Ameris Bancorp Form 424B3 February 04, 2016

> Filed Pursuant to Rule 424(b)(3) Registration No. 333-208355

PROXY STATEMENT/PROSPECTUS

Dear Shareholder of Jacksonville Bancorp, Inc.:

These materials are a proxy statement of Jacksonville Bancorp, Inc. (JAXB) and a prospectus of Ameris Bancorp (ABCB). They are being furnished to you in connection with the notice of special meeting of JAXB shareholders to be held on March 11, 2016. At the special meeting of JAXB shareholders, you will be asked to vote on the following matters:

a proposal to approve the merger agreement between ABCB and JAXB, and the transactions contemplated in the (i) merger agreement including, among other things, the merger of JAXB with and into ABCB with ABCB surviving the merger (the merger proposal);

- (ii) a proposal to approve the amendment of JAXB s articles of incorporation as described in this proxy statement/prospectus (the charter amendment);
 - a proposal to approve, on a non-binding advisory basis, the compensation that certain executive officers of JAXB
- (iii) may receive under existing agreements or arrangements with JAXB in connection with the merger (the merger-related compensation proposal); and
- (iv) a proposal to approve the adjournment or postponement of the special meeting, if necessary or appropriate, to solicit additional proxies to approve the merger proposal or the charter amendment (the adjournment proposal). As of February 1, 2016, the record date for the special meeting, there were 3,512,773 shares of JAXB voting common stock, and 2,287,821 shares of JAXB nonvoting common stock (which we refer to together as the JAXB common stock), outstanding and entitled to vote at the special meeting.

Approval of the merger proposal requires the affirmative vote of the holders of at least a majority of the outstanding shares of JAXB voting common stock and a majority of the outstanding shares of JAXB nonvoting common stock, each voting as a separate class. Approval of the charter amendment requires that: (i) the votes cast for the proposal by the holders of JAXB voting common stock exceed the votes cast against such proposal by the holders of JAXB voting common stock; and (ii) the votes cast for the proposal by the holders of JAXB nonvoting common stock exceed the votes cast against such proposal by the holders of JAXB nonvoting common stock. Approval of the merger-related compensation proposal requires that the number of votes cast at the special meeting in favor of the proposal by the holders of JAXB voting common stock exceeds the number of votes cast against the proposal by the holders of the JAXB voting common stock. The adjournment proposal will be approved if the votes cast for that proposal by the holders of JAXB voting common stock, voting together as a single group, exceed the votes cast against such proposal by the holders of JAXB voting common stock and the holders of the JAXB nonvoting common stock. In addition, approval of the merger proposal is cross-conditioned upon approval of the charter amendment. In other words, if JAXB shareholders do not approve the merger proposal, the charter amendment will fail; likewise, if JAXB shareholders do not approve the charter amendment, the merger proposal will also fail.

Subject to the election and adjustment procedures described in this proxy statement/prospectus, if the merger proposal and the charter amendment are approved, and the merger is completed, then holders of JAXB common stock will be entitled to receive, in exchange for each share of JAXB common stock, per share merger consideration equal to either: (i) 0.5861 shares of ABCB common stock, or (ii) \$16.50 in cash, without interest; provided, that the total merger consideration shall be prorated as necessary to ensure that 25% of the total outstanding shares of JAXB common stock will be exchanged for cash and 75% of the total outstanding shares of JAXB common stock will be exchanged for shares of ABCB common stock. The completion of the merger is subject to a price floor. If the average closing price of one share of ABCB common stock during a specified determination period has declined by more than 15% from its price on September 28, 2015 of \$28.11 per share, and ABCB common stock underperforms the KBW Nasdaq Regional Banking Index (KRX) by more than 15% during such period, then JAXB may terminate the merger agreement unless ABCB offsets such reduction in the value of ABCB common stock by increasing the number of shares of ABCB common stock to be issued or paying cash consideration to shareholders of JAXB entitled to receive shares of ABCB common stock in the merger. As of February 1, 2016, the most recent practicable date prior to the filing of this proxy statement/prospectus, and assuming no exercise or settlement of JAXB equity awards prior to the merger and no adjustment to the merger consideration paid by ABCB, the value of the aggregate merger consideration is approximately \$96.85 million and 2,549,796 shares of ABCB common stock would be issued to JAXB shareholders upon completion of the merger.

The ABCB common stock trades on the NASDAQ Global Select Market under the ticker symbol ABCB. The JAXB voting common stock trades on the NASDAQ Capital Market under the ticker symbol JAXB. On February 1, 2016, the closing price of the ABCB common stock and the JAXB voting common stock was \$28.60 per share and \$16.61 per share, respectively. The JAXB nonvoting common stock is not listed or traded on any established securities exchange or quotation system.

The accompanying materials contain information regarding the merger proposal and the charter amendment as well as the other matters to be acted upon. We encourage you to read the entire document carefully, including Risk Factors section beginning on page 31, for a discussion of the risks related to the proposed merger and charter amendment.

Sincerely,

Donald F. Glisson, Jr. Chairman of the Board

NEITHER THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION NOR ANY STATE SECURITIES COMMISSION HAS APPROVED OR DISAPPROVED THE MERGER OR OTHER TRANSACTIONS DESCRIBED IN THIS PROXY STATEMENT/PROSPECTUS OR THE SECURITIES TO BE ISSUED PURSUANT TO THE MERGER UNDER THIS PROXY STATEMENT/PROSPECTUS NOR HAVE THEY DETERMINED IF THIS PROXY STATEMENT/PROSPECTUS IS ACCURATE OR ADEQUATE. ANY REPRESENTATION TO THE CONTRARY IS A CRIMINAL OFFENSE.

The securities to be issued in connection with the merger are not savings accounts, deposits or other obligations of any bank or savings association and are not insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation or any other governmental agency.

This proxy statement/prospectus is dated February 4, 2016 and is first being mailed to JAXB shareholders on or about February 8, 2016.

NOTICE OF SPECIAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

Dear Shareholder of Jacksonville Bancorp, Inc.:

You are cordially invited to attend a special meeting of shareholders of Jacksonville Bancorp, Inc., a Florida corporation (JAXB). The special meeting will be held on March 11, 2016, at 9:00 a.m. local time, at JAXB s principal executive offices, 100 North Laura Street, Suite 1000, Jacksonville, Florida 32202, to consider and vote upon the following matters:

A proposal for holders of JAXB common stock, \$0.01 par value per share (the JAXB voting common stock), and JAXB nonvoting common stock, \$0.01 par value per share (the JAXB nonvoting common stock), to approve the Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of September 30, 2015, as it may be amended from time to time, by and

- 1. between Ameris Bancorp, a Georgia corporation (ABCB), and JAXB (the merger agreement), and the transactions contemplated in the merger agreement including, among other things, the merger of JAXB with and into ABCB with ABCB surviving the merger (the merger). A copy of the merger agreement is attached to this proxy statement/prospectus as *Annex A*. We sometimes call this proposal the merger proposal.
- A proposal for holders of JAXB voting common stock and JAXB nonvoting common stock to approve an amendment to JAXB s articles of incorporation to eliminate the right, as it relates to the merger, of holders of JAXB nonvoting common stock to elect to receive nonvoting securities of ABCB (the charter amendment). A copy of the charter amendment is attached to this proxy statement/prospectus as *Annex B*.
- A proposal for holders of JAXB voting common stock to approve, on a non-binding advisory basis, the 3 compensation that certain executive officers of JAXB may receive under existing agreements or arrangements with JAXB in connection with the merger (the merger-related compensation proposal).
- A proposal for holders of JAXB voting common stock and JAXB nonvoting common stock (voting together as a group) to approve the adjournment or postponement of the special meeting, if necessary or appropriate, to solicit additional proxies if there are insufficient votes at the time of the special meeting to approve the merger proposal or the charter amendment (the adjournment proposal).
 - In this proxy statement/prospectus, we refer to the JAXB voting common stock and the JAXB nonvoting common stock together as the JAXB common stock.

The record date for the special meeting is February 1, 2016 (the record date). Only shareholders of record as of the close of business on the record date are entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the special meeting. All shareholders of record as of that date are cordially invited to attend the special meeting in person. Approval of the merger proposal requires the affirmative vote of the holders of at least a majority of the outstanding shares of JAXB voting common stock and a majority of the outstanding shares of JAXB nonvoting common stock, each voting as a separate class. The charter amendment will be approved if: (i) the votes cast for the proposal by the holders of JAXB voting common stock exceed the votes cast against such proposal by the holders of JAXB voting common stock; and (ii) the votes cast for the proposal by the holders of JAXB nonvoting common stock exceed the votes cast against such proposal by the holders of JAXB nonvoting common stock. Approval of the merger-related compensation proposal requires that the number of votes cast at the special meeting in favor of the proposal by the holders of JAXB voting common stock exceeds the number of votes cast against the proposal by the holders of JAXB voting common stock. The adjournment proposal will be approved if the votes cast for the proposal by the holders of JAXB voting common stock and the holders JAXB nonvoting common stock, voting together as a single group, exceed the votes cast against such proposal by the holders of JAXB voting common stock and the holders of the JAXB nonvoting common stock. In addition, approval of the merger proposal is cross-conditioned upon approval of the charter amendment. In other words, if JAXB shareholders do not approve the merger proposal, the charter amendment will fail; likewise, if JAXB shareholders do not approve the charter amendment, the merger proposal will also fail.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Holders of JAXB common stock are entitled to appraisal rights in connection with the merger and to obtain payment in cash of the appraised fair value of their shares of JAXB common stock under applicable provisions of the Florida Business Corporation Act (the FBCA). In order for a holder of JAXB common stock to exercise his, her or its appraisal rights, such holder must carefully follow the procedure set forth in the FBCA. A copy of the applicable statutory provisions of the FBCA is included as Annex E to the accompanying proxy statement/prospectus, and a summary of these provisions can be found under the section entitled Appraisal Rights of JAXB Shareholders beginning on page 176 of this proxy statement/prospectus.

JAXB s board of directors has unanimously approved each of the merger proposal, the charter amendment, the merger-related compensation proposal and the adjournment proposal, and has determined that the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby, including the merger and the merger consideration, and the charter amendment are in the best interests of JAXB and its shareholders. JAXB s board of directors unanimously recommends that JAXB shareholders vote FOR the merger proposal, FOR the proposal to approve the charter amendment, FOR the non-binding proposal to approve the merger related compensation and FOR the adjournment proposal. In considering the recommendation of JAXB s board of directors, you should be aware that certain directors and executive officers of JAXB will have interests in the merger that may be different from, or in addition to, the interests of JAXB shareholders generally. See the section entitled Interests of JAXB s Directors and Executive Officers in the Merger beginning on page 90 of this proxy statement/prospectus.

Your vote is very important, regardless of the number of shares of JAXB common stock that you own. We cannot complete the merger unless JAXB s shareholders approve the merger proposal and the charter amendment.

Even if you plan to attend the special meeting in person, JAXB requests that you vote your shares promptly by telephone, by Internet or by mail prior to the special meeting to ensure that your shares of JAXB common stock will be represented at the special meeting if you are unable to attend. If you vote by mail, please complete, sign, date and return the enclosed proxy card(s) in the accompanying prepaid reply envelope as promptly as possible. If you hold your shares in street name through a bank, brokerage firm or other nominee, you should follow the procedures provided by your bank, brokerage firm or other nominee to vote your shares. If you fail to submit a proxy or to attend the special meeting in person or do not provide your bank, brokerage firm or other nominee with instructions as to how to vote your shares, as applicable, then your shares of JAXB common stock will not be counted for purposes of determining whether a quorum is present at the special meeting and will have the same effect as a vote AGAINST the approval of the merger proposal but will have no effect on the outcome of the vote on the charter amendment, the merger-related compensation proposal or the adjournment proposal.

WHETHER OR NOT YOU PLAN TO ATTEND THE SPECIAL MEETING, PLEASE VOTE YOUR SHARES IMMEDIATELY BY TELEPHONE, BY INTERNET OR BY MAIL. IF YOU VOTE BY MAIL, PLEASE COMPLETE, DATE, SIGN AND RETURN, AS PROMPTLY AS POSSIBLE, THE ENCLOSED PROXY CARD(S) IN THE ACCOMPANYING PREPAID REPLY ENVELOPE. IF YOU ATTEND THE SPECIAL MEETING AND VOTE IN PERSON, YOUR VOTE BY BALLOT WILL REVOKE ANY PROXY PREVIOUSLY SUBMITTED.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

Kendall L. Spencer President & Chief Executive Officer

> Jacksonville, Florida Dated: February 8, 2016

TABLE OF CONTENTS

IMPORTANT NOTICE REGARDING THE AVAILABILITY OF PROXY MATERIALS FOR THE SHAREHOLDER MEETING TO BE HELD ON MARCH 11, 2016

The Proxy Statement/Prospectus is available at www.jaxbank.com or with your 16-digit control number at www.proxyvote.com.

NEITHER THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION NOR ANY STATE SECURITIES COMMISSION HAS APPROVED OR DISAPPROVED THE MERGER OR OTHER TRANSACTIONS DESCRIBED IN THE ACCOMPANYING PROXY STATEMENT/PROSPECTUS OR THE SECURITIES TO BE ISSUED PURSUANT TO THE MERGER UNDER THE ACCOMPANYING PROXY STATEMENT/PROSPECTUS NOR HAVE THEY DETERMINED IF THE ACCOMPANYING PROXY STATEMENT/PROSPECTUS IS ACCURATE OR ADEQUATE. ANY REPRESENTATION TO THE CONTRARY IS A CRIMINAL OFFENSE.

The securities to be issued in connection with the merger are not savings accounts, deposits or other obligations of any bank or savings association and are not insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation or any other governmental agency.

WHERE YOU CAN FIND MORE INFORMATION

Both ABCB and JAXB are subject to the information requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), which means that they are both required to file certain reports, proxy statements, and other business and financial information with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). You may read and copy any materials that either ABCB or JAXB files with the SEC at the Public Reference Room of the SEC at 100 F. Street N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549. You may also obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC maintains a website at https://www.sec.gov where you can access reports, proxy, information and registration statements, and other information regarding registrants that file electronically with the SEC. ABCB s filings are also available free of charge at ABCB s website at https://www.amerisbank.com/ under the heading Investor Relations and then under the heading SEC Filings , and JAXB s filings are also available free of charge at JAXB s website at https://www.jaxbank.com/ under the heading Investor Relations and then under the heading SEC Filings . Except as specifically incorporated by reference into this proxy statement/prospectus, information on those websites or filed with the SEC is not part of this proxy statement/prospectus.

ABCB has filed a registration statement on Form S-4 (which we refer to as the registration statement) of which this proxy statement/prospectus forms a part. As permitted by SEC rules, this proxy statement/prospectus does not contain all of the information included in the registration statement or in the exhibits or schedules to the registration statement. You may read and copy the registration statement, including any amendments, schedules and exhibits, at the addresses set forth below. Statements contained in this proxy statement/prospectus as to the contents of any contract or other documents referred to in this document are not necessarily complete. In each case, you should refer to the copy of the applicable contract or other document filed as an exhibit to the registration statement. This proxy statement/prospectus incorporates by reference documents that ABCB has previously filed, and that ABCB may file through the date of the special meeting, with the SEC. They contain important information about ABCB and its financial condition. For further information, see the section entitled Incorporation of Certain ABCB Documents by Reference beginning on page 180 of this proxy statement/prospectus. These documents are available without charge to you upon written or oral request directed to:

Ameris Bancorp 310 First St., S.E. Moultrie, Georgia 31768 Telephone: (229) 890-1111 Attn: Corporate Secretary, Ameris Bancorp

Jacksonville Bancorp, Inc. 100 North Laura Street, Suite 1000 Jacksonville, Florida 32202 Telephone: (904) 421-3040

Attn: Corporate Secretary, Jacksonville Bancorp, Inc.

In order for you to receive timely delivery of the documents in advance of the special meeting of JAXB shareholders to be held on March 11, 2016, you must request the information no later than five (5) business days prior to the date of the special meeting, by March 4, 2016.

i

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE MERGER PROPOSAL, THE CHARTER	
AMENDMENT, THE MERGER-RELATED COMPENSATION PROPOSAL AND THE	<u>1</u>
SPECIAL MEETING	
SUMMARY	<u>12</u>
RECENT DEVELOPMENTS	<u>20</u>
SELECTED HISTORICAL CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA OF ABCB	<u>22</u>
SELECTED HISTORICAL CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA OF JAXB	<u>24</u>
SELECTED UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONDENSED FINANCIAL DATA	<u> 26</u>
UNAUDITED COMPARATIVE PER COMMON SHARE DATA	<u>27</u>
COMPARATIVE PER SHARE MARKET PRICE AND DIVIDEND INFORMATION	<u>28</u>
CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS	<u>30</u>
RISK FACTORS	31
INFORMATION ABOUT THE SPECIAL MEETING	<u>48</u>
THE PARTIES TO THE MERGER	<u>52</u>
PROPOSAL 1 THE MERGER PROPOSAL	53
THE MERGER AGREEMENT	71
PROPOSAL 2 CHARTER AMENDMENT	89
INTERESTS OF JAXB S DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS IN THE MERGER	90
PROPOSAL 3 ADVISORY (NON-BINDING) VOTE ON MERGER-RELATED	93
<u>COMPENSATION</u>	<u>93</u>
PROPOSAL 4 ADJOURNMENT PROPOSAL	<u>94</u>
BUSINESS OF JAXB	<u>95</u>
SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS AND CERTAIN BENEFICIAL	110
OWNERS OF JAXB	110
JAXB MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION	
AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS AS OF AND FOR THE PERIODS ENDED SEPTEMBER	<u>113</u>
30, 2015 AND 2014	
JAXB MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION	
AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS AS OF AND FOR THE PERIODS ENDED DECEMBER	<u>127</u>
31, 2014 AND 2013	
MARKET FOR JAXB S COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS	149
MATERIAL UNITED STATES FEDERAL INCOME TAX CONSEQUENCES	150
UNAUDITED PRO FORMA COMBINED CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION	154
COMPARISON OF SHAREHOLDERS RIGHTS	163
APPRAISAL RIGHTS OF JAXB SHAREHOLDERS	176
EXPERTS	179
LEGAL OPINIONS	179
JAXB SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS	179
HOUSEHOLDING OF PROXY MATERIALS	180
INCORPORATION OF CERTAIN ABCB DOCUMENTS BY REFERENCE	180
INDEX TO JAXB S CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	F-1

TABLE OF CONTENTS 10

ii

TABLE OF CONTENTS 11

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ANNEX	Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of September 30, 2015, by and between Ameris
A	Bancorp and Jacksonville Bancorp, Inc.
ANNEX	Articles of Amendment to Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of Jacksonville
В	Bancorp, Inc.
ANNEX C	Voting and Support Agreement executed by certain JAXB shareholders
ANNEX D	Opinion of Hovde Group, LLC
ANNEX E	Florida Business Corporations Act, Sections 607.1301 through 607.1333

iii

TABLE OF CONTENTS 12

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE MERGER PROPOSAL, THE CHARTER AMENDMENT, THE MERGER-RELATED COMPENSATION PROPOSAL AND THE SPECIAL MEETING

The following questions and answers are intended to briefly address some commonly asked questions regarding the merger proposal, the charter amendment, the merger-related compensation proposal and the special meeting. We urge you to read carefully the remainder of this document because the information in this section may not provide all the information that might be important to you in determining how to vote. Additional important information is also contained in the annexes to, and the documents incorporated by reference into, this proxy statement/prospectus.

General

Q: Why am I receiving this proxy statement/prospectus and proxy card(s)?

ABCB and JAXB have entered into a merger agreement that provides for the merger of JAXB with and into ABCB with ABCB being the surviving entity. The terms of the merger and the merger agreement are described in this proxy statement/prospectus. Immediately following the merger, The Jacksonville Bank, JAXB s banking subsidiary, A: will merge with and into ABCB s banking subsidiary, Ameris Bank, with Ameris Bank being the surviving entity (which we refer to as the bank merger). In order for us to complete the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, we need approvals by the banking regulators of ABCB and Ameris Bank, which we have already received, and the approval of the merger proposal and the charter amendment by JAXB shareholders.

This proxy statement/prospectus includes important information about the merger proposal, the merger and the merger agreement, a copy of which is attached as *Annex A* to this proxy statement/prospectus, the charter amendment, a copy of which is attached as *Annex B* to this proxy statement/prospectus, the merger-related compensation proposal and the special meeting. JAXB shareholders should read this information carefully and in its entirety. The enclosed voting materials allow shareholders to vote their shares without attending the special meeting in person.

Q: What am I being asked to vote on at the special meeting?

- A: JAXB is holding the special meeting to ask its shareholders to consider and vote upon four matters: the merger proposal to approve the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated in the merger agreement (i) including, among other things, the merger of JAXB with and into ABCB with ABCB remaining as the surviving entity,
 - (ii) a proposal to approve the charter amendment,
- the merger-related compensation proposal to approve, on a non-binding advisory basis, compensation that certain (iii) executive officers of JAXB may receive under existing agreements or arrangements with JAXB in connection with the merger, and
- the adjournment proposal to grant authority to proxy holders to vote in favor of the adjournment or postponement (iv) of the special meeting, if necessary or appropriate, to solicit additional proxies if there are insufficient votes at the time of the special meeting to approve the merger proposal or the charter amendment.
 - Q: What is the vote required to approve each proposal at the JAXB special meeting?
 - A: The following is the required vote to approve each proposal:

The approval of the merger proposal requires the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the outstanding

shares of JAXB voting common stock and a majority of the outstanding shares of JAXB nonvoting common stock, each voting as a separate class.

The charter amendment will be approved if: (i) the votes cast for the proposal by the holders of JAXB voting common stock exceed the votes cast against such proposal by the holders of JAXB

General 14

TABLE OF CONTENTS

voting common stock; and (ii) the votes cast for the proposal by the holders of JAXB nonvoting common stock exceed the votes cast against such proposal by the holders of JAXB nonvoting common stock.

Approval of the merger-related compensation proposal requires that the number of votes cast at the special meeting, in person or by proxy, in favor of the proposal by the holders of JAXB voting common stock exceeds the number of votes cast against the proposal by the holders of JAXB voting common stock.

The adjournment proposal will be approved if the votes cast for the proposal by the holders of JAXB voting common stock and the holders JAXB nonvoting common stock, voting together as a single group, exceed the votes cast against such proposal by the holders of JAXB voting common stock and the holders of the JAXB nonvoting common stock. In addition, approval of the merger proposal is cross-conditioned upon approval of the charter amendment. In other words, if JAXB shareholders do not approve the merger proposal, the charter amendment will fail; likewise, if JAXB shareholders do not approve the charter amendment, the merger proposal will also fail.

Shareholders holding approximately 39.81% of the outstanding shares of JAXB voting common stock and 78.94% of the outstanding shares of JAXB nonvoting common stock as of the record date have agreed to vote for approval of the merger proposal, the charter amendment and the adjournment proposal, subject to certain exceptions. See the section entitled The Merger Agreement Voting and Support Agreement which begins on page 88 of this proxy statement/prospectus.

Q. Does my vote matter?

Yes. Because the affirmative vote required to approve the merger proposal is based upon the total number of outstanding shares of JAXB voting common stock and JAXB nonvoting common stock, if you fail to submit a A. proxy or vote in person at the special meeting, or vote to abstain, or you do not provide your bank, brokerage firm or other nominee with instructions, as applicable, then this will have the same effect as a vote **AGAINST** the approval of the merger proposal.

As to the charter amendment proposal, the merger-related compensation proposal or the adjournment proposal, if your shares of JAXB voting common stock or JAXB nonvoting common stock are present at the special meeting but are not voted on a proposal, or if you vote to abstain on a proposal, then there will be no effect on the outcome of the vote on that proposal. If you fail to submit a proxy and fail to attend the special meeting, or if you do not instruct your bank, brokerage firm or other nominee to vote your shares of JAXB voting common stock or JAXB nonvoting common stock in favor of a proposal, then your shares of JAXB voting common stock or JAXB nonvoting common stock, as applicable, will not be voted, but this will not have an effect on the outcome of the vote on the charter amendment proposal, the merger-related compensation proposal or the adjournment proposal, except to the extent it results in there being insufficient shares present at the special meeting to establish a quorum.

See the section entitled Information About the Special Meeting Record Date and Quorum beginning on page 48 of this proxy statement/prospectus.

Q: How does JAXB s board of directors recommend that I vote at the special meeting?

JAXB s board of directors unanimously recommends that JAXB shareholders vote:

FOR the approval of the merger proposal,

FOR the approval of the charter amendment,

FOR the approval, on a non-binding basis, of the merger-related compensation, and **FOR** the approval of the adjournment proposal.

2

A:

General 15

See the section entitled Proposal 1 The Merger Proposal JAXB s Reasons for the Merger; Recommendation of JAXB s Board of Directors beginning on page 58 of this proxy statement/prospectus.

Q: Are there any voting agreements in place with JAXB shareholders?

Yes. In connection with the merger agreement, ABCB and JAXB entered into a Voting and Support Agreement with certain JAXB shareholders (which we refer to as the Voting and Support Agreement) under which these shareholders have agreed to vote their shares of JAXB common stock in favor of the merger proposal, the charter amendment and the adjournment proposal and against any action or agreement that would be reasonably likely to impair the ability of ABCB or JAXB to complete the merger, or that would otherwise prevent, impede or delay the consummation of the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement and against any alternative acquisition proposal without regard to the terms of such proposal, in each case subject to the terms and conditions of the Voting and Support Agreement. As of the record date, the Voting and Support Agreement covered approximately 1,398,607 shares of JAXB voting common stock and 1,806,000 shares of JAXB nonvoting common stock, or approximately 39.81% of the outstanding shares of JAXB voting common stock and 78.94% of the outstanding shares of JAXB nonvoting common stock, respectively. A copy of the Voting and Support Agreement is attached as *Annex C* to this proxy statement/prospectus.

Merger Proposal

Q: What will I receive if the merger is completed?

Unless adjusted pursuant to the terms of the merger agreement, each share of JAXB common stock issued and outstanding immediately prior to the completion of the merger (other than shares held in treasury by JAXB and shares held by ABCB or by any wholly-owned subsidiary of ABCB or JAXB (other than (i) shares held in trust accounts, managed accounts and the like, or otherwise held in a fiduciary or agency capacity, that are beneficially owned by third parties and (ii) shares held, directly or indirectly, by ABCB, JAXB or any wholly owned subsidiary of ABCB or JAXB in respect of a debt previously contracted), which we refer to as excluded shares, and shares held by JAXB shareholders who properly demand appraisal rights under Sections 607.1301 to 607.1333 of the FBCA) will be converted into the right to receive either:

0.5861 shares (which we refer to as the exchange ratio) of ABCB common stock (which we refer to as the per share stock consideration), or

\$16.50 in cash, without interest (which we refer to as the per share cash consideration).

Notwithstanding the foregoing, the total merger consideration shall be prorated as necessary to ensure that 25% of the total outstanding shares of JAXB common stock will be exchanged for cash and 75% of the total outstanding shares of JAXB common stock will be exchanged for shares of ABCB common stock. Cash will be paid in lieu of fractional shares. We refer to the per share cash consideration, together with the per share stock consideration and any cash in lieu of fractional shares issuable in respect of outstanding JAXB common stock, collectively, as the merger consideration. See What happens if I am eligible to receive a fraction of a share of ABCB common stock as part of the per share merger consideration? below and the section entitled The Merger Agreement Effective Time, Effects of the Merger; Organizational Documents of the Surviving Corporation; Directors and Officers Effects of the Merger on JAXB Common Stock beginning on page 72 of this proxy statement/prospectus.

Q: May the merger consideration be adjusted?

A: The completion of the merger is subject to a price floor. If the average closing price of one share of ABCB common stock during a specified determination period has declined by more than 15% from its price on September 28, 2015 of \$28.11 per share, and ABCB common stock underperforms the KBW Nasdaq Regional Banking Index (KRX) by more than 15% during such period, then JAXB may terminate the merger agreement unless ABCB offsets such reduction in the value of ABCB common stock by increasing the number of shares of ABCB common stock to be issued or paying cash consideration to shareholders of JAXB entitled to receive shares of ABCB common stock in

Merger Proposal 16

the merger.

3

Merger Proposal 17

See the section entitled The Merger Agreement Termination Rights and Merger Consideration Adjustment; Termination Fee beginning on page 85 of this proxy statement/prospectus.

Also, if after the date of the merger agreement and at or prior to the effective time of the merger (the effective time), the outstanding shares of ABCB common stock or JAXB common stock are changed into a different number of shares or type of securities by reason of any reclassification, split-up, stock split, subdivision, combination or exchange of shares, or if any dividend payable in stock or other securities is declared on shares of ABCB common stock or JAXB common stock with a record date during such period, or if any similar event occurs, then the per share stock consideration and the per share cash consideration will be adjusted to provide the holders thereof the same economic effect as contemplated by the merger agreement.

Q: What is the value of the per share merger consideration?

Because the per share stock consideration consists of ABCB common stock payable at a fixed exchange ratio for JAXB common stock (assuming no adjustment to the merger consideration as described above) and the market value of the ABCB common stock changes daily, the value of the per share stock consideration will fluctuate. Accordingly, it is not possible to determine the price of ABCB common stock or the value of the per share stock consideration in advance of when the merger becomes effective or when shares ABCB common stock are delivered to the former shareholders of JAXB entitled to receive the per share stock consideration for their shares of JAXB A: common stock. As an illustration, assuming the merger had been completed on September 30, 2015, the date the merger agreement was executed, and assuming an exchange ratio of 0.5861, the per share stock consideration would be valued at approximately \$16.85, based on the closing price of ABCB common stock of \$28.75 on that date. However, assuming the merger had been completed on February 1, 2016, the most recent date practicable before these materials were mailed, the per share stock consideration would be valued at approximately \$16.76, based on the closing price of ABCB common stock of \$28.60 on that date. The value of the per share cash consideration is fixed at \$16.50 in cash, without interest.

Q: What happens if I am eligible to receive a fraction of a share of ABCB common stock as part of the per share merger consideration?

If the aggregate number of shares of ABCB common stock that you are entitled to receive as part of the per share merger consideration includes a fraction of a share of ABCB common stock, then you will receive cash in lieu of A: that fractional share. See the section entitled The Merger Agreement Effective Time, Effects of the Merger; Organizational Documents of the Surviving Corporation; Directors and Officers Effects of the Merger on JAXB Common Stock beginning on page 72 of this proxy statement/prospectus.

Q: What will holders of outstanding JAXB equity awards receive in the merger? A: Immediately prior to the effective time, any outstanding JAXB equity awards will fully vest and be cancelled and converted into the right to receive cash, as described below.

Stock Options. Immediately prior to the effective time, each option to purchase shares of JAXB voting common stock granted under the JAXB equity incentive plan that is outstanding (a JAXB stock option) will fully vest and be cancelled and converted into the right to receive, as soon as reasonably practicable after the effective time of the merger, an amount in cash, without interest, equal to the product of: (i) the total number of shares of JAXB voting common stock subject to such JAXB stock option multiplied by (ii) the excess, if any, of the (A) per share equity award consideration over (B) the per share exercise price for the applicable JAXB stock option, less applicable withholding taxes. Any JAXB stock option that has a per share exercise price that is greater than or equal to the per share equity award consideration will be cancelled for no consideration.

In this proxy statement/prospectus, we refer to:

the product of (i) the exchange ratio $\underline{\text{multiplied by}}$ (ii) the average ABCB stock price during the determination period, as the per share equity consideration; and

Merger Proposal 18

Merger Proposal 19

the average closing sales price of ABCB common stock during the twenty (20) consecutive full trading days (we refer to such twenty (20) consecutive full trading days as the determination period) preceding the later to occur of (we refer to such later date as the determination date) (i) the effective date of the last required regulatory approval approving or exempting the merger and (ii) the date of the receipt of the approval of JAXB s shareholders, as the average ABCB stock price during the determination period.

Restricted Stock Unit Awards. Immediately prior to the effective time, each outstanding JAXB restricted stock unit award granted under the JAXB equity incentive plan (a JAXB restricted stock unit award) will fully vest and be cancelled and converted into the right to receive, as soon as reasonably practicable after the effective time, an amount in cash, without interest, equal to the product of: (i) the total number of shares of JAXB voting common stock subject to such JAXB restricted stock unit award (prorated, in the case of any JAXB restricted stock unit award subject to performance-based vesting conditions held by an individual whose employment with JAXB or its subsidiaries has terminated prior to the closing of the merger under circumstances not resulting in forfeiture of the applicable award, based on the number of days such employee was employed by JAXB or its subsidiaries during the applicable performance period) multiplied by (ii) the per share equity award consideration, less applicable withholding taxes.

Q: What will happen to JAXB as a result of the merger?

If the merger is completed, then JAXB will be merged with and into ABCB, with ABCB surviving the merger as the surviving corporation. As a result of the merger, JAXB will cease to exist, and The Jacksonville Bank, currently a wholly owned subsidiary of JAXB, will become a wholly owned subsidiary of ABCB. In addition, JAXB voting common stock will be delisted from the NASDAQ Capital Market and deregistered under the Exchange Act. Immediately following the completion of the merger, The Jacksonville Bank will be merged with and into Ameris Bank, a wholly owned subsidiary of ABCB, with Ameris Bank surviving the bank merger as the surviving bank.

- Q: What equity stake will JAXB shareholders hold in ABCB immediately following the merger? Based on the number of issued and outstanding shares of ABCB common stock and JAXB common stock as of February 1, 2016, and assuming no exercise or settlement of JAXB equity awards and no adjustment to the merger A: consideration, holders of shares of JAXB common stock as of immediately prior to the closing of the merger will hold, in the aggregate, approximately 7.3% of the issued and outstanding shares of ABCB common stock immediately following the closing of the merger.
- Q: When do you expect the merger to be completed?

 Subject to the satisfaction or waiver of the closing conditions described under the section entitled, The Merger Agreement Conditions to Completion of the Merger beginning on page 16 of this proxy statement/prospectus, including the approval of the merger proposal and the charter amendment, by JAXB shareholders at the special meeting, ABCB and JAXB expect that the merger will be completed during the first quarter of 2016. However, it is possible that factors outside the control of both companies could result in the merger being completed at a different time or not at all.
- Q: What are the material United States federal income tax consequences of the merger to JAXB shareholders? The merger is intended to qualify as a reorganization within the meaning of Section 368(a) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code), and it is a condition to the respective obligations of ABCB and JAXB to complete the merger that each of ABCB and JAXB receives a legal opinion to that effect. Accordingly, a JAXB common shareholder generally will recognize gain, but not loss, in an amount equal to the lesser of (i) the amount A: of gain realized (i.e., the excess of the sum of the amount of cash and the fair market value of the JAXB common stock received pursuant to the merger over that holder s adjusted tax basis in its shares of JAXB common stock surrendered) and (ii) the amount of cash received pursuant to the merger. Further, a JAXB common shareholder generally will recognize gain or loss with respect to cash received instead of fractional shares of ABCB common stock

Merger Proposal 20

TABLE OF CONTENTS

that the JAXB common shareholder would otherwise be entitled to receive. For further information, see the section entitled Material United States Federal Income Tax Consequences beginning on page 150.

The United States federal income tax consequences described above may not apply to all holders of JAXB common stock. Your tax consequences will depend on your individual situation. Accordingly, we strongly urge you to consult your tax advisor for a full understanding of the particular tax consequences of the merger to you.

Q: How can I elect to receive either the per share stock consideration or the per share cash consideration?

An election statement is being mailed to you at the time this proxy statement/prospectus is being mailed. You may indicate a preference to receive the per share stock consideration (which we refer to as a stock election) or the per share cash consideration (which we refer to as a cash election) for each share of JAXB common stock you own as of the effective time by completing and returning the election statement as indicated on the election statement; provided, that the total merger consideration shall be prorated as necessary to ensure that 25% of the total outstanding shares of JAXB common stock will be exchanged for cash (which we refer to as the cash election maximum) and 75% of the total outstanding shares of JAXB common stock will be exchanged for shares of ABCB common stock (which we refer to as the stock election maximum). Accordingly, if the aggregate cash elections are A: greater than the cash election maximum, each cash election will be reduced pro rata based on the amount that the aggregate cash elections exceed the cash election maximum. Alternatively, if the aggregate stock elections are greater than the stock election maximum, each stock election will be reduced pro rata based on the amount that the aggregate stock elections exceed the stock election maximum. If your election statement is not received by the exchange agent by the date of the special meeting (or such other time and date as ABCB and JAXB may mutually agree), ABCB will determine whether you will receive the per share stock consideration or the per share cash consideration in completing the prorations necessary to achieve both the cash election maximum and the stock election maximum. JAXB s board of directors makes no recommendation as to whether you should choose to receive the per share stock consideration or the per share cash consideration for your shares of JAXB common stock. You should consult with your own financial advisor on that decision.

Q: How will I receive the merger consideration to which I am entitled?

Following the effective time of the merger, if you are a shareholder of record, you will receive a letter of transmittal that will provide instructions on what actions you need to take to receive the merger consideration payable on JAXB shares that you owned prior to the merger. If you hold your shares beneficially through a bank, brokerage A: firm or other nominee, following the effective time, your bank, brokerage firm or other nominee will receive the ABCB common stock or cash to which you are entitled from the exchange agent. For further information, see the section entitled The Merger Agreement Exchange and Payment Procedures beginning on page 74 of this proxy statement/prospectus.

Q: Will shares of ABCB common stock acquired in the merger receive a dividend?

If you receive the per share stock consideration in the merger, then, after the closing of the merger, as a holder of ABCB common stock you will receive the same dividends on shares of ABCB common stock that all other holders of shares of ABCB common stock will receive with any dividend record date that occurs after the merger is completed.

Former JAXB shareholders who hold JAXB stock certificates or book entry shares will not be entitled to be paid dividends with a record date after the closing of the merger otherwise payable on the shares of ABCB common stock into which their shares of JAXB common stock are exchangeable until they surrender their JAXB stock certificates or book entry shares according to the instructions provided to them.

Any such payment of dividends by ABCB would require approval by ABCB s board of directors, and ABCB s board of directors may change ABCB s dividend policy at any time. See the section entitled

Merger Proposal 21

Merger Proposal 22

Comparative Per Share Market Price and Dividend Information beginning on page 28 for a comparison of the historical dividend practices of ABCB and JAXB.

Q: Am I entitled to exercise dissenters or appraisal rights instead of receiving the per share merger consideration for my shares of JAXB common stock?

Yes. JAXB shareholders have the right to assert appraisal rights and to obtain payment in cash of the fair value of their shares of JAXB common stock instead of receiving the per share merger consideration if they strictly comply with the requirements and procedures set forth in Sections 607.1301 through 607.1333 of the FBCA, a copy of which is included as *Annex E* to this proxy statement/prospectus. Failure to strictly comply with the requirements and procedures set forth in those sections of the FBCA will result in the loss, termination or waiver of appraisal rights and of the right to obtain payment of the fair value of the shareholders JAXB common stock. See the section entitled Appraisal Rights of JAXB Shareholders beginning on page 176. This proxy statement/prospectus constitutes notice of appraisal rights pursuant to Section 607.1320 of the FBCA.

Q: Are there any risks that I should consider in deciding whether to vote for the approval of the merger proposal?

Yes. You should read and carefully consider the risk factors set forth in the section entitled Risk Factors beginning A: on page 31 of this proxy statement/prospectus. You also should read and carefully consider the risk factors of ABCB contained in the documents that are incorporated by reference into this proxy statement/prospectus.

Q: What are the conditions to completion of the merger?

In addition to the approval of the merger proposal by JAXB shareholders as described above, completion of the merger is subject to the satisfaction of a number of other conditions, including the receipt of all required regulatory approvals and expiration or termination of all statutory waiting periods in respect thereof, approval of the listing on the NASDAQ of the ABCB common stock to be issued in the merger, the accuracy of representations and warranties under the merger agreement (subject to the materiality standards set forth in the merger agreement), each party s readiness to complete the bank merger, ABCB s and JAXB s performance of their respective obligations A: under the merger agreement in all material respects, the approval and effectiveness of the charter amendment, and each of ABCB s and JAXB s receipt of a tax opinion to the effect that the merger will be treated as a reorganization within the meaning of Section 368(a) of the Code. In addition, ABCB is not obligated to complete the merger if, among other things, appraisal rights are properly demanded for 10% or more of the issued and outstanding shares of JAXB common stock. For a more complete summary of the conditions that must be satisfied or waived prior to completion of the merger, see the section entitled The Merger Agreement Conditions to Completion of the Merger beginning on page 16 of this proxy statement/prospectus.

Q: What happens if the merger is not completed?

If the merger is not completed, then JAXB shareholders will not receive the merger consideration for their shares of JAXB common stock. Instead, JAXB will remain an independent public company and the JAXB voting common stock will continue to be listed and traded on the NASDAQ Capital Market. Under specified circumstances, JAXB may be required to pay ABCB a fee with respect to the termination of the merger agreement, as described under the section entitled The Merger Agreement Termination Rights and Merger Consideration Adjustment; Termination Fee beginning on page 85 of this proxy statement/prospectus.

Charter Amendment and Merger-Related Compensation Proposal

Q: Why am I being asked to consider and vote on a proposal to amend the charter?

JAXB s current articles of incorporation provide that, in connection with any merger transaction, the holders of A: shares of JAXB nonvoting common stock can elect to receive the same consideration received by holders of JAXB voting common stock in the merger transaction or, in lieu of receiving voting securities of the resulting institution, holders of JAXB nonvoting common stock may elect to receive

nonvoting securities of the resulting institution. ABCB desires that all shares of ABCB capital stock issued in the merger consist of shares of ABCB common stock having voting rights. Accordingly, the charter amendment eliminates the right of holders of JAXB nonvoting common stock to elect to receive nonvoting securities solely with respect to the merger transaction with ABCB.

Q: What will happen if JAXB shareholders do not approve the charter amendment?

Approval of the charter amendment is a condition to the closing of the merger. Accordingly, if JAXB shareholders do not approve the charter amendment, then ABCB has the right to terminate the merger agreement and the merger A: will not be completed. Additionally, because approval of the merger proposal is cross-conditioned upon approval of the charter amendment, if JAXB shareholders do not approve the charter amendment, the merger proposal will also fail.

Q: Do any of JAXB s directors or executive officers have interests in the merger that may differ from those of JAXB shareholders?

JAXB s directors and executive officers have interests in the merger that are different from, or in addition to, their interests as JAXB shareholders. The members of JAXB s board of directors were aware of and considered these interests, among other matters, in evaluating the merger agreement and the merger, and in recommending that JAXB shareholders approve the merger proposal. For a description of these interests, refer to the section entitled Interests of JAXB s Directors and Executive Officers in the Merger beginning on page 90 of this proxy statement/prospectus.

Q: Why am I being asked to cast an advisory (non-binding) vote to approve the compensation payable to certain JAXB named executive officers in connection with the merger?

The SEC, in accordance with the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010, adopted A:rules that require JAXB to seek an advisory (non-binding) vote with respect to certain payments that may be made to JAXB s named executive officers in connection with the merger.

Q: What will happen if JAXB shareholders do not approve the merger-related compensation proposal?

Approval of the compensation payable to the named executive officers of JAXB in connection with the merger is not a prerequisite to completion of the merger. The vote with respect to the compensation payable to named executive officers in the merger is advisory and will not be binding on JAXB (or the combined company that results from the merger) regardless of whether the merger is approved. Accordingly, because the compensation to be paid to the JAXB named executive officers in connection with the merger is contractual, the compensation will be payable if the merger is completed regardless of the outcome of the non-binding, advisory vote.

The Special Meeting

Q: Who can vote at the special meeting?

All holders of record of JAXB voting common stock and JAXB nonvoting common stock as of the close of business on February 1, 2016, the record date for the special meeting, are entitled to receive notice of, and to vote at, the special meeting as described in this proxy statement/prospectus. Each holder of JAXB voting common stock and JAXB nonvoting common stock is entitled to cast one vote on each matter properly brought before the special meeting for each share of JAXB voting common stock and JAXB nonvoting common stock that such holder owned of record as of the record date and on which matter such share is entitled to vote.

Q: When and where is the special meeting?

The special meeting will be held on March 11, 2016, at 9:00 a.m. local time, at JAXB s principal executive offices, 100 North Laura Street, Suite 1000, Jacksonville, Florida 32202. For additional information about the special meeting, see the section entitled Information About the Special Meeting beginning on page 14 of this proxy statement/prospectus. If you would like to attend and need directions, please call JAXB at (904) 421-3040.

The Special Meeting 25

Q: What is the difference between holding shares as a shareholder of record and as a beneficial owner?

If your shares of JAXB common stock are registered directly in your name with the transfer agent of JAXB, Broadridge Financial Solutions, Inc., you are considered the shareholder of record with respect to those shares. As the shareholder of record, you have the right to vote as described in this proxy statement/prospectus, or to grant a proxy for your vote directly to JAXB or to a third party to vote at the special meeting.

If your shares are held by a bank, brokerage firm or other nominee, then you are considered the beneficial owner of shares held in street name, and your bank, brokerage firm or other nominee is considered the shareholder of record with respect to those shares. Your bank, brokerage firm or other nominee will send you, as the beneficial owner, a package describing the procedure for voting your shares. You should follow the instructions provided by them to vote your shares. You are invited to attend the special meeting; however, you may not vote these shares in person at the special meeting unless you obtain a legal proxy from your bank, brokerage firm or other nominee that holds your shares, giving you the right to vote the shares at the special meeting.

Q: If my shares of JAXB common stock are held in street name by my bank, brokerage firm or other nominee, will my bank, brokerage firm or other nominee automatically vote those shares for me?

Your bank, brokerage firm or other nominee will only be permitted to vote your shares of JAXB common stock if you instruct your bank, brokerage firm or other nominee how to vote. You should follow the procedures provided by your bank, brokerage firm or other nominee regarding the voting of your shares of JAXB common stock. In accordance with the rules of the NASDAO, banks, brokerage firms and other nominees who hold shares of JAXB common stock in street name for their customers have authority to vote on routine proposals when they have not received instructions from beneficial owners. However, banks, brokerage firms and other nominees are precluded from exercising their voting discretion with respect to non-routine matters, such as the approval of the merger A: proposal, the proposal to approve the charter amendment, the merger-related compensation proposal and the adjournment proposal. As a result, absent specific instructions from the beneficial owner of such shares, banks, brokerage firms and other nominees are not empowered to vote such shares. A so-called broker non-vote results when banks, brokerage firms and other nominees return a valid proxy but do not vote on a particular proposal because they do not have discretionary authority to vote on the matter and have not received specific voting instructions from the beneficial owner of such shares. The effect of not instructing your broker how you wish your shares to be voted will be the same as a vote AGAINST the approval of the merger proposal, and will not have an effect on the outcome of the vote with respect to the proposal to approve the charter amendment, the merger-related compensation proposal or the adjournment proposal.

How many votes do I have?

Each JAXB shareholder is entitled to one vote for each share of JAXB voting common stock and JAXB nonvoting common stock held of record as of the record date for each matter on which such share is entitled to vote. As of the close of business on the record date, there were 3,512,773 outstanding shares of JAXB voting common stock and 2,287,821 outstanding shares of JAXB nonvoting common stock.

Q: What constitutes a quorum for the special meeting?

The presence, in person or represented by proxy, of holders of a majority of all of the outstanding shares of JAXB voting common stock and a majority of the outstanding shares of JAXB nonvoting common stock entitled to vote at the special meeting constitutes a quorum for the purposes of the special meeting. Abstentions are considered present for purposes of establishing a quorum.

The Special Meeting 26

Q: What do I need to do now?

Even if you plan to attend the special meeting in person, after carefully reading and considering the information contained in this proxy statement/prospectus, please vote promptly to ensure that your shares are represented at the special meeting. Please also complete and return the election statement according to the instructions provided on such election statement.

Q: How do I vote?

Shareholder of Record. If you were a shareholder of record on the record date for the special meeting, you may A: vote your shares of JAXB common stock on the matters to be presented at the special meeting in the following ways:

By Telephone using the toll-free number listed on your proxy card(s);

By Internet at the address provided on your proxy card(s);

By Mail by completing, signing, dating and returning the enclosed proxy card(s) in the accompanying prepaid reply envelope; or

In Person you may attend the special meeting and cast your vote there.

Beneficial Owner. If you were a beneficial owner on the record date for the special meeting, please refer to the instructions provided by your bank, brokerage firm or other nominee to see which of the above choices are available to you. Please note that if you were a beneficial owner on the record date for the special meeting and wish to vote in person at the special meeting, then you must obtain a legal proxy from your bank, brokerage firm or other nominee.

Q: How can I change or revoke my vote?

You have the right to revoke a proxy at any time before it is exercised, by voting again at a later date through any of the methods available to you, by attending the special meeting and voting in person, or by giving written notice A: of revocation to JAXB prior to the time the special meeting begins. Written notice of revocation should be mailed to: Jacksonville Bancorp, Inc., 100 North Laura Street, Suite 1000, Jacksonville, Florida, 32202, Attention: Corporate Secretary.

Q: If a shareholder gives a proxy, how are the shares of JAXB common stock voted?

Regardless of the method you choose to vote, the individuals named on the enclosed proxy card(s) will vote your shares of JAXB common stock in the way that you indicate. When completing your proxy card(s), you may specify whether your shares of JAXB common stock should be voted **FOR** or **AGAINST** or to **ABSTAIN** from voting on all, some or none of the specific items of business to come before the special meeting.

If you properly sign your proxy card(s) but do not mark the boxes showing how your shares should be voted on a matter, the shares of JAXB common stock represented by your properly signed proxy will be voted **FOR** the proposals described in this proxy statement/prospectus on which such shares are entitled to vote.

Q: What should I do if I receive more than one set of voting materials?

If you (i) hold shares of JAXB common stock in street name and also directly as a record holder or otherwise (ii) hold shares of JAXB common stock in more than one brokerage account or (iii) hold shares of both JAXB common stock and JAXB nonvoting common stock, then you may receive more than one set of voting materials relating to the special meeting. Please vote your shares represented by <u>each</u> proxy card by telephone, by Internet or by completing, signing, dating and returning each proxy card, or otherwise following the voting instructions provided in this proxy statement/prospectus in order to ensure that all of your shares of JAXB common stock are voted. If you hold your shares in street name through a bank, brokerage firm or other nominee, you should follow the procedures provided by your bank, brokerage firm or other nominee to vote your shares.

The Special Meeting 27

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Q: What happens if I sell my shares of JAXB common stock before the special meeting?

The record date is earlier than both the date of the special meeting and the effective time. If you transfer your shares of JAXB common stock after the record date but before the special meeting, you will, unless the transferee requests A:a proxy from you, retain your right to vote at the special meeting but will transfer the right to receive the per share merger consideration to the person to whom you transfer your shares. In order to receive the per share merger consideration for each of your shares of JAXB common stock, you must hold your shares at the effective time.

Q: Who will solicit and pay the cost of soliciting proxies?

JAXB will pay the proxy solicitation costs related to the special meeting. JAXB s directors, officers and employees may solicit proxies by telephone, by facsimile, by mail, on the Internet or in person. They will not be paid any A: additional amounts for soliciting proxies. JAXB also may reimburse banks, brokerage firms, other nominees or their respective agents for their expenses in forwarding proxy materials to beneficial owners of JAXB common stock.

Q: Should I send in my stock certificates now?

No, please do NOT return your stock certificate(s) with your proxy or election statement. If the merger proposal and the charter amendment are approved by JAXB shareholders and the merger is completed, and you are a record holder of JAXB common stock as of the effective time, then you will be sent a letter of transmittal as promptly as reasonably practicable after the completion of the merger describing how you may exchange each of your shares of JAXB common stock for the per share merger consideration. If your shares of JAXB common stock are held in street name through a bank, brokerage firm or other nominee, then you will receive instructions from your bank, brokerage firm or other nominee as to how to effect the surrender of your street name shares of JAXB common stock in exchange for the per share merger consideration.

Q: Where can I find the voting results of the special meeting?

The preliminary voting results will be announced at the special meeting. In addition, within four (4) business days A: following certification of the final voting results, JAXB will file the final voting results with the SEC on a Current Report on Form 8-K.

Q: Who can help answer any other questions I have?

If you have additional questions about the merger, need assistance in submitting your proxy or voting your shares A: of JAXB common stock, or need additional copies of this proxy statement/prospectus or the enclosed proxy card(s), please contact JAXB at:

Jacksonville Bancorp, Inc. 100 North Laura Street, Suite 1000 Jacksonville, Florida 32202 Telephone: (904) 421-3040 Attention: Valerie A. Kendall

11

The Special Meeting 28

SUMMARY

The following summary highlights selected information in this proxy statement/prospectus and may not contain all the information that may be important to you as a JAXB shareholder. Accordingly, we encourage you to read carefully this entire proxy statement/prospectus, its annexes and the documents referred to in this proxy statement/prospectus. Each item in this summary includes a page reference directing you to a more complete description of that topic. You may obtain the information incorporated by reference into this proxy statement/prospectus without charge by following the instructions under the section entitled Incorporation of Certain ABCB Documents by Reference beginning on page 180 of this proxy statement/prospectus.

The Parties to the Merger (Page <u>52</u>)

Jacksonville Bancorp, Inc.

100 North Laura Street, Suite 1000 Jacksonville, Florida 32202 Telephone: (904) 421-3040

JAXB, a Florida corporation, is a bank holding company whose business is conducted primarily through The Jacksonville Bank, a Florida-state chartered bank and a wholly-owned subsidiary of JAXB. Through The Jacksonville Bank, JAXB provides a variety of commercial and retail banking services through eight offices in the Jacksonville, Florida metropolitan area, as well as online banking through its virtual branch. JAXB s product lines include personal and online banking and sweep accounts that may be invested in Goldman Sachs mutual funds, in addition to traditional banking products. JAXB s loan portfolio includes commercial loans, real estate mortgage loans and consumer and other types of loans, with a particular emphasis on commercial real estate loans. JAXB has specialized in providing services to small business owners, with a particular focus on professional services, wholesalers, distributors and other service industries. Through Fountain Financial, Inc., a subsidiary of The Jacksonville Bank, JAXB serves the investment and insurance needs of bank customers.

JAXB was incorporated on October 24, 1997 as a Florida corporation. At September 30, 2015, JAXB had approximately \$505.3 million in total assets, \$386.9 million in total loans (net of allowance for loan losses), \$433.0 million in total deposits and shareholders equity of \$42.1 million. Deposits with The Jacksonville Bank are insured, up to applicable limits, by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (the FDIC).

The JAXB voting common stock is currently listed on the NASDAQ Capital Market under the symbol JAXB. The JAXB nonvoting common stock is not listed or traded on any established securities exchange or quotation system.

Ameris Bancorp

310 First St., S.E. Moultrie, Georgia 31768 Telephone: (229) 890-1111

ABCB, a Georgia corporation, is a bank holding company whose business is conducted primarily through Ameris Bank, a Georgia state-chartered bank and a wholly-owned subsidiary of ABCB. As a bank holding company, ABCB performs certain shareholder and investor relations functions and seeks to provide financial support, if necessary, to

SUMMARY 29

Ameris Bank.

ABCB is headquartered in Moultrie, Georgia, and, through Ameris Bank, provides a full range of banking services to its retail and commercial customers through branches primarily concentrated in select markets in Georgia, Alabama, Florida and South Carolina. These branches serve distinct communities in ABCB s business areas with autonomy but do so as one bank, leveraging ABCB s favorable geographic footprint in an effort to acquire more customers.

ABCB was incorporated on December 18, 1980 as a Georgia corporation. ABCB operates 117 domestic banking offices with no foreign activities. At September 30, 2015, ABCB had approximately \$5.2 billion in total assets, \$3.6 billion in total loans (net of allowance for loan losses), \$4.5 billion in total deposits and stockholders equity of \$502.3 million. Deposits with Ameris Bank are insured, up to applicable limits, by the FDIC.

The ABCB common stock is listed on the NASDAQ Global Select Market under the symbol ABCB.

The Merger and the Merger Agreement

The terms and conditions of the merger are contained in the merger agreement, a copy of which is attached as *Annex A* to this proxy statement/prospectus. We encourage you to read the merger agreement carefully and in its entirety, as it is the legal document that governs the merger.

Pursuant to the merger agreement, JAXB will merge with and into ABCB with ABCB surviving the merger as the surviving corporation. Immediately thereafter, The Jacksonville Bank, JAXB s banking subsidiary, will merge with and into ABCB s banking subsidiary, Ameris Bank, with Ameris Bank continuing as the surviving entity.

Per Share Merger Consideration; Merger Consideration Adjustment (Pages <u>73</u> and <u>85</u>)

Unless adjusted pursuant to the terms of the merger agreement, each share of JAXB common stock issued and outstanding immediately prior to the completion of the merger (other than excluded shares and shares held by shareholders who properly demand appraisal rights under Sections 607.1301 to 607.1333 of the FBCA) will be converted into the right to receive either:

0.5861 shares of ABCB common stock, or \$16.50 in cash, without interest.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, the total merger consideration shall be prorated as necessary to ensure that 25% of the total outstanding shares of JAXB common stock will be exchanged for cash and 75% of the total outstanding shares of JAXB common stock will be exchanged for shares of ABCB common stock. Cash will be paid in lieu of fractional shares.

The completion of the merger is subject to a price floor. If the average closing price of one share of ABCB common stock during a specified determination period has declined by more than 15% from its price on September 28, 2015 of \$28.11 per share, and ABCB common stock underperforms the KBW Nasdaq Regional Banking Index (KRX) by more than 15% during such period, then JAXB may terminate the merger agreement unless ABCB offsets such reduction in the value of ABCB common stock by increasing the number of shares of ABCB common stock to be issued or paying cash consideration to shareholders of JAXB entitled to receive shares of ABCB common stock in the merger.

Also, if after the date of the merger agreement and at or prior to the effective time, the outstanding shares of ABCB common stock or JAXB common stock are changed into a different number of shares or type of securities by reason of any reclassification, split-up, stock split, subdivision, combination or exchange of shares, or if any dividend payable in stock or other securities is declared on shares of ABCB common stock or JAXB common stock with a record date during such period, or if any similar event occurs, then the per share stock consideration and the per share cash consideration will be adjusted to provide the holders thereof the same economic effect as contemplated by the merger agreement.

Treatment of JAXB Outstanding Equity Awards (Page 90)

Treatment of JAXB Stock Options

Immediately prior to the effective time, each JAXB stock option that is outstanding will fully vest and be cancelled and converted into the right to receive, as soon as reasonably practicable after the effective time, an amount in cash, without interest, equal to the product of: (i) the total number of shares of JAXB voting common stock subject to such JAXB stock option multiplied by (ii) the excess, if any, of (A) the per share equity award consideration over (B) the per share exercise price for the applicable JAXB stock option, less applicable withholding taxes. Any JAXB stock option that has a per share exercise price that is greater than or equal to the per share equity award consideration will be cancelled for no consideration.

Treatment of JAXB Restricted Stock Unit Awards

Immediately prior to the effective time, each outstanding JAXB restricted stock unit award will fully vest and be cancelled and converted into the right to receive, as soon as reasonably practicable after the effective time, an amount in cash, without interest, equal to the product of: (i) the total number of shares of JAXB

voting common stock subject to such JAXB restricted stock unit award (prorated, in the case of any JAXB restricted stock unit award subject to performance-based vesting conditions held by an individual whose employment with JAXB or its subsidiaries has terminated prior to the closing of the merger under circumstances not resulting in forfeiture of the applicable award, based on the number of days such employee was employed by JAXB or its subsidiaries during the applicable performance period) multiplied_by (ii) the per share equity award consideration, less applicable withholding taxes.

JAXB s Reasons for the Merger; Recommendation of JAXB s Board of Directors (Page <u>58</u>)

JAXB s board of directors unanimously recommends that JAXB shareholders vote **FOR** the merger proposal, **FOR** the approval of the charter amendment, **FOR** the proposal to approve (on a non-binding, advisory basis) the merger-related consideration and **FOR** approval of the adjournment proposal.

Opinion of JAXB s Financial Advisor (Page 61)

On September 30, 2015, Hovde Group, LLC, which we refer to as Hovde, JAXB s financial advisor in connection with the merger, rendered a written opinion to JAXB s board of directors to the effect that, as of such date and subject to and based on the qualifications and assumptions set forth in its written opinion, the merger consideration to be paid in connection with the merger is fair, from a financial point of view, to JAXB shareholders.

The full text of Hovde s opinion, dated September 30, 2015, is attached as *Annex D* to this proxy statement/prospectus. You should read the opinion in its entirety for a description of the procedures followed, assumptions made, matters considered, and qualifications and limitations on the review undertaken by Hovde in rendering its opinion.

Hovde s opinion is addressed to JAXB s board of directors and the opinion is not a recommendation as to how any JAXB shareholder should vote with respect to the merger or any other matter or as to any action that a shareholder should take with respect to the merger.

The opinion addresses only the fairness, from a financial point of view, of the merger consideration to be paid in connection with the merger to JAXB shareholders, and does not address the underlying business decision of JAXB to engage in the merger, or the relative merits of the merger as compared to any strategic alternatives that may be available to JAXB.

Information About the Special Meeting (Page <u>48</u>)

Time, Place and Purpose of the Special Meeting (Page 48)

The special meeting will be held on March 11, 2016, at 9:00 a.m. local time, at JAXB s principal executive offices, 100 North Laura Street, Suite 1000, Jacksonville, Florida 32202. At the special meeting, JAXB shareholders will be asked to consider and vote upon: (i) a proposal for holders of JAXB voting common stock and JAXB nonvoting common stock to approve the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated in the merger agreement including, among other things, the merger of JAXB with and into ABCB with ABCB surviving the merger; (ii) a proposal for holders of JAXB voting common stock and JAXB nonvoting common stock to approve the charter amendment; (iii) a proposal for holders of JAXB voting common stock to approve, on a non-binding advisory basis, the compensation

that certain executive officers of JAXB may receive under existing agreements or arrangements with JAXB in connection with the merger; and (iv) a proposal for holders of JAXB voting common stock and JAXB nonvoting common stock to approve the adjournment of the special meeting, if necessary or appropriate, to solicit additional proxies if there are insufficient votes at the time of the special meeting to approve the merger proposal or the charter amendment.

Record Date and Quorum (Page 48)

You are entitled to receive notice of, and to vote at, the special meeting if you were an owner of record of shares of JAXB voting common stock or JAXB nonvoting common stock as of the close of business on February 1, 2016, the record date. On the record date, there were 3,512,773 shares of JAXB voting common stock outstanding and entitled to vote and 2,287,821 shares of JAXB nonvoting common stock outstanding and entitled to vote. You will have one vote on each matter properly brought before the special meeting for each share of JAXB voting common stock and JAXB nonvoting common stock that you owned on the record date and on which matter such share is entitled to vote.

The presence, in person or represented by proxy, of holders of a majority of all of the outstanding shares of JAXB voting common stock and a majority of all of the outstanding shares of JAXB nonvoting common stock entitled to vote at the special meeting constitutes a quorum for the purposes of the special meeting. Abstentions are considered present for purposes of establishing a quorum.

Vote Required (Page 48)

The approval of the merger proposal requires the affirmative vote of the holders of at least a majority of the outstanding shares of JAXB voting common stock and a majority of the outstanding shares of JAXB nonvoting common stock, each voting as a separate class. The charter amendment will be approved if: (i) the votes cast for the proposal by the holders of JAXB voting common stock exceed the votes cast against such proposal by the holders of JAXB voting common stock; and (ii) the votes cast for the proposal by the holders of JAXB nonvoting common stock exceed the votes cast against such proposal by the holders of JAXB nonvoting common stock. Approval of the merger-related compensation proposal requires that the number of votes cast at the special meeting in favor of the proposal by the holders of JAXB voting common stock exceeds the number of votes cast against the proposal by the holders of JAXB voting common stock. The adjournment proposal will be approved if the votes cast for the proposal by the holders of JAXB voting common stock and the holders of JAXB nonvoting common stock, voting together as a single group, exceed the votes cast against such proposal by the holders of JAXB voting common stock and the holders of the JAXB nonvoting common stock. In addition, approval of the merger proposal is cross-conditioned upon approval of the charter amendment. In other words, if JAXB shareholders do not approve the merger proposal, the charter amendment will fail; likewise, if JAXB shareholders do not approve the charter amendment, the merger proposal will also fail. Votes to abstain will not be counted as votes cast in favor of the approval of the merger proposal, the charter amendment, the merger-related compensation or adjournment, but will count for the purpose of determining whether a quorum is present.

If you fail to submit a proxy or to vote in person at the special meeting, or do not provide your bank, brokerage firm or other nominee with instructions as to how to vote your shares, as applicable, then your shares of JAXB common stock will not be voted on the approval of the merger proposal, which will have the same effect as a vote AGAINST the approval of the merger proposal, and your shares of JAXB common stock will not be voted on the approval of the charter amendment, the merger-related compensation or the adjournment proposal, but will not affect the outcome of the vote on those proposals.

As of the record date, the directors and executive officers of JAXB and their affiliates beneficially owned and were entitled to vote approximately 1,398,607 shares of JAXB voting common stock representing approximately 39.81% of the shares of JAXB voting common stock outstanding on that date and 1,806,000 shares of JAXB nonvoting common stock representing approximately 78.94% of the shares of JAXB nonvoting common stock outstanding on that date. JAXB and ABCB have entered into a Voting and Support Agreement with certain shareholders of JAXB pursuant to which these shareholders have agreed, solely in their capacity as shareholders of JAXB, to vote their shares of JAXB voting common stock and JAXB nonvoting common stock in favor of the merger proposal, in favor of the charter amendment, and in favor of the adjournment proposal, subject to certain exceptions and among other things. A copy of the Voting and Support Agreement is attached as *Annex C* to this proxy statement/prospectus.

Proxies and Revocations (Page 50)

Any shareholder of record entitled to vote at the special meeting may submit a proxy by telephone, by Internet or by returning the enclosed proxy card(s) in the accompanying prepaid reply envelope, or may vote in person by appearing at the special meeting. If your shares of JAXB common stock are beneficially held in street name through a bank, brokerage firm or other nominee, then you should instruct your bank, brokerage firm or other nominee on how to vote

your shares of JAXB common stock using the instructions provided by your bank, brokerage firm or other nominee.

If you are a shareholder of record, you have the right to revoke a proxy at any time before it is exercised, by voting again at a later date through any of the methods available to you, by attending the special meeting and voting in person, or by giving written notice of revocation to JAXB prior to the time the special meeting begins. Written notice of revocation should be mailed to: Jacksonville Bancorp, Inc., 100 North Laura

Street, Suite 1000, Jacksonville, Florida, 32202, Attention: Corporate Secretary. If your shares of JAXB common stock are beneficially held in street name through a bank, brokerage firm or other nominee, then you must follow the instructions of your bank, brokerage firm or other nominee to revoke or change your prior voting instructions.

Interests of JAXB s Directors and Executive Officers in the Merger (Page 90)

Directors and executive officers of JAXB have interests in the merger that are different from, or in addition to, their interests as JAXB shareholders. These interests include, among others, the treatment of outstanding equity awards pursuant to the merger agreement, change in control severance benefits and rights to ongoing indemnification and insurance coverage by the surviving corporation for acts or omissions occurring prior to the merger. JAXB s board of directors was aware of and considered those interests, among other matters, in reaching its decisions to: (i) approve the merger proposal; (ii) adopt the charter amendment; and (iii) resolve to recommend the approval of the merger proposal and the charter amendment to JAXB shareholders.

Regulatory Approvals (Page 69)

Under federal law, the merger must be approved by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the Federal Reserve) and the bank merger must be approved by the FDIC. In addition, the Georgia Department of Banking and Finance must also approve the merger and the bank merger.

As of the date of this proxy statement/prospectus, all of the required regulatory applications have been filed and all of the required approvals have been received. All statutory waiting periods applicable to such approvals have expired.

Appraisal Rights of JAXB Shareholders (Page 176)

Holders of shares of JAXB common stock have the right to assert appraisal rights and to obtain payment in cash of the fair value of their shares of JAXB common stock instead of receiving the per share merger consideration, if they comply with all of the requirements and procedures set forth in Sections 607.1301 through 607.1333 of the FBCA, including:

filing with JAXB, within the time period prescribed in Section 607.1321 of the FBCA and prior to the date of the special meeting, a written notice of the shareholder s intent to demand payment if the merger is completed, and not voting, or causing or permitting to be voted, in favor of the merger any of the shares of the class or series for which such shareholder is seeking appraisal rights.

Failure by a JAXB shareholder to adhere strictly to the requirements of the appraisal rights provisions of the FBCA may result in the loss, termination or waiver of such shareholder s appraisal rights. Fair value may be more or less than the value of the merger consideration payable in connection with the merger as provided in the merger agreement. A copy of Sections 607.1301 through 607.1333 of the FBCA is included as *Annex E* to this proxy statement/prospectus, and a summary of these provisions can be found under Appraisal Rights of JAXB Shareholders. This proxy statement/prospectus constitutes notice of appraisal rights pursuant to Section 607.1320 of the FBCA.

If you hold your shares of JAXB common stock through a bank, brokerage firm, trust or other nominee and you wish to exercise appraisal rights, then you should consult with your bank, brokerage firm, trust or other nominee to determine the appropriate procedures for the making of a demand for appraisal through your nominee.

In view of the complexity of the procedures specified under the FBCA, JAXB shareholders who wish to pursue appraisal rights should promptly consult their legal, financial and tax advisors.

Conditions to Completion of the Merger (Page 84)

In addition to the approval of the merger proposal and the charter amendment by JAXB shareholders and the receipt of all required regulatory approvals and expiration or termination of all statutory waiting periods in respect thereof, each as described above, each party s obligation to complete the merger is also subject to the

satisfaction or waiver (to the extent permitted under applicable law) of certain other conditions, including the effectiveness of the registration statement containing this proxy statement/prospectus, approval of the listing on the NASDAQ of the ABCB common stock to be issued in the merger, the absence of any applicable law or order prohibiting the merger, the accuracy of the representations and warranties of the other party under the merger agreement (subject to the materiality standards set forth in the merger agreement), the performance by the other party of its respective obligations under the merger agreement in all material respects, delivery of officer certificates by the other party certifying satisfaction of the two preceding conditions, each party s readiness to complete the bank merger, the filing of articles of amendment effecting the charter amendment with the Department of State of the State of Florida and each of ABCB s and JAXB s receipt of a tax opinion to the effect that the merger will be treated as a reorganization within the meaning of Section 368(a) of the Code. In addition, ABCB is not obligated to complete the merger if, among other things, appraisal rights are properly demanded for 10% or more of the issued and outstanding shares of JAXB common stock.

Neither JAXB nor ABCB can be certain when, or if, the conditions to the merger will be satisfied or waived, or that the merger will be completed.

No Solicitation (Page 81)

As more fully described in this proxy statement/prospectus and in the merger agreement, and subject to certain exceptions summarized below, JAXB has agreed not to solicit, initiate, seek, knowingly facilitate or encourage any inquiries or expression of interest with respect to, or engage or participate in any discussions or negotiations concerning an alternative acquisition proposal, to furnish a third party with nonpublic information regarding JAXB, or approve, endorse or recommend, or enter into any agreement or arrangement, with respect to any alternative acquisition proposal. Notwithstanding these restrictions, the merger agreement provides that JAXB may participate in discussions or negotiations regarding an alternative acquisition proposal or furnish nonpublic information regarding JAXB in response to an unsolicited bona fide written acquisition proposal, and under specific circumstances, including JAXB s board of directors determination in good faith (in accordance with the merger agreement and after consultation with JAXB s outside legal counsel and independent financial advisor) that such acquisition proposal is or is reasonably likely to result in a superior proposal, and JAXB s board of directors determination in good faith that its failure to do so would violate its fiduciary duties to JAXB and its shareholders.

No Change in Recommendation or Entry into Acquisition Agreement (Page 81)

The merger agreement requires JAXB s board of directors to use reasonable best efforts to solicit from JAXB shareholders proxies in favor of approval of the merger agreement and the charter amendment, including by communicating to JAXB shareholders the recommendation of JAXB s board of directors that they approve the merger agreement and the charter amendment, and to take all other action necessary or advisable to secure the vote or consent of JAXB shareholders required by applicable law to obtain such approvals, but JAXB s board of directors may withdraw its recommendation for shareholders approval of the merger if, and only if: (i) JAXB s board determines in good faith, after consultation with its outside legal counsel and independent financial advisor, that it has received an unsolicited bona fide acquisition proposal that is a superior proposal (and such superior proposal has not been withdrawn); (ii) JAXB s board of directors determines, in good faith, after consultation with such outside legal counsel, that a failure to accept such superior proposal would, or would be reasonably likely to, result in JAXB s board of directors breaching its fiduciary duties to JAXB and JAXB shareholders under applicable law; (iii) JAXB s board of directors provides written notice to ABCB of its receipt of a superior proposal and its intent to withdraw its

recommendation, such notice to specify in reasonable detail the material terms and conditions of the superior proposal; (iv) after providing such notice, JAXB negotiates in good faith with ABCB (if requested by ABCB) and provides ABCB a reasonable opportunity to adjust the terms and conditions of the merger agreement as would enable JAXB s board of directors to proceed without withdrawing its recommendation; and (v) after such period, JAXB s board of directors again determines in good faith, after consultation with such outside legal counsel and such independent financial advisor, that such acquisition proposal nonetheless continues to constitute a superior proposal and that failure to withdraw its recommendation would violate its fiduciary duties to JAXB and JAXB shareholders under applicable law. The term superior proposal is defined in the section entitled The Merger Agreement No Change in Recommendation or Entry into Acquisition Agreement beginning on page 81 of this proxy statement/prospectus.

Termination Rights and Merger Consideration Adjustment; Termination Fee (Page <u>85</u>)

Termination Rights; Merger Consideration Adjustment

ABCB and JAXB may mutually agree to terminate the merger agreement and abandon the merger at any time. Subject to conditions and circumstances described in the merger agreement, the merger agreement may be terminated as follows:

by either party if the merger is not completed by June 30, 2016;

by either party in the event of a material breach by the other party of its representations and warranties or obligations contained in the merger agreement, which breach cannot be or has not been cured within thirty (30) days after the giving of written notice to the breaching party of such breach, and which breach or breaches would result in a failure to satisfy any applicable closing condition;

by either party if final action has been taken by a regulatory agency whose approval is required for the merger or bank merger, which final action has become final and nonappealable and does not approve the merger or bank merger or a governmental authority enacts a law or judgment which would make the merger or the bank merger illegal; by ABCB if JAXB s board of directors fails to make recommendations to JAXB shareholders to approve the merger agreement and the charter amendment, or JAXB has materially breached its covenant not to solicit acquisition proposals; or

if the JAXB shareholders, including the holders of JAXB voting common stock and holders of JAXB nonvoting common stock each acting as a separate voting group, fail to approve the merger agreement or the charter amendment. In addition, if the average closing price of one share of ABCB common stock during the determination period has declined by more than 15% from its price on September 28, 2015 of \$28.11 per share, and ABCB common stock underperforms the KBW Nasdaq Regional Banking Index (KRX) by more than 15% during such period, then JAXB may terminate the merger agreement unless ABCB increases the exchange ratio or contributes sufficient additional cash consideration (provided that doing so would not prevent the merger from qualifying as a reorganization within the meaning of Section 368(a) of the Code) for payment to JAXB shareholders electing to receive ABCB common stock to offset any reduction in the value of the stock consideration attributable to such decline.

Termination Fee

JAXB will be required to pay ABCB a termination fee of approximately \$3.8 million, which we refer to as the termination fee, if the merger agreement is terminated by ABCB because: (i) JAXB fails to make its recommendation at the special meeting (as it may be adjourned or postponed) in favor of the approval of the merger agreement or has made an adverse JAXB recommendation change (as defined in the section entitled. The Merger Agreement. No Change in Recommendation or Entry into Acquisition Agreement.); (ii) JAXB has materially breached any of the provisions of the merger agreement with respect to obtaining shareholder approval of the merger or non-solicitation of alternative acquisition proposals; or (iii) if the merger or the merger agreement is terminated in certain circumstances following the making of an alternative acquisition proposal and JAXB completes, or enters into an agreement providing for, an alternative transaction within twelve (12) months after the date of termination.

Voting and Support Agreement (Page 88)

In connection with the merger agreement, ABCB and JAXB entered into a Voting and Support Agreement with certain JAXB shareholders under which such shareholders have agreed to, among other things, vote their shares of

JAXB stock in favor of the approval of the merger proposal, the charter amendment and the adjournment proposal and against any action or agreement that would be reasonably likely to impair the ability of ABCB or JAXB to complete the merger, or that would otherwise be inconsistent with, prevent, impede or delay the consummation of the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement and against any proposal that relates to an alternative acquisition without regard to the terms of such proposal, in each case subject to the terms and conditions of the Voting and Support Agreement. As of the record date, the

Voting and Support Agreement covered 1,398,607 shares of JAXB voting common stock and 1,806,000 shares of JAXB nonvoting common stock, or approximately 39.81% of the outstanding shares of JAXB voting common stock and 78.94% of the outstanding shares of JAXB nonvoting common stock, respectively. A copy of the Voting and Support Agreement is attached as *Annex C* to this proxy statement/prospectus.

Litigation Related to the Merger (Page 69)

Since the announcement of the merger, two putative shareholder class action lawsuits have been filed against JAXB, the directors of JAXB and ABCB, in the Circuit Court of Duval County, Florida, which were consolidated by the Court and together we refer to as the Florida Actions. In the Florida Actions, plaintiffs initially alleged that the individual director defendants breached their fiduciary duties to JAXB shareholders in negotiating and approving the merger agreement through an unfair process, that the merger consideration does not adequately value JAXB, that JAXB shareholders will not receive fair value for their shares of JAXB common stock in the merger and that the terms of the merger agreement impose improper deal-protection devices that allegedly preclude competing offers. The complaints in the Florida Actions further alleged that JAXB and ABCB aided and abetted the alleged breaches of fiduciary duty by JAXB s directors. In the Florida Actions, plaintiffs seek preliminary and permanent injunctive relief, including enjoining or rescinding the merger, an award of unspecified damages, attorneys fees and other relief. In an amended complaint filed on December 15, 2015, plaintiffs in the Florida Actions repeated those same allegations and sought the same relief. The amended complaint also alleged that the recently filed registration statement was misleading, as it omitted to disclose certain information about, *inter alia*, the formation of JAXB s merger committee, other information about the process of the merger, certain management financial projections and valuation information, and other information about the fairness opinion provided by Hovde.

On December 23, 2015, plaintiffs in the Florida Actions filed a motion for expedited discovery and counsel for defendants are discussing the motion and expedited discovery requests. The Court has not ruled on the motion for expedited discovery.

The outcome of the Florida Actions cannot be predicted with certainty. A preliminary injunction could delay or jeopardize the completion of the merger, and an adverse judgment granting permanent injunctive relief could indefinitely enjoin completion of the merger. Additional lawsuits arising out of or relating to the merger agreement or the merger transaction could be filed in the future. JAXB, JAXB s directors and ABCB believe that the claims asserted in the Florida Actions are without merit and intend to vigorously defend against these lawsuits.

Accounting Treatment (Page 70)

ABCB prepares its financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, which we refer to as GAAP. The merger will be accounted for using the acquisition method of accounting. ABCB will be treated as the acquirer for accounting purposes.

Material United States Federal Income Tax Consequences (Page 150)

The merger is intended to qualify as a reorganization within the meaning of Section 368(a) of the Code and it is a condition to the respective obligations of ABCB and JAXB to complete the merger that each of ABCB and JAXB receives a legal opinion to that effect. Accordingly, a JAXB common shareholder generally will recognize gain, but not loss, in an amount equal to the lesser of (1) the amount of gain realized (*i.e.*, the excess of the sum of the amount

of cash and the fair market value of the ABCB common stock received pursuant to the merger over that holder s adjusted tax basis in its shares of JAXB common stock surrendered) and (2) the amount of cash received pursuant to the merger. Further, a JAXB common shareholder generally will recognize gain or loss with respect to cash received instead of fractional shares of ABCB common stock that the JAXB common shareholder would otherwise be entitled to receive. For further information, see the section entitled Material United States Federal Income Tax Consequences beginning on page 150.

The United States federal income tax consequences described above may not apply to all holders of JAXB common stock. Your tax consequences will depend on your individual situation. Accordingly, we strongly urge you to consult your tax advisor for a full understanding of the particular tax consequences of the merger to you.

Comparison of Shareholders Rights (Page 163)

The rights of JAXB shareholders are governed by JAXB s articles of incorporation, as amended and restated, which we refer to as JAXB s articles of incorporation, its bylaws, as amended and restated, which we refer to as JAXB s bylaws, and by Florida corporate law. Your rights as a shareholder of ABCB will be governed by ABCB s articles of incorporation, as amended, which we refer to as ABCB s articles of incorporation, its bylaws, as amended and restated, which we refer to as ABCB s bylaws, and by Georgia corporate law. Your rights under ABCB s articles of incorporation, ABCB s bylaws and Georgia corporate law will differ in some respects from your rights under JAXB s articles of incorporation, JAXB s bylaws and Florida corporate law.

Risk Factors (Page 31)

You should consider all the information contained in or incorporated by reference into this proxy statement/prospectus in deciding how to vote for the proposals presented in this proxy statement/prospectus. In particular, you should consider the factors described under Risk Factors.

RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

On January 22, 2016, ABCB reported its financial results for the fourth quarter of 2015 and the year ended December 31, 2015, which included the following:

Net Income

Net income was \$14.1 million, or \$0.43 per share, for the fourth quarter of 2015, compared with \$10.6 million, or \$0.39 per share, for the same quarter of 2014. For the year, ABCB s net income was \$40.8 million, or \$1.27 per share, compared with \$38.4 million, or \$1.46 per share, for 2014.

Interest Income and Expense

Interest income on loans on a tax-equivalent basis increased substantially during 2015 to \$170.0 million, compared with \$149.1 million for 2014. During the quarter ended December 31, 2015, interest income on loans increased to \$46.9 million, compared with \$45.4 million for the third quarter of 2015 and \$40.6 million for the fourth quarter of 2014. Total interest expense for 2015 was \$14.9 million, compared with \$14.7 million for 2014. Deposit costs were stable for most of 2015, ending the year at 0.23%, compared with 0.30% for 2014. Deposit costs increased slightly in the fourth quarter of 2015 to 0.23%, compared with 0.22% for the third quarter of 2015. Non-interest bearing deposits represented 29.2% of the total average deposits for 2015, compared with 23.5% for 2014.

Non-Interest Income

Excluding gains on investment securities, non-interest income increased 35.8% in 2015 to \$85.2 million, compared with \$62.7 million for 2014. Retail mortgage revenues increased 42.9% during 2015, from \$30.3 million for 2014 to \$43.3 million for 2015. Net income for ABCB s retail mortgage division grew 88.4% during 2015 to \$9.3 million. Revenues from ABCB s warehouse lending division increased 106.0% during the year, from \$2.7 million for 2014 to \$5.5 million for 2015, and net income for the division increased 141.6%, from \$1.3 million for 2014 to \$3.1 million

for 2015. Revenues from ABCB s SBA division continued to increase during 2015, rising from \$7.0 million for 2014 to \$8.3 million for 2015. Net income for the division increased 24.7%, from \$2.3 million for 2014 to \$2.8 million for 2015.

Service charges on deposit accounts increased by \$9.9 million to \$34.5 million during 2015, an increase of 40.0% compared with 2014. Service charge increases associated with ABCB s acquisitions during 2015 totaled \$5.1 million, or approximately \$9.2 million on an annualized basis.

Non-Interest Expense

Total operating expenses, excluding credit charges and acquisition costs, increased \$40.0 million during 2015 to \$173.4 million. The majority of the increase in operating expenses was associated with the operating expenses of the bank and branches acquired by ABCB during 2015, which totaled \$23.2 million, and an increase in operating expenses resulting from added lines of business, which totaled \$7.2 million.

20

Non-Interest Income 46

Credit-related costs increased in the fourth quarter of 2015 to \$2.2 million, compared with \$1.1 million for the third quarter of 2015. During the quarter, three of ABCB s remaining commercial loss-share agreements with the FDIC expired, causing ABCB to dispose of any related OREO that remained prior to expiration. These costs accounted for approximately \$800,000 of the additional credit costs incurred during the fourth quarter and are not considered recurring.

Balance Sheet Trends

Total assets increased \$1.55 billion during 2015, ending the year at \$5.59 billion, compared with \$4.04 billion at December 31, 2014. The growth in total assets was driven by the acquisitions of Merchants and Southern Bank and 18 additional retail branches during the second quarter of 2015.

Total loans, excluding loans held for sale, purchased non-covered loan pools and covered loans, were \$3.18 billion at the end of 2015, compared with \$2.56 billion at the end of 2014. Loans held for sale increased 17.3% to \$111.2 million, the result of higher production levels in ABCB s mortgage and SBA divisions. Purchased non-covered loan pools were \$593.0 million at December 31, 2015. Covered loans declined \$133.8 million, or 49.3%, during 2015 to end the year at \$137.5 million. At the end of 2015, covered loans represent only 3.5% of total loans, compared with 9.6% at the end of 2014.

Investment securities at the end of 2015 were \$792.5 million, or 15.6% of earning assets, compared with \$552.1 million, or 15.5% of earning assets, at December 31, 2014.

Deposits increased \$1.45 billion during 2015 to finish the year at \$4.88 billion. At December 31, 2015, non-interest bearing deposit accounts were \$1.33 billion, or 27.3% of total deposits, compared with \$839.4 million and 24.5%, respectively, at December 31, 2014. Non-rate sensitive deposits (including NIB, NOW and savings) totaled \$2.71 billion at December 31, 2015, compared with \$1.82 billion at the end of 2014. These funds represented 55.6% of ABCB s total deposits at the end of 2015, compared with 53.2% at the end of 2014.

Stockholders equity at December 31, 2015 totaled \$514.8 million, an increase of \$148.7 million, or 40.6%, from December 31, 2014. The increase in stockholders equity was the result of ABCB s issuance of \$114.9 million of common shares in the first quarter of 2015 and earnings of \$40.8 million during 2015. Tangible book value per share ended 2015 at \$12.65 per share, up 15.1% from \$10.99 per share at the end of 2014.

The foregoing results have not been audited or reviewed by ABCB s independent registered public accountants, nor have any other review procedures been performed by them with respect to these results. Accordingly, no opinion or any other form of assurance is provided with respect to this information. ABCB s actual results could differ from these results based on the completion of the audit by its independent registered public accountants of its consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2015, when they are subsequently filed with the SEC.

SELECTED HISTORICAL CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA OF ABCB

The following table summarizes selected historical consolidated financial data of ABCB for the periods and as of the dates indicated. This information has been derived from ABCB s consolidated financial statements filed with the SEC. Historical financial data as of and for the nine (9) months ended September 30, 2015 and September 30, 2014, are unaudited and include, in management s opinion, all normal recurring adjustments considered necessary to present fairly the results of operations and financial condition of ABCB. You should not assume the results of operations for past periods and for the nine (9) months ended September 30, 2015 and September 30, 2014, indicate results for any future period.

You should read this information in conjunction with ABCB s consolidated financial statements and related notes thereto included in ABCB s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014, and in ABCB s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the nine (9) months ended September 30, 2015, which are incorporated by reference into this proxy statement/prospectus. See the section entitled Incorporation of Certain ABCB Documents by Reference beginning on page 180 of this proxy statement/prospectus.

	Nine Month September 3		Years Ended December 31		1,			
	2015	2014	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010	
	(In thousand	ls, except per	share data and	d ratios)				
Selected Balance Sheet Data:								
Total assets	\$5,216,300	\$3,999,408	\$4,037,511	\$3,667,649	\$3,019,052	\$2,994,307	\$2,972,168	3
Total Legacy loans, gross	2,290,649	1,848,759	1,889,880	1,618,454	1,450,635	1,332,086	1,374,757	7
Purchased, non-covered loans (excluding loan pools)	767,494	673,724	674,239	448,753				
Purchased, non-covered loan pools	410,072							
Covered assets (loans and OREO)	203,224	342,472	291,186	436,130	595,985	650,106	609,922	
Investment securities available for sale	811,385	529,509	541,805	486,235	346,909	339,967	322,581	
FDIC loss-share receivable	4,506	38,233	31,351	65,441	159,724	242,394	177,187	
Total deposits	4,530,523	3,373,119	3,431,149	2,999,231	2,624,663	2,591,566	2,535,426	5
Stockholders equity	502,300	353,830	366,028	316,699	279,017	293,770	273,407	
Selected Income Statement Data:								
Interest income	\$137,792	\$119,666	\$164,566	\$126,322	\$129,479	\$141,071	\$119,071	
Interest expense	10,873	10,786	14,680	10,137	15,074	27,547	29,794	
Net interest income	126,919	108,880	149,886	116,185	114,405	113,524	89,277	
Provision for loan losses	4,711	4,760	5,648	11,486	31,089	32,729	50,521	
Other income	63,179	46,474	62,836	46,549	57,874	52,807	35,248	
Other expenses	146,087	109,136	150,869	121,945	119,470	101,953	81,188	
Income (loss) before tax	39,300	41,458	56,205	29,303	21,720	31,649	(7,184)
Income tax expense (benefit)	12,601	13,315	17,482	9,285	7,285	10,556	(3,195)
Net income (loss)	\$26,699	\$28,143	\$38,723	\$20,018	\$14,435	\$21,093	\$(3,989)
Preferred stock dividends		286	286	1,738	3,577	3,241	3,213	
	\$26,699	\$27,857	\$38,437	\$18,280	\$10,858	\$17,852	\$(7,202)

Net income (loss) available to common stockholders

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Nine Months Ended September 30,		Years End	led Decemb				
	2015	2014	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010	
	(In thousands, except per share data and ratios)							
Per Share Data:								
Earnings (loss) per share available to								
common shareholders:								
Basic	\$0.84	\$1.08	\$1.48	\$0.76	\$0.46	\$0.76	\$(0.35)
Diluted	0.84	1.07	1.46	0.75	0.46	0.76	(0.35))
Common book value per share (period end)	15.60	13.22	13.67	11.50	10.56	10.23	9.44	
Cash Dividends per share	0.15	0.10	0.15					
Stock Dividends							3 for 15	57
Profitability Ratios:								
Net income/(loss) to average total assets	0.74 %	1.01 %	1.08 %	0.70 %	0.49 %	0.60 %	(0.37))%
Net income/(loss) to average stockholders	7.21 %	10.73%	12.40%	0.06.01	5.99 %	7.21 %	(1.11	\01
equity	7.21 %	10.75%	12.40%	8.06 %	3.99 %	7.21 %	(4.44)%
Net interest margin (TE)	4.18 %	4.57 %	4.59 %	4.74 %	4.60 %	4.57 %	4.11	%
Efficiency ratio	76.85%	70.25%	70.92%	74.94%	69.35%	61.30%	65.20	%
Loan Quality Ratios:								
Net charge-offs to average loans*	0.15 %	0.31 %	0.31 %	0.69 %	2.76 %	2.23 %	3.33	%
Allowance for loan losses to total loans*	0.98 %	1.20 %	1.12 %	1.38 %	1.63 %	2.64 %	2.52	%
Non-performing assets to total loans and	1 02 0/	2 45 01	2.40.07	2.40 0/	5 20 M	976 0	0.20	07
OREO*	1.83 %	3.45 %	3.40 %	3.49 %	5.28 %	8.76 %	8.38	%
Liquidity Ratios:								
Loans to total deposits	80.77%	84.08%	82.64%	81.94%	74.61%	73.45%	76.11	%
Average loans to average earning assets	75.25%	80.47%	80.22%	78.08%	77.83%	76.72%	76.50	%
Noninterest-bearing deposits to total	28.16%	24.21%	24.46%	22.29%	19.46%	15.26%	11.91	%
deposits	26.10%	24.21 70	24.40%	22.29 %	19.40%	13.20%	11.91	70
Capital Adequacy Ratios:								
Stockholders equity to total assets	9.63 %	8.85 %	9.07 %	8.63 %	9.24 %	9.81 %	9.20	%
Common stock dividend payout ratio	17.86%	9.35 %	10.27%	NM	NM	NM	NM	
* 23			Excludes c	overed asse	ts.			

SELECTED HISTORICAL CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA OF JAXB

The following table summarizes selected historical consolidated financial data of JAXB for the periods and as of the dates indicated. This information has been derived from JAXB s consolidated financial statements filed with the SEC. Historical financial data as of and for the nine (9) months ended September 30, 2015 and September 30, 2014, are unaudited and include, in management s opinion, all normal recurring adjustments considered necessary to present fairly the results of operations and financial condition of JAXB. You should not assume the results of operations for past periods and for the nine (9) months ended September 30, 2015 and September 30, 2014, indicate results for any future period.

You should read this information in conjunction with JAXB s consolidated financial statements and related notes thereto for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013, 2012, 2011 and 2010 for the nine (9) months ended September 30, 2015 and September 30, 2014, which are included in this proxy statement/prospectus. See the section entitled Index to JAXB s Consolidated Financial Statements beginning on page F-1 of this proxy statement/prospectus.

	Nine Month September 3		Years Ended December 31,				
	2015	2014	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
	(In thousand	ds, except p	er share dat	a and ratios)			
Selected Balance Sheet Data:							
Total assets	\$505,254	\$510,484	\$488,584	\$507,289	\$565,057	\$561,425	\$651,833
Total legacy loans, gross	399,511	360,662	374,656	370,352	398,031	462,607	512,765
Purchased, non-covered loans							
(excluding loan pools)							
Purchased, non-covered loan pools							
Covered assets (loans and OREO)							
Investment securities available for	67,375	82,425	77,633	84,771	83,985	63,140	62,356
sale	07,373	02,723	11,033	07,771	05,705	03,140	02,330
FDIC loss-share receivable							
Total deposits	433,032	438,365	415,756	434,966	490,021	473,907	562,187
Shareholders equity	42,120	36,294	37,112	33,932	33,576	29,344	51,859
Selected Income Statement Data:							
Interest income	15,577	15,902	21,128	22,933	26,252	30,744	23,962
Interest expense	2,210	2,474	3,259	4,202	5,256	7,016	8,282
Net interest income	13,367	13,428	17,869	18,731	20,996	23,728	15,680
Provision for loan losses	(2,011)	287	287	815	37,994	12,392	16,988
Other income	1,117	1,623	2,002	1,760	1,503	1,531	1,174
Other expenses	11,462	13,403	17,655	20,636	27,726	30,152	17,124
Income (loss) before tax	5,033	1,361	1,929	(960)	(43,221)	(17,285)	(17,258)
Income tax expense (benefit)	18	20			(173)	6,774	(5,816)
Net income (loss)	5,015	1,341	1,929	(960)	(43,048)	(24,059)	(11,442)
Preferred stock dividends				(31,464)			
Net income (loss) available to common shareholders	5,015	1,341	1,929	(32,424)	(43,048)	(24,059)	(11,442)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Nine Months Ended September 30,		Years Ended December 31,								
	2015	2014	2014	2013	2	2012		2011		2010	
	(In thousan	ds, except	per share da	ıta and rati	ios)						
Per Share Data:											
Earnings (loss) per share available to											
common shareholders:											
Basic	\$0.87	\$0.23	\$0.33	\$(6.83)	*	\$(7.31)	\$(4.09	-	\$(5.07)
Diluted	\$0.86	\$0.23	\$0.33	\$(6.83)) 5	\$(7.31)	\$(4.09)	\$(5.07)
Common book value per share (period end)	\$7.27	\$6.26	\$6.40	\$5.86	9	\$2.55		\$4.98		\$8.81	
Cash Dividends per share	\$	\$	\$	\$	9	\$		\$		\$	
Stock dividend											
Profitability Ratios:											
Net income (loss) to average total	1 26 07	0.26 01	0.20 %	(0.10	\01	(7.55	\01	(2.02	\01	(2.42)01
assets	1.36 %	0.36 %	0.39 %	(0.18)%	(7.55)%	(3.93)%	(2.42)%
Net income (loss) to average shareholders equity	17.09%	5.14 %	5.46 %	(2.86)%	(188.47	7)%	(44.53	3)%	(37.52	2)%
Net interest margin (TE)	3.81 %	3.77 %	3.75 %	3.74	%	3.86	%	4.19	%	3.52	%
Efficiency ratio	80.46%	84.46%	88.85%	100.71		123.23		119.37	, -	101.60	, -
Loan Quality Ratios:	00.40 //	04.40 /6	00.05 /0	100.71	70	123.23	70	117.5	, ,0	101.00	<i>3 70</i>
Net charge-offs to average loans*	(0.07)%	0.32 %	0.45 %	1.37	%	6.89	%	2.53	%	2.67	%
Allowance for loan losses to total	, ,										
loans*	3.15 %	4.20 %	3.84 %	4.26	%	5.07	%	2.82	%	2.55	%
Non performing assets to total loans and OREO*	2.68 %	5.13 %	3.50 %	5.38	%	7.34	%	11.66	%	7.86	%
Liquidity Ratios:											
Loans to total deposits	92.26%	82.27%	90.11%	85.15	0/0	81.23	%	97.62	0%	91.21	0%
Average loans to average earning	72.20 /6	02.27 70	70.11 //	05.15	70	01.23	70	71.02	70	71.21	70
assets	81.12%	77.98%	77.96%	76.45	%	82.15	%	86.99	%	90.68	%
Noninterest-bearing deposits to total	28.29%	25.88%	25.94%	23.17	%	19.30	%	17.48	%	12.88	%
deposits											
Capital Adequacy Ratios:	0.24 %	5.11 67	7 60 64	6.60	~	7 0 4	~	5.0 0	~	7 .06	C4
Shareholders equity to total assets	8.34 %	7.11 %	7.60 %	6.69	%	5.94	%	5.23	%	7.96	%
Common stock dividend payout ratio											
*			Exclu	des covere	ed ass	sets.					

SELECTED HISTORICAL CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA OF JAXB

SELECTED UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONDENSED FINANCIAL DATA

The following table presents selected unaudited pro forma condensed financial data about the financial condition and results of operations of ABCB giving effect to the merger. See the section entitled Proposal 1 The Merger Proposal Accounting Treatment beginning on page 70 of this proxy statement/prospectus.

The following table presents the information as if the merger had become effective on September 30, 2015, with respect to financial condition data, and on January 1, 2015, with respect to the results of operations data. The selected unaudited pro forma condensed financial data have been derived from, and should be read in conjunction with, the historical financial information that ABCB and JAXB have incorporated by reference into, or included, in this proxy statement/prospectus as of and for the indicated periods. See the sections entitled Unaudited Pro Forma Combined Condensed Financial Information, Incorporation of Certain ABCB Documents by Reference and Index to JAXB s Consolidated Financial Statements on pages 154, 180, and F-1 of this proxy statement/prospectus.

The selected unaudited pro forma condensed financial data are presented for illustrative purposes only and do not necessarily indicate the financial results of the combined companies had the companies actually been combined at the beginning of the period presented. The selected unaudited pro forma condensed financial information also does not consider any potential impacts of current market conditions on revenues, potential revenue enhancements, anticipated cost savings and expense efficiencies, or asset dispositions, among other factors.

	N E S 2	or the fine Months nded eptember 30, 015 in thousands, end ratios)	Ye De	or the ear Ended ecember 31, 2014 ot per share data
Pro Forma Condensed Income Statement Data:				
Net interest income	\$	141,634	\$	169,552
Provision for loan losses		2,700		5,935
Income before tax		45,459		59,635
Net income		32,446		41,628
Preferred stock dividends				286
Net income available to common stockholders		32,446		41,342
Per Share Data:				
Earnings (loss) per share available to common shareholders:				
Basic	\$	0.95	\$	1.45
Diluted	\$	0.94	\$	1.44
Cash Dividends per share	\$	0.15	\$	0.15
Pro Forma Condensed Balance Sheet Data:				
Total loans	\$	4,015,776		
Total assets		5,748,079		
Total deposits		4,963,555		
Other Borrowings		50,860		

Stockholders equity

576,014

UNAUDITED COMPARATIVE PER COMMON SHARE DATA

We have summarized below the per share information for our companies on a historical, pro forma combined and equivalent basis. This information was derived from the 2014 annual reports and September 30, 2015 quarterly reports and other documents that have been filed with the SEC, which should be read in conjunction with this information. See the sections entitled Where You Can Find More Information and Incorporation of Certain ABCB Documents by Reference beginning on pages i and 180, respectively.

The pro forma information gives effect to the merger accounted for as a purchase. The pro forma calculations reflect that 25% of the outstanding shares of JAXB common stock will be converted into the right to receive the per share cash consideration and 75% of the outstanding shares of JAXB common stock will be converted into the right to receive the per share stock consideration (assuming no adjustment to the merger consideration as provided in the merger agreement). We assume that the merger occurred as of the beginning of the fiscal year presented (or in the case of book value, as of the date specified). You should not rely on the pro forma information as being indicative of the historical results that we would have had if we had been combined or the future results that we will experience after the merger.

	As of and for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2015 (In thousands, except per share data			As of ar Twelve December and ratio				
	ABCB	JAXB	Pro	Per Equivale	enABCB	JAXB aHistoric	Pro Forma Combine	Per Equivalent JAXB Share ⁽¹⁾
Net Income Per Common Share Basic	\$0.84	\$ 0.87	\$0.95	\$ 0.56	\$1.48	\$ 0.33	\$1.45	\$ 0.85
Net Income Per Common Share Diluted	\$0.84	\$ 0.86	\$ 0.94	\$ 0.55	\$1.46	\$ 0.33	\$1.44	\$ 0.84
Cash Dividends Per Common Share	\$0.15	\$	\$0.15	\$ 0.09	\$0.15	\$	\$0.15	\$ 0.09
Book Value Per Common Share	\$15.60	\$7.27	\$16.97	\$ 9.94	\$13.67	\$6.40	\$15.45	\$ 9.06

The equivalent share information in the above table is computed using 2,548,000 additional shares of ABCB (1) common stock issued to JAXB shareholders at a price of \$28.93 per share at an exchange rate of 0.5861 shares of ABCB common stock for each share of JAXB common stock.

27

COMPARATIVE PER SHARE MARKET PRICE AND DIVIDEND INFORMATION

The JAXB voting common stock trades on the NASDAQ Capital Market under the symbol JAXB, and the ABCB common stock trades on the NASDAQ Global Select Market under the symbol ABCB. The JAXB nonvoting common stock is not listed or traded on any established securities exchange or quotation system. Accordingly, there is no established public or private trading market for the JAXB nonvoting common stock. The following table sets forth the high and low reported trading prices per share of the JAXB voting common stock and the ABCB common stock, and the cash dividends declared per share of the JAXB voting common stock and the ABCB common stock for the periods indicated. During the periods indicated in the table, no dividends were paid with respect to the JAXB nonvoting common stock.

ABCB COMMON STOCK

Quarter Data	High	Low	Dividend Paid
First Quarter 2014 Fiscal Year	\$ 24.22	\$ 19.42	\$
Second Quarter 2014 Fiscal Year	24.05	19.45	0.05
Third Quarter 2014 Fiscal Year	24.25	20.85	0.05
Fourth Quarter 2014 Fiscal Year	26.74	21.76	0.05
First Quarter 2015 Fiscal Year	\$ 26.89	\$ 22.71	\$ 0.05
Second Quarter 2015 Fiscal Year	27.01	24.01	0.05
Third Quarter 2015 Fiscal Year	28.99	24.67	0.05
Fourth Quarter 2015 Fiscal Year	35.21	27.30	0.05
First Quarter 2016 Fiscal Year through February 1, 2016	33.81	26.50	

JAXB VOTING COMMON STOCK

Quarter Data	High	Low	Dividend Paid
First Quarter 2014 Fiscal Year	\$ 12.53	\$ 10.40	\$
Second Quarter 2014 Fiscal Year	11.78	7.45	
Third Quarter 2014 Fiscal Year	11.01	9.90	
Fourth Quarter 2014 Fiscal Year	12.50	8.85	
First Quarter 2015 Fiscal Year	\$ 12.28	\$ 10.40	\$
Second Quarter 2015 Fiscal Year	14.89	10.61	
Third Quarter 2015 Fiscal Year	15.00	11.73	
Fourth Quarter 2015 Fiscal Year	19.52	15.44	
First Quarter 2016 Fiscal Year through February 1, 2016	18.27	15.57	

On September 30, 2015, the last full trading day before the public announcement of the merger agreement, the closing sale price of shares of JAXB voting common stock was \$14.94. On February 1, 2016, the last practicable trading day before the mailing of this proxy statement/prospectus, the closing sale price of shares of JAXB voting common stock was \$16.61.

On September 30, 2015, the last full trading day before the public announcement of the merger agreement, the closing sale price of shares of ABCB common stock was \$28.75. On February 1, 2016, the last practicable trading day before the mailing of this proxy statement/prospectus, the closing sale price of shares of ABCB common stock was \$28.60.

As of February 1, 2016, the last date prior to mailing this proxy statement/prospectus for which it was practicable to obtain this information for JAXB and ABCB, respectively, there were approximately 409 registered holders of JAXB voting common stock, approximately 13 registered holders of JAXB nonvoting common stock and approximately 2,140 registered holders of ABCB common stock.

The following table presents the closing prices of JAXB voting common stock and ABCB common stock on September 30, 2015, the last trading day before the public announcement of the merger agreement, and February 1, 2016, the last practicable trading day prior to the mailing of this proxy statement/prospectus.

The table also shows the estimated implied value of the per share merger consideration on the relevant date for holders of JAXB common stock who will receive in the merger the per share stock consideration for their shares of JAXB common stock.

Date	JAXB ABCB Closing Price Closing	Exchange Price Ratio	Estimated Equivalent Per share Value ⁽¹⁾
September 30, 2015	\$ 14.94 \$ 28.75	0.000	\$ 16.85
February 1, 2016	16.61 \$ 28.60		16.76

⁽¹⁾ The implied value of the per share merger consideration represents the product of the exchange ratio of 0.5861 and the closing price of ABCB common stock as of the applicable date.

The above table shows only historical comparisons. These comparisons may not provide meaningful information to JAXB shareholders in determining whether to approve the merger agreement. JAXB shareholders are urged to obtain current market quotations for shares of ABCB common stock and JAXB voting common stock and to review carefully the other information contained in this proxy statement/prospectus or incorporated by reference into this proxy statement/prospectus in considering whether to approve the merger proposal. The market prices of ABCB common stock and JAXB voting common stock will fluctuate between the date of this proxy statement/prospectus and the date of completion of the merger. No assurance can be given concerning the market prices of JAXB voting common stock or ABCB common stock before or after the effective date of the merger. Changes in the market price of ABCB common stock prior to the completion of the merger will affect the market value of the merger consideration to be received by JAXB shareholders who will receive in the merger the per share stock consideration for their shares of JAXB common stock.

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Some of the statements contained or incorporated by reference in this proxy statement/prospectus are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 giving ABCB s and JAXB s expectations or predictions of future financial or business performance or conditions. Forward-looking statements are typically identified by words such as believe, expect, anticipate, intend. target. estimate. or potential, by future conditional verbs such as will, would. should. could or may, or by variations of such by similar expressions. These forward-looking statements are subject to numerous assumptions, risks and uncertainties, which change over time. Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they are made and we assume no duty to update forward-looking statements. Actual results may differ materially from current projections.

In addition to factors previously disclosed in ABCB s and JAXB s reports filed with the SEC and those identified elsewhere in this proxy statement/prospectus, the following factors among others, could cause actual results to differ materially from forward-looking statements or historical performance: ability to obtain regulatory approvals and meet other closing conditions to the merger, including approval by JAXB shareholders of the merger proposal and the charter amendment on the expected terms and schedule; delay in closing the merger; difficulties and delays in integrating the JAXB business or fully realizing cost savings and other benefits; business disruption following the merger; changes in asset quality and credit risk; the inability to sustain revenue and earnings growth; changes in interest rates and capital markets; inflation; customer acceptance of ABCB products and services; customer borrowing, repayment, investment and deposit practices; customer disintermediation; the introduction, withdrawal, success and timing of business initiatives; competitive conditions; the inability to realize cost savings or revenues or to implement integration plans and other consequences associated with mergers, acquisitions and divestitures; economic conditions; and the impact, extent and timing of technological changes, capital management activities, and other actions of legislative and regulatory authorities.

Consequently, all of the forward-looking statements made by ABCB or JAXB contained or incorporated by reference in this proxy statement/prospectus are qualified by factors, risks and uncertainties, including those set forth under the headings titled Risk Factors beginning on page 31 of this proxy statement/prospectus and those set forth under the heading Risk Factors in ABCB s annual and quarterly reports and other filings with the SEC that are incorporated by reference into this proxy statement/prospectus. See the section entitled Incorporation of Certain ABCB Documents by Reference beginning on page 180 of this proxy statement/prospectus.

Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date hereof. ABCB and JAXB undertake no obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements, even if experience or future changes make it clear that projected results expressed or implied in such statements will not be realized, except as may be required by law. As a result of these risks and others, actual results could vary significantly from those anticipated herein, and the financial condition and results of operations of ABCB or JAXB could be materially adversely affected.

RISK FACTORS

In addition to the other information contained in or incorporated by reference into this proxy statement/prospectus, including the matters addressed under the section entitled Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements on page 30, you should consider the following risk factors carefully in deciding whether to vote to approve the merger proposal, the charter amendment, the merger-related compensation and the adjournment proposal. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to ABCB or JAXB or that are not currently believed to be important to you, if they materialize, also may adversely affect the merger and ABCB as the surviving corporation.

In addition, JAXB s and ABCB s respective businesses are subject to numerous risks and uncertainties, including the risks and uncertainties described, in the case of JAXB, below, and in the case of ABCB, in its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014, and its subsequently filed Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, which are incorporated by reference into this proxy statement/prospectus. See the sections entitled Where You Can Find More Information and Incorporation of Certain ABCB Documents by Reference beginning on pages <u>i</u> and <u>180</u>, respectively.

RISK FACTORS RELATING TO THE MERGER

Because the market price of ABCB common stock may fluctuate, JAXB shareholders who receive the per share stock consideration cannot be sure of the value of the merger consideration they receive.

At the time the merger is completed, each issued and outstanding share of JAXB common stock (other than shares owned by JAXB, ABCB or any of their subsidiaries and shares of JAXB common stock in respect of which appraisal rights have been properly exercised and perfected) will be converted into the right to receive either the per share stock consideration or the per share cash consideration.

There will be a time lapse between the date on which JAXB shareholders vote to approve the merger proposal at the special meeting, and the date on which JAXB shareholders entitled to receive shares of ABCB common stock actually receive such shares. The market value of ABCB common stock may fluctuate during these periods. Consequently, at the time JAXB shareholders must decide whether to approve the merger proposal, they will not know the actual market value of the shares of ABCB common stock they will receive (if they receive the per share stock consideration) when the merger is completed. The actual value of the shares of ABCB common stock received by the JAXB shareholders who receive the per share stock consideration will depend on the market value of shares of ABCB common stock on that date. This market value may be less or more than the value used to determine the exchange ratio.

JAXB shareholders may receive a form of consideration different from what they elect.

Although each JAXB shareholder may indicate a preference to receive the per share stock consideration or the per share cash consideration for each of his, her or its shares of JAXB common stock, the total merger consideration shall be prorated as necessary to ensure that 25% of the total outstanding shares of JAXB common stock will be exchanged for cash and 75% of the total outstanding shares of JAXB common stock will be exchanged for shares of ABCB common stock. Accordingly, if the aggregate cash elections are greater than the cash election maximum, each cash

election will be reduced pro rata based on the amount that the aggregate cash elections exceed the cash election maximum. Alternatively, if the aggregate stock elections are greater than the stock election maximum, each stock election will be reduced pro rata based on the amount that the aggregate stock elections exceed the stock election maximum. At the time you vote with respect to the merger proposal, you will not know how much cash or the number of shares of ABCB common stock you will receive as a result of the merger.

The market price for ABCB common stock may be affected by factors different from those that historically have affected JAXB.

Upon completion of the merger, holders of JAXB common stock who receive the per share stock consideration in the merger will become holders of ABCB common stock. ABCB s business differs from that of JAXB, and accordingly the results of operations of ABCB will be affected by some factors that are different from those currently affecting the results of operations of JAXB. For a discussion of the businesses

of ABCB and JAXB and of some important factors to consider in connection with those businesses, see the section entitled The Parties to the Merger beginning on page 52 of this proxy statement/prospectus, the section entitled Business of JAXB beginning on page 95 and the documents incorporated by reference referred to under the section entitled Incorporation of Certain ABCB Documents by Reference beginning on page 180.

JAXB s shareholders will have a reduced ownership and voting interest after the merger and will exercise less influence over management.

Currently, JAXB s shareholders have the power to approve or reject any matters requiring shareholder approval under Florida law and JAXB s articles of incorporation and bylaws. After the merger, absent any adjustment made to the exchange ratio, JAXB shareholders are expected to become owners of less than 7.4% of the outstanding shares of ABCB common stock (assuming 2,547,901 shares of ABCB common stock are issued in the merger (excluding the exercise or settlement of any JAXB equity awards prior to the effective time of the merger) and based upon 32,196,117 shares of ABCB common stock outstanding as of September 29, 2015). Even if all former JAXB shareholders voted together on all matters presented to ABCB s shareholders, from time to time, the former JAXB shareholders most likely would not have a significant impact on the approval or rejection of future ABCB proposals submitted to a shareholder vote.

ABCB may be unable to successfully integrate JAXB s operations and may not realize the anticipated benefits of acquiring JAXB.

ABCB and JAXB entered into the merger agreement with the expectation that ABCB would be able to successfully integrate JAXB s operations and that the merger would result in various benefits, including, among other things, enhanced revenues and revenue synergies, an expanded market reach and operating efficiencies. Achieving the anticipated benefits of the merger is subject to a number of uncertainties, including whether ABCB is able to integrate and operate JAXB in an efficient and effective manner, and general competitive factors in the market place. The process of integrating operations could cause an interruption of, or loss of momentum in, the activities of one or more of the surviving corporation s businesses or the loss of key personnel. The diversion of management s attention and any delays or difficulties encountered in connection with the merger and the integration of JAXB s operations could have an adverse effect on the business, financial condition, operating results and prospects of the surviving corporation after the merger. Failure to achieve these anticipated benefits could result in increased costs, decreases in the amount of expected revenues and diversion of management s time and energy and could have an adverse effect on the surviving corporation s business, financial condition, operating results and prospects.

Among the factors considered by the boards of directors of ABCB and JAXB in connection with their respective approvals of the merger agreement were the benefits that could result from the merger. We give no assurance that these benefits will be realized within the time periods contemplated or even that they will be realized at all.

The merger agreement contains provisions that may discourage other companies from trying to acquire JAXB for greater merger consideration.

The merger agreement contains provisions that may discourage a third party from submitting an acquisition proposal to JAXB that might result in greater value to JAXB shareholders than the merger. These provisions include a general prohibition on JAXB from soliciting, or, subject to certain exceptions, entering into discussions with any third party regarding any acquisition proposal or offers for competing transactions. Certain shareholders of JAXB have entered into a Voting and Support Agreement and have agreed to vote their shares of JAXB common stock in favor of the

proposal to approve the merger, the charter amendment and the proposal to adjourn the special meeting, if necessary or appropriate, to solicit additional proxies, and against any alternative transaction. JAXB also has an unqualified obligation to submit the proposal to approve the merger to a vote by its shareholders, even if JAXB receives a proposal that JAXB s board of directors believes is superior to the merger. The shareholders that are party to the Voting and Support Agreement described in this paragraph beneficially own in the aggregate approximately 39.81% of the outstanding shares of JAXB voting common stock and 78.94% of the outstanding shares of JAXB nonvoting common stock as of the record date. In addition, JAXB may be required to pay ABCB a termination fee in certain circumstances

involving acquisition proposals for competing transactions. See the sections entitled The Merger Agreement Voting and Support Agreement beginning on page 88 and The Merger Agreement Termination Rights and Merger Consideration Adjustment; Termination Fee beginning on page 85.

The merger agreement may be terminated in accordance with its terms, and the merger may not be completed.

The merger agreement is subject to a number of conditions which must be fulfilled in order to complete the merger. Those conditions include: the approval of the merger proposal and the charter amendment by JAXB shareholders, the receipt of all required regulatory approvals and expiration or termination of all statutory waiting periods in respect thereof, the accuracy of representations and warranties under the merger agreement (subject to the materiality standards set forth in the merger agreement), each party s readiness to complete the bank merger, ABCB s and JAXB s performance of their respective obligations under the merger agreement in all material respects and each of ABCB s and JAXB s receipt of a tax opinion to the effect that the merger will be treated as a reorganization within the meaning of Section 368(a) of the Code. These conditions to the closing of the merger may not be fulfilled and, accordingly, the merger may not be completed.

In addition, if the merger is not completed by June 30, 2016, either ABCB or JAXB may choose not to proceed with the merger, and the parties can mutually decide to terminate the merger agreement at any time, before or after shareholder approval. In addition, ABCB and JAXB may elect to terminate the merger agreement in certain other circumstances. If the merger agreement is terminated under certain circumstances, JAXB may be required to pay a termination fee to ABCB. See the section entitled The Merger Agreement Termination Rights and Merger Consideration Adjustment; Termination Fee beginning on page 85 for a fuller description of these circumstances.

Failure to complete the merger could negatively impact the stock price and the future business and financial results of JAXB.

If the merger is not completed for any reason, including as a result of JAXB shareholders declining to approve the merger proposal, then the ongoing business of JAXB may be adversely affected and, without realizing any of the benefits of having completed the merger, JAXB would be subject to a number of risks, including the following:

JAXB may experience negative reactions from its customers, vendors and employees; JAXB will be required to pay certain costs relating to the merger, whether or not the merger is completed; the merger agreement places certain restrictions on the conduct of JAXB s businesses prior to completion of the merger. Such restrictions, the waiver of which is subject to the consent of ABCB (not to be unreasonably withheld or delayed), may prevent JAXB from making certain acquisitions or taking certain other specified actions during the pendency of the merger (see the section entitled The Merger Agreement Conduct of Businesses of JAXB and ABCB Prior to Completion of the Merger beginning on page 77 of this proxy statement/prospectus for a description of the restrictive covenants applicable to JAXB); and

matters relating to the merger (including integration planning) will require substantial commitments of time and resources by JAXB management, which would otherwise have been devoted to day-to-day operations and other opportunities that may have been beneficial to JAXB as an independent company.

In addition to the above risks, if the merger agreement is terminated and JAXB s board of directors seeks another merger or business combination, then JAXB shareholders cannot be certain that JAXB will be able to find a party willing to offer equivalent or more attractive consideration than the consideration ABCB has agreed to provide in the merger. If the merger agreement is terminated under certain circumstances, then

The merger agreement may be terminated in accordance with its terms, and the merger may not be completed.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

JAXB may be required to pay a termination fee to ABCB. See the section entitled The Merger Agreement Termination Rights and Merger Consideration Adjustment; Termination Fee beginning on page 85.

JAXB will be subject to business uncertainties while the merger is pending, which could adversely affect its business.

Uncertainty about the effect of the merger on employees and customers may have an adverse effect on JAXB, and, consequently, the surviving corporation. These uncertainties may impair JAXB s ability to attract, retain and motivate key personnel until the merger is complete, and could cause customers and others that deal with JAXB to seek to change their existing business relationships with JAXB. Employee retention at JAXB may be particularly challenging during the pendency of the merger, as employees may experience uncertainty about their roles with the surviving corporation following the merger.

Directors and executive officers of JAXB have interests and arrangements that could have affected their respective decision to support or approve the merger.

Directors and executive officers of JAXB have interests in the merger that are different from, or in addition to, their interests as JAXB shareholders generally and could have affected their decision to support or approve the merger.

These interests include:

each executive officer will be provided with change in control severance benefits calculated in accordance with the terms of his or her employment agreement with JAXB or its subsidiaries in existence as of the date of the merger agreement, which agreement is being terminated in connection with the merger;

each executive officer who, in ABCB s sole discretion, continues employment with the surviving corporation or its subsidiaries will be provided wages or salaries and employee benefits (excluding equity plans) that in the aggregate are substantially comparable to what he or she receives at JAXB immediately prior to the closing date, subject to certain restrictions;

immediately prior to the effective time, all rights with respect to JAXB stock options, whether or not then vested or exercisable, will be converted into the right to receive cash (based on the value of ABCB common stock near the time of closing):

ABCB s agreement to provide directors, officers, and employees of JAXB with continuing indemnification rights for six (6) years following the merger; and

ABCB s agreement to provide directors and officers insurance to the officers and directors of JAXB for six (6) years following the merger.

In addition, certain shareholders of JAXB, including CapGen Capital Group IV, LP and the directors and certain executive officers of JAXB, have entered into a Voting and Support Agreement that requires them to vote all of their shares of JAXB common stock in favor of the approval of the merger proposal and the charter amendment at the special meeting. The Voting and Support Agreement covers approximately 39.81% of the outstanding shares of JAXB voting common stock and 78.94% of the outstanding shares of JAXB nonvoting common stock as of the record date. As a result, the directors of JAXB may have been more likely to recommend to JAXB s shareholders the approval of the merger proposal than if they did not have these interests.

Shares of ABCB common stock to be received by JAXB shareholders receiving the per share stock consideration as a result of the merger will have rights different from the shares of JAXB common stock.

Upon completion of the merger, the rights of former JAXB shareholders who receive the per share stock consideration will be governed by ABCB s articles of incorporation and bylaws and by Georgia corporate law. The rights associated with ABCB common stock and the terms of Georgia corporate law are different from the rights associated with JAXB common stock and the terms of Florida corporate law, which currently govern the rights of JAXB shareholders. See the section entitled Comparison of Shareholders Rights beginning on page 163 for a discussion of the different rights associated with ABCB common stock.

ABCB has various provisions in its articles of incorporation that could impede a takeover of ABCB.

ABCB s articles of incorporation contain provisions providing for, among other things, a classified board of directors and the ability to issue ABCB preferred stock without shareholder approval. Although these provisions were not adopted with the express purpose of preventing or impeding a takeover of ABCB without the approval of ABCB s board of directors, such provisions may have that effect. Such provisions may prevent former JAXB shareholders who receive the per share stock consideration from taking part in a transaction in which ABCB shareholders could realize a premium over the current market price of ABCB common stock. See the section entitled Comparison of Shareholders Rights beginning on page 163 for further information.

Future issuances of ABCB common stock in connection with acquisitions or otherwise could dilute the ownership interest in ABCB of former shareholders of JAXB who receive the per share stock consideration.

ABCB may use ABCB common stock to acquire other companies or to make investments in banks and other complementary businesses in the future. It may also issue ABCB common stock, or securities convertible into ABCB common stock, through public or private offerings, in order to raise additional capital in connection with future acquisitions, to satisfy regulatory capital requirements or for general corporate purposes. Any such stock issuances would dilute the ownership interest in ABCB of former shareholders of JAXB who receive the per share stock consideration and may dilute the per share value of the ABCB common stock.

The opinion that JAXB s board of directors has obtained from Hovde has not been, and is not expected to be, updated to reflect any changes in circumstances that may have occurred since the signing of the merger agreement.

The opinion delivered to JAXB s board of directors by Hovde, financial advisor to JAXB, with respect to the fairness of the merger consideration to be paid in connection with the merger, speaks only as of September 30, 2015, the date of such opinion. Changes in the operations and prospects of ABCB or JAXB, general market and economic conditions and other factors which may be beyond the control of ABCB and JAXB may have altered the value of ABCB or JAXB or the sale prices of shares of ABCB common stock as of the date of this proxy statement/prospectus, or may alter such values and sale prices by the time the merger is completed. Hovde does not have any obligation to update, revise or reaffirm its opinion to reflect subsequent developments and has not done so. Because JAXB does not currently anticipate asking Hovde to update its opinion, the opinion will not address the fairness of the merger consideration from a financial point of view at the time the merger is completed. JAXB s board of directors recommendation that JAXB shareholders vote FOR approval of the merger proposal, however, is made as of the date of this proxy statement/prospectus. See the section entitled Proposal 1 The Merger Proposal Opinion of JAXB s Financial Advisor beginning on page 61 and Annex D to this proxy statement/prospectus.

The Florida Actions are pending, and seek, among other things, to enjoin the merger, and an adverse judgment in these lawsuits may prevent the merger from becoming effective within the expected time frame (if at all).

Plaintiffs in the Florida Actions allege that JAXB s directors breached their fiduciary duties to JAXB s shareholders in negotiating and approving the merger agreement through an unfair process, that the merger consideration does not adequately value JAXB, that JAXB s shareholders will not receive fair value for their shares of JAXB common stock in the merger, and that the terms of the merger agreement impose improper deal-protection devices that allegedly preclude competing offers. The complaints in the Florida Actions further allege that JAXB and ABCB aided and abetted the alleged breaches of fiduciary duty by JAXB s directors. In the Florida Actions, plaintiffs seek preliminary and permanent injunctive relief, including enjoining or rescinding the merger, an award of unspecified damages, attorneys fees, and other relief.

While JAXB, JAXB s directors and ABCB believe that the claims asserted in the Florida Actions are without merit and intend to vigorously contest such claims, the outcome of the Florida Actions cannot be predicted with certainty. A preliminary injunction could delay or jeopardize the completion of the merger, and an adverse judgment granting permanent injunctive relief could indefinitely enjoin completion of the merger. Furthermore, the defense or settlement of the Florida Actions may adversely affect ABCB s business, financial

condition, results of operations and cash flows following the completion of the merger. Additional lawsuits arising out of or relating to the merger agreement or the merger could be filed in the future. For more information, see the section entitled Proposal 1 The Merger Proposal Litigation Related to the Merger beginning on page 69 of this proxy statement/prospectus.

RISK FACTORS RELATING TO JAXB S BUSINESS AND COMMON STOCK

The following are risks related to JAXB s business and ownership of its common stock. Unless the context requires otherwise, references in this subsection of this proxy statement/prospectus to the Company, we, us, or our refer to Jacksonville Bancorp, Inc., its wholly owned subsidiary, The Jacksonville Bank, and the Bank s wholly owned subsidiary, Fountain Financial, Inc., on a consolidated basis. References to Bancorp denote Jacksonville Bank is referred to as the Bank.

We operate in a heavily regulated environment.

The Company and its subsidiaries are subject to extensive regulation and supervision by federal, state and local governmental authorities, including the Federal Reserve, the FDIC, and the Florida Office of Financial Regulation. Banking regulations govern the activities in which we may engage and are primarily intended to protect depositors and the banking system as a whole, not the interests of shareholders. These regulations impact our lending and investment practices, capital structure and dividend policy, among other things. The financial services industry is subject to frequent legislative and regulatory changes and proposed changes, including sweeping changes resulting from the Dodd-Frank Act, the full-impact of which cannot be predicted. Changes to such regulations may have a materially adverse effect on our operations by subjecting the Company to additional compliance costs, restrictions on our operations, and other enforcement actions in the event of noncompliance.

We are also required to comply with various corporate governance and financial reporting requirements under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, as well as rules and regulations adopted by the SEC, the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board and NASDAQ. In particular, we are required to include management reports on internal controls as part of our Annual Report on Form 10-K pursuant to Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. The SEC also has proposed a number of new rules or regulations requiring additional disclosure, including compensation rules under the Dodd-Frank Act. We expect to continue to spend significant amounts of time and money on compliance with these rules. Any failure to track and comply with the various rules may have a materially adverse effect on our reputation, our ability to obtain the necessary certifications to financial statements, and the value of our securities.

Recent legislation, regulatory initiatives and government actions in response to market and economic conditions may significantly affect our business, capital requirements, financial condition and results of operations.

The Dodd-Frank Act restructured the regulation of depository institutions and the financial services industry. The Consumer Financial Protection Bureau was created largely to administer and enforce consumer and fair lending laws, a function that has historically been performed by the depository institution regulators. The full impact of the Dodd-Frank Act on our business and operations will not be fully known until all regulations implementing the Act are written and adopted. The Dodd-Frank Act may have a material impact on our operations, particularly through increased compliance costs resulting from possible changes to future consumer and fair lending regulations. The

Dodd-Frank Act also permanently increased the limits on federal deposit insurance to \$250 thousand.

On July 2, 2013, the Federal Reserve approved the final rules to implement the Basel III capital guidelines creating changes to the regulatory capital framework including, but not limited to, revised definitions of capital for regulatory purposes, the types and minimum levels of capital required under the prompt corrective action rules and for other regulatory purposes, and the risk-weighting of various assets. Various provisions have been included in the final rules to provide relief to banking organizations under \$50.0 billion in assets, such as community banks like ours. Compliance with the final Basel III rules was mandatory as of January 1, 2015 for banking organizations with total assets less than \$250.0 billion. The Company has adopted these new rules and does not feel they will have a material impact.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

In addition to U.S. based regulatory initiatives, the federal government is also coordinating reform activities with other countries. There can be no assurance that these various initiatives or any other future legislative or regulatory initiatives will be successful at improving economic conditions globally, nationally or in our markets, or that the measures adopted will not adversely affect our operations, financial condition and earnings.

We are required to maintain capital to meet regulatory requirements. If we fail to maintain sufficient capital, our financial condition, liquidity and results of operations, as well as our regulatory requirements, could be adversely affected.

Both Bancorp and the Bank must meet regulatory capital requirements and maintain sufficient capital and liquidity and our regulators may modify and adjust such requirements in the future. As of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, the Bank was well capitalized for regulatory purposes. If noncompliance or other events cause the Bank to become subject to formal enforcement action, the FDIC could determine that the Bank is no longer adequately capitalized for regulatory purposes. Failure to maintain sufficient capital, whether due to losses, an inability to raise additional capital or otherwise, could affect customer confidence, our ability to grow, our costs of funds and FDIC insurance costs, our ability to make distributions on our trust preferred securities, and our business, results of operation, liquidity and financial condition, generally.

The soundness of other financial institutions could adversely affect us.

Our ability to engage in routine funding and other transactions could be adversely affected by the actions and commercial soundness of other financial institutions. Financial services institutions are interrelated as a result of trading, clearing, counterparty or other relationships. As a result, defaults by, or even rumors or questions about, one or more financial services institutions, or the financial services industry generally, have led to market-wide liquidity problems, losses of depositor, creditor and counterparty confidence and could lead to losses or defaults by us or by other institutions. We could also experience increases in deposits and assets as a result of other banks—difficulties or failure, which would increase the capital we need to support such growth.

As a member institution of the FDIC, we are assessed a quarterly deposit insurance premium. Bank failures have significantly depleted the FDIC s Deposit Insurance Fund and reduced its ratio of reserves to insured deposits. As a result, the FDIC has adopted a revised risk-based deposit insurance assessment schedule which raised deposit insurance premiums, and the FDIC has also implemented a special assessment on all depository institutions, which may be imposed in future periods if needed. Regulatory assessments were \$0.5 million for each of the nine month periods ended September 30, 2015 and 2014. These assessments are included in noninterest expense and may continue to adversely affect our results of operations in future periods.

Difficult market conditions have adversely affected and may continue to affect us and the financial services industry.

We are exposed to downturns in the U.S. economy and, particularly, in the local markets in which we operate in Florida. Declines in the housing markets, including falling home prices and low sales volumes, as well as foreclosures, have negatively affected the credit performance of mortgage and commercial real estate loans and resulted in significant write-downs of asset values by the Bank and financial institutions in general, including government-sponsored entities and major commercial and investment banks. These write-downs have caused many financial institutions to seek additional capital, to merge with larger and stronger institutions and, in some cases, to

We are required to maintain capital to meet regulatory requirements. If we fail to maintain sufficient capital, 3 our final

fail. Many lenders and institutional investors have reduced or ceased providing funding to borrowers, including other financial institutions. This market turmoil and the tightening of credit have led to increased levels of commercial and consumer delinquencies, lack of consumer confidence, increased market volatility, reduced real estate values and sales volumes, reduced credit availability for real estate borrowers and reductions in general business activities. The resulting economic pressure on consumers and borrowers and reduced confidence in the financial markets have historically adversely affected our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Although the difficult conditions in the financial markets appear to be stabilizing or improving, a continuation or worsening of present conditions would likely have adverse effects on us and other financial institutions, including the following:

Reduced ability to assess the creditworthiness of customers or to estimate the value of assets, especially in regards to collateral securing existing loans. We estimate losses inherent in our credit exposure, the adequacy of our allowance for loan losses and the values of certain assets by using estimates based on difficult, subjective, and complex judgments, including estimates as to the effects of economic conditions and how these economic conditions might affect the value of assets or the ability of our borrowers to repay their loans. If the models and approaches we use become less predictive of future behaviors, valuations, assumptions or estimates, the value of collateral, especially real estate, associated with existing loans may be reduced and consequently increase our credit risk exposure; Reduced ability to raise capital or borrow funds from other financial institutions on favorable terms, or at all. The availability of capital or borrowed funds may also experience adverse effects from continued disruptions in the capital markets, or other events, including, among other things, changes in investor expectations; and Failures of other depository institutions in our markets and increasing consolidation of financial services companies as a result of current market conditions could increase our deposits and assets, necessitating additional capital, and may have unexpected adverse effects upon our ability to compete effectively or attract capital as needed.

Our results are significantly impacted by the economic conditions of our principal market areas.

The success of our operations depends on the general economic conditions of the State of Florida and the specific markets we serve. Unlike larger organizations that are more geographically diverse, our operations are concentrated in Jacksonville, Duval County, Florida and the surrounding areas. As a result of our geographic concentration, the economic conditions in our primary market areas have a significant impact on our financial results, including the demand for the Bank s products and services, the ability of our customers to repay loans, the value of collateral securing existing loans, and the stability of our funding sources. This is particularly true because a number of our borrowers are small businesses that may be less able to withstand competitive, economic and financial pressures than larger borrowers. Consequently, their ability to repay loans may be especially adversely affected during economic downturns which could lead to higher rates of loss and loan payment delinquencies. Moreover, the value of the real estate or other collateral that may secure our loans could be adversely affected if local economic conditions experience further deterioration. If a borrower is unable to repay its loan and the value of the underlying real estate collateral declines to a point that is below the amount of the loan, then we will suffer a loss.

Weaknesses in the real estate markets, including the secondary market for residential mortgage loans, have adversely affected us and may continue to adversely affect us.

Financial institutions continue to be affected by ongoing challenges in real estate markets and secondary mortgage markets. Increased volatility in housing markets, combined with the correction in residential real estate market prices and reduced levels of home sales, could result in further price reductions in single family home values and continue to adversely affect the liquidity and value of collateral securing our real estate-related loans. This is especially true for collateral securing commercial loans for residential land acquisition, construction and development, as well as residential mortgage loans and residential property securing loans currently outstanding. Additional consequences of continued deterioration of the housing markets include reduced mortgage loan originations and reduced gains on the sale of mortgage loans.

Declining real estate prices have caused higher delinquencies and losses on certain mortgage loans in general, and particularly with regard to second lien mortgages and home equity lines of credit. Significant ongoing disruptions in the secondary market for residential mortgage loans have limited the market for, and liquidity of, most residential mortgage loans other than conforming Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac loans. These trends could continue despite various government programs to boost the residential mortgage markets and stabilize the housing markets.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Our financial condition, including capital and liquidity, and results of operations have been, and may continue to be, adversely affected by declines in real estate values and home sales volumes, financial stress on borrowers as a result of unemployment, interest rate resets on adjustable rate mortgage loans or other factors that result in higher delinquencies and increased charge-offs related to credit losses. Furthermore, in the event that our allowance for loan losses is insufficient to cover such losses, our earnings, capital and liquidity could be adversely affected.

Our concentration of real estate mortgage loans and loans secured by real estate may continue to adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

As of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, approximately 83.5% and 84.2% of the Company s loan portfolio consisted of real estate mortgage loans, respectively. Commercial real estate (CRE) loans are especially cyclical and pose risks of loss to us due to concentration levels and similar risks of the asset. CRE loans represented 64.7% and 65.3% of our loan portfolio as of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively. Banking regulators continue to give CRE lending greater scrutiny and banks with higher levels of CRE loans are expected to implement improved underwriting, internal controls, risk management policies and portfolio stress testing. In addition, an increased concentration of CRE loans requires higher levels of allowances for possible losses and capital levels as a result of CRE lending growth and exposures. The downturn in the real estate market, the continued deterioration in the value of collateral, and the local and national economic recessions have adversely affected our customers ability to sell or refinance real estate and repay their loans. If these conditions persist, or worsen, our customers ability to repay their loans will be further eroded. In the event we are required to foreclose on a property securing one of our mortgage loans, or otherwise pursue remedies in order to protect our investment, we may be unable to recover enough value from the collateral to prevent a loss.

The amount that we, as a mortgagee, may realize after a default and foreclosure is dependent upon factors outside of our control, including, but not limited to:

general or local economic conditions;
environmental clean-up liability;
neighborhood values;
real estate tax rates;
operating expenses of the foreclosed properties;
ability to obtain and maintain adequate occupancy of the properties;
zoning laws, governmental rules, regulations and fiscal policies; and
natural disasters.

Certain expenditures associated with the ownership of real estate, principally real estate taxes and maintenance costs, may adversely affect the income from the real estate. In the event that the cost of operating real property exceeds the rental income earned from such property, we may be required to advance funds in order to protect our investment or dispose of the real property at a loss.

Current levels of market volatility are significant, and negative conditions and new developments in the financial services industry and the credit markets have and may continue to adversely affect our operations, financial performance and stock price.

The capital and credit markets have been experiencing volatility and disruption for the past several years. The markets have placed downward pressure on stock prices and the availability of capital, credit and liquidity has been adversely affected for many issuers, in some cases, without regard to those issuers—underlying financial condition or performance. If current levels of market disruption and volatility continue or worsen, we may experience adverse effects, which may be material, on our ability to maintain or access capital and credit, and on our business, financial condition (including liquidity) and results of operations.

The financial markets are experiencing adverse effects due to economic uncertainties, including their direction and growth, as well as high unemployment rates. As a result of a weaker economy and a historical

decline in the value of collateral supporting loans, especially with respect to the State of Florida, many financial institutions have seen deterioration in loan portfolio performance. In addition, stock prices of bank holding companies, like us, have been negatively affected by the recent and current conditions in the financial markets, as has our ability to raise capital as needed, compared to the period preceding the latest economic recession.

We operate in a highly competitive market.

We face competition for deposits, loans and other financial services from other community banks, regional banks, out-of-state and in-state national banks, savings banks, thrifts, credit unions and other financial institutions. The Company also faces competition from other entities that provide financial services, including consumer finance companies, securities brokerage firms, mortgage brokers, insurance companies, mutual funds, and other lending sources and alternative investment providers. Some of these financial institutions and financial services organizations are not subject to the same degree of regulation as we are and may have lower cost structures. Many of our competitors offer products and services different from us, and have substantially greater resources, name recognition and market presence than we do, which benefit them in attracting business. Larger competitors may be able to price loans and deposits more aggressively than we can, and have broader customer and geographic bases to draw upon. Failure to compete effectively to attract new and retain current customers could adversely affect our growth and profitability, which could have a materially adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

The banking industry is also subject to increased competition as a result of rapid technological changes with the frequent introduction of new technology-driven products and services. In addition to providing better service to customers, the effective use of technology increases efficiency and may enable us to reduce costs. Our future success depends in part upon our ability to address the needs of our customers by using technology to provide products and services that will satisfy customer demands for convenience as well as to create additional operating efficiencies.

Many of our competitors, especially large national and regional banks, have substantially greater resources to invest in technological improvements, which may permit them to perform certain functions at a lower cost than we can. There is no assurance that we will be able to implement new technology-driven products and services effectively or efficiently or be successful in marketing these to our customers, which may reduce our ability to compete effectively in the industry.

We could be negatively impacted by changes in interest rates.

Our results of operations and financial condition may be materially and adversely affected by changes in interest rates or the yield curve, and the monetary and fiscal policies of the federal government. Our profitability is largely a function of the spread between the interest rates earned on investments and loans and those paid on deposits and other liabilities. Changes in interest rates may negatively affect our earnings and the value of our assets as well as our levels of interest income, interest expense and net interest spread and margin. If our assets reprice more slowly than our deposits and other liabilities, our earnings will be adversely affected if interest rates rise, but will benefit if the interest rates on our earning assets rise more quickly than the interest rates we pay on our deposits and other liabilities. Most banks, including us, have experienced compression and reduced interest spreads and margins as a result of current historically low interest rates. Our interest spreads and net interest margins are also affected by the shape of the yield curve, which is affected especially by monetary policy, including the Federal Reserve s actions to keep interest rates low in recent years. While we seek to manage our interest-rate risk, these measures are based on estimates and assumptions that may not be realized.

We have incurred losses in recent years and there is no assurance that current income will be sustained or that additional losses will not occur in future periods.

For the year ended December 31, 2013, we incurred a net loss of \$960 thousand. In response to recent losses, management raised additional capital and implemented a strategy to accelerate the disposal of substandard assets in order to strengthen the Company s balance sheet, increase tangible common equity and improve capital adequacy ratios applicable to Bancorp and the Bank. These initiatives have resulted in general improvements to the Company s financial condition, asset quality and results of operations as evidenced by the continued reduction in substandard assets and net income of \$5.0 million for the nine months ended

September 30, 2015 and \$1.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2014. While management believes that these strategies will continue to improve our financial condition and results of operations going forward, there is no assurance that such efforts will be successful or that additional losses will not occur in future periods.

Changes in accounting and tax rules applicable to banks and bank holding companies could adversely affect our financial conditions and results of operations.

From time to time, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) and the SEC change the financial accounting and reporting standards that govern the preparation of our financial statements. These changes can be hard to predict and can materially impact how we record and report our financial condition and results of operations. In some cases, we could be required to apply a new or revised standard retroactively, resulting in us restating prior period financial statements.

The Company s exposure to operational risk may have a materially adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Similar to other financial institutions, the Company and its subsidiaries are exposed to many types of operational risk, including reputational risk, legal and compliance risk, the risk of fraud or theft by employees or outsiders, the risk that sensitive customer or Company data may be compromised, and the risk of operational errors, including clerical or record-keeping errors. The Company seeks to mitigate operational risks through a system of internal controls; however, there can be no assurance that these efforts will be successful or result in a reduction of the intended risk exposure. Failure to do so may result in losses incurred by the Company, including explicit charges, increased operational costs in the form of noninterest expenses, litigation costs, harm to the Company s reputation, and forgone opportunities with regards to future growth. Such losses may have a materially adverse effect on the business, our financial condition and results of operations.

Reputational risk and social factors may impact our results of operations.

Our ability to originate and maintain accounts is highly dependent upon customer and other external perceptions of our business practices and financial health. Adverse perceptions regarding our business practices or financial health could damage our reputation in both the customer and funding markets, leading to difficulties in generating and maintaining accounts as well as in financing them. Adverse developments with respect to the consumer or other external perceptions regarding the practices of our competitors, or the financial services industry as a whole, may also adversely impact our reputation. Negative public opinion surrounding our Company or our industry may also result in greater regulatory or legislative scrutiny, which may lead to laws, regulations or regulatory actions that may change or constrain the manner in which we engage with our customers and the products we offer. Adverse reputational impacts or events may also increase our litigation risk.

The loss of key personnel may adversely affect our operating results.

Our success is, and is expected to remain, highly dependent on our senior management team. We rely heavily on our senior management because, as a community bank, our management s extensive knowledge of, and relationships in, the community generates business for us. Successful execution of our business strategies will continue to place significant demands on our management and the loss of any such persons services may adversely affect our ability to resolve these problems, recapitalize the Company, grow and remain profitable.

Changes in accounting and tax rules applicable to banks and bank holding companies could adversely aftect our fir

On December 4, 2013, the Company appointed Kendall L. Spencer as President and Chief Executive Officer to provide advanced leadership and commercial banking management expertise as well as additional proficiencies in strategic financial planning and execution of operational initiatives. On September 2, 2014, the Company appointed Joseph W. Amy as Executive Vice President and Chief Credit Officer to replace Margaret A. Incandela who resigned from that position effective August 29, 2014. We also continue to rely upon the services of Scott M. Hall, Executive Vice President and the Bank s President, and Valerie A. Kendall, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. If the services of these individuals were to become unavailable for any reason, or if we were unable to hire highly qualified and experienced personnel to replace them, our results and financial condition and prospects could be adversely affected.

We are exposed to environmental liability risk with respect to other real estate owned.

A significant portion of our loan portfolio is secured by real property. In the ordinary course of business, the Company may foreclose and take title to real estate, and could be subject to environmental liabilities with respect to these properties. We have been aggressively managing problem assets and, as a result, have taken title to the underlying collateral for a number of underperforming loans. As of September 30, 2015, we had approximately \$3.8 million in other real estate owned. We may be held liable to a governmental entity or to third persons for property damage, personal injury, investigation and clean-up costs incurred in connection with environmental contamination, or may be required to investigate or clean up hazardous or toxic substances, or chemical releases at a property. The costs associated with investigation or remediation activities could be substantial. In addition, as the owner or former owner of a contaminated site, we may be subject to common law claims by third parties based on damages and costs resulting from environmental contamination emanating from the property. If we ever become subject to significant environmental liabilities, our business, financial condition, liquidity, results of operations and prospects could be materially and adversely affected.

Our lending limit restricts our ability to compete with larger financial institutions and may limit our growth.

As of September 30, 2015, our per customer lending limit was approximately \$14.5 million, subject to further reduction based on regulatory criteria relevant to any particular loan. Accordingly, the size of loans which we can offer to potential customers is less than the size that many of our competitors with larger lending limits are able to offer. This limit has affected and will continue to affect our ability to seek relationships with larger businesses in the market. We seek to accommodate loans in excess of our lending limit through the sale of portions of such loans to other banks although this market has been disrupted from time to time in recent years, as other banks have exited the market or failed, and we may lose loans to competitors. Our lending limit also impacts the efficiency of our lending activities because it lowers our average loan size, which means we have to generate an increased number of transactions in order to achieve the same portfolio volume as other institutions with higher lending limits.

The Company is exposed to credit risk as a result of reliance on the accuracy and completeness of information about clients and counterparties.

The Company often relies on information furnished by or on behalf of customers and counterparties when deciding whether to extend credit or enter into other transactions. Financial statements, credit reports, and related financial information are considered in conjunction with certain representations of those customers, counterparties or other third parties for which there are limited opportunities for management to independently verify. For example, management may assume that a customer—s audited financial statements conform to U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and present fairly, in all material respects, the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the customer. Reliance on materially misleading information with regards to lending arrangements could have a materially adverse effect on the quality of our loan portfolio and may result in additional losses in the event of borrower default. Such effects may adversely impact the Company—s overall asset quality, financial condition and results of operations.

The allowance for loan losses may not be adequate to cover actual losses.

Our success depends to a significant extent upon the quality of our assets, particularly loans. In originating loans, there is a substantial likelihood that credit losses will be experienced. The risk of loss will vary with, among other things, general economic conditions, the type of loan being made, the creditworthiness of the borrower over the term of the loan and, in the case of a collateralized loan, the quality of the collateral for the loan. Management maintains an allowance for loan losses based on, among other things, anticipated experience, an evaluation of economic conditions, and regular reviews of delinquencies and loan portfolio quality. Based upon such factors, management makes various assumptions and judgments about the ultimate collectability of the loan portfolio and provides an allowance for probable loan losses based upon a percentage of the outstanding balances and for specific loans when their ultimate collectability is considered questionable. Our regulators may also require us to add to our provision for loan losses in the ordinary course of their review of the Bank.

As of September 30, 2015, our allowance for loan losses was \$12.6 million which represented 3.15% of our gross loan portfolio as of the same date. Nonperforming assets consisted of approximately \$7.0 million in nonperforming loans and \$3.8 million in other real estate owned. Management monitors our asset quality and seeks to maintain an adequate loan loss allowance; however, the allowance may not prove sufficient to cover future loan losses. Furthermore, although management uses the best information available to make determinations with respect to the allowance for loan losses, future adjustments may be necessary if economic conditions differ substantially from the assumptions used or adverse developments arise with respect to our nonperforming or performing loans. Accordingly, the allowance for loan losses may not be adequate to cover loan losses, or significant increases to the allowance may be required in the future if economic conditions should worsen. Among other adverse consequences, significant additions to our allowance for loan losses could have a material impact on our financial performance and reduce our net income and capital.

Nonperforming assets take significant time to resolve and expose us to increased risk of loss.

As of September 30, 2015, our nonperforming loans were \$7.0 million, or 1.8% of our gross loan portfolio, and our nonperforming assets (which include nonperforming loans) were \$10.8 million, or 2.1% of total assets. In addition, we had approximately \$2.7 million in loans past due 30 89 days and still accruing interest as of September 30, 2015. The Company experienced a decrease in nonperforming assets of approximately \$2.4 million from the prior year ended December 31, 2014. As of December 31, 2014, our nonperforming loans were \$9.2 million, or 2.5% of our gross loan portfolio, and our nonperforming assets (which include nonperforming loans) were \$13.2 million, or 2.7% of total assets. In addition, we had approximately \$6.8 million in accruing loans that were 30 89 days delinquent as of December 31, 2014.

We do not record interest income on nonperforming loans or other real estate owned, thereby adversely affecting our income and increasing our loan administration costs. We also incur the costs of funding problem assets and other real estate owned. When we take collateral in foreclosures and similar proceedings, we are required to mark the collateral to its then fair value less our expected selling costs, which, when compared to the principal amount of the loan, may result in a loss. In addition, given the increased levels of mortgage foreclosures in our market areas, the foreclosure process is now taking longer than it has in recent years; this has served to increase the cost of foreclosures and the time needed to take title to the underlying property. Once we take possession of foreclosed real estate, the costs of maintenance, taxes, security and potential environmental liability can be significant and serve to decrease the amount of recovery we may realize upon a sale of the property.

As described above, our nonperforming assets can adversely affect our net income in a variety of ways, which negatively affects our results of operations and financial condition. While we have used loan sales, workouts, restructurings and other activities to improve our level of problem assets, decreases in the value of these assets, or the underlying collateral, or in the related borrowers performance or financial condition may adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition, whether or not due to economic and market conditions beyond our control. In addition, the resolution of nonperforming assets requires significant commitments of time from management and our directors, which can be detrimental to the performance of their other responsibilities. Until economic and market conditions significantly improve, there can be no assurance that we will not experience an increase in nonperforming assets in future periods. As a result, we may continue to incur additional losses related to nonperforming assets, including losses on the potential disposition of loans and foreclosed assets.

We have had to adjust the valuation allowance against our deferred tax assets and reduce our deferred tax asset to zero.

We evaluate deferred tax assets for recoverability based on all available evidence. This process involves significant management judgment about assumptions that are subject to change from period to period based on changes in tax laws or variances between future projected operating performance and actual results. As of the end of 2011, the Company established a valuation allowance for all our deferred tax assets, based on available evidence at the time, that it was more-likely-than-not that all of the deferred tax assets would not be realized. In determining the more-likely-than-not criterion, management evaluates all positive and negative evidence as of the end of each reporting period. As of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively, the Company determined that the need for a full valuation allowance still existed. Future adjustments, either

TABLE OF CONTENTS

increases or decreases, to the deferred tax asset valuation allowance will be determined based upon changes in the expected realization of the net deferred tax assets. The realization of the deferred tax assets ultimately depends on the existence of sufficient taxable income in either the carry-back or carry-forward periods under the tax law.

Due to significant estimates utilized in establishing the valuation allowance and the potential for changes in facts and circumstances, we may or may not be able to recapture these deferred tax assets in future periods.

Our location on the east coast of Florida makes us susceptible to disruptions in operations due to weather-related problems.

Our Bank branches and corporate headquarters are located in the Jacksonville and Jacksonville Beach, Duval County, Florida area and are vulnerable to tropical storms, hurricanes, tornadoes and flood and wind damage. We cannot predict whether or to what extent damage that may be caused by future weather events will affect our operations or the economies in our current or future market areas. Such weather events could result in a decline in loan originations, a decline in the value or destruction of properties securing our loans and an increase in payment delinquencies, foreclosures or loan losses. Our business or results of operations may be adversely affected by these and other negative effects of future weather events. Many of our customers have incurred significantly higher property and casualty insurance premiums on their properties located in our markets, which may adversely affect real estate sales and values in our markets.

System failures, interruptions or breaches of security could adversely impact our business and results of operations.

Technology and information systems are essential to our daily business operations, such as systems to manage accounting activities, customer deposits and loan operations. In addition, the Bank provides its customers the ability to bank online. While the Company has established policies and procedures to prevent or limit the impact of system failures, interruptions and security breaches, there can be no assurance that such events will not occur or that they will be adequately addressed if they do occur. In addition, the secure transmission of confidential information over the Internet is a critical element of online banking. The Bank s network or those of its customers could be vulnerable to unauthorized access, computer viruses, phishing schemes and other security problems. In order to mitigate these risks, the Bank may be required to spend significant capital and other resources to protect against the threat of security breaches and computer viruses, or to alleviate problems caused by security breaches or viruses. Any inability to prevent security breaches or computer viruses could expose the Bank to litigation or other liabilities and also cause existing customers to lose confidence in the Bank s systems which could adversely affect our reputation and the ability to generate deposits, and, in turn, adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

The Company relies on other companies to provide key components of the Company s business infrastructure.

Third parties provide key components of the Company s business operations such as data processing, recording and monitoring transactions, online banking interfaces and services, Internet connections and network access. While the Company has selected these third party vendors carefully, it does not control their actions. Any problem caused by these third parties, including those resulting from disruptions in communication services provided by a vendor, failure of a vendor to handle current or higher volumes, failures of a vendor to provide services for any reason or poor performance of services, could adversely affect the Company s ability to deliver products and services to its customers and otherwise conduct its business. Financial or operational difficulties of a third party vendor could also hurt the

Our location on the east coast of Florida makes us susceptible to disruptions in operations due to weather related p

Company s operations if those difficulties interface with the vendor s ability to serve the Company. Replacing these third party vendors could also create significant delay and expense. Accordingly, use of such third parties creates an unavoidable inherent risk to the Company s business operations.

We are dependent on the operating performance of the Bank to provide us with operating funds in the form of cash dividends, and the Bank is subject to regulatory limitations regarding the payment of dividends.

We are a bank holding company and, in the ordinary course of business, are dependent upon dividends from the Bank for funds to pay expenses and, if declared, cash dividends to shareholders. A Florida state-chartered commercial bank may not pay cash dividends that would cause the bank s capital to fall below the minimum amount required by federal or state law. Accordingly, commercial banks may only pay dividends out of the total of current net profits plus retained net profits of the preceding two years to the extent it deems expedient, except no bank may pay a dividend at any time that the total of net income for the current year when combined with retained net income from the preceding two years, produces a loss. In addition, banks may not pay a dividend if the dividend would result in the bank being undercapitalized for prompt corrective action purposes, or would violate any minimum capital requirement specified by law or the Bank s regulators. The Bank cannot currently pay dividends without prior regulatory approval.

Therefore, the Bank may not be able to provide Bancorp with adequate funds to conduct ongoing operations, which would adversely affect our liquidity, financial condition and results of operations.

More specifically, reduced liquidity may adversely affect Bancorp s ability to pay interest on material company debt in the form of junior subordinated debt related to its trust preferred securities. As of September 30, 2015, Bancorp had approximately \$16.3 million of junior subordinated debentures issued incident to trust preferred securities and another \$1.2 million of borrowings and other liabilities. Bancorp has depended on the revolving loan agreements with its directors, cash on hand, and net proceeds from capital raise transactions to pay its operating expenses and interest expenses related to its material debt obligations. As of September 30, 2015, Bancorp had approximately \$0.9 million of cash on hand and \$1.3 million in funds available under its revolving loan agreements. There is no assurance that these sources of liquidity will be sufficient to meet Bancorp s expenses going forward.

Our business may face significant risks with respect to future expansion.

To supplement our current growth strategy, we may continue to acquire other financial institutions or parts of financial institutions in the future and we may engage in additional de novo branch expansion. Acquisitions and mergers involve a number of risks, including but not limited to the following:

the time and costs associated with identifying and evaluating potential acquisitions and merger partners, and negotiations and consummation of any such transactions;

the estimates and judgments used to evaluate credit, operations, management and market risks with respect to the target institution may not be accurate;

the time and costs of evaluating new markets, hiring experienced local management and opening new offices, and the time lags between these activities and the generation of sufficient assets and deposits to support the costs of the expansion;

our ability to finance an acquisition and possible dilution to our existing shareholders; the diversion of our management s attention to the negotiation of a transaction, and the integration of the operations and personnel of the combining businesses;

entry into new markets where we lack experience;

the introduction of new products and services into our business;

the incurrence and possible impairment of goodwill and other intangible assets associated with an acquisition and possible adverse effects on our results of operations; and

the risk of loss of key employees and customers.

We are dependent on the operating performance of the Bank to provide us with operating funds in the for 89 of cash

We may incur substantial costs to expand and can give no assurance that such expansion will result in the levels of profits we would expect. We may issue equity securities, including common stock, in connection with future acquisitions, which could cause ownership and economic dilution to our shareholders. There is no

assurance that, following any future mergers or acquisitions, our integration efforts will be successful or, after giving effect to the acquisition, that we will achieve profits comparable to, or better than, our historical experience.

Future liquidity needs may exceed our available liquidity sources.

Liquidity is essential to our business. An inability to raise funds through deposits, borrowings, the sale of loans and other sources could limit our asset growth and have a materially adverse effect on our liquidity, financial condition and results of operations. Our funding sources include federal funds purchases, non-core deposits, and short- and long-term debt. The Bank is a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank of Atlanta, where we can obtain advances collateralized with eligible assets. The Bank can also use eligible collateral to borrow from the Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta. We maintain a portfolio of securities that can be used as a secondary source of liquidity.

Other sources of liquidity may be available to us on an as-needed basis, including additional non-core deposits (subject to applicable regulatory restrictions, if any), the sale of debt securities, and the issuance and sale of preferred or common securities in public or private transactions. Our access to funding sources in amounts adequate to finance or capitalize our activities, or on terms which are acceptable to us, could be impaired by factors that affect us specifically or the financial services industry or economy in general. Our ability to borrow could also be impaired by factors that are not specific to us, such as further disruption in the financial markets or negative views and expectations about the prospects for the financial services industry in light of recent turmoil faced by banking organizations and elevated levels of volatility and disruption in the credit markets.

Additional capital may not be available when needed and, if available, could result in dilution of our shareholders ownership interests.

Any capital that is generated by our operations over the next several years is expected to be needed to support our operations. Additionally, our Board may determine from time to time that we need to obtain additional capital through the issuance of additional shares of our common stock or other securities. These issuances likely would dilute the ownership interest of our then-current shareholders, including the per share book value of our common stock and nonvoting common stock and would only require shareholder approval under certain circumstances. The terms of security issuances by us in future capital transactions may be more favorable to new investors, and may include preferences, superior voting rights and the issuance of warrants, which may have a further dilutive effect on current ownership interests. Also, we may incur substantial costs in pursuing future capital financing, including investment banking fees, legal fees, accounting fees, securities law compliance fees, printing and distribution expenses and other costs, whether or not an offering is completed successfully. We may also be required to recognize non-cash expenses in connection with certain securities we issue, such as convertible notes and warrants, which may adversely impact our financial condition.

We are currently authorized to issue up to 20.0 million shares of common stock, 5.0 million shares of nonvoting common stock, and 10.0 million shares of preferred stock, of which 3,512,773 shares, 2,287,821 shares and no shares, respectively, were issued and outstanding as of February 1, 2016. Our Board has the authority, and in certain circumstances without shareholder approval, to issue all or part of the authorized but unissued common stock or nonvoting common stock, and to establish the terms of any series of preferred stock. Any authorized but unissued shares could be issued on terms or in circumstances that could dilute the interests of other shareholders, including the book value of each share of our common stock and nonvoting common stock.

Shares of capital stock are not an insured deposit.

Shares of our common stock and nonvoting common stock are not a bank deposit and are not insured or guaranteed by the FDIC or any other government agency. Investment in our capital stock is subject to investment risk, which could result in a loss of the entire investment.

Our common stock is thinly traded and, therefore, shareholders and investors may have difficulty selling shares.

Our common stock is thinly traded, which can be more volatile than stock trading in an active public market. We cannot predict whether, or the extent to which, an active public market for our common stock will develop or be sustained. As a consequence, there may be periods of several days or more when trading activity in our shares is minimal or non-existent, and our shareholders may not be able to sell their shares at the volumes, prices, or times that they desire, or at all.

Sales of substantial amounts of our common stock in the open market could depress the stock price of our common stock and the value of our other securities.

Through multiple capital raise transactions completed during 2012 and 2013, the Company registered an additional 5.5 million shares of its common stock and nonvoting common stock. Each share of nonvoting common stock will automatically convert into one share of common stock in the event of a permitted transfer to a transferee. Shares of the Company s common stock and nonvoting common stock issued in the capital raise transactions have been registered for resale with the SEC and are freely tradable without restrictions or further registration under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. Sales of substantial amounts of these shares in the public market, or the perception that such sales might occur, could adversely affect the market price of our common stock or other securities. Also, these sales might make it more difficult for us to sell equity or equity-related securities at a time and price that we otherwise would deem appropriate.

We do not anticipate paying dividends for the foreseeable future.

We do not anticipate that dividends will be paid on our common stock or nonvoting common stock for the foreseeable future and intend to retain all earnings, if any, to support our business. Future dividend payments will depend on Bancorp s internal dividend policy, earnings, capital and regulatory requirements, financial condition, and other factors considered relevant by the Board.

A Florida state-chartered commercial bank may not pay cash dividends that would cause the bank s capital to fall below the minimum amount required by federal or state law. Accordingly, commercial banks may only pay dividends out of the total of current net profits plus retained net profits of the preceding two years to the extent it deems expedient, except as follows: No bank may pay a dividend at any time that the total of net income for the current year, when combined with retained net income from the preceding two years, produces a loss. The Bank met this restriction as of September 30, 2015 as our net income for the year combined with retained earnings from the preceding two years produced a loss. The future ability of the Bank to pay dividends to Bancorp will also depend in part on the FDIC capital requirements in effect at such time and our ability to comply with such requirements.

INFORMATION ABOUT THE SPECIAL MEETING

Time, Place and Purpose of the Special Meeting

This proxy statement/prospectus is being furnished to JAXB shareholders as part of the solicitation of proxies by JAXB s board of directors for use at the special meeting to be held on March 11, 2016, at 9:00 a.m. local time, at JAXB s principal executive offices, 100 North Laura Street, Suite 1000, Jacksonville, Florida 32202, or at any postponement or adjournment thereof.

At the special meeting, JAXB shareholders will be asked to consider and vote upon: (i) a proposal for holders of JAXB voting common stock and JAXB nonvoting common stock to approve the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated in the merger agreement including, among other things, the merger of JAXB with and into ABCB with ABCB surviving the merger; (ii) a proposal for holders of JAXB voting common stock and JAXB nonvoting common stock to approve an amendment of JAXB s articles of incorporation to delete the right, as it relates to the merger, of holders of JAXB nonvoting common stock to elect to receive nonvoting securities of ABCB; (iii) a proposal for holders of JAXB voting common stock to approve, on a non-binding advisory basis, the compensation that certain executive officers of JAXB may receive under existing agreements or arrangements with JAXB in connection with the merger and (iv) a proposal for holders of JAXB voting common stock and JAXB nonvoting common stock to approve the adjournment of the special meeting, if necessary or appropriate, to solicit additional proxies if there are insufficient votes at the time of the special meeting to approve the merger proposal or the charter amendment.

JAXB shareholders must approve the merger proposal and the charter amendment in order for the merger to occur. If JAXB shareholders fail to approve the merger proposal and the charter amendment, then the merger will not occur. A copy of the merger agreement is attached as *Annex A* to this proxy statement/prospectus, and you are encouraged to read the merger agreement carefully and in its entirety.

Record Date and Quorum

JAXB has set the close of business on February 1, 2016 as the record date for the special meeting, and only holders of record of JAXB voting common stock and JAXB nonvoting common stock on the record date are entitled to vote at the special meeting. You are entitled to receive notice of, and to vote at, the special meeting if you owned shares of JAXB voting common stock or JAXB nonvoting common stock as of the close of business on the record date. On the record date, there were 3,512,773 shares of JAXB voting common stock and 2,287,821 shares of JAXB nonvoting common stock outstanding and entitled to vote and, accordingly, at least 1,756,387 shares of JAXB voting common stock and 1,143,911 shares of JAXB nonvoting common stock must vote to approve the merger proposal for the merger to occur. You will have one vote on each matter properly brought before the special meeting for each share of JAXB voting common stock and JAXB nonvoting common stock that you owned on the record date and on which matter such share is entitled to vote.

The presence, in person or represented by proxy, of holders of a majority of all of the outstanding shares of JAXB voting common stock and a majority of all of the outstanding shares of JAXB nonvoting common stock entitled to vote at the special meeting constitutes a quorum for the purposes of the special meeting. Abstentions are considered present for purposes of establishing a quorum.

Vote Required

Approval of the merger proposal requires the affirmative vote of the holders of at least a majority of the outstanding shares of JAXB voting common stock and a majority of the outstanding shares of JAXB nonvoting common stock, each voting as a separate class. The charter amendment will be approved if: (i) the votes cast for the proposal by the holders of JAXB voting common stock exceed the votes cast against such proposal by the holders of JAXB nonvoting common stock exceed the votes cast against such proposal by the holders of JAXB nonvoting common stock. Approval of the merger-related compensation proposal requires that the number of votes cast at the special meeting in favor of the proposal by the holders of JAXB voting common stock exceeds the number of votes cast against the proposal by the holders of JAXB voting common stock. The adjournment proposal will be approved if the votes cast for the proposal by the holders of JAXB voting common stock and the holders of JAXB nonvoting common stock, voting together as a single group, exceed

48

Vote Required 95

TABLE OF CONTENTS

the votes cast against such proposal by the holders of JAXB voting common stock and the holders of the JAXB nonvoting common stock. In addition, approval of the merger proposal is cross-conditioned upon approval of the charter amendment proposal. In other words, if JAXB shareholders do not approve the merger proposal, the charter amendment proposal will fail; likewise, if JAXB shareholders do not approve the charter amendment, the merger proposal will also fail. Votes to abstain will not be counted as votes cast in favor of the approval of the merger proposal, the approval of the charter amendment, the approval of the merger-related compensation or the approval of the adjournment proposal, but will count for the purpose of determining whether a quorum is present. If you fail to submit a proxy or to vote in person at the special meeting or if you vote to abstain, then it will have the same effect as a vote AGAINST the approval of the merger proposal.

If your shares of JAXB common stock are registered directly in your name with the transfer agent of JAXB, Broadridge Financial Solutions, Inc., you are considered, with respect to those shares of JAXB common stock, the shareholder of record. If you are a shareholder of record, this proxy statement/prospectus and the enclosed proxy card(s) have been sent directly to you by JAXB.

If your shares of JAXB common stock are held through a bank, brokerage firm or other nominee, you are considered the beneficial owner of shares of JAXB common stock held in street name. In that case, this proxy statement/prospectus has been forwarded to you by your bank, brokerage firm or other nominee who is considered, with respect to those shares of JAXB common stock, the shareholder of record. As the beneficial owner, you have the right to direct your bank, brokerage firm or other nominee how to vote your shares by following their instructions for voting.

Under the rules of the NASDAQ, banks, brokerage firms or other nominees who hold shares in street name for customers have the authority to vote on routine proposals when they have not received instructions from beneficial owners. However, banks, brokerage firms and other nominees are precluded from exercising their voting discretion with respect to approving non-routine matters such as the approval of the merger proposal, the charter amendment, the merger-related compensation and adjournment of the special meeting, if necessary or appropriate, to solicit additional proxies if there are insufficient votes at the time of the special meeting to approve the merger proposal or the charter amendment. As a result, absent specific instructions from the beneficial owner of such shares of JAXB common stock, banks, brokerage firms and other nominees are not empowered to vote those shares of JAXB common stock on any of the proposals at the special meeting. A so-called broker non-vote results when banks, brokerage firms and other nominees return a valid proxy but do not vote on a particular proposal because they do not have discretionary authority to vote on the matter and have not received specific voting instructions from the beneficial owner of such shares.

Approval of the merger proposal requires the affirmative vote of the holders of the majority of the outstanding shares of JAXB voting common stock and a majority of the outstanding shares of JAXB nonvoting common stock, voting as separate classes. Because the required vote to approve the merger proposal is based on the number of JAXB shares outstanding, a failure to vote, an abstention or a broker non-vote will have the same effect as a vote **AGAINST** the merger proposal. If you fail to submit a proxy and fail to attend the special meeting or if your shares of JAXB common stock are held through a bank, brokerage firm or other nominee and you do not instruct your bank, brokerage firm or other nominee to vote your shares of JAXB common stock, your shares of JAXB common stock will not be voted, but this will not have an effect on the outcome of the vote on the charter amendment, the merger-related compensation proposal or the adjournment proposal.

As of the record date, the directors and executive officers of JAXB and their affiliates beneficially owned and were entitled to vote approximately 1,398,607 shares of JAXB voting common stock representing approximately 39.81% of the shares of JAXB voting common stock outstanding on that date and also owned and were entitled to vote

Vote Required 96

approximately 1,806,000 shares of JAXB nonvoting common stock representing approximately 78.94% of the shares of JAXB nonvoting common stock outstanding on that date. ABCB has entered into a Voting and Support Agreement with JAXB and certain JAXB shareholders, pursuant to which these shareholders have agreed, solely in their capacity as shareholders of JAXB, to vote their shares of JAXB voting common stock and JAXB nonvoting common stock in favor of the approval of the merger proposal,

49

Vote Required 97

the charter amendment and the adjournment proposal, subject to certain exceptions. For further information, see the section entitled Proposal 1 The Merger Proposal Voting and Support Agreement beginning on page 88 of this proxy statement/prospectus. A copy of the Voting and Support Agreement is attached as *Annex C* to this proxy statement/prospectus.

Proxies and Revocations

If you are a shareholder of record, you may have your shares of JAXB common stock voted on matters presented at the special meeting in the following ways:

By Telephone using the toll-free number listed on your proxy card(s);

By Internet at the address provided on your proxy card(s);

using dating and returning the enclosed proxy card(s) in the accompanying prepaid

By Mail by completing, signing, dating and returning the enclosed proxy card(s) in the accompanying prepaid reply envelope; or

In Person you may attend the special meeting and cast your vote there.

If you are a beneficial owner, you will receive instructions from your bank, brokerage firm or other nominee that you must follow in order to have your shares of JAXB common stock voted. Those instructions will identify which of the above choices are available to you in order to have your shares voted. Please note that if you are a beneficial owner and wish to vote in person at the special meeting, you must provide a legal proxy from your bank, brokerage firm or other nominee at the special meeting.

If you submit a proxy by mailing a proxy card, your proxy card should be mailed in the accompanying prepaid reply envelope, and your proxy card must be filed with the Office of the Corporate Secretary of JAXB by the time the special meeting begins. **Please do not send in your stock certificates with your proxy card.** When the merger is completed, a separate letter of transmittal will be mailed to you that will enable you to receive the per share merger consideration in exchange for your stock certificates.

If you vote by proxy, the individuals named on the enclosed proxy card(s) (each of them, with full power of substitution) will vote your shares of JAXB voting common stock and JAXB nonvoting common stock in the way that you indicate. When completing the proxy card(s), you may specify whether your shares of JAXB common stock should be voted **FOR** or **AGAINST** or to **ABSTAIN** from voting on all, some or none of the specific items of business to come before the special meeting.

If you properly sign your proxy card but do not mark the boxes showing how your shares of JAXB common stock should be voted on a matter, the shares of JAXB common stock represented by your properly signed proxy will be voted **FOR** the proposals described in this proxy statement/prospectus on which such shares are entitled to vote.

If you are a shareholder of record, you have the right to revoke a proxy at any time before it is exercised, by voting again at a later date through any of the methods available to you, by attending the special meeting and voting in person, or by giving written notice of revocation to JAXB prior to the time the special meeting begins. Written notice of revocation should be mailed to: Jacksonville Bancorp, Inc., 100 North Laura Street, Suite 1000, Jacksonville, Florida 32202, Attention: Corporate Secretary. If your shares of JAXB common stock are beneficially held in street name through a bank, brokerage firm or other nominee, then you must follow the instructions of your bank, brokerage firm or other nominee to revoke or change your prior voting instructions.

If you have any questions or need assistance voting your shares, please contact JAXB at:

Proxies and Revocations 98

Jacksonville Bancorp, Inc. 100 North Laura Street, Suite 1000 Jacksonville, Florida 32202 Telephone: (904) 421-3040

Attention: Valerie A. Kendall

IT IS IMPORTANT THAT YOU VOTE YOUR SHARES OF JAXB VOTING COMMON STOCK AND NONVOTING COMMON STOCK PROMPTLY. WHETHER OR NOT YOU PLAN TO ATTEND THE SPECIAL MEETING, PLEASE VOTE YOUR SHARES IMMEDIATELY BY TELEPHONE, BY INTERNET OR BY MAIL. IF YOU VOTE BY MAIL, PLEASE COMPLETE, DATE, SIGN AND RETURN, AS PROMPTLY AS POSSIBLE, THE ENCLOSED PROXY CARD(S) IN THE PRE-ADDRESSED POSTAGE-PAID ENVELOPE. SHAREHOLDERS WHO ATTEND THE SPECIAL MEETING MAY REVOKE THEIR PROXIES BY VOTING IN PERSON.

Anticipated Date of Completion of the Merger

Subject to the satisfaction or waiver of the closing conditions described under the section entitled The Merger Agreement Conditions to Completion of the Merger beginning on page 84 of this proxy statement/prospectus, including the approval of the merger proposal and the charter amendment by JAXB shareholders at the special meeting, ABCB and JAXB expect that the merger will be completed during the first quarter of 2016. However, it is possible that factors outside the control of both companies could result in the merger being completed at a different time or not at all.

Solicitation of Proxies; Payment of Solicitation Expenses

JAXB will pay proxy solicitation costs related to the special meeting. JAXB s directors, officers and employees may solicit proxies by telephone, by facsimile, by mail, on the Internet or in person. They will not be paid any additional amounts for soliciting proxies. JAXB may also reimburse banks, brokerage firms, other nominees or their respective agents for their expenses in forwarding proxy materials to beneficial owners of JAXB common stock.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

THE PARTIES TO THE MERGER

Jacksonville Bancorp, Inc.

100 North Laura Street, Suite 1000 Jacksonville, Florida 32202 Telephone: (904) 421-3040

JAXB, a Florida corporation, is a bank holding company whose business is conducted primarily through The Jacksonville Bank, a Florida-state chartered bank and a wholly-owned subsidiary of JAXB. Through The Jacksonville Bank, JAXB provides a variety of commercial and retail banking services through eight offices in the Jacksonville, Florida metropolitan area, as well as online banking through its virtual branch. JAXB s product lines include personal and online banking and sweep accounts that may be invested in Goldman Sachs mutual funds, in addition to traditional banking products. JAXB s loan portfolio includes commercial loans, real estate mortgage loans and consumer and other types of loans, with a particular emphasis on commercial real estate loans. JAXB has specialized in providing services to small business owners, with a particular focus on professional services, wholesalers, distributors and other service industries. Through Fountain Financial, Inc., a subsidiary of The Jacksonville Bank, JAXB serves the investment and insurance needs of bank customers.

JAXB was incorporated on October 24, 1997 as a Florida corporation. At September 30, 2015, JAXB had approximately \$505.3 million in total assets, \$386.9 million in total loans (net of allowance for loan losses), \$433.0 million in total deposits and shareholders equity of \$42.1 million. Deposits with The Jacksonville Bank are insured, up to applicable limits, by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (the FDIC).

The JAXB voting common stock is currently listed on the NASDAQ Capital Market under the symbol JAXB. The JAXB nonvoting common stock is not listed or traded on any established securities exchange or quotation system.

Ameris Bancorp

310 First St., S.E. Moultrie, Georgia 31768 Telephone: (229) 890-1111

ABCB, a Georgia corporation, is a bank holding company whose business is conducted primarily through Ameris Bank, a Georgia state-chartered bank and a wholly-owned subsidiary of ABCB. As a bank holding company, ABCB performs certain shareholder and investor relations functions and seeks to provide financial support, if necessary, to Ameris Bank.

ABCB is headquartered in Moultrie, Georgia, and, through Ameris Bank, provides a full range of banking services to its retail and commercial customers through branches primarily concentrated in select markets in Georgia, Alabama, Florida and South Carolina. These branches serve distinct communities in ABCB s business areas with autonomy but do so as one bank, leveraging ABCB s favorable geographic footprint in an effort to acquire more customers.

ABCB was incorporated on December 18, 1980 as a Georgia corporation. ABCB operates 117 domestic banking offices with no foreign activities. At September 30, 2015, ABCB had approximately \$5.2 billion in total assets, \$3.6 billion in total loans (net of allowance for loan losses), \$4.5 billion in total deposits and stockholders equity of \$502.3 million. Deposits with Ameris Bank are insured, up to applicable limits, by the FDIC.

The ABCB common stock is listed on the NASDAQ Global Select Market under the symbol ABCB.

PROPOSAL 1 THE MERGER PROPOSAL

Holders of JAXB common stock, \$0.01 par value per share (the JAXB voting common stock), and JAXB nonvoting common stock, \$0.01 par value per share (the JAXB nonvoting common stock), are being asked to approve the adoption of the Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of September 30, 2015, as it may be amended from time to time, by and between Ameris Bancorp, a Georgia corporation (ABCB), and JAXB (the merger agreement), and the transactions contemplated in the merger agreement including, among other things, the merger of JAXB with and into ABCB with ABCB surviving the merger (the merger).

Approval of the merger proposal requires the affirmative voting of the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of JAXB voting common stock and a majority of the outstanding shares of JAXB nonvoting common stock, voting as separate classes. Because the required vote to approve the merger proposal is based on the number of JAXB shares outstanding, a failure to vote, an abstention or a broker non-vote will have the same effect as a vote **AGAINST** the merger proposal. In addition, approval of the merger proposal is cross-conditioned upon approval of the charter amendment proposal. In other words, if JAXB shareholders do not approve the merger proposal, the charter amendment proposal will fail; likewise, if JAXB shareholders do not approve the charter amendment proposal, the merger proposal will also fail. If you fail to submit a proxy and fail to attend the special meeting or if your shares of JAXB common stock are held through a bank, brokerage firm or other nominee and you do not instruct your bank, brokerage firm or other nominee to vote your shares of JAXB common stock, your shares of JAXB common stock will not be voted, but this will not have an effect on the outcome of the vote on the charter amendment, the merger-related compensation or the adjournment proposal.

JAXB s board of directors unanimously recommends that JAXB shareholders vote FOR approval of the merger proposal.

General

This section describes the merger. The description in this section and elsewhere in this proxy statement/prospectus is qualified in its entirety by reference to the complete text of the merger agreement, a copy of which is attached as Annex A and is incorporated by reference into this proxy statement/prospectus. This summary does not purport to be complete and may not contain all of the information about the merger that is important to you. You are encouraged to read the merger agreement carefully and in its entirety. This section is not intended to provide you with any factual information about JAXB or ABCB. Such information can be found elsewhere in this proxy statement/prospectus and in the public filings JAXB and ABCB make with the SEC, as described in the sections entitled Where You Can Find More Information and Incorporation of Certain ABCB Documents by Reference beginning on pages i and 180 of this proxy statement/prospectus, respectively.

Transaction Structure

Pursuant to the merger agreement, JAXB will merge with and into ABCB with ABCB surviving the merger as the surviving corporation. Immediately thereafter, The Jacksonville Bank, JAXB s banking subsidiary, will merge with and into ABCB s banking subsidiary, Ameris Bank, with Ameris Bank continuing as the surviving entity.

Per Share Merger Consideration

Unless adjusted pursuant to the terms of the merger agreement, each share of JAXB common stock issued and outstanding immediately prior to the completion of the merger (other than excluded shares and shares held by shareholders who properly demand appraisal rights under Sections 607.1301 to 607.1333 of the FBCA) will be converted into the right to receive either:

0.5861 shares of ABCB common stock, or \$16.50 in cash, without interest.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, the total merger consideration shall be prorated as necessary to ensure that 25% of the total outstanding shares of JAXB common stock will be exchanged for cash and 75% of the

total outstanding shares of JAXB common stock will be exchanged for shares of ABCB common stock. Cash will be paid in lieu of fractional shares.

The completion of the merger is subject to a price floor which, if reached prior to the completion of the merger, could result in more shares of ABCB common stock being issued or more cash being paid, or the merger being terminated.

Also, if after the date of the merger agreement and at or prior to the effective time, the outstanding shares of ABCB common stock or JAXB common stock are changed into a different number of shares or type of securities by reason of any reclassification, split-up, stock split, subdivision, combination or exchange of shares, or if any dividend payable in stock or other securities is declared on shares of ABCB common stock or JAXB common stock with a record date during such period, or if any similar event occurs, then the per share stock consideration and the per share cash consideration will be adjusted to provide the holders thereof the same economic effect as contemplated by the merger agreement.

Background of the Merger

From 2008 until 2014, like many community banks, JAXB was severely impacted by the 2007 financial crisis and resulting increased federal and state regulations adopted in response to the crisis. As a result, JAXB s board of directors was required to implement a broad strategy to raise additional capital from third parties, including CapGen Capital Group IV, LP (which is sometimes referred to as CapGen), to execute a recapitalization plan, to respond to heightened requirements of its federal and state regulators and to dispose of substandard assets in order to strengthen its balance sheet, increase tangible common equity and improve capital adequacy ratios. As part of its capital raising efforts, in 2010, JAXB sold 194,445 shares of JAXB voting common stock to accredited investors for aggregate gross proceeds of \$35 million, and in 2012, JAXB sold 50,000 shares of Mandatorily Convertible, Noncumulative, Nonvoting Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series A to accredited investors for aggregate gross proceeds of \$50 million. CapGen was the lead investor in both capital raises. In 2013, JAXB conducted concurrently a rights offering to eligible existing shareholders of nontransferable subscription rights and a public offering to purchase shares of JAXB voting common stock at a price of \$10.00 per share, selling a total of 500,000 shares of JAXB voting common stock for aggregate gross proceeds of \$5 million. Also in 2013, at the direction of JAXB s board of directors, JAXB implemented a 1-for-20 reverse stock split of the outstanding shares of JAXB common stock. Consequently, the aggregate par value of the JAXB common stock eliminated in the reverse stock split was reclassed on JAXB s consolidated balance sheets from common equity to additional paid-in capital. The execution of this long-term strategy resulted in general improvements to JAXB s financial condition, asset quality and results of operations beginning in 2014.

As part of its ongoing consideration and evaluation of its long-term prospects and strategies, particularly as part of its relatively small market capitalization, JAXB s board of directors, in consultation with senior management and outside legal and financial advisors, periodically reviewed and assessed JAXB s business strategies and objectives, including strategic opportunities and challenges, and considered various strategic options potentially available, all with the goal of enhancing value for JAXB s shareholders. The strategic discussions focused on, among other things, the business environment facing community banks in general and JAXB, in particular, current conditions and ongoing trends toward consolidation in the financial services industry, and the difficulty in profitably growing and operating a financial institution under current economic and competitive conditions (including the challenges presented by current and anticipated business and regulatory environments).

On March 6, 2015, the Chief Executive Officer of another publicly traded financial institution (Institution A) contacted Donald F. Glisson, Jr., the Chairman of JAXB s board of directors, with whom the CEO had an existing

relationship, to ask if JAXB would be interested in exploring a business combination transaction. Chairman Glisson and the CEO of Institution A met on March 13, 2015 to further discuss exploring a possible business combination. The discussion was general in nature and did not include any specific proposal. Mr. Glisson has known the CEO of Institution A for over 20 years and the two have kept in touch during that time; the CEO knew of Mr. Glisson s position as Chairman of JAXB.

On March 30, 2015, JAXB s board of directors met telephonically, at which time Chairman Glisson informed the board that Institution A had approached JAXB about very preliminarily considering a possible

TABLE OF CONTENTS

combination. JAXB s board of directors was then provided with publicly available information about Institution A and discussed the advisability of preliminary talks with Institution A. JAXB s board of directors then authorized Chairman Glisson to cause JAXB to enter into a confidentiality agreement and to continue the preliminary discussions with Institution A.

On April 8, 2015, JAXB and Institution A entered into a confidentiality agreement. Over the course of the next few weeks, Institution A conducted a due diligence process with respect to JAXB. Institution A also engaged a financial advisor to assist it in exploring a possible business combination.

On April 19, 2015, JAXB s board of directors determined that it was in the best interests of JAXB and its shareholders to engage a financial advisor to assist JAXB in evaluating potential offers from parties interested in a business combination, including any offer from Institution A. Chairman Glisson suggested that JAXB engage Hovde because, among other reasons, Hovde was familiar with JAXB, having served as JAXB s financial advisor on prior transactions, including JAXB s rights offering and concurrent public offering in 2013. In making its determination, the directors also considered Hovde s knowledge of JAXB and the Florida banking market, Hovde s reputation and its extensive experience in representing financial institutions in Florida merger transactions. After reviewing the terms of a proposed engagement letter with Hovde, JAXB s board of directors approved Hovde s engagement as financial advisor to JAXB. On April 20, 2015, JAXB executed an engagement letter to retain Hovde as its exclusive financial advisor.

Following Hovde s engagement, JAXB s board of directors asked a Hovde representative to perform a valuation analysis of JAXB and to propose a price to be paid by Institution A in a potential business combination transaction. After performing the analysis, the Hovde representative suggested a price of \$14.00 per share of JAXB common stock based on his review of the current Florida merger and acquisition market for banks and a valuation analysis of JAXB. The board then directed the Hovde representative to engage in discussions with Institution A based on this proposed price per share.

On April 23, 2015, at the direction of JAXB s board of directors, a Hovde representative informed the financial advisor to Institution A that JAXB may have an interest in a business combination transaction in an all stock merger for a price of approximately \$14.00 per share of JAXB common stock. Chairman Glisson also spoke with the CEO of Institution A to discuss proposed terms of a possible business combination.

On April 28, 2015, JAXB s board of directors met in executive session to discuss, among other things, the status of negotiations with Institution A. Chairman Glisson also reported that Hovde had been engaged and was prepared to advise JAXB upon receipt of an offer from Institution A.

On May 6, 2015, Chairman Glisson asked JAXB s board of directors to consider forming a special merger committee (the Merger Committee) to review and analyze any acquisition proposals or indications of interest that may be presented to JAXB and to report the Committee s recommendations to the full board of directors. After discussion, JAXB s board of directors approved the formation of the Merger Committee.

On May 21, 2015, the CEO of Institution A advised Chairman Glisson that the highest price Institution A could offer to pay to JAXB shareholders in a merger transaction would be approximately \$11.00 per share of JAXB common stock. Chairman Glisson informed the CEO of Institution A that JAXB would not be interested in pursuing a business combination transaction at that price.

On May 26, 2015, JAXB s board of directors met in executive session to discuss the status of the negotiations between JAXB and Institution A. At that meeting, Chairman Glisson reported that he had received a phone call from the CEO of Institution A on May 21, 2015 in which the CEO stated that Institution A was not prepared to move forward at the

present time with a possible business combination. The CEO of Institution A informed Mr. Glisson that his management team needed to see two or three more quarters of JAXB profitability before giving further consideration to a possible business combination. The CEO further stated that if JAXB were able to produce continued earnings and recover a deferred tax asset, then Institution A would consider a transaction price in the vicinity of approximately \$12.50 per share of JAXB common stock.

On July 28, 2015, JAXB s board of directors met with a Hovde representative to discuss potential strategic business combinations and to evaluate JAXB s options to engage in a strategic business combination.

The Hovde representative gave a presentation on the current Florida merger and acquisition market for banks, an analysis of possible buyers of JAXB, a valuation analysis of JAXB and a timeline for a possible business combination transaction. After a lengthy discussion with Hovde on its analysis and assessments, JAXB s board of directors determined that it would be in the best interests of JAXB and its shareholders to direct Hovde to make confidential preliminary inquiries with an identified list of potential buyers to determine who might be interested in a potential business combination with JAXB.

On the same day, July 28, 2015, Dennis J. Zember Jr., the Chief Financial Officer of ABCB, emailed the Hovde representative to request a meeting after hearing at a community banking conference that Hovde had been engaged as JAXB s financial advisor. On August 3, 2015, Edwin W. Hortman, Jr., the Chief Executive Officer of ABCB, and Mr. Zember met with the Hovde representative to discuss ABCB s interest in possibly acquiring JAXB. ABCB informed Hovde that its executives were relocating to Jacksonville, Florida and were highly motivated to complete a business combination in the Jacksonville, Florida market. Hovde advised Messrs. Hortman and Zember that JAXB might be interested in discussing a possible transaction at a range of \$17.00 per share of JAXB common stock.

On August 5, 2015, Mr. Zember called the Hovde representative to offer pursuing a transaction in the range of \$16.00 to \$16.50 per share of JAXB common stock with shares of ABCB common stock to constitute a majority of the merger consideration. They also indicated that ABCB would be able to conduct due diligence and negotiate definitive documents quickly.

On August 6, 2015, the Hovde representative and Mr. Zember further discussed various issues relating to JAXB s current financial position and a possible business combination and also discussed entering into a confidentiality agreement.

On August 7, 2015, ABCB and JAXB entered into a confidentiality agreement.

On August 10, 2015, the Merger Committee held a telephonic meeting to discuss the non-binding ABCB proposal and review information on ABCB. Hovde had provided three reports to the Merger Committee for review: an analysis of FIG Partners relating to ABCB, SNL s profile of ABCB and Hovde s preliminary offer analysis of ABCB s proposal. The Merger Committee members discussed that ABCB was requesting an exclusivity period to complete its due diligence and further consider negotiation of a merger agreement for a target merger price of \$16.50 per share of JAXB common stock. The Merger Committee members discussed the proposed pricing in the context of historical Florida merger transactions and noted that ABCB s offer was considerably better than what JAXB could have reasonably anticipated based on Hovde s analysis of recent bank merger and acquisition transactions. The Merger Committee also discussed certain information about ABCB that made it an attractive business combination partner, including that ABCB has a strong presence in Jacksonville and desires to raise its profile in the market, that ABCB s executives are familiar with JAXB and its key employees and customers, and SNL s favorable evaluation of ABCB. The Merger Committee also considered the advantages and disadvantages of a negotiated transaction versus an auction process. The Merger Committee then determined to move the proposal to JAXB s full board of directors for consideration.

On August 12, 2015, a special meeting of JAXB s board of directors was held for the purpose of considering the merits of the ABCB proposal. The board members had previously been provided with the analysis of FIG Partners relating to ABCB, SNL s profile of ABCB and Hovde s preliminary analysis of ABCB s proposal. Chairman Glisson summarized the proposed transaction and information about ABCB, as well as timing expectations. After lengthy discussion, including the type of consideration proposed in the merger, the state of the overall mergers and acquisitions market in Florida, and whether, based on Hovde s analysis and other considerations, to conduct a pre-signing market check, the directors approved authorizing JAXB management to continue discussions with ABCB towards the possibility of

entering into a definitive merger agreement.

On August 13, 2015, ABCB confirmed to Hovde that it was interested in pursuing a transaction at \$16.50 per share of JAXB common stock and sent Hovde a non-binding letter of intent with a 45-day exclusivity period. Also on August 13, 2015, the Merger Committee authorized Mr. Glisson to sign the letter of intent, which was signed on behalf of JAXB that same day.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

On August 17, 2015, the Merger Committee met to discuss retention of legal counsel to assist JAXB in connection with a possible merger transaction. Legal counsel was retained on August 20, 2015.

In mid to late August, 2015, ABCB conducted formal due diligence on JAXB and confirmed its pricing. On August 25, 2015, an executive session of JAXB s board of directors was held. Chairman Glisson provided an update on the due diligence process being conducted by ABCB and discussed additional information regarding possible transaction timing.

On September 4, 2015, ABCB sent a first draft of a merger agreement to JAXB. On September 8, 2015, JAXB retained Saltmarsh, Cleaveland & Gund, a Florida-based accounting firm (Saltmarsh), to assist it in a due diligence review of ABCB.

Over the course of the next few weeks, counsel for ABCB and JAXB, as well as the financial advisors and senior officers of ABCB and JAXB, negotiated and finalized the definitive merger agreement and related agreements. In addition, during this period, CapGen Capital Group IV, LP agreed to execute a voting and support agreement in favor of the proposed merger. JAXB directors Robert B. Goldstein and John P. Sullivan are also principals of CapGen. During this period, ABCB and JAXB completed their respective due diligence reviews of the other, and provided materials to the boards of their respective companies for review. JAXB s due diligence on ABCB included, among other things, review of ABCB s financial position, credit and lending processes, credit risk and interest rate risk positions, IT matters, human resources, internal audits, corporate governance documents and other compliance matters. The reverse due diligence process included onsite visits to ABCB by JAXB executives on September 24, 2015 and discussions between JAXB advisors and ABCB advisors.

On September 17, 2015, another financial institution made an unsolicited phone call to a former JAXB director to inquire if JAXB would be interested in discussing a possible business combination. The former JAXB director contacted Mr. Glisson and advised him of the inquiry. At the direction of Chairman Glisson, Hovde advised the other financial institution that JAXB was in an exclusivity period with another interested party and that the parties appeared to be on track for entering into a definitive agreement.

On September 18, 2015, ABCB and JAXB extended the 45-day exclusivity period by an additional six days to provide additional time to finalize due diligence and the documents related to the proposed business combination.

On September 29, 2015, the Merger Committee met to review the proposed merger and to review the findings of JAXB s due diligence. Representatives from Hovde, Saltmarsh and merger counsel for JAXB were present at the meeting as well as members of JAXB management. The Saltmarsh representative and management detailed the extensive due diligence that had been conducted with respect to ABCB and reported on their findings. A discussion with the Merger Committee followed. The Merger Committee then unanimously approved the recommendation to seek approval of the full board of directors.

On September 30, 2015, JAXB s board of directors held a special meeting to review and consider the merger agreement and related agreements. All of JAXB s directors attended the meeting. In addition, representatives from Hovde, Saltmarsh and merger counsel for JAXB participated in the meeting. JAXB executives involved in the negotiations and due diligence efforts also attended the meeting. JAXB s merger counsel reviewed for JAXB s board of directors their fiduciary duties and responsibilities. Saltmarsh reported on JAXB s due diligence review of ABCB. Mr.

Zember and Andrew B. Cheney, ABCB s Chief Operating Officer, then joined the meeting to make a brief presentation and to answer questions from JAXB s board of directors with respect to ABCB and the proposed business combination. After Messrs. Zember and Cheney concluded and left the meeting, a representative of Hovde provided JAXB s board of directors with a presentation of Hovde s analysis of the fairness of the merger consideration to JAXB

shareholders and advised JAXB s board of directors that Hovde was prepared to issue its opinion to the effect that the merger consideration is fair to JAXB shareholders from a financial point of view. JAXB s merger counsel then reviewed the terms and conditions of the merger agreement, the Voting and Support Agreement and related agreements. JAXB s board of directors board had a lengthy discussion with its advisors on the reasons for the proposed merger. After taking into account, among other things, the factors described in the section entitled Proposal 1 The Merger Proposal JAXB s Reasons for the Merger; Recommendation of JAXB s Board of Directors beginning on page 58 of this proxy

statement/prospectus, JAXB s board of directors unanimously approved the merger agreement, authorized its execution in substantially the form presented at the meeting, and recommended that JAXB shareholders vote to approve the merger agreement and the merger.

Following approval of JAXB s board of directors, on September 30, 2015, JAXB and ABCB signed the merger agreement and the transaction was announced in a joint press release issued on October 1, 2015.

JAXB s Reasons for the Merger; Recommendation of JAXB s Board of Directors

After careful consideration, at its meeting on September 30, 2015, JAXB s board of directors determined that the merger is in the best interests of JAXB and its shareholders. Accordingly, JAXB s board of directors, by a unanimous vote, approved the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby and unanimously recommended that JAXB shareholders vote **FOR** approval of the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby. In addition, all of JAXB s directors, as well as certain of JAXB s executive officers and CapGen, have agreed to vote the stock of JAXB over which they have voting authority in favor of the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby, subject to certain exceptions.

In reaching its decision to adopt and approve the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby, and recommend the merger to its shareholders, JAXB s board of directors evaluated the merger and the merger agreement and the related ancillary agreements, in consultation with JAXB s management, as well as its legal and financial advisors, and considered a number of positive factors, including the following material factors, which are not presented in the order of priority:

its belief, based on discussions and negotiations by JAXB s board of directors and the Merger Committee and its financial advisor, that the merger consideration of \$16.50 per share of JAXB common stock (in cash or a number of shares of ABCB common stock based on the exchange ratio and subject to the terms set forth in the merger agreement) was the highest price ABCB was willing to pay;

current and historical market prices of JAXB common stock relative to the per share merger consideration, and the fact that the value of the per share merger consideration represented a premium of 28% over JAXB s weighted average price for the twenty (20) trading days prior to August 13, 2015 (the date of the ABCB letter of intent), a premium of 10% over the closing price of JAXB s shares on September 29, 2015 (the last full trading day before the board of directors met to review and consider approval of the merger agreement) and a premium to book value multiple of approximately 2.37 times;

its belief that (a) based on discussions with ABCB, ABCB would walk away from the merger if JAXB were to enter into discussions with multiple potentially interested parties or been subject to a public or private auction and (b) neither JAXB nor its financial adviser would be able to find an interested party willing to pay more than the merger consideration offered by ABCB, due in part to the unique strategic benefit that ABCB will receive as a result of the merger;

its belief that, based on JAXB soverall 2015 year-to-date performance as well as its performance in previous years, the price of JAXB common stock in the short or medium term was highly unlikely to exceed the future equivalent of the merger consideration;

information about JAXB and ABCB, including the business and financial condition, results of operations, earnings and business prospects of each;

based on a review of possible alternatives to a sale, including the prospects of (a) continuing to operate JAXB in accordance with its existing business plan, (b) modifying the existing business plan, or (c) undertaking strategic initiatives, the potential value to JAXB shareholders of such alternatives, and taking

into account, among other things, the timing and likelihood of actually achieving additional value for JAXB shareholders from these alternatives, its belief that none of these alternatives were reasonably likely to create value for JAXB shareholders greater than the merger consideration;

its knowledge of the current and prospective environment in which JAXB operates, including national and local economic conditions, the competitive environment, the interest rate environment, the trend toward consolidation in the financial services industry and the potential risks these pose for JAXB s potential growth, development, productivity, profitability and strategic options;

the challenges of cost-effectively delivering state-of-the-art banking products and services on a competitive basis while shouldering an increased regulatory compliance burden;

its confidence in the competence, experience and integrity of management of both JAXB and ABCB; information from investment banks and other resources regarding the financial terms of recent business combinations involving banks and bank holding companies, particularly in Florida, as well as the impact the merger would have on ABCB in comparison to the impact other buyers have experienced in other Florida deals;

the complementary strengths of ABCB and JAXB, and its belief that ABCB s brand, broad product offerings and larger market presence could facilitate accelerated growth in JAXB s businesses;

its belief that ABCB s financial strength would result in a combined company that would be well positioned to serve JAXB s customers and communities and allow the combined company to grow on a larger geographical platform; that a merger with a larger holding company would provide the opportunity to realize economies of scale, increase efficiencies of operations and enhance the development of new products and services;

the risks and challenges inherent in pursuing a growth strategy for JAXB in the absence of a transaction like the merger, and the opportunity to achieve a scale of operations that might not be achievable by JAXB for several years in the absence of the merger, if ever;

its belief that, though JAXB needs to be significantly larger in order to be competitive in its industry, JAXB has not in recent years been successful in growing through acquisition and its financial position and stock price do not accommodate rapid growth by merger or combination with other banks;

the financial analysis reviewed and discussed with JAXB s board of directors by Hovde on September 30, 2015, and Hovde s written opinion rendered to JAXB s board of directors on the same date with respect to the fairness, from a financial point of view, of the merger consideration to be received by the holders of JAXB common stock;

the financial terms of the merger and other provisions of the merger agreement, including:
the parties respective representations, warranties, covenants and other agreements;
o conditions to closing that can be expected to be fulfilled;

a provision that permits JAXB s board of directors, subject to the terms and conditions of the merger agreement, to consider potentially superior third-party acquisition proposals;

the ability of JAXB s board of directors to terminate the merger agreement in the event, under certain circumstances, of a drop in the ABCB common stock price; and

the fact that the termination fee, as a percentage of the value of the merger, is of the same magnitude as termination ofees in similar transactions, which JAXB s board of directors believes will not materially discourage other bidders from making alternative proposals;

the ability of JAXB s shareholders to choose the form of consideration to be received in the merger, subject to certain limitations as provided in the merger agreement, including that JAXB shareholders will have the opportunity to receive a portion of the merger consideration in shares of ABCB common stock on a tax-free basis, which would allow JAXB shareholders to participate in the future performance of the combined company s businesses and synergies resulting from the merger;

59

o

the greater market capitalization of the combined company and greater trading volume and liquidity of ABCB s common stock:

the ability of ABCB to pay the cash portion of the merger consideration; the ability of ABCB to receive the requisite regulatory approvals in a timely manner; the high likelihood of, and anticipated time for, completion of the merger;

the expected treatment of the merger as a tax-free reorganization for U.S. federal income tax purposes with respect to the JAXB common stock exchanged for ABCB common stock;

the fact that appraisal rights are available to shareholders;

the opportunity to expand relationships with JAXB s existing customer base through the increased lending capacity afforded by the combined company;

the fact that ABCB offers a broader product offering in the areas of small business administration (SBA) lending, residential mortgage lending, and retail and consumer banking;

the additional lines of business that ABCB offers that JAXB does not, such as agricultural lending, warehouse lending, municipal banking, and wealth management (including investment management and advisory services); the anticipated positive impact to JAXB s existing customers, resulting from ABCB having a community banking business model similar to JAXB, and the retention of the vast majority of JAXB s customer-facing employees; the mutual understanding that JAXB and ABCB share similar operating cultures, core values and approaches to servicing their respective markets, and the mutual respect of the two management teams; and other short-term and long-term social and economic effects on the employees, customers, shareholders and other constituents of JAXB, as well as the communities within which JAXB and ABCB operate, and the fact that ABCB has a strong presence Jacksonville, Florida.

JAXB s board of directors also considered potential risks and potentially negative factors concerning the merger in connection with its deliberations of the proposed transaction, including the following material factors:

that a portion of the merger consideration will be paid through the issuance of a fixed number of shares of ABCB common stock and any decrease in the market price of ABCB common stock will result in a reduction in the aggregate merger consideration to be received by JAXB shareholders at the time of the completion of the merger subject to the adjustment procedures described in the merger agreement;

the need to obtain JAXB shareholder approval, as well as the requisite regulatory approvals, to complete the merger and the risk that those or other conditions would not be satisfied;

the possibility that the merger might not close and the negative impact that could have on JAXB s reputation and earnings, trading price of JAXB common stock, ability to attract and retain key personnel and relationships with key constituencies, including employees and customers;

the interests of JAXB s directors and executive officers in the merger, in addition to their interests as shareholders generally, including the financial interests that are the result of compensation arrangements with The Jacksonville Bank, the manner in which such interests would be affected by the merger, as well as the new employment agreements that certain of these individuals may enter into with ABCB in connection with the merger;

JAXB s inability to accurately predict the future operating results and earnings potential of ABCB; the potential displacement of JAXB s employees, including members of management, and the adverse anticipated effect on those employees;

the requirement that JAXB conduct its business in the ordinary course and the other restrictions on the conduct of JAXB s business prior to the completion of the merger, which may delay or prevent JAXB from undertaking strategic or other business opportunities that may arise pending completion of the merger;

that certain terms of the merger agreement prohibit JAXB from soliciting, and limit its ability to respond to, proposals for alternative transactions;

notwithstanding its belief, as noted above, as to the reasonableness of the termination fee, the risk that the terms of the merger agreement relating to the payment of a termination fee under specified circumstances could have the effect of discouraging other parties that might be interested in a transaction with JAXB from proposing such a transaction;

limited remedies in the event of ABCB s breach of the merger agreement;

the risk that potential benefits and synergies sought in the merger may not be realized or may not be realized within the expected time period, and the risks associated with the integration of JAXB s business, operations and workforce with those of ABCB, including the execution risk of data system conversion and the possible negative effect on customer relationships;

the merger-related costs;

that the Voting and Support Agreement, under which certain shareholders have agreed to, subject to certain conditions, vote in favor of the merger agreement, could discourage other parties that may be interested in a transaction with JAXB, although the Voting and Support Agreement will terminate automatically if the merger agreement is terminated in accordance with its terms, including termination by JAXB s board of directors to enter into a definitive agreement with respect to a superior proposal;

the potential risk of diverting management attention and resources from the operation of the business of JAXB and towards completion of the merger and integration of operations; and

the possibility of litigation in connection with the merger.

The foregoing discussion of the factors considered by JAXB s board of directors is not intended to be exhaustive, but is believed to include all material factors considered by JAXB s board of directors. In view of the wide variety of the factors considered in connection with its evaluation of the merger and the complexity of these matters, JAXB s board of directors did not find it useful, and did not attempt, to quantify, rank or otherwise assign relative weights to these factors. In considering the factors described above, the individual members of JAXB s board of directors may have given different weight to different factors. JAXB s board of directors conducted an overall analysis of the factors described above including thorough discussions with, and questioning of, JAXB management and JAXB s legal and financial advisors, and considered the factors overall to be favorable to, and to support, its determination.

It should be noted that this explanation of JAXB s board of directors reasoning and all other information presented in this section is forward-looking in nature and, therefore, should be read in light of the factors discussed under the heading Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements on page 30 of this proxy statement/prospectus.

Opinion of Our Financial Advisor

The fairness opinion and a summary of the underlying financial analyses of JAXB s financial advisor, Hovde Group, LLC, is described below. The description contains projections, estimates and other forward-looking statements about the future earnings or other measures of the future performance of JAXB. The projections were based on numerous variables and assumptions, which are inherently uncertain, including factors related to general economic and competitive conditions. Accordingly, actual results could vary significantly from those set forth in the projections. You should not rely on any of these statements as having been made or adopted by JAXB or ABCB. You should review the copy of the fairness opinion, which is attached as **Annex D**.

Hovde has acted as JAXB s financial advisor in connection with the proposed merger. Hovde is a nationally recognized investment banking firm with substantial experience in transactions similar to the merger and is familiar with JAXB and its operations. As part of its investment banking business, Hovde is continually engaged in the valuation of businesses and their securities in connection with, among other things, mergers and acquisitions.

Hovde reviewed the financial aspects of the proposed merger with JAXB s board of directors and, on September 30, 2015, delivered a written opinion to JAXB s board of directors that the merger consideration to be received by the shareholders of JAXB in connection with the merger was fair to the shareholders of JAXB from a financial point of view.

The full text of Hovde s written opinion is included in this proxy statement/prospectus as *Annex D* and is incorporated herein by reference. You are urged to read the opinion in its entirety for a description of the procedures followed, assumptions made, matters considered and qualifications and limitations on the review undertaken by Hovde. The summary of Hovde s opinion included in this proxy statement/prospectus is qualified in its entirety by reference to the full text of such opinion. Hovde s opinion was directed to JAXB s board of directors and addresses only the fairness, from a financial point of view, of the merger consideration to be received by JAXB s shareholders in connection with the merger. It does not address the underlying business decision to proceed with the merger and does not constitute a recommendation to any of the shareholders as to how such shareholder should vote at the special meeting on the merger or any related matter.

During the course of its engagement, and as a basis for arriving at its opinion, Hovde reviewed and analyzed material bearing upon the financial and operating conditions of JAXB and material prepared in connection with the merger, including, among other things, the following:

reviewed a draft of the Agreement and Plan of Merger, by and between ABCB and JAXB, dated September 22, 2015, as provided to Hovde by JAXB (the Agreement);

reviewed certain unaudited financial statements for JAXB and ABCB for the six-month period ended June 30, 2015; reviewed certain historical annual reports of JAXB and ABCB, including audited annual reports for the year ended December 31, 2014;

reviewed certain historical publicly available business and financial information concerning JAXB and ABCB; reviewed certain internal financial statements and other financial and operating data concerning of JAXB and ABCB; reviewed financial projections prepared by certain members of senior management of JAXB;

reviewed the terms of recent merger, acquisition and control investment transactions, to the extent publicly available, involving financial institutions and financial institution holding companies that Hovde considered relevant; assessed the general economic, market and financial conditions;

reviewed the pro forma impact of the merger on the combined company s earnings per share, consolidated capitalization and financial ratios;

reviewed historical market prices and trading volumes of the ABCB common stock; reviewed certain publicly available financial and stock market data relating to selected public companies that Hovde deemed relevant to its analysis; and

performed such other analyses and considered such other factors as Hovde have deemed appropriate. Hovde also conducted meetings and had discussions with members of senior management of JAXB and ABCB for purposes of reviewing the business, financial condition, results of operations and future prospects of JAXB and ABCB, as well as the history and past and current operations of JAXB and ABCB and JAXB s

and ABCB s historical financial performance, outlook and future prospects. Hovde also discussed with management of JAXB its assessment of the rationale for the merger. Hovde also performed such other analyses and considered such other factors as Hovde deemed appropriate, and took into account its experience in other transactions, as well as its knowledge of the banking and financial services industry and its general experience in securities valuations.

In rendering its opinion, Hovde assumed, without independent verification, the accuracy and completeness of the financial and other information and representations contained in the materials provided to it by JAXB and ABCB, and in the discussions it had with management of JAXB. Hovde relied upon the reasonableness and achievability of the financial forecasts and projections (and the assumptions and bases therein) provided to Hovde by JAXB and ABCB, and assumed that the financial forecasts, including the projections regarding under-performing and non-performing assets and net charge-offs, were reasonably prepared by JAXB and ABCB on a basis reflecting the best currently available information and judgments and estimates by JAXB and ABCB, and that such forecasts would be realized in the amounts and at the times contemplated thereby. Hovde did not assume any responsibility to independently to verify such information or assumptions.

Hovde is not an expert in the evaluation of loan and lease portfolios for purposes of assessing the adequacy of the allowances for loan losses with respect thereto. Hovde assumed that such allowances for JAXB and ABCB, are in the aggregate, adequate to cover such losses, and would be adequate on a pro forma basis for the combined entity. Hovde was not requested to make, and did not conduct, an independent evaluation, physical inspection or appraisal of the assets, properties, facilities or liabilities (contingent or otherwise) of JAXB or ABCB, the collateral securing any such assets or liabilities, or the collectability of any such assets, and Hovde was not furnished with any such evaluations or appraisals, nor did Hovde review any loan or credit files of JAXB or ABCB.

Hovde assumed that the merger would be completed substantially in accordance with the terms set forth in the Agreement, without any waiver of material terms or conditions by JAXB or any other party to the Agreement and that the final Agreement would not differ materially from the draft Hovde reviewed. Hovde assumed that the merger would be in compliance with all laws and regulations that are applicable to JAXB and ABCB. JAXB advised Hovde that there are no factors that would impede any necessary regulatory or governmental approval of the merger. Hovde further assumed that, in the course of obtaining the necessary regulatory and government approvals, no restriction would be imposed on JAXB or on ABCB that would have a material adverse effect on the contemplated benefits of the merger. Hovde also assumed that no changes in applicable law or regulation would occur that will cause a material adverse change in the prospects or operations of JAXB and ABCB after the merger.

JAXB engaged Hovde on April 20, 2015, to provide JAXB with financial services relating to, among other things, issuing a fairness opinion to JAXB s board of directors. Pursuant to the terms of the engagement, Hovde will receive consideration in the amount of \$50,000 for the delivery of its opinion. At the time the merger is completed and contingent upon the completion of the merger, JAXB will pay Hovde a completion fee equal to 0.60% of the aggregate merger consideration, as calculated in accordance with the engagement letter, which would total approximately \$587,580 assuming the merger had occurred on February 1, 2016. Pursuant to the engagement agreement, in addition to its fees and regardless of whether the merger is completed, JAXB has agreed to reimburse Hovde for certain reasonable out-of-pocket expenses incurred in performing its services and to indemnify Hovde against certain claims, losses and expenses arising out of the merger or Hovde s engagement.

In performing its analyses, Hovde made numerous assumptions with respect to industry performance, general business, economic, market and financial conditions and other matters, many of which are beyond the control of Hovde, JAXB and ABCB. Hovde s opinion was necessarily based on financial, economic, market and other conditions and circumstances as they existed on, and on the information made available to Hovde as of, the dates used in its opinion. Hovde has no obligation to update or reaffirm its opinion at any time. Any estimates contained in the

analyses performed by Hovde are not necessarily indicative of actual values or future results, which may be significantly more or less favorable than suggested by these analyses. Additionally, estimates of the value of businesses or securities do not purport to be appraisals or to reflect the

prices at which such businesses or securities may be sold or the prices at which any securities may trade at any time in the future. Accordingly, these analyses and estimates are inherently subject to substantial uncertainty. Hovde s opinion does not address the relative merits of the merger as compared to any other business combination in which JAXB might engage. In addition, Hovde s fairness opinion was among several factors taken into consideration by JAXB s board of directors in making its determination to approve the Agreement and the merger. Consequently, the analyses described below should not be viewed as solely determinative of the decision of JAXB s board of directors or JAXB s management with respect to the fairness of the merger consideration to be received by JAXB s shareholders in connection with the merger. Hovde did not recommend, nor did it determine, the amount of consideration to be paid in connection with the Merger, but only delivered a written opinion to JAXB s board of directors that the merger consideration to be paid in connection with the merger was fair to the shareholders of JAXB from a financial point of view.

The following is a summary of the material analyses prepared by Hovde and delivered to JAXB s board of directors on September 30, 2015, in connection with the delivery of its fairness opinion. This summary is not a complete description of the analyses underlying the fairness opinion or the presentation prepared by Hovde, but it summarizes the material analyses performed and presented in connection with such opinion. The preparation of a fairness opinion is a complex analytical process involving various determinations as to the most appropriate and relevant methods of financial analysis and the application of those methods to the particular circumstances. Therefore, a fairness opinion is not readily susceptible to partial analysis or summary description. In arriving at its opinion, Hovde did not attribute any particular weight to any analysis or factor that it considered, but rather made qualitative judgments as to the significance and relevance of each analysis and factor. The financial analyses summarized below include information presented in tabular format. The analyses and the summary of the analyses must be considered as a whole and selecting portions of the analyses and factors or focusing on the information presented below in tabular format, without considering all analyses and factors or the full narrative description of the financial analyses, including the methodologies and assumptions underlying the analyses, could create a misleading or incomplete view of the process underlying the analyses and opinion of Hovde. The tables alone are not a complete description of the financial analyses.

Market Approach Comparable Transactions.

As part of its analysis, Hovde reviewed publicly available information related to two comparable groups (a Regional Group and a Nationwide Group) of select acquisition transactions of banks. The Regional Group consisted of acquisition transactions of banks headquartered in the Southeast Region of the United States (consisting of the states of Virginia, West Virginia, Tennessee, North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, Arkansas, Mississippi, Louisiana, and Florida) announced since January 1, 2013, in which the target had assets between \$400 million and \$1 billion, nonperforming assets (NPAs) to assets of less than 4.0% and a return on average assets (ROAA) between 0.00% and 1.00% over the last twelve (12) months (LTM). The Nationwide Group consisted of acquisition transactions of banks in the United States announced since January 1, 2013, in which the target had assets between \$450 million and \$650 million, NPAs to assets of less than 4.0% and a return on average assets between 0.50% and 1.00% over the last twelve (12) months. In each case, for which financial information was available, no transaction that fit the selection criteria was excluded. Information for the target institutions was based on balance sheet data as of, and income statement data for the twelve (12) months preceding, the most recent quarter prior to announcement of the transactions. The resulting two groups consisted of the following transactions (12 transactions for the Regional Group and 14 transactions for the Nationwide Group):

Regional Group:

Buyer (State) Target (State)

Home Bancshares, Inc. (AR) Florida Business BancGroup, Inc. (FL)

Pinnacle Financial Partners, Inc. (TN)

Magna Bank (TN)

Pinnacle Financial Partners, Inc. (TN)
United Community Banks, Inc. (GA)
CapitalMark Bank & Trust (TN)
MoneyTree Corporation (TN)

BNC Bancorp (NC) Valley Financial Corporation (VA)

First Horizon National Corporation (TN)

TrustAtlantic Financial Corporation (NC)

IBERIABANK Corporation (LA) Florida Bank Group, Inc. (FL)

64

Regional Group: 123

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Buyer (State) Target (State)

State Bank Financial Corporation (GA) Georgia-Carolina Bancshares, Inc. (GA)

Seacoast Banking Corp. of Florida (FL) BANKshares, Inc. (FL)

Banco de Sabadell, SA

JGB Bank, National Association (FL)

CenterState Banks, Inc. (FL)

Gulfstream Bancshares, Inc. (FL)

Bear State Financial, Inc. (AR)

First National Security Company (AR)

Nationwide Group:

Buyer (State) Target (State)

Prosperity Bancshares, Inc. (TX)

Tradition Bancshares, Inc. (TX)

Independent Bank Group, Inc. (TX)

Grand Bank (TX)

Home Bancshares, Inc. (AR) Florida Business BancGroup, Inc. (FL)

Pinnacle Financial Partners, Inc. (TN)

Magna Bank (TN)

Stupp Bros., Inc. (MO) Southern Bancshares Corp. (MO)

First Horizon National Corporation (TN) TrustAtlantic Financial Corporation (NC)

Peoples Bancorp, Inc. (OH) NB&T Financial Group, Inc. (OH)

Allegiance Bancshares, Inc. (TX)
First Midwest Bancorp, Inc. (IL)
State Bank Financial Corporation (GA)

Farmers & Merchants Bancshares, Inc. (TX)
Great Lakes Financial Resources, Inc. (IL)
Georgia-Carolina Bancshares, Inc. (GA)

State Bank Financial Corporation (GA)
Seacoast Banking Corp. of Florida (FL)
Georgia-Carolina Bancshares, Inc. (GA)
BANKshares, Inc. (FL)

First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. (MT)

Mountain West Financial Corp. (MT)

CenterState Banks, Inc. (FL)

Gulfstream Bancshares, Inc. (FL)

CBFH, Inc. (TX) VB Texas, Inc. (TX)

For each precedent transaction, Hovde compared the implied ratio of deal value to certain financial characteristics of JAXB as follows:

the multiple of the purchase consideration to the acquired company s tangible common book value (the Price-to-Tangible Common Book Value Multiple);

the multiple of the purchase consideration to the acquired company s LTM; and the multiple of the difference between the purchase consideration and the acquired company s tangible book value to the acquired company s core deposits (the Premium-to-Core Deposits Multiple).

The results of the analysis are set forth in the table below. Transaction multiples for the merger were derived from the estimated per share purchase price of \$16.50, which implied a total deal value of \$96.4 million for JAXB and were based on June 30, 2015 financial results of JAXB.

	Price-to-	Premium-to-Core			
Implied Value for JAXB Based On:	Common Book Value Multiple		Earnings	Deposits	
			Multiple	Multiple	
Total Deal Value	179.7	%	27.4 x	13.1	%
Precedent Transactions Regional Group:					
Median	146.5	%	18.6 x	7.2	%
Minimum	124.8	%	14.2 x	3.9	%
Maximum	243.0	%	25.4 x	18.4	%
Precedent Transactions Nationwide Group:					

Nationwide Group: 124

Median	151.0	%	18.7 x	6.9	%
Minimum	100.0	%	11.5 x	0.00	%
Maximum	286.1	%	37.6 x	15.5	%
65					

Nationwide Group: 125

Using publicly available information, Hovde compared the financial performance of JAXB with that of the median of the precedent transactions from the Regional Group and Nationwide Group. The performance highlights are based on June 30, 2015 financial results of JAXB.

	Tangible						
	Equity/	Core	LTM	LTM	Efficiency	NPAs/	ALLL/
	Tangible	Deposits	ROAA	$ROAE^{(1)}$	Ratio	Assets	NPLs(2)
	Assets						
JAXB	10.43%	76.59%	0.71%	9.48 %	80.90 %	3.34%	76.70%
Precedent Transactions Regional							
Group:							
Median	10.20%	82.62%	0.81%	6.91 %	71.64 %	1.75%	79.53%
Precedent Transactions							
Nationwide Group:							
Median	9.99 %	85.09%	0.77%	6.99 %	71.29 %	2.14%	78.44%

(2) Allowance for loan and lease losses as a percentage of nonperforming loans
No company or transaction used as a comparison in the above transaction analyses is identical to JAXB, and no
transaction was consummated on terms identical to the terms of the Agreement. Accordingly, an analysis of these
results is not strictly mathematical. Rather, it involves complex considerations and judgments concerning differences
in financial and operating characteristics of the companies. The resulting values of the Precedent Transactions
Regional Group ranged between \$11.26 and \$13.49 per fully diluted share compared to the proposed merger
consideration of \$16.50 per fully diluted share. The resulting values of the Precedent Transactions Nationwide Group

Return on average equity

per fully diluted share.

(1)

Income Approach Discounted Cash Flow Analysis.

ranged between \$11.33 and \$13.93 per fully diluted share compared to the proposed merger consideration of \$16.50

Taking into account various factors, including JAXB s recent performance, the current banking environment and the local economy in which JAXB operates, Hovde determined, in consultation with and based on information provided by management of JAXB, earnings estimates for JAXB over a forward looking five-year period, and JAXB management developed the forward-looking projections and key assumptions, which formed the basis for the discounted cash flow analyses. To determine present values of JAXB based on these projections, Hovde utilized two discounted cash flow models, each of which capitalized terminal values using a different methodology: (i) Terminal Price/Earnings Multiple (DCF Terminal P/E Multiple); and (ii) Terminal Price/Tangible Book Value Multiple (DCF Terminal P/TBV Multiple).

In the DCF Terminal P/E Multiple analysis, an estimated value per fully diluted share of JAXB common stock was calculated based on the present value of JAXB s after-tax net income based on JAXB management s forward-looking projections. Hovde utilized a terminal value at the end of 2020 by applying a range of price-to-earnings multiples of 16.6x to 20.6x, with a midpoint of 18.6x, which is the median price-to-earnings multiple derived from transactions in the Regional Group. The present value of JAXB s projected dividends, if any, plus the terminal value was then calculated assuming a range of discount rates between 12.0% and 15.0%. This range of discount rates was chosen to reflect different assumptions regarding the required rates of return of holders or prospective buyers of JAXB common stock. The resulting values of the DCF Terminal P/E Multiple ranged between \$9.09 and \$12.78 per fully diluted share, with a midpoint of \$10.83 per fully diluted share.

In the DCF Terminal P/TBV Multiple model, the same earnings estimates and projected net income were used; however, in arriving at the terminal value at the end of 2020, Hovde applied a range of price-to-tangible book value multiples of 1.27x to 1.67x with the midpoint being 1.47x, which is the median price-to-tangible book value multiple derived from transactions in the Regional Group. The present value of projected dividends, if any, plus the terminal value, was then calculated assuming a range of discount rates between 12.0% and 15.0%. The resulting values of the DCF Terminal P/TBV Multiple ranged between \$8.69 and \$12.93 per fully diluted share, with a midpoint of \$10.69 per fully diluted share.

These analyses and their underlying assumptions yielded a range of values for JAXB, which are outlined in the table below:

		Price-to-TangiblePrice-to-LTM			Premium-to-Core		
Implied Value for JAXB Based On:		Book Value		Earnings	Deposits		
•		Multiple		Multiple	Multiple	Multiple	
Total Deal Valu	e	179.7	%	27.4 x	13.1	%	
DCF Analysis	Terminal P/E Multiple						
Midpoint		117.1	%	17.8 x	2.8	%	
DCF Analysis	Terminal P/TBV Multiple						
Midpoint		115.5	%	17.6 x	2.6	%	

Hovde noted that while the discounted cash flow present value analysis is a widely used valuation methodology, it relies on numerous assumptions, including asset and earnings growth rates, projected dividend payouts, terminal values and discount rates. Hovde s analysis does not purport to be indicative of the actual values or expected values of JAXB common stock.

ABCB Comparable Companies Analysis.

Hovde used publicly available information to compare selected financial and trading information for ABCB and a group of 18 publicly-traded financial institutions selected by Hovde which was based on active publicly-traded acquirers in the State of Florida:

BancorpSouth, Inc.	IBERIABANK Corporation
Bank of the Ozarks, Inc.	National Commerce Corp.
BankUnited, Inc.	Renasant Corporation
Capital Bank Financial Corp.	Seacoast Banking Corp.
CenterState Banks, Inc.	ServisFirst Bancshares, Inc.
FCB Financial Holdings	Stonegate Bank
Fidelity Southern Corp.	Trustmark Corporation
Hancock Holding Company	United Community Banks
Home Bancshares, Inc.	Valley National Bancorp

The analysis compared publicly available financial and market trading information for ABCB and the data for the 18 financial institutions identified above as of and for the most recent three-month period which was publicly available. The table below compares the data for ABCB and the median data for the 18 financial institutions identified above, with pricing data as of September 25, 2015.

	Market Cap (\$M)	Price/ Tangible Book Value	Price/ LTM EPS	Price/ 2016E EPS	Dividend Yield	YTD/Price Change	Two Year Total Return
ABCB	\$913.1	240.1 %	24.0 x	12.0 x	0.71 %	10.6 %	52.2 %
Comparable Companies:							
Median	\$1,436.9	171.3 %	18.9 x	14.5 x	1.68 %	12.0 %	34.8 %

ABCB fell within the range of pricing metrics of comparable companies. No company used as a comparison in the above analyses is identical to ABCB. Accordingly, an analysis of these results is not strictly mathematical. Rather, it involves complex considerations and judgments concerning differences in financial and operating characteristics of the companies.

Accretion/Dilution Analysis.

Hovde performed pro forma merger analyses that combined projected income statement and balance sheet information of JAXB and ABCB. Assumptions regarding the accounting treatment, acquisition adjustments and cost savings were used to calculate the financial impact that the merger would have on certain projected financial results of ABCB. In the course of this analysis, Hovde used earnings estimates provided by JAXB s management for JAXB for the years ending December 31, 2016, December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2018 and used the FactSet consensus estimates for earnings estimates for ABCB for the years ending December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2017 and then assumed 12.5% growth for the year ending December 31, 2018. This analysis indicated that the merger is expected to be dilutive by one penny per share to ABCB s consensus estimated earnings per share of \$2.36 in 2016. The analysis also indicated that the merger is expected to be slightly accretive to tangible book value per share for ABCB in 2016 and that ABCB would maintain capital ratios in excess of those required for ABCB to be considered well-capitalized under existing regulations. For all of the above analyses, the actual results achieved by JAXB and ABCB prior to and following the merger will vary from the projected results, and the variations may be material.

Other Factors and Analyses.

Hovde took into consideration various other factors and analyses, including but not limited to: current market environment; merger and acquisition environment; movements in the common stock valuations of selected publicly traded banking companies; and movements in the S&P 500 Index.

Conclusion.

Based upon the foregoing analyses and other investigations and assumptions set forth in its opinion, without giving specific weightings to any one factor or comparison, Hovde determined that the merger consideration to be received in connection with the merger is fair from a financial point of view to JAXB s shareholders. Each shareholder is encouraged to read Hovde s fairness opinion in its entirety. The full text of this fairness opinion is included as Annex D to this proxy statement/prospectus.

ABCB s Reasons for the Merger

In reaching its decision to approve and adopt the merger agreement, ABCB s board of directors consulted with ABCB s management, as well as its financial and legal advisors, and considered a number of factors in favor of the merger, including the following material factors, which are not presented in order of priority:

its knowledge of ABCB s business, operations, financial condition, asset quality, earnings and prospects and of JAXB s business, operations, financial condition, asset quality, earnings and prospects, taking into account the results of ABCB s due diligence review of JAXB;

its belief that ABCB and JAXB share a compatible community banking model;

the fact that JAXB would enable ABCB to expand its existing presence in the attractive Jacksonville, Florida market by the addition of the eight branches operated by JAXB;

the belief that, on a pro forma basis giving effect to the merger, the combined entity would be the largest community bank by deposit market share in the Jacksonville, Florida market;

the fact that JAXB shareholders would own no more than 7.4% of the outstanding shares of ABCB common stock immediately following the completion of the merger (assuming 2,547,901 shares of ABCB common stock are issued in the merger (excluding the exercise or settlement of any JAXB equity awards prior to the effective time of the merger) and based upon 32,196,117 shares of ABCB common stock outstanding as of September 29, 2015); and

the financial and other terms and conditions of the merger agreement, including the fact that the exchange ratio and total number of shares of ABCB common stock to be issued in the merger are essentially fixed.

ABCB s board of directors also considered a number of potentially negative factors in its deliberations concerning the merger agreement, including:

the need to obtain JAXB shareholder approval of the merger and the charter amendment to complete the merger and the risk that those or other conditions would not be satisfied;

the possibility that other merger and acquisition opportunities would be foregone while finalizing the merger with JAXB;

the potential risks associated with integrating JAXB s business, operations and workforce with those of ABCB, including the execution risk of data system conversion and the possible negative effect on customer relationships; the potential risk of diverting management attention and resources from the operation of the business of ABCB and towards completion of the merger and integration of operations; and

the expenses to be incurred in working towards completion of the merger.

The foregoing discussion of the factors considered by ABCB s board of directors is not intended to be exhaustive, but rather includes the material factors considered by ABCB s board of directors. In reaching its decision to approve and adopt the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby, ABCB s board of directors did not quantify or assign any relative weights to the factors considered, and individual directors may have given different weights to different factors. ABCB s board of directors considered all these factors as a whole, including discussions with, and questioning of, ABCB s management and financial and legal advisors, and overall considered the factors to be favorable to, and to support, its determination. ABCB s board of directors considered the foregoing factors as a whole and unanimously concluded that the positive factors outweighed the negative factors, and that the factors as a whole supported a determination to approve and adopt the merger agreement.

Closing and Effective Time

Unless the parties otherwise mutually agree, the closing of the merger will take place on a date to be specified by the parties, which date shall be no later than five (5) business days after satisfaction or waiver of the conditions set forth in the merger agreement. See the section entitled The Merger Agreement Conditions to Completion of the Merger beginning on page 84 of this proxy statement/prospectus for a more complete description of the conditions that must be satisfied prior to closing. The completion of the merger sometimes is referred to in this proxy statement/prospectus as the closing date.

The merger will become effective upon the later of the date and time upon which (i) the articles of merger complying with the FBCA is filed with the Department of State of the State of Florida or (ii) the certificate of merger complying with the requirements of the Georgia Business Corporation Code, which we refer to as the GBCC, is filed with the Secretary of State of the State of Georgia, or such later date and time as may be specified in accordance with the FBCA and GBCC. The time at which the merger becomes effective is sometimes referred to in this proxy statement/prospectus as the effective time.

Regulatory Approvals

Under federal law, the merger must be approved by the Federal Reserve and the bank merger must be approved by the FDIC. In addition, the Georgia Department of Banking and Finance must also approve the merger and the bank merger.

As of the date of this proxy statement/prospectus, all of the required regulatory applications have been filed and all of the required approvals have been received. All statutory waiting periods applicable to such approvals have expired.

Litigation Related to the Merger

The Florida Actions, two putative shareholder class action lawsuits filed against JAXB, the directors of JAXB, and ABCB in the Circuit Court of Duval County, Florida, which were consolidated by the Court, are currently pending: (i) *Paul Parshall v. Jacksonville Bancorp, Inc. et al.*, Case No. 16-2015-CA-006607, filed on October 16, 2015; and (ii) *Patrick Donovan v. Kendall Spencer et al.*, Case No. 16-2015-CA-006738, filed

October 22, 2015. In the Florida Actions, plaintiffs initially alleged that the individual director defendants breached their fiduciary duties to JAXB shareholders in negotiating and approving the merger agreement through an unfair process, that the merger consideration negotiated in the merger agreement does not adequately value JAXB, that JAXB shareholders will not receive fair value for their shares of JAXB common stock in the merger, and that the terms of the merger agreement impose improper deal-protection devices that allegedly preclude competing offers. The complaints in the Florida Actions further alleged that JAXB and ABCB aided and abetted the alleged breaches of fiduciary duty by JAXB s directors. In the Florida Actions, plaintiffs seek preliminary and permanent injunctive relief, including enjoining or rescinding the merger, an award of unspecified damages, attorneys fees, and other relief. In an amended complaint filed on December 15, 2015, plaintiffs in the Florida Actions repeated those same allegations and sought the same relief. The amended complaint also alleged that the recently filed registration statement was misleading, as it omitted to disclose certain information about, *inter alia*, the formation of JAXB s merger committee, other information about the process of the merger, certain management financial projections and valuation information, and other information about the fairness opinion provided by Hovde.

On December 23, 2015, plaintiffs in the Florida Actions filed a motion for expedited discovery and counsel for defendants are discussing the motion and expedited discovery requests. The Court has not ruled on the motion for expedited discovery.

The outcome of the Florida Actions cannot be predicted with certainty. A preliminary injunction could delay or jeopardize the completion of the merger, and an adverse judgment granting permanent injunctive relief could indefinitely enjoin completion of the merger. Additional lawsuits arising out of or relating to the merger agreement or the merger could be filed in the future. JAXB, JAXB s directors and ABCB believe that the claims asserted in the Florida Actions are without merit and intend to vigorously defend against these lawsuits.

Accounting Treatment

ABCB prepares its financial statements in accordance with GAAP. The merger will be accounted for using the acquisition method of accounting. ABCB will be treated as the acquirer for accounting purposes.

NASDAQ Global Select Market Listing

The shares of ABCB common stock to be issued in the merger will be listed for trading on NASDAQ Global Select Market.

Delisting and Deregistration of JAXB Common Stock

If the merger is completed, JAXB voting common stock will be delisted from the NASDAQ Capital Market and deregistered under the Exchange Act.

ABCB s Board of Directors and Management Following the Merger

There will be no change in the composition of ABCB s board of directors or executive officers as a result of the merger. Information about the current ABCB directors and executive officers can be found in the documents listed under the sections entitled Where You Can Fund More Information and Incorporation of Certain ABCB Documents

by Reference beginning on pages i and 180, respectively.

THE MERGER AGREEMENT

This section describes the material terms of the merger agreement. The description in this section and elsewhere in this proxy statement/prospectus is qualified in its entirety by reference to the complete text of the merger agreement, a copy of which is attached as **Annex A** and is incorporated by reference into this proxy statement/prospectus. This summary does not purport to be complete and may not contain all of the information about the merger agreement that is important to you. You are encouraged to read the merger agreement carefully and in its entirety. This section is not intended to provide you with any factual information about JAXB or ABCB. Such information can be found elsewhere in this proxy statement/prospectus and in the public filings JAXB and ABCB make with the SEC, as described in the sections entitled Where You Can Find More Information and Incorporation of Certain ABCB Documents by Reference beginning on pages <u>i</u> and <u>180</u> of this proxy statement/prospectus, respectively.

Explanatory Note Regarding the Merger Agreement

The merger agreement is included to provide you with information regarding its terms. Factual disclosures about JAXB and ABCB contained in this proxy statement/prospectus or in the public reports of JAXB and ABCB filed with the SEC may supplement, update or modify the factual disclosures about JAXB and ABCB contained in the merger agreement. The merger agreement contains representations and warranties by JAXB, on the one hand, and by ABCB, on the other hand, made solely for the benefit of the other. The representations, warranties and covenants made in the merger agreement by JAXB and ABCB were qualified and subject to important limitations agreed to by JAXB and ABCB in connection with negotiating the terms of the merger agreement. In particular, in your review of the representations and warranties contained in the merger agreement and described in this summary, it is important to bear in mind that the representations and warranties were negotiated with the principal purpose of establishing circumstances in which a party to the merger agreement may have the right not to complete the merger if the representations and warranties of the other party prove to be untrue due to a change in circumstance or otherwise, and allocating risk between the parties to the merger agreement, rather than establishing matters as facts. The representations and warranties also may be subject to a contractual standard of materiality different from that generally applicable to shareholders and reports and documents filed with the SEC and were qualified by the matters contained in the confidential disclosure schedules that JAXB and ABCB each delivered in connection with the merger agreement and certain documents filed with the SEC. Moreover, information concerning the subject matter of the representations and warranties, which do not purport to be accurate as of the date of this proxy statement/prospectus, may have changed since the date of the merger agreement.

Effective Time, Effects of the Merger; Organizational Documents of the Surviving Corporation; Directors and Officers

Effects of Merger

The merger agreement provides for the merger of JAXB with and into ABCB, with ABCB surviving the merger as the surviving corporation.

As a result of the merger, there will no longer be any publicly held shares of JAXB common stock. JAXB shareholders who receive the per share stock consideration in the merger will only participate in the surviving corporation s future earnings and potential growth through their ownership of ABCB common stock. JAXB shareholders who receive only cash in the merger will not have the opportunity to participate in the surviving

corporation s future earnings and potential growth. All of the rights incident to direct ownership of JAXB common stock, such as the right to vote on certain corporate decisions, to elect directors and to receive dividends and distributions from JAXB, will be extinguished upon completion of the merger. All of the properties, rights, privileges, powers and franchises of JAXB shall vest in the surviving corporation, and all debts, duties and liabilities of JAXB shall become the debts, liabilities and duties of the surviving corporation.

Under the merger agreement, ABCB may at any time change the method of effecting the combination of ABCB and JAXB (including by providing for the merger of JAXB with a wholly-owned subsidiary of ABCB) if and to the extent requested by ABCB. However, no such change or amendment may: (i) alter or change the amount or kind of the merger consideration; (ii) adversely affect the tax treatment of the merger with respect to shareholders of JAXB; or (iii) adversely affect or materially delay ABCB s ability to obtain any necessary regulatory approvals or to complete the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement.

71

Effects of Merger 137

Effective Time

On the closing date, the surviving corporation will effect the merger by filing articles of merger with the Department of State of the State of Florida and a certificate of merger with the Secretary of State of the State of Georgia.

The merger will become effective upon the later of these filings or such later date and time as may be specified in accordance with the FBCA and the GBCC.

Effects of the Merger on JAXB Common Stock

At the effective time, each share of JAXB common stock issued and outstanding immediately prior to the effective time (other than shares held by ABCB or by any wholly-owned subsidiary of ABCB or JAXB (other than (i) shares held in trust accounts, managed accounts and the like, or otherwise held in a fiduciary or agency capacity, that are beneficially owned by third parties and (ii) shares held, directly or indirectly, by ABCB, JAXB or any wholly owned Subsidiary of ABCB or JAXB in respect of a debt previously contracted), and shares held by shareholders who properly demand appraisal rights under Sections 607.1301 to 607.1333 of the FBCA) will be entitled to receive the per share merger consideration, which is described in the section below entitled The Merger Agreement Per Share Merger Consideration; Election and Proration Procedures beginning on page 73 of this proxy statement/prospectus.

Also at the effective time, each share of JAXB common stock issued and outstanding immediately prior to the effective time that is (i) owned or held in treasury by JAXB or (ii) owned by ABCB or by any wholly-owned subsidiary of ABCB or JAXB (other than (i) shares held in trust accounts, managed accounts and the like, or otherwise held in a fiduciary or agency capacity, that are beneficially owned by third parties and (ii) shares held, directly or indirectly, by ABCB, JAXB or any wholly owned Subsidiary of ABCB or JAXB in respect of a debt previously contracted), which shares we refer to as excluded shares, will automatically be canceled and cease to exist. No consideration will be delivered in exchange for any excluded shares. Shares of JAXB common stock which are held by a JAXB shareholder who did not vote in favor of the merger and who is entitled to demand and properly demands the fair value of such shares in compliance with the provisions of Sections 607.1301 to 607.1333 of the FBCA will not be converted into the right to receive the per share merger consideration. Instead, holders of such shares will be entitled to receive payment of the fair value of such shares held by them in accordance with Sections 607.1301 to 607.1333 of the FBCA. If any dissenting shareholder fails to perfect or effectively withdraws its demand for appraisal or otherwise loses its appraisal rights under the FBCA, shares held by such dissenting shareholder will be treated as though such shares had been converted into and exchangeable for, at the effective time, the right to receive the per share merger consideration (without interest). For more information regarding appraisal rights, see the section entitled Appraisal Rights of JAXB shareholders beginning on page 176 of this proxy statement/prospectus. In addition, a copy of Sections 607.1301 to 607.1333 of the FBCA is attached as **Annex E** to this proxy statement/prospectus.

Each share of JAXB common stock converted into the right to receive the per share merger consideration will cease to exist as of the effective time. No fractional shares of ABCB common stock will be issued in connection with the merger. Instead, ABCB will pay to each holder of JAXB common stock who would otherwise be entitled to a fractional share of ABCB common stock an amount in cash (without interest) rounded to the nearest whole cent, determined by multiplying the average of ABCB common stock closing prices on the NASDAQ over twenty (20) consecutive trading days preceding the later to occur of (i) the effective date of the last required regulatory approval approving or exempting the merger and (ii) the date of the receipt of the required JAXB shareholder approvals, by such fraction of a share of ABCB common stock to which such JAXB shareholder would otherwise be entitled.

Effective Time 138

Organizational Documents of the Surviving Corporation; Directors and Officers

ABCB s articles of incorporation and bylaws that are in effect immediately prior to the effective time will become the articles of incorporation and bylaws of the surviving corporation until amended in accordance with the respective terms thereof and applicable laws. The surviving corporation will continue to exist under the name Ameris Bancorp.

The individuals holding positions as directors and officers of ABCB immediately prior to the effective time will become the directors and officers of the surviving corporation. Information regarding the current executive officers and directors of ABCB is contained in documents filed by ABCB with the SEC and incorporated by reference into this proxy statement/prospectus, including ABCB s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the twelve months ended December 31, 2014 and the portions of the Definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A for ABCB s 2015 Annual Meeting incorporated by reference therein. See the section entitled Incorporation of Certain ABCB Documents by Reference beginning on page 180 of this proxy statement/prospectus.

Per Share Merger Consideration; Election and Proration Procedures; Charter Amendment

Per Share Merger Consideration

Unless adjusted pursuant to the terms of the merger agreement, each share of JAXB common stock issued and outstanding immediately prior to the completion of the merger (other than excluded shares and shares held by shareholders who properly demand appraisal rights under Sections 607.1301 to 607.1333 of the FBCA) will be converted into the right to receive either:

0.5861 shares of ABCB common stock, or \$16.50 in cash, without interest.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, the total merger consideration shall be prorated as necessary to ensure that 25% of the total outstanding shares of JAXB common stock will be exchanged for cash and 75% of the total outstanding shares of JAXB common stock described above will be exchanged for shares of ABCB common stock described above. Cash will be paid in lieu of fractional shares.

The completion of the merger is subject to a price floor which, if reached prior to the completion of the merger, could result in more shares of ABCB common stock being issued or more cash being paid, or the merger being terminated. See the section entitled The Merger Agreement Termination Rights and Merger Consideration Adjustment; Termination Fee beginning on page 85 of this proxy statement/prospectus.

Also, if after the date of the merger agreement and at or prior to the effective time, the outstanding shares of ABCB common stock or JAXB common stock are changed into a different number of shares or type of securities by reason of any reclassification, split-up, stock split, subdivision, combination or exchange of shares, or if any dividend payable in stock or other securities is declared on shares of ABCB common stock or JAXB common stock with a record date during such period, or if any similar event occurs, then the per share stock consideration and the per share cash consideration will be adjusted to provide the holders thereof the same economic effect as contemplated by the merger agreement.

Election of Consideration

Each holder of JAXB common stock has been provided an election statement permitting such holder to elect to receive the per share stock consideration or the per share cash consideration in exchange for each of his, her or its shares of JAXB common stock. Each holder must complete and return the election statement to the exchange agent (as indicated on the election statement) by the date of the special meeting (or such other time and date as ABCB and JAXB may mutually agree). If your properly completed election statement is not received by the exchange agent by such date, ABCB will determine whether you will receive the per share stock consideration or the per share cash consideration in completing the prorations necessary to achieve both the cash election maximum and the stock

election maximum.

Charter Amendment

Pursuant to JAXB s articles of incorporation, as in effect as of the date of the merger agreement, the holders of JAXB nonvoting common stock are entitled to elect to receive nonvoting securities of the resulting institution in connection with any merger, consolidation, reclassification or other transaction in which the shares of JAXB voting common stock are exchanged for, or changed into, other stock or securities, cash and/or any other property. Under the merger agreement, JAXB agreed to take all necessary action to enable JAXB shareholders to vote at the special meeting to amend JAXB s articles of incorporation to delete such

right as it relates to the merger and, subject to the receipt of JAXB shareholder approval, to file with the Department of State of the State of Florida articles of amendment effecting such amendment in compliance with the requirements of the FBCA.

Exchange and Payment Procedures

At or prior to the closing, ABCB will deposit or cause to be deposited with the exchange agent, for the benefit of the holders of shares of JAXB common stock, sufficient cash and ABCB common stock to be exchanged in accordance with the merger agreement, including the merger consideration. As promptly as practicable after the effective time (and no later than five (5) business days thereafter), ABCB will instruct the exchange agent to mail to each record holder, as of the effective time, of shares of JAXB common stock, a letter of transmittal for use in connection with the exchange and instructions for use in surrendering the applicable certificates or book entry shares in exchange for the merger consideration.

Promptly after the effective time, JAXB shareholders who surrender their certificates or book entry shares to the exchange agent, together with a properly completed and duly executed letter of transmittal, and such other documents as may be required pursuant to such instructions, will receive the per share merger consideration applicable to each of their shares of JAXB common stock, plus any cash payable in lieu of any fractional shares of ABCB. No interest will be paid or accrue on any merger consideration.

Distributions with Respect to Unsurrendered Shares

No ABCB dividends or other distributions, declared or made with respect to ABCB common stock with a record date after the effective time, will be paid to the holder of any unsurrendered certificate or book entry share until such holder properly surrenders such shares. Subject to applicable law, after doing so, the holder will be entitled to receive the per share merger consideration, fractional share cash amount to which such holder is entitled and any dividends or other distributions that have been payable or become payable with respect to the holder s whole shares of ABCB common stock. No interest will be payable on the foregoing.

Transfers Following the Effective Time

At the closing date, the stock transfer books of JAXB will be closed and there will be no further registration of transfers of shares of JAXB common stock on the records of JAXB, except for the cancellation of such shares in connection with the merger. From and after the effective time, the holders of certificates or book entry shares that evidenced ownership of shares of JAXB common stock outstanding immediately prior to the effective time will cease to have any rights with respect to such shares, except as otherwise provided for in the merger agreement or by applicable law. Any bona fide certificates or book entry shares presented to the surviving corporation after the effective time will be canceled and exchanged in accordance with the merger agreement.

Termination of Exchange Fund

Any portion of the exchange fund that is not distributed to the holders of JAXB common stock after one hundred eighty (180) days following the effective time will be delivered to ABCB upon demand and from and after such delivery to ABCB, any former holders of JAXB common stock (other than shares as to which the holder has properly demanded appraisal rights under Sections 607.1301 to 607.1333 of the FBCA) who have not properly surrendered their shares may thereafter seek from ABCB the merger consideration payable in respect of such shares of JAXB common stock. Any amounts remaining unclaimed by holders of shares of JAXB common stock immediately prior to

Charter Amendment 142

such time as such amounts would otherwise escheat to or become the property of any governmental authority will, to the extent permitted by applicable law, become the property of ABCB. Neither ABCB nor any of its subsidiaries will be liable to any holder of shares of JAXB common stock for any shares of ABCB common stock (or any related dividends or distributions) or cash from the exchange fund that is delivered to any public official pursuant to any applicable abandoned property, escheat or similar laws.

Lost, Stolen or Destroyed Stock Certificates

If any certificate representing shares of JAXB common stock is lost, stolen or destroyed, upon the making of an affidavit of such fact by the person claiming the certificate to be lost, stolen or destroyed in form and substance acceptable to ABCB, the exchange agent will pay in exchange for the lost, stolen or

destroyed certificate the merger consideration payable in respect of the shares of JAXB common stock represented by that certificate. ABCB may require that such holder post a bond as indemnity against any claims that may be made against ABCB with respect to that certificate.

Withholding Rights

ABCB and the exchange agent will each be entitled to deduct and withhold from the consideration otherwise payable pursuant to the merger agreement to any holder of JAXB common stock such amounts as ABCB or the exchange agent is required to deduct or withhold under applicable tax laws, and any such withheld amounts that are paid to the appropriate taxing authorities will be treated for purposes of the merger agreement as having been paid to the holder of JAXB common stock from whom such amounts were deducted or withheld.

Treatment of JAXB Equity Awards

Under the merger agreement, awards outstanding under JAXB s equity incentive plans as of the effective time will be treated as follows:

Treatment of JAXB Stock Options

Immediately prior to the effective time, each JAXB stock option that is outstanding will fully vest and be cancelled and converted into the right to receive, as soon as reasonably practicable after the effective time, an amount in cash, without interest, equal to the product of: (i) the total number of shares of JAXB voting common stock subject to such JAXB stock option multiplied by (ii) the excess, if any, of the (A) per share equity award consideration over (B) the per share exercise price for the applicable JAXB stock option, less applicable withholding taxes. Any JAXB stock option that has a per share exercise price that is greater than or equal to the per share equity award consideration will be cancelled for no consideration.

Treatment of JAXB Restricted Stock Unit Awards

Immediately prior to the effective time, each outstanding JAXB restricted stock unit award will fully vest and be cancelled and converted into the right to receive, as soon as reasonably practicable after the effective time, an amount in cash, without interest, equal to the product of: (i) the total number of shares of JAXB voting common stock subject to such JAXB restricted stock unit award (prorated, in the case of any JAXB restricted stock unit award subject to performance-based vesting conditions held by an individual whose employment with JAXB or its subsidiaries has terminated prior to the closing of the merger under circumstances not resulting in forfeiture of the applicable award, based on the number of days such employee was employed by JAXB or its subsidiaries during the applicable performance period) multiplied by (ii) the per share equity award consideration, less applicable withholding taxes.

Representations and Warranties

The merger agreement contains representations and warranties made by JAXB and ABCB. These include, among other things, representations relating to:

valid corporate organization and existence; authority to enter into the merger and the binding nature of the merger agreement; no breach of organizational documents, law or other agreements as a result of the merger; third party consents and approvals;

filing of necessary reports with regulatory authorities; capitalization; deposit accounts;

compliance with SEC filing requirements;

conformity with GAAP and SEC requirements of financial statements filed with the SEC and the absence of undisclosed liabilities;

operation in the ordinary course of business and absence of material adverse changes;

absence of actions which would impair the tax treatment of the merger as a tax-free reorganization; involvement in litigation and orders issued by governmental authorities; compliance with applicable laws;

Federal Community Reinvestment Act rating;

material contracts; broker/finder fees;

information supplied for inclusion in this proxy statement/prospectus and the registration statement; and no other representations and warranties other than those contained in the merger agreement.

JAXB makes additional representations and warranties to ABCB in the merger agreement relating to, among other things:

title to assets; real property;
certain tax matters;
loans;
investment portfolio;
interest rate risk management instruments;
intellectual property;
certain environmental matters;
certain employee benefit matters;
labor relations and employment matters;
related party transactions;
insurance;

JAXB information listed in the disclosure schedules to the merger agreement; and receipt of a fairness opinion for the merger.

Some of the representations and warranties contained in the merger agreement are qualified as to materiality or by a material adverse change or material adverse effect standard.

For purposes of the merger agreement, a material adverse change or material adverse effect with respect to ABCB and its subsidiaries, on the one hand, or JAXB and its subsidiaries on the other, means any event, change, occurrence, effect or development that: (i) has a material and adverse effect on the condition (financial or otherwise), results of operations, business, property or assets of JAXB and its subsidiaries, taken as a whole, or ABCB and its subsidiaries, taken as a whole, as the case may be; or (ii) impairs the ability of JAXB, on the one hand, or ABCB, on the other, as the case may be, to perform its material obligations under the merger agreement or otherwise materially impedes or delays the consummation of the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement or the bank merger agreement or, with respect to JAXB or any of its subsidiaries, constitutes a specified regulatory action (defined as the imposition of a regulatory action, order or agreement).

However, in the case of clause (i) above, a material adverse effect or material adverse change will not be deemed to include events, changes, occurrences, effects or developments resulting from or arising out of:

changes after the date of the merger agreement in GAAP or regulatory accounting requirements or principles (so long as JAXB and its subsidiaries, on the one hand, or ABCB and its subsidiaries, on the other, as the case may be, are not disproportionately affected thereby);

changes after the date of the merger agreement in laws, rules or regulations of general applicability to financial institutions (so long as JAXB and its subsidiaries, on the one hand, or ABCB and its subsidiaries, on the other, as the case may be, are not disproportionately affected thereby);

changes after the date of the merger agreement in economic or market conditions affecting financial institutions generally, including changes in prevailing interest rates, credit availability and liquidity, currency exchange rates and price levels or trading volumes in securities markets (so long as JAXB and its subsidiaries, on the one hand, or ABCB and its subsidiaries, on the other, as the case may be, are not disproportionately affected thereby);

the impact of the public disclosure, pendency or performance of the merger agreement, the bank merger agreement or the transactions contemplated thereby; or

with respect to JAXB and its subsidiaries, actions taken or omitted to be taken with the prior written consent of ABCB or required by the merger agreement or the bank merger agreement, or with respect to ABCB and its subsidiaries, actions taken or omitted to be taken with the prior written consent of JAXB or required by the merger agreement or the bank merger agreement;

so long as, in the case of the first, second and third bullets above, JAXB and its subsidiaries, on the one hand, or ABCB and its subsidiaries, on the other, as the case may be, are not disproportionately affected thereby.

Conduct of Businesses of JAXB and ABCB Prior to Completion of the Merger

Under the merger agreement, JAXB has agreed to certain restrictions on its activities and the activities during the period from the date of the merger agreement to the closing date. In general, JAXB is required to, and to cause its subsidiaries to, maintain its existence under applicable law, conduct its business and operations in the ordinary and usual course of business and in a manner consistent with prior practice and in accordance with applicable law and use commercially reasonable efforts to keep available the services of its current officers and employees and preserve the rights, franchises, goodwill and relations of its customers, clients and others with whom business relationships exist.

The following is a summary of the more significant restrictions imposed upon JAXB, subject to the exceptions set forth in the merger agreement. JAXB will not, and will cause its subsidiaries not to, without ABCB s prior written consent (which shall not be unreasonably withheld, delayed or conditioned):

amend its charter documents;

adjust any shares of its equity interests, pay any dividend or other distribution in respect of its equity interests (other than to a wholly-owned subsidiary of JAXB), or acquire any of its securities;

except pursuant to contracts or agreements in force at the date of the merger agreement and disclosed to ABCB, make any material investment either by purchase of stock or securities, contributions to capital, property transfers, or purchase of any property or assets of any other individual, corporation or other entity other than a wholly-owned subsidiary of JAXB;

sell, lease, transfer, mortgage, encumber or otherwise dispose of any of its material properties or assets to any person (except for sales of properties or assets in the ordinary course of business consistent with past practice) or merge or consolidate with any person;

(i) acquire direct or indirect control over any business or person or (ii) make any other investment either by purchase of stock or equity securities, contributions to capital, property transfers or purchase of any property or assets of any other person (except, in either instance, in connection with a foreclosure of collateral or conveyance of such collateral in lieu of foreclosure taken in connection 77

with collection of a loan in the ordinary course of business consistent with past practice and with respect to loans made to third parties who are not affiliates of JAXB);

other than in the ordinary course of business, incur any indebtedness (excluding bank deposits) for borrowed money, assume, guarantee, endorse or otherwise as an accommodation become responsible for the obligations of any other individual, corporation or other entity;

make any change to its accounting methods, principles or practices, except as required by GAAP or applicable law; except as required under any JAXB employee benefit plan, (i) increase the compensation, severance, benefits, change of control payments or any other amounts payable, or pay or award, or commit to pay or award, any bonuses or incentive compensation, to its present or former officers, employees or directors, other than, in each case, nonmaterial increases in compensation or benefits for non-executive employees made in the ordinary course of business consistent with past practice and prorated bonuses with respect to that portion of the then-current fiscal year of JAXB ending at the effective time (the amount of which prorated bonuses would be, on an annualized basis, consistent with past practice), (ii) establish, adopt, enter into, amend or terminate any collective bargaining agreement or JAXB employee benefit plan, other than any amendments in the ordinary course of business consistent with past practice that do not materially increase the cost to JAXB, in the aggregate, of maintaining such JAXB employee benefit plan, (iii) take any action to accelerate any payment or benefit, or the funding of any payment or benefit, payable or to become payable to any such individual, or (iv) hire or terminate the employment of any employee of JAXB or its subsidiaries having total annual compensation in excess of \$100,000, other than termination for cause;

(i) grant any stock appreciation rights, options, restricted stock, restricted stock units, awards based on the value of JAXB s capital stock or other equity-based compensation or grant to any person any right to acquire any shares of its capital stock; (ii) issue or commit to issue any additional shares of capital stock of JAXB, other than the issuance of shares of JAXB voting common stock upon the exercise of any JAXB stock options or the vesting and settlement of any JAXB restricted stock unit awards, in each case, that are outstanding on the date hereof and in accordance with the terms of the applicable award agreement; (iii) issue, sell, lease, transfer, mortgage, encumber or otherwise dispose of any capital stock in any of JAXB s subsidiaries; or (iv) enter into any agreement, understanding or arrangement with respect to the sale or voting of its capital stock;

make or change any tax election, settle or compromise any tax liability, fail to file any tax return when due, enter into any closing agreement, file any amended tax return or surrender any right to claim a reduction in tax liability; fail to use commercially reasonable efforts to maintain existing insurance policies or comparable replacement policies to the extent available for a reasonable cost:

enter into any new line of business or change in any material respect its lending, investment, underwriting, risk and asset liability management, interest rate or fee pricing with respect to depository accounts, hedging and other material banking and operating policies or practices;

file any application to establish, or to relocate or terminate the operations of, any banking office; make, or commit to make, any capital expenditures in excess of \$250,000 in the aggregate; except for transactions in the ordinary course of business, terminate, amend, or waive any material provision of, any JAXB material contract, or make any change in any instrument or agreement governing the terms of any of its securities, or material lease or contract, other than normal renewals of contracts and leases without material adverse changes of terms with respect to JAXB, or enter into any contract that would constitute a JAXB material contract if it were in effect on the date of the merger agreement;

(i) settle any claim, action or proceeding other than claims, actions or proceedings in the ordinary course of business consistent with past practice involving solely money damages not in excess of 78

\$250,000 in the aggregate, or waive, compromise, assign, cancel or release any material rights or claims; or (ii) agree or consent to the issuance of any injunction, decree, order or judgment restricting or otherwise affecting its business or operations;

materially restructure or materially change its investment securities portfolio, through purchases, sales or otherwise, or the manner in which the portfolio is classified or reported;

change in any material respect its credit policies and collateral eligibility requirements and standards; adopt a plan of complete or partial liquidation or dissolution;

take any action or knowingly fail to take any action, which action or failure to act would reasonably be expected to prevent or impede the merger from qualifying as a reorganization within the meaning of Section 368(a) of the Code; take or fail to take any action that could reasonably be expected to cause the representations and warranties made by JAXB in the merger agreement to be inaccurate in any material respect;

take any action that is intended to or would reasonably be likely to result in any of the closing conditions not being satisfied or prevent or materially delay the consummation of the merger or bank merger;

take any action that is intended to or would reasonably be expected to adversely affect or materially delay any necessary regulatory approvals for the merger; or

agree to take, make any commitments to take, or adopt any resolutions of the board or shareholders in support of any of the actions described above.

ABCB has also agreed to certain restrictions on its activities and the activities of its subsidiaries during the period from the date of the merger agreement to the closing date. Subject to the exceptions set forth in the merger agreement,

ABCB will not, and will cause its subsidiaries not to, without JAXB s prior written consent (which shall not be unreasonably withheld, conditioned or delayed):

amend its charter documents in a manner that would materially and adversely affect the economic benefits of the merger to the holders of JAXB common stock;

adopt a plan of complete or partial liquidation or dissolution;

take or fail to take any action that could reasonably be expected to cause the representations and warranties made by ABCB in the merger agreement to be inaccurate in any material respect;

take any action that is intended to or would reasonably be expected to result in any of the closing conditions not being satisfied or prevent or materially delay the consummation of the merger or bank merger;

take any action or knowingly fail to take any action, which action or failure to act would reasonably be expected to prevent or impede the merger from qualifying as a reorganization within the meaning of Section 368(a) of the Code; take any action that is intended to or would reasonably be expected to adversely affect or materially delay any necessary regulatory approvals for the merger or bank merger; or

agree to take, make any commitments to take, or adopt any resolutions of the board or shareholders in support of any of the actions described above.

JAXB and ABCB have each agreed to use its reasonable best efforts to take, or cause to be taken, all actions and to do, or cause to be done, and to assist and cooperate with the other party in doing, all things necessary, proper or advisable to fulfill all closing conditions applicable to such party and its respective subsidiaries pursuant to the merger agreement and bank merger agreement and to complete the merger and bank merger in the most expeditious manner practicable, including:

obtaining all regulatory approvals from governmental authorities, making all necessary, proper or advisable registrations, filings and notices, and taking all steps as may be necessary to obtain an 79

approval, waiver or exemption from any governmental authority, but no party is required to take any action that would reasonably be expected to result in the imposition of a materially burdensome regulatory condition (see the section entitled Proposal 1 The Merger Proposal Regulatory Approvals beginning on <u>page</u> 69 of this proxy statement/prospectus);

obtaining all necessary, proper or advisable consents, qualifications, approvals, waivers or exemptions from nongovernmental persons; and

executing and delivering any additional documents or instruments necessary, proper or advisable to complete the transactions contemplated by, and to fully carry out the purposes of, the merger or the bank merger agreement.

JAXB, on the one side, and ABCB on the other side, have agreed to:

consult with each other before issuing any press release or otherwise making any public statements or filings with respect to the merger or any of the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement or by the bank merger agreement and not to issue any such press release or make any such public statement without the prior written consent of the other party, subject to certain exceptions;

promptly notify the other party or parties in writing (i) if it believes that such party or parties have breached any representation, warranty, covenant or agreement contained in the merger agreement, or (ii) if it believes that any event shall have occurred that might reasonably be expected to result, individually or in the aggregate in a failure of a condition of the other party to complete the merger; and

take any action that is required to cause the merger to qualify, and will not take any actions or cause any actions to be taken which could reasonably be likely to prevent the merger from qualifying, as a reorganization within the meaning of Section 368(a) of the Code.

JAXB has also agreed to:

permit ABCB and its subsidiaries and their respective officers, employees, counsel, accountants and other authorized representatives, access, throughout the period before the closing date, upon reasonable notice and at ABCB s sole expense:

oduring customary business hours and subject to certain restrictions and exceptions, to all books, papers and records relating to the assets, properties, operations, obligations and liabilities of JAXB and its subsidiaries; and during and, as reasonably required, outside of customary business hours, to telecommunications and electronic data oprocessing systems, facilities and personnel of JAXB and its subsidiaries for the purpose of performing conversion activities related to data processing integration;

and to cause its subsidiaries and its and their respective officers and employees to, at the request of ABCB, during the period from the date of the agreement to the closing, use reasonable efforts to cause their respective telecommunications and data processing service providers to cooperate and assist ABCB in connection with preparation for an electronic and systematic conversion of all applicable data regarding JAXB and its subsidiaries to ABCB and its subsidiaries systems of telecommunications and electronic data processing.

Both ABCB and JAXB have agreed to promptly notify each other in writing of any proceeding or potential proceeding against such party that is reasonably likely to result in a material adverse change, question the validity of the merger agreement, the bank merger agreement or the other agreements contemplated thereby or seeks to enjoin or otherwise restrain the merger.

The merger agreement also contains certain covenants relating to employee benefits and other matters pertaining to officers and directors. See the section entitled The Merger Agreement Employee Matters beginning on page 83.

No Solicitation

The merger agreement contains provisions prohibiting JAXB, its subsidiaries and their representatives from seeking or discussing any acquisition proposal prior to the closing date or if earlier, the termination of the merger agreement. JAXB has agreed that it will not, and will cause its subsidiaries and their representatives not to, directly or indirectly: (i) take any action to solicit, initiate, seek, knowingly facilitate or encourage any inquiries or expressions of interest or the making of any proposal or offer that constitutes, or would reasonably be expected to lead to, any acquisition proposal; (ii) participate in any discussions or negotiations regarding any acquisition proposal or furnish, or otherwise afford access to any other person any nonpublic information or data with respect to JAXB relating to an acquisition proposal; (iii) approve, endorse or recommend an acquisition proposal; (iv) enter into any agreement, arrangement, understanding or contract relating to any acquisition proposal; or (v) propose or agree to do any of the foregoing. Upon execution of the merger agreement, JAXB is required to immediately cease any communications with any party with respect to any acquisition proposal, but is not prohibited from informing any person of the restrictions described above.

Notwithstanding the restrictions described above, the merger agreement provides that JAXB may take the actions set forth in clause (ii) above, but only if: (i) JAXB has received an unsolicited, bona fide written acquisition proposal prior to the special meeting; (ii) JAXB s board of directors determines in good faith (after consultation with its outside legal counsel and independent financial advisor) that such acquisition proposal constitutes, or is reasonably likely to result in, a superior proposal as compared with the merger; (iii) JAXB has provided ABCB with at least one business day s prior notice of such determination; (iv) prior to furnishing or affording access to any information of JAXB relating to an acquisition proposal, JAXB receives from such person certain confidentiality agreements; and (v) the failure of JAXB s board to furnish such information or enter into discussions or negotiations would violate its fiduciary duties to JAXB and its shareholders. JAXB is required to promptly provide to ABCB any non-public information regarding JAXB or its subsidiaries provided to any other person that was not previously provided to ABCB. JAXB is also required to promptly notify ABCB in writing if any proposals or offers are received by, any information is requested from, or any negotiations or discussions are sought to be initiated or continued with, JAXB or any of its subsidiaries or any of their representatives in connection with any acquisition proposal.

Under the merger agreement, an acquisition proposal means a tender offer or exchange offer, proposal for a merger, consolidation or other business combination involving JAXB or any of its significant subsidiaries or any proposal or offer or offer to acquire in any manner in a single transaction or series of transactions more than 20% of the voting power in, or more than 20% of the fair market value of the business, assets or deposits of, JAXB or any of its significant subsidiaries, other than the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement or the bank merger agreement.

Shareholders Meeting

JAXB agreed to take all action necessary in accordance with applicable laws and JAXB s current articles of incorporation and bylaws to duly give notice of, convene and hold a meeting of its shareholders for the purpose of obtaining JAXB shareholder approval of the merger agreement and the charter amendment, such meeting to be held as promptly as practicable after the registration statement containing this proxy statement/prospectus is declared effective. JAXB s board of directors is required to use its reasonable best efforts to solicit from JAXB shareholders proxies in favor of approval of the merger agreement and the charter amendment and, except as expressly permitted by the merger agreement, may not make any adverse JAXB recommendation change or approve or recommend, or propose to approve or recommend, any acquisition proposal.

No Solicitation 152

No Change in Recommendation or Entry into Acquisition Agreement

The merger agreement requires JAXB s board of directors to use reasonable best efforts to solicit from JAXB shareholders proxies in favor of approval of the merger agreement and the charter amendment, including by communicating to JAXB shareholders the recommendation of JAXB s board of directors that they approve the merger agreement and the charter amendment, and to take all other action necessary or advisable to secure the vote or consent of JAXB shareholders required by applicable law to obtain such approvals, except to the extent JAXB s board of directors has withdrawn its recommendation in favor of approval of the merger agreement and the charter amendment in accordance with the merger agreement.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Subject to exceptions described below which permit JAXB s board of directors to withdraw its recommendation in certain circumstances, JAXB s board of directors may not:

withdraw, qualify or modify, or propose to withdraw, qualify or modify, in a manner adverse to ABCB or any of ABCB s subsidiaries its recommendation in favor of the merger agreement and the charter amendment, which we refer to any such action as an adverse JAXB recommendation change; or approve or recommend, or propose to approve or recommend, any acquisition proposal, which we refer to as an acquisition agreement.

Fiduciary Exception

However, JAXB s board of directors may at any time prior to the special meeting effect an adverse JAXB recommendation change or terminate the merger agreement to enter into a definitive agreement with respect to a superior proposal, if, and only if: (i) JAXB s board determines in good faith, after consultation with its outside legal counsel and independent financial advisor, that it has received an unsolicited bona fide acquisition proposal that is a superior proposal (and such superior proposal has not been withdrawn); (ii) JAXB s board determines, in good faith, after consultation with such outside legal counsel, that a failure to accept such superior proposal would, or would be reasonably likely to, result in the board breaching its fiduciary duties to JAXB and its shareholders under applicable law; (iii) JAXB s board of directors provides written notice to ABCB of its receipt of a superior proposal and its intent to withdraw its recommendation, such notice to specify in reasonable detail the material terms and conditions of the superior proposal; (iv) after providing such notice, JAXB negotiates in good faith with ABCB (if requested by ABCB) and provides ABCB a reasonable opportunity to adjust the terms and conditions of the merger agreement as would enable JAXB s board of directors to proceed without withdrawing its recommendation, although ABCB is not required to propose any such adjustments; and (v) after such period, JAXB s board of directors again determines in good faith, after consultation with such outside legal counsel and such independent financial advisor, that such acquisition proposal nonetheless continues to constitute a superior proposal and that failure to withdraw its recommendation would, or would be reasonably likely to, violate its fiduciary duties to JAXB and JAXB shareholders under applicable

As used above, superior proposal refers to an unsolicited bona fide written acquisition proposal to acquire at least 50% of the voting power or assets of JAXB made by a third person (or group of persons acting in concert within the meaning of Rule 13d-5 under the Exchange Act) which JAXB s board of directors determines in its good faith judgment, to be more favorable from a financial point of view to JAXB s shareholders than the merger and to be reasonably likely to be completed on a timely basis after: (i) consultation with JAXB s financial advisors and outside counsel; and (ii) taking into account all relevant factors, and all legal, regulatory and other aspects of such proposal and the person or persons making such proposal.

Access to Information

Subject to certain restrictions and exceptions, and upon reasonable prior notice, JAXB will permit ABCB reasonable access during customary business hours to all books, papers and records relating to the assets, properties, operations, obligations and liabilities of JAXB and its subsidiaries in which ABCB may have a reasonable interest. JAXB will also permit ABCB access during and, as reasonably required, outside of customary business hours, to telecommunications and electronic data processing systems, facilities and personnel of JAXB and its subsidiaries for the purpose of performing conversion activities related to data processing integration.

Fiduciary Exception 154

Expenses

Except as otherwise provided in the merger agreement, whether or not the merger is completed, all costs and expenses incurred in connection with the merger, the merger agreement, the bank merger and the transactions contemplated thereby will be paid by the party incurring or required to incur such expenses.

82

Expenses 155

Employee Matters

As of the closing date, ABCB shall, or shall cause its applicable subsidiary to, provide to each employee of JAXB or its subsidiaries who, as decided by ABCB in its sole discretion, continues employment with the surviving corporation or any of its subsidiaries with (i) base hourly wages or salaries, as applicable, and (ii) employee benefit plans, programs, policies, and arrangements (excluding equity plans) that are substantially comparable, in the aggregate, to the JAXB employee benefit plans provided to such employee immediately prior to the closing date (but in no event greater than the base wages, salaries or employee benefits provided to ABCB s similarly situated employees).

Under the merger agreement, ABCB is required to provide each employee of JAXB or its subsidiaries who, as decided by ABCB in its sole discretion, does not continue employment with the surviving corporation or its subsidiaries following the closing date with severance benefits under the existing severance practices of ABCB or its subsidiaries. Any such employee receiving severance benefits under a severance practice of ABCB or its subsidiaries will be credited with his or her years of service with JAXB or its subsidiaries. In addition, any severance benefits provided by ABCB will be conditioned on the execution of a release of claims satisfactory to ABCB.

The merger agreement requires that ABCB use commercially reasonable efforts to waive all preexisting conditions, actively at work requirements, exclusion and waiting periods with respect to participation and coverage requirements under any ABCB employee benefit plan in which continuing employees become eligible to participate on or after the closing date to the extent they were inapplicable to, or were satisfied under, any JAXB employee benefit plan in which the continuing employee participated prior to the closing date. In addition, ABCB is required to ensure that each continuing employee receives full credit (including eligibility to participate, vesting, vacation entitlement and severance benefits, but excluding benefit accrual under any defined benefit pension plan or any such credit that would result in a duplication of benefits) under each ABCB employee benefit plan in which the continuing employee participates solely to the extent such service was credited under the JAXB employee benefit plans. ABCB also is obligated under the merger agreement to credit continuing employees with the amount of vacation time that such employees had accrued under certain vacation policies of JAXB. With respect to any ABCB health plans in which continuing employees participate, ABCB will use commercially reasonable efforts to waive any eligibility waiting period, evidence of insurability, or pre-existing conditions requirements or limitations to the extent such requirements or limitations were inapplicable to, or satisfied under, any corresponding JAXB health plan, and cause each continuing employee to be given credit for the plan year in which the closing occurs under the ABCB health plan for amounts paid with respect to such plan year under the corresponding JAXB health plan prior to the closing date.

The merger agreement also requires that, if requested by ABCB in writing no less than thirty (30) days prior to the closing, JAXB will terminate its 401(k) plan, effective as of immediately prior to closing. In addition, ABCB will permit rollover of 401(k) plan assets by continuing JAXB employees in cash and with respect to loans, in kind, to a 401(k) plan sponsored by ABCB or its subsidiaries.

The merger agreement provides that certain existing employment agreements with JAXB and its subsidiaries will be terminated as of or immediately prior to the effective time of the merger, and each employee party thereto will receive all payments that such employee is entitled to receive under his or her employment agreement, with such payments to be made by JAXB or its subsidiaries at the effective time of the merger for specified amounts.

Indemnification and Insurance

For a period of six (6) years from and after the effective time, ABCB will indemnify and hold harmless each individual who at the effective time is, or any time prior to the effective time was, a director, officer or employee of

Employee Matters 156

JAXB or any of its subsidiaries, whom we refer to as indemnitees, against any claims, liabilities, losses, damages, judgments, fines, penalties, costs and expenses (including legal expenses) in connection with any claim, suit, action, proceeding or investigation, whenever asserted, based on or arising out of the fact that such indemnitee was a director, officer or employee of JAXB or any of its subsidiaries for acts or omissions by indemnitee in such capacity or taken at the request of JAXB or any subsidiary, at or any time prior to the effective time. From and after the effective time, ABCB will also assume all obligations of

JAXB and its subsidiaries to indemnitees in respect of indemnification and exculpation from liabilities for acts or omissions occurring at or prior to the effective time. In addition, ABCB will advance any incurred expenses (including legal expenses) of any indemnitee pursuant to the foregoing provided such indemnitee undertakes to repay such advances if it shall be determined that such indemnitee is not entitled to indemnification. Any indemnitee who wishes to claim indemnification under the merger agreement must promptly notify ABCB in writing upon learning of any claim for which such indemnitee intends to seek indemnification, and ABCB will have the right to assume the defense of such claim.

The merger agreement requires ABCB to maintain for a period of six (6) years after the effective time, JAXB s existing directors and officers liability insurance policy, or policies of at least the same coverage and amounts containing terms and conditions which are no less advantageous to the insured so long as substitution does not result in gaps or lapses in coverage, with respect to claims arising from facts or events occurring prior to the effective time.

However, ABCB is not required to make annual premium payments for such insurance in excess of a specified amount with respect to the annual premium payment on JAXB s policy in effect as of the date of the merger agreement and, in the event the cost of such coverage exceeds that amount, ABCB will purchase as much coverage as possible for such amount. In lieu of the foregoing, ABCB may obtain at or prior to the effective time a prepaid tail policy providing coverage equivalent to that described in the paragraph above for an aggregate price not to exceed a specified amount.

Certain Additional Covenants

The merger agreement also contains additional covenants, including, among others, covenants relating to the filing of this proxy statement/prospectus, covenants relating to regulatory filings and approvals (which are described under the section Proposal 1 The Merger Proposal Regulatory Approvals beginning on page 69, the listing of shares of ABCB common stock to be issued in the merger, coordination with respect to litigation relating to the merger, and public announcements with respect to the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement.

Conditions to Completion of the Merger

Each of ABCB s and JAXB s obligations to complete the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement are subject to fulfillment of certain conditions, including:

no applicable law or order by governmental authority making illegal or preventing or prohibiting the consummation of the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement;

approval of the merger agreement and the charter amendment at the special meeting by JAXB shareholders holding the requisite voting power, including approval by holders of JAXB voting common stock and JAXB nonvoting common stock, each acting as a separate voting group;

the registration statement having been declared effective by the SEC and continuing to be effective, and all necessary approvals under securities laws relating to the issuance of the shares of ABCB common stock pursuant to the merger having been received;

the shares of ABCB common stock to be issued in the merger as part of the merger consideration having been approved for listing on the NASDAQ, subject to official notice of issuance;

the parties standing ready to complete the bank merger immediately after the merger; and articles of amendment effecting the charter amendment having been filed with the Department of State of the State of Florida in compliance with the requirements of the FBCA.

ABCB s obligations to complete the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement are also subject to fulfillment of certain conditions, including:

accuracy of representations and warranties of JAXB in the merger agreement as of the closing date, other than, in most cases, inaccuracies with an aggregate effect that do not have, and are not reasonably likely to have, a material adverse effect or material adverse change with respect to JAXB;

performance and compliance in all material respects by JAXB of all of its covenants and obligations required to be performed or complied with at or prior to the closing date;

all regulatory approvals having been obtained and remaining in full force and effect and not containing or resulting in the imposition of any materially burdensome regulatory condition, and all statutory waiting periods in respect of such regulatory approvals having expired or been terminated;

delivery by JAXB of closing certificates and other documents as required by the merger agreement; the shares of JAXB common stock for which appraisal rights were demanded constituting less than 10% of the issued and outstanding shares of JAXB common stock; and

receipt of an opinion of Rogers & Hardin LLP as to certain tax matters.

JAXB s obligations to complete the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement are also subject to fulfillment of certain conditions, including:

accuracy of representations and warranties of ABCB in the merger agreement as of the closing date, other than, in most cases, inaccuracies with an aggregate effect that do not have, and are not reasonably likely to have, a material adverse effect or material adverse change with respect to ABCB;

performance and compliance in all material respects by ABCB of all of its covenants and obligations required to be performed or complied with at or prior to the closing date;

all regulatory approvals having been obtained and remaining in full force and effect; delivery by ABCB of evidence of the payment of the merger consideration to the exchange agent, and closing certificates and other documents as required by the merger agreement; and

receipt of an opinion of McGuireWoods LLP as to certain tax matters.

Termination Rights and Merger Consideration Adjustment; Termination Fee

Termination Rights; Merger Consideration Adjustment

ABCB and JAXB may mutually agree to terminate the merger agreement and abandon the merger at any time. Subject to conditions and circumstances described in the merger agreement, ABCB, on the one hand, or JAXB, on the other hand, as the case may be, may terminate the merger agreement as follows:

either party may terminate the merger agreement if the merger is not completed by June 30, 2016, provided that the party seeking to terminate the merger agreement is not the cause of any failure to fulfill an obligation under the merger agreement that results in the failure to close by such date;

either party may terminate the merger agreement in the event of a material breach by the other party of its representations and warranties or obligations contained in the merger agreement, which breach cannot be or has not been cured within thirty (30) days after the giving of written notice to the breaching party of such breach, and which breach or breaches would result in a failure to satisfy any applicable closing condition;

either party may terminate the merger agreement if final action has been taken by a regulatory agency whose approval is required for the merger or bank merger, which final action has become final and nonappealable and does not approve the merger or bank merger or a governmental authority enacts a law or judgment which would make the merger or the bank merger illegal;

ABCB may terminate the merger agreement if JAXB s board of directors fails to make recommendations to JAXB shareholders to approve the merger agreement and the charter amendment, or JAXB has materially breached its covenant not to solicit alternative acquisition proposals; or

either party may terminate the merger agreement if the JAXB shareholders fail to approve the merger agreement. Because the per share stock consideration consists of ABCB common stock payable at a fixed exchange ratio for JAXB common stock (assuming no adjustment to the merger consideration as described below) and the market value of the ABCB common stock changes daily, the value of the per share stock consideration will fluctuate. Accordingly, it is not possible to determine the price of ABCB common stock or the value of the per share stock consideration in advance of when the merger becomes effective or when shares of ABCB common stock are delivered to the former shareholders of JAXB entitled to receive the per share stock consideration for their shares of JAXB common stock. As an illustration, assuming the merger had been completed on September 30, 2015, the date the merger agreement was executed, and assuming an exchange ratio of 0.5861, the per share stock consideration would be valued at approximately \$16.85, based on the closing price of one share of ABCB common stock of \$28.75. However, assuming the merger had been completed on February 1, 2016, the most recent date available before these materials were mailed, the per share stock consideration would be valued at approximately \$16.76, based on the closing price of one share of ABCB common stock of \$28.60 on that date. The value of the per share cash consideration is fixed at \$16.50 in cash, without interest.

Under the merger agreement, the merger consideration may be adjusted if:

the average closing sales price of ABCB common stock during the twenty (20) consecutive full trading days (we refer to such twenty (20) consecutive full trading days as the determination period) preceding the later to occur of (we refer to such later date as the determination date) (i) the effective date of the last required regulatory approval approving or exempting the merger and (ii) the date of the receipt of the approval of JAXB s shareholders (which amount we refer to as the average ABCB stock price during the determination period), is less than \$23.89 per share; and (x) the quotient of the average ABCB stock price during the determination period divided by \$28.11 (which was the closing price of ABCB common stock on September 28, 2015), is less than 85% of (y) the quotient of the average daily current market price of the KBW Nasdaq Regional Banking Index (KRX) during the determination period divided by the average daily current market price of the Nasdaq Regional Banking Index (KRX) on September 28, 2015; and

at any time during the four business day period following the determination date, JAXB provides (and does not withdraw) written notice to ABCB of JAXB s intention to terminate the merger agreement based on the two conditions described above being met; and

during the three business day period commencing with ABCB s receipt of such written notice from JAXB, ABCB elects to increase the exchange ratio or (provided that it will not cause the merger to fail to qualify as a tax-free reorganization under Section 368(a) of the Code) to pay, as part of the merger consideration, in addition to the stock merger consideration, cash to each JAXB shareholder that will receive ABCB stock as merger consideration, such that the value of the merger consideration per JAXB share (calculated based on the average ABCB stock price during the determination period and including any such cash payment per JAXB share) to be received by each recipient of ABCB common stock as merger consideration equals the minimum amount that, had the average ABCB stock price during the determination period been equal to such amount, at least one of the first two conditions above would not have been met.

Even if the first two conditions described above are met, JAXB s board of directors may elect not to terminate the merger agreement. Any decision to terminate the merger agreement will be made by JAXB s board of directors in light of all of the circumstances existing at the time. Prior to making any decision to terminate the merger agreement, JAXB s board of directors would consult with its financial and other advisors and would consider all financial and other information it deemed relevant to its decision, including whether

TABLE OF CONTENTS

the then current consideration to be received in the merger would deliver more value to JAXB shareholders than the value that could be expected in the event JAXB were to continue as an independent company (which would occur if JAXB s board of directors were to elect to abandon the merger and ABCB determined not to increase the exchange ratio). In addition, JAXB s board of directors would consider whether, in light of market and other industry conditions at the time of such decision, the merger consideration continued to be fair from a financial point of view to JAXB s shareholders. If JAXB elected not to terminate the merger agreement, which it could do without any action on the part of JAXB shareholders, the exchange ratio of ABCB common stock would remain 0.5861.

If each of the first two conditions set forth above were satisfied and JAXB s board of directors elected to terminate the merger agreement, ABCB would have the option of increasing the consideration payable to JAXB shareholders that will receive the per share stock consideration by increasing the exchange ratio as described above or by paying them cash merger consideration (in addition to, and not in lieu of, issuing shares of ABCB common stock), provided that doing so would not prevent the merger from qualifying as a reorganization within the meaning of Section 368(a) of the Code. ABCB is under no obligation to increase the exchange ratio or to pay any such additional cash merger consideration, and there can be no assurance that ABCB would elect to increase the exchange ratio or pay such additional cash merger consideration to prevent the termination of the merger agreement. Any decision would be made by ABCB in light of the circumstances existing at the time. If ABCB elected to increase the exchange ratio or to pay the additional cash merger consideration as described above, then JAXB could not terminate the merger agreement as a result of the above-described circumstances.

This summary highlights selected information regarding the merger consideration adjustment and termination provisions in the merger agreement. For a more complete description of these terms, you should carefully read the merger agreement included in *Annex A* to this proxy statement/prospectus. In addition, we urge you to obtain current information on the market value of ABCB common stock. See the section entitled Comparative Per Share Market Price and Dividend Information on page 28.

Termination Fee

JAXB will pay ABCB a termination fee equal to 4% of the total merger consideration (approximately \$3.8 million) if the merger agreement is terminated by ABCB because: (i) JAXB s board of directors fails to make its recommendation at the special meeting (as it may be adjourned or postponed) in favor of the approval of the merger agreement and the charter amendment or has made an adverse JAXB recommendation change; or (ii) JAXB has materially breached any of the provisions of the merger agreement with respect to obtaining shareholder approval of the merger or soliciting acquisition proposals.

In addition, JAXB will pay such termination fee to ABCB if (i) an alternative acquisition proposal with respect to JAXB is communicated to or otherwise made known to JAXB s shareholders, senior management or board of directors, or any person or group of persons publicly announces an intention to make an acquisition proposal with respect to JAXB after the date of the merger agreement, (ii) thereafter the merger agreement is terminated by ABCB or JAXB because the merger has not closed by June 30, 2016 (if the JAXB shareholder approval has not been obtained by such time), by ABCB pursuant to an uncured material breach by JAXB of its representations and warranties or obligations contained in the merger agreement (as set forth above) or by ABCB or JAXB if JAXB s shareholders fail to approve the agreement at the special meeting and (iii) prior to the date that is twelve (12) months after the date of such termination JAXB completes an alternative acquisition proposal or enters into an agreement with respect to an agreement providing for an alternative acquisition proposal.

Termination Fee 164

Amendment and Modification

The merger agreement may not be modified, amended, supplemented, canceled or discharged, except by a written instrument executed by all parties to the merger agreement. Any waiver, permit, consent or approval of any kind under the merger agreement must be in writing and shall be effective only to the extent specifically set forth in such writing.

Governing Law; Jurisdiction

The merger agreement is governed by and will be construed in accordance with the laws of the State of Georgia applicable to contracts made and performed entirely within such state, without giving effect to its principles of conflicts of laws, provided that the FBCA will govern to the extent mandatorily applicable to Florida corporations.

Cumulative Remedies; Specific Performance

The parties agree that all rights and remedies under the merger agreement or otherwise afforded by applicable law to any party will be cumulative and not alternative. In addition, the parties acknowledge and agree that the remedy at law for any failure to perform their respective obligations hereunder would be inadequate and that each party will be entitled to specific performance, injunctive relief or other equitable remedies in the event of any such failure.

Voting and Support Agreement

In connection with entering into the merger agreement, ABCB and JAXB entered into a Voting and Support Agreement with certain shareholders of JAXB, including CapGen Capital Group IV, LP and the directors and certain executive officers of JAXB. The following summary of the Voting and Support Agreement is subject to, and qualified in its entirety by reference to, the full text of the Voting and Support Agreement attached as *Annex C* to this proxy statement/prospectus.

Pursuant to the Voting and Support Agreement, each shareholder who is a party thereto, in its capacity as a shareholder of JAXB, agreed to vote all shares of JAXB common stock beneficially owned by such shareholder, but excluding any shares of JAXB common stock held solely by any of such shareholder s family members, as follows:

in favor of the approval of the merger agreement and the charter amendment;

in favor of any proposal to adjourn or postpone the JAXB shareholder meeting, as applicable, to a later date if there are not sufficient votes to approve the merger agreement;

against any action or agreement that would be reasonably likely to impair the ability of ABCB or JAXB to complete the merger or would otherwise be inconsistent with, prevent, impede or delay the consummation of the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement; and

against any proposal that relates to an acquisition proposal, other than the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement.

The Voting and Support Agreement provides that each shareholder party will not, subject to certain exceptions, with respect to any shares of JAXB common stock owned by such shareholder:

sell, transfer, assign, tender in any tender or exchange offer, pledge, encumber, hypothecate or similarly dispose of (by merger, by testamentary disposition, by operation of law or otherwise), either voluntarily or involuntarily any such shares;

enter into any swap or other arrangements that transfer to another, in whole or in part, any of the economic consequences of ownership of any such shares; or

enter into any contract, option or other arrangement or understanding with respect to the sale, transfer, assignment, pledge, lien, hypothecation or other disposition of (by merger, by testamentary disposition, by operation of law or otherwise), or otherwise convey or dispose of, any such shares, or any interest therein, including the right to vote any such shares.

The Voting and Support Agreement applies to each shareholder party in such shareholder s capacity as a shareholder of JAXB and does not apply in any manner to any shareholder s capacity as a director or officer of JAXB or its subsidiaries or in any other capacity. The Voting and Support Agreement will terminate upon the earlier of (i) the effective time of the merger and (ii) the termination of the merger agreement in accordance with its terms.

PROPOSAL 2 AMENDMENT TO JAXB S ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION

JAXB shareholders are being asked to approve an amendment to JAXB s articles of incorporation (the charter amendment) to delete the right, as it relates to the merger, of holders of JAXB nonvoting common stock to receive nonvoting securities of ABCB. JAXB s articles of incorporation provide that in connection with any merger transaction, the holders of shares of nonvoting common stock would receive the same consideration received by holders of JAXB voting common stock in the merger transaction or, in lieu of receiving voting securities as consideration, the holders of the shares of nonvoting common stock have the right to elect to receive nonvoting securities of the resulting institution. JAXB s articles of incorporation also provide that JAXB will take such other actions necessary to ensure that holders of JAXB nonvoting common stock retain securities with substantially the same rights and benefits, including the right to convert nonvoting common stock into voting common stock, as the JAXB nonvoting common stock. The charter amendment eliminates this right of JAXB nonvoting common stock to elect to receive nonvoting securities solely with respect to the merger transaction with ABCB. Accordingly, if the merger transaction with ABCB is not completed, then the holders of JAXB nonvoting common stock will still retain the right to elect to receive nonvoting securities of the resulting institution in any future merger transaction. The complete text of the charter amendment is set forth on *Annex B* to this proxy statement/prospectus.

The charter amendment was approved by JAXB s board of directors on December 1, 2015. The charter amendment will be approved by the JAXB shareholders if: (i) the votes cast for the proposal by the holders of JAXB voting common stock exceed the votes cast against such proposal by the holders of JAXB nonvoting common stock exceed the votes cast against such proposal by the holders of JAXB nonvoting common stock. In addition, approval of the charter amendment is cross-conditioned upon approval of the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby. In other words, if JAXB shareholders do not approve the charter amendment, the proposal to approve the merger agreement and the merger will fail; likewise, if JAXB shareholders do not approve the proposal to approve the merger agreement and the merger, the charter amendment will fail.

If your shares of JAXB common stock are present at the special meeting but are not voted on the proposal, or if you vote to abstain on the proposal, then there will be no effect on the outcome of the vote on the charter amendment.

If the merger agreement and merger, and the charter amendment, are approved by JAXB s shareholders, JAXB intends to file the charter amendment with the Florida Secretary of State such that the charter amendment will become effective immediately prior to the effective time of the merger.

JAXB s board of directors unanimously recommends that you vote **FOR** approval of the charter amendment.

INTERESTS OF JAXB S DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS IN THE MERGER

In considering the recommendation of JAXB s board of directors that you vote to approve the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby, you should be aware that JAXB s directors and executive officers have interests in the merger that are different from, or in addition to, those of JAXB shareholders generally. JAXB s board of directors was aware of and considered those interests, among other matters, in reaching its decision to (i) approve the merger and the other transactions contemplated in the merger agreement, (ii) adopt the merger agreement, and (iii) resolve to recommend the approval of the merger agreement to JAXB shareholders. See the section entitled Proposal 1 The Merger Proposal Background of the Merger beginning on page 54 and the section entitled Proposal 1 The Merger Proposal Recommendation of JAXB s Board of Directors; JAXB s Reasons for the Merger beginning on page 58. JAXB shareholders should take these interests into account in deciding whether to vote FOR the proposal to approve the merger agreement. For purposes of all JAXB agreements and plans described below, the completion of the merger contemplated by the merger agreement will constitute a change of control, change in control or term of similar meaning.

Treatment of JAXB Outstanding Equity Awards

Under the merger agreement, stock option awards held by JAXB s executive officers as of the effective time will be treated as follows:

Treatment of JAXB Stock Options

Immediately prior to the effective time, each JAXB stock option that is outstanding will fully vest and be cancelled and converted into the right to receive, as soon as reasonably practicable after the effective time, an amount in cash, without interest, equal to the product of (i) the total number of shares of JAXB voting common stock subject to such JAXB stock option multiplied by (ii) the excess, if any, of (A) per share equity award consideration over (B) the per share exercise price for the applicable JAXB stock option, less applicable withholding taxes. Any JAXB stock option that has a per share exercise price that is greater than or equal to the per share equity award consideration will be cancelled for no consideration.

Quantification of Payments

The estimated aggregate amount that would be payable to JAXB s executive officers in settlement of their unvested stock option awards if the determination date for the merger was February 1, 2016, the last practicable day before the mailing of this proxy statement/prospectus, is \$211,325. The amounts specified in this paragraph are determined using a price per share of ABCB common stock of \$30.04, the average closing price per share over the twenty (20) consecutive full trading days preceding February 1, 2016. Set forth below are the unvested values of the stock option awards that would be payable to JAXB s executive officers in connection with the merger:

Name	Stock Options
Nume	(\$)
Kendall L. Spencer	46,100
Scott M. Hall	55,575
Joseph W. Amy	72,600

Valerie A. Kendall 37,050

Because the estimated potential payments set forth in the table above are necessarily based on multiple assumptions that may or may not actually occur, the actual amounts, if any, to be received by an executive officer may differ from the amounts set forth above.

JAXB executive officers do not hold any equity-based awards other than stock options, and JAXB non-employee directors do not hold any equity-based awards.

Severance Payments

Pursuant to the terms of the merger agreement, each of the employment agreements between JAXB s executive officers, on the one hand, and JAXB and/or its subsidiaries, on the other, in existence as of the date of the merger agreement will be terminated at or immediately prior to the effective time of the merger. As a

result of the termination of these employment agreements, and calculated in accordance with the terms of such agreements, each of JAXB s executive officers will be entitled to receive change in control severance benefits, as specified below.

Name	JAXB Position	Severance Payment (\$)
Kendall L. Spencer ⁽¹⁾	President and Chief Executive Officer	516,000
Scott M. Hall ⁽¹⁾	Executive Vice President and Bank President	609,000
Joseph W. Amy	Executive Vice President and Chief Credit Officer	652,500
Valerie A. Kendall	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	580,000

(1) Messrs. Spencer and Hall are expected to be employed by ABCB following the merger.

Indemnification; Directors and Officers Insurance

Under the merger agreement, for a period of six (6) years after the effective time, ABCB will indemnify and hold harmless each individual who at the effective time is, or any time prior to the effective time was, a director, officer or employee of JAXB or any of its subsidiaries against any claims, liabilities, losses, damages, judgments, fines, penalties, costs and expenses (including reasonable attorneys fees) in connection with any claim, suit, action, proceeding or investigation arising out of the fact that such person is or was a director or officer of JAXB or any of its subsidiaries for acts or omissions by such person in such capacity or taken at the request of JAXB or any subsidiary, at or any time prior to the effective time. For a period of six (6) years after the effective time, ABCB will also assume all obligations of JAXB and its subsidiaries to such persons as provided in JAXB s articles of incorporation and bylaws in respect of indemnification and exculpation from liabilities for acts or omissions occurring at or prior to the effective time.

Subject to certain limitations, the merger agreement also requires ABCB to maintain for a period of six (6) years after the completion of the merger, JAXB s existing directors and officers liability insurance policy, or policies with a substantially comparable insurer of at least the same coverage and amounts containing terms and conditions which are no less advantageous to the insured, with respect to claims arising from facts or events that occurred at or prior to the completion of the merger; however, in no event will ABCB be required to expend annually in the aggregate an amount in excess of 350% of the annual premium payment on JAXB s current policy. In lieu of the foregoing, ABCB may obtain at or prior to the effective time a six-year prepaid tail policy providing equivalent coverage to that described in the preceding sentence for an aggregate price of no more than the cap described in the preceding sentence. For additional information see the section entitled The Merger Agreement Indemnification and Insurance beginning on page 83 of this proxy statement/prospectus.

Existing Employment Agreements with JAXB Named Executive Officers

The following information relates to employment agreements and arrangements between JAXB and Kendall L. Spencer, President and Chief Executive Officer, Scott, M. Hall, Executive Vice President and Bank President and Valerie A. Kendall, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. Messrs. Spencer and Hall and Ms. Kendall are JAXB s named executive officers. Other than the accelerated vesting of stock options described above and the additional payments as described below, there are no potential payments to a named executive officer upon a change

Severance Payments 171

in control.

Kendall L. Spencer. Under JAXB s employment agreement with Kendall L. Spencer, if Mr. Spencer s employment is terminated as a result of a change in control, Mr. Spencer will be entitled to receive his base salary for a period of two years following termination, and any unvested portion of an option to purchase up to 30,000 shares of JAXB voting common stock granted on December 10, 2013 will become immediately vested; provided, that Mr. Spencer agrees to a termination date up to 12 months following notice of his termination and agrees to provide transition services during such period, if requested by JAXB.

Scott M. Hall. Under JAXB s employment agreement with Scott M. Hall, if Mr. Hall s employment is terminated by him for good cause as a result of a change in control that results in a change in Mr. Hall s position or duties within one year of the change in control, Mr. Hall is entitled to receive his base salary for a

period of 2.9 years following termination and any unvested portion of Mr. Hall s October 2013 grant of options to purchase up to 15,000 shares of JAXB voting common stock will become immediately vested; provided, that Mr. Hall agrees to a termination date up to 12 months following notice of his termination and agrees to provide transition services during such period, if requested by JAXB.

Valerie A. Kendall. Under JAXB s employment agreement with Valerie A. Kendall if Ms. Kendall terminates her employment for good cause after a change in control that results in a change in Ms. Kendall s position or duties within one year following the effective date of the change in control, Ms. Kendall will be entitled to receive her base salary for a period of 2.9 years following termination, and any unvested portion of Ms. Kendall s October 2013 grant of options to purchase up to 10,000 shares of JAXB voting common stock will become immediately vested; provided, that Ms. Kendall agrees to a termination date up to 12 months following notice of her termination and agrees to provide transition services during such period, if requested by JAXB.

Quantification of Potential Payments to JAXB s Named Executive Officers in Connection with the Merger

The information set forth in the table below summarizes certain compensation for each of JAXB s named executive officers that is based on or otherwise relates to the merger. The amounts indicated below are estimates based on multiple assumptions that may or may not actually occur or be accurate on the relevant date, including assumptions described below, and do not reflect certain compensation actions that may occur before the completion of the merger.

Name	Cash (\$) ⁽¹⁾	Equity (\$) ⁽²⁾	Pension/NQDC Benefits (\$) (\$)	esTax Reimbursement (\$)	Other nts (\$)	Total (\$)
Kendall L. Spencer	\$516,000	\$29,300				\$545,300
Scott M. Hall	\$609,000	\$42,975				\$651,975
Valerie A. Kendall	\$580,000	\$28,650				\$608,650

The amounts set forth represent (a) \$516,000 of severance to be paid over a period of two years to Mr. Spencer under his employment agreement if his employment is terminated as a result of a change of control, (b) \$609,000 of severance to be paid to Mr. Hall under his employment agreement over a period of 2.9 years if he terminates employment for good cause as a result of a change in control that results in a change in Mr. Hall s position or duties within one year of the change in control and (c) \$580,000 of severance to be paid to Ms. Kendall under her employment agreement over a period of 2.9 years if she terminates employment for good cause as a result of a

- (1) change in control that results in a change in Ms. Kendall s position or duties within one year of the change in control. Pursuant to the terms of the merger agreement, the employment agreements for JAXB s named executive officers will be terminated at or immediately prior to the effective time of the merger and such named executive officers will be entitled to receive the severance amounts at the effective time of the merger. Each employment agreement provides that JAXB shall not be obligated to pay any amount that would be subject to tax pursuant to Section 280G of the Code. The amounts set forth in the table above do not reflect any potential reduction that may be necessary to avoid a tax under Section 280G.
- (2) The amounts set forth represent single trigger acceleration of outstanding JAXB stock options described above for which vesting will be accelerated as a result of the merger. The stock option values are \$29,300, \$42,975 and \$28,650, respectively, for Mr. Spencer, Mr. Hall and Ms. Kendall, and the stock options will be cashed out in connection with the closing of the merger. As described above, the options will be cashed out for a payment equal to the difference between the exercise price per share and the per-share equity award consideration. Because the

value of the per-share equity award consideration depends on the future closing prices of ABCB stock and is currently uncertain, the amounts shown in the Equity column of the table above have been calculated in accordance with SEC rules based on the average closing price per share of JAXB shares on the first five business days following the public announcement of the merger on October 1, 2015, or \$15.93. Assuming the determination date for the merger was February 1, 2016, the last practicable before the mailing of this document, the per share equity award consideration would be valued at approximately \$17.61, resulting in stock option values of \$46,100, \$55,575, and \$37,050, respectively, for Mr. Spencer, Mr. Hall and Ms. Kendall.

PROPOSAL 3 ADVISORY (NON-BINDING) VOTE ON MERGER-RELATED COMPENSATION

Holders of JAXB voting common stock are being asked to approve, on a non-binding advisory basis, the compensation that JAXB named executive officers may receive under existing agreements or arrangements with JAXB in connection with the merger.

Section 951 of the Dodd-Frank Act and Rule 14a-21(c) under the Exchange Act require that JAXB seek a non-binding advisory vote from its shareholders to approve certain compensation that its named executive officers will or may receive from JAXB in connection with the merger.

JAXB is presenting this proposal, which gives JAXB shareholders the opportunity to express their views on the merger-related compensation by voting for or against the following resolution:

RESOLVED, that the compensation that will become payable to JAXB s named executive officers in connection with the completion of the merger, as disclosed in the section captioned Interests of JAXB s Directors and Executive Officers in the Merger and the related tables and narrative, is hereby approved.

JAXB s board of directors unanimously recommends that shareholders vote in favor of the merger-related compensation arrangements described in this document by voting **FOR** the above proposal.

The approval of the merger-related compensation arrangements, on a non-binding advisory basis, requires that the votes cast for the proposal by the holders of shares of JAXB voting common stock exceed the votes cast against the proposal by holders of JAXB voting common stock. If your shares of JAXB voting common stock are present at the special meeting but are not voted on the proposal, or if you vote to abstain on the proposal, then there will be no effect on the outcome of the vote on the merger-related compensation proposal. If you fail to submit a proxy and fail to attend the special meeting or if your shares of JAXB voting common stock are held through a bank, brokerage firm or other nominee and you do not instruct your bank, brokerage firm or other nominee to vote your shares of JAXB voting common stock, your shares of JAXB voting common stock will not be voted, but this will not have an effect on the outcome of the vote on the merger-related compensation proposal.

Approval of this proposal is not a condition to completion of the merger, and the vote with respect to this proposal is advisory only and will not be binding on JAXB or ABCB. Therefore, if the merger proposal and the charter amendment proposal are approved by the JAXB shareholders and the merger is completed, the merger-related compensation will still be payable to the JAXB named executive officers regardless of the outcome of this advisory vote.

PROPOSAL 4 ADJOURNMENT PROPOSAL

JAXB shareholders are being asked to grant authority to proxy holders to vote in favor of one or more adjournments of the special meeting, if necessary or appropriate, to solicit additional proxies if there are insufficient votes at the time of the special meeting to approve the merger proposal or the charter amendment. If this proposal is approved, the special meeting could be successively adjourned to any date. In accordance with JAXB s bylaws, a vote on adjournment of the special meeting, if necessary or appropriate, to solicit additional proxies if there are insufficient votes at the time of the special meeting to approve the merger agreement and merger or the charter amendment may be taken in the absence of a quorum. JAXB does not intend to call a vote on adjournment of the special meeting to solicit additional proxies if the merger proposal and the charter amendment are approved at the special meeting.

The approval of the proposal to adjourn of the special meeting, if necessary or appropriate, to solicit additional proxies if there are insufficient votes at the time of the special meeting to approve the merger proposal or the charter amendment requires that the votes cast for the proposal by the holders of shares of JAXB voting common stock and the holders of shares of JAXB nonvoting common stock, voting together as a single group, exceed the votes cast against the proposal by holders of JAXB voting common stock and holders of JAXB nonvoting common stock.

If your shares of JAXB common stock are present at the special meeting but are not voted on the proposal, or if you vote to abstain on the proposal, then there will be no effect on the outcome of the vote on the adjournment proposal. If you fail to submit a proxy and fail to attend the special meeting or if your shares of JAXB common stock are held through a bank, brokerage firm or other nominee and you do not instruct your bank, brokerage firm or other nominee to vote your shares of JAXB common stock, your shares of JAXB common stock will not be voted, but this will not have an effect on the outcome of the vote on the adjournment proposal.

JAXB s board of directors unanimously recommends that you vote **FOR** approval of the adjournment proposal.

BUSINESS OF JAXB

Unless the context requires otherwise, references in this section of this proxy statement/prospectus to the Company, we, us, or our refer to Jacksonville Bancorp, Inc., its wholly owned subsidiary, The Jacksonville Bank, and the Bank s wholly owned subsidiary, Fountain Financial, Inc., on a consolidated basis. References to Bancorp denote Jacksonville Bancorp, Inc., and The Jacksonville Bank is referred to as the Bank.

General

Bancorp was incorporated under the laws of the State of Florida on October 24, 1997 for the purpose of organizing the Bank. Bancorp is a one-bank holding company owning 100% of the outstanding shares of the Bank. Bancorp is only business is the ownership and operation of the Bank, which opened for business on May 28, 1999. The Bank is a Florida state-chartered commercial bank, and its deposits are insured by the FDIC. On November 16, 2010, Bancorp acquired Atlantic BancGroup, Inc. (ABI) by merger, and on the same date, ABI is wholly owned subsidiary, Oceanside Bank, merged with and into the Bank. The Bank provides a variety of community banking services to businesses and individuals through its eight full-service branches in Jacksonville and Jacksonville Beach, Duval County, Florida, as well as online banking through its virtual branch.

We offer a variety of competitive commercial and retail banking services. In order to compete with the financial institutions in the market, we use our independent status to the fullest extent. This includes an emphasis on specialized services for small business owners with a particular focus on professional services, wholesalers, distributors, and other service industries. Additionally, we rely on the professional and personal relationships of our officers, directors and employees. Our product lines include personal and business online banking, and sweep accounts that may be invested in Goldman Sachs mutual funds, in addition to our traditional banking products. Furthermore, through the Bank s subsidiary, Fountain Financial, Inc., and our marketing agreement with New England Financial (an affiliate of MetLife), we are able to better meet the investment and insurance needs of our customers.

Our marketing programs focus on the advantages of local management, personal service and customer relationships. Particular emphasis is placed on building personal face-to-face relationships. Our management and business development teams have extensive experience with individuals and companies within our targeted market segments in the Jacksonville and surrounding geographic areas. We are also focused on small business, professionals and commercial real estate.

Business Strategy

Our primary business segment is community banking and consists of attracting deposits from the general public and using such deposits and other sources of funds to originate commercial business loans, commercial real estate loans, residential mortgage loans and a variety of consumer loans. We also invest in mortgage-backed securities and securities backed by the United States government, and agencies thereof, as well as other securities. Our profitability depends primarily on our net interest income, which is the difference between the income we receive from our loan and investment securities portfolios and costs incurred on our deposits, Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) advances, Federal Reserve borrowings and other sources of funding. Net interest income is also affected by the relative amounts of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. Net interest income is generated as the relative amounts of interest-earning assets grow in relation to the relative amounts of interest-bearing liabilities. In addition, the levels of noninterest income earned and noninterest expenses incurred affect profitability. Included in noninterest income are service charges earned on deposit accounts and increases in the cash surrender value of Bank Owned Life Insurance

BUSINESS OF JAXB 177

(BOLI). Included in noninterest expense are costs incurred for salaries and employee benefits, occupancy and equipment expenses, data processing expenses, marketing and advertising expenses, federal deposit insurance premiums, legal and professional fees, loan related expenses, and OREO expenses.

Our operations are influenced by local economic conditions and by policies of financial institution regulatory authorities. Fluctuations in interest rates due to factors such as competing financial institutions as well as fiscal policy and the Federal Reserve s decisions on monetary policies, including interest rate targets, impact interest-earning assets and our cost of funds and, thus, our net interest margin. In addition, the local

95

Business Strategy 178

economy and real estate market of Northeast Florida, and the demand for our products and loans, impact our margin. The local economy and viability of local businesses can also impact the ability of our customers to make payments on loans, thus impacting our loan portfolio. The Company evaluates these factors when valuing its allowance for loan losses. The Company also believes its underwriting procedures are relatively conservative and, as a result, the Company is not being any more affected than the overall market in the current economic downturn.

Our goal is to sustain profitable, controlled growth by focusing on increasing our loan and deposit market share in the Northeast Florida market by developing new financial products, services and delivery channels; closely managing yields on earning assets and rates on interest-bearing liabilities; focusing on noninterest income opportunities; controlling the growth of noninterest expenses; and maintaining strong asset quality.

As of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, the Bank was well-capitalized with total risk based capital of 15.52% and 14.74% and Tier 1 leverage capital of 11.71% and 10.31%, respectively. During the nine (9) months ended September 30, 2015 and looking forward, the Company intends to maintain the quality of its loan portfolio through the continued reduction of problem assets in a prudent and reasonable manner and to continue to improve the overall credit process including, but not limited to, loan origination disciplines, strict underwriting criteria, and succinct funding and onboarding processes. In addition, the Company will carry on with the repositioning of its loan and deposit portfolio mix to better align with our targeted market segment of professional services, wholesalers, distributors, and other service industries. Such improvements have impacted our financial condition and results of operations as well as the recent improvements in the Company s overall asset quality.

Market Area and Competition

Our primary market area is all of Duval County (primarily the Southside, Westside, Arlington, Mandarin and Downtown areas of Jacksonville and Jacksonville Beach), Florida, in addition to surrounding communities within the St. Johns, Clay and Nassau counties. Jacksonville is the largest city by area in the United States covering 747 square miles and is a leading financial and insurance center. Jacksonville is the corporate headquarters to a number of regional and national companies, and Duval County has a strong commercial and industrial base, which has been steadily expanding in recent years.

Financial institutions primarily compete with one another for deposits. In turn, a bank s deposit base directly affects its loan activities and general growth. Primary competitive factors include interest rates on deposits and loans, service charges on deposit accounts, the availability of unique financial services products, a high level of personal service, and personal relationships between our officers and customers. We compete with financial institutions that have greater resources and that may be able to offer more services, unique services, or possibly better terms to their customers. However, we believe that our long-standing reputation as a reliable and trustworthy banking services provider, as well as management s extensive knowledge of, and relationships in, the local community, allows us to respond quickly and effectively to the individual needs of our customers.

We are in competition with existing area financial institutions, including commercial banks and savings institutions, insurance companies, consumer finance companies, brokerage houses, mortgage banking companies, credit unions, and other business entities which target traditional banking markets, through offices, mail, the Internet, mobile devices and otherwise. We anticipate that significant competition will continue from existing financial services providers as well as new entrants to the market.

Operating Segment

The Company s financial condition and operating results principally reflect those of the Bank. Revenues are primarily derived from interest received in connection with loans and other interest earning assets, such as investments.

Non-interest revenues are generated from service charges and other fee-based income. Major expenses include interest paid on deposits and borrowings, followed by administrative and general operating expenses. For comparative information related to the Company s financial condition and results of operations, refer to the Audited and Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements and the accompanying notes identified in the section entitled Index to JAXB s Consolidated Financial Statements beginning on page F-1 of this proxy statement/prospectus.

96

Operating Segment 180

While the Company s chief decision makers monitor the revenue streams of various products and services, operations are managed and financial performance is evaluated on a Company-wide basis. Accordingly, all financial service operations are considered by management to be aggregated into one reportable operating segment. Our primary business segment is community banking and consists of attracting deposits from the general public and using such deposits and other sources of funds to originate commercial business loans, commercial real estate loans, residential mortgage loans, and a variety of consumer loans. In addition, the Company is working to reposition its loan and deposit portfolio mix to better align with our targeted market segment. Substantially all of our revenues are attributed to the United States.

Funding Sources

Deposits

We offer a wide range of deposit accounts, including commercial and retail checking, money market, individual retirement and statement savings accounts, and certificates of deposit with fixed rates and a range of maturity options. Our sources of deposits are primarily residents, businesses, and employees of businesses within our market areas, obtained through personal solicitation by our officers and directors, direct mail solicitation, and advertisements published in the local media. We also have the ability to obtain deposits from the national and brokered CD markets (as long as we are well capitalized for regulatory purposes). We pay competitive interest rates on interest-bearing deposits. In addition, our service charge schedule is competitive with other area financial institutions, covering such matters as maintenance and per item processing fees on deposit accounts and special handling charges. We are also part of the NYCE, Cirrus, and Plus ATM networks and a member of VISA.

Borrowings

Additional sources of funds are available to the Bank by borrowing from the FHLB and the Federal Reserve Bank.

Our lending capacity with these institutions provides credit availability based on qualifying collateral from the investment and loan portfolios. See *Note 5 Borrowed Funds* in the accompanying notes to the Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements and *Note 8 Deposits* and *Note 10 Short-term Borrowings and Federal Home Loan Bank Advances* in the accompanying notes to the Audited Consolidated Financial Statements in the section entitled Index to JAXB s Consolidated Financial Statements beginning on page F-1 of this proxy statement/prospectus.

Lending Activities

Bancorp s board of directors and the Bank s board of directors have adopted certain policies and procedures to guide individual loan officers in carrying out lending functions, and their designated committees provide the following oversight:

ensure compliance with loan policy, procedures and guidelines as well as appropriate regulatory requirements; approve secured loans and unsecured loans above an aggregate amount of \$2.5 million to any entity and/or related interests;

monitor overall loan quality through review of information relative to all new loans; approve lending authority for individual officers under dual signatures; monitor our loan review systems; oversee strategies for workout of problem loan relationships; review the adequacy of the loan loss reserve; and

Funding Sources 181

approve any additional advances to any borrower whose loan or line of credit has been adversely classified substandard.

Bancorp s board of directors and the Bank s board of directors realize that occasionally loans need to be made which fall outside the typical policy guidelines. Consequently, the Chief Executive Officer, President and Chief Credit Officer have the authority to make certain policy exceptions on secured and unsecured loans

97

Lending Activities 182

within their loan authority limitations. Policy exceptions on secured and unsecured loans greater than \$2.5 million must be approved by the Bank s Directors Loan Committee, and the full board of directors reviews reports of all loans and policy exceptions at its regular meetings. Additionally, the Bank has an independent company that also evaluates the quality of loans and determines if loans are originated in accordance with the guidelines established by Bancorp s board of directors and the Bank s board of directors.

We recognize that credit losses will be experienced and the risk of loss will vary with, among other things, the type of loan being made, the creditworthiness of the borrower over the term of the loan and, in the case of a collateralized loan, the quality of the collateral, as well as general economic conditions. We intend to maintain an adequate allowance for loan losses based on, among other things, industry standards, management s experience, historical loan loss experience, evaluation of economic conditions, and regular reviews of delinquencies and loan portfolio quality. We follow a conservative lending policy, but one which permits prudent risks to assist businesses and consumers primarily in our principal market areas. Interest rates vary depending on our cost of funds, the loan maturity, the degree of risk and other loan terms. As contractually required, some interest rates are adjustable with fluctuations in market rates.

The Bank s lending activities are subject to a variety of legal lending limits which are calculated as a percentage of capital and limited by loan type (secured vs. non-secured). While differing limits apply in certain circumstances, in general, the Bank s lending limit to any one borrower is 15% of Bank Tier 1 capital for unsecured loans and 25% of Bank Tier 1 capital for secured loans.

Loan Portfolio Composition

The Company has divided the loan portfolio into three portfolio segments, each with different risk characteristics and methodologies for assessing risk. The three portfolio segments identified by the Company include commercial loans, real estate mortgage loans, and consumer and other loans.

The following table presents the composition of the Bank s core loan portfolio as of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014 and 2013.

	September		December	-	December 31, 2013		
(Dollars in thousands)	Loans	% of Total Loans	Loans	% of Total Loans	Loans	% of Total Loans	
Commercial loans	\$64,658	16.2 %	\$57,876	15.4 %	\$43,855	11.8 %	
Real estate mortgage loans:							
Residential	74,979	18.7	71,002	18.9	71,192	19.2	
Commercial	229,165	57.3	222,468	59.3	223,182	60.2	
Construction and land	29,799	7.5	22,319	6.0	30,355	8.2	
Consumer and other loans	1,513	0.3	1,489	0.4	2,041	0.6	
Total	\$400,114	100.0 %	\$375,154	100.0 %	\$370,625	100.0 %	

The percentage increase in total gross loans outstanding for the first nine (9) months of 2015 compared to December 31, 2014 was 6.7% and for each of the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, as compared to the prior year, was 1.2% and 6.9%, respectively. Our nonperforming loans as a percentage of gross loans decreased during the first nine (9) months of 2015 from 2.5% as of December 31, 2014 to 1.8% at September 30, 2015, and also decreased during the year ended December 31, 2014, from 4.6% as of December 31, 2013 to 2.5% as of December 31, 2014. The continued

reduction in nonperforming loans during 2015 and 2014 reflects the Company s ongoing business strategy to accelerate the disposition of substandard assets on an individual customer basis. The Bank continues to be aggressive with its strategy to dispose of nonperforming assets in a prudent and reasonable manner.

A more detailed description of the three portfolio segments identified by the Company and presented in the table above is provided in the following paragraphs.

Commercial Loans

Commercial loans are primarily underwritten on the basis of the borrowers ability to service such debt from operating cash flows. The cash flows of borrowers, however, may not be as expected and the collateral securing these loans may fluctuate in value. As a general practice, loans are secured by a security interest in any available real estate, equipment, or other chattel, although loans may also be made on an unsecured basis. Collateralized working capital loans typically are secured with short-term assets whereas long-term loans are primarily secured with long-term assets. Credit risk is mitigated by the diversity and number of borrowers as well as loan type within the commercial portfolio.

Real Estate Mortgage Loans

Real estate mortgage loans are typically segmented into three classes: commercial real estate, residential real estate and construction and land development. Commercial real estate loans are secured by the subject property and are underwritten based upon standards set forth in the underwriting guidelines authorized by the Bank s board of directors. Such standards include, among other factors, loan-to-value limits, debt service coverage and general creditworthiness of the obligors. Residential real estate loans are underwritten in accordance with policies set forth and approved by the Bank s board of directors, including repayment capacity and source, value of the underlying property, credit history, stability and purchaser guidelines. Construction loans to borrowers are to finance the construction of owner occupied and lease properties. These loans are categorized as construction loans during the construction period, later converting to commercial or residential real estate loans after the construction is complete and amortization of the loan begins. Real estate development and construction loans are approved based on an analysis of the borrower and guarantor, the viability of the project and on an acceptable percentage of the appraised value of the property securing the loan. Real estate development and construction loan funds are disbursed periodically based on the percentage of construction completed. The Bank carefully monitors these loans with on-site inspections and requires the receipt of invoices and lien waivers prior to advancing funds. Development and construction loans are typically secured by the properties under development or construction, and personal guarantees are typically obtained. Further, to assure that reliance is not placed solely on the value of the underlying property, the Bank considers the market conditions and feasibility of proposed projects, the financial condition and reputation of the borrower and guarantors, the amount of the borrower s equity in the project, independent appraisals, cost estimates and pre-construction sale information. The Bank also makes loans on occasion for the purchase of land for future development by the borrower. Land loans are extended for the future development of either commercial or residential use by the borrower. The Bank carefully analyzes the intended use of the property and the viability thereof.

Repayment of real estate loans is primarily dependent upon the personal income or business income generated by the secured property of the borrowers, which can be impacted by the economic conditions in their market area. Risk is mitigated by the fact that the properties securing the Company s real estate loan portfolio are diverse in type and spread over a large number of borrowers.

Consumer and Other Loans

Consumer and other loans are extended for various purposes, including purchases of automobiles, recreational vehicles, and boats. The Company also offers home improvement loans, lines of credit, personal loans, and deposit account collateralized loans. Repayment of these loans is primarily dependent on the personal income of the borrowers, which can be impacted by economic conditions in their market areas, such as unemployment levels. Loans to consumers are extended after a credit evaluation, including the creditworthiness of the borrower(s), the purpose of the credit, and the secondary source of repayment. Consumer loans are made at fixed and variable interest rates and may be made on terms of up to ten (10) years. Risk is mitigated by the fact that the loans are of smaller individual

amounts and spread over a large number of borrowers.

Investments

The primary objective of the Company s investment portfolio is to develop a mixture of investments with maturities and compositions so as to earn an acceptable rate of return while meeting liquidity requirements. We invest primarily in obligations guaranteed by the U.S. government and government-sponsored agencies.

99

Investments 186

We also enter into federal funds transactions through our principal correspondent banks. Investments with maturities in excess of one year are generally readily salable on the open market.

Employees

As of September 30, 2015, the Bank had 67 full-time and seven (7) part-time employees. Except for certain officers of the Bank who also serve as officers of Bancorp, Bancorp does not have any employees. Management believes Company relations with its employees have been good and the Company s long-term success is, and is expected to remain, highly dependent on key personnel including, but not limited to, our senior management team.

Data Processing

We currently have an agreement with FIS, formerly known as Metavante Corporation, to provide our core processing and to support certain customer products and delivery systems. Management believes that FIS will continue to be able to provide state-of-the-art data processing and customer service-related processing at a competitive price to support our future growth.

Regulation and Supervision

We operate in a highly regulated environment, where statutes, regulations, and administrative policies govern our business activities. We are supervised by, examined by, and submit reports to, a number of regulatory agencies, including the Federal Reserve, in the case of Bancorp, and the FDIC and the Florida Office of Financial Regulation (OFR), in the case of the Bank. Supervision, regulation and examination of Bancorp, the Bank, and our respective subsidiaries by the bank regulatory agencies are intended primarily for the protection of bank depositors rather than holders of our capital stock. Any change in applicable law or regulation may have a material effect on our business. The following discussion includes all supervisory and regulatory information material to the Company as of September 30, 2015; however, it is not intended to be an exhaustive description of the statutes or regulations applicable to the Company or its subsidiaries.

Fiscal and Monetary Policy

Banking is a business that depends on interest rate differentials. In general, the difference between the interest paid by a bank on its deposits and its other borrowings, and the interest received by a bank on its loans and securities holdings, constitutes the major portion of a bank s earnings. Thus, the earnings and growth of Bancorp and the Bank are subject to the influence of economic conditions generally, both domestic and foreign, and also to the monetary and fiscal policies of the United States and its agencies, particularly the Federal Reserve. The Federal Reserve regulates the supply of money through various means, including open market dealings in United States government securities, the discount rate at which banks may borrow from the Federal Reserve, and the reserve requirements applicable to deposits.

The financial crisis of 2008, including the downturn of global economic, financial and money markets, increased volatility in the soundness of financial institutions, and other recent events have led to numerous new laws and regulations that apply to the banking industry. For example, the Federal Reserve has taken a number of actions to keep interest rates low and provide liquidity to the markets. In addition to changing the discount rate and the terms of the discount window, the Federal Reserve has reduced the targeted federal funds rate. The Federal Reserve has also engaged in several rounds of quantitative easing by buying bonds in the market, and Operation Twist, where the

Employees 187

Federal Reserve bought longer term bonds while selling shorter term holdings in an effort to reduce long-term interest rates.

Proposals to change the laws and regulations governing the banking industry are frequently introduced in Congress, in the state legislatures and by the various bank regulatory agencies. Despite recent indicators of stabilization in the local markets, there can be no assurance that efforts to tighten the supervision of financial institutions will not continue, or become more or less stringent, in future periods. Accordingly, the scope of regulation and permissible activities of Bancorp and the Bank are subject to change by future federal and state legislation or regulation.

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010

On July 21, 2010, President Obama signed into law the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 (the Dodd-Frank Act). The Dodd-Frank Act has had and will continue to have a broad impact on the financial services industry, including significant regulatory and compliance changes like, among other things, (i) enhanced resolution authority of troubled and failing banks and their holding companies; (ii) increased capital and liquidity requirements; (iii) increased regulatory examination fees; (iv) changes to assessments to be paid to the FDIC for federal deposit insurance; and (v) numerous other provisions designed to improve supervision and oversight of, and strengthening safety and soundness for, the financial services sector. Additionally, the Dodd-Frank Act established a new framework for systemic risk oversight within the financial system distributed among new and existing federal regulatory agencies, including the Financial Stability Oversight Council, the Federal Reserve, the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, and the FDIC. A summary of certain provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act is set forth below:

Increased Capital Standards and Enhanced Supervision. The federal banking agencies are required to establish minimum leverage and risk-based capital requirements for banks and bank holding companies. These new standards will be no lower than current regulatory capital and leverage standards applicable to insured depository institutions and may, in fact, be higher when established by the agencies. The Dodd-Frank Act also increases regulatory oversight, supervision and examination of banks, bank holding companies and their respective subsidiaries by the appropriate regulatory agencies. In addition, the federal bank regulators have approved new capital and liquidity standards to implement those adopted by the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (Basel), as part of its Basel III rules.

The Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (Bureau). The Dodd-Frank Act established the Bureau as a separate agency within the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve. The Bureau is tasked with establishing and implementing rules and regulations under certain federal consumer protection laws with respect to the conduct of providers of certain consumer financial products and services. The Bureau has rulemaking authority over many of the statutes governing products and services offered to bank consumers. In addition, the Dodd-Frank Act permits states to adopt consumer protection laws and regulations that are more stringent than those regulations promulgated by the Bureau and state attorneys general are permitted to enforce consumer protection rules adopted by the Bureau against state-chartered institutions. However, banks under \$10.0 billion in assets, including the Bank, are not subject to examination by the Bureau but are indirectly influenced through the processes that occur at community banks. Deposit Insurance. The Dodd-Frank Act makes permanent the \$250 thousand deposit insurance limit for insured deposits. Amendments to the Federal Deposit Insurance Act also revise the assessment base against which an insured depository institution s deposit insurance premiums paid to the Deposit Insurance Fund (DIF) will be calculated. Under the amendments, the assessment base is no longer the institution s deposit base, but rather its average consolidated total assets less its average tangible equity during the assessment period. Additionally, the Dodd-Frank Act makes changes to the minimum designated reserve ratio of the DIF, increasing the minimum from 1.15% to 1.35% of the estimated amount of total insured deposits and eliminating the requirement that the FDIC pay dividends to depository institutions when the reserve ratio exceeds certain thresholds. In December 2010, the FDIC increased the reserve ratio to 2.0%.

Transactions with Affiliates. The Dodd-Frank Act enhances the requirements for certain transactions with affiliates under Section 23A and 23B of the Federal Reserve Act, including an expansion of the definition of covered transactions and an increase in the amount of time for which collateral requirements regarding covered transactions must be maintained. Prior to the Dodd-Frank Act, the Company had existing policies with developed guidelines for transactions with affiliates, including lending limitations, restrictions on investments in affiliate securities and the purchase of assets from affiliates. These policies were not materially impacted by the enhanced provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act.

Enhanced Lending Limits. The Dodd-Frank Act strengthens the existing limits on a depository institution s credit exposure to one borrower. Current banking law limits a depository institution s ability to extend credit to one person (or group of related persons) in an amount exceeding certain thresholds. The Dodd-Frank Act expands the scope of these restrictions to include credit exposure arising from derivative transactions, repurchase agreements, and securities lending and borrowing transactions. The enhanced lending limits established by the Dodd-Frank Act restrict the Company s ability to extend credit to sizable borrowers that exceed the Company s current lending limit. This limitation restricts the Company s revenue and potential profitability from significant loans to any one borrower, as well as creates a competitive advantage for larger institutions with higher lending limits. We seek to accommodate such borrowers by selling a portion of loans in excess of our lending limits to other banks; however, these activities may be restricted by general market conditions and the increase in bank failures in recent years.

Compensation Practices. The Dodd-Frank Act provides that the securities and other federal regulators must establish

standards prohibiting as an unsafe and unsound practice any compensation plan of a bank holding company or other covered financial institution that provides an insider or other employee with excessive compensation or could lead to a material financial loss to such firm. In June 2010, prior to the Dodd-Frank Act, the bank regulatory agencies promulgated the Interagency Guidance on Sound Incentive Compensation Policies, which requires that financial institutions establish metrics for measuring the impact of activities to achieve incentive compensation with the related risk to the financial institution of such behavior. As of September 30, 2015, the Dodd-Frank Act and the recent guidance on compensation, after consideration by the Bank, has not resulted in changes to the Company s current compensation policies; however, final implementation of the legislation and associated guidance may impact these policies in future periods.

Holding Company Capital Levels. The Dodd-Frank Act requires bank regulators to establish minimum capital levels for holding companies, limited generally to the same capital instruments permissible to insured depository institutions. Trust preferred securities (or TRUPs) issued prior to May 19, 2010 by bank holding companies with less than \$15.0 billion in assets as of December 31, 2009, including Bancorp, are exempt from these capital deductions entirely. Our senior management team, with additional oversight provided by the Bank s board of directors, has designed and implemented various action plans in order to comply with the provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act. These action plans include modifications to general business practices, lending policies and underwriting procedures. These changes have not yet had a material impact on the Company s financial condition or results of operations; however, we expect that

many of the requirements called for in the Dodd-Frank Act will continue to be implemented over the course of several more years. Although some regulations have been adopted under the Dodd-Frank Act, many remain to be finalized. The nature, timing and effect of some requirements are presently unclear. The changes resulting from the Dodd-Frank Act and regulatory actions, as well as the Basel III capital and liquidity proposals, may increase our costs and reduce our revenue and profitability, require changes to certain of our business practices, impose upon us more stringent capital, liquidity and leverage ratio requirements, or otherwise adversely affect our business. These changes may also require us to invest significant management attention and resources to evaluate and make necessary changes in order to comply with new statutory and regulatory requirements.

Basel III Regulatory Framework

On July 2, 2013, the Federal Reserve approved the final rules to implement the Basel III rules in the U.S. The final rules implemented changes to the regulatory capital framework including, but not limited to, (i) a revised definition of regulatory capital, (ii) a new common equity Tier 1 minimum capital requirement, (iii) a higher minimum Tier 1 capital requirement, (iv) limitations on capital distributions and certain discretionary bonus payments based on various capital requirements, (v) amended methodologies for determining risk-weighted assets, and (vi) new disclosure requirements for top-tier banking organizations with \$50.0 billion or more in total assets. Further, the final rules incorporated these new requirements into the prompt corrective action framework. Various provisions have been included in the final rules to provide relief

to banking organizations under \$50.0 billion in assets, such as community banks like ours. Such provisions include the opportunity for a one-time opt-out from the requirement to include fluctuations in available-for-sale securities as part of regulatory capital and grandfather treatment of trust preferred securities as an element of Tier 1 capital for banking organizations under \$15.0 billion. The Company has taken the one-time opt-out option to exclude fluctuations in available-for-sale securities and qualifies for grandfathering treatment of its trust preferred securities. These new rules took effect January 1, 2014 with a mandatory compliance deadline of January 1, 2015 for banking organizations with total assets less than \$250.0 billion.

Concentrations of Real Estate Loans

During 2006, the federal bank regulatory agencies released guidance on Concentrations in Commercial Real Estate Lending (the Guidance). The Guidance defines commercial real estate (CRE) loans as exposures secured by raw land, land development and construction (including 1 4 family residential construction), multi-family property, and non-farm non-residential property where the primary or a significant source of repayment is derived from rental income associated with the property (*i.e.*, loans for which 50% or more of the source of repayment comes from third party, non-affiliated, rental income) or the proceeds of the sale, refinancing, or permanent financing of the property. Loans to Real Estate Investment Trusts (REIT) and unsecured loans to developers that closely correlate to the inherent risks in CRE markets would also be considered CRE loans under the Guidance. Loans on owner occupied CRE are generally excluded.

The Guidance requires that appropriate processes be in place to identify, monitor and control risks associated with real estate lending concentrations. This could include enhanced strategic planning, CRE underwriting policies, risk management, internal controls, portfolio stress testing and risk exposure limits, as well as appropriately designed compensation and incentive programs. Higher allowances for loan losses and capital levels may also be required. The Guidance is triggered when CRE loan concentrations exceed either:

Total reported loans for construction, land development, and other land of 100% or more of a bank s total risk-based capital; or

Total reported loans secured by non-owner occupied multi-family, non-farm, and non-residential properties, as well as construction, land development and other land loans of 300% or more of a bank s total risk-based capital.

The Guidance also applies when a bank has a sharp increase in CRE loans or has significant concentrations of CRE secured by a particular property type.

The Guidance applies to our CRE lending activities due to the concentration in construction and land development loans. As of September 30, 2015, we had approximately \$29.5 million in commercial construction and residential land development loans and \$0.3 million in residential construction loans to individuals. Commercial construction, residential land development loans and residential construction loans to individuals represented approximately 47.0% of the Bank s total risk-based capital as of the same date. Total loans for non-owner occupied multi-family, non-farm, and non-residential properties, as well as construction, land development and other land loans, was \$146.2 million as of September 30, 2015. The ratio of these loans as a percentage of total risk-based capital was 230.8% as of September 30, 2015, compared to 252.1% as of December 31, 2014. Our September 30, 2015 ratio of total loans secured by non-owner occupied multi-family, non-farm, and non-residential properties, as well as construction, land development and other land loans, met this requirement.

We have always had significant exposure to loans secured by commercial real estate due to the nature of our markets and the loan needs of both retail and commercial customers. We believe our long-term experience in CRE lending, underwriting guidelines, internal controls, and other policies currently in place, as well as our loan and credit monitoring and administration procedures, are appropriate to manage our concentrations as required under the

Guidance. The federal bank regulators are looking more closely at the risks of various assets and asset categories and risk management, and the need for additional rules regarding liquidity as well as capital rules that better reflect risk.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Anti-Money Laundering Regulation

The International Money Laundering Abatement and Anti-Terrorism Funding Act of 2001 specifies know your customer requirements that obligate financial institutions to take actions to verify the identity of the account holders in connection with opening an account at any U.S. financial institution. Banking regulators will consider compliance with this Act s money laundering provisions in acting upon acquisition and merger proposals, and sanctions for violations of this Act can be imposed in an amount equal to twice the sum involved in the violating transaction, up to \$1.0 million.

Under the Uniting and Strengthening America by Providing Appropriate Tools Required to Intercept and Obstruct Terrorism Act of 2001 (USA PATRIOT Act), financial institutions are subject to prohibitions against specified financial transactions and account relationships as well as enhanced due diligence and know your customer standards in their dealings with foreign financial institutions and foreign customers.

The USA PATRIOT Act requires financial institutions to establish anti-money laundering programs. The USA PATRIOT Act sets forth minimum standards for these programs, including:

the development of internal policies, procedures and controls;
the designation of a compliance officer;
an ongoing employee training program; and
an independent audit function to test the programs.

Consumer Laws and Regulations

There are a number of laws and regulations that regulate banks consumer loan and deposit transactions. Among these are the Community Reinvestment Act, the Truth in Lending Act, the Truth in Savings Act, the Expedited Funds Availability Act, the Equal Credit Opportunity Act, the Fair Housing Act, the Fair Credit Reporting Act, the Electronic Funds Transfer Act and the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act. There are numerous disclosure and other compliance requirements associated with these rules and regulations, and the Company must comply with the applicable provisions as part of its ongoing customer relations.

Federal Reserve

We are regulated by the Federal Reserve under the Federal Bank Holding Company Act (BHC Act), which requires every bank holding company to obtain the prior approval of the Federal Reserve before acquiring more than 5% of the voting shares of any bank or all, or substantially all, of the assets of a bank, and before merging or consolidating with another bank holding company. Federal Reserve policy, which has been added also to the Federal Deposit Insurance Act by the Dodd-Frank Act, provides that a bank holding company must serve as a source of financial strength to its subsidiary bank(s). In adhering to the Federal Reserve policy, Bancorp may be required to provide financial support for the Bank at a time when, absent such policy, Bancorp may not otherwise deem it advisable to provide such assistance.

The Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act authorizes bank holding companies that qualify as financial holding companies to engage in securities, insurance and other activities that are financial in nature or incidental to a financial activity. The activities of bank holding companies that are not financial holding companies continue to be limited to activities authorized under the BHC Act, such as activities that the Federal Reserve previously has determined to be closely related to banking and permissible for bank holding companies. Bancorp has been determined to be non-complex and, therefore, does not qualify as a financial holding company.

With respect to expansion, we may establish branch offices anywhere within the State of Florida with regulatory approval. The Dodd-Frank Act allows commercial banks and thrifts to branch interstate anywhere in the United States with regulatory approval. We are also subject to the Florida banking and usury laws limiting the amount of interest that can be charged when making loans or other extensions of credit. In addition, the Bank, as a subsidiary of Bancorp, is subject to restrictions under federal law in dealing with Bancorp and other affiliates. These restrictions apply to extensions of credit to an affiliate, investments in the securities of an affiliate, and the purchase of assets from an affiliate.

Prior to October 2009, dividends received from the Bank were Bancorp's principal source of funds to pay its expenses and interest on, and principal of, Bancorp's debt. Banking regulations and enforcement actions require the maintenance of certain capital levels and restrict the payment of dividends by the Bank to Bancorp or by Bancorp to shareholders. Commercial banks generally may only pay dividends without prior regulatory approval out of the total of current net profits plus retained net profits of the preceding two (2) years, and banks and bank holding companies are generally expected to pay dividends from current earnings. Banks may not pay a dividend if the dividend would result in the bank being undercapitalized for prompt corrective action purposes, or would violate any minimum capital requirement specified by law or the Bank's regulators. The Bank has not paid dividends since October 2009 and cannot currently pay dividends. Bancorp cannot currently pay dividends on its capital stock under applicable Federal Reserve policies.

Bancorp has relied upon revolving loan agreements with its directors as well as capital raise activities to pay its expenses during such time. The future ability of the Bank to pay dividends to Bancorp will also depend in part on the FDIC capital requirements in effect at such time and our ability to comply with such requirements.

Under Federal Reserve policy, the board of directors of a bank holding company must consider different factors to ensure that its dividend level is prudent relative to maintaining a strong financial position, and is not based on overly optimistic earnings scenarios, such as potential events that could affect its ability to pay dividends, while still maintaining a strong financial position. As a general matter, the Federal Reserve has indicated that the board of directors of a bank holding company should consult with the Federal Reserve and eliminate, defer or significantly reduce the bank holding company s dividends if:

its net income available to shareholders for the past four quarters, net of dividends previously paid during that period, is not sufficient to fully fund the dividends;

its prospective rate of earnings retention is not consistent with its capital needs and overall current and prospective financial condition; or

it will not meet, or is in danger of not meeting, its minimum regulatory capital adequacy ratios.

Loans and extensions of credit, as well as derivatives and other transactions by all banks, are subject to legal lending limitations. Under state law, a state bank may generally grant unsecured loans and extensions of credit in an amount up to 15% of its unimpaired capital and surplus to any person. In addition, a state bank may grant additional loans and extensions of credit to the same person of up to 10% of its unimpaired capital and surplus, provided that the transactions are fully secured. This 10% limitation is separate from, and in addition to, the 15% limitation for unsecured loans. Loans and extensions of credit may exceed these general lending limits only if they qualify under one of several exceptions. Section 611 of the Dodd-Frank Act prohibits state banks from engaging in derivative transactions unless a bank—s chartering state has adopted a law including credit exposure on derivatives in calculating loans to one borrower limits. As of September 30, 2015, the Bank did not have any derivative transactions, although Bancorp had one interest rate swap not subject to this limitation on the Bank.

We are subject to regulatory capital requirements imposed by the Federal Reserve and the FDIC. Both the Federal Reserve and the FDIC have established risk-based capital guidelines for bank holding companies and banks which make regulatory capital requirements more sensitive to differences in risk profiles of various banking organizations.

The capital adequacy guidelines issued by the Federal Reserve are applied to bank holding companies on a consolidated basis with the banks owned by the holding company. The FDIC s risk-based capital guidelines apply directly to banks regardless of whether they are a subsidiary of a bank holding company. Both agencies requirements (which are substantially similar) provide that banking organizations must have minimum capital equivalent to 8% of risk-weighted assets to be considered adequately capitalized. The risk weights assigned to assets are based primarily on the perceived levels of risk to capital. For example, securities with an unconditional guarantee by the United States government are assigned the lowest risk weighting and a risk weight of 50% is assigned to loans secured by owner occupied one-to-four family residential properties. The aggregate amount of assets assigned to each risk category is multiplied by the risk weight assigned to that category to determine the weighted values, which are added together to

determine total risk-weighted assets.

The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991 (FDICIA) created and defined five capital categories (well capitalized, adequately capitalized, undercapitalized, significantly undercapitalized, and critically undercapitalized), which are used to determine the nature of any corrective action the appropriate regulator may take in the event an institution reaches a given level of undercapitalization. For example, an institution which becomes undercapitalized must submit a capital restoration plan to the appropriate regulator outlining the steps it will take to become adequately capitalized. Upon approving the plan, the regulator will monitor the institution s compliance. Before a capital restoration plan will be approved, an entity controlling a bank (i.e., the holding company) must guarantee compliance with the plan until the institution has been adequately capitalized for four consecutive calendar quarters. The liability of the holding company is limited to the lesser of 5% of the institution s total assets at the time it became undercapitalized or the amount necessary to bring the institution into compliance with all capital standards. Furthermore, in the event of the bankruptcy of the parent holding company, such guarantee would take priority over the parent s general unsecured creditors, Also, undercapitalized institutions will be restricted from paying management fees, dividends and other capital distributions, will be subject to certain asset growth restrictions, and will be required to obtain prior approval from the appropriate regulator to open new branches or expand into new lines of business. As an institution drops to lower capital levels, the extent of action to be taken by the appropriate regulator increases, restricting the types of transactions in which the institution may engage and ultimately providing for the appointment of a receiver for certain institutions deemed to be critically undercapitalized.

The FDICIA also requires each federal banking agency to prescribe, and the Federal Reserve and the FDIC have adopted, for all insured depository institutions and their holding companies, safety and soundness standards relating to such items as: internal controls, information and audit systems, asset quality, loan documentation, classified assets, credit underwriting, interest-rate risk exposure, asset growth, earnings, compensation, fees and benefits, valuation of publicly traded shares, and such other operational and managerial standards as the agency deems appropriate. Finally, each federal banking agency was required to prescribe standards for employment contracts and other compensation arrangements with executive officers, employees, directors, and principal shareholders of insured depository institutions that would prohibit compensation and benefits and other arrangements that are excessive or that could lead to a material financial loss. If an insured depository institution or its holding company fails to meet any of the standards described above, it will be required to submit to the appropriate federal banking agency a plan specifying the steps that will be taken to cure the deficiency. If an institution fails to submit an acceptable plan or fails to implement a plan, the appropriate federal banking agency will require the institution or holding company to correct the deficiency and, until corrected, may impose further restrictions on the institution or holding company, including any of the restrictions applicable under the prompt corrective action provisions of the FDICIA. Both the capital standards and the safety and soundness standards that the FDICIA implemented were designed to bolster and protect the DIF.

In response to the directives issued under the FDICIA, the regulators have adopted regulations that, among other things, prescribe the capital thresholds for each of five established capital categories. The following table reflects these capital thresholds:

	Total Risk-Based Capital Ratio	Tier 1 Risk-Based Capital Ratio	Common Equity Tier 1 Ratio	Tier 1 Leverage Ratio
Well capitalized ⁽¹⁾	10%	8%	6.5%	5%
Adequately capitalized ⁽¹⁾	8%	6%	4.5%	4%
Undercapitalized ⁽²⁾	Less than 8%	Less than 6%	Less than 4.5%	Less than 4%
Significantly undercapitalized	Less than 6%	Less than 4%	Less than 3%	Less than 3%
Critically undercapitalized				Less than 2%

(1) An institution must meet all four minimums.

An institution falls into this category if it is below the adequately capitalized level for any of the four capital measures.

Under these capital categories, the Bank was classified as well capitalized as of September 30, 2015. As of September 30, 2015, the Bank s total risk-based capital and Tier 1 risk-based capital ratios were 15.52%

and 14.24%, respectively. The Bank s Common Equity Tier 1 ratio and Tier 1 leverage ratio was 14.24% and 11.71%, respectively, as of the same date. In addition to maintaining all capital levels at or above well-capitalized levels, the Bank is committed to maintaining a Tier 1 leverage ratio above 8% and total risk-based capital above 12% at all times. If the capital ratios of the Bank were to fall below the levels required under regulatory standards, it is the Bank s policy to increase capital in an amount sufficient to meet regulatory requirements within thirty (30) days.

Under federal law and regulations and subject to certain exceptions, the addition or replacement of any director, or the employment, dismissal, or reassignment of a senior executive officer at any time that the Bank is not in compliance with applicable minimum capital requirements, or otherwise in a troubled condition, or when the FDIC has determined that such prior notice is appropriate, is subject to prior notice to, and potential disapproval by, the FDIC.

Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation

The FDIC is an independent federal agency established originally to insure the deposits, up to prescribed statutory limits, of federally insured banks and to preserve the safety and soundness of the banking industry. The FDIC has adopted a risk-based assessment system for insured depository institutions that takes into account the risks attributable to different categories and concentrations of assets and liabilities.

The Bank s deposit accounts are insured by the FDIC to the maximum extent permitted by law. The Bank pays deposit insurance premiums to the FDIC based on a risk-based assessment system established by the FDIC for all insured institutions. Institutions considered well capitalized and financially sound pay the lowest premiums, while those institutions that are less than adequately capitalized and of substantial supervisory concern pay the highest premiums. Total base assessment rates currently range from 0.03% of deposits for an institution in the highest sub-category of the high category to 0.45% of deposits for an institution in the lowest category.

In February 2006, the Federal Deposit Insurance Reform Act of 2005 and the Federal Deposit Insurance Reform Conforming Amendments Act of 2005 (collectively, the Reform Act) were signed into law. The Reform Act revised the laws concerning federal deposit insurance by making the following changes: (i) merged the Bank Insurance Fund and the Savings Association Insurance Fund into a new fund, the DIF, effective March 31, 2006; (ii) increased the deposit insurance coverage for certain retirement accounts to \$250 thousand effective April 1, 2006; (iii) effective in 2010, deposit insurance coverage on individual accounts may be indexed for inflation; (iv) the FDIC was provided more discretion in managing deposit insurance assessments; and (v) eligible institutions received a one-time initial assessment credit. The Dodd-Frank Act permanently increased the limits on the federal deposit insurance to \$250 thousand.

The Reform Act authorized the FDIC to revise the risk-based assessment system. Accordingly, insurance premiums are based on a number of factors, including the risk of loss that insured institutions pose to the DIF. The Reform Act replaced the minimum reserve ratio of 1.25% with a range of between 1.15% and 1.50% for the DIF, depending on projected losses, economic changes and assessment rates at the end of each calendar year. In addition, the FDIC is no longer prohibited from charging banks in the lowest risk category when the reserve ratio premium is greater than 1.25%. As previously discussed, the Dodd-Frank Act made several revisions to the FDIC s fund management authority.

In November 2006, the FDIC adopted changes to its risk-based assessment system. Under the new system, the FDIC evaluates an institution s risk based on supervisory ratings for all insured institutions, financial ratios for most institutions and long-term debt issuer ratings for certain large institutions.

On September 29, 2009, the FDIC adopted an Amended Restoration Plan to allow the DIF to return to a reserve ratio of 1.15% within eight (8) years. The FDIC amended its prior ruling on deposit assessments to require insured institutions to prepay their estimated quarterly risk-based assessments for the fourth quarter 2009, and for all of 2010, 2011 and 2012. This prepaid assessment was collected in December 2009, along with each institution s regular quarterly risk-based deposit insurance assessment for the third quarter 2009. The FDIC also increased annual assessment rates uniformly by three basis points effective January 1, 2011. Additional special assessments may be imposed by the FDIC for future periods.

Following the expiration of the temporary unlimited FDIC insurance coverage for noninterest-bearing and IOLTA deposit accounts and beginning January 1, 2013, noninterest-bearing transaction accounts are no longer insured separately from depositors other accounts at the same depository institution and the aggregate balance is insured up to the standard FDIC insurance limit of \$250 thousand. Funds deposited in IOLTAs are no longer insured under the Dodd-Frank federal deposit insurance provision; however, these accounts may qualify for certain pass-through coverage applicable to fiduciary accounts as provided for by existing FDIC regulations. If an IOLTA does not qualify for pass-through coverage as a fiduciary account, then such accounts may be insured up to \$250 thousand on a per-client basis. To the extent that state law requires, additional collateral must be pledged to secure uninsured deposits held by government/public depositors in excess of standard FDIC insurance limitations.

Enforcement Policies and Actions

The Federal Reserve, the FDIC and the OFR monitor compliance with laws and regulations. Violations of laws and regulations, or other unsafe and unsound practices, may result in these agencies imposing fines or penalties, cease and desist orders, or taking other enforcement actions. Under certain circumstances, these agencies may enforce these remedies directly against officers, directors, employees and others participating in the affairs of a bank or bank holding company.

Properties

The following table presents the location and other important information pertaining to the Company s corporate offices and branch offices as of September 30, 2015:

Location Type	Address	Year Location Establishe	Approximate Square	te Own/Lease
Headquarters/Branch Office ⁽¹⁾	100 North Laura Street Jacksonville, FL 32202	2004	20,547	Lease
Branch Office	10325 San Jose Boulevard Jacksonville, Florida 32257	1998	3,222	Own
Held for sale (former Branch Office)	12740-200 Atlantic Boulevard Jacksonville, FL 32225	2000	2,588	Own
Branch Office ⁽²⁾	4343 Roosevelt Boulevard Jacksonville, FL 32210	2005	3,127	Lease
Branch Office ⁽³⁾	7880 Gate Parkway Jacksonville, Florida 32256	2006	9,372	Lease
Branch Office	1315 South 3 rd Street Jacksonville Beach, Florida 32250	2010	4,987	Own
Branch Office	560 Atlantic Boulevard Neptune Beach, Florida 32266	2010	2,203	Own
Branch Office ⁽⁴⁾	14288 Beach Boulevard Jacksonville, FL 32250	2011	3,883	Lease
Branch Office ⁽⁵⁾	1790 Kernan Boulevard Jacksonville, FL 32246	2010	3,120	Own Building/ Lease Land

Properties 203

The Bank amended its ten-year lease for our headquarters location effective April 25, 2011 to extend the end of the lease term from September 14, 2012 to September 30, 2016. The lease specifies monthly rent of \$20.00 per square foot which is subject to annual increases of \$0.50 per square foot on October 1st of each year through October 1, 2015. The Bank has five renewal options, each to extend the term of the lease for five (5) years, the first option term commencing on October 1, 2016, and the last option term ending on September 30, 2041. Effective July 3, 2012, the Bank amended this lease to expand the existing office space by approximately 5,732 square feet. The amended lease terms specify monthly rent of \$15.00 per square foot annually for the additional office space, with all other terms remaining the same.

108

Properties 204

The Bank took occupancy of this branch on November 1, 2005 and opened for business on February 6, 2006. The Bank has a ten-year lease that expires November 1, 2015 for this branch, which specifies rent of \$90,000 per

- (2) annum and is subject to annual increases of 3% on November 1 of each year through November 1, 2015. The Bank has four renewal options, each to extend the term of the lease for five (5) years, the first option term commenced on November 1, 2015, and the last option term ends on November 1, 2035.
 - The Bank took occupancy of this branch on January 15, 2006 and opened for business on June 9, 2006. The Bank has a ten-year lease that expires January 13, 2016 for this branch, which specifies rent of \$210,870 per annum and is subject to annual increases on the anniversary date to the extent of any percentage change that occurs in the
- (3) is subject to annual increases on the anniversary date to the extent of any percentage change that occurs in the consumer price index for all urban consumers. The Bank has two renewal options, each to extend the term of the lease for five (5) years, the first option term commencing on January 13, 2016, and the last option term ending on January 13, 2026.
 - The Bank took occupancy of this branch on July 31, 2011 and opened for business on November 1, 2011. The
- (4) Bank has a ten-year lease that expires on October 31, 2021 for this branch, which specifies rent of \$81,543 per annum and is subject to an increase of 10% on the fifth anniversary of the rent commencement date. The Bank took occupancy of this location, originally a branch office, on November 16, 2010 as a result of the merger with ABI. The Bank has a 20-year land only lease that expires August 22, 2022 for this branch, which
- (5) specifies rent of \$75,000 per annum and is subject to a 12.5% increase every five (5) lease years. The Bank has two renewal options, each to extend the term of the lease for ten (10) years, the first option term commencing on August 22, 2022, and the last option term ending on August 22, 2042.

Legal Proceedings

From time to time, as a normal incident of the nature and kind of business in which we are engaged, various claims or charges are asserted against us and/or our directors, officers or affiliates. In the ordinary course of business, the Company is also subject to regulatory examinations, information gathering requests, inquiries and investigations. Other than ordinary routine litigation incidental to our business, and except as set forth below, management believes after consultation with legal counsel that there are no pending legal proceedings against Bancorp or any of its subsidiaries that will, individually or in the aggregate, have a material adverse effect on the consolidated results of operations or financial condition of the Company.

Since the announcement of the proposed merger with ABCB on October 1, 2015, two putative shareholder class action lawsuits have been filed against Bancorp, the directors of Bancorp, and ABCB, in the Circuit Court of Duval County, Florida: (i) Paul Parshall v. Jacksonville Bancorp, Inc. et al., Case No. 16-2015-CA-006607, filed on October 16, 2015; and (ii) Patrick Donovan v. Kendall Spencer et al., Case No. 16-2015-CA-006738, filed October 22, 2015 (together, the Florida Actions). In the Florida Actions, plaintiffs allege that the individual director defendants breached their fiduciary duties to Bancorp s shareholders in negotiating and approving the merger agreement through an unfair process, that the merger consideration negotiated in the merger agreement does not adequately value the company, that Bancorp s shareholders will not receive fair value for their shares of JAXB common stock in the merger, and that the terms of the merger agreement impose improper deal-protection devices that allegedly preclude competing offers. The complaints in the Florida Actions further allege that Bancorp and ABCB aided and abetted the alleged breaches of fiduciary duty by Bancorp s directors. In the Florida Actions, plaintiffs seek preliminary and permanent injunctive relief, including enjoining or rescinding the merger, an award of unspecified damages, attorneys fees, and other relief.

The outcome of the Florida Actions cannot be predicted with certainty. A preliminary injunction could delay or jeopardize the completion of the merger, and an adverse judgment granting permanent injunctive relief could indefinitely enjoin completion of the merger. Additional lawsuits arising out of or relating to the merger agreement or the merger could be filed in the future. The Company and its directors intend to vigorously defend against these

Legal Proceedings 205

lawsuits.

109

Legal Proceedings 206

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS AND CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS OF JAXB

The following table indicates the shares of JAXB voting common stock and JAXB nonvoting common stock beneficially owned by each of JAXB s named executive officers and directors, by each person known by JAXB to own beneficially more than 5% of the outstanding shares JAXB voting common stock, and by all of JAXB s executive officers and directors as a group. Unless otherwise noted, all shares are held directly by the beneficial owner listed and such person has the sole voting and investment power over such shares. Unless otherwise noted, all amounts are determined as of February 1, 2016 when there were 3,512,773 shares of JAXB voting common stock outstanding and 2,287,821 shares of JAXB nonvoting common stock outstanding (on a post-split basis).

	JAXB Voti	ng Commor	n JAXB Non	JAXB Nonvoting			
	Stock		Common S	Common Stock			
	Amount	Percent of	Amount	Percen	t of		
Name of Beneficial Owner ⁽¹⁾	Beneficially	y Class	Beneficially	Beneficially Class			
	Owned ⁽²⁾	Outstandir	ng Owned	Outstai	nding		
John A. Delaney	5,000	*					
Donald F. Glisson, Jr. ⁽³⁾	26,766	*					
Robert B. Goldstein ⁽⁴⁾	875	*	50,000	2.19	%		
A. Hugh Greene ⁽⁵⁾	1,200	*					
Scott M. Hall ⁽⁶⁾	9,765	*					
Valerie A. Kendall ⁽⁷⁾	6,800	*					
Price W. Schwenck	21,703	*					
Kendall L. Spencer ⁽⁸⁾	24,800	*					
John P. Sullivan ⁽⁹⁾			20,000		*		
Gary L. Winfield	1,490	*					
All executive officers and directors as a group (11 persons) ⁽¹⁰⁾	104,399	2.94 %	70,000	3.06	%		
CapGen Capital Group IV LP ⁽¹¹⁾	1,334,208	37.98 %	1,806,000	78.94	%		
Context BH Capital Management, LP ⁽¹²⁾	335,062	9.54 %	1,000,000	70.71	70		
Elizabeth Park Capital Advisors, Ltd. (13)	223,400	6.36 %					
Endeavour Capital Advisors Inc. (14)	314,292	8.95 %					
FJ Capital Management, LLC ⁽¹⁵⁾	189,875	5.41 %					
Maltese Capital Management LLC ⁽¹⁶⁾	312,707	8.90 %	46,818	2.05	%		
RMB Capital Management, LLC ⁽¹⁷⁾	196,532	5.59 %	-				
<u> </u>							

^{*} Less than 1%

The address of each executive officer and director is c/o Jacksonville Bancorp, Inc., 100 North Laura Street, Suite 1000, Jacksonville, FL 32202.

⁽²⁾ Under the rules of the SEC, the determinations of beneficial ownership are based upon Rule 13d-3 under the Exchange Act. Under this rule, shares will be deemed to be beneficially owned where a person has, either solely or with others, the power to vote or to direct the voting of shares and/or the power to dispose, or to direct the disposition of shares, or where a person has the right to acquire any such power within sixty (60) days after the date

such beneficial ownership is determined. Shares that a beneficial owner has the right to acquire within sixty (60) days are deemed to be outstanding for the purpose of computing the percentage ownership of such owner but are not deemed outstanding for the purpose of computing the percentage ownership of any other person.

Includes (i) 24 shares of JAXB voting common stock as to which Mr. Glisson shares voting and dispositive power (3) with his wife, and (ii) 26,591 shares of JAXB voting common stock owned by Mr. Glisson s company, Triad Financial Services, Inc., as to which he has shared voting and dispositive power.

- (4) Mr. Goldstein shares voting and dispositive power with his wife with respect to the listed shares.
- (5) Mr. Greene shares voting and dispositive power with his wife with respect to the listed shares.

- Includes (i) 15 shares of JAXB voting common stock as to which Mr. Hall shares voting and dispositive power (6) with his wife, and (ii) 9,250 shares of JAXB voting common stock underlying options exercisable within sixty (60) days of February 1, 2016.
- (7) Includes 5,750 shares of JAXB voting common stock underlying options exercisable within sixty (60) days of February 1, 2016.
 - Includes 4,800 shares of JAXB voting common stock as to which Mr. Spencer shares voting and dispositive power
- (8) with his wife, and 20,000 shares of JAXB voting common stock underlying options exercisable within sixty (60) days of February 1, 2016.
 - Shares of JAXB nonvoting common stock are held through the John Sullivan 2012 Credit Shelter Trust, a
- (9) revocable trust, for which Mr. Sullivan is the settlor. Maureen C. Sullivan, Mr. Sullivan s spouse, as trustee, has sole voting and dispositive power over such shares.
- [10] Includes 40,000 shares of JAXB voting common stock underlying options which are currently exercisable or will be exercisable within sixty (60) days of February 1, 2016.
 - Includes (i) 1,334,208 shares of JAXB voting common stock and 1,553,000 shares of JAXB nonvoting common stock owned directly by Capital Group IV LP (CapGen LP), (ii) 83,000 shares of JAXB nonvoting common stock owned directly by Eugene A. Ludwig, and (iii) an aggregate of 170,000 shares of JAXB nonvoting common stock owned directly and indirectly by certain other related persons of CapGen LP (including the shares of JAXB nonvoting common stock listed in the table as beneficially owned by Messrs. Goldstein and Sullivan). CapGen LP
- (11) and CapGen Capital Group IV LLC (CapGen LLC), the general partner of CapGen LP, each have sole voting and dispositive power over the listed shares, and Mr. Ludwig, as the managing member of CapGen LLC, together with the investment committee of CapGen LLC, has shared voting and dispositive power over such shares. Each of the foregoing disclaims beneficial ownership of such securities except to the extent of his or its pecuniary interest therein. The address for CapGen LP is 120 West 45th Street, Suite 1010, New York, NY 10036. This information is based on CapGen LP s most recent Schedule 13D/A filed with the SEC on October 2, 2015 and information reported to JAXB by CapGen LP.
 - Includes 211,880 shares of JAXB voting common stock directly owned by Context BH Partners LP (the CBH Fund) and 123,182 shares of JAXB voting common stock directly owned by private funds (the Private Funds). Context BH Capital Management, LP (Context BH CM) is the investment manager of the CBH Fund and the
- (12) sub-adviser to the Private Funds, and thereby exercises investment discretion over its accounts. Accordingly, Context BH CM may be deemed to beneficially own the shares held by CBH Fund and the Private Funds. The address for Context BH CM is 401 City Avenue, Suite 815, Bala Cynwyd, PA 19004. This information is based on Context BH CM s most recent Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on October 30, 2015.
 - The listed shares are held by Elizabeth Park Capital Master Fund, Ltd. (the EP Fund). Elizabeth Park Capital Advisors, Ltd. (Elizabeth Park), its capacity as the investment manager of EP Fund, has the sole power to direct
- the disposition of the shares of JAXB voting common stock held by the EP Fund, and accordingly, may be deemed to beneficially own such shares. The address for Elizabeth Park is 29525 Chagrin Blvd., Suite 318, Pepper Pike, OH 44122. This information is based on Elizabeth Park s most recent Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on September 14, 2015.
 - Endeavour Capital Advisors Inc. (Endeavour), Laurence M. Austin and Mitchell J. Katz have shared voting and dispositive power over the listed shares. Endeavour Regional Bank Opportunities Fund L.P. has shared voting and dispositive power over 201,498 of the listed shares. Each of the foregoing
 - disclaims beneficial ownership except to the extent of his or its pecuniary interest therein. The address for Endeavour is 410 Greenwich Avenue, Greenwich, CT 06830. This information is based on Endeavour s most recent Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on February 18, 2015 and the information reported to JAXB by Endeavour.
- (15) Each of FJ Capital Management LLC (FJ CM) and Martin Friedman have shared voting power with respect to all of the listed shares and shared dispositive power with respect to 49,010 of the listed shares. The listed shares consist of (i) 38,592 shares held by Financial Opportunity Fund LLC and 5,016 shares Financial Opportunity

Long/Short Fund LLC, of which FJ CM is the managing member, (ii) 56,976 shares held by Bridge Equities III LLC, 14,244 shares held by Bridge Equities VIII LLC, 23,740 shares held by Bridge Equities IX LLC, and 45,905 shares held by Bridge Equities X LLC, of which FJ CM is the sub-investment advisor, and (iii) 5,402 shares held by a managed account that FJ CM manages. Mr. Friedman is the managing member of FJ CM. The address for FJ CM is

1313 Dolley Madison Blvd, Suite 306, McLean, VA 22101. This information is based on Context FJ CM s most recent Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on August 21, 2015.

Maltese Capital Management LLC (MCM), formerly known as Sandler ONeill Asset Management, LLC, may be deemed to beneficially own 312,707 shares of JAXB voting common stock, which are held of record by clients of MCM. Mr. Maltese may also be deemed to beneficially own such shares by reasons of his position as managing member of MCM. By reason of its position as general partner of certain partnerships, including Malta Hedge

- (16) Fund II, L.P., Maltese Capital Holdings, LLC (Holdings) may be deemed to beneficially own 163,063 shares of JAXB voting common stock, which are held by such partnerships. Each of the foregoing disclaims beneficial ownership except to the extent of his or its pecuniary interest therein. The address for MCM is 150 East 52nd Street, 30th Floor, New York, NY 10022. This information is based on MCM s most recent Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on February 12, 2015 and JAXB s records.
 - RMB Capital Holdings, LLC and RMB Capital Management, LLC, a registered investment adviser, share voting and dispositive power over the listed shares. Iron Road Capital Partners, LLC shares voting and dispositive power over 17,582 of the listed shares. RMB Mendon Managers, LLC shares voting and dispositive power over 178,950
- (17) of the listed shares. Each of the foregoing disclaims beneficial ownership except to the extent of his or its pecuniary interest therein. The address for the RMB parties is 115 S. LaSalle Street, 34th Floor, Chicago, IL 60603. This information is based on the Schedule 13G filed by the RMB parties with the SEC on February 5, 2015 and information reported to JAXB by RMB.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

JAXB MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS AS OF AND FOR THE PERIODS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2015 AND 2014

This management s discussion and analysis of the financial condition and results of operations represents an overview of the consolidated financial condition of JAXB as of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014 and JAXB s results of operations for the three (3) and nine (9) months ended September 30, 2015 compared to the same periods in 2014. This discussion is designed to provide a more comprehensive review of the financial condition and operating results than could be obtained from an examination of the financial statements alone. This analysis should be read in conjunction with the interim consolidated financial statements and related notes to consolidated financial statements included herein.

Unless the context requires otherwise, references in this section of the proxy statement/prospectus to the Company, we, us, or our refer to Jacksonville Bancorp, Inc., its wholly owned subsidiary, The Jacksonville Bank, and the Bank wholly owned subsidiary, Fountain Financial, Inc., on a consolidated basis. References to Bancorp denote Jacksonville Bancorp, Inc., and The Jacksonville Bank is referred to as the Bank.

Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The Company s performance during the periods ended September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014 is reflective of the completion of a restructuring plan that better aligned the Company s and the Bank s processes and procedures with the best industry practices and standards. As a result of these efforts, as well as recent indicators of stabilization in the local real estate markets and continued general improvement in asset quality, the Company reversed \$2.0 million of loan provision expense and reduced noninterest expense during the nine (9) months ended September 30, 2015.

Comparison of Financial Condition as of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014

Total assets increased \$16.7 million, or 3.4%, from \$488.6 million as of December 31, 2014 to \$505.3 million as of September 30, 2015. The Company experienced an increase in cash and cash equivalents in the amount of \$2.0 million and an increase in net loans of \$26.7 million during the nine (9) months ended September 30, 2015. This amount was offset by a decrease in securities available-for-sale of \$10.2 million during the same period.

Investment securities available-for-sale decreased \$10.2 million, or 13.2%, from \$77.6 million as of December 31, 2014 to \$67.4 million as of September 30, 2015. During the nine (9) months ended September 30, 2015, the Company received \$15.8 million in proceeds from principal repayments, maturities and calls and purchased \$6.1 million in investment securities. The remaining variance is due to the change in fair market value during the same year-to-date period.

Total deposits increased by \$17.2 million, or 4.2%, during the nine (9) months ended September 30, 2015, from \$415.8 million as of December 31, 2014 to \$433.0 million as of September 30, 2015. The following is an explanation of the changes in each of the major deposit categories during the nine (9) months ended September 30, 2015:

Noninterest-bearing deposits increased \$14.7 million, or 13.6%. This represents 28.3% of total deposits as of September 30, 2015;

Money market, NOW and savings deposits increased \$18.8 million, or 10.8%, due to natural fluctuations in account balances; and

The time deposit portfolio decreased by \$16.2 million, or 12.2%, including reductions of \$7.1 million in brokered CDs and \$6.7 million in national CDs.

FHLB advances and other borrowings decreased during the nine (9) months ended September 30, 2015, with FHLB advances and other borrowings of \$11.9 million and \$17.6 million as of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively. This was due to two fixed rate advances that matured in the first quarter of 2015 totaling \$6.0 million.

Total shareholders equity increased during the nine (9) months ended September 30, 2015, from \$37.1 million as of December 31, 2014 to \$42.1 million as of September 30, 2015. This increase was attributable to net income during the nine (9) months ended September 30, 2015 of \$5.0 million.

Comparison of Operating Results for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2015 and 2014

Net Income

The Company had net income of \$5.0 million for the nine (9) months ended September 30, 2015 compared to net income of \$1.3 million for the nine (9) months ended September 30, 2014. On a diluted per share basis, the Company had earnings per share of \$0.86 for the nine (9) months ended September 30, 2015, compared to \$0.23 earnings per share for the same period in the prior year.

Net Interest Income

Net interest income, the difference between interest earned on interest-earning assets and interest paid on interest-bearing liabilities, decreased \$61,000 to \$13.4 million for the nine (9) months ended September 30, 2015.

Total interest income decreased \$325,000 to \$15.6 million for the nine (9) months ended September 30, 2015 compared to a \$15.9 million in the same period in 2014. This decrease was primarily the result of a lower average yield on loans which decreased 23 basis points to 5.03% for the nine (9) months ended September 30, 2015 compared to 5.26% for the nine (9) months ended September 30, 2014. The decrease in the loan yield was driven by a decrease in accretion recognized on acquired loans of approximately \$603,000.

The average cost of interest-bearing liabilities decreased 5 basis points to 0.87% for the nine (9) months ended September 30, 2015 compared to 0.92% for the same period in 2014. The decrease was driven primarily by a decline in the cost of time deposits. During the nine (9) months ended September 30, 2015, brokered certificates of deposit totaling \$7.1 million and \$6.7 million in national CDs matured which reduced the overall cost of certificates of deposits to 1.03% in the nine (9) months ended September 30, 2015 from 1.15% in the same period last year.

The net interest margin increased by 4 basis points to 3.81%, from 3.77%, when comparing the first nine (9) months of 2015 to the same period in the prior year. The Company closely monitors its liquidity needs in conjunction with the cost of its funding sources and evaluates rates paid on its core deposits to ensure they remain competitive in the local market environment.

Average Balance Sheet; Interest Rates and Interest Differential:

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, information regarding: (1) the total dollar amount of interest and dividend income from interest-earning assets and the resultant average yield; (2) the total dollar amount of interest expense on interest-bearing liabilities and the resultant average costs; (3) net interest/dividend income; (4) interest rate spread; and (5) net interest margin. Average balances are based on average daily balances.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2015 2014

(dollars in thousands) Interest Interest

Edgar Filing: Ameris Bancorp - Form 424B3

		Average Balance		Average Rate	Average Balance		Average Rate
	Interest-earning assets:						
	Loans ⁽¹⁾	\$380,647	\$14,330	5.03 %	\$371,146	\$14,591	5.26 %
	Securities available-for-sale:						
	Taxable	67,891	841	1.66	75,348	917	1.63
	Tax-exempt ⁽²⁾	6,541	305	6.23	7,703	271	4.70
	Other interest-earning assets ⁽³⁾	14,189	101	0.95	22,360	123	0.76
	Total interest-earning assets	469,268	15,577	4.44	476,557	15,902	4.46
	Noninterest-earning assets ⁽⁴⁾	23,383			21,174		
	Total assets	\$492,651			\$497,731		
114							

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Nine Months Ended September 30,						
	2015			2014			
(dollars in thousands)	Average Balance	Interest	Average Rate	Average Balance	Interest	Average Rate	
Interest-bearing liabilities:							
Savings deposits	\$9,910	\$10	0.13 %	\$9,791	\$12	0.16 %	
NOW deposits	30,935	20	0.09	27,861	18	0.09	
Money market deposits	142,278	432	0.41	151,165	430	0.38	
Time deposits	124,997	959	1.03	134,193	1,159	1.15	
FHLB advances	13,659	149	1.46	19,286	207	1.44	
Federal Reserve and other borrowings	37			4			
Subordinated debt	16,239	617	5.08	16,177	615	5.08	
Other interest-bearing liabilities ⁽⁵⁾		23	N/A		33		
Total interest-bearing liabilities	338,055	2,210	0.87	358,477	2,474	0.92	
Noninterest-bearing liabilities	115,358			104,351			
Shareholders equity	39,238			34,903			
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	y \$492,651			\$497,731			
Net interest income		\$13,367			\$13,428		
Interest rate spread ⁽⁶⁾			3.57 %			3.54 %	
Net interest margin ⁽⁷⁾			3.81 %			3.77 %	

- Average loans include nonperforming loans. Interest on loans included loan fees (in thousands) of \$244 and \$160 for the nine (9) months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively.
- (2) Interest income and rates do not include the effects of a tax equivalent adjustment using a federal tax rate of 34% in adjusting tax-exempt interest on tax-exempt investment securities to a fully taxable basis.
 - Includes federal funds sold.
- (4) For presentation purposes, the BOLI acquired by the Bank has been included in noninterest-earning assets.

 Includes federal funds purchased and revolving loans that pay an annual rate of interest equal to 8% on a quarterly state of the amount outstonding or an unusual revolver fee calculated and raid quarterly at an annual rate of 2% on
- (5) basis of the amount outstanding or an unused revolver fee calculated and paid quarterly at an annual rate of 2% on the revolving loan commitment less the daily average principal amount outstanding.
- (6) Interest rate spread represents the difference between the average yield on interest-earning assets and the average cost of interest-bearing liabilities.
 - (7) Net interest margin is net interest income divided by average interest-earning assets.

Rate/Volume Analysis:

The following table sets forth the effect of changes in volumes, changes in rates, and changes in rate/volume on tax-equivalent interest income, interest expense and net interest income.

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2015 vs. 2014				
	Increase (Decrease) Due to ⁽¹⁾				
(dollars in thousands)	Rate	Volume	Total		
Interest-earning assets:					
Loans	\$ (629)	\$ 368	\$ (261)	
Securities available-for-sale:					
Taxable	16	(92)	(76)	

Tax-exempt	79	(45)	34
Other interest-earning assets	30	(52)	(22)
Total interest-earning assets	\$ (504)	\$ 179		\$ (325)

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2015 vs. 2014								
Increase (Decrease) Due to ⁽¹⁾								
Rate	Volume	Total						
\$ (2)	\$	\$ (2)					
	2	2						
28	(26) 2						
(124)	(76	(200)					
3	(61	(58)					
	2	2						
(10)		(10)					
\$ (105)	\$ (159	\$ (264))					
\$ (399)	\$ 338	\$ (61)					
	vs. 2014 Increase (Rate \$ (2) 28 (124) 3 (10) \$ (105)	vs. 2014 Increase (Decrease) D Rate Volume \$ (2) \$ 2 28 (26) (124) (76) 3 (61) 2 (10) \$ (105) \$ (159)	vs. 2014 Increase (Decrease) Due to ⁽¹⁾ Rate Volume Total \$ (2) \$ \$ (2 \) 2 2 2 28 (26) 2 (124) (76) (200 3 (61) (58 2 2 (10) \$ (10) \$ (105) \$ (159) \$ (264					

The change in interest due to both rate and volume has been allocated to the volume and rate components in proportion to the relationship of the dollar amounts of the absolute change in each component.

Noninterest Income, Noninterest Expense and Income Taxes

Noninterest income decreased to \$1.1 million for the nine (9) months ended September 30, 2015, compared to \$1.6 million for the nine (9) months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively. Service charges remained relatively flat at \$563,000 compared to \$551,000 for the nine (9) months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively. For the nine (9) months ended September 30, 2014, the Company recorded a gain of \$489,000 from bank-owned life insurance due to life insurance benefits received in excess of cash surrender value from the death of a former employee.

Noninterest expense decreased to \$11.5 million for the nine (9) months ended September 30, 2015, compared to \$13.4 million for the same period in 2014. This decrease was due to a decrease in salaries and employee benefits of \$1.4 million, mainly due to the two reductions in workforce that occurred in 2014 during the second and fourth quarters. Occupancy and equipment expense decreased to \$1.7 million from \$1.9 million in the same period last year.

Leasehold improvement expense decreased \$169,000 for the nine (9) months ended September 30, 2015 as a portion of the leasehold improvements became fully amortized in the fourth quarter of 2014. Professional fees decreased to \$744,000 from \$897,000 for the nine month periods ended September 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively. Accounting and auditing fees declined \$118,000 while legal declined \$42,000. During the nine (9) months ended September 30, 2015, there was a decrease of \$409,000 for loan expenses as a result of the Company's continued execution of its ongoing strategy to reduce problem assets. Other real estate owned expense increased \$207,000 to \$388,000 from \$181,000 in the same period in 2014. This is primarily a result of several write-downs taken during the nine month period ended September 30, 2015 in the aggregate amount of \$291,000 compared to \$48,000 in the same period last year. Included in other noninterest expense for the nine (9) months ended September 30, 2015 is a \$75,000 write-down to assets classified as held-for-sale. During the third quarter of 2015, the assets were sold and a \$26,000 loss was recorded to other noninterest expense.

Income tax expense was \$18,000 for the nine (9) months ended September 30, 2015 compared to \$20,000 in the same period of the prior year. This was the result of Alternative Minimum Taxes. The Company recorded a full valuation allowance against its deferred taxes as of December 31, 2011. This was substantially due to the fact that it was more-likely-than-not that the benefit would not be realized in future periods due to the uncertainty of future taxable

income and Section 382 of the Code. Based on an analysis performed as of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, it was determined that the need for a full valuation allowance still existed.

Comparison of Operating Results for the Three Months Ended September 30, 2015 and 2014

Net Income

The Company had net income of \$992,000 for the three (3) months ended September 30, 2015, compared to \$808,000 of net income for the three (3) months ended September 30, 2014. On a diluted per share basis, the Company had net income of \$0.17 for the three (3) months ended September 30, 2015, compared to net income of \$0.14 for the same period in the prior year.

Net Interest Income

Net interest income was \$4.6 million for the three (3) months ended September 30, 2015, compared to \$4.5 million for the same period in 2014.

Total interest income was \$5.3 million for the three (3) months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014, increasing \$46,000 over the same period last year. The increase was related to additional interest income recorded on the call of an investment security during the three month period ended September 30, 2015 totaling \$93,000. During the same periods, total interest on loans remained flat. Average loans increased \$27.6 million while the average yield on loans for the three (3) months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014 was 4.91% and 5.28%, respectively. The decrease in the loan yield was driven primarily by a decrease in the core average yield earned on loans and a decrease in accretion recognized on acquired loans of approximately \$233,000.

Average interest-bearing liabilities decreased \$18.6 million, while the average cost of interest-bearing liabilities remained flat, decreasing 1 basis point to 0.87% for the three (3) months ended September 30, 2015, compared to 0.88% for the same period in 2014.

The net interest margin increased 7 basis points to 3.81% from 3.74%, when comparing the third quarter of 2015 to the same period in the prior year. This was driven primarily by the increase in the average balance of loans while the average balance of interest-bearing liabilities declined. Additionally, higher priced brokered and national certificates of deposit were replaced with noninterest-bearing deposits with an average balance totaling \$12.6 million for the three (3) months ended September 30, 2015. The Company closely monitors its liquidity needs in conjunction with the cost of its funding sources and evaluates rates paid on its core deposits to ensure they remain competitive in the local market environment.

Noninterest Income, Noninterest Expense and Income Taxes

Noninterest income decreased to \$372,000 for the three (3) months ended September 30, 2015, compared to \$867,000 for the three (3) months ended September 30, 2014. Service charges increased to \$198,000 compared to \$187,000 for the three (3) months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively. During the three (3) months ended September 30, 2014, the Company recorded a gain of \$489,000 from bank-owned life insurance due to life insurance benefits received in excess of cash surrender value from the death of a former employee.

Noninterest expense decreased to \$4.0 million for the three (3) months ended September 30, 2015, compared to \$4.5 million for the same period in 2014. This decrease was in part due to a reduction in salaries and employee benefits of \$205,000, mainly due to a reduction in workforce that occurred in 2014 during the second and fourth quarters. Professional fees decreased \$187,000 to \$216,000 from \$403,000 in the three (3) months ended September 30, 2015

compared to the same period last year. Other real estate owned expense increased \$157,000 primarily due to several write-downs during the third quarter of 2015 totaling \$200,000 compared to write-downs totaling \$12,000 in the same period last year. Loan expenses decreased \$222,000 period over period as a result of the Company s continued execution of its ongoing strategy to reduce problem assets.

The Company recorded an income tax benefit of \$28,000 for the three (3) months ended September 30, 2015 compared to expense of \$20,000 in the same period of the prior year. This was the result of Alternative Minimum Taxes. As previously discussed, the Company has recorded a full valuation allowance against its deferred taxes.

Asset Quality

The Company has identified certain assets as risk elements. These assets include nonperforming loans, loans that are contractually past due ninety (90) days or more as to principal or interest payments and still accruing, troubled debt restructurings (TDR), and other real estate owned (OREO). Loans are placed on nonaccrual status when management has concerns regarding the Company s ability to collect the outstanding loan principal and interest amounts and typically when such loans are more than ninety (90) days past due. These loans present more than the normal risk that the Company will be unable to eventually collect or realize their full carrying value. The Company s nonperforming loans, foreclosed assets and TDRs as of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014 were as follows:

	Septembe	r December	
(dollars in thousands)		31,	
	2015	2014	
Nonperforming loans:			
Commercial	\$59	\$21	
Real estate mortgage loans			
Residential	2,092	1,151	
Commercial	4,485	7,408	
Construction and land	386	574	
Consumer loans and other	23	28	
Total nonperforming loans ⁽¹⁾	7,045	9,182	
Other real estate owned, net	3,768	4,061	
Total nonperforming assets	\$10,813	\$13,243	
Performing loans classified as TDRs	\$8,824	\$8,585	
Nonperforming loans classified as TDRs	1,847	2,209	
Total loans classified as troubled debt restructuring	\$10,671	\$ 10,794	
Nonperforming loans as a percent of gross loans	1.76	6 2.45 %	6
Nonperforming loans and other real estate owned as a percent of total assets	2.14	6 2.71 %	6

(1) Includes nonperforming loans classified as TDRs.

As shown in the table above, nonperforming assets decreased \$2.4 million as of September 30, 2015 from December 31, 2014. The improvement is due to a decrease in nonperforming loans totaling \$2.1 million as of the same dates. During the second quarter of 2015, one nonperforming loan with a recorded investment of \$2.2 million was repaid.

Loans are deemed impaired when it is considered probable that the Company will not collect the outstanding loan principal and interest amounts according to the loan's contractual terms. As of September 30, 2015, impaired loans decreased by \$1.6 million to \$14.5 million, compared to \$16.1 million as of December 31, 2014. Nonperforming impaired loans were \$6.1 million as of September 30, 2015. Specific reserves in the amount of \$1.6 million were allocated to impaired loans as of September 30, 2015.

During the normal course of business, the Company may restructure or modify the terms of a loan for various reasons. The restructuring of a loan is considered a TDR if both (i) the borrower is experiencing financial difficulties and (ii) a concession was granted that otherwise would not have occurred under normal circumstances. As of September 30, 2015, the Company had loan balances of \$10.7 million for customers whose loans were classified as TDRs, of which \$9.9 million were included in the impaired loans balance as of the same date. Of the total loans classified as TDRs,

\$1.1 million were classified as TDRs with collateral shortfalls. The Company has allocated \$342,000 of the allowance for loan losses to customers whose loan terms have been modified as TDRs with collateral shortfalls with none to the remaining TDRs included in the impaired loans balance as of September 30, 2015.

The TDR that occurred during the nine (9) months ended September 30, 2015 allowed the borrowers to make reduced payments, such as (i) reduced fixed interest rate through maturity and an advance to cover a deficiency from sale of a separate foreclosed property, (ii) change from principal and interest payments to

118

interest only payments for a limited period of time, (iii) reduced principal and interest payments through maturity, (iv) change from variable rate interest only payments through maturity to fixed rate interest only payments for a limited period of time and reduced principal and interest payments through maturity, (v) change from variable rate interest only payments through maturity to fixed rate and reduced principal and interest payments through maturity, or (vi) proposed forgiveness of principal contingent upon the satisfaction of the modified terms. As of September 30, 2015, the Company had not extended any additional credit to customers whose loans were classified as TDRs.

Loans modified that did not meet the definition of a TDR had a total recorded investment of \$14.7 million and \$12.1 million as of September 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively. These modifications involved loans to borrowers who were not experiencing financial difficulties and included (i) allowing the borrowers to make interest-only payments for a limited period of time, (ii) adjusting the interest rate to a market interest rate through maturity, (iii) extension of interest-only payments for a limited period of time, or (iv) extension of maturity date.

In order to determine whether a borrower is experiencing financial difficulty, an evaluation is performed of the probability that the borrower will be in payment default on any of its debt in the foreseeable future without the modification. This evaluation is performed under the Company s internal underwriting policy.

Loans past due still accruing interest as of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014 were categorized as follows:

(dollars in thousands) September 30, 2015	30 59 Days Past Due	60 89 Days Past Due	Greater than 90 Days Past Due	Total Past Due Still Accruing Interest
Commercial loans	\$ 199	\$	\$	\$ 199
Real estate mortgage loans:				
Residential	589	163		752
Commercial		1,477		1,477
Construction and land				
Consumer and other loans	258			258
Total	\$ 1,046	\$ 1,640	\$	\$ 2,686
December 31, 2014	30 59 Days Past Due	60 89 Days Past Due	Greater than 90 Days Past Due	Total Past Due Still Accruing Interest
December 31, 2014 Commercial loans	•	•	90 Days	Still Accruing
·	Past Due	Past Due	90 Days Past Due	Still Accruing Interest
Commercial loans	Past Due	Past Due	90 Days Past Due	Still Accruing Interest
Commercial loans Real estate mortgage loans:	Past Due \$ 218	Past Due \$	90 Days Past Due	Still Accruing Interest \$ 218
Commercial loans Real estate mortgage loans: Residential	Past Due \$ 218 848	Past Due \$	90 Days Past Due	Still Accruing Interest \$ 218 1,375
Commercial loans Real estate mortgage loans: Residential Commercial	Past Due \$ 218 848	Past Due \$	90 Days Past Due	Still Accruing Interest \$ 218 1,375

The decrease in total loans past due 30 89 days still accruing interest to \$1.0 million as of September 30, 2015 from \$6.2 million as of December 31, 2014 was driven primarily by one large commercial real estate loan that was between 30 59 days past due as of December 31, 2014 and became current at the beginning of 2015 as well as continued general improvements in overall asset quality during the nine (9) months ended September 30, 2015.

Adversely classified loans decreased to \$13.0 million as of September 30, 2015 compared to \$21.1 million as of December 31, 2014. Of the total adversely classified loans as of September 30, 2015, \$7.0 million were nonperforming.

119

All adversely classified loans are monitored closely and the majority of these loans are collateralized by real estate. In addition, the Company critically evaluates all requests for additional funding on classified loans to determine whether the borrower has the capacity and willingness to repay. Any requests of this nature require concurrence by the Director's Loan Committee of the Bank's board of directors.

The same criteria used for all Company loans greater than ninety (90) days past due and still accruing interest applies to loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality. Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality will be placed on nonaccrual status if the amount and timing of future cash flows cannot be reasonably estimated or if repayment of the loan is expected to be from collateral that has become deficient. As of September 30, 2015, we had loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality on nonaccrual in the amount of \$1.0 million.

Allowance and Provision for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses decreased by \$1.8 million during the nine (9) months ended September 30, 2015, amounting to \$12.6 million as of September 30, 2015 as compared to \$14.4 million as of December 31, 2014. The allowance represented approximately 3.15% and 3.84% of total loans as of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively.

The decrease in the allowance for loan losses as of September 30, 2015, compared to September 30, 2014, was driven primarily by lower nonperforming loans at September 30, 2015 compared to September 30, 2014 and net recoveries on charge-offs taken in prior periods. The decrease in the allowance for loan losses is further supported by our trends in net charge-offs for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013, 2012, 2011 and 2010 of \$1.7 million, \$5.6 million, \$30.8 million, \$12.4 million and \$10.8 million, respectively. This is in direct correlation with the Company s efforts to reduce problem assets and improve asset quality. As a result of these efforts, as well as recent indicators of stabilization in the local real estate markets and continued general improvement in asset quality, the Company reversed \$2.0 million of loan provision expense in the second quarter of 2015. There was no loan provision recorded for the third quarter of 2015.

The Bank's identification efforts of potential losses in the portfolio are based on a variety of specific factors, including the Company's own historical experience as well as industry and economic trends. In calculating the Company's allowance for loan losses, the Company's historical loss experience is supplemented with various current qualitative and economic trends. These current qualitative factors can include any of the following: changes in volume and severity of past due status, special mention, substandard and nonaccrual loans; levels of any trends in charge-offs and recoveries; changes in nature, volume and terms of loans; changes in lending policies and procedures; changes in lending management and quality of loan review; changes in economic and business conditions; and changes in underlying collateral values and effects of concentrations. There were no significant changes in the above current qualitative factors from December 31, 2014 to September 30, 2015. The Company's overall asset quality, as well as the economy in the markets served, is moving in a positive direction and management continues to monitor these metrics.

As of September 30, 2015, of the \$11.0 million of the allowance for loan losses from loans collectively evaluated for impairment, the real estate mortgage loans portfolio segment had total weighted average qualitative factors of 0.99%, or \$2.7 million; the commercial loans portfolio segment had total weighted average qualitative factors of 1.20%, or \$758,000; and the consumer and other loans portfolio segment had total qualitative factors of 1.38%, or \$16,000. Impaired loans were \$14.5 million as of September 30, 2015, of which \$1.6 million was specifically allocated to the allowance for loan losses which was deemed appropriate to absorb probable incurred credit losses.

As part of the Company s allowance for loan losses policy, loans acquired from ABI with evidence of deteriorated credit quality were included in our evaluation of the allowance for loan losses for each period. For loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality, if the loss was attributed to events and circumstances that existed as of the acquisition date as a result of new information obtained during the measurement period (*i.e.*, twelve (12) months from date of acquisition) that, if known, would have resulted in the recognition of additional deterioration, the additional deterioration was recorded as additional carrying discount with a corresponding increase to goodwill. If not, the additional deterioration was recorded as additional provision expense with a corresponding increase to the allowance for loan losses. After the measurement period, any additional impairment above the current carrying discount was recorded as additional provision for loan loss

TABLE OF CONTENTS

expense with a corresponding increase to the allowance for loan losses. As of September 30, 2015, there were \$1.6 million in loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality that were included in the evaluation of the allowance for loan losses.

All loans acquired as a result of the merger with ABI were recorded at fair value on the date of the acquisition. The loan amounts reported for these loans are net of fair value adjustments. As of September 30, 2015, there were \$2.8 million of fair value adjustments that will be accreted into interest income over the remaining term of the acquired loans or to support unidentified losses.

For loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality that were deemed TDRs prior to the Company s acquisition of them, these loans were not considered TDRs as they were accounted for under ASC 310-30, Loans and Debt Securities Acquired with Deteriorated Credit Quality. Subsequent to the acquisition, the same criteria used for all other loans applied to loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality and their treatment as TDRs. As of September 30, 2015, there was one acquired loan with deteriorated credit quality that was deemed a TDR in the amount of \$729,000.

The allowance for loan losses is a valuation allowance for credit losses in the loan portfolio. Management adopted a methodology to properly analyze and determine an adequate loan loss allowance. The analysis is based on sound, reliable and well documented information and is designed to support an allowance that is adequate to absorb probable incurred credit losses in the Company s loan and lease portfolio. Due to their similarities, the Company has grouped the loan portfolio as follows: commercial loans, residential real estate loans, commercial real estate loans, and consumer and other loans. The Company has created a loan classification system to calculate the allowance for loan losses. Loans are periodically evaluated for impairment. If a loan is deemed to be impaired, a portion of the allowance is allocated so that the loan is reported, net, at the present value of estimated future cash flows using the loan s existing rate or at the fair value of collateral if repayment is expected solely from the sale or operation of the underlying collateral.

It is the Bank s policy to obtain updated third-party appraisals on all OREO and real estate collateral on substandard loans on, at least, an annual basis. Value adjustments are sometimes made to appraised values on properties for which the existing appraisal is approximately one year old at period-end. Occasionally, at period-end, an updated appraisal has been ordered, but not yet received, on a property for which the existing appraisal is approaching one year old. In this circumstance, an adjustment may be made to the existing appraised value to reflect the Bank s best estimate of the change in the value of the property, based on evidence of changes in real estate market values derived by the review of current appraisals received by the Bank on similar properties.

Real estate values in the Bank s market area have experienced deterioration over the last several years. The expectation for further deterioration for all property types appears to be leveling off with recent indicators of stabilization in the market. On at least a quarterly basis, management reviews several factors, including underlying collateral, and writes down impaired loans to their net realizable value.

In estimating the overall exposure to loss on impaired loans, the Company has considered a number of factors, including the borrower's character, overall financial condition, resources and payment record, the prospects for support from any financially responsible guarantors, and the realizable value of any collateral. The Company also considers other internal and external factors when determining the allowance for loan losses. These factors include, but are not limited to, changes in national and local economic conditions, commercial lending staff limitations, impact from lengthy commercial loan workout and charge-off periods, loan portfolio concentrations and trends in the loan portfolio.

Based on the results of the analysis performed by management as of September 30, 2015, the allowance for loan losses was considered adequate to absorb probable incurred credit losses in the portfolio as of that date. As more fully discussed in Item 7, Critical Accounting Policies, of the section entitled Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014, as filed with the SEC on March 16, 2015, the process for estimating credit losses and determining the allowance for loan losses as of any balance sheet date is subjective in nature and requires material estimates and judgments. Actual results may differ significantly from these estimates and judgments.

The amount of future charge-offs and provisions for loan losses could be affected by several factors including, but not limited to, economic conditions in Jacksonville and Jacksonville Beach, Florida, and the surrounding communities. Such conditions could affect the financial strength of the Company s borrowers and the value of real estate collateral securing the Company s mortgage loans. Future charge-offs and provisions could also be affected by environmental impairment of properties securing the Company s mortgage loans. Under the Company s current policy, an environmental risk assessment is required on the majority of all commercial-type properties that are considered for a mortgage loan. At the present time, the Company is not aware of any existing loans in the portfolio where there is environmental pollution existing on the mortgaged properties that would materially affect the value of the portfolio.

Liquidity

The Company s primary sources of cash are deposit growth, maturities and amortization of investment securities, FHLB advances, Federal Reserve Bank borrowings and federal funds purchased. The Company uses cash from these and other sources to fund loans. Any remaining cash is used primarily to reduce borrowings and to purchase investment securities.

Cash Flows from Operating Activities:

Net cash from operating activities was \$4.0 million and \$1.3 million for the nine (9) months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively. Net cash from operating activities for the nine (9) months ended September 30, 2015 was primarily impacted by net income of \$5.0 million, as adjusted for (i) net accretion of purchase accounting adjustments, mainly purchased loans, of \$364,000, (ii) premium amortization for securities, net of accretion, of \$482,000, (iii) depreciation and amortization of \$391,000, (iv) net change in accrued interest receivable and other assets of \$122,000, (v) reversal of provision for loan losses expense of \$2.0 million and (vi) net change in accrued expenses and other liabilities of \$77,000. Net cash from operating activities for the same period in the prior year reflected net income of \$1.3 million, as adjusted for (i) net accretion of purchase accounting adjustments, mainly purchased loans, of \$957,000, (ii) premium amortization for securities, net of accretion, of \$678,000, (iii) depreciation and amortization of \$526,000, (iv) net change in accrued interest receivable and other assets of \$131,000, and (v) provision for loan losses of \$287,000.

Cash Flows from Investing Activities:

Net cash used by investing activities was \$13.5 million and net cash provided from investing activities was \$11.7 million for the nine (9) months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The decrease for the nine (9) months ended September 30, 2015 was primarily due to an increase in loan originations net of repayments.

Cash Flows from Financing Activities:

Net cash from financing activities was \$11.5 million and \$896,000 for the nine (9) months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The period-over-period increase in cash inflows was due to a net increase of \$17.3 million in deposits during the nine (9) months ended September 30, 2015, compared to the same period in the prior year. This was offset by \$6.0 million in net cash outflows to repay FHLB fixed rate advances.

Capital

Banks and bank holding companies are subject to extensive regulatory capital requirements administered by federal banking agencies. Capital adequacy guidelines and, additionally for banks, prompt corrective action regulations

Liquidity 230

involve quantitative measures of assets, liabilities and certain off-balance sheet items calculated under regulatory accounting practices. Capital amounts and classifications are also subject to qualitative judgments by regulators. Failure to meet capital requirements can initiate regulatory action.

The federal regulatory authorities current risk-based capital guidelines are based upon the 1988 capital accord of the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision. The Basel Committee is a committee of central banks and bank regulators from the major industrialized countries that develops broad policy guidelines for use by a country s regulators in determining appropriate supervisory policies. In December 2010 and January 2011, the Basel Committee published the final texts of reforms on capital and liquidity supervisory policies generally referred to as Basel III.

122

Capital 231

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Effective July 2, 2013, the Federal Reserve approved final rules known as the Basel III Capital Rules substantially revising the risk-based capital and leverage capital requirements applicable to bank holding companies and depository institutions, including the Company and the Bank. The Basel III Capital Rules address the components of capital and other issues affecting the numerator in banking institutions regulatory capital ratios. Basel III Capital Rules also implement the requirements of Section 939A of the Dodd-Frank Act to remove references to credit ratings from the federal banking agencies rules. Certain of the Basel III Capital Rules came into effect for the Company and the Bank on January 1, 2015; these rules are subject to a phase-in period which began on January 1, 2015.

The Basel III Capital Rules introduced a new capital measure CET1. The rules specify that Tier 1 capital consists of CET1 and Additional Tier 1 capital instruments meeting specified requirements.

CET1 capital consists of common stock instruments that meet the eligibility criteria in the final rules, retained earnings, accumulated other comprehensive income and common equity Tier 1 minority interest. The rules also define CET1 narrowly by requiring that most adjustments to regulatory capital measures be made to CET1, and not to the other components of capital. They also expand the scope of the adjustments as compared to existing regulations.

When fully phased-in on January 1, 2019, the Basel III Capital Rules will require banking organizations to maintain:

a minimum ratio of CET1 to risk-weighted assets of at least 4.5%, plus a 2.5% capital conservation buffer (which is added to the 4.5% CET1 ratio as that buffer is phased-in, effectively resulting in a minimum ratio of CET1 to risk-weighted assets of at least 7.0% upon full implementation);

- a minimum ratio of Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets of at least 6.0%, plus the 2.5% capital conservation buffer (which is added to the 6.0% Tier 1 capital ratio as that buffer is phased-in, effectively resulting in a minimum Tier 1 capital ratio of 8.5% upon full implementation);
- a minimum ratio of total capital (that is, Tier 1 plus Tier 2 capital) to risk-weighted assets of at least 8.0%, plus the 2.5% capital conservation buffer (which is added to the 8.0% total capital ratio as that buffer is phased-in, effectively resulting in a minimum total capital ratio of 10.5% upon full implementation); and
- a minimum leverage ratio of 4.0%, calculated as the ratio of Tier 1 capital to adjusted average consolidated assets. The aforementioned capital conservation buffer is designed to absorb losses during periods of economic stress. Banking institutions with a ratio of CET1 to risk-weighted assets above the minimum but below the conservation buffer will face limitations on the payment of dividends, common stock repurchases and discretionary cash payments to executive officers based on the amount of the shortfall.

The Basel III Capital Rules provide for a number of deductions from and adjustments to CET1. These include, for example, the requirement that mortgage servicing rights, deferred tax assets dependent upon future taxable income and significant investments in non-consolidated financial entities be deducted from CET1 to the extent that any one such category exceeds 10% of CET1 or all such categories in the aggregate exceed 15% of CET1. Under current capital standards, the effects of accumulated other comprehensive income items included in capital are excluded for the purposes of determining regulatory capital ratios. Under the Basel III Capital Rules, the Company and the Bank are given a one-time election (the Opt-out Election) to filter certain accumulated other comprehensive income AOCI components, comparable to the treatment under the current general risk-based capital rule. The AOCI Opt-out Election had to be made on the March 30, 2015 Call Report for the Bank and the June 30, 2015 FR Y-9SP for the Company. The Bank and the Company have chosen the Opt-out Election.

Implementation of the deductions and other adjustments to CET1 began on January 1, 2015 and will be phased-in over a five-year period (20% per year). The implementation of the capital conservation buffer will begin on January 1, 2016 at the 0.625% level and be phased-in over a four-year period (increasing by that amount on each subsequent January 1, until it reaches 2.5% on January 1, 2019).

Capital 232

Capital 233

In addition, the Basel III Capital Rules revise the rules for calculating risk-weighted assets to enhance their risk sensitivity. They establish a new framework under which mortgage-backed securities and other securitization exposures will be subject to risk-weights ranging from 20% to 1250%. The rules also establish adjusted risk-weights for credit exposures, including multi-family and commercial real estate exposures that are ninety (90) days or more past due or on non-accrual, which will be subject to a 150% risk-weight, except in situations where qualifying collateral and/or guarantees are in place. The existing treatment of residential mortgage exposures will remain subject to either a 50% risk-weight (for prudently underwritten owner-occupied first liens that are current or less than ninety (90) days past due) or a 100% risk-weight (for all other residential mortgage exposures including ninety (90) days or more past due exposures).

As of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, the Bank, and the Company met all capital adequacy requirements to which they were subject. For additional information related to the Company's capital adequacy information, see *See Note 8 Capital Adequacy* in the accompanying notes to the Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements and *Note 8 Capital Adequacy* in the accompanying notes to the Audited Consolidated Financial Statements identified in the section entitled Index to JAXB's Consolidated Financial Statements beginning on page F-1 of this proxy statement/prospectus.

Bank

FDICIA, among other things, requires the federal banking agencies to take prompt corrective action regarding depository institutions that do not meet minimum capital requirements. FDICIA establishes five capital tiers: well capitalized, adequately capitalized, undercapitalized, significantly undercapitalized and critically undercapitalized depository institution s capital tier will depend upon how its capital levels compare to various relevant capital measures and certain other factors, as established by regulation.

Under Basel I, the prompt corrective action rules provide that a bank will be: (i) well capitalized if it has a total risk-based capital ratio of 10% or greater, a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of 6% or greater, a leverage capital ratio of 5% or greater and is not subject to certain written agreements, orders, capital directives or prompt corrective action directives by a federal bank regulatory agency to maintain a specific capital level for any capital measure; (ii) adequately capitalized if it has a total risk-based capital ratio of 8% or greater, a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of 4% or greater, and generally has a leverage capital ratio of 4% or greater; (iii) undercapitalized if it has a total risk-based capital ratio of less than 8%, a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of less than 4% or generally has a leverage capital ratio of less than 4%; (iv) significantly undercapitalized if it has a total risk-based capital ratio of less than 6%, a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of less than 3% or a leverage capital ratio of less than 3%; or (v) critically undercapitalized if its ratio of tangible equity to total assets is equal to or less than 2%. The federal bank regulatory agencies have authority to require additional capital.

The Basel III Capital Rules revised the prompt corrective action regulations pursuant to Section 38 of the FDICIA, by:

introducing a CET1 ratio requirement at each level (other than critically undercapitalized), with the required CET1 ratio being 6.5% for well-capitalized status;

increasing the minimum Tier 1 capital ratio requirement for each category, with the minimum Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio for well-capitalized status being 8.0% (as compared to the current 6.0%); and eliminating the current provision that provides that a bank with a composite supervisory rating of 1 may have a 3.0% leverage ratio and still be well-capitalized.

Under the applicable rules, the Bank was well capitalized as of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014. Depository institutions that are no longer well capitalized for bank regulatory purposes must receive a waiver from the

Bank 234

FDIC prior to accepting or renewing brokered deposits. FDICIA generally prohibits a depository institution from making any capital distribution (including paying dividends) or paying any management fee to its holding company, if the depository institution would thereafter be undercapitalized.

124

Bank 235

The Bank had a MoU with the FDIC and the OFR that was entered into in 2008 (the 2008 MoU), which required the Bank to have a total risk-based capital ratio of at least 10% and a Tier 1 leverage capital ratio of at least 8%. On July 13, 2012, the 2008 MoU was replaced by a new MoU (the 2012 MoU), which, among other things, required the Bank to have a total risk-based capital ratio of at least 12% and a Tier 1 leverage capital ratio of at least 8%. The Bank received notification from the FDIC and the OFR on June 11, 2015 and June 15, 2015, respectively, stating that the Bank is now considered to be in substantial compliance with the 2012 MoU and that the FDIC and the OFR terminated their interests in the 2012 MoU as of the dates mentioned above.

Bancorp

The Federal Reserve requires bank holding companies, including Bancorp, to act as a source of financial strength for their depository institution subsidiaries. The Federal Reserve has a minimum guideline for bank holding companies of Tier 1 capital to adjusted average quarterly assets (leverage ratiol) equal to at least 4.00%, and total risk-based capital of at least 8.00%, at least half of which must be Tier 1 capital. As of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, Bancorp met these requirements.

Higher capital may be required in individual cases and depending upon a bank holding company s risk profile. All bank holding companies and banks are expected to hold capital commensurate with the level and nature of their risks including the volume and severity of their problem loans. The Federal Reserve will continue to consider a tangible Tier 1 leverage ratio (deducting all intangibles) in evaluating proposals for expansion or new activity. The level of Tier 1 capital to risk-adjusted assets is becoming more widely used by bank regulators to measure capital adequacy. The Federal Reserve has not advised the Company of any specific minimum capital ratios applicable to it. Under Federal Reserve policies, bank holding companies are generally expected to operate with capital positions well above the minimum ratios. The Federal Reserve believes the risk-based ratios do not take into account the quality of capital and interest rate, liquidity, market and operational risks. Accordingly, supervisory assessments of capital adequacy may differ significantly from conclusions based solely on an organization s risk-based capital ratios.

Dividends and Distributions

Prior to October 2009, dividends received from the Bank were Bancorp s principal source of funds to pay its expenses and interest on and principal of Bancorp s debt. Banking regulations require the maintenance of certain capital levels and restrict the payment of dividends by the Bank to Bancorp or by Bancorp to shareholders. Commercial banks generally may only pay dividends without prior regulatory approval out of the total of current net profits plus retained net profits of the preceding two (2) years, and banks and bank holding companies are generally expected to pay dividends from current earnings. Banks may not pay a dividend if the dividend would result in the bank being undercapitalized for prompt corrective action purposes, or would violate any minimum capital requirement specified by law or the bank s regulators. The Bank has not paid dividends to Bancorp since October 2009 and cannot currently pay dividends, and Bancorp cannot currently pay dividends on its capital stock under applicable Federal Reserve policies. Bancorp has relied upon revolving loan agreements to pay its expenses during such time. As of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, there were \$1.3 million and \$2.2 million in remaining funds available under the revolving loan agreements, respectively. During the nine (9) months ended September 30, 2015 and the year ended December 31, 2014, Bancorp used cash on hand and net proceeds from capital raise activities to fund operations.

Contractual Obligations, Commitments and Contingent Liabilities

Bancorp 236

The Company has various financial obligations, including contractual obligations and commitments that are expected to require future cash payments. Management believes that there have been no material changes in the Company s overall level of these financial obligations since September 30, 2015 and that any changes in the Company s obligations which have occurred are routine for the industry. Further discussion of the nature of each type of obligation is included in the section entitled JAXB s JAXB Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations as of and for the Periods ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014, as filed with the SEC on March 16, 2015.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

Management believes that there have been no material changes in off-balance sheet arrangements and related risks since the Company s disclosure in its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014, as filed with the SEC on March 16, 2015.

JAXB MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS AS OF AND FOR THE PERIODS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014 AND 2013

Unless the context requires otherwise, references in this section of this proxy statement/prospectus to the Company, we, us, or our refer to Jacksonville Bancorp, Inc., its wholly owned subsidiary, The Jacksonville Bank, and the Bank wholly owned subsidiary, Fountain Financial, Inc., on a consolidated basis. References to Bancorp denote Jacksonville Bancorp, Inc., and The Jacksonville Bank is referred to as the Bank.

Executive Overview

The Company s performance during the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 is reflective of the Company s strategy to accelerate the disposition of substandard assets on an individual customer basis as well as re-pricing activities in the current low interest rate environment. As a result of these efforts, as well as recent indicators of stabilization in the local real estate markets, the Company recognized reduced provision expense, noninterest expense and a general reduction in substandard assets during the year ended December 31, 2014.

Capital Raise Transactions

During 2012, the Company executed a financial advisory agreement with an investment banking firm to assist in raising capital. Efforts to secure additional equity capital were realized on December 31, 2012, after a bridge financing transaction in September 2012, with the sale of an aggregate of 50,000 shares of the Company s Mandatorily Convertible, Noncumulative, Nonvoting Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series A (Series A Preferred Stock), at a purchase price of \$1,000 per share, in a private placement. For the year ended December 31, 2012, gross proceeds from the issuance of preferred stock in the amount of \$50.0 million, or \$45.1 million net of offering expenses, were used for general operating expenses, mainly for the subsidiary bank, to improve capital ratios, and to support the Company s business strategy going forward.

On February 19, 2013, all of the outstanding shares of the Company s Series A Preferred Stock automatically converted into an aggregate of 2,382,000 shares of JAXB voting common stock and 2,618,000 shares of JAXB nonvoting common stock (the Conversion). The Conversion was based on a conversion price of \$10.00 per share and a conversion rate of 100 shares of JAXB voting common stock and/or JAXB nonvoting common stock for each share of Series A Preferred Stock outstanding. As a result of the Conversion, no shares of the Series A Preferred Stock remained outstanding.

During the third quarter of 2013, the Company initiated concurrent offerings: (i) a rights offering to eligible existing shareholders of nontransferable subscription rights to purchase shares of JAXB voting common stock at a subscription price of \$10.00 per share and (ii) a public offering of shares not subscribed for in the rights offering at an equal subscription price of \$10.00 per share. The subscription period for the rights offering expired on September 20, 2013 and resulted in the sale of 104,131 shares of the JAXB voting common stock for aggregate proceeds of \$1.0 million, or \$0.9 million net of offering expenses. The public offering expired on October 4, 2013, whereby the Company sold

395,869 shares for an aggregate of \$4.0 million, or \$3.2 million net of offering expenses. Total net proceeds from the concurrent offerings were used for general operating expenses.

See *Note 2 Capital Raise Transactions* in the accompanying notes to the Audited Consolidated Financial Statements identified in the section entitled Index to JAXB s Consolidated Financial Statements beginning on page F-1 of this proxy statement/prospectus for additional information related to the Company s recent capital raise activities.

Reverse Stock Split

Bancorp s board of directors implemented a 1-for-20 reverse stock split of the outstanding shares of JAXB common stock effective October 24, 2013. As a result of the reverse stock split, each 20 shares of issued and outstanding JAXB voting common stock and JAXB nonvoting common stock, respectively, were automatically and without any action on the part of the respective holders combined and reconstituted as one share of the respective class of common equity as of the effective date. Consequently, the aggregate par value

TABLE OF CONTENTS

of JAXB voting common stock and JAXB nonvoting common stock eliminated in the reverse stock split was reclassed on the Company s Consolidated Balance Sheets from the respective class of common equity to additional paid-in capital. Additional adjustments were made to the aforementioned accounts as a result of rounding to avoid the existence of fractional shares. All share and per share information has been retrospectively adjusted to reflect the common equity 1-for-20 reverse stock split.

Comparison of Financial Condition as of December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013

Total assets decreased \$18.7 million, or 3.7%, from \$507.03 million as of December 31, 2013 to \$488.6 million as of December 31, 2014. The Company experienced a decrease in cash and cash equivalents largely as a result of a reduction in federal funds sold of \$22.9 million, a decrease in securities available-for-sale of \$7.1 million, and a decrease in bank-owned life insurance of \$1.1 million. These amounts were slightly offset by an increase in net loans of \$5.7 million and other real estate owned of \$1.0 million during the year ended December 31, 2014.

Investment securities available-for-sale decreased \$7.1 million, or 8.4%, from \$84.8 million as of December 31, 2013 to \$77.6 million as of December 31, 2014. During the year ended December 31, 2014, the Company purchased \$10.3 million in securities and received \$17.7 million in proceeds from principal repayments, maturities and calls. The remaining variance is due to the change in fair market value during the same year-to-date period.

Total deposits decreased by \$19.2 million, or 4.4%, during the year ended December 31, 2014, from \$435.0 million as of December 31, 2013 to \$415.8 million as of December 31, 2014. The following is an explanation of the changes in each of the major deposit categories during the year ended December 31, 2014:

Noninterest-bearing deposits increased \$7.1 million, or 7.0%. This represents 25.9% of total deposits as of December 31, 2014;

Money market, NOW and savings deposits decreased \$13.4 million, or 7.1%, due to the strategic pricing of this deposit category in conjunction with liquidity management; and

The time deposit portfolio decreased by \$12.9 million, or 8.8%, driven primarily by a \$20.0 million reduction in local CDs, and \$2.2 million reduction in brokered CDs, offset by an increase of \$9.3 million in national CDs.

FHLB advances and other borrowings decreased \$2.5 million, or 12.5%, during the year ended December 31, 2014 from \$20.2 million as of December 31, 2013 to \$17.6 million as of December 31, 2014. This was due to an advance that matured in the second quarter of 2014.

Total shareholders equity increased during the year ended December 31, 2014, from \$33.9 million as of December 31, 2013 to \$37.1 million as of December 31, 2014. This increase was attributable to an increase in accumulated comprehensive income of \$1.2 million and net income for the year ended December 31, 2014 of \$1.9 million.

Accumulated comprehensive income decreased primarily based on changes in interest rates during 2014.

The following table presents the Company s return on equity and assets for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively:

	2014	2013
Return on average assets	0.39 %	(0.18)%
Return on average equity	5.46 %	(2.86)%
Average equity to average assets	7.09 %	6.41 %

Reverse Stock Split 241

Comparison of Operating Results for the Years Ended December 31, 2014 and 2013

Net Income (Loss)

The Company had net income of \$1.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, compared to a net loss of \$960 thousand in 2013.

On a diluted per share basis, the Company had net income available to holders of JAXB common stock of \$0.33 for the year ended December 31, 2014, compared to a net loss of \$(6.83) for the same period in the prior year. For the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company recorded a net loss of \$960 thousand with an additional net loss available to holders of JAXB common stock in the amount of \$31.5 million as a result of the noncash, implied preferred stock dividend recognized in conjunction with the Company s 2012 capital raise transactions. This increase resulted in a greater net loss available to holders of JAXB common stock of \$32.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 and an anti-dilutive impact of stock options as it pertained to the Company s weighted average shares of JAXB common stock outstanding for the same period.

Net Interest Income

Net interest income, the difference between interest earned on interest-earning assets and interest paid on interest-bearing liabilities, was \$17.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, compared to \$18.7 million for the same period in 2013.

Total interest income decreased \$1.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 when compared to the same period in 2013. This decrease was primarily driven by a decrease in average earning assets, in particular, average loan balances which declined by \$12.0 million when compared to the same period in the prior year, as well as a decrease in the yield on loans of 26 basis points from 5.49% for the year ended December 31, 2013 to 5.23% for the year ended December 31, 2014.

Total interest expense for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 was \$3.3 million and \$4.2 million, respectively. The average cost of interest-bearing liabilities decreased 16 basis points to 0.92% for the year ended December 31, 2014, compared to 1.08% for the same period in 2013. The overall decrease in the average cost of interest-bearing deposits reflects an ongoing reduction in interest rates paid on deposits as a result of the re-pricing activities in the current low interest rate environment coupled with an increase in average noninterest-bearing deposits to \$105.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, compared to \$95.7 million for the same period in the prior year.

The net interest margin remained relatively flat at 3.75% for the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to 3.74% for the year ended December 31, 2013. The Company closely monitors its liquidity needs in conjunction with the cost of its funding sources and evaluates rates paid on its core deposits to ensure they remain competitive in the local market environment.

Average Balance Sheet; Interest Rates and Interest Differential

The following table sets forth, for the years indicated, information regarding: (i) the total dollar amount of interest and dividend income from interest-earning assets and the resultant average yield; (ii) the total dollar amount of interest expense on interest-bearing liabilities and the resultant average costs; (iii) net interest/dividend income; (iv) interest

rate spread; and (v) net interest margin. Average balances are based on average daily balances.

	(Dollars in thousands)	2014 Average Balance	Interest	Average Rate	2013 Average Balance	Interest	Average Rate
	Interest-earning assets:						
	Loans ⁽¹⁾	\$371,217	\$19,398	5.23 %	\$383,197	\$21,043	5.49 %
	Securities available-for-sale:						
	Taxable	75,147	1,215	1.62	76,554	1,186	1.55
	Tax-exempt ⁽²⁾	7,601	351	4.62	12,771	556	4.35
	Other interest-earning assets ⁽³⁾	22,226	164	0.74	28,743	148	0.51
	Total interest-earning assets	476,191	21,128	4.44	501,265	22,933	4.58
129	-						

(Dollars in thousands) Noninterest-earning assets ⁽⁴⁾	2014 Average Balance 22,256	Interest	Average Rate	2013 Average Balance 21,213	Interest	Average Rate
Total assets	\$498,447			\$522,478		
Interest-bearing liabilities:						
Savings deposits	\$9,920	\$16	0.16%	\$9,761	\$25	0.26~%
NOW deposits	28,224	24	0.09	23,019	22	0.10
Money market deposits	149,939	572	0.38	159,976	917	0.57
Time deposits	132,233	1,507	1.14	159,692	1,938	1.21
FHLB advances	19,384	274	1.41	20,000	300	1.50
Federal Reserve and other borrowings ⁽⁸⁾	25	44		1,775	171	9.63
Subordinated debt	16,184	822	5.08	16,121	829	5.14
Other interest-bearing liabilities ⁽⁵⁾				3		
Total interest-bearing liabilities	355,909	3,259	0.92	390,347	4,202	1.08
Noninterest-bearing liabilities	107,203			98,616		
Shareholders equity	35,335			33,515		
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	\$498,447			\$522,478		
Net interest income		\$17,869			\$18,731	
Interest rate spread ⁽⁶⁾			3.52 %			3.50 %
Net interest margin ⁽⁷⁾			3.75 %			3.74 %
Ratio of average interest-earning assets to average interest-bearing liabilities	1.34			1.28		

- (1) Average loans include nonperforming loans. Interest on loans included loan fees (in thousands) of \$224 and \$223 for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.
- (2) Interest income and rates do not include the effects of a tax equivalent adjustment using a federal tax rate of 34% in adjusting tax-exempt interest on tax-exempt investment securities to a fully taxable basis.
 - Includes federal funds sold.
 - (4) For presentation purposes, the BOLI acquired by the Bank has been included in noninterest-earning assets.

 (5) Includes federal funds purchased.
- Interest rate spread represents the difference between the average yield on interest-earning assets and the average cost of interest-bearing liabilities.
 - (7) Net interest margin is net interest income divided by average interest-earning assets.
- Federal Reserve and other borrowings include loans from related parties that pay an annual rate of interest equal to (8)8% on a quarterly basis of the amount outstanding or an unused revolver fee calculated and paid quarterly at an annual rate of 2% on the revolving loan commitment less the daily average principal amount outstanding.

Impact of Inflation and Changing Prices

The Audited and Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements and related data identified in the section entitled Index to JAXB s Consolidated Financial Statements beginning on page_F-1 of this proxy statement/prospectus have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, which require the measurement of financial position and operating results in terms of historical dollars, without considering changes in the relative purchasing power of money over time due to inflation. Unlike most industrial companies, substantially all of our assets and liabilities are monetary in nature. As a result, interest rates have a more significant impact on our performance than the effects of general levels of inflation which

the Company does not consider to be material. Interest rates do not necessarily move in the same direction or in the same magnitude as the prices of goods and services, since such prices are affected by inflation to a larger extent than interest rates.

Rate/Volume Analysis:

The following table sets forth certain information regarding changes in interest income and interest expense for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013. For each category of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, information is provided on changes attributable to: (i) changes in rate (change in rate multiplied by prior volume), (ii) changes in volume (change in volume multiplied by prior rate), and (iii) changes in rate-volume (change in rate multiplied by change in volume).

	Increase (Decrease) Due to ⁽¹⁾							
(Dollars in thousands)	Rate	Volume	Total					
Interest-earning assets:								
Loans	\$ (1,000)	\$ (645)	\$ (1,645)					
Securities available-for-sale:								
Taxable	51	(22)	29					
Tax-exempt	32	(237)	(205)					
Other interest-earning assets	60	(44)	16					
Total interest-earning assets	\$ (857)	\$ (948)	\$ (1,805)					
Interest-bearing liabilities:								
Savings deposits	\$ (9)	\$	\$ (9)					
NOW deposits	(3)	5	2					
Money market deposits	(291)	(54)	(345)					
Time deposits	(114)	(318)	(432)					
FHLB advances	(17)	(9)	(26)					
Federal Reserve and other borrowings	(41)	(85)	(126)					
Subordinated debt	(10)	3	(7)					
Other interest-bearing liabilities								
Total interest-bearing liabilities	\$ (485)	\$ (458)	\$ (943)					
Net change in net interest income	\$ (372)	\$ (490)	\$ (862)					

The change in interest due to both rate and volume has been allocated to the volume and rate components in proportion to the relationship of the dollar amounts of the absolute change in each component.

Provision for Loan Losses

The provision for loan losses is charged to earnings to bring the total allowance to a level deemed appropriate by management and is based upon, among others, the volume and type of lending conducted by the Company, the amount of nonperforming loans, and general economic conditions, particularly as they relate to the Company s market areas, and other factors related to the collectability of the Company s loan portfolio. The provision for loan losses was \$287 thousand and \$815 thousand for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The Company had net loan charge-offs of \$1.7 million in 2014, compared to \$5.3 million during 2013.

Management believes that the allowance for loan losses of \$14.4 million as of December 31, 2014 is adequate to absorb probable incurred credit losses in the portfolio as of that date.

Noninterest Income and Noninterest Expense

Noninterest income was \$2.0 million and \$1.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. For the year ended December 31, 2014, the Company recorded a gain of \$0.5 million from bank-owned life insurance due to life insurance benefits received in excess of cash surrender value from the death of a former employee.

Included in Other Income for the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company

recorded a net gain of \$0.4 million from the sale of municipal securities, mortgage-backed securities residential and collateralized mortgage obligations. There were no such gains recognized during the same period in the current year.

Noninterest expense decreased to \$17.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, compared to \$20.6 million for the same period in 2013. This decrease was mainly due to a decrease in professional fees of \$0.5 million from the prior year, mainly related to audit and legal fees that were higher in 2013 as the result of a special shareholders meeting held in 2013, and a decrease in OREO expenses of \$1.4 million as well as loan related expenses of \$0.4 million as a result of the Company s execution of its ongoing strategy to reduce problem loans. The remainder of the components of noninterest expense remained relatively flat period-over-period.

Income Tax Expense (Benefit)

There was no tax expense/benefit for the year ended December 31, 2014 and 2013. The Company recorded a full valuation allowance on the Company s deferred tax asset as of December 31, 2011. This was substantially due to the fact that it was more-likely-than-not that the benefit would not be realized in future periods due to Section 382 of the Code. Based on an analysis performed as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively, it was determined that the need for a full valuation allowance still existed.

Investment Securities

The Company s investment securities portfolio is categorized as either held-to-maturity, available-for-sale, or trading. Securities held-to-maturity represent those securities which the Bank has the intent and ability to hold to maturity. Securities available-for-sale represent those investments which may be sold for various reasons, including changes in interest rates and liquidity considerations. These securities are reported at fair market value and unrealized gains and losses are excluded from earnings and reported in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). Trading securities are held primarily for resale and are recorded at their fair values with gains or losses recognized immediately in earnings.

The following table sets forth the amortized costs and fair value of the Company s investment securities portfolio as of December 31, 2014 and 2013:

	2014		2013	
(Dollars in thousands)	Amortized	Fair	Amortized	Fair
(Douars in inousanas)	Cost	Value	Cost	Value
Securities available for sale:				
U.S. government-sponsored entities and agencies	\$ 7,019	\$ 7,157	\$ 8,343	\$ 8,396
State and political subdivisions	6,535	7,060	7,762	8,037
Mortgage-backed securities residential	30,454	31,360	32,709	33,225
Collateralized mortgage obligations	29,306	28,962	32,791	31,978
Corporate Bonds	3,025	3,094	3,037	3,135
Total	\$ 76,339	\$ 77,633	\$ 84,642	\$ 84,771

As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, the Company s investment securities portfolio did not include any securities classified as held-to-maturity or trading.

Investment Securities 250

The following table sets forth, by maturity distribution, certain information pertaining to the fair value of securities as of December 31, 2014. Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities if borrowers have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties. Securities not due at a single maturity date, primarily mortgage-backed securities residential and collateralized mortgage obligations, are categorized by stated maturity as of December 31, 2014, which is based on the last date on which the principal from the collateral could be paid.

	Withi Year	n One	One to F Years	ive	Five to	Ten Years
(Dollars in thousands)	Amou	un Y ield	Amount	Yield	Amoun	t Yield
Securities available for sale:						
U.S. government-sponsored entities and agencies	\$	%	\$	%	\$2,326	2.24 %
State and political subdivisions ⁽¹⁾			380	4.67	530	4.25
Mortgage-backed securities residential			160	5.43	17,354	4 2.70
Collateralized mortgage obligations					2,284	2.84
Corporate bonds	504	3.20	1,590	3.30	999	1.23
Total	\$504	3.20	\$2,130	4.64	\$23,493	3 2.70
		Beyond T Amount	Ten Years Yield			Yield
Securities available for sale:						
U.S. government-sponsored entities and agencies		\$ 4,832	1.16	% \$ 7	,157	1.64
State and political subdivisions ⁽¹⁾		6,151	4.54	7	,060	4.53
Mortgage-backed securities residential		13,846	2.89	3	1,360	2.97
Collateralized mortgage obligations		26,677	1.85	2	8,962	1.92
Corporate bonds				3	,094	2.87
Total		\$ 51,506	2.63	\$ 7	7,633	2.82

Yields on tax exempt obligations do not include the effects of a tax equivalent adjustment using a federal tax rate of 34%.

Loan Portfolio Composition

The Company has divided the loan portfolio into three portfolio segments, each with different risk characteristics and methodologies for assessing risk. The three portfolio segments include commercial loans, real estate mortgage loans, and consumer and other loans. Real estate mortgage loans are typically segmented into three classes: commercial real estate, residential real estate, and construction and land development.

Due to the nature of our primary operating markets and the borrowing needs of both retail and commercial customers, the Company s loan portfolio has always had significant exposure to real estate mortgage loans and loans secured by commercial real estate. As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively, commercial real estate mortgage (CRE) loans represented the largest class of loans within our portfolio which amounted to \$222.5 million, or 59.3% of total loans, and \$223.2 million, or 60.2% of total loans. Residential real estate mortgage loans comprised the second largest class of loans, which amounted to \$71.0 million, or 18.9% of total loans, and \$71.2 million, or 19.2% of total loans, respectively, as of the same dates. These portfolio concentrations expose the Company to elevated risks of loss due to similar risks of the assets and underlying collateral. As a result, the Company must maintain higher reserves reflected

in the allowance for loan losses and increased capital levels to offset earnings and capital volatility associated with adverse changes in the real estate markets. The Company s management believes its long-term experience in CRE lending, underwriting policies, internal controls and other policies currently in place, as well as our loan and credit monitoring and administration procedures, are appropriate to manage these concentrations as required by current regulatory guidance.

The following table sets forth the composition of our loan portfolio as of December 31, 2014 and 2013.

	2014		2013	
(Dollars in thousands)	Total Loans	% of Total Loans	Total Loans	% of Total Loans
Commercial loans	\$ 57,876	15.4 %	\$ 43,855	11.8 %
Real estate mortgage loans:				
Residential	71,002	18.9	71,192	19.2
Commercial	222,468	59.3	223,182	60.2
Construction and land	22,319	6.0	30,355	8.2
Consumer and other loans	1,489	0.4	2,041	0.6
Total	375,154	100.0 %	370,625	100.0 %
Less:				
Net deferred loan fees	(498)		(273)	
Allowance for loan losses	(14,377)		(15,760)	
Loans, net	\$ 360,279		\$ 354,592	

The following table reflects the contractual principal repayments of our loan portfolio, by maturity period, as of December 31, 2014:

(Dollars in thousands)	Less than One Year	One to Five Years	Greater than Five Years	Total
Commercial loans	\$ 23,918	\$ 19,201	\$ 14,757	\$ 57,876
Real estate mortgage loans:				
Residential	21,903	25,821	23,278	71,002
Commercial	31,961	101,596	88,911	222,468
Construction and land	3,600	12,499	6,220	22,319
Consumer and other loans	526	794	169	1,489
Total	\$ 81,908	\$ 159,911	\$ 133,335	\$ 375,154
Loans with:				
Fixed interest rates	\$ 33,002	\$ 145,267	\$ 126,920	\$ 305,189
Variable interest rates	48,906	14,644	6,415	69,965
Total	\$ 81,908	\$ 159,911	\$ 133,335	\$ 375,154

Scheduled contractual principal repayments of loans do not reflect the actual life of such assets. The average life of loans is substantially less than their average contractual terms due to prepayments. In addition, due-on-sale clauses on loans generally give us the right to declare a conventional loan immediately due and payable in the event, among other things, that the borrower sells real property subject to a mortgage and the loan is not repaid. The average life of mortgage loans tends to increase, however, when current mortgage loan rates are substantially higher than rates on existing mortgage loans and, conversely, decrease when rates on existing mortgages are substantially higher than current mortgage loan rates.

Asset Quality

Our primary business is making commercial, real estate, business and consumer loans. That activity entails potential loan losses, the magnitude of which depends on a variety of economic factors affecting borrowers which are beyond our control. While the Company has instituted underwriting guidelines and credit review procedures to protect it from

avoidable credit losses, some losses will inevitably occur.

The Company has identified certain assets as risk elements. These assets include nonperforming loans, loans that are contractually past due ninety (90) days or more as to principal or interest payments and still accruing, troubled debt restructurings, and other real estate owned (*i.e.*, foreclosed assets). Loans are placed on nonaccrual status when management has concerns regarding the Company s ability to collect the outstanding loan principal and interest amounts and typically when such loans are more than ninety (90) days past due. These loans present more than the normal risk that the Company will be unable to eventually collect or realize

134

TABLE OF CONTENTS

their full carrying value. The Company s nonperforming loans, other real estate owned and troubled debt restructurings as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 were as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	2014		2013	
Nonperforming loans:				
Commercial	\$21		\$304	
Real estate mortgage loans				
Residential	1,151		3,716	
Commercial	7,408		7,105	
Construction and land	574		5,517	
Consumer loans and other	28		366	
Loans past due over 90 days still on accrual				
Total nonperforming loans ⁽¹⁾	9,182		17,00	8
Other real estate owned, net	4,061		3,078	
Total nonperforming assets	\$13,24	3	\$20,08	6
Performing loans classified as troubled debt restructurings	\$8,585		\$6,542	
Nonperforming loans classified as troubled debt restructurings ⁽¹⁾	2,209		5,993	
Total loans classified as troubled debt restructuring	\$10,79	4	\$12,53	5
Nonperforming loans as a percent of gross loans	2.45	%	4.59	%
Nonperforming loans and other real estate owned as a percent of total assets	2.71	%	3.95	%

(1) Amounts shown are also included in the total nonperforming loans above.

As shown in the table above, nonperforming assets have decreased to \$13.2 million as of December 31, 2014 from \$20.1 million as of December 31, 2013. The largest contributor to this decrease was the continued reduction of nonperforming loans which decreased \$7.8 million during the year ended December 31, 2014. The general reduction of nonperforming loans and nonperforming assets during 2014 was due to the Company s ongoing strategy to accelerate the disposition of substandard assets on an individual customer basis. The Company anticipates that the disposition of substandard assets, which includes OREO, will continue in future periods as deemed prudent and reasonable.

From time to time, the Bank may utilize an interest reserve for a borrower s future interest payments to ensure the payments remain current through maturity. As of December 31, 2014, the Bank had \$1.3 million in loans where such a reserve existed. As of December 31, 2013, there were no loans with an attached interest reserve.

Loans are deemed impaired when it is considered probable that the Company will not collect the outstanding loan principal and interest amounts according to the loan's contractual terms. Impaired loans as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 were \$16.1 million and \$20.5 million, respectively, which represent a decrease of \$4.4 million during 2014. Total impaired loans as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 include nonperforming loans of \$8.3 million and \$13.9 million, respectively, and loans acquired from the merger with ABI of \$2.5 million and \$1.4 million as of the same dates.

During the normal course of business, the Company may restructure or modify the terms of a loan for various reasons. The restructuring of a loan is considered a troubled debt restructuring (TDR) if both (i) the borrower is experiencing financial difficulties and (ii) a concession was granted that otherwise would not have occurred under normal circumstances. As of December 31, 2014, the Company had loan balances of \$10.8 million for customers whose loans were classified as troubled debt restructurings; such loans were included in the impaired loans balance as of the same

date. Of the total loans classified as troubled debt restructurings, \$1.3 million were classified as troubled debt restructurings with collateral shortfalls. The Company has allocated \$0.4 million of its allowance for loan losses to customers whose loan terms have been modified as troubled debt restructurings with collateral shortfalls which was the entire allowance for loan losses for all loans classified as troubled debt restructurings.

135

TABLE OF CONTENTS

As of December 31, 2013, the Company had loan balances of \$12.5 million for customers whose loans were classified as troubled debt restructurings; such loans were included in the impaired loans balance as of the same date. Of the total loans classified as troubled debt restructurings, \$1.3 million were classified as troubled debt restructurings with collateral shortfalls. The Company had allocated \$0.6 million of its allowance for loan losses to customers whose loan terms have been modified as troubled debt restructurings with collateral shortfalls and \$0.3 million to the remaining troubled debt restructurings included in the impaired loans balance as of December 31, 2013.

All borrowers whose loans were modified as TDRs during the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 were experiencing financial difficulties. The TDRs that occurred during the year ended December 31, 2014 included one, or a combination, of the following: (i) reduced fixed interest rate through maturity and an advance to cover a deficiency from sale of a separate foreclosed property; (ii) change from principal and interest payments to interest only payments for a limited period of time; (iii) reduced principal and interest payments through maturity; (iv) change from variable rate interest only payments through maturity to fixed rate interest only payments for a limited period of time and reduced principal and interest payments through maturity; (vi) change from variable rate interest only payments through maturity to fixed rate and reduced principal and interest payments through maturity; (vi) proposed forgiveness of principal contingent upon the satisfaction of the modified terms, (vii) extension of maturity date with an amortization amount beyond market terms; (viii) forgiveness of principal; or (ix) modification of terms as a result of a Chapter 11 bankruptcy court approved plan. As of December 31, 2014, the Company had extended additional credit of \$245 thousand to customers whose loans were classified as troubled debt restructurings.

The TDRs that occurred during the year ended December 31, 2013 included one, or a combination, of the following: (i) a forbearance of payments for a limited period of time; (ii) a change in payment terms from principal and interest to interest only payments for a limited period of time or through maturity; (iii) reduced principal and interest payments through maturity; (iv) a reduction in the stated interest rate for a limited period of time or through maturity; (v) the assumption of additional debt to protect the Bank s collateral position; (vi) forgiveness of principal; or (vii) proposed forgiveness of principal contingent upon the satisfaction of the modified terms. Modifications involving a reduction of the stated interest rate of the loan were for a limited period of time, and modifications involving interest-only payments were also for a limited period of time. Principal forgiven in the amount of \$565 thousand was offset by existing reserves from purchase accounting adjustments in the amount of \$545 thousand which resulted in a net charge-off of \$20 thousand. As of December 31, 2013, the Company had extended additional credit of \$483 thousand to customers whose loans were classified as troubled debt restructurings.

The terms of certain other loans that did not meet the definition of a troubled debt restructuring were modified during the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013. These loans had a total recorded investment of \$12.1 million and \$7.3 million as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively, and involved loans to borrowers who were not experiencing financial difficulties. Modifications to terms included one, or a combination of, the following: (i) allowing the borrowers to make interest-only payments for a limited period of time, (ii) adjusting the interest rate to a market interest rate through maturity, (iii) extension of interest-only payments for a limited period of time, (iv) extension of maturity date, or (v) extension of amortization period.

In order to determine whether a borrower is experiencing financial difficulty, an evaluation is performed of the probability that the borrower will be in payment default on any of its debt in the foreseeable future without the modification. This evaluation is performed under the Company s internal underwriting policy.

136

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Loans past due still accruing interest as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 were as follows:

(Dollars in thousands) December 31, 2014	30 59 Days Past Due	60 89 Days Past Due	Greater than 90 Days Past Due	Total Past Due Still Accruing Interest
Commercial loans	\$ 218	\$	\$	\$ 218
Real estate mortgage loans:				
Residential	848	527		1,375
Commercial	4,894			4,894
Construction and land				
Consumer and other loans	269			269
Total	\$ 6,229	\$ 527	\$	\$ 6,756
December 31, 2013	30 59 Days Past Due	60 89 Days Past Due	Greater than 90 Days Past Due	Total Past Due Still Accruing Interest
December 31, 2013 Commercial loans	•	•	90 Days	Still Accruing
	Past Due	Past Due	90 Days Past Due	Still Accruing Interest
Commercial loans	Past Due	Past Due	90 Days Past Due	Still Accruing Interest
Commercial loans Real estate mortgage loans: Residential Commercial	Past Due \$	Past Due \$	90 Days Past Due	Still Accruing Interest \$ 300 5,333
Commercial loans Real estate mortgage loans: Residential Commercial Construction and land	Past Due \$ 287 2,558	Past Due \$ 13 2,775 118	90 Days Past Due	Still Accruing Interest \$ 300 5,333 118
Commercial loans Real estate mortgage loans: Residential Commercial	Past Due \$	Past Due \$ 13 2,775	90 Days Past Due	Still Accruing Interest \$ 300 5,333

The increase in total loans past due still accruing interest to \$6.8 million as of December 31, 2014 from \$5.9 million as of December 31, 2013 was primarily due to one large commercial real estate loan that was between 30 59 days past due on December 31, 2014 and became current at the beginning of 2015.

General improvements in our credit quality include, but are not limited to, the year-over-year reduction in adversely classified loans to \$21.1 million as of December 31, 2014 as compared to \$30.1 million as of December 31, 2013. As of the same dates, total adversely classified loans included loans acquired in the merger with ABI of \$7.2 million and \$9.2 million, respectively. Adversely classified loans from ABI as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 are net of a fair value adjustment of \$0.6 million and \$0.8 million, respectively, which represented 8.2% and 8.0% of gross contractual amounts receivable as of the same dates. Of the total adversely classified loans, \$9.2 million and \$17.0 million were nonperforming loans as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

All adversely classified loans are monitored closely and the majority of these loans are collateralized by real estate. The Company critically evaluates all requests for additional funding on classified loans to determine whether the borrower has the capacity and willingness to repay. Any requests of this nature require concurrence by the Directors Loan Committee of the Bank s board of directors.

The Company purchased loans in its acquisition of ABI, for which there was, at acquisition, evidence of deterioration of credit quality since origination and it was probable, at acquisition, that all contractually-required payments would not be collected. Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality are included in our various disclosures of credit quality, including: loans on nonaccrual; loans past due; special mention loans; substandard loans; and doubtful loans. The tables below disclose the total loans for the Company, total loans acquired in the acquisition of ABI, the loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality and the percent of loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality to total

loans for the Company for each credit metric.

137

TABLE OF CONTENTS

(Dollars in thousands) December 31, 2014	Total Loans	Loans Acquired from ABI	Loans Acquired from ABI with Deteriorated Credit Quality	% of Total
Nonaccrual	\$ 9,182	\$ 3,093	\$ 885	9.6 %
Past Due	14,488	4,490	962	6.6
Special Mention	11,184	348	329	2.9
Substandard	21,139	7,167	2,565	12.1
Doubtful				
Total	\$ 32,323	\$ 7,515	\$ 2,894	9.0
			Loans	
December 31, 2013	Total Loans	Loans Acquired from ABI	Acquired from ABI with Deteriorated Credit Quality	% of Total
Nonaccrual	\$ 17,008	Acquired from ABI \$ 4,537	Acquired from ABI with Deteriorated	18.2 %
	\$ 17,008 19,460	Acquired from ABI \$ 4,537 2,963	Acquired from ABI with Deteriorated Credit Quality \$ 3,099 2,709	
Nonaccrual Past Due Special Mention	\$ 17,008 19,460 16,814	Acquired from ABI \$ 4,537 2,963 711	Acquired from ABI with Deteriorated Credit Quality \$ 3,099 2,709 687	18.2 % 13.9 4.1
Nonaccrual Past Due Special Mention Substandard	\$ 17,008 19,460	Acquired from ABI \$ 4,537 2,963	Acquired from ABI with Deteriorated Credit Quality \$ 3,099 2,709	18.2 % 13.9
Nonaccrual Past Due Special Mention	\$ 17,008 19,460 16,814	Acquired from ABI \$ 4,537 2,963 711	Acquired from ABI with Deteriorated Credit Quality \$ 3,099 2,709 687	18.2 % 13.9 4.1

The Company has experienced a continued reduction in loans acquired from ABI with deteriorated credit quality during the year ended December 31, 2014 from the year ended December 31, 2013. During the year ended December 31, 2014, the Company experienced an overall reduction in loans acquired from ABI with deteriorated credit quality in terms of the recorded investment in such loans and as a percentage of total loans. The credit metrics shown in the table above have been heavily impacted by the Company s ongoing strategy to dispose of substandard assets.

Loans acquired from ABI with deteriorated credit quality continue to be impacted by the volatility of collateral values as well as the economic environment that has impacted our customers—ability to meet their loan obligations. When comparing the percentage of total special mention, substandard and doubtful loans acquired from ABI with deteriorated credit quality to total loans as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, indicators of stability in the local real estate markets have contributed to a reduced percentage of 9.0% as of December 31, 2014 compared to 10.9% as of December 31, 2013, among other Company-specific factors discussed in the previous paragraph.

The same criteria used for all Company loans greater than ninety (90) days past due and accruing interest applies to loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality. Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality will be placed on nonaccrual status if the amount and timing of future cash flows cannot be reasonably estimated or if repayment of the loan is expected to be from collateral that has become deficient. As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, we had loans acquired from ABI with deteriorated credit quality on nonaccrual in the amount of \$0.9 million and \$3.1 million, respectively.

Allowance and Provision for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses amounted to \$14.4 million and \$15.8 million as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Based on an analysis performed by management as of December 31, 2014, the allowance for loan losses was considered to be appropriate to absorb probable incurred credit losses in the portfolio as of that date. However, management s judgment is based upon a number of assumptions about future events, which are believed to be reasonable, but which may or may not prove valid. Thus, there can be no assurance that charge-offs in future periods will not exceed the allowance for loan losses or that significant additional increases in the allowance for loan losses will not be required.

Activity in the allowance for loan losses by portfolio segment for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 was as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	2014	2013
Allowance at beginning of period	\$ 15,760	\$ 20,198
Charge-offs:		
Commercial loans	347	140
Real estate mortgage loans	2,599	5,536
Consumer and other loans	476	176
Total charge-offs	3,422	5,852
Recoveries:		
Commercial loans	47	93
Real estate mortgage loans	1,681	459
Consumer and other loans	24	47
Total recoveries	1,752	599
Net charge-offs	1,670	5,253
Provision for loan losses charged to operating expenses:		
Commercial loans	377	194
Real estate mortgage loans	(841)	185
Consumer and other loans	751	436
Total provision	287	815
Allowance at end of period	\$ 14,377	\$ 15,760

The overall decrease in the allowance for loan losses as of December 31, 2014 compared to December 31, 2013 was driven by general improvements in the economic conditions in the market area that the Company operates. This is evidenced by reduced charge-offs of \$3.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 as compared to \$5.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 as well as increased recoveries of \$1.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 as compared to \$0.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. Additionally, for the period ended December 31, 2014, there was a decrease in the number of loans as well as the amount of allowance needed on loans individually evaluated for impairment. The decrease was slightly offset by an increase in the allowance needed on loans collectively evaluated for impairment due to an overall increase in the respective loan balances.

The following table presents information regarding the total allowance for loan losses as well as the allocation of such amounts by portfolio segment as of December 31, 2014 and 2013.

	2014		2013	
(Dallama in the comments)	Total	% of Total	Total	0/ af Tatal
(Dollars in thousands)	Allowance	% of Total	Allowance	% of Total
Commercial loans	\$ 1,291	9.0 %	\$ 1,215	7.7 %
Real estate mortgage loans	12,161	84.6	13,919	88.3
Consumer and other loans	925	6.4	626	4.0
Total	\$ 14,377	100.0 %	\$ 15,760	100.0 %

The Bank s identification efforts of potential losses in the portfolio are based on a variety of specific factors, including the Company s own historical experience as well as industry and economic trends. In calculating the Company s allowance for loan losses, the Company s historical loss experience over the past five (5) years is supplemented with various current and economic trends. These current qualitative factors can include any of the following: changes in volume and severity of past due status, special mention, substandard and nonaccrual loans; levels of any trends in

charge-offs and recoveries; changes in nature, volume and terms of loans; changes in lending policies and procedures; changes in lending management and quality of loan review; changes in economic and business conditions; and changes in underlying collateral values and effects of concentrations.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

From December 31, 2013 to December 31, 2014, the following changes occurred in relation to our current qualitative factors:

Changes in volume and severity of past due, special mention substandard and nonaccrual loans;

Levels of and trends in charge-offs and recoveries;

Changes in economic and business conditions; and

Changes in underlying collateral values.

As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, the allowance for loan losses from loans collectively evaluated for impairment was \$13.8 million and \$13.2 million, respectively. The following table presents the total weighted average qualitative factors, by percentage and amount, for loans collectively evaluated for impairment as of the same dates.

	Weighted Average Q	ialitative Factors	
	2014	2013	
(Dollars in thousands)	Percentage Amount	Percentage Amount	
Loans collectively evaluated for impairment:			
Commercial loans	1.30 % \$ 731	1.30 % \$ 540	
Real estate mortgage loans	1.08 2,696	1.18 2,859	
Consumer and other loans	1.58 17	1.60 19	

As part of the Company s allowance for loan losses policy, loans acquired from ABI with evidence of deteriorated credit quality were included in our evaluation of the allowance for loan losses for each period. For loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality, if the loss was attributed to events and circumstances that existed as of the acquisition date as a result of new information obtained during the measurement period (*i.e.*, twelve (12) months from date of acquisition) that, if known, would have resulted in the recognition of additional deterioration, the additional deterioration was recorded as additional carrying discount with a corresponding increase to goodwill. If not, the additional deterioration was recorded as additional provision expense with a corresponding increase to the allowance for loan losses. Following the conclusion of the measurement period, any additional impairment above the current carrying discount was recorded as additional provision for loan loss expense with a corresponding increase to the allowance for loan losses. As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, there were none and \$1.3 million, respectively, of loans acquired from ABI with evidence of deteriorated credit quality where additional deterioration was identified above the initial estimated deterioration.

For loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality that were deemed troubled debt restructurings prior to the Company's acquisition of them, these loans were not considered troubled debt restructurings as they were accounted for under ASC 310-30, *Loans and Debt Securities Acquired with Deteriorated Credit Quality*. Subsequent to the acquisition, the same criteria used for all other loans applied to loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality and their treatment as troubled debt restructurings. Since the acquisition, there has been one acquired loan with deteriorated credit quality that was deemed a troubled debt restructuring. This loan was modified as a troubled debt restructuring during 2012 and had a recorded investment of \$801 thousand and \$522 thousand as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Based on the results of an analysis performed by the Company's management as of December 31, 2014, the allowance for loan losses was considered adequate to absorb probable incurred credit losses in the portfolio as of that date. As more fully discussed in the section entitled Critical Accounting Policies of this JAXB Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations as of and for the Periods Ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 beginning on page 127 of this proxy statement/prospectus, the process for estimating credit losses and determining the allowance for loan losses as of any balance sheet date is subjective in nature and requires material estimates and judgments. Actual results may differ significantly from these estimates and judgments.

The amount of future charge-offs and provisions for loan losses could be affected by several factors including, but not limited to, economic conditions in Jacksonville and Jacksonville Beach, Florida, and the surrounding communities. Such conditions could affect the financial strength of the Company s borrowers and the value of real estate collateral securing the Company s mortgage loans. Future charge-offs and provisions could also be affected by environmental impairment of properties securing the Company s mortgage loans. Under the Company s current policy, an environmental risk assessment is required on the majority of all commercial-type properties that are considered for a mortgage loan. The Company is not aware of any existing loans in the portfolio where there is environmental pollution existing on the mortgaged properties that would materially affect the value of the portfolio.

Deposits and Other Sources of Funds

General

In addition to deposits, the sources of funds available for lending and other business purposes include loan repayments, loan sales, FHLB advances, Federal Reserve borrowings, federal funds purchased, revolving loan agreements, and capital raise activities in more recent years. Loan repayments are a relatively stable source of funds, while deposit inflows and outflows are influenced significantly by general interest rates and market conditions. Borrowings may be used to compensate for reductions in other sources, such as deposits, or due to favorable differentials in rates and other costs.

Deposits

Deposits are attracted principally from our primary geographic market areas in Duval County, Florida. The Bank also enhanced its geographical diversity by offering certificates of deposits through brokered markets and nationally to other financial institutions. In August 2009, the Company launched its virtual branch to attract deposits from other geographic market areas. The Bank offers a broad selection of deposit products, including demand deposit accounts, NOW accounts, money market accounts, regular savings accounts, term certificates of deposit and retirement savings plans (such as IRAs). Certificates of deposit rates are set to encourage maturities based on current market conditions. Deposit account terms vary, with the primary differences being the minimum balance required, the time period the funds must remain on deposit, and the associated interest rates.

The following table presents information regarding total deposits as well as the percentage of such amounts by deposit category as of December 31, 2014 and 2013.

	2014		2013	
(Dollars in thousands)	Total Deposits	% of Total	Total Deposits	% of Total
Demand deposits	\$ 107,840	25.9 %	\$ 100,788	23.2 %
Savings deposits	9,891	2.4	9,510	2.2
NOW deposits	29,295	7.1	24,867	5.7
Money market deposits	135,507	32.6	153,708	35.3
Time deposits	133,223	32.0	146,093	33.6
Total deposits	\$ 415,756	100.0 %	\$ 434,966	100.0 %

The Bank holds quarterly Asset Liability Committee (ALCO) meetings, comprised of members of the Bank s board of directors and management, with a primary purpose of monitoring the Bank s asset/liability structure and developing strategies for funds management including, but not limited to, changes in interest rates and deposit and loan products/policies. To supplement ALCO activities, pricing and liquidity management meetings are held by members

of management on a monthly basis, or more frequently if economic conditions dictate. The Bank also emphasizes commercial banking and small business relationships in an effort to increase demand deposits as a percentage of total deposits in order to reduce the average cost of interest-bearing deposits.

141

Deposits 267

The following table shows the distribution of, and certain other information related to, our deposit accounts by category as of December 31, 2014 and 2013:

	2014		2013	
(Dollars in thousands)	Average	Average	Average	Average
	Balance	Rate Paid	Balance	Rate Paid
Demand deposits	\$ 105,063	0.00 %	\$ 95,740	0.00 %
Savings deposits	9,920	0.16	9,761	0.26
NOW deposits	28,224	0.09	23,019	0.10
Money market deposits	149,939	0.38	159,976	0.57
Time deposits	132,233	1.14	159,692	1.21
Total deposits	\$ 425,379	0.50	\$ 448,188	0.65

The following table represents the maturity distribution of the Company s time deposits as of December 31, 2014:

	Time Depo	osits		
(Dollars in thousands)	Greater than \$100,000	Less than \$100,000	Total	
Due in:				
Three months or less	\$ 31,255	\$ 11,556	\$ 42,811	
More than three months to six months	9,918	3,928	13,846	
More than six months to one year	19,416	7,649	27,065	
More than one year to three years	36,726	10,464	47,190	
More than three years to five years	1,773	538	2,311	
More than five years				
Total	\$ 99,088	\$ 34,135	\$ 133,223	

Liquidity and Capital Resources

The Bank s liquidity is its ability to maintain a steady flow of funds to support its ongoing operating, investing and financing activities. The Bank s board of directors establishes policies and analyzes and manages liquidity to ensure that adequate funds are available to meet normal operating requirements in addition to unexpected customer demands for funds, such as high levels of deposit withdrawals or loan demand, in a timely and cost-effective manner. The most important factor in the preservation of liquidity is maintaining public confidence that facilitates the retention and growth of a large, stable supply of core deposits and wholesale funds. Ultimately, public confidence is generated through profitable operations, sound credit quality and a strong capital position. Liquidity management is viewed from a long-term and a short-term perspective as well as from an asset and liability management perspective. We monitor liquidity through a regular review of loan and deposit maturities and loan and deposit forecasts to maximize earnings and return on capital within acceptable levels of funding risk.

Cash Flows

The Company s primary sources of cash are deposit growth, maturities and amortization of investment securities, FHLB advances, Federal Reserve Bank borrowings and federal funds purchased. The Company uses cash from these and other sources to fund loans. Any remaining cash is used primarily to reduce borrowings and to purchase investment securities.

Cash Flows from Operating Activities

Net cash from operating activities was \$2.1 million and \$1.6 million for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Net cash from operating activities during 2014 was primarily impacted by net income of \$1.9 million, as adjusted for (i) net accretion of purchase accounting adjustments of \$1.1 million, (ii) provision for loan losses of \$0.3 million, (iii) premium amortization for securities, net of accretion of \$0.9 million, and (iv) depreciation and amortization of \$0.7 million. Net cash from operating activities during 2013 was primarily impacted by net loss of \$1.0 million, as adjusted for (i) provision for loan losses of

\$0.8 million, (ii) the write-down of other real estate owned of \$1.1 million, (iii) net accretion of purchase accounting adjustments of \$1.5 million, and (iv) premium amortization for securities, net of accretion of \$1.0 million.

Cash Flows from Investing Activities

Net cash from investing activities was \$3.6 million and \$19.7 million for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The decrease in net cash flows from investing activities for 2014 as compared to 2013 was primarily due to an increase in net loan cash outflow of \$27.6 million as well as a decrease in cash inflow from proceeds from the sale of other real estate owned of \$5.4 million. This was offset by an increase in cash inflows of \$12.2 million from net activities pertaining to our available-for-sale securities.

Cash Flows from Financing Activities

Net cash used for financing activities was \$21.7 million and \$53.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Net cash flows from financing activities are primarily driven by inflow/outflow activities related to deposit balances. The net change in deposits for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 was \$19.2 million and \$55.0 million, respectively. For the year ended December 31, 2014, there was a cash outflow of \$2.5 million for repayment of Federal Home Loan Bank fixed rate advances.

Capital Resources

The Company has both internal and external sources of near-term liquidity that can be used to fund loan growth and accommodate deposit outflows. The primary internal sources of liquidity include principal and interest payments on loans, proceeds from maturities and monthly payments on the balance of the investment securities portfolio, and the Company s overnight position with federal funds sold. As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, the Company had available-for-sale securities of \$77.6 million and \$84.8 million, respectively. Of these amounts, \$6.8 million and \$7.2 million represented securities pledged to secure the available Borrower in Custody line of credit with the Federal Reserve Bank and serve as collateral required by the State of Florida. During the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, the Company received proceeds from maturities, paydowns, and calls of investment securities of \$17.7 million and \$22.0 million, respectively. If the need should arise, the Company also has the ability to convert marketable securities into cash or access new or existing sources of incremental funds.

The Company s primary external sources of liquidity are customer deposits and borrowings from other commercial banks. The Company s deposit base consists of both deposits from businesses and consumers in its local market as well as national and brokered market deposits.

In the second quarter of 2014, the Bank moved the majority of its correspondent bank activity to the Federal Reserve Bank. As of December 31, 2014, the Bank had unsecured federal funds purchased accommodations with its correspondent banks totaling \$19.5 million, all of which was available on that date. Availability of funds under the unsecured federal funds purchased accommodations are based on the Company s capital adequacy as of that date; therefore, total funds available under these accommodations could fluctuate period-over-period.

In addition, the Bank has invested in FHLB stock for the purpose of establishing a line of credit with FHLB. This line is collateralized by a lien arrangement on the Bank s first mortgage loans, second mortgage loans and commercial real estate loans. Based upon this collateral and the Company s holdings of FHLB stock, the Company is eligible to borrow up to a total of \$52.0 million as of December 31, 2014 and had borrowed \$17.5 million, leaving \$34.5 million available as of the same date. Eligible borrowings under this line of credit as of December 31, 2013 were \$26.7 million with remaining funds available of \$6.7 million as of the same date. The Bank also has a Borrower in Custody

line of credit with the Federal Reserve Bank that utilizes excess loan collateral and pledged municipal securities in the amount of \$5.2 million and \$5.7 million as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The amount of this line as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 was \$24.4 million and \$24.9 million, respectively, all of which was available as of the respective dates. While these lines of credit were available to the Company as of December 31, 2014, they do not represent legal commitments to extend credit.

143

Capital Resources 271

TABLE OF CONTENTS

To supplement liquidity needs, the Bank also has access to the non-brokered national and brokered deposit markets. As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, the Bank had national CDs in the amount of \$68.0 million and \$58.7 million, respectively, and brokered CDs in the amount of \$8.3 million and \$10.4 million as of the same dates. Our ability to utilize brokered CDs and the rates we can pay on deposits will be limited if the Bank fails to remain well capitalized for regulatory purposes.

During the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, Bancorp entered into revolving loan agreements (collectively, the Revolvers) with several of its directors and other related parties. The total borrowing capacity under the Revolvers was \$2.2 million as of December 31, 2014 and 2013. Each Revolver pays an annual rate of interest equal to 8% on a quarterly basis of the Revolver amount outstanding. To the extent that any Revolver is not fully drawn, an unused revolver fee is calculated and paid quarterly at an annual rate of 2% on the revolving loan commitment less the daily average principal amount outstanding. The Revolvers mature on January 1, 2015. There were no amounts outstanding under the Revolvers as of December 31, 2014, with \$2.2 million remaining available as of the same date. In recent years, Bancorp has depended on the Revolvers, which have now matured, in addition to cash on hand and net proceeds from capital raise activities, to pay its operating and interest expenses. See *Note 9 Related Party Transactions* in the accompanying notes to the Audited Consolidated Financial Statements identified in the section entitled Index to JAXB s Consolidated Financial Statements beginning on page F-1 of this proxy statement/prospectus for additional information related to the reduced availability under the Revolvers.

On January 8, 2015, Bancorp entered into a loan agreement with Castle Creek SSF-D Investors, LP (Castle Creek) under which Castle Creek agreed to make revolving loans to the Company not to exceed \$1,500 outstanding at any time (the Castle Creek Revolver). The principal amount of the Castle Creek Revolver outstanding from time to time will accrue interest at 8% per annum, payable quarterly in arrears. All amounts borrowed under the Castle Creek Revolver will be due and payable by Bancorp on January 7, 2017, unless payable sooner pursuant to the provisions of the related loan agreement. To the extent that the Castle Creek Revolver is not fully drawn, an unused revolver fee will be calculated and paid quarterly at an annual rate of 2% on the revolving loan commitment less the daily average principal amount outstanding.

Historically, the primary source of Bancorp's income was expected to be dividends from the Bank. A Florida state-chartered commercial bank may not pay cash dividends that would cause the bank's capital to fall below the minimum amount required by federal or state law. Accordingly, commercial banks may only pay dividends out of the total of current net profits plus retained net profits of the preceding two (2) years to the extent it deems expedient, except as follows: No bank may pay a dividend at any time that the total of net income for the current year, when combined with retained net income from the preceding two (2) years, produces a loss. The Bank met this restriction during each of the past two (2) years as our net income or loss for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, combined with retained earnings from the preceding two (2) years, produced a loss.

Bancorp cannot currently pay dividends on its capital stock under applicable Federal Reserve policies and enforcement actions. Under Federal Reserve policy, the board of directors of a bank holding company must consider different factors to ensure that its dividend level is prudent relative to maintaining a strong financial position, and is not based on overly optimistic earnings scenarios, such as potential events that could affect its ability to pay dividends, while still maintaining a strong financial position. As a general matter, the Federal Reserve has indicated that the board of directors of a bank holding company should consult with the Federal Reserve and eliminate, defer or significantly reduce the bank holding company s dividends if:

its net income available to shareholders for the past four quarters, net of dividends previously paid during that period, is not sufficient to fully fund the dividends;

Capital Resources 272

its prospective rate of earnings retention is not consistent with its capital needs and overall current and prospective financial condition; or

it will not meet, or is in danger of not meeting, its minimum regulatory capital adequacy ratios. In addition to the factors previously discussed, the future ability of the Bank to pay dividends to Bancorp will also depend in part on the FDIC capital requirements in effect at such time and our ability to comply with such requirements.

144

Capital Resources 273

Regulatory Capital Requirements

As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, Bancorp (on a consolidated basis) and the Bank met all capital adequacy requirements to which they were subject. Further, management and the Bank s board of directors have committed to maintain Total Risk-Based Capital of 12% and Tier 1 Capital to Average Assets of 8%. For additional information related to the Company s capital adequacy information, see *Note 16 Capital Adequacy* in the accompanying notes to the Audited Consolidated Financial Statements identified in the section entitled Index to JAXB s Consolidated Financial Statements beginning on page F-1 of this proxy statement/prospectus.

Bank

The Bank was well capitalized as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Depository institutions that are no longer well capitalized for bank regulatory purposes must receive a waiver from the FDIC prior to accepting or renewing brokered deposits. FDICIA generally prohibits a depository institution from making any capital distribution (including paying dividends) or paying any management fee to its holding company, if the depository institution would thereafter be undercapitalized.

In December 2006, bank regulators issued Joint Guidance on Concentrations in Commercial Real Estate Lending. This document outlines regulators concerns regarding the high level of growth in commercial real estate loans on banks balance sheets. Many banks, especially those in Florida, have substantial exposure to commercial real estate loans. The concentration in this category is considered when analyzing the adequacy of the loan loss allowance based on sound, reliable and well-documented information. The Bank s 2012 MoU with the FDIC also requires us to monitor and reduce our commercial real estate (CRE) loan concentrations. As of December 31, 2014, the ratio of total loans secured by non-owner occupied multi-family, nonfarm, and nonresidential properties, as well as construction, land development and other land loans as a percentage of total risk-based capital, was 252.1% compared to 275.8% as of December 31, 2013. Our December 31, 2014 and 2013 ratio of total loans secured by non-owner occupied multi-family, nonfarm, and nonresidential properties, as well as construction, land development and other land loans, met this requirement.

Dividends and Distributions

Prior to October 2009, dividends received from the Bank were Bancorp's principal source of funds to pay its expenses and interest on and principal of Bancorp's debt. Banking regulations and enforcement actions require the maintenance of certain capital levels and restrict the payment of dividends by the Bank to Bancorp or by Bancorp to shareholders. Commercial banks generally may only pay dividends without prior regulatory approval out of the total of current net profits plus retained net profits of the preceding two (2) years, and banks and bank holding companies are generally expected to pay dividends from current earnings. Banks may not pay a dividend if the dividend would result in the bank being undercapitalized for prompt corrective action purposes, or would violate any minimum capital requirement specified by law or the banks regulators. The Bank has not paid dividends to Bancorp since October 2009 and cannot currently pay dividends, and Bancorp cannot currently pay dividends on its capital stock under applicable Federal Reserve policies and enforcement actions. Bancorp has relied upon proceeds from the recent capital raise transactions as well as the revolving loan agreements with certain of its directors and other related parties to pay its expenses during such time. As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, funds remaining available under the Revolvers were \$2.2 million and \$2.2 million, respectively. During 2014 and 2013, Bancorp has supplemented borrowings available under the Revolvers with cash on hand and net proceeds from capital raise activities to fund operations.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

The Company is a party to financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its customers. These financial instruments are commitments to extend credit, unused lines of credit, and standby letters of credit, and may involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit and interest-rate risk in excess of the amount recognized in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The Company s exposure to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the other party to the financial instrument for commitments to extend credit is represented by the contractual amount of those instruments.

The contractual amounts of these instruments reflect the extent of involvement of the Company. As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, the contractual amount of unused lines of credit was \$52.8 million and \$33.5 million, respectively. The contractual amount of standby letters of credit was \$0.9 million and \$0.8 million as of the same dates.

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Since some of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. The Company uses the same credit policies in making commitments to extend credit as for on-balance sheet instruments. In addition, the Company evaluates each customer s credit worthiness on a case-by-case basis. The amount of collateral obtained, if deemed necessary by the Company upon extension of credit, is based on management s credit evaluation of the counterparty.

Standby letters of credit are conditional commitments issued by the Company to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loans to customers.

For additional information related to the Company's off-balance sheet arrangements, see *Note 13 Loan Commitments* and *Other Contingent Liabilities* in the accompanying notes to the Audited Consolidated Financial Statements identified in the section entitled Index to JAXB's Consolidated Financial Statements beginning on page F-1 of this proxy statement/prospectus.

Asset Liability Structure

As part of its asset and liability management, the Bank has emphasized establishing and implementing internal asset-liability decision processes as well as communications and control procedures to aid in enhancing its earnings. It is believed that these processes and procedures provide the Bank with better capital planning, asset/liability mix and volume controls, loan pricing guidelines, and deposit interest rate guidelines, which should result in tighter controls and less exposure to interest-rate risk.

The matching of assets and liabilities may be analyzed by examining the extent to which such assets and liabilities are interest-rate sensitive and by monitoring an institution s interest-rate sensitivity gap. An asset or liability is said to be interest-rate sensitive within a specific time period if it will mature or reprice within that time period. The interest-rate sensitivity gap is defined as the difference between interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities maturing or repricing within a given time period. The gap ratio is computed as rate-sensitive assets less rate-sensitive liabilities as a percentage of total assets. A gap is considered positive when the total of rate-sensitive assets exceeds rate-sensitive liabilities, whereas a gap is considered negative when the amount of rate-sensitive liabilities exceeds rate-sensitive assets. During a period of rising interest rates, a negative gap would be expected to adversely affect net interest income, while a positive gap should result in an increase in net interest income. In contrast, during a period of falling interest rates, a negative gap would be expected to result in an increase in net interest income, while a positive gap should adversely affect net interest income.

In order to minimize the potential for adverse effects of material and prolonged changes in interest rates on the results of operations, the Bank continues to monitor asset and liability management policies to appropriately match the maturities and repricing terms of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. Such policies have consisted primarily of: (i) emphasizing the origination of variable-rate loans; (ii) maintaining a stable core deposit base; and (iii) maintaining a sound level of liquid assets, such as cash and investment securities.

The following table sets forth certain information relating to our interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities as of December 31, 2014 that are estimated to mature or are scheduled to reprice within the period shown:

(Dollars in thousands)	3 Months or Less	Over 3 Months to 6 Months	Over 6 Months to 1 Year	Over 1 Year to 5 Years	Over 5 Years	Total
Loans ⁽¹⁾	\$91,199	\$26,104	\$44,131	\$196,334	\$2,511	\$360,279
Securities	13,167	6,277	10,017	38,750	9,422	77,633
Overnight Investments	594					594
FHLB & Correspondent Bank Stock	1,243					1,243
Other	568				11,857	12,425
Total rate-sensitive assets	\$106,771	\$32,381	\$54,148	\$235,084	\$23,790	\$452,174
Deposit accounts:						
NOW deposits	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$29,295	\$29,295
Money market accounts	135,507					135,507
Savings deposits					9,891	9,891
Time deposits	42,811	13,846	27,065	49,501		133,223
Total deposit accounts ⁽²⁾	178,318	13,846	27,065	49,501	39,186	307,916
FHLB advances	6,000			11,500	129	17,629
Other borrowings						
Subordinated debt					16,218	16,218
Total rate-sensitive liabilities	\$184,318	\$13,846	\$27,065	\$61,001	\$55,533	\$341,763
Gap repricing difference	\$(77,547)	\$18,535	\$27,083	\$174,083	\$(31,743)	\$110,411
Cumulative gap	\$(77,547)	\$(59,012)	\$(31,929)	\$142,154	\$110,411	
Cumulative gap to total rate-sensitive assets	(17.15)%	(13.05)%	(7.06)%	31.44 %	24.42 %	

Variable rate loans are included in the period in which the interest rates are next scheduled to adjust rather than in (1)the period in which the loans mature. Fixed rate loans are scheduled, including repayments, according to their contractual maturities.

Certain liabilities such as NOW and savings accounts, while technically are subject to immediate repricing in response to changing market rates, historically have shown little volatility. Conversely, many of the money market accounts float with the prime lending rate and, therefore, are assumed to reprice within a three-month horizon. Management subjectively sets rates on all accounts.

Critical Accounting Policies

A critical accounting policy is one that is both very important to the portrayal of the Company s financial condition and requires management s most difficult, subjective or complex judgments. The circumstances that make these judgments difficult, subjective or complex have to do with the need to make estimates about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain. The following is a brief description of the Company s critical accounting policies and estimates involving significant valuation judgments.

Allowance for Loan Loss

The accounting policy most important to the presentation of our financial statements relates to the allowance for loan losses which is established through a provision for loan losses charged to expense. Loans are charged against the allowance for loan losses when management believes that the collectability of the principal is unlikely. The allowance is an amount that management believes will be adequate to absorb probable incurred credit losses on existing loans that may become uncollectible based on evaluations of the collectability of the loans. The evaluations take into consideration such objective factors as changes in the nature and volume of the loan portfolio and historical loss experience. The evaluation also considers certain subjective factors such as overall portfolio quality, review of specific problem loans and current economic

147

Allowance for Loan Loss 279

conditions that may affect the borrowers ability to pay. The level of allowance for loan losses is also impacted by increases and decreases in loans outstanding, because either more or less allowance is required as the amount of the Company s credit exposure changes. To the extent actual loan losses differ materially from management s estimate of these subjective factors, loan growth/run-off accelerates, or the mix of loan types changes, the level of provision for loan losses, and related allowance can, and will, fluctuate.

Other Real Estate Owned

OREO includes real estate acquired through foreclosure or deed taken in lieu of foreclosure. These amounts are recorded at estimated fair value, less costs to sell the property, with any difference between the fair value of the property and the carrying value of the loan being charged to the allowance for loan losses.

Fair values are preliminary and subject to refinement after the acquisition date as new information relative to the acquisition date fair value becomes available. Valuation adjustments and gains or losses recognized on the sale of these properties occurring within ninety (90) days of acquisition are charged against, or credited to, the allowance for loan losses. Subsequent changes in fair value are reported as adjustments to the carrying amount, not to exceed the initial carrying value of the assets at the time of transfer. Those subsequent changes, as well as any gains or losses recognized on the sale of these properties, are included in noninterest expense. Operating costs after acquisition are expensed as incurred.

Deferred Income Taxes

Our net deferred income tax asset arises from differences in the dates that items of income and expense enter into our reported income and taxable income. From an accounting standpoint, deferred tax assets are reviewed to determine if a valuation allowance is required based on both positive and negative evidence currently available. Based on these criteria, the Company determined that it was necessary to establish a full valuation allowance against our deferred tax asset as of December 31, 2011. The Company performed an analysis as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively, and determined the need for a valuation allowance still existed. To the extent that we generate taxable income in a given quarter, the valuation allowance may be reduced to fully or partially offset the corresponding income tax expense. Any remaining deferred tax asset valuation allowance may be reversed through income tax expense once the Company can demonstrate a sustainable return to profitability and conclude that it is more-likely-than-not that the deferred tax asset will be utilized prior to expiration.

For additional information related to the previously described policies as well as other significant accounting policies employed by the Company, see *Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies* in the notes to the Audited Consolidated Financial Statements identified in the section entitled Index to JAXB s Consolidated Financial Statements beginning on page F-1 of this proxy statement/prospectus.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

For information related to the adoption of new accounting standards and the effect of newly issued but not yet effective accounting standards, see the section entitled Recently Issued Accounting and Reporting Standards contained in *Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies* in the accompanying notes to the Audited Consolidated Financial Statements identified in the section entitled Index to JAXB s Consolidated Financial Statements beginning on page F₋1 of this proxy statement/prospectus.

Other Real Estate Owned 280

MARKET FOR JAXB COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

JAXB voting common stock is traded on the NASDAQ Stock Market under the symbol JAXB. There is no public trading market for JAXB nonvoting common stock.

The following table shows the high and low sale prices of JAXB voting common stock for the first quarter of 2016 (through the latest practicable date) and for each quarter of 2015 and 2014.

Year	Quarter	High	Low
2014	First	\$ 12.53	\$ 10.40
	Second	\$ 11.78	\$ 7.45
	Third	\$ 11.01	\$ 9.90
	Fourth	\$ 12.50	\$ 8.85
2015	First	\$ 12.28	\$ 10.40
	Second	\$ 14.89	\$ 10.61
	Third	\$ 15.00	\$ 11.73
	Fourth	\$ 19.52	\$ 15.44
2016	First (through February 1, 2016)	\$ 18.27	\$ 15.57

As of February 1, 2016, JAXB had 3,512,773 outstanding shares of JAXB voting common stock held by approximately 409 registered shareholders and 2,287,821 outstanding shares of JAXB nonvoting common stock held by 13 registered shareholders.

It is the policy of JAXB s board of directors to reinvest earnings for such period of time as is necessary to ensure its successful operations. There are no current plans to initiate payment of cash dividends, and future dividend policy will depend on JAXB s earnings, capital and regulatory requirements, financial condition, and other factors considered relevant by JAXB s board of directors. For more information regarding JAXB s ability to pay dividends and restrictions thereon, see the Liquidity and Capital sections of JAXB Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations as of and for the Periods Ended September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014 and the Liquidity and Capital Resources Capital Resources and the Regulatory Capital Requirements Dividends and Distributions sections of JAXB Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations as of and for the Periods Ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 beginning on pages 113 and 127 of this proxy statement/prospectus, respectively, and Note 8 Capital Adequacy in the accompanying notes to the Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements and the Dividends and Distributions section in Note 16 Capital Adequacy in the accompanying notes to the Audited Consolidated Financial Statements identified in the section entitled Index to JAXB s Consolidated Financial Statements beginning on page F-1 of this proxy statement/prospectus.

MATERIAL UNITED STATES FEDERAL INCOME TAX CONSEQUENCES

The following general discussion sets forth the anticipated material United States federal income tax consequences of the merger to U.S. holders (as defined below) of JAXB common stock that exchange their shares of JAXB common stock for shares of ABCB common stock and cash in the merger. This discussion does not address any tax consequences arising under the laws of any state, local or foreign jurisdiction, or under any United States federal laws other than those pertaining to income tax. This discussion is based upon the Code, the regulations promulgated under the Code and court and administrative rulings and decisions, all as in effect on the date of this proxy statement/prospectus. These laws may change, possibly retroactively, and any change could affect the accuracy of the statements and conclusions set forth in this discussion.

This discussion addresses only those JAXB common shareholders that hold their shares of JAXB common stock as a capital asset within the meaning of Section 1221 of the Code (generally, property held for investment). Further, this discussion does not address all aspects of United States federal income taxation that may be relevant to you in light of your particular circumstances or that may be applicable to you if you are subject to special treatment under the United States federal income tax laws, including if you are:

a financial institution;

a tax-exempt organization;

an S corporation or other pass-through entity (or an investor in an S corporation or other pass-through entity); an insurance company;

a mutual fund;

a dealer or broker in stocks and securities, or currencies;

a trader in securities that elects mark-to-market treatment:

a holder of JAXB common stock subject to the alternative minimum tax provisions of the Code; a holder of JAXB common stock that received JAXB common stock through the exercise of an employee stock option, through a tax qualified retirement plan or otherwise as compensation;

a person that is not a U.S. holder (as defined below);

a person that has a functional currency other than the U.S. dollar;

a holder of JAXB common stock that holds JAXB common stock as part of a hedge, straddle, constructive sale, conversion or other integrated transaction; or

a United States expatriate.

In addition, the discussion does not address any alternative minimum tax or any state, local or foreign tax consequences of the merger, nor does it address any tax consequences arising under the unearned income Medicare contribution tax pursuant to the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010. Determining the actual tax consequences of the merger to you may be complex. They will depend on your specific situation and on factors that are not within the control of JAXB or ABCB. You should consult with your own tax advisor as to the tax consequences of the merger in your particular circumstances.

For purposes of this discussion, the term U.S. holder means a beneficial owner of JAXB common stock that is for United States federal income tax purposes (i) an individual citizen or resident of the United States, (ii) a corporation, or entity treated as a corporation, organized in or under the laws of the United States or any state thereof or the District of Columbia, (iii) a trust if (a) a court within the United States is able to exercise primary supervision over the administration of the trust and one or more U.S. persons have the authority to control all substantial decisions of the trust or (b) such trust has made a valid election to be treated as a U.S. person for U.S. federal income tax purposes or

(iv) an estate, the income of which is includible in gross income for U.S. federal income tax purposes regardless of its source.

The United States federal income tax consequences to a partner in an entity or arrangement that is treated as a partnership for United States federal income tax purposes and that holds JAXB common stock generally will depend on the status of the partner and the activities of the partnership. Partners in a partnership holding JAXB common stock should consult their own tax advisors.

Tax Consequences of the Merger Generally

The parties intend for the merger to qualify as a reorganization within the meaning of Section 368(a) of the Code. It is a condition to ABCB s obligation to complete the merger that ABCB receive an opinion from Rogers & Hardin LLP (Rogers & Hardin), dated the closing date of the merger, to the effect that the merger will qualify as a reorganization within the meaning of Section 368(a) of the Code. It is a condition to JAXB s obligation to complete the merger that JAXB receive an opinion from McGuireWoods LLP (McGuireWoods), dated the closing date of the merger, to the effect that the merger will qualify as a reorganization within the meaning of Section 368(a) of the Code. These opinions will be based on representation letters provided by ABCB and JAXB and on customary factual assumptions. Neither of the opinions described above will be binding on the Internal Revenue Service. ABCB and JAXB have not sought and will not seek any ruling from the Internal Revenue Service regarding any matters relating to the merger, and as a result, there can be no assurance that the Internal Revenue Service will not assert, or that a court would not sustain, a position contrary to any of the conclusions set forth below.

In addition, in connection with the effectiveness of this registration statement, each of Rogers & Hardin and McGuireWoods has delivered its opinion to the effect that, on the basis of the facts, representations, assumptions and exclusions set forth in such opinion and certificates obtained from officers of ABCB and JAXB, (i) the merger will qualify as a reorganization within the meaning of Section 368(a) of the Code and (ii) the following discussion constitutes their opinion as to the material U.S. federal income tax consequences of the merger to holders of JAXB common stock. Neither of these opinions is binding on the Internal Revenue Service or the courts, and neither ABCB nor JAXB intends to request a ruling from the Internal Revenue Service regarding the United States federal income tax consequences of the merger. Consequently, no assurance can be given that the Internal Revenue Service will not assert, or that a court would not sustain, a position contrary to any of those set forth below. In addition, if any of the representations or assumptions upon which such opinions are based are inconsistent with the actual facts, the United States federal income tax consequences of the merger could be adversely affected.

Upon exchanging your JAXB common stock for ABCB common stock and cash (other than cash received in lieu of a fractional share), you will recognize gain (but not loss) in an amount equal to the lesser of (1) the amount of gain realized (*i.e.*, the excess of the sum of the amount of cash and the fair market value of the ABCB common stock received pursuant to the merger over that holder s adjusted tax basis in its shares of JAXB common stock surrendered) and (2) the amount of cash received pursuant to the merger (excluding any cash received in lieu of a fractional share). If you acquired different blocks of JAXB common stock at different times or different prices, you should consult your tax advisor regarding the manner in which gain or loss should be determined. Any recognized gain will be long-term capital gain if, as of the effective date of the merger, your holding period with respect to the JAXB common stock surrendered exceeds one year. If, however, the cash received has the effect of the distribution of a dividend, the gain will be treated as a dividend to the extent of the holder s ratable share of accumulated earnings and profits as calculated for United States federal income tax purposes. See Possible Treatment of Cash as a Dividend below.

The aggregate tax basis in the shares of ABCB common stock that you receive in the merger, including any fractional share interests deemed received and sold as described below, will equal your aggregate adjusted tax basis in the JAXB common stock you surrender, reduced by the amount of cash received (excluding any cash received in lieu of a fractional share) and increased by the amount of gain, if any recognized by you (excluding any gain recognized with

respect to cash received in lieu of a fractional share) on the exchange. Your holding period for the shares of ABCB common stock that you receive in the merger (including a fractional share interest deemed received and sold as described below) will include your holding period for the shares of JAXB common stock that you surrender in the exchange.

Possible Treatment of Cash as a Dividend

In general, the determination of whether the gain recognized in the exchange will be treated as capital gain or has the effect of a distribution of a dividend depends upon whether and to what extent the exchange reduces the holder is deemed percentage stock ownership of ABCB. For purposes of this determination, the holder is treated as if it first exchanged all of its shares of JAXB common stock solely for ABCB common stock and then ABCB immediately redeemed, which we refer to in this document as the deemed redemption, a portion of the ABCB common stock in exchange for the cash the holder actually received. The gain recognized in the deemed redemption will be treated as capital gain if the deemed redemption is (1) substantially disproportionate with respect to the holder or (2) not essentially equivalent to a dividend.

The deemed redemption will generally be substantially disproportionate with respect to a holder if the percentage described in (2) below is less than 80% of the percentage described in (1) below. Whether the deemed redemption is not essentially equivalent to a dividend with respect to a holder will depend upon the holder s particular circumstances. At a minimum, however, in order for the deemed redemption to be not essentially equivalent to a dividend, the deemed redemption must result in a meaningful reduction in the holder s deemed percentage stock ownership of ABCB. In general, that determination requires a comparison of (1) the percentage of the outstanding stock of ABCB that the holder is deemed actually and constructively to have owned immediately before the deemed redemption and (2) the percentage of the outstanding stock of ABCB that is actually and constructively owned by the holder immediately after the deemed redemption. In applying the above tests, a holder may, under the constructive ownership rules, be deemed to own stock that is owned by other persons or stock underlying a holder s option to purchase in addition to the stock actually owned by the holder.

The Internal Revenue Service has ruled that a shareholder in a publicly held corporation whose relative stock interest is minimal (e.g., less than 1%) and who exercises no control with respect to corporate affairs is generally considered to have a meaningful reduction if that shareholder has a relatively minor (e.g., approximately 3%) reduction in its percentage stock ownership under the above analysis; accordingly, the gain recognized in the exchange by such a shareholder would be treated as capital gain.

These rules are complex and dependent upon the specific factual circumstances particular to each holder. Consequently, each holder that may be subject to these rules should consult its tax advisor as to the application of these rules to the particular facts relevant to such holder.

Cash Instead of a Fractional Share

If you receive cash instead of a fractional share of ABCB common stock, you will be treated as having received the fractional share of ABCB common stock pursuant to the merger and then as having sold that fractional share of ABCB common stock for cash. As a result, you generally will recognize gain or loss equal to the difference between the amount of cash received and the basis allocable to your fractional share of ABCB common stock. This gain or loss generally will be capital gain or loss, and will be long-term capital gain or loss if, as of the effective date of the merger, the holding period for the shares (including the holding period of JAXB common stock surrendered therefor) is greater than one year. The deductibility of capital losses is subject to limitations.

Backup Withholding

If you are a non-corporate holder of JAXB common stock you may be subject to information reporting and backup withholding (currently at a rate of 28%) on any cash payments you receive. You generally will not be subject to backup withholding, however, if you:

furnish a correct taxpayer identification number, certify that you are not subject to backup withholding on the substitute Form W-9 or successor form included in the election form/letter of transmittal you will receive and otherwise comply with all the applicable requirements of the backup withholding rules; or provide proof that you are otherwise exempt from backup withholding.

152

Backup Withholding 288

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Any amounts withheld under the backup withholding rules will generally be allowed as a refund or credit against your United States federal income tax liability, provided you timely furnish the required information to the Internal Revenue Service.

This summary of material United States federal income tax consequences is not tax advice. You are urged to consult your tax advisor with respect to the application of United States federal income tax laws to your particular situation as well as any tax consequences arising under the United States federal estate or gift tax rules, or under the laws of any state, local, foreign or other taxing jurisdiction.

153

Backup Withholding 289

UNAUDITED PRO FORMA COMBINED CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION

The following unaudited pro forma combined condensed financial information and accompanying notes show the impact on the historical financial conditions and results of operations of ABCB and JAXB and have been prepared to illustrate the effects of the merger under the acquisition method of accounting. See the section entitled Proposal 1 The Merger Proposal Accounting Treatment beginning on page 70 of this proxy statement/prospectus.

The unaudited pro forma combined condensed balance sheet as of September 30, 2015 is presented as if the merger had occurred on September 30, 2015. The unaudited pro forma combined condensed income statements for the twelve months ended December 31, 2014 and the nine months ended September 30, 2015, are presented as if the merger had occurred on January 1, 2014. The historical combined condensed financial information has been adjusted to reflect factually supportable items that are directly attributable to the merger and, with respect to the income statements only, expected to have a continuing impact on consolidated results of operations.

The unaudited pro forma combined condensed financial statements are provided for informational purposes only. The unaudited pro forma combined condensed financial statements are not necessarily, and should not be assumed to be, an indication of the results that would have been achieved had the merger been completed as of the dates indicated or that may be achieved in the future. The preparation of the unaudited pro forma combined condensed financial statements and related adjustments required management to make certain assumptions and estimates. The unaudited pro forma combined condensed financial statements should be read together with:

the accompanying notes to the unaudited pro forma combined condensed financial statements; ABCB s audited consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes as of and for the twelve months ended December 31, 2014, included in ABCB s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the twelve months ended December 31, 2014, which is incorporated by reference into this proxy statement/prospectus;

JAXB s audited consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes as of and for the twelve months ended December 31, 2014, included elsewhere in this proxy statement/prospectus;

ABCB s unaudited consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes as of and for the nine months ended September 30, 2015, included in ABCB s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2015, which is incorporated by reference into this proxy statement/prospectus;

JAXB s unaudited consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes as of and for the nine months ended September 30, 2015, included elsewhere in this proxy statement/prospectus; and other information pertaining to ABCB and JAXB incorporated by reference into, or included in, this proxy statement/prospectus.

See Selected Historical Consolidated Financial Data of ABCB, Selected Historical Consolidated Financial Data of JAXB, Incorporation of Certain ABCB Documents by Reference and Index to JAXB s Consolidated Financial Statements begining on pages 22, 24, 180 and F-1, respectively.

Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Balance Sheet September 30, 2015

	ABCB	JAXB		Pro Forma
	September 30, 2015 (as reported)	September 30, 2015 (as reported)	Pro Forma Adjustments	September 30, 2015 Combined
	(In thousands	_	share data and	ratios)
Assets	#111206	\$24.520	Φ.(22 , 0.00). A	ф.1.5.0 2 5
Cash and due from banks	\$114,396	\$24,538	\$(23,909)A	\$115,025
Federal funds sold and interest bearing	120,925	1,872		122,797
balances Investment securities available for sale, at fair value	811,385	67,375		878,760
Other investments	9,322	928		10,250
Mortgage loans held for sale	111,807			111,807
Loans, net of unearned income	2,290,649	399,511	(20,500)B	2,669,660
Purchased, non-covered loans (excluding loan pools)	767,494			767,494
Purchased, non-covered loan pools	410,072			410,072
Covered loans	191,021			191,021
Less allowance for loan losses	(22,471)	(12,570)	12,570C	(22,471)
Loans, net	3,636,765	386,941	(7,930)	4,015,776
Other real estate owned, net	20,730	3,768	(1,000)D	23,498
Purchased, non-covered other real estate owned, net	11,538			11,538
Covered other real estate owned, net	12,203			12,203
Total other real estate owned, net	44,471	3,768	(1,000)	47,239
Premises and equipment, net	124,756	4,961		129,717
Intangible assets, net	18,218	372	2,070E	20,660
Goodwill	87,701		29,813F	117,514
FDIC loss sharing receivable	4,506			4,506
Cash value of bank owned life insurance	59,894	11,984		71,878
Other assets	72,154	2,515	27,481H	102,150
Total assets	\$5,216,300	\$505,254	\$26,525	\$5,748,079
Liabilities				
Deposits:	ф1 277 000	ф 1 22 520	Φ.	ф1 200 2 2 0
Noninterest-bearing	\$1,275,800	\$122,520	\$	\$1,398,320
Interest-bearing	3,254,723	310,512		3,565,235
Total deposits	4,530,523	433,032		4,963,555
Federal funds purchased & securities sold under	51,506			51,506
agreements to repurchase Other borrowings	39,000	11,860		50,860
Onici bolitowings	37,000	11,000		50,000

Other liabilities	23,371	1,976	(5.060) G	25,347
Subordinated deferrable interest debentures	69,600	16,266	(5,069)G	80,797
Total liabilities	4,714,000	463,134	(5,069)	5,172,065
Stockholders equity				
Preferred stock	\$	\$	\$	\$
Common stock	33,610	58	2,490I	36,158
Capital surplus	336,599	138,128	(66,962)J	407,765
Retained earnings	140,282	(95,744)	95,744K	140,282
Accumulated other comprehensive income/(loss)	4,197	(322)	322L	4,197
Less treasury stock	(12,388)			(12,388)
Total stockholders equity	502,300	42,120	31,594	576,014
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$5,216,300	\$505,254	\$26,525	\$5,748,079

Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Statement of Income Nine Months Ended September 30, 2015

	30, 2015 (as reported)	(as reported)	r Pro Forma Adjustment per share dat	Combined
INCOME STATEMENT		•	•	
Interest income				
Interest and fees on loans	\$124,231	\$14,330	\$ 1,537A	\$ 140,098
Interest on taxable securities	11,594	841		12,435
Interest on nontaxable securities	1,411	305		1,716
Interest on deposits in other banks and federal funds	556	101		657
sold	556	101		657
Total interest income	137,792	15,577	1,537	154,906
Interest expense				
Interest on deposits	\$7,065	\$1,421	\$	\$ 8,486
Interest on other borrowings	3,808	789	189B	4,786
Total interest expense	10,873	2,210	189	13,272
Net interest income	126,919	13,367	1,348	141,634
Provision for loan losses	4,711	(2,011)		2,700
Net interest income/(loss) after provision for loan losses	\$122,208	\$15,378	\$ 1,348	\$ 138,934
Noninterest income				
Service charges on deposit accounts	\$24,346	\$563	\$	\$ 24,909
Mortgage banking activity	28,214			28,214
Other service charges, commissions and fees	2,642			2,642
Gain(loss) on sale of securities	137			137
Other non-interest income	7,840	554		8,394
Total noninterest income	63,179	1,117		64,296
Noninterest expense				
Salaries and employee benefits	\$68,031	\$4,804		\$72,835
Occupancy and equipment expenses	15,278	1,683		16,961
Data processing and telecommunications expenses	13,803	1,879		15,682
Credit resolution-related expenses	15,484	388		15,872
Advertising and marketing expenses	2,141	180		2,321
Amortization of intangible assets	2,581		222C	2,803
Merger and conversion charges	6,173			6,173
Other non-interest expenses	22,596	2,528	25,124	
Total noninterest expense	146,087	11,462	222	157,771
Operating profit/(loss)	\$39,300	\$5,033	\$ 1,126	\$ 45,459
Income tax (benefit)/expense	12,601	18	394D	13,013
Net income/(loss)	\$26,699	\$5,015	\$ 732	\$ 32,446
Preferred stock dividends				

	Net income/(loss) available to common shareholders	\$26,699	\$5,015	\$ 732	\$ 32,446	
	Basic earnings available to common shareholders per share	0.84	0.87		0.95	
	Diluted earnings available to common shareholders per share	0.84	0.86		0.94	
	Weighted average common shares outstanding					
	Basic	31,614	5,796		34,162	
	Diluted	31,962	5,809		34,510	
156						

Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Statement of Income Year Ended December 31, 2014

	ABCB	JAXB		Pro Forma
	December 31, 2014 (as reported)		Pro Forma Adjustment	December 31, 2014 Combined
	_	•		ta and ratios)
INCOME STATEMENT		•	•	
Interest income				
Interest and fees on loans	\$150,611	\$19,398	\$ 2,050A	\$ 172,059
Interest on taxable securities	12,086	1,215		13,301
Interest on nontaxable securities	1,626	351		1,977
Interest on deposits in other banks	236	164		400
Interest on federal funds sold	7			7
Total interest income	164,566	21,128	2,050	187,744
Interest expense				
Interest on deposits	\$9,488	\$2,119	\$	\$ 11,607
Interest on other borrowings	5,192	1,140	253B	6,585
Total interest expense	14,680	3,259	253	18,192
Net interest income	149,886	17,869	1,797	169,552
Provision for loan losses	5,648	287		5,935
Net interest income/(loss) after provision for loan losses	\$144,238	\$17,582	\$ 1,797	\$ 163,617
Noninterest income				
Service charges on deposit accounts	\$24,614	\$741	\$	\$ 25,355
Mortgage banking activity	25,986			25,986
Other service charges, commissions and fees	2,647			2,647
Gain(loss) on sale of securities	138			138
Gains from acquisitions				
Other non-interest income	9,451	1,261		10,712
Total noninterest income	62,836	2,002		64,838
Noninterest expense				
Salaries and employee benefits	\$73,878	\$7,995		\$ 81,873
Occupancy and equipment expenses	17,521	2,442		19,963
Data processing and telecommunications expenses	15,551	2,401		17,952
Credit resolution-related expenses	13,506	403		13,909
Advertising and marketing expenses	2,869	263		3,132
Amortization of intangible assets	2,330		296C	2,626
Merger and conversion charges	3,940			3,940
Other non-interest expenses	21,274	4,151		25,425
Total noninterest expense	150,869	17,655	296	168,820
Operating profit/(loss)	\$56,205	\$1,929	\$ 1,501	\$ 59,635
Income tax (benefit)/expense	17,482		525D	18,007
Net income/(loss)	\$38,723	\$1,929	\$ 976	\$41,628

	Preferred stock dividends	286			286	
	Net income/(loss) available to common shareholders	\$38,437	\$1,929	\$ 976	\$41,342	
	Basic earnings available to common shareholders per share	1.48	0.33		1.45	
	Diluted earnings available to common shareholders per share	1.46	0.33		1.44	
	Weighted average common shares outstanding					
	Basic	25,974	5,795		28,522	
	Diluted	26,259	5,801		28,807	
157						

NOTES TO UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 1 Basis of Pro Forma Presentation

The unaudited pro forma condensed balance sheet as of September 30, 2015 and the unaudited pro forma condensed income statements for the nine months ended September 30, 2015 and the year ended December 31, 2014 are based on the historical financial statements of ABCB and JAXB after giving effect to the completion of the merger and the assumptions and adjustments described in the accompanying notes. Such financial statements do not reflect cost savings or operating synergies expected to result from the merger, or the costs to achieve these cost savings or operating synergies, or any anticipated disposition of assets that may result from the integration of the operations of the two companies.

The transaction will be accounted for under the acquisition method of accounting in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 805, *Business Combinations* (ASC 805). In business combination transactions in which the consideration given is not in the form of cash (that is, in the form of non-cash assets, liabilities incurred, or equity interests issued), measurement of the acquisition consideration is based on the fair value of the consideration given or the fair value of the asset (or net assets) acquired, whichever is more clearly evident and, thus, more reliably measurable.

Under ASC 805, all of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed in a business combination are recognized at their acquisition-date fair value, while transaction costs and restructuring costs associated with the business combination are expensed as incurred. The excess of the acquisition consideration over the fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed, if any, is allocated to goodwill. Changes in deferred tax asset valuation allowances and income tax uncertainties after the acquisition date generally affect income tax expense. Subsequent to the completion of the merger, ABCB and JAXB will finalize an integration plan, which may affect how the assets acquired, including intangible assets, will be utilized by the combined company. For those assets in the combined company that will be phased out or will no longer be used, additional amortization, depreciation and possibly impairment charges will be recorded after management completes the integration plan.

The unaudited pro forma information is presented solely for informational purposes and is not necessarily indicative of the combined results of operations or financial position that might have been achieved for the periods or dates indicated, nor is it necessarily indicative of the future results of the combined company.

Note 2 Preliminary Estimated Acquisition Consideration

Under the terms of the merger agreement, JAXB shareholders will have the option to elect to receive either 0.5861 shares of ABCB common stock or \$16.50 in cash for each share of JAXB common stock. The total consideration will be prorated as necessary to ensure that 25% of the total outstanding shares of JAXB common stock will be exchanged for cash and 75% of the total outstanding shares of JAXB common stock will be exchanged for shares of ABCB common stock.

Note 2 Preliminary Estimated Acquisition Consideration (continued)

Based on JAXB s estimated shares of JAXB voting common stock and JAXB nonvoting common stock outstanding as of September 30, 2015, the preliminary estimated acquisition consideration is as follows, assuming all shares of JAXB voting common stock are exchanged for the per share stock consideration (in thousands):

Preliminary Estimated Acquisition Consideration

Number of shares of JAXB voting common stock outstanding at	3,481
September 30, 2015	3,401
Number of shares of JAXB nonvoting common stock outstanding at	2 215
September 30, 2015	2,315
Total number of shares of JAXB common stock outstanding at	5.706
September 30, 2015	5,796
75% exchanged for stock	4,347
Per share exchange ratio	0.5861
Number of shares of ABCB common stock as exchanged	2,548
Multiplied by ABCB common stock price on January 29, 2016	\$ 28.93
Estimated fair value of ABCB common stock issued	\$ 73,714
25% exchanged for cash	1,449
Per share cash exchange price	\$ 16.50
Estimated cash paid at acquisition	\$ 23,909
Total Preliminary Estimated Acquisition Consideration	\$ 97,623

Note 3 Preliminary Estimated Acquisition Consideration Allocation

Under the acquisition method of accounting, the total acquisition consideration is allocated to the acquired tangible and intangible assets and assumed liabilities of JAXB based on their estimated fair values as of the closing of the merger. The excess of the acquisition consideration over the fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed, if any, is allocated to goodwill.

The allocation of the estimated acquisition consideration is preliminary because the proposed merger has not yet been completed. The preliminary allocation is based on estimates, assumptions, valuations, and other studies which have not progressed to a stage where there is sufficient information to make a definitive allocation. Accordingly, the acquisition consideration allocation unaudited pro forma adjustments will remain preliminary until ABCB management determines the final acquisition consideration and the fair values of assets acquired and liabilities assumed. The final determination of the acquisition consideration allocation is anticipated to be completed as soon as practicable after the completion of the merger and will be based on the value of the ABCB common stock at the closing of the merger. The final amounts allocated to assets acquired and liabilities assumed could differ significantly from the amounts presented in the unaudited pro forma combined consolidated financial statements.

Note 3 Preliminary Estimated Acquisition Consideration Allocation (continued)

The total preliminary estimated acquisition consideration as shown in the table above is allocated to JAXB s tangible and intangible assets and liabilities as of September 30, 2015 based on their preliminary estimated fair values as follows (in thousands):

Preliminary Estimated Acquisition Consideration Allocation

Cash and due from banks	\$ 24,538
Federal funds sold and interest bearing balances	1,872
Investment securities available for sale	67,375
Other investments	928
Loans, net of unearned income	379,011
Foreclosed assets	2,768
Premises and equipment	4,961
Cash value of bank owned life insurance	11,984
Other assets	29,996
Deposits	(433,032)
Other borrowings	(11,860)
Subordinated deferrable interest debentures	(11,197)
Other liabilities	(1,976)
Intangible assets	2,442
Goodwill	29,813
Total Preliminary Estimated Acquisition Consideration	\$ 97,623

Approximately \$2.4 million has been preliminarily allocated to amortizable intangible assets acquired. The amortization related to the preliminary fair value of net amortizable intangible assets is reflected as a pro forma adjustment to the unaudited pro forma condensed combined financial statements.

Identifiable intangible assets. The preliminary fair values of intangible assets were determined based on the provisions of ASC 805, which defines fair value in accordance with ASC Topic 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (ASC 820). ASC 820 defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Intangible assets were identified that met either the separability criterion or the contractual-legal criterion described in ASC 805. The preliminary allocation to intangible assets is allocated to core deposit intangibles.

Goodwill. Goodwill represents the excess of the preliminary estimated acquisition consideration over the preliminary fair value of the underlying net tangible and intangible assets. Among the factors that contributed to a purchase price in excess of the fair value of the net tangible and intangible assets are the skill sets, operations, customer base and organizational cultures that can be leveraged to enable the combined company to build an enterprise greater than the sum of its parts. In accordance with ASC Topic 350, *Intangibles Goodwill and Other*, goodwill will not be amortized, but instead will be tested for impairment at least annually and whenever events or circumstances have occurred that may indicate a possible impairment. In the event management determines that the value of goodwill has become

impaired, the combined company will incur an accounting charge for the amount of the impairment during the period in which the determination is made.

Note 4 Preliminary Unaudited Pro Forma and Acquisition Accounting Adjustments

The unaudited pro forma financial information is not necessarily indicative of what the financial position actually would have been had the merger been completed at the date indicated. Such information includes adjustments which are preliminary and may be revised. Such revisions may result in material changes. The financial position shown herein is not necessarily indicative of what the past financial position of the combined companies would have been, nor necessarily indicative of the financial position of the post-merger periods. The unaudited pro forma financial information does not give consideration to the impact of possible expense efficiencies, synergies, strategy modifications, asset dispositions or other actions that may result from the merger.

The following unaudited pro forma adjustments result from accounting for the merger, including the determination of fair value of the assets, liabilities, and commitments which ABCB, as the acquirer for accounting purposes, will acquire from JAXB. The descriptions related to these preliminary adjustments are as follows (in thousands):

Balance Sheet

A	Adjustment to reflect estimated cash paid at closing to JAXB shareholders	\$(23,909)	
В	Adjustment to loans to reflect estimated fair value at acquisition date			
C	Adjustment to allowance for loan losses to reflect the reversal of JAXB s allowance for loan and lease losses	\$12,570		
D	Adjustment to foreclosed assets to reflect the fair value at acquisition date based on ABCB s more aggressive liquidation strategy	\$(1,000)	
E	Adjustment to intangible assets to reflect the recording of core deposit intangible	\$2,070		
F	Adjustment to goodwill to reflect the goodwill generated as a result of consideration paid being greater than the net assets acquired	\$29,813		
G	Adjustment to subordinated deferrable interest debentures to reflect the estimated fair value at acquisition	\$(5,069)	
Н	Adjustment to other assets			
To	reverse the valuation allowance recorded on JAXB s deferred tax asset	\$29,000		
To	reflect the fair value adjustment of non-realizable portion of JAXB s deferred	(2,146)	
	asset	(2,110	,	
	reflect the deferred tax asset generated by the net fair value adjustments	627		
(1a	te = 35%) Total adjustment to other assets	\$27,481		
Ι	Adjustment to common stock	\$27,401		
	reflect the reversal of JAXB s September 30, 2015 common stock	\$(58	`	
	reflect the value of ABCB common stock issued to JAXB shareholders	2,548)	
10	Total adjustment to common stock	\$2,490		
T		\$2,490		
J T∧	Adjustment to capital surplus reflect the reversal of JAXB s September 30, 2015 capital surplus	\$(138,128	87	
	reflect the value of ABCB common stock issued to JAXB shareholders	71,166	o j	
10		\$(66,962	`	
	Total adjustment to capital surplus	Φ(00,302)	

K Adjustment to retained earnings reflects the reversal of JAXB s September 30, 2015 retained earnings \$95,744

Adjustment to accumulated other comprehensive income reflects the reversal of JAXB s
September 30, 2015 accumulated other comprehensive loss

161

Balance Sheet 304

Note 4 Preliminary Unaudited Pro Forma and Acquisition Accounting Adjustments (continued)

Pursuant to the acquisition method of accounting, the final acquisition consideration will be based on the price of the ABCB common stock immediately prior to the effective time of the merger. A 20% difference in per share price at the closing of the merger compared to the amount used in these unaudited pro forma condensed combined financial statements would increase or decrease total acquisition consideration and goodwill by approximately \$14.7 million.

Income Statements

		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2015	Year Ended December 31, 2014
A	Adjustment to reflect additional accretion of loan portfolio	1,537	2,050
В	Adjustment to reflect amortization of fair value adjustment of subordinated deferrable interest debentures	189	253
C	Adjustment to reflect amortization of core deposit intangible	222	296
D	Adjustment to reflect income tax benefit of pro forma adjustments	394	525

The estimated transaction costs included as part of the unaudited pro forma condensed balance sheet as of September 30, 2015 have not been included in the above unaudited pro forma condensed income statements.

Note 5 Earnings per Common Share

Unaudited pro forma earnings per common share for the nine months ended September 30, 2015 and for the year ended December 31, 2014 have been calculated using ABCB s historic weighted average common shares outstanding plus the common shares assumed to be issued to JAXB shareholders in the merger.

The following table sets forth the calculation of basic and diluted unaudited pro forma earnings per common share for the nine months ended September 30, 2015 and the year ended December 31, 2014 (in thousands, except per share data).

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2015		Year Ended December 31, 2014	
	Basic	Diluted	Basic	Diluted
Pro forma net income available to common shareholders	\$32,446	\$ 32,446	\$41,342	\$ 41,342
Weighted average common shares outstanding:				
ABCB	31,614	31,962	25,974	26,259
Common shares issued to JAXB shareholders	2,548	2,548	2,548	2,548
Pro forma	34,162	34,510	28,522	28,807
Pro forma net income per common share	\$0.95	\$ 0.94	\$1.45	\$ 1.44

COMPARISON OF SHAREHOLDERS RIGHTS

JAXB is incorporated under the laws of the State of Florida. ABCB is incorporated under the laws of the State of Georgia. The rights of holders of JAXB capital stock are governed by Florida law and JAXB s articles of incorporation and bylaws. The rights of holders of ABCB capital stock are governed by Georgia law and ABCB s articles of incorporation and bylaws. Consequently, after the merger, the rights of former holders of JAXB who receive shares of ABCB common stock in the merger will be determined by reference to ABCB s articles of incorporation and bylaws and Georgia law. The following is a summary of the material differences between the rights of JAXB shareholders and ABCB shareholders arising due to the difference in their respective articles of incorporation and bylaws. JAXB and ABCB urge you to read the articles of incorporation and bylaws of each of JAXB and ABCB in their entirety. Copies of the respective companies governing documents have been filed with the SEC. To find out where copies of these documents can be obtained, see the sections entitled Where You Can Find More Information and Incorporation of Certain ABCB Documents by Reference beginning on pages i and 180, respectively.

A DOD

	JAXB	ABCB
	Holders of JAXB capital stock are entitled	Holders of ABCB capital stock are
	to all the rights and obligations provided	entitled to all the rights and obligations
Capital Stock	to capital shareholders under the FBCA	provided to capital shareholders under the
	and JAXB s articles of incorporation and	GBCC and ABCB s articles of
	bylaws.	incorporation and bylaws.
	JAXB s authorized capital stock consists of	f
	20,000,000 shares of common stock, par	
	value \$0.01 per share (which we refer to	ABCB s authorized capital stock consists
	as JAXB voting common stock),	of 100,000,000 shares of common stock,
Authorized	5,000,000 shares of nonvoting common	par value \$1.00 per share (which we refer
	stock, par value \$0.01 per share (which we	•
	refer to as JAXB nonvoting common	5,000,000 shares of preferred stock.
	stock), and 10,000,000 shares of preferred	
	stock, par value \$0.01 per share.	
	As of the record date, there were	A 6.1 11. 1
	3,512,773 shares of JAXB voting common	
Outstanding	stock outstanding, 2,287,821 shares of	32,211,385 shares of ABCB common
	JAXB nonvoting common stock	stock outstanding and no shares of ABCB
	outstanding and no shares of JAXB	preferred stock outstanding.
	preferred stock outstanding.	Subject to the provisions of ADCD of
	Subject to the provisions of JAXB s	Subject to the provisions of ABCB s articles of incorporation, ABCB s board of
	directors has authority to issue one or	directors has authority to issue one or
Preferred Stock	more series of preferred stock and to fix	more series of preferred stock and to fix
Tieleffed Stock	by resolutions providing for the issue of	by resolutions providing for the issue of
	each such series the preferences,	each such series the preferences,
	limitations and relative rights thereof.	limitations and relative rights thereof.
	JAXB s board of directors has previously	ABCB s board of directors has previously
	designated 50,000 shares of the JAXB	designated 52,000 shares of the ABCB
	preferred stock as Mandatorily	preferred stock as Fixed Rate Cumulative
	Convertible, Noncumulative, Nonvoting,	Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series A, par
		. r

TAND

which have been converted into shares of been repurchased or redeemed pursuant to JAXB voting common stock and JAXB nonvoting common stock pursuant to their are no longer outstanding. terms.

Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series A, all of value \$0.01 per share, all of which have their terms and have been cancelled and

JAXB

In addition, JAXB s board of directors has previously designated 10,000 shares of JAXB preferred stock as Noncumulative, Nonvoting, Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series B, the authorized number of which was subsequently reduced to 5,000 shares, and all of which were exchanged for JAXB Series A preferred stock in connection with the sale and issuance of the Series A preferred stock. Holders of JAXB voting common stock generally are entitled to one vote per share in the election of directors and on all other matters submitted to a vote at a meeting of shareholders. Holders of JAXB nonvoting common stock generally have no voting rights except as required by the FBCA, in which case holders of JAXB nonvoting common stock are entitled to one vote per share.

ABCB

In addition, ABCB s board of directors has previously designated 175,000 shares of ABCB preferred stock as Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock, no par value, of which no shares are issued or outstanding, in connection with ABCB s adoption of a shareholder rights plan, which expired pursuant to its terms on March 6, 2008.

Voting Rights

Holders of ABCB common stock generally are entitled to one vote per share in the election of directors and on all other matters submitted to a vote at a meeting of shareholders.

Cumulative Voting

voting in the election of directors. Holders of JAXB common stock are entitled to dividends if and when declared by JAXB s board of directors out of funds by ABCB s board of directors out of funds legally available, subject to certain restrictions imposed by federal and state laws and the preferential dividend rights of laws and the preferential dividend rights of the JAXB preferred stock. Each share of JAXB nonvoting common

No shareholder has the right of cumulative No shareholder has the right of cumulative voting in the election of directors. Holders of ABCB common stock are entitled to dividends if and when declared legally available, subject to certain restrictions imposed by federal and state the ABCB preferred stock.

Dividends

stock shall be converted automatically into one share of JAXB voting common stock incident to a transfer of such share of JAXB nonvoting common stock to a transferee in a transfer by a holder of JAXB nonvoting common stock: (i) in a widespread public distribution; (ii) in which no transferee (or group of associated transferees) would receive 2% or more of any class of voting securities of the JAXB; or (iii) to a transferee that would control more than 50% of the voting securities of JAXB without any

transfer from such holder of JAXB

nonvoting common stock.

Shares of ABCB common stock are not convertible into any other securities of ABCB.

Conversion

JAXB

ABCB

directors serving on JAXB s board of directors shall not be less than three or more than fifteen (exclusive of directors to be elected by the holders of any series of JAXB preferred stock voting separately as a class).

JAXB s bylaws provide that the number of

ABCB s bylaws provide that the number of directors serving on ABCB s board of directors shall not be less than seven or more than fifteen.

Number of Directors

Term of Directors

There are currently eight directors serving on ABCB s board of directors.

There are currently eight directors serving on JAXB s board of directors. On April 23, 2013, JAXB amended its articles of incorporation to provide for the declassification of its board of directors. The successors to the class of directors whose terms expired at JAXB s 2014 annual meeting of shareholders were elected for a two-year term, and the successors to the class of directors whose terms expired at JAXB s 2015 annual meeting of shareholders were elected for a one-year term. Each director elected at JAXB s 2016 annual meeting of shareholders and at each annual meeting thereafter shall hold office until the next annual meeting of shareholders and until his or her successor is elected and qualified, subject to such director s death, resignation or removal. In an uncontested election of directors,

ABCB s board of directors is divided into three classes, with the members of each class of directors serving staggered three-year terms and with approximately one-third of the directors being elected annually. As a result, it would take a dissident shareholder or shareholder group at least two annual meetings of shareholders to replace a majority of the directors of ABCB. Each director holds office for the term for which he or she is elected and until his or her successor is elected and qualified, subject to such director s death, resignation or removal.

directors are elected by a majority of the votes cast at the meeting of shareholders. In a contested election, directors are elected by a plurality of the votes of the shares represented at the meeting and entitled to vote on the election of directors.

the majority of votes cast in an

Election of Directors

If an incumbent director does not receive uncontested election of directors, JAXB s bylaws require such director to offer his or her resignation to JAXB s board of directors. The board of directors must accept the offered resignation within ninety (90) days of the certification of the

Directors are elected by a plurality of the votes cast by the holders of the shares entitled to vote for directors.

165

election results.

JAXB

Removal of Directors

JAXB s articles of incorporation and bylaws provide that directors may be removed with or without cause upon the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes entitled to vote in the election of directors generally.

Vacancies on the Board of Directors

in JAXB s board of directors resulting from an increase in the size of the board or any other reason may be filled by a majority vote of the directors then in office, even if less than a quorum, or, if no the vacancy occurred and until a directors remain, by the affirmative vote of not less than a majority of the shares entitled to vote in the election of directors generally. A director elected to fill a vacancy caused by resignation, death or removal shall hold office for the unexpired term of his or her predecessor.

Consent

Action by Written JAXB s bylaws provide that any action required or permitted to be taken at a meeting of shareholders may instead be taken without a meeting, without prior notice, and without a vote if the action is taken by the holders of outstanding stock of each voting group entitled to vote thereon having not less than the minimum number of votes with respect to each voting group that would be necessary to authorize or take such action at a meeting at which all voting groups and shares entitled to vote thereon were present and voted. Such action must be evidenced by one or more written consents delivered to JAXB s corporate secretary at its principal

ABCB

ABCB s bylaws provide that directors may be removed from office, with cause, by the vote of shareholders, at any shareholders meeting with respect to which notice of such purpose has been given, representing a majority of the issued and outstanding capital stock entitled to vote for the election of directors.

ABCB s bylaws provide that any vacancies in ABCB s board of directors resulting from an increase in the size of the board or the death or resignation of a JAXB s bylaws provide that any vacancies director may be filled by a majority vote of the directors then in office, even if less than a quorum, and any director so chosen will hold office for the remainder of the full term of the class of directors in which successor is elected and qualified. Vacancies in ABCB s board of directors resulting from the removal of a director may be filled at the same meeting at which the removal occurred or any subsequent meeting of shareholders; provided that, to the extent a vacancy is not filled by an election within sixty (60) days after the removal which caused such vacancy, the remaining directors shall, by majority vote, fill the vacancy. ABCB s bylaws provide that any action required or permitted to be taken at a meeting of shareholders may instead be taken without a meeting if a unanimous

consent which sets forth the action is

given in writing by each shareholder

entitled to vote on the matter.

COMPARISON OF SHAREHOLDERS RIGHTS

JAXB

Advance Notice Requirements for

Nominations and

Other Proposals

Shareholder

that a shareholder who desires to board of directors or submit another type of proposal for shareholder vote must give written notice of the proposed nomination or proposal to the Secretary of Secretary of ABCB at the principal JAXB (i) with respect to an annual meeting, not less than sixty (60) days nor more than ninety (90) days prior to the anniversary of the last annual meeting of shareholders (or, if the date of the annual meeting is changed by more than twenty (20) days from such anniversary date, within ten (10) days after the date that JAXB mails or otherwise gives notice of such meeting) and (ii) with respect to a special meeting, not later than the close of the tenth day following the date on which notice of the meeting was first mailed to shareholders.

Notice of a shareholder s intent to nominate a candidate for director shall contain, among other things, biographical information about the nominee, information regarding share ownership of JAXB capital stock by such nominee and the nominee and the shareholder making the proposal. Notice of a shareholder s intent to submit a proposal for shareholder vote shall contain, among other things, the name, address and share ownership of such proponent and a description of the proposal containing all material information related thereto.

Notice of each shareholder meeting must be given to each shareholder entitled to vote at such meeting not less than ten (10), nor more than sixty (60), days before the date of the meeting.

ABCB

JAXB s articles of incorporation provide ABCB s bylaws provide that a shareholder who desires to nominate a person for nominate a person for election to JAXB s election to ABCB s board of directors at a meeting of shareholders and who is eligible to make such nomination must give written notice of the proposed nomination to the executive office of ABCB not less than one hundred twenty (120) calendar days in advance of the date which is one year later than the date of ABCB s proxy statement released to shareholders in connection with the previous year s annual meeting of shareholders. Such notice shall contain, among other things, biographical information about the nominee, information regarding share ownership of ABCB capital stock by such nominee and a description of all arrangements between the nominee and the shareholder making the proposal.

a description of all arrangements between Shareholder nominations and proposals are not otherwise addressed in ABCB s articles of incorporation or bylaws.

> Notice of each shareholder meeting must be given to each shareholder entitled to vote and to each other shareholder entitled to notice not less than ten (10), nor more than seventy (70), days before the date of the meeting.

Notice of Shareholder Meeting

JAXB

JAXB s articles of incorporation may be amended in accordance with the FBCA. Under the FBCA, the board of directors must recommend the amendment to the shareholders, unless the board of directors determines that, because of a conflict of interest or other special circumstances, it should make no recommendation and communicates the basis for its determination to the shareholders. Unless otherwise provided in the articles of incorporation or by the board of directors, the amendment must be adopted by: (i) a majority of the votes entitled to be cast on the amendment by any voting group with respect to which the amendment would create dissenters rights; and (ii) a majority ABCB. of the votes cast on the amendment by any other voting group entitled to vote on the amendment.

ABCB

ABCB s articles of incorporation may be amended in accordance with the GBCC, which generally requires the approval of ABCB s board of directors and the holders of a majority of the votes entitled to be cast on the amendment. Amendment of provisions in the articles of incorporation related to the filling of vacancies on ABCB s board of directors require the affirmative vote of the holders of at least 75% of the voting power of all outstanding shares of ABCB capital stock then entitled to vote in an election of directors of

ABCB s bylaws may be amended by the

majority vote of all of the directors, but any bylaws adopted by ABCB s board of

repealed and new bylaws adopted by the

shareholders by majority vote of all of the

meetings of shareholders may be called by

the Chairman or the President of ABCB. In

addition, ABCB s bylaws provide that a

special meeting of shareholders shall be

called by the Secretary or President of

ABCB when so requested by ABCB s

board of directors or upon the written

request of shareholders owning at least

stock of ABCB entitled to vote at such

meeting.

50% of the issued and outstanding capital

directors may be altered, amended or

ABCB s bylaws provide that special

shares having voting power.

Amendments to Charter

> JAXB s articles of incorporation provide that the bylaws may be amended by the majority vote of the directors or by the majority vote of the shareholders entitled to vote on such amendment.

Amendments to **Bylaws**

> JAXB s bylaws provide that special meetings of shareholders may be called by the Chairman, the Chief Executive Officer, the President or by the board of directors. In addition, JAXB s bylaws provide that a special meeting of shareholders shall be called by the Chief Executive Officer at the request of the holders of shares of JAXB common stock representing not less than 10% of all votes to be cast on the proposed issue or issues.

Special Meeting of Shareholders

> The holders of a majority of all votes entitled to be cast by the holders of outstanding shares entitled to vote, represented in person or by proxy, constitutes a quorum at any shareholder meeting.

The holders of a majority of the stock issued, outstanding and entitled to vote at the meeting, present in person or by proxy, constitutes a quorum at any shareholder meeting.

Quorum

	JAXB	ABCB
Proxy	Under the FBCA and JAXB s bylaws, a proxy is valid for up to eleven (11) months, unless a longer period is expressly provided in the appointment. The proxy is revocable unless it states that it is irrevocable and is coupled with an interest.	irrevocable and is coupled with an interest.
	JAXB s articles of incorporation provide	Under the GBCC, shareholders do not have preemptive rights unless the corporation s
Preemptive Rights	that shareholders do not have preemptive	articles of incorporation provide otherwise.
Rights	rights.	ABCB s articles of incorporation do not provide for preemptive rights.
Shareholder	JAXB does not have a rights plan. Neither	ABCB does not have a rights plan. Neither
Rights Plan/	JAXB nor JAXB shareholders are parties	ABCB nor ABCB shareholders are parties
Shareholders		to a shareholders agreement with respect to
Agreement	to JAXB capital stock.	ABCB capital stock.
	JAXB s bylaws provide that directors of	ABCB s bylaws provide that no director of
Limitation of	JAXB shall not be held personally liable to JAXB or its shareholders for monetary	ABCB shall be personally liable to ABCB or its shareholders for monetary damages
Personal Liability		for breach of such person s duty of care or
of Directors	as directors to the fullest extent now or	other duty as a director except to the extent
	hereafter permitted by Section 607.0831	such liability cannot be eliminated or
	of the FBCA (or any successor provision).	limited pursuant to the GBCC.

JAXB

JAXB s bylaws provide that JAXB shall indemnify any director of JAXB and any officer elected by JAXB s board of directors (and may indemnify any other officer or employee of JAXB) who was or is a party to any proceeding by reason of the fact that such person is or was a director, officer, employee or agent of JAXB (or of another company at JAXB s request) against liability incurred in connection with such proceeding; provided that such person acted in good faith and in a manner he or she reasonably believed to be in, or not opposed to, the best interests of JAXB and, in addition, with respect to any criminal action or proceeding, did not have reasonable cause to believe that his or her conduct was unlawful. With respect to any such proceeding by or in the right of JAXB, no

indemnification shall be made to any such

person who has been judged liable, unless

and to the extent that the court determines in view of all the circumstances that such person is fairly and reasonable entitled to **ABCB**

ABCB s bylaws provide that ABCB may indemnify its current and former directors and officers for any liability and expense that may be incurred by such person in connection with, or resulting from, any threatened, pending or completed action in which such person may become involved by reason of any action taken or not taken in such person s capacity as such director or officer or as a member of any committee appointed by ABCB s board of directors; provided that such person acted in good faith and in a manner he or she reasonably believed to be in, or not opposed to, the best interests of ABCB and, in addition, with respect to any criminal action or proceeding, did not have reasonable cause to believe that his or her conduct was unlawful.

Indemnification of Directors and Officers

170

indemnity.

JAXB

JAXB s bylaws further provide that those persons who may be entitled to indemnification under the bylaws who have been wholly successful, on the merits or otherwise, with respect to any claim for which indemnification is available shall be entitled to indemnification as of right. Those officers otherwise, with respect to any claim for and directors who have not been wholly successful with respect to any claim for which indemnification is available may be entitled to indemnification if JAXB s board of directors, acting by majority vote, finds the director or officer has met the required standard of conduct, as set forth in JAXB s bylaws.

Pursuant to its bylaws, JAXB shall advance to directors or officers elected by JAXB s board of directors expenses incurred with respect to any claim for which indemnification is available under the bylaws prior to the final disposition thereof upon JAXB s receipt of an undertaking by, or on behalf of, the director or officer to repay such amount unless it shall ultimately be determined that he or she is entitled to indemnification. Such expenses incurred by other officers or employees of JAXB may, at the discretion of JAXB s board of indemnification. directors, be paid in advance on such terms as established by the board of directors.

ABCB

ABCB s bylaws further provide that those persons who may be entitled to indemnification under the bylaws who have been wholly successful, on the merits or which indemnification is available shall be entitled to indemnification as of right without any further action or approval by ABCB s board of directors. Those officers and directors who have not been wholly successful with respect to any claim for which indemnification is available may be entitled to indemnification if ABCB s board of directors, acting by majority vote, finds the director or officer has met the required standard of conduct, as set forth in ABCB s bylaws.

Pursuant to its bylaws, ABCB may advance to directors or officers expenses incurred with respect to any claim for which indemnification is available under the bylaws prior to the final disposition thereof upon ABCB s receipt of an undertaking by, or on behalf of, the director or officer to repay such amount unless it shall ultimately be determined that he or she is entitled to

JAXB

State Law. The FBCA does not have a business combination statue like the GBCC, but instead has an affiliated transactions statute (Section 607.0901 of the FBCA) and a control-share acquisition statute (Section 607.0902 of the FBCA). These statutes do not apply to holding in the corporation. Section any corporation whose articles of incorporation contain a provision expressly electing not to be governed by such statutes.

FBCA Section 607.0901, Florida s affiliated transactions statute, provides that, unless a specified exception is met (including approval by a majority of the corporation s disinterested directors), an interested shareholder (i.e., a person beneficially owning 10% or more of a corporation s outstanding voting stock) and its affiliates and associates may not engage in an affiliated transaction (including a merger or other significant corporate transactions) with a Florida corporation unless such transaction is approved by two-thirds of the voting shares of the corporation excluding the shares beneficially owned by the interested shareholder.

control-share acquisition statute, control outstanding, those shares owned by (i) shares (shares that would otherwise have persons who are directors or officers or voting power for the election of directors in certain ranges of ownership over 20%) acquired in a control-share acquisition have the same voting rights as were accorded to the shares before such acquisition only to the extent granted by a after the shareholder becomes an interested resolution approved by the majority of all the votes entitled to be cast by each class or series of the disinterested shareholders of the issuing corporation.

ABCB

State Law. The GBCC contains a business combination statute that protects certain Georgia corporations from hostile takeovers, and from actions following the takeover, by prohibiting some transactions once an acquiror has gained a significant 14-2-1132 of the GBCC prohibits business combinations, including mergers, sales and leases of assets, issuances or exchanges of securities, certain loans and other financial benefits and similar transactions by a corporation or a subsidiary with an interested shareholder that beneficially owns 10% or more of a corporation s voting stock, within five (5) years after the person becomes an interested shareholder, unless:

prior to the time the person becomes an interested shareholder, the board of directors of the target corporation approved either the business combination or the transaction which will result in the person becoming an interested shareholder; after the completion of the transaction in which the person becomes an interested shareholder, the interested shareholder holds at least 90% of the voting stock of the corporation, excluding for purposes of Under FBCA Section 607.0902, Florida s determining the number of shares their affiliates or associates, (ii) subsidiaries of the corporation, and (iii) specific employee benefit plans; or

> shareholder, the shareholder acquires additional shares such that the shareholder becomes the holder of at least 90% of the voting stock of the corporation, excluding for purposes of determining the number of shares outstanding, those shares owned by (i) persons who are directors or officers,

Certain Business Combination Restrictions

their affiliates or associates, (ii) subsidiaries of the corporation, and (iii) specific employee benefit plans, and the business combination was

JAXB

ABCB

approved by the shareholders of the corporation by holders of a majority of the stock entitled to vote on the transaction (with the number of shares outstanding calculated as above and further excluding shares held by the interested shareholder). The business combination requirements under the GBCC do not apply to a corporation unless the corporation s bylaws provide that such requirements are applicable. Neither the articles of incorporation nor the bylaws of ABCB address business combinations. Charter Provision. ABCB s articles of incorporation and bylaws do not contain any provision regarding business

Charter Provision. JAXB expressly elected in its articles of incorporation not to be governed by Sections 607.0901 and 607.0902 of the FBCA.

Prevention of Greenmail

JAXB s articles of incorporation do not contain a provision designed to prevent greenmail.

ABCB s articles of incorporation do not contain a provision designed to prevent greenmail.

combinations between ABCB and

significant shareholders.

JAXB

ABCB

State Law. Under the FBCA, a merger, share exchange or sale, lease, exchange or transfer of all or substantially all of the corporation s assets generally must be approved at a meeting of a corporation s shareholders by the (i) affirmative vote of a majority of all the votes entitled to be cast on the matter, and (ii) in limited circumstances, with respect to a merger or certain exceptions, a merger, share share exchange, affirmative vote of a majority of all the votes entitled to be cast by holders of the shares of each voting group. Voting by a class or series as a separate voting group is required: (i) on a plan of merger if the plan contains a provision which, if contained in a proposed amendment to articles of incorporation, would entitle the class or series to vote as a separate voting group under the FBCA or (ii) on a plan of share exchange if the shares of such class or series of shares are to be converted or exchanged under such plan or if the plan contains any provisions which, if contained in a proposed amendment to articles of incorporation, would entitle the class or series to vote as a separate voting group on the proposed amendment under the FBCA. Charter Provision. JAXB s articles of

State Law. Under the GBCC, subject to exchange or sale, lease, exchange or transfer of all or substantially all of the corporation s assets generally must be approved at a meeting of a corporation s shareholders by the (i) affirmative vote of a majority of all the votes entitled to be cast on the matter, and (ii) in addition, with respect to a merger or share exchange, affirmative vote of a majority of all the votes entitled to be cast by holders of the shares of each voting group entitled to vote separately on the transaction as a group by the articles of incorporation.

incorporation do not contain any provision regarding approval of fundamental business transactions by the holders of JAXB common stock.

JAXB s articles of incorporation do not Non-Shareholder contain a provision that expressly permits the board of directors to consider constituencies other than the shareholders when evaluating certain offers.

Constituency Provision

Fundamental

Transactions

Business

incorporation do not contain any provision regarding approval of fundamental business transactions by the holders of ABCB common stock. ABCB s articles of incorporation do not contain a provision that expressly permits the board of directors to consider

constituencies other than the shareholders

when evaluating certain offers.

Charter Provision. ABCB s articles of

JAXB

Appraisal Rights

Under the FBCA, a shareholder generally has the right to dissent from any merger to which the corporation is a party, from any sale of all assets of the corporation, or from any plan of exchange (if such shareholder is entitled to vote on such transaction) and to receive fair value for his or her shares. See the section entitled

Appraisal Rights of JAXB shareholders beginning on page 176 of this proxy statement/prospectus and Annex E.

Except in limited circumstances, appraisal rights are not available to holders of shares of any class or series of shares which is:

listed on the New York Stock Exchange or the American Stock Exchange or designated as a national market system security on an interdealer quotation system by the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc.; or

outstanding shares of such class or series have a market value of at least \$10 million, and beneficial shareholders owning more than 10% of such shares.

ABCB

Under the GBCC, a shareholder is entitled to dissent from, and obtain the fair value in cash of his or her shares in connection with, certain corporate actions, including some mergers, share exchanges, sales or exchanges of all or substantially all of the corporation s property other than in the usual and regular course of business and certain amendments to the corporation s articles of incorporation.

A shareholder of a corporation is not entitled to dissent in connection with a merger under the GBCC if:

the corporation is a parent corporation merging into its 90% owned subsidiary;

each shareholder of the corporation whose held by at least 2,000 shareholders and the shares were outstanding immediately prior to the merger will receive a like number of shares of the surviving corporation, with designations, preferences, limitations and relative rights identical to those previously held by each such shareholder; and

> the number and kind of shares of the surviving corporation outstanding immediately following the merger, plus the number and kind of shares issuable as a result of the merger and by conversion of securities issued pursuant to the merger, will not exceed the total number and kind of shares of the corporation authorized by its articles of incorporation immediately prior to the merger.

Additionally, except in limited circumstances, dissenters rights are not available to holders of shares:

listed on a national securities exchange; or

held of record by more than 2,000 shareholders.

APPRAISAL RIGHTS OF JAXB SHAREHOLDERS

Holders of shares of JAXB common stock as of the record date are entitled to appraisal rights under the FBCA. Pursuant to Sections 607.1301 through 607.1333 of the FBCA, a holder of JAXB common stock who does not wish to accept the per share merger consideration (which we refer to as a dissenting shareholder) may dissent from the merger, seek appraisal and elect to receive the fair value of his, her or its shares as provided in such sections of the FBCA. You should know that, under the merger agreement, if holders of 10% or more of the outstanding shares of JAXB common stock exercise appraisal rights, then ABCB is not obligated to complete the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, including the merger.

In order to exercise appraisal rights, a dissenting shareholder must strictly comply with the requirements and procedures set forth in Sections 607.1301 through 607.1333 of the FBCA, which are summarized below.

This summary is qualified in its entirety by reference to the full text of Sections 607.1301 through 607.1333 of the FBCA, a copy of which is included as *Annex E* to this proxy statement/prospectus. JAXB shareholders who desire to assert appraisal rights are cautioned that a failure on their part to adhere strictly to the requirements of the FBCA in any regard may result in the loss, termination or waiver of their appraisal rights. Accordingly, JAXB shareholders are urged to read *Annex E* in its entirety and to consult with their legal, financial and tax advisors in connection with the matters set forth in this section of this proxy statement/prospectus. This proxy statement/prospectus constitutes notice of appraisal rights pursuant to Section 607.1320 of the FBCA.

JAXB shareholders who hold shares of JAXB common stock through a bank, brokerage firm or other nominee and wish to exercise appraisal rights should promptly consult their bank, broker or other nominee to determine the appropriate procedures for the making of a demand for appraisal through their nominee.

A dissenting shareholder who desires to exercise his, her or its appraisal rights must file with JAXB, prior to the taking of the vote at the special meeting of JAXB shareholders, a written notice of intent to demand payment for his, her or its shares if the merger is completed. Such written notice should be delivered either in person or by mail (certified mail, return receipt requested, being the recommended form of transmittal) to:

Jacksonville Bancorp, Inc. 100 North Laura Street, Suite 1000 Jacksonville, Florida 32202 Telephone: (904) 421-3040

Attn: Corporate Secretary, Jacksonville Bancorp, Inc.

All such notices must be signed in the same manner as the shares are registered on the books of JAXB. If a JAXB shareholder has not provided written notice of such shareholder s intent to demand the fair value of his, her or its shares of JAXB common stock before a vote is taken at the special meeting on the proposal to approve the merger agreement, then such JAXB shareholder will be deemed to have waived his, her or its appraisal rights.

A vote against the merger will not alone be deemed to be the written notice of intent to demand payment and will not be deemed to satisfy the notice requirements under the FBCA s appraisal rights provisions. A dissenting shareholder need not vote against the proposal to approve the merger agreement and the merger, but may not vote, or allow any nominee who holds shares on behalf of the dissenting shareholder to vote, in favor of such proposal any of the class or series of shares for which such shareholder is seeking appraisal rights. A vote in favor of the proposal to approve the merger agreement and the merger will constitute a waiver of the shareholder s appraisal rights with respect to the class

or series of shares voted.

Within ten (10) days after the merger becomes effective, ABCB, as the surviving corporation in the merger, must provide to each JAXB shareholder who filed with JAXB a notice of intent to demand payment for his, her or its shares, a written appraisal notice and an appraisal election form that specifies the date when the merger became effective and provides for the shareholder to state:

the shareholder s name and address;

the number of shares of JAXB voting common stock and/or JAXB nonvoting common stock as to which the shareholder is asserting appraisal rights;

TABLE OF CONTENTS

that the shareholder did not vote for the proposal to approve the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby, including the merger;

whether the shareholder accepts ABCB s offer to pay ABCB s estimate of the fair value of the shares of JAXB voting common stock and/or JAXB nonvoting common stock, as applicable, to the shareholder; and

if the shareholder does not accept ABCB s offer, the shareholder s estimated fair value of the shares of JAXB voting common stock and/or JAXB nonvoting common stock, as applicable, and a demand for payment of the shareholder s estimated value, plus interest.

A written appraisal notice must specify, among other things:

where to return the completed appraisal election form and the shareholder s stock certificate(s) and the date by which they must be received by ABCB (as the surviving corporation in the merger) or its agent, which date may not be fewer than forty (40) nor more than sixty (60) days after the date ABCB sent the appraisal notice and the appraisal election form to the shareholder;

the date by which a notice from the JAXB shareholder of his, her or its desire to withdraw from the appraisal process must be received by ABCB, which date must be within twenty (20) days after the date by which ABCB must receive the appraisal election form as set forth in the appraisal notice; and

ABCB s estimate of the fair value of the shares of JAXB common stock and ABCB s offer to pay such value to each dissenting shareholder entitled thereto.

A dissenting shareholder must submit the appraisal election form and, with respect to certificated shares, the certificate(s) representing his, her or its shares of JAXB voting common stock and/or JAXB nonvoting common stock, as applicable. Any dissenting shareholder failing to return a properly completed appraisal election form and his, her or its stock certificate(s) within the period stated in the form and in accordance with Sections 607.1301 et seq. of the FBCA will lose his, her or its appraisal rights and be bound by the terms of the merger agreement, including with respect to receipt of the merger consideration payable thereunder.

Upon returning the appraisal election form and the certificate(s) representing his, her or its shares of JAXB common stock and/or JAXB nonvoting common stock, as applicable, a dissenting shareholder will be entitled only to payment pursuant to the procedure set forth in the applicable appraisal rights sections of the FBCA and will not be entitled to vote or to exercise any other rights of a shareholder with respect to such shares, unless the dissenting shareholder withdraws his, her or its demand for appraisal as described below.

A dissenting shareholder who has delivered the appraisal election form and the certificate(s) representing his, her or its shares of JAXB common stock and/or JAXB nonvoting common stock, as applicable, may decline to exercise appraisal rights and withdraw from the appraisal process by giving written notice to ABCB (as the surviving corporation in the merger) within the time period specified in the appraisal notice and otherwise in accordance with Section 607.1323 of the FBCA. Thereafter, a dissenting shareholder may not withdraw from the appraisal process without the written consent of ABCB. Upon such withdrawal from the appraisal process, the right of the dissenting shareholder to be paid the fair value of his, her or its shares will cease, and he, she or it will be reinstated as a shareholder and will be entitled to receive only the per share merger consideration pursuant to the merger agreement.

If a dissenting shareholder accepts ABCB s offer in the appraisal election form to pay ABCB s estimate of the fair value of the shares of JAXB common stock and/or JAXB nonvoting common stock, as applicable, payment for such shares of the dissenting shareholder is required to be made within ninety (90) days after the receipt by ABCB or its agent of the shareholder s appraisal election form. Upon payment of the agreed value, the dissenting shareholder will cease to have any interest in such shares.

A dissenting shareholder must demand appraisal rights with respect to all of the shares of the class or series for which such shareholder is seeking to assert appraisal rights. However, a record shareholder may assert appraisal rights as to

fewer than all the shares of a class or series registered in such shareholder s name but owned by a beneficial shareholder if the record shareholder objects with respect to all shares of such class

or series owned by the beneficial shareholder and notifies ABCB (as the surviving corporation in the merger) in writing of the name and address of the beneficial shareholder on whose behalf appraisal rights are being asserted. A beneficial shareholder may assert appraisal rights as to shares held on behalf of the beneficial shareholder only if such beneficial shareholder: (i) submits to ABCB the record shareholder s written consent to the assertion of such rights no later than the date specified in the appraisal notice and as set forth in Section 607.1322(2)(b)(2) of the FBCA, and (ii) does so with respect to all shares of a class or series that are beneficially owned by the beneficial shareholder.

Section 607.1330 of the FBCA addresses the court action in the event a dissenting shareholder, who did not accept ABCB s offer to pay ABCB s estimate of the fair value of the shares of JAXB common stock, makes demand for payment of such shareholder s estimated fair value of the shares, plus interest, under Section 607.1326 of the FBCA, which demand for payment remains unsettled. In such event, ABCB (as the surviving corporation in the merger) must commence a proceeding within sixty (60) days after receiving the payment demand from the dissenting shareholder and petition the court to determine the fair value of the shares and accrued interest. If ABCB does not commence the proceeding within such sixty-day period, the shareholder may commence the proceeding in the name of ABCB in the appropriate court of the county in the State of Florida in which the principal office or registered office of JAXB was located as provided in Section 607.1330(2) of the FBCA.

A copy of the initial pleading will be served on each dissenting shareholder party to the proceeding. ABCB will be required to pay each dissenting shareholder the amount found to be due within ten (10) days after final determination in the proceedings, which amount may, in the discretion of the court, include a fair rate of interest, which will also be determined by the court. Upon payment of the judgment, the dissenting shareholder will cease to have any interest in the shares for which such shareholder received payment.

Section 607.1331 of the FBCA provides that the costs of a court appraisal proceeding, including reasonable compensation for, and expenses of, appraisers appointed by the court, will be determined by the court and assessed against ABCB, except that the court may assess costs against all or some of the dissenting shareholders, in amounts determined by the court, to the extent that the court finds such shareholders acted arbitrarily, vexatiously or not in good faith with respect to their appraisal rights. The court also may assess the fees and expenses of counsel and experts for the respective parties, in amounts the court finds equitable, against: (i) ABCB and in favor of any or all shareholders demanding appraisal if the court finds that ABCB did not substantially comply with the notification provisions set forth in Sections 607.1320 and 607.1322 of the FBCA; or (ii) either ABCB or a dissenting shareholder, in favor of any other party, if the court finds that the party against whom the fees and expenses are assessed acted arbitrarily, vexatiously or not in good faith with respect to the appraisal rights provided under the FBCA. If the court in an appraisal proceeding finds that the services of counsel for any dissenting shareholder were of substantial benefit to other dissenting shareholders, and that the fees for those services should not be assessed against ABCB, the court may award to such counsel reasonable fees to be paid out of the amounts awarded the dissenting shareholders who were benefited. To the extent that ABCB fails to make a required payment when a dissenting shareholder accepts ABCB s offer to pay ABCB s estimate of the fair value of the shares, as provided in Section 607.1324 of the FBCA, the dissenting shareholder may sue directly for the amount owed and, to the extent successful, will be entitled to recover from ABCB all costs and expenses of the suit, including counsel fees.

Because of the complexity of the provisions of Florida law relating to appraisal rights, shareholders who are considering exercising appraisal rights are urged to consult their own legal, financial and tax advisors.

EXPERTS

The consolidated financial statements as of December 31, 2014 and for the year then ended incorporated in this proxy statement/prospectus by reference to ABCB s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014 have been so incorporated in reliance on the report of Crowe Horwath LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, given on the authority of said firm as experts in auditing and accounting.

The financial statements as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 incorporated in this proxy statement/prospectus by reference to ABCB s Annual Reports on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014 have been so incorporated in reliance on the report of Porter Keadle Moore, LLC, an independent registered public accounting firm, given on the authority of said firm as experts in auditing and accounting.

The consolidated balance sheets of JAXB as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income (loss), changes in shareholders—equity and cash flows for each of the years in the two-year period ended December 31, 2014, included herein, have been so included in reliance on the report of Crowe Horwath LLP, independent registered public accounting firm, given on the authority of said firm as experts in auditing and accounting.

LEGAL OPINIONS

Rogers & Hardin LLP and McGuireWoods LLP will deliver prior to the effective time of the merger their opinions to ABCB and JAXB, respectively, as to certain United States federal income tax consequences of the merger. See the section entitled Material United States Federal Income Tax Consequences beginning on page 150 of this proxy statement/prospectus. The validity of the ABCB common stock to be issued in connection with the merger will be passed upon for ABCB by Rogers & Hardin LLP.

JAXB SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS

JAXB will hold its 2016 Annual Meeting unless the merger is completed as contemplated by the merger agreement. Under the SEC s rules, JAXB shareholders who desired to include a proposal in JAXB s proxy statement and form of proxy relating to the 2016 Annual Meeting of Shareholders of JAXB were required to have delivered a written copy of their proposal to JAXB s principal executive offices no later than November 25, 2015. Proposals must comply with the SEC proxy rules relating to shareholder proposals in order to be included in JAXB s proxy materials. JAXB shareholders should refer to Rule 14a-8 of the Exchange Act, which sets standards for eligibility and specifies the types of proposals that are not appropriate for inclusion in the JAXB proxy statement. Proposals should be directed to Kendall L. Spencer, President and Chief Executive Officer, Jacksonville Bancorp, Inc., 100 North Laura Street, Jacksonville, Florida 32202. To nominate a director at JAXB s 2016 Annual Meeting or if you wish to bring any other matter before our 2016 Annual Meeting, you must be a shareholder and deliver written notice to our President and Chief Executive Officer no earlier than January 29, 2016 and no later than February 28, 2016. The specific requirements as to the written notice to be provided in connection with a director nominee or other shareholder proposal are described in JAXB s articles of incorporation. JAXB may solicit proxies in connection with next year s Annual Meeting of Shareholders that confer discretionary authority to vote on any shareholder proposals of which JAXB does not receive notice by February 8, 2016. A copy of JAXB s articles of incorporation has been filed with the SEC and will be furnished to JAXB shareholders without charge upon written request to JAXB s Corporate Secretary, 100 North Laura Street, Jacksonville, Florida 32202, (904) 421-3040.

HOUSEHOLDING OF PROXY MATERIALS

Some banks, brokerage firms or other nominees may be participating in the practice of householding proxy statements. This means that only one copy of this proxy statement/prospectus may have been sent to multiple JAXB shareholders sharing the same address. JAXB will promptly deliver a separate copy of this proxy statement/prospectus to you upon request. Please send your request to Corporate Secretary, Jacksonville Bancorp, Inc., 100 North Laura Street, Jacksonville, Florida 32202 or call Valerie Kendall at (904) 421-3040. If you want to receive separate copies of a JAXB proxy statement in the future, or if you are receiving multiple copies and would like to receive only one copy for your household, you should contact your bank, brokerage firm or other nominee, or you may contact JAXB at the above address and telephone number.

INCORPORATION OF CERTAIN ABCB DOCUMENTS BY REFERENCE

The SEC allows ABCB to incorporate certain information into this proxy statement/prospectus by reference to other information that has been filed with the SEC. The information incorporated by reference is deemed to be part of this proxy statement/prospectus, except for any information that is superseded by information in this proxy statement/prospectus. The documents that are incorporated by reference contain important information about ABCB and you should read this proxy statement/prospectus with any other documents incorporated by referenced into this proxy statement/prospectus.

This document incorporates by reference the following documents that have previously been filed with the SEC by ABCB:

Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014 (including the portions of the Definitive Proxy Statement for ABCB s 2015 Annual Meeting incorporated by reference therein);

Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly periods ended March 31, 2015, June 30, 2015 and September 30, 2015:

Current Reports on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on January 29, 2015 (Items 1.01 and 8.01 and Exhibits 2.1, 2.2 and 99.3 in Item 9.01 only), January 30, 2015, May 27, 2015, June 2, 2015, June 5, 2015, June 18, 2015, September 28, 2015, and October 1, 2015;

The description of the ABCB common stock contained under the caption Description of Capital Stock found in ABCB s Preliminary Prospectus dated as of April 21, 1994, filed as part of ABCB s Registration Statement on Form SB-2 (Registration No. 33-77930) with the SEC on April 21, 1994, and any amendments or reports filed for the purpose of updating such description; and

All other reports filed by ABCB pursuant to Sections 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act prior to the date of the special meeting of the JAXB shareholders.

In addition, ABCB is incorporating by reference any documents it may file under Section 13(a), 13(c), 14 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act after the date of this proxy statement/prospectus and prior to the date of the special meeting of the JAXB shareholders, provided, however, that ABCB is not incorporating by reference any information furnished (but not filed), except as otherwise specified herein.

Both ABCB and JAXB file annual, quarterly and special reports, proxy statements and other business and financial information with the SEC. You may obtain the information incorporated by reference into this proxy statement/prospectus by ABCB and any other materials that ABCB or JAXB file with the SEC without charge by

following the instructions in the section entitled Where You Can Find More Information beginning on page i of this proxy statement/prospectus.

All information concerning ABCB and its subsidiaries has been furnished by ABCB, and all information concerning JAXB and its subsidiaries has been furnished by JAXB. You should rely only on the information contained or incorporated by reference in these materials in making a decision to vote on the approval of the merger proposal, the charter amendment, the merger-related compensation and the adjournment proposal. No person has been authorized to provide you with information that is different from that contained in these materials.

These materials are dated February 4, 2016. You should not assume that the information contained in these materials is accurate as of any date other than such date, and neither the mailing of these materials to shareholders nor the issuance of ABCB common stock in the merger shall create any implication to the contrary.

These materials do not constitute an offer to sell, or a solicitation of an offer to buy, any securities, or the solicitation of a proxy, in any jurisdiction to or from any person to whom it is not lawful to make any such offer or solicitation in such jurisdiction. Neither the delivery of these materials nor any distribution of securities made hereunder shall, under any circumstances, create an implication that there has been no change in the affairs of ABCB or JAXB since the date hereof, or that the information herein is correct as of any time subsequent to its date.

INDEX TO JAXB S CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

JACKSONVILLE BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

	Page
Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements of JAXB as of September 30, 2015 and December	
31, 2014 and for the Periods Ended September 30, 2015 and 2014	
Unaudited Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014	<u>F-2</u>
<u>Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three and Nine Months Ended</u>	<u>F-3</u>
<u>September 30, 2015 and 2014</u>	<u>1 -5</u>
<u>Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Three and Nine Months</u>	<u>F-4</u>
Ended September 30, 2015 and 2014	1-4
Unaudited Consolidated Statement of Changes in Shareholders	d F-5
<u>September 30, 2015</u>	<u>1-5</u>
<u>Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Nine Months Ended September 30,</u>	F-6
2015 and 2014	<u>1*-0</u>
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	<u>F-7</u>
Audited Consolidated Financial Statements of JAXB as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 and for	
the Years Ended December 31, 2014, and 2013	
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	<u>F-31</u>
Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2014 and 2013	<u>F-32</u>
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2014 and 2013	<u>F-33</u>
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the Years Ended December 31.	F-34
2014 and 2013	<u>1'-54</u>
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders Equity for the Years Ended December 31,	F-35
2014 and 2013	<u>1 -33</u>
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2014 and 2013	<u>F-36</u>
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	<u>F-37</u>

JACKSONVILLE BANCORP, INC.

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Unaudited) (dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

	September 30, 2015	December 31, 2014
ASSETS	30, 2013	31, 2014
Cash and due from financial institutions	\$24,538	\$23,778
Federal funds sold and other	1,872	594
Cash and cash equivalents	26,410	24,372
Securities available-for-sale	67,375	77,633
Loans, net of allowance for loan losses of \$12,570 and \$14,377 as of	•	
September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively	386,941	360,279
Premises and equipment, net	4,961	5,147
Assets held for sale		786
Bank owned life insurance	11,984	11,857
Federal Home Loan Bank stock, at cost	928	1,243
Other real estate owned, net	3,768	4,061
Accrued interest receivable	1,366	1,464
Other intangible assets, net	372	570
Other assets	1,149	1,172
Total assets	\$505,254	\$488,584
LIABILITIES		
Deposits		
Noninterest-bearing demand deposits	\$122,520	\$107,840
Money market, NOW and savings deposits	193,502	174,693
Time deposits	117,010	133,223
Total deposits	433,032	415,756
Federal Home Loan Bank short-term borrowings	2,500	8,500
Federal Home Loan Bank and other long-term borrowings	9,360	9,129
Subordinated debentures	16,266	16,218
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	1,976	1,869
Total liabilities	463,134	451,472
SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY		
Preferred stock		
Common stock, \$.01 par value, 3,480,961 and 3,180,300 shares issued and outstanding as of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively	35	32
Nonvoting common stock, \$.01 par value, 2,315,321 and 2,614,821 shares issued and outstanding as of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014,	23	26

respectively Additional paid in capital	138,128 138,096
Retained deficit	(95,744) (100,759)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(322) (283)
Total shareholders equity	42,120 37,112
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	\$505,254 \$488,584
2	

JACKSONVILLE BANCORP, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(Unaudited) (dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months I September 30.		0,	
	2015		2014	2015		2014
Interest and dividend income:						
Loans, including fees	\$4,833		\$4,832	\$14,330		\$14,591
Taxable securities	273		295	841		917
Tax-exempt securities	158		80	305		271
Federal funds sold and other	33		44	101		123
Total interest income	5,297		5,251	15,577		15,902
Interest expense:						
Deposits	469		514	1,421		1,619
Federal Reserve and other borrowings	8		11	23		33
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	49		58	149		207
Subordinated debentures	209		207	617		615
Total interest expense	735		790	2,210		2,474
Net interest income	4,562		4,461	13,367		13,428
Provision for loan losses				(2,011)	287
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	4,562		4,461	15,378		13,141
Noninterest income:						
Service charges on deposit accounts	198		187	563		551
Other income	174		680	554		1,072
Total noninterest income	372		867	1,117		1,623
Noninterest expense:						
Salaries and employee benefits	1,645		1,850	4,804		6,186
Occupancy and equipment	574		611	1,683		1,876
Regulatory assessments	102		187	469		548
Data processing	555		509	1,612		1,539
Advertising and business development	53		60	180		201
Professional fees	216		403	744		897
Telephone expense	88		93	267		282
Other real estate owned expense	228		71	388		181
Other	509		716	1,315		1,693
Total noninterest expense	3,970		4,500	11,462		13,403
Net income before income taxes	964		828	5,033		1,361
Income tax (benefit) expense	(28)	20	18		20
\ / I	`	,				

	Net income	\$992	\$808	\$5,015	\$1,341
	Weighted average common shares outstanding:				
	Basic shares	5,796,282	5,795,121	5,796,012	5,795,104
	Dilutive stock options	19,466	1,981	12,604	3,349
	Diluted shares	5,815,748	5,797,102	5,808,616	5,798,453
	Earnings per common share:				
	Basic	\$0.17	\$0.14	\$0.87	\$0.23
	Diluted	\$0.17	\$0.14	\$0.86	\$0.23
F-3					
F-3	Diluted shares Earnings per common share: Basic	5,815,748 \$0.17	5,797,102 \$0.14	5,808,616 \$0.87	5,798,453 \$0.23

JACKSONVILLE BANCORP, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(Unaudited) (dollars in thousands)

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ende September 30,	
	2015	2014	2015	2014
Net income	\$992	\$ 808	\$5,015	\$ 1,341
Other comprehensive income:				
Change in unrealized holding gains (losses) on available-for-sale securities	111	(156)	(10)	898
Net unrealized derivative (loss) gain on cash flow hedge	(61)	110	(29)	77
Other comprehensive income (loss) Tax effect	50	(46)	(39)	975
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax effect	50	(46)	(39)	975
Total comprehensive income	\$1,042	\$ 762	\$4,976	\$ 2,316

JACKSONVILLE BANCORP, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

(Unaudited) (dollars in thousands)

F-5

JACKSONVILLE BANCORP, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Unaudited) (dollars in thousands)

	Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2015	2014	
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net income	\$ 5,015	\$ 1,341	
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash from operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	391	526	
Net amortization of deferred loan fees	18	134	
Provision for loan losses	(2,011)	287	
Premium amortization for securities, net of accretion	482	678	
Net accretion of purchase accounting adjustments	(364)	(957)
Net loss (gain) on sale of other real estate owned	1	(38)
Write-downs of other real estate owned	291	48	
Loss on sale of assets held for sale	101	15	
Gain from bank-owned life insurance death benefits		(489)
Earnings on bank-owned life insurance	(127)	(150)
Share-based compensation	21	46	
Net change in:			
Accrued interest receivable and other assets	122	(131)
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	77	(33)
Net cash from operating activities	4,017	1,277	
Cash flows (used for) from investing activities:			
Available-for-sale securities:			
Maturities, repayments and calls	15,832	12,842	
Purchases	(6,066)	(10,277)
Loan (originations) payments, net	(24,252)	7,007	
Proceeds from bank owned life insurance death benefits		797	
Proceeds from sale of other real estate owned and other assets held for sale	854	1,340	
Additions to premises and equipment, net	(205)	(300)
Redemptions of Federal Home Loan Bank stock, net of purchases	315	337	
Net cash (used for) from investing activities	(13,522)	11,746	
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Net change in deposits	17,282	3,414	
Proceeds from Federal Home Loan Bank advances	20,000		
Repayment of Federal Home Loan Bank advances	(26,000)	(2,518)

Proceeds from other borrowings	250	
Proceeds from exercise of common stock options	11	
Net cash from financing activities	11,543	896
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	2,038	13,919
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	24,372	40,325
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 26,410	\$ 54,244
Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:		
Cash paid during the period for		
Interest	\$ 2,223	\$ 2,534
Income taxes	70	
Supplemental schedule of noncash investing activities:		
Acquisition of other real estate owned	\$ 167	\$ 2,887
Transfer of assets to held for sale		944

F-6

JACKSONVILLE BANCORP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)
(dollars in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

NOTE 1 BASIS OF PRESENTATION

Principles of Consolidation

The accounting and reporting policies of the Company reflect banking industry practice and conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (U.S. GAAP). The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Jacksonville Bancorp, Inc. (Bancorp), its wholly owned, operating subsidiary The Jacksonville Bank, and The Jacksonville Bank s wholly owned subsidiary, Fountain Financial, Inc. The consolidated entity is referred to as (the Company) and The Jacksonville Bank and Fountain Financial, Inc. are collectively referred to as (the Bank). The Company s financial condition and operating results principally reflect those of the Bank. All significant intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated in consolidation.

The unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP for interim financial information and with Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and disclosures required by U.S. GAAP for complete financial statements. In management s opinion, all adjustments, consisting primarily of normal recurring adjustments, necessary for a fair presentation of the results for the interim periods have been made. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ significantly from those estimates and assumptions. Certain reclassifications have been made to prior period amounts to conform to the current period presentation. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the Company s Consolidated Financial Statements and notes thereto included in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014. There have been no significant changes to the Company s accounting policies as disclosed in the 2014 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Nature of Operations

Bancorp is a financial holding company headquartered in Jacksonville, Florida. The Bank currently provides financial services through eight full-service branches in Jacksonville and Jacksonville Beach, Duval County, Florida, as well as its virtual branch. The Company s primary business segment is community banking and consists of attracting deposits from the general public and using such deposits and other sources of funds to originate commercial business loans,

commercial real estate loans, residential mortgage loans and a variety of consumer loans. Substantially all loans are secured by specific items of collateral, including business assets, consumer assets, and commercial and residential real estate. Commercial loans are expected to be repaid from cash flow from operations of businesses. There are no significant concentrations of loans to any one industry or customer. However, the customers—ability to repay their loans is dependent on the local real estate market and general economic conditions in the area. For further information, please refer to the Consolidated Financial Statements and notes thereto included in the Company—s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014, as filed with the SEC on March 16, 2015.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2014-09, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606)*. This update to the Accounting Standards Codification is the culmination of efforts by the FASB and the International Accounting Standards Board to develop a common revenue standard for U.S. GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards. ASU 2014-09 supersedes Topic 605 *Revenue Recognition* and most industry-specific guidance. The core principal of the guidance is that an entity should recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. The guidance in ASU 2014-09 describes a five-step process entities can apply to achieve the core principle of revenue recognition and requires

F-7

Nature of Operations 347

NOTE 1 BASIS OF PRESENTATION (continued)

disclosures sufficient to enable users of financial statements to understand the nature, amount, timing, and uncertainty of revenue and cash flows arising from contracts with customers and the significant judgments used in determining that information. In August 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-14, which deferred the effective date of ASU 2014-09 to annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim periods within that reporting period. Early application is allowed as of the original effective date for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2016. The Company is currently evaluating the effects of the adoption of this standard on its Consolidated Financial Statements and disclosures, if any.

In August 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-15, *Disclosure of Uncertainties about an Entity s Ability to Continue as a Going Concern.* This standard will require management to assess an entity s ability to continue as a going concern, and to provide related footnote disclosures in certain circumstances. In connection with each annual and interim period, management will have to assess if there is substantial doubt about the entity s ability to continue as a going concern within one year after the issuance date. Management must consider relevant conditions that are known (and reasonably knowable) at the issuance date. Substantial doubt exists if it is probable that the entity will be unable to meet its obligations within one year after the issuance date. The new standard defines substantial doubt and provides example indicators. The definition of substantial doubt incorporates a likelihood threshold of probable similar to the current use of the term in U.S. GAAP for loss contingencies. Disclosures will be required if conditions give rise to substantial doubt. However, management will need to assess if its plans will alleviate substantial doubt to determine the specific disclosures. This standard will be effective for all entities in the first annual period ending after December 15, 2016 and for annual periods and interim periods thereafter. Early application is permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the effects of the adoption of this standard on its Consolidated Financial Statements and disclosures, if any.

In April 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-05, *Intangibles Goodwill and Other Internal-Use Software* (Subtopic 350-40): Customer s Accounting for Fees Paid in a Cloud Computing Arrangement. This standard provides guidance to customers about whether a cloud computing arrangement includes a software license, then the customer should account for the software license element of the arrangement consistent with the acquisition of other software licenses. If a cloud computing arrangement does not include a software license, the customer should account for the arrangement as a service contract. The guidance will not change the accounting for a customer s accounting for service contracts. This standard is effective for public business entities for fiscal years, and for interim fiscal periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2015. Early adoption is permitted. The adoption of this standard is not expected to have a significant impact on the Company s Consolidated Financial Statements and disclosures, if any.

In September 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-16, *Business Combinations (Topic 805): Simplifying the Accounting for Measurement-Period Adjustments*. This standard will require that an acquirer recognize adjustments to provisional amounts that are identified during the measurement period in the reporting period in which the adjustment amounts are determined. This update will require that the acquirer record, in the same period s financial statements, the effect on earnings of changes in depreciation, amortization, or other income effects, if any, as a result of the change to the provisional amounts, calculated as if the accounting had been completed at the acquisition date. Prior to the issuance of this standard, entities were required to retrospectively apply adjustments made to provisional amounts recognized in a business combination. This standard is effective for public business entities for fiscal years, and for

interim fiscal periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2015. Early adoption is permitted. The adoption of this standard is not expected to have a significant impact on the Company s Consolidated Financial Statements and disclosures, if any.

Other accounting standards that have been issued by the FASB or other standards-setting bodies are not expected to have a material impact on the Company s financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

F-8

NOTE 2 RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

On September 30, 2015, Ameris Bancorp, a Georgia corporation (Ameris), and Bancorp entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger (the Merger Agreement) pursuant to which, subject to the terms and conditions therein, Bancorp will merge with and into Ameris, with Ameris remaining as the surviving entity. The Merger Agreement provides that immediately following the merger of Ameris and Bancorp, Bank will be merged into Ameris Bank, a Georgia Bank wholly owned by Ameris, with Ameris Bank remaining as the surviving entity. The Merger Agreement has been unanimously approved by the boards of directors of each of Ameris and Bancorp.

Under the terms and subject to the conditions of the Merger Agreement, Bancorp s shareholders will have the right to receive 0.5861 shares of Ameris common stock or \$16.50 in cash for each share of the common stock and nonvoting common stock of Bancorp they hold. The total consideration in the merger will be prorated as necessary to ensure that 25% of the total outstanding shares of common stock and nonvoting common stock of Bancorp will be exchanged for cash and 75% of the total outstanding shares of common stock and nonvoting common stock of Bancorp will be exchanged for shares of Ameris common stock in the merger.

The closing of the merger is subject to the required approval of Bancorp s shareholders, requisite regulatory approvals, the effectiveness of the registration statement to be filed by Ameris with respect to the stock to be issued in the merger, an amendment to the Bancorp articles of incorporation to provide for conversion of Bancorp nonvoting common stock to Ameris common stock, and other customary closing conditions. The merger is expected to close during the first quarter of 2016.

NOTE 3 INVESTMENT SECURITIES

The following table summarizes the amortized cost and fair value of the available-for-sale investment securities portfolio as of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014 and the corresponding amounts of unrealized gains and losses recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss):

(dollars in thousands)	Amortized	Unrealized	Unrealized	Fair
September 30, 2015	Cost	Gains	Losses	Value
Available-for-sale:				
U.S. government-sponsored entities and agencies	\$ 6,878	\$ 146	\$ (10)	\$ 7,014
State and political subdivisions	5,267	341		5,608
Mortgage-backed securities residential	26,197	874	(34)	27,037
Collateralized mortgage obligations	25,233	115	(201)	25,148
Corporate bonds	2,516	52		2,568
Total	\$ 66,091	\$ 1,528	\$ (245)	\$ 67,375
December 31, 2014	Amortized	Unrealized	Unrealized	Fair
December 31, 2014	Cost	Gains	Losses	Value
Available-for-sale: U.S. government-sponsored entities and agencies	\$ 7,019	\$ 161	\$ (23)	\$ 7,157

State and political subdivisions	6,535	525		7,060
Mortgage-backed securities residential	30,454	928	(22)	31,360
Collateralized mortgage obligations	29,306	94	(438)	28,962
Corporate bonds	3,025	69		3,094
Total	\$ 76,339	\$ 1,777	\$ (483)	\$ 77,633

F-9

NOTE 3 INVESTMENT SECURITIES (continued)

As of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, the Company s investment securities portfolio did not include any held-to-maturity securities.

The amortized cost and fair value of the investment securities portfolio are presented below in order of contractual maturity. Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities if borrowers have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties. Securities not due at a single maturity date, primarily mortgage-backed securities residential and collateralized mortgage obligations, are shown separately.

(dollars in thousands)	Amortized	Fair
September 30, 2015	Cost	Value
Available-for-sale:		
Within one year	\$ 503	\$ 509
One to five years	1,988	2,027
Five to ten years	4,253	4,330
Beyond ten years	7,917	8,324
Mortgage-backed securities residential	26,197	27,037
Collateralized mortgage obligations	25,233	25,148
Total	\$ 66,091	\$ 67,375

The following table summarizes the investment securities with unrealized losses as of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014 listed by aggregated major security type and length of time in a continuous unrealized loss position:

	Less The Months		12 Mon Longer	ths or	Total	
(dollars in thousands)	Fair	Unrealiz	ze F air	Unrealize	edFair	Unrealized
September 30, 2015	Value	losses	Value	losses	Value	losses
Available-for-sale:						
U.S. government-sponsored entities and agencies	\$595	\$ (5)	\$996	\$(5)	\$1,591	\$(10)
State and political subdivisions						
Mortgage backed securities residential	1,424	(22)	1,172	(12)	2,596	(34)
Collateralized mortgage obligations	4,140	(17)	6,802	(184)	10,942	(201)
Corporate bonds						
Total available-for-sale securities	\$6,159	\$ (44)	\$8,970	\$ (201)	\$15,129	\$ (245)
	Less Th	an 12	12 Mont	hs or	Total	
	Months		Longer		Total	
December 31, 2014	Fair	Unrealiz	eHair	Unrealiz	eŒair	Unrealized
December 31, 2014	Value	losses	Value	losses	Value	losses

Available-for-sale: U.S. government-sponsored entities and agencies	\$	\$	\$977	\$(23)	\$977	\$(23)
State and political subdivisions						
Mortgage backed securities residential	1,849	(1)	1,192	(21)	3,041	(22)
Collateralized mortgage obligations	6,599	(40)	11,258	(398)	17,857	(438)
Corporate bonds						
Total available-for-sale securities	\$8,448	\$ (41)	\$13,427	\$ (442)	\$21,875	\$ (483)
F-10						

NOTE 3 INVESTMENT SECURITIES (continued)

As of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, the Company s security portfolio consisted of \$67.4 million and \$77.6 million, respectively, in available-for-sale securities, of which \$15.1 million and \$21.9 million were in an unrealized loss position for the related periods. The unrealized losses as of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014 were related to the securities types held by the Company, as discussed below.

U.S. Government-Sponsored Entities and Agency Securities:

All of the U.S. Agency securities held by the Company were issued by U.S. government-sponsored entities and agencies. As of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, the number of U.S. Agency securities with unrealized losses was two and one, respectively. As of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, these securities had depreciated 0.60% and 2.30%, respectively, from the Company s amortized cost basis.

Mortgage-backed Securities Residential:

All of the mortgage-backed securities held by the Company were issued by U.S. government-sponsored entities and agencies, primarily Ginnie Mae and Fannie Mae, institutions which have the full faith and credit of the U.S. government. As of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, mortgage-backed securities with unrealized losses were two and three, respectively. As of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, these securities had depreciated 1.30% and 0.71%, respectively, from the Company s amortized cost basis.

Collateralized Mortgage Obligations:

All of the collateralized mortgage obligation securities held by the Company were issued by U.S. government-sponsored entities and agencies, primarily Ginnie Mae, an institution which has the full faith and credit of the U.S. government. As of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, collateralized mortgage obligations with unrealized losses were twelve and eighteen, respectively. As of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, these securities had depreciated 1.80% and 2.40%, respectively, from the Company s amortized cost basis.

For the securities with unrealized losses at September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, the unrealized losses resulted from market interest rate changes, as opposed to credit losses. The Company does not intend to sell these securities, and it is likely that it will not be required to sell the securities before their anticipated recovery. The Company expects to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the securities. Having reviewed these securities for OTTI, the Company does not consider them to be other-than-temporarily impaired and no impairment loss has been recognized in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

NOTE 4 LOANS AND ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES

Loans as of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014 were as follows:

(dollars in thousands) September December 31, 30, 2014

	2015	
Commercial loans	\$ 64,658	\$ 57,876
Real estate mortgage loans:		
Residential	74,979	71,002
Commercial	229,165	222,468
Construction and land	29,799	22,319
Consumer and other loans	1,513	1,489
Loans, gross	400,114	375,154
Less:		
Net deferred loan fees	(603)	(498)
Allowance for loan losses	(12,570)	(14,377)
Loans, net	\$ 386,941	\$ 360,279

F-11

NOTE 4 LOANS AND ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES (continued)

Loans acquired as a result of the merger with Atlantic BancGroup, Inc. (ABI) were recorded at fair value on the date of acquisition. The amounts reported in the table above are net of the fair value adjustments. The table below reflects the contractual amount of purchased loans less the discount to principal balances remaining from these fair value adjustments by class of loan as of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014. This discount will be accreted into interest income as deemed appropriate over the remaining term of the related loans or to support unidentified losses.

(dollars in thousands) September 30, 2015	Gross Contractual Amount Receivable	Discount	Carrying Amount
Commercial loans	\$ 1,557	\$ 115	\$ 1,442
Real estate mortgage loans:			
Residential	12,847	616	12,231
Commercial	32,683	1,834	30,849
Construction and land	2,907	235	2,672
Consumer and other loans	366	3	363
Total	\$ 50,360	\$ 2,803	\$ 47,557
December 31, 2014	Gross Contractual Amount Receivable	Discount	Carrying Amount
Commercial loans	\$ 1,758	\$ 144	\$ 1,614
Real estate mortgage loans:			
Residential	15,748	761	14,987
Commercial	37,481	2,167	35,314
Construction and land	3,452	334	3,118
Consumer and other loans	400	3	397
Total	\$ 58,839	\$ 3,409	\$ 55,430

The Company has divided the loan portfolio into three portfolio segments, each with different risk characteristics and methodologies for assessing risk. The three portfolio segments identified by the Company are described below.

Commercial Loans

Commercial loans are primarily underwritten on the basis of the borrowers ability to service such debt from operating cash flows. The cash flows of borrowers, however, may not be as expected and the collateral securing these loans may fluctuate in value. As a general practice, loans are secured by a security interest in any available real estate, equipment, or other chattel, although loans may also be made on an unsecured basis. Collateralized working capital loans typically are secured with short-term assets whereas long-term loans are primarily secured with long-term

assets. Credit risk is mitigated by the diversity and number of borrowers as well as loan type within the commercial portfolio.

Real Estate Mortgage Loans

Real estate mortgage loans are typically segmented into three classes: commercial real estate, residential real estate and construction and land development. Commercial real estate loans are secured by the subject property and are underwritten based upon standards set forth in the underwriting guidelines authorized by the Bank s Board of Directors. Such standards include, among other factors, loan-to-value limits, debt service coverage and general creditworthiness of the obligors. Residential real estate loans are underwritten in accordance with policies set forth and approved by the Bank s Board, including repayment capacity and source, value of the underlying property, credit history, stability and purchaser guidelines. Construction loans to borrowers are to finance the construction of owner occupied and lease properties. These loans are categorized as construction loans during the construction period, later converting to commercial or residential

F-12

Commercial Loans 357

NOTE 4 LOANS AND ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES (continued)

real estate loans after the construction is complete and amortization of the loan begins. Real estate development and construction loans are approved based on an analysis of the borrower and guarantor, the viability of the project and on an acceptable percentage of the appraised value of the property securing the loan. Real estate development and construction loan funds are disbursed periodically based on the percentage of construction completed. The Bank carefully monitors these loans with on-site inspections and requires the receipt of invoices and lien waivers prior to advancing funds. Development and construction loans are typically secured by the properties under development or construction, and personal guarantees are typically obtained. Further, to assure that reliance is not placed solely on the value of the underlying property, the Bank considers the market conditions and feasibility of proposed projects, the financial condition and reputation of the borrower and guarantors, the amount of the borrower sequity in the project, independent appraisals, cost estimates and pre-construction sale information. The Bank also makes loans on occasion for the purchase of land for future development by the borrower. Land loans are extended for the future development of either commercial or residential use by the borrower. The Bank carefully analyzes the intended use of the property and the viability thereof.

Repayment of real estate loans is primarily dependent upon the personal income or business income generated by the secured property of the borrowers, which can be impacted by the economic conditions in their market area. Risk is mitigated by the fact that the properties securing the Company s real estate loan portfolio are diverse in type and spread over a large number of borrowers.

Consumer and Other Loans

Consumer and other loans are extended for various purposes, including purchases of automobiles, recreational vehicles, and boats. The Company also offers home improvement loans, lines of credit, personal loans, and deposit account collateralized loans. Repayment of these loans is primarily dependent on the personal income of the borrowers, which can be impacted by economic conditions in their market areas, such as unemployment levels. Loans to consumers are extended after a credit evaluation, including the creditworthiness of the borrower(s), the purpose of the credit, and the secondary source of repayment. Consumer loans are made at fixed and variable interest rates and may be made on terms of up to ten years. Risk is mitigated by the fact that the loans are of smaller individual amounts and spread over a large number of borrowers.

Activity in the allowance for loan losses by portfolio segment for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014 was as follows:

	Three Mon	ths Ended	Nine Months Ended			
	September	30,	September 30,			
(dollars in thousands)	2015	2014	2015	2014		
Allowance at beginning of period	\$ 12,861	\$ 14,616	\$ 14,377	\$ 15,760		
Charge-offs:						
Commercial loans	(4)	(70)	(170)	(272)		
Real estate mortgage loans	(874)	(545)	(1,264)	(2,034)		

Consumer and other loans		(154)	(4)	(170)
Total charge-offs	(878)	(769)	(1,438)	(2,476)
Recoveries:				
Commercial loans	14	10	53	37
Real estate mortgage loans	457	1,306	1,436	1,545
Consumer and other loans	116	7	153	17
Total recoveries	587	1,323	1,642	1,599
Net (charge-offs) recoveries	(291)	554	204	(877)
F-13				

NOTE 4 LOANS AND ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES (continued)

	Three Months Ended September 30,			Nine Months Ended September 30,		[
(dollars in thousands)	2015 2014		2015	2014			
Provision for loan losses charged to operating expenses:							
Commercial loans	(1)	(26)	(43)	102	
Real estate mortgage loans	(15)	(312)	(1,271)	(73)
Consumer and other loans	16		338		(697)	258	
Total provision					(2,011)	287	
Allowance at end of period	\$12,57	0'	\$15,170		\$12,570	\$ 15,170	1

The following table presents the balance in the allowance for loan losses and the recorded investment in loans by portfolio segment and based on the impairment method as of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014:

(dollars in thousands) September 30, 2015 Allowance for loan losses:	Commercial Loans	Real Estate Mortgage Loans	Consumer and Other Loans	Total
Ending allowance balance attributable to loans: Individually evaluated for impairment Collectively evaluated for impairment Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality Total ending allowance balance Loans:	\$ 59 1,072 \$ 1,131	\$ 1,526 9,536 \$ 11,062	\$ 377 \$ 377	\$ 1,585 10,985 \$ 12,570
Loans individually evaluated for impairment Loans collectively evaluated for impairment Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality Total ending loans balance	\$ 59 64,517 82 \$ 64,658	\$ 14,454 308,102 11,387 \$ 333,943	\$ 23 1,490 \$ 1,513	\$ 14,536 374,109 11,469 \$ 400,114
December 31, 2014 Allowance for loan losses:	Commercial Loans	Real Estate Mortgage Loans	Consumer and Other Loans	Total
Ending allowance balance attributable to loans: Individually evaluated for impairment Collectively evaluated for impairment Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality Total ending allowance balance	\$ 21 1,270 \$ 1,291	\$ 539 11,622 \$ 12,161	\$ 925 \$ 925	\$ 560 13,817 \$ 14,377
Loans:	ψ 1,271	ψ 12,101	ψ /23	ψ 1 Τ, 377

	Loans individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 21	\$ 16,033	\$ 28	\$ 16,082
	Loans collectively evaluated for impairment	57,749	285,371	1,461	344,581
	Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	106	14,385		14,491
	Total ending loans balance	\$ 57,876	\$ 315,789	\$ 1,489	\$ 375,154
F-14					

NOTE 4 LOANS AND ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES (continued)

The following table presents loans individually evaluated for impairment, by class of loans as of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014:

	Septembe	er 30, 2015		Decembe	r 31, 2014	
(dollars in thousands)	Unpaid Principal Balance	Recorded Investment	Allowance for Loan Losses Allocated	Unpaid Principal Balance	Recorded Investment	Allowance for Loan Losses Allocated
With no related allowance recorded:	1					
Commercial loans	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Real estate mortgage loans:						
Residential	790	734		2,288	2,211	
Commercial	9,173	8,675		14,012	11,104	
Construction and land	1,100	1,071		1,174	1,126	
Consumer and other loans	26	23		31	28	
Subtotal	11,089	10,503		17,505	14,469	
With an allowance recorded:						
Commercial loans	60	59	59	21	21	21
Real estate mortgage loans:						
Residential	1,797	1,740	693	692	629	103
Commercial	1,957	1,948	655	489	489	214
Construction and land	332	286	-178	493	474	222
Consumer and other loans						
Subtotal	4,146	4,033	1,585	1,695	1,613	560
Total	\$15,235	\$ 14,536	\$ 1,585	\$19,200	\$ 16,082	\$ 560

The following table presents the average recorded investment in impaired loans and the related interest income recognized during impairment for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014.

	Three Mo	onths Ended	September	Nine Mor 30, 2015	nths Ended	September
(dollars in thousands)	Average Impaired Loans	Interest Income	Cash Basis	Average	Interest Income	Cash Basis
With no related allowance recorded:						
Commercial loans	\$	\$	\$	\$56	\$	\$
Real estate mortgage loans:						
Residential	806	5	5	884	16	16
Commercial	7,530	72	72	9,015	349	349

	Construction and land	1,078	9	9	1,191	28	28
	Consumer and other loans	23			25		
	Subtotal	9,437	86	86	11,171	393	393
	With an allowance recorded:						
	Commercial loans	61			62		
	Real estate mortgage loans:						
	Residential	1,742	5	5	939	15	16
	Commercial	474	6	6	480	17	17
	Construction and land	288			294		
	Consumer and other loans						
	Subtotal	2,565	11	11	1,775	32	33
	Total	\$12,002	\$ 97	\$ 97	\$12,946	\$ 425	\$ 426
F-15							

NOTE 4 LOANS AND ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES (continued)

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2014		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014			tember				
(dollars in thousands)	Average Impaired Loans		terest come	Ca	ash Basis	Average Impaired Loans		terest come	Ca	ash Basis
With no related allowance recorded:										
Commercial loans	\$68	\$		\$		\$192	\$		\$	
Real estate mortgage loans:										
Residential	2,063		18		18	2,407		63		63
Commercial	10,556		42		42	10,651		126		126
Construction and land	2,503		7		7	4,119		21		21
Consumer and other loans	32					35				
Subtotal	15,222		67		67	17,404		210		210
With an allowance recorded:										
Commercial loans	16					17				
Real estate mortgage loans:										
Residential	830		7		7	830		21		21
Commercial	5,496		13		13	4,291		38		38
Construction and land	591		3		3	545		10		10
Consumer and other loans	308					314				
Subtotal	7,241		23		23	5,997		69		69
Total	\$22,463	\$	90	\$	90	\$23,401	\$	279	\$	279

The following table presents the recorded investment in nonaccrual loans by class of loans as of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014:

(dollars in thousands)	September 30, 2015	December 31, 2014
Commercial loans	\$ 59	\$ 21
Real estate mortgage loans:		
Residential	2,092	1,151
Commercial	4,485	7,408
Construction and land	386	574
Consumer and other loans	23	28
Total	\$ 7,045	\$ 9,182

There were no loans past due 90 days or greater and still accruing at September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014.

NOTE 4 LOANS AND ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES (continued)

The following table presents the aging of the recorded investment in past due loans by class of loans as of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014:

	Past Due	e Loans				
(dollars in thousands) September 30, 2015	30 59 Days	60 89 Days	90 Days and Greater	Total	Loans Not Past Due	Total
Commercial loans	\$199	\$	\$ 21	\$ 220	\$64,438	\$64,658
Real estate mortgage loans:						
Residential	589	163	235	987	73,992	74,979
Commercial		1,477	2,699	4,176	224,989	229,165
Construction and land			122	122	29,677	29,799
Consumer and other loans	258			258	1,255	1,513
Total	\$1,046	\$ 1,640	\$ 3,077	\$ 5,763	\$394,351	\$400,114
	Past Due	e Loans				
December 31, 2014	Past Due		90 Days and Greater	Total	Loans Not Past Due	Total
December 31, 2014 Commercial loans	30 59	60 89	and	Total \$218		Total \$ 57,876
	30 59 Days	60 89 Days	and Greater		Past Due	
Commercial loans	30 59 Days	60 89 Days	and Greater		Past Due	
Commercial loans Real estate mortgage loans:	30 59 Days \$218	60 89 Days	and Greater \$	\$218	Past Due \$57,658	\$57,876
Commercial loans Real estate mortgage loans: Residential	30 59 Days \$218	60 89 Days \$	and Greater \$	\$ 218 2,134	Past Due \$57,658 68,868	\$ 57,876 71,002
Commercial loans Real estate mortgage loans: Residential Commercial	30 59 Days \$218	60 89 Days \$	and Greater \$ 681 4,784	\$ 218 2,134 11,517	Past Due \$57,658 68,868 210,951	\$57,876 71,002 222,468

The delinquency status of purchased credit impaired loans that resulted from our acquisition of ABI is based on the contractual terms of the loan. In effect, past due status of an acquired loan is determined in the same manner as loans originated by the Bank.

Troubled Debt Restructurings

During the normal course of business, the Company may restructure or modify the terms of a loan for various reasons. The restructuring of a loan is considered a troubled debt restructuring (TDR) if both (i) the borrower is experiencing financial difficulties and (ii) a concession is granted that otherwise would not have occurred under normal circumstances.

The following table presents the recorded investment and specific reserves allocated to loans modified as TDRs as of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014.

(dollars in thousands)	September	December 31,
(dollars in thousands)	30, 2015	2014
Recorded investment ⁽¹⁾	\$ 10,671	\$ 10,794
Specific reserves allocated ⁽²⁾	342	372

⁽¹⁾ Of the total recorded investment in loans modified as TDRs, \$1,101 and \$1,285, respectively, were for customers whose loans were collateral dependent with collateral shortfalls.

⁽²⁾ Of the specific reserves allocated to customers whose loan terms were modified as TDRs, \$342 and \$372, respectively, were allocated to customers whose loans were collateral dependent with collateral shortfalls. F-17

NOTE 4 LOANS AND ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES (continued)

The following table represents loans by class modified as TDRs that occurred during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014:

	Three Months End		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 201		September 30, 2015	
	Pre-	Post-	Pre-	Post-
(dellars in the engage de)	Num M odification	Modification	Num Modification	Modification
(dollars in thousands)	of Outstanding	Outstanding	of Outstanding loansRecorded	Outstanding
	loansRecorded	Recorded		Recorded
Commonsial loons	Investment	Investment	Investment	Investment
Commercial loans	\$	\$	\$	\$
Real estate mortgage loans:	1 214	200	1 214	200
Residential	1 214	208	1 214	208
Commercial			1 1,496	1,689
Construction and land				
Consumer and other loans	1 0 014	Φ 200	o	ф. 1.00 7
Total	1 \$ 214	\$ 208	2 \$ 1,710	\$ 1,897
	Three Months End	ed	Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 201	4	September 30, 2014	
	Pre-	Post-	Pre-	Post-
	Num Mod ification	Modification	Numb Modification	Modification
(dollars in thousands)	of Outstanding	Outstanding	of Outstanding	Outstanding
·	loansRecorded	Recorded	loans Recorded	Recorded
	Investment	Investment	Investment	Investment
Commercial loans	\$	\$	1 \$ 62	\$ 62
Real estate mortgage loans:				
Residential			2 171	151
Commercial			6 3,579	3,629
Construction and land			2 281	219
Consumer and other loans	1 208	208	2 447	447
Total	1 \$ 208	\$ 208	13 \$ 4,540	\$ 4,508

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, respectively, there was one and two collateral-impaired loan modified as TDRs. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, there were one and thirteen loans, respectively, modified as TDRs. The terms of these loans were modified as TDRs because the borrowers were experiencing financial difficulties. The loan modifications allowed the borrowers to make reduced payments, such as (i) reduced fixed interest rate through maturity and an advance to cover a deficiency from sale of a separate foreclosed property, (ii) change from principal and interest payments to interest only payments for a limited period of time, (iii) reduced principal and interest payments through maturity, (iv) change from variable rate interest only payments

through maturity to fixed rate interest only payments for a limited period of time and reduced principal and interest payments through maturity, (v) change from variable rate interest only payments through maturity to fixed rate and reduced principal and interest payments through maturity, or (vi) proposed forgiveness of principal contingent upon the satisfaction of the modified terms. The TDRs described above did not increase the allowance for loan losses as of September 30, 2015 and there were no related charge-offs for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, respectively. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, the TDRs described above did not increase the allowance for loan losses as of September 30, 2014 and resulted in charge-offs of \$0 and \$256,000, respectively.

As of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, the Company had no commitments to lend additional amounts to customers with outstanding loans whose terms were modified as TDRs.

There were no TDRs for which there was a payment default within twelve months following the modification during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014. A loan is considered to be in payment default once it is 90 days contractually past due under the modified terms.

F-18

NOTE 4 LOANS AND ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES (continued)

Loans modified that did not meet the definition of a TDR had a total recorded investment of \$7.3 million and \$14.7 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, respectively, and \$1.9 million and \$12.1 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, respectively. These modifications involved loans to borrowers who were not experiencing financial difficulties and included (i) allowing the borrowers to make interest-only payments for a limited period of time, (ii) adjusting the interest rate to a market interest rate through maturity, (iii) extension of interest-only payments for a limited period of time, or (iv) extension of maturity date.

In order to determine whether a borrower is experiencing financial difficulty, an evaluation is performed of the probability that the borrower will be in payment default on any of its debt in the foreseeable future without the modification. This evaluation is performed under the Company s internal underwriting policy.

Credit Quality Indicators

The Company categorizes loans into risk categories based on relevant information about the ability of borrowers to service their debt such as: current financial information, historical payment experience, credit documentation, public information, and current economic trends, among other factors.

The Company analyzes loans individually by classifying the loans as to credit risk. All loans are graded upon initial issuance. Loans classified as substandard or special mention are reviewed at least quarterly by the Company for further deterioration or improvement to determine if they are appropriately classified and whether there is any impairment. Further, commercial loans are typically reviewed at least annually to determine the appropriate loan grading. In addition, during the renewal process of any loan, as well as if a loan becomes past due, the Company determines the appropriate loan grade.

Loans excluded from the review process above are generally classified as pass credits until: (i) they become past due; (ii) management becomes aware of a deterioration in the credit worthiness of the borrower; or (iii) the customer contacts the Company for a modification. In these circumstances, the loan is specifically evaluated for potential classification as to special mention, substandard or doubtful. The Company uses the following definitions for risk ratings:

Special Mention:

Loans classified as special mention have a potential weakness that deserves management s close attention. If left uncorrected, these potential weaknesses may result in deterioration of the repayment prospects for the loan or of the institution s credit position at some future date.

Substandard:

Loans classified as substandard are inadequately protected by the current net worth and paying capacity of the obligor or of the collateral pledged, if any. Loans so classified have a well-defined weakness or weaknesses that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt. They are characterized by the distinct possibility that the institution will sustain some loss if

the deficiencies are not corrected.

Doubtful:

Loans classified as doubtful have all the weaknesses inherent in those classified as substandard, with the added characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full, on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions and values, highly questionable and improbable.

F-19

Substandard: 370

NOTE 4 LOANS AND ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES (continued)

Loans not meeting the criteria above that are analyzed individually as part of the above-described process are considered to be pass-rated loans. As of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, and based on the most recent analysis performed, the risk category of loans by class of loans was as follows:

(dollars in thousands) September 30, 2015	Pass	Special Mention	Substandard	Doubtful	Total
Commercial loans	\$ 64,480	\$ 76	\$ 102	\$	\$ 64,658
Real estate mortgage loans:					
Residential	66,702	4,628	3,649		74,979
Commercial	218,529	2,552	8,084		229,165
Construction and land	27,013	1,688	1,098		29,799
Consumer and other loans	1,477	13	23		1,513
Total	\$ 378,201	\$ 8,957	\$ 12,956	\$	\$ 400,114
December 31, 2014	Pass	Special Mention	Substandard	Doubtful	Total
Commercial loans	\$ 56,704	\$ 1,103	\$ 69	\$	\$ 57,876
Real estate mortgage loans:					
Residential	61,666	4,717	4,619		71,002
Commercial	202 225				222 460
	202,225	5,278	14,965		222,468
Construction and land	202,225 20,799	5,278 62	14,965 1,458		222,468 22,319
Construction and land Consumer and other loans	,	*	*		*

Purchased Loans

The Company has purchased loans for which there was, at acquisition, evidence of deterioration of credit quality since origination and it was probable, at acquisition, that all contractually required payments would not be collected. The unpaid principal balance and carrying amounts of these loans were as follows as of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014:

(dollars in thousands)	September 30, 2015	December 31, 2014
Commercial loans	\$ 112	\$ 150
Real estate mortgage loans:		
Residential	2,111	3,625
Commercial	10,320	11,937
Construction and land	233	240

Consumer and other loans
Unpaid principal balance \$ 12,776 \$ 15,952
Carrying amount \$ 11,469 \$ 14,491

F-20

Purchased Loans 372

NOTE 4 LOANS AND ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES (continued)

Accretable yield, or income collected, from these loans was as follows:

(dollars in thousands)	
Balance as of December 31, 2013	\$ 8,993
New loans purchased, including loans classified as held-for-sale	
Accretion of income	(766)
Reduction for loans sold, paid off and other	(1,837)
Loans charged off	(28)
Reclassifications from nonaccretable difference	
Disposals	
Balance as of September 30, 2014	\$ 6,362
Balance as of December 31, 2014	\$ 6,329
New loans purchased, including loans classified as held-for-sale	
Accretion of income	(599)
Reduction for loans sold, paid off and other	(673)
Loans charged off	
Reclassifications from nonaccretable difference	127
Disposals	
Balance as of September 30, 2015	\$ 5,184

For those purchased loans disclosed above, the Company did not increase the allowance for loan losses as of September 30, 2015 or December 31, 2014, respectively.

NOTE 5 BORROWED FUNDS

Short-term borrowings

Short-term borrowings at September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014 were as follows:

(dollars in thousands)	September 30, 2015	December 31, 2014
FHLB short-term borrowings		
Advance maturing January 9, 2015 at a fixed rate of 0.88%	\$	\$ 4,000
Advances maturing March 2, 2015 at a fixed rate of 0.76%		2,000
Advances maturing July 15, 2016 at a fixed rate of 2.81%	2,500	2,500
Total short-term borrowing	\$ 2,500	\$ 8,500

Short-term borrowings mature either overnight or have a maturity due within one year.

Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) borrowings maturing in less than one year are transferred from long-term debt to short-term borrowings on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Advances from the FHLB are collateralized by FHLB stock and certain 1—4 residential, multifamily, home equity lines of credit and commercial real estate loans to secure a total commitment amount of \$59.0 million and \$52.0 million as of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively.

The Company has entered into line of credit agreements with various financial institutions to purchase federal funds with an aggregate commitment amount of \$19.5 million as of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014. As of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, there were no federal funds purchased.

The Company also has a Borrower in Custody line of credit arrangement with the Federal Reserve Bank through which it has pledged a portion of its unencumbered loan portfolio to secure a total commitment amount of \$26.1 million and \$24.4 million as of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively. The commitment level varies proportionally to the collateral balances. There was no outstanding balance related to this agreement as of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014.

F-21

Short-term borrowings 374

NOTE 5 BORROWED FUNDS (continued)

Long-Term Debt

Long-term debt at September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014 was as follows:

(dollars in thousands)	September 30, 2015	December 31, 2014
FHLB long-term debt		
Advances maturing January 9, 2017 at a fixed rate of 1.40%	\$ 4,000	\$ 4,000
Advances maturing May 30, 2017 at a fixed rate of 1.23%	5,000	5,000
Total long-term debt	\$ 9,000	\$ 9,000

Revolving Loan Agreements

During the year ended December 31, 2011, Bancorp entered into revolving loan agreements (collectively, the Revolvers) with several of its directors and other related parties. There was no amount outstanding under the Revolvers as of December 31, 2014 with \$2.2 million remaining funds available as of the same date. Each Revolver paid an annual rate of interest equal to 8% of the Revolver amount outstanding on a quarterly basis. To the extent that any Revolver was not fully drawn, an unused revolver fee was calculated and paid quarterly at an annual rate of 2% on the revolving loan commitment less the daily average principal amount outstanding. The Revolvers matured on January 1, 2015.

On January 8, 2015, Bancorp entered into a loan agreement with Castle Creek SSF-D Investors, LP (Castle Creek) under which Castle Creek agreed to make revolving loans to the Company not to exceed \$1.5 million outstanding at any time (the Castle Creek Revolver). In connection with the Castle Creek Revolver, the Company executed a revolving loan note in favor of Castle Creek. The principal amount of the Castle Creek Revolver outstanding from time to time will accrue interest at 8% per annum, payable quarterly in arrears. All amounts borrowed under the Castle Creek Revolver will be due and payable by the Company on January 7, 2017, unless payable sooner pursuant to the provisions of the related loan agreement. To the extent that the Castle Creek Revolver is not fully drawn, an unused revolver fee will be calculated and paid quarterly at an annual rate of 2% on the revolving loan commitment less the daily average principal amount outstanding. There was \$250,000 outstanding under the Castle Creek Revolver as of September 30, 2015.

NOTE 6 DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

On July 7, 2009, the Company entered into an interest rate swap transaction with SunTrust Bank to mitigate interest rate risk exposure. Under the terms of the agreement, which relates to the subordinated debt issued to Jacksonville Bancorp, Inc. Statutory Trust III in the amount of \$7.6 million, the Company agreed to pay a fixed rate of 7.53% for a period of ten years in exchange for the original floating-rate contract (90-day LIBOR plus 375 basis points). The fair value of this derivative instrument was \$755,000 and \$725,000 as of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively. The fair value of the hedged item was \$5.2 million and \$5.0 million as of the same dates.

The hedge was designated as a cash flow hedge and was determined to be fully effective during all periods presented. As such, no amount of ineffectiveness has been included in net income and the aggregate fair value of the swap was recorded in *Accrued expenses and other liabilities* on the consolidated balance sheets with changes in fair value recorded in other comprehensive income (OCI). The amount included in accumulated other comprehensive income would be reclassified to current earnings should the hedge no longer be considered effective. The Company expects the hedge to remain fully effective during the remaining term of the swap.

Credit risk may result from the inability of the counterparties to meet the terms of their contracts. The Company s exposure is limited to the replacement value of the contracts rather than the notional amount.

F-22

NOTE 7 FAIR VALUE

Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 820, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures*, defines fair value as the exchange price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Assets and liabilities are measured using valuation techniques specific to the following three-tier hierarchy, which prioritizes the inputs used in measuring fair value.

Level I, II and III Valuation Techniques

Level Quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the reporting entity can access at the measurement date.

Level Inputs other than quoted prices included within Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either II: directly or indirectly.

Level III: Unobservable inputs for the asset or liability.

The following table presents information about the Company s assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014 by level within the hierarchy:

(dollars in thousands) September 30, 2015	Total	Level I	Level II	Level III
Assets:				
Securities available-for-sale:				
U.S. government-sponsored entities and agencies	\$ 7,014	\$	\$ 7,014	\$
State and political subdivisions	5,608		5,608	
Mortgage-backed securities residential	27,037		27,037	
Collateralized mortgage obligations	25,148		25,148	
Corporate bonds	2,568		2,568	
Liabilities:				
Derivative liability	754		754	
December 31, 2014 Assets:	Total	Level I	Level II	Level III
Securities available-for-sale:				
U.S. government-sponsored entities and agencies	\$ 7,157	\$	\$ 7,157	\$
State and political subdivisions	7,060		7,060	
Mortgage-backed securities residential	31,360		31,360	
Collateralized mortgage obligations	28,962		28,962	
Corporate bonds	3,094		3,094	
Liabilities:				
Derivative liability	725		725	

There were no transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 and the year ended December 31, 2014.

The Company used the following methods and significant assumptions to estimate the fair value of each type of recurring financial instrument:

Securities Available-for-Sale:

The fair value of securities available-for-sale is determined by obtaining quoted prices on nationally-recognized securities exchanges (Level I inputs) or matrix pricing, which is a mathematical

F-23

NOTE 7 FAIR VALUE (continued)

technique widely used in the industry to value debt securities without relying exclusively on quoted prices for the specific securities, but rather by relying on the securities relationship to other benchmark quoted securities (Level II inputs).

Derivatives:

The fair value of derivatives is based on valuation models using observable market data as of the measurement date resulting in a Level II classification.

The following table presents information about our assets measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis as of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, by level within the fair value hierarchy. The amounts in the tables represent only assets for which the carrying amount has been adjusted for impairment during the period; therefore, these amounts will differ from the total amounts outstanding.

(dollars in thousands) September 30, 2015	Total	Level I	Level II	Level III
Impaired Loans (Collateral Dependent):				
Real estate mortgage loans:				
Residential	\$ 1,037	\$	\$ 1,037	\$
Commercial	1,293		1,018	275
Construction and land	109		109	
Other real estate owned:				
Real estate mortgage loans:				
Construction and land	3,768		3,768	
Assets held for sale				
December 31, 2014	Total	Level I	Level II	Level III
Impaired Loans (Collateral Dependent):				
Real estate mortgage loans:				
Residential	\$ 495	\$	\$	\$ 495
	Ψ 1,75	Ψ	Ψ	\$ 493
Commercial	275	Ψ	Ψ	275
Commercial Construction and land		Ψ	Ψ	
	275	Ψ	Ψ	275
Construction and land	275	Ψ	ų.	275
Construction and land Other real estate owned:	275	Ψ	Ψ	275

The Company used the following methods and significant assumptions to estimate the fair value of each type of non-recurring financial instrument:

Impaired Loans (Collateral Dependent):

Management determined fair value measurements on impaired loans primarily through evaluations of appraisals performed. The Company considered the appraisal as the starting point for determining fair value and then considered other factors and events in the environment that affected the fair value. Appraisals for impaired loans are obtained by the Chief Credit Officer and performed by certified general appraisers whose qualifications and licenses have been reviewed and verified by the Company. Once reviewed, a third-party specialist reviews the assumptions and approaches utilized in the appraisal as well as the overall resulting fair value in comparison to independent data sources such as recent market data or industry-wide statistics. On at least an annual basis, the Company compares the actual selling price of collateral that has been sold to the most recent appraised value to determine what additional adjustments, if any, should be made to the appraised value of existing collateral to arrive at fair value. Adjustments may be made to reflect the age of the appraisal and the type of underlying property. Appraised values may be discounted to estimated fair value based on current market data such as recent sales of similar properties, discussions with potential buyers and negotiations with existing customers.

NOTE 7 FAIR VALUE (continued)

Other Real Estate Owned (OREO):

Assets acquired as a result of, or in lieu of, loan foreclosure are initially recorded at fair value (based on the lower of the current appraised value or listing price) at the date of foreclosure, establishing a new cost basis. Subsequent to foreclosure, valuations are periodically performed by management and the assets are carried at the lower of the carrying amount or fair value less cost to sell. Management has determined fair value measurements on OREO primarily through evaluations of appraisals performed and current and past offers for the OREO under evaluation. Appraisals of OREO are obtained subsequent to acquisition as deemed necessary by the Chief Credit Officer. Appraisals are reviewed for accuracy and consistency by a third-party specialist. Third-party specialists are selected from the approved appraisers list, which is maintained by management, and supervised by the Chief Credit Officer. Appraised values may be discounted to estimated fair value based on factors such as sales prices for comparable properties in similar geographic areas and/or assessment through observation of such properties.

Assets Held-for-Sale

The Company reclassifies long-lived assets to assets held-for-sale when all criteria for such reclassification are met. The assets held-for-sale are recorded at the lower of carrying value or fair value less costs to sell. Management determined the fair value of the assets held-for-sale using an offer made to the Company for the property.

Transfers of assets and liabilities between levels within the fair value hierarchy are recognized when an event or change in circumstances occurs. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, there were transfers from Level III to Level II in impaired loans and OREO, as the current fair value was based on current appraised values that do not include significant unobservable inputs and that were not further discounted, or contracted offers to purchase certain properties. There were no transfers between fair value levels during the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2014.

Quantitative Information about Level III Fair Value Measurements

The following table presents quantitative information about unobservable inputs for assets measured on a non-recurring basis using Level III measurements as of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014. This quantitative information is the same for each class of loans.

(dollars in thousands) September 30, 2015 Impaired loans (collateral dependent)	Fair Value \$ 275	Valuation Technique Market comparable properties	Unobservable Inputs Marketability discount	Range of Inputs 10 %	Weighted Average 10 %
December 31, 2014	Fair Value	Valuation Technique	Unobservable Inputs	Range of Inputs	Weighted Average

Impaired loans (collateral dependent)	\$1,022	Market comparable properties	Marketability discount	0%	20.0%	6.2 %
Other real estate owned	3,773	Market comparable	Comparability adjustments	0%	8.1%	2.8 %

The table below summarizes the outstanding balance, valuation allowance and net carrying amount and period expense related to Level III non-recurring instruments for the nine months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014:

	(dollars in thousands) September 30, 2015 Impaired loans (collateral dependent)	Outstanding Balance \$ 471	Valuation Allowance \$ 196	Net Carrying Amount \$ 275	Period Expense \$ (18)
	September 30, 2014	Outstanding Balance	Valuation Allowance	Net Carrying Amount	Period Expense
	Impaired loans (collateral dependent)	\$ 6,094	\$ 2,220	\$ 3,874	\$ 434
	Other real estate owned	3,880	1,006	2,874	48
	Assets held for sale	940		925	15
F-25					

NOTE 7 FAIR VALUE (continued)

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The carrying amount and estimated fair values of financial instruments as of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014 were as follows:

	September	30, 2015	December	31, 2014
(dollars in thousands)	Carrying	Fair	Carrying	Fair
(aotars in inousanas)	Amount	Value	Amount	Value
Financial assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$26,410	\$26,410	\$24,372	\$ 24,372
Securities available-for-sale	67,375	67,375	77,633	77,633
Loans, net	386,941	392,896	360,279	366,686
Federal Home Loan Bank stock	928	N/A	1,243	N/A
Accrued interest receivable	1,366	1,366	1,464	1,464
Financial liabilities:				
Deposits	\$433,032	\$433,555	\$415,756	\$416,432
Federal Home Loan Bank advances and other borrowings	11,860	11,721	17,629	17,743
Subordinated debentures	16,266	8,951	16,218	8,552
Accrued interest payable	116	116	130	130
Interest rate swap	755	755	725	725

The methods and assumptions not previously presented, used to estimate fair value are described as follows:

Cash and cash equivalents:

The carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents approximate the fair value and are classified as either Level I or Level II in the fair value hierarchy, with Level II comprised solely of national certificates of deposit held by the Bank. As of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively, the breakdown of cash and cash equivalents between Level I and Level II were as follows:

	September 30, 2015		December 31, 2014		
(dollars in thousands)	Level I	Level II	Level I	Level II	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 23,197	\$ 3,213	\$ 20,186	\$ 4,186	
	Loans, net:				

The fair value of variable-rate loans that re-price frequently and with no significant change in credit risk is based on the carrying value and results in a classification of Level III within the fair value hierarchy, excluding impaired loans as previously discussed. Fair value for other loans is estimated using a discounted cash flow analysis using interest rates currently being offered for loans with similar terms to borrowers of similar credit quality resulting in a Level III classification in the fair value hierarchy, excluding impaired loans as previously discussed. The methods used to estimate the fair value of loans do not necessarily represent an exit price.

Nonmarketable equity securities:

Nonmarketable equity securities include FHLB stock and other nonmarketable equity securities. It is not practicable to determine the fair value of nonmarketable equity securities due to restrictions placed on their transferability.

Deposits:

The fair value of demand deposits (*e.g.*, interest and noninterest-bearing, savings and certain types of money market accounts) is, by definition, equal to the amount payable on demand at the reporting date (*i.e.*, carrying value) resulting in a Level II classification in the fair value hierarchy. The carrying amounts of

F-26

NOTE 7 FAIR VALUE (continued)

variable-rate, fixed-term money market accounts and certificates of deposit approximate their fair value at the reporting date resulting in a Level II classification in the fair value hierarchy. Fair values for fixed-rate certificates of deposit are estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that applies interest rates currently being offered on certificates to a schedule of aggregated expected monthly maturities on time deposits resulting in a Level II classification.

Federal Home Loan Bank advances:

The fair value of FHLB advances is estimated using a discounted cash flow analysis based on the current borrowing rates for similar types of borrowings and is classified as a Level II in the fair value hierarchy.

Accrued interest receivable/payable:

The carrying amounts of accrued interest receivable approximate fair value resulting in a Level II or III classification. The carrying amounts of accrued interest payable approximate fair value resulting in a Level II classification.

Subordinated debt:

The fair value of subordinated debt, where a market quote is not available, is based on discounted cash flows, using a rate appropriate to the instrument and the term of the issue resulting in a Level II classification.

Off-balance sheet instruments:

The fair value of off-balance sheet instruments is based on the current fees that would be charged to enter into or terminate such arrangements, taking into account the remaining terms of the agreements and the counterparties credit standing. The fair value of these commitments as of September 30, 2015 was not material.

NOTE 8 CAPITAL ADEQUACY

Banks and bank holding companies are subject to regulatory capital requirements administered by federal banking agencies. Capital adequacy guidelines and, additionally for banks, prompt corrective action regulations involve quantitative measures of assets, liabilities and certain off-balance sheet items calculated under regulatory accounting practices. Capital amounts and classifications are also subject to qualitative judgments by regulators. Failure to meet capital requirements can initiate regulatory action.

Effective July 2, 2013, the Federal Reserve approved final rules known as the Basel III Capital Rules substantially revising the risk-based capital and leverage capital requirements applicable to bank holding companies and depository institutions, including the Company and the Bank. The Basel III Capital Rules address the components of capital and other issues affecting the numerator in banking institutions regulatory capital ratios. Basel III Capital Rules also implement the requirements of Section 939A of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 to remove references to credit ratings from the federal banking agencies rules. Certain of the Basel III Capital Rules came into effect for the Company and the Bank on January 1, 2015; these rules are subject to a phase-in period which began on January 1, 2015.

The Basel III Capital Rules introduced a new capital measure Common Equity Tier 1 (CET1). The rules specify that Tier 1 capital consists of CET1 and Additional Tier 1 capital instruments meeting specified requirements. CET1 capital consists of common stock instruments that meet the eligibility criteria in the final rules, retained earnings, accumulated other comprehensive income and common equity Tier 1 minority interest. The rules also define CET1 narrowly by requiring that most adjustments to regulatory capital measures be made to CET1, and not to the other components of capital. They also expand the scope of the adjustments as compared to existing regulations.

When fully phased-in on January 1, 2019, the Basel III Capital Rules will require banking organizations to maintain:

a minimum ratio of CET1 to risk-weighted assets of at least 4.5%, plus a 2.5% capital conservation buffer (which is added to the 4.5% CET1 ratio as that buffer is phased-in, effectively resulting in a minimum ratio of CET1 to risk-weighted assets of at least 7.0% upon full implementation); F-27

NOTE 8 CAPITAL ADEQUACY (continued)

a minimum ratio of Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets of at least 6.0%, plus the 2.5% capital conservation buffer (which is added to the 6.0% Tier 1 capital ratio as that buffer is phased-in, effectively resulting in a minimum Tier 1 capital ratio of 8.5% upon full implementation);

a minimum ratio of total capital (that is, Tier 1 plus Tier 2 capital) to risk-weighted assets of at least 8.0%, plus the 2.5% capital conservation buffer (which is added to the 8.0% total capital ratio as that buffer is phased-in, effectively resulting in a minimum total capital ratio of 10.5% upon full implementation); and

a minimum leverage ratio of 4.0%, calculated as the ratio of Tier 1 capital to adjusted average consolidated assets.

The aforementioned capital conservation buffer is designed to absorb losses during periods of economic stress.

Banking institutions with a ratio of CET1 to risk-weighted assets above the minimum but below the conservation buffer will face limitations on the payment of dividends, common stock repurchases and discretionary cash payments to executive officers based on the amount of the shortfall.

Bank

The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991 (FDICIA), among other things, requires the federal banking agencies to take prompt corrective action regarding depository institutions that do not meet minimum capital requirements. FDICIA establishes five capital tiers: well capitalized, adequately capitalized, undercapitalized significantly undercapitalized and critically undercapitalized. A depository institution s capital tier will depend upon how its capital levels compare to various relevant capital measures and certain other factors, as established by regulation.

Under Basel I, the prompt corrective action rules provide that a bank will be: (i) well capitalized if it has a total risk-based capital ratio of 10% or greater, a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of 6% or greater, a leverage capital ratio of 5% or greater and is not subject to certain written agreements, orders, capital directives or prompt corrective action directives by a federal bank regulatory agency to maintain a specific capital level for any capital measure; (ii) adequately capitalized if it has a total risk-based capital ratio of 8% or greater, a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of 4% or greater, and generally has a leverage capital ratio of 4% or greater; (iii) undercapitalized if it has a total risk-based capital ratio of less than 8%, a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of less than 4% or generally has a leverage capital ratio of less than 4%; (iv) significantly undercapitalized if it has a total risk-based capital ratio of less than 6%, a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of less than 3% or a leverage capital ratio of less than 3%; or (v) critically undercapitalized if its ratio of tangible equity to total assets is equal to or less than 2%. The federal bank regulatory agencies have authority to require additional capital.

The Basel III Capital Rules revised the prompt corrective action regulations pursuant to Section 38 of the FDICIA, by:

introducing a CET1 ratio requirement at each level (other than critically undercapitalized), with the required CET1 ratio being 6.5% for well-capitalized status;

increasing the minimum Tier 1 capital ratio requirement for each category, with the minimum Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio for well-capitalized status being 8.0% (as compared to the current 6.0%); and eliminating the current provision that provides that a bank with a composite supervisory rating of 1 may have a 3.0% leverage ratio and still be well-capitalized.

Under the applicable rules, the Bank was well capitalized as of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014.

Depository institutions that are no longer well capitalized for bank regulatory purposes must receive a waiver from the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) prior to accepting or renewing brokered deposits. FDICIA generally prohibits a depository institution from making any capital distribution (including paying dividends) or paying any management fee to its holding company, if the depository institution would thereafter be undercapitalized.

F-28

Bank 388

NOTE 8 CAPITAL ADEQUACY (continued)

The Bank had a Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) with the FDIC and the Florida Office of Financial Regulation (OFR) that was entered into in 2008 (the 2008 MoU), which required the Bank to have a total risk-based capital ratio of at least 10% and a Tier 1 leverage capital ratio of at least 8%. On July 13, 2012, the 2008 MoU was replaced by a new MoU (the 2012 MoU), which, among other things, required the Bank to have a total risk-based capital ratio of at least 12% and a Tier 1 leverage capital ratio of at least 8%. The Bank received notification from the FDIC and the OFR on June 11, 2015 and June 15, 2015, respectively, stating that the Bank is now considered to be in substantial compliance with the 2012 MoU and that the FDIC and the OFR terminated their interests in the 2012 MoU as of the dates mentioned above.

Bancorp

The Federal Reserve requires bank holding companies, including Bancorp, to act as a source of financial strength for their depository institution subsidiaries.

The Federal Reserve has a minimum guideline for bank holding companies of Tier 1 capital to adjusted average quarterly assets (leverage ratio) equal to at least 4.00%, and total capital to risk-weighted assets of at least 8.00%, at least half of which must be Tier 1 capital. As of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, the Company met these requirements.

The following table presents the capital ratios and related information for the Company and the Bank in accordance with Basel III as of September 30, 2015 and Basel I as of December 31, 2014:

(dollars in thousands) September 30, 2015	Actual		For Capital Adequacy Purposes		Minimum To Be Well Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective Action Provisions	
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
Total capital to risk-weighted assets:						
Consolidated	\$64,009	15.67 %	\$32,686	8.00 %	N/A	N/A
Bank	63,370	15.52	32,670	8.00	\$40,838	10.00 %
Tier 1 (Core) capital to risk-weighted						
assets:						
Consolidated	56,442	13.81	24,515	6.00	N/A	N/A
Bank	58,173	14.24	24,503	6.00	32,670	8.00
Common equity Tier I capital (CET1):						
Consolidated	56,442	13.81	18,386	4.50	N/A	N/A
Bank	58,173	14.24	18,377	4.50	26,545	6.50
Tier I (Core) capital to average assets:						
Consolidated	56,442	11.35	19,896	4.00	N/A	N/A
Bank	58,173	11.71	19,871	4.00	24,839	5.00

	Actual		For Capit	tal y Purposes	•	ell ed Under Corrective
December 31, 2014	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
Total capital to risk-weighted assets:						
Consolidated	\$57,949	15.13 %	\$30,643	8.00 %	N/A	N/A
Bank	56,400	14.74	30,619	8.00	\$38,274	10.00 %
Tier 1 (Core) capital to risk-weighted assets:						
Consolidated	49,290	12.87	15,322	4.00	N/A	N/A
Bank	51,497	13.45	15,310	4.00	22,964	6.00
Tier 1 (Core) capital to average assets:						
Consolidated	49,290	9.85	20,014	4.00	N/A	N/A
Bank	51,497	10.31	19,980	4.00	24,975	5.00
F-29						

Bancorp 390

NOTE 8 CAPITAL ADEQUACY (continued)

Dividends and Distributions

Prior to October 2009, dividends received from the Bank were Bancorp s principal source of funds to pay its expenses and interest on and principal of Bancorp s debt. Banking regulations require the maintenance of certain capital levels and restrict the payment of dividends by the Bank to Bancorp or by Bancorp to its shareholders. Commercial banks generally may only pay dividends without prior regulatory approval out of the total of current net profits plus retained net profits of the preceding two years, and banks and bank holding companies are generally expected to pay dividends from current earnings. Banks may not pay a dividend if the dividend would result in the bank being undercapitalized for prompt corrective action purposes, or would violate any minimum capital requirement specified by law or the banks regulators. The Bank has not paid dividends since October 2009 and cannot currently pay dividends. Bancorp cannot currently pay dividends on its capital stock under applicable Federal Reserve policies. Bancorp has relied upon revolving loan agreements to pay its expenses during such time. As of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, remaining funds available under the revolving loan agreements were \$1.3 million and \$2.2 million, respectively.

NOTE 9 LEGAL

Since the announcement of the proposed merger with Ameris on October 1, 2015, two putative shareholder class action lawsuits have been filed against Bancorp, the directors of Bancorp, and Ameris, in the Circuit Court of Duval County, Florida: (i) *Paul Parshall v. Jacksonville Bancorp, Inc. et al.*, Case No. 16-2015-CA-006607, filed on October 16, 2015; and (ii) *Patrick Donovan v. Kendall Spencer et al.*, Case No. 16-2015-CA-006738, filed October 22, 2015 (together, the Florida Actions). In the Florida Actions, plaintiffs allege that the individual director defendants breached their fiduciary duties to Bancorp s shareholders in negotiating and approving the Merger Agreement through an unfair process, that the merger consideration negotiated in the Merger Agreement does not adequately value the company, that Bancorp s shareholders will not receive fair value for their common stock in the merger, and that the terms of the Merger Agreement impose improper deal-protection devices that allegedly preclude competing offers. The complaints in the Florida Actions further allege that Bancorp and Ameris aided and abetted the alleged breaches of fiduciary duty by Bancorp s directors. In the Florida Actions, plaintiffs seek preliminary and permanent injunctive relief, including enjoining or rescinding the merger, an award of unspecified damages, attorneys fees, and other relief.

The outcome of the Florida Actions cannot be predicted with certainty. A preliminary injunction could delay or jeopardize the completion of the merger transaction, and an adverse judgment granting permanent injunctive relief could indefinitely enjoin completion of the merger. Additional lawsuits arising out of or relating to the Merger Agreement or the merger transaction could be filed in the future. The Company and its directors intend to vigorously defend against these lawsuits.

F-30

TABLE OF CONTENTS

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Jacksonville Bancorp, Inc. Jacksonville, Florida

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Jacksonville Bancorp, Inc. as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income (loss), changes in shareholders equity and cash flows for each of the years in the two-year period ended December 31, 2014. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Jacksonville Bancorp, Inc. as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the years in the two-year period ended December 31, 2014, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Crowe Horwath LLP

Fort Lauderdale, Florida March 16, 2015

F-31

NOTE 9 LEGAL 392

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2014 AND 2013 (Dollars in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

	2014	2013
ASSETS		
Cash and due from financial institutions	\$23,778	\$16,799
Federal funds sold and other	594	23,526
Cash and cash equivalents	24,372	40,325
Securities available-for-sale	77,633	84,771
Loans, net of allowance for loan losses of \$14,377 and \$15,760 as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively	360,279	354,592
Premises and equipment, net	5,147	6,421
Assets held for sale	786	0,421
Bank-owned life insurance	11,857	12,956
Federal Home Loan Bank stock, at cost	1,243	1,580
Other real estate owned, net	4,061	3,078
Accrued interest receivable	1,464	1,723
Other intangible assets, net	570	849
Other assets Other assets	1,172	994
Total assets	\$488,584	\$507,289
LIABILITIES	Ψ+00,50+	\$307,207
Deposits		
Noninterest-bearing demand deposits	\$107,840	\$100,788
Money market, NOW and savings deposits	174,693	188,085
Time deposits	133,223	146,093
Total deposits	415,756	434,966
Loans from related parties	413,730	434,700
Federal Home Loan Bank advances and other borrowings	17,629	20,153
Subordinated debentures	16,218	16,154
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	1,869	2,084
Total liabilities	451,472	473,357
Loan commitments and other contingent liabilities (Note 13) SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY	.51,2	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Preferred stock		
Common stock, \$.01 par value, 3,180,300 and 3,177,090 shares issued and	32	32
outstanding as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively ⁽¹⁾	32	32
Nonvoting common stock, \$.01 par value, 2,614,821 and 2,618,005 shares issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively ⁽¹⁾	26	26
Additional paid in capital)	138,096	138,050
Retained earnings (deficit)	(100,759)	(102,688)
Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income	(283)	(1,488)

Total shareholders equity 37,112 33,932
Total liabilities and shareholders equity \$488,584 \$507,289

(1) Reflects the 1-for-20 reverse stock split completed in October 2013. Please refer to *Note 14 Shareholders Equity* for additional information related to the reverse stock split.

See accompanying notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

F-32

JACKSONVILLE BANCORP, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014 AND 2013

(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

	2014	2013
Interest and dividend income:		
Loans, including fees	\$ 19,398	\$ 21,043
Taxable securities	1,215	1,186
Tax-exempt securities	351	556
Federal funds sold and other	164	148
Total interest income	21,128	22,933
Interest expense:		
Deposits	2,119	2,902
Federal Reserve and other borrowings	44	171
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	274	300
Subordinated debentures	822	829
Total interest expense	3,259	4,202
Net interest income	17,869	18,731
Provision for loan losses	287	815
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	17,582	17,916
Noninterest income:		
Service charges on deposit accounts	741	769
Other income	1,261	991
Total noninterest income	2,002	1,760
Noninterest expense:		
Salaries and employee benefits	7,995	8,200
Occupancy and equipment	2,442	2,659
Regulatory assessments	735	795
Data processing	2,023	1,807
Advertising and business development	263	390
Professional fees	976	1,496
Telephone expense	378	369
Director fees	239	280
Courier, freight and postage	165	157
Other real estate owned expense	403	1,836
Other	2,036	2,647
Total noninterest expense	17,655	20,636
Net income (loss) before income taxes	1,929	(960)
Income tax (benefit) expense		

Net income (loss)	\$ 1,929	\$ (960)
Noncash, implied preferred stock dividend		(31,464)
Net income (loss) available to common shareholders	\$ 1,929	\$ (32,424)
Earnings (loss) per common share:(1)		
Basic	\$ 0.33	\$ (6.83)
Diluted	\$ 0.33	\$ (6.83)

(1) Reflects the 1-for-20 reverse stock split completed in October 2013. Please refer to *Note 14* Shareholders Equity for additional information related to the reverse stock split.

See accompanying notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

JACKSONVILLE BANCORP, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS) FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014 AND 2013 (Dollars in thousands)

	2014	2013
Net income (loss)	\$ 1,929	\$ (960)
Other comprehensive income (loss):		
Change in unrealized holding (losses) gains on available-for-sale securities	1,165	(2,992)
Net unrealized derivative gains on cash flow hedge	40	530
Reclassification adjustment for net gains on investments realized in earnings		(437)
Other comprehensive income (loss)	1,205	(2,899)
Tax effect		
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	1,205	(2,899)
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$ 3,134	\$ (3,859)

See accompanying notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

JACKSONVILLE BANCORP, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014 AND 2013

(Dollars in thousands, except share amounts)

(1) Reflects the 1-for-20 reverse stock split completed in October 2013. Please refer to *Note 14* Shareholders Equity for additional information related to the reverse stock split.

See accompanying notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

JACKSONVILLE BANCORP, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014 AND 2013

(Dollars in thousands)

	2014	2013	
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net income (loss)	\$ 1,929	\$ (960)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash from operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	662	701	
Net amortization of deferred loan fees	(125)	(119)
Provision for loan losses	287	815	
Premium amortization for securities, net of accretion	908	1,030	
Net realized gain on sale of securities		(437)
Net accretion of purchase accounting adjustments	(1,087)	(1,482)
Net (gain) loss on sale of other real estate owned	(73)	(235)
Write-down of other real estate owned	238	1,097	
Write-down of assets held for sale	140		
Gain from bank-owned life insurance death benefit	(489)		
Earnings on bank-owned life insurance	(202))
Loss on disposal of premises and equipment	· · · · · · · ·	3	ŕ
Loss on non-marketable equity securities		178	
Share-based compensation	46	58	
Net change in:			
Accrued interest receivable and other assets	67	1,499	
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	(180))
Net cash from operating activities	2,121	1,608	,
Cash flows from investing activities:	•	ŕ	
Available-for-sale securities:			
Sales		14,434	
Maturities, prepayments and calls	17,672	21,963	
Purchases	(10,278)		3)
Loan (originations) payments, net	(7,494)		,
Proceeds from sale of other real estate owned	1,879	7,313	
Proceeds from bank-owned life insurance death benefit	1,797	- 7-	
Investment in bank-owned life insurance	,	(3,000)
Additions to premises and equipment, net	(300)	1.1)
Purchase of Federal Home Loan Bank stock, net of redemptions	337	191	,
Net cash from investing activities	3,613	19,691	
Cash flows from financing activities:	-,	- ,	

Net change in deposits	(19,187)	(55,010)
Repayment of loans from related parties		(2,200)
Repayment of Federal Home Loan Bank fixed rate advances	(2,500)	
Proceeds from issuance of common stock, net		4,163
Adjustments for 1-for-20 reverse stock split		(6)
Net cash used for financing activities	(21,687)	(53,053)
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	(15,953)	(31,754)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	40,325	72,079
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 24,372	\$ 40,325
	2014	2013
Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:		
Cash paid during the period for		
Interest paid	\$ 3,320	\$ 4,633
Income taxes paid		
Supplemental schedule of noncash investing activities:		
Acquisition of other real estate owned	\$ 3,027	\$ 4,282
Supplemental schedule of noncash financing activities:		
Implied preferred stock dividend		31,464

See accompanying notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

JACKSONVILLE BANCORP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Dollars in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES Principles of Consolidation

The accounting and reporting policies of the Company reflect banking industry practice and conform to U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (U.S. GAAP). The Consolidated Financial Statements include the accounts of Jacksonville Bancorp, Inc. (Bancorp), its wholly owned, primary operating subsidiary The Jacksonville Bank, and The Jacksonville Bank is wholly owned subsidiary, Fountain Financial, Inc. The consolidated entity is referred to as the Company and The Jacksonville Bank and Fountain Financial, Inc. are collectively referred to as the Bank. The Company is financial condition and operating results principally reflect those of the Bank. All intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated in consolidation.

Nature of Operations

Bancorp is a financial holding company headquartered in Jacksonville, Florida, that currently provides financial services through eight full-service branches in Jacksonville and Jacksonville Beach, Duval County, Florida, as well as its virtual branch. The Company s primary business segment is community banking and consists of attracting deposits from the general public and using such deposits and other sources of funds to originate commercial business loans, commercial real estate loans, residential mortgage loans and a variety of consumer loans. Substantially all loans are secured by specific items of collateral, including business assets, consumer assets, and commercial and residential real estate. Commercial loans are expected to be repaid from cash flow from operations of businesses. There are no significant concentrations of loans to any one industry or customer. However, the customers ability to repay their loans is dependent on the real estate and general economic conditions in the area.

While the Company s chief decision makers monitor the revenue streams of the various products and services, operations are managed and financial performance is evaluated on a Company-wide basis. Accordingly, all of the financial service operations are considered by management to be aggregated into one reportable operating segment.

Use of Estimates

To prepare the Consolidated Financial Statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP, management makes estimates and assumptions based on available information. These estimates and assumptions affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and the disclosures provided, and actual results could differ from those estimates. Changes in assumptions or in market conditions could significantly affect the estimates.

Cash Flows

For the purposes of the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, cash and cash equivalents include cash on hand, noninterest-bearing deposits with other financial institutions, CDs with maturities less than and greater than 90 days and federal funds sold. Net cash flows are reported for customer loan and deposit transactions, interest-bearing deposits in other financial institutions, short-term Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) advances, federal funds purchased, Federal Reserve discount window and other borrowings.

Concentration of Credit Risk

Most of the Company s business activity is with customers located in Duval County, Florida. Therefore, the Company s exposure to credit risk is significantly affected by changes in the economy in Duval County.

Advertising Costs

Advertising costs are expensed as incurred.

F-37

Use of Estimates 402

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

Investment Securities

Debt securities are classified as held-to-maturity and carried at amortized cost when management has the positive intent and ability to hold them to maturity. Debt securities are classified as available-for-sale when they might be sold before maturity. Equity securities with readily determinable fair values are classified as available-for-sale.

Available-for-sale securities are carried at fair value, with unrealized holding gains and losses reported in other comprehensive income, net of tax. Other securities, such as FHLB stock, are carried at cost.

Interest income includes amortization of purchase premiums and accretion of purchase discounts. Premiums and discounts on securities are amortized using the level yield method without anticipating prepayments, with the exception of mortgage-backed securities where prepayments are anticipated.

Gains and losses are recorded on the trade date and determined using the specific identification method. Declines in the fair value of securities below their cost that are other-than-temporary are reflected as realized losses.

Other-Than-Temporary Impairment

Management evaluates securities for other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) at least on a quarterly basis and more frequently when economic or market conditions warrant such an evaluation. In determining OTTI for debt securities, management considers many factors, including: (i) the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than cost, (ii) the financial conditions and near-term prospects of the issuer, (iii) whether the market decline was affected by macroeconomic conditions, and (iv) whether the Company has the intent to sell the security or more-likely-than-not will be required to sell the debt security before its anticipated recovery. The assessment of whether an OTTI decline exists involves a high degree of subjectivity and judgment and is based on the information available to management at a point in time.

In order to determine OTTI for purchased beneficial interests that, on the purchase date, were rated below AA, the Company compares the present value of the remaining cash flows as estimated at the preceding evaluation date to the current expected remaining cash flows. OTTI is deemed to have occurred if there has been an adverse change in the remaining expected future cash flows. It is not the Bank s policy to purchase securities rated below AA.

When OTTI occurs for either debt securities or purchased beneficial interests that, on the purchase date, were rated below AA, the amount of the OTTI recognized in earnings depends on whether an entity intends to sell the security or it is more-likely-than-not that it will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis, less any current-period credit loss. If an entity intends to sell or it is more-likely-than-not it will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis, less any current-period credit loss, the OTTI shall be recognized in earnings equal to the entire difference between the investment s amortized cost basis and its fair value at the balance sheet date. If an entity does not intend to sell the security and it is not more-likely-than-not that the entity will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis, less any current-period loss, the OTTI shall be separated into the amount representing the credit loss and the amount related to all other factors. The amount of the

total OTTI related to the credit loss is determined based on the present value of cash flows expected to be collected and is recognized in earnings. The amount of the total OTTI related to other factors is recognized in other comprehensive income, net of applicable taxes. The previous amortized cost basis less the OTTI recognized in earnings becomes the new amortized cost basis of the investment security.

For the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, there were no credit losses recognized in earnings.

Loans

Loans that management has the intent and ability to hold for the foreseeable future or until maturity or payoff are reported at the principal balance outstanding, net of deferred loan fees and costs, and allowance for loan losses. Interest income is accrued on the unpaid principal balance of the loans. Loan origination fees, net of certain direct origination costs, are deferred and recognized in interest income using the level-yield method without anticipating prepayments.

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

Interest income on a loan in any of our portfolio segments is discontinued at the time the loan is 90 days delinquent unless the loan is well secured and in process of collection. Past due status is based on the contractual terms of the loan. In all cases, loans are placed on nonaccrual or charged off at an earlier date if collection of principal or interest is considered doubtful. All unsecured loans in our consumer and other portfolio segment are charged off once they reach 90 days delinquent. This is the only portfolio segment that the Company charges off loans solely based on the number of days of delinquency. For real estate mortgage, commercial loan, secured consumer and other portfolio segments, the charge-off policy is that a loan is fully or partially charged off when, based on management s assessment, it has been determined that it is highly probable that the Company would not collect all principal and interest payments according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. This assessment is determined based on a detailed review of all substandard and doubtful loans each month. This review considers such criteria as the value of the underlying collateral, financial condition and reputation of the borrower and guarantors and the amount of the borrower s equity in the loan. The Company s charge-off policy has remained materially unchanged for all periods presented.

At times, the Company will charge off a portion of a nonperforming or impaired loan versus recording a specific reserve. The decision to charge off a portion of the loan is based on specific facts and circumstances unique to each loan. General criteria considered are: the probability that the Company will foreclose on the property, the value of the underlying collateral compared to the principal amount outstanding on the loan and the personal guarantees associated with the loan. For the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, partial charge-offs were \$864 and \$4,994, respectively, on nonperforming and impaired loans of \$3,545 and \$7,578, respectively.

Partial charge-offs impact the Company s credit loss metrics and trends, in particular a reduction in the coverage ratio, by decreasing substandard loan balances, decreasing capital and increasing the historical loss factor used in the calculation of the allowance for loan losses. However, the impact of the historical loss factor on the allowance for loan losses would be slightly offset by the fact that the charge-off reduces the overall loan balance.

All interest accrued but not received for loans placed on nonaccrual is reversed against interest income. Interest received on such loans is accounted for on the cash-basis or cost-recovery method, until qualifying for return to accrual. Loans are returned to accrual status when all the principal and interest amounts contractually due are brought current and future payments are reasonably assured.

Overdrawn customer checking accounts are reclassified as commercial loans and are evaluated on an individual basis for collectability. These balances are included in the estimate of allowance for loan losses and are charged off when collectability is considered doubtful. As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, overdrawn customer checking accounts reclassified as commercial loans were \$78 and \$37, respectively.

Certain Purchased Loans

As part of our merger with Atlantic BancGroup, Inc. (ABI) in November 2010, the Company purchased individual loans and groups of loans, some of which have shown evidence of credit deterioration since origination. These purchased loans were recorded at fair value, such that there is no carryover of the seller sallowance for loan losses.

Fair values were preliminary and subject to refinement for up to one year after the closing date of the merger as new information relative to the closing date fair value became available. After acquisition, losses are recognized by an increase in the allowance for loan losses if the reason for the loss was due to events and circumstances that did not exist as of the acquisition date. If the reason for the loss was due to events and circumstances that existed as of the acquisition date due to new information obtained during the measurement period (*i.e.*, 12 months from date of acquisition), that, if known, would have resulted in the recognition of additional deterioration, the additional deterioration was recorded as additional carrying discount with a corresponding increase to goodwill.

The Company purchased loans for which there was, at acquisition, evidence of deterioration of credit quality since origination and it was probable, at acquisition, that all contractually required payments would not be collected. Such purchased loans are accounted for individually. The Company estimates the amount and timing of expected cash flows for each purchased loan, and the expected cash flows in excess of the amount

F-39

Certain Purchased Loans 406

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

paid are recorded as interest income over the remaining life of the loan (accretable yield). The excess of the loan s contractual principal and interest over expected cash flows is not recorded (non-accretable difference).

Over the life of the loan, expected cash flows continue to be estimated. If the present value of expected cash flows is less than the carrying amount, a loss is recorded. If the present value of expected cash flows is greater than the carrying amount, it is recognized as part of future interest income as earned.

Loans Held-for-Sale

Loans intended for sale to independent investors are carried at the lower of aggregate cost or fair value, as determined by outstanding commitments from investors. Net unrealized losses, if any, are recorded as a valuation allowance and charged to earnings. The Company had no loans classified as held-for-sale as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Allowance for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses is a valuation allowance for probable incurred credit losses. Management estimates the allowance balance required using past loan loss experience, the nature and volume of the portfolio, information about specific borrower situations and estimated collateral values, economic conditions and other factors. Allocations of the allowance may be made for specific loans, but the entire allowance is available for any loan that, in management s judgment, should be charged off. Loan losses are charged against the allowance when management believes the uncollectability of a loan balance is likely. Subsequent recoveries, if any, are credited to the allowance.

The allowance consists of specific and general components. The specific components relate to loans that are individually classified as impaired. The general components relate to all loans not specifically identified as impaired and are modeled on loss by portfolio and weighted by recent historic data and economic factors.

The Company s policy for assessing loans for impairment is the same for all classes of loans and is included in our allowance for loan losses policy. The Company classifies a loan as impaired when it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect all amounts due, including both principal and interest, according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. An impairment determination is performed utilizing the following general factors: (i) a risk rating of substandard or doubtful, (ii) a loan amount greater than \$100, and/or (iii) a past due aging of 90 days or more. In addition, the Company also considers the following: the financial condition of the borrower, the Company s best estimate of the direction and magnitude of any future changes in the borrower s financial condition, the fair value of collateral if the loan is collateral dependent, the loan s observable market price, expected future cash flows and, if a purchased loan, the amount of the remaining unaccreted carrying discount. For loans acquired in the acquisition of ABI, if the loss was attributed to events and circumstances that existed as of the acquisition date as a result of new information obtained during the measurement period (*i.e.*, 12 months from date of acquisition) that, if known, would have resulted in the recognition of additional deterioration, the additional deterioration was recorded as additional carrying discount with a corresponding increase to goodwill. If not, the additional deterioration was recorded as

additional provision expense with a corresponding increase in the allowance for loan losses. After the measurement period, any additional impairment above the current carrying discount is recorded as additional provision expense with a corresponding increase in the allowance for loan losses.

If a loan is deemed to be impaired, a portion of the allowance for loan losses may be allocated so that the loan is reported net, at the present value of estimated expected future cash flows, using the loan s existing rate, or at the fair value of collateral if repayment is expected solely from the sale of the collateral. If an impaired loan is on nonaccrual, then recognition of interest income would follow our nonaccrual policy, which is to no longer accrue interest and account for any interest received on the cash-basis or cost-recovery method until qualifying again for interest accrual. If an impaired loan is not on nonaccrual, then recognition of interest income would accrue on the unpaid principal balance based on the contractual terms of the loan. All impaired loans are reviewed on at least a quarterly basis for changes in the measurement of impairment. For impaired loans measured using the present-value-of-expected-cash-flows method, any change to the previously recognized impairment loss is recognized as a change in the allowance for loan loss account and recorded in the Consolidated Statement of Operations as a component of the provision for loan losses.

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

Loans, for which the terms have been modified and for which the borrower is experiencing financial difficulties, are considered troubled debt restructurings and classified as impaired. Troubled debt restructurings are measured at the present value of estimated expected future cash flows using the loan s effective rate at inception. Key factors that the Company considers at the time a loan is restructured to determine whether the loan should accrue interest include if the loan is less than 90 days past due and if the loan is in compliance with the modified terms of the loan. The Company determines that the loan has been restructured to be reasonably assured of repayment and of performance according to the modified terms by performing an analysis that documents exactly how the loan is expected to perform under the modified terms. Once loans become troubled debt restructurings, they remain troubled debt restructurings until they mature or are paid off in the normal course of business.

The general component covers all other loans not identified as impaired and is based on historical losses with consideration given to current environmental factors. The historical loss component of the allowance is determined by losses recognized by each portfolio segment over the preceding five years with the most recent years carrying more weight. This is supplemented by the risks for each portfolio segment. In calculating the historical component of our allowance, we aggregate the portfolio segments by class of loans as follows: commercial loans, residential real estate mortgage loans, commercial real estate mortgage loans (which includes construction and land loans), and consumer and other loans. Risk factors impacting loans in each of the portfolio segments include broad deterioration of property values, reduced consumer and business spending as a result of continued high unemployment and reduced credit availability and lack of confidence in a sustainable recovery. Actual loss experience is supplemented with other economic factors based on the risks present for each portfolio segment. These economic factors include consideration of the following: the concentration of watch and substandard loans as a percentage of total loans, levels of loan concentration within a portfolio segment or division of a portfolio segment and broad economic conditions.

There have been no material changes in the Company s allowance for loan loss policies or methodologies during the year ended December 31, 2014.

Premises and Equipment

Land is carried at cost. Premises and equipment are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over the following estimated useful lives:

Estimated
Useful Life
3 to 10 years
5 to 40 years

Furniture, fixtures and equipment Buildings and related components

Leasehold improvements are amortized over the estimated useful life of the improvements or the lease term, whichever is shorter. Expenditures for repairs, maintenance and minor improvements are expensed as incurred and additions and improvements that significantly extend the lives of assets are capitalized. Gains or losses upon retirement or disposal of premises and equipment are included in noninterest expense.

Long-lived assets, other than goodwill and indefinite lived intangible assets, are reviewed for impairment when events or circumstances indicate their carrying amount may not be recoverable based on future undiscounted cash flows. If impaired, the assets are recorded at fair value.

Assets Held-for-Sale

The Company reclassifies long-lived assets to assets held-for-sale when all required criteria for such reclassification are met. The assets held for sale are recorded at the lower of the carrying value or fair value less costs to sell. An asset held-for-sale must meet the following conditions: (1) management, having authority to approve the action, commits to a plan to sell the asset, (2) the asset is available for immediate sale in its present condition, (3) an active program to locate a buyer and other actions required to complete the plan to sell the asset have been initiated, (4) the sale of the asset is probable, and transfer of the asset is expected to qualify for recognition as a completed sale, within one year, (5) the asset is being actively marketed for sale at a price that is reasonable in relation to its current fair value, and (6) actions required to complete the plan indicate that it is unlikely that significant changes to the plan will be made or that the plan will be withdrawn.

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

In the second quarter of 2014, a determination was made that certain assets met the criteria to be classified as held-for-sale. In the third quarter of 2014, the fair value for the related assets was less than their carrying value. Therefore, a loss of \$140 has been recorded to noninterest expense. Please refer to *Note 22 Assets Held for Sale* for additional information.

Other Real Estate Owned (OREO)

Other real estate owned includes real estate acquired through foreclosure or deed taken in lieu of foreclosure. These amounts are recorded at estimated fair value, less costs to sell the property, with any difference between the fair value of the property and the carrying value of the loan being charged to the allowance for loan losses.

Fair values are preliminary and subject to refinement after the acquisition date as new information relative to the acquisition date fair value becomes available. Valuation adjustments and gains or losses recognized on the sale of these properties occurring within 90 days of acquisition are charged against, or credited to, the allowance for loan losses. Subsequent changes in fair value are reported as adjustments to the carrying amount, not to exceed the initial carrying value of the assets at the time of transfer. Those subsequent changes, as well as any gains or losses recognized on the sale of these properties, are included in noninterest expense. Operating costs after acquisition are expensed as incurred.

Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) Stock

The Bank, as a member of the FHLB system, is required to own a certain amount of stock based on the level of borrowings as well as other factors, and may invest in additional amounts. FHLB stock is carried at cost, classified as a restricted security and periodically evaluated for impairment based on ultimate recovery of par value. Both cash and stock dividends are reported as income.

Loan Commitments and Related Financial Instruments

Financial instruments include off-balance sheet credit instruments, such as commitments to make loans and commercial letters of credit, issued to meet customer financing needs. The face amount for these items represents the exposure to loss, before considering customer collateral or ability to repay. Such financial instruments are recorded when they are funded.

Bank-Owned Life Insurance

The Bank has purchased life insurance policies on certain key employees. Bank-owned life insurance is recorded at the amount that can be realized under the insurance contract as of the balance sheet date, which is the cash surrender value adjusted for other charges or other amounts due that are probable at settlement.

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

Goodwill represents the future economic benefits arising from other assets acquired in a business combination that are not individually identified and separately recognized. Goodwill resulting from business combinations after January 1, 2009 is generally determined as the excess of the fair value of the consideration transferred, plus the fair value of any noncontrolling interests in the acquiree, over the fair value of the net assets acquired and liabilities assumed as of the acquisition date.

Goodwill and intangible assets acquired in a business combination and determined to have an indefinite useful life are not amortized. Intangible assets with definite useful lives are amortized over their estimated useful lives to their estimated residual values. *Other intangible assets* on the Consolidated Balance Sheets consist of a core deposit intangible asset arising from the acquisition of ABI which is amortized on an accelerated method over its estimated useful life of eight years.

Goodwill and other intangible assets are reviewed for impairment at least annually as of September 30th. An interim review is performed between annual testing whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying amount of such assets may be impaired. Goodwill has been the only intangible asset with an indefinite life on the Company s Consolidated Balance Sheets, the balance of which was deemed fully impaired as a result of the annual impairment analysis as of September 30, 2012.

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

Derivative Financial Instruments

At the inception of a derivative contract, the Company designates the derivative as one of three types based on the Company s intentions and belief as to likely effectiveness as a hedge. These three types are (i) a hedge of the fair value of a recognized asset or liability or of an unrecognized firm commitment (fair value hedge), (ii) a hedge of a forecasted transaction or the variability of cash flows to be received or paid related to a recognized asset or liability (cash flow hedge), or (iii) an instrument with no hedging designation (stand-alone derivative). The Company formally documents the relationship between derivatives and hedged items, as well as the risk-management objective and the strategy for undertaking hedge transactions, at the inception of the hedging relationship. This documentation includes linking the cash flow hedge to the specific liability on the balance sheet. The Company also formally assesses, both at the hedge inception and on an ongoing basis, whether the derivative financial instruments used are highly effective in offsetting changes in cash flows of the hedged item.

Derivative financial instruments are principally used by the Company to manage its interest rate risk position. During the year ended December 31, 2009, the Company entered into an interest rate swap transaction to mitigate interest rate risk exposure related to its subordinated debt. The interest rate swap was designated as a cash flow hedge. For a derivative financial instrument designated as a cash flow hedge, gains or losses on the derivative are reported in other comprehensive income and reclassified into earnings for the same periods during which the hedged transaction affects earnings. Any change in the fair value of the derivative that is not highly effective in hedging the change in expected cash flows of the hedged item would be recognized immediately in current earnings. Net cash settlements are recorded in interest income or interest expense based on the item being hedged. Cash flows on hedges are classified in the cash flow statement the same as the cash flows of the items being hedged.

The Company discontinues hedge accounting when it determines that the derivative is no longer effective in offsetting changes in the cash flows of the hedged item, the derivative is settled or terminates, or treatment of the derivative as a hedge is no longer appropriate or intended. When hedge accounting is discontinued, subsequent changes in fair value of the derivative are recorded as noninterest income. When a cash flow hedge is discontinued but the hedged cash flows are still expected to occur, gains or losses that were accumulated in other comprehensive income are amortized into earnings over the same periods for which the hedged transactions will affect earnings.

Share-based Compensation

Compensation cost is recognized for stock options and restricted stock units awards issued to employees based on the fair value of these awards at the date of grant. A Black-Scholes model is utilized to estimate the fair value of stock options, while the market price of Bancorp s common stock at the date of grant is used for restricted stock units awards. Compensation cost is recognized over the required service period, generally defined as the vesting period. For awards with graded vesting, compensation cost is recognized on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period for the entire award.

Convertible Securities

On December 31, 2012, the Company completed a \$50,000 private placement capital raise (the Private Placement) whereby Bancorp sold a total of 50,000 shares of Mandatorily Convertible, Noncumulative, Nonvoting Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series A, par value \$0.01 per share (the Series A Preferred Stock) at a purchase price of \$1,000 per share. Please refer to *Note 2 Capital Raise Transactions* for additional information regarding the Private Placement and *Note 14 Shareholders Equity* for additional information pertaining to the Series A Preferred Stock.

Pursuant to the Series A Preferred Stock designation, the Series A Preferred Stock was mandatorily convertible into shares of the Company s common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, and a new class of nonvoting common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, upon receipt of requisite approval by the Company s shareholders. As of the date of issuance, the effective conversion price of \$9.71 per share was less than the fair value of \$16.00 per share of the Company s common stock. In accordance with U.S. GAAP, the Series A Preferred Stock was deemed to include a beneficial conversion feature with an intrinsic value of \$6.29 per

F-43

Convertible Securities 414

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

share for a total discount of \$31,464. On the date of conversion, the discount due to the beneficial conversion feature was recognized as an implied preferred stock dividend. This noncash, implied dividend decreased retained earnings and net income available to common shareholders in the earnings per share calculation.

Income Taxes

Income tax expense or benefit is the sum of the current year income tax due or refundable and the change in deferred tax assets and liabilities. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are the expected future tax amounts for the temporary differences between carrying amounts and tax basis of assets and liabilities, computed using enacted tax rates. A valuation allowance, if needed, reduces deferred tax assets to the amount expected to be realized.

A tax position is recognized as a benefit only if it is more-likely-than-not that the tax position would be sustained in a tax examination, with a tax examination being presumed to occur. The amount recognized is the largest amount of tax benefit that is greater than 50% likely of being realized on examination. For tax positions not meeting the more-likely-than-not test, no tax benefit is recorded. There were no uncertain tax positions taken by the Company for the years ending December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

The Company s returns are subject to examination by taxing authorities for all years after 2010. The Internal Revenue Service (IRS) commenced an examination of the Company s U.S. income tax returns for 2011 and 2012 in the fourth quarter of 2013. Resolution of this examination did not result in a material impact on the financial position or results of operations of the Company. The Company recognizes interest and/or penalties related to income tax matters in income tax expense, if applicable.

Earnings (Loss) Per Common Share

Basic earnings (loss) per common share is net income (loss) available to common shareholders divided by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings (loss) per common share includes the dilutive effect of additional potential common shares issuable under stock options and shares issuable in the conversion of the Series A Preferred Stock (if outstanding). Common equivalent shares are excluded from the computation in periods in which they would have an anti-dilutive effect. Please refer to *Note 20 Earnings Per Share* for additional information regarding the calculation of basic and diluted earnings (loss) per common share and the dilutive impact of the stock options and the conversion of the Series A Preferred Stock.

Comprehensive Income (Loss)

Comprehensive income (loss) consists of net income (loss) and other comprehensive income (loss). Other comprehensive income (loss) includes unrealized holding gains and losses on securities available-for-sale and unrealized derivative gains and losses on cash flow hedges. These amounts are also recognized as separate components of equity within *Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income* on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Loss Contingencies

Loss contingencies, including claims and legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business, are recorded as liabilities when the likelihood of loss is probable and an amount or range of loss can be reasonably estimated. Management does not believe there are currently such matters that will have a material effect on the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Restrictions on Cash

Cash on hand or on deposit with the Federal Reserve Bank is required to meet regulatory reserve and clearing requirements.

Dividend Restrictions

Bank regulations require maintaining certain capital levels and may limit the dividends paid by the Bank to Bancorp or by Bancorp to its shareholders.

F-44

Loss Contingencies 416

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Fair values of financial instruments are estimated using relevant market information and other assumptions, as more fully disclosed in *Note 15 Fair Value*. Fair value estimates involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment regarding interest rates, credit risk, prepayments, and other factors, especially in the absence of broad markets for particular items. Changes in assumptions or in market conditions could significantly affect these estimates.

Reclassifications

Certain amounts in the prior year s financial statements were reclassified to conform to the current year s presentation.

These reclassifications had no impact on the prior periods net income or shareholders equity.

Bancorp s Board of Directors implemented a 1-for-20 reverse stock split of Bancorp s outstanding shares of common stock and nonvoting common stock effective October 24, 2013. As a result of the reverse stock split, each 20 shares of issued and outstanding common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, and nonvoting common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, respectively, were automatically and without any action on the part of the respective holders combined and reconstituted as one share of the respective class of common equity as of the effective date. Consequently, the aggregate par value of common stock and nonvoting common stock eliminated in the reverse stock split was reclassed on the Company s Consolidated Balance Sheets from the respective class of common equity to additional paid-in capital. Additional adjustments were made to the aforementioned accounts as a result of rounding to avoid the existence of fractional shares. All share and per share information in the Consolidated Financial Statements and the accompanying notes have been retrospectively adjusted to reflect the common equity 1-for-20 reverse stock split.

Please refer to *Note 14 Shareholders Equity* for additional information related to the reverse stock split.

Recently Issued Accounting and Reporting Standards

In July 2013, the FASB issued an accounting standards update that requires that an unrecognized tax benefit, or a portion of an unrecognized tax benefit, be presented in the financial statements as a reduction of a deferred tax asset for a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward, with specified exceptions. To the extent a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward is not available as of the reporting date under the tax law of the applicable jurisdiction to settle any additional income taxes that would result from the disallowance of a tax position or the tax law of the applicable jurisdiction does not require the entity to use, and the entity does not intend to use, the deferred tax asset for such purpose, the unrecognized tax benefit should be presented in the financial statements as a liability and should not be combined with deferred tax assets. The assessment of whether a deferred tax asset is available is based on the unrecognized tax benefit and deferred tax asset that exist as of the reporting date and should be made presuming disallowance of the tax position at the reporting date. The amendments in this update were effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2013. No new recurring disclosures are required by this update. The Company has evaluated this standard and determined that it will not have a material effect on the Company s Consolidated Financial Statements.

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-09 Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606) (ASU 2014-09). This update to the Accounting Standards Codification is the culmination of efforts by the FASB and the International Accounting Standards Board to develop a common revenue standard for U.S. GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards and creates a new Topic 606 Revenue from Contracts with Customers. ASU 2014-09 supersedes Topic 605 Revenue Recognition and most industry-specific guidance. The core principal of the guidance is that an entity should recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. The guidance in ASU 2014-09 describes a five-step process entities can apply to achieve the core principle of revenue recognition and requires disclosures sufficient to enable users of financial statements to understand the nature, amount, timing, and uncertainty of revenue and cash flows arising from contracts with customers and the significant judgments used in determining that information. The amendments in ASU 2014-09 are effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016,

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

including interim periods within that reporting period, and early application is not allowed. The Company is currently evaluating the effects of ASU 2014-09 on its Consolidated Financial Statements and disclosures, if any.

In August 2014, the FASB issued a new standard, ASU No. 2014-15 Disclosure of Uncertainties about an Entity s Ability to Continue as a Going Concern. This standard will require management to assess an entity s ability to continue as a going concern, and to provide related footnote disclosures in certain circumstances. In connection with each annual and interim period, management will have to assess if there is substantial doubt about the entity s ability to continue as a going concern within one year after the issuance date. Management must consider relevant conditions that are known (and reasonably knowable) at the issuance date. Substantial doubt exists if it is probable that the entity will be unable to meet its obligations within one year after the issuance date. The new standard defines substantial doubt and provides example indicators. The definition of substantial doubt incorporates a likelihood threshold of probable similar to the current use of the term in U.S. GAAP for loss contingencies. Disclosures will be required if conditions give rise to substantial doubt. However, management will need to assess if its plans will alleviate substantial doubt to determine the specific disclosures. The new standard will be effective for all entities in the first annual period ending after December 15, 2016. Earlier application is permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the effects of ASU 2014-15 on its Consolidated Financial Statements and disclosures, if any.

Other accounting standards that have been issued by the FASB or other standards-setting bodies are not expected to have a material impact on the Company s financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

NOTE 2 CAPITAL RAISE TRANSACTIONS

2012 Capital Raise Activities

During the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company executed a financial advisory agreement with an investment banking firm to assist in raising capital. On August 22, 2012, Bancorp executed a stock purchase agreement (the Original Stock Purchase Agreement) with its largest shareholder, CapGen Capital Group IV LP (CapGen), for the sale to CapGen of up to 25,000 shares of Bancorp s Series A Preferred Stock, at a purchase price of \$1,000 per share, subject to the terms and conditions contained in the Original Stock Purchase Agreement. The Original Stock Purchase Agreement was executed in connection with Bancorp s private offering to accredited investors of an aggregate of 50,000 shares of Series A Preferred Stock at a purchase price of \$1,000 per share (the Private Placement).

On September 27, 2012, as a part of a bridge financing, Bancorp and CapGen entered into a subscription agreement under which Bancorp sold to CapGen 5,000 shares of Bancorp s newly designated Noncumulative, Nonvoting, Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series B, par value \$0.01 per share (Series B Preferred Stock), at a purchase price of \$1,000 per share for an aggregate of \$5,000 (the Series B Sale). In connection with the Series B Sale and also on September 27, 2012, Bancorp and CapGen entered into an exchange agreement whereby Bancorp agreed to exchange shares of Series B Preferred Stock for shares of Series A Preferred Stock concurrently with the issuance of shares of Series A Preferred Stock in the Private Placement, unless such shares of Series B Preferred Stock were first redeemed by Bancorp.

On December 31, 2012, Bancorp entered into an amended and restated stock purchase agreement (the Restated Stock Purchase Agreement) with CapGen and 29 other accredited investors for the sale of 50,000 shares of Series A Preferred Stock at a price of \$1,000 per share, subject to the terms and conditions contained in the Restated Stock Purchase Agreement. The Private Placement closed on the same date for an aggregate of \$50,000. Included in the 50,000 shares of Series A Preferred Stock sold in the Private Placement were 5,000 shares of Series A Preferred Stock issued to CapGen in exchange for the 5,000 shares of Series B Preferred Stock purchased by CapGen in the Series B Sale, pursuant to an amended and restated exchange agreement between Bancorp and CapGen dated December 31, 2012. Also included in the shares sold in the Private Placement was an aggregate of 2,265 shares of Series A Preferred Stock sold through individual subscription agreements to certain of Bancorp s directors, executive officers and other related parties (the Subscribers) for consideration of an aggregate of \$465 in cash and \$1,800 in the cancellation of outstanding debt under the Company s revolving loan agreements held by certain of the Subscribers and/or their related interests. As a result of this transaction, no one entity owns more than 50% of Bancorp s voting equity.

NOTE 2 CAPITAL RAISE TRANSACTIONS (continued)

Pursuant to the Series A Preferred Stock designation, the Series A Preferred Stock was mandatorily convertible into shares of Bancorp s common stock and a new class of nonvoting common stock upon receipt of requisite approvals by Bancorp s shareholders. On February 18, 2013, the Company received shareholder approvals to amend its Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation to (i) increase the number of authorized shares of the Company s common stock to 20,000,000, and (ii) authorize 5,000,000 shares of a new class of nonvoting common stock, par value \$0.01 per share (the Capital Amendment). On the same date, the Company also received shareholder approval to issue an aggregate of 5,000,000 shares of its common stock and nonvoting common stock in the conversion of the 50,000 outstanding shares of the Company s Series A Preferred Stock.

On February 19, 2013, the Company filed the Capital Amendment with the Florida Secretary of State, and on the same date, all of the outstanding shares of the Company s Series A Preferred Stock automatically converted into an aggregate of 2,382,000 shares of common stock and 2,618,000 shares of nonvoting common stock (the Conversion). The Conversion was based on a conversion price of \$10.00 per share and a conversion rate of 100 shares of common stock and/or nonvoting common stock for each share of Series A Preferred Stock outstanding.

Net proceeds from the issuance of preferred stock in the amount of \$45,140 were used for general operating expenses, mainly for the subsidiary bank, to improve capital ratios, and to support the Company s business strategy going forward. Please refer to *Note 14 Shareholders Equity* for additional information pertaining to the Company s equity securities issued in conjunction with the previously described capital raise transactions.

Immediately prior to the closing of the Private Placement, the Bank sold \$25,134 of other real estate owned, nonaccrual loans, loans with a history of being past due, and other loans that were part of an overall customer relationship to a real estate investment firm, who was also an investor in the Private Placement, for a purchase price of \$11,705 (the Asset Sale). Total assets sold in the Asset Sale included loans of \$24,601 and other real estate owned of \$533. Total proceeds of \$11,705 included proceeds from the sale of loans of \$11,313 and proceeds from the sale of other real estate owned of \$392. Please refer to *Note 4 Loans and Allowance for Loan Losses* for additional information related to loans sold in the Asset Sale.

2013 Capital Raise Activities

On August 21, 2013, the Company distributed to its eligible existing shareholders nontransferable subscription rights to purchase shares of the Company s common stock at a subscription price of \$10.00 per share. The subscription rights entitled the holders of our common stock as of August 20, 2013 (excluding participants in the Private Placement) to purchase an aggregate of approximately 500,000 shares of the Company s common stock. The subscription period for the rights offering expired on September 20, 2013 and resulted in the sale of 104,131 shares of the Company s common stock for an aggregate of \$1,041, or \$937 net of offering expenses.

Concurrently with the rights offering, the Company initiated a public offering of shares of the Company s common stock not subscribed for in the rights offering at an equal subscription price of \$10.00 per share. At the completion of the rights offering, 395,869 shares of common stock remained available for sale in the public offering.

The public offering expired on October 4, 2013 whereby the Company sold all remaining shares of common stock available for sale for an aggregate of \$3,959, or \$3,226 net of offering expenses. As a result of the concurrent offerings, the Company sold a total of 500,000 shares of common stock for aggregate proceeds of \$5,000. Total net proceeds in the amount of \$4,163 will be used for general operating expenses.

Management s Plans

The Company s strategic initiatives address the actions necessary to restore profitability and achieve full compliance with all outstanding regulatory agreements. In addition to the capital raise transactions described in the preceding paragraphs, management has also pursued, and will continue to pursue, various options to aid in the steady improvement of the Company s results of operations.

NOTE 2 CAPITAL RAISE TRANSACTIONS (continued)

In the fourth quarter of 2013, Bancorp s Board of Directors implemented a 1-for-20 reverse stock split of the Company s issued and outstanding shares of common stock and nonvoting common stock in an effort to increase the market price of the Company s common stock and thereby enhance the overall liquidity of issued and outstanding shares of common stock and nonvoting common stock and regain compliance with NASDAQ continued listing standards. As of the effective date of the reverse stock split, the Company s per share market price increased from \$0.51 to \$10.20. On November 7, 2013, the Company received notification that it had regained compliance with the Minimum Bid Price Rule and, therefore, was no longer subject to delisting from the NASDAQ Stock Market. However, there can be no assurance that the reverse stock split, or any other measures taken by Bancorp s Board of Directors to increase the market price of the Company s common stock, will result in the intended benefits or have a sustainable impact going forward. Please refer to *Note 14 Shareholders Equity* for additional information related to the reverse stock split.

Management believes that the Company s recapitalization plan that was executed in 2012 and completed in 2013, combined with the strategic initiative to accelerate the disposal of substandard assets, has enabled the Company to restore capital to prescribed regulatory levels. During the year ended December 31, 2014 and going forward, the Company intends to maintain the quality of its loan portfolio through the continued reduction of problem assets in a prudent and reasonable manner and to continue to improve the overall credit process including, but not limited to, loan origination disciplines, strict underwriting criteria, and succinct funding and onboarding processes. In addition, the Company will carry on with the repositioning of its loan and deposit portfolio mix to better align with our targeted market segment of professional services, wholesalers, distributors, and other service industries. During the second quarter of 2014, the Company announced a reduction in workforce of approximately 16%. Affected employees were provided comprehensive severance packages that were paid out in the third quarter of 2014. In October 2014, the Company announced a second reduction to the Bank s workforce of approximately 10%. Impacted employees were provided comprehensive severance packages that were accrued for in the fourth quarter of 2014 and paid out in the fourth quarter of 2014 through the first quarter of 2015. This action occurred to better align the Company s processes and procedures with the best industry practices and standards. The total reduction in workforce resulted in the elimination of 32.5 positions at the Bank, or approximately 30% of the workforce, and total restructuring costs of \$111.

NOTE 3 INVESTMENT SECURITIES

The following table summarizes the amortized cost and fair value of the Company s investment securities portfolio as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 and the corresponding amounts of unrealized gains and losses therein:

(Dollars in thousands)	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Gains	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
December 31, 2014				
Available-for-sale:				
U.S. government-sponsored entities and agencies	\$ 7,019	\$ 161	\$ (23)	\$ 7,157
State and political subdivisions	6,535	525		7,060
Mortgage-backed securities residential	30,454	928	(22)	31,360

Collateralized mortgage obligations Corporate bonds	29,306 3,025	94 69	(438) 28,962 3,094
Total available-for-sale securities	\$ 76,339	\$ 1,777	\$ (483) \$ 77,633
December 31, 2013			
Available-for-sale:			
U.S. government-sponsored entities and agencies	\$ 8,343	\$ 123	\$ (70) \$ 8,396
State and political subdivisions	7,762	342	(67) 8,037
Mortgage-backed securities residential	32,709	686	(170) 33,225
Collateralized mortgage obligations	32,791	143	(956) 31,978
Corporate bonds	3,037	104	(6) 3,135
Total available-for-sale securities	\$ 84,642	\$ 1,398	\$(1,269) \$84,771
F-48			

NOTE 3 INVESTMENT SECURITIES (continued)

During the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, there were no holdings of securities of any one issuer, other than the U.S. government and its agencies, in an amount greater than 10% of shareholders equity.

The following table summarizes the proceeds from sales of available-for-sale securities and the associated gains and losses for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013:

(Dollars in thousands)	2014	2013
Gross gains	\$	\$ 601
Gross losses		(164)
Net gain	\$	\$ 437
Proceeds	\$	\$ 14.434

The amortized cost and fair value of the investment securities portfolio as of December 31, 2014 are presented below in order of contractual maturity. Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities if borrowers have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties. Securities not due at a single maturity date, primarily mortgage-backed securities residential and collateralized mortgage obligations, are shown separately.

(Dollars in thousands)	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
Available-for-sale:		
Within one year	\$ 500	\$ 504
One to five years	1,900	1,969
Five to ten years	3,797	3,855
Beyond ten years	10,382	10,983
Mortgage-backed securities residential	30,454	31,360
Collateralized mortgage obligations	29,306	28,962
Total	\$ 76,339	\$ 77,633

The carrying amounts of securities pledged were \$6,840 and \$7,242 as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. These amounts were pledged to secure the available Borrower in Custody line of credit with the Federal Reserve Bank and serve as collateral required by the State of Florida.

The following table summarizes the investment securities with unrealized losses as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 by aggregated major security type and length of time in a continuous unrealized loss position:

	Less Than 12		12 Months or		Total		
	Months	3	Longer		1 Otal		
(Dollars in thousands)	Fair	Unreali	zeHair	Unrealiz	zedFair	Unrealized	
December 31, 2014	Value	losses	Value	losses	Value	losses	
Available-for-sale:							
U.S. government-sponsored entities and	\$	\$	\$977	\$ (23)	\$977	\$ (23)	

agencies						
State and political subdivisions						
Mortgage backed securities residential	1,849	(1)	1,192	(21)	3,041	(22)
Collateralized mortgage obligations	6,599	(40)	11,258	(398)	17,857	(438)
Corporate bonds						
Total available-for-sale securities	\$8,448	\$ (41)	\$13,427	\$ (442)	\$21,875	\$ (483)
F-49		. ,		. ,		. ,

NOTE 3 INVESTMENT SECURITIES (continued)

	Less Tha	ın 12	12 Mon	ths or	Total		
	Months		Longer		Total		
(Dollars in thousands)	Fair	Unrealiz	zekclair	Unrealiz	zeklair	Unreal	ized
December 31, 2013	Value	losses	Value	losses	Value	losses	
Available-for-sale:							
U.S. government-sponsored entities and agencies	\$1,828	\$(70)	\$	\$	\$1,828	\$(70)
State and political subdivisions	1,015	(67)			1,015	(67)
Mortgage backed securities residential	7,025	(170)			7,025	(170)
Collateralized mortgage obligations	17,686	(674)	5,131	(282)	22,817	(956)
Corporate bonds	994	(6)			994	(6)
Total available-for-sale securities	\$28,548	\$(987)	\$5,131	\$(282)	\$33,679	\$(1,26	9)

As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively, the Company s security portfolio consisted of \$77,633 and \$84,771 in available-for-sale securities, of which \$21,875 and \$33,679 were in an unrealized loss position for the related periods. These unrealized losses were related to all securities types held by the Company, as discussed below.

U.S. Government-Sponsored Entities and Agency Securities (U.S. Agency Securities)

All of the U.S. Agency Securities held by the Company were issued by U.S. government-sponsored entities and agencies. As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, the number of U.S. Agency Securities with unrealized losses were one and two, respectively. As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, these securities had depreciated 2.30% and 3.67%, respectively, from the Company s amortized cost basis. The decline in fair value was attributable to changes in interest rates, not credit quality.

State and Political Securities (Municipal Bonds)

All of the Municipal Bonds held by the Company were issued by a state, city or other local government and represent general obligations of the issuer that are secured by specified revenues. As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, the number of Municipal Bonds with unrealized losses were none and two, respectively. As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, these securities had depreciated 0% and 6.16%, respectively, from the Company s amortized cost basis. The decline in fair value was primarily attributable to changes in interest rates rather than the ability or willingness of the municipality to repay.

Mortgage-backed Securities Residential (Mortgage-backed Securities)

All of the Mortgage-backed Securities held by the Company were issued by U.S. government-sponsored entities and agencies, primarily Ginnie Mae and Fannie Mae, institutions which have the full faith and credit of the U.S. government. As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, the number of Mortgage-backed Securities with unrealized losses were three and eight, respectively. As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, these securities had depreciated 0.71% and 2.37%, respectively, from the Company s amortized cost basis. The decline in fair value was attributable to changes in interest rates, not credit quality.

Collateralized Mortgage Obligations

All of the collateralized mortgage obligation securities held by the Company were issued by U.S. government-sponsored entities and agencies, primarily Ginnie Mae, an institution which has the full faith and credit of the U.S. government. As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, the number of Collateralized Mortgage Obligations with unrealized losses were 18 and 17, respectively. As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, these securities had depreciated 2.40% and 4.02%, respectively, from the Company s amortized cost basis. The decline in fair value was attributable to changes in interest rates, not credit quality.

NOTE 3 INVESTMENT SECURITIES (continued)

Corporate Bonds

All of the corporate bonds held by the Company were debt obligations issued by corporations, with no inherent claim to ownership. As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, the number of Corporate Bonds with unrealized losses were none and one, respectively. As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, these securities had depreciated 0% and 0.61%, respectively, from the Company s amortized cost basis. The decline in fair value was attributable to changes in interest rates, not the credit quality of the issuer.

Other-Than-Temporary Impairment

Because the Company does not have the intent to sell these securities, and it is likely that it will not be required to sell the securities before their anticipated recovery, the Company does not consider these to be other-than-temporarily impaired as of December 31, 2014 and 2013. For additional information regarding the Company s policy on evaluating securities for OTTI, please refer to *Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies*.

For the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, there were no credit losses recognized in earnings.

NOTE 4 LOANS AND ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES

Loans as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 were as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	2014	2013
Commercial loans	\$ 57,876	\$ 43,855
Real estate mortgage loans:		
Residential	71,002	71,192
Commercial	222,468	223,182
Construction and land	22,319	30,355
Consumer and other loans	1,489	2,041
Loans, gross	375,154	370,625
Less:		
Net deferred loan fees	(498)	(273)
Allowance for loan losses	(14,377)	(15,760)
Loans, net	\$ 360,279	\$ 354,592

Loans acquired as a result of the merger with ABI were recorded at fair value on the date of acquisition. The amounts reported in the table above are net of the fair value adjustments. The tables below reflect the contractual amount of purchased loans less the discount to principal balances remaining from these fair value adjustments by class of loan as of December 31, 2014 and 2013. This discount will be accreted into interest income as deemed appropriate over the remaining term of the related loans.

(Dollars in thousands) December 31, 2014	Gross Contractua Amount Receivable	l Discount	Carrying Balance
Commercial loans	\$ 1,758	\$ 144	\$ 1,614
Real estate mortgage loans:			
Residential	15,748	761	14,987
Commercial	37,481	2,167	35,314
Construction and land	3,452	334	3,118
Consumer and other loans	400	3	397
Total	\$ 58,839	\$ 3,409	\$ 55,430
F-51			

NOTE 4 LOANS AND ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES (continued)

December 31, 2013	Gross Contractua Amount Receivable	l Discount	Carrying Balance
Commercial loans	\$ 2,165	\$ 175	\$ 1,990
Real estate mortgage loans:			
Residential	20,614	1,282	19,332
Commercial	44,249	3,026	41,223
Construction and land	4,763	412	4,351
Consumer and other loans	468	6	462
Total	\$ 72,259	\$ 4,901	\$ 67,358

The Company has divided the loan portfolio into three portfolio segments, each with different risk characteristics and methodologies for assessing risk. The three portfolio segments identified by the Company are described below.

Commercial Loans

Commercial loans are primarily underwritten on the basis of the borrowers ability to service such debt from operating cash flows. The cash flows of borrowers, however, may not be as expected and the collateral securing these loans may fluctuate in value. As a general practice, loans are secured by a security interest in any available real estate, equipment, or other chattel, although loans may also be made on an unsecured basis. Collateralized working capital loans typically are secured with short-term assets whereas long-term loans are primarily secured with long-term assets. Credit risk is mitigated by the diversity and number of borrowers as well as loan type within the commercial portfolio.

Real Estate Mortgage Loans

Real estate mortgage loans are typically segmented into three classes: commercial real estate, residential real estate and construction and land development. Commercial real estate loans are secured by the subject property and are underwritten based upon standards set forth in the underwriting guidelines authorized by the Bank's Board. Such standards include, among other factors, loan-to-value limits, debt service coverage and general creditworthiness of the obligors. Residential real estate loans are underwritten in accordance with policies set forth and approved by the Bank's Board, including repayment capacity and source, value of the underlying property, credit history, stability and purchaser guidelines. Construction loans to borrowers are to finance the construction of owner occupied and lease properties. These loans are categorized as construction loans during the construction period, later converting to commercial or residential real estate loans after the construction is complete and amortization of the loan begins. Real estate development and construction loans are approved based on an analysis of the borrower and guarantor, the viability of the project and on an acceptable percentage of the appraised value of the property securing the loan. Real estate development and construction loan funds are disbursed periodically based on the percentage of construction completed. The Bank carefully monitors these loans with on-site inspections and requires the receipt of invoices and lien waivers prior to advancing funds. Development and construction loans are typically secured by the properties under development or construction, and personal guarantees are typically obtained. Further, to assure that reliance is

not placed solely on the value of the underlying property, the Bank considers the market conditions and feasibility of proposed projects, the financial condition and reputation of the borrower and guarantors, the amount of the borrower s equity in the project, independent appraisals, cost estimates and pre-construction sale information. The Bank also makes loans on occasion for the purchase of land for future development by the borrower. Land loans are extended for the future development of either commercial or residential use by the borrower. The Bank carefully analyzes the intended use of the property and the viability thereof.

Repayment of real estate loans is primarily dependent upon the personal income or business income generated by the secured property of the borrowers, which can be impacted by the economic conditions in their market area. Risk is mitigated by the fact that the properties securing the Company s real estate loan portfolio are diverse in type and spread over a large number of borrowers.

NOTE 4 LOANS AND ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES (continued)

Consumer and Other Loans

Consumer and other loans are extended for various purposes, including purchases of automobiles, recreational vehicles, and boats. The Company also offers home improvement loans, lines of credit, personal loans, and deposit account collateralized loans. Repayment of these loans is primarily dependent on the personal income of the borrowers, which can be impacted by economic conditions in their market areas, such as unemployment levels. Loans to consumers are extended after a credit evaluation, including the creditworthiness of the borrower(s), the purpose of the credit, and the secondary source of repayment. Consumer loans are made at fixed and variable interest rates and may be made on terms of up to ten years. Risk is mitigated by the fact that the loans are of smaller individual amounts and spread over a large number of borrowers.

Activity in the allowance for loan losses by portfolio segment for years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 was as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	2014	2013
Allowance at beginning of period	\$ 15,760	\$ 20,198
Charge-offs:		
Commercial loans	347	140
Real estate mortgage loans	2,599	5,536
Consumer and other loans	476	176
Total charge-offs	3,422	5,852
Recoveries:		
Commercial loans	47	93
Real estate mortgage loans	1,681	459
Consumer and other loans	24	47
Total recoveries	1,752	599
Net charge-offs	1,670	5,253
Provision for loan losses charged to operating expenses:		
Commercial loans	377	194
Real estate mortgage loans	(841)	185
Consumer and other loans	751	436
Total provision	287	815
Allowance at end of period	\$ 14,377	\$ 15,760

The following tables present the balance in the allowance for loan losses and the recorded investment in loans by portfolio segment and based on the impairment method as of December 31, 2014 and 2013:

(Dollars in thousands)	Commercial	Real Estate	Consumer	Total
December 31, 2014	Loans	Mortgage	and	
		Loans	Other	

			Loans	
Allowance for loan losses:				
Ending allowance balance attributable to loans:				
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 21	\$ 539	\$	\$560
Collectively evaluated for impairment	1,270	11,622	925	13,817
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality				
Total ending allowance balance	\$ 1,291	\$ 12,161	\$ 925	\$14,377
Loans:				
Loans individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 21	\$ 16,033	\$ 28	\$16,082
Loans collectively evaluated for impairment	57,749	285,371	1,461	344,581
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	106	14,385		14,491
Total ending loans balance	\$ 57,876	\$ 315,789	\$ 1,489	\$375,154
53				

NOTE 4 LOANS AND ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES (continued)

December 31, 2013	Commercial Loans	Real Estate Mortgage Loans	Consumer and Other Loans	Total
Allowance for loan losses:				
Ending allowance balance attributable to loans:				
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 223	\$ 1,608	\$ 323	\$2,154
Collectively evaluated for impairment	992	11,919	303	13,214
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality		392		392
Total ending allowance balance	\$ 1,215	\$ 13,919	\$ 626	\$15,760
Loans:				
Loans individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 304	\$ 19,783	\$ 364	\$20,451
Loans collectively evaluated for impairment	43,449	286,188	1,676	331,313
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	102	18,758	1	18,861
Total ending loans balance	\$ 43,855	\$ 324,729	\$ 2,041	\$370,625

The following table presents loans individually evaluated for impairment, by class of loans as of December 31, 2014 and 2013:

	2014			2013		
(Dollars in thousands)	Unpaid Principal Balance	Recorded Investment	Allowance for Loan Losses Allocated	Unpaid Principal Balance	Recorded Investment	Allowance for Loan Losses Allocated
With no related allowance recorded:						
Commercial loans	\$	\$	\$	\$43	\$ 43	\$
Real estate mortgage loans:						
Residential	2,288	2,211		2,341	2,286	
Commercial	14,012	11,104		4,643	4,395	
Construction and land	1,174	1,126		8,586	4,806	
Consumer and other loans	31	28		40	40	
With an allowance recorded:						
Commercial loans	\$21	\$ 21	\$ 21	\$264	\$ 261	\$ 223
Real estate mortgage loans:						
Residential	692	629	103	1,597	1,574	209
Commercial	489	489	214	7,910	6,062	1,001
Construction and land	493	474	222	667	660	398
Consumer and other loans				341	324	323
Total	\$19,200	\$ 16,082	\$ 560	\$26,432	\$ 20,451	\$ 2,154

The following tables present the average recorded investment in impaired loans and the related interest income recognized during impairment for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013:

(Dollars in thousands) December 31, 2014	Average Impaired Loans	Interest Income	Cash-Basis
Commercial loans	\$ 172	\$	\$
Real estate mortgage loans:			
Residential	3,141	110	110
Commercial	15,184	218	218
Construction and land	3,927	40	40
Consumer and other loans	344		
Total	\$ 22,768	\$ 368	\$ 368

NOTE 4 LOANS AND ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES (continued)

December 31, 2013	Average Impaired Loans	Interest Income	Cash-Basis
Commercial loans	\$ 210	\$	\$
Real estate mortgage loans:			
Residential	2,282	1	
Commercial	8,761	199	197
Construction and land	4,792	20	19
Consumer and other loans	252		
Total	\$ 16,297	\$ 220	\$ 216

The following table presents the recorded investment in nonaccrual loans by class of loans as of December 31, 2014 and 2013:

(Dollars in thousands)	2014	2013
Commercial loans	\$ 21	\$ 304
Real estate mortgage loans:		
Residential	1,151	3,716
Commercial	7,408	7,105
Construction and land	574	5,517
Consumer and other loans	28	366
Total ⁽¹⁾	\$ 9,182	\$ 17,008

⁽¹⁾ Includes loans acquired in the merger with ABI. As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, these amounts totaled \$3,094 and \$4,537, respectively.

The following tables present the aging of the recorded investment in past due loans by class of loans as of December 31, 2014 and 2013:

	Past Du	e Loans				
(Dollars in thousands) December 31, 2014	30 59 Days	60 89 Days	90 Days and Greater	Total	Loans Not Past Due	Total
Commercial loans	\$218	\$	\$	\$218	\$57,658	\$57,876
Real estate mortgage loans:						
Residential	874	579	681	2,134	68,868	71,002
Commercial	5,032	1,701	4,784	11,517	210,951	222,468
Construction and land			350	350	21,969	22,319
Consumer and other loans	269			269	1,220	1,489
Total	\$6,393	\$ 2,280	\$ 5,815	\$ 14,488	\$360,666	\$375,154

	Past Du	e Loans				
December 31, 2013	30 59 Days	60 89 Days	90 Days and Greater	Total	Loans Not Past Due	Total
Commercial loans	\$	\$ 138	\$86	\$ 224	\$43,631	\$43,855
Real estate mortgage loans:						
Residential	359	134	1,648	2,141	69,051	71,192
Commercial	2,558	3,103	6,475	12,136	211,046	223,182
Construction and land		119	4,470	4,589	25,766	30,355
Consumer and other loans	321	10	39	370	1,671	2,041
Total	\$3,238	\$ 3,504	\$12,718	\$ 19,460	\$351,165	\$370,625
F-55						

NOTE 4 LOANS AND ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES (continued)

Included in the past due loan tables above are loans acquired in the merger with ABI. The following table presents the recorded investment of these loans by class of loans as of December 31, 2014 and 2013:

(Dollars in thousands)	2014	2013
30 59 days past due	\$ 1,885	\$ 87
60 89 days past due	1,772	167
90 days past due and greater	833	2,709
Total past due	\$ 4,490	\$ 2,963

The delinquency status of purchased credit impaired loans that resulted from our acquisition of ABI is based on the contractual terms of the loan. In effect, past due status of an acquired loan is determined in the same manner as loans originated by the Bank.

Troubled Debt Restructurings

During the normal course of business, the Company may restructure or modify the terms of a loan for various reasons. The restructuring of a loan is considered a troubled debt restructuring if both (i) the borrower is experiencing financial difficulties and (ii) a concession is granted that otherwise would not have occurred under normal circumstances.

The following table presents the recorded investment and specific reserves allocated to loans modified as troubled debt restructurings (TDRs) as of December 31, 2014 and 2013:

(Dollars in thousands)	2014	2013
Recorded investment ⁽¹⁾	\$ 10,794	\$ 12,535
Specific reserves allocated ⁽²⁾	372	953

⁽¹⁾ Of the total recorded investment in loans modified as TDRs, \$1,285 and \$1,256, respectively, were for customers whose loans were collateral dependent with collateral shortfalls.

Of the specific reserves allocated to customers whose loan terms were modified as TDRs, \$372 and \$622, respectively, were allocated to customers whose loans were collateral dependent with collateral shortfalls. The following table represents loans by class modified as troubled debt restructurings that occurred during the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively:

	2014			
		Outstanding	Reco	orded Investment
(Dollars in thousands)	Number of loans	Pre-Modifica	atiB o s	st-Modification
Commercial loans	1	\$ 62	\$	62

	Real estate mortgage loans:			
	Residential	2	171	151
	Commercial	6	3,579	3,629
	Construction and land	2	281	219
	Consumer and other loans	2	447	447
	Total	13	\$ 4,540	\$ 4,508
F-56				

NOTE 4 LOANS AND ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES (continued)

	2013				
		O	utstanding	Reco	orded Investment
	Number of loans	Pı	re-Modifica	ati Bo s	st-Modification
Commercial loans	1	\$	66	\$	66
Real estate mortgage loans:					
Residential	3		2,836		3,034
Commercial	2		423		423
Construction and land	5		4,433		4,413
Consumer and other loans	1		234		234
Total	12	\$	7,992	\$	8,170

The troubled debt restructurings described in the tables above include several loans modified into a multiple loan structure to accommodate the revised terms and are presented based on the number of loans pre-modification. The terms of these loans were modified as troubled debt restructurings because the borrowers were experiencing financial difficulties. During the year ended December 31, 2014, the TDRs described above did not increase the allowance for loan losses and resulted in charge-offs of \$256. For the year ended December 31, 2013, the TDRs described above increased the allowance for loan losses by \$703 and resulted in charge-offs of \$233. For the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, collateral-impaired loans modified as TDRs were eight and four, respectively.

All borrowers whose loans were modified as TDRs during the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 were experiencing financial difficulties. The TDRs that occurred during the respective years included modifications to terms that allowed borrowers to make reduced payments. Such modifications during the year ended December 31, 2014 included one, or a combination, of the following: (i) reduced fixed interest rate through maturity and an advance to cover a deficiency from sale of a separate foreclosed property, (ii) change from principal and interest payments to interest only payments for a limited period of time, (iii) reduced principal and interest payments through maturity, (iv) change from variable rate interest only payments through maturity to fixed rate interest only payments for a limited period of time and reduced principal and interest payments through maturity, (v) change from variable rate interest only payments through maturity to fixed rate and reduced principal and interest payments through maturity, (vi) proposed forgiveness of principal contingent upon the satisfaction of the modified terms, (vii) extension of maturity date with an amortization amount beyond market terms, (viii) forgiveness of principal, or (ix) modification of terms as a result of a Chapter 11 bankruptcy court approved plan.

The TDRs that occurred during the year ended December 31, 2013 included one, or a combination, of the following: (i) a forbearance of payments for a limited period of time, (ii) a change in payment terms from principal and interest to interest-only payments for a limited period of time or through maturity, (iii) reduced principal and interest payments through maturity, (iv) a reduction in the stated interest rate for a limited period of time or through maturity, (v) the assumption of additional debt to protect the Bank s collateral position, (vi) forgiveness of principal, and (vii) proposed forgiveness of principal contingent upon the satisfaction of the modified terms. Modifications involving a reduction of the stated interest rate of the loan or interest-only payments were for a limited period of time. Principal forgiven in the amount of \$565 was offset by existing reserves from purchase accounting adjustments in the amount of \$545 which

resulted in a net charge-off of \$20.

As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, the Company had extended additional credit of \$245 and \$483, respectively, to customers with outstanding loans whose terms have been modified as TDRs.

NOTE 4 LOANS AND ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES (continued)

A loan is considered to be in payment default once it is 90 days contractually past due under the modified terms. The following table presents loans by class modified as TDRs for which there was a payment default within twelve months following the modification during the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013:

	2014	2013	
(Dollars in thousands)	ot	O†	Recorded Investment
Real estate mortgage loans:			
Commercial	1 \$		\$
Construction and land		1	201
Total	1 \$	1	\$ 201

The TDR for which there was a payment default within twelve months following the modification did not impact the allowance for loan losses or result in charge-offs during the year ended December 31, 2013. There was one TDR for which there was a payment default within twelve months following the modification during the year ended December 31, 2014. The loan was charged-off in the amount of \$62; as a result, there was no recorded investment as of December 31, 2014.

The terms of certain other loans that did not meet the definition of a troubled debt restructuring were modified during the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013. These loans had a total recorded investment of \$12,110 and \$7,228 as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively, and involved loans to borrowers who were not experiencing financial difficulties. Modifications to terms included one, or a combination of, the following: (i) allowing the borrowers to make interest-only payments for a limited period of time, (ii) adjusting the interest rate to a market interest rate through maturity, (iii) extension of interest-only payments for a limited period of time, (iv) extension of maturity date, or (v) extension of amortization period.

In order to determine whether a borrower is experiencing financial difficulty, an evaluation is performed of the probability that the borrower will be in payment default on any of its debt in the foreseeable future without the modification. This evaluation is performed under the Company s internal underwriting policy.

Credit Quality Indicators

The Company categorizes loans into risk categories based on relevant information about the ability of borrowers to service their debt such as: current financial information, historical payment experience, credit documentation, public information, and current economic trends, among other factors.

The Company analyzes loans individually by classifying the loans as to credit risk. Loans classified as substandard or special mention are reviewed at least quarterly by the Company for further deterioration or improvement to determine if they are appropriately classified and whether there is any impairment. All loans are graded upon initial issuance.

Further, commercial loans are typically reviewed at least annually to determine the appropriate loan grading. In addition, during the renewal process of any loan, as well as if a loan becomes past due, the Company determines the appropriate loan grade.

NOTE 4 LOANS AND ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES (continued)

Loans excluded from the review process above are generally classified as pass credits until: (i) they become past due; (ii) management becomes aware of a deterioration in the creditworthiness of the borrower; or (iii) the customer contacts the Company for a modification. In these circumstances, the loan is specifically evaluated for potential classification as to special mention, substandard or doubtful. The Company uses the following definitions for risk ratings:

Special Mention

Loans classified as special mention have a potential weakness that deserves management s close attention. If left uncorrected, these potential weaknesses may result in deterioration of the repayment prospects for the loan or of the institution s credit position at some future date.

Substandard

Loans classified as substandard are inadequately protected by the current net worth and paying capacity of the obligor or of the collateral pledged, if any. Loans so classified have a well-defined weakness or weaknesses that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt. They are characterized by the distinct possibility that the institution will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected.

Doubtful

Loans classified as doubtful have all the weaknesses inherent in those classified as substandard, with the added characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full, on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions and values, highly questionable and improbable.

Loans not meeting the criteria above that are analyzed individually as part of the above described process are considered to be pass-rated loans. As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, and based on the most recent analysis performed, the risk category of loans by class of loans was as follows:

(Dollars in thousands) December 31, 2014	Pass	Special Mention	Substandard	Doubtful	Total
Commercial loans	\$ 56,704	\$ 1,103	\$ 69	\$	\$ 57,876
Real estate mortgage loans:					
Residential	61,666	4,717	4,619		71,002
Commercial	202,225	5,278	14,965		222,468
Construction and land	20,799	62	1,458		22,319
Consumer and other loans	1,437	24	28		1,489
Total	\$ 342,831	\$ 11,184	\$ 21,139	\$	\$ 375,154

	December 31, 2013	Pass	Special Mention	Substandard	Doubtful	Total
	Commercial loans	\$ 42,945	\$ 295	\$ 615	\$	\$ 43,855
	Real estate mortgage loans:					
	Residential	59,003	5,301	6,888		71,192
	Commercial	198,447	10,836	13,899		223,182
	Construction and land	21,652	350	8,353		30,355
	Consumer and other loans	1,633	32	376		2,041
	Total	\$ 323,680	\$ 16,814	\$ 30,131	\$	\$ 370,625
F-59						

NOTE 4 LOANS AND ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES (continued)

Included in the risk category of loans by class of loans tables above are loans acquired in the merger with ABI. The following table presents the recorded investment of these loans by class of loans as of December 31, 2014 and 2013:

(Dollars in thousands)	2014	2013
Special mention	\$ 348	\$ 711
Substandard	7,167	9,170
Doubtful		
Total	\$ 7.515	\$ 9.881

Purchased loans

The Company has purchased loans for which there was, at acquisition, evidence of deterioration of credit quality since origination and it was probable, at acquisition, that all contractually required payments would not be collected. The following table presents the carrying amounts of these loans as of December 31, 2014 and 2013:

(Dollars in thousands)	2014	2013
Commercial loans	\$ 150	\$ 160
Real estate mortgage loans:		
Residential	3,625	5,137
Commercial	11,937	14,359
Construction and land	240	1,398
Consumer and other loans		2
Unpaid principal balance	\$ 15,952	\$ 21,056
Carrying amount	\$ 14,491	\$ 18,861

Accretable yield, or income expected to be collected, from these loans was as follows:

(Dollars	ın tı	nousa	inds)
----------	-------	-------	-------

Balance as of December 31, 2012	\$ 11,827	
New loans purchased, including loans classified as held-for-sale		
Accretion of income	(1,850)
Reduction for loans sold, paid off and other	110	
Loans charged off	(1,094)
Reclassifications from nonaccretable difference		
Disposals		
Balance as of December 31, 2013	\$ 8,993	
New loans purchased, including loans classified as held-for-sale		
Accretion of income	(1,004)
Reduction for loans sold, paid off and other	(1,632)

	Loans charged off	(28)
	Reclassifications from nonaccretable difference		
	Disposals		
	Balance as of December 31, 2014	\$ 6,329	
F-60			

Purchased loans 448

NOTE 4 LOANS AND ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES (continued)

For those purchased loans disclosed above, the Company carries an allowance for loan losses of \$0 and \$392 for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Additionally, no allowance for loan losses related to these loans was reversed during the aforementioned time periods.

Income is not recognized on purchased credit impaired loans if the Company cannot reasonably estimate cash flows expected to be collected. The carrying amount of such loans was \$885 and \$2,577 as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

NOTE 5 PREMISES AND EQUIPMENT

Premises and equipment as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 were as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	2014	2013
Land	\$ 1,839	\$ 2,439
Buildings	3,954	4,457
Furniture, fixtures and equipment	2,286	2,196
Leasehold improvements	2,985	2,985
Construction in progress		
Premises and equipment, gross	11,064	12,077
Less:		
Accumulated depreciation	(5,917)	(5,656)
Premises and equipment, net	\$ 5,147	\$ 6,421

Depreciation expense, including amortization of leasehold improvements, was \$649 and \$701 for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Operating Leases

The Company leases certain office facilities under operating leases that generally contain annual escalation clauses and renewal options. Rent expense was \$1,019 and \$978 for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. As of December 31, 2014, future minimum rent commitments under non-cancelable operating leases, before considering renewal options that generally are present, were as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	
2015	\$ 1,045
2016	580
2017	198
2018	202
2019	210

Thereafter 595
Total \$ 2,830

F-61

Operating Leases 450

NOTE 6 OTHER REAL ESTATE OWNED

The following table presents activity in OREO for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013:

(Dollars in thousands)	2014	2013
Beginning balance	\$ 3,078	\$ 6,971
Additions	3,027	4,282
Direct write-downs to income statement, net	(238)	(1,097)
Proceeds received on sales	(1,879)	(7,313)
Net gain (loss) on sales	73	235
Ending balance	\$ 4,061	\$ 3,078

Expenses related to OREO are included in *Noninterest Expense* on the Company s Consolidated Statements of Operations. The following table presents more detailed information related to OREO expenses incurred during the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013:

(Dollars in thousands)	2014	2013
Operating expenses, net	\$ 238	\$ 974
Write-downs, net	238	1,097
Net (gain) loss on sales	(73)	(235)
Other real estate owned expense	\$ 403	\$ 1,836

NOTE 7 OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Other intangible assets consist of a core deposit intangible asset arising from the acquisition of ABI. The following table summarizes the gross carrying amount and accumulated amortization of this intangible as of December 31, 2014 and 2013:

(Dollars in thousands)	2014	2013
Other intangible assets, gross	\$ 2,453	\$ 2,453
Less: Accumulated amortization	(1,883)	(1,604)
Other intangible assets, net	\$ 570	\$ 849

Aggregate amortization expense for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 was \$279 and \$411, respectively.

Estimated amortization expense for each of the next five years is as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	
2015	\$ 222
2016	165
2017	107
2018	76
2019	

Thereafter Total

F-62

\$ 570

NOTE 8 DEPOSITS

Time deposits of \$100 or more were \$99,088 and \$96,999 as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Time deposits of \$250 or more were \$9,430 and \$11,364 as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Scheduled maturities of time deposits for the next five years are as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	
2015	\$ 83,722
2016	37,356
2017	9,834
2018	1,257
2019	1,054
Thereafter	
Total	\$ 133,223

A fair value adjustment of \$763 was recorded as of the date of the ABI merger because the weighted average interest rate of ABI s time deposits exceeded the cost of similar wholesale funding at the time of the merger. This amount is being amortized to reduce interest expense on a declining basis over the average life of the time deposit portfolio. Amounts amortized to interest expense during the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 were \$24 and \$45, respectively.

NOTE 9 RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Loans to Related Parties

Included in *Loans, net* on the Consolidated Balance Sheets are loans to principal officers, directors and their affiliates. Activity related to these loans during the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 was as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)

Balance as of December 31, 2012	\$ 2,296
New loans and advances	444
Repayments, charge-offs, and transfers out of related party	(194)
Balance as of December 31, 2013	\$ 2,546
New loans and advances	321
Repayments, charge-offs, and transfers out of related party	(1,152)
Balance as of December 31, 2014	\$ 1,715

Deposits from executive officers, directors and their affiliates as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 were \$11,257 and \$13,011, respectively.

Loans from Related Parties

During the year ended December 31, 2011, Bancorp entered into revolving loan agreements (collectively, the Revolvers) with several of its directors and other related parties. The total borrowing capacity under the Revolvers was \$2,200 as of December 31, 2014 and 2013. Each Revolver pays an annual rate of interest equal to 8% on a quarterly basis of the Revolver amount outstanding. To the extent that any Revolver is not fully drawn, an unused revolver fee is calculated and paid quarterly at an annual rate of 2% on the revolving loan commitment less the daily average principal amount outstanding. The Revolvers mature on January 1, 2015. There were no outstanding borrowings under the Revolvers as of December 31, 2014 and 2013. Remaining funds available were \$2,200 as of the same dates.

In connection with the Private Placement, certain of the Company's directors, executive officers and other related parties (the Subscribers) purchased shares of Series A Preferred Stock through individual subscription agreements. Consideration for the shares of Series A Preferred Stock sold under the Subscription Agreements included \$1,800 in the cancellation of outstanding debt under the Company's Revolvers held by

NOTE 9 RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS (continued)

such Subscribers and/or their related interests. Funds remaining available under the Revolvers as of December 31, 2012 were a direct result of this transaction.

During the second quarter of 2013, participants in the Private Placement were granted the option to reduce their loan commitments under the Revolvers based on the amount previously utilized to purchase shares of Series A Preferred Stock. If elected by June 15, 2013, this option reduced the amount of the loan commitment, as applied to each lender, to zero as of July 1, 2013 and correspondingly reduced the calculation of the unused revolver fee in future periods. As of June 15, 2013, all such participants in the Private Placement elected to reduce the amount of their loan commitments under the Revolvers resulting in a reduction of the maximum borrowings available to the Company from \$4,000 as of December 31, 2012 to \$2,200 as of July 1, 2013. The reduction of loan commitments on these revolving loan agreements impacted only related parties that participated in the Private Placement and did not result in any modifications to the remaining loan agreements.

See Note 23 Subsequent Events for additional information regarding revolving loan agreements.

Transactions with Principal Owners

Transactions with principal owners include those with owners of record or known beneficial owners of more than 10% of the voting interests of the Company. The Company s largest shareholder, CapGen Capital Group IV LP (CapGen) and its affiliates, were the sole beneficial owner of more than 10% of the Company during the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013. Please refer to *Note 2 Capital Raise Transactions* for additional information related to transactions with CapGen during recent years.

NOTE 10 SHORT-TERM BORROWINGS AND FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK ADVANCES

As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, advances from the FHLB were as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	2014	2013
Overnight advances maturing daily at a daily variable interest rate of	\$	\$
0.36% on December 31, 2014	Φ	φ
Advances maturing July 15, 2014 at a fixed rate of 2.42%		2,500
Advance maturing January 9, 2015 at a fixed rate of 0.88%	4,000	4,000
Advances maturing March 2, 2015 at a fixed rate of 0.76%	2,000	2,000
Advances maturing July 15, 2016 at a fixed rate of 2.81%	2,500	2,500
Advances maturing January 9, 2017 at a fixed rate of 1.40%	4,000	4,000
Advances maturing May 30, 2017 at a fixed rate of 1.23%	5,000	5,000
Total advances from the FHLB	\$17,500	\$ 20,000

Each advance is payable at its maturity date, with a prepayment penalty for early termination. The advances were collateralized by a blanket lien arrangement on the Company s first mortgage loans, second mortgage loans and

commercial real estate loans. Based upon this collateral and the Company s holdings of FHLB stock, the Company is eligible to borrow up to a total of \$51,986 as of December 31, 2014 and had borrowed \$17,500, leaving \$34,486 available. As of December 31, 2013, the Company was eligible to borrow up to a total of \$26,716 and had borrowed \$20,000, leaving \$6,716 available as of the same date.

During the year ended December 31, 2008, the Company established a Borrower in Custody line of credit with the Federal Reserve Bank by pledging collateral. The amount of this line as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 was \$24,360 and \$24,875, respectively, all of which was available as of the respective dates.

In the second quarter of 2014, the Bank moved the majority of its correspondent bank activity to the Federal Reserve Bank. As of December 31, 2014, the Bank had unsecured federal funds purchased accommodations with its correspondent banks totaling \$19,500, all of which was available on that date. Availability of funds under the unsecured federal funds purchased accommodations are based on the Company s capital adequacy as of that date; therefore, total funds available under these accommodations could fluctuate period-over-period.

NOTE 10 SHORT-TERM BORROWINGS AND FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK ADVANCES (continued)

Also included in *FHLB advances and other borrowings* on the Company s Consolidated Balance Sheets were amounts related to certain loan participation agreements that were classified as secured borrowings as they did not qualify for sale accounting treatment. As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, these loan participation agreements were \$129 and \$153, respectively. A corresponding amount was recorded as an asset within *Loans* on the Company s Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Scheduled maturities of short-term borrowings and FHLB advances for the next five years are as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	
2015	\$ 6,000
2016	2,500
2017	9,000
2018	
2019	
Thereafter	
Total	\$ 17,500

NOTE 11 SUBORDINATED DEBENTURES

The Company and ABI have participated in four offerings related to debt securities and trust preferred securities from June 17, 2004 to June 20, 2008. For the purpose of issuing the trust preferred securities, Bancorp formed the following wholly owned statutory trust subsidiaries: Jacksonville Statutory Trust I (Trust I), Jacksonville Statutory Trust II (Trust II), and Jacksonville Bancorp, Inc. Statutory Trust III (Trust III). Upon the successful completion of the merger with ABI during the year ended December 31, 2010, Bancorp acquired the Atlantic BancGroup, Inc. Statutory Trust I (ABI Trust I), which was formed by ABI for the same purpose of issuing trust preferred securities.

On June 4, 2004, December 14, 2006 and June 20, 2008 respectively, Trust I, Trust II, and Trust III used the proceeds from the issuance of trust preferred securities to acquire junior subordinated debentures of Bancorp. The trust preferred securities essentially mirror the debt securities, carrying a cumulative preferred dividend at a variable rate equal to the interest rate on the debt securities. The following table presents information related to the issuance of trust preferred securities by Bancorp during the years ended December 31, 2004, 2006, and 2008, respectively:

		Proceeds		Initial	
Statutory Trust	Type of Offering	from	Interest Rate	Interest	t
		Issuance		Rate	
Trust I	pooled offering	\$ 4,000	three-month LIBOR plus 263 basis points	4.06	%
Trust II	pooled offering	3,000	three-month LIBOR plus 173 basis points	7.08	
Trust III	private offering	7,550	three-month LIBOR plus 375 basis points	6.55	

The initial interest rates at the time of issuance for Trust I, Trust II, and Trust III are subject to change on a quarterly basis. Rates in effect for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 were as follows:

Statutory Trust	2014	2013
Trust I	2.87 %	2.87 %
Trust II	1.97	1.97
Trust III	3.99	3.99

On September 15, 2005, ABI participated in a pooled offering of trust preferred securities and formed ABI Trust I. Upon the successful completion of the merger with ABI during the year ended December 31, 2010, Bancorp acquired ABI Trust I. ABI Trust I used the proceeds from the issuance of \$3,000 in trust preferred securities to acquire fixed/floating rate junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures of ABI. The trust preferred securities essentially mirror the debt securities, carrying a cumulative preferred dividend at a fixed rate of 5.89% equal to the interest rate on the debt securities, both payable quarterly for a period of

NOTE 11 SUBORDINATED DEBENTURES (continued)

five years. Beginning on September 15, 2010, the quarterly rates became varied based on the three-month LIBOR plus 150 basis points. The rates in effect as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 were 1.74%.

A fair value adjustment of \$1,596 was recorded as of the date of the ABI merger as the interest rates on the trust preferred securities were less than the cost of similar trust preferred securities at the time of the merger. This amount is being amortized to increase interest expense on a straight-line basis over the remaining life of ABI Trust I. During the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, amounts amortized to interest expense were \$64 and \$64, respectively.

On July 7, 2009, the Company entered into an interest rate swap transaction with SunTrust Bank to mitigate interest rate risk exposure on the trust preferred securities issued by Trust III. Under the terms of the agreement, the Company has agreed to pay a fixed rate of 7.53% on the notional amount of \$7,550 for a period of ten years in exchange for the original floating rate contract (three-month LIBOR plus 375 basis points). Please refer to *Note 12 Derivative Financial Instruments* for additional information related to the terms of this agreement.

The debt securities and the trust preferred securities under the four offerings each have 30-year lives. All securities issued are callable by Bancorp or the respective trust, at their respective option after five years, and at varying premiums and sooner upon the occurrence of specific events, subject to prior approval by the Federal Reserve Board, if then required. The Company is not the primary beneficiary of these trusts (variable interest entities); therefore, the trusts are not consolidated in the Company s financial statements, but rather the subordinated debentures are shown as a liability. There are no required principal payments on subordinated debentures over the next five years.

Interest on all subordinated debentures related to trust preferred securities is payable quarterly. Under these arrangements, the Company has the right to defer dividend payments to the trust preferred security holders for up to five years. During the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company exercised its contractual right to defer interest payments with respect to all of the outstanding trust preferred securities. Under the terms of the related indentures, the Company may defer interest payments for up to 20 consecutive quarters without default or penalty. Subsequent to their deferral, these payments were periodically evaluated and reinstated as of March 15, 2013. Previously deferred payments were paid in full as of the same date.

As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, the Company treated these trust preferred securities as Tier 1 capital up to the maximum amount allowed, and the remainder as Tier 2 capital for federal regulatory purposes. Please refer to *Note*16 Capital Adequacy for additional information related to the Company s treatment of the trust preferred securities in regards to its capital requirements.

NOTE 12 DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The Company utilizes interest rate swap agreements as part of its asset liability management strategy to help manage its interest rate risk position. The notional amount of the interest rate swaps do not represent amounts exchanged by the parties to the agreements. The amount exchanged is determined by reference to the notional amount and the other terms of the individual interest rate swap agreements.

On July 7, 2009, the Company entered into an interest rate swap transaction with SunTrust Bank to mitigate interest rate risk exposure. Under the terms of the agreement, which relates to the subordinated debt issued to Trust III in the amount of \$7,550, the Company agreed to pay a fixed rate of 7.53% for a period of ten years in exchange for the original floating-rate contract (three-month LIBOR plus 375 basis points). The fair value of this derivative instrument was \$725 and \$765 as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The fair value of the hedged item as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 was \$4,983 and \$4,636, respectively.

The interest rate swap was designated as a cash flow hedge and was determined to be fully effective during all periods presented. As such, no amount of ineffectiveness has been included in net income and the aggregate fair value of the swap is recorded in *Accrued Expenses and Other Liabilities* on the Consolidated Balance Sheets with changes in fair value recorded in other comprehensive income (OCI). The amount

NOTE 12 DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS (continued)

included in accumulated other comprehensive income would be reclassified to current earnings should the hedge no longer be considered effective. The Company expects the hedge to remain fully effective during the remaining terms of the swap.

Credit risk may result from the inability of the counterparties to meet the terms of their contracts. The Company s exposure is limited to the replacement value of the contracts rather than the notional amount.

Summary information related to the interest rate swap as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 was as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	2014	2013
Notional amount	\$ 7,550	\$ 7,550
Fair value	725	765
Cumulative unrealized losses, net of tax	(237)	(277)

The following table presents the net gains (losses) recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income and the consolidated statements of operations relating to the interest rate swap during the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013:

(Dollars in thousands)	2014	2013
Amount of gain (loss):		
Recognized in OCI (effective portion)	\$ 40	\$ 530

Reclassified from OCI to interest income

Recognized in other noninterest income (ineffective portion)

Interest expense recorded on this swap transaction is reported as a component of *Subordinated Debentures* on the Consolidated Statements of Operations and totaled \$271 and \$268 during the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

NOTE 13 LOAN COMMITMENTS AND OTHER CONTINGENT LIABILITIES

Some financial instruments, such as loan commitments, credit lines, letters of credit and overdraft protection, are issued to meet customer financing needs. These are agreements to provide credit or to support the credit of others, as long as conditions established in the contract are met, and usually have expiration dates. Commitments may expire without being used. Off-balance sheet risk to credit loss exists up to the face amount of these instruments, although material losses are not anticipated. The same credit policies are used to make such commitments as are used for loans, including obtaining collateral at exercise of the commitment.

The contractual amounts of variable and fixed rate financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 were as follows:

	2014		2013	
(Dollars in thousands)	Fixed	Variable	Fixed	Variable
	Rates	Rates	Rates	Rates
Unused lines of credit	\$ 17,751	\$ 35,009	\$ 6,126	\$ 27,408
Standby letters of credit		912		826

The fixed rate loan commitments as of December 31, 2014 have interest rates ranging from 2.0% to 16.5% and maturities ranging from three months to fourteen years.

NOTE 14 SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

Preferred Equity

As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, Bancorp was authorized to issue up to 10,000,000 shares of preferred stock, par value \$0.01 per share. During the year ended December 31, 2012, Bancorp designated and issued two series of preferred stock in connection with the Company s capital raise efforts. The voting and other powers, preferences and relative participating, optional or other rights, and the qualifications, limitations and restrictions of each series of Bancorp s preferred stock are set forth in the corresponding amendment to

NOTE 14 SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY (continued)

Bancorp s Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation designating such series of preferred stock. Material features of each series of preferred stock are discussed below.

If declared by the Board, dividends on any outstanding shares of Bancorp s preferred stock would reduce earnings available to common shareholders. In addition, both new series of preferred stock qualified as Tier 1 capital for regulatory purposes.

Series B Preferred Stock

The Series B Preferred Stock, designated as Noncumulative, Nonvoting, Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series B, was issued and sold by Bancorp on September 27, 2012 in connection with a bridge financing transaction. The Series B Preferred Stock has a liquidation preference of \$1,000 per share and ranks senior to Bancorp s common stock and equally with the Series A Preferred Stock (described below). Holders of the outstanding shares of Series B Preferred Stock (if any) are entitled to receive, when and if declared by the Board, dividends at a rate equal to 10% per share per annum of the Series B liquidation amount of \$1,000 (equivalent to \$100 per share per annum). Dividends are payable biannually on June 1st and December 1st, beginning June 1, 2013.

In connection with the Private Placement, all of the issued and outstanding shares of Series B Preferred Stock were exchanged, on a one-for-one basis, for shares of Series A Preferred Stock. As a result, no shares of Series B Preferred Stock were issued or outstanding as of December 31, 2014 and 2013.

Series A Preferred Stock

The Series A Preferred Stock, designated as Mandatorily Convertible, Noncumulative, Nonvoting, Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series A, was issued and sold by Bancorp on December 31, 2012 in the Private Placement. The Series A Preferred Stock has a liquidation preference of \$1,000 per share and ranks senior to Bancorp s common stock and equally with the Series B Preferred Stock. Holders of the outstanding shares of Series A Preferred Stock are entitled to receive, when and if declared by Bancorp s Board of Directors, dividends at a rate equal to 5% per share per annum of the liquidation amount of \$1,000 (equivalent to \$50 per share per annum). Dividends are payable biannually on June 15th and December 15th, beginning February 15, 2013.

The Series A Preferred Stock was mandatorily convertible into shares of common stock and/or a new class of nonvoting common stock upon receipt of requisite shareholder approvals, including (i) approval of an increase in authorized shares of common stock, (ii) authorization of the new class of nonvoting common stock, and (iii) approval of the issuance of shares of common stock and nonvoting common stock upon conversion of the Series A Preferred Stock. The initial conversion price was \$10.00 per share, with each share of Series A Preferred Stock expected to convert into an aggregate of approximately 100 shares of common stock and/or nonvoting common stock, subject to adjustment as provided in the designation for the Series A Preferred Stock. The conversion price of the Series A Preferred Stock was subject to certain adjustments, including (i) a 10% decrease if the requisite shareholder approvals were not received within 50 days following the Private Placement, or by February 19, 2013 and (ii) customary anti-dilution adjustments, including in connection with stock dividends or distributions in shares of the common stock or subdivisions, splits and combinations of the common stock.

As of the date of issuance of the Series A Preferred Stock, the effective conversion price of \$9.71 per share was less than the fair value of Bancorp s common stock of \$16.00 per share. In accordance with U.S. GAAP, the Series A Preferred Stock was deemed to include a beneficial conversion feature with an intrinsic value of \$6.29 per share for a total discount of \$31,464. This discount was recognized by allocating a portion of the proceeds from the Series A Preferred Stock to additional paid-in capital attributable to common stock on the Company s Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2012.

On February 18, 2013, the Company received shareholder approvals to amend its Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation to (i) increase the number of authorized shares of the Company s common stock to 20,000,000, (ii) authorize 5,000,000 shares of a new class of nonvoting common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, and (iii) authorize a reverse stock split of the Company s outstanding common stock and nonvoting

NOTE 14 SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY (continued)

common stock (if any) at a ratio of up to 1-for-20 to be implemented in the Board s discretion. On the same date, the Company also received shareholder approval to issue an aggregate of 5,000,000 shares of its common stock and nonvoting common stock in the conversion of the 50,000 outstanding shares of the Company s Series A Preferred Stock.

On February 19, 2013, the Company filed the Capital Amendment with the Florida Secretary of State, and on the same date, all of the outstanding shares of the Company s Series A Preferred Stock automatically converted into an aggregate of 2,382,000 shares of common stock and 2,618,000 shares of nonvoting common stock (the Conversion). The Conversion was based on a conversion price of \$10 per share and a conversion rate of 100 shares of common stock and/or nonvoting common stock for each share of Series A Preferred Stock outstanding. In addition, the full balance of the discount due to the beneficial conversion feature was transferred from common stock to preferred stock and recognized as an implied preferred stock dividend, which decreased retained earnings and net income available to common shareholders in the earnings per share calculation. As a result of the Conversion, no shares of the Series A Preferred Stock remained outstanding and an aggregate of 2,676,544 shares of common stock and 2,618,000 shares of nonvoting common stock were outstanding immediately following the Conversion.

Common Equity

As a result of the Capital Amendment (described above), the number of authorized shares of the Company s common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, increased from 2,000,000 as of December 31, 2012 to 20,000,000 as of December 31, 2013. In addition, a new class of nonvoting common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, was authorized in the amount of 5,000,000 shares. Other than voting rights, the common stock and nonvoting common stock have the same rights and privileges, share ratably in all assets of the Company upon its liquidation, dissolution or winding-up, will be entitled to receive dividends in the same amount per share and at the same time when, as and if declared by Bancorp s Board of Directors, and are identical in all other respects as to all other matters (other than voting). Holders of the nonvoting common stock are not entitled to vote except as required by the Florida Business Corporation Act. In addition, holders of the nonvoting common stock have no cumulative voting rights or preemptive rights (other than the limited contractual preemptive rights of certain shareholders) to purchase or subscribe for any additional shares of common stock or nonvoting common stock or other securities, and there are no redemption or sinking fund provisions with respect to the nonvoting common stock.

As provided in the Capital Amendment, each share of nonvoting common stock will automatically convert into one share of common stock in the event of a permitted transfer to a transferee. A permitted transfer is a transfer of nonvoting common stock (i) in a widespread public distribution, (ii) in which no transferee (or group of associated transferees) would receive 2% or more of any class of voting securities of the Company, or (iii) to a transferee that would control more than 50% of the voting securities of the Company without any transfer from such holder of nonvoting common stock.

As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, the carrying amount of common stock outstanding was \$32 and \$32, respectively. The carrying amount of nonvoting common stock outstanding was \$26 and \$26 as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Reverse Stock Split

On October 8, 2013, Bancorp s Board of Directors approved a one-for-twenty (1-for-20) reverse stock split of the Company s common stock and nonvoting common stock, effective at 12:01 a.m. on October 24, 2013. As a result of the reverse stock split, the stated capital attributable to common stock and nonvoting common stock was reduced by dividing the amount of the stated capital prior to the reverse stock split by 20 (including retrospective adjustment of prior periods) and an equivalent increase to additional paid-in capital. Additional adjustments were made to the aforementioned accounts as a result of rounding to avoid the existence of fractional shares. The reverse stock split reduced the number of authorized shares of common stock and nonvoting common stock; however, the par value per share of each class of common stock remained unchanged.

F-69

Reverse Stock Split 466

NOTE 14 SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY (continued)

The reverse stock split was implemented primarily to regain compliance with NASDAQ continued listing standards. The Company s common stock will continue to trade on a post-split basis on the NASDAQ Stock Market under the symbol JAXB. All share and per share amounts disclosed in the Consolidated Financial Statements and the accompanying notes have been retrospectively adjusted to reflect the common equity 1-for-20 reverse stock split, including common shares outstanding, earnings per share and share-based compensation. Please refer to *Note*18 Share-based Compensation and Note 20 Earnings Per Share for additional information on the related subject matters and the corresponding impact of the reverse stock split.

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income

The following table presents information related to changes in accumulated other comprehensive income by component as of December 31, 2014 and 2013:

		Change in			
	Change in		Unrealized	l	
	Unrealized		Derivative		
(Dollars in thousands)	Gains		Gains	Total	
	(Losses) on		(Losses)	Total	
	Available-for-SadeCash				
	Securities		Flow		
	Hedge				
Balance as of December 31, 2012	\$ 2,218		\$ (807)	\$1,411	
Other comprehensive (loss) income before reclassifications	(2,992)	530	(2,462)	
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income	(437)		(437)	
Other comprehensive (loss) income, net	(3,429)	530	(2,899)	
Balance as of December 31, 2013	\$ (1,211)	\$ (277)	\$(1,488)	
Other comprehensive (loss) income before reclassifications	1,165		40	1,205	
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income					
Other comprehensive (loss) income, net	1,165		40	1,205	
Balance as of December 31, 2014	\$ (46)	\$ (237)	\$(283)	

Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income during the year ended December 31, 2013 resulted from realized gains on the sale of available-for-sale securities presented in *Other Income* on the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

NOTE 15 FAIR VALUE

ASC 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures defines fair value as the exchange price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Assets and liabilities are measured using valuation techniques specific to the following three-tier hierarchy, which prioritizes the inputs used in measuring fair value.

Level I, II and III Valuation Techniques

Level Quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the reporting entity can access at the measurement date.

Level Inputs other than quoted prices included within Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either

II: directly or indirectly.

Level III: Unobservable inputs for the asset or liability.

NOTE 15 FAIR VALUE (continued)

The following table presents information about the Company s assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, by level within the hierarchy:

(Dollars in thousands) December 31, 2014	Total	Level I	Level II	Level III
Assets:				
Securities available-for-sale:				
U.S. government-sponsored entities and agencies	\$ 7,157	\$	\$ 7,157	\$
State and political subdivisions	7,060		7,060	
Mortgage-backed securities residential	31,360		31,360	
Collateralized mortgage obligations	28,962		28,962	
Corporate bonds	3,094		3,094	
Liabilities:				
Derivative liability	725		725	
December 31, 2013 Assets:	Total	Level I	Level II	Level III
Securities available-for-sale:	4.0.20 6	Φ.	4.0.20 6	A
U.S. government-sponsored entities and agencies	\$ 8,396	\$	\$ 8,396	\$
State and political subdivisions	8,037		8,037	
Mortgage-backed securities residential	33,225		33,225	
Collateralized mortgage obligations	31,978		31,978	
Corporate bonds	3,135		3,135	
Liabilities:				
Derivative liability	765		765	

There were no transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 during the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

The Company has elected the fair value option for assets and liabilities measured on a recurring basis and presented in the table above. The following methods and significant assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of each type of financial instrument as of the respective dates:

Securities Available-for-Sale

The fair values of securities available for sale are determined by obtaining quoted prices on nationally-recognized securities exchanges (Level I inputs) or matrix pricing, which is a mathematical technique widely used in the industry to value debt securities without relying exclusively on quoted prices for the specific securities, but rather by relying on the securities relationship to other benchmark quoted securities (Level II inputs).

Derivatives

The fair value of derivatives is based on valuation models using observable market data as of the measurement date resulting in a Level II classification.

The following table presents information about our assets measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, by level within the fair value hierarchy. The amounts in the table represent only assets for which the carrying amount has been adjusted for impairment during the period; therefore, these amounts will differ from the total amounts outstanding.

NOTE 15 FAIR VALUE (continued)

(Dollars in thousands) December 31, 2014 Impaired Loans (Collateral Dependent):	Total	Level I	Level II	Level III
Commercial	\$	\$	\$	\$
Real estate mortgage loans:	7	*	7	*
Residential	495			495
Commercial	275			275
Construction and land	252			252
Other real estate owned:				
Real estate mortgage loans:				
Residential				
Commercial				
Construction and land	3,773			3,773
Assets held for sale	786		786	
December 31, 2013	Total	Level I	Level II	Level III
Impaired Loans (Collateral Dependent):				
Commercial	\$	\$	\$	\$
Real estate mortgage loans:				
Residential	568			568
Commercial	2,981			2,981
Construction and land	262			262
Other real estate owned:				
Real estate mortgage loans:				
Residential	155			155
Commercial	169			169
Construction and land	2,754			2,754
he Company used the following methods and signified	nt occumntio	na to actimat	a tha fair wal	a of each tr

The Company used the following methods and significant assumptions to estimate the fair value of each type of non-recurring financial instrument:

Impaired Loans (Collateral Dependent)

Management determined fair value measurements on impaired loans primarily through evaluations of appraisals performed. The Company considered the appraisal as the starting point for determining fair value and then considered other factors and events in the environment that affected the fair value. Appraisals for impaired loans are obtained by the Chief Credit Officer and performed by certified general appraisers whose qualifications and licenses have been reviewed and verified by the Company. Once reviewed, a third-party specialist reviews the assumptions and approaches utilized in the appraisal as well as the overall resulting fair value in comparison to independent data sources such as recent market data or industry-wide statistics. On an annual basis, the Company compares the actual selling price of collateral that has been sold to the most recent appraised value to determine what additional

adjustments, if any, should be made to the appraised value to arrive at fair value. Adjustments may be made to reflect the age of the appraisal and the type of underlying property. Certain current appraised values were discounted to estimated fair value based on current market data such as recent sales of similar properties, discussions with potential buyers and negotiations with existing customers. The Company s overall strategy is to accelerate the disposition of substandard assets through such arrangements.

Other Real Estate Owned (OREO)

Assets acquired as a result of, or in lieu of, loan foreclosure are initially recorded at fair value (based on the lower of the current appraised value or listing price) at the date of foreclosure, establishing a new cost basis. Subsequent to foreclosure, valuations are periodically performed by management and the assets are

NOTE 15 FAIR VALUE (continued)

carried at the lower of carrying amount or fair value less cost to sell. Management has determined fair value measurements on OREO primarily through evaluations of appraisals performed and current and past offers for the OREO under evaluation. Appraisals of OREO are obtained subsequent to acquisition as deemed necessary by the Chief Credit Officer. Appraisals are reviewed for accuracy and consistency by a third-party specialist, supervised by the Chief Credit Officer, and are selected from the list of approved appraisers maintained by management. Certain current appraised values were discounted to estimated fair value based on factors such as sales prices for comparable properties in similar geographic areas and/or assessment through observation of such properties.

Assets Held-for-Sale

The Company reclassifies long-lived assets to assets held-for-sale when all criteria for such reclassification are met. The assets held-for-sale are recorded at the lower of carrying value or fair value less costs to sell. Management determined the fair value of the assets held-for-sale using an offer made to the Company for the property.

Transfers of assets and liabilities between levels within the fair value hierarchy are recognized when an event or change in circumstances occurs. There were no transfers between fair value levels for December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Quantitative Information about Level III Fair Value Measurements

The following table presents quantitative information about unobservable inputs for assets measured on a non-recurring basis using Level III measurements as of December 31, 2014 and 2013. This quantitative information is the same for each class of loans.

(Dollars in thousands) December 31, 2014 Impaired loans (collateral dependent) Other real estate owned	Fair Value \$1,022 3,773	Valuation Technique Market comparable properties Market comparable properties	Unobservable Inputs Marketability discount Comparability adjustments	Range Inputs 0% 0%	3	Weighted Average 6.2 % 2.8
December 31, 2013 Impaired loans (collateral dependent) Other real estate owned	Fair Value \$3,811 3,078	Valuation Technique Market comparable properties Market comparable properties	Unobservable Inputs Marketability discount Comparability adjustments	Range Inputs 0%	3	Weighted Average 1.6 %

The table below summarizes the outstanding balance, valuation allowance, net carrying amount and period expense related to Level III non-recurring instruments as of, and for the years ended, December 31, 2014 and 2013:

	(Dollars in thousands) December 31, 2014	Outstanding Balance	Valuation Allowance	Net Carrying Amount	Period Expense
	Impaired loans (collateral dependent)	\$ 1,577	\$ 555	\$ 1,022	\$ 449
	Other real estate owned	4,823	1,050	3,773	238
	Assets held-for-sale	940		786	154
	December 31, 2013	Outstanding Balance	Valuation Allowance	Net Carrying Amount	Period Expense
	Impaired loans (collateral dependent)	\$ 5,767	\$ 1,956	\$ 3,811	\$ 2,441
	Other real estate owned	4,036	958	3,078	1,097
F-73					

NOTE 15 FAIR VALUE (continued)

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The carrying amount and estimated fair values of financial instruments as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 were as follows:

	2014		2013	
(Dollars in thousands)	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Fair Value
Financial assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 24,372	\$ 24,372	\$ 40,325	\$ 40,325
Securities available-for-sale	77,633	77,633	84,771	84,771
Loans, net	360,279	366,686	354,592	361,874
Federal Home Loan Bank stock	1,243	N/A	1,580	N/A
Accrued interest receivable	1,464	1,464	1,723	1,723
Financial Liabilities:				
Deposits	\$ 415,756	\$ 416,432	\$ 434,966	\$ 422,430
Advances and other borrowings	17,629	17,743	20,153	20,351
Subordinated debentures	16,218	8,552	16,154	7,275
Accrued interest payable	130	130	167	167
Interest rate swap	725	725	765	765

The methods and assumptions, not previously presented, used to estimate fair value as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 were as follows:

Cash and cash equivalents

The carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents approximate the fair value and are classified as either Level I or Level II in the fair value hierarchy, with Level II comprised solely of national certificates of deposit held by the Bank. As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, the breakdown of cash and cash equivalents between Level I and Level II were as follows:

	2014		2013	
(Dollars in thousands)	Level I	Level II	Level I	Level II
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 20,186	\$ 4,186	\$ 34,139	\$ 6,186
	Loans, net			

The fair value of variable-rate loans that reprice frequently and with no significant change in credit risk is based on the carrying value and results in a classification of Level III within the fair value hierarchy. Fair value for other loans is estimated using discounted cash flow analysis using interest rates currently being offered for loans with similar terms to borrowers of similar credit quality resulting in a Level III classification in the fair value hierarchy. The methods used to estimate the fair value of loans do not necessarily represent an exit price.

Nonmarketable equity securities

Nonmarketable equity securities include FHLB stock and other nonmarketable equity securities. It is not practicable to determine the fair value of nonmarketable equity securities due to restrictions placed on their transferability.

Deposits

The fair value of demand deposits (*e.g.*, interest and noninterest-bearing, savings and certain types of money market accounts) is, by definition, equal to the amount payable in demand at the reporting date (*i.e.*, carrying value) resulting in a Level II classification in the fair value hierarchy. The carrying amounts of variable-rate, fixed-term money market accounts and certificates of deposit approximate their fair value at the reporting date resulting in a Level II classification in the fair value hierarchy. Fair values for fixed-rate

NOTE 15 FAIR VALUE (continued)

certificates of deposit are estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that applies interest rates currently being offered on certificates to a schedule of aggregated expected monthly maturities on time deposits resulting in a Level II classification.

Federal Home Loan advances

The fair value of FHLB advances is estimated using a discounted cash flow analysis based on the current borrowing rates for similar types of borrowings resulting in a Level II classification.

Accrued interest receivable/payable

The carrying amounts of accrued interest receivable approximate fair value resulting in a Level III classification. The carrying amounts of accrued interest payable approximate fair value resulting in a Level II classification.

Subordinated debt

The fair value of subordinated debt, where a market quote is not available, is based on discounted cash flows, using a rate appropriate to the instrument and the term of the issue resulting in a Level II classification.

Off-balance sheet instruments

The fair value of off-balance sheet instruments is based on the current fees that would be charged to enter into or terminate such arrangements, taking into account the remaining terms of the agreements and the counterparties credit standing. The majority of the Company s off-balance sheet instruments consist of non-fee producing commitments to extend credit in the form of unused lines of credit and standby letters of credit. These instruments do not represent a significant value until such commitments are funded or closed. The fair value of commitments outstanding was not significant as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively, as fees charged were immaterial. Please refer to *Note Loan Commitments and Other Contingent Liabilities* for additional information related to the contractual amounts of variable and fixed rate financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk as of the respective dates.

NOTE 16 CAPITAL ADEQUACY

Banks and bank holding companies are subject to regulatory capital requirements administered by federal banking agencies. Capital adequacy guidelines and, additionally for banks, prompt corrective action regulations involve quantitative measures of assets, liabilities and certain off-balance sheet items calculated under regulatory accounting practices. Capital amounts and classifications are also subject to qualitative judgments by regulators. Failure to meet capital requirements can initiate regulatory action.

Bank

The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991 (FDICIA), among other things, requires the federal banking agencies to take prompt corrective action regarding depository institutions that do not meet minimum capital requirements. FDICIA establishes five capital tiers: well capitalized, adequately capitalized, undercapitalized, significantly undercapitalized and critically undercapitalized. A depository institution s capital tier will depend upon how its capital levels compare to various relevant capital measures and certain other factors, as established by regulation.

The prompt corrective action rules provide that a bank will be: (i) well capitalized if it has a total risk-based capital ratio of 10% or greater, a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of 6% or greater, a leverage capital ratio of 5% or greater and is not subject to certain written agreements, orders, capital directives or prompt corrective action directives by a federal bank regulatory agency to maintain a specific capital level for any capital measure; (ii) adequately capitalized if it has a total risk-based capital ratio of 8% or greater, a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of 4% or greater, and generally has a leverage capital ratio of 4% or greater; (iii) undercapitalized if it has a total risk-based capital ratio of less than 8%, a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of less than 4% or generally has a leverage capital ratio of less than 4%; (iv) significantly undercapitalized if it has a total risk-based capital ratio of less than 6%, a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio

of

F-75

Bank 478

NOTE 16 CAPITAL ADEQUACY (continued)

less than 3% or a leverage capital ratio of less than 3%; or (v) critically undercapitalized if its tangible equity is equal to or less than 2% to total assets. The federal bank regulatory agencies have authority to require additional capital.

The Bank was well capitalized as of December 31, 2014 and 2013. Depository institutions that are no longer well capitalized for bank regulatory purposes must receive a waiver from the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) prior to accepting or renewing brokered deposits. FDICIA generally prohibits a depository institution from making any capital distribution (including paying dividends) or paying any management fee to its holding company, if the depository institution would thereafter be undercapitalized.

The Bank had a memorandum of understanding with the FDIC and the Florida Office of Financial Regulation (OFR) that was entered into in 2008 (the 2008 MoU), which required the Bank to have a total risk-based capital of at least 10% and a Tier 1 leverage capital ratio of at least 8%. On July 13, 2012, the 2008 MoU was replaced by a new memorandum of understanding (the 2012 MoU), which, among other things, requires the Bank to have a total risk-based capital of at least 12% and a Tier 1 leverage capital ratio of at least 8%. The Bank met the minimum capital requirements of the 2012 MoU as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, when the Bank had total risk-based capital of 14.74% and 14.11%, respectively, and Tier 1 leverage capital of 10.31% and 9.33% as of the same dates.

Bancorp

The Federal Reserve requires bank holding companies, including Bancorp, to act as a source of financial strength for their depository institution subsidiaries. The Federal Reserve has a minimum guideline for bank holding companies (on a consolidated basis) of Tier 1 capital to adjusted average quarterly assets (leverage ratio) equal to at least 4.00%, and total capital to risk-weighted assets of at least 8.00%, at least half of which must be Tier 1 capital. As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, Bancorp met these requirements.

NOTE 16 CAPITAL ADEQUACY (continued)

The following table presents the capital ratios and related information for Bancorp (on a consolidated basis) and the Bank as of December 31, 2014 and 2013:

(Dollars in thousands) December 31, 2014	Actual		For Capic Adequac Purposes	y	Minimum Well Capitaliz Prompt Correctiv Provision	ed Under
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
Total capital to risk-weighted assets: Consolidated Bank	\$57,949 56,400	15.13 % 14.74	\$30,643 30,619	8.00 <i>%</i> 8.00	N/A \$38,274	N/A 10.00%
Tier 1 (Core) capital to risk-weighted assets						
Consolidated	49,290	12.87	15,322	4.00	N/A	N/A
Bank	51,497	13.45	15,310	4.00	22,964	6.00
Tier 1 (Core) capital to average assets:						
Consolidated	49,290	9.85	20,014	4.00	N/A	N/A
Bank	51,497	10.31	19,980	4.00	24,975	5.00
December 31, 2013	Actual Amount	Ratio	For Capic Adequac Purposes	y	Minimum Well Capitaliz Prompt Correctiv Provision Amount	ed Under
December 31, 2013 Total capital to risk-weighted assets:		Ratio	Adequac Purposes	y	Well Capitaliz Prompt Correctiv Provision	ed Under
		Ratio 14.91%	Adequac Purposes Amount	y	Well Capitaliz Prompt Correctiv Provision	ed Under
Total capital to risk-weighted assets: Consolidated Bank	Amount \$55,515 52,488		Adequac Purposes Amount	y Ratio	Well Capitaliz Prompt Correctiv Provision Amount	ed Under ve Action ns Ratio
Total capital to risk-weighted assets: Consolidated	Amount \$55,515 52,488	14.91%	Adequac Purposes Amount \$29,779	Ratio 8.00%	Well Capitaliz Prompt Correctiv Provision Amount	ed Under ve Action ns Ratio N/A
Total capital to risk-weighted assets: Consolidated Bank Tier 1 (Core) capital to risk-weighted assets Consolidated Bank Tier 1 (Core) capital to average assets:	Amount \$55,515 52,488 : 46,378 47,702	14.91% 14.11 12.46 12.83	Adequac Purposes Amount \$29,779 29,754 14,889 14,887	Ratio 8.00% 8.00 4.00 4.00	Well Capitaliz Prompt Correctiv Provision Amount N/A \$37,192 N/A 22,315	ed Under ve Action ns Ratio N/A 10.00% N/A 6.00

Dividends and Distributions

Prior to October 2009, dividends received from the Bank were Bancorp s principal source of funds to pay its expenses and interest on and principal of Bancorp s debt. Banking regulations and enforcement actions require the maintenance of certain capital levels and restrict the payment of dividends by the Bank to Bancorp or by Bancorp to its shareholders. Commercial banks generally may only pay dividends without prior regulatory approval out of the total of current net profits plus retained net profits of the preceding two years, and banks and bank holding companies are generally expected to pay dividends from current earnings. Banks may not pay a dividend if the dividend would result in the bank being undercapitalized for prompt corrective action purposes, or would violate any minimum capital requirement specified by law or the Bank s regulators. The Bank has not paid dividends since October 2009 and cannot currently pay dividends. Bancorp cannot currently pay dividends on its capital stock under applicable Federal Reserve policies and enforcement actions. Bancorp has relied upon proceeds from the recent capital raise transactions as well as the revolving loan agreements with certain of its directors and other related parties to pay its expenses during such time. As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, remaining funds available under the Revolvers were \$2,200 and \$2,200, respectively. Please refer to *Note 9 Related Party Transactions* for additional information related to loans from related parties.

NOTE 17 BENEFIT PLANS

Defined Contribution Plan

The Company sponsors a 401(k) profit sharing plan which is available to all employees electing to participate after meeting certain minimum eligibility requirements. The plan allows employee contributions up to the maximum voluntary salary deferral limitations established by the Internal Revenue Service (IRS). Eligible employee contributions were matched by the Company equal to 100% of the first 2.5% and 2.5% of the compensation contributed for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Employee contributions are always vested at 100%, whereas profit sharing and matching contributions are subject to certain vesting schedules based on years of service. Plan-related expenses for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 were \$97 and \$113, respectively.

NOTE 18 SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION

On April 25, 2006, Bancorp s shareholders approved the Jacksonville Bancorp, Inc. 2006 Stock Incentive Plan (the 2006 Plan). Under the 2006 Plan, up to 1,000 shares of Bancorp s common stock were made available for issuance for awards in the form of incentive stock options, restricted stock, restricted stock units, performance grants or stock appreciation rights.

On April 29, 2008, the shareholders approved an amendment and restatement of the 2006 Plan (as amended the Restated 2006 Plan). Under the Restated 2006 Plan, an aggregate of 3,500 shares of Bancorp common stock were reserved for issuance. In addition, no more than 750 shares may be allocated to incentive awards, including the maximum shares payable under a performance grant, that are granted during any single taxable year to any individual participant who is an employee of Bancorp or any subsidiary thereof.

On April 27, 2010, the shareholders approved the first amendment to the Restated 2006 Plan. Under this amendment, the aggregate number of shares of Bancorp common stock reserved for issuance was increased to 9,000 shares.

Additionally, the 750 share limitation discussed above was eliminated.

On February 18, 2013, shareholders approved the amendment to the Restated 2006 Plan to increase the number of shares of common stock available for issuance from 9,000 to 350,000, and to eliminate certain minimum vesting conditions for awards of restricted stock and restricted stock units. This amendment was approved in conjunction with the contemplated equity awards agreed upon in executive employment agreements for two of its then-current executive officers.

Historically, the Company has granted stock options and restricted stock units under the Restated 2006 Plan. Shares available for issuance pursuant to future awards under the Restated 2006 Plan were 215,804 and 37,738 as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Stock options are granted under the Restated 2006 Plan, as amended, with an exercise price equal to or greater than the stock fair market value at the date of grant. Stock options granted prior to 2009 had ten-year lives, while those granted during the year ended December 31, 2009 and thereafter had five-year lives. All stock options granted have generally contained vesting terms of three to five years. Historically, certain grants have been made that vest

immediately. Common stock issued upon exercise of stock options are treated as newly-issued shares.

The fair value of each option award is estimated on the date of grant using a closed form option valuation (Black-Scholes option-pricing model) that uses the assumptions noted in the table below. Expected volatilities are based on historical volatilities of the Company s common stock. The Company uses historical data to estimate option exercise and post-vesting termination behavior. The expected term of options granted is based on historical data and represents the period of time that options granted are expected to be outstanding, which takes into account that the options are not transferable. The risk-free interest rate for the expected term of the option is based on the U.S.

Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of the grant.

NOTE 18 SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION (continued)

The fair value of options granted during the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively, was determined using the following weighted-average assumptions as of the grant date:

	2014		2013	
Risk-free interest rate	0.99	%	0.40	%
Expected term	2.7 years	S	2.6 yea	ars
Expected stock price volatility	3.27	%	3.32	%
Dividend yield	0.00	%	0.00	%

The following table presents the activity in the stock option plans for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013:

	Number of Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Outstanding as of December 31, 2012	8,613	\$ 253.80	2.45	\$
Granted	404,999	10.96		
Exercised				
Forfeited/Expired	(101,211)	13.07		
Outstanding as of December 31, 2013	312,401	\$ 17.08	4.78	\$
Granted	15,000	10.35	2.70	
Exercised				
Forfeited/Expired	(195,277)	17.80		
Outstanding as of December 31, 2014	132,124	\$ 15.21	3.81	\$201,313
Vested or expected to vest	114,612	\$ 15.77	3.50	\$172,296
Exercisable	34,553	\$ 22.08	3.50	\$45,997

Additional information related to the stock option plans during each of the past two years was as follows:

	2014	2013
Intrinsic value of options exercised	N/A	N/A
Cash received from option exercises	N/A	N/A
Tax benefit realized from option exercises	N/A	N/A
Weighted average fair value of options granted	\$ 0.38	\$ 0.30

Total share-based compensation costs that have been charged against income for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 were \$46 and \$58, respectively. As of December 31, 2014, there was \$44 of total unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested stock options granted. The cost is expected to be recognized over a remaining weighted average period of 2.22 years.

NOTE 18 SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION (continued)

The following table presents restricted stock activity during years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013:

	Number of Restricted Stock Units	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
Balance as of December 31, 2012	221	\$ 101.24
Granted	1,202	10.00
Vested and distributed	(34)	200.00
Forfeited	(121)	76.95
Balance as of December 31, 2013	1,268	\$ 14.34
Granted	1,227	10.38
Vested and distributed	(26)	127.00
Forfeited	(640)	11.30
Balance as of December 31, 2014	1,829	\$ 10.98

The fair value of the shares vested was \$10.49 and \$27.00 per share as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. As of December 31, 2014, there was \$15 of total unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested restricted stock units granted. This unrecognized cost is expected to be recognized over a remaining weighted average period of 2.26 years.

All share and per share amounts have been retrospectively adjusted to reflect the common equity 1-for-20 reverse stock split completed in October 2013. Additional adjustments were made to stock options outstanding as of the effective date to avoid the existence of fractional options. Holders of the Company s outstanding restricted stock units received cash in lieu of fractional shares which is reflected in the *Adjustments for 1-for-20 Reverse Stock Split* on the Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders Equity as a reduction of additional paid-in capital. Please refer to *Note 14 Shareholders Equity* for additional information related to the reverse stock split.

NOTE 19 INCOME TAXES

Income tax expense (benefit) for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 was as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	2014	2013
Current federal	\$	\$
Current state		
Valuation allowance federal	(448)	408
Valuation allowance state	(57)	18
Deferred federal	448	(408)
Deferred state	57	(18)
Total income tax expense (benefit)	\$	\$

Effective tax rates for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 differ from the federal statutory rate of 34% applied to income before income taxes due to the following:

(Dollars in thousands)	2014		2013	
Federal statutory rate times financial statement income	\$ 656		\$ (326)
Effect of:				
Tax-exempt income	(339)	(225)
Reorganization costs			2	
Valuation allowance	(448)	408	
Expiration of tax attribute carryovers	98			
Section 382 limitation			108	
Other, net	33		33	
Income tax (benefit) expense	\$		\$	

NOTE 19 INCOME TAXES (continued)

The tax effects of temporary differences that give rise to significant portions of the deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities were as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	2014	2013
Deferred tax assets:		
Allowance for loan losses	\$ 5,410	\$ 5,435
Write-downs on other real estate owned	248	361
Fair value adjustments related to business combination	1,325	1,892
Losses limited under Section 382	4,034	4,318
State and federal net operating loss carryforwards	19,231	19,010
AMT Credit	804	804
Unrealized loss on derivative	273	288
Other	490	482
Total	\$ 31,815	\$ 32,590
Deferred tax liabilities		
Depreciation	\$ 74	\$ 233
Unrealized gain on securities available-for-sale	487	48
Core deposit intangible	214	319
Fair value adjustments related to business combination	556	580
Other	82	49
Total	\$ 1,413	\$ 1,229
Valuation allowance	(30,402)	(31,361)
Deferred tax asset, net	\$	\$

The Company recorded a full valuation allowance against its deferred tax asset for assets that more-likely-than-not will not be realized as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. This decision was primarily based on an evaluation of available positive and negative evidence. When determining the amount of deferred tax assets that are more-likely-than-not to be realized, and, therefore, recorded as a benefit, the Company conducts a quarterly assessment of all available information. This information includes, but is not limited to, taxable income in prior periods and projected future income.

The deferred tax asset associated with net operating loss carryforwards will begin to expire in 2029. Our ability to benefit from the losses incurred is limited under Section 382 as ownership of the Company changed by more than 50% in 2010.

As of December 31, 2014, the Company had a net operating loss carryforward of approximately \$54,999 for U.S. federal income tax purposes that will begin to expire in 2032 and a net operating loss carry-forward of approximately \$58,973 for the State of Florida income tax purposes that will begin to expire in 2031. The Company has written off the benefit that will not be realized related to these net operating losses. The remaining utilizable amounts as of year-end were federal net operating losses of \$51,200 and Florida net operating losses of \$50,211.

As of December 31, 2014, the Company has no unrecognized tax benefits. The Company does not expect the total amount of unrecognized tax benefits to significantly increase or decrease in the next twelve months. There were no interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits recorded in the Consolidated Statements of Operations or accrued for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013.

The Company s income tax returns are subject to examination by taxing authorities for all years after 2010. The Internal Revenue Service (IRS) commenced an examination of the Company s U.S. income tax returns for 2011 and 2012 in the fourth quarter of 2013. Resolution of this examination did not result in a material impact on the financial position or results of operations of the Company.

NOTE 20 EARNINGS PER SHARE

The following table sets forth factors used in the computation of earnings per share for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013:

(Dollars in thousands, except share and per share amounts)	2014	2013	
Net income (loss)	\$1,929	\$ (960)
Noncash, implied preferred stock dividend		(31,464)
Net loss available to common shareholders	\$1,929	\$ (32,424)
Weighted average common shares outstanding:			
Basic shares	5,795,108	4,749,340	
Assumed exercise of stock options ⁽¹⁾	5,725		
Diluted shares	5,800,833	4,749,340	
Income (loss) per common share:			
Basic	\$0.33	\$ (6.83)
Diluted	\$0.33	\$ (6.83)

(1) Anti-dilutive options outstanding were 306,205 as of December 31, 2013.

Due to the fact that the Company had a year-to-date net loss available to common shareholders for the year ended December 31, 2013, all shares issuable upon exercise of outstanding options (and upon vesting of the outstanding restricted stock units) were excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share as they would have had an anti-dilutive effect for the period presented.

In accordance with U.S. GAAP, the Series A Preferred Stock was deemed to include a beneficial conversion feature with an intrinsic value of \$6.29 per share for a total discount of \$31,464. On the date of conversion, the discount due to the beneficial conversion feature was recognized as an implied preferred stock dividend. This noncash, implied dividend decreased retained earnings and net income available to common shareholders in the earnings per share calculation for the year ended December 31, 2013, presented in the table above.

All share and per share amounts have been retrospectively adjusted to reflect the common equity 1-for-20 reverse stock split. Please refer to *Note 14* Shareholders Equity for additional information related to the reverse stock split.

NOTE 21 PARENT COMPANY ONLY CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION