

BURLINGTON COAT FACTORY WAREHOUSE CORP
Form 10-Q
September 13, 2011
[Table of Contents](#)

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended July 30, 2011

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____ .

Commission File Number 1-37917

BURLINGTON COAT FACTORY INVESTMENTS HOLDINGS, INC.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

Edgar Filing: BURLINGTON COAT FACTORY WAREHOUSE CORP - Form 10-Q

Delaware
(State or Other Jurisdiction of
Incorporation or Organization)

20-4663833
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

1830 Route 130 North

Burlington, New Jersey
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

08016
(Zip Code)

Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code: (609) 387-7800

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer, and smaller reporting company in Rule g of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer

Non-Accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

As of September 13, 2011, the registrant has 1,000 shares of common stock outstanding, all of which are owned by Burlington Coat Factory Holdings, Inc., registrant's parent holding company, and are not publicly traded.

Table of Contents

BURLINGTON COAT FACTORY INVESTMENTS HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

INDEX

	Page
<u>Part I - Financial Information</u>	3
<u>Item 1. Financial Statements (unaudited)</u>	3
<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of July 30, 2011, January 29, 2011 and July 31, 2010</u>	3
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss - Six and Three Months Ended July 30, 2011 and July 31, 2010</u>	4
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows - Six Months Ended July 30, 2011 and July 31, 2010</u>	5
<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	6
<u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	30
<u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	49
<u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u>	50
<u>Part II - Other Information</u>	50
<u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u>	50
<u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u>	51
<u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	51
<u>Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	51
<u>Item 4. Removed and Reserved</u>	51
<u>Item 5. Other Information</u>	51
<u>Item 6. Exhibits</u>	52
<u>SIGNATURES</u>	53

Table of Contents**Part I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. Financial Statements****BURLINGTON COAT FACTORY INVESTMENTS HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS****(Unaudited)****(All amounts in thousands)**

	July 30, 2011	January 29, 2011	July 31, 2010
ASSETS			
Current Assets:			
Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$ 31,808	\$ 30,214	\$ 81,046
Restricted Cash and Cash Equivalents	37,271	30,264	35,397
Accounts Receivable, Net of Allowances for Doubtful Accounts	28,018	49,875	32,642
Merchandise Inventories	665,204	644,228	661,224
Deferred Tax Assets	22,313	24,835	31,216
Prepaid and Other Current Assets	38,137	36,109	34,922
Prepaid Income Taxes	45,696	16,447	37,286
Assets Held for Sale	1,113	2,156	521
Total Current Assets	869,560	834,128	914,254
Property and Equipment - Net of Accumulated Depreciation	858,161	857,589	850,484
Tradenames	238,000	238,000	238,000
Favorable Leases - Net of Accumulated Amortization	374,937	389,986	405,527
Goodwill	47,064	47,064	47,064
Other Assets	95,549	91,241	90,349
Total Assets	\$ 2,483,271	\$ 2,458,008	\$ 2,545,678
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDER S (DEFICIT) EQUITY			
Current Liabilities:			
Accounts Payable	\$ 415,086	\$ 190,460	\$ 458,489
Other Current Liabilities	214,733	212,944	235,613
Current Maturities of Long Term Debt	3,272	14,264	17,507
Total Current Liabilities	633,091	417,668	711,609
Long Term Debt	1,528,411	1,358,021	1,262,412
Other Liabilities	210,547	215,528	181,673
Deferred Tax Liabilities	275,872	279,279	270,064
Commitments and Contingencies (Notes 3, 12 and 13)			
Stockholder s (Deficit) Equity:			
Common Stock (Par Value \$0.01; 1,000 Shares Issued and Outstanding)			
Capital in Excess of Par Value	468,412	466,754	465,326
Accumulated Deficit	(633,062)	(279,242)	(345,406)
Total Stockholder s (Deficit) Equity	(164,650)	187,512	119,920
Total Liabilities and Stockholder s (Deficit) Equity	\$ 2,483,271	\$ 2,458,008	\$ 2,545,678

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**BURLINGTON COAT FACTORY INVESTMENTS HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS AND COMPREHENSIVE LOSS****(Unaudited)****(All amounts in thousands)**

	Six Months Ended		Three Months Ended	
	July 30, 2011	July 31, 2010	July 30, 2011	July 31, 2010
REVENUES:				
Net Sales	\$ 1,722,431	\$ 1,623,428	\$ 793,349	\$ 728,750
Other Revenue	14,343	14,075	7,095	6,795
Total Revenue	1,736,774	1,637,503	800,444	735,545
COSTS AND EXPENSES:				
Cost of Sales (Exclusive of Depreciation and Amortization)	1,084,356	1,021,741	507,053	469,388
Selling and Administrative Expenses	565,533	550,308	276,705	271,779
Restructuring and Separation Costs (Note 4)	5,190	2,152	5,190	1,190
Depreciation and Amortization	73,987	72,235	37,367	35,506
Impairment Charges Long-Lived Assets	34	258	25	73
Other Income, Net	(5,120)	(6,444)	(2,311)	(3,478)
Loss on Extinguishment of Debt	37,764			
Interest Expense (Inclusive of Gain (Loss) on Interest Rate Cap Agreements)	63,164	53,422	32,310	26,057
Total Costs and Expenses	1,824,908	1,693,672	856,339	800,515
Loss Before Income Tax Benefit	(88,134)	(56,169)	(55,895)	(64,970)
Income Tax Benefit	(34,314)	(20,903)	(23,132)	(24,491)
Net Loss	\$ (53,820)	\$ (35,266)	\$ (32,763)	\$ (40,479)
Total Comprehensive Loss	\$ (53,820)	\$ (35,266)	\$ (32,763)	\$ (40,479)

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**BURLINGTON COAT FACTORY INVESTMENTS HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS****(Unaudited)****(All amounts in thousands)**

	Six Months Ended	
	July 30, 2011	July 31, 2010
OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Net Loss	\$ (53,820)	\$ (35,266)
Adjustments to Reconcile Net Loss to Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities:		
Depreciation and Amortization	73,987	72,235
Impairment Charges Long-Lived Assets	34	258
Amortization of Debt Issuance Costs	4,957	6,132
Accretion of New Senior Notes, Previous Senior Notes and Previous Discount Notes	825	356
Interest Rate Cap Agreement - Adjustment to Market	1,862	6,297
Provision for Losses on Accounts Receivable	793	909
Provision for Deferred Income Taxes	5	(14,743)
Loss on Retirement of Fixed Assets	126	130
(Gain) on Investments in Money Market Fund		(240)
Loss on Extinguishment of Debt Write-off of Deferred Financing Fees	16,435	
Excess Tax Benefit from Stock Based Compensation	(230)	
Non-Cash Stock Based Compensation Expense	900	837
Non-Cash Rent Expense	(2,536)	(912)
Changes in Assets and Liabilities:		
Accounts Receivable	850	1,083
Merchandise Inventories	(20,976)	(47,929)
Prepaid and Other Current Assets	(31,958)	(37,923)
Accounts Payable	224,626	318,687
Other Current Liabilities and Income Tax Payable	4,110	(5,089)
Deferred Rent Incentives	24,126	8,664
Other Long Term Assets and Long Term Liabilities	(3,263)	558
Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities	240,853	274,044
INVESTING ACTIVITIES		
Cash Paid for Property and Equipment	(68,169)	(50,286)
Proceeds Received from Sale of Property and Equipment	108	156
Increase in Restricted Cash and Cash Equivalents	(7,007)	(32,793)
Lease Acquisition Costs	(557)	(224)
Redemption of Investment in Money Market Fund		240
Other	33	35
Net Cash Used in Investing Activities	(75,592)	(82,872)
FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
Proceeds from Long Term Debt ABL Line of Credit	396,700	
Proceeds from Long Term Debt Notes Payable	450,000	
Proceeds from Long Term Debt Term Loan	990,000	
Principal Payments on Long Term Debt ABL Line of Credit	(486,300)	(121,200)
Principal Payments on Long Term Debt Senior Discount Notes	(99,309)	
Principal Payments on Long Term Debt Senior Notes	(302,056)	
Principal Payments on Long Term Debt	(413)	(389)

Edgar Filing: BURLINGTON COAT FACTORY WAREHOUSE CORP - Form 10-Q

Principal Payments on Long Term Debt – Term Loan	(790,050)	(12,202)
Payment of Dividends	(297,917)	(151)
Stock Option Exercise and Related Tax Benefits	758	
Debt Issuance Costs	(25,080)	(934)
Net Cash Used in Financing Activities	(163,667)	(134,876)
Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents	1,594	56,296
Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Period	30,214	24,750
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period	\$ 31,808	\$ 81,046
Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information		
Interest Paid	\$ 45,283	\$ 39,353
Net Income Tax Payments	\$ 2,596	\$ 39,614
Non-Cash Investing Activities:		
Accrued Purchases of Property and Equipment	\$ 11,907	\$ 19,985

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**BURLINGTON COAT FACTORY INVESTMENTS HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****July 30, 2011****(UNAUDITED)****1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies***Basis of Presentation*

These unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements include the accounts of Burlington Coat Factory Investments Holdings, Inc. and all of its subsidiaries (Company or Holdings). Holdings has no operations and its only asset is all of the stock of Burlington Coat Factory Warehouse Corporation. All discussions of operations in this report relate to Burlington Coat Factory Warehouse Corporation and its subsidiaries (BCFWC), which are reflected in the financial statements of Holdings. The Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements are unaudited, but in the opinion of management reflect all adjustments (which are of a normal and recurring nature) necessary for the fair presentation of the results of operations for the interim periods presented. Certain information and note disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) have been condensed or omitted. It is suggested that these Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 29, 2011 (Fiscal 2010 10-K). The balance sheet at January 29, 2011 has been derived from the audited Consolidated Financial Statements contained in the Fiscal 2010 10-K. Because the Company's business is seasonal in nature, the operating results for the three and six month periods ended July 30, 2011 are not necessarily indicative of results for the fiscal year ending January 28, 2012 (Fiscal 2011).

Accounting policies followed by the Company are described in Note 1 to the audited Consolidated Financial Statements contained in the Fiscal 2010 10-K.

There were no new accounting standards that had a material impact on the Company's Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements during the quarter ended July 30, 2011 and there were no new accounting pronouncements that were issued but not yet effective as of July 30, 2011 that the Company expects to have a material impact upon becoming effective.

2. Stockholder's (Deficit) Equity

Activity for the three and six month periods ended July 30, 2011 and July 31, 2010 in the Company's common stock, capital in excess of par value, accumulated deficit, and total stockholder's (deficit) equity are summarized below:

	<i>(in thousands)</i>			
	Common Stock	Capital in Excess of Par Value	Accumulated Deficit	Total
Balance at January 29, 2011	\$	\$ 466,754	\$ (279,242)	\$ 187,512
Net Loss			(21,057)	(21,057)
Excess Tax Benefit from Stock Based Compensation		448		448
Stock Based Compensation		705		705
Dividends (a)			(300,000)	(300,000)
Balance at April 30, 2011		467,907	(600,299)	(132,392)
Net Loss			(32,763)	(32,763)
Stock Options Exercised and Related Tax Benefits		310		310
Stock Based Compensation		195		195

Edgar Filing: BURLINGTON COAT FACTORY WAREHOUSE CORP - Form 10-Q

Balance at July 30, 2011	\$	\$ 468,412	\$ (633,062)	\$ (164,650)
---------------------------------	----	------------	--------------	--------------

Table of Contents

(in thousands)

	Common Stock	Capital in Excess of Par Value	Accumulated Deficit	Total
Balance at January 30, 2010	\$	\$ 464,489	\$ (309,989)	\$ 154,500
Net Income			5,213	5,213
Stock Option Expense		233		233
Dividends			(151)	(151)
Balance at May 1, 2010		464,722	(304,927)	159,795
Net Loss			(40,479)	(40,479)
Stock Option Expense		604		604
Balance at July 31, 2010	\$	\$ 465,326	\$ (345,406)	\$ 119,920

- (a) Represents dividends payable to the equity holders of Burlington Coat Factory Holdings, Inc. (Parent) in conjunction with the Company's February 2011 debt refinancing, of which \$297.9 million was paid as of April 30, 2011, as further discussed in Note 3 of the Company's Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements entitled "Long Term Debt." The remaining \$2.1 million is recorded in "Current Liabilities" on the Company's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of July 30, 2011.

3. Long Term Debt

Long term debt consists of:

(in thousands)

	July 30, 2011	January 29, 2011	July 31, 2010
\$1,000,000 Senior Secured Term Loan Facility, LIBOR (with a floor of 1.50%) plus 4.75% due in quarterly payments of \$2,500 from July 30, 2011 to January 28, 2017, matures on February 23, 2017.	\$ 978,265	\$	\$
\$450,000 Senior Notes, 10%, due at maturity on February 15, 2019, semi-annual interest payments on August 15 and February 15, from August 15, 2011 to February 15, 2019.	450,000		
\$900,000 Senior Secured Term Loan Facility, LIBOR plus 2.3%.		777,550	852,550
\$600,000 ABL Senior Secured Revolving Facility, LIBOR plus spread based on average outstanding balance, expires February 4, 2014.	79,000	168,600	
Senior Notes, 11.1%.		301,997	301,620
Senior Discount Notes, 14.5%.		99,309	99,309
Industrial Revenue Bonds, 6.1%.			1,210
Promissory Note, non-interest bearing, due in monthly payments of \$17 through January 1, 2012.	100	200	300
Promissory Note, 4.4% due in monthly payments of \$8 through December 23, 2011.	38	82	125
Capital Lease Obligations	24,280	24,547	24,805
Total debt	1,531,683	1,372,285	1,279,919
Less: current maturities	(3,272)	(14,264)	(17,507)
Long-term debt, net of current maturities	\$ 1,528,411	\$ 1,358,021	\$ 1,262,412

Senior Notes Offering

Edgar Filing: BURLINGTON COAT FACTORY WAREHOUSE CORP - Form 10-Q

On February 24, 2011, BCFWC (exclusive of subsidiaries, referred to herein as BCFW) completed its sale of \$450.0 million aggregate principal amount of 10% Senior Notes due 2019 at an issue price of 100% (the Notes) in a private offering exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act), to qualified institutional buyers in accordance with Rule 144A and to persons outside of the United States pursuant to Regulation S under the Securities Act. The Notes were issued pursuant to an indenture, dated February 24, 2011 (the Indenture), among BCFW, the guarantors signatory thereto and Wilmington Trust FSB.

Table of Contents

The Notes are senior unsecured obligations of BCFW and are guaranteed on a senior basis by BCFW, the Company and each of BCFW's U.S. subsidiaries to the extent such guarantor is a guarantor of BCFW's obligations under the New Term Loan Facility (as defined below). Interest is payable on the Notes on each February 15 and August 15, commencing August 15, 2011. BCFW may redeem some or all of the Notes at any time prior to February 15, 2015 at a price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the Notes redeemed plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, and an applicable make-whole premium. On or after February 15, 2015, BCFW may redeem some or all of the Notes at redemption prices set forth in the Indenture. In addition, at any time prior to February 15, 2014, BCFW may redeem up to 35% of the aggregate principal amount of the Notes, at a specified redemption price with the net cash proceeds of certain equity offerings.

The Indenture contains covenants that, among other things, restrict the ability of BCFW and the ability of BCFW and certain of its subsidiaries to: incur, assume or guarantee additional indebtedness; pay dividends or redeem or repurchase capital stock; make other restricted payments; incur liens; redeem debt that is junior in right of payment to the Notes; sell or otherwise dispose of assets, including capital stock of subsidiaries; enter into mergers or consolidations; and enter into transactions with affiliates. These covenants are subject to a number of exceptions and qualifications.

In connection with the issuance of the Notes, on February 24, 2011, BCFW entered into a registration rights agreement relating to the Notes, pursuant to which BCFW agreed to use its reasonable best efforts to file, and did initially file on July 15, 2011, a registration statement with the SEC (as amended, the Exchange Offer Registration Statement), enabling holders to exchange the Notes for registered notes with terms substantially identical in all material respects to the Notes, except the exchange notes would be freely tradable. BCFW and the guarantors under the Indenture also agreed to use reasonable best efforts to cause the Exchange Offer Registration Statement to become effective under the Securities Act no later than 365 days after the initial issuance of the Notes.

Tender Offer and Redemption

In connection with the offering of the Notes, the application of proceeds therefrom and the previously announced early settlement of the cash tender offers of BCFW and the Company for any and all of the 11.1% Senior Notes (Previous Senior Notes) and 14.5% Senior Discount Notes (Previous Senior Discount Notes and, together with the Previous Senior Notes, the Previous Notes), respectively, on February 24, 2011, BCFW entered into a Second Supplemental Indenture, dated February 24, 2011 between BCFW, the guarantors signatory thereto and Wilmington Trust FSB, relating to an Indenture (as amended, supplemented or otherwise modified, the BCF Indenture), dated April 13, 2006, between BCFW, the guarantors signatory thereto and Wilmington Trust FSB (as successor trustee to Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.), and the Company entered into a First Supplemental Indenture, dated February 24, 2011 (the First Supplemental Indenture), between the Company and Wilmington Trust FSB, relating to an Indenture, dated April 13, 2006 (as amended, supplemented or otherwise modified, the Holdings Indenture), between the Company and the Wilmington Trust FSB (as successor trustee to Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.), to eliminate substantially all of the restrictive covenants, certain affirmative covenants, certain events of default and substantially all of the restrictions on the ability of BCFW or the Company, as applicable, to merge, consolidate or sell all or substantially all of their properties or assets contained in each indenture and the related Previous Notes.

In addition, in connection with the early tender and settlement of 100% of the Previous Senior Discount Notes by the noteholders of the Previous Senior Discount Notes on February 24, 2011, the Company satisfied and discharged its obligations under the Holdings Indenture and with respect to the Previous Senior Discount Notes.

Further, on February 24, 2011, BCFW delivered a notice of redemption for the remaining principal amount not purchased in the early tender and settlement of the Previous Senior Notes, and irrevocably deposited with Wilmington Trust FSB an amount of funds sufficient to pay the redemption price of the Previous Senior Notes to satisfy and discharge its obligations under the BCF Indenture and with respect to the Previous Senior Notes. On April 15, 2011, BCFW redeemed the remaining principal amount outstanding of the Previous Senior Notes at a redemption price equal to 102.781% of the aggregate principal amount of the Previous Senior Notes to be redeemed, plus accrued and unpaid interest on the Previous Senior Notes to the redemption date.

\$1 Billion Senior Secured Term Loan Facility

In connection with the offering of the Notes, on February 24, 2011, BCFW refinanced its \$900 Million Senior Secured Term Loan Facility (the Previous Term Loan Facility) with the proceeds of a new \$1.0 Billion Senior Secured Term Loan Facility (New Term Loan or New Term Loan Facility).

Table of Contents

On February 24, 2011, BCFW and the Company and the U.S. and Puerto Rican subsidiaries of BCFW from time to time party thereto, as facility guarantors (collectively, the Term Loan Guarantors) entered into a new credit agreement (the New Term Loan Credit Agreement) with JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent (in such capacity, the Term Loan Administrative Agent) and as collateral agent, the lenders party thereto, J.P. Morgan Securities LLC and Goldman Sachs Lending Partners LLC, as joint bookrunners and J.P. Morgan Securities LLC, Goldman Sachs Lending Partners LLC, Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated and Wells Fargo Securities, LLC, as joint arrangers, governing the terms of the New Term Loan Facility.

Like the Previous Term Loan Facility, the New Term Loan Facility is secured by (a) a perfected first priority lien on BCFW's real estate, favorable leases, and machinery and equipment and (b) a perfected second priority lien on BCFW's inventory and receivables, in each case subject to various limitations and exceptions. The New Term Loan Facility requires BCFW to maintain a minimum consolidated interest coverage ratio and a maximum consolidated leverage ratio (each measured quarterly). The New Term Loan Facility also contains limitations on BCFW's ability to, among other things, incur indebtedness and liens, make investments, capital expenditures and restricted payments, sell assets and prepay certain indebtedness.

The New Term Loan Facility is to be repaid in quarterly payments of \$2.5 million from July 30, 2011 to January 28, 2017, with the balance of the New Term Loan Facility due upon maturity on February 23, 2017. Beginning with the fiscal year ending on January 28, 2012, at the end of each fiscal year, BCFW is required to make a payment based on its available free cash flow (as defined in the credit agreement governing the New Term Loan Facility). This payment offsets future mandatory quarterly payments.

The New Term Loan Facility contains financial, affirmative and negative covenants and requires that BCFW, among other things, maintain on the last day of each fiscal quarter a consolidated leverage ratio not to exceed a maximum amount and maintain a consolidated interest coverage ratio of at least a certain amount. Specifically, the consolidated leverage ratio is our total debt to Adjusted EBITDA, as each term is defined in the New Term Loan Credit Agreement, for the trailing twelve months most recently ended on or prior to such date, that may not exceed 6.75 to 1 through October 27, 2012; 6.25 to 1 through November 2, 2013; 5.5 to 1 through November 1, 2014; 5.00 to 1 through October 31, 2015; and 4.75 to 1 at January 30, 2016 and thereafter. The consolidated interest coverage ratio is our consolidated interest expense to Adjusted EBITDA, as each term is defined in the New Term Loan Credit Agreement, for the trailing twelve months most recently ended on or prior to such date, that must exceed 1.75 to 1 through October 27, 2012; 1.85 to 1 through November 2, 2013; 2.00 to 1 through October 31, 2015; and 2.10 to 1 at January 30, 2016 and thereafter. Adjusted EBITDA is a non-GAAP financial measure of our liquidity. Adjusted EBITDA, as defined in the credit agreement governing our Term Loan, starts with consolidated net loss for the period and adds back (i) depreciation, amortization, impairments and other non-cash charges that were deducted in arriving at consolidated net loss, (ii) the (benefit) provision for taxes, (iii) interest expense, (iv) advisory fees, and (v) unusual, non-recurring or extraordinary expenses, losses or charges as reasonably approved by the administrative agent for such period.

The interest rates for the New Term Loan Facility are based on: (i) for LIBO rate loans for any interest period, at a rate per annum equal to (a) the greater of (x) the LIBO rate as determined by the Term Loan Administrative Agent, for such interest period multiplied by the Statutory Reserve Rate (as defined in the New Term Loan Credit Agreement) and (y) 1.50% (the Term Loan Adjusted LIBO Rate), plus an applicable margin; and (ii) for prime rate loans, a rate per annum equal to the highest of (a) the variable annual rate of interest then announced by JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. at its head office as its prime rate, (b) the federal funds rate in effect on such date plus 0.50% per annum, and (c) the Term Loan Adjusted LIBO Rate for the applicable class of term loans for one-month plus 1.00%, plus, in each case, an applicable margin. The interest rate on the New Term Loan Facility was 6.3% as of July 30, 2011.

In addition, the New Term Loan Facility provides for an uncommitted incremental term loan facility of up to \$150.0 million that is available subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions. The New Term Loan Facility has a six year maturity, except that term loans made in connection with the incremental term loan facility or extended in connection with the extension mechanics of the New Term Loan Facility have the maturity dates set forth in the amendments applicable to such term loans.

BCFW used the net proceeds from the offering of the Notes, together with borrowings under the New Term Loan Facility and the ABL Line of Credit, to (i) repurchase any and all of the outstanding Previous Senior Notes and Previous Senior Discount Notes, pursuant to cash tender offers commenced by BCFW and the Company on February 9, 2011, and to redeem any Previous Notes that remained outstanding after the completion of the cash tender offers, and pay related fees and expenses, including tender or redemption premiums and accrued interest on the Previous Notes, (ii) to repay the indebtedness under Previous Term Loan Facility and (iii) to pay a special cash dividend of approximately \$300.0 million in the aggregate to the equity holders of the Parent on a pro rata basis, and to pay related fees and expenses.

In accordance with ASC Topic No. 470-50, *Debt Modifications and Extinguishments* (Topic No. 470), the transactions noted above were determined to be an extinguishment of the existing debt and an issuance of new debt. As a result, the Company recorded a loss on the extinguishment of debt in the amount of \$37.8 million in the line item *Loss on Extinguishment of Debt* in

Table of Contents

its Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss. Of the \$37.8 million loss on the extinguishment of debt, \$21.4 million represented early call premiums that the Company paid to the holders of its Previous Senior Notes and Previous Senior Discount Notes as a result of repurchasing both notes prior to their maturity. The remaining \$16.4 million represented the write off of deferred financing fees related to the extinguished debt facilities.

In conjunction with the issuance of the new debt facilities, the Company paid \$25.3 million of legal, consulting, audit related and placement fees. These costs were all deferred and recorded in the line item *Other Assets* in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets and will be amortized through the line item *Interest Expense* in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss over the respective lives of the new debt facilities using the interest method.

ABL Line of Credit

The ABL Line of Credit carries an interest rate of LIBOR plus a spread which is determined by the Company's annual average borrowings outstanding. Commitment fees of 0.75% to 1.0%, based on the Company's usage of the line of credit, were charged on the unused portion of the facility and were included in the line item *Interest Expense* on the Company's Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss.

In connection with the offering of the Notes and the refinancing of the Term Loan Facility, on February 24, 2011, BCFW entered into a first amendment (the First Amendment) to the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated January 15, 2010 (as amended, supplemented and otherwise modified, the Amended ABL Credit Agreement), among BCFW, as lead borrower, the borrowers party thereto, the facility guarantors party thereto, Bank of America, N.A. as administrative agent and collateral agent, the lenders party thereto, Wells Fargo Retail Finance, LLC and Regions Bank as co-syndication agents, J.P. Morgan Securities Inc. and UBS Securities LLC as co-documentation agents and General Electric Capital Corporation, US Bank, National Association and SunTrust Bank as senior managing agents, governing the ABL Line of Credit to permit BCFW to, among other things, (i) issue and guarantee the Notes, (ii) incur additional indebtedness in connection with the refinancing of the Previous Term Loan Facility by increasing the limitation on term loan indebtedness from \$900.0 million to \$1.0 billion, (iii) have additional flexibility to make investments, capital expenditures, and dividends and other distributions with respect to equity interests and (iv) make a cash dividend of approximately \$300.0 million in the aggregate to the equity holders of Parent, on a pro rata basis. Borrowings on the ABL Line of Credit related to the refinancing transactions were \$101.6 million.

At July 30, 2011, the Company had \$272.3 million available under the ABL Line of Credit and \$79.0 million of outstanding borrowings. The maximum borrowings under the facility during each of the three and six month periods ended July 30, 2011 amounted to \$87.9 million and \$184.9 million, respectively. Average borrowings during the three and six month periods ended July 30, 2011 amounted to \$30.9 million and \$51.5 million, respectively, at an average interest rate of 4.3% and 4.4%, respectively. At January 29, 2011, \$168.6 million was outstanding under this credit facility. At July 30, 2011 the Company's borrowing rate related to the ABL Line of Credit was 3.8%.

At July 31, 2010, the Company had \$335.2 million available under the ABL Line of Credit and no borrowings outstanding. There were no borrowings under the facility during the three months ended July 31, 2010. Maximum borrowings under the facility during the six month period ended July 31, 2010 amounted to \$121.2 million. Average borrowings during the six months ended July 31, 2010 amounted to \$9.7 million at an average interest rate of 2.9%.

Both the New Term Loan and the ABL Line of Credit are fully, jointly, severally, unconditionally, and irrevocably guaranteed by all of the Company's subsidiaries (with the exception of one immaterial non-guarantor subsidiary). The ABL Line of Credit is collateralized by a first lien on the Company's inventory and receivables and a second lien on the Company's real estate and property and equipment. The New Term Loan is collateralized by a first lien on the Company's real estate, favorable leases, and machinery and equipment and a second lien on the Company's inventory and receivables.

On September 2, 2011, the Company completed an amendment and restatement of the credit agreement governing the Company's \$600 million ABL Line of Credit, which, among other things, extended the maturity date to September 2, 2016. Refer to Note 13 to the Company's Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements entitled *Subsequent Events* for further details.

As of July 30, 2011, the Company was in compliance with all of its debt covenants. The agreements regarding the ABL Line of Credit and the New Term Loan Facility, as well as the indenture governing the Notes, contain covenants that, among other things, limit the Company's ability, and the ability of the Company's restricted subsidiaries, to pay dividends on, redeem or repurchase capital stock; make investments; incur additional indebtedness or issue preferred stock; create liens; permit dividends or other restricted payments by the Company's subsidiaries; sell all or substantially all of the Company's assets or consolidate or merge with or into other companies; and engage in transactions with affiliates.

Edgar Filing: BURLINGTON COAT FACTORY WAREHOUSE CORP - Form 10-Q

The Company had \$33.1 million, \$29.2 million and \$35.1 million in deferred financing fees, net of accumulated amortization, as of July 30, 2011, January 29, 2011 and July 31, 2010, respectively, related to its debt instruments recorded in the line item "Other Assets" on the Company's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. Amortization of deferred financing fees amounted to \$2.4 million and \$3.1 million for the three month periods ended July 30, 2011 and July 31, 2010, respectively, and is included in the line

Table of Contents

item Interest Expense in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss. Amortization of deferred financing fees amounted to \$5.0 million and \$6.1 million for the six months ended July 30, 2011 and July 31, 2010, respectively. During the six months ended July 30, 2011, the Company recorded \$25.3 million of new deferred financing costs related to the New Term Loan Facility and the Notes and wrote off \$16.4 million of previously capitalized deferred debt costs related to the Previous Term Loan, the Previous Senior Notes and Previous Senior Discount Notes, which were all settled in connection with the refinancing transactions described above.

4. Restructuring and Separation

The Company accounts for restructuring and separation costs in accordance with ASC Topic No. 420, *Exit or Disposal Cost Obligations* (Topic No. 420). In an effort to improve workflow efficiencies and realign certain responsibilities, the Company effected a reorganization of certain positions within its stores and corporate locations. Changes in the Company's workforce during the three and six months ended July 30, 2011 resulted in a severance charge during both periods of \$5.2 million, which was recorded in the line item Restructuring and Separation Costs in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations and Comprehensive Income. The Company expects to complete this restructuring during the third quarter of Fiscal 2011.

In comparison, severance charges for the three and six months ended July 31, 2010 were \$1.2 million and \$2.2 million, respectively. Severance charges for the three and six months ended July 31, 2010 were the result of the Company's implementation of several initiatives, including some that resulted in the elimination of certain positions and the restructuring of certain other jobs and functions.

The table below summarizes the charges incurred related to the Company's restructuring and separation costs, which are included in the line items Other Current Liabilities and Other Liabilities in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as of July 30, 2011 and July 31, 2010:

		<i>(in thousands)</i>				
		January 29, 2011	Charges	Cash Payments	Other	July 30, 2011
Severance	Restructuring (a)	\$ 6	\$ 3,360	\$ (1,729)	\$	\$ 1,637
Severance	Separation Cost (b)	1,231	1,830	(1,745)		1,316
Total		\$ 1,237	\$ 5,190	\$ (3,474)	\$	\$ 2,953

		<i>(in thousands)</i>				
		January 30, 2010	Charges	Cash Payments	Other	July 31, 2010
Severance	Restructuring (a)	\$ 1,560	\$	\$ (1,042)	\$ (110)	\$ 408
Severance	Separation Cost (b)	912	2,152	(788)		2,276
Total		\$ 2,472	\$ 2,152	\$ (1,830)	\$ (110)	\$ 2,684

- (a) The balances as of January 30, 2010, July 31, 2010, January 29, 2011 and July 30, 2011 are recorded in the line item Other Current Liabilities in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.
- (b) The balances as of July 31, 2010, January 29, 2011 and July 30, 2011 are recorded in the line item Other Current Liabilities in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. Approximately \$0.7 million and \$0.2 million of the balance as of January 30, 2010 are recorded in the line items Other Current Liabilities and Other Liabilities, respectively, in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Table of Contents**5. Fair Value Measurements**

The Company accounts for fair value measurements in accordance with ASC Topic No. 820, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures*, (Topic No. 820) which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measurement and expands disclosure about fair value measurements. Topic No. 820 defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date (exit price), and classifies the inputs used to measure fair value into the following hierarchy:

- Level 1: Quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in active markets.
- Level 2: Quoted market prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active; and model-derived valuations whose inputs are observable or whose significant value drivers are observable.
- Level 3: Pricing inputs that are unobservable for the assets and liabilities and include situations where there is little, if any, market activity for the assets and liabilities.

The inputs into the determination of fair value require significant management judgment or estimation.

Financial Assets

The Company's financial assets as of July 30, 2011 included cash equivalents, interest rate cap agreements and a note receivable. The Company's financial liabilities are discussed below. The carrying value of cash equivalents approximates fair value due to its short-term nature. The fair values of the interest rate cap agreements are determined using quotes that are based on models whose inputs are observable LIBOR forward interest rate curves. To comply with the provisions of Topic No. 820, the Company incorporates credit valuation adjustments to appropriately reflect both the Company's non-performance risk and the respective counterparty's non-performance risk in the fair value measurements. In adjusting the fair value of the Company's interest rate cap agreements for the effect of non-performance risk, the Company has considered the impact of netting and any applicable credit enhancements, such as collateral postings, thresholds, mutual puts, and guarantees. As a result, the Company has determined that the inputs used to value this investment fall within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

The fair value of the note receivable is based on a discounted cash flow analysis whose inputs are unobservable, and therefore it falls within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

Although the Company has determined that the majority of the inputs used to value its interest rate cap agreements fall within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy, the credit valuation adjustments associated with the Company's interest rate cap agreements utilize Level 3 inputs, such as estimates of current credit spreads to evaluate the likelihood of default. As of July 30, 2011, the Company recorded credit valuation adjustments of less than \$0.1 million to the overall valuation of the Company's interest rate cap agreements. The credit valuation adjustment is not considered significant to the valuation of each of the individual interest rate cap agreements and as a result, the Company has determined that its interest rate cap agreement valuations in their entirety are classified as Level 2 within the fair value hierarchy.

The fair values of the Company's financial assets and the hierarchy of the level of inputs are summarized below:

	<i>(in thousands)</i>		
	Fair Value Measurements at		
	July 30, 2011	January 29, 2011	July 31, 2010
Assets:			
Level 1			
Cash equivalents (including restricted cash)	\$ 37,359	\$ 30,331	\$ 91,020
Level 2			
Interest rate cap agreements (a)	\$ 1,417	\$ 3,279	\$ 2,482
Level 3			
Note Receivable (b)	\$ 1,099	\$ 1,090	\$ 1,407

- (a) Included in Other Assets within the Company's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets (refer to Note 6 of the Company's Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, entitled Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, for further discussion regarding the Company's interest rate cap agreements).

Table of Contents

- (b) Included in Prepaid and Other Current Assets and Other Assets on the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets. The change in fair value of the Company's Level 3 note receivable from January 29, 2011 to July 30, 2011 is related to unrealized gains. The change in fair value of the Company's Level 3 note receivable from July 31, 2010 to January 29, 2011 is related to the Company receiving a partial payment in the amount of \$0.5 million, which was partially offset by unrealized gains in the amount of \$0.2 million.

Financial Liabilities

The fair value of the Company's debt as of July 30, 2011, January 29, 2011 and July 31, 2010 is noted in the table below:

	July 30, 2011		<i>(in thousands)</i> January 29, 2011		July 31, 2010	
	Carrying Amount (c)	Fair Value (c)	Carrying Amount (c)	Fair Value (c)	Carrying Amount (c)	Fair Value (c)
\$1,000,000 Senior Secured Term Loan Facility, 6.25% due in quarterly payments of 2,500 from July 30, 2011 to January 28, 2017, matures on February 23, 2017.	\$ 978,265	\$ 978,265	\$	\$	\$	\$
\$450,000 Senior Notes, 10% due at maturity on February 15, 2019, semi-annual interest payments on August 15 and February 15, from August 15, 2011 to February 15, 2019.	450,000	452,250				
\$900,000 Senior Secured Term Loan Facility, LIBOR plus 2.3%.			777,550	773,986	852,550	803,528
\$600,000 ABL Senior Secured Revolving Facility, LIBOR plus spread based on average outstanding balance, expires February 4, 2014. (a)	79,000	79,000	168,600	168,600		
Senior Notes, 11.1%.			301,997	313,322	301,620	312,177
Senior Discount Notes, 14.5%.			99,309	104,274	99,309	105,268
Other debt (b)	138	138	282	282	1,635	1,635
Total debt	\$ 1,507,403	\$ 1,509,653	\$ 1,347,738	\$ 1,360,464	\$ 1,255,114	\$ 1,222,608

- (a) The carrying value of the ABL Line of Credit approximates its fair value due to its short term nature (borrowings are typically done in increments of 30 days or less) and its variable interest rate. On September 2, 2011, the Company completed an amendment and restatement of the credit agreement governing the Company's \$600 million ABL Line of Credit, which, among other things, extended the maturity date to September 2, 2016. Refer to Note 13 to the Company's Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements entitled Subsequent Events for further details.
- (b) Other debt includes the industrial revenue bonds and both promissory notes, as further described in Note 3 of the Company's Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements entitled Long Term Debt.
- (c) Capital lease obligations are excluded from the table above.

As of July 30, 2011, the fair value of the Company's debt, exclusive of capital leases, was \$1,509.7 million compared to the carrying value of \$1,507.4 million. The fair values presented herein are based on estimates using quoted market prices for the same or similar issues and other pertinent information available to management as of the respective period end dates. Although management is not aware of any factors that could significantly affect the estimated fair value amounts, such amounts have not been comprehensively revalued for purposes of these Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements since July 30, 2011, and current estimates of fair value may differ from amounts presented herein.

Table of Contents**6. Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities**

The Company accounts for derivatives and hedging activities in accordance with ASC Topic No. 815 *Derivatives and Hedging* (Topic No. 815). Topic No. 815 provides disclosure requirements to provide users of financial statements with an enhanced understanding of: (i) How and why an entity uses derivative instruments; (ii) How derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under Topic No. 815 and its related interpretations; and (iii) How derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity's financial position, financial performance and cash flows.

The Company is exposed to certain risks relating to its ongoing business operations, including market risks relating to fluctuations in interest rates. The Company's senior secured credit facilities contain floating rate obligations and are subject to interest rate fluctuations. The Company uses interest rate cap agreements, which are designated as economic hedges, to manage interest rate risk associated with the Company's variable-rate borrowings and to minimize the negative impact of interest rate fluctuations on its earnings and cash flows, thus reducing the Company's exposure to variability in expected future cash flows attributable to the changes in LIBOR rates.

Topic No. 815 requires recognition of all derivative instruments as either assets or liabilities at fair value in the statement of financial position. The Company does not monitor its interest rate cap agreements for hedge effectiveness and therefore does not designate its interest rate cap agreements as cash flow hedges of certain future interest payments on variable-rate debt. Instead, the interest rate cap agreements are adjusted to market on a quarterly basis. As a result, gains or losses associated with the interest rate cap agreements are recorded in the line item *Interest Expense* on the Company's Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss and in the line item *Interest Rate Cap Contract Adjustment to Market* on the Company's Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

As of July 30, 2011, the Company was party to two outstanding interest rate cap agreements to manage the interest rate risk associated with future interest payments on variable-rate debt. As of January 29, 2011 and July 31, 2010, the Company was party to four outstanding interest rate cap agreements to manage the interest rate risk associated with future interest payments on variable-rate debt.

	<i>(in thousands)</i>					
	Fair Values of Derivative Instruments					
	July 30, 2011		Asset Derivatives January 29, 2011		July 31, 2010	
	Sheet	Fair	Balance	Fair	Balance	Fair
Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments Under Topic No. 815	Location	Value	Location	Value	Location	Value
Interest Rate Cap Agreements	Other Assets	\$ 1,417	Other Assets	\$ 3,279	Other Assets	\$ 2,482

	Fair Values of Derivative Instruments					
	July 30, 2011		Liability Derivatives January 29, 2011		July 31, 2010	
	Sheet	Fair	Balance	Fair	Balance	Fair
Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments Under Topic No. 815	Location	Value	Location	Value	Location	Value
Interest Rate Cap Agreements	Other Liabilities	\$	Other Liabilities	\$	Other Liabilities	\$

	Loss on Derivative Instruments				
	Location of Loss Recognized in Income on Derivatives	Amount of Loss Recognized in Income on Derivatives			
		Six Months Ended		Three Months Ended	
		July 30, 2011	July 31, 2010	July 30, 2011	July 31, 2010
Interest Rate Cap Agreements	Interest Expense	\$ 1,862	\$ 6,297	\$ 610	\$ 1,705

Table of Contents

On May 31, 2011, the Company's \$600 million and \$300 million interest rate cap agreements, both with a cap rate of 7%, terminated and two new interest rate cap agreements became effective. Each agreement has a notional principal amount of \$450 million with a cap rate of 7.0% and terminates on May 31, 2015. The Company has determined that it will not monitor them for hedge effectiveness. The Company will adjust these interest rate cap agreements to fair value on a quarterly basis and as a result, gains or losses associated with these agreements will be included in the line item "Interest Expense" on the Company's Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss and in the line item "Interest Rate Cap Contract Adjustment to Market" on the Company's Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

7. Income Taxes

As of July 30, 2011, the Company had a current deferred tax asset of \$22.3 million and a non-current deferred tax liability of \$275.9 million. As of January 29, 2011, the Company had a current deferred tax asset of \$24.8 million and a non-current deferred tax liability of \$279.3 million. As of July 31, 2010, the Company had a current deferred tax asset of \$31.2 million and a non-current deferred tax liability of \$270.1 million. Current deferred tax assets consisted primarily of certain operating costs and inventory related costs not currently deductible for tax purpose. Non-current deferred tax liabilities primarily related to rent expense, intangible costs, and depreciation expense where the Company has a future obligation for tax purpose.

In accordance with ASC Topic No. 270, *Interim Reporting* (Topic No. 270) and ASC Topic No. 740, *Income Taxes* (Topic No. 740), at the end of each interim period the Company is required to determine the best estimate of its annual effective tax rate and then apply that rate in providing for income taxes on a current year-to-date (interim period) basis. As of July 30, 2011, the Company's best estimate of its annual effective income tax rate was 35.6%, excluding discrete items.

As of July 30, 2011, January 29, 2011 and July 31, 2010, valuation allowances amounted to \$5.8 million, \$5.8 million and \$7.0 million, respectively, and related primarily to state tax net operating losses. The Company believes that it is more likely than not that a portion of the benefit of the state tax net operating losses will not be realized. The state net operating losses have been generated in a number of taxing jurisdictions and are subject to various expiration periods ranging from five to twenty years beginning with Fiscal 2011. Any future tax benefit recognized by the use of a state tax net operating loss where a valuation allowance has been established, will be recorded to the Company's Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive (Loss) Income according to the provisions of ASC Topic No. 805, *Business Combinations* (Topic No. 805). Within the next twelve months, the Company does not expect material changes in its liability for uncertain tax positions. During the second quarter of fiscal 2011, the Company closed federal income tax audits covering all fiscal periods through January 30, 2010.

8. Stock Option and Award Plans and Stock-Based Compensation

On April 13, 2006, Parent's Board of Directors adopted the 2006 Management Incentive Plan (the Plan). The Plan provides for the granting of service-based and performance-based stock options, restricted stock and other forms of awards to key employees and directors of the Company or its affiliates. Grants made pursuant to the Plan are comprised of units of Parent's common stock. Each unit consists of nine shares of Parent's Class A common stock and one share of Parent's Class L common stock. The shares comprising a unit are in the same proportion as the shares of Class A and Class L common stock held by all stockholders of the Parent. Options granted pursuant to the Plan are exercisable only for whole units and cannot be separately exercised for the individual classes of Parent's common stock. As of July 30, 2011, there were 730,478 units reserved under the Plan consisting of 6,574,302 shares of Parent's Class A common stock and 730,478 shares of Parent's Class L common stock.

Table of Contents

Non-cash stock compensation expense for the three and six months ended July 30, 2011 amounted to \$0.2 million and \$0.9 million, respectively. In comparison, non-cash stock compensation expense for the three and six months end July 31, 2010 amounted to \$0.6 million and \$0.8 million, respectively. The table below summarizes the types of stock compensation:

Type of Non-Cash Stock Compensation	<i>(in thousands)</i>			
	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	July 30, 2011	July 31, 2010	July 30, 2011	July 31, 2010
Stock Option Compensation (a)	\$ 82	\$ 422	\$ 545	\$ 425
Restricted Stock Compensation (a)	113	182	355	412
Total	\$ 195	\$ 604	\$ 900	\$ 837

(a) Included in the line item *Selling and Administrative Expense* in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss.
Stock Options

Options granted during the six month period ended July 30, 2011 were all service-based awards and were granted at exercise prices of \$50 per unit and \$120 per unit. Options granted during the six month period ended July 31, 2010 were all service-based awards and were granted at exercise prices of \$90 per unit and \$180 per unit.

In April 2011, the Parent's Board of Directors, in order to reflect the dividends paid in connection with the debt refinancing, approved a reduction of the exercise prices of each then outstanding option from \$90 per unit and \$180 per unit, respectively, to \$30.60 and \$120.60 per unit, respectively, without affecting the existing vesting schedules thereof. Upon application of modification accounting, which contemplates fair value of awards both before and after the debt refinancing and related dividends, the stock compensation cost did not change as a result of this modification.

All of the service-based awards granted during the six month period ended July 30, 2011 and July 31, 2010 vest 40% on the second anniversary of the award with the remaining amount vesting ratably over the subsequent three years. The final exercise date for any option granted is the tenth anniversary of the grant date.

All options awarded pursuant to the Plan become exercisable upon a change of control. Unless determined otherwise by the plan administrator and except as otherwise set forth in the option holders' stock agreement, upon cessation of employment, (1) options that have not vested will terminate immediately; (2) units previously issued upon the exercise of vested options will be callable at the Company's option; and (3) unexercised vested options will be exercisable for a period of 60 days.

As of July 30, 2011, the Company had 499,830 options outstanding to purchase units, all of which are service-based awards. The Company accounts for awards issued under the Plan in accordance with ASC Topic No. 718, *Stock Compensation*. For the three and six months ended July 30, 2011, the Company recognized non-cash stock option compensation expense of \$0.1 million (less than \$0.1 million after tax) and \$0.5 million (\$0.2 million after tax), respectively, net of a \$0.5 million and \$0.7 million forfeiture adjustment. These forfeiture adjustments were the result of actual forfeitures being higher than initially estimated. For the three and six months ended July 31, 2010, the Company recognized non-cash stock option compensation expense of \$0.4 million (\$0.3 million after tax) and \$0.4 million (\$0.3 million after tax), respectively, net of a respective \$0.2 million and \$0.9 million forfeiture adjustment that was recorded as a result of actual forfeitures being higher than initially estimated.

As of July 30, 2011 there was approximately \$5.3 million of unearned non-cash stock-based option compensation that the Company expected to recognize as expense over the next 5.0 years. The service-based awards are expensed on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period of five years. As of July 30, 2011, 42.2% percent of outstanding options to purchase units had vested.

Stock option transactions during the six month period ended July 30, 2011 are summarized as follows:

	Number of Units	Weighted Average Exercise Price Per Unit
Options Outstanding January 29, 2011	489,499	\$ 123.62
Options Granted	58,500	71.37
Options Forfeited	(36,172)	(86.87)
Options Exercised	(11,997)	(43.11)
Options Outstanding July 30, 2011	499,830	\$ 67.07

Table of Contents

Non-vested stock option unit transactions during the six months ended July 30, 2011 are summarized below:

	Number of Units	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value Per Unit
Non-Vested Options Outstanding, January 29, 2011	324,100	\$ 38.28
Granted	58,500	13.73
Vested	(64,708)	(39.52)
Forfeited	(29,104)	(36.66)
Non-Vested Options Outstanding, July 30, 2011	288,788	\$ 33.61

The following table summarizes information about the exercise price and weighted average remaining contractual life of options to purchase units that were outstanding under the Plan as well as options that were exercisable under the Plan as of July 30, 2011:

Exercise Prices	Options Outstanding		Options Exercisable	
	Number Outstanding At July 30, 2011	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years)	Number Exercisable At July 30, 2011	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years)
\$30.60	294,550	7.3	137,421	5.9
\$50.00	33,004	9.7		
\$120.00	16,496	9.7		
\$120.60	141,780	6.5	59,621	4.9
\$270.00	14,000	1.8	14,000	1.8
	499,830		211,042	

The following table summarizes information about the exercise prices and weighted average remaining contractual life of vested options and options expected to vest during the contractual term:

Exercise Prices	Options	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years)	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Vested and Expected to Vest as of July 30, 2011			
\$30.60	238,643	7.2	\$ 30.60
\$50.00	26,400	9.9	\$ 50.00
\$120.00	13,200	9.9	\$ 120.00
\$120.60	116,420	6.4	\$ 120.60
\$270.00	14,000	1.8	\$ 270.00
	408,663		

Table of Contents

The fair value of each option granted is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions used for grants under the Plan during the six months ended July 30, 2011 and July 31, 2010:

	Six Months Ended			
	July 30, 2011		July 31, 2010	
Risk-Free Interest Rate	2.4	3.8%	2.3	3.4%
Expected Volatility	30.5%		43.2%	
Expected Life (years)	6.4	10.0	6.6	9.5
Contractual Life (years)	10		10	
Expected Dividend Yield	0.0%		0.0%	
Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value of Options Issued at an exercise price of:				
\$30.60	\$	20.57	\$	
\$50.00	\$	14.35		
\$120.00	\$	10.19		
\$120.60	\$	12.64	\$	
\$90.00			\$	41.10
\$180.00	\$		\$	28.33

The weighted average grant date fair value of options granted has varied from period to period due to changes in the Company's business enterprise value resulting from changes in the Company's business forecast, market conditions and the refinancing of the Company's debt and related dividend payments (as further described in Note 3 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements entitled "Long Term Debt").

Restricted Stock Awards

Under the Plan, the Company also has the ability to grant restricted stock awards. Restricted stock awards typically vest 50% on the second anniversary of the grant and 50% on the third anniversary of the grant. Following a change of control, as defined by the Plan, all unvested units shall accelerate and vest as of the date of such change of control.

During the three and six month periods ended July 30, 2011, the Company recorded \$0.1 million and \$0.4 million, respectively, of non-cash restricted stock compensation expense, inclusive of forfeitures of \$0.1 million and \$0.1 million, respectively. During the three and six months ended July 31, 2010, the Company recorded \$0.2 million and \$0.4 million, respectively, of non-cash restricted stock compensation expense, inclusive of forfeitures of \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million, respectively. As of July 30, 2011, there was approximately \$0.6 million of unearned non-cash stock-based compensation that the Company expects to recognize as expense over the next 0.9 years. Awards of restricted stock are expensed on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period of three years. At July 30, 2011, 58,871 of the outstanding awards of restricted stock were vested.

Restricted stock transactions for the six months ended July 30, 2011 are summarized below:

	Number of Awards
Restricted Stock Awards Outstanding January 29, 2011	98,367
Restricted Stock Awards Granted	
Restricted Stock Awards Forfeited	(5,351)
Restricted Stock Awards Outstanding July 30, 2011	93,016

Non-vested restricted stock transactions during the six months ended July 30, 2011 are summarized below:

	Number of Awards	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value Per Awards
Non-Vested Restricted Stock Awards Outstanding, January 29, 2011	71,140	\$ 47.27
Restricted Stock Awards Granted		
Restricted Stock Awards Vested	(31,644)	(45.93)
Restricted Stock Awards Forfeited	(5,351)	(45.80)
Non-Vested Restricted Stock Awards Outstanding, July 30, 2011	34,145	\$ 48.74

Table of Contents

9. Comprehensive Loss

The Company presents comprehensive loss on its Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss in accordance with ASC Topic No. 220 *Comprehensive Income*. For the three and six month periods ended July 30, 2011 and July 31, 2010, comprehensive loss consisted of net loss.

10. Other Current Liabilities

Other current liabilities primarily consist of sales tax payable, liabilities due to customers, accrued payroll costs, self-insurance reserves, accrued operating expenses, payroll taxes payable, current portion of deferred rent expense and other miscellaneous items. Liabilities due to customers totaled \$29.3 million, \$30.2 million and \$31.9 million as of July 30, 2011, January 29, 2011 and July 31, 2010, respectively.

The Company has risk participation agreements with insurance carriers with respect to workers' compensation, general liability insurance and health insurance. Pursuant to these arrangements, the Company is responsible for paying individual claims up to designated dollar limits. The amounts included in costs related to these claims are estimated and can vary based on changes in assumptions or claims experience included in the associated insurance programs. An increase in workers' compensation or health insurance claims by employees or general liability claims may result in a corresponding increase in costs related to these claims. Self-insurance reserves were \$50.3 million, \$49.6 million and \$45.4 million, as of July 30, 2011, January 29, 2011 and July 31, 2010, respectively. At July 30, 2011, January 29, 2011 and July 31, 2010, the portion of self-insurance reserve expected to be paid in the next twelve months of \$19.1 million, \$19.1 million and \$24.0 million, respectively, were recorded in the line item *Other Current Liabilities* in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. The remaining respective balances of \$31.2 million, \$30.5 million and \$21.4 million were recorded in the line item *Other Liabilities* in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

11. Segment Information

The Company reports segment information in accordance with ASC Topic No. 280 *Segment Reporting* (Topic No. 280). The Company has one reportable segment.

12. Commitments and Contingencies

Legal

The Company establishes reserves relating to legal claims, in connection with litigation to which the Company is party from time to time in the ordinary course of business. The aggregate amounts of such reserves were \$7.1 million, \$6.9 million and \$17.5 million as of July 30, 2011, January 29, 2011 and July 31, 2010, respectively. The Company believes that potential liabilities in excess of those recorded will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements. However, there can be no assurances to this effect.

A putative class action lawsuit, entitled *May Vang, and all others similarly situated, v. Burlington Coat Factory Warehouse Corporation*, Case No. 09-CV-08061-CAS, was filed in the Superior Court of the State of California on September 17, 2009 and was amended and refiled on November 16, 2009 in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California - Western Division. The named plaintiff purported to assert claims on behalf of all current, former, and future employees in the United States and the State of California for the relevant statutory time period. The amended complaint asserted claims for failure to pay all earned hourly wages in violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA), failure to pay all earned hourly wages in violation of the California Labor Code, providing compensatory time off in lieu of overtime pay, forfeiture of vacation pay, failure to provide meal and rest periods, secret payment of lower wages than that required by statute or contract, failure to provide accurate, written wage statements, and unfair competition. The complaint sought certification as a class with respect to the FLSA claims, certification of a class with respect to California law claims, appointment of class counsel and class representative, civil penalties, statutory penalties, declaratory relief, injunctive relief, actual damages, liquidated damages, restitution, pre-judgment interest, costs of suit and attorney's fees. On March 7, 2011, the United States District Court for the Central District of California - Western Division granted preliminary approval to a settlement agreement pursuant to which the Company will pay class members an immaterial amount in settlement of claims on a class basis. On June 27, 2011, the District Court granted final approval of the parties' settlement agreement. Payment pursuant to the settlement agreement was made on August 25, 2011. This settlement was included in the Company's \$7.1 million legal reserve discussed above.

Table of Contents

There have been no significant changes in the Company's commitments and contingencies from those disclosed in the Fiscal 2010 10-K, except as noted below:

Lease Agreements

The Company enters into lease agreements during the ordinary course of business in order to secure favorable store locations. As of July 30, 2011, the Company was committed to 15 new lease agreements (exclusive of 3 relocations) for locations at which stores are expected to be opened during the remainder of Fiscal 2011. Inclusive of these new leases, the Company's minimum lease payments for all operating leases are expected to be \$102.0 million, \$204.7 million, \$194.9 million, \$167.9 million, and \$624.6 million for the remainder of the fiscal year ended January 28, 2012, and the fiscal years ended February 2, 2013, February 1, 2014, January 31, 2015 and January 30, 2016 and subsequent years thereafter, respectively.

Letters of Credit

The Company had letter of credit arrangements with various banks in the aggregate amount of \$52.4 million and \$52.1 million as of July 30, 2011 and July 31, 2010, respectively. Based on the terms of the credit agreement related to the ABL Line of Credit, the Company had available letters of credit of \$272.3 million and \$335.2 million as of July 30, 2011 and July 31, 2010, respectively. Among these arrangements as of July 30, 2011 and July 31, 2010, the Company had letters of credit in the amount of \$32.1 million and \$29.4 million, respectively, guaranteeing performance under various insurance contracts and utility agreements. The Company also had an outstanding letter of credit of \$1.2 million at July 31, 2010 guaranteeing its Industrial Revenue Bonds. Finally, the Company had outstanding letters of credit agreements in the amount of \$20.3 million and \$21.5 million at July 30, 2011 and July 31, 2010, respectively, related to certain merchandising agreements.

The Company had irrevocable letters of credit in the amount of \$39.6 million as of January 29, 2011. Based on the terms of the credit agreement relating to the ABL Line of Credit, the Company had available letters of credit of \$180.0 million as of January 29, 2011. Letters of credit outstanding at January 29, 2011 amounted to \$30.4 million, guaranteeing performance under various lease agreements, insurance contracts and utility agreements. The Company also had letters of credit in the amount of \$9.2 million at January 29, 2011 related to certain merchandising agreements.

13. Subsequent Events

On September 2, 2011, the Company completed an amendment and restatement of the credit agreement governing the Company's \$600 million ABL Line of Credit, which, among other things, extended the maturity date to September 2, 2016. The aggregate amount of commitments under the amended and restated credit agreement is \$600 million and, subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions, the Company may increase the aggregate amount of commitments up to \$900 million. Interest rates under the amended and restated credit agreement are based on LIBO rates as determined by the administrative agent plus an applicable margin of 1.75% to 2.25% based on daily availability, or various prime rate loan options plus an applicable margin of 0.75% to 1.25% based on daily availability. The fee on the average daily balance of unused loan commitments is 0.375%.

The Company believes that the amended and restated credit agreement provides the liquidity and flexibility to meet its operating and capital requirements over the next five years. Further, the calculation of the borrowing base under the amended and restated credit agreement has been amended to allow for increased availability, particularly during the September 1st through December 15th period of each year. As a result of the amended and restated credit agreement, the Company will capitalize approximately \$4 to \$5 million in deferred debt charges that will be expensed over the life of the amended and restated credit agreement and has written off approximately \$4 million in deferred charges from the existing credit agreement.

The amended and restated credit agreement follows certain other refinancing transactions completed by the Company in February 2011 (as discussed in Note 3 to the Company's Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements entitled "Long Term Debt"), highlights of which are as follows:

The Company replaced its \$900 million Senior Secured Term Loan Facility with a \$1.0 billion senior secured term loan facility which matures on February 15, 2017. This facility has 1% annual amortization which is paid quarterly.

Edgar Filing: BURLINGTON COAT FACTORY WAREHOUSE CORP - Form 10-Q

The Company's 11.1% Senior Notes and Burlington Coat Factory Investments Holdings, Inc.'s 14.5% Senior Discount Notes were repurchased; and

BCFWC completed the sale of \$450 million aggregate principal amount of 10% Senior Notes due February 15, 2019.

14. Condensed Guarantor Data

The following condensed consolidating financial statements present the financial position, results of operations and cash flows of Holdings, BCFWC (exclusive of subsidiaries, referred to herein as "BCFW"), and the guarantor subsidiaries. The Company has one immaterial non-guarantor subsidiary that is not wholly-owned and is considered to be "minor" as that term is defined in Rule 3-10 of Regulation S-X promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Neither the Company nor any of its subsidiaries may declare or pay cash dividends or make other distributions of property to any affiliate unless such dividends are used for certain specified purposes including, among others, to pay general corporate and overhead expenses incurred by Holdings in the ordinary course of business, or the amount of any indemnification claims made by any director or officer of Holdings or the Company, or to pay taxes that are due and payable by Holdings or any of its direct or indirect subsidiaries.

Table of Contents**Burlington Coat Factory Investments Holdings, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheets**

(All amounts in thousands)

	Holdings	BCFW	As of July 30, 2011		Consolidated
			Guarantors	Eliminations	
ASSETS					
Current Assets:					
Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$	\$ 4,476	\$ 27,332	\$	\$ 31,808
Restricted Cash and Cash Equivalents		34,800	2,471		37,271
Accounts Receivable		18,757	9,261		28,018
Merchandise Inventories			665,204		665,204
Deferred Tax Asset		7,903	14,410		22,313
Prepaid and Other Current Assets		15,774	22,363		38,137
Prepaid Income Tax		42,782	2,914		45,696
Assets Held for Sale			1,113		1,113
Total Current Assets		124,492	745,068		869,560
Property and Equipment - Net of Accumulated Depreciation		78,781	779,380		858,161
Tradenames		238,000			238,000
Favorable Leases - Net of Accumulation Amortization			374,937		374,937
Goodwill		47,064			47,064
Investment in subsidiaries		1,646,628		(1,646,628)	
Other Assets		38,608	236,924	(179,983)	95,549
Total Assets	\$	\$ 2,173,573	\$ 2,136,309	\$ (1,826,611)	\$ 2,483,271
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDER S EQUITY					
Current Liabilities:					
Accounts Payable	\$	\$ 415,086	\$	\$	\$ 415,086
Other Current Liabilities		134,400	80,333		214,733
Current Maturities of Long Term Debt		2,500	772		3,272
Total Current Liabilities		551,986	81,105		633,091
Long Term Debt		1,504,765	23,646		1,528,411
Other Liabilities		193,080	197,450	(179,983)	210,547
Deferred Tax Liability		88,392	187,480		275,872
Investment in Subsidiaries	164,650			(164,650)	
Stockholder s (Deficit) Equity:					
Common Stock					
Capital in Excess of Par Value	468,412	468,412	864,202	(1,332,614)	468,412
(Accumulated Deficit) Retained Earnings	(633,062)	(633,062)	782,426	(149,364)	(633,062)
Total Stockholder s (Deficit) Equity	(164,650)	(164,650)	1,646,628	(1,481,978)	(164,650)
Total Liabilities and Stockholder s (Deficit) Equity	\$	\$ 2,173,573	\$ 2,136,309	\$ (1,826,611)	\$ 2,483,271

Table of Contents**Burlington Coat Factory Investments Holdings, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Consolidated Balance Sheets**

(All amounts in thousands)

	Holdings	BCFW	As of January 29, 2011		Consolidated
			Guarantors	Eliminations	
ASSETS					
Current Assets:					
Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$	\$ 7,168	\$ 23,046	\$	\$ 30,214
Restricted Cash and Cash Equivalents		27,800	2,464		30,264
Accounts Receivable		19,691	30,184		49,875
Merchandise Inventories			644,228		644,228
Deferred Tax Assets		10,144	14,691		24,835
Prepaid and Other Current Assets		12,617	23,492		36,109
Prepaid Income Taxes		13,934	2,513		16,447
Assets Held for Disposal			2,156		2,156
Total Current Assets		91,354	742,774		834,128
Property and Equipment Net of Accumulated Depreciation		68,181	789,408		857,589
Tradenames		238,000			238,000
Favorable Leases Net of Accumulated Amortization			389,986		389,986
Goodwill		47,064			47,064
Investment in Subsidiaries	187,512	1,382,706		(1,570,218)	
Other Assets		48,441	52,800	(10,000)	91,241
Total Assets	\$ 187,512	\$ 1,875,746	\$ 1,974,968	\$ (1,580,218)	\$ 2,458,008
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDER S EQUITY					
Current Liabilities:					
Accounts Payable	\$	\$ 190,460	\$	\$	\$ 190,460
Other Current Liabilities		132,107	80,837		212,944
Current Maturities of Long Term Debt			14,264		14,264
Total Current Liabilities		322,567	95,101		417,668
Long Term Debt		1,248,147	109,874		1,358,021
Other Liabilities		28,442	197,086	(10,000)	215,528
Deferred Tax Liability		89,078	190,201		279,279
Commitments and Contingencies					
Stockholder s (Deficit) Equity:					
Common Stock					
Capital in Excess of Par Value	466,754	466,754	663,151	(1,129,905)	466,754
(Accumulated Deficit) Retained Earnings	(279,242)	(279,242)	719,555	(440,313)	(279,242)
Total Stockholder s Equity (Deficit)	187,512	187,512	1,382,706	(1,570,218)	187,512
Total Liabilities and Stockholder s Equity (Deficit)	\$ 187,512	\$ 1,875,746	\$ 1,974,968	\$ (1,580,218)	\$ 2,458,008

Table of Contents**Burlington Coat Factory Investments Holdings, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheets**

(All amounts in thousands)

	Holdings	BCFW	As of July 31, 2010		Consolidated
			Guarantors	Eliminations	
ASSETS					
Current Assets:					
Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$	\$ 3,832	\$ 77,214	\$	\$ 81,046
Restricted Cash and Cash Equivalents		32,816	2,581		35,397
Accounts Receivable		19,110	13,532		32,642
Merchandise Inventories			661,224		661,224
Deferred Tax Asset		9,976	21,240		31,216
Prepaid and Other Current Assets		17,376	17,546		34,922
Prepaid Income Tax		36,781	505		37,286
Assets Held for Sale			521		521
Total Current Assets		119,891	794,363		914,254
Property and Equipment - Net of Accumulated Depreciation		57,456	793,028		850,484
Tradenames		238,000			238,000
Favorable Leases - Net of Accumulation Amortization			405,527		405,527
Goodwill		47,064			47,064
Investment in Subsidiaries	119,920	1,469,926		(1,589,846)	
Other Assets		55,393	44,956	(10,000)	90,349
Total Assets	\$ 119,920	\$ 1,987,730	\$ 2,037,874	\$ (1,599,846)	\$ 2,545,678
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDER S EQUITY					
Current Liabilities:					
Accounts Payable	\$	458,489	\$	\$	\$ 458,489
Other Current Liabilities		145,570	90,043		235,613
Current Maturities of Long Term Debt		2,050	15,457		17,507
Total Current Liabilities		606,109	105,500		711,609
Long Term Debt		1,152,124	110,288		1,262,412
Other Liabilities		21,673	170,000	(10,000)	181,673
Deferred Tax Liability		87,904	182,160		270,064
Stockholder s Equity (Deficit):					
Common Stock					
Capital in Excess of Par Value	465,326	465,326	882,602	(1,347,928)	465,326
(Accumulated Deficit) Retained Earnings	(345,406)	(345,406)	587,324	(241,918)	(345,406)
Total Stockholder s Equity (Deficit)	119,920	119,920	1,469,926	(1,589,846)	119,920
Total Liabilities and Stockholder s Equity (Deficit)	\$ 119,920	\$ 1,987,730	\$ 2,037,874	\$ (1,599,846)	\$ 2,545,678

Table of Contents**Burlington Coat Factory Investments Holdings, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations**

(All amounts in thousands)

	Holdings	For the Six Months Ended July 30, 2011			Consolidated
		BCFW	Guarantors	Eliminations	
REVENUES:					
Net Sales	\$	\$	\$ 1,722,431	\$	\$ 1,722,431
Other Revenue		179	14,164		14,343
Total Revenue		179	1,736,595		1,736,774
COSTS AND EXPENSES:					
Cost of Sales			1,084,356		1,084,356
Selling and Administrative Expenses		82,675	482,858		565,533
Restructuring and Separation Costs		3,920	1,270		5,190
Depreciation and Amortization		10,588	63,399		73,987
Impairment Charges Long-Lived Assets			34		34
Other Income, Net		(3,016)	(2,104)		(5,120)
Loss on Extinguishment of Debt		36,042	1,722		37,764
Interest Expense		61,059	2,105		63,164
Loss (Earnings) from Equity Investment	53,820	(62,871)		9,051	
Total Costs and Expenses	53,820	128,397	1,633,640	9,051	1,824,908
(Loss) Income Before (Benefit) Provision for Income Taxes	(53,820)	(128,218)	102,955	(9,051)	(88,134)
(Benefit) Provision for Income Taxes		(74,398)	40,084		(34,314)
Net (Loss) Income	\$ (53,820)	\$ (53,820)	\$ 62,871	\$ (9,051)	\$ (53,820)

Table of Contents**Burlington Coat Factory Investments Holdings, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations**

(All amounts in thousands)

	Holdings	For the Three Months Ended July 30, 2011			Consolidated
		BCFW	Guarantors	Eliminations	
REVENUES:					
Net Sales	\$	\$	\$ 793,349	\$	\$ 793,349
Other Revenue		95	7,000		7,095
Total Revenue		95	800,349		800,444
COSTS AND EXPENSES:					
Cost of Sales			507,053		507,053
Selling and Administrative Expenses		43,523	233,182		276,705
Restructuring and Separation Costs		3,920	1,270		5,190
Depreciation and Amortization		5,709	31,658		37,367
Impairment Charges Long-Lived Assets			25		25
Other Income, Net		(1,273)	(1,038)		(2,311)
Interest Expense		31,791	519		32,310
Loss (Earnings) from Equity Investment	32,763	(13,704)		(19,059)	
Total Costs and Expenses	32,763	69,966	772,669	(19,059)	856,339
(Loss) Income Before (Benefit) Provision for Income Taxes	(32,763)	(69,871)	27,680	19,059	(55,895)
(Benefit) Provision for Income Taxes		(37,108)	13,976		(23,132)
Net (Loss) Income	\$ (32,763)	\$ (32,763)	\$ 13,704	\$ 19,059	\$ (32,763)

Table of Contents**Burlington Coat Factory Investments Holdings, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations**

(All amounts in thousands)

	Holdings	For the Six Months Ended July 31, 2010			Consolidated
		BCFW	Guarantors	Eliminations	
REVENUES:					
Net Sales	\$	\$ 861	\$ 1,622,567	\$	\$ 1,623,428
Other Revenue		233	13,842		14,075
Total Revenue		1,094	1,636,409		1,637,503
COSTS AND EXPENSES:					
Cost of Sales		847	1,020,894		1,021,741
Selling and Administrative Expenses		86,270	464,038		550,308
Restructuring and Separation Costs		1,739	413		2,152
Depreciation and Amortization		8,113	64,122		72,235
Impairment Charges Long-Lived Assets			258		258
Other Income, Net		(4,068)	(2,376)		(6,444)
Interest Expense		44,901	8,521		53,422
(Earnings) Loss from Equity Investment	35,266	(50,567)		15,301	
Total Costs and Expenses	35,266	87,235	1,555,870	15,301	1,693,672
(Loss) Income Before Income Tax Expense (Benefit)	(35,266)	(86,141)	80,539	(15,301)	(56,169)
Income Taxes Expense (Benefit)		(50,875)	29,972		(20,903)
Net (Loss) Income	\$ (35,266)	\$ (35,266)	\$ 50,567	\$ (15,301)	\$ (35,266)

Table of Contents**Burlington Coat Factory Investments Holdings, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations**

(All amounts in thousands)

	Holdings	For the Three Months Ended July 31, 2010			Consolidated
		BCFW	Guarantors	Eliminations	
REVENUES:					
Net Sales	\$	\$ 500	\$ 728,250	\$	\$ 728,750
Other Revenue		121	6,674		6,795
Total Revenue		621	734,924		735,545
COSTS AND EXPENSES:					
Cost of Sales		500	468,888		469,388
Selling and Administrative Expenses		43,650	228,129		271,779
Restructuring and Separation Costs		872	318		1,190
Depreciation and Amortization		4,350	31,156		35,506
Impairment Charges Long-Lived Assets			73		73
Other Income, Net		(1,947)	(1,531)		(3,478)
Interest Expense		21,806	4,251		26,057
(Earnings) Loss from Equity Investment	40,479	(5,022)		(35,457)	
Total Costs and Expenses	40,479	64,209	731,284	(35,457)	800,515
(Loss) Income Before Income Tax Expense (Benefit)	(40,479)	(63,588)	3,640	35,457	(64,970)
Income Taxes Expense (Benefit)		(23,109)	(1,382)		(24,491)
Net (Loss) Income	\$ (40,479)	\$ (40,479)	\$ 5,022	\$ 35,457	\$ (40,479)

Table of Contents**Burlington Coat Factory Investments Holdings, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Condensed Consolidating Statements of Cash Flows**

(All amounts in thousands)

	Holdings	For the Six Months Ended July 30, 2011			Consolidated
		BCFW	Guarantors	Elimination	
OPERATING ACTIVITIES					
Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities	\$	\$ 193,442	\$ 47,411	\$	\$ 240,853
INVESTING ACTIVITIES					
Cash Paid For Property and Equipment		(25,904)	(42,265)		(68,169)
Proceeds Received from Sale of Fixed Assets			108		108
Lease Rights Acquired			(557)		(557)
Change in Restricted Cash and Cash Equivalents		(7,007)			(7,007)
Investing Activity-Other		33			33
Net Cash Used in Investing Activities		(32,878)	(42,714)		(75,592)
FINANCING ACTIVITIES					
Proceeds from Long Term Debt ABL Line of Credit		396,700			396,700
Proceeds from Long Term Debt Notes Payable		450,000			450,000
Proceeds from Long Term Debt Term Loan		990,000			990,000
Principal Payments on Long Term Debt ABL Line of Credit		(486,300)			(486,300)
Principal Payments on Long Term Debt Senior Discount Notes		(99,309)			(99,309)
Principal Payments on Long Term Debt Senior Notes		(302,056)			(302,056)
Principal Payments on Long Term Debt			(413)		(413)
Principal Payments on Long Term Debt Term Loan		(790,050)			(790,050)
Debt Issuance Cost		(25,080)			(25,080)
Stock Option Exercise and Related Tax Benefits		758			758
Payment of Dividends	(297,917)	(297,917)		297,917	(297,917)
Receipt of Dividends	297,917			(297,917)	
Net Cash Used In Financing Activities		(163,254)	(413)		(163,667)
Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents		(2,690)	4,284		1,594
Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Period		7,168	23,046		30,214
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period	\$	\$ 4,478	\$ 27,330	\$	\$ 31,808

Table of Contents**Burlington Coat Factory Investments Holdings, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Condensed Consolidating Statements of Cash Flows**

(All amounts in thousands)

	Holdings	For the Six Months Ended July 31, 2010			Consolidated
		BCFW	Guarantors	Elimination	
OPERATING ACTIVITIES					
Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities	\$	\$ 178,980	\$ 95,064	\$	\$ 274,044
INVESTING ACTIVITIES					
Cash Paid For Property and Equipment		(12,057)	(38,229)		(50,286)
Proceeds Received from Sale of Fixed Assets			156		156
Lease Acquisition Costs			(224)		(224)
Redemption of Investment in Money Market Fund			240		240
Change in Restricted Cash and Cash Equivalents		(32,815)	22		(32,793)
Investing Activity-Other		35	0		35
Net Cash Used in Investing Activities		(44,837)	(38,035)		(82,872)
FINANCING ACTIVITIES					
Proceeds from Long Term Debt ABL Line of Credit		(12,202)			(12,202)
Principal Payments on Long Term Debt			(389)		(389)
Principal Payments on Long Term Debt ABL Line of Credit		(121,200)			(121,200)
Debt Issuance Cost		(934)			(934)
Payment of Dividends	(151)	(151)		151	(151)
Receipt of Dividends	151			(151)	
Net Cash Used In Financing Activities		(134,487)	(389)		(134,876)
Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents		(344)	56,640		56,296
Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Period		4,176	20,574		24,750
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period	\$	\$ 3,832	\$ 77,214	\$	\$ 81,046

Table of Contents

BURLINGTON COAT FACTORY INVESTMENTS HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The Company's management intends for this discussion to provide the reader with information that will assist in understanding the Company's financial statements, the changes in certain key items in those financial statements from period to period, and the primary factors that accounted for those changes, as well as how certain accounting principles affect our financial statements. All discussions of operations in this report relate to Burlington Coat Factory Warehouse Corporation and its subsidiaries, which are reflected in the financial statements of Burlington Coat Factory Investments Holdings, Inc. and its subsidiaries (hereinafter we or our or Holdings). The following discussion contains forward-looking information and should be read in conjunction with the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and notes thereto included elsewhere in this report and in our Annual Report on Form 10-K related to the fiscal year ended January 29, 2011 (Fiscal 2010 10-K). Our actual results could differ materially from the results contemplated by these forward-looking statements due to various factors, including those discussed under the section of this Item 2 entitled "Safe Harbor Statement."

Fiscal Year

We define the 2011 fiscal year (Fiscal 2011) and the 2010 fiscal year (Fiscal 2010) as the 52 week periods ending January 28, 2012 and January 29, 2011, respectively.

Overview

Three Month Period Ended July 30, 2011 Compared with the Three Month Period ended July 31, 2010

Consolidated net sales increased \$64.5 million, or 8.9%, to \$793.3 million for the three months ended July 30, 2011 from \$728.8 million for the three months ended July 31, 2010. This increase was primarily attributable to a 4.0% increase in our comparative store sales as well as an increase in sales related to new stores and stores previously opened that are not included in our comparative store sales. We believe the comparative store sales increase was due primarily to our ongoing initiatives as discussed in further detail below (refer to the sections below entitled "Ongoing Initiatives for Fiscal 2011" and "Three Month Period Ended July 30, 2011 compared with the Three Month Period Ended July 31, 2010" for further explanation).

Cost of sales increased \$37.7 million, or 8.0%, during the three month period ended July 30, 2011 compared with the three month period ended July 31, 2010. The dollar increase in cost of sales was primarily related to 15 net new stores that were opened since July 31, 2010 as well as our 4.0%, or \$28.8 million, comparative store sales increase. Cost of sales as a percentage of net sales decreased to 63.9% during the three months ended July 30, 2011 compared with 64.4% during the three months ended July 31, 2010. The decrease in cost of sales as a percentage of net sales was a function of fewer markdowns taken and a lower shrink accrual rate during the three months ended July 30, 2011 compared with the three months ended July 31, 2010. Planned decreases in initial markup during the first quarter of Fiscal 2011, in order to be more aggressive in initial pricing, has reduced our need to take markdowns.

Total selling and administrative expenses increased \$4.9 million, or 1.8%, during the three months ended July 30, 2011 compared with the three months ended July 31, 2010, primarily related to new stores and stores that were not operating for the full three months ended July 31, 2010 which did operate for the full three months ended July 30, 2011. Selling and administrative expenses as a percentage of sales improved to 34.9% during the three months ended July 30, 2011 from 37.3% during the three months ended July 31, 2010. This improvement was primarily driven by certain non-recurring costs incurred during the three months ended July 31, 2010 (refer to the section below entitled "Three Month Period Ended July 30, 2011 compared with the Three Month Period Ended July 31, 2010" for further explanation) as well as decreases in occupancy costs related to comparable stores and the leverage of selling and administrative expenses due to the increase in net sales.

We recorded a net loss of \$32.8 million for the three month period ended July 30, 2011 compared with a net loss of \$40.5 million for the three month period ended July 31, 2010. The improvement in our net loss position was primarily driven by the improvement in gross margin (refer to the section below entitled "Three Month Period Ended July 30, 2011 compared with the Three Month Period Ended July 31, 2010" for further explanation) and the non-recurring expenses incurred during the three months ended July 31, 2010 that did not repeat during the three months ended July 30, 2011, as further described below under the caption "Three Month Period Ended July 30, 2011 compared with the Three Month Period Ended July 31, 2010."

Six Month Period Ended July 30, 2011 Compared with the Six Month Period ended July 31, 2010

Edgar Filing: BURLINGTON COAT FACTORY WAREHOUSE CORP - Form 10-Q

Consolidated net sales increased \$99.0 million, or 6.1%, to \$1,722.4 million for the six months ended July 30, 2011 from \$1,623.4 million for the six months ended July 31, 2010. This increase was primarily attributable to an increase in sales related to new stores, stores previously opened that are not included in our comparative store sales, and a 2.1% increase in our comparative store sales. We believe the comparative store sales increase was due primarily to our ongoing initiatives as discussed in further detail below (refer to the sections below entitled Ongoing Initiatives for Fiscal 2011 and Six Month Period Ended July 30, 2011 compared with the Six Month Period Ended July 31, 2010 for further explanation).

Table of Contents

Cost of sales increased \$62.6 million, or 6.1%, during the six month period ended July 30, 2011 compared with the six month period ended July 31, 2010. The dollar increase in cost of sales was primarily related to 15 net new stores that were opened since July 31, 2010 as well as our 2.1%, or \$33.3 million, comparative store sales increase. Cost of sales as a percentage of net sales during the six months ended July 30, 2011 increased slightly to 63.0% from 62.9% for the six months ended July 31, 2010. The slight deterioration in cost of sales as a percentage of net sales was due to planned decreases in initial markup almost entirely offset by fewer markdowns taken and a lower shrink accrual rate during the six months ended July 30, 2011 compared with the six months ended July 31, 2010.

Total selling and administrative expenses increased \$15.2 million, or 2.8%, during the six months ended July 30, 2011 compared with the six months ended July 31, 2010, primarily related to new stores. At July 30, 2011, we operated 462 stores compared with 447 stores at July 31, 2010. Selling and administrative expenses as a percentage of sales during the six months ended July 30, 2011 decreased to 32.8% compared with 33.9% during the six months ended July 31, 2010. This improvement was primarily driven by certain non-recurring costs incurred during the six months ended July 31, 2010 that did not repeat during the six months ended July 30, 2011 (refer to the section below entitled *Six Month Period Ended July 30, 2011 compared with the Six Month Period Ended July 31, 2010* for further explanation), as well as decreases in occupancy costs related to comparable stores and the leverage of selling and administrative expenses due to the increase in net sales.

We recorded a net loss of \$53.8 million for the six month period ended July 30, 2011 compared with a net loss of \$35.3 million for the six month period ended July 31, 2010. The change in our results during the six months ended July 30, 2011 compared with the six months ended July 31, 2010 was primarily attributable to a \$37.8 million loss on extinguishment of debt that occurred during the six months ended July 30, 2011 related to our debt refinancing transactions, discussed in detail below under the caption *Long Term Borrowings, Lines of Credit and Capital Lease Obligations*.

Debt Refinancing and Dividend

During the six months ended July 30, 2011, we completed the refinancing of our \$900 million Senior Secured Term Loan Facility (Previous Term Loan Facility), 11.1% Senior Notes (Previous Senior Notes), and 14.5% Senior Discount Notes (Previous Senior Discount Notes). As a result of these transactions, the Previous Senior Notes and Previous Senior Discount Notes, with carrying values at February 24, 2011 of \$302.0 million and \$99.3 million, respectively, were repurchased. In addition, BCFWC completed the sale of \$450 million aggregate principal amount of 10% Senior Notes due 2019 (the Notes) at an issue price of 100%. Additionally, the Previous Term Loan with a carrying value of \$777.6 million as of February 24, 2011 was replaced with a \$1.0 billion senior secured term loan facility (New Term Loan Facility). Borrowings on the ABL Line of Credit related to the transaction were \$101.6 million. In connection with the offering of the Notes and the refinancing of the Previous Term Loan Facility, a cash dividend of \$300.0 million in the aggregate was declared to the equity holders of Burlington Coat Factory Holdings, Inc. (Parent) on a pro rata basis. In addition, on September 2, 2011, we completed an amendment and restatement of the credit agreement governing our \$600 million ABL Line of Credit. Refer to the section below entitled *Liquidity and Capital Resources* for further details.

In connection with the issuance of the Notes, on February 24, 2011, BCFW entered into a registration rights agreement relating to the Notes, pursuant to which BCFW agreed to use its reasonable best efforts to file, and did initially file on July 15, 2011, a registration statement with the SEC (as amended, the *Exchange Offer Registration Statement*), enabling holders to exchange the Notes for registered notes with terms substantially identical in all material respects to the Notes, except the exchange notes would be freely tradable. BCFW and the guarantors under the Indenture also agreed to use reasonable best efforts to cause the Exchange Offer Registration Statement to become effective under the Securities Act no later than 365 days after the initial issuance of the Notes.

Current Conditions

Store Openings, Closings, and Relocations.

During the six months ended July 30, 2011, we opened five Burlington Coat Factory Warehouse Stores (BCF Stores) and closed three stores. Among the closed stores were an MJM store and a Super Baby Depot which were in the same shopping center as an existing BCF store. The existing BCF store was expanded and remodeled to absorb the MJM and Super Baby Depot businesses. As of July 30, 2011, we operated 462 stores under the names *Burlington Coat Factory Warehouse* (447 stores), *Cohoes Fashions* (two stores) and *MJM Designer Shoes* (13 stores).

We continue to pursue our growth plans and invest in capital projects that meet our financial requirements. We currently plan to open 15 new stores (exclusive of 3 relocations) during the remainder of Fiscal 2011.

Table of Contents

Ongoing Initiatives for Fiscal 2011

We continue to focus on a number of ongoing initiatives aimed at increasing our overall profitability by improving our comparative store sales trends, total sales growth and reducing expenses. These initiatives include, but are not limited to:

- I. Offering a Leading Selection of Branded Apparel at Every Day Low Prices (EDLP):** We offer a merchandise selection substantially broader than that of our off-price competitors and similar to the selection found at a department store. In contrast to merchandise at department and specialty stores, our merchandise is offered at EDLP, allowing customers to obtain the best value at our stores without waiting for sales or promotions. We focus on delivering exceptional values that fit within a good, better and best pricing strategy.

- II. Transition our Open to Buy Model and Improve Merchandising:** Our open to buy paradigm, in which we purchase both pre-season and in-season merchandise, improves our receipt-to-reduction ratio and enables more flexibility for buying wear-now products. From Fiscal 2006 to Fiscal 2009, the majority of our purchasing was pre-season with the balance in-season and opportunistic. With our new model, we have moved towards purchasing less pre-season, with the majority in-season and opportunistically. This enables us to determine and stock for trends with better consumer data as well as drive better terms with our suppliers. By maximizing our in-season buys, we believe that we are able to take advantage of known trends and emerging businesses. We are also able to better focus on our core female customer by enhancing our merchandise content as well as keeping inventory fresh.

- III. Refining Our Store Experience Through the Eyes of the Customer:** We have empowered our store teams to provide an outstanding customer experience for every customer in every store, every day. We will continue to streamline processes to create opportunities for fast and effective customer interactions. Our mission is to have stores that reflect clean, organized merchandise presentations that highlight the brands, value and diversity of our selection within our assortments. Through proper staffing flexibility we provide sales floor coverage during peak shopping hours to better serve the customer on the sales floor and at the check-out.

We plan to execute this initiative during Fiscal 2011 by:

- a) Continuing with our in-store customer satisfaction program that measures 13 different aspects of customer satisfaction. Examples include: friendliness of associates, interior cleanliness and selection of merchandise.

- b) Continuing the implementation of a store refresh program with respect to stores that we have identified as having certain needs such as new flooring, painting, fitting room improvements and various other improvements. We expect to continue an aggressive refresh program going forward.

- c) Continuing the implementation of upgraded lighting retrofits in our stores which will make them more energy efficient and easier for customers to navigate. We expect to continue the lighting retrofit program through Fiscal 2011.

- d) Implementing a plan to restructure our stores management hierarchy during Fiscal 2011 by adding additional territorial and regional oversight to provide more consistency in execution and other workflow efficiencies at the store level and to provide greater role clarity for our management team.

- IV. Deliver Consistent Merchandise Gross Margin:** We continue to focus on having stable merchandise gross margin as a percentage of net sales.

We plan to execute this initiative by:

Edgar Filing: BURLINGTON COAT FACTORY WAREHOUSE CORP - Form 10-Q

- a) Implementing new software applications which will provide for enhanced functionality and improved analytics including the allocation of goods and markdown optimization, as well as providing more efficient planning and forecasting tools. The foundation of these systems has been completed and the new planning tools are expected to be operational during the second half of Fiscal 2011. The enhanced functionality and improved analytics around allocation of goods and markdown optimization are planned to be fully implemented during Fiscal 2012.
- b) Continuing to manage our inventory receipt to reduction ratio. By matching receipt dollars to sales and markdown dollars we believe we will continue to maintain liquidity and will be able to take advantage of in season buying opportunities and to capitalize on those businesses that are trending well.
- c) Continuing to ensure adequate open to buy and buying more opportunistically in season. By staying liquid, we believe we will put ourselves in a position to be able to take advantage of opportunistic in-season buys that will maximize our sales.
- d) Continuing to improve the amount of current inventory as a percentage of our total inventory. By having more current inventory in our merchandise mix, we believe we will be afforded more pricing flexibility to provide additional value to our customers without reducing our overall merchandise margins.
- e) Reducing our shrink as a percentage of net sales. We have added additional resources to help improve existing controls and processes to reduce our shrink as a percentage of net sales without negatively impacting the store experience. We expect improved results to occur over time, becoming apparent in Fiscal 2012.

Table of Contents

V. The Continued Reduction of Our Cost Structure:

- a) **Reduce store payroll costs.** We are implementing an automated workforce scheduling system in our stores which is being rolled out in Fiscal 2011. We believe this new system will provide numerous efficiencies, without sacrificing our ability to serve our customers, including, but not limited to, better forecasting of volume and workload, and improved allocation of manpower to meet customer demand, and will support our store experience and service initiatives. The majority of these efficiencies are expected to be more fully recognized in Fiscal 2012.
- b) **Supply chain efficiencies.** We continue to work on several initiatives to improve supply chain efficiencies and service levels. We are planning to make incremental investments during Fiscal 2011 that we believe will allow our distribution centers to handle increased volume. We are also reconfiguring our buildings to better support our off price model to enable our merchants to take advantage of more closeout opportunities. Additionally, we continue to refine our performance management program designed to drive productivity improvements within the four walls of our distribution centers.

Uncertainties and Challenges

As management strives to increase profitability through achieving positive comparative store sales and leveraging productivity initiatives focused on improving the in-store experience, more efficient movement of products from the vendors to the selling floors, and modifying our marketing plans to increase our core customer base and increase our share of our current customers' spending, there are uncertainties and challenges that we face as an off-price retailer of apparel and accessories for men, women and children and home furnishings that could have a material impact on our revenues or income.

Consumer spending habits, including spending for the merchandise that we sell, are affected by, among other things, prevailing economic conditions, inflation, levels of employment, salaries and wage rates, prevailing interest rates, housing costs, energy costs, income tax rates and policies, consumer confidence and consumer perception of economic conditions. In addition, consumer purchasing patterns may be influenced by consumers' disposable income, credit availability and debt levels. A continued or incremental slowdown in the U.S. economy, an uncertain economic outlook or an expanded credit crisis could adversely affect consumer spending habits resulting in lower net sales and profits than expected on a quarterly or annual basis.

We closely monitor our net sales, gross margin, expenses and working capital. We have performed scenario planning such that if our net sales decline, we have identified variable costs that could be reduced to partially mitigate the impact of these declines. If adverse economic trends continue to deteriorate, or if our efforts to counteract the impacts of these trends are not sufficiently effective, there could be a negative impact on our financial performance and position in future fiscal periods. For further discussion of the risks to us regarding general economic conditions, please refer to the section below entitled "Liquidity and Capital Resources" and the risks discussed in the Fiscal 2010 10-K.

Key Performance Measures

We consider numerous factors in assessing our performance. Key performance measures used by management include comparative store sales, gross margin, inventory levels, receipt-to-reduction ratio, liquidity and store payroll as a percentage of net sales.

Table of Contents

Comparative Store Sales. Comparative store sales measure performance of a store during the current reporting period against the performance of the same store in the corresponding period of the previous year. The method of calculating comparative store sales varies across the retail industry. As a result, our definition of comparative store sales may differ from other retailers. We define comparative store sales as sales of those stores commencing on the first day of the fiscal month one year after the end of their grand opening activities, which normally conclude within the first two months of operations. For the three and six months ended July 30, 2011, we experienced increases in comparative store sales of 4.0% and 2.1%, respectively, compared with the three and six months ended July 31, 2010.

Various factors affect comparative store sales, including, but not limited to, weather conditions, current economic conditions, the timing of our releases of new merchandise and promotional events, the general retail sales environment, consumer preferences and buying trends, changes in sales mix among distribution channels, competition, and the success of marketing programs.

Gross Margin. Gross margin is a measure used by management to indicate whether we are selling merchandise at an appropriate gross profit. Gross margin is the difference between net sales and the cost of sales (exclusive of depreciation and amortization). Our cost of sales and gross margin may not be comparable to those of other entities, since some entities include all of the costs related to their buying and distribution functions in cost of sales. We include certain of these costs in the line items *Selling and Administrative Expenses* and *Depreciation and Amortization* in our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss. We include in our *Cost of Sales* line item all costs of merchandise (net of purchase discounts and certain vendor allowances), inbound freight, distribution center outbound freight and certain merchandise acquisition costs, primarily commissions and import fees. Gross margin as a percentage of net sales during the six months ended July 30, 2011 decreased slightly to 37.0% compared with 37.1% during the six months ended July 31, 2010 due to planned decreases in initial markup almost entirely offset by fewer markdowns taken and a lower shrink accrual rate during the period.

Inventory Levels. Inventory at July 30, 2011 was \$665.2 million compared to \$644.2 million at January 29, 2011. The increase of \$21.0 million was the result of the seasonality of our business, as inventory is typically at its lowest levels in January, after the holiday selling season. The increase in inventory resulted in an increase of average store inventory (inclusive of stores and warehouse inventory) at July 30, 2011 of approximately 2.8% to \$1.4 million per store compared with average store inventory at January 29, 2011.

Inventory at July 30, 2011 increased \$4.0 million from \$661.2 million at July 31, 2010 to \$665.2 million at July 30, 2011. This increase was a result of the opening of 15 net new stores since July 31, 2010 and an increase in the level of inventory purchased and held as a result of opportunistic buys as of July 30, 2011 compared with July 31, 2010. Average store inventory (inclusive of store and warehouse inventory) at July 30, 2011 decreased 2.7% to \$1.4 million per store compared with average store inventory of \$1.5 million at July 31, 2010. Average inventory per comparative store decreased 6.0%.

In order to better serve our customers, and maximize sales, we continue to refine our merchandising mix and inventory levels within our stores. By managing our inventories conservatively we believe we will be better able to deliver a continual flow of fresh merchandise to our customers. We continue to move toward more productive inventories by increasing the amount of current inventory as a percent of total inventory.

Receipt-to-Reduction Ratio. We are in the process of refining a more consistent merchandise flow based on a receipt-to-reduction ratio. We are attempting to match forecasted levels of receipts to forecasted inventory outflows (inclusive of sales, markdowns, and inventory shrinkage) on a monthly basis. We believe this will result in a more normalized receipt cadence to support sales and will ultimately lead to an improved inventory turnover ratio.

Inventory turnover is a measure that indicates how efficiently inventory is bought and sold. It measures the length of time that we own our inventory. This is significant because usually the longer the inventory is owned, the more likely markdowns may be required to sell the inventory. Inventory turnover is calculated by dividing retail sales before sales discounts by the average retail value of the inventory for the period being measured. Our annualized inventory turnover rate as of July 30, 2011 and July 31, 2010 was 2.8 turns per year for both periods.

Liquidity. Liquidity measures our ability to generate cash. Management measures liquidity through cash flow and working capital position. Cash flow is the measure of cash generated from operating, financing, and investing activities. We experienced a decrease in cash flow of \$54.7 million during the six month period ended July 30, 2011 compared with the cash flow generated during the six month period ended July 31, 2010. This decrease was primarily driven by a smaller increase in accounts payable from January 29, 2011 to July 30, 2011 compared with the accounts payable increase from January 30, 2010 to July 31, 2010 related to our working capital management strategy at the end of each fiscal year. Based on the working capital management strategy we accelerated certain payments at the end of each fiscal year that typically would not have been made until the first quarter of the next fiscal year, which lowered our accounts payable balances at the end of each fiscal year. As our accounts payable balances return to historical levels at the end of the first quarter of each fiscal year, this creates additional cash flow. The decrease during the six months ended July 30, 2011 compared with the six months ended July 31, 2010 was primarily driven by these accelerated payments during January of Fiscal 2010 compared with January of the Transition Period and the timing of payments. Also

Edgar Filing: BURLINGTON COAT FACTORY WAREHOUSE CORP - Form 10-Q

contributing to the decrease in cash flow during the six months ended July 30, 2011 compared with the six months ended July 31, 2010 was the impact of the debt refinancing and the subsequent payment of \$297.9 million of dividends, which resulted in a net increase in cash used in financing activities of \$28.8 million.

Table of Contents

These reductions in cash flow were partially offset by less cash used in investing activities as a result of a smaller increase in restricted cash during the six months ended July 30, 2011 compared with the six months ended July 31, 2010. Cash and cash equivalents increased \$1.6 million from January 29, 2011 to \$31.8 million at July 30, 2011 (discussed in more detail under the caption below entitled "Liquidity and Capital Resources").

Changes in working capital also impact our cash flows. Working capital equals current assets (exclusive of restricted cash and cash equivalents) minus current liabilities. Working capital at July 30, 2011 was \$199.2 million compared with \$386.2 million at January 29, 2011. The decrease in working capital from January 29, 2011 was primarily attributable to an increase in accounts payable related to our year end working capital management strategy.

Working capital at July 30, 2011 increased \$32.0 million from \$167.2 million at July 31, 2010 to \$199.2 million. The increase in working capital was primarily attributable to decreases in accounts payable, resulting from the timing of payments, other current liabilities and current maturities of long term debt, partially offset by a decrease in cash and cash equivalents in connection with our debt refinancing transactions completed in February 2011.

Store Payroll as a Percentage of Net Sales. Store payroll as a percentage of net sales measures our ability to manage our payroll in accordance with increases or decreases in net sales. The method of calculating store payroll varies across the retail industry. As a result, our store payroll as a percentage of net sales may differ from other retailers. We define store payroll as regular and overtime payroll for all store personnel as well as regional and territory personnel, exclusive of payroll charges to corporate and warehouse employees. Store payroll as a percentage of net sales was 11.1% and 10.6% during the three and six months ended July 30, 2011 compared with 12.0% and 10.9% during the three and six months ended July 31, 2010, respectively.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of these financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect (i) the reported amounts of assets and liabilities; (ii) the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements; and (iii) the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. On an on-going basis, management evaluates its estimates and judgments, including those related to inventories, long lived assets, intangible assets, goodwill impairment, insurance reserves and income taxes. Historical experience and various other factors, that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, form the basis for making estimates and judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

Our critical accounting policies and estimates are consistent with those disclosed in our Fiscal 2010 10-K.

Results of Operations

The following table sets forth certain items in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss as a percentage of net sales for the three and six month periods ended July 30, 2011 and July 31, 2010.

	Percentage of Net Sales			
	Six Months Ended		Three Months Ended	
	July 30, 2011	July 31, 2010	July 30, 2011	July 31, 2010
Net Sales	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Other Revenue	0.8	0.9	0.9	0.9
Total Revenue	100.8	100.9	100.9	100.9
Cost of Sales	63.0	62.9	63.9	64.4
Selling and Administrative Expenses	32.8	33.9	34.9	37.3
Restructuring and Separation Costs	0.3	0.1	0.7	0.2
Depreciation and Amortization	4.3	4.5	4.7	4.9
Impairment Charges Long-Lived Assets	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Other (Income) Expense, Net	(0.3)	(0.4)	(0.3)	(0.5)

Edgar Filing: BURLINGTON COAT FACTORY WAREHOUSE CORP - Form 10-Q

Loss on Extinguishment of Debt	2.2			
Interest Expense	3.7	3.3	4.1	3.5
Total Expense	106.0	104.3	108.0	109.8
Loss before Income Tax Benefit	(5.2)	(3.4)	(7.1)	(8.9)
Income Tax Benefit	(2.0)	(1.3)	(2.9)	(3.4)
Net Loss	(3.2)%	(2.1)%	(4.2)%	(5.5)%

Table of Contents**Three Month Period Ended July 30, 2011 compared with the Three Month Period Ended July 31, 2010*****Net Sales***

We experienced an increase in net sales for the three months ended July 30, 2011 compared with the three months ended July 31, 2010. Consolidated net sales increased \$64.5 million, or 8.9%, to \$793.3 million for the three months ended July 30, 2011 from \$728.8 million for the three months ended July 31, 2010. This increase was primarily attributable to a combination of the following:

an increase in comparative store sales of \$28.8 million, or 4.0%, to \$745.5 million,

an increase in net sales of \$26.9 million from stores previously opened that were not included in our comparative store sales, and

an increase in net sales of \$12.0 million related to five new stores open during the three months ended July 30, 2011 that were not open during the three months ended July 31, 2010; partially offset by

a decrease in net sales of \$3.2 million from stores closed since the comparable period last year and other sales adjustments.

We believe the comparative store sales increase was due primarily to our ongoing initiatives as discussed previously under the caption entitled Ongoing Initiatives for Fiscal 2011.

Other Revenue

Other revenue (consisting of rental income from leased departments, sublease rental income, layaway, alteration and other service charges, and miscellaneous revenue items) for the three month period ended July 30, 2011 was \$7.1 million compared with other revenue of \$6.8 million for the three month period ended July 31, 2010. The increase in other revenue during the three months ended July 30, 2011 compared with the three months ended July 31, 2010 was primarily related to a \$0.3 million increase in rental income from leased departments as a result of increased sales in our leased departments.

Cost of Sales

Cost of sales increased \$37.7 million, or 8.0%, during the three month period ended July 30, 2011 compared with the three month period ended July 31, 2010. The dollar increase in cost of sales was primarily related to the increase in net sales as described above. Cost of sales as a percentage of net sales improved to 63.9% during the three months ended July 30, 2011 compared with the three months ended July 31, 2010 of 64.4%. The improvement in cost of sales as a percentage of net sales during the three months ended July 30, 2011 compared with the three months ended July 31, 2010 was primarily a function of fewer markdowns taken and a lower shrink accrual rate during the period as a result of our planned decreases in initial markup in order to be at EDLP in more merchandise areas of our stores.

Selling and Administrative Expenses

Selling and administrative expenses increased \$4.9 million, or 1.8%, for the three month period ended July 30, 2011 compared with the three month period ended July 31, 2010. Selling and administrative expenses improved to 34.9% of net sales for the three month period ended July 30, 2011 compared with 37.3% of net sales for the three month period ended July 31, 2010 due to the leverage of selling and administrative expenses resulting from the increase in net sales over the comparable period and other changes discussed below. The increase in selling and administrative expenses is summarized in the table below:

<i>(in thousands)</i>		
Three Months Ended	\$ Variance	% Change

Edgar Filing: BURLINGTON COAT FACTORY WAREHOUSE CORP - Form 10-Q

	July 30, 2011	July 31, 2010		
Payroll and Payroll Related	\$ 128,846	\$ 121,869	\$ 6,977	5.7 %
Advertising	10,455	7,513	2,942	39.2
Occupancy	95,072	94,249	823	0.9
Benefit Costs	4,519	4,864	(345)	(7.1)
Business Insurance	6,434	6,988	(554)	(7.9)
Other	31,379	36,296	(4,917)	(13.5)
Selling & Administrative Expenses	\$ 276,705	\$ 271,779	\$ 4,926	1.8%

Table of Contents

The increase in payroll and payroll related expense of \$7.0 million during the three months ended July 30, 2011 compared with the three months ended July 31, 2010 was primarily related to the addition of 15 new stores as well as stores that were operating for the full three months ended July 30, 2011 that were not operating for the full three months ended July 31, 2010. Amounts related to these stores resulted in an increase in payroll and payroll related costs of \$5.2 million. Also contributing to the increase in payroll and payroll related costs was an increase in relocation expense of \$1.4 million as a result of our expanded recruiting efforts to bring in high quality candidates.

The increase in advertising expense of \$2.9 million during the three months ended July 30, 2011 compared with the three months ended July 31, 2010 was due to a planned incremental investment in marketing during the three months ended July 30, 2011 compared with the three months ended July 31, 2010 in an effort to build on the sales momentum that was generated during the first quarter of Fiscal 2011.

The increase in occupancy related costs of \$0.8 million during the three months ended July 30, 2011 compared with the three months ended July 31, 2010 was primarily related to increases in new stores and stores that operated for the full three month period ended July 30, 2011 that were not operating for the full three months ended July 31, 2010 of \$3.0 million and an increase in rent expense of \$1.4 million, which was a function of our straight line rent expense. These increases were partially offset by a decrease in utilities expense of \$1.6 million and a decrease in maintenance expense of \$0.6 million, both as a result of our ongoing initiatives to reduce costs without sacrificing customer satisfaction, and a \$1.5 million decrease in real estate taxes due to credits received as a result of successful audit appeals.

Other selling and administrative expenses decreased \$4.9 million for the three months ended July 30, 2011 compared with the three months ended July 31, 2010. The decrease was primarily related to a \$4.9 million charge related to a non-recurring litigation reserve and a \$1.5 million non-recurring charge to miscellaneous taxes that both occurred during the three months ended July 31, 2010 and were not repeated in the three months ended July 30, 2011. These decreases were partially offset by a \$0.9 million increase in credit card fees due to increased sales and a \$0.7 million increase in recruiting costs as a part of our expanded recruiting efforts.

Restructuring and Separation Costs

Restructuring and separation costs totaled \$5.2 million during the three months ended July 30, 2011 compared with \$1.2 million during the three months ended July 31, 2010. During the three months ended July 30, 2011, in an effort to improve workflow efficiencies and realign certain responsibilities, we effected a reorganization of certain positions within our stores and corporate locations. As a result of this reorganization, we incurred a charge of \$5.2 million.

Depreciation and Amortization

Depreciation and amortization expense related to the depreciation of fixed assets and the amortization of favorable and unfavorable leases amounted to \$37.4 million during the three month period ended July 30, 2011 compared with \$35.5 million during the three month period ended July 31, 2010. This increase was primarily related to 15 net new stores opened since July 31, 2010.

Impairment Charges - Long-Lived Assets

There were less than \$0.1 million of impairment charges during the three months ended July 30, 2011, compared with \$0.1 million incurred during the three month period ended July 31, 2010. The impairment charges for both periods were related to fixed asset additions at stores that had been previously impaired and therefore could not support the additional asset value. There were no triggering events during these periods that would have required us to perform additional impairment testing.

The recoverability assessment related to these store-level assets requires judgments and estimates of future revenues, gross margin rates and store expenses. We base these estimates upon our past and expected future performance. We believe our estimates are appropriate in light of current market conditions. However, future impairment charges could be required if we do not achieve our current revenue or cash flow projections for each store.

Other Income, Net

Other Income, Net (consisting of investment income, gains and losses on disposition of assets, breakage income and other miscellaneous items) decreased \$1.2 million to \$2.3 million for the three month period ended July 30, 2011 compared with the three month period ended July 31, 2010. This decrease was primarily related to insurance recoveries received during the three months ended July 31, 2010.

Table of Contents**Interest Expense**

Interest expense was \$32.3 million for the three month period ended July 30, 2011 compared with \$26.1 million for the three month period ended July 31, 2010. The \$6.2 million increase in interest expense was primarily driven by higher average balances and higher interest rates related to our New Term Loan and our ABL Line of Credit, as a result of our refinancing transaction, resulting in a \$10.9 million increase in interest expense, partially offset by;

a decrease in other interest of \$1.9 million of non-recurring interest charges related to a litigation settlement and a tax settlement during the three months ended July 31, 2010 that did not repeat;

a decrease related to an adjustment of our interest rate cap agreements to fair value of \$1.1 million;

a decrease of interest expense related to our Notes of \$0.8 million as a result of our refinancing transactions completed in February 2011; and

a decrease in the amortization of debt fees, as a result of our refinancing transactions completed in February 2011; in the amount of \$0.7 million.

Adjustments of the interest rate cap agreements to fair value, which are recorded in the line item Interest Expense in our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss, amounted to a loss of \$0.6 million for the three months ended July 30, 2011 compared with a loss of \$1.7 million for the three months ended July 31, 2010. The loss recognized during the three months ended July 30, 2011 was primarily the result of a decrease in the underlying market rates, which in turn, decreased the value of the interest rate cap agreements. These charges resulted in a year over year decrease in interest expense of \$1.1 million.

Our average interest rates and average balances related to our Term Loan and our ABL Line of Credit, for the three months ended July 30, 2011 compared with the three months ended July 31, 2010 are summarized in the table below:

		Three Months Ended	
		July 30, 2011	July 31, 2010
Average Interest Rate	ABL Line of Credit	4.3%	%
Average Interest Rate	Term Loan	6.4%	3.2%
Average Balance	ABL Line of Credit	\$ 30.9 million	\$
Average Balance	Term Loan	\$ 995.9 million	\$ 852.6 million

Income Tax Benefit

Income tax benefit was \$23.1 million for the three month period ended July 30, 2011. For the three months ended July 31, 2010 we recorded income tax benefit of \$24.5 million. The effective tax rates for the three month periods ended July 30, 2011 and July 31, 2010 were 41.4% and 37.7% respectively. In accordance with ASC Topic No. 270, *Interim Reporting* (Topic No. 270) and ASC Topic No. 740, *Income Taxes* (Topic No. 740), at the end of each interim period we are required to determine the best estimate of our annual effective tax rate and then apply that rate in providing for income taxes on a current year-to-date (interim period) basis. We used this methodology during the second quarter of Fiscal 2011, resulting in the annual effective income tax rate of 35.6% (before discrete items) being our best estimate. The effective tax rate for the three months ended July 30, 2011 was impacted by discrete adjustments that increased the tax (benefit) by \$2.3 million predominantly relating to state legislation enacted during the quarter, the accrual of interest related to unrecognized tax benefits established in prior years in accordance with Topic No. 740, and tax positions that are considered effectively settled as the result of the finalization of an IRS audit.

Our best estimate of the projected annual effective income tax rate as of July 31, 2010 was 37.8% (before discrete items). A discrete adjustments related to the accrual of interest related to unrecognized tax benefits established in prior years in accordance with Topic No. 740 was offset by a discrete adjustment related to prior year state income taxes.

Net Loss

Net loss amounted to \$32.8 million for the three months ended July 30, 2011 compared with a net loss of \$40.5 million for the three months ended July 31, 2010. The improvement in our net loss position of \$7.7 million was primarily related to our improved gross margin and the leverage of selling and administrative expenses as a result of increased sales, partially offset by an increase in interest expense, as discussed above.

Six Month Period Ended July 30, 2011 compared with Six Month Period Ended July 31, 2010

Net Sales

We experienced an increase in net sales for the six months ended July 30, 2011 compared with the six months ended July 31, 2010. Consolidated net sales increased \$99.0 million, or 6.1%, to \$1,722.4 million for the six months ended July 30, 2011 from \$1,623.4 million for the six months ended July 31, 2010. This increase was primarily attributable to a combination of the following:

an increase in net sales of \$58.5 million from stores previously opened that were not included in our comparative store sales,

Table of Contents

an increase in comparative store sales of \$33.3 million, or 2.1%, to \$1,631.6 million, and

an increase in net sales of \$21.2 million related to five new stores opened during the six months ended July 30, 2011; partially offset by

a decrease in net sales of \$14.0 million from stores closed since the comparable period last year and other sales adjustments.

We believe the comparative store sales increase was due primarily to our ongoing initiatives as discussed previously under the caption entitled Ongoing Initiatives for Fiscal 2011.

Other Revenue

Other revenue (consisting of rental income from leased departments, sublease rental income, layaway, alteration and other service charges, and miscellaneous revenue items) increased to \$14.3 million for the six month period ended July 30, 2011 compared with \$14.1 million for the six month period ended July 31, 2010, primarily driven by an increase in rental income from leased departments as a result of increased sales in our leased departments.

Cost of Sales

Cost of sales increased \$62.6 million, or 6.1%, during the six month period ended July 30, 2011 compared with the six month period ended July 31, 2010. The dollar increase in cost of sales was primarily related to the increase in net sales during the six months ended July 30, 2011 compared to the six months ended July 31, 2010, as discussed above, under the caption entitled Overview. Cost of sales as a percentage of net sales during the six months ended July 30, 2011 increased slightly to 63.0% from 62.9% for the six months ended July 31, 2010. The slight increase in cost of sales as a percentage of net sales was due to planned decreases in initial markup due to our initiative to be more aggressive in initial pricing, which we believe will result in faster turnovers and reduced markdowns in future periods, almost entirely offset by fewer markdowns taken and a lower shrink accrual rate during the six months ended July 30, 2011 compared with the six months ended July 31, 2010.

Selling and Administrative Expenses

Selling and administrative expenses increased \$15.2 million, or 2.8%, for the six month period ended July 30, 2011 compared with the six month period ended July 31, 2010. Selling and administrative expenses decreased to 32.8% of net sales for the six month period ended July 30, 2011 compared to 33.9% of net sales for the six month period ended July 31, 2010 due to the leverage of selling and administrative expenses resulting from the increase in net sales over the comparable period and other changes discussed below. The increase in selling and administrative expenses is summarized in the table below:

	<i>(in thousands)</i>			
	Six Months Ended		\$ Variance	% Change
	July 30, 2011	July 31, 2010		
Payroll and Payroll Related	\$ 263,051	\$ 252,324	\$ 10,727	4.3%
Occupancy	188,847	183,144	5,703	3.1
Advertising	27,968	24,330	3,638	15.0
Benefit Costs	9,189	8,897	292	3.3
Business Insurance	13,454	14,257	(803)	(5.6)
Other	63,024	67,356	(4,332)	(6.4)
Selling & Administrative Expenses	\$ 565,533	\$ 550,308	\$ 15,225	2.8%

The increase in payroll and payroll related expense of \$10.7 million during the six months ended July 30, 2011 compared with the six months ended July 31, 2010 was primarily related to the addition of 15 net new stores as well as stores that were operating for the full six months ended July 30, 2011 that were not operating for the full six months ended July 31, 2010. Amounts related to these stores resulted in an increase in payroll and payroll related costs of \$10.5 million.

Edgar Filing: BURLINGTON COAT FACTORY WAREHOUSE CORP - Form 10-Q

The increase in occupancy related costs of \$5.7 million during the six months ended July 30, 2011 compared with the six months ended July 31, 2010 was primarily related to increases in stores that operated for the full six month period ended July 30, 2011 that were not operating for the full six months ended July 31, 2010 of \$4.8 million and new store increases of \$2.5 million. We also experienced increases in rent expense of \$1.4 million primarily due to our straight line rent expense and an increase of \$1.2 million in maintenance expense primarily related to increased investment in general repairs and janitorial services in line with our ongoing initiatives to refine our customers' store experience. These increases were partially offset by a \$2.0 million decrease in utilities due to our ongoing initiative to reduce costs as well as a \$2.1 million decrease in real estate taxes due to credits received as a result of successful audit appeals in various states.

Table of Contents

The increase in advertising expense of \$3.6 million during the six months ended July 30, 2011 compared with the six months ended July 31, 2010 was primarily related to increased national and spot television advertising during the historically strong Easter selling period as well as planned incremental marketing investment during our second quarter of Fiscal 2011 in an effort to build on the sales momentum generated during the first quarter of Fiscal 2011.

The decrease in other selling and administrative expenses of \$4.3 million during the six months ended July 30, 2011 compared with the six months ended July 31, 2010 was primarily related to a \$4.9 million charge related to a litigation reserve and a \$1.5 million charge to miscellaneous taxes that both occurred during the six months ended July 31, 2010 and were not repeated during the six months ended July 30, 2011 and a \$3.0 million decrease in professional and legal fees. These decreases were partially offset by a \$2.1 million increase in credit card fees due to increased sales, a \$1.4 million increase in office supplies and temporary help, and a \$1.0 million increase in recruiting expenses related to expanded recruiting efforts in order to produce high quality candidates.

Restructuring and Separation Costs

Restructuring and separation costs totaled \$5.2 million during the six months ended July 30, 2011 compared with \$2.2 million during the six months ended July 31, 2010. During the six months ended July 30, 2011, in an effort to improve workflow efficiencies and realign certain responsibilities, we effected a reorganization of certain positions within our stores and corporate locations. As a result of the reorganization, we incurred a charge of \$5.2 million.

Depreciation and Amortization

Depreciation and amortization expense related to the depreciation of fixed assets and the amortization of favorable and unfavorable leases amounted to \$74.0 million during the six month period ended July 30, 2011 compared with \$72.2 million during the six month period ended July 31, 2010. This increase was primarily related to 15 net new stores that were opened since July 31, 2010.

Impairment Charges - Long-Lived Assets

There were less than \$0.1 million of impairment charges during the six months ended July 30, 2011, compared with \$0.3 million of impairment charges incurred during the six month period ended July 31, 2010. The impairment charges during both periods were related to fixed asset additions at stores that had been previously impaired and therefore could not support the additional asset value. There were no triggering events during these periods that would have required us to perform additional impairment testing.

The recoverability assessment related to these store-level assets requires judgments and estimates of future revenues, gross margin rates and store expenses. We base these estimates upon our past and expected future performance. We believe our estimates are appropriate in light of current market conditions. However, future impairment charges could be required if we do not achieve our current revenue or cash flow projections for each store.

Other Income, Net

Other Income, Net (consisting of investment income, gains and losses on disposition of assets, breakage income and other miscellaneous items) decreased \$1.3 million to \$5.1 million for the six month period ended July 30, 2011 compared with the six month period ended July 31, 2010, primarily driven by fewer insurance claims recoveries during the three months ended July 30, 2011 compared with the three months ended July 31, 2010.

Loss on Extinguishment of Debt

As discussed in more detail in Note 3 to our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements entitled "Long Term Debt," on February 24, 2011 we completed the refinancing of our Previous Term Loan, Previous Senior Notes, and Previous Senior Discount Notes. As a result of these transactions, the Previous Senior Notes and Previous Senior Discount Notes, with carrying values at February 24, 2011 of \$302.0 million and \$99.3 million, respectively, have been replaced with a \$450.0 million aggregated principal amount of 10% Senior Notes due 2019 at an issue price of 100%. Additionally, the Previous Term Loan with a carrying value of \$777.6 million at February 24, 2011 has been replaced with a \$1,000.0 million senior secured term loan facility (New Term Loan Facility). Borrowings on the ABL Line of Credit related to the refinancing transactions were \$101.6 million. In connection with the offering of the Notes and the refinancing of the Term Loan Facility, the Company declared a dividend of approximately \$300.0 million, in the aggregate, on a pro rata basis to the equity holders of Parent.

Edgar Filing: BURLINGTON COAT FACTORY WAREHOUSE CORP - Form 10-Q

In accordance with ASC Topic No. 470, *Debt Modifications and Extinguishments* (Topic No. 470), the transactions noted above were determined to be an extinguishment of the existing debt and an issuance of new debt. As a result, we recorded a loss on the extinguishment of debt in the amount of \$37.8 million in the line item *Loss on Extinguishment of Debt* in our Condensed

Table of Contents

Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss. Of the \$37.8 million loss on the extinguishment of debt, \$21.4 million represented early call premiums that we paid to the holders of our Previous Senior Notes and Previous Senior Discount Notes. The remaining \$16.4 million represented the write off of deferred financing fees related to the extinguished debt facilities.

Interest Expense

Interest expense was \$63.2 million for the six month period ended July 30, 2011 compared with \$53.4 million for the six month period ended July 31, 2010. The \$9.8 million increase in interest expense was primarily driven by higher average balances and higher interest rates related to our New Term Loan and our ABL Line of Credit, as a result of our refinancing transaction, resulting in a \$19.0 million increase in interest expense, partially offset by:

an adjustment of our interest rate cap agreements to fair value of \$4.4 million;

a decrease in other interest of \$2.0 million of non-recurring interest charges related to a litigation and tax settlement during the six months ended July 31, 2010 that did not repeat;

a decrease of interest expense related to our Notes of \$1.2 million related to our refinancing transaction;

and a decrease in the amortization of debt fees as a result of our refinancing, in the amount of \$1.2 million.

Adjustments of the interest rate cap agreements to fair value, which are recorded in the line item Interest Expense in our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss, amounted to a loss of \$1.9 million for the six months ended July 30, 2011 compared with a loss of \$6.3 million for the six months ended July 31, 2010. The loss recognized during the six months ended July 30, 2011 was primarily the result of a decrease in the underlying market rates, which in turn, decreased the value of the interest rate cap agreements. These charges resulted in a year over year decrease in interest expense of \$4.4 million.

Our average interest rates and average balances related to our Term Loan and our ABL Line of Credit, for the six months ended July 30, 2011 compared with the six months ended July 31, 2010 are summarized in the table below:

		Six Months Ended	
		July 30, 2011	July 31, 2010
Average Interest Rate	ABL Line of Credit	4.4%	2.9%
Average Interest Rate	Term Loan	6.0%	2.9%
Average Balance	ABL Line of Credit	\$ 51.5 million	\$ 9.7 million
Average Balance	Term Loan	\$ 961.6 million	\$ 852.6 million

Income Tax Benefit

Income tax benefit was \$34.3 million for the six month period ended July 30, 2011. For the six months ended July 31, 2010 we recorded income tax benefit of \$20.9 million. The effective tax rates for the six month periods ended July 30, 2011 and July 31, 2010 were 38.9% and 37.2% respectively. In accordance with Topic No. 270 and Topic No. 740, at the end of each interim period we are required to determine the best estimate of our annual effective tax rate and then apply that rate in providing for income taxes on a current year-to-date (interim period) basis. We used this methodology during the second quarter of Fiscal 2011, resulting in the annual effective income tax rate of 35.6% (before discrete items) being our best estimate. The effective tax rate for the six months ended July 30, 2011 was impacted by discrete adjustments that increased the tax (benefit) by \$2.9 million predominantly relating to state legislation enacted during the quarter, the accrual of interest related to unrecognized tax benefits established in prior years in accordance with Topic No. 740, and tax positions that are considered effectively settled as the result of the finalization of an IRS audit.

Edgar Filing: BURLINGTON COAT FACTORY WAREHOUSE CORP - Form 10-Q

Our best estimate of the projected annual effective income tax rate as of July 31, 2010 was 37.8% (before discrete items). The effective tax rate for the six months ended July 31, 2010 was impacted by discrete adjustments that increased tax expense by \$0.3 million related to the accrual of interest related to unrecognized tax benefits established in prior years in accordance with Topic No. 740 and prior year state income taxes.

Net Loss

Net loss amounted to \$53.8 million for the six months ended July 30, 2011 compared with a net loss of \$35.3 million for the six months ended July 31, 2010. The decline in our net loss position of \$18.5 million was directly attributable to the loss on extinguishment of debt that occurred during the six months ended July 30, 2011 compared with the six months ended July 31, 2010, partially offset by improvements in our core operations.

Table of Contents

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Overview

We fund inventory expenditures during normal and peak periods through cash flows from operating activities, available cash, and our ABL Line of Credit. Liquidity may be affected by the terms we are able to obtain from vendors and their factors. Our working capital needs follow a seasonal pattern, peaking each October and November when inventory is received for the Fall selling season. Our largest source of operating cash flows is cash collections from our customers. In general, our primary uses of cash are providing for working capital, which principally represents the purchase of inventory, the payment of operating expenses, debt servicing, the opening of new stores and the remodeling of existing stores. As of July 30, 2011, we had unused availability on our ABL Line of Credit of \$272.3 million.

Our ability to satisfy interest payment obligations on our outstanding debt and maintain compliance with our debt covenants, as discussed below, will depend largely on our future performance which, in turn, is subject to prevailing economic conditions and to financial, business and other factors beyond our control. If we do not have sufficient cash flow to service interest payment obligations on our outstanding indebtedness and if we cannot borrow or obtain equity financing to satisfy those obligations, our business and results of operations will be materially adversely affected. We cannot be assured that any replacement borrowing or equity financing could be successfully completed on terms similar to our current financing agreements, or at all.

We closely monitor our net sales, gross margin, expenses and working capital. We have performed scenario planning such that if our net sales decline, we have identified variable costs that could be reduced to partially mitigate the impact of these declines and maintain compliance with our debt covenants. We believe that cash generated from operations, along with our existing cash and our ABL Line of Credit, will be sufficient to fund our expected cash flow requirements and planned capital expenditures for at least the next twelve months as well as the foreseeable future. However, there can be no assurance that we would be able to offset any declines in our comparative store sales with continued savings initiatives in the event that the economy declines.

Our New Term Loan agreement contains financial, affirmative and negative covenants and requires that we, among other things, maintain on the last day of each fiscal quarter a consolidated leverage ratio not to exceed a maximum amount and maintain a consolidated interest coverage ratio of at least a certain amount. Specifically, the consolidated leverage ratio is our total debt to Adjusted EBITDA, as each term is defined in the credit agreement governing the New Term Loan, for the trailing twelve months most recently ended on or prior to such date, that may not exceed 6.75 to 1 through October 27, 2012; 6.25 to 1 through November 2, 2013; 5.5 to 1 through November 1, 2014; 5.00 to 1 through October 31, 2015; and 4.75 to 1 January 30, 2016 and thereafter. The consolidated interest coverage ratio is our consolidated interest expense to Adjusted EBITDA, as each term is defined in the new credit agreement governing the New Term Loan, for the trailing twelve months most recently ended on or prior to such date, that must exceed 1.75 to 1 through October 27, 2012; 1.85 to 1 through November 2, 2013; 2.00 to 1 through October 31, 2015; and 2.10 to 1 at January 30, 2016 and thereafter. Adjusted EBITDA is a non-GAAP financial measure of our liquidity. Adjusted EBITDA, as defined in the credit agreement governing our New Term Loan, starts with consolidated net loss for the period and adds back (i) depreciation, amortization, impairments and other non-cash charges that were deducted in arriving at consolidated net loss, (ii) the (benefit) provision for taxes, (iii) interest expense, (iv) advisory fees, and (v) unusual, non-recurring or extraordinary expenses, losses or charges as reasonably approved by the administrative agent for such period. Adjusted EBITDA is used to calculate the consolidated leverage ratio. We present Adjusted EBITDA because we believe it is a useful supplemental measure in evaluating the performance of our business and provides greater transparency into our results of operations. Adjusted EBITDA provides management, including our chief operating decision maker, with helpful information with respect to our operations such as our ability to meet our future debt service, fund our capital expenditures and working capital requirements, and comply with various covenants in each indenture governing our outstanding notes and the credit agreements governing our senior secured credit facilities which are material to our financial condition and financial statements. As of July 30, 2011, we were in compliance with all of our covenants under our New Term Loan Facility.

Adjusted EBITDA has limitations as an analytical tool, and should not be considered either in isolation or as a substitute for net income or other data prepared in accordance with GAAP or for analyzing our results or cash flows from operating activities, as reported under GAAP. Some of these limitations include:

Adjusted EBITDA does not reflect changes in, or cash requirements for, our working capital needs;

Adjusted EBITDA does not reflect our interest expense, or the cash requirements necessary to service interest or principal payments, on our debt;

Adjusted EBITDA does not reflect our income tax expense or the cash requirements to pay our taxes;

Adjusted EBITDA does not reflect historical cash expenditures or future requirements for capital expenditures or contractual commitments;

Although depreciation and amortization are non-cash charges, the assets being depreciated and amortized will likely have to be replaced in the future, and Adjusted EBITDA measures do not reflect any cash requirements for such replacements; and

Other companies in our industry may calculate Adjusted EBITDA differently such that our calculation may not be directly comparable.

Table of Contents

Adjusted EBITDA for the three months ended July 30, 2011 increased \$15.3 million, or 196.2%, to \$23.1 million from \$7.8 million during the three months ended July 31, 2010. The improvement in Adjusted EBITDA was primarily the result of our comparative store sales increase of 4.0% and improved margin during the three months ended July 30, 2011.

Adjusted EBITDA for the six months ended July 30, 2011 increased \$17.4 million, or 20.2%, to \$103.5 million from \$86.1 million during the six months ended July 31, 2010. The improvement in Adjusted EBITDA was primarily the result of our comparative store sales increase of 2.1% during the six months ended July 30, 2011.

The following table shows our calculation of Adjusted EBITDA for the six and three months ended July 30, 2011 compared with the six and three months ended July 31, 2010:

	<i>(in thousands)</i>			
	Six Months Ended		Three Months Ended	
	July 30, 2011	July 31, 2010	July 30, 2011	July 31, 2010
Reconciliation of Net Loss to Adjusted EBITDA:				
Net Loss	\$ (53,820)	\$ (35,266)	\$ (32,763)	\$ (40,479)
Interest Expense	63,164	53,422	32,310	26,057
Income Tax Benefit	(34,314)	(20,903)	(23,132)	(24,491)
Depreciation and Amortization	73,987	72,235	37,367	35,506
Impairment Charges Long-Lived Assets	34	258	25	73
Interest Income	(2)	(192)	(37)	(108)
Non Cash Straight-Line Rent Expense (a)	4,835	4,724	2,326	2,820
Advisory Fees (b)	2,157	2,180	1,041	1,118
Stock Compensation Expense (c)	900	837	195	604
Amortization of Purchased Lease Rights (d)	438	424	221	214
Severance and Restructuring (e)	5,190		5,190	
Franchise Taxes (f)	933	596	299	294
Insurance Reserve (g)	674	(142)	(502)	(534)
Advertising Expense Related to Barter (h)	1,604	882	326	477
Loss on Disposal of Fixed Assets (i)	444	258	195	46
(Gain) Loss on Investments (j)		(240)		(240)
Change in Fiscal Year End Costs (k)		587		
Refinancing Fees (l)	(501)		26	
Loss on Extinguishment of Debt (m)	37,764			
Litigation Reserves (n)		4,923		4,923
Transfer Tax (o)	(20)	1,536	(20)	1,536
Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 103,467	\$ 86,119	\$ 23,067	\$ 7,816
Reconciliation of Adjusted EBITDA to Net Cash Provided by (Used In) Operating Activities:				
Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 103,467	\$ 86,119	\$ 23,067	\$ 7,816
Interest Expense	(63,164)	(53,422)	(32,310)	(26,057)
Changes in Operating Assets and Liabilities	182,645	222,957	(87,926)	(98,230)
Other Items, Net	17,905	18,390	27,463	21,375
Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Operating Activities	\$ 240,853	\$ 274,044	\$ (69,706)	\$ (95,096)
Net Cash Used in Investing Activities	\$ (75,592)	\$ (82,872)	\$ (35,364)	\$ (60,690)
Net Cash (Used in) Provided by Financing Activities	\$ (163,667)	\$ (134,876)	\$ 69,342	\$ (346)

Edgar Filing: BURLINGTON COAT FACTORY WAREHOUSE CORP - Form 10-Q

During Fiscal 2011, with approval from the administrative agents for the New Term Loan Facility and the ABL Line of Credit, we changed the components comprising Adjusted EBITDA such that specific charges associated with our debt refinancing transaction were added back to consolidated net loss when calculating Adjusted EBITDA. These changes, summarized in footnote (m) below,

Table of Contents

resulted in approximately \$37.8 million in incremental Adjusted EBITDA for the six month period ended July 30, 2011 and had no impact on the prior periods presented. We believe that this add-back provides a more accurate comparison to the comparative periods performance.

- (a) Represents the difference between the actual base rent and rent expense calculated in accordance with GAAP (on a straight line basis), in accordance with the credit agreements governing the New Term Loan Facility and ABL Line of Credit.
- (b) Represents the annual advisory fee of Bain Capital expensed during the fiscal periods, in accordance with the credit agreements governing the New Term Loan Facility and ABL Line of Credit.
- (c) Represents expenses recorded under ASC Topic No. 718 *Stock Compensation* during the fiscal periods, in accordance with the credit agreements governing the New Term Loan Facility and ABL Line of Credit.
- (d) Represents amortization of purchased lease rights which are recorded in rent expense within our selling and administrative line item, in accordance with the credit agreements governing the New Term Loan Facility and ABL Line of Credit.
- (e) Represents a severance and restructuring charge resulting from a reorganization of certain positions within our stores and corporate locations (refer to Note 4 to our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements entitled *Restructuring and Separations Costs* for further discussion), in accordance with the credit agreements governing the New Term Loan and ABL Line of Credit.
- (f) Represents franchise taxes paid based on our equity, as approved by the administrative agents for the New Term Loan Facility and ABL Line of Credit.
- (g) Represents the non-cash change in reserves based on estimated general liability, workers compensation and health insurance claims as approved by the administrative agents for the New Term Loan Facility and ABL Line of Credit.
- (h) Represents non-cash advertising expense based on the usage of barter advertising credits obtained as part of a non-cash exchange of inventory, as approved by the administrative agents for the New Term Loan Facility and ABL Line of Credit.
- (i) Represents the gross non-cash loss recorded on the disposal of certain assets in the ordinary course of business, in accordance with the credit agreements governing the New Term Loan Facility and ABL Line of Credit.
- (j) Represents the (gain) loss on our investment in the Reserve Primary Fund, related to a recovery/decline in the fair value of the underlying securities held by the Fund, as approved by the administrative agents for the New Term Loan Facility and ABL Line of Credit.
- (k) Represents costs incurred in conjunction with changing our fiscal year end from the Saturday closest to May 31 to the Saturday closest to January 31 commencing with the transition period ended January 30, 2010. This change was approved by the administrative agents for the New Term Loan Facility and ABL Line of Credit.
- (l)

Edgar Filing: BURLINGTON COAT FACTORY WAREHOUSE CORP - Form 10-Q

Represents refinancing fees that reduce Adjusted EBITDA per the administrative agents for the New Term Loan Facility and the ABL Line of Credit.

- (m) Represents charges incurred in accordance with Topic No. 470, whereby we incurred a loss on the settlement of the old debt instruments as approved by the administrative agents for the New Term Loan Facility and the ABL Line of Credit.
- (n) Represents charges incurred in conjunction with a non-recurring litigation reserve as approved by the administrative agents for the New Term Loan Facility and ABL Line of Credit.
- (o) Represents one-time transfer taxes incurred on certain leased properties as approved by the administrative agents for the New Term Loan Facility and the ABL Line of Credit.

Cash Flow for the Six Months Ended July 30, 2011 Compared with the Six Months Ended July 31, 2010

We generated \$1.6 million of cash flow for the six months ended July 30, 2011 compared with \$56.3 million of cash flow for the six months ended July 31, 2010. Net cash provided by operating activities amounted to \$240.9 million for the six months ended July 30, 2011. For the six months ended July 31, 2010, net cash provided by operating activities amounted to \$274.0 million. The decrease in net cash provided by operating activities was primarily the result of changes in the Company's working capital. The biggest driver of the decrease relates to cash flow from changes in accounts payable. Cash flow from the change in accounts payable for the six months ended July 30, 2011 decreased \$94.1 million compared with the six months ended July 31, 2010. This decrease was primarily driven by a smaller increase in accounts payable from January 29, 2011 to July 30, 2011 compared with the accounts payable increase from January 30, 2010 to July 31, 2010 related to our working capital management strategy at the end of each fiscal year. Based on the working capital management strategy, we accelerated certain payments at the end of each fiscal year that typically would not have been made until the first quarter of the next fiscal year, which lowered our accounts payable balances at the end of each fiscal year. As our accounts payable balances return to historical levels this creates additional cash flow. The decrease in accounts payable that generates this item was primarily driven by the difference in the accelerated payments during January of Fiscal 2010 of \$237.7 million compared with the payments made in January of the Transition Period of \$274.8 million and the timing of payments. The decrease in cash flow generated by the change in accounts payable was partially offset by an increase in cash flow generated by the change in the other working capital accounts of \$53.5 million primarily related to smaller increases in inventory and prepaid and other current assets since January 29, 2011 compared with the increases from January 30, 2010 through July 31, 2010. Also contributing to this increase is a change in deferred rent incentives as we were able to collect cash related to incentives that were earned during Fiscal 2010.

Table of Contents

Net cash used in investing activities decreased to \$75.6 million for the six months ended July 30, 2011 from \$82.9 million for the six months ended July 31, 2010. This decrease was primarily the result of a \$32.8 million increase in restricted cash and cash equivalents during the six months ended July 31, 2010 compared with a \$7.0 million increase during the six months ended July 30, 2011, partially offset by a \$17.9 million increase in cash paid for property and equipment during the six months ended July 30, 2011 as compared with the six months ended July 31, 2010.

Cash flow used in financing activities increased \$28.8 million during the six months ended July 30, 2011 compared with the six months ended July 31, 2010. The primary driver of the increased use of cash in financing activities was related to the dividend paid in connection with our debt refinancing transaction, partially offset by the impact of the debt refinancing as discussed in Note 3 to the Company's Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements entitled "Long Term Debt."

Cash flow and working capital levels assist management in measuring our ability to meet our cash requirements. Working capital measures our current financial position. Working capital is defined as current assets (exclusive of restricted cash) less current liabilities. Working capital at July 30, 2011 was \$199.2 million compared with \$386.2 million at January 29, 2011. The decrease in working capital was primarily the result of increased accounts payable as of July 30, 2011 compared with January 29, 2011 as a result of our working capital management strategy at the end of Fiscal 2010.

Operational Growth

During the six months ended July 30, 2011, we opened five BCF stores, and closed three stores. Two of the closed stores were an MJM and a Super Baby Depot which were in the same shopping center as an existing BCF store. The existing BCF store was expanded and remodeled to absorb the MJM and Super Baby Depot businesses. As of July 30, 2011, we operated stores under the names Burlington Coat Factory Warehouse (447 stores), MJM Designer Shoes (13 stores) and Cohoes Fashions (two stores). We estimate that we will spend between \$125 and \$135 million, net of approximately \$42 million of landlord allowances, in capital expenditures during Fiscal 2011, including approximately \$68 million, net of the previously mentioned landlord allowances for store expenditures, and \$17 million for information technology. We expect to use the remaining capital to support continued distribution facility enhancements and other initiatives. For the six months ended July 30, 2011, capital expenditures, net of landlord allowances, amounted to \$44.0 million.

We monitor the availability of desirable locations for our stores from such sources as dispositions by other retail chains and bankruptcy auctions, as well as locations presented to us by real estate developers, brokers and existing landlords. Most of our stores are located in malls, strip shopping centers, regional power centers or are freestanding. We also lease existing space and are opening some built-to-suit locations. For most of our new leases, we have revised our lease model to provide for at least a ten year initial term with a number of five year options thereafter. Typically, our lease strategy includes landlord allowances for leasehold improvements. We believe our lease model makes us more competitive with other retailers for desirable locations. We may seek to acquire a number of such locations either through transactions to acquire individual locations or transactions that involve the acquisition of multiple locations simultaneously.

Additionally, we may consider strategic acquisitions. If we undertake such transactions, we may seek additional financing to fund acquisitions and carrying charges (i.e., the cost of rental, maintenance, tax and other obligations associated with such properties from the time of commitment to acquire to the time that such locations can be readied for opening as our stores) related to the newly acquired stores. There can be no assurance, however, that any additional locations will become available from other retailers or that, if available, we will undertake to bid or be successful in bidding for such locations. Furthermore, to the extent that we decide to purchase additional store locations, it may be necessary to finance such acquisitions with additional long term borrowings.

From time to time we make available for sale certain assets based on current market conditions. These assets are recorded in the line item "Assets Held for Sale" in our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. Based on prevailing market conditions, we may determine that it is no longer advantageous to continue marketing certain assets and will reclassify those assets out of the line item "Assets Held for Sale" and into the respective asset category based on the lesser of their carrying value or fair value less cost to sell.

Dividends

Payment of dividends is prohibited under our credit agreements except in limited circumstances. In connection with the offering of the Notes and the refinancing of the Term Loan Facility, on February 24, 2011, BCFWC entered into a first amendment (the First Amendment) to the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated January 15, 2010, to among other things make a cash dividend of approximately \$300.0 million in the aggregate to the equity holders of Parent, on a pro rata basis, which was approved by the Parent's Board of Directors in February 2011. Of the \$300.0 million in dividends that were declared, \$297.9 million was paid during the six months ended July 30, 2011 and the remaining \$2.1 million was recorded in "Current Liabilities" in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as of July 30, 2011. During the six months

Edgar Filing: BURLINGTON COAT FACTORY WAREHOUSE CORP - Form 10-Q

ended July 31, 2010, we paid dividends of \$0.2 million related to the repurchase of a portion of our stock from former employees under the terms of their respective separation agreements.

Table of Contents

Long Term Borrowings, Lines of Credit and Capital Lease Obligations

Holdings and each of our current and future subsidiaries, with the exception on one immaterial non-guarantor subsidiary, have fully, jointly, severally, unconditionally, and irrevocably guaranteed BCFW's obligations pursuant to the \$600 million ABL Line of Credit, \$1,000 million New Term Loan Facility and the \$450 million Notes due in 2019. As of July 30, 2011, we were in compliance with all of our debt covenants.

In connection with the issuance of the Notes, on February 24, 2011, BCFW entered into a registration rights agreement relating to the Notes, pursuant to which BCFW agreed to use its reasonable best efforts to file, and did initially file on July 15, 2011, a registration statement with the SEC (as amended, the Exchange Offer Registration Statement), enabling holders to exchange the Notes for registered notes with terms substantially identical in all material respects to the Notes, except the exchange notes would be freely tradable. BCFW and the guarantors under the Indenture also agreed to use reasonable best efforts to cause the Exchange Offer Registration Statement to become effective under the Securities Act no later than 365 days after the initial issuance of the Notes.

Significant changes in our debt consist of the following:

Senior Notes and Senior Discount Notes

Senior Notes Offering

On February 24, 2011, BCFW (exclusive of subsidiaries, referred to herein as BCFW) completed its sale of \$450 million aggregate principal amount of 10% Senior Notes due 2019 at an issue price of 100% (the Notes) in a private offering exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act), to qualified institutional buyers in accordance with Rule 144A and to persons outside of the United States pursuant to Regulation S under the Securities Act. The Notes were issued pursuant to an indenture, dated February 24, 2011 (the Indenture), among BCFW, the guarantors signatory thereto and Wilmington Trust FSB.

The Notes are senior unsecured obligations of BCFW and are guaranteed on a senior basis by BCFW, we and each of BCFW's U.S. subsidiaries to the extent such guarantor is a guarantor of BCFW's obligations under the New Term Loan Facility. Interest is payable on the Notes on each February 15 and August 15, commencing August 15, 2011. BCFW may redeem some or all of the Notes at any time prior to February 15, 2015 at a price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the Notes redeemed plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, and an applicable make-whole premium. On or after February 15, 2015, BCFW may redeem some or all of the Notes at redemption prices set forth in the Indenture. In addition, at any time prior to February 15, 2014, BCFW may redeem up to 35% of the aggregate principal amount of the Notes, at a specified redemption price with the net cash proceeds of certain equity offerings.

The Indenture contains covenants that, among other things, restrict the ability of BCFW, our ability and certain of our subsidiaries to: incur, assume or guarantee additional indebtedness; pay dividends or redeem or repurchase capital stock; make other restricted payments; incur liens; redeem debt that is junior in right of payment to the Notes; sell or otherwise dispose of assets, including capital stock of subsidiaries; enter into mergers or consolidations; and enter into transactions with affiliates. These covenants are subject to a number of important exceptions and qualifications. In addition, in certain circumstances, if BCFW sells assets or experiences certain changes of control, it must offer to purchase the Notes.

BCFW used the net proceeds from the offering of the Notes, together with borrowings under the New Term Loan Facility and the ABL Line of Credit, to (i) repurchase any and all of the outstanding Previous Senior Notes and Previous Senior Discount Notes (collectively the Previous Notes), pursuant to cash tender offers commenced by BCFW and us on February 9, 2011, and to redeem any Previous Notes that remain outstanding after the completion of the cash tender offers, and pay related fees and expenses, including tender or redemption premiums and accrued interest on the Previous Notes, (ii) to repay indebtedness under the Previous Term Loan and (iii) to pay a special cash dividend of approximately \$300.0 million in the aggregate to the equity holders of Parent on a pro rata basis, and to pay related fees and expenses.

Tender Offer and Redemption

In connection with the offering of the Notes, the application of proceeds therefrom and the early settlement of the cash tender offers of BCFW and us for any and all of the Previous Senior Notes and Previous Senior Discount Notes (together, the Previous Notes), respectively, on February 24, 2011, BCFW entered into a Second Supplemental Indenture, dated February 24, 2011 between BCFW, the guarantors signatory thereto and Wilmington Trust FSB, relating to an Indenture (as amended, supplemented or otherwise modified, the BCF Indenture), dated April 13, 2006, between BCFW, the guarantors signatory thereto and the Wilmington Trust FSB (as successor trustee to Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.), and us entered into a First Supplemental Indenture, dated February 24, 2011 (the First Supplemental Indenture), between us and Wilmington Trust FSB, relating to an Indenture, dated April 13, 2006 (as amended,

Table of Contents

supplemented or otherwise modified, the Holdings Indenture), between us and the Wilmington Trust FSB (as successor trustee to Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.), to eliminate substantially all of the restrictive covenants, certain affirmative covenants, certain events of default and substantially all of the restrictions on the ability of BCFW or us, as applicable, to merge, consolidate or sell all or substantially all of their properties or assets contained in each indenture and the related Previous Notes.

In addition, in connection with the early tender and settlement of 100% of the Previous Senior Discount Notes by the noteholders of the Previous Senior Discount Notes on February 24, 2011, we satisfied and discharged our obligations under the Holdings Indenture and with respect to the Previous Senior Discount Notes.

Further, on February 24, 2011, BCFW delivered a notice of redemption for the remaining principal amount not purchased in the early tender and settlement of the Previous Senior Notes, and irrevocably deposited with Wilmington Trust FSB an amount of funds sufficient to pay the redemption price of the Previous Senior Notes to satisfy and discharge its obligations under the BCF Indenture and with respect to the Previous Senior Notes. On April 15, 2011, BCFW redeemed the remaining principal amount outstanding of the Previous Senior Notes at a redemption price equal to 102.781% of the aggregate principal amount of the Previous Senior Notes to be redeemed, plus accrued and unpaid interest on the Previous Senior Notes to the redemption date.

\$1 Billion Senior Secured Term Loan Facility

In connection with the offering of the Notes (as discussed above), on February 24, 2011, BCFW refinanced the Previous Term Loan Facility with the proceeds of a new \$1.0 billion senior secured term loan facility (the New Term Loan Facility).

On February 24, 2011, BCFW, we and the U.S. and Puerto Rican subsidiaries of BCFW from time to time party thereto, as facility guarantors (collectively, the Term Loan Guarantors) entered into a new credit agreement (the New Term Loan Credit Agreement) with JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent (in such capacity, the Term Loan Administrative Agent) and as collateral agent, the lenders party thereto, J.P. Morgan Securities LLC and Goldman Sachs Lending Partners LLC, as joint bookrunners and J.P. Morgan Securities LLC, Goldman Sachs Lending Partners LLC, Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated and Wells Fargo Securities, LLC, as joint arrangers, governing the terms of the New Term Loan Facility.

Like the Previous Term Loan Facility, the New Term Loan Facility is secured by (a) a perfected first priority lien on our real estate, favorable leases, and machinery and equipment and (b) a perfected second priority lien on the inventory and receivables of BCFW and the Term Loan Guarantors, in each case subject to various limitations and exceptions. The New Term Loan Facility requires BCFW to maintain a minimum consolidated interest coverage ratio and a maximum consolidated leverage ratio (each measured quarterly) and contains limitations on BCFW's ability to, among other things, incur indebtedness and liens, make investments, capital expenditures and restricted payments, sell assets and prepay certain indebtedness. The New Term Loan Facility also requires BCFW to prepay the loans thereunder with a portion of its excess cash flow (commencing with the fiscal year ending January 28, 2012), the proceeds of certain indebtedness and, subject to certain re-investment rights, the proceeds of certain asset sales of certain casualty or other insured events. The New Term Loan Facility contains customary events of default including for failure to make payments under the New Term Loan Facility, materially incorrect representations, breaches of covenants (subject to a 30 day grace period after notice in the case of certain covenants), cross-default to other material indebtedness, material unstayed judgments, certain ERISA, bankruptcy and insolvency events, failure of guarantees or security to remain in full force and effect, change of control, certain uninsured losses to any material portion of the collateral, any undismissed felony indictment of any Term Loan Guarantors or BCFW or the imposition of orders or stays having a material adverse effect.

The interest rates for the New Term Loan Facility are based on: (i) for LIBO rate loans for any interest period, at a rate per annum equal to (a) the greater of (x) the LIBO rate as determined by the Term Loan Administrative Agent, for such interest period multiplied by the Statutory Reserve Rate (as defined in the New Term Loan Credit Agreement) and (y) 1.50% (the Term Loan Adjusted LIBO Rate), plus an applicable margin; and (ii) for prime rate loans, a rate per annum equal to the highest of (a) the variable annual rate of interest then announced by JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. at its head office as its prime rate, (b) the federal funds rate in effect on such date plus 0.50% per annum, and (c) the Term Loan Adjusted LIBO Rate for the applicable class of term loans for one-month plus 1.00%, plus, in each case, an applicable margin.

In addition, the New Term Loan Facility provides for an uncommitted incremental term loan facility of up to \$150.0 million that is available subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions. The New Term Loan Facility has a six year maturity, at February 23, 2017, except that term loans made in connection with the incremental term loan facility or extended in connection with the extension mechanics of the New Term Loan Facility have the maturity dates set forth in the amendments applicable to such term loans.

ABL Line of Credit

Edgar Filing: BURLINGTON COAT FACTORY WAREHOUSE CORP - Form 10-Q

In connection with the offering of the Notes and the refinancing of the Previous Term Loan Facility, on February 24, 2011, BCFW entered into a first amendment (the First Amendment) to the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated January 15, 2010 (as amended, supplemented and otherwise modified, the Amended ABL Credit Agreement), among BCFW, as lead borrower,

Table of Contents

the borrowers party thereto, the facility guarantors party thereto, Bank of America, N.A. as administrative agent and collateral agent, the lenders party thereto, Wells Fargo Retail Finance, LLC and Regions Bank as co-syndication agents, J.P. Morgan Securities Inc. and UBS Securities LLC as co-documentation agents and General Electric Capital Corporation, US Bank, National Association and SunTrust Bank as senior managing agents, governing the ABL Line of Credit to permit BCFW to, among other things, (i) issue and guarantee the Notes, (ii) incur additional indebtedness in connection with the refinancing of the Previous Term Loan Facility by increasing the limitation on term loan indebtedness from \$900.0 million to \$1.0 billion, (iii) have additional flexibility to make investments, capital expenditures, and dividends and other distributions with respect to equity interests and (iv) make a cash dividend of approximately \$300.0 million in the aggregate to the equity holders of Parent on a pro rata basis. In connection with the offering of the Notes and the New Term Loan Facility we borrowed \$101.6 million on our ABL Line of Credit.

On September 2, 2011, the Company completed an amendment and restatement of the credit agreement governing the Company's \$600 million ABL Line of Credit, which, among other things, extended the maturity date to September 2, 2016. The aggregate amount of commitments under the amended and restated credit agreement is \$600 million and, subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions, the Company may increase the aggregate amount of commitments up to \$900 million. Interest rates under the amended and restated credit agreement are based on LIBO rates as determined by the administrative agent plus an applicable margin of 1.75% to 2.25% based on daily availability, or various prime rate loan options plus an applicable margin of 0.75% to 1.25% based on daily availability. The fee on the average daily balance of unused loan commitments is 0.375%.

The Company believes that the amended and restated credit agreement provides the liquidity and flexibility to meet its operating and capital requirements over the next five years, as well as improved pricing reflective of the Company's continued improving performance and credit risk profile. Further, the calculation of the borrowing base under the amended and restated credit agreement has been amended to allow for increased availability, particularly during the September 1st through December 15th period of each year. As a result of the amended and restated credit agreement, the Company will capitalize approximately \$4 to \$5 million in deferred debt charges that will be expensed over the life of the amended and restated credit agreement and has written off approximately \$4 million in deferred charges from the existing credit agreement.

The amended and restated credit agreement follows certain other refinancing transactions completed by the Company in February 2011 (as discussed in Note 3 to the Company's Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements entitled "Long Term Debt"), highlights of which are as follows:

The Company replaced its \$900 million Senior Secured Term Loan Facility with a \$1.0 billion senior secured term loan facility which matures on February 15, 2017. This facility has 1% annual amortization which is paid quarterly.

The Company's 11.1% Senior Notes and Burlington Coat Factory Investments Holdings, Inc.'s 14.5% Senior Discount Notes were repurchased; and

BCFWC completed the sale of \$450 million aggregate principal amount of 10% Senior Notes due February 15, 2019.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

Other than operating leases consummated in the normal course of business and letters of credit, as more fully described below, we are not involved in any off-balance sheet arrangements that have or are reasonably likely to have a material current or future impact on our financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures, or capital resources.

Contingencies and Contractual Obligations

Legal

We establish reserves for the settlement amounts, as well as reserves relating to legal claims, in connection with litigation to which we are party from time to time in the ordinary course of business. The aggregate amount of such reserves was \$7.1 million, \$6.9 million and \$17.5 million as of July 30, 2011, January 29, 2011 and July 31, 2010, respectively. We believe that potential liabilities in excess of those recorded will not have a material adverse effect on our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. However, there can be no assurances to this effect.

Edgar Filing: BURLINGTON COAT FACTORY WAREHOUSE CORP - Form 10-Q

We are party to various litigation matters, in most cases involving ordinary and routine claims incidental to our business. We cannot estimate with certainty our ultimate legal and financial liability with respect to such pending litigation matters. However, we believe, based on our examination of such matters, that our ultimate liability will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

A putative class action lawsuit, entitled *May Vang, and all others similarly situated, v. Burlington Coat Factory Warehouse Corporation*, Case No. 09-CV-08061-CAS, was filed in the Superior Court of the State of California on September 17, 2009 and was amended and refiled on November 16, 2009 in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California – Western Division. The named plaintiff purported to assert claims on behalf of all current, former, and future employees in the United States and the State of California for the relevant statutory time period. The amended complaint asserted claims for failure to pay all earned hourly wages in violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA), failure to pay all earned hourly wages in violation of the California Labor Code, providing compensatory time off in lieu of overtime pay, forfeiture of vacation pay, failure to provide meal and rest periods, secret payment of lower wages than that required by statute or contract, failure to provide accurate, written wage statements, and unfair competition. The complaint sought certification as a class with respect to the FLSA claims, certification of a class with respect to California law claims, appointment of class counsel and class representative, civil penalties, statutory penalties, declaratory relief, injunctive relief, actual damages, liquidated damages, restitution, pre-judgment interest, costs of suit and attorney's fees. On March 7, 2011, the United States District Court for the Central District of California – Western Division granted preliminary approval to a settlement agreement pursuant to which we will pay class members an immaterial amount in settlement of claims on a class basis. On June 27, 2011, the District Court granted final approval of the parties' settlement agreement. Payment pursuant to the settlement agreement was made on August 25, 2011. This settlement was included in our \$7.1 million legal reserve discussed above.

There have been no significant changes to our contractual obligations and commercial commitments table as disclosed in our Fiscal 2010 10-K, except as follows:

Lease Agreements

We enter into lease agreements during the ordinary course of business in order to secure favorable store locations. As of July 30, 2011, we were committed to 15 new lease agreements (exclusive of 3 relocations) for locations at which stores are expected to be opened during the remainder of Fiscal 2011. Inclusive of these new leases, the Company's minimum lease payments for all operating leases are expected to be \$102.0 million, \$204.7 million, \$194.9 million, \$167.9 million, and \$624.6 million for the remainder of the fiscal year ended January 28, 2012, and the fiscal years ended February 2, 2013, February 1, 2014, January 31, 2015 and January 30, 2016 and all subsequent years thereafter, respectively.

Table of Contents

Letters of Credit

We had letter of credit arrangements with various banks in the aggregate amount of \$52.4 million and \$52.1 million as of July 30, 2011 and July 31, 2010, respectively. Among these arrangements as of July 30, 2011 and July 31, 2010, we had letters of credit in the amount of \$32.1 million and \$29.4 million, respectively, guaranteeing performance under various insurance contracts and utility agreements. We also had an outstanding letter of credit of \$1.2 million at July 31, 2010 guaranteeing our Industrial Revenue Bonds. Finally, we had outstanding letters of credit agreements in the amount of \$20.3 million and \$21.5 million at July 30, 2011 and July 31, 2010, respectively, related to certain merchandising agreements.

We had irrevocable letters of credit in the amount of \$39.6 million as of January 29, 2011. Based on the terms of the credit agreement relating to the ABL Line of Credit, we had available letters of credit of \$180.0 million as of January 29, 2011. Letters of credit outstanding as of January 29, 2011 amounted to \$30.4 million, guaranteeing performance under various lease agreements, insurance contracts, and utility agreements. We also had outstanding letters of credit arrangements in the aggregate amount of \$9.2 million at January 29, 2011, related to certain merchandising agreements.

Safe Harbor Statement

This report contains forward-looking statements that are based on current expectations, estimates, forecasts and projections about us, the industry in which we operate and other matters, as well as management's beliefs and assumptions and other statements regarding matters that are not historical facts. For example, when we use words such as projects, expects, anticipates, intends, plans, believes, seeks, estimates, would, could, will, opportunity, potential or may, variations of such words or other words that convey uncertainty of future events or outcomes, we are making forward looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 (Securities Act) and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Exchange Act). Our forward-looking statements are subject to risks and uncertainties. Such statements include but are not limited to, proposed store openings and closings, proposed capital expenditures, projected financing requirements, proposed developmental projects, projected sales and earnings, our ability to maintain selling margins, and the effect of the adoption of recent accounting pronouncements on our consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows. Actual events or results may differ materially from the results anticipated in these forward-looking statements as a result of a variety of factors. While it is impossible to identify all such factors, factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those estimated by us include: competition in the retail industry, seasonality of our business, adverse weather conditions, changes in consumer preferences and consumer spending patterns, import risks, inflation, general economic conditions, our ability to implement our strategy, our substantial level of indebtedness and related debt-service obligations, restrictions imposed by covenants in our debt agreements, availability of adequate financing, our dependence on vendors for our merchandise, events affecting the delivery of merchandise to our stores, existence of adverse litigation, availability of desirable locations on suitable terms, and other risks discussed from time to time in our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC).

Many of these factors are beyond our ability to predict or control. In addition, as a result of these and other factors, our past financial performance should not be relied on as an indication of future performance. The cautionary statements referred to in this section also should be considered in connection with any subsequent written or oral forward-looking statements that may be issued by us or persons acting on our behalf. We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except as required by law. In light of these risks and uncertainties, the forward-looking events and circumstances discussed in this report might not occur. Furthermore, we cannot guarantee future results, events, levels of activity, performance or achievements.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

There were no new accounting standards issued during the six months ended July 30, 2011 that are expected to have a material impact on the Company's Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

We are exposed to certain market risks as part of our ongoing business operations. Primary exposures include changes in interest rates, as borrowings under our ABL Line of Credit and New Term Loan bear interest at floating rates based on LIBOR or the base rate, in each case plus an applicable borrowing margin and investing activities. The New Term Loan interest is also dependent on the LIBOR, prime rate, and the federal funds rate as further discussed in Note 3 to our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements entitled Long Term Debt.

We will manage our interest rate risk by balancing the amount of fixed-rate and floating-rate debt and through the use of interest rate cap agreements. For fixed-rate debt, interest rate changes do not affect earnings or cash flows. Conversely, for floating-rate debt, interest rate changes generally impact our earnings and cash flows, assuming other factors are held constant.

Edgar Filing: BURLINGTON COAT FACTORY WAREHOUSE CORP - Form 10-Q

At July 30, 2011, we had \$474.4 million principal amount of fixed-rate debt and \$1,057.3 million of floating-rate debt. Based on \$1,057.3 million outstanding as floating-rate debt, an immediate increase of one percentage point, excluding the interest rate caps, would cause an increase to cash interest expense of approximately \$10.6 million per year, resulting in \$10.6 million less in our pre-tax earnings. This sensitivity analysis assumes our mix of financial instruments and all other variables will remain constant in future periods. These assumptions are made in order to facilitate the analysis and are not necessarily indicative of our future intentions.

Table of Contents

If a one percentage point increase in interest rates were to occur over the next four quarters excluding the interest rate cap, such an increase would result in the following additional interest expenses (assuming current borrowing level remains constant):

	Principal Outstanding at July 30, 2011	Additional Interest Expense Q3 2011	(in thousands)		Additional Interest Expense Q2 2012
			Additional Interest Expense Q4 2011	Additional Interest Expense Q1 2012	
Floating Rate Debt					
ABL Line of Credit	79,000	198	198	198	198
New Term Loan	978,265	2,445	2,444	2,442	2,435
	\$ 1,057,265	\$ 2,643	\$ 2,642	\$ 2,640	\$ 2,633

We have two interest rate cap agreements for a maximum principal amount of \$900.0 million which limit our interest rate exposure to 7% on our first \$900.0 million dollars of borrowings under our variable rate debt obligations. If interest rates were to increase above the 7% cap rate, then our maximum interest rate exposure would be \$8.4 million assuming constant borrowing levels of \$900.0 million. Currently, we have unlimited interest rate risk related to our variable rate debt in excess of \$900 million. As of July 30, 2011, the borrowing rates related to our New Term Loan and our ABL Line of Credit were 6.3% and 3.8%.

Our ability to satisfy our interest payment obligations on our outstanding debt will depend largely on our future performance, which, in turn, is in part subject to prevailing economic conditions and to financial, business and other factors beyond our control. If we do not have sufficient cash flow to service our interest payment obligations on our outstanding indebtedness and if we cannot borrow or obtain equity financing to satisfy those obligations, our business and results of operations will be materially adversely affected. We cannot be assured that any replacement borrowing or equity financing could be successfully completed.

A change in interest rates generally does not have an impact upon our future earnings and cash flow for fixed-rate debt instruments. As fixed-rate debt matures, however, and if additional debt is acquired to fund the debt repayment, future earnings and cash flow may be affected by changes in interest rates. This effect would be realized in the periods subsequent to the periods when the debt matures.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

Our management team, under the supervision and with the participation of our principal executive officer and our principal financial officer, evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as such term is defined under Rule 13a-15(e) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (Exchange Act), as of the last day of the fiscal period covered by this report, July 30, 2011. The term disclosure controls and procedures means our controls and other procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to management, including our principal executive and principal financial officer, or persons performing similar functions, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Based on this evaluation, our principal executive officer and our principal financial officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of July 30, 2011.

During the three months ended July 30, 2011, there were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION**Item 1. Legal Proceedings.**

A putative class action lawsuit, entitled May Vang, and all others similarly situated, v. Burlington Coat Factory Warehouse Corporation, Case No. 09-CV-08061-CAS, was filed in the Superior Court of the State of California on September 17, 2009 and was amended and refiled on November 16, 2009 in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California - Western Division. The named plaintiff purported to assert claims on behalf of all current, former, and future employees in the United States and the State of California for the relevant statutory time period. The amended complaint asserted claims for failure to pay all earned hourly wages in violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA),

Edgar Filing: BURLINGTON COAT FACTORY WAREHOUSE CORP - Form 10-Q

failure to pay all earned hourly wages in violation of the California Labor Code, providing compensatory time off in lieu of overtime pay, forfeiture of vacation pay, failure to provide meal and rest periods, secret

Table of Contents

payment of lower wages than that required by statute or contract, failure to provide accurate, written wage statements, and unfair competition. The complaint sought certification as a class with respect to the FLSA claims, certification of a class with respect to California law claims, appointment of class counsel and class representative, civil penalties, statutory penalties, declaratory relief, injunctive relief, actual damages, liquidated damages, restitution, pre-judgment interest, costs of suit and attorney's fees. On March 7, 2011, the United States District Court for the Central District of California - Western Division granted preliminary approval to a settlement agreement pursuant to which the Company will pay class members an immaterial amount in settlement of claims on a class basis. On June 27, 2011, the District Court granted final approval of the parties' settlement agreement. Payment pursuant to the settlement agreement was made on August 25, 2011. This settlement was included in our \$7.1 million legal reserve discussed above.

In addition to the litigation discussed above we are party to various other litigation matters, in most cases involving ordinary and routine claims incidental to our business. We cannot estimate with certainty our ultimate legal and financial liability with respect to such pending litigation matters. However, we believe, based on our examination of such matters, that our ultimate liability will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

There have been no material changes in our risk factors from those disclosed in Part I, Item 1A of our Fiscal 2010 10-K.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

None.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities.

None.

Item 4. Removed and Reserved.

Item 5. Other Information.

None.

Table of Contents

Item 6. Exhibits.

31.1	Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a - 14(a) or Rule 15d - 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a - 14(a) or Rule 15d - 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1	Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2	Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

**BURLINGTON COAT FACTORY INVESTMENTS
HOLDINGS, INC.**

/s/ THOMAS A. KINGSBURY
Thomas A. Kingsbury
President & Chief Executive Officer

/s/ TODD WEYHRICH
Todd Weyhrich
Executive Vice President & Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)

Date: September 13, 2011