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PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

> MARSHALL \& ILSLEY CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (Unaudited) (\$000's except share data)

|  | As Adjusted Note |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June 30, | December 31, | June |
| 2006 | 2005 | 20 |

## Assets

Cash and cash equivalents:
Cash and due from banks
Federal funds sold and security resale agreements
Money market funds
Total cash and cash equivalents

Investment securities:
Trading securities, at market value
54,928
29,779
Interest bearing deposits at other banks
18,252
6,627,287
Held to maturity, market value $\$ 559,869$
(\$638,135 December 31, 2005 and $\$ 698,534$ June 30, 2005)
547,686
-------------

Total investment securities
Loans held for sale
157,041
277,847
$\$$

40,659
5,701,703
618,554
$7,248,153$
$6,390,695$

Loans and leases:
Loans and leases, net of unearned income
Less: Allowance for loan and lease losses

Net loans and leases

Premises and equipment, net
Goodwill and other intangibles
Accrued interest and other assets
$40,230,299$
415,201
------------
$33,889,066$
363,769
-----------
$33,525,297$

31,95
36
-----59
31,54
2,15
1,56
Total Assets
$\$ \quad 54,418,866 \$ 46,212,717 \$ 43,48$
$====================================0$

```
Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity
Deposits:
```



See notes to financial statements.
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MARSHALL \& ILSLEY CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (Unaudited)
(\$000's except per share data)

|  | As Adjusted Note 11 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Three Months | Three Months |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ended June } 30, \\ & 2006 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ended June } 30, \\ 2005 \end{gathered}$ |

Interest and fee income


Interest expense
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CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (Unaudited)
(\$000's except per share data)
$\left.\begin{array}{cc}\text { As Adjusted } \\ \text { Note 11 }\end{array}\right\}$

| Loans and leases | \$ | 1,303,126 | \$ | 893,695 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Investment securities: |  |  |  |  |
| Taxable |  | 128,776 |  | 105,208 |
| Exempt from federal income taxes |  | 31,748 |  | 31,510 |
| Trading securities |  | 268 |  | 116 |
| Short-term investments |  | 8,576 |  | 3,638 |
| Total interest and fee income |  | 1,472,494 |  | 1,034,167 |
| Interest expense |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits |  | 469,718 |  | 226,293 |
| Short-term borrowings |  | 82,459 |  | 50,353 |
| Long-term borrowings |  | 221,680 |  | 145,658 |
| Total interest expense |  | 773,857 |  | 422,304 |
| Net interest income |  | 698,637 |  | 611,863 |
| Provision for loan and lease losses |  | 22,048 |  | 21,851 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan and lease losses |  | 676,589 |  | 590,012 |
| Other income |  |  |  |  |
| Data processing services |  | 687,956 |  | 565,297 |
| Wealth management |  | 109,108 |  | 95,197 |
| Service charges on deposits |  | 47,555 |  | 47,056 |
| Gains on sale of mortgage loans |  | 20,960 |  | 16,426 |
| Other mortgage banking revenue |  | 3,776 |  | 2,945 |
| Net investment securities gains |  | 2,090 |  | 35,276 |
| Life insurance revenue |  | 14,374 |  | 14,264 |
| Other |  | 71,324 |  | 60,320 |
| Total other income |  | 957,143 |  | 836,781 |
| Other expense |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries and employee benefits |  | 584,463 |  | 514,051 |
| Net occupancy |  | 50,579 |  | 43,153 |
| Equipment |  | 71,052 |  | 61,086 |
| Software expenses |  | 34,786 |  | 27,495 |
| Processing charges |  | 56,599 |  | 28,442 |
| Supplies and printing |  | 12,595 |  | 12,287 |
| Professional services |  | 25,485 |  | 23,688 |
| Shipping and handling |  | 45,571 |  | 36,386 |
| Amortization of intangibles |  | 20,879 |  | 16,198 |
| Other |  | 147,380 |  | 140,058 |
| Total other expense |  | 1,049,389 |  | 902,844 |


| Income before income taxes | 584,343 |  |  | 523,949 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Provision for income taxes |  | 193,826 |  | 174,888 |
| Net income | \$ | 390,517 | \$ | 349,061 |
| Net income per common share |  |  |  |  |
| Basic | \$ | 1.60 | \$ | 1.53 |
| Diluted |  | 1.57 |  | 1.50 |
| Dividends paid per common share | \$ | 0.510 | \$ | 0.450 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding (000's) : |  |  |  |  |
| Basic Diluted |  | 244,088 |  | 228,097 |

See notes to financial statements.
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> MARSHALL \& ILSLEY CORPORATION
> CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited) $(\$ 000 ' \mathrm{~s})$

Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities
Cash Flows From Investing Activities:
 2006
\$ 436,972

Six Months
Ended June 30, 2005
------------------
$\$ \quad 349,951$

82,409
575,259
58,468
$(857,602)$
$(2,526,610)$
$(142,589)$
100,071
$(18,022)$
$(15,910)$
3,270
$(2,741,256)$
$(369,087)$
2,566,764
$(2,582,429)$
1,197,465
2,030,041
$(123,569)$
$(102,567)$
--
36,372 $(5,201)$

2,647,789
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period

Supplemental cash flow information:
Cash paid during the period for:
Interest

Income taxes
See notes to financial statements.
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MARSHALL \& ILSLEY CORPORATION
Notes to Financial Statements
June 30, 2006 \& 2005 (Unaudited)
$\$ \quad 688,262 \quad \$ \quad 355,298$


174,028
169,032
audited consolidated financial statements should be The accompanying u in conjunction with Marshall \& Ilsley Corporation's ("M\&I" or "Corporation") Annual Report on Form $10-\mathrm{K}$ for the year ended December 31, 2005. The unaudited financial information included in this report reflects all adjustments consisting of normal recurring accruals and the adjustments as discussed in Note 11 which are necessary for a fair statement of the financial position and results of operations as of and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 . The results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 are not necessarily indicative of results to be expected for the entire year. Certain amounts in the 2005 consolidated financial statements and analyses have been reclassified to conform with the 2006 presentation.
2. New Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued FASB Interpretation No. 48 ("FIN 48") Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109. FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in financial statements in accordance with FASB Statement No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. FIN 48 prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. FIN 48 also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition.

The provisions of FIN 48 are effective beginning January 1, 2007, with the cumulative effect of the change in accounting principle recorded as an adjustment to opening retained earnings. The Corporation is currently evaluating the financial statement impact, if any, of adopting FIN 48.

On June 28, 2006, the FASB ratified EITF Issue No. 06-3, How Taxes Collected from Customers and Remitted to Governmental Authorities Should Be Presented in the Income Statement (That Is, Gross versus Net Presentation). Certain taxes such as sales taxes and other excise taxes are levied by various taxing authorities based on sales activity. Although generally levied on the purchaser of the goods or services, the selling party usually collects and remits the sales tax to the government. However, in certain jurisdictions sales taxes are levied on
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sellers of the goods and services as opposed to the purchasers. Under this consensus these taxes may be presented gross as revenue and an offsetting expense or may be presented net and excluded from revenue. The guidance in this consensus is effective January 1, 2007 with early application permitted. This consensus will not impact the Corporation's results of operations or financial position and the Corporation will continue to report these taxes on a net basis. Taxes subject to this consensus primarily relate to the Corporation's data processing subsidiary, Metavante.
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MARSHALL \& ILSLEY CORPORATION
Notes to Financial Statements - Continued June 30, 2006 \& 2005 (Unaudited)
3. Comprehensive Income

The following tables present the Corporation's comprehensive income (\$000's):


Three Months Ended June 30, 2005 As Adjusted

| Before-Tax | Tax (Expense) | Net-of-Tax |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amount | Benefit | Amount |

Other comprehensive income:
Unrealized gains (losses) on securities:
Arising during the period
Reclassification for securities
transactions included in net income

MARSHALL \& ILSLEY CORPORATION
Notes to Financial Statements - Continued June 30, 2006 \& 2005 (Unaudited)
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MARSHALL \& ILSLEY CORPORATION
Notes to Financial Statements - Continued June 30, 2006 \& 2005 (Unaudited)
4. A reconciliation of the numerators and denominators of the basic and diluted per share computations are as follows (dollars and shares in thousands, except per share data):

Three Months Ended June 30, 2006

| Income (Numerator) | Average Shares (Denominator) | Per Share Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

Basic Earnings Per Share
Income Available to Common Shareholders $\$ 203,749 \quad 252,764$ \$ 0.81
Effect of Dilutive Securities
Stock Options, Restricted Stock
and Other Plans $\quad--\quad 5,534$

Diluted Earnings Per Share
Income Available to Common Shareholders $\$ 203,749$ 298 \$ 0.79

Three Months Ended June 30, 2005
As Adjusted

Income Average Shares Per Share


MARSHALL \& ILSLEY CORPORATION
Notes to Financial Statements - Continued June 30, 2006 \& 2005 (Unaudited)

Options to purchase shares of common stock not included in the computation of diluted net income per share because the stock options were antidilutive are as follows (shares in thousands):


## 5. Business Combinations

The following acquisitions, which are not considered to be material business combinations individually or in the aggregate, were completed during the second quarter of 2006:

On April 1, 2006, Marshall \& Ilsley Corporation completed the acquisition of Gold Banc Corporation, Inc. ("Gold Banc"), a bank holding company headquartered in Leawood, Kansas, which offers commercial banking, retail banking, trust and asset management products and services through various subsidiaries. Gold Banc had consolidated assets of $\$ 4.2$ billion at the time of the merger. Total consideration in this transaction, including the effect of terminating Gold Banc's employee stock ownership plan, amounted to $\$ 716.2$ million, consisting of $13,673,072$ shares of $\mathrm{M} \& \mathrm{I}$ common stock valued at $\$ 601.0$ million, the exchange of 119,816 vested options valued at $\$ 2.9$ million and total cash consideration of $\$ 112.3$ million. Gold Banc's largest subsidiary, Gold Bank, a Kansas state-chartered bank, was merged with and into M\&I Marshall \& Ilsley Bank on April 1, 2006, at which time the 32 Gold Bank branch offices in Florida, Kansas, Missouri and Oklahoma became interstate branch offices of M\&I Marshall \& Ilsley Bank. Initial goodwill, subject to the completion of appraisals and valuation of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed, amounted to $\$ 493.3$ million. Approximately $\$ 485.4$ million of the goodwill was assigned to the Banking segment and the remainder was assigned to the Corporation's Trust reporting unit. The estimated identifiable intangible asset to be amortized (core deposits) with an estimated weighted average life of 5.0 years amounted to $\$ 44.1$ million. The goodwill and intangibles resulting from this transaction are not deductible for tax purposes.

On April 1, 2006, Marshall \& Ilsley Corporation completed the acquisition of St. Louis-based Trustcorp Financial, Inc. ("Trustcorp"). With the acquisition of Trustcorp, which had consolidated assets of $\$ 735.7$ million at the time of the merger, the Corporation acquired Missouri State Bank and Trust Company, which provides commercial banking services in Missouri through seven bank locations. In July 2006, Missouri State Bank and all of its branches were merged with and into Southwest Bank, the Corporation's St. Louis-based banking affiliate. Total consideration in this transaction amounted to $\$ 182.0$ million, consisting of $3,069,430$ shares of M\&I common stock valued at $\$ 134.9$ million, the exchange of 412,317 vested options valued at $\$ 13.4$ million and cash consideration of $\$ 33.7$ million. Initial goodwill, subject to the completion of appraisals and valuation of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed, amounted to $\$ 129.4$ million. The estimated identifiable intangible asset to be amortized (core deposits) with an estimated weighted average life of 7.5 years amounted to $\$ 10.9$ million. The goodwill and intangibles resulting from this transaction are partially deductible for tax purposes.

The common stock issued and vested options exchanged in the acquistion
of Gold Banc and Trustcorp are non-cash transactions for purposes of the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

Recent acquisition activities
On June 29, 2006, Metavante announced the signing of a definitive agreement to acquire VICOR, Inc. ("VICOR") of Richmond, California. VICOR is a provider of software and solutions that simplify and automate the processing of complex payments for businesses and financial institutions. The acquisition is expected to close in the third quarter of 2006 , pending regulatory approval and other customary closing conditions. The purchase is not expected to have a material impact on the financial results of Metavante or the Corporation.
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MARSHALL \& ILSLEY CORPORATION
Notes to Financial Statements - Continued June 30, 2006 \& 2005 (Unaudited)
6. Selected investment securities, by type, held by the Corporation were as follows (\$000's):

|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { June } 30, \\ 2006 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { December } 31, \\ 2005 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { June } 30, \\ 2005 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Investment securities available for sale: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. treasury and government agencies | \$ | 5,219,287 | \$ | 4,379,148 | \$ | 4,254,711 |
| State and political subdivisions |  | 748,967 |  | 703,892 |  | 671,782 |
| Mortgage backed securities |  | 101,945 |  | 116,464 |  | 144,070 |
| Other |  | 557,088 |  | 502,199 |  | 502,065 |
| Total | \$ | 6,627,287 | \$ | 5,701,703 | \$ | 5,572,628 |
| Investment securities held to maturity: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| State and political subdivisions | \$ | 546,186 | \$ | 616,554 | \$ | 665,807 |
| Other |  | 1,500 |  | 2,000 |  | 2,300 |
| Total | \$ | 547,686 | \$ | 618,554 | \$ | 668,107 |

The following table provides the gross unrealized losses and fair value, aggregated by investment category and the length of time the individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position, at June 30,2006 (\$000's):
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MARSHALL \& ILSLEY CORPORATION
Notes to Financial Statements - Continued June 30, 2006 \& 2005 (Unaudited)
7. The Corporation's loan and lease portfolio, including loans held for sale, consisted of the following (\$000's):

8. Financial Asset Sales

During the second quarter of 2006, automobile loans with principal balances of $\$ 177.7$ million were sold in securitization transactions. The net gains and losses from the sale and securitization of auto loans for the three and six months ended June 30,2006 and 2005, respectively, were not significant. Other income associated with auto securitizations, primarily servicing income, amounted to $\$ 3.0$ million in the current quarter.

Key economic assumptions used in measuring the retained interests at the date of securitization resulting from securitizations completed during the quarter were as follows (rate per annum):

| Prepayment speed (CPR) | $17-42 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Weighted average life (in months) | 20.8 |
| Expected credit losses |  |
| (based on original balance) | $0.36-1.03 \%$ |
| Residual cash flow discount rate |  |
| Variable returns to transferees | Forward one-month LIBOR yield curve |

At June 30, 2006, securitized automobile loans and other automobile loans managed together with them, along with delinquency and credit loss information consisted of the following (\$000's):

|  | Securitized |  | Portfolio |  | Total <br> Managed |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Loan balances | \$ | 1,028,361 | \$ | 152,716 | \$ | 1,181,077 |
| Principal amounts of loans |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60 days or more past due |  | 2,075 |  | 390 |  | 2,465 |
| Net credit losses year to date |  | 1,230 |  | 807 |  | 2,037 |

9. Goodwill and Other Intangibles

The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill for the six months ended June 30, 2006 were as follows (\$000's):

|  | Banking |  | Metavante |  | Others |  | Tot |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Goodwill balance as of January 1, 2006 | \$ | 809,376 | \$ | 1,272,039 | \$ | 7,804 | \$ | 2,08 |
| Goodwill acquired during the period |  | 614,801 |  | 21,767 |  | 21,251 |  | 65 |
| Purchase accounting adjustments |  | (121) |  | $(22,224)$ |  | -- |  | (2 |
| Goodwill balance as of June 30, 2006 | \$ | 424,056 | \$ | 1,271,582 | \$ | 29,055 | \$ | 2,72 |
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MARSHALL \& ILSLEY CORPORATION
Notes to Financial Statements - Continued
June 30, 2006 \& 2005 (Unaudited)
Goodwill acquired during the second quarter of 2006 for the Banking segment includes initial goodwill of $\$ 485.4$ million for the acquisition of Gold Banc and $\$ 129.4$ million in initial goodwill relating to the acquisition of Trustcorp. Goodwill acquired for the Metavante segment includes initial goodwill relating to the acquisition of AdminiSource in the first quarter of 2006. Goodwill for the Others segment includes initial goodwill relating to the acquisition of FirstTrust Indiana of $\$ 13.4$ million in the first quarter of 2006, and initial goodwill allocated to the Trust reporting unit of $\$ 7.9$ million from the acquisition of Gold Banc in the second quarter of 2006.

Purchase accounting adjustments for Metavante for the six months ended June 30, 2006 represent adjustments made to the initial estimates of fair value associated with the acquisitions of Everlink Payment Services, Inc., GHR Systems, Inc., Brasfield, AdminiSource, Med-i-Bank,

Inc., LINK2GOV Corp. and NYCE Corporation ("NYCE") and its affiliate companies. During the first quarter, Metavante received $\$ 29.9$ million as a return of the purchase price associated with the NYCE acquisition. Purchase accounting adjustments for the Banking segment were attributable to the reduction of goodwill allocated to a branch divestiture.

At June 30, 2006, the Corporation's other intangible assets consisted of the following (\$000's):

|  |  |  |  | 30, 200 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Gross Carrying Amount |  | ccum- <br> lated <br> mort- <br> ation |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Ne} \\ \text { Carr } \\ \text { Val } \end{gathered}$ |
| Other intangible assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Core deposit intangible | \$ | 207,805 | \$ | 86,516 | \$ | 12 |
| Data processing contract rights/customer lists |  | 338,608 |  | 45,367 |  | 29 |
| Trust customers |  | 6,750 |  | 1,580 |  |  |
| Tradename |  | 8,275 |  | 996 |  |  |
| Other Intangibles |  | 1,250 |  | 552 |  |  |
|  | \$ | 562,688 | \$ | 135,011 | \$ | 42 |

Mortgage loan servicing rights

Amortization expense of other intangible assets for the three and six months ended June 30,2006 amounted to $\$ 12.0$ million and $\$ 20.9$ million, respectively. Amortization expense of other intangible assets for the three and six months ended June 30,2005 was $\$ 8.1$ million and $\$ 16.2$ million, respectively.

The estimated amortization expense of other intangible assets and mortgage loan servicing rights for the next five annual fiscal years are (\$000's):

| 2007 | \$ | 44,441 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2008 |  | 40,863 |
| 2009 |  | 37,775 |
| 2010 |  | 35,455 |
| 2011 |  | 33,688 |

MARSHALL \& ILSLEY CORPORATION
Notes to Financial Statements - Continued
June 30, 2006 \& 2005 (Unaudited)
10. The Corporation's deposit liabilities consisted of the following (\$000's):

| June 30, | December 31, | June 30, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2006 | 2005 | 2005 |

Noninterest bearing demand
Savings and NOW
Cash flow hedge-Brokered MMDA
Total Savings and NOW
CD's $\$ 100,000$ and over
Cash flow hedge-Institutional CDs
Total CD's $\$ 100,000$ and over
Other time deposits
Foreign deposits

Total deposits

| \$ | 5,773,090 | \$ | 5,525,019 | \$ | 5,088,947 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 11,549,045 \\ (5,633) \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 10,462,831 \\ (5,326) \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 9,999,393 \\ & (2,998) \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 11,543,412 |  | 10,457,505 |  | 9,996,395 |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 8,107,033 \\ (17,419) \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 5,652,359 \\ \quad(13,767) \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 5,980,536 \\ (13,944) \end{array}$ |
|  | 8,089,614 |  | 5,638,592 |  | 5,966,592 |
|  | 4,801,124 |  | 3,434,476 |  | 3,006,936 |
|  | 2,750,552 |  | $2,618,629$ |  | 2,002,570 |
| \$ | 32,957,792 | \$ | 27,674,221 | \$ | 26,061,440 |

## 11. Share-Based Compensation Plans

The Corporation has Equity Incentive Plans which provide for the grant of nonqualified and incentive stock options, stock appreciation rights, rights to purchase shares of restricted stock and the award of restricted stock units to key employees and directors of the corporation at prices ranging from zero to the market value of the shares at the date of grant. The Equity Incentive Plans generally provide for the grant of options to purchase shares of the Corporation's common stock for a period of ten years from the date of grant. Stock options granted generally become exercisable over a period of three years from the date of grant. However, stock options granted to directors of the Corporation vest immediately and stock options granted after 1996 provide accelerated or immediate vesting for grants to individuals who meet certain age and years of service criteria at the date of grant. Restrictions on stock or units issued pursuant to the Equity Incentive Plans generally lapse within a three to seven year period.

The Corporation also has a Long-Term Incentive Plan. Under the plan, performance units may be awarded from time to time. Once awarded, additional performance units will be credited to each participant based on dividends paid by the Corporation on its common stock. At the end of a designated vesting period, participants will receive a cash award equal to the Corporation's average common stock price over the last five days of the vesting period multiplied by some percent ( $0 \%-275 \%$ of the initial performance units credited plus those additional units credited as dividends based on the established performance criteria. The vesting period is three years from the date the performance units were awarded.

The Corporation also has a qualified employee stock purchase plan (the "ESPP") which gives employees who elect to participate in the plan the right to acquire shares of the Corporation's common stock at the purchase price which is 85 percent of the lesser of the fair market value of the Corporation's common stock on the first or last day of the one-year offering period ("look-back feature") which has historically been from July 1 to June 30. Effective July 1, 2006 , the ESPP plan was amended to eliminate the look-back feature and to provide employees who elect to participate in the plan the right to acquire shares of the Corporation's common stock at the purchase price which is 85 percent of the fair market value of the Corporation's common stock on the last day of each three month period within the one-year offering period.

Effective January 1, 2006, the Corporation adopted Statement of
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Financial Accounting Standard No. 123 (revised 2004), Share-Based Payment ("SFAS $123(R)$ "). SFAS $123(R)$ replaces FASB Statement No. 123 Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation ("SFAS 123"), and supercedes Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25 ("APBO 25") Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees. Statement $123(\mathrm{R})$ requires that compensation cost relating to share-based payment transactions be recognized in financial statements. That cost is measured based on the fair value of the equity or liability instruments issued. Statement 123 (R) covers a wide range of share-based compensation arrangements including stock options, restricted stock plans, performance-based awards, stock appreciation rights, and employee stock purchase plans. Statement 123(R) also provides guidance on measuring the fair value of share-based payments awards.

MARSHALL \& ILSLEY CORPORATION
Notes to Financial Statements - Continued
June 30, 2006 \& 2005 (Unaudited)

The Corporation elected the Modified Retrospective Application method to implement this new accounting standard. Under that method, compensation cost is recognized beginning on the effective date based upon (a) the requirements of SFAS $123(R)$ for all share-based payments granted after the effective date and (b) the fair value method of accounting provisions of SFAS 123 for all awards granted to employees prior to the effective date of SFAS $123(R)$ that remain unvested on the effective date.

As permitted under SFAS 123, the Corporation previously recognized compensation cost using the intrinsic value method of accounting prescribed in APBO 25. Under the intrinsic value method, compensation cost is the excess, if any, of the quoted market price of the stock at grant date or other measurement date over the amount paid to acquire the stock. Under APBO 25, no compensation cost was recognized for the nonqualified and incentive stock options because the exercise price was equal to the quoted market price of the Corporation's common stock at the date of grant and therefore, those options had no intrinsic value on the date of grant. Under APBO 25, no compensation cost was recognized for the Corporation's ESPP because the discount (15\%) and the plan met the definition of a qualified plan of the Internal Revenue Code and met the requirements of APBO 25.

Under the fair value method of accounting, compensation cost is measured at the grant date based on the fair value of the award using an optionpricing model that takes into account the stock price at the grant date, the exercise price, the expected life of the option, the volatility of the underlying stock, expected dividends and the risk-free interest rate over the expected life of the option. The resulting compensation cost for stock options that vest is recognized over the service period, which is usually the vesting period. The fair value method of accounting provided under SFAS 123 is generally similar to the fair value method of accounting under SFAS $123(\mathrm{R})$.

Under the Modified Retrospective Application method, in addition to recognizing compensation cost beginning on the effective date, financial statements prior to the effective date have been adjusted based on pro forma amounts previously disclosed under SFAS 123 for all periods for which SFAS 123 was effective.

The impact to Shareholders' equity as a result of applying the Modified Retrospective Application method to adopt SFAS 123 (R) is as follows (\$000's):

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December } 31, \\ 2005 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { June } 30, \\ 2005 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Decrease to Retained Earnings | \$ | $(149,544)$ | \$ | (137, 271) |
| Increase to Additional Paid-in Capital |  | 217,205 |  | 203,021 |
| Net Increase to Shareholders' equity | \$ | 67,661 | \$ | 65,750 |

The net increase to Shareholders' equity represents the deferred income tax benefit outstanding associated with the cumulative effect on net income from January 1, 1995 to December 31, 2005 and June 30, 2005, respectively, from recognizing share-based compensation previously not reported.
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The Corporation's net income and earnings per share as adjusted for the ESPP and stock options is as follows for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005 ( $\$ 000$ 's except per share data):

|  | Three Months Ended June 30, 2005 |  | ```Six Months Ended June 30, 2 0 0 5``` |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net Income, as originally reported | \$ | 188,487 | \$ | 358,067 |
| Less: Stock-based employee compensation expense previously not included in net income under the intrinsic method of accounting, net of tax |  | $(4,742)$ |  | (9,006) |
| Restated net income | \$ | 183,745 |  | \$349,061 |
| Basic earnings per share: |  |  |  |  |
| As originally reported |  | \$0.82 |  | \$1.57 |
| Restated |  | 0.80 |  | 1.53 |
| Diluted earnings per share: |  |  |  |  |
| As originally reported |  | \$0.81 |  | \$1.54 |
| Restated |  | 0.79 |  | 1.50 |

The Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows was not materially impacted.

Activity relating to nonqualified and incentive stock options for the three months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 was:

|  | Weighted- |
| :---: | :---: |
| Average |  |
| Number | Option Price |
| of Shares | Exercise |

Shares under option at March 31, 2005 Options granted
Options lapsed or surrendered
Options exercised

Shares under option at June 30, 2005

Shares under option at March 31, 2006
Options granted
\$15.94-47.02
-------------

| 22,380,226 | \$10.13-44.20 | \$30.86 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 102,750 | $41.72-44.96$ | 42.24 |
| $(77,815)$ | $22.80-41.95$ | 34.72 |
| $(594,584)$ | 10.13-38.25 | 24.50 |
| 21,810,577 | \$13.09-44.96 | \$31.07 |
| 23,806,283 | \$15.94-47.02 | \$33.30 |
| 398,500 | $43.36-45.72$ | 43.99 |
| 532,133 | $5.71-43.67$ | 12.99 |
| $(64,918)$ | $31.72-44.96$ | 42.60 |
| $(779,491)$ | $5.71-42.82$ | 23.22 |
| 23,892,507 | \$ 5.71-47.02 | \$33.32 |

MARSHALL \& ILSLEY CORPORATION
Notes to Financial Statements - Continued
June 30, 2006 \& 2005 (Unaudited)

Activity relating to nonqualified and incentive stock options for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 was:

|  | Number of Shares | Option Price Per Share | WeightedAverage Exercise Price |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shares under option at December 31, 2004 | 22,878,097 | \$10.13-44.20 | \$30.70 |
| Options granted | 153,250 | $40.49-44.96$ | 42.20 |
| Options lapsed or surrendered | $(149,339)$ | $22.80-41.95$ | 36.03 |
| Options exercised | (1,071,431) | $10.13-41.95$ | 23.99 |
| Shares under option at June 30, 2005 | 21,810,577 | \$13.09 - 44.96 | \$31.07 |
| Shares under option at December 31, 2005 | 24,655,317 | \$15.94-47.02 | \$33.09 |
| Options granted | 470,400 | $41.30-45.72$ | 43.92 |
| Vested options exchanged in acquisitions | 532,133 | $5.71-43.67$ | 12.99 |
| Options lapsed or surrendered | $(238,172)$ | $31.72-44.96$ | 41.73 |
| Options exercised | $(1,527,171)$ | $5.71-42.82$ | 24.46 |
| Shares under option at June 30, 2006 | 23,892,507 | \$ $5.71-47.02$ | \$33.32 |

Stock option awards to directors of the Corporation generally occur during the second quarter and stock option awards to employees primarily occur in the fourth quarter. Generally, the Corporation uses shares of treasury stock to satisfy stock options exercised.

The ranges of nonqualified and incentive stock options outstanding at June 30, 2006 were:



#### Abstract

The fair value of each stock option grant was estimated as of the date of grant using the Black-Scholes closed form option-pricing model for stock options granted prior to September 30, 2004. A form of a lattice option-pricing model was used for stock options granted after September 30, 2004 .

The grant date fair values and assumptions used to determine such value are as follows:


Weighted-average grant
date fair value

Assumptions:
Risk-free interest rates
Expected volatility
Expected term (in years)
Expected dividend yield

Three Months Ended June 30,

| 2006 | 2005 |
| :---: | :---: |

$$
\$ 7.93
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 4.87-5.66 \% 3.33-4.45 \% \\
& 18.20 \% \quad 18.50 \% \\
& 6.3-\begin{array}{rr}
6.9 & 5.1-7.8 \\
2.20 \% & \\
2.19 \%
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

Six Months Ended June 30

| 2006 | 2005 |
| :---: | :---: |

$\$ 7.92$
$\$ 8.02$
$\left.\begin{array}{rrrl}4.22 & -5.66 & \% & 3.33\end{array}\right) 4$.
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The total intrinsic value of nonqualified and incentive stock options exercised during the three months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 was $\$ 17.2$ million and $\$ 11.2$ million, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, the total intrinsic value of nonqualified and incentive stock options exercised was $\$ 30.7$ million and $\$ 19.9$ million, respectively. The total fair value of shares vested during the three months ended June 30,2006 and 2005 amounted to $\$ 1.1$ million and $\$ 1.2$ million, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, the total fair value of shares vested amounted to \$1.6 million and \$1.5 million, respectively.

There was approximately $\$ 24.7$ million of total unrecognized compensation expense related to unvested nonqualified and incentive stock options at June 30,2006 . The total unrecognized compensation expense will be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.0 years. For awards with graded vesting, compensation expense was recognized using an accelerated method prior to the adoption of SFAS $123(R)$ and is recognized on a straight line basis for awards granted after the effective date.

For the three months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 the expense for nonqualified and incentive stock options that is included in Salaries and employee benefits expense in the consolidated Statements of Income amounted to $\$ 6.7 \mathrm{million}$ and $\$ 5.9$ million, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 the expense for nonqualified and incentive stock options that is included in Salaries and employee benefits expense in the Consolidated Statements of Income amounted to $\$ 13.3$ million and $\$ 11.7$ million, respectively. These amounts are considered non-cash expenses for the Statements of Cash Flow purposes.

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 the expense for Director's nonqualified and incentive stock options that is included in Other expense in the Consolidated Statements of Income amounted to $\$ 0.6$ million and $\$ 0.7$ million, respectively.

Activity relating to the Corporation's Restricted Stock Purchase Rights was:

```
Restricted stock purchase rights
outstanding - Beginning of Year
Restricted stock purchase rights granted
Restricted stock purchase rights exercised
Restricted stock purchase rights
outstanding - End of Period
```

Weighted-average grant date market value


| 2006 | 2005 |
| :---: | :---: |

Aggregate compensation expense (\$000's)
Unamortized compensation expense (\$000's)

| -- | -- | -- | -- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 44,000 | 7,000 | 51,000 | 14,500 |
| $(44,000)$ | $(7,000)$ | $(51,000)$ | $(14,500)$ |
| ------- | ------- | -------- | ------- |
| $=========$ | $=========$ | $==========$ | $=========$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 44.67$ | $\$ 42.61$ | $\$ 44.54$ | $\$ 41.95$ |
| $\$ 1,377$ | $\$ 977$ | $\$ 2,647$ | $\$ 2,027$ |
| $\$ 12,298$ | $\$ 9,027$ | $\$ 12,298$ | $\$ 9,027$ |

Restrictions on stock issued pursuant to the exercise of stock purchase rights generally lapse within a three to seven year period. Accordingly, the compensation related to issuance of the rights is amortized over the vesting period. At June 30, 2006, the unamortized compensation expense will be recognized over a weighted average period of 1.8 years. Aggregate compensation expense for the three and six months ended June 30,2006 amounted to $\$ 1.4$ million and $\$ 2.6$ million, respectively. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2005, the aggregate compensation expense amounted to $\$ 1.0$ million and $\$ 2.0$ million, respectively. These amounts are considered non-cash expenses for the Statements of Cash Flow purposes.

As participants in the Long-Term Incentive Plan will receive a cash award at the end of the designated vesting period, this plan meets the
definition of a liability award. Unlike equity awards, liability awards are remeasured at fair value at each balance sheet date until settlement. For the three months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 the expense for the Long-Term Incentive Plan that is included in Salaries and employee benefits expense in the Consolidated Statements of Income amounted to $\$ 5.4$ million and $\$ 6.0$ million, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 the expense for the Long-Term Incentive Plan that is included in Salaries and employee benefits expense in the Consolidated Statements of Income amounted to \$3.5 million and $\$ 6.6$ million, respectively.
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Under SFAS $123(\mathrm{R})$, compensation expense is recognized for the ESPP. The compensation cost per share is approximately equal to the sum of: the initial discount ( $15 \%$ of beginning of plan period price per share), plus the value of a one year call option on $85 \%$ of a share of common stock and the value of a one year put option on $15 \%$ of a share of common stock for each share purchased. The compensation cost per share for the ESPP was $\$ 9.96$ and $\$ 8.04$ for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Employee contributions under the ESPP are made ratably during the plan period. Employees may withdraw from the plan prior to the end of the one year offering period. The total estimated shares to be purchased are estimated at the beginning of the plan period based on total expected contributions for the plan period and $85 \%$ of the market price at that date. The Corporation estimated that 346,342 shares would be purchased on July 1, 2006 for the purpose of determining compensation expense. For the three months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 the total expense for the ESPP that is included in Salaries and employee benefits expense in the Consolidated Statements of Income amounted to $\$ 0.9$ million and $\$ 0.7$ million, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 the total expense for the ESPP that is included in Salaries and employee benefits expense in the Consolidated Statements of Income amounted to $\$ 1.8$ million and $\$ 1.4$ million, respectively. These amounts are considered non-cash expenses for the Statements of Cash Flow purposes.

As previously discussed, effective July 1, 2006 the ESPP plan was amended to eliminate the look-back feature and to provide employees, who elect to participate in the plan, the right to acquire shares of the Corporation's common stock at the purchase price, which is 85 percent of the fair market value of the Corporation's common stock on the last day of each three month period within the one-year offering period. The effect of the amendment will be to lower the amount of expense in future quarters. The Corporation estimates that the quarterly savings in expense will be approximately $\$ 0.3$ million.
12. Derivative Financial Instruments and Hedging Activities

The following is an update of the Corporation's use of derivative financial instruments and its hedging activities as described in its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005. Generally there were no substantive changes in the types of derivative financial instruments the Corporation employs or its hedging activities in the six months ended June 30, 2006.

Trading Instruments and Other Free Standing Derivatives

Loan commitments accounted for as derivatives are not material to the Corporation and the Corporation does not employ any formal hedging strategies for these commitments.

Trading and free-standing derivative contracts are not linked to specific assets and liabilities on the balance sheet or to forecasted transactions in an accounting hedge relationship and, therefore, do not qualify for hedge accounting under SFAS 133. They are carried at fair value with changes in fair value recorded as a component of other noninterest income.

At June 30,2006 , free standing interest rate swaps consisted of $\$ 2.1$ billion in notional amount of receive fixed / pay floating with an aggregate negative fair value of $\$ 46.3$ million and $\$ 1.4$ billion in notional amount of pay fixed / receive floating with an aggregate positive fair value of $\$ 44.8$ million.

At June 30,2006 , interest rate caps purchased amounted to $\$ 20.5$ million in notional amount with a positive fair value of $\$ 0.1$ million and interest rate caps sold amounted to $\$ 20.5$ million in notional amount with a negative fair value of $\$ 0.1$ million.

At June 30,2006 , the notional value of interest rate futures designated as trading was $\$ 3.4$ billion with a negative fair value of $\$ 0.3$ million.
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Fair Value Hedges

The Corporation has fixed rate CDs and fixed rate long-term debt which expose the Corporation to variability in fair values due to changes in market interest rates.

To limit the Corporation's exposure to changes in interest rates, the Corporation has entered into received-fixed / pay floating interest rate swaps.

The Corporation structures the interest rate swaps so that all of the critical terms of the fixed rate CDs and fixed rate borrowings match the receive fixed leg of the interest rate swaps at inception of the hedging relationship. As a result, the Corporation expects the hedging relationship to be highly effective in achieving offsetting changes in fair value due to changes in market interest rates both at inception and on an on-going basis.

At June 30, 2006, certain interest rate swaps designated as fair value hedges met the criteria required to qualify for the shortcut method of accounting. Based on the shortcut method of accounting treatment, no ineffectiveness is assumed.

At June 30, 2006, no component of the derivative instruments' gain or loss was excluded from the assessment of hedge effectiveness for derivative financial instruments designated as fair value hedges.

The following table presents additional information with respect to selected fair value hedges.


The impact from fair value hedges to total net interest income for the three and six months ended June 30,2006 was a negative $\$ 1.1$ million and a positive $\$ 0.6$ million, respectively. The impact to net interest income due to ineffectiveness was not material.

Cash Flow Hedges
The Corporation has variable rate loans, deposits and borrowings that expose the Corporation to variability in interest rate payments due to changes in interest rates. The Corporation believes it is prudent to limit the variability of a portion of its interest receipts and payments. To meet this objective, the Corporation enters into various types of derivative financial instruments to manage fluctuations in cash flows resulting from interest rate risk. At June 30, 2006, these instruments consisted of interest rate swaps.

The Corporation regularly originates and holds floating rate commercial loans that reprice monthly on the first business day to one-month LIBOR. As a result, the Corporation's interest receipts are exposed to variability in cash flows due to changes in one-month LIBOR.
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In order to hedge the interest rate risk associated with the floating rate commercial loans indexed to one-month LIBOR, the Corporation has entered into receive fixed / pay LIBOR-based floating interest rate swaps designated as cash flow hedges against the first LIBOR-based interest payments received that, in the aggregate for each period, are interest payments on such principal amount of its then existing LIBORindexed floating-rate commercial loans equal to the notional amount of the interest rate swaps outstanding.

Hedge effectiveness is assessed at inception and each quarter on an on-
going basis using regression analysis that takes into account reset date differences for certain designated interest rate swaps that reset quarterly. Each month the Corporation makes a determination that it is probable that the Corporation will continue to receive interest payments on at least that amount of principal of its existing LIBOR-indexed floating-rate commercial loans that reprice monthly on the first business day to one-month LIBOR equal to the notional amount of the interest rate swaps outstanding. Ineffectiveness is measured using the hypothetical derivative method and is recorded as a component of interest income on loans.

The Corporation regularly issues floating rate institutional CDs indexed to three-month LIBOR. As a result, the Corporation's interest payments are exposed to variability in cash flows due to changes in three-month LIBOR.

In order to hedge the interest rate risk associated with floating rate institutional CDs, the Corporation has entered into pay fixed / receive LIBOR-based floating interest rate swaps designated as cash flow hedges against the interest payments on the forecasted issuance of floating rate institutional CDs.

For certain institutional CDs, hedge effectiveness is assessed at inception and each quarter on an on-going basis using regression analysis that regresses daily observations of three-month LIBOR to itself with a five day mismatch on either side for potential reset date differences between the interest rate swaps and the floating rate institutional CDs. The regression analysis is based on a rolling five years of daily observations. Ineffectiveness is measured using the hypothetical derivative method and is recorded as a component of interest expense on deposits.

The Corporation regularly purchases overnight borrowings indexed to the Federal funds rate. As a result, the Corporation's interest payments are exposed to variability in cash flows due to changes in the Federal funds effective rate.

In order to hedge the interest rate risk associated with overnight borrowings, the Corporation has entered into pay fixed / receive floating interest rate swaps designated as cash flow hedges against interest payments on the forecasted issuance of floating rate overnight borrowings. The floating leg of the interest rate swap resets monthly to the H15 Federal Effective index. The H15 Federal Effective index is not a benchmark rate, therefore hedge effectiveness is assessed at inception and each quarter on an on-going basis using regression analysis. Each month the Corporation makes a determination that it is probable that the Corporation will continue to make interest payments on at least that amount of outstanding overnight floating-rate borrowings equal to the notional amount of the interest rate swaps outstanding. Ineffectiveness is measured using the hypothetical derivative method and is recorded as a component of interest expense on short-term borrowings.

The Corporation structures the remaining interest rate swaps so that all of the critical terms of the LIBOR-based floating rate deposits and borrowings match the floating leg of the interest rate swaps at inception of the hedging relationship. As a result, the Corporation expects those hedging relationships to be highly effective in achieving offsetting changes in cash flows due to changes in market interest rates both at inception and on an on-going basis.

At June 30, 2006, one interest rate swap designated as a cash flow hedge met the criteria required to qualify for the shortcut method of
accounting. Based on the shortcut method of accounting treatment, no ineffectiveness is assumed.

At June 30, 2006, no component of the derivative instruments' gain or loss was excluded from the assessment of hedge effectiveness for derivative financial instruments designated as cash flow hedges.
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The following table summarizes the Corporation's cash flow hedges.


The impact to total net interest income from cash flow hedges, including amortization of terminated cash flow hedges for the three and six months ended June 30,2006 was a positive $\$ 3.8$ million and a positive $\$ 5.7$ million, respectively. The impact due to ineffectiveness was not material.

For the three and six months ended June 30 , 2005, the total effect on net interest income resulting from derivative financial instruments was a positive $\$ 9.1$ million and a positive $\$ 16.1$ million, respectively, including the amortization of terminated derivative financial instruments.
13. Postretirement Health Plan

The Corporation sponsors a defined benefit health plan that provides health care benefits to eligible current and retired employees. Eligibility for retiree benefits is dependent upon age, years of
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service, and participation in the health plan during active service. The plan is contributory and in 1997 and 2002 the plan was amended. Employees hired or retained from mergers after September 1, 1997 will be granted access to the Corporation's plan upon becoming an eligible retiree; however, such retirees must pay $100 \%$ of the cost of health care benefits. The plan continues to contain other cost-sharing features such as deductibles and coinsurance.

Net periodic postretirement benefit costs for the three and six month periods ended June 30,2006 and 2005 included the following components (\$000's):

|  | Three Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  | 2005 |  | 2006 |  | 200 |  |
| Service cost | \$ | 570 | \$ | 552 | \$ | 1,140 | \$ | 1, |
| Interest on APBO |  | 1,022 |  | 1,159 |  | 2,044 |  | 2, |
| Expected return on assets |  | (232) |  | (150) |  | (464) |  |  |
| Prior service amortization |  | (680) |  | (680) |  | $(1,360)$ |  | (1) |
| Actuarial loss amortization |  | 378 |  | 264 |  | 757 |  |  |
| Total postretirement benefit costs | \$ | 1,058 | \$ | 1,145 | \$ | 2,117 | \$ | 2, |

Benefit payments and expenses, net of participant contributions, for the three and six months ended June 30,2006 amounted to $\$ 1.1$ million and \$2.1 million, respectively.
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## 14. Segments

The following represents the Corporation's operating segments as of and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005. Effective January 1, 2006, the Corporation transferred a portion of its Item Processing business from the Banking segment to Metavante. Prior period segment information has been adjusted for the transfer. There have not been any other changes to the way the corporation organizes its segments. Beginning with the third quarter of 2005 , total other income for Metavante includes float income which represents interest income on balances invested in an affiliate bank which arises from Electronic Bill Payment activities. This income was formerly reported as a component of Net Interest Income for Metavante. Segment information for all periods has been adjusted for this reclassification. Fees - intercompany represent intercompany revenue charged to other segments for providing certain services. Expenses - intercompany represent fees charged by other segments for certain services received. For each segment, Expenses - intercompany are not the costs of that segment's reported intercompany revenues. Intrasegment revenues, expenses and assets have been eliminated (\$ in millions):


Three Months Ended June 30, 2005

| Net interest income | Banking | Metavante |  | Others |  | Corporate Overhead |  | ```Reclass- ifications & Elimi- nations``` |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 314.9 | \$ | (9.6) | \$ | 6.8 | \$ | (2.1) | \$ | 3.0 |
| Other income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fees - external | 73.5 |  | 282.3 |  | 70.1 |  | 8.4 |  | -- |
| Fees - internal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fees - intercompany | 15.5 |  | 21.9 |  | 5.6 |  | 21.6 |  | (64.6) |
| Float income - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| intercompany | -- |  | 3.0 |  | -- |  | -- |  | (3.0) |
| Total other income | 89.0 |  | 307.2 |  | 75.7 |  | 30.0 |  | (67.6) |
| Other expense |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Expenses - other | 153.6 |  | 237.6 |  | 33.7 |  | 36.4 |  | (1.4) |
| Expenses - intercompany | 40.7 |  | 10.1 |  | 12.0 |  | 0.4 |  | (63.2) |
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Six Months Ended June 30, 2005


Total revenue, which consists of net interest income plus total other income, by type in Others consisted of the following (\$ in millions):

|  | Three Months <br> Ended June 30, |  |  | Six Months <br> Ended June 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 |  | 2005 |  | 2006 |  | 2005 |  |
| \$ | 49.2 | \$ | 40.6 | \$ | 95.2 | \$ | 80.2 |
|  | 5.8 |  | 6.1 |  | 10.9 |  | 11.1 |
|  | (0.3) |  | 21.6 |  | 0.3 |  | 22.3 |
|  | 7.4 |  | 7.2 |  | 14.6 |  | 14.3 |
|  | 2.9 |  | 4.1 |  | 5.8 |  | 7.6 |
|  | 1.6 |  | 1.6 |  | 3.3 |  | 2.8 |


| Others |  | 1.0 |  | 1.3 |  | 2.4 |  | 2.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total revenue | \$ | 67.6 | \$ | 82.5 | \$ | 132.5 | \$ | 140.5 |
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(\$000's)

## Assets

Cash and due from banks

Investment securities:
Trading securities $\quad 50,268$ 25,582
Short-term investments
Other investment securities:
Taxable
Tax-exempt

Total investment securities

Loans and leases:
Loans and leases, net of unearned income


Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity
Deposits:
Noninterest bearing
Interest bearing

Total deposits
Federal funds purchased and security repurchase agreements
Other short-term borrowings
Long-term borrowings
Accrued expenses and other liabilities
Total liabilities

Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity
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| Cash and due from banks | \$ | 1,005,507 | \$ | 928,733 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Investment securities: |  |  |  |  |
| Trading securities |  | 42,267 |  | 24,355 |
| Short-term investments |  | 345,127 |  | 229,595 |
| Other investment securities: |  |  |  |  |
| Taxable |  | 5,401,133 |  | 4,825,732 |
| Tax-exempt |  | 1,328,293 |  | 1,306,082 |
| Total investment securities |  | 7,116,820 |  | 6,385,764 |
| Loans and leases: |  |  |  |  |
| Loans and leases, net of unearned income |  | 37,159,330 |  | 30,592,745 |
| Less: Allowance for loan and lease losses |  | 392,442 |  | 361,220 |
| Net loans and leases |  | 36,766,888 |  | 30,231,525 |
| Premises and equipment, net |  | 530,820 |  | 448,079 |
| Accrued interest and other assets |  | 4,769,638 |  | 3,857,632 |
| Total Assets | \$ | 50,189,673 | \$ | 41,851,733 |
| Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits: |  |  |  |  |
| Noninterest bearing | \$ | 5,174,349 | \$ | 4,760,154 |
| Interest bearing |  | 24,944,683 |  | 20,592,326 |
| Total deposits |  | 30,119,032 |  | 25,352,480 |
| Federal funds purchased and security repurchase agreements |  | 2,386,379 |  | 2,170,661 |
| Other short-term borrowings |  | 1,008,475 |  | 973,360 |
| Long-term borrowings |  | 9,728,869 |  | 7,564,611 |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities |  | 1,682,305 |  | 1,666,152 |
| Total liabilities |  | 44,925,060 |  | 37,727,264 |
| Shareholders' equity |  | 5,264,613 |  | 4,124,469 |
| Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity | \$ | 50,189,673 | \$ | 41,851,733 |
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OVERVIEW

The Corporation's overall strategy is to drive earnings per share growth by: (1) expanding banking operations not only in Wisconsin but also into faster growing regions beyond Wisconsin; (2) increasing the number of financial institutions to which the Corporation provides correspondent banking services and products; (3) expanding trust services and other wealth management product and service offerings; and (4) growing Metavante's business through organic growth, cross sales of technology products and acquisitions.

The Corporation continues to focus on its key metrics of growing revenues through balance sheet growth, fee-based income growth and strong credit quality. Management believes that the Corporation has demonstrated solid fundamental performance in each of these key areas and as a result, the second quarter and first half of 2006 produced strong financial results.

Net income for the second quarter of 2006 amounted to $\$ 203.7$ million compared to $\$ 183.7$ million for the same period in the prior year, an increase of $\$ 20.0$ million, or $10.9 \%$. Diluted earnings per share were $\$ 0.79$ for the three months ended June 30,2006 and 2005 , respectively. The return on average assets and average equity was $1.53 \%$ and $14.36 \%$, respectively, for the quarter ended June 30, 2006 , and $1.73 \%$ and $17.52 \%$, respectively, for the quarter ended June 30, 2005.

Net income for the first half of 2006 amounted to $\$ 390.5$ million compared to $\$ 349.1$ million for the same period in the prior year, an increase of $\$ 41.4$ million, or $11.9 \%$. Diluted earnings per share were $\$ 1.57$ for the six months ended June 30, 2006, compared with $\$ 1.50$ for the six months ended June 30, 2005, an increase of $4.7 \%$. The return on average assets and average equity was $1.57 \%$ and $14.96 \%$, respectively, for the six months ended June 30, 2006, and $1.68 \%$ and $17.07 \%$, respectively, for the six months ended June 30, 2005.

Earnings growth for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 compared to the three and six months ended June 30,2005 was attributable to a number of factors. The increase in net interest income was due to strong organic loan and bank-issued deposit growth and the contribution from the two banking acquisitions that were completed on April 1, 2006 . Strong credit quality has resulted in net charge-offs that continue to be below the Corporation's five-year historical average. Metavante continued to exhibit growth in both revenue and earnings which was attributable, in part, to the impact of its acquisition activities as well as success in retaining and cross-selling products and services to its core customer base. Metavante's acquisition activities included one acquisition completed in the first quarter of 2006, two acquisitions completed in the fourth quarter of 2005, three acquisitions completed in the third quarter of 2005 and one acquisition completed in the first quarter of 2005. Net investment securities gains were not significant in the three or six months ended June 30, 2006. During the second quarter of 2005 , the Corporation realized a gain due to the sale of an entity associated with the Corporation's investment in an independent private equity and venture capital partnership. The gross gain amounted to $\$ 29.0$ million and is reported in Net investment securities gains in the Consolidated Statements of Income. On an after-tax basis, and net of related compensation expense, the gain amounted to $\$ 16.2$ million or $\$ 0.07$ per diluted share. The increase in expenses for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 compared to the three and six months ended June 30, 2005 were primarily due to the banking and Metavante acquisitions. These factors along with continued organic expense management resulted in the reported earnings growth in the three and six months ended 2006 compared to the three and
six months ended June 30, 2005.
Management continues to believe that the 2006 outlook provided in the Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005 is generally still representative of its expectations for the year ended December 31, 2006. Management expects Metavante revenue will be at the high end of the previously forecasted revenue projection of $\$ 1.4$ billion to $\$ 1.5$ billion including all closed acquisitions and the transfer of external item processing which is discussed in the next section. The Corporation's actual results for the year ended December 31, 2006 could differ materially from those expected by management. See "Forward-Looking Statements" in this Form 10-Q and "Risk Factors" in Item 1A of the Corporation's Annual Report on Form $10-\mathrm{K}$ for the year ended December 31, 2005, for a discussion of the various risk factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from expected results.
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NOTEWORTHY TRANSACTIONS AND EVENTS
Some of the more noteworthy transactions and events that occurred in the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 consisted of the following:

Second quarter 2006
The results of operations and financial position as of and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 include the effect of the previously announced acquisitions of Gold Banc Corporation, Inc. and Trustcorp Financial, Inc. which were both completed on April 1, 2006. As of April 1, 2006, the combined assets of Gold Banc Corporation, Inc. and Trustcorp Financial, Inc. amounted to approximately $\$ 4.9$ billion. The acquired companies had combined loans of $\$ 3.9$ billion and combined bank issued deposits of $\$ 3.1$ billion. The combined purchase price for these companies, which included approximately $\$ 146.0$ million of cash, amounted to $\$ 898.2$ million. In the aggregate, 16.74 million shares of the Corporation's common stock were issued and fully vested stock options to purchase 0.5 million of its common stock were exchanged in these transactions.

For the three months ended June 30, 2006, Salaries and employee benefits expense includes $\$ 7.6$ million and Other expense includes $\$ 0.6$ million of expense for stock options and the Corporation's employee stock purchase plan ("ESPP"), which reduced net income by $\$ 5.3$ million or $\$ 0.02$ per diluted share. The Corporation expects that the additional compensation expense associated with stock options and the ESPP will be dilutive to the Corporation's operating results by $\$ 0.02$ per diluted share in the third quarter of 2006 and $\$ 0.04$ per diluted share in the fourth quarter of 2006 . The Corporation's largest stock option awards have historically been granted during the fourth quarter and expense for stock options is larger in the fourth quarter compared to the other quarters in any given year. Under the existing plans, awards to individuals who meet certain age and years of service criteria at the date of grant immediately vest and therefore the full fair value of those awards are immediately expensed. For the year ended December 31, 2006, the Corporation expects that the additional compensation expense associated with stock options and the ESPP will be dilutive to the Corporation's operating results by approximately $\$ 0.10$ per diluted share compared to $\$ 0.11$ per diluted share for the year ended December 31, 2005 as adjusted. See Note 11 in Notes to Financial Statements for further information.

Beginning with the second quarter of 2006 , trust services revenue,
brokerage and investment advisor revenue and noninterest revenue from the private banking business have been combined and reported in the line item Wealth management in the Consolidated Statements of Income in response to requests by users of the Corporation's financial information. All prior periods have been adjusted for this reclassification.

First quarter 2006

On January 1, 2006 the Corporation adopted the accounting standard that requires share-based compensation to be expensed. The Corporation elected the Modified Retrospective Application method to implement this new accounting standard. Under that method all prior period consolidated and segment financial information was adjusted to reflect the effect of expensing share-based compensation plans which were not previously expensed. Prior to the adoption of the new standard, the Corporation used the intrinsic method of accounting for stock options. Under that method generally, no compensation expense was recognized for stock option awards or the Corporation's ESPP. Shareholders' equity as of January 1, 2006 increased $\$ 67.7$ million due to the deferred income tax benefit recognized from applying the Modified Retrospective Application method of adoption. For the three months ended March 31, 2006, Salaries and employee benefits expense includes $\$ 7.5$ million of expense for stock options and the ESPP, which reduced net income by $\$ 4.9$ million or $\$ 0.02$ per diluted share.

Beginning with the first quarter of 2006 , the Corporation included certain loan and lease fees, primarily prepayment fees, in reported interest income on loans and leases. Previously, these fees were reported in Other income. Such fees are in addition to loan origination fees that are capitalized and amortized over the life of a loan or lease on a basis that produces a level yield in accordance with existing accounting standards. Including these fees in interest income may result in more volatility in net interest income and the net interest margin. However, management believes this reclassification will improve comparability of the net interest margin between the Corporation and its peer banking group. All prior periods have been adjusted for this reclassification.

## 29

On January 1, 2006 the Banking segment transferred its external item processing business, including all check-processing client relationships, to Metavante. This transfer, together with recent investments in electronic check image technology, enables Metavante to provide its clients with an end-to-end image solution that includes check truncation at the point of first presentment, image exchange through the Endpoint Exchange Network and final settlement. As a result of the transfer, the previously reported Other income line, Item processing, was reclassified to Data processing services in the Consolidated Statements of Income and prior period segment financial information for both the Banking segment and Metavante has been adjusted for the transfer. See Note 14 in Notes to Financial Statements for segment information.

## Second quarter 2005

As a result of adopting the accounting standard that requires share-based compensation to be expensed, as previously discussed, adjusted Salaries and employee benefits expense includes $\$ 6.6$ million and adjusted Other expense includes $\$ 0.7$ million of expense for stock options and the Corporation's ESPP, which reduced previously reported net income by $\$ 4.7$ million or $\$ 0.02$ per diluted share for the three months ended June 30 , 2005.

During the second quarter of 2005 , the Corporation realized a gain due to the sale of an entity associated with the Corporation's investment in an
independent private equity and venture capital partnership. The gross gain amounted to $\$ 29.0$ million and is reported in Net investment securities gains in the Consolidated Statements of Income. On an aftertax basis, and net of related compensation expense, the gain amounted to $\$ 16.2$ million or $\$ 0.07$ per diluted share.

First quarter 2005

As a result of adopting the accounting standard that requires share-based compensation to be expensed, as previously discussed, adjusted Salaries and employee benefits expense for the three months ended March 31, 2005 includes $\$ 6.5$ million of expense for stock options and the ESPP which reduced previously reported net income by $\$ 4.3$ million or $\$ 0.02$ per diluted share.

NET INTEREST INCOME

Net interest income is the difference between interest earned on earning assets and interest owed on interest bearing liabilities. Net interest income represented approximately $43.6 \%$ of the Corporation's source of revenues for the three months ended June 30,2006 compared to $41.9 \%$ for the three months ended June 30 , 2005. For the six months ended June 30 , 2006 net interest income represented approximately $42.2 \%$ of the Corporation's source of revenues compared to $42.2 \%$ for the six months ended June 30, 2005.

Net interest income for the second quarter of 2006 amounted to \$374.1 million compared to $\$ 313.0$ million reported for the second quarter of 2005, an increase of $\$ 61.1$ million or $19.5 \%$. For the six months ended June 30, 2006, net interest income amounted to $\$ 698.6$ million compared to $\$ 611.9$ million reported for the six months ended June 30, 2005, an increase of $\$ 86.7$ million or $14.2 \%$. Both acquisition related and organic loan growth, as well as the growth in noninterest bearing and other bankissued deposits, were the primary contributors to the increase in net interest income. Factors negatively affecting net interest income compared to the prior year included the impact of the financing costs associated with the 2006 banking acquisitions and Metavante's acquisitions, common stock buybacks and a general shift in the bank-issued deposit mix from lower cost to higher cost deposit products in response to increasing interest rates.

Average earning assets in the second quarter of 2006 amounted to $\$ 47.2$ billion compared to $\$ 37.8$ billion in the second quarter of 2005, an increase of $\$ 9.4$ billion or $25.0 \%$. Average loans and leases accounted for $\$ 8.4$ billion of the growth in average earning assets in the second quarter of 2006 compared to the second quarter of 2005 . Average investment securities increased $\$ 1.0$ billion over the prior year quarter. The growth in average investment securities was primarily due to the banking acquisitions.

Average interest bearing liabilities increased $\$ 8.8$ billion or $27.7 \%$ in the second quarter of 2006 compared to the second quarter of 2005 . Average interest bearing deposits increased $\$ 6.7$ billion or $32.4 \%$ in the second quarter of 2006 compared to the second quarter of last year. Average total borrowings, primarily long-term borrowings, increased $\$ 2.2$ billion or $19.1 \%$ in the second quarter of 2006 compared to the same period in 2005.

30
For the six months ended June 30, 2006, average earning assets amounted to $\$ 44.3$ billion compared to $\$ 37.0$ billion in the six months ended June 30,
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2005, an increase of $\$ 7.3$ billion or $19.7 \%$. Average loans and leases accounted for $\$ 6.6$ billion of the growth in average earning assets in the six months ended June 30,2006 compared to the six months ended June 30 , 2005. Average investment securities increased \$0.6 billion over the comparative six month periods. The growth in average investment securities was primarily due to the banking acquisitions.

Average interest bearing liabilities increased \$6.8 billion or 21.6\% in the six months ended June 30,2006 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2005. Average interest bearing deposits increased $\$ 4.4$ billion or $21.1 \%$ in the six months ended June 30,2006 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2005. Average total borrowings, primarily long-term borrowings, increased $\$ 2.4$ billion or $22.6 \%$ over the comparative six month periods.

Average noninterest bearing deposits increased \$0.6 billion or 12.0\% in the three months ended June 30,2006 compared to the three months ended June 30,2005 . For the six months ended June 30,2006 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2005, average noninterest bearing deposits increased $\$ 0.4$ billion or $8.7 \%$

The growth and composition of the Corporation's quarterly average loan and lease portfolio for the current quarter and previous four quarters are reflected in the following table (\$ in millions):

## Consolidated Average Loans and Leases




Total consolidated average loans and leases increased \$8.4 billion or $26.7 \%$ in the second quarter of 2006 compared to the second quarter of 2005. Excluding the effect of the banking acquisitions, total consolidated average loan and lease organic growth was $12.6 \%$ in the second quarter of 2006 compared to the second quarter of 2005 . Approximately $\$ 3.9$ billion of the growth in total consolidated average loans and leases was attributable to the banking acquisitions and $\$ 4.5$ billion of the growth was organic. Of the $\$ 3.9$ billion of average growth attributable to the banking acquisitions, $\$ 2.8$ billion was attributable to average commercial real estate loans, $\$ 0.8$ billion was attributable to average commercial loans and leases and $\$ 0.3$ billion was attributable to average residential real estate loans. Of the $\$ 4.5$ billion of average loan and lease organic growth, $\$ 1.7$ billion was attributable to average commercial loans and leases, $\$ 0.9$ billion was attributable to average commercial real estate loans, and $\$ 2.4$ billion was attributable to residential real estate loans. From a production standpoint, residential real estate loan closings in the second quarter of 2006 were $\$ 1.4$ billion compared to $\$ 1.2$ billion in the first quarter of 2006 and $\$ 1.6$ billion in the second quarter of 2005 . Average home equity loans and lines declined $\$ 0.5$ billion in the second quarter of 2006 compared to the second quarter of 2005 .

31
For the six months ended June 30, 2006, total consolidated average loans and leases increased $\$ 6.6$ billion or $21.5 \%$ compared to the six months ended June 30, 2005. Excluding the effect of the banking acquisitions, total consolidated average loan and lease organic growth was $14.1 \%$ for the six months ended June 30,2006 compared to the six months ended June 30 , 2005. Approximately $\$ 2.0$ billion of the growth in total consolidated average loans and leases was attributable to the banking acquisitions and $\$ 4.6$ billion of the growth was organic. Of the $\$ 2.0$ billion of average growth attributable to the banking acquisitions, \$1.4 billion was attributable to average commercial real estate loans, $\$ 0.4$ billion was attributable to average commercial loans and leases and the remainder was primarily attributable to average residential real estate loans. Of the $\$ 4.6$ billion of average loan and lease organic growth, \$1.6 billion was attributable to average commercial loans and leases, $\$ 1.0$ billion was attributable to average commercial real estate loans, and $\$ 2.5$ billion was attributable to residential real estate loans. From a production standpoint, residential real estate loan closings in the first half of 2006 and the first half of 2005 amounted to $\$ 2.6$ billion and $\$ 2.7$ billion, respectively. Average home equity loans and lines declined $\$ 0.5$ billion in the six months ended June 30,2006 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2005.

Total average commercial loan and lease organic growth continued to be strong in the second quarter and first half of 2006 . Management
attributes the loan growth to the strength of the local economies in the markets the Corporation serves, new business and continued customer satisfaction. Management continues to expect that year over year organic commercial loan growth (as a percentage) will reach low double digits in 2006. The basis for this expectation includes continued success in attracting new customers in all of the Corporation's markets and continued modest economic growth in the primary markets that the Corporation serves. Recently the Corporation has experienced some slowing in the construction market for both commercial and residential developers, and to some extent throughout the commercial real estate business. As a result, management expects that year over year organic commercial real estate loan growth (as a percentage) will reach mid single digits in 2006.

Home equity loans and lines, which includes M\&I's wholesale activity, continue to be one of the primary consumer loan products. Average home equity loans and lines declined in the second quarter and first half of 2006 compared to the second quarter and first half of 2005 . This is consistent with what is occurring in many parts of the country. The softer home equity market, combined with the Corporation's continued sales of certain loans at origination, which is partly in response to the demand for home equity products with higher loan-to-value characteristics, will impact balance sheet organic loan growth. Management does not expect this trend to change in the near term.

The Corporation sells some of its residential real estate production (residential real estate and home equity loans) in the secondary market. Selected residential real estate loans with rate and term characteristics that are considered desirable are periodically retained in the portfolio. For the three months ended June 30,2006 and 2005 real estate loans sold to investors amounted to $\$ 0.6$ billion and $\$ 0.5$ billion, respectively. For the six months ended June 30 , 2006 , real estate loans sold to investors amounted to $\$ 1.2$ billion compared to $\$ 0.9$ billion for the six months ended June 30, 2005. At June 30, 2006 and 2005, the Corporation had approximately $\$ 123.4$ million and $\$ 153.8$ million of mortgage loans held for sale, respectively. Gains from the sale of mortgage loans amounted to $\$ 10.2$ million in the second quarter of 2006 compared to $\$ 9.5$ million in the second quarter of 2005. For the six months ended June 30, 2006, gains from the sale of mortgage loans amounted to $\$ 21.0$ million compared to $\$ 16.4$ million in the six months ended June 30, 2005.

Auto loans securitized and sold in the second quarters of 2006 and 2005 amounted to $\$ 0.2$ billion and $\$ 0.1$ billion, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2006, auto loans securitized and sold amounted to $\$ 0.3$ billion compared to $\$ 0.2$ billion in the six months ended June 30, 2005. The net gains and losses from the sale and securitization of auto loans for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, were not significant.

The Corporation anticipates that it will continue to divest itself of selected assets through sale or securitization in future periods.
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The growth and composition of the Corporation's quarterly average deposits for the current and previous four quarters are as follows (\$ in millions):

Consolidated Average Deposits


|  | Quarter |  | Quarter |  | Quarter |  | uarter |  | uarter | Annual | Quarte |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank issued deposits |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Noninterest bearing deposits |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Personal | 998 |  | 943 |  | 942 |  | 932 |  | 958 | 4.2 | 5.9 |
| Other | 533 |  | 526 |  | 566 |  | 528 |  | 491 | 8.5 | 1.2 |
| Total noninterest bearing deposits | 5,404 |  | 4,942 |  | 5,195 |  | 5,049 |  | 4,826 | 12.0 | 9.4 |
| Interest bearing deposits |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Savings and NOW | 3,251 |  | 2,831 |  | 2,911 |  | 3,049 |  | 3,149 | 3.2 | 14.8 |
| Money market | 7,389 |  | 6,599 |  | 6,354 |  | 6,047 |  | 5,819 | 27.0 | 12.0 |
| Foreign activity | 1,000 |  | 1,034 |  | 1,084 |  | 932 |  | 882 | 13.4 | (3.2) |
| Total interest bearing deposits | 11,640 |  | 10,464 |  | 10,349 |  | 10,028 |  | 9,850 | 18.2 | 11.2 |
| Time deposits |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other CDs and time deposits | 4,769 |  | 3,509 |  | 3,354 |  | 3,095 |  | 2,951 | 61.7 | 35.9 |
| CDs greater than $\$ 100,000$ | 2,878 |  | 2,035 |  | 1,703 |  | 1,421 |  | 1,243 | 131.5 | 41.4 |
| Total time deposits | 7,647 |  | 5,544 |  | 5,057 |  | 4,516 |  | 4,194 | 82.4 | 37.9 |
| Total bank issued deposits | 24,691 |  | 20,950 |  | 20,601 |  | 19,593 |  | 18,870 | 30.8 | 17.9 |
| Wholesale deposits |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Money market | 732 |  | 887 |  | 1,074 |  | 1,068 |  | 1,077 | (32.1) | (17.5) |
| Brokered CDs | 5,382 |  | 3,874 |  | 4,752 |  | 4,615 |  | 4,437 | 21.3 | 38.9 |
| Foreign time | 1,931 |  | 1,762 |  | 897 |  | 1,076 |  | 1,086 | 77.8 | 9.6 |
| Total wholesale deposits | 8,045 |  | 6,523 |  | 6,723 |  | 6,759 |  | 6,600 | 21.9 | 23.3 |
| Total consolidated average deposits | 32,736 | \$ | 27,473 | \$ | 27,324 | \$ | 26,352 | \$ | 25,470 | 28.5 \% | 19.2 |

Average total bank issued deposits increased $\$ 5.8$ billion or $30.8 \%$ in the second quarter of 2006 compared to the second quarter of 2005 . Excluding the effect of the banking acquisitions, total average bank issued deposits organic growth was $12.6 \%$ in the second quarter of 2006 compared to the second quarter of 2005. Approximately $\$ 3.0$ billion of the growth in average total bank issued deposits was attributable to the banking acquisitions and $\$ 2.8$ billion of the growth was organic. Of the $\$ 3.0$ billion of average growth attributable to the banking acquisitions, \$0.4 billion was attributable to average noninterest bearing deposits, \$0.9 billion was attributable to average interest bearing deposits and \$1.7 billion was attributable to average time deposits. Of the $\$ 2.8$ billion of average bank issued deposit organic growth, $\$ 0.2$ billion was attributable to average noninterest bearing deposits, $\$ 0.8$ billion was attributable to average interest bearing deposits and $\$ 1.8$ billion was attributable to average time deposits.

For the six months ended June 30, 2006, average total bank issued deposits increased $\$ 4.2$ billion or $22.4 \%$ compared to the six month ended June 30 , 2005. Excluding the effect of the banking acquisitions, total average bank
issued deposits organic growth was $13.1 \%$ in the six months ended June 30 , 2006 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2005. Approximately \$1.5 billion of the growth in average total bank issued deposits was attributable to the banking acquisitions and $\$ 2.7$ billion of the growth was organic. Of the $\$ 1.5$ billion of average growth attributable to the banking acquisitions, $\$ 0.2$ billion was attributable to average noninterest bearing deposits, $\$ 0.5$ billion was attributable to average interest bearing deposits and $\$ 0.8$ billion was attributable to average time deposits. Of the $\$ 2.7$ billion of average bank issued deposit organic growth, $\$ 0.2$ billion was attributable to average noninterest bearing deposits, $\$ 0.8$ billion was attributable to average interest bearing deposits and $\$ 1.7$ billion was attributable to average time deposits.

Noninterest bearing deposit balances tend to exhibit some seasonality with a trend of balances declining somewhat in the early part of the year followed by growth in balances throughout the remainder of the year. A portion of the noninterest balances, especially commercial balances, is sensitive to the interest rate environment. Larger balances tend to be maintained when overall interest rates are low and smaller balances tend to be maintained as overall interest rates increase.
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As interest rates have risen, the Corporation has increasingly been able to competitively price deposit products which has contributed to the growth in average bank-issued interest bearing deposits and average bank issued time deposits. In addition, rising interest rates have resulted in a shift in the bank issued deposit mix. In their search for higher yields, both new and existing customers have been migrating their deposit balances to higher cost money market and time deposit products.

In commercial banking, the focus remains on developing deeper relationships by capitalizing on cross-sale opportunities. Incentive plans based on the sale of treasury management products and services are focused on growing deposits. The retail banking strategy continues to focus on aggressively selling the right products to meet the needs of customers and enhance the Corporation's profitability.

Wholesale deposits are funds in the form of deposits generated through distribution channels other than M\&I's own banking branches. The Corporation continues to make use of wholesale funding alternatives, especially brokered and institutional certificates of deposit. These deposits allow the Corporation's bank subsidiaries to gather funds across a wider geographic base and at pricing levels considered attractive, where the underlying depositor may be retail or institutional. For the three months ended June 30, 2006, average wholesale deposits increased \$1.4 billion, or $21.9 \%$ compared to the three months ended June 30, 2005. For the six months ended June 30,2006 average wholesale deposits increased $\$ 0.6$ billion, or $8.8 \%$ compared to the six months ended June 30, 2005. Average wholesale deposits for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 include $\$ 0.6$ billion and $\$ 0.3$ billion, respectively, of wholesale deposits that were assumed in the acquisitions. During the first quarter of 2006, a significant portion of longer-term institutional certificates of deposits matured and were re-financed at a higher cost.

At June 30, 2006, long-term borrowings from the banking acquisitions amounted to $\$ 229.0$ million. Approximately $\$ 30.0$ million is subordinated, $\$ 100.0$ million of advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank ("FHLB") and $\$ 99.0$ million is subordinated debt associated with four separate issuances of trust preferred securities. During the second quarter of $2006, \$ 400.0$ million of floating rate global senior bank notes were issued. These floating rate senior bank notes mature in 2011 and have a coupon rate that is indexed to the three-month London Inter-Bank Offered Rate ("LIBOR").
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During the first quarter of 2006 , the Corporation issued $\$ 250.0$ million of fixed rate senior notes. The fixed rate senior notes mature in 2011 and have a coupon rate of $5.35 \%$. Also during the first quarter of 2006 , $\$ 500.0$ million of floating rate senior bank notes were issued. These floating rate senior bank notes mature in 2008 and have a coupon rate that is indexed to the three-month LIBOR. During the first quarter of 2006, $\$ 250.0$ million of senior bank notes - Extendible Liquidity Securities matured.

During the second quarter of 2005 , $\$ 350.0$ million of subordinated bank notes were issued. The subordinated bank notes mature in 2015 and have a coupon rate of $4.85 \%$. Senior bank notes in an aggregate amount of $\$ 525.0$ million were also issued during the second quarter of 2005 . The senior bank notes are floating rate and mature at various times in 2007 and 2010.

During the first quarter of 2005 the Corporation obtained a new floating rate advance from the FHLB aggregating $\$ 250.0$ million. The FHLB advance matures in 2011. During the first quarter of 2005, $\$ 900.0$ million of senior bank notes with an annual weighted average coupon interest rate of 4.13\% were issued. The notes mature at various times beginning in 2008 through 2017.
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The Corporation's consolidated average interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities, interest earned and interest paid for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, are presented in the following tables (\$ in millions):

Consolidated Yield and Cost Analysis
-----------------------------------------

> Commercial loans and leases
> Commercial real estate loans Residential real estate loans Home equity loans and lines Personal loans and leases

Loans and leases: (a)

Total loans and leases

| \$ 11,896.4 | \$ | 215.4 | 7.26 | \% | \$ | 9,357.0 | \$ | 136.3 | 5.84 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13,580.4 |  | 248.6 | 7.34 |  |  | 9,867.4 |  | 151.4 | 6.15 |
| 7,985.7 |  | 139.7 | 7.01 |  |  | 5,367.6 |  | 79.9 | 5.97 |
| 4,595.7 |  | 82.6 | 7.21 |  |  | 5,098.3 |  | 79.2 | 6.23 |
| 1,591.5 |  | 28.5 | 7.20 |  |  | 1,603.8 |  | 23.6 | 5.91 |
| 39,649.7 |  | 714.8 | 7.23 |  |  | 31,294.1 |  | 470.4 | 6.03 |
| 5,818.3 |  | 70.9 | 4.79 |  |  | 4,828.6 |  | 53.3 | 4.41 |
| 1,316.1 |  | 22.9 | 7.04 |  |  | 1,333.7 |  | 23.9 | 7.34 |
| 7,134.4 |  | 93.8 | 5.19 |  |  | 6,162.3 |  | 77.2 | 5.03 |
| 50.3 |  | 0.2 | 1.70 |  |  | 25.6 |  | 0.1 | 0.78 |
| 374.2 |  | 5.0 | 5.37 |  |  | 271.7 |  | 2.2 | 3.39 |
| \$ 47,208.6 | \$ | 813.8 | 6.90 | \% | \$ | 37,753.7 | \$ | 549.9 | 5.84 |


(a) Fully taxable equivalent ("FTE") basis, assuming a Federal income tax rate of $35 \%$, and excluding disallowed interest expense.
(b) Based on average balances excluding fair value adjustments for available for sale securities.
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Consolidated Yield and Cost Analysis

Six Months Ended
June 30, 2006
Average
Average
Yield or
Balance Interest Cost (b)
Six Months Ended
June 30, 2005
Average
Average
Balance Interest Cost (b)
Bield or

Loans and leases: (a)
Commercial loans and leases
Commercial real estate loans
Residential real estate loans Home equity loans and lines Personal loans and leases

Total loans and leases
Investment securities (b) :
Taxable
Tax Exempt (a)
Total investment securities
Trading securities (a)
Other short-term investments

| \$ 11,118.8 | \$ 390.6 | $7.09 \%$ | \$ | 9,108.7 | \$ 257.1 | $5.69 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12,088.7 | 429.7 | 7.17 |  | 9,692.9 | 290.0 | 6.03 |
| 7,632.5 | 262.5 | 6.94 |  | 5,050.1 | 147.2 | 5.88 |
| 4,650.5 | 163.6 | 7.09 |  | 5,114.4 | 154.3 | 6.08 |
| 1,668.8 | 57.7 | 6.97 |  | 1,626.6 | 46.4 | 5.75 |
| 37,159.3 | 1,304.1 | 7.08 |  | 30,592.7 | 895.0 | 5.90 |
| 5,401.1 | 128.8 | 4.72 |  | 4,825.7 | 105.2 | 4.39 |
| 1,328.3 | 46.3 | 7.12 |  | 1,306.1 | 46.9 | 7.41 |
| 6,729.4 | 175.1 | 5.18 |  | 6,131.8 | 152.1 | 5.02 |
| 42.3 | 0.3 | 1.37 |  | 24.4 | 0.1 | 0.99 |
| 345.1 | 8.6 | 5.01 |  | 229.6 | 3.6 | 3.20 |

Total interest earning assets

Interest bearing deposits:
Bank issued deposits:
Bank issued interest
bearing activity deposits
Bank issued time deposits
Total bank issued deposits
Wholesale deposits
Total interest bearing deposits
Short-term borrowings
Long-term borrowings
Total interest bearing liabilities

Net interest margin (FTE)


714.3
$===============$
$2.67 \%$
$3.01 \%$
(a) Fully taxable equivalent ("FTE") basis, assuming a Federal income tax rate of $35 \%$, and excluding disallowed interest expense.
(b) Based on average balances excluding fair value adjustments for available for sale securities.

The net interest margin FTE decreased 18 basis points from $3.42 \%$ in the second quarter of 2005 to $3.24 \%$ in the second quarter of 2006 . For the six months ended June 30,2006 , the net interest margin FTE was $3.25 \%$ compared to 3.43\% for the six months ended June 30, 2005, a decrease of 18 basis points. Beginning with the first quarter of 2006 , the Corporation included certain loan and lease fees in interest income on loans and leases. All prior periods have been adjusted for this reclassification. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2005, the net interest margin FTE increased by approximately 9 basis points and 8 basis points, respectively from the previously reported amounts due to this reclassification. Compared to the first quarter of 2006 , the net interest margin FTE decreased 2 basis points from $3.26 \%$ in the first quarter of 2006 to $3.24 \%$ in the second quarter of 2006 .

Similar to the general trends being experienced throughout the industry, the Corporation continues to be challenged by narrowing loan spreads in a solid economy with a flat yield curve, loan growth that may exceed the Corporation's ability to generate appropriately priced deposits and the shift in the bank issued deposit mix by new and existing depositors into higher yielding products. Management expects these trends to continue and expects that there will be modest downward pressure on the net interest margin FTE for the remainder of 2006 . Net interest income and the net interest margin percentage can vary and continue to be influenced by loan and deposit growth, product spreads, pricing competition in the Corporation's markets, prepayment activity, future interest rate changes and various other factors.

The following tables present comparative consolidated credit quality information as of June 30, 2006, and the prior four quarters:

Nonperforming Assets
----------------------
(\$000's)

|  | 2006 |  |  |  | 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Second Quarter |  | First Quarter |  | Fourth Quarter |  | Third Quarter |  |  |
| Nonaccrual | \$ | 193,028 | \$ | 144,484 | \$ | 134,718 | \$ | 141,408 | \$ | 126, |
| Renegotiated |  | 133 |  | 138 |  | 143 |  | 148 |  |  |
| Past due 90 days or more |  | 4,855 |  | 4,523 |  | 5,725 |  | 5,743 |  | 4, |
| Total nonperforming loans and leases |  | 198,016 |  | 149,145 |  | 140,586 |  | 147,299 |  | 131, |
| Other real estate owned |  | 11,701 |  | 8,207 |  | 8,869 |  | 8,774 |  | 9, |
| Total nonperforming assets | \$ | 209,717 | \$ | 157,352 | \$ | 149,455 | \$ | 156,073 | \$ | 140, |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses | \$ | 415,201 | \$ | 368,760 | \$ | 363,769 | \$ | 362,257 | \$ | 360, |

## Consolidated Statistics

| Second | First |
| :---: | :---: |
| Quarter | Quarter |



| loans and leases annualized | 0.10 | \% | 0.07 | \% | 0.14 | \% | 0.10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total nonperforming loans and leases to total loans and leases | 0.49 |  | 0.42 |  | 0.41 |  | 0.44 |
| Total nonperforming assets to total loans and leases and other real estate owned | 0.52 |  | 0.45 |  | 0.44 |  | 0.47 |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses to total loans and leases | 1.03 |  | 1.05 |  | 1.06 |  | 1.09 |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses to total nonperforming loans and leases | 210 |  | 247 |  | 259 |  | 246 |

Nonaccrual Loans and Leases By Type
(\$000's)


|  | Quarter |  | Quarter |  | Quarter |  | Quarter |  | Quart |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial, financial and agricultural | \$ | 59,558 | \$ | 50,103 | \$ | 43,730 | \$ | 47,644 |  | 35, |
| Lease financing receivables |  | 454 |  | 1,399 |  | 1,539 |  | 3,012 |  | 3 , |
| Total commercial |  | 60,012 |  | 51,502 |  | 45,269 |  | 50,656 |  | 39, |
| Real estate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction and land development |  | 33,115 |  | 3,276 |  | 913 |  | 3,057 |  | 1, |
| Commercial mortgage |  | 34,260 |  | 30,633 |  | 28,644 |  | 30,351 |  | 37 , |
| Residential mortgage |  | 64,151 |  | 57,425 |  | 57,982 |  | 56,488 |  | 47, |
| Total real estate |  | 131,526 |  | 91,334 |  | 87,539 |  | 89,896 |  | 86, |
| Personal |  | 1,490 |  | 1,648 |  | 1,910 |  | 856 |  |  |
| Total nonaccrual loans and leases | \$ | 193,028 | \$ | 144,484 | \$ | 134,718 | \$ | 141,408 | \$ | 126, |
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Reconciliation of Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses (\$000's)


Nonperforming assets consist of nonperforming loans and leases and other real estate owned ("OREO").

OREO is principally comprised of commercial and residential properties acquired in partial or total satisfaction of problem loans and amounted to $\$ 11.7$ million at June 30, 2006, compared to $\$ 8.2$ million at March 31, 2006 and $\$ 9.1$ million at June 30,2005 . The increase in OREO of $\$ 3.5 \mathrm{million}$ from March 31, 2006 to June 30, 2006 is predominantly due to the OREO acquired in the banking acquisitions.

Nonperforming loans and leases consist of nonaccrual, renegotiated or restructured loans, and loans and leases that are delinquent 90 days or more and still accruing interest. The balance of nonperforming loans and leases can fluctuate widely based on the timing of cash collections, renegotiations and renewals.

Maintaining nonperforming assets at an acceptable level is important to the ongoing success of a financial services institution. The Corporation's comprehensive credit review and approval process are critical to ensuring that the amount of nonperforming assets on a longterm basis is minimized within the overall framework of acceptable levels of credit risk. In addition to the negative impact on net interest income and credit losses, nonperforming assets also increase operating costs due to the expense associated with collection efforts.

At June 30, 2006, nonperforming loans and leases amounted to \$198.0 million or $0.49 \%$ of consolidated loans and leases compared to $\$ 149.1$ million or $0.42 \%$ of consolidated loans and leases at March 31, 2006, and $\$ 131.6$ million or $0.41 \%$ of consolidated loans and leases at June 30, 2005. Approximately $\$ 42.7$ million or $87 \%$ of the $\$ 48.9$ million increase in nonperforming loans from March 31, 2006 to June 30, 2006 was attributable to the banking acquisitions. Excluding the effect of the acquisitions, nonperforming loans and leases would have amounted to $\$ 155.3$ million or $0.43 \%$ of consolidated loans and leases at June 30, 2006. Excluding the acquisitions, the pro forma ratio of nonperforming loans and leases to consolidated loans and leases at June 30, 2006 and the actual ratio at each quarter end throughout 2005 has remained in a fairly narrow range and continues to be below management's expectations. Nonaccrual loans and leases continue to be the primary source of nonperforming loans and leases.
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Net charge-offs amounted to $\$ 9.9$ million or $0.10 \%$ of average loans and leases in the second quarter of 2006 compared to $\$ 6.0$ million or $0.07 \%$ of average loans and leases in the first quarter of 2006 and $\$ 11.9$ million or $0.15 \%$ of average loans and leases in the second quarter of 2005 . The lower level of net charge-offs experienced throughout 2005 and the first six months of 2006 has to some extent been the result of higher than normal recoveries. Based on the status of some of the larger charge-offs recognized in recent quarters, management expects recoveries will likely return to lower levels in future periods. The ratio of recoveries to charge-offs was $28.7 \%$ for the three months ended June 30,2006 which was closer to average historical experience. The ratio of recoveries to charge-offs was $35.3 \%$ for the six months ended June 30, 2006 which continues to be above the Corporation's five year historical average ratio of recoveries to charge-offs of $27.9 \%$.

Management continues to expect the longer term level of nonperforming loans and leases to be in the range of $50-60$ basis points of total loans and leases and expects net charge-offs to trend to historical levels. With respect to the banking acquisitions, management expects the level of
nonperforming loans to decline slowly over the next couple of years and expects net charge-off levels to increase modestly.

The provisions for loan and lease losses amounted to $\$ 11.0$ million for the three months ended June 30,2006 compared to $\$ 11.0$ million for the three months ended March 31, 2006 and $\$ 13.7$ million for the three months ended June 30, 2005. For the six months ended June 30, 2006, the provisions for loan and lease losses amounted to $\$ 22.0$ million compared to $\$ 21.9$ million for the six months ended June 30,2005 . The allowance for loan and lease losses as a percent of consolidated loans and leases outstanding was 1.03\% at June 30, 2006, 1.05\% at March 31, 2006 and 1.12\% at June 30, 2005 .

## OTHER INCOME

Other income or noninterest sources of revenue represented approximately $56.4 \%$ and $58.1 \%$ of the Corporation's total sources of revenues for the three months ended June 30,2006 and 2005 , respectively. Total other income in the second quarter of 2006 amounted to $\$ 484.4$ million compared to $\$ 434.3$ million in the same period last year, an increase of $\$ 50.1$ million or $11.5 \%$. For the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, noninterest sources of revenue represented approximately 57.8\% of the Corporation's total sources of revenues, respectively. Total other income for the six months ended June 30,2006 amounted to $\$ 957.1$ million compared to $\$ 836.8$ million for the six months ended June 30,2005 , an increase of $\$ 120.3$ million or $14.4 \%$. The increase in other income was primarily due to growth in data processing services and wealth management services revenue. Other income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005 includes significant investment securities gains as previously discussed.

Data processing services revenue (Metavante) amounted to $\$ 345.0$ million in the second quarter of 2006 compared to $\$ 282.4$ million in the second quarter of 2005, an increase of $\$ 62.6$ million or $22.2 \%$. For the six months ended June 30 , 2006 , Data processing services revenue amounted to $\$ 688.0$ million compared to $\$ 565.3$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2005, an increase of $\$ 122.7$ million or $21.7 \%$. Revenue growth continued throughout the segment due to revenue associated with acquisitions, higher transaction volumes in core processing activity, payment processing and electronic banking and an increase in healthcare eligibility and payment card production. Revenue associated with Metavante's acquisitions completed in 2006 and 2005 contributed a significant portion of the revenue growth in the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, compared to the three and six months ended June 30, 2005. The acquisition related revenue growth includes cross sales of acquired products to clients across the entire segment. Metavante estimates that total revenue growth (internal and external) for the six months ended June 30,2006 compared to the six months ended June 30,2005 excluding the acquisitions ("organic revenue growth"), was approximately 8.2\%. To determine the estimated organic revenue growth rate, Metavante adjusts its prior year revenue for the acquisitions as if they had been consummated on January 1 of the prior year. The linked-quarter data processing services revenue growth will tend to be somewhat more cyclical and seasonal in nature. Total buyout revenue, which varies from period to period, increased $\$ 3.0$ million and $\$ 1.8$ million in the three and six months ended June 30,2006 compared to the three and six months ended June 30, 2005, respectively.

As previously reported, on January 1, 2006 the Banking segment transferred its external item processing business, including all check-processing client relationships, to Metavante. As a result of the transfer, the previously reported Other income line, Item processing, was reclassified to Data processing services in the Consolidated Statements of Income and
prior period segment financial information for both the Banking segment and Metavante has been adjusted for the transfer.

Management continues to expect that Metavante revenue (internal and external) for the year ended December 31, 2006 will be at the high end of the previously forecasted revenue projection of $\$ 1.4$ billion to \$1.5 billion. Organic revenue growth rates are expected to exceed prior year levels and segment income is expected to continue to improve. These expectations include the impact of all closed acquisitions and the transfer of external item processing.
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As previously discussed, beginning with the second quarter of 2006 , trust services revenue, brokerage and investment advisor revenue and noninterest revenue from the private banking business have been combined and reported in the line item Wealth management in the Consolidated Statements of Income in response to requests by users of the Corporation's financial information. All prior periods have been adjusted for this reclassification.

Wealth management revenue amounted to $\$ 56.3$ million in the second quarter of 2006 compared to $\$ 48.1$ million in the second quarter of 2005 , an increase of $\$ 8.2$ million or $17.0 \%$. For the six months ended June 30, 2006, Wealth management revenue amounted to $\$ 109.1$ million compared to $\$ 95.2$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2005, an increase of $\$ 13.9$ million or $14.6 \%$. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, wealth management revenue attributable to the previously reported January 3, 2006 acquisition of certain assets of First Trust Indiana and the April 1, 2006 acquisition of Gold Banc Corporation, Inc. amounted to $\$ 2.3$ million and $\$ 3.4$ million, respectively. Continued success in the crossselling and integrated delivery initiatives, improved investment performance and improving results in institutional sales efforts and outsourcing activities were the primary contributors to the remaining revenue growth over the respective periods. Assets under management were approximately $\$ 20.4$ billion at June 30,2006 compared to $\$ 19.8$ billion at March 31, 2006, and \$18.5 billion at June 30, 2005.

Service charges on deposits amounted to $\$ 25.0$ million in the second quarter of 2006 compared to $\$ 23.7$ million in the second quarter of 2005 . For the six months ended June 30,2006 , service charges on deposits amounted to $\$ 47.6$ million compared to $\$ 47.1$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2005. The banking acquisitions contributed $\$ 2.1$ million of service charges on deposits for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006. A portion of this source of fee income is sensitive to changes in interest rates. In a rising interest rate environment, customers that pay for services by maintaining eligible deposit balances receive a higher earnings credit which results in lower fee income. Excluding the effect of the banking acquisitions, lower service charges on deposits associated with commercial demand deposits accounted for the majority of the decline in this revenue in the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 compared to the three and six months ended June 30,2005 , respectively.

Total mortgage banking revenue was $\$ 12.3$ million in the second quarter of 2006 compared with $\$ 11.2$ million in the second quarter of 2005, an increase of $\$ 1.1$ million or $9.7 \%$. For the six months ended June 30, 2006, total mortgage banking revenue amounted to $\$ 24.7$ million compared to $\$ 19.4$ million for the six months ended June 30,2005 , an increase of $\$ 5.3$ million or $27.7 \%$. For the three months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, the Corporation sold $\$ 0.6$ billion and $\$ 0.5$ billion of residential mortgage and home equity loans to the secondary market, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, the Corporation sold $\$ 1.2$ billion and $\$ 0.9$ billion of residential mortgage and home equity loans to the
secondary market, respectively. As previously discussed, the Corporation continues to sell home equity loans at origination which is partly in response to the demand for home equity products with higher loan-to-value characteristics. Retained interests in the form of mortgage servicing rights on residential mortgage loans sold amounted to $\$ 0.4$ million for the six months ended June 30,2006 and $\$ 0.5$ million for the six months ended June 30,2005 . At June 30,2006 mortgage servicing rights amounted to \$2.5 million.

Net investment securities gains for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 were not significant. Net investment securities gains for the three and six months ended June 30,2005 amounted to $\$ 29.4$ million and $\$ 35.3$ million, respectively. As previously discussed, during the second quarter of 2005, the Corporation realized a gain due to the sale of an entity associated with its investment in an independent private equity and venture partnership. The gross gain amounted to $\$ 29.0$ million. During the first quarter of 2005, the Corporation's banking segment's investment in certain membership interests of PULSE was liquidated by PULSE. The cash received resulted in a pre-tax gain of $\$ 5.3$ million. An additional $\$ 0.3$ million was received in the second quarter of 2005 .

Other income in the second quarter of 2006 amounted to $\$ 37.4$ million compared to $\$ 31.5$ million in the second quarter of 2005 , an increase of $\$ 5.9$ million or $19.0 \%$. For the six months ended June 30,2006 , other income amounted to $\$ 71.3$ million compared to $\$ 60.3$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2005, an increase of $\$ 11.0$ million or $18.2 \%$. Other income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 includes $\$ 2.8$ million of income attributable to the banking acquisitions. The remaining increase in other income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 compared to the three and six months ended June 30,2005 was primarily due to increases in card related fees and trading and investment fees.

## OTHER EXPENSE

Total other expense for the three months ended June 30, 2006 amounted to $\$ 544.3$ million compared to $\$ 459.9$ million for the three months ended June 30, 2005, an increase of $\$ 84.4$ million or $18.4 \%$. For the six months ended June 30, 2006, total other expense amounted to $\$ 1,049.4$ million compared to $\$ 902.8$ million for the six months ended June 30,2005 , an increase of $\$ 146.6$ million or $16.2 \%$.

## 40

Total other expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 included the operating expenses associated with Metavante's 2005 and 2006 acquisitions, the 2006 banking acquisitions and the 2006 acquisition of certain assets of First Trust Indiana. The operating expenses of the acquired entities have been included in the Corporation's consolidated operating expenses from the dates the transactions were completed, which had a significant impact on the period to period comparability of operating expenses in 2006 compared to 2005. Approximately $\$ 65.6$ million of the operating expense growth in the second quarter of 2006 compared to the second quarter of 2005 and $\$ 109.6$ million of the operating expense growth in the six months ended June 30,2006 compared to the six months ended June 30,2005 was attributable to the acquisitions. In addition, expenses for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 include conversion and integration expenses related to the banking acquisitions.

The Corporation estimates that its expense growth in the three and six months ended June 30,2006 compared to the three and six months ended June 30, 2005, excluding the effects of the acquisitions, was approximately $\$ 18.8$ million and $\$ 36.9$ million, respectively, and the estimated growth
rate was approximately $4.1 \%$ over the respective comparative periods.
Expense control is sometimes measured in the financial services industry by the efficiency ratio statistic. The efficiency ratio is calculated by taking total other expense divided by the sum of total other income (including Capital Markets revenue but excluding investment securities gains or losses) and net interest income on a fully taxable equivalent basis. The Corporation's efficiency ratios for the three months ended June 30, 2006, and prior four quarters were:
Efficiency Ratios

Three Months Ended


The Consolidated Corporation Excluding Metavante efficiency ratio for the remainder of 2006 is expected to decline somewhat from the second quarter 2006 and is expected to continue to decline slightly until the banking acquisitions are fully integrated.

Salaries and employee benefits expense amounted to $\$ 307.1$ million in the second quarter of 2006 compared to $\$ 269.0$ million in the second quarter of 2005, an increase of $\$ 38.1$ million or $14.2 \%$. For the six months ended June 30, 2006, Salaries and employee benefits expense amounted to $\$ 584.5$ million compared to $\$ 514.1$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2005, an increase of $\$ 70.4$ million or $13.7 \%$. Salaries and benefits associated with the acquisitions previously discussed accounted for approximately $\$ 27.5$ million and $\$ 45.1$ million of the increase in Salaries and employee benefits expense in the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 compared to the three and six months ended June 30,2005 , respectively.

For the second quarter of 2006 , occupancy and equipment expense amounted to $\$ 63.8$ million compared to $\$ 50.9$ million in the second quarter of 2005 , an increase of $\$ 12.9$ million or $25.5 \%$. The acquisitions accounted for approximately $\$ 9.7$ million of the increase in occupancy and equipment expense in the three months ended June 30,2006 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2005. For the six months ended June 30, 2006, occupancy and equipment expense amounted to $\$ 121.6$ million compared to $\$ 104.2$ million for the six months ended June 30 , 2005, an increase of $\$ 17.4$ million or $16.7 \%$. The acquisitions accounted for approximately $\$ 12.7$ million of the increase in occupancy and equipment expense in the six months ended June 30,2006 compared to the six months ended June 30 , 2005. The remaining increase in occupancy and equipment expense for the three and six months ended June 30,2006 compared to the three and six months ended June 30,2005 was primarily attributable to the banking segment and wealth management.
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Software expenses, processing charges, supplies and printing, professional services and shipping and handling expenses totaled $\$ 89.1$ million in the second quarter of 2006 compared to $\$ 63.0$ million in the second quarter of

2005, an increase of $\$ 26.1$ million or $41.4 \%$. For the six months ended June 30, 2006, software expenses, processing charges, supplies and printing, professional services and shipping and handling expenses totaled $\$ 175.0$ million compared to $\$ 128.3$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2005, an increase of $\$ 46.7 \mathrm{million}$ or $36.4 \%$. The acquisitions accounted for $\$ 19.6$ million and $\$ 35.5$ million of the expense growth for the three and six months ended June 30,2006 compared to the three and six months ended June 30, 2005, respectively. Metavante's expense growth accounted for the majority of the remaining increase in expense for these items in the three and six months ended June 30,2006 compared to the three and six months ended June 30, 2005, respectively.

Amortization of intangibles amounted to $\$ 12.0$ million in the second quarter of 2006 compared to $\$ 8.1$ million in the second quarter of 2005 , an increase of $\$ 3.9$ million. For the six months ended June 30, 2006, amortization of intangibles amounted to $\$ 20.9$ million compared to $\$ 16.2$ million for the six months ended June 30,2005 , an increase of $\$ 4.7$ million. The increase in amortization associated with the acquisitions amounted to $\$ 5.1$ million and $\$ 7.1$ million for the three and six months ended June 30,2006 compared to the three and six months ended June 30, 2005, respectively. Those increases were offset by lower amortization of core deposit intangibles, which is based on a declining balance method and lower amortization of Metavante's contract intangibles from previous acquisitions.

Other expense amounted to $\$ 72.3$ million in the second quarter of 2006 compared to $\$ 68.9$ million in the second quarter of 2005 , an increase of $\$ 3.4$ million or $4.9 \%$. For the six months ended June 30,2006 , other expense amounted to $\$ 147.4$ million compared to $\$ 140.1$ million for the six months ended June 30,2005 , an increase of $\$ 7.3$ million or $5.2 \%$.

Other expense is affected by the capitalization of costs, net of amortization associated with software development and customer data processing conversions. Net software and conversion capitalization was $\$ 0.6$ million in the second quarter of 2006 compared to net software and conversion amortization of $\$ 5.7$ million in the second quarter of 2005 , resulting in a decrease to other expense over the comparative quarters of $\$ 6.3$ million. For the six months ended June 30,2006 , net software and conversion amortization was $\$ 2.1$ million compared to net software and conversion amortization of $\$ 11.4$ million for the six months ended June 30 , 2005, resulting in a decrease to other expense over the comparative six months of $\$ 9.3$ million.

The acquisitions accounted for $\$ 3.8$ million and $\$ 9.3$ million of the growth in other expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 compared to the three and six months ended June 30,2005 , respectively. The cost of card plastic and cost of equipment associated with Metavante's revenues and travel and other costs associated with the conversion and integration of the banking acquisitions accounted for the remainder of the increase in other expense for the three and six months ended June 30,2006 compared to the three and six months ended June 30 , 2005, respectively.

## INCOME TAXES

The provision for income taxes for the three months ended June 30,2006 amounted to $\$ 99.4$ million or $32.8 \%$ of pre-tax income compared to $\$ 90.0$ million or $32.9 \%$ of pre-tax income for the three months ended June 30 , 2005. For the six months ended June 30 , 2006 , the provision for income taxes amounted to $\$ 193.8$ million or $33.2 \%$ of pre-tax income compared to $\$ 174.9$ million or $33.4 \%$ of pre-tax income for the six months ended June 30, 2005. During the second quarter of 2006 , an income tax benefit was
recognized for the integration and realignment of Metavante subsidiaries that resulted in a lower provision for income taxes in the consolidated statements of income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006. During the second quarter of 2005, an income tax issue was resolved which primarily affected the banking segment and resulted in a lower provision for income taxes in the consolidated statements of income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005.

## LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Shareholders' equity was $\$ 5.8$ billion or $10.6 \%$ of total consolidated assets at June 30 , 2006, compared to $\$ 4.7$ billion or $10.2 \%$ of total consolidated assets at December 31, 2005, and $\$ 4.3$ billion or $9.8 \%$ of total consolidated assets at June 30, 2005.

During the second quarter of 2006, the Corporation issued 13,673,072 shares of its common stock and exchanged fully vested stock options to purchase 119,816 of its common stock with a total value of $\$ 603.9$ million in conjunction with the Corporation's acquisition of Gold Banc Corporation, Inc. Also during the second quarter of 2006 , the Corporation issued $3,069,430$ shares of its common stock and exchanged fully vested stock options to purchase 412,317 of its common stock with a total value of $\$ 148.3$ million in conjunction with the Corporation's acquisition of Trustcorp Financial, Inc. During the first quarter of 2006 , the Corporation issued 527,864 shares of its common stock valued at $\$ 23.2$ million in conjunction with Metavante's acquisition of AdminiSource Inc. Also during the first quarter of 2006, the Corporation issued 385,192 shares of its common stock valued at $\$ 16.9$ million to fund its 2005 obligations under its retirement and employee stock ownership plans.
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On April 25, 2006, the Corporation announced that its Board of Directors increased the quarterly cash dividend on its common stock 12.5\%, from $\$ 0.24$ per share to $\$ 0.27$ per share.

The Corporation has a Stock Repurchase Program under which it may repurchase up to 12 million shares of its common stock annually. During the first quarter of 2006 , the Corporation repurchased 1.0 million shares at an aggregate cost of $\$ 41.8$ million or an average price of $\$ 41.79$ per common share. There were no purchases under the program in the second quarter of 2006.

In 2005, the Corporation entered into an equity distribution agreement whereby the Corporation may offer and sell up to 3.5 million shares of its common stock from time to time through certain designated sales agents. However, the Corporation will not sell more than the number of shares of its common stock necessary for the aggregate gross proceeds from such sales to reach $\$ 150.0$ million. No sales occurred in the three or six months ended June 30,2006 . The aggregate gross proceeds available for future sales was approximately $\$ 143.3$ million at June $30,2006$.

At June 30, 2006, the net loss in accumulated other comprehensive income amounted to $\$ 101.3$ million, which represented a negative change in accumulated other comprehensive income of $\$ 64.0$ million since December 31, 2005. Net accumulated other comprehensive income associated with available for sale investment securities was a net loss of $\$ 104.3$ million at June 30, 2006, compared to a net loss of $\$ 36.3$ million at December 31 , 2005, resulting in a net loss of $\$ 68.0$ million over the six month period. Net accumulated other comprehensive income associated with the change in fair value of the Corporation's derivative financial instruments designated as cash flow hedges was a net gain of $\$ 4.0$ million over the six
month period.

The Corporation continues to have a strong capital base and its regulatory capital ratios are significantly above the minimum requirements as shown in the following tables. The risk-based capital and leverage ratios at December 31, 2005 have not been adjusted for the adoption of SFAS $123(R)$.

RISK-BASED CAPITAL RATIOS<br>(\$ in millions)

| Amount | Ratio |
| :---: | :---: |


| Amount | Ratio |
| :---: | :---: |


| Tier 1 Capital | \$ | 3,623 | $7.65 \%$ | \$ | 3,046 | $7.67 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tier 1 Capital |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Requirement |  | 1,894 | 4.00 |  | 1,588 | 4.00 |
| Excess | \$ | 1,729 | $3.65 \%$ | \$ | 1,458 | $3.67 \%$ |
| Total Capital | \$ | 5,283 | $11.15 \%$ | \$ | 4,659 | $11.74 \%$ |
| Total Capital |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Requirement |  | 3,789 | 8.00 |  | 3,176 | 8.00 |
| Excess | \$ | 1,494 | $3.15 \%$ | \$ | 1,483 | $3.74 \%$ |
| Risk-Adjusted Assets | \$ | 47,361 |  | \$ | 39,698 |  |

LEVERAGE RATIOS
(\$ in millions)

$\$ \quad 50,807$
\$ 43,039
$===============$
$-=========-=-=-$

M\&I manages its liquidity to ensure that funds are available to each of its banks to satisfy the cash flow requirements of depositors and borrowers and to ensure the Corporation's own cash requirements are met. M\&I maintains liquidity by obtaining funds from several sources.
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The Corporation's most readily available source of liquidity is its investment portfolio. Investment securities available for sale, which totaled $\$ 6.6$ billion at June 30, 2006, represent a highly accessible source of liquidity. The Corporation's portfolio of held-to-maturity investment securities, which totaled $\$ 0.5$ billion at June 30, 2006, provides liquidity from maturities and amortization payments. The Corporation's loans held for sale provide additional liquidity. These loans represent recently funded loans that are prepared for delivery to investors, which generally occurs within thirty to ninety days after the loan has been funded.

Depositors within M\&I's defined markets are another source of liquidity. Core deposits (demand, savings, money market and consumer time deposits) averaged $\$ 20.8$ billion in the second quarter of 2006 . The Corporation's banking affiliates may also access the federal funds markets or utilize collateralized borrowings such as treasury demand notes or FHLB advances.

The banking affiliates may use wholesale deposits, which include foreign (Eurodollar) deposits. Wholesale deposits are funds in the form of deposits generated through distribution channels other than the Corporation's own banking branches. These deposits allow the Corporation's banking subsidiaries to gather funds across a national geographic base and at pricing levels considered attractive, where the underlying depositor may be retail or institutional. Access to wholesale deposits also provides the Corporation with the flexibility to not pursue single service time deposit relationships in markets that have experienced some unprofitable pricing levels. Wholesale deposits averaged $\$ 8.0$ billion in the second quarter of 2006 .

The Corporation utilizes certain financing arrangements to meet its balance sheet management, funding, liquidity, and market or credit risk management needs. The majority of these activities are basic term or revolving securitization vehicles. These vehicles are generally funded through term-amortizing debt structures or with short-term commercial paper designed to be paid off based on the underlying cash flows of the assets securitized. These vehicles provide access to funding sources substantially separate from the general credit risk of the Corporation and its subsidiaries. See Note 8 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for an update of the Corporation's securitization activities in the second quarter of 2006 .

The Corporation's lead bank, M\&I Marshall \& Ilsley Bank (the "Bank"), has implemented a bank note program. During the second quarter of 2006 , the Bank amended the bank note program into a global bank note program which permits it to issue and sell up to a maximum of $U S \$ 13.0$ billion aggregate principal amount (or the equivalent thereof in other currencies) at any one time outstanding of its senior global bank notes with maturities of seven days or more from their respective date of issue and subordinated global bank notes with maturities more than five years from their respective date of issue. The notes may be fixed rate or floating rate and the exact terms will be specified in the applicable Pricing Supplement or the applicable Program Supplement. This program is intended to enhance liquidity by enabling the Bank to sell its debt instruments in global markets in the future without the delays which would otherwise be incurred. Senior global bank notes outstanding at June 30, 2006 amounted to $\$ 0.4$ billion.

Other bank notes outstanding at June 30, 2006 amounted to $\$ 6.0$ billion of which $\$ 1.3$ billion is subordinated and qualifies as supplementary capital for regulatory capital purposes. Bank notes issued during the first quarter of 2006 amounted to $\$ 500.0$ million.

The national capital markets represent a further source of liquidity to M\&I. M\&I has filed a number of shelf registration statements that are intended to permit M\&I to raise funds through sales of corporate debt and/or equity securities with a relatively short lead time.

During the third quarter of 2005, the Corporation amended the shelf registration statement originally filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission during the second quarter of 2004 to include the equity distribution agreement. The amended shelf registration statement enables the Corporation to issue various securities, including debt securities, common stock, preferred stock, depositary shares, purchase contracts, units, warrants, and trust preferred securities, up to an aggregate amount of $\$ 3.0$ billion. Approximately $\$ 1.3$ billion is available for future securities issuances.

During the fourth quarter of 2004, the Corporation filed a shelf registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission enabling the Corporation to issue up to 6.0 million shares of its common stock, which may be offered and issued from time to time in connection with acquisitions by M\&I, Metavante and/or other consolidated subsidiaries of the Corporation. At June 30, 2006, there were 3.1 million shares of common stock available for future issuances.
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Under another shelf registration statement, the Corporation may issue up to $\$ 0.6$ billion of medium-term Series $F$ notes with maturities ranging from 9 months to 30 years and at fixed or floating rates. At June 30, 2006, Series $F$ notes issued amounted to $\$ 250.0$ million. The Corporation may issue up to $\$ 0.5$ billion of medium-term MiNotes with maturities ranging from 9 months to 30 years and at fixed or floating rates. The MiNotes are issued in smaller denominations to attract retail investors. At June 30, 2006, MiNotes issued amounted to $\$ 0.2$ billion. Additionally, the Corporation has a commercial paper program. At June 30, 2006, commercial paper outstanding amounted to $\$ 0.3$ billion.

Short-term borrowings represent contractual debt obligations with maturities of one year or less and amounted to $\$ 4.1$ billion at June 30, 2006. Long-term borrowings amounted to \$10.1 billion at June 30, 2006. The scheduled maturities of long-term borrowings including estimated interest payments at June 30,2006 are as follows: $\$ 3.1$ billion is due in less than one year; $\$ 2.9$ billion is due in one to three years; $\$ 3.0$ billion is due in three to five years; and $\$ 4.0$ billion is due in more than five years. During the first quarter of 2006 , the Corporation issued shares of its common stock valued at $\$ 16.9$ million to fund a portion of its 2005 obligations under its retirement and employee stock ownership plans. There have been no other substantive changes to the Corporation's contractual obligations as reported in the Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005.

## OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

In conjunction with the acquisitions of Gold Banc Corporation, Inc. and Trustcorp Financial, Inc., the Corporation acquired all of the common interests in four Trusts that issued cumulative preferred capital securities which are supported by junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures in the amounts of $\$ 16.0$ million, $\$ 30.0$ million, $\$ 38.0$ million and $\$ 15.0$ million, respectively and full guarantees assumed by the Corporation. The Corporation does not consolidate these Trusts in accordance with United States generally accepted accounting principles. At June 30, 2006, there have been no other substantive changes with
respect to the Corporation's off-balance sheet activities as disclosed in the Corporation's Annual Report on Form $10-\mathrm{K}$ for the year ended December 31, 2005. See Note 8 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for an update of the Corporation's securitization activities in the second quarter of 2006. The Corporation continues to believe that based on the off-balance sheet arrangements with which it is presently involved, such off-balance sheet arrangements neither have, nor are reasonably likely to have, a material impact to its current or future financial condition, results of operations, liquidity or capital.

## CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The Corporation has established various accounting policies which govern the application of accounting principles generally accepted in the United States in the preparation of the Corporation's consolidated financial statements. The significant accounting policies of the Corporation are described in the footnotes to the consolidated financial statements contained in the Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005, and updated as necessary in its Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q. Certain accounting policies involve significant judgments and assumptions by management that may have a material impact on the carrying value of certain assets and liabilities. Management considers such accounting policies to be critical accounting policies. The judgments and assumptions used by management are based on historical experience and other factors, which are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. Because of the nature of judgments and assumptions made by management, actual results could differ from these judgments and estimates which could have a material impact on the carrying values of assets and liabilities and the results of the operations of the Corporation. Management continues to consider the following to be those accounting policies that require significant judgments and assumptions:

Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses
The allowance for loan and lease losses represents management's estimate of probable losses inherent in the Corporation's loan and lease portfolio. Management evaluates the allowance each quarter to determine that it is adequate to absorb these inherent losses. This evaluation is supported by a methodology that identifies estimated losses based on assessments of individual problem loans and historical loss patterns of homogeneous loan pools. In addition, environmental factors, including economic conditions and regulatory guidance, unique to each measurement date are also considered. This reserving methodology has the following components:
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Specific Reserve. The Corporation's internal risk rating system is used to identify loans and leases that meet the criteria as being "impaired" under the definition in SFAS 114. A loan is impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that a creditor will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. For impaired loans, impairment is measured using one of three alternatives: (1) the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate; (2) the loan's observable market price, if available; or (3) the fair value of the collateral for collateral dependent loans and loans for which foreclosure is deemed to be probable. In general, these loans have been internally identified as credits requiring management's attention due to underlying problems in the borrower's business or collateral concerns. Subject to a minimum size, a quarterly review of these loans is performed to identify the specific reserve necessary to be allocated to each of these loans.

This analysis considers expected future cash flows, the value of collateral and also other factors that may impact the borrower's ability to make payments when due.

Collective Loan Impairment. This component of the allowance for loan and lease losses is comprised of two elements. First, the Corporation makes a significant number of loans and leases, which due to their underlying similar characteristics, are assessed for loss as homogeneous pools. Included in the homogeneous pools are loans and leases from the retail sector and commercial loans under a certain size that have been excluded from the specific reserve allocation previously discussed. The Corporation segments the pools by type of loan or lease and, using historical loss information, estimates a loss reserve for each pool.

The second element reflects management's recognition of the uncertainty and imprecision underlying the process of estimating losses. The internal risk rating system is used to identify those loans within certain industry segments that based on financial, payment or collateral performance, warrant closer ongoing monitoring by management. The specific loans mentioned earlier are excluded from this analysis. Based on management's judgment, reserve ranges are allocated to industry segments due to environmental conditions unique to the measurement period. Consideration is given to both internal and external environmental factors such as economic conditions in certain geographic or industry segments of the portfolio, economic trends, risk profile, and portfolio composition. Reserve ranges are then allocated using estimates of loss exposure that management has identified based on these economic trends or conditions.

The following factors were taken into consideration in determining the adequacy of the allowance for loan and lease losses at June 30, 2006:

In general, the Corporation's customer base is still showing signs of increased business activity as evidenced by the continued loan growth in this quarter.

At June 30, 2006, allowances for loan and lease losses continue to be carried for exposures to manufacturing, healthcare, production agriculture (including dairy and cropping operations), truck transportation, accommodation, general contracting, motor vehicle and parts dealers and the airline industries. The majority of the commercial charge-offs incurred during the past three years were in these industry segments. While most loans in these categories are still performing, the Corporation continues to believe these sectors present a higher than normal risk due to their financial and external characteristics. Reduced revenues causing a declining utilization of the industry's capacity levels can affect collateral values and the amounts realized through the sale or liquidation.

During the second quarter of 2006 , the Corporation's commitments to Shared National Credits were approximately $\$ 3.6$ billion with usage averaging around $47 \%$. Over time, many of the Corporation's largest charge-offs have come from the Shared National Credit portfolio. Although these factors result in an increased risk profile, as of June 30, 2006, there were no Shared National Credit nonperforming loans. The Corporation's exposure to Shared National Credits is monitored closely given this lending group's loss experience.

The Corporation's primary lending areas are Wisconsin, Arizona, Minnesota and Missouri. The vast majority of the assets acquired from Gold Banc Corporation, Inc. are in entirely new markets for the Corporation. Included in these new markets are the Kansas City metropolitan area, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and Tampa, Sarasota and Bradenton,

Florida. Each of these regions and markets has cultural and environmental factors that are unique to them.

At June 30, 2006, nonperforming loans and leases amounted to \$198.0 million or $0.49 \%$ of consolidated loans and leases compared to $\$ 149.1$ million or $0.42 \%$ of consolidated loans and leases at March 31, 2006, and $\$ 131.6$ million or $0.41 \%$ of consolidated loans and leases at June 30, 2005. Approximately $\$ 42.7$ million or $87 \%$ of the $\$ 48.9$ million increase in nonperforming loans from March 31, 2006 to June 30, 2006 was attributable to the banking acquisitions. Excluding the effect of the acquisitions, nonperforming loans and leases would have amounted to $\$ 155.3$ million or $0.43 \%$ of consolidated loans and leases at June 30, 2006. Excluding the acquisitions, the pro forma ratio of nonperforming loans and leases to consolidated loans and leases at June 30, 2006 and the actual ratio at each quarter end throughout 2005 has remained in a fairly narrow range and continues to be below management's expectations. Nonaccrual loans and leases continue to be the primary source of nonperforming loans and leases.

> Net charge-offs amounted to $\$ 9.9$ million or $0.10 \%$ of average loans and leases in the second quarter of 2006 compared to $\$ 6.0$ million or $0.07 \%$ of average loans and leases in the first quarter of 2006 and $\$ 11.9$ million or $0.15 \%$ of average loans and leases in the second quarter of 2005. The lower level of net charge-offs experienced throughout 2005 and the first six months of 2006 has to some extent been the result of higher than normal recoveries. Based on the status of some of the larger charge-offs recognized in recent quarters, management expects recoveries will likely return to lower levels in future periods. The ratio of recoveries to charge-offs was $28.7 \%$ for the three months ended June 30,2006 which was closer to average historical experience. The ratio of recoveries to charge-offs was $35.3 \%$ for the six months ended June 30,2006 which continues to be above the Corporation's five year historical average ratio of recoveries to charge-offs of $27.9 \%$.

Based on the above loss estimates, management determined its best estimate of the required allowance for loans and leases. Management's evaluation of the factors described above resulted in an allowance for loan and lease losses of $\$ 415.2$ million or $1.03 \%$ of loans and leases outstanding at June 30, 2006. The allowance for loan and lease losses was $\$ 363.8$ million or $1.06 \%$ of loans and leases outstanding at December 31, 2005 and $\$ 360.1$ million or $1.12 \%$ of loans and leases outstanding at June 30, 2005. Consistent with the credit quality trends noted above, the provision for loan and lease losses amounted to $\$ 11.1$ million for the three months ended June 30, 2006 and $\$ 22.0$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2006. By comparison, the provision for loan and lease losses amounted to \$13.7 million for the three months ended June 30,2005 and $\$ 21.9$ million for the six months ended June 30 , 2005. The resulting provisions for loan and lease losses are the amounts required to establish the allowance for loan and lease losses at the required level after considering charge-offs and recoveries. Management recognizes there are significant estimates in the process and the ultimate losses could be significantly different from those currently estimated.

The Corporation has not materially changed any aspect of its overall approach in the determination of the allowance for loan and lease losses. There have been no material changes in assumptions or estimation techniques as compared to prior periods that impacted the determination of the current period allowance. However, on an on-going basis the Corporation continues to refine the methods used in determining management's best estimate of the allowance for loan and lease losses.

## Capitalized Software and Conversion Costs

Direct costs associated with the production of computer software that will be licensed externally or used in a service bureau environment are capitalized. Capitalization of such costs is subject to strict accounting policy criteria, although the appropriate time to initiate capitalization requires management judgment. Once the specific capitalized project is put into production, the software cost is amortized over its estimated useful life, generally four years. Each quarter, the Corporation performs net realizable value tests to ensure the assets are recoverable. Such tests require management judgment as to the future sales and profitability of a particular product which involves, in some cases, multi-year projections. Technology changes and changes in customer requirements can have a significant impact on the recoverability of these assets and can be difficult to predict. Should significant adverse changes occur, estimates of useful life may have to be revised or write-offs would be required to recognize impairment. For the three months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, the amount of software costs capitalized amounted to $\$ 13.7$ million and $\$ 9.9$ million, respectively. Amortization expense of software costs amounted to $\$ 13.4$ million for the three months ended June 30, 2006 compared to $\$ 15.4$ million for the three months ended June 30, 2005. For the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, the amount of software costs capitalized amounted to $\$ 25.0$ million and $\$ 18.9$ million, respectively. Amortization expense of software costs amounted to $\$ 27.6$ million for the six months ended June 30,2006 compared to $\$ 30.3$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2005.

Direct costs associated with customer system conversions to the data processing operations are capitalized and amortized on a straight-line basis over the terms, generally five to seven years, of the related servicing contracts.
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Capitalization only occurs when management is satisfied that such costs are recoverable through future operations or penalties (buyout fees) in case of early termination. For the three months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, the amount of conversion costs capitalized amounted to $\$ 3.1$ million and $\$ 2.7$ million, respectively. Amortization expense of conversion costs amounted to $\$ 2.8$ million and $\$ 2.9$ million for the three months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. For the six months ended June 30,2006 and 2005, the amount of conversion costs capitalized amounted to \$5.5 million and $\$ 5.6$ million, respectively. Amortization expense of conversion costs amounted to $\$ 5.0$ million and $\$ 5.6$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Net unamortized costs were (\$ in millions):


The Corporation has not substantively changed any aspect of its overall approach in the determination of the amount of costs that are capitalized for software development or conversion activities. There have been no material changes in assumptions or estimation techniques as compared to prior periods that impacted the determination of the periodic amortization of such costs.

Financial Asset Sales and Securitizations

The Corporation utilizes certain financing arrangements to meet its balance sheet management, funding, liquidity, and market or credit risk management needs. The majority of these activities are basic term or revolving securitization vehicles. These vehicles are generally funded through term-amortizing debt structures or with short term commercial paper designed to be paid off based on the underlying cash flows of the assets securitized. These financing entities are contractually limited to a narrow range of activities that facilitate the transfer of or access to various types of assets or financial instruments. In certain situations, the Corporation provides liquidity and/or loss protection agreements. In determining whether the financing entity should be consolidated, the Corporation considers whether the entity is a qualifying special-purpose entity ("QSPE") as defined in Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 140, Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities. For non-consolidation, a QSPE must be demonstrably distinct, have significantly limited permitted activities, hold assets that are restricted to transferred financial assets and related assets, and can sell or dispose of non-cash financial assets only in response to specified conditions.

In December 2003, the Corporation adopted Financial Accounting Standards Board Interpretation No. 46 ("FIN 46R"), Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities (revised December 2003). This interpretation addresses consolidation by business enterprises of variable interest entities. Transferors to QSPEs and "grandfathered" QSPEs subject to the reporting requirements of SFAS 140 are outside the scope of FIN 46R and do not consolidate those entities. With respect to the Corporation's securitization activities, the adoption of FIN $46 R$ did not have an impact on its consolidated financial statements because its transfers are generally to QSPEs.

The Corporation sells financial assets in a two-step process that results in a surrender of control over the assets as evidenced by true-sale opinions from legal counsel, to unconsolidated entities that securitize the assets. The Corporation retains interests in the securitized assets in the form of interest-only strips and a cash reserve account. Gain or loss on sale of the assets depends in part on the carrying amount assigned to the assets sold allocated between the asset sold and retained interests based on their relative fair values at the date of transfer. The value of the retained interests is based on the present value of expected cash flows estimated using management's best estimates of the key assumptions credit losses, prepayment speeds, forward yield curves and discount rates commensurate with the risks involved. Actual results can differ from expected results.

The Corporation reviews the carrying values of the retained interests monthly to determine if there is a decline in value that is other than temporary and periodically reviews the propriety of the assumptions used based on current historical experience as well as the sensitivities of the carrying value of the retained interests to adverse changes in the key assumptions. The Corporation believes that its estimates result in a reasonable carrying value of the retained interests.
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The Corporation regularly sells automobile loans to an unconsolidated multi-seller special purpose entity commercial paper conduit in securitization transactions in which servicing responsibilities and subordinated interests are retained. The outstanding balances of automobile loans sold in these securitization transactions were $\$ 1,028.4$ million at June 30,2006 . At June 30,2006 the carrying amount of retained interests amounted to $\$ 35.4$ million.

The Corporation also sells, from time to time, debt securities classified as available for sale that are highly rated to an unconsolidated bankruptcy remote QSPE whose activities are limited to issuing highly rated asset-backed commercial paper with maturities up to 180 days which is used to finance the purchase of the investment securities. The Corporation provides liquidity back-up in the form of Liquidity Purchase Agreements. In addition, the Corporation acts as counterparty to interest rate swaps that enable the QSPE to hedge its interest rate risk. Such swaps are designated as free-standing derivative financial instruments in the Corporation's Consolidated Balance Sheet.

At June 30, 2006, highly rated investment securities in the amount of $\$ 301.7$ million were outstanding in the QSPE to support the outstanding commercial paper.

## Income Taxes

Income taxes are accounted for using the asset and liability method. Under this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax basis. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in the income statement in the period that includes the enactment date.

The determination of current and deferred income taxes is based on complex analyses of many factors, including interpretation of Federal and state income tax laws, the difference between tax and financial reporting basis of assets and liabilities (temporary differences), estimates of amounts currently due or owed, such as the timing of reversals of temporary differences and current accounting standards. The Federal and state taxing authorities who make assessments based on their determination of tax laws periodically review the Corporation's interpretation of Federal and state income tax laws. Tax liabilities could differ significantly from the estimates and interpretations used in determining the current and deferred income tax liabilities based on the completion of taxing authority examinations.

In June 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued FASB Interpretation No. 48, ("FIN 48"), Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109. FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in financial statements in accordance with FASB Statement No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. FIN 48 prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. FIN 48 also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and
transition.

The provisions of FIN 48 are effective beginning January 1, 2007, with the cumulative effect of the change in accounting principle recorded as an adjustment to opening retained earnings. The Corporation is currently evaluating the financial statement impact, if any, of adopting FIN 48.

## FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Items 2 and 3 of this Form 10-Q, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk," respectively, contain forwardlooking statements within the meaning of the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Such forward-looking statements include, without limitation, statements regarding expected financial and operating activities and results which are preceded by words such as "expects", "anticipates" or "believes". Such statements are subject to important factors that could cause the Corporation's actual results to differ materially from those anticipated by the forward-looking statements. These factors include those referenced in Item 1A, Risk Factors, of the Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005 and under the heading "Forward-Looking Statements," and as may be described from time to time in the Corporation's subsequent SEC filings, and such factors are incorporated herein by reference.
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ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The following updated information should be read in conjunction with the Corporation's Annual Report on Form $10-\mathrm{K}$ for the year ended December 31, 2005. Updated information regarding the Corporation's use of derivative financial instruments is contained in Note 12, Notes to Financial
Statements contained in Item 1 herein.

Market risk arises from exposure to changes in interest rates, exchange rates, commodity prices, and other relevant market rate or price risk. The Corporation faces market risk through trading and other than trading activities. While market risk that arises from trading activities in the form of foreign exchange and interest rate risk is immaterial to the Corporation, market risk from other than trading activities in the form of interest rate risk is measured and managed through a number of methods.

## Interest Rate Risk

The Corporation uses financial modeling techniques to identify potential changes in income under a variety of possible interest rate scenarios. Financial institutions, by their nature, bear interest rate and liquidity risk as a necessary part of the business of managing financial assets and liabilities. The Corporation has designed strategies to limit these risks within prudent parameters and identify appropriate risk/reward tradeoffs in the financial structure of the balance sheet.

The financial models identify the specific cash flows, repricing timing and embedded option characteristics of the assets and liabilities held by the Corporation. Policies are in place to assure that neither earnings nor fair value at risk exceed appropriate limits. The use of a limited array of derivative financial instruments has allowed the Corporation to achieve the desired balance sheet repricing structure while simultaneously meeting the desired objectives of both its borrowing and depositing
customers.

The models used include measures of the expected repricing characteristics of administered rate (NOW, savings and money market accounts) and non-rate related products (demand deposit accounts, other assets and other liabilities). These measures recognize the relative insensitivity of these accounts to changes in market interest rates, as demonstrated through current and historical experiences. In addition to contractual payment information for most other assets and liabilities, the models also include estimates of expected prepayment characteristics for those items that are likely to materially change their payment structures in different rate environments, including residential mortgage products, certain commercial and commercial real estate loans and certain mortgage-related securities. Estimates for these sensitivities are based on industry assessments and are substantially driven by the differential between the contractual coupon of the item and current market rates for similar products.

This information is incorporated into a model that allows the projection of future income levels in several different interest rate environments. Earnings at risk are calculated by modeling income in an environment where rates remain constant, and comparing this result to income in a different rate environment, and then dividing this difference by the corporation's budgeted operating income before taxes for the calendar year. Since future interest rate moves are difficult to predict, the following table presents two potential scenarios - a gradual increase of 100bp across the entire yield curve over the course of the year (+25bp per quarter), and a gradual decrease of 100 bp across the entire yield curve over the course of the year ( -25 bp per quarter) for the balance sheet as of the indicated dates:

| $\begin{gathered} \text { June } 30 \text {, } \\ 2006 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { March 31, } \\ 2006 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, September 30, } \\ 2005 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

Hypothetical Change in Interest Rate
100 basis point gradual:

| Rise in rates | $(0.3) \%$ | $(0.2) \%$ | $(0.2) \%$ | $(0.1) \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Decline in rates | $0.3 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |

0
( 0

These results are based solely on the modeled parallel changes in market rates, and do not reflect the earnings sensitivity that may arise from other factors such as changes in the shape of the yield curve and changes in spread between key market rates. These results also do not include any management action to mitigate potential income variances within the simulation process. Such action could potentially include, but would not be limited to, adjustments to the repricing characteristics of any on- or off-balance sheet item with regard to short-term rate projections and current market value assessments.
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Actual results will differ from simulated results due to the timing, magnitude, and frequency of interest rate changes as well as changes in market conditions and management strategies.

Another component of interest rate risk is measuring the fair value at risk for a given change in market interest rates. The Corporation also uses computer modeling techniques to determine the present value of all asset and liability cash flows (both on- and off-balance sheet), adjusted for prepayment expectations, using a market discount rate. The net change in the present value of the asset and liability cash flows in different market rate environments is the amount of fair value at risk from those rate movements. As of June 30,2006 , the fair value of equity at risk for a gradual 100bp shift in rates was no more than $2.0 \%$ of the market value of the Corporation.

## Equity Risk

In addition to interest rate risk, the Corporation incurs market risk in the form of equity risk. The Corporation invests directly and indirectly through investment funds, in private medium-sized companies to help establish new businesses or recapitalize existing ones. These investments expose the Corporation to the change in equity values for the portfolio companies. However, fair values are difficult to determine until an actual sale or liquidation transaction actually occurs. At June 30, 2006, the carrying value of total active capital markets investments amounted to approximately $\$ 39.1$ million.

As of June 30, 2006, M\&I Trust Services administered $\$ 89.1$ billion in assets and directly managed a portfolio of $\$ 20.4$ billion. The Corporation is exposed to changes in equity values due to the fact that fee income is partially based on equity balances. Quantification of this exposure is difficult due to the number of other variables affecting fee income. Interest rate changes can also have an effect on fee income for the above stated reasons.

## ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

We maintain a set of disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports filed by us under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 , as amended, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. We carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Rule $13 a-15$ of the Exchange Act. Based on that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective as of the end of the period covered by this report.

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting identified in connection with the evaluation discussed above that occurred during our last fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.
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PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

The following table reflects the purchases of Marshall \& Ilsley
Corporation stock for the specified period:

| Period | ```Total Number of Shares Purchased(1)``` |  | verage <br> e Paid <br> Share | Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of of Publicly <br> Announced Plans or Programs | Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| January 1 to January 31, 2006 | 443,919 | \$ | 41.92 | 437,700 | $11,562,300$ |
| February 1 to February 28, 2006 | 565,972 |  | 41.70 | 562,300 | $11,000,000$ |
| March 1 to <br> March 31, 2006 | 2,434 |  | 41.84 | -- | $11,000,000$ |
| April 1 to April 30, 2006 | 123,064 |  | 44.22 | -- | $11,000,000$ |
| May 1 to <br> May 31, 2006 | 3,129 |  | 45.08 | -- | $11,000,000$ |
| June 1 to June 30, 2006 | 2,635 |  | 45.04 | -- | $11,000,000$ |
| Total | 1,141,153 | \$ | 42.07 | 1,000,000 |  |

(1) Includes shares purchased by rabbi trusts pursuant to nonqualified deferred compensation plans.

The Corporation's Share Repurchase Program was publicly reconfirmed in April 2005 and again in April 2006. The Share Repurchase Program authorizes the purchase of up to 12 million shares annually and renews each year at that level unless changed or terminated by subsequent Board action.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS
(a)

The Corporation held its Annual Meeting of Shareholders on April 25, 2006 .
(b)

Votes cast for the election of five directors to serve as Class I directors until the 2009 Annual Meeting of Shareholders are as follows:
Director

Mark F. Furlong
Ted D. Kellner
Katharine C. Lyall
Peter M. Platten, III
James B. Wigdale

| For | Withheld |
| :---: | :---: |
| ---------- | -------- |
| $190,663,322$ | $4,510,194$ |
| $188,042,220$ | $7,131,296$ |
| $191,780,574$ | $3,392,942$ |
| $185,630,211$ | $9,543,305$ |
| $190,741,495$ | $4,432,021$ |

```
            Jon F. Chait Malcolm M. Aslin
            Bruce E. Jacobs
            Dennis J. Kuester
            Edward L. Meyer, Jr.
            San W. Orr, Jr.
            Debra S. Waller
            George E. Wardeberg
                                    Andrew N. Baur
                                John W. Daniels, Jr.
                                John A. Mellowes
                                Robert J. O'Toole
                            John S. Shiely
(c)
Votes cast for the ratification of the appointment of Deloitte & Touche
LLP to audit the statements of the Company for the fiscal year ending
December 31, 2006 are as follows:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & For & Against & Abstentions \\
\hline Ratification & & & \\
\hline of Auditors & 192,281,360 & 1,415,784 & 1,476,372 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
Votes cast to approve the Company's 2006 Equity Incentive Plan are as follows:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & For & Against & Abstentions & Non-Vote \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Ratification} \\
\hline of Plan & 119,283,686 & ,900,515 & 3,647,656 & 33,341,659 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

Votes cast for the shareholder proposal to request the Board of Directors of the Company to declassify the board are as follows:

|  | For | Against | Abstentions | Non-Vote |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Request to <br> declassify the |  |  |  |  |
| Board of <br> Directors | $79,363,430$ | $78,488,983$ | $3,979,444$ | $33,341,659$ |

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS
Exhibit 10 - Change of Control Agreement, dated June 19, 2006, between the Corporation and Gregory A. Smith, incorporated by reference to Item 1.01 of the Corporation's amended Current Report on Form 8-K/A filed June 19, 2006, SEC File No. 1-15403. *

Exhibit 11 - Statement Regarding Computation of Earnings Per Share, Incorporated by Reference to NOTE 4 of Notes to Financial Statements contained in Item 1 - Financial Statements (unaudited) of Part I - Financial Information herein.

Exhibit 12 - Statement Regarding Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges

Exhibit $31(a)$ - Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

Exhibit $31(\mathrm{~b})$ - Certification of Chief Financial Officer

```
    pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities
    Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
    Exhibit 32(a) - Certification of Chief Executive Officer
    pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.
    Exhibit 32(b) - Certification of Chief Financial Officer
    pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.
```

* Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.
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SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

```
MARSHALL \& ILSLEY CORPORATION
(Registrant)
/s/ Patricia R. Justiliano
Patricia R. Justiliano
Senior Vice President and
    Corporate Controller
    (Chief Accounting Officer)
    /s/ James E. Sandy
    James E. Sandy
    Vice President
```

August 9, 2006
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## EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit Number
(10)
(11)

Description of Exhibit

[^0](12) | contained in Item 1 - Financial Statements |
| :--- |
| (unaudited) of Part I - Financial Information |
| herein. |

(31)(a) | Statement Regarding Computation of Ratio |
| :--- |
| of Earnings to Fixed Charges. |

(31)(b) (a) | Certification of Chief Executive Officer |
| :--- |
| pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the |
| Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. |

(32)(b) | Certification of Chief Financial Officer |
| :--- |
| pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the |
| Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. |

| Certification of Chief Executive Officer |
| :--- |

pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.

[^1]
[^0]:    Change of Control Agreement, dated June 19, 2006, between the Corporation and Gregory A. Smith, incorporated by reference to Item 1.01 of the Corporation's amended Current Report on Form 8-K/A filed June 19, 2006, SEC File No. 1-15403. *

    Statement Regarding Computation of Earnings Per Share, Incorporated by Reference to NOTE 4 of Notes to Financial Statements

[^1]:    * Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

