EXPRESS SCRIPTS INC Form 10-K/A April 02, 2012

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Amendment No. 1)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011,

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
FOR THE TRANSITION PERIOD FROM ______ TO _____.

Commission File Number: 0-20199

EXPRESS SCRIPTS, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of 43-1420563 (I.R.S. Employer

incorporation or organization)

Identification No.)

One Express Way, St. Louis, MO (Address of principal executive offices)

63121 (Zip Code)

Registrant s telephone number, including area code: (314) 996-0900

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Class Common Stock \$0.01 par value Name of each exchange on which registered Nasdaq Global Select Market

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes "No x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No^{\cdot}

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation of S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant s knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer x Non-accelerated filer " (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes " No x

The aggregate market value of Registrant s voting stock held by non-affiliates as of June 30, 2011, was \$26,290,443,000 based on 487,040,000 such shares held on such date by non-affiliates and the average sale price for the Common Stock on such date of \$53.98 as reported on the Nasdag Global Select Market. Solely for purposes of this computation, the Registrant has assumed that all directors and executive officers of the Registrant are affiliates of the Registrant. The Registrant has no non-voting common equity.

Common stock outstanding as of February 29, 2012:

Shares 485.242.000

Accelerated filer

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE:

None

EXPLANATORY NOTE

Express Scripts, Inc. (we, the Company or Express Scripts) filed its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011 (the Original Form 10-K) with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC) on February 22, 2012. We are filing this Amendment No. 1 to the Original Form 10-K (this Form 10-K/A) solely for the purpose of including in Part III the information that was to be incorporated by reference from our definitive proxy statement for our 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, because the definitive proxy statement of Express Scripts, Inc. will not be filed with the SEC within 120 days after the end of our fiscal year ended December 31, 2011. This Form 10-K/A hereby amends and restates in their entirety Items 10 through 14 of Part III of the Original Form 10-K as well as the cover page to update the number of shares of our common stock outstanding and to remove the statement that information is being incorporated by reference from our definitive proxy statement.

Pursuant to Rule 12b-15 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), this Form 10-K/A also contains new certifications pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. Accordingly, Item 15 of Part IV has also been amended and restated in its entirety to include the currently dated certifications as exhibits, and to reference the financial statements and financial statement schedule previously filed with the Original Form 10-K. Because no financial statements have been included in this Form 10-K/A and this Form 10-K/A does not contain or amend any disclosure with respect to Items 307 and 308 of Regulation S-K, paragraphs 3, 4 and 5 of the certifications have been omitted.

No attempt has been made in this Form 10-K/A to modify or update the other disclosures presented in the Original Form 10-K, including, without limitation, the financial statements. This Form 10-K/A does not reflect events occurring after the filing of the Original Form 10-K or modify or update the disclosures in the Original Form 10-K, except as set forth in this Form 10-K/A, and should be read in conjunction with the Original Form 10-K and our other filings with the SEC.

Forward Looking Statements and Associated Risks

Information we have included or incorporated by reference in this Form 10-K/A, and information which may be contained in our other filings with the SEC and our press releases or other public statements, contain or may contain forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements include, among others, statements of our plans, objectives, expectations (financial or otherwise) or intentions.

Our forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties. Our actual results may differ significantly from those projected or suggested in any forward-looking statements. We do not undertake any obligation to release publicly any revisions to such forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances occurring after the date hereof or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events. Any number of factors could cause our actual results to differ materially from those contemplated by any forward looking statements, including, but not limited to the factors listed below:

STANDARD OPERATING FACTORS

our ability to remain profitable in a very competitive marketplace is dependent upon our ability to attract and retain clients while maintaining our margins, to differentiate our products and services from others in the marketplace, and to develop and cross sell new products and services to our existing clients.

our failure to anticipate and appropriately adapt to changes in the rapidly changing healthcare industry.

changes in applicable laws or regulations, or their interpretation or enforcement, or the enactment of new laws or regulations, which apply to our business practices (past, present or future) or require us to spend significant resources in order to comply.

changes to the healthcare industry designed to manage healthcare costs or alter healthcare financing practices.

changes relating to our participation in Medicare Part D, the loss of Medicare Part D eligible members, or our failure to otherwise execute on our strategies related to Medicare Part D.

a failure in the security or stability of our technology infrastructure, or the infrastructure of one or more of our key vendors, or a significant failure or disruption in service within our operations or the operations of such vendors.

our failure to effectively execute on strategic transactions, or to integrate or achieve anticipated benefits from any acquired businesses.

the termination, or an unfavorable modification, of our relationship with one or more key pharmacy providers, or significant changes within the pharmacy provider marketplace.

the termination, or an unfavorable modification, of our relationship with one or more key pharmaceutical manufacturers, or the significant reduction in payments made or discounts provided by pharmaceutical manufacturers.

changes in industry pricing benchmarks.

results in pending and future litigation or other proceedings which would subject us to significant monetary damages or penalties and/or require us to change our business practices, or the costs incurred in connection with such proceedings.

our failure to execute on, or other issues arising under, certain key client contracts.

the impact of our debt service obligations on the availability of funds for other business purposes, and the terms and our required compliance with covenants relating to our indebtedness.

our failure to attract and retain talented employees, or to manage succession and retention for our Chief Executive Officer or other key executives.

other risks described from time to time in our filings with the SEC. TRANSACTION-RELATED FACTORS

uncertainty as to whether we will be able to consummate the transaction with Medco Health Solutions, Inc. (Medco) on the terms set forth in the definitive merger agreement entered into on July 20, 2011, as amended (the Merger Agreement).

the ability to obtain governmental approvals of the transaction with Medco.

uncertainty as to the actual value of total consideration to be paid in the transaction with Medco.

failure to realize the anticipated benefits of the transaction, including as a result of a delay in completing the transaction or a delay or difficulty in integrating the businesses of Express Scripts and Medco.

uncertainty as to the long-term value of Express Scripts Holding Company (currently known as Aristotle Holding, Inc.) common shares.

limitation on the ability of Express Scripts and Express Scripts Holding Company to incur new debt in connection with the transaction.

the expected amount and timing of cost savings and operating synergies.

These and other relevant factors, including those risk factors in Item 1A Risk Factors in the Original Form 10-K and any other information included or incorporated by reference in this Report, and information which may be contained in our other filings with the SEC, should be carefully considered when reviewing any forward-looking statement. We note these factors for investors as permitted under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Investors should understand that it is impossible to predict or identify all such factors or risks. As such, you should not consider either foregoing lists, or the risks identified in our SEC filings, to be a complete discussion of all potential risks or uncertainties.

PART III

Item 10 Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

Directors

Gary G. Benanav, 66, was elected a director of Express Scripts in January 2000. He served as Vice Chairman and a Director of New York Life Insurance Company or New York Life, a life insurance and financial services company, from November 1999 until his retirement in March 2005 and as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of New York Life International from December 1997 until his retirement in March 2006. Mr. Benanav has served or serves on the boards of public companies, and has held leadership roles in industry trade groups. Mr. Benanav is currently a director of Barnes Group, Inc.

Relevant Areas of Expertise, Experience and Qualifications: Mr. Benanav brings experience to our board in the areas of mergers and acquisitions, corporate finance, law and compliance, government regulation, and international trade and operations. Mr. Benanav has experience in a variety of business sectors including banking and financial services, capital markets, and life, health and property and casualty insurance. Mr. Benanav holds a Masters in Business Administration and is a licensed attorney. Mr. Benanav also has over nine years experience as a chief executive officer.

Maura C. Breen, 56, was elected a director of Express Scripts in July 2004. Ms. Breen served as Senior Vice President and General Manager for the New York Region for Verizon Communications, Inc. or Verizon, a provider of communications services, from March 2006 until her retirement in September 2008. Previously, Ms. Breen was Senior Vice President/Support Services, Network Services Group for Verizon, from December 2003 through March 2006. Ms. Breen also served as Senior Vice President & Chief Marketing Officer, Retail Market Groups for Verizon from July 2001 through December 2003. Ms. Breen also serves as Chair-elect of the Crohn s & Colitis Foundation of America.

Relevant Areas of Expertise, Experience and Qualifications: Ms. Breen brings experience to our board in the areas of marketing and branding, cost control and restructuring, technology and innovation, sales and business development, and operations. Ms. Breen has over 30 years of experience with a Fortune 100 company in the telecommunications industry, including over nine years as a corporate executive officer.

William J. DeLaney, 56, was appointed to the Board of Directors of Express Scripts in September 2011. Mr. DeLaney is currently Chief Executive Officer of Sysco Corporation (Sysco), and has served in this capacity since March 2009. He assumed the additional title of President of Sysco in March 2010. Mr. DeLaney began his Sysco career in 1987 and has held positions of increasing responsibility. In July 2007, Mr. DeLaney became Sysco s Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer and continued to serve in such position following his promotion to CEO until October 2009. He has been a director of Sysco Corporation since January 2009.

Relevant Areas of Expertise, Experience and Qualifications: Mr. DeLaney brings experience to our board in the areas of accounting, finance, operations and management. Throughout his career, Mr. DeLaney has developed experience and knowledge in the areas of leadership and management development, corporate strategy and development, finance and accounting and distribution and supply chain management. Mr. DeLaney holds a Master of Business Administration and has extensive experience as a corporate executive officer.

Nicholas J. LaHowchic, 64, was elected a director of Express Scripts in July 2001. Mr. LaHowchic is currently President of Diannic, LLC, a management consulting firm, and has served in this capacity since 2007. Previously, he served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Limited Logistics Services, Inc. or LLS, from October 1997, and as Executive Vice President for Limited Brands, Inc., a retail apparel company and the parent of LLS, from April 2004 until his retirement in February 2007. LLS provides supply chain, compliance and procurement services to retailers including Limited Brands, Inc. Mr. LaHowchic also served as a director of Advance Autoparts from 2006 to 2009.

Relevant Areas of Expertise, Experience and Qualifications: Mr. LaHowchic brings experience to our board in the areas of financial reporting, accounting and controls, corporate finance, mergers and acquisitions, public policy, and government regulation. Mr. LaHowchic has experience in a variety of business sectors globally including retail apparel, transportation and logistics services, manufacturing and distribution, and information services. Mr. LaHowchic holds a Masters in Business Administration and has over 16 years of experience as a chief executive officer.

Thomas P. Mac Mahon, 65, was elected a director of Express Scripts in March 2001 and has served as Presiding Director since May 2008. Mr. Mac Mahon served as Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer and a member of the Executive and Management Committees of Laboratory Corporation of America Holdings or LabCorp, the second largest independent clinical laboratory company in the U.S., from January 1997 until his retirement in December 2006. Mr. Mac Mahon has also served or serves on the boards of public and private companies, including Golden Pond Healthcare from 2007 to 2009. Mr. Mac Mahon is currently a director of LabCorp and also serves as a director of PharMerica Corporation and as chairman of its compensation committee. Mr. Mac Mahon is also a director of a start-up diagnostic company called SYNAP Diagnostics.

Relevant Areas of Expertise, Experience and Qualifications: Mr. Mac Mahon brings experience to our board in the areas of financial reporting, accounting and controls, mergers and acquisitions, corporate finance, cost control and restructuring, operations, public policy, law and compliance, government regulation, and information services. Mr. Mac Mahon has experience in a variety of business sectors including extensive healthcare experience. Mr. Mac Mahon has over ten years of experience as a chief executive officer.

Frank Mergenthaler, 51, was elected a director of Express Scripts in January 2009. He is currently Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Interpublic Group of Companies, Inc., an advertising and marketing services company, and has served in this capacity since July 2005. From April 2002 to July 2005, Mr. Mergenthaler was Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Columbia House Company, a direct marketer of entertainment content.

Relevant Areas of Expertise, Experience and Qualifications: Mr. Mergenthaler brings experience to our board in the areas of financial reporting, accounting and controls, corporate finance, cost control and restructuring, insurance and risk management, marketing and branding, and information services. Mr. Mergenthaler has experience in a variety of business sectors including extensive experience in the advertising and marketing industry. Mr. Mergenthaler is a Certified Public Accountant and has been a chief financial officer for over ten years, and is a former partner at PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP.

Woodrow A. Myers Jr., M.D., 57, was elected a director of Express Scripts in May 2007. Dr. Myers has served as the Managing Director of Myers Ventures, LLC, a healthcare consulting company, since December 2005. Dr. Myers served as Executive Vice President and Chief Medical Officer of WellPoint, Inc., a health benefits company, from September 2000 through December 2005. Dr. Myers has also served or serves on the boards of numerous public and private companies, including ThermoGenesis, Corp. from 2006 to 2009, Cardionet, Inc. from 2008 to 2009, Mozambique Healthcare Consortium, Stanford University Hospital & Clinics, and also previously served as former Commissioner of Health for New York City and for the State of Indiana. He is currently a director of Genomic Health, Inc.

Relevant Areas of Expertise, Experience and Qualifications: Dr. Myers brings medical and management experience to our board, including extensive experience in the healthcare industry. Dr. Myers is a Medical Doctor and also holds a Masters in Business Administration. Dr. Myers also has over ten years experience as a corporate executive officer.

John O. Parker, Jr., 67, was elected a director of Express Scripts in July 2001. Mr. Parker brings extensive corporate finance and management experiences to the board of directors, having served as a Venture Partner with Rho Ventures LLC, a venture capital firm, since January 2002. Mr. Parker has also served as chief information officer of several public companies including SmithKline Beecham, Sea-land Corporation, Squibb Corporation and Baxter Healthcare. He is currently serving as a member of the boards of directors of PHT Corporation, and Solicore, Inc., both privately held companies.

Relevant Areas of Expertise, Experience and Qualifications: Mr. Parker brings experience to our board in the areas of mergers and acquisitions, corporate finance, technology and innovation, information services, accounting and controls, government regulation, public policy, and international trade and operations. Mr. Parker has experience in a variety of business sectors including capital markets, manufacturing and distribution, healthcare, and transportation and logistics services. Mr. Parker holds a Masters in Business Administration and has over 20 years of experience as a corporate executive officer.

George Paz, 56, was elected a director of Express Scripts in January 2004 and has served as Chairman of the Board since May 2006. He was elected President of Express Scripts in October 2003 and also assumed the role

of Chief Executive Officer of Express Scripts on April 1, 2005. Mr. Paz joined Express Scripts and was elected Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer in January 1998 and continued to serve as Express Scripts Chief Financial Officer following his election to the office of President until his successor joined Express Scripts in April 2004. Mr. Paz is currently a member of the board of directors of Honeywell International, Inc. He also serves on the board of directors of the Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis.

Relevant Areas of Expertise, Experience and Qualifications: Mr. Paz has extensive knowledge about Express Scripts and the opportunities and challenges we face, and brings over 30 years of experience to our board of directors, including over five years as our chief executive officer and over ten years as a chief financial officer. Mr. Paz has experience in relevant areas such as tax, financial reporting, accounting and controls, corporate finance, insurance and risk management, mergers and acquisitions, capital markets, government regulation, and employee health benefits. Mr. Paz is also a Certified Public Accountant.

Samuel K. Skinner, 73, was elected a director of Express Scripts in February 2004. Mr. Skinner has been Of Counsel with the law firm of Greenberg Traurig, LLP since 2004. Mr. Skinner previously served as Chairman, President, and Chief Executive Officer of USF Corporation (formerly USFreightways Corporation) or USF, a transportation, freight forwarding and supply chain management company, from 2000 until his retirement in 2003. Mr. Skinner has also served or serves on the boards of numerous public and private companies, including Diamond Management & Technology Consultants from 2003 to 2009; Midwest Air Group Inc. from 1998 to 2008; Dade Behring Holdings from 2004 to 2007; Click Commerce Inc. from 2003 to 2006; and APAC Customer Services Inc. from 2003 to 2005 and 2008 to 2011. Mr. Skinner is currently a director of Navigant Consulting, Inc., MedAssets, CBOE, Inc., and Echo Global Logistics.

Relevant Areas of Expertise, Experience and Qualifications: Mr. Skinner brings experience to our board in the areas of law and compliance, government regulation, public policy and governmental affairs, capital markets, and mergers and acquisitions. Mr. Skinner has experience in a variety of business sectors including extensive experience in the transportation and logistics services industry. Mr. Skinner served in the United States Attorneys Office for the Northern District of Illinois from 1968 to 1977. Mr. Skinner also served as Chief of Staff to former President George H.W. Bush, and, prior to his White House service, he served in the President s cabinet as Secretary of Transportation for nearly 3 years. Mr. Skinner is a licensed attorney and also has experience as a chief executive officer.

Seymour Sternberg, 68, was elected a director of Express Scripts in March 1992. Mr. Sternberg became Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of New York Life in April 1997, and served as Chief Executive Officer until his retirement in June 2008. Mr. Sternberg continued to serve as non-executive Chairman of the Board of New York Life until May 2009. Mr. Sternberg was appointed by former President Clinton as one of three U.S. representatives to the Business Advisory Council of the Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation. Mr. Sternberg has also served or serves on the boards of several public or private companies and charitable organizations. Mr. Sternberg is currently a director of CIT Group, Inc. and the Chairman of the Board of Trustees of Northeastern University.

Relevant Areas of Expertise, Experience and Qualifications: Mr. Sternberg brings experience to our board in the areas of strategic oversight, capital markets, mergers and acquisitions, corporate finance, financial reporting, accounting and controls, law and compliance, government regulation, public policy and governmental affairs, and insurance and risk management. Mr. Sternberg has extensive experience in the life insurance and financial services industry. Mr. Sternberg also has over 30 years of experience as an executive corporate officer, including more than 11 years as chief executive officer.

Executive Officers

Information concerning our executive officers appears under Part I, Item 1 of the Original Form 10-K under the caption Executive Officers of the Registrant.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 requires our directors and executive officers, persons who beneficially own more than ten percent of a registered class of our equity securities, and certain other persons to file reports of ownership and changes in ownership on Forms 3, 4 and 5 with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) and the Nasdaq Stock Market, and to furnish the Company with copies of the forms. Based solely on our review of the forms we received or filed with the SEC, or written representations from reporting persons, we believe that all of our directors, executive officers and greater than ten percent beneficial owners complied with all such filing requirements during 2011.

Code of Ethics

We have adopted a code of ethics that applies to our directors, officers and employees, including our principal executive officers, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer, controller, or persons performing similar functions (the senior financial officers). A copy of this code of business conduct and ethics is posted on the investor information section of our website at www.express-scripts.com, and a print copy is available to any stockholder who requests a copy. In the event the code of ethics is revised, or any waiver is granted under the code of ethics with respect to any director, executive officer or senior financial officer, notice of such revision or waiver will be posted on our website. Information included on our website is not part of this annual report.

Audit Committee

The Company s board of directors has a standing Audit Committee. The members of the Audit Committee are Frank Mergenthaler (Chair), William J. DeLaney, John O. Parker, Jr. and Seymour Sternberg. Each member of the Audit Committee has been determined by the board of directors, in its judgment, to be an audit committee financial expert, as defined under applicable SEC rules, and independent, as defined by the listing standards of The Nasdaq Global Select Market.

Item 11 Executive Compensation

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Executive Summary

Company Performance

Our results for 2011 reflect the execution of our business model, which emphasizes the alignment of financial interests with those of our clients through greater use of generics, home delivery and specialty pharmacy. However, 2011 results came in below plan with PBM operating income increasing \$227 million, an 11% increase. 2011 results were negatively impacted by several factors including:

Lower claims volume than expected as a result of the current economic conditions;

Acceleration of projects to free up capacity for integration activities in 2012;

Additional expenses incurred to help our clients and members transition from Walgreens;

The negative impact of various marketplace forces affecting pricing and plan structure; and

Medco transaction costs.

Operating income for the Emerging Markets segment increased to \$23 million in 2011 from \$9 million in 2010 reflecting increased volume in the specialty distribution line of business.

Despite results in 2011 that were not in line with our annual goals, as described in more detail below, we led our peer group in compound annual growth in earnings per share and average return on invested capital over the previous three-year period. Our compounded growth in total stockholder return placed us in the middle of the peer group.

Compensation Program Reflects Best Practices

We have designed our compensation program to drive performance towards achievement of our short-term and long-term goals and to increase stockholder value, while appropriately balancing risk and reward. We regularly review our program to incorporate best practices, examples of which include:

Target total direct compensation (base salary, annual bonus and long-term incentives) set at median of peer group;

Mix of short- and long-term performance incentives, with emphasis on long-term performance;

Risk assessment of compensation programs;

Stock ownership guidelines;

Prohibitions on trading in derivatives;

Clawback policy adopted; and

No reportable perquisites. <u>Stockholder Say on Pay</u>

In May 2011, we held a stockholder advisory vote on executive compensation, commonly referred to as a say-on-pay vote. A substantial majority of the votes cast, approximately 96.9%, were in favor of the compensation of our named executive officers as disclosed in our 2011 proxy statement. The Compensation Committee discussed the results of the advisory vote and believes this affirms stockholders support of our approach to executive compensation and, as a result, the Compensation Committee decided to retain our general approach and intends to continue to structure our compensation programs to align with short-term and long-term company financial performance.

In addition to the advisory vote on executive compensation, we asked stockholders to vote on the frequency with which future advisory votes on executive compensation should occur. Of the shares voted, 70.9% selected an annual vote. Accordingly, the board of directors determined that we will hold an annual advisory stockholder vote on our executive compensation until the next say-on-pay frequency vote.

Components of Compensation

The key components of our compensation program for our chief executive officer, our chief financial officer and the three other officers named in the Summary Compensation Table below, whom we refer to as the named executives or named executive officers (excluding retirement, health and similar benefits which are generally available to all employees) are outlined in the following table:

Compensation Components	Key elements	Objective	Compensation Actions in 2011
Base Salary	A fixed annual cash amount, determined annually, and generally targeted at five percent below median of the peer group.	Provides a pay opportunity comparable with the companies with whom we compete for management talent.	Conducted a robust competitive market assessment in December 2010 to set total direct compensation (TDC) components, including base salary amounts for 2011.
			Increased base salary in 2011 by approximately 2% for each named executive officer to reflect average merit budget.
Annual Bonus Plan	The funding of the total bonus pool is determined annually based upon achievement of pre-established financial targets for the Company (adjusted EPS ¹ and adjusted EBITDA ²).	Rewards achievement of annual key financial metrics identified as important to the short-term success of the business.	Conducted a robust competitive market assessment in December 2010 to set TDC components, including annual bonus amounts for 2011.
	Individual payout levels are based primarily on the Company s financial performance, and secondarily on a subjective evaluation of each named executive s performance.	Motivates performance by delivering higher rewards for superior company results and reduced or no awards for underperformance.	CEO s target bonus of 130% and named executive officers target bonus of 80% remained unchanged.
			For 2011, achieved an adjusted EPS of \$2.97 versus a target of \$3.20 and an adjusted EBITDA of \$2.6 billion against a target of \$2.9 billion resulting in a company bonus factor of 0% which was applied to the CEO and each of the NEOs.
Long-Term Incentive Plan	Stock options (40% of long-term incentive awards for CEO, 50% for other named executive officers) with a three-year vesting and seven-year expiration period.	Aligns compensation with stockholder interests to create and sustain long-term stock price appreciation and stockholder value.	Conducted a robust competitive market assessment in December 2010 to set TDC components, including long-term incentive plan design and award amounts for 2011.
	Restricted stock units (25% of long-term incentive awards) with a three-year vesting period.	Stock options and restricted stock units reward creation of long-term stockholder value by linking compensation to our stock price growth.	Long-term incentive target award amounts were increased for the named executive officers to deliver TDC that better aligns
	Performance shares (35% of long-term incentive awards for CEO, 25% for other named executive officers) based upon the Company s performance over three years compared with peers in three equally weighted performance categories: total	Performance shares reward achievement of our long-term	with competitive market data.

stockholder return, compound annual growth in EPS, and three-year average return on invested capital.

financial goals in comparison with a set of peer companies.

Overlapping vesting periods help to manage compensation-related risks.

Multi-year vesting period serves as a retention tool.

¹ Earnings per share (EPS)

² Earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (EBITDA) <u>Compensation Philosophy and Objectives</u>

Aligning Compensation with Stockholder Interests

The primary goal of our compensation structure is to align the interests of our executives, including our named executive officers, with our stockholders through compensation vehicles that reward sustainable

performance. Rewarding the achievement of established annual and long-term goals has the ultimate objective of increasing long-term stockholder value. The elements utilized to help achieve this goal of alignment include the following:

grants of time-vested non-qualified stock options, or stock options, and awards of time-vested restricted stock units under our long-term incentive plan, currently the Express Scripts, Inc. 2011 Long Term Incentive Plan (referred to as the LTIP); grants of performance shares, which are intended to focus the executives on actions that are likely to enhance stockholder return, growth in earnings per share and return on invested capital;

executive stock ownership guidelines under which executives are expected to maintain significant holdings of our stock; and an annual cash incentive bonus plan (the Annual Bonus Plan), the funding and calculation of which is dependent upon the achievement of certain key financial measures which we believe are drivers of stockholder value.

Rewarding Both Annual and Long-Term Performance, with Emphasis on Long-Term Performance

The various components of our compensation structure are intended to reward the achievement of both annual and long-term performance objectives by the Company overall, the Company s business units, and the individual executives, with greater emphasis on long-term, sustainable performance. This objective, in many ways, overlaps with the alignment objective and is achieved through the same compensation elements, which include the following:

the Annual Bonus Plan, which is designed to focus the executives on company-wide, business unit, and/or individual annual work plan goals, and which requires the achievement of challenging key financial targets for funding;

grants of performance shares, which are contingent upon our performance against a group of peer companies in certain key financial metrics over a three-year period; and

grants of stock options and restricted stock units, the values of which are dependent upon growth of the Company s stock price over a period of several years.

Providing a Pay Opportunity Comparable with Peer Companies

In a constantly growing and changing business, it is vital that we be able to continually attract and retain superior employees in key executive positions. For that purpose, it is our goal to provide pay opportunities that are comparable with the companies with whom we compete for talent. These key compensation elements include the following:

a total compensation package consisting of base salary, potential Annual Bonus Plan award, and long-term incentive awards that, as a whole, is targeted at the market median, and is competitive with compensation packages offered by a comparable peer group of companies;

employment agreements with our key executives containing severance and change in control provisions; and

an Executive Deferred Compensation Plan, which provides a tax-advantaged method for executives to save for their retirement and under which we have historically made cash contributions that do not vest for three years (subject to acceleration upon eligibility for retirement, as described below).

Managing Compensation-Related Risks

As discussed in more detail below, we do not believe that our program encourages excessive or unnecessary risk-taking. See discussion on page 13.

Implementing our Compensation Objectives

Compensation Committee Members

The Compensation Committee (the Committee) is responsible for establishing, overseeing and reviewing executive compensation policies and for approving, validating and benchmarking the compensation and benefits provided to our named executive officers. Any decisions by the Committee related to compensation for the named

executive officers will be submitted for ratification to the independent members of the full board of directors. The Committee s charter is available on the Corporate Governance page in the Investor Information section of our website at <u>www.express-scripts.com</u>. The Committee includes four independent Directors Maura C. Breen (Chair), William J. DeLaney, Nicholas J. LaHowchic, and John O. Parker, Jr. Each of these Directors is independent, as defined by the listing standards of The Nasdaq Global Select Market.

Role of Management in Establishing Compensation

At the direction of the Chair of the Committee, management generally prepares the meeting materials for the Committee in advance of its meetings. A compensation consultant retained by the Committee may also prepare materials depending on the topics to be covered at the meeting. In the meetings, the Committee will consider for approval compensation matters for senior executives and equity grants for newly hired or promoted senior executives. Management may also ask that additional issues involving compensation policies or design be considered. During the annual evaluation process, the chief executive officer is given the opportunity to evaluate senior executives for purposes of annual merit increases, annual incentive payments and long-term equity awards. The Committee makes all compensation decisions for the named executives and other members of our senior management team, subject to ratification by the independent members of the full board of directors. However, the chief executive officer and certain other members of management may provide recommendations to the Committee on these matters.

Management may be asked to assist in conducting the meetings and to provide applicable data, information and other resources. The Committee s independent compensation consultant also participates as requested by the Committee. As part of their regular meetings, Committee members generally meet in executive session during which members of management are not present.

In consultation with the Committee, management establishes compensation parameters below the senior executive level that generally reflect the compensation philosophy and direction established by the Committee in setting compensation for senior management.

Role of the Compensation Consultant

The Committee has the authority under its charter to engage the services of outside advisors, experts and others to assist the Committee. In accordance with this authority, the Committee has engaged Pearl Meyer & Partners (the Compensation Consultant) since 2009 for all compensation matters related to the senior executive officers, including the chief executive officer.

The Committee is solely responsible for commissioning the work of the Compensation Consultant. The Compensation Consultant is independent of management and does no other executive compensation work for the Company. The Committee has adopted a policy requiring the approval of the Committee Chair, or, at the Chair s discretion, the entire Committee, before the Compensation Consultant can be utilized to perform any other services for the Company. The Committee has authority to hire and dismiss the Compensation Consultant and budgetary authority to establish engagements with the consultant. Management is copied on the work by the Compensation Consultant and discusses work in progress at the discretion of the Committee. As requested, a representative of the Compensation Consultant may participate in the meetings of the Committee in person or by telephone.

The role of the Compensation Consultant is to provide independent, expert advice to the Committee on the design and level of compensation paid to our senior executives. The Compensation Consultant compares the compensation elements for the senior executive officers, including the chief executive officer, with the compensation received by executives in comparable positions at a group of peer companies and to comparable positions as reported in nationally recognized salary surveys. The Committee considers these peer group pay levels as one of the factors utilized in arriving at its final compensation decisions. It is the Committee s current intention to conduct a competitive market assessment annually to assure that the senior executives are compensated appropriately from a competitive and design perspective. Following its analysis, the Compensation Consultant makes recommendations for consideration by the Committee. Pearl Meyer & Partners advised the Committee on a number of matters related to the 2011 executive compensation program, including:

Comprehensive review and validation of our compensation philosophy and strategy;

Competitive market assessment and recommendations for the decisions on base salary increases, Annual Bonus Plan targets, and long-term incentive grants; and

Review of the design of the Annual Bonus Plan, particularly the calibration of incentive award payouts with various levels of the Company s financial performance.

Management does not currently engage a separate executive compensation consultant and did not in 2011.

Competitive Market Assessment of Executive Compensation Programs

Our compensation approach is to combine base pay, annual incentive pay, and long-term incentive awards to create a total package that is, in general, approximately at the median compensation level for executive officers of a peer group of companies if performance objectives are achieved, and that can be at or above the 80th percentile of such compensation levels if stretch goals are achieved. Stretch goals are designed to achieve 80th percentile performance relative to the peer group.

As stated above, the Committee annually engages the Compensation Consultant to conduct a competitive market assessment for the named executive positions, including the chief executive officer. The assessment relative to 2011 compensation decisions was completed in December 2010, examining both the peer group (as described in more detail below) and general industry data, available through nationally published salary surveys, including companies with revenue similar in size to the Company s revenue. The compensation data from these two sources were weighted equally to develop the competitive market median for each component of total direct compensation for similar executive officer positions. The results provided a picture of market competitiveness on all three components of total direct compensation for each of the named executive officers. The competitive market assessment constitutes one of the factors utilized by the Committee in determining the appropriate pay levels for the named executive officers with primary emphasis on the position of total direct compensation to the market median.

Annually, Pearl Meyer & Partners works with the Committee and management to renew and/or identify a peer comparison group reflective of the Company s size and scope of business. Analysis by the Compensation Consultant identified a group of 16 companies judged to be comparable to the Company (the Peer Group Companies) based on their revenue, EBITDA, market capitalization, industry, business complexity and other similarities to the Company. The table below identifies companies in our 2011 peer group, which remained the same from 2010:

Aetna, Inc.	Laboratory Corporation of America
AmerisourceBergen Corp.	McKesson Corp.
Becton, Dickinson and Company	Medco Health Solutions, Inc.
Cardinal Health Inc.	Medtronic Inc.
Cigna Corporation	Quest Diagnostics, Inc.
Coventry Health Care, Inc.	UnitedHealth Group Inc.
CVS Caremark Corporation	Walgreen Co.
Humana, Inc.	WellPoint Inc.

The Peer Group Companies recommended by the Compensation Consultant and approved by the Committee include companies that are different from those in the peer group index in the Stock Performance Graph included in our annual report to stockholders. All of the Peer Group Companies are public companies in the healthcare industry, though not all are in the Pharmacy Benefit Management (PBM) sector, due to the limited number of publicly-traded companies in the PBM space. The Committee expects that it will be necessary to review and update the Peer Group Companies from time to time, whether to account for mergers, acquisitions or other changes to the Peer Group Companies, or based on a determination by the Committee that some or all of the Peer Group Companies are no longer appropriate for comparison purposes. The Committee anticipates a thorough review of the peer group in 2012.

The following table provides an overview of how we compare to our Peer Group Companies (financial information from the most recent publicly available information as of February 29, 2012):

Financial Information for Peer Group Companies

	(\$ in billions)			
	Revenue (most recent four quarters)	Total Assets (most recent quarter)	Market Capitalization (as of Dec 31, 2011)	
75 th Percentile	\$ 86.0	\$ 41.7	\$	24.5
50 th Percentile	48.8	25.8		15.6
25 th Percentile	15.3	14.8		11.4
Express Scripts, Inc.	\$ 46.1	\$ 15.6	\$	21.7
Express Scripts, Inc. Percentile	49%	26%		67%

(based on the most recent publicly available information as of February 29, 2012)

Assessment of Risk

While a significant portion of our executive compensation plan and our employee compensation program is generally performance-based, we do not believe that our program encourages excessive or inappropriate risk-taking. While appropriate risk-taking is a necessary component of growing a business, the Committee has focused on aligning our compensation policies with our long-term interests and avoiding short-term rewards for management decisions that could pose undue long-term risks to us. Examples of such practices include the following:

Limits on Annual Bonus Plan Awards. The compensation of our named executive officers is not overly-weighted toward short-term incentives. For instance, our CEO s target Annual Bonus Plan award in 2011 was approximately 15% of his total target compensation. Awards to each executive officer are limited by the terms of the Annual Bonus Plan to a fixed maximum specified in the Plan or a fixed percentage of an incentive pool, and further limited to 200% of his or her target bonus award (target bonus percentage applied to base salary, with the effect of any salary adjustments during the year).

<u>Emphasis on Long-Term Incentive Compensation</u>. The largest percentage of total target compensation is equity-based long-term incentive compensation which vests over a period of years. This vesting period encourages our executives to focus on sustaining our Company s long-term performance. These grants are also made annually, so executives always have unvested awards which could decrease significantly in value if our business is not managed for the long term.

<u>Use of Performance Shares</u>. A significant portion of long-term incentive compensation consists of performance shares. Performance share payouts are tied to our performance in certain key financial metrics (including stock price) relative to a peer group over a three-year period, which focuses management on sustaining our long-term performance. These awards also have overlapping performance periods, so any risks taken to increase the payout under one award could jeopardize the potential payouts under other awards. To further ensure that there is not a significant incentive for unnecessary risk-taking, we cap the payout of these awards at 250% of target.

Performance Metrics. Awards are made based on a review of a variety of indicators of performance, both absolute and relative, (e.g., EPS, EBITDA, total stockholder return, and return on invested capital), thus diversifying the risks associated with any single indicator of performance. We believe these metrics correlate to long-term creation of stockholder value and are affected by management decisions.

<u>Role of Compensation Committee</u>. Members of the Compensation Committee approve the final Annual Bonus Plan awards at their discretion, after the review of executive and corporate performance, subject to ratification by the independent members of the full board. Further, the Committee reviews the Company s incentive plans available to employees other than the named executive officers and discusses the compensation programs and practices in place to prevent unnecessary risk taking in those plans.

<u>Share Ownership Guidelines</u>. Our share ownership guidelines require the named executive officers to hold a certain amount of Company stock. This ensures that each executive will have a significant amount of personal wealth tied to long-term holdings in our stock.

<u>*Clawback Policy*</u>. We have adopted a clawback policy applicable to all current and former executive officers and certain other executives (including the chief accounting officer), who received incentive based compensation following the effectiveness of the policy. The policy is designed for the recovery of incentive compensation based on a restatement of financial results, regardless of whether misconduct was the cause of the restatement. The policy is effective beginning with fiscal year 2012 reporting.

In summary, we have structured our program so that a considerable amount of the wealth of our executives is tied to the long-term health of our Company, we avoid the type of disproportionately large short-term incentives that could encourage executives to take risks which may not be in our long-term interests, we provide incentives to manage for long-term performance and we safeguard stockholders from inappropriate incentive compensation payments being made in the case of financial restatement. We believe this combination of factors encourages our executives to manage our Company in a prudent manner.

Components of Executive Compensation

Base Pay

The Committee determines the salary for each of the named executive officers by considering the value and performance of the executive, recommendations by management (for named executives other than the chief executive officer) and the Compensation Consultant, the level and scope of responsibilities of the position, and the pay levels of similarly positioned executive officers using the competitive market assessment (described above and completed in December 2010 for base pay set in 2011). At the senior executive level, results applicable to the business unit or functional division headed by the executive also may factor into decisions related to changes in the base pay of the executive. In light of the focus on long-term performance, base pay is targeted five percent below median of the competitive market for comparable executive officer positions.

Salary levels are typically reviewed annually as part of our performance review process or upon a promotion or significant change in an executive s responsibilities. Salary increases are based on a combination of factors, including individual performance, changes in scope and complexity of responsibilities, competitive market median pay data, and changes in our overall budget for compensation. Changes in salary for the named executive officers and other members of senior management are approved by the Committee and annual changes are generally effective each year as of April 1. See Summary of 2011 Direct Compensation Decisions below.

Annual Bonus Plan

The Annual Bonus Plan provides the Company with a tool to assist in focusing executives on accomplishing current operational and financial objectives over a one-year period. The Annual Bonus Plan was maintained in 2011 without material changes to its design.

Each named executive officer has a bonus target, which is stated as a percentage of his or her annual base salary earnings. The targets are set by the Committee taking into consideration the median annual incentive pay levels from the competitive market assessment for similar positions. Each year, typically during the fourth quarter, the board of directors meets and approves a company-wide budget for the next calendar year which includes budget targets based on adjusted earnings per share (EPS) and adjusted earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (EBITDA). The Committee has limited discretion to make adjustments to EPS and EBITDA for one-time events such as integration-related expenses, changes in capitalization, or accounting changes. The Committee also typically meets during the fourth quarter at which time it adopts the EPS and EBITDA targets approved by the Board for the Annual Bonus Plan, as well as separate EBITDA targets for our various operating groups. The following table provides an overview of how funding under the annual incentive program is determined:

Performance Metric

EPS

Reason for Selecting the Metric EPS is a key metric used by outside investors to assess our profitability.

Role of Metric in Payout Determination

Achievement of the EPS target (as adjusted) is a threshold for making annual incentive payments. If such EPS target is not achieved, then the funding of the bonus pool is 0% and the Committee, at its discretion, can determine an adjusted bonus pool, if any. EBITDA EBITDA is a key metric by which we and many of our stockholders evaluate our overall financial performance.

Assuming that EPS target (as adjusted) is achieved:

If the EBITDA target (as adjusted) is exceeded, then 50% of the amount by which EBITDA is greater than the EBITDA target will be used to supplement the bonus pool up to a maximum of 200% of the pool at target %.

If the Company fails to meet such EBITDA target, the pool will be reduced by 50% of the EBITDA shortfall until the EBITDA target is achieved.

For 2011, the following factors were established to determine the bonus amounts:

The bonus pool funding factor, or the Company Factor (calculated as set forth in the table above), could range from 0% to 200% based on financial results for the Company as a whole;

The respective bonus targets were 130% of the base salary for the CEO and 80% of the base salary for the other named executive officers. The bonus award at target is calculated based on the annual base earnings during the calendar year the base salary typically changes in April of each year;

Each individual s payout award can be adjusted from 0% to 150% of target based on performance, as evaluated on a subjective basis by the Committee and the full board, and, for executives other than the CEO, by the CEO, taking into account such factors as they may determine;

The maximum payout for each named executive officer is 200% of the target bonus award.

For 2011, although we delivered an adjusted EPS of \$2.97 and an adjusted EBITDA of \$2.6 billion, our target metrics were EPS of \$3.20 and EBITDA of \$2.9 billion, and therefore the bonus pool was not funded. As a result, Annual Bonus Plan participants, including the CEO and named executive officers, did not receive bonuses for 2011. The individual targets and bonus amounts are reflected in the following table:

Executive	Bonus Target %	Bonus Award at Target	2011 Company Factor %	2011 Actual Bonus Award
George Paz	130	\$ 1,451,450		\$
Jeffrey Hall	80	487,200		
Ed Ignaczak	80	438,600		
Patrick McNamee	80	446,600		
Keith Ebling	80	385,700		
-Term Incentive Awards				

Factors considered by the Company in determining the appropriate equity vehicles to use include consideration of the prevalence of equity grants and mix in the competitive market assessment, rewarding share price improvement, retention, and relative stock and financial performance. Senior executives receive annual grants of long-term equity compensation allocated among three different types of equity grants. The annual awards are approved by the Committee based on the dollar value of the entire equity package, which is allocated among the forms of equity as follows for each of the named executive officers:

Award		
Type Stock	Target % of Long-Term Awards 50% (40% for CEO) based on the value	Key Features Vest in three equal annual installments.
Options	calculated by the Black-Scholes valuation model, as described in Note 10 to the Consolidated	Expire seven years from the date of grant.
	Financial Statements in the Company s Original Form 10-K.	Exercise price equals the fair market value of the stock on the grant date.
		Stock options only provide compensation value if the stock price increases after they are granted.
Restricted	25% of the long-term award, based on the	Vest in three equal annual installments.
Stock Units	fair market value of our common stock on the date of grant.	Upon vesting, payout in an equivalent number of shares of our common stock.
		Restricted stock units realizable value is determined based on stock price at time of vesting.
Performance share awards	25% (35% for CEO) based on the fair market value of our common stock on the date of grant.	Value of payout depends on stock price and the achievement of performance metrics over a three-year period compared with the Peer Group Companies (Medco Health, Solutions, Inc. has been weighted 2x as it has been a more direct business competitor).
		Performance metrics are total stockholder return, three-year compound annual growth in EPS, and three-year average return on invested capital and are weighted equally.
		Performance and payouts are based on:
		- Threshold: 40 th percentile results in a payout of 35% of the targeted award;
		- Target: 50th percentile results in a payout of 100% of the targeted award; and
		- Maximum: 80 th percentile results in a payout of 250% of the targeted award.
		Performance and the related payout, between threshold and target, and target and maximum, are interpolated.

Settled in shares of stock on a share-for-share basis.

In keeping with the Company s increased emphasis on pay-for-performance, the Committee believes that a larger portion of the chief executive officer s long-term incentive compensation (35%) should be represented by performance shares, as compared to the 25% for the Company s other executive officers. Therefore, for 2011, Mr. Paz s long-term incentive awards were allocated as follows: 40% stock options, 25% restricted stock units, and 35% performance shares. The weighting of the equity components is subject to change based on the Committee s evaluation and discretion.

The Committee has discretion to determine the vesting schedule for each time-based equity grant and generally makes grants that become exercisable in equal amounts over approximately three years. In order to relieve administrative burdens inherent with multiple vestings within a short time frame (e.g., calculation of tax withholding amounts, multiple SEC filing requirements, etc.), we generally align the annual vesting date for time-based equity awards to a date certain (as opposed to the anniversary of the actual grant date). For example, for annual awards to employees, which are granted in late February or early March of each year, we have historically aligned the set annual vesting dates for all awards to February 28. Except in the cases of retirement, disability or death, executives generally must be employed by the Company at the scheduled vesting time for their equity awards in order for such vesting to occur.

The size of a named executive s equity compensation award is based upon the evaluation by the Committee regarding the contribution that the executive officer is expected to make to the overall growth and profitability during the vesting period. The Committee also considers long-term incentive compensation levels at the Peer Group Companies. While the Company maintains stock ownership guidelines, the Committee does not take into account existing stock ownership levels of individual executives in determining the amount of equity awards.

If a business transaction occurs that would change the basis for determining the results for incentive compensation payments, the Committee may adjust the metrics to reflect the new business circumstances in a manner that provides equivalent opportunity and results requirements. The Committee may also make similar adjustments to account for changes in accounting principles or practices, changes in the number of shares outstanding, and similar changes, and may determine whether adjustments should be made for one-time or extraordinary items, prior period adjustments, discontinued operations and similar items. Such adjustments could occur for the metrics in the Annual Bonus Plan or the performance share portion of the equity grants.

Performance Share Award Results

The performance shares for the performance period January 1, 2009 through January 1, 2012 vested at 200% based on the achievement of the following criteria:

					Vesting as	
					а	Vesting
	Express				Percentage	Percent by
	Scripts		Percentile		of PSU	Relative
Criteria	Performance	Weight	Rank	Peer Rank	Grant	Weighting
Total Stockholder Return	15.7%	33 1/3%	53.3%	8 out of 16	100%	33 1/3%
Three Year Compounded Annual Growth Rate EPS	23.2%	33 1/3%	93.3%	2 out of 16	250%	83 1/3%
Three Year Average Return on Invested Capital	21.1%	33 1/3%	100.0%	1 out of 16	250%	83 1/3%
Total Vesting						200%

Total Vesting

The peer companies included for this performance period were in place in 2009 (the beginning of the performance period) and include the following: AmerisourceBergen Corp.; Becton, Dickinson and Company; Cigna Corporation; Coventry Health Care, Inc.; CVS Caremark Corporation; Health Net, Inc.; Henry Schein, Inc.; Humana, Inc.; Laboratory Corporation of America Holdings; Medco Health Solutions, Inc. (weighted as three companies); Omnicare, Inc.; Patterson Companies Inc.; and Quest Diagnostics, Inc. The vesting of these shares is reflected in the Options Exercises and Stock Vested Table on page 26.

Summary of 2011 Direct Compensation Decisions

The Committee engaged the Compensation Consultant to conduct a competitive market assessment on total direct compensation in December 2010 for the named executive officers. The results of this assessment were as follows:

the base salaries and target total cash compensation (base salary plus annual bonus at target) were at or below the 25th percentile of market which equated to approximately 10% or more below the market median, except for the CEO who was approximately 15-20% below:

the prior year s long-term incentive awards were at market median, except for the CEO s awards which were 10-15% below; and

the total direct compensation opportunities were at market median, except for those of the CEO, whose total direct compensation opportunity was between the 25th and 50th percentile.

The competitive market assessment showed that total direct compensation for the named executive officers was in line with the Company s stated compensation philosophy, except for the CEO whose total direct compensation was somewhat below. These market results were carefully considered along with the company-wide below market merit budget of 2.0% for all employees for 2011.

Following this careful review, and with a desire to promote fairness and equitable treatment on merit increases within the company, the Committee increased the CEO s base salary by the company-wide merit budget amount of 2.0% from \$1,100,000 to \$1,122,000. After this adjustment, the CEO s base salary remained below the Company s stated compensation philosophy of targeting base salary at generally 5% below market median. Annual bonus opportunity of 130% of base salary with a maximum opportunity of 200% remained unchanged from the previous year.

In addition, the Committee increased base salaries for the other named executive officers in line with the Company merit budget of 2.0%. Annual bonus opportunity of 80% of base salary with a maximum opportunity of 200% remained unchanged.

The chart below details the compensation decisions for 2011 and highlights the performance-based nature of our program by illustrating that the amount of actual direct compensation was significantly impacted by the Annual Bonus Plan (ABP) funding at 0% based on actual results for the year.

	2011	ABP Target Payout as a % of	Target Bonus Award	2011 LTI	Total Target Direct	Amt Earned Above/(Below) Target Bonus	Total Actual Direct
Name	Salary (a)	Salary (b)	(\$)(c)	Award (d)	Compensation	Award (e)	Compensation
George Paz	\$ 1,122,000	130%	\$ 1,451,450	\$ 7,200,000	\$ 9,773,450	\$ (1,451,450)	\$ 8,322,000
Jeffrey Hall	612,000	80%	487,200	2,200,000	3,299,200	(487,200)	2,812,000
Ed Ignaczak	551,000	80%	438,600	1,750,000	2,739,600	(438,600)	2,301,000
Patrick McNamee	561,000	80%	446,600	1,725,000	2,732,600	(446,600)	2,286,000
Keith Ebling	484,500	80%	385,700	1,625,000	2,495,200	(385,700)	2,109,500

- (a) Amounts shown represent base salaries effective April 1, 2011.
- (b) Payout range for 2011 as a percent of target bonus award is 0 200% for the named executive officers.
- (c) In determining the target bonus award, each executive s target bonus percentage is applied to his base salary, with the effect of any salary adjustments during the year pro-rated for the portion of the year during which they were in effect.
- (d) Specific 2011 long-term incentive awards to the named executive officers are contained in the table under the caption Grants of Plan-Based Awards in 2011 below.
- (e) Amounts shown represent the amount by which the annual bonus award under the Annual Bonus Plan was below the target opportunity due to below-target business performance. Discussion of actual company performance and awards is under the heading Annual Bonus Plan above.

Other Compensation Related Matters

Perquisites

In accordance with the compensation philosophy to pay for performance, no perquisites are provided to the senior executive officers that we would be required to report under the rules applicable to this proxy statement. All of the executives have offices that are no larger than those of the regular offices in our headquarters building; no reserved parking is provided to employees at any level; and no financial counseling programs are provided for executives. In addition, higher compensated executives pay higher premiums for medical insurance than lower compensated employees.

Deferred Compensation

The Company provides an opportunity for executives to participate in the Executive Deferred Compensation Plan (EDCP), a deferred compensation program that is intended to comply with the rules provided under Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code. Under the EDCP, participating executives can elect to defer up to 50% of their annual base pay and up to 100% of their annual bonus. In addition, we have historically made contributions to each executive s account under the EDCP equal to 6% of the executive s annual cash compensation, with the contributions subject to a cliff vesting at the end of the third calendar year following the year for which they are awarded. At such time as an executive becomes eligible for retirement under the EDCP (which occurs upon reaching a minimum of age 55 and having a combined age plus years of service with the Company of 65), all contributions made to such executive s account under the EDCP immediately become vested. Other than the 6% annual cash contribution to the EDCP and the opportunity to participate in the Company s qualified 401(k) plan, the Company provides no retirement benefits to its executives.

Deferred compensation gives executives a tax favored method of accumulating assets for current or retirement living expenses. The three-year vesting schedule that applies to the Company contributions is intended to serve as a retention device for the executives. Amounts contributed to the EDCP by either the participant or the Company are assumed to have been invested in one or more of a number of publicly available mutual funds and a Company Common Stock Fund. The plan is not formally funded and the returns that are paid on the participants accounts are equal to the gain or loss on the hypothetical market investments. As a result, the Committee believes that the Company has not promised to pay above-market returns on any participant s account under the EDCP.

Additional Benefits

Except as specifically described in this Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the executive officers participate in employee benefit plans generally available to all employees on the same terms as similarly situated employees, including our 401(k) plan and health and welfare plans. The Company provides equivalent health insurance to all of our employees, and the employee paid portions of the premiums on such insurance are tiered such that more highly compensated employees pay higher premiums in order to subsidize the premiums for lower paid employees. As a result, the employee contributions paid by our executives are more than 300% higher than those paid by our lowest paid employees.

Clawback Policy

In 2011, the Compensation Committee adopted a formal clawback policy that will be applicable for fiscal year 2012 reporting and beyond. Our policy applies to all current and former named executive officers and certain other executives (including the chief accounting officer) who received incentive based compensation following the effectiveness of the policy, and allows for recovery of incentive compensation payments based on restated financial results, regardless of whether misconduct was the cause of the restatement. The Compensation Committee retains discretion regarding the application of the policy. Once final rules are released regarding clawback requirements under the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, we intend to review our policies and plans and, if necessary, amend them to comply with the new mandates.

Employment Agreements

We have entered into employment agreements with our chief executive officer and each of our executive vice presidents, which also contain severance and change in control provisions. The Committee believes these agreements are appropriate for a number of reasons, including the following:

the agreements assist in attracting and retaining executives as we compete for talented employees in a marketplace where such agreements are commonly offered;

the severance provisions require terminated executives to execute a release in order to receive severance benefits and such benefits are conditioned upon compliance with various terms of the agreement, including non-competition, non-solicitation and non-disparagement covenants; and

the change in control and severance provisions help retain key personnel during rumored or actual acquisitions or similar corporate changes.

These agreements do not materially affect the Committee s annual compensation determinations, as they only restrict its ability to reduce base salary.

In 2010, the employment agreement with the CEO was amended to, among other things, extend the term of the agreement, and eliminate tax gross-ups on certain parachute payments. Additional information about the employment agreements with the named executives, and the severance and change in control provisions of the agreements, can be found under the caption Employment Agreements and Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control below.

Deductibility of Compensation

The goal for the deductibility of compensation is to comply with the requirements of Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, to the extent deemed practicable or appropriate by the Committee. Section 162(m) places a limit of \$1 million on the amount of compensation that a publicly-traded company may deduct in any one year for any of its named executive officers. This limitation does not apply to performance-based compensation meeting certain requirements (including the requirement that such compensation be paid under a stockholder-approved plan). For 2011, the grants of stock options and performance shares were designed to satisfy the deductibility requirements of Section 162(m).

As discussed above, the annual bonus awards are awarded and paid under the LTIP, thus satisfying the requirement under Section 162(m) that performance-based compensation be paid pursuant to a stockholder-approved plan. Accordingly, the Committee intends for these awards under the annual incentive program to be deductible for 2012 and future years.

Stock Ownership Guidelines for Executives

The Company has guidelines for stock ownership among its executive group. The purpose of the guidelines is to have each executive show his or her commitment to the Company and to its stockholders by holding a prescribed number of shares. Included in determining compliance with these guidelines are the following:

unvested restricted stock/restricted stock units, net of taxes;

share equivalents under the EDCP, net of taxes;

vested, unexercised stock options and stock-settled stock appreciation rights(SSARs), net of taxes; and

long shares held outside of the plan.

Unvested performance shares, unvested stock options, and unvested SSARs are not included in determining compliance. Even though these guidelines are not mandatory, each executive s status with respect to stock ownership is annually reviewed and communicated. Each executive has five years from the time of becoming an executive officer to attain the recommended ownership level. The guidelines require each individual to hold a number of eligible shares with a value at least equal to a multiple of his or her base annual salary as follows: 5.0x for the chief executive officer, 3.0x for all executive vice presidents, 2.5x for all senior vice presidents, and 1.0x-1.5x for our vice presidents, with an exception for cases where the guidelines are not met due to a significant decrease in the stock price. As of December 31, 2011, each of the named executive officers had met his stock ownership requirements.

Option Granting Policy

The Company has a Policy for Grant Approvals and for Establishing Grant Date for Equity Grants. Under this policy:

annual awards of equity will be approved by the Committee, and ratified by the board of directors, during the first quarter of each fiscal year, or at a special meeting, normally in advance of the annual earnings release, with an effective grant date as of the last to occur of the following: (i) the date of the final action necessary by the Committee, the board of directors or the chief executive officer (as appropriate) to approve such award; (ii) such later date as may be specified in the terms of such award; or (iii) if the effective date under the (i) or (ii) above would not fall within an open window trading period, then such award will be granted with an effective grant date as of the third trading date following the date of our next succeeding release of quarterly or annual financial results;

special awards for new hires, retention, promotional and special recognition may be granted during an open window trading period or, if the Committee, the board of directors or the chief executive officer (as appropriate) acts outside of such a period, then such award will be granted with an effective grant date as of the third trading date following the date of our next succeeding release of quarterly or annual financial results;

the exercise price of stock options and stock appreciation rights will be not less than the closing trading price of the stock on the grant date; and

equity grants will be made on a nominal value basis consistent with the method the Company uses to value options for financial reporting purposes under applicable authoritative accounting guidance.

By making grants during the first quarter, the Committee is able to consider the previous year s financial performance in determining the size and structure of such grants, both in the aggregate and with respect to individual executives. Additionally, by making the awards during the first quarter, such grants are coordinated with the annual bonus awards and annual salary adjustments.

Derivatives Trading

Because a primary goal of equity-based incentive compensation is to align the interests of our executives with our stockholders, our policy prohibits the trading of derivative securities related to shares of our stock, including engaging in hedging strategies using puts, calls or other types of derivative securities.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

The Compensation Committee is comprised of Maura C. Breen (Chair), William J. DeLaney, Nicholas J. LaHowchic and John O. Parker, Jr., none of whom are employees or current or former officers of our Company, or had any relationship with our Company required to be disclosed under Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions.

Compensation Committee Report

The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section of this report with management. Based on such review and discussions, the Compensation Committee recommended to the board of directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this Amendment to Annual Report on Form 10-K/A.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE

Maura C. Breen, Chairperson William J. DeLaney Nicholas J. LaHowchic John O. Parker, Jr.

February 21, 2012 Summary Compensation Table

The following table summarizes the compensation of our named executive officers listed in the table for the year ended December 31, 2011:

Name and Principal			Stock	Option	Non-Equity Incentive Plan	All Other	
Name and Frincipal		Salary	Awards(1)(10)	Awards(2)		Compensation	Total
Position	Year	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)(3)	(\$)(4)	(\$)
George Paz							
President, Chief	2011	\$ 1,115,739	\$ 4,320,000(5)	\$ 2,880,000	\$	\$ 200,211	\$ 8,515,950
Executive Officer,	2010	1,066,308	4,200,000	2,800,000	2,016,950	227,938	10,311,196
-							
Chairman	2009	971,692	4,146,000	2,764,000	2,528,500	217,582	10,627,774
Jeffrey Hall	2011	608,585	1,100,000(6)	1,100,000		89,307	2,897,892
Executive Vice President,	2010	580,346	950,000	950,000	675,700	89,911	3,245,957
Chief Financial Officer	2009	507,846	885,000	885,000	714,000	80,521	3,072,367
Ed Ignaczak	2011	547,869	875,000(7)	875,000		81,384	2,379,253
Executive Vice President,	2010	518,662	825,000	825,000	604,360	87,578	2,860,600
Sales & Marketing	2009	460,123	720,000	720,000	736,800	80,657	2,717,580
Patrick McNamee	2011	557,869	862,500(8)	862,500		83,035	2,365,904
Executive Vice President,	2010	534,389	850,000	850,000	621,876	85,541	2,941,806
Chief Operating Officer	2009	490,412	745,000	745,000	687,120	80,840	2,748,372
Keith Ebling	2011	481,796	812,500(9)	812,500		73,122	2,179,918
Executive Vice President,							

General Counsel	2010	457,312	750,000	750,000	532,730	74,045	2,564,087
	2009	408,677	877,500	877,500	572,600	51,395	2,787,672

(1) Amounts reflect the aggregate fair value of restricted stock and performance share awards as of their grant date calculated in accordance with applicable authoritative accounting guidance. For restricted stock, restricted stock units and performance share

awards, fair value is calculated using the closing price of our common stock on the date of grant. For additional information regarding stock-based compensation, refer to Note 10 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in the financial statements in the Original Form 10-K.

- (2) Amounts reflect the aggregate fair value of stock options as of their grant date calculated in accordance with applicable authoritative accounting guidance. The values were calculated using a Black-Scholes multiple option-pricing model. For additional information regarding stock-based compensation, including the assumptions used in the Black-Scholes model, refer to Note 10 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in the financial statements in our Original Form 10-K.
- (3) Amounts reflect the cash awards earned during 2011 under our annual bonus plan, as discussed in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis above. These amounts were approved by the Compensation Committee at its February 21, 2012 meeting.
- (4) Amounts shown as All Other Compensation include the basic company credit contribution under the EDCP and the matching contribution under the Company s 401(k) plan. The amounts for 2011 are as follows:

Compensation Category	Mr. Paz	Mr. Hall	Mr. Ignaczak	Mr. McNamee	Mr. Ebling
Company Credit					
Contribution under the EDCP	\$ 187,961	\$77,057	\$ 69,134	\$ 70,785	\$ 60,872
Company Matching					
Contribution to the 401(k)	12,250	12,250	12,250	12,250	12,250
Total	200.211	89.307	81,384	83,035	73.122

(5) Includes both an award of performance shares with a grant date fair value of \$2,520,000, which is based on the market price of common stock on date of grant, and an award of time-based restricted stock units with a grant date fair value of \$1,800,000.

(6) Includes both an award of performance shares with a grant date fair value of \$550,000, which is based on the market price of common stock on date of grant, and an award of time-based restricted stock units with a grant date fair value of \$550,000.

(7) Includes both an award of performance shares with a grant date fair value of \$437,500, which is based on the market price of common stock on date of grant, and an award of time-based restricted stock units with a grant date fair value of \$437,500.

(8) Includes both an award of performance shares with a grant date fair value of \$431,250, which is based on the market price of common stock on date of grant, and an award of time-based restricted stock units with a grant date fair value of \$431,250.

- (9) Includes an award of performance shares with a grant date fair value of \$406,250, which is based on the market price of common stock on date of grant, and an award of time-based restricted stock units with a grant date fair value of \$406,250.
- (10) With respect to the value of performance shares, the payout is dependent on the stock price and our relative performance with respect to the performance metrics over a three-year period. The maximum payout is 250% of the targeted award, which would result in a maximum value of: \$6,300,000 for Mr. Paz; \$1,375,000 for Mr. Hall; \$1,093,750 for Mr. Ignaczak; \$1,078,125 for Mr. McNamee; and \$1,015,625 for Mr. Ebling (for a full discussion on performance shares, see Long-Term Incentive Awards above.

Grants of Plan-Based Awards in 2011

The following table provides additional information about long-term incentive plan (LTIP) awards consisting of performance share awards (PSUs), restricted stock units (RSUs), non-qualified stock options (options) and non-equity incentive plan awards granted to the named executive officers in 2011:

					uture Payou ity Incentiv Awards(2)		Estimat Under	Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards(3)			All Other Option of Awards: Number of Securities	Exercise	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock
		Grant		Threshold	Target			-		Units(3)	Underlying Options(5)	Option Awards	Option Awards(6
Name	Type of	Date	Committee Action Date	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(#)	(#)	(#)	(#)	(#)	(\$/sh)	(\$)
(a) George Paz	Award	(b)(1) 3/2/2011	(1) 2/21/2011	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f) 15,610	(g) 44,601	(h) 111,503	(i)	(j)	(k)	(l) \$ 2,520,00
George 1 az	2000 LTIP	3/2/2011	2/21/2011				15,010	44,001	111,505	31,858			\$ 1,800,00
	(PSUs) 2000 LTIP (RSUs)	3/2/2011	2/21/2011								161,666	\$ 56.500	\$ 2,880,00
	2000 LTIP (Options) 2011 ABP		2/21/2011	N/A	\$ 1,451,450	\$ 2,902,900							
Jeffrey Hall		3/2/2011	2/21/2011				3,407	9,734	24,335	9,734			\$ 550,00
	2000 LTIP (PSUs) 2000 LTIP	3/2/2011	2/21/2011										\$ 550,00
	(RSUs) 2000 LTIP (Options)	3/2/2011	2/21/2011								61,747	\$ 56.500	\$ 1,100,00
Ed Ignaczak	2011 ABP	3/2/2011	2/21/2011 2/21/2011	N/A	\$ 487,200	\$ 974,400	2,710	7,743	19,358	7,743			\$ 437,50
	2000 LTIP (PSUs)	3/2/2011	2/21/2011										\$ 437,50
	2000 LTIP (RSUs) 2000 LTIP (Options)	3/2/2011	2/21/2011								49,117	\$ 56.500	\$ 875,00
Datrick	2011 ABP	3/2/2011	2/21/2011			\$ 877,200 \$ 803,200	2671	7 600	10.000	7 622	10 115	\$ 56 500	\$ 421.25
Patrick McNamee	2000 LTIP (PSUs)	3/2/2011	2/21/2011	N/A	φ 44 0,000	\$ 893,200	2,671	7,632	19,080	7,632	46,413	\$ JU.JUU	\$ 431,25

McNamee

	2000 LTIP (RSUs)	3/2/2011	2/21/2011										\$ 431,250
	2000 LTIP (Options)	3/2/2011	2/21/2011										\$ 862,500
	2011 ABP												
			2/21/2011										
Keith		3/2/2011	2/21/2011				2,517	7,190	17,975				\$ 406,250
Ebling										7,190			
	2000 LTIP (PSUs)	3/2/2011	2/21/2011										\$ 406,250
	2000 LTIP (RSUs)	3/2/2011	2/21/2011								45,609	\$ 56.500	\$ 812,500
	2000 LTIP (Options)												
	2011 ABP		2/21/2011	N/A	\$ 385,700	\$ 771,400							

- (1) Consistent with the terms of the equity grant policy, at its February 2011 meeting the Committee set the grant date of the annual LTI grant as March 2, 2011, which was the third trading date following the date of the earnings release.
- (2) The amounts in columns (c), (d) and (e) represent the threshold, target and maximum payouts under the Annual Bonus Plan (ABP) for 2011. Payouts under the ABP depend on the achievement of adjusted EPS and EBITDA targets. There is no minimum amount payable for a certain level of performance under the ABP and accordingly, no amounts are reported in the Threshold column. As discussed in more detail in Compensation Discussion and Analysis Components of Executive Compensation Annual Bonus Plan above, the funding of the bonus pool is 0% if the adjusted EPS target is not met. Assuming the adjusted EPS target is met, if the Company fails to meet its EBITDA target, the pool will be reduced by 50% of the EBITDA shortfall until the EBITDA target is achieved. As discussed in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis above, there were no payouts under the ABP for 2011.
- (3) The amounts in columns (f), (g) and (h) represent the threshold, target and maximum payouts under the performance share grants made to the named executives for the January 1, 2011 through January 1, 2014 performance period. The number of shares of our common stock to be delivered upon settlement of the performance shares will be determined based upon our performance over a set period versus the peer group companies identified in our Compensation Discussion and Analysis above. Realization of the performance share awards and their actual value, if any, will depend on our performance versus the peer group and the market value of our common stock on the date the performance share awards are settled.
- (4) The numbers in column (i) represent the restricted stock units. For each of the March 2, 2011 awards, one-third of these restricted stock awards are scheduled to vest February 28, 2012, February 28, 2013, and February 28, 2014 subject to acceleration under the terms of the 2000 LTIP.
- (5) The numbers in column (j) represent non-qualified stock options. The options have an exercise price of \$56.50 (the closing price of our common stock on the grant date) and are scheduled to vest in three (3) substantially equal installments on February 28, 2012, February 28, 2013, and February 28, 2014 subject to acceleration under the terms of the 2000 LTIP, and will expire seven years following the grant.
- (6) The amounts in column (1) for restricted stock units and performance share awards are based on the grant date fair value. The amounts in column (1) for options are estimated on the date of grant using a Black-Scholes multiple option-pricing model. For additional information regarding stock-based compensation, including the assumptions used in the Black-Scholes model, refer to Note 10 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in the financial statements in our Original Form 10-K.

Outstanding Equity Awards at 2011 Fiscal Year-End

The following table provides information on vested and unvested equity awards held by the named executive officers as of December 31, 2011:

		()ption Awards			Stock Awards				
	Grant	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#	Option Exercise Price	Option Expiration	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested	
Name	Date	(<i>^π</i> Exercisable)	Unexercisable)	(\$)	Date	(#)	(\$)	(#)	(\$)	
George Paz	2/28/2006	· · · · · ·	chexer cisable)	\$ 21.8175	2/28/2013	(")	(Ψ)	(")	(Ψ)	
George Fuz	2/22/2007	410,148		\$ 19.6625	2/22/2014					
	2/26/2008	286,552		\$ 31.92	2/26/2015					
	3/2/2009	263,304	131,652(1)	\$ 22.87	3/2/2016	25,178(4)	\$ 1,125,205	211,496(7)	\$ 9,451,756	
	3/3/2010	58,128	116,258(2)	\$ 49.495	3/3/2017	23,572(5)	\$ 1,053,433	123,745(8)	\$ 5,530,164	
	3/2/2011		161,666(3)	\$ 56.50	3/2/2018	31,858(6)	\$ 1,423,734	111,503(9)	\$ 4,983,047	
Jeffrey Hall	5/27/2008	152,662		\$ 35.77	5/27/2015					
	3/2/2009	84,306	42,154(1)	\$ 22.87	3/2/2016	6,450(4)	\$ 288,251	38,696(7)	\$ 1,729,324	
	3/3/2010	19,722	39,444(2)	\$ 49.495	3/3/2017	6,398(5)	\$ 285,927	23,990(8)	\$ 1,072,113	
	3/2/2011		61,747(3)	\$ 56.50	3/2/2018	9,734(6)	\$ 435,012	24,335(9)	\$ 1,087,531	
Ed Ignaczak	10/29/2004					13,520(10)	\$ 604,209			
	2/26/2008	24,948		\$ 31.92	2/26/2015					
	3/2/2009	34,294	34,294(1)	\$ 22.87	3/2/2016	5,248(4)	\$ 234,533	31,480(7)		
	3/3/2010	17,126	34,256(2)	\$ 49.495	3/3/2017	5,556(5)	\$ 248,298	20,835(8)	\$ 931,116	
	3/2/2011		49,117(3)		3/2/2018	7,743(6)	\$ 346,035	19,358(9)	\$ 865,087	
Patrick McNamee	2/28/2006	37,616		\$ 21.8175	2/28/2013					
	2/22/2007	63,388		\$ 19.6625	2/22/2014					
	2/26/2008	77,518	25 49((1)	\$ 31.92	2/26/2015	5 420(4)	¢ 040 ((7	20.572(7)	¢ 1 455 (42	
	3/2/2009	70,968	35,486(1)	\$ 22.87	3/2/2016	5,430(4)	\$ 242,667	32,572(7)	\$ 1,455,643	
	3/3/2010	17,646	35,292(2)	\$ 49.495	3/3/2017	5,724(5)	\$ 255,806		\$ 959,271	
Kalah Ehlina	3/2/2011	20.060	48,415(3)	\$ 56.50	3/2/2018	7,632(6)	\$ 341,074	19,080(9)	\$ 852,685	
Keith Ebling	3/1/2005	20,960		\$ 9.66 \$ 11.785	3/1/2012					
	5/24/2005	16,000 9,984		\$ 11.785 \$ 21.8175	5/24/2012 2/28/2013					
	2/28/2006 2/22/2007	9,984 16,780		\$ 21.8175 \$ 19.6625	2/28/2013					
	2/22/2007	16,780		\$ 19.0025 \$ 31.92	2/22/2014					
	3/2/2008	22,504(11		\$ 31.92 \$ 22.87	3/2/2015					
	3/2/2009	68,588	34,294(1)	\$ 22.87 \$ 22.87	3/2/2016	5,248(4)	\$ 234,533	31,480(7)	\$ 1,406,841	
	3/3/2010	15,570	31,140(2)	\$ 49.495	3/2/2010	5,052(5)	\$ 234,333 \$ 225,774	18,940(8)	\$ 846,429	
	3/2/2010	15,570	45,609(3)	\$ 56.50	3/2/2017	7,190(6)	\$ 223,774 \$ 321,321	17,975(9)		
	51212011		-5,007(5)	φ 50.50	51212010	7,190(0)	ψ 521,521	17,275(9)	φ 005,505	

(1) The unvested portion of this option grant is scheduled to vest on March 2, 2012.

(2) The unvested portion of this option grant is scheduled to vest in two (2) substantially equal installments on February 28, 2012 and February 28, 2013.

(3) The unvested portion of this option grant is scheduled to vest in three (3) substantially equal installments on February 28, 2012, February 28, 2013 and February 28, 2014.

(4) The unvested portion of this restricted stock award is scheduled to vest on February 28, 2012.

(5) The unvested portion of this restricted stock unit award is scheduled to vest in two (2) substantially equal installments on February 28, 2012 and February 28, 2013.

(6)

The unvested portion of this restricted stock unit award is scheduled to vest in three (3) substantially equal installments on February 28, 2012, February 28, 2013 and February 28, 2014.

- (7) Performance shares became payable following the end of the performance period on January 1, 2012. The stated numbers reflect a payout of 200% of target, which was distributed as a result of achievement of the performance goals during the performance period.
- (8) Performance shares become payable following the end of the performance period on January 1, 2013. In accordance with SEC rules, because the number of shares awarded was greater than target for the performance shares which settled in 2012, we are reporting the maximum number (250% of target) for these outstanding awards. The number of shares payable may decrease from the maximum amount based upon the relative performance with respect to the performance criteria.

- (9) Performance shares become payable following the end of the performance period on January 1, 2014. In accordance with SEC rules, because the number of shares awarded was greater than target for the performance shares which settled in 2012, we are reporting the maximum number (250% of target) for these outstanding awards. The number of shares payable may decrease from the maximum amount based upon the relative performance with respect to the performance criteria.
- (10) Restricted stock grant with original vesting date of October 29, 2014, with potential for accelerated vesting based on the achievement of certain financial performance targets. Based upon achievement of certain financial performance targets, vesting of 70,776 shares was accelerated to March 31, 2007 and the balance of 13,520 shares are scheduled to vest on October 29, 2014.
- (11) The equity award of stock options was approved by our board of directors on December 9, 2008, however, since the grant occurred during a closed window, the grant date for the equity award was March 2, 2009. All options were vested as of December 9, 2011.

Option Exercises and Stock Vested Table

The following table provides information on the value realized by the named executive officers for stock options and SSARs exercised during 2011, and for restricted stock awards (RSAs), restricted stock units (RSUs) and performance share awards (PSUs) which vested during 2011:

		Option A	Awards	Stock A	wards
		Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise	Value Realized on Exercise(1)	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting	Value Realized on Vesting (2)
Name	Type of Award	(#)	(\$)	(#)	(\$)
George Paz	2000 LTIP	()	(*)	183,660	\$10,226,189
	(PSUs)				
	2000 LTIP			54,452	\$ 3,061,291
	(RSAs/RSUs)				
Jeffrey Hall	2000 LTIP			29,700	\$ 1,653,696
	(PSUs)				
	2000 LTIP			15,936	\$ 910,133
	(RSAs/RSUs)				
Ed Ignaczak	2000 LTIP			27,410	\$ 1,526,189
	(PSUs)				
	2000 LTIP			11,678	\$ 656,537
	(RSAs/RSUs)				
Pat McNamee	2000 LTIP			28,390	\$ 1,580,755
	(PSUs)				
	2000 LTIP			12,074	\$ 678,800
	(RSAs/RSUs)				
Keith Ebling	2000 LTIP	16,840	\$ 772,252		
	(Options)			8,605	\$ 479,126
	2000 LTIP				
	(PSUs)			9,282	\$ 510,090

2000 LTIP

(RSAs/RSUs)

- (1) Amounts reflect the value of the options exercised based on the difference between the exercise price for the options and the actual market value upon exercise.
- (2) Amounts reflect the value of the vested stock based on the closing price for our stock on the vesting date.

Nonqualified Deferred Compensation in 2011

The following table provides information on contributions, earnings and account balances for the named executives in our Executive Deferred Compensation Plan, or EDCP . The table also shows the aggregate earnings credited to the executives EDCP accounts during 2011, as well as the executives aggregate balances under the EDCP as of December 31, 2011. None of the Named Executive Officers received payments under the EDCP during 2011:

	Executive Contributions in Last FY	Registrant Contributions in Last FY(1)	Aggregate Earnings in Last FY(2)	Aggregate Withdrawals/ Distributions (3)	Aggregate Balance at Last FYE(4)
Name	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)
George Paz		\$215,688	(\$592,541)		\$5,132,775
Jeffrey Hall		77,661	(13,105)		176,443
Ed Ignaczak		75,328	(38,308)		1,084,890
Patrick McNamee		73,291	(41,269)		349,940
Keith Ebling		61,795	(7,846)		101,938

- (1) Amounts reflect contributions made by us during 2011 to the named executives accounts under the EDCP. These amounts are equal to 6% of all cash compensation (salary and annual bonus) received by the named executives during 2010. These contributions vest as of December 31 of the third year after the year with respect to which they were calculated, in this case December 31, 2013, unless the executive is eligible for retirement under the EDCP, in which case these contributions vest immediately.
- (2) A participant s account under the EDCP is deemed to be invested in the hypothetical investment options selected by the participant from among the investment options available under the Company s 401(k) plan and a Company Stock Fund. The account is credited with gains or losses actually experienced by the selected hypothetical investments. Accordingly, the EDCP does not credit above-market or preferential earnings on nonqualified deferred compensation.
- (3) Withdrawals/distributions can be made after termination from the company, at a fixed time or fixed schedule as previously specified, or in the event of a change in control. Distribution of a participant s account shall be made in cash, except for those amounts that are invested in the Company Stock Fund, which shall be distributed in whole shares of common stock with fractional shares paid in cash. All withdrawals and distributions are made in compliance with 409A.
- (4) Amounts shown include 2011 executive and company contributions and related earnings, as well as deferrals of salary, bonus and annual incentives (together with related earnings) from prior years participation in the EDCP.

Employment Agreements and Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control

We have employment agreements with all of the named executive officers which we refer to as the agreements.

General Terms

The agreements are substantially identical (except as specifically set forth below) and provide for the following:

<u>Term of Employment Agreements</u>. The agreement with Mr. Paz runs through March 31, 2014 without renewal other than through the mutual agreement of the parties. The employment period under the agreements for the other named executive officers (other than Mr. Paz) runs through March 31 of each year and is automatically renewed for successive one-year periods unless either party provides at least ninety days notice prior to the end of the then current term. Neither party under any of these agreements gave such notice prior to the end of the current employment period (which ends on March 31, 2012), and, as a result, each of these agreements has been renewed through March 31, 2013.

<u>Compensation and Benefits</u>. Each of the agreements generally provides for: (i) the payment of an annual base salary (which may not be reduced after any increase); (ii) a guaranteed minimum annual bonus target equal to a fixed percentage of the executive s base salary pursuant to and in accordance with our bonus plan; (iii) participation in our employee benefit plans (other than bonus and incentive plans) on the same basis as such plans are generally made available to our other senior executives; (iv) the right to receive restricted stock units, stock options and other equity awards and deferred compensation, to the extent determined by us and our board of directors; (v) the reimbursement of reasonable business expenses incurred in performing the executive s duties; and (vi) such perquisites and fringe benefits to which our other senior executives are entitled and which are suitable for the executive s position.

<u>Benefits Upon Termination of Employment Prior to Expiration of Employment Period</u>. Each agreement provides for the provision and forfeiture of certain benefits if the executive s employment is terminated prior to the expiration of the employment period (including any renewal period in effect). In general, if the executive s employment is terminated prior to expiration of the employment period, the executive is not entitled to receive any further payments or benefits that have not already been paid or provided except as follows:

The executive will be entitled to (i) all previously earned and accrued, but unpaid, annual base salary; (ii) reimbursement for any business expenses properly incurred prior to termination; and (iii) such other employee benefits (if any) to which the executive may be entitled under our employee benefit plans.

If the executive s employment is terminated by us other than for cause or disability, or by the executive for good reason (as each of those terms are defined in the agreement), the executive is entitled to receive: (i) any annual bonus earned for a

previously completed fiscal year but unpaid as of the termination date, payable to the extent the corporate bonus pool is

approved by the Compensation Committee; (ii) a severance benefit equal to 18 months of his base salary plus 150% of a specified portion of the executive s bonus potential for the year based on the average percentage of the potential earned for the three prior years (which amount may be greater if the termination date occurs within one year after a change in control of the Company) payable in equal monthly installments over 18 months; and (iii) reimbursement for the cost of continuing medical insurance under COBRA for a period of 18 months after termination (for Mr. Paz, payments for 36 months equal to the cost of continuing medical insurance under COBRA and, following expiration of the COBRA period, equivalent medical insurance coverage).

If the executive s employment terminates on account of death, disability or retirement (as those terms are defined in the agreement) prior to the end of his initial employment period under the agreement, he generally is entitled to receive (i) any annual bonus earned for a previously completed fiscal year but unpaid as of the termination date, payable to the extent the corporate bonus pool is approved by the Compensation Committee; and (ii) reimbursement for the cost of continuing medical insurance under COBRA for a period of 18 months (for Mr. Paz, payments for 36 months equal to the cost of continuing medical insurance under COBRA and, following expiration of the COBRA period, equivalent medical insurance coverage). Also, with respect to any equity grants made to the executive under our 2000 LTIP during the term of the agreement, a proper retirement under the agreement is treated as a retirement under such plan. In addition, if an executive s retirement qualifies as

a tenured retirement or an early retirement, he would be eligible for certain additional items as described below. In addition, if either party elects not to renew the agreement at the end of any employment period, the executive will be entitled to receive any annual bonus earned for a previously completed fiscal year but unpaid as of the termination date, payable to the extent the corporate bonus pool is approved by the Compensation Committee.

Mr. Paz s severance benefits following certain terminations of employment, to the extent otherwise due during the first six months following termination of employment, will be accrued and paid in a lump sum on the first day of the first month which is more than six months following such termination of employment, with a reasonable rate of interest, as determined by the Company.

<u>Benefits upon Tenured Retirement</u>. If the executive s employment terminates on account of a tenured retirement (as defined by the agreement), in addition to the benefits upon retirement as described above, the executive would be entitled to the following:

For all stock options or stock appreciation rights granted after January 1, 2008 (i) vested awards would remain vested and exercisable through the end of their term, and (ii) unvested awards would continue to vest in accordance with their terms as if the executive were still employed by us, and remain vested and exercisable through the end of their term.

For all unvested restricted stock units granted after January 1, 2008, such awards would continue to vest in accordance with their terms as if the executive were still employed by us.

For all unvested performance shares granted after January 1, 2008, such shares would be considered vested upon retirement, but only to the extent the performance criteria are ultimately met; provided, however, that for any years in the performance period during which the executive works less than three months, a pro-rated portion of the performance shares would be subject to a cap of 100% of target.

<u>Benefits upon Early Retirement</u>. If the executive s employment terminates on account of early retirement (as defined in the agreement), in addition to the benefits upon retirement as described above, the executive would be entitled to the following:

For all stock options or stock appreciation rights granted after January 1, 2008 (i) vested awards would remain vested and exercisable for the standard post-termination period set out in our 2000 LTIP, plus an additional month for each month the executive worked past his 55th birthday through retirement, and (ii) a pro-rated portion of the unvested awards (determined based on the number of months worked past age 55 through retirement, divided by 60) would continue to vest in accordance with its terms as if the executive were still employed by us, and remain vested and exercisable for the same extended period as the vested options in the preceding phrase (i).

For all unvested restricted stock units granted after January 1, 2008, a pro-rated portion of the unvested awards (determined based on the number of months worked past age 55 through retirement, divided by 60) would continue to vest in accordance with its terms as if the executive were still employed by us.

For all unvested performance shares granted after January 1, 2008, a pro-rated portion of the unvested shares (determined based on the number of months worked past age 55 through retirement, divided by 60) would be considered vested upon retirement, but only to the extent the performance criteria are ultimately met; provided, however, that for any years in the performance period during which the executive works less than three months, a further pro-rated portion of the performance shares would be subject to a cap of 100% of target.

<u>Restrictive Covenants</u>. Upon termination of each executive s employment with us, such executive is prohibited from (i) soliciting any client or prospective client of ours for a period of two years after termination; (ii) soliciting or hiring any employee of ours for a period of two years after termination; (iii) competing with us for a period of eighteen months after termination; or (iv) disclosing certain confidential information with respect to us or our business. If, following either a tenured retirement or an early retirement, the executive violates these covenants, then the executive would forfeit all unvested or unexercised equity awards, and would be required to reimburse us for any realized benefits resulting from his retirement.

<u>Tax Indemnification</u>. In the event that any amount or benefit paid or distributed to an executive (other than Mr. Paz) pursuant to the agreement, taken together with any amounts or benefits otherwise paid or distributed to such executive by us pursuant to any other arrangement or plan (we refer to such payments as covered payments), would result in the executive s liability for the payment of an excise tax under Section 4999 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, we will make a gross-up payment to the executive to fully offset the excise tax, provided the aggregate present value of the covered payments is equal to or exceeds 125% of the maximum total payment which could be made to the executive without triggering the excise tax. If the aggregate present value of the covered payments, however, exceeds such maximum amount, but is less than 125% of such maximum amount, then we may, in our discretion, reduce the covered payments so that no portion of the covered payments is subject to the excise tax, and no gross-up payment would be made. Pursuant to the amendment of the employment agreement of Mr. Paz in 2010, he is not eligible to receive such a tax gross-up payment.

Estimated Benefits

The tables below reflect the amount of incremental compensation that would be paid to each named executive officer upon the termination of his employment or upon a change in control. These amounts assume that such termination or change in control was effective as of December 30, 2011 and that the price of our common stock upon which certain of the calculations are made was the closing price of \$44.69 per share on that date. Accordingly, the computation of these amounts requires us to make certain estimates that are further described above in the description of the agreement or in the accompanying footnotes. Some of these amounts are payable pursuant to the terms of the agreement while others arise from the terms of the applicable grant and/or benefit plan. Those amounts payable pursuant to the agreement generally require the executive to sign a general release and to comply with certain contractual terms including those related to noncompetition, nonsolicitation and non-disparagement.

Because the incremental amount of payments to be made depends on several factors, the actual amounts to be paid out upon termination of employment or a change in control can only be determined at the time of the event. The tables do not include the nonqualified deferred compensation that would be paid, which is set forth in the Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Table above, except to the extent an individual is entitled to an additional benefit as a result of the termination or change in control. The estimated payments upon termination and change in control are as follows:

GEORGE PAZ

	Good Reason or					ontrol (1)
Executive Benefits and	Voluntary	Retirement	Involuntary Fo Not for Cause Cau	ise Death or	-	Without Offer of Comparable
Payments Upon Termination	Termination	(4)	Termination Termin	nation Disability	Employment	Employment
Compensation:						
Severance Benefit			3,870,900(2)			5,602,778(2)(3)
Accrued but Unpaid Annual						
Bonus						
Long-term Incentive:						
Performance Shares		4,538,985	11,590,659(5)	11,590,659(5)	13,657,041(6)	13,657,041(6)
Stock Option/SSARs						
Unvested & Accelerated		813,908		2,872,647	2,872,647	2,872,647
Restricted Stock Unvested &						
Accelerated		1,020,675		2,592,929	1,801,186(7)	3,602,372
Deferred Compensation						
Unvested & Accelerated		421,020		421,020		(3)
Benefits:						
Post-termination Health Care		56,918	56,918(8)	56,918(8)		56,918(8)
Accrued Vacation/PTO	225,630	225,630	225,630	225,630	225,630(9)	225,630(3)
280G Tax Gross-up					(10)	(10)
-						
Total	225,630	7,077,136	15,744,107	17,759,803	18,556,504	26,017,386
	,	. ,			. ,	

JEFFREY HALL

Good Reason

			or Involuntory			Change in C With Offer	Control (1) Without Offer
Executive Benefits and			Involuntary Not for	For		of	of
	Voluntary	Retirement	Cause	Cause	Death or	Comparable	Comparable
Payments Upon Termination Compensation:	Termination	(4)	Termination	Termination	Disability	Employment	Employment
Severance Benefit			1,652,400(2)			2,218,239(2)(3)
Accrued but Unpaid Annual Bonus			, ,(,			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Long-term Incentive:							
Performance Shares			2,160,182(5)	2,160,182(5)	2,593,182(6)	2,593,182(6)
Stock Option/SSARs Unvested &							
Accelerated					919,800	919,800	919,800
Restricted Stock Unvested &							
Accelerated					712,273	504,595(7)	1,009,190
Deferred Compensation							
Unvested & Accelerated		145,932			145,932		(3)
Benefits:							
Post-termination Health Care			28,459(8)	28,459(8)		28,459(8)
Accrued Vacation/PTO	67,229	67,229	67,229		67,229	67,229(9)	67,229(3)
280G Tax Gross-up							1,855,909
Total	67,229	213,161	3,908,270		4,033,875	4,084,806	8,692,008

EDWARD IGNACZAK

Good Reason

			or			Change in C	Control (1)
			Involuntary			With Offer	Without Offer
Executive Benefits and			Not for	For		of	of
	Voluntary	Retirement	Cause	Cause	Death or	Comparable	Comparable
Payments Upon Termination	Termination	(4)	Termination	Termination	Disability	Employment	Employment
Compensation:							
Severance Benefit			1,487,700(2	2)			2,008,471(2)(3)
Accrued but Unpaid Annual							
Bonus							
Long-term Incentive:							
Performance Shares			1,770,447(5	5)	1,770,447(5)	2,125,322(6)	2,125,322(6)
Stock Option/SSARs							
Unvested & Accelerated					748,295	748,295	748,295
Restricted Stock Unvested &							
Accelerated					587,490	716,537(7)	1,433,074
Deferred Compensation							
Unvested & Accelerated		143,735			143,735		(3)
Benefits:							
Post-termination Health Care			28,459(8	3)	28,459(8)		28,459(8)
Accrued Vacation/PTO	66,777	66,777	66,777		66,777	66,777(9)	66,777(3)
280G Tax Gross-up							
Total	66,777	210,512	3,353,383		3,345,203	3,656,931	6,410,398

PATRICK MCNAMEE

Good Reason

			or Involuntary			Change in C With Offer	Control (1) Without Offer
Executive Benefits and			Not for	For		of	of
Payments Upon Termination	Voluntary Termination	Retirement (4)	Cause Termination	Cause Termination	Death or Disability	Comparable Employment	Comparable Employment
Compensation:							
Severance Benefit			1,514,700(2)			2,048,818(2)(3)
Accrued but Unpaid Annual Bonus							
Long-term Incentive:							
Performance Shares			1,825,103(5)	1,825,103(5)	2,180,425(6)	2,180,425(6)
Stock Option/SSARs Unvested &							
Accelerated					774,305	774,305	774,305
Restricted Stock Unvested &							
Accelerated					598,387	419,773(7)	839,546
Deferred Compensation							
Unvested & Accelerated		141,880			141,880		(3)
Benefits:							
Post-termination Health Care			28,459(8)	28,459(8)		28,459(8)
Accrued Vacation/PTO	71,204	71,204	71,204		71,204	71,204(9)	71,204(3)
280G Tax Gross-up							
Total	71,204	213,084	3,439,466		3,439,338	3,445,707	5,942,757

KEITH EBLING

			Good Reason	For Cause Termination	Death or Disability	Change in
						Control
			or			(1)
Executive Benefits and			Involuntary			
	Voluntary	Retirement	Not for			
Payments Upon Termination	Termination	(4)	Cause			