

CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORP

Form 10-K/A

August 11, 2003

---

**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

---

**FORM 10-K/A**

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE

SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002

Commission file number 1-10622

**CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT  
CORPORATION**

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

**Delaware**  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

**94-2953477**  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

**201 Mission Street**

**San Francisco, California 94105**

(Address of principal executive offices and zip code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code:

**(415) 974-4500**

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Edgar Filing: CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORP - Form 10-K/A

<u>Title of each class</u>	<u>Name of each exchange on which registered</u>
Common Stock, \$.01 par value per share	New York and Chicago Stock Exchanges, and Pacific Exchange
Preferred Share Purchase Rights	

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes  No

The aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of the Registrant was approximately \$1.746 million on March 10, 2003.

As of March 10, 2003, there were 87,275,712 issued and outstanding shares of the Registrant's Common Stock.

---

## PART I

### Item 1. Business

Catellus Development Corporation ( Catellus or the Company ) is a publicly traded real estate operating company with a significant portfolio of rental properties and developable land. Operations consist primarily of the management, acquisition, development, and sale of real estate. At December 31, 2002, we owned a significant portfolio of income producing properties, including approximately 37 million square feet of rental property, 32 million square feet of which is industrial space. Our rental properties provide us with a relatively consistent source of earnings. Additionally, Catellus owns a portfolio of developable land intended for future development activities. Our development activities provide cash flow through sales of land or the conversion of our developable land to property that is either added to our rental portfolio or sold to tenants, developers, or other users. We invest in new land to ensure our potential for growth.

We have four primary groups: (1) Asset Management, which provides management and leasing services for our rental portfolio; (2) Suburban Commercial, which acquires and develops suburban commercial business parks for our own rental portfolio or for sale to third parties; (3) Suburban Residential, which develops suburban residential communities and sells finished lots to homebuilders; and (4) Urban, which focuses on developing three large, urban mixed-use projects for our own rental portfolio or for sale to third parties.

Catellus was formed to conduct the non-railroad real estate activities of the Santa Fe Pacific Corporation and was spun off to stockholders effective in 1990. Our railroad heritage gave us a diverse base of developable properties located near transportation corridors in major western United States markets. This land has proven suitable for the development of a variety of product types, including industrial, retail, office, and residential. Over time, we have expanded our business by acquiring land suitable for primarily industrial development in many of the same suburban locations where we have an established presence.

Our principal office is located at 201 Mission Street, San Francisco, California 94105; our telephone number at that location is (415) 974-4500; and our website address is [www.catellus.com](http://www.catellus.com). This annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and all amendments to these reports are available free of charge through our website as soon as reasonably practicable after such material is electronically filed with or furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission.

### Recent Developments

On March 3, 2003, we announced that our Board of Directors ( Board ) has authorized us to restructure our business operations to qualify as a real estate investment trust ( REIT ), effective January 1, 2004, subject to stockholder and Board approvals. The Company has spent the past several years profitably transforming what was one of the country's largest land portfolios into predominantly industrial rental property and capital that has been reinvested back into our business. We are now embarking upon a transition period to restructure our operations and change our business strategy to focus increasingly on industrial development and reducing focus on other product types.

In anticipation of the REIT conversion, the Company will take steps during 2003 to better position its businesses for operation as a REIT. This will include looking for ways to operate more efficiently, consistent with a focus of new development on industrial product. We plan to continue our Urban mixed use projects that are underway, but do not plan to seek new ones. Since the Urban Group (*see* Urban Group below) will no longer be pursuing new activities, and given the considerable progress made on existing projects, it is anticipated that the scope of activities will be reduced, resulting in a reduction in work force over 2003 and 2004. The Urban Group projects will be operated in a taxable REIT subsidiary ( TRS ), and the Company expects to recycle surplus capital from the Urban Group projects through continuing development with greater

Edgar Filing: CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORP - Form 10-K/A

emphasis on third party parcel sales, land leases, and joint ventures. During 2003, the Suburban Residential Group (*see* Suburban Residential Group below) projects will be positioned for sale and any remaining assets will be operated in a TRS.

We plan to present the REIT conversion to our shareholders for approval at our annual meeting, which is expected to be held in the third quarter of 2003. If the REIT conversion is consummated, Catellus will operate as an umbrella partnership real estate investment trust, with wholly owned taxable REIT subsidiaries. As part of the REIT conversion, we will provide to shareholders a one-time distribution of pre-REIT earnings and profits, in compliance with the requirements to elect REIT status. Furthermore, subject to final Board approval, we anticipate that we will begin paying a quarterly dividend commencing with a payment of \$0.30 per common share for the third quarter of 2003. A copy of the press release announcing the REIT conversion and other relevant documents are available free of charge at the SEC's website ([www.sec.gov](http://www.sec.gov)) or can be obtained by directing a request to us at 201 Mission Street, Second Floor, San Francisco, California 94105, Attn.: Director of Investor Relations, or by telephone at (415) 974-4649, or email at [InvestorRelations@catellus.com](mailto:InvestorRelations@catellus.com). We will soon file a preliminary proxy statement/prospectus with the Securities and Exchange Commission that will provide important information, including detailed risk factors, regarding the proposed transaction. There is no assurance that the proposed REIT conversion will be consummated or that the terms of the REIT conversion or the timing or effects thereof will not differ materially from those described in the press release and other relevant documents.

## Property Portfolio

### Rental Portfolio

Our income-producing portfolio is comprised of commercial rental property, ground leases and other properties, and interests in several joint ventures. We own 37 million square feet of commercial rental property of which 89.1% is industrial, 8.6% is office, and 2.3% is retail. Since the end of 1995, our portfolio has expanded by more than 22.9 million square feet (163%), primarily through our development activities. Approximately 35% of the rental property, by square footage, is located in Southern California, 19% in Northern California, 18% in Illinois, 11% in Texas, 7% in Colorado, 3% in Arizona, and 3% in Ohio, with the remaining 4% located in five other states. We also own approximately 8,000 acres of land subject to ground leases, approximately 755,000 square feet of other rent generating properties that are located at our urban development projects, the majority of which is projected to be converted to future redevelopment opportunities, and joint ventures interests in two hotels and two office buildings.

The following table provides information on our income-producing portfolio:

	Number of			Square Feet Owned			Net Book Value		
	Buildings			December 31,			December 31,		
	2002	2001	2000	2002	2001	2000	2002	2001	2000
	(In thousands)						(In thousands)		
<b>Rental Portfolio</b>									
Industrial	196	187	198	32,944	27,594	26,251	\$ 1,134,890	\$ 943,340	\$ 874,168
Office	32	27	24	3,164	2,442	1,625	409,339	297,707	205,179
Retail	22	19	21	868	864	880	100,882	96,263	94,085
Ground leases and other properties							139,886	138,708	79,950
Operating joint ventures							(10,920)	(13,026)	(16,092)
Subtotal	250	233	243	36,976	30,900	28,756	1,774,077	1,462,992	1,237,290
Accumulated depreciation							(366,772)	(325,130)	(287,039)

Total	\$ 1,407,305	\$ 1,137,862	\$ 950,251
-------	--------------	--------------	------------

**Developable Land Inventory**

We have developable land capable of supporting up to an estimated 38.1 million square feet of commercial development and approximately 9,300 units of residential development as of December 31, 2002. Substantially all of our commercial and residential developable land is entitled. Approximately 67% of the total commercial development potential by square footage is located in California: San Francisco, Silicon Valley, San Francisco's East Bay area, Los Angeles County, Orange County, the Inland Empire (San Bernardino and Riverside counties), and the City of San Diego; approximately 14% in Texas; approximately 11% in Illinois; and the remaining 8% located in four other states. In terms of residential lots, approximately 59% of the residential land for potential development is located in Northern California, 18% is in Southern California, and 23% is in Colorado.

The following table summarizes the estimated development potential of our land inventory as of December 31, 2002:

	<u>Commercial</u>	<u>Residential</u>	<u>Hotel</u>
	(Square feet)	(Lots or units)	(Rooms)
Commercial	25,907,000		
Residential		5,789	
Urban	12,226,000	3,548	500
<b>Total</b>	<b>38,133,000</b>	<b>9,337</b>	<b>500</b>
Entitled	36,806,000	9,223	500
Entitlements/approvals in progress	1,327,000	114	

The following table shows the net book value of our developable land inventory for the years presented:

	<u>Net Book Value</u>		
	<u>December 31,</u>		
	<u>2002</u>	<u>2001</u>	<u>2000</u>
	(In thousands)		
Commercial	\$ 171,924	\$ 188,527	\$ 174,329
Residential	52,850	52,108	64,479
Residential joint ventures	37,918	74,721	46,245
Urban	279,495	258,504	366,136
<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>542,187</b>	<b>573,860</b>	<b>651,189</b>
Accumulated depreciation	(10,699)	(9,888)	(15,819)
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 531,488</b>	<b>\$ 563,972</b>	<b>\$ 635,370</b>

Asset Management Group

The Asset Management Group manages our rental portfolio of industrial, office, retail, ground lease properties, and operating of properties for joint ventures. The group provides the following services: (1) leasing and management services; (2) acquisition of properties for, and sale of certain rental properties from, our portfolio; and (3) management and disposition services for our other land holdings. The Asset Management Group provided ground lease management services for a third party before the contract expired in 2000.



The following table summarizes our rental portfolio property-operating income by property type:

	Property-Operating Income <sup>(1)</sup>		
	Year Ended December 31,		
	2002	2001	2000
	(In thousands)		
<b>Rental Portfolio</b>			
Industrial	\$ 125,744	\$ 111,409	\$ 98,831
Office	31,650	24,362	20,228
Retail	10,725	9,778	10,511
Ground leases	21,271	20,237	14,724
Other properties	6,488	6,432	7,196
Property-operating income	195,878	172,218	151,490
Equity in earnings of operating joint ventures	8,277	8,833	9,809
Subtotal	204,155	181,051	161,299
Less: Discontinued operations	(486)	(1,816)	(2,267)
Total property-operating income	\$ 203,669	\$ 179,235	\$ 159,032

<sup>(1)</sup> Property-operating income is rental revenue less property operating costs plus equity in earnings of operating joint ventures.

### Building Portfolio

The following table summarizes our building portfolio, by year built, as of December 31, 2002:

	City	State	Rentable		Major Tenant	RSF Occupied	YR End Vacancy	Year-End Building Occupancy %
			Square Feet	Year Built				
<b>Industrial Property:</b>								
1	Minooka	IL	1,034,200	2002	Kellogg's USA, Inc.	1,034,200		100%
2	Ontario	CA	830,000	2002	Exel, Inc.	830,000		100%
3	Manteca	CA	608,860	2002	Ford Motor Company	608,860		100%
4	Ontario	CA	607,320	2002	Specialty Merchandise Corporation	607,320		100%
5	Rancho Cucamonga	CA	449,370	2002	Ford Motor Company	449,370		100%
6	Romeoville	IL	421,361	2002	APL Logistics Warehouse Mgmt. Svcs., Inc	421,361		100%
7	Grand Prairie	TX	398,364	2002	Lagasse Bros., Inc.	105,918	292,446	27%
8	Shepherdsville	KY	382,800	2002	APL Logistics Warehouse Mgmt. Svcs., Inc	193,800	189,000	51%
9	Denver	CO	314,978	2002	Ford Motor Company	200,689	114,289	64%
10	Ft Worth	TX	252,000	2002	Ford Motor Company	252,000		100%

Edgar Filing: CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORP - Form 10-K/A

11	Denver	CO	144,511	2002	Keebler Company	81,487	63,024	56%
12	Fremont	CA	105,700	2002	Tranax Technologies, Inc.	41,232	64,468	39%
13	Denver	CO	89,739	2002	Colorado Health Systems, Inc.	58,050	31,689	65%
14	Denver	CO	360,118	2001	Aspen Pet Products, Inc.	360,118		100%
15	Denver	CO	350,969	2001	United Stationers Supply Co.	350,969		100%
16	Woodridge	IL	167,529	2001	Metro Exhibit Corporation	167,529		100%
17	Denver	CO	161,511	2001	Loving-Kayman, LLC	161,511		100%
18	Rancho Cucamonga	CA	120,620	2001	Scripto-Tokai Corporation	120,620		100%
19	Fremont	CA	100,528	2001	Vacant		100,528	0%
20	Fremont	CA	65,332	2001	Vacant		65,332	0%
21	Woodridge	IL	513,674	2000	Prairie Packaging, Inc.	513,674		100%
22	Ontario	CA	504,530	2000	New Balance Athletic Shoe, Inc.	504,530		100%
23	Grand Prairie	TX	450,864	2000	Quaker Sales & Distribution, Inc.	450,864		100%
24	Rancho Cucamonga	CA	443,190	2000	APL Logistics Warehouse Mgmt.	443,190		100%
Svcs., Inc								

Edgar Filing: CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORP - Form 10-K/A

Rentable								
City	State	Square Feet	Year Built	Major Tenant	RSF Occupied	YR End Vacancy	Year-End Building Occupancy %	
25	Rancho Cucamonga	CA	441,970	2000	APL Logistics Warehouse Mgmt.	441,970		100%
					Svcs., Inc			
26	Grand Prairie	TX	422,622	2000	APL Logistics Warehouse Mgmt.	422,622		100%
					Svcs., Inc			
27	Ontario	CA	373,283	2000	The Hain Food Group	373,283		100%
28	Woodridge	IL	367,999	2000	Central American Distribution &	367,999		100%
					Transpor			
29	Ontario	CA	359,996	2000	The Gillette Company	359,996		100%
30	Woodridge	IL	263,007	2000	Corporate Express Office Products, Inc.	211,949	51,058	81%
31	Oakland	CA	147,500	2000	United States Postal Service	147,500		100%
32	Rancho Cucamonga	CA	56,490	2000	Carpenter Technology Corporation	56,490		100%
33	Romeoville	IL	532,560	1999	The Gillette Co.	532,560		100%
34	Grand Prairie	TX	423,700	1999	APL Logistics Warehouse Mgmt.	423,700		100%
					Svcs., Inc			
35	Romeoville	IL	402,266	1999	APL Logistics Warehouse Mgmt.	402,266		100%
					Svcs., Inc			
36	Woodridge	IL	396,489	1999	Central American Warehouse Co.	396,489		100%
37	Woodridge	IL	351,799	1999	United States Intermodal Services, LLC	351,799		100%
38	Grand Prairie	TX	343,200	1999	APL Logistics Warehouse Mgmt.	343,200		100%
					Svcs., Inc			
39	Fremont	CA	187,168	1999	Peripheral Computer Support	187,168		100%
40	Portland	OR	180,000	1999	Spicers, Inc.	180,000		100%
41	Louisville	KY	166,600	1999	Clark Material Handling Company	166,600		100%
42	Woodridge	IL	165,173	1999	Samuel Manu-Tech, Inc.	165,173		100%
43	Portland	OR	165,000	1999	Synetics Solutions, Inc.	165,000		100%
44	Denver	CO	156,139	1999	Marriott Distribution Services	156,139		100%
45	Woodridge	IL	114,591	1999	Packaging Consultants, Inc.	114,591		100%
46	Portland	OR	103,500	1999	Kinco International, Inc.	103,500		100%
47	Richmond	CA	88,845	1999	Kaiser Foundation Health Plan, Inc.	88,845		100%
48	Fremont	CA	60,000	1999	Fiberstars, Inc.	60,000		100%
49	Fremont	CA	53,395	1999	Sonic Manufacturing Technologies, Inc.	53,395		100%
50	Richmond	CA	42,500	1999	Kaiser Foundation Health Plan, Inc.	42,500		100%
51	Ontario	CA	526,408	1998	Sweetheart Holdings, Inc.	526,408		100%
52	Stockton	CA	500,199	1998	Kellogg's USA Inc.	500,199		100%
53	Denver	CO	325,999	1998	Quantum Logistics, Inc.	325,999		100%
54	Woodridge	IL	240,280	1998	APL Logistics Warehouse Mgmt. Svcs.,	240,280		100%
					Inc			
55	Industry	CA	183,855	1998	Liberty Glove, Inc.	183,855		100%
56	Oakland	CA	176,826	1998	Public Storage Pick-Up & Delivery, Inc.	176,826		100%
57	Woodridge	IL	158,871	1998	Rock-Tenn Converting Company	124,742	34,129	79%
58	Industry	CA	140,380	1998	Graybar Electric Company, Inc.	140,380		100%
59	Industry	CA	138,124	1998	Unipac Shipping Co./Continental Agency	138,124		100%
60	Denver	CO	129,442	1998	Callisto Corporation	129,442		100%
61	Industry	CA	109,448	1998	Playhut, Inc.	109,448		100%
62	Fremont	CA	102,626	1998	Mouse Systems	102,626		100%
63	Fremont	CA	476,177	1997	Office Depot, Inc.	476,177		100%
64	Aberdeen	MD	470,707	1997	Saks & Company	470,707		100%
65	Industry	CA	298,050	1997	Viewsonic Corporation	298,050		100%
66	Union City	CA	234,588	1997	Spicers Paper, Inc.	234,588		100%
67	Garland	TX	227,023	1997	Interceramic, Inc.	227,023		100%
68	Garland	TX	226,906	1997	Ascendant Solutions	226,906		100%
69	Ontario	CA	180,608	1997	Tyco Healthcare Group, LLP	180,608		100%

Edgar Filing: CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORP - Form 10-K/A

70	Fremont	CA	174,460	1997	Galgon Industries, Inc.	126,400	48,060	72%
71	Anaheim	CA	130,466	1997	Anixter Inc.	130,466		100%
72	Fremont	CA	127,452	1997	Victron, Inc.	127,452		100%
73	Ontario	CA	37,000	1997	Los Angeles Times Communications,	37,000		100%
					LLC			
74	Industry	CA	230,992	1996	Owens & Minor West, Inc.	230,992		100%
75	Ontario	CA	201,454	1996	McLane Company, Inc.	201,454		100%
76	Fremont	CA	158,400	1996	Home Depot USA, Inc.	158,400		100%

Edgar Filing: CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORP - Form 10-K/A

Rentable								
City	State	Square Feet	Year Built	Major Tenant	RSF Occupied	YR End Vacancy	Year-End Building Occupancy %	
77	Oklahoma City	OK	124,905	1996	Pollock Investments Inc.	60,000	64,905	48%
78	Fremont	CA	114,948	1996	Menlo Logistics, Inc.	114,948		100%
79	Fremont	CA	94,080	1996	Galgon Industries, Inc.	58,368	35,712	62%
80	Vernon	CA	41,712	1996	Lucky Brand Dungarees, Inc.	41,712		100%
81	Vernon	CA	27,798	1996	Vacant		27,798	0%
82	Ontario	CA	300,136	1995	Dunlop Tire Corp.	300,136		100%
83	Santa Fe Springs	CA	100,000	1995	Spicers Paper, Inc.	100,000		100%
<b>Subtotal 1995-2002</b>			<b>21,954,180</b>		<b>(83 buildings)</b>	<b>20,771,742</b>	<b>1,182,438</b>	<b>95%</b>
1	Grove City	OH	300,211	1994	Vista Packaging, Inc.	300,211		100%
2	Garland	TX	262,000	1994	Interceramic, Inc	262,000		100%
3	Fullerton	CA	100,000	1994	Adams Rite Aerospace, Inc.	100,000		100%
4	Anaheim	CA	17,575	1994	Los Angeles Times Communications	17,575		100%
LLC								
5	Grove City	OH	360,412	1993	McKesson Medical-Surgical	331,052	29,360	92%
Minnesota Inc.								
6	Grove City	OH	305,268	1993	McGraw Hill	305,268		100%
7	Woodridge	IL	261,400	1993	Dollar Tree Stores, Inc.	261,400		100%
8	Ontario	CA	149,406	1992	THMX Holdings, LLC	149,406		100%
9	Livermore	CA	148,440	1992	Owens & Minor West	148,440		100%
10	Woodridge	IL	148,416	1992	Multifoods Distribution Group, Inc.	148,416		100%
11	Anaheim	CA	130,595	1992	Micro Technology, Inc.	130,595		100%
12	Anaheim	CA	79,846	1992	Partition Installations, Inc.	79,846		100%
13	Vernon	CA	47,000	1992	John S. Dull & Associates, Inc.	47,000		100%
14	Anaheim	CA	36,800	1992	SCP Superior Acquisition Company,	36,800		100%
LLC.								
15	Anaheim	CA	26,200	1992	S-B Power Tool Company	26,200		100%
16	Industry	CA	449,049	1991	Circuit City Stores, Inc.	449,049		100%
17	Woodridge	IL	265,057	1991	Sportmart, Inc.	265,057		100%
18	Woodridge	IL	116,544	1991	Argo Turboserve Corporation	116,544		100%
19	Union City	CA	105,408	1991	Anixter Bros, Inc.	46,848	58,560	44%
20	Vernon	CA	49,250	1991	Brambles Info. Mgmt., Inc.	49,250		100%
21	Santa Fe Springs	CA	42,890	1991	Highlight Graphics	35,990	6,900	84%
22	Santa Fe Springs	CA	37,268	1991	Hotchkis Performance	37,268		100%
23	Santa Fe Springs	CA	31,638	1991	Polestar, Inc.	31,638		100%
24	Vernon	CA	30,840	1991	Monami Textile, Inc.	30,840		100%
25	Vernon	CA	30,840	1991	Alto Products	30,840		100%
26	Santa Fe Springs	CA	11,929	1991	Marinco Electric Inc.	7,994	3,935	67%
27	Santa Fe Springs	CA	11,045	1991	Dover Resources Inc	9,750	1,295	88%
28	Ontario	CA	412,944	1990	Cott Beverages USA, Inc.	412,944		100%
29	Santa Fe Springs	CA	237,814	1990	La Salle Paper Company, Inc.	237,814		100%
30	Garland	TX	200,000	1990	Sears Logistics Services, Inc.	200,000		100%
31	Tempe	AZ	165,646	1990	Vacant		165,646	0%
32	Ontario	CA	141,150	1990	H. Tedmori, Inc.	141,150		100%
33	Livermore	CA	131,128	1990	Nature Kist	131,128		100%
34	Union City	CA	116,993	1990	Tyco Printed Circuit Group LLP	116,993		100%
35	Vernon	CA	48,187	1990	Mister S	48,187		100%
36	Vernon	CA	26,923	1990	Barth and Dreyfuss Of California	26,923		100%
37	Vernon	CA	26,653	1990	Maruhana U.S.A., Corp.	26,653		100%
<b>Subtotal 1990-1994</b>			<b>5,062,765</b>		<b>(37 buildings)</b>	<b>4,797,069</b>	<b>265,696</b>	<b>95%</b>
1	Stockton	CA	435,609	1989	Ralphs Grocery Co.	435,609		100%
2	Ontario	CA	405,864	1989	Exel Inc.	405,864		100%
3	Anaheim	CA	39,285	1989	V & M Restoration	39,285		100%

Edgar Filing: CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORP - Form 10-K/A

4	Anaheim	CA	28,185	1989	Shaxon Industries	28,185	100%
5	Santa Ana	CA	24,968	1989	Severn Trent Laboratories, Inc.	24,968	100%
6	Anaheim	CA	24,955	1989	Specification Seals Co.	24,955	100%
7	Anaheim	CA	20,705	1989	Automation Products	20,705	100%
8	Phoenix	AZ	206,263	1988	Freeport Logistics Inc.	206,263	100%
9	Vernon	CA	137,307	1988	Pepboys Of California	137,307	100%

Edgar Filing: CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORP - Form 10-K/A

		Rentable					Year-End	
City	State	Square Feet	Year Built	Major Tenant	RSF Occupied	YR End Vacancy	Building Occupancy %	
10	Tempe	AZ	133,291	1988	Eagle Global Logistics	133,291		100%
11	Carson	CA	133,240	1988	F.R.T. International, Inc.	133,240		100%
12	Carson	CA	118,545	1988	Expeditors International	118,545		100%
13	Union City	CA	115,200	1988	California Equipment Distributors, Inc.	115,200		100%
14	Livermore	CA	92,022	1988	Trans Western Polymers, Inc.	92,022		100%
15	Vernon	CA	85,349	1988	Rayem Investments, Inc.	85,205	144	100%
16	Union City	CA	82,944	1988	Orthopedic Systems, Inc.	82,944		100%
17	Union City	CA	77,760	1988	National Retail Transportation, Inc.	77,760		100%
18	Livermore	CA	76,800	1988	Trans Western Polymers, Inc.	76,800		100%
19	Tustin	CA	69,763	1988	Terumo Medical Corporation	69,763		100%
20	Tustin	CA	59,505	1988	GE Medical Systems Info Technologies, Inc.	59,505		100%
21	Orange	CA	54,177	1988	Freedom Communications Inc.	54,177		100%
22	Santa Ana	CA	36,225	1988	Applied Industrial Technology, Inc.	36,225		100%
23	Los Angeles	CA	31,311	1988	Tanimura Distributing	31,311		100%
24	Rancho Cucamonga	CA	419,064	1987	Weingart Foundation	419,064		100%
25	Stockton	CA	314,392	1987	Ralphs Grocery Co.	314,392		100%
26	Phoenix	AZ	221,116	1987	Huhtamaki Plastics, Inc.	221,116		100%
27	Santa Fe Springs	CA	98,882	1987	Galleher Hardwood Company	98,882		100%
28	Union City	CA	88,704	1987	Am-Pac Tire Distribution, Inc.	88,704		100%
29	Union City	CA	86,496	1987	Logitech, Inc.	86,496		100%
30	Santa Fe Springs	CA	70,756	1987	Atlantic, Inc.	70,756		100%
31	Anaheim	CA	52,965	1987	Mintek Digital, Inc.	52,965		100%
32	Anaheim	CA	51,153	1987	Meiho Technology, Inc.	51,153		100%
33	Union City	CA	44,909	1987	Exp Pharmaceutical Waste Management, Inc.	44,909		100%
34	Anaheim	CA	43,428	1987	United Media Services, Inc.	43,428		100%
35	Anaheim	CA	32,074	1987	Saint-Gobain Industrial Ceramics, Inc.	32,074		100%
36	Los Angeles	CA	30,104	1987	Tanimura Distributing	30,104		100%
37	La Mirada	CA	220,000	1986	Mohawk Industries, Inc.	220,000		100%
38	Union City	CA	126,144	1986	Runco International, Inc.	47,852	78,292	38%
39	Orange	CA	108,222	1986	Data Aire, Inc.	108,222		100%
40	Tempe	AZ	101,601	1986	Triumph / Stolper	101,601		100%
41	Tempe	AZ	93,366	1986	Southern Wine and Spirits	93,366		100%
42	Vernon	CA	77,184	1986	Jade Apparel, Inc.	77,184		100%
43	Tustin	CA	75,226	1986	Scan-Tron Corporation	75,226		100%
44	Orange	CA	42,918	1986	Mailing and Marketing, Inc.	42,918		100%
45	Orange	CA	35,000	1986	Cano Container Corporation	35,000		100%
46	Vernon	CA	28,875	1986	Master Knits USA, Inc.	28,875		100%
47	Fullerton	CA	50,000	1985	Sonic Air Systems, Inc.	50,000		100%
48	Anaheim	CA	20,769	1985	Fremont Investment & Loan	20,769		100%
<b>Subtotal 1985-1989</b>			<b>5,022,621</b>		<b>(48 buildings)</b>	<b>4,944,185</b>	<b>78,436</b>	<b>98%</b>
1	Sacramento	CA	46,500	1983	Competition Parts Warehouse	46,500		100%
2	Sacramento	CA	21,976	1983	Competition Parts Warehouse	21,976		100%
3	Sacramento	CA	21,000	1983	American River Flood Control	21,000		100%
4	Sacramento	CA	21,000	1983	American River Flood Control	21,000		100%
5	Fullerton	CA	97,056	1980	Modular Systems Services, Inc.	97,056		100%
6	Vernon	CA	10,600	1980	U.S. Filter Distribution Group	10,600		100%
7	Phoenix	AZ	78,327	1976	Willey Brothers, Inc.	50,913	27,414	65%
8	Tustin	CA	65,910	1975	ADC Telecommunications, Inc.	65,910		100%
9	Houston	TX	57,058	1975	Insituform Technologies, Inc.	57,058		100%
10	San Diego	CA	32,905	1971	Michael Culleton	32,905		100%
11	San Diego	CA	21,507	1971	Refrigeration Supplies Dist.	21,507		100%

Edgar Filing: CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORP - Form 10-K/A

12	San Diego	CA	18,001	1971	Ljungquist Enterprises, Inc.	18,001	100%
13	San Diego	CA	14,401	1971	Oceanus Press	14,401	100%
14	San Diego	CA	14,000	1971	California Board Sports	14,000	100%
15	San Diego	CA	12,822	1971	Transwestern Publishing	12,822	100%
16	San Diego	CA	12,801	1971	Aquatic Design System	12,801	100%
17	San Diego	CA	12,599	1971	Nico & Associates, Inc.	12,599	100%



		Rentable						Year-End
City	State	Square Feet	Year Built	Major Tenant	RSF Occupied	YR End Vacancy	Building Occupancy %	
18	San Diego	CA	11,200	1971	Insight Systems LLC	11,200		100%
19	San Diego	CA	9,928	1971	Vacant		9,928	0%
20	San Diego	CA	9,600	1971	Smalley & Company	9,600		100%
21	San Diego	CA	9,599	1971	Environmental Spray, Inc.	9,599		100%
22	San Diego	CA	8,400	1971	Taiwanese American Foundation	8,400		100%
23	Tustin	CA	39,600	1966	Action Wholesale Products, Inc.	39,600		100%
24	Phoenix	AZ	83,317	1950	Reliant Building Products, Inc	83,317		100%
25	Phoenix	AZ	40,495	1950	Reliant Building Products, Inc	40,495		100%
26	Vernon	CA	15,288	1940	A. Rudin, Inc.	15,288		100%
27	Vernon	CA	48,315	1937	Griffith Micro Science, Inc.	48,315		100%
28	Topeka	KS	70,266	1931	Capital Label, LLC	26,896	43,370	38%
<b>Subtotal Pre-1985</b>			<b>904,471</b>		<b>(28 buildings)</b>	<b>823,759</b>	<b>80,712</b>	<b>91%</b>
<b>Total Industrial</b>			<b>32,944,037</b>		<b>(196 buildings-Average Age 6.5 Years)</b>	<b>31,336,755</b>	<b>1,607,282</b>	<b>95%</b>
<b>Office Property:</b>								
1	San Francisco	CA	282,773	2002	The Gap, Inc.	282,773		100%
2	Westminster	CO	151,040	2002	CSG Systems, Inc.	87,468	63,572	58%
3	Glenview	IL	116,015	2002	AC Neilson Company	18,499	97,516	16%
4	Coppell	TX	101,844	2002	Washington Mutual Bank	101,844		100%
5	Westminster	CO	121,461	2001	American Skandia Life Assurance	121,461		100%
6	Woodridge	IL	97,964	1991	Argonne National Laboratory	97,964		100%
7	Anaheim	CA	94,086	1990	Fremont Investment & Loan	86,479	7,607	92%
8	Corona	CA	61,724	1990	Centex Real Estate Corp	60,013	1,711	97%
9	Santa Ana	CA	66,106	1989	County Of Orange	66,106		100%
10	Northridge	CA	56,964	1988	101 Communications LLC	56,964		100%
11	Northridge	CA	53,292	1988	Washington Mutual	53,292		100%
12	Northridge	CA	43,117	1988	Synergistic Systems Inc.	43,117		100%
13	San Jose	CA	70,903	1986	Aon Service Corporation	59,003	11,900	83%
14	San Jose	CA	69,956	1986	Puma Technology Inc.	69,956		100%
15	Northridge	CA	60,175	1986	Washington Mutual Bank	59,971	204	100%
16	Orange	CA	40,000	1986	Control Air Corporation	40,000		100%
17	San Jose	CA	77,092	1985	MCI Worldcom Communications, Inc.	70,924	6,168	92%
18	San Jose	CA	71,514	1985	Parametric Technology Corporation	63,261	8,253	88%
19	San Jose	CA	69,952	1985	Porter Novelli Inc.	65,924	4,028	94%
20	San Jose	CA	67,317	1985	MCI Worldcom Communications, Inc.	44,447	22,870	66%
<b>Subtotal 1985-2002</b>			<b>1,773,295</b>		<b>(20 buildings)</b>	<b>1,549,466</b>	<b>223,829</b>	<b>87%</b>
1	Santa Ana	CA	52,133	1983	Nations Direct Lender & Ins.	45,938	6,195	88%
2	Portland	OR	56,934	1979	Anesthesiologists Assoc. Inc.	49,437	7,497	87%
3	Irving	TX	69,049	1978	General Motors Corporation	67,310	1,739	97%
4	Dallas	TX	473,090	1975	J. C. Penney Company, Inc.	434,582	38,508	92%
5	Dallas	TX	224,211	1975	J. C. Penney Company, Inc.	224,211		100%
6	Sacramento	CA	24,671	1975	Community Health Charities	9,931	14,740	40%
7	Sacramento	CA	11,542	1975	Cal Assoc. For Local Econ Dev.	11,542		100%
8	Sacramento	CA	7,987	1975	Law Offices Of W. Scott De Bie	5,946	2,041	74%
9	Sacramento	CA	53,696	1974	Volunteers Of America	41,173	12,523	77%
10	Newport Beach	CA	24,018	1972	Express Capital Lending	21,815	2,204	91%
11	Newport Beach	CA	22,727	1972	United Auto Credit Corporation	20,524	2,204	90%
12	Chicago	IL	370,263	1903	Skidmore, Owings & Merrill LLP	325,357	44,906	88%
<b>Subtotal Pre-1985</b>			<b>1,390,321</b>		<b>(12 buildings)</b>	<b>1,257,765</b>	<b>132,556</b>	<b>90%</b>
<b>Total Office</b>			<b>3,163,616</b>		<b>(32 buildings)</b>	<b>2,807,231</b>	<b>356,385</b>	<b>89%</b>
<b>Retail Property:</b>								

Edgar Filing: CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORP - Form 10-K/A

1	Tucson	AZ	51,242	2002	Fleming Companies, Inc.	51,242			100%
2	Tucson	AZ	12,414	2002	Curves for Women	3,505	8,909		28%
3	Tucson	AZ	5,840	2002	Ole Mexican Grille	3,450	2,390		59%
4	Tucson	AZ	4,950	2002	Top 10 Nails	1,950	3,000		39%
5	Emeryville	CA	23,923	2001	Michaels Stores, Inc.	23,923			100%
6	Emeryville	CA	117,000	1994	Home Depot USA, Inc.	117,000			100%

		Rentable						Year-End
City	State	Square Feet	Year Built	Major Tenant	RSF Occupied	YR End Vacancy	Building Occupancy %	
7	Emeryville	CA	102,501	1994	Home Depot USA, Inc.	102,501	100%	
8	Emeryville	CA	96,954	1994	Sportmart, Inc.	96,954	100%	
9	Emeryville	CA	59,195	1994	Pak N Save	59,195	100%	
10	Emeryville	CA	4,897	1994	Mattress Discounters Corporation	4,897	100%	
11	Emeryville	CA	3,561	1994	Designs CMAL Store Inc.	3,561	100%	
12	Emeryville	CA	3,537	1994	Walker, Robin M. and Swarm, Ezel N.	3,537	100%	
13	Anaheim	CA	12,307	1985	Auto Insurance Spclsts-L.B Inc	7,039	5,268	57%
14	Anaheim	CA	10,668	1985	Koosharem Corp	5,002	5,666	47%
<b>Subtotal 1985-2002</b>			<b>508,989</b>		<b>(14 buildings)</b>	<b>483,756</b>	<b>25,233</b>	<b>95%</b>
1	Woodland Hills	CA	72,765	1973	Toys R Us Inc.	72,765		100%
2	Woodland Hills	CA	11,317	1973	Shelley S Stereo	11,317		100%
3	Denver	CO	99,627	1971	King Soopers Inc.	91,672	7,955	92%
4	Livermore	CA	69,224	1970	Lucky Stores, Inc	59,412	9,812	86%
5	Tustin	CA	39,600	1968	Micro Center	39,600		100%
6	Portland	OR	25,284	1968	Bank Of The West	15,186	10,098	60%
7	Portland	OR	11,998	1968	Hollywood Video	10,610	1,388	88%
8	Woodland Hills	CA	29,071	1965	Strouds The Linen Experts	28,927	144	100%
<b>Subtotal Pre-1985</b>			<b>358,886</b>		<b>(8 buildings)</b>	<b>329,489</b>	<b>29,397</b>	<b>92%</b>
<b>Total Retail</b>			<b>867,875</b>		<b>(22 buildings)</b>	<b>813,245</b>	<b>54,630</b>	<b>94%</b>
<b>Grand Total</b>			<b>36,975,528</b>		<b>(250 buildings)</b>	<b>34,957,231</b>	<b>2,018,297</b>	<b>95%</b>

### Building Occupancy

The rental buildings were 94.5% leased as of December 31, 2002. Sixty-two percent of the total square footage of the rental buildings in our portfolio was constructed between 1995 and 2002, 15% between 1990 and 1994, 16% between 1985 and 1989, and the remaining 7% prior to 1985. Our goal is to continually upgrade the quality of our portfolio; correspondingly, certain older buildings and other properties are likely to be sold over time.

*Leasing.* The following table summarizes our leasing statistics for our rental portfolio:

	As of December 31,		
	2002	2001	2000
	(Square feet in thousands)		
Industrial Buildings			
Square feet owned	32,944	27,594	26,251
Square feet leased	31,337	26,103	25,143
Percent leased	95.1%	94.6%	95.8%
Office Buildings			
Square feet owned	3,164	2,442	1,625

Edgar Filing: CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORP - Form 10-K/A

Square feet leased	2,807	2,260	1,513
Percent leased	88.7%	92.5%	93.1%
<b>Retail Buildings</b>			
Square feet owned	868	864	880
Square feet leased	813	820	856
Percent leased	93.7%	94.9%	97.3%
<b>Total</b>			
Square feet owned	36,976	30,900	28,756
Square feet leased	34,957	29,183	27,512
Percent leased	94.5%	94.4%	95.7%

Edgar Filing: CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORP - Form 10-K/A

*Lease Expirations.* The following table summarizes our lease expirations in our rental portfolio as of December 31, 2002:

	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>Thereafter</u>
Percent	12.2%	10.5%	15.0%	9.0%	9.9%	2.5%	7.0%	6.5%	4.6%	22.8%
Square feet (in thousands)	4,252	3,673	5,249	3,156	3,461	874	2,430	2,270	1,602	7,990

Approximately 127,000 square feet of month-to-month leases are shown as expiring in 2003.

### Rental Portfolio

Following is a discussion of our rental portfolio by property type:

#### *Industrial Buildings*

The following table summarizes the industrial buildings in our rental portfolio as of, or for, the year ended December 31, 2002:

	<u>Number</u>	<u>Square Feet</u>	<u>Revenues</u>	<u>Property Operating Costs</u>	<u>Property Operating Income</u>
<u>of Buildings</u>					
(In thousands, except for number of buildings)					
Southern California	99	12,200	\$ 62,952	\$ 11,484	\$ 51,468
Northern California	39	5,773	35,550	7,915	27,635
Illinois	18	5,921	25,799	6,873	18,926
Texas	11	3,264	11,995	2,872	9,123
Colorado	9	2,033	10,095	2,590	7,505
Arizona	9	1,123	4,042	1,901	2,141
Maryland	1	471	3,402	296	3,106
Ohio	3	966	2,960	567	2,393
Oregon	3	449	2,898	529	2,369
Kentucky	2	549	1,141	169	972
Other	2	195	302	196	106
<b>Total</b>	<b>196</b>	<b>32,944</b>	<b>\$ 161,136</b>	<b>\$ 35,392</b>	<b>\$ 125,744</b>

The following table summarizes the lease expirations for our industrial buildings as of December 31, 2002:

Edgar Filing: CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORP - Form 10-K/A

	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>Thereafter</u>
Percent	12.2%	10.4%	14.6%	9.4%	9.4%	2.1%	7.0%	7.1%	4.6%	23.2%
Square feet (in thousands)	3,838	3,258	4,560	2,961	2,935	645	2,184	2,239	1,450	7,267

Of the 3.8 million square feet of leased industrial space that is scheduled to expire in 2003, 46% is located in Southern California, 16% in Northern California, 16% in Ohio, 13% in Illinois, and the remaining 9% in three other states. Approximately 116,000 square feet of month-to-month leases are shown as expiring in 2003.

In 2002, we completed and added to our rental portfolio 5.6 million square feet of industrial buildings. In addition, during the year, we purchased 0.4 million square feet and sold 0.7 million square feet of industrial buildings.



Edgar Filing: CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORP - Form 10-K/A

				Costs	Income
(In thousands, except for number of buildings)					
Northern California	9	481	\$ 8,981	\$ 2,783	\$ 6,198
Southern California	6	176	3,816	965	2,851
Arizona	4	74	446	84	362
Oregon	2	37	565	247	318
Colorado	1	100	1,480	484	996
<b>Totals</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>868</b>	<b>\$ 15,288</b>	<b>\$ 4,563</b>	<b>\$ 10,725</b>

The following table summarizes the lease expirations for our retail buildings as of December 31, 2002:

	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Thereafter
Percent	6.8%	13.6%	5.5%	8.0%	2.2%	5.9%	14.0%	3.7%	3.0%	37.3%
Square feet (in thousands)	55	111	45	65	18	48	114	30	24	303

Of the 55,000 square feet of leased retail space scheduled to expire in 2003, 85% is located in Southern California and 15% is in Colorado. In 2002, we completed and added to our portfolio 72,000 square feet of retail buildings and sold an older 70,000-square-foot retail building.



**Ground Leases and Other Properties**

**Ground Leases**

We own approximately 8,000 acres of ground leases, of which approximately 1,200 acres are being marketed for sale.

The following table summarizes our ground leases for the year ended December 31, 2002:

	<u>Revenues</u>	<u>Property Operating Costs</u>	<u>Property Operating Income</u>
	(In thousands)		
Southern California	\$ 11,184	\$ 1,279	\$ 9,905
Northern California	8,121	633	7,488
Other states	5,800	1,922	3,878
<b>Totals</b>	<b>\$ 25,105</b>	<b>\$ 3,834</b>	<b>\$ 21,271</b>

**Other Properties**

In addition to 37 million square feet of buildings in our rental portfolio, we also own other income-generating properties at our Urban Group projects that we intend to convert to land development ( Other Property ). As of December 31, 2002, our Other Property portfolio included 15 buildings aggregating approximately 755,000 square feet, which were 84.8% leased, and several parking lots. We expect that the level of income generated from this category will decline as development occurs over the next several years.

The following table summarizes our other property portfolio as of, or for, the year ended December 31, 2002:

	<u>Number of Buildings</u>	<u>Square Feet<sup>(1)</sup></u>	<u>Revenues</u>	<u>Property Operating Costs</u>	<u>Property Operating Income</u>
	(In thousands, except for number of buildings)				
Northern California	10	628	\$ 5,409	\$ 1,164	\$ 4,245
Southern California	5	127	6,039	3,796	2,243

Edgar Filing: CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORP - Form 10-K/A

Totals	15	755	\$ 11,448	\$ 4,960	\$ 6,488
--------	----	-----	-----------	----------	----------

(1) Other Property is not included in the total square feet of rental portfolio.

**Operating Joint Venture Portfolio**

The Asset Management Group had direct or indirect equity interests in four joint ventures that owned rental properties during the year. The joint ventures provided us with cash distributions of \$6.1 million and earnings of \$8.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2002. The joint venture agreements of these joint ventures contain provisions with certain safeguard features for our investments, such as voting rights in major decisions of the joint ventures, and venture partners' consents on sales of a venture partner's ownership interest.

We owned joint venture interests in the following operating properties for the years presented.

	No. of Ventures	Size	Ownership Interest	Equity in Earnings		
				Year Ended December 31,		
				2002	2001	2000
				(In thousands)		
Hotel <sup>(1)</sup>	3	1,937 rooms	25-50%	\$ 8,213	\$ 8,570	\$ 9,835
Office	1	202,000 sq. ft.	67%	64	263	(26)
<b>Total</b>	<b>4</b>			<b>\$ 8,277</b>	<b>\$ 8,833</b>	<b>\$ 9,809</b>

(1) Includes a hotel parking lot joint venture.

**Sales**

During 2002, we sold property from our rental portfolio. Of the sales revenue in 2002, approximately \$11.7 million came from the sale to tenants of older buildings totaling 227,000 square feet; approximately \$22.5 million from the sale to investors of buildings totaling 542,000 square feet that were built in the 1970s and 1980s; and approximately \$9 million from the sale of approximately 1,100 acres of land subject to ground leases.

The following table summarizes the sales of our rental properties, before the adjustments for discontinued operations for the years presented:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2002	2001	2000
	(In thousands)		
Total sales:			
Sales revenue	\$ 43,184	\$ 71,818	\$ 89,323
Cost of sales	(14,256)	(30,744)	(46,410)
Gain on property sales	\$ 28,928	\$ 41,074	\$ 42,913

See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of this Form 10-K for more information regarding our sales activity.

**Other Land Holdings**

As of December 31, 2002, we own approximately 256,000 acres of land in the Southern California desert. The ownership of these desert properties is the result of historical land grants to our railroad predecessors. Because of its location, lack of contiguity among parcels, and other factors, much of this land is not currently suitable for traditional development activities. We have assessed the desert portfolio to explore the potential for agricultural, mineral, water, telecommunications, energy, and waste management uses for these properties and concluded that the land, although valuable, does not fit within our overall corporate strategy.

Since December 31, 1998, our portfolio of desert holdings has declined from approximately 784,000 to 256,000 acres, primarily as a result of sales activity. In 2000, we sold approximately 437,000 acres of desert holdings and 20,000 acres of severed mineral rights to the federal government, through an agreement with The Wildlands Conservancy ( TWC ), for \$45.1 million. In late 2001, we amended our agreement with TWC to provide for additional, future sales of up to approximately 170,000 acres of desert land for approximately \$13.6 million. We closed on the sale of approximately 94,000 acres of these lands to the federal government in 2002 at a price of \$7.5 million. We anticipate closing on approximately 62,000 acres at a price of \$5.0 million in March 2003 and on approximately 8,000 acres at a price of \$0.7 million in June 2003. The closing of these sales would conclude our current agreement with TWC.

Upon completion of TWC-related sales, we will own approximately 186,000 acres of desert land. We are currently in negotiations with the federal government regarding an option agreement that would cover the sale of up to 100,000 acres as mitigation for impacts on threatened and

## Edgar Filing: CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORP - Form 10-K/A

endangered species of the proposed expansion of a Department of Defense installation in the California desert. An additional 30,000 acres are contemplated for disposition through an exchange with the federal government. The remaining 56,000 acres are being marketed for sale to private parties on a portfolio and individual property basis.

We will continue to pursue sale, lease, and exchange opportunities involving public and private buyers, as well as other arrangements to maximize the value of this land. These transactions are often complicated and, therefore, may take a significant amount of time to complete. No binding agreements have been entered on any of the major dispositions of the remaining 186,000 acres and no assurance can be made that the dispositions will occur as outlined.

See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Gain on Non-Strategic Asset Sales of this Form 10-K for information regarding the aggregate total of non-strategic asset sales.

## Sales

The following table summarizes our sales of other land holdings for the periods presented:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2002	2001	2000
	(In thousands)		
Sales	\$ 8,373	\$ 4,161	\$ 50,759
Cost of sales	(1,109)	(252)	(4,480)
Gain	\$ 7,264	\$ 3,909	\$ 46,279

## Suburban Commercial Group

The Suburban Commercial Group develops suburban commercial business parks comprised of predominantly industrial buildings on land we have acquired or that is included in our historic portfolio. Our suburban commercial development activities include (1) the acquisition and entitlement of commercial land sites; (2) the construction of predominantly industrial pre-leased buildings and non-pre-leased buildings to be added to our rental portfolio, some of which may be subject to tenant purchase options; (3) the construction of predominantly industrial buildings on land we own, for sale to users; (4) the construction of predominantly industrial buildings for sale to investors; and (5) the sale of land to third parties for their own development. In certain instances, we have generated development and management fees from design-build services and construction management services.

In 2002, the Suburban Commercial Group commenced construction on 3.3 million square feet of commercial development. It completed approximately 6.1 million square feet of construction, all of which were added to our rental portfolio. As of December 31, 2002, the group had approximately 3.3 million square feet under construction, 1.9 million square feet of which is scheduled to be added to our rental portfolio upon completion, although certain of these properties may be sold.

## Sales

During 2002, we sold improved land capable of supporting 3.8 million square feet of commercial development.

The following table summarizes sales of our commercial development properties in the periods presented:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2002	2001	2000
	(In thousands)		
Sales	\$ 52,966	\$ 75,686	\$ 68,951
Cost of sales	(42,689)	(50,896)	(52,415)
Gain on property sales	10,277	24,790	16,536
Equity in earnings of development joint ventures		9	13
Total gain on property sales	\$ 10,277	\$ 24,799	\$ 16,549

The 2002 gain came from land sales to developers and other users in our suburban business parks.

See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of this Form 10-K for more information regarding our sales activity.

**Suburban Commercial Developable Land Inventory**

Our existing developable land can support an estimated 25.9 million square feet of new development based upon current entitlements.

In 2002, we invested approximately \$8 million in the acquisition of land capable of supporting approximately 3 million square feet of commercial development.

The following table summarizes our commercial developable land inventory activity by location as of, or for, the year ended December 31, 2002:

Region/State/City	Potential Development	Revisions/ Transfers	Acquisitions	Ground Leases and Sales	Development	Potential Development	% of Total	Book Value
	12/31/01	(1)				12/31/02		(000 s)
(Square feet in thousands)								
<b>Southern California</b>								
Rancho Cucamonga	812	(2)		(24)	(468)	318		\$ 3,560
Ontario	2,016					2,016		3,609
Anaheim	44	13		(13)		44		2,810
Northridge	44			(44)				
Fontana (Kaiser)	7,563	(238)		(2,933)	(1,178)	3,214		29,997
<b>Subtotal Southern California</b>	<b>10,479</b>	<b>(227)</b>		<b>(3,014)</b>	<b>(1,646)</b>	<b>5,592</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>39,976</b>
<b>Northern California</b>								
Alameda	1,300					1,300 <sup>(2)</sup>		8,458
Richmond	89					89		833
Fremont	3,755	(84)		(37)		3,634		20,921
Stockton			2,000			2,000		2,571
Manteca	542	144				686		3,420
<b>Subtotal Northern California</b>	<b>5,686</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>2,000</b>	<b>(37)</b>		<b>7,709</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>36,203</b>
<b>Total in California</b>	<b>16,165</b>	<b>(167)</b>	<b>2,000</b>	<b>(3,051)</b>	<b>(1,646)</b>	<b>13,301</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>76,179</b>
<b>Illinois</b>								
Woodridge	976					976		7,678
Glenview	680			(243)		437 <sup>(3)</sup>		(2,451)
Romeoville	448				(346)	102		(596)
Minooka	1,710		588			2,298 <sup>(4)</sup>		5,595
Joliet	370					370		85
<b>Subtotal Illinois</b>	<b>4,184</b>		<b>588</b>	<b>(243)</b>	<b>(346)</b>	<b>4,183</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>10,311</b>
<b>Texas</b>								
Coppell	1,120					1,120		12,914
Garland	983			(220)		763		2,312
Grand Prairie	814					814		2,599
Houston	1,969					1,969		1,254
Ft. Worth			356		(252)	104		1,425

Edgar Filing: CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORP - Form 10-K/A

Plano	368		35			403		1,171
Subtotal Texas	5,254		391	(220)	(252)	5,173	20%	21,675
<b>Other</b>								
Denver, CO	925			(145)	(171)	609		23,690
Westminster, CO	685					685		21,649
Oklahoma, OK	300					300		46
Louisville, KY	545					545		1,633
Gresham/Portland, OR	1,459			(148)	(200)	1,111		7,554
Subtotal Other	3,914			(293)	(371)	3,250	13%	54,572
<b>Total Outside of California</b>	<b>13,352</b>		<b>979</b>	<b>(756)</b>	<b>(969)</b>	<b>12,606</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>86,559</b>
<b>Total Entitlements</b>	<b>29,517</b>	<b>(167)</b>	<b>2,979</b>	<b>(3,807)</b>	<b>(2,615)</b>	<b>25,907</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>162,737</b>
<b>Approvals in progress (included in total entitlements)</b>								
	1,327					1,327		
<b>Other</b>								9,187
<b>Total</b>								<b>\$ 171,924</b>

- (1) Includes revisions to estimates of potential development or transfers of property between commercial development and other categories of property.
- (2) See summary of Alameda, California, project following this section.
- (3) Included in this balance is 425,000 square feet that is under option.
- (4) Excluded from this balance is approximately 4.8 million square feet that is under option.



The following is a brief summary of some of the significant suburban commercial development projects and development activities.

***Pacific Commons, Fremont, California.*** Pacific Commons is one of our largest development projects and also one of the largest planned business parks in Silicon Valley. The project, which is adjacent to Interstate 880 sixteen miles north of San Jose, consists of 900 acres, of which approximately 375 acres are planned and an additional 8.3 million square feet have been designated for development. Since inception, we have developed, constructed, sold, or leased approximately 4.7 million square feet of R&D, light industrial, and warehouse properties at Pacific Commons. In 2002, we sold approximately 37,000 square feet, leaving 3.6 million square feet or 118.4 net acres available for future development.

***Kaiser Commerce Center, Fontana, California.*** In 2000, one of our wholly owned subsidiaries acquired this former steel mill site in Fontana, California, located in the heart of one of the nation's most active distribution centers near the intersection of Interstates 15 and 10. The property is served by both Union Pacific and Burlington Northern Santa Fe railroads and is six miles from the Ontario International Airport. Plans for the development include a 9 million-square-foot industrial park. At, or as of, December 31, 2002, approximately 1.4 million square feet had been completed, 1.2 million square feet are under construction, and 2.9 million square feet had been sold, leaving approximately 3.2 million square feet, or 191.9 net acres, available for development.

***Alameda, California.*** In 1998, we were selected by the City of Alameda, California, as the master developer for the former 145-acre U.S. Navy Fleet Industrial Supply Center, Alameda Annex, and the adjacent 70-acre portion of the former Alameda Naval Air Station. In June 2000, we were granted entitlements to develop up to 1.3 million square feet of office commercial space and approximately 500 single-family homes.

The commercial portion of the Alameda development is divided into six land purchase phases of approximately 14 acres each. Under the agreement, the City of Alameda must deliver the land with environmental remediation and demolition of existing structures completed, and the City of Alameda must build all backbone infrastructures. Until Alameda satisfies all of these obligations, Catellus is not obligated to purchase the land. Purchases are staged every two years, but can be delayed by poor market conditions like the ones we are currently experiencing. Catellus has not purchased any of the lots as of the end of 2002.

***Robert Mueller Municipal Airport, Austin, Texas.*** In April 2002, we were selected by the City Council of Austin, Texas, as the master developer for the redevelopment of the Robert Mueller Municipal Airport in Austin. The 709-acre former airport site is located adjacent to Interstate 35 near the campus of the University of Texas and is less than three miles from the state capitol in downtown Austin. The site was decommissioned as Austin's primary passenger airport in May of 1999.

The Redevelopment and Reuse Plan for the Mueller Airport includes plans for 5 million square feet of commercial development and 4,000 residential units. Catellus is now engaged in exclusive negotiations with the city of Austin over a Development Agreement for the project.

### **Suburban Residential Group**

The Suburban Residential Group develops large-scale suburban residential communities and sells finished lots to homebuilders. Property is either acquired directly or through a joint venture with a third party.

## Edgar Filing: CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORP - Form 10-K/A

From 1996 through mid-2000, the Suburban Residential Group was actively involved in the merchant housing (homebuilding) business. Because of competitive forces and the high-volume, low-margin nature of the homebuilding industry, we determined that the homebuilding business was not part of our ongoing corporate strategy. As a result, we sold a majority of our merchant housing assets in July 2000, to a newly formed joint venture. In 2001, we sold our residual interest in the joint venture that bought the merchant housing assets.

The description of the business of Suburban Residential Group below is as of December 31, 2002. *See* Recent Developments above for a discussion of the effect of the proposed REIT conversion on the business of the Suburban Residential Group.

## Sales

The following table summarizes the sale of residential development property, which includes lots and housing units. The sales shown below are for properties that we own, as well as consolidated joint ventures for the periods presented:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2002	2001	2000
	(In thousands)		
Sales	\$ 59,107	\$ 48,507	\$ 292,822
Cost of sales	(28,862)	(30,202)	(238,930)
Gain	\$ 30,245	\$ 18,305	\$ 53,892

## Unconsolidated Joint Venture Sales

We also participate in development joint venture projects in which we do not own a controlling interest and for which we recognize income using the equity method. For the year ending December 31, 2002, our interests in these development joint ventures provided us with cash distributions of \$80.1 million and earnings of \$29.2 million. The following table summarizes sales of our residential development property in these unconsolidated joint venture projects:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2002	2001	2000
	(In thousands)		
Sales	\$ 278,226	\$ 215,402	\$ 316,523
Cost of sales	(197,178)	(184,122)	(260,975)
Gain	81,048	31,280	55,548
Venture partners' interest	(47,985)	(3,610)	(27,781)
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint ventures	\$ 33,063	\$ 27,670	\$ 27,767

## Suburban Residential Land Inventory

The following table summarizes our residential land inventory activity as of, or for, the year ending December 31, 2002:

	Total Lots/				Transfers & Adjustments	12/31/02	Ownership	
	Homes	Controlled/	Home	Lot			or Controlled	Book
	1/1/02	Acquired	Closings	Closings			Interest	Value
								(000 s)
<b>Land Development (lots)</b>								
<b>Colorado</b>								
Commerce City		2,149				2,149	100%	\$ 10,430
<b>Northern California</b>								
Alameda	492				(7)	485	100%	2,260
Hercules	415			(456)	63	22	100%	1,997
Serrano Sacramento	2,182			(940)	(52)	1,190	50%	15,619
Parkway Sacramento	1,437			(822)	(77)	538	50%	11,570
<b>Southern California</b>								
Talega San Clemente	2,144			(772)	(146)	1,226	30%	6,896
West Bluffs Playa del Rey <sup>(1)</sup>	114					114	100%	34,973
Other <sup>(2)</sup>								3,190
<b>Subtotal Land Development</b>	<b>6,784</b>	<b>2,149</b>		<b>(2,990)</b>	<b>(219)</b>	<b>5,724</b>		<b>86,935</b>
<b>Home Building (units)</b>								
<b>Southern California</b>								
Talega Village San Clemente	183		(118)			65	50%	3,833
<b>Subtotal Home Building Housing</b>	<b>183</b>		<b>(118)</b>			<b>65</b>		<b>3,833</b>
<b>Total Entitlements</b>	<b>6,967</b>	<b>2,149</b>	<b>(118)</b>	<b>(2,990)</b>	<b>(219)</b>	<b>5,789</b>		<b>\$ 90,768</b>
<b>Approvals in progress (included in total entitlements)<sup>(1)</sup></b>	<b>114</b>					<b>114</b>		

(1) We have entitlements for this project; however, the entitlements are being challenged under the California Environmental Quality Act and the California Coastal Act.

(2) Included in Other is a 5-block parcel of land, which has not been subdivided.

The following is a brief summary of our most significant residential projects:

**Talega, San Clemente, California.** In 1997, we acquired an approximately one-third interest (later decreased to thirty percent) in a joint venture project that owns a 3,470-acre, 4,000-lot residential land development site in the Talega Valley in San Clemente, California. This master-planned project includes a variety of attached and detached homes; an 18-hole championship golf course; a seniors community; an elementary/middle school; community parks; and an 82-acre, 1.5 million-square-foot mixed-use commercial area. The partnership closed on the sale of 772 lots during 2002 leaving 1,226 lots to be developed and sold.

## Edgar Filing: CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORP - Form 10-K/A

***Serrano, El Dorado Hills, California.*** In 1998, we acquired a two-thirds interest (later decreased to 50 percent) in a 3,500-acre, 4,000-lot master-planned community in El Dorado Hills, California, which is located 30 miles east of Sacramento, California. A significant amount of infrastructure was in place and approximately 800 lots were sold or developed prior to the acquisition of our interest in the project. The project includes a variety of attached and detached homes; an 18-hole executive golf course; a private 18-hole Championship Golf Course and Country Club; elementary, intermediate, and high schools; and a retail commercial area. The partnership closed on the sale of 940 lots during 2002 leaving 1,190 lots to be developed and sold.

***Victoria by the Bay, Hercules, California.*** In 1997, Catellus participated in a joint venture that acquired the Pacific Refinery at Hercules, California. We entered into an agreement to provide entitlement services to the joint venture; in return for an option to buy the property after defined environmental remediation work was completed. The development has received approval for up to 880 residential units, a school, commercial space,

and public parks. In 2001, we received a "no further action" letter from the Regional Water Quality Control Board ( RWQCB ), clearing the last significant hurdle prior to the sale of the remaining lots of this project. During 2002, the partnership closed on the sale of 456 lots leaving 22 residential lots and one commercial space to be sold.

***The Parkway, Folsom, California.*** In June 2001, we acquired a 50% interest in the Parkway Venture, a 600-acre, master-planned community in Folsom, California, which is located 20 miles east of Sacramento, California. The development has received approvals for 1,600 units that will include a variety of single and multi-unit homes, a neighborhood retail commercial area, and wetlands. The partnership sold 822 lots during 2002 leaving approximately 538 multi-unit home lots to be developed and sold.

***Alameda, California.*** In 1998, we were selected by the City of Alameda, California, as the master developer for the former 145-acre U.S. Navy Fleet Industrial Supply Center, Alameda Annex, and the adjacent 70-acre portion ( East Housing ) of the former Alameda Naval Air Station. In June of 2000, we were granted entitlements to develop up to approximately 500 single-family homes and up to 1.3 million square feet of office and research and development space on the site.

The residential development acreage will be purchased in phases commencing in the second quarter of 2003. A minimum of 75 single-family lots must be purchased annually. Under the agreement, the City of Alameda must deliver the land with environmental remediation and demolition of existing structures completed, and must build all backbone infrastructure. Under a separate agreement with the City of Alameda, we are performing these required duties for a fee.

Demolition of the East Housing structures commenced in February 2002. Construction of the first phase of backbone infrastructure improvements is planned to begin in April 2003. We anticipate the start of construction of the homes and associated site improvements in the third quarter of 2003.

**Urban Group**

The Urban Group focuses exclusively on three large, urban mixed-use projects that include development potential for residential, office, biotech, retail, and hotel product types.

As of December 31, 2002, we had 773,000 square feet of development under construction at Mission Bay in San Francisco, California, including a 695,000-square-foot mixed-use project, through an agreement with an unconsolidated joint venture, containing 595 residential units that comprise 568,000 square feet, and 127,000 square feet of retail/office space. In addition, we have under construction at Mission Bay a 78,000-square-foot mixed-use building containing 34 condominium units that comprise 45,000 square feet, and 33,000 square feet of office/retail space.

The description of the business of the Urban Group below is as of December 31, 2002. *See* Recent Developments above for a discussion of the effect of the proposed REIT conversion on the business of the Urban Group.

**Sales**

During 2002, we sold a 1.6-acre, 275-unit condominium site in San Diego, California, for \$14.5 million.

The following table summarizes our sales of property in the periods presented:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2002	2001	2000
	(In thousands)		
Sales	\$ 14,500	\$ 49,793	\$
Cost of sales	(11,154)	(37,337)	
Gain	\$ 3,346	\$ 12,456	\$

**Urban Land Inventory**

Our existing entitled Urban Group land inventory can support an estimated 12.2 million square feet of new development, more than 3,500 residential units, and a 500-room hotel. The chart below summarizes the estimated development potential of our current Urban Group development land inventory as of December 31, 2002:

Edgar Filing: CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORP - Form 10-K/A

	Office	Retail	Residential	Hotel	Book Value
	(Net Rentable Sq. Ft.)		(Units)	(Rooms)	(000 s)
Mission Bay (San Francisco, California)	4,537,000	548,000	3,263	500	\$ 213,979
Union Station (Los Angeles, California)	5,175,000	675,000			55,344
Santa Fe Depot (San Diego, California)	1,021,000	270,000	285		10,172
<b>Total</b>	<b>10,733,000</b>	<b>1,493,000</b>	<b>3,548</b>	<b>500</b>	<b>\$ 279,495</b>

Following is a summary of our Urban Group projects:

**Mission Bay, San Francisco, California.** This project encompasses approximately 300 acres adjacent to downtown San Francisco. Catellus is the primary owner of developable land in the project; other owners include the City and County of San Francisco ( the City ), the Port of San Francisco, and the Regents of the University of California for the benefit of the University of California, San Francisco ( UCSF ).

In the years leading up to 1999, we obtained entitlement and redevelopment plans for Mission Bay, and in 1999, we closed land transfers among the City, the Port of San Francisco, the California State Lands Commission, UCSF, and Catellus which resulted in the ownership described above. We also received regulatory approvals from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and the California Regional Water Quality Control Board in 2000. Additional permits and approvals are required for the development of individual projects at Mission Bay,



including, for office projects, allocation ( Proposition M Allocation ) of square footage from a limited allowance of office space that the City permits to be developed at any given time.

The following table summarizes total development entitlements at Mission Bay. We retain ownership of a large portion of these entitlements, but portions of the entitlements belong to the City, a Catellus joint venture, or other third parties.

**Total Mission Bay Entitlements**

**As of December 31, 2002**

	Completed Construction		100% Catellus Owned	JV/Owned by Others	Total
	Catellus	Others			
<b>Residential (units):</b>					
Market Rate		229	3,110	961	4,300
Affordable		121	187	1,392	1,700
<b>Total Residential</b>		<b>350</b>	<b>3,297</b>	<b>2,353</b>	<b>6,000</b>
<b>Commercial (rentable square feet):</b>					
R&D, Biotech, and Office	283,000		4,537,000	180,000	5,000,000
Retail and Entertainment		22,000	581,000	167,000	770,000
<b>Total Commercial</b>	<b>283,000</b>	<b>22,000</b>	<b>5,118,000</b>	<b>347,000</b>	<b>5,770,000</b>
<b>Other:</b>					
UCSF Campus (gross square feet) <sup>(1)</sup>		434,000		2,216,000	2,650,000
Hotel (rooms)			500		500

**Notes:**

<sup>(1)</sup> Total entitlements for UCSF Campus are stated in gross square feet.

Mission Bay North, the 65-acre portion of Mission Bay north of Mission Creek, is being developed adjacent to Pacific Bell Park (home of the San Francisco Giants baseball team). The San Francisco Redevelopment Agency completed construction of a 100-unit affordable housing project in September 2002, and AvalonBay Communities, Inc., commenced phased occupancy of a 250-unit apartment project in November 2002. We are proceeding with construction of a mixed-use project directly across from Pacific Bell Park, which was started in December 2001 and includes approximately 33,000 square feet of office/retail space and 34 condominium units. The Signature/Riding Group started construction of a 100-unit condominium project in June 2002 on a 1.0-acre site, which we sold to Signature/Riding in April 2001. Third & King Investors, LLC (a joint venture between Catellus Development Corporation and Federal Street Operating, LLC) is proceeding with the construction of a mixed-use project, that broke ground in September 2001 and includes 595 apartments, 127,000 square feet of office/retail space, and approximately 945 parking stalls.

Mission Bay South, the 238-acre portion of Mission Bay south of Mission Creek, will be developed around UCSF's new 2.7 million-gross-square-foot biotech/research expansion campus. In accordance with agreements among Catellus, the Regents of the University of California, and the City, UCSF is locating its expansion campus on a portion of Mission Bay South. We donated approximately 18 acres and

## Edgar Filing: CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORP - Form 10-K/A

agreed to donate approximately 11 additional acres in the future for the campus, and the City has contributed or has agreed to contribute an additional 13.3 acres. Contractors selected by UCSF will build the UCSF campus. UCSF completed its first building, a 434,000-gross-square-foot research facility, in October 2002 and took occupancy of the building in January 2003. UCSF is proceeding on the construction of its second and third buildings, 172,000-gross-square-foot and 153,000-gross-square-foot biomedical research facilities, which broke ground in August 2001 and July 2002, respectively. Pile-driving activities for UCSF's fourth building, a 167,000-gross-square-foot community center, began in September 2002. In October 2002, we completed construction of a 283,000-square-foot office building, which is fully leased to Gap, Inc. In addition, construction of a 180,000-square-foot research facility by the Gladstone Institutes on a 1.37-acre site, which Catellus sold to Gladstone in March 2001, started in February 2003.

Approximately \$63 million in Community Facility District bonds was issued in 2002 to finance the initial phases of public infrastructure at Mission Bay, and approximately \$71 million of Community Facility District bonds were issued in 2001. Upon completion of the infrastructure improvements, the improvements will be transferred to the City. (See Note 15 of the accompanying notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in this Form 10-K.)

The following table summarizes commercial and residential development activities at Mission Bay. Because these activities require participation of a number of private parties and public agencies, scheduled development activities are subject to change.

**Mission Bay Project**

**Schedule of Activity**

**As of December 31, 2002**

Project	Commercial Development				Residential Development			
	(in rentable square feet)				(in units)			
	Completed	Under Construction	In Planning	Total	Completed	Under Construction	In Planning	Total
<b>Catellus 100% Owned</b>								
Office	283,000			283,000				
Condominiums/Retail		33,000		33,000		34		34
<b>Total Catellus 100% Owned</b>	<b>283,000</b>	<b>33,000</b>		<b>316,000</b>		<b>34</b>		<b>34</b>
<b>Catellus Joint Venture</b>								
Apartments/Retail		127,000		127,000		568		568
Affordable Housing						27		27
<b>Total Catellus Joint Venture</b>		<b>127,000</b>		<b>127,000</b>		<b>595</b>		<b>595</b>
<b>Development by Others</b>								
UCSF:								
Biotech	434,000	325,000		759,000				
Campus Center		167,000		167,000				
<b>Total UCSF<sup>(1)</sup></b>	<b>434,000</b>	<b>492,000</b>		<b>926,000</b>				
Apartments/Retail	12,000		10,000	22,000	229		293	522
Condominiums						100		100
Affordable Housing/Retail	10,000			10,000	121		160	281
Biotech			180,000	180,000				
<b>Total Development by Others</b>	<b>456,000</b>	<b>492,000</b>	<b>190,000</b>	<b>1,138,000</b>	<b>350</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>453</b>	<b>903</b>
<b>Total Project</b>	<b>739,000</b>	<b>652,000</b>	<b>190,000</b>	<b>1,581,000</b>	<b>350</b>	<b>729</b>	<b>453</b>	<b>1,532</b>

**Notes:**

<sup>(1)</sup> UCSF development activity square footage amounts reflect gross square feet.

**Union Station, Los Angeles, California.** We own approximately 43 acres surrounding and including the historic Los Angeles Union Station. Located in downtown Los Angeles, Union Station is a transportation hub with commuter rail lines (Metrolink) serving the surrounding five-county region, Amtrak rail service, and Los Angeles' subway and surface light rail systems operated by the Metropolitan Transportation Authority. In 1999, we completed a development plan intended to maximize the potential of the site given current and projected market conditions.

**Santa Fe Depot, San Diego, California.** This project encompasses approximately 15 acres near the waterfront in downtown San Diego, California, including the Santa Fe Depot train station. Amtrak, a commuter rail line (Coaster), and San Diego's expanding trolley system serve the site daily. In accordance with a Development Agreement executed with the City of San Diego, the site is currently entitled for a mixture of office, hotel, retail, and housing development. During 1999 we revised the plan to respond better to recovering markets in San Diego. In addition to two development sites (each 1.4 acres in size) that were sold in 2001, a 1.6-acre, 275-unit condominium site was sold in November 2002 for \$14.5 million.

**Other Items**

**Environmental Matters**

For information about environmental matters, *see* Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in this Form 10-K.

**Competition**

The real estate industry is generally fragmented and characterized by significant competition. Numerous developers, owners of industrial, office, and retail properties, and managers compete with us in seeking properties for acquisition, development, and management opportunities, tenants, and purchasers for homes, and for non-strategic assets. There are competitors in each area in which we operate that have greater capital resources than we. There can be no assurance that the existence of such competition will not have a material adverse effect on our business, operations, and cash flow.

**Employees, Contractors, and Consultants**

At December 31, 2002, we had 296 employees in our consolidated company. We engage third parties to manage multi-tenant properties and properties in locations that are not in close proximity to our regional or field offices. The Company's employees are not represented by a collective bargaining agreement, and management considers its relations with employees to be good. In addition, we engage outside consultants such as architects and design firms in connection with our pre-development activities. We also employ third-party contractors on development projects for infrastructure and building construction, and retain consultants to assist us in a variety of areas at the project and corporate levels.

Working with organized labor is a critical component of many of our projects. With the high volume of construction activity in many of our markets, labor shortages and costs could significantly influence the success of projects. In addition, organized labor often plays a key role in community organizations and discretionary land use decisions concerning entitlements.

**Segments**

For information about the Company's reportable segments, *see* Note 13 to the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements attached to this Form 10-K.

**Item 2. Properties**

## Edgar Filing: CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORP - Form 10-K/A

Our real estate projects are generally described in Item 1 above, which descriptions are incorporated in this Item by reference. Our principal executive office is located in San Francisco, California, and we have regional or field offices in eleven other locations in the United States. We believe that our property and equipment are generally well maintained, in good condition, and adequate for our present needs.

### **Item 3. Legal Proceedings**

On March 12, 2002, the Department of Toxics and Substance Control of the State of California ( DTSC ) notified the Company of an investigation of the Company, its general contractors, and subcontractors working for such general contractors, concerning the Mission Bay project. The investigation, which is ongoing, focuses on whether individuals and companies hauling soil within and from Mission Bay satisfied certain hazardous waste license/certification hauling requirements. The DTSC issued notices of violation, without fines or penalties, to the Company and one subcontractor on May 23, 2002, citing the subcontractor's failure to qualify as a registered hazardous waste hauler. The Company, including its subsidiaries, is cooperating fully with the investigation, which is still continuing. The Company does not anticipate that this investigation or any proceeding that may result from this investigation will have a material adverse impact on the Mission Bay project.

The Company owns approximately 47 acres located in the Westchester-Playa Del Rey area of Los Angeles, California, adjacent to the Pacific Ocean and Ballona Wetlands ( West Bluffs ), which are entitled for the development of 114 single-family homes but subject to two legal actions. On October 6, 2000, a lawsuit (the Coastal Act Lawsuit ) was filed by the Sierra Club et al. against the California Coastal Commission and the Company as a real party in interest in San Francisco Superior Court challenging approvals issued by the California Coastal Commission for the development of the project. This suit was subsequently consolidated with an additional suit filed on February 9, 2001. On December 13, 2000, the trial court denied petitioner's request for a preliminary injunction. On January 11, 2001, petitioners appealed the trial court's ruling, which resulted in the Court of Appeal enjoining any construction activity in the portion of the project within the coastal zone. This stay was dissolved on October 10, 2001, when the case was remanded to the trial court. On June 7, 2002, the trial court ruled in favor of the Company on the merits denying the petitioner's request for writ of mandate and for injunction. The petitioners subsequently filed a motion to stay construction in the coastal zone pending petitioner's filing of an appeal of the trial court's decision, which was granted on August 13, 2002. The petitioners filed an appeal and have obtained a stay from the Court of Appeal pending resolution of the appeal. The appeal is fully briefed and a hearing is scheduled for March 26, 2003.

On October 26, 2000, the Coalition for Concerned Communities, Inc. et al. ( Appellants ) filed a lawsuit ( CEQA Lawsuit ) against the Company and The City of Los Angeles in the Los Angeles Superior Court alleging land use and California Environmental Quality Act violations. On January 18, 2001, the Los Angeles Superior Court denied Appellant's petition. On March 23, 2001, Appellants filed a notice of appeal in the Second District Court of Appeal. On July 15, 2002, the petitioners filed a motion in the Second District Court of Appeal to stop the development of the West Bluffs project until the final decision, which was denied by the Court on July 30, 2002. The Second District Court of Appeal held the hearing on the merits on September 17, 2002. The Second District Court of Appeal recently decided to postpone rendering its decision until the Court of Appeal in San Francisco rendered its decision regarding challenges to the approvals for development issued by the California Coastal Commission. A decision may be rendered by the Second District Court of Appeal in late 2003 or early 2004.

The litigation process will delay the previously planned start of infrastructure construction, and the Company is unable to predict the length of such delay at this time. The Company does not believe that the litigation process will permanently prevent the Company from completing the West Bluffs project; however, there can be no assurance in that regard or that further delays will not result.

*See* Note 15, Commitments and Contingencies of the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements.

### **Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders**

There were no matters submitted to a vote of security holders during the quarter ended December 31, 2002.





---

**PART II**
**Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters**

The Company's common stock commenced trading on December 5, 1990, and is listed on the New York Stock Exchange, the Pacific Exchange, and the Chicago Stock Exchange under the symbol "CDX". The following table sets forth for the periods indicated the high and low sale prices of the Company's common stock as reported by Bloomberg Financial Markets:

	Common Stock	
	Price	
	High	Low
Year ended December 31, 2001		
First Quarter	\$ 18.17	\$ 15.63
Second Quarter	\$ 18.35	\$ 16.00
Third Quarter	\$ 18.80	\$ 16.11
Fourth Quarter	\$ 18.50	\$ 16.73
Year ended December 31, 2002		
First Quarter	\$ 19.67	\$ 18.02
Second Quarter	\$ 21.10	\$ 19.67
Third Quarter	\$ 20.79	\$ 17.12
Fourth Quarter	\$ 19.85	\$ 16.85

The Company has never declared or paid any cash dividends on its common stock. If the REIT conversion is approved at the annual meeting of shareholders, we expect to commence the payment of dividends beginning the third quarter of 2003. *See* Item. 1 Business, Recent Developments.

On March 10, 2003, there were approximately 21,242 holders of record of the Company's common stock.

**Item 6. Selected Financial Data**

The following income statement and selected balance sheet data with respect to each of the years in the five-year period ended December 31, 2002, have been derived from our annual Consolidated Financial Statements. The operating data have been derived from our underlying financial and management records and are unaudited. This information should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and related Notes. See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in this Form 10-K for a discussion of results of operations for 2002, 2001, and 2000.

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
	(In thousands, except per share data)				
<b>Statement of Operations Data:</b>					
<b>Revenues</b>					
Rental revenue	\$ 266,951	\$ 232,106	\$ 203,691	\$ 169,286	\$ 146,011
Sales revenue	139,604	245,804	451,096	347,005	206,441
Management, development and other fees	7,088	6,000	15,460	14,968	16,792
	<u>413,643</u>	<u>483,910</u>	<u>670,247</u>	<u>531,259</u>	<u>369,244</u>
<b>Costs and expenses</b>					
Property operating costs	(71,559)	(61,704)	(54,468)	(46,054)	(41,071)
Cost of sales	(89,661)	(149,698)	(337,755)	(259,157)	(154,903)
Selling, general and administrative expenses	(25,990)	(26,570)	(45,801)	(31,727)	(22,232)
Corporate administrative costs	(17,705)	(19,256)	(15,675)	(14,760)	(15,303)
Depreciation and amortization	(63,149)	(51,891)	(45,939)	(38,639)	(33,464)
	<u>(268,064)</u>	<u>(309,119)</u>	<u>(499,638)</u>	<u>(390,337)</u>	<u>(266,973)</u>
Operating Income	<u>145,579</u>	<u>174,791</u>	<u>170,609</u>	<u>140,922</u>	<u>102,271</u>
<b>Other income</b>					
Equity in earnings of operating joint ventures, net	8,277	8,833	9,809	10,668	9,368
Equity in earnings of development joint ventures, net	29,232	25,978	27,780	10,152	6,627
Gain on non-strategic asset sales	7,264	3,909	46,279	6,803	18,929
Interest income	9,871	23,608	11,203	5,087	1,200
Other	9,196	5,740	235	329	2,158
	<u>63,840</u>	<u>68,068</u>	<u>95,306</u>	<u>33,039</u>	<u>38,282</u>
<b>Other expenses</b>					
Interest expense	(60,188)	(56,753)	(49,975)	(38,246)	(36,109)
Other	(2,023)	(17,477)	(19,849)	(15,164)	(4,204)
	<u>(62,211)</u>	<u>(74,230)</u>	<u>(69,824)</u>	<u>(53,410)</u>	<u>(40,313)</u>
Income before minority interests, income taxes, discontinued operations, and extraordinary items.	<u>147,208</u>	<u>168,629</u>	<u>196,091</u>	<u>120,551</u>	<u>100,240</u>
Minority interests	(6,106)	(6,142)	(10,701)	(3,247)	(674)
Income before income taxes, discontinued operations, and extraordinary items	<u>141,102</u>	<u>162,487</u>	<u>185,390</u>	<u>117,304</u>	<u>99,566</u>
Income tax expense					

Edgar Filing: CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORP - Form 10-K/A

Current	(32,567)	(16,367)	(12,254)	(17,097)	(11,739)
Deferred	(21,385)	(49,499)	(62,556)	(30,351)	(28,366)
	<u>(53,952)</u>	<u>(65,866)</u>	<u>(74,810)</u>	<u>(47,448)</u>	<u>(40,105)</u>
Income from continuing operations	<u>87,150</u>	<u>96,621</u>	<u>110,580</u>	<u>69,856</u>	<u>59,461</u>
Discontinued operations, net of tax					
Gain from disposal of discontinued operations	13,748				
Loss (gain) from discontinued operations	(242)	(100)	427	364	442
	<u>13,506</u>	<u>(100)</u>	<u>427</u>	<u>364</u>	<u>442</u>
Gain (loss) from discontinued operations	<u>13,506</u>	<u>(100)</u>	<u>427</u>	<u>364</u>	<u>442</u>
Income before extraordinary items	<u>100,656</u>	<u>96,521</u>	<u>111,007</u>	<u>70,220</u>	<u>59,903</u>
Extraordinary items				26,652	(25,165)
Net income	<u>\$ 100,656</u>	<u>\$ 96,521</u>	<u>\$ 111,007</u>	<u>\$ 96,872</u>	<u>\$ 34,738</u>
Net income per share assuming dilution:					
Income from continuing operations	\$ 0.97	\$ 0.94	\$ 1.02	\$ 0.64	\$ 0.55
Income from discontinued operations	0.16				
	<u>1.13</u>	<u>0.94</u>	<u>1.02</u>	<u>0.64</u>	<u>0.55</u>
Before extraordinary items	<u>1.13</u>	<u>0.94</u>	<u>1.02</u>	<u>0.64</u>	<u>0.55</u>
Extraordinary items				0.25	(0.23)
Net income per share after extraordinary items assuming dilution	<u>\$ 1.13</u>	<u>\$ 0.94</u>	<u>\$ 1.02</u>	<u>\$ 0.89</u>	<u>\$ 0.32</u>
Average number of common shares outstanding assuming dilution	<u>89,463</u>	<u>102,685</u>	<u>109,017</u>	<u>109,146</u>	<u>109,420</u>

## Year Ended December 31,

	2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
(In thousands, except percentages)					
<b>Balance Sheet Data:</b>					
Total properties, net	\$ 2,048,158	\$ 1,921,951	\$ 1,705,538	\$ 1,649,171	\$ 1,402,496
Total assets	\$ 2,695,449	\$ 2,415,515	\$ 2,274,416	\$ 1,853,106	\$ 1,623,719
Mortgage and other debt	\$ 1,500,955	\$ 1,310,457	\$ 1,134,563	\$ 875,564	\$ 873,207
Total stockholders' equity	\$ 545,969	\$ 435,257	\$ 683,245	\$ 590,972	\$ 490,229
<b>Cash Flow Data:</b>					
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 187,146	\$ 341,764	\$ 296,013	\$ 183,864	\$ 120,706
Net cash used in investing activities	\$ (333,285)	\$ (267,553)	\$ (224,161)	\$ (238,388)	\$ (275,342)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	\$ 198,371	\$ (188,074)	\$ 229,296	\$ 36,959	\$ 190,317
<b>Other Operating Data:</b>					
EBDDT <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 178,599	\$ 183,141	\$ 159,270	\$ 128,628	\$ 103,394
Buildings owned (square feet)	36,976	30,900	28,756	24,743	19,657
Leased percentage	94.5%	94.4%	95.7%	93.6%	94.9%
Debt to total market capitalization <sup>(2)</sup>	46.5%	45.1%	37.9%	38.9%	36.4%
Capital investments <sup>(3)</sup>	\$ 391,411	\$ 448,676	\$ 450,040	\$ 540,024	\$ 459,783
<b>Other Data:</b>					
Total market capitalization <sup>(4)</sup>	\$ 3,231,000	\$ 2,903,000	\$ 2,991,000	\$ 2,249,000	\$ 2,402,000

(1) We have historically used a supplemental performance measure called Earnings Before Depreciation and Deferred Taxes (EBDDT), along with net income, to report our operating results. EBDDT is not a measure of operating results or cash flows from operating activities as defined by generally accepted accounting principles. Further, EBDDT is not necessarily indicative of cash available to fund cash needs and should not be considered as an alternative to cash flows as a measure of liquidity. EBDDT provides relevant information about our operations and is useful, along with net income, for an understanding of our operating results.

EBDDT is calculated by making various adjustments to net income. Depreciation, amortization, and deferred income taxes are added back to net income as they represent non-cash charges. Since depreciation expense is added back to net income in arriving at EBDDT, the portion of gain on property sales attributable to depreciation recapture is excluded from EBDDT. In addition, gains on the sale of non-strategic assets and extraordinary items, including their current tax effect, represent unusual and/or non-recurring items and are excluded from the EBDDT calculation.

Commencing with the first quarter of 2002, we no longer use EBDDT as a supplemental earnings measure; however, for comparative purposes, we continue to provide EBDDT data in 2002.

(2) Represents the ratio of total debt to equity market capitalization (based on the number of common shares outstanding at the end of the period indicated multiplied by the closing stock price for each respective period) plus total debt.

(3) Represents expenditures for commercial and residential development for projects to be developed and sold or held for rental. See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Cash Flows From Investing Activities in this Form 10-K.

(4) Represents the number of common shares outstanding multiplied by the closing stock price at the end of the period indicated plus mortgage and other debt.

**Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

**The Company:**

Catellus Development Corporation is a publicly traded real estate operating company with a significant portfolio of rental properties and developable land. Catellus specializes in developing, managing, and investing in a broad range of product types including industrial, office, residential, retail, and major urban development projects. It owns a portfolio of rental properties totaling 37.0 million square feet and one of the largest supplies of developable land in the western United States, capable of supporting more than 38 million square feet of new commercial development and an estimated 9,300 residential lots and units.

On March 3, 2003, we announced that our Board of Directors ( Board ) has authorized us to restructure our business operations in order to qualify as a real estate investment trust ( REIT ), effective January 1, 2004. The REIT conversion is subject to a shareholder approval process, which is expected to conclude in the third quarter of 2003, as well as final Board approval. This announcement has no material effect on the financial statements as of, or for, the year ended December 31, 2002; however, it will likely have an impact on future operating results in the following areas, if approved by the shareholder vote:

- A one-time distribution of pre-REIT earnings and profits, currently projected to be approximately \$100 million in cash and \$200 million in common stock, will be declared in the fourth quarter and be paid in the first quarter of 2004, this distribution is subject to approval by the Internal Revenue Service.
- Commencing as of the third quarter of 2003, a quarterly dividend of approximately \$0.30 per existing share of common stock will be paid.
- Conversion and related restructure costs are currently estimated to be \$15 million.
- Certain deferred tax liabilities associated with assets in the REIT would be reversed through income and result in a one-time increase in income currently estimated in the \$200 to \$250 million range.

We will soon file a preliminary proxy statement/prospectus with the Securities and Exchange Commission that will provide important information, including detailed risk factors, regarding the proposed transaction.

**General**

Our reportable segments are based on our method of internal reporting, which disaggregates our business by type and before the adjustments for discontinued operations. We have five reportable segments: Asset Management; Suburban, which includes two reportable segments, Commercial and Residential; Urban; and Corporate.

**Business Segment Descriptions:**

**Asset Management:**

The Asset Management segment consists of the rental activities of our assets, our share of income from operating joint ventures, and activity related to our desert portfolio. Growth in this segment is attributed primarily to the transfer of property developed by the Suburban Commercial and Urban segments that we intend to hold and operate. Revenue consists of rental property operations and gains from the sale of rental properties (*see* Note 17 of the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements for a discussion of discontinued operations).

	Year Ended December 31,			Difference 2002/2001	Difference 2001/2000
	2002	2001	2000		
<b>Rental building occupancy</b>	<b>(In thousands of square feet, except percentages)</b>				
Owned <sup>(1)</sup>	36,976	30,900	28,756	6,076	2,144
Occupied <sup>(1)</sup>	34,957	29,183	27,512	5,774	1,671
Occupancy percentage	94.5%	94.4%	95.7%	0.1%	(1.3%)

<sup>(1)</sup> New buildings are added to our rental portfolio at the earlier of twelve months after completion of the shell, or commencement of rent on 50% of the space. Space is considered Occupied upon commencement of rent.

The table below provides the rental portfolio rental revenue less property operating costs for the year ended December 31, 2002, and square feet by state at December 31, 2002:

### Rental Revenue less Property Operating Costs by State

	Industrial		Office		Retail		Total	
	Rental Revenue less Property Operating Expenses	% of Total	Rental Revenue less Property Operating Expenses	% of Total	Rental Revenue less Property Operating Expenses	% of Total	Rental Revenue less Property Operating Expenses	% of Total
(In thousands, except percentages)								
Southern California	\$ 51,468	25.2%	\$ 4,977	2.5%	\$ 2,851	1.3%	\$ 59,296	29.0%
Northern California	27,635	13.5%	11,301	5.5%	6,198	3.0%	45,134	22.0%
Illinois	18,926	9.3%	6,510	3.2%			25,436	12.5%
Texas	9,123	4.5%	5,816	2.8%			14,939	7.3%
Colorado	7,505	3.7%	2,626	1.3%	996	0.5%	11,127	5.5%
Maryland	3,106	1.5%					3,106	1.5%
Oregon	2,369	1.1%	420	0.2%	318	0.2%	3,107	1.5%
Arizona	2,141	1.0%			362	0.2%	2,503	1.2%
Ohio	2,393	1.2%					2,393	1.2%
Kentucky	972	0.5%					972	0.5%
Oklahoma	110	0.1%					110	0.1%
Kansas	(4)						(4)	
Subtotal	\$ 125,744	61.6%	\$ 31,650	15.5%	\$ 10,725	5.2%	168,119	82.3%
Ground Leases							21,271	10.4%
Other Properties							6,488	3.2%
							195,878	
Equity in Earnings of Operating Joint Ventures							8,277	4.1%
Total <sup>(1)</sup>							\$ 204,155	100%

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes discontinued operations

### Square Feet by State

	Industrial		Office		Retail		Total	
	Square Feet	% of Total	Square Feet	% of Total	Square Feet	% of Total	Square Feet	% of Total
(In thousands, except percentages)								

Edgar Filing: CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORP - Form 10-K/A

Southern California	12,200	33.0%	574	1.5%	176	0.5%	12,950	35.0%
Northern California	5,773	15.6%	808	2.2%	481	1.3%	7,062	19.1%
Illinois	5,921	16.0%	584	1.6%			6,505	17.6%
Texas	3,264	8.8%	868	2.4%			4,132	11.2%
Colorado	2,033	5.6%	273	0.7%	100	0.2%	2,406	6.5%
Arizona	1,123	3.0%			74	0.2%	1,197	3.2%
Ohio	966	2.6%					966	2.6%
Kentucky	549	1.5%					549	1.5%
Oregon	449	1.2%	57	0.2%	37	0.1%	543	1.5%
Maryland	471	1.3%					471	1.3%
Oklahoma	125	0.3%					125	0.3%
Kansas	70	0.2%					70	0.2%
<b>Total</b>	<b>32,944</b>	<b>89.0%</b>	<b>3,164</b>	<b>8.6%</b>	<b>868</b>	<b>2.3%</b>	<b>36,976</b>	<b>100%</b>



**Suburban Commercial:**

The Suburban Commercial segment acquires and develops suburban commercial business parks for our own account and the account of others. Net income consists primarily of sales gains from development properties sold and construction management, developer, and loan guarantee fees.

The table below provides the development potential, by square feet, of our Suburban Commercial land portfolio:

<u>Project Name</u>	<u>City</u>	<u>December 31, 2002</u>
		<u>Square feet (In thousands)</u>
<b><u>Southern California</u></b>		
Kaiser Commerce Center	Fontana	3,214
Crossroads Business Park	Ontario	2,016
Rancho Pacific Distribution Centre	Rancho Cucamonga	318
Pacific Center	Anaheim	44
Subtotal Southern Calif.		<u>5,592</u>
<b><u>Northern California</u></b>		
Pacific Commons	Fremont	3,634
Duck Creek	Stockton	2,000
Alameda FISC (controlled)	Alameda	1,300 <sup>(1)</sup>
Spreckels Business Park	Manteca	686
Regatta Business Park	Richmond	89
Subtotal Northern Calif.		<u>7,709</u>
Total California		<u>13,301</u>
<b><u>Illinois</u></b>		
Minooka	Minooka	2,298 <sup>(2)</sup>
Internationale Centre	Woodridge	976
Prairie Glen Corporate Campus	Glenview	437 <sup>(3)</sup>
Joliet	Joliet	370
International Centre West	Romeoville	102
Subtotal Illinois		<u>4,183</u>
<b><u>Texas</u></b>		
Hobby Business Park	Houston	1,969
Gateway Corporate Center	Coppell	1,120
Stellar Way Business Park	Grand Prairie	814
Gateway East Business Park	Garland	763
Plano	Plano	403
Ft. Worth	Ft. Worth	104
Subtotal Texas		<u>5,173</u>

<b>Other</b>		
South Shore Corp. Park	Gresham/Portland, OR	1,111
Circle Point Corporate Center	Westminster, CO	685
Stapleton Business Park	Denver, CO	609
Cedar Grove Business Park	Louisville, KY	545
Santa Fe Industrial Center	Oklahoma, OK	300
<b>Subtotal Other</b>		<b>3,250</b>
<b>Total Outside California</b>		<b>12,606</b>
<b>Total Suburban Commercial Inventory</b>		<b>25,907</b>

- (1) See summary of Alameda, California project under Item 1. Business, Suburban Commercial Group.
- (2) Excluded from this balance is approximately 4.8 million square feet that is under option.
- (3) Included in this balance is 425,000 square feet that is under option.

**Suburban Residential:**

The Suburban Residential segment acquires and develops land primarily for single-family residential property, via direct investment or through joint ventures, and sells finished lots to homebuilders. This segment also owns an interest in a joint venture that develops senior housing.

The table below provides the development potential, by lots/homes, of our Suburban Residential land portfolio:

	<u>Ownership Interest</u>	<u>Lots/Units at December 31, 2002</u>
<b>Colorado</b>		
Vista Range, Commerce City	100%	2,149
<b>Northern California</b>		
Alameda (controlled)	100%	485
Hercules	100%	22
Serrano, Sacramento	50%	1,190
Parkway, Sacramento (multi-family)	50%	538
		<u>2,235</u>
<b>Southern California</b>		
Talega Seniors, San Clemente	50%	65
Talega, San Clemente	30%	1,226
West Bluffs, Playa del Rey <sup>(1)</sup>	100%	114
		<u>1,405</u>
<b>Total</b>		<u><u>5,789</u></u>

<sup>(1)</sup> We have entitlements for this project; however, the entitlements are being challenged under the California Environmental Quality Act and the California Coastal Act (*see* Legal Proceedings section).

**Urban:**

The Urban segment entitles and develops urban mixed-use sites in San Francisco, Los Angeles, and San Diego. The principal active project of the segment is Mission Bay in San Francisco.

The table below provides the development potential of our Urban land portfolio:

R&D, Biotech &	CBD Office	Retail/ Entertainment	Residential	Hotel
-------------------	------------	--------------------------	-------------	-------

Edgar Filing: CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORP - Form 10-K/A

	Office				
	(Net rentable square feet)		(units)	(rooms)	
Mission Bay (SF, CA)	4,537,000		548,000	3,263	500
Union Station (LA, CA)		5,175,000	675,000		
Santa Fe Depot (SD, CA)		1,021,000	270,000	285	
<b>Total</b>	<b>4,537,000</b>	<b>6,196,000</b>	<b>1,493,000</b>	<b>3,548</b>	<b>500</b>

**Corporate:**

Corporate consists primarily of administrative costs and interest contra-expense. Corporate interest (contra-expense) represents required capitalized interest on qualifying assets in the Suburban and Urban segments in excess of interest directly incurred by these segments. As these qualifying assets are sold, the corresponding capitalized interest is reflected as cost of sales in the Corporate segment or, for those assets transferred to Asset Management, as the assets are placed in service, the corresponding interest capitalized is added to the cost basis of the asset and depreciated over the life of the building.

## Critical Accounting Policies

Our discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations is based on our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of our consolidated financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an on-going basis, we evaluate our estimates, including those related to revenue recognition, impairment of real estate assets, capitalization of costs, allowances for doubtful accounts, environmental and legal reserves, and income taxes. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

We believe the following critical accounting policies reflect our more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of the consolidated financial statements.

### *Revenue recognition*

Our revenue is primarily derived from two sources: rental revenue from our rental portfolio and property sales.

Rental revenue is recognized when due from tenants. Revenue from leases with rent concessions or fixed escalations is recognized on a straight-line basis over the initial term of the related lease. The financial terms of leases are contractually defined. Rental revenue is not accrued when a tenant vacates the premises and ceases to make rent payments or files for bankruptcy.

Revenue from sales of properties is recognized using the accrual method. If a sale does not qualify for the accrual method of recognition, other deferral methods are used as appropriate including the percentage-of-completion method. In certain cases, we retain the right to repurchase property from the buyer at a specified price. These sales are not recognized until our right to repurchase expires. In other instances, when we receive an inadequate cash down payment and take a promissory note for the balance of the sale price, sale is deferred until such time as sufficient cash is received to meet minimum down payment requirements. Also, in general, specific identification and relative sales value methods are used to determine the cost of sales. A change in circumstances that causes the estimate of future costs to increase or decrease significantly would affect the gain or loss recognized on future sales.

### *Impairment of real estate assets*

We assess the impairment of a real estate asset when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the net book value may not be recoverable. Indicators we consider important which could trigger an impairment review include the following:

- significant negative industry or economic trend;
- a significant underperformance relative to historical or projected future operating results;

- a significant change in the manner in which an asset is used; and
- an accumulation of costs significantly in excess of the amount originally expected to construct an asset.

Real estate is stated at the lower of cost or estimated fair value using the methodology described as follows: (a) for operating properties and properties held for investment, a write-down to estimated fair value is recognized when a property's estimated undiscounted future cash flow is less than its net book value; and (b) for properties held for sale, a write-down to estimated fair value is recorded when we determine that the net book value exceeds the estimated selling price less cost to sell. These evaluations are made on a property-by-property basis. When

we determine that the net book value of an asset may not be recoverable based upon the estimated undiscounted cash flow, we measure any impairment write-down based on a projected discounted cash flow method using an estimated market discount rate. When performing impairment review, we consider capitalized interest and other expenses as costs of development in costs projections; value from comparable property sales will also be considered. The evaluation of future cash flows, discount rates, and fair value of individual properties requires significant judgment and assumptions, including estimates of market value, lease terms, development absorption, development costs, lease up costs, and financings. Significant adverse changes in circumstances affecting these judgments and assumptions in future periods could cause a significant impairment adjustment to be recorded.

#### *Capitalization of costs*

We capitalize direct construction and development costs, including predevelopment costs, property taxes, insurance, and certain indirect project costs, including a portion of our general and administrative costs that are associated with the acquisition, development, or construction of a project. Interest is capitalized in accordance with FAS 34. Costs previously capitalized related to any abandoned development opportunities are written off, if we determine such costs will not provide any future benefits. Should development activity decrease, a portion of interest, property taxes, insurance, and certain general and administrative costs would no longer be eligible for capitalization and would be expensed as incurred.

#### *Allowance for doubtful accounts*

We make estimates with respect to the collectability of our receivables and provide for doubtful accounts based on several factors, including our estimate of collectability and the age of the outstanding balances. Our estimate of collectability is based on our contacts with the debtors, collection agencies, our knowledge of the debtors' credit and financial condition, debtors' payment terms, and current economic trends. If a debtor becomes insolvent or files for bankruptcy, we provide an allowance for the entire outstanding amount of the debtors' receivable. Significant judgments and estimates must be made and used in connection with establishing allowances in any accounting period. Material differences may result in the amount and timing of our allowances for any period if adverse general economic conditions cause widespread financial difficulties among our tenants.

#### *Environmental and legal reserves*

We incur ongoing environmental remediation costs, including cleanup costs, consulting fees for environmental studies and investigations, monitoring costs, and legal costs relating to cleanup, litigation defense, and the pursuit of responsible third parties. We maintain a reserve for estimated costs of environmental remediation to be incurred in connection with operating properties and properties previously sold; these reserves, when established, are expensed. Costs relating to undeveloped land are capitalized as part of development costs, and costs incurred for properties to be sold are deferred and charged to cost of sales when the properties are sold; these costs are anticipated to be incurred over a period of twenty years. Our estimates are developed based on reviews that took place over many years based upon then-prevailing law and identified site conditions. Because of the breadth of our portfolio, and past sales, we are unable to review each property extensively on a regular basis. Such estimates are not precise and are always subject to the availability of further information about the prevailing conditions at the site, the future requirements of regulatory agencies, and the availability and ability of other parties to pay some or all of such costs. Should a previously undetected, substantial environmental hazard be found on our properties, significant liquidity could be consumed by the resulting cleanup requirements, and a material expense may be recorded.

We are a party to a number of legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business. We cannot predict with certainty the final outcome of the proceedings. Where appropriate, we have established reserves for potential liabilities related to legal actions or threatened legal actions. Environmental and legal reserves are established based on estimates and probabilities of the occurrence of events and therefore are subject to revision from time to time. Should the circumstances affecting these estimates change significantly, a material expense would be recognized.





*Income taxes*

As part of the process of preparing our consolidated financial statements, significant management judgment is required to estimate our income taxes. Our estimates are based on interpretation of tax laws. We estimate our actual current tax due and assess temporary differences resulting from differing treatment of items for tax and accounting purposes. The temporary differences result in deferred tax assets and liabilities, which are included within our consolidated balance sheet. Adjustments may be required by a change in assessment of our deferred tax assets and liabilities, changes due to audit adjustments by Federal and State tax authorities, and changes in tax laws. To the extent adjustments are required in any given period we would include the adjustments within the tax provision in the statement of operations and/or balance sheet. Any applicable interest charges would be recorded as an expense. These adjustments could materially impact our statement of operations and liquidity.

**Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and related Notes appearing elsewhere in this Form 10-K. This discussion and analysis covers our five business segments: Asset Management; Suburban, which includes a Commercial and Residential division; Urban; and Corporate.

In addition to net income, we have historically analyzed and discussed our financial condition and results of operations, before the adjustments for discontinued operations, based on a supplemental performance measure, Earnings Before Depreciation and Deferred Taxes ( EBDDT ). Commencing with the first quarter of 2002, we no longer use EBDDT as a supplemental earnings measure; however, for comparative purposes, we continue to provide EBDDT data in 2002. For comparative purposes only, a reconciliation between net income and EBDDT is provided for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001, and 2000.

Edgar Filing: CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORP - Form 10-K/A

Below is a summary of Net income by segment and EBDĐT for the Year Ended December 31, 2002:

	Asset Management	Suburban			Corporate	Total <sup>(2)</sup>
		Commercial	Residential	Urban		
(In thousands)						
<b>Revenue</b>						
Rental revenue	\$ 267,807	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 267,807
Sales revenue	43,184	52,966	59,107	14,500		169,757
Management, development and other fees	42	2,973	1,516	2,557		7,088
	<u>311,033</u>	<u>55,939</u>	<u>60,623</u>	<u>17,057</u>		<u>444,652</u>
<b>Costs and expenses</b>						
Property operating costs	(71,929)					(71,929)
Cost of sales	(14,256)	(42,689)	(28,862)	(11,154)	(601)	(97,562)
Selling, general and administrative expenses	(1,185)	(9,576)	(8,316)	(6,913)		(25,990)
Corporate administrative costs					(17,705)	(17,705)
Depreciation and amortization	(59,170)	(673)	(182)	(1,065)	(2,349)	(63,439)
	<u>(146,540)</u>	<u>(52,938)</u>	<u>(37,360)</u>	<u>(19,132)</u>	<u>(20,655)</u>	<u>(276,625)</u>
<b>Operating Income</b>	<u>164,493</u>	<u>3,001</u>	<u>23,263</u>	<u>(2,075)</u>	<u>(20,655)</u>	<u>168,027</u>
<b>Other income</b>						
Equity in earnings of operating joint ventures, net	8,277					8,277
Equity in earnings of development joint ventures, net			33,063		(3,831)	29,232
Gain on non-strategic asset sales	7,264					7,264
Interest income	2,498	980	5,941	10	442	9,871
Other	8,304	633	259			9,196
	<u>26,343</u>	<u>1,613</u>	<u>39,263</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>(3,389)</u>	<u>63,840</u>
<b>Other expenses</b>						
Interest expense	(78,831)				18,055	(60,776)
Other	(111)	(2,163)	(125)	(139)	515	(2,023)
	<u>(78,942)</u>	<u>(2,163)</u>	<u>(125)</u>	<u>(139)</u>	<u>18,570</u>	<u>(62,799)</u>
Minority interests	(6,106)					(6,106)
Income taxes	(40,455)	(937)	(23,848)	842	2,092	(62,306)
<b>Net income (loss)</b>	<u>\$ 65,333</u>	<u>\$ 1,514</u>	<u>\$ 38,553</u>	<u>\$ (1,362)</u>	<u>\$ (3,382)</u>	<u>100,656</u>
Depreciation and amortization						63,439
Depreciation recapture						(8,121)
Deferred income taxes						29,889
Gain on non-strategic asset sales						(7,264)
						<u>\$ 178,599</u>

**Earnings before depreciation and  
deferred taxes<sup>(1)</sup>**

- (1) EBDDT is calculated by making various adjustments to net income. Depreciation, amortization, and deferred income taxes are added back to net income as they represent non-cash charges. Since depreciation expense is added back to net income in arriving at EBDDT, the portion of gain on property sales attributable to depreciation recapture is excluded from EBDDT. In addition, gains on the sale of non-strategic assets and extraordinary items, including their current tax effect, represent unusual and/or non-recurring items and are excluded from the EBDDT calculation.
- (2) As discussed in the General section of this MD&A, these amounts do not consider the effect of discontinued operations. See Note 13 to Consolidated Financial Statements for reconciliation to Statement of Operations format.

Edgar Filing: CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORP - Form 10-K/A

Below is a summary of Net income by segment and EBDĐT for the Year Ended December 31, 2001:

	Asset Management	Suburban			Corporate	Total <sup>(2)</sup>
		Commercial	Residential	Urban		
(In thousands)						
<b>Revenue</b>						
Rental revenue	\$ 234,881	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 234,881
Sales revenue	71,818	75,686	48,507	49,793		245,804
Management, development and other fees	145	3,679	1,394	782		6,000
	<u>306,844</u>	<u>79,365</u>	<u>49,901</u>	<u>50,575</u>		<u>486,685</u>
<b>Costs and expenses</b>						
Property operating costs	(62,663)					(62,663)
Cost of sales	(30,744)	(50,896)	(30,202)	(37,337)	(519)	(149,698)
Selling, general and administrative expenses	(1,235)	(9,607)	(11,379)	(4,349)		(26,570)
Corporate administrative costs					(19,256)	(19,256)
Depreciation and amortization	(47,925)	(514)	(311)	(1,853)	(1,855)	(52,458)
	<u>(142,567)</u>	<u>(61,017)</u>	<u>(41,892)</u>	<u>(43,539)</u>	<u>(21,630)</u>	<u>(310,645)</u>
<b>Operating Income</b>	<u>164,277</u>	<u>18,348</u>	<u>8,009</u>	<u>7,036</u>	<u>(21,630)</u>	<u>176,040</u>
<b>Other income</b>						
Equity in earnings of operating joint ventures, net	8,833					8,833
Equity in earnings of development joint ventures, net		9	27,670		(1,701)	25,978
Gain on non-strategic asset sales	3,909					3,909
Interest income	5,058	2,275	1,924	1,138	13,213	23,608
Other	1,547	505		3,398	290	5,740
	<u>19,347</u>	<u>2,789</u>	<u>29,594</u>	<u>4,536</u>	<u>11,802</u>	<u>68,068</u>
<b>Other expenses</b>						
Interest expense	(75,110)	(7)		(684)	17,656	(58,145)
Other	(1,087)	(2,959)	(5,792)	180	(7,843)	(17,501)
	<u>(76,197)</u>	<u>(2,966)</u>	<u>(5,792)</u>	<u>(504)</u>	<u>9,813</u>	<u>(75,646)</u>
Minority interests	(6,059)		(83)			(6,142)
Income taxes	(41,091)	(7,366)	(12,861)	(4,487)	6	(65,799)
<b>Net income (loss)</b>	<u>\$ 60,277</u>	<u>\$ 10,805</u>	<u>\$ 18,867</u>	<u>\$ 6,581</u>	<u>\$ (9)</u>	<u>96,521</u>
Depreciation and amortization						52,458
Depreciation recapture						(11,428)
Deferred income taxes						49,499
Gain on non-strategic asset sales						(3,909)
<b>Earnings before depreciation and deferred taxes<sup>(1)</sup></b>						<u>\$ 183,141</u>

- 
- (1) EBDDT is calculated by making various adjustments to net income. Depreciation, amortization, and deferred income taxes are added back to net income as they represent non-cash charges. Since depreciation expense is added back to net income in arriving at EBDDT, the portion of gain on property sales attributable to depreciation recapture is excluded from EBDDT. In addition, gains on the sale of non-strategic assets and extraordinary items, including their current tax effect, represent unusual and/or non-recurring items and are excluded from the EBDDT calculation.
- (2) As discussed in the General section of this MD&A, these amounts do not consider the effect of discontinued operations. *See* Note 13 to Consolidated Financial Statements for reconciliation to Statement of Operations format.

Edgar Filing: CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORP - Form 10-K/A

Below is a summary of Net income by segment and EBDĐT for the Year Ended December 31, 2000:

	Asset Management	Suburban			Corporate	Total <sup>(2)</sup>
		Commercial	Residential	Urban		
(In thousands)						
<b>Revenue</b>						
Rental revenue	\$ 206,762	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 206,762
Sales revenue	89,323	68,951	292,822			451,096
Management, development and other fees	11,814	999	1,498	1,149		15,460
	<u>307,899</u>	<u>69,950</u>	<u>294,320</u>	<u>1,149</u>		<u>673,318</u>
<b>Costs and expenses</b>						
Property operating costs	(55,272)					(55,272)
Cost of sales	(46,410)	(52,415)	(238,930)			(337,755)
Selling, general and administrative expenses	(8,903)	(9,643)	(25,007)	(2,248)		(45,801)
Corporate administrative costs					(15,675)	(15,675)
Depreciation and amortization	(42,090)	(747)	(108)	(1,684)	(1,876)	(46,505)
	<u>(152,675)</u>	<u>(62,805)</u>	<u>(264,045)</u>	<u>(3,932)</u>	<u>(17,551)</u>	<u>(501,008)</u>
<b>Operating Income</b>	<u>155,224</u>	<u>7,145</u>	<u>30,275</u>	<u>(2,783)</u>	<u>(17,551)</u>	<u>172,310</u>
<b>Other income</b>						
Equity in earnings of operating joint ventures, net	9,809					9,809
Equity in earnings of development joint ventures, net		13	27,767			27,780
Gain on non-strategic asset sales	46,279					46,279
Interest income	3,021	2,724	802	4	4,652	11,203
Other	(136)	142	504	(63)	(212)	235
	<u>58,973</u>	<u>2,879</u>	<u>29,073</u>	<u>(59)</u>	<u>4,440</u>	<u>95,306</u>
<b>Other expenses</b>						
Interest expense	(57,832)	(4)	(546)	(1,153)	8,571	(50,964)
Other	(532)	(2,342)	(13,515)	40	(3,500)	(19,849)
	<u>(58,364)</u>	<u>(2,346)</u>	<u>(14,061)</u>	<u>(1,113)</u>	<u>5,071</u>	<u>(70,813)</u>
Minority interests	(6,347)		(4,354)			(10,701)
Income taxes	(60,320)	(3,098)	(16,517)	1,596	3,244	(75,095)
<b>Net income (loss)</b>	<u>\$ 89,166</u>	<u>\$ 4,580</u>	<u>\$ 24,416</u>	<u>\$ (2,359)</u>	<u>\$ (4,796)</u>	<u>111,007</u>
Depreciation and amortization						46,505
Depreciation recapture						(14,519)
Deferred income taxes						62,556
Gain on non-strategic asset sales						(46,279)
<b>Earnings before depreciation and deferred taxes<sup>(1)</sup></b>						<u>\$ 159,270</u>

- 
- (1) EBDDT is calculated by making various adjustments to net income. Depreciation, amortization, and deferred income taxes are added back to net income as they represent non-cash charges. Since depreciation expense is added back to net income in arriving at EBDDT, the portion of gain on property sales attributable to depreciation recapture is excluded from EBDDT. In addition, gains on the sale of non-strategic assets and extraordinary items, including their current tax effect, represent unusual and/or non-recurring items and are excluded from the EBDDT calculation.
- (2) As discussed in the General section of this MD&A, these amounts do not consider the effect of discontinued operations. *See* Note 13 to Consolidated Financial Statements for reconciliation to Statement of Operations format.

Edgar Filing: CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORP - Form 10-K/A

Variance Year Ended December 31, 2002 vs Year Ended December 31, 2001:

	Asset Management	Suburban			Corporate	Total
		Commercial	Residential	Urban		
(In thousands)						
<b>Revenue</b>						
Rental revenue	\$ 32,926	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 32,926
Sales revenue	(28,634)	(22,720)	10,600	(35,293)		(76,047)
Management, development and other fees	(103)	(706)	122	1,775		1,088
	<u>4,189</u>	<u>(23,426)</u>	<u>10,722</u>	<u>(33,518)</u>		<u>(42,033)</u>
<b>Costs and expenses</b>						
Property operating costs	(9,266)					(9,266)
Cost of sales	16,488	8,207	1,340	26,183	(82)	52,136
Selling, general and administrative expenses	50	31	3,063	(2,564)		580
Corporate administrative costs					1,551	1,551
Depreciation and amortization	(11,245)	(159)	129	788	(494)	(10,981)
	<u>(3,973)</u>	<u>8,079</u>	<u>4,532</u>	<u>24,407</u>	<u>975</u>	<u>34,020</u>
<b>Operating Income</b>	<u>216</u>	<u>(15,347)</u>	<u>15,254</u>	<u>(9,111)</u>	<u>975</u>	<u>(8,013)</u>
<b>Other income</b>						
Equity in earnings of operating joint ventures, net	(556)					(556)
Equity in earnings of development joint ventures, net		(9)	5,393		(2,130)	3,254
Gain on non-strategic asset sales	3,355					3,355
Interest income	(2,560)	(1,295)	4,017	(1,128)	(12,771)	(13,737)
Other	6,757	128	259	(3,398)	(290)	3,456
	<u>6,996</u>	<u>(1,176)</u>	<u>9,669</u>	<u>(4,526)</u>	<u>(15,191)</u>	<u>(4,228)</u>
<b>Other expenses</b>						
Interest expense	(3,721)	7		684	399	(2,631)
Other	976	796	5,667	(319)	8,358	15,478
	<u>(2,745)</u>	<u>803</u>	<u>5,667</u>	<u>365</u>	<u>8,757</u>	<u>12,847</u>
Minority interests	(47)		83			36
Income taxes	636	6,429	(10,987)	5,329	2,086	3,493
<b>Net income (loss)</b>	<u>\$ 5,056</u>	<u>\$ (9,291)</u>	<u>\$ 19,686</u>	<u>\$ (7,943)</u>	<u>\$ (3,373)</u>	<u>4,135</u>
Depreciation and amortization						10,981
Depreciation recapture						3,307
Deferred income taxes						(19,610)
Gain on non-strategic asset sales						(3,355)
<b>Earnings before depreciation and deferred taxes</b>						<u>\$ (4,542)</u>





Edgar Filing: CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORP - Form 10-K/A

Variance Year Ended December 31, 2001 vs Year Ended December 31, 2000:

	Asset Management	Suburban		Urban	Corporate	Total
		Commercial	Residential			
(In thousands)						
<b>Revenue</b>						
Rental revenue	\$ 28,119	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 28,119
Sales revenue	(17,505)	6,735	(244,315)	49,793		(205,292)
Management, development and other fees	(11,669)	2,680	(104)	(367)		(9,460)
	<u>(1,055)</u>	<u>9,415</u>	<u>(244,419)</u>	<u>49,426</u>		<u>(186,633)</u>
<b>Costs and expenses</b>						
Property operating costs	(7,391)					(7,391)
Cost of sales	15,666	1,519	208,728	(37,337)	(519)	188,057
Selling, general and administrative expenses	7,668	36	13,628	(2,101)		19,231
Corporate administrative costs					(3,581)	(3,581)
Depreciation and amortization	(5,835)	233	(203)	(169)	21	(5,953)
	<u>10,108</u>	<u>1,788</u>	<u>222,153</u>	<u>(39,607)</u>	<u>(4,079)</u>	<u>190,363</u>
<b>Operating Income</b>	<u>9,053</u>	<u>11,203</u>	<u>(22,266)</u>	<u>9,819</u>	<u>(4,079)</u>	<u>3,730</u>
<b>Other income</b>						
Equity in earnings of operating joint ventures, net	(976)					(976)
Equity in earnings of development joint ventures, net		(4)	(97)		(1,701)	(1,802)
Gain on non-strategic asset sales	(42,370)					(42,370)
Interest income	2,037	(449)	1,122	1,134	8,561	12,405
Other	1,683	363	(504)	3,461	502	5,505
	<u>(39,626)</u>	<u>(90)</u>	<u>521</u>	<u>4,595</u>	<u>7,362</u>	<u>(27,238)</u>
<b>Other expenses</b>						
Interest expense	(17,278)	(3)	546	469	9,085	(7,181)
Other	(555)	(617)	7,723	140	(4,343)	2,348
	<u>(17,833)</u>	<u>(620)</u>	<u>8,269</u>	<u>609</u>	<u>4,742</u>	<u>(4,833)</u>
Minority interests	288		4,271			4,559
Income taxes	19,229	(4,268)	3,656	(6,083)	(3,238)	9,296
<b>Net income (loss)</b>	<u>\$ (28,889)</u>	<u>\$ 6,225</u>	<u>\$ (5,549)</u>	<u>\$ 8,940</u>	<u>\$ 4,787</u>	<u>(14,486)</u>
Depreciation and amortization						5,953
Depreciation recapture						3,091
Deferred income taxes						(13,057)
Gain on non-strategic asset sales						42,370

Earnings before depreciation and  
deferred taxes

\$ 23,871

The following is a schedule of the largest ten tenants of our rental portfolio, based on GAAP rents:

Customer Name	State	Type of Product	% of Total Base Rent as
		Leased	of December 31, 2002
The Gap	CA	Office	6.8%
APL Logistics, Inc	CA, IL, KY, TX	Industrial	4.7%
Ford Motor Company	CA, CO, TX	Industrial	2.2%
Kellogg's USA, Inc.	CA, IL, CO	Industrial	2.0%
J.C. Penney Company	TX	Office	2.0%
Exel Corporation	CA	Industrial	1.9%
Home Depot USA, Inc.	CA	Industrial/Retail	1.6%
Gillette Company	CA, IL	Industrial	1.4%
MCI Telecommunications <sup>(1)</sup>	CA, WA, IL, MN, TX, OK, OR	Office/Ground Leases	1.4%
Office Depot, Inc.	CA	Industrial/Retail	1.3%

<sup>(1)</sup> The Company has ten leases with MCI WORLDCOM Communications, Inc., or its affiliates ( MCI ). On July 21, 2002, a group of MCI Companies filed for Chapter 11 reorganization. Pursuant to an order of the United States Bankruptcy Court, the MCI Companies have until September 22, 2003, to assume or reject the leases, but they remain obligated under the Bankruptcy Code to continue to perform their obligations under each lease in a timely manner pending the assumption or rejection of that lease. MCI has stated its intention to file a Chapter 11 plan by April 15, 2003, and will reduce some of the leased space.

#### Rental Revenue less Property Operating Costs

Rental revenue less property operating costs has increased since 2000 primarily because of additions of buildings, new ground leases, and rental increases from renewals on Same Space (as defined below), partially offset by properties sold. We added a net 6.1 million square feet in 2002, 2.1 million square feet in 2001, and 4.0 million square feet in 2000 to our rental portfolio. Rental revenue less operating costs for 2002, 2001, and 2000, are summarized as follows:

	Year Ended		Difference	Year Ended		Difference
	December 31,			December 31,		
	2002	2001		2001	2000	
(In thousands)						
<b>Rental revenue less operating costs:</b>						
Same space <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 136,494	\$ 132,212	\$ 4,282	\$ 110,760	\$ 110,007	\$ 753
Properties added to portfolio	31,768	13,458	18,310	34,084	14,144	19,940
Properties sold from portfolio	591	3,852	(3,261)	1,784	6,599	(4,815)
Ground leases	27,025	22,696	4,329	25,590	20,740	4,850
<b>Total<sup>(2)(3)</sup></b>	<b>\$ 195,878</b>	<b>\$ 172,218</b>	<b>\$ 23,660</b>	<b>\$ 172,218</b>	<b>\$ 151,490</b>	<b>\$ 20,728</b>

<sup>(1)</sup> Same Space properties were owned and operated for the entire current year and the entire immediate preceding year.

<sup>(2)</sup> As discussed in the General section of this MD&A, these amounts do not consider the effect of discontinued operations. See Note 13 to Consolidated Financial Statements for reconciliation to Statement of Operations format.

<sup>(3)</sup>

## Edgar Filing: CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORP - Form 10-K/A

Generally accepted accounting principles require rental revenue to be recognized in a straight-line basis over the initial term of the related lease. Revenue recognized may differ from cash collected from the related lease.

We do not expect substantial changes in rental income from our Same Space rental portfolio; rather, we expect that growth in overall portfolio rental income will result primarily from new properties we will add to our rental portfolio over time.

The increase in rental revenue less operating costs of \$23.7 million in 2002 is primarily attributable to \$22.6 million from the additions of buildings and new ground leases and \$4.3 million from Same Space, due to higher average rental rates from renewals, partially offset by a \$3.3 million decrease from properties sold.

The increase in rental revenue less operating costs of \$20.7 million in 2001 is primarily attributable to \$24.8 million from the additions of buildings and new ground leases and \$0.8 million from Same Space, due to higher average rental rates from renewals, partially offset by a \$4.8 million decrease from properties sold.

**Gain on Property Sales:**

**Year Ended December 31, 2002**

	Asset Management	Suburban			Corporate	Total <sup>(1)</sup>
		Commercial	Residential	Urban		
(In thousands)						
<b>Building Sales</b>						
Sales Proceeds	\$ 34,211	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 34,211
Cost of Sales	(12,534)					(12,534)
Gain	21,677					21,677
<b>Land/Lot Sales</b>						
Sales Proceeds		52,563	57,054	14,500		124,117
Cost of Sales		(42,932)	(28,113)	(11,154)		(82,199)
Gain		9,631	28,941	3,346		41,918
<b>Ground Lease and Other Sales</b>						
Sales Proceeds	8,973	403	2,053			11,429
Cost of Sales	(1,722)	243	(749)		(601)	(2,829)
Gain (loss)	7,251	646	1,304		(601)	8,600
<b>Total sales proceeds</b>	<b>43,184</b>	<b>52,966</b>	<b>59,107</b>	<b>14,500</b>		<b>169,757</b>
<b>Total cost of sales</b>	<b>(14,256)</b>	<b>(42,689)</b>	<b>(28,862)</b>	<b>(11,154)</b>	<b>(601)</b>	<b>(97,562)</b>
<b>Total gain (loss) on property sales</b>	<b>\$ 28,928</b>	<b>\$ 10,277</b>	<b>\$ 30,245</b>	<b>\$ 3,346</b>	<b>\$ (601)</b>	<b>\$ 72,195</b>

## Year Ended December 31, 2001

	Asset Management	Suburban			Corporate	Total <sup>(1)</sup>
		Commercial	Residential	Urban		
(In thousands)						
<b>Building/Home Sales</b>						
Sales Proceeds	\$ 37,898	\$ 40,697	\$ 9,621	\$	\$	\$ 88,216
Cost of Sales	(13,388)	(29,846)	(8,078)			(51,312)
<b>Gain</b>	<b>24,510</b>	<b>10,851</b>	<b>1,543</b>			<b>36,904</b>
<b>Land/Lot Sales</b>						
Sales Proceeds		34,989	38,886	49,793		123,668
Cost of Sales		(21,050)	(22,297)	(37,337)		(80,684)
<b>Gain</b>		<b>13,939</b>	<b>16,589</b>	<b>12,456</b>		<b>42,984</b>
<b>Ground Lease and Other sales</b>						
Sales Proceeds	33,920					33,920
Cost of Sales	(17,356)		173		(519)	(17,702)
<b>Gain (loss)</b>	<b>16,564</b>		<b>173</b>		<b>(519)</b>	<b>16,218</b>
<b>Total sales proceeds</b>	<b>71,818</b>	<b>75,686</b>	<b>48,507</b>	<b>49,793</b>		<b>245,804</b>
<b>Total cost of sales</b>	<b>(30,744)</b>	<b>(50,896)</b>	<b>(30,202)</b>	<b>(37,337)</b>	<b>(519)</b>	<b>(149,698)</b>
<b>Total gain (loss) on property sales</b>	<b>\$ 41,074</b>	<b>\$ 24,790</b>	<b>\$ 18,305</b>	<b>\$ 12,456</b>	<b>\$ (519)</b>	<b>\$ 96,106</b>

<sup>(1)</sup> As discussed in the General section of this MD&A, these amounts do not consider the effect of discontinued operations. See Note 13 to Consolidated Financial Statements for reconciliation to Statement of Operations format.

## Year Ended December 31, 2000

	Asset Management	Suburban			Corporate	Total <sup>(1)</sup>
		Commercial	Residential	Urban		
(In thousands)						
<b>Building/Home Sales</b>						
Sales Proceeds	\$ 72,057	\$ 33,741	\$ 254,864	\$	\$	\$ 360,662
Cost of Sales	(35,743)	(31,546)	(217,171)			(284,460)
<b>Gain</b>	<b>36,314</b>	<b>2,195</b>	<b>37,693</b>			<b>76,202</b>
<b>Land/Lot Sales</b>						
Sales Proceeds		35,210	37,958			73,168

Edgar Filing: CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORP - Form 10-K/A

Cost of Sales		(20,869)	(21,759)			(42,628)
Gain		14,341	16,199			30,540
<b>Ground Lease and Other Sales</b>						
Sales Proceeds	17,266					17,266
Cost of Sales	(10,667)					(10,667)
Gain	6,599					6,599
<b>Total sales proceeds</b>	<b>89,323</b>	<b>68,951</b>	<b>292,822</b>			<b>451,096</b>
<b>Total cost of sales</b>	<b>(46,410)</b>	<b>(52,415)</b>	<b>(238,930)</b>			<b>(337,755)</b>
<b>Total gain on property sales</b>	<b>\$ 42,913</b>	<b>\$ 16,536</b>	<b>\$ 53,892</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ 113,341</b>

(1) As discussed in the General section of this MD&A, these amounts do not consider the effect of discontinued operations. See Note 13 to Consolidated Financial Statements for reconciliation to Statement of Operations format.



## Variance Year Ended December 31, 2002 vs Year Ended December 31, 2001

	Asset Management	Suburban			Corporate	Total
		Commercial	Residential	Urban		
(In thousands)						
<b>Building/Home Sales</b>						
Sales Proceeds	\$ (3,687)	\$ (40,697)	\$ (9,621)	\$	\$	\$ (54,005)
Cost of Sales	854	29,846	8,078			38,778
(Loss)	(2,833)	(10,851)	(1,543)			(15,227)
<b>Land/Lot Sales</b>						
Sales Proceeds		17,574	18,168	(35,293)		449
Cost of Sales		(21,882)	(5,816)	26,183		(1,515)
Gain (loss)		(4,308)	12,352	(9,110)		(1,066)
<b>Ground Lease and Other Sales</b>						
Sales Proceeds	(24,947)	403	2,053			(22,491)
Cost of Sales	15,634	243	(922)		(82)	14,873
Gain (loss)	(9,313)	646	1,131		(82)	(7,618)
<b>Total sales proceeds</b>	<b>(28,634)</b>	<b>(22,720)</b>	<b>10,600</b>	<b>(35,293)</b>		<b>(76,047)</b>
<b>Total cost of sales</b>	<b>16,488</b>	<b>8,207</b>	<b>1,340</b>	<b>26,183</b>	<b>(82)</b>	<b>52,136</b>
<b>Total gain (loss) on property sales</b>	<b>\$ (12,146)</b>	<b>\$ (14,513)</b>	<b>\$ 11,940</b>	<b>\$ (9,110)</b>	<b>\$ (82)</b>	<b>\$ (23,911)</b>

## Variance Year Ended December 31, 2001 vs Year Ended December 31, 2000

	Asset Management	Suburban			Corporate	Total
		Commercial	Residential	Urban		
(In thousands)						
<b>Building/Home Sales</b>						
Sales Proceeds	\$ (34,159)	\$ 6,956	\$ (245,243)	\$	\$	\$ (272,446)
Cost of Sales	22,355	1,700	209,093			233,148
Gain (loss)	(11,804)	8,656	(36,150)			(39,298)
<b>Land/Lot Sales</b>						
Sales Proceeds		(221)	928	49,793		50,500
Cost of Sales		(181)	(538)	(37,337)		(38,056)
Gain (loss)		(402)	390	12,456		12,444

Edgar Filing: CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORP - Form 10-K/A

<b>Ground Lease and Other Sales</b>					
Sales Proceeds	16,654				16,654
Cost of Sales	(6,689)		173	(519)	(7,035)
Gain (loss)	9,965		173	(519)	9,619
<b>Total sales proceeds</b>	(17,505)	6,735	(244,315)	49,793	(205,292)
<b>Total cost of sales</b>	15,666	1,519	208,728	(37,337)	188,057
<b>Total gain (loss) on property sales</b>	\$ (1,839)	\$ 8,254	\$ (35,587)	\$ 12,456	\$ (17,235)

During 2002, we sold six operating properties totaling 769,000 square feet of building space, closed on the sale of improved land capable of supporting 3.8 million square feet of commercial development, and sold 1,038.7 acres of ground leases. During 2001, we sold seven existing operating properties and four newly completed commercial buildings totaling 1.1 million square feet, sold improved land capable of supporting 6.8 million square feet of commercial development, sold 1,108.2 acres of ground leases, and sold 5.1 acres of Urban land. During 2000, we sold eleven existing operating properties and three newly completed commercial buildings totaling 2.1 million square feet, closed on the sale of improved land capable of supporting 8.5 million square feet of commercial development, and sold 1,035 acres of ground leases (*see* Variability in Results section).

For the year ended December 31, 2002, we also closed on the sales of 456 residential lots, compared to 396 residential lots and 55 homes during the same period in 2001. For the year ended December 31, 2000, the gain from Suburban Residential segment included \$13.4 million from the sale of our homebuilding assets to a limited liability company formed in 2000 managed by Brookfield Homes of California, Inc. ( BHC, LLC ), \$10.2 million from the closing of an 80-lot site in San Francisco, and \$30.3 million resulting primarily from the closings of 512 lots and 347 homes (*see* Variability in Results section).

In addition, the gain for 2002 and 2001 from Suburban Residential segment included \$2.1 million and \$1.1 million, respectively, of our portion of profit participation related to certain properties that were sold in the prior year (*see* Variability in Results section).

#### **Management, Development and Other Fees**

Management, development and other fees primarily consist of fees earned related to development and construction management services provided to third parties as well as our joint venture projects. The increase in 2002 was primarily because of new fees included in 2002 from development management activities commenced in September 30, 2001, related to a new joint venture development at the Mission Bay project of \$2.6 million, management fees related to two build-to-suit construction management contracts of \$1.4 million, construction management fees related to investments in three unconsolidated joint ventures in Colorado of \$1 million, and management fees from a joint venture project of \$0.7 million. The decrease in management fees of \$9.5 million in 2001, compared to 2000, was primarily due to the expiration of the contract to manage and sell the non-railroad real estate assets of a major railroad company, partially offset by an increase in development and management fees related to a construction management contract with a ground lease lessee.

#### **Selling, General and Administrative Expenses**

To better understand the results of our operations and provide a meaningful commentary on the matters which impact our business, we have separated these expenses into two categories. Expenses incurred related to our property sales and fee services business are reflected as selling, general and administrative expenses, while the general and administrative expenses related to corporate management activities are reflected as corporate administrative costs.

Selling, general and administrative expenses decreased \$0.6 million in 2002 primarily due to employee-related expenses and legal expenses. Selling, general and administrative expenses decreased \$19.2 million in 2001 primarily due to the decreased number of employees related to the sale of our homebuilding assets to BHC, LLC, in 2000.

#### **Corporate Administrative Costs**

## Edgar Filing: CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORP - Form 10-K/A

To better understand the results of our operations and provide a meaningful commentary on the matters which impact our business, we have separated these expenses into two categories. Expenses incurred related to our property sales and fee services business are reflected as selling, general and administrative expenses, while the general and administrative expenses related to corporate management activities are reflected as corporate administrative costs.

Corporate administrative costs consist primarily of general and administrative expenses. General and administrative expenses decreased by \$1.6 million in 2002 but increased \$3.6 million in 2001. The decrease in 2002 was primarily because of decreases in employee-related expenses and marketing expenses. The increase in 2001 was primarily because of increases in employee-related expenses.

### Depreciation and Amortization Expense

The increases in depreciation and amortization expense of \$11 million and \$6 million in 2002 and 2001, respectively, are primarily attributable to the new buildings added to the portfolio. In 2002 and 2001, we added 6.1 million net square feet and 2.1 million net square feet of building space, respectively, to our portfolio. The added buildings resulted in incremental depreciation expense of \$8.1 million and \$4.1 million in 2002 and 2001, respectively. In addition, in 2002 we recorded a charge of \$2.1 million related to assets placed in service in prior periods but not depreciated.

### Other Income

### Equity in Earnings of Operating Joint Ventures

Equity in earnings of operating joint ventures, net, decreased by \$0.6 million and \$1 million in 2002, and 2001, respectively. The decrease in 2002 was primarily because of lower occupancies in hotels owned by two joint ventures. The decrease in 2001 was primarily because of higher interest expense due to a refinancing at a joint venture in 2000 and lower occupancies in hotels owned by two joint ventures in 2001 (*see* Variability in Results section).

### Equity in Earnings of Development Joint Ventures, Net

Our equity in earnings of development joint ventures, net is generated from our Suburban Residential investments. The tables below summarize our share of the activities of joint ventures for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001, and 2000. The increase in 2002, compared to 2001, in our gain from sales is primarily because of an increase in sales volume, partially offset by the sale of our investment interest in the Brookfield joint venture during 2001. The decrease in our gain from sales in 2001, compared to 2000, is primarily because of lower sales volumes from Serrano and Talega, partially offset by gain from new joint ventures Parkway and Talega Village (*see* Variability in Results section). As we have not entered into any significant new joint ventures in 2002, the equity in earnings of development joint ventures, net will likely decline beyond 2003.

	Year ended December 31, 2002				Year ended December 31, 2001				Year ended December 31, 2000			
	Lots/ Homes Sold	Cost of Sales	Gain (loss)		Lots/ Homes Sold	Cost of Sales	Gain (loss)		Lots/ Homes Sold	Cost of Sales	Gain (loss)	
		\$	\$	\$	524	\$ 77,013	\$ (62,611)	\$ 14,402	306	\$ 130,383	\$ (120,253)	\$ 10,130

(In thousands, except lots/homes)

Edgar Filing: CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORP - Form 10-K/A

Talega Village	118	64,973	(60,538)	4,435	100	51,359	(48,566)	2,793				
Serrano	940	73,852	(66,955)	6,897	53	35,915	(34,389)	1,526	874	87,297	(74,969)	12,328
Talega	772	78,143	(73,111)	5,032	109	34,855	(30,945)	3,910	867	98,843	(93,534)	5,309
Parkway	822	61,259	(48,391)	12,868	190	16,260	(12,922)	3,338				
Other						9		9		13		13
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,652</b>	<b>\$ 278,227</b>	<b>\$ (248,995)</b>	<b>\$ 29,232</b>	<b>976</b>	<b>\$ 215,411</b>	<b>\$ (189,433)</b>	<b>\$ 25,978</b>	<b>2,047</b>	<b>\$ 316,536</b>	<b>\$ (288,756)</b>	<b>\$ 27,780</b>

**Gain on Non-Strategic Asset Sales**

Gain on sales of non-strategic assets increased \$3.4 million in 2002 but decreased \$42.4 million in 2001. The increase in 2002, compared to 2001, was primarily because of higher sales of remaining desert property in 2002. The decrease in 2001, compared to 2000, was primarily because of a significant sale of desert land that was ultimately transferred to the federal government in 2000. We estimate the gain on non-strategic asset sales in



**Other**

Other expenses consist primarily of expenses of previously capitalized costs and other miscellaneous expenses. The decrease in other expenses from \$17.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2001, to \$2.0 million for the same period of 2002, was because in 2001 we incurred \$6.5 million of consulting fees, \$5.1 million of expenses related to costs overruns on a fixed-price construction contract, and a \$2.5 million expense for certain predevelopment costs previously capitalized. The decrease in other expenses of \$2.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2001, as compared to 2000, was primarily because of lower expenses related to cost overruns on the fixed-price construction contract of \$6.7 million in 2001, offset by \$3.0 million higher consulting fees.

**Minority Interests**

In 1999, we formed a subsidiary real estate investment trust for financing purposes and sold 10% of this subsidiary's stock to minority investors. This subsidiary is consolidated for financial reporting purposes. Subsequently to December 31, 2002, the REIT acquired the 10% interest of the minority investors, and accordingly the REIT became a wholly-owned subsidiary.



**Income taxes**

Income taxes decreased \$3.5 million and \$9.3 million in 2002 and 2001, respectively. These changes are the results of property donations at fair market value in 2002, changes in pre-tax income primarily attributed to rental income, gains from property sales, and gains on non-strategic asset sales in 2001. Property donation at fair value reflects property conveyances that qualify as charitable contributions for tax purposes. The difference between the fair value and book basis of the properties conveyed represents a tax deduction that results in a permanent reduction in income tax. The effect of deducting the excess of fair value of property over the book basis was a reduction in the effective tax rate of approximately 2% for the year ended December 31, 2002.

	Year Ended December 31,			Difference 2002/2001	Difference 2001/2000
	2002	2001	2000		
	(In thousands)				
Income before income taxes and discontinued operations	\$ 162,962	\$ 162,320	\$ 186,102	\$ 642	\$ (23,782)
Income taxes:					
Current taxes	\$ 32,417	\$ 16,300	\$ 12,539	\$ 16,117	\$ 3,761
Deferred taxes	29,889	49,499	62,556	(19,610)	(13,057)
Income tax expense	\$ 62,306	\$ 65,799	\$ 75,095	\$ (3,493)	\$ (9,296)
Total tax:					
Current tax rate	19.9%	10.0%	6.7%	9.9%	3.3%
Deferred tax rate	18.3%	30.5%	33.6%	(12.2)%	(3.1)%
Tax rate	38.2%	40.5%	40.3%	(2.3)%	0.2%

Current tax rates increased in 2002, as compared to 2001, primarily due to fewer tax-deferred property exchanges, a decrease in the amount of stock options exercised, and lower interest rates. Current tax rates increased in 2001, as compared to 2000, primarily due to fewer tax-deferred property exchanges and fewer tax credits in 2001. Gains from tax-deferred exchange property sales are recognized for financial reporting purposes, but the associated tax liability is not incurred for tax purposes until the replacement property is sold. All of our net operating loss carryforwards have been used. We estimate current tax rates to be above 2002 levels; however, we anticipate that the overall tax rate in 2003 will be lower than the overall tax rate in 2002.

Accordingly, deferred taxes decreased in 2002, compared to 2001, and in 2001, compared to 2000, primarily due to decreases in the number of tax-deferred property exchanges. The decrease in 2002 also reflects fewer stock options exercised in 2002 compared to 2001.

The calculation of current taxes due involves the use of many estimates that are not finalized and adjusted until our final tax returns are filed, usually in September of the following year. Consequently, actual taxes paid in regard to any given year will differ from the amounts shown above; however, the differences have historically not been material and are not expected to be material in the future.

**Variability in Results**

Although our rental properties provide relatively stable operating results, our earnings from period to period will be affected by the nature and timing of acquisitions and sales of property. Many of our projects require a lengthy process to complete the development cycle before they are sold. Also, sales of assets are difficult to predict given fluctuating economic conditions and are generally subject to lengthy negotiations and contingencies that need to be resolved before closing. These factors may tend to bunch income in particular periods rather than producing a more even pattern throughout the year or from year to year. In addition, gross margins may vary significantly as the mix of property varies. The cost basis of the properties sold varies because (i) properties have

been owned for varying periods of time; (ii) properties are owned in various geographical locations; and (iii) development projects have varying infrastructure costs and build-out periods.

## Liquidity and Capital Resources

### Off-balance sheet arrangements, contractual obligations, and commitments

We have the following off-balance sheet arrangements, contractual obligations, and commitments, which are disclosed in various sections of the Consolidated Financial Statements, Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, and elsewhere in this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations. They exist in the following areas:

- Unconsolidated real estate joint ventures:

Capital contribution requirements

Debt and debt service guarantees

- Surety bonds, standby letters of credit and commitments
- Executed contracts for construction and development activity

Generally any funding of off-balance sheet guarantees would result in the increase of Catellus' ownership interest in a project or entity similar to the treatment of a unilateral additional capital contribution to an investee.

#### *Unconsolidated real estate joint ventures' capital contribution requirements*

We have investments in twelve unconsolidated real estate joint ventures. Four of the joint ventures are involved in the operation of rental real estate properties, and the remaining eight are involved in real estate development for investment or sale. We use the equity method of accounting for all of our investments in unconsolidated joint ventures.

We are required to make additional capital contributions to two of the unconsolidated joint ventures should additional capital contributions be necessary to fund excess costs or operating shortfall. One of the joint ventures requires capital contributions if actual development costs exceed the approved project development budget. The development budget is approximately \$252.5 million and will be funded as follows: \$165 million from a construction loan, which was closed in September 2002, \$62.5 million from our partners, and the remaining \$25 million from us. As of December 31, 2002, we had contributed \$19.4 million of the \$25 million. Subsequent to December 31, 2002, we contributed an additional \$2.6 million, but we do not expect to fund any additional capital contributions beyond the \$25 million. The second joint venture requires capital contributions to fund operating shortfall upon written notice from the joint venture's management committee. As of December 31, 2002, no such notice has been received.

## Edgar Filing: CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORP - Form 10-K/A

We have also agreed with another of our unconsolidated joint ventures to fund up to \$5.7 million for certain construction costs, if necessary. As of December 31, 2002, no additional funding is required.

### *Unconsolidated real estate joint ventures debt and debt service guarantees*

We have made certain debt service guarantees for six of our unconsolidated joint ventures. At December 31, 2002, based on the joint ventures outstanding debt balance, these debt service guarantees totaled \$44.6 million. Of the total guarantees, \$14.5 million relates to three unconsolidated residential development joint ventures, \$22.3 million relates to two unconsolidated commercial development joint ventures, and the remaining \$7.8 million relates to an unconsolidated urban development joint venture. These debt service guarantees are typical business arrangements commonly required of developers in real estate development. Examples of events that would require us to provide a cash payment pursuant to a guarantee include a loan default, which would result from failure of the primary borrower to service the debt when due, or non-compliance of the primary borrower with financial covenants and inadequacy of asset collateral. Our guarantee exposure is generally limited

to situations in which the value of the collateral is not sufficient to satisfy the outstanding indebtedness. At December 31, 2002, we have not been required to satisfy any amounts pursuant to these debt and debt service guarantees.

*Surety bonds, standby letters of credit and commitments*

As of December 31, 2002, we have \$379.6 million in surety bonds, outstanding standby letters of credit in favor of local municipalities or financial institutions, and commitments to guarantee leases, the construction of real property improvements or financial obligations. Surety bonds and commitments are to guarantee the construction of public improvements and infrastructure such as sewer, streets, traffic signals, grading, and wildlife preservations, in connection with our various development projects. Surety bonds are often required by public agencies from developers in real estate development. The surety bonds and standby letters of credit are renewable and expire upon completion of the required improvements. Standby letters of credit are a form of credit enhancement commonly required in real estate development when bonds are issued to finance public improvements.

*Executed contracts for construction and development activity*

At December 31, 2002, we have open construction and development contracts with vendors totaling \$224.6 million related to our various projects, as compared to \$273.3 million at December 31, 2001.

The following table summarizes our outstanding contractual obligations as of December 31, 2002 and the effect such obligations are expected to have on liquidity and cash flow in future periods:

<u>Contractual Obligations</u>	<u>Payments Due by Period</u>				
	<u>Total</u>	<u>Due within 2003</u>	<u>Due in 2004-2006</u>	<u>Due in 2007-2008</u>	<u>Due Thereafter</u>
	(In thousands)				
Mortgage and Other Debt	\$ 1,504,102 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 154,152	\$ 389,176	\$ 381,918	\$ 578,856
Operating Leases	6,954	2,510	4,204	30	210
Contracts	224,610 <sup>(2)</sup>	181,429	19,736	13,874	9,571
<b>Total Contractual Obligations</b>	<b>\$ 1,735,666</b>	<b>\$ 338,091</b>	<b>\$ 413,116</b>	<b>\$ 395,822</b>	<b>\$ 588,637</b>

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes approximately \$3.1 million of mortgage notes associated with assets held for sale that is presented as Liabilities associated with assets held for sale on our consolidated balance sheet.

<sup>(2)</sup> A portion of these obligations is expected to be reimbursed by third parties, including bond proceeds.

The following table summarizes our outstanding commitments as of December 31, 2002, and the effect such commitments may have on liquidity and cash flow in future periods:

## Amount of Commitment Expiration

Commitments	Total Amounts Committed	Per Period			
		Expire within 2003	Expire in 2004-2006	Expire in 2007- 2008	Expire Thereafter
(In thousands)					
Standby Letters of Credit, Surety Bonds and Commitments	\$ 379,628 <sup>(3)</sup>	\$ 304,032	\$ 75,596	\$	\$
Debt Guarantees of Unconsolidated JVs	44,624	5,000	39,624		
<b>Total Commitments</b>	<b>\$ 424,252</b>	<b>\$ 309,032</b>	<b>\$ 115,220</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>

<sup>(3)</sup> Includes approximately \$42.4 million of commitments that have no specific expiration dates, which we have assumed to expire within one year for purposes of this table.

Note: The above tables do not include certain obligations made in the ordinary course of business (receivables, payables, etc.)

### **Cash flows from operating activities**

Cash provided by operating activities reflected in the statement of cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001, and 2000, was \$187.1 million, \$341.8 million, and \$296.0 million, respectively.

The decrease of \$154.7 million in 2002 was primarily attributable to the following: (1) a decrease due to the receipt of a \$104.8 million prepayment of rent associated with a 34-year ground lease in 2001; (2) a decrease of \$37.2 million resulting from payments made in 2002 for accrued construction costs; (3) \$26.4 million due to an increase in prepayments for various expenses; (4) a decrease of \$24.3 million due to higher income taxes paid in 2002; and (5) a decrease of \$22.5 million in cash received from sales proceeds, partially offset by (6) an increase of \$42.4 million from operating distributions, primarily from four of our unconsolidated residential joint ventures due to more lots sold; (7) \$37 million due to an increase in payments received for our notes receivable; and (8) \$25.4 million due to lower capital expenditures on our development property. The remaining decrease of \$44.3 million was primarily due to the timing of receipts and payments from our ordinary course of business (accounts receivable, accounts payable, etc.).

The increase of \$45.8 million in 2001 was primarily attributable to the following: (1) the receipt of \$106.8 million prepayment of rent, of which approximately \$104.8 million was associated with a 34-year ground lease; (2) an increase of \$84.5 million due to lower capital expenditures on our development property; (3) an increase of \$17.1 million due to higher distributions from our joint ventures, primarily from our unconsolidated residential joint ventures in which more homes were sold; (4) an increase of \$12.6 million due to lower income taxes paid in 2001 as compared to 2000; and (5) an increase of \$9.4 million due to an increase in payments received for our notes receivable, partially offset by (6) a decrease of \$223.2 million in cash received from sales proceeds. The remaining increase of \$38.6 million was primarily due to the timing of receipts and payments from our ordinary course of business (accounts receivable, accounts payable, etc.).

### **Cash flows from investing activities**

Net cash used in investing activities reflected in the statement of cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001, and 2000, was \$333.3 million, \$267.6 million, and \$224.2 million, respectively.

The increase in use of \$65.7 million in 2002 was attributed to the following: (1) \$66.9 million in increased short-term investments and restricted cash at December 31, 2002; (2) \$38.3 million due to higher reimbursable predevelopment and infrastructure costs incurred in 2002; (3) \$20.7 million due to lower proceeds from the sale of investment properties; (4) \$15.3 million due to higher capital contributions made to our unconsolidated joint ventures in 2002; and (5) \$7 million due to higher costs incurred for tenant improvements partially offset by (6) \$55.3 million due to lower property acquisitions and (7) \$27.2 million due to lower capital expenditures for investment properties in 2002.

The increase in use of \$43.4 million between 2001 and 2000 was attributed to the following: (1) \$44.3 million due to higher property acquisitions; (2) \$28.4 million due to higher capital expenditures for investment properties; (3) \$16.8 million due to lower proceeds from the sale of investment properties; (4) \$15.6 million of distributions in 2000 from the refinancing of one of our joint ventures; (5) \$2.9 million due to higher reimbursable predevelopment and infrastructure costs incurred; and (6) \$2 million due to the contributions made in 2001 to our joint ventures offset by (7) \$63.8 million in reduced short-term investments and restricted cash and (8) \$2.8 million due to lower tenant improvements.

**Capital Expenditures**

Capital expenditures reflected in the statement of cash flows include the following:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2002	2001	2000
	(In thousands)		
<b>Capital Expenditures from Operating Activities<sup>(1)</sup></b>			
Capital expenditures for development properties	\$ 21,693	\$ 32,246	\$ 46,356
Predevelopment	4,641	1,047	98
Infrastructure and other	22,814	31,135	86,864
Residential property acquisitions	7,139		26,464
Capitalized interest and property tax	668	1,849	7,738
Capital expenditures in cash flows for operating activities	56,955	66,277	167,520
Other property acquisitions	738	16,785	
Seller-financed acquisitions		10,000	
Total capital expenditures in operating activities	57,693	93,062	167,520
<b>Capital Expenditures from Investing Activities<sup>(2)</sup></b>			
Construction and building improvements	148,508	156,566	149,895
Predevelopment	16,149	6,326	21,698
Infrastructure and other	25,635	62,591	37,657
Other property acquisitions	9,649	1,788	2,748
Capitalized interest and property tax	27,592	27,536	14,426
Capital expenditures for investment properties	227,533	254,807	226,424
Commercial property acquisitions	24,449	79,782	35,471
Tenant improvements	9,945	2,893	5,767
Reimbursable construction costs	54,426	16,097	13,156
Contribution to joint ventures	17,365	2,035	
Capital expenditures in cash flows for investing activities	333,718	355,614	280,818
Seller-financed acquisitions			1,702
Total capital expenditures in investing activities	333,718	355,614	282,520
Total capital expenditures <sup>(3)</sup>	\$ 391,411	\$ 448,676	\$ 450,040

(1) This category primarily includes capital expenditures for properties we intend to build and sell.

(2) This category primarily includes capital expenditures for properties we intend to hold for our own account.

(3) Total capital expenditures include capitalized general and administrative expenses of \$14.7 million, \$21.6 million, and \$17.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001, and 2000, respectively.

*Capital expenditures for development properties* This item relates to the development of residential, urban, and commercial for-sale development properties. The decrease in 2002 and 2001 was primarily because of the decrease in commercial and urban development activities for properties that we intend to build and sell.





*Construction and building improvements* This item relates primarily to development of new properties held for lease. This development activity is summarized below (in square feet):

	Year Ended	
	December 31,	
	2002	2001
	(In thousands)	
<b>Commercial Development</b>		
Wholly owned:		
Under construction, beginning of period	6,143	3,474
Construction starts	2,945	4,735
Completed - retained in portfolio	(6,066)	(1,465)
Completed - design/build or sold		(601)
	<u>3,022</u>	<u>6,143</u>
Subtotal under construction, end of period		
Joint Venture Projects:		
Under construction, beginning of period		
Construction starts	305	
	<u>305</u>	
Subtotal under construction, end of period		
	<u>3,327</u>	<u>6,143</u>
Total commercial development under construction, end of period		
<b>Urban Development</b>		
Wholly owned:		
Under construction, beginning of period	361	283
Construction starts		78
Completed - retained in portfolio	(283)	
	<u>78</u>	<u>361</u>
Subtotal under construction, end of period <sup>(1)</sup>		
Joint Venture Projects:		
Under construction, beginning of period	695	
Construction starts		695
	<u>695</u>	<u>695</u>
Subtotal under construction, end of period		
	<u>773</u>	<u>1,056</u>
Total urban development under construction, end of period		
	<u>4,100</u>	<u>7,199</u>
Total under construction, end of period		

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes approximately 45,000 square feet of residential units, which we intend to sell; excludes approximately 280,000 square feet of commercial space on which construction was started but stopped during 2001.

*Predevelopment* This item relates to amounts incurred for our commercial, urban, and residential development projects, primarily the Mission Bay project in San Francisco, California, the Santa Fe Depot project in San Diego, California, the Vista Range residential project in Commerce City, Colorado, and the Westbluffs residential project in Playa Del Rey, California. The increase in 2002 primarily resulted from the activity for the projects in San Francisco, California; Commerce City, Colorado; and Playa Del Rey, California. For the years ended December 31, 2002,

## Edgar Filing: CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORP - Form 10-K/A

2001, and 2000, approximately \$2 million, \$8.7 million, and \$4.9 million, respectively, of predevelopment costs incurred at Mission Bay are reimbursable, as discussed in *Reimbursable construction costs* below.

*Infrastructure and other* This item primarily represents infrastructure costs incurred in connection with our commercial, urban, and residential projects. Infrastructure costs relate primarily to the projects at San Diego, California; Woodridge, Illinois; Denver, Colorado; Ontario, California; Hercules, California; Fremont, California; and Mission Bay, San Francisco, California.

In 2002, approximately \$54.2 million, \$25.1 million, \$1.2 million, and \$14.5 million of infrastructure and other costs incurred at Mission Bay, Pacific Commons, Denver, and Ontario, respectively, are reimbursable, as discussed in *Reimbursable construction costs* below. In 2001, approximately \$22 million, \$4.4 million, and \$0.5 million of infrastructure and other costs incurred at Mission Bay, Ontario, and Denver, respectively, are reimbursable. In 2000, approximately \$7.3 million, \$0.3 million, and \$0.7 million of infrastructure and other costs incurred at Mission Bay, Ontario, and Denver, respectively, are reimbursable.

*Operating property acquisitions* For the year ended December 31, 2002, we invested approximately \$7.8 million in operating property acquisitions, of which \$7.1 million was for the acquisition of land capable of supporting an estimated 2,149 residential units and \$0.7 million for land to be sold.

In 2001, we invested approximately \$26.8 million in property and other acquisitions: \$3.8 million for the acquisition of commercial land with the intent to sell and \$23 million, including a \$10 million seller-financed note, for the acquisition of an ownership interest in a joint venture in Folsom, California.

In 2000, we invested approximately \$26.5 million for the acquisitions of residential development property in California, directly or through joint ventures; these acquisitions would support up to 479 homes/lots.

*Investing property acquisitions* For the year ended December 31, 2002, we invested approximately \$34.1 million in investing property acquisitions: \$16.4 million for the acquisition of commercial buildings, which added approximately 488,000 square feet to our rental portfolio; \$8 million for the acquisition of commercial land, which added 3 million square feet of potential development; and \$9.7 million for the acquisition of furniture, fixtures, and equipment, primarily consisting of a corporate aircraft.

In 2001, we invested approximately \$81.6 million in property and other acquisitions; \$66.6 million for the acquisition of commercial buildings, which added approximately 1.2 million square feet to our rental portfolio; \$13.2 million for the acquisition of commercial land, which added about 4.2 million square feet of potential development; and \$1.7 million for the acquisition of furniture, fixtures, and equipment.

In 2000, we invested approximately \$39.9 million in property acquisitions, including a \$1.7 million seller-financed note, for the acquisition of commercial and mixed-used development land, which added approximately 10.2 million square feet of potential development and \$2.7 million for the acquisition of furniture, fixtures, and equipment.

*Reimbursable construction costs* For the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001, and 2000, approximately \$97 million, \$35.6 million, and \$13.2 million, respectively, of total predevelopment and infrastructure costs incurred are reimbursable, pursuant to various Community Facility District ( CFD ) bonds issued in 2002 and 2001, various assessment district bonds, and third parties.

During 2002, approximately \$44.7 million was reimbursed, of which approximately \$42.8 million was from CFD bonds and approximately \$1.9 million was from third parties. During 2001, approximately \$17.4 million was reimbursed, of which \$13.3 million was from CFD bonds and \$4.1 million was from third parties. During 2000, we did not receive any reimbursements for reimbursable costs incurred.

Subsequent to December 31, 2002, an additional \$5.7 million was reimbursed, of which approximately \$1.7 million was from CFD bonds, approximately \$2.6 million from assessment district bonds, and approximately \$1.4 million from third parties.

**Cash flows from financing activities**

Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities reflected in the statement of cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001, and 2000, was \$198.4 million, (\$188.1) million, and \$229.3 million, respectively.

The increase of \$386.5 million in 2002 was attributed to the following: (1) an increase of \$372.4 million due to no treasury stock purchases in 2002, as compared to \$372.4 million expended for the purchase of 21,649,797 shares of our treasury stock under the share repurchase program during the same period in 2001; (2) an increase of \$24.4 million primarily attributable to higher net borrowings; and (3) an increase of \$0.6 million due to a decrease in distributions to minority partners offset by (4) a decrease of \$10.9 million due to lower proceeds from the issuance of common stock primarily attributable to exercise of stock options.

The decrease of \$417.4 million between 2001 and 2000 was primarily due to the following: (1) a decrease of \$343.7 million due to \$372.4 million expended for the purchase of 21,649,797 shares of our treasury stock in 2001 as compared to \$28.7 million expended for the purchase of 1,997,300 shares in 2000 and (2) a decrease of \$87.6 million due to lower net borrowings, offset by (3) an increase of \$11.9 million due to higher proceeds from the issuance of common stock attributable to exercise of stock options and (4) an increase of \$2.0 million due to lower distributions to minority partners.

### **Capital commitments**

As of December 31, 2002, we had outstanding standby letters of credit, surety bonds, and commitments in the amount of \$379.6 million to guarantee performance on real property improvements or financial obligations.

As of December 31, 2002, we had approximately \$224.6 million in total contractual obligations for capital expenditures to vendors. These commitments are primarily contracts to construct commercial, residential, and urban development projects, predevelopment costs, and re-leasing costs.

As a partner in certain joint ventures, we have made certain debt guarantees totaling \$44.6 million at December 31, 2002 (*see* Note 15 of the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements).

### *REIT-related Distribution and Quarterly Dividends*

As part of the proposed REIT conversion and in order to be eligible to elect REIT status (*see* Item 1. Business Recent Developments), we expect to provide to stockholders a one-time distribution of pre-REIT earnings and profits ( E&P ). The distribution will be in the form of, at the election of each stockholder, cash, shares of common stock in the REIT, or a combination of both. We currently expect that the E&P distribution will be comprised of approximately \$100 million cash and \$200 million in stock of the REIT. In the event we receive a favorable determination from the Internal Revenue Service in connection with a ruling we are currently seeking, we will limit the amount of cash payable in the E&P distribution to \$100 million. We presently do not expect to limit the total amount of cash available for distribution if we do not receive a favorable ruling. Absent such a limit, the total amount of cash distributed will depend upon the extent to which our stockholders elect to receive cash rather than shares of common stock in the REIT. If we do not receive the private letter ruling and a sufficient number of shareholders elect to receive cash, we may have to borrow funds to fulfill the requirements of the special E&P distribution.

Also, we anticipate that we will begin to pay a quarterly dividend commencing in the third quarter of 2003 in an amount equal to \$0.30 per existing share of our common stock. Following the REIT conversion, if approved, we anticipate that we will continue to pay a quarterly dividend of approximately \$0.30 per existing share of our common stock. The actual amount of the dividends, however, will be as determined and declared by the board of directors and will depend on our financial condition, earnings, and other factors, many of which are beyond our control. In order to maintain its qualification as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code, we will be required, as a REIT, to distribute at least 90% of our REIT taxable income for such year.

There is no assurance the proposed REIT conversion and related transactions, including the E&P distribution and the quarterly dividends, will be consummated or that the terms, the time or effects thereof will not differ materially from those described here.

**Cash balances, available borrowings, and capital resources**

As of December 31, 2002, we had total cash of \$311.5 million, of which \$36.6 million is restricted cash. In addition to the \$311.5 million cash balance, we had \$46.6 million in borrowing capacity under our commercial construction facilities, available upon satisfaction of certain conditions.

Our short-term and long-term liquidity and capital resources requirements will be provided primarily from four sources: (1) cash on hand, (2) ongoing income from our rental portfolio, (3) proceeds from sales of developed properties, land and non-strategic assets, and (4) additional debt. As noted above, existing construction loan facilities are available for meeting certain short-term liquidity requirements. Our ability to meet our mid- and long-term capital requirements is, in part, dependent upon the ability to obtain additional financing for new construction, completed buildings, acquisitions, and currently unencumbered properties. There is no assurance that we can obtain this financing or obtain this financing on favorable terms.

*Stock Repurchases* From October 1999 through July 2001, our Board of Directors authorized five separate stock repurchase programs; each had a limit of \$50 million. Share purchases under these programs were made on the open market. We purchased a total of 13,047,097 shares at a total cost of \$218 million under these programs. The remaining \$32 million authorized expired or was terminated.

In December 2001, we purchased 10.6 million shares of our common stock from the California Public Employees Retirement System (CalPERS) for \$183.1 million in a privately negotiated transaction. An independent third party provided our Board of Directors with a written opinion confirming that the terms and conditions of this transaction were fair, from a financial point of view, to our stockholders other than CalPERS. Immediately prior to the transaction, CalPERS was the beneficial owner of 18.8 million shares, or approximately 19.3% of our issued and outstanding common stock. As a result of the transaction, CalPERS' beneficial ownership was reduced to 8.2 million shares, or approximately 9.5% of our issued and outstanding common stock.

*Debt covenants* Three of our credit agreements, totaling \$135 million, contain corporate financial covenants including a minimum debt service coverage ratio of 1.6 to 1, a maximum leverage ratio of 60%, and a minimum tangible net worth of \$435.2 million (subject to adjustment for stock buybacks), all terms as defined in those credit agreements. As of or for the period ending December 31, 2002, the actual results were 1.97, 54.1%, and \$546 million, respectively. Our partial guarantee of one of our joint venture's construction loans of \$165 million has the same debt service and tangible net worth covenants, but a different maximum leverage covenant definition. Under this definition our leverage ratio is 57.3% versus a covenant of 65% at a maximum. Our performance against these covenants is measured on a quarterly basis, with debt service coverage being measured on a four-quarter trailing basis. In the event we were to breach any of these covenants and were unable to negotiate satisfactory waivers or amendments, our lenders in these credit facilities could declare amounts outstanding due and payable.

*Bonds* At December 31, 2002, we have \$103.9 million of assessment district bonds recorded as part of Mortgage and other debt in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheet. Approximately \$35.6 million of bonds with an estimated weighted average variable interest rate of 3.5% were issued by Traer Creek Metropolitan District to fund one of our unconsolidated joint venture investments in Avon, Colorado; \$23.1 million with an estimated weighted average variable interest rate of 4.0% were issued by Stapleton Business Center Metropolitan District to fund our development project in Denver, Colorado; \$15.8 million with an estimated weighted average variable interest rate of 5.3% were issued by the County of San Bernardino to fund our development project in Ontario, California; \$8.6 million with an estimated weighted average variable interest rate of 6.42% were issued by Northwestern Business Center Metropolitan District to fund our development project in Westminster, Colorado; \$6.8 million with an estimated weighted average variable interest rate of 6.1% were issued by the City of Rancho Cucamonga to fund our development project in Rancho Cucamonga, California; and the remaining \$14 million with estimated weighted average variable interest rates ranging from 5.44% to 8.7% were issued by various districts to fund other development projects (see Note 3 of the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements for details).





In addition to the above bonds, \$163.3 million of Community Facility District bonds were issued as of December 31, 2002, to finance public infrastructure improvements at Mission Bay in San Francisco and Pacific Commons in Fremont, California. The bonds related to the Mission Bay and Pacific Commons were not required to be recorded in our accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheet. These bonds have a series of maturities up to thirty years. Bonds totaling \$133.3 million were issued for Mission Bay, of which \$16.6 million have a floating rate of interest initially set at 2.85% and at December 31, 2002, 1.35%; \$23.4 million have a floating interest rate initially set at 1.85% and at December 31, 2002, 1.4%; \$54 million at a fixed rate of 6.02%; and \$39.3 million at an average coupon rate of 6.28%. We provided a letter of credit totaling \$40 million in support of the floating rate bonds issued for Mission Bay. At Pacific Commons, \$30 million of bonds were issued and have a weighted average fixed interest rate of 6.2%. Upon completion of the infrastructure improvements at Mission Bay and Pacific Commons, for which the \$133.3 million and \$30 million CFD bonds were issued, respectively, the improvements will be transferred to the respective cities. The expected reimbursement of the infrastructure costs from the bonds is reflected in Other Assets (*see* Note 15 of the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements for details).

At December 31, 2002, for Mission Bay, \$6.6 million of the \$16.6 million floating rate bonds and \$40.4 million of the \$54 million fixed rate bonds were used to reimburse costs we incurred on behalf of the district. For Pacific Commons, approximately \$9.1 million of the bonds were used to reimburse costs we incurred on the district's behalf as of December 31, 2002. As of December 31, 2002, we have incurred costs of \$46.1 million for Mission Bay, \$16 million for Pacific Commons, \$2.4 million for Denver, and \$19.2 million for Ontario that have not been reimbursed from bond proceeds nor from other third parties. These costs are recorded as Other Assets in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheet. Subsequent to December 31, 2002, we received reimbursements of approximately \$1.7 million from CFD bonds, approximately \$2.6 million from assessment district bonds, and approximately \$1.4 million from third parties.

At Mission Bay, the landowners must satisfy any shortfall in annual debt service obligations for the CFD bonds, if incremental tax revenues generated by the projects are insufficient. At Pacific Commons, developed and designated developed property is taxed first, and any shortfall in annual debt service is paid by a tax on vacant land.

*Insurance* Changes in the insurance industry over the last year have caused the availability of certain types of coverage to decrease and the cost of available coverage to increase. In renewing our policies, we were able to essentially obtain all of our historical levels and types of insurance (although at a higher cost and, in certain instances, a higher deductible level and/or more restrictive conditions), except (1) liability coverage for our residential business, which now has a higher deductible and a much lower policy limit and (2) terrorism insurance, which was initially excluded from our property coverage placed on October 1, 2002. However, under the United States Terrorism Risk Insurance Act of 2002, carriers are now required to offer us terrorism coverage and are allowed to charge an incremental premium for such coverage. We have elected to obtain coverage that matches the risk profile for our portfolio of properties, primarily consisting of distribution/warehouse and suburban office and retail that we consider to be relatively low risk. It is estimated that this coverage will be in place sometime in the second quarter of 2003. We have placed a stand-alone terrorism policy for a single asset located near downtown San Francisco and expect that we may place additional, similar stand-alone policies if circumstances warrant. There can be no assurance that significant losses in excess of insurance proceeds will not occur.

The Company has entered into various loan documents containing customary covenants requiring the Company to maintain insurance. One or more of our lenders may take the position that the levels of terrorism coverage obtained are not adequate and is a breach of these loans and require the Company to obtain additional terrorism insurance. We do not believe such a demand would be reasonable because of the inability to obtain coverage at economically justifiable prices, and we would vigorously defend our position. If any of our lenders insist on coverage for these risks, the Company could be required to obtain additional terrorism insurance on certain assets or it could adversely affect the Company's ability to refinance certain loans.

*Tax Audit* In 2002, the State of California Franchise Tax Board ( FTB ) began auditing two of our joint ventures for the years 1999 and 2000. Both audits are in process, and no audit adjustments have yet been proposed. In early July of 2002, the FTB notified us that it would audit the Company s tax returns for the years 1999 and 2000. The audit has commenced, and no audit adjustments have been proposed.

On March 24, 2003, we received notice from the Internal Revenue Service that it intends to audit the 1999 income tax return of Catellus. The Internal Revenue Service also advised us that it intends to audit the 1999 income tax return of a mortgage REIT subsidiary of Catellus.

At this time, we do not know whether any audit will result in adjustments to the income tax returns that would require us to pay additional taxes, interest and/or penalties. If required, any such adjustments could adversely impact our liquidity, statement of operations, and/or balance sheet.

### **Related party transactions**

The entities below are considered related parties because the listed transactions are with entities in which we have an ownership interest. There are no affiliated persons involved with these entities.

In 2001, we formed Third and King Investors, LLC, an unconsolidated joint venture. The joint venture is building a large mixed-use project at Mission Bay in San Francisco, California, consisting of approximately 595 apartments, 127,000 square feet of commercial space, and 945 parking stalls. As part of the transaction, a subsidiary entered into a 99-year ground lease with the venture, and we recognized \$3.7 million and \$1.8 million in rental income from this ground lease for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001, respectively. In September 2002, the joint venture closed and secured a \$165 million construction loan for the project. We have also agreed with the venture to fund, on a pro-rata basis, the balance of equity capital required and certain excess costs, if actual development costs exceed the approved development budget as set forth in the joint venture agreement. As of December 31, 2002, we had contributed \$19.4 million of the \$25 million to be funded from us. Subsequent to December 31, 2002, we contributed an additional \$2.6 million, and we do not expect to fund any additional capital contribution beyond the \$25 million.

We also provide development and management services and loan guarantees to several of our unconsolidated joint venture investments. Fees earned were \$4.2 million, \$1.2 million, and \$0.6 million in 2002, 2001, and 2000, respectively, primarily from Third and King Investors, LLC, Traer Creek LLC, Talega Village, LLC, and Serrano Associates, LLC. The increase in 2002 was primarily due to management service fees from Traer Creek and development fees from Third and King Investors, LLC. The increase in 2001 was primarily attributed to development fees from Third and King Investors, LLC.

We have a \$4.7 million note receivable from an unconsolidated joint venture, East Baybridge Partners, LP, for project costs plus accrued interest at 9.0%. This note is collateralized by property owned by the venture and matures in October 2028. We also have entered into various lease agreements with this unconsolidated joint venture. As lessee, we incurred rent expense of \$0.1 million in each of the years 2002, 2001, and 2000; this lease will expire in November 2011. As lessor, we also entered into a ground lease, which will expire in August 2054, with this unconsolidated joint venture. We recognized rental income of \$0.2 million in each of the three years 2002, 2001, and 2000, and recorded a \$1.8 million receivable associated with this lease. The venture s current projection reflects approximately \$0.6 million available funds, per year, from its operations to pay down our receivables.

### **New accounting standards**

Edgar Filing: CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORP - Form 10-K/A

Effective January 1, 2002, the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ( SFAS ) No. 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long Lived Assets*, which addresses financial accounting and reporting for the impairment and disposal of long-lived assets. In general, sales of rental property

(a) not sold subject to an initial tenant purchase option, or (b) explicitly built with the intention of selling, but not sold within two years of completion, are referred to as Investment Properties and classified as discontinued operations. Therefore, as required, gain or loss attributed to the operations and sale of Investment Properties sold or held for sale is presented in the statement of operations as discontinued operations, net of applicable income tax. Prior period statements of operations have been reclassified to reflect as discontinued operations the gain or loss related to Investment Properties that were sold or held for sale and presented as discontinued operations during the year ended December 31, 2002. Additionally, all periods presented will likely require further reclassification in future periods as additional, similar sales of Investment Properties occur.

In November 2002, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) issued FASB Interpretation No. 45, *Guarantor s Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others* (see Note 15, Commitments and Contingencies, for required disclosure).

In December 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 148, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation-Transition and Disclosure An Amendment of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123*. As of December 31, 2002, the Company has not elected the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123 (see Note 2, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, for required disclosure).

In January 2003, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 46, *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities an interpretation of ARB No. 51 ( FIN 46 )*. FIN 46 requires that any entity meeting certain rules relating to a company s equity investment risk and level of financial control be consolidated as a variable interest entity. The statement is applicable to all variable interest entities created or acquired after January 31, 2003, and the first interim period beginning after June 15, 2003, for variable interest entities in which the Company holds a variable interest that is acquired before February 1, 2003. The Company plans on adopting FIN 46 in the time frames as required by the statement. Management expects no significant effect on the financial position, results of operations or cash flows of the Company as a result of the initial adoption of this standard in regard to existing variable interest entities; however, newly formed entities in 2003 could meet these requirements and will be recorded as appropriate.

## Trading

Our executives from time to time in the future may enter into so-called Rule 10b5-1 Plans. Under an appropriate Rule 10b5-1 Plan, an executive may instruct a third party, such as a brokerage firm, to engage in specified securities transactions in the future based on a formula without further action by the executive, provided that the plan satisfies the legal requirements of Rule 10b5-1 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as amended.

## Environmental Matters

Many of our properties and our subsidiaries properties are in urban and industrial areas and may have been leased to or previously owned by commercial and industrial companies that discharged hazardous materials. We and our subsidiaries incur ongoing environmental remediation and disposal costs and legal costs relating to clean up, defense of litigation, and the pursuit of responsible third parties. Costs incurred by the consolidated group in connection with operating properties and with properties previously sold are expensed. Costs incurred for properties to be sold by us or our subsidiaries are capitalized and will be charged to cost of sales when the properties are sold (see Notes 2 and 15 of the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements, for further discussion).

In recent years, certain of our subsidiaries have acquired properties with known environmental problems for cleanup and redevelopment, and we expect that we may continue to form subsidiaries to acquire such properties (or that existing subsidiaries will acquire such properties) when the

potential benefits of development warrant. When our subsidiaries acquire such properties, they undertake due diligence to determine the nature of the

environmental problems and the likely cost of remediation, and they manage the risk with undertakings from third parties, including the sellers and their affiliates, remediation contractors, third party sureties, or insurers. The costs associated with environmental remediation are included in the costs estimates for properties to be developed.

### **Forward-Looking Information and Risk Factors**

Except for historical matters, the matters discussed in this annual report are forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. We have tried, wherever practical, to identify these forward-looking statements by using words like anticipate, believe, estimate, project, expect, plan, prospects, and similar expressions. Forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, statements about plans; opportunities; negotiations; markets and economic conditions; development, construction, rental, and sales activities; availability of financing; and property values.

We caution you not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which reflect our current beliefs and are based on information currently available to us. We do not undertake any obligation to revise these forward-looking statements to reflect future events, changes in circumstances, or changes in beliefs.

These forward-looking statements are subject to risks and uncertainties that could cause our actual results, performance (including, without limitation, return on costs), or achievements to differ materially from those expressed in or implied by these statements. In particular, among the factors that could cause actual results to differ materially are:

- Catellus or Catellus REIT's ability to obtain required consents of stockholders, lenders, debt holders, and joint venture partners of Catellus and its affiliates and of other third parties in connection with the REIT conversion and to consummate all of the transaction constituting part of the REIT conversion
- The timing of Catellus REIT's election to be taxed as a REIT and the ability of Catellus REIT to satisfy complex rules in order to qualify for taxation as a REIT for federal income tax purposes and to operate effectively within the limitations imposed by these rules
- Changes in the real estate market or in general economic conditions in the areas in which we own property, including the possibility of a worsening economic slowdown or recession. Such changes may result in higher vacancy rates for commercial property and lower prevailing rents, lower sales prices or slower sales, lower absorption rates, more tenant defaults and bankruptcies, and the like
- Product and geographical concentration
- Competition in the real estate industry
- Unavailability of financing to meet our capital needs, the variability of interest rates, and our inability to use our collateral to secure loans
- Changes in insurance markets, including the increased cost or unavailability of particular insurance products and the financial health of insurance companies

## Edgar Filing: CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORP - Form 10-K/A

- Exposure of our assets to damage from natural occurrences such as earthquakes, and weather conditions that affect the progress of construction
- Delay in receipt of or denial of government approvals and entitlements for development projects, other political and discretionary government decisions affecting the use of or access to land, or legal challenges to the issuance of approvals or entitlements
- Changes in the management team
- Changes in income taxes due because of audit adjustments required by federal and state income tax authorities, and changes in tax laws and other circumstances that affect our ability to control the timing and recognition of deferred tax liabilities



- Liability for environmental remediation at properties owned, managed, or formerly owned or managed by us, our subsidiaries, or the predecessors of either, and changes in environmental laws and regulations
- Failure to reach agreement with third parties on definitive terms or failure to close transactions, and failure or inability of third parties to perform their obligations under agreements, including tenants under lease or other agreements with us
- Availability of properties for future development
- Increases in the cost of land, infrastructure, and building materials
- Limitations on or challenges to title to our properties
- Risks related to the performance, interests, and financial strength of the co-owners of our joint venture projects, such as the need to satisfy debt service guaranties upon a default by one of our co-owners
- Changes in policies and practices of organized labor groups who may work on our projects
- Issues arising from shortages in electrical power to us or to our customers, or higher prices for power, which could affect our ability to rent or sell properties, the ability of tenants or buyers to pay for our properties or for the use of our properties, or our ability to conduct our business
- Other risks inherent in the real estate business
- Acts of war, other geopolitical events, and terrorist activities that could adversely affect any of the above factors

#### **Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk**

Our primary market risk exposure is interest rate risk as our financial instruments are not subject to foreign exchange rate risk or commodity price risk. We continuously and actively monitor and manage interest costs on our debt and may enter into interest rate protection contracts based on changing market conditions. At December 31, 2002, we did not have any interest rate protection contracts outstanding.

As of December 31, 2002, approximately 80% of our debt bore interest at fixed rates and had a weighted average maturity of 7.9 years and a weighted average coupon rate of 6.56%. The interest rate risk for fixed rate debt does not have a significant impact on the Company until such debt matures and may need to be refinanced. The remainder of our debt bears interest at variable rates with a weighted average maturity of 2 years and a weighted average coupon rate of 3.49%. To the extent that we incur additional variable rate indebtedness, we increase our exposure to increases in interest rates. Since our \$307.5 million of floating rate debt is largely offset by \$311.5 million of cash and restricted cash balances, which are invested in floating rate money market investments, our exposure to short-term interest rate movements is not considered significant. We believe that moderate increases in interest expense as a result of inflation will not materially affect our financial position, results of operations, or cash flow.

#### **Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data**

The financial statements and schedules required under Regulation S-X promulgated under the Securities Act of 1933 are identified in Item 15 and are incorporated herein by reference.

**Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure**

None.

---

**PART III**
**Item 10. Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant****Board of Directors of the Company**

Each director is elected to serve annually until our next annual stockholders meeting and until his or her successor is elected and qualified.

<u>Name of Director</u>	<u>Business Experience</u>	<u>Age</u>	<u>Year First Elected a Director</u>
Joseph F. Alibrandi	Mr. Alibrandi has served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Alibrandi Associates, L.L.C., a money management firm, since 2001. From 1985 until his retirement in 1999, Mr. Alibrandi served as Chairman of Whittaker Corporation, a diversified company with business activities in the aerospace and communications fields. From 1974 to 1994 and from 1996 to 1999, he also served as Chief Executive Officer of Whittaker Corporation. Mr. Alibrandi is currently a director of AeroVironment, Inc.	74	1989
Stephen F. Bollenbach	Mr. Bollenbach has served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Hilton Hotels Corporation since 1996. From 1995 to 1996, Mr. Bollenbach was Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of The Walt Disney Company. From 1993 to 1995, he was President and Chief Executive Officer of Host Marriott Corporation. Mr. Bollenbach is currently Chairman of Park Place Entertainment Corporation, a gaming spin-off from Hilton, and a director of Hilton Group PLC and AOL/Time Warner, Inc.	60	1999
Daryl J. Carter	Mr. Carter has served as Co-Chairman of Capri Capital, L.P., a real estate investment company, since 1992.	47	1995
Richard D. Farman	Mr. Farman has served as Chairman Emeritus of Sempra Energy, an energy services holding company, since September 2000. From 1998 to 1999, he served as Chairman and CEO of Sempra Energy. From 1993 to 1998, he served as President, Chief Operating Officer, and Director of Pacific Enterprises, an energy services company. From 1993 to 1995, he was Chief Executive Officer of Southern California Gas Company, a subsidiary of Pacific Enterprises. Mr. Farman is currently a director of UnionBanCal; KCET, a nonprofit public service television station; and Executive Service Corps of Southern California, a nonprofit organization that provides management consulting to the nonprofit community.	67	1997
Christine Garvey	Ms. Garvey has served as Global Head of Corporate Real Estate Services at Deutsche Bank AG London since May 2001. From December 1999 until April 2001, Ms. Garvey served as Vice President, Worldwide Real Estate and Workplace Resources at Cisco Systems, Inc. From 1997 to 1998, Ms. Garvey served as Group Executive Vice President, Commercial Real Estate Services Group of Bank of America	57	1995

Name of Director	Business Experience	Age	Year First Elected a Director
William M. Kahane	<p>NT&amp;SA. From 1992 to 1997, Ms. Garvey served as Executive Vice President, Corporate Real Estate, Other Real Estate Owned, Sales and Property Management of Bank of America NT&amp;SA.</p> <p>Mr. Kahane served as Non-Executive Chairman of our board of directors from May 1998 until May 2000. Since April 2000, he has served as Chief Executive Officer and as a director of Peracon, Inc., an Internet platform that facilitates the purchase and sale of commercial real estate. Mr. Kahane also serves as managing director of GF Capital Management, a financial advisory, real estate and wealth management firm providing services to entrepreneurial-oriented clients worldwide. From 1981 until 1992, Mr. Kahane was in the investment banking department of Morgan Stanley &amp; Co. Mr. Kahane has also served as Chairman of Milestone Partners Limited, a real estate investment banking company, since 1992.</p>	54	1997
Leslie D. Michelson	<p>Mr. Michelson has served as Vice Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of CaP CURE, the world's largest private source of prostate cancer research funding, since December 2002. From May 2002 until December 2002, he served as President and Chief Executive Officer of CaP CURE. From August 2001 to May 2002, Mr. Michelson served as an investor, advisor and/or director for a portfolio of entrepreneurial health care, technology and real estate companies. From March 2000 to August 2001, Mr. Michelson served as Chief Executive Officer and as a director of Acurian, Inc., an Internet company that accelerates clinical trials for new prescription drugs. From 1999 to March 2000, Mr. Michelson served as Managing Director of Saybrook Capital, LLC, an investment bank specializing in the real estate and health care industries. From June 1998 to February 1999, Mr. Michelson served as Chairman and Co-Chief Executive Officer of Protocare, a manager of clinical trials for the pharmaceutical industry and disease management firm. From 1988 to 1998, Mr. Michelson served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Value Health Sciences, Inc., an applied health services research firm.</p>	52	1997
Deanna W. Oppenheimer	<p>Ms. Oppenheimer has served as President, Banking and Financial Services of Washington Mutual, Inc., a financial services company, since December 1999. Prior to that time, she served as President, Consumer Banking of the company from July 1999 to December 1999 and Executive Vice President, Consumer Banking from 1995 to July 1999. Ms. Oppenheimer is also a trustee and Chair-Elect of the Board of Trustees of the University of Puget Sound.</p>	44	2001

Name of Director	Business Experience	Age	Year First Elected a Director
Nelson C. Rising	Mr. Rising has served as our Chairman of Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer since May 2000. From 1994 through May 2000, Mr. Rising served as our President and Chief Executive Officer and as a Director. Mr. Rising is also currently Chairman of the Board of Directors of the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco, Chairman of The Real Estate Roundtable, a federal public policy advocacy group for the real estate industry, and a member of the Executive Committee of the Board of Governors of the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts (NAREIT).	61	1994
Thomas M. Steinberg	Mr. Steinberg has served as President of Tisch Family Interests since 1997. In this capacity, he manages and supervises investments for members of the Laurence A. Tisch and Preston R. Tisch families. From 1991 until 1997, he served as Managing Director of Tisch Family Interests. Formerly, he was a Vice President of Goldman Sachs & Co. Mr. Steinberg is currently Chairman of the Board of Directors of Gunther International, Ltd., and a director of Infonxx, Inc. and Ableco.	46	1994
Cora M. Tellez	Ms. Tellez served as President of the Health Plans Division of Health Net, Inc., a managed health care company from January 2001 to April 2002. In 2000, she served as President of the Western Division of Health Net, Inc., and from 1998 to 1999, she served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Health Net of California, a division of Health Net, Inc. From 1997 to 1998, Ms. Tellez served as President and Chairman of Prudential HealthCare Plan of California, Inc. and from 1994 to 1997, she served as Senior Vice President and Regional Chief Executive of the Bay Region for Blue Shield of California. Ms. Tellez is currently Chair of the Asian Pacific Fund, a non-profit organization, and a director of the S.H. Cowell Foundation and Mills College. She is also a director of the Institute for the Future, Holy Names College, and Philippine International Aid.	53	2001

**Executive Officers of the Company**

Our executive officers are listed below. There were no family relationships between any executive officers and directors. All executive officers serve at the pleasure of the Board of Directors, subject to compliance with various employment agreements to which the Company and the officers are parties.

<u>Name and Position</u>	<u>Business Experience</u>	<u>Age</u>
<b>Nelson C. Rising</b> Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer	See description under Board of Directors for Mr. Rising's business experience.	61
<b>Timothy J. Beaudin</b> Executive Vice President	Mr. Beaudin was elected as Executive Vice President in September 2001. Before this election, Mr. Beaudin served as President of our Commercial Group, where he was responsible for managing our commercial development activities, asset management, property sales, and the property tax group. From January 1996 to early 1999, Mr. Beaudin served as our Senior Vice President, Property Operations.	44
<b>C. William Hosler</b> Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	Mr. Hosler joined us as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer in July 1999. From January 1998 to March 1999, Mr. Hosler served as the Chief Financial Officer for Capital Company of America, LLC. From 1995 to 1998, Mr. Hosler served as the Chief Financial Officer for Morgan Stanley & Co. Morgan Stanley Real Estate Funds.	39
<b>Vanessa L. Washington</b> Senior Vice President and General Counsel	Ms. Washington joined the Company in December 2001 and has served as Senior Vice President and General Counsel since January 2002. Before joining the Company, Ms. Washington was associated with California Federal Bank from 1992 to 2001, and served as Senior Vice President, Corporate Secretary and Counsel from 1996 to 2001.	43
<b>Paul A. Lockie</b> Vice President and Controller	Mr. Lockie has served as Vice President and Controller since he joined us in February 1996.	44
<b>Jaime L. Gertmenian</b> Vice President, Human Resources and Administration	Ms. Gertmenian has been with us since October 1995 as Vice President of Human Resources and Administration.	36

**Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance**

Section 16(a) of the 1934 Securities Exchange Act requires our executive officers, directors, and stockholders who own more than 10% of our stock to file reports of ownership and any changes in ownership with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Due to a courier error, a Form 5 for Christine Garvey was filed one day after the required deadline. In addition, a Form 4 for Jaime Gertmenian was filed after the required deadline, and an amended Form 5 and Form 3 was filed for Paul Lockie and Vanessa Washington, respectively, each to reflect one previously unreported option grant. Based solely on our review of copies of the Section 16(a) reports, and on written statements from our executive officers and directors, we believe that all other required reports of executive officers and directors were filed on time in 2002.



## Item 11. Executive Compensation

### Directors Compensation

Each director who is not an employee of Catellus receives an annual retainer of \$30,000, except Mr. Farman, our lead independent director, who receives \$100,000. The chair of each committee also receives an annual retainer of \$3,000. In addition, each non-employee director receives fees of: (i) \$1,250 for attendance at each meeting of the board of directors, (ii) \$1,200 for attendance by members of the Audit Committee at each meeting of the Audit Committee, and (iii) \$1,000 for attendance at each meeting of any other board committee of which that director is a member, and, in Mr. Farman's case, an *ex officio* member. Directors are also reimbursed for their out-of-pocket expenses for each board or committee meeting attended.

Each non-employee director also receives an automatic grant of an option to purchase 5,000 shares of common stock following each annual meeting of stockholders. The exercise price of each option is the closing stock price on the date of grant. Each option has a ten-year term and becomes exercisable in four equal installments on each of the first four anniversaries of the date of grant.

In addition, each non-employee director may irrevocably elect each year to defer any retainers or meeting fees for the following year and instead receive director stock units ( Director Stock Units ) in lieu of cash compensation. An election to defer must be made before the beginning of the calendar year in which the retainer or fee would otherwise be earned. The number of Director Stock Units to be credited to a director is calculated by dividing the amount of the deferred compensation by 90% of the closing price of our common stock on the date of the credit. We credit Director Stock Units on January 1 of each year for any deferred retainers, and they vest on a per diem basis over the course of that year. We credit Director Stock Units on December 31 of each year for any deferred meeting fees earned during that calendar year, and such units vest immediately. If a director dies, becomes disabled, or a change in control occurs and the director's service as a director terminates thereafter, any unvested Director Stock Units vest immediately and all Director Stock Units are immediately distributed. Each director receives a distribution of common stock pursuant to vested Director Stock Units on the earlier of a date previously selected by the director (which may not be less than three years after the election is made) or January 1 following the director's termination of service, except as described in the preceding sentence. We distribute common stock pursuant to Director Stock Units by issuing to the director an equivalent number of shares of our common stock, either in a lump sum or in a specified number of annual installments, as previously selected by the director. A Director Stock Unit has no voting rights until distributed as common stock.



## COMPENSATION OF EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

Summary Compensation Table

Name and Principal Position	Year	Annual Salary	Annual Bonus <sup>(1)</sup>	Other Annual Compensation <sup>(2)</sup>	Securities Underlying Option Awards	All Other Compensation <sup>(3)</sup>
<b>Nelson C. Rising</b>	2002	\$ 716,625	\$ 1,885,000			\$ 131,485
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer	2001	682,512	1,919,530		500,000	60,634
	2000	650,000	1,491,750		1,000,000	60,634
<b>Timothy J. Beaudin</b>	2002	450,000	1,094,667	\$ 16,403		9,515
Executive Vice President	2001	374,554	841,500	12,930		8,664
	2000	325,000	737,754	13,972	300,000	8,264
<b>C. William Hosler</b>	2002	281,190	663,000			9,515
Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	2001	272,999	484,575			8,664
	2000	260,000	507,003		240,000	8,264
<b>Vanessa L. Washington<sup>(4)</sup></b>	2002	250,000	410,000	58		9,515
Senior Vice President and General Counsel	2001	13,302			100,000	
	2000					
<b>Paul A. Lockie</b>	2002	179,812	93,009	336	10,000	9,107
Vice President and Controller	2001	172,917	90,000	331	10,000	8,664
	2000	148,750	75,000	309	40,000	8,239

(1) Bonus includes (a) performance-based annual awards earned in that year whether or not paid in a subsequent year; (b) a special bonus of \$166,667 paid to Mr. Beaudin in April 2002 pursuant to his memorandum of understanding discussed in Employment Agreements below; and (c) a hiring bonus of \$130,000 paid to Ms. Washington in April 2002.

(2) Perquisites did not, in the aggregate, exceed the lesser of \$50,000 or 10% of the total of salary and bonus for each named executive. The amounts listed represent earnings in 2002 in excess of 120% of the applicable federal rate on amounts deferred by the named executive into a Declared Rate subaccount (as described below) under Catellus Deferred Compensation Program (as described below). Each of the named executives is eligible to participate in a non-qualified deferred compensation program (the Deferred Compensation Program). Under this program, an executive may elect to defer a portion of his or her base salary, and a portion or all of his or her bonus. Amounts deferred are credited to a bookkeeping account for the executive, together with the investment returns or losses (Earnings) that would have accrued to the account if it were invested in various investment options selected by the executive. An executive who retires at age 59½ or who has more than ten years of service will be vested in an additional 25% of positive Earnings. Amounts deferred under the program into the Declared Rate subaccount are credited with a rate (the Declared Rate) based on the 120-month rolling average of ten-year U.S. Treasury Notes as of August 31 of the preceding year (rate is enhanced after age 59½ or ten years of service). Amounts deferred into the other subaccounts in the Deferred Compensation Program are subject to fluctuations in value, depending on the performance of the simulated financial investments selected by the executive.

(3) The amounts listed for 2002 represent (a) our contributions to the executives Profit Sharing & Savings Plan and Trust of \$4,000 for each of the named executives, except Mr. Lockie who received \$3,592; (b) a \$5,515 matching 401(k) contribution for each named executive; and (c) for Mr. Rising, a life insurance premium of \$51,970 and a one-time payment of \$70,000 awarded by the Compensation and Benefits Committee that was deferred until Mr. Rising's retirement.

(4) Ms. Washington joined Catellus in December 2001 and was elected as Senior Vice President and General Counsel effective as of January 14, 2002.

## Option Grants in 2002

Name	Number of Securities Underlying Options Granted	Percent of Total Options Granted to Employees in 2002	Per Share Exercise or Base Price	Expiration Date	Potential Realizable Value at Assumed Annual Rates of Stock Price Appreciation for Option Term <sup>(1)</sup>	
					5%	10%
Nelson C. Rising						
Timothy J. Beaudin						
C. William Hosler						
Vanessa L. Washington						
Paul A. Lockie	10,000	2.23%	\$ 18.05	11/24/2012	\$ 113,515	\$ 287,671

<sup>(1)</sup> The assumed 5% and 10% rates of stock price appreciation are provided in accordance with rules of the SEC and do not represent our estimate or projection of the future price of our common stock. We do not endorse the accuracy of this model, or any other model, for valuing options. Actual gains, if any, on stock option exercises are dependent on the future performance of our common stock, overall market conditions, and the option holders' continued employment through the vesting period. The potential realizable value calculation assumes that the option holder waits until the end of the option term to exercise the entire option. This table does not take into account any actual change in the price of our common stock from the date of grant to the current date. If the market price of our common stock does not appreciate over the option term, no value will be realized from the option grants made to the named officers.

## Aggregated Option Exercises and

## Fiscal Year-End Option Holdings

Name	Shares Acquired on Exercise	Value Realized	Number of Unexercised Options at		Value of Unexercised In the Money Options	
			December 31, 2002		at December 31, 2002	
			Exercisable	Unexercisable	Exercisable	Unexercisable
Nelson C. Rising			2,250,000	900,000	\$ 22,246,250	\$ 3,400,000
Timothy J. Beaudin			589,800	160,200	6,005,230	1,017,270
C. William Hosler			336,840	203,160	1,576,434	1,102,566
Vanessa L. Washington			25,000	75,000	60,000	180,000
Paul A. Lockie			22,500	37,500	133,100	163,300

The table gives information on the value (stock price less exercise price) of the options held by the named executive officers at year-end using the closing trading price (\$19.85) of our common stock on December 31, 2002. An option is in the money if the market value of the common stock exceeds the exercise price of the options. This value does not reflect the actual value of the options using a Black-Scholes option pricing model.

## EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENTS

Summarized below are the employment agreements or memoranda of understanding with our named executive officers. The Compensation and Benefits Committee may award different or additional compensation from that which is described below.

*Employment Agreement with Mr. Rising*

We have an employment agreement with Mr. Rising that provides that he will serve as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer until December 31, 2006, and that the board of directors will use its best efforts to cause him to continue to be elected as a member of the board throughout the term of his employment. The employment agreement provides for a minimum base salary which will be increased by 5% each year, stock option awards, as well as an annual target bonus that is approved by the Compensation and Benefits Committee.

Mr. Rising's current employment agreement also provides for a retirement benefit comprised of an annual contribution ( Annual Credit ) to his account in Catellus' Deferred Compensation Plan, to be made after the determination of his bonus for each calendar year, in an amount equal to the present value of an annuity that would (i) pay to Mr. Rising, during his lifetime, an amount equal to 5% of the sum of his average annual salary and bonus earned for the three calendar years completed immediately prior to the date on which the Annual Credit is determined and (ii) pay to Mr. Rising's wife after his death, if she survives him, for her lifetime, one-half of the annual amount payable to Mr. Rising. In the event that Mr. Rising's employment with Catellus terminates by reason of death, disability, constructive discharge (such as reduction in his salary or maximum bonus potential or a failure to elect him as a member of the board) or without cause, Catellus will credit Mr. Rising's Deferred Compensation Plan account with an amount equal to the product of the Annual Credit and the number of years between January 1 of the year in which termination occurs and December 31, 2006. The agreement provides that the Annual Credit in any year will not exceed \$1,000,000 and the total Annual Credits will not exceed \$7,000,000. On January 1, 2002, Catellus credited Mr. Rising's Deferred Compensation Plan account with \$2,000,000 as a replacement for, and in full satisfaction of, Catellus' obligations to provide a retirement benefit under Mr. Rising's prior employment agreement.

Mr. Rising's employment can be terminated by either party at any time, with or without cause. If Mr. Rising's agreement is terminated for any reason other than for cause or his voluntary resignation, he will receive a pro rata share of that year's target bonus payment. In addition, if we terminate his employment for any reason other than for cause, or in the event of his death, disability or constructive discharge, Mr. Rising is entitled to receive, over a period of up to 24 months, payments in the aggregate equal to two times his average annual salary and bonus for the three preceding years, and all of his stock options become immediately exercisable.

If, however, Mr. Rising is constructively discharged or terminated without cause within 12 months after a change of control of Catellus, then he will, instead, receive a lump sum payment of three times his average annual salary and bonus for the three preceding years. In addition, all of his stock options will become immediately exercisable. If Mr. Rising incurs an excise tax under Section 4999 of the Internal Revenue Code (relating to excess parachute payments ) with respect to any payments he receives from Catellus and the acceleration of the vesting of his options, and if his excess parachute payments are at least 110% of the amount of the parachute payments that he could have received without being subject to any excise tax under Section 4999, we will make a gross-up payment to Mr. Rising to make him whole for this excise tax and any income and employment taxes which apply to the gross-up payment.

For these purposes, a change of control generally includes:

- Acquisitions of 25% or more of our voting stock by one person or group;
- Changes in membership on our board of directors such that directors who are currently on the board of directors, and those nominated by the then-current directors, are no longer a majority of the board;
- Consummation by our stockholders of any reorganization in which our stockholders before the reorganization do not own at least 50% of the voting stock of Catellus or the surviving entity after the reorganization; or
- Consummation by our stockholders of any complete liquidation or dissolution of Catellus, or of any sale of substantially all of our assets.

Pursuant to the terms of Mr. Rising's prior employment agreement, Catellus provided him with an unsecured loan of \$1,000,000 on December 22, 2000. For more information regarding this loan, see Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions on this Form 10-K.

*Memorandum of Understanding with Mr. Beaudin*

We have a Memorandum of Understanding ( MOU ) with Mr. Beaudin dated February 7, 2001. Mr. Beaudin was elected Executive Vice President of the Company on September 26, 2001. The MOU provides

for a minimum base salary subject to annual review, as well as an annual target bonus that is approved by the Compensation and Benefits Committee. In addition, the MOU provides that Mr. Beaudin is entitled to receive a special bonus of \$166,667 on each April 6<sup>th</sup> of 2002, 2003, and 2004, if he has (i) remained continuously employed by Catellus throughout the period ending on the date the special bonus payment is otherwise due, and (ii) not sold any common stock of Catellus on or before the date the special bonus payment is otherwise due, unless that stock was acquired pursuant to the exercise of an option that was scheduled to expire by its terms within one year of the date of exercise.

Pursuant to the terms of his prior employment agreement, Mr. Beaudin received an interest-free loan from Catellus of \$500,000 on April 6, 1999. For more information regarding this loan, *see* Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions on this Form 10-K.

Mr. Beaudin's employment may be terminated by either party at any time, with or without cause. If we terminate his employment for any reason other than for cause, or in the event of his death or disability, or if he resigns for "good reason" (such as reduction in his salary or reduction in his responsibilities), Mr. Beaudin is entitled to receive, over a 24-month period, payments in the aggregate equal to two times his average annual salary and bonus for the three preceding years, and all of his stock options will become immediately exercisable.

If, however, Mr. Beaudin is terminated without cause or resigns for "good reason" within 12 months after a change of control, then he will, instead, receive a lump sum payment of three times his average annual salary and bonus for the three preceding years, and all of his stock options will become immediately exercisable. Mr. Beaudin is entitled to receive a gross-up payment for any excise tax liability he may incur, on the same terms and conditions as Mr. Rising. A change of control here has the same meaning as in Mr. Rising's employment agreement, described above.

#### *Memorandum of Understanding with Mr. Hosler*

We have a Memorandum of Understanding with Mr. Hosler that provides that he will serve as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. The MOU provides for a minimum base salary subject to annual review, as well as an annual target bonus that is approved by the Compensation and Benefits Committee. Mr. Hosler is subject to the same termination provisions as are described with respect to Mr. Beaudin's agreement, above.

#### *Memorandum of Understanding with Ms. Washington*

We have a Memorandum of Understanding with Ms. Washington that provides that she will serve as Senior Vice President and General Counsel. The MOU provides for a minimum base salary subject to annual review, as well as an annual target bonus that is approved by the Compensation and Benefits Committee. Ms. Washington's employment may be terminated by either party at any time, with or without cause. If we terminate her employment for any reason other than for cause, or if she resigns for "good reason" (such as reduction in her salary or reduction in her responsibilities), Ms. Washington is entitled to receive payments in the aggregate equal to her then one year base salary and 100% of her targeted annual bonus for the calendar year, prorated for actual months of service during such year, and all of her stock options will become immediately exercisable. If, however, Ms. Washington is terminated without cause or resigns for "good reason" within 12 months after a change of control, then she will, instead, receive a lump sum payment of two times her average annual salary and bonus for the two preceding years (or, in the event of a change in control that occurs prior to December 31, 2003, two times her then annual salary and the then current annual maximum cash bonus potential for the year), and all of her stock options will become immediately exercisable. A change of control here has the same meaning as in Mr. Rising's employment agreement, described above.



## Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

During 2002, Messrs. Alibrandi, Bollenbach, Farman, Kahane, and Michelson served as members of the Compensation and Benefits Committee. None of the members of the Compensation and Benefits Committee has ever been an employee or officer of Catellus. However, Mr. Bollenbach, a director, is President and Chief Executive Officer of Hilton Hotels Corporation, which has two hotel investments with Catellus. For more information, *see* Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions on this Form 10-K.

## Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder

### Matters

### Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners

The following table provides information about stockholders that beneficially own more than 5% of our common stock, based on documents filed under Sections 13(d) and 13(g) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Name and Address	Shares of Common Stock Beneficially Owned	Percent of Class <sup>(1)</sup>
Harris Associates, L.P. <sup>(2)</sup> Two North LaSalle Street, Suite 500  Chicago, Illinois 60602	8,206,177	9.4%
California Public Employees Retirement System (CalPERS) <sup>(3)</sup> Lincoln Plaza, 400 P Street  Sacramento, California 95814	8,182,276	9.4%
Wallace R. Weitz & Company <sup>(4)</sup> 1125 South 103 <sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 600  Omaha, Nebraska 68124	6,289,700	7.2%
Third Avenue Management LLC <sup>(5)</sup> 707 Third Avenue  New York, New York 10017-2023	5,683,511	6.5%
Southeastern Asset Management, Inc. <sup>(6)</sup> 6410 Poplar, Suite 900  Memphis, Tennessee 38119	4,989,700	5.7%

(1) Percentage ownership is calculated using Catellus total issued and outstanding common stock as of March 10, 2003.

(2) Based upon information in a Schedule 13G/A filed by Harris Associates, L.P. on February 14, 2003.

(3) Based upon information in a Schedule 13D filed by CalPERS on December 17, 2001.



Edgar Filing: CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORP - Form 10-K/A

- (4) Based upon information in a Schedule 13G/A filed by Wallace R. Weitz & Company on February 4, 2002.
- (5) Based upon information in a Schedule 13G/A filed by Third Avenue Management LLC on January 29, 2003.
- (6) Based upon information in a Schedule 13G/A filed by Southeastern Asset Management, Inc. on January 10, 2003.

---

**Security Ownership of Directors and Executive Officers**

The following table shows how much of our common stock each director and named executive officer beneficially owned, and the amount owned by all current directors and executive officers as a group, as of March 11, 2003. Each person has sole voting and investment power over the shares shown unless otherwise indicated.

<u>Beneficial Owner</u>	<u>Shares of Common Stock Beneficially Owned<sup>(1)</sup></u>	<u>Percent of Common Stock Owned</u>
Joseph F. Alibrandi <sup>(2)</sup>	36,995	*
Stephen F. Bollenbach <sup>(3)</sup>	26,190	*
Daryl J. Carter <sup>(4)</sup>	40,643	*
Richard D. Farman <sup>(5)</sup>	33,643	*
Christine Garvey <sup>(6)</sup>	31,121	*
William M. Kahane <sup>(7)</sup>	40,758	*
Leslie D. Michelson <sup>(8)</sup>	21,744	*
Deanna W. Oppenheimer <sup>(9)</sup>	5,893	*
Nelson C. Rising <sup>(10)</sup>	2,338,673	2.7%
Thomas M. Steinberg <sup>(11)</sup>	37,951	*
Cora M. Tellez <sup>(12)</sup>	12,384	*
Timothy J. Beaudin <sup>(13)</sup>	688,433	*
C. William Hosler <sup>(14)</sup>	396,097	*
Vanessa L. Washington <sup>(15)</sup>	25,000	*
Paul A. Lockie <sup>(16)</sup>	32,500	*
All current directors and executive officers as a group (15 persons)	3,768,025	4.3%

\* Less than one percent.

(1) In addition to shares held directly, the number of shares shown as beneficially owned includes (i) shares subject to options that are exercisable within 60 days of March 11, 2003, and (ii) non-voting Director Stock Units which have been credited as described under Directors Compensation . All Director Stock Units have vested, unless otherwise noted below.

(2) *Mr. Alibrandi*. Includes 14,971 Director Stock Units, 1,680 of which were credited on January 1, 2003, and vest on a per diem basis over the course of the year; 384 shares held in a revocable trust of which Mr. Alibrandi is trustor, trustee, and beneficiary; and 17,500 shares subject to options.

(3) *Mr. Bollenbach*. Includes 13,690 Director Stock Units, 1,736 of which were credited on January 1, 2003, and vest on a per diem basis over the course of the year; and 12,500 shares subject to options.

(4) *Mr. Carter*. Includes 18,143 Director Stock Units, 1,736 of which were credited on January 1, 2003, and vest on a per diem basis over the course of the year; and 22,500 shares subject to options.

(5) *Mr. Farman*. Includes 5,704 Director Stock Units and 12,500 shares subject to options.

(6) *Ms. Garvey*. Includes 8,621 Director Stock Units, 420 of which were credited on January 1, 2003, and vest on a per diem basis over the course of the year; and 22,500 shares subject to options.

(7) *Mr. Kahane*. Includes 28,258 Director Stock Units, 1,736 of which were credited on January 1, 2003, and vest on a per diem basis over the course of the year; and 12,500 shares subject to options.

(8) *Mr. Michelson*. Includes 9,244 Director Stock Units, 840 of which were credited on January 1, 2003, and vest on a per diem basis over the course of the year; and 12,500 shares subject to options.

(9) *Ms. Oppenheimer*. Includes 2,143 Director Stock Units, 840 of which were credited on January 1, 2003, and vest on a per diem basis over the course of the year; and 3,750 shares subject to options.

(10) *Mr. Rising*. Includes 2,250,000 shares subject to options. This figure does not include 35,000 shares held by the Rising Family Foundation, a nonprofit charitable foundation of which Mr. Rising and his wife are the sole directors, and 4,375 shares held by a trust of which Mr. Rising's adult son, Christopher Rising, is trustee. Mr. Rising disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares held by the Rising Family Foundation and the shares held in trust by his son.



- (11) *Mr. Steinberg*. Includes 7,312 Director Stock Units, 840 of which were credited on January 1, 2003, and vest on a per diem basis over the course of the year; and 22,500 shares subject to options.
- (12) *Ms. Tellez*. Includes 6,834 Director Stock Units, 1,680 of which were credited on January 1, 2003, and vest on a per diem basis over the course of the year; and 3,750 shares subject to options.
- (13) *Mr. Beaudin*. Includes 659,700 shares subject to options.
- (14) *Mr. Hosler*. Includes 392,760 shares subject to options.
- (15) *Ms. Washington*. All shares are subject to options.
- (16) *Mr. Lockie*. All shares are subject to options.

### Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table provides information as of December 31, 2002, with respect to the shares of the Company's common stock that may be issued under the Company's existing equity compensation plans.

Plan Category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights (a)	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights (b)	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column(a)) (c)
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders			
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	8,448,275	\$ 13.673	1,224,744
<b>Total</b>	<b>8,448,275</b>	<b>\$ 13.673</b>	<b>1,224,744</b>

### Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

Mr. Bollenbach, a director, is President and Chief Executive Officer of Hilton Hotels Corporation ( Hilton ), which has common investments with Catellus in two hotels: the New Orleans Riverside Hilton Hotel, located in New Orleans, Louisiana, and the Embassy Suites Hotel located in San Diego, California. These investments pre-date both Mr. Bollenbach's tenure as an officer of Hilton Hotels Corporation and his tenure as a member of our board of directors. Our share of the partnership distributions from these properties in 2002 totaled approximately \$6.1 million.

The New Orleans Riverside Hilton Hotel is owned by International Rivercenter, a limited partnership, and managed by Hilton under a management contract with the partnership. Catellus owns a 25.2% general partnership interest in International Rivercenter and Hilton owns a 67.4% general partnership interest. Catellus and Hilton also each own a 38.75% partnership interest in New Orleans Rivercenter, a general partnership that owns an eight-acre parcel of land adjacent to the New Orleans Riverside Hilton Hotel. The remaining 22.5% partnership interest in this land parcel is held by New Orleans International Hotel, a limited partnership, in which Catellus owns a 15.9% limited partnership interest and Hilton owns a 29.5% limited partnership interest. All remaining interests in the foregoing partnerships are held by unrelated parties.

## Edgar Filing: CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORP - Form 10-K/A

The Embassy Suites Hotel in San Diego, California is owned by Pacific Market Investment Company, a general partnership, equally owned by Catellus and Embassy Suites, Inc. Embassy Suites, Inc. manages that hotel under a management agreement with the partnership, and Embassy Suites, Inc. has been a subsidiary of Hilton since November 1999.

Ms. Oppenheimer, a director since May 2001, is President of Banking and Financial Services of Washington Mutual, Inc., a financial services company. Washington Mutual Bank, FA, a subsidiary of Washington Mutual, Inc., made a construction loan to us on April 5, 2001, in the principal amount of \$9.9 million and payable at an interest rate of 30-day LIBOR plus 2.0%. The balance outstanding on that loan as of March 11, 2003 was \$6.3 million. In addition, Washington Mutual, Inc. has merged with Bank United Corp., which made a construction loan to us on September 15, 2000, in the principal amount of \$9.75 million, payable at an interest rate of 30-day LIBOR plus 2.5%. That loan was paid in full on July 23, 2002. Catellus believes that the terms of each of the foregoing transactions are no less favorable to Catellus than the terms obtainable in an arm's length transaction with an independent third party.

In the normal course of business, we build buildings for and lease space to businesses similar to those with which some of our directors are affiliated. We have entered into two five-year leases with Washington Mutual Bank for premises of approximately 40,000 square feet and 25,947 square feet, respectively, owned by a subsidiary of Catellus in Northridge, California. In addition, we have entered into a ten-year lease with Washington Mutual Bank for premises of approximately 50,922 square feet located in a building owned by Catellus located in Coppell, Texas. Catellus believes that the proposed terms of each of the foregoing transactions are no less favorable to Catellus than the terms obtainable in an arm's length transaction with an independent third party. We may, in the future, discuss other transactions of these types with businesses with which our directors are affiliated. Any such transactions will be approved by a majority of the disinterested directors.

On December 22, 2000, we made an unsecured loan of \$1,000,000 to Mr. Rising, Chairman of our board of directors and Chief Executive Officer, pursuant to the terms of his employment agreement. Principal is payable in three equal installments on the first three anniversaries of the termination of Mr. Rising's employment. Interest on the unpaid principal at the rate of 5.87% per annum is payable on February 28 of each year until all principal and interest amounts are paid in full.

In April 1999, we made an interest-free loan of \$500,000 to Mr. Beaudin for the purchase or construction of a residence in connection with his relocation to the Denver, Colorado, area, pursuant to the terms of his employment agreement. The loan is secured by a junior deed of trust on the residence. Principal is payable in installments of \$166,666 on each April 6<sup>th</sup> of 2002 and 2003, and \$166,667 on April 6, 2004. The balance outstanding on this loan as of March 11, 2003, is \$333,333. In conjunction with the loan, Mr. Beaudin agreed to pledge certain of his stock options in Catellus as additional collateral for the loan, and further agreed not to conduct a cashless exercise of such options until the loan is paid in full. On October 26, 2001, we agreed to allow Mr. Beaudin to exercise an option to purchase 50,000 shares of common stock, which, unless exercised, would have expired on February 10, 2002. In consideration for being permitted to exercise the expiring stock options, Mr. Beaudin agreed to sell only as many shares as were necessary to pay the exercise price and applicable taxes. Mr. Beaudin further agreed to hold the remaining shares until such time as the loan is paid in full or he has received advance written clearance from Catellus to sell the shares.

#### **Item 14. Controls and Procedures**

Based on their evaluation as of a date within 90 days of the filing date of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, the Company's principal executive officer and principal financial officer have concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-14(c) and 15d-14(c) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act")) are effective. There were no significant changes in the Company's internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect these controls subsequent to the date of their evaluation. There were no significant deficiencies or material weaknesses, and therefore there were no corrective actions taken.

**PART IV**

**Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules, and Reports on Form 8-K**

(a)(1) and (a)(2) *Financial Statements and Financial Statement Schedules*

*See* Index to Financial Statements and Financial Statement Schedules at F-1 herein.

All other Schedules are omitted because they are not applicable or the required information is shown in the financial statements or notes thereto.

(a)(3) *Exhibits*

*See* Index to Exhibits on Pages E-1 and E-2.

(b) *Reports on Form 8-K*





Edgar Filing: CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORP - Form 10-K/A

Richard D. Farman

\*

Director

August 11, 2003

---

Christine Garvey

\*

Director

August 11, 2003

---

William M. Kahane

\*

Director

August 11, 2003

---

Leslie D. Michelson

<u>Signature</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Date</u>
*	Director	August 11, 2003
<hr/>		
Deanna W. Oppenheimer		
*	Director	August 11, 2003
<hr/>		
Thomas M. Steinberg		
*	Director	August 11, 2003
<hr/>		
Cora M. Tellez		
<hr/>		
*By: /s/ PAUL A. LOCKIE		
<hr/>		
Paul A. Lockie		
Attorney-in-Fact		
August 11, 2003		

I, Nelson C. Rising, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this amended annual report on Form 10-K/A of Catellus Development Corporation;
2. Based on my knowledge, this amended annual report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this amended annual report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this amended annual report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of Catellus Development Corporation as of, and for, the periods presented in this amended annual report;
4. Catellus Development Corporation's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-14 or 15d-14) for Catellus Development Corporation and we have:
  - a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that material information relating to Catellus Development Corporation, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this amended annual report is being prepared;
  - b) evaluated the effectiveness of Catellus Development Corporation's disclosure controls and procedures as of a date within 90 days prior to the filing date of this amended annual report (the Evaluation Date); and
  - c) presented in this amended annual report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures based on our evaluation as of the Evaluation Date;
5. Catellus Development Corporation's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation, to Catellus Development Corporation's auditors and the Audit Committee of Catellus Development Corporation's Board of Directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - a) all significant deficiencies in the design or operation of internal controls which could adversely affect Catellus Development Corporation's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial data and have identified for Catellus Development Corporation's auditors any material weaknesses in internal controls; and
  - b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in Catellus Development Corporation's internal controls; and
6. Catellus Development Corporation's other certifying officers and I have indicated in this amended annual report whether or not there were significant changes in internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect internal controls subsequent to the date of our most recent evaluation, including any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.

Date: August 11, 2003

/s/ NELSON C. RISING

---

Nelson C. Rising

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

I, C. William Hosler, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this amended annual report on Form 10-K/A of Catellus Development Corporation;
2. Based on my knowledge, this amended annual report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this amended annual report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this amended annual report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of Catellus Development Corporation as of, and for, the periods presented in this amended annual report;
4. Catellus Development Corporation's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-14 or 15d-14) for Catellus Development Corporation and we have:
  - a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that material information relating to Catellus Development Corporation, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this amended annual report is being prepared;
  - b) evaluated the effectiveness of Catellus Development Corporation's disclosure controls and procedures as of a date within 90 days prior to the filing date of this amended annual report (the Evaluation Date); and
  - c) presented in this amended annual report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures based on our evaluation as of the Evaluation Date;
5. Catellus Development Corporation's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation, to Catellus Development Corporation's auditors and the Audit Committee of Catellus Development Corporation's Board of Directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - a) all significant deficiencies in the design or operation of internal controls which could adversely affect Catellus Development Corporation's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial data and have identified for Catellus Development Corporation's auditors any material weaknesses in internal controls; and
  - b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in Catellus Development Corporation's internal controls; and
6. Catellus Development Corporation's other certifying officers and I have indicated in this amended annual report whether or not there were significant changes in internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect internal controls subsequent to the date of our most recent evaluation, including any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.

Date: August 11, 2003

/s/ C. WILLIAM HOSLER

---

C. William Hosler

Senior Vice President

Chief Financial Officer

---

**CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION****INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

	<b>Page</b>
<b>Financial Statements</b>	
Report of Independent Accountants dated January 29, 2003, except as to Note 18, for which the date is March 3, 2003	F-2
Consolidated Balance Sheet at December 31, 2002 and 2001	F-3
Consolidated Statement of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001, and 2000	F-4
Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001, and 2000	F-5
Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001, and 2000	F-6
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-7
Summarized Quarterly Results (Unaudited)	F-33
<b>Financial Statement Schedules</b>	
Report of Independent Accountants dated January 29, 2003, except as to Note 18, for which the date is March 3, 2003	S-1
Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts	S-2
Schedule III Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation	S-3
Attachment A to Schedule III	S-4
<b>Index to Exhibits</b>	
Exhibits	E-1

**REPORT OF INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS**

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of

Catellus Development Corporation

In our opinion, the accompanying consolidated balance sheet and the related consolidated statements of operations, of stockholders' equity, and of cash flows present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Catellus Development Corporation and its subsidiaries at December 31, 2002 and 2001, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2002, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management; our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits of these statements in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America which require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As discussed in Note 17 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 144, *Accounting for Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets*, effective January 1, 2002.

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LLP

San Francisco, California

January 29, 2003, except as to Note 18,

for which the date is March 3, 2003



## CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

## CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

(In thousands)

	December 31,	
	2002	2001
<b>Assets</b>		
Properties	\$ 2,448,081	\$ 2,276,508
Less accumulated depreciation	(399,923)	(354,557)
	<u>2,048,158</u>	<u>1,921,951</u>
Other assets and deferred charges, net	273,853	167,305
Notes receivable, less allowance	44,947	73,335
Accounts receivable, less allowance	14,211	22,663
Assets held for sale	2,760	
Restricted cash and investments	36,593	7,566
Cash and cash equivalents	274,927	222,695
	<u>2,695,449</u>	<u>\$ 2,415,515</u>
Total	<u>\$ 2,695,449</u>	<u>\$ 2,415,515</u>
<b>Liabilities and stockholders equity</b>		
Mortgage and other debt	\$ 1,500,955	\$ 1,310,457
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	117,493	145,688
Deferred credits and other liabilities	151,466	177,656
Liabilities associated with assets held for sale	3,233	
Deferred income taxes	318,970	290,658
	<u>2,092,117</u>	<u>1,924,459</u>
Total liabilities	<u>2,092,117</u>	<u>1,924,459</u>
<b>Commitments and contingencies (Note 15)</b>		
Minority interests	57,363	55,799
	<u>57,363</u>	<u>55,799</u>
<b>Stockholders equity</b>		
Common stock, 110,817 and 110,209 shares issued, and 87,170 and 86,562 shares outstanding at December 31, 2002 and 2001, respectively	1,108	1,102
Paid-in capital	531,362	521,312
Treasury stock, at cost (23,647 shares at December 31, 2002 and 2001)	(401,082)	(401,082)
Accumulated earnings	414,581	313,925
	<u>545,969</u>	<u>435,257</u>
Total stockholders equity	<u>545,969</u>	<u>435,257</u>
Total	<u>\$ 2,695,449</u>	<u>\$ 2,415,515</u>

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

F-3

## CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS

(In thousands, except per share data)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2002	2001	2000
<b>Revenues</b>			
Rental revenue	\$ 266,951	\$ 232,106	\$ 203,691
Sales revenue	139,604	245,804	451,096
Management, development and other fees	7,088	6,000	15,460
	<u>413,643</u>	<u>483,910</u>	<u>670,247</u>
<b>Costs and expenses</b>			
Property operating costs	(71,559)	(61,704)	(54,468)
Cost of sales	(89,661)	(149,698)	(337,755)
Selling, general and administrative expenses	(25,990)	(26,570)	(45,801)
Corporate administrative costs	(17,705)	(19,256)	(15,675)
Depreciation and amortization	(63,149)	(51,891)	(45,939)
	<u>(268,064)</u>	<u>(309,119)</u>	<u>(499,638)</u>
<b>Operating Income</b>	<u>145,579</u>	<u>174,791</u>	<u>170,609</u>
<b>Other income</b>			
Equity in earnings of operating joint ventures, net	8,277	8,833	9,809
Equity in earnings of development joint ventures, net	29,232	25,978	27,780
Gain on non-strategic asset sales	7,264	3,909	46,279
Interest income	9,871	23,608	11,203
Other	9,196	5,740	235
	<u>63,840</u>	<u>68,068</u>	<u>95,306</u>
<b>Other expenses</b>			
Interest expense	(60,188)	(56,753)	(49,975)
Other	(2,023)	(17,477)	(19,849)
	<u>(62,211)</u>	<u>(74,230)</u>	<u>(69,824)</u>
<b>Income before minority interests, income taxes, and discontinued operations</b>	<u>147,208</u>	<u>168,629</u>	<u>196,091</u>
Minority interests	(6,106)	(6,142)	(10,701)
<b>Income before income taxes and discontinued operations</b>	<u>141,102</u>	<u>162,487</u>	<u>185,390</u>
<b>Income tax expense</b>			
Current	(32,567)	(16,367)	(12,254)
Deferred	(21,385)	(49,499)	(62,556)
	<u>(53,952)</u>	<u>(65,866)</u>	<u>(74,810)</u>

Edgar Filing: CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORP - Form 10-K/A

<b>Income from continuing operations</b>	87,150	96,621	110,580
Discontinued operations, net of income tax:			
Gain from disposal of discontinued operations	13,748		
(Loss) income from discontinued operations	(242)	(100)	427
Gain (loss) from discontinued operations	13,506	(100)	427
<b>Net income</b>	<b>\$ 100,656</b>	<b>\$ 96,521</b>	<b>\$ 111,007</b>
<b>Income per share from continuing operations</b>			
Basic	\$ 1.00	\$ 0.97	\$ 1.04
Assuming dilution	\$ 0.97	\$ 0.94	\$ 1.02
<b>Income per share from discontinued operations</b>			
Basic	\$ 0.16	\$	\$
Assuming dilution	\$ 0.16	\$	\$
<b>Net income per share</b>			
Basic	\$ 1.16	\$ 0.97	\$ 1.04
Assuming dilution	\$ 1.13	\$ 0.94	\$ 1.02
Average number of common shares outstanding basic	86,987	99,958	106,561
Average number of common shares outstanding diluted	89,463	102,685	109,017

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

## CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

(In thousands)

	Common Stock		Treasury Stock		Paid-In Capital	Accumulated Earnings	Total
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount			
Balance at December 31, 1999	107,185	\$ 1,072		\$	\$ 483,503	\$ 106,397	\$ 590,972
Exercise of stock options and other	903	9			9,917		9,926
Treasury stock purchases			(1,997)	(28,660)			(28,660)
Net income						111,007	111,007
Balance at December 31, 2000	108,088	1,081	(1,997)	(28,660)	493,420	217,404	683,245
Exercise of stock options and other	2,121	21			27,892		27,913
Treasury stock purchases			(21,650)	(372,422)			(372,422)
Net income						96,521	96,521
Balance at December 31, 2001	110,209	1,102	(23,647)	(401,082)	521,312	313,925	435,257
Exercise of stock options and other	608	6			10,050		10,056
Net income						100,656	100,656
Balance at December 31, 2002	110,817	\$ 1,108	(23,647)	\$ (401,082)	\$ 531,362	\$ 414,581	\$ 545,969

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

## CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

(In thousands)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2002	2001	2000
<b>Cash flows from operating activities:</b>			
Net income	\$ 100,656	\$ 96,521	\$ 111,007
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	63,149	51,891	45,939
Deferred income taxes	21,385	49,499	62,556
Deferred gain recognized	(14,820)	(4,987)	(22,737)
Amortization of deferred loan fees and other costs	5,993	5,775	6,400
Equity in earnings of joint ventures	(37,509)	(34,811)	(37,589)
Gain on sales of investment property	(22,252)	(33,078)	(38,382)
Minority interests in earnings of consolidated entities	6,106	6,142	10,701
Operating distributions from joint ventures	86,222	43,786	26,714
Cost of development property and non-strategic assets sold	83,612	166,340	301,902
Capital expenditures for development property	(56,955)	(66,277)	(167,520)
Other property acquisitions	(738)	(16,785)	
Other, net	2,996	(4,861)	(1,004)
Change in assets and liabilities:			
Accounts and notes receivable	37,092	(28,418)	(12,319)
Other assets and deferred charges	(78,035)	(37,589)	(4,045)
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	(17,144)	15,306	(13,283)
Deferred credits and other liabilities	7,388	133,310	27,673
Net cash provided by operating activities	187,146	341,764	296,013
<b>Cash flows from investing activities:</b>			
Property acquisitions	(24,449)	(79,782)	(35,471)
Capital expenditures for investment property	(227,533)	(254,807)	(226,424)
Tenant improvements	(9,945)	(2,893)	(5,767)
Reimbursable construction costs	(54,426)	(16,097)	(13,156)
Net proceeds from sale of investment property	29,460	50,149	66,970
Distributions from joint ventures			15,600
Contributions to joint ventures	(17,365)	(2,035)	
(Increase) decrease in restricted cash and investments	(29,027)	37,912	(25,913)
Net cash used in investing activities	(333,285)	(267,553)	(224,161)
<b>Cash flows from financing activities:</b>			
Borrowings	445,778	398,501	540,007
Repayment of borrowings	(251,626)	(228,763)	(282,710)
Distributions to minority partners	(4,542)	(5,106)	(7,123)
Purchase of treasury stock		(372,422)	(28,660)
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	8,761	19,716	7,782
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	198,371	(188,074)	229,296
<b>Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents</b>	<b>52,232</b>	<b>(113,863)</b>	<b>301,148</b>
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	222,695	336,558	35,410

Edgar Filing: CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORP - Form 10-K/A

Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$ 274,927	\$ 222,695	\$ 336,558
<b>Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:</b>			
Cash paid during the year for:			
Interest (net of amount capitalized)	\$ 53,706	\$ 52,378	\$ 41,131
Income taxes	\$ 32,386	\$ 8,110	\$ 20,669
Non-cash financing activities:			
Seller-financed acquisitions	\$	\$ 10,000	\$ 1,702
Debt forgiveness property reconveyance	\$ (507)	\$ (3,844)	\$

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

**CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**Note 1. Description of Business**

Catellus Development Corporation, together with its consolidated subsidiaries (the Company), is a diversified real estate operating company, with a large portfolio of rental properties and developable land, that manages and develops real estate for its own account and those of others. Interests of third parties in entities controlled and consolidated by the Company are separately reflected as minority interests in the accompanying financial statements. The Company's rental portfolio and developable land, consisting of industrial, residential, retail, office, and other projects are located mainly in major markets in California, Illinois, Texas, Colorado, and Oregon.

**Note 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies**

*Revenue recognition* Rental revenue, in general, is recognized when due from tenants; however, revenue from leases with rent concessions or fixed escalations is recognized on a straight-line basis over the initial term of the lease. Direct costs of negotiating and consummating a lease are deferred and amortized on a straight-line basis over the initial term of the related lease.

The Company recognizes revenue from the sale of properties using the accrual method. Sales not qualifying for full recognition at the time of sale are accounted for under other appropriate deferral methods, including the percentage-of-completion method. In certain cases, the Company retains the right to repurchase property from the buyer at a specified price. Profit on these sales is not recognized until the Company's right to repurchase expires. In other cases, when the Company receives inadequate cash down payment and takes a note for the balance, profit is deferred until such time as sufficient cash is received to meet minimum down payment requirements. In general, specific identification and relative sales value methods are used to determine the cost of sales.

*Property and deferred costs* Real estate is stated at the lower of cost or estimated fair value using the methodology described as follows: (a) for operating properties and properties held for investment, a write-down to estimated fair value is recognized when a property's estimated undiscounted future cash flow is less than its net book value; (b) for properties held for sale, a write-down to estimated fair value is recorded when the Company determines that the net book value exceeds the estimated selling price, less cost to sell. This evaluation is made by management on a property-by-property basis. The evaluation of future cash flows and fair value of individual properties requires significant judgment; it is reasonably possible that a change in estimate could occur as economic conditions change.

The Company capitalizes direct construction and development costs. Costs associated with financing or leasing projects are also capitalized and amortized over the period benefited by those expenditures.

Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method. Buildings and improvements are depreciated using lives of between 20 and 40 years. Tenant improvements are depreciated over the primary terms of the leases (generally 3-15 years), while furniture and equipment are depreciated using lives ranging between 3 and 10 years.



## Edgar Filing: CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORP - Form 10-K/A

Maintenance and repair costs are charged to expense as incurred, while significant improvements, replacements, and major renovations are capitalized.

*Allowance for uncollectible accounts* Accounts receivable are net of an allowance for uncollectible accounts totaling \$1.6 million and \$1.4 million at December 31, 2002 and 2001, respectively.

*Environmental costs* The Company incurs ongoing environmental remediation costs, including cleanup costs, consulting fees for environmental studies and investigations, monitoring costs, and legal costs relating to cleanup, litigation defense, and the pursuit of responsible third parties. Costs incurred in connection with operating properties

F-7

---

CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

and properties previously sold are expensed. Costs relating to undeveloped land are capitalized as part of development costs. Costs incurred for properties to be sold are deferred and charged to cost of sales when the properties are sold.

The Company maintains a reserve for estimated costs of environmental remediation to be incurred in connection with operating properties and properties previously sold. For developable land, remediation costs will be capitalized, as incurred, as part of the project costs.

*Income taxes* Income taxes are recorded based on the future tax effects of the difference between the tax and financial reporting bases of the Company's assets and liabilities. In estimating future tax consequences, potential future events are considered except for potential changes in income tax law or in rates.

*Principles of consolidation* The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company, its wholly owned subsidiaries, and investees which are controlled by the Company (i.e. ability to exercise control over the operations of an entity, including a board where a majority of the votes can be obtained by employees of the Company). Other investees are accounted for by using the equity method, including investees in which the Company has a majority interest, but the minority venture partner(s) has (have) substantive participating rights in the operations of the investee.

*Cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash and investments* The Company considers all highly liquid investments with maturity of three months or less at time of purchase to be cash equivalents. Of the restricted cash and investments totaling \$36.6 million and \$7.6 million at December 31, 2002 and 2001, respectively, \$5.1 million and \$0.4 million, respectively, represent proceeds from property sales being held in separate cash accounts at trust companies in order to preserve the Company's options of reinvesting the proceeds on a tax-deferred basis. Approximately \$24.6 million at December 31, 2002, represents funds held in pledge accounts at a bank until certain loan collateral pool requirements are met. In addition, restricted investments of \$6.9 million and \$7.2 million at December 31, 2002 and 2001, respectively, represent certificates of deposit used to guarantee lease performance. The Company maintains cash balances with investment grade financial institutions to mitigate the risk of loss for amounts on deposit in excess of federally insured limits.

*Interest rate protection contracts ( Treasury-lock contracts )* The Company may enter into interest rate protection agreements from time to time to lock its interest rate when negotiating fixed rate financing agreements. Amounts paid or received would be capitalized and amortized as a component of interest expense using the effective interest method over the term of the associated debt agreement.

*Notes receivable* Notes receivable are carried at the principal balance, less estimated uncollectible amounts totaling \$1.8 million at December 31, 2002 and 2001. Interest is recognized as earned; however, the Company discontinues accruing interest when collection is considered doubtful. Notes are generally collateralized by real property or a financing agreement.

*Financial instruments* The historical cost basis of the Company's notes receivable is representative of fair value based on a comparison to year-end interest rates for receivables of comparable risks and maturities. Variable rate debt has carrying values which approximate estimated fair value while fixed rate mortgage loans have an estimated aggregate fair value of \$1.2 billion and remaining principal of \$1.1 billion based on

## Edgar Filing: CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORP - Form 10-K/A

a comparison to year-end interest rates for debt with similar terms and remaining maturities.

*Bond financings* Assessment bonds are usually issued by a municipality district or a tax incremental financing entity to finance costs of public infrastructure improvements. The Company records an obligation within mortgage and other debt if the assessment to be levied by the bond s issuer is fixed and determinable, the assessment has been guaranteed by the Company or the Company controls the municipal board (*see* Notes 3 and

## CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

15). In all other cases, the Company records a receivable for the amount due from the municipality as it is incurred.

*Income per share* Income from continuing operations per share of common stock applicable to common stockholders is computed by dividing income from continuing operations by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the period (see table below for effect of dilutive securities).

	Year Ended December 31,								
	2002			2001			2000		
			Per Share			Per Share			Per Share
	Income	Shares	Amount	Income	Shares	Amount	Income	Shares	Amount
(In thousands, except per share data)									
Income from continuing operations	\$ 87,150	86,987	\$ 1.00	\$ 96,621	99,958	\$ 0.97	\$ 110,580	106,561	\$ 1.04
Effect of dilutive securities: stock options		2,476			2,727			2,456	
Income from continuing operations assuming dilution	\$ 87,150	89,463	\$ 0.97	\$ 96,621	102,685	\$ 0.94	\$ 110,580	109,017	\$ 1.02
Gain (loss) from discontinued operations	\$ 13,506	86,987	\$ 0.16	\$ (100)	99,958	\$	\$ 427	106,561	\$
Effect of dilutive securities: stock options		2,476			2,727			2,456	
Gain (loss) from discontinued operations assuming dilution	\$ 13,506	89,463	\$ 0.16	\$ (100)	102,685	\$	\$ 427	109,017	\$
Net income	\$ 100,656	86,987	\$ 1.16	\$ 96,521	99,958	\$ 0.97	\$ 111,007	106,561	\$ 1.04
Effect of dilutive securities: stock options		2,476			2,727			2,456	
Net income assuming dilution	\$ 100,656	89,463	\$ 1.13	\$ 96,521	102,685	\$ 0.94	\$ 111,007	109,017	\$ 1.02

*Use of estimates* The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities, and

## Edgar Filing: CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORP - Form 10-K/A

the reported amounts of revenue and expenses. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

*Reclassifications* Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform with the current year financial statement presentation.

*Partnership accounting* The Company accounts for unconsolidated partnerships or other investees (collectively referred to as unconsolidated joint ventures) under the equity method including investees in which the Company has a majority interest, but the minority venture partner(s) has (have) substantive participating rights in the operations of the investee. Earnings or losses of unconsolidated joint ventures are recognized to the extent of the Company's ownership or participation interest. The Company does not recognize its share of losses generated by these investments in excess of its investment unless it is legally committed or intends to fund deficits in the future. The Company may provide fee services to joint ventures but will recognize revenues only to the extent of the outside partner's ownership interest and will defer profits on its ownership interest until the joint venture is sold or liquidated (*see* Note 5, Joint Venture Investments).

*Minority interests* In 1999, the Company formed a subsidiary REIT and sold 10% of this subsidiary's stock to minority investors. Subsequent to December 31, 2002, the Company acquired the 10% interest of the minority investors for \$60.7 million (*see* Note 18, Subsequent Events).

---

CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

*New accounting standards*

Effective January 1, 2002, the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long Lived Assets*, which addresses financial accounting and reporting for the impairment and disposal of long-lived assets. In general, sales of rental property, (a) not sold subject to an initial tenant purchase option, or (b) explicitly built with the intention of selling, but not sold within two years of completion, are referred to as Investment Properties and classified as discontinued operations. Therefore, as required, gain or loss attributed to the operations and sale of Investment Properties sold or held for sale is presented in the statement of operations as discontinued operations, net of applicable income tax. Prior period statements of operations have been reclassified to reflect as discontinued operations the gain or loss related to Investment Properties that were sold or held for sale and presented as discontinued operations during the year ended December 31, 2002. Additionally, all periods presented will likely require further reclassification in future periods as additional, similar sales of Investment Properties occur.

In November 2002, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 45, *Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others* (see Note 15, Commitments and Contingencies, for required disclosure).

In January 2003, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 46, *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities - an interpretation of ARB No. 51 (FIN 46)*. FIN 46 requires that any entity meeting certain rules relating to a company's equity investment risk and level of financial control be consolidated as a variable interest entity. The statement is applicable to all variable interest entities created or acquired after January 31, 2003, and the first interim period beginning after June 15, 2003, for variable interest entities in which the Company holds a variable interest that is acquired before February 1, 2003. The Company plans on adopting FIN 46 in the time frames as required by the statement. Management expects no significant effect on the financial position, results of operations or cash flows of the Company as a result of the initial adoption of this standard in regard to existing variable interest entities; however, newly formed entities in 2003 could meet these requirements and will be recorded as appropriate.

In December 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 148, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation-Transition and Disclosure - An Amendment of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123*. As of December 31, 2002, the Company has not elected the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123; as such, under SFAS No. 148, the Company made the following disclosure: At December 31, 2002, the Company has five stock-based employee compensation plans. The Company accounts for those plans under the recognition and measurement principles of APB Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees*, and related Interpretations. No stock-based employee compensation cost is reflected in net income, as all options granted under those plans had an exercise price equal to the market value of the underlying common stock on the date of grant. The following table illustrates the effect on net income and earnings per share if the Company had applied the fair value recognition provisions of FASB Statement No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*, to stock-based employee compensation (see Note 11, for further data regarding Black-Scholes and the Company's option plans).

## CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2002	2001	2000
	(In thousands, except income per share data)		
Net income, as reported	\$ 100,656	\$ 96,521	\$ 111,007
Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value based method for all awards, net of related tax effects	(5,330)	(4,558)	(3,859)
Pro forma net income	\$ 95,326	\$ 91,963	\$ 107,148
Earnings per share:			
Basic as reported	\$ 1.16	\$ 0.97	\$ 1.04
Basic pro forma	\$ 1.10	\$ 0.92	\$ 1.01
Diluted as reported	\$ 1.13	\$ 0.94	\$ 1.02
Diluted pro forma	\$ 1.07	\$ 0.90	\$ 0.98

**Note 3. Mortgage and Other Debt**

Mortgage and other debt consisted of the following:

	December 31,	
	2002	2001
	(In thousands)	
Fixed rate mortgage loans, interest at 6.01% to 9.50%, due at various dates through April 12, 2016 <sup>(a)</sup>	\$ 1,080,655	\$ 842,296
Floating rate mortgage loans, interest variable (3.22% to 3.69% at December 31, 2002), due at various dates through August 1, 2006 <sup>(b)</sup>	207,212	272,288
Construction loans, interest variable (3.22% to 3.69% at December 31, 2002), due at various dates through June 12, 2004 <sup>(c)</sup>	73,068	98,321
Land acquisition and development loans, interest at 3.82% to 5.33%, due at various dates through October 15, 2025 <sup>(d)</sup>	22,241	58,498
Assessment district bonds, interest at 3.50% to 8.70%, due at various dates through September 1, 2025 <sup>(e)</sup>	103,935	34,456
Capital leases, interest variable (4.25% at December 31, 2002), due at various dates through December 31, 2004 <sup>(f)</sup>	5,176	3,981
Other loans, interest at 3.74% to 7.0%, due at various dates through August 2, 2012 <sup>(g)</sup>	8,668	617

Edgar Filing: CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORP - Form 10-K/A

Mortgage and other debt	1,500,955	1,310,457
Liabilities of assets held for sale:		
Fixed rate mortgage loans	2,849	
Floating rate mortgage loans	298	
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
Total mortgage and other debt	\$ 1,504,102	\$ 1,310,457
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>

(a) The fixed rate mortgage loans consist of the following: a \$352.5 million loan bearing interest at 6.01% (6.66% effective rate considering financing costs), with a 30-year amortization schedule and a maturity in November 2008; a \$196.9 million loan bearing interest at 7.25% (7.28% effective rate considering financing costs), with a 30-year amortization schedule and a maturity in April 2016; a \$142.9 million loan bearing interest at 6.65% (6.84% effective rate considering financing costs), maturing in September 2006; \$72.6 million of loans bearing interest at 7.29% (7.43% effective rate considering financing costs), maturing on



---

CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

various dates from January 2008 through May 2010; \$16.5 million of loans bearing interest at 7.23%, maturing on various dates from February 2003 through December 2005; and \$17.9 million of loans bearing interest at 8.13% to 9.50%, maturing on various dates from October 2006 through March 2009.

In addition, during 2002, the Company closed a \$285.3 million fixed rate mortgage loan bearing interest of 7.05% (7.16% effective rate considering financing costs) with a 30-year amortization schedule and a maturity in April 2012. Of the loan proceeds, \$136.5 million was used to pay off existing variable rate debt, related interest, and fees at closing. At December 31, 2002, \$284.2 million was outstanding.

These fixed rate mortgage loans are collateralized by certain of the Company's operating properties and by an assignment of rents generated by the underlying properties. A majority of these loans have penalties if paid prior to maturity.

- (b) In 2002, the Company closed a \$20.4 million floating rate mortgage loan (LIBOR plus 1.80%) that has a 25-year amortization schedule and a maturity of 3 years. Under certain conditions, this loan has a yield maintenance premium if paid prior to maturity.

Floating rate mortgage loans are collateralized by operating properties and by an assignment of rents generated by the underlying properties.

- (c) The Company's construction loans are used to finance development projects and are collateralized by the related land and improvements. As construction is completed, these loans may be refinanced with fixed or variable rate mortgages.
- (d) Land acquisition and development loans are used to acquire land and/or finance related development and are collateralized by the related land.
- (e) The assessment district bonds are issued through local municipalities to fund the construction of public infrastructure and improvements, which benefit the Company's properties. Debt service on these bonds is either collateralized by certain of the Company's properties or by letters of credit (see Note 15). In 2002, \$74.1 million of such bonds were issued with an estimated weighted average variable interest rate of 4.30% and a series of maturities up to thirty years.
- (f) Capital leases represent the estimated present value of the minimum lease payments.
- (g) During 2002, the Company acquired a corporate aircraft and financed it with an \$8.3 million floating rate collateralized loan (LIBOR plus 2.42%) with a maturity of 10 years. There is a yield maintenance premium if paid prior to the first annual anniversary date.

Three of the Company's credit agreements, totaling \$135 million, contain corporate financial covenants including a minimum debt service coverage ratio of 1.6 to 1, a maximum leverage ratio of 60%, and a minimum tangible net worth of \$435.2 million (subject to adjustment for stock buybacks), all terms as defined in those credit agreements. As of or for the period ending December 31, 2002, the actual results were 1.97; 54.1%; and \$546 million, respectively. The Company's partial guarantee of one of its joint venture's construction loans of \$165 million has the same debt service and tangible net worth covenants, but a different maximum leverage covenant definition. Under this definition, the Company's

## Edgar Filing: CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORP - Form 10-K/A

leverage ratio is 57.3% versus a covenant of 65%. The Company's performance against these covenants is measured on a quarterly basis, with debt service coverage being measured on a four-quarter trailing basis. In the event the Company was to breach any of these covenants and was unable to negotiate satisfactory waivers or amendments, the lenders in these credit facilities could declare the amounts outstanding due and payable.

F-12

---

**CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION**
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The maturities of mortgage and other debt outstanding as of December 31, 2002, including debt associated with assets held for sale, are summarized as follows (in thousands):

2003	\$ 154,152
2004	52,346
2005	150,007
2006	186,823
2007	21,491
Thereafter	939,283
	<hr/>
	\$ 1,504,102
	<hr/>

Interest costs relating to mortgage and other debt are summarized as follows:

	<b>Year Ended December 31,</b>		
	<b>2002</b>	<b>2001</b>	<b>2000</b>
	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
	(In thousands)		
Total interest incurred	\$ 85,156	\$ 83,623	\$ 69,620
Interest capitalized	(24,380)	(25,478)	(18,656)
	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
Interest expensed	60,776	58,145	50,964
Less discontinued operations	(588)	(1,392)	(989)
	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
Interest expense for continuing operations	\$ 60,188	\$ 56,753	\$ 49,975
	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>

Total interest incurred includes \$6 million, \$5.8 million, and \$6.4 million of amortization of deferred loan fees and other costs for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001, and 2000, respectively.

**Note 4. Income Taxes**

The income tax expense reflected in the consolidated statement of operations differs from the amounts computed by applying the federal statutory rate of 35% to income before income taxes and discontinued operations as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2002	2001	2000
	(In thousands)		
Federal income tax expense at statutory rate	\$ 49,385	\$ 56,871	\$ 64,881
Increase (decrease) in taxes resulting from:			
State income taxes, net of federal impact	6,659	8,723	9,821
Property donation at fair value	(2,960)		
Other	868	272	108
	<u>\$ 53,952</u>	<u>\$ 65,866</u>	<u>\$ 74,810</u>

Property donation at fair value reflects property conveyances that qualify as charitable contributions for tax purposes. The difference between the fair value and book basis of the properties conveyed represents a tax deduction that results in a permanent reduction in income tax. The effect of deducting the excess of fair value of property over the book basis was a reduction in the effective tax rate of approximately 2% for the year ended December 31, 2002.

## CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Deferred income taxes are provided for the temporary differences between the financial reporting basis and the tax basis of the Company's assets and liabilities and for operating loss and tax credit carryforwards. Significant components of the Company's net deferred tax liability are as follows:

	December 31,	
	2002	2001
	(In thousands)	
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Involuntary conversions (condemnations) of property	\$ 82,460	\$ 85,715
Capitalized interest, taxes, and overhead	82,820	95,154
Like-kind property exchanges	129,217	107,323
Investments in partnerships	65,624	61,307
Income of subsidiary REIT	52,318	40,708
Capital lease	6,094	12,148
Other	17,294	16,137
	<u>435,827</u>	<u>418,492</u>
Deferred tax assets:		
Intercompany transactions (prior to spin-off)	15,265	15,001
Capitalized rent	24,182	24,048
Adjustment to carrying value of property	36,726	41,261
Construction contract receivable	4,024	10,556
Depreciation and amortization	24,862	21,372
Capital lease payable	2,070	1,592
Environmental reserve	3,277	3,529
Other	6,451	10,475
	<u>116,857</u>	<u>127,834</u>
Net deferred tax liability	<u>\$ 318,970</u>	<u>\$ 290,658</u>

The permanent income tax benefit of \$1.3 million, \$7.2 million, and \$2.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001, and 2000, respectively, associated with the exercise of stock options is credited directly to paid-in capital on the accompanying statement of stockholders equity.

## CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## Note 5. Joint Venture Investments

The Company has investments in a variety of unconsolidated real estate joint ventures that are involved in both operating properties and development of various other projects.

The Company's unconsolidated joint ventures include the following at December 31, 2002:

<u>Operating Properties</u>	<u>Ownership</u>	<u>Development Projects</u>	<u>Ownership</u>
	<u>Percentage</u>		<u>Percentage</u>
<b>Hotel</b>		<b>Residential</b>	
International Rivercenter <sup>(a)</sup>	25%	Talega Associates, LLC <sup>(e)</sup>	30%
New Orleans Rivercenter <sup>(b)</sup>	42%	Talega Village, LLC <sup>(f)</sup>	50%
Pacific Market Investment Company <sup>(c)</sup>	50%	Serrano Associates, LLC <sup>(g)</sup>	50%
<b>Office</b>		Parkway Company, LLC <sup>(h)</sup>	50%
Torrance Investment Company <sup>(d)</sup>	67%	East Baybridge Partners, L.P. <sup>(i)</sup>	0.14%
		<b>Urban</b>	
		Third & King Investors, LLC <sup>(j)</sup>	29%
		<b>Commercial</b>	
		Traer Creek-HD LLC <sup>(k)</sup>	16%
		Traer Creek-WMT LLC <sup>(l)</sup>	10%
		Traer Creek-RP LLC <sup>(m)</sup>	10%

(a) International Rivercenter owns the 1,600-room New Orleans Hilton Hotel on and adjacent to the Lower Poydras Wharf in New Orleans, Louisiana.

(b) New Orleans Rivercenter owns a 75% undivided interest in an 8.5-acre parcel of land, which primarily provides parking for the New Orleans Hilton Hotel.

(c) Pacific Market Investment Company owns and operates a 337-room Embassy Suites Hotel in San Diego, California.

(d) Torrance Investment Company owns two office buildings totaling 202,000 square feet on 14 acres of land in Torrance, California.

(e) Talega Associates, LLC acquired and develops a master-planned community located partially in the City of San Clemente, and partially in an incorporated area of Orange County. At December 31, 2002, it had an inventory of 1,226 available lots.

## Edgar Filing: CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORP - Form 10-K/A

- (f) Talega Village, LLC develops age-restricted residential units in Orange County, California. At December 31, 2002, it had an inventory of 65 available homes.
- (g) Serrano Associates, LLC acquired and is developing a 3,500-acre master-planned community near Sacramento, California. At December 31, 2002, it had an inventory of 1,190 available lots.
- (h) Parkway Company, LLC develops a master-planned residential community located in Folsom, California. At December 31, 2002, it had an inventory of 538 multi-unit home lots.
- (i) East Baybridge Partners, L.P. developed and operates a 220-unit multifamily mixed-income rental housing project in Emeryville, California. This partnership is accounted for under the cost method.
- (j) Third & King Investors, LLC is in the construction phase of a mixed-use project at Mission Bay in San Francisco, California.
- (k) Traer Creek-HD LLC owns 9.7 acres of land currently under development for Home Depot in Avon, Colorado.
- (l) Traer Creek-WMT LLC owns 13.5 acres of land currently under development for WalMart in Avon, Colorado.
- (m) Traer Creek-RP LLC owns 1,591 acres of land for development in Avon, Colorado.

In 2001, the Company sold its retained interest in BHC Residential, LLC, and realized a pre-tax gain of \$14.2 million (*see* Note 14), which has been included in Equity in earnings of development joint ventures, net on the consolidated statement of operations.

## CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The Company guarantees a portion of the debt and interest of certain of its joint ventures. At December 31, 2002, these guarantees totaled \$44.6 million. In some cases, other parties have jointly and severally guaranteed these obligations, which are also collateralized by the related properties.

The combined balance sheets and statements of operations of these unconsolidated joint ventures, along with the Company's proportionate share, are summarized as follows:

	Combined		Proportionate Share	
	December 31,		December 31,	
	2002	2001	2002	2001
	(In thousands)			
<b>Assets:</b>				
Operating properties:				
Property	\$ 147,183	\$ 139,620	\$ 46,553	\$ 45,432
Other	17,483	19,118	6,003	6,032
Development projects:				
Property	318,727	350,877	104,158	137,786
Other	31,269	40,244	10,583	11,390
Total	\$ 514,662	\$ 549,859	\$ 167,297	\$ 200,640
<b>Liabilities and venturers' equity:</b>				
Operating properties:				
Notes Payable	\$ 189,531	\$ 192,134	\$ 58,596	\$ 59,466
Other	17,052	17,408	4,880	5,024
Development projects:				
Notes Payable	68,038	101,345	26,538	36,803
Other	83,668	96,714	30,132	35,617
Total liabilities	358,289	407,601	120,146	136,910
Venturers' equity/(deficit):				
Operating properties	(41,918)	(50,804)	(10,920)	(13,026)
Development projects	198,291	193,062	58,071	76,756
	156,373	142,258	47,151	63,730
Total liabilities and venturers' equity	\$ 514,662	\$ 549,859	\$ 167,297	\$ 200,640



## Edgar Filing: CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORP - Form 10-K/A

The Company's proportionate share of venturers' equity is an aggregate amount for all ventures. Because the Company's ownership percentage differs from venture to venture, because there are varying distribution agreements, and because certain ventures have accumulated equity while others have accumulated deficits, the Company's percentage of venturers' equity is not reflective of the Company's ownership percentage of the ventures. The Company does not recognize its share of losses generated by joint ventures in excess of its investment unless it is legally committed or intends to fund deficits in the future.

The Company has contributed appreciated property to certain of its joint venture investments. Although the properties are recorded by the venture at fair value on the date of contribution, the related gains have been deferred in the Company's financial statements and will be recognized when the properties are sold by the joint ventures.

F-16

## CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

	Combined			Proportionate Share		
	Year Ended December 31,					
	2002	2001	2000	2002	2001	2000
	(In thousands)					
Revenue:						
Operating properties	\$ 136,217	\$ 135,849	\$ 139,692	\$ 40,792	\$ 41,006	\$ 41,777
Development projects	282,100	220,154	320,988	124,434	109,842	144,381
	<u>418,317</u>	<u>356,003</u>	<u>460,680</u>	<u>165,226</u>	<u>150,848</u>	<u>186,158</u>
Expenses:						
Operating properties	107,284	106,184	104,642	32,515	32,173	31,968
Development projects	207,765	191,370	276,200	95,202	83,864	116,601
	<u>315,049</u>	<u>297,554</u>	<u>380,842</u>	<u>127,717</u>	<u>116,037</u>	<u>148,569</u>
Net earnings before income tax	<u>\$ 103,268</u>	<u>\$ 58,449</u>	<u>\$ 79,838</u>	<u>\$ 37,509</u>	<u>\$ 34,811</u>	<u>\$ 37,589</u>

**Note 6. Property**

Book value by property type consists of the following:

	December 31,	
	2002	2001
	(In thousands)	
Rental properties:		
Industrial buildings	\$ 1,134,890	\$ 943,340
Office buildings	409,339	297,707
Retail buildings	100,882	96,263
Ground leases	139,886	138,708
Investment in operating joint ventures	(10,920)	(13,026)
	<u>1,774,077</u>	<u>1,462,992</u>

Developable properties:

Edgar Filing: CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORP - Form 10-K/A

Commercial	171,924	188,527
Residential (See Note 14)	52,850	52,108
Urban	279,495	258,504
Investment in development joint ventures	58,071	76,756
	<u>562,340</u>	<u>575,895</u>
Work-in-process:		
Commercial	31,036	118,668
Commercial capital lease	18,902	40,560
Urban	16,915	40,318
	<u>66,853</u>	<u>199,546</u>
Furniture, fixtures and equipment	38,096	28,818
Other	6,715	9,257
	<u>38,096</u>	<u>28,818</u>
Gross book value	2,448,081	2,276,508
Accumulated depreciation	(399,923)	(354,557)
	<u>2,448,081</u>	<u>2,276,508</u>
Net book value	<u>\$ 2,048,158</u>	<u>\$ 1,921,951</u>

## CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## Note 7. Other Financial Statement Captions

## Other Assets and Deferred Charges, Net

The Company's other assets and deferred charges consisted of the following:

	December 31,	
	2002	2001
	(In thousands)	
Reimbursable construction costs	\$ 83,680	\$ 25,052
Deferred lease commissions, net	41,446	34,200
Bonds proceeds receivable	35,629	
Straight-line rent	27,563	21,612
Deferred financing fees, net	23,081	26,584
Prepaid expenses	20,089	7,567
Tax increment financing assets	16,932	15,555
Cash surrender value of life insurance	15,673	9,012
Deferred cost of sales	4,647	19,627
Employee loans	1,733	2,025
Deferred cost of acquisitions	856	2,273
Funds held in escrow accounts	424	1,332
Other	2,100	2,466
	\$ 273,853	\$ 167,305

Reimbursable construction costs represent costs the Company has incurred on behalf of municipal bond districts for public infrastructure improvements at four development projects. Subsequent to December 31, 2002, and through March 1, 2003, the Company has been reimbursed \$5.7 million by the districts.

Amortization of lease commissions was \$7.7 million, \$6.5 million, and \$4.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001, and 2000, respectively. Accumulated amortization of deferred lease commissions totaled \$23.8 million and \$19.5 million at December 31, 2002 and 2001, respectively. Amortization of finance fees was \$6 million, \$5.8 million, and \$6.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001, and 2000, respectively. Accumulated amortization of deferred finance fees totaled \$18.2 million and \$12.4 million at December 31, 2002 and 2001, respectively.

## Edgar Filing: CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORP - Form 10-K/A

In 2001, the Company entered into a tax increment financing agreement with a municipality and shares a portion of the increased property tax to be generated by one of its residential development projects. The estimated value to the Company of the incremental tax revenue at December 31, 2002, was \$16.9 million and this amount is anticipated to be collected, with interest, over the next 37 years.

F-18

## CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## Accounts Payable and Accrued Expenses

The Company's accounts payable and accrued expenses consisted of the following:

	December 31,	
	2002	2001
	(In thousands)	
Accrued construction costs	\$ 46,832	\$ 76,562
Salaries, bonuses and deferred compensation	31,462	33,542
Property taxes	18,121	15,425
Interest	11,531	10,454
Other	9,547	9,705
	<u>\$ 117,493</u>	<u>\$ 145,688</u>

## Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities

The Company's deferred credits and other liabilities consisted of the following:

	December 31,	
	2002	2001
	(In thousands)	
Rent deposits	\$ 107,712	\$ 111,105
Deferred profits	13,570	38,774
Environmental and legal reserves	10,359	11,216
Security deposits	7,229	7,253
Construction deposit	3,290	
Refundable property taxes	2,298	2,107
Sales deposits	1,441	1,530
Unearned income	1,166	1,332
Other	4,401	4,339
	<u>\$ 151,466</u>	<u>\$ 177,656</u>

Rent deposits includes \$99.4 million and \$102.5 million of prepaid ground lease rent from a major tenant at December 31, 2002 and 2001, respectively, and is being amortized over the lease term of 34 years until 2035. The environmental and legal reserves are more fully described in Note 15. Deferred profits represent cash or notes received by the Company in connection with property sales transactions, which do not meet the criteria for full profit recognition.

## CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## Note 8. Leases

The Company, as lessor, has entered into non-cancelable operating leases expiring at various dates through 2099. Rental revenue under these leases totaled \$261.3 million in 2002, \$230.2 million in 2001, and \$202.8 million in 2000. Included in this revenue are rentals contingent on lessees' operations of \$2.4 million in 2002, \$2 million in 2001, and \$3.4 million in 2000. Future minimum rental revenue under existing non-cancelable operating leases as of December 31, 2002, is summarized as follows (in thousands):

2003	\$ 208,174
2004	188,709
2005	158,570
2006	129,508
2007	111,116
Thereafter	987,290
	<u>\$ 1,783,367</u>

The book value of the Company's properties under operating leases or held for rent is summarized as follows:

	December 31,	
	2002	2001
	(In thousands)	
Buildings	\$ 1,645,111	\$ 1,337,310
Ground leases	139,886	138,708
	<u>1,784,997</u>	<u>1,476,018</u>
Less accumulated depreciation	(366,772)	(325,130)
	<u>\$ 1,418,225</u>	<u>\$ 1,150,888</u>

The Company, as lessee, has entered into noncancelable operating leases expiring at various dates through 2023. Rental expense under these leases totaled \$2.9 million in 2002, \$3 million in 2001, and \$3.1 million in 2000. Future minimum lease payments as of December 31, 2002, are summarized as follows (in thousands):

2003	\$ 2,510
------	----------



Edgar Filing: CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORP - Form 10-K/A

2004	2,246
2005	1,745
2006	213
2007	15
Thereafter	225
	<hr/>
	\$ 6,954
	<hr/>

F-20

## CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## Note 9. Other Income and Expenses

Other income Other is summarized as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2002	2001	2000
	(In thousands)		
Lease termination fee	\$ 8,304	\$ 3,398	\$
Proceeds from condemnation sale		1,347	
All other	892	995	235
	<u>\$ 9,196</u>	<u>\$ 5,740</u>	<u>\$ 235</u>

Other expenses Other is summarized as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2002	2001	2000
	(In thousands)		
Abandoned project costs	\$ (1,127)	\$ (3,977)	\$ (2,266)
Land holding costs	(805)	(89)	(286)
Consulting fees		(6,470)	(3,500)
Legal reserve	900	(1,102)	
Finder s fees	(499)		
Reserve for uncollectible note receivable			(2,000)
Loss on fee development contract		(5,108)	(11,797)
All other	(492)	(731)	
	<u>\$ (2,023)</u>	<u>\$ (17,477)</u>	<u>\$ (19,849)</u>

## Note 10. Non-Strategic Asset Sales

## Edgar Filing: CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORP - Form 10-K/A

The Company's sales of non-strategic assets are summarized as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2002	2001	2000
	(In thousands)		
Sales	\$ 8,373	\$ 4,161	\$ 50,759
Cost of sales	(1,109)	(252)	(4,480)
<b>Gain</b>	<b>\$ 7,264</b>	<b>\$ 3,909</b>	<b>\$ 46,279</b>

In 2000, the Company sold 405,000 acres of desert holdings for \$45.1 million resulting in a pre-tax gain of \$42.4 million.

### **Note 11. Employee Benefit and Stock Option Plans**

The Company has a profit sharing and savings plan for all employees. Funding consists of employee contributions along with matching and discretionary profit sharing contributions by the Company. Total expense for the Company under this plan was \$1.2 million in each year 2002, 2001, and 2000.

The Company has various plans through which employees may purchase common stock of the Company, and through which non-employee directors may purchase or receive common stock of the Company.

---

CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The Company has five stock option plans under which certain committees of the Board of Directors may grant options to purchase up to 14,500,000 shares of common stock (1991 Stock Option Plan, Amended and Restated Executive Stock Option Plan, 1995 Stock Option Plan, Amended and Restated 1996 Performance Award Plan, and 2000 Performance Award Plan). The exercise price of options granted under these plans is generally the closing price of the common stock on the date of grant. Options typically become exercisable in four annual installments commencing on the first anniversary of the date of grant and expire ten years from the date of grant. However, there are other vesting schedules and expiration periods for options granted under the plans.

Each non-employee director is automatically granted an option, immediately following each annual meeting of stockholders, to purchase 5,000 shares of common stock. Any new non-employee member of the Board will receive an option to purchase a portion of 5,000 shares that corresponds to the number of months until the next annual meeting. The exercise price of each automatic stock option is the closing stock price on the date of grant. Each automatic stock option has a ten-year term and becomes exercisable in four equal installments on each of the first four anniversaries of the date of grant.

In addition, each non-employee director may elect irrevocably to defer any retainers or fees and receive director stock units instead. If a director makes such an election, his or her director stock units will be distributed to him or her in the form of common stock in a single lump sum or in up to five substantially equal installments, beginning on either January 1 of the year immediately following the director's termination of service, or January 1 of another year selected by the director provided that such year is not less than three years after the year in which the compensation being deferred is earned. On the distribution date, the director will receive a number of shares of common stock calculated by dividing the deferred compensation by 90% of the fair market value of the common stock on the date of credit.

The Company has elected to follow Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees* ( APB 25 ), and related Interpretations in accounting for its employee stock options because, as discussed below, the alternative fair value accounting provided for under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation* ( Statement 23 ) requires use of option valuation models that were developed for use in valuing publicly traded stock options. Under APB 25, because the exercise price of the Company's employee stock options equals the market price of the underlying stock on the date of grant, no compensation expense is recognized.

Pro forma information regarding net income and income per share as required by Statement 123 is presented in Note 2 and has been determined as if the Company had accounted for its employee stock options under the fair value method. The weighted-average fair value of options granted during 2002, 2001, and 2000 was \$5.01, \$5.42, and \$5.31, respectively. The fair value of options granted was estimated at the date of grant using a Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions for 2002, 2001, and 2000, respectively: risk-free interest rates of 3.47%, 4.42%, and 6.39%; zero percent dividend yields; volatility factors of the expected market price of the Company's common stock of 22.5%, 24.0%, and 28.5%; and a weighted-average expected life of the options of five years.

The Black-Scholes option valuation model was developed for use in estimating the fair value of traded options, which have no vesting restrictions and are fully transferable. In addition, option valuation models require the input of highly subjective assumptions, including the expected stock price volatility. Because the Company's employee stock options have characteristics significantly different from those of traded options, and because changes in the subjective input assumptions can materially affect the fair value estimate, in management's opinion, the existing models do not necessarily provide a reliable measure of the fair value of its employee stock options.



## CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

A summary of the Company's stock option activity, and related information is as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,					
	2002		2001		2000	
	Options	Weighted-Average Exercise Price	Options	Weighted-Average Exercise Price	Options	Weighted-Average Exercise Price
	(In thousands, except exercise price information)					
Outstanding beginning of year	8,867	\$ 13.50	10,259	\$ 12.22	7,333	\$ 10.83
Granted	547	\$ 18.14	1,119	\$ 17.65	4,172	\$ 14.05
Exercised	(608)	\$ 14.41	(2,104)	\$ 9.24	(903)	\$ 8.61
Expired	(11)	\$ 16.13	(33)	\$ 18.83	(147)	\$ 11.74
Forfeited	(341)	\$ 15.27	(374)	\$ 13.50	(196)	\$ 15.85
Outstanding end of year	8,454	\$ 13.68	8,867	\$ 13.50	10,259	\$ 12.22
Exercisable at end of year	5,276	\$ 12.28	4,663	\$ 11.85	5,158	\$ 10.03

Exercise prices for options outstanding as of December 31, 2002, ranged from \$5.58 to \$21.38. The weighted-average remaining contractual life of those options is 5.7 years.

Options Outstanding				Options Exercisable	
Options	Actual Range of Exercise Prices	Weighted-Average Exercise Price	Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Life	Number Exercisable	Weighted Average Exercise Price
(In thousands)				(In thousands)	
946	\$5.58-\$7.83	\$ 6.50	2.4	946	\$ 6.50
1,441	\$8.44-\$12.38	\$ 10.18	4.2	1,402	\$ 10.14
5,990	\$12.88-\$19.31	\$ 15.60	6.6	2,926	\$ 15.18
77	\$19.43-\$21.38	\$ 20.37	9.2	2	\$ 20.44
8,454	\$5.58-\$21.38	\$ 13.68	5.7	5,276	\$ 12.28

**Note 12. Capital Stock**

The Company has authorized the issuance of 150 million shares of \$.01 par value common stock. The Company has reserved 14,500,000 shares of common stock pursuant to various compensation programs.

From October 1999 through July 2001, the Company's Board of Directors authorized a total of \$250 million in repurchases of the Company's Common Stock. Through December 31, 2001, the Company purchased 13,047,097 shares at a cost of \$218 million under these programs. The remaining \$32 million authorized has expired or was terminated. All purchases were made on the open market.

In December 2001, the Company purchased 10,600,000 shares of its Common Stock from the California Public Employees Retirement System ( CalPERS ) for \$183.1 million or \$17.2755 per share, representing a negotiated 1% discount to the closing price of the Company's common stock on December 12, 2001. An independent third party, American Appraisal Associates, Inc., provided the Company's Board of Directors with a written opinion confirming that the terms and conditions of this transaction were fair, from a financial point of view, to the Company's stockholders other than CalPERS. Immediately prior to the transaction, CalPERS was the beneficial owner of 18,782,276 shares, or approximately 19.3%, of the Company's issued and outstanding common stock. As a result of the transaction, CalPERS' beneficial ownership was reduced to 8,182,276 shares, or approximately 9.45%, of the Company's issued and outstanding common stock.

**CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The Company's repurchases are reflected as treasury stock at cost and are presented as a reduction to consolidated stockholders' equity.

In December 1999, the Company authorized the issuance of 2,000,000 shares of Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock in connection with the adoption of a shareholder rights plan. This series of preferred stock has a quarterly dividend of the greater of \$1.00 or 100 times the dividend paid on our common stock, and it has a voting right of 100 votes per share. Also in connection with the shareholder rights plan adopted in December 1999, the Company's Board of Directors declared a dividend of one right to purchase 1/100 of a share of Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock for each share of common stock. This right becomes exercisable on the occurrence of certain events, and it also may entitle the holder to purchase shares of common stock at one-half its market price on the occurrence of certain events. No shares of this series of preferred stock have been issued.

**Note 13. Segment Reporting**

The Company's reportable segments are based on the Company's method of internal reporting, which disaggregates its business by type and before the adjustments for discontinued operations. The Company has five reportable segments: Asset Management; Suburban, which includes two reportable segments, Commercial and Residential; Urban; and Corporate. The Asset Management segment leases and manages the Company-owned commercial buildings and ground leases. The Suburban Commercial segment develops real estate for the Company's own account or for third parties and acquires and sells developable land and commercial buildings. The Suburban Residential segment acquires and develops suburban residential communities and sells finished lots to homebuilders via direct ownership or through joint ventures. The Urban segment develops major mixed-use sites including development for residential, office, retail, and entertainment purposes for the Company's own account and for joint ventures. The Corporate segment consists of administrative services.

The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those described in the summary of significant accounting policies (*See* Note 2). Inter-segment gains and losses are not recognized. Debt and interest-bearing assets are allocated to segments based upon the grouping of the underlying assets. All other assets and liabilities are specifically identified. Each segment has a separate operating management structure.



## CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Financial data by reportable segment is as follows:

	Asset Management	Suburban		Urban	Corporate	Subtotal	Discontinued Operations	Total
		Commercial	Residential					
(In thousands)								
<b>2002</b>								
<b>Revenues</b>								
Rental revenue	\$ 267,807	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 267,807	\$ (856)	\$ 266,951
Sales revenue	43,184	52,966	59,107	14,500		169,757	(30,153)	139,604
Management, development and other fees	42	2,973	1,516	2,557		7,088		7,088
	<u>311,033</u>	<u>55,939</u>	<u>60,623</u>	<u>17,057</u>		<u>444,652</u>	<u>(31,009)</u>	<u>413,643</u>
<b>Costs and expenses</b>								
Property operating costs	(71,929)					(71,929)	370	(71,559)
Cost of sales	(14,256)	(42,689)	(28,862)	(11,154)	(601)	(97,562)	7,901	(89,661)
Selling and G&A expenses	(1,185)	(9,576)	(8,316)	(6,913)		(25,990)		(25,990)
Corporate administrative costs					(17,705)	(17,705)		(17,705)
Depreciation and amortization	(59,170)	(673)	(182)	(1,065)	(2,349)	(63,439)	290	(63,149)
	<u>(146,540)</u>	<u>(52,938)</u>	<u>(37,360)</u>	<u>(19,132)</u>	<u>(20,655)</u>	<u>(276,625)</u>	<u>8,561</u>	<u>(268,064)</u>
<b>Operating Income</b>	<u>164,493</u>	<u>3,001</u>	<u>23,263</u>	<u>(2,075)</u>	<u>(20,655)</u>	<u>168,027</u>	<u>(22,448)</u>	<u>145,579</u>
<b>Other income</b>								
Income from operating joint ventures, net	8,277					8,277		8,277
Income from development joint ventures, net			33,063		(3,831)	29,232		29,232
Gain on non-strategic asset sales	7,264					7,264		7,264
Interest income	2,498	980	5,941	10	442	9,871		9,871
Other	8,304	633	259			9,196		9,196
	<u>26,343</u>	<u>1,613</u>	<u>39,263</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>(3,389)</u>	<u>63,840</u>		<u>63,840</u>
<b>Other expenses</b>								
Interest expense	(78,831)				18,055	(60,776)	588	(60,188)
Other	(111)	(2,163)	(125)	(139)	515	(2,023)		(2,023)
	<u>(78,942)</u>	<u>(2,163)</u>	<u>(125)</u>	<u>(139)</u>	<u>18,570</u>	<u>(62,799)</u>	<u>588</u>	<u>(62,211)</u>
<b>Income before minority interests, income taxes, and discontinued operations</b>								
Minority interests	111,894	2,451	62,401	(2,204)	(5,474)	169,068	(21,860)	147,208
Income taxes	(6,106)					(6,106)		(6,106)
	<u>(40,455)</u>	<u>(937)</u>	<u>(23,848)</u>	<u>842</u>	<u>2,092</u>	<u>(62,306)</u>	<u>8,354</u>	<u>(53,952)</u>

Edgar Filing: CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORP - Form 10-K/A

<b>Income (loss) from continuing operations</b>	65,333	1,514	38,553	(1,362)	(3,382)	100,656	(13,506)	87,150
Discontinued operations, net of tax:								
Gain from disposal of discontinued operations							13,748	13,748
Loss from discontinued operations							(242)	(242)
Gain from discontinued operations							13,506	13,506
<b>Net income (loss)</b>	<b>\$ 65,333</b>	<b>\$ 1,514</b>	<b>\$ 38,553</b>	<b>\$ (1,362)</b>	<b>\$ (3,382)</b>	<b>\$ 100,656</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ 100,656</b>
<b>Investments in equity method subsidiaries</b>	<b>\$ (10,920)</b>	<b>\$ 561</b>	<b>\$ 37,917</b>	<b>\$ 19,593</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ 47,151</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ 47,151</b>
<b>Segment assets</b>	<b>\$ 1,539,024</b>	<b>\$ 327,615</b>	<b>\$ 130,564</b>	<b>\$ 363,945</b>	<b>\$ 331,541</b>	<b>\$ 2,692,689</b>	<b>\$ 2,760</b>	<b>\$ 2,695,449</b>
<b>Capital expenditures for segment assets</b>	<b>\$ 37,614</b>	<b>\$ 196,786</b>	<b>\$ 38,796</b>	<b>\$ 99,529</b>	<b>\$ 18,686</b>	<b>\$ 391,411</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ 391,411</b>

F-25

## CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

	Asset Management	Suburban		Urban	Corporate	Subtotal	Discontinued Operations	Total
		Commercial	Residential					
(In thousands)								
<b>2001</b>								
<b>Revenues</b>								
Rental revenue	\$ 234,881	\$ 75,686	\$ 48,507	\$ 49,793	\$	\$ 234,881	\$ (2,775)	\$ 232,106
Sales revenue	71,818	75,686	48,507	49,793		245,804		245,804
Management, development and other fees	145	3,679	1,394	782		6,000		6,000
	<u>306,844</u>	<u>79,365</u>	<u>49,901</u>	<u>50,575</u>		<u>486,685</u>	<u>(2,775)</u>	<u>483,910</u>
<b>Costs and expenses</b>								
Property operating costs	(62,663)					(62,663)	959	(61,704)
Cost of sales	(30,744)	(50,896)	(30,202)	(37,337)	(519)	(149,698)		(149,698)
Selling and G&A expenses	(1,235)	(9,607)	(11,379)	(4,349)		(26,570)		(26,570)
Corporate administrative costs					(19,256)	(19,256)		(19,256)
Depreciation and amortization	(47,925)	(514)	(311)	(1,853)	(1,855)	(52,458)	567	(51,891)
	<u>(142,567)</u>	<u>(61,017)</u>	<u>(41,892)</u>	<u>(43,539)</u>	<u>(21,630)</u>	<u>(310,645)</u>	<u>1,526</u>	<u>(309,119)</u>
<b>Operating Income</b>	<u>164,277</u>	<u>18,348</u>	<u>8,009</u>	<u>7,036</u>	<u>(21,630)</u>	<u>176,040</u>	<u>(1,249)</u>	<u>174,791</u>
<b>Other income</b>								
Income from operating joint ventures, net	8,833					8,833		8,833
Income from development joint ventures, net		9	27,670		(1,701)	25,978		25,978
Gain on non-strategic asset sales	3,909					3,909		3,909
Interest income	5,058	2,275	1,924	1,138	13,213	23,608		23,608
Other	1,547	505		3,398	290	5,740		5,740
	<u>19,347</u>	<u>2,789</u>	<u>29,594</u>	<u>4,536</u>	<u>11,802</u>	<u>68,068</u>		<u>68,068</u>
<b>Other expenses</b>								
Interest expense	(75,110)	(7)		(684)	17,656	(58,145)	1,392	(56,753)
Other	(1,087)	(2,959)	(5,792)	180	(7,843)	(17,501)	24	(17,477)
	<u>(76,197)</u>	<u>(2,966)</u>	<u>(5,792)</u>	<u>(504)</u>	<u>9,813</u>	<u>(75,646)</u>	<u>1,416</u>	<u>(74,230)</u>
<b>Income before minority interests, income taxes, and discontinued operations</b>								
	<u>107,427</u>	<u>18,171</u>	<u>31,811</u>	<u>11,068</u>	<u>(15)</u>	<u>168,462</u>	<u>167</u>	<u>168,629</u>
Minority interests	(6,059)		(83)			(6,142)		(6,142)
Income taxes	(41,091)	(7,366)	(12,861)	(4,487)	6	(65,799)	(67)	(65,866)
	<u>60,277</u>	<u>10,805</u>	<u>18,867</u>	<u>6,581</u>	<u>(9)</u>	<u>96,521</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>96,621</u>

Edgar Filing: CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORP - Form 10-K/A

<b>Income (loss) from continuing operations</b>								
Discontinued operations, net of tax:								
Gain from disposal of discontinued operations								
Loss from discontinued operations							(100)	(100)
Loss from discontinued operations							(100)	(100)
<b>Net income (loss)</b>	\$ 60,277	\$ 10,805	\$ 18,867	\$ 6,581	\$ (9)	\$ 96,521	\$	\$ 96,521
<b>Investments in equity method subsidiaries</b>	\$ (13,026)	\$	\$ 74,721	\$ 2,035	\$	\$ 63,730	\$	\$ 63,730
<b>Segment assets</b>	\$ 1,243,108	\$ 371,105	\$ 216,920	\$ 321,601	\$ 262,781	\$ 2,415,515	\$	\$ 2,415,515
<b>Capital expenditures for segment assets</b>	\$ 75,127	\$ 234,124	\$ 58,640	\$ 61,317	\$ 9,468	\$ 438,676	\$	\$ 438,676

F-26

## CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

	Asset Management	Suburban		Urban	Corporate	Subtotal	Discontinued Operations	Total
		Commercial	Residential					
(In thousands)								
<b>2000</b>								
<b>Revenues</b>								
Rental revenue	\$ 206,762	\$ 68,951	\$ 292,822	\$	\$	\$ 206,762	\$ (3,071)	\$ 203,691
Sales revenue	89,323	68,951	292,822			451,096		451,096
Management, development and other fees	11,814	999	1,498	1,149		15,460		15,460
	<u>307,899</u>	<u>69,950</u>	<u>294,320</u>	<u>1,149</u>		<u>673,318</u>	<u>(3,071)</u>	<u>670,247</u>
<b>Costs and expenses</b>								
Property operating costs	(55,272)					(55,272)	804	(54,468)
Cost of sales	(46,410)	(52,415)	(238,930)			(337,755)		(337,755)
Selling and G&A expenses	(8,903)	(9,643)	(25,007)	(2,248)		(45,801)		(45,801)
Corporate administrative costs					(15,675)	(15,675)		(15,675)
Depreciation and amortization	(42,090)	(747)	(108)	(1,684)	(1,876)	(46,505)	566	(45,939)
	<u>(152,675)</u>	<u>(62,805)</u>	<u>(264,045)</u>	<u>(3,932)</u>	<u>(17,551)</u>	<u>(501,008)</u>	<u>1,370</u>	<u>(499,638)</u>
<b>Operating Income</b>	<u>155,224</u>	<u>7,145</u>	<u>30,275</u>	<u>(2,783)</u>	<u>(17,551)</u>	<u>172,310</u>	<u>(1,701)</u>	<u>170,609</u>
<b>Other income</b>								
Income from operating joint ventures, net	9,809					9,809		9,809
Income from development joint ventures, net		13	27,767			27,780		27,780
Gain on non-strategic asset sales	46,279					46,279		46,279
Interest income	3,021	2,724	802	4	4,652	11,203		11,203
Other	(136)	142	504	(63)	(212)	235		235
	<u>58,973</u>	<u>2,879</u>	<u>29,073</u>	<u>(59)</u>	<u>4,440</u>	<u>95,306</u>		<u>95,306</u>
<b>Other expenses</b>								
Interest expense	(57,832)	(4)	(546)	(1,153)	8,571	(50,964)	989	(49,975)
Other	(532)	(2,342)	(13,515)	40	(3,500)	(19,849)		(19,849)
	<u>(58,364)</u>	<u>(2,346)</u>	<u>(14,061)</u>	<u>(1,113)</u>	<u>5,071</u>	<u>(70,813)</u>	<u>989</u>	<u>(69,824)</u>
<b>Income before minority interests, income taxes, and discontinued operations</b>								
	<u>155,833</u>	<u>7,678</u>	<u>45,287</u>	<u>(3,955)</u>	<u>(8,040)</u>	<u>196,803</u>	<u>(712)</u>	<u>196,091</u>
Minority interests	(6,347)		(4,354)			(10,701)		(10,701)
Income taxes	(60,320)	(3,098)	(16,517)	1,596	3,244	(75,095)	285	(74,810)
	<u>89,166</u>	<u>4,580</u>	<u>24,416</u>	<u>(2,359)</u>	<u>(4,796)</u>	<u>111,007</u>	<u>(427)</u>	<u>110,580</u>

Edgar Filing: CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORP - Form 10-K/A

<b>Income (loss) from continuing operations</b>								
Discontinued operations, net of tax:								
Gain from disposal of discontinued operations								
Income from discontinued operations							427	427
Gain from discontinued operations							427	427
<b>Net income (loss)</b>	\$ 89,166	\$ 4,580	\$ 24,416	\$ (2,359)	\$ (4,796)	\$ 111,007	\$	\$ 111,007
<b>Investments in equity method subsidiaries</b>	\$ (16,092)	\$ 11	\$ 46,245	\$	\$	\$ 30,164	\$	\$ 30,164
<b>Segment assets</b>	\$ 1,072,283	\$ 325,513	\$ 152,551	\$ 355,202	\$ 368,867	\$ 2,274,416	\$	\$ 2,274,416
<b>Capital expenditures for segment assets</b>	\$ 32,028	\$ 247,455	\$ 123,372	\$ 43,416	\$ 2,067	\$ 448,338	\$	\$ 448,338

F-27

---

**CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION**
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Note 14. Sale of Homebuilding Assets**

In July 2000, the Company's residential subsidiary sold a majority of its homebuilding assets, with a book value of \$125.8 million, to a newly formed limited liability company ( LLC ) managed by Brookfield Homes of California, Inc., for \$139 million in cash and a retained interest in the new company valued at \$22.5 million. Approximately \$77 million of the initial cash proceeds were used for debt repayment, closing costs, and other expenses related to the sale of the homebuilding operations. The remaining proceeds were added to the Company's working capital. Under the agreement, the Company's residential subsidiary was entitled to a preferred return on the retained interest and 35% of additional profits from LLC operations. The deferred gain related to the retained interest and the 35% share of profits from LLC's operations were recorded as part of Equity in earnings of development joint ventures, net as homes/lots were sold by LLC.

In 2000, the Company recorded a \$13.4 million gain on property sales related to this transaction and recognized \$8.3 million of the \$22.5 million retained interest, \$0.8 million of the Company's 35% share of the profits of the LLC, and a \$1 million preferred return from the Company's investment in the LLC.

In 2001, the Company sold its retained interest in the LLC for \$8.2 million and recognized the remaining deferred gain of \$14.2 million, which has been included as part of Equity in earnings of development joint ventures, net.

**Note 15. Commitments and Contingencies**

The Company has surety bonds and standby letters of credit related to various development projects, lease payment guarantees, various debt and debt service guarantees, and guarantees in capital contribution requirements related to certain unconsolidated real estate joint ventures. These guarantees at December 31, 2002 are summarized in the following categories (in thousands):

<b>Off-balance sheet guarantees:</b>	
Surety bonds	\$ 285,225
Standby letters of credit	52,016
Debt service guarantees	44,625
Contribution requirements	5,600
Lease payment guarantee	2,087
	<hr/>
Sub-total	389,553
<b>Guarantee liabilities included in balance sheet:</b>	
Standby letters of credit	54,375
	<hr/>
Total	<b>\$ 443,928</b>
	<hr/>

## Edgar Filing: CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORP - Form 10-K/A

Surety bonds guarantee the construction of infrastructure and public improvements. Surety bonds are commonly required by public agencies from developers in real estate development, and are renewable and expire upon completion of the required improvements. The typical development period of the Company's development projects is approximately one to three years. An example of an event that would require the Company to perform under these surety bonds would be the failure of the Company to construct or complete the required improvements. At December 31, 2002, the Company has not been required to fund any of the surety bonds.

Standby letters of credit consist of two types: performance and financial. Performance standby letters of credit are similar in nature and term as the surety bonds described above. Financial standby letters of credit are a form of credit enhancement commonly required in real estate development when bonds are issued to finance



---

CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

public improvements; these financial standby letters of credit are scheduled to expire between December 2005 and May 2007. As of December 31, 2002, the Company has a total of \$106.4 million in standby letters of credit; \$52 million of the total is off-balance sheet (\$40.4 million is financial letters of credit and \$11.6 million is performance letters of credit), while the remaining \$54.4 million is related to indebtedness that is reflected in the Company's consolidated balance sheet. The \$54.4 million of letters of credit were issued as additional security for liabilities already recorded on the balance sheet for separate accounting reasons (primarily assessment bond obligations of assessment districts whose operating boards the Company controls). This is different from the \$40.4 million in letters of credit that are related to non-balance sheet items. When the assessment districts are consolidated, the balance sheet is fully consolidated, so there are several corresponding debits, the most significant of which is the associated improvements. An example of an event that would require the Company to perform under the performance standby letters of credit would be the failure of the Company to construct or complete the required improvements. An examples of an event that would require the Company to perform under the financial standby letters of credit would be a debt service shortfall in the municipal districts that issued the municipal bonds. At December 31, 2002, the Company has not been required to satisfy any of these standby letters of credit.

The Company has made debt service guarantees for certain of its unconsolidated joint ventures. At December 31, 2002, based on the joint ventures' outstanding balance, these debt guarantees totaled \$44.6 million; these debt service guarantees are scheduled to expire between March 2003 and September 2005. These debt service guarantees are typical business arrangements commonly required of developers in real estate development. Examples of events that would require the Company to provide a cash payment pursuant to a guarantee include a loan default, which would result from failure of the primary borrower to service its debt when due, or non-compliance of the primary borrower with financial covenants and inadequacy of asset collateral. At December 31, 2002, the Company has not been required to satisfy any amounts under these debt service guarantees.

The Company is required to make additional capital contributions to two of its unconsolidated joint ventures should additional capital contributions be necessary to fund development costs or operating shortfall. At December 31, 2002, the Company had approximately \$5.6 million remaining from the contingent obligation to fund development costs for one of its joint ventures and does not expect to fund any additional capital contributions beyond this amount. The second joint venture requires capital contributions to fund operating shortfall upon written notice from the joint venture's management committee. As of December 31, 2002, no such notice has been received.

The Company has guaranteed \$2.1 million of lease payments through September 2003 of a third party in connection with a development project. As of December 31, 2002, the Company has not been required to satisfy any amounts under this guarantee.

Generally, any funding of off-balance sheet guarantees would result in the increase of Catellus' ownership interest in a project or entity similar to the treatment of a unilateral additional capital contribution to an investee.

The Company also has recorded in its consolidated balance sheet \$0.7 million estimated residual home warranty-related liability from homebuilding activities prior to the selling of its homebuilding assets in 2000. The estimate is based on past claims and experience. These home warranty-related reserves are charged to cost of sales when established.

As of December 31, 2002, \$163.3 million of Community Facility District bonds was sold to finance public infrastructure improvements at several Company projects. The Company provided a letter of credit totaling \$40 million in support of one of these bonds. The \$40 million is

Edgar Filing: CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORP - Form 10-K/A

included in the standby letters of credit and surety bonds amounts disclosed above. The Company, along with other landowners, is required to satisfy any shortfall in annual debt service obligation for these bonds if incremental tax revenues generated by the projects are insufficient.

F-29

---

CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The Company is a party to a number of legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business. The Company cannot predict with certainty the final outcome of these proceedings. Considering current insurance coverages and the substantial legal defenses available, however, management believes that none of these actions, when finally resolved, will have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows of the Company. Where appropriate, the Company has established reserves for potential liabilities related to legal actions or threatened legal actions. These reserves are necessarily based on estimates and probabilities of the occurrence of events and therefore are subject to revision from time to time.

Some of the legal actions to which the Company is party seek to restrain actions related to the development process or challenge title to or possession of the Company's properties. Typically, such actions, if successful, would not result in significant financial liability for the Company but might instead prevent the completion of the development process originally planned, and therefore, impairment may occur in certain development assets.

Inherent in the operations of the real estate business is the possibility that environmental liability may arise from the current or past ownership, or current or past operation, of real properties. The Company may be required in the future to take action to correct or reduce the environmental effects of prior disposal or release of hazardous substances by third parties, the Company, or its corporate predecessors. Future environmental costs are difficult to estimate because of such factors as the unknown magnitude of possible contamination, the unknown timing and extent of the corrective actions that may be required, the determination of the Company's potential liability in proportion to that of other potentially responsible parties, and the extent to which such costs are recoverable from insurance. Also, the Company does not generally have access to properties sold in the past.

At December 31, 2002, management estimates that future costs for remediation of environmental contamination on operating properties and properties previously sold approximate \$9.3 million, and has provided a reserve for that amount. It is anticipated that such costs will be incurred over the next several years. Management also estimates approximately \$12.5 million of similar costs relating to the Company's properties to be developed or sold. The Company may incur additional costs related to management of excess contaminated soil from our projects; however, the necessity of this activity depends on the type of future development activities, and, therefore, the related costs are not currently determinable. These costs will be capitalized as components of development costs when incurred, which is anticipated to be over a period of approximately twenty years, or will be deferred and charged to cost of sales when the properties are sold. Environmental costs capitalized during 2002 totaled \$5.3 million. The Company's estimates were developed based on reviews that took place over several years based upon then-prevailing law and identified site conditions. Because of the breadth of its portfolio, and past sales, the Company is unable to review each property extensively on a regular basis. Such estimates are not precise and are always subject to the availability of further information about the prevailing conditions at the site, the future requirements of regulatory agencies, and the availability and ability of other parties to pay some or all of such costs.

**Note 16. Related Party Transactions**

The entities below are considered related parties because the listed transactions are with entities in which the Company has an ownership interest. There are no affiliated persons involved with these entities.

## Edgar Filing: CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORP - Form 10-K/A

The Company provides development and management services and loan guarantees to various unconsolidated joint venture investments. Fees earned were \$4.2 million, \$1.2 million, and \$0.6 million in 2002, 2001, and 2000, respectively, primarily from Third and King Investors, LLC, Traer Creek LLC, Talega Village, LLC, and Serrano Associates, LLC. Deferred fees of \$1.8 million at December 31, 2002, will be earned as completed projects are sold or the venture is sold or liquidated.

In 2001, the Company entered into a 99-year ground lease with one of its unconsolidated joint venture investments, Third and King Investors, LLC. Rent payments of \$3.7 million and \$1.8 million were received and recognized as rental income during the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001, respectively. Rent payments of \$1.0 million of previously received rent was deferred at December 31, 2002, and will be recognized, together with annual rents, over the life of the lease.

F-30

## CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The Company has a \$4.7 million collateralized 9.0% note receivable from an unconsolidated joint venture, East Baybridge Partners, LP, for project costs plus accrued interest. The note is collateralized by property owned by the venture, and matures in October 2028. The Company has entered into various lease agreements with this unconsolidated joint venture. As lessee, rent expense was \$0.1 million in each of the years 2002, 2001, and 2000; this lease will expire in November 2011. As lessor, the Company entered into a ground lease, which will expire in August 2054. The Company earned rental income of \$0.2 million in each of the last three years and has recorded a \$1.8 million receivable associated with this lease.

**Note 17. Discontinued Operations**

Effective January 1, 2002, the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long Lived Assets*, which addresses financial accounting and reporting for the impairment and disposal of long-lived assets. In general, sales of rental property, (a) not sold subject to an initial tenant purchase option or, (b) explicitly built with the intention of selling, but not sold within two years of completion, are referred to as *Investment Properties* and classified as discontinued operations. Therefore, as required, gain or loss attributed to the operations and sale of *Investment Properties* sold or held for sale is presented in the statement of operations as discontinued operations, net of applicable income tax. Prior period statements of operations have been reclassified to reflect as discontinued operations the gain or loss related to *Investment Properties* that were sold or held for sale and presented as discontinued operations during the year ended December 31, 2002. Additionally, all periods presented will likely require further reclassification in future periods as additional, similar sales of *Investment Properties* occur.

During the year ended December 31, 2002, the Company sold *Investment Properties* for \$30.2 million, with a gain from the disposal of discontinued operations of \$13.8 million, net of income taxes of \$8.5 million. Rents from these properties, and properties under contract to be sold were \$0.9 million in 2002, \$2.8 million in 2001, and \$3.1 million in 2000. Loss from discontinued operations from these properties was \$0.2 million net of income tax benefit of \$0.2 million and \$0.1 million, net of income tax benefit of \$0.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001, respectively, and a gain of \$0.4 million, net of income tax expense of \$0.3 million, for the year ended December 31, 2000.

Asset and liability balances of *Investment Properties* under contract to be sold at December 31, 2002, consist of the following:

	December 31, 2002
	(In thousands)
<b>Assets</b>	
Properties	\$ 3,216
Accumulated depreciation	(744)
<b>Net</b>	<b>2,472</b>
Other assets	288
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>2,760</b>

<b>Liabilities</b>	
Mortgage and other debt	3,147
Payables	62
Other liabilities	24
<b>Total liabilities</b>	<b>3,233</b>
<b>Net liabilities</b>	<b>\$ 473</b>

F-31

CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

**Note 18. Subsequent Events**

On March 3, 2003, the Company announced that its Board of Directors has authorized it to restructure its business operations in order to qualify as a real estate investment trust ( REIT ), effective January 1, 2004. The REIT conversion is subject to a shareholder approval process, which is expected to conclude in the third quarter of 2003, as well as Board approval. This announcement has no material effect on the financial statements as of, or for, the year ended December 31, 2002; however, it will likely have an impact on future operating results in the following areas, if approved by the shareholder vote:

- a one-time distribution of pre-REIT earnings and profits, projected to be approximately \$100 million in cash and \$200 million in common stock, will be declared in the fourth quarter and be paid in the first quarter of 2004, this distribution is subject to approval by the Internal Revenue Service
- commencing in the third quarter of 2003, a quarterly dividend of approximately \$0.30 per existing share of common stock will be paid
- conversion and related restructure costs are currently estimated to be \$15 million
- certain deferred tax liabilities associated with assets in the REIT would be reversed through income and result in a one-time increase in income currently estimated in the \$200 to \$250 million range

The Company will soon file a preliminary proxy statement/prospectus with the Securities and Exchange Commission that will provide important information, including detailed risk factors, regarding the proposed transaction.

In January 2003, the Company acquired the 10% minority interest owned by other investors in a subsidiary for cash of \$60.7 million. The acquisition was accounted for based on the purchase method of accounting.

## CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

## Summarized Quarterly Results (Unaudited)

The Company's income and cash flow are determined to a large extent by property sales. Sales and net income have fluctuated significantly from quarter to quarter, as evidenced by the following summary of unaudited quarterly consolidated results of operations. Property sales fluctuate from quarter to quarter, reflecting general market conditions and the Company's intent to sell property when it can obtain attractive prices. Cost of sales may also vary widely because (i) properties have been owned for varying periods of time; (ii) properties are owned in various geographical locations; and (iii) development projects have varying infrastructure costs and build-out periods.

## Year Ended December 31,

	2002				2001			
	First	Second	Third	Fourth	First	Second	Third	Fourth
(In thousands, except per share data)								
<b>Revenues</b>								
Rental revenue	\$ 62,967	\$ 64,895	\$ 66,115	\$ 72,974	\$ 55,007	\$ 56,345	\$ 58,515	\$ 62,239
Sales revenue	54,694	43,998	10,299	30,613	57,896	67,966	64,324	55,618
Management, development and other fees	1,132	1,764	2,755	1,437	1,180	1,374	1,266	2,180
<b>Costs and expenses</b>								
Property operating costs	(15,701)	(17,215)	(18,102)	(20,541)	(13,804)	(13,854)	(17,174)	(16,872)
Cost of sales	(39,085)	(28,167)	(2,471)	(19,938)	(35,051)	(41,824)	(36,609)	(36,214)
Selling, general and administrative expenses	(7,850)	(6,130)	(5,824)	(6,186)	(8,648)	(6,932)	(6,373)	(4,617)
Corporate administrative costs	(4,102)	(4,362)	(4,284)	(4,957)	(5,545)	(5,062)	(4,685)	(3,964)
Depreciation and amortization	(13,438)	(14,957)	(17,570)	(17,184)	(12,792)	(12,801)	(12,751)	(13,547)
<b>Other income (expenses)</b>								
Equity in earnings of development joint ventures, net	7,447	8,177	4,201	9,407	7,795	332	13,489	4,362
Gain (loss) on non-strategic asset sales	(238)	7,059	421	22	1,747	1,389	765	8
Interest expense	(12,571)	(13,928)	(16,388)	(17,301)	(14,273)	(14,652)	(13,569)	(14,259)
<b>Income from continuing operations</b>	<b>27,061</b>	<b>26,174</b>	<b>13,415</b>	<b>20,500</b>	<b>26,252</b>	<b>22,158</b>	<b>28,686</b>	<b>19,525</b>
<b>Net income</b>	<b>\$ 31,484</b>	<b>\$ 33,639</b>	<b>\$ 14,655</b>	<b>\$ 20,878</b>	<b>\$ 26,208</b>	<b>\$ 22,171</b>	<b>\$ 28,646</b>	<b>\$ 19,496</b>
Income per share from continuing operations								
basic	\$ 0.31	\$ 0.30	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.24	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.22	\$ 0.29	\$ 0.20
Income per share from continuing operations assuming dilution								
	\$ 0.30	\$ 0.29	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.23	\$ 0.24	\$ 0.21	\$ 0.28	\$ 0.20
Net income per common share								
basic	\$ 0.36	\$ 0.39	\$ 0.17	\$ 0.24	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.22	\$ 0.29	\$ 0.20
Net income per common share assuming dilution								
	\$ 0.35	\$ 0.37	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.23	\$ 0.24	\$ 0.21	\$ 0.28	\$ 0.20





**REPORT OF INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS ON  
FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES**

To the Board of Directors  
of Catellus Development Corporation

Our audits of the consolidated financial statements referred to in our report dated January 29, 2003, appearing on page F-2 of this Form 10-K of Catellus Development Corporation, also included an audit of the financial statement schedules listed in Item 14(a)(2) of this Form 10-K. In our opinion, these Financial Statement Schedules present fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein when read in conjunction with the related consolidated financial statements.

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LLP

San Francisco, California

January 29, 2003, except as to Note 18, for which the date is March 3, 2003

S-1

## CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

## SCHEDULE II VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS

Three Years Ended December 31, 2002

(In thousands)

	Balance at Beginning  of Year	Additions		Deductions	Balance at End of Year
		Charged to Costs and Expenses	Charged to Other Accounts		
Year ended December 31, 2000					
Allowance for doubtful accounts receivable	\$ 1,254	\$ 853	\$	\$ (404) <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 1,703
Allowance for doubtful notes receivable	1,860	2,000		(40) <sup>(1)</sup>	3,820
Reserve for environmental and legal costs	10,502			(125) <sup>(2)</sup>	10,377
Year ended December 31, 2001					
Allowance for doubtful accounts receivable	1,703	444		(716) <sup>(1)</sup>	1,431
Allowance for doubtful notes receivable	3,820			(2,000) <sup>(3)</sup>	1,820
Reserve for environmental and legal costs	10,377	1,102		(263) <sup>(2)</sup>	11,216
Year ended December 31, 2002					
Allowance for doubtful accounts receivable	1,431	338		(185) <sup>(1)</sup>	1,584
Allowance for doubtful notes receivable	1,820				1,820
Reserve for environmental and legal costs	11,216	(416) <sup>(4)</sup>		(441) <sup>(2)</sup>	10,359

Notes:

- (1) Balances written off as uncollectible.  
(2) Environmental and legal costs incurred.  
(3) Recovery of note receivable previously written off.  
(4) Reduction in estimate.

## CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

## SCHEDULE III REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION

December 31, 2002

(Dollars in thousands)

Description	Cost Capitalized									Date of Completion	Date Acquired	Life on Which Depreciation in Latest Income Statement is Computed
	Initial Cost to Catellus	Subsequent to Acquisition			Gross Amount at Which Carried at Close of Period <sup>(1)(2)(3)</sup>			Accumulated Depreciation				
	Encumbrances	Buildings & Land Improvements	Land Improvements	Improvements	Costs	Land Improvements	Buildings & Land Improvements	Total	Depreciation	Construction	Acquired	Computed
Rental properties	\$ 1,319,447	\$ 197,739	\$ 141,828	\$ 1,289,079	\$ 156,351	\$ 197,739	\$ 1,587,258	\$ 1,784,997	\$ 366,772	N/A	Various	(4)
Developable properties												
Mission Bay, San Francisco, CA	9,795	66,829	3,952	105,480	57,871	66,829	167,303	234,132	5,159	N/A	Various	(4)
Other properties less than 5% of total	154,296	102,956	798	140,196	93,041	102,956	234,035	336,991	5,540	N/A	Various	(4)
<b>Total developable properties</b>	<b>164,091</b>	<b>169,785</b>	<b>4,750</b>	<b>245,676</b>	<b>150,912</b>	<b>169,785</b>	<b>401,338</b>	<b>571,123</b>	<b>10,699</b>			
Other	547	5,259		1,385	70	5,259	1,455	6,714	725	N/A	Various	(4)
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 1,484,085</b>	<b>\$ 372,783</b>	<b>\$ 146,578</b>	<b>\$ 1,536,140</b>	<b>\$ 307,333</b>	<b>\$ 372,783</b>	<b>\$ 1,990,051</b>	<b>\$ 2,362,834</b>	<b>\$ 378,196</b>			

(1) The aggregate cost for Federal income tax purpose is approximately \$1,719,576.

(2) See Attachment A to Schedule III for reconciliation of beginning of period total to total at close of period.

(3) Excludes investments in joint ventures and furniture and equipment.

(4) Reference is made to Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for information related to depreciation.

## CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

## ATTACHMENT A TO SCHEDULE III

## RECONCILIATION OF COST OF REAL ESTATE AT BEGINNING OF PERIOD

## WITH TOTAL AT END OF PERIOD

(In thousands)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2002	2001	2000
Balance at January 1	\$ 2,183,960	\$ 1,969,050	\$ 1,873,254
Additions during period:			
Acquisitions	32,326	83,567	63,637
Improvements	235,739	321,788	368,185
Reclassification from other accounts	13,999	6,075	22,107
Total additions	282,064	411,430	453,929
Deductions during period:			
Cost of real estate sold	100,064	195,541	356,077
Other			
Reclassification to assets held for sale, personal property and other accounts	3,126	979	2,056
Total deductions	103,190	196,520	358,133
Balance at December 31	\$ 2,362,834	\$ 2,183,960	\$ 1,969,050

## RECONCILIATION OF REAL ESTATE ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION

## AT BEGINNING OF PERIOD WITH TOTAL AT END OF PERIOD

(In thousands)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2002	2001	2000
Balance at January 1	\$ 335,741	\$ 303,866	\$ 279,946
Additions during period:			
Charged to expense	52,603	43,522	39,266

Edgar Filing: CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORP - Form 10-K/A

Deductions during period:			
Cost of real estate sold	9,244	11,923	14,685
Other	904	(276)	661
Total deductions	10,148	11,647	15,346
Balance at December 31	\$ 378,196	\$ 335,741	\$ 303,866

S-4

**EXHIBIT INDEX**

**Exhibit  
Number**

- 3.1 Restated Certificate of Incorporation, effective December 4, 1990. (Incorporated by reference to the exhibits to the Registration Statement on Form 10 ( Form 10 ) of Catellus Development Corporation ( Catellus ), filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ( SEC ) on July 18, 1990.)
- 3.2 Amendment to Restated Certificate of Incorporation, effective July 13, 1993. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1B to Catellus Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2000 filed with the SEC on March 21, 2001 (the 2000 Form 10-K ).)
- 3.3 Amended and Restated Bylaws. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the 2000 Form 10-K.)
- 4.1 Rights Agreement, dated as of December 16, 1999, between Catellus and American Stock Transfer and Trust Company. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Catellus Form 8-K filed with the SEC on December 28, 1999.)
- 4.2 Form of Certificate of Designations of Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock, Form of Right Certificate and Summary of Rights to Purchase Preferred Shares. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibits A, B and C, respectively, to Exhibit 4.1 to Catellus Form 8-K filed with the SEC on December 28, 1999.)
- 4.3 Purchase and Sale Agreement, dated December 12, 2001, between Catellus and CalPERS. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to Catellus Form 8-K filed with the SEC on December 13, 2001.)
- 10.1 Loan Agreement by and between Catellus Finance 1, L.L.C. ( Catellus Finance ) and Prudential Mortgage Capital Company, Inc., dated as of October 26, 1998 (the Loan Agreement ). (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to Catellus Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1998.)
- 10.2 First Amendment to Loan Agreement, dated as of January 11, 2001, by and among Catellus Finance, LaSalle Bank National Association, as trustee ( LaSalle ), certain certificate holders and Prudential Insurance Company of America, as servicer ( Prudential ). (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Catellus Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002 filed with the SEC on March 27, 2003 (the 2002 Form 10-K ).)
- 10.3 Second Amendment to Loan Agreement, dated as of February 8, 2001, by and among Catellus Finance, and LaSalle. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the 2002 Form 10-K.)
- 10.4 [Third] Amendment to Loan Agreement, dated as of August 27, 2002, by and among Catellus Finance, LaSalle, certain certificate holders and Prudential. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the 2002 Form 10-K.)
- 10.5 Fourth Amendment to Loan Agreement, dated as of December 23, 2002, by and among Catellus Finance, LaSalle, certain certificate holders and Prudential. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the 2002 Form 10-K.)
- 10.6 Loan Agreement (Pool A), dated as of March 28, 2002, by and between Catellus and Teachers Insurance and Annuity Association of America ( Teachers ). (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the 2002 Form 10-K.)
- 10.7 First Amendment to Loan Agreement (Pool A), dated July 23, 2002, by and between Catellus and Teachers. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to the 2002 Form 10-K.)
- 10.8 Second Amendment to Loan Agreement (Pool A), dated November 15, 2002, by and between Catellus and Teachers. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to the 2002 Form 10-K.)
- 10.9 Loan Agreement (Pool B), dated as of March 28, 2002, by and between Catellus and Teachers. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to the 2002 Form 10-K.)

**Exhibit  
Number**

- 10.10 First Amendment to Loan Agreement (Pool B), dated July 23, 2002, by and between Catellus and Teachers. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to the 2002 Form 10-K.)
- 10.11 Second Amendment to Loan Agreement (Pool B), dated November 15, 2002, by and between Catellus and Teachers. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11 to the 2002 Form 10-K.)
- 10.12 Restated Tax Allocation and Indemnity Agreement, dated December 29, 1989, by and among Catellus and certain of its subsidiaries and Santa Fe Pacific Corporation. (Incorporated by reference to the exhibits to Form 10.)
- EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION PLANS OR ARRANGEMENTS (Exhibits 10.13 10.31)**
- 10.13 The Amended and Restated 1991 Stock Option Plan. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to Catellus Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1997 (the 1997 10-K ).)
- 10.14 Amendment to Amended and Restated 1991 Stock Option Plan, dated as of September 26, 2001. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to Catellus Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2001 (the 2001 third quarter 10-Q ).)
- 10.15 The Amended and Restated 1995 Stock Option Plan. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.13 to the 1997 Form 10-K.)
- 10.16 Amendment to Amended and Restated 1995 Stock Option Plan, dated as of September 26, 2001. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the 2001 third quarter 10-Q.)
- 10.17 The Amended and Restated Executive Stock Option Plan. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to the 1997 Form 10-K.)
- 10.18 Amendment to Amended and Restated Executive Stock Option Plan, dated as of September 26, 2001. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the 2001 third quarter 10-Q.)
- 10.19 The Amended and Restated 1996 Performance Award Plan. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.14 to Catellus Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 1999.)
- 10.20 Amendment to Amended and Restated 1996 Performance Award Plan, dated as of September 26, 2001. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to the 2001 third quarter 10-Q.)
- 10.21 The 2000 Performance Award Plan. (Incorporated by reference to Appendix A to Catellus proxy statement filed with the SEC on Schedule 14A on March 31, 2000.)
- 10.22 Amendment to 2000 Performance Award Plan, dated as of September 26, 2001. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to the 2001 third quarter 10-Q.)
- 10.23 Deferred Compensation Plan. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.21 to the 1997 Form 10-K.)
- 10.24 First Amendment to Deferred Compensation Plan, effective as of January 1, 2002. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8B to Catellus Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2002.)
- 10.25 Second Amendment to Deferred Compensation Plan. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Catellus Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2002.)
- 10.26 Third Amended and Restated Employment Agreement between Catellus and Nelson C. Rising, dated as of December 24, 2001. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to Catellus Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001.)
- 10.27 Memorandum of Understanding regarding Employment between Catellus and Timothy J. Beaudin, dated February 7, 2001. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.14 to the 2001 third quarter 10-Q.)
- 10.28 Memorandum of Understanding regarding Employment between Catellus and C. William Hosler, dated February 7, 2001. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11 to the 2000 Form 10-K.)
- 10.29 Memorandum of Understanding regarding Employment between Catellus and Vanessa L. Washington, dated as of December 12, 2001. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.29 to the 2002 10-K.)



**Exhibit  
Number**

---

10.30	Amendment to Memorandum of Understanding regarding Employment between Catellus and Vanessa L. Washington, dated as of October 4, 2002. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.30 to the 2002 10-K.)
10.31	Letter Agreement regarding Employment between Catellus and Paul A. Lockie, dated January 29, 1996. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.35 to the Form S-4 of Catellus SubCo, Inc. filed with the SEC on May 2, 2003.)
21	Schedule of Subsidiaries and Joint Ventures of Catellus. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 21 to the 2002 10-K.)
23	Consent of Independent Accountants.
24	Power of Attorney. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 24 to the 2002 10-K.)
99.1	Certification by the Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
99.2	Certification by the Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Catellus has omitted instruments with respect to long-term debt where the total amount of the securities authorized thereunder does not exceed 10 percent of the assets of Catellus and its subsidiaries on a consolidated basis. Catellus agrees to furnish a copy of such instruments to the SEC upon request.