SYNIVERSE HOLDINGS INC Form S-1/A December 22, 2004 Table of Contents

As filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 21, 2004.

No. 333-120444

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Amendment No. 1

to

FORM S-1

REGISTRATION STATEMENT

Under

THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933

SYNIVERSE HOLDINGS, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of

4899 (Primary Standard Industrial **30-0041666** (I.R.S. Employer

incorporation or organization)

Classification Code Number)
One Tampa City Center, Suite 700

Identification No.)

Tampa, Florida 33602

Telephone: (813) 273-3000

(Address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code, of registrant s principal executive offices)

Raymond L. Lawless

Chief Financial Officer

One Tampa City Center, Suite 700

Tampa, Florida 33602

Telephone: (813) 273-3000

(Name, address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code, of agent for service)

Copies of all communications, including communications sent to agent for service, should be sent to:

Dennis M. Myers, P.C. Kirk A. Davenport II, Esq.

Douglas D. Timmer, Esq. Andrew S. Williamson, Esq.

Kirkland & Ellis LLP Latham & Watkins LLP

200 E. Randolph Drive 885 Third Avenue, Suite 1000

Chicago, Illinois 60601 New York, New York 10022

Telephone: (312) 861-2000 Telephone: (212) 906-1200

Approximate date of commencement of proposed sale to the public: As soon as practicable after this Registration Statement becomes effective.

If any of the securities being registered on this Form are to be offered on a delayed or continuous basis pursuant to Rule 415 under the Securities Act of 1933, check the following box:

If this Form is filed to register additional securities for an offering pursuant to Rule 462(b) under the Securities Act, please check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering.

If this Form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(c) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering.

If this Form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(d) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering.

If delivery of the prospectus is expected to be made pursuant to Rule 434, please check the following box. "

CALCULATION OF REGISTRATION FEE

	Proposed Maximum	
	Aggregate Offering Amo	
Title of Each Class of Securities to be Registered	Price (1) (2)	Registration Fee
Common Stock, par value \$0.001 per share	\$ 425,500,000	\$ 53,910.85(3)

- (1) Includes amount attributable to shares of common stock that may be purchased by the underwriters under an option to purchase additional shares at the public offering price less the underwriter s discount.
- (2) Estimated solely for the purpose of computing the amount of the registration fee pursuant to Rule 457(o) under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.
- (3) Previously paid.

The registrant hereby amends this Registration Statement on such date or dates as may be necessary to delay its effective date until the registrant shall file a further amendment which specifically states that this Registration Statement shall thereafter become effective in accordance with Section 8(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 or until this Registration Statement shall become effective on such date as the Commission, acting pursuant to said Section 8(a), may determine.

-				
Iа	ın	Ie.	OΤ	Contents

The information in this prospectus is not complete and may be changed. We and the selling stockholders may not sell these securities until the registration statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission is effective. This prospectus is not an offer to sell these securities and it is not soliciting an offer to buy these securities in any state where the offer or sale is not permitted.

PROSPECTUS

Public Offering Price

Subject to Completion, Dated December 21, 2004

Shares

Common Stock

We are selling shares of our common stock.

This is the initial public offering of our common stock. We currently expect the initial public offering price to be between \$ and \$ per share. We have applied to list our common stock on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol SVR.

Investing in our common stock involves risks. See Risk Factors beginning on page 9 of this prospectus.

Neither the Securities and Exchange Commission nor any state securities commission has approved or disapproved of the shares or determined if this prospectus is truthful or complete. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

Per Share Total

Table of Contents 3

\$

Underwriting Discounts and Commission	s	\$	\$
Proceeds to Syniverse (before expenses)		\$	\$
To the extent that the underwriters sell madditional shares from us and the stockholders are selling an aggregate of	ore than shares of common stock, the underwaselling stockholders at the initial public offering price shares of common stock.		
The underwriters expect to deliver the sha	ares to purchasers on or about , 2005.		
Lehman Brothers	Goldman, Sachs & Co.	Bear, S	Stearns & Co. Inc
	DEUTSCHE BANK SECURITIES		
Robert W. Baird & Co.	FRIEDMAN BILLINGS RAMSEY	7	RAYMOND JAMES
	, 2005		

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Prospectus Summary	
Risk Factors	g
Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements	20
Use of Proceeds	21
Dividend Policy	22
<u>Dilution</u>	23
<u>Capitalization</u>	24
Selected Historical Financial Data	25
Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	29
Our History	57
Business	59
Management	73
Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions	85
Principal and Selling Stockholders	90
Description of Capital Stock	92
Shares Eligible for Future Sale	94
United States Federal Income and Estate Tax Consequences to Non-U.S. Holders	96
<u>Underwriting</u>	98
Legal Matters	102
<u>Experts</u>	102
Where You Can Find Other Information	102
Index to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-1

You should rely only on the information contained in this prospectus. We and the selling stockholders have not authorized anyone to provide you with different information. We and the selling stockholders are not making an offer of these securities in any state where such an offer is not permitted. You should not assume that the information contained in this prospectus is accurate as of any date other than the date on the front of this prospectus.

Market, Ranking and Other Data

The data included in this prospectus regarding markets and ranking, including the size of certain service markets and our position and the position of our competitors and customers within these markets, is based on independent industry publications, reports from government agencies or other published industry sources and our estimates are based on our management s knowledge and experience in the markets in which we operate. When we rank our customers by size, we base those rankings on the number of subscribers our customers serve. When we describe our market position, we base those descriptions on the number of subscribers serviced by our customers. Our estimates have been based on information obtained from our customers, suppliers, trade and business organizations and other contacts in the markets in which we operate. We believe these estimates to be accurate as of the date of this prospectus. However, this information may prove to be inaccurate because of the methods by which we obtained some of the data for our estimates, because this information cannot always be verified with complete certainty due to limits on the availability and reliability of raw data, the voluntary nature of the data gathering process and other limitations and uncertainties inherent in a survey of market size. In addition, the provided market data is not a guaranty of future market characteristics because consumption patterns and consumer preferences can and do change.

PROSPECTUS SUMMARY

The following summary highlights certain information contained elsewhere in this prospectus. For a more complete understanding of the information that you may consider important in making your investment decision, you should read this entire prospectus, including our consolidated financial statements and related notes included in this prospectus and the information set forth under the heading Risk Factors.

BUSINESS

Overview

We are a leading provider of mission-critical technology services to wireless telecommunications companies worldwide. We serve over 300 telecommunications carriers worldwide. Many of these carriers depend on our integrated suite of transaction-based services to solve the complexities associated with offering seamless wireless services, connecting disparate carrier networks and facilitating the rapid deployment of next-generation wireless services. Our services enable wireless carriers to provide their customers with enhanced wireless services including national and international wireless roaming, caller ID, Short Message Service (SMS) messaging, wireless number portability and wireless data content.

The global wireless industry relies on an extensive and complex set of communication standards, technical protocols, network interfaces and systems that must successfully interoperate in order to provide global voice and data services. The proliferation of these standards has resulted in technological incompatibilities, both within and between carriers. These incompatabilities have become increasingly difficult to manage as new wireless technologies and services are introduced and deployed.

Our position as a trusted and neutral intermediary between carriers allows us to solve these technical and operational challenges for the wireless industry. By providing our carrier customers a single point of system and network connectivity, we are able to translate otherwise incompatible communication standards and protocols, route telephone calls and SMS messages to support national and international roaming and provide access to intelligent network services such as wireless number portability and caller ID. Our services platform also enables carriers to rapidly and cost-effectively deploy next-generation services such as wireless data content, wireless fidelity (Wi-Fi) and Voice-over-Internet Protocol (VoIP).

We provide these services to telecommunications carriers in approximately 40 countries, including the ten largest U.S. wireless carriers and six of the ten largest international wireless carriers. Our domestic customers include Cingular Wireless, Sprint PCS, T-Mobile and Verizon Wireless. We serve approximately 80 international carriers including China Unicom, KDDI and SK Telecom.

We generate the majority of our revenue on a per-transaction basis, often generating multiple transactions from a single subscriber call or data session. The remainder of our revenues are generated from recurring, non-transaction fees for network connections and software maintenance. Demand for our services is driven primarily by the volume of wireless voice calls and data sessions, the frequency of subscriber roaming activity, the number of SMS messages exchanged and subscriber adoption of new wireless data services. Our total revenues for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 were approximately \$244.1 million as compared to approximately \$201.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2003, an increase of approximately 21.3%. Our net income was \$10.8 million and \$1.2 million and our net loss applicable to common stockholders was \$12.6 million and \$21.6 million for the same periods, respectively.

1

Suite of Services

We have built our reputation over the past 17 years by designing comprehensive solutions that solve wireless industry technology complexities. Our integrated suite of services includes:

Technology Interoperability Services. We operate the largest wireless clearinghouse in North America that enables the accurate invoicing and settlement of domestic and international wireless roaming telephone calls, wireless data events and Wi-Fi sessions. We also provide SMS routing and translation services between carriers.

Network Services. Through our Signaling System 7 (SS7) network, we connect disparate wireless carrier networks and enable access to intelligent network database services like caller ID and provide translation and routing services to support the delivery and establishment of telephone calls. SS7 is the telecommunications industry s standard network signaling protocol used by substantially all carriers to enable critical telecommunications functions such as line busy signals, toll-free calling services and caller ID.

Number Portability Services. Our leading wireless local number portability (WLNP) services are used by many wireless carriers, including the five largest domestic carriers, to enable wireless subscribers to switch service providers while keeping the same telephone number. Historically, wireless subscribers had to surrender their telephone number when canceling wireless services from one provider and moving to another. With the introduction of WLNP, wireless subscribers are now able to transfer their telephone number between carriers.

Call Processing Services. We provide wireless carriers global call handling and fraud management solutions that allow wireless subscribers from one carrier to make and accept telephone calls while roaming on another carrier s network.

Enterprise Solutions. Our enterprise wireless data management platform allows carriers to offer large corporate customers reporting and analysis tools to manage telecom-related expenses.

Competitive Strengths

We believe that the following strengths differentiate us in the marketplace:

Comprehensive suite of services makes us a leading provider of mission-critical services to wireless carriers. We believe that the mission-critical nature of our services, our established carrier relationships and our performance track record make us the technology services provider of choice for many of our customers.

Transaction-based business model with recurring revenues and strong operating cash flows. Our historical success in customer retention, our growth in transaction volumes and our ability to leverage our existing technology platforms to serve additional customers enable us to generate a high level of recurring revenues and strong operating cash flows to support strategic activities.

Proven track record of technology innovation enables us to capitalize on ongoing needs of our customers. We believe that we are and will continue to be a leading developer of mission-critical technology services to wireless carriers. We expect to continue to capitalize on carrier deployment of next-generation technologies such as Wi-Fi, wireless data and VoIP.

Role as an independent, trusted intermediary provides enhanced market access. Unlike some of our competitors, we do not compete for our customers—subscribers. We believe that this market position

2

provides us a unique ability to collaborate with our customers on new product development and enables us to most effectively anticipate, identify and address the evolving requirements of the global wireless industry.

Extensive and collaborative customer relationships. We provide our services to over 300 telecommunications carriers in approximately 40 countries. Through our relationships with such carriers, we have developed a highly active and respected customer users—group that has helped facilitate the continued development, enhancement and evolution of our services.

Experienced management team with strong customer relationships. Our senior management team has strong customer relationships developed over an average of 19 years of telecommunications industry experience.

Growth Strategy

In order to strengthen our market leadership position, enhance growth and maximize profitability, we intend to:

Expand our global customer base. We are aggressively pursuing global expansion opportunities where we believe there are significant markets for our services. We recently established sales and support offices in Europe, Latin America and Asia Pacific. This expansion has helped us sign contracts with leading carriers in France, China, Brazil, Italy, Saudi Arabia and India.

Further penetrate our existing customer base. We intend to continue to cross-sell services to our existing customers to further diversify our revenue stream and increase per-customer revenues. For example, we have signed contracts and implemented our WLNP solutions for over 80 of our existing U.S. customers, including all of the five largest wireless carriers.

Enhance our existing services suite through the development of innovative new services. We believe that we are well positioned to develop innovative services that respond to and solve industry complexities associated with new market participants and new technologies. Our development of a Wi-Fi clearinghouse service and our signing of contracts with two major U.S. carrier providers of Wi-Fi services are recent examples of our capabilities.

Pursue strategic acquisitions. We will continue to seek opportunities to acquire businesses that expand our range of services, provide opportunities to increase our customer base and enter new markets. In September 2004, we acquired the North American wireless clearinghouse business of Electronic Data Systems (EDS).

Summary Risks

We face many risks, including those related to:

Infrastructure Failure. Damage to or failure of the infrastructure that we rely on, including that of our customers and vendors, could result in the loss of customers, harm our operating performance and expose us to potential customer liability.

Concentration of Revenue Sources. Of our revenues for the nine months ended September 30, 2004, approximately 20% came from Verizon and 60% came from our top ten customers. Any negative development in our relationships with such significant customers

could harm our business.

Limited Guaranteed Revenue. If our customers decide not to continue to purchase services from us at current levels and prices, it could harm our business and operating results.

Industry Consolidation. Future consolidation among our customers may cause us to lose transaction volume and reduce our prices and could harm our financial performance. In the past, consolidation among our customers has caused us to lose transaction volume and has impacted pricing.

3

Table of Contents

Technological Change. Significant technological changes could make our technology and services obsolete. If we do not rapidly adapt to technological change in the telecommunications industry, we could lose customers or market share.

Intense Competition. The market for our services is intensely competitive. Existing and future competition could adversely affect our revenues, pricing and operating margins.

International Execution. Our expansion into international markets is subject to uncertainties and risks that could harm our operating results.

For a more complete discussion of these risks and other risks related to our business and this offering, please see the section Risk Factors.

Company History

Our business was founded in 1987 as GTE Telecommunication Services Inc., a unit of GTE. In early 2000, GTE combined our business with its Intelligent Network Services business to further broaden our network services offering. In June 2000, when GTE and Bell Atlantic merged to form Verizon Communications Inc., we became an indirect, wholly owned subsidiary of Verizon Communications. In February 2002, we were acquired by certain members of our senior management team and an investor group led by GTCR. Effective March 1, 2004, we changed our corporate name from TSI to Syniverse.

Our Company

Syniverse Technologies, Inc., our principal operating subsidiary and a wholly owned subsidiary of Syniverse Holdings, Inc., is the borrower under our existing senior credit facility and the issuer of the 12 ³/4% senior subordinated notes. Syniverse Holdings, Inc. is in turn a wholly owned subsidiary of Syniverse Holdings, LLC, which is the ultimate parent of the consolidated group of Syniverse entities. In connection with this offering, Syniverse Holdings, LLC will distribute all of the shares of Class A cumulative redeemable convertible preferred stock and common stock of Syniverse Holdings, Inc. that it owns to its members and will dissolve. Following this dissolution and distribution, Syniverse Holdings, Inc. will become the ultimate parent of the consolidated group of Syniverse entities. See Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions Dissolution Agreement.

Our principal executive offices are located at One Tampa City Center, Suite 700, Tampa, Florida 33602, and our telephone number is (813) 273-3000. Our website is www.syniverse.com. Our website and the information included therein are not part of this prospectus.

4

Summary of the Offering

Common stock offered by us shares, which represents approximately % of our common stock outstanding after

this offering.

Option We and the selling stockholders have granted the underwriters a 30-day option to purchase up

to an aggregate of additional shares of common stock. The selling stockholders include

members of our senior management team.

Common stock outstanding after this offering shares

Use of proceeds We intend to use net proceeds from this offering, together with borrowings under our new

senior credit facility, to redeem a portion of our class A cumulative redeemable convertible preferred stock, most of which is indirectly held by funds controlled by GTCR and some of which is indirectly held by current and former employees of Lehman Brothers Inc., to repay all borrowings outstanding under our existing senior credit facility and to tender for a portion of our 12 ³/4% senior subordinated notes. If the underwriters exercise their option, we will use any additional net proceeds we receive to redeem additional shares of our class A cumulative redeemable convertible preferred stock. We will not receive any proceeds from the sale of

shares, if any, by the selling stockholders. See Use of Proceeds.

New York Stock Exchange symbol SVR

We base the number of shares that will be outstanding after this offering on shares outstanding as of , 2004 and exclude:

shares of our common stock issuable upon exercise of options outstanding as of September 30, 2004 at a weighted average exercise price of \$ per share; and

shares of our common stock reserved for issuance pursuant to future grants under our stock option plans.

Except as otherwise indicated, all of the information presented in this prospectus assumes the following:

an initial public offering price of per share, the mid-point of the range set forth on the cover page of this prospectus;

the effectiveness of our new senior credit facility to be entered into in connection with this offering;

the contribution by Syniverse Holdings, LLC of all of the shares of non-voting common stock of Syniverse Networks, Inc. to Syniverse Holdings, Inc. and then the contribution by Syniverse Holdings, Inc. of those shares to Syniverse Technologies, Inc;

the effectiveness of our restated certificate of incorporation and restated by-laws, which will become effective prior to the completion of this offering;

the effectiveness of a 1-for-reverse stock split of our common stock, which will occur prior to the completion of this offering;

the redemption of shares of our outstanding class A cumulative redeemable convertible preferred stock in connection with this offering;

the conversion of each outstanding share of our class A cumulative redeemable convertible preferred stock that we do not redeem in connection with this offering into shares of our common stock at the initial public offering price within 40 days after completion of this offering; and

no exercise of the underwriters option.

5

Summary Historical Condensed Consolidated Financial Data

The following table sets forth our summary historical and unaudited condensed consolidated financial data for the periods ended and at the dates indicated since our acquisition from Verizon. We have derived the summary historical consolidated financial data as of September 30, 2004 and for the period from February 14, 2002 to December 31, 2002, the year ended December 31, 2003 and the nine months ended September 30, 2004 from our audited financial statements and the related notes included elsewhere in this prospectus. We have derived the historical consolidated financial data for the nine months ended September 30, 2003 from our unaudited consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus.

	Period from		Nine Mo	Nine Months Ended			
	February 14 to December	Year Ended	September 30,	Sep	tember 30,		
	31, 2002	December 31, 2003	2003		2004		
		(in thousands ex	xcept per share data)				
Statement of Operations Data:							
Revenues (excluding Off-Network Database Query Fees)	\$ 234,927	\$ 241,879	\$ 176,698	\$	223,485		
Off-Network Database Query Fees	61,117	29,529	24,538		20,606		
Total Revenues	296,044	271,408	201,236		244,091		
	221 127	261.017	152 200		107.504		
Costs and expenses	231,137	261,917	153,300		187,524		
Operating income	64,907	9,491	47,936		56,567		
Interest expense (1)	(54,105)	(58,128)	(44,525)		(40,165)		
Net income (loss)	631	(57,926)	1,222		10,809		
Preferred dividends	(33,340)	(30,230)	(22,814)		(23,379)		
Net loss attributable to common stockholders	(32,709)	(88,156)	(21,592)		(12,570)		
Net loss per share:							
Basic and diluted	\$ (0.33)	\$ (0.88)	\$ (0.22)	\$	(0.13)		
Weighted average common shares outstanding:							
Basic and diluted	100,000	100,000	100,000		100,000		
Pro forma net income (loss) per share: (2)							
Basic	\$	\$	\$	\$			
Diluted							
Other Financial Information:							
Depreciation and amortization (1)	\$ 33,285	\$ 37,319	\$ 27,567	\$	30,323		
EBITDA (3)	96,376	46,810	75,502		86,878		
Capital expenditures	12,278	18,280	12,121		17,403		

	At September 30, 2004			04	
		As Adjus		djusted	
		Actual	(unaud	dited) (4)
		(dollars i	in thou	usand	s)
Balance Sheet Data:	Ф	12.026	í	ħ	12.026
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	13,026	3	\$	13,026

Working capital	43,830	44,763
Property and equipment, net	37,077	37,077
Total assets	777,041	771,774
Total debt, net of discount, and redeemable preferred stock	804,642	398,127
Total stockholders (deficit) equity	(103,032)	300,040

Table of Contents

- (1) Depreciation and amortization amounts exclude accretion of debt discount and amortization of deferred finance costs, which are both included in interest expense in the statement of operations data.
- (2) The proforma net income per share data give effect to the sale of million shares of our common stock, borrowings of \$ under our new senior credit facility, conversion of shares of our class A cumulative redeemable convertible preferred stock into shares of our common stock, and the application of the estimated net proceeds therefrom as described under the caption Use of Proceeds as if such transactions and our acquisition of EDS s North American clearinghouse business had been completed on January 1, 2003.

We will incur a substantial charge for the write-off of unamortized deferred financing costs and unamortized debt discount related to repayment of our existing senior credit facility and a portion of the senior subordinated notes and for the premium we pay to tender a portion of the senior subordinated notes in the quarter in which this offering is consummated and that charge is not included in the pro forma financial data presented in this prospectus. The pro forma financial data does not purport to represent what our results of operations actually would have been if this offering had occurred as of the date indicated or what our results will be in any future period. See Pro Forma Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

EBITDA is determined by adding net interest expense, income taxes, depreciation and amortization to net income (loss). We present EBITDA because we believe that EBITDA provides useful information regarding our operating results. We rely on EBITDA as a primary measure to review and assess the operating performance of our company and our management team in connection with our executive compensation and bonus plans. We also use EBITDA to compare our current operating results with corresponding periods and with the operating results of other companies in our industry. We believe that it is useful to investors to provide disclosures of our operating results on the same basis as that used by our management. We also believe that it can assist investors in comparing our performance to that of other companies on a consistent basis without regard to depreciation, amortization, interest or taxes, which do not directly affect our operating performance. In addition, we also utilize EBITDA as a measure of our liquidity and our ability to meet our debt service obligations and satisfy our debt covenants, which are partially based on EBITDA.

EBITDA has limitations as an analytical tool, and you should not consider it in isolation, or as a substitute for net income, cash flows from operating activities and other consolidated income or cash flows statement data prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. Some of these limitations are:

EBITDA does not reflect our cash expenditures or future requirements for capital expenditures or contractual commitments;

EBITDA does not reflect changes in, or cash requirements for, our working capital needs;

EBITDA does not reflect the significant interest expense, or the cash requirements necessary to service interest or principal payments, on our debt:

Although depreciation and amortization are non-cash charges, the assets being depreciated and amortized will often have to be replaced in the future, and EBITDA does not reflect any cash requirements for such replacements;

EBITDA does not reflect income taxes or the cash requirements for any tax payments; and

Other companies in our industry may calculate EBITDA differently than we do, thereby limiting its usefulness as a comparative measure.

Because of these limitations, EBITDA should not be considered a measure of discretionary cash available to us to invest in the growth of our business or as a measure of performance in compliance with GAAP. We compensate for these limitations by relying primarily on our GAAP results and using EBITDA only supplementally. See our consolidated statements of operations and our consolidated statements of cash flows included in our financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus.

The following table reconciles net income (loss) to EBITDA for the periods presented. We have also provided supplemental information regarding items associated with our restructurings, intangible asset impairments and pre-acquisition Brience negative EBITDA.

Period from						
			Nin	e Months		
February 14 to	Yea	r Ended	,	Ended	Nin	e Months
December 31,	Dece	ember 31,	Sept	tember 30,]	Ended
2002	2003 2003		2003 2003		September 2004	
		(dollars i	n thousa	nds)		
\$ 631	\$	(57,926)	\$	1,222	\$	10,809
53,140		57,360		43,979		39,238
33,285		37,319		27,567		30,323
9,320		10,057		2,734		6,508
			_			
\$ 96,376	\$	46,810	\$	75,502	\$	86,878
\$ 2,845	\$	2,164	\$	2,448	\$	289
		53,712				8,982
(12,619)		(1,815)		(1,815)		
	February 14 to December 31, 2002 \$ 631 53,140 33,285 9,320 \$ 96,376 \$ 2,845	February 14 to Yea December 31, December 2002 \$ 631 \$ 53,140 33,285 9,320 \$ 96,376 \$ 2,845	February 14 to Year Ended December 31, December 31, 2002 2003 (dollars i \$ 631 \$ (57,926) 53,140 57,360 33,285 37,319 9,320 10,057 \$ 96,376 \$ 46,810 \$ 2,845 \$ 2,164 53,712	February 14 to Year Ended December 31, December 31, Sept 2002 2003 (dollars in thousa) \$ 631 \$ (57,926) \$ \$ 53,140 57,360 33,285 37,319 9,320 10,057 \$ 96,376 \$ 46,810 \$ \$ \$ 2,845 \$ \$ 2,164 \$ 53,712	Nine Months	Nine Months Nine Months Nine Months

- Restructuring expense is comprised primarily of severance benefits associated with our cost rationalization initiatives, which were implemented in April 2002, August 2002, February 2003, July 2003 and April 2004. The latter two restructurings are related to two acquisitions.
- (ii) Impairment losses on intangible assets in 2003 relate primarily to the trademark value associated with our previous corporate name of \$51.0 million and to certain capitalized software costs of \$2.7 million which will no longer be recoverable due to our phase-outs of certain service offerings. In 2004, these losses relate to capitalized software costs associated with our phase out of other service offerings and reduced valuation of certain call processing services.
- (iii) Pre-acquisition Brience negative EBITDA represents the EBITDA results of Brience, Inc. s operations during the period from February 14, 2002 to July 23, 2003, when its results were pooled with our results due to common control of both entities, but prior to our July 23, 2003 acquisition of Brience.

The following table reconciles cash flows from operations to EBITDA for the periods presented.

Period from			
		Nine Months	
February 14 to			Nine Months
	Year Ended	Ended	
December			Ended
31,	December 31,	September 30,	
			September 30,
2002	2003	2003	2004
	(dollars	in thousands)	

Reconciliation of Cash Flows from Operations to EBITDA:

NT . 1 11 11	φ.co.π.c.	Φ 40.400	Φ 25.005	ф	54054
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 59,756	\$ 48,422	\$ 35,087	\$	54,254
Net interest paid	30,187	46,152	42,919		42,155
Impairment losses on intangible assets		(53,712)			(8,982)
Other working capital changes	15,496	19,522	7,068		6,190
Changes in other non-cash items	(9,456)	(11,489)	(9,397)		(7,200)
Other assets and liabilities	393	(2,085)	(175)		461
EBITDA	\$ 96,376	\$ 46,810	\$ 75,502	\$	86,878

⁽⁴⁾ The as adjusted balance sheet data as of September 30, 2004 gives effect to the sale of million shares of our common stock, borrowings of \$241.1 million under our new senior credit facility, conversion of shares of our class A cumulative redeemable convertible preferred stock into shares of our common stock, and the application of the estimated net proceeds therefrom as described under the caption Use of Proceeds as if such transactions had been completed on September 30, 2004.

8

RISK FACTORS

You should carefully consider the following factors, in addition to the other information contained in this prospectus, before deciding whether to purchase our common stock. If any of the following risks actually occur, our financial condition and results of operations could suffer, the trading price of our common stock could decline and you may lose a part or all of your investment in our common stock.

Risks Relating to our Business

System failures, delays and other problems could harm our reputation and business, cause us to lose customers and expose us to customer liability.

Our success depends on our ability to provide reliable services to our customers. Our operations could be interrupted by any damage to or failure of:

our computer software or hardware or our customers or suppliers computer software or hardware;

our networks, our customers networks or our suppliers networks; and

our connections and outsourced service arrangements with third parties.

Our systems and operations are also vulnerable to damage or interruption from:

power loss, transmission cable cuts and other telecommunications failures;

hurricanes, fires, earthquakes, floods and other natural disasters;

interruption of service due to potential facility migrations;

computer viruses or software defects;

physical or electronic break-ins, sabotage, intentional acts of vandalism and similar events; and

errors by our employees or third-party service providers.

Because many of our services play a mission-critical role for our customers, any damage to or failure of the infrastructure we rely on, including that of our customers and vendors, could disrupt the operation of our network and the provision of our services, result in the loss of current and potential customers and expose us to potential customer liability.

We depend on a small number of customers for a significant portion of our revenues and the loss of any of our major customers would harm us.

Our three largest customers for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 represented approximately 36.7% of our revenues in the aggregate, while our ten largest customers for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 represented approximately 59.8% of our revenues in the aggregate. For the nine months ended September 30, 2004, we generated revenues from services provided to Verizon Communications, Verizon Wireless and their affiliates, which collectively is our largest customer, of approximately \$50.0 million, or 20.5% of our revenues, excluding revenues from Off-Network Database Queries. No other customer accounted for more than 10% of our revenues, excluding revenues from Off-Network Database Queries, for the nine months ended September 30, 2004. We expect to continue to depend upon a small number of customers for a significant percentage of our revenues. Because our major customers represent such a large part of our business, the loss of any of our major customers would negatively impact our business.

Most of our customer contracts do not provide for minimum payments at or near our historical levels of revenues from these customers.

Although some of our customer contracts require our customers to make minimum payments to us, these minimum payments are substantially less than the revenues that we have historically earned from these customers. If our customers decide for any reason not to continue to purchase services from us at current levels

9

or at current prices, to terminate their contracts with us or not to renew their contracts with us, our revenues would decline.

Future consolidation among our customers may cause us to lose transaction volume and reduce our prices, which would negatively impact our financial performance.

In the past, consolidation among our customers has caused us to lose transaction volume and to reduce prices. In the future, our transaction volume and pricing may decline for similar reasons. We may not be able to expand our customer base to make up any revenue declines if we lose customers or if our transaction volumes decline. Our attempts to diversify our customer base and reduce our reliance on particular customers may not be successful.

On September 30, 2004, we acquired the clearinghouse business of IOS North America from EDS. For the nine months ended September 30, 2004, AT&T Wireless was IOS North America's largest clearinghouse customer, representing approximately 60.6% of IOS North America's revenues. On October 26, 2004, Cingular Wireless acquired AT&T Wireless and as a result, we may lose AT&T Wireless as a clearinghouse customer given that we currently do not provide clearinghouse services to Cingular Wireless. This would have a negative impact on our future operating results.

If we do not adapt to rapid technological change in the telecommunications industry, we could lose customers or market share.

Our industry is characterized by rapid technological change, frequent new service introductions and changing customer demands. Significant technological changes could make our technology and services obsolete. Our success depends in part on our ability to adapt to our rapidly changing market by continually improving the features, functionality, reliability and responsiveness of our existing services and by successfully developing, introducing and marketing new features, services and applications to meet changing customer needs. We cannot assure you that we will be able to adapt to these challenges or respond successfully or in a cost-effective way to adequately meet them. Our failure to do so would impair our ability to compete, retain customers or maintain our financial performance. We sell our services primarily to telecommunications companies. Our future revenues and profits will depend, in part, on our ability to sell to new market participants.

The market for our services is intensely competitive and many of our competitors have significant advantages over us.

We compete in markets that are intensely competitive and rapidly changing. Increased competition could result in fewer customer orders, reduced pricing, reduced gross and operating margins and loss of market share, any of which could harm our business. We face competition from large, well-funded providers of similar services, such as VeriSign, EDS, MACH Dan Net and regional Bell operating companies. We believe that certain customers may choose to internally deploy certain functionality currently provided by our services. In recent years, we have experienced a loss of revenue streams from certain of our services as some of our customers have decided to meet their needs for these services in-house. We are aware of major Internet service providers, software developers and smaller entrepreneurial companies that are focusing significant resources on developing and marketing services that will compete with the services we offer. We anticipate increased competition in the telecommunications industry and the entrance of new competitors into our business.

We expect that competition will increase in the near term and that our primary long-term competitors may not yet have entered the market. Many of our current and potential competitors have significantly more employees and greater financial, technical, marketing and other resources than we do. Our competitors may be able to respond more quickly to new or emerging technologies and changes in customer requirements than

we can. In addition, many of our current and potential competitors have greater name recognition and more extensive customer bases that they can use to their advantage.

10

Our continued expansion into international markets is subject to uncertainties that could affect our operating results.

Our growth strategy contemplates continued expansion of our operations into foreign jurisdictions. International operations and business expansion plans are subject to numerous risks, including:

the difficulty of enforcing agreements and collecting receivables through some foreign legal systems;

fluctuations in currency exchange rates;

foreign customers may have longer payment cycles than customers in the U.S.;

compliance with U.S. Department of Commerce export controls;

tax rates in some foreign countries may exceed those of the U.S. and foreign earnings may be subject to withholdings requirements or the imposition of tariffs, exchange controls or other restrictions;

general economic and political conditions in the countries where we operate may have an adverse effect on our operations in those countries or not be favorable to our growth strategy;

unexpected changes in regulatory requirements;

the difficulties associated with managing a large organization spread throughout various countries;

the risk that foreign governments may adopt regulations or take other actions that would have a direct or indirect adverse impact on our business and market opportunities; and

the potential difficulty in enforcing intellectual property rights in certain foreign countries.

As we continue to expand our business globally, our success will depend, in large part, on our ability to anticipate and effectively manage these and other risks associated with our international operations. However, any of these factors could result in higher costs or reduced revenues for our international operations.

Difficulty in integrating the IOS North America business may harm us.

On September 30, 2004, we acquired IOS North America from EDS. We intend to integrate the operations of this business into our existing services. The integration may proceed more slowly or be more difficult than we currently contemplate and, as a result, our financial position and

results of operations may be adversely affected. For example, we could have difficulties migrating IOS s customer base to our wireless clearinghouse platform, which could result in a deterioration of service, an increase in customer transition-related costs and/or a loss of customers. Furthermore, we may encounter unanticipated difficulties with integrating IOS North America s services, systems, operations and personnel. The measures that we have taken to date or plan to take in the future may not adequately resolve those issues. Integration difficulties may harm our future financial position, results of operations and customer relationships. In addition, IOS North America depends on a relatively small number of customers for a significant portion of its revenues.

The costs and difficulties of acquiring and integrating complementary businesses and technologies could impede our future growth, diminish our competitiveness and harm our operations.

As part of our growth strategy, we intend to consider acquiring complementary businesses. Future acquisitions could result in potentially dilutive issuances of equity securities, the incurrence of debt and contingent liabilities and an increase in amortization expense related to identifiable intangible assets acquired, which could harm our business, financial condition and results of operations. Risks we could face with respect to acquisitions include:

greater than expected costs, management time and effort involved in identifying, completing and integrating acquisitions;

potential disruption of our ongoing business and difficulty in maintaining our standards, controls, information systems and procedures;

11

Table of Contents

entering into markets and acquiring technologies in areas in which we have little experience;

acquiring intellectual property which may be subject to various challenges from others;

the inability to successfully integrate the services, products and personnel of any acquisition into our operations;

a need to incur debt, which may reduce our cash available for operations and other uses, or a need to issue equity securities, which may dilute the ownership interests of existing stockholders; and

realizing little, if any, return on our investment.

Our failure to achieve or sustain market acceptance at desired pricing levels could impact our ability to maintain profitability or positive cash flow.

Competition and industry consolidation have resulted in pricing pressure, which could continue in the future. This pricing pressure could cause large reductions in the selling price of our services. For example, the recently announced merger of Nextel and Sprint and other consolidation in the wireless services industry could give our customers increased transaction volume leverage in pricing negotiations. Our competitors or our customers in-house solutions may also provide services at a lower cost, significantly increasing pricing pressures on us. We may not be able to offset the effects of any price reductions.

The inability of our customers to successfully implement our services could harm our business.

Significant technical challenges can arise for our customers when they implement our services. Our customers ability to support the deployment of our services and integrate them successfully within their operations depends, in part, on our customers capabilities and the level of complexity involved. Difficulty in deploying those services could increase our customer service support costs, delay the recognition of revenues until the services are implemented and reduce our operating margins.

Our reliance on third-party providers for communications software, hardware and infrastructure exposes us to a variety of risks we cannot control

Our success depends on software, equipment, network connectivity and infrastructure hosting services supplied by our vendors and customers. We cannot assure you that we will be able to continue to purchase the necessary software, equipment and services from these vendors on acceptable terms or at all. If we are unable to maintain current purchasing terms or ensure service availability with these vendors and customers, we may lose customers and experience an increase in costs in seeking alternative supplier services.

Our business also depends upon the capacity, reliability and security of the infrastructure owned and managed by third parties, including our vendors and customers, that is used by our technology interoperability services, network services, number portability services, call processing services and enterprise solutions. We have no control over the operation, quality or maintenance of a significant portion of that infrastructure and

whether those third parties will upgrade or improve their software, equipment and services to meet our and our customers—evolving requirements. We depend on these companies to maintain the operational integrity of our services. If one or more of these companies is unable or unwilling to supply or expand its levels of service to us in the future, our operations could be severely interrupted. In addition, rapid changes in the telecommunications industry have led to industry consolidation. This consolidation may cause the availability, pricing and quality of the services we use to vary and could lengthen the amount of time it takes to deliver the services that we use.

Capacity limits on our network and application platforms may be difficult to project and we may not be able to expand and upgrade our systems to meet increased use.

As customers usage of our services increases, we will need to expand and upgrade our network and application platforms. We may not be able to accurately project the rate of increase in usage of our services. In addition, we may not be able to expand and upgrade, in a timely manner, our systems, networks and application

12

Table of Contents

platforms to accommodate increased usage of our services. If we do not appropriately expand and upgrade our systems and networks and application platforms, we may lose customers and our operating performance may suffer.

The financial and operating difficulties in the telecommunications sector may negatively affect our customers and our company.

Recently, the telecommunications sector has been facing significant challenges resulting from excess capacity, poor operating results and financing difficulties. The sector s access to debt and equity capital has been seriously limited. As a result, some of our customers have uncertain financial conditions and have filed for protection under the bankruptcy laws. The impact of these events on us could include slower collections on accounts receivable, higher bad debt expense, uncertainties due to possible customer bankruptcies, lower pricing on new customer contracts, lower revenues due to lower usage by the end customer and possible consolidation among our customers, which will put our customers and operating performance at risk. In addition, because we operate in the telecommunications sector, we may also be negatively impacted by limited access to debt and equity capital.

We may need additional capital in the future and it may not be available on acceptable terms.

We may require more capital in the future to:

fund our operations;
enhance and expand the range of services we offer;
maintain and expand our network; and

respond to competitive pressures and potential strategic opportunities, such as investments, acquisitions and international expansion.

We cannot assure you that additional financing will be available on terms favorable to us, or at all. The terms of available financing may place limits on our financial and operating flexibility. In addition, our existing senior credit facility and the indenture governing our 12 ³/4% senior subordinated notes contain, and our new senior credit facility will contain, financial and other restrictive covenants that will limit our ability to incur indebtedness or obtain financing. If adequate funds are not available on acceptable terms, we may be forced to reduce our operations or abandon expansion opportunities. Moreover, even if we are able to continue our operations, our failure to obtain additional financing could reduce our competitiveness as our competitors may provide better-maintained networks or offer an expanded range of services.

Regulations affecting our customers and us and future regulations to which they or we may become subject may harm our business.

Although we do not offer voice-grade or data services that are deemed to be common carrier telecommunication services, certain of the services we offer are subject to regulation by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that could have an indirect effect on our business. In addition, the U.S. telecommunications industry has been subject to continuing deregulation since 1984. We cannot predict when, or upon what

terms and conditions, further regulation or deregulation might occur or the effect regulation or deregulation may have on our business. Several services that we offer may be indirectly affected by regulations imposed upon potential users of those services, which may increase our costs of operations. In addition, future services we may provide could be subject to direct regulation.

We may not be able to receive or retain licenses or authorizations that may be required for us to sell our services internationally.

The sales and marketing of our services internationally are subject to the U.S. Export Control regime. Services of a commercial nature are subject to regulatory control by the Department of Commerce s Bureau of

13

Export Administration and to the detailed Export Administration Regulations. In the future, Congress may require us to obtain export licenses or other export authorizations to export our services abroad, depending upon the nature of services being exported, as well as the country to which the export is to be made. We cannot assure you that any of our applications for export licenses or other authorizations will be granted or approved. Furthermore, the export license/export authorization process is often time-consuming. Violation of export control regulations could subject us to fines and other penalties, such as losing the ability to export for a period of years, which would limit our revenue growth opportunities and significantly hinder our attempts to expand our business internationally.

Failure to protect our intellectual property rights adequately may have a material adverse affect on our results of operations or our ability to compete.

We attempt to protect our intellectual property rights in the United States and in foreign countries through a combination of patent, trademark, copyright and trade secret laws, as well as licensing agreements and agreements preventing the unauthorized disclosure and use of our intellectual property. We cannot assure you that these protections will be adequate to prevent competitors from copying or reverse engineering our services, or independently developing and marketing services that are substantially equivalent to or superior to our own. Moreover, third parties may be able to successfully challenge, oppose, invalidate or circumvent our patents, trademarks, copyrights and trade secret rights. We may fail or be unable to obtain or maintain adequate protections for certain of our intellectual property in the United States or certain foreign countries or our intellectual property rights may not receive the same degree of protection in foreign countries as they would in the United States because of the differences in foreign trademark, patent and other laws concerning proprietary rights. Such failure or inability to obtain or maintain adequate protection of our intellectual property rights for any reason could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Monitoring and protecting our intellectual property rights is difficult and costly. From time to time, we may be required to initiate litigation or other action to enforce our intellectual property rights or to establish their validity. Such action could result in substantial cost and diversion of resource and management attention and we cannot assure you that any such action will be successful.

If third parties claim that we are in violation of their intellectual property rights, it could have a negative impact on our results of operations and ability to compete.

We face the risk of claims that we have infringed third parties intellectual property rights. For example, significant litigation regarding patent rights exists in our industry. Our competitors in both the U.S. and foreign countries, many of which have substantially greater resources than we have and have made substantial investments in competing technologies, may have applied for or obtained, or may in the future apply for and obtain, patents that will prevent, limit or otherwise interfere with our ability to make and sell our products and services. We have not conducted an independent review of patents issued to third parties. The large number of patents, the rapid rate of new patent issuances, the complexities of the technology involved and uncertainty of litigation increase the risk of business assets and management s attention being diverted to patent litigation.

It is possible that third parties will make claims of infringement against us or against our licenses in connection with their use of our technology. Any claims, even those without merit, could:

be expensive and time-consuming to defend;

cause us to cease making, licensing, using or selling equipment, services or products that incorporate the challenged intellectual property;

require us to redesign our equipment, services or products, if feasible;

divert management s attention and resources; and

require us to enter into royalty or licensing agreements in order to obtain the right to use necessary intellectual property.

14

Any royalty or licensing agreements, if required, may not be available to us on acceptable terms or at all. A successful claim of infringement against us or one of our licensees in connection with a third party s use of our technology could result in our being required to pay significant damages, enter into costly license or royalty agreements or stop the sale of certain products, any of which could have a negative impact on our operating profits and harm our future prospects.

If our products infringe on the intellectual property rights of others, we may be required to indemnify our customers for any damages they suffer.

We generally indemnify our customers with respect to infringement by our products of the proprietary rights of third parties. Third parties may assert infringement claims against our customers. These claims may require us to initiate or defend protracted and costly litigation on behalf of our customers, regardless of the merits of these claims. If any of these claims succeed, we may be forced to pay damages on behalf of our customers or may be required to obtain licenses for the products they use. If we cannot obtain all necessary licenses on commercially reasonable terms, our customers may be forced to stop using our products.

We could be adversely affected by environmental and safety requirements.

We are subject to the requirements of foreign, federal, state and local environmental and occupational health and safety laws and regulations, the violation of which could lead to significant fines and penalties. These requirements are complex, constantly changing and have tended to become more stringent over time. It is possible that these requirements may change or liabilities may arise in the future in a manner that could harm our business, financial condition and results of operations. We cannot assure you that we have been or will be at all times in complete compliance with all such requirements or that we will not incur material costs or liabilities in connection with those requirements in the future.

The loss of key personnel could harm our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our continued success will largely depend on the efforts and abilities of our executive officers and other key employees. Our ability to effectively sell existing services, develop and introduce new services and integrate certain acquired businesses will also depend on the efforts and abilities of our officers and key employees. Our operations could be adversely affected if, for any reason, a number of these officers or key employees did not remain with us.

We may have difficulty attracting and retaining employees with the requisite skills to execute our growth plans.

Our success depends, in part, on the continued service of our existing management and technical personnel. If a significant number of those individuals are unable or unwilling to continue in their present positions, we will have difficulty maintaining and enhancing our services. This may harm our operating results and growth prospects. Specifically, our services require detailed knowledge of wireless signaling standards and signaling network protocols. These specific skills are difficult to find in prospective employees.

Our plans for global expansion will require these same skills combined with fluency in multiple languages. It is difficult to compete for employees with such skills in remote markets where we do not have an established presence. Most of said potential employees have the opportunity to work for well-recognized companies with greater market presence.

Our ability to use existing net operating losses to offset future taxable income may be subject to certain limitations.

As of September 30, 2004, we had net operating loss carryforwards, or NOLs, for U.S. federal income tax purposes of approximately \$77 million. We succeeded to approximately \$74 million of those NOLs pursuant to a

15

merger with Brience, Inc., now known as Syniverse Brience, treated as a reorganization under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, which we refer to in this prospectus as the Code. If the Internal Revenue Service were to successfully challenge the reorganization or otherwise to successfully disallow our use of such NOLs, the amount of our NOLs would be substantially reduced. All of our NOLs remain subject to examination and adjustment by the Internal Revenue Service.

We do not believe that any of our NOLs are currently subject to any limitation under Section 382 of the Code. However, the NOLs acquired from Brience are subject to the separate return limitation year rules under the consolidated return regulations and hence such NOLs generally can only be utilized to offset income from the consolidated group of corporations or their successors that generated such losses. Under Section 382 of the Code, a corporation that undergoes an ownership change generally may utilize its pre-change NOLs by the annual amount of its NOLs determined by multiplying the applicable long-term tax exempt rate by the equity value of such corporation. A corporation generally undergoes an ownership change if the percentage of stock of the corporation owned by one or more 5% stockholders has increased by more than 50 percentage points over a three-year period. We do not expect the consummation of this offering to result in an ownership change under Section 382 of the Code, however, we believe the resulting change in ownership for Brience would be approximately %. If we or the corporate successor to Brience undergoes an ownership change, our ability to utilize our NOLs could be limited by Section 382 of the Code. If the limitation were significant, our limited ability to use these NOLs to offset future taxable income could materially increase our future U.S. federal income tax liability.

It is impossible for us to ensure that an ownership change will not occur in the future, as changes in our stock ownership, some of which are outside of our control, could result in an ownership change under Section 382 of the Code.

Our historical financial information may have limited relevance.

The historical financial information we include in this prospectus for periods ending prior to February 14, 2002 may not reflect what our results of operations, financial position and cash flows would have been had we been a separate, stand-alone entity during the periods presented or what our results of operations, financial position and cash flows will be in the future. This is because:

we have made certain adjustments and allocations in our financial statements because Verizon did not account for us as, and we were not operated as, a single stand-alone business for any of the periods presented; and

the information does not reflect many significant changes that have occurred as a result of our separation from Verizon.

In addition, our results include historical financial results for certain periods of Brience, Inc., which we acquired on July 23, 2003. The transaction has been accounted for as a combination of entities under common control, similar to a pooling of interests, from February 14, 2002, the date when funds associated with GTCR had common control of both entities. Prior to the acquisition, Brience had significant losses, which have been pooled into our results and may not be relevant due to the differences between Brience s management team and business strategy and ours.

We will incur increased costs as a result of recently enacted and proposed changes in laws and regulations.

Recently enacted and proposed changes in the laws and regulations affecting public companies, including the provisions of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and rules proposed by the Securities and Exchange Commission and by the New York Stock Exchange, will result in increased costs to us, including those related to corporate governance and the costs to operate as a public company. Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act requires companies to perform a comprehensive and costly evaluation of their internal controls. The new rules could also make it more difficult or more costly for us to obtain certain types of insurance, including directors

16

and officers liability insurance, and we may be forced to accept reduced policy limits and coverage or incur substantially higher costs to obtain the same or similar coverage. The impact of these events could also make it more difficult for us to attract and retain qualified persons to serve on our board of directors, our board committees or as executive officers. We are presently evaluating and monitoring developments with respect to new and proposed rules and cannot predict or estimate the amount of the additional costs we will incur or the timing of such costs.

Risks Relating to this Offering

Future sales of our common stock may cause our stock price to decline.

If our stockholders sell substantial amounts of our common stock in the public market following this offering, the market price of our common stock could decline. Based on shares outstanding as of September 30, 2004, upon completion of this offering, we will have shares of common stock outstanding, excluding shares of common stock issuable upon the exercise of outstanding options.

All of the shares of our common stock sold in this offering will be freely tradable, without restriction, in the public market. These shares will represent approximately % of our common stock upon completion of this offering. Of the remaining shares:

shares held by our principal stockholders will be eligible for sale in the public market after the applicable lock-up period expires, subject to compliance with the volume limitations and other conditions of Rule 144, and

shares held by our directors and executive officers will be eligible for sale in the public market after the applicable lock-up period expires, subject to compliance with the volume limitations and other conditions of Rule 144.

Furthermore, an additional shares may be issued in the future upon exercise of options granted, options to be granted or equity awards to be granted under our stock option and incentive compensation plans. We expect to register these shares under the Securities Act, and therefore the shares will be freely tradable when issued, subject to compliance with the volume limitations and other conditions of Rule 144 in the case of shares sold by persons deemed to be our affiliates.

We, all of our directors and executive officers and certain of our stockholders have agreed that, for a period of 180 days from the date of the final prospectus, we and they, subject to some exceptions, will not, without the prior written consent of each of Lehman Brothers Inc. and Goldman, Sachs & Co., dispose of or hedge any shares of our common stock or any securities convertible into or exchangeable for our common stock. Lehman Brothers Inc. and Goldman, Sachs & Co. may release any of the securities subject to these lock-up agreements at any time without notice.

The trading price of our common stock is likely to be volatile, and you may not be able to sell your shares at or above the initial public offering price.

The trading prices of the securities of technology companies have been highly volatile. Accordingly, the trading price of our common stock is likely to be subject to wide fluctuations. Factors that will affect the trading price of our common stock include:

variations in operating results;
announcements of technological innovations, new services or service enhancements, strategic alliances or significant agreements by us or by our competitors;
the gain or loss of significant customers;

changes in the estimates of our operating results or changes in recommendations by any securities analysts that elect to follow our common stock;

17

terrorist acts and political instability; and

market conditions in our industry, the industries of our customers and the economy as a whole.

In addition, if the market for technology stocks, or the stock market in general, experiences continued or increased loss of investor confidence, the trading price of our common stock could decline for reasons unrelated to our business, operating results or financial condition.

As a new investor, you will incur substantial dilution as a result of this offering and future equity issuances.

The initial public offering price will be substantially higher than the pro forma net tangible book value per share of our outstanding common stock. As a result, investors purchasing common stock in this offering will incur immediate dilution of \$ per share. This dilution is due in large part to earlier investors in our company having paid substantially less than the initial public offering price when they purchased their shares. The exercise of outstanding options and future equity issuances, including any additional shares issued in connection with acquisitions, may result in further dilution to investors.

We will continue to be controlled by GTCR, which will limit your ability to influence corporate activities.

Upon completion of this offering, GTCR will have three representatives on our nine-member board of directors and will own or control shares representing, in the aggregate, a % voting interest in the company, or % if the underwriters exercise their option in full. Accordingly, GTCR will exercise significant influence over our operations and business strategy and will be able to control the outcome of votes on all matters requiring approval by our stockholders, including the election of directors and approval of significant corporate transactions, such as mergers or other business combinations. This concentration of ownership may also have the effect of delaying or preventing a change in control of our company or discouraging others from making tender offers for our shares, which could prevent stockholders from receiving a premium for their shares. These actions may be taken even if other stockholders oppose them.

We are a controlled company within the meaning of the New York Stock Exchange rules and as a result will qualify for, and intend to rely on, exemptions from certain corporate governance requirements.

Because funds affiliated with GTCR will own in excess of 50% of our outstanding shares of voting stock after the completion of this offering, we will be deemed a controlled company under the rules of the New York Stock Exchange. As a result, we will qualify for, and intend to rely upon, the controlled company exception to the board of directors and committee requirements under the rules of the New York Stock Exchange. Pursuant to this exception, so long as the GTCR-affiliated funds continue to own more than 50% of our outstanding shares of voting stock, we will be exempt from the rules that would otherwise require that our board of directors be comprised of a majority of independent directors, and that our compensation committee and nominating and corporate governance committee be comprised solely of independent directors as defined under the rules of the New York Stock Exchange. Upon completion of this offering, our board of directors will be comprised of nine persons, three of whom will be representatives of the GTCR-affiliated funds and, therefore, not independent. Furthermore, our compensation and nominating and corporate governance committees will not consist of a majority of independent directors. Accordingly, our stockholders will not have the same protections afforded to stockholders of companies that are subject to all of the New York Stock Exchange corporate governance requirements. For more information, see Management Board Committees.

Conflicts of interest may arise because some of our directors are principals of our controlling stockholder.

Upon completion of this offering, three representatives of GTCR will serve on our nine-member board of directors. GTCR and its affiliates currently have interests in other companies, one of which, TNS, Inc., competes with our network services. GTCR and its affiliates collectively own approximately 55% of the common stock of

18

Table of Contents

TNS, Inc. GTCR and its affiliates may continue to invest in entities that directly or indirectly compete with us or companies in which they currently invest may begin competing with us. As a result of these relationships, when conflicts between the interests of GTCR and the interests of our other stockholders arise, these directors may not be disinterested. Although our directors and officers have a duty of loyalty to us, under Delaware law and our amended and restated certificate of incorporation that will be adopted in connection with this offering, transactions that we enter into in which a director or officer has a conflict of interest are generally permissible so long as (1) the material facts relating to the director s or officer s relationship or interest as to the transaction are disclosed to our board of directors and a majority of our disinterested directors approves the transaction, (2) the material facts relating to the director s or officer s relationship or interest as to the transaction are disclosed to our stockholders and a majority of our disinterested stockholders approves the transaction or (3) the transaction is otherwise fair to us. Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation will also provide that any potential transaction opportunity that is offered to a GTCR representative solely in his capacity as a director or officer of the company will be deemed to be a corporate opportunity of ours if we would be permitted to undertake the opportunity under our amended and restated certificate of incorporation, we have sufficient financial resources to undertake the transaction and the transaction would be in line with our business. GTCR s representatives will not be required to offer any other transaction opportunity of which they become aware to us and could take any such opportunity for themselves or offer it to other companies in which they have an investment, including TNS, Inc.

We will incur significant noncash charges in connection with this offering.

We anticipate incurring a pre-tax, noncash charge of approximately \$\) million on the early extinguishment of debt with the proceeds of this offering. This relates to the write-off of \$\) million of unamortized deferred financing costs and \$\) million of unamortized debt discount, relating to the existing senior credit facility and the tendered portion of the senior subordinated notes and \$\) million related to the prepayment premium on the tendered portion of the senior subordinated notes.

There is currently no public market for our common stock and an active market may not develop or persist after this offering.

We will negotiate and determine the initial public offering price with representatives of the underwriters. This price may not be indicative of prices that will prevail in the trading market. As a result, you may not be able to sell your shares of common stock at or above the offering price.

We do not currently intend to pay any dividends on our common stock, and as a result, your only opportunity to achieve a return on your investment is if the price of our common stock appreciates.

We have never declared or paid any cash dividends on our common stock, and we do not expect to declare or pay any cash dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future. In addition, our existing senior credit facility and the indenture governing our senior subordinated notes contain limitations on our ability to declare and pay cash dividends on our common stock. For more information, see Dividend Policy. As a result, your only opportunity to achieve a return on your investment in our company will be if the market price of our common stock appreciates and you sell your shares at a profit. We cannot assure you that the market price for our common stock after this offering will ever exceed the price that you pay for our common stock in this offering.

19

CAUTIONARY NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

We have made forward-looking statements in this prospectus. These statements may be found throughout this prospectus, particularly under the headings Summary, Risk Factors, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Business, amothers. The words believes, anticipates, plans, expects, intends, estimates and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause our actual results, performance and achievements, or industry results, to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements.

All forward-looking statements in this prospectus are based on information available to us on the date of this prospectus. We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statement, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. All subsequent written and oral forward-looking statements attributable to us, or persons acting on our behalf, are expressly qualified in their entirety by the cautionary statements contained throughout this prospectus.

20

USE OF PROCEEDS

We estimate that we will receive net proceeds from this offering of approximately \$\) million after deducting underwriting discounts and commissions and other estimated offering expenses payable by us. We intend to use our net proceeds from this offering, together with \$\) million of borrowings under our new senior credit facility, which we intend to enter into in connection with this offering, and our existing cash and cash equivalents, which we refer to collectively as the aggregate cash sources as follows:

- \$ million to redeem shares of our class A cumulative redeemable convertible preferred stock, most of which is held by funds controlled by GTCR and some of which is indirectly held by current and former employees of Lehman Brothers Inc.;
- \$ million to repay all borrowings outstanding under our existing senior credit facility; and
- \$ million to tender for \$ in aggregate outstanding principal amount of our 12³/4% senior subordinated notes.

Based on account balances reflected on our September 30, 2004 balance sheet, we expect that such remaining aggregate cash sources would have been approximately \$ million.

If the underwriters exercise their option, a portion of the shares purchased by the underwriters will be issued by us and a portion of the shares will be sold by the selling stockholders. The selling stockholders include members of our senior management team. If the underwriters exercise their option in full, we estimate that we will receive net proceeds from the underwriters exercise of their option of approximately \$\frac{1}{2}\$ million after deducting underwriting discounts and commissions. We intend to use any net proceeds we receive from the underwriters exercise of their option to redeem additional shares of our class A cumulative redeemable convertible preferred stock. We will not receive any proceeds from the sale of shares, if any, by the selling stockholders.

As of September 30, 2004, our existing indebtedness that we will repay with a portion of the net proceeds from this offering and the preferred stock that we will redeem with a portion of the net proceeds from this offering consisted of the following:

approximately \$ million in aggregate liquidation value of our class A cumulative redeemable convertible preferred stock, which currently accrues dividends at a rate of 10% per annum on the sum of the liquidation value plus all accumulated and unpaid dividends;

approximately \$ million of our senior subordinated notes, which bear interest at a rate of 12 3/4% per annum and have a final maturity on February 1, 2009; and

approximately \$ million under the revolving portion of our existing senior credit facility and \$ million under the term loan B portion of our existing senior credit facility, which bear interest at variable rates (4.8% weighted average interest rate at September 30, 2004) and have a final maturity of December 31, 2006 and September 30, 2010, respectively.

In the aggregate, we expect that GTCR and its affiliates, through their ownership of preferred and common units of Syniverse Holdings, LLC, will receive approximately \$\) million of the net proceeds from this offering. See Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions. In

addition, certain current and former employees of Lehman Brothers Inc. who indirectly own shares of our class A cumulative redeemable convertible preferred stock will receive a portion of the net proceeds of this offering upon our redemption of those shares, which proceeds will not exceed \$250,000 in the aggregate. Each share of our outstanding class A cumulative redeemable convertible preferred stock that we do not redeem with the net proceeds of this offering will be converted, within 40 days after the completion of this offering, into a number of shares of our common stock determined by dividing the liquidation value of \$1,000 per share of our class A cumulative redeemable convertible preferred stock plus all accumulated and unpaid dividends thereon through the closing date of this offering by the initial public offering price of our common stock in this offering.

DIVIDEND POLICY

We have never declared or paid any cash dividends on our common stock. We do not expect to pay any cash dividends for the foreseeable future. We currently intend to retain any future earnings to finance our operations and growth. Any future determination to pay cash dividends will be at the discretion of our board of directors and will be dependent on earnings, financial condition, operating results, capital requirements, any contractual restrictions and other factors that our board of directors deems relevant. In addition, our existing senior credit facility and our indenture governing our senior subordinated notes contain, and our new senior credit facility will contain, limitations on our ability to declare and pay cash dividends.

22

DILUTION

If you invest in our common stock through this offering, your interest will be diluted to the extent of the difference between the public offering price per share of our common stock and the pro forma net tangible book value per share of common stock upon the completion of this offering.

Net tangible book value per share represents the amount of our total tangible assets less total liabilities, divided by the number of shares of our common stock outstanding. After giving effect to the sale of shares of common stock offered by us in this offering, assuming an initial public offering price of \$ per share and after deducting the underwriting discounts and commissions and estimated offering expenses payable by us, our pro forma net tangible book value as of September 30, 2004 would have equaled approximately \$ per share of common stock. This represents an immediate increase in net tangible book value of \$ per share to our existing stockholders and an immediate dilution in net tangible book value of \$ per share to new investors in our common stock in this offering.

The following table summarizes this per share dilution:

Public offering price per share	\$
Net tangible book value per share as of September 30, 2004	\$
Increase per share attributable to this offering	
Pro forma net tangible book value per share after this offering	
Dilution per share to new investors	\$
Dilution per share to new investors	\$

The following table summarizes on a pro forma basis, as of September 30, 2004, the difference between our existing stockholders and new investors with respect to the number of shares of common stock issued by us, the total consideration paid (in thousands) and the average price per share paid:

	Shares 1	Purchased	Total Co		
	Number	Percentage	Amount	Percentage	Average price per share
Existing stockholders	\$	%	\$	%	\$
New investors					
Total		%		%	

We base the foregoing discussions and tables on the number of shares of stock outstanding as of September 30, 2004, which excludes:

shares of common stock that will be subject to issuance upon exercise of the options we granted under our stock option plans;

additional shares of common stock reserved for future issuance under our stock option plans; and

shares of our common stock subject to issuance by us if the underwriters exercise their option in full.

To the extent outstanding options, or options or warrants we may issue in the future with exercise prices below the initial public offering price, are exercised, there will be further dilution to new public investors.

23

CAPITALIZATION

The following table sets forth our cash, cash equivalents and capitalization as of September 30, 2004:

on an actual basis; and

on an adjusted basis after giving effect to this offering and borrowings under our new senior credit facility, which we intend to enter into in connection with this offering, and the application of the net proceeds therefrom as described in Use of Proceeds.

The table below should be read in conjunction with our historical and pro forma consolidated financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this prospectus.

	As of Septer	mber 30, 2004
	Actual	As Adjusted
	(dollars in	thousands)
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 13,026	\$ 13,026
Long-term debt, including current portion:		
Existing senior credit facility (1):		
Revolving credit facility		
Term loan facility, net of discount of \$5,083 (actual)	235,591	
New senior credit facility (2):		
Revolving credit facility		
Term loan facility		241,073
12 ³ /4% senior subordinated notes, net of discount of \$3,378 and \$2,196, respectively	241,622	157,054
Total long-term debt including current portion	477,213	398,127
Existing class A cumulative redeemable convertible preferred stock including accrued and unpaid dividends (3)	327,429	
Stockholders (deficit) equity:		
New preferred stock, \$.001 par value per share, shares authorized as adjusted; none issued or outstanding as adjusted		
Common stock, \$.001 par value per share, 100,300,000 and shares authorized actual and as adjusted,		
respectively; 100,000,000 and shares issued and outstanding actual and as adjusted, respectively (3)	100	100
Additional paid-in capital	45,146	461,392
Accumulated deficit (4)	(148,559)	(161,733)
Accumulated other comprehensive income	281	281
Total stockholders (deficit) equity	(103,032)	300,040
- · ·		
Total capitalization	\$ 714,636	\$ 711,193

- (1) Lehman Brothers Inc. is the Lead Arranger and Book Manager, and an affiliate of Lehman Brothers Inc. is the Administrative Agent, under our existing senior credit facility.
- (2) Affiliates of certain of the underwriters may participate as lenders under our new senior credit facility.
- (3) Prior to the completion of this offering, our class B common stock will be reclassified into our class A common stock and our class A common stock will be renamed common stock. As a result, we will have only one class of common stock authorized after the offering. Each share of our outstanding class A cumulative redeemable convertible preferred stock that we do not redeem with the net proceeds of this offering will be converted, within 40 days after the completion of this offering, into a number of shares of our common stock determined by dividing the liquidation value of \$1,000 per share of our class A cumulative redeemable convertible preferred stock plus all accumulated and unpaid dividends thereon through the closing date of this offering by the initial public offering price of our common stock in this offering.
- (4) Accumulated deficit includes \$102.1 million related to the February 14, 2002 accumulated deficit of Brience, an entity under common control that was accounted for in a manner similar to a pooling of interests beginning on February 14, 2002.

24

SELECTED HISTORICAL FINANCIAL DATA

The following table sets forth certain of our historical financial data for the most recent five years. We have derived the selected historical consolidated financial data as of December 31, 2002 and 2003 and September 30, 2004 and for the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2003, the period from January 1, 2002 to February 13, 2002, and the period from February 14, 2002 to December 31, 2002 and the nine months ended September 30, 2004 from our audited financial statements and the related notes included elsewhere in this prospectus. We have derived the historical consolidated financial data for the nine months ended September 30, 2003 from our unaudited consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus. The selected historical consolidated financial data as of December 31, 1999, 2000 and 2001 and for the years ended December 31, 1999 and 2000 have been derived from our audited consolidated financial statements, which are not included in this filing. The selected historical financial data as of February 13, 2002 and September 30, 2003 was derived from an unaudited balance sheet as of that date not included in this filing. The selected historical financial data set forth below is not necessarily indicative of the results of our future operations and should be read in conjunction with the discussion under the heading Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, and the historical consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes included elsewhere in this prospectus.

As a result of applying the required purchase accounting rules to our acquisition from Verizon on February 14, 2002, our financial statements were significantly affected. The application of purchase accounting rules result in different accounting bases and hence the financial information for the periods beginning on February 14, 2002 are not comparable to the information prior to this date.

The term successor refers to Syniverse Holdings, Inc. following our acquisition from Verizon on February 14, 2002. The historical financial results of Brience, from February 14, 2002, which is the date that GTCR Fund VII, L.P. and its affiliates possessed common control of us and Brience, through July 23, 2003, which is the date that we merged with Brience, are included in the financial results of the successor because this acquisition is accounted for as a combination of entities under common control, similar to a pooling of interests. The portion of historical results attributed to the common stock ownership of Syniverse Networks, Inc., which Syniverse Holdings, LLC owned between February 14, 2002 and , 2005 when Syniverse Holdings, Inc. acquired these shares, have been included in the financial results of the successor because this acquisition is also accounted for as a combination of entities under common control, similar to a pooling of interests.

The term predecessor refers to Syniverse Technologies, Inc. prior to our acquisition on February 14, 2002.

25

		Pred	lecessor		Successor						
	Year F	Ended Decem	lber 31,	Period from	Period from		Nine Mo	onths	Ended		
	February 14 to January 1 to Year Ended December										
				February 13,	31,	December 31,5	September 30	,Sept	ember 30,		
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2002	2003	2003		2004		
	(in th	ousands exce	ept per share	amounts)	(in	thousands exce	pt per share a	amoui	nts)		
Statements of Operations Data:											
Revenues Technology Interoperability	\$ 59,959	\$ 68,923	\$ 82,312	\$ 8,464	\$ 70,215	\$ 66,536	\$ 50,246	\$	54,507		
Network Services	65,158	79,760	105,369	14,103	99,647	111,845	81,240	Ψ	97,754		
Call Processing Services	72,138	73,262	65,241	6,429	46,336	42,764	32,636		25,831		
Number Portability Services	72,120	70,202	00,2.1	0,.25	860	5,469	1,200		34,464		
Enterprise Solution Services	27,409	35,372	39,319	2,412	17,869	15,265	11,376		10,929		
Revenues Excluding Off-Network Database											
Query Fees	224,664	257,317	292,241	31,408	234,927	241,879	176,698		223,485		
Off-Network Database Query Fees	53,016	58,619	69,117	8,588	61,117	29,529	24,538		20,606		
Total Revenues	277,680	315,936	361,358	39,996	296,044	271,408	201,236		244,091		
Costs and expenses:							·	•			
Cost of operations	141,979	150,156	169,025	20,655	130,364	109,744	80,388		104,983		
Sales and marketing	21,513	24,265	24,348	2,614	22,706	18,631	13,659		15,059		
General and administrative Provision for (recovery of) uncollectible	30,848	45,721	41,245	3,001	42,630	39,881	28,237		27,918		
accounts	200	2,203	2,207	1,340	(693)	466	1,001		(30)		
Depreciation and amortization (1)	8,866	13,061	15,203	1,464	33,285	37,319	27,567		30,323		
Restructuring (2)					2,845	2,164	2,448		289		
Impairment losses on intangible assets (3)						53,712			8,982		
	203,406	235,406	252,028	29,074	231,137	261,917	153,300		187,524		
Operating income	74,274	80,530	109,330	10.922	64,907	9,491	47,936		56,567		
Other income (expense) net:	· ·	,	,	·	•	,	·		,		
Interest income	2,802	3,087	3,903	432	965	768	546		927		
Interest expense	(2,822)	(22)			(54,105)	(58,128)	(44,525)		(40,165)		
Other income (expense), net	6	4	(80)	(19)	(275)		(1)		(12)		
	(14)	3,069	3,823	413	(53,415)	(57,360)	(43,980)		(39,250)		
Income (loss) from continuing operations											
before provision for income taxes	74,260	83,599	113,153	11,335	11,492	(47,869)	3,956		17,317		
Provision for income taxes	28,156	32,548	43,895	4,418	9,320	10,057	2,734		6,508		
Income (loss) from continuing operations	46,104	51,051	69,258	6,917	2,172	(57,926)	1,222		10,809		
Discontinued operations: Loss from discontinued operations					(1,541)						
Net income (loss)	46,104	51,051	69,258	6,917	631	(57,926)	1,222		10,809		
Preferred dividends					(33,340)	(30,230)	(22,814)		(23,379)		
Net income (loss) attributable to common	¢ 46 10 t	¢ 51.051	¢ (0.250	¢ (017	¢ (22.700)	¢ (00.150)	¢ (21.502)	¢	(10.570)		
stockholders	\$ 46,104	\$ 51,051	\$ 69,258	\$ 6,917	\$ (32,709)	\$ (88,156)	\$ (21,592)	\$	(12,570)		

Edgar Filing: SYNIVERSE HOLDINGS INC - Form S-1/A

								_	
Net income (loss) per share:									
Basic and diluted					\$ (0.33)	\$ (0.88)	\$ (0.22)	\$	(0.13)
Weighted average common shares									
outstanding:									
Basic and diluted					100,000	100,000	100,000		100,000
Other Financial Data:									
EBITDA (4)	\$ 83,146	\$ 93,595	\$ 124,453	\$ 12,367	\$ 96,376	\$ 46,810	\$ 75,502	\$	86,878
Revenues (excluding Off-Network Database									
Query Fees)	224,664	\$ 257,317	292,241	31,408	234,927	241,879	176,698		223,485
Net cash provided by (used in):									
Operating activities	52,985	55,218	131,281	1,185	59,756	48,422	35,087		54,254
Investing activities	(18,426)	(10,634)	(99,831)	34,781	(12,278)	(18,883)	(12,121)		(72,778)
Financing activities	(34,559)	(42,000)	(33,750)	(11,250)	(44,187)	(63,430)	(58,850)		23,298
Capital expenditures	19,778	12,956	10,406	606	12,278	18,280	12,121		17,403
Balance Sheet Data (at end of period):									
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	\$ 2,584	\$ 284	\$ 25,000	\$ 42,190	\$ 8,299	\$ 6,306	\$	13,026
Property and equipment, net	24,881	24,387	23,656	23,306	33,728	33,548	32,764		37,077
Total assets	126,386	198,380	247,867	159,457	833,068	730,271	777,357		777,041
Total debt, net of discount, and redeemable									
preferred stock					778,420	753,425	749,722		804,642
Total stockholders equity (deficit)	74,550	117,307	153,104	133,510	(81,453)	(90,317)	(23,691)		(103,032)

26

Table of Contents

- (1) Depreciation and amortization amounts exclude accretion of debt discount and amortization of deferred finance costs, which are both included in interest expense in the statement of operations data. Depreciation and amortization amounts after February 14, 2002 are not comparable to the periods prior to that date because the successor company s assets were revalued as a result of the purchase accounting treatment of the acquisition.
- (2) Restructurings are comprised of severance benefits associated with our cost rationalization initiatives, which were implemented in April 2002, August 2002, February 2003, July 2003 and April 2004. Our restructurings in July 2003 and April 2004 were related to the acquisitions of Brience and Softwright, respectively.
- (3) Impairment losses on intangible assets in 2003 relate primarily to the trademark value associated with our previous corporate name of \$51.0 million and to certain capitalized software costs \$2.7 million which will no longer be recoverable due to our phase-outs of other service offerings. In 2004, these losses relate to capitalized software costs associated with our phase out of certain service offerings and reduced valuation of certain call processing services.
- (4) EBITDA is determined by adding net interest expense, income taxes, depreciation and amortization to net income (loss). We present EBITDA because we believe that EBITDA provides useful information regarding our operating results. We rely on EBITDA as a primary measure to review and assess the operating performance of our company and our management team in connection with our executive compensation and bonus plans. We also use EBITDA to compare our current operating results with corresponding periods and with the operating results of other companies in our industry. We believe that it is useful to investors to provide disclosures of our operating results on the same basis as that used by our management. We also believe that it can assist investors in comparing our performance to that of other companies on a consistent basis without regard to depreciation, amortization, interest or taxes, which do not directly affect our operating performance. In addition, we also utilize EBITDA as a measure of our liquidity and our ability to meet our debt service obligations and satisfy our debt covenants, which are partially based on EBITDA.

EBITDA has limitations as an analytical tool, and you should not consider it in isolation, or as a substitute for net income, cash flows from operating activities and other consolidated income or cash flows statement data prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. Some of these limitations are:

EBITDA does not reflect our cash expenditures or future requirements for capital expenditures or contractual commitments;

EBITDA does not reflect changes in, or cash requirements for, our working capital needs;

EBITDA does not reflect the significant interest expense, or the cash requirements necessary to service interest or principal payments, on our debt;

Although depreciation and amortization are non-cash charges, the assets being depreciated and amortized will often have to be replaced in the future, and EBITDA does not reflect any cash requirements for such replacements;

EBITDA does not reflect income taxes or the cash requirements for any tax payments; and

Because of these limitations, EBITDA should not be considered a measure of discretionary cash available to us to invest in the growth of our business or as a measure of performance in compliance with GAAP. We compensate for these limitations by relying primarily on our GAAP results and using EBITDA only

Other companies in our industry may calculate EBITDA differently than we do, thereby limiting its usefulness as a comparative measure.

measure of performance in compliance with GAAP. We compensate for these limitations by relying primarily on our GAAP results and using EBITDA only supplementally. See our consolidated statements of operations and our consolidated statements of cash flows included in our financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus.

The following table reconciles net income (loss) to EBITDA for the periods presented. We have also provided supplemental information regarding items associated with our restructurings, intangible asset impairments and pre-acquisition Brience negative EBITDA.

Predecessor	Successor

	Year Ended December 31,			Pei	Period from Period from					Nine Months Ended		
				Jan	Honuary 1 to	February 14 t December		ear Ended				
				Feb	oruary 13,	31,	De	cember 31,S	eptember 30),Sep	tember 30,	
	1999	2000	2001		2002	2002		2003	2003		2004	
D W. C. CEDITO		(dollars	in thousands	s)			(dollars in thousands)					
Reconciliation of EBITDA to Net Income (Loss):												
Net income (loss) as reported	\$ 46,104	\$ 51,051	\$ 69,258	\$	6,917	\$ 631	\$	(57,926)	\$ 1,222	\$	10,809	
Interest expense, net	20	(3,065)	(3,903)		(432)	53,140		57,360	43,979		39,238	
Depreciation and amortization	8,866	13,061	15,203		1,464	33,285		37,319	27,567		30,323	
Provision for income taxes	28,156	32,548	43,895		4,418	9,320		10,057	2,734		6,508	
				_			_			_		
EBITDA	\$ 83,146	\$ 93,595	\$ 124,453	\$	12,367	\$ 96,376	\$	46,810	\$ 75,502	\$	86,878	
				_			_					
Supplemental information:												
Restructuring expense (i)	\$	\$	\$	\$		\$ 2,845	\$	2,164	\$ 2,448	\$	289	
Impairment losses on intangible assets (ii)								53,712			8,982	
Pre-acquisition Brience negative EBITDA (iii)						(12,619)		(1,815)	(1.815)			

⁽i) Restructuring expense is comprised primarily of severance benefits associated with our cost rationalization initiatives, which were implemented in April 2002, August 2002, February 2003, July 2003 and April 2004. The latter two restructurings are related to two acquisitions.

- (ii) Impairment losses on intangible assets in 2003 relate primarily to the trademark value associated with our previous corporate name of \$51.0 million and to certain capitalized software costs of \$2.7 million which will no longer be recoverable due to our phase-outs of certain service offerings. In 2004, these losses relate to capitalized software costs associated with our phase out of other service offerings and reduced valuation of certain call processing services.
- (iii) Pre-acquisition Brience negative EBITDA represents the EBITDA results of Brience s operations during the period from February 14, 2002 to July 23, 2003, when its results were pooled with our results due to common control of both entities, but prior to our July 23, 2003 acquisition of Brience.

The following table reconciles cash flows from operations to EBITDA for the periods presented.

		Predecessor						Successor					
	Year E	Year Ended December 31,				Period from Period from February 14 to				Nine Months Ended			
				· ·				ar Ended					
	December February 13, 31, December 31,Sept					eptember 30),Sep	tember 30,					
	1999	2000	2001		2002	2002		2003	2003		2004		
		(dollars	in thousands))	(dollars in t				thousands)				
Reconciliation of Cash Flows from Operations to EBITDA:													
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 52,985	\$ 55,218	\$ 131,281	\$	1,185	\$ 59,756	\$	48,422	\$ 35,087	\$	54,254		
Net interest paid (collected)	20	(3,065)	(3,903)		315	30,187		46,152	42,919		42,155		
Pension and other employee retirement benefits	(2,490)	(2,968)	(3,861)		(546)								
Impairment losses on intangible assets								(53,712)			(8.982)		
Other working capital changes	32,865	46,617	3,143		12,753	15,496		19,522	7,068		6,190		
Changes in other non-cash items	(200)	(2,207)	(2,207)		(1,340)	(9,456)		(11,489)	(9,397)		(7,200)		
Other assets and liabilities	(34)					393		(2,085)	(175)		461		
EBITDA	\$ 83,146	\$ 93,595	\$ 124,453	\$	12,367	\$ 96,376	\$	46,810	\$ 75,502	\$	86,878		

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION

AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

You should read the following discussion and analysis in conjunction with the information set forth under Selected Historical Financial Data and our consolidated financial statements and the notes to those statements included elsewhere in this prospectus. The statements in this discussion regarding our expectations regarding our future performance, liquidity and capital resources and other non-historical statements in this discussion are forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are subject to numerous risks and uncertainties, including, but not limited to, the risks and uncertainties described under Risk Factors and Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements. Our actual results may differ materially from those contained in or implied by any forward-looking statements.

Company History

Our business was founded in 1987 as GTE Telecommunication Services Inc., a unit of GTE. In early 2000, GTE combined our business with its Intelligent Network Services business to further broaden our network services offering. In June 2000, when GTE and Bell Atlantic merged to form Verizon Communications Inc., we became an indirect, wholly owned subsidiary of Verizon.

At the time of our acquisition from Verizon on February 14, 2002, we anticipated that our future revenues from Verizon Wireless would decrease. The expected decline in Verizon Wireless revenues was based on a number of specific events, including Verizon Wireless planned movement of certain services in-house and the termination of Verizon Wireless contract with us relating to the technology supporting the OnStar application. In anticipation of this decrease, we entered into a revenue guaranty agreement on February 14, 2002 pursuant to which Verizon Information Services agreed to pay us 82.5% of the amount, if any, by which our annual revenues from Verizon Wireless and certain of its affiliates were less than specified annual revenue minimums beginning from the date of the acquisition and continuing through December 31, 2005. The revenue minimums were \$45.4 million for the period from February 14, 2002 to December 31, 2002, \$34.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2003, \$33.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2004 and \$33.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2005. These agreed-upon revenue minimums compare to \$84.9 million of 2001 revenues from Verizon Wireless. Although our revenues from Verizon Wireless have declined following our acquisition from Verizon, these revenues still exceeded the revenue minimums in 2002 and 2003 and have exceeded the revenue minimums in the year-to-date period of 2004. As a result, we have not received and do not expect to receive any payments under the Verizon Wireless revenue guaranty.

At the time of the acquisition, we also had identified several other customers that we believed might cease using our clearinghouse, call processing and prepaid wireless services during 2002 and 2003. We expected a reduction in Cingular Wireless revenues due to contractual arrangements made by Cingular Wireless parent company as part of the sale of its international clearinghouse business, whereby Cingular Wireless was required to move its clearinghouse business to the buyer as part of a multi-year agreement. Virtually all of the Cingular Wireless clearinghouse business was moved to this other provider prior to our acquisition from Verizon.

In addition, we anticipated declines in our call processing services and enterprise solutions revenues. The decline in call processing was attributable to technology developments that have resulted in traditional call processing functionality being incorporated into more cost-effective SS7 network solutions. SS7 is the telecommunications industry s standard network signaling protocol used by substantially all carriers to enable critical telecommunications functions such as line busy signals, toll-free calling services and caller ID. This has resulted in customers increasingly moving from our call processing solution to our SS7 network, a competitor s SS7 network, in-house SS7 networks and/or direct connections with roaming partners. We expect this decline in call processing services revenues to continue. We also expected a decline in our prepaid wireless solution platform. This was the result of a decision by a customer to move to its parent company s prepaid wireless platform following an acquisition. This customer s decision to move off our prepaid services was made prior to our acquisition from Verizon. We no

longer offer prepaid wireless services.

29

These revenue declines were partially offset by continued strength in our clearinghouse services driven by growth from existing customers or services, which we refer to as organic volume growth, and the addition of new customers. In addition, we have experienced strong network services revenue growth driven by increased intelligent network database query volumes, messaging volumes and signaling. Furthermore, the introduction of number portability services has contributed significantly to the growth of our business over this period. In order to encourage higher customer transaction volumes, our pricing strategy generally includes negotiating tiered pricing schedules with our customers based on certain established transaction volume levels. As a result, the average price per transaction for many of our products has declined over time as customers have increasingly used our services and transaction volumes have grown. We expect this trend to continue.

Acquisitions

On July 23, 2003, we merged with Brience. In connection with the merger, the former stockholders of Brience received an aggregate of 100,000 common units of Syniverse Holdings, LLC, which represented approximately 0.1% of the outstanding common units. The principal operations of Brience, now known as Syniverse Brience, at the time of the merger included the sale and servicing of its Mobile Processing Server product, an integrated software design and development environment for building mobile solutions that control formatting for wireless devices.

On December 19, 2003, we acquired Softwright Holdings Limited for \$0.8 million cash and the assumption of liabilities of \$1.3 million. Softwright Holdings Limited, now known as Syniverse Holdings Limited, develops software products and services for mobile operators and enterprise customers. Syniverse Holdings Limited also provides mobile number portability services throughout Europe and is the sole provider of these services in the United Kingdom. Under the terms of the acquisition, we agreed to make a payment not to exceed £2.0 million to the former owners of the acquired company no later than March 31, 2005 if this operation achieved a certain predetermined profitability level, as measured by EBITDA, for the period ending October 31, 2004. No payments were required under the earn-out provision of the acquisition agreement because the Softwright subsidiary did not achieve the negotiated EBITDA targets.

On September 30, 2004, we acquired the wireless clearinghouse business of IOS North America from EDS for total consideration after purchase price adjustments of \$53.7 million, which amount was paid in cash. We financed the acquisition through increased borrowings under our existing senior credit facility and available cash. The primary services of IOS North America include wireless voice and data clearinghouse services. These post-acquisition revenues will be reported in Technology Interoperability Services.

Basis of Presentation

Prior to our acquisition, we operated as a subsidiary of Verizon. As a result, the historical financial information included in this prospectus for periods prior to the acquisition does not necessarily reflect what our financial position and results of operations would have been had we operated as a separate, stand-alone entity during those periods.

Our acquisition from Verizon was accounted for using the purchase method of accounting. As a result, the acquisition has affected our results of operations in certain significant respects. The aggregate acquisition costs, including transaction costs of approximately \$808.6 million, have been allocated to the tangible and intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed by us based upon their respective fair values as of the acquisition date. This has resulted in a significant increase in our annual depreciation and amortization expense. Due to the effects of the increased borrowings used to finance the acquisition, our interest expense has increased significantly in the periods following the acquisition. In addition, due to the effects of the 10% dividend requirements of our class A cumulative redeemable convertible preferred stock issued at the time of the acquisition, our net income attributable to common stockholders has been reduced. The application of purchase accounting rules also resulted in different accounting bases, and hence the financial information for the periods beginning on February 14, 2002 are not comparable to

the information prior to this date.

30

At the time of our acquisition of Brience, investment funds controlled by GTCR controlled both Brience and us. As a result, the acquisition has been accounted for as a combination of entities under common control, similar to a pooling of interests, whereby the assets and liabilities of Brience were combined at their historical amounts as of the date that the GTCR funds had control of both entities, which was February 14, 2002. Accordingly, our historical consolidated financial statements have been restated to include the financial results of Brience beginning on such date.

The acquisitions of Softwright and IOS North America have been accounted for using the purchase method of accounting, and hence the results of operations for such businesses have been included since their respective dates of acquisition by us.

Prior to September 30, 2004, IOS North America operated as a business unit of EDS. As a result, the historical financial information included in this prospectus with respect to IOS North America does not necessarily reflect what its financial position and results of operations would have been had it operated as a separate, stand-alone entity during the periods presented.

Introduction

We provide an integrated suite of services that simplify wireless technology complexities by integrating disparate wireless carriers—systems and networks in order to provide seamless global voice and data communications to wireless subscribers. These services include:

Technology Interoperability Services. We operate the largest wireless clearinghouse in North America that enables the accurate invoicing and settlement of domestic and international wireless roaming telephone calls, wireless data events and Wi-Fi sessions. We also provide SMS routing and translation services between carriers.

Network Services. Through our SS7 network, we connect disparate wireless carrier networks and enable access to intelligent network database services like caller ID and provide translation and routing services to support the delivery and establishment of telephone calls.

Number Portability Services. Our number portability services are used by many wireless carriers, including the five largest domestic carriers, to enable wireless subscribers to switch service providers while keeping the same telephone number.

Call Processing Services. We provide wireless carriers global call handling and fraud management solutions that allow wireless subscribers from one carrier to make and accept telephone calls while roaming on another carrier s network.

Enterprise Solutions. Our enterprise wireless data management platform allows carriers to offer large corporate customers reporting and analysis tools to manage telecom-related expenses.

Off-Network Database Queries. We provide our network customers with access to various third-party intelligent network databases.

Revenues

Most of our revenues are transaction-based and derived from long-term contracts, typically with terms averaging three years in duration. Most of the services and solutions we offer to our customers are based on applications, network connectivity and technology platforms owned and operated by us. A small amount of our revenues are generated through software license sales. We generate our revenues primarily through the sale of our technology interoperability services, network services, number portability services, call processing services and enterprise solutions to telecommunications carriers throughout the world. In order to encourage higher customer transaction volumes, we generally negotiate tiered pricing schedules with our customers based on certain established transaction volume levels. As a result, the average price per transaction for many of our

31

products has declined over time as customers have increasingly used our services and transactions volumes have grown. We expect this trend to continue. Generally, there is also a slight increase in wireless roaming telephone usage and corresponding revenues in the high-travel months of the second and third fiscal quarters.

Future increases or decreases in revenues are dependent on many factors, such as industry subscriber growth, with few of these factors known in advance. From time to time, specific events such as customer contract renewals at different terms, a customer contract termination, or a customer s decision to change technologies or to provide solutions in-house, will be known to us, and then we can predict their impact on our revenues.

Technology Interoperability Services. We operate the largest wireless clearinghouse in North America that enables the accurate invoicing and settlement of domestic and international wireless roaming telephone calls, wireless data events and Wi-Fi sessions. We also provide SMS routing and translation services between carriers. Wireless carriers send data records to our service platforms for processing, aggregation, translation and distribution among carriers. We primarily generate revenues by charging per-transaction processing fees based on the number of data/messaging records provided to us by wireless carriers for our wireless roaming clearinghouse, SMS routing services and wireline network access billing. We recognize revenues at the time the transactions are processed.

Network Services. Through our SS7 network, we connect disparate wireless carrier networks and enable access to intelligent network database services like caller ID. We also provide translation and routing services to support the delivery and establishment of telephone calls. SS7 is the telecommunications industry s standard network signaling protocol used by substantially all carriers to enable critical telecommunications functions such as line busy signals, toll-free calling services and caller ID. We primarily generate revenues by charging per-transaction processing fees. In addition, our customers pay monthly connection fees based on the number of network connections as well as the number of switches with which a customer communicates. The per-transaction fees are based on the number of intelligent network messages and intelligent network database queries made through our network and are recognized as revenues at the time the transactions are processed. In addition, a small amount of our revenues is generated through software license fees, maintenance agreements and professional services. License fee revenues consist principally of revenues from the licensing of our software and are generally recognized over the contract period. Maintenance agreements call for us to provide technical support and software enhancement rights are recognized ratably over the term of the support agreement. Professional services include consulting, training and installation services to our customers. Revenues from such services are generally recognized on a straight-line basis over the same period as the software license fees.

Number Portability Services. We provide number portability services to the wireless industry. When a wireless subscriber chooses to change carriers but keep their existing telephone number, the former carrier must send the subscriber information to the new carrier. Our services perform the necessary processing between the two carriers to allow the subscriber to change service providers while keeping their existing telephone number. We primarily generate revenues by charging per-transaction processing fees, monthly fixed fees and fees for customer implementations. We recognize processing revenues at the time the transactions and services are processed. We recognize monthly fixed fees as revenues on a monthly basis as the services are performed. We defer revenues related to customer implementations and recognize these fees on a straight-line basis over the life of the initial customer agreements.

Call Processing Services. We provide wireless carriers global call handling and fraud management solutions that allow wireless subscribers from one carrier to make and accept calls while roaming on another carrier s network. We primarily generate revenues by charging per-transaction processing fees based on the number of validation, authorization and other call processing messages generated by wireless subscribers. We recognize processing fee revenues at the time the transactions are processed.

Enterprise Solutions Services. Our enterprise wireless data management platform allows carriers to offer large corporate customers reporting and analysis tools to manage telecom-related expenses. We

Table of Contents

primarily generate revenues by charging per-subscriber fees. We recognize these revenues at the time the service is performed.

Off-Network Database Queries. Through interconnection with other carrier networks, we have access to other service providers databases that support caller ID and toll-free routing. If one of our customers uses our network to access another service provider s database, we are charged fees for access to that database. We pass these charges onto our customers, with little or no margin, based upon the charges we receive from these database providers. We recognize revenues at the time the transaction is performed.

For more information about how we recognize revenues for each of our service categories, please see the discussion below under Critical Accounting Policies.

Costs and Expenses

Our costs and expenses consist of cost of operations, sales and marketing, general and administrative, and depreciation and amortization.

Cost of operations includes data processing costs, network costs, royalty costs, personnel costs associated with service implementation, training and customer care, and off-network database query charges.

Sales and marketing includes personnel costs, advertising costs, trade show costs and relationship marketing costs.

General and administrative consists primarily of research and development expenses, a portion of the expenses associated with our facilities, internal management expenses, business development expenses, and expenses for finance, legal, human resources and other administrative departments. In addition, we incur significant service development costs. These costs, which are primarily personnel, relate to technology creation, enhancement and maintenance of new and existing services. Historically, most of these costs are expensed and recorded as general and administrative expenses. The capitalized portion, which is recorded as capitalized software costs, relates to costs incurred during the application development stage for the new service offerings and significant service enhancements.

Depreciation and amortization relate primarily to our property and equipment including our SS7 network, infrastructure facilities related to information management and other intangible assets recorded in purchase accounting.

Results of Operations

Our results before and after February 14, 2002 are not generally comparable due to the effects of purchase accounting and the changes in the capital and cost structures established to operate the company on a stand-alone basis. However, to aid in the comparison to the twelve months ended December 31, 2002, we have combined the period from January 1, 2002 to February 13, 2002 and the period from February 14, 2002 to December 31, 2002 and included explanations about the effects of purchase accounting. The full twelve months ended December 31, 2002 are referred to as combined herein.

Table of Contents 63

33

Comparison of the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2003 and 2004

The following table presents an overview of our results of operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2004:

						Nine Months		
	Nine Months Ended	Ended % of Ended			% of	2003 vs. 2004	Change	
	September 30, 2003	Revenues	Septem	ber 30, 2004	Revenues	<u> </u>	%	
			(d	ollars in thous	ands)			
Revenues:								
Technology Interoperability Services	\$ 50,246	25.0%	\$	54,507	22.3%	\$ 4,261	8.5%	
Network Services	81,240	40.4%		97,754	40.0%	16,514	20.3%	
Number Portability Services	1,200	0.6%		34,464	14.1%	33,264	2772.0%	
Call Processing Services	32,636	16.2%		25,831	10.6%	(6,805)	(20.9)%	
Enterprise Solutions	11,376	5.6%		10,929	4.6%	(447)	(3.9)%	
Revenues (excluding Off-Network								
Database Query Fees)	176,698	87.8%		223,485	91.6%	46,787	26.5%	
Off-Network Database Query Fees	24,538	12.2%		20,606	8.4%	(3,932)	(16.0)%	
Total revenues	201,236	100.0%		244,091	100.0%	42,855	21.3%	
Control	·			·		ŕ		
Costs and expenses:	00.200	39.9%		104 002	42.007	24.505	20.60	
Cost of operations Sales and marketing	80,388			104,983	43.0%	24,595	30.6%	
General and administrative	13,659 28,237	6.8% 14.0%		15,059 27,918	6.2% 11.4%	1,400 (319)	10.3% (1.1)%	
Provision for (recovery of) uncollectible	20,231	14.0%		27,910	11.4%	(319)	(1.1)%	
accounts	1,001	0.5%		(30)	(0.0)%	(1,031)	(103.0)%	
Depreciation and amortization	27,567	13.8%		30,323	12.4%	2,756	10.0%	
Restructuring	2,448	1.2%		289	0.1%	(2,159)	(88.2)%	
Impairment losses on intangible assets	2,110	0.0%		8,982	3.7%	8,982	100.0%	
impairment losses on intangiole assets				0,702				
	153,300	76.2%		187,524	76.8%	34,224	22.3%	
Operating income	47,936	23.8%		56,567	23.2%	8,631	18.0%	
Other income (expense), net:	,			,		,		
Interest income	546	0.3%		927	0.4%	381	69.8%	
Interest expense	(44,525)	(22.1)%		(40,165)	(16.5)%	4,360	(9.8)%	
Other, net	(1)	(0.0)%		(12)	(0.0)%	(11)	1100.0%	
	(43,980)	(21.8)%		(39,250)	(16.1)%	4,730	(10.8)%	
Income before provision for income taxes	3,956	2.0%		17,317	7.1%	13,361	337.7%	
Provision for income taxes	2,734	1.4%		6,508	2.7%	3,774	138.0%	
Net income	1,222	0.6%		10,809	4.4%	9,587	784.5%	
Preferred dividends	(22,814)	(11.3)%		(23,379)	(9.6)%	565	2.5%	
Troiting arthuring		(11.3)/0		(20,017)				

Net income (loss) attributable to common						
stockholders	\$ (21,592)	(10.7)%	\$ (12,570)	(5.2)%	\$ 9,022	(41.8)%

34

Revenues

Total revenues increased \$42.9 million to \$244.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 from \$201.2 million for the same period in 2003. Excluding Off-Network Database Query Fees, total revenues increased \$46.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2004. The increase in revenues was primarily due to the introduction of our number portability services solution and strong volume growth in Technology Interoperability Services and Network Services revenues offset in part by decreases in Call Processing and Enterprise Solutions Services revenues.

During the fourth quarter of 2004, we renewed our contract with Verizon Wireless. Consistent with our overall pricing strategy, the terms of the new contract allow for additional price concessions that will, in the near term, reduce the combined revenues from this customer. In the long run, we believe these decreases will likely be offset in part by higher transaction volumes as well as additional service offerings to Verizon Wireless.

Technology Interoperability Services revenues increased \$4.3 million to \$54.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 from \$50.2 million for the same period in 2003. The increase in revenues was primarily due to organic volume growth in our wireless clearinghouse services, partially offset by a decline in per-transaction fees pursuant to our volume-based pricing strategy for certain services and a competitive pricing environment.

Network Services revenues increased \$16.5 million to \$97.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 from \$81.2 million for the same period in 2003. The increase in revenues was primarily due to strong volume growth in our Global System for Mobile Communication (GSM) transport and intelligent network database services, partially offset by a slight decline in per-transaction fees pursuant to our volume-based pricing strategy for certain of our services and a competitive pricing environment. In addition, one of our CLEC SS7 customers announced that they intend to move to an in-house solution. We expect this move to reduce 2005 Network Services revenues by approximately \$5 million.

Number Portability Services revenues increased \$33.3 million to \$34.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 from \$1.2 million for the same period in 2003. The increase in revenues was due to the November 24, 2003 introduction of our number portability services solution to carriers serving the top 100 Metropolitan Service Area markets in the United States and the subsequent introduction of number portability services to carriers serving the remaining Metropolitan Service Areas in the United States beginning on May 24, 2004. During the fourth quarter of 2004, we received notice from Sprint of its intention to move number portability error resolution services provided by us to its own internal platforms. We are currently in negotiations with Sprint over the timing of this transition. Until we complete these discussions, we will not be able to accurately predict the impact this will have on our future financial results.

Call Processing Services revenues decreased \$6.8 million to \$25.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 from \$32.6 million for the same period in 2003. The decline in call processing revenues was attributable to technology developments that have resulted in traditional call processing functionality being incorporated into more cost-effective SS7 network solutions. This has resulted in customers increasingly moving from our call processing solution to our SS7 network, a competitor s SS7 network, in-house SS7 networks and/or direct connections with roaming partners. We expect this decline to continue.

Enterprise Solutions Services revenues decreased \$0.4 million to \$10.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 from \$11.4 million for the same period in 2003. The decrease in revenues was primarily due to the discontinuation of our prepaid wireless solution.

Off-Network Database Queries revenues decreased \$3.9 million to \$20.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 from \$24.5 million for the same period in 2003. The decrease in revenues was primarily

35

driven by customers moving to direct access and billing arrangements with third-party intelligent network database providers. We pass off-network database query fees onto our customers, with little or no margin, based upon the charges we receive from the third-party database providers. We expect this decline to continue.

Expenses

Cost of operations increased \$24.6 million to \$105.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 from \$80.4 million for the same period in 2003. As a percentage of revenues, cost of operations increased from 39.9% to 43.0%. The increase was primarily due to the increased operational costs related to our new wireless number portability services.

Sales and marketing expenses increased \$1.4 million to \$15.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 from \$13.7 million for the same period in 2003. The increase was primarily due to approximately \$1.0 million of expenses related to the Syniverse name change and \$0.2 million in costs associated with our international expansion.

General and administrative expenses decreased \$0.3 million to \$27.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 from \$28.2 million for the same period in 2003. The decrease was primarily due to lower development expenses. Offsetting this decrease was a \$0.8 million increase in costs associated with our international expansion efforts and \$0.8 million in costs associated with potential acquisitions that were not consummated. As a percentage of revenues, general and administrative expenses decreased from 14.0% to 11.4%. This decrease was primarily due to an increase in revenues, while general and administrative expenses remained relatively stable.

Provision for (recovery of) uncollectible accounts decreased \$1.0 million to approximately \$30,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 from \$1.0 million for the same period in 2003. The decrease was due to reductions in the general reserve due to a lower number of customer bankruptcies and collection of previously delinquent balances.

Depreciation and amortization expenses increased \$2.8 million to \$30.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 from \$27.6 million for the same period in 2003. The increase was due primarily to higher depreciation and amortization expenses incurred in connection with our capital expenditures related to wireless local number portability and our SS7 network expansion in 2003 and \$0.7 million of amortization for the remaining trademark intangible asset related to our prior corporate name. Included in our depreciation and amortization expenses for the nine months ended 2004 and 2003 is approximately \$18.6 million and \$18.2 million, respectively, in amortization related to intangible assets recorded in purchase accounting due to our February 2002 acquisition from Verizon and from our December 2003 acquisition of Softwright Limited.

Restructuring expenses decreased \$2.2 million to \$0.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 from \$2.4 million for the same period in 2003. In April 2004, we completed a restructuring plan in connection with our acquisition of Syniverse Holdings Limited resulting in the termination of ten employees. As a result, we incurred \$0.3 million in severance related costs in April 2004. In February 2003, we completed another restructuring plan, resulting in the termination of 71 employees or approximately 10.6% of our workforce. As a result, we incurred \$1.8 million in severance related costs in February 2003. In July 2003, we also completed a restructuring resulting in the termination of five former Brience employees. As a result, we incurred \$0.6 million in severance related costs.

Impairment losses on intangible assets were \$9.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2004. The impairment losses are related to the capitalized software associated with our call processing platforms to be discontinued and the discontinuation of a carrier s use of our access billing services. There were no impairment losses on intangible assets for the nine months ended September 30, 2003.

36

Table of Contents

Interest income increased \$0.4 million to \$0.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 from \$0.5 million for the same period in 2003. The increase was due to higher service charges from our customers on past due accounts receivable in 2004, which we recognized on a cash basis.

Interest expense decreased \$4.4 million to \$40.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 from \$44.5 million for the same period in 2003. The decrease was primarily due to a lower principal balance on our existing senior credit facility. This decrease was offset by \$1.4 million of one-time costs incurred in the third quarter of 2004 associated with the September 30, 2004 amendment to our existing senior credit facility.

Provision for income taxes increased \$3.8 million to \$6.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 from \$2.7 million for the same period in 2003. In 2004, our provision represents the increase in deferred tax liabilities associated with nondeductible goodwill. The increase was primarily due to the continued recognition of a significant valuation allowance against our deferred tax assets. Primarily as a result of our impairment loss in the fourth quarter of 2003, we have concluded that it is appropriate to establish a full valuation allowance against our net deferred tax assets, excluding goodwill. The deferred tax assets arise primarily from federal net operating losses which expire between 2006 and 2023. These losses relate primarily to Brience s operations in periods prior to February 14, 2002. In addition, because we do not amortize goodwill for financial reporting purposes and cannot predict if or when this deferred tax liability will be utilized, we are unable to consider the associated deferred tax liabilities at December 31, 2003 in this analysis.

Preferred dividends were \$23.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 and \$22.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2003. The undeclared and unpaid preferred dividends relate to the 10% preferred yield on Syniverse Holdings, Inc. s class A cumulative redeemable convertible preferred stock issued on February 14, 2002. These dividends, which compound quarterly, earned a yield of 15% for the first year which ended February 14, 2003, and earn 10% thereafter. The amounts are recorded as a part of the class A redeemable preferred stock balance.

37

Comparison of 2003 to Combined Financial Statements for 2002

The following table presents an overview of our results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002:

	Prede	cessor	Succe	ssor	Succe	ssor		
	Period from January 1 to February 13, 2002	% of Revenues	Period from February 14 to December 31, 2002	% of Revenues	Year Ended December 31, 2003	% of Revenues	2002 vs. 2003	Change
	(dollars in	thousands)			(dollars in t	housands)		
Revenues:	(donars in	tilousalius)			(donars in t	ilousalius)		
Technology Interoperability Services	\$ 8,464	21.2%	\$ 70.215	23.7%	\$ 66,536	24.5%	\$ (12,143)	(15.4)%
Network Services	14,103	35.2%	99,647	33.7%	111,845	41.2%	(1,905)	(1.7)%
Number Portability Services	,	0.0%	860	0.3%	5,469	2.0%	4,609	535.9%
Call Processing Services	6,429	16.1%	46,336	15.7%	42,764	15.8%	(10,001)	(19.0)%
Enterprise Solutions	2,412	6.0%	17,869	6.0%	15,265	5.6%	(5,016)	(24.7)%
Revenues (excluding Off-Network								
Database Query Fees)	31,408	78.5%	234,927	79.4%	241,879	89.1%	(24,456)	(9.2)%
Off-Network Database Query Fees	8,588	21.5%	61,117	20.6%	29,529	10.9%	(40,176)	(57.6)%
Total revenues	\$ 39,996	100.0%	\$ 296,044	100.0%	\$ 271,408	100.0%	\$ (64,632)	(19.2)%
Costs and expenses:								
Cost of operations	20,655	51.6%	130,364	44.0%	109,744	40.4%	(41,275)	(27.3)%
Sales and marketing	2,614	6.5%	22,706	7.7%	18,631	6.9%	(6,689)	(26.4)%
General and administrative	3,001	7.5%	42,630	14.4%	39,881	14.7%	(5,750)	(12.6)%
Provision for (recovery of)	,		· ·		,		. , ,	
uncollectible accounts	1,340	3.4%	(693)	(0.2)%	466	0.2%	(181)	(28.0)%
Depreciations and amortization	1,464	3.7%	33,285	11.2%	37,319	13.8%	2,570	7.4%
Restructuring	·	0.0%	2,845	1.0%	2,164	0.8%	(681)	(23.9)%
Impairment losses on intangible assets		0.0%		0.0%	53,712	19.7%	53,712	100.0%
	29,074	72.7%	231,137	78.1%	261,917	96.5%	1,706	0.7%
			- —					
Operating income	10,922	27.3%	64,907	21.9%	9,491	3.5%	(66,338)	(87.5)%
Other income (expense), net:								
Interest Income	432	1.1%	965	0.4%	768	0.3%	(629)	(45.0)%
Interest expense		0.0%	(54,105)	(18.3)%	(58,128)	(21.4)%	(4,023)	7.4%
Other, net	(19)	(0.0)%	(275)	(0.1)%		0.0%	294	(100.0)%
	413	1.0%	(52 /115)	(18.0)%	(57.260)	(21.1)%	(4 259)	8.2%
	415	1.0%	(53,415)	(18.0)%	(57,360)	(21.1)%	(4,358)	0.2%
Income (loss) from continuing operations before provision for income	2							
taxes	11,335	28.3%	11,492	3.9%	(47,869)	(17.6)%	(70,696)	(309.7)%
Provision for income taxes	4,418	11.0%	9,320	3.2%	10,057	3.7%	(3,681)	(26.8)%
Income (loss) from continuing operations	6,917	17.3%	2,172	0.7%	(57,926)	(21.3)%	(67,015)	(737.3)%
operations	0,917	17.570	2,1/2	0.1%	(37,920)	(21.3)%	(07,013)	(131.3)%

Edgar Filing: SYNIVERSE HOLDINGS INC - Form S-1/A

Loss from discontinued operations		0.0%	(1,541)	(0.5)%		0.0%	1,541	(100.0)%
Net income (loss) Preferred dividends	6,917	17.3% 0.0%	631 (33,340)	0.2 % (11.2)%	(57,926) (30,230)	(21.3)% (11.2)%	(65,474) 3,110	(867.4)% (9.3)%
Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders	\$ 6,917	17.3%	\$ (32,709)	(11.0)%	\$ (88,156)	(32.5)%	\$ (62,364)	241.8%

Revenues

Total revenues were \$271.4 million for 2003 as compared to total combined revenues of \$336.0 million for 2002, which is the total of the revenues for the period from January 1, 2002 to February 13, 2002 and the period from February 14, 2002 to December 31, 2002. The decrease of \$64.6 million was primarily driven by a reduction in Off-Network Database Query fees of \$40.2 million. Excluding Off-Network Database Query fees, total revenues declined \$24.5 million in 2003. The primary drivers of this decrease were total reductions in revenues from Verizon Wireless of \$17.9 million, a \$7.6 million decline in call processing revenues from customers other than Verizon Wireless, a \$5.9 million decrease in revenues from Adelphia Business Systems and a \$3.2 million decrease in prepaid wireless services as a result of the termination of the contract of a customer which was acquired. These losses were partially offset by organic volume growth in Technology Interoperability Service and Network Services.

Technology Interoperability Services revenues were \$66.5 million for 2003 as compared to combined revenues of \$78.7 million for 2002. The decrease of \$12.1 million was due primarily to a reduction in Verizon Wireless revenues of \$5.6 million, a \$5.9 million decrease in Adelphia s carrier access billing revenues, and decreases due to contract renewals for certain customers at lower rates. Verizon s revenue decrease was primarily the result of clearinghouse volume declines associated with its continued internal billing system consolidation efforts, which reduced its requirements for our services. Revenues also declined due to lower per-transaction fees pursuant to our volume-based pricing strategy for certain services and a competitive pricing environment. These revenue decreases in our wireless clearinghouse services were partially offset by organic volume growth.

Network Services revenues were \$111.8 million for 2003 as compared to combined revenues of \$113.8 million for 2002. The primary driver of this \$1.9 million decrease was the \$12.4 million reduction of revenues from Verizon Wireless, offset by increased revenues generated by strong volume growth in our network transport and intelligent network database services. Associated with our volume growth was a decline in per-transaction fees pursuant to our volume-based pricing strategy and a competitive pricing environment.

Number Portability Services revenues were \$5.5 million for 2003, as compared to combined revenues of \$0.9 million for 2002. The \$4.6 million increase in 2004 was due to the November 24, 2003 launch of our wireless number portability services. Our 2003 results include only five weeks of revenues from these newly introduced services.

Call Processing Services revenues decreased \$10.0 million to \$42.8 million for 2003 as compared to combined revenues of \$52.8 million for 2002. Revenues from Verizon Wireless in this category declined \$2.4 million in 2003. The remaining decline was attributable to technology developments that have resulted in traditional call processing functionality being incorporated into more cost-effective and robust SS7 network solutions. This has resulted in customers increasingly moving from our call processing solution to our SS7 network, a competitor s SS7 network, in-house SS7 networks and/or direct connections with roaming partners. We expect this decline to continue.

Enterprise Solutions Services revenues were \$15.3 million for 2003 as compared to combined revenues of \$20.3 million for 2002. The decrease of \$5.0 million is primarily due to the anticipated loss of a customer using our prepaid wireless solution. We no longer offer this prepaid wireless service.

Off-Network Database Queries revenues were \$29.5 million for 2003 as compared to combined revenues of \$69.7 million for 2002. The decrease of \$40.2 million was driven by customers moving to direct billing arrangements with third-party intelligent network database providers. We pass off-network database query fees on to our customers, with little or no margin, based upon the charges we receive from the third-party database providers. We expect this decline to continue.

Expenses

Cost of operations was \$109.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2003. Cost of operations was \$20.7 million in the period from January 1, 2002 to February 13, 2002 and \$130.4 million in the period from February 14, 2002 to December 31, 2002. Combined cost of operations was \$151.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2002. The decrease of \$41.3 million for 2003 as compared to combined 2002 was primarily due to lower Off-Network Database Query Fees of \$40.2 million and savings due to the workforce restructurings that occurred in April and August of 2002 and February and July 2003. Cost of operations as a percentage of revenues were 40.4% in the year ended December 31, 2003, as compared to 51.6% in the period from January 1, 2002 to February 13, 2002, and 44.0% in the period from February 14, 2002 to December 31, 2002 for a combined total of 44.9% in the period from January 1, 2002 to December 31, 2002. This percentage decrease is primarily due to the decline in Off-Network Database Query Fees.

Sales and marketing expenses were \$18.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2003. Sales and marketing expenses were \$2.6 million in the period from January 1, 2002 to February 13, 2002 and \$22.7 million in the period from February 14, 2002 to December 31, 2002. Combined sales and marketing expenses were \$25.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2002. The decrease of \$6.7 million for 2003 as compared to combined 2002 was due to lower headcount and employee-related expenses within the sales and marketing organization resulting from the reductions in the workforce in April and August of 2002 and February and July 2003. Offsetting this decrease was a \$1.6 million increase in costs associated with our international expansion efforts. Sales and marketing expenses as a percentage of revenues were 6.9% in the year ended December 31, 2003, as compared to 6.5% in the period from January 1, 2002 to February 13, 2002 and 7.7% in the period from February 14, 2002 to December 31, 2002 for a combined total of 7.5% in the period from January 1, 2002 to December 31, 2002.

General and administrative expenses were \$39.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2003. General and administrative expenses were \$3.0 million in the period from January 1, 2002 to February 13, 2002 and \$42.6 million in the period from February 14, 2002 to December 31, 2002. Combined general and administrative expenses were \$45.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2002. The decrease of \$5.8 million for 2003 as compared to combined 2002 was due to lower development expenses, and reductions in our workforce in April and August of 2002 and February and July 2003. Offsetting this decrease was a \$0.6 million increase in costs associated with our international expansion efforts. General and administrative expenses as a percentage of revenues were 14.7% in the year ended December 31, 2003, as compared to 7.5% in the period from January 1, 2002 to February 13, 2002 and 14.4% in the period from February 14, 2002 to December 31, 2002 for a combined total of 13.6% in the period from January 1, 2002 to December 31, 2002.

Provision for (recovery of) uncollectible accounts was \$0.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2003. Provision for uncollectible accounts was \$1.3 million in the period from January 1, 2002 to February 13, 2002 and a net recovery of \$0.7 million in the period from February 14, 2002 to December 31, 2002. Combined provision for uncollectible accounts was \$0.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2002. The decrease of \$0.2 million for 2003 as compared to combined 2002 was due to a lower number of customer bankruptcies. Provision for uncollectible accounts as a percentage of revenues were 0.2% in the year ended December 31, 2003, as compared to 3.4% in the period from January 1, 2002 to February 13, 2002 and (0.2)% in the period from February 14, 2002 to December 31, 2002 for a combined total of 0.2% in the period from January 1, 2002 to December 31, 2002 to December 31, 2002.

Depreciation and amortization expenses were \$37.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2003. Depreciation and amortization expenses were \$1.5 million in the period from January 1, 2002 to February 13, 2002 and \$33.3 million in the period from February 14, 2002 to December 31, 2002. Combined depreciation and amortization expenses were \$34.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2002. The increase of \$2.6 million for 2003 as compared to combined 2002 was due to higher depreciation and amortization expenses related to intangible assets recorded in purchase accounting associated with our 2002 acquisition from Verizon and from the acquisition of Softwright. Included in our depreciation and amortization expenses for the year ended December 31, 2003 and the period from February 14, 2002 to December 31, 2002 is approximately \$24.2 million

40

Table of Contents

and \$20.0 million in amortization related to these acquisitions, respectively. Depreciation and amortization expenses as a percentage of revenues were 13.8% in the year ended December 31, 2003, as compared to 3.7% in the period from January 1, 2002 to February 13, 2002 and 11.2% in the period from February 14, 2002 to December 31, 2002 for a combined total of 10.3% in the period from January 1, 2002 to December 31, 2002.

Restructuring expenses were \$2.2 million in the year ended December 31, 2003. We had no restructuring expenses in the period from January 1, 2002 to February 13, 2002 and \$2.8 million of restructuring expenses in the period from February 14, 2002 to December 31, 2002. This resulted in a decrease of \$0.7 million for 2003 as compared to combined 2002. On February 28, 2003, we completed a restructuring plan, resulting in the termination of 71 employees or approximately 10.6% of our workforce. As a result, we accrued \$1.8 million in severance related costs in February 2003. In July 2003, we recorded an additional restructuring expense of \$0.6 million related to terminations made at the time of our merger with Brience. Restructuring expenses as a percentage of revenues were 0.8% in the year ended December 31, 2003, as compared to 0.0% in the period from January 1, 2002 to February 13, 2002 and 1.0% in the period from February 14, 2002 to December 31, 2002 for a combined total of 0.8% in the period from January 1, 2002 to December 31, 2002.

Impairment losses on intangible assets. Due to the re-branding and re-naming of Syniverse Technologies effective March 1, 2004, we recognized impairment losses of \$51.0 million related to the TSI Telecommunication Services Inc. trademark. We also recognized impairment losses of \$2.7 million related to capitalized software write-offs arising primarily due to the discontinuation of prepaid wireless services. Impairment losses on intangible assets as a percentage of revenues were 19.7% for 2003. There were no impairment losses in combined 2002.

Interest income was \$0.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2003. Interest income was \$0.4 million in the period from January 1, 2002 to February 13, 2002 and \$1.0 million in the period from February 14, 2002 to December 31, 2002. Combined interest income was \$1.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2002. The decrease of \$0.6 million for 2003 as compared to combined 2002 was due to the extinguishment of the note receivable from Verizon in February 2002, offset by improved collections from our customers in 2003 increasing our cash balances. Interest income as a percentage of revenues was 0.3% for the year ended December 31, 2003, as compared to 1.1% in the period from January 1, 2002 to February 13, 2002 and 0.4% in the period from February 14, 2002 to December 31, 2002, for a combined total interest income of 0.4% of revenues in the period from January 1, 2002 to December 31, 2002.

Interest expense was \$58.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2003. There was no interest expense in the period from January 1, 2002 to February 13, 2002. Interest expense was \$54.1 million in the period from February 14, 2002 to December 31, 2002. The decrease of \$4.0 million for 2003 as compared to combined 2002 was primarily due to a lower principal balance on our existing senior credit facility. Interest expense as a percentage of revenues was 21.4% in the year ended December 31, 2003, as compared to 0.0% in the period from January 1, 2002 to February 13, 2002 and 18.3% in the period from February 14, 2002 to December 30, 2002 for a combined total interest expense of 16.1% of revenues in the period from January 1, 2002 to December 31, 2002.

Provision for income taxes was \$10.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2003. Provision for income taxes was \$4.4 million in the period from January 1, 2002 to February 13, 2002 and \$9.3 million in the period from February 14, 2002 to December 31, 2002. Combined provision for income taxes was \$13.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2002. The decrease of \$3.7 million for 2003 as compared to combined 2002 was primarily due to the large loss for financial reporting purposes in 2003, primarily attributable to the impairment losses. Primarily as a result of our impairment loss in the fourth quarter of 2003, we concluded that it was appropriate to establish a full valuation allowance against our net deferred tax assets, excluding defined tax liabilities related to goodwill, resulting in a net tax expense of \$10.1 million. The deferred tax assets arise primarily from federal net operating losses which expire between 2006 and 2023. These net operating losses relate primarily to Brience s operations in periods prior to February 14, 2002. Because we do not amortize

Table of Contents

goodwill for financial reporting purposes and cannot predict if or when this deferred tax liability will turn, we are unable to consider the associated deferred tax liabilities in this analysis. Provision for income taxes as a percentage of revenues was 3.7% in the year ended December 31, 2003, as compared to 11.0% in the period from January 1, 2002 to February 13, 2002 and 3.2% in the period from February 14, 2002 to December 30, 2002, for a combined total of 4.1% in the period from January 1, 2002 to December 31, 2002.

Loss on discontinued operations was \$1.5 million for the period from February 14, 2002 to December 31, 2002. This loss is due to Brience s divestiture of its Hello Asia subsidiary in July 2002. Loss on discontinued operations as a percentage of revenues was 0.5% for 2002. There were no discontinued operations in 2003.

Preferred dividends were \$33.3 million in the period from February 14, 2002 to December 31, 2002 and \$30.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2003. The undeclared and unpaid preferred dividends relate to the 10% preferred yield on Syniverse Holdings, Inc. s class A cumulative redeemable convertible preferred stock issued on February 14, 2002. These dividends, which compound quarterly, earned a yield of 15% for the first year which ended on February 14, 2003 and earn 10% thereafter. The amounts are recorded as a part of the class A redeemable preferred stock balance.

42

Comparison of Combined Financial Statements for 2002 to Financial Statements for 2001

The following table presents an overview of our results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2002:

	Predecessor					Succe	ssor		
	Year Ended December 31, 2001	% of Revenues	Janua Febru	d from ary 1 to lary 13,	% of Revenues	Period from February 14 to December 31, 2002	% of Revenues	2001 vs. 2002	Change
		(1.II	41	.1.)			(1.11	1.)	
Revenues:		(dollars in	tnousar	ias)			(dollars in th	ousanas)	
Technology Interoperability									
Services	\$ 82,312	22.7%	\$	8,464	21.2%	\$ 70,215	23.7%	\$ (3,633)	(4.4)%
Network Services	105,369	29.2%		14,103	35.2%	99,647	33.7%	8,381	8.0%
Number Portability Services	103,307	0.0%		14,103	0.0%	860	0.3%	860	100.0%
Call Processing Services	65,241	18.1%		6,429	16.1%	46,336	15.7%	(12,476)	(19.1)%
Enterprise Solutions	39,319	10.9%		2,412	6.0%	17,869	6.0%	(19,038)	(48.4)%
Enciprise Solutions	37,317			2,712			0.070	(17,030)	(+0.+) //
Revenues (excluding									
Off-Network Database Query									
Fees)	292,241	80.9%		31,408	78.5%	234,927	79.4%	(25,906)	(8.9)%
Off-Network Database Query	292,241	60.9%		31,400	16.5%	234,921	19.4%	(23,900)	(8.9)%
Fees	69,117	19.1%		8,588	21.5%	61,117	20.6%	588	0.9%
rees	09,117	19.1%		0,300	21.5%		20.0%	300	0.9%
Total revenues	\$ 361,358	100.0%	\$:	39,996	100.0%	\$ 296,044	100.0%	\$ (25,318)	(7.0)%
Costs and expenses:	, , ,			,		, , , , ,		. (-) /	(111)
Cost of operations	169,025	46.8%		20,655	51.6%	130,364	44.0%	(18,006)	(10.7)%
Sales and marketing	24,348	6.7%		2,614	6.5%	22,706	7.7%	972	4.0%
General and administrative	41,245	11.4%		3,001	7.5%	42,630	14.4%	4,386	10.6%
Provision for (recovery of)	·					·		·	
uncollectible accounts	2,207	0.6%		1,340	3.4%	(693)	(0.2)%	(1,560)	(70.7)%
Depreciations and amortization	15,203	4.2%		1,464	3.7%	33,285	11.2%	19,546	128.6%
Restructuring	-,	0.0%		, -	0.0%	2,845	1.0%	2,845	100.0%
8									
	252.020	60.76		20.074	70.76	221 127	70.16	0.102	2.20
	252,028	69.7%		29,074	72.7%	231,137	78.1%	8,183	3.2%
	100.220			10.000	25.0%		21.00	(22.504)	(20.00
Operating income	109,330	30.3%		10,922	27.3%	64,907	21.9%	(33,501)	(30.6)%
Other income (expense), net:	2.002	4.40		100	4.40	0.65	0.46	(2.700)	(61.0) 01
Interest income	3,903	1.1%		432	1.1%	965	0.4%	(2,506)	(64.2)%
Interest expense	(00)	0.0%		(10)	0.0%	(54,105)	(18.3)%	(54,105)	100.0%
Other, net	(80)	(0.0)%		(19)	(0.0)%	(275)	(0.1)%	(214)	267.5%
	3,823	1.1%		413	1.0%	(53.415)	(18.0)%	(56,825)	(1486.4)%
	3,823	1.1 //		413	1.0 //	(33.413)	(16.0) //	(30,823)	(1480.4) //
Income from continuing									
operations before provision for									
income taxes	113,153	31.3%		11,335	28.3%	11,492	3.9%	(90,326)	(79.8)%
Provision for income taxes	43,895	12.1%		4,418	11.0%	9,320	3.2%	(30,157)	(68.7)%
110 (15) OH TO INCOME MACS	73,073	12.1 /0		т,-т10	11.070	7,520	5.2 /0	(50,157)	(00.7)70
Income from continuing									
operations	69,258	19.2%		6,917	17.3%	2,172	0.7%	(60,169)	(86.9)%
Loss from discontinued operations	,	0.0%			0.0%	(1,541)	(0.5)%	(1,541)	100.0%
					/-	\-,= ·-/	(===).0	(- ,)	

Net income	69,258	19.2%	6,917	17.3%	631	0.2%	(61,710)	(89.1)%
Preferred dividends		0.0%		0.0%	(33,340)	(11.2)%	(33,340)	100.0%
Net income (loss) attributable to								
common stockholders	\$ 69,258	19.2%	\$ 6,917	17.3%	\$ (32,709)	(11.0)%	\$ (95,050)	(137.2)%

Revenues

Total revenues were \$336.0 million for 2002, which is the total of the revenues for the period from January 1, 2002 to February 13, 2002 and the period from February 14, 2002 to December 31, 2002, as compared to revenues of \$361.4 million for 2001. Excluding Off-Network Database Query fees, total revenues declined \$25.9 million in 2002. The primary drivers of this decrease were reductions in revenues from Verizon Wireless of \$19.1 million, revenues from Cingular Wireless clearinghouse services of \$8.0 million, call processing revenues from customers other than Verizon Wireless of \$10.1 million and prepaid wireless revenues from customers other than Verizon Wireless of \$8.2 million. The reduction in revenues from Cingular Wireless was due to contractual arrangements made by Cingular Wireless parent upon the sale of its international clearinghouse business, whereby Cingular Wireless parent upon the sale of its international clearinghouse business. These revenue declines were partially offset by strong volume growth in Technology Interoperability Services and the addition of several new Network Services customers.

Technology Interoperability Services revenues were \$78.7 million for combined 2002 as compared to \$82.3 million for 2001. The primary drivers of this \$3.6 million decrease were the decreases of Verizon revenues by \$7.2 million and Cingular Wireless revenues by \$8.0 million. The Verizon revenues decline resulted primarily from Verizon consolidating certain internal billing systems, which reduced their requirements for our services. Cingular Wireless moved its clearinghouse business to the buyer as part of a multi-year agreement. This decrease was also attributable to a decline in per-transaction fees pursuant to our volume-based pricing strategy and a competitive pricing environment. The reduction was partially offset by strong organic growth in our wireless clearinghouse services.

Network Services revenues were \$113.8 million for combined 2002 as compared to revenues of \$105.4 million for 2001. The increase of \$8.4 million was due to organic volume growth in our network transport services and intelligent network database services and the addition of several new customers. This growth in revenue was partially offset by a decline in per-transaction fees pursuant to our volume-based pricing strategy and a competitive pricing environment.

Call Processing Services revenues decreased \$12.5 million to \$52.8 million for combined 2002 as compared to revenues of \$65.2 million for 2001. Verizon Wireless revenue declined \$2.3 million in 2002. The remaining decline was attributable to technology developments that have resulted in traditional call processing functionality being incorporated into more cost-effective SS7 network solutions. This has resulted in customers increasingly moving from our call processing solution to our SS7 network, a competitor s SS7 network, in-house SS7 networks and/or direct connections with roaming partners. We expect this decline to continue.

Enterprise Solutions Services revenues were \$20.3 million for combined 2002 as compared to revenues of \$39.3 million for 2001. The decrease was \$19.0 million during this period. Of this amount, \$4.4 million was related to Verizon Wireless consolidating its prepaid service with other Verizon affiliates and \$8.8 million was due to Verizon Wireless retaining the customer contracts related to the OnStar revenue stream at the time of our acquisition from Verizon. In addition, a non-recurring hardware sale of approximately \$6.5 million occurred in 2001.

Number Portability Services revenues were \$0.9 million for combined 2002 and related services rendered for carrier preparation for the 2003 introduction of wireless number portability.

Off-Network Database Queries revenues were \$69.7 million for combined 2002 as compared to revenues of \$69.1 million for 2001. The increase of \$0.6 million was driven by higher database transactions. We pass these charges on to our customers, with little or no margin, based upon the charges we receive from the third-party intelligent network database providers.

Expenses

Cost of operations was \$20.7 million in the period from January 1, 2002 to February 13, 2002 and \$130.4 million in the period from February 14, 2002 to December 31, 2002. Combined cost of operations was \$151.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2002. Cost of operations was \$169.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2001. The decrease of \$17.9 million for combined 2002 as compared to 2001 was primarily due to reduced pricing for data processing services and the workforce reductions that occurred in April and August of 2002. The reduced pricing for data processing services is primarily related to our separation from Verizon as we renegotiated our contract with Verizon for data processing services. Our cost savings from this process were approximately \$12.0 million in 2002. Cost of operations as a percentage of revenues were 51.6% in the period from January 1, 2002 to February 13, 2002, and 44.0% in the period from February 14, 2002 to December 31, 2002 for a combined total of 44.9% in the twelve-month period ended December 31, 2002, as compared to 46.8% in the year ended December 31, 2001. The percentage decline in 2002 was primarily due to reduced pricing for data processing services upon our separation from Verizon.

Sales and marketing expenses were \$2.6 million in the period from January 1, 2002 to February 13, 2002 and \$22.7 million in the period from February 14, 2002 to December 31, 2002. Combined sales and marketing expenses were \$25.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2002. Sales and marketing expenses were \$24.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2001. The increase of \$1.0 million for combined 2002 as compared to 2001 is primarily due to the inclusion of historical Brience results which were \$3.3 million in 2002, offset by lower headcount and employee-related expenses within the sales organization resulting from the reductions in workforce. Sales and marketing expenses as a percentage of revenues were 6.5% in the period from January 1, 2002 to February 13, 2002 and 7.7% in the period from February 14, 2002 to December 31, 2002 for a combined total of 7.5% in the period from January 1, 2002 to December 31, 2002, as compared to 6.7% in the period from January 1, 2001 to December 31, 2001.

General and administrative expenses were \$3.0 million in the period from January 1, 2002 to February 13, 2002 and \$42.6 million in the period from February 14, 2002 to December 31, 2002. Combined general and administrative expenses were \$45.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2002. General and administrative expenses were \$41.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2001. The increase of \$4.4 million for combined 2002 as compared to 2001 is primarily due to the inclusion of historical Brience results, which were \$9.2 million in 2002, offset by lower development expenses as well as the reductions in workforce, which occurred in April and August of 2002. General and administrative expenses as a percentage of revenues were 7.5% in the period from January 1, 2002 to February 13, 2002 and 14.4% in the period from February 14, 2002 to December 31, 2002 for a combined total of 13.6% in the period from January 1, 2002 to December 31, 2002, as compared to 11.4% in the period from January 1, 2001 to December 31, 2001. This percentage increase is primarily due to Brience s general and administrative expenses which were higher than its revenues.

Provision for (recovery of) uncollectible accounts was \$1.3 million in the period from January 1, 2002 to February 13, 2002 and (\$0.7) million in the period from February 14, 2002 to December 31, 2002. Combined provision for (recovery of) uncollectible accounts was \$0.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2002. Provision for (recovery of) uncollectible accounts was \$2.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2001. The decrease of \$1.6 million for combined 2002 as compared to 2001 is primarily due to lowered allowances related to our Competitive Local Exchange Carrier customers. Provision for (recovery of) uncollectible accounts as a percentage of revenues were 3.4% in the period from January 1, 2002 to February 13, 2002 and (0.2%) in the period from February 14, 2002 to December 31, 2002 for a combined total of 0.2% in the period from January 1, 2002 to December 31, 2001.

Depreciation and amortization expenses were \$1.5 million in the period from January 1, 2002 to February 13, 2002 and \$33.3 million in the period from February 14, 2002 to December 31, 2002. Combined depreciation and amortization expenses were \$34.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2002. Depreciation and amortization expenses were \$15.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2001. The increase of \$19.6 million for combined 2002 as compared to 2001 is primarily due to higher depreciation and amortization expenses related to intangible

45

Table of Contents

assets recorded in purchase accounting associated with our 2002 acquisition from Verizon. Included in our depreciation and amortization expenses for the year ended December 31, 2002 is approximately \$20.0 million in amortization related to our acquisition from Verizon. Depreciation and amortization expenses as a percentage of revenues were 3.7% in the period from January 1, 2002 to February 13, 2002 and 11.2% in the period from February 14, 2002 to December 31, 2002 for a combined total of 10.3% in the period from January 1, 2002 to December 31, 2002, as compared to 4.2% in the period from January 1, 2001 to December 31, 2001.

Restructuring. On August 29, 2002, we completed a restructuring resulting in the termination of 73 employees, or approximately 10% of the workforce at that time. As a result, we accrued \$2.8 million in severance related costs in August 2002. Restructuring expense as a percentage of revenues was 1.0% in the period from February 14, 2002 to December 31, 2002 for a combined total restructuring expense of 0.8% of revenues in the period from January 1, 2002 to December 31, 2002.

Interest income was \$0.4 million in the period from January 1, 2002 to February 13, 2002 and \$1.0 million in the period from February 14, 2002 to December 31, 2002. Combined interest income was \$1.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2002. Interest income was \$3.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2001. The decrease of \$2.5 million for combined 2002 as compared to 2001 is primarily due to the extinguishment of the note receivable from Verizon in February 2002. Interest income as a percentage of revenues was 1.1% in the period from January 1, 2002 to February 13, 2002 and 0.4% in the period from February 14, 2002 to December 31, 2002, for a combined total interest income of 0.4% of revenues in the period from January 1, 2002 to December 31, 2002, down from 1.1% of revenues in the period from January 1, 2001 to December 31, 2001.

Interest expense. There was no interest expense in the period from January 1, 2002 to February 13, 2002. Interest expense was \$54.1 million in the period from February 14, 2002 to December 31, 2002. There was no interest expense in the year ended December 31, 2001. The increase of \$54.1 million for combined 2002 as compared to 2001 is due to the issuance of debt in connection with the acquisition of Syniverse. Interest expense as a percentage of revenues was 18.3% in the period from February 14, 2002 to December 31, 2002 for a combined total interest expense of 16.1% of revenues in the period from January 1, 2002 to December 31, 2002.

Provision for income taxes was \$4.4 million in the period from January 1, 2002 to February 13, 2002 and \$9.3 million in the period from February 14, 2002 to December 31, 2002. Combined provision for income taxes was \$13.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2002. Provision for income taxes was \$43.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2001. The decrease of \$30.2 million for combined 2002 as compared to 2001 is primarily due to our lower net income due to higher net interest expense associated with the debt incurred in 2002 and higher depreciation and amortization expense in connection with our acquisition. Provision for income taxes as a percentage of revenues was 11.0% in the period from January 1, 2002 to February 13, 2002 and 3.2% in the period from February 14, 2002 to December 31, 2002, for a combined total of 4.1% in the period from January 1, 2002 to December 31, 2001 to December 31, 2001.

Preferred dividends were \$33.3 million in the period from February 14, 2002 to December 31, 2002. The undeclared and unpaid dividends relate to 15% preferred yield on the Syniverse Holdings, Inc. s class A cumulative redeemable convertible preferred stock issued on February 14, 2002. These dividends, which compound quarterly, earned a yield of 15% for the first year which ends on February 14, 2003 and earn 10% thereafter. The amounts are recorded as a part of the class A redeemable preferred stock balance.

46

Selected Quarterly Results of Operations

The following table sets forth selected unaudited statement of income data for the seven quarters ended September 30, 2004, both in dollar amounts and as a percentage of total revenues. This data should be read in conjunction with the audited financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2003 and the nine months ended September 30, 2004 and related notes included elsewhere in this prospectus. Generally, there is a seasonal increase in wireless roaming telephone usage and corresponding revenues in the high-travel months of the second and third fiscal quarters.

Quarter Ended

	Quality Zhave								
	March 31, 2003	June 30, 2003	September 30, 2003	December 31, 2003	March 31, 2004	June 30, 2004	September 30, 2004		
				dollars in thousan	ds)				
Revenues:					,				
Technology Interoperability Services	\$ 14,544	\$ 17,073	\$ 18,628	\$ 16,290	\$ 15,279	\$ 18,309	\$ 20,919		
Network Services	27,380	26,973	26,888	30,605	29,811	34,857	33,086		
Number Portability Services	274	461	465	4,269	11,132	11,772	11,560		
Call Processing Services	10,178	11,147	11,311	10,128	9,312	8,242	8,278		
Enterprise Solutions	3,898	3,683	3,795	3,889	3,724	3,696	3,508		
Revenues excluding Off-Network									
Database Query Fees.	56.274	59,337	61.087	65,181	69,258	76,876	77,351		
Off-Network Database Query Fees	8,038	8,139	8,361	4,991	7,412	8,065	5,129		
On-Network Database Query Lees		0,137			7,712		5,127		
Total revenues	64,312	67,476	69,448	70,172	76,670	84,941	82,480		
Total costs and expenses	52,024	50,593	50,683	108,617	59,589	60,267	67,668		
Operating income	12,288	16,883	18,765	(38,445)	17,081	24,674	14,812		
Other income (expense), net	(16,926)	(13,777)	(13,277)	(13,380)	(13,766)	(11,966)	(13,518)		
Income (loss) before provision for income									
taxes	(4,638)	3,106	5,488	(51,825)	3,315	12,708	1,294		
Provision for income taxes	(1,459)	1,819	2,374	7,323	2,104	2,088	2,316		
Trovision for medice taxes	(1,439)	1,019		7,323	2,104	2,088	2,310		
Net income (loss)	(3,179)	1,287	3,114	(59,148)	1,211	10,620	(1.022)		
Preferred dividends	(8,521)	(7,059)	(7,235)	(7,416)	(7,601)	(7,791)	(7,986)		
Net income (loss) attributable to common									
stockholders	\$ (11,700)	\$ (5,772)	\$ (4,121)	\$ (66,564)	\$ (6,390)	\$ 2,829	\$ (9,008)		

Percentage of Total Revenues for the Quarter Ended

	March 31,	June 30,	September 30,	December 31,	March 31,	June 30,	September 30,
	2003	2003	2003	2003	2004	2004	2004
Revenues:							
Technology Interoperability							
Services	22.6%	25.3%	26.8%	23.2%	19.9%	21.6%	25.4%
Network Services	42.6%	40.0%	38.7%	43.6%	38.9%	41.0%	40.1%
Number Portability Services	0.4%	0.7%	0.7%	6.1%	14.5%	13.9%	14.0%
Call Processing Services	15.8%	16.5%	16.3%	14.4%	12.1%	9.7%	10.0%
Enterprise Solutions	6.1%	5.5%	5.5%	5.5%	4.9%	4.4%	4.3%
Revenues excluding Off-Network Database							
Query Fees.	87.5%	87.9%	88.0%	92.9%	90.3%	90.5%	93.8%
Off-Network Database Query Fees	12.5%	12.1%	12.0%	7.1%	9.7%	9.5%	6.2%
Total revenues	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Total costs and expenses	80.9%	75.0%	73.0%	154.8%	77.7%	71.0%	82.0%
Operating income	19.1%	25.0%	27.0%	(54.8)%	22.3%	29.0%	18.0%
Other income (expense), net	(26.3)%	(20.4)%	(19.1)%	(19.1)%	(18.0)%	(14.1)%	(16.4)%
Income (loss) before							
provision for income taxes	(7.2)%	4.6%	7.9%	(73.9)%	4.3%	15.0%	1.6%
Provision for income taxes	(2.3)%	2.7%	3.4%	10.4%	2.7%	2.5%	2.8%
Net income (loss)	(4.9)%	1.9%	4.5%	(84.3)%	1.6%	12.5%	(1.2)%
Preferred dividends	(13.2)%	(10.5)%	(10.4)%	(10.6)%	(9.9)%	(9.2)%	(9.7)%
Net income (loss) attributable							
to common stockholders	(18.2)%	(8.6)%	(5.9)%	(94.9)%	(8.3)%	3.3%	(10.9)%

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Cash Flow Information

During the nine months ended September 30, 2004, our operations generated \$54.3 million of cash as compared to \$35.1 million for the comparable period in 2003. The increase was primarily attributable to higher net income in the nine months ended September 30, 2004. Cash and cash equivalents were \$13.0 million at September 30, 2004 as compared to \$8.3 million at December 31, 2003. This increase was due primarily to higher net income and lower debt service requirements. Our working capital increased \$45.3 million, to \$43.8 million at September 30, 2004 from a negative \$1.5 million at December 31, 2003. This increase in working capital was primarily due to the increase in our accounts receivable driven by the implementation of our Number Portability Services solution and a decrease in our current liabilities due to lower current maturities as a result of the third amendment of our existing senior credit facility. Capital expenditures for property and equipment, including capitalized software costs, increased to \$17.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 from \$12.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2003.

During the year ended December 31, 2003, our operations generated \$48.4 million of cash compared to \$60.9 million for the comparable period in 2002. The decrease was primarily attributable to increased interest payments made in the year ended December 31, 2003. Cash and cash equivalents were \$8.3 million at December 31, 2003 as compared to \$42.2 million at December 31, 2002. This decrease was due primarily to the payment of our \$37.3 million excess cash sweep required under our existing senior credit facility in March 2003. A similar excess cash sweep payment of \$3.0 million was required in March 2004. Our working capital decreased \$8.7 million, to negative \$1.5 million at December 31, 2003 from \$7.2 million at December 31, 2002. This decrease in working capital was primarily due to the increase in scheduled debt service required by our existing senior credit facility in 2004. Capital expenditures for property and equipment, including capitalized software costs, increased to \$18.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2003 from \$12.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2002. Dividends paid to Verizon, excluding non-cash distributions, were \$11.3 million in 2002.

During the combined year ended December 31, 2002, our operations generated \$60.9 million of cash compared to \$131.3 million for the comparable period in 2001. The decrease was primarily attributable to income tax payments made during the period from January 1, 2002 to February 13, 2002, the payment of closing costs related to our acquisition from Verizon, the inclusion of historical Brience results and interest payments and debt service payments made during the period from February 14, 2002 to December 31, 2002. Cash and cash equivalents were \$42.2 million at December 31, 2002 as compared to \$0.3 million at December 31, 2001, since we participated in a cash sweep program with Verizon prior to our acquisition from Verizon. Our working capital decreased \$99.5 million, to \$7.2 million at December 31, 2002 from \$106.7 million at December 31, 2001, primarily due to the elimination of the note receivable from Verizon at the acquisition date, payment of the current portion of term note B and higher transition-related expense accruals. Capital expenditures for property and equipment, including capitalized software costs, increased to \$12.9 million for the combined year ended December 31, 2002 from \$10.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2001. Dividends paid to Verizon, excluding non-cash distributions, were \$11.3 million in 2002 and \$33.8 million in 2001.

For fiscal 2003, we spent approximately \$18.3 million for capital expenditures, primarily for investment in Wireless Local Number Portability and our SS7 network expansion. For the nine months ended September 30, 2004, we spent approximately \$17.4 million for capital expenditures, primarily for investment in our SS7 network. For 2004 as a whole, we expect to spend approximately \$23.0 million for capital expenditures, primarily for our SS7 network expansion and infrastructure to support our products. We expect capital expenditures in 2005 to be within the range of the last two years.

Our acquisition from Verizon was financed through borrowings of \$298.7 million under our existing senior credit facility, the sale of \$245 million in aggregate principal amount of our 12 3/4% senior subordinated notes due 2009 in a private placement and the sale by Syniverse Holdings, LLC of preferred and common units for approximately \$255.3 million in cash.

Debt and Credit Facilities

Existing Senior Credit Facility

In February 2002, we entered into our existing senior credit facility, which provides for aggregate borrowings of up to \$328.3 million. The facility is comprised of a revolving credit facility of up to \$35.0 million in revolving credit loans and letters of credit with the funds available for general corporate purposes including working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions and a term loan B facility of \$293.3 million in term loans. The revolving line of credit and the term note each bear interest at variable rates based on, at our option, LIBOR or the greater of the Prime Rate and the weighted average of the rates on overnight federal funds transactions plus 0.5%.

In May 2002, we repaid \$5.4 million of the outstanding revolving credit facility. Draws and repayments are made against the revolving credit facility as needed.

On September 25, 2003, we amended our existing senior credit facility to: (i) increase the maximum consolidated leverage and consolidated senior debt ratios; (ii) reduce the minimum consolidated interest coverage ratios beginning with the third and fourth fiscal quarters of 2003 and the four fiscal quarters of 2004, 2005 and beyond; and (iii) reduce the minimum consolidated fixed charge coverage ratio. In addition, the amendment increased the permitted level of capital expenditures for fiscal years 2004 and 2005 and clarified that the operations of Brience for periods prior to its acquisition would not be included in the covenant calculation.

On March 11, 2004, we further amended our existing senior credit facility to: (i) provide for the incurrence under our existing senior credit facility of new additional tranche B term loans, which refinanced, in full, all remaining outstanding tranche B term loans and (ii) reduce the percentage of excess cash flow which must be applied to prepay the loans to 75%. The applicable margin with respect to additional tranche B term loans was reduced to 2.5% for base rate loans and 3.5% for eurodollar loans.

On September 30, 2004, we further amended our existing senior credit facility to: (i) provide for the incurrence of new tranche B term loans, which refinanced, in full, all remaining outstanding tranche B term loans; (ii) increase the amount available under our existing senior credit facility by \$44.5 million with borrowings of \$44.5 million to fund a portion of the acquisition of the wireless clearinghouse business of IOS North America; (iii) amend various financial and other covenants; and (iv) extend the quarterly installment payment obligations of the tranche B term loans from a period ending December 31, 2006 to a period ending September 30, 2010. The applicable margin with respect to new tranche B term loans has been reduced to 2.0% for base rate loans and 3.0% for eurodollar loans.

As of September 30, 2004, we had an aggregate face amount of \$240.7 million of outstanding indebtedness under our existing senior credit facility representing the term note B facility, which bears interest at a variable rate based on (4.8% weighted average interest rate at September 30, 2004) and has a final maturity of September 30, 2010. As of September 30, 2004, there was \$35.0 million available under the revolving credit facility, which has a final maturity of December 31, 2006.

Our existing senior credit facility contains various restrictive covenants. It prohibits us from prepaying other indebtedness, including our 12 ³/4% senior subordinated notes, and it requires us to maintain specified financial ratios, such as a minimum ratio of pro forma EBITDA to interest expense, a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio, a maximum ratio of senior debt to pro forma EBITDA and a maximum ratio of total debt to pro forma EBITDA and satisfy other financial condition tests including limitations on capital expenditures. In addition, our existing senior credit

facility prohibits us from declaring or paying any dividends and prohibits us from making any payments with respect to our $12^{3}/4\%$ senior subordinated notes if we fail to perform our obligations under, or fail to meet the conditions of, our existing senior credit facility or if payment creates a default under our existing senior credit facility. We believe we are in compliance with all of the covenants contained in our existing senior credit facility as of September 30, 2004.

50

In connection with this offering, we intend to refinance our existing senior credit facility with a new \$290.0 million senior credit facility, which we anticipate will contain more favorable terms with respect to, among other things, interest rates and covenants.

Existing Senior Subordinated Notes

As of September 30, 2004, we had approximately \$245 million in aggregate principal amount of our 12 ³/4% senior subordinated notes outstanding, which bear interest at a rate of 12 ³/4% per annum and have a final maturity on February 1, 2009. The indenture governing our senior subordinated notes, among other things: (i) restricts our ability and the ability of our subsidiaries to incur additional indebtedness, issue shares of preferred stock, incur liens, pay dividends or make certain other restricted payments and enter into certain transactions with affiliates; (ii) prohibits certain restrictions on the ability of our subsidiaries to pay dividends or make certain payments to us, and (iii) places restrictions on our ability and the ability of our subsidiaries to merge or consolidate with any other person or sell, assign, transfer, lease, convey or otherwise dispose of all or substantially all of our assets. The indenture related to these notes and our existing senior credit facility also contain various covenants which limit our discretion in the operation of our businesses. As of September 30, 2004, we believe we are in compliance with all of the covenants contained in the indenture governing our senior subordinated notes.

As a part of this offering, we intend to tender for approximately \$85.8 million in aggregate principal amount of our senior subordinated notes. Our semi-annual interest payments after our proposed tender will be approximately \$10.2 million every six months, or a total of \$88.0 million over the remaining term of our senior subordinated notes.

New Senior Credit Facility

In connection with this offering, we anticipate entering into a new \$290.0 million senior credit facility, consisting of a \$240.0 million term loan and a \$50.0 million revolving credit facility. Affiliates of certain of the underwriters may participate as lenders under our new senior credit facility. We intend to use borrowings under the term loan, together with proceeds of the offering contemplated hereby, to repay all of our existing borrowings under our existing senior credit facility and to effect a tender offer for a portion of our 12 3/4% senior subordinated notes.

The final terms of our new senior credit facility are still being discussed with our principal lenders, but based on such discussions, we currently believe that the terms of our new senior credit facility will be as described herein. The actual terms of our new senior credit facility may ultimately be changed once the final terms are agreed with our lenders. Borrowings under our new senior credit facility are expected to bear interest at a floating rate, which can be either a base rate, or at our option, a LIBOR rate, plus an applicable margin of %. Our new senior credit facility is expected to contain various financial covenants, including a maximum ratio of total indebtedness to EBITDA and a minimum ratio of EBITDA to interest expense. Our new senior credit facility is expected to also contain covenants restricting certain corporate actions, including asset dispositions, acquisitions, dividends, changes of control, incurring indebtedness, making loans and investments and transactions with affiliates. Our new senior credit facility is expected to be collateralized by substantially all of our assets and will contain customary events of default.

Following the completion of this offering, we believe that cash flow from operating activities together with available borrowings under our new senior credit facility will be sufficient to fund our currently anticipated working capital, planned capital spending and debt service requirements for at least the next twelve months. We do not need the proceeds of this offering to continue operations for the next twelve months. We regularly review acquisitions and additional strategic opportunities, which may require additional debt or equity financing. We currently do not have any pending agreements or understandings with respect to any acquisitions or strategic opportunities.

Certain Noncash Charges Related to this Offering

We anticipate incurring a pre-tax, noncash charge of approximately \$\) million on the early extinguishment of debt with the proceeds of this offering. This relates to the write-off of \$\) unamortized deferred financing costs, and \$\) million of unamortized debt discount, relating to the existing senior credit facility and the tendered portion of the senior subordinated notes, and \$\) million related to the prepayment premium on the tendered portion of the senior subordinated notes.

Effect of Inflation

Inflation generally affects us by increasing our cost of labor, equipment and new materials. We do not believe that inflation has had any material effect on our results of operations during the nine months ended September 30, 2004 and 2003.

Non-GAAP Financial Measures

EBITDA

We determine EBITDA by adding net interest expense, income taxes, depreciation and amortization to net income (loss). Reconciliations of both net income (loss) and cash flows from operations to EBITDA are presented in the financial tables contained herein.

We rely on EBITDA as a primary measure to review and assess the operating performance of our company and our management team in connection with our executive compensation and bonus plans. We also use EBITDA to compare our current operating results with corresponding periods and with the operating results of other companies in our industry. We believe that it is useful to investors to provide disclosures of our operating results on the same basis as that used by our management. We also believe that it can assist investors in comparing our performance to that of other companies on a consistent basis without regard to depreciation, amortization, interest or taxes, which do not directly affect our operating performance. In addition, we also utilize EBITDA as an assessment of our liquidity and our ability to meet our debt service obligations and satisfy our debt covenants, which are partially based on EBITDA. EBITDA has limitations as an analytical tool, and you should not consider it in isolation, or as a substitute for net income, cash flows from operating activities and other consolidated income or cash flows statement data prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. Some of these limitations are:

EBITDA does not reflect our cash expenditures or future requirements for capital expenditures or contractual commitments;

EBITDA does not reflect changes in, or cash requirements for, our working capital needs;

EBITDA does not reflect the significant interest expense, or the cash requirements necessary to service interest or principal payments, on our debt;

Although depreciation and amortization are non-cash charges, the assets being depreciated and amortized will often have to be replaced in the future and EBITDA does not reflect any cash requirements for such replacements;

EBITDA does not reflect income taxes or the cash requirements for any tax payments; and

Other companies in our industry may calculate EBITDA differently than we do, thereby limiting its usefulness as a comparative measure.

Because of these limitations, EBITDA should not be considered a measure of discretionary cash available to us to invest in the growth of our business or as a measure of performance in compliance with GAAP. We compensate for these limitations by relying primarily on our GAAP results and using EBITDA only supplementally. See our consolidated statements of operations and our consolidated statements of cash flows included in our financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus.

52

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The preparation of our financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect our reported amounts of assets and liabilities, revenues and expenses. We consider an accounting estimate to be critical if it requires assumptions to be made that were uncertain at the time the estimate was made and changes in the estimate or different estimates that could have been selected could have a material impact on our consolidated results of operations or financial condition. We have identified the following critical accounting policies that affect the more significant estimates and judgments.

Revenue Recognition

We derive revenues from six categories: Technology Interoperability Services, Network Services, Number Portability Services, Call Processing Services, Enterprise Solutions and Off-Network Database Queries. The revenue recognition policy for each of these areas is described under Revenues above:

Due to our billing cycles, for which some of our products lag as much as 60 days after services are rendered, we estimate the amounts of unbilled revenue each reporting period. Our estimates are based on recent volume and pricing trends adjusted for material changes in contracted service, because actual information is not available immediately. Based on a retrospective review of our actual billings compared to our estimates, our estimates have been reasonable. Historically, our estimates have approximated our actual subsequently billed revenue. Unanticipated changes in volume and pricing trends or material changes in contracted service could adversely affect our estimates of unbilled revenue. This estimate is critical to our financial statements because it impacts revenue and amounts recorded as accounts receivable on our balance sheet.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

We maintain allowances for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from the inability of our customers to pay their invoices to us in full. We regularly review the adequacy of our accounts receivable allowance after considering the size of the accounts receivable balance, each customer s expected ability to pay and our collection history with each customer. A portion of this analysis is dependent on our ability to gather reliable information about our customers—specific circumstances. As part or our analysis, we review significant invoices that are past due to determine if an allowance is necessary based on the risk category using the factors described above. Our estimates of allowances for doubtful accounts have tracked well with our actual experience of customers who are unable to pay their invoices in full. However, uncollectible accounts that are not identified or properly assessed in our review could have a significant impact on our bad debt provision. In addition, if our customers financial condition or the economy in general deteriorates, we may need to increase these allowances for doubtful accounts. Our allowance for doubtful accounts has approximated our bad debt experience.

Allowance for Credit Memos

We maintain a general reserve based on our historical credit memo activity. In addition, we establish credit memo reserves resulting from specific customer matters. This allowance is recorded as a direct reduction of accounts receivable. Since our allowances for credit memos are derived in large part from specific customer matters, our estimates have tracked well with our actual credit memo experience. If our billing errors or discrepancies are not resolved satisfactorily or our customers—disputes over billing are not resolved satisfactorily, increases to the

allowance would be required. Recently, we have resolved some of these customer matters more favorably than originally estimated but we can not provide any assurance this will continue.

Impairment Losses on Long-Lived Assets

We review our long-lived assets, including property and equipment and intangibles with definite lives for impairment when events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying value of such assets may not be recoverable. We also evaluate the useful life of our assets each reporting period, and if deemed to be shorter than originally estimated, this would result in an increase in our annual depreciation and/or amortization expense. Other than the decision to abandon our trademark, we have not had reason to adjust our estimated lives on these assets.

53

The impairment review consists of a comparison of the carrying value of the assets with the assets expected future undiscounted cash flows without interest costs. Estimates of expected future cash flows are management s best estimate based on reasonable and supportable assumptions and projections, but involve circumstances beyond our control. For example, in the fourth quarter of 2003 we recorded an impairment charge of \$51.0 million to reflect the impairment of the trademark value associated with our previous corporate name, when we determined that we would change our name in early 2004. We also recorded impairment charges related to capitalized software costs of \$2.7 million and \$8.9 million in 2003 and the nine months ended September 30, 2004, respectively, due to anticipated declines or cessations in certain of our service offerings. If actual market conditions are less favorable than those projected by management, asset impairment charges may be required. Management continues to evaluate overall industry and company-specific circumstances and conditions to identify indicators of impairment.

Impairment Losses on Goodwill and Trademark

We evaluate goodwill and our nonamortizable intangible assets, such as trademarks, for impairment at least annually, or more frequently if indicators of impairment arise, in accordance with the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 142 (SFAS 142), *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets.* Our evaluation consists of measuring goodwill and the trademark by using a discounted cash flow model and comparing those fair values to the carrying values. An impairment loss would be recognized to the extent that the carrying amount exceeds the asset s fair value. Estimates of expected future cash flows represent management s best estimate based on reasonable and supportable assumptions and projections. If actual market conditions are less favorable than those projected by management, an impairment loss may be required to be recognized. Management will continue to evaluate overall industry and company specific circumstances and conditions as necessary. After the first quarter of 2004, we have no amounts recorded as trademark due to the impairment loss described above hence only goodwill is now subject to this review.

Restructuring

We have made estimates of the costs to be incurred as a part of our initial restructuring plan in February 2002 arising from our acquisition. These amounts were accrued as a part of our purchase accounting adjustments. We have also made estimates of the costs to be incurred as a part of our August 2002, February 2003, July 2003, December 2003, April 2004 and September 2004 restructurings. We will review these estimates until fully paid. If our original estimates of the costs of any particular restructuring costs change, we will need to adjust our reserve amounts which could change our operating income results. Historically, we have had few changes in estimates for these accruals, since we generally know the specifics at the time we adopt a restructuring plan.

Loss Contingencies

We are involved in asserted and unasserted claims, which arise in the ordinary course of our business. We routinely evaluate whether a loss is probable, and if so, whether it can be estimated. Estimates are based on similar case law matters, consultation with subject matter experts and information obtained through negotiations with counter-parties. As such, accurately depicting the outcome of pending litigation requires considerable judgment and is subject to material differences on final settlement. Accruals for probable losses are recorded in accrued expenses, or in our allowance for credit memos if the dispute relates to a customer matter. If our assessment of the probability is inaccurate, we may need to record additional accruals or reduce recorded accruals later. In addition, we may need to adjust our estimates of the probable loss amounts as further information is obtained or we consider settlements. Historically, we have had few changes in estimates for these accruals.

Purchase Accounting

We have made estimates of the fair values of the assets acquired as of February 14, 2002, the Softwright acquisition in December 2003 and the acquisition of IOS North America in September 2004, based primarily on appraisals from third parties and also based on certain internally generated information. If the subsequent actual and updated projections of the underlying business activity change as compared to the underlying assumptions and projections used to develop these fair values, then we could experience impairment losses, as described

54

above. In addition, we have estimated the economic lives of certain of these assets and these lives were used to calculate depreciation and amortization expense. If our estimates of the economic lives change, then additional depreciation or amortization expense could be incurred on an annual basis. We have not made any changes in these areas.

Income Taxes

We review our deferred tax assets on a regular basis to evaluate their recoverability based on projections of the turnaround timing of our deferred tax liabilities, projections of future taxable income, and tax planning strategies that we might employ to utilize such assets, including net operating loss carryforwards. Unless it is more likely than not that we will recover such assets through the above means, we establish a valuation allowance. The effective tax rate differs from the statutory tax rate due primarily to changes in the valuation allowance. Brience had incurred net operating losses since inception and hence was unable to recognize the benefit of these losses in its financial statements tax provision.

As a result of a review undertaken at December 31, 2003 and each quarter since then, we concluded that it was appropriate to establish a valuation allowance for our net deferred tax assets excluding deferred tax liabilities related to goodwill. Deferred tax liabilities arising from goodwill were excluded from our consideration of the valuation allowance because goodwill is not amortized for book purposes. As a result, the timing of the turnaround of these deferred tax liabilities is not predictable in a manner that would allow us to offset the deferred tax assets. We have significant NOLs, many of which we succeeded to as a result of our merger with Brience. All of our NOLs, and those NOLs in particular, remain subject to examination and adjustment by the Internal Revenue Service. In addition, our ability to utilize the NOLs is limited to our ability to generate taxable income, various limitations of the separate return limitation year (SRLY) rules and may also be further limited by other business actions which we might take in the future, such as those which could trigger an ownership change. If our profitability improves, we could conclude that the valuation allowance should be reduced and this would favorably impact our effective tax rate.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

On December 16, 2004, the FASB issued Statement No. 123(R) (SFAS 123(R)), Share Based Payment. This change in accounting replaces existing requirements. The statement covers a wide range of equity-based compensation arrangements and will require all companies to measure compensation cost for all share-based payment (including employee stock options) at fair value. SFAS 123(R) will have no impact on our fiscal year 2004 financial statements; however, it will affect our financial statements beginning in fiscal year 2005. Under SFAS 123(R), all forms of share-based payments to employees, including employee stock options, will be treated the same as other forms of compensation by recognizing the related cost in the statement of operations. The expense of the award payments will generally be measured at fair value at the grant date. We have not quantified the effect of this SFAS 123(R) on our financial statements.

Contractual Obligations and Commitments

As of September 30, 2004, our contractual obligations consist only of our debt and operating leases. We do not have a pension plan or other long-term employee benefit plan. In addition, the terms of our class A cumulative redeemable convertible preferred stock require a 10% annual dividend, however there is no fixed payment date, and none of these dividends have been declared. As of September 30, 2004, our unpaid preferred stock dividends total \$86.9 million and are excluded from the table below because there is no fixed payment date since there is no scheduled redemption and the dividends have not been declared. Our contracts with certain of our technology service providers, which range in length from 12 months to 60 months, have no minimum payment requirements.

		Less	More		
		Than 1	2 to 3	4 to 5	Than 5
Contractual Obligations	Total	Year	Years	Years	Years
		(dol			
Long-term debt obligations including interest (1)	\$ 685,575	\$ 45,504	\$ 90,511	\$ 314,009	\$ 235,551
Operating lease obligations	15,802	6,163	8,087	1,552	
Purchase obligations (2)	3,000	500	1,000	1,000	500
Total	\$ 704,377	\$ 52,167	\$ 99,598	\$ 316,561	\$ 236,051

- (1) The interest rate on term note B is at LIBOR plus 3.0%, with LIBOR assumed to be 1.8%.
- (2) Amounts due under a professional services agreement with GTCR which will terminate in connection with this offering.

The following reflects our contractual obligations as of September 30, 2004 on a pro forma basis after giving effect to the new senior credit facility, this offering, and the application of the net proceeds therefrom and the termination of the GTCR professional services agreement:

		Less			More
		Than 1	2 to 3	4 to 5	Than 5
Contractual Obligations	Total	Year	Years	Years	Years
		(dol			
Long-term debt obligations including interest:					
New senior credit facility(1)	\$ 319,620	\$ 13,131	\$ 27,135	\$ 26,681	\$ 252,673
Senior subordinated notes	247,236	20,304	40,609	186,323	
Operating lease obligations	15,802	6,163	8,087	1,552	
	\$ 582,658	\$ 39,598	\$ 75,831	\$ 214,556	\$ 252,673

(1) The interest rate on the term loan portion is \%

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We have also used off-balance sheet financing in recent years primarily in the form of operating leases for facility space and some equipment leasing and we expect to continue these practices. We do not use any other type of joint venture or special purpose entities that would create off-balance sheet financing. We believe that our decision to lease our office space is similar to that used by many other companies of our size.

Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Interest Rate Market Risk

We are exposed to changes in interest rates on our existing senior credit facility and expect to be similarly exposed on our new senior credit facility. Our existing senior credit facility is variable rate debt. Interest rate changes therefore generally do not affect the market value of such debt but do impact the amount of our interest payments and, therefore, our future earnings and cash flows, assuming other factors are held constant. As of December 31, 2003 and September 30, 2004, we had variable rate debt of approximately \$216.3 million (\$208.3 million net of discount) and \$240.7 million (\$235.6 million net of discount) respectively. Holding other variables constant, including levels of indebtedness, a one percentage point increase in interest rates on our variable debt would have had an estimated impact on pre-tax earnings and cash flows for the next year of approximately \$2.4 million. Under the terms of the existing senior credit facility at least 45% of our funded debt must bear interest that is effectively fixed. As a result, we may from time to time be required to enter into interest rate protection agreements establishing a fixed maximum interest rate with respect to a portion of our total indebtedness.

In March 2003, we entered into an interest rate protection agreement that effectively caps the LIBOR exposure of \$100 million of our existing senior credit facility at 3.0% for a period of two years. As a result of this interest rate protection agreement, approximately 72% of funded debt now bears interest that is effectively fixed as to rate.

Foreign Currency Market Risk

We are exposed to foreign currency risk in certain circumstances. Certain of our international clients currently pay us in Euros and pounds sterling. Foreign currency fluctuations had an immaterial impact on our 2003 and our September 30, 2004 financial positions and results of operations. However, this could change in future periods. At this time, we have not entered into any arrangements to hedge our risks from foreign currency.

56

OUR HISTORY

We have been a leading provider of mission-critical technology services to wireless carriers worldwide for over 17 years. We were founded in 1987 as GTE Telecommunication Services Inc., a unit of GTE, to address the industry-wide need for inter-carrier wireless roaming telephone service. As the wireless industry has grown, we have continuously enhanced and extended our service offerings to meet the evolving technology service requirements of the telecommunications industry.

In early 2000, GTE combined our business with its Intelligent Network Services business, a leading SS7 network and intelligent network database provider. This combination further enhanced our services suite to include national SS7 signaling and intelligent network database management capabilities. In June 2000, GTE and Bell Atlantic merged to form Verizon Communications. As a result of this transaction, we became an indirect, wholly owned subsidiary of Verizon Communications.

In February 2002, we were acquired by certain members of our senior management team and an investor group led by GTCR. Following the acquisition, we became an independent corporate entity separate from Verizon. Syniverse Technologies, Inc., our principal operating subsidiary and a wholly owned subsidiary of Syniverse Holdings, Inc., is the borrower under our existing senior credit facility and the issuer of the 12 ³/4% senior subordinated notes. Syniverse Holdings, Inc. is in turn a wholly owned subsidiary of Syniverse Holdings, LLC, which is the ultimate parent of the consolidated group of Syniverse entities. In connection with this offering, Syniverse Holdings, LLC will distribute all of the shares of Class A cumulative redeemable convertible preferred stock and common stock of Syniverse Holdings, Inc. that it owns to its members and will subsequently dissolve. Following this dissolution and distribution, Syniverse Holdings, Inc. will become the ultimate parent of the consolidated group of Syniverse entities. See Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions Dissolution Agreement.

Since our acquisition from Verizon, our management team has implemented a number of significant changes in our business to improve our performance and market position. These changes include:

Effectively managed separation from Verizon. After the acquisition, management moved quickly to establish the company as a fully independent entity separate from Verizon with an entrepreneurial and market-driven culture. Management conducted a strategic evaluation of our product portfolio and business lines, which resulted in the decision to discontinue certain service lines where our market position or product offerings were not competitive. In addition, management developed or augmented administrative capabilities that were previously performed by Verizon and initiated a series of cost-reduction initiatives.

Established a global, customer-centric sales organization. Following the acquisition, management refocused and restructured the sales organization to be more customer-centric. Management established domestic and international sales offices in key markets to improve customer service levels and generate new sales opportunities. These offices were staffed with local sales professionals with extensive in-region industry experience. In February 2003, we opened our European headquarters in The Netherlands, which has greatly increased exposure for our products and services in Europe and has resulted in agreements to extend the reach of our network services to include international signaling. Most recently, we secured our first roaming clearinghouse contract with a major European wireless carrier, SFR. In July 2004, we opened our Asia Pacific headquarters in Hong Kong to strengthen and extend our existing relationships with wireless carriers throughout the Asia Pacific region.

Upgraded and expanded our network to improve service levels and redundancy. At the time of acquisition, our network lacked geographic breadth, carrier-grade redundancy and service reliability. To respond to this problem, the management team began a phased network improvement program which included network redesign, hardware upgrades and geographic expansion. The upgrade has resulted in a highly reliable and redundant network that provides high levels of service to telecommunication

57

Table of Contents

carriers. To date, we have invested approximately \$22.7 million to complete the network upgrade program.

Refocused development efforts on near-term technology service opportunities. Following the acquisition, management refocused business development and research efforts on emerging technology service opportunities with near-term market acceptance and revenue potential. These efforts have resulted in the commercial introduction of 16 new products. Our ability to identify and develop a leading wireless local number portability solution to meet the Federal WLNP mandate is one example of this refocused strategy.

Pursued opportunistic acquisitions. We have made several strategic acquisitions since February 2002. In July 2003, we merged with Brience, Inc., a developer of information access and integration software products to large enterprises. In December 2003, we acquired Softwright Holdings Limited, a United Kingdom-based provider of mobile number portability solutions. In September 2004, we acquired the IOS North America division of EDS. IOS North America provides wireless clearinghouse services in North America.

Today, we offer a comprehensive suite of technology interoperability services, network services, number portability services, call processing services and enterprise solutions to telecommunications service providers worldwide. Many carriers depend on our integrated suite of services to solve their most complex technology-driven operational challenges and to facilitate the rapid deployment of next generation wireless services.

58

BUSINESS

Overview

We are a leading provider of mission-critical technology services to wireless telecommunications companies worldwide. Our solutions simplify technology complexities by integrating disparate carriers—systems and networks in order to provide seamless global voice and data communications to wireless subscribers. Many carriers depend on our integrated suite of services to solve their most complex technology challenges and to facilitate the rapid deployment of next-generation wireless services. We provide our services to over 300 telecommunication carriers in approximately 40 countries, including the ten largest U.S. wireless carriers and six of the ten largest international wireless carriers. We deliver most of our services to wireless carriers through a transaction-based recurring revenue model. Our total revenues for the nine months ended September 30, 2003 were \$201.2 million and grew to \$244.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2004, an increase of 21.3%. Our net income was \$10.8 million and \$1.2 million and our net loss applicable to common stockholders was \$12.6 million and \$21.6 million for the same periods, respectively.

Wireless industry growth has been characterized by a steady pace of wireless technology innovation, development and deployment. This proliferation of wireless technologies, communication protocols and advanced services has created significant technology incompatibilities and operational challenges for wireless carriers. The complexity in deploying and integrating these new technologies has made it increasingly difficult for technology carriers to communicate with each other and to provide seamless national and international wireless voice and data services to their subscribers.

We have built our reputation over the past 17 years by designing comprehensive solutions that address wireless industry technology complexities. Our integrated suite of services includes:

Technology Interoperability Services. We operate the largest wireless clearinghouse in North America that enables the accurate invoicing and settlement of domestic and international wireless roaming telephone calls, wireless data events and Wi-Fi sessions. We also provide SMS routing and translation services between carriers. For the nine months ended September 30, 2004, we generated \$54.5 million of revenues in Technology Interoperability Services, which represented 22.3% of our total revenues for that period.

Network Services. Through our SS7 network, we connect disparate wireless carrier networks and enable access to intelligent network database services like caller ID. SS7 is the telecommunications industry s standard network signaling protocol used by substantially all carriers to enable critical telecommunications functions such as line busy signals, toll-free calling services and caller ID. We also provide translation and routing services to support the delivery and establishment of telephone calls. For the nine months ended September 30, 2004, we generated \$97.8 million of revenues in Network Services, which represented 40.0% of our total revenues for that period.

Number Portability Services. Our number portability services are used by over 80 wireless carriers, including the five largest domestic carriers, to enable wireless subscribers to switch service providers while keeping the same telephone number. Historically, wireless subscribers had to surrender their telephone number when canceling wireless services from one provider and moving to another. With the introduction of WLNP, wireless subscribers are now able to transfer their telephone number between carriers. For the nine months ended September 30, 2004, we generated \$34.5 million of revenues in Number Portability Services, which represented 14.1% of our total revenues for that period.

Call Processing Services. We provide wireless carriers global call handling and fraud management solutions that allow wireless subscribers from one carrier to make and accept telephone calls while roaming on another carrier s network. For the nine months ended September 30, 2004, we generated \$25.8 million of revenues in Call Processing Services, which represented 10.6% of our total revenues for that period.

Enterprise Solutions. Our enterprise wireless data management platform allows carriers to offer large corporate customers reporting and analysis tools to manage telecom-related expenses. For the nine

59

months ended September 30, 2004, we generated \$10.9 million of revenues in Enterprise Solutions, which represented 4.6% of our total revenues for that period.

Most of our revenues are generated from transaction-based processing fees. The remainder of our revenues are generated by recurring, non-transaction fees for network connections and software maintenance. Our revenues are primarily based on the volume of roaming calls, intelligent network database queries, WLNP transactions and data messages transported. Due to the variety of our wireless service offerings, we often generate multiple revenue transactions from a single subscriber call or data session. In addition, we earn fixed monthly fees for network connections, principally to our SS7 network. We also provide our customers with the ability to connect to various third-party intelligent network database providers. These providers charge us a per-transaction fee for access to their databases, which we pass on to our customers with little or no margin. We refer to these fees as Off-Network Database Query Fees. For the nine months ended September 30, 2004, we generated \$20.6 million of revenues in Off-Network Database Query Fees, which represented 8.4% of our total revenues for that period.

Industry Summary

The global wireless industry has grown significantly since its inception as wireless services have become increasingly available and affordable. According to Yankee Group estimates, the U.S. wireless industry had revenues totaling \$95.0 billion and a subscriber base of over 162 million in 2003, an 11.5% increase in wireless subscribers between 2002 and 2003. In addition, according to Ovum, the international wireless industry had revenues totaling \$420 billion and a subscriber base of over 1.2 billion in 2003, a 24.8% increase in wireless subscribers between 2002 and 2003. The domestic wireless penetration rate was 53.9% in 2003, according to CTIA, and the global wireless penetration rate was 22.5% in 2003, according to Gartner, Inc. This expanding subscriber base and corresponding growth in industry revenues has been driven by improved service quality, greater national and international wireless roaming coverage, decreased pricing, and the introduction of new messaging, wireless data and content services.

Wireless industry growth has been accompanied by a steady introduction of new and often incompatible wireless technologies. This has resulted in the proliferation of different network architectures, including various mobile switch types (such as those manufactured by Lucent, Nortel, Ericsson and Motorola), diverse signaling standards (such as Code Division Multiple Access (CDMA), Time Division Multiple Access (TDMA), GSM, Integrated Digital Enhanced Network (IDEN) and Wi-Fi), distinct billing record formats (such as Cellular Intercarrier Billing Exchange Record (CIBER), Transferred Account Procedure (TAP) and Remote Authentication Dial-In User Service (RADIUS)) and multiple network protocols (such as X.25, Frame Relay, SS7 and Internet Protocol). This has created significant technological incompatibilities and operational challenges for wireless carriers.

As a result, many wireless carriers utilize third-party technology services providers like us to:

serve as a trusted intermediary for proprietary data exchange between competitive wireless carriers;

provide centralized, single point connectivity to the systems and networks of multiple carriers;

enable communication between new and legacy carrier systems by resolving incompatibilities associated with geographic and carrier variations in communication protocols;

simplify the operational challenges associated with carrier differences in the timing of new technology deployment;

offer access to a range of intelligent network database services required for enhanced wireless services; and

rapidly develop new solutions to meet emerging wireless industry technology complexities and to support next generation services such as wireless data content, Wi-Fi and VoIP.

60

Market Opportunity

We expect the technology complexities and operational challenges faced by wireless carriers to continue to grow as the wireless industry evolves. These complexities and challenges are driven by a variety of wireless industry trends including the growth in the number of wireless telephone subscribers, the volume of wireless roaming telephone calls and the growing volume of SMS messages. In addition, the emergence of next-generation wireless and wireline communication services such as Wi-Fi and VoIP, future government mandated changes and new applications for existing communications services will drive future industry growth.

Technology Interoperability Services

The proliferation of incompatible wireless communication protocols, messaging/data formats and billing standards has made it increasingly difficult for carriers to connect systems and networks and to share the information required to offer seamless global wireless voice and data services to subscribers. Technology service providers solve these interoperability problems by offering wireless roaming clearinghouse services, SMS messaging translation and routing services, and wireless data roaming facilitation and clearinghouse solutions to support emerging Wi-Fi, mobile data and premium content services.

Clearinghouses translate various network, signaling and billing protocols to allow different wireless carriers to offer and be compensated for roaming services. These wireless clearinghouses serve as trusted third parties for the collection, translation and distribution of the information required to monitor and invoice voice services provided by one carrier to numerous other carriers—customers. Demand for clearinghouse services is primarily driven by the number of domestic and international wireless roaming telephone calls. U.S. wireless roaming call volumes have grown by 15.0% from 2002 to 2003 to 13.0 billion, according to CTIA. We expect that increased roaming traffic volumes will drive incremental technology interoperability and clearinghouse transaction volumes for the industry.

The growth of SMS messaging and Multimedia Message Services (MMS) is also driving significant operational challenges for wireless carriers. Cross-carrier SMS messaging and MMS messaging requires extensive network connectivity and complex message protocol conversion between wireless carriers. Carrier-grade message translation and routing are critical to wireless carriers who increasingly rely on messaging services to drive incremental revenue growth and to improve customer retention. U.S. SMS message volumes according to IDC have grown from 2.5 billion in 2002 to 9.1 billion in 2003 and we expect this growth to continue. In addition, MMS messaging, which are wireless messages that can include an image, audio or video clip or some combination of each, is a rapidly growing wireless application that represents a natural extension of SMS messaging. IDC predicts U.S. MMS subscribers to grow from 4.8 million in 2003 to 67.1 million in 2008 and MMS-attributable subscriber revenue to grow from \$96.7 million in 2003 to \$3.4 billion in 2008.

The emergence of Wi-Fi, mobile data and premium content services are also driving carrier demand for clearinghouse services, translation services and roaming facilitation services. This growth has been and will continue to be supported by rapid growth in Wi-Fi hotspots or access points and the deployment of next generation wireless data networks. Currently, the number of Wi-Fi access points is estimated at approximately 22,000 and is expected to grow to 120,000 by 2007 according to Gartner, Inc. We believe the proliferation of Wi-Fi network service providers, increased wireless data roaming and growing demand for premium content will drive the need for wireless data clearinghouse services that simplify network connectivity and the exchange of invoicing data between multiple carriers.

Network Services

SS7 networks are a core element of today s telecommunications infrastructure. SS7 is the telecommunications industry s standard network signaling protocol used by substantially all carriers to enable the setup and delivery of telephone calls and to offer enhanced calling features like caller ID. Outsourced network services provide carriers cost effective, single point connectivity to an SS7 network, other widely used communication networks and critical

61

Table of Contents

databases. As a result, carriers avoid the cost and complexity of managing individual network connections to multiple carriers, eliminate the expense of licensing and maintaining intelligent network databases and reduce the need for building capital-intensive network infrastructure. Drivers of network services include wireless subscriber growth, wireless roaming call volume growth, and SMS messaging volume growth. In addition, we also expect Wi-Fi roaming volume growth, wireline subscriber growth and the emergence of new VoIP and MVNO services to drive demand for network services.

Demand for SS7-based signaling and associated database applications is growing. SS7 call setup and signaling industry revenues grew from \$5.4 billion in 2000 to \$7.0 billion in 2003 according to Insight Research. Continued wireless subscriber growth and call volume growth are expected to drive increased SS7 signaling revenues, which are estimated to be \$8.3 billion by 2005. SS7 signaling also provides carriers access to a variety of intelligent network services such as database applications (caller ID, 800 service and local number portability). Industry-wide SS7 database service revenues were \$3.6 billion in 2000 and grew to \$4.5 billion in 2003. Increased wireless call volume and development of new intelligent network services is expected to drive these revenues to \$5.4 billion by 2005.

In addition, wireless data roaming requires carriers to support packet-switched, Internet Protocol-based communications protocols including General Packet Radio Service (GPRS), 1xRTT (technology designed to double voice capacity and support faster data transmission) and Wi-Fi. Technology service providers support wireless data roaming services and enable subscribers to access their home wireless data services (such as public Internet, corporate intranets, e-mail and m-commerce) while abroad or beyond the reach of their home network. The emergence of Internet Protocol-based signaling solutions and database services associated with VoIP will also require network, routing and translation services to communicate with legacy networks. VoIP offers traditional voice telephone services but at a significantly lower cost by bypassing the traditional telephone network.

Number Portability Services

In 1996, the FCC mandated WLNP to encourage competition by permitting wireless subscribers to change carriers while retaining their current telephone numbers. To facilitate the portability of wireless telephone numbers, carriers exchange information with other carriers and transmit information to regional number portability databases in order to support call routing. Implementation of WLNP services impacts nearly every system within carrier operations including network signaling and routing, switching, billing, point of sale and customer care.

Technology service providers enable wireless carriers to implement WLNP services to their customers by streamlining the ordering and communication processes that organize, prioritize and route WLNP transactions between wireless carriers and industry databases. These services must be able to route and track the multiple transactions involved in porting numbers between service providers, identify and facilitate problem resolution, manage the unique challenges of porting between wireline and wireless carriers, and interface to carriers who have chosen less automated porting solutions.

Following the introduction of WLNP, the wireless industry experienced rapid consumer adoption resulting in over six million telephone numbers ported in the first nine months. We expect the drivers of WLNP services demand will be continued carrier competition, greater customer awareness and increased regulatory focus.

Call Processing Services

Call processing solutions support the proper authentication, handling and routing of telephone calls in order to reduce fraud and to allow wireless subscribers to make and accept telephone calls while roaming on another carrier s network. Although wireless subscriber fraud has declined as carriers increasingly utilize SS7 functionality, international wireless roaming growth is causing new telephone call routing and delivery complexities for carriers. Due to geographic and carrier differences in subscriber verification, call delivery and signaling network protocols, these services require extensive data management capabilities.

62

Table of Contents

Technology service providers support international voice and data roaming by connecting carriers and translating disparate network and signaling protocols. Technology service providers manage many call processing functions such as:

obtaining permission from a host carrier to deliver a call;

detecting and preventing fraudulent calls;

providing seamless regional, national and international roaming capabilities;

ensuring roamers have the same services when roaming as they do in their home market; and

resolving conflicts between different international messaging standards.

Drivers of call processing demand include international wireless subscriber growth, international wireless roaming call volume growth and ongoing subscriber issues. Carriers are increasingly using SS7 networks to replace traditional call processing functionality and to address wireless subscriber fraud issues.

Products and Services

We offer an integrated suite of services to wireless telecommunications carriers that meet the evolving technology requirements of the wireless industry. These services include technology interoperability, network, wireless number portability, call processing and enterprise solutions.

Technology Interoperability Services

We operate the largest wireless clearinghouse in North America. Our clearinghouse services enable the accurate invoicing and settlement of domestic and international wireless roaming telephone calls. We are a trusted intermediary and primary connection point between hundreds of wireless carriers. We also provide services that support the routing and delivery of SMS, MMS and other messaging formats between different wireless carrier networks. In addition, we provide roaming facilitation services that enable seamless domestic and international wireless voice and data services. We primarily generate revenues by charging per-transaction processing fees. Our Technology Interoperability Services include:

Clearinghouse Services We process and exchange proprietary subscriber roaming usage data on a secure and confidential basis to support financial settlement between wireless carriers. Our clearinghouse services support multiple billing formats including TAP for GSM carriers and CIBER for TDMA and CDMA carriers. We also support RADIUS and IPDR formats for wireless data transactions

including messaging, Wi-Fi, m-commerce, content, and location-based applications. Carriers use our solutions to access custom, on-line reports providing business intelligence, trends, and daily and monthly summaries of key data. Carriers use these reports to track their net financial positions with their roaming partners.

Messaging Services Our messaging services reliably translate, route and deliver SMS, MMS and other message formats across disparate carrier networks. We accomplish this by mapping a message to a phone number, routing the message accurately and resolving incompatibility issues among CDMA, TDMA, and GSM carriers. Messages may be initiated peer to peer between wireless subscribers, originated from premium content providers or broadcast by an enterprise or community alerting application. Our services can deliver messages domestically and internationally to multiple devices and platforms.

Roaming Facilitation Our roaming facilitation capabilities allow wireless subscribers to receive voice and data services while roaming on another carrier s network, regardless of differing technology standards. We simplify interstandard and international voice roaming by providing carriers with subscriber call origination, automatic call delivery and subscriber invoicing data. We offer an integrated Wi-Fi roaming solution that cost effectively bridges the technical issues and simplifies the business relationships among roaming partners involved in Wi-Fi access services.

63

Network Services

We interconnect wireless carriers through our SS7 network to a suite of intelligent database services and provide wireless and wireline call signaling services. Our intelligent database services include caller ID, local number portability, line information database and toll-free number routing. Carriers also use our SS7 signaling solutions to set-up, translate and route wireless telephone calls both domestically and internationally. We also provide carriers cost-effective, single-point connectivity to other widely used communication networks (such as X.25, Frame Relay and Internet Protocol) to support wireless voice, SMS messaging, MMS messaging, VoIP and data roaming services.

By operating one of the largest independent SS7 networks, we provide our customers access to substantially the entire U.S. public-switched telecommunications network, global connectivity, carrier-grade reliability and intelligent network services. Our network architecture provides a robust, reliable, and highly redundant signaling platform. In addition, our intelligent network databases also permit carriers to offer value-added calling features to their customers.

Our primary network services include intelligent network database services, SS7 network services, network connectivity services and international mobile number portability. We generate revenues from these services primarily by charging per-transaction processing fees, circuit fees, port fees and software license fees.

Intelligent Network Database Services Our intelligent network database services enable carriers to offer enhanced services and features to subscribers. Our caller ID service provides access to calling name databases, allowing carriers to query regional Bell operating companies and other major independent telephone carriers to reduce the name not available messages that subscribers receive. We also manage and operate a database for storage of calling name records. We provide access to all U.S. regional number portability databases to support call routing to subscribers who have ported their telephone number to a different service provider. We also access databases that provide routing for toll free numbers. We access line information databases to provide enhanced services such as validating telephone numbers, billing information and calling card data.

SS7 Network Services We operate one of the largest independent SS7 networks in the United States. Our SS7 network supports the call set-up, routing and delivery of wireless and wireline telephone calls and supports access to intelligent network database services. Our international signaling gateway for wireless carriers and other network providers translates between the predominant North American signaling standard (American National Standards Institute SS7) and other global signaling standards such as International Telecommunications Union C7. We also provide wireless carriers with valuable network analysis tools that monitor subscriber activity.

Network Connectivity Services Our network connectivity services provide wireless carriers cost-effective single-point connectivity to many widely used communication networks such as X25, Frame Relay and Internet Protocol. We manage network circuits that interconnect carriers cell sites and switches across local and regional boundaries. We also provide a suite of services that enables subscribers to have seamless access to their home carriers. General Packet Radio Service (GSM) or 1x-RTT (CDMA) data network while roaming both nationally and internationally. This Internet Protocol based virtual private network offers secure access to home based e-commerce, public Internet, corporate intranets and e-mail systems to roaming subscribers.

International Mobile Number Portability Our international mobile number portability solution streamlines the mobile number porting process by providing a single reference database and reporting tool for non-U.S.-based carriers.

Number Portability Services

We are the leading provider of wireless local number portability services to domestic carriers. These services enable wireless subscribers to switch carriers while keeping the same telephone number. As WLNP- related technology and operational complexities were identified, we developed solutions that facilitate the

64

exchange of information between carriers and transmit information to regional industry databases. These services route and track the multiple transactions involved in porting numbers between service providers and identify and facilitate problem resolution when porting transactions are not successful. These services also manage the unique challenges of porting numbers between wireless and wireline carriers and from carriers who have chosen to manually process porting transactions.

Our primary wireless local number portability solutions include transaction processing services and port center services. We generate revenues from these services primarily by charging per-transaction processing fees, software license fees and resource management fees. Our solutions are offered on either a service bureau or licensed software basis, providing flexibility for carriers.

WLNP Transaction Processing Services Our WLNP transaction processing services enable the intercarrier communications required to port telephone numbers between wireless carriers and to streamline the ordering and communication process to regional industry databases that track number ownership. We also enable wireless carriers to exchange ported telephone numbers and associated messages with wireline carriers.

Port Center Services Our port center provides WLNP troubleshooting and help desk support services to wireless carriers. These services help carriers resolve number portability system errors, network connectivity issues, subscriber information inconsistencies and other WLNP-related problems requiring manual interaction between carriers. We also license our WLNP workflow management solution that logs, prioritizes, routes and reports WLNP errors.

Call Processing Services

We provide global call handling and fraud management solutions that enable wireless subscribers from one carrier to make and receive telephone calls while roaming on another carrier s network. We support international roaming by connecting wireless carriers and by resolving geographic and carrier differences in subscriber verification, call delivery and signaling network protocols. We also offer wireless carriers comprehensive fraud detection and fraud prevention services.

We developed many of the wireless industry s first and leading solutions for wireless subscriber verification, call processing and technical fraud detection and prevention. For a wireless subscriber to receive service while roaming on another carrier s network, the subscriber s home carrier must validate the subscriber as an authorized subscriber. We have addressed these subscriber authentication and call delivery complexities by developing solutions that translate and convert various network and signaling protocols. Our comprehensive, integrated fraud management solutions employ advanced technologies to provide flexible, efficient fraud detection and fraud prevention, regardless of switch type, software release version or industry standard. Our integrated service offerings provide a total authentication solution and comprehensive protection for subscribers.

Our primary call processing services include signaling solutions and fraud prevention services. We generate revenues from these services primarily by charging per-transaction processing fees.

Signaling Solutions Our services verify a subscriber s eligibility to receive service while roaming in another carrier s market. Our signaling solutions also resolve conflicting international numbering plans and overlapping system identifiers to allow subscribers to roam when the visited service provider may not normally recognize the subscriber.

Fraud Prevention Services We provide multiple services to carriers to minimize the financial losses associated with subscriber fraud. Our fraud profiling solutions collect usage data from mobile switches to create a unique profile for each subscriber based upon the subscriber s call activity. We continually compare subscriber calling activity to subscriber specific usage profiles, identify fraudulent activity and, when appropriate, suspend the subscriber accounts. We provide these services as a software license or in a service bureau environment. We also provide key management services that exchange authentication keys between carriers and multiple wireless equipment manufacturers. Authentication keys are used by wireless carriers to verify the identity of subscribers and prevent handset fraud.

65

Enterprise Solutions

We enable wireless carriers to offer billing consolidation and data management services to large enterprise customers. Our solution consolidates customer usage data onto one invoice and offers robust online reporting and analysis tools that enable enterprise customers to manage their telecommunications-related costs. We generate revenues from this service on a per-account processing fee.

Customers

We serve more than 300 telecommunications service providers in approximately 40 North American, Latin American, Asia Pacific and European countries. We maintain strong and collaborative relationships with our customers. We serve the ten largest U.S. wireless carriers including Cingular Wireless, Sprint PCS, T-Mobile and Verizon Wireless. We also serve six of the ten largest international wireless carriers in Latin America, Asia Pacific and Europe. These customers include America Moviles, China Unicom, KDDI and SK Telecom. We believe that maintaining strong relationships with our customers is one of our core competencies and that maintaining these relationships is critical to our success.

Our top ten customers accounted for approximately 59.8% of our revenues for the nine months ended September 30, 2004. Verizon Communications, Verizon Wireless and their affiliates, which collectively is our largest customer, accounted for approximately 20.5%, 23.2%, 30.9% and 33.9%, of our revenues in the nine months ended September 30, 2004 and for the years 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively. The percentage of our revenues derived from customers outside the United States was 11.1%, 12.2%, 9.5% and 7.9% for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 and for the years ended December 31, 2003, and 2002 and 2001, respectively.

Competitive Strengths

We believe that the following strengths differentiate us in the marketplace:

Leading provider of mission-critical services to wireless carriers. We believe that we offer the most comprehensive and advanced suite of integrated technology services to the wireless telecommunications industry. We believe that our established carrier relationships, the mission-critical nature of our services and our track record of performance have made us the technology services provider of choice for many of our customers.

Recurring revenues and strong operating cash flows. The majority of our revenues are transaction-based and we have long-term contracts with most of our customers. We have had historical success in customer retention, growth in transaction volumes and our ability to leverage our existing technology platforms to serve additional customers and to offer new services. Our business model enables us to generate a high level of recurring revenues and strong operating cash flows to support debt reduction, acquisitions and other strategic activities.

Proven track record of technology innovation. We believe that we are a leader in developing comprehensive solutions that meet wireless carriers evolving technology interoperability, network and call processing needs. We have built our business and reputation through a history of innovation that includes the following achievements:

we were the first to deploy an automatic roaming call delivery service (Follow Me Roaming);

we were the first to provide a commercial fraud profiler (CloneDetector/FraudX) to most of the major U.S. carriers;

we were the first to provide seamless international interstandard roaming services (GlobalRoam/UniRoam);

our engineers developed the original technology supporting the OnStar application for a major wireless carrier;

66

we offer the industry s most comprehensive suite of WLNP services; and

we are one of the industry s leading independent Wi-Fi roaming clearinghouses.

Positioned to capitalize on emerging communications technologies. We believe that we are positioned to capitalize on the complexity of emerging communications technologies by leveraging our proven development track record and close customer relationships. We are currently pursuing opportunities in Wi-Fi, messaging, mobile data content, MVNO and VoIP. Our accomplishments in these areas include:

executing clearinghouse agreements with two major U.S. carrier providers of Wi-Fi services; and

being selected by the members of CTIA to be the principal messaging platform for the national Wireless AMBER Alert program sponsored by The Wireless Foundation.

Role as trusted intermediary provides enhanced market access. Our position as a neutral point of proprietary data exchange between wireless carriers affords us several compelling benefits. Carriers recognize that, unlike some of our competitors, we do not compete for their subscribers. This independence permits us to support our customers most critical operations. We believe that this market position provides us a unique ability to collaborate with our customers on new product development and enables us to more effectively anticipate, identify and address the evolving requirements of the global wireless industry.

Extensive and collaborative customer relationships. We provide our services to over 300 telecommunications carriers in approximately 40 countries, including the ten largest U.S. wireless carriers and six of the ten largest wireless international carriers. We have a highly active and respected customer users—group that is tightly integrated into the development processes of our company. This group has played a significant role in the continued development, enhancement and evolution of our services.

Experienced senior management team. The members of our senior management team average 19 years of telecommunications industry experience. This experience has enabled us to develop strong relationships with our customers. In addition, this management team has successfully executed our business plan since we were acquired from Verizon in February 2002.

Growth Strategy

In order to strengthen our market leadership position, enhance growth and maximize profitability, we intend to:

Expand our global customer base. We are aggressively pursuing expansion opportunities in North American and international markets. We believe continued wireless industry growth and the emergence of next generation services will expand the global market for our services. The opening of sales offices and the hiring of local management and technical support personnel in Europe, Latin America and Asia Pacific have enabled us to execute contracts with leading international carriers. We have recently signed contracts with leading carriers in France, China, Brazil, Italy, Saudi Arabia and India.

Further penetrate our existing customer base. We intend to continue to cross-sell services to our existing customers to further diversify our revenue stream and increase per-customer revenues. While many of our customers purchase multiple services from us, we believe we have an opportunity to sell additional services to customers who do not currently purchase all of our available services. For example, we have signed contracts and implemented our WLNP solutions for over 80 of our existing U.S. customers, including

the five largest domestic wireless carriers.

Enhance existing services suite and develop innovative new services. Through continued technology development and collaboration with our existing customers, we plan to enhance our existing services suite and develop new solutions. We believe that we are well positioned to develop innovative services

67

that respond to and solve industry complexities associated with new market participants and new technologies. Our recent accomplishments in these areas include:

developing an interstandard voice and data roaming solution for major carriers that allows subscribers to roam on international networks:

enabling voice over Wi-Fi signalling services in an enterprise environment to support a major communications hardware manufacturer;

providing SMS delivery of phone numbers for a leading directory assistance provider; and

launching a national database for caller ID name services with 14 wireline carriers.

Pursue strategic acquisitions. We will continue to seek opportunities to acquire businesses that expand our range of services, provide opportunities to increase our customer base and enter new markets. In September 2004, we acquired EDS s North American wireless clearinghouse business. This acquisition expanded our North American customer base and increased the scale of our business.

Sales and Marketing

As of September 30, 2004, our Sales and Marketing organizations included 105 people who work together to identify and address customer needs and concerns, deliver comprehensive services, develop a clear and consistent corporate image and offer a comprehensive customer support system. Our sales and marketing strategy is designed to give customers efficient, courteous and expert advice on a timely basis.

Sales. The sales department was reorganized from a centralized sales force to a geographically diverse, globally focused sales force in 2002 to better serve the needs of existing customers and to identify new opportunities more quickly. Sales directors are organized geographically with individuals responsible for North America, Latin America, Asia Pacific and Europe/Middle East/Africa. Regional sales managers are located throughout the U.S. to serve top tier customers in the Northeast, Northwest, Midwest, Southwest and the Southeast. U.S. account managers are product specialists and work as a team with the regional sales managers and directors to respond to customer needs. Compensation for our sales force is composed of an industry-competitive base salary and a variable component based on sales quota attainment.

Marketing. Our marketing organization is comprised primarily of product managers and marketing services employees. Working with the sales organization, our product managers are responsible for managing the product s positioning throughout the life cycle as well as managing costs and pricing. These responsibilities include developing a product plan, specifying product requirements, planning development resources and, if necessary, managing vendor relationships. Each product manager coordinates a cross-functional product team with participants from several functional areas within our organization including sales, technology and operations. These product team participants are responsible for coordinating the execution of the product plan within their functional teams. Marketing services employees work with product managers to determine funding for and coordination of advertising, promotions, media relations, speaking opportunities, trade shows and our users group.

Operations and Customer Support

As of September 30, 2004, we had 242 employees dedicated to managing our internal operations and customer support functions.

Customer Service, Documentation and Training. Our Customer Service organization provides front-line support for our services to our global customers. New support personnel are recruited for their multi-lingual, interpersonal and customer care skills, and are provided intensive product training prior to accepting direct customer interface responsibility. Our Documentation and Training group publishes the technical documentation accompanying our portfolio of services in multiple languages and also travels

68

nationally and internationally to provide strategic customer training on our services. The group has established world-class training facilities in Tampa, Florida, where it sponsors regular customer and employee program offerings, and has also developed Web-based real-time training that our customers have successfully utilized as a remote training option.

Carrier Business Process Outsourcing. Whenever a carrier chooses to outsource personnel operations to us, it is managed through this organization. This currently includes our Fraud Resource Center service offering, our Fax Interface Services and our Port Center service offering.

Internal Operations Support. Our Internal Technology Support group manages our internal hardware and software technology program as well as the Local Area Network, Internet, email and departmental servers for our employees. This group is responsible for the Syniverse Solutions Gateway, our system for customer problem management, and also provides management information software solutions and support that enable efficient operations within our organization. Other internal operations functions include information security, facilities management and disaster recovery. All provide mission-critical support to our employees in achieving strategic objectives and help ensure our ability to continue to serve our customers effectively.

Technology

As of September 30, 2004, the Technology group was comprised of 267 professionals. This group performs all functions associated with the design, development, testing, implementation and operational support of our services. The Technology group balances technology and business priorities and enhances our ability to deliver timely and cost-effective solutions.

The primary functions of the Technology group include:

Product Development and Life Cycle. These groups deliver new product developments, enhancements and maintenance releases in a timely manner and develops integrated solutions that address customer needs across multiple areas including billing, messaging, decision support and reporting. These groups also constantly monitor technology developments to ensure that we leverage our legacy investments while developing new technologies that provide flexible and cost effective solutions to our customers.

Operational Support Services. These groups provide 24x7 operational support for our products to ensure a high level of service and system availability to our customers. These groups work with the other Technology groups to identify and implement proactive measures to meet our customer commitments.

Technology Services. This group is responsible for maintaining the high overall quality of customer service through centralized testing, system/data base administration and configuration management. This group also works with our vendors on system performance management, capacity planning and system tuning.

Network Operations

As of September 30, 2004, we had 93 employees dedicated to network provisioning, monitoring and support.

Network Operations Center. We maintain a state-of-the-art Network Operations Center that actively monitors our applications, network and our connections to our customers. Our Network Operations Center provides support both domestically and internationally 24 hours a day, seven days a week, 365 days a year. Our Network Operations Center proactively identifies potential application, operating system, network, switch connectivity and call processing problems. They manage those problems through resolution with customers in conjunction with Inter-Exchange Carriers, Local Exchange Carriers, field engineering, our internal product support and development teams, and vendors.

69

Network Services. This group designs, develops and supports our SS7 and Internet Protocol-based Intelligent Network Service offerings. They work closely with our other functional departments and vendors to ensure that we are engineering and monitoring cost effective and reliable network solutions which meet our customers needs.

Business Development and Strategy

As of September 30, 2004, our Business Development and Strategy organization was composed of 11 people. This group is responsible for strategy development and new business initiatives and for developing integrated and achievable short- and long-term business plans in support of our vision and strategic plan. This responsibility extends from the conceptual phase through first customer implementation and includes strategic partnership development and contract negotiations

Employees

As of September 30, 2004, we had approximately 780 employees, none of whom are represented by a union. We believe our relations with our employees are good.

Competition

We believe we are the only company that offers an extensive suite of technology interoperability services, network services, number portability services, call processing services and enterprise solutions to telecommunications carriers. However, we compete with a number of U.S. and international companies in specific areas of our business.

Technology Interoperability Services. Our primary competitors in the U.S. technology interoperability market are VeriSign, MACH Dan Net, Mobile 365 and Widerthan.com. Internationally, our technology interoperability services compete with EDS and MACH Dan Net. Certain wireless carriers also choose to deploy in-house interoperability and billing solutions for clearing internal and affiliate traffic.

Network Services. Our competitors for SS7 network connectivity and intelligent network services include VeriSign, Southern New England Telephone, Transaction Network Services and regional Bell operating companies. Wireless and wireline carriers may also choose to deploy and manage their own in-house SS7 networks. Our packet data network service competes with a variety of carriers including TeliaSonera and Cable & Wireless in the U.S. and also Global Crossing, France Telecom, KPNQwest, and Comfone (a subsidiary of Swisscom) internationally.

Number Portability Services. Our primary competitors in the United States for WLNP services are VeriSign, NeuStar and Telcordia and to a lesser degree, Evolving Systems. In Europe, Cap Gemini and Accenture as well as several other companies offer mobile number portability solutions.

Call Processing Services. Our call processing services primarily compete with licensed software products from vendors such as LogicaCMG, Bassett Telecom Solutions, Hewlett-Packard, ECTel and HNC Software/Systems Link. Also, certain carriers may choose to deploy in-house call processing and fraud detection and prevention solutions.

Enterprise Solutions. Certain wireless carriers have developed their own services for enterprise account management.

Competitive factors in the market for our services primarily include breadth and quality of services offered, price, development capability and new product innovation.

70

Governmental Regulation

Certain services offered by the company are subject to regulation by the FCC that could have an indirect effect on our business. In particular, end-user revenues from selected services are used to determine our contribution to the FCC s Universal Service Fund. Additionally, we are registered with certain state utility regulatory commissions to resell private line services. Some of our customers may also be subject to federal or state regulation that could have an indirect effect on our business. We do not offer voice-grade or data services that are deemed to be common carrier telecommunications services.

Environmental Regulation

We are subject to a broad range of federal, foreign, state and local laws and regulations relating to the pollution and protection of the environment and health and safety, the violation of which could lead to significant fines and penalties. Among the many environmental requirements applicable to us are laws relating to air emission, wastewater discharges and the handling, disposal and release of solid and hazardous substances and wastes. Based on continuing internal review and advice from independent consultants, we believe that we are currently in substantial compliance with applicable environmental requirements.

We could also be subject to laws, such as Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, that may impose liability retroactively and without fault for releases or threatened releases of hazardous substances at on-site or off-site locations. We are not aware of any material releases for which we are currently liable under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act or any other similar environmental or health and safety law.

We do not currently anticipate any material adverse effect on our operations, financial condition or competitive position as a result of our efforts to comply with environmental requirements. Some risk of environmental liability is inherent, however, in the nature of our business, and we cannot assure you that material environmental liabilities will not arise. It is also possible that future developments in environmental regulation could lead to material environmental compliance or cleanup costs.

Intellectual Property Rights

We attempt to protect our intellectual property rights in the United States and in foreign countries through a combination of patent, trademark, copyright and trade secret laws, as well as licensing agreements and agreements preventing the unauthorized disclosure and use of our intellectual property. We currently maintain approximately 160 registrations and 90 applications in approximately 35 countries covering over 40 separate and distinct marks; 10 patents and 13 patent applications, several jointly-owned with Verizon Wireless in the United States and in foreign countries; and 25 U.S. Copyright Registrations and five pending applications covering numerous software applications. In addition, we maintain certain trade secrets for which, in order to maintain the confidentiality of such trade secrets, we have not sought patent protection.

Properties

As of September 30, 2004, our principal facility was 188,882 square feet of leased office space in Tampa, Florida that we use for headquarters and administration purposes. This lease expires on October 31, 2006, subject to renewal terms. We also lease 14,834 square feet in Oklahoma City, Oklahoma for administrative offices and customer operations, and 3,325 square feet in London, England for technology development. We lease several other small immaterial facilities for office space and network equipment storage.

We consider our properties to be in good condition generally. We are currently evaluating facility options to meet our anticipated requirements.

71

Legal Proceedings

We are currently a party to various claims and legal actions that arise in the ordinary course of business. We believe such claims and legal actions, individually and in the aggregate, will not have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations. As of September 30, 2004, we have considered all of the claims and disputes of which we are aware and accrued amounts in our analysis of doubtful accounts, allowances for credit memos or probable loss accruals.

72

MANAGEMENT

Directors and Executive Officers

Our executive officers and directors are as follows:

Name	Age	Position
G. Edward Evans	43	Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer
Raymond L. Lawless	49	Chief Financial Officer, Director
F. Terry Kremian	57	Chief Operating Officer
Paul A. Wilcock	57	Chief Technology Officer
Michael J. O Brien	39	Vice President Marketing
Wayne G. Nelson	43	Vice President Controller
Gilbert L. Mosher	57	Vice President Operations/Customer Support
Robert F. Garcia, Jr.	42	General Counsel
Charles A. Drexler	49	Vice President Sales
Linda Hermansen	39	Vice President Business Development & Strategy
Eugene Bergen Henegouwen	45	Managing Director European Operations
Paul Corrao	49	Vice President Network Operations
David A. Donnini	39	Director
Collin E. Roche	33	Director
John C. Hofmann	29	Director
Odie C. Donald	55	Director
Tony G. Holcombe	48	Director
Robert J. Marino	57	Director

G. Edward Evans became our Chief Executive Officer and a Director in February 2002. From January 1997 until January 2002, Mr. Evans was employed by Dobson Communications Corporation, serving as the President of its cellular subsidiaries and then as the President and Chief Operating Officer of Dobson Communications Corporation. Mr. Evans was employed by BellSouth Mobility, Inc. from 1993 to 1996, serving as General Manager Kentucky, Director of Field Operations at BellSouth's corporate office in Atlanta and Director of Marketing Alabama. He was an Area Manager and a Market Manager of U.S. Cellular from 1990 to 1993 and was Sales Manager of GTE Mobilnet from 1989 to 1990. Mr. Evans serves on the boards of the CTIA, Carolina West Wireless and the National Reliability and Interoperability Commission, an advisory committee to the FCC. He holds an MBA from Georgia State University.

Raymond L. Lawless became our Chief Financial Officer in February 2002 and a Director as of March 2003. From October 2001 to February 2002, Mr. Lawless provided financial consulting services to telecommunications companies. Mr. Lawless worked for Intermedia Communications Inc. from April 1997 to September 2001 serving as Vice President Finance and Treasurer. During his tenure at Intermedia, Mr. Lawless was responsible for capital formation, treasury operations, risk management, corporate development, forecasting, strategic planning, budgeting, management reporting and investor relations support. Prior to that, Mr. Lawless spent 18 years at Bell Atlantic Corporation in various finance positions. Mr. Lawless holds a BS in Business Administration from West Chester University and an MBA from the University of Arkansas.

F. Terry Kremian became our Chief Operating Officer in December 2003. Mr. Kremian most recently served as executive vice president and general manager, Telecommunications Services Group for VeriSign, a position he held since VeriSign s acquisition of Illuminet Holdings in

December 2001. From September 1998 to December 2001, Mr. Kremian served as president, executive vice president and Chief Operating Officer of

Illuminet Holdings. Prior to that, he was vice president marketing and sales from November 1997. Mr. Kremian joined Illuminet Holdings from MCI where he was employed since 1982, most recently as director of carrier sales. Mr. Kremian holds a BS degree from the U.S. Naval Academy and a JD degree from the University of Maryland School of Law.

Paul A. Wilcock has served as Chief Technology Officer since March 2004. From September 2002 to March 2004 he served as Vice President Technology. Prior to that he served as Vice President Business Development and Strategy from August 2001 to September 2002. Having joined us in 1992, Mr. Wilcock previously served as Assistant Vice President Business Development and Strategy, Assistant Vice President Marketing, Director Product Development and Support Services and Director Enterprise Technology. Mr. Wilcock began his GTE career in 1975 and has held numerous positions of increasing responsibility in engineering, operations, marketing and strategy development. Mr. Wilcock graduated in Telecommunications from Leeds College of Engineering and Science (England) and holds an MBA from Wake Forest University.

Michael J. O Brien has served as Vice President Marketing since January 2003. Prior to that, he served as Vice President Marketing/Business Development from September 2002 to January 2003 and Vice President Marketing from August 2001 to September 2002. Previously he served as Assistant Vice President Marketing from November of 2000 to August 2001 and Marketing Director North American Wireless from June of 1999 to November of 2000. From January of 1999 to June of that same year, Mr. O Brien worked as an independent consultant. From August of 1997 to January of 1999, Mr. O Brien held the position of Director of Operations at GE LogistiCom, a satellite communications business. Prior to his employment with GE LogistiCom, Mr. O Brien served as a Product Manager with us from March of 1996 to August of 1997. He has over 11 years experience with us in various marketing and operations positions. Mr. O Brien holds a BS in Computer Science from the University of Virginia.

Wayne G. Nelson has served as Vice President Controller since August 2002. From September 2000 to August 2002 Mr. Nelson served as Director Finance and previously he served as Director Customer Support. Mr. Nelson began his GTE career as a Finance Associate in 1987. He has over 13 years experience with us in various marketing, operations and finance positions. Mr. Nelson holds a BA in Economics from the University of Rochester and an MBA in Finance/Statistics from Rutgers University.

Gilbert L. Mosher has served as Vice President Operations/Customer Support since August 2001 and previously served as Assistant Vice President Information Technology, responsible for overseeing our software development. Prior to that, Mr. Mosher held various positions with increasing responsibility in the technical and management areas beginning with a position as a Programmer Analyst with GTE in 1979. Mr. Mosher joined us in January 1996 as Assistant Vice President Information Technology. He earned a BS in Professional Management from Nova Southeastern University and was elected as a member of Alpha Chi, National College Honor Scholarship Society. He also holds an MBA from Nova Southeastern University.

Robert F. Garcia, Jr. became our General Counsel in February 2002. Prior to being appointed General Counsel, he served as Associate General Counsel since September 2000. Mr. Garcia joined us in 1995 as in-house legal counsel. Prior to that, he was in private practice in Washington, D.C. Mr. Garcia received his law degree from the National Law Center, George Washington University and has a BA in Political Science from the University of South Florida.

Charles A. Drexler became our Vice President Sales in June 2002. Prior to joining us, Mr. Drexler served as director-project development for MetroPCS from March 2002 to June 2002. Mr. Drexler provided consulting services to telecommunications companies from August 2001 to March of 2002. From 1989 to July 2001, Mr. Drexler held positions of increasing responsibility at Lucent/AT&T. During his tenure at Lucent/AT&T he was responsible for managing and developing domestic and international sales territories. Mr. Drexler holds a BA in Education from the University of Texas-El Paso.

Linda Hermansen became our Vice President Business Development and Strategy in January 2003. From November 1997 to January 2003, she served as Director Marketing and Business Development. Ms. Hermansen began her GTE career in 1989 with the GTE Telephone Operations finance department where she held various positions of increasing responsibility within business analysis, operations and wireless technology. Ms. Hermansen holds a BS degree in Economics from the University of Illinois and an MBA from Butler University.

Eugene Bergen Henegouwen became our Managing Director European Operations in May 2003. Mr. Bergen Henegouwen, a Dutch native, has held a variety of high tech executive level positions in the United States and The Netherlands. Prior to joining us, he was CEO and Chairman of Invention Machine Corporation from January 2001 to November 2002. From January 1999 to December 2000 he was CEO and president of AVIO Digital Inc. and from April 1995 to December 1998 he was CEO of Philips Creative Display Solutions in The Netherlands. Mr. Bergen Henegouwen has also held senior level management positions with Philips Consumer Electronics, Business Electronics and Philips Telecommunications and Data Systems. He holds a MS degree and a BS degree in Electrical Engineering from Delft University of Technology in The Netherlands.

Paul Corrao became our Vice President Network Operations in July 2003. He has held several senior level customer care and operations positions throughout his 30-year career. From February 2002 to July 2003 he was Vice President Operations for EPIX and from August 1999 to October 2001 he was Vice President Service Delivery for Intermedia Communications. Mr. Corrao spent 26 years with AT&T where he managed such areas as switching quality control, VTNS operations, 800 and business application services, ISDN installations and customer care. He also worked with Bell Atlantic s Global Network division. He holds a MS degree from the Stevens Institute of Technology and a BA in Computer Science from the City University of New York (CUNY).

David A. Donnini has served as a Director since February 2002. Mr. Donnini is currently a Principal of GTCR Golder Rauner, L.L.C., which he joined in 1991. Prior to joining GTCR, Mr. Donnini worked as a management consultant at Bain & Company. He received a BA in Economics from Yale University. He also holds an MBA from Stanford University. Mr. Donnini serves on the board of directors of Synagro Technologies, Prestige Brands, Coinmach and several private GTCR portfolio companies.

Collin E. Roche has served as a Director since February 2002. Mr. Roche is currently a Principal of GTCR Golder Rauner, L.L.C., which he joined in 1996. Prior to joining GTCR, Mr. Roche worked as an investment banking analyst at Goldman, Sachs & Co. and as an associate at Everen Securities. He received a BA in Political Economy from Williams College. He also holds an MBA from Harvard Business School. Mr. Roche serves on the board of directors of TNS, Verifone and several private GTCR portfolio companies.

John C. Hofmann has served as a Director since November 2004. Mr. Hofmann is currently a Vice President of GTCR Golder Rauner, L.L.C., which he joined in 1999. Prior to joining GTCR, Mr. Hofmann worked as a management consultant at McKinsey & Company. He received a BA in History from the University of Pennsylvania. He also holds an MBA from Harvard Business School.

Odie C. Donald has served as a Director since August 2002. Mr. Donald was a consultant to DIRECTV, Inc., a direct broadcast satellite television service and a unit of Hughes Electronics Corporation, from July 2001 to December 2002. From April 2000 to July 2001, Mr. Donald was President of DIRECTV. From March 1999 to April 2000 he was Chief Executive Officer of Cable & Wireless Caribbean and Atlantic Islands Plc. Prior to that, Mr. Donald spent 26 years with BellSouth Corporation, where he held various positions, including Group President Customer Operations from 1998 to 1999 and President of Bellsouth Mobility from 1992 to 1998. Mr. Donald serves on the board of directors of Darden Restaurants Inc.

Tony G. Holcombe has served as a Director since March 2003. Mr. Holcombe is currently President of Web MD, which he joined in December 2003. From September 2002 to December 2003 Mr. Holcombe was chief executive officer of Valutec Card Solutions. From May 1997 to September 2002, Mr. Holcombe served in

various executive positions at Ceridian Corporation and its subsidiaries. From November 1999 to September 2002, Mr. Holcombe served as Executive Vice President of Ceridian Corporation. In addition, Mr. Holcombe held the following positions at subsidiaries of Ceridian Corporation including President of Ceridian Employer Employee Services from November 1999 to September 2002 and President of Comdata from May 1997 to November 1999. Prior to this, Mr. Holcombe was President and Chief Executive Officer of National Processing, Inc., which provides transaction-processing services and customized processing solutions, from October 1994 to March 1997. Mr. Holcombe serves on the board of directors of TALX Corporation.

Robert J. Marino has served as a Director since February 2004. From August 1998 to February 2003, Mr. Marino served as Group President of Convergys Information Management Group. Convergys Corporation was formed by spinning off Cincinnati Bell Information Systems Inc. (CBIS) and MATRIXX Marketing Inc. from Cincinnati Bell Inc. Prior to this, Mr. Marino served as president and chief executive officer of CBIS from October 1996 to August 1998 and chief operating officer of CBIS from November 1995 to October 1996. Prior to joining CBIS Mr. Marino served as president of the northeast region at Nextel Communications from November 1993 to October 1995. Mr. Marino also held senior management positions at Houston Cellular Telephone Company, Compania de Radiocomunicaciones Moviles in Argentina and Sprint Corporation.

Except as described herein, there are no arrangements or understandings between any member of the management committee or executive officer and any other person pursuant to which that person was elected or appointed to his position. There are no family relationships between our executive officers or directors.

Our Board of Directors has the power to appoint officers. Each officer will hold office for the term determined by our Board of Directors and until such person s successor is chosen and qualified or until such person s death, resignation or removal.

Board Committees

We will be deemed to be a controlled company under the rules of the New York Stock Exchange, and we will qualify for, and intend to rely on, the controlled company exception to the board of directors and committee composition requirements under the rules of the New York Stock Exchange. Pursuant to this exception, we will be exempt from the rule that requires that our board of directors be comprised of a majority of independent directors; our compensation committee be comprised solely of independent directors; and our nominating and corporate governance committee be comprised solely of independent directors as defined under the rules of the New York Stock Exchange. The controlled company exception does not modify the independence requirements for the audit committee, and we intend to comply with the requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and the New York Stock Exchange rules, which require that our audit committee be composed of three independent directors. Our board of directors currently has an audit committee and a compensation committee and, prior to the completion of this offering, will have a nominating and corporate governance committee.

Audit committee. The audit committee of our board of directors appoints, determines the compensation for and supervises our independent auditors; reviews our internal accounting procedures, systems of internal controls and financial statements; reviews and approves the services provided by our internal and independent auditors, including the results and scope of their audit; and resolves disagreements between management and our independent auditors. The audit committee currently consists of Messrs. Donald, Holcombe and Roche. As presently constituted, the committee does not have an audit committee financial expert as that term is defined in the Securities Exchange Act rules. Upon completion of this offering, an independent audit committee financial expert will replace Mr. Roche as a member of the audit committee.

Compensation committee. The compensation committee of our board of directors reviews and recommends to the board of directors the compensation and benefits of all of our executive officers, administers our equity incentive plans and establishes and reviews general policies relating to compensation and benefits of our

76

employees. The compensation committee currently consists of Messrs. Evans, Donnini and Roche. Upon completion of this offering, Mr. will replace Mr. Evans on the compensation committee.

Nominating and corporate governance committee. Prior to the completion of this offering, we will establish a nominating and corporate governance committee. The role of the nominating and corporate governance committee of our board of directors will be to identify individuals qualified to become members of the board of directors, to recommend that the board of directors select director nominees for the next annual meeting of stockholders, and to develop and recommend to the board of directors a set of corporate governance principles applicable to the company. Our nominating and corporate governance committee will consist of Messrs.

Code of Ethics

We have adopted a Code of Ethics that applies to all of our directors, officers and employees. Our Code of Ethics has been filed with the SEC. See Where You Can Find Other Information.

Compensation of Directors

Non-employee and non-equity investor directors receive \$2,500 in fees per board meeting. Beginning January 1, 2004, non-employee and non-equity investor directors will receive \$15,000 annual compensation for board participation. Under the Syniverse Holdings, Inc. Non-Employee Directors Stock Option Plan, non-employee directors of the company who do not otherwise have an equity interest in Syniverse Holdings, LLC are entitled to receive options to purchase 50,000 shares of the company s non-voting common stock upon joining Syniverse Inc. s board of directors. See Non-Employee Directors Stock Option Plan. Three of our directors, Mr. Donald, Mr. Holcombe and Mr. Marino, currently are eligible to receive options under this plan. Options to purchase 50,000 shares of our non-voting common stock pursuant to this plan were granted to Mr. Donald in 2002, Mr. Holcombe in 2003 and Mr. Marino in 2004. All of our directors are reimbursed for out-of-pocket expenses related to their service as directors.

Summary Compensation Table

The following table summarizes compensation awarded or paid by us during 2003, 2002 and 2001 to our Chief Executive Officer and our four next highly compensated executive officers (our named executive officers).

		Annual Compensation			
Name and Principal				A	All Other
Position	Year	Salary	Bonus	Cor	npensation
G. Edward Evans (1)	2003 2002	\$ 401,950 342,026	\$ 175,000 100,000	\$	191,427 10,550
Chief Executive Officer	2001	312,020	100,000		10,550

Annual Componention

Edgar Filing: SYNIVERSE HOLDINGS INC - Form S-1/A

Raymond L. Lawless (2)	2003	226,950	122,854	10,797
Chief Financial Officer	2002 2001	192,603	56,700	9,896
Paul A. Wilcock (3)	2003	177,800	91,526	9,550
	2002	176,400	44,800	9,480
Chief Technology Officer	2001	169,046	264,750(4)	8,267
Linda Hermansen (4)	2003	149,985	118,745	7,984
Wiss Desident Designer Desidence of & Charles	2002	137,285	16,500	7,364
Vice President Business Development & Strategy	2001	130,773	99,675(5)	5,944
Eugene Bergen Henegouwen (5)	2003	173,888	67,168	13,295
	2002			
Managing Director European Operations	2001			

- (1) Mr. Evans began his employment with the company on February 14, 2002.
- (2) Mr. Lawless began his employment with the company on February 14, 2002.
- (3) Mr. Wilcock s 2001 bonus is comprised of an incentive bonus of \$52,000 and a retention bonus of \$212,750.
- (4) Ms. Hermansen s 2001 bonus is comprised of an incentive bonus of \$33,225 and a retention bonus of \$66,450.
- (5) Mr. Henegouwen began his employment with the company on May 14, 2003.

The following table identifies and quantifies the individual amounts included in of All Other Compensation for each person listed:

		401K				
		Employer				
		Parking	Match/		Car	
Name and Principal Position	Year	Reimbursement	Pension	Relocation	Allowance	
G. Edward Evans	2003 2002	\$ 660	\$ 10,692	\$ 180,075	\$	
Chief Executive Officer	2002	550	10,000			
Raymond L. Lawless	2003	660	10,137			
Chief Financial Officer	2002 2001	550	9,346			
Paul A. Wilcock	2003	660	8,890			
Chief Technology Officer	2002 2001	660 660	8,820 7,607			
Linda Hermansen	2003	660	7,324			
Vice President Business Development & Strategy	2002 2001	660 660	6,704 5,284			
Eugene Bergen Henegouwen	2003				13,295	
Managing Director European Operations	2002 2001					

Option Grants in Last Fiscal Year

None of our named executive officers were granted options in our last fiscal year under the company s Founders Stock Option Plan or Non-Employee Directors Stock Option Plan.

Option Exercises in Last Fiscal and Fiscal Year-End Option Values

Our named executive officers did not exercise any options during the last fiscal year and did not hold any unexercised options as of December 31, 2003.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

The compensation arrangements for our chief executive officer and each of our other executive officers are established pursuant to the terms of the respective employment agreements between the company and each executive officer. The terms of the employment agreements were established pursuant to arms-length negotiations between representatives of our largest stockholder and each executive officer in connection with our acquisition from Verizon. While Mr. Evans is on our compensation committee, he does not participate in setting his own compensation.

78

Employment Agreements

In his senior management agreement, Mr. Evans agrees to serve as our chief executive officer until he resigns or until we terminate his employment. While employed by us, Mr. Evans receives an annual base salary of \$400,000, subject to increase by our board of directors. For each fiscal year of employment, Mr. Evans is eligible for an annual bonus of up to 50% of his annual base salary and will be entitled to any other benefits approved by our board of directors. During Mr. Evans employment, Evans Motor Sports LLC, an entity owned by Mr. Evans, is entitled to use an aircraft leased by us. Mr. Evans or Evans Motor Sports LLC pays that percentage of the monthly lease and other fixed costs for such aircraft based on Mr. Evans actual use of the aircraft on behalf of or in furtherance of business for Evans Motor Sports LLC, and reimburses us for all operating costs of the aircraft in connection with such use. Mr. Evans or Evans Motor Sports LLC is required to make such payments on a quarterly basis within the thirty (30) days immediately following the end of such quarter.

Mr. Evans employment will continue until (i) he resigns without good reason, disability or death, (ii) our board of directors decides to terminate his employment with cause, (iii) our board of directors decides to terminate his employment without cause, or (iv) he terminates his employment for good reason. If his employment is terminated by us without cause or by Mr. Evans for good reason, then we will be obligated to pay Mr. Evans one-twelfth of his annual base salary per month for a six-month period commencing on the date of termination. Mr. Evans may then elect to receive these same severance payments for up to three additional six-month periods. However, any severance payments made to Mr. Evans will be reduced by the amount of any cash compensation he earns or receives with respect to any other employment during the period in which he is receiving severance.

In his senior management agreement, Mr. Evans agrees to limitations on his ability to disclose any of our confidential information, and acknowledges that all inventions relating to his employment belong to us. Mr. Evans also agrees not to compete with us anywhere in the world or to solicit our employees for either the period during which he receives severance, if he is terminated without cause or if he resigns for good reason, or for two years after his termination, if he resigns without good reason or if we terminate his employment for cause.

Other members of our senior management team also entered into senior management agreements pursuant to which they agreed to be employed by us under terms generally no less favorable to us than Mr. Evans terms.