

NOW Inc.
Form DEF 14A
April 15, 2016
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, DC 20549

SCHEDULE 14A
Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the
Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party Other than the Registrant

Check the Appropriate Box

Preliminary Proxy Statement

Confidential for Use of the Commission only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2)).

Definitive Proxy Statement

Definitive Additional Materials

Soliciting Material Pursuant to § 240.14a-12.

NOW Inc.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Persons(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (check the appropriate box):

Table of Contents

- x No fee required.
- .. Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14-a6(i)(1) and 0-11.
 - (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

 - (2) Aggregate number of securities to which the transaction applies;

 - (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined.)

 - 4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

 - 5) Total fee paid:
- .. Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.
- .. Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.
 - 1) Amount Previously Paid:

 - 2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

 - 3) Filing Party:

4) Date Filed:

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

<u>NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS</u>	1
<u>PROXY STATEMENT</u>	
<u>Proposal No. 1 Election of Directors</u>	5
<u>Committees and Meetings of the Board</u>	11
<u>Board of Directors</u>	14
<u>Audit Committee Report</u>	17
<u>Proposal No. 2 Ratification of Independent Auditors</u>	18
<u>Proposal No. 3 Approval of the Compensation of our Named Executive Officers</u>	19
<u>Corporate Governance</u>	21
<u>Executive Officers</u>	25
<u>Stock Ownership</u>	27
<u>Compensation Discussion and Analysis</u>	30
<u>Compensation Committee Report on Executive Compensation</u>	44
<u>Executive Compensation</u>	55
<u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions</u>	62
<u>Director Compensation</u>	64
<u>Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance</u>	66
<u>Stockholder Proposals for the 2017 Annual Meeting</u>	66
<u>Annual Report and Other Matters</u>	66

Table of Contents

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING

OF STOCKHOLDERS

Wednesday, May, 25, 2016

10:00 a.m. (Houston time)

NOW INC.

7402 N. Eldridge Parkway

Houston, Texas 77041

The 2016 annual meeting of stockholders of NOW Inc. will be held at the Company's corporate headquarters located at 7402 N. Eldridge Parkway, Houston, Texas on Wednesday, May 25, 2016, at 10:00 a.m. local time, for the following purposes:

To elect three directors to hold office for a three-year term;

To consider and act upon a proposal to ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as independent auditors of the Company for 2016;

To consider and act upon an advisory proposal to approve the compensation of our named executive officers; and

To consider and act upon any other matters that may properly come before the annual meeting or any postponement or adjournment thereof.

The Board of Directors recommends that you vote FOR the election of the three nominees for director (Proposal 1), FOR the proposal to ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as Independent Auditors of the Company for 2016 (Proposal 2), and FOR the approval of the compensation of our named executive officers (Proposal 3).

The Board of Directors has set April 7, 2016 as the record date for the annual meeting of the stockholders (Annual Meeting). If you were a stockholder of record at the close of business on April 7, 2016, you are entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting. A complete list of these stockholders will be available for examination at the Annual Meeting and during ordinary business hours at our offices at 7402 N. Eldridge Parkway, Houston, Texas 77041 for a period of ten days prior to the Annual Meeting.

You are cordially invited to join us at the Annual Meeting. However, to ensure your representation, we request that you return your signed proxy card at your earliest convenience, whether or not you plan to attend the Annual Meeting. You may revoke your proxy at any time if you wish to attend and vote in person.

By Order of the Board of Directors

/s/ Raymond Chang

Raymond Chang

Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary

Houston, Texas

April 15, 2016

Table of Contents

NOW INC.

7402 N. Eldridge Parkway

Houston, Texas 77041

PROXY STATEMENT

Except as otherwise specifically noted in this Proxy Statement, NOW, the Company, we, our, us, and similar words in this Proxy Statement refer to NOW Inc.

ANNUAL MEETING: Date: Wednesday, May 25, 2016

Time: 10:00 a.m. (Houston time)

Place: DistributionNOW

7402 N. Eldridge Parkway

Houston, Texas 77041

AGENDA: Proposal 1: To elect three nominees as directors of the Company for a term of three years.

Proposal 2: To ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as independent auditors of the Company.

Proposal 3: To approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of our named executive officers.

The Board of Directors recommends that you vote FOR the election of the three nominees for director (Proposal 1), FOR the proposal to ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as Independent Auditors of the Company for 2016 (Proposal 2), and FOR the approval of the compensation of our named executive officers (Proposal 3).

RECORD DATE/

All stockholders of record at the close of business on April 7, 2016 are entitled to vote. The only class of securities entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting is NOW

WHO CAN VOTE:

common stock. Holders of NOW common stock are entitled to one vote per share at the Annual Meeting.

PROXIES SOLICITED BY: Your vote and proxy is being solicited by the Board of Directors for use at the Annual Meeting. This Proxy Statement and enclosed proxy card is being sent on behalf of the Board of Directors to all stockholders beginning on or about April 15, 2016. By completing, signing and returning your proxy card, you will authorize the persons named on the proxy card to vote your shares according to your instructions.

PROXIES: If your properly executed proxy does not indicate how you wish to vote your common stock, the persons named on the proxy card will vote FOR election of the three nominees for director (Proposal 1), FOR the ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as independent auditors (Proposal 2), and FOR the approval of the compensation of our named executive officers (Proposal 3).

Table of Contents

REVOKING YOUR

PROXY:

You can revoke your proxy at any time prior to the time that the vote is taken at the meeting by: (i) filing a written notice revoking your proxy; (ii) filing another proxy bearing a later date; or (iii) casting your vote in person at the Annual Meeting. Your last vote will be the vote that is counted.

QUORUM:

As of April 7, 2016, there were 109,490,983 shares of NOW common stock issued and outstanding. The holders of these shares have the right to cast one vote for each share held by them. The presence, in person or by proxy, of stockholders entitled to cast at least 54,745,492 votes constitutes a quorum for adopting the proposals at the Annual Meeting. Abstentions will be included in determining the number of shares present at the meeting for the purpose of determining a quorum, as will broker non-votes. A broker non-vote occurs when a broker is not permitted to vote on a matter without instructions from the beneficial owner of the shares and no instruction is given. If you have properly signed and returned your proxy card by mail, you will be considered part of the quorum, and the persons named on the proxy card will vote your shares as you have instructed them.

VOTE REQUIRED FOR

APPROVAL:

For the proposal to elect the three director nominees (Proposal 1), our bylaws require that each director nominee be elected by the majority of votes cast with respect to such nominee (i.e., the number of shares voted for a director nominee must exceed the number of shares voted against that nominee). For additional information regarding our majority voting policy, see page 6 of the proxy statement. You cannot abstain in the election of directors and broker non-votes are not counted. **Brokers are not permitted to vote your shares on the election of directors in the absence of your specific instructions as to how to vote. Please provide your broker with voting instructions so that your vote can be counted.**

Approval of the proposal to ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as independent auditors (Proposal 2), and the proposal to approve the compensation of our named executive officers (Proposal 3), will require the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares of our common stock entitled to vote and present in person or by proxy. An abstention will have the same effect as a vote against such proposal. **With respect to Proposal 3, brokers are not permitted to vote your shares in the absence of your specific instructions as to how to vote. Please provide your broker with voting instructions so that your vote can be counted.**

MULTIPLE

PROXY CARDS:

If you receive multiple proxy cards, this indicates that your shares are held in more than one account, such as two brokerage accounts, and are registered in different names. You should vote each of the proxy cards to ensure that all of your shares are voted.

Table of Contents

HOUSEHOLDING:

The U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, has adopted rules that permit companies and intermediaries, such as brokers, to satisfy the delivery requirements for proxy statements with respect to two or more stockholders sharing the same address by delivering a copy of these materials, other than the Proxy Card, to those stockholders. This process, which is commonly referred to as householding, can mean extra convenience for stockholders and cost savings for the Company. Beneficial stockholders can request information about householding from their banks, brokers, or other holders of record. Through householding, stockholders of record who have the same address and last name will receive only one copy of our Proxy Statement and Annual Report, unless one or more of these stockholders notifies us that they wish to continue receiving individual copies. This procedure will reduce printing costs and postage fees.

Stockholders who participate in householding will continue to receive separate Proxy Cards. If you are eligible for householding, but you and other stockholders of record with whom you share an address currently receive multiple copies of Proxy Statements and Annual Reports, or if you hold stock in more than one account and wish to receive only a single copy of the Proxy Statement or Annual Report for your household, please contact Broadridge Household Department, in writing, at 51 Mercedes Way, Edgewood, New York 11717, or by phone at (800) 542-1061. If, at any time, you no longer wish to participate in householding and would prefer to receive a separate Proxy Statement and Annual Report, please notify your broker if you are a beneficial stockholder.

COST OF PROXY

We have retained InvestorCom, Inc. to solicit proxies from our stockholders at an estimated fee of \$5,500, plus expenses. This fee does not include the costs of preparing, printing, assembling, delivering and mailing the Proxy Statement. The Company will pay for the cost of soliciting proxies. Some of our directors, officers and employees may also solicit proxies personally, without any additional compensation, by telephone or mail. Proxy materials also will be furnished without cost to brokers and other nominees to forward to the beneficial owners of shares held in their names.

SOLICITATION:

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Stockholder Meeting to Be Held on Wednesday, May 25, 2016.

The Company's 2016 Proxy Statement and the Annual Report to Stockholders for the year ended 2015 are also available at:

<http://www.proxyvote.com>

For directions to the Annual Meeting, please contact investor relations at 281-823-4700.

PLEASE VOTE YOUR VOTE IS IMPORTANT

Table of Contents

ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

PROPOSAL NO. 1 ON THE PROXY CARD

The Board of Directors of NOW Inc. (the Board) is divided into three classes, each class serving a term of three years. Directors whose terms expire this year include: Michael Frazier, J. Wayne Richards, and Robert Workman.

Michael Frazier, J. Wayne Richards, and Robert Workman are nominees for directors for a three-year term expiring at the Annual Meeting in 2019, or when their successors are elected and qualified. We believe each of the nominees will be able to serve if elected. However, if any nominee is unable to serve, the remaining members of the Board have authority to nominate another person, elect a substitute, or reduce the size of the Board. Directors whose terms expire in 2017 and 2018 will continue to serve in accordance with their prior election or appointment. Proxies cannot be voted for a greater number of persons than the number of nominees named.

Table of Contents

Vote Required for Approval

NOW's Bylaws require that each director be elected by the majority of votes cast with respect to such director in uncontested elections (the number of shares voted for a director nominee must exceed the number of votes cast against that nominee). In a contested election (a situation in which the number of nominees exceeds the number of directors to be elected), the standard for election of directors would be a plurality of the shares represented in person or by proxy at any such meeting and entitled to vote on the election of directors. Whether an election is contested or not is determined as of a date that is 14 days in advance of when we file our definitive proxy statement with the SEC. This year's election was determined to be an uncontested election, and the majority vote standard will apply. If a nominee who is serving as a director is not elected at the annual meeting, Delaware law provides that the director would continue to serve on the Board as a holdover director. However, under our Bylaws and Corporate Governance Guidelines, each director must submit an advance, contingent, irrevocable resignation that the Board may accept if the director fails to be elected through a majority vote. In that situation, the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee would make a recommendation to the Board about whether to accept or reject the resignation, or whether to take other action. The Board will act on the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee's recommendation and publicly disclose its decision and the rationale behind it within 90 days from the date the election results are certified. If a nominee who was not already serving as a director fails to receive a majority of votes cast at the annual meeting, Delaware law provides that the nominee does not serve on the Board as a holdover director. In 2016, all director nominees are currently serving on the Board.

Brokers are not permitted to vote your shares on the election of directors in the absence of your specific instructions as to how to vote. Please provide your broker with voting instructions so that your vote can be counted.

Table of Contents**Information Regarding Nominees for Director for Terms Expiring in 2016:**

Name	Age	Expiration Date of Current Term	Biography	Year First Became Director
Michael Frazier	66	2016	Mr. Frazier has been a director of the Company since May 2014. Mr. Frazier has been with Simmons & Company International, an independent investment bank specializing in the energy industry, since 1992, and has served as its President and Chief Executive Officer since 2002 and 2005, respectively, and as its Chairman since 2009, until its acquisition by Piper Jaffray Companies in February 2016. He serves on the board of Energy Opportunities Capital Management. Prior to joining Simmons, Mr. Frazier was actively involved in the exploration and production of oil and gas as an independent operator. Mr. Frazier also serves as a director of Piper Jaffray Companies, a leading investment bank and asset management firm.	2014
J. Wayne Richards	56	2016	Mr. Richards has been a director of the Company since May 2014. Mr. Richards has served as President and Chief Executive Officer of GR Energy Services, Inc., an oilfield products and services company focused primarily on onshore production and downhole completion services in North America, since 2013. Previously, he was President and Chief Executive Officer of Global Oilfield Services, a privately held oilfield products and services company focused on the artificial lift sector, from 2008 until 2011 when it was purchased by Halliburton. Mr. Richards served as Vice President of Artificial Lift for Halliburton from 2011 to 2013. Earlier in his career, Mr. Richards spent 25 years in various senior operational and sales and marketing positions at Schlumberger.	2014
Robert Workman	47	2016	Mr. Workman has been a director of the Company since May 2014. Mr. Workman was elected President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company in February 2014. Mr. Workman served as National Oilwell Varco's President - Distribution Services from January 2001 until the Company's spin-off in May 2014. He previously served National Oilwell Varco starting in 1991 in various managerial positions with the distribution business group. He also previously served as the Chairman of the Petroleum Equipment Suppliers Association.	2014

YOUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE IN FAVOR OF THE ELECTION OF THE THREE NOMINEES FOR DIRECTOR.³

Table of Contents**Information Regarding Continuing Directors:**

Name	Age	Expiration Date of Current Term	Biography	Year First Became Director
Richard Alario	61	2017	Mr. Alario has been a director of the Company since May 2014. Mr. Alario served as Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer of Key Energy Services, Inc., a provider of a complete range of well intervention services, since 2004 until his retirement in March 2016. Prior to joining Key Energy Services, Mr. Alario was employed by BJ Services Company, an oilfield services company, where he served as Vice President from 2002 after OSCA, Inc. was acquired by BJ Services. Prior to joining BJ Services, Mr. Alario had over 21 years of service in various capacities with OSCA, an oilfield services company, most recently having served as its Executive Vice President. He currently serves as ex-officio chairman, director and executive committee member of the National Ocean Industries Association. He is also a director of Kirby Corporation.	2014
Terry Bonno	58	2018	Ms. Bonno has been a director of the Company since May 2014. Ms. Bonno has served as a Senior Vice President of Marketing for Transocean Ltd since 2011 and Vice President Marketing since 2008 with oversight of Transocean's marketing in 14 countries. Additionally, her role included managing Turnkey/Project Management Organization (Applied Drilling Technology UK) from 2013 until its recent disposition and sale to private equity. Prior to this role she served in various Director and Management roles at Transocean Ltd leading the Marketing and Contracts efforts for West Africa and the Americas from 2001 until 2008. Prior to being acquired by Transocean Ltd., she served in a Director Marketing and Contracts role for Turnkey Drilling with RBFalcon and Global Marine (a wholly owned subsidiary of Applied Drilling Technology Inc. (ADTI)) from 1993 until 2001. During her time with Global Marine from 1982 to 1999 she served in various Accounting Management roles.	2014

Table of Contents

Name	Age	Expiration Date of Current Term	Biography	Year First Became Director
Galen Cobb	62	2018	Mr. Cobb has been a director of the Company since May 2014. Mr. Cobb has served as Vice President Industry Relations for Halliburton since 2002, and is responsible for Halliburton's industry relations global activities, energy trade policy issues, executive client relations, and trade organization oversight. He has worked for Halliburton for over forty years serving in various executive management positions in operations, marketing, sales and business development. From 1991 to 1994, he was Director CIS and China with oversight in establishing Halliburton's presence and operations in these emerging markets. Later he was named Director Executive Sales and Business Development with expanded responsibilities for the worldwide development and promotion of Halliburton's services and products.	2014
James Crandell	62	2018	Mr. Crandell has been a director of the Company since May 2014. Mr. Crandell served as Managing Director of Cowen and Company from 2013 to 2016. Mr. Crandell served as Managing Director of Dahlman Rose from 2011 until its acquisition by Cowen and Company in 2013. Previously, he served as Managing Director at Barclays Capital plc from 2008 until 2011. Mr. Crandell was Managing Director for Lehman Brothers starting in 1999 until its acquisition by Barclays Capital in 2008. From 1981 until 1998, he held various posts at Salomon Brothers, including managing director, senior oil services analyst and director of U.S. equity research, before his promotion to global coordinator of equity research in 1994. Mr. Crandell has more than 30 years of experience as a Wall Street analyst focusing on oilfield services & equipment.	2014
Rodney Eads	65	2017	Mr. Eads has been a director of the Company since May 2014. Mr. Eads has served as President of Eads Holdings, LLC, a wholly owned private investment firm since 2009. Mr. Eads previously served as Chief Operating Officer and Executive Vice President of Pride International Inc. from 2006 until 2009, where he was responsible for its worldwide offshore operations and South American and Eastern Hemisphere land assets. He served as Senior Vice President of Worldwide Operations for Diamond Offshore Drilling Inc. from 1997 until 2006. From 1977 to 1997, he served in several executive and operations management positions with Exxon Corporation, primarily in international assignments.	2014

Table of Contents

Name	Age	Expiration Date of Current Term	Biography	Year First Became Director
Merrill Miller, Jr.	65	2017	Mr. Miller has been a director of the Company and its Chairman of the Board since May 2014. Mr. Miller was elected Executive Chairman of the Company in February 2014. Mr. Miller served as a director of National Oilwell Varco from May 2001, and Chairman of its Board from July 2005, until the Company's spin-off from National Oilwell Varco in May 2014. Mr. Miller served as Executive Chairman of National Oilwell Varco from February 2014 until May 2014. He also served as Chief Executive Officer of National Oilwell Varco from May 2001 until February 2014, and as President from November 2000 until December 2012. Mr. Miller serves as a director of Chesapeake Energy Corporation, a company engaged in the development, acquisition, production, exploration, and marketing of onshore oil and natural gas properties in the United States. Mr. Miller is also on the board of directors of Transocean Ltd., a company that provides offshore contract drilling services for energy companies.	2014

Table of Contents**COMMITTEES AND MEETINGS OF THE BOARD****Committees**

The Board of Directors appoints committees to help carry out its duties. The Board of Directors has the following standing committees: Audit, Compensation, and Nominating/Corporate Governance. Last year, the Board of Directors met 4 times and the committees met a total of 13 times. Mr. Miller and Mr. Workman do not serve on any committees. The following table sets forth the committees of the Board of Directors and their members as of the date of this proxy statement, as well as the number of meetings each committee held during 2015:

Director	Audit Committee	Compensation Committee	Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee
Merrill Miller, Jr.			
Robert Workman			
Richard Alario		+	
Terry Bonno			
Galen Cobb			
James Crandell			
Rodney Eads	+		
Michael Frazier			+
J. Wayne Richards			
Number of Meetings Held in 2015	8	3	2

(+) Denotes Chair

Attendance at Meetings

Each incumbent director attended at least 75% of the meetings of the Board and committees of which that director was a member.

Audit Committee

Messrs. Eads (Chairman), Cobb, Richards and Ms. Bonno are the current members of the Audit Committee. All members of this committee are independent within the meaning of the rules governing audit committees by the New York Stock Exchange, or NYSE.

The Audit Committee is appointed by the Board of Directors to assist the Board in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities. The Committee's primary duties and responsibilities are to:

monitor the integrity of the Company's financial statements, financial reporting processes, systems of internal controls regarding finance, and disclosure controls and procedures;

select and appoint the Company's independent auditors, pre-approve all audit and non-audit services to be provided, consistent with all applicable laws, to the Company by the Company's independent auditors, and

establish the fees and other compensation to be paid to the independent auditors;

monitor the independence and performance of the Company's independent auditors and internal audit function;

establish procedures for the receipt, retention, response to and treatment of complaints, including confidential, anonymous submissions by the Company's employees, regarding accounting, internal controls, disclosure or auditing matters, and provide an avenue of communication among the independent auditors, management, the internal audit function and the Board of Directors;

Table of Contents

prepare an audit committee report as required by the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC) to be included in the Company's annual proxy statement; and

monitor the Company's compliance with legal and regulatory requirements.

A copy of the Audit Committee Charter is available on the Company's website, www.distributionnow.com, under the Investor Relations/Corporate Governance section.

Audit Committee Financial Expert

The Board of Directors has determined that all members of the Audit Committee meet the NYSE standard of having accounting or related financial management expertise and meet the SEC's criteria of an Audit Committee Financial Expert.

Compensation Committee

Messrs. Alario (Chairman), Crandell and Frazier are the current members of the Compensation Committee. All members of the Compensation Committee are independent as defined by the applicable NYSE listing standards.

The Compensation Committee is appointed by the Board of Directors to assist the Board in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities. The Committee's primary duties and responsibilities are to:

discharge the Board's responsibilities relating to compensation of the Company's directors and executive officers;

approve and evaluate all compensation of directors and executive officers, including salaries, bonuses, and compensation plans, policies and programs of the Company; and

administer all plans of the Company under which shares of common stock may be acquired by directors or executive officers of the Company.

A copy of the Compensation Committee Charter is available on the Company's website, www.distributionnow.com, under the Investor Relations/Corporate Governance section.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

Messrs. Alario, Crandell, and Frazier served on the Compensation Committee during 2015. None of these members is a former or current officer or employee of the Company or any of its subsidiaries, is involved in a relationship requiring disclosure as an interlocking executive officer/director, or had any relationship requiring disclosure under Item 404 of Regulation S-K.

Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee

Messrs. Frazier (Chairman), Alario and Crandell are the current members of the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee. All members of the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee are independent as defined by the

applicable NYSE listing standards.

The Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee is appointed by the Board of Directors to assist the Board in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities. The Committee's primary duties and responsibilities are to:

ensure that the Board and its committees are appropriately constituted so that the Board and directors may effectively meet their fiduciary obligations to stockholders and the Company;

identify individuals qualified to become Board members and recommend to the Board director nominees for each annual meeting of stockholders and candidates to fill vacancies in the Board;

recommend to the Board annually the directors to be appointed to Board committees;

Table of Contents

monitor, review, and recommend, when necessary, any changes to the Corporate Governance Guidelines;
and

monitor and evaluate annually the effectiveness of the Board and management of the Company, including their effectiveness in implementing the policies and principles of the Corporate Governance Guidelines. A copy of the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee Charter is available on the Company's website, www.distributionnow.com, under the Investor Relations/Corporate Governance section.

Table of Contents

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Director Nomination Process and Diversity Considerations

The Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee has the responsibility of identifying candidates for election as directors, reviewing background information relating to candidates for director, and recommending to the Board of Directors nominees for directors to be submitted to stockholders for election. It is the policy of the Committee to consider director candidates recommended by stockholders. Nominees to be evaluated by the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee are selected by the Committee from candidates recommended by multiple sources, including other directors, management, stockholders, and candidates identified by independent search firms (which firms may be paid by the Company for their services), all of whom will be evaluated based on the same criteria. As of April 7, 2016, we had not received any recommendations from stockholders for potential director candidates. All of the current nominees for director are standing members of the Board that are proposed by the entire Board for re-election. Written suggestions for nominees should be sent to the Secretary of the Company at the address listed below.

The Board of Directors believes that nominees should reflect the following characteristics:

have a reputation for integrity, honesty, candor, fairness and discretion;

be knowledgeable, or willing to become so quickly, in the critical aspects of the Company's businesses and operations;

be experienced and skillful in serving as a competent overseer of, and trusted advisor to, the senior management of at least one substantial enterprise; and

have a range of talent, skill and expertise sufficient to provide sound and prudent guidance with respect to the full scope of the Company's operations and interests.

The Board considers diversity in identifying nominees for director. The Board seeks to achieve a mix of directors that represents a diversity of background and experience, including with respect to gender and race. The Board considers diversity in a variety of different ways and in a fairly expansive manner. The Board not only considers diversity concepts such as race and gender, but also diversity in the sense of differences in viewpoint, professional experience, education, skill and other qualities and attributes that contribute to board heterogeneity. Also considered as part of the diversity analysis is whether the individual has work experience in the Company's industry, or in the broader energy or industrial market. The Company believes the Board can benefit from different viewpoints and experiences by having a mix of members of the Board who have experience in its industry and those who may not have such experience.

The Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee reviews Board composition annually to ensure that the Board reflects the knowledge, experience, skills, expertise, and diversity required for the Board to fulfill its duties. There are currently no directorship vacancies to be filled on the Board. If and when the need arises for the Company to add a new director to the Board, the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee will take every reasonable step to ensure that diverse candidates (including, without limitation, women and minority candidates) are in the pool from which nominees are chosen and strive to obtain diverse candidates by searching in traditional corporate environments, as well as government, academia, and non-profit organizations.

Director Qualifications

The Company believes that each member of its Board of Directors possess the basic attributes of being a director of the Company, namely having a reputation for integrity, honesty, candor, fairness and discretion. Each director has also become knowledgeable in major aspects of the Company's business and

Table of Contents

operations, which has allowed the Board to provide better oversight functions to the Company. In addition to the experience, qualifications, and skills of each director set forth in their biographies starting on page 7 of this proxy statement, the Company also considered the following factors in determining that the board member should serve on the Board:

Mr. Alario has served as the chief executive officer of a publicly traded company for 12 years. Mr. Alario has extensive experience in the oil service business, having worked in that industry for over 30 years. Mr. Alario has gained valuable board experience from his tenure as a director of Kirby Corporation, including from his service on its audit committee, as the chairman of its nominating/corporate governance committee, and as presiding director. Through service in these roles, Mr. Alario has gained extensive experience in assessing the risks associated with various energy industry cycles.

Ms. Bonno provides valuable service and experience to the Audit Committee, due to her past and current experience serving on the financial committee, enterprise risk management committee, and disclosure committee at Transocean Ltd. Ms. Bonno has extensive experience in the oil service industry and a background in accounting with approximately 30 years of being a certified public accountant and experience overseeing the Sox Compliance Global Marketing Function. Ms. Bonno has dealt with all facets of potential risk areas for a global energy company and brings that experience and perspective to the Board.

Mr. Cobb provides valuable service and experience to the Audit Committee, due to his over 40 years of serving in various management positions for Halliburton. Mr. Cobb has developed experience and expertise in warehouse management and distribution, international operations, especially in emerging markets, as well as marketing and business development in a large corporate environment. As a result of this extensive experience, Mr. Cobb is very familiar with the strategic and project planning processes that impact the Company's business and continued development for growth.

Mr. Crandell has over 30 years of experience as a Wall Street analyst focusing on oilfield services and equipment. He has held positions of increasing importance at multiple investment firms, including serving as managing director of global oilfield services equity research. Given Mr. Crandell's extensive experience as an analyst covering the oilfield services sector, he is able to provide the Company useful and impactful information from a shareholder perspective. As such, Mr. Crandell's experience as an analyst of the energy industry helps provide a different perspective for the Company.

Mr. Eads provides valuable service and experience to the Audit Committee, due to his MBA degree and 40 years of experience in the energy industry and in his previous roles in senior executive management where he worked to help mitigate risk. Mr. Eads' significant international experience and deep expertise in drilling, supply chain management and construction projects, together with his 12 years of experience as an executive officer of two public companies, makes him well qualified to serve as a director of the Company.

Mr. Frazier has over 35 years of experience in investment banking specializing in the energy industry. He has served as president and chief executive officer of a private investment firm focused on the energy sector. Mr. Frazier has gained valuable outside board experience from serving on the boards of Simmons & Company International, Simmons & Company International Limited, and Energy Opportunities Capital Management.

Mr. Miller has been an officer of a publicly traded company since 1996, occupying positions of increasing importance from business group president, to COO, to CEO, to Executive Chairman. Mr. Miller has extensive experience with the Company and the oilfield service industry. Mr. Miller has an MBA degree, and is a graduate of the US Military Academy, West Point. Mr. Miller has also gained valuable outside board experience from his current tenure as a

director of Chesapeake Energy Corporation and Transocean Ltd.

Table of Contents

Mr. Richards provides valuable service and experience to the Audit Committee, due to his 30 years of experience in the oilfield products and services industry. Mr. Richards' experience serving as the chief executive officer of several companies and his experience in growing energy companies organically and through acquisitions, makes him well qualified to serve as a director of the Company. Mr. Richards has dealt with many facets of potential risk areas for an energy service company, as a current and former chief executive officer, and brings that experience and perspective to the Board.

Mr. Workman has been an officer of a publicly traded company since 2001. Mr. Workman's 23-year career in the distribution business includes positions of increasing importance, from sales manager, to Vice President, to Business Group President. Mr. Workman has extensive experience with the Company and the oil service industry. Mr. Workman's extensive experience in the Company's business and the industry, his MBA degree, and his unparalleled knowledge of the Company makes him uniquely and well qualified to serve as a director of the Company.

Table of Contents

AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT

The responsibilities of the Audit Committee include providing oversight to the Company's financial reporting process through periodic combined and separate meetings with the Company's independent auditors and management to review accounting, auditing, internal controls, and financial reporting matters. The management of the Company is responsible for the preparation and integrity of the financial reporting information and related systems of internal controls. The Audit Committee, in carrying out its role, relies on the Company's senior management, including senior financial management, and its independent auditors.

The Board of Directors has determined that all of the members of the Audit Committee are independent based on the guidelines set forth by the NYSE and SEC rules for the independence of Audit Committee members. The Audit Committee, at each regularly scheduled quarterly meeting in 2015, met separately in executive session with both the internal audit director and the independent audit partner, without management being present.

The Audit Committee reviewed and discussed with senior management the audited financial statements included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K. Management has confirmed to the Audit Committee that such financial statements have been prepared with integrity and objectivity and in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP).

The Audit Committee discussed with Ernst & Young LLP, the Company's independent auditors, the matters required to be discussed under the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB) standards. The Audit Committee has received the written disclosures and the letter from Ernst & Young required by applicable requirements of PCAOB regarding Ernst & Young's communication with the Audit Committee concerning independence and has discussed Ernst & Young's independence with Ernst & Young.

Based on the foregoing, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the audited financial statements be included in the Company's 2015 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Audit Committee's charter clarifies that it is not the Audit Committee's duty to conduct audits or to determine that the Company's financial statements are complete and accurate and are in accordance with GAAP. Management is responsible for the Company's financial reporting process, including its system of internal controls, and for the preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP. Management is also responsible for assuring compliance with laws and regulations and the Company's corporate policies, subject to the Audit Committee's oversight in the areas covered by the Audit Committee's charter. The independent auditors are responsible for expressing opinions on those financial statements and on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Members of the Audit Committee

Rodney Eads, Committee Chairman

Terry Bonno

Galen Cobb

Wayne Richards

Table of Contents**RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT AUDITORS****PROPOSAL NO. 2 ON THE PROXY CARD****Information Regarding our Independent Auditors**

The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors has reappointed Ernst & Young LLP as independent auditors for 2016. Stockholders are being asked to vote upon the ratification of the appointment. Representatives of Ernst & Young will attend the Annual Meeting, where they will be available to respond to appropriate questions and have the opportunity to make a statement if they desire.

Vote Required for Approval

The proposal to ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as independent auditors will require approval of a majority of the shares of our common stock entitled to vote and present in person or by proxy. In accordance with NYSE rules, a proposal to ratify independent auditors is considered to be a discretionary item. This means that brokerage firms may vote in their discretion on this matter on behalf of beneficial owners who have not furnished voting instructions within the time period specified in the voting instructions submitted by such brokerage firms. Abstentions, which will be counted as votes present for the purpose of determining a quorum, will have the effect of a vote against the proposal. Your shares will be voted as you specify on your proxy. If your properly executed proxy does not specify how you want your shares voted, we will vote them for the ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as independent auditors.

Audit Fees

The Audit Committee pre-approves all services provided by the Company's independent auditors to the Company and its subsidiaries. Consideration and approval of such services generally occurs in the regularly scheduled quarterly meetings of the Audit Committee. The Audit Committee has delegated the Chairman of the Audit Committee to pre-approve allowed non-audit services, subject to review by the full committee at the next regularly scheduled meeting. The Audit Committee has considered whether the provision of all services other than those rendered for the audit of the Company's financial statements is compatible with maintaining Ernst & Young's independence and has concluded that their independence is not compromised.

The following table sets forth Ernst & Young LLP's fees for services rendered during 2014 and 2015. All services provided by Ernst & Young LLP were pre-approved by the Audit Committee.

	2015	2014
Audit Fees	\$ 1,974,714	\$ 1,261,500
Audit Related Fees ⁽¹⁾	\$ 86,995	\$ 52,000
Tax Fees	\$ 69,167	
All Other Fees		
Total	\$ 2,130,876	\$ 1,313,500

⁽¹⁾ Consists primarily of fees for audits of employee benefit plans.

Your Board of Directors recommends that you vote FOR the proposal to ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP.

Table of Contents

APPROVAL OF COMPENSATION OF OUR NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS PROPOSAL NO. 3 ON THE PROXY CARD

A proposal will be presented at the meeting asking stockholders to approve on an advisory basis the compensation of the Company's named executive officers as described in this proxy statement.

Why You Should Approve our Executive Compensation Program

The Company's compensation philosophy is designed to attract and retain executive talent and emphasize pay for performance, including the creation of stockholder value. The Company encourages its stockholders to read the Executive Compensation section of this proxy statement, including the compensation tables, as well as the Compensation Discussion and Analysis (CD&A) section of this proxy statement, for a more detailed discussion of our compensation programs and policies. The Company believes its compensation programs and policies are appropriate and effective in implementing its compensation philosophy and in achieving its goals and that they are aligned with stockholder interests and worthy of stockholder support.

We believe that stockholders should consider the following in determining whether to approve this proposal:

Compensation Program is Closely Linked to Stockholder Value

An important portion of each executive's compensation at the Company is in the form of long-term incentive awards, which are directly linked to the Company's performance and the creation of stockholder value. The Company's long-term incentive awards, starting with its first annual grant in 2015, consists of: stock options, time-based restricted stock and performance-based share awards. We believe this mix appropriately motivates long-term performance and rewards executives for absolute gains in share price, performance against designated metrics and relative financial performance against a designated peer group.

Strong Pay-for-Performance Orientation

The Company's annual and long-term incentive programs established in 2015, the Company's first full year in existence, pay its named executive officers only if certain performance metrics (absolute and/or relative) are achieved. Thus, two of the three components of an executive's pay at the Company are based on performance.

Compensation Program Has Appropriate Long-term Orientation

Minimum three-year vesting for equity awards: The Company encourages a long-term orientation by its executives through the use of three-year vesting requirements for annual grants of stock options, restricted stock and performance-based awards.

Summary of Good Governance and Risk Mitigating Factors

Limited Bonus payouts: Bonus awards cannot exceed 200% of target, limiting excessive awards for short-term performance.

Balanced pay mix: The mix of pay is balanced between annual and long-term compensation.

Multiple year vesting of long-term incentives: Long-term incentive awards do not fully vest until a minimum of three years after the grant.

Table of Contents

CEO Pay: CEO base salary level is currently well below the competitive peer median (below the market 25th percentile) and actual total direct compensation is also below the competitive peer median (below the market 25th percentile).

Executive Chairman Pay: Executive Chairman voluntarily receives \$1 in annual base pay, does not participate in the annual incentive program, and voluntarily waived his right to receive an annual equity grant in 2015.

Clawback Policy: Awards of long-term equity compensation and compensation under the Company's annual cash incentive plan can be terminated by the Compensation Committee if it determines that the recipient of such award has engaged in material misconduct.

In 2015, more than 97% of the votes cast on our say-on-pay proposal were in favor of our executive compensation program and policies.

The Company's compensation program for its named executive officers has been thoughtfully designed to support the Company's long-term business strategies and drive creation of stockholder value. The program does not encourage excessive risk-taking by management. It is aligned with the competitive market for talent, and highly sensitive to Company performance. The Company believes its program will deliver reasonable pay that is strongly linked to Company performance over time.

The following resolution will be submitted for a stockholder vote at the 2016 annual meeting:

RESOLVED, that the stockholders of the Company approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of the Company's named executive officers listed in the 2015 Summary Compensation Table included in the proxy statement for this meeting, as such compensation is disclosed pursuant to Item 402 of Regulation S-K in this proxy statement under the section entitled "Executive Compensation", including the compensation tables and other narrative executive compensation disclosures set forth under that section, as well as the section in the proxy statement entitled "Compensation Discussion and Analysis."

This advisory vote on the compensation of the Company's named executive officers gives stockholders another mechanism to convey their views about the Company's compensation programs and policies. Although your vote on executive compensation is not binding on the Company, the Board values the views of stockholders. The Board and Compensation Committee will review the results of the vote and take them into consideration in addressing future compensation policies and decisions.

Your Board of Directors recommends that you vote FOR the proposal to approve the compensation of our named executive officers.

Table of Contents

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

NOW's Board of Directors is committed to promoting transparency in reporting information about the Company, complying with the spirit as well as the literal requirements of applicable laws, rules and regulations, and corporate behavior that conforms to corporate governance standards that substantially exceed the consensus view of minimum acceptable corporate governance standards. The Board of Directors adopted Corporate Governance Guidelines which established provisions for the Board's composition and function, Board committees and committee membership, evaluation of director independence, the roles of the Chairman of the Board, the Chief Executive Officer and the Lead Director, the evaluation of the Chief Executive Officer, regular meetings of non-employee directors, board conduct and review, selection and orientation of directors, director compensation, access to management and independent advisors, and annual review of the Corporate Governance Guidelines. A copy of the Corporate Governance Guidelines is available on the Company's website, www.distributionnow.com, under the Investor Relations/Corporate Governance section. The Company will furnish print copies of the Corporate Governance Guidelines, as well as its Committee charters, to interested stockholders without charge, upon request. Written requests for such copies should be addressed to: Raymond Chang, Secretary, NOW Inc., 7402 N. Eldridge Parkway, Houston, Texas 77041.

Highlights

We maintain a strong and proactive approach to corporate governance, as follows:

Active Board and committees of the Board oversight of risk of the Company;

Independent committee chairs and members;

Clawback policy to recover executive compensation;

Lead independent director;

Annual Board and committee self-evaluations and assessments;

Directors meet in executive sessions without management present

Director Independence

The Corporate Governance Guidelines address, among other things, standards for evaluating the independence of the Company's directors. The Board undertakes an annual review of director independence and considers transactions and relationships during the prior year between each director or any member of his or her immediate family and the Company and its affiliates, including those reported under "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions" in this Proxy Statement. In February 2016, as a result of this annual review, the Board affirmatively determined that a majority of the members of the Board of Directors are independent of the Company and its management under the standards set forth in the Corporate Governance Guidelines. The following directors were affirmed as independent: Richard Alario, Terry Bonno, Galen Cobb, James Crandell, Rodney Eads, Michael Frazier, and J. Wayne Richards.

Board Leadership

Currently, the roles of Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer are not combined at the Company. The Company believes that effective corporate governance, including the independent oversight of management, does not require that the Chairman of the Board be an independent director. The Company believes that its stockholders are best served by a Board that has the flexibility to establish a leadership structure that fits the needs of the Company at a particular point in time.

Table of Contents

The Board believes that our current Executive Chairman is best situated to serve as Chairman because he is the director most familiar with our business and most capable of effectively identifying strategic priorities and leading the discussion and execution of our strategy. The Board also believes that the role of Executive Chairman facilitates information flow between management and the Board.

To assist with providing independent oversight of management and the Company's strategy, the non-employee members of the Board of Directors have appointed J. Wayne Richards, an independent director, as Lead Director. The Lead Director is responsible for: (1) developing the agenda for, and presiding over the executive sessions of, the Board's non-management directors, (2) facilitating communications among the Chairman of the Board, the Chief Executive Officer and other members of the Board, (3) coordinating, with the Chairman and the Chief Executive Officer, the assessment of the committee structure, organization, and charters, and evaluating the need for any changes, (4) acting as principal liaison between the non-management directors and the Chief Executive Officer on matters dealt with in executive session, and (5) assuming such further tasks as the independent directors may determine.

The Board also holds executive sessions on a quarterly basis at which only non-employee directors are present. In addition, the committees of the Board provide independent oversight of management. Each of the committees of the Board is composed entirely of independent directors.

The Board has concluded that this structure is in the best interest of stockholders because it provides an appropriate balance between our Chairman's ability to lead the Board and the Company and the ability of our independent directors, under the leadership of our Lead Director, to provide independent objective oversight of our management.

Board Role in Risk Oversight

The Board of Directors and its committees help conduct certain risk oversight functions for the Company. The Board is periodically advised on the status of various factors that could impact the business and operating results of the Company, including oil and gas prices. The full Board is also responsible for reviewing the Company's strategy, business plan, and capital expenditure budget at least annually. Through these various functions, the Board is able to monitor these risks and assist the Company in determining whether certain mitigating actions, if any, need to be taken.

The Audit Committee serves an important role in providing risk oversight, as further detailed in its charter. One of the Audit Committee's primary duties and responsibilities is to monitor the integrity of the Company's financial statements, financial reporting processes, systems of internal controls regarding finance, and disclosure controls and procedures. The Audit Committee is also responsible for establishing procedures for the receipt, retention, response to and treatment of complaints, including confidential, anonymous submissions by the Company's employees, regarding accounting, internal controls, disclosure or auditing matters, and providing an avenue of communication among the independent auditors, management, and the internal audit function and the Board. In addition, the Audit Committee monitors the Company's compliance with legal and regulatory requirements. The Company considers the Audit Committee an important part of the risk management process, and senior management works closely with the Audit Committee on these matters in managing material risks to the Company.

The other committees of the Board also assist in the risk oversight function. The Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee is responsible for ensuring that the Board and its committees are appropriately constituted so that the Board and its directors may effectively meet their fiduciary obligations to stockholders and the Company. The Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee is also responsible for monitoring and evaluating on an annual basis the effectiveness of the Board and management of the Company, including their effectiveness in implementing the policies and principles of the Corporate Governance Guidelines. The Compensation Committee is responsible for

compensation of the Company's directors and executive officers. These various responsibilities of these committees allow them to work with the Company to make sure these areas do not pose undue risks to the Company.

Table of Contents

Risk Assessment in Compensation Programs

Consistent with SEC disclosure requirements, the Company, its Compensation Committee and the Compensation Committee's independent compensation consultant assess the Company's compensation programs on an annual basis and have determined that the Company's compensation policies and practices do not create risks that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company. On an annual basis, Company management, the Compensation Committee and the Compensation Committee's compensation consultant will assess the Company's executive and broad-based compensation programs to determine if the programs' provisions and operations create undesired or unintentional risk of a material nature.

The Company's variable forms of compensation, namely the annual cash incentive bonus program and long-term equity incentives, have structural limitations and other mitigating controls which are designed to prevent the Company from being exposed to unexpected or unbudgeted materially adverse events. For example, bonus payments to an executive under the annual cash incentive bonus program are capped at a certain percentage of the executive's base salary, and the number of shares of restricted stock and stock options granted under the Company's long-term equity incentive plan are fixed amounts of shares.

The Company, the Compensation Committee, and the Compensation Committee's consultant believe that the Company's compensation policies and practices do not create inappropriate or unintended significant risk to the Company as a whole. The Company and the Compensation Committee also believe that the Company's incentive compensation arrangements provide incentives that do not encourage risk-taking beyond the organization's ability to effectively identify and manage significant risks and are supported by the oversight and administration of the Compensation Committee with regard to executive compensation programs.

Policies on Business Ethics and Conduct

The Company has a long-standing Business Ethics Policy. The Board adopted the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics For Members of the Board of Directors and Executive Officers and the Code of Ethics for Senior Financial Officers. These codes are designed to focus the Board and management on areas of ethical risk, provide guidance to personnel to help them recognize and deal with ethical issues, provide mechanisms to report unethical conduct and help to foster a culture of honesty and accountability. As set forth in the Corporate Governance Guidelines, the Board may not waive the application of the Company's policies on business ethics and conduct for any Director or Executive Officer. Copies of the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics For Members of the Board of Directors and Executive Officers and the Code of Ethics for Senior Financial Officers, as well as the code of ethics applicable to employees of the Company, are available on the Company's website, www.distributionnow.com, under the Investor Relations/Corporate Governance section. The Company will furnish print copies of these Codes to interested stockholders without charge, upon request. Written requests for such copies should be addressed to: Raymond Chang, Secretary, NOW Inc., 7402 N. Eldridge Parkway, Houston, Texas 77041.

Table of Contents

Communications with Directors

The Board has provided a process for interested parties to communicate with our non-employee directors. Parties wishing to communicate confidentially with our non-employee directors may do so by calling 1-866-880-2773. This procedure is described on the Company's website, www.distributionnow.com, in the Investor Relations/Corporate Governance section. Calls to this number will be answered by an independent, automated system 24 hours a day, 365 days a year. A transcript of the call will be delivered to a member of the Audit Committee. Parties wishing to send written communications to the Board, other than sales-related communications, should send a letter addressed to the member or members of the Board to whom the communication is directed, care of the Secretary, NOW Inc., 7402 N. Eldridge Parkway, Houston, Texas, 77041. All such communications will be forwarded to the Board member or members specified.

Director Attendance at Annual Meetings

The Company does not have a formal policy with respect to director attendance at annual stockholder meetings. In 2015, all members of the Board were in attendance at the annual meeting.

NYSE Corporate Governance Matters

As a listed company with the NYSE, our Chief Executive Officer, as required under Section 303A.12(a) of the NYSE Listed Company Manual, must certify to the NYSE each year whether or not he is aware of any violation by the Company of NYSE Corporate Governance listing standards as of the date of the certification. On June 2, 2015, the Company's Chief Executive Officer submitted such a certification to the NYSE which stated that he was not aware of any violation by the Company of the NYSE Corporate Governance listing standards.

On February 23, 2016, the Company filed its 2015 Form 10-K with the SEC, which included as Exhibits 31.1 and 31.2 the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer certifications required under Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Table of Contents**EXECUTIVE OFFICERS**

The following persons are our current executive officers. The executive officers of the Company serve at the pleasure of the Board of Directors and are subject to annual appointment by the Board of Directors. None of the executive officers, directors, or nominees for director has any family relationships with each other.

Name	Age	Position	Biography
Merrill Miller, Jr.	65	Executive Chairman	Mr. Miller has been a director of the Company and its Chairman of the Board since May 2014. Mr. Miller was elected Executive Chairman of the Company in February 2014. Mr. Miller served as a director of National Oilwell Varco from May 2001, and Chairman of its Board from July 2005, until the Company's spin-off from National Oilwell Varco in May 2014. Mr. Miller served as Executive Chairman of National Oilwell Varco from February 2014 until May 2014. He also served as Chief Executive Officer of National Oilwell Varco from May 2001 until February 2014, and as President from November 2000 until December 2012. Mr. Miller serves as a director of Chesapeake Energy Corporation, a company engaged in the development, acquisition, production, exploration, and marketing of onshore oil and natural gas properties in the United States. Mr. Miller is also on the board of directors of Transocean Ltd., a company that provides offshore contract drilling services for energy companies.
Robert Workman	47	President and Chief Executive Officer	Mr. Workman has been a director of the Company since May 2014. Mr. Workman has served as President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company since February 2014. Mr. Workman

served as National Oilwell Varco's President - Distribution Services from January 2001 until the Company's spin-off in May 2014. He previously served National Oilwell Varco starting in 1991 in various managerial positions with the distribution business group. He also previously served as the Chairman of the Petroleum Equipment Suppliers Association.

Table of Contents

Name	Age	Position	Biography
Daniel Molinaro	69	Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	Mr. Molinaro has served as the Company's Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer since February 2014. Mr. Molinaro served as National Oilwell Varco's Vice President from 2003, and served as National Oilwell Varco's Treasurer from 1987, until the Company's spin-off in May 2014. Prior to that, he was Controller of the Oilwell Division of U.S. Steel Corporation (USX). He started with USX in 1968, and has held various managerial positions in auditing, accounting and finance.
Raymond Chang	45	Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary	Mr. Chang has served as the Company's Vice President and General Counsel since February 2014. Mr. Chang served as National Oilwell Varco's Vice President, Assistant General Counsel and Assistant Secretary from 2009 until the Company's spin-off in May 2014. He previously served National Oilwell Varco starting in 2001 in various positions within its legal department. Prior to joining National Oilwell Varco, he was an associate at the law firm of Baker & McKenzie from 1997 until 2001.
David Cherechinsky	52	Vice President, Corporate Controller and Chief Accounting Officer	Mr. Cherechinsky has served as the Company's Vice President, Corporate Controller and Chief Accounting Officer since February 2014. Mr. Cherechinsky served as Vice President Finance for National Oilwell Varco's distribution business group from 2003, and as Vice President Finance for National Oilwell Varco's Distribution &

Transmission business segment from 2011, until the Company's spin-off in May 2014. He previously served National Oilwell Varco starting in 1989 in various corporate roles, including internal auditor, credit management and business analyst.

Table of Contents**STOCK OWNERSHIP****Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners**

Based on information filed with the SEC as of the most recent practicable date, this table shows the number and percentage of shares beneficially owned by owners of more than five percent of the outstanding shares of the common stock of the Company at December 31, 2015. The number and percentage of shares of common stock beneficially owned is based on 109,371,473 shares outstanding as of December 31, 2015.

5% Owners	No. of Shares	Percent of Class
Baillie Gifford & Co. ⁽¹⁾	14,151,313	12.94%
Calton Square 1 Greenside Row Edinburgh EH1 3AN Scotland UK		
First Eagle Investment Management, LLC ⁽²⁾	8,360,752	7.64%
1345 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10105		
BlackRock, Inc. ⁽³⁾	7,193,206	6.58%
55 East 52 nd Street New York, NY 10055		
Fairholme Capital Management, LLC ⁽⁴⁾	7,185,000	6.57%
4400 Biscayne Boulevard, 9 th Floor Miami, FL 33137		
The Vanguard Group ⁽⁵⁾	7,070,731	6.46%
100 Vanguard Blvd. Malvern, PA 19355		
Arlington Value Capital, LLC ⁽⁶⁾	6,086,623	5.57%
222 S. Main Street, Suite 1750 Salt Lake City, UT 84101		
Clearbridge Investments, LLC ⁽⁷⁾	5,716,270	5.23%
620 8 th Avenue New York, NY 10018		

- (1) Shares owned at December 31, 2015, as reflected in Amendment No. 1 to Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on February 3, 2016 by Baillie Gifford & Co. (Baillie Gifford). Securities reported on this Schedule 13G were reported as being beneficially owned by Baillie Gifford and are held by Baillie Gifford and/or one or more of its investment adviser subsidiaries, which may include Baillie Gifford Overseas Limited, on behalf of investment advisory clients, which may include investment companies registered under the Investment Company Act, employee benefit plans, pension funds or other institutional clients.

Table of Contents

- (2) Shares owned at December 31, 2015, as reflected in Amendment 1 to Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on February 4, 2016 by First Eagle Investment Management, LLC (FEIM). First Eagle Investment Management, LLC, an investment adviser registered under Section 203 of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, is deemed to be the beneficial owner of 8,360,752 shares, or 7.80% of the ordinary shares believed to be outstanding as a result of acting as investment adviser to various clients. Clients of FEIM have the right to receive and the ultimate power to direct the receipt of dividends from, or the proceeds of the sale of, such securities. The First Eagle Global Fund, a registered investment company for which FEIM acts as investment adviser, may be deemed to beneficially own 5,851,664 of these 8,360,752 shares, or 5.46% of the Company's common stock.
- (3) Shares owned at December 31, 2015, as reflected in Amendment 1 to Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on January 27, 2016 by BlackRock, Inc. (BlackRock). Within the BlackRock group are the following subsidiaries: BlackRock Advisors (UK) Limited, BlackRock Advisors, LLC, BlackRock Asset Management Canada Limited, BlackRock Asset Management Ireland Limited, BlackRock Asset Management Schweiz AG, BlackRock Financial Management, Inc., BlackRock Fund Advisors, BlackRock Institutional Trust Company, N.A., BlackRock Investment Management (Australia) Limited, BlackRock Investment Management (UK) Ltd, BlackRock Investment Management, LLC, BlackRock Life Limited.
- (4) Shares owned at December 31, 2015, as reflected in Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on February 16, 2016 by Fairholme Capital Management, LLC (FCM). 7,185,000 shares of Common Stock of NOW Inc. are owned, in the aggregate, by Bruce R. Berkowitz and various investment vehicles managed by FCM of which 6,266,800 are owned by The Fairholme Fund and 195,000 are owned by the Fairholme Allocation Fund, each a series of Fairholme Funds, Inc. Because Mr. Berkowitz, in his capacity as the controlling person of the sole member of FCM or as president of Fairholme Funds, Inc., has voting or dispositive power over all shares beneficially owned by FCM, he is deemed to have beneficial ownership of all such shares so reported.
- (5) Shares owned at December 31, 2015, as reflected in Amendment No. 1 to Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on February 11, 2016 by the Vanguard Group. Vanguard Fiduciary Trust Company (VFTC), a wholly-owned subsidiary of The Vanguard Group, Inc., is the beneficial owner of 70,960 shares or 0.06% of the common stock outstanding of the Company as a result of its serving as investment manager of collective trust accounts. Vanguard Investments Australia, Ltd. (VIA), a wholly-owned subsidiary of The Vanguard Group, Inc., is the beneficial owner of 12,500 shares or 0.01% of the common stock outstanding of the Company as a result of its serving as investment manager of Australian investment offerings.
- (6) Shares owned at December 31, 2015, as reflected in Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on February 16, 2016 by Arlington Value Capital, LLC.
- (7) Shares owned at December 31, 2015, as reflected in Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on February 16, 2016 by Clearbridge Investments, LLC.

Table of Contents**Security Ownership of Management**

This table shows the number and percentage of shares of the Company's common stock beneficially owned as of April 7, 2016 by each of our current directors and executive officers and by all current directors and executive officers as a group. The number and percentage of shares of common stock beneficially owned is based on 109,490,983 shares outstanding as of April 7, 2016. Beneficial ownership includes any shares as to which the director or executive officer has the right to acquire within 60 days of April 7, 2016 through the exercise of any stock option, warrant or other right. Each stockholder has sole voting and investment power, or shares these powers with his spouse, with respect to the shares beneficially owned.

Name of Individual	Shares Beneficially Owned		
	Number of Common Shares⁽¹⁾	Outstanding Options Exercisable Within 60 Days	Percent of Class*
Richard Alario	8,837	0	*
Terry Bonno	8,837	0	*
Raymond Chang	116,245	49,695	*
David Cherechinsky	83,867	58,995	*
Galen Cobb	8,837	0	*
James Crandell	8,837	0	*
Rodney Eads	8,837	0	*
Michael Frazier	35,138	0	*
Merrill Miller Jr	806,438	1,356,053	2.0%
Daniel Molinaro	150,274	116,017	*
J. Wayne Richards	8,837	0	*
Robert Workman	448,408	286,979	*
All current directors and executive officers as a group			
(12 persons)	1,693,392	1,867,739	3.3%

* Less than 1 percent.

(1) Includes shares deemed held by executive officers and directors in the Company's 401(k) plans and deferred compensation plans.

Table of Contents**COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS****General Overview**

NOW Inc.'s executive compensation program is administered by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors. The Compensation Committee establishes specific compensation levels for the Company's executive officers and administers the Company's long-term incentive award plans. The Compensation Committee's objective regarding executive compensation is to design and implement a compensation program that will attract and retain the best available individuals to serve on the Company's executive team and properly incentivize those executives to achieve the Company's short-term and long-term financial and operational goals. To this end, the Compensation Committee strives to provide compensation packages for key executives that generally offer compensation opportunities in the median range of the companies in its designated peer group as described below. Data sources reviewed by the Compensation Committee and its independent compensation consultants include industry survey groups, national survey databases, proxy disclosures and general trend data, which are updated annually. The Compensation Committee reviews all elements of executive compensation both separately and in the aggregate.

Major components of the executive compensation program for 2015 are base salary, participation in the Company's annual cash incentive (bonus) plan and the grant of non-qualified stock options, restricted stock and performance-based restricted stock awards (long-term incentives).

2015 Performance Overview

In 2015, the Company had the following highlights:

First full year operating as an independent, publicly traded company;

The Company completed eight acquisitions;

The Company generated \$324 million in cash flow from operations in 2015;

Revenue in 2015 of \$3.0 billion.

Participants

The following is a list of our named executive officers by name and position, as of December 31, 2015:

Name	Position
Merrill Miller, Jr.	Executive Chairman
Robert Workman	President and Chief Executive Officer
Daniel Molinaro	Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
Raymond Chang	Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary
David Cherechinsky	Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer

Table of Contents*Good Pay Practices*

Our compensation program and policies include key features that are designed to align the interests of our executives and stockholders and to mitigate compensation-related risks. The table below highlights our practices:

What We Do	What We Do Not Do
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> þ Pay for Performance þ Long-term incentives linked to stock price appreciation and company financial performance þ Annual Cash Incentive and Long-Term Incentives are subject to the Company's clawback policy þ Bonus payments to executives under the annual cash incentive program are capped at a certain percentage of the executive's base salary þ Long-term incentive awards do not fully vest until a minimum of three years after the grant þ Varied performance metrics under short-term and long-term incentive plans þ Double Trigger Provisions for Change in Control þ Independent Consultant Reports Directly to the Compensation Committee þ Fully independent Compensation Committee þ Benchmark pay relative to the market and review the designated peer group used for market benchmarking on an annual basis þ Mitigate Undue Risk in Compensation Programs 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> x No gross-up payments to cover excise taxes or perquisites x No Guaranteed Annual or Multi-Year Bonuses x No Repricing of Underwater Stock Options x No significant compensation in the form of perquisites for executives x No pledging of our shares by executive officers or directors

In 2015, more than 97% of the votes cast on our say-on-pay proposal were in favor of our executive compensation program and policies.

Compensation Philosophy

The Company believes it is important for each executive to have a fixed amount of cash compensation, in the form of base salary, that is not dependent on the performance or results of the Company. The Company recognizes that a certain amount of financial certainty must be provided to its executives as part of their compensation.

While the Company believes a competitive base salary is needed to attract and retain talented executives, the Company's compensation program also places a strong emphasis on performance driven annual and long-term incentives to align the executive's interests with stockholder value. The annual and long-term incentives are calculated and paid based primarily on financial measures of profitability and stockholder value creation. Executives of the Company are incentivized to increase the Company's profitability and stockholder return and to optimize the Company's financial performance in order to earn a major portion of their compensation package.

Table of Contents

The Company seeks to structure a balance between achieving strong short-term annual results and ensuring the Company's long-term success and viability. The Company wants each of its executives to balance his or her focus between the Company's day-to-day operational performance and the Company's long-term goals and strategies. To reinforce the importance of balancing these perspectives, the Company's executives are provided both short and long-term incentives.

Base salary is designed to compensate the executive for his or her performance of normal, everyday job functions. The Company's annual cash incentive (bonus) plan and long-term incentives are designed to reward the executive for executing business plans that will benefit the Company in the short and long-term. The Company believes that the mix of short and long-term incentives allows the Company to deliver results aligned with the interests of stockholders. Stock options create a focus on share price appreciation, while the annual cash incentive (bonus) and performance-based restricted stock awards emphasize financial performance, both absolute and relative.

Given the inherent nature of these forms of compensation and the cyclical nature of the industry in which we operate, the Company understands that its annual cash incentives and long-term compensation will result in varying compensation for its executives each year. Because of this, the Company has tried to design its annual cash incentives and long-term compensation program in such a way to provide meaningful financial rewards to its executives during times when the Company's financial and operational performance is strong, while motivating executives to stay with the Company during more challenging economic times when the Company's performance may not be as strong.

There are no compensation policy differences among the individual executives, except that the more senior officers, such as the chief executive officer, receive higher compensation consistent with their increased responsibilities. These differences are reviewed and considered in connection with the compensation analysis performed by the Compensation Committee's independent consultant.

Competitive Positioning

Because of these goals and objectives for executive compensation, the Company believes each element of compensation should be properly designed, as well as competitive with the marketplace, to incentivize its executives in the manner stated above. The Company believes it is also important that executive compensation be properly designed to attract and retain talented executives.

As part of its process to establish compensation levels for the Company's named executive officers, the Compensation Committee compares each of the major elements of compensation (base salary, annual bonus and long-term incentives) for each of its named executive officers against the median compensation provided to comparable executive officers at companies in a designated peer group. When analyzing peer group data, the Compensation Committee does not establish a specific numeric range around the median data points, which it considers reasonable or acceptable. Rather, in setting compensation for any particular named executive officer, the Compensation Committee considers any variance from the median, taking into account other factors as discussed below, and determines whether such variance is appropriate. If the Compensation Committee determines that any variance is unwarranted, the Compensation Committee will make appropriate adjustments to the compensation levels.

The Compensation Committee has been taking steps to position our senior executives' total target compensation closer to our peer group median.

In November 2015, the Compensation Committee requested that its independent compensation consultant, Longnecker & Associates (L&A), review its designated peer group against which the Company's named executive officers' compensation is compared, and provide recommendations on the ongoing peer group framework. The

designated peer group, developed in 2014, was comprised of companies of similar size, companies in the equipment and services industry with emphasis on serving the energy industry, and companies in the peer group of its closest competitors, as well as companies for

Table of Contents

which the Company competes for management talent. Two companies in the peer group, Rosetta Resources and Dresser-Rand, were acquired by other companies since the establishment of the designated peer group. As a result, those two companies were removed from the designated peer group, reducing the designated peer group to fourteen companies.

L&A reviewed each designated peer company's revenue, assets, market capitalization and enterprise value in relation to the Company. L&A indicated that an ideal size for the designated peer group of the Company would be between 12 to 18 companies, and that the current designated peer group size of 14 companies would still be reasonable and proper. After reviewing the peer group and L&A's analysis and recommendations, the Compensation Committee approved the following peer group of 14 companies to form the Company's designated peer group:

W.W. Grainger, Inc.	WESCO International Inc.	KBR, Inc.
MRC Global Inc.	Flowserve Corp.	Superior Energy Services, Inc.
MasTec, Inc.	Fastenal Company	DXP Enterprises, Inc.
MSC Industrial Direct Co. Inc.	McDermott International Inc.	Applied Industrial Technologies, Inc.
Anixter International Inc.	Forum Energy Technologies, Inc.	

The Compensation Committee recognized that the designated peer group was within reasonable size parameters (generally 0.5 times to 2 times the Company's revenues, assets, EBITDA and/or market capitalization) and were generally similar to the Company in terms of industry and/or operations.

The Compensation Committee then engaged L&A in November 2015 to conduct its annual competitive review of executive compensation for the Company's top five executives relative to its peer companies, as well as to analyze internal pay equity based on the peer group approved by the Compensation Committee. L&A analyzed and compared each position's responsibilities and job title to develop competitive market data. Its executive compensation review covered the following elements of compensation: base salaries, annual bonuses, and equity compensation. L&A generated data on the components of the Company's compensation program compared to the market 25th percentile, market 50th percentile, and market 75th percentile of the designated peer group.

Based on the compiled data and the comparisons prepared by L&A, the Compensation Committee, in consultation with the Company and L&A, determined that the total direct compensation for the Company's named executive officers relative to the designated peer group was generally positioned below the 25th percentile of the peer group.

Components of Compensation

The following describes the elements of the Company's compensation program for 2015, why they were selected, and how the amounts of each element were determined.

Base Salary

Base salaries provide executives with a fixed level of monthly cash income. While the Compensation Committee is aware of competitive levels, actual salary levels are based on factors including tenure, individual performance, and level and scope of responsibility. The Company does not give specific weights to these factors. The Compensation Committee determines median base salary levels by having L&A conduct a comprehensive review of information provided in proxy statements filed by our peer companies. Generally, each executive is reviewed by the Compensation Committee individually on an annual basis. Salary adjustments are based on the individual's experience and background, the individual's performance during the prior year, the general movement of salaries in the marketplace,

our financial position and, for each executive other than the chief executive officer, the recommendations of our executive chairman and chief executive officer. The Compensation Committee does not establish

Table of Contents

specific individual goals for the Company's named executive officers, other than the chief executive officer (see Compensation of the Chief Executive Officer below for a discussion of the chief executive officer's goals). The Compensation Committee's analysis of the individual performance of any particular named executive officer is subjective in nature and takes into account the recommendations of the executive chairman and the chief executive officer (other than with respect to him). As a result of these factors, an executive's base salary may be above or below the targeted median at any point in time.

In November 2014, the Compensation Committee reviewed with L&A the base salaries of the named executive officers. The Compensation Committee considered each named executive officer's base salary relative to his peers and found that overall, the Company's executives are generally aligned below the market 25th percentile. Based on L&A's analysis, the CEO was further behind the market than the other executives. The Compensation Committee also considered that there had been no adjustments to the Company's named executive officers' base salary since the Company's spin-off from National Oilwell Varco in May 2014.

L&A recommended to the Compensation Committee that it adopt a philosophy of targeting base salaries near the market 50th percentile of the Company's designated peer group. Given that many of the executives were not near the market 50th percentile, and to allow the executives to mature into their current roles, L&A recommended that increases to base salaries to achieve near the market 50th percentile be made over a period of three years, so as to limit the amount of any increases over a one year period and to allow the Compensation Committee to review and make any further adjustments on an annual basis. In November 2014, the Compensation Committee agreed to the staged increases in base salary pay over a three year period, subject to an annual cap that would allow the Company to maintain fiscal responsibility and better manage pay for performance alignment while salaries adjust to the market median (Three Year Base Pay Plan).

In November 2015, the Compensation Committee conducted its annual review with L&A of the base salaries of the named executive officers. The Compensation Committee considered each named executive officer's base salary relative to his peers and found that the Company's chief executive officer was below the market 25th percentile and the other executives were generally aligned between the market 25th percentile and the market 50th percentile. The results of such review were consistent with the analysis conducted in 2014, and were to be addressed by the Compensation Committee's approval and implementation of the Three Year Base Pay Plan.

However, given the difficult and severe market conditions during 2015, Company management requested that the Compensation Committee suspend the previously approved Three Year Base Pay Plan, and maintain each executive's base salary at current levels, even though such existing base salary levels were all below median levels of their designated peers (and in the case of the chief executive officer, below the market 25th percentile). The Compensation Committee, in consultation with the Company and L&A, reviewed the Company's request. While the Compensation Committee found the Three Year Base Pay Plan to still be fully supported by market data, it agreed to honor the Company's request and suspend implementation of the previously approved base salary adjustments for the Company's executive officers per the Three Year Base Pay Plan, and agreed to take such actions taken by Company management into consideration when compensation was reviewed again in 2016.

Table of Contents

Based on these factors, the Company's named executive officers, other than its Executive Chairman (who continues to voluntarily receive \$1 in annual base salary), had the following base salaries in 2015 (which have continued into 2016):

Name	2015 Base Salary	2016 Base Salary
Robert Workman	\$600,000	\$600,000
Daniel Molinaro	\$425,000	\$425,000
Raymond Chang	\$368,000	\$368,000
David Cherechinsky	\$253,000	\$253,000

The Executive Chairman has voluntarily agreed to effectively forego cash compensation (\$1 in annual base salary and no annual incentive compensation) and could only be compensated in long-term incentives.

Annual Incentive Award

The objectives of the Company's annual cash incentive plan are to incent performance to achieve the Company's corporate growth and profitability goals, encourage smart investments and prudent employment of capital, incent efficient and optimal cash flow management, and provide competitive compensation packages to attract and retain management talent.

The Company's annual incentive plan has two independent, pre-determined and equally weighted metrics to measure the Company's success and payouts under such plan: (1) working capital as a percentage of revenue (Working Capital) and (2) EBITDA percentage (EBITDA). Working capital is defined as current assets (excluding cash) less current liabilities (excluding short-term borrowings). Substantially all corporate exempt employees of the Company, including executive officers, are eligible to participate in the Company's annual incentive plan in 2015, aligning a portion of each employee's cash compensation with Company performance.

Each participant is assigned a target level percentage bonus, which ranges from 5% to 100% of salary, depending on the level of the participant. There are three performance result multiplier levels of the target level percentage bonus set under the incentive plan for each of the two performance metrics – minimum (50%), target (100%) and maximum (200%) (the performance result multiplier). Entry level is the minimum level of EBITDA and Working Capital for which the Company provides an annual incentive payout. If the Company's EBITDA is less than the entry level threshold, then there is no payout in that fiscal year for the EBITDA portion of the annual incentive. If the Company's Working Capital is less than the entry level threshold, then there is no payout in that fiscal year for the Working Capital portion of the annual incentive. If the Company achieves the entry level threshold for a performance metric, the minimum level payout of 50% of the target level percentage bonus is earned. For the EBITDA portion of the annual incentive plan, the target multiplier level (100% of the participant's applicable percentage of base salary) is earned when the target EBITDA level is reached by the Company. For the Working Capital portion of the annual incentive plan, the target multiplier level (100% of the participant's applicable percentage of base salary) is earned when the target Working Capital level is reached by the Company. For the EBITDA portion of the annual incentive plan, for the maximum level multiplier of 200% of the target level percentage bonus to occur, the Company's EBITDA must equal or exceed the maximum EBITDA goal that was set for the incentive plan. For the Working Capital portion of the annual incentive plan, for the maximum level multiplier of 200% of the target level percentage bonus to occur, the Company's Working Capital must equal or exceed the maximum Working Capital goal that was set for the incentive plan. Results falling between the stated thresholds of minimum, target and maximum will result in an interpolated, or sliding scale payout.

Table of Contents

For 2015, the chief executive officer's participation level was 100%, the chief financial officer's participation level was 80%, and the other executive officers' participation levels were between 75-80%. These participation level percentages are based on each executive's level of responsibility for the Company's financial performance. Mr. Miller, the Company's Executive Chairman, has voluntarily waived his right to participate in the Company's annual incentive plan.

The Compensation Committee believes the use of two separate metrics, EBITDA and Working Capital, as the designated performance objectives under the annual incentive plan best align the interests of the Company's stockholders and the Company's executive officers. The target objective is set at a level that the Company believes is challenging to meet but achievable if the Company properly executes its operational plan and market conditions are positive and favorable during the year. The minimum and maximum level of operating profit under the incentive plan are set based off of the target objective. The Compensation Committee believes this objective, formulaic measure allows the minimum objective to be set at a level that the Company can achieve even if market conditions are not as favorable and/or the Company's operational plan is not executed as efficiently as planned. The minimum objective serves to motivate the Company's executives to continue to work towards executing the Company's operational plan if market conditions, which are generally outside the control of the Company, are not as favorable. The Compensation Committee believes this objective, formulaic measure allows the maximum objective to be set at a level that would be extremely challenging for the Company to achieve. The Compensation Committee believes that, for the maximum objective to be achieved, a combination of market conditions being much more favorable than initially forecasted and the Company executing its operational plan in a highly efficient manner would need to occur.

Payouts are calculated by multiplying (A) the sum of (1) the performance result multiplier with respect to EBITDA performance, divided by two, and (2) the performance result multiplier with respect to Working Capital performance, divided by two, by (B) the participant's base salary, by (C) the participant's designated participation level percentage.

The following examples calculate an annual incentive award payment for Mr. Workman assuming (1) the Company's 2015 EBITDA and Working Capital were each equal to the target set under the incentive plan and (2) the Company's 2015 EBITDA and Working Capital each exceeded the maximum set under the incentive plan:

(1) $((100\%/2) + (100\%/2))$ (performance result) x \$600,000 (base salary) x 100% (participation level) = \$600,000

(2) $((200\%/2) + (200\%/2))$ (performance result) x \$600,000 (base salary) x 100% (participation level) = \$1,200,000

The Company's annual incentive plan is designed to reward its executives in line with the financial performance of the Company on an annual basis. When the Company is achieving strong financial results, its executives will be rewarded well through its annual incentive plan. The Company believes this structure helps keep the executives properly motivated to continue helping the Company achieve these strong results. While the executives' financial benefit is reduced during times when the Company's performance is not as strong, other forms of the Company's compensation program, namely its long-term incentive compensation as well as base salary, help motivate its executives to remain with the Company to help it achieve strong financial and operational results, thereby benefiting the executive, the Company and its stockholders.

Market conditions in 2015 were extremely challenging. The worldwide average rig count declined 34.7% (from 3,578 to 2,337) and the U.S. rig count declined 47.5% (from 1,861 to 977) from 2014. The average price of West Texas Intermediate (WTI) crude declined 47.8% (from \$93.26 per barrel to \$48.69 per barrel) and natural gas prices declined 40.0% (from \$4.38 per MMBtu to \$2.63 per MMBtu) from 2014.

Table of Contents

The Company's operating results were heavily impacted by the very sharp and dramatic declines in both rig count and the price of WTI and natural gas throughout 2015, resulting in the Company not achieving the entry level (minimum) of EBITDA or Working Capital under its annual cash incentive plan. As a result, no bonus payments were made to the Company's named executive officers for 2015.

Long-Term Incentive Compensation

The primary purpose of the Company's long-term incentive compensation is to focus its executive officers on a longer-term perspective in their managerial responsibilities. This component of an executive officer's compensation directly links the officers' interests with those of the Company's stockholders. In addition, long-term incentives encourage management to focus on the Company's long-term development and prosperity in addition to profitability and optimal cash flow. This program helps balance long-term versus short-term business objectives, reinforcing that one should not be achieved at the expense of the other. The Company's long-term incentive compensation program also serves to help the Company attract and retain management talent.

In November 2014, the Compensation Committee, the Company and L&A discussed establishing a long-term incentive plan structure for the Company. L&A conducted a market study to determine what types of grants were used by the Company's peers, as well as the type of grants the employees of the Company were accustomed to receiving during their employment with National Oilwell Varco before the spin-off.

In January 2015, the Compensation Committee agreed that equity grants to be made to the Company's executives under the Company's long-term incentive plan would consist of, in equal portions by value, stock options, time-based restricted stock and performance-based share awards. The Compensation Committee, the Company and L&A believed it was important that a portion of the equity grants included a grant based on the satisfaction of a specified performance condition to determine vesting of that particular grant. After consultation with Company management and L&A, the Compensation Committee established three separate performance metrics to be used for vesting of the performance share awards for executives. The Compensation Committee believed that the performance measures they established would serve to motivate the Company's executives to deliver results aligned with the interests of Company stockholders.

The performance share awards can be earned by the executives only by performance against established goals and vest three years from the grant date. The performance share awards are divided into three equal, independent parts that are subject to these three separate performance metrics: 33 1/3% with a TSR (total shareholder return) goal, 33 1/3% with an EBITDA goal and 33 1/3% with a working capital as a percentage of revenue goal (working capital).

Performance against the TSR goal is determined by comparing the performance of the Company's TSR with the TSR performance of the members of the Company's designated peer group for the three year performance period of the performance share awards. The Compensation Committee believes that the members of the Company's designated peer group are an appropriate benchmark against which to compare the Company's TSR performance. The following table summarizes the relationship between the Company's TSR performance when compared with the TSR performance of the members of its designated peer group and the associated payout levels for the performance achieved for the TSR portion of the award:

Level	Payout %	Percentile Rank vs. Designated Peer Group
Maximum	200%	

Edgar Filing: NOW Inc. - Form DEF 14A

		200% earned when the Company is at the 75 th percentile or greater
Target	100%	100% earned when the Company is at the 50 th percentile
Minimum	50%	50% earned when the Company is at the 25 th percentile
No Payout	0%	0% earned when the Company is below the 25 th percentile

Table of Contents

Results falling between the stated thresholds of minimum, target and maximum will result in an interpolated, or sliding scale payout.

Performance against the EBITDA percentage goal is determined by comparing the performance of the Company's actual EBITDA percentage performance average for each of the three years of the performance period against the EBITDA goal set by the Compensation Committee. The following table summarizes the payout levels on the EBITDA portion of the award based on the Company's EBITDA percentage performance against the EBITDA percentage goal:

Level	Payout %	Actual EBITDA Performance
Maximum	200%	200% earned when EBITDA achievement is 7% or higher
Target	100%	100% earned when EBITDA achievement is 5%
Minimum	50%	50% earned when EBITDA achievement is 3%
No Payout	0%	0% earned when EBITDA achievement is less than 3%

Results falling between the stated thresholds of minimum, target and maximum will result in an interpolated, or sliding scale payout.

Performance against the working capital as a percentage of revenue goal is determined by comparing the performance of the Company's actual working capital as a percentage of revenue performance average for each of the three years of the performance period against the working capital as a percentage of revenue goal set by the Compensation Committee. The following table summarizes the payout levels on the working capital as a percentage of revenue portion of the award based on the Company's working capital as a percentage of revenue performance against the working capital goal (WC):

Level	Payout %	Actual Working Capital Performance
Maximum	200%	200% earned when WC achievement is 25% or lower
Target	100%	100% earned when WC achievement is 30%
Minimum	50%	50% earned when WC achievement is 35%
No Payout	0%	0% earned when WC achievement is greater than 35%

Results falling between the stated thresholds of minimum, target and maximum will result in an interpolated, or sliding scale payout. Working capital for purposes of this calculation will exclude cash.

The Compensation Committee implemented this performance award structure to provide for long-term incentives comparable to those awards used by the Company's peers, such as:

Making award payouts based on multiple measures/metrics; and

Providing an earn-out structure with a threshold and maximum payout with varying levels of performance to incentivize performance

The Company grants stock options, time-based restricted stock and performance-based share awards to the Company's key executives based on competitive grants within the industry and based on the level of long-term incentives appropriate for the competitive long-term compensation component of total compensation. Such executives are eligible to receive stock options, restricted stock and performance share awards annually with other key managers being eligible on a discretionary basis. Eligibility for an award does not ensure receipt of an award.

Options are granted with an exercise price per share equal to the fair market value of the Company's common stock on the date of grant and generally vest in equal annual installments over a three-year period, and have a seven-year term subject to earlier termination. Option grants, restricted stock award grants and performance award grants must be reviewed and approved by the Compensation Committee.

Table of Contents

The Company's long-term incentive compensation program is focused on employees who will have a greater impact on the direction and long-term results of the Company by virtue of their roles and responsibilities.

Based on the foregoing, on February 24, 2015, the Compensation Committee approved the grant of stock options to its executive officers pursuant to the NOW Inc. Long-Term Incentive Plan, as follows:

Name	Securities Underlying Options (#)
Robert Workman	104,158
Daniel Molinaro	31,247
Raymond Chang	23,808
David Cherechinsky	14,384

The exercise price of the stock options is \$22.44 per share, which was the closing stock price of NOW Inc. common stock on the date of grant. The stock options have a term of seven years from the date of grant and vest in three equal annual installments beginning on the first anniversary of the date of the grant.

On February 24, 2015, the Compensation Committee approved the grant of time-based restricted stock to its executive officers pursuant to the NOW Inc. Long-Term Incentive Plan, as follows:

Name	Shares of Restricted Stock (3 Years) (#)
Robert Workman	34,719
Daniel Molinaro	10,416
Raymond Chang	7,936
David Cherechinsky	4,795

The restricted stock awards granted by the Company to its executive officers vest 100% on the third anniversary of the date of grant.

On February 24, 2015, the Compensation Committee approved the grant of performance share awards to its executive officers pursuant to the NOW Inc. Long-Term Incentive Plan, as follows:

Name	Performance Awards (Target # of Shares)
Robert Workman	34,719
Daniel Molinaro	10,416
Raymond Chang	7,936
David Cherechinsky	4,795

The performance share awards can be earned by the executives only by performance against established goals and vest three years from the grant date. The performance share awards are divided into three equal, independent parts that are

subject to three separate performance metrics: 33 1/3% with a TSR (total shareholder return) goal, 33 1/3% with an EBITDA percentage goal and 33 1/3% with a working capital as a percentage of revenue goal (working capital).

Table of Contents

The Compensation Committee also designated an annual 2015 equity grant for Mr. Miller, the Company's Executive Chairman, in the form of stock options, time-based restricted stock and performance share awards. Mr. Miller voluntarily requested that he be allowed to decline receiving such grant and waive his right to it. While the size of his grant was fully supported by market data, as confirmed by L&A, the Compensation Committee respected Mr. Miller's wishes and allowed him to decline receiving such proposed grant.

The goal of the stock option program is to provide a compensation program that is competitive within the industry while directly linking a significant portion of the executive's compensation to the enhancement of stockholder value. The ultimate value of any stock option is based solely on the increase in value of the shares of the Company's common stock over the grant price. Accordingly, stock options have value only if the Company's stock price appreciates from the date of grant. Additionally, the option holder must remain employed during the period required for the option to vest, thus providing an incentive for an option holder to remain employed by the Company. This at-risk component of compensation focuses executives on the creation of stockholder value over the long-term and is therefore inherently performance-based compensation.

The goal of the performance-based share award program is to provide a compensation program that is also competitive within the industry while directly linking a significant portion of the executive's compensation to the financial performance of the Company. The performance-based share awards received by the executives have value only if the Company's designated financial performance objectives are met and exceeded. Additionally, the holder must also remain employed during the period required for the award to vest, thus providing an additional incentive for the award holder to remain employed by the Company. This at-risk component of compensation focuses executives on achieving strong financial performance for the Company over the long-term.

The goal of time-based restricted stock award grants is to serve as a key retention tool for the Company to retain its executives and key employees. The restricted stock awards will have value to the executive even if the Company's stock price falls below the price on the date of grant, provided that the executive remain employed during the period required for the award to vest.

The Company believes that its equity incentive grants must be sufficient in size and duration to provide a long-term performance and retention incentive for executives and to increase their interest in the appreciation of the Company's stock and achievement of positive financial results, both in absolute terms and relative to its peers. The Company believes that stock option, restricted stock and performance award grants at a competitive level, with certain vesting requirements, are an effective way of promoting the long-term nature of its business.

Compensation of the Chief Executive Officer

The Compensation Committee determines the compensation of the chief executive officer based on competitive peer group data, leadership, meeting operational goals, executing the Company's business plan, and achieving certain financial results. Components of Mr. Workman's compensation for 2015 are consistent with those for executive officers as described above and included base salary, participation in the annual incentive plan and the grant of stock options, restricted stock and performance awards.

In considering Mr. Workman's base salary level, the Compensation Committee, generally on an annual basis, reviews the compensation level of chief executive officers of each of the companies in the designated peer group and considers Mr. Workman's individual performance and success in achieving the Company's strategic objectives.

Table of Contents

The Compensation Committee establishes goals and objectives for Mr. Workman for each fiscal year. For 2015, Mr. Workman's performance will be measured in four key areas of the Company: (1) financial performance, (2) formulation and implementation of Company strategy, (3) operational performance, and (4) management and employee development. The specific goals within these four areas were set based on a determination of prioritizing Mr. Workman's efforts on those specific areas and responsibilities that would have the greatest impact on the Company, and included the following:

grow market share, manage EBITDA margins and improve working capital as a percentage of revenue;

utilize in an efficient manner Board approved capital expenditures;

identify and execute on strategic growth opportunities;

manage the size of operations based upon analysis of business and market conditions; and

training throughout the Company to ensure best in class management development processes.

Retirement, Health and Welfare Benefits

The Company offers retirement, health and welfare programs to all eligible employees. The Company's executive officers generally are eligible for the same benefit programs on the same basis as the rest of the Company's employees. The health and welfare programs cover medical, pharmacy, dental, vision, life, business travel accident, accidental death and dismemberment and disability insurance.

The Company offers retirement programs that are intended to supplement the employee's personal savings. The programs include the NOW Inc. 401(k) and Retirement Savings Plan (401k Plan) and NOW Inc. Supplemental Savings Plan (Supplemental Plan). The Company's U.S. employees, including its executives, are generally eligible to participate in the 401k Plan. Employees of the Company who are eligible based on guidelines established by the Company's benefits plan administrative committee may participate in the Supplemental Plan. Participation in the 401k Plan and Supplemental Plan are voluntary.

The Company established the 401k Plan to allow employees to save for retirement through a tax-advantaged combination of employee and Company contributions and to provide employees the opportunity to directly manage their retirement plan assets through a variety of investment options. The 401k Plan allows eligible employees to elect to contribute a portion of their eligible compensation into the 401k Plan. Wages and salaries from the Company are generally considered eligible compensation. After one year of service, employee contributions are matched in cash by the Company at the rate of \$1.00 per \$1.00 employee contribution for the first 4% of the employee's salary. In addition, the Company makes cash contributions for all eligible employees between 2.5% and 5.5% of their salary depending on the employee's full years of service with the Company. Such contributions vest immediately. The 401k Plan offers 25 different investment options, for which the participant has sole discretion in determining how both the employer and employee contributions are invested. The 401k Plan provides the Company's employees the option to invest directly in the Company's stock. The 401k Plan offers in-service withdrawals, loans and hardship distributions.

The Company established the Supplemental Plan, a non-qualified plan, to

allow Supplemental Plan participants to continue saving towards retirement when, due to compensation and contribution ceilings established under the Internal Revenue Code, they can no longer contribute to the 401k Plan; and

Table of Contents

provide Company contributions that cannot be contributed to the 401k Plan due to compensation and contribution ceilings established under the Internal Revenue Code.

Compensation which may be deferred into the Supplemental Plan includes wages and salaries from the Company and bonus payments made under a Company incentive plan. Supplemental Plan participants may elect to defer a percentage of their base pay and bonus payments received under a Company incentive plan into the Supplemental Plan. Contributions in the Supplemental Plan vest immediately. The investment options offered in the Supplemental Plan are similar to the investment options offered in the 401k Plan (except Company stock is not offered).

U.S. Income Tax Limits on Deductibility

Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code imposes a \$1 million limitation on the deductibility of certain compensation paid to our chief executive officer and the next four highest paid executives excluding the chief financial officer (covered employees). Excluded from the limitation is compensation that is qualified as performance based. For compensation to be performance based, it must meet certain criteria, including being based on predetermined objective standards approved by stockholders. Although the Compensation Committee takes the requirements of Section 162(m) into account in designing executive compensation, there may be circumstances when it is appropriate to pay compensation to our covered employees that does not qualify as performance based compensation and thus is not deductible by us for federal income tax purposes. Our stock option and performance-based share award grants are designed to be performance based compensation. Bonus payments to our executives under the Company s Annual Incentive Plan should also qualify as performance based and therefore be excluded from this limitation.

Option Grant Practices

Historically, the Company has granted stock options to its key employees, including executives, in the first quarter of the year. The Company does not have any program, plan or practice to time its option grants to its executives in coordination with the release of material non-public information, and has not timed its release of material non-public information for the purposes of affecting the value of executive compensation. The Company does not set the grant date of its stock option grants to new executives in coordination with the release of material non-public information.

The Compensation Committee has the responsibility of approving any Company stock option grants. The Compensation Committee does not delegate material aspects of long-term incentive plan administration to any other person. The Company s senior executives in coordination with the Compensation Committee set a time for the Committee to meet during the first quarter of the year to review and approve stock option grants proposed by the senior executives. The specific timing of the meeting during the quarter is dependent on committee member schedules and availability and the Company finalizing its stock option grant proposal. If approved by the Compensation Committee, the grant date for the stock option grants is the date the Committee meets and approves the grant, with the exercise price for the option grant being based on the Company s closing stock price on the date of grant.

Recoupment Policy

The Company s Long-Term Incentive Plan allows the Compensation Committee, at its sole discretion, to terminate any award if it determines that the recipient of such award has engaged in material misconduct. For purposes of this provision, material misconduct includes conduct adversely affecting the Company s financial condition or results of operations, or conduct which constitutes fraud or theft of Company assets, any of which require the Company to make a restatement of its reported financial statements. If any material misconduct results in any error in financial information used in the determination of

Table of Contents

compensation paid to the recipient of any award and the effect of such error is to increase the payment amount pursuant to such award, the Compensation Committee may also require the recipient to reimburse the Company for all or a portion of such increase in compensation provided in connection with any such award. In addition, if there is a material restatement of the Company's financial statements that affects the financial information used to determine the compensation paid to the recipient of an award, then the Compensation Committee may take whatever action it deems appropriate to adjust such compensation.

Compensation Consultant Independence

In furtherance of maintaining the independence of the Compensation Committee's compensation consultant, the Compensation Committee has the sole authority to retain or terminate L&A.

In connection with its engagement of L&A, the Compensation Committee considered various factors bearing upon L&A's independence including, but not limited to, the amount of fees received by L&A from the Company as a percentage of L&A's total revenue, L&A's policies and procedures designed to prevent conflicts of interest, and the existence of any business or personal relationship that could impact L&A's independence. After reviewing these and other factors, the Compensation Committee determined that L&A was independent and that its engagement did not present any conflicts of interest. L&A also determined that it was independent from management and confirmed this to the Compensation Committee.

Recent Developments

In May 2015, our stockholders overwhelmingly approved, on an advisory basis, the compensation of our named executive officers, with approximately 97% of stockholder votes cast in favor of our say-on-pay resolution. As a result, the Compensation Committee decided to maintain our general approach to executive compensation, with an emphasis on short and long-term incentive compensation that rewards our executives when they achieve the Company's financial and operational goals and deliver value for our stockholders.

On February 19, 2016, the Compensation Committee approved the terms and structure of the 2016 NOW Inc. Annual Incentive Plan (the "2016 Incentive Plan"). The terms of the 2016 Incentive Plan are consistent with those described under "Annual Incentive Award" above.

On February 19, 2016, the Compensation Committee approved the terms and structure of the 2016 Long-Term Incentive grants to the Company's executives. The terms of such grants are consistent with those described under "Long-Term Incentive Compensation" above, except as otherwise set forth below.

Further to the above, the Compensation Committee approved the following specific grants to the Company's executive officers:

On February 19, 2016, the Compensation Committee approved the grant of stock options to its executive officers pursuant to the NOW Inc. Long-Term Incentive Plan, as follows:

Name	Securities Underlying Options (#)
Robert Workman	181,913
Daniel Molinaro	54,574

Raymond Chang	41,580
David Cherechinsky	25,121

Table of Contents

The exercise price of the stock options is \$13.71 per share, which was the closing stock price of NOW Inc. common stock on the date of grant. The stock options have a term of seven years from the date of grant and vest in three equal annual installments beginning on the first anniversary of the date of the grant.

On February 19, 2016, the Compensation Committee approved the grant of time-based restricted stock to its executive officers pursuant to the NOW Inc. Long-Term Incentive Plan, as follows:

Name	Shares of Restricted Stock (3 Years) (#)
Robert Workman	67,411
Daniel Molinaro	20,223
Raymond Chang	15,408
David Cherechinsky	9,309

The restricted stock awards granted by the Company to its executive officers vest 100% on the third anniversary of the date of grant.

On February 19, 2016, the Compensation Committee approved the grant of performance share awards to its executive officers pursuant to the NOW Inc. Long-Term Incentive Plan, as follows:

Name	Performance Awards (Target # of Shares)
Robert Workman	67,411
Daniel Molinaro	20,223
Raymond Chang	15,408
David Cherechinsky	9,309

The performance share awards can be earned by the executives only by performance against established goals and vest three years from the grant date. The performance share awards are divided into three equal, independent parts that are subject to three separate performance metrics: 33 1/3% with a TSR (total shareholder return) goal, 33 1/3% with an EBITDA. With respect to the EBITDA performance metric, due to very challenging market conditions, as reflected by the very sharp declines in rig count and oil and natural gas prices in 2015, that have accelerated and continued into 2016, the Compensation Committee approved adjusting the EBITDA performance metrics to 0%, 1% and 3% for the minimum, target and maximum levels, respectively.

The Compensation Committee also wanted to provide an annual 2016 equity grant for Mr. Miller, the Company's Executive Chairman, in the form of stock options, time-based restricted stock and performance share awards. Mr. Miller voluntarily requested that he be allowed to decline receiving such grant and waive his right to it. While such grant was fully supported by market data, as confirmed by L&A, the Compensation Committee respected Mr. Miller's wishes and allowed him to decline receiving such grant.

Compensation Committee Report

The responsibilities of the Compensation Committee, which are set forth in the Compensation Committee Charter adopted by the Board of Directors, include approving and evaluating all compensation of directors and executive officers, including salaries, bonuses, and compensation plans, policies and programs of the Company.

Table of Contents

We have reviewed and discussed with senior management the Compensation Discussion & Analysis section included in this proxy statement. Based on this review and discussion, the Compensation Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion & Analysis be included in the Company's 2016 Proxy Statement.

Members of the Compensation Committee

Richard Alario, Committee Chairman

James Crandell

Michael Frazier

Table of Contents

Employment Contracts and Termination of Employment and Change-in-Control Arrangements

Miller

The Company entered into an employment agreement on May 30, 2014 with Mr. Miller. Under the employment agreement, Mr. Miller is provided a base salary, currently set at one dollar (\$1.00), as Mr. Miller has voluntarily waived his right to receive a base salary from the Company. The employment agreement also entitles him to receive an annual bonus and to participate in the Company's incentive, savings and retirement plans. The agreement has a term of three years and is automatically extended on an annual basis. The agreement provides for a base salary, participation in employee incentive plans, and employee benefits as generally provided to all employees.

In addition, the agreement contains certain termination provisions. If the employment relationship is terminated by the Company for any reason other than

voluntary termination;

termination for cause (as defined);

death; or

long-term disability;

or if the employment relationship is terminated by the employee for Good Reason, as defined below, Mr. Miller is entitled to receive three times the amount of his current base salary, 3 times the amount equal to the total of the employer matching contributions under the Company's 401k Plan and Supplemental Plan, and continual participation in the Company's welfare and medical benefit plans. Mr. Miller will have the right, during the 60-day period after such termination, to elect to surrender all or part of any stock options held by him at the time of termination, whether or not exercisable, for a cash payment equal to the spread between the exercise price of the option and the highest reported per share sales price during the 60-day period prior to the date of termination. Any option not so surrendered will remain exercisable until the earlier of one year after the date of termination or the stated expiration date of the specific option grant.

Under the agreement, termination by Mr. Miller for "Good Reason" means

the assignment to him of any duties inconsistent with his current position or any action by the Company that results in a diminution in his position, authority, duties or responsibilities;

a failure by the Company to comply with the terms of the agreement; or

requiring Mr. Miller to relocate or to travel to a substantially greater extent than required at the date of the agreement.

The agreement also contains restrictions on competitive activities and solicitation of our employees for three years following the date of termination. After any such termination of employment, Mr. Miller will also have the option to participate in the Company's welfare and medical benefit plans at employee rates and will be entitled to receive outplacement services valued at not more than 15% of base salary.

Workman

The Company entered into an employment agreement on May 30, 2014 with Mr. Workman. Under the employment agreement, Mr. Workman is provided a base salary, currently set at \$600,000. The employment agreement also entitles him to receive an annual bonus and to participate in the Company's incentive, savings and retirement plans. The agreement has a term of one year and is automatically extended on an annual basis. The agreement provides for a base salary, participation in employee incentive plans, and employee benefits as generally provided to all employees.

Table of Contents

In addition, the agreement contains certain termination provisions. If the employment relationship is terminated by the Company for any reason other than

voluntary termination;

termination for cause (as defined);

death; or

long-term disability;

or if the employment relationship is terminated by the employee for Good Reason, as defined below, Mr. Workman is entitled to receive three times the amount of his current base salary, an amount equal to the total of the employer matching contributions under the Company's 401k Plan and Supplemental Plan, and continual participation in the Company's welfare and medical benefit plans. Further, any restricted stock held by Mr. Workman, not already vested, will be 100% vested.

Under the agreement, termination by Mr. Workman for "Good Reason" means

the assignment to him of any duties inconsistent with his current position or any action by the Company that results in a diminution in his position, authority, duties or responsibilities;

a failure by the Company to comply with the terms of the agreement; or

requiring Mr. Workman to relocate or to travel to a substantially greater extent than required at the date of the agreement.

The agreement also contains restrictions on competitive activities and solicitation of our employees for one year following the date of termination. After any such termination of employment, Mr. Workman will also have the option to participate in the Company's welfare and medical benefit plans at employee rates and will be entitled to receive outplacement services valued at not more than 15% of base salary.

Molinaro, Chang, and Cherechinsky

The Company entered into employment agreements on May 30, 2014 with Messrs. Molinaro, Chang and Cherechinsky. Under the employment agreements, Messrs. Molinaro, Chang, and Cherechinsky are provided base salary. The agreements have a one-year term and are automatically extended on an annual basis. The agreements also provide for participation in employee incentive plans, and employee benefits as generally provided to all employees. If the employment relationship is terminated by the Company for any reason other than

voluntary termination;

termination for cause (as defined);

death; or

long-term disability;

or if the employment relationship is terminated by the employee for Good Reason, the employee is entitled to receive 2.5 times his current base salary (with the exception of Mr. Cherechinsky who would be entitled to receive 1.5 times his current base salary) and an amount equal to the total of the employer matching contributions under the Company's 401k Plan and Supplemental Plan, and continual participation in the Company's welfare and medical benefit plans. Further, any restricted stock held by the executive, not already vested, will be 100% vested.

Under the agreements, termination by Messrs. Molinaro, Chang, and/or Cherechinsky for "Good Reason" means:

Table of Contents

the assignment to him of any duties inconsistent with his current position or any action by the Company that results in a diminution in his position, authority, duties or responsibilities;

a failure by the Company to comply with the terms of the agreement; or

requiring the executive to relocate or to travel to a substantially greater extent than required at the date of the agreement.

The agreements also contain restrictions on competitive activities and solicitation of our employees for one year following the date of termination. After any such termination of employment, the executive will also have the option to participate in the Company's welfare and medical benefit plans at employee rates and will be entitled to receive outplacement services valued at not more than 15% of the executive's base salary.

Additionally, the Company's stock option agreements, restricted stock agreements, and performance award agreements provide for full vesting of unvested outstanding options, restricted stock and performance awards, respectively, in the event of a change of control of the Company and a change in the holder's responsibilities following a change in control of the Company (a "double trigger").

The Company's employment agreements with its executives do not contain any "gross up" provisions for excise tax that could be imposed under Section 4999 of the Internal Revenue Code as a result of any payment or benefits provided to an executive under his employment agreement.

Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control

The Company has entered into certain agreements and maintains certain plans that will require the Company to provide compensation to the named executive officers in the event of a termination of employment or change in control of the Company.

The Company's Compensation Committee believes the payment and benefit levels provided to its named executive officers under their employment agreements and/or change of control plans upon termination or change of control should correspond to the level of responsibility and risk assumed by the named executive officer. Thus, the payment and benefit levels for Mr. Miller, Mr. Workman, Mr. Molinaro, Mr. Chang and Mr. Cherechinsky are based on their levels of responsibility and market considerations at the time the Company entered into the relevant agreements. The Compensation Committee recognizes that it is not likely that the Company's named executive officers would be retained by an acquirer in the event of a change of control. As a result, the Compensation Committee believes that a certain amount of cash compensation, along with immediate vesting of all unvested equity compensation, is an appropriate and sufficient incentive for the named executive officers to remain employed with the Company, even if a change of control were imminent. It is believed that these benefit levels should provide the Company's named executive officers with reasonable financial security so that they could continue to make strategic decisions that impact the future of the Company.

The amount of compensation payable to each named executive officer in each situation is listed in the tables below.

Table of Contents

The following table describes the potential payments upon termination or change in control of the Company as of December 31, 2015 for Merrill Miller, Jr., the Company's Executive Chairman.

Executive Benefits and Payments		
Upon Termination (1)	Involuntary Not for Cause Termination (2)	
Base Salary (3 times)	\$	3
Continuing medical benefits	\$	272,152
Retirement Contribution and Matching	\$	0
Value of Unvested Stock Options	\$	0
Value of Unvested Restricted Stock	\$	11,095,167
Outplacement Services (3)	\$	0
Total:	\$	11,367,322

- (1) For purposes of this analysis, we assumed the Executive's compensation is as follows: base salary as of December 31, 2015 of \$1.00. Unvested stock options include 156,726 options from 2013 grant at \$29.123/share and 313,344 options from 2014 grant at \$31.433/share. Unvested restricted stock includes 167,404 shares from 2013 grants and 533,934 shares from 2014 grants. Value of unvested stock options and restricted stock based on a share price of \$15.82, the Company's closing stock price on December 31, 2015.
- (2) Assumes the employment relationship is terminated by the Company for any reason other than voluntary termination, termination for cause, death, or disability, or if the employment relationship is terminated by the executive for "Good Reason", as of December 31, 2015. Termination by the executive for "Good Reason" means the assignment to the employee of any duties inconsistent with his current position or any action by the Company that results in a diminution in the executive's position, authority, duties or responsibilities; a failure by the Company to comply with the terms of the executive's employment agreement; or the requirement of the executive to relocate or to travel to a substantially greater extent than required at the date of the employment agreement.
- (3) Executive also entitled to outplacement services valued at not more than 15% of base salary. For purposes of this analysis, we valued the outplacement services at 15% of base salary.

In the event of:

a Company termination of Mr. Miller's employment for cause;

Mr. Miller's voluntary termination of his employment with the Company (not for "Good Reason"); or

Mr. Miller's employment with the Company is terminated due to his death or disability, no extra benefits are payable by the Company to Mr. Miller as a result of any such events, other than accrued obligations and benefits owed by the Company to Mr. Miller (such as base salary through the date of termination and his outstanding balance in the Company's 401k Plan and Supplemental Plan). In the event termination is not for cause,

Mr. Miller would also be entitled to receive an amount equal to 50% of his base salary.

Table of Contents

The following table describes the potential payments upon termination or change in control of the Company as of December 31, 2015 for Robert Workman, the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer.

Executive Benefits and Payments

Upon Termination (1)	Involuntary Not for Cause Termination (2)
Base Salary (3 times)	\$ 1,800,000
Continuing medical benefits	\$ 275,048
Retirement Contribution and Matching	\$ 51,000
Value of Unvested Stock Options	\$ 0
Value of Unvested Restricted Stock	\$ 5,851,755
Value of Unvested Performance Awards (3)	\$ 549,255
Outplacement Services (4)	\$ 90,000
Total:	\$ 8,617,058

- (1) For purposes of this analysis, we assumed the Executive's compensation is as follows: base salary as of December 31, 2015 of \$600,000. Unvested stock options include 25,408 options from 2013 grant at \$29.123/share, 50,817 options from 2014 grant at \$31.433/share and 104,158 options from 2015 grant at \$22.44/share. Unvested restricted stock includes 57,696 shares from 2013 grants, 277,481 shares from 2014 grants and 34,719 shares from 2015 grant. Unvested performance share awards includes 34,719 shares from 2015 grant. Value of unvested stock options, restricted stock and performance share awards based on a share price of \$15.82, the Company's closing stock price on December 31, 2015.
- (2) Assumes the employment relationship is terminated by the Company for any reason other than voluntary termination, termination for cause, death, or disability, or if the employment relationship is terminated by the executive for "Good Reason", as of December 31, 2015. Termination by the executive for "Good Reason" means the assignment to the employee of any duties inconsistent with his current position or any action by the Company that results in a diminution in the executive's position, authority, duties or responsibilities; a failure by the Company to comply with the terms of the executive's employment agreement; or the requirement of the executive to relocate or to travel to a substantially greater extent than required at the date of the employment agreement.
- (3) For purposes of this analysis, we have assumed that the performance share awards vest at target (100%).
- (4) Executive also entitled to outplacement services valued at not more than 15% of base salary. For purposes of this analysis, we valued the outplacement services at 15% of base salary.

In the event of:

a Company termination of Mr. Workman's employment for cause;

Mr. Workman's voluntary termination of his employment with the Company (not for "Good Reason"); or

Mr. Workman's employment with the Company is terminated due to his death or disability, no extra benefits are payable by the Company to Mr. Workman as a result of any such events, other than accrued obligations and benefits owed by the Company to Mr. Workman (such as base salary through the date of termination and his outstanding balance in the Company's 401k Plan and Supplemental Plan). In the event termination is not for cause, Mr. Workman would also be entitled to receive an amount equal to 50% of his base salary.

Table of Contents

The following table describes the potential payments upon termination or change in control of the Company as of December 31, 2015 for Daniel Molinaro, the Company's Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer.

Executive Benefits and Payments

Upon Termination (1)	Involuntary Not for Cause Termination (2)
Base Salary (2.5 times)	\$ 1,062,500
Continuing medical benefits	\$ 221,683
Retirement Contribution and Matching	\$ 40,375
Value of Unvested Stock Options	\$ 0
Value of Unvested Restricted Stock	\$ 1,991,754
Value of Unvested Performance Awards (3)	\$ 164,781
Outplacement Services (4)	\$ 63,750
Total:	\$ 3,544,843

- (1) For purposes of this analysis, we assumed the Executive's compensation is as follows: base salary as of December 31, 2015 of \$425,000. Unvested stock options include 10,063 options from 2013 grant at \$29.123/share, 20,126 options from 2014 grant at \$31.433/share, and 31,247 options from 2015 grant at \$22.44/share. Unvested restricted stock includes 5,237 shares from 2013 grant, 110,248 shares from 2014 grants, and 10,416 shares from 2015 grant. Unvested performance share awards includes 10,416 shares from 2015 grant. Value of unvested stock options, restricted stock and performance share awards based on a share price of \$15.82, the Company's closing stock price on December 31, 2015.
- (2) Assumes the employment relationship is terminated by the Company for any reason other than voluntary termination, termination for cause, death, or disability, or if the employment relationship is terminated by the executive for "Good Reason", as of December 31, 2015. Termination by the executive for "Good Reason" means the assignment to the employee of any duties inconsistent with his current position or any action by the Company that results in a diminution in the executive's position, authority, duties or responsibilities; a failure by the Company to comply with the terms of the executive's employment agreement; or the requirement of the executive to relocate or to travel to a substantially greater extent than required at the date of the employment agreement.
- (3) For purposes of this analysis, we have assumed that the performance share awards vest at target (100%).
- (4) Executive also entitled to outplacement services valued at not more than 15% of base salary. For purposes of this analysis, we valued the outplacement services at 15% of base salary.

In the event of:

a Company termination of Mr. Molinaro's employment for cause;

Mr. Molinaro's voluntary termination of his employment with the Company (not for "Good Reason"); or

Mr. Molinaro's employment with the Company is terminated due to his death or disability,

Table of Contents

no extra benefits are payable by the Company to Mr. Molinaro as a result of any such events, other than accrued obligations and benefits owed by the Company to Mr. Molinaro (such as base salary through the date of termination and his outstanding balance in the Company's 401k Plan and Supplemental Plan). In the event termination is not for cause, Mr. Molinaro would also be entitled to receive an amount equal to 50% of his base salary.

Table of Contents

The following table describes the potential payments upon termination or change in control of the Company as of December 31, 2015 for Raymond Chang, the Company's Vice President and General Counsel.

Executive Benefits and Payments

Upon Termination (1)	Involuntary Not for Cause Termination (2)
Base Salary (2.5 times)	\$ 920,000
Continuing medical benefits	\$ 963,391
Retirement Contribution and Matching	\$ 27,600
Value of Unvested Stock Options	\$ 0
Value of Unvested Restricted Stock	\$ 1,579,801
Value of Unvested Performance Awards (3)	\$ 125,548
Outplacement Services (4)	\$ 55,200
Total:	\$ 3,671,540

- (1) For purposes of this analysis, we assumed the Executive's compensation is as follows: base salary as of December 31, 2015 of \$368,000. Unvested stock options include 3,524 options from 2013 grant at \$29.123/share, 10,063 options from 2014 grant at \$31.433/share, and 23,808 options from 2015 grant at \$22.44/share. Unvested restricted stock includes 1,833 shares from 2013 grant, 90,092 shares from 2014 grants, and 7,936 from 2015 grant. Unvested performance share awards includes 7,936 shares from 2015 grant. Value of unvested stock options, restricted stock and performance share awards based on a share price of \$15.82, the Company's closing stock price on December 31, 2015.
- (2) Assumes the employment relationship is terminated by the Company for any reason other than voluntary termination, termination for cause, death, or disability, or if the employment relationship is terminated by the executive for "Good Reason", as of December 31, 2015. Termination by the executive for "Good Reason" means the assignment to the employee of any duties inconsistent with his current position or any action by the Company that results in a diminution in the executive's position, authority, duties or responsibilities; a failure by the Company to comply with the terms of the executive's employment agreement; or the requirement of the executive to relocate or to travel to a substantially greater extent than required at the date of the employment agreement.
- (3) For purposes of this analysis, we have assumed that the performance share awards vest at target (100%).
- (4) Executive also entitled to outplacement services valued at not more than 15% of base salary. For purposes of this analysis, we valued the outplacement services at 15% of base salary.

In the event of:

a Company termination of Mr. Chang's employment for cause;

Mr. Chang's voluntary termination of his employment with the Company (not for "Good Reason"); or

Mr. Chang's employment with the Company is terminated due to his death or disability, no extra benefits are payable by the Company to Mr. Chang as a result of any such events, other than accrued obligations and benefits owed by the Company to Mr. Chang (such as base salary through the date of termination and his outstanding balance in the Company's 401k Plan and Supplemental Plan). In the event termination is not for cause, Mr. Chang would also be entitled to receive an amount equal to 50% of his base salary.

Table of Contents

The following table describes the potential payments upon termination or change in control of the Company as of December 31, 2015 for David Cherechinsky, the Company's Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer:

Executive Benefits and Payments Upon Termination (1)	Involuntary Not for Cause Termination (2)
Base Salary (1.5 times)	\$ 379,500
Continuing medical benefits	\$ 504,952
Retirement Contribution and Matching	\$ 24,750
Value of Unvested Stock Options	\$ 0
Value of Unvested Restricted Stock	\$ 1,165,412
Value of Unvested Performance Awards (3)	\$ 75,857
Outplacement Services (4)	\$ 37,950
Total:	\$ 2,188,421

- (1) For purposes of this analysis, we assumed the Executive's compensation is as follows: base salary as of December 31, 2015 of \$253,000. Unvested stock options include 3,524 options from 2013 grant at \$29.123/share, 7,045 options from 2014 grant at \$31.433/share, and 14,384 options from 2015 grant at \$22.44/share. Unvested restricted stock includes 1,833 shares from 2013 grant, 67,039 shares from 2014 grants, and 4,795 shares from 2015 grant. Unvested performance share awards includes 4,795 shares from 2015 grant. Value of unvested stock options, restricted stock and performance share awards based on a share price of \$15.82, the Company's closing stock price on December 31, 2015.
- (2) Assumes the employment relationship is terminated by the Company for any reason other than voluntary termination, termination for cause, death, or disability, or if the employment relationship is terminated by the executive for "Good Reason", as of December 31, 2015. Termination by the executive for "Good Reason" means the assignment to the employee of any duties inconsistent with his current position or any action by the Company that results in a diminution in the executive's position, authority, duties or responsibilities; a failure by the Company to comply with the terms of the executive's employment agreement; or the requirement of the executive to relocate or to travel to a substantially greater extent than required at the date of the employment agreement.
- (3) For purposes of this analysis, we have assumed that the performance share awards vest at target (100%).
- (4) Executive also entitled to outplacement services valued at not more than 15% of base salary. For purposes of this analysis, we valued the outplacement services at 15% of base salary.

In the event of:

a Company termination of Mr. Cherechinsky's employment for cause;

Mr. Cherechinsky's voluntary termination of his employment with the Company (not for "Good Reason"); or

Mr. Cherechinsky's employment with the Company is terminated due to his death or disability,

Table of Contents

no extra benefits are payable by the Company to Mr. Cherechinsky as a result of any such events, other than accrued obligations and benefits owed by the Company to Mr. Cherechinsky (such as base salary through the date of termination and his outstanding balance in the Company's 401k Plan and Supplemental Plan). In the event termination is not for cause, Mr. Cherechinsky would also be entitled to receive an amount equal to 50% of his base salary.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The following table sets forth for the year ended December 31, 2015 the compensation paid by the Company to its named executive officers (the "Named Executive Officers") serving in such capacity at December 31, 2015.

Summary Compensation Table

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)(1) (c)	Bonus (\$) (d)	Stock Awards (\$)(2) (e)	Option Awards (\$)(3) (f)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)(4) (g)	Change in Pension Value and Nonqual- ified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$) (h)	All Other Compensation (\$)(5) (i)	Total (\$) (j)
Merrill Miller, Jr. <i>Executive Chairman</i>	2015	\$ 1		(6)	(6)				\$ 1
	2014	\$ 1		\$ 11,000,013					\$ 11,000,014
Robert Workman <i>President & Chief Executive Officer</i>	2015	\$ 600,000		\$ 1,624,270	\$ 839,597			\$ 27,981	\$ 3,091,848
	2014	\$ 291,667		\$ 6,300,026		\$ 250,000		\$ 12,981	\$ 6,854,674
Daniel Molinaro <i>Senior VP & Chief Financial Officer</i>	2015	\$ 425,000		\$ 487,295	\$ 251,876			\$ 41,818	\$ 1,205,989
	2014	\$ 230,417		\$ 2,400,000		\$ 158,000		\$ 22,038	\$ 2,810,455
Raymond Chang	2015	\$ 368,000		\$ 371,273	\$ 191,912			\$ 20,668	\$ 951,853
	2014	\$ 186,667		\$ 1,900,020		\$ 128,000		\$ 9,415	\$ 2,224,102

*VP, General
Counsel, &
Secretary*

David Cherechinsky	2015	\$ 253,000	\$ 224,326	\$ 115,947	\$ 21,683	\$ 614,956
	2014	\$ 128,333	\$ 1,350,006	\$ 103,125	\$ 7,890	\$ 1,589,354

*VP & Chief
Accounting
Officer*

Table of Contents

- (1) Base salary for Named Executive Officers for 2014 only includes that portion of annual base salary received by the executive from the Company after its spin-off from National Oilwell Varco in 2014. The annual base salaries of the executives as of December 31, 2014 were as follows: Mr. Miller - \$1; Mr. Workman - \$500,000; Mr. Molinaro - \$395,000; Mr. Chang - \$320,000; and Mr. Cherechinsky - \$220,000.
- (2) The amounts reported in this column represent the aggregate grant date fair value of stock awards granted in the relevant year compiled in accordance with FASB Topic 718, excluding forfeiture estimates. Refer to the Company's 2015 Annual Report on Form 10-K, for all relevant valuation assumptions used to determine the grant date fair value of the stock awards included in this column. 2014 stock award reflects a one-time restricted stock grant made to the Named Executive Officers as a result of the spin-off from National Oilwell Varco. On February 24, 2015, each of the Named Executive Officers was granted shares of performance-based share awards, which are included in this column in the table above. The grants vest on the third anniversary of the date of grant, contingent on performance against three separate, independently established goals. For a more detailed discussion, see the section titled "Long-Term Incentive Compensation". For the performance-based share awards, the value as of the grant date under FASB ASC Topic 718, excluding the effect of estimated forfeitures, based upon the probable outcome of such conditions were as follows: Mr. Workman - \$845,176; Mr. Molinaro - \$253,560; Mr. Chang - \$193,189; and Mr. Cherechinsky - \$116,726. For the performance-based share awards, the value as of the grant date under FASB ASC Topic 718, excluding the effect of estimated forfeitures, assuming that the highest level of performance conditions will be achieved were as follows: Mr. Workman - \$1,690,352; Mr. Molinaro - \$507,120; Mr. Chang - \$386,377; and Mr. Cherechinsky - \$233,453.
- (3) The amounts reported in this column represent the aggregate grant date fair value of option awards granted in the relevant year compiled in accordance with FASB Topic 718, excluding forfeiture estimates. Refer to the Company's 2015 Annual Report on Form 10-K, for all relevant valuation assumptions used to determine the grant date fair value of option awards included in this column.
- (4) The non-equity incentive plan payments to the Named Executive Officers of the Company for 2014 were made under the structure of National Oilwell Varco's annual incentive plan, under which the executives participated in prior to the spin-off from National Oilwell Varco. Starting in 2015, the Named Executive Officers participated in the NOW Inc. Annual Incentive Plan. There were no payments awarded under the 2015 Annual Incentive Plan. For further information, see the section titled "Annual Incentive Award".
- (5) The amounts include:
 - (a) The Company's cash contributions for 2015 under the 401k Plan, a defined contribution plan, on behalf of Mr. Miller - \$0; Mr. Workman - \$27,981; Mr. Molinaro - \$30,086; Mr. Chang - \$20,668; and Mr. Cherechinsky - \$21,683.
 - (b) The Company's cash contributions for 2015 under the Supplemental Plan, a defined contribution plan, on behalf of Mr. Miller - \$0; Mr. Workman - \$0; Mr. Molinaro - \$11,732; Mr. Chang - \$0; and Mr. Cherechinsky - \$0.
- (6) The Compensation Committee also designated an annual 2015 equity grant for Mr. Miller in the form of stock options, time-based restricted stock and performance share awards. Mr. Miller voluntarily requested that he be allowed to decline receiving such grant. While the Compensation Committee believed such grant for Mr. Miller was fully supported by market data, as confirmed by the Compensation Committee's independent compensation consultant, Longnecker & Associates, the Compensation Committee agreed to honor Mr. Miller's request and allowed him to decline receiving such proposed grant.

Table of Contents**Grants of Plan Based Awards**

The following table provides information concerning stock options, restricted stock and performance share awards granted to Named Executive Officers during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015. The Company has granted no stock appreciation rights.

Grants of Plan-Based Awards

	Grant Date	Estimated Possible Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards			Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards			All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or Units	All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options	Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards
		Thresh-old	Target	Maximum	Thresh-old	Target	Maximum				
	(b)	(\$)(1)(c)	(\$)(1)(d)	(\$)(1)(e)	(#)(2)(f)	(#)(2)(g)	(#)(2)(h)	(#)(i)	(#)(j)	(\$/Sh)(k)	(l)
er, Jr.	2015										
er, Jr.	2015	\$ 300,000	\$ 600,000	\$ 1,200,000	17,360	34,719	69,438	34,719	104,158	\$ 22.44	\$ 2,463,8
inardo	2015	\$ 170,000	\$ 340,000	\$ 680,000	5,208	10,416	20,832	10,416	31,247	\$ 22.44	\$ 739,1
ng	2015	\$ 147,200	\$ 294,400	\$ 588,800	3,968	7,936	15,872	7,936	23,808	\$ 22.44	\$ 563,1
echinsky	2015	\$ 94,875	\$ 189,750	\$ 379,500	2,398	4,795	9,590	4,795	14,384	\$ 22.44	\$ 340,2

- (1) Represents the range of possible payouts under our 2015 annual incentive compensation plan.
- (2) On February 24, 2015, each of the Named Executive Officers was granted performance-based share awards, which are reflected in the Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards column in the table above. The performance share awards can be earned by the executives only by performance against established goals and vest three years from the grant date. The performance share awards are divided into three equal, independent parts that are subject to these three separate performance metrics: 33 1/3% with a TSR (total shareholder return) goal, 33 1/3% with an EBITDA goal and 33 1/3% with a working capital as a percentage of

revenue goal (working capital). For a more detailed discussion, see the section titled Long Term Incentive Compensation .

- (3) Assumptions made in calculating the value of option and restricted stock awards are further discussed in Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Note 16, of the Company s Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015.
- (4) The Compensation Committee also designated an annual 2015 equity grant for Mr. Miller in the form of stock options, time-based restricted stock and performance share awards. Mr. Miller voluntarily requested that he be allowed to decline receiving such grant. While the Compensation Committee believed such grant for Mr. Miller was fully supported by market data, as confirmed by the Compensation Committee s independent compensation consultant, Longnecker & Associates, the Compensation Committee agreed to honor Mr. Miller s request and allowed him to decline receiving such proposed grant.

Table of Contents

Exercises and Holdings of Previously-Awarded Equity Disclosure

The following table provides information regarding outstanding awards that have been granted to Named Executive Officers where the ultimate outcomes of such awards have not been realized, as of December 31, 2015. The table includes awards received by the Named Executive Officers while employed under National Oilwell Varco (NOV awards granted prior to the spin-off) which were converted into Company awards as a result of the spin-off from National Oilwell Varco.

Table of Contents**Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End**

Name	Option Awards					Stock Awards			Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, or Other Rights That Have Not Vested
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Shares, or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (#)	
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)
Merrill									
Miller, Jr.	264,248			\$ 33.521	2/23/21				
	308,290			\$ 35.529	2/22/22				
	313,446	156,726(2)		\$ 29.123	2/16/23				
	156,671	313,344(3)		\$ 31.433	2/26/24				
								167,404(5)	\$ 2,648,331
								148,104(6)	\$ 2,343,005
								385,830(8)	\$ 6,103,831
Robert									
Workman	56,182			\$ 33.521	2/23/21				
	69,037			\$ 35.529	2/22/22				
	50,817	25,408(2)		\$ 29.123	2/16/23				
	25,408	50,817(3)		\$ 31.433	2/26/24				
		104,158(4)		\$ 22.44	2/24/22				
								57,696(5)	\$ 912,751
								26,748(6)	\$ 423,153
								29,757(7)	\$ 470,756
								220,976(8)	\$ 3,495,840
								34,719(9)	\$ 549,255
								34,719(10)	\$ 549,255
Daniel									
Molinaro	27,960			\$ 33.521	2/23/21				
	27,329			\$ 35.529	2/22/22				
	20,125	10,063(2)		\$ 29.123	2/16/23				

Edgar Filing: NOW Inc. - Form DEF 14A

10,062	20,126(3)	\$ 31.433	2/26/24		
	31,247(4)	\$ 22.44	2/24/22		
				5,237(5)	\$ 82,849
				5,237(6)	\$ 82,849
				20,830(7)	\$ 329,531
				84,181(8)	\$ 1,331,743
				10,416(9)	\$ 164,781
				10,416(10)	\$ 164,781

Table of Contents

Name	Option Awards					Stock Awards			
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Number of Securities Underlying Exercised Options (#)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (#)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (\$)
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)
Raymond Chang	11,569			\$ 33.521	2/23/21				
	9,565			\$ 35.529	2/22/22				
	7,041	3,524(2)		\$ 29.123	2/16/23				
	5,030	10,063(3)		\$ 31.433	2/26/24				
		23,808(4)		\$ 22.44	2/24/22				
								1,833(5)	\$ 28,998
								2,618(6)	\$ 41,417
								20,830(7)	\$ 329,531
								66,644(8)	\$ 1,054,308
								7,936(9)	\$ 125,548
								7,936(10)	\$ 125,548
David Cherechinsky	3,808			\$ 10.905	2/21/19				
	11,653			\$ 18.512	2/17/20				
	11,569			\$ 33.521	2/23/21				
	9,565			\$ 35.529	2/22/22				
	7,041	3,524(2)		\$ 29.123	2/16/23				
	3,520	7,045(3)		\$ 31.433	2/26/24				
		14,384(4)		\$ 22.44	2/24/22				
								1,833(5)	\$ 28,998
								1,833(6)	\$ 28,998
								17,854(7)	\$ 282,450
								47,352(8)	\$ 749,109
								4,795(9)	\$ 75,857
								4,795(10)	\$ 75,857

(1) Calculations based upon the closing price (\$15.82) of the Company's common stock on December 31, 2015, the last trading day of the year.

(2)

Edgar Filing: NOW Inc. - Form DEF 14A

- 2013 NOV Stock Option Grant - Stock options vest at the rate of 33 1/3%/year, with vesting dates of 2/15/14, 2/15/15 and 2/15/16.
- (3) 2014 NOV Stock Option Grant - Stock options vest at the rate of 33 1/3%/year, with vesting dates of 2/25/15, 2/25/16 and 2/25/17.
- (4) 2015 Stock Option Grant - Stock options vest at the rate of 33 1/3%/year, with vesting dates of 2/24/2016, 2/24/2017, 2/24/2018.
- (5) 2013 NOV Restricted Stock Award - The Grant vests 100% on the third anniversary of the date of grant.

Table of Contents

- (6) February 2014 NOV Restricted Stock Award The Grant vests 100% on the third anniversary of the date of grant.
- (7) May 2014 NOV Restricted Stock Award The Grant vests 100% on the fourth anniversary of the date of grant.
- (8) November 2014 DNOW Restricted Stock Award The Grant vests 100% on the sixth anniversary of the date of grant.
- (9) 2015 Restricted Stock Award The Grant vests 100% on the third anniversary of the date of grant.
- (10) 2015 Performance Share Award Grant The performance share awards can be earned by the executives only by performance against established goals and vest three years from the grant date. The performance share awards are divided into three equal, independent parts that are subject to these three separate performance metrics: 33 1/3% with a TSR (total shareholder return) goal, 33 1/3% with an EBITDA goal and 33 1/3% with a working capital as a percentage of revenue goal (working capital).

The following table provides information on the amounts received by the Named Executive Officers during 2015 upon exercise of stock options or vesting of stock awards.

Option Exercises and Stock Vested

Name (a)	Option Awards		Stock Awards	
	Number of Shares Acquired Value Realized on Exercise (#) (b)	on Exercise (\$ (c)	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#) (d)	Value Realized on Vesting (\$ (e)
Merrill Miller, Jr.	0	\$ 0	107,127	\$ 2,505,701
Robert Workman	0	\$ 0	24,044	\$ 562,389
Daniel Molinaro	0	\$ 0	4,761	\$ 111,360
Raymond Chang	0	\$ 0	1,666	\$ 38,968
David Cherechinsky	0	\$ 0	1,666	\$ 38,968

Table of Contents**Post-Employment Compensation**

The following table provides information on nonqualified deferred compensation provided under the Supplemental Plan to the Named Executive Officers during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015. For a more detailed discussion, see the section titled Compensation Discussion and Analysis Retirement, Health and Welfare Benefits .

Nonqualified Deferred Compensation

Name (a)	Executive Contributions in Last FY (\$)(1) (b)	Registrant Contributions in Last FY (\$)(2) (c)	Aggregate Earnings in Last FY (\$)(3) (d)	Aggregate Withdrawals/ Distributions (\$)(e)	Aggregate Balance at Last FYE (\$)(f)
Merrill Miller, Jr.	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0		\$ 0
Robert Workman	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0		\$ 0
Daniel Molinaro	\$ 87,800	\$ 11,732	(\$ 2,028)		\$ 143,776
Raymond Chang	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0		\$ 0
David Cherechinsky	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0		\$ 0

- (1) Executive contributions were from the executive's salary and are included in the Summary Compensation Table under the Salary column.
- (2) Registrant contributions are included in the Summary Compensation Table under the All Other Compensation column.
- (3) Aggregate earnings reflect the returns of the investment funds selected by the executives and are not included in the Summary Compensation Table.

Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

We transact business with companies with which certain of our Directors are affiliated. All transactions with these companies are on terms competitive with other third party vendors, and none of these is material either to us or any of these companies.

A conflict of interest occurs when a director or executive officer's private interest interferes in any way, or appears to interfere, with the interests of the Company. Conflicts of interest can arise when a director or executive officer, or a member of his or her immediate family, have a direct or indirect material interest in a transaction with us. Conflicts of interest also arise when a director or executive officer, or a member of his or her immediate family, receives improper personal benefits as a result of his or her position as a director or executive officer of the Company. The Company's Code of Business Conduct and Ethics for Members of the Board of Directors and Executive Officers provides that directors and executive officers must avoid conflicts of interests with the Company.

Table of Contents

Any situation that involves, or may reasonably be expected to involve, a conflict of interest with the Company must be disclosed immediately to the Chair of the Company's Audit Committee for his review and approval or ratification. This code also provides that the Company shall not make any personal loans or extensions of credit to nor become contingently liable for any indebtedness of directors or executive officers or a member of his or her family.

Table of Contents**DIRECTOR COMPENSATION**

Directors who are employees of the Company do not receive compensation for serving on the Board of Directors. The following table sets forth the compensation paid by the Company to its non-employee members of the Board of Directors for the year ended December 31, 2015.

Director Compensation

Name (a)	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)(b)	Stock Awards (\$)(c)(1)	Option Awards (\$)(d)	Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)(e)	Change in Pension Value and Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$)(f)	All Other Compensation (\$)(g)	Total (\$)(h)
Richard Alario	\$ 108,000	\$ 120,005					\$ 228,005
Terry Bonno	\$ 101,500	\$ 120,005					\$ 221,505
Galen Cobb	\$ 101,500	\$ 120,005					\$ 221,505
James Crandell	\$ 98,000	\$ 120,005					\$ 218,005
Rodney Eads	\$ 114,000	\$ 120,005					\$ 234,005
Michael Frazier	\$ 108,000	\$ 120,005					\$ 228,005
J. Wayne Richards	\$ 121,500	\$ 120,005					\$ 241,505

(1) The aggregate number of outstanding shares of restricted stock as of December 31, 2015 for each director are as follows: Mr. Alario 5,195; Ms. Bonno 5,195; Mr. Cobb 5,195; Mr. Crandell 5,195; Mr. Eads 5,195; Mr. Frazier 5,195; and, Mr. Richards 5,195.

Board Compensation

Members of the Company's Board of Directors who are not full-time employees of the Company receive the following cash compensation:

For service on the Board of Directors an annual retainer of \$70,000, paid quarterly;

For service as chairperson of the audit committee of the Board of Directors an annual retainer of \$20,000, paid quarterly;

For service as chairperson of the compensation committee of the Board of Directors an annual retainer of \$15,000, paid quarterly;

For service as chairperson of the nominating/corporate governance committee of the Board of Directors an annual retainer of \$15,000, paid quarterly;

Table of Contents

For service as a member of the audit committee of the Board of Directors an annual retainer of \$7,500, paid quarterly;

For service as a member of the compensation committee of the Board of Directors an annual retainer of \$5,000, paid quarterly;

For service as a member of the nominating/corporate governance committee of the Board of Directors an annual retainer of \$5,000, paid quarterly; and

\$2,000 for each Board meeting and each committee meeting attended.

The Lead Director receives an annual retainer of \$20,000, paid quarterly.

Directors of the Board who are also employees of the Company do not receive any compensation for their service as directors.

Members of the Board are also eligible to receive stock options and awards, including restricted stock, performance awards, phantom shares, stock payments, or SARs under the NOW Inc. Long-Term Incentive Plan.

The Board approved the grant of 5,195 shares of restricted stock awards on May 27, 2015 to each non-employee director under the NOW Inc. Long-Term Incentive Plan. The restricted stock award shares vest in full on the first anniversary of the date of the grant.

Table of Contents

SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

The rules of the SEC require that the Company disclose late filings of reports of stock ownership (and changes in stock ownership) by its directors, executive officers, and beneficial owners of more than ten percent of the Company's stock. The Company has undertaken responsibility for preparing and filing the stock ownership forms required under Section 16(a) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, on behalf of its officers and directors. Based upon a review of forms filed and information provided by the Company's officers and directors, we believe that all Section 16(a) reporting requirements were met during 2015.

STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS FOR THE 2017 ANNUAL MEETING

If you wish to submit a proposal to be included in our 2017 Proxy Statement, we must receive it on or before December 18, 2016. Please address your proposal to: **Raymond Chang, Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary, NOW Inc., 7402 N. Eldridge Parkway, Houston, TX 77041.**

If you wish to otherwise introduce any item of business for consideration at our 2017 annual meeting, you must comply with the procedures specified in our bylaws and the rules of the SEC, including giving written notice of such item of business no later than January 17, 2017 nor earlier than December 18, 2016 to: **Raymond Chang, Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary, NOW Inc., 7402 N. Eldridge Parkway, Houston, TX 77041.**

ANNUAL REPORT AND OTHER MATTERS

At the date this Proxy Statement went to press, we did not know of any other matters to be acted upon at the meeting other than the election of directors, ratification of the appointment of independent auditors, and approval on an advisory basis of the compensation of our named executive officers, as discussed in this Proxy Statement. If any other matter is presented, proxy holders will vote on the matter in accordance with their best judgment.

NOW Inc.'s 2015 Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on February 23, 2016 is included in this mailing, but is not considered part of the proxy solicitation materials.

By order of the Board of Directors,

/s/ Raymond Chang

Raymond Chang

Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary

Houston, Texas

April 15, 2016

Table of Contents

NOW INC.

7402 NORTH ELDRIDGE PARKWAY

HOUSTON, TX 77041

VOTE BY INTERNET - www.proxyvote.com

Use the Internet to transmit your voting instructions and for electronic delivery of information up until 11:59 P.M. Eastern Time the day before the cut-off date or meeting date. Have your proxy card in hand when you access the web site and follow the instructions to obtain your records and to create an electronic voting instruction form.

ELECTRONIC DELIVERY OF FUTURE PROXY MATERIALS

If you would like to reduce the costs incurred by our company in mailing proxy materials, you can consent to receiving all future proxy statements, proxy cards and annual reports electronically via e-mail or the Internet. To sign up for electronic delivery, please follow the instructions above to vote using the Internet and, when prompted, indicate that you agree to receive or access proxy materials electronically in future years.

VOTE BY PHONE - 1-800-690-6903

Use any touch-tone telephone to transmit your voting instructions up until 11:59 P.M. Eastern Time the day before the cut-off date or meeting date. Have your proxy card in hand when you call and then follow the instructions.

VOTE BY MAIL

Mark, sign and date your proxy card and return it in the postage-paid envelope we have provided or return it to Vote Processing, c/o Broadridge, 51 Mercedes Way, Edgewood, NY 11717.

TO VOTE, MARK BLOCKS BELOW IN BLUE OR BLACK INK AS FOLLOWS:

KEEP THIS PORTION FOR YOUR RECORDS
DETACH AND RETURN THIS PORTION ONLY
THIS PROXY CARD IS VALID ONLY WHEN SIGNED AND DATED.

The Board of Directors recommends you vote FOR the following:

1. Election of Directors

Nominees

	For	Against	Abstain
1A Michael Frazier
1B J. Wayne Richards
1C Robert Workman

The Board of Directors recommends you vote FOR proposals 2 and 3.

	For	Against	Abstain
2. Ratification of Appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as Independent Auditors for 2016.
3. Approval of Compensation of our Named Executive Officers.

NOTE: Such other business as may properly come before the meeting or any adjournment thereof.

Please sign exactly as your name(s) appear(s) hereon. When signing as attorney, executor, administrator, or other fiduciary, please give full title as such. Joint owners

should each sign personally. All holders must sign. If a corporation or partnership, please sign in full corporate or partnership name, by authorized officer.

Signature [PLEASE SIGN WITHIN BOX]

Signature (Joint Owners) Date

Table of Contents

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Annual Meeting: The NPS/10K is/are available at www.proxyvote.com

**NOW INC.
PROXY SOLICITED ON BEHALF OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS
FOR THE ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS
ON MAY 25, 2016**

The undersigned hereby appoints Daniel Molinaro and Raymond Chang or either of them with full power of substitution, the proxy or proxies of the undersigned to attend the Annual Meeting of Stockholders of NOW Inc. to be held on Wednesday, May 25, 2016, and any adjournments thereof, and to vote the shares of stock that the signer would be entitled to vote if personally present as indicated on the reverse side and, at their discretion, on any other matters properly brought before the meeting, and any adjournments thereof, all as set forth in the April 15, 2016 proxy statement.

This proxy is solicited on behalf of the board of directors of NOW Inc. The shares represented by this proxy will be voted as directed by the Stockholder. If no direction is given when the duly executed proxy is returned, such shares will be voted in accordance with the recommendations of the board of directors FOR all director nominees (Proposal 1), FOR the ratification of the independent auditors (Proposal 2) and FOR the approval of the compensation of our named executive officers (Proposal 3).

The undersigned acknowledges receipt of the April 15, 2016 Notice of Annual Meeting and the Proxy Statement, which more particularly describes the matters referred to herein.

Continued and to be signed on reverse side