

APARTMENT INVESTMENT & MANAGEMENT CO

Form 10-Q

July 31, 2009

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549**

Form 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2009
OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the transition period from _____ to _____
Commission File Number 1-13232

Apartment Investment and Management Company
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

84-1259577
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

4582 South Ulster Street Parkway, Suite 1100
Denver, Colorado
(Address of principal executive offices)

80237
(Zip Code)

(303) 757-8101
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Not Applicable

(Former name, former address, and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Edgar Filing: APARTMENT INVESTMENT & MANAGEMENT CO - Form 10-Q

The number of shares of Class A Common Stock outstanding as of July 29, 2009: 117,042,795

**APARTMENT INVESTMENT AND MANAGEMENT COMPANY
TABLE OF CONTENTS
FORM 10-Q**

Page

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. Financial Statements

Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 (Unaudited) 2

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 (Unaudited) 3

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 (Unaudited) 4

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) 5

ITEM 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations 20

ITEM 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk 36

ITEM 4. Controls and Procedures 36

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1A. Risk Factors 37

ITEM 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds 37

ITEM 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders 37

ITEM 5. Other Information 38

ITEM 6. Exhibits 39

Signatures 40

Exhibit 10.1
Exhibit 31.1
Exhibit 31.2
Exhibit 32.1
Exhibit 32.2
Exhibit 99.1

Table of Contents**PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION****ITEM 1. Financial Statements****APARTMENT INVESTMENT AND MANAGEMENT COMPANY
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS****(In thousands, except share data)****(Unaudited)**

	June 30, 2009	December 31, 2008
ASSETS		
Real estate:		
Buildings and improvements	\$ 8,231,111	\$ 8,145,589
Land	2,273,852	2,264,335
Total real estate	10,504,963	10,409,924
Less accumulated depreciation	(2,812,016)	(2,627,373)
Net real estate	7,692,947	7,782,551
Cash and cash equivalents	112,114	299,676
Restricted cash	257,432	256,817
Accounts receivable, net	67,729	92,923
Accounts receivable from affiliates, net	27,644	36,372
Deferred financing costs, net	59,038	56,052
Notes receivable from unconsolidated real estate partnerships, net	14,818	22,567
Notes receivable from non-affiliates, net	141,125	139,897
Investment in unconsolidated real estate partnerships	117,432	119,036
Other assets	222,081	188,765
Deferred income tax assets, net	28,332	28,326
Assets held for sale	100,729	391,884
Total assets	\$ 8,841,421	\$ 9,414,866
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY		
Property tax-exempt bond financing	\$ 624,975	\$ 669,339
Property loans payable	5,423,593	5,425,908
Term loan	350,000	400,000
Other borrowings	88,237	95,981
Total indebtedness	6,486,805	6,591,228
Accounts payable	33,335	64,241
Accrued liabilities and other	292,110	411,093
Deferred income	183,594	194,867
Security deposits	41,427	41,308
Liabilities related to assets held for sale	72,570	245,332
Total liabilities	7,109,841	7,548,069

Edgar Filing: APARTMENT INVESTMENT & MANAGEMENT CO - Form 10-Q

Preferred noncontrolling interests in Aimco Operating Partnership	87,286	88,148
Preferred stock subject to repurchase agreement	30,000	
Commitments and contingencies (Note 5)		
Equity:		
Perpetual Preferred Stock	660,500	696,500
Class A Common Stock, \$.01 par value, 426,157,736 shares authorized, 116,435,004 and 116,180,877 shares issued and outstanding, at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively		
	1,164	1,162
Additional paid-in capital	3,065,080	3,058,799
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(473)	(2,249)
Notes due on common stock purchases	(1,403)	(3,607)
Distributions in excess of earnings	(2,413,472)	(2,335,628)
Total Aimco equity	1,311,396	1,414,977
Noncontrolling interests in consolidated real estate partnerships	311,384	363,672
Common noncontrolling interests in Aimco Operating Partnership	(8,486)	
Total equity	1,614,294	1,778,649
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 8,841,421	\$ 9,414,866

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

APARTMENT INVESTMENT AND MANAGEMENT COMPANY
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

(In thousands, except per share data)

(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
REVENUES:				
Rental and other property revenues	\$ 320,852	\$ 316,970	\$ 643,010	\$ 633,727
Property management revenues, primarily from affiliates	1,340	1,415	2,983	3,519
Asset management and tax credit revenues	12,606	38,175	22,144	51,027
Total revenues	334,798	356,560	668,137	688,273
OPERATING EXPENSES:				
Property operating expenses	142,914	141,213	292,108	294,945
Property management expenses	472	1,254	1,905	2,589
Investment management expenses	4,716	5,807	8,506	10,194
Depreciation and amortization	122,198	105,642	240,914	204,659
Provision for operating real estate impairment losses	4,988		5,498	
General and administrative expenses	17,849	27,004	37,922	48,370
Other expenses, net	4,398	10,933	6,463	18,117
Total operating expenses	297,535	291,853	593,316	578,874
Operating income	37,263	64,707	74,821	109,399
Interest income	2,264	1,748	5,655	11,312
Provision for losses on notes receivable, net	(1,534)	(42)	(1,685)	(265)
Interest expense	(90,896)	(89,790)	(179,888)	(178,391)
Equity losses of unconsolidated real estate partnerships	(1,696)	(843)	(3,736)	(1,872)
Gain on dispositions of unconsolidated real estate and other	3,750	255	14,611	166
Loss before income taxes and discontinued operations	(50,849)	(23,965)	(90,222)	(59,651)
Income tax benefit	3,080	3,281	5,285	4,977
Loss from continuing operations	(47,769)	(20,684)	(84,937)	(54,674)
Income from discontinued operations, net	40,143	363,639	44,737	373,968
Net (loss) income	(7,626)	342,955	(40,200)	319,294
Noncontrolling interests:				

Edgar Filing: APARTMENT INVESTMENT & MANAGEMENT CO - Form 10-Q

Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests in consolidated real estate partnerships	(11,695)	(58,648)	(5,422)	(61,963)
Net income attributable to preferred noncontrolling interests in Aimco Operating Partnership	(1,746)	(1,925)	(2,815)	(3,707)
Net loss (income) attributable to common noncontrolling interests in Aimco Operating Partnership	2,623	(26,427)	5,458	(22,319)
Total noncontrolling interests	(10,818)	(87,000)	(2,779)	(87,989)
Net (loss) income attributable to Aimco	(18,444)	255,955	(42,979)	231,305
Net income attributable to Aimco preferred stockholders	(11,477)	(13,670)	(24,643)	(27,878)
Net income attributable to participating securities		(3,145)		(2,497)
Net (loss) income attributable to Aimco common stockholders	\$ (29,921)	\$ 239,140	\$ (67,622)	\$ 200,930
Earnings (loss) attributable to Aimco per common share basic and diluted (Note 6):				
Loss from continuing operations attributable to Aimco (net of income attributable to preferred stockholders and participating securities)	\$ (0.41)	\$ (0.36)	\$ (0.74)	\$ (0.70)
Income from discontinued operations attributable to Aimco	0.15	2.30	0.15	2.30
Net (loss) income attributable to Aimco common stockholders	\$ (0.26)	\$ 1.94	\$ (0.59)	\$ 1.60
Weighted average common shares outstanding, basic and diluted	115,510	123,484	115,304	125,723
Dividends declared per common share	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.43	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.43

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

**APARTMENT INVESTMENT AND MANAGEMENT COMPANY
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

(In thousands)

(Unaudited)

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Net (loss) income	\$ (40,200)	\$ 319,294
Adjustments to reconcile net (loss) income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	240,914	204,659
Gain on dispositions of unconsolidated real estate and other	(14,611)	(166)
Discontinued operations	(41,958)	(308,001)
Other adjustments	23,915	29,575
Net changes in operating assets and operating liabilities	(108,659)	4,798
Net cash provided by operating activities	59,401	250,159
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:		
Purchases of real estate		(56,534)
Capital expenditures	(147,337)	(307,378)
Proceeds from dispositions of real estate	265,937	856,932
Proceeds from sales of interests in unconsolidated real estate partnerships	12,596	
Purchases of partnership interests and other assets	(2,567)	(20,131)
Originations of notes receivable from unconsolidated real estate partnerships	(4,111)	(4,864)
Proceeds from repayment of notes receivable	4,376	5,044
Other investing activities	20,453	655
Net cash provided by investing activities	149,347	473,724
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
Proceeds from property loans	610,803	455,523
Principal repayments on property loans	(682,681)	(600,683)
Proceeds from tax-exempt bond financing		21,200
Principal repayments on tax-exempt bond financing	(70,774)	(32,495)
Payments on term loans	(50,000)	
Net borrowings on revolving credit facility		145,000
Repurchases of Class A Common Stock		(352,306)
Repurchases of preferred stock	(4,200)	
Payment of Class A Common Stock dividends	(72,164)	(107,808)
Payment of preferred stock dividends	(26,293)	(27,903)
Payment of distributions to noncontrolling interests	(80,626)	(119,180)

Edgar Filing: APARTMENT INVESTMENT & MANAGEMENT CO - Form 10-Q

Other financing activities	(20,375)	14,471
Net cash used in financing activities	(396,310)	(604,181)
NET (DECREASE)/INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	(187,562)	119,702
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT BEGINNING OF PERIOD	299,676	210,461
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT END OF PERIOD	\$ 112,114	\$ 330,163

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

APARTMENT INVESTMENT AND MANAGEMENT COMPANY
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
June 30, 2009
(Unaudited)

NOTE 1 Organization

Apartment Investment and Management Company, or Aimco, is a Maryland corporation incorporated on January 10, 1994. We are a self-administered and self-managed real estate investment trust, or REIT, engaged in the acquisition, ownership, management and redevelopment of apartment properties. As of June 30, 2009, we owned or managed a real estate portfolio of 950 apartment properties containing 154,511 apartment units located in 44 states, the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico. We are one of the largest owners and operators of apartment properties in the United States.

As of June 30, 2009, we:

owned an equity interest in and consolidated 111,054 units in 485 properties (which we refer to as consolidated properties), of which 108,713 units were also managed by us;
owned an equity interest in and did not consolidate 8,915 units in 82 properties (which we refer to as unconsolidated properties), of which 3,884 units were also managed by us; and
provided services for or managed 34,542 units in 383 properties, primarily pursuant to long-term agreements (including 32,241 units in 358 properties for which we provide asset management services only, and not also property management services). In certain cases, we may indirectly own generally less than one percent of the operations of such properties through a partnership syndication or other fund.

Through our wholly-owned subsidiaries, AIMCO-GP, Inc. and AIMCO-LP Trust, we own a majority of the ownership interests in AIMCO Properties, L.P., which we refer to as the Aimco Operating Partnership. As of June 30, 2009, we held approximately 93% of the common partnership units and equivalents of the Aimco Operating Partnership. We conduct substantially all of our business and own substantially all of our assets through the Aimco Operating Partnership. Interests in the Aimco Operating Partnership that are held by limited partners other than Aimco are referred to as OP Units. OP Units include common OP Units, partnership preferred units, or preferred OP Units, and high performance partnership units, or High Performance Units. The Aimco Operating Partnership's income is allocated to holders of common OP Units based on the weighted average number of common OP Units and equivalents outstanding during the period. The holders of the common OP Units and Class I High Performance Units receive distributions, prorated from the date of issuance, in an amount equivalent to the dividends paid to holders of Aimco Class A Common Stock (which we refer to as Common Stock). Holders of common OP Units may redeem such units for cash or, at the Aimco Operating Partnership's option, Common Stock. Preferred OP Units entitle the holders thereof to a preference with respect to distributions or upon liquidation. At June 30, 2009, 116,435,004 shares of our Common Stock were outstanding and the Aimco Operating Partnership had 8,819,906 common OP Units and equivalents outstanding for a combined total of 125,254,910 shares of Common Stock and OP Units outstanding (excluding preferred OP Units).

Except as the context otherwise requires, we, our, us and the Company refer to Aimco, the Aimco Operating Partnership and their consolidated entities, collectively.

NOTE 2 Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America, or GAAP, have been condensed or omitted in accordance with such rules and regulations, although management believes the disclosures are adequate to prevent the information presented from being misleading. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring items) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Operating results for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2009.

Table of Contents

The balance sheet at December 31, 2008, has been derived from the audited financial statements at that date, but does not include all of the information and disclosures required by GAAP for complete financial statements. For further information, refer to the financial statements and notes thereto included in Aimco's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008. Certain 2008 financial statement amounts have been reclassified to conform to the 2009 presentation, including adjustments for discontinued operations.

Share and per share information for the periods presented has been retroactively adjusted for the effect of shares of Common Stock issued in connection with special dividends paid during 2008 and January 2009.

Our management evaluated for subsequent events through the time this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q was filed on July 31, 2009.

Principles of Consolidation

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Aimco, the Aimco Operating Partnership, and their consolidated entities. We consolidate all variable interest entities for which we are the primary beneficiary. Generally, we consolidate real estate partnerships and other entities that are not variable interest entities when we own, directly or indirectly, a majority voting interest in the entity or are otherwise able to control the entity. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Interests in the Aimco Operating Partnership that are held by limited partners other than Aimco are reflected in the accompanying balance sheets as noncontrolling interests in Aimco Operating Partnership. Interests in partnerships consolidated into the Aimco Operating Partnership that are held by third parties are reflected in the accompanying balance sheets as noncontrolling interests in consolidated real estate partnerships. The assets of consolidated real estate partnerships owned or controlled by us generally are not available to pay creditors of Aimco or the Aimco Operating Partnership.

As used herein, and except where the context otherwise requires, "partnership" refers to a limited partnership or a limited liability company and "partner" refers to a partner in a limited partnership or a member in a limited liability company.

Variable Interest Entities

Financial Accounting Standards Board, or FASB, Interpretation No. 46 (revised December 2003), *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities*, or FIN 46, addresses the consolidation by business enterprises of variable interest entities. We consolidate all variable interest entities for which we are the primary beneficiary. Generally, a variable interest entity, or VIE, is an entity with one or more of the following characteristics: (a) the total equity investment at risk is not sufficient to permit the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support; (b) as a group, the holders of the equity investment at risk lack (i) the ability to make decisions about an entity's activities through voting or similar rights, (ii) the obligation to absorb the expected losses of the entity, or (iii) the right to receive the expected residual returns of the entity; or (c) the equity investors have voting rights that are not proportional to their economic interests and substantially all of the entity's activities either involve, or are conducted on behalf of, an investor that has disproportionately few voting rights. FIN 46 requires a VIE to be consolidated in the financial statements of the entity that is determined to be the primary beneficiary of the VIE. The primary beneficiary generally is the entity that will receive a majority of the VIE's expected losses, receive a majority of the VIE's expected residual returns, or both.

In determining whether we are the primary beneficiary of a VIE, we consider qualitative and quantitative factors, including, but not limited to: the amount and characteristics of our investment; the obligation or likelihood for us or other investors to provide financial support; our and the other investors' ability to control or significantly influence key decisions for the VIE; and the similarity with and significance to the business activities of us and the other investors. Significant judgments related to these determinations include estimates about the current and future fair values and performance of real estate held by these VIEs and general market conditions.

As of June 30, 2009, we were the primary beneficiary of, and therefore consolidated, 91 VIEs, which owned 68 apartment properties with 9,706 units. Real estate with a carrying value of \$776.2 million collateralized \$460.5 million of debt of those VIEs. The creditors of the consolidated VIEs do not have recourse to our general credit. As of June 30, 2009, we also held variable interests in 124 VIEs for which we were not the primary beneficiary. Those VIEs consist primarily of partnerships that are engaged, directly or indirectly, in the ownership and

management of 175 apartment properties with 10,061 units. We are involved with those VIEs as an equity holder, lender, management agent, or through other contractual relationships. At June 30, 2009, our maximum exposure to loss as a result of our involvement with unconsolidated VIEs is limited to our recorded investments in and receivables from those VIEs totaling \$107.6 million and our contractual obligation to advance funds to certain VIEs totaling \$5.3 million. We may be subject to additional losses to the extent of any financial support that we voluntarily provide in the future. Additionally, the provision of financial support in the future may require us to consolidate a VIE.

Table of Contents***Noncontrolling Interests***

We adopted the provisions of FASB Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 160, *Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements – an amendment of ARB No. 51*, or SFAS 160, effective January 1, 2009. SFAS 160 clarifies that a noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary is an ownership interest in a consolidated entity, which should be reported as equity in the parent's consolidated financial statements. SFAS 160 requires disclosure, on the face of the consolidated income statements, of those amounts of consolidated net income and other comprehensive income attributable to controlling and noncontrolling interests, eliminating the past practice of reporting amounts of income attributable to noncontrolling interests as an adjustment in arriving at consolidated net income. SFAS 160 requires the parent to attribute to noncontrolling interests their share of losses even if such attribution results in a deficit noncontrolling interest balance within the parent's equity accounts, and in some instances, requires a parent to recognize a gain or loss in net income when a subsidiary is deconsolidated.

In connection with our adoption of SFAS 160, we reclassified into our consolidated equity accounts the historical balances related to noncontrolling interests in consolidated real estate partnerships and the portion of noncontrolling interests in Aimco Operating Partnership related to the Aimco Operating Partnership's common OP Units and High Performance Units. At December 31, 2008, the carrying amount of noncontrolling interests in consolidated real estate partnerships was \$363.7 million and the carrying amount for noncontrolling interests in Aimco Operating Partnership attributable to common OP Units and High Performance Units was zero, due to cash distributions in excess of the positive balances related to those noncontrolling interests.

Under SFAS 160, we no longer record a charge related to cash distributions to noncontrolling interests in excess of the carrying amount of such noncontrolling interests, and we attribute losses to noncontrolling interests even if such attribution results in a deficit noncontrolling interest balance within our equity accounts. The following table illustrates the pro forma amounts of loss from continuing operations, discontinued operations and net loss that would have been attributed to Aimco common stockholders for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, had we applied the provisions of Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51, prior to their amendment by SFAS 160 (in thousands, except per share amounts):

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2009	Six Months Ended June 30, 2009
Loss from continuing operations attributable to Aimco (net of income attributable to preferred stockholders and participating securities)	\$ (54,724)	\$ (112,997)
Income from discontinued operations attributable to Aimco	18,331	17,832
Net loss attributable to Aimco common stockholders	\$ (36,393)	\$ (95,165)
Basic and diluted earnings (loss) per common share:		
Loss from continuing operations attributable to Aimco (net of income attributable to preferred stockholders and participating securities)	\$ (0.47)	\$ (0.98)
Income from discontinued operations attributable to Aimco	0.15	0.15
Net loss attributable to Aimco common stockholders	\$ (0.32)	\$ (0.83)

Table of Contents

The following table presents a reconciliation of the December 31, 2008 and June 30, 2009 carrying amounts for preferred noncontrolling interests in the Aimco Operating Partnership, consolidated equity and the related amounts of equity attributable to Aimco and noncontrolling interests:

	Temporary equity		Equity				Total equity
	Preferred noncontrolling interests in Aimco Operating Partnership	Preferred stock subject to repurchase agreement	Equity attributable to Aimco	Noncontrolling interests in consolidated real estate partnerships	Common noncontrolling interests in Aimco Operating Partnership		
Balance, December 31, 2008	\$ 88,148	\$	\$ 1,414,977	\$ 363,672	\$	\$ 1,778,649	
Contributions				3,035		3,035	
Dividends/distributions	(3,412)		(37,864)	(57,458)	(924)	(96,246)	
Conversions and repurchases of common units and shares	(1,016)		1,305		(1,353)	(48)	
Repurchase of preferred shares			(4,200)			(4,200)	
Reclassification of preferred stock to temporary equity (Note 4)		30,000	(30,000)			(30,000)	
Stock based compensation cost			7,153			7,153	
Other	751		1,229	(3,369)	(751)	(2,891)	
Effect of changes in ownership				(307)		(307)	
Change in accumulated other comprehensive loss			1,775	389		2,164	
Net income (loss)	2,815		(42,979)	5,422	(5,458)	(43,015)	
Balance, June 30, 2009	\$ 87,286	\$ 30,000	\$ 1,311,396	\$ 311,384	\$ (8,486)	\$ 1,614,294	

SFAS 160 does not amend the provisions of EITF Topic D-98, *Classification and Measurement of Redeemable Securities*, or EITF D-98, which addresses the classification and measurement of redeemable securities, including noncontrolling interests in a subsidiary; however, the FASB amended EITF D-98 in March 2008 to address the interaction of EITF D-98 with SFAS 160. Pursuant to EITF D-98, the Aimco Operating Partnership's preferred OP Units, which are generally redeemable at the holders' option and may be settled in cash or, at the Aimco Operating Partnership's discretion, shares of Common Stock, will continue to be classified within temporary equity in our consolidated balance sheets.

Business Combinations

We adopted the provisions of FASB Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 141(R), *Business Combinations* a replacement of FASB Statement No. 141, or SFAS 141(R), effective January 1, 2009. SFAS 141(R) applies to all transactions or events in which an entity obtains control of one or more businesses, including those

effected without the transfer of consideration, for example by contract or through a lapse of minority veto rights. SFAS 141(R) requires the acquiring entity in a business combination to recognize the full fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed in the transaction (whether a full or partial acquisition); establishes the acquisition-date fair value as the measurement objective for all assets acquired and liabilities assumed; and requires expensing of most transaction and restructuring costs.

We believe most operating real estate assets meet the revised definition of a business under SFAS 141(R). Accordingly, beginning in 2009, we expense transaction costs associated with acquisitions of operating real estate or interests therein when we consolidate the asset. SFAS 141(R) does not provide implementation guidance regarding the treatment of acquisition costs incurred prior to December 31, 2008, for acquisitions that do not close until 2009 when SFAS 141(R) is effective. The SEC has indicated any of the following three transition methods are acceptable, provided that the method chosen is disclosed and applied consistently:

- 1) expense acquisition costs in 2008 when it is probable that the acquisition will not close in 2008;
- 2) expense acquisition costs January 1, 2009, upon adoption of SFAS 141(R); or
- 3) give retroactive treatment to the acquisition costs January 1, 2009, upon adoption of SFAS 141(R), by retroactively adjusting prior periods to record acquisition costs in the prior periods in which they were incurred, in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 154, *Accounting Changes and Error Corrections*.

We elected to apply the third method and accordingly have retroactively adjusted our results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2008, by \$3.5 million, which also resulted in a corresponding reduction to our December 31, 2008 equity balance. Approximately \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million of such acquisition costs were incurred during the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, respectively, and are reflected in investment management expenses in our accompanying condensed consolidated statements of income for those periods. These retroactive adjustments had no net effect on earnings per share amounts for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008.

Table of Contents***Participating Securities***

We adopted the provisions of FASB FSP EITF 03-6-1, *Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions are Participating Securities*, or the FSP, effective January 1, 2009. The FSP clarifies that unvested share-based payment awards that participate in dividends similar to shares of common stock or common partnership units should be treated as participating securities. The FSP affects the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share for unvested restricted stock awards and shares purchased pursuant to officer stock loans, which serve as collateral for such loans, both of which entitle the holders to dividends. Refer to Note 6, which details our calculation of earnings per share and the effect of participating securities on earnings per share. We do not expect the FSP to have a material effect on future earnings per share amounts.

Derivative Financial Instruments

We primarily use long-term, fixed-rate and self-amortizing non-recourse debt to avoid, among other things, risk related to fluctuating interest rates. For our variable rate debt, we are sometimes required by our lenders to limit our exposure to interest rate fluctuations by entering into interest rate swap or cap agreements. The interest rate swap agreements moderate our exposure to interest rate risk by effectively converting the interest on variable rate debt to a fixed rate over the term of the related debt. The interest rate cap agreements effectively limit our exposure to interest rate risk by providing a ceiling on the underlying variable interest rate.

At June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, we had interest rate swaps with an aggregate notional amount of \$52.3 million, and recorded fair values of \$0.8 million and \$2.6 million, respectively, reflected in other assets and accrued liabilities and other in our condensed consolidated balance sheets. At June 30, 2009, these interest rate swaps had a weighted average term of 11.6 years. In accordance with FASB Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, or SFAS 133, we have designated these interest rate swaps as cash flow hedges and recognize any changes in their fair value as an adjustment of accumulated other comprehensive income within equity to the extent of their effectiveness. For the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, we recognized changes in fair value of \$1.7 million and \$0.1 million, respectively, of which \$2.2 million and \$0.1 million resulted in an adjustment to consolidated equity. We recognized \$0.4 million of ineffectiveness as an adjustment of interest expense during the six months ended June 30, 2009 and we recognized no ineffectiveness during the six months ended June 30, 2008. Our consolidated comprehensive loss for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, totaled \$5.8 million and \$38.0 million, respectively, and consolidated comprehensive income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, totaled \$343.6 million and \$319.4 million, respectively, before the effects of noncontrolling interests. If the forward rates at June 30, 2009 remain constant, we estimate that during the next twelve months, we would reclassify into earnings approximately \$1.4 million of the unrealized losses in accumulated other comprehensive income.

From time to time, we enter into total rate of return swaps on various fixed rate secured tax-exempt bonds payable and fixed rate notes payable to convert these borrowings from a fixed rate to a variable rate and provide a financing product to lower our cost of borrowing. In exchange for our receipt of a fixed rate generally equal to the underlying borrowing's interest rate, the total rate of return swaps require that we pay a variable rate, equivalent to the Securities Industry and Financial Markets Association Municipal Swap Index, or SIFMA, rate for tax-exempt bonds payable and the 30-day LIBOR rate for notes payable, plus a risk spread. These swaps generally have an interest in the property collateralized by the related borrowings and the obligations under certain of these swaps are cross-collateralized with certain of the other swaps with a particular counterparty. The underlying borrowings are generally callable at our option, with no prepayment penalty, with 30 days advance notice, and the swaps generally have a term of less than five years. The total rate of return swaps have a contractually defined termination value generally equal to the difference between the fair value and the counterparty's purchased value of the underlying borrowings, which may require payment by us or to us for such difference. Accordingly, we believe fluctuations in the fair value of the borrowings from the inception of the hedging relationship generally will be offset by a corresponding fluctuation in the fair value of the total rate of return swaps.

In accordance with SFAS 133, we designate total rate of return swaps as hedges of the risk of overall changes in the fair value of the underlying borrowings. At each reporting period, we estimate the fair value of these borrowings and the total rate of return swaps and recognize any changes therein as an adjustment of interest expense. We evaluate the

effectiveness of these fair value hedges at the end of each reporting period and recognize an adjustment of interest expense as a result of any ineffectiveness.

Table of Contents

As of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, we had borrowings payable subject to total rate of return swaps with aggregate outstanding principal balances of \$418.9 million and \$421.7 million, respectively. At June 30, 2009, the weighted average fixed receive rate under the total return swaps was 6.8% and the weighted average variable pay rate was 1.1%, based on the applicable SIFMA and 30-day LIBOR rates effective as of that date. Information related to the fair value of these instruments at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, is discussed further below.

Fair Value Measurements

We adopted the provisions of FASB Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*, or SFAS 157, that apply both to recurring and nonrecurring fair value measurements of financial assets and liabilities effective January 1, 2008, and the provisions that apply to fair value measurements of non-financial assets and liabilities effective January 1, 2009, and at those times determined no transition adjustments were required.

The table below presents (in thousands) the amounts at December 31, 2008 and June 30, 2009 (and the changes in fair value between such dates) for significant items measured in our consolidated balance sheets at fair value, as defined in SFAS 157. Certain of these fair value measurements are based on significant unobservable inputs classified within Level 3 of the SFAS 157 valuation hierarchy. When a determination is made to classify a fair value measurement within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy, the determination is based upon the significance of the unobservable factors to the overall fair value measurement. However, such fair value measurements typically include, in addition to the unobservable or Level 3 inputs, observable inputs that can be validated to observable external sources; accordingly, the changes in fair value in the table below are due in part to observable factors that are part of the valuation methodology.

	Fair value at December 31, 2008	Unrealized gains (losses) included in earnings (1)(2)	Unrealized gains (losses) included in equity	Fair value at June 30, 2009
Level 2:				
Interest rate swaps (3)	\$ (2,557)	\$ (440)	\$ 2,164	\$ (833)
Level 3:				
Total rate of return swaps (4)	(29,495)	(85)		(29,580)
Changes in fair value of debt instruments subject to total rate of return swaps (5)	29,495	85		29,580
Total	\$ (2,557)	\$ (440)	\$ 2,164	\$ (833)

(1) Unrealized gains (losses) relate to periodic revaluations of fair value and have not resulted from the settlement of a swap position.

(2) Included in interest expense in the

accompanying
condensed
consolidated
statements of income.

(3) The fair value of interest rate swaps is estimated using an income approach with primarily observable inputs including information regarding the hedged variable cash flows and forward yield curves relating to the variable interest rates on which the hedged cash flows are based.

(4) Total rate of return swaps have contractually-defined termination values generally equal to the difference between the fair value and the counterparty's purchased value of the underlying borrowings. We calculate the termination value, which we believe is representative of the fair value, of total rate of return swaps using a market approach by reference to estimates of the fair value of the underlying borrowings, which are discussed below, and an evaluation of potential changes in the credit quality of the counterparties to these arrangements.

(5)

We estimate the fair value of debt instruments using an income and market approach, including comparison of the contractual terms to observable and unobservable inputs such as market interest rate risk spreads, collateral quality and loan-to-value ratios on similarly encumbered assets within our portfolio. These borrowings are collateralized and non-recourse to us; therefore, we believe changes in our credit rating will not materially affect a market participant's estimate of the borrowings' fair value.

In addition to the amounts in the table above, during the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, we recognized \$19.7 million and \$16.9 million, respectively, of net provisions for operating real estate impairment losses (including amounts in discontinued operations) to reduce the carrying amounts of certain real estate properties to their estimated fair value (or fair value less estimated costs to sell). We estimate the fair value of real estate using income and market valuation techniques using information such as broker estimates, purchase prices for recent transactions on comparable assets and net operating income capitalization analyses using observable and unobservable inputs such as capitalization rates, asset quality grading, geographic location analysis, and local supply and demand observations. Based on the significance of the unobservable inputs, we classify these fair value measurements within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy.

Table of Contents

We believe that the aggregate fair value of our cash and cash equivalents, receivables, payables and short-term secured debt approximates their aggregate carrying value at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, due to their relatively short-term nature and high probability of realization. We estimate fair value for our notes receivable and debt instruments using present value techniques that include income and market valuation approaches using observable inputs such as market rates for debt with the same or similar terms and unobservable inputs such as collateral quality and loan-to-value ratios on similarly encumbered assets. Because of the significance of unobservable inputs to these fair value measurements, we classify them within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. Present value calculations vary depending on the assumptions used, including the discount rate and estimates of future cash flows. In many cases, the fair value estimates may not be realizable in immediate settlement of the instruments. The estimated aggregate fair value of our notes receivable was approximately \$151.1 million and \$161.6 million at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively, as compared to their carrying amounts of \$155.9 million and \$162.5 million. The estimated aggregate fair value of our consolidated debt (including amounts reported in liabilities related to assets held for sale) was approximately \$6.6 billion and \$6.7 billion at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively, as compared to aggregate carrying amounts of \$6.6 billion and \$6.8 billion. The fair values of our derivative instruments at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 are included in the table presented above.

Concentration of Credit Risk

Financial instruments that potentially could subject us to significant concentrations of credit risk consist principally of notes receivable and total rate of return swaps. Approximately \$86.3 million of our notes receivable at June 30, 2009, are collateralized by properties in the West Harlem area of New York City. There are no other significant concentrations of credit risk with respect to our notes receivable due to the large number of partnerships that are borrowers under the notes and the geographic diversification of the properties that collateralize the notes.

At June 30, 2009, we had total rate of return swap positions with two financial institutions totaling \$419.3 million. We periodically evaluate counterparty credit risk associated with these arrangements. At the current time, we have concluded we do not have material exposure. In the event this counterparty were to default under these arrangements, loss of the net interest benefit we generally receive under these arrangements, which is equal to the difference between the fixed rate we receive and the variable rate we pay, may adversely impact our results of operations and operating cash flows.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of our condensed consolidated financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts included in the financial statements and accompanying notes thereto. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Income Taxes

In March 2008, we were notified by the Internal Revenue Service, or the IRS, that it intended to examine the 2006 Federal tax return for the Aimco Operating Partnership. During June 2008, the IRS issued AIMCO-GP, Inc., the general partner and tax matters partner of the Aimco Operating Partnership, a summary report including the IRS's proposed adjustments to the Aimco Operating Partnership's 2006 Federal tax return. In addition, in May 2009, we were notified by the IRS that it intended to examine the 2007 Federal tax return for the Aimco Operating Partnership. A summary report related to the 2007 examination has not yet been issued. We do not expect the 2006 proposed adjustments or the 2007 examination to have any material effect on our unrecognized tax benefits, financial condition or results of operations.

Table of Contents**NOTE 3 Real Estate Dispositions*****Real Estate Dispositions (Discontinued Operations)***

We are currently marketing for sale certain real estate properties that are inconsistent with our long-term investment strategy. At the end of each reporting period, we evaluate whether such properties meet the criteria to be classified as held for sale, including whether such properties are expected to be sold within 12 months. Additionally, certain properties that do not meet all of the criteria to be classified as held for sale at the balance sheet date may nevertheless be sold and included in discontinued operations in the subsequent 12 months; thus, the number of properties that may be sold during the subsequent 12 months could exceed the number classified as held for sale. At June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, we had nine and 38 properties, with an aggregate of 2,381 and 8,978 units, respectively, classified as held for sale. Amounts classified as held for sale in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets are as follows (in thousands):

	June 30, 2009	December 31, 2008
Real estate, net	\$ 98,029	\$ 385,394
Other assets	2,700	6,490
Assets held for sale	\$ 100,729	\$ 391,884
Property debt	\$ 71,709	\$ 237,903
Other liabilities	861	7,429
Liabilities related to assets held for sale	\$ 72,570	\$ 245,332

During the six months ended June 30, 2009, we sold 29 properties with an aggregate of 6,597 units and during the year ended December 31, 2008, we sold 151 consolidated properties with an aggregate of 37,202 units. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, discontinued operations includes the results of operations for the periods prior to the date of sale for all properties sold or classified as held for sale as of June 30, 2009.

The following is a summary of the components of income from discontinued operations and the related amounts of income from discontinued operations attributable to Aimco and to noncontrolling interests for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Rental and other property revenues	\$ 13,721	\$ 103,429	\$ 31,496	\$ 211,288
Property operating expenses	(8,020)	(52,543)	(19,314)	(105,803)
Depreciation and amortization	(3,776)	(20,853)	(8,654)	(50,266)
Real estate impairment losses	(14,760)	(6,536)	(11,396)	(6,536)
Other expenses, net	(2,533)	(2,009)	(3,945)	(2,742)
Operating (loss) income	(15,368)	21,488	(11,813)	45,941
Interest income	7	185	66	782
Interest expense	(1,773)	(17,765)	(5,524)	(37,558)
(Loss) income before gain on dispositions of real estate and income tax	(17,134)	3,908	(17,271)	9,165

Edgar Filing: APARTMENT INVESTMENT & MANAGEMENT CO - Form 10-Q

Gain on dispositions of real estate	58,615	375,623	63,165	380,387
Income tax expense	(1,338)	(15,892)	(1,157)	(15,584)
Income from discontinued operations, net	\$ 40,143	\$ 363,639	\$ 44,737	\$ 373,968
Income from discontinued operations attributable to:				
Noncontrolling interests in consolidated real estate partnerships	\$ (21,007)	\$ (53,802)	\$ (25,121)	\$ (58,173)
Noncontrolling interests in Aimco Operating Partnership	(1,476)	(25,840)	(1,512)	(26,337)
Total noncontrolling interests	(22,483)	(79,642)	(26,633)	(84,510)
Aimco	\$ 17,660	\$ 283,997	\$ 18,104	\$ 289,458

Table of Contents

Gain on dispositions of real estate is reported net of incremental direct costs incurred in connection with the transaction, including any prepayment penalties incurred upon repayment of mortgage loans collateralized by the property being sold. Such prepayment penalties totaled \$11.6 million and \$11.7 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively, and \$23.8 million and \$25.1 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, respectively. We classify interest expense related to property debt within discontinued operations when the related real estate asset is sold or classified as held for sale.

In connection with properties sold during the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, we included \$3.0 million of goodwill related to our real estate segment in the carrying amounts of the properties in determining the gain or loss on such disposals. The amounts of goodwill allocated to these properties were based on the relative fair values of the properties disposed of and the retained portions of the reporting units to which the goodwill was allocated. During 2008, we did not allocate any goodwill to disposals as real estate properties were not considered businesses under then applicable GAAP.

Gain on Dispositions of Unconsolidated Real Estate and Other

During the three months ended June 30, 2009, we recognized approximately \$3.2 million of gains on the disposition of an interest in an unconsolidated real estate partnership and approximately \$0.6 million of gains related to properties sold by unconsolidated real estate partnerships.

During the six months ended June 30, 2009, we recognized approximately \$11.8 million of gains on the disposition of interests in unconsolidated real estate partnerships, of which \$8.6 million relates to our receipt in 2009 of additional proceeds related to our disposition during 2008 of an interest in an unconsolidated real estate partnership. We additionally recognized approximately \$2.8 million of gains related to properties sold by unconsolidated real estate partnerships and the disposal of undeveloped land parcels during the six months ended June 30, 2009.

NOTE 4 Other Significant Transactions***Restructuring Costs***

In connection with 2008 property sales and an expected reduction in redevelopment and transactional activities, during the three months ended December 31, 2008, we initiated an organizational restructuring program that included reductions in workforce and related costs, reductions in leased corporate facilities and abandonment of certain redevelopment projects and business pursuits. This restructuring effort resulted in a restructuring charge of \$22.8 million, which consisted of: severance costs of \$12.9 million; unrecoverable lease obligations of \$6.4 million related to space that we will no longer use; and the write-off of deferred transaction costs totaling \$3.5 million associated with certain acquisitions and redevelopment opportunities that we will no longer pursue. We completed the workforce reductions by March 31, 2009. In connection with the completion of the workforce reductions, we reversed approximately \$1.7 million of excess severance costs. During the six months ended June 30, 2009, we abandoned additional leased corporate facilities and redevelopment projects, which resulted in an additional restructuring charge of approximately \$1.7 million. As of June 30, 2009, the only remaining accrual associated with the restructuring activity is a \$6.1 million estimate for unrecoverable lease obligations, which will be paid over the remaining terms of the affected leases.

The net effect of the severance related reversal and the additional 2009 abandonments had an insignificant effect on earnings for the six months ended June 30, 2009, and is included in other expense, net in our consolidated statement of income. The amounts related to our restructuring charges have not been allocated to our reportable segments based on the methods used to evaluate segment performance.

Amended Credit Facility

On May 1, 2009, we entered into a Sixth Amendment to our Amended and Restated Senior Secured Credit Agreement with a syndicate of financial institutions, which we refer to as the Credit Agreement. The Sixth Amendment provides for a reduction in the aggregate amount of commitments and loans under the Credit Agreement from \$985.0 million, comprised of a \$350.0 million term loan and \$635.0 million of revolving loan commitments to \$530.0 million, comprised of a \$350.0 million term loan and \$180.0 million of revolving loan commitments. Pursuant to the Sixth Amendment, our revolving credit facility matures May 1, 2011, and may be extended for an additional year, subject to certain conditions, including payment of a 45.0 basis point fee on the total revolving commitments, and repayment of the entire \$350.0 million term loan by February 1, 2011.

Table of Contents***Partial Repurchase of Series A Community Reinvestment Act Perpetual Preferred Stock***

In June 2009, we entered into an agreement to repurchase \$36.0 million in liquidation preference of our Series A Community Reinvestment Act Preferred Stock, or CRA Preferred Stock, at a 30% discount to the redemption value. Pursuant to this agreement, the holder of the CRA Preferred Stock may require us to repurchase 12 shares, or \$6.0 million in liquidation preference, of CRA Preferred Stock for \$4.2 million on June 30, 2009, and an additional 60 shares, or \$30.0 million in liquidation preference, of CRA Preferred Stock over the next three years, for \$21.0 million. If required, these additional repurchases will be for up to \$10.0 million in liquidation preference in May 2010, 2011 and 2012.

In June 2009, we were required to complete the first repurchase under this agreement. In accordance with Emerging Issues Task Force Topic D-42, *The Effect on the Calculation of Earnings Per Share for the Redemption or Induced Conversion of Preferred Stock*, or EITF Topic D-42, the \$1.8 million excess of the carrying value over the repurchase price, offset by \$0.2 million of issuance costs previously recorded as a reduction of additional paid-in capital, is reflected as a reduction of net income attributable to preferred stockholders for purposes of calculating earnings per share for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009.

Based on the holder's ability to require us to repurchase an additional 60 shares of CRA Preferred Stock pursuant to this agreement, at June 30, 2009, we reclassified into temporary equity \$30.0 million in liquidation preference of CRA Preferred Stock, or the maximum redemption value of such preferred stock.

Common Stock Repurchases

Our Board of Directors has, from time to time, authorized us to repurchase shares of our outstanding capital stock. During the six months ended June 30, 2009, we did not repurchase any shares of Common Stock. During the six months ended June 30, 2008, we repurchased 12,655,582 shares of Common Stock for cash totaling \$323.5 million. We also paid cash totaling \$28.7 million in January 2008 to settle repurchases of Common Stock in December 2007. As of June 30, 2009, we were authorized to repurchase approximately 19.3 million additional shares.

Information Technology Hardware/Software Write-off

During the six months ended June 30, 2008, we reassessed our approach to communication technology needs at our properties, which resulted in the discontinuation of an infrastructure project and a \$4.8 million write-off of related hardware and capitalized internal and consulting costs included in other assets. The write-off, which is net of estimated sales proceeds, is included in other expense, net. During the six months ended June 30, 2008, we additionally recorded a \$1.0 million write off of certain software and hardware assets that are no longer consistent with our information technology strategy. This write-off is included in depreciation and amortization.

NOTE 5 Commitments and Contingencies***Commitments***

In connection with our redevelopment and capital improvement activities, we have commitments of approximately \$19.5 million related to construction projects, most of which we expect to incur during the remainder of 2009. Additionally, we enter into certain commitments for future purchases of goods and services in connection with the operations of our properties. Those commitments generally have terms of one year or less and reflect expenditure levels comparable to our historical expenditures.

We have committed to fund an additional \$5.3 million in second mortgage loans on certain properties in West Harlem in New York City. In certain circumstances, we also could be required to acquire the properties for cash and/or assumption of first mortgage debt totaling approximately \$149.0 million to \$216.0 million, in addition to amounts funded and committed under the related loan agreement.

We have a \$30.0 million obligation under a sale-leaseback arrangement which we account for as a financing in our consolidated balance sheets. Under the terms of the sale-leaseback arrangement, the other party to this arrangement has the right to require us to purchase its interests in the partnership that owns the properties under lease, thus effectively requiring us to repay the obligation. Such put option expires on December 3, 2011.

Table of Contents

Tax Credit Arrangements

We are required to manage certain consolidated real estate partnerships in compliance with various laws, regulations and contractual provisions that apply to our historic and low-income housing tax credit syndication arrangements. In some instances, noncompliance with applicable requirements could result in projected tax benefits not being realized and require a refund or reduction of investor capital contributions, which are reported as deferred income in our consolidated balance sheet, until such time as our obligation to deliver tax benefits is relieved. The remaining compliance periods for our tax credit syndication arrangements range from less than one year to 15 years. We do not anticipate that any material refunds or reductions of investor capital contributions will be required in connection with these arrangements.

Legal Matters

In addition to the matters described below, we are a party to various legal actions and administrative proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business, some of which are covered by our general liability insurance program, and none of which we expect to have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Limited Partnerships

In connection with our acquisitions of interests in real estate partnerships, we are sometimes subject to legal actions, including allegations that such activities may involve breaches of fiduciary duties to the partners of such real estate partnerships or violations of the relevant partnership agreements. We may incur costs in connection with the defense or settlement of such litigation. We believe that we comply with our fiduciary obligations and relevant partnership agreements. Although the outcome of any litigation is uncertain, we do not expect any such legal actions to have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Environmental

Various Federal, state and local laws subject property owners or operators to liability for management, and the costs of removal or remediation, of certain hazardous substances present on a property, including lead-based paint. Such laws often impose liability without regard to whether the owner or operator knew of, or was responsible for, the release or presence of the hazardous substances. The presence of, or the failure to manage or remedy properly, hazardous substances may adversely affect occupancy at affected apartment communities and the ability to sell or finance affected properties. In addition to the costs associated with investigation and remediation actions brought by government agencies, and potential fines or penalties imposed by such agencies in connection therewith, the presence of hazardous substances on a property could result in claims by private plaintiffs for personal injury, disease, disability or other infirmities. Various laws also impose liability for the cost of removal, remediation or disposal of hazardous substances through a licensed disposal or treatment facility. Anyone who arranges for the disposal or treatment of hazardous substances is potentially liable under such laws. These laws often impose liability whether or not the person arranging for the disposal ever owned or operated the facility. In connection with the ownership, operation and management of properties, we could potentially be liable for environmental liabilities or costs associated with our properties or properties we acquire or manage in the future.

We have determined that our legal obligations to remove or remediate hazardous substances may be conditional asset retirement obligations, as defined in FASB Interpretation No. 47, *Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations*. Except in limited circumstances where the asset retirement activities are expected to be performed in connection with a planned construction project or property casualty, we believe that the fair value of our asset retirement obligations cannot be reasonably estimated due to significant uncertainties in the timing and manner of settlement of those obligations. Asset retirement obligations that are reasonably estimable as of June 30, 2009, are immaterial to our consolidated financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Mold

We have been named as a defendant in lawsuits that have alleged personal injury and property damage as a result of the presence of mold. In addition, we are aware of lawsuits against owners and managers of multifamily properties asserting claims of personal injury and property damage caused by the presence of mold, some of which have resulted in substantial monetary judgments or settlements. We have only limited insurance coverage for property damage loss claims arising from the presence of mold and for personal injury claims related to mold exposure. We have

implemented policies, procedures, third-party audits and training, and include a detailed moisture intrusion and mold assessment during acquisition due diligence. We believe these measures will prevent or eliminate mold exposure from our properties and will minimize the effects that mold may have on our residents. To date, we have not incurred any material costs or liabilities relating to claims of mold exposure or to abate mold conditions. Because the law regarding mold is unsettled and subject to change, we can make no assurance that liabilities resulting from the presence of or exposure to mold will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Table of Contents**NOTE 6 Earnings per Share**

We calculate earnings per share based on the weighted average number of shares of Common Stock, common stock equivalents and dilutive convertible securities outstanding during the period. The following table illustrates the calculation of basic and diluted earnings per share for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 (in thousands, except per share data):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Numerator:				
Loss from continuing operations	\$ (47,769)	\$ (20,684)	\$ (84,937)	\$ (54,674)
Loss (income) from continuing operations attributable to noncontrolling interests	11,665	(7,358)	23,854	(3,479)
Income attributable to preferred stockholders	(11,477)	(13,670)	(24,643)	(27,878)
Income attributable to participating securities		(3,145)		(2,497)
Numerator for basic and diluted earnings per share:				
Loss from continuing operations attributable to Aimco (net of income attributable to preferred stockholders and participating securities)	\$ (47,581)	\$ (44,857)	\$ (85,726)	\$ (88,528)
Income from discontinued operations	\$ 40,143	\$ 363,639	\$ 44,737	\$ 373,968
Income from discontinued operations attributable to noncontrolling interests	(22,483)	(79,642)	(26,633)	(84,510)
Income from discontinued operations attributable to Aimco	\$ 17,660	\$ 283,997	\$ 18,104	\$ 289,458
Net (loss) income	\$ (7,626)	\$ 342,955	\$ (40,200)	\$ 319,294
Income attributable to noncontrolling interests	(10,818)	(87,000)	(2,779)	(87,989)
Income attributable to preferred stockholders	(11,477)	(13,670)	(24,643)	(27,878)
Income attributable to participating securities		(3,145)		(2,497)
Numerator for basic and diluted earnings per share:				
Net (loss) income attributable to Aimco common stockholders	\$ (29,921)	\$ 239,140	\$ (67,622)	\$ 200,930
Denominator:				
Denominator for basic and diluted earnings per share weighted average number of shares of Common Stock outstanding	115,510	123,484	115,304	125,723
Effect of dilutive securities: Dilutive potential common shares				
Denominator for diluted earnings per share	115,510	123,484	115,304	125,723

Earnings (loss) per common share:

Basic and diluted earnings (loss) per common share:

Loss from continuing operations attributable to

Aimco (net of income attributable to preferred stockholders)

	\$	(0.41)	\$	(0.36)	\$	(0.74)	\$	(0.70)
--	----	--------	----	--------	----	--------	----	--------

Income from discontinued operations attributable to Aimco

	0.15	2.30	0.15	2.30
--	------	------	------	------

Net (loss) income attributable to Aimco common stockholders

	\$	(0.26)	\$	1.94	\$	(0.59)	\$	1.60
--	----	--------	----	------	----	--------	----	------

As of June 30, 2009 and 2008, the common share equivalents that could potentially dilute basic earnings per share in future periods totaled 10.9 million and 10.6 million, respectively. These securities, representing stock options, have been excluded from the earnings per share computations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, because their effect would have been anti-dilutive. Participating securities, representing unvested restricted stock and shares purchased pursuant to officer loans, receive dividends similar to shares of common stock and totaled 0.8 million and 1.3 million at June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The effect of participating securities is reflected in basic and diluted earnings per share computations for the periods presented above using the two-class method of allocating distributed and undistributed earnings.

Table of Contents

Various classes of preferred OP Units of the Aimco Operating Partnership are outstanding. Depending on the terms of each class, these preferred OP Units are convertible into common OP Units or redeemable for cash or, at the Aimco Operating Partnership's option, Common Stock, and are paid distributions varying from 5.9% to 9.6% per annum per unit, or equal to the dividends paid on Common Stock based on the conversion terms. As of June 30, 2009, a total of 3.2 million preferred OP Units were outstanding with redemption values of \$86.4 million and were redeemable for approximately 9.8 million shares of Common Stock or cash at our option.

NOTE 7 Recent Accounting Developments

In June 2009, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 167, *Amendments to FASB Interpretation No. 46(R)*, or SFAS 167, which is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2009 and introduces a more qualitative approach to evaluating VIEs for consolidation. SFAS 167 requires a company to perform an analysis to determine whether its variable interests gives it a controlling financial interest in a VIE. This analysis identifies the primary beneficiary of a VIE as the entity that has (a) the power to direct the activities of the VIE that most significantly impact the VIE's economic performance, and (b) the obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits that could potentially be significant to the VIE. In determining whether it has the power to direct the activities of the VIE that most significantly affect the VIE's performance, SFAS 167 requires a company to assess whether it has an implicit financial responsibility to ensure that a VIE operates as designed. SFAS 167 requires continuous reassessment of primary beneficiary status rather than periodic, event-driven assessments as previously required, and incorporates expanded disclosure requirements. We have not yet determined the effect that SFAS 167 will have on our consolidated financial statements.

In June 2009, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 168, *The FASB Accounting Standards Codification and the Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles - a replacement of FASB Statement No. 162*, or SFAS 168, which is effective for financial statements issued for interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009. Upon the effective date of SFAS 168, the FASB Accounting Standards Codification, or the Codification, will become the single source of authoritative GAAP recognized by the FASB to be applied by nongovernmental entities. Rules and interpretive releases of the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, under authority of federal securities laws are also sources of authoritative GAAP for SEC registrants. The Codification will supersede all then-existing non-SEC accounting and reporting standards. All other non-grandfathered non-SEC accounting literature not included in the Codification will become non-authoritative. Following SFAS 168, the FASB will issue Accounting Standards Updates that serve to update the Codification. We do not anticipate that SFAS 168 will have a significant effect on our financial statements.

NOTE 8 Business Segments

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 131, *Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information*, or SFAS 131, requires that segment disclosures present the measure(s) used by the chief operating decision maker for purposes of assessing such segments' performance. Our chief operating decision maker, as defined by SFAS 131, uses various generally accepted industry financial measures to assess the performance of the business, including: Net Asset Value, or NAV, which is the estimated fair value of our assets, net of debt; Funds From Operations, or FFO; Adjusted Funds From Operations, or AFFO, which is FFO less spending for Capital Replacements; same store property operating results; net operating income; net operating income less spending for capital replacements, or Free Cash Flow; changes in NAV plus cash dividends, or Economic Income; financial coverage ratios; and leverage as shown on our balance sheet. The chief operating decision maker emphasizes net operating income as a key measurement of segment profit or loss. Segment net operating income is generally defined as segment revenues less direct segment operating expenses.

We have two reportable segments: real estate and investment management.

Real Estate Segment

Our real estate segment owns and operates properties that generate rental and other property-related income through the leasing of apartment units to a diverse base of residents. Our real estate segment's net operating income also includes income from property management services performed for unconsolidated partnerships and unrelated parties.

Table of Contents**Investment Management Segment**

Our investment management segment includes portfolio strategy, capital allocation, joint ventures, tax credit syndication, acquisitions, dispositions and other transaction activities. Within our owned portfolio, we refer to these activities as Portfolio Management, and their benefit is seen in property operating results and in investment gains. For affiliated partnerships, we refer to these activities as Asset Management, for which we are separately compensated through fees paid by third party investors. The expenses of this segment consist primarily of the costs of departments that perform these activities. These activities are conducted in part by our taxable subsidiaries, and the related net operating income may be subject to income taxes. Our investment management segment's operating results also include gains on dispositions of non-depreciable assets, accretion of loan discounts resulting from transactional activities and certain other income in arriving at income (loss) from continuing operations for the segment.

The following tables present the revenues, net operating income (loss) and income (loss) from continuing operations of our real estate and investment management segments for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 (in thousands):

	Real Estate Segment	Investment Management Segment	Corporate Not Allocated to Segments and Certain Eliminations	Total
Three Months Ended June 30, 2009:				
Rental and other property revenues	\$ 320,852	\$	\$	\$ 320,852
Property management revenues, primarily from affiliates	1,340			1,340
Asset management and tax credit revenues		13,091	(485)	12,606
Total revenues	322,192	13,091	(485)	334,798
Property operating expenses	142,914			142,914
Property management expenses	472			472
Investment management expenses		4,716		4,716
Depreciation and amortization (1)			122,198	122,198
Provision for operating real estate impairment losses (1)			4,988	4,988
General and administrative expenses			17,849	17,849
Other expenses, net			4,398	4,398
Total operating expenses	143,386	4,716	149,433	297,535
Net operating income (loss)	178,806	8,375	(149,918)	37,263
Other items included in continuing operations (2)		1,750	(86,782)	(85,032)
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ 178,806	\$ 10,125	\$ (236,700)	\$ (47,769)
	Real Estate	Investment Management	Corporate	

	Segment	Segment	Not Allocated to Segments	Total
Three Months Ended June 30, 2008:				
Rental and other property revenues	\$ 316,970	\$	\$	\$ 316,970
Property management revenues, primarily from affiliates	1,415			1,415
Asset management and tax credit revenues		38,175		38,175
Total revenues	318,385	38,175		356,560
Property operating expenses	141,213			141,213
Property management expenses	1,254			1,254
Investment management expenses		5,807		5,807
Depreciation and amortization (1)			105,642	105,642
General and administrative expenses			27,004	27,004
Other expenses, net			10,933	10,933
Total operating expenses	142,467	5,807	143,579	291,853
Net operating income (loss)	175,918	32,368	(143,579)	64,707
Other items included in continuing operations (2)		(3,278)	(82,113)	(85,391)
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ 175,918	\$ 29,090	\$ (225,692)	\$ (20,684)

Table of Contents

	Real Estate Segment	Investment Management Segment	Corporate Not Allocated to Segments and Certain Eliminations	Total
Six Months Ended June 30, 2009:				
Rental and other property revenues	\$ 643,010	\$	\$	\$ 643,010
Property management revenues, primarily from affiliates	2,983			2,983
Asset management and tax credit revenues		23,029	(885)	22,144
Total revenues	645,993	23,029	(885)	668,137
Property operating expenses	292,108			292,108
Property management expenses	1,905			1,905
Investment management expenses		8,506		8,506
Depreciation and amortization (1)			240,914	240,914
Provision for operating real estate impairment losses (1)			5,498	5,498
General and administrative expenses			37,922	37,922
Other expenses, net			6,463	6,463
Total operating expenses	294,013	8,506	290,797	593,316
Net operating income (loss)	351,980	14,523	(291,682)	74,821
Other items included in continuing operations (2)		2,546	(162,304)	(159,758)
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ 351,980	\$ 17,069	\$ (453,986)	\$ (84,937)
	Real Estate Segment	Investment Management Segment	Corporate Not Allocated to Segments	Total
Six Months Ended June 30, 2008:				
Rental and other property revenues	\$ 633,727	\$	\$	\$ 633,727
Property management revenues, primarily from affiliates	3,519			3,519
Asset management and tax credit revenues		51,027		51,027
Total revenues	637,246	51,027		688,273
Property operating expenses	294,945			294,945
Property management expenses	2,589			2,589

Edgar Filing: APARTMENT INVESTMENT & MANAGEMENT CO - Form 10-Q

Investment management expenses		10,194		10,194
Depreciation and amortization (1)			204,659	204,659
General and administrative expenses			48,370	48,370
Other expenses, net			18,117	18,117
Total operating expenses	297,534	10,194	271,146	578,874
Net operating income (loss)	339,712	40,833	(271,146)	109,399
Other items included in continuing operations (2)		(2,486)	(161,587)	(164,073)
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ 339,712	\$ 38,347	\$ (432,733)	\$ (54,674)

(1) Our chief operating decision maker assesses the performance of real estate using, among other measures, net operating income, excluding depreciation and amortization and provision for operating real estate impairment losses. Accordingly, we do not allocate depreciation and amortization to the real estate segment.

(2) Other items in continuing operations for the investment management segment include accretion income recognized on discounted notes receivable, other income items

and income taxes associated with transactional activities. Other items in continuing operations not allocated to segments include:

- (i) interest income and expense;
- (ii) provision for losses on notes receivable;
- (iii) equity in losses of unconsolidated real estate partnerships;
- and (iv) gain (loss) on dispositions of unconsolidated real estate and other.

The assets of our reportable segments are as follows (in thousands):

	June 30, 2009	December 31, 2008
Total assets for reportable segments(1)	\$ 8,562,584	\$ 9,041,795
Corporate and other assets	278,837	373,071
Total consolidated assets	\$ 8,841,421	\$ 9,414,866

(1) Total assets for reportable segments substantially relate to the real estate segment.

Table of Contents**ITEM 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**
Forward Looking Statements

The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 provides a safe harbor for forward-looking statements in certain circumstances. Certain information included in this Report contains or may contain information that is forward-looking, including, without limitation, statements regarding the effect of acquisitions and redevelopments, our future financial performance, including our ability to maintain current or meet projected occupancy, rent levels and same store results, and the effect of government regulations. Actual results may differ materially from those described in these forward-looking statements and, in addition, will be affected by a variety of risks and factors, some of which are beyond our control, including, without limitation: financing risks, including the availability and cost of financing and the risk that our cash flows from operations may be insufficient to meet required payments of principal and interest; earnings may not be sufficient to maintain compliance with debt covenants; national and local economic conditions; energy costs; the terms of governmental regulations that affect us and interpretations of those regulations; the competitive environment in which we operate; real estate risks, including fluctuations in real estate values and the general economic climate in the markets in which we operate and competition for tenants in such markets; insurance risk; acquisition and development risks, including failure of such acquisitions to perform in accordance with projections; the timing of acquisitions and dispositions; natural disasters and severe weather such as hurricanes; litigation, including costs associated with prosecuting or defending claims and any adverse outcomes; and possible environmental liabilities, including costs, fines or penalties that may be incurred due to necessary remediation of contamination of properties presently owned or previously owned by us. In addition, our current and continuing qualification as a real estate investment trust involves the application of highly technical and complex provisions of the Internal Revenue Code and depends on our ability to meet the various requirements imposed by the Internal Revenue Code, through actual operating results, distribution levels and diversity of stock ownership. Readers should carefully review our financial statements and the notes thereto, as well as the section entitled Risk Factors described in Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, and the other documents we file from time to time with the Securities and Exchange Commission. As used herein and except as the context otherwise requires, we, our, us and the Company refer to Apartment Investment and Management Company (which we refer to as Aimco), AIMCO Properties, L.P. (which we refer to as the Aimco Operating Partnership) and Aimco's consolidated corporate subsidiaries and consolidated real estate partnerships, collectively.

Executive Overview

We are a self-administered and self-managed real estate investment trust, or REIT, engaged in the acquisition, ownership, management and redevelopment of apartment properties. Our property operations are characterized by diversification of product, location and price point. As of June 30, 2009, we owned or managed 950 apartment properties containing 154,511 units located in 44 states, the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico. Our primary sources of income and cash are rents associated with apartment leases.

The key financial indicators that we use in managing our business and in evaluating our financial condition and operating performance are: Net Asset Value, or NAV, which is the estimated fair value of our assets, net of debt; Funds From Operations, or FFO; Adjusted Funds From Operations, or AFFO, which is FFO less spending for Capital Replacements; same store property operating results; net operating income; net operating income less spending for Capital Replacements, or Free Cash Flow; changes in NAV plus cash dividends, or Economic Income; financial coverage ratios; and leverage as shown on our balance sheet. FFO and Capital Replacements are defined and further described in the sections captioned Funds From Operations and Capital Expenditures below. The key macro-economic factors and non-financial indicators that affect our financial condition and operating performance are: rates of job growth; single-family and multifamily housing starts; interest rates; and availability and cost of financing.

Because our operating results depend primarily on income from our properties, the supply and demand for apartments influences our operating results. Additionally, the level of expenses required to operate and maintain our properties, the pace and price at which we redevelop, acquire and dispose of our apartment properties, and the volume and timing of fee transactions affect our operating results. Our cost of capital is affected by the conditions in the capital and credit markets and the terms that we negotiate for our equity and debt financings.

During 2009, we are focused on serving customers effectively and efficiently; owning a continually improving portfolio diversified by geography and by activity; reducing leverage and financial risk; and simplifying the business model.

Table of Contents

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, as compared to the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, property operating income increased by 1.6% and 3.6%, respectively. Declines in conventional same store property operating income of 3.9% and 2.2% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, were more than offset by increases in property operating income related to our conventional redevelopment properties and affordable properties. In addition to focusing on property operating expense control, we have also been successful at reducing corporate general and administrative expenses. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, general and administrative expenses have been reduced by 34% and 22%, respectively, from the 2008 comparative periods.

Due to turmoil in capital markets, Aimco has focused on reducing refunding risk by accelerating refinancing of property loans maturing prior to 2012. At the beginning of the second quarter 2009, property debt maturing during 2009 through 2011 was \$621.5 million. During the second quarter, through refinancing, repayment and property sales, Aimco reduced these maturities by \$312.5 million. As of June 30, 2009, the balance of property debt maturing through 2011 totaled \$309.0 million and was related to 20 loans. Of these loans, refunding risk is expected to be eliminated by the end of the third quarter 2009 with respect to all but five loans. The five remaining property loans total \$234.5 million and are expected to be refinanced at maturity in 2011.

Our portfolio management strategy includes property dispositions and acquisitions aimed at concentrating our portfolio in our target markets, which are the largest 20 U.S. markets as measured by the total market value of institutional-grade apartment properties in a particular market (total market capitalization). We continue to increase our allocation of capital to well located properties within our target markets and are currently marketing for sale approximately \$2.0 billion of conventional and affordable assets located primarily outside these target markets. During the six months ended June 30, 2009, we sold 30 properties (including one unconsolidated property), primarily outside these target markets, for gross proceeds of \$374.4 million; proceeds net of transaction related costs and debt repayments were \$125.2 million.

We expect the financial and economic conditions for the remainder of 2009 to continue to be very difficult and we will continue to evaluate our activities and organizational structure, and intend to adjust as necessary.

The following discussion and analysis of the results of our operations and financial condition should be read in conjunction with the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements in Item 1.

Results of Operations

Overview

Three and six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to June 30, 2008

We reported net loss attributable to Aimco of \$18.4 million and net loss attributable to Aimco common stockholders of \$29.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009, compared to net income attributable to Aimco of \$256.0 million and net income attributable to Aimco common stockholders of \$239.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008, decreases of \$274.4 million and \$269.0 million, respectively.

For the six months ended June 30, 2009, we reported net loss attributable to Aimco of \$43.0 million and net loss attributable to Aimco common stockholders of \$67.6 million, compared to net income attributable to Aimco of \$231.3 million and net income attributable to Aimco common stockholders of \$200.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008, decreases of \$274.3 million and \$268.5 million, respectively.

These decreases were principally due to the following items, all of which are discussed in further detail below:

- a decrease in income from discontinued operations, primarily related to the volume of sales in 2008 and the related number of properties included in discontinued operations in 2008 as compared to 2009;
- a decrease in asset management and tax credit revenues, primarily due to a reduction in promote income, which is income earned in connection with the disposition of properties owned by our consolidated joint ventures; and
- an increase in depreciation and amortization expense, primarily related to completed redevelopments and capital expenditures.

Table of Contents

The effects of these items on our operating results were partially offset by:

- a decrease in earnings allocable to noncontrolling interests, primarily due to a decrease in gains on sales in 2009 as compared to 2008;
- a decrease in general and administrative expenses, primarily related to reductions in personnel and related expenses from our organizational restructuring initiated during the fourth quarter 2008; and
- an increase in net operating income associated with property operations, primarily related to affordable properties and completed redevelopments.

The following paragraphs discuss these and other items affecting the results of our operations in more detail.

Business Segment Operating Results

We have two reportable segments: real estate (owning, operating and redeveloping apartments) and investment management (portfolio strategy, capital allocation, joint ventures, tax credit syndication, acquisitions, dispositions and other transaction activities). Our chief operating decision maker, as defined in FASB Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 131, *Disclosures About Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information*, uses various generally accepted industry financial measures to assess the performance and financial condition of the business, including: NAV; FFO; AFFO; same store property operating results; net operating income; Free Cash Flow; Economic Income; financial coverage ratios; and leverage as shown on our balance sheet. Our chief operating decision maker emphasizes net operating income as a key measurement of segment profit or loss. Segment net operating income is generally defined as segment revenues less direct segment operating expenses.

Real Estate Segment

Our real estate segment involves the ownership and operation of properties that generate rental and other property-related income through the leasing of apartment units. Our real estate segment's net operating income also includes income from property management services performed for unconsolidated partnerships and unrelated parties. The following table summarizes our real estate segment's net operating income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Real estate segment revenues:				
Rental and other property revenues	\$ 320,852	\$ 316,970	\$ 643,010	\$ 633,727
Property management revenues, primarily from affiliates	1,340	1,415	2,983	3,519
	322,192	318,385	645,993	637,246
Real estate segment expenses:				
Property operating expenses	142,914	141,213	292,108	294,945
Property management expenses	472	1,254	1,905	2,589
	143,386	142,467	294,013	297,534
Real estate segment net operating income	\$ 178,806	\$ 175,918	\$ 351,980	\$ 339,712

For the three months ended June 30, 2009, compared to the three months ended June 30, 2008, real estate segment net operating income increased \$2.9 million, or 1.6%. This increase was due to an increase in real estate segment revenues of \$3.8 million, or 1.2%, offset by an increase in real estate segment expenses of \$0.9 million, or 0.6%.

The increase in revenues from our real estate segment during the three months ended June 30, 2009, was primarily attributed to our conventional redevelopment and affordable properties. Revenues related to our conventional redevelopment properties increased by \$4.4 million based on more units in service at these properties in 2009, and

revenue related to our affordable properties increased \$3.6 million, primarily due to higher average physical occupancy and rents during 2009. Revenues related to properties acquired subsequent to June 30, 2008 also resulted in a \$1.3 million increase in revenues during 2009. These increases were partially offset by a \$5.4 million, or 2.6%, decrease in revenues from our conventional same store properties, due to a decrease of 2.1% in average physical occupancy and lower average rent (\$13 per unit).

Table of Contents

Real estate segment expenses increased by approximately \$0.9 million, or 0.6%, in the three months ended June 30, 2009. Casualty losses increased by \$2.0 million during the three months ended June 30, 2009, as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2008, primarily due to an increase in losses incurred by our consolidated properties. Expenses related to our conventional redevelopment properties increased by \$0.9 million due to more units in service at these properties in 2009. These increases were partially offset by a \$1.1 million decrease in property management expenses related to consolidated properties and a \$0.8 million decrease in property management expense related to our unconsolidated properties, both due primarily to reductions in personnel and related costs resulting from our organization restructuring (see Note 4 in our condensed consolidated financial statements in Item 1), and a \$0.3 million decrease in expenses related to our conventional same store properties.

For the six months ended June 30, 2009, compared to the six months ended June 30, 2008, real estate segment net operating income increased \$12.3 million, or 3.6%. This increase was due to an increase in real estate segment revenues of \$8.8 million, or 1.4%, and a decrease in real estate segment expenses of \$3.5 million, or 1.2%.

The increase in revenues from our real estate segment during the six months ended June 30, 2009, was primarily attributed to our conventional redevelopment and affordable properties. Revenues related to our conventional redevelopment properties increased by \$9.2 million based on more units in service at these properties in 2009, and revenue related to our affordable properties increased \$5.8 million, primarily due to higher average physical occupancy and rents during 2009. Revenues related to properties acquired subsequent to June 30, 2008 also resulted in a \$2.4 million increase in revenues during 2009. These increases were partially offset by a \$7.2 million, or 1.7%, decrease in revenues from our conventional same store properties, due to a decrease of 1.6% in average physical occupancy and lower average rent (\$6 per unit).

Real estate segment expenses decreased by approximately \$3.5 million, or 1.2%, in the six months ended June 30, 2009. Expenses related to our property management activities, related to consolidated and unconsolidated properties, decreased by \$2.9 million, due primarily to reductions in personnel and related costs resulting from our organization restructuring (see Note 4 in our condensed consolidated financial statements in Item 1). Casualty losses decreased by \$1.8 million during the six months ended June 30, 2009, as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2008, primarily due to a decrease in losses incurred by our consolidated properties during these periods. Expenses related to our conventional same store properties also decreased by \$1.7 million, primarily due to decreases in marketing expense, contract services and leasing commissions, partially offset by an increase in property insurance. These decreases were partially offset by a \$2.2 million increase in expenses related to our conventional redevelopment properties due to more units in service at these properties in 2009, and increases of \$0.5 million and \$0.4 million related to properties acquired subsequent to June 30, 2008 and affordable properties, respectively.

Investment Management Segment

Our investment management segment includes activities and services related to our owned portfolio of properties as well as services provided to affiliated partnerships. Activities and services that fall within investment management include portfolio strategy, capital allocation, joint ventures, tax credit syndication, acquisitions, dispositions and other transaction activities. Within our owned portfolio, we refer to these activities as Portfolio Management, and their benefit is seen in property operating results and in investment gains. For affiliated partnerships, we refer to these activities as Asset Management, for which we are separately compensated through fees paid by third party investors. The expenses of this segment consist primarily of the costs of departments that perform these activities. These activities are conducted in part by our taxable subsidiaries, and the related net operating income may be subject to income taxes.

Transactions occur on varying timetables; thus, the income varies from period to period. We have affiliated real estate partnerships for which we have identified a pipeline of transactional opportunities. As a result, we view asset management fees as a predictable part of our core business strategy. Asset management revenue includes certain fees that were earned in a prior period, but not recognized at that time because collectibility was not reasonably assured. Those fees may be recognized in a subsequent period upon occurrence of a transaction or a high level of the probability of occurrence of a transaction within 12 months, or improvement in operations that generates sufficient cash to pay the fees.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the net operating income from our investment management segment for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended, June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Asset management and tax credit revenues	\$ 13,091	\$ 38,175	\$ 23,029	\$ 51,027
Investment management expenses	4,716	5,807	8,506	10,194
Investment segment net operating income (1)	\$ 8,375	\$ 32,368	\$ 14,523	\$ 40,833

(1) Excludes certain items of income and expense, which are included in our consolidated statements of income in: other expenses, net; interest income; interest expense; gain on dispositions of unconsolidated real estate and other; income tax benefit; income from discontinued operations, and noncontrolling interests in consolidated real estate partnerships.

For the three months ended June 30, 2009, compared to the three months ended June 30, 2008, net operating income from investment management decreased \$24.0 million. This decrease is primarily attributable to a \$25.9 million decrease in promote income, which is income earned in connection with the disposition of properties owned by our consolidated joint ventures, partially offset by a \$1.1 million decrease in investment management expenses.

For the six months ended June 30, 2009, compared to the six months ended June 30, 2008, net operating income from investment management decreased \$26.3 million. This decrease is primarily attributable to a \$30.1 million decrease in promote income, partially offset by a \$0.8 million increase in revenues associated with our affordable housing tax credit syndication business, including syndication fees and other revenue earned in connection with these arrangements, and a \$1.7 million decrease in investment management expenses.

Other Operating Expenses (Income)***Depreciation and Amortization***

For the three months ended June 30, 2009, compared to the three months ended June 30, 2008, depreciation and amortization increased \$16.6 million, or 15.7%. This increase primarily relates to depreciation for properties acquired subsequent to June 30, 2008, completed redevelopments and other capital projects recently placed in service.

For the six months ended June 30, 2009, compared to the six months ended June 30, 2008, depreciation and amortization increased \$36.3 million, or 17.7%. This increase primarily relates to depreciation for properties acquired subsequent to June 30, 2008, completed redevelopments and other capital projects recently placed in service.

Provision for Operating Real Estate Impairment Losses

Real estate and other long-lived assets to be held and used are stated at cost, less accumulated depreciation and amortization, unless the carrying amount of the asset is not recoverable. If events or circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of a property may not be recoverable, we make an assessment of its recoverability by comparing the carrying amount to our estimate of the undiscounted future cash flows, excluding interest charges, of the property. If the carrying amount exceeds the estimated aggregate undiscounted future cash flows, we recognize an impairment loss to the extent the carrying amount exceeds the estimated fair value of the property.

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, we recognized impairment losses of \$5.0 million and \$5.5 million respectively, related to properties classified as held for use as of June 30, 2009. We recognized no such impairment losses during the three and six months ended June 30, 2008.

General and Administrative Expenses

For the three months ended June 30, 2009, compared to the three months ended June 30, 2008, general and administrative expenses decreased \$9.2 million, or 33.9%. This decrease is primarily attributable to reductions in personnel and related expenses associated with our organizational restructuring initiated during the fourth quarter 2008 (see Note 4 of the condensed consolidated financial statements in Item 1 for additional information) and reduced incentive compensation costs.

For the six months ended June 30, 2009, compared to the six months ended June 30, 2008, general and administrative expenses decreased \$10.4 million, or 21.6%. This decrease is primarily attributable to reductions in personnel and related expenses.

Table of Contents

For the year ending December 31, 2009, we estimate the reductions in personnel and related expenses associated with our organizational restructuring will reduce our consolidated general and administrative expenses by approximately \$20.0 million to \$30.0 million as compared to the year ended December 31, 2008.

Other Expenses, Net

Other expenses, net includes franchise taxes, risk management activities, partnership administration expenses and certain non-recurring items.

For the three months ended June 30, 2009, compared to the three months ended June 30, 2008, other expenses, net decreased by \$6.5 million. The decrease is primarily attributable to a \$4.8 million write-off of certain communications hardware and capitalized costs (see Note 4 to the condensed consolidated financial statements in Item 1) in 2008, and a net reduction of \$3.5 million in costs related to certain litigation matters.

For the six months ended June 30, 2009, compared to the six months ended June 30, 2008, other expenses, net decreased by \$11.7 million. The decrease is primarily attributable to a \$4.8 million write-off of certain communications hardware and capitalized costs (see Note 4 to the condensed consolidated financial statements in Item 1) in 2008, a net reduction of \$3.2 million in costs related to certain litigation matters, and a \$4.5 million reduction in expenses of our self insurance activities, related to a decrease in casualty losses on less than wholly owned properties from 2008 to 2009.

Interest Income

Interest income consists primarily of interest on notes receivable from non-affiliates and unconsolidated real estate partnerships, interest on cash and restricted cash accounts, and accretion of discounts on certain notes receivable from unconsolidated real estate partnerships. Transactions that result in accretion occur infrequently and thus accretion income may vary from period to period.

For the three months ended June 30, 2009, compared to the three months ended June 30, 2008, interest income increased \$0.5 million. The increase is primarily attributable to a \$4.1 million adjustment to accretion on certain discounted notes during the three months ended June 30, 2008, resulting from a change in the timing and amount of collection. This increase was partially offset by a \$1.5 million decrease in accretion income related to a note receivable for which we ceased accretion following impairment of the note in 2008 and a decrease of \$1.9 million due to lower interest rates on notes receivable, cash and restricted cash balances and lower average balances during 2009.

For the six months ended June 30, 2009, compared to the six months ended June 30, 2008, interest income decreased \$5.7 million. The decrease is primarily attributable to a decrease of \$4.9 million due to lower interest rates on notes receivable, cash and restricted cash balances and lower average balances, and a \$2.7 million decrease in accretion income related to a note receivable for which we ceased accretion following impairment of the note in 2008. These decreases were partially offset by a \$2.3 million net adjustment to accretion on certain discounted notes during the six months ended June 30, 2008, resulting from a change in the timing and amount of collection.

Interest Expense

For the three months ended June 30, 2009, compared to the three months ended June 30, 2008, interest expense, which includes the amortization of deferred financing costs, increased by \$1.1 million, or 1.2%. Interest expense related to property loans payable increased by \$2.9 million primarily due to increased pre-payment penalties resulting from increased refinancing activities and decreased capitalized interest resulting from reduced redevelopment activities in 2009. These increases were substantially offset by decreases in property related interest rates and by a \$6.2 million reduction in corporate interest expense primarily due to lower average interest rates and balances.

For the six months ended June 30, 2009, compared to the six months ended June 30, 2008, interest expense, which includes the amortization of deferred financing costs, increased by \$1.5 million, or 0.8%. Interest expense related to property loans payable increased by \$3.7 million, primarily due to increased pre-payment penalties resulting from increased refinancing activities and decreased capitalized interest resulting from reduced redevelopment activities in 2009. These increases were substantially offset by a decrease of \$11.6 million in corporate interest expense primarily due to lower average interest rates and balances.

Table of Contents***Equity in Losses of Unconsolidated Real Estate Partnerships***

Equity in losses of unconsolidated real estate and other includes our share of net losses of our unconsolidated real estate partnerships and is primarily driven by depreciation expense in excess of the net operating income recognized by such partnerships.

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, compared to the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, equity in losses of unconsolidated real estate partnerships increased by \$0.9 million and \$1.9 million, respectively, primarily due to our sale in late 2008 of an interest in an unconsolidated real estate partnership that generated approximately \$1.5 million and \$2.5 million of equity in earnings during the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, respectively.

Gain on Dispositions of Unconsolidated Real Estate and Other

Gain on dispositions of unconsolidated real estate and other includes our share of gains related to dispositions of real estate by unconsolidated real estate partnerships, gains on disposition of interests in unconsolidated real estate partnerships, gains on dispositions of land and other non-depreciable assets and certain costs related to asset disposal activities. Changes in the level of gains recognized from period to period reflect the changing level of disposition activity from period to period. Additionally, gains on properties sold are determined on an individual property basis or in the aggregate for a group of properties that are sold in a single transaction, and are not comparable period to period. For the three months ended June 30, 2009, compared to the three months ended June 30, 2008, gain on dispositions of unconsolidated real estate and other increased \$3.5 million. This increase is primarily attributable to a \$3.2 million gain on the sale of an interest in an unconsolidated real estate partnership during the three months ended June 30, 2009.

For the six months ended June 30, 2009, compared to the six months ended June 30, 2008, gain on dispositions of unconsolidated real estate and other increased \$14.4 million. This increase is primarily attributable to \$11.8 million of gains on the disposition of interests in unconsolidated real estate partnerships, \$8.6 million of which relates to our receipt in 2009 of additional proceeds related to our disposition during 2008 of an interest in an unconsolidated real estate partnership. The increase in gains during 2009 is also attributable to \$2.8 million of gains on properties sold by unconsolidated real estate partnerships and a gain on the sale of an undeveloped land parcel during the six months ended June 30, 2009.

Income from Discontinued Operations

The results of operations for properties sold during the period or designated as held for sale at the end of the period are generally required to be classified as discontinued operations for all periods presented. The components of net earnings that are classified as discontinued operations include all property-related revenues and operating expenses, depreciation expense recognized prior to the classification as held for sale and property-specific interest expense and debt extinguishment gains and losses to the extent there is secured debt on the property. In addition, any impairment losses on assets held for sale and the net gain or loss on the eventual disposal of properties held for sale are reported in discontinued operations.

For the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, income from discontinued operations totaled \$40.1 million and \$363.6 million, respectively. The \$323.5 million decrease in income from discontinued operations was principally due to a \$304.5 million decrease in gain on dispositions of real estate, net of income taxes, primarily attributable to fewer properties sold in 2009 as compared to 2008, and a \$36.9 million decrease in operating income (inclusive of an \$8.2 million increase in real estate impairment losses), partially offset by a \$16.0 million decrease in interest expense.

For the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, income from discontinued operations totaled \$44.7 million and \$374.0 million, respectively. The \$329.3 million decrease in income from discontinued operations was principally due to a \$305.0 million decrease in gain on dispositions of real estate, net of income taxes, primarily attributable to fewer properties sold in 2009 as compared to 2008, and a \$57.8 million decrease in operating income (inclusive of a \$4.9 million increase in real estate impairment losses), partially offset by a \$32.0 million decrease in interest expense.

During the three months ended June 30, 2009, we sold 19 consolidated properties for gross proceeds of \$270.8 million and net proceeds of \$107.2 million, resulting in a net gain on sale of approximately \$54.0 million (which is net of \$4.6 million of related income taxes). During the three months ended June 30, 2008, we sold 41 consolidated properties for gross proceeds of \$921.5 million and net proceeds of \$443.9 million, resulting in a gain on sale of approximately \$358.5 million (which is net of \$17.1 million of related income taxes).

During the six months ended June 30, 2009, we sold 29 consolidated properties for gross proceeds of \$353.9 million and net proceeds of \$121.8 million, resulting in a net gain on sale of approximately \$58.3 million (which is net of \$4.9 million of related income taxes). During the six months ended June 30, 2008, we sold 45 consolidated properties for gross proceeds of \$957.5 million and net proceeds of \$461.4 million, resulting in a gain on sale of approximately \$363.3 million (which is net of \$17.1 million of related income taxes).

Table of Contents

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, income from discontinued operations includes the operating results of the properties sold or classified as held for sale as of June 30, 2009.

Changes in the level of gains recognized from period to period reflect the changing level of our disposition activity from period to period. Additionally, gains on properties sold are determined on an individual property basis or in the aggregate for a group of properties that are sold in a single transaction, and are not comparable period to period (see Note 3 of the condensed consolidated financial statements in Item 1 for additional information on discontinued operations).

Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Real Estate Partnerships

Noncontrolling interests in consolidated real estate partnerships reflects the non-Aimco partners, or noncontrolling partners, share of operating results of consolidated real estate partnerships. This generally includes the noncontrolling partners share of property management fees, interest on notes and other amounts eliminated in consolidation that we charge to such partnerships. As discussed in Note 2 to the condensed consolidated financial statements in Item 1, we adopted SFAS 160 effective January 1, 2009. Prior to our adoption of SFAS 160, we generally did not recognize a benefit for the noncontrolling interest partners share of partnership losses for partnerships that have deficit noncontrolling interest balances and we generally recognized a charge to our earnings for distributions paid to noncontrolling partners for partnerships that had deficit noncontrolling interest balances. Under SFAS 160, we are required to attribute losses to noncontrolling interests even if such attribution would result in a deficit noncontrolling interest balance and we are no longer required to recognize a charge to our earnings for distributions paid to noncontrolling partners for partnerships that have deficit noncontrolling interest balances.

For the three months ended June 30, 2009, compared to the three months ended June 30, 2008, net earnings attributed to noncontrolling interests in consolidated real estate partnerships decreased by \$47.0 million. This decrease is primarily attributable to a reduction of \$42.1 million in the noncontrolling interests in consolidated real estate partnerships share of gains on dispositions of real estate, due primarily to more sales in 2008 as compared to 2009. The decrease is also attributed to \$3.2 million of losses allocated to noncontrolling interests in 2009 that we would not have allocated to the noncontrolling interest partners in 2008 because to do so would have resulted in deficits in their noncontrolling interest balances. These decreases are partially offset by \$6.0 million in net recoveries of previously recognized deficit distributions in 2008 with no comparable amounts recognized in 2009. These net decreases in earnings attributed to noncontrolling interests are in addition to an increase in the noncontrolling interest partners share of operating losses of other consolidated real estate partnerships in 2009 as compared to 2008.

For the six months ended June 30, 2009, compared to the six months ended June 30, 2008, net earnings attributed to noncontrolling interests in consolidated real estate partnerships decreased by \$56.5 million. This decrease is primarily attributable to a reduction of \$41.0 million related to the noncontrolling interests in consolidated real estate partnerships share of gains on dispositions of real estate, due primarily to more sales in 2008 as compared to 2009. The decrease is also attributed to \$10.9 million of losses allocated to noncontrolling interests in 2009 that we would not have allocated to the noncontrolling interest partners in 2008 because to do so would have resulted in deficits in their noncontrolling interest balances. These decreases are partially offset by \$1.8 million in net recoveries of previously recognized deficit distributions in 2008 with no comparable amounts recognized in 2009. These net decreases in earnings attributed to noncontrolling interests are in addition to an increase in the noncontrolling interest partners share of operating losses of other consolidated real estate partnerships in 2009 as compared to 2008.

Noncontrolling Interests in Aimco Operating Partnership

Noncontrolling interests in Aimco Operating Partnership consist of common OP Units, High Performance Units and preferred OP Units. We allocate the Aimco Operating Partnership's income or loss to the holders of common OP Units and High Performance Units based on the weighted average number of common OP Units and High Performance Units outstanding during the period. Holders of the preferred OP Units participate in the Aimco Operating Partnership's income or loss only to the extent of their preferred distributions.

For the three months ended June 30, 2009, compared to the three months ended June 30, 2008, the effect on our earnings of income or loss attributable to noncontrolling interests in the Aimco Operating Partnership changed favorably by \$29.2 million. This favorable change is primarily attributable to a decrease of \$24.4 million related to the noncontrolling interests in Aimco Operating Partnership's share of income from discontinued operations, due primarily

to larger gains on sales in 2008 relative to 2009, and a \$4.8 million increase in noncontrolling interests in the Aimco Operating Partnership's share of losses from continuing operations, which increased in 2009 as compared to 2008. These favorable changes were also affected by a decrease in the noncontrolling interests in the Aimco Operating Partnership's effective ownership interest from 2008 to 2009.

Table of Contents

For the six months ended June 30, 2009, compared to the six months ended June 30, 2008, the effect on our earnings of income or loss attributable to noncontrolling interests in the Aimco Operating Partnership changed favorably by \$28.7 million. This favorable change is primarily attributable to a decrease of \$24.8 million related to the noncontrolling interests in Aimco Operating Partnership's share of income from discontinued operations, due primarily to larger gains on sales in 2008 relative to 2009, and a \$3.9 million increase in noncontrolling interests in the Aimco Operating Partnership's share of losses from continuing operations, which increased in 2009 as compared to 2008. These favorable changes were also affected by a decrease in the noncontrolling interests in the Aimco Operating Partnership's effective ownership interest from 2008 to 2009.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

We prepare our consolidated financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, or GAAP, which requires us to make estimates and assumptions. We believe that the following critical accounting policies involve our more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

Real estate and other long-lived assets to be held and used are stated at cost, less accumulated depreciation and amortization, unless the carrying amount of the asset is not recoverable. If events or circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of a property may not be recoverable, we make an assessment of its recoverability by comparing the carrying amount to our estimate of the undiscounted future cash flows, excluding interest charges, of the property. If the carrying amount exceeds the estimated aggregate undiscounted future cash flows, we recognize an impairment loss to the extent the carrying amount exceeds the estimated fair value of the property.

From time to time, we have non-revenue producing properties that we hold for future redevelopment. We assess the recoverability of the carrying amount of these redevelopment properties by comparing our estimate of undiscounted future cash flows based on the expected service potential of the redevelopment property upon completion to the carrying amount. In certain instances, we use a probability-weighted approach to determine our estimate of undiscounted future cash flows when alternative courses of action are under consideration.

Real estate investments are subject to varying degrees of risk. Several factors may adversely affect the economic performance and value of our real estate investments. These factors include:

- the general economic climate;
- competition from other apartment communities and other housing options;
- local conditions, such as loss of jobs or an increase in the supply of apartments, that might adversely affect apartment occupancy or rental rates;
- changes in governmental regulations and the related cost of compliance;
- increases in operating costs (including real estate taxes) due to inflation and other factors, which may not be offset by increased rents;
- changes in tax laws and housing laws, including the enactment of rent control laws or other laws regulating multifamily housing;
- availability and cost of financing;
- changes in market capitalization rates; and
- the relative illiquidity of such investments.

Any adverse changes in these and other factors could cause an impairment of our long-lived assets, including real estate and investments in unconsolidated real estate partnerships. Based on periodic tests of recoverability of long-lived assets, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, we recorded impairment losses of \$5.0 million and \$5.5 million respectively, related to properties to be held and used. We recognized no such impairment losses during the three and six months ended June 30, 2008.

Table of Contents

Notes Receivable and Interest Income Recognition

Notes receivable from unconsolidated real estate partnerships consist primarily of notes receivable from partnerships in which we are the general partner. Notes receivable from non-affiliates consist of notes receivable from unrelated third parties. The ultimate repayment of these notes is subject to a number of variables, including the performance and value of the underlying real estate and the claims of unaffiliated mortgage lenders. Our notes receivable include loans extended by us that we carry at the face amount plus accrued interest, which we refer to as par value notes, and loans extended by predecessors, some of whose positions we generally acquired at a discount, which we refer to as discounted notes.

We record interest income on par value notes as earned in accordance with the terms of the related loan agreements. We discontinue the accrual of interest on such notes when the notes are impaired, as discussed below, or when there is otherwise significant uncertainty as to the collection of interest. We record income on such nonaccrual loans using the cost recovery method, under which we apply cash receipts first to the recorded amount of the loan; thereafter, any additional receipts are recognized as income.

We recognize interest income on discounted notes receivable based upon whether the amount and timing of collections are both probable and reasonably estimable. We consider collections to be probable and reasonably estimable when the borrower has closed transactions or has entered into certain pending transactions (which include real estate sales, refinancings, foreclosures and rights offerings) that provide a reliable source of repayment. In such instances, we recognize accretion income, on a prospective basis using the effective interest method over the estimated remaining term of the loans, equal to the difference between the carrying amount of the discounted notes and the estimated collectible value. We record income on all other discounted notes using the cost recovery method. Accretion income recognized in any given period is based on our ability to complete transactions to monetize the notes receivable and the difference between the carrying value and the estimated collectible amount of the notes; therefore, accretion income varies on a period by period basis and could be lower or higher than in prior periods.

Allowance for Losses on Notes Receivable

We assess the collectibility of notes receivable on a periodic basis, which assessment consists primarily of an evaluation of cash flow projections of the borrower to determine whether estimated cash flows are sufficient to repay principal and interest in accordance with the contractual terms of the note. We recognize impairments on notes receivable when it is probable that principal and interest will not be received in accordance with the contractual terms of the loan. The amount of the impairment to be recognized generally is based on the fair value of the partnership's real estate that represents the primary source of loan repayment. In certain instances where other sources of cash flow are available to repay the loan, the impairment is measured by discounting the estimated cash flows at the loan's original effective interest rate.

During the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, we recorded provisions for losses on notes receivable of \$1.5 million and less than \$0.1 million, respectively. During the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, we recorded provisions for losses on notes receivable of \$1.7 million and \$0.3 million respectively. We will continue to evaluate the collectibility of these notes, and we will adjust related allowances in the future due to changes in market conditions and other factors.

Capitalized Costs

We capitalize costs, including certain indirect costs, incurred in connection with our capital expenditure activities, including redevelopment and construction projects, other tangible property improvements and replacements of existing property components. Included in these capitalized costs are payroll costs associated with time spent by site employees in connection with the planning, execution and control of all capital expenditure activities at the property level. We characterize as indirect costs an allocation of certain department costs, including payroll, at the area operations and corporate levels that clearly relate to capital expenditure activities. We capitalize interest, property taxes and insurance during periods in which redevelopment and construction projects are in progress. We charge to expense as incurred costs that do not relate to capital expenditure activities, including ordinary repairs, maintenance, resident turnover costs and general and administrative expenses.

For the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, for continuing and discontinued operations, we capitalized \$2.0 million and \$6.4 million of interest costs, respectively, and \$11.3 million and \$18.8 million of site payroll and

indirect costs, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, for continuing and discontinued operations, we capitalized \$4.3 million and \$14.0 million of interest costs, respectively, and \$25.1 million and \$38.7 million of site payroll and indirect costs, respectively.

Table of Contents**Funds From Operations**

FFO is a non-GAAP financial measure that we believe, when considered with the financial statements determined in accordance with GAAP, is helpful to investors in understanding our performance because it captures features particular to real estate performance by recognizing that real estate generally appreciates over time or maintains residual value to a much greater extent than do other depreciable assets such as machinery, computers or other personal property. The Board of Governors of the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts, or NAREIT, defines FFO as net income (loss), computed in accordance with GAAP, excluding gains from sales of depreciable property, plus depreciation and amortization, and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures. Adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures are calculated to reflect FFO on the same basis. We compute FFO for all periods presented in accordance with the guidance set forth by NAREIT's April 1, 2002, White Paper, which we refer to as the White Paper. We calculate FFO (diluted) by subtracting redemption or repurchase related preferred stock issuance costs and dividends on preferred stock and adding back dividends/distributions on dilutive preferred securities, discounts on preferred stock redemptions or repurchases and interest expense on dilutive mandatorily redeemable convertible preferred securities. FFO should not be considered an alternative to net income or net cash flows from operating activities, as determined in accordance with GAAP, as an indication of our performance or as a measure of liquidity. FFO is not necessarily indicative of cash available to fund future cash needs. In addition, although FFO is a measure used for comparability in assessing the performance of real estate investment trusts, there can be no assurance that our basis for computing FFO is comparable with that of other real estate investment trusts. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, our FFO is calculated as follows (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Net (loss) income attributable to Aimco common stockholders (1)	\$ (29,921)	\$ 239,140	\$ (67,622)	\$ 200,930
Adjustments:				
Depreciation and amortization	122,198	105,642	240,914	204,659
Depreciation and amortization related to non-real estate assets	(3,960)	(4,862)	(8,334)	(8,661)
Depreciation of rental property related to noncontrolling partners and unconsolidated entities(2)	(10,995)	(2,331)	(22,760)	(10,690)
Gain on dispositions of unconsolidated real estate and other	(3,750)	(255)	(14,611)	(166)
Gain (loss) on dispositions of non-depreciable assets and other	2,453	1	3,135	(15)
Deficit distributions to noncontrolling partners (3)		850		4,741
Discontinued operations:				
Gain on dispositions of real estate, net of noncontrolling partners' interest (2)	(39,443)	(313,910)	(39,367)	(315,298)
Depreciation of rental property, net of noncontrolling partners' interest (2)	3,444	18,459	7,677	44,739
Recovery of deficit distributions to noncontrolling partners (3)		(7,286)		(6,974)
Income tax expense arising from disposals	4,637	17,149	4,852	17,063
Noncontrolling interests in Aimco Operating Partnership's share of above adjustments	(5,701)	17,971	(13,018)	6,857
Preferred stock dividends	13,126	13,670	26,292	27,878
Preferred stock redemption related gains	(1,649)		(1,649)	

Edgar Filing: APARTMENT INVESTMENT & MANAGEMENT CO - Form 10-Q

Amounts allocable to participating securities		3,145		2,497
Funds From Operations	\$ 50,439	\$ 87,383	\$ 115,509	\$ 167,560
Preferred stock dividends	(13,126)	(13,670)	(26,292)	(27,878)
Preferred stock redemption related gains	1,649		1,649	
Dividends/distributions on dilutive preferred securities		1,759		3,092
Amounts allocable to participating securities	(223)	(865)	(758)	(1,670)
Funds From Operations attributable to Aimco common stockholders diluted	\$ 38,739	\$ 74,607	\$ 90,108	\$ 141,104
Weighted average number of common shares, common share equivalents and dilutive preferred securities outstanding (4):				
Common shares and equivalents (5)	115,510	123,894	115,304	126,046
Dilutive preferred securities		3,280		2,977
Total	115,510	127,174	115,304	129,023

Table of Contents

Notes:

- (1) Represents the numerator for earnings per common share, calculated in accordance with GAAP (see Note 6 to the condensed consolidated financial statements in Item 1).
- (2) Noncontrolling partners refers to noncontrolling partners in our consolidated real estate partnerships.
- (3) Prior to the adoption of SFAS 160 (See Note 2 to the condensed consolidated financial statements in Item 1), we recognized deficit distributions to noncontrolling partners as charges in our income statement when cash was distributed to a noncontrolling partner in a consolidated partnership in excess of the

positive balance
in such partner's
noncontrolling
interest balance.

We recorded
these charges
for GAAP
purposes even
though there is
no economic
effect or cost.

Deficit
distributions to
noncontrolling
partners
occurred when
the fair value of
the underlying
real estate
exceeded its
depreciated net
book value
because the
underlying real
estate had
appreciated or
maintained its
value. As a
result, the
recognition of
expense for
deficit
distributions to
noncontrolling
partners
represented, in
substance, either
(a) our
recognition of
depreciation
previously
allocated to the
noncontrolling
partner or (b) a
payment related
to the
noncontrolling
partner's share of
real estate
appreciation.

Based on White

Paper guidance that requires real estate depreciation and gains to be excluded from FFO, we added back deficit distributions and subtracted related recoveries in our reconciliation of net income to FFO.

Subsequent to the adoption of SFAS 160, effective January 1, 2009, we may reduce the balance of noncontrolling interests below zero in such situations and we are no longer required to recognize such charges in our income statement.

- (4) Weighted average common shares, common share equivalents and dilutive preferred securities amounts for the periods presented have been retroactively adjusted for the effect of shares of Common Stock issued in

connection with
the special
dividends paid
during 2008 and
in January 2009.

- (5) Represents the denominator for earnings per common share diluted, calculated in accordance with GAAP, plus common share equivalents that are dilutive for FFO.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Liquidity is the ability to meet present and future financial obligations. Our primary source of liquidity is cash flow from our operations. Additional sources are proceeds from property sales and proceeds from refinancings of existing mortgage loans and borrowings under new mortgage loans.

Our principal uses for liquidity include normal operating activities, payments of principal and interest on outstanding debt, capital expenditures, dividends paid to stockholders, distributions paid to noncontrolling interest partners, repurchases of shares of our Common Stock, and acquisitions of, and investments in, properties. We use our cash and cash equivalents and our cash provided by operating activities to meet short-term liquidity needs. In the event that our cash and cash equivalents and cash provided by operating activities are not sufficient to cover our short-term liquidity demands, we have additional means, such as short-term borrowing availability and proceeds from property sales and refinancings, to help us meet our short-term liquidity demands. We may use our revolving credit facility for general corporate purposes and to fund investments on an interim basis. We expect to meet our long-term liquidity requirements, such as debt maturities and property acquisitions, through long-term borrowings, both secured and unsecured, the issuance of debt or equity securities (including OP Units), the sale of properties and cash generated from operations.

The current state of credit markets and related effect on the overall economy may have an adverse affect on our liquidity, both through increases in interest rates and credit risk spreads, and access to financing. As further discussed in Item 3, Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk, we are subject to interest rate risk associated with certain variable rate liabilities, preferred stock and assets. Based on our net variable rate liabilities, preferred stock and assets outstanding at June 30, 2009, we estimate that a 1.0 % increase in 30-day LIBOR with constant credit risk spreads would reduce our income attributable to common stockholders by approximately \$4.1 million on an annual basis. Although base interest rates have generally decreased relative to their levels prior to the disruptions in the financial markets, the tightening of credit markets has affected the credit risk spreads charged over base interest rates on, and the availability of, mortgage loan financing. For future refinancing activities, our liquidity and cost of funds may be affected by increases in base interest rates or higher credit risk spreads. If timely property financing options are not available for maturing debt, we may consider alternative sources of liquidity, such as reductions in certain capital spending or proceeds from asset dispositions.

As further discussed in Note 2 to our condensed consolidated financial statements in Item 1, at June 30, 2009, we had total rate of return swap positions with two financial institutions with notional amounts totaling \$419.3 million. We use total rate of return swaps as a financing product to lower our cost of borrowing through conversion of fixed rate tax-exempt bonds payable and fixed rate notes payable to variable interest rates indexed to the SIFMA rate for tax-exempt bonds payable and the 30-day LIBOR rate for notes payable, plus a credit risk spread. The cost of financing through these arrangements is generally lower than the fixed rate on the debt. As of June 30, 2009, we had

total rate of return swaps with notional amounts totaling \$26.3 million, \$333.0 million and \$60.0 million, and maturity dates in September 2009, May 2012 and October 2012, respectively.

Table of Contents

The total rate of return swaps require specified loan-to-value ratios. In the event the values of the real estate properties serving as collateral under these agreements decline, we may be required to provide additional collateral pursuant to the swap agreements, which would adversely affect our cash flows. At June 30, 2009, we had provided \$12.3 million in cash collateral pursuant to the swap agreements to satisfy the loan-to-value ratio requirements. In the event the values of the real estate properties serving as collateral under these agreements decline, we have a non-recourse obligation to provide additional collateral pursuant to the swap agreements, which would adversely affect our cash flows.

We periodically evaluate counterparty credit risk associated with these arrangements. At the current time, we have concluded we do not have material exposure. In the event a counterparty were to default under these arrangements, loss of the net interest benefit we generally receive under these arrangements, which is equal to the difference between the fixed rate we receive and the variable rate we pay, may adversely affect our operating cash flows.

As of June 30, 2009, the amount available under our revolving credit facility was \$135.3 million (after giving effect to \$44.7 million outstanding for undrawn letters of credit issued under the revolving credit facility). Our total outstanding term loan of \$350.0 million at June 30, 2009, matures in the first quarter 2011. Additionally, we have limited obligations to fund redevelopment commitments during the year ending December 31, 2009, and no development commitments.

At June 30, 2009, we had \$112.1 million in cash and cash equivalents, a decrease of \$187.6 million from December 31, 2008. At June 30, 2009, we had \$257.4 million of restricted cash, primarily consisting of reserves and escrows held by lenders for bond sinking funds, capital expenditures, property taxes and insurance. In addition, cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash are held by partnerships that are not presented on a consolidated basis. The following discussion relates to changes in cash due to operating, investing and financing activities, which are presented in our condensed consolidated statements of cash flows in Item 1.

Operating Activities

For the six months ended June 30, 2009, our net cash provided by operating activities of \$59.4 million was primarily related to payments of operating accounts payable and accrued liabilities, including amounts related to our organizational restructuring (see Note 4 to the condensed consolidated financial statements in Item 1), in excess of the operating income from our consolidated properties, which is affected primarily by rental rates, occupancy levels and operating expenses related to our portfolio of properties. Cash provided by operating activities decreased \$190.8 million compared with the six months ended June 30, 2008, driven primarily by a \$81.2 million decrease in operating income of our consolidated properties, including those classified in discontinued operations, which was attributable to property sales in 2009 and 2008, a \$67.9 million increase in payments on operating accounts payable and accrued expenses, including payments related to our restructuring accrual, in 2009 as compared to 2008, and a \$30.1 million decrease in promote income, which is generated by the disposition of properties by consolidated real estate partnerships.

Investing Activities

For the six months ended June 30, 2009, our net cash provided by investing activities of \$149.3 million consisted primarily of proceeds from disposition of real estate, partially offset by capital expenditures.

Although we hold all of our properties for investment, we sell properties when they do not meet our investment criteria or are located in areas that we believe do not justify our continued investment when compared to alternative uses for our capital. During the six months ended June 30, 2009, we sold 29 consolidated properties. These properties were sold for an aggregate sales price of \$355.7 million and generated proceeds totaling \$337.1 million, after the payment of transaction costs and debt prepayment penalties. The \$337.1 million in proceeds is inclusive of promote income and debt assumed by buyers which are excluded from proceeds from disposition of real estate in the consolidated statement of cash flows. Sales proceeds were used primarily to repay property debt and for other corporate purposes.

Our portfolio management strategy includes property acquisitions and dispositions to concentrate our portfolio in our target markets. We are currently marketing for sale certain properties that are inconsistent with this long-term investment strategy. Additionally, from time to time, we may market certain properties that are consistent with this strategy but offer attractive returns. We plan to use our share of the net proceeds from such dispositions to reduce

debt, fund capital expenditures on existing assets, fund acquisitions, and for other operating needs and corporate purposes.

Table of Contents**Capital Expenditures**

We classify all capital spending as Capital Replacements (which we refer to as CR), Capital Improvements (which we refer to as CI), casualties or redevelopment. Expenditures other than casualty or redevelopment capital expenditures are apportioned between CR and CI based on the useful life of the capital item under consideration and the period we have owned the property.

CR represents the share of capital expenditures that are deemed to replace the portion of acquired capital assets that was consumed during the period we have owned the asset. CI represents the share of expenditures that are made to enhance the value, profitability or useful life of an asset as compared to its original purchase condition. CR and CI exclude capital expenditures for casualties and redevelopment. Casualty expenditures represent capitalized costs incurred in connection with casualty losses and are associated with the restoration of the asset. A portion of the restoration costs may be reimbursed by insurance carriers subject to deductibles associated with each loss. Redevelopment expenditures represent expenditures that substantially upgrade the property. For the six months ended June 30, 2009, we spent a total of \$33.8 million, \$25.6 million, \$6.3 million and \$72.7 million on CR, CI, casualties and redevelopment, respectively.

The table below details our share of actual spending, on both consolidated and unconsolidated real estate partnerships, for CR, CI, casualties and redevelopment for the six months ended June 30, 2009, on a per unit and total dollar basis. Per unit numbers for CR and CI are based on approximately 98,868 average units for the year, including 82,759 conventional units and 16,109 affordable units. Average units are weighted for the portion of the period that we owned an interest in the property, represent ownership-adjusted effective units, and exclude non-managed units. Total capital expenditures are reconciled to our condensed consolidated statement of cash flows for the same period (in thousands, except per unit amounts).

	Aimco's Share of Expenditures	Per Effective Unit
Capital Replacements Detail:		
Building and grounds	\$ 14,814	\$ 150
Turnover related	14,686	149
Capitalized site payroll and indirect costs	4,284	43
Our share of Capital Replacements	\$ 33,784	\$ 342
Capital Replacements:		
Conventional	\$ 31,010	\$ 375
Affordable	2,774	\$ 172
Our share of Capital Replacements	33,784	\$ 342
Capital Improvements:		
Conventional	23,114	\$ 279
Affordable	2,514	\$ 156
Our share of Capital Improvements	25,628	\$ 259
Casualties:		
Conventional	6,267	

Affordable	60
Our share of casualties	6,327
Redevelopment:	
Conventional projects	42,911
Tax credit projects (1)	29,781
Our share of redevelopment	72,692
Our share of capital expenditures	138,431
Plus noncontrolling partners' share of consolidated spending	9,325
Less our share of unconsolidated spending	(419)
Total capital expenditures per condensed consolidated statement of cash flows	\$ 147,337

(1) Redevelopment spending on tax credit projects is substantially funded from tax credit investor contributions.

Table of Contents

Included in the above spending for CI, casualties and redevelopment, was approximately \$22.8 million of our share of capitalized site payroll and indirect costs related to these activities for the six months ended June 30, 2009.

Financing Activities

For the six months ended June 30, 2009, net cash used in financing activities of \$396.3 million was primarily attributed to debt principal payments, dividends paid to common and preferred stockholders and distributions to noncontrolling interests. Proceeds from property loans partially offset the cash outflows.

Mortgage Debt

At June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, we had \$6.1 billion and \$6.3 billion, respectively, in consolidated mortgage debt outstanding, which included \$71.7 million and \$237.9 million, respectively, of mortgage debt classified within liabilities related to assets held for sale. During the six months ended June 30, 2009, we refinanced or closed mortgage loans on 34 properties generating \$675.5 million of proceeds from borrowings with a weighted average interest rate of 5.79%. The net proceeds after repayment of existing debt, payment of transaction costs and distributions to limited partners, was \$38.7 million. We used these total net proceeds for capital expenditures and other corporate purposes. We intend to continue to refinance mortgage debt primarily as a means of extending current and near term maturities and to finance certain capital projects.

As of June 30, 2009, the balance of property debt maturing through 2011 totaled \$309.0 million and was related to 20 loans. Of these loans, refunding risk is expected to be eliminated by the end of the third quarter 2009 with respect to all but five loans. The five remaining property loans total \$234.5 million and are expected to be refinanced at maturity in 2011.

Fair Value Measurements

We enter into total rate of return swaps on various fixed rate secured tax-exempt bonds payable and fixed rate notes payable to convert these borrowings from a fixed rate to a variable rate and provide a financing product to lower our cost of borrowing. In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, or SFAS 133, we designate total rate of return swaps as hedges of the risk of overall changes in the fair value of the underlying borrowings. At each reporting period, we estimate the fair value of these borrowings and the total rate of return swaps and recognize any changes therein as an adjustment of interest expense.

Our method used to calculate the fair value of the total rate of return swaps generally results in changes in fair value that are equal to the changes in fair value of the related borrowings, which is consistent with our hedging strategy. We believe that these financial instruments are highly effective in offsetting the changes in fair value of the related borrowings during the hedging period, and accordingly, changes in the fair value of these instruments have no material impact on our liquidity, results of operations or capital resources.

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, changes in the fair values of these financial instruments resulted in decreases of \$0.9 million and \$0.1 million, respectively, in the carrying amount of the hedged borrowings and equal increases in accrued liabilities and other for total rate of return swaps. At June 30, 2009, the cumulative recognized changes in the fair value of these financial instruments resulted in a \$29.6 million reduction in the carrying amount of the hedged borrowings offset by an equal increase in accrued liabilities and other for total rate of return swaps. The cumulative changes in the fair values of the hedged borrowings and related swaps reflect the recent uncertainty in the credit markets which has decreased demand and increased pricing for similar debt instruments.

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, we received net cash receipts of \$6.3 million and \$9.0 million, respectively, under the total return swaps, which positively affected our liquidity. To the extent interest rates increase above the fixed rates on the underlying borrowings, our obligations under the total return swaps will negatively affect our liquidity. As of June 30, 2009, we had provided \$12.3 million of cash collateral to satisfy certain loan-to-value requirements under the total rate of return swap agreements, which negatively affected our liquidity. In the event the values of the real estate properties serving as collateral under these agreements decline, we may be required to provide additional collateral pursuant to the swap agreements, which would adversely affect our liquidity.

Table of Contents

See Note 2 of the condensed consolidated financial statements in Item 1 for additional information on our total rate of return swaps and related borrowings.

Term Loan and Credit Facility

On May 1, 2009, we entered into a Sixth Amendment to our Amended and Restated Senior Secured Credit Agreement with a syndicate of financial institutions, which we refer to as the Credit Agreement. The Sixth Amendment provides for a reduction in the aggregate amount of commitments and loans under the Credit Agreement from \$985.0 million, comprised of a \$350.0 million term loan and \$635.0 million of revolving loan commitments to \$530.0 million, comprised of a \$350.0 million term loan and \$180.0 million of revolving loan commitments. The \$350.0 million term loan bears interest at LIBOR plus 1.5%, or at our option, a base rate equal to the prime rate, and matures March 2011. Pursuant to the Sixth Amendment, our revolving credit facility matures May 1, 2011, and may be extended for an additional year, subject to certain conditions, including payment of a 45.0 basis point fee on the total revolving commitments and repayment of the entire \$350.0 million term loan by February 1, 2011. The Sixth Amendment also provides for an increase in the interest rate on borrowings under the revolving credit facility, which is based on a pricing grid determined by leverage (currently at LIBOR plus 4.25% with a LIBOR floor of 2.00%) and the modification of certain financial covenants and certain indebtedness and investment baskets. The Sixth Amendment also provides that while the term loan under the Credit Agreement is outstanding, repurchases of our Common Stock will be permitted with 50% of net asset sale proceeds if the other 50% of such net asset sale proceeds are applied to repay the term loan. The Sixth Amendment permits us to increase revolving commitments by up to \$320.0 million, subject to our obtaining such commitments from eligible lenders.

At June 30, 2009, the term loan had an outstanding principal balance of \$350.0 million and a weighted average interest rate of 1.82%. The amount available under the revolving credit facility at June 30, 2009, was \$135.3 million (after giving effect to \$44.7 million outstanding for undrawn letters of credit issued under the revolving credit facility). The proceeds of revolving loans are generally permitted to be used to fund working capital and for other corporate purposes; provided that pursuant to the Sixth Amendment, revolving loans are generally not permitted to be used to fund repurchases of our Common Stock.

On May 1, 2009, we entered into a letter agreement with certain financial institutions that have revolving commitments under the Credit Agreement, which provides that, notwithstanding the terms of the Credit Agreement, until the revolving loan commitments are further syndicated, we will not (i) request an increase in the revolving loan commitments under the Credit Agreement which would result in the revolving loan commitments exceeding \$200.0 million, (ii) incur recourse debt, subject to certain exceptions, or (iii) purchase or otherwise acquire shares of our Common Stock.

Equity Transactions

During the six months ended June 30, 2009, we paid cash dividends totaling \$26.3 million and \$72.2 million to preferred and common stockholders, respectively, and cash distributions totaling \$80.6 million to noncontrolling interest partners. Additionally, during the six months ended June 30, 2009, we paid dividends totaling \$149.0 million to common stockholders through the issuance of approximately 15.5 million shares.

In June 2009, we repurchased 12 shares, or \$6.0 million in liquidation preference, of CRA Preferred Stock for \$4.2 million.

We and the Aimco Operating Partnership have a shelf registration statement that provides for the issuance of debt and equity securities by Aimco and debt securities by the Aimco Operating Partnership.

Future Capital Needs

We expect to fund any future acquisitions, redevelopment projects, capital improvements and capital replacement principally with proceeds from property sales (including tax-free exchange proceeds), short-term borrowings, debt and equity financing (including tax credit equity) and operating cash flows.

Table of Contents**ITEM 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk**

Our primary market risk exposure relates to changes in base interest rates, credit risk spreads and availability of credit. We are not subject to any other material market rate or price risks. We use predominantly long-term, fixed-rate non-recourse mortgage debt in order to avoid the refunding and repricing risks of short-term borrowings. We use short-term debt financing and working capital primarily to fund short-term uses and acquisitions and generally expect to refinance such borrowings with cash from operating activities, property sales proceeds, long-term debt or equity financings. We use total rate-of-return swaps to obtain the benefit of variable rates on certain of our fixed rate debt instruments. We make limited use of other derivative financial instruments and we do not use them for trading or other speculative purposes.

We had \$1,078.1 million of floating rate debt and \$67.0 million of floating rate preferred stock outstanding at June 30, 2009. Of the total floating rate debt, the major components were floating rate tax-exempt bond financing (\$501.2 million), floating rate secured notes (\$218.3 million), and term loans (\$350.0 million). At June 30, 2009, we had approximately \$525.5 million in cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash and notes receivable, the majority of which bear interest. We also had approximately \$106.9 million of variable rate debt associated with our redevelopment activities, for which we capitalize a portion of the interest expense. The effect of our interest bearing assets and of capitalizing interest on variable rate debt associated with our redevelopment activities would partially reduce the effect of an increase in variable interest rates. Historically, changes in tax-exempt floating interest rates have been at a ratio of less than 1:1 with changes in taxable floating interest rates. Floating rate tax-exempt bond financing is benchmarked against the SIFMA rate, which since 1989 has averaged 72% of the 30-day LIBOR rate. If the historical relationship continues, on an annual basis, an increase in 30-day LIBOR of 1.0% (0.72% in tax-exempt interest rates) with constant credit risk spreads would result in our net income and our net income attributable to Aimco common stockholders being reduced by \$4.0 million and \$4.1 million, respectively.

The estimated aggregate fair value and carrying value of our consolidated debt (including amounts reported in liabilities related to assets held for sale) was approximately \$6.6 billion at June 30, 2009. If market rates for our fixed-rate debt were higher by 1.0% with constant credit risk spreads, the estimated fair value of our debt discussed above would decrease from \$6.6 billion to \$6.3 billion. If market rates for our debt discussed above were lower by 1.0% with constant credit risk spreads, the estimated fair value of our fixed-rate debt would increase from \$6.6 billion to \$7.0 billion.

ITEM 4. Controls and Procedures**Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

Our management, with the participation of our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act)) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on such evaluation, our chief executive officer and chief financial officer have concluded that, as of the end of such period, our disclosure controls and procedures are effective.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the second quarter of 2009 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents**PART II. OTHER INFORMATION****ITEM 1A. Risk Factors**

As of the date of this report, there have been no material changes from the risk factors in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

ITEM 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

(a) *Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities.* From time to time during the three months ended June 30, 2009, we issued shares of Common Stock in exchange for common OP Units tendered to the Aimco Operating Partnership for redemption in accordance with the terms and provisions of the agreement of limited partnership of the Aimco Operating Partnership. Such shares are issued based on an exchange ratio of one share for each common OP Unit. During the three months ended June 30, 2009, approximately 1,300 shares of Common Stock were issued in exchange for OP Units in these transactions. All of the foregoing issuances were made in private placement transactions exempt from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

(c) *Repurchases of Equity Securities.* There were no repurchases of our equity securities during the three months ended June 30, 2009. Our Board of Directors has, from time to time, authorized us to repurchase shares of our outstanding capital stock. As of June 30, 2009, we were authorized to repurchase approximately 19.3 million additional shares. This authorization has no expiration date. These repurchases may be made from time to time in the open market or in privately negotiated transactions.

Dividend Payments. Our Credit Agreement includes customary covenants, including a restriction on dividends and other restricted payments, but permits dividends during any 12-month period in an aggregate amount of up to 95% of our Funds From Operations, subject to certain non-cash adjustments, for such period or such amount as may be necessary to maintain our REIT status.

ITEM 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

We held our annual meeting of stockholders on April 27, 2009. At the meeting, the stockholders elected the following seven directors by the votes indicated below:

	Votes For	Votes Withheld
Terry Considine	93,039,945	12,355,043
James N. Bailey	73,651,133	31,743,855
Richard S. Ellwood	73,567,899	31,827,089
Thomas L. Keltner	73,698,616	31,696,372
J. Landis Martin	67,165,545	38,229,443
Robert A. Miller	73,695,835	31,699,153
Michael A. Stein	73,688,192	31,706,796

There were no abstentions or broker non-votes.

At the meeting, the stockholders approved the proposal to ratify the selection of Ernst & Young LLP, to serve as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2009, by the votes indicated below:

Votes For	Votes Against	Abstentions
103,772,810	1,538,656	83,522

At the meeting, the stockholders approved the stockholder proposal recommending the adoption of a majority vote standard for future uncontested director elections by the votes indicated below:

Votes For	Votes Against	Abstentions	Broker Non-Votes
68,502,833	16,994,219	291,302	19,606,634

Table of Contents

ITEM 5. Other Information

On July 30, 2009, AIMCO-GP, Inc., the general partner of the Aimco Operating Partnership, or the general partner, entered into the Second Amendment to the Fourth Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of the Aimco Operating Partnership, dated as of July 29, 1994 and restated as of February 28, 2007. The Second Amendment is referred to below as the Second Amendment and the Aimco Operating Partnership's partnership agreement is referred to as the Partnership Agreement. The Second Amendment is summarized below.

Withholding Taxes

Under the existing terms of the Partnership Agreement, each limited partner authorizes the Aimco Operating Partnership to withhold from or pay on behalf of or with respect to each limited partner any amount of federal, state, local or foreign taxes that the general partner determines the Aimco Operating Partnership is required to withhold or pay. The Partnership Agreement also provides that any withholding tax amount paid on behalf of or with respect to a limited partner constitutes a loan by the Aimco Operating Partnership to such limited partner. This loan is required to be repaid within 15 days after notice to the limited partner from the general partner, and each limited partner grants a security interest in its partnership interest to secure its obligation to pay any Aimco Operating Partnership withholding tax amounts paid on its behalf or with respect to such limited partner.

The Second Amendment modifies the relevant section of the Partnership Agreement to permit the Aimco Operating Partnership to redeem the partnership interest of any limited partner who fails to pay Aimco Operating Partnership withholding tax amounts paid on behalf of or with respect to such limited partner. The Second Amendment further modifies the relevant section of the Partnership Agreement to give the general partner the authority to withhold, from any amounts otherwise distributable, allocable or payable to a limited partner, the general partner's estimate of further taxes required to be paid by such limited partner.

Class One Partnership Preferred Units

The Second Amendment also corrects an existing clerical error in the definition of Cash Amount relating to the Class One Partnership Preferred Units.

A copy of the Second Amendment is filed as Exhibit 10.1 to this report and is incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents

ITEM 6. Exhibits

The following exhibits are filed with this report:

EXHIBIT NO. (1)

- 3.1 Charter (Exhibit 3.1 to Aimco's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, is incorporated herein by this reference)
- 3.2 Amended and Restated Bylaws (Exhibit 3.2 to Aimco's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2009, is incorporated herein by this reference)
- 10.1 Second Amendment to the Fourth Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of AIMCO Properties, L.P., dated as of July 30, 2009
- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rules 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a), as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rules 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a), as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.1 Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.2 Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 99.1 Agreement Regarding Disclosure of Long-Term Debt Instruments

(1) Schedules and supplemental materials to the exhibits have been omitted but will be provided to the Securities and Exchange Commission upon request.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

APARTMENT INVESTMENT AND
MANAGEMENT COMPANY

By: /s/ DAVID ROBERTSON

David Robertson
*President, Chief Investment Officer
and Chief Financial Officer
(duly authorized officer and
principal financial officer)*

By: /s/ PAUL BELDIN

Paul Beldin
*Senior Vice President and
Chief Accounting Officer*

Date: July 31, 2009

Table of Contents

Exhibit Index

EXHIBIT NO. (1)	EXHIBIT TITLE
3.1	Charter (Exhibit 3.1 to Aimco's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, is incorporated herein by this reference)
3.2	Amended and Restated Bylaws (Exhibit 3.2 to Aimco's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2009, is incorporated herein by this reference)
10.1	Second Amendment to the Fourth Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of AIMCO Properties, L.P., dated as of July 30, 2009
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rules 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a), as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rules 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a), as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.1	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.2	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
99.1	Agreement Regarding Disclosure of Long-Term Debt Instruments
(1)	Schedules and supplemental materials to the exhibits have been omitted but will be provided to the Securities and Exchange Commission upon request.