MFA MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS Form DEF 14A April 09, 2007 UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549 **SCHEDULE 14A** INFORMATION REQUIRED IN PROXY STATEMENT **SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION** Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.) Filed by the Registrant [X] Filed by a Party other than the Registrant [ ] Check the appropriate box: Preliminary Proxy Statement [ ] Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2)) [ ] **Definitive Proxy Statement** [X] **Definitive Additional Materials** [ ] Soliciting Material Pursuant to Rule 14a-12 MFA Mortgage Investments, Inc. (Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter) (Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant) Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box): [X] No fee required. [ ] Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11. Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies: 2. Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies: 3. Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined): 4. Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction: 5. Total fee paid:

[ ]	Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.							
[ ]	Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.							
							1.	Amount Previously Paid:
	2.	Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:						
	3.	Filing Party:						
	4.	Date Filed:						

## NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

### TO BE HELD ON MAY 22, 2007

To the Stockholders of MFA Mortgage Investments, Inc.:

The 2007 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the Annual Meeting ) of MFA Mortgage Investments, Inc. (the Company ) will be held at The New York Palace Hotel, 455 Madison Avenue, New York, New York, on Tuesday, May 22, 2007, at 10:00 a.m., New York City time, for the following purposes:

- (1) To elect three directors to serve on the Company s Board of Directors (the Board ) until the Company s 2010 Annual Meeting of Stockholders;
- (2) To ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as the Company s independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2007; and
- (3) To transact such other business as may properly come before the Annual Meeting or any postponements or adjournments thereof.

The close of business on March 30, 2007 has been fixed by the Board as the record date for the determination of the stockholders entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the Annual Meeting or any postponements or adjournments thereof.

We hope that all stockholders who can do so will attend the Annual Meeting in person. Whether or not you plan to attend, in order to assure proper representation of your shares at the Annual Meeting, we urge you to submit your proxy voting instructions to the Company by using our dedicated internet voting website or toll-free telephone number described on the enclosed proxy card or, if you prefer, by completing, signing and dating the enclosed proxy card and returning it promptly in the postage-prepaid envelope provided. By submitting your proxy voting instructions promptly, either by internet, telephone or mail, you can help the Company avoid the expense of follow-up mailings to ensure the presence of a quorum at the Annual Meeting. If you attend the Annual Meeting, you may, if so desired, revoke your prior proxy voting instructions and vote your shares in person.

Please follow the instructions on the enclosed proxy card for voting by internet or telephone whether or not you plan to attend the Annual Meeting in person or, if you prefer, kindly complete, sign and date the enclosed proxy card and return it promptly in the enclosed postage-paid envelope.

The enclosed proxy is being solicited by the Board. The Board recommends that you vote in favor of the proposed items.

By Order of the Board

Timothy W. Korth

General Counsel, Senior Vice President Business Development and Secretary

New York, New York April 9, 2007

### PROXY STATEMENT

#### FOR ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

### TO BE HELD ON MAY 22, 2007

This Proxy Statement is being furnished to stockholders in connection with the solicitation of proxies by, and on behalf of, the Board of Directors (the Board ) of MFA Mortgage Investments, Inc., a Maryland corporation (the Company, we, our or us ), for use at the Company s Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the Annual Meeting ) to be held at The New York Palace Hotel, 455 Madison Avenue, New York, New York, on May 22, 2007, at 10:00 a.m., New York City time, or at any postponements or adjournments thereof.

In order to submit proxy voting instructions prior to the Annual Meeting, stockholders have the option to vote by internet, telephone or mail. Stockholders are requested to vote their shares of our common stock, par value \$0.01 per share (the Common Stock), by proxy at the Annual Meeting by using the dedicated internet voting website or toll-free telephone number provided for this purpose. Alternatively, stockholders may vote by completing, signing and dating the enclosed proxy card and returning it in the postage-prepaid envelope provided. Specific voting instructions regarding the internet and telephone voting options are described on the enclosed proxy card. Stockholders who vote by using the internet or telephone voting options do not need to also return a proxy card.

Shares of Common Stock represented by properly submitted proxies received by us prior to the Annual Meeting will be voted according to the instructions specified on such proxies. Any stockholder submitting a proxy retains the power to revoke such proxy at any time prior to its exercise at the Annual Meeting by (i) delivering prior to the Annual Meeting a written notice of revocation to Timothy W. Korth, our General Counsel, Senior Vice President Business Development and Secretary, at MFA Mortgage Investments, Inc., 350 Park Avenue, 21 Floor, New York, New York 10022, (ii) submitting a later dated proxy or (iii) voting in person at the Annual Meeting. Attending the Annual Meeting will not automatically revoke a stockholder s previously submitted proxy unless such stockholder votes in person at the Annual Meeting. If a proxy is properly completed, submitted without specifying any instructions thereon and not revoked prior to the Annual Meeting, the shares of Common Stock represented by such proxy will be voted FOR the election of the directors to serve on the Board until our 2010 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and FOR the ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for 2007. As to any other business which may properly come before the Annual Meeting, the persons named as proxy holders on the enclosed proxy card will vote the shares of Common Stock represented by properly voted proxies in their discretion.

This Proxy Statement, the Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders and the related proxy card are first being sent to stockholders on or about April 9, 2007.

### ANNUAL REPORT

This Proxy Statement is accompanied by our Annual Report to Stockholders for the year ended December 31, 2006, including financial statements audited by Ernst & Young LLP, our independent registered public accounting firm, and their report thereon, dated February 14, 2007.

### VOTING SECURITIES AND RECORD DATE

Stockholders will be entitled to one vote for each share of Common Stock held of record at the close of business on March 30, 2007 (the Record Date ) with respect to (i) the election of the three directors to serve on the Board until our 2010 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, (ii) the ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP

as our independent registered public accounting firm for 2007 and (iii) any other proposal for stockholder action that may properly come before the Annual Meeting or any postponements or adjournments thereof. Abstentions and broker non-votes are each included in the determination of

the number of shares present and voting at the Annual Meeting for the purpose of determining whether a quorum is present and each is tabulated separately. A broker non-vote occurs when a nominee holding shares for a beneficial owner (i.e., a broker) does not vote on a particular proposal because such nominee does not have discretionary voting power for that particular matter and has not received instructions from the beneficial owner. A broker non-vote does not count as a vote in favor of or against a particular proposal for which the broker does not have discretionary voting authority. The election of directors and the ratification of the appointment of our independent registered public accounting firm are proposals for which brokers do have discretionary voting authority. In addition, the shares of Common Stock represented by valid proxies that abstain with respect to a particular matter will not be counted as an affirmative vote in determining whether the requisite vote of the shares was cast in favor of that matter.

The disposition of business scheduled to come before the Annual Meeting, assuming a quorum is present, will require the following affirmative votes: (i) for the election of directors, a plurality of the votes cast at the Annual Meeting and (ii) for the ratification of the appointment of our independent registered public accounting firm, a majority of the shares of Common Stock, represented in person or by proxy at the Annual Meeting and entitled to vote therein.

As of the Record Date, we had issued and outstanding 80,750,993 shares of Common Stock.

### 1. ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

### **Board of Directors**

In accordance with our Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation (the Charter ) and Bylaws, the Board is currently comprised of seven directors, Stewart Zimmerman, Stephen R. Blank, James A. Brodsky, Edison C. Buchanan, Michael L. Dahir, Alan L. Gosule and George H. Krauss, and is divided into three classes, with Messrs. Blank and Buchanan constituting the Class I directors, Messrs. Dahir and Krauss constituting the Class II directors and Messrs. Zimmerman, Brodsky and Gosule constituting the Class III directors. One class of directors is elected at each annual meeting of our stockholders for a term of three years. Each director holds office until his successor has been duly elected and qualified or the director s earlier resignation, death or removal. The term of the Board s Class III directors expires at the Annual Meeting. The terms of the other two classes of directors expire at the Company s 2008 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (Class I directors) and the Company s 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (Class II directors).

Upon the recommendation of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee of the Board, Messrs. Zimmerman, Brodsky and Gosule have been nominated by the Board to stand for re-election as Class III directors by the stockholders at the Annual Meeting to serve until our 2010 Annual Meeting of Stockholders or until their respective successors are duly elected and qualified. It is intended that the shares of Common Stock represented by properly submitted proxies will be voted by the persons named therein as proxy holders **FOR** the election of Messrs. Zimmerman, Brodsky and Gosule as Class III directors, unless otherwise instructed. If the candidacy of Messrs. Zimmerman, Brodsky and Gosule should, for any reason, be withdrawn prior to the Annual Meeting, the proxies will be voted by the proxy holders in favor of such substituted candidates (if any) as shall be nominated by the Board. The Board has no reason to believe that, if elected, Messrs. Zimmerman, Brodsky and Gosule will be unable or unwilling to serve as Class III directors.

### Nominees for Election as Class III Directors

The following information is furnished regarding the nominees for election as Class III directors by the holders of Common Stock.

**Stewart Zimmerman**, 62, has served as our Chief Executive Officer, President and as a director since 1997 and was appointed Chairman of the Board in March 2003. From 1989 through 1997, he initially served as a consultant to The America First Companies and became Executive Vice President of America First Companies, L.L.C. (America First). During this time, he held the following positions: President and Chief Operating Officer of America First REIT, Inc. and President of several America First mortgage funds, including America First

2

Participating/Preferred Equity Mortgage Fund, America First PREP Fund 2, America First PREP Fund II Pension Series Limited Partnership, Capital Source L.P., Capital Source II L.P., America First Tax Exempt Mortgage Fund Limited Partnership and America First Tax Exempt Fund 2 Limited Partnership. Prior to 1989, Mr. Zimmerman held various positions with other financial-related companies, including Security Pacific Merchant Bank, EF Hutton & Company, Inc., First Pennco Securities, Cralin & Company, Lehman Brothers, Bankers Trust Company and Zenith Mortgage Company.

James A. Brodsky, 61, has served as a director of the Company since 2004. Mr. Brodsky is a partner in, and a founding member of, the law firm of Weiner Brodsky Sidman Kider PC in Washington, D.C. and has practiced law with that firm and its predecessor since 1977. Mr. Brodsky provides legal advice and business counsel to publicly traded and privately held national and regional single-family residential mortgage lenders on secondary mortgage market transactions (including those involving Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, Ginnie Mae and investment bankers), mergers

and acquisitions, asset purchases and sales, mortgage compliance issues, and strategic business initiatives. Prior to 1977, Mr. Brodsky was a Deputy Assistant Secretary with the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. He also has served as the Chairman of the board of directors of the Montgomery Housing Partnership (a private not-for-profit developer, owner and manager of affordable housing communities in Montgomery County, Maryland) and is Co-Founder and Co-Chairman of the Washington Area Housing Trust Fund (a revolving fund resource for the preservation and re-development of affordable housing in the Washington, D.C. area).

Alan L. Gosule, 66, has served as a director of the Company since 2001. Mr. Gosule is a partner in the law firm of Clifford Chance US LLP (Clifford Chance) in New York, New York and has practiced law with such firm and its predecessor since 1991. From 2002 to August 2005, he served as the Regional Head of Clifford Chance s Real Estate Department for the Americas and, prior to 2002, was the Regional Head of such firm s Tax, Pension and Employment Department for the Americas. Prior to 1991, Mr. Gosule practiced law with the firm of Gaston & Snow, where he was a member of such firm s Management Committee and the Chairman of the Tax Department. Mr. Gosule also serves as a member of the board of directors of Home Properties, Inc., as well as a voting trustee of F.L. Putnam Investment Management Company.

The Board recommends a vote FOR the election of Messrs. Zimmerman, Brodsky and Gosule as Class III directors of the Company. Proxies solicited by the Board will be voted FOR Messrs. Zimmerman, Brodsky and Gosule, unless otherwise instructed.

### **Continuing Class I Directors**

The following information is furnished regarding our Class I directors (who will continue to serve on the Board until our 2008 Annual Meeting of Stockholders or until their respective successors are duly elected and qualified).

Stephen R. Blank, 61, has served as a director of the Company since 2002. Since 1998, Mr. Blank has been Senior Resident Fellow, Finance, at the Urban Land Institute ( ULI ), a non-profit education and research institute which studies land use and real estate developmental policy. Prior to joining ULI, Mr. Blank served from 1993 to 1998 as Managing Director Real Estate Investment Banking of CIBC Oppenheimer Corp. From 1989 to 1993, Mr. Blank was Managing Director of the Real Estate Corporate Finance Department of Cushman & Wakefield, Inc. From 1979 to 1989, Mr. Blank served as Managing Director Real Estate Investment Banking of Kidder, Peabody & Co. From 1973 to 1979, Mr. Blank was employed by Bache & Co., Incorporated as Vice President, Direct Investment Group. Mr. Blank also serves as a member of the board of trustees of Ramco-Gershenson Properties Trust.

Edison C. Buchanan, 52, has served as a director of the Company since 2004. Since 2001, Mr. Buchanan has been Corporate Advisor at The Trust for Public Land, a non-profit land conservation organization. In 2000, Mr. Buchanan served as Managing Director and Head of the Domestic Real Estate Investment Banking Group of Credit Suisse First Boston. From 1997 to 2000, he was a Managing Director in the Real Estate Investment Banking Group at Morgan Stanley Dean Witter & Co. From 1981 to 1997, Mr. Buchanan was a Managing Director of various groups in the Investment Banking Division at Dean Witter Reynolds, Inc. Mr. Buchanan also serves as a member of the board of directors of Pioneer Natural Resources Company and Rio Grande School and as Chairman of the board of directors of The Commonweal Conservancy.

3

### **Continuing Class II Directors**

The following information is furnished regarding our Class II directors (who will continue to serve on the Board until our 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders or until their respective successors are duly elected and qualified).

Michael L. Dahir, 58, has served as a director of the Company since 1998. Since 1988, Mr. Dahir has been the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Omaha State Bank in Omaha, Nebraska. From 1988 to 2004, he was also the President of Omaha State Bank. From 1974 to 1988, Mr. Dahir held various positions with Omaha National Bank, including Vice President, Investment Department Head, Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of FirsTier Holding Company, which owned Omaha National Bank. Mr. Dahir is a non-practicing certified public accountant. Mr. Dahir is Chairman of the Jesuit Partnership Council of Omaha, serves on the board and executive committee of Catholic Charities and is a member of the board of directors of Legatus International.

George H. Krauss, 65, has served as a director of the Company since 1997. Mr. Krauss has been a consultant to The Burlington Capital Group, LLC (formerly known as America First Companies, L.L.C.) (Burlington) since 1997. From 1972 to 1997, Mr. Krauss practiced law with Kutak Rock LLP, serving as such firm s managing partner from 1983 to 1993, and continues to be Of Counsel to such firm. Mr. Krauss has extensive experience in corporate, mergers and acquisitions and regulatory matters. In addition to his legal education, Mr. Krauss has a Masters of Business Administration and is a registered Professional Engineer. Mr. Krauss currently serves as a member of the boards of directors of Gateway, Inc. and America First Apartment Investors, Inc. (AFAI) and as a member of the board of managers of Burlington.

In accordance with our Bylaws, vacancies occurring on the Board as a result of (i) the removal from office, resignation, retirement, death or disqualification of a director may be filled by either our stockholders or a majority of the remaining directors and (ii) an increase in the number

of directors serving on the Board may be filled by either our stockholders or a majority of the entire Board.

There is no familial relationship among any of our members of the Board or executive officers, except that Ronald A. Freydberg, our Executive Vice President and Chief Portfolio Officer, and William S. Gorin, our Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, are brothers-in-law.

### 2. RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT

### REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Audit Committee of the Board has appointed Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2007. Ernst & Young LLP has audited our financial statements since the 2003 fiscal year. The Board is requesting that our stockholders ratify this appointment of Ernst & Young LLP.

Neither our Bylaws nor other governing documents or law require stockholder ratification of the Audit Committee s appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm. However, the Board is submitting the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP to the stockholders for ratification as a matter of good corporate practice. In the event that ratification of this appointment of independent registered public accounting firm is not approved at the Annual Meeting, the Audit Committee will review its future selection of independent registered public accounting firm. Even if the selection is ratified, the Audit Committee in its discretion may direct the appointment of a different independent registered public accounting firm at any time during the year if they determine that such a change would be in the best interests of us and our stockholders.

Representatives of Ernst & Young LLP are expected to be present at the Annual Meeting and will be provided with an opportunity to make a statement if so desired and to respond to appropriate inquiries from stockholders.

4

### **Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm Fees**

The following table summarizes the aggregate fees (including related expenses) billed to us for professional services provided by Ernst & Young LLP for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005.

	Fiscal Year Ended December 31,	
	2006	2005
Audit Fees <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 653,477	\$ 577,444
Audit-Related Fees <sup>(2)</sup> Tax Fees <sup>(3)</sup>	30,800	31.284
All Other Fees <sup>(4)</sup>	20,000	21,20
Total	\$ 684,277	\$ 608,728

- Both 2006 and 2005 Audit Fees include: (i) the audit of our consolidated financial statements included in its annual report on Form 10-K and services attendant to, or required by, statute or regulation; (ii) reviews of the interim consolidated financial statements included in our quarterly reports on Form 10-Q; (iii) comfort letters, consents and other services related to Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) and other regulatory filings; and (iv) accounting consultation attendant to the audit. Audit Fees for 2006 and 2005 also include the audit of management s assessment on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting, as required by Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- (2) There were no Audit-Related Fees incurred in 2006 or 2005.
- (3) Tax Fees include tax compliance, tax planning, tax advisory and related tax services.
- (4) There were no other professional services rendered in 2006 or 2005.

All audit, tax and other services provided to us were reviewed and pre-approved by the Audit Committee, which concluded that the provision of such services by Ernst & Young LLP was compatible with the maintenance of that firm s independence in the conduct of its auditing functions.

The Board recommends a vote FOR the ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for 2007. Proxies solicited by the Board will be voted FOR this ratification, unless otherwise instructed.

#### **BOARD AND COMMITTEE MATTERS**

### **Board of Directors**

The Board is responsible for overseeing our affairs. The Board conducts its business through meetings and actions taken by written consent in lieu of meetings. During the year ended December 31, 2006, the Board held six meetings and acted eight times by written consent in lieu of a meeting. Each of our directors attended at least 75% of the meetings of the Board and of the Board s committees on which they served during 2006. All directors serving on the Board attended our 2006 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. The Board s policy, as set forth in our Corporate Governance Guidelines (the Guidelines), is to encourage and promote the attendance by each director at all scheduled meetings of the Board and all meetings of our stockholders.

#### **Committees of the Board**

The Board has four standing committees: the Audit Committee, the Compensation Committee, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and the Capital Advisory Committee.

Audit Committee. Stephen R. Blank (Chairman), Edison C. Buchanan and Michael L. Dahir are currently the members of the Audit Committee. The Board has determined that all of the members of the Audit Committee are independent as required by the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) listing standards, SEC rules governing the qualifications of audit committee members, the Guidelines, the Independence Standards (as defined below) and the written charter of the Audit Committee. The Board has also determined, based upon its qualitative assessment of their relevant levels of knowledge and business experience (see Election of Directors in this Proxy Statement for a description of their respective backgrounds and experience), that Messrs. Blank and Dahir qualify as audit committee financial experts for purposes of, and as defined by, SEC rules and have the requisite accounting or related financial management expertise required by NYSE listing standards. In addition, the Board has determined that all of the members of the Audit Committee are financially literate as required by the NYSE listing standards. The Audit Committee, which met nine times and acted once by written consent during 2006, is responsible for,

5

among other things, engaging our independent registered public accounting firm, reviewing with the independent registered public accounting firm the plans and results of their audit engagement, approving professional services to be provided by the independent registered public accounting firm, reviewing the independence of the auditors, considering the range of audit and non-audit fees, reviewing the adequacy of our internal controls, accounting and reporting practices and assessing the quality and integrity of our consolidated financial statements. In accordance with its written charter, the Audit Committee has a policy requiring that the terms of all auditing and non-auditing services to be provided by our independent registered public accounting firm be pre-approved by the Audit Committee. The Audit Committee also reviews and evaluates the scope of all non-auditing services to be provided by our independent registered public accounting firm in order to confirm that such services are permitted by the rules and/or regulations of the NYSE, the SEC, the Financial Accounting Standards Board or other similar governing bodies. The specific responsibilities of the Audit Committee are set forth in its written charter, which is available for viewing on our website at www.mfa-reit.com.

Compensation Committee. James A. Brodsky (Chairman), Stephen R. Blank and George H. Krauss are currently the members of the Compensation Committee. The Board has determined that all of the members of the Compensation Committee are independent as required by NYSE listing standards, the Guidelines, the Independence Standards and the written charter of the Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee, which met four times and acted once by written consent during 2006, is responsible for, among other things, overseeing the approval, administration and evaluation of the Company's compensation plans, policies and programs and reviewing the compensation of our directors and executive officers. The specific responsibilities of the Compensation Committee are set forth in its written charter, which is available for viewing on our website at www.mfa-reit.com.

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. Michael L. Dahir (Chairman), James A. Brodsky and George H. Krauss are currently the members of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. The Board has determined that all of the members of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee are independent as required by NYSE listing standards, the Guidelines, the Independence Standards and the written charter of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, which met five times during 2006, is responsible for, among other things, assisting the Board in identifying individuals qualified to become Board members, recommending to the Board the director nominees to be elected at each annual meeting of our stockholders, recommending to the Board the director nominees to serve on each of the Board's committees, developing and recommending to the Board the corporate governance principles and guidelines applicable to us and directing the Board in an annual review of its performance. The specific responsibilities of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee are set forth in its written charter, which is available for viewing on our website at www.mfa-reit.com.

Capital Advisory Committee. Stewart Zimmerman (Chairman), Edison C. Buchanan, Alan L. Gosule and George H. Krauss are currently the members of the Capital Advisory Committee (formerly known as the Investment Committee). The Capital Advisory Committee, which met once and acted once by written consent during 2006, is responsible for, among other things, overseeing our compliance with our investment strategy and other capital and financial operating policies.

We will provide the written charters of the Audit Committee, Compensation Committee and/or Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, free of charge, to stockholders who request them. Requests should be directed to Timothy W. Korth, our General Counsel, Senior Vice President Business Development and Secretary, at MFA Mortgage Investments, Inc., 350 Park Avenue, 21 floor, New York, New York 10022.

### Report of the Audit Committee

The Audit Committee of the Board has furnished the following report for the 2006 fiscal year.

The Audit Committee is responsible for monitoring the integrity of our consolidated financial statements, our system of internal controls, our risk management, the qualifications, independence and performance of our independent registered public accounting firm and our compliance with related legal and regulatory requirements. The Audit Committee has the sole authority and responsibility to select, determine the compensation of, evaluate and, when appropriate, replace our independent registered public accounting firm. The Audit Committee operates under a written charter adopted by the Board.

6

Management is primarily responsible for our financial reporting process, including the system of internal controls, for the preparation of consolidated financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and for the report on our internal control over financial reporting. Ernst & Young LLP, our independent registered public accounting firm, is responsible for performing an independent audit of our annual consolidated financial statements and expressing an opinion as to their conformity with generally accepted accounting principles and for attesting to management a report on our internal control over financial reporting. The Audit Committee are responsibility is to oversee and review the financial reporting process and to review and discuss management are report on our internal control over financial reporting. The Audit Committee is not, however, professionally engaged in the practice of accounting or auditing and does not provide any expert or other special assurance as to such financial statements concerning compliance with laws, regulations or generally accepted accounting principles or as to auditor independence. The Audit Committee relies, without independent verification, on the information provided to it and on the representations made by our management and our independent registered public accounting firm.

The Audit Committee held nine meetings and acted once by written consent during 2006. The meetings were designed, among other things, to facilitate and encourage communication among the Audit Committee, management and Ernst & Young LLP, our independent registered public accounting firm.

The Audit Committee reviewed and discussed our ongoing compliance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, including the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board s ( PCAOB ) Auditing Standard No. 2 regarding the audit of internal control over financial reporting. The Audit Committee discussed with Ernst & Young LLP and our internal auditors, Grant Thornton LLP, the overall scope and plans for their respective audits. The Audit Committee reviewed reports and memoranda prepared by Ernst & Young LLP and Grant Thornton LLP with respect to their respective audits of our internal control over financial reporting. The Audit Committee met with Ernst & Young LLP and Grant Thornton LLP, with and without management present, to discuss the results of their examinations and their evaluations of our internal controls.

The Audit Committee reviewed and discussed the audited consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006, and the related report prepared by Ernst & Young LLP, with management and Ernst & Young LLP. The Audit Committee also reviewed and discussed with management, Ernst & Young LLP and Grant Thornton LLP management is annual report on our internal control over financial reporting and the attestation report prepared by Ernst & Young LLP. The Audit Committee discussed with management and Ernst & Young LLP the process used to support certifications by our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer that are required by the SEC and the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 to accompany our periodic filings with the SEC and the processes used to support management is annual report on our internal control over financial reporting. As a result of these discussions, the Audit Committee believes that we maintain an effective system of accounting controls that allows us to prepare consolidated financial statements that fairly present our financial position and results of our operations. Management represented to the Audit Committee that our consolidated financial statements were prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

The Audit Committee reviewed and discussed with Ernst & Young LLP their 2006 Audit Plan for the Company and their proposed implementation of this plan. The Audit Committee also discussed with Ernst & Young LLP matters that independent accounting firms must discuss with audit committees under generally accepted auditing standards and standards of the PCAOB, including, among other things, matters related to the conduct of the audit of our consolidated financial statements and the matters required to be discussed by Statement on Auditing

Standards No. 61, as amended (Communication with Audit Committees), which included a discussion of Ernst & Young LLP s judgments about the quality (not just the acceptability) of our accounting principles as applied to financial reporting.

The Audit Committee also discussed with Ernst & Young LLP their independence from us. Ernst & Young LLP provided to the Committee the written disclosures and the letter required by Independence Standards Board Standard No. 1 (Independence Discussions with Audit Committees) and represented that it is independent from us. When considering the independence of Ernst & Young LLP, the Audit Committee considered if services they provided to us beyond those rendered in connection with their audit of the Company s consolidated financial statements, reviews of our interim condensed consolidated financial statements included in our quarterly reports on Form 10-Q and the attestation of management s report on internal control over financial reporting were compatible with maintaining their independence. The Audit Committee also reviewed, among other things, the tax services

7

performed by, and the amount of fees paid for such services to, Ernst & Young LLP. The Audit Committee received regular updates on the amount of fees and scope of audit and tax services provided.

Based on the Audit Committee s review and these meetings, discussions and reports, and subject to the limitations on the Audit Committee s role and responsibilities referred to above and in its written charter, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board that our audited consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006 be included in our annual report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC. The Audit Committee has also appointed Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007 and is presenting this selection to our stockholders for ratification.

Stephen R. Blank, Chairman

Edison C. Buchanan

Michael L. Dahir

The foregoing Report of the Audit Committee shall not be deemed under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act), or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), to be (i) soliciting material or filed or (ii) incorporated by reference by any general statement into any filing made by us with the SEC, except to the extent that we specifically incorporate such report by reference.

## COMPENSATION OF NON-EMPLOYEE DIRECTORS

During 2006, we paid an annual board fee of \$40,000 to our non-employee directors serving on the Board. In addition, during 2006, each non-employee director who served as a Chairman of one of the Board's committees, other than the Audit Committee, received an additional annual chair fee of \$5,000 and the Chairman of the Audit Committee received an additional annual chair fee of \$10,000. Non-employee directors also received a fee of \$1,000 for each annual, quarterly or special meeting of the Board that they attended and \$500 for each telephonic meeting of the Board in which they participated during 2006. Non-employee directors were eligible to participate during 2006 in our Amended and Restated 2003 Non-Employee Directors Deferred Compensation Plan (the Non-Employee Directors Plan), which allowed participants to elect to defer receipt of 50% or 100% of their annual board fee and 100% of their meetings fees and, if applicable, annual chair fees.

The following table summarizes the annual compensation received by our non-employee directors for the year ended December 31, 2006.

	Fees Earned or	Non-Equity Incentive Plant	an
	Paid in Cash	Compensation	Total
Name	<b>(\$)</b> <sup>(1)</sup>	<b>(\$)</b> <sup>(2)</sup>	(\$)
Stephen R. Blank	\$ 55,000	\$ 250	\$ 55,250
James A. Brodsky	50,000	250	50,250
Edison C. Buchanan	44,500	250	44,750
Michael L. Dahir	49,500	500	50,000
Alan L. Gosule	45,000	250	45,250
George H. Krauss	45,000	15,250	60,250

<sup>(1)</sup> Amounts in this column represent annual board fees, annual chair fees and meeting fees paid to non-employee directors for service in 2006. With respect to meeting fees, the Board met four times in person and twice telephonically during 2006.

Amounts in this column represent aggregate distributions paid on dividend equivalent rights ( DERs ), which represent the right to receive a distribution on each DER equal to the cash dividend paid on a share of Common Stock, attached to outstanding non-qualified stock options ( NQSOs ) during 2006.

Non-employee directors are also eligible to receive grants of NQSOs, restricted stock, phantom units and DERs under our 2004 Equity Compensation Plan. We reimburse all non-employee directors for travel and other expenses incurred in connection with attending Board, committee and stockholder meetings and other Company-sponsored events and/or related to their activities on our behalf. In addition, we provide all non-employee directors with up to \$500,000 of accidental death and dismemberment insurance while traveling to or attending Board, committee and stockholder meetings and other Company-sponsored events. Directors who are also our employees are not entitled to receive additional compensation for serving on the Board.

Effective January 1, 2007, the Board modified the compensation package to be paid to our non-employee directors. Beginning in 2007, we will pay, on a semi-annual basis in 50% increments, (i) an annual board fee to our

8

non-employee directors of \$60,000 per year; (ii) an annual chair fee to the non-employee director acting as the Chairman of the Audit Committee of \$12,500 per year; and (iii) an annual chair fee to the non-employee directors acting as the Chairman of each of the Compensation Committee and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee of \$7,500 per year. In addition, the Board approved, pursuant to our 2004 Equity Compensation Plan, an annual grant of equity compensation to our non-employee directors consisting of 2,500 restricted shares of Common Stock, which shares by their terms must be retained by the non-employee directors and, subject to certain exceptions, may not be sold or otherwise transferred until six months after the non-employee director s termination of service with us. The first payment of 50% of the modified compensation package for non-employee directors was made on January 2, 2007 and, thereafter, will be paid semi-annually in 50% increments on the last business day of May and November in each year. The Board also approved the elimination of the payment of any meeting fees to our non-employee directors and suspended any further participation by our non-employee directors in the Non-Employee Directors Plan.

### CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

### Role of the Board

Pursuant to our Charter and Bylaws and the Maryland General Corporation Law, our business, assets and affairs are managed by our Chief Executive Officer and other executive officers under the direction and oversight of the Board. The Board has the responsibility for establishing broad corporate policies and for our overall performance and direction, but is not involved in our day-to-day operations. Members of the Board keep informed of our business by participating in meetings of the Board and its committees, by reviewing analyses, reports and other materials provided to them and through discussions with our Chief Executive Officer and other executive officers.

### **Director Independence**

The Guidelines provide that a majority of the directors serving on the Board must be independent as required by NYSE listing standards. In addition, as permitted under the Guidelines, the Board has also adopted certain additional categorical standards (the Independence Standards ) to assist it in making determinations with respect to the independence of directors. Based upon its review of all relevant facts and circumstances, the Board has affirmatively determined that five of our seven current directors, Stephen R. Blank, James A. Brodsky, Edison C. Buchanan, Michael L. Dahir and George H. Krauss, qualify as independent directors under NYSE listing standards and the Independence Standards. In determining that Mr. Krauss qualified as an independent director under the NYSE listing standards and the Independence Standards, the Board took into consideration in making its determination that (i) on January 31, 2006, Retirement Centers Corporation, our wholly-owned subsidiary, sold through an arms-length negotiated transaction, which was the result of a competitive third-party bidding process, all of its indirect interest in The Greenhouse, a 128-unit multi-family apartment property located in Omaha, Nebraska, to AFAI, a company for which Mr. Krauss serves on the board of directors and is a stockholder, for \$15.2 million, (ii) during 2006 and 2005, we paid property management fees of \$96,000 and \$143,000, respectively, to a property manager that was a wholly-owned subsidiary of AFAI and, during 2004, paid property management fees of \$127,000 to a property manager that was a wholly-owned subsidiary of the predecessor company of Burlington, an entity in which Mr. Krauss directly and indirectly beneficially owned approximately 17% and for which he served on the board of managers and was a consultant, and (iii) during 2006, we, through an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary, earned fees of \$38,000 for sub-advisory services provided to AFAI in connection with the management of its mortgage-backed securities portfolio and, during 2005 and 2004, earned fees of \$50,000 and \$65,000, respectively, for sub-advisory services provided to the external advisor of AFAI, a wholly-owned subsidiary of the predecessor company of Burlington, in connection with AFAI s mortgage-backed securities portfolio. In connection with making this determination, the Board specifically noted that (a) Mr. Krauss recused himself from all Board discussions and deliberations relating to the sale of The Greenhouse to AFAI and did not participate in the decision to approve such transaction, (b) neither the amount of property management fees paid to the property manager nor the sub-advisory fees received from AFAI were considered to be material to us on a consolidated basis, (c) the amount of property management fees to be paid by us to the property manager on a going-forward basis were expected to decline due to the decrease in the number of managed

properties owned by us to from three properties at the beginning of 2006 to one property at year end and (d) our sub-advisory arrangement with AFAI had been suspended indefinitely during 2006 and, as a result, no further fees were currently expected to be received. The Independence Standards are available for viewing on our website at www.mfa-reit.com.

9

### **Code of Business Conduct and Ethics**

The Board has adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics (the Code of Conduct ) that applies to our directors, executive officers and employees. The Code of Conduct was designed to assist directors, executive officers and employees in complying with the law, in resolving moral and ethical issues that may arise and in complying with our policies and procedures. Among the areas addressed by the Code of Conduct are compliance with applicable laws, conflicts of interest, use and protection of our assets, confidentiality, communications with the public, internal accounting controls, improper influence of audits, records retention, fair dealing, discrimination and harassment, and health and safety. The Board s Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee is responsible for assessing and periodically reviewing the adequacy of the Code of Conduct and will recommend, as appropriate, proposed changes to the Board. The Code of Conduct is available for viewing on our website at www.mfa-reit.com. We will also provide the Code of Conduct, free of charge, to stockholders who request it. Requests should be directed to Timothy W. Korth, our General Counsel, Senior Vice President Business Development and Secretary, at MFA Mortgage Investments, Inc., 350 Park Avenue, 21st floor, New York, New York 10022.

### **Corporate Governance Guidelines**

The Board has adopted Corporate Governance Guidelines that address significant issues of corporate governance and set forth procedures by which the Board carries out its responsibilities. Among the areas addressed by the Guidelines are Board composition, Board functions and responsibilities, Board committees, director qualification standards, access to management and independent advisors, director compensation, management succession, director orientation and continuing education and Board and committee performance evaluations. The Board s Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee is responsible for assessing and periodically reviewing the adequacy of the Guidelines and will recommend, as appropriate, proposed changes to the Board. The Guidelines are available for viewing on our website at www.mfa-reit.com. We will also provide the Guidelines, free of charge, to stockholders who request them. Requests should be directed to Timothy W. Korth, our General Counsel, Senior Vice President Business Development and Secretary, at MFA Mortgage Investments, Inc., 350 Park Avenue, 21 floor, New York, New York 10022.

### Review and Approval of Transactions with Related Persons

Effective January 1, 2007, the Board adopted written policies and procedures for review, approval and monitoring of transactions involving us and related persons (directors and executive officers or their immediate family members, or stockholders owning 5% or greater of our outstanding capital stock). The policy covers any related person transaction that meets the minimum threshold for disclosure in the Proxy Statement under the relevant SEC rules (generally, transactions involving amounts exceeding \$120,000 in which a related person has a direct or indirect material interest). A summary of these policies and procedures is set forth below:

### **Policies**

Any covered related party transaction must be approved by the Board or by a committee of the Board consisting solely of disinterested directors. In considering the transaction, the Board or committee will consider all relevant factors, including as applicable, (i) our business rationale for entering into the transaction; (ii) the available alternatives; (iii) whether the transaction is on terms comparable to those available to or from third parties; (iv) the potential for the transaction to lead to an actual or apparent conflict of interest; and (v) the overall fairness of the transaction to us.

On at least an annual basis, the Board or committee will monitor the transaction to assess whether it is advisable for us to amend or terminate the transaction.

### **Procedures**

Management or the affected director or executive officer will bring the matter to the attention of the Chairman of the Audit Committee or, if Chairman of the Audit Committee is the affected director, to the attention of the Chairman of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee.

The appropriate Chairman shall determine whether the matter should be considered by the Board or by a committee of the Board consisting solely of disinterested directors.

10

If a director is involved in the transaction, he or she will be recused from all discussions and decisions about the transaction.

The transaction must be approved in advance whenever practicable and, if not practicable, must be ratified as promptly as practicable.

#### **Identification of Director Candidates**

In accordance with the Guidelines and its written charter, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee is responsible for identifying and evaluating director candidates for the Board and for recommending director candidates to the Board for consideration as nominees to stand for election at our annual meetings of stockholders. Director candidates are nominated to stand for election to the Board in accordance with the procedures set forth in the written charter of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee.

We seek highly qualified director candidates from diverse business, professional and educational backgrounds who combine a broad spectrum of experience and expertise with a reputation for the highest personal and professional ethics, integrity and values. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee periodically reviews the appropriate skills and characteristics required of our directors in the context of the current composition of the Board, our operating requirements and the long-term interests of our stockholders. In accordance with the Guidelines, director candidates should have experience in positions with a high degree of responsibility and decision making, be able to exercise good business judgment, be able to provide practical wisdom and mature judgment and be leaders in the companies or institutions with which they are affiliated. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee reviews director candidates with the objective of assembling a slate of directors that can best fulfill and promote our goals, regardless of gender, age or race, and recommends director candidates based upon contributions they can make to the Board and management and their ability to represent our long-term interests and those of our stockholders.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee accepts stockholder recommendations of director candidates and applies the same standards in considering director candidates submitted by stockholders as it does in evaluating director candidates recommended by members of the Board or management. Upon determining the need for additional or replacement Board members, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee identifies director candidates and assesses such director candidates based upon information it receives in connection with the recommendation or otherwise possesses, which may be supplemented by certain inquiries. In conducting this assessment, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee considers knowledge, experience, skills, diversity and such other factors as it deems appropriate in light of our current needs and those of the Board. If the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee determines, in consultation with other directors, including the Chairman of the Board, that a more comprehensive evaluation is warranted, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee may then obtain additional information about a director candidate s background and experience, including by means of personal interviews. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will then re-evaluate the director candidate using its evaluation criteria. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee receives input on such director candidates from other directors, including the Chairman of the Board, and recommends director candidates to the Board for nomination. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee may, in its sole discretion, engage one or more search firms and/or other consultants, experts or professionals to assist in, among other things, identifying director candidates or gathering information regarding the background and experience of director candidates. If the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee engages any such third party, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will have sole authority to approve any fees or terms of retention relating to these services.

Our stockholders of record who comply with the notice procedures outlined under the Submission of Stockholder Proposals section of this Proxy Statement may recommend director candidates for evaluation and consideration by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. Stockholders may make recommendations at any time, but recommendations of director candidates for consideration as director nominees at our annual meeting of stockholders must be received not less than 120 days before the first anniversary of the date on which the proxy statement was released to stockholders in connection with the previous year s annual meeting of stockholders. Accordingly, to submit a director candidate for consideration for nomination at our 2008 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, stockholders must submit the recommendation, in writing, by no later than December 10, 2007. The written notice must demonstrate that it is being submitted by a stockholder of record of us and include information about each proposed director candidate, including name, age, business address, principal occupation, principal qualifications and other relevant biographical information. In addition, the stockholder must provide confirmation

11

of each director candidate s consent to serve as a director and contact information for each director candidate so that his or her interest can be verified and, if necessary, to gather further information.

### Communications with the Board

The Board has established a process by which stockholders and/or other interested parties may communicate in writing with our directors, a committee of the Board, the Board s non-employee directors as a group or the Board generally. Any such communications may be sent to the Board by U.S. mail or overnight delivery and should be directed to Timothy W. Korth, our General Counsel, Senior Vice President Business Development and Secretary, at MFA Mortgage Investments, Inc., 350 Park Avenue, 21st Floor, New York, New York 10022, who will forward them to the intended recipient(s). Any such communications may be made anonymously. Unsolicited advertisements, invitations to conferences or promotional materials, in the discretion of the Secretary, are not required, however, to be forwarded to the directors. The Board has approved this communication process.

### **Executive Sessions of Non-Employee and Independent Directors**

In accordance with the Guidelines, the non-employee directors serving on the Board meet in executive session at least four times per year at regularly scheduled meetings of the Board. These executive sessions of the Board are presided over by Alan L. Gosule. In addition, at least annually, the independent directors serving on the Board meet in executive session at a regularly scheduled meeting of the Board.

### **EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION**

#### **Compensation Discussion and Analysis**

The following Compensation Discussion and Analysis describes the material elements of the compensation programs offered to our senior executive officers. The Compensation Committee of the Board is responsible for the administration of our compensation plans, policies and programs and for all decisions relating to the compensation of our principal executive officer, principal financial officer and three other executive officers (the Named Executive Officers). The Compensation Committee endeavors to ensure that the compensation paid to the Named Executive Officers is consistent with our overall philosophy on compensation and market practices.

Compensation Philosophy and Objectives. We, through our executive compensation programs, seek to attract, motivate and retain top quality senior executives who are committed to our core values of excellence and integrity. The Compensation Committee s fundamental philosophy is to closely align these compensation programs with the achievement of annual and long-term performance goals tied to our financial success and the creation of stockholder value.

The Compensation Committee s objectives in developing and administering the executive compensation programs are to:

Attract, retain and motivate a highly skilled senior executive team that will contribute to the successful performance of the Company;

Align the interests of the senior executive team with the interests our stockholders by motivating executives to increase long-term stockholder value;

Provide compensation opportunities that are competitive within industry standards thereby reflecting the value of the position in the marketplace;

Support a culture committed to pay for performance where compensation is commensurate with the level of performance achieved; and

Maintain flexibility and discretion to allow us to recognize the unique characteristics of our operations and strategy, and our prevailing business environment, as well as changing labor market dynamics.

The Compensation Committee believes that it is important to create a compensation program that appropriately balances short-term, cash-based compensation with long-term, equity-based compensation. Our executive officer compensation program includes the following primary components:

12

Base salaries paid in cash which recognize the unique role and responsibilities of a position as well as an individual sperformance in that role.

Annual cash awards which are meant to motivate and reward our short-term financial and operational performance, as well as individual performance.

Long-term equity-based awards which are designed to support our objectives of aligning the interests of executive officers with those of the our stockholders, promoting our long-term performance and value creation and retaining executive officers.

In addition to the primary components of the executive officer compensation program, we maintain our 2003 Senior Officers Deferred Bonus Plan (the Senior Officers Plan ), a non-qualified deferred compensation program designed to provide additional opportunities to align the interests of executive officers and stockholders, and provide limited perquisites and other benefits beyond what are provided to all of our employees.

The Compensation Committee is committed to the ongoing review and evaluation of the executive officer compensation levels and program. It is the Compensation Committee is view that compensation decisions are complex and best made after a deliberate review of Company and individual performance, as well as industry compensation levels. Consistent with this view, the Compensation Committee annually assesses our performance within the context of the industry is overall performance and internal performance standards and evaluates individual executive officer performance relative to the performance expectations for their respective position and role within the Company. In addition, the Compensation Committee regularly benchmarks the total compensation provided to our executive officers to industry-based compensation practices. While it is the Compensation Committee is goal to provide compensation opportunities that reflect Company and individual performance and that are competitive within industry standards, a specific target market position for executive officer pay levels has not been established.

Setting Executive Compensation. During 2005, the Compensation Committee began a comprehensive review of our senior executive compensation practices in order to ensure that the senior executive compensation program and policies remained aligned with the goal of enhancing stockholder value through compensation practices that attract, motivate and retain key senior executives. As a part of the process, the Compensation Committee engaged FPL Associates Compensation, a nationally recognized compensation consulting firm specializing in the real estate industry (the Consultant), to provide independent guidance and insight to the Compensation Committee on executive compensation matters, both generally in the marketplace and within our industry and to provide recommendations regarding potential modifications to our senior executive compensation programs and policies.

The focus of the Compensation Committee s review was to (i) more directly align our senior executive compensation programs and policies with our financial performance and, accordingly, the creation of stockholder value and (ii) competitively update the existing executive compensation programs and policies, including existing employment agreements, to reflect current practices in the marketplace. In conducting this review, the Compensation Committee examined all components of our compensation programs offered to the Named Executive Officers including base salary, annual incentive bonus, equity and long-term compensation, accumulated (realized and unrealized) gains on stock options (Options) and payments on DERs, the dollar value to the senior executives (and the cost to us) of all perquisites and other personal benefits, the earnings and accumulated payout obligations under the Senior Officers Plan, and the actual projected payout obligations under several potential severance and change-in-control scenarios. A compensation tally sheet setting forth these components of our senior executive compensation program provided to each Named Executive Officer was prepared and reviewed by the Compensation Committee.

As part of this review, the Compensation Committee evaluated a comprehensive benchmarking analysis prepared by the Consultant, which compared our senior executive compensation practices to the compensation practices e