale may contract under conditions which are not independent conditions as the ones that characterize transactions with third parties (Related Parties and Transactions with Related Parties, respectively), is conducted within Market standards, compliant with the best corporate governance practices, the appropriate transparency, prioritizing the best interests of Vale, avoiding abuses or misuse of company assets. This policy applies to Vale, its controlled companies, joint ventures and entities where Vale holds significant influence, Vale shareholders, managers, and controlling shareholders, as well as kin to vale managers and controlling shareholders. For more information on the Policy on Transactions with Related Parties, see item 16.1 in this Reference Form.

Thus, the corporate policy described below to identify and resolve conflicts of interest in meetings of the Board of Directors and the Executive Board, applying Brazilian laws.

- I. member of Vale Board of Directors or Executive Directors in conflict of interest must promptly state its particular conflict of interest. Should he failed to do so, another person may state such conflict;
- II. as soon as the conflict of interest is stated regarding a specific issue, the member of Vale Board of Directors or Executive Director of Vale will not receive documents or information on the matter and should be away, even physically, compliant with his legal duties. The statement of conflict of interests, absence, and temporary reservation should be recorded in the minutes.
- III. in case of Transactions with Related Parties including a signatory shareholder of Valepar Shareholders Agreement and, therefore, member of Vale s controlling body, the member(s) of the Board of Directors appointed by him

400

Table of Contents

should not receive documents or information on the matter and should be away, even physically, requesting registration in the specific minutes, of the reason for his removal. Such member(s) should return to deliberation to state his vote, compliant with the terms in Valepar Shareholders Agreement, and applicable legal terms;

IV. when requested by the Chairman of the Board of Directors or the President, as the case may be, members of the Board of Directors ort Executive Directors of Vale engaged in a conflict of interest may partially attend the discussion aiming to provide more information on the Transaction with Related Parties in question. In this case, they should be removed from the final portion of the discussion.

Any violation against the terms in the Policy will be deemed as a violation against the Code of Ethics and Conduct and will be subject to procedures and penalties set forth there in. Additionally, the violator will also be subject to punishments set forth in the law, additionally to be responsible for losses and damages caused to Vale or to third parties.

Furthermore, the Code of Ethics and Conduct provides that the members of the Board of Directors and the Advisory Committees, the Supervisory Board, Officers, employees and interns, and controlled companies (provided that they are subject to the laws of the local jurisdiction), are required to defend the interests of Vale in matters in which they are participating and avoid situations in which conflicts of interest with Vale may arise, and when that is not possible, to abstain from representing Vale in the matter in question, immediately disclosing the conflict to his immediate superior.

Violations of the Code of Ethics, rules, and disciplinary standards of Vale subject violators to disciplinary penalties, which may include warning (verbal or formal), suspension, and termination of employment. In applying disciplinary penalties, the nature and seriousness of the infraction shall be considered, noting Vale human resources rules and applicable law.

401

Т	ab	le	of	Cor	itents

12.4 Description of binding clause the for the resolution of conflicts the through arb	oitration
--	-----------

There are no binding clauses in the Bylaws for the resolution of conflicts by and between shareholders and Vale through arbitration.

12.5/6 Composition and professional experience of management and Board of Directors:

Name CPF or passport number	Date of Birth Occupation	Management body Elected position	Date of election Date of entry Number of	Term Elected by comptroller % member participation in
Other positions held at the company	Independent Member	Criteria applied to determine independence	Consecutive Terms	meetings after coming to position
Gerd Peter Poppinga	08/29/1959	Member of the Executive Board only	5/21/2015	5/26/2017
604.856.637-91	Geologist	Executive Director of Base Metals and Information Technology	5/21/2015	No
Executive Director of Base Metals and Information Technology of Vale (2011 to 2014), where he also served as Executive Coordinator for Special Projects (1999 to 2000).	NA	NA	3	NA
Luciano Siani Pires	02/10/1970	Member of the Executive Board and of the Disclosure and Risk Management Executive Committees	5/21/2015	5/26/2017
013.907.897-56	Mechanic Engineer	Executive Director of Finance and Investors Relations	5/21/2015	No
He was a permanent member of the Financial Committee (2012 to 2015). He is a permanent member of the Risk Management Committee (since 2012), and he is also a member of the Disclosure Committee of Vale (since 2012). He already acted as (i) Deputy Member of the Board of Directors (2005 to 2007); (ii) Global Director of Strategic Planning (2008 to 2009 and 2011); and (iii) Global Director of Human Resources (2009 to 2011); and (iv) Executive Director of Finance, Supply, Shared Services, and Investors Relations (2012 to 2013).	NA	NA	3	NA
Vania Lucia Chaves Somavilla	02/24/1960	Member of the Executive Board only	5/21/2015	5/26/2017
456.117.426-53	Civil Engineer	Executive Director of Human Resources, Health	5/21/2015	No
		403		

		and Safety, Sustainability, and Power		
Executive Director of Human Resources, Health and Safety, Sustainability, and Power of Vale (since 2011), where she served as Director of Environment and Sustainable Development (2010 to 2011). Started her professional career in Vale in 2001 as General Manager of Planning and Power Trade responsible for the management of the power portfolio and then Director of the Department of Power Trade (2004 to 2010)	NA	NA	3	NA
Murilo Pinto de Oliveira Ferreira	06/22/1953	Member of the Executive Board and to the Strategic and Disclosure Committees	5/21/2015	5/26/2017
212.466.706-82	Business Administrator	10 President/Superintendent	5/23/2015	No
Managing Director of Vale (since 2011), Permanent member of the Strategic Committee and of Information Disclosure Committee of Vale (since 2011). Started his professional career in Vale in 1977, where he served in different positions as Director of the Department of Aluminum (2003 to 2005), Executive Director of Participations and Business Development (2005 to 2006) and Executive Director of Nickel and Base Metals Trade (2007 to 2008).	NA	NA	3	N/A
Jennifer Anne Maki	04/07/1970	Member of the Executive Board only	09/24/2015	5/26/2017
063.119.857-13	Accountant		09/24/2015	No
NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Humberto Ramos de Freitas	11/01/1953	Member of the Executive Board only	5/21/2015	5/26/2017
222.938.256-04	Metallurgic	Executive Director of Logistics and Mineral Research	5/21/2015	No
Executive Director of Logistics and Mineral Research of Vale (since2011), current name of the Executive Department of Logistic and Mineral Exploration, where he served as (i) Director of Logistic Operations (2009 to 2010); (ii) Director of Ports and Navigation (2008 to 2009); (iii) Director of the Port Operation Department (2007 to 2008); (iv) General Manager of the Manganese Department (1993 to 1997) and (v) General Manager of Porto de Ponta de Madeira (1993 to 1997).	NA	NA	NA	NA

08/22/1959	Member of the Executive Board only	5/21/2015	5/26/2017
Mining Engineer	Executive Director of Deployment of Capital Projects	5/21/2015	No
NA	NA	3	N/A
04/01/1967	Member of the Executive Board only	5/21/2015	5/26/2017
Administrator	Executive Director Fertilizers and Coal and Strategy	5/21/2015	No
N/A	N/A	3	N/A
08/01/1959	Member of the Board of Directors only	04/25/2016	Until the 2017 General Ordinary Meeting
Engineer	27 - Board of Directors	04/25/2016	Yes
Yes	Determination of independence resulted from (i) he did not have any associations with Vale, except for eventual interest that was not relevant to capital; (ii) he is not a controlling shareholder, nor a shareholder s spouse or second degree relative; (iii) he is not bound to shareholders by any agreement; (iv) he has not an employees or director at Vale or any of its controlled or affiliated companies for at least the past 3 (three)	0	100%
	Mining Engineer NA 04/01/1967 Administrator N/A 08/01/1959 Engineer	Mining Engineer Executive Director of Deployment of Capital Projects NA NA Member of the Executive Board only Executive Director Evecutive Director Fertilizers and Coal and Strategy N/A N/A N/A Member of the Board of Directors only Engineer 27 - Board of Directors (permanent) Yes Determination of independence resulted from (i) he did not have any associations with Vale, except for eventual interest that was not relevant to capital; (ii) he is not a controlling shareholder, nor a shareholder s spouse or second degree relative; (iii) he is not bound to shareholders by any agreement; (iv) he has not an employees or director at Vale or any of its controlled or affiliated companies for at least the	Mining Engineer Executive Director of Deployment of Capital Projects NA NA SA

Francisco Ferreira Alexandre 10/29/1962 Member of the Board of 4/17/2015 Directors 301.479.484-87 Civil Engineer 23 - Board of Directors (deputy) Deputy member of the Board of Directors of Vale (since 2013). Fernando Jorge Buso Gomes 10/29/1962 Member of the Board of Directors (deputy) N/A N/A 2 0% Vale (since 2013). Fernando Jorge Buso Gomes 10/29/1962 Member of the Board of 04/17/2015 Until 2017 General		(x) he is not a member of a nonprofit organization that is recipient of significant financial resources from Vale or from its related parties; and (xi) he is not financially dependent on the compensation that he may come to receive from Vale.			
Deputy member of the Board of Directors of N/A N/A 2 0% Vale (since 2013). Fernando Jorge Buso Gomes 06/06/1956 Member of the Board of 04/17/2015 Until 2017 General		Directors			
Vale (since 2013). Fernando Jorge Buso Gomes 06/06/1956 Member of the Board of 04/17/2015 Until 2017 General			4/17/2015		
	N/A	N/A	2	0%	
Directors and of the Shareholders Mee Governance and Sustainability, Economic Development and Financial Committees	06/06/1956	Directors and of the Governance and	04/17/2015		

370.624.177-34	Bank employee	22 - Board of Directors (permanent)	04/17/2015	Yes
Coordinator of the Governance and Sustainability Committee (since 2015), the Executive Development Committee and the Financial Committee (since 2015)	N/A	N/A	0	100%
Yoshitomo Nishimitsu	09/05/1975	Member of the Board of Directors only	04/17/2015	Until 2017 General Shareholders Meeting
060.569.787-61	Geologist	23 - Board of Directors (deputy)	04/17/2015	Yes
N/A	NA	N/A	0	90%
Tarcísio José Massote Godoy	04/05/1964	Member of the Board of Directors only	04/17/2015	Until 2017 General Shareholder s Meeting
316.688.601-04	Public Servant	22 - Board of Directors (permanent)	04/17/2015	Yes
Deputy member of the Supervisory Board of Vale (2003 to 2007)	N/A	N/A	0	100%
Luciano Galvão Coutinho	09/29/1946	Member of the Board of Directors only	04/17/2015	Until 2017 General Shareholder s Meeting
636.831.808-20	Economist	22 - Board of Directors (permanent)	04/17/2015	Yes
Permanent member of the Board of Directors (since 2007) and member of the Strategy Committee (2005 to 2006 and since 2009)	N/A	N/A	5	0%
Gilberto Antonio Vieira	11/12/1955	Member of the Board of Directors only	04/17/2015	Until 2017 General Shareholder s Meeting
221.153.079-68	Bank Employee	23 - Board of Directors (deputy)	04/17/2015	Yes
N/A	N/A	N/A	0	0%
Gueitiro Matsuo Genso	12/12/1971	Member of the Board of Directors only	04/17/2015	Until 2017 General Shareholders Meeting
624.201.519-68	Bank employee	20 Chairman of the Board of Directors	04/17/2015	Yes
Chairman of the Board of Directors (since February 24, 2016), noting that he had already been a member of the Board of Directors since 2015 and member of the Strategic Committee (since 2015).	N/A	N/A	2	90%
Oscar Augusto Camargo Filho	03/09/1938	Member of the Board of Directors	04/17/2015	Until 2017 General Shareholders Meeting
030.754.948-87	Lawyer	22 - Board of Directors (permanent)	04/17/2015	Yes
		407		

Member of the Board of Directors of Vale (since 2003), member of the Strategic Committee (since 2006) and Executive Development Committee (since 2003)	N/A	N/A	7	100%
Moacir Nachbar Junior	04/05/1965	Member of the Board of Directors only	04/17/2015	Until 2017 General Shareholders Meeting
062.947.708-66	Bank Employee	23 - Board of Directors (deputy)	04/17/2015	Yes
Member of the Controlling Committee (since 2015)	NA	NA	0	10%
Victor Guilherme Tito	09/06/1979	Member of the Board of Directors	04/17/2015	Until 2017 General Shareholders Meeting
044.878.356-82	Economist	23 - Board of Directors (deputy)	04/17/2015	Yes
N/A	N/A	N/A	0	70%
Sergio Alexandre Figueiredo Clemente	07/06/1959	Member of the Board of Directors only	04/17/2015	Until 2017 General Shareholders Meeting
373.766.326-20	Bank Employee	21 Vice-Chairman of Board of Directors	04/17/2015	Yes
Member of the Board of Directors of Vale since 2014 and was elected Vice-Chairman in 2015	N/A	N/A	2	80%
Carlos Roberto de Assis Ferreira	01/28/1961	Member of the Board of Directors only	04/17/2015	Until 2017 General Shareholders Meeting
342.680.066-72	Mechanic Maintenance Operator	23 - Board of Directors (deputy)	04/17/2015	No
Employee at Vale since 1979, currently acting as mechanic maintenance operator, currently assigned to Sindicato Metabase de Itabira e Região	N/A	N/A	0	10%
Robson Rocha	03/12/1959	Member of the Board of Directors only	04/17/2015	Until 2017 General Shareholders Meeting
298.270.436-68	Administrator	23 - Board of Directors (deputy)	04/17/2015	Yes
Permanent member of the Board of Directors of Vale (2011 to 2015)	N/A	N/A	0	0%
Lucio Azevedo	12/08/1958	Member of the Board of Directors only	04/17/2015	Until 2017 General Shareholders Meeting
526.635.317-15	Train operator	22 - Board of Directors	04/17/2015	No
320.033.317-13	Trum operator	(permanent)		
Employee of Vale since 1985, currently acting as train operator,	N/A	(permanent) N/A	0	80%

currently assigned to Sindicato dos Trabalhadores em Empresas Ferroviárias dos				
Estados do Maranhão, Pará e Tocantins				
Motomu Takahashi	06/12/1953	Member of the Board of Directors only	04/27/2016	Until the next General Shareholders Meeting
Passport no. TR1490020	Economist	22 - Board of Directors (permanent)	04/27/2016	Yes
Member of the Board of Directors of Vale (since 2016)	N/A	N/A	0	0%
Eduardo de Oliveira Rodrigues Filho	08/20/1954	Member of the Board of Directors only	04/17/2015	Until 2017 General Shareholders Meeting
442.810.487-15	Engineer	23 - Board of Directors (deputy)	04/17/2015	Yes
Deputy member of the Board of Directors of Vale (since 2011) and Member of the Financial Committee (since 2011) and member of the Governance and Sustainability Committee (since 2015).	N/A	N/A	3	0%
Luiz Maurício Leuzinger	02/05/1942	Member of the Board of Directors	04/17/2015	Until 2017 General Shareholders Meeting
009.623.687-68	Engineer	23 - Board of Directors (deputy)	04/17/2015	Yes
Deputy member of the Board of Directors of Vale (since 2012), where he also acted in 2003. He also acted as Member of the Financial Committee (2007 to 2015), member of the Executive Development Committee (2012 to 2015), and member of the Governance and Sustainability Committee (2014 to 2015)	N/A	N/A	3	0%
Dan Antonio Marinho Conrado	07/26/1964	Member of the Board of Directors	04/17/2015	Until 2017 General Shareholders Meeting
754.649.427-34	Bank employee	22 - Board of Directors (effective)	04/17/2015	Yes
Permanent member of Vale s Board of Directors (since 2012). He was Chairman of the Board of Directors (from 2012 to February 24, 2016) and has been a permanent member of Vale s Strategic Committee (2012 to February 2016).	N/A	N/A	3	100%
Marcel Juviniano Barros	09/05/1962	Member of the Board of Directors	04/17/2015	Until 2017 General Shareholders Meeting
029.310.198-10	Bank employee	23 - Board of Directors (deputy)	04/17/2015	Yes
Member of the Board of Directors of Vale (since 2012) and member of the Executive Development Committee (since 2013)	N/A	N/A	3	90%
		409		

Paulo José dos Reis Souza	06/02/1962	Supervisory Board	04/25/2016	Until 2017 General Shareholders Meeting
494.424.306-53	Administrator	44 - C.F. (permanent) Elected by Preferred Shareholders	04/26/2016	No
Member of Vale s Supervisory Board (since April 2016)	N/A	N/A	0	0%
Marcelo Amaral Moraes	07/10/1967	Supervisory Board	04/25/2016	Until 2017 General Shareholders Meeting
929.390.077-72	Graduate in Economy	43 - FISCAL BOARD(permanent) Elected by Comptroller	04/26/2016	Yes
Permanent member of the Supervisory Board of Vale since 2004, where he also acted as deputy member of the Board of Directors (May to August 2003)	Yes	The criteria used to determined independence is set forth in section 3.13 of the Rules Governing the Listing of Securities on The Stock Exchange of Hong Kong Limited	13	100%
Aníbal Moreira dos Santos	08/26/1938	Supervisory Board	04/25/2016	Until 2017 General Shareholders Meeting
011.504.567-87	Accounting Technician	43 - FISCAL BOARD(permanent)	04/26/2016	Yes
Permanent member of the Supervisory Board of Vale since 2005, where he also acted as deputy member of the Board of Directors (April to July 2005).	Yes	The criteria used to determined independence is set forth in section 3.13 of the Rules Governing the Listing of Securities on The Stock Exchange of Hong Kong Limited	12	100%
Sandro Kohler Marcondes	04/16/1964	Supervisory Board	04/25/2016	Until 2017 General Shareholders Meeting
485.322.749-00	Bank worker	43 - FISCAL BOARD(permanent for Comptroller)	04/26/2016	Yes
NA	Yes	The criteria used to determined independence is set forth in section 3.13	0	0%
		410		

		of the Rules Governing the Listing of Securities on The Stock Exchange of Hong Kong Limited		
Raphael Manhães Martins	02/08/1983	Supervisory Board	04/25/2016	Until 2017 General Shareholders Meeting
096.952.607-56	Lawyer	45 - FISCAL BOARD(permanent for minor common shares shareholders)	04/26/2016	No
Member of Vale s Supervisory Board (since April 2015)	N/A	N/A	2	100%
Oswaldo Mário Pêgo de Amorim Azevedo	06/23/1941	Supervisory Board	04/25/2016	Until 2017 General Shareholders Meeting
005.065.327-04	Industry and Production Engineer	46 - FISCAL BOARD (deputy) Elected by Comptroller	04/26/2016	Yes
Deputy member of the Supervisory Board (since 2005) and the Controlling Committee (since 2015), where he also acted as permanent member (2204 to 2005)	yes	The criteria used to determined independence is set forth in section 3.13 of the Rules Governing the Listing of Securities on The Stock Exchange of Hong Kong Limited	13	0%
Sergio Mamede Rosa do Nascimento	04/29/1954	Supervisory Board	04/25/2016	Until 2017 General Shareholders Meeting
650.042.058-68	Administrator	43 - C.F.(deputy) Elected by Comptroller	04/26/2016	Yes
N/A	Yes	The criteria used to determined independence is set forth in section 3.13 of	0	0%
		411		

Table of Contents

Julio Sergio de Souza Cardozo	08/18/1944	the Rules Governing the Listing of Securities on The Stock Exchange of Hong Kong Limited Supervisory Board	04/25/2016	Until 2017 General Shareholders Meeting
005.985.267/49	Accountant	48 - C. F. (Deputy) Elected by minor common shares shareholders	04/26/2016	No No
N/A	Yes	The criteria used to determined independence is set forth in section 3.13 of the Rules Governing the Listing of Securities on The Stock Exchange of Hong Kong Limited	0	0%
Paula Bicudo de Castro Magalhães	05/21/1976	Supervisory Board	04/25/2016	Until 2017 General Shareholders Meeting
787.873.861-00	Economist	47 - C. F. (Deputy) Elected by preferred shares shareholders	04/26/2016	No
Deputy member of Vale s Board of Directors (since April 2016)	Yes	The criteria used to determined independence is set forth in section 3.13 of the Rules Governing the Listing of Securities on The Stock Exchange of Hong Kong Limited	0	0%
Arthur Prado Silva	04/29/1972	Board of Directors	04/25/2016	Until 2017 General Shareholders Meeting
991.897.047-20	Bank employee	23 Board of Directors	04/25/2016	yes

412

		(deputy)		
Member of the Governance and Sustainability Committee (since April 2015)	No	N/A	0	0%
		413		

Marcelo Gasparino da Silva	02/13/1971	Board of Directors	05/25/2016	Until 2017 General Shareholders Meeting
807.383.469-34	Attorney	23 Board of Directors (Deputy)	05/25/2016	Yes
		414		

NA	Yes	Determination of independence resulted from (i) he did not have any associations with Vale, except for eventual interest that was not relevant to capital; (ii) he is not a controlling shareholder, nor a shareholder s spouse or second degree relative; (iii) he is not bound to shareholders by any agreement; (iv) he has not an employees or director at Vale or any of its controlled or affiliated companies for at least the past 3 (three) years; (v) he isn t, and hasn t been for the past 3 (three) years, a member of a controlled company; (vi) he does not, directly or indirectly, provide, purchase, supply, or negotiate services and/ or products to Vale at a relevant scale; (vii) he is not the spouse or second degree relative of any director or manager at Vale; (viii) he does not receive any other compensation from Vale in addition to his member fee; (ix) he isn t, and has not been for the past 3 (three) years, member of an auditing firm that audits or has audited Vale in this same period; (x) he is not a member of a		0%
----	-----	--	--	----

nonprofit organization that is recipient of significant financial resources from Vale or from its related parties; and (xi) he is not financially dependent on the compensation that he may come to receive from Vale.

Professional Experience / Disclosure of Convictions / Independence Criteria

Jennifer Anne Maki

_

063.119.857-13

Executive Director of Vale s Base Metals since September 2015. Main professional experience include: (Financial and Management Director of Base Metals at Vale Canada Limited from 2014 to 2015), Vale subsidiary in Canada, where she also acted as (ii) Treasury Vice-President (January to October 2007); (iii) Financial Director (2007 to 2013), and iv) member of the Pension Committee (since 2007), where she was elected as Chairman in 2009; (v) Member of the Commission Board of PT Vale Indonesia Tbk (PTVI) (since 2007), mining company, of the Company s economic group, elected as Chairman in 2014;(vi) Member of the Board of Casa Ronald McDonald of Toronto (since 2013), charity, and (vii) Member of the Board of Directors of Vale New Caledonia, since 2008. Graduate in Trade at the *Queen s University*, in April 1993, and in Accounting at the *Institute of Chartered Accountants*, in Ontario, Canada, in February 1996. Ms. Jennifer Anne Maki represented for all legal purposes that in the last five (5) years, he was not subject to any criminal condemnation, any administrative condemnation by the Comissão de Valores Mobiliários, or any condemnation on final decision, in the judicial or administrative spheres, causing suspension or disqualification for the engagement in any professional or commercial activity.

Luciano Siani Pires

013.907.897-56

Financial and Investor Relations Director. Member of the Vale Risk Management Executive Committee and the Information Disclosure Committee (since 2012). At the Company, he has acted as (i) Deputy Member of the Board of Directors (2005 to 2007), and member of the Financial Committee (2012 to 2015); (ii) Strategic Planning Global Director (2008 to 2009 and 2011); (iii) Human Resources Global Director (2009 to 2011), and (iv) Executive Director of Finance, Supply, Shared Services, and Investor Relations (2012 to 2013). Main professional experience include (i) Permanent Member of the Board of Directors of Valepar S.A. (2007 - 2008), controlling shareholder of Vale, privately-held company acting as holding; (ii) Member of the Board of Directors of Telemar Participações S.A. (2005 - 2008), open capital company in the telecommunications sector, internet, and entertainment; (iii) Member of the Board of Directors da Suzano Papel e Celulose S.A. (2005 - 2008), open capital company engaged in the manufacturing of cellulose and other pastes for paper manufacturing. Graduate in Mechanic Engineering at

Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio de Janeiro PUC-RJ, in December 1991, and MBA in Finance at Stern School of Business, New York University, in May 2001. Mr. Luciano Siani Pires represented for all legal purposes that in the last five (5) years, she was not subject to any criminal condemnation, any administrative condemnation by the Comissão de Valores Mobiliários, or any condemnation on final decision, in the judicial or administrative spheres, causing suspension or disqualification for the engagement in any professional or commercial activity.

Vania Lucia Chaves Somavilla

456.117.426-53

She is the Executive Director of Human Resources, Health and Safety, Sustainability and Power of Vale (since 2011), where she also acted as Director of the Environment Department and Sustainable Development (2010 to 2011). She started her professional career at Vale in 2001 as General Manager of Planning and Power Sale in charge of the management of the power portfolio and later she was Director of the Energy Department (2004 to 2010). Main professional experience include: (i) Member of Decision Council of Different Consortia in the Power Sector, including: (a) Consortium Estreito Energia CESTE (2006 to 2010); (b) Consortium Geração Santa Isabel GESAI (2008 to 2010); (c) Consortium of Usina Hidrelétrica de Aimorés (2007 to 2010), companies that are part of the Vale Group; and (d) Brazilian Consortium of Palm Oil Production CBOP of the oil and natural gas (deputy since 2010 to 2012); (ii) Manager of PGT Petroleum Geoscience Technology Ltda. (2008 to 2011), currently Vale Óleo and Gás S.A., company that is part of the Vale Group and that is engaged in exploration and exploitation of mineral reservoir, where she served as (iv) Director-President and Member of the Board (2009 to 2010); (v) Director-President (2009 to 2010) of Vale Energia S.A., a Vale Group company engaged in power activities; (vi) Member of the Board of Albrás Alumínio Brasileiro S.A. (2009 to 2013), a Vale Group company engaged in aluminum production; (vii) deputy member of the Board of Ultrafértil S.A. (2010 to 2011), Vale Group company in the sector of fertilizers and similar products; (vii) Director-President of Vale Florestar S.A. (2010 to 2012), a Vale Group company engaged in forest projects; (viii) Director-President of Instituto Ambiental Vale (2010 to 2014), institute that acts in the preservation, of biodiversity, part of the Vale Group; (ix) Deputy Member of the Board of Administration of Vale Fertilizantes S.A. (2011 to 2013), a Vale Group company in the sector of phosphates; and (x) Director-President of Associação Vale para o Desenvolvimento Sustentável Fundo Vale (2010 to 2014), association engaged in environmental protection, part of the Vale Group; and (xi) Director-President and Member of the Board of Directors at Vale Óleo e Gás S.A. (2009 to 2010), part of the Vale Group. Graduate in Civil Engineering at UFMG, in April 1983; post graduate in Barrage Engineering by Federal University of Ouro Preto, in April 1990; extension course on Management of Hydro Power Utilities by SIDA, Stockholm, in November 1996; MBA in Corporate Finance by IBMEC Business School, Belo Horizonte, in July 1998; and participated in Transformational Leadership Program by MIT in March 2005 and Mastering Leadership Program by IMD in December 2006. Ms. Vania Somavilla represented for all legal purposes that in the last five (5) years, she was not subject to any criminal condemnation, any administrative condemnation by the Comissão de Valores Mobiliários, or any condemnation on final decision, in the judicial or administrative spheres, causing suspension or disqualification for the engagement in any professional or commercial activity.

Murilo Pinto de Oliveira Ferreira

212.466.706-82

He is the President of Vale (since 2011), Permanent member of the Strategic Committee and Member of the Information Disclosure Committee (since 2011). He started his professional career at Vale in 1977 as a financial and economic analyst, where he held different positions, including Director of the Aluminum Department (2003 to 2005), Executive Director of Equity and Business Development (2005 to 2006) and Executive Director of Nickel and Sale of Base Metals (2007 to 2008). Main professional experience include (i) Chairman of the Board of Petróleo Brasileiro S.A. PETROBRAS, open capital oil company (from May to November 2015); (ii) Chairman of the Board of Directors of Ferro Gusa Carajás S.A. (2005 to 2006), company in the pig iron sector, incorporated into Vale in 2008; (iii) Managing Director of Vale do Rio Doce Energia S.A., currently Vale Energia S.A., (2005 to 2007), a Vale Group company in the power sector; (iv) Chairman of the Board of Directors of Mineração Rio do Norte S.A. (2006 to 2008), company in the bauxite extraction sector; (v) Member of the Board of Directors of Mineração Onça Puma Ltda. (2007 to 2008), company engaged in nickel mining activities, incorporated into Vale in 2008; (vi) Chairman of the Board of Directors of Valesul Alumínio S.A. (2006 to 2008), a Vale Group company in the aluminum sector; (vii) Director-President of Vale Canada Limited (2007 to 2008), company controlled by Vale in Canada, where he also served as (viii) Member of the Board (2006 to 2007); (ix) Member of the Board of Directors of Vale Canada Holdings (2006 to 2008), holding controlled by Vale in Canada, where he also served as (x) Director and Vice-President of the Executive Committee (2007 to 2008); (xi) Member of the Board of Commissioners of PT Vale Indonesia Tbk (2007 to 2008), company in the mining sector, member of the Vale Group; (xii) Director and Chairman of the Board of Directors of Vale Nouvelle-Calédonie S.A.S. (2007 to 2008), a mining company member of the Vale Group; (xiii) Member of the Board of Directors of Usinas Siderúrgicas de Minas Gerais S.A. - USIMINAS (2006 to 2008), open capital company engaged in the steel industry; (xiv) Member of Managing Council of Associação Instituto Tecnológico ITV (2011 to 2013), association that promotes research aiming the development of research for sustainable technology development; (xv) Partner of Studio Investimentos (2009 to 2011), asset management company focused on the Brazilian stock market. Graduate in Business Administration at Fundação Getúlio Vargas de São Paulo in August 1977, post graduate in Administration and Finance by Fundação Getúlio Vargas do Rio de Janeiro in May 1982, and

Table of Contents

Executive specialization (Senior Executive) by IMD Business School, Lausanne, Switzerland, concluded in December 2007. Mr. Murilo Ferreira represented for all legal purposes that in the last five (5) years, he was not subject to any criminal condemnation, any administrative condemnation by the Comissão de Valores Mobiliários, or any condemnation on final decision, in the judicial or administrative spheres, causing suspension or disqualification for the engagement in any professional or commercial activity.

Gerd Peter Poppinga

604.856.637-91

Chief Executive Officer at Ferrosos da Vale (since November 2014). Main professional experience include: (i) Chief Executive Officer of Base Metals and Information Technology at Vale (from November 2011 to November 2014); (ii) Executive Vice President, Asia-Pacific of Vale Canada (from November 2009 to November 2011) (iii) Executive Vice President, Strategy, Business Development, Human Resources and Sustainability at Vale Canada (from May 2008 to October 2009; and (iv) Director of Strategy and Information Technology at Vale Canada (from November 2007 to April 2008). He was acted, from 2000 to 2007, in several leadership roles in the sales offices at Vale in Switzerland, and from 1985 to 1999 he acted in several position at Mineração Trinidade S.A. SAMITRI, a publicly traded company that was acquired by Vale in 2001. Graduate in Geology at UFRJ in 1980 and Universität Erlangen in 1982, in Germany, post graduate in Applied Geology at Universität Clausthal Zellerfeld in 1984. He also holds the following specializations: Geostatistics at UFOP; concluded at Universidade Federal de Ouro Preto, Negotiation Dynamics by INSEAD, Senior Leadership Program by MIT; Leadership Program by IMD Business School; and Strategic Megatrends with Asia Focus by Kellogg Singapore; as well as Executive MBA by Fundação Dom Cabral. Mr. Gerd Peter Poppinga represented for all legal purposes that in the last five (5) years, he was not subject to any criminal condemnation, any administrative condemnation by the Comissão de Valores Mobiliários, or any condemnation on final decision, in the judicial or administrative spheres, causing suspension or disqualification for the engagement in any professional or commercial activity.

Humberto Ramos de Freitas

222.938.256-04

He is Executive Director of Logistics and Mineral Research at Vale (since 2011), current name of the Executive Director of Logistic and Mineral Exploration, where he also acted as (i) Director of Logistic Operations (2009 to 2010); (ii) Director of Port Operations and Navigation (2007 to 2009) (iii) Director of the Port Operation Department (2007 to 2008); (iv) General Manager of the Manganese Department (1993 to 1997) and (v) General Manager of Porto de Ponta da Madeira (1993 to 1997). Main professional experience include (i) Member of Board of Directors of MRS Logística S.A. (since 2014), a Vale Group company in the cargo transportation segment, and he also acted in this position from 2010 to 2012; (ii) Managing President of Valesul Alumíno S.A. (2003 to 2007), a Vale Group company in the aluminum sector; (iii) General Port Superintendent of Companhia Siderúrgica Nacional (1997 to 1999), open capital company engaged in steel industry. He also served as President of the Decision Council of ABTP - Associação Brasileira de Terminais Portuários (since 2009), non-profit civil association dealing with issues related to port

activities. Graduate in Metallurgy Engineering at Escola de Minas de Ouro Preto in 1976, specialization course for Executives (Senior Executive) at MIT, USA concluded in 2005, and extension courses in (i) Advanced Management Program (PGA) by Fundação Dom Cabral (offered by INSEAD) concluded in 2004; (ii) Partnership for Corporate Development (PDE) by Fundação Dom Cabral concluded in 1996; (iii) Executive Development Program by J.L. Kellogg School of Management, Northwestern University, in the USA (concluded in 1995); (iv) Business Strategic Planning by McKinsey Consulting concluded in 1993; and (v) Management Studies by the Association of Overseas Technical Scholarship, in Japan concluded in 1991. Mr. Humberto Freitas represented for all legal purposes that in the last five (5) years, he was not subject to any criminal condemnation, any administrative condemnation by the Comissão de Valores Mobiliários, or any condemnation on final decision, in the judicial or administrative spheres, causing suspension or disqualification for the engagement in any professional or commercial activity.

Galib Abrahão Chaim

132.019.646-20

He is Executive Director of Capital Project Deployment at Vale (since 2011), where he also acted as Director of the Coal Project Department for projects in Australia, Mozambique, Indonesia and Zambia (2005 to 2011) and Country Manager of the Project Department in Mozambique (2005 to 2011). Main professional experience include (i) Industrial Director of Alunorte Alumina do Norte do Brasil S.A., privately-held company working with alumina production (1994 to 2005); (ii) Industrial Superintendent of Albras Alumínio Brasileiro S.A. works with aluminum production (1984 to 1994); and (iii) Technical Superintendent of Mineração Rio do Norte S.A., privately-held company working in bauxite exploration and sale (1979 to 1984).

Graduate in Engineering at the Federal University of Minas Gerais (in December 1975) and MBA in Corporate Management at Fundação Getúlio Vargas concluded in 2004. Mr. Abrahão Chaim Galib represented for all legal purposes that in the last five (5) years, he was not subject to any criminal condemnation, any administrative condemnation by the Comissão de Valores Mobiliários, or any condemnation on final decision, in the judicial or administrative spheres, causing suspension or disqualification for the engagement in any professional or commercial activity.

Roger Allan Downey

623.291.626-34

He is the Executive Director of Fertilizers, Coal and Strategy at Vale (since 2015), where he also acted as Executive Director of Fertilizers and Coal of Vale (2012 to 2015), Manager of Strategic Marketing Iron Ore (2002 to 2005). Since 2014, he is also a Member of the Risk Management Executive Committee at Vale. Main professional experience in last five years include: (i) Partner Director of CWH Consultoria Empresarial SC Ltda.(January to April 2012), consulting company; (ii) Deputy Member of the Board of Directors of Valepar S.A. (February to April 2012), controlling shareholder of Vale, privately-held company acting as holding; (iii) Managing President of MMX Mineração e Metálicos S.A. (2009 to 2011), open capital mining company. Graduate in Business Administration at University of Western Australia, in July 2001, and Administration at Australian National Business School/UWA, in July 2002, and MBA by University of Western Australian, in April 2003. Mr. Roger Allan Downey, represented for all legal purposes that in the last five (5) years, he was not subject to any criminal condemnation, any administrative condemnation by the Comissão de Valores Mobiliários, or any condemnation on final decision, in the judicial or administrative spheres, causing suspension or disqualification for the engagement in any professional or commercial activity.

Francisco Ferreira Alexandre

301.479.484-87

Deputy Member of the Board of Directors of Vale (since 2013). Main professional experience in last five years include: (i) Deputy Member of the Board of Directors of Valepar S.A. (since 2013), controlling shareholder of Vale, privately held company acting as holding; (ii) Superintendent Director of BRF Previdência (since 2012), pension fund; (iii) Management Director of PREVI Caixa de Previdência dos Funcionários do Banco do Brasil, (2003 - 2010), pension fund, which holds indirect stake in the Company through Litel Participações S.A., which, in turn, is a shareholder in the control block of Valepar S.A., the Company s controlling shareholder; (iv) Vice-President of the of the Board of Directors of BRF Brasil Foods S.A. (2009 to 2011), animal protein derivative food company; and (v) Member of the Board of Directors of Kepler Weber S.A. (2011 - 2013), company engaged in the production of structures to store and transport agricultural products. Graduate in Law at Centro de Ensino Superior de Alagoas CESMAC in December 1992, and Civil Engineering at Universidade Federal de Alagoas UFAL in March 1994. Post-graduation in Economics and Personnel

Management at PUC São Paulo (concluded in July 2002), MBA in Corporate Finance at IAG Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio de Janeiro PUC-RJ (concluded in December 2004) and AMP Advanced Management Program by Harvard Business School in October 2009. Mr. Francisco Ferreira Alexandre represented for all legal purposes that in the last five (5) years, she was not subject to any criminal condemnation, any administrative condemnation by the Comissão de Valores Mobiliários, or any condemnation on final decision, in the judicial or administrative spheres, causing suspension or disqualification for the engagement in any professional or commercial activity.

Alberto Ribeiro Guth

Effective member of Vale s Board of Directors of Vale (since June 2015). His main professional experiences in the last 5 years include: (i) member of the Board of Directors of Via Retail S.A. (since 2012), a publicly-traded retail company; (ii) member of the Board of Directors of Centrais Elétricas de Santa Catarina S.A. CELESC (since 2015), a publicly-traded energy distribution company; (iii) Associate Director of Angra Partners Gestão de Recursos Ltda. (since 2003), a closed resource management and financial advice; company; (iv) member of the Board of Directors of TG Participações S.A. (since 2008), closed ethanol manufacturing company; (v) member of the Board of Directors of Angra Infraestrutura Gestão de Informações Ltda. (since 2006), a closed resource management company; (vi) Member of the Board of Directors of Estre Ambiental S.A. (since 2014), a closed waste treatment company; (vii) member of the Board of Directors of A Geradora Aluguel de Máquinas S.A. (since 2013), a closed equipment leasing company; (viii) Director of Aconcágua

Investimentos e Participações Ltda. (from 2013), closed company member of the Grupo Angra Partners; (ix) Associate Director of Angra Partners Participações Ltda. (from 2010 to 2014), closed resource management company; (x) partner and director at Angra Partners Assessoria Financeira Ltda. (from 2010 to 2015), a closed resource management company; (xi) Director of Futuretel S.A., a publicly-traded investment holding company (from 2012); (xii) Director of Zain Participações S.A. (since 2012), a publicly traded investment company; (xiii) Director of Neustift Participações Ltda. (from 2014), a private company member of Grupo Angra Partners; (xiv) member of the Board of Directors of Ediouro Participações S.A. (2013 to 2014), a private publishing and graphics company; (xv) Director of Sul 116 Participações S.A. (since 2012), a publicly traded investment company; (xvi) Director of Newtel Participações S.A. (2012), a publicly traded investment company; (xvii) member of the Board of Directors of Companhia Providência Indústria e Comércio S.A. (2013 to 2014), a publicly-traded company in the business of manufacturing and marketing non-woven fabrics; (xviii) Director of Daleth Participações S.A., a publicly-traded company (from 2012 to 2015); (xix) Director of Capinauá Empreendimentos e Participações S.A. (since 2007), a publicly traded investment company; (xx) Director of Invited Legacy S.A. (from 2012), a publicly traded investment company; (xxi) a member of the Board of Directors of RIo Barigui S.A. (from 2012) a private investment company. He graduated in engineering from IMEI in December 1981, and has an MBA with a specialization in Finance from Wharton Business School, University of Pennsylvania (May 1992). Mr. Alberto Ribeiro Guth stated, for all legal purposes, that in the last 5 (five) years, he was not submitted to any criminal convictions or administrative convictions imposed by the Comissão de Valores Mobiliários, or any other legal or administrative final convictions that could have suspended him or prevented him from practicing any of his professional or commercial activities. Mr. Alberto Ribeiro Guth is an independent member of Vale s Board of Directors. The determination of independence results from the fact that (i) he does not have any link Vale, except for possible non-relevant stake in capital; (ii) he is not a controlling shareholder or spouse or relative up to the second degree to controlling shareholders; (iii) he is not bound by shareholders agreement; (iv) he has not been an employee or Director at Vale or of its subsidiaries or affiliated companies in at least 3 (three) years; (v) he is not and has not been, in the last 3 (three) years, a Member of Board of the controlled company; (vi) he does not provide, purchase, offers or negotiates, directly or indirectly, services and/or products to Vale at a relevant scale; (vii) he is not a spouse or relative up to the second degree of any Director or Manager of Vale; (viii) he does not receive other remuneration from Vale besides his board member fee; (ix) he is not, and he has not been in the last 3 (three) years, a partner of and audit firm that audits, or has audited, Vale in this same period; (x) he is not a member of non-profit entity that receives significant financial resources from Vale or its related parties; and (xi) he is not financially dependent on the compensation that shall come to be granted by Vale.

Fernando Jorge Buso Garcia

370.624.177-34

He is a member of Vale s Board of Directors (2015), Coordinator of the Governance and Sustainability Committee (2015) and member of the Executive Development Committee and the Finance Committee (2015). His main professional experiences in the last 5 years include:
(i) Member of the Board of Directors of Valepar S.A. (since 2015), controlling shareholder of Vale, a private holding company, where he also acts as (ii) Director (2015); (iii) the Chief Executive Officer and Investor Relations Officer of Bradespar S.A. (since 2015), a publicly-traded company which owns stake in Valepar S.A., a holding company; (iv) Director of Banco Bradesco BBI S.A. (since 2006), an investment bank; (v) member of the Board of Directors of Sete Brasil S.A. (2011 to 2015), and offshore company; (vi) Chairman of the Board of Directors of Smartia Corretora de Seguros S.A. (from 2012 to 2015), an insurance broker; (vii) Chairman of the Board of SMR Grupo de Investimentos e Participações S.A. (from 2014 to 2015), a holding company; (viii) member of the Board of Directors of BCPAR S.A. (from 2013 to 2015), a holding company; (ix) member of the Board of Directors of BR Towers S.A. (2013 to 2014); (xi) member of the Board of Directors of CPFL Energias Renováveis S.A. (from 2012), a publicly traded energy production company; (xii) and member of the Board of Directors of LOG Commercial Properties S.A. (from 2013 to 2015), a publicly-traded construction company. He graduated with a Bachelor of Arts in economics from Faculdades Integradas Bennett in December 1978. Mr. Fernando Jorge Buso Gomes stated, for all legal purposes, that in the last 5 (five) years, he has not had any criminal conviction or administrative conviction imposed by Comissão de Valores Mobiliários, or any legal or administrative final convictions that have suspended him or prevented him from any professional or commercial activity.

Т	ab	le	of	Cor	itents

Yoshitomo Nishimitsu

442.810.487-15

Main professional experience in last five years include (i) Deputy Member of the Board of Directors of Valepar S.A. (2014 to 2015), controlling shareholder of Vale, privately-held company acting as holding; (ii) General Manager of the Division of Mineral Resources and Metals of Mitsui & Co. (Brasil) S.A., Mitsui & Co., Ltd., a publicly traded trading company that is an indirect controlling shareholder of the Company. Graduated in Geology at Kobe University in March 1999, post-graduate in Geology at Kobe University, conclude din March 2001. Mr. Yoshitomo Nishimitsure presented for all legal purposes that in the last five (5) years, he was not subject to any criminal condemnation, any administrative condemnation by the Comissão de Valores Mobiliários, or any condemnation on final decision, in the judicial or administrative spheres, causing suspension or disqualification for the engagement in any professional or commercial activity.

Tarcísio José Massote Godoy

316.688.601-04

Member of Vale s Board of Directors since 2015. He was a deputy member of the Supervisory Board of Vale (2003 to 2007). Main professional experience in last five years include: (i) Executive Secretary in the Ministry of Finance (from January 2015 to December 2015); (ii) General Director of Bradesco Auto/RE, of Bradesco Seguros S.A. (2013 to 2014), insurance company; (iii) Vice-President of Federação Nacional de Seguros Gerais (2013 to 2014), entity in the insurance segment; (iv) Executive Director of Bradesco Seguros S.A. (2010 to 2013), insurance company; (v) President at BrasilPrev (2008 to 2010), pension fund; (vi) Vice-President of Federação Nacional de Previdência Privada e Vida (February to September 2010), pension fund; (vii) Chairman of the Board of Directors of Banco do Brasil (from February 2015 to January 2016), a multiple bank with a commercial portfolio (viii) Member of the Board of Directors of IRB Brasil Resseguros (March to December 2014), reinsurance entity; (ix) Member of the Board of Directors of CEABS (from March 2014 to December 2014); (viii) Certified by the Instituto Brasileiro de Governança Corporativa (2009) (x) Deputy Member of the Supervisory Board of Vale S.A. (2003 to 2007). Graduate in Civil Engineering at Universidade de Brasília in December 1985, post-graduate in Geotechnique, concluded in October 1987, and Civil Engineering, concluded in December 1991, Master s degree in Public Sector Economics in November 2009, at Universidade de Brasília. Mr. Tarcísio José Massote Godoy represented for all legal purposes that in the last five (5) years, he was not subject to any criminal condemnation, any administrative condemnation by the Comissão de Valores Mobiliários, or any condemnation on final decision, in the judicial or administrative spheres, causing suspension or disqualification for the engagement in any professional or commercial activity.

Luciano Galvão Coutinho

636.831.808-20

Member of the Board of Directors (since 2007) and Member of the Strategic Committee of Vale (since 2009), and served as member of this committee 2005 to 2006. Main professional experience in last five years include: (i) President of Banco Nacional de Desenvolvimento Econômico and Social BNDES (since 2007), development bank and controlling shareholder of BNDES Participações S.A. BNDESPAR a company controlled by a shareholder with relevant stake at Vale; (ii) Chairman of Board of Directors of Petróleo Brasileiro S.A. PETROBRAS (April 2015 to April 2016), open capital company working with oil and gas exploration and refinement and production of derivatives, having been member of this body since 2013; He also acts as (i) Member of the International Consulting Council of Fundação Dom Cabral (since 2009), education institution aiming the development of executives, businessmen and companies; (ii) Member of the Curator Council of Fundação Nacional de Qualidade (from June 2013 to June 2016), entity aiming the development of management excellence foundations; and (iii) Member of Director Council of Fundo Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (since 2007), financial assistance entity, and (iv) Member of the International Consulting Board of the National Council for Industrial Development (since 2011), entity responsible for proposing policies for industrial promotion in Brazil. Graduate in Economics at University of São Paulo in June 1969, master s degree in Economics at Instituto de Pesquisas Econômicas, in June 1970, and doctor s degree in Economics from Cornell University, in January 1975. Mr. Coutinho is a guest lecturer at the State University of Campinas and he was a visiting scholar at USP, at the University of Paris XIII, the University of Texas, and at the Ortega y Gasset Institute. Mr. Luciano Galvão Coutinho represented for all legal purposes that in the last five (5) years, he was not subject to any criminal condemnation, any administrative condemnation by the Comissão de Valores Mobiliários, or any condemnation on final decision, in the judicial or administrative spheres, causing suspension or disqualification for the engagement in any professional or commercial activity.

Table of Contents

Gilberto Antonio Vieira

221.153.079-68

Deputy member of Vale s Board of Directors (since 2015). Main professional experience in last five years include: (i) Deputy Member of the Board of Directors of Valepar S.A. (since 2015), controlling shareholder of Vale, privately held company acting as holding; (ii) General Secretary of Confederação Nacional dos Trabalhadores nas Empresas de Crédito (since 1996), class entity; (iii) Deputy Member of the Board of Directors of Cooperativa Habitacional ANABB Ltda. (2014 to 2015), habitation cooperative (iv) Member of the Supervisory Board of Caixa de Assistência dos Funcionários do Banco do Brasil (2008 to 2012), health care provider, acting as chairman of said Board (from 2010 to 2012); (v) Secretary General Officer at Confederação Nacional dos Trabalhadores nas Empresas de Crédito CONTEC (from 1996 to 2016). Graduate in Law at Centro de Ensino Universitário de Brasília in January 1990 and Administration at Fundação Universidade de Blumenau in December 1978, Specialist in Administration in September 1980 also at Universidade de Blumenau. Post-graduate in Foreign Trade at Associação de Ensino Unificado do Distrito Federal, in November 1985, and Politics and Strategy at Universidade de Brasília, in July 1998. Mr. Gilberto Antonio Vieira represented for all legal purposes that in the last five (5) years, he was not subject to any criminal condemnation, any administrative condemnation by the Comissão de Valores Mobiliários, or any condemnation on final decision, in the judicial or administrative spheres, causing suspension or disqualification for the engagement in any professional or commercial activity.

Gueitiro Matsuo Genso

624.201.519-68

Chairman of the Board of Directors (since February 2016), noting that he already was a Permanent member of the Board of Directors (since 2015) and member of the Strategic Committee (since 2015) Main professional experience in last five years include: (i) President of the Board of Directors of Valepar S.A. (2015), controlling shareholder of Vale, privately-held company acting as holding; (ii) President of PREVI Caixa de Previdência dos Funcionários do Banco do Brasil (since 2015), a complementary pension fund that holds indirect stake at the Company through Litel Participações S.A., which, in turn, is a shareholder in the control block of Valepar S.A., controlling shareholder of the Company; (iii) Director of Individuals at Banco do Brasil S.A. (2014 to 2015), financial institution where he also served as (iv) Director of Real Estate Credit (2011 to 2014) and (v) Director of Home Loans (2011 to 2014) and Director of Loans (2010 to 2011); (vi) Director of Products of Banco Nossa Caixa (2009 to 2010), financial institution; (vii) Permanent member of the Supervisory Board of BB Mapfre SH1 Participações S.A. (since 2011), insurance company; (viii) Permanent member of the Supervisory Board of Mapfre BB SH2 Participações S.A. (since 2011), insurance company; (ix) Permanent member of the Board of Directors of Câmara Interbancária de Pagamentos (2014 to 2015), non-profit entity that works with payment and settlement of payments; (x) Director of Individuals of Federação Brasileira de Bancos (2010 to 2015), representative entity in the bank sector; (xi) Member of the Supervisory Board of Grupo Segurador BB (from June 2011 to June 2015). Graduate in Administration at Faculdade Sociedade Paranaense de Ensino e Informática (SPEI) in August 2002. MBA courses in General Qualification for Senior Executives at Fundação Getúlio Vargas (FGV-PR), concluded in August 1998, and Agribusiness at Escola Superior de Agricultura Luiz de Queiroz (ESALQ/USP), concluded in June 2002. Mr. Gueitiro Matsuo Genso represented for all legal purposes that in the last five (5) years, he was not

subject to any criminal condemnation, any administrative condemnation by the Comissão de Valores Mobiliários, or any condemnation on final decision, in the judicial or administrative spheres, causing suspension or disqualification for the engagement in any professional or commercial activity.

Oscar Augusto Camargo Filho

030.754.948-87

Member of the Board of Directors of Vale (since 2003), Member of the Strategic Committee (since 2006) and Member of the Executive Development Committee (since 2003). Main professional experience in last five years include: (i) Permanent Member of Board of Directors of Valepar S.A. (2003 to 2014), controlling shareholder of Vale, privately-held company acting as holding; and (ii) Managing partner of CWH Consultoria Empresarial (since 2003), consulting company. Graduate in Law at Law School of University of São Paulo (USP) Dec 1963, and post graduate in International Marketing by Cambridge University Sep 1970 Mr. Oscar Augusto Camargo Filho represented for all legal purposes that in the last five (5) years, he was not subject to any criminal condemnation, any administrative condemnation by the Comissão de Valores Mobiliários, or any condemnation on final decision, in the judicial or administrative spheres, causing suspension or disqualification for the engagement in any professional or commercial activity.

422

Т	ab	le	of	Cor	itents

Moacir Nachbar Junior

062.947.708-66

Deputy member of the Board of Directors and member of the Comptroller Committee at Vale (since 2015). Main professional experience in last five years include: (i) Permanent member of the Board of Directors of Valepar S.A. (since April 2015), controlling shareholder of Vale, controlling shareholder of Vale, privately held company acting as holding; (ii) Executive Director of Banco Bradesco S.A. (since February 2015), financial institution; (iii) Deputy Member of the Board of Associação Brasileira das Companhias Abertas ABRASCA (since April 2013), civil association that assists on the interests of open capital companies; (iv) Deputy member of the Board of Directors of Fidelity Processadora e Serviços S.A. (from February 2012 to April 2015), credit card processing company; (v) Member of Mesa Regedora of Fundação Bradesco (since March 2005), foundation working with education; (vi) Member of the Board of Directors of Fundação Instituto de Moléstias do Aparelho Digestivo e da Nutrição (since February 2012), hospital medical foundation; (vii) Deputy member of the Consulting Board of Fundo Garantidor de Créditos FGC (since 2013), fund that lends credit guarantees against associate institutions; (viii) Deputy Member of the Supervisory Board at TopClube Bradesco Segurança, Educação e Assistência Social (from April 2003 to April 2012), a social rights advocacy association; (ix) Director of 2bCapital Participações Ltda. (since April 2015); (x) Director of 2b Capital S.A. (since March 2015); (xi) Managing Director at BEC Distribuidora de Títulos e Valores Mobiliários Ltda. and of BEM Distribuidora de Títulos e Valores Mobiliários Ltda. (since April 2015).. Graduate in Accounting in December 1987, Post-Graduate Lato Sensu in Financial Administration in July 1990, at Faculdades Integradas Campos Salles , MBA Controller at FIPECAFI - Fundação Instituto de Pesquisa Contábeis, Atuariais e Financeiras -FEA-USP in November 2000 and Tuck Executive Program at Tuck School of Business at Dartmouth - Hanover, New Hampshire, USA in August 2009. Mr. Moacir Nachbar Junior represented for all legal purposes that in the last five (5) years, he was not subject to any criminal condemnation, any administrative condemnation by the Comissão de Valores Mobiliários, or any condemnation on final decision, in the judicial or administrative spheres, causing suspension or disqualification for the engagement in any professional or commercial activity.

Victor Guilherme Tito

044.878.356-82

Main professional experience in last five years include: (i) Chief of the Portfolio Management Department at Banco Nacional de Desenvolvimento Econômico e Social BNDES (since 2014), and institution controlled by shareholder with relevant stake at Vale, where he also served as (ii) Manager (2009 to 2012). Graduate in Economics at IBMEC in June 2004, MBA at London Business School, concluded in July 2014. Mr. Victor Guilherme Tito represented for all legal purposes that in the last five (5) years, he was not subject to any criminal condemnation, any administrative condemnation by the Comissão de Valores Mobiliários, or any condemnation on final decision, in the judicial or administrative spheres, causing suspension or disqualification for the engagement in any professional or commercial activity.

Sergio Alexandre Figueiredo Clemente

373.766.326-20

Permanent Member of the Board of Directors of Vale (since May 2014) and he was elected Vice-Chairman in 2015. Main professional experience in last five years include: (i) Member of the Board of Directors of Valepar S.A. (since May 2014), holding and controlling shareholder of Vale; (ii) Executive Vice-President (since 2012) of Banco Bradesco, a publicly-traded financial institution controlled by shareholders that have indirect control of Vale, where he also served as (iii) member of the Risk Integrated Management and Capital Allocation Committee of Banco Bradesco (since 2012); (iv) and member of the Sustainability Committee (since 2014)) (v) member of the Ethics Committee (since April 2015); and (vi) member of the Internal Controls and Compliance Committee (since June 2015); and (vii) Executive Director Manager of Banco Bradesco (2006 to 2012); (viii) Member of the Board of Directors of Cidade de Deus Companhia Comercial de Participações (since 2012), holding; (ix) Director of Nova Cidade de Deus Participações S.A. (since 2012), holding; (x) Vice-President of Bradesco Leasing S.A. Arrendamento Mercantil (since 2012), open capital company that acts in the lease segment; and (xi) Director at Bradespar S.A. (since 2014), an open capital holding, indirect controlling shareholder of Vale; (xii) Executive Director at Millennium Security holdings Corp, a Bradespar subsidiary, since June 2014; (xiii) Executive Director at Antares Holdings Ltda and Brumado Holdings Ltda., both Bradespar subsidiaries, since April 2014; (xiv) Executive Director at NCD Participações Ltda, an investment holding company in Bradespar (since 2013); (xv) Member of the Board of Directors at BBD Participações S.A., an investment holding company in Bradespar (since 2012); and (xvi) Member of the Comissão de Mercado de Capitais da Associação Brasileira das Companhias Abertas ABRASCA (since 2015), a civil association working on behalf of publicly traded companies. To learn about other positions where Mr. Sergio Alexandre Figueiredo Clemente served in other companies, see item 12.13 in this Reference Form. Graduate in Mechanic Engineering at PUC

MG in 1983, and Executive MBA in Finance at IBMEC in 1987, as well as specialization in Finance, at PDG-EXEC of Sociedade de Desenvolvimento Empresarial in 1992 and, participation in PGA - Programa de Gestão Avançada, at Fundação Dom Cabral and INSEAD in 2006. Mr. Sergio Alexandre Figueiredo Clemente represented for all legal purposes that in the last five (5) years, he was not subject to any criminal condemnation, any administrative condemnation by the Comissão de Valores Mobiliários, or any condemnation on final decision, in the judicial or administrative spheres, causing suspension or disqualification for the engagement in any professional or commercial activity.

Carlos Roberto de Assis Ferreira

342.680.066-72

Employee at Vale S.A. (since 1979), having served in different positions, and the most recent position held was mechanic train operator; assigned to Sindicato Metabase de Itabira e Região. Main professional experience in last five years include: treasury director at Sindicato Metabase de Itabira e Região (since 2014), class entity. High School finished at Escola Estadual Zeca Amâncio, Itabira, State of Minas Gerais. Mr. Carlos Roberto de Assis Ferreira represented for all legal purposes that in the last five (5) years, he was not subject to any criminal condemnation, any administrative condemnation by the Comissão de Valores Mobiliários, or any condemnation on final decision, in the judicial or administrative spheres, causing suspension or disqualification for the engagement in any professional or commercial activity

Robson Rocha

298.270.436-68

Deputy member of the Board of Directors of Vale (since 2015). Main professional experience in last five years include: (i) Permanent member of Vale s Board of Directors (from 2011 to 2015), (ii) Deputy Member of the Board of Directors of Valepar S.A. (since 2015), controlling shareholder of Vale, controlling shareholder of Vale, privately held company acting as holding; (iii) Vice-President de of Personnel Management e Sustainable Development at Banco do Brasil S.A. (from 2009 to 2015), open capital financial institution and (iv) Vice-Chairman of the Board of Directors of CPFL Energia S.A. (2010 to 2011), open capital company acting as holding in the power sector, controlled by PREVI Banco do Brasil s Employees Pension Fund, a pension fund that has indirect stake in the Company through Litel Participações S.A., which, in turn is a shareholder in the controlling block of Valepar S.A., controlling shareholder of the Company; and, (iv) Member of the Board of Directors of Banco Nossa Caixa S.A. (from May 2009 to November 2009), a financial institution incorporated by Banco do Brasil. Graduate in Business Administration at UNICENTRO Newton Paiva, Belo Horizonte, Dec 1998, Course in Basic General Training for Senior Executives by Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais (UFMG) in December 1997, post graduate in Strategic Management by UFMG concluded in March 2001; master s degree in Marketing by Fundação Ciências Humanas Pedro Leopoldo concluded in December 2001, and MBA in Finance by Fundação Dom Cabral, concluded in December 2012. Mr. Robson Rocha represented for all legal purposes that in the last five (5) years, he was not subject to any criminal condemnation, any administrative

condemnation by the Comissão de Valores Mobiliários, or any condemnation on final decision, in the judicial or administrative spheres, causing suspension or disqualification for the engagement in any professional or commercial activity.

Lucio Azevedo

526.635.317-15

Member of Vale s Board of Directors (since 2015). Employee at Vale S.A. (since 1985), working as train operator, assigned to Sindicato dos Trabalhadores em Empresas Ferroviárias dos Estados do Maranhão, Pará e Tocantins. Main professional experience in last five years include President of Sindicato dos Trabalhadores em Empresas Ferroviárias dos Estados do Maranhão, Pará e Tocantins (since 2013), class entity. Mr. Lucio Azevedo did not finish high school. Mr. Lucio Azevedo represented for all legal purposes that in the last five (5) years, he was not subject to any criminal condemnation, any administrative condemnation by the Comissão de Valores Mobiliários, or any condemnation on final decision, in the judicial or administrative spheres, causing suspension or disqualification for the engagement in any professional or commercial activity.

Motomu Takahashi

Passaport TR140020

Member of the Board of Directors of Vale (since 2016). Main professional experience in last five years include the following positions at Mitsui & Co., Ltd., an open capital trading company, indirect controlling shareholder of the Company: (a) Executive Deputy President of Americas Business Unit (2015 to 2016); (b) Senior Executive Director of Americas Business Unit (2014 to 2015); and General Executive Director and Director of Iron and Steel Operations Business (2011 to 2014); (d) General Director and Director of Iron and Steel Business Operations (2010 to 2011). Mr. Motomu Takahashi also acted in the following positions in steel companies that are part of the Mitsui Co. Ltd. economic group: (a) Secretary of Steel Technologies, Inc. (2007 to 2009); (b) CEO of Mitsui Steel, Inc., (2004 to 2005); (c) CEO of Mitsui Steel Development Co., Inc. (2002 to 2004). He graduated from Economy by Tokyo University in March 1977 and completed the Advanced Management Program at Harvard Business School in 2001. Mr. Motomu Takahashi declared, for all legal purposes that in the last five (5) years, he was not subject to any criminal convictions, or any administrative convictions by the Comissão de Valores Mobiliários, or any legal or administrative conviction on final decision that could cause the suspension or disqualification of his engagement in any professional or commercial activity.

Eduardo de Oliveira Rodrigues Filho

442.810.487-15

Deputy Member of the Board of Directors of Vale (since 2011) and member of the Financial Committee (since 2011) and the Governance and Sustainability Committee (since 2015). Main professional experience in last five years include: (i) Permanent member of the Board of Directors of Valepar S.A. (since 2014) and Deputy member of the Board of Directors of Valepar S.A. (2008 to 2012), controlling shareholder of Vale, privately-held holding company; (ii) Partner at CWH Consultoria Empresarial (since 2008), consulting company. Graduate in Civil Engineering at Pontificia Universidade Católica do Rio de Janeiro PUC-RJ, in Dec 1978, and post-graduate in Transportation Planning at PCL Politechnic of Central London, in October 2000. Mr. Eduardo de Oliveira Rodrigues Filho represented for all legal purposes that in the last five (5) years, he was not subject to any criminal condemnation, any administrative condemnation by the Comissão de Valores Mobiliários, or any condemnation on final decision, in the judicial or administrative spheres, causing suspension or disqualification for the engagement in any professional or commercial activity.

Luiz Maurício Leuzinger

009.623.687-68

Deputy Member of the Board of Directors of Vale (since 2012), where he also served in 2003. He served as Member of the Financial Committee (2007 to 2015) and Member of the Executive Development Committee (2012 to 2015) and member of the Governance and Sustainability Committee (2014 to 2015). Main professional experience in last five years include: (i) Deputy Member of the Board of Directors of Valepar S.A. (since 2014), controlling shareholder of Vale, controlling shareholder of Vale, privately held company acting as holding, where he also acted as (ii) Director; (iii) Director-President of Bradespar S.A. (since 2012), open capital company with interest in Valepar S.A., working as holding, indirect controlling shareholder of Vale; and (iv) Member of Board of Directors of Valid Soluções e Serviços de Segurança em Meios de Pagamento e Identificação S.A. (since 2006), current corporate name of American Banknote S.A., open capital company working with graphic services in general and plastic, magnetic cards, service provision and others. Graduate in Electric Engineering at Escola Nacional de Engenharia (currently Federal University of Rio de Janeiro UFRJ) on December 1, 1965. Specialization in Economic Engineering and master s degree in Electric Engineering at *Illinois Institute of Technology*, in Chicago, USA, both on July 1, 1968. Mr. Luiz Maurício Leuzinger represented for all legal purposes that in the last five (5) years, he was not subject to any criminal condemnation, any administrative condemnation by

the Comissão de Valores Mobiliários, or any condemnation on final decision, in the judicial or administrative spheres, causing suspension or disqualification for the engagement in any professional or commercial activity.

Dan Antonio Marinho Conrado

754.649.427-34

Permanent member of the Board of Directors (since 2012). Chairman of the Board of Directors of the Company (from 2012 to February 2016), and permanent member of the Strategic Committee of Vale (2012 to April 2015 and June 2015 to February 2016). Main professional experience in last five years include: (i) President of PREVI Caixa de Previdência dos Funcionários do Banco do Brasil (since 2012), a pension fund with indirect stake in the Company through Litel Participações S.A., which, in turn, is shareholder of Valepar S.A. s

controlling block, a controlling shareholder of the Company; (ii) Chairman of the Board of Directors (since 2012) and Managing Director of Valepar S.A. (2012 to 2015), controlling shareholder of Vale, privately-held company acting as holding; (iii) Deputy Member of the Board of Directors of Petróleo Brasileiro S.A. Petrobras (from July 2015 to November 2015), a publicly traded oil company; (iv) Effective Member of the Board of Directors of BR Distribuidora (July 2015 to November 2015), closed capital company specialized in the trade and distribution of oil products; (v) Member of the Board of Directors of Aliança do Brasil S.A. (2010 to 2011), privately-held capital insurance company; (iv) Deputy Member of the Board of Directors of Brasilprev S.A. (January 2010 March 2010), open capital pension fund; (vi) Member of the Board of Directors of Fras-Le S.A. (2010 to 2013), open capital company acting in the production of friction materials; (viii) Deputy Member of the Board of Directors of Mapfre BBSH2 Participações S.A. (since 2011), open capital insurance company; (ix) Director of Distribution São Paulo SP (2010 to 2011) of Banco do Brasil S.A., open capital financial institution, where he also served as (x) Vice-President of Retail, Distribution, and Operations (2011 to 2012). Graduate in Law at Universidade Dom Bosco/MS in December 2001, and MBA at Fundação COPPEAD/Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, in October 1998, and MBA in Business Management at INEPAD - Instituto de Ensino e Pesquisa em Administração/Universidade Federal de Mato Grosso - UFMT, in December 2009. Mr. Dan Antonio Marinho Conrado represented for all legal purposes that in the last five (5) years, he was not subject to any criminal condemnation, any administrative condemnation by the Comissão de Valores Mobiliários, or any condemnation on final decision, in the judicial or administrative spheres, causing suspension or disqualification for the engagement in any professional or commercial activity.

Marcel Juviniano Barros

029.310.198-10

He is Permanent Member of the Board of Directors of Vale (since 2012) and Member of the Executive Development Committee (since 2013).. Main professional experience in last five years include: (i) Security Director at PREVI Caixa de Previdência dos Funcionários do Banco do Brasil (since 2012), complementary pension fund, with indirect stake in the Company through Litel Participações S.A., which, in turn, is shareholder of Valepar S.A. s controlling block, a controlling shareholder of the Company; (ii) Permanent Member of the Board of Directors of Valepar (since 2012), controlling shareholder of Vale, privately-held company that acts as holding; (iii) from 1987 to 2012 he served in different positions at Banco do Brasil S.A., financial institution, where he also served as Union Auditor; (iv) General Secretary of the National Federation of Employees in the Financial Segment, where he coordinated international networks (2008 to 2011); and (v) Member of the Board of PRI Principles for Reasonable Investment of the UN since 2012. Graduated in History at FESB - Fundação Municipal de Ensino Superior de Bragança Paulista in 1995. Mr. Marcel Juviniano Barros represented for all legal purposes that in the last five (5) years, he was not subject to any criminal condemnation, any administrative condemnation by the Comissão de Valores Mobiliários, or any condemnation on final decision, in the judicial or administrative spheres, causing suspension or disqualification for the engagement in any professional or commercial activity.

Paulo José dos Reis Souza

494.424.306-53

Member of Vale s Supervisory Board (since April 2016). Main professional experience in last five years include: (i) Deputy secretary of Fiscal Policy at the Finance Ministry (since October 2015); (ii) Director of Programs at the Finance Ministry (2011 to 2015); (iii) Member of the Supervisory Board at Petróleo Brasileiro S.A. PETROBRAS, a publicly traded oil company (from April 2012 to April 2016); (iv) Member of the Supervisory Board at Banco do Brasil S.A., an open capital financial institution (from April 2012 to April 2016); (v) Member of the Supervisory Board at BR Distribuidora, a closed capital company specialized in the trade and distribution of oil products (2008 to 2012); (vi) Member of the Supervisory Board at Indústrias Nucleares do Brasil S.A., an open capital company specialized in the development of nuclear energy (2011 to 2012); and Member of the Supervisory Board at Conselho Fiscal do Serviço Federal de Processamento de Dados SERPRO, a close capital company specialized in information and data processing systems (April 2015 to April 2016). He graduated in business administration from Centro Universitário UNA in December 1987 with a major in Public Policy and Government Management from Escola Nacional de Administração Públicas ENAP, in June 1991, and he obtained a masters degree in Public Sector Economy from Fundação Getúlio Vargas FGV, in October 1997. Mr. Paulo José dos Reis Souza has declared for all legal purposes that in the last five (5) years, he was not subject to any criminal condemnation, any administrative condemnation by the Comissão de

Table of Contents

Valores Mobiliários, or any condemnation on final decision, in the judicial or administrative spheres, causing suspension or disqualification for the engagement in any professional or commercial activity.

Marcelo Amaral Moraes

929.390.077-72

Permanent Member of Supervisory Board of Vale (since 2004), where he served as Deputy Member of the Board of Directors (2003). Main professional experience in last five years include: (i) Member of the Supervisory Board of Acedo Ti S.A., a close capital company specialized in design, implementation, and maintenance of datacenters (since April 2016); (ii) Member of the Board of Directors of Eternit S.A., a open capital company specialized in several activities such as agribusiness, purchase and sale of gold, industrialized cement, concrete, plaster and other products (since April 2016) (iii) Executive Director of Stratus Investimentos Ltda. (2006 to 2010), private equity manager; (iv) Executive Director of Capital Dynamics Investimentos Ltda. (2012 to 2015), private equity manager; and (v) Observing Member of the Board of Directors of Infinity Bio-Energy S.A. (2011 to 2012). Graduated in Economics at the Federal University of Rio de Janeiro in January 1991, MBA by COPPEAD/UFRJ in November 1993, and post-graduation in Corporate Law and Arbitration at Fundação Getúlio Vargas in November 2003. Mr. Marcelo Amaral Moraes represented for all legal purposes that in the last five (5) years, he was not subject to any criminal condemnation, any administrative condemnation by the Comissão de Valores Mobiliários, or any condemnation on final decision, in the judicial or administrative spheres, causing suspension or disqualification for the engagement in any professional or commercial activity. Mr. Marcelo Amaral Moraes is an independent member of Vale s Supervisory Board. The criteria used to determine Mr. Marcelo Amaral Moraes independence was the provision set forth in section 3.13 of the Rules Governing the Listing of Securities on The Stock Exchange of Hong Kong Limited.

Oswaldo Mário Pêgo de Amorim Azevedo

005.065.327-04

Deputy Member of Supervisory Board (since 2005) and Controlling Committee (since 2015) of Vale, where he also served as Permanent Member of Supervisory Board (2004 to 2005). Main professional experience in last five years include: (i) Member of the Special Consulting Council of the Union of Private Insurance, Capitalization and Reinsurance Companies of Rio de Janeiro and Espírito Santo (since 2005); (ii) Deputy Member of the Audit Committee of Prudential do Brasil Seguros de Vida S.A. and Kyoei do Brasil Vale de Seguros (since 2014), insurance company, (iii) Director of Sul América Cia de Seguros Gerais (2008 - 2012), held insurance company; (iv) Ombudsman of Sul America Seguros, insurance company (2005 to 2012), where he also served as (v) Vice-President of Institutional Relations e Branches Abroad (1990 to 2010); (vi) Vice-President of the Union of Private Insurance, Capitalization and Reinsurance Companies of Rio de Janeiro (2007 to 2012); (vii) Deputy Member of the Board of Directors of Brasil Veículos Cia de Seguros (2006 to 2010) and of (viii) Brasil Saúde Cia de Seguros (2006 to 2010), insurance companies; (ix) Director and (xi) Vice-President of Sul America Cia. Nacional de Seguros, insurance company (1980 to 2010); (xii) Director and Vice-President of Nova Ação Participações S.A., open capital company engaged in asset management and interest in civil and commercial companies (2006 to 2010). Graduate in Industrial and Production Engineering at Escola

Politécnica of Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio de Janeiro (PUC-RJ) in January 1964. Mr. Oswaldo Mário Pêgo de Amorim Azevedo represented for all legal purposes that in the last five (5) years, he was not subject to any criminal condemnation, any administrative condemnation by the Comissão de Valores Mobiliários, or any condemnation on final decision, in the judicial or administrative spheres, causing suspension or disqualification for the engagement in any professional or commercial activity. Mr. Oswaldo Mário Pêgo de Amorim Azevedo is an independent member of Vale s Supervisory Board. The criteria used to determine Mr. Oswaldo Mário Pêgo de Amorim Azevedo s independence was the provision set forth in section 3.13 of the *Rules Governing the Listing of Securities on The Stock Exchange of Hong Kong Limited*.

Sérgio Mamede Rosa do Nascimento

650.042.058-68

Member of Vale s Supervisory Board (since May 2016). Main professional experience in last five years include: (i) Member of the Supervisory Board of Fibria Celulose S.A., a publicly traded company from the cellulose sector; (ii) Permanent Member of the Supervisory Board of Contax Participações S.A. (from 2009 to 2011), a publicly traded company; (iii) Member of the Supervisory Board of Marisol S.A. (from 2011 to 2012), an open capital company from the garment sector; and (iv) Managing Partner at Barra Livros Cursos Editora Ltda. (since 2011). He graduated in Physics Universidade de Franca in 1976, has a graduate degree in economic engineering from AEUDF Associação de Ensino Unificado

427

do Distrito Federal (1983), and MBA in Finance com IBMEC Instituto Brasileiro de Mercado de Capitais (1991). Mr. Sergio Mamede Rosa do Nascimento declared for all legal purposes that in the last five (5) years, he was not subject to any criminal condemnation, any administrative condemnation by the Comissão de Valores Mobiliários, or any condemnation on final decision, in the judicial or administrative spheres, causing suspension or disqualification for the engagement in any professional or commercial activity. Mr. Sergio Mamede Rosa do Nascimento is an independent member of Vale s Supervisory Board. The criteria used to determine Mr. Sergio Mamede Rosa do Nascimento s independence was the provision set forth in section 3.13 of the *Rules Governing the Listing of Securities on The Stock Exchange of Hong Kong Limited*

Raphael Manhães Martins

096.952.607-56

His main professional experiences in the last 5 years include: (i) member of the Board of Directors of Eternit S.A. (2015), a publicly-traded building materials company; (ii) Effective Member of Light S.A. s Supervisory Board (from 2012 to 2013, and since 2014), a publicly-traded electric power company; (iii) a member of the Supervisory Board of Embratel Participações S.A. (September to December 2014), a publicly-held holding company. He graduated in law from UERJ in February 1981. Mr. Raphael Manhães Martins stated, for all legal purposes, that in the last 5 (five) years, he was not submitted to any criminal convictions or administrative convictions imposed by the Comissão de Valores Mobiliários, or any other legal or administrative final convictions that could have suspended him or prevented him from practicing any of his professional or commercial activities.

Julio Sergio de Souza Cardozo

005.985.267/49

His main professional experiences in the last 5 years include: (i) chairman of the Supervisory Board of the Rio 2016 Olympic Games (since 2011); (ii) member of the Supervisory Board of Usinas Siderúrgicas de Minas Gerais S.A.-USIMINAS (from April 2012 to March 2016), a publicly-held steel company; (iii) member of the Supervisory Board of Fibria Celulose S.A. (since 2014), a publicly-held cellulose and paper company; (iv) member of the Supervisory Committee of Saraiva S.A. Livreiros Editores (since 2014), a publicly-held publishing company; (v) member of the Supervisory Board of Centrais Elétricas de Santa Catarina S.A. CELESC (2010 to 2013), a publicly-traded electric power company; (vi) member of the Supervisory Board of Bradespar S.A. (2013 to 2014), a publicly-traded company which owns stake in Valepar S.A., a holding company; (vii) CEO of BBA Aviation South America (since 2008), an aviation support services company; (viii) Executive Director of Ernst & Young (since 1985), where he was a partner (from 1990 to 2007), a consulting and audit services company; and (ix) an Audit and Internal Controls Professor at Fundação Getúlio Vargas FGV (since October 2009) and at the University of the State of Rio de Janeiro UERJ (1980 to 2014). He graduated in accounting and administrative sciences from Mackenzie University Rio de Janeiro, in December 1975 and December 1977, respectively. Mr. Julio Sergio de Souza Cardozo stated, for all legal purposes, that in the last 5 (five) years, he was not submitted to any criminal convictions or administrative convictions imposed by the Comissão de Valores Mobiliários, or any other legal or administrative final convictions that could have suspended him or prevented him from practicing any of his professional or commercial activities. Mr. Julio Sergio de Souza Cardozo is an independent member of Vale s Supervisory Board. The criteria used for determining the independence of Mr. Julio Sergio de Souza Cardozo was provided in section 3.13 of Rules Governing the Listing of Securities on The Stock Exchange of Hong Kong Limited.

Aníbal Moreira dos Santos

011.504.567-87

He is a member of Vale s Supervisory Board (since 2005), where he also held the position of Deputy Member (April-July 2005). His main professional experiences in the last 5 years include: (i) Member of Log-In Logística Intermodal S.A. s Supervisory Board (2009 to 2014), publicly traded company that operates intermodal transportation; (ii) Member of Supervisory Board of Associação dos Participantes Ativos, Assistidos e Beneficiários da Fundação CAEMI Supervisory Board (since 2013). He graduate as a technical accountant from Escola Técnica de Comércio da Fundação Getúlio Vargas in April 1962. Mr. Aníbal Moreira dos Santos stated, for all legal purposes, that in the last 5 (five) years, he was not submitted to any criminal convictions or administrative convictions imposed by the Comissão de Valores Mobiliários,

428

Table of Contents

or any other legal or administrative final convictions that could have suspended him or prevented him from practicing any of his professional or commercial activities. Mr. Anibal Moreira dos Santos is an independent member of Vale s Supervisory Board. The criteria used for determining the independence of Mr. Anibal Moreira dos Santos was provided in section 3.13 of Rules Governing the Listing of Securities on The Stock Exchange of Hong Kong Limited.

Sandro Kohler Marcondes

485.322.749-00

He is a member of Vale s Supervisory Board (since May 2016), where he also acted as Permanent Member of the Board of Directors (from April 2007 to March 2011). His main professional experiences in the last 5 years include: (i) an alternate member of Valepar S.A. s Board of Directors of (from 2009 to April 2015), controlling shareholder of Vale, a private holding company; (ii) Director of Banco do Brazil S.A. (since 2005), a financial institution, where he held several positions, such as Capital Markets and Infrastructure Officer (from 2013), Comptroller (of 2012 to 2013) and Commercial Director (2009 to 2012); (iii) Director of BB Leasing S.A. Arrendamento Mercantil (2005 to 2008), a private leasing company; (iv) Chairman of the Board of Directors of Banco do Brasil A.G., Vienna (from 2008 to 2009), Banco do Brasil S.A. s subsidiary in Austria; (v) an alternate member of the Board of Directors of Banco Patagonia S.A. (from 2011 to 2012), a publicly traded financial institution; (vii) member of the Supervisory Committee of Caixa de Previdência dos Funcionários do Banco do Brasil - PREVI (from 2012 to 2014), a private pension entity, that holds indirect participation in the Company by means of Litel Participações S.A., which, in turn, is a shareholder of Valepar S.A. s controlling block, controlling shareholder of the Company; (viii) a member of the Supervisory Board of Embraer (from 2014 to 2015) and (ix) member of the Deliberative Council of the healthcare plan operator CASSI Caixa de Assistência de Funcionários do Banco do Brasil (from 2012 to 2013). He graduated in business administration from Universidade Estadual Centro Oeste PR in December 1986, and completed his master s degree in business administration in April 1994 from Fundação Getulio Vargas (FGV). Mr. Sandro Kohler Marcondes stated, for all legal purposes, that in the last 5 (five) years, he was not submitted to any criminal convictions or administrative convictions imposed by the Comissão de Valores Mobiliários, or any other legal or administrative final convictions that could have suspended him or prevented him from practicing any of his professional or commercial activities. Mr. Sandro Kohler Marcondes is an independent member of Vale s Supervisory Board. The criteria used for determining the independence of Mr. Sandro Kohler Marcondes was provided in section 3.13 of Rules Governing the Listing of Securities on The Stock Exchange of Hong Kong Limited.

Paula Bicudo de Castro Magalhães

787.873.861-00

She is as alternate member of Vale s Supervisory Board (since April 2016). Her main professional experiences in the last 5 years include: (i) Chief of Staff for the CEO of the Agência Nacional de Aviação Civil ANAC (2012 to 2015), a federal regulatory agency that oversees civil aviation in Brazil; (II) Coordinator of technical analysis and information at the Ministry of Finance (2011 to 2012); (III) Member of the Statutory Supervisory Committee of Finame (since 2015), a Banco Nacional de Desenvolvimento Econômico e Social BNDES financing program; (IV) Member of the Statutory Supervisory Committee at Empresa Brasileira de Hemoderivados e Biotecnologia Hemobras (2011 to 2012), a publicly traded pharmacochemistry manufacturing company. She graduated in economics from the University of Brasilia in 1998 and got her master s degree in Economics of the Public Sector from the University of Brasilia in 2000. Mrs. Paula Bicudo de Castro Magalhães stated, for all legal purposes, that in the last 5 (five) years, he was not submitted to any criminal convictions or administrative convictions imposed by the Comissão de Valores Mobiliários, or any other legal or administrative final convictions that could have suspended him or prevented him from practicing any of his professional or commercial activities. Mrs. Paula Bicudo de Castro Magalhães is an independent member of Vale s

Supervisory Board. The criteria used for determining the independence of Mrs. Paula Bicudo de Castro Magalhães was provided in section 3.13 of Rules Governing the Listing of Securities on The Stock Exchange of Hong Kong Limited.

Table of Contents

Arthur Prado Silva

991.897.047-20

He is an alternate member of the Board of Directors (since July 2015) and member of Vale s Governance and Sustainability Committee (since April 2015). His main professional experiences in the last 5 years include: (i) member of the Board of Directors of Valepar S.A. (since July 2015), controlling shareholder of Vale, a private holding company; (ii) Member of the Board of Directors of Litel Participações S.A. (since July 2015), indirect shareholder of Vale, a holding company, where he also acted as Chief Administrative Officer (from 2013 to 2015); (iii) Member of the Board of Directors of Litela Participações S.A. and of Litel B Participações S.A. (since July 2015), a publicly-held holding companies that are subsidiaries of Litel Participações S.A., and he also acted as Managing Director (from 2013 to 2015) in these enterprises; (iv) member of the Supervisory Committee of Tupy S.A. (from 2011 to 2015), a publicly-traded metallurgical company, controlled by PREVI Caixa de Previdência dos Funcionários do Banco do Brasil, a private pension fund, which holds indirect participation in the Company through Litel Participações S.A., which, in turn, is a shareholder Valepar S.A. s controlling block, controlling shareholder of the Company; (v) Member of the Board of Directors of Sul 116 Participações S.A. (2011 to 2015), a publicly-traded holding company; (vi) Member of the Board of Directors of 521 Participações S.A. (since 2006), a publicly-traded holding; (vii) Permanent Member of GTD Participações S.A. s Board of Director (2008 to 2013), a publicly-traded holding company; (viii) Alternate Member of the Board of Directors of Companhia de Energia Elétrica da Bahia Coelba (since 2003), a publicly-traded electric energy trading and distribution company; and (ix) Member Rio Grande Energia S.A. s Finance Committee (since 2003), a publicly-traded electric energy generation company. He graduated in law in December 1999, as concluded postgraduate studies in accounting and finance in May 2001, and in tax law, in March 2009, all from Cândido Mendes University, in addition to an MBA in corporate finance from Fundação Getúlio Vargas, in December 2004. Mr. Arthur Prado stated, for all legal purposes, that in the last 5 (five) years, he was not submitted to any criminal convictions or administrative convictions imposed by the Comissão de Valores Mobiliários, or any other legal or administrative final convictions that could have suspended him or prevented him from practicing any of his professional or commercial activities.

Marcelo Gasparino da Silva

807383469-34

Alternate member of Vale s Board of Directors of Vale (since May 2016). His main professional experiences in the last 5 years include: (i) member of the Board of Directors of Eternit S.A., a publicly-traded building materials company i (from 2014), where he also acted as (ii) Legal Committee Coordinator and Compliance (since may 2016); (iii) member of the Board of Directors of Centrais Elétricas Brasileiras S.A. Eletrobras, a publicly-held electricity company (since May 2016); (iv) member of the Board of Directors of Eletropaulo Metropolitana Eletricidade de Sao Paulo Sa, a publicly traded electric power distribution company (since May 2016), where he also acted as (v) member of the Supervisory Committee; (vi) member of the Board of Directors of Companhia Energética de Minas de Minas Gerais S.A. CEMIG, a publicly-traded electric energy company (since May 2016); (vii) member of the Board of Directors of Battistella ADM. Participações S.A., a publicly-traded holding company (since May 2016); (viii) member of the Board of Directors of Usinas Siderúrgicas de Minas Gerais SA-USIMINAS, a publicly-held steel company (from 2012 to April 2016), where he also acted as (ix) Chairman of the Board of Directors (from 2015 to April 2016); (x) member of the Board of Directors of Bradespar S.A., a publicly-traded company that owns stake in Valepar S.A., a holding company, indirect controlling shareholder of Vale (from 2015 to April 2016); (xi) member of the Board of Directors of Centrais Elétricas de Santa Catarina S.A. CELESC, a publicly-traded energy distribution company (from 2011 to 2014); (xii) member of the Board of Directors of Tecnisa S.A., a publicly traded construction company (from 2012 to 2014); (xiii) member of the Board of Directors of SC Gás (from 2012 to 2013); (xiv) member of the Supervisory Board of AES Tietê S.A., a publicly traded energy trade and distribution company (from 2013 to 2014); and (xv) member of the Supervisory Committee of Renuka Brazil (from 2014 to 2015). He is also (i) Chairman of the Advisory Board of the firm Gasparino, Sachet, Roman, Barros & Marchiori Advogados (since 2010), (ii) a certified Administration Adviser (since 2010) and he is a member of the Banco de Conselheiros do Instituto Brasileiro de Governança Corporativa IBGC (2011), (iii) member of Comissão Técnica da Associação de Investidores no Mercado de Capitais AMEC (since 2013), (iv) spokesman for the Grupo de Governança Corporativa GGC (since 2013) (v) the chapter Coordinator Santa Catarina (since 2014). He graduated in law in January 1995 from the Federal University of Santa Catarina, and have (i) a postgraduate course in tax administration from Escola Superior de Administração e Gerência ESAG/FEPESE, which he complete in December 2000 and (ii) a master s degree in Comptroller, auditing, and taxes from FGV/FEPESE. In 2007 he started his executive career as Legal Institutional Officer at CELESC (2007 to 2009), and participated in the Executive Program on Mergers and Acquisitions at the London Business School (2009) and in specific courses in the financial and strategic areas at the IOD-Institute of Directors in London (2009). Mr. Marcelo Gasparino da Silva stated, for all legal purposes, that in the last 5 (five) years, he was not submitted to any criminal convictions or administrative convictions imposed by the Comissão de Valores Mobiliários, or any other legal or administrative final convictions that could have suspended him or prevented him from practicing any of his professional or commercial activities. Mr. Marcelo Gasparino da Silva is an independent member of Vale s Board of Directors. The determination of independence results from the fact that (i) he does not have any link Vale, except for possible non-relevant stake in capital; (ii) he is not a controlling shareholder or spouse or relative up to the second degree to controlling shareholders; (iii) he is not bound by shareholders agreement; (iv) he has not been an employee or Director at Vale or of its subsidiaries or affiliated companies in at least 3 (three) years; (v) he is not and has not been, in the last 3 (three) years, a Member of Board of the controlled company; (vi) he does not provide, purchase, offers or negotiates, directly or indirectly, services and/or products to Vale at a relevant scale; (vii) he is not a spouse or relative up to the second degree of any Director or Manager of Vale; (viii) he does not receive other remuneration from Vale besides his board member fee; (ix) he is not, and he has not been in the last 3 (three) years, a partner of and audit firm that audits, or has audited, Vale in this same period; (x) he is not a member of non-profit entity that receives significant financial resources from Vale or its related parties; and (xi) he is not financially dependent on the compensation that shall come to be granted by Vale.

12.7/8 Composition of the statutory committees, and auditing, financial and compensation committees.

		_			
CPF or passport number	Other committee/other positions description	Number of Consecutive Terms	Date of Birth	Date Took Office	Elected by controlling company
Arthur Prado Silva	Governance and Sustainability Committee	Member	Bank employee	04/29/2015	Until the 2017 General Ordinary Meeting
Deputy member of Vale s Board of Directors		No	NA		63%
Clovis Torres Junior	Information Disclosure Committee	C Member	Lawyer	7/18/2011	Undetermined
General Consultant and Compliance Director	NA NA		NA		100%
423.522.235-04	Information Disclosure Committee		09/09/1967	11/01/2013	
Eduardo Cesar Pasa	Comptroller Committee	Coordinator	Bank employee	04/29/2015	Until 2017 General Ordinary Meeting
NA	No				100%
442.810.487-15	Governance and Sustainability Committee	3	08/20/1954	04/29/2015	
Eduardo de Oliveira Rodrigues Filho	Finance Committee	Member	Engineer	04/29/2015	Until 2017 General Ordinary Meeting
		432			
		434			

442.810.487-15	Governance and Sustainability Committee	3	08/20/1954	04/29/2015	
Fernando Jorge Buso Gomes	Finance Committee	Member	Bank employee	04/29/2015	Until 2017 General Ordinary Meeting
Governance and Sustainability	No				80%
Committee coordinator; member of	INU				8070
the Executive Development					
Committee and permanent member					
of Vale s Board of Directors.					
370.624.177-34	Governance and Sustainability Committee, Executive Development Committee	NA	06/06/1956	04/29/2015	
Fernando Jorge Buso Gomes	Finance Committee	Member	Bank employee	04/29/2015	Until 2017 General
					Ordinary Meeting
Governance and Sustainability Committee coordinator; member of the Executive Development Committee and	No				100%

permanent member of Vale s Board of Directors.					
004 400 007 00	NA	NA	00/20/1070	04/20/2015	
084.489.987-90	NA	NA	08/30/1979	04/29/2015	
Gueitiro Matsuo Genso	Strategic Committee	Member	Bank employee	04/29/2015	Until General Shareholders Meeting in 2017
Chairman of the Board of Directors. He has been a member of the Board of Directors since 2015	No				100%
636.831.808-20	NA	4	09/29/1946	04/29/2015	
Luciano Siani Pires	Risk Management Executive Committee	Permanent Member	Mechanical Engineer	07/25/2012	Undetermined
Executive Director of Finance and Investor Relations and member of the Disclosure Committee	No				100%
013.907.897-56			02/10/1970	08/01/2012	

Management Executive Committee					
250 210 020 60	N. 4	NIA	10/06/1051	0.4/20/2015	
250.319.028-68	NA	NA	10/06/1951	04/29/2015	
Marcel Juviniano Barros	Executive Development Committee (since 2015)	Member	Bank employee	04/29/2015	Until General Shareholders Meeting in 2017
Permanent member of Vale s Board of Directors	No				100%
092.364.177-79	NA	NA	10/23/1981	04/29/2015	
Moacir Nachbar Junior	Comptroller Committee	Member	Bank employee	04/29/2015	Until General Shareholders Meeting in 2017
Alternate Member of Vale s Board of Directors		No		04/29/2015	100%
212.466.706-82	Strategic Committee		06/22/1953	03/28/2013	
Murilo Pinto de Oliveira Ferreira	Strategic Committee	Permanent Member	Business Manager	04/29/2015	Undetermined
Vale s CEO and Permanent Member and Coordinator of the Disclosure Committee		No			100%

Oscar Augusto Camargo Filho	Strategic Committee	Coordinator	Attorney	04/29/2015	Until General Shareholders Meeting in 2017
Permanent member of Vale s Board of Directors and member of the Development Committee	Executive Development Committee				100%
030.754.948-87	Strategic Committee	7	03/09/1938	04/29/2015	
Oswaldo Mário Pêgo de Amorim Azevedo	Comptroller Committee	Member	Industrial Engineer	04/29/2015	Until General Shareholders
Deputy member of Vale s Supervisory Board	No				Meeting in 2017 100%
295.039.298-90	NA	NA		04/29/2015	
Ricardo Simonsen	Governance and Sustainability Committee	Independent Member	Mechanical Engineer		

NA Yes 88% Not currently bound to Vale, except for his position as member of the Committee or eventual non-relevant participation in Vale s capita or on in investment in bonds issued by the company, with financial independence from Vale s compensation; He was not an (ii) employee or administrator or the Company (or any of its subsidiaries_ or any of its, direct or indirect, shareholders, or, still, any, direct or indirect, representative of controlling shareholders, for at least 3 (three) years; (iii) He does not provide, purchase, or offer (negotiate), directly or indirectly, services and/or products to the Company at a scale that is relevant for a member of the Committee or for the Company; He is not bound (iv) to controlling shareholders, members of the control groul or of any group with relevant staje, spouse or up to second degree relative of theirs, or is in anyway linked to entities related to the controlling companies; He is not a (v) spouse of up to second degree relative on any of Vale s managers or directors; He was not a (vi) partner, in the last 3 (three) years, of any auditing first that audits or has audit Vale within the same

Edgar Filing: Vale S.A. - Form 6-K

			period; and;			
			(vii)			
			(viii) He is not a member of a nonprofit entity that is the recipience ot significant financial resournces from Vale or frmo any of its related parties.			
BA 783304	NA	NA	10/14/1964	12/07/2015	NA	
Roberto Moretzsohn 691.554.107-15	Risk Management Executive Committee	Member	Civil Engineer	12/07/2015	12/07/2017	
Roger Allan Downey	Risk Management Executive Committee	Member	Administrator	11/14/2014	Undetermined	
		437				

623.291.626-34	NA		04/01/1967	11/14/2014	
Rogério Tavares Nogueira	Disclosure Committee	Member	Engineer	01/01.2014	Undetermined
Director of Vale s Office of the Comptroller		No			100%
934.316.517-04	N/A		08/04/1967	12/07/2015	
Tatiana Boavista Barros Heil	Finance Committee	Member	Chemical Engineering	04/29/2015	Until the 2017 General Ordinary Meeting
Member of the Executive Development Committee (since 2015)		No			67%
028.694.677-70	Finance Committee	NA	05/01/1974	04/29/2015	·
João Henrique Moraes	Ethics Committee	Member	Engineer	11/01/2013	Undetermined
Vale s Ombudsman					50%
497.955.626-49	NA		07/28/1962	06/03/2014	
Ricardo Henrique Baras	Ethics Committee	Member	Business Manager	11/01/2013	Undetermined

Table of Contents

Audit Department	NA	No			100%
008.522.637-83	NA	NA	01/22/1972	05/06/2016	
008.322.037-83	NA	NA	01/22/19/2	03/00/2010	

439

Professional background/Statement of Convictions/Independence Criterion

Arthur Prado Silva

991.897.047-20

Mr. Arthur Prado Silva is not an independent member of the Governance and Sustainability Committee. For additional information, since Mr. Arthur Prado Silva is a member of the Board of Directors, please refer to item 12.5/6 this Reference Form.

Clovis Torres Junior

423.522.235-04

Mr. Clovis Torres Junior is not an independent member of the Disclosure Committee.

Clovis Torres Junior

423.522.235-04

Mr. Clovis Torres Junior is not an independent member of the Ethics Committee.

Eduardo Cesar Pasa

541.035.920-87

Mr. Eduardo Cesar Pasa is not an independent member of the Comptroller s Office.

Eduardo de Oliveira Rodrigues Filho

442.810.487-15

Mr. Eduardo de Oliveira Rodrigues Filho is not an independent member of the Finance Committee. For additional information, since Mr. Eduardo de Oliveira Rodrigues Filho is a member of the Board of Directors, please refer to item 12.5/6 this Reference Form.

Eduardo de Oliveira Rodrigues Filho

442.810.487-15

Mr. Eduardo de Oliveira Rodrigues Filho is not an independent member of the Governance and Sustainability Committee. For additional information, since Mr. Eduardo de Oliveira Rodrigues Filho is a member of the Board of Directors, please refer to item 12.5/6 this Reference Form.

Fernando Jorge Buso Gomes

370.624.177-34

440

Table of Contents

Mr. Fernando Jorge Buso Gomes is not an independent member of the Finance Committee. For additional information, since Fernando Jorge Buso Gomes is a member of the Board of Directors, please refer to item 12.5/6 this Reference Form.

Fernando Jorge Buso Gomes

370.624.177-34

Mr. Fernando Jorge Buso Gomes is not an independent member of the Governance and Sustainability Committee. For additional information, since Fernando Jorge Buso Gomes is a member of the Board of Directors, please refer to item 12.5/6 this Reference Form.

Fernando Jorge Buso Gomes

370.624.177-34

Mr. Fernando Jorge Buso Gomes is not an independent member of the Executive Development Committee. For additional information, since Fernando Jorge Buso Gomes is a member of the Board of Directors, please refer to item 12.5/6 this Reference Form.

Gilmar Dalilo Cezar Wanderley

084.489.987-90

Mr. Gilmar Dalilo Cezar Wanderley is not an independent member of the Finance Committee.

Gueitiro Matsuo Genso

624.201.519-68

Mr. Gueitiro Matsuo Genso is not an independent member of the Strategic Committee. For additional information, since Mr. Gueitiro Matsuo Genso is a member of the Board of Directors, please refer to item 12.5/6 this Reference Form.

Luciano Galvão Coutinho

Mr. Luciano Galvão Coutinho is not an independent member of the Strategic Committee. For additional information, since Mr. Luciano Galvão Coutinho is a member of the Board of Directors, please refer to item 12.5/6 this Reference Form.

Luciano Siani Pires

013.907.897-56

Mr. Luciano Siani Pires is not an independent member of the Risk Management Executive Committee. For additional information, since Mr. Luciano Siani Pires is a member of the Board of Directors, please refer to item 12.5/6 this Reference Form.

Luciano Siani Pires

013.907.897-56

Mr. Luciano Siani Pires is not an independent member of the Disclosure Committee. For additional information, since Mr. Luciano Siani Pires is a member of the Board of Directors, please refer to item 12.5/6 this Reference Form.

Luiz Carlos Trabuco Cappi

250.319.028-68

Table of Contents

Mr. Luiz Carlos Trabuco Cappi is not an independent member of the Strategic Committee.

Marcel Juviniano Barros

029.310.198-10

Mr. Marcel Juviniano Barros is not an independent member of the Executive Development Committee. For additional information, since Mr. Marcel Juviniano Barros is a member of the Board of Directors, please refer to item 12.5/6 this Reference Form.

Marcos Paulo Pereira da Silva

092.364.177-79

Mr. Marcos Paulo Pereira da Silva is not an independent member of the Comptroller Committee.

Moacir Nachbar Junior

062.947.708-66

Mr. Moacir Nachbar Junior is not an independent member of the Comptroller Committee. For additional information, since Mr. Moacir Nachbar Junior is a member of the Board of Directors, please refer to item 12.5/6 this Reference Form.

Murilo Pinto de Oliveira Ferreira

212.466.706-82

Mr. Murilo Pinto de Oliveira Ferreira is not an independent member of the Disclosure Committee. For additional information, since Mr. Murilo Pinto de Oliveira Ferreira is a member of the Board of Directors, please refer to item 12.5/6 this Reference Form.

Murilo Pinto de Oliveira Ferreira

212.466.706-82

Mr. Murilo Pinto de Oliveira Ferreira is not an independent member of the Strategic Committee. For additional information, since Mr. Murilo Pinto de Oliveira Ferreira is a member of the Board of Directors, please refer to item 12.5/6 this Reference Form.

Oscar Augusto Camargo Filho

030.754.948-87

Mr. Oscar Augusto Camargo Filho is not an independent member of the Strategic Committee. For additional information, since Mr. Oscar Augusto Camargo Filho is a member of the Board of Directors, please refer to item 12.5/6 this Reference Form.

Oscar Augusto Camargo Filho

030.754.948-87

Mr. Oscar Augusto Camargo Filho is not an independent member of the Executive Development Committee. For additional information, since Mr. Oscar Augusto Camargo Filho is a member of the Board of Directors, please refer to item 12.5/6 this Reference Form.

Oswaldo Mario Pêgo de Amorim Azevedo

005.065.327-04

Table of Contents

Oswaldo Mario Pêgo de Amorim Azevedo is not an independent member of the Comptroller Office. For additional information, whereas Mr. Oswaldo Mario Pêgo de Amorim Azevedo is a member of the Supervisory Board, see item 12.5/6 this reference form.

Ricardo Rodrigues Morgado

295.039.298-90

Mr. Ricardo Rodrigues Morgado is not an independent member of the Governance and Sustainability Committee.

Richard Simonsen

733.322.167-91

Mr. Ricardo Simonsen is not an independent member of the Governance and Sustainability Committee. The determination of independence was due to the fact that: (i) he does not have any link Vale, except for the position of member of the Committee or possible non-relevant stake in Vale s capital or in investments in bonds issued by Vale; (ii) he has not been an employee or manager at Vale or at its subsidiaries or for direct or indirect controlling shareholders for at least 3 (three) years; (iii) he does not provide, purchase, offers or negotiates, directly or indirectly, services and/or products to Vale at a relevant scale; (iv) he is bound to any controlling shareholder, member of the control group or of any other group with relevant stake, spouse or relative up to the second degree or linked to entities related to the controlling companies; (v) he is not the spouse or relative up to the second degree of any director or manager at Vale; (vi) he is not, and he has not been in the last 3 (three) years, a partner of and audit firm that audits, or has audited, Vale in this same period; and (x) he is not a member of non-profit entity that receives significant financial resources from Vale or its related parties; and (xi) he is not financially dependent on the compensation that shall come to be granted by Vale.

Jennifer Hooper

BA 783304

Ms. Jennifer Hooper is not an independent member of the Risk Management Executive Committee.

Roberto Moretzsohn

691.554.107-15

Mr. Roberto Moretzsohn is not an independent member of the Risk Management Executive Committee.

Roger Allan Downey

623.291.626-34

Mr. Roger Allan Downey is not an independent member of the Risk Management Executive Committee. For additional information, since Mr. Roger Allan Downey is a member of the Board of Directors, please refer to item 12.5/6 this Reference Form.

Rogério Tavares Nogueira

882.737.416-72

Mr. Rogério Tavares Nogueira is not an independent member of the Disclosure Committee.

Sonia Zagury

934.316.517-04

Ms. Sonia Zagury is not an independent member of the Risk Management Executive Committee.

Tatiana Boavista Barros Heil

028.694.677-70

Ms. Tatiana Boavista Barros Heil is not an independent member of the Finance Committee.

Tatiana Boavista Barros Heil

028.694.677-70

Ms. Tatiana Boavista Barros Heil is not an independent member of the Executive Development Committee.

João Henrique Moraes

875.353.197-34

Mr. João Henrique Moraes is not an independent member of the Ethics Committee.

Edécio Ribeiro Brazil

497.955.626-49

Mr. Edécio Ribeiro Brazil is not an independent member of the Ethics Committee.

Ricardo Henrique Baras

103.564.968-30

Mr. Ricardo Henrique Baras is not an independent member of the Ethics Committee.

Andre Luiz da Rocha Figueiredo

008.522.637-83

Mr. Andre Luiz da Rocha Figueiredo is not an independent member of the Disclosure Committee.

Existence of relationship (as a spouse or significant other) or kinship to the second degree related to managers of the company, entities controlled by the Company, and controlling shareholders

Justification for not completing the chart:

Each and every Member of the Board of Directors, Executive Board and Supervisory Board of Vale have declared, individually for all lawful purposes, that he or she is not related (as spouse, or significant other) or has any other kindred relationship to the second degree to (i) other managers of Vale; (ii) managers of companies controlled directly or indirectly by Vale; (iii) Vale s direct or indirect controlling shareholders; and (iv) the members of management of Vale s direct or indirect controlled entities.

In addition, all members of the Board of Directors, the Executive Board and of the Supervisory Board of companies controlled directly or indirectly by Vale have declared individually for all lawful purposes that the y have no conjugal or stable union or kinship to the second degree with entities controlled directly or indirectly by Vale.

12.10 Subordination, rendering of services or control relationships the between directors/officers, entities controlled by the Company, controlling shareholders, and others

		Relationship between Administrator and	
Identification	CPF/CNPJ	Related Person	Type of Related Person
Position/Role			
Fiscal Year 1659: 12/31/2015			
Issuer s Administrator			
Arthur Prado Silva	991.897.047-20	Subordination	Indirect controlling company
Member of the Board			
Related person			
Caixa de Previdência dos Funcionários	33.754.482/0001-24		
do Banco do Brasil - PREVI.			

Notes

Mr. Arthur Prado Silva is the Executive Manager of Caixa de Previdência dos Funcionários do Banco do Brasil - PREVI., which holds indirect participation in the Company through Litel Participações S.A., which, in turn, is a shareholder and member of Valepar S.A. s control block, controlling shareholder of the Company.

		Type of relationship between administrator	
Identification	CPF/CNPJ	and related person	Type of related person
Position/Role			
Fiscal year 12/31/2014			
Issuer s Administrator			
Arthur Prado Silva	991.897.047-20	Subordination	Indirect Controller
Director			
Related person			
Caixa de Previdência dos	33.754.482/0001-24		
Funcionários do Banco do Brasil -			
PREVI.			

Note

Mr. Arthur Prado Silva is the Executive Manager of Caixa de Previdência dos Funcionários do Banco do Brasil - PREVI., which holds indirect participation in the Company through Litel Participações S.A., which, in turn, is a shareholder and member of Valepar S.A. s control block, controlling shareholder of the Company.

		Type of relationship	
		between administrator	
Identification	CPF/CNPJ	and related person	Type of related person
Position/Role			
Fiscal year 12/31/2013			
Issuer s Administrator			
Arthur Prado Silva	991.897.047-20	Subordination	Indirect Controller
Director			
Related person			
Caixa de Previdência dos	33.754.482/0001-24		
Funcionários do Banco do Brasil -			
PREVI.			

Note

Mr. Arthur Prado Silva is the Executive Manager of Caixa de Previdência dos Funcionários do Banco do Brasil - PREVI., which holds indirect participation in the Company through Litel Participações S.A., which, in turn, is a shareholder and member of Valepar S.A. s control block, controlling shareholder of the Company.

Identification	CPF/CNPJ	Type of relationship between administrator and related person	Type of related person
Position/Role		_	
Fiscal year 12/31/2015			
Issuer s Administrator			
Victor Guilherme Tito	044.878.356-82	Subordination	Indirect Controller
Director			
Related person			
Banco Nacional de Desenvolvimento Econômico e Social - BNDES	33.657.248/0001-89		

Note

Mr. Victor Guilherme Tito is employee of BNDES.

Identification	CPF/CNPJ	Type of relationship between administrator and related person	Type of related person
Position/Role		•	• •
Fiscal year 12/31/2014			
Issuer s Administrator			
Victor Guilherme Tito	044.878.356-82	Subordination	Indirect Controller
Director			
Related person			
Banco Nacional de Desenvolvimento	33.657.248/0001-89		
Econômico e Social - BNDES			

Note

Mr. Victor Guilherme Tito is an employee of BNDES.

Identification	CPF/CNPJ	Type of relationship between administrator and related person	Type of related person
Position/Role			
Fiscal year 12/31/2013			
Issuer s Administrator			
Victor Guilherme Tito	044.878.356-82	Subordination	Indirect Controller
Director			
Related person			
Banco Nacional de Desenvolvimento Econômico e Social - BNDES	33.657.248/0001-89		

Note

Mr. Victor Guilherme Tito is an employee of BNDES.

12.11 Agreements, including insurance policies for the payment or reimbursement of expenses incurred by directors/officers

Vale maintains a global coverage liability insurance policy for Directors and Administrators with a group of insurers lead by Zurich Insurance, Plc, through payment of a premium of US\$ 230,981.77, which was in effect between February 28, 2015 and February 29, 2016, and with total indemnity limit corresponding to US\$ 150 million. Said policy was extended to May 31, 2016, in exchange for the payment of an additional premium in the amount of US\$ 307,745.71. This insurance covers members of the Board of Directors, Executive Board, Supervisory Board, and any other body mentioned in the Bylaws, as well as certain employees at the management and strategic levels, in both the Company and its subsidiaries (collectively referred to as the <u>Insured</u>). The policy covers financial losses resulting from claims against the Insured for acts or omissions in the exercise of their functions of employment. The policy, in addition to contemplating the repair of damages caused to third parties, Vale, and its controlled companies brought by government agencies, also covers agreements previously authorized by the insurer for the purpose of bringing to close judicial or administrative suits. In addition, the policy provides coverage for payment of defense costs of the Insured, if and when incurred. In addition to those coverage mentioned above, the insurance provides additional guarantees for liability cases that may affect spouses, heirs, successors, legal representatives, and persons designated by Vale to act as managers of external entities.

12.12 Vale s Corporate Governance Practices and the Brazilian Institute of Corporate Governance IBGC

According to the Brazilian Institute of Corporate Governance (<u>IBG</u>C), corporate governance is the system by which companies are directed and monitored, including the relationships among shareholders, board of directors, directors, independent auditors, and fiscal board. Basic principles guiding this practice are: (i) transparency; (ii) equity; (iii) accountability; and (iv) corporate responsibility.

The principle of transparency means that the management should engage the desire to inform, not only the company s financial performance, but also other factors (although intangible) that guide corporate actions. Equity means just and egalitarian treatment or of all minority groups, collaborators, users, suppliers, or creditors. Accountability, in turn, is characterized by the accountability related to acts of agents of corporate governance appointed, with full responsibility held by those engaged in the acts. Lastly, corporate responsibility represents a broader view of corporate strategy, including considerations of social and environmental nature in the definition of businesses and operations.

From corporate governance practices recommended by the IBGC in its Code of Best Practices of Corporate Governance, the Company adopts, including, the following:

- non-accumulation of the positions of chairman of the Board of Directors and President in the same person;
- clear nature of the By-Laws regarding the following: (i) competences of the Board of Directors and the executive Board; and (ii) system for voting, appointment, and removal and term of members of the Board of Directors and Executive Board; and
- transparency in the disclosure of management annual reports.
- policy to disclose information that governs the disclosure of information representing relevant acts or facts about Vale and its subsidiaries and, that elects our Executive Director of Investor Relations as the main responsible for the disclosure of information;
- policy to negotiate securities issued by Vale, approved by our Board of Directors; and
- code of ethics and conduct, to be complied with by all employees, executive directors, and members of the Board of Directors and its Committees, members of the Supervisory Board, contracted parties and anyone acting on behalf of Vale).

• A Global Anti-Corruption Policy, approved by Vale s Board of Directors and applicable to all the employees (temporary or not) and managers of the Company and its controlled companies, as well as all its vendors acting in the name of Vale and its controlled companies or in its interest. This policy sets forth the prohibition regarding some behaviors, as well as procedures to prevent and accuse corruption acts.

12.13-Other relevant information

Information regarding conditions for effectiveness of the position of Ms. Jennifer Anne Maki (12.5/item 6)

On May 21, 2015, Ms. Jennifer Anne Maki was nominated by the Company Board of Directors to act as Executive Director, responsible for Vale s base metals area. On September 24, 2015, Ms. Jennifer Anne Maki nomination was approved by the Board of Directors, contingent on approval of her visa and authorization to work by the Ministry of Labor and Jobs.

Change of Chairman of the Board (item 12.5/6)

On February 24, 2016, the Board of Directors approved the appointment of Mr. Gueitiro Matsuo Genso, as Chairman of the Board of Directors of Vale, replacing Mr. Dan Conrad who left his role as Chairman of the Board of Directors, but remains a member of the Board of Directors.

Change of Chairman of the Board (item 12.5/6)

On April 13, 2016, Mr. Hiroyuki Kato resigned as a permanent member of Vale s Board of Directors, a position he has held since 2014. In view of the vacancy of the position in accordance with \$10 of Art. 11 of Vale s bylaws, the Board of Directors approved on 27 April 2016, the appointment of Mr. Motomu Takahashi as a member of Vale s Board of Directors.

Information on approval of appointments for permanent and alternate members of the Board of Directors (item 12.5 / 6)

Given the vacant positions in the Board of Directors, pursuant to \$10 of Art. 11 of Vale s bylaws, Mr. Alberto Ribeiro Guth was appointed as a permanent member and Mr. Arthur Prado Silva as Mr. Dan Conrado s alternate member, in meetings of the Board of Directors held on June 25, 2015, and July 29, 2015, respectively, both to fulfill the through the first General Meeting. These appointments were confirmed at the Ordinary and Extraordinary General Meetings held together on April 25, 2016, and they will be filled until the next Ordinary General Meeting in 2017.

Additional information on the participation of members of Company s board of directors and supervisory board in meetings held by these bodies (item 12.6)

Board of Directors

Total Number of Meetings Held in the last fiscal year by the respective body since the % Participation of member in meetings held after the investiture

Administrator took office

Alberto Ribeiro Guth	8	100%
Arthur Prado Silva	7	0%
Lúcio Azevedo	10	80%
Victor Guilherme Tito	10	70%
Luciano Galvão Coutinho	10	0%
Eduardo de Oliveira Rodrigues Filho	10	0%
Oscar Augusto Camargo Filho	10	100%
Yoshitomo Mishimtsu	10	90%
Motomu Takahashi	Not applicable	Not applicable

Table of Contents

Luiz Mauricio Leuzinger	10	0%
Moacir Nachbar Júnior	10	10%
Sergio Alexandre Figueiredo Clemente	10	80%
Gilberto Antonio Vieira	10	0%
Gueitiro Matsuo Genso	10	90%
Robson Rocha	10	0%
Francisco Ferreira Alexandre	10	0%
Dan Antonio Marinho Conrado	10	100%
Carlos Roberto de Assis Ferreira	10	10%
Tarcisio José Massote Godoy	10	100%
Marcel Juviniano Barros	10	90%
Fernando Jorge Buso Gomes	10	100%
Marcelo Gasparino da Silva	Not applicable	Not applicable

Advisory Board	Total Number of Meetings Held in the last fiscal year by the respective body since member took office	% Participation of member in meetings held after the investiture
Oswaldo Mario Pêgo de Amorim Azevedo	10	0%
Sandro Kohler Marcondes	Not applicable	Not applicable
Marcelo Amaral Moraes	10	100%
Aníbal Moreira dos Santos	10	100%
Sergio Mamede Rosa do Nascimento	Not applicable	Not applicable
Raphael Manhães Martins	10	100%
Paulo José dos Reis Souza	Not applicable	Not applicable
Paula Bicudo de Castro Magalhães	Not applicable	Not applicable
Julio Sergio de Souza Cardozo	Not applicable	Not applicable

Additional information on the participation of Company s committee members in meetings held by these bodies (item 12.8)

Strategic Committee	Total Number of Meetings Hele the last fiscal year by the respective body since committ member took office	member in meetings
Murilo Pinto de Oliveira Ferreira	1	100%
Gueitiro Matsuo Genso	1	100%
Luiz Carlos Trabuco Cappi	1	100%
Oscar Augusto Camargo Filho	1	100%
Luciano Galvão Coutinho	1	100%
Finance Committee	Total Number of Meetings Hele the last fiscal year by the respective body since committ member took office	member in meetings
Gilmar Dalilo Cezar Wanderley	15	100%
Fernando Jorge Buso Gomes	15	80%
Eduardo de Oliveira Rodrigues Filho	15	93%
Tatiana Boavista Barros Heil	15	67%
	Total Number of Meetings Hele the last fiscal year by the	member in meetings
Governance and Sustainability Committee	respective body since committ member took office	tee held after the investiture
Fernando Jorge Buso Gomes	8	88%
Arthur Prado	8	63%
Eduardo de Oliveira Rodrigues Filho	8	88%
Ricardo Rodrigues Morgado	8	88%

Executive Development Committee		Total Number of Meetings Held in the last fiscal year by the respective body since committee member took office	% Participation of member in meetings held after the investiture
Oscar Augusto Camargo Filho	1	10	00%
Marcel Juviniano Barros	1	10	00%
Fernando Jorge Buso Gomes	1	10	00%
Tatiana Boavista Barros Heil	1	10	00%
		Total Number of Meetings Held in the last fiscal year by the	% Participation of member in meetings
Comptroller Committee		respective body since committee member took office	held after the investiture
Comptroller Committee Eduardo Cesar Pasa	4	member took office	held after the
Eduardo Cesar Pasa Moacir Nachbar Junior	4 4	member took office	held after the investiture
Eduardo Cesar Pasa		member took office 10 10	held after the investiture
Eduardo Cesar Pasa Moacir Nachbar Junior	4	member took office 10 10	held after the investiture 00%

Table of Contents

Disclosure Committee	Total Number of Meetings Held in the last fiscal year by the respective body since committee member took office	% Participation of member in meetings held after the investiture
Luciano Siani Pires	1	100%
Murilo Pinto de Oliveira Ferreira	1	100%
Clovis Torres Junior	1	100%
Rogério Tavares Nogueira	1	100%
Andre Luiz da Rocha Figueiredo	Not applicable	Not applicable
Executive Committee for Risk	Total Number of Meetings Held in the last fiscal year by the respective body since committee	% Participation of member in meetings held after the
Management	member took office	investiture
Luciano Siani Pires	1	100%
Roger Allan Downey	1	0%
Roberto Moretzsohn	1	100%
Sonia Zagury	1	100%
Jennifer Hooper	Not applicable	Not applicable
	Total Number of Meetings Held in the last fiscal year by the respective body since committee	% Participation of member in meetings
Ethics Committee	member took office	held after the investiture
Clovis Torres Junior	2	50%
João Henrique Moraes	2	50%
Edécio Ribeiro Brazil	2	100%
Ricardo Henrique Baras	2	100%
	455	

Additional information to item 12.10

Mr. Lucio Azevedo and Mr. Carlos Roberto de Assis Ferreira, permanent and alternate members of the Board of Directors, respectively, reported that, although they are Vale employees, they were assigned to the Sindicato dos Trabalhadores em Empresas Ferroviárias dos Estados do Maranhão, Pará e Tocantins and to the Sindicato Metabase de Itabira e Região, respectively, pursuant to the current legislation, and, thus, they have declared, for all purposes of law, that there is no relationship of service or control between them and (i) any direct or indirect company controlled by Vale; (ii) the direct or indirect controlling shareholders of Vale; or (iii) suppliers, customers, debtors or creditors relevant to Vale, its subsidiaries or controlling companies.

Information on general meetings quorums

Following is information on general shareholders meetings held in the last three years and during current fiscal until the date of annual filing of this Reference Form:

Date: 04/25/2016

Type of General Meeting Ordinary and Extraordinary

Second call No

Quorum The meeting was held on the first call with the presence of

shareholders representing 51.52% of our voting capital.

Date:105/13/2015Type of General MeetingExtraordinary

Second call Yes

Quorum The meeting was held on the second call with the presence of

shareholders representing 54.68% of our voting capital.

Date: 04/17/2015
Type of General Meeting Ordinary
Second call No

Quorum The meeting was held on the second call with the presence of

shareholders representing 55.88% of our voting capital.

Table of Contents

Date:12/23/2014Type of General MeetingExtraordinary

Second call No

QuorumThe meeting was held with the presence of shareholders representing

55.2% of our voting capital.

Date:05/09/2014Type of General MeetingExtraordinary

Second call Yes

Quorum The meeting was held on with the presence of shareholders

representing 52% of our voting capital.

Date:04/17/2014Type of General MeetingOrdinarySecond callNo

Quorum The meeting was held on with the presence of shareholders

representing 56% of our voting capital.

Date:05/07/2013Type of General MeetingExtraordinary

Second call Yes

Quorum The meeting was held on with the presence of shareholders

representing 57% of our voting capital.

Table of Contents

Date:04/17/2013Type of General MeetingOrdinarySecond callNo

QuorumThe meeting was held with the presence of shareholders representing

61.24% of our voting capital.

Executive positions occupied in other Companies or Entities by Members of the Board of Directors of the Company

Under the terms set forth in item 4.4.1 in the Trading Regulation of Corporate Governance Level 1 by BM&FBOVESPA S.A. Bolsa de Valores, Mercadorias e Futuros, find below a list of positions currently occupied by members of the Board of Directors of Vale S.A. at the Board of Directors, Supervisory Board, Committees and executive bodies of other companies or entities:

Member of the Board: Gueitiro Matsuo Genso (Chairman)

COMPANY	POSITION
Valepar S.A.	Chief Executive Officer
PREVI Caixa de Previdência dos Funcionários do Banco do Brasil	Chief Executive Officer
BB Mapfre SH1 Participações S.A.	Effective Member of the Supervisory Board
Mapfre BB SH2 Participações S.A.	Effective Member of the Supervisory Board

Member of the Board: Dan Antonio Marinho Conrado (Permanent)

COMPANY	POSITION
Valepar S.A.	Chairman of the Board of Directors
Mapfre BBSH2 Participações S.A.	Deputy Member of the Board of Directors

Member of the Board: Fernando Jorge Buso Gomes (Permanent)

COMPANY	POSITION
Valepar S.A.	Permanent Board of Directors
President and Investor s relations Director	Bradespar S.A.
Banco Bradesco BBI S.A.	Director
Sete Brasil S.A.	Member of the Board of Directors

Smartia Corretora de Seguros S.A.	Chairman of the Board of Directors
SMR Grupo de Investimentos e Participações S.A.	Chairman of the Board of Directors
BCPAR S.A.	Member of the Board of Directors
2b Capital S.A.	Member of the Board of Directors
LOG Commercial Properties S.A.	Member of the Board of Directors

Member of the Board: Marcel Juviniano Barros (Permanent)

COMPANY	POSITION
PREVI Caixa de Previdência dos Funcionários do Banco do Brasil	Security Director
Valepar S.A.	Permanent member of the Board of Directors
PRI - Principles for Responsible Investment UN	Member of the Board

Member of the Board: Oscar Augusto Camargo Filho (Permanent)

COMPANY	POSITION
CWH Consultoria Empresarial	Managing Director

Member of the Board: Lucio Azevedo (Permanent)

ENTITY	POSITION
Sindicato dos Trabalhadores em Empresas Ferroviárias dos Estados do	President
Maranhão, Pará e Tocantins	

Member of the Board: Luciano Galvão Coutinho (Permanent)

COMPANY	POSITION
Banco Nacional de Desenvolvimento Econômico e Social BNDES	Managing Director
Fundação Dom Cabral	Member of the International Consulting Board
Fundo Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico	Member of the Board
Fundação Nacional da Qualidade	Member of the Curator Board
Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Industrial	Member of the International Consulting Board
Petroleo Brasileiro S.A Petrobras	Member of the Board

Member of the Board: Sergio Alexandre Figueiredo Clemente (Permanent)

COMPANY	POSITION
Valepar S.A.	Vice-Chairman of the Board of Directors
Banco Bradesco S.A.	Executive Vice-President Member of the Integrated Risk Management and Capital Allocation Committee Member of the Sustainability Committee Member of the Ethics Committee Member of the Internal Controls & Compliance Committee
Associação Brasileira das Companhias Abertas - ABRASCA	Member of the Capital Markets Committee
2b Capital S.A.	Chairman of the Board of Directors
Aicaré Holding Ltda	Director
Alvorada Administrador de Cartões Ltda	Director
Alvorada Companhia Securitizadora de Créditos Financeiros	Director
Alvorada Serviços e Negócios Ltda.	Director
Amapari Holdings S.A.	Director
Andorra Holdings S.A.	Director
Antares Holdings S.A.	Director
Aporé Holdings S.A.	Director
Aquarius Holdings S.A.	Director
Aranaú Holdings S.A.	Director
Baíra Holdings S.A.	Director
Banco Alvorada S.A.	Director
Banco Bradesco Argentina S.A.	President
Banco Bradesco BBI S.A.	Vice-President Director
Banco Bradesco BERJ S.A.	Vice-President Director
Banco Boavista Interatlântico S.A.	Director
Banco Bradescard S.A.	Vice-President Director
Banco Bradesco Cartões S.A.	Vice-President Director
Banco Bradesco Europa S.A.	Member of the Board of Directors
Banco Bradesco Financiamentos S.A.	Vice-President Director
Banco CBSS S.A.	Director
Baneb Corretora de Seguros S.A.	Vice-President Director
Bankpar Brasil Ltda.	Vice-President Director
Bankpar Consultoria e Serviços Ltda.	Vice-President Director
Barinas Holdings S.A.	Director

BBD Participações S.A.	Member of the Board of Directors
BCN Consultoria, Administração de Bens, Serviços e Publicidade	Director
Ltda.	
BEC Distribuidora de Títulos e Valores Mobiliários Ltda.	Vice-President Director
BEM Distribuidora de Títulos e Valores Mobiliários Ltda.	Vice-President Director
BF Promotora de Vendas Ltda.	Vice-President Director
BMC Asset Management Distribuidora de Títulos e Valores	Director
Mobiliários Ltda.	
BP Promotora de Vendas Ltda.	Vice-President Director
Bpar Corretagem de Seguros Ltda.	Vice-President Director
Bradescard Elo Participações S.A.	Director
Bradesco Administradora de Consórcio Ltda.	Vice-President Director
Bradesco Leasing S.A. Arrendamento Mercantil	Vice-President Director
Bradesco Securities Hong Kong Limited	Chairman of the Board of Directors
Bradesco Securities, Inc.	Chairman of the Board of Directors
Bradesco Securities UK Limited	Chairman of the Board of Directors
Bradesco Services Co., Ltd.	Vice-President Director
Bradescor Corretora de Seguros Ltda.	Vice-President Director
Bradespar S.A.	Director
Bradesplan Participações Ltda	Director
Bradport S.G.P.S. Sociedade Unipessoal Ltda.	Manager
BRAM Bradesco Asset Management S.A. Distribuidora de Títulos e	Vice-President Director
Valores Mobiliários	
Brasilia Cayman Investiments II Limited	Director
Brasilia Cayman Investiments III Limited	Director
Brumado Holdings Ltda.	Director
BSP Empreendimentos Imobiliários S.A	Member of the Strategic Committee
Caetê Holdings Ltda.	Director
Carson Holdings Ltda.	Director
Celta Holdings S.A.	Director
Cidade Capital Markets Ltd.	Director
Cidade de Deus Companhia Comercial de Participações	Member of the Board of Directors
Columbus Holdings S.A.	Director
Companhia Securitizadora de Créditos Financeiros Rubi	Director
Elba Holdings Ltda.	Director
Elvas Holdings Ltda.	Director
Embaúba Holdings Ltda.	Director
Everest Leasing S.A. Arrendamento Mercantil	Director
Fundação Bradesco	Member of the Management Board

	Managing Director
Fundação Instituto de Moléstias do Aparelho Digestivo e da Nutrição	Member of the Board of Directors
i undação instituto de Molestias do Aparemo Digestivo e da Natrição	Managing Director
Ganant Corretora de Seguros Ltda.	Vice-President Director
Ibi Corretora de Seguros Ltda.	Vice-President Director
Imagra Imobiliária e Agrícola Ltda.	Vice-President Director
Japira Holdings S.A.	Director
Lecce Holdings Ltda.	Director
Lyon Holdings Ltda.	Director
Manacás Holdings Ltda.	Director
Manibu Holdings Ltda.	Director
Marselha Holdings S.A.	Director
Millenium Security Holdings Corp	Director
Miramar Holdings S.A.	Director
NCD Participações Ltda.	Managing Director
NCF Participações S.A.	Director
Nova Cidade de Deus Participações S.A.	Director
Nova Marília Administração de Bens Móveis e Imóveis Ltda.	Director
Nova Paiol Participações Ltda	Director
Promosec Companhia Securitizadora de Créditos Financeiros	Director
PTS Viagens e Turismo Ltda	Vice-President Director
Quixaba Empreendimentos e Participações Ltda	Director
Rubi Holdings Ltda.	Director
Serel Participações em Imóveis S.A.	Director
Settle Consultoria, Assessoria e Sistemas Ltda.	Director
ShopFácil Soluções em Comércio Eletrônico S.A.	Vice-President Director
STVD Holdings S.A.	Director
Tempo Serviços Ltda.	Vice-President Director
Tibre Distribuidora de Títulos e Valores Mobiliários Ltda.	Director
Tibre Holdings Ltda.	Director
Taíba Holdings Ltda.	Director
Tandil Holdings S.A.	Director
Tapajós Holdings Ltda.	Director
Titanium Holdings S.A.	Director
Top Clube Bradesco, Segurança, Educação e Assistência Social	Director

Table of Contents

Treviglio Holdings Ltda.	Director
União Participações Ltda.	Director
Varese Holdings Ltda.	Director
Veneza Empreendimentos e Participações S.a.	Director
Viareggio Holdings Ltda.	Director

Member of the Board: Alberto Ribeiro Guth (Effective)

COMPANY	POSITION HELD:
Via Varejo S.A.	Member of the Board of Directors
Centrais Elétricas de Santa Catarina S/A CELESC	Member of the Board of Directors
Angra Partners Gestão de Recursos Ltda.	Partner and Director
TG Participações SA	Member of the Board of Directors
Angra Infraestrutura Gestão de Informações Ltda.	Member of the Board of Directors
Estre Ambiental S.A.	Member of the Board of Directors
A Geradora Aluguel de Máquinas S.A.	Member of the Board of Directors
Aconcagua Investimentos e Participações Ltda.	Officer
Futuretel S.A.	Officer
Zain Participações S.A.	Officer
Neustift Participações Ltda.	Officer
Sul 116 Participações S.A.	Officer
Newtel Participações S.A.	Officer
Capinauá Empreendimentos e Participações S.A.	Officer
Invitel Legacy S.A.	Officer
Rio Barigui S.A.	Member of the Board of Directors

Member of the Board: Francisco Ferreira Alexandre (Deputy)

COMPANY	POSITION
BRF Previdência	Superintendent Director
Valepar S.A.	Deputy member of the Board of Directors

Member of the Board: Robson Rocha (Deputy)

POSITION
Deputy member of the Board of Directors
• •

Member of the Board: Moacir Nachbar Junior (Deputy)

COMPANY	POSITION
2bCapital Participações Ltda.	Director
2bCapital S.A.	Director
BEC - Distribuidora de Títulos e Valores Mobiliários Ltda.	Managing Director
BEM - Distribuidora de Títulos e Valores Mobiliários Ltda.	Managing Director
Banco Bradesco S.A.	Executive Director Manager
Associação Brasileira das Companhias Abertas ABRASCA	Deputy member of the Managing Board
Fundação Bradesco	Member of Mesa Regedora
Fundação Instituto de Moléstias do Aparelho Digestivo e da Nutrição	Deputy member of the Board of Directors
Fundo Garantidor de Créditos FGC	Deputy member of the Consulting Board
Valepar S.A.	Permanent member of the Board of Directors
Banco Bradesco S.A.	Member of the Disclosure Committee
Banco Bradesco S.A.	Member of the Sustainability Committee
Banco Bradesco S.A.	Member of Internal Controls and Compliance Committee
Banco Bradesco S.A.	Member of Integrated Risk Management and Capital Allocation
	Committee
Banco Bradesco S.A.	Member of the Ethics Committee

Member of the Board: Gilberto Antonio Vieira (Deputy)

COMPANY	POSITION
Confederação Nacional dos Trabalhadores nas Empresas de Crédito	General Secretary
Cooperativa Habitacional ANABB Ltda.	Deputy member of the Board of Directors
Valepar S.A.	Deputy member of the Board of Directors

Member of the Board: Yoshitomo Nishimitsu (Deputy)

COMPANY	POSITION
Valepar S.A.	Deputy member of the Board of Directors
Mitsui & Co. (Brasil) S.A.	Managing Director of the Mineral Resources Division
	464

Member of the Board: Carlos Roberto de Assis Ferreira (Deputy)

COMPANY	POSITION
Sindicato Metabase de Itabira e Região	Director of Treasury

Member of the Board: Arthur Prado Silva (Alternate)

COMPANY	POSITION HELD:
Valepar S.A.	Member of the Board of Directors
Litel Participações S.A.	Permanent Member of the Board of Directors
Litela Participações S.A.	Permanent Member of the Board of Directors
LitelB Participações S.A.	Permanent Member of the Board of Directors
521 Participações S.A.	Permanent Member of the Board of Directors
Serra da Mesa SA - SEMESA	Permanent Member of the Board of Directors
CPFL Geração de Energia S.A.	Permanent Member of the Board of Directors
Companhia Energética Rio das Altas	Permanent Member of the Board of Directors
Companhia de Energia Elétrica da Bahia - Coelba	Alternate Member of the Board of Directors
Rio Grande Energia S.A.	Member of the Finance Committee

Member of the Board: Marcelo Gasparino da Silva

COMPANY POSITION HELD:

Eternit S.A.	Member of the Board of Directors Legal and Compliance Committee Coordinator
Centrais Elétricas Brasileiras S.A Eletrobras	Member of the Board of Directors
Eletropaulo Metropolitana Eletricidade de São Paulo S.A.	Member of the Board of Directors
Companhia Energética de Minas de Minas Gerais S.A. CEMIG	Member of the Board of Directors
Battistella ADM. 521 Participações S.A.	Member of the Board of Directors

Mr. Tarcísio José Massote Godoy and Mr. Motomu Takahashi (Permanent Members of the Board) are currently not members of the Board of Directors, the Supervisory Board, Committees or executive bodies in other companies or entities.

Information about the party responsible for Internal Audit

Name Ricardo Henrique Baras CPF 103.564.968-30

Age 48

Occupation Business Administrator

Position Non-statutory Internal Audit Director

Date of election08/12/2013Date of appointment08/12/2013Term of officeUndetermined

Other positions occupied in the Company N/A

Professional Experience Audit Director of Suzano Papel e Celulose S.A. (2009 to 2010) and Audit Director of

Auditoria da Alcoa Alumínio S.A. (since 2010).

For information about the non-statutory advisory committees of the Board of Directors, see item 12.1 in this Reference Form.

Information on the training of employee on the Code of Conduct

Starting in 2013, when Vale s Code of Ethics and Conduct was revised Vale, Vale started to provide annual training to their employees on the contents of the Code of Ethics.

In 2014, training was conducted through leadership, starting with the Executive Board, which presented the Code of Ethics to their direct reports, and so it went on for each level of the hierarchy until it reaches the operational level. At the end of the training, employees received a printed copy of the Code of Ethics and signed an agreement to comply with it. Vale has achieved over 90% adherence.

In 2015, Vale, in turn:

- held the Movement for Integrity an event aimed at taking to all employees a message highlighting the importance of the Do the Right Thing value, focused on the Code of Ethics and Conduct and on the Global Anti-Corruption Program. The opening of the movement was attended by Vale s Chief Executive Officer, general consultant, and general ombudsman, who sent the message to the leaders that were in charge of sending the information to all employees. With the support of the Movement for Integrity, more than 70% of employees worldwide, have signed the agreement to comply with the terms of the Code of Ethics.
- (ii) other initiatives were implemented to bring awareness of Vale s rule of ethical conduct, such as: Ethics Today, a bimonthly publication of controversial issues on ethics to be discussed at staff meetings; and the video that introduces the Code of Ethics and Conduct to new employees and new contractors.
- promoted classroom training (on the Global Anticorruption Program) of members of areas considered priority for the mitigation of the risk of corruption (for example, those responsible for relations with government officials, outsourcing, donations, sponsorships, and investments in communities and acquisition of companies), which involved more than 2,700 participants in several countries where Vale operates (Australia, Brazil, Canada, China, Singapore, South Korea, United Arab Emirates, India, Indonesia, Japan, Malawi, Malaysia, Mozambique, New Caledonia, Oman and Taiwan)
- (iv) developed online anticorruption and Code of Ethics and Conduct training that are mandatory for all employees (and which must be completed by the end of 2016).

For the first half 2016, more than 70 classroom training groups are planned for more than 3,000 employees working in priority areas in all Vale locations in Brazil. Additionally, in the same year, in addition to maintaining the Movement for Integrity and Ethics Today initiatives, it will also be available for employees an online training on the Code of Ethics and Conduct.

Information on external and internal complaints concerning the Code of Conduct and the improvements achieved because of complaints

In 2015, the Vale s Ombudsman received 1,653 complaints, of which 24% could not be cleared because they lacked enough information to investigate the facts reported or because they were ethics related issued, and, therefore, were outside the Ombudsman s scope.

Table of Contents

Valid complaints were cleared and 47% were confirmed, generating 1,409 corrective actions, including feedback to employees, changes in process, coaching, warnings, dismissals and termination of business relationships with companies involved.

In addition to corrective actions, improvements in the investigative process has led to improvements in the actions taken by Vale s Ombudsman, including the creation of the guidebook with recommendations to manage consequences, for example, whose aim was to help the manager define administrative actions (e.g.: advice, warning letter, terminations, etc.) resulting from investigations confirmed by the Ombudsman.

13.1 Description of the compensation policy, including Non-Statutory Boards

a. objectives of the compensation policy or practices

According to the provisions of Article 10, Paragraph 4 of the Bylaws of the Company, the overall and annual compensation for Company Managers is set at the Annual General Meeting, and takes into account their responsibilities, the time they dedicate to their functions, competence and professional reputation, and the market value of their services.

Vale is one of the largest diversified mining companies in the world, and one of the largest private companies in Latin America. It has operations in over 25 countries having shareholders on every continent, and employing around 74,098 employees and 34,410 subcontracted workers active in its operations, as of December 31, 2015.

Clearly, Vale is a global company of great complexity and magnitude, whose administration requires an in-depth understanding of its area of business and market, combined with total commitment.

As global company, Vale is aware that retaining and engaging the right professionals in strategic roles, especially Executive Directors, is critical for its success today, in the mid and long terms. As such, the market is always the benchmark, from the perspective of global competition, thus Vale takes into consideration, to determine managers compensation, compensation policies used by top mining companies, as well as from large global corporations from other industries.

Tabl	e of	Contents
1 au	U OI	Contents

Thus, the goal of Vale	s compensation policy is to attra	ct, motivate, and retain	its talents, and considers i	narket practices at locations where	e the
company operates, its a	alignment with company strategy	in the short and long te	rms, its shareholder value.	and business sustainability.	

- b. composition of compensation packages, indicating:
- i. Description of the elements of the compensation packages and the objectives of each of them.

Executive Board

Fixed Compensation

Pro-labore. The compensation for the members of the Executive Board is made up exclusively of the payment of a fixed monthly fee; this fixed compensation is designed to remunerate the services of each board member, within their scope of responsibility as members of Vale s Executive Board. The overall annual compensation for the Managers, including the members of the Executive Board, the Fiscal Council and the advisory committees is set at the Annual General Meeting and distributed by the Executive Board.

Direct and indirect benefits. The members of the Executive Board do not have the right to direct or indirect benefits.

Participation on Committees. The members of the Executive Board do not have the right to remuneration for participation on committees.

Table of Contents
Variable Remuneration
The members of the Executive Board do not have the right to variable remuneration, including bonuses, profit sharing, participation in meetings and commissions.
Post-employment Benefits
The members of the Executive Board do not have the right to post-employment benefits.
Benefits Resulting from the Termination of Holding a Position
The members of the Executive Board do not have the right to benefits resulting from the termination of holding a position.
Remuneration Based on Shares
The members of the Executive Board do not have the right to remuneration based on shares.
Fiscal Board
470

Fixed Compensation

Pro-labore. The compensation for the members of the Fiscal Board is made up of a fixed monthly fee (honorarium), excluding benefits, representation monies, and profit shares. Members of the Fiscal Board are also entitled to refund for their costs with travelling and accommodation expenses incurred while performing their duties. Deputies are compensated when they undertake the function when a seat is vacant, or when the member of the board in question is absent or unable to exercise the function. The aim of the fixed compensation is to remunerate the services of each board member, within their scope of responsibility as members of the Company s Fiscal Board. The fees for Fiscal Board members are determined as a percentage of the average compensation to the Executive Directors.

Direct and Indirect Benefits. Members of the Fiscal Board do not have the right to direct or indirect benefits.

Participation on Committees. The members of the Fiscal Board do not have the right to remuneration for participation on committees.

Variable Remuneration

The members of the Fiscal Board do not have the right to variable remuneration, including bonuses, profit sharing, participation in meetings, and commissions.

Post-employment Benefits

The members of the Fiscal Board do not have the right to post-employment benefits.

Benefits Resulting from the Termination of Holding a Position

The members of the Fiscal Board do not have the right to benefits resulting from the termination of holding a position.

Table	of	Contents

Remuneration Based on Shares

The members of the Fiscal Board do not have the right to remuneration based on shares.

Table of Contents
Advisory Committees
Fixed Compensation
<i>Pro-labore.</i> The compensation for the members of the Executive Board Advisory Committees (Strategy Committee, Finance Committee, Executive Development Committee, Financial Control Committee, and Governance and Sustainability Committee) considers exclusively the payment of a fixed monthly fee (fees), except for committee members who are Vale Managers, who will not be eligible for compensation for sitting on the committees, as set forth in Paragraph 2 of Article 15 of Vale s Bylaws. The aim of the compensation is to remunerate each member s service within the scope of their responsibility as members of the Company s respective Advisory Committees. The compensation for Advisory Committee members is defined by the Board of Directors.
Direct and Indirect Benefits. Advisory committee members are not entitled to direct or indirect benefits.
Variable Remuneration
The members of the Advisory Committees do not have the right to bonuses, profit sharing, or commissions.
Post-employment Benefits
The members of the Advisory Committees do not have the right to post-employment benefits.
473

Table of Contents

Benefits Resulting from the Termination of Holding a Position

The members of the Advisory Committees do not have the right to benefits resulting from the termination of holding a position.

Remuneration Based on Shares

The members of the Advisory Committees do not have the right to remuneration based on shares.

Statutory Directors (Executive Board)

Fixed Compensation

Pro-labore. Statutory Directors are entitled to receive a fixed monthly compensation aiming to remunerate the services rendered by them within the scope of the individual responsibility attributed to each one managing the Company.

Direct and indirect benefits. Statutory Directors are entitled to a package of benefits that is also compatible with market practices, including private healthcare, hospital and dental care, private pension scheme and life insurance. Not only are the benefits in line with market practices, but they are also aimed at assuring the executives and their dependents peace of mind when it comes to fundamental issues such as healthcare.

Participation on Committees. Statutory Directors do not have the right to remuneration for participation on committees.

Variable compensation

Bonus. Statutory Directors are entitled to variable annual payment (bonus) based on the Company s earnings and defined by indicators and objectives, targets derived

Table of Contents

from the strategic plan, and the annual budget approved by the Executive Board. While assuring market competitiveness, the main aim of the bonus is to acknowledge an executive s contribution to the Company s performance and earnings.

Others. Statutory Directors do not have the right to variable compensation, profit sharing, participation in meetings, or commissions.

Post-employment benefits

Statutory Directors may have medical healthcare, hospital and dental care paid by the Company up to 24 months after their termination, in order to allow them to look for alternatives outside the corporative plan.

Benefits Resulting from the Termination of Holding a Position

The members of the Statutory Board do not have the right to benefits resulting from the termination of holding a position, except, however, as described in item 13.12 of this Reference Form, for eventual indemnity due to termination or no renewal of the employment agreement entered by and between these directors and the Company, provided that these events are a Company initiative. For more information, see item 13.12 in this Reference Form.

Compensation based on shares

Long-term Incentives ILP (Portuguese acronym) (by 2013, including) and Virtual Share Program PAV (starting in 2014)

The Long-term Incentives (ILP) represents a variable payment based on the Company s expected future performance aimed at retaining and engaging the Executives and aligning them with the future vision of the Company. The program was introduced in 2007 and the first payment was made in January 2010.

Starting in 2014, the ILP was replaced by the Virtual Shares Program (PAV), whose basis of calculation is the basis of compensation received by Statutory Directors, and the parameters of this calculation will be pre-established for each hierarchical level in each of the countries where the Company operates. The program lasts for 4 years and not for 3 years, as described in the above paragraph. The program allows early gradual payments, starting in 2014. Thus, payment can be made in accrued tranches of 20% (at the end of the second year), 30% (at the end of the third year) and 50% (at the end of the fourth year), for as long as performance conditions for each year are reached, as set forth in PAV, that is, placement of Vale within a group comprised by other 12 companies of similar size (peer group). The payment metrics is the Total Shareholder Return (TSR) relative to the peer group, taking into consideration the businesses and region in which Vale operates and the influence of the Brazilian market s fluctuation. If Vale is at the top of this ranking, the calculated value is increased by 50%. This percentage is decreasing, and on the third place, the value is unchanged, and starting on the tenth position, and lower, there is no payment whatsoever.

For further details, see items 13.1 (b)(iii), (c), and (d) and 13.4 in this Reference Form.

Matching

Like the PAV (and the ILP replaced by PAV in 2014), *Matching* is a variable, long-term form of compensation based on the Company's expected performance. The plan's purpose is to encourage an owner's feeling, while also helping to retain executives and reinforce the sustainable performance culture. The plan was established in 2008, and was altered in 2014 for purposes of changing the calculation methodology to enter the plan, now considering for such calculation (i) the portion of the fixed compensation received by beneficiaries of this plan, and (ii) parameters predetermined for each hierarchic level and country where the Company operates.

There have been some adjustments to the Matching program for fiscal year 2016 for purposes of allowing the engagement of the executive to this program in years when the net bonus is not sufficient for investment in the program. In those years, the executive may (i) acquire Company shares during 12 months to use the program, in pre-established purchase windows, as well as (ii) engage in the program using previously held shares (provided that these shares are not bound to the current open cycles in the program), according to criteria and conditions that are set forth in the manual of the *Matching* 2016 program. Additionally, it is important to note that adherence to the program is currently voluntary for all Vale executives, except for the President and the Executive Officers, whose adherence and maintenance to the program is mandatory whenever the net bonus is sufficient for investment.

For more information, including the methodology for calculation used to determine the value of the compensation of beneficiaries under this Plan, see items 13.1(b)(iii), (c), and (d) and 13.4 in this Reference Form.

Table	οf	Cor	iter	its

Non-Statutory Board

The non-statutory directors are Company employees with a labor contract. These directors may hold global Corporate or business unit functions or regional or local corporate functions, or are responsible for operational systems or areas in the Company s different businesses.

Fixed Compensation

Pro-Labore. Non-Statutory Directors are entitled to a fixed monthly compensation based on the Company s organizational chart, which is aligned to market practices. The aim of the fixed salary is, as set out in the labor contract signed by each executive, to remunerate the services rendered within the scope of responsibility attributed to each one undertaking their respective duties within the company.

Direct and indirect benefits. Non-Statutory Directors are entitled to benefits compatible with market practices, including medical healthcare, hospital and dental care, private pension scheme (Valia) and life insurance. Not only are the benefits in line with market practices, but they are also aimed at assuring the executives and their dependents peace of mind when it comes to fundamental issues such as healthcare.

For more information about the Complementary Benefits plan (Valia), see item 13.10 of the Reference Form.

Participation on Committees. The non-statuary directors do not have the right to remuneration for participation on committees.

Variable compensation

Profit Sharing (PLR). Variable annual payment based on the Company's earnings, and team performance, defined by indicators and objective, measurable targets derived from the strategic plan, and the annual budget approved by the Executive Board. While assuring market competitiveness, the main aim of this program is to acknowledge

the contribution by an executive, and other employees, to the Company s performance and earnings. Item 13.1 (d) below, describes in detail the methodology for calculation used to determine the value of the compensation of the Non-Executive Board, pursuant to what is stated below.

Other. Non-Statutory Board members are not entitled to bonus, remuneration for participation in meetings, or commissions.

Post-employment benefits

Non-Statutory Directors may enjoy the Medical, Dental, Hospital Assistance paid by the Company after termination of their employment, in order to be able to look for alternatives outside the corporate plan.

Benefits Resulting from the Termination of Holding a Position

Non-Statutory Directors may receive customized service of orientation for outplacement to be performed by the specialist company appointed by Vale

Compensation based on shares

Long-Term Incentive ILP (by 2013, including) and Virtual Share Program PAV (starting in 2014)

The ILP represents a variable payment based on the Company expected future performance and aimed at retaining and engaging the Executives and aligning them with the vision of the Company. The program was introduced in 2007 and the first payment was made in January 2010. Starting in 2014, the ILP was replaced by the PAV, whose basis of calculation is the basis of compensation received by Statutory Directors, and the parameters of this calculation will be pre-established for each hierarchical level in each of the countries where the Company operates. The program lasts for 4 years and not for 3 years. Additionally, PAV allows early gradual payments, starting in 2014. Thus, payment can be made in accrued tranches of 20% (at the end of the second year), 30% (at the end of the third year) and 50% (at the end of the fourth year), for as long as performance conditions for each year are reached, as set forth in PAV, that is, placement of Vale within a group comprised by other 12

Table of Contents

companies of similar size (peer group). The payment metrics is the Total Shareholder Return (TSR) relative to the peer group, taking into consideration the businesses and region in which Vale operates and the influence of the Brazilian market s fluctuation. If Vale is at the top of this ranking, the calculated value is increased by 50%. This percentage is decreasing, and on the third place, the value is unchanged, and starting on the tenth position, and lower, there is no payment whatsoever.

For further details, see items 13.1 (b)(iii), (c) and (d) and 13.4 in this Reference Form.

Matching

Like PAV (and the ILP, replaced by PAV in 2014) *Matching* is a variable, long-term form of compensation based on the Company s expected performance. The plan s purpose is to encourage a feeling of ownership, while also helping to retain executives and reinforce the sustainable performance culture. The plan was established in 2008, and was altered in 2014 for purposes of changing the calculation methodology to enter the plan, now considering for such calculation (i) the monthly portion of the fixed compensation received by beneficiaries of this plan, and (ii) parameters predetermined for each hierarchic level and country where the Company operates.

There have been some adjustments to the Matching program for fiscal year 2016 for purposes of allowing the engagement of the executive to this program in years when the net bonus is not sufficient for investment in the program. In those years, the executive may (i) acquire Company shares during 12 months to use the program, in pre-established purchase windows, as well as (ii) engage in the program using previously held shares (provided that these shares are not bound to the current open cycles in the program), according to criteria and conditions that are set forth in the manual of the *Matching* 2016 program. Additionally, it is important to note that adherence to the program is currently voluntary for all Vale executives, except for the President and the Executive Officers, whose adherence and maintenance to the program is mandatory whenever the net bonus is sufficient for investment.

For more information, including the methodology for calculation used to determine the value of the compensation of beneficiaries under this Plan, see items 13.1(b)(iii), (c), and (d) and 13.4 in this Reference Form.

479

Non-Statutory Committees

The Company also has three non-statutory committees: the Risk Committee, the Information Disclosure Committee, and the Ethics Committee. All the seats on the non-statutory committees are held by the Company statutory, non-statutory directors and other leaders, who do not receive any extra compensation for this function, whether fixed or variable.

ii. Regarding the last 3 fiscal years, proportion of each element to make up the total compensation package

The approximate proportions of each element in the total compensation for fiscal years 2013, 2014, and 2015 were as shown in the tables below:

Fiscal Year 2013

Compensation Composition	Executive Board	Fiscal Board	Statutory Board	Non-Statutory Board	Committees(1)
Monthly Fixed Compensation					
Wage or Pro-Labore	89.21%	83.33%	33.36%	36.00%	100.00%
Direct or Indirect Benefits			15.77%	10.00%	
Advisory Committees					
Others(2)	10.79%	16.67%	6.17%		
Variable Compensation					

480

Table of Contents

Bonus			30.61%		
Profit Sharing				41.00%	
Participation in Meetings					
Commissions					
Others(2)			7.91%		
Benefits Post Labor					
Resignation			1.96%		
Compensation Based on Shares			4.22%	13.00%	
TOTAL	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

⁽¹⁾ Excluding members of these committees who are members of the board of the Company.

(2) Payments related to Vale s portion of social security - INSS.

Fiscal Year 2014

Compensation Composition	Executive Board	Fiscal Board	Statutory Board	Non-Statutory Board	Committees(1)
Fixed Compensation					
Wage or Pro-Labore	89.26%	83.33%	31.19%	33.38%	83.33.00%
Direct or Indirect Benefits			12.62%	17.41%	
Advisory Committees					
Others(2)	10.74%	16.67%	6.18%	10.16%	16.67%
Variable Compensation					
Bonus			39.12%		
Profit Sharing				25.03%	

Table of Contents

Participation in Meetings					
Commissions					
Others(2)			8.34%	6.51%	
Benefits Post Labor					
Resignation					
Compensation Based on Shares					
TOTAL	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

- (1) Excluding members of these committees who are members of the board of the Company.
- (2) Payments related to Vale s portion of social security INSS.

Fiscal Year 2015

Compensation Composition	Executive Board	Fiscal Board	Statutory Board	Non-Statutory Board	Committees(1)
Monthly Fixed Compensation					
Wage or Pro-Labore	87.95%	83.33%	23.88%	42.00	85.77%
Direct or Indirect Benefits			10.29%	10.00%	
Advisory Committees					
Others(2)	12.05%	16.67%	4.76%		14.23%
Variable Compensation					
Bonus			28.79%		
Profit Sharing				42.00%	

Participation in Meetings					
Commissions					
Others(2)			10.03%		
Benefits Post Labor					
Resignation			20.55%		
Compensation Based on Shares			1.71%	6.00%	
TOTAL	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

- (1) Excluding members of these committees who are members of the board of the Company.
- (2) Payments related to Vale s portion of social security INSS.

iii. Methodology for the calculation and readjustment of each compensation element

The global annual compensation to managers, including members of the Board of Directors, the Statutory Board, the Fiscal Board and Advisory Committees, is determined at the Annual General Meeting and distributed by the Board of Directors.

Executive Board

The fixed compensation of the principal members of the Executive Board is represented by the fixed monthly payments (fees), while the fixed monthly compensation for deputies is 50% of the value received by the principal members of the Executive Board. Values are annually defined according to the market practice, checked through referential researches conducted by specialized companies, where the effect of the compensation for similar companies can be observed. There is no variable compensation for the members of the Executive Board.

Table	e of	Contents

Fiscal Board

The fixed compensation of the members of the Fiscal Board is represented by the fixed monthly payments (fees), having as reference the value of 10% of the compensation that, in average, is granted to the Statutory Directors, not computing the benefits, representation monies, and profits participation. The members of the Fiscal Board also have the right to a refund for transport and lodging expenses that are necessary in performing their duties, and deputies will be paid only when they act as members due to vacancy, impediment or absence of the respective member. There is no variable compensation for the members of the Fiscal Board.

Advisory Committees

For members of the Advisory Committees of the Executive Board - Strategic Committee, Financial Committee, Executive Performance Committee, Controlling Committee, and Governance and Sustainability Committee compensation considers solely the payment of a fixed monthly compensation (fees), except for committee members that are Vale managers, who will not receive compensation for sitting in the committees, as per the terms of §2 of Article 15 of Vale s Bylaws. The members of the Advisory committee also do not receive any other type of fixed compensation. Contractual compensation has the purpose of compensating the services of each advisor within the scope of the responsibility attributed to each of the Company s Advisory Committees, defined by the Board of Directors. Determination and adjustment of the compensation for the member of the Advisory Committee are based on the fixed compensation determined for the Board of Directors.

Executive (Statutory) Directors

The fixed compensation of the Statutory Directors is represented by the fixed monthly payment, defined according to the market practice, checked through referential researches conducted by specialized companies where the effect of the compensation for similar companies can be observed, and annually readjusted by the National Broad Consumer Price Index (IPCA), and assessed by the Executive Development Committee and approved by the Board of Directors.

Direct and indirect benefits (medical healthcare, hospital care, dental care, private pension plan, and life insurance) to which they have the right, are calculated according to the market practice checked through referential research conducted by specialized companies where the effect of the

concession of benefits for the participating companies of similar size can be observed and assessed by the Executive Development Committee and approved by the Board of Directors.

The bonus of the Statutory Directors is calculated based on the earnings of the Company, and may vary between 0% and 200% of the target established considering the market; this depends on the goals set forth and the cash generation of the Company for each fiscal year. Adjustment of fees arising out of IPCA or other merits reflect directly on other elements of the compensation, since they use the fees as basis.

Until 2013 (including), the methodology for the calculation of the compensation based on shares of the Statutory Directors (under the ILP) considered the 75% percentage of the bonus for Executive Directors, and 125% of the bonus for the President, out of the value effectively paid as such, and transformed, as reference, into a number of common shares issued by Vale (virtual shares), considered the average quotation of the common shares issued by the Company during the last 60 trading days of the last fiscal. If the executive member remains in the Company, by the end of three years the number of virtual shares is transformed into a monetary value by the average quotation of the common shares issued by the Company of the last 60 trading days of the third year. Additionally, the program also took into consideration the performance of the Company (Total Shareholder Return (TSR)) regarding a group of 20 similar companies (peer group). If Vale is first in this ranking, the value settled will be increased in 50%. This is a decreasing percentage, so in the 5th position the value remains unchanged, and as of the 15th position, there is no payment. Terms and conditions above are applicable to the beneficiaries of cycles starting in 2011, 2012, and 2013 under the respective program, considering that these cycles have ended, and the cycle beginning in 2013 has ended in December 2015.

Starting in 2014, the ILP was replaced by PAV, whose basis of calculation is the basis of compensation received by Statutory Directors, and the parameters of this calculation will be pre-established for each

hierarchical level in each of the countries where the Company operates. The program lasts for 4 years and not for 3 years, as described in the above paragraph. The program allows early gradual payments, starting in 2014. Thus, payment can be made in accrued tranches of 20% (at the end of the second year), 30% (at the end of the third year) and 50% (at the end of the fourth year), for as long as performance conditions for each year are reached, as set forth in PAV, that is, placement of Vale within a group comprised by other 12 companies of similar size (peer group). The payment metrics is the Total Shareholder Return (TSR) relative to the peer group, taking into consideration the businesses and region in which Vale operates and the influence of the Brazilian market s fluctuation. If Vale is at the top of this ranking, the calculated value is increased by 50%. This percentage is decreasing, and on the third place, the value is unchanged, and starting on the tenth position and lower, there is no payment whatsoever.

Until 2013 (including), the calculation of the Matching determined that the executive member could allocate 30% or 50% of his short-term variable payment (bonus) to the purchase of class A preferred shares issued by Vale, through a pre-defined financial institution, under market conditions and without any benefit offered by Vale, on the days set forth in the plan. The bonus percentage that could be allocated by each executive member for participating in the Matching Plan is defined as of the valuation of his performance and potential. This is to be granted to those executive members who acquire shares as per the terms and conditions set forth in the Matching Plan and who three years after the acquisition, still remain linked to Vale and have kept the ownership of all the shares acquired. At the end of the three year period, the administrators end the cycle, and shall give a calculation of effective compliance of the conditions set forth in the manual of the above referred plan. If the terms of the plan have been adhered to, the Company shall pay the executive member a liquid value, as a prize, equal to the amount he bought in shares at the beginning of the program. After the payment of the incentive, the executive members can freely negotiate the preferred shares issued by Vale to be acquired in order to become eligible for the Matching Plan and in agreement with the law in force. Terms and conditions above are applicable to the beneficiaries of cycles starting in 2011, 2012, and 2013 under the respective program.

Starting in 2014, the calculation methodology to the Matching program was determined as the fixed compensation received by beneficiaries of this plan, and parameters predetermined for each hierarchic level and country where the Company operates.

There have been some adjustments to the Matching program for fiscal year 2016 for purposes of allowing the engagement of the executive to this program in years when the net bonus is not sufficient for investment in the program. In those years, the executive may (i) acquire Company shares during 12 months to use the program, in pre-established purchase windows, as well as (ii) engage in the program using previously held shares (provided that these shares are not bound to the current open cycles in the program), according to criteria and conditions that are set forth in the manual of the *Matching* 2016 program. Additionally, it is important to note that adherence to the program is currently voluntary for all Vale executives, except for the President and the Executive Officers, whose adherence and maintenance to the program is mandatory whenever the net bonus is sufficient for investment.

Т	ab	le	of	Cor	itents

Non-Statutory Board

The fixed compensation for the Non-Statutory Directors with a labor contract is represented by a fixed monthly payment. Every year, the Department of Human Resources of Vale hire specialized companies to perform analysis of compensations; the analysis is made pursuant to the complexity of each position compared in the market. The comparison is made with national and multinational companies of similar sizes of several sectors, and the equalization of the comparison is through a scoring system. This system of valuation is known as Hay System, a system of points created by The Hay Group that evaluates the weight of the positions based on their complexity, allowing their global ranking. This system is one of the most used worldwide for this purpose. There is no predetermined index or periodicity for the readjustment of the fixed compensation and when there are readjustments, they are based on the market evolution and the merit of the executive member.

There is no predefined percentage or frequency to adjust the fixed wage and, when there are salary adjustments, they are based on market changes and the performance of the Non-Statutory Director (merit-based).

Direct and indirect benefits (medical healthcare, hospital care, dental care, private pension plan, and life insurance) to which they have right, are calculated according to the market practice checked through referential research conducted by specialized companies where the effect of the concession of benefits for similar companies in the same segment can be observed.

The component of profit sharing of the Non-Statutory Directors is calculated based on the earnings of the Company, and it ranges from 0% to 200% of the target established according to market reference, depending on the goals set forth and the company cash generation for each fiscal year. The adjustment of the fees arising out of the IPCA or other merit forms reflects directly on other compensation elements, as they use the fees as basis.

Until 2013 (including), the methodology for the calculation of the compensation based on shares of the Non-Statutory Directors, under the ILP, took into account 75% out of the bonus granted for directors responsible for global corporate duties or business units and the 50% out of the bonus granted for directors responsible for regional or local corporate duties of the value actually paid for this purpose. This amount is transformed, as reference, into a number of common shares issued by Vale (virtual shares), and considered the average quotation of the common shares issued by the Company during the last 60 trading days of the last fiscal year. If the executive member remains in the Company, by the end of three years the number of virtual shares is transformed into a monetary value by the average quotation of the common shares issued by the Company of the last 60 trading days of the third year. Additionally, the program also takes into consideration the performance of the Company (Total Shareholding Return (TSR)) regarding a group of 20 similar companies (peer group). If Vale is first in this ranking, the value settled will be increased in 50%.

This is a decreasing percentage, so in the 5th position the value remains unchanged, and as of the 15th position, there is no payment. Terms and conditions above are applicable to the beneficiaries of cycles starting in 2011, 2012, and 2013 under the respective program, noting that these cycles have ended, and that the cycle beginning in 2013 has ended in December 2015.

Starting in 2014, the ILP was replaced by PAV, whose basis of calculation is the basis of compensation received by Statutory Directors, and the parameters of this calculation will be pre-established for each hierarchical level in each of the countries where the Company operates. The program lasts for 4 years and not for 3 years, as described in the above paragraph. The program allows early gradual payments, starting in 2014. Thus, payment can be made in accrued tranches of 20% (at the end of the second year), 30% (at the end of the third year) and 50% (at the end of the fourth year), for as long as performance conditions for each year are reached, as set forth in PAV, that is, placement of Vale within a group comprised by 12 other companies of similar size (peer group). The payment metrics is the Total Shareholder Return (TSR) relative to the peer group, taking into consideration the businesses and region in which Vale operates and the influence of the Brazilian market s fluctuation. If Vale is at the top of this ranking, the calculated value is increased by 50%. This percentage is decreasing, and on the third place, the value is unchanged, and starting on the tenth position and lower, there is no payment whatsoever.

Until 2013 (including), the calculation of the Matching determined that the executive member could allocate 30% or 50% of his short-term variable payment (bonus) to the purchase of class A preferred shares issued by Vale, through a pre-defined financial institution, under market conditions and without any benefit offered by Vale, on the days set forth in the plan. The bonus percentage that could be allocated by each executive member for participating in the Matching Plan is defined as of the valuation of his performance and potential. This is to be granted to those executive members who acquire shares as per the terms and conditions set forth in the Matching Plan and who three years after the acquisition, still remain linked to Vale and have kept the ownership of all the shares acquired. At the end of the three-year period, the administrators end the cycle, and shall give a calculation of effective compliance of the conditions set forth in the manual of the above referred plan. If the terms of the plan have been adhered to, the Company shall pay the executive member a liquid value, as a prize, equal to the amount he bought in shares at the beginning of the program. After the payment of the incentive, the executive members can freely negotiate the preferred shares issued by Vale to be acquired in order to become eligible for the Matching Plan and in agreement with the law in force. Terms and conditions above are applicable to the beneficiaries of cycles starting in 2011, 2012, and 2013 under the respective program.

Starting in 2014, the calculation methodology to the Matching program was determined as the fixed compensation received by beneficiaries of this plan, and parameters predetermined for each hierarchic level and country where the Company operates.

Table of Contents

Some adjustments have been made to Matching for fiscal year 2016 for purposes of enabling the executive engagement in such program in years where net PLR was not sufficient to invest in the program. In those years, the executive may (i) acquire Company shares during 12 months to use the program, in pre-established purchase windows, as well as (ii) engage in the program using previously held shares (provided that these shares are not bound to the current open cycles in the program), according to criteria and conditions that are set forth in the manual of the *Matching* 2016 program. Additionally, it is important to note that adherence to the program is currently voluntary for all Vale executives, except for the President and the Executive Officers, whose adherence and maintenance to the program is mandatory whenever the net bonus is sufficient for investment.

iv. Reasons that justify the composition of the compensation

Reasons that justify the composition of the compensation are incentive to management improvement, better performance, and retention of executives with the Company, aiming to achieve gains due to the commitment to long-term results and short-term performance of the Company. For directors, Vale adopts a composition model of the compensation that concentrates a significant payment of the total compensation in the variable components (both of short- and long-term), being a part of the policy of risk and earnings sharing with the main executive members of the Company.

v. Existence of members that are not paid by the issuer and the reason for that

On the date of this Reference Form, the Company does not have members that are not paid, except (i) for two (2) members of the Executive Board that fully waive the receipt of remuneration, (ii) the members of the Advisory Committees of the Company who are also managers of Vale, who are not entitled to receive remuneration for participation in the committees, and (iii) members of Non-Statutory Committees, who are not paid for this position, as they are paid as executives or employees of the Company.

For purposes of calculating the global value of the annual remuneration in the current fiscal year amounts due to all members of the Executive Board are taken into consideration, because, in the future, there might be no possibility to waive the remuneration.

c.	Main performance indicators that are taken into consideration when determining each element of the
compens	sation package

All the definitions concerning the compensation are sustained by market research, supported by one or more specialized consultancies. Regarding Statutory Directors, these definitions are also assessed by the Executive Development Committee and approved by the Executive Board.

The main performance indicators taken into account while defining compensation are those related to Company s performance, for instance EBITDA and Free Cash Flow, as well as general productivity and sustainability indicators.

The performance indicators taken into account while determining compensation of the ILP (replaced by PAV in 2014), PAV and Matching are the value of the Company s shares in the market, and in the case of the ILP (replaced by PAV) and PAV, the Company position in relation to a group of companies of similar size (peer group).

d. How the compensation package is structured to reflect the development of the performance indicators

The definition of the executives performance targets, which are used to structure the payment of their profit share and bonus, derive from the strategic plan and the budget, both approved by the Executive Board, which are reviewed each year to sustain the targets and expected results for the Company.

The performance indicators taken into account while determining compensation of the long term incentive plans, namely the ILP (in force until 2013), PAV (in force since 2014) and Matching are the value of the Company s shares in the market, and in the case of the PAV, its position in relation to a group of other 12 companies of similar size (peer group). The peer group applicable to the ILP, replaced in 2014 by PAV, was comprised by 20 companies of similar size.

e. How the compensation policy is aligned with the issuer s short-, medium- and long-term interests

The Company compensation policy is based on its performance and financial sustainability in the short, medium, and long term, in line with its strategic plan, while also assuring shareholder value.

Table of Contents

As such, the ILP (replaced by PAV in 2014) and Matching were structured with three-year grace period for the payment of compensation, and PAV, with a four-year grace period. These terms were established to align these programs with the evolution of the Company s performance indicators.

f. Existence of compensation supported by subsidiaries, and direct or indirect affiliates or holding companies

One of the Company s executive directors was also the President and Chief Executive Officer of Vale Canada Limited, a Vale subsidiary. As such, part of this executive s fixed and variable compensation and benefits are paid by Vale Canada Limited. For more information, see item 13.15 in this Reference Form.

g. Existence of any compensation or benefits connected to the occurrence of a given corporate event, such as the sale of the issuer s controlling interest

There is no compensation or benefit for the members of the Fiscal or Executive Boards, Statutory or Non-Statutory Committees, or the Executive or Non-Executive Board that is in any way connected to the occurrence of any corporate event.

13.2 Total Compensation of the executive board, statutory board, and fiscal board

Total Compensation Estimates for the Current Fiscal Year to be Ended on December 31, 2016 Annual Amounts

491

	Executive Board	Statutory Board	Fiscal Board	Total
Total Number of members	22.00	8.00	5.00	35.00
Number of paid members	22.00	8.00	5.00	35.00

Table of Contents

	Executive Board	Statutory Board	Fiscal Board	Total
Annual fixed compensation (in R\$)				
Salaries or pro-labore fees	5,445,000.00	24,346,609.87	1,521,663.12	31,313,272.99
Direct and indirect benefits	0.00	7,236,850.96	0.00	7,236,850.96
Compensation for participation in Committees	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Other	1,089,000.00	5,679,636.71	304,332.62	7,072,969.33
Description of other fixed compensation	Vale s Portion	Vale s Portion	Vale s Portion	
	of Social	of Social	of Social	
	Security [INSS]	Security [INSS]	Security [INSS]	
Variable Compensation (in R\$)				
Bonus	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Profit share	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Compensation for participation in meetings	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Commissions	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Other		7,336,839.00		7,336,839.00
Description of other variable compensation		Vale s Portion		
		of Social		
		Security [INSS]		
Post-employment benefits	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Employment termination benefits	0.00	33,534,375.25	0.00	33,534,375.25

Table of Contents

	Executive Board	Statutory Board	Fiscal Board	Total
Stock-based compensation, including options	0.00	3,273,745.45	0.00	3,273,745.45
493				

1. The total number of members corresponds to the estimate annual average of the management entity, determined monthly, according to the terms in Circular CVM/SEP 002/16.

- 1. The total number of members corresponds to the estimate annual average of the management entity, determined monthly, according to the terms in Circular CVM/SEP 002/16.
- 1. The total number of members corresponds to the estimate annual average of the management entity, determined monthly, according to the terms in Circular CVM/SEP 002/16.

- 2) The field Total number of members includes the regular members (11 members) and deputy members (11 members) of the Executive Board.
- 2) The field Stock-based compensation includes the amounts paid in the PAV program (2014 cycle) and IPL program (2013 cycle) as well as the estimated amounts

of the Matching.

2) The field Total number of members includes the regular members (5 members) of the Fiscal Council

3) The number of paid members corresponds to the estimate annual average of members of this management entity, calculated monthly, to whom compensation recognized in the financial statement shall imply, as set forth in Circular CVM/SEP 002/16.

- 3) The number of paid members corresponds to the estimate annual average of members of this management entity, calculated monthly, to whom compensation recognized in the financial statement shall imply, as set
- 3) The number of paid members corresponds to the estimate annual average of members of this management entity, calculated monthly, to whom compensation recognized in the financial statement shall imply, as set forth in Circular CVM/SEP 002/16.

Notes

494

Total Compensation Estimates for the Current Fiscal Year to be Ended on December 31, 2016 Annual Amounts

	Executive Board	Statutory Board	Fiscal Board	Total
		forth in Circular CVM/SEP 002/16.		
		2. The amount presented in the field Bonus refers to the value that would be paid in the 2016 fiscal year relative to the goals of the 2015 fiscal year.		
Total amount of compensation	6,534,000.00	81,408,057.24	1,825,995.74	89,768,052.98

Total Compensation Estimates for the Current Fiscal Year to be Ended on December 31, 2015 Annual Amounts

	Executive Board	Statutory Board	Fiscal Board	Total
Total Number of members	21.00	8.00	4.75	33.75
Number of paid members	19.00	8.00	4.75	31.75
Annual fixed compensation (in R\$)				
Salaries or pro-labore fees	4,115,016.26	22,278,939.57	1,250,589.68	27,644,545.51
Direct and indirect benefits	0.00	9,596,806.72	0.00	9,596,806.72
Compensation for participation in Committees	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Table of Contents

	Executive Board	Statutory Board	Fiscal Board	Total
Other	563,539.45	4,440,137.09	250,117.94	5,253,794.48
Description of other fixed compensation	Vale s Portion of	Vale s Portion of	Vale s Portion of	
	Social Security	Social Security	Social Security	
	[INSS]	[INSS]	[INSS]	
Variable Compensation (in R\$)				
Bonus	0.00	26,860,815.72	0.00	26,860,815.72
Profit share	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Compensation for participation in meetings	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Commissions	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Other		9,361,109.14		9,361,109.14
Description of other variable compensation		Vale s Portion of		
		Social Security		
	0.00	[INSS]	0.00	
Post-employment benefits	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Employment termination benefits	0.00	19,170,196.17	0.00	19,170,196.17
Stock-based compensation, including options	0.00	1,596,622.42	0.00	1,596,622.42

	1. The total number of members corresponds to the estimate annual average of the management entity, determined monthly, according to the terms in Circular CVM/SEP 002/16.	1. The total number of members corresponds to the estimate annual average of the management entity, determined monthly, according to the terms in Circular CVM/SEP 002/16.	1. The total number of members corresponds to the estimate annual average of the management entity, determined monthly, according to the terms in Circular CVM/SEP 002/16.
Notes	2) The field Total number of members includes the regular members (11 members) and deputy members (11 members) of the Executive Board.	Stock-based	2) The field Total number of members includes the regular members (5 members) of the Fiscal Council 3) The number of paid members corresponds to the
	3) The number of paid members corresponds to the estimate annual average of members of this management entity, calculated monthly, to whom compensation recognized in the financial statement shall imply, as set forth in Circular CVM/SEP 002/16.	3) The value informed in the field Bonus refet to the amount paid in the fiscal year 2015 regarding goals of fiscal year 2014. 4) The number of paid members corresponds to the estimate annual	estimate annual average of members of this management rentity, calculated