

JONES SODA CO
Form 10KSB
March 31, 2006
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-KSB

x **ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005

.. **TRANSITION REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number 000-28820

JONES SODA CO.

(Exact name of small business issuer as specified in its charter)

Washington
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

91-1696175
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

Edgar Filing: JONES SODA CO - Form 10KSB

234 Ninth Avenue North

Seattle, WA 98109

(Address of principal executive offices)

(206) 624-3357

(Issuer's telephone number)

Securities registered under Section 12(b) of the Exchange Act: (none)

Securities registered under Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act: **Common Stock, no par value per share**

Check whether the issuer is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act.

Check whether the issuer (1) filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act during the past 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Check if no disclosure of delinquent filers in response to Item 405 of Regulation S-B is contained in this form, and no disclosure will be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-KSB or any amendment to this Form 10-KSB.

Check whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Issuer's revenues for its most recent fiscal year: \$33,511,053

As of March 24, 2006, there were 22,117,721 shares of the Company's common stock issued and outstanding, and the aggregate market value of such common stock held by non-affiliates was approximately \$167,527,891, based on the average of the bid (\$8.22) and ask (\$8.30) prices of such stock on that date of \$8.26.

Documents Incorporated By Reference: The information required by Part III of this Report, to the extent not set forth herein, is incorporated in this Report by reference to the Company's definitive proxy statement relating to its 2006 annual meeting of shareholders, to be held on May 18, 2006. The definitive proxy statement will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after the end of the 2005 fiscal year.

Edgar Filing: JONES SODA CO - Form 10KSB

Transitional Small Business Disclosure Format (Check one): Yes No

Table of Contents

JONES SODA CO.

FORM 10-KSB ANNUAL REPORT

Table of Contents

| | <u>Page</u> |
|---|--------------------|
| PART I | |
| Item 1. <u>Our Business</u> | 1 |
| Item 2. <u>Property</u> | 18 |
| Item 3. <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 19 |
| Item 4. <u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Shareholders</u> | 19 |
| PART II | |
| Item 5. <u>Market for Common Stock, Related Shareholder Matters and Small Business Issuer Purchasers of Equity Securities</u> | 20 |
| Item 6. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 21 |
| Item 7. <u>Financial Statements</u> | 27 |
| Item 8. <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u> | 27 |
| Item 8A. <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 27 |
| Item 8B. <u>Other Information</u> | 28 |
| PART III | |
| Item 9. <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Control Persons; Compliance with Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act</u> | 29 |
| Item 10. <u>Executive Compensation</u> | 29 |
| Item 11. <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Shareholder Matters</u> | 29 |
| Item 12. <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions</u> | 30 |
| Item 13. <u>Exhibits</u> | 30 |
| Item 14. <u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u> | 31 |
| <u>SIGNATURES</u> | 32 |

** Items 9 through 12 and Item 14 of Part III are incorporated by reference from the definitive proxy statement for our 2006 annual meeting of shareholders, to be held on May 18, 2006, which will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after the close of the 2005 fiscal year.

Table of Contents

EXPLANATORY NOTE

Unless otherwise indicated or the context otherwise requires, all references in this Annual Report on Form 10-KSB to we, us, our, and the Company are to Jones Soda Co., a Washington corporation, and our wholly owned subsidiaries Jones Soda Co. (USA) Inc., Jones Soda (Canada) Inc., myJones.com Inc. and Whoopass USA Inc.

In addition, unless otherwise indicated or the context otherwise requires, all references in this Annual Report to *Jones Soda* refers to our premium soda sold under the trademarked brand name *Jones Soda Co.*

CAUTIONARY NOTICE REGARDING FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS

We desire to take advantage of the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. This Annual Report on Form 10-KSB contains a number of forward-looking statements that reflect management's current views and expectations with respect to our business, strategies, products, future results and events and financial performance. All statements other than statements of historical fact, including future results of operations or financial position, made in this Annual Report on Form 10-KSB are forward looking. In particular, the words believe, expect, intend, anticipate, estimate, may, will, variations of such words, and similar expressions identify forward-looking statements, but the exclusive means of identifying such statements and their absence does not mean that the statement is not forward-looking. These forward-looking statements are subject to certain risks and uncertainties, including those discussed below. Our actual results, performance or achievements could differ materially from our historical results as well as from those expressed in, anticipated or implied by these forward-looking statements. We do not undertake any obligation to revise these forward-looking statements to reflect any future events or circumstances.

For a discussion of some of the factors that may affect our business, results and prospects, see ITEM 1. OUR BUSINESS Risk Factors. Readers are urged to carefully review and consider the various disclosures made by us in this Report and in our other reports previously filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, including our periodic reports on Forms 10-QSB and 8-K, and those described from time to time in our press releases and other communications, which attempt to advise interested parties of the risks and factors that may affect our business, prospects and results of operations.

Table of Contents

PART I

ITEM 1. OUR BUSINESS.

Overview

We develop, produce, market and distribute alternative or New Age beverages. We currently produce, market and distribute five unique beverage brands:

Jones Soda Co.[®], a premium soda;

Jones Organics, a ready-to-drink organic tea;

Jones Energy, a citrus energy drink;

WhoopAss, a citrus energy drink; and

Jones Naturals, a non-carbonated juice & tea.

Our business strategy is to increase sales by expanding distribution of our internally developed brands in new and existing markets, stimulating consumer trial of our products and increasing consumer awareness of, and brand loyalty to, our unique brands and products. Key elements of our business strategy include:

creating strong distributor relationships and key accounts;

stimulating strong consumer demand for our existing brands and products with primary emphasis in the United States and Canada;

developing unique alternative beverage brands and products; and

licensing our brand equity for the creation of other beverage or non-beverage products.

We currently sell and distribute our products throughout the United States and Canada through our network of independent distributors (DSD) and our national retail accounts (DTR), as well as through licensing and distribution arrangements.

Edgar Filing: JONES SODA CO - Form 10KSB

With respect to our distributors (DSD) we have focused our sales and marketing resources on the expansion and penetration of our products through our independent distributor network in our core markets consisting of the Northwest, Southwest and Midwest U.S., and Western Canada. In 2005, we continued to focus on growing our distribution network in our four core markets as well as targeted expansion into our less penetrated markets consisting of the Northeast and Southeast U.S., and Eastern Canada.

We launched our direct to retail business strategy in 2003 as a complementary channel of distribution to our DSD channel, targeting large national retail accounts. Through these programs, we negotiate directly with large national retailers, primarily premier food-service based businesses, to carry our products, serviced through the retailer's appointed distribution system. During 2003, we entered into distribution arrangements with Barnes & Noble, Panera Bread Company and Cost Plus World Markets to carry certain of our products in their stores nationwide in the United States. In addition, in March 2004 we entered into a distribution arrangement with Starbucks Coffee Company for two flavors of our *Jones Soda* product in all of its stores in the United States. This distribution arrangement with Starbucks in the United States was in addition to our existing arrangement for their stores in Canada that had been in place since 1999. In addition, in October 2004, in connection with our licensing arrangement with Target Corporation for our *Jones Soda* 12-ounce cans, we began selling *Jones Soda* (in 12-ounce bottles) and *Jones Energy* (in our 8.4 ounce four-pack format) to Target for distribution through their stores in the United States.

Beginning in 2004, we launched our licensing business strategy as a method to extend our brand into non-alternative beverage products and non-beverage products. In July 2004, we entered into a two-year licensing and distribution agreement with Target Corporation which provides Target with exclusive rights in the United

Table of Contents

States to market and sell 12-ounce cans of *Jones Soda*. We launched our 12-ounce cans in a fridge pack format into Target Corporation in October 2004 and with this product we now compete in the highly competitive carbonated soft drink (CSD) industry. In September 2004, we entered into an agreement with Lime-Lite Marketing Corporation to manufacture and distribute Jones Soda lip balms. In March 2005, we also announced a licensing and distribution agreement with The Kroger Corporation, providing Kroger exclusive rights to manufacture and distribute Jones Soda Frozen Soda Pops through all Kroger and Kroger-affiliated grocery stores in the United States. In September 2005, we entered into a licensing agreement with Big Sky Brands, Inc. to manufacture and distribute Jones Soda Flavor Booster hard candy. With these licensing agreements, we believe that we are able to partner with companies that are able to manufacture Jones related products and extend our Jones brand into select products that we feel enhance our brand image.

Our company is a Washington corporation and our principal place of business is located at 234 Ninth Avenue North, Seattle, Washington 98109. Our telephone number is (206) 624-3357.

The New Age or Alternative Beverage Industry

Jones Soda, *Jones Organics*, *Jones Energy*, *WhoopAss* and *Jones Naturals*, which are classified as New Age or alternative beverages, as well as other unique brands and products that we may develop in the future, compete with beverage products of all types, including soft drinks, fruit juices and drinks and bottled water.

According to Beverage Marketing Corporation, the New Age or alternative beverage markets was approximately \$16.9 billion in total sales in 2005.

New Age or alternative beverages are distinguishable from mainstream carbonated soft drinks in that they tend to contain less sugar, less carbonation, and natural ingredients. As a general rule, three criteria have been established for such a classification: (1) relatively new introduction to the market-place; (2) a perception by consumers that consumption is healthful compared to mainstream carbonated soft drinks; and (3) the use of natural ingredients and flavors in the products. According to Beverage Marketing Corporation (www.beverageworld.com), for 2005, the New Age or alternative beverage category consisted of the following segments: energy drinks; premium soda; ready-to-drink (RTD) coffee; RTD tea; RTD tea (nutrient-enhanced); shelf-stable dairy (regular/diet); shelf-stable dairy (nutrient-enhanced); single-serve-fruit beverages (regular/diet); single-serve-fruit beverages (nutrient enhanced); smoothies; sparkling water; sports drinks; vegetable/fruit juice blends; and other New Age beverages.

The Carbonated Soft Drink (CSD) Industry

In October 2004, we entered the mainstream of the carbonated soft drink industry with the launch of our *Jones Soda* product in the 12-ounce can format. Currently, these 12-ounce cans of *Jones Soda* are sold under our two-year exclusive marketing and distribution agreement with Target Corporation.

The CSD industry includes all soda products that are sold primarily in 12-ounce cans and generally compete on price. According to Beverage Marketing Corporation, the carbonated soft drink category for the year ending 2005 was estimated at approximately \$65.9 billion in total sales. Following many years of growth, in 1999, the CSD category began to experience six straight years of sub-1% growth and showed per capita consumption declines. However, the CSD category remains significant in size, in terms of both volume and sales, and its market share is far

Edgar Filing: JONES SODA CO - Form 10KSB

larger than any other beverage category. The primary companies participating in this category include Coca Cola, Pepsi, Dr. Pepper/Seven Up, Cott Corporation and National Beverage.

Business Strategy

We believe that *Jones Soda* is a leading brand in the premium soda segment of the New Age beverage category, and we recently entered the broader, more competitive carbonated soft drink industry with the introduction of our 12-ounce cans.

Table of Contents

In late 1995, we launched *Jones Soda*, our premium soda product under our trademarked brand. By launching *Jones Soda*, we believed we were creating a new category in the New Age beverage market and that we were offering distributors something new to sell. In its January 1998 issue, Beverage Aisle magazine changed the name of the all-natural soda segment to the premium soda segment and cited *Jones Soda* as an example of a beverage in this category. Thus, we believe that the *Jones Soda* brand and product line helped to create a new segment in the New Age or alternative beverage industry. In developing the *Jones Soda* brand, we have marketed our products with a distinct fashion component consisting of black and white photos on our labels, which is representative of current overall fashion trends. See Products *Jones Soda*.

Utilizing creative but relatively low cost marketing and brand promotion techniques, we have focused on building a strong distributor network and presence with national retail accounts for our lead brand, *Jones Soda*. We believe that our past experience as a distributor of licensed and non-licensed New Age beverage brands has given, and will continue to give, our company credibility in connection with our efforts to build a quality network of independent distributors. Sales of our products through our distributor network, and the uniqueness and strength of our brand, provided us with opportunities to implement and grow our DTR strategy through national retail accounts. These national accounts have further increased the public awareness of and strength of our brand and have provided us with other cross-selling opportunities through our licensing business strategy.

Our business strategy is to attempt to increase sales by expanding product distribution in new and existing markets (primarily within North America), stimulating consumer trial of our products and creating and increasing consumer awareness of and brand loyalty to our unique brands and products. We focus our resources on four core markets, namely the Midwest, Northwest and Southwest U.S., and Western Canada. In 2005, through our independent distributor network, we continued to focus on these four core markets, as well as targeted expansion into our less penetrated markets consisting of the Northeast and Southeast U.S., and Eastern Canada.

During 2005 and 2004, we grew our direct to retail distribution strategy to large national retail accounts, currently consisting of Target Corporation, Starbucks Coffee Company, Panera Bread Company, Barnes & Noble and Cost Plus World Markets. During 2006, we intend to continue servicing and expanding our direct to retail channel to national retail accounts.

In 2004, we also launched our licensing business strategy as a method to extend our brand into non-alternative beverage products and non-beverage products. In July 2004, we entered into a licensing agreement with Target Corporation to market and sell *Jones Soda* in a 12-ounce can format and we now compete directly in the carbonated soft drink industry. In September 2004, we entered into an agreement with Lime-Lite Marketing Corporation to manufacture and distribute Jones Soda lip balms, and in March 2005, we signed a licensing agreement with The Kroger Corporation to manufacture and distribute Jones Soda Frozen Soda Pops. In September 2005, we entered into a licensing agreement with Big Sky Brands, Inc. to manufacture and distribute Jones Soda Flavor Booster hard candy.

Jones Soda understands the importance of creating new beverage items that meet the ever changing consumer taste profile. Our strategy is to be focused on innovating new products that will be accepted by the retailers, distributors and consumers. We believe this is accomplished by keeping open dialog with our retail and distributor partners to ensure we are current with the consumer trends in the beverage industry.

Key elements of our business strategy include the following:

Building our Brand

Edgar Filing: JONES SODA CO - Form 10KSB

We believe the market for alternative beverages is dependent to a large extent on image as well as taste, and that this market is driven by trendy, young consumers between the ages of 12 and 34. Accordingly, our strategy is to develop unique brand names, slogans and trade dress. In addition to unique labeling on our products, we provide each of our distributors with point-of-sale promotional materials and branded apparel items. We promote

Table of Contents

interaction with our customers through the use of these point-of-sale items, such as posters, stickers, table cards, shelf danglers, post cards, hats, pins, T-shirts, and our proprietary lighted display box. In addition, through the labels on our bottles, we invite consumers to access our website and to send in photographs to be featured on the *Jones Soda* and *Jones Naturals* labels. We believe that our labeling, marketing and promotional materials are important elements to creating and increasing distributor, retailer and consumer awareness of our brands and products.

Independent Distributor Network (DSD)

We distribute our products through a network of independent distributors in the United States and Canada. We have also obtained listings for selected skus of our *Jones Soda*, *Jones Organics*, *Jones Energy*, *Whoopass* and *Jones Naturals* brands with certain key retail grocery accounts, including QFC, Meijer, Allsup's, Kroger, Albertsons, Safeway and Speedway Super America, which are serviced through our independent distributor network. We have pursued this strategy both in an effort to increase sales and to encourage distributors to distribute our brands and products to our key accounts and other accounts of our distributors.

We usually grant independent distributors the right to distribute finished cases of one or more of our brands in a particular region, province, state or local territory, subject to our overall management directives. We select distributors who we believe will have the ability to get our unique brands and products on the street level retail shelves in convenience stores, delicatessens, sandwich shops and selected supermarkets. Ultimately, we have chosen, and will continue to choose, our distributors based upon their perceived ability to build our brand franchise. We currently maintain a network of approximately 188 distributors in 44 states in the United States and 9 provinces in Canada.

We have additionally pursued distribution to alternative or non-traditional beverage retailers. We have entered into agreements with approximately 750 independent non-traditional beverage retailers, including music stores, skateboard shops, comic book stores and clothing stores in major markets across North America, primarily in the western markets of the United States and Canada, pursuant to which generally these retailers agree to exclusively carry our beverage products. We intend to selectively pursue distribution to these national and independent non-traditional beverage accounts as part of our distribution and marketing strategy. Also, in April 2004, we entered into an agreement with Zumiez Corporation, an alternative skate/snow-wear clothing store chain, to sell our product in all 140 Zumiez retail stores in the United States.

Direct to Retail National Accounts (DTR)

Beginning in 2003, we launched our direct to retail business strategy as a complementary channel of distribution, targeting large national retail accounts. Through these programs, we negotiate directly with large national retailers, primarily premier food-service based businesses, to carry our products, serviced by the retailer's appointed distribution system. We currently have distribution arrangements with five national retail accounts, consisting of the following:

Target Corporation launched in October 2004, currently for 5 flavors of *Jones Soda* (in addition to the 12-ounce can fridge pack described below) and 3 flavors of our *Jones Energy* (8.4 ounce four-pack format) in all Target stores in the United States;

Starbucks Coffee Company launched in March 2004, originally for 2 flavors of *Jones Soda*, and recently increased to 3 flavors in 2006, in all Starbucks stores in the United States (which is in addition to our DSD arrangement for Starbucks stores in Canada that has been in place since 1999);

Edgar Filing: JONES SODA CO - Form 10KSB

Panera Bread Company launched in June 2003, currently for 6 flavors of *Jones Soda* and 3 flavors of *Jones Naturals* in all Panera bakery cafés in the United States;

Barnes & Noble launched in February 2003, currently for 5 flavors of *Jones Naturals* in all Barnes & Noble café stores in the United States; and

Table of Contents

Cost Plus World Markets launched in November 2003, currently for 6 flavors of *Jones Soda* in all Cost Plus stores in the United States.

Licensing Arrangements

Beginning in 2004, we launched our licensing business strategy as a method to extend our brand into non-alternative beverage products and non-beverage products. In July 2004, we entered into a two-year licensing and distribution agreement with Target Corporation which provides Target with exclusive rights in the United States to market and sell 12-ounce cans of *Jones Soda*. Under this arrangement, our 12-ounce can products are produced by a third-party manufacturer selected by us and approved by Target. We launched our 12-ounce cans in a fridge pack format into Target Corporation in October 2004 and with this product we now compete in the highly competitive carbonated soft drink (CSD) industry. In September 2004, we entered into an agreement with Lime-Lite Marketing Corporation to manufacture and distribute Jones Soda lip balms. In March 2005, we also announced a licensing and distribution agreement with The Kroger Corporation, providing Kroger exclusive rights to manufacture and distribute Jones Soda Frozen Soda Pops through all Kroger and Kroger-affiliated grocery stores in the United States. In September 2005, we entered into a licensing agreement with Big Sky Brands, Inc. to manufacture and distribute Jones Soda Flavor Booster hard candy. With these licensing agreements, we believe that we are able to partner with companies that are able to manufacture Jones related products and extend our Jones brand into select products that we feel enhance our brand image.

In-House Brand and Product Development

We have developed and intend to continue to develop our brands and products in-house. We used a similar process initially to create the *Jones Soda* brand, and we intend to continue utilizing this process in connection with the creation of our future brands. This process primarily consists of the following steps:

Market Evaluation. We perform a complete review of the beverage industry in general, including a review of existing beverage categories and segments, and the product life cycle stages of such categories and segments. We evaluate the strengths and weaknesses of certain categories and segments of the beverage industry with a view to pinpointing potential opportunities.

Distributor Evaluation. We prepare a thorough analysis of existing and potential distribution channels, whether DSD or DTR. This analysis addresses, among other things, which companies will distribute particular beverage brands and products, where such companies may distribute such brands and products, and what will motivate these distributors to distribute such brands and products.

Production Evaluation. We review all aspects of production in the beverage industry, including current contract packing capacity, strategic production locations, and quality control, and prepare a cost analysis of the various considerations that will be critical to producing our unique brands and products.

Image And Design. In light of our market, distributor and production evaluations, we create and develop the concept for a beverage brand or product extension. Although we control all aspects of the creation of each brand or product extension, we contract with outside creative artists to help design our brand labels and imagery. Our technical services department then works with various flavor concentrate houses to test, choose and develop product flavors for the brand.

Edgar Filing: JONES SODA CO - Form 10KSB

Due to the limited life cycle of beverages in the New Age or alternative category, we believe that the ongoing process of creating new brands, products and product extensions will be an important factor in our long-term success.

Products

We currently produce, market and distribute five unique beverage brands: *Jones Soda*, *Jones Organics*, *Jones Energy*, *WhoopAss* and *Jones Naturals*.

Table of Contents

Jones Soda

We believe that our trademarked *Jones Soda* brand and product line is a leader in the Premium Soda segment of the New Age beverage category. We originally launched *Jones Soda* in November 1995. The *Jones Soda* product line currently consists of 12 flavors.

In 2003, we launched a sugar-free version of our *Jones Soda* line. These sugar-free sodas are sweetened with Splenda® and have zero calories and zero carbohydrates. We believe that the launch of our sugar-free *Jones Soda* provides a healthier alternative to our regular *Jones Soda* line and is an important product extension, especially in light of the recent concern and media coverage regarding obesity in young people. We currently have 5 flavors of sugar-free *Jones Soda*.

In March 2004, we launched our Watermelon Soda, which was the first mid-calorie premium soda on the market, with 50% less sugar, carbohydrates and calories than regular premium sodas and soft drinks. Our mid-calorie sodas contain 90 calories and 21 grams of sugar per 12-ounce serving. We currently have four mid-calorie flavors of *Jones Soda*:

Our strategy is to introduce new flavors each year and selectively retire flavors. In general, our target is to have at least 12 active flavors of our regular *Jones Soda* product, and at least 4 flavors of our sugar free sodas.

Each of the current *Jones Soda* products is made from natural and/or artificial flavors. Some flavors distributed in the U.S. market may contain caffeine (whereas in Canada only Vanilla Cola and Root Beer contain caffeine). Each flavor has a different color profile that we believe is readily distinguishable on a retail shelf. All *Jones Soda* beverage products come in 12-ounce (355 ml) clear long-neck bottles with primarily black and white photos on our labels displaying a variety of urban images. We also encourage consumers of *Jones Soda*, through the labels on our bottles, to send in photographs that may potentially be used on one of the *Jones Soda* labels.

In October 2004, we launched *Jones Soda* in a 12-ounce can fridge pack through a two year exclusive agreement with Target Corporation. The packaging of *Jones Soda* includes brightly colored cans in the color of the soda, with black and white photos (seven in total) on the fridge pack container. *Jones Soda* flavors are currently available in the 12-ounce can fridge pack format throughout all Target locations.

Jones Organics

In April 2005, we launched *Jones Organics*, a ready-to-drink organic tea, with 60 calories per serving, sweetened with organic cane sugar. *Jones Organics* comes in 14-ounce proprietary clear glass bottles with a design of the fruit on the front label, but does not contain the usual black and white photograph labels used on *Jones Soda* and *Jones Naturals* product labels. The *Jones Organics* line currently consists of 6 flavors.

Jones Energy

Edgar Filing: JONES SODA CO - Form 10KSB

In November 2001, we launched *Jones Energy*. *Jones Energy* is a citrus energy drink in an 8.4 ounce (250 ml) slim can containing vitamin B6, riboflavin, niacin, thiamin, caffeine and coQ-10. In March 2003, we launched *Jones Energy* in a new 16 ounce can, as an alternative to our other energy products. In March 2004, we launched our sugar-free *Jones Energy* in the 16-ounce can. In September 2004, we re-created and launched *Jones Energy* in an 8.4 ounce four-pack carrier format and in three different flavors Mixed Berry, Orange and Lemon Lime. This four-pack format was initially created for non-exclusive sale in Target Corporation and has since been launched into additional retail stores in the United States. Our *Jones Energy* products compete in the Energy Drink category of the New Age beverage industry.

Table of Contents

Whoopass

We originally launched *WhoopAss* in October 1999. *WhoopAss* is a citrus energy drink in an 8.4 ounce (250 ml) slim can containing riboflavin, niacin, vitamin B6 and thiamin. In 2005, we launched a 16 ounce version of our *Whoopass* energy drink. *WhoopAss* competes in the Energy Drink category of the New Age beverage industry.

Jones Naturals

In April 2001, we launched *Jones Naturals*, our non-carbonated beverage. The *Jones Naturals* products have 100% natural flavors and contain ingredients such as ginseng, zinc, and various vitamins. *Jones Naturals* comes in 20 ounce (591 ml) clear bottles with primarily black and white photograph labels, similar to the *Jones Soda* product labels. The *Jones Naturals* line currently consists of 8 flavors.

Marketing, Sales and Distribution

Marketing

Our pricing policies for the *Jones* and *Whoopass* brands take into consideration competitors' prices and our perception of what a consumer is willing to pay for the particular brand and product. The goal is to price our unique products at a premium to other premium sodas and New Age beverages.

For our products sold through our national direct to retail channels, the suggested retail prices are approximately \$1.60 - 1.75 for *Jones Soda* and \$1.75 - 1.95 for *Jones Naturals*.

We primarily use point-of-sale materials such as posters, stickers, table cards, shelf danglers, post cards, hats, pins, T-shirts and jackets to create and increase consumer awareness of our proprietary products and brands. In response to consumer demand, we also sell *Jones* and *Whoopass* products and our wearables on our web site (<http://www.jonessodastore.com>). Through cooperative advertising, the majority of our independent distributors fund a portion of our marketing budget, based upon case sales. In selected cities, we participate on a grass roots level at certain events in an attempt to create and increase brand awareness and loyalty. We also have a program of sponsoring alternative sport athletes to promote *Jones* and *Whoopass*, and we have signed up several athletes in the skateboard, snowboard and bmx bike arenas. We also use leased recreational vehicles and vans painted with the *Jones* colors and logos to create consumer awareness and enthusiasm to assist distributors as they open new retail accounts and markets. In addition to these marketing techniques, we also pursue cross-promotional campaigns with other companies.

We maintain and utilize our unique website, www.myjones.com to allow our *Jones Soda* consumers to create their own personalized 12-pack of *Jones Soda* (12-ounce bottles) with their unique photo in the labels. The strategy of www.myjones.com is to provide a unique product offering to our consumers as well as provide a unique marketing opportunity for our *Jones Soda* brand. Consumers can scan their unique photo through the web and crop and create their own myjones labels. The unique labels are downloaded at our office in Seattle and we send out 12-packs of the

Edgar Filing: JONES SODA CO - Form 10KSB

personalized soda to the consumer. We believe this strategy has increased awareness for the *Jones Soda* brand as well as provided for increased consumer interactivity with the *Jones Soda* brand, and we anticipate that it will continue to do so. In December 2002, we received notice of issuance of a patent (Patent No. 6,493,677) from the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office for our myjones.com business operation. The patent is titled "Method and Apparatus for Creating and Ordering Branded Merchandise over a Computer Network."

In 2002, we launched a new program, yourjones, which is the customization of the front panel of the label of *Jones Soda* similar to our myjones business, however on a larger, commercial scale. The premise behind yourjones is to create uniquely customized *Jones Soda* or *Jones Naturals* bottles, with a unique photo or brand image, for cross promotion and co-branding purposes or for sale in retail accounts. Like myjones.com, the Jones Soda name always appears on the labels and customers can add their own photo/brand and words. We have negotiated arrangements with our co-packing facilities to create short-run productions for these purposes.

Table of Contents

Sales

Our products are sold in 44 states in the United States and 9 provinces in Canada primarily in convenience stores, delicatessens, sandwich shops and selected supermarkets, as well as through our national accounts with several large retailers. During 2005, sales in the U.S. represented approximately 88% of total sales, while sales in Canada represented approximately 11%, and we had approximately 1% in other international sales. We may consider expanding sales of our products to select international markets in the future.

During 2005, our DSD sales force was organized into seven regional groups, consisting of the Northwest, Southwest, Midwest, Northeast and Southeast regions of the United States and Western and Eastern regions of Canada. We have two Vice Presidents of Sales, one of whom is responsible for our Northwest and Southwest U.S. regions and Western and Eastern Canada and the other is responsible for our Midwest, Northeast and Southeast regions. Regional Managers are ultimately responsible for the separate regions. Senior sales personnel are responsible for large retail grocery accounts located in their regions, the management of existing independent distributor relations and the selection of new independent distributors as may be required. Junior sales personnel work closely with our independent distributors and their sales representatives to help them open street level retail accounts and train them in our sales and marketing techniques. In addition, we maintain personnel who are responsible for our direct to retail national accounts. In February 2006, we hired an Executive Vice-President of Sales to manage the DSD sales force.

Distribution

We sell a majority of our products through our DSD network, and we currently have relationships with approximately 188 independent distributors throughout North America. Our policy is to grant our distributors rights to sell particular brands within a defined territory. We believe that substantially all of our distributors also carry other beverage products. Agreements with our distributors vary; we have entered into written agreements with many of our top distributors for varying terms of years; most of our other distribution relationships are oral (based solely on purchase orders) and are terminable by either party at will.

In addition, we sell our products directly to certain large national retail accounts, such as Target Corporation, Starbucks, Panera Bread Company, Barnes & Noble and Cost Plus World Markets. Distribution of our products into these DTR accounts is handled by the retailer's distribution system or by its designated food-service or other distributors.

For the year ended December 31, 2005, three of our customers represented approximately 21% of total revenues. We anticipate that, as consumer awareness of our brands develops and increases, we will continue to upgrade and expand our distributor network and DTR accounts, which may result in a decreased dependence on any one or more of our independent distributors or accounts.

We generally require our independent distributors to place their purchase orders for our products at least 10 days in advance of shipping. To the extent we have additional product available in inventory, we will fulfill other purchase orders when and as received. We contract with independent trucking companies to have product shipped from our contract packers to independent warehouses, and then on to our distributors. Distributors then sell and deliver our products either to sub-distributors or directly to retail outlets, and such distributors or sub-distributors stock the retailers' shelves with our products. We recognize revenue upon receipt by our distributors and customers of our products, net of discount and allowances, and all sales are final and we have a no return policy; however, in limited instances, due to credit issues or distributor changes, we may take back product.

Production

Contract Packing Arrangements

We currently use seven primary independent contract packers known as co-packers to prepare, bottle and package our products. Our contract packers are located in the Canadian Provinces of British Columbia and Ontario

Table of Contents

and the States of Missouri, Oregon, Minnesota, Pennsylvania, and Indiana. We continually review our contract packing needs in light of regulatory compliance and logistical requirements and may add or change co-packers based on those needs. A majority of our co-packing is handled in Canada, and with the recent strengthening of the Canadian dollar against the U.S. dollar, our co-packing costs have increased. As a result, in 2004 we added a co-packer in Missouri and in 2005 we added a co-packer in Pennsylvania. We expect to continue to look for alternative or lower-cost co-packing arrangements for our products in the United States and in Canada.

As is customary in the contract packing industry, we are expected to arrange for our contract packing needs sufficiently in advance of anticipated requirements. Accordingly, it is our business practice to require our independent distributors to place their purchase orders for our products at least 10 days in advance of delivery. Other than minimum case volume requirements per production run, we do not have any minimum production requirements.

Raw Materials

Substantially all of the raw materials used in the preparation, bottling and packaging of our products are purchased by us or by our contract packers in accordance with our specifications. The raw materials used in the preparation and packaging of our products consist primarily of concentrate, glass, labels, caps and packaging. These raw materials are purchased from suppliers selected by us or by our contract packers.

We believe that we have adequate sources of raw materials, which are available from multiple suppliers. Currently, we purchase our flavor concentrate from two flavor concentrate suppliers, Virginia Dare and Wild Flavors, Inc. We anticipate that for future flavors and additional products, we may purchase flavor concentrate from other flavor houses with the intention of developing other sources of flavor concentrate for each of our products. The water used to produce our products is filtered and is also treated to reduce alkalinity.

Quality Control

Our products are made from high quality ingredients and natural and/or artificial flavors. We seek to ensure that all of our products satisfy our quality standards. Contract packers are selected and monitored by our own quality control representatives in an effort to assure adherence to our production procedures and quality standards. Samples of our products from each production run undertaken by each of our contract packers are analyzed and categorized in a reference library.

For every run of product, our contract packer undertakes extensive on-line testing of product quality and packaging. This includes testing levels of sweetness, carbonation, taste, product integrity, packaging and various regulatory cross checks. For each product, the contract packer must transmit all quality control test results to us for reference following each production run.

Testing includes microbiological checks and other tests to ensure the production facilities meet the standards and specifications of our quality assurance program. Water quality is monitored during production and at scheduled testing times to ensure compliance with beverage industry standards. Flavors are pre-tested before shipment to contract packers from the flavor manufacturer. We are committed to an on-going program of product improvement with a view toward ensuring the high quality of our product through a program for stringent contract packer selection, training, and communication.

Edgar Filing: JONES SODA CO - Form 10KSB

We believe we source and select only those suppliers that use only quality components. We also monitor product quality of the packaging suppliers production facilities.

Regulation

The production and marketing of our licensed and proprietary beverages are subject to the rules and regulations of various federal, provincial, state and local health agencies, including in particular Health Canada,

Table of Contents

Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada and the U.S. Food and Drug Administration. The FDA and Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada also regulate labeling of our products. From time to time, we may receive notifications of various technical labeling or ingredient reviews with respect to our licensed products. We believe that we have a compliance program in place to ensure compliance with production, marketing and labeling regulations on a going-forward basis. There are no regulatory notifications or actions currently outstanding. See **Risk Factors** below.

Trademarks, Flavor Concentrate Trade Secrets and Patent

We own a number of trademarks, including, in the United States and Canada, *Jones Soda Co.*, *Jones Naturals*, *Jones Energy* and *WhoopAss*. In the United States our trademarks expire 10 years from the registration date and in Canada 15 years from the registration date, although in both Canada and the United States, they may be renewed for a nominal fee. In addition, we have trademark protection in the United States and Canada for a number of other trademarks for slogans and product designs, including *Wet Yourself*, *I've Got A Jones For A Jones*, *Jones Soda Co. and Design*, *Whoopass and Design* and *My Jones*. We have also applied for trademark protection for several marks, including *Jones Soda Co.*, in the United Kingdom, Germany, Japan, and other foreign jurisdictions.

We have the exclusive rights to 30 flavor concentrates developed with our current flavor concentrate suppliers, which we protect as trade secrets. We will continue to take appropriate measures, such as entering into confidentiality agreements with our contract packers and exclusivity agreements with our flavor houses, to maintain the secrecy and proprietary nature of our flavor concentrates.

We have a patent on our *myjones.com* business operation. In December 2002, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office issued us Patent No. 6,493,677, titled *Method and Apparatus for Creating and Ordering Customized Branded Merchandise over a Computer Network*. We originally created the *myjones.com* system in mid-1999 and applied for this patent in January 2000. The term of U.S. patents is 20 years from the date of filing and this patent can be viewed by accessing the U.S. Patent Office's website at www.uspto.gov. We intend to explore potential licensing arrangements with third parties to commercialize this patented methodology.

We consider our trademarks, patent and trade secrets to be of considerable value and importance to our business. No successful challenges to our registered trademarks have arisen and we have no reason to believe that any such challenges will arise in the future. See **Risk Factors** below.

Competition

The beverage industry is highly competitive. Principal methods of competition in the beverage industry include

distribution,

brand name,

brand image,

price,

labeling and packaging,

advertising,

product quality and taste,

trade and consumer promotions, and

the development of new brands, products and product extensions.

Table of Contents

We compete with other beverage companies not only for consumer acceptance but also for shelf space in retail outlets and for marketing focus by our distributors, all of who also distribute other beverage brands. Our products compete with all non-alcoholic beverages, most of which are marketed by companies with substantially greater financial resources than we have. We also compete with regional beverage producers and private label soft drink suppliers. Our direct competitors in the alternative beverage industry include Cadbury Schweppes (Stewarts and IBC) and Thomas Kemper. We also compete against Coke, Pepsi, Hansen's, Stewarts, IBC and other traditional soft drink manufacturers and distributors. We also compete against other category leaders such as Redbull for the energy drink category.

In order to compete effectively in the beverage industry, we believe that we must convince independent distributors that *Jones Soda* is a leading brand in the premium soda segment of the alternative or New Age beverage industry. In connection with or as a follow-up to the establishment of an independent distributor relationship for the *Jones Soda* brand, we sell *Jones Organics*, *Jones Energy*, *WhoopAss* and *Jones Naturals* as complementary products that may replace other non-carbonated single-serve fruit beverages or ready-to-drink (RTD) teas or energy drinks. In addition, we have created *Jones Soda* in a 12-ounce can format that allows us to compete directly in the carbonated soft drink industry. As a means of maintaining and expanding our distribution network, we intend to introduce new products and product extensions, and when warranted, new brands. Although we believe that we will be able to continue to create unique, exciting and fashionable brands, there can be no assurance that we will be able to do so or that other companies will not be more successful in this regard over the long term. See Risk Factors below.

In addition, in light of the competition for product placement with independent distributors, we obtained several national retail accounts as an additional distribution channel for our products. We currently have distribution arrangements with Target Corporation, Starbucks, Panera Bread Company, Barnes & Noble and Cost Plus World Markets. We believe that this diversification strategy is helpful in alleviating the risk inherent in competition for independent distributors.

Pricing of the products is also important. We believe that our *Jones Soda*, *Jones Organics*, *Jones Energy*, *Whoopass* and *Jones Naturals* products are priced in the same price range or higher than competitive New Age beverage brands and products.

Employees

As of December 31, 2005, we had 52 full-time employees, 29 of whom were employed in sales and marketing capacities, 17 were employed in administrative capacities, and 6 were employed in manufacturing and quality control capacities. None of our employees is represented by labor unions. We believe that our relationships with our employees are good.

Risk Factors

The following discussion in this Annual Report on Form 10-KSB contains forward-looking statements regarding our business, prospects and results of operations that involve risks and uncertainties. Our actual results could differ materially from the results that may be anticipated by such forward-looking statements and discussed elsewhere in this Report. Factors that could cause or contribute to such differences include, but are not limited to, those discussed below, as well as those discussed under the captions Business and Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations as well as those discussed elsewhere in this Report. In evaluating our business, prospects and results of operations, readers should carefully consider the following factors in addition to other information presented in this Report and in our other reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission that attempt to advise interested parties of the risks and factors that may affect our business, prospects and results of operations. See Cautionary Notice Regarding Forward Looking Statements above.

Table of Contents

Risk Factors Relating to Our Company and Our Business

Our business plan and future growth is dependent in part on our distribution arrangements directly with retailers and national retail accounts. There are risks associated with this distribution strategy. The loss of any of our national DTR accounts could adversely affect us.

We currently have distribution arrangements with several large national retail accounts to distribute our products directly through their stores, consisting of Barnes & Noble, Panera Bread Company, Cost Plus World Markets, Starbucks Coffee Company and Target Corporation. Although we believe that our direct to retail program will increase our national visibility among consumers, there are several risks associated with this distribution strategy. First, we do not have long-term agreements in place with each of these accounts. In particular, we do not have a distribution agreement with Starbucks and we operate based solely on purchase orders we receive from Starbucks. There is no assurance that we will be able to maintain continuing relationships with any of these national accounts, or that we will be able to renew any of these accounts upon expiration of the term of the existing arrangements. Also, any of our agreements may be terminated early. The loss of any of these high-profile national accounts could negatively impact our revenues and our reputation. In addition, we may not be able to establish additional distribution arrangements with other national retailers. Second, as we become more dependent on national retail chains, these retailers may assert pressure on us to reduce our pricing to them or seek significant product discounts. Any increase in our costs for their carrying our product, reduction in price, or demand for product discounts could have a material adverse affect on our profit margin. Finally, our direct to retail distribution arrangements may have an adverse impact on our existing relationships with our independent regional distributors, although we believe that increased visibility of our product through these national retailers may lead to increased product sales through our network of independent distributors.

Our business plan and future growth is dependent in part on licensing strategy. There are risks associated with this licensing strategy.

Over the past two years, we entered into several licensing agreements. These licensing agreements provide for each company with which we partner to manufacture and distribute products carrying the Jones Soda Co. brand. To date, these products include *Jones Soda* in a 12-ounce can, Jones Soda lip balms and Jones Soda Frozen Soda Pops. Although we believe that our licensing strategy will increase our national visibility among consumers, there are several risks associated with implementing this licensing strategy. First, there is no assurance that the licensing partner will maintain the same level of quality assurance, inventory management and oversight we are able to maintain with products directly under our supervision. Second, our expertise is in beverages and there is no assurance to us that the same quality standards are required of other products in other industries. Finally, there is no assurance that the licensing partner will provide the same focus on distribution or management of the brand as is done with our own products. In addition, any of our agreements may be terminated early.

We need to effectively manage our growth and resources in order to execute on our business plan. Any failure to do so would negatively impact our profitability.

To manage operations effectively and maintain profitability, we must continue to improve our operational, financial and other management processes and systems. Our success also depends largely on our ability to maintain high levels of employee utilization, manage our production costs and general and administrative expense, and otherwise execute on our business plan. In addition, in order to grow and execute on our business plan and opportunities, we need to have available to us adequate resources, including capital and personnel, which may not be available when needed. We also need to maintain adequate operational controls and focus as we add new brands and products, distribution channels, and business strategies. We may not be able to effectively and efficiently manage our growth. Any inability to do so could increase our expenses and negatively impact our profit margin.

Table of Contents

We have a lean management team.

We currently have a lean management structure, with our Chief Executive Officer and Executive Vice President of Sales responsible for most of our management. Our former Chief Financial Officer resigned in December 2005 and we have commenced a search for a permanent Chief Financial Officer. As we grow and execute our business plan, our management may be too thin and we may need to bring in additional members of management. We may not be successful in finding appropriate executives when needed, which could negatively impact our operations, growth and profitability.

The loss of key personnel would directly affect our efficiency and profitability.

We are dependent upon the creative skills and leadership of our founder, Peter M. van Stolk, who serves as President and Chief Executive Officer. We have in place with Mr. van Stolk a two-year employment agreement that expires on November 30, 2007. The loss of Mr. van Stolk's services could have a material adverse affect on our business and operations, including our ability to develop and execute on a long-term, profitable business plan. In addition, our management team consists of several key production, distribution, sales and financial personnel who have been recruited within the past several years. The loss of any of these key personnel could delay or negatively impact our operations, profitability and employee morale.

There are no assurances that we will be able to continue profitable operations into the future.

We believe that to continue to operate at a profit we must:

- increase the sales volume for our unique brands and products;
- achieve and maintain efficiencies in operations;
- maintain fixed costs at or near current levels; and
- avoid significant increases in variable costs relating to production, marketing and distribution.

We may not be able to meet these objectives and sustain profitability. We have incurred significant operating expenses in the past and may do so again in the future and, as a result, will need to increase revenues in order to maintain profitability. Our ability to increase sales from current sales levels will depend primarily on success in expanding our current markets, improving our distribution base, entering into direct to retail arrangements with national accounts, and introducing new unique brands, products or product extensions to the market. Our ability to successfully enter new distribution areas and obtain national accounts will, in turn, depend on various factors, many of which are beyond our control including, but not limited to, the continued demand for our brands and products in target markets, the ability to price our products at levels competitive with competing products, the ability to establish and maintain relationships with distributors in each geographic area of distribution and the ability in the future to create, develop and successfully introduce one or more new brands, products, and product extensions.

Edgar Filing: JONES SODA CO - Form 10KSB

We have limited working capital and may need to raise additional capital in the future.

At December 31, 2005, our cash and cash equivalents was approximately \$1,176,000, we had approximately \$3,700,000 in accounts receivable and approximately \$4,694,000 in inventory. Our working capital at December 31, 2005 was approximately \$5,699,000. Although we believe that net cash provided by operations and amounts available under our bank line of credit will be sufficient to meet anticipated cash needs for our operations during fiscal 2006, a revenue shortfall could deplete our limited financial resources and require us to reduce costs and operations substantially or to raise additional funds through equity or debt financings.

Our capital needs in the future will depend upon factors such as our growth strategy, market acceptance of our products and any other new products we launch, the success of our DTR, DSD and licensing relationships and our production, marketing and sales costs. None of these factors can be predicted with certainty. We may need to raise capital in the future to fund any one or more of these efforts.

Table of Contents

We may need substantial additional debt or equity financing in the future for which we currently have no commitments or arrangement. We cannot assure you that any additional financing, if required, will be available or, even if it is available that it will be on terms acceptable to us. If we raise additional funds by selling stock, the ownership of our existing shareholders will be diluted. Any inability to obtain required financing could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

We face currency risks associated with fluctuating foreign currency valuations.

For the year ended December 31, 2005, approximately 11.0% of our sales were denominated in the Canadian dollar. A decrease in the value of the Canadian dollar in relation to the U.S. dollar after establishing prices and before our receipt of payment and conversion of such payment to U.S. dollars would have an adverse effect on our operating results. Although the recent strengthening of the Canadian dollar has had a positive impact on our revenues attributable to sales in Canada, it has also negatively impacted our costs of goods. The majority of our products are produced and bottled in Canada through two of our co-packers. Accordingly, an increase in the value of the Canadian dollar in relation to the U.S. dollar has similar relative adverse effect on our production costs. In an attempt to reduce the impact of these currency fluctuations on our production costs, during the second quarter of fiscal 2005 we established a new bottling relationship in the U.S. and shifted a portion of our bottling requirements to this facility beginning in the third quarter of fiscal 2005. In addition, the financial statements for our Canadian subsidiary are denominated in Canadian dollars; accordingly, on a consolidated financial statement reporting basis these numbers are converted into U.S. dollars and are affected by currency conversion rates. As of December 31, 2005, we have not entered into foreign currency contracts or other derivatives to mitigate the potential impact of foreign currency fluctuations.

We rely on our independent distributors, and this could affect our ability to efficiently and profitably distribute and market our products, and maintain our existing markets and expand our business into other geographic markets.

Our ability to establish a market for our unique brands and products in new geographic distribution areas, as well as maintain and expand our existing markets, is dependent on our ability to establish and maintain successful relationships with reliable independent distributors strategically positioned to serve those areas. Most of our larger distributors sell and distribute competing products, including non-alcoholic and alcoholic beverages, and our products may represent a small portion of their business. To the extent that our distributors are distracted from selling our products or do not employ sufficient efforts in managing and selling our products, including re-stocking the retail shelves with our products, our sales and profitability will be adversely affected. Our ability to maintain our distribution network and attract additional distributors will depend on a number of factors, many of which are outside our control. Some of these factors include

the level of demand for our brands and products in a particular distribution area,

our ability to price our products at levels competitive with those offered by competing products, and

our ability to deliver products in the quantity and at the time ordered by distributors.

We may not be able to meet all or any of these factors in any of our current or prospective geographic areas of distribution. Our inability to achieve any of these factors in a geographic distribution area will have a material adverse effect on our relationships with our distributors in that particular geographic area, thus limiting our ability to expand our market, which will likely adversely effect our revenues and financial results.

Edgar Filing: JONES SODA CO - Form 10KSB

We generally do not have long-term agreements with our distributors, and we incur significant time and expense in attracting and maintaining key distributors.

Our marketing and sales strategy depends in large part on the availability and performance of our independent distributors. We have entered into written agreements with many of our top distributors for varying terms and duration; however, most of our other distribution relationships are oral (based solely on purchase

Table of Contents

orders) and are terminable by either party at will. We currently do not have, nor do we anticipate in the future that we will be able to establish, long-term contractual commitments from many of our distributors. In addition, despite the terms of the written agreements with many of our top distributors, there are no minimum levels of performance under those agreements, and any of those agreements may be terminated early. We may not be able to maintain our current distribution relationships or establish and maintain successful relationships with distributors in new geographic distribution areas. Moreover, there is the additional possibility that we may have to incur additional expenditures to attract and maintain key distributors in one or more of our geographic distribution areas in order to profitably exploit our geographic markets.

Because our distributors are not required to place minimum orders with us, we need to carefully manage our inventory levels, and it is difficult to predict the timing and amount of our sales.

Our independent distributors are not required to place minimum monthly or annual orders for our products. In order to reduce inventory costs, independent distributors endeavor to order products from us on a just in time basis in quantities, and at such times, based on the demand for the products in a particular distribution area. Accordingly, there is no assurance as to the timing or quantity of purchases by any of our independent distributors or that any of our distributors will continue to purchase products from us in the same frequencies and volumes as they may have done in the past. We cannot predict the number of cases sold by any of our distributors.

We rely on third-party packers of our products, and this dependence could make management of our marketing and distribution efforts inefficient or unprofitable.

Even though we control and manage the entire manufacturing process of our products, we do not own the plant and equipment required to manufacture and package our beverage products and do not anticipate having such capabilities in the future. As a consequence, we depend on third-parties and contract packers to produce our beverage products and to deliver them to distributors. Our ability to attract and maintain effective relationships with contract packers and other third parties for the production and delivery of our beverage products in a particular geographic distribution area is important to the achievement of successful operations within each distribution area. Competition for contract packers business is tight, especially in the western United States, and this could make it more difficult for us to obtain new or replacement packers, or to locate back-up contract packers, in our various distribution areas, and could also affect the economic terms of our agreements with our packers. We may not be able to maintain our economic relationships with current contract packers or establish satisfactory relationships with new or replacement contract packers, whether in existing or new geographic distribution areas. The failure to establish and maintain effective relationships with contract packers for a distribution area could increase our manufacturing costs and thereby materially reduce profits realized from the sale of our products in that area. In addition, poor relations with any of our contract packers could adversely affect the amount and timing of product delivered to our distributors for resale, which would in turn adversely affect our revenues and financial condition.

As is customary in the contract packing industry for comparably sized companies, we are expected to arrange for our contract packing needs sufficiently in advance of anticipated requirements. To the extent demand for our products exceeds available inventory and the capacities produced by contract packing arrangements, or orders are not submitted on a timely basis, we will be unable to fulfill distributor orders on demand. Conversely, we may produce more products than warranted by the actual demand for it, resulting in higher storage costs and the potential risk of inventory spoilage. Our failure to accurately predict and manage our contract packaging requirements may impair relationships with our independent distributors and key accounts, which, in turn, would likely have a material adverse affect on our ability to maintain profitable relationships with those distributors and key accounts.

Our business and financial results depend on maintaining a consistent and cost-effective supply of raw materials.

Edgar Filing: JONES SODA CO - Form 10KSB

Raw materials for our products include concentrate, glass, labels, caps and packaging materials. Currently, we purchase our flavor concentrate from two flavor concentrate suppliers, and we anticipate that we will

Table of Contents

purchase flavor concentrate from other flavor houses for future flavors and additional products, with the intention of developing other sources of flavor concentrate for each of our products. We believe that we have adequate sources of raw materials, which are available from multiple suppliers, and that in general we maintain good supplier relationships. The price of our concentrates is determined by our flavor houses and us, and may be subject to change. Prices for the remaining raw materials are generally determined by the market, and may change at any time. Increases in prices for any of these raw materials could have a material adverse impact on our profitability and financial position. If we are unable to continue to find adequate suppliers for our raw materials on economic terms acceptable to us, this will adversely affect our results of operations.

Our inability to protect our trademarks, patent and trade secrets may prevent us from successfully marketing our products and competing effectively.

Failure to protect our intellectual property could harm our brand and our reputation, and adversely affect our ability to compete effectively. Further, enforcing or defending our intellectual property rights, including our trademarks, patents, copyrights and trade secrets, could result in the expenditure of significant financial and managerial resources. We regard our intellectual property, particularly our trademarks, patent and trade secrets to be of considerable value and importance to our business and our success. We rely on a combination of trademark, patent, and trade secrecy laws, confidentiality procedures and contractual provisions to protect our intellectual property rights. We are pursuing the registration of our trademarks in the United States, Canada and internationally. There can be no assurance that the steps taken by us to protect these proprietary rights will be adequate or that third parties will not infringe or misappropriate our trademarks, trade secrets (including our flavor concentrate trade secrets) or similar proprietary rights. In addition, there can be no assurance that other parties will not assert infringement claims against us, and we may have to pursue litigation against other parties to assert our rights. Any such claim or litigation could be costly. In addition, any event that would jeopardize our proprietary rights or any claims of infringement by third parties could have a material adverse effect on our ability to market or sell our brands, profitably exploit our unique products or recoup our associated research and development costs.

As part of our licensing strategy of our brands, we enter into licensing agreements under which we grant our licensing partners certain rights to use our trademarks and other designs. Although our agreements require that the licensing partner's use of our trademarks and designs be subject to our control and approval, any breach of these provisions, or any other action by any our licensing partners that is harmful for our brands, goodwill and overall image, could have a material adverse impact on our business.

We have obtained a U.S. patent on our myjones.com methodology. While the number of business method patents issued by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office has been growing substantially in recent years, there is still a significant degree of uncertainty associated with these patents. It is possible that our patent may be construed by a court of competent jurisdiction in a very limited manner such that it offers little or no basis for us to deter competitors from employing similar processes or does not allow us to defend against third party claims of patent infringement.

Risk Factors Relating to Our Industry

We compete in an industry that is brand-conscious, so brand name recognition and acceptance of our products are critical to our success.

Our business is substantially dependent upon awareness and market acceptance of our products and brands by our targeted consumers, between the ages of 12 and 34. In addition, our business depends on acceptance by our independent distributors of our brands as beverage brands that have the potential to provide incremental sales growth rather than reduce distributors' existing beverage sales. Although we believe that we have been relatively successful towards establishing our brands as recognizable brands in the New Age beverage industry, it may be too early in the product life cycle of these brands to determine whether our products and brands will achieve and maintain satisfactory levels of acceptance by

independent distributors and retail consumers. We believe that the

Table of Contents

success of the *Jones Energy*, *Jones Naturals*, *WhoopAss* and *Jones Organics* brands will also be substantially dependent upon acceptance of the *Jones Soda* brand. Accordingly, any failure of our *Jones Soda* brand to maintain or increase acceptance or market penetration would likely have a material adverse affect on our revenues and financial results.

Competition from traditional non-alcoholic beverage manufacturers may adversely affect our distribution relationships and may hinder development of our existing markets, as well as prevent us from expanding our markets.

The beverage industry is highly competitive. We compete with other beverage companies not only for consumer acceptance but also for shelf space in retail outlets and for marketing focus by our distributors, all of who also distribute other beverage brands. Our products compete with all non-alcoholic beverages, most of which are marketed by companies with greater financial resources than what we have. Some of these competitors are placing severe pressure on independent distributors not to carry competitive alternative or New Age beverage brands such as ours. We also compete with regional beverage producers and private label soft drink suppliers.

Our direct competitors in the alternative beverage industry include Cadbury Schweppes (Stewarts and IBC) and Thomas Kemper. We also compete against Coke, Pepsi, Hansen's, Stewarts, IBC and other traditional soft drink manufacturers and distributors. We also compete against other category leaders such as Redbull for the energy drink category.

Increased competitor consolidations, market place competition, particularly among branded beverage products, and competitive product and pricing pressures could impact our earnings, market share and volume growth. If, due to such pressure or other competitive threats, we are unable to sufficiently maintain or develop our distribution channels, we may be unable to achieve our current revenue and financial targets. As a means of maintaining and expanding our distribution network, we intend to introduce product extensions and additional brands. There can be no assurance that we will be able to do so or that other companies will not be more successful in this regard over the long term. Competition, particularly from companies with greater financial and marketing resources than we, could have a material adverse affect on our existing markets, as well as our ability to expand the market for our products.

We compete in an industry characterized by rapid changes in consumer preferences and public perception, so our ability to continue developing new products to satisfy our consumers' changing preferences will determine our long-term success.

Our current market distribution and penetration may be limited with respect to the population as a whole to determine whether the brand has achieved initial consumer acceptance, and there can be no assurance that this acceptance will ultimately be achieved. Based on industry information and our own experience, we believe that in general alternative or New Age beverage brands and products may be successfully marketed for five to nine years after the product is introduced in a geographic distribution area before consumers' taste preferences change, although some brands or products have longer lives. In light of the limited life for alternative or New Age beverage brands and products, a failure to introduce new brands, products or product extensions into the marketplace as current ones mature could prevent us from achieving long-term profitability. In addition, customer preferences also are affected by factors other than taste, such as the recent media focus on obesity in youth. Although we have attempted to respond to this issue with our sugar-free and mid-calorie products, sales of our products may be adversely affected by the negative publicity associated with this issue. If we do not adjust to respond to these and other changes in customer preferences, our sales may be adversely affected.

Our sales are affected by seasonality.

Edgar Filing: JONES SODA CO - Form 10KSB

As is typical in the beverage industry, our sales are seasonal. In a typical year, approximately 60% of our sales by volume occur from April to September and approximately 40% occur from October to March. As a

Table of Contents

result, our working capital requirements and cash flow vary substantially throughout the year. Consumer demand for our products is also affected by weather conditions. Cool, wet spring or summer weather could result in decreased sales of our beverages and could have an adverse effect on our results of operations.

We could be exposed to product liability claims for personal injury or possibly death.

Although we have product liability insurance in amounts we believe are adequate, we cannot assure that the coverage will be sufficient to cover any or all product liability claims. To the extent our product liability coverage is insufficient, a product liability claim would likely have a material adverse affect upon our financial condition. In addition, any product liability claim successfully brought against us may materially damage the reputation of our products, thus adversely affecting our ability to continue to market and sell that or other products. In the event of a serious product quality issue, we maintain a Recall Procedures Plan to assist us in mitigating the effects from such a product quality issue.

Our business is subject to many regulations and noncompliance is costly.

The production, marketing and sale of our unique beverages, including contents, labels, caps and containers, are subject to the rules and regulations of various federal, provincial, state and local health agencies. If a regulatory authority finds that a current or future product or production run is not in compliance with any of these regulations, we may be fined, or production may be stopped, thus adversely affecting our financial conditions and operations. Similarly, any adverse publicity associated with any noncompliance may damage our reputation and our ability to successfully market our products. Furthermore, the rules and regulations are subject to change from time to time and while we closely monitor developments in this area, we have no way of anticipating whether changes in these rules and regulations will impact our business adversely. Additional or revised regulatory requirements, whether labeling, environmental, tax or otherwise, could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Rising fuel and freight costs may have an adverse impact on our sales and earnings.

The recent volatility in the global oil markets has resulted in rising fuel and freight prices, which many shipping companies are passing on to their customers. Our shipping costs, and particularly our fuel expenses, have been increasing and we expect these costs may continue to increase. Due to the price sensitivity of our products, we do not anticipate that we will be able to pass all of these increased costs on to our customers.

Risk Factors Related to Our Common Stock

The price of our common stock may be volatile, and a shareholder's investment in our common stock could suffer a decline in value.

There has been significant volatility in the volume and market price of our common stock, and this volatility may continue in the future. In addition, factors such as quarterly variations in our operating results, changes in financial estimates by securities analysts or our failure to meet our or their projected financial and operating results, litigation involving us, general trends relating to the beverage industry, actions by governmental agencies, national economic and stock market considerations as well as other events and circumstances beyond our control could

have a significant impact on the future market price of our common stock and the relative volatility of such market price.

ITEM 2. PROPERTY.

We lease approximately 7,989 square feet of office space in Seattle, Washington for our principal executive and administrative offices. In March 2005, we entered into an amendment to this lease to extend the term until March 1, 2007 and to increase the monthly rent to \$7,323 per month for the first year of the agreement, increasing to \$7,989 for the second year of the agreement.

Table of Contents

We believe our leased premises are suitable and adequate for their use and, in the opinion of management, are adequately covered by insurance.

We own no real property. We do not have a policy pertaining to investments in real estate. Our current practice is to invest solely in short-term money market securities.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.

We are not a party to any pending material legal proceedings. However, from time to time, we may pursue (and have pursued in the past) litigation against third parties to enforce or protect our rights under our trademarks, trade secrets and our intellectual property rights generally.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS.

No matters were submitted to a vote of security holders during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2005.

Table of Contents**PART II****ITEM 5. MARKET FOR COMMON STOCK, RELATED SHAREHOLDER MATTERS AND SMALL BUSINESS ISSUER PURCHASERS OF EQUITY SECURITIES.****Common Stock**

Our Common Stock is currently traded on the NASDAQ Capital Market and in Canada on the TSX Venture Exchange under the symbol JSDA .

We initiated trading of our common stock on the OTC Bulletin Board on June 23, 2000. On November 28, 2005 we qualified for trading on the NASDAQ Capital Market. The following table shows, for each quarter of fiscal 2005 and 2004, the high and low closing sales prices as reported by the OTC Bulletin Board and the NASDAQ Capital Market and the high and low closing sales prices as reported by the TSX Venture Exchange. The quotations from the OTC Bulletin Board reflect inter-dealer prices without retail mark-up, mark-down, or commissions and may not represent actual transactions.

| | Nasdaq Capital Market/ | | | |
|----------------|------------------------|---------|----------------------|--------------|
| | OTC Bulletin Board | | TSX Venture Exchange | |
| | High | Low | High | Low |
| 2005: | | | | |
| Fourth quarter | \$ 5.52 | \$ 4.88 | Cdn. \$ 6.23 | Cdn. \$ 5.28 |
| Third quarter | 6.08 | 4.25 | 7.23 | 5.01 |
| Second quarter | 7.83 | 5.51 | 9.83 | 6.80 |
| First quarter | 5.60 | 3.45 | 6.75 | 4.30 |
| 2004: | | | | |
| Fourth quarter | 4.30 | 3.13 | 5.40 | 3.69 |
| Third quarter | 4.35 | 3.00 | 5.60 | 4.15 |
| Second quarter | 3.42 | 2.10 | 4.45 | 3.00 |
| First quarter | 3.02 | 2.00 | 4.00 | 2.50 |

Holders

As of March 24, 2006, there were 22,117,721 shares of common stock issued and outstanding, held by approximately 295 holders of record.

Dividends

Edgar Filing: JONES SODA CO - Form 10KSB

We have never declared or paid any cash dividends with respect to our common stock. We anticipate that we will retain future earnings for use in the operation and expansion of our business and do not anticipate paying cash dividends on the common stock in the foreseeable future. Any future determination with regard to the payment of dividends will be at the discretion of the board of directors and will be dependent upon our future earnings, financial condition, applicable dividend restrictions and capital requirements and other factors deemed relevant by the board of directors.

Table of Contents

ITEM 6. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.

You should read the following discussion and analysis in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this Report. Except for historical information, the following discussion contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. See Cautionary Notice Regarding Forward Looking Statements above.

Overview

We develop, produce, market and distribute alternative or New Age beverages. We currently produce, market and distribute five unique beverage brands:

Jones Soda Co., a premium soda;

Jones Organics, a ready-to-drink organic tea;

Jones Energy, a citrus energy drink;

WhoopAss, a citrus energy drink; and

Jones Naturals, a non-carbonated juice & tea.

We currently sell and distribute our products throughout the United States and Canada through our network of independent distributors (DSD) and our national retail accounts (DTR), as well as through licensing and distribution arrangements.

With respect to our distributors (DSD) we have focused our sales and marketing resources on the expansion and penetration of our products through our independent distributor network in our core markets consisting of the Northwest, Southwest and Midwest U.S. and Western Canada. In 2005, we continued to focus on growing our distribution network in our four core markets as well as targeted expansion into our less penetrated markets consisting of the Northeast and Southeast U.S. and Eastern Canada.

We launched our direct to retail business strategy in 2003 as a complementary channel of distribution to our DSD channel, targeting large national retail accounts. Through these programs, we negotiate directly with large national retailers, primarily premier food-service based businesses, to carry our products, serviced through the retailer's appointed distribution system. During 2003, we entered into distribution arrangements with Barnes & Noble, Panera Bread Company and Cost Plus World Markets to carry certain of our products in their stores nationwide in the United States. In addition, in March 2004 we entered into a distribution arrangement with Starbucks Coffee Company for two flavors of our *Jones Soda* product in all of its stores in the United States. This distribution arrangement with Starbucks in the United States was in addition to our existing arrangement for their stores in Canada that had been in place since 1999. In addition, in October 2004, in connection with our licensing arrangement with Target Corporation for our *Jones Soda* 12-ounce cans, we began selling *Jones Soda* (in 12-ounce bottles) and *Jones Energy* (in our 8.4 ounce four-pack format) to Target for distribution through their stores in the United States.

Beginning in 2004, we launched our licensing business strategy as a method to extend our brand into non-alternative beverage products and non-beverage products. In July 2004, we entered into a two-year licensing and distribution agreement with Target Corporation which provides Target with exclusive rights in the United States to market and sell 12-ounce cans of *Jones Soda*. We launched our 12-ounce cans in a fridge pack format into Target Corporation in October 2004 and with this product we now compete in the highly competitive carbonated soft drink (CSD) industry. In September 2004, we entered into an agreement with Lime-Lite Marketing Corporation to manufacture and distribute Jones Soda lip balms. In March 2005, we also announced a licensing and distribution agreement with The Kroger Corporation, providing Kroger exclusive rights to manufacture and distribute Jones Soda Frozen Soda Pops through all Kroger and Kroger-affiliated grocery stores in the United States. In September 2005, we entered into a licensing agreement with Big Sky Brands, Inc. to

Table of Contents

manufacture and distribute Jones Soda Flavor Booster hard candy. With these licensing agreements, we believe that we are able to partner with companies that are able to manufacture Jones related products and extend our Jones brand into select products that we feel enhance our brand image.

Critical Accounting Estimates and Policies

The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations is based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP). The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an on-going basis, we evaluate our estimates including, among others, those affecting revenues, the allowance for doubtful accounts, the salability of inventory and the useful lives of tangible and intangible assets. The discussion below is intended as a brief discussion of some of the judgments and uncertainties that can impact the application of these policies and the specific dollar amounts reported on our financial statements. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form our basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions, or if management made different judgments or utilized different estimates. Many of our estimates or judgments are based on anticipated future events or performance, and as such are forward-looking in nature, and are subject to many risks and uncertainties, including those discussed below and elsewhere in this Report. We do not undertake any obligation to update or revise this discussion to reflect any future events or circumstances.

We have identified below some of our accounting policies that we consider critical to our business operations and the understanding of our results of operations. This is not a complete list of all of our accounting policies, and there may be other accounting policies that are significant to us. For a detailed discussion on the application of these and our other accounting policies, see Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Report.

Revenue Recognition

Our products are sold to distributors and various customers and retailers for cash or on credit terms. Our credit terms, which are established in accordance with local and industry practices, typically require payment within 30 days of delivery. We recognize revenue upon receipt by our distributors and customers of our products, in accordance with written sales terms, net of provisions for discounts and allowances. All sales to distributors and customers are final sales and we have a no return policy; however, in limited instances, due to credit issues or distributor changes, we may take back product.

Licensing revenues are recorded when confirmation of sale to third parties is received by the customer, and represents amounts realized net of provisions for sales returns. We recognize sale of concentrate relating to a licensing agreement, and as a result, the sale of concentrate and associated costs are recorded on a net basis resulting in no impact to our statements of operations.

We also pay lump sum slotting fees to certain of our retailers for shelf space in their stores. These slotting fees have been recorded as a reduction of revenue of approximately \$293,000 for the twelve-month period ended December 31, 2005 compared to a reduction in revenue of approximately \$361,000 for the twelve-month period ended December 31, 2004. We amortize lump sum payments over a 1-year period, which is based on current data of product maintenance on retail shelves for that period of time.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts; Bad Debt Expense

Management must estimate the collectibility of our accounts receivable. Management analyzes accounts receivable and historical bad debts, customer concentrations, customer credit-worthiness, current economic

Table of Contents

trends and changes in our customer payment terms when evaluating the adequacy of the allowance for doubtful accounts. We believe that in general bad debts for other companies in the beverage industry represent approximately 2% of total sales. Historically, our bad debt expense has represented approximately 0.3% of total sales. Bad debt expense is classified within general and administrative expenses in our Consolidated Statements of Operations.

To date, other than as a result of specific customer bankruptcies in 2001, we have not incurred material write offs of accounts receivable. In considering the amount of the allowance for doubtful accounts, we rely heavily on our history of write-offs and that our revenue is not dependent on one or a few customers, but is spread among a number of customers. Other factors which could cause management to change its estimates would be a downturn in the economy that management determines has the potential to affect collections if we experience a greater concentration of our receivables coming from fewer customers. In such events, we may be required to record additional charges to cover this exposure. Material differences may result in the amount and timing of our bad debt expenses for any period if management made different judgments or utilized different estimates.

Inventory

We hold raw materials and finished goods inventories, which are manufactured and procured based on our sales forecasts. We value inventory at the lower of cost and estimated net realizable value and include adjustments for estimated obsolescence, principally on a first in-first out basis. These valuations are subject to customer acceptance and demand for the particular products, and our estimates of future realizable values are based on these forecasted demands. We regularly review inventory detail to determine whether a write-down is necessary. We consider various factors in making this determination, including recent sales history and predicted trends, industry market conditions and general economic conditions. Differences could result in the amount and timing of write-downs for any period if we make different judgments or use different estimates. We also determine an allowance for obsolescence based on finished goods that are older than twelve months from production date.

Deferred Income Taxes

At December 31, 2005, we had net operating loss carryforwards for federal income tax purposes of approximately \$5,091,000, which are available to offset future federal taxable income, if any. In assessing the realizability of deferred tax assets, management considers whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income during the periods in which those temporary differences become deductible. Management considers the scheduled reversal of deferred tax liabilities, projected future taxable income, and tax planning strategies in making this assessment. As of December 31, 2005, we do not believe we meet the criteria to recognize the deferred tax asset, and we have accordingly provided a full valuation allowance.

Results of Operations for the Year Ended December 31, 2005

Revenue

| Year Ended | Change |
|---------------------|---------------|
| December 31, | _____ |

Edgar Filing: JONES SODA CO - Form 10KSB

| (Dollars in Thousands) | 2005 | 2004 | |
|------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----|
| Revenue | \$ 33,511 | \$ 27,450 | 22% |

For the year ended December 31, 2005, revenues were approximately \$33,511,000, an increase of \$6,061,000, or 22% over the \$27,450,000 in revenues for the year ended December 31, 2004. The increase in revenues in fiscal 2005 over fiscal 2004 was primarily attributable to higher case sales as well as an overall higher selling price per case.

Table of Contents

The overall increase in revenues from the comparable year was due primarily to the fact that we experienced increased case sales of *Jones Soda* and *Jones Organics* through our distributor network in our core markets of the Southwest and Midwest U.S. and Western Canada, as well as in our less penetrated market of Eastern Canada. These increases were partially offset by slight decreases in case sales in our less penetrated markets of the Northwest and Southeast U.S.

The increase in revenues was also due to our strategy of growing national accounts. In 2005 we recorded increased revenues over the comparable period of fiscal 2004 from certain of our existing national accounts including Target Corporation, Starbucks Coffee Company, Panera Bread Company and Barnes & Noble.

Revenues for the fiscal 2005 year also reflect a price increase for certain products implemented during the first quarter of 2005.

Consolidated case sales for the year ended December 31, 2005, expressed as 288-ounce equivalent cases and not including our licensed canned volume sold through Target, were 2,442,000, an increase of 15% from comparable case sales of 2,122,000 for the ended December 31, 2004. The increase in case sales between comparable year-to-date periods reflects higher case sales of *Jones Soda*, *Jones Organics*, and *Jones Energy* 4-pak. These increased product case sales more than offset decreased case sales for *Jones Naturals*, *Jones Energy* 16 oz. and *Whoopass*.

Gross Profit

| (Dollars in Thousands) | Year Ended December 31, | | Change |
|------------------------|----------------------------|----------|--------|
| | 2005 | 2004 | |
| Gross profit | \$ 11,595 | \$ 9,564 | 21% |
| Percentage of revenue | 34.6% | 34.8% | |

For the year ended December 31, 2005, gross profit increased by approximately \$2,031,000 or 21.2% over the \$9,564,000 in gross profit for the year ended December 31, 2004. For fiscal 2005, gross profit as a percentage of revenue decreased to 34.6% from 34.8% for fiscal 2004. The increase in gross profit was primarily attributable to increased revenues. The decrease in gross profit as a percentage of revenue was attributable primarily to a higher cost of product on *Jones Soda* and *Jones Naturals* due to higher freight and fuel costs and the strengthening of the Canadian dollar year over year. This was partially offset by early payment discounts and annual volume rebates from various suppliers based on the total volume purchased for the entire year of 2005.

We have experienced higher co-packing costs in Canada due to the strengthening of the Canadian dollar against the U.S. dollar in 2005. In an effort to mitigate the impact of a rising Canadian dollar, during the second quarter of fiscal 2005 we established a new bottling relationship in the U.S. and shifted a portion of our bottling requirements to this facility beginning in the third quarter of fiscal 2005.

Licensing Revenue

Edgar Filing: JONES SODA CO - Form 10KSB

| (Dollars in Thousands) | Year Ended December 31, | | Change |
|------------------------|----------------------------|--------|--------|
| | 2005 | 2004 | |
| Licensing revenue | \$ 724 | \$ 109 | 560% |

For the year ended December 31, 2005, we received licensing revenue of approximately \$724,000, an increase of \$615,000, or 560%, over licensing revenue of \$109,000 for the year ended December 31, 2004. The majority of our licensing revenue is from our exclusive arrangement with Target Corporation to sell Jones Soda in a 12-ounce can fridge pack. For the year ended December 31, 2005, case sales of our 12-ounce can products

Table of Contents

within the Target system represented approximately 875,000 288-oz. case equivalents, an increase of 461% from case sales of 156,000 for the fourth quarter of 2004, the period in which the licensing arrangement was launched.

Licensing revenue also includes revenue from our license arrangements with Lime-Lite Marketing Corporation for Jones Soda lip balms and The Kroger Corporation for Jones Soda Frozen Soda Pops. Licensing revenue for 2004 was solely from the launch of the Jones Soda 12-ounce can fridge pack with Target Corporation in the fourth quarter of that year.

Total Operating Expenses

| (Dollars in Thousands) | Year Ended December 31, | | Change |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| | 2005 | 2004 | |
| Promotion and selling | \$ 7,667 | \$ 5,956 | 29% |
| General and administrative | 3,348 | 2,427 | 38% |
| Total operating expenses | \$ 11,014 | \$ 8,382 | 31% |
| Percentage of revenue | 32.9% | 30.5% | |

Total operating expenses for the year ended December 31, 2005 were approximately \$11,014,000, an increase of \$2,632,000 or 31% over operating expenses of \$8,382,000 for the year ended December 31, 2004. For fiscal 2005, total operating expenses as a percentage of revenue increased to 32.9% from 30.5% over the comparable period in 2004. The increase in total operating expenses in absolute dollars was primarily attributable to an increase in promotion and selling expenses and to a lesser extent, an increase in general and administrative expenses for twelve month period.

Promotion and Selling Expenses

| (Dollars in Thousands) | Year Ended December 31, | | Change |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------|---------------|
| | 2005 | 2004 | |
| Promotion and selling | 7,667 | \$ 5,956 | 29% |
| Percentage of revenue | 22.9% | 21.7% | |

Promotion and selling expenses for the year ended December 31, 2005 were \$7,667,000, an increase of \$1,711,000, or 29% over promotion and selling expenses of \$5,956,000 for the year ended December 31, 2004. Promotion and selling expenses as a percentage of revenue increased to 22.9% for fiscal 2005 from 21.7% over the comparable period in 2004. The increase in promotion and selling expenses for the year ended December 31, 2005 was attributable to an increase in consolidated case sales, an increase in salaries and wages, commissions and related DSD sales team expenses associated with our expanding sales force, as well as expenses associated with the launch of the *Jones Organics* product line. At December 31, 2005 we had 29 employees in sales and marketing, compared to 31 such employees at December 31, 2004.

General and Administrative Expenses

| <u>(Dollars in Thousands)</u> | <u>Year Ended December 31,</u> | | <u>Change</u> |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------|---------------|
| | <u>2005</u> | <u>2004</u> | |
| General and administrative | \$ 3,348 | \$ 2,427 | 38% |
| Percentage of revenue | 10.0% | 8.8% | |

General and administrative expenses for the year ended December 31, 2005 were \$3,348,000, an increase of \$921,000, or 38%, compared to \$2,427,000 for the year ended December 31, 2004. General and administrative

Table of Contents

expenses as a percentage of revenue increased to 10% for fiscal 2005 from 8.8% for the comparable period in 2004. The increase in general and administrative expenses is primarily due to an increase in audit, insurance, legal, bad debt, tax, stock exchange listing fees and costs to prepare for compliance with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Other Income (Expense)

For the year ended December 31, 2005 we had other income of approximately \$28,600 compared to approximately \$53,000 in fiscal 2004. Other income in 2005 is comprised primarily of rental income from one of our co-packers for labeling equipment we purchased for their use. Other income in 2004 is comprised primarily of a foreign exchange gain.

Provision For Income Taxes

For the year ended December 31, 2005 income tax expense was approximately \$51,000 compared to approximately \$14,000 in fiscal 2004. The increase is primarily due to additional state income tax expense resulting from the Company's expanded DSD distribution network.

Net Income

Net income for the year ended December 31, 2005 was approximately \$1,283,000 compared to net income of \$1,330,000 for fiscal 2004. The decrease in net income for the comparable year was due primarily to increased income tax expense and a smaller contribution from other income, despite a slight improvement in income from operations.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our operations historically have primarily been funded through the issuance of common stock and external borrowings, although we had profitable operations for each of the past three fiscal years ended December 31, 2003, 2004 and 2005. During 2005, our operations were largely funded from our cash flows, and we had limited use of our credit facility.

As of December 31, 2005, we had working capital of approximately \$5,699,000 compared to working capital of \$3,779,000 as of December 31, 2004. The increase in working capital was primarily attributable to increased cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, inventory and the repayment of bank debt as a result of increased revenues, partially offset by increased accounts payable and accrued liabilities and the current portion of capital lease obligations.

On June 25, 2005, we renewed our credit facility with Capco Financial Company, a division of Greater Bay Bank N.A., consisting of a one-year revolving line of credit of up to \$5,000,000. The amount available for borrowing from time to time under the revolving line of credit is dependent upon the levels of our accounts receivable and inventory. This revolving line of credit is secured by all of our assets, including

Edgar Filing: JONES SODA CO - Form 10KSB

accounts receivable, inventory, trademarks and other intellectual property, and certain equipment. Borrowings under the credit facility bear interest at the prime rate plus 0.5% per annum (7.75% as of December 31, 2005). The credit facility does not impose any financial covenants and requires all receivables collected be submitted to Capco as collateral. If no borrowings have been made against the line of credit, payments received by Capco are subject to a one day hold to allow for the application of funds. As of December 31, 2005, \$237,169 included in cash and cash equivalents was subject to this 1-day hold. As of December 31, 2005, we had no borrowings outstanding under the line of credit and \$3,400,584 was available for borrowing.

Cash and cash equivalents were \$1,176,101 as of December 31, 2005 compared to \$333,533 as of December 31, 2004. Net cash generated from operating activities was approximately \$877,000 for the year ended

Table of Contents

December 31, 2005, primarily due to higher sales and increased accounts payable & accrued liabilities partially offset by increased levels of finished goods inventory and accounts receivable. We used \$239,000 in investing activities for the year ended December 31, 2005, primarily for the purchase of new labeling equipment for a certain co-packer in support of a dedicated production line for our exclusive use as well as registration and maintenance of our trademarks and patent. Cash flow from financing activities was \$204,000 for the year ended December 31, 2005 and consisted primarily of proceeds from the exercises of stock options partially offset by repayment of borrowings under the line of credit.

The increase in our accounts receivable of \$865,000 from \$2,835,000 at December 31, 2004 to \$3,700,000 at December 31, 2005 was due principally to increased revenue during the period. Similarly, the increase in accounts payable and accrued liabilities from \$2,746,000 at December 31, 2004 to \$3,851,000 December 31, 2005 of \$1,106,000 was due principally to increased production requirements in support of increased revenue during the period.

We do not have any current material commitments for capital expenditures.

Seasonality

We have experienced significant fluctuations in quarterly results that have been the result of many factors. In particular, like many other companies in the beverage industry, we generate a substantial percentage of our revenues during the warm weather months of April through September. Management believes that the demand for our products will continue to reflect such seasonal consumption patterns. In addition, our operating results are dependent upon the performance of our independent distributors, as well as competition in the industry and general economic conditions.

Due to these and other factors, our results of operations have fluctuated from period to period. As a result, management believes that period-to-period comparisons of results of operations are not necessarily meaningful and should not be relied upon as any indication of future performance. While we look to expand our distribution network and increase market penetration, however, such seasonality may not be easily discernible from results of operations. Due to all of the foregoing factors, our operating results in a particular quarter may fail to meet market expectations.

Investor Relations

During the 2005 fiscal year, we completed all investor relations activities in-house. We sent out copies of news or press releases, our corporate brochure, and communicated to shareholders via a quarterly earnings release conference call.

ITEM 7. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.

Financial Statements are listed in the Index to Financial Statements and filed and included elsewhere herein as a part of this Annual Report on Form 10-KSB.

ITEM 8. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE.

None

ITEM 8A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our filings under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms. Our Chief Executive

Table of Contents

Officer and Controller have evaluated our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-KSB and have determined that such disclosure controls and procedures are effective.

There has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting in connection with this evaluation that occurred during our last fiscal quarter (our fourth fiscal quarter in the case of this annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 8B. OTHER INFORMATION

As previously announced, in December 2005 we engaged the services of James M. Mullen as our interim Chief Financial Officer under the terms of an Interim CFO Engagement Agreement. The consulting agreement was for an initial term of three months, continuing on a monthly basis thereafter until terminated by either party. Effective March 28, 2006, we and Mr. Mullen terminated this consulting agreement. We are continuing our search for a permanent Chief Financial Officer.

Effective February 21, 2006, we hired Lars P. Nilsen as our Executive Vice President of Sales. Mr. Nilsen has an at-will employment arrangement with us. Mr. Nilsen joined us from Northwest Horticulture, where he served in the roles of Chief Operating Officer and President/CEO. Prior to Northwest Horticulture, Mr. Nilsen served for 8 years in multiple senior positions with The Coca-Cola Company in Scandinavia, most recently as Director of On-Premise, Nordic Region where he was responsible for directing corporate strategy across the five Nordic countries with sales of \$300 million. As Vice President of Sales at Coca-Cola Bottlers of Denmark from 1996 to 1999, Mr. Nilsen established and built an on-premise sales division with annual sales of \$110 million, growing market share from 50% to 70%. As President of Coca-Cola Cold Drink in Oslo, Norway from 1993 to 1996, Mr. Nilsen was responsible for building a \$50 million on-premise company with a staff of 145. Mr. Nilsen's education includes an MBA from the University of Washington, a B.Sc. from the University of Utah with a major in Finance and an extensive management training program from Coca-Cola University.

Table of Contents

PART III

ITEM 9. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS, PROMOTERS AND CONTROL PERSONS; COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 16(A) OF THE EXCHANGE ACT

We have a Code of Ethics that applies to our principal executive officer, controller and others performing similar functions. A copy of the Code of Ethics was filed as an exhibit to our annual report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 2003.

The other information called for by Part III, Item 9, is included in our proxy statement relating to our 2006 annual meeting of shareholders to be held on May 18, 2006, and is incorporated herein by reference. The proxy statement will be filed within 120 days of December 31, 2005, our fiscal year end.

ITEM 10. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Information called for by Part III, Item 10, is included in our proxy statement relating to our 2006 annual meeting of shareholders to be held on May 18, 2006, and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 11. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED SHAREHOLDER MATTERS

Certain information called for by Part III, Item 11, is included in our proxy statement relating to our 2005 annual meeting of shareholders to be held on May 18, 2006, and is incorporated herein by reference.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table gives information as of December 31, 2005, the end of the most recently completed fiscal year, about shares of common stock that may be issued upon the exercise of options, warrants and rights under our 1996 Stock Option Plan and 2002 Stock Option Plan, which are our only existing equity compensation plans. See also Note 10 to Consolidated Financial Statements of Jones Soda Co. included in this Report.

| <u>Plan Category</u> | (a) No. of Shares to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding | (b) Weighted Average Exercise Price of Outstanding | (c) No. of Shares Available for Future Issuance (1) | (d) Total of Shares Reflected in Columns |
|----------------------|--|---|---|---|
|----------------------|--|---|---|---|

Edgar Filing: JONES SODA CO - Form 10KSB

| | Stock Options | Stock Options | _____ | (a) & (c) _____ |
|--|------------------|------------------|------------|--------------------|
| Equity Compensation Plans Approved by Shareholders (2) | 1,782,000 | \$ 1.83(3) | 187,000(4) | 1,969,000 |
| Equity Compensation Plans Not Approved by Shareholders | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| TOTAL | 1,782,000 | \$ 1.83(3) | 187,000(4) | 1,969,000 |

- (1) Excludes from this calculation the number of shares reflected in Column (a).
- (2) Consists of the 1996 Stock Option Plan and 2002 Stock Option Plan.
- (3) At December 31, 2005, there were outstanding options for a total of 161,500 shares with exercise prices in Canadian dollars, and outstanding options for a total of 1,620,500 shares with exercise prices in U.S. dollars. The weighted average of those exercise prices was \$1.83 (U.S.), based on a currency exchange rate of 1.1618 Canadian to 1 U.S.
- (4) The 2002 Stock Option Plan initially provided for 1,500,000 shares available for issuance under the plan, with an increase of up to an additional 250,000 shares (or such lesser number as approved by the Board of Directors) on January 1 of each fiscal year during the 10-year term of the plan, beginning January 1, 2003 through and including January 1, 2012. Accordingly, as of December 31, 2005, a total of 2,250,000 shares were available for issuance under the 2002 plan, with an aggregate maximum of up to 3,750,000 shares available over the 10-year life of the 2002 plan. The 1996 Stock Option Plan has been terminated and no additional options may be granted under the plan, although currently outstanding options will continue in accordance with their terms.

Table of Contents

ITEM 12. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

Information called for by Part III, Item 12, is included in our proxy statement relating to our 2006 annual meeting of shareholders to be held on May 18, 2006, and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 13. EXHIBITS.

| | |
|--------------|---|
| 3.1(1) | Articles of Incorporation of Jones Soda Co. |
| 3.2(1) | Bylaws of Jones Soda Co. |
| 4.1(2) | Escrow Agreement dated November 15, 2002, between Jones Soda Co., Pacific Corporate Trust Company, and the Shareholders named therein |
| 10.1(3)++ | Bottling Agreement dated January 1, 2002, between Jones Soda Co. and Polaris Water Company Inc. |
| 10.2(3)++ | Bottling Agreement dated December 13, 2001, between Jones Soda Co. and J. Lieb Foods Inc. |
| 10.3(4)++ | Supply Agreement dated January 1, 2004, between Jones Soda Co. and Zuckerman-Honickman, Inc. |
| 10.3A(4)++ | Amendment No. 1 to Supply Agreement, dated June 27, 2004, between Jones Soda Co. and Zuckerman-Honickman, Inc. |
| 10.4(3)++ | Distributor Agreement dated September 12, 2001, between Jones Soda Co. and Jones Soda of Michigan LLC. |
| 10.5(5) | Lease Agreement dated March 14, 2000, between R2H2 LLC and Urban Juice & Soda Company Ltd. |
| 10.5A(6) | First Amendment to Lease, dated March 1, 2003, between R2H2 LLC and Jones Soda Co. |
| 10.5B(7) | Second Amendment to Lease, dated March 1, 2005, between R2H2 LLC and Jones Soda Co. |
| 10.6(8) | Guaranty, dated June 25, 2005, by Jones Soda Co. in favor of CAPCO Financial Company |
| 10.7(8) | Contract of Sale Security Agreement, dated June 25, 2005, between Jones Soda (USA), Inc. and CAPCO Financial Company, as amended by Amendment No. 1 dated June 25, 2005 |
| 10.8(9) | Employment Agreement with Peter M. van Stolk dated effective December 1, 2004 |
| 10.9(3) | Urban Juice & Soda Co. Ltd. 1996 Stock Option Plan |
| 10.10(10) | Jones Soda Co. 2002 Stock option plan |
| 10.11(7)++ | Licensing and Distribution Agreement, dated effective April 1, 2005, between Jones Soda Co. and The Kroger Co. |
| 10.12(7)++ | Licensing and Distribution Agreement, dated effective July 16, 2004, between Jones Soda Co. and Target Corporation |
| 10.13A(11)++ | Supply Agreement with Panera, LLC, dated May 28, 2003 |
| 10.13B(11)++ | First Amendment to Supply Agreement with Panera, LLC, dated May 27, 2004 |
| 10.13C(11)++ | Second Amendment to Supply Agreement with Panera, LLC, dated April 1, 2005 |
| 14.1(4) | Code of Ethics for Financial Officers |
| 21.1(6) | Subsidiaries of Jones Soda Co. |
| 23.1** | Consent of KPMG LLP |

Table of Contents

| | |
|--------|--|
| 31.1** | Certification by Peter M. van Stolk, Chief Executive Officer, pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a), pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 31.2** | Certification by Melody J. Morgan, Controller, pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a), pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 32.1** | Certification by Peter M. van Stolk, Chief Executive Officer, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 32.2** | Certification by Melody J. Morgan, Controller, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |

** Filed as an exhibit to this annual report on Form 10-KSB.

++ Portions of the marked exhibits have been omitted pursuant to requests for confidential treatment filed with the SEC.

- (1) Previously filed as an exhibit to, and incorporated herein by reference from, the Company's annual report on Form 10-KSB for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2000, filed on March 30, 2001.
- (2) Previously filed as an exhibit to, and incorporated herein by reference from, the Company's definitive proxy statement on Schedule 14A, filed on May 24, 2002.
- (3) Previously filed as an exhibit to, and incorporated herein by reference from, the Company's annual report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 2001, filed on April 1, 2002.
- (4) Previously filed as an exhibit to, and incorporated herein by reference from, the Company's annual report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 2003, filed on March 30, 2004.
- (5) Previously filed as an exhibit to, and incorporated herein by reference from, the Company's quarterly report on Form 10-QSB for the quarter ended March 31, 2000, filed on May 15, 2000.
- (6) Previously filed as an exhibit to, and incorporated herein by reference from, the Company's annual report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 2002, filed on March 28, 2002.
- (7) Previously filed as an exhibit to, and incorporated herein by reference from, the Company's annual report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 2004, filed on March 30, 2005.
- (8) Previously filed as an exhibit to, and incorporated herein by reference from, the Company's quarterly report on Form 10-QSB for the quarter ended June 30, 2005, filed on August 12, 2005.
- (9) Previously filed as an exhibit to, and incorporated herein by reference from, the Company's current report on Form 8-K dated February 14, 2005, filed on February 16, 2005.
- (10) Previously filed as an exhibit to, and incorporated herein by reference from, the Company's quarterly report on Form 10-QSB for the quarter ended June 30, 2002, filed on August 14, 2002.
- (11) Previously filed as an exhibit to, and incorporated herein by reference from, the Company's quarterly report on Form 10-QSB for the quarter ended March 31, 2005, filed on May 16, 2005.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

Information called for by Part III, Item 14, is included in our proxy statement relating to our 2006 annual meeting of shareholders to be held on May 18, 2006, and is incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

In accordance with Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act, the registrant caused this Form 10-KSB to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, on March 29, 2006.

JONES SODA CO.

By: /s/ PETER M. VAN STOLK
Peter M. van Stolk

President and Chief Executive Officer

In accordance with the Exchange Act, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

| <u>Signature</u> | <u>Capacities</u> | <u>Date</u> |
|---|--|----------------|
| /s/ PETER M. VAN STOLK <hr/> Peter M. van Stolk | President, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman (Principal Executive Officer) | March 29, 2006 |
| /s/ MELODY J. MORGAN <hr/> Melody J. Morgan | Controller | March 29, 2006 |
| /s/ RON B. ANDERSON <hr/> Ron B. Anderson | Director | March 28, 2006 |
| <hr/> Scott Bedbury | Director | |
| /s/ MICHAEL M. FLEMING <hr/> Michael M. Fleming | Director | March 29, 2006 |
| /s/ JOHN J. GALLAGHER, JR. <hr/> John J. Gallagher, Jr. | Director | March 29, 2006 |
| /s/ MATTHEW KELLOGG <hr/> Matthew Kellogg | Director | March 28, 2006 |
| /s/ STEPHEN C. JONES <hr/> | Director | March 29, 2006 |

Stephen C. Jones

/s/ ALFRED W. ROSSOW, JR.

Director

March 29, 2006

Alfred W. Rossow, Jr.

Table of Contents

JONES SODA CO.

FORM 10-KSB ANNUAL REPORT

INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

| | Page |
|--|-------------|
| <u>Independent Auditor's Report</u> | F-2 |
| Consolidated Financial Statements: | |
| <u>Consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2005 and 2004</u> | F-3 |
| <u>Consolidated statements of operations for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004</u> | F-4 |
| <u>Consolidated statements of shareholders' equity and comprehensive income for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004</u> | F-5 |
| <u>Consolidated statements of cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004</u> | F-6 |
| <u>Notes to consolidated financial statements</u> | F-7 |

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Stockholders

Jones Soda Co. and Subsidiaries

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Jones Soda Co. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2005 and 2004 and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity and comprehensive income and cash flows for the years then ended. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with standards established by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Jones Soda Co. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2005 and 2004, and the results of their operations and cash flows for the years then ended in conformity with United States generally accepted accounting principles.

KPMG LLP (signed)

Vancouver, Canada

February 24, 2006

F-2

Table of Contents**JONES SODA CO. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

December 31, 2005 and 2004

| | <u>2005</u> | <u>2004</u> |
|---|----------------------|---------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents (note 8) | \$ 1,176,101 | \$ 333,533 |
| Accounts receivable (note 3) | 3,699,994 | 2,834,882 |
| Inventory (note 5) | 4,694,213 | 3,550,595 |
| Prepaid expenses and deposits | 146,614 | 399,779 |
| | <u>9,716,922</u> | <u>7,118,789</u> |
| Capital assets (note 6) | 662,942 | 682,439 |
| Intangible assets (note 7) | 72,753 | 49,444 |
| | <u>\$ 10,452,617</u> | <u>\$ 7,850,672</u> |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Bank indebtedness (note 8) | \$ | \$ 480,285 |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | 3,851,335 | 2,745,602 |
| Current portion of capital lease obligations | 114,110 | 63,549 |
| Current portion of deferred revenue (note 4) | 52,318 | 50,000 |
| | <u>4,017,763</u> | <u>3,339,436</u> |
| Capital lease obligations (note 9) | 88,219 | 113,509 |
| Deferred revenue (note 4) | | 50,000 |
| Shareholders' equity (note 10): | | |
| Common stock: | | |
| Authorized: 100,000,000 common stock, no par value | | |
| Issued and outstanding: 21,616,596 common stock (2004 20,956,346) | 12,491,133 | 11,780,996 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 764,622 | 758,877 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 107,752 | 107,752 |
| Deficit | (7,016,872) | (8,299,898) |
| | <u>6,346,635</u> | <u>4,347,727</u> |
| | <u>\$ 10,452,617</u> | <u>\$ 7,850,672</u> |

 Commitments and contingencies (note 11)

Edgar Filing: JONES SODA CO - Form 10KSB

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

F-3

Table of Contents**JONES SODA CO. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS****Years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004**

| | <u>2005</u> | <u>2004</u> |
|--|---------------|---------------|
| Revenue | \$ 33,511,053 | \$ 27,449,674 |
| Cost of goods sold | 21,915,930 | 17,885,811 |
| Gross profit | 11,595,123 | 9,563,863 |
| Licensing revenue | 724,183 | 109,234 |
| Net revenue | 12,319,306 | 9,673,097 |
| Operating expenses: | | |
| Promotion and selling | 7,666,733 | 5,955,645 |
| General and administrative | 3,347,615 | 2,426,555 |
| | 11,014,348 | 8,382,200 |
| Income from operations | 1,304,958 | 1,290,897 |
| Other income (expense): | | |
| Interest (expense), net | (20,000) | (3,705) |
| Other income | 48,600 | 56,798 |
| | 28,600 | 53,093 |
| Earnings before income taxes | 1,333,558 | 1,343,990 |
| Provision for income taxes (Note 12) | 50,532 | 13,630 |
| Earnings for the year | \$ 1,283,026 | \$ 1,330,360 |
| Earnings per share: | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.06 | \$ 0.06 |
| Diluted | 0.06 | 0.06 |
| Weighted average number of common stock: | | |
| Basic | 21,412,214 | 20,639,402 |
| Diluted | 22,593,127 | 21,949,001 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**JONES SODA CO. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME**

Years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004

| | <u>Common stock</u> | | <u>Additional paid-in capital</u> | <u>Accumulated other comprehensive income Deficit</u> | | <u>Total shareholders equity</u> |
|--|---------------------|---------------|---|---|----------------|--|
| | <u>Number</u> | <u>Amount</u> | | | | |
| Balance, December 31, 2003 | 20,089,096 | \$ 11,178,475 | \$ 739,140 | \$ 107,752 | \$ (9,630,258) | \$ 2,395,109 |
| Options exercised | 867,250 | 602,521 | | | | 602,521 |
| Stock-based compensation expense | | | 19,737 | | | 19,737 |
| Earnings and comprehensive income for the year | | | | | 1,330,360 | 1,330,360 |
| Balance, December 31, 2004 | 20,956,346 | 11,780,996 | 758,877 | 107,752 | (8,299,898) | 4,347,727 |
| Option exercised | 660,250 | 710,137 | | | | 710,137 |
| Stock-based compensation expense | | | 5,745 | | | 5,745 |
| Earnings and comprehensive income for the year | | | | | 1,283,026 | 1,283,026 |
| Balance, December 31, 2005 | 21,616,596 | \$ 12,491,133 | \$ 764,622 | \$ 107,752 | \$ (7,016,872) | \$ 6,346,635 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**JONES SODA CO. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

Years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004

| | <u>2005</u> | <u>2004</u> |
|---|---------------------|-------------------|
| Cash flows from (used in) operating activities: | | |
| Earnings for the year | \$ 1,283,026 | \$ 1,330,360 |
| Items not involving cash: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 220,283 | 193,223 |
| Stock-based compensation | 5,745 | 19,737 |
| Changes in assets and liabilities: | | |
| Accounts receivable | (865,112) | (1,327,508) |
| Inventory | (1,143,618) | (1,549,671) |
| Prepaid expenses | 253,165 | (124,156) |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | 1,105,733 | 686,015 |
| | <u>859,222</u> | <u>(772,000)</u> |
| Net cash from (used in) operating activities | | |
| Cash flows used in investing activities: | | |
| Purchase of capital assets | (50,871) | (167,019) |
| Purchase of intangible assets | (42,072) | (12,176) |
| | <u>(92,943)</u> | <u>(179,195)</u> |
| Net cash used in investing activities | | |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | |
| Net borrowing (repayment) under line of credit | (480,285) | 480,285 |
| Net borrowing (repayment) of capital lease obligations | (105,881) | (64,066) |
| Deferred revenue | (47,682) | (50,000) |
| Proceeds from exercise of options | 710,137 | 602,521 |
| | <u>76,289</u> | <u>968,740</u> |
| Cash flows from financing activities | | |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | 842,568 | 17,545 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year | 333,533 | 315,988 |
| | <u>\$ 1,176,101</u> | <u>\$ 333,533</u> |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of year | | |
| Supplemental disclosure of non-cash financing and investing activities: | | |
| Bad debt recovery | \$ 6,951 | \$ 15,000 |
| Assets acquired under capital leases | 131,152 | 179,782 |
| | <u>138,103</u> | <u>194,782</u> |
| Cash paid during year for: | | |
| Interest payments | \$ 20,000 | \$ 7,288 |
| Income taxes | 55,373 | |
| | <u>\$ 75,373</u> | <u>\$ 7,288</u> |

Edgar Filing: JONES SODA CO - Form 10KSB

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

F-6

Table of Contents

JONES SODA CO. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Years Ended December 31, 2005 and 2004

1. Nature and continuance of operations:

Jones Soda Co. (the Company or Jones Soda) develops, produces, markets, and distributes alternative or new age beverages. The Company's primary product lines include the brands: Jones Soda Co., Jones Naturals, a non-carbonated juice & tea drink, Jones Organics, ready to drink organic tea, Jones Energy, a high energy drink, and WhoopAss, a high energy drink. Urban Juice and Soda Company Limited, the Company's predecessor, was incorporated in 1986 under the Company Act (British Columbia). The Company has three operating subsidiaries, Jones Soda Co. (USA) Inc., Jones Soda (Canada) Inc., and myJones.com Inc., as well as one non-operating subsidiary, Whoopass USA Inc.

2. Significant accounting policies:

(a) Basis of presentation:

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly owned subsidiaries. Significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

(b) Use of estimates:

The preparation of the consolidated financial statements requires management to make a number of estimates and assumptions relating to the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Significant items subject to such estimates and assumptions include the carrying amount of inventory, capital assets, intangible assets, valuation allowances for receivables, deferred income tax assets and liabilities and state and city taxes. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

(c) Foreign currency translation:

Except where otherwise stated, these consolidated financial statements are presented in U.S. dollars. All foreign exchange gains or losses, including those arising from translating the net monetary assets of the Company's Canadian operations to the Company's functional currency of

Edgar Filing: JONES SODA CO - Form 10KSB

US dollars, have been included in income. For the twelve month period ended December 31, 2005, the Company incurred a foreign exchange gain of \$3,835 (2004 \$56,798).

(d) Cash and cash equivalents:

The Company considers all short-term investments with a maturity date at purchase of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

(e) Inventory:

Inventory has been stated at the lower of cost and estimated net realizable value and includes adjustments for estimated obsolescence. Cost is determined principally using actual cost on a first-in first-out basis. Finished goods inventory older than 12 months is considered obsolete and is expensed as cost of goods sold.

F-7

Table of Contents**JONES SODA CO. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Years Ended December 31, 2005 and 2004**

(f) Capital assets:

Property and equipment is recorded at cost and depreciated on the declining balance basis over the estimated useful lives of the assets as follows:

| <u>Asset</u> | <u>Rate</u> |
|--|---|
| Equipment | 20% to 50% |
| Vehicles and Office and computer equipment | 30% |
| Equipment under capital lease | Lease term which approximates its useful life |

(g) Intangible assets:

The Company's intangible assets include costs associated with securing trademarks and patents for the Company's products and are amortized on a straight-line basis over 5 years.

(h) Impairment of long-lived assets and long-lived assets to be disposed of:

Long-lived assets, which include capital assets and intangible assets, are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of the assets to future undiscounted net cash flows expected to be generated by the assets. If such assets are considered to be impaired, the impairment to be recognized is measured by the amount by which the carrying amount of the assets exceeds the fair value of the assets. Assets to be disposed of are reported at the lower of the carrying amount or fair value less costs to sell.

(i) Revenue recognition:

Edgar Filing: JONES SODA CO - Form 10KSB

Sales are recorded when title passes, which is when goods are received by the customer, and represent amounts realized net of provisions for sales returns, discounts and allowances which are recognized at the time of sale. The Company's sales arrangements are not subject to warranty. Cash received in advance of delivery is recorded as deferred revenue in the consolidated balance sheets.

Licensing revenue is recorded when confirmation of sale to third parties is received from the customer, and represents amounts realized net of provisions for sales returns.

The Company recognizes sales of concentrate relating to a licensing agreement, in accordance with EITF 99-19 Reporting Revenue Gross as a Principal versus Net as an Agent. As a result, the sale of concentrate and associated costs are recorded on a net basis. As concentrate is sold at cost, there is no impact on the Company's statements of operations.

To record sales returns, the Company issues a credit note to the customer once it has obtained the returned goods. Discounts are offered to customers via promotional events. Discounts are recorded at the time of sale by issuing a credit note for the discount relating to the shipment.

Consideration given by the Company to a customer (including a reseller of the Company's products) is accounted for as a reduction of revenue when recognized in the Company's statements of operations. For the twelve month period ended December 31, 2005, revenue was reduced by \$293,295 (2004 \$361,068).

Table of Contents

JONES SODA CO. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Years Ended December 31, 2005 and 2004

(j) Research and development:

Research and development costs, which consist primarily of product development costs, are expensed in the period incurred and are included in general and administrative expenses. During the year ended December 31, 2005, the Company incurred research and development costs of \$41,406 (2004 \$0).

(k) Stock-based compensation:

The Company accounts for its stock-based compensation arrangements with employees in accordance with the provisions of Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees , and related interpretations. As such, compensation expense under fixed plans is recorded on the date of grant only if the market value of the underlying stock at that date exceeds the exercise price.

SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock Based Compensation , requires entities that continue to apply the provisions of APB Opinion No. 25 for transactions with employees to provide pro forma earnings and pro forma earnings per share disclosures for employee stock option grants as if the fair-value-based method in SFAS No. 123 had been applied to these transactions.

The Company recognizes compensation expense for stock options, common stock and other equity instruments issued to non-employees for services received based upon the fair value of the equity instruments issued at the date of performance completion.

Under APB 25, compensation expense is measured as the excess, if any, of the market price of the underlying stock over the exercise price on the measurement date of the grant. Had stock compensation expense for grants to employees under the Company's stock option plan been determined based on the fair value methodology under SFAS 123, the Company's net earnings for years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004 would have been presented as follows:

| Twelve Months Ended December 31 | |
|--|-------------|
| 2005 | 2004 |

Edgar Filing: JONES SODA CO - Form 10KSB

| | | |
|---|-------------------|---------------------|
| Earnings as reported | \$ 1,283,026 | \$ 1,330,360 |
| Add: Stock-based employee compensation expense included in reported earnings | 5,745 | 19,737 |
| Deduct: Stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value method for all awards | (764,615) | (333,405) |
| Pro forma earnings | \$ 524,156 | \$ 1,016,692 |
| Earnings per share | | |
| Basic as reported | \$ 0.06 | \$ 0.06 |
| Basic pro forma | \$ 0.02 | \$ 0.05 |
| Diluted as reported | \$ 0.06 | \$ 0.06 |
| Diluted pro forma | \$ 0.02 | \$ 0.05 |

The comparative period expense figures for 2004 were reduced by \$14,797 for an adjustment to the pro forma stock based compensation calculation.

The fair value of these options was estimated at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model, which takes into account (1) the market price of the underlying stock at the grant date, (2) the exercise

Table of Contents

JONES SODA CO. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Years Ended December 31, 2005 and 2004

price, (3) an expected life ranging from one to five years, (4) 0% dividend yield, (5) a risk-free interest rate of 3.26% to 4.18% (2004 1.63% to 2.55%), and (6) an estimated volatility of 80% to 82% (2004 82%).

The weighted average fair value of options granted in 2005 and 2004 was \$1.86 and \$1.06, respectively.

(l) Advertising:

The Company expenses advertising costs as incurred. During the year ended December 31, 2004, the Company incurred advertising costs of \$5,120,326 (2004 \$3,891,751).

(m) Income taxes:

The Company accounts for income taxes in accordance with SFAS No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. The objectives of accounting for income taxes are to recognize the amount of taxes payable for the current year and deferred tax assets and liabilities for future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in the Company's financial statements or tax returns. The Company performs periodic evaluations of recorded tax assets and liabilities and maintains a valuation allowance if considered necessary. The determination of taxes payable for the current year includes estimates. In the event that actual results differ materially from management's expectations, the estimated taxes payable could materially change, directly impacting the Company's financial position or results of operations.

(n) Earnings per share:

Basic earnings per share is computed using the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the periods, excluding reacquired stock and common stock held in escrow that is subject to cancellation if certain criteria are not achieved. Diluted earnings per share are computed by adjusting the weighted average number of common shares by the effective net exercise or conversion of all dilutive securities.

(o) Comprehensive income:

SFAS No. 130, *Reporting Comprehensive Income*, establishes standards for reporting and disclosure of comprehensive income and its components in a full set of general-purpose financial statements. The Company discloses the comprehensive income in the Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity And Comprehensive Income.

(p) Volume rebates from vendors:

In 2004, the Company adopted EITF 02-16 on *Accounting by a Customer for Certain Consideration Received from a Vendor*. As a result, consideration received by the Company from a vendor is accounted for as a reduction of cost of goods sold or inventory, as appropriate. For the year ended December 31, 2005, the reduction of cost of goods sold is \$191,112 (2004 \$185,471) and inventory is \$10,534 (2004 \$40,488).

(q) Recent accounting pronouncements:

In September 2005, the EITF issued EITF Issue No. 04-13 *Accounting for Purchases and Sales of Inventory with the Same Counterparty*. EITF 04-13 provides guidance as to when purchases and sales of inventory with the same counterparty should be accounted for as a single exchange transaction. EITF 04-13 also provides guidance as to when a nonmonetary exchange of inventory should be accounted for at fair value. EITF 04-13 will be applied to new arrangements entered into, and modifications or renewals of existing arrangements occurring after January 1, 2007. The application of EITF 04-13 is not expected to have a significant impact on the Company's financial statements.

Table of Contents**JONES SODA CO. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Years Ended December 31, 2005 and 2004**

In March 2005, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 47 *Accounting for Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations* which requires conditional asset retirement obligations to be recognized if a legal obligation exists to perform asset retirement activities and a reasonable estimate of the fair value of the obligation can be made. FIN 47 also provides guidance as to when an entity would have sufficient information to reasonably estimate the fair value of an asset retirement obligation. The Company adopted the provisions of FIN 47 for the year ended December 31, 2005. No conditional asset retirement obligations were recognized and, accordingly, the adoption of FIN 47 had no effect on the Company's financial statements.

In December 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued revised Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No 123 entitled *Share-Based Payment* (FAS No. 123R). This revised statement addresses accounting for stock-based compensation and results in the fair value of all stock-based compensation arrangements, including options, being recognized as an expense in a company's financial statements as opposed to supplemental disclosure in the notes to financial statements. The revised Statement eliminates the ability to account for stock-based compensation transactions using APB Opinion No. 25. FAS No. 123R is effective for public entities that file as small business issuers as of the beginning of the first interim or annual reporting period that begins after December 15, 2005. The Company is currently assessing the implications of FAS 123R to its consolidated financial statements.

In November 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 151 entitled *Inventory Costs* an amendment of ARB No. 43, Chapter 4 (FAS No. 151). This statement amends the guidance in ARB No. 43 to clarify the accounting for abnormal amounts of idle facility expense, freight, handling costs and spoilage. FAS No. 151 requires that these items be recognized as current period charges. The Company adopted FAS No. 151 in the year ended December 31, 2004. It had no effect on the Company's financial statements.

(r) Reclassifications

Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to the 2005 presentation.

3. Accounts receivable:

| | <u>2005</u> | <u>2004</u> |
|-------|--------------|--------------|
| Trade | \$ 3,500,617 | \$ 2,851,922 |
| Other | 306,783 | 158,084 |

Edgar Filing: JONES SODA CO - Form 10KSB

| | | |
|---------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | (107,406) | (175,124) |
| | <u>\$ 3,699,994</u> | <u>\$ 2,834,882</u> |

Supplementary information on the allowance for doubtful accounts:

| | <u>2005</u> | <u>2004</u> |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Allowance for doubtful accounts: | | |
| Beginning of year | \$ 175,124 | \$ 665,327 |
| Bad debt expense | 86,699 | 4,000 |
| Net recoveries and write offs | (154,417) | (494,203) |
| End of year | <u>\$ 107,406</u> | <u>\$ 175,124</u> |

Of the \$154,417 of net recoveries and write offs, \$147,466 relate to bad debts expensed during 2001.

Table of Contents**JONES SODA CO. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Years Ended December 31, 2005 and 2004****4. Deferred revenue:**

| | <u>2005</u> | <u>2004</u> |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Current portion of deferred revenue | \$ 52,318 | \$ 50,000 |
| Deferred revenue | | 50,000 |
| | <u>\$ 52,318</u> | <u>\$ 100,000</u> |

In 2001, the Company secured a new distributor to replace a previous distributor that went bankrupt. As part of the distribution agreement, the Company received a \$250,000 non-refundable cash payment from its new distributor which was recorded as deferred revenue and is being amortized on a straight-line basis over the five years, representing management's current best estimate of the period of imputed benefit from the initial distribution agreement. During 2005, \$50,000 related to this agreement has been recognized in revenue.

5. Inventory:

| | <u>2005</u> | <u>2004</u> |
|----------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Finished goods | \$ 2,911,815 | \$ 1,964,875 |
| Raw materials | 1,782,398 | 1,585,720 |
| | <u>\$ 4,694,213</u> | <u>\$ 3,550,595</u> |

6. Capital Assets:

| | <u>2005</u> | <u>2004</u> |
|-----------|-------------|-------------|
| Vehicles | \$ 276,532 | \$ 265,630 |
| Equipment | 1,159,668 | 1,025,410 |

Edgar Filing: JONES SODA CO - Form 10KSB

| | | |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Office and computer equipment | 652,892 | 616,029 |
| | <u>2,089,092</u> | <u>1,907,069</u> |
| Accumulated depreciation | (1,426,150) | (1,224,630) |
| | <u>\$ 662,942</u> | <u>\$ 682,439</u> |

Included in capital assets are assets under capital leases with a cost of \$586,492 (2004 \$455,340) and accumulated depreciation of \$176,203 (2004 \$89,052).

7. Intangible assets:

| | <u>2005</u> | <u>2004</u> |
|--------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Trademarks and patents | \$ 370,197 | \$ 328,125 |
| Accumulated amortization | (297,444) | (278,681) |
| | <u>\$ 72,753</u> | <u>\$ 49,444</u> |

During the year ended December 31, 2005 amortization of \$18,762 (2004 \$38,588) related to intangible assets was recognized.

Table of Contents**JONES SODA CO. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Years Ended December 31, 2005 and 2004****8. Bank indebtedness:**

On June 25, 2005, the existing credit facility granted to the Company by Capco Financial Company, a division of Greater Bay Bank N.A, was renewed for a further one-year revolving line of credit of up to \$5,000,000. The amount available for borrowing from time to time under the revolving line of credit is dependent upon the levels of certain accounts receivable and inventory of the Company. This revolving line of credit is secured by all of the Company's assets, including accounts receivable, inventory, trademarks and other intellectual property, and certain equipment. Borrowings under the credit facility bear interest at prime plus 0.5% per annum (7.75% at December 31, 2005). The credit facility does not impose any financial covenants. As of December 31, 2005, the Company had no borrowings outstanding under the line of credit and \$3,400,584 available for borrowing. In accordance with the terms of this agreement, all receivables collected are submitted to Capco as collateral for the line of credit. If no borrowings have been made against the line of credit, payments received by Capco are subject to a 1-day hold to allow for the application of funds. As of December 31, 2005, \$237,169 (2004 \$29,300) in cash and cash equivalents was subject to this 1-day hold.

9. Capital lease obligations:

The Company's scheduled payments, including interest ranging from 5% to 15% at December 31, 2005 are as follows:

| | |
|---|------------------|
| 2006 | \$ 122,896 |
| 2007 | 73,214 |
| 2008 | 15,636 |
| | <hr/> |
| Total minimum capital lease payments | 211,746 |
| Less amount representing interest | 9,417 |
| | <hr/> |
| Present value of total minimum capital lease payments | 202,329 |
| Less current portion of capital lease obligations | 114,110 |
| | <hr/> |
| Capital lease obligations excluding current portion | <u>\$ 88,219</u> |

10. Stock-based compensation:

Stock options

In 1996, the Company adopted a stock option plan (the 1996 Plan) that provides for the issuance of incentive and non-qualified stock options to officers, directors, employees and consultants. In addition, in 2002 the Company adopted a second stock option plan for the issuance of incentive and non-qualified stock options to officers, directors, employees and consultants (the 2002 Plan). (The 1996 Plan and 2002 Plan are collectively referred to as the Plans.)

The Board of Directors determines the terms and conditions of the options granted under the Plans, including the exercise price and vesting schedule. The exercise price for qualified incentive stock options cannot be less than the fair market value of the underlying stock at the date of grant, and the maximum term is five years from the date of grant. Options granted generally vest over a period of 18 months.

Where options issued after January 18, 2001 have an exercise price in currency that is not either the (a) functional currency of the Company or (b) the currency in which the employee is paid, the options are to be accounted for as variable plan options and compensation expense will be recorded equal to changes in the market value of the underlying common shares at each reporting period.

For the year ended December 31, 2005, the Company granted all options in US dollars. Included in general and administrative expenses for 2005 is stock-based compensation of \$5,745 (2004 \$19,737).

Table of Contents**JONES SODA CO. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Years Ended December 31, 2005 and 2004**

A summary of the Company's stock option activity is as follows:

| | Outstanding options | | |
|------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|---------|
| | Number | Average exercise price | |
| | | of shares | US |
| Balance at December 31, 2003 | 2,404,500 | \$ 0.58 | \$ 0.76 |
| Option granted | 560,500 | 2.39 | 2.88 |
| Options exercised | (867,250) | (0.69) | (0.90) |
| Options cancelled | (65,500) | (0.44) | (0.54) |
| Balance at December 31, 2004 | 2,032,250 | \$ 1.06 | \$ 1.27 |
| Option granted | 613,500 | 4.00 | 4.65 |
| Options exercised | (660,250) | (1.08) | (1.25) |
| Options cancelled | (203,500) | (3.32) | (3.86) |
| Balance at December 31, 2005 | 1,782,000 | \$ 1.83 | \$ 2.13 |

The following table summarizes information about stock options outstanding and exercisable under the Plans at December 31, 2005:

| Range of exercise | Number outstanding | Weighted average remaining contractual life (years) | Weighted average exercise price | | Number exercisable | Weighted average exercise prices | |
|-------------------|--------------------|---|---------------------------------|---------|--------------------|----------------------------------|---------|
| | | | US | CDN | | US | CDN |
| \$0.70 to \$0.86 | 161,500 | 0.29 | \$ 0.76 | \$ 0.89 | 161,500 | \$ 0.76 | \$ 0.89 |
| \$0.25 to \$0.50 | 728,000 | 1.75 | 0.41 | 0.47 | 728,000 | 0.41 | 0.47 |
| \$1.10 to \$2.99 | 397,250 | 3.00 | 2.10 | 2.44 | 397,250 | 2.10 | 2.44 |
| \$3.00 to \$4.00 | 452,375 | 3.80 | 3.96 | 4.60 | 249,656 | 3.94 | 4.57 |
| \$4.01 to \$5.01 | 42,875 | 4.61 | 5.01 | 5.82 | 10,250 | 5.01 | 5.82 |

| | | | | | |
|------------------|---------|---------|------------------|---------|---------|
| <u>1,782,000</u> | \$ 1.83 | \$ 2.13 | <u>1,546,656</u> | \$ 1.48 | \$ 1.72 |
|------------------|---------|---------|------------------|---------|---------|

11. Commitments and contingencies:

The Company has lease commitments for office and warehouse premises expiring on February 28, 2007. Future minimum rent payments under this lease are \$94,537 in 2006 and \$15,978 in 2007. During the year ended December 31, 2005, the Company incurred rental expenses of \$95,670 (2004 \$101,345).

12. Income taxes:

The provision for income taxes consisted of the following:

| | <u>2005</u> | <u>2004</u> |
|-----------------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Current taxes | \$ 50,532 | \$ 13,630 |
| Deferred taxes | | |
| Provision for income taxes | <u>\$ 50,532</u> | <u>\$ 13,630</u> |

Table of Contents**JONES SODA CO. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Years Ended December 31, 2005 and 2004**

The items accounting for the difference between income taxes computed at the federal statutory rate and the provision for income taxes are as follows:

| | <u>2005</u> | | <u>2004</u> | |
|--|------------------|-------------|------------------|-------------|
| Federal statutory rate | \$ 436,195 | 34% | \$ 452,322 | 34% |
| Effect of: | | | | |
| Permanent differences | 30,681 | 2.4% | 21,130 | 1.6% |
| State income taxes, net of federal benefit | 40,000 | 3.1% | | |
| Alternative minimum tax | 10,532 | 0.8% | 13,630 | 1.0% |
| Change in valuation allowance | (565,290) | (44.1)% | (687,482) | (51.7)% |
| Other, net | 98,414 | 7.7% | 214,030 | 16.1% |
| | <u>\$ 50,532</u> | <u>3.9%</u> | <u>\$ 13,630</u> | <u>1.0%</u> |

Deferred income taxes reflect the tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. Significant components of the Company's deferred income taxes were as follows:

| | <u>2005</u> | <u>2004</u> |
|----------------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Net operating loss carryforwards | \$ 1,731,023 | \$ 2,225,494 |
| Capital assets | 82,258 | 135,268 |
| Intangible assets | 420,753 | 427,730 |
| Other | 120,971 | 135,914 |
| | <u>2,355,005</u> | <u>2,924,406</u> |
| Valuation allowance | (2,355,055) | (2,924,406) |
| | <u>\$</u> | <u>\$</u> |

Reclassifications have been made to the 2004 balances for certain components of deferred tax assets and liabilities in order to conform to the current year presentation.

In assessing the realizability of deferred tax assets, management considers whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income during the periods in which those temporary differences become deductible. Management considers the scheduled reversal of deferred tax liabilities, projected future taxable income, and tax planning strategies in making this assessment. As of December 31, 2005, the Company does not believe it meets the criteria to recognize deferred tax assets, and has accordingly provided a full valuation allowance.

At December 31, 2005, the Company has net operating loss carryforwards for income tax purposes of \$5,091,244, which expire at various times commencing in 2020. Net operating loss carryforwards may be subject to certain limitations under Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code.

13. Segmented information and export sales:

The Company operates in one industry segment, with operations during 2005 primarily in the United States and Canada. During the year ended December 31, 2005 sales in the United States were approximately \$29,620,000 (2004 \$24,186,000), sales in Canada were approximately \$3,788,000 (2004 \$3,152,000), and sales to other countries totaled \$103,000 (2004 \$111,000). Sales have been assigned to geographic locations based on the location of customers.

Table of Contents

JONES SODA CO. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Years Ended December 31, 2005 and 2004

As at December 31, 2005, the net book value of long-lived assets held in the United States was \$603,854 (2004 \$612,468). The net book value of long-lived assets held in Canada was \$59,088 (2004 \$69,971).

14. Financial instruments:

(a) Fair values:

As of December 31, 2005, the carrying amounts reported in the consolidated balance sheets for cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, line of credit, accounts payable and accrued liabilities and capital lease obligations, approximate their fair values due to the short-term to maturity of these instruments.

(b) Concentration of credit risk:

The Company mainly sells its products to customers in the United States and Canada. Customers in the United States represent 92% (2004 91%) while customers in Canada represent 8% (2004 9%) of year end accounts receivable balances. Three of the Company's customers represent approximately 21% of revenues for the year ended December 31, 2005. Two customers represented approximately 22% of revenues for the year ended December 31, 2004.