

HEALTHCARE BUSINESS SERVICES GROUPS, INC.  
Form 10KSB  
April 17, 2007

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

**FORM 10-KSB**

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES  
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the year ended December 31, 2006

TRANSITION REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES  
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission file number: 000-50014

**HEALTHCARE BUSINESS SERVICES GROUPS, INC.**

(Exact name of small business issuer as specified in its charter)

**NEVADA**

(State or other jurisdiction  
of  
incorporation or  
organization)

**88-0478644**

(IRS Employer Identification No.)

1126 West Foothill Blvd, Suite 105, Upland, CA 91786

(Address of principal executive offices)

(909) 608-2035

(Registrant's telephone number)

Securities registered under Section 12(b) of the Exchange Act:

NONE

Securities registered under Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act:

COMMON STOCK, \$.001 PAR VALUE PER SHARE

Check whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act during the past 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes

No

Check if there is no disclosure of delinquent filers in response to Item 405 of Regulation S-B not contained in this form, and no disclosure will be contained, to the best of the registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-KSB or any amendment to this Form 10-KSB.

The issuer's revenues for the most recent fiscal year ended December 31, 2006 were \$1,011,644.

The aggregate market value of the issuer's voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates computed by reference to the average bid and ask price of such common equity as of April 11, 2006, was approximately \$2,207,410.

As of April 11, 2007 the issuer had 33,960,450 shares of common stock, \$.001 par value per share outstanding ( Common Stock ).

Documents Incorporated by Reference: NONE

Transitional Small Business Disclosure Format: Yes  No



## PART I

### ITEM 1.

#### DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS

#### SPECIAL NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Certain statements in this Annual Report on Form 10-KSB (this Form 10KSB ), including statements under Item 1. Description of Business, and Item 6. Management s Discussion and Analysis , constitute forward looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1934, as amended, and the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (collectively, the Reform Act ). Certain, but not necessarily all, of such forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of forward-looking terminology such as believes , expects , may , should , or anticipates , or the negative thereof or other variations thereon or comparable terminology, or by discussions of strategy that involve risks and uncertainties. Such forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors which may cause the actual results, performance or achievements of Healthcare Business Services Groups, Inc. (the Company , we , us or our ) to be materially different from actual future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. References in this form 10-KSB, unless another date is stated, are to December 31, 2005.

#### BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT

The Company was incorporated in the State of Nevada on May 2, 2000, as Winfield Capital Group, Inc. On June 6, 2001, the Company filed a Certificate of Amendment to its Articles of Incorporation to affect a name change to Winfield Financial Group, Inc. On April 23, 2004, the Company acquired 100% of the equity interest of Healthcare Business Services Groups, Inc. ( Healthcare ). As part of the same transaction, the Company acquired 100% of the equity interest of AutoMed Software Corp. ( AutoMed ) and Silver Shadow Properties, LLC ( Silver Shadow ) on May 7, 2004. Prior to the Acquisition (defined below), the Company was a business broker, primarily representing sellers and offering its clients businesses for sale. As a result of the acquisition, the Company changed its business focus to medical billing. On January 7, 2005, the Company filed a Certificate of Amendment to its Articles of Incorporation, with the Nevada Secretary of State and changed its name to Healthcare Business Services Groups, Inc.

On April 23, 2004, the Company acquired 100% of the issued and outstanding shares of Healthcare Business Services Groups, Inc., a Delaware corporation ( Healthcare ). As part of the same transaction on May 7, 2004, the Company acquired 100% of the issued and outstanding shares of AutoMed Software Corp., a Nevada corporation ( AutoMed ), and 100% of the membership interests of Silver Shadow Properties, LLC, a Nevada single member limited liability company ( Silver Shadow ). The transactions are collectively referred to herein as the Acquisition. The Company acquired Healthcare, AutoMed, and Silver Shadow from Chandana Basu, the sole owner, in exchange for 25,150,000 newly issued treasury shares of the Company s Common Stock. As a result of the Acquisition, the Company has changed its business focus. The term Company shall include a reference to Healthcare Business Services Groups, Inc. (the Company ),

---

During the year, Company sold the Silver Shadow Properties, LLC along with its asset bare land which company acquired through acquisition from Ms Basu, with a value of \$390,000. Ms Basu held a note of \$140,000 on the land. Company incurred \$98,137 expenses through the end of the period towards the engineering and city fees. The loan associated with the land for \$250,000 was due on November of 2005. Lender made demand for payoff and threatened to foreclose the property. The company was unable to refinance due to the facts that it was in multiple law suits. Then company appraised the land for \$750,000 and sold to Ms Basu for this amount. Ms Basu took over the note of \$250,000 and by settling her note payable to Ms Basu of \$225,637 and in addition, writing a promissory note payable to the Company of \$261,863. Total monetary value received by the Company was \$750,000. The Company recorded the difference between the assets received from Ms. Basu of \$750,000 and the carrying amounts of \$488,137 as capital contribution in the amount of \$261,863. Ms Basu took responsibility of interest amount of \$12,500 and unpaid outstanding bills for city fees and engineering for the amount of \$45,000.

On June 21, 2004, the Company entered into an agreement with Robert Burley (former Director, President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company) and Linda Burley (former Director and Secretary of the Company) whereby the Company agreed to transfer certain assets owned by the Company immediately prior to the change in control in consideration for Mr. and Mrs. Burley's cancellation of an aggregate of 2,640,000 of their shares of the Company's common stock. The Company transferred the following assets to Mr. and Mrs. Burley: (i) the right to the name Winfield Financial Group, Inc. ; and (ii) any contracts, agreements, rights or other intangible property that related to the Company's business operations immediately prior to the change in control whether or not such intangible property was accounted for in the Company's financial statements. After the issuance of shares to Ms. Basu and the cancellation of 2,640,000 shares of Mr. and Mrs. Burley's Common Stock, there were 29,774,650 shares of the Company's Common Stock outstanding. As a result of these transactions, control of the Company shifted to Ms. Basu. Ms. Basu currently owns 25,750,000 shares (or approximately 81.00%) out of 33,960,150 shares of the Company's issued and outstanding Common Stock.

#### **DESCRIPTION OF THE COMPANY'S FORMER BUSINESS OPERATIONS**

Prior to the Acquisition of Healthcare, AutoMed, and Silver Shadow (described above), the Company operated as a business broker, primarily representing sellers and offering its clients' businesses for sale. The Company limited its business to asset sale transactions and not transactions in which businesses are sold through the sale of stock.

#### **DESCRIPTION OF THE COMPANY'S CURRENT BUSINESS OPERATIONS**

As a result of the Acquisition, discussed above, the Company operates as a medical billing service provider which attempts to assist various health care providers to enhance their billing functions. The Company has a diversified

market base with customers in Texas, California, Florida, New York and Washington. The Company has developed a proprietary medical billing software system named AutoMed. The Company has beta tested AutoMed, is currently using AutoMed in-house for its billing service operations, and plans to market AutoMed commercially in 2006. The Company expects that after AutoMed is launched, the Company's revenues will grow over the next three to five years, as the Company extends its billing model into the technology era, however, the Company can give no assurances that it will see increases in revenue, when AutoMed is launched, if ever.

The Company, through a reimbursement account bills and collects on medical billings. The Company retains a percentage of the collection as a fee, typically 10%, and remits the balance to the client.



## **DESCRIPTION OF THE COMPANY S PRINCIPAL PRODUCTS AND SERVICES**

The Company is a medical reimbursement consulting firm dedicated to helping medical practices become more efficient and save money by allowing them to out-source their insurance processing and medical billing functions. The Company currently provides medical billing services ( Medical Billing ) to various health care providers within the United States. The Company is in the process of entering into another new line of business: the research, development and marketing of its proprietary medical billing software ( AutoMed ).

The Company s traditional core competency is Medical Billing. The Company conducts the Medical Billing line of business through its Delaware subsidiary, Healthcare Business Services Groups, Inc. With Medical Billing, the Company has a successful track record of assisting various health care providers to successfully enhance their billing function. The Company also continues to increase relationships with physicians and medical specialty practices around the country to provide its Medical Billing services. The Company believes that the automated medical billing software business will provide higher margins to the Company s overall business operations.

## **COMPETITIVE BUSINESS CONDITIONS**

### MEDICAL BILLING

Due to today s extremely competitive healthcare industry, many healthcare providers are outsourcing their billing operations. Medical billing services exist to help healthcare providers better manage their medical practices. These services relieve medical professionals of tedious detail work, but rarely do they offer a means to substantially maximize the medical practice s bottom line.

Medical billing companies generally gather patient information and billing details from a physician or clinic and submit these details to insurance carriers for payment. A billing company may also submit statements to a patient for payment of the patient s portion. The Company distinguishes itself from thousands of other billing agencies in the industry as a customized billing agency and a one-stop shopping service for all medical practice administrative functions. The Company considers its medical billing service to be the key to its clients getting paid efficiently and quickly by private and government administered insurance companies.

The Company provides a customized medical billing service that can be fine tuned to any medical practice or specialty. The Company provides a wide range of billing services including:

- .
- Delinquent account management
- .
- Surgery center setup and management
- .
- Assessment of practice cash flow
- .
- Practice management
- .
- Health Maintenance Organization (HMO), Preferred Provider Organization
- .
- (PPO) and capitation contract management
- .
- Business Auditing

The medical billing business is labor intensive; however, the Company believes that its clients collect more revenue than they otherwise would collect without the Company's services. Due to this benefit to its clients, Healthcare has experienced continued growth since its inception in 1990. By outsourcing the medical billing function, the Company believes that its clients have been able to maximize their return from insurance carriers, and to allocate their office staff capacity to more crucial tasks.

Electronic submission of insurance claims provides cost savings and decreases in payment time over traditional paper based submissions. These factors have made electronic submission much more appealing to clients and have sparked a growing demand. Potential users of electronic submission include family practice, internal medicine, surgeons, psychologists, chiropractors, physical therapists, podiatrists, specialists, ambulance services, medical laboratories, ambulatory surgery centers and hospitals. In order to service this growing demand, the Company has developed AutoMed (discussed below) which it has installed, and is currently beta testing, with few of its existing Medical Billing clients.

### AUTOMED

The Company initially designed AutoMed to satisfy its custom medical billing needs. The Company began implementing AutoMed in the Company's Medical Billing line of business in July 2003. The Company has been using AutoMed since October 2003 for all new medical billing. The Company intends to use AutoMed for other aspects of medical office management as well, as discussed below. The Company is currently beta testing certain aspects of AutoMed at existing medical billing clients and developing certain other aspects of AutoMed.

### **DEPENDENCE ON ONE OR A FEW CUSTOMERS**

The Company has approximately 12 customers throughout the United States.

### **NEED FOR GOVERNMENTAL APPROVAL AND THE EFFECTS OF REGULATIONS**

The Company offers medical business services which are subject to the compliance requirements of the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act ( HIPPA ) and the billing guidelines of the Health Care Financing Administration ( HCFA ). As a result, Medical Billing and AutoMed are subject to government regulation and government approval.

### **RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT OVER THE PAST TWO YEARS**

The Company has spent less than 10% of its time during the last two years on research and development. The Company has generated a predominate portion of its business through word of mouth.

## **EMPLOYEES**

The Company has a total of 13 full-time employees, none of which are members of any union in connection with the Company's operations. The Company may hire four to five employees in the next twelve months, if the need for additional employees arises.

## **ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OR PLAN OF OPERATION**

This report contains forward looking statements within the meaning of section 27a of the securities act of 1933, as amended and section 21e of the securities exchange act of 1934, as amended. The company's actual results could differ materially from those set forth on the forward looking statements as a result of the risks set forth in the company's filings with the securities and exchange commission, general economic conditions, and changes in the assumptions used in making such forward looking statements.

---

## OVERVIEW

Winfield Financial Group, Inc. (the Registrant ) was incorporated in the State of Nevada on May 2, 2000. Prior to the Acquisition, discussed below, the Registrant was a business broker, primarily representing sellers and offering its clients' businesses for sale. As a result of the Acquisition, the Registrant changed its business focus.

On April 7, 2004, the Registrant filed Articles of Exchange with the State of Nevada to take effect on such date. Under the terms of the Articles of Exchange, the Registrant was to acquire Vanguard Commercial, Inc., a Nevada corporation ( Vanguard ) whereby the Registrant was to issue 197,000 of its shares of Common Stock in exchange for all of the issued and outstanding Common Stock of Vanguard. Robert Burley, a former Director of the Registrant and the Registrant's former President, Chief Executive Officer and Treasurer is also an officer and director of Vanguard. Subsequent to the effective date of the exchange with Vanguard, the Registrant and Vanguard mutually agreed to rescind the transaction. The Registrant filed a Certificate of Correction with the State of Nevada rescinding the exchange with Vanguard, which never took place and the Registrant never issued any of its shares with respect thereto.

On April 22, 2004, the Registrant amended its Articles of Incorporation to increase the authorized shares to Fifty Million (50,000,000) shares of Common Stock, to reauthorize the par value of \$.001 per share of Common Stock and to reauthorize 5,000,000 shares of preferred stock with a par value of \$.001 per share of preferred stock.

On April 23, 2004, the Registrant acquired 100% of the issued and outstanding shares of Healthcare Business Services Groups, Inc., a Delaware corporation ( Healthcare ). As part of the same transaction on May 7, 2004, the Registrant acquired 100% of the issued and outstanding shares of AutoMed Software Corp., a Nevada corporation ( AutoMed ), and 100% of the membership interests of Silver Shadow Properties, LLC, a Nevada single member limited liability company ( Silver Shadow ). The transactions are collectively referred to herein as the Acquisition. The Registrant acquired Healthcare, AutoMed, and Silver Shadow from Chandana Basu, the sole owner, in exchange for 25,150,000 newly issued treasury shares of the Registrant's Common Stock. The term Company shall include a reference to Winfield Financial Group, Inc., Healthcare, AutoMed and Silver Shadow unless otherwise stated. Healthcare, AutoMed and Silver Shadow are sometimes collectively referred to herein as HBSGII.

On June 21, 2004, the Registrant entered into an agreement with Robert Burley (former Director, President and Chief Executive Officer of the Registrant) and Linda Burley (former Director and Secretary of the Registrant) whereby the Registrant agreed to transfer certain assets owned by the Registrant immediately prior to the change in control in consideration for Mr. and Mrs. Burley's cancellation of an aggregate of 2,640,000 of their shares of the Registrant's Common Stock. The Registrant transferred the following assets to Mr. and Mrs. Burley: i) the right to the name Winfield Financial Group, Inc. and ii) any contracts, agreements, rights or other intangible property that related to the

Registrant's business operations immediately prior to the change in control whether or not such intangible property was accounted for in the Registrant's financial statements. After the issuance of shares to Ms. Basu and the cancellation of 2,640,000 shares of Mr. and Mrs. Burley, there were 28,774,650 shares of the Registrant's Common Stock outstanding. As a result of these transactions, control of the Registrant shifted to Ms. Basu. Ms. Basu currently owns 25,150,000 shares (or approximately 81.1%) out of 31,040,150 of the Registrant's issued and outstanding Common Stock.

On January 5, 2005, the Registrant changed its name to Healthcare Business Services Groups, Inc. The Registrant is a holding company for HBSGI. The business operations discussed herein are conducted by HBSGI. The Registrant, through HBSGI, is engaged in the business of providing medical billing services to healthcare providers in the United States.

---

The Company is a medical billing service provider that for over fourteen years has assisted various healthcare providers to successfully enhance their billing function. The Company has a diversified market base with headquartered in Upland, California. The Company has developed a proprietary medical billing software system named AutoMed™. The Company has installed, and is currently ready to market and install, AutoMed™ at some of the Company's existing medical billing clients. The Company expects that after this software is launched, revenues will grow substantially over the next three to five years extending its billing model into the technology era.

## **RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

### **YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006 COMPARED TO YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2005**

Revenue for the year ended December 31, 2006 were \$ 1,011,644 compared to \$ 1,565,262 for the same period in 2005. The decrease in revenues was due to reduction in collections from the customers and hence decrease in commissions earned during the year ended December 31, 2006 as compared to same period in 2005. The Company expects to earn higher revenues in future since it has hired more marketing representatives. The revenues are recognized on accrual basis of accounting.

General & administrative ( G&A ) expense for the year ended December 31, 2006 was \$ 1,430,130 compared to \$ 1,758,137 for the same period in 2005. The decrease in G&A expenses in 2006 was due to decrease in costs incurred by the Company in marketing the company's business as well as legal fees paid against settlement of various litigations.

Depreciation and amortization was \$ 118,459 for the year ended December 31, 2006 as compared to \$ 101,347 for the same period in 2005. The depreciation and amortization expense is consistent with the prior year since the assets are being depreciated straight line over the life.

Interest expense and financing costs for the year ended December 31, 2006 was \$ 2,201,173 compared to \$ 80,559 for the same period in 2005. The increase in interest expense and financing costs are due to \$ 1,300,000 note that Company borrowed during the year.

Net loss was \$ 2,997,584 (or basic and diluted net loss per share of \$(0.05) for the year ended December 31, 2006 as compared to net loss of \$ 1,236,297 (or basic and diluted net loss per share of \$0.04) for the same period in 2005. Net

loss for the year ended December 31, 2006 was higher as compared to the corresponding period in the last year since the Company incurred more expenses in marketing the business.

## **LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES**

The Company had a working capital deficiency of \$ 5,144,299 as of December 31, 2006. The Company had total assets of \$ 83,784 as of December 31, 2006, which consisted of \$ 41,156 of property and equipment, \$ 38,978 of intangible assets from the Company's website technology costs and \$3,650 of deposits.

The Company had total current liabilities of \$ 5,144,299 as of December 31, 2006, consisting of accounts payable and accrued expenses of \$ 1,327,796, litigation accrual of \$ 325,000, line of credit of \$96,418, note payable to third parties of \$ 1,300,000, lease payable of \$18,938, due to officer of \$ 337,665 and \$ 1,738,482 in derivative liability related to \$ 1,300,000 note and 50,000,000 warrants associated with the note.

The Company has two revolving lines of credit from two financial institutions for \$50,000 and \$75,000. The credit lines are unsecured and bear an annual interest rate of 10.75% and 16.24%, respectively. The credit lines are personally guaranteed by the CEO of the Company. The Company has borrowed \$22,412 and \$74,006 from the credit lines as of December 31, 2006.

---



Net cash used in operating activities was \$94,588 during the year ended December 31, 2006, as compared to net cash used in operating activities of \$ 212,807 during the same period in 2005.

Net cash used in investing activity during the year ended December 31, 2006 was \$7,290 as compared to net cash used in investing activities of \$ 21,512 during the same period in 2005.

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$ 201,245 during the year ended December 31, 2006, as compared to net cash provided by financing activities of \$ 293,838 for the same period in 2005.

The Company does not have any commitments or identified sources of additional capital from third parties or from its officers, directors or majority shareholders. There is no assurance that additional

financing will be available on favorable terms, if at all. If the Company is unable to raise such additional financing, it would have a materially adverse effect upon the Company's ability to implement its business plan and may cause the Company to curtail or scale back its current operations.

On June 27, 2006, the Company entered into a Securities Purchase Agreement (the "Securities Purchase Agreement") with New Millennium Capital Partners II, LLC, AJW Qualified Partners, LLC, AJW Offshore, Ltd. and AJW Partners, LLC (collectively, the "Investors"). Under the terms of the Securities Purchase Agreement, the Investors purchased an aggregate of (i) \$2,000,000 in callable convertible secured notes (the "Notes") and (ii) warrants to purchase 50,000,000 shares of our common stock (the "Warrants").

Pursuant to the Securities Purchase Agreement, the Investors purchased the Notes and Warrants in three tranches as set forth below:

1. At closing, on July 1, 2006 ("Closing"), the Investors purchased Notes aggregating \$700,000 and warrants to purchase 17,500,000 shares based on the pro rata shares of our common stock;
2. On August 8, 2006 the investors purchased Notes aggregating \$600,000 and warrants to purchase 15,000,000 shares based on the pro rata shares of our common stock and,

3. Upon effectiveness of the Registration Statement, the Investors will purchase Notes aggregating \$700,000. The Company has withdrawn the third trench as the Registration Statement was not effective to bring more funds into the Company.

The Notes carry an interest rate of 6% and a maturity date of June 27, 2009. The notes are convertible into our common shares at the Applicable Percentage of the average of the lowest three (3) trading prices for our shares of common stock during the twenty (20) trading day period prior to conversion. The Applicable Percentage means 50%; provided, however, that the Applicable Percentage shall be increased to (i) 55% in the event that a Registration Statement is filed within thirty days of the closing and (ii) 60% in the event that the Registration Statement becomes effective within one hundred and twenty days from the Closing.

The Company has an option to prepay the Notes in the event that no event of default exists, there are a sufficient number of shares available for conversion of the Notes and the market price is at or below \$.05 per share. In addition, in the event that the average daily price of the common stock, as reported by the reporting service, for each day of the month ending on any determination date is below \$.05, the Company may prepay a portion of the outstanding principal amount of the Notes equal to 101% of the principal amount hereof divided by thirty-six (36) plus one month's interest. Exercise of this option will stay all conversions for the following month. The full principal amount of the Notes is due upon default under the terms of Notes. In addition, the Company has granted the investors a security interest in substantially all of its assets and intellectual property as well as registration rights.

The Company simultaneously issued to the Investors seven year warrants to purchase 32,500,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$.07.

The Investors have contractually agreed to restrict their ability to convert the Notes and exercise the Warrants and receive shares of the Company's common stock such that the number of shares of the Company's common stock held by them and their affiliates after such conversion or exercise does not exceed 4.99% of the then issued and outstanding shares of the Company's common stock.

The Company has received the \$ 1,300,000 through December 31, 2006.

The Company amortized the entire unamortized beneficial conversion feature amount of \$1,103,741 as of December 31, 2006 due to the default on the note.

The Company prepaid lender attorney fees and broker commission of \$ 180,000. The Company amortized the entire amount of \$180,000 as of December 31, 2006 due to the default on the note.

## **RISK FACTORS**

**WE NEED A SUBSTANTIAL AMOUNT OF ADDITIONAL FINANCING.**

In addition to its continued medical billing operation, the Company has planned to begin marketing AutoMed. The Company believes that it can satisfy the current cash requirements for Medical Billing, if the Company maintains its operations as they are currently. The Company needs to raise \$3 to \$5 million of additional financing to implement its business plan with respect to AutoMed .

The Company intends to raise the additional capital in one or more private placements. The Company does not have any commitments or identified sources of additional capital from third parties or from its officers, directors or majority shareholders. There is no assurance that additional financing will be available on favorable terms, if at all. If the Company is unable to raise such additional financing, or accepts financing on unfavorable terms to the Company, it could have a materially adverse effect upon the Company's ability to implement its business plan with respect to AutoMed, and may force the Company to curtail or scale back its current Medical Billing operations.

**WE PAY A SUBSTANTIAL SALARY TO OUR CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER AND TREASURER.**

Chandana Basu, our Chief Executive Officer and Treasurer, receives a substantial amount of \$50,000 per month (or \$600,000 per year) for her services, which includes approximately \$5,000 of salary and a minimum bonus of \$45,000 each month (accrues if not paid). The amount of salary that Ms. Basu receives relative to the Company's revenue and other expenses reduces the likelihood that the Company will make a profit, and increases the possibility that the Company be forced to curtail or abandon its business plan in the future if the Company fails to raise additional capital.

---

#### WE MAY NOT BE SUCCESSFUL IN SELLING AUTOMED SOFTWARE

Currently the Company is in the process of marketing the AutoMed software through distributors. There is no revenue generated as of the date of this report and there is no guarantee that the Company will be successful in selling AutoMed. The Company needs additional capital to market the software.

#### A SUBSTANTIAL AMOUNT OF OUR REVENUES COME FROM FOUR MAIN CLIENTS.

The three major customers of the Company provided \$ 708,369 or 73% of the revenues of the Company for the year ended December 31, 2006. If the Company were to lose any or all of these three clients, it would have a materially adverse effect on the Company's revenue, and if the Company is unable to gain a new large client to take its place, or a sufficient number of smaller clients to take the place of the major client or clients who are lost, the Company could be forced to abandon or curtail its business plan.

#### ABOUT OUR ABILITY TO CONTINUE AS A GOING CONCERN.

As of December 31, 2006 the Company has accumulated deficit amounting to \$ 6,671,589, net loss amounting \$ 2,997,584, working capital deficit amounting to \$ 5,144,299 and net cash used in operations of \$ 94,588. The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from our inability to continue as a going concern. Our continuation as a going concern is dependent upon future events, including obtaining financing (discussed above) for expansion and to implement our business plan with respect to AutoMed and Surgery Centers. If we are unable to continue as a going concern, you will lose your entire investment.

#### WE RELY ON KEY MANAGEMENT.

The success of the Company depends upon the personal efforts and abilities of Chandana Basu. The Company faces competition in retaining Ms. Basu and in attracting new personnel should Ms. Basu choose to leave the Company. There is no assurance that the Company will be able to retain and/or continue to adequately motivate Ms. Basu in the future. The loss of Ms. Basu or the Company's inability to continue to adequately motivate her could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business and operations.

BECAUSE MS. CHANDANA BASU OWNS 81.1% OF OUR OUTSTANDING COMMON STOCK, SHE WILL EXERCISE CONTROL OVER CORPORATE DECISIONS THAT MAY BE ADVERSE TO OTHER MINORITY SHAREHOLDERS.

Chandana Basu, a Director of the Company and the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Treasurer, owns approximately 81.1% of the issued and outstanding shares of our common stock. Accordingly, she will exercise control in determining the outcome of all corporate transactions or other matters, including mergers, consolidations and the sale of all or substantially all of our assets, and also the power to prevent or cause a change in control. The interests of Ms. Basu may differ from the interests of the other stockholders and thus result in corporate decisions that are adverse to other shareholders.

---

**IF THERE'S A MARKET FOR OUR COMMON STOCK, OUR STOCK PRICE MAY BE VOLATILE.**

If there's a market for our common stock, we anticipate that such market would be subject to wide fluctuations in response to several factors, including, but not limited to:

- (1) actual or anticipated variations in our results of operations;
- (2) our ability or inability to generate new revenues;
- (3) increased competition; and
- (4) conditions and trends in the medical billing industry.

Further, because our common stock is traded on the NASD over the counter bulletin board, our stock price may be impacted by factors that are unrelated or disproportionate to our operating performance. These market fluctuations, as well as general economic, political and market conditions, such as recessions, interest rates or international currency fluctuations may adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

**CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

Our discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations is based upon our financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principals generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of any contingent assets and liabilities. On an on-going basis, we evaluate our estimates. We base our estimates on various assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

We believe the following critical accounting policies affect our more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of our financial statements:

**(A) Use of Estimates**

In preparing financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles, management is required to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, as well as certain financial statements disclosures. While management believes that the estimates and assumptions used in the preparation of the financial statements are appropriate, actual results could differ from those estimates.

**(B) Cash and Cash Equivalents**

For purposes of the cash flow statements, the Company considers all highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less at the time of purchase to be cash equivalents.

---



**(C) Revenue Recognition**

The Company's revenue recognition policies are in compliance with Staff accounting bulletin SAB 104. All revenue is recognized when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, the service or sale is complete, the price is fixed or determinable and collectibility is reasonably assured. Revenue is derived from collections of medical billing services. Revenue is recognized when the collection process is complete which occurs when the money is collected and recognized on a net basis.

License Revenue - The Company recognizes revenue from license contracts when a non-cancelable, non-contingent license agreement has been signed, the software product has been delivered, no uncertainties exist surrounding product acceptance, fees from the agreement are fixed and determinable and collection is probable. Any revenues from software arrangements with multiple elements are allocated to each element of the arrangement based on the relative fair values using specific objective evidence as defined in the SOPs. If no such objective evidence exists, revenues from the arrangements are not recognized until the entire arrangement is completed and accepted by the customer. Once the amount of the revenue for each element is determined, the Company recognizes revenues as each element is completed and accepted by the customer. For arrangements that require significant production, modification or customization of software, the entire arrangement is accounted for by the percentage of completion method, in conformity with Accounting Research Bulletin ( ARB ) No. 45 and SOP 81-1.

Services Revenue - Revenue from consulting services is recognized as the services are performed for time-and-materials contracts and contract accounting is utilized for fixed-price contracts. Revenue from training and development services is recognized as the services are performed. Revenue from maintenance agreements is recognized ratably over the term of the maintenance agreement, which in most instances is one year.

**(D) Property and Equipment**

Property and equipment is stated at cost. Additions are capitalized and maintenance and repairs are charged to expense as incurred. Gains and losses on dispositions of equipment are reflected in operations. Depreciation is provided using the straight-line method over the estimated useful life of the assets from three to seven years. Expenditures for maintenance and repairs are charged to expense as incurred.

**(E) Software development Costs**

The Company complied with Statement of Position 98-1 ("SOP 98-1") "Accounting for the Costs of Computer Software Developed or Obtained for Internal Use", as accounting policy for internally developed computer software costs. Under SOP 98-1, we capitalized software development costs incurred during the application development stage.

---

Subsequently, the Company decided to market the software AutoMed. Therefore the Company is following the guideline under SFAS 86. SFAS 86 specifies that costs incurred internally in creating a computer software product shall be charged to expense when incurred as research and development until technological feasibility has been established for the product. Thereafter, all software production costs shall be capitalized and subsequently reported at the lower of unamortized cost or net realizable value.

Capitalized costs is being amortized based on current and future revenue for the product (AutoMed) with an annual minimum equal to the straight-line amortization over the remaining estimated economic life of the product.

**(F) Impairment of Long-Lived Assets**

Effective January 1, 2002, the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets" ("SFAS 144"), which addresses financial accounting and reporting for the impairment or disposal of long-lived assets and supersedes SFAS No. 121, "Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and for Long-Lived Assets to be Disposed Of," and the accounting and reporting provisions of APB Opinion No. 30, "Reporting the Results of Operations for a Disposal of a Segment of a Business." The Company periodically evaluates the carrying value of long-lived assets to be held and used in accordance with SFAS 144. SFAS 144 requires impairment losses to be recorded on long-lived assets used in operations when indicators of impairment are present and the undiscounted cash flows estimated to be generated by those assets are less than the assets' carrying amounts. In that event, a loss is recognized based on the amount by which the carrying amount exceeds the fair market value of the long-lived assets. Loss on long-lived assets to be disposed of is determined in a similar manner, except that fair market values are reduced for the cost of disposal.

**(G) Stock-based Compensation**

The Company accounts for non-cash stock-based compensation issued to non-employees in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 123 and EITF No. 96-18, Accounting for Equity Investments That Are Issued to Non-Employees for Acquiring, or in Conjunction with Selling Goods or Services. Common stock issued to non-employees and consultants is based upon the value of the services received or the quoted market price, whichever value is more readily determinable. The Company accounts for stock options and warrants issued to employees under the intrinsic value method. Under this method, the Company recognizes no compensation expense for stock options or warrants granted when the number of underlying shares is known and the exercise price of the option or warrant is greater than or equal to the fair market value of the stock on the date of grant. As of December 31, 2006, there were no options or warrants outstanding.



In December 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 148 "Accounting for Stock Based Compensation-Transition and Disclosure". SFAS No. 148 amends SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock Based Compensation", to provide alternative methods of transition for a voluntary change to the fair value based method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation. In addition, this Statement amends the disclosure requirements of Statement 123 to require prominent disclosures in both annual and interim financial statements about the method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation and the effect of the method used, on reported results. The adoption of SFAS No. 148 did not have a material affect on the net loss of the Company.

**(H) Income Taxes**

The Company accounts for income taxes under the Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 109 "Accounting for Income Taxes" ("Statement 109"). Under Statement 109, deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. Under Statement 109, the effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date.

**(I) Basic and diluted net loss per share**

Net loss per share is calculated in accordance with the Statement of financial accounting standards No. 128 (SFAS No. 128), Earnings per share . Basic net loss per share is based upon the weighted average number of common shares outstanding. Dilution is computed by applying the treasury stock method. Under this method, options and warrants are assumed to be exercised at the beginning of the period (or at the time of issuance, if later), and as if funds obtained thereby were used to purchase common stock at the average market price during the period. Weighted average number of shares used to compute basic and diluted loss per share is the same since the effect of dilutive securities is anti-dilutive.



**(J) Fair Value of Financial Instruments**

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 107, Disclosures About Fair Value of Financial Instruments requires disclosures of information about the fair value of certain financial instruments for which it is practicable to estimate that value. For purposes of this disclosure, the fair value of a financial instrument is the amount at which the instrument could be exchanged in a current transaction between willing parties, other than in a forced sale or liquidation. The carrying amounts of the Company's accounts and other receivables, accounts payable, accrued liabilities, factor payable, capital lease payable and notes and loans payable approximates fair value due to the relatively short period to maturity for these instruments.

**(K) Concentrations of Risk**

Financial instruments which potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk are cash and accounts receivable. The Company places its cash with financial institutions deemed by management to be of high credit quality. The amount on deposit in any one institution that exceeds federally insured limits is subject to credit risk. All of the Company's revenue and majority of its assets are derived from operations in United States of America.

**(L) Reporting Segments**

Statement of financial accounting standards No. 131, Disclosures about segments of an enterprise and related information (SFAS No. 131), which superseded statement of financial accounting standards No. 14, Financial reporting for segments of a business enterprise, establishes standards for the way that public enterprises report information about operating segments in annual financial statements.

Healthcare is a medical billing service provider. Healthcare's sister company, AutoMed, has developed a proprietary software system. In addition, Healthcare's other sister company, Silver Shadow, made an investment in real estate where Healthcare plans to construct its first surgical center and corporate office development.

There has been very insignificant activity in Automed and Silver Shadow. Hence the Company has determined it has only one segment.





**(M) Comprehensive Income**

Statement of financial accounting standards No. 130, Reporting comprehensive income (SFAS No. 130), establishes standards for reporting and display of comprehensive income, its components and accumulated balances. Comprehensive income is defined to include all changes in equity, except those resulting from investments by owners and distributions to owners. Among other disclosures, SFAS No. 130 requires that all items that are required to be recognized under current accounting standards as components of comprehensive income be reported in financial statements that are displayed with the same prominence as other financial statements.

**(N) Reclassifications**

For comparative purposes, prior years' consolidated financial statements have been reclassified to conform to report classifications of the current year.

**(O) New Accounting Pronouncements**

In February 2007 the FASB issued SFAS 159, "The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities--Including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115." The statement permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value. The objective is to improve financial reporting by providing entities with the opportunity to mitigate volatility in reported earnings caused by measuring related assets and liabilities differently without having to apply complex hedge accounting provisions. The statement is effective as of the beginning of an entity's first fiscal year that begins after November 15, 2007. The company is analyzing the potential accounting treatment.

In September 2006, FASB issued SFAS 158 Employers Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106, and 132(R) This Statement improves financial reporting by requiring an employer to recognize the overfunded or under funded status of a defined benefit postretirement plan (other than a multiemployer plan) as an asset or liability in its statement of financial position and to recognize changes in that funded status in the year in which the changes occur through comprehensive income of a business entity or changes in unrestricted net assets of a not-for-profit organization. This Statement also improves financial reporting by requiring an employer to measure the funded status of a plan as of the date of its year-end statement of financial position, with limited exceptions. An employer with publicly traded equity securities is required to initially recognize the funded status of a defined benefit postretirement plan and to provide the required disclosures as of the end of the fiscal year ending after December 15, 2006. An employer without publicly traded equity securities

is required to recognize the funded status of a defined benefit postretirement plan and to provide the required disclosures as of the end of the fiscal year ending after June 15, 2007.

---

However, an employer without publicly traded equity securities is required to disclose the following information in the notes to financial statements for a fiscal year ending after December 15, 2006, but before June 16, 2007, unless it has applied the recognition provisions of this Statement in preparing those financial statements:

a.

A brief description of the provisions of this Statement

b.

The date that adoption is required

c.

The date the employer plans to adopt the recognition provisions of this Statement, if earlier.

The requirement to measure plan assets and benefit obligations as of the date of the employer's fiscal year-end statement of financial position is effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2008. The management is currently evaluating the effect of this pronouncement on financial statements.

In September 2006, FASB issued SFAS 157 Fair Value Measurements . This Statement defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. This Statement applies under other accounting pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurements, the Board having previously concluded in those accounting pronouncements that fair value is the relevant measurement attribute. Accordingly, this Statement does not require any new fair value measurements. However, for some entities, the application of this Statement will change current practice. This Statement is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years. The management is currently evaluating the effect of this pronouncement on financial statements.

In March 2006 FASB issued SFAS 156 Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets this Statement amends FASB Statement No. 140, Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities, with respect to the accounting for separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities. This Statement:



1. Requires an entity to recognize a servicing asset or servicing liability each time it undertakes an obligation to service a financial asset by entering into a servicing contract.
2. Requires all separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities to be initially measured at fair value, if practicable.
3. Permits an entity to choose Amortization method or Fair value measurement method for each class of separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities:
4. At its initial adoption, permits a one-time reclassification of available-for-sale securities to trading securities by entities with recognized servicing rights, without calling into question the treatment of other available-for-sale securities under Statement 115, provided that the available-for-sale securities are identified in some manner as offsetting the entity's exposure to changes in fair value of servicing assets or servicing liabilities that a servicer elects to subsequently measure at fair value.
5. Requires separate presentation of servicing assets and servicing liabilities subsequently measured at fair value in the statement of financial position and additional disclosures for all separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities.

An entity should adopt this Statement as of the beginning of its first fiscal year that begins after September 15, 2006. Management believes that this statement will not have a significant impact on the financial statement.

In February 2006, FASB issued SFAS No. 155, Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments . SFAS No. 155 amends SFAS No 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities , and SFAS No. 140, Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities . SFAS No. 155, permits fair value remeasurement for any hybrid financial instrument that contains an embedded derivative that otherwise would require bifurcation, clarifies which interest-only strips and principal-only strips are not subject to the requirements of SFAS No. 133, establishes a requirement to evaluate interest in securitized financial assets to identify interests that are freestanding derivatives or that are hybrid financial instruments that contain an embedded derivative requiring bifurcation, clarifies that concentrations of credit risk in the form of subordination are not embedded derivatives, and amends SFAS No. 140 to eliminate the prohibition on the qualifying special-purpose entity from holding a derivative financial instrument that pertains to a beneficial interest other than another derivative financial instrument. This statement is effective for all financial instruments acquired or issued after the beginning of the Company's first fiscal year that begins after September 15, 2006.

#



In May 2005, the FASB issued SFAS No. 154, Accounting Changes and Error Corrections. This statement applies to all voluntary changes in accounting principle and requires retrospective application to prior periods financial statements of changes in accounting principle, unless this would be impracticable. This statement also makes a distinction between retrospective application of an accounting principle and the restatement of financial statements to reflect the correction of an error. This statement is effective for accounting changes and corrections of errors made in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005.

In June 2005, the EITF reached consensus on Issue No. 05-6, Determining the Amortization Period for Leasehold Improvements ( EITF 05-6. ) EITF 05-6 provides guidance on determining the amortization period for leasehold improvements acquired in a business combination or acquired subsequent to lease inception. The guidance in EITF 05-6 will be applied prospectively and is effective for periods beginning after June 29, 2005. The company is in the process of evaluating the effect on its consolidated financial position or results of operations.

### **ITEM 3. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**

(a) Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures. Our chief executive officer and principal financial officer, after evaluating the effectiveness of the Company's "disclosure controls and procedures" (as defined in the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report (the "Evaluation Date"), has concluded that as of the Evaluation Date, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective and designed to ensure that material information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act of 1934 is 1) recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the Commission's rules and forms; and 2) accumulated and communicated to her as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

(b) Changes in internal control over financial reporting. There were no significant changes in our internal control over financial reporting during our most recent fiscal quarter that materially affected, or were reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

## **PART II - OTHER INFORMATION**

**ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

The Company is The Company is currently plaintiff to two and defendant to two law suits. The Company filed claims for non payment of fees by former clients due to clients diverted funds billed by company and did not pay Billing fees.

---



1. On July 12, 2004, Nimish Shah, M.D. d/b/a New Horizon Medical, Inc. ( New Horizon ) initiated a lawsuit against the Company in the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Case No. VC 042695, styled New Horizon Medical, Inc. v. HBSGI, et al. In connection with arbitration, the Company has claimed against New Horizon the compensatory damages in the amount of \$75,000 (subject to amendment), prejudgment interest, costs and attorneys fees in an unspecified amount. New Horizon has not submitted a cross-complaint against the Company for the breach of contract alleging that there is substantial discrepancy between the amounts of bills provided by New Horizon to the Company, for the purpose of securing payment from various insurance companies, and the funds actually received from the Company. This matter was dismissed by arbitrator for non payment of arbitrator s fee.

2 In January 2004, Claimant Leonard J. Soloniuk, MD initiated an arbitration against HBSGI with the American Arbitration Association, Case No. 72 193 00102 04 TMS, styled Leonard J. Soloniuk, MD v. HBSGI

In a decision dated April 5, 2006, the arbitrator awarded HBSGI nothing against Soloniuk. The arbitrator further awarded Soloniuk \$ 275,000 against the HBSGI as well as interest accruing from June 1, 2006, at the rate of ten percent per annum on the unpaid balance. The arbitrator further ordered HBSGI to reimburse Soloniuk costs in the amount of \$ 1,875. Company argues that of this \$275,000, \$210,000 was already paid to Soloniuk since November 4, 2002, last date of payment were considered by arbitrator and therefore the judgment should be reduced accordingly. The Company can provide no assurances that it will be successful in this argument.

3. Company recently filed new legal actions against Soloniuk for fraud, deception, and intentional non disclosure of money received from HBSGI collection to the arbitration hearing to gain advantage. Company also filed an application of injunction to prevent Soloniuk to use HBSGI billing method. Hearing is set for May 10, 2007. Company is suing Soloniuk for \$750,000 plus cost of lawsuit.

4. On September 20, 1999, Mohammad Tariq, MD was granted a default judgment in the District Court of Collin County, Texas, 380th Judicial District in the amount of \$280,835.10, plus prejudgment and post-judgment interest against Healthcare Business Services Group, Inc., d/b/a/ Peacock Healthcare.

Kamran Ghadimi bought the Tariq judgment in April 28, 2006 and pursuing collection in California.

This matter was settled on November 8, 2006 for \$185,000. The Company paid \$140,000 out of \$185,000 and making payments monthly for \$3000.00. As of filing this report company owes 15 months of payment equal to \$45,000. Case was dismissed in 2007.



From time to time, we may become party to litigation or other legal proceedings that we consider to be a part of the ordinary course of our business. Other than the legal proceedings listed below, we are not currently involved in legal proceedings that could reasonably be expected to have a material adverse effect on our business, prospects, financial condition or results of operations. However, we may become involved in material legal proceedings in the future.

Healthcare filed a collection action against Frank Zondlo, and Zondlo also filed across-complaint against Healthcare. The matter is now in the discovery and law and motion stage.

## **ITEM 2. CHANGES IN SECURITIES**

The Company increased its authorized capital to 750,000,000 shares from 50,000,000 shares of common stock \$0.001 par value as of December 31, 2006.

During the year ended December 31, 2006, the Company issued 300 shares to consultants for services rendered. The Company recorded expense in the books based on the market value of the shares on the date of issuance of shares to the consultants.

The Company recorded \$ 38,750 as officer compensation for 1,000,000 shares to be issued pursuant to the employment agreement. The officer is entitled to 1,000,000 shares every year pursuant to the employment agreement. The value of the stock is based on the fair market rate.

## **ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES**

None.

## **ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS**

None

**ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION**

None

---

**ITEM 6. EXHIBITS AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K**

(a) Exhibits

<u>Exhibit No.*</u>	<u>Description</u>
31.1	Certificate of the Chief Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002*
32.1	Certificate of the Chief Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes- Oxley Act of 2002*

\* Filed Herein.

(b) Reports on Form 8-K

The Company filed no Reports on Form 8-K during the year ended December 31, 2006.

**ITEM 7.**

**FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2005**

**HEALTHCARE BUSINESS SERVICES GROUPS INC.**

**AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**(formerly known as Winfield Financial Group, Inc.)**

**CONTENTS**

PAGE	1	REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM
PAGE	2	CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2005
PAGE	3	CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2005 AND 2004
PAGE	4	CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS DEFICIT FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2005 AND 2004
PAGE	5	CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2005 AND 2004
PAGES	6 - 15	NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS





**INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT**

To the Board of Directors of:

Healthcare Business Services Groups Inc. and Subsidiaries

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of Healthcare Business Services Groups Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2005, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' deficit and cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Healthcare Business Services Groups Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2005 and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern which contemplates the realization of assets and liquidation of liabilities in the normal course of business. As discussed in Note 13 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company had a loss of \$ 1,236,297, a working capital deficit of \$ 2,348,257, stockholders' deficit of \$ 2,153,304, an accumulated deficit of \$ 3,674,005 and cash used in operations of \$ 212,807. These factors raise substantial doubt about its ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans concerning these matters are also described in Note 13. The accompanying consolidated

financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

As discussed in Note 16, the financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004 have been restated.

/s/ Kabani & Company

Kabani & Company, Inc.

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

Los Angeles, California

March 24, 2006 except for note 3, 7, 8, 9, 11, 13, 15, 16 & 17 which are as of October 19, 2006

F-1

---

**HEALTHCARE BUSINESS SERVICES GROUPS INC.**

**CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET**

**December 31, 2006**

**ASSETS**

<b>PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT, net</b>		41,156
<b>INTANGIBLE ASSET, net</b>		
Website technology costs, net		38,978
<b>DEPOSITS</b>		3,650
	\$	83,784

**LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS DEFICIT**

**CURRENT LIABILITIES**

Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$	1,327,796
Accrued officer compensation		337,665
Litigation accrual		325,000
Lines of credit		96,418
Derivative liability		1,738,482
Lease Payable		18,938
Notes Payable		1,300,000
<b>Total current liabilities</b>		5,144,299

**COMMITMENTS & CONTINGENCIES**

**STOCKHOLDERS DEFICIT**

Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value; Authorized shares 5,000,000, none issued and outstanding		
Common stock, \$0.001 par value; Authorized shares 750,000,000, 33,960,450 shares issued and outstanding		33,960
Additional paid in capital		1,509,864

Edgar Filing: HEALTHCARE BUSINESS SERVICES GROUPS, INC. - Form 10KSB

Shares to be issued	67,250
Accumulated deficit	(6,671,589 )
<b>Total stockholders deficit</b>	<b>(5,060,515 )</b>
	\$ 83,784

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-2

---

**HEALTHCARE BUSINESS SERVICES GROUPS INC.**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

	<b>For the years ended</b>	
	<b>December 31</b>	
	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>
		<b>RESTATED</b>
<b>Net revenues</b>	\$ 1,011,644	\$ 1,565,262
<b>Operating expenses</b>		
General and administrative expenses	1,430,130	1,758,137
Officer Compensation	638,750	670,500
Depreciation and amortization	118,459	101,347
Consulting fees	--	214,698
<b>Total operating expenses</b>	<b>2,187,339</b>	<b>2,744,682</b>
<b>Loss from Operations</b>	<b>(1,175,695 )</b>	<b>(1,179,420 )</b>
<b>Other income (expenses):</b>		
Interest expense and financing cost	(2,201,173 )	(80,559 )
Change in fair value of derivative liability	381,684	--
Gain on settlement of debt	--	26,082
<b>Total other expenses</b>	<b>(1,819,489 )</b>	<b>(54,477 )</b>
<b>Loss Before Income Taxes</b>	<b>(2,995,184 )</b>	<b>(1,233,897 )</b>
Provision for income taxes	2,400	2,400
<b>Net loss</b>	<b>\$ (2,997,584 )</b>	<b>\$ (1,236,297 )</b>
<b>Basic &amp; diluted net loss per share</b>	<b>\$ (0.09 )</b>	<b>\$ (0.04 )</b>

**Basic & diluted weighted average number of**

**common stock outstanding**

33,960,315

31,559,126

\* Weighted average number of shares used to compute basic and diluted loss per share is the same since the effect of dilutive securities is anti-dilutive.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-3

---

**HEALTHCARE BUSINESS SERVICES GROUPS INC.****STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT****For the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005**

Description	<u>Common</u>		Paid in Capital	Prepaid Consulting	Shares to be Issued	Accumulated Deficit	Total Shareholders Deficit
	Shares	Amount					
Balance, December 31, 2004	30,940,150	\$ 30,940	\$ 936,754	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (2,437,708)	\$ (1,470,014)
Issuance of shares to consultants	905,000	905	116,350	(51,611)	-	-	65,644
Issuance of shares to employees	600,000	600	41,400	-	28,500	-	70,500
Shares issued for settlement of the note	1,500,000	1,500	148,500	-	-	-	150,000
Issuance of shares for cash	15,000	15	4,985	-	-	-	5,000
Capital contribution - sale of land	-	-	261,863	-	-	-	261,863
Net loss for the year	-	-	-	-	-	(1,236,297)	(1,236,297)
Balance, December 31, 2005	33,960,150	33,960	1,509,852	(51,611)	28,500	(3,674,005)	(2,153,304)
Issuance of shares to consultants	300	0.30	12	51,611	-	-	51,623
Issuance of shares to directors	-	-	-	-	38,750	-	38,750
Net loss for the year	-	-	-	-	-	(2,997,584)	(2,997,584)
Balance, December 31, 2006	33,960,450	\$ 33,960	\$ 1,509,864	\$ -	\$ 67,250	\$ (6,671,589)	\$ (5,060,515)

F-4

---



**HEALTHCARE BUSINESS SERVICES GROUPS INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

	<b>For the years ended</b>	
	<b>December 31</b>	
	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>
		<b>RESTATED</b>
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:</b>		
Net loss	\$ (2,997,584 )	\$ (1,236,297 )
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	118,459	101,347
Issuance of shares for service	51,623	65,644
Put options expense		(54,965 )
Shares to be issued for compensation	38,750	70,500
Beneficial conversion feature expense	1,756,828	
Change in fair value of derivative liability	381,684	
Gain on settlement of debt		(26,082 )
(Increase) decrease in current assets:		
Prepaid expenses		)
Other assets		685
Increase in current liabilities:		
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	(20,725 )	866,361
Accrued officer compensation	(576,376 )	
Net cash used in operating activities	(94,588 )	(212,807 )
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES</b>		
Acquisition of property & equipment	(7,290 )	(21,512 )
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:</b>		
Proceeds from notes payable	332,490	333,463
Payment of notes payable	(510,162 )	(43,500 )
Proceeds from issuance of shares for cash		5,000
Due from related party		(4,458 )
Payments of capital lease obligation	(6,300 )	(10,024 )
Proceeds from line of credit	(17,274 )	13,357

Edgar Filing: HEALTHCARE BUSINESS SERVICES GROUPS, INC. - Form 10KSB

Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities		(201,245 )		293,838
NET (DECREASE) IN CASH & CASH EQUIVALENTS		(303,123 )		59,519
<b>CASH &amp; CASH EQUIVALENTS, BEGINNING BALANCE</b>		303,123		243,604
<b>CASH &amp; CASH EQUIVALENTS, ENDING BALANCE</b>	\$	0	\$	303,123
Supplementary Information:				
Cash paid during the year for:				
Interest	\$		\$	15,886
Income taxes	\$	1,700	\$	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

**NOTE 1**

**SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ORGANIZATION -**

**(A) Organization and Nature of Business**

Healthcare Business Services Groups Inc. (herein referred to as Healthcare or Company ) was formed in Delaware in December 1994. On April 23, 2004, the Company acquired 100% of the issued and outstanding shares of Healthcare, a Delaware corporation. As part of the same transaction on May 7, 2004, the Company acquired 100% of the issued and outstanding shares of AutoMed Software Corp., a Nevada corporation ("AutoMed"), and 100% of the membership interests of Silver Shadow Properties, LLC, a Nevada single member limited liability company ("Silver Shadow"). The transactions are collectively referred to herein as the "Acquisition." As a result of the Acquisition, the Company acquired 100% of three corporations.

The Company acquired Healthcare, AutoMed, and Silver Shadow from the sole owner, in exchange for 25,150,000 newly issued treasury shares of the Company's common stock. Immediately after these transactions, there were 31,414,650 shares of the Company's common stock outstanding. As a result, control of the Company shifted to the sole owner who owns approximately 80.0% of the Company's common stock, and the Company changed its name to Healthcare. Here in after all references to the Company refer to Healthcare, AutoMed, and Silver Shadow as a collective whole since their various inceptions.

The merger of the Company with Healthcare Business Services Groups Inc., has been accounted for as a reverse acquisition under the purchase method of accounting since the shareholders of Healthcare Business Services Groups Inc. obtained control of the consolidated entity. Accordingly, the merger of the two companies has been recorded as a recapitalization of the Healthcare Business Services Groups Inc., with Healthcare Business Services Groups Inc. being treated as the continuing entity. The continuing company has retained December 31 as its fiscal year end.

Healthcare is a medical billing service provider that for over fifteen years has assisted various health care providers to successfully enhance their billing function. Healthcare has a diversified market base with operations in Providence, Rhode Island; Laredo, Texas; and Upland, California. Healthcare's sister company, AutoMed, has developed a proprietary software system. In addition, Healthcare's other sister company, Silver Shadow, made an investment in real estate where Healthcare plans to construct its first surgical center and corporate office development. During the year ended December 31, 2005, the Company transferred the real estate and construction with historical cost of \$ 488,137 and the loan associated with the real estate worth \$ 250,000 with accrued interest of \$ 12,500 to the officer of the Company.

F-6

---

## **PRINCIPLES OF CONSOLIDATION**

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly owned subsidiary, Healthcare Business Services Groups Inc. and its wholly owned subsidiary, AutoMed Software Corp. and Silver Shadow Properties, LLC. All significant inter-company accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

### **(B) Use of Estimates**

In preparing financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles, management is required to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, as well as certain financial statements disclosures. While management believes that the estimates and assumptions used in the preparation of the financial statements are appropriate, actual results could differ from those estimates.

### **(C) Cash and Cash Equivalents**

For purposes of the cash flow statements, the Company considers all highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less at the time of purchase to be cash equivalents.

### **(D) Revenue Recognition**

The Company's revenue recognition policies are in compliance with Staff accounting bulletin SAB 104. All revenue is recognized when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, the service or sale is complete, the price is fixed or determinable and collectibility is reasonably assured. Revenue is derived from collections of medical billing services. Revenue is recognized when the collection process is complete which occurs when the money is collected and recognized on a net basis.

License Revenue - The Company recognizes revenue from license contracts when a non-cancelable, non-contingent license agreement has been signed, the software product has been delivered, no uncertainties exist surrounding product acceptance, fees from the agreement are fixed and determinable and collection is probable. Any revenues from software arrangements with multiple elements are allocated to each element of the arrangement based on the

relative fair values using specific objective evidence as defined in the SOPs. If no such objective evidence exists, revenues from the arrangements are not recognized until the entire arrangement is completed and accepted by the customer. Once the amount of the revenue for each element is determined, the Company recognizes revenues as each element is completed and accepted by the customer. For arrangements that require significant production, modification or customization of software, the entire arrangement is accounted for by the percentage of completion method, in conformity with Accounting Research Bulletin ( ARB ) No. 45 and SOP 81-1.

F-7

---

Services Revenue - Revenue from consulting services is recognized as the services are performed for time-and-materials contracts and contract accounting is utilized for fixed-price contracts. Revenue from training and development services is recognized as the services are performed. Revenue from maintenance agreements is recognized ratably over the term of the maintenance agreement, which in most instances is one year.

**(E) Property and Equipment**

Property and equipment is stated at cost. Additions are capitalized and maintenance and repairs are charged to expense as incurred. Gains and losses on dispositions of equipment are reflected in operations. Depreciation is provided using the straight-line method over the estimated useful life of the assets from three to seven years. Expenditures for maintenance and repairs are charged to expense as incurred.

**(F) Software development Costs**

The Company complied with Statement of Position 98-1 ("SOP 98-1") "Accounting for the Costs of Computer Software Developed or Obtained for Internal Use", as accounting policy for internally developed computer software costs. Under SOP 98-1, we capitalized software development costs incurred during the application development stage.

Subsequently, the Company decided to market the software AutoMed. Therefore the Company is following the guideline under SFAS 86. SFAS 86 specifies that costs incurred internally in creating a computer software product shall be charged to expense when incurred as research and development until technological feasibility has been established for the product. Thereafter, all software production costs shall be capitalized and subsequently reported at the lower of unamortized cost or net realizable value.

Capitalized costs is being amortized based on current and future revenue for the product (AutoMed) with an annual minimum equal to the straight-line amortization over the remaining estimated economic life of the product.

F-8

---



**(G) Impairment of Long-Lived Assets**

Effective January 1, 2002, the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets" ("SFAS 144"), which addresses financial accounting and reporting for the impairment or disposal of long-lived assets and supersedes SFAS No. 121, "Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and for Long-Lived Assets to be Disposed Of," and the accounting and reporting provisions of APB Opinion No. 30, "Reporting the Results of Operations for a Disposal of a Segment of a Business."

The Company periodically evaluates the carrying value of long-lived assets to be held and used in accordance with SFAS 144. SFAS 144 requires impairment losses to be recorded on long-lived assets used in operations when indicators of impairment are present and the undiscounted cash flows estimated to be generated by those assets are less than the assets' carrying amounts. In that event, a loss is recognized based on the amount by which the carrying amount exceeds the fair market value of the long-lived assets. Loss on long-lived assets to be disposed of is determined in a similar manner, except that fair market values are reduced for the cost of disposal.

**(H) Stock-based Compensation**

The company adopted SFAS No. 123-R effective January 1, 2006 using the modified prospective method. Under this transition method, stock compensation expense includes Compensation expense for all stock-based compensation awards granted on or after January 1, 2006, based on the grant-date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 123-R.

Prior to January 1, 2006, the company measured stock compensation expense using the intrinsic value method of accounting in accordance with Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and related interpretations (APB No. 25) and has opted for the disclosure provisions of SFAS No. 123. Thus, expense was generally not recognized for the company's employee stock option and purchase plans.

There were no unvested stock options as of December 31, 2006 and the Company has neither granted nor vested any stock options during the year ended December 31, 2006.



**(I) Income Taxes**

The Company accounts for income taxes under the Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 109 "Accounting for Income Taxes" ("Statement 109"). Under Statement 109, deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. Under Statement 109, the effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date.

**(J) Basic and diluted net loss per share**

Net loss per share is calculated in accordance with the Statement of financial accounting standards No. 128 (SFAS No. 128), Earnings per share . Basic net loss per share is based upon the weighted average number of common shares outstanding. Dilution is computed by applying the treasury stock method. Under this method, options and warrants are assumed to be exercised at the beginning of the period (or at the time of issuance, if later), and as if funds obtained thereby were used to purchase common stock at the average market price during the period. Weighted average number of shares used to compute basic and diluted loss per share is the same since the effect of dilutive securities is anti-dilutive.

**(K) Fair Value of Financial Instruments**

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 107, Disclosures About Fair Value of Financial Instruments requires disclosures of information about the fair value of certain financial instruments for which it is practicable to estimate that value. For purposes of this disclosure, the fair value of a financial instrument is the amount at which the instrument could be exchanged in a current transaction between willing parties, other than in a forced sale or liquidation. The carrying amounts of the Company's accounts and other receivables, accounts payable, accrued liabilities, factor payable, capital lease payable and notes and loans payable approximates fair value due to the relatively short period to maturity for these instruments.

F-10

---

**(L) Concentrations of Risk**

Financial instruments which potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk are cash and accounts receivable. The Company places its cash with financial institutions deemed by management to be of high credit quality. The amount on deposit in any one institution that exceeds federally insured limits is subject to credit risk. All of the Company's revenue and majority of its assets are derived from operations in United States of America.

**(M) Reporting Segments**

Statement of financial accounting standards No. 131, Disclosures about segments of an enterprise and related information (SFAS No. 131), which superseded statement of financial accounting standards No. 14, Financial reporting for segments of a business enterprise, establishes standards for the way that public enterprises report information about operating segments in annual financial statements.

Healthcare is a medical billing service provider. Healthcare's sister company, AutoMed, has developed a proprietary software system. In addition, Healthcare's other sister company, Silver Shadow, made an investment in real estate where Healthcare plans to construct its first surgical center and corporate office development.

There has been very insignificant activity in Automed and Silver Shadow. Hence the Company has determined it has only one segment.

**(N) Comprehensive Income**

Statement of financial accounting standards No. 130, Reporting comprehensive income (SFAS No. 130), establishes standards for reporting and display of comprehensive income, its components and accumulated balances. Comprehensive income is defined to include all changes in equity, except those resulting from investments by owners and distributions to owners. Among other disclosures, SFAS No. 130 requires that all items that are required to be recognized under current accounting standards as components of comprehensive income be reported in financial statements that are displayed with the same prominence as other financial statements.

**(O) Reclassifications**

For comparative purposes, prior years' consolidated financial statements have been reclassified to conform to report classifications of the current year.

F-11

---

**(P) New Accounting Pronouncements**

In February 2007 the FASB issued SFAS 159, "The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities--Including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115." The statement permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value. The objective is to improve financial reporting by providing entities with the opportunity to mitigate volatility in reported earnings caused by measuring related assets and liabilities differently without having to apply complex hedge accounting provisions. The statement is effective as of the beginning of an entity's first fiscal year that begins after November 15, 2007. The management is currently evaluating the effect of this pronouncement on financial statements.

In September 2006, FASB issued SFAS 158 *Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans* an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106, and 132(R) This Statement improves financial reporting by requiring an employer to recognize the overfunded or under funded status of a defined benefit postretirement plan (other than a multiemployer plan) as an asset or liability in its statement of financial position and to recognize changes in that funded status in the year in which the changes occur through comprehensive income of a business entity or changes in unrestricted net assets of a not-for-profit organization. This Statement also improves financial reporting by requiring an employer to measure the funded status of a plan as of the date of its year-end statement of financial position, with limited exceptions. An employer with publicly traded equity securities is required to initially recognize the funded status of a defined benefit postretirement plan and to provide the required disclosures as of the end of the fiscal year ending after December 15, 2006. An employer without publicly traded equity securities is required to recognize the funded status of a defined benefit postretirement plan and to provide the required disclosures as of the end of the fiscal year ending after June 15, 2007. However, an employer without publicly traded equity securities is required to disclose the following information in the notes to financial statements for a fiscal year ending after December 15, 2006, but before June 16, 2007, unless it has applied the recognition provisions of this Statement in preparing those financial statements:

d.

A brief description of the provisions of this Statement

e.

The date that adoption is required

f.

The date the employer plans to adopt the recognition provisions of this Statement, if earlier.

The requirement to measure plan assets and benefit obligations as of the date of the employer's fiscal year-end statement of financial position is effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2008. The management is

currently evaluating the effect of this pronouncement on financial statements.

F-12

---



In September 2006, FASB issued SFAS 157 Fair Value Measurements . This Statement defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. This Statement applies under other accounting pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurements, the Board having previously concluded in those accounting pronouncements that fair value is the relevant measurement attribute. Accordingly, this Statement does not require any new fair value measurements. However, for some entities, the application of this Statement will change current practice. This Statement is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years. The management is currently evaluating the effect of this pronouncement on financial statements.

In March 2006 FASB issued SFAS 156 Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets this Statement amends FASB Statement No. 140, Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities, with respect to the accounting for separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities. This Statement:

1. Requires an entity to recognize a servicing asset or servicing liability each time it undertakes an obligation to service a financial asset by entering into a servicing contract.
2. Requires all separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities to be initially measured at fair value, if practicable.
3. Permits an entity to choose Amortization method or Fair value measurement method for each class of separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities:
4. At its initial adoption, permits a one-time reclassification of available-for-sale securities to trading securities by entities with recognized servicing rights, without calling into question the treatment of other available-for-sale securities under Statement 115, provided that the available-for-sale securities are identified in some manner as offsetting the entity's exposure to changes in fair value of servicing assets or servicing liabilities that a servicer elects to subsequently measure at fair value.
5. Requires separate presentation of servicing assets and servicing liabilities subsequently measured at fair value in the statement of financial position and additional disclosures for all separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities.



An entity should adopt this Statement as of the beginning of its first fiscal year that begins after September 15, 2006. Management believes that this statement will not have a significant impact on the financial statement.

In February 2006, FASB issued SFAS No. 155, *Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments*. SFAS No. 155 amends SFAS No 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, and SFAS No. 140, *Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities*. SFAS No. 155, permits fair value remeasurement for any hybrid financial instrument that contains an embedded derivative that otherwise would require bifurcation, clarifies which interest-only strips and principal-only strips are not subject to the requirements of SFAS No. 133, establishes a requirement to evaluate interest in securitized financial assets to identify interests that are freestanding derivatives or that are hybrid financial instruments that contain an embedded derivative requiring bifurcation, clarifies that concentrations of credit risk in the form of subordination are not embedded derivatives, and amends SFAS No. 140 to eliminate the prohibition on the qualifying special-purpose entity from holding a derivative financial instrument that pertains to a beneficial interest other than another derivative financial instrument. This statement is effective for all financial instruments acquired or issued after the beginning of the Company's first fiscal year that begins after September 15, 2006.

**(Q) Supplemental Disclosure of Non-cash Investing and Financing Activities**

The cash flow statements do not include the following non-cash investing and financing activities.

In 2005, the Company entered into a settlement agreement for the payment of the note by authorizing the payment of \$ 100,000 in cash and issuance of 1,500,000 restricted shares of the Company. The Company paid \$ 43,500 in cash during the year. The Company valued the shares based on the market value of the shares on agreement date. The shares have been valued at \$ 150,000.

**NOTE 2**

**PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT**

Property and equipment at December 31, 2006 consisted of the following:

Office and computer equipment	\$	124,966
Furniture and fixtures		89,869
		214,835
Less accumulated depreciation		(173,677)
	\$	41,156

F-14

---

Depreciation expense for the year ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 was \$ 30,133 and \$ 32,392, respectively.

**NOTE 3**

**INTANGIBLE ASSETS**

The Company is accounting for computer software technology costs under the Capitalization criteria of Statement of Position 98-1 "Accounting for the Costs of Computer Software Developed or Obtained for Internal Use."

Expenditures for maintenance and repairs are expensed when incurred; additions, renewals and betterments are capitalized. Amortization is computed using the straight-line method over the estimated useful life of the asset. Amortization begins from the date when the software becomes operational. The website became operational from July 1, 2004. The Company amortized \$ 88,326 and \$68,955 in the accompanying financial statements at December 31, 2006 and 2005 respectively. The balance at December 31, 2006 amounts to \$38,978.

The intangible asset will be fully amortized in the year 2007.

**NOTE 4**

**ACCOUNTS PAYABLE AND ACCRUED EXPENSES**

Accounts payable, accrued expenses and litigation accrual consist of the following:

Trade payable	\$ 597,687
Payable to clients	591,609
Accrued interest	35,819
Income tax payable	8,655
Accrued payroll	6,039

Accrued payroll tax	6,588
Accrued expenses	26,886
Accrued vacation and sick time	13,111
Equipment payable	1,115
Other payable	40,285
	-----
Total accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$1,327,796
	=====

**NOTE 5**

**LINES OF CREDIT**

The Company has two revolving lines of credit from two financial institutions for \$50,000 and \$75,000. The credit lines are unsecured and bear an annual interest rate of 10.75% and 16.24%, respectively. The credit lines are personally guaranteed by the CEO of the Company. The Company has borrowed \$22,412 and \$ 74,006 from the credit lines as of December 31, 2006.

**NOTE 6**

**NOTES PAYABLE**

Notes payable are summarized as follows:

	2006
Equipment loan: May 2003 due April 2008; payable in monthly installments of \$1,030; annual interest of 14%; secured by equipment Long Term	\$ 18,938
Callable convertible secured note	\$ 1,300,000

The Company recorded interest expense of \$ 65,228 and \$ 45,905 for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 respectively.

The Company had note payable of \$350,000, which was settled on July 3, 2006 from the funds received from the new Convertible Promissory Notes. Interest payment of \$68,353 was paid on August 14, 2006 from the Second trench funds received from the new Convertible Promissory Notes. (See note 7 for details). The Company recorded \$381,684 as change in fair value of derivative liability.

The Company had another note payable of \$75,258 which was also settled during the year along with interest payment of \$10,742.

**NOTE 7 CALLABLE CONVERTIBLE SECURED NOTE AND SECURITIES**

**PURCHASE AGREEMENT**

On June 27, 2006, the Company entered into a Securities Purchase Agreement (the Securities Purchase Agreement ) with New Millennium Capital Partners II, LLC, AJW Qualified Partners, LLC, AJW Offshore, Ltd. and AJW Partners, LLC (collectively, the Investors ). Under the terms of the Securities Purchase Agreement, the Investors

purchased an aggregate of (i) \$2,000,000 in callable convertible secured notes (the Notes ) and (ii) warrants to purchase 50,000,000 shares of our common stock (the Warrants ).

Pursuant to the Securities Purchase Agreement, the Investors purchased the Notes and Warrants in three tranches as set forth below:

1. At closing, on July 1, 2006 ( Closing ), the Investors purchased Notes aggregating \$700,000 and warrants to purchase 17,500,000 shares based on the prorata shares of our common stock;

F-16

---



2. On August 8, 2006 the investors purchased Notes aggregating \$600,000 and warrants to purchase 15,000,000 shares based on the prorated shares of our common stock and,
3. Upon effectiveness of the Registration Statement, the Investors will purchase Notes aggregating \$700,000. The Company has withdrawn the third trench as the Registration Statement was not effective to bring more funds into the Company.

The Notes carry an interest rate of 6% and a maturity date of June 27, 2009. The notes are convertible into our common shares at the Applicable Percentage of the average of the lowest three (3) trading prices for our shares of common stock during the twenty (20) trading day period prior to conversion. The Applicable Percentage means 50%; provided, however, that the Applicable Percentage shall be increased to (i) 55% in the event that a Registration Statement is filed within thirty days of the closing and (ii) 60% in the event that the Registration Statement becomes effective within one hundred and twenty days from the Closing.

The Company has an option to prepay the Notes in the event that no event of default exists, there are a sufficient number of shares available for conversion of the Notes and the market price is at or below \$.05 per share. In addition, in the event that the average daily price of the common stock, as reported by the reporting service, for each day of the month ending on any determination date is below \$.05, the Company may prepay a portion of the outstanding principal amount of the Notes equal to 101% of the principal amount hereof divided by thirty-six (36) plus one month's interest. Exercise of this option will stay all conversions for the following month. The full principal amount of the Notes is due upon default under the terms of Notes. In addition, the Company has granted the investors a security interest in substantially all of its assets and intellectual property as well as registration rights.

The Company simultaneously issued to the Investors seven year warrants to purchase 32,500,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$.07.

The Investors have contractually agreed to restrict their ability to convert the Notes and exercise the Warrants and receive shares of the Company's common stock such that the number of shares of the Company's common stock held by them and their affiliates after such conversion or exercise does not exceed 4.99% of the then issued and outstanding shares of the Company's common stock.

The Company has received the \$ 1,300,000 through December 31, 2006.

F-17

---

The Company amortized the entire unamortized beneficial conversion feature amount of \$ 1,103,741 as of December 31, 2006 .due to the default on the note.

The Company prepaid lender attorney fees and broker commission of \$ 180,000. The Company amortized the entire amount of \$ 180,000 as of December 31, 2006 due to the default on the note..

Following assumptions have been used to estimate the fair value of warrants at the date of issuance of note:

Warrants	
Expected life	7 years
Volatility	98%
Dividend yield	0%
Risk free rate	4.5%

Following assumptions have been used to estimate the fair value of warrants and beneficial conversion feature as of December 31, 2006:

Warrants	
Expected life	6.8 years
Volatility	98%
Dividend yield	0%
Risk free rate	4.5%

The Company is in default of the note as its registration statement has not become effective as stipulated by the agreement. The note is immediately due and payable and has been shown as a current liability in the accompanying financials. The Company has accrued interest on the note at the default interest rate of 15%.

The Company accrued interest of \$35,819 on the note during the year ended December 31, 2006.

The Company computed the embedded beneficial conversion liability of \$ 1,300,000 and warrant liability of \$ 438,482 based on Black Scholes model. These amounts have been reflected on the financials as derivative liability in amount of \$ 1,738,482.

F-18

---

**NOTE 8**

**STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIENCY**

**Common Stock**

The Company increased its authorized capital to 750,000,000 shares from 50,000,000 shares of common stock \$0.001 par value as of December 31, 2006. The Company currently has 33,960,450 common shares issued and outstanding.

During the year ended December 31, 2006, the Company issued 300 shares to consultants for services rendered. The Company recorded expense in the books based on the market value of the shares on the date of issuance of shares to the consultants.

The Company recorded \$38,750 as officer compensation for 1,000,000 shares to be issued pursuant to the employment agreement. The officer is entitled to 1,000,000 shares every year pursuant to the employment agreement. The value of the stock is based on the fair market value at the date of service.

During 2005, the Company issued 905,000 restricted Common Shares to various consultants valued at \$117,255 for business consulting and advisory services. The Company has expensed \$ 65,644 and has recorded the prepaid consulting expenses of \$ 51,611 based on the term of the consulting agreements. The prepaid consulting expenses will be amortized over the term of the consulting contracts.

During 2005, the Company issued 600,000 shares to the officer of the Company pursuant to her employment agreement valued at \$ 42,000. The Company has 400,000 shares to be issued to the officer valued at \$28,500 as of December 31, 2005.

During 2005, the Company issued 15,000 shares for cash amounting to \$ 5,000.

During 2005, the Company entered into a settlement agreement for the payment of the note by authorizing the payment of \$ 100,000 in cash and issuance of 1,500,000 restricted shares of the Company. The Company paid \$

43,500 in cash during the year. The Company valued the shares based on the market value of the shares on agreement date. The shares have been valued at \$ 150,000.

### **Class B Preferred Stock**

The Company's Articles of Incorporation (Articles ) authorize the issuance of 50,000,000 shares of no par value Class B Preferred Stock. No shares of Preferred Stock are currently issued and outstanding. Under the Company's Articles, the Board of Directors has the power, without further action by the holders of the Common Stock, to designate the relative rights and preferences of the preferred stock, and issue the preferred stock in such one or more series as designated by the Board of Directors. The designation of rights and preferences could include preferences as to liquidation, redemption and conversion rights, voting rights, dividends or other preferences, any of which may be dilutive of the interest of the holders of the Common Stock or the Preferred Stock of any other series. The issuance of Preferred Stock may have the effect of delaying or preventing a change in control of the Company without further shareholder action and may adversely affect the rights and powers, including voting rights, of the holders of Common Stock. In certain circumstances, the issuance of preferred stock could depress the market price of the Common Stock.

### **NOTE 9**

#### **COMMITMENTS**

During 2006, the Company leased its corporate offices space in Upland, California and in Lincoln, Rhode Island under operating lease agreements. The Upland facility lease calls for a monthly rent of \$3,387. The Upland facility's operating lease expired in November 2006. Rent expenses under operating leases for the year ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 were \$ 40,644 and \$48,629. The Company is on a month to month lease as of December 31, 2006.

### **NOTE 10**

#### **INCOME TAXES**

Income tax expense (benefit) for the year ended December 31, 2006 is summarized as follows:

	<b>2006</b>
Current:	
Federal	\$

State		2,400
	\$	2,400
Deferred:		
Federal	\$	660,000
State		117,000
		777,00
Valuation allowance		(777,000 )
	\$	

The following is a reconciliation of the provision for income taxes at the U.S. federal income tax rate to the income taxes reflected in the Consolidated Statements of Operations:

	<b>December 31,</b> <b>2006</b>	<b>December 31,</b> <b>2005</b>
Tax expense (credit) at statutory rate-federal	(34 )%	(34 )%
State tax expense net of federal tax	(6 )	(6 )
Changes in valuation allowance	(40 )	(40 )
Tax expense at actual rate		

The tax effects of temporary differences that gave rise to significant portions of deferred tax assets and liabilities at December 31, 2006 are as follows:

At December 31, 2006, the Company had net operating loss carry forwards of approximately \$4,363,000 for U.S. federal income tax purposes available to offset future taxable income expiring on various dates through 2020.

#### **NOTE 11**

##### **CONCENTRATIONS OF CREDIT RISK AND MAJOR CUSTOMERS**

The three major customers of the Company provided \$708,369 or 70% of the revenues of the Company for the year ended December 31, 2006. The two major customers of the Company provided \$1,095,683 or 70% of the revenues of the Company for the year ended December 31, 2005. There are no accounts receivable from any of the major customers as of December 31, 2006.

#### **NOTE 12**

##### **GOING CONCERN**

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles which contemplate continuation of the company as a going concern. The Company had a loss of \$ 2,997,584, a working capital deficiency of \$5,144,299, stockholders' deficit of \$ 5,060,515, an accumulated deficit of \$ 6,671,589 and cash used in operations of \$ 94,588. In view of the matters described above, recoverability of a major portion of the recorded asset amounts shown in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet is dependent upon continued operations of the company, which in turn is dependent upon the Company's ability to raise additional capital, obtain financing and succeed in its future operations. The financial statements do not include any adjustments relating to the recoverability and classification of recorded asset amounts or amounts and classification of liabilities that might be necessary should the Company be unable to continue as a going concern.

Management has taken the following steps to revise its operating and financial requirements, which it believes are sufficient to provide the Company with the ability to continue as a going concern. The Company is actively pursuing additional funding and seeking new clients for medical billings, which would enhance stockholders' investment. Management believes that the above actions will allow the Company to continue operations through the next fiscal year.



**NOTE 13**

**LITIGATION**

The Company is The Company is currently plaintiff to two and defendant to two law suits. The Company filed claims for non payment of fees by former clients due to clients diverted funds billed by company and did not pay Billing fees.

F-20

---

1. On July 12, 2004, Nimish Shah, M.D. d/b/a New Horizon Medical, Inc. ( New Horizon ) initiated a lawsuit against the Company in the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Case No. VC 042695, styled New Horizon Medical, Inc. v. HBSGI, et al. In connection with arbitration, the Company has claimed against New Horizon the compensatory damages in the amount of \$75,000 (subject to amendment), prejudgment interest, costs and attorneys fees in an unspecified amount. New Horizon has not submitted a cross-complaint against the Company for the breach of contract alleging that there is substantial discrepancy between the amounts of bills provided by New Horizon to the Company, for the purpose of securing payment from various insurance companies, and the funds actually received from the Company. This matter was dismissed by arbitrator for non payment of arbitrator s fee.

2 In January 2004, Claimant Leonard J. Soloniuk, MD initiated an arbitration against HBSGI with the American Arbitration Association, Case No. 72 193 00102 04 TMS, styled Leonard J. Soloniuk, MD v. HBSGI

In a decision dated April 5, 2006, the arbitrator awarded HBSGI nothing against Soloniuk. The arbitrator further awarded Soloniuk \$ 275,000 against the HBSGI as well as interest accruing from June 1, 2006, at the rate of ten percent per annum on the unpaid balance. The arbitrator further ordered HBSGI to reimburse Soloniuk costs in the amount of \$ 1,875. Company argues that of this \$275,000, \$210,000 was already paid to Soloniuk since November 4, 2002, last date of payment were considered by arbitrator and therefore the judgment should be reduced accordingly. The Company can provide no assurances that it will be successful in this argument.

3. Company recently filed new legal actions against Soloniuk for fraud, deception, and intentional non disclosure of money received from HBSGI collection to the arbitration hearing to gain advantage. Company also filed an application of injunction to prevent Soloniuk to use HBSGI billing method. Hearing is set for May 10, 2007. Company is suing Soloniuk for \$750,000 plus cost of lawsuit.

4. On September 20, 1999, Mohammad Tariq, MD was granted a default judgment in the District Court of Collin County, Texas, 380th Judicial District in the amount of \$280,835.10, plus prejudgment and post-judgment interest against Healthcare Business Services Group, Inc., d/b/a/ Peacock Healthcare.

Kamran Ghadimi bought the Tariq judgment in April 28, 2006 and pursuing collection in California.

This matter was settled on November 8, 2006 for \$185,000. The Company paid \$140,000 out of \$185,000 and making payments monthly for \$3000.00. As of filing this report company owes 15 months of payment equal to \$45,000. Case was dismissed in 2007.

F-21

---

From time to time, we may become party to litigation or other legal proceedings that we consider to be a part of the ordinary course of our business. Other than the legal proceedings listed below, we are not currently involved in legal proceedings that could reasonably be expected to have a material adverse effect on our business, prospects, financial condition or results of operations. However, we may become involved in material legal proceedings in the future.

Healthcare filed a collection action against Frank Zondlo, and Zondlo also filed across-complaint against Healthcare. The matter is now in the discovery and law and motion stage.

#### **NOTE 14**

##### **RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS**

During the year 2006, the Company accrued 1,000,000 shares to be issued to the officer of the Company pursuant to her employment agreement valued at \$ 38,750.

#### **NOTE 15 RESTATEMENTS**

Subsequent to the issuance of the Company's financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2004 and December 31, 2005, the Company determined that certain transactions and presentation in the financial statements had not been accounted for properly in the Company's financial statements. Specifically, the amount of derivative liability arising from the issuance of convertible notes was not recorded, accounting for issuance of shares to consultant for work done as part of reverse acquisition was understated, accounting for sale of land to the majority owner of the Company was erroneously recorded as gain.

The Company has restated its financial statements for these adjustments as of December 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004.

The effect of the restatement is as follows:

F-22

---

## BALANCE SHEET AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2004

LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDER S DEFICIT	AS PREVIOUSLY REPORTED	AS RESTATED
<b>CURRENT LIABILITIES</b>		
Derivative liability	\$ 0	\$ 143,213
<b>STOCKHOLDER S DEFICIT</b>		
Additional paid-in-capital	\$ 537,868	\$ 936,754
Accumulated deficit	\$ (1,895,609)	\$ (2,437,708)

## STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS

## FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2004

Consulting expenses	\$ 524,278	\$ 923,164
General & administration expense	\$ 2,346,946	\$ 2,490,160

## BALANCE SHEET AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2005

LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDER S DEFICIT	AS PREVIOUSLY REPORTED	AS RESTATED
<b>CURRENT LIABILITIES</b>		
Derivative liability	\$ 0	\$ 88,248
<b>STOCKHOLDER S DEFICIT</b>		
Additional paid-in-capital	\$ 849,103	\$ 1,509,852
Accumulated deficit	(2,925,008)	(3,674,005)

## STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS

## FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2005

Gain on sale of land	\$ 261,863	\$ 0
General & administration expense	\$ 1,813,102	\$ 1,758,137

F-23

---

**ITEM 8.**

**CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS**

We have had no disagreements with our independent accountants.

**ITEM 8A.**

**CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**

The Company maintains a set of disclosure controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports filed under the Securities Exchange Act, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified by the SEC's rules and forms. Disclosure controls are also designed with the objective of ensuring that this information is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including the Company's chief executive officer and chief financial officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Our management does not expect that our disclosure controls or internal controls over financial reporting will prevent all errors or all instances of fraud. A control system, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the control system's objectives will be met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within our company have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Because of the inherent limitation of a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

Based upon their evaluation as of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company's chief executive officer and chief financial officer concluded that, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are not effective to ensure that information required to be included in the Company's periodic SEC filings is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC rules and forms.

This deficiency consisted primarily of inadequate staffing and supervision that could lead to the untimely identification and resolution of accounting and disclosure matters and failure to perform timely and effective reviews.



However, the size of the Company prevents us from being able to employ sufficient resources to enable us to have adequate segregation of duties within our internal control system. Management is required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

**ITEM 8B.**

**OTHER INFORMATION**

None.

**PART III**

**ITEM 9.**

**DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS, PROMOTERS AND CONTROL PERSONS;**

**COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 16(A) OF THE EXCHANGE ACT**

Directors are elected by the stockholders to a term of one year and serves until his or her successor is elected and qualified. Officers are appointed by the Board of Directors to a term of one year and serves until his or her successor is duly elected and qualified, or until he or she is removed from office. Our Board of Directors has no nominating, auditing or compensation committees.

The following table sets forth certain information regarding our executive officers and directors as of the date of this report:

<b>Name</b>	<b>Age</b>	<b>Position</b>
Chandana Basu	50	Chief Executive Officer, Treasurer and Director
Narinder Grewal, M.D.	53	Director
Bharati Shah, M.D.	59	Director

The above listed officers and directors will serve until the next annual meeting of the shareholders or until their death, resignation, retirement, removal, or disqualification, or until their successors have been duly elected and qualified. Vacancies in the existing Board of Directors are filled by majority vote of the remaining Directors. Officers of the Company serve at the will of the Board of Directors. To the Company's knowledge, there are no agreements or understandings for any officer or director to resign at the request of another person nor is any officer or director acting on behalf of or is to act at the direction of any other person other than in his fiduciary capacity of and for the benefit of the Company and at its direction.

Set forth below is certain biographical information regarding our executive officers and directors:

**Chandana Basu Chief Executive Officer, Treasurer and Director**

Chandana Basu has served as our Chief Executive Officer and Treasurer since May 2004, after we acquired Healthcare Business Services Group, Inc. ( HBSGI ), a full-service medical billing agency and our wholly-owned subsidiary. She has served as our director since November 12, 2004. Ms. Basu incorporated HBSGI in December 1994. Ms. Basu has operated HBSGI for the past 14 years. Ms. Basu has been grown HBSGI from a core client base of doctors and hospitals in California, Florida, Washington State and Texas without the use of consistent marketing or advertising. Ms. Basu has over 14 years of experience in medical bill collecting from insurance companies. Ms. Basu also has over 14 years of experience in computer design and programming. Ms. Basu is the CEO and President of AutoMed Software Corp. and the Manager of Silver Shadow Properties, LLC, both of our wholly-owned subsidiaries. Ms. Basu received a Bachelors Degree with majors in Math, Physics and Chemistry from Bethune College in 1975. She attended the Computer Learning Center during 1978. She also received specialized education in medical billing, anesthesia billing and attended various pain management conferences. Ms. Basu is a Technical Exhibitor for the American Association of Anesthesiology.

**Nariunder Grewal, M.D. Director**

Narinder Grewal, M.D., an anesthesiologist, pain management specialist, has been a self-employed Medical Doctor for the last fifteen years. He also owns and operates a surgery center. Dr. Grewal has concurrently served as our director since May 2004. Dr. Grewal brings experience with surgical center development and management from a medical and administrative perspective. Dr. Grewal has an eight year relationship with us and is our largest client as well. We generate approximately 30% of our revenues from the services that we provide to Dr. Grewal, and as a result, Dr. Grewal is our largest client. Dr. Grewal is licensed to practice medicine in the State of California. Dr. Grewal received a degree in medicine from Patiala University in Punjab, India.

**Bharati Shah, M.D. - Director**

Bharati Shah, an anesthesiologist and pain management specialist, is currently the President of her own medical practice, B. Shah, M.D., Inc., doing business as Comprehensive Pain Medical Clinic. Dr. Shah has operated her own medical practice since 1980. Dr. Shah has concurrently served as our director since May 2004. Dr. Shah will be an ambassador for us in the medical community and a credible marketing tool at conferences and association meetings. Dr. Shah will provide vital physician input about new services and products to be explored by us. Dr. Shah is licensed to practice medicine in the State of California. Dr. Shah received her MB BS degrees from Bombay University in 1971. She has received specialized education in anesthesiology and pain management. Dr. Shah is a member of the American College of advancement in Medicine. We also provide services to Dr. Shah. We receive less than 5% of our revenue from Dr. Shah.

Dr. Shah, Chandana Basu and Dr. Grewal have not been named to any of our committees of our Board of Directors, and any committees of our Board of Directors to which Dr. Shah, Ms. Basu or Dr. Grewal may be named have not been determined, as of the filing of this registration statement.

**Family Relationships**

None.

**Board Committees**

We currently have no compensation committee or other board committee performing equivalent functions. Currently, all members of our board of directors participate in discussions concerning executive officer compensation.

**Involvement on Certain Material Legal Proceedings During the Last Five Years**

No director, officer, significant employee or consultant has been convicted in a criminal proceeding, exclusive of traffic violations.

No bankruptcy petitions have been filed by or against any business or property of any director, officer, significant employee or consultant of the Company nor has any bankruptcy petition been filed against a partnership or business association where these persons were general partners or executive officers.

No director, officer, significant employee or consultant has been permanently or temporarily enjoined, barred, suspended or otherwise limited from involvement in any type of business, securities or banking activities.

No director, officer or significant employee has been convicted of violating a federal or state securities or commodities law.

### **Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance**

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, requires the Company's directors and executive officers, and persons who beneficially own more than 10% of a registered class of the Company's equity securities, to file reports of beneficial ownership and changes in beneficial ownership of the Company's securities with the SEC on Forms 3 (Initial Statement of Beneficial Ownership), 4 (Statement of Changes of Beneficial Ownership of Securities) and 5 (Annual Statement of Beneficial Ownership of Securities). Directors, executive officers and beneficial owners of more than 10% of the Company's Common Stock are required by SEC regulations to furnish the Company with copies of all Section 16(a) forms that they file. Except as otherwise set forth herein, based solely on review of the copies of such forms furnished to the Company, or written representations that no reports were required, the Company believes that for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005 beneficial owners did not comply with Section 16(a) filing requirements applicable to them to the extent they filed all form required under Section 16(a) in February 2005 and had no trading activity in 2005.

**Code of Ethics**

We have not adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics that applies to our principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller, or persons performing similar functions in that our sole officer and director serves in all the above capacities.

**ITEM 10.****EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION**

Name and Principal Position	Fiscal Year	Annual Compensation			Restricted Stock Compensation	Long-Term Compensation Securities Underlying Options
		Salary	Bonus	Other Annual Award(s)		
Chandana Basu (1) Chief Executive Officer, Treasurer and Director	2006	\$ 60,000 (1)	\$ 540,000 (1)	600,000 (3)	0	0
	2005	\$ 60,000 (2)	\$ 540,000 (2)	0	0	0

(1) Chandana Basu receives a salary of \$5,000 per month and a minimum bonus of \$45,000 per month pursuant to an employment agreement with Healthcare.

(2) Chandana Basu receives a salary of \$5,000 per month and a minimum bonus of \$45,000 per month pursuant to an employment agreement with Healthcare.

(3) We also issued 600,000 shares of common stock valued at \$42,000 to Ms. Basu pursuant to her employment agreement with us. The employment agreement provides for the issuance of 1,000,000 shares of common stock for

each year. As of December 31, 2006, 400,000 shares of common stock valued at \$28,500 remain issuable to her.

---

**SIGNATURES**

In accordance with Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act, the registrant caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereto duly authorized.

**HEALTHCARE BUSINESS SERVICES GROUP, INC.**

<u>Signature</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Date</u>
/s/ Chandana Basu Chandana Basu	Chief Executive Officer, President and Chief Financial Officer	April 16, 2007

In accordance with the Exchange Act, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

**HEALTHCARE BUSINESS SERVICES GROUP, INC.**

<u>Signature</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Date</u>
/s/ Chandana Basu Chandana Basu	Chief Executive Officer and President	April 16, 2007
/s/ Chandana Basu Chandana Basu	Secretary/Treasurer Chief Financial Officer	April 16, 2007