

Edgar Filing: LASERSIGHT INC /DE - Form 10-Q

LASERSIGHT INC /DE  
Form 10-Q  
May 15, 2001

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

Quarterly Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2001.

or

Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. For the Transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_.

Commission File Number: 0-19671

LASERSIGHT INCORPORATED

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

65-0273162

\_\_\_\_\_  
(State of Incorporation)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(IRS Employer Identification No.)

3300 University Blvd., Suite 140, Winter Park, Florida 32792

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

(407) 678-9900

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes    X                    No  
-----

The number of shares of the registrant's common stock outstanding as of May 14, 2001 is 23,563,111.

LASERSIGHT INCORPORATED AND SUBSIDIARIES

## Edgar Filing: LASERSIGHT INC /DE - Form 10-Q

Except for the historical information contained herein, the discussion in this report contains forward-looking statements (within the meaning of Section 21E of the Exchange Act) that involve risks and uncertainties. LaserSight's actual results could differ materially from those discussed here. Factors that could cause or contribute to such differences include, but are not limited to, those discussed in the sections entitled "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Uncertainties and Other Issues" in this report and in LaserSight's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2000. LaserSight undertakes no obligation to update any such factors or to publicly announce the results of any revisions to any of the forward-looking statements contained herein to reflect any future events or developments.

### INDEX

#### PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2001  
and December 31, 2000

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three  
Month Periods Ended March 31, 2001 and 2000

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Three  
Month Periods Ended March 31, 2001 and 2000

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

Independent Auditors' Review Report

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition  
and Results of Operations

Item 3. Management's Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about  
Market Risk

#### PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Item 2. Changes in Securities

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

Item 5. Other Information

Item 6. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K

#### PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

##### ITEM 1 - FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

LASERSIGHT INCORPORATED AND SUBSIDIARIES

# Edgar Filing: LASERSIGHT INC /DE - Form 10-Q

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

ASSETS	March 31, 2001	December 31, 2000
	-----	-----
Current assets:	(Unaudited)	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 11,682,866	8,593,858
Accounts receivable - trade, net	10,205,569	9,546,368
Notes receivable - current portion, net	4,079,394	4,065,958
Inventories	12,912,184	12,123,877
Deferred tax assets	55,522	55,522
Other current assets	957,743	272,745
	-----	-----
Total Current Assets	39,893,278	34,658,328
Notes receivable, less current portion, net	2,459,835	2,833,393
Property and equipment, net	2,135,460	2,398,292
Patents, net	4,712,259	7,204,981
Goodwill, net	3,170,442	3,232,425
Other assets, net	1,577,412	1,549,033
	-----	-----
	\$ 53,948,686	51,876,452
	=====	=====
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 4,983,295	3,870,791
Accrued expenses	6,450,394	7,030,657
Accrued commissions	1,831,701	1,926,996
Deferred revenue	1,135,545	1,149,415
	-----	-----
Total Current Liabilities	14,400,935	13,977,859
Accrued expenses, less current portion	325,265	398,767
Deferred income taxes	55,522	55,522
Long-term obligations	112,130	109,730
Note payable, net of unamortized discount of \$119,493 at March 31, 2001	2,880,507	--
Commitments and contingencies		
Stockholders' equity:		
Convertible preferred stock, authorized 10,000,000 shares; par value \$.001 per share		
Series C - 2,000,000 issued and outstanding at March 31, 2001 and December 31, 2000	2,000	2,000
Common stock - par value \$.001 per share; authorized 100,000,000 shares; 23,708,311 and 22,920,278 shares issued at March 31, 2001 and December 31, 2000, respectively	23,708	22,920
Additional paid-in capital	99,905,894	98,594,665
Stock subscription receivable	(1,140,000)	(1,140,000)
Accumulated deficit	(62,074,628)	(59,602,364)
Less treasury stock, at cost; 145,200 common shares at March 31, 2001 and December 31, 2000	(542,647)	(542,647)
	-----	-----
	36,174,327	37,334,574
	-----	-----
	\$ 53,948,686	51,876,452
	=====	=====

## Edgar Filing: LASERSIGHT INC /DE - Form 10-Q

See accompanying notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

3

### LASERSIGHT INCORPORATED AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2001	2000
Revenues:		
Products	\$ 3,998,047	7,832,478
Royalties	150,000	669,179
Services	245,857	193,345
	-----	-----
	4,393,904	8,695,002
Cost of revenues:		
Product cost	1,924,557	3,408,524
Cost of services	108,177	85,072
	-----	-----
Gross profit	2,361,170	5,201,406
Research, development and regulatory expenses	931,304	940,716
Other general and administrative expenses	6,627,894	5,028,035
Selling-related expenses	1,161,079	1,618,077
Amortization of intangibles	219,900	635,465
	-----	-----
	8,008,873	7,281,577
	-----	-----
Loss from operations	(6,579,007)	(3,020,887)
Other income and expenses		
Interest and dividend income	194,383	264,335
Interest expense	(38,476)	(2,400)
Gain on sale of patent	3,950,836	--
	-----	-----
Net loss before income taxes	(2,472,264)	(2,758,952)
Income tax expense	--	--
	-----	-----
Net loss	\$ (2,472,264)	(2,758,952)
	=====	=====
Loss per common share		
Basic and diluted:	\$ (0.11)	(0.14)
	=====	=====
Weighted average number of shares outstanding		

Edgar Filing: LASERSIGHT INC /DE - Form 10-Q

Basic and diluted: 23,514,000 19,234,000  
===== =====

See accompanying notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

4

LASERSIGHT INCORPORATED AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS  
THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2001 AND 2000  
(Unaudited)

	2001	2000
	-----	-----
Cash flow from operating activities		
Net loss	\$ (2,472,264)	(2,758,952)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	573,238	877,576
Gain on sale of patent	(3,950,836)	--
Common stock issued for services	60,469	--
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Accounts and notes receivable	(299,079)	(3,479,354)
Inventories	(788,307)	(979,959)
Accounts payable	1,112,504	1,450,760
Accrued expenses	(85,846)	1,028,812
Deferred revenue	(13,870)	50,705
Other	26,777	(689,526)
	-----	-----
Net cash used in operating activities	(5,837,214)	(4,499,938)
Cash flows from investing activities		
Purchases of property and equipment, net	(77,784)	(231,443)
Proceeds from sale of intangible assets, net	6,365,000	--
Acquisition of intangible assets	--	(2,825,000)
	-----	-----
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	6,287,216	(3,056,443)
Cash flows from financing activities		
Proceeds from common stock financing, net	--	13,202,452
Proceeds from exercise of stock options and ESPP	10,333	43,438
Proceeds from debt financing, net	2,628,673	--
	-----	-----
Net cash provided by financing activities	2,639,006	13,245,890
	-----	-----
Increase in cash and cash equivalents	3,089,008	5,689,509
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	8,593,858	11,247,801
	-----	-----
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 11,682,866	16,937,310
	=====	=====

## Edgar Filing: LASERSIGHT INC /DE - Form 10-Q

See accompanying notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

5

LASERSIGHT INCORPORATED AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
Three Month Periods Ended March 31, 2001 and 2000

### NOTE 1 BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying unaudited, condensed consolidated financial statements of LaserSight Incorporated and subsidiaries (LaserSight) as of March 31, 2001, and for the three months ended March 31, 2001 and 2000 have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and note disclosures required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. These condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in LaserSight's annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2000. In the opinion of management, the condensed consolidated financial statements include all adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of consolidated financial position and the results of operations and cash flows for the periods presented. The results of operations for the three month period ended March 31, 2001 are not necessarily indicative of the operating results for the full year. The report of KPMG LLP, independent auditors, commenting upon their review accompanies the condensed consolidated financial statements included in Item 1 of Part I.

### NOTE 2 PER SHARE INFORMATION

Basic loss per common share is computed using the weighted average number of common shares and contingently issuable shares (to the extent that all necessary contingencies have been satisfied). Diluted loss per common share is computed using the weighted average number of common shares, contingently issuable shares, and common share equivalents outstanding during each period. Common share equivalents include options, warrants to purchase Common Stock, and convertible Preferred Stock and are included in the computation using the treasury stock method if they would have a dilutive effect.

### NOTE 3 INVENTORIES

Inventories, which consist primarily of excimer and erbium laser systems and related parts and components, are stated at the lower of cost or market. Cost is determined using the standard cost method, which approximates costs determined on the first-in first-out basis. The components of inventories at March 31, 2001 and December 31, 2000 are summarized as follows:

	March 31, 2001	December 31, 2000
	-----	-----
Raw materials	\$ 7,812,779	6,704,447
Work-in-process	205,781	121,474
Finished goods	4,180,408	4,482,276
Test equipment - clinical trials	713,216	815,680
	-----	-----
	\$ 12,912,184	12,123,877

Edgar Filing: LASERSIGHT INC /DE - Form 10-Q

NOTE 4 SEGMENT INFORMATION

The Company operates principally in three operating segments: refractive products, patent services and health care services. Refractive product operations primarily involve the development, manufacture, and sale of ophthalmic lasers and related devices for use in vision correction procedures. Patent services involve the revenues and expenses generated from the ownership of certain refractive laser procedure patents, and health care services provides health and vision care consulting services to hospitals, managed care companies, and physicians.

Operating profit is total revenue less operating expenses. In determining operating profit for operating segments, the following items have not been considered: general corporate expenses; expenses attributable to LaserSight Centers Incorporated a developmental stage company in 2000; non-operating income and income tax expense. Identifiable assets by operating segment are those that are used by or applicable to each operating segment. General corporate assets consist primarily of cash, marketable equity securities and income tax accounts

The table below summarizes information about reported segments as of and for the three months ended March 31:

	Operating Revenues -----	Operating Profit (Loss) -----	Assets -----	Depreciat and Amortizat -----
2001				
----				
Operating segments:				
Refractive products	\$ 3,998,047	(6,178,559)	38,268,413	489,54
Patent services	150,000	150,000	150,000	-
Health care services	245,857	(86,916)	3,362,509	68,26
General corporate	--	(463,532)	12,167,764	2,70
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Consolidated total	\$ 4,393,904	(6,579,007)	53,948,686	560,51
	=====	=====	=====	=====
2000				
----				
Operating segments:				
Refractive products	\$ 7,832,478	(2,875,157)	37,386,392	603,53
Patent services	669,179	539,849	2,982,154	129,33
Health care services	193,345	(106,756)	3,539,121	71,67
General corporate	--	(509,649)	17,015,050	3,85
Developmental stage company - LaserSight Centers	--	(69,174)	2,700,831	69,17
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Consolidated total	\$ 8,695,002	(3,020,887)	63,623,548	877,57
	=====	=====	=====	=====

## Edgar Filing: LASERSIGHT INC /DE - Form 10-Q

Amortization of deferred financing costs and discount on note payable of \$12,722 for the three months ended March 31, 2001, is included as interest expense.

7

### NOTE 5 SALE OF INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

#### Sale of Patent

-----

On March 1, 2001, the Company completed the sale of U.S. Patent No. 4,784,135 (Blum Patent) for a cash payment of \$6.5 million. The Company retained a non-exclusive royalty free license and the rights associated with a third party's license under the Blum Patent. Net of costs associated with the sale, the Company recognized a gain on the sale of the patent of approximately \$4.0 million.

### NOTE 6 LICENSE AGREEMENT

Effective January 3, 2001, the Company entered into an amended and restated license and royalty agreement related to the Company's keratome products. This agreement replaced an agreement originally entered into in September 1997 and amended in January 2000. Under the terms of the amended and restated license, 730,552 shares of Common Stock were issued, valued at approximately \$1.1 million, in partial payment for royalties during the term of the license. The term was extended three years until July 31, 2005. In addition, minimum royalty payments totaling approximately \$6.2 million will be due in quarterly installments through the term of the amendment, including \$250,000 that was paid during the quarter ended March 31, 2001. The royalty rate was reduced from 50% to 10% of gross profits in the amended and restated license.

### NOTE 7 LOAN AGREEMENT

On March 12, 2001, the Company entered into a loan agreement with Heller Healthcare Finance, Inc. (Heller) for a \$3.0 million term loan at an annual interest rate of prime plus 2.5% (11% at inception date) and a revolving loan in an amount of up to 85% of eligible receivables related to U.S. sales, but not more than \$10.0 million, at an annual interest rate of prime plus 1.25% (9.75% at inception date). At March 31, 2001, receivables on U.S. sales totaled approximately \$6.0 million. There have been no borrowings under the revolving loan to date. The term loan and the revolving loan mature on March 12, 2003. In connection with the loans, the Company paid an origination fee of \$130,000 and issued warrants to purchase 243,750 shares of Common Stock. At the termination of the loan, an additional fee of \$148,125 will be payable to Heller. The warrants are exercisable at any time from March 12, 2001 through March 12, 2004 at an exercise price per share of \$3.15. Borrowings under the loan agreement are secured by substantially all of the Company's assets. The loan agreement requires the Company to meet certain covenants, including the maintenance of a minimum level of net worth.

8

Independent Auditors' Review Report

The Board of Directors



## Edgar Filing: LASERSIGHT INC /DE - Form 10-Q

LaserSight Incorporated:

We have reviewed the condensed consolidated balance sheet of LaserSight Incorporated and subsidiaries as of March 31, 2001, and the related condensed consolidated statements of operations and cash flows for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2001 and 2000. These condensed consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management.

We conducted our review in accordance with standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures to financial data and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our review, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to the condensed consolidated financial statements referred to above for them to be in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We have previously audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the consolidated balance sheet of LaserSight Incorporated and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2000, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive loss, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for the year then ended (not presented herein); and in our report dated February 9, 2001, except as to note 16, which is as of March 12, 2001, we expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements. In our opinion, the information set forth in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2000, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the consolidated balance sheet from which it has been derived.

/s/ KPMG LLP

St. Louis, Missouri  
April 27, 2001

9

### ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

LaserSight is principally engaged in the manufacture and supply of narrow beam scanning excimer laser systems, keratomes, keratome blades and other related products used to perform procedures that correct common refractive vision disorders such as nearsightedness, farsightedness and astigmatism. Since 1994, we have marketed our laser systems commercially in over 30 countries worldwide and currently have an installed base of over 350 laser systems, including approximately 180 of our LaserScan LSX(TM) laser systems. In March 2000, we began commercial shipments of our LaserScan LSX laser system to customers in the U.S.

#### Results of Operations

The following table sets forth for the periods indicated information derived from our statements of operations for those periods expressed as a percentage of net sales, and the percentage change in such items from the

## Edgar Filing: LASERSIGHT INC /DE - Form 10-Q

comparable prior year period. Any trends illustrated in the following table are not necessarily indicative of future results.

	As a Percentage of Net Sales Three Months Ended March 31,		Percent Increase Over Prior Pe Three Months Ende
	2001 ----	2000 ----	2001 vs. 20 -----
Statements of Operations Data:			
Net revenues:			
Refractive products.....	91.0%	90.1%	(49.0)
Patent services.....	3.4	7.7	(77.6)
Healthcare services.....	5.6	2.2	27.2
	-----	-----	
Net revenues.....	100.0	100.0	(49.5)
Cost of revenue.....	46.3	40.5	(41.8)
	-----	-----	
Gross profit(1).....	53.7	59.8	(54.6)
Research, development and regulatory expenses (2) .....	21.2	10.8	(1.0)
Other general and administrative expenses.....	150.8	57.8	31.8
Selling-related expenses (3).....	26.4	18.6	(28.2)
Amortization of intangibles.....	5.0	7.3	(65.4)
	-----	-----	
Loss from operations.....	(149.7)	(34.7)	117.8

(1) As a percentage of net revenues, the gross profit for refractive products only for the three months ended March 31, 2001 and 2000, were 52% and 56%, respectively.

(2) As a percentage of refractive product net sales, research, development and regulatory expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2001 and 2000, were 23% and 12%, respectively.

(3) As a percentage of refractive product net sales, selling-related expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2001 and 2000, were 29% and 21%, respectively.

### THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2001, COMPARED TO THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2000

Revenues. Net revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2001 decreased by \$4.3 million, or 49%, to \$4.4 million from \$8.7 million for the comparable period in 2000.

During the three months ended March 31, 2001, refractive products revenues decreased \$3.8 million, or 49%, to \$4.0 million from \$7.8 million for the comparable period in 2000. This revenue decrease was primarily the result of decreased sales of the LaserScan LSX excimer laser system. During the three months ended March 31, 2001, excimer laser system sales accounted for approximately \$3.6 million in revenues compared to \$6.1 million in revenues over the same period in 2000. During the three months ended March 31, 2001, 13 laser systems were sold compared to 19 laser systems sold during the comparable period in 2000.

## Edgar Filing: LASERSIGHT INC /DE - Form 10-Q

Net revenues from patent services for the three months ended March 31, 2001 decreased approximately \$0.5 million, or 78%, to \$0.2 million from \$0.7 million for the comparable period in 2000, due to the sale of most rights associated with the patent responsible for generating patent service revenue.

Net revenues from health care services for the three months ended March 31, 2001 were up approximately \$50,000 from the comparable period in 2000.

Cost of Revenues; Gross Profit. For the three months ended March 31, 2001 and 2000, gross profit margins were 54% and 60%, respectively. The gross margin decrease during the three months ended March 31, 2001 was primarily attributable to decreased sales of the LaserScan LSX excimer laser system. The decreased sales resulted in lower raw material costs relating to the LaserScan LSX excimer laser system of \$1.0 million, partially offset by a decrease in our inventory obsolescence reserve of \$0.3 million from the comparable period in 2000.

Research, Development and Regulatory Expenses. Research, development and regulatory expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2001, \$0.9 million, were unchanged from the comparable period in 2000. We continued to develop our keratome systems and excimer laser systems and continued to pursue protocols in our effort to attain and expand our FDA approvals for our refractive products. As a result of a continuation of these efforts plus the anticipated development of new technologies like our AstraMax diagnostic workstation, we expect research and development expenses during the remainder of 2001 to approximate the levels incurred during the first quarter of 2001. Regulatory expenses are expected to remain constant as a result of our continued pursuit of various FDA approvals, including pre-market approval supplements, and the possible development of additional pre-market approval supplements and future protocols for submission to the FDA.

Other General and Administrative Expenses. Other general and administrative expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2001 increased \$1.6 million, or 32%, to \$6.6 million from \$5.0 million for the comparable period in 2000. This increase was due to an increase in expenses incurred at our refractive products subsidiary of approximately \$1.7 related to enhancements to the customer support and training, sales and marketing and software development departments of \$0.4 million, higher depreciation costs of \$0.1 million and \$0.9 million of legal fees related to patent issues and litigation.

Selling-Related Expenses. Selling-related expenses consist of those items directly related to sales activities, including commissions on sales, royalty or license fees, warranty expenses, and costs of shipping and installation. Commissions and royalties, in particular, can vary significantly from sale to sale or period to period depending on the location and terms of each sale. Selling-related expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2001 decreased \$0.4 million, or 28%, to \$1.2 million from \$1.6 million during the comparable period in 2000. This decrease was primarily attributable to a \$0.3 million decrease in sales commissions resulting from lower sales and a decrease of \$0.1 million of warranty expense primarily related to decreased laser system sales.

Amortization of Intangibles. During the three months ended March 31, 2001, costs relating to the amortization of intangible assets decreased \$0.4 million, or 65%, to \$0.2 million from \$0.6 million for the comparable period in 2000. This decrease was due to the impairment loss incurred on certain intangible assets at December 31, 2000 of approximately \$4.1 million, reducing

## Edgar Filing: LASERSIGHT INC /DE - Form 10-Q

future amortization expenses, and the sale of a patent in March 2001 that had an unamortized book value of approximately \$2.4 million. Items directly related to the amortization of intangible assets are acquired technologies, patents, license agreements and goodwill.

**Loss From Operations.** The operating loss for the three months ended March 31, 2001 was \$6.6 million compared to the operating loss of \$3.0 million for the same period in 2000. This increase in the loss from operations was primarily due to the decrease in sales of our LaserScan LSX excimer laser system and an increase in other general and administrative expenses related to our refractive products operations.

**Other Income and Expenses.** Interest and dividend income for the three months ended March 31, 2001 was \$0.2 million, a decrease of \$0.1 million over the comparable period in 2000. Interest and dividend income was earned from the investment of cash and cash equivalents and the collection of long-term receivables related to laser system sales. Interest expense for the three months ended March 31, 2001 and 2000 was not material. Other income included a net gain, after expenses associated with the sale, of \$4.0 million from the sale of the Blum Patent in March 2001. The patent was sold for \$6.5 million and, prior to the sale, had a book value of approximately \$2.4 million.

**Income Taxes.** For the three months ended March 31, 2001 and 2000, LaserSight had no income tax expense.

**Net Loss.** Net loss for the three months ended March 31, 2001, was \$2.5 million compared to a net loss of \$2.8 million for the comparable period in 2000. The decrease in net loss for the three months ended March 31, 2001 can be attributed to the decrease in sales of our LaserScan LSX excimer laser system, an increase in other general and administrative expenses related to our refractive products operations and the gain generated by the sale of the Blum Patent.

**Loss Per Share.** The loss per basic and diluted share was \$0.11 for the three months ended March 31, 2001 and \$0.14 for the comparable period in 2000. During the three months ended March 31, 2000, the weighted average shares of common stock outstanding increased primarily due to the conversion of preferred stock during 2000, the September 2000 private placement of common stock and the issuance of shares related to the amended and restated license and royalty agreement related to our keratome products.

### Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our principal sources of funds have historically been from sales of preferred stock and common stock, sales of subsidiaries and patent rights and, to a lesser extent, our operating cash flows. We issued equity securities totaling approximately \$14.8 million in 1997, \$15.8 million in 1998, \$8.9 million in 1999 and \$19.1 million in 2000, and received proceeds from the exercise of stock options, warrants and our Employee Stock Purchase Plan of approximately \$98,000 in 1997, \$0.5 million in 1998, \$10.4 million in 1999 and \$85,000 in 2000 and \$10,000 to date in 2001. In addition, we sold subsidiaries and various patent rights, resulting in proceeds to us of approximately \$10.5 million in 1997, \$12.7 million in 1998 and \$6.5 million to date in 2001. We have principally used these capital resources to fund operating losses, working capital requirements, capital expenditures, acquisitions and retirement of debt. At March 31, 2001, we had an accumulated deficit of \$62.1 million.

On March 1, 2001, the Company completed the sale of U.S. Patent No. 4,784,135 (Blum Patent) for a cash payment of \$6.5 million. We retained a non-exclusive royalty free license under the patent, which relates to the use of ultraviolet light for the removal of organic tissue. Our net book value of the patent at the date of the sale was approximately \$2.4 million.

## Edgar Filing: LASERSIGHT INC /DE - Form 10-Q

On March 12, 2001, we established a \$3.0 million term loan and \$10.0 million revolving credit facility with Heller. We borrowed \$3.0 million under the term loan at a rate per annum equal to two and one-half percent (2 1/2%)

12

above the prime rate. Interest is payable monthly and the loan must be repaid on March 12, 2003. Under the credit facility, we have the option to borrow amounts at a rate per annum equal to one and one-quarter percent (1 1/4%) above the prime rate for short-term working capital needs or such other purposes as may be approved by Heller. Borrowings are limited to 85% of qualified accounts receivable related to U.S. sales. Borrowings under the loans are secured by substantially all of the Company's assets. The term loan and credit facility require us to meet certain covenants, including the maintenance of a minimum net worth. The terms of the loans extend to March 12, 2003. In addition to the costs and fees associated with the transaction, we issued to Heller a warrant to purchase 243,750 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$3.15 per share. The warrant expires on March 12, 2004. At May 14, 2001, we had no borrowings under the credit facility.

Our working capital increased \$4.8 million from \$20.7 million at December 31, 2000 to \$25.5 million as of March 31, 2001. This increase in working capital resulted primarily from the sale of a patent and the proceeds of the term loan, for net proceeds of \$9.0 million, offset primarily by cash used in operating activities of \$5.8 million.

Operating activities used net cash of \$5.8 million during the first quarter of 2001, compared to \$4.5 million of net cash used during the same period in 2000, and \$15.7 million during the year ended December 31, 2000. We expect to incur a loss and a deficit in cash flow from operations for the second quarter of 2001. There can be no assurance that we can regain or sustain profitability or positive operating cash flow in any subsequent fiscal period. Net cash provided by investing activities of \$6.3 million during the first quarter of 2001 can be attributed primarily to the sale of the Blum patent. As of March 31, 2001, we had no significant commitments for capital expenditures. Net cash provided from financing activities during the first quarter of 2001 of \$2.6 million can be primarily attributed to entering into the term loan agreement.

We may explore opportunities for additional equity financing through a private placement of our common stock. We believe that our existing balances of cash and cash equivalents and our cash flows from operations will be adequate to fund our anticipated working capital requirements for the next 12 months in accordance with our current business plan. There can be no assurance that the assumptions underlying our business plan will be met or that additional financing will not be needed. Our belief regarding future working capital requirements is based on various factors and assumptions including: the uncertain timing of astigmatism and other supplemental FDA approvals for our LaserScan LSX excimer laser system, that could continue to impact our sales during 2001, potential growth in laser sales resulting from our entrance into the U.S. market in March 2000 with corresponding increases in accounts receivable and inventory purchases to date, the uncertain timing of the market introduction and commercial acceptance of our UltraShaper durable keratomes, commercial acceptance of our UltraEdge keratome blades and UniShaper single-use keratomes, which we believe is partially dependent upon the successful introduction of the UltraShaper, the anticipated timely collection of receivables, and the absence of unanticipated product development and marketing costs. See "Risk Factors and Uncertainties--Industry and Competitive Risks--We cannot assure you that our keratome products will achieve market acceptance" and "--We cannot assure you that our LaserScan LSX laser system will achieve market

## Edgar Filing: LASERSIGHT INC /DE - Form 10-Q

acceptance in the U.S., and our business model for selling our laser system in the U.S. is new and unproven." These factors and assumptions are subject to certain contingencies and uncertainties, some of which are beyond our control. Similarly, our long-term liquidity will be dependent on the successful entrance into the U.S. market with our laser systems, the successful entrance into U.S. and international markets of our diagnostic workstation and keratome products, and our ability to collect our receivables on a timely basis. We may seek additional debt or equity financing in the future to implement our business plan or any changes thereto in response to future developments or unanticipated contingencies. Other than the \$3.0 million term loan and \$10.0 million credit facility completed in March 2001 with Heller, we currently do not have any commitments for additional financing. See "Risk Factors and Uncertainties--Financial and Liquidity Risks--We could require additional financing, which might not be available if we need it."

13

### RISK FACTORS AND UNCERTAINTIES

The business, results of operations and financial condition of LaserSight and the market price of our common stock may be adversely affected by a variety of factors, including the ones noted below:

#### INDUSTRY AND COMPETITIVE RISKS

WE CANNOT ASSURE YOU THAT OUR LASERSCAN LSX LASER SYSTEM WILL ACHIEVE MARKET ACCEPTANCE IN THE U.S., AND OUR BUSINESS MODEL FOR SELLING OUR LASER SYSTEM IN THE U.S. IS NEW AND UNPROVEN.

We received the FDA approval necessary for the commercial marketing and sale of our LaserScan LSX excimer laser system in the U.S. in late 1999 and commercial shipments to customers in the U.S. began in March 2000. Our previous experience marketing and selling our LaserScan LSX excimer laser system in the U.S. had been limited to cost-recovery sales to refractive surgeons participating in our FDA clinical trials.

The required level of per procedure fees payable to us by refractive surgeons upon receipt of anticipated FDA approval for treatment of myopia with astigmatism may not be accepted by the marketplace or may exceed those charged by our competitors. While we believe that gaining access to our recently approved scanning microspot laser technology justifies the required per procedure fee levels, we cannot assure you that this business model will be accepted by a large number of refractive surgeons. If our competitors reduce or do not charge per procedure fees to users of their systems, we could be forced to reduce or eliminate the fees charged under this business model, which could significantly reduce our revenues. For example, Nidek Co., Ltd., one of our competitors, has publicly stated that it will not charge per procedure fees to users of its laser systems in the U.S. and internationally.

Successful implementation of this business model is crucial to our success in selling our LaserScan LSX laser system in the U.S. and may require the expenditure of significant financial and other resources to create awareness of the LaserScan LSX laser system and create demand by refractive surgeons. If our laser system fails to achieve market acceptance in the U.S., we may not be able to execute our business plan, which would have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

WE CANNOT ASSURE YOU THAT OUR KERATOME PRODUCTS WILL ACHIEVE MARKET ACCEPTANCE.

Keratomes are surgical devices used to create a corneal flap

## Edgar Filing: LASERSIGHT INC /DE - Form 10-Q

immediately prior to LASIK laser vision correction procedures. We began to roll out our MicroShape family of keratome products with the commercial launch of our UltraEdge keratome blades in July 1999 and of our UniShaper single-use keratomes and control consoles in December 1999. We anticipate the commercial launch of our UltraShaper durable keratomes during the second quarter of 2001. We had previously estimated the launch of this product during the second quarter of 2000. We cannot assure you that there will not be further unanticipated delays in the launch of our UltraShaper durable keratome, which has continued a process of engineering refinement and validity testing prior to commercial release. Our UniShaper single-use keratome was the first disposable keratome product to be commercially marketed, and we cannot assure you that refractive surgeons, including in particular refractive surgeons who perform a large volume of LASIK procedures, will accept our UniShaper product as either a replacement for or a supplement to the durable keratomes traditionally used to create corneal flaps. In our recent experience, many surgeons are reluctant to use a disposable keratome product as their primary keratome. Also, market acceptance of the UniShaper may be hindered by surgeons needing to alter their surgical technique in order to achieve the desired clinical results. Our UltraShaper durable keratome incorporates the features found in the Automated Corneal Shaper keratome previously marketed by Bausch & Lomb, Inc. with new enhancements and features. However, Bausch & Lomb has not aggressively marketed or serviced the

14

ACS since 1997 when we licensed the rights to commercially market keratomes based on the same technology, and has successfully transitioned a large number of refractive surgeons from the ACS to its Hansatome durable keratome product. We believe that many refractive surgeons learned to perform the LASIK procedure using the ACS and prefer the surgical technique required by the ACS, which is also used to operate our UltraShaper durable keratome, to the surgical technique required to operate the Hansatome keratome product. However, we cannot assure you that we will be successful in commercially introducing or achieving broad market acceptance of our UltraShaper durable keratome or our other keratome products.

We have previously indicated that the successful implementation of our keratome product sales strategy is in part dependent upon our marketing and distribution alliance with Becton Dickinson. Due to the delay in the commercial launch of our UltraShaper durable keratome we initiated discussions with Becton Dickinson in order to modify our manufacturing and marketing agreements. While these discussions were ongoing we recently received notices from Becton Dickinson claiming that they have the right to end our marketing arrangement in six months and that they are not bound by the terms of our manufacturing agreement. Following our receipt of these notices Becton Dickinson indicated a willingness to discuss modified terms for a marketing and manufacturing relationship. While we do not agree that Becton Dickinson has the right to unilaterally end our current agreements, we intend to discuss mutually beneficial modified agreements. If we cannot successfully market and sell our keratome products or if we are unable to successfully modify or replace our marketing and distribution alliance with Becton Dickinson, we may not be able to execute our business plan, which would have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. See also "--Company and Business Risks--Required minimum payments under our keratome license agreement may exceed our gross profits from sales of our keratome products."

THE VISION CORRECTION INDUSTRY CURRENTLY CONSISTS OF A FEW ESTABLISHED PROVIDERS WITH SIGNIFICANT MARKET SHARES AND WE MAY ENCOUNTER DIFFICULTIES COMPETING IN THIS HIGHLY COMPETITIVE ENVIRONMENT.

The vision correction industry is subject to intense, increasing competition, and we do not know if we will be able to compete successfully

## Edgar Filing: LASERSIGHT INC /DE - Form 10-Q

against our current and future competitors. Many of our competitors have established products, distribution capabilities and customer service networks in the U.S. marketplace, are substantially larger and have greater brand recognition and greater financial and other resources than we do. Visx Incorporated, the historical industry leader for excimer laser system sales in the U.S., sold laser systems that performed a significant majority of the laser vision correction procedures performed in the U.S. in 1999 and 2000. Similarly, Bausch & Lomb sold a significant majority of the keratomes used by refractive surgeons in the U.S. in 1999 and 2000. In 2000, Alcon acquired Summit Autonomous Inc. The merger resulted in a combined entity with enhanced market presence, technology base and distribution capabilities and provided Alcon with a narrow beam laser technology platform that will compete more directly with our precision beam scanning microspot LaserScan LSX excimer laser system. In addition, as a result of the acquisition, the combined entity will be able to sell narrow beam laser systems under a royalty-free license to certain Visx patents without incurring the expense and uncertainty associated with intellectual property litigation with Visx. We anticipate that Alcon will leverage the sale of its laser systems with its other ophthalmic products.

MANY OF OUR COMPETITORS RECEIVED EARLIER REGULATORY APPROVALS THAN US AND MAY HAVE A COMPETITIVE ADVANTAGE OVER US DUE TO THE SUBSEQUENT EXPANSION OF THEIR REGULATORY APPROVALS AND THEIR SUBSTANTIAL EXPERIENCE IN THE U.S. MARKET.

We received the FDA approval necessary for the commercial sale of our LaserScan LSX excimer laser system in the U.S. in November 1999, and commercial shipments to customers in the U.S. began in March 2000. Our direct competitors include large corporations such as Visx and Alcon, each of whom received FDA approval of excimer laser systems more than three years prior to our approval

15

and has substantial experience manufacturing, marketing and servicing laser systems in the U.S. In addition to Visx and Alcon, Nidek and Bausch & Lomb have also received FDA approval for their laser systems.

In the U.S., a manufacturer of excimer laser vision correction systems gains a competitive advantage by having its systems approved by the FDA for a wider range of treatments. Initial FDA approvals of excimer laser vision correction systems historically have been limited to PRK treatment of low to moderate nearsightedness, with additional approvals for other and broader treatments granted only as a result of subsequent FDA applications and clinical trials. Our LaserScan LSX is currently approved only for the PRK treatment of low to moderate nearsightedness (up to -6.0 diopters) without astigmatism. In August 2000, we received FDA approval to operate our laser systems at a 200 Hz pulse repetition rate, twice the originally approved rate. Currently, excimer laser vision correction systems manufactured by Visx, Alcon, Bausch & Lomb and Nidek have been approved for higher levels of nearsightedness than the LaserScan LSX and are also approved for the treatment of nearsightedness with astigmatism for which the LaserScan LSX currently does not have approval. The Visx and Alcon excimer laser systems are also approved for the treatment of moderate farsightedness.

We have submitted a PMA supplement to the FDA for approval to utilize LASIK for the treatment of nearsightedness with astigmatism and have responded to FDA requests for additional patient data related to our submission. We anticipate FDA approval of this application during the second or third quarter of 2001, though we cannot ensure when the approval will be received. In addition, we have submitted PMA supplements to the FDA to permit our laser systems sold to customers in the U.S. to utilize LASIK to treat hyperopia, hyperopic astigmatism and mixed astigmatism. FDA approval of these applications is anticipated by the end of 2001, though we cannot ensure when the approval



## Edgar Filing: LASERSIGHT INC /DE - Form 10-Q

will be received. Our ability to sell our laser systems in the U.S. may be severely impaired if the FDA does not give timely approval of our application for our LaserScan LSX to treat nearsightedness with astigmatism, our application to permit our laser systems sold to customers in the U.S. to include our latest eye tracking technology, or our application to permit our laser systems sold to customers in the U.S. to utilize LASIK to treat myopic astigmatism, hyperopic astigmatism and mixed astigmatism.

Alcon's Apex Plus and Ladarvision Excimer Laser Workstations, Visx's Star S2 Excimer Laser System and Nidek's EC-5000 Excimer Laser System have received FDA approval for the LASIK treatment of myopia (nearsightedness) with or without astigmatism. The approvals for most of the systems are for the correction of myopia in the range of 0 diopters to -14.0 diopters and myopia with astigmatism generally in the range of -0.5 diopters to -5.0 diopters. Bausch & Lomb's Technolas 217 excimer laser has also received FDA approval for the treatment of myopia up to -7.0 diopters with up to -3.0 diopters of astigmatism. These laser systems are currently the only laser systems commercially available in the U.S. with FDA approval for use in LASIK. A physician may decide, as part of the practice of medicine, to use a medical device outside of its FDA-approved indications for an unapproved or "off-label" use. Prior to these laser approvals, all LASIK procedures performed in the U.S. with commercially available lasers were performed as the practice of medicine. In September 2000, the FDA approved Alcon's Ladarvision system for the correction using LASIK of farsightedness of up to +6.0 diopters and an astigmatism range of up to 6.0 diopters. In October 2000, the FDA approved Visx's Star S2 and S3 systems for the correction using PRK of farsightedness of up to +5.0 diopters and an astigmatism range of up to 4.0 diopters. Competitors' receipt of LASIK-specific FDA regulatory approvals could give them a significant competitive advantage that could impede our ability to successfully sell our LaserScan LSX system in the U.S. or discourage physicians from using our or other manufacturers' lasers off-label. Our failure to successfully market our product could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

All of our principal competitors in the keratome business, including current market leader Bausch & Lomb, received FDA clearance prior to the commercialization of our keratome products and have substantial experience marketing their keratome products. The established market presence in the U.S. of previously approved laser systems and keratome products, as well as the entry of new competitors into the market upon receipt of new or expanded regulatory

16

approvals, could impede our ability to successfully introduce our LaserScan LSX system in the U.S. and our keratome products worldwide and may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

WE DEPEND UPON OUR ABILITY TO ESTABLISH AND MAINTAIN STRATEGIC RELATIONSHIPS.

We believe that our ability to establish and maintain strategic relationships will have a significant impact on our ability to meet our business objectives. These strategic relationships are critical to our future success because we believe that these relationships will help us to:

- o extend the reach of our products to a larger number of refractive surgeons;
- o develop and deploy new products;
- o further enhance the LaserSight brand; and
- o generate additional revenue.

## Edgar Filing: LASERSIGHT INC /DE - Form 10-Q

Entering into strategic relationships is complicated because some of our current and future strategic partners may decide to compete with us in some or all of our markets. In addition, we may not be able to establish relationships with key participants in our industry if they have relationships with our competitors, or if we have relationships with their competitors. Moreover, some potential strategic partners have resisted, and may continue to resist, working with us until our products and services have achieved widespread market acceptance. Once we have established strategic relationships, we will depend on our partners' ability to generate increased acceptance and use of our products and services. To date, we have established only a limited number of strategic relationships, and many of these relationships are in the early stages of development. There can be no assurance as to the terms, timing or consummation of any future strategic relationships. If we lose any of these strategic relationships or fail to establish additional relationships, or if our strategic relationships fail to benefit us as expected, we may not be able to execute our business plan, and our business will suffer.

BECAUSE THE SALE OF OUR PRODUCTS IS DEPENDENT ON THE CONTINUED MARKET ACCEPTANCE OF LASER-BASED REFRACTIVE EYE SURGERY USING THE LASIK PROCEDURE, THE LACK OF BROAD MARKET ACCEPTANCE WOULD HURT OUR BUSINESS.

We believe that whether we achieve profitability and growth will depend, in part, upon the continued acceptance of laser vision correction using the LASIK procedure in the U.S. and other countries. We cannot be certain that laser vision correction will continue to be accepted by either the refractive surgeons or the public at large as an alternative to existing methods of treating refractive vision disorders. The acceptance of laser vision correction and, specifically, the LASIK procedure may be adversely affected by:

- o possible concerns relating to safety and efficacy, including the predictability, stability and quality of results;
- o the public's general resistance to surgery;
- o the effectiveness and lower cost of alternative methods of correcting refractive vision disorders;
- o the lack of long-term follow-up data;
- o the possibility of unknown side effects;
- o the lack of third-party reimbursement for the procedures;
- o the cost of the procedure; and
- o possible future unfavorable publicity involving patient outcomes from the use of laser vision correction.

17

Unfavorable side effects and potential complications that may result from the use of laser vision correction systems manufactured by any manufacturer may broadly affect market acceptance of laser-based vision correction surgery. Potential patients may not distinguish between our precision beam scanning spot technology and the laser technology incorporated by our competitors in their laser systems, and customers may not differentiate laser systems and procedures that have not received FDA approval from FDA-approved systems and procedures. Any adverse consequences resulting from procedures performed with a competitor's systems or an unapproved laser system could adversely affect consumer acceptance of laser vision correction in general. In addition, because laser vision correction is an elective procedure that is not typically covered by insurance and that involves more significant immediate expense than eyeglasses or contact lenses, adverse changes in the U.S. or international economy may cause consumers to reassess their spending choices and to select lower-cost alternatives for their vision correction needs. Any such shift in spending patterns could reduce the volume of LASIK procedures performed that would, in turn, reduce our revenues from per procedure fees and sales of single-use products such as our UniShaper keratome and our UltraEdge keratome blades.

## Edgar Filing: LASERSIGHT INC /DE - Form 10-Q

The failure of laser vision correction to achieve continued market acceptance could have a material adverse effect on our business prospects. Even if laser vision correction achieves and sustains market acceptance, sales of our keratome products could be adversely impacted if a laser procedure that does not require the creation of a corneal flap were to emerge as the procedure of choice.

NEW PRODUCTS OR TECHNOLOGIES COULD ERODE DEMAND FOR OUR PRODUCTS OR MAKE THEM OBSOLETE, AND OUR BUSINESS COULD BE HARMED IF WE CANNOT KEEP PACE WITH ADVANCES IN TECHNOLOGY.

In addition to competing with eyeglasses and contact lenses, excimer laser vision correction competes or may compete with newer technologies such as intraocular lenses, corneal rings and surgical techniques using different or more advanced types of lasers. Two products that may become competitive within the near term are implantable contact lenses, which are pending FDA approval, and corneal rings, which have been approved by the FDA. Both of these products require procedures with lens implants, and their ultimate market acceptance is unknown at this time. To the extent that any of these or other new technologies are perceived to be clinically superior or economically more attractive than currently marketed excimer laser vision correction procedures or techniques, they could erode demand for our excimer laser and keratome products, cause a reduction in selling prices of such products or render such products obsolete. In addition, if one or more competing technologies achieves broader market acceptance or renders laser vision correction procedures obsolete, it would have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

As is typical in the case of new and rapidly evolving industries, the demand and market for recently introduced products and technologies is uncertain, and we cannot be certain that our LaserScan LSX laser system, UniShaper single-use keratome, UltraShaper durable keratome, UltraEdge keratome blades or future new products and enhancements will be accepted in the marketplace. In addition, announcements or the anticipation of announcements of new products, whether for sale in the near future or at some later date, may cause customers to defer purchasing our existing products.

If we cannot adapt to changing technologies, our products may become obsolete, and our business could suffer. Our success will depend, in part, on our ability to continue to enhance our existing products, develop new technology that addresses the increasingly sophisticated needs of our customers, license leading technologies and respond to technological advances and emerging industry standards and practices on a timely and cost-effective basis. The development of our proprietary technology entails significant technical and business risks. We may not be successful in using new technologies effectively or adapting our proprietary technology to evolving customer requirements or emerging industry standards.

18

### COMPANY AND BUSINESS RISKS

WE ARE SUBJECT TO RISKS AND UNCERTAINTIES RELATING TO LITIGATION.

Visx commenced a lawsuit in November 1999 in the United States District Court, District of Delaware, against us alleging that our LaserScan LSX laser system infringes one of Visx's U.S. patents for equipment used in ophthalmic surgery. The LaserScan LSX is the only laser system we are currently marketing and is the only laser system manufactured by us that is approved for sale to U.S. customers. The suit requests, among other things, injunctive relief, treble

## Edgar Filing: LASERSIGHT INC /DE - Form 10-Q

damages and attorneys' fees and expenses. Management does not believe that our LaserScan LSX laser system infringes the asserted Visx patent. However, we had agreed to a stay of such litigation to pursue license negotiations with Visx in an effort to help facilitate commercialization of the LaserScan LSX in the U.S. market. We withdrew from license negotiations with Visx in February 2000, and after the stay of the litigation was lifted, we filed suit against Visx, claiming non-infringement and invalidity of the Visx patent and asserting that Visx infringes U.S. Patent No. 5,630,810 to which we hold an exclusive license. We also began to sell and ship our LaserScan LSX laser systems in the U.S. during March 2000.

We believe that the claims Visx has made against us are without merit and we intend to vigorously contest them. However, if we are unsuccessful in defending this lawsuit, we may be enjoined from manufacturing and selling our LaserScan LSX laser system in the U.S. without a license from Visx. In addition, we may be subject to damages for past infringement. No assurance can be given as to whether we will be subject to such damages or, if so, the amount of damages that we may be required to pay. In addition, such patent litigation is time-consuming, is causing us to incur substantial expense, could divert management's attention, could cause product shipment delays or require us to develop non-infringing technology or enter into license agreements in order to market our products. Such license agreements, if required, may not be available on acceptable terms, or at all. The outcome of patent litigation is inherently uncertain, and an unfavorable outcome in the Visx litigation could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

WE WILL BE REQUIRED TO SIGNIFICANTLY EXPAND OUR U.S. MANUFACTURING OPERATIONS TO MEET OUR BUSINESS PLAN AND MUST COMPLY WITH STRINGENT REGULATION OF OUR MANUFACTURING OPERATIONS.

We manufacture our LaserScan LSX laser systems for sale in the U.S. at our manufacturing facility in Winter Park, Florida, and continue to manufacture our laser systems for sale in international markets at our manufacturing facility in Costa Rica. Our U.S. personnel have limited experience manufacturing laser systems. We cannot, therefore, assure you that we will not encounter difficulties in increasing our production capacity for our laser systems at our Florida facility, including problems involving production delays, quality control or assurance, component supply and lack of qualified personnel. Any products manufactured or distributed by us pursuant to FDA clearances or approvals are subject to extensive regulation by the FDA, including record-keeping requirements and reporting of adverse experience with the use of the product. Our manufacturing facilities are subject to periodic inspection by the FDA, certain state agencies and international regulatory agencies. We require that our key suppliers comply with recognized standards as well as our own quality standards, and we regularly test the components and sub-assemblies supplied to us. Any failure by us or our suppliers to comply with applicable regulatory requirements, including the FDA's quality systems/good manufacturing practice (QSR/GMP) regulations, could cause production and distribution of our products to be delayed or prohibited, either of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

19

REQUIRED MINIMUM PAYMENTS UNDER OUR KERATOME LICENSE AGREEMENT MAY EXCEED OUR GROSS PROFITS FROM SALES OF OUR KERATOME PRODUCTS.

In addition to the risk that the UniShaper single-use keratome or UltraShaper durable keratome will not be accepted in the marketplace, we are required to make certain minimum payments to the licensor under our amended and restated keratome license agreement. Under the original agreement, we were

## Edgar Filing: LASERSIGHT INC /DE - Form 10-Q

required to provide an excimer laser system and pay a total of \$300,000 to the licensor in two equal installments due six and 12 months after the date of our receipt of the production molds for the UniShaper product. We provided the laser system to the licensor during the quarter ended June 30, 1998, and we received the molds in late 1999. We shipped the first UniShaper single-use keratome in December 1999 and paid one-half of the \$300,000 in July 2000. In addition, beginning seven months after the first commercial shipment, we were required to make royalty payments equal to 50% of our defined gross profits from the sale of our UniShaper and UltraShaper keratomes, with a minimum royalty of \$400,000 per calendar quarter for a period of eight quarters. On January 4, 2001, we entered into an amended and restated license and royalty agreement related to certain keratome-related products. This amendment replaced a January 18, 2000 amendment in its entirety. Under the terms of the amendment we issued 730,552 shares of common stock to the licensors, valued at approximately \$1.1 million, in partial payment for royalties during the term of the license. The term of the license was extended three years until July 31, 2005. In addition, minimum royalty payments totaling approximately \$6.2 million will be due in quarterly installments through the term of the amendment. As a result of our obligations under this license arrangement, the minimum royalty payments we are required to make to the licensors may exceed our gross profits from sales of our UniShaper and UltraShaper keratome products. The amendment eliminated the restriction on us manufacturing, marketing and selling other keratomes, but the sale of such other keratomes is included in the gross profit to be shared with the licensors. The licensor's share of the gross profit, as defined in the agreement, decreased from 50% to 10%.

OUR FAILURE TO TIMELY OBTAIN OR EXPAND REGULATORY APPROVALS FOR OUR PRODUCTS AND TO COMPLY WITH REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS COULD ADVERSELY AFFECT OUR BUSINESS.

Our excimer laser systems and keratome products are subject to strict governmental regulations that materially affect our ability to manufacture and market these products and directly impact our overall business prospects. FDA regulations impose design and performance standards, labeling and reporting requirements, and submission conditions in advance of marketing for all medical laser products in the U.S. New product introductions, expanded treatment types and levels for approved products, and significant design or manufacturing modifications require a premarket clearance or approval by the FDA prior to commercialization in the U.S. The FDA approval process, which is lengthy and uncertain, requires supporting clinical studies and substantial commitments of financial and management resources. Failure to obtain or maintain regulatory approvals and clearances in the U.S. and other countries, or significant delays in obtaining these approvals and clearances, could prevent us from marketing our products for either approved or expanded indications or treatments, which could substantially decrease our future revenues. Additionally, product and procedure labeling and all forms of promotional activities are subject to examination by the FDA, and current FDA enforcement policy prohibits the marketing by manufacturers of approved medical devices for unapproved uses. Noncompliance with these requirements may result in warning letters, fines, injunctions, recall or seizure of products, suspension of manufacturing, denial or withdrawal of PMAs, and criminal prosecution. Laser products marketed in foreign countries are often subject to local laws governing health product development processes, which may impose additional costs for overseas product development. Future legislative or administrative requirements, in the U.S. or elsewhere, may adversely affect our ability to obtain or retain regulatory approval for our products. The failure to obtain approvals for new or additional uses on a timely basis could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

## Edgar Filing: LASERSIGHT INC /DE - Form 10-Q

OUR BUSINESS DEPENDS ON OUR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS, AND IF WE ARE UNABLE TO PROTECT THEM, OUR COMPETITIVE POSITION MAY BE ADVERSELY AFFECTED.

Our business plan is predicated on our proprietary systems and technology, including our precision beam scanning spot technology laser systems. We protect our proprietary rights through a combination of patent, trademark, trade secret and copyright law, confidentiality agreements and technical measures. We generally enter into non-disclosure agreements with our employees and consultants and limit access to our trade secrets and technology. We cannot assure you that the steps we have taken will prevent misappropriation of our intellectual property. Misappropriation of our intellectual property would have a material adverse effect on our competitive position. In addition, we may have to engage in litigation or other legal proceedings in the future to enforce or protect our intellectual property rights or to defend against claims of invalidity. These legal proceedings may consume considerable resources, including management time and attention, which would be diverted from the operation of our business, and the outcome of any such legal proceeding is inherently uncertain.

We are aware that certain competitors are developing products that may potentially infringe patents owned or licensed exclusively by us. In order to protect our rights in these patents, we may find it necessary to assert and pursue infringement claims against such third parties. We could incur substantial costs and diversion of management resources litigating such infringement claims and we cannot assure you that we will be successful in resolving such claims or that the resolution of any such dispute will be on terms that are favorable to us. See "--We are subject to risks and uncertainties relating to litigation."

PATENT INFRINGEMENT ALLEGATIONS MAY IMPAIR OUR ABILITY TO MANUFACTURE AND MARKET OUR PRODUCTS.

There are a number of U.S. and foreign patents covering methods and apparatus for performing corneal surgery that we do not own or have the right to use. If we were found to infringe a patent in a particular market, we and our customers may be enjoined from manufacturing, marketing, selling and using the infringing product in the market and may be liable for damages for any past infringement of such rights. In order to continue using such rights, we would be required to obtain a license, which may require us to make royalty, per procedure or other fee payments. We cannot be certain if we or our customers will be successful in securing licenses, or that if we obtain licenses, such licenses will be available on acceptable terms. Alternatively, we might be required to redesign the infringing aspects of these products. Any redesign efforts that we undertake could be expensive and might require regulatory review. Furthermore, the redesign efforts could delay the reintroduction of these products into certain markets, or may be so significant as to be impractical. If redesign efforts were impractical, we could be prevented from manufacturing and selling the infringing products, which would have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

In 1992, Summit and Visx formed a U.S. partnership, Pillar Point Partners, to pool certain of their patents related to corneal sculpting technologies. As part of their agreement to dissolve Pillar Point in June 1998, Summit and Visx granted each other a worldwide, royalty-free cross-license whereby each party has full rights to license for use with its own systems all existing patents owned by either company relating to laser vision correction. In connection with our March 1996 settlement of litigation with Pillar Point regarding alleged infringement by our lasers of certain U.S. and foreign patents, we entered into a license agreement with Visx covering various foreign patents and patent applications pursuant to which we pay royalties to Visx.

Litigation involving patents is common in our industry. While we do not

## Edgar Filing: LASERSIGHT INC /DE - Form 10-Q

believe our laser systems or keratome products infringe any valid and enforceable patents held by Visx, Alcon or any other person, Visx has asserted that we infringe their intellectual property, and we cannot assure you that one or more of our other competitors or other persons will not assert that our products infringe their intellectual property, or that we will not in the future

21

be deemed to infringe one or more patents owned by them or some other party. We could incur substantial costs and diversion of management resources defending any infringement claims. Furthermore, a party making a claim against us could secure a judgment awarding substantial damages, as well as injunctive or other equitable relief that could effectively block our ability to market one or more of our products. In addition, we cannot assure you that licenses for any intellectual property of third parties that might be required for our products will be available on commercially reasonable terms, or at all. See "--We are subject to risks and uncertainties relating to litigation."

WE ARE SUBJECT TO CERTAIN RISKS ASSOCIATED WITH OUR INTERNATIONAL SALES.

Our international sales accounted for 54% and 45% of our total revenues during the three months ended March 31, 2001 and year ended December 31, 2000, respectively. In the future, we expect that sales to international accounts will represent a lower percentage of our total sales as a result of our recent and anticipated additional regulatory approvals to market our LaserScan LSX laser system in the U.S. and the anticipated commercial launch of our UltraShaper durable keratome in the second quarter of 2001. See "--Industry and Competitive Risks--We cannot assure you that our keratome products will achieve market acceptance."

International sales of our products may be limited or disrupted by:

- o the imposition of government controls;
- o export license requirements;
- o economic or political instability;
- o trade restrictions;
- o difficulties in obtaining or maintaining export licenses;
- o changes in tariffs; and
- o difficulties in staffing and managing international operations.

Our sales have historically been and are expected to continue to be denominated in U.S. dollars. The European Economic Union's conversion to a common currency, the euro, is not expected to have a material impact on our business. However, due to our significant export sales, we are subject to exchange rate fluctuations in the U.S. dollar, which could increase the effective price in local currencies of our products. This could result in reduced sales, longer payment cycles and greater difficulty in collecting receivables relating to our international sales.

OUR SUPPLY OF CERTAIN CRITICAL COMPONENTS AND SYSTEMS MAY BE INTERRUPTED BECAUSE OF OUR RELIANCE ON A LIMITED NUMBER OF SUPPLIERS.

We currently purchase certain components used in the production, operation and maintenance of our laser systems and keratome products from a limited number of suppliers, and certain key components are provided by a single vendor. For example, all of our keratome blades are currently manufactured exclusively by Becton Dickinson and all of our UniShaper single-use keratome products are manufactured exclusively by Frantz Medical Development Ltd. pursuant to our agreement with them. We do not have long-term contracts with providers of some key laser system components, including TUI Lasertechnik und

## Edgar Filing: LASERSIGHT INC /DE - Form 10-Q

Laserintegration GmbH, which currently is a single source supplier for the laser heads used in our LaserScan LSX excimer laser system. Currently, SensoMotoric Instruments GmbH, Teltow, Germany, is a single source supplier for the eye tracker boards used in the LaserScan LSX. Any interruption in the supply of critical laser or keratome components could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. If any of our key suppliers ceases providing us with products of acceptable quality and quantity at a competitive price and in a timely fashion, we would have to locate and contract with a substitute supplier and, in some cases, such substitute supplier would need to be qualified by the FDA. If substitute suppliers cannot be located

22

and qualified in a timely manner or could not provide required products on commercially reasonable terms, it would have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

UNLAWFUL TAMPERING OF OUR SYSTEM CONFIGURATIONS COULD RESULT IN REDUCED REVENUES.

We include a procedure counting mechanism on LaserScan LSX lasers manufactured for sale and use in the U.S. Users of our LaserScan LSX excimer laser system could tamper with the software or hardware configuration of the system so as to alter or eliminate the procedure counting mechanism that facilitates the collection of per procedure fees. Unauthorized tampering with our procedure counting mechanism by users could result in the loss of per procedure fees.

THE LOSS OF KEY PERSONNEL COULD ADVERSELY AFFECT OUR BUSINESS.

Our ability to maintain our competitive position depends in part upon the continued contributions of our executive officers and other key employees, especially Michael R. Farris, our president and chief executive officer. A loss of one or more such officers or key employees could have a material adverse effect on our business. We do not carry "key person" life insurance on any officer or key employee.

As we commercially launch our laser system and keratome products in the U.S., we will need to continue to implement and expand our operational, sales and marketing, financial and management resources and controls. While to date we have not experienced problems recruiting or retaining the personnel necessary to expand our business, we cannot assure you that we will not have such problems in the future. If we fail to attract and retain qualified individuals for necessary positions, and if we are unable to effectively manage growth in our domestic or international operations, it could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

INADEQUACY OR UNAVAILABILITY OF INSURANCE MAY EXPOSE US TO SUBSTANTIAL PRODUCT LIABILITY CLAIMS.

Our business exposes us to potential product liability risks and possible adverse publicity that are inherent in the development, testing, manufacture, marketing and sale of medical devices for human use. These risks increase with respect to our products that receive regulatory approval for commercialization. We have agreed in the past, and we will likely agree in the future, to indemnify certain medical institutions and personnel who conduct and participate in our clinical studies. While we maintain product liability insurance, we cannot be certain that any such liability will be covered by our insurance or that damages will not exceed the limits of our coverage. Even if a claim is covered by insurance, the costs of defending a product liability, malpractice, negligence or other action, and the assessment of damages in excess



## Edgar Filing: LASERSIGHT INC /DE - Form 10-Q

of insurance coverage in the event of a successful product liability claim, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Further, product liability insurance may not continue to be available, either at existing or increased levels of coverage, on commercially reasonable terms.

### FINANCIAL AND LIQUIDITY RISKS

WE HAVE EXPERIENCED SIGNIFICANT LOSSES AND OPERATING CASH FLOW DEFICITS AND WE EXPECT THAT OPERATING CASH FLOW DEFICITS WILL CONTINUE THROUGH AT LEAST THE FIRST HALF OF 2001.

We experienced significant net losses and deficits in cash flow from operations for the years ended December 31, 2000 and 1999 and the three months ended March 31, 2001, as set forth in the following table. We cannot be certain that we will be able to achieve or sustain profitability or positive operating cash flow in the future.

23

	Year Ended December 31,		Three Months Ended
	1999	2000	March 31, 2001
	----	----	-----
Net loss.....	\$14.4 million	\$21.4 million	\$2.5 million
Deficit in cash flow from operations.....	\$11.7 million	\$15.7 million	\$5.8 million

As of March 31, 2001, we had an accumulated deficit of \$62.1 million.

IF OUR UNCOLLECTIBLE RECEIVABLES EXCEED OUR RESERVES WE WILL INCUR ADDITIONAL UNANTICIPATED EXPENSES, AND WE MAY EXPERIENCE DIFFICULTY COLLECTING RESTRUCTURED RECEIVABLES WITH EXTENDED PAYMENT TERMS.

Although we monitor the status of our receivables and maintain a reserve for estimated losses, we cannot be certain that our reserves for estimated losses, which were approximately \$4.9 million at March 31, 2001, will be sufficient to cover the amount of our actual write-offs over time. At March 31, 2001, our net trade accounts and notes receivable totaled approximately \$16.7 million, and accrued commissions, the payment of which generally depends on the collection of such net trade accounts and notes receivable, totaled approximately \$2.2 million. Actual write-offs that exceed amounts reserved could have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition and results of operations. The amount of any loss that we may have to recognize in connection with our inability to collect receivables is principally dependent on our customers' ongoing financial condition, their ability to generate revenues from our laser systems, and our ability to obtain and enforce legal judgments against delinquent customers.

Our ability to evaluate the financial condition and revenue-generating ability of our prospective customers located outside of the U.S., and our ability to obtain and enforce legal judgments against customers located outside of the U.S., is generally more limited than for our customers located in the U.S. Our agreements with our international customers typically provide that the contracts are governed by Florida law. We have not determined whether or to what extent courts or administrative agencies located in foreign countries would

## Edgar Filing: LASERSIGHT INC /DE - Form 10-Q

enforce our right to collect such receivables or to recover laser systems from customers in the event of a customer's payment default. When a customer is not paying according to established terms, we attempt to communicate and understand the underlying causes and work with the customer to resolve any issues we can control or influence. In most cases, we have been able to resolve the customer's issues and continue to collect our receivable, either on the original schedule or under restructured terms. If such issues are not resolved, we evaluate our legal and other alternatives based on existing facts and circumstances. In most such cases, we have concluded that the account should be written off as uncollectible.

At March 31, 2001, we had extended the original payment terms of laser customer accounts totaling approximately \$1.4 million by periods ranging from 12 to 60 months. Such restructured receivables represent approximately 7% of our gross receivables as of that date. Our liquidity and operating cash flow would be adversely affected if additional extensions become necessary in the future. In addition, it would be more difficult to collect laser system receivables if the payment schedule extends beyond the expected or actual economic life of the system, which we estimate to be approximately five to seven years. To date, we do not believe any payment schedule extends beyond the economic life of the applicable laser system.

WE COULD REQUIRE ADDITIONAL FINANCING, WHICH MIGHT NOT BE AVAILABLE IF WE NEED IT.

During the three months ended March 31, 2001 and year ended December 31, 2000, we experienced deficits in cash flow from operations of \$5.8 million and \$15.7 million, respectively. We may explore opportunities for additional equity financing through a private placement of our common stock. We believe that our existing balances of cash and cash equivalents and our cash flows from operations will be adequate to fund our anticipated working capital requirements

24

for the next 12 months in accordance with our current business plan. There can be no assurance that the assumptions underlying our business plan will be met or that additional financing will not be needed. Our belief regarding future working capital requirements is based on various factors and assumptions including: the uncertain timing of astigmatism and other supplemental FDA approvals for our LaserScan LSX excimer laser system, which could continue to impact our sales during 2001, potential growth in laser sales resulting from our entrance into the U.S. market in March 2000 with corresponding increases in accounts receivable and inventory purchases to date, the uncertain timing of the market introduction of our UltraShaper durable keratomes, commercial acceptance of our UltraEdge keratome blades and UniShaper single-use keratomes, which we believe is partially dependent upon the successful introduction of the UltraShaper, the anticipated timely collection of receivables, and the absence of unanticipated product development and marketing costs. See "--Industry and Competitive Risks--We cannot assure you that our keratome products will achieve market acceptance" and "--We cannot assure you that our LaserScan LSX laser system will achieve market acceptance in the U.S., and our business model for selling our laser system in the U.S. is new and unproven." These factors and assumptions are subject to certain contingencies and uncertainties, some of which are beyond our control. If we do not collect a material portion of current receivables in a timely manner, or experience less market demand for our products than we anticipate, our liquidity could be materially and adversely affected.

On March 12, 2001, we established a \$3.0 million term loan and \$10.0 million revolving credit facility with Heller. We borrowed \$3.0 million under the term loan at a rate per annum equal to two and one-half percent (2 1/2%)

## Edgar Filing: LASERSIGHT INC /DE - Form 10-Q

above the prime rate. Interest is payable monthly and the loan must be repaid on March 12, 2003. Under the credit facility, we have the option to borrow amounts at a rate per annum equal to one and one-quarter percent (1 1/4%) above the prime rate for short-term working capital needs or such other purposes as may be approved by Heller. Borrowings are limited to 85% of qualified accounts receivable related to U.S. sales. Borrowings under the loans are secured by substantially all of the Company's assets. The term loan and credit facility require us to meet certain covenants, including the maintenance of a minimum net worth. The terms of the loans extend to March 12, 2003. In addition to the costs and fees associated with the transaction, we issued to Heller a warrant to purchase 243,750 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$3.15 per share. The warrant expires on March 12, 2004. At May 14, 2001, we had no borrowings under the credit facility.

We may seek additional equity financing in the future to implement our business plan or any changes thereto in response to future developments or unanticipated contingencies. Other than the \$10.0 million credit facility with Heller described above, we currently do not have any commitments for additional financing. We cannot be certain that additional financing will be available in the future to the extent required or that, if available, it will be on commercially acceptable terms. If we raise additional funds by issuing equity or convertible debt securities, the terms of the new securities could have rights, preferences and privileges senior to those of our common stock. If we raise additional funds through debt financing, the terms of the debt could require a substantial portion of our cash flow from operations to be dedicated to the payment of principal and interest and may render us more vulnerable to competitive pressures and economic downturns. If we are not able to obtain financing necessary to meet our working capital needs, it could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

### COMMON STOCK RISKS

VARIATIONS IN OUR SALES AND OPERATING RESULTS MAY CAUSE OUR STOCK PRICE TO FLUCTUATE.

Our operating results have fluctuated in the past, and may continue to fluctuate in the future, as a result of a variety of factors, many of which are outside of our control. For example, historically a significant portion of our laser system orders for a particular quarter have been received and shipped near the end of the quarter. As a result, our operating results for any quarter often

25

depend on the timing of the receipt of orders and the subsequent shipment of our laser systems. Other factors that may cause our operating results to fluctuate include:

- o timing of regulatory approvals and the introduction or delays in shipment of new products;
- o reductions, cancellations or fulfillment of major orders;
- o the addition or loss of significant customers;
- o the relative mix of our business;
- o changes in pricing by us or our competitors;
- o costs related to expansion of our business; and
- o increased competition.

As a result of these fluctuations, we believe that period to period comparisons of our operating results cannot be relied upon as indicators of future performance. In some quarters our operating results may fall below the expectations of securities analysts and investors due to any of the factors described above or other uncertainties.

## Edgar Filing: LASERSIGHT INC /DE - Form 10-Q

THE MARKET PRICE OF OUR COMMON STOCK MAY CONTINUE TO EXPERIENCE EXTREME FLUCTUATIONS DUE TO MARKET CONDITIONS THAT ARE UNRELATED TO OUR OPERATING PERFORMANCE.

The stock market, and in particular the securities of technology companies like us, could experience extreme price and volume fluctuations unrelated to our operating performance. Our stock price has historically been volatile. Factors such as announcements of technological innovations or new products by us or our competitors, changes in domestic or foreign governmental regulations or regulatory approval processes, developments or disputes relating to patent or proprietary rights, public concern as to the safety and efficacy of refractive vision correction procedures, and changes in reports and recommendations of securities analysts, have and may continue to have a significant impact on the market price of our common stock.

THE SIGNIFICANT NUMBER OF SHARES ELIGIBLE FOR FUTURE SALE AND DILUTIVE STOCK ISSUANCES MAY ADVERSELY AFFECT OUR STOCK PRICE.

Sales, or the possibility of sales, of substantial amounts of our common stock in the public market could adversely affect the market price of our common stock. Substantially all of our 23,563,111 shares of common stock outstanding at May 14, 2001 were freely tradable without restriction or further registration under the Securities Act of 1933, except to the extent such shares are held by "affiliates" as that term is defined in Rule 144 under the Securities Act or subject only to the satisfaction of a prospectus delivery requirement.

Shares of common stock that we may issue in the future in connection with acquisitions or financings or pursuant to outstanding warrants or agreements could also adversely affect the market price of our common stock and cause significant dilution in our earnings per share and net book value per share. We may be required to issue more than 7,800,000 additional shares of common stock upon the conversion of outstanding preferred stock, the exercise of outstanding warrants and stock options, and the satisfaction of certain contingent contractual obligations.

The anti-dilution provisions of certain of our existing securities and obligations require us to issue additional shares if we issue shares of common stock below specified price levels. If a future share issuance triggers these adjustments, the beneficiaries of such provisions effectively receive some protection from declines in the market price of our common stock, while our other stockholders incur additional dilution of their ownership interest. We may include similar anti-dilution provisions in securities issued in connection with future financings.

26

ANTI-TAKEOVER PROVISIONS UNDER DELAWARE LAW AND IN OUR CERTIFICATE OF INCORPORATION, BY-LAWS AND STOCKHOLDER RIGHTS PLAN MAY MAKE AN ACQUISITION OF LASERSIGHT MORE DIFFICULT AND COULD PREVENT YOU FROM RECEIVING A PREMIUM OVER THE MARKET PRICE OF OUR STOCK.

Certain provisions of our certificate of incorporation, by-laws, stockholder rights plan and Delaware law could delay or frustrate the removal of incumbent directors, discourage potential acquisition proposals and delay, defer or prevent a change in control of us, even if such events could be beneficial, in the short term, to the economic interests of our stockholders. For example, our certificate of incorporation allows us to issue preferred stock with rights senior to those of the common stock without stockholder action, and our by-laws require advance notice of director nominations or other proposals by

## Edgar Filing: LASERSIGHT INC /DE - Form 10-Q

stockholders. We also are subject to provisions of Delaware corporation law that prohibit a publicly-held Delaware corporation from engaging in a broad range of business combinations with a person who, together with affiliates and associates, owns 15% or more of the corporation's common stock (an interested stockholder) for three years after the person became an interested stockholder, unless the business combination is approved in a prescribed manner. We also have adopted a stockholder rights agreement, or "poison pill," and declared a dividend distribution of one preferred share purchase right for each share of common stock. The rights would cause substantial dilution to a person or group that attempts to acquire 15% or more of our common stock on terms not approved by our board of directors.

### ACQUISITION RISKS

PAST AND POSSIBLE FUTURE ACQUISITIONS THAT ARE NOT SUCCESSFULLY INTEGRATED WITH OUR EXISTING OPERATIONS MAY ADVERSELY AFFECT OUR BUSINESS.

We have made several significant acquisitions since 1994, and we may in the future selectively pursue strategic acquisitions of, investments in or enter into joint ventures or other strategic alliances with companies whose business or technology complement our business. We may not be able to identify suitable candidates to acquire or enter into joint ventures or other arrangements with entities, and we may not be able to obtain financing on satisfactory terms for such activities. In addition, we could have difficulty assimilating the personnel, technology and operations of any acquired companies, which could prevent us from realizing expected synergies, and may incur unanticipated liabilities and contingencies. This could disrupt our ongoing business and distract our management and other resources.

AMORTIZATION AND CHARGES RELATING TO OUR SIGNIFICANT INTANGIBLE ASSETS COULD ADVERSELY AFFECT OUR STOCK PRICE AND REPORTED NET INCOME OR LOSS.

Of our total assets at March 31, 2001, approximately \$8.8 million, or 16%, were goodwill or other intangible assets. Any reduction in net income or increase in net loss resulting from the amortization of goodwill and other intangible assets resulting from future acquisitions by us may have an adverse impact upon the market price of our common stock. In addition, in the event of a sale of LaserSight or our assets, we cannot be certain that the value of such intangible assets would be recovered.

In accordance with SFAS 121, we review intangible assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances, including a history of operating or cash flow losses, indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. If we determine that an intangible asset is impaired, a non-cash impairment charge would be recognized. We continue to assess the current results and future prospects of TFG, our subsidiary that provides health care and vision care consulting services, in view of the substantial reduction in the subsidiary's operating results in 1997. Though TFG's operating results improved in 1998 when compared to 1997, operating losses similar to those incurred during the first half of 1998 continued during 1999. Since 1999, TFG's operations have reflected financial improvement. If TFG is unsuccessful in continuing to improve

27

its financial performance, some or all of the carrying amount of goodwill recorded, \$3.2 million at March 31, 2001, may be subject to an impairment adjustment.

### OTHER RISKS

The risks described above are not the only risks facing LaserSight.

## Edgar Filing: LASERSIGHT INC /DE - Form 10-Q

There may be additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to us or that we have deemed immaterial which could also negatively impact our business operations. If any of the foregoing risks actually occur, it could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. In that event, the trading price of our common stock could decline, and you may lose all or part of your investment.

### ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

We believe that our exposure to market risk for changes in interest and currency rates is not significant. Our investments are limited to highly liquid instruments generally with maturities of three months or less. At March 31, 2001, we had approximately \$10.4 million of short-term investments classified as cash and equivalents. All of our transactions with international customers and suppliers are denominated in U.S. dollars.

## PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

### ITEM 1 LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Certain legal proceedings against LaserSight are described in Item 3 (Legal Proceedings) of LaserSight's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2000.

### ITEM 2 CHANGES IN SECURITIES

- a) Not applicable.
- b) Not applicable.
- c) During the first quarter ended March 31, 2001, the Company sold the following unregistered securities:
  - 1) In January 2001, LaserSight issued 730,552 shares of Common Stock to Luis A. Ruiz, M.D. and Sergio Lenchig as consideration for an amendment to a license agreement. Reference is made to the Amended and Restated License and Royalty Agreement dated as of January 3, 2001 filed as an exhibit to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2000, for more detailed information regarding this amendment.
  - 2) In March 2001, LaserSight issued 50,000 shares of Common Stock to The Lowenbaum Partnership, L.L.C. in exchange for legal services provided to LaserSight.
  - 3) In March 2001, LaserSight granted Heller Healthcare Finance, Inc. a three year warrant to purchase 243,750 shares of Common Stock as partial consideration for the extension of credit by Heller. The warrant is exercisable at any time before March 12, 2004 at a price of \$3.15 per share and the investor received certain rights to have the shares registered by LaserSight at a later date.

The issuance and sale of all such shares was exempt from the registration and prospectus delivery requirements of the Securities Act of 1933 by virtue of Section 4(2) thereof due to, among other things, (i) the limited number of persons to whom the shares were issued, (ii) the distribution of disclosure documents to all investors, (iii) the fact that each such person

## Edgar Filing: LASERSIGHT INC /DE - Form 10-Q

represented and warranted to LaserSight, among other things, that such person was acquiring the shares for investment only and not with a view to the resale or distribution thereof, and (iv) the fact that certificates representing the shares were issued with a legend to the effect that such shares had not been registered under the Securities Act or any state securities laws and could not be sold or transferred in the absence of such registration or an exemption therefrom.

ITEM 3    DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

Not applicable.

ITEM 4    SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

Not applicable.

ITEM 5    OTHER INFORMATION

The date of the 2001 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of LaserSight will be held on July 12, 2001, a date that is more than 30 calendar days past the anniversary of LaserSight's 2000 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. If a stockholder intends to present a proposal at the 2001 Annual Meeting, the proposal must be received by LaserSight no later than May 25, 2001, and LaserSight will consider including stockholder proposals received by such date in LaserSight's proxy materials for the 2001 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

ITEM 6    EXHIBITS AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

a) Exhibits

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

Number Exhibit -----	Description -----
2.1	See Exhibits 10.1, 10.2, 10.6, 10.7, 10.15, 10.20, 10.23, 10.24, 10.27, 10.28, 10.48 and 10.55.
3.1	Certificate of Incorporation, as amended (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 1 of Form 8-A/A (Amendment No.5) filed by the Company on August 1, 2000*).
3.2	Bylaws, as amended (filed as Exhibit 3.2 to the Company's Form 8-K filed on December 20, 1999*).
3.3	Rights Agreement, dated as of July 2, 1998, between LaserSight Incorporated and American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, as Rights Agent, which includes (i) as Exhibit A thereto the form of Certificate of Designation of the Series E Junior Participating Preferred Stock, (ii) as Exhibit B thereto the form of Right Certificate (separate certificates for the Rights will not be issued until after the Distribution Date) and (iii) as Exhibit C thereto the Summary of Stockholder Rights Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to the Form 8-K filed by the Company on July 8, 1998*).
3.4	First Amendment to Rights Agreement, dated as of March 22, 1999, between LaserSight Incorporated and American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, as Rights Agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2 to

## Edgar Filing: LASERSIGHT INC /DE - Form 10-Q

Form 8-A/A filed by the Company on March 29, 1999\*).

- 3.5 Second Amendment to Rights Agreement, dated as of January 28, 2000, between LaserSight Incorporated and American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, as Rights Agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.6 to Form 8-K filed by the Company on February 8, 2000\*).
- 4.1 See Exhibits 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.4, 3.5, 10.17, 10.21, 10.26, 10.31, 10.32, 10.33, 10.40, 10.42, 10.43, 10.44, 10.45, 10.46, 10.47, 10.51, 10.52, 10.53, 10.54, 10.56, 10.57, 10.59 and 10.60.
- 10.1 Agreement for Purchase and Sale of Stock by and among LaserSight Centers Incorporated, its stockholders and LaserSight Incorporated dated January 15, 1993 (filed as Exhibit 2 to the Company's Form 8-K/A filed on January 25, 1993\*).
- 10.2 Amendment to Agreement for Purchase and Sale of Stock by and among LaserSight Centers Incorporated, its stockholders, and LaserSight Incorporated dated April 5, 1993 (filed as Exhibit 2 to the Company's Form 8-K/A filed on April 19, 1993\*).
- 10.3 Royalty Agreement by and between LaserSight Centers Incorporated and LaserSight Partners dated January 15, 1993 (filed as Exhibit 10.5 to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1995\*).
- 10.4 Exchange Agreement dated January 25, 1993 between LaserSight Centers Incorporated and Laser Partners (filed as Exhibit 10.6 to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1995\*).
- 10.5 Stipulation and Agreement of Compromise, Settlement and Release dated April 18, 1995 among James Gossin, Francis E. O'Donnell, Jr., J.T. Lin, Wen S. Dai, Emanuela Dobrin-Charlton, C.H. Huang, W. Douglas Hajjar, and LaserSight Incorporated (filed as Exhibit 10.7 to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1995\*).
- 10.6 Agreement for Purchase and Sale of Stock dated December 31, 1993, among LaserSight Incorporated, MRF, Inc., and Michael R. Farris (filed as Exhibit 2 to the Company's Form 8-K filed on December 31, 1993\*).
- 10.7 First Amendment to Agreement for Purchase and Sale of Stock by and among MRF, Inc., Michael R. Farris and LaserSight Incorporated dated December 28, 1995 (filed as Exhibit 10.9 to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1995\*).
- 10.8 LaserSight Incorporated 1995 Stock Option Plan (filed as Exhibit 10.5 to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 1995\*).
- 10.9 Modified Promissory Note between LaserSight Incorporated, EuroPacific Securities Services, GmbH and Co. KG and Wolf Wiese (filed as Exhibit 10.6 to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 1995\*).
- 10.10 Patent License Agreement dated December 21, 1995 by and between Francis E. O'Donnell, Jr. and LaserSight Centers, Inc. (filed as Exhibit 10.21 to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1995\*).
- 10.11 LaserSight Incorporated Amended and Restated 1996 Equity Incentive Plan (filed as Exhibit 10.11 to the Company's Form 10-Q filed on November 14, 2000\*).



## Edgar Filing: LASERSIGHT INC /DE - Form 10-Q

- 10.12 LaserSight Incorporated Amended and Restated Non-Employee Directors Stock Option Plan (filed as Exhibit 10.12 to the Company's Form 10-Q filed on November 14, 2000\*).
- 10.13 Agreement dated January 1, 1997, between International Business Machines Corporation and LaserSight Incorporated (filed as Exhibit 10.37 to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1996\*).
- 10.14 Addendum dated March 7, 1997 to Agreement between International Business Machines Corporation and LaserSight Incorporated (filed as Exhibit 10.38 to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1996\*).
- 10.15 Second Amendment to Agreement for Purchase and Sale of Stock by and among LaserSight Centers Incorporated, its stockholders and LaserSight Incorporated dated March 14, 1997 (filed as Exhibit 99.1 to the Company's Form 8-K filed on March 27, 1997\*).
- 10.16 Amendment to Royalty Agreement by and between LaserSight Centers Incorporated, Laser Partners and LaserSight Incorporated dated March 14, 1997 (filed as Exhibit 99.2 to the Company" Form 8-K filed on March 27, 1997\*).
- 10.17 Warrant to purchase 500,000 shares of common stock dated March 31, 1997 by and between LaserSight Incorporated and Foothill Capital Corporation (filed as Exhibit 10.44 to the Company's Form 10-Q filed on August 14, 1997\*).
- 10.18 License Agreement dated May 20, 1997 by and between Visx Incorporated and LaserSight Incorporated (filed as Exhibit 10.45 to the Company's Form 10-Q filed on August 14, 1997\*).
- 10.19 Patent Purchase Agreement dated July 15, 1997 by and between LaserSight Incorporated and Frederic B. Kremer, M.D. (filed as Exhibit 2.(I) to the Company's Form 8-K filed on August 13, 1997\*).
- 10.20 Agreement and Plan of Merger dated July 15, 1997 by and among LaserSight Incorporated, Photomed Acquisition, Inc., Photomed, Inc., Frederic B. Kremer, M.D., Linda Kremer, Robert Sataloff, Trustee for Alan Stewart Kremer and Robert Sataloff, Trustee for Mark Adam Kremer (filed as Exhibit 2.(ii) to the Company's Form 8-K filed on August 13, 1997\*).
- 10.21 Warrant to purchase 750,000 shares of common stock dated August 29, 1997 by and between LaserSight Incorporated and purchasers of Series B Convertible Participating Preferred Stock of LaserSight Incorporated (filed as Exhibit 10.39 to the Company's Form 10-Q filed on November 14, 1997\*).
- 10.22 Independent Contractor Agreement by and between Byron Santos, M.D. and LaserSight Technologies, Inc. (filed as Exhibit 10.42 to the Company's Form 10-Q filed on November 14, 1997\*).
- 10.23 Stock Purchase Agreement, dated December 30, 1997, by and among LaserSight Incorporated, LSI Acquisition, Inc., MEC Health Care, Inc. and Vision Twenty-One, Inc. (filed as Exhibit 2.(I) to the Company's Form 8-K filed on January 14, 1998\*).

## Edgar Filing: LASERSIGHT INC /DE - Form 10-Q

- 10.24 Stock Distribution Agreement, dated December 30, 1997, by and among LaserSight Incorporated, LSI Acquisition, Inc., MEC Health Care, Inc. and Vision Twenty-One, Inc. (filed as Exhibit 2.(ii) to the Company's Form 8-K filed on January 14, 1998\*).
- 10.25 Agreement dated April 1, 1992 between International Business Machines Corporation and LaserSight Incorporated (filed as Exhibit 10.1 on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1995\*).
- 10.26 Securities Purchase Agreement, dated June 5, 1998, by and between LaserSight Incorporated and TLC The Laser Center, Inc. (filed as Exhibit 99.1 to the Company's Form 8-K filed on June 25, 1998\*).
- 10.27 Letter Agreement dated September 11, 1998, amending the Agreement and Plan of Merger dated July 15, 1997, by and among LaserSight Incorporated, Photomed Acquisition, Inc., Photomed, Inc., Frederic B. Kremer, M.D., Linda Kremer, Robert Sataloff, Trustee for Alan Stewart Kremer and Robert Sataloff, Trustee for Mark Adam Kremer (filed as Exhibit 10.31 to the Company's Form 10-Q filed on November 16, 1998\*).
- 10.28 Exclusive License Agreement dated August 20, 1998, by and between LaserSight Technologies, Inc. and TLC The Laser Center Patents Inc. (filed as Exhibit 10.32 to the Company's Form 10-Q filed on November 16, 1998\*).
- 10.29 Manufacturing Agreement, dated September 10, 1997, by and between LaserSight Technologies, Inc. and Frantz Medical Development Ltd. (filed as Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Form S-3, Pre-Effective Amendment No. 1 filed on February 1, 1999\*).
- 10.30 Employment Agreement by and between LaserSight Incorporated and Michael R. Farris dated October 30, 1998 (filed as Exhibit 10.37 to the Company's Form 10-K filed on March 31, 1999\*).
- 10.31 Securities Purchase Agreement by and between LaserSight Incorporated and purchasers of common stock dated March 22, 1999 (filed as Exhibit 10.38 to the Company's Form 10-K filed on March 31, 1999\*).
- 10.32 Warrant to purchase 225,000 shares of common stock dated March 22, 1999 by and between LaserSight Incorporated and purchasers of common stock of LaserSight Incorporated (filed as Exhibit 10.39 to the Company's Form 10-K filed on March 31, 1999\*).
- 10.33 Warrant to purchase 67,500 shares of common stock dated February 22, 1999 by and between LaserSight Incorporated and Guy Numann (filed as Exhibit 10.40 to the Company's Form 10-Q filed on May 17, 1999\*).
- 10.34 Manufacturing and Marketing Agreement, and Addendum thereto, dated May 14, 1999, by and between LaserSight Technologies, Inc. and Becton, Dickinson and Company (filed as Exhibit 10.40 to the Company's Form 10-Q filed on August 11, 1999\*)\*\*.
- 10.35 First Amendment to Manufacturing and Marketing Agreement, dated October 23, 1999, by and between LaserSight Technologies, Inc. and Becton, Dickinson and Company (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's 8-K, filed on October 27, 1999\*)\*\*.

- 10.36 Distribution Agreement, dated October 23, 1999, by and between

## Edgar Filing: LASERSIGHT INC /DE - Form 10-Q

- LaserSight Technologies, Inc. and Becton, Dickinson and Company (filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's 8-K, filed on October 27, 1999\*\*)\*\*.
- 10.37 Employment Agreement, by and between LaserSight Technologies, Inc. and J. Richard Crowley, dated as of July 3, 1997 (filed as Exhibit 10.43 to the Company's Form 10-Q filed on November 15, 1999\*).
- 10.38 Employment Agreement, by and between LaserSight Incorporated and Michael P. Dayton, dated November 10, 1998 (filed as Exhibit 10.44 to the Company's Form 10-Q filed on November 15, 1999\*).
- 10.39 Relocation Agreement, by and between LaserSight Incorporated and Gregory L. Wilson, dated October 13, 1999 (filed as Exhibit 10.45 to the Company's Form 10-Q filed on November 15, 1999\*).
- 10.40 Technology Development and License Agreement, dated October 23, 1999, by and between LaserSight Technologies, Inc. and Quadrivium, L.L.C. (filed as Exhibit 10.46 to the Company's Form 10-Q filed on November 15, 1999\*).
- 10.41 Employment Agreement, by and between LaserSight Technologies, Inc. and Jack T. Holladay, dated October 27, 1999 (filed as Exhibit 10.47 to the Company's Form 10-Q filed on November 15, 1999\*).
- 10.42 Securities Purchase Agreement by and between LaserSight Incorporated and TLC Laser Eye Centers Inc. dated January 31, 2000 (filed as Exhibit 99.2 to the Company's Form 8-K filed on February 8, 2000\*).
- 10.43 Registration Rights Agreement dated January 31, 2000 by and between LaserSight Incorporated and TLC Laser Eye Centers Inc. (filed as Exhibit 99.3 to the Company's Form 8-K filed on February 8, 2000\*).
- 10.44 Securities Purchase Agreement by and between LaserSight Incorporated, BayStar Capital, L.P. and BayStar International, Ltd. dated January 31, 2000 (filed as Exhibit 99.4 to the Company's Form 8-K filed on February 8, 2000\*).
- 10.45 Registration Rights Agreement dated January 31, 2000 by and between LaserSight Incorporated, BayStar Capital, L.P. and BayStar International, Ltd. (filed as Exhibit 99.5 to the Company's Form 8-K filed on February 8, 2000\*).
- 10.46 Securities Purchase Agreement by and between LaserSight Incorporated, Engmann Options, Inc. and MDNH Partners, L.P. dated February 18, 2000. The Company undertakes to provide to the Commission upon its request the schedules omitted from this exhibit (filed as Exhibit 10.54 to the Company's Form 10-K filed on March 30, 2000\*).
- 10.47 Registration Rights Agreement dated February 18, 2000 by and between LaserSight Incorporated, Engmann Options, Inc. and MDNH Partners, L.P. (filed as Exhibit 10.55 to the Company's Form 10-K filed on March 30, 2000\*).
- 10.48 Technology Purchase Agreement dated as of March 8, 2000 by and between LaserSight Technologies, Inc., Premier Laser Systems, Inc. and Eyesys-Premier, Inc. The Company undertakes to provide to the Commission upon its request the schedules omitted from this exhibit (filed as Exhibit 10.56 to the Company's Form 10-K filed on March 30, 2000\*).

## Edgar Filing: LASERSIGHT INC /DE - Form 10-Q

- 10.49 Employment Agreement, by and between LaserSight Technologies, Inc and Donald M. Litscher dated February 23, 2000 (filed as Exhibit 10.57 to the Company's Form 10-Q filed on May 12, 2000\*).
- 10.50 Employment Agreement, by and between LaserSight Technologies, Inc. and L. Stephen Dalton dated March 6, 2000 (filed as Exhibit 10.58 to the Company's Form 10-Q filed on May 12, 2000\*).
- 10.51 Securities Purchase Agreement dated September 8, 2000 among LaserSight Incorporated, BayStar Capital, L.P. and BayStar International, Ltd. The Company undertakes to provide to the Commission upon its request the schedules omitted from this exhibit (filed as Exhibit 99.2 to the Company's Form 8-K filed on September 22, 2000\*).
- 10.52 Warrant agreement dated September 8, 2000 among LaserSight Incorporated and BayStar Capital, L.P. (filed as Exhibit 99.3 to the Company's Form 8-K filed on September 22, 2000\*).
- 10.53 Warrant agreement dated September 8, 2000 among LaserSight Incorporated and BayStar International, Ltd. (filed as Exhibit 99.4 to the Company's Form 8-K filed on September 22, 2000\*).
- 10.54 Registration Rights Agreement dated September 8, 2000 among LaserSight Incorporated, BayStar Capital, L.P. and BayStar International, Ltd. (filed as Exhibit 99.5 to the Company's Form 8-K filed on September 22, 2000\*).
- 10.55 Assignment Agreement dated as of February 27, 2001 among LaserSight Patents, Inc. and Alcon Laboratories, Inc. (filed as Exhibit 99.1 to the Company's Form 8-K filed on March 16, 2001\*)\*\*.
- 10.56 Amended and Restated License and Royalty Agreement dated as of January 3, 2001 by and between LaserSight Technologies, Inc., Luis A. Ruiz, M.D. and Sergio Lenchig (filed as Exhibit 10.56 to the Company's Form 10-K filed on March 30, 2001\*).
- 10.57 Registration Rights Agreement dated January 3, 2001 among LaserSight Incorporated, Luis A. Ruiz, M.D. and Sergio Lenchig (filed as Exhibit 10.57 to the Company's Form 10-K filed on March 30, 2001\*).
- 10.58 Loan and Security Agreement dated March 12, 2001 among LaserSight Incorporated and subsidiaries and Heller Healthcare Finance, Inc. (filed as Exhibit 10.58 to the Company's Form 10-K filed on March 30, 2001\*).
- 10.59 Warrant agreement dated March 12, 2001 among LaserSight Incorporated and Heller Healthcare Finance, Inc. (filed as Exhibit 10.59 to the Company's Form 10-K filed on March 30, 2001\*).
- 34
- 10.60 Registration Rights Agreement dated March 12, 2001 among LaserSight Incorporated and Heller Healthcare Finance, Inc. (filed as Exhibit 10.60 to the Company's Form 10-K filed on March 30, 2001\*).
- 11 Statement of Computation of Loss Per Share
- 15 Copy of letter from independent accountants' regarding unaudited interim financial information
- 99 Press release dated May 15, 2001

Edgar Filing: LASERSIGHT INC /DE - Form 10-Q

b) Reports on Form 8-K

On March 16, 2001, we filed a Current Report on Form 8-K describing our sale of a patent.

-----

\*Incorporated herein by reference. File No. 0-19671.

\*\*Confidential treatment has been granted for portions of this document. The redacted material has been filed separately with the commission.

35

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the undersigned have duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

LaserSight Incorporated

Dated: May 15, 2001

By: /s/ Michael R. Farris

-----  
Michael R. Farris,  
Chief Executive Officer

Dated: May 15, 2001

By: /s/ Gregory L. Wilson

-----  
Gregory L. Wilson,  
Chief Financial Officer

36