

Edgar Filing: FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION FANNIE MAE - Form 10-Q

FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION FANNIE MAE

Form 10-Q

November 09, 2007

**Table of Contents**

**UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549**

**Form 10-Q**

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)  
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2007**

**OR**

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE  
ACT OF 1934**

**For the transition period from            to**

**Commission File No.: 0-50231**

**Federal National Mortgage Association**  
*(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)*  
**Fannie Mae**

**Federally chartered corporation**  
*(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)*

**52-0883107**  
*(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)*

**3900 Wisconsin Avenue, NW**  
**Washington, DC**  
*(Address of principal executive offices)*

**20016**  
*(Zip Code)*

**Registrant's telephone number, including area code:**  
**(202) 752-7000**

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):  
Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer  Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

**APPLICABLE ONLY TO CORPORATE ISSUERS:**

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

As of October 22, 2007, there were 978,167,971 shares of common stock outstanding.

---

**Table of Contents****TABLE OF CONTENTS**

|  |    |
|--|----|
| <b>Part I Financial Information</b>  | 1  |
| <b>Item 1. Financial Statements</b>  | 64 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets</u>   | 64 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income</u>   | 65 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u>   | 66 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity</u>                          | 67 |
| <u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>  | 68 |
| <u>Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies</u>   | 68 |
| <u>Note 2 Consolidations</u>   | 71 |
| <u>Note 3 Mortgage Loans</u>   | 72 |
| <u>Note 4 Allowance for Loan Losses and Reserve for Guaranty Losses</u>                              | 73 |
| <u>Note 5 Investments in Securities</u>  | 74 |
| <u>Note 6 Financial Guaranties</u>   | 75 |
| <u>Note 7 Acquired Property, Net</u>   | 77 |
| <u>Note 8 Short-term Borrowings and Long-term Debt</u>   | 77 |
| <u>Note 9 Derivative Instruments</u>   | 78 |
| <u>Note 10 Income Taxes</u>  | 79 |
| <u>Note 11 Earnings Per Share</u>  | 81 |
| <u>Note 12 Employee Retirement Benefits</u>  | 82 |
| <u>Note 13 Segment Reporting</u>   | 83 |
| <u>Note 14 Preferred Stock</u>   | 86 |
| <u>Note 15 Fair Value of Financial Instruments</u>   | 86 |
| <u>Note 16 Commitments and Contingencies</u>   | 90 |
| <u>Note 17 Subsequent Events</u>   | 95 |
| <b>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</b> | 1  |
| <u>Explanatory Note About This Report</u>  | 1  |
| <u>Introduction</u>  | 1  |
| <u>Selected Financial Data</u>   | 3  |
| <u>Executive Summary</u>   | 5  |
| <u>Critical Accounting Policies</u>  | 8  |
| <u>Consolidated Results of Operations</u>  | 11 |
| <u>Business Segment Results</u>  | 25 |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheet Analysis</u>   | 29 |
| <u>Supplemental Non-GAAP Information - Fair Value Balance Sheets</u>                                 | 35 |
| <u>Liquidity and Capital Management</u>  | 41 |
| <u>Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements and Variable Interest Entities</u>                                 | 46 |
| <u>Risk Management</u>   | 47 |
| <u>Impact of Future Adoption of Accounting Pronouncements</u>  | 62 |
| <u>Forward-Looking Statements</u>  | 62 |
| <b>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</b>                            | 96 |
| <b>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</b>   | 96 |

**Table of Contents**

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| <b><u>Part II Other Information</u></b>                                    | 102 |
| <u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u>   | 102 |
| <u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u>   | 104 |
| <u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | 108 |
| <u>Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>                             | 110 |
| <u>Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>         | 110 |
| <u>Item 5. Other Information</u>   | 110 |
| <u>Item 6. Exhibits</u>  | 111 |
| <b><u>Signatures</u></b>   | 112 |
| <b><u>Index to Exhibits</u></b>  | E-1 |

**Table of Contents**

**MD&A TABLE REFERENCE**

|           |  |    |
|-----------|--|----|
|           | Selected Financial Data  | 3  |
| <u>1</u>  | <u>Summary of Condensed Consolidated Results of Operations</u>   | 11 |
| <u>2</u>  | <u>Analysis of Net Interest Income and Yield</u>   | 12 |
| <u>3</u>  | <u>Rate/Volume Analysis of Net Interest Income</u>   | 14 |
| <u>4</u>  | <u>Guaranty Fee Income and Average Effective Guaranty Fee Rate</u>   | 15 |
| <u>5</u>  | <u>Investment Gains (Losses), Net</u>  | 19 |
| <u>6</u>  | <u>Derivatives Fair Value Losses, Net</u>  | 21 |
| <u>7</u>  | <u>Administrative Expenses</u>   | 23 |
| <u>8</u>  | <u>Single-Family Business Results</u>  | 25 |
| <u>9</u>  | <u>HCD Business Results</u>  | 27 |
| <u>10</u> | <u>Capital Markets Business Results</u>  | 28 |
| <u>11</u> | <u>Mortgage Portfolio Composition</u>  | 30 |
| <u>12</u> | <u>Mortgage Portfolio Activity</u>   | 32 |
| <u>13</u> | <u>Outstanding Debt</u>  | 34 |
| <u>14</u> | <u>Changes in Risk Management Derivative Assets (Liabilities) at Fair Value, Net</u>                           | 35 |
| <u>15</u> | <u>Non-GAAP Supplemental Consolidated Fair Value Balance Sheets</u>  | 37 |
| <u>16</u> | <u>Non-GAAP Estimated Fair Value of Net Assets (Net of Tax Effect)</u>   | 39 |
| <u>17</u> | <u>Selected Market Information</u>   | 40 |
| <u>18</u> | <u>Debt Activity</u>   | 41 |
| <u>19</u> | <u>Fannie Mae Debt Credit Ratings and Risk Ratings</u>   | 42 |
| <u>20</u> | <u>Regulatory Capital Measures</u>   | 44 |
| <u>21</u> | <u>On- and Off-Balance Sheet MBS and Other Guaranty Arrangements</u>   | 47 |
| <u>22</u> | <u>Composition of Mortgage Credit Book of Business</u>   | 48 |
| <u>23</u> | <u>Product Distribution of Conventional Single-Family Business Volume and Mortgage Credit Book of Business</u> | 50 |
| <u>24</u> | <u>Serious Delinquency Rates</u>   | 53 |
| <u>25</u> | <u>Single-Family and Multifamily Foreclosed Properties</u>   | 54 |
| <u>26</u> | <u>Credit Loss Performance</u>   | 55 |
| <u>27</u> | <u>Single-Family Credit Loss Sensitivity</u>   | 56 |
| <u>28</u> | <u>Activity and Maturity Data for Risk Management Derivatives</u>  | 59 |
| <u>29</u> | <u>Interest Rate Sensitivity to Changes in Level and Slope of Yield Curve</u>                                  | 61 |
|           | <u>Exhibit 31.1</u>  |    |
|           | <u>Exhibit 31.2</u>  |    |
|           | <u>Exhibit 32.1</u>  |    |
|           | <u>Exhibit 32.2</u>  |    |

**Table of Contents**

**PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

*You should read this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations ( MD&A ) in conjunction with our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and related notes, and the more detailed information contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006 ( 2006 Form 10-K ). The results of operations presented in our interim financial statements and discussed in MD&A are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the full year. Please refer to Glossary of Terms Used in This Report in our 2006 Form 10-K for an explanation of key terms used throughout this discussion.*

**EXPLANATORY NOTE ABOUT THIS REPORT**

We are filing this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the third quarter of 2007 concurrently with the filing of our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for the first and second quarters of 2007.

We filed our 2006 Form 10-K on August 16, 2007, after filing our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005 ( 2005 Form 10-K ) on May 2, 2007 and our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004 ( 2004 Form 10-K ) on December 6, 2006. Our 2004 Form 10-K contained our consolidated financial statements and related notes for the year ended December 31, 2004, as well as a restatement of our previously issued consolidated financial statements and related notes for the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002, and for the quarters ended June 30, 2004 and March 31, 2004. The filing of the 2004 Form 10-K, the 2005 Form 10-K and the 2006 Form 10-K were delayed significantly as a result of the substantial time and effort devoted to ongoing controls remediation, and systems reengineering and development in order to complete the restatement of our financial results for 2003 and 2002, as presented in our 2004 Form 10-K. We have made significant progress in our efforts to remediate material weaknesses that have prevented us from reporting our financial results on a timely basis.

With the filing of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the third quarter of 2007 on a timely basis, we have accomplished our goal of returning to current filing status. On June 8, 2007, we announced that we plan to file our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007 ( 2007 Form 10-K ) with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ( SEC ) on a timely basis. At this time, we are confirming our expectation that we will file our 2007 Form 10-K on a timely basis.

**INTRODUCTION**

Fannie Mae is a mission-driven company, owned by private shareholders (NYSE: FNM) and chartered by Congress to support liquidity and stability in the secondary mortgage market. Our business includes three integrated business segments Single-Family Credit Guaranty, Housing and Community Development, and Capital Markets that work together to provide services, products and solutions to our lender customers and a broad range of housing partners. Together, our business segments contribute to our chartered mission objectives, helping to increase the total amount of funds available to finance housing in the United States and to make homeownership more available and affordable for low-, moderate- and middle-income Americans. We also work with our customers and partners to increase the availability and affordability of rental housing.

Our **Single-Family Credit Guaranty** ( Single-Family ) business works with our lender customers to securitize single-family mortgage loans into Fannie Mae mortgage-backed securities ( Fannie Mae MBS ) and to facilitate the purchase of single-family mortgage loans for our mortgage portfolio. Revenues in the segment are derived primarily from the guaranty fees the segment receives as compensation for assuming the credit risk on the mortgage loans

underlying single-family Fannie Mae MBS and on the single-family mortgage loans held in our portfolio.

Our **Housing and Community Development** ( HCD ) business works with our lender customers to securitize multifamily mortgage loans into Fannie Mae MBS and to facilitate the purchase of multifamily mortgage



**Table of Contents**

loans for our mortgage portfolio. Our HCD business also helps to expand the supply of affordable housing by investing in rental and for-sale housing projects, including rental housing that is eligible for federal low-income housing tax credits. Revenues in the segment are derived from a variety of sources, including the guaranty fees the segment receives as compensation for assuming the credit risk on the mortgage loans underlying multifamily Fannie Mae MBS and on the multifamily mortgage loans held in our portfolio, transaction fees associated with the multifamily business and bond credit enhancement fees. In addition, HCD's investments in rental housing projects eligible for the federal low-income housing tax credit generate both tax credits and net operating losses that reduce our federal income tax liability. Other investments in rental and for-sale housing generate revenue from operations and the eventual sale of the assets.

Our **Capital Markets** group manages our investment activity in mortgage loans and mortgage-related securities, and has responsibility for managing our assets and liabilities and our liquidity and capital positions. Through the issuance of debt securities in the capital markets, our Capital Markets group attracts capital from investors globally that the company uses to finance housing in the United States. Our Capital Markets group generates income primarily from the difference, or spread, between the yield on the mortgage assets we own and the cost of the debt we issue in the global capital markets to fund these assets.

Although we are a corporation chartered by the U.S. Congress, the U.S. government does not guarantee, directly or indirectly, our securities or other obligations. Our business is self-sustaining and funded exclusively with private capital.

**Table of Contents****SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA**

The selected consolidated financial data presented below is summarized from our condensed results of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, as well as from selected condensed consolidated balance sheet data as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006. This data should be read in conjunction with this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, as well as with the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and related notes included in this report and with our audited consolidated financial statements and related notes included in our 2006 Form 10-K.

|  | <b>For the<br/>Three Months Ended<br/>September 30,<br/>2007      2006</b> |            | <b>For the<br/>Nine Months Ended<br/>September 30,<br/>2007      2006</b> |            |
|--|--|------------|---|------------|
|  | <b>(Dollars and shares in millions, except per share amounts)</b>          |            |   |            |
| <b><u>Income Statement Data:</u></b>                           |  |            |   |            |
| Net interest income  | \$ 1,058   | \$ 1,528   | \$ 3,445  | \$ 5,407   |
| Guaranty fee income <sup>(1)</sup>                             | 1,232  | 1,084      | 3,450   | 2,968      |
| Losses on certain guaranty contracts                           | (294)  | (103)      | (1,038)   | (181)      |
| Derivatives fair value losses, net                             | (2,244)  | (3,381)    | (891)   | (854)      |
| Other income (loss) <sup>(1)(2)</sup>                          | 242  | 659        | 449   | (612)      |
| Credit-related expenses <sup>(3)</sup>                         | (1,200)  | (197)      | (2,039)   | (457)      |
| Net income (loss)  | (1,399)  | (629)      | 1,509   | 3,455      |
| Preferred stock dividends and issuance costs at redemption     | (119)  | (131)      | (372)   | (380)      |
| Net income (loss) available to common stockholders             | (1,518)  | (760)      | 1,137   | 3,075      |
| <b><u>Common Share Data:</u></b>                               |  |            |   |            |
| Earnings (loss) per share:                                     |  |            |   |            |
| Basic  | \$ (1.56)  | \$ (0.79)  | \$ 1.17   | \$ 3.17    |
| Diluted  | (1.56)   | (0.79)     | 1.17  | 3.16       |
| Weighted-average common shares outstanding:                    |  |            |   |            |
| Basic  | 974  | 972        | 973   | 971        |
| Diluted  | 974  | 972        | 975   | 972        |
| Cash dividends declared per common share                       | \$ 0.50  | \$ 0.26    | \$ 1.40   | \$ 0.78    |
| <b><u>New Business Acquisition Data:</u></b>                   |  |            |   |            |
| Fannie Mae MBS issues acquired by third parties <sup>(4)</sup> | \$ 148,320   | \$ 107,027 | \$ 407,962  | \$ 308,371 |
| Mortgage portfolio purchases <sup>(5)</sup>                    | 49,574   | 51,576     | 134,407   | 150,340    |
| New business acquisitions                                      | \$ 197,894   | \$ 158,603 | \$ 542,369  | \$ 458,711 |

**As of  
September 30,    December 31,**

**2007**                      **2006**  
**(Dollars in millions)**

**Balance Sheet Data:**

|   |           |           |
|---|-----------|-----------|
| Investments in securities:                  |           |           |
| Trading                                     | \$ 48,683 | \$ 11,514 |
| Available-for-sale                          | 315,012   | 378,598   |
| Mortgage loans:                             |           |           |
| Loans held for sale                         | 5,053     | 4,868     |
| Loans held for investment, net of allowance | 394,550   | 378,687   |
| Total assets                                | 839,783   | 843,936   |
| Short-term debt                             | 153,146   | 165,810   |
| Long-term debt                              | 608,619   | 601,236   |
| Total liabilities                           | 799,740   | 802,294   |
| Preferred stock                             | 9,008     | 9,108     |
| Total stockholders' equity                  | 39,922    | 41,506    |

**Table of Contents**

|  | As of                 |                      |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|
|  | September 30,<br>2007 | December 31,<br>2006 |
|  | (Dollars in millions) |                      |
| <b><u>Regulatory Capital Data:</u></b>               |                       |                      |
| Core capital <sup>(6)</sup>                          | \$ 41,713             | \$ 41,950            |
| Total capital <sup>(7)</sup>                         | 43,798                | 42,703               |
| <b><u>Mortgage Credit Book of Business Data:</u></b> |                       |                      |
| Mortgage portfolio <sup>(8)</sup>                    | \$ 728,578            | \$ 728,932           |
| Fannie Mae MBS held by third parties <sup>(9)</sup>  | 2,003,382             | 1,777,550            |
| Other credit guaranties <sup>(10)</sup>              | 35,508                | 19,747               |
| Mortgage credit book of business                     | \$ 2,767,468          | \$ 2,526,229         |

|  | For the            |         | For the           |        |
|--|--------------------|---------|-------------------|--------|
|  | Three Months Ended |         | Nine Months Ended |        |
|  | September 30,      |         | September 30,     |        |
|  | 2007               | 2006    | 2007              | 2006   |
| <b><u>Ratios:</u></b>  |                    |         |                   |        |
| Return on assets ratio <sup>(11)*</sup>                                | (0.72)%            | (0.36)% | 0.18%             | 0.49%  |
| Return on equity ratio <sup>(12)*</sup>                                | (19.4)             | (9.8)   | 4.8               | 13.1   |
| Equity to assets ratio <sup>(13)*</sup>                                | 4.7                | 4.7     | 4.8               | 4.8    |
| Dividend payout ratio <sup>(14)*</sup>                                 | N/A                | N/A     | 120.4             | 24.7   |
| Average effective guaranty fee rate (in basis points) <sup>(15)*</sup> | 22.8bp             | 22.5bp  | 22.0bp            | 20.9bp |
| Credit loss ratio (in basis points) <sup>(16)*</sup>                   | 5.0bp              | 2.3bp   | 4.0bp             | 1.8bp  |

- (1) Certain prior period amounts that previously were included as a component of Fee and other income have been reclassified to Guaranty fee income to conform to the current period presentation.
- (2) Consists of trust management income; investment gains (losses), net; debt extinguishment gains, net; losses from partnership investments; and fee and other income.
- (3) Consists of provision for credit losses and foreclosed property expense.
- (4) Unpaid principal balance of Fannie Mae MBS issued and guaranteed by us and acquired by third-party investors during the reporting period. Excludes securitizations of mortgage loans held in our portfolio.
- (5) Unpaid principal balance of mortgage loans and mortgage-related securities we purchased for our investment portfolio during the reporting period. Includes advances to lenders and mortgage-related securities acquired through the extinguishment of debt.

- (6) The sum of (a) the stated value of outstanding common stock (common stock less treasury stock); (b) the stated value of outstanding non-cumulative perpetual preferred stock; (c) paid-in-capital; and (d) our retained earnings. Core capital excludes accumulated other comprehensive loss.
- (7) The sum of (a) core capital and (b) the total allowance for loan losses and reserve for guaranty losses, less (c) the specific loss allowance (that is, the allowance required on individually impaired loans).
- (8) Unpaid principal balance of mortgage loans and mortgage-related securities held in our portfolio.
- (9) Unpaid principal balance of Fannie Mae MBS held by third-party investors. The principal balance of resecuritized Fannie Mae MBS is included only once in the reported amount.
- (10) Includes single-family and multifamily credit enhancements that we have provided and that are not otherwise reflected in the table.
- (11) Annualized net income available to common stockholders divided by average total assets during the period.
- (12) Annualized net income available to common stockholders divided by average outstanding common equity during the period.
- (13) Average stockholders' equity divided by average total assets during the period.
- (14) Common dividends declared during the period divided by net income available to common stockholders for the period. Because we experienced a loss for the three months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, earnings for each of those periods were insufficient to cover dividends declared during those periods.

**Table of Contents**

- (15) Annualized guaranty fee income as a percentage of average outstanding Fannie Mae MBS and other guaranties during the period.
- (16) Annualized charge-offs, net of recoveries and annualized foreclosed property expense, as a percentage of the average total mortgage credit book of business during the period. Effective January 1, 2007, we have excluded any initial losses recorded pursuant to Statement of Position No. 03-3, *Accounting for Certain Loans or Debt Securities Acquired in a Transfer*, on loans purchased from trusts from our credit losses when the purchase price of delinquent loans that we purchase from Fannie Mae MBS trusts exceeds the fair value of the loans at the time of purchase. We have revised our presentation of credit losses for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 to conform to the current period presentation. Refer to Risk Management Credit Risk Management Mortgage Credit Risk Management Credit Losses for more information regarding this change in presentation.

**Note:**

- \* Average balances for purposes of the ratio calculations are based on beginning and end of period balances.

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

**Overview**

We are in the midst of a significant correction in the housing and mortgage markets. The market downturn that began in 2006 has continued through the first three quarters of 2007, with substantial declines in new and existing home sales, housing starts, mortgage originations, and home prices, as well as significant increases in inventories of unsold homes, mortgage delinquencies, and foreclosures. In recent months, the capital markets also have been characterized by high levels of volatility, reduced levels of liquidity in the mortgage and corporate credit markets, significantly wider credit spreads, and rating agency downgrades on a growing number of mortgage-related securities. Beginning with the third quarter of 2007, these factors have had a significant effect on our business. We expect these factors will continue to affect our financial condition and results of operations through the end of 2007 and into 2008.

Management believes that some factors in this correction may benefit our business in the short or long term, and that other factors in the correction may have a material adverse effect on our business. In particular, the reduced liquidity accompanying this correction has affected observable market pricing data, causing disruptions of historical pricing relationships and pricing gaps. This has had a negative impact on our estimates of the fair value of our assets and obligations. Given this pricing disruption and the complexity of our accounting policies and estimates, the amounts that we actually realize could vary significantly from our fair value estimates.

Like other participants in the U.S. residential mortgage market, we have experienced and expect to continue to experience adverse effects from this market correction, which are reflected in our financial results. These include:

Our credit losses and credit-related expenses have increased significantly due to national home price declines and economic weakness in some regional markets.

Our Losses on certain guaranty contracts have increased significantly. As conditions in the housing market have deteriorated and market liquidity has declined, our estimates of the compensation required by market participants to assume our guaranty obligations, which is the basis we are required to use to estimate these losses, have increased significantly. Because of the manner in which we account for these contracts, we recognize an immediate loss in earnings at the time we issue MBS if our guaranty obligation exceeds the fair value of our

guaranty asset. We expect to recover that loss over time as the associated MBS liquidates, while our credit losses over time will reflect our actual loss experience on these transactions.

Because of the significant disruption in the housing and mortgage markets during the third quarter of 2007, the indicative market prices we obtained from third parties in connection with our purchases of delinquent loans from our MBS trusts have decreased significantly. This has caused us to reduce our estimates of the fair value of these loans, resulting in a significant increase in our initial recorded losses from these purchases.

**Table of Contents**

Increasing credit spreads and estimates of declines in future home prices have resulted in declines in the fair value of our net assets.

These challenging market conditions have had a negative impact on our earnings, which has reduced the amount of capital we hold to satisfy our regulatory capital requirements. We continue to maintain a strong capital position, and our access to sources of liquidity has been adequate to meet our funding needs. If these market and economic conditions continue, we may take actions to ensure that we meet our regulatory capital requirements, including forgoing some business opportunities, selling assets or issuing additional preferred equity securities.

We believe that some benefits from the market correction may enhance our strategic position in our market. These include:

The market for Alt-A, subprime and other nontraditional mortgages has declined significantly. As that market has declined, the demand for more traditional mortgage products, such as 30-year fixed-rate conforming loans, has increased significantly. These products represent our core business and have historically accounted for the majority of our new business volume and profitability. Due to the higher mix of mortgage-related securities backed by more traditional products and reduced competition from private-label issuers of mortgage-related securities, our estimated market share of new single-family mortgage-related securities issuances increased to approximately 41.2% for the third quarter of 2007, from approximately 24.3% for the third quarter of 2006.

We also have increased the guaranty fees we charge on new business. This increased pricing compensates us for the added risk that we assume as a result of current market conditions.

As a result of the growing need for credit and liquidity in the multifamily market beginning in the third quarter of 2007, our HCD business produced higher guaranty fee rates on new multifamily business and faster growth in our multifamily guaranty book of business.

Our total mortgage credit book of business has increased by 10% during the first nine months of 2007, from \$2.5 trillion outstanding at December 31, 2006 to \$2.8 trillion outstanding at September 30, 2007.

In addition, following a thorough review of our costs, we implemented a broad reengineering initiative that we expect will reduce our total administrative expenses by more than \$200 million in 2007 as compared with 2006. With the filing of our Forms 10-Q today, we have become current in our SEC periodic financial reporting.

Our business is also significantly affected by general conditions in the financial markets. During the first nine months of 2007, conditions in the financial markets contributed to the following financial results, compared with the first nine months of 2006:

A decrease in our net interest income and net interest yield due to the higher cost of debt.

An increase in losses on trading securities and unrealized losses on available-for-sale securities.

An increased level of period-to-period volatility in the fair value of our derivatives and securities.

During the first nine months of 2007, our ability to issue debt and equity at rates we consider attractive has not been impaired. In addition, we have experienced a lower level of impairments on investment securities during the first nine months of 2007 than we experienced during the same period in 2006.



**Summary of Our Financial Results**

We recorded a net loss and a diluted loss per share of \$1.4 billion and \$1.56, respectively, for the third quarter of 2007, compared with a net loss and a diluted loss per share of \$629 million and \$0.79, respectively, for the third quarter of 2006.

Net income for the first nine months of 2007 was \$1.5 billion, a decrease of \$1.9 billion, or 56%, from the first nine months of 2006. Diluted earnings per share decreased by 63% to \$1.17 for the first nine months of 2007, from \$3.16 for the first nine months of 2006.

## **Table of Contents**

Refer to Consolidated Results of Operations below for a more detailed discussion of our financial results for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, compared with the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006.

### **Market and Economic Factors Affecting Our Business**

Mortgage and housing market conditions, which significantly affect our business and our financial performance, have worsened since the end of 2006. The housing market downturn that began in the second half of 2006 continued through the first three quarters of 2007 and into the fourth quarter of 2007. The most recent available data for the quarter ended September 30, 2007 show substantial declines in new and existing home sales, housing starts and mortgage originations compared with prior year levels. Moreover, home prices declined on a national basis during the first three quarters of 2007. Additionally, overall housing demand decreased over the past year because of a slowdown in the overall economy, affordability constraints, and declines in demand for investor properties and second homes, which had been a key driver of overall housing activity. Housing market conditions have deteriorated significantly in some Midwestern states, particularly in Michigan, Ohio and Indiana, which have experienced weak economic conditions and job losses. Additionally, in recent quarters, housing market weakness has expanded to other states, including Arizona, California, Florida and Nevada, where home prices had risen most dramatically and investor demand had been the highest in recent years. Inventories of unsold homes have risen dramatically over the past year, putting additional downward pressure on home prices.

These challenging market and economic conditions caused a material increase in mortgage delinquencies and foreclosures during 2007. The resetting of a substantial number of adjustable-rate mortgages (ARMs) to higher interest rates has also contributed to the increase in mortgage delinquencies and foreclosures. A mortgage loan foreclosure may occur when the borrower on an ARM is unable to make the higher payments required after an interest-rate adjustment, and is unable to either refinance the loan or sell the home for an amount sufficient to pay off the mortgage. Based on data provided by LoanPerformance, an independent provider of mortgage market data, as of the end of 2006, we estimate that there were approximately \$150 billion in ARMs backing private-label subprime mortgage-related securities that were scheduled to reset for the first time at some point during 2007, subjecting those borrowers to significant payment shock. In addition, as of the end of July 2007, we estimate that there were approximately \$185 billion in ARMs backing private-label subprime mortgage-related securities with payments that were scheduled to reset initially sometime in 2008. These resets could result in a further sharp increase in delinquency and foreclosure rates. The rising number of mortgage defaults and foreclosures, combined with declining home prices on a national basis and weak economic conditions in some regions, has resulted in significant increases in credit losses.

The credit performance of subprime and Alt-A loans, as well as other higher risk loans, has deteriorated sharply during the past year, and even the prime conventional portion of the mortgage market has seen signs of credit distress. Concerns about the potential for even higher delinquency rates and more severe credit losses have resulted in increases in mortgage rates in the non-conforming and subprime portions of the market. Many lenders have tightened lending standards or elected to stop originating subprime and other higher risk loans completely, which has adversely affected many borrowers seeking alternative financing to refinance out of ARMs resetting to higher rates.

The reduction in liquidity and funding sources in the mortgage credit market has led to a substantial shift in mortgage originations. The share of traditional fixed-rate conforming mortgages has increased substantially, while the share of Alt-A and subprime mortgages has dropped significantly. Moreover, credit concerns and the resulting liquidity issues have affected the general financial markets. In recent months, the financial markets have been characterized by high levels of volatility, reduced levels of liquidity in the mortgage and corporate credit markets, significantly wider credit spreads and rating agency downgrades on a growing number of mortgage-related securities. In response to concerns over liquidity in the financial markets, the Federal Reserve reduced its discount rate in August, September and October 2007 by a total of 125 basis points to 5.00% and lowered the federal funds rate in September and October

2007 by a total of 75 basis points to 4.50%. After rising in the first half of the year, long-term bond yields declined during the third quarter of

## **Table of Contents**

2007. As short-term interest rates decreased in the third quarter of 2007, the spread between long- and short-term interest rates widened, resulting in a steepening of the yield curve.

### **Outlook**

We expect housing market weakness to continue in 2007 and 2008. We believe the continued downturn in housing will lead to further declines in mortgage originations in 2007 and 2008, and contribute to slower growth in U.S. residential mortgage debt outstanding ( MDO ) in 2007 and 2008. Based on our current market outlook, we expect:

A relatively stable net interest yield for the remainder of 2007.

Growth in our single-family guaranty book of business at a faster rate than the rate of overall MDO growth.

A continued increase in our guaranty fee income for 2007.

A significant increase in losses on certain guaranty contracts for 2007 as compared with 2006, due to the continued weakening in the housing and mortgage market.

A significant increase in credit-related expenses and credit losses for both 2007 and 2008 as compared with the previous years, due to continued home price declines.

Continued volatility in our net income, stockholders' equity and regulatory capital due to market conditions and the effects of the manner in which we account for changes in the fair value of our derivatives and trading securities.

We provide additional detail on trends that may affect our result of operations, financial condition and regulatory capital position in future periods in "Consolidated Results of Operations" below.

### **CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ( GAAP ) requires management to make a number of judgments, estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amount of assets, liabilities, income and expenses in the consolidated financial statements. Understanding our accounting policies and the extent to which we use management judgment and estimates in applying these policies is integral to understanding our financial statements. In our 2006 Form 10-K, we identified the following as our critical accounting policies:

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Amortization of Cost Basis Adjustments on Mortgage Loans and Mortgage-Related Securities

Allowance for Loan Losses and Reserve for Guaranty Losses

Assessment of Variable Interest Entities

Our 2006 Form 10-K contains a discussion of the judgments and assumptions made in applying these policies and how changes in assumptions may impact our consolidated financial statements. Refer to "Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements" Note 1, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies for updated information

regarding our significant accounting policies, including the expected impact on our consolidated financial statements of recently issued accounting pronouncements.

As noted in our 2006 Form 10-K, we evaluate our critical accounting estimates and judgments required by our policies on an ongoing basis and update them as necessary based on changing conditions. We consider the estimation of fair value of our financial instruments to be our most critical accounting estimate because a substantial portion of our assets and liabilities are recorded at estimated fair value, and, in certain circumstances, our valuation techniques involve a high degree of management judgment. The downturn in the housing market and reduced liquidity in the credit markets, along with the uncertainty in the financial markets arising from these conditions, resulted in significant market volatility and a disruption of historical pricing

## **Table of Contents**

relationships between certain financial instruments during the third quarter of 2007. This significant change in market conditions has had widespread implications on how companies measure the fair value of certain financial instruments. Accordingly, we have provided an update to our critical accounting policy on fair value to discuss how these recent market conditions have affected the determination of fair value for some of our financial instruments, most notably our guaranty assets and guaranty obligations, and delinquent loans purchased from securitization trusts.

### **Fair Value of Financial Instruments**

Fair value is defined as the amount at which a financial instrument could be exchanged in a current transaction between willing, unrelated parties, other than in a forced or liquidation sale. We use one of the following three practices for estimating fair value, the selection of which is based on the availability and reliability of relevant market data: (1) actual, observable market prices or market prices obtained from multiple third parties when available; (2) market data and model-based interpolations using standard models widely accepted within the industry if market prices are not available; or (3) internally developed models that employ techniques such as a discounted cash flow approach and that include market-based assumptions, such as prepayment speeds and default and severity rates, derived from internally developed models. Price transparency tends to be limited in less liquid markets where quoted market prices or observable market data may not be available. We regularly refine and enhance our valuation methodologies to correlate more closely to observable market data. When observable market prices or data are not readily available or do not exist, the estimation of fair value may require significant management judgment and assumptions. See Part II Item 1A Risk Factors for a discussion of the risks and uncertainties related to our use of valuation models.

### ***Guaranty Assets and Guaranty Obligations***

The recent changes in market conditions have had a significant impact on the estimation of the net fair value of our guaranty assets and guaranty obligations. As guarantor of our Fannie Mae MBS issuances, at inception we recognize a non-contingent liability for the fair value of our obligation to stand ready to perform over the term of the guaranty as a component of Guaranty obligations in our consolidated balance sheets. The fair value of this obligation represents management's estimate of the amount that we would expect to pay a third party of similar credit standing to assume our obligation.

Our guaranty business volume is generated through either our flow or bulk transaction channels. The contract terms and level of pricing flexibility for loans guaranteed through these channels differ and may adversely impact the estimated fair value of our guaranty obligations and losses on certain guaranty contracts. In our flow business, we enter into agreements that generally set base guaranty fee pricing for a lender's future delivery of individual loans to us over a specified time period. Because we have established the base guaranty fee pricing for a specified time period, we may be limited in our ability to renegotiate the pricing on our flow transactions with individual lenders to reflect changes in market conditions and the credit risk of mortgage loans that meet our eligibility standards. As a result, the estimated amount that we would be required to pay a third party of similar credit standing to assume our obligation may be higher than our contractual price. Our bulk business consists of transactions in which a defined set of loans are to be delivered to us in bulk, and we have the opportunity to review the loans for eligibility and pricing prior to delivery in accordance with the terms of the specific contract for such transactions. We generally have greater ability to select risks in the bulk transaction channel and to adjust our pricing more rapidly to reflect changes in market conditions and the credit risk of the specific transactions.

Our guaranty obligations consist of future expected credit losses, including any unrecoverable principal and interest over the expected life of the underlying mortgages of our Fannie Mae MBS and foreclosure costs, estimated administrative and other costs related to our guaranty, and any deferred profit amounts. We base the fair value of the guaranty obligations that we record when we issue Fannie Mae MBS on market information obtained from spot

transaction prices, when available. In the absence of spot transaction data, which is the case for the substantial majority of our Fannie Mae MBS issuances, we estimate the fair value using simulation models that estimate our potential future credit losses and calculate the present value of the

**Table of Contents**

expected cash flows associated with our guaranty obligations under various economic scenarios. The key inputs and assumptions for our models include default and severity rates. We also incorporate a market rate of return that we derive from observable market data. The objective of our valuation models is to estimate the amount that we would expect to pay a third party of similar credit standing to assume our guaranty obligation under current market conditions. Because of the recent significant reduction in liquidity in the mortgage and credit markets and increased volatility, estimating the fair value of our guaranty obligations has become more difficult in some cases and the degree of management judgment involved has increased. Although we review the reasonableness of the results of our simulation models by comparing those results with available market information, it is possible that different assumptions and inputs could produce a materially different estimate of the fair value of our guaranty obligations, particularly in the current market environment.

The fair value of our guaranty obligations is highly sensitive to changes in the market's expectation for future levels of home price appreciation. When there is a market expectation of a decline in home prices, the level of credit risk for a mortgage loan tends to increase because the market anticipates a likelihood of higher credit losses. Incorporating this expectation of higher credit losses into our simulation models results in a significant increase in the estimated fair value of our guaranty obligations and increases the losses recognized at inception on certain guaranty contracts. Based on our experience, however, we expect our actual future credit losses to be significantly less than the estimated increase in the fair value of our guaranty obligations, as the fair value of our guaranty obligations includes not only future expected credit losses but also the economic return that we believe a third party would require to assume that credit risk. Our combined allowance for loan losses and reserve for guaranty losses reflects our estimate of the probable credit losses inherent in our mortgage credit book of business. We disclose on a quarterly basis the estimated impact on our expected credit losses from an immediate 5% decline in single-family home prices for the entire United States. See Risk Management Credit Risk Management Mortgage Credit Risk Management for our credit loss sensitivity disclosures.

***Loans Purchased with Evidence of Credit Deterioration***

For securitization trusts that include a Fannie Mae guaranty, we have the option to purchase loans from those trusts, at par plus accrued interest, after required payments have not been made in full for four consecutive months. Under long-term standby commitments, we also purchase loans from lenders when the loans subject to these commitments meet certain delinquency criteria. We record the acquisition of such defaulted loans at the lower of the loan's acquisition price or its fair value.

During the period of reduced liquidity and market volatility that began in July 2007, we obtained indicative bids for delinquent loans from brokers. We used these bids to value delinquent loans that we purchased from trusts during the third quarter of 2007. The recent change in market conditions has had a significant impact on our estimate of the fair value of these delinquent loans. Given that the primary market for delinquent loans is currently illiquid, there is more limited price transparency. Therefore, the estimated fair value of defaulted loans is highly sensitive to changes in market conditions.



Table of Contents**CONSOLIDATED RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

The following discussion of our consolidated results of operations is based on a comparison of our results between the third quarters of 2007 and 2006 and between the first nine months of 2007 and 2006. Table 1 presents a summary of our unaudited condensed consolidated results of operations for these periods.

**Table 1: Summary of Condensed Consolidated Results of Operations**

|   | For the<br>Three Months<br>Ended<br>September 30, |                  | For the<br>Nine Months Ended<br>September 30, |                 | Quarterly<br>Variance |               | Year-to-Date<br>Variance |              |
|---|---|------------------|---|-----------------|-----------------------|---------------|--------------------------|--------------|
|   | 2007  | 2006             | 2007  | 2006            | \$                    | %             | \$                       | %            |
| (Dollars in millions, except per share amounts)                                     |   |                  |   |                 |                       |               |                          |              |
| Net interest income   | \$ 1,058  | \$ 1,528         | \$ 3,445                                      | \$ 5,407        | \$ (470)              | (31)%         | \$ (1,962)               | (36)%        |
| Guaranty fee income <sup>(1)</sup>  | 1,232   | 1,084            | 3,450   | 2,968           | 148                   | 14            | 482                      | 16           |
| Trust management<br>income <sup>(2)</sup>   | 146   |                  | 460   |                 | 146                   |               | 460                      |              |
| Fee and other income <sup>(1)</sup>   | 76  | 234              | 546   | 567             | (158)                 | (68)          | (21)                     | (4)          |
| <b>Net revenues</b>   | <b>2,512</b>                                      | <b>2,846</b>     | <b>7,901</b>                                  | <b>8,942</b>    | <b>(334)</b>          | <b>(12)</b>   | <b>(1,041)</b>           | <b>(12)</b>  |
| Losses on certain guaranty<br>contracts   | (294)   | (103)            | (1,038)                                       | (181)           | (191)                 | (185)         | (857)                    | (473)        |
| Investment gains (losses),<br>net   | 136   | 550              | (102)   | (758)           | (414)                 | (75)          | 656                      | 87           |
| Derivatives fair value<br>losses, net   | (2,244)   | (3,381)          | (891)   | (854)           | 1,137                 | 34            | (37)                     | (4)          |
| Losses from partnership<br>investments  | (147)   | (197)            | (527)   | (579)           | 50                    | 25            | 52                       | 9            |
| Administrative expenses   | (660)   | (761)            | (2,018)                                       | (2,249)         | 101                   | 13            | 231                      | 10           |
| Credit-related expenses <sup>(3)</sup>  | (1,200)   | (197)            | (2,039)                                       | (457)           | (1,003)               | (509)         | (1,582)                  | (346)        |
| Other non-interest<br>expenses <sup>(4)</sup>                                       | (87)  | (29)             | (242)   | (40)            | (58)                  | (200)         | (202)                    | (505)        |
| Income (loss) before<br>federal income taxes and<br>extraordinary gains<br>(losses) | (1,984)   | (1,272)          | 1,044   | 3,824           | (712)                 | (56)          | (2,780)                  | (73)         |
| Benefit (provision) for<br>federal income taxes                                     | 582   | 639              | 468   | (380)           | (57)                  | (9)           | 848                      | 223          |
| Extraordinary gains<br>(losses), net of tax effect                                  | 3   | 4                | (3)   | 11              | (1)                   | (25)          | (14)                     | (127)        |
| <b>Net income (loss)</b>  | <b>\$ (1,399)</b>                                 | <b>\$ (629)</b>  | <b>\$ 1,509</b>                               | <b>\$ 3,455</b> | <b>\$ (770)</b>       | <b>(122)%</b> | <b>\$ (1,946)</b>        | <b>(56)%</b> |
|   | <b>\$ (1.56)</b>                                  | <b>\$ (0.79)</b> | <b>\$ 1.17</b>                                | <b>\$ 3.16</b>  | <b>\$ (0.77)</b>      | <b>(97)%</b>  | <b>\$ (1.99)</b>         | <b>(63)%</b> |

**Diluted earnings (loss)  
per common share**

- (1) Certain prior period amounts that previously were included as a component of Fee and other income have been reclassified to Guaranty fee income to conform to the current period presentation.
- (2) We began separately reporting the revenues from trust management fees in our condensed consolidated statements of income effective January 1, 2007. We previously included these revenues, which totaled approximately \$148 million and approximately \$447 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively, as a component of interest income.
- (3) Consists of provision for credit losses and foreclosed property expense.
- (4) Consists of debt extinguishment gains (losses), net, minority interest in earnings of consolidated subsidiaries and other expenses.

Our business generates revenues from four principal sources: net interest income, guaranty fee income, trust management income, and fee and other income. Other significant factors affecting our net income include changes in the fair value of our derivatives, the timing and size of investment gains and losses, equity investments, losses on certain guaranty contracts, credit-related expenses and administrative expenses. We provide a comparative discussion of the effect of our principal revenue sources and other listed items on our

**Table of Contents**

condensed consolidated results of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 below. We also discuss other significant items presented in our unaudited condensed consolidated statements of income.

**Net Interest Income**

Table 2 presents analyses of our net interest income and net interest yield for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006.

**Table 2: Analysis of Net Interest Income and Yield**

|  | Average<br>Balance <sup>(1)</sup> | For the Three Months Ended September 30,<br>2007 |                                 |                                   | 2006                           |                                 |
|--|-----------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
|  |                                   | Interest<br>Income/<br>Expense                   | Average<br>Rates<br>Earned/Paid | Average<br>Balance <sup>(1)</sup> | Interest<br>Income/<br>Expense | Average<br>Rates<br>Earned/Paid |
|  |                                   |  |                                 |                                   |                                |                                 |
| (Dollars in millions)  |                                   |  |                                 |                                   |                                |                                 |
| Interest-earning assets:   |                                   |  |                                 |                                   |                                |                                 |
| Mortgage loans <sup>(2)</sup>  | \$ 397,349                        | \$ 5,572   | 5.61%                           | \$ 376,792                        | \$ 5,209                       | 5.53%                           |
| Mortgage securities  | 330,872                           | 4,579  | 5.54                            | 355,024                           | 4,912                          | 5.53                            |
| Non-mortgage securities <sup>(3)</sup>   | 72,075                            | 999  | 5.43                            | 59,464                            | 812                            | 5.34                            |
| Federal funds sold and securities<br>purchased under agreements to<br>resell     | 17,994                            | 246  | 5.35                            | 15,551                            | 214                            | 5.38                            |
| Advances to lenders  | 8,561                             | 76   | 3.45                            | 4,368                             | 38                             | 3.44                            |
| Total interest-earning assets  | \$ 826,851                        | \$ 11,472  | 5.54%                           | \$ 811,199                        | \$ 11,185                      | 5.50%                           |
| Interest-bearing liabilities:  |                                   |  |                                 |                                   |                                |                                 |
| Short-term debt  | \$ 166,832                        | \$ 2,400   | 5.63%                           | \$ 163,903                        | \$ 2,121                       | 5.07%                           |
| Long-term debt   | 613,801                           | 8,013  | 5.22                            | 613,374                           | 7,533                          | 4.91                            |
| Federal funds purchased and<br>securities sold under agreements<br>to repurchase | 161                               | 1  | 4.46                            | 181                               | 3                              | 4.99                            |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities   | \$ 780,794                        | \$ 10,414  | 5.31%                           | \$ 777,458                        | \$ 9,657                       | 4.94%                           |
| Impact of net non-interest bearing<br>funding                                    | \$ 46,057                         |  | 0.29%                           | \$ 33,741                         |                                | 0.21%                           |
| Net interest income/net interest<br>yield <sup>(4)</sup>                         |                                   | \$ 1,058   | 0.52%                           |                                   | \$ 1,528                       | 0.77%                           |

**Table of Contents**

|  | <b>For the Nine Months Ended September 30,</b> |  |  |   |  |              |
|--|--|--|--|---|--|--------------|
|  | <b>2007</b>                                    |  |  | <b>2006</b>                             |  |              |
| <b>Average<br/>Balance<sup>(1)</sup></b>   | <b>Interest<br/>Income/<br/>Expense</b>        | <b>Average<br/>Rates<br/>Earned/Paid</b> | <b>Average<br/>Balance<sup>(1)</sup></b> | <b>Interest<br/>Income/<br/>Expense</b> | <b>Average<br/>Rates<br/>Earned/Paid</b> |              |
| <b>(Dollars in millions)</b>   |  |  |  |   |  |              |
| <b>Interest-earning assets:</b>  |  |  |  |   |  |              |
| Mortgage loans <sup>(2)</sup>  | \$ 391,318                                     | \$ 16,582                                | 5.65%                                    | \$ 372,798                              | \$ 15,495                                | 5.54%        |
| Mortgage securities  | 329,126  | 13,606                                   | 5.51                                     | 360,115                                 | 14,599                                   | 5.41         |
| Non-mortgage securities <sup>(3)</sup>   | 67,595   | 2,763                                    | 5.39                                     | 52,531                                  | 1,983                                    | 4.98         |
| Federal funds sold and securities<br>purchased under agreements to<br>resell     | 15,654   | 633                                      | 5.33                                     | 13,294                                  | 504                                      | 5.00         |
| Advances to lenders  | 6,097  | 160                                      | 3.45                                     | 4,063                                   | 103                                      | 3.36         |
| <b>Total interest-earning assets</b>   | <b>\$ 809,790</b>                              | <b>\$ 33,744</b>                         | <b>5.55%</b>                             | <b>\$ 802,801</b>                       | <b>\$ 32,684</b>                         | <b>5.42%</b> |
| <b>Interest-bearing liabilities:</b>   |  |  |  |   |  |              |
| Short-term debt  | \$ 163,062                                     | \$ 6,806                                 | 5.50%                                    | \$ 163,647                              | \$ 5,671                                 | 4.57%        |
| Long-term debt   | 609,018  | 23,488                                   | 5.14                                     | 607,106                                 | 21,596                                   | 4.74         |
| Federal funds purchased and<br>securities sold under agreements to<br>repurchase | 136  | 5  | 4.91                                     | 265                                     | 10                                       | 4.73         |
| <b>Total interest-bearing liabilities</b>  | <b>\$ 772,216</b>                              | <b>\$ 30,299</b>                         | <b>5.22%</b>                             | <b>\$ 771,018</b>                       | <b>\$ 27,277</b>                         | <b>4.71%</b> |
| Impact of net non-interest bearing<br>funding                                    | \$ 37,574                                      |  | 0.24%                                    | \$ 31,783                               |  | 0.19%        |
| Net interest income/net interest<br>yield <sup>(4)</sup>                         |  | \$ 3,445                                 | 0.57%                                    |   | \$ 5,407                                 | 0.90%        |

(1) The average balances for mortgage loans, advances to lenders and short- and long-term debt have been calculated based on the average of the amortized cost amount as of the beginning of each period and the amortized cost amount as of the end of each month within the respective period. This method was also used to calculate the average balance for mortgage securities for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006. The average balances for all other categories and periods have been calculated based on a daily average.

(2) Includes nonaccrual loans with an average balance totaling \$6.2 billion and \$6.1 billion for the three months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively, and \$6.0 billion and \$7.0 billion for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

(3) Includes cash equivalents.

(4)

We calculate our net interest yield by dividing our annualized net interest income for the period by the average balance of our total interest-earning assets during the period.

Table 3 presents the total variance, or change, in our net interest income between the three months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, and the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, and the extent to which that variance is attributable to (1) changes in the volume of our interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities or (2) changes in the interest rates of these assets and liabilities.

**Table of Contents****Table 3: Rate/Volume Analysis of Net Interest Income**

|   | For the Three Months<br>Ended September 30, 2007<br>vs. 2006 |                                    |                 | For the Nine Months Ended<br>September 30, 2007 vs. 2006 |                                 |                   |
|---|--|------------------------------------|-----------------|--|---------------------------------|-------------------|
|   | Total<br>Variance  | Variance Due<br>to: <sup>(1)</sup> |                 | Total<br>Variance  | Variance Due to: <sup>(1)</sup> |                   |
|   |  | Volume                             | Rate            |  | Volume                          | Rate              |
|   | (Dollars in millions)  |                                    |                 |  |                                 |                   |
| Interest income:  |  |                                    |                 |  |                                 |                   |
| Mortgage loans  | \$ 363   | \$ 288                             | \$ 75           | \$ 1,087   | \$ 781                          | \$ 306            |
| Mortgage securities   | (333)  | (334)                              | 1               | (993)  | (1,277)                         | 284               |
| Non-mortgage securities <sup>(2)</sup>  | 187  | 175                                | 12              | 780  | 605                             | 175               |
| Federal funds sold and securities purchased<br>under agreements to resell     | 32   | 33                                 | (1)             | 129  | 94                              | 35                |
| Advances to lenders   | 38   | 37                                 | 1               | 57   | 54                              | 3                 |
| <b>Total interest income</b>  | <b>287</b>   | <b>199</b>                         | <b>88</b>       | <b>1,060</b>   | <b>257</b>                      | <b>803</b>        |
| Interest expense:   |  |                                    |                 |  |                                 |                   |
| Short-term debt   | 279  | 39                                 | 240             | 1,135  | (20)                            | 1,155             |
| Long-term debt  | 480  | 5                                  | 475             | 1,892  | 68                              | 1,824             |
| Federal funds purchased and securities sold<br>under agreements to repurchase | (2)  | (1)                                | (1)             | (5)  | (5)                             |                   |
| <b>Total interest expense</b>   | <b>757</b>   | <b>43</b>                          | <b>714</b>      | <b>3,022</b>   | <b>43</b>                       | <b>2,979</b>      |
| <b>Net interest income</b>  | <b>\$ (470)</b>  | <b>\$ 156</b>                      | <b>\$ (626)</b> | <b>\$ (1,962)</b>  | <b>\$ 214</b>                   | <b>\$ (2,176)</b> |

(1) Combined rate/volume variances are allocated to both rate and volume based on the relative size of each variance.

(2) Includes cash equivalents.

Net interest income of \$1.1 billion for the third quarter of 2007 decreased by 31% from the third quarter of 2006, driven by a 32% (25 basis points) decline in our net interest yield to 0.52%. The overall increase of 37 basis points in the average cost of our debt, to 5.31%, more than offset a 4 basis points increase in the average yield on our interest-earning assets, to 5.54%.

Net interest income of \$3.4 billion for the first nine months of 2007 decreased by 36% from the first nine months of 2006, driven by a 37% (33 basis points) decline in our net interest yield to 0.57%. The overall increase of 51 basis points in the average cost of our debt, to 5.22%, more than offset a 13 basis points increase in the average yield on our interest-earning assets, to 5.55%.

We continued to experience compression in our net interest yield during the first nine months of 2007, largely attributable to the increase in our short-term and long-term debt costs as we continued to replace, at higher interest rates, maturing debt that we had issued at lower interest rates during the past few years. In addition, as discussed below, effective January 1, 2007, we reclassified the fees we receive from the interest earned on cash flows between the date of remittance by servicers and the date of distribution to MBS certificateholders, which we refer to as float income, from Interest income to Trust management income. The reclassification of these fees contributed to the decrease in our net interest yield, resulting in a reduction of approximately 7 and 8 basis points for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, respectively.

As discussed below in Derivatives Fair Value Losses, Net, we consider the net contractual interest accruals on our interest rate swaps to be part of the cost of funding our mortgage investments. These amounts, however, are reflected in our condensed consolidated statements of income as a component of Derivatives fair value losses, net. Although we experienced an increase in the average cost of our debt for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, we recorded net contractual interest income on our interest rate swaps totaling \$95 million and \$193 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, respectively. In comparison, we recorded net contractual interest income of \$82 million and net contractual interest expense of \$157 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively. The economic effect of the interest accruals on our interest rate swaps, which is not reflected in the comparative net interest yields

**Table of Contents**

presented above, resulted in a reduction in our funding costs of approximately 5 and 3 basis points for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, respectively. The effect of interest accruals on our interest rate swaps also resulted in a reduction in our funding costs of approximately 4 basis points for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and an increase in our funding costs of approximately 2 basis points for the nine months ended September 30, 2006.

Based on the current composition of our portfolio, our expected investment activity for the remainder of the year and the current interest rate environment, we expect our net interest yield to remain relatively stable for the remainder of 2007.

**Guaranty Fee Income**

Table 4 shows the components of our guaranty fee income, our average effective guaranty fee rate, and Fannie Mae MBS activity for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006.

**Table 4: Guaranty Fee Income and Average Effective Guaranty Fee Rate<sup>(1)</sup>**

|   | For the Three Months Ended September 30,<br>2007 |                     | 2006         |                     | Variance |
|---|--|---------------------|--------------|---------------------|----------|
|   | Amount   | Rate <sup>(2)</sup> | Amount       | Rate <sup>(2)</sup> |          |
|   | (Dollars in millions)                            |                     |              |                     |          |
| Guaranty fee income/average effective guaranty fee rate, excluding buy-up impairment                                    | \$ 1,235   | 22.8bp              | \$ 1,092     | 22.6bp              | 13%      |
| Buy-up impairment   | (3)  |                     | (8)          | (0.1)               | (63)     |
| Guaranty fee income/average effective guaranty fee rate <sup>(4)(5)</sup>   | \$ 1,232   | 22.8bp              | \$ 1,084     | 22.5bp              | 14%      |
| Average outstanding Fannie Mae MBS and other guaranties <sup>(6)</sup>  | \$ 2,163,173                                     |                     | \$ 1,929,303 |                     | 12%      |
| Fannie Mae MBS issues <sup>(7)</sup>  | 171,204  |                     | 124,019      |                     | 38       |
|   | For the Nine Months Ended September 30,<br>2007  |                     | 2006         |                     |          |
|   | Amount   | Rate <sup>(2)</sup> | Amount       | Rate <sup>(2)</sup> | Variance |
|   | (Dollars in millions)                            |                     |              |                     |          |
| Guaranty fee income/average effective guaranty fee rate, excluding certain fair value adjustments and buy-up impairment | \$ 3,439   | 21.9bp              | \$ 2,978     | 21.0bp              | 15%      |
| Net change in fair value of buy-ups and guaranty assets <sup>(3)</sup>  | 19   | 0.1                 |              |                     |          |
| Buy-up impairment   | (8)  |                     | (10)         | (0.1)               | (20)     |
|   | \$ 3,450   | 22.0bp              | \$ 2,968     | 20.9bp              | 16%      |



Guaranty fee income/average effective  
guaranty fee rate<sup>(4)(5)</sup>

|   |              |              |     |
|---|--------------|--------------|-----|
| Average outstanding Fannie Mae MBS and<br>other guaranties <sup>(6)</sup> | \$ 2,090,322 | \$ 1,893,843 | 10% |
| Fannie Mae MBS issues <sup>(7)</sup>                                      | 453,506      | 357,480      | 27  |

- (1) Guaranty fee income consists of contractual guaranty fees related to Fannie Mae MBS held in our portfolio and held by third-party investors, adjusted for (1) the amortization of upfront fees and impairment of guaranty assets, net of a proportionate reduction in the related guaranty obligation and deferred profit, and (2) impairment of buy-ups. The average effective guaranty fee rate reflects our average contractual guaranty fee rate adjusted for the impact of amortization of deferred amounts and buy-up impairment. Losses recognized at inception on certain guaranty contracts are excluded from guaranty fee income and the average effective guaranty fee rate, but as described in footnote 5 below, the subsequent recovery of these losses over the life of the loans underlying the MBS issuances is reflected in our guaranty fee income and average effective guaranty fee rate.
- (2) Presented in annualized basis points and calculated based on guaranty fee income components divided by average outstanding Fannie Mae MBS and other guaranties for each respective period.
- (3) Consists of the effect of the net change in fair value of buy-ups and guaranty assets from portfolio securitization transactions subsequent to January 1, 2007. We include the net change in fair value of buy-ups and guaranty assets

**Table of Contents**

from portfolio securitization transactions in guaranty fee income in our condensed consolidated statements of income pursuant to our adoption of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ( SFAS ) No. 155, *Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments, an amendment of SFAS 133 and SFAS 140* ( SFAS 155 ). We prospectively adopted SFAS 155 effective January 1, 2007. Accordingly, we did not record a fair value adjustment in earnings during 2006.

- (4) Certain prior period amounts that previously were included as a component of Fee and other income have been reclassified to Guaranty fee income to conform to the current period presentation.
- (5) Losses recognized at inception on certain guaranty contracts are recorded as a component of our guaranty obligation. We amortize a portion of our guaranty obligation, which includes these losses, into income each period in proportion to the reduction in the guaranty asset for payments received. This amortization increases our guaranty fee income and reduces the related guaranty obligation. The amortization of the guaranty obligation associated with losses recognized at inception on certain guaranty contracts totaled \$144 million and \$60 million for the three months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively, and \$327 million and \$157 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively.
- (6) Other guaranties includes \$35.5 billion and \$19.7 billion as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively, and \$21.7 billion and \$19.2 billion as of September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively, related to long-term standby commitments we have issued and credit enhancements we have provided.
- (7) Reflects unpaid principal balance of Fannie Mae MBS issued and guaranteed by us, including mortgage loans held in our portfolio that we securitized during the period and Fannie Mae MBS issued during the period that we acquired for our portfolio.

The 14% increase in guaranty fee income for the third quarter of 2007 from the third quarter of 2006 resulted from a 12% increase in average outstanding Fannie Mae MBS and other guaranties, and a 1% increase in the average effective guaranty fee rate to 22.8 basis points from 22.5 basis points.

The 16% increase in guaranty fee income for the first nine months of 2007 from the first nine months of 2006 resulted from a 10% increase in average outstanding Fannie Mae MBS and other guaranties, and a 5% increase in the average effective guaranty fee rate to 22.0 basis points from 20.9 basis points.

Growth in average outstanding Fannie Mae MBS and other guaranties for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 was attributable to an increase in Fannie Mae MBS issuances and a slower liquidation rate on our mortgage credit book of business. Although mortgage origination volumes fell during the first nine months of 2007, our market share of MBS issuances increased due to the shift in the product mix of mortgage originations back to more traditional conforming products, such as 30-year fixed-rate loans, which represent our core product and historically have accounted for the majority of our new business volume, and reduced competition from private-label issuers of mortgage-related securities.

The increase in our average effective guaranty fee rate, which excludes the effect of losses recorded at inception on certain guaranty contracts, was attributable to targeted pricing increases on new business to reflect the higher risk premium resulting from the overall market increase in mortgage credit risk pricing, an increase in our acquisition of Alt-A mortgage loans, which generally have higher guaranty fee rates, and an increase in the accretion of our guaranty obligation and deferred profit into income.

Because of our increased market share, we expect our single-family guaranty book of business to grow at a faster rate than the rate of overall MDO growth in 2007, and our guaranty fee income to continue to increase for the remainder of

2007.

### **Trust Management Income**

Trust management income totaled \$146 million and \$460 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, respectively. Trust management income consists of the fees we earn as master servicer, issuer and trustee for Fannie Mae MBS. We derive these fees from the interest earned on cash flows between the date of remittance by servicers and the date of distribution to MBS certificateholders, which we refer to as float income. Prior to November 2006, funds received from servicers were commingled with our corporate assets. Because our compensation for these roles could not be segregated, we included these amounts, which totaled approximately \$148 million and approximately \$447 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, as a component of Interest income in our condensed consolidated statements of income. In November 2006, we made operational changes to segregate these funds from our corporate assets.

## **Table of Contents**

Accordingly, we began separately reporting this compensation as Trust management income in our condensed consolidated statements of income effective January 1, 2007.

### **Fee and Other Income**

Fee and other income decreased to \$76 million for the third quarter of 2007, from \$234 million for the third quarter of 2006. The \$158 million decrease in fee and other income in the third quarter of 2007 resulted from changes in foreign currency exchange rates. We recorded a foreign currency exchange loss of \$133 million on our foreign-denominated debt in the third quarter of 2007, due to the weakening of the U.S. dollar against the Japanese yen during the third quarter of 2007. In contrast, we recorded a foreign currency exchange gain of \$37 million in the third quarter of 2006. Our foreign currency exchange gains (losses) are offset in part by corresponding net losses (gains) on foreign currency swaps, which are recognized in our condensed consolidated statements of income as a component of Derivatives fair value losses, net. We seek to eliminate our exposure to fluctuations in foreign exchange rates by entering into foreign currency swaps that effectively convert debt denominated in a foreign currency to debt denominated in U.S. dollars.

Fee and other income decreased to \$546 million for the first nine months of 2007, from \$567 million for the first nine months of 2006. The \$21 million decrease in fee and other income for the first nine months of 2007 was due in part to the recognition of a foreign currency exchange loss of \$188 million on our foreign-denominated debt for the first nine months of 2007, compared with a foreign currency exchange loss of \$123 million for the first nine months of 2006. The increase in foreign currency exchange losses was due to the weakening of the U.S. dollar against the Japanese yen during the first nine months of 2007. The decrease in fee and other income was also due to a decrease in certain multifamily fees related to consolidated loans, partially offset by increased multifamily loan prepayment and yield maintenance fees.

### **Losses on Certain Guaranty Contracts**

Losses on certain guaranty contracts increased by \$191 million to \$294 million for the third quarter of 2007, from \$103 million for the third quarter of 2006. Losses on certain guaranty contracts increased by \$857 million to \$1.0 billion for the first nine months of 2007, from \$181 million for the first nine months of 2006.

We recognize an immediate loss in earnings on new guaranteed Fannie Mae MBS issuances when our expectation of returns is below what we believe a market participant would require for that credit risk inclusive of a reasonable profit margin. We expect, however, to recover the losses that we recognize at inception on certain guaranty contracts in our consolidated income statements over time in proportion to our receipt of contractual guaranty fees on those guaranties and the decline in the unpaid principal balance on the mortgage loans underlying the MBS.

Following is an example to illustrate how losses recorded at inception on certain guaranty contracts affect our earnings over time. Assume that within one of our guaranty contracts, we have an individual Fannie Mae MBS issuance for which the present value of the guaranty fees we expect to receive over time based on both a five-year contractual and expected life of the fixed-rate loans underlying the MBS totals \$100. Based on market expectations, we estimate that a market participant would require \$120 to assume the risk associated with our guaranty of the principal and interest due to investors in the MBS trust. To simplify the accounting in our example, we assume that the expected life of the underlying loans remains the same over the five-year contractual period and the annual scheduled principal and interest loan payments are equal over the five-year period.

#### **Accounting Upon Initial Issuance of MBS:**

We record a guaranty asset of \$100, which represents the present value of the guaranty fees we expect to receive over time.

We record a guaranty obligation of \$120, which represents the estimated amount that a market participant would require to assume this obligation.

**Table of Contents**

We record the difference of \$20, or the amount by which the guaranty obligation exceeds the guaranty asset, in our income statement as losses on certain guaranty contracts.

**Accounting in Each of Years 1 to 5:**

We collect \$20 in guaranty fees per year, which represents one-fifth of the outstanding receivable amount, and record this amount as a reduction in the guaranty asset.

We reduce the guaranty obligation by a proportionate amount, or one-fifth, and record this amount, which totals \$24, in our income statement as guaranty fee income.

|                                      | <b>For the Years Ended</b> |          |          |          |          |          | <b>Cumulative</b> |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-------------------|
|                                      | <b>0</b>                   | <b>1</b> | <b>2</b> | <b>3</b> | <b>4</b> | <b>5</b> | <b>Effect</b>     |
| Losses on certain guaranty contracts | \$ (20)                    | \$       | \$       | \$       | \$       | \$       | \$ (20)           |
| Guaranty fee income                  |                            | 24       | 24       | 24       | 24       | 24       | 120               |
| Pre-tax income                       | \$ (20)                    | \$ 24    | \$ 24    | \$ 24    | \$ 24    | \$ 24    | \$ 100            |

As illustrated in the example, the \$20 loss recognized at inception of the guaranty contract will be accreted into earnings over time as a component of guaranty fee income. For additional information on our accounting for guaranty transactions, which is more complex than the example presented, refer to our 2006 Form 10-K in Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements Note 1, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies.

As credit conditions deteriorated during the first nine months of 2007, the market's expectation of future credit risk increased. This change in market conditions increased the estimated risk premium or compensation that a market participant would require to assume our guaranty obligations. As a result, the estimated fair value of our guaranty obligations related to MBS issuances increased, contributing to a higher level of losses at inception on certain of our MBS issuances. Our losses on certain guaranty contracts also were affected by the following during the first nine months of 2007:

*Lender Flow Transaction Contracts:* We enter into flow transaction contracts that establish our base guaranty fee pricing with a lender for a specified period of time. Because pricing is fixed for a period of time, these contracts may limit our ability to immediately adjust our base guaranty fee pricing to reflect changes in market conditions. As the market risk premium increased during the first nine months of 2007, we experienced an increase in the losses related to some of these contracts because we had established our base guaranty fee pricing for a specified time period and could not increase our prices to reflect the increased market risk. To address this in part, we have expanded our use of standard risk-based price adjustments that apply to all deliveries of loans with certain risk characteristics.

*Affordability Mission Housing Goals:* Our efforts to increase the amount of mortgage financing that we make available to target populations and geographic areas to support our housing goals contributed to an increase in losses on certain guaranty contracts for the first nine months of 2007, due to the higher credit risk premium associated with these MBS issuances. In addition, certain contracts that support our affordability mission are priced at a discounted rate.

*Contract-Level Pricing:* We negotiate guaranty contracts with our customers based upon the overall economics of the transaction; however, the accounting for our guaranty-related assets and liabilities is not determined at the contract level for the substantial majority of our guaranty transactions. Instead, it is determined separately for each individual MBS issuance within a contract. Although we determine losses at an individual MBS issuance level, we largely price our guaranty business on an overall contract basis and establish a single price for all loans included in the contract. Accordingly, a single guaranty transaction may result in some loan pools for which we recognize a loss immediately in earnings and other loan pools for which we record deferred profits that are recognized as a component of guaranty fee income over the life of the loans underlying the MBS issuance.

We expect that the vast majority of our MBS guaranty transactions will generate positive economic returns over the lives of the related MBS because we expect our guaranty fees to exceed our incurred credit losses based on our experience. Losses on certain guaranty contracts do not reflect our estimate of incurred credit

**Table of Contents**

losses in our mortgage credit book of business. Instead, these losses are recognized as charges against our allowance for loan losses or reserve for guaranty losses, and are reflected in our credit losses. Our combined allowance for loan losses and reserve for guaranty losses reflects our estimate of the probable credit losses inherent in our mortgage credit book of business. See *Credit-Related Expenses* for a discussion of our current year provision for credit losses.

We have increased guaranty pricing for some of our loan products during 2007. Additionally, we have made targeted eligibility changes during 2007 to enhance the risk profile characteristics of mortgage loans that we guarantee. We previously disclosed that we expected losses on certain guaranty contracts to more than double in 2007 from the \$439 million recorded in 2006. Based on the losses reported for the first nine months of 2007, we expect our losses on certain guaranty contracts for the full year 2007 to be significantly higher than previously estimated.

**Investment Gains (Losses), Net**

Table 5 summarizes the components of investment gains (losses), net for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006.

**Table 5: Investment Gains (Losses), Net**

|   | <b>For the<br/>Three Months<br/>Ended<br/>September 30,</b> |             | <b>For the<br/>Nine Months Ended<br/>September 30,</b> |             |
|---|---|-------------|--|-------------|
|   | <b>2007</b>   | <b>2006</b> | <b>2007</b>  | <b>2006</b> |
|   | <b>(Dollars in millions)</b>                                |             |  |             |
| Other-than-temporary impairment on investment securities <sup>(1)</sup> | \$ (81)   | \$ (6)      | \$ (84)  | \$ (852)    |
| Lower-of-cost-or-market adjustments on held-for-sale loans              | 3   | 47          | (115)  | (45)        |
| Gains (losses) on Fannie Mae portfolio securitizations, net             | (65)  | 45          | (27)   | 74          |
| Gains on sale of investment securities, net                             | 99  | 115         | 414  | 125         |
| Unrealized gains (losses) on trading securities, net                    | 249   | 364         | (180)  | (25)        |
| Other investment losses, net  | (69)  | (15)        | (110)  | (35)        |
| Investment gains (losses), net  | \$ 136  | \$ 550      | \$ (102)   | \$ (758)    |

<sup>(1)</sup> Excludes other-than-temporary impairment on guaranty assets and buy-ups as these amounts are recognized as a component of guaranty fee income.

The \$414 million decrease in investment gains for the third quarter of 2007 from the third quarter of 2006 was primarily attributable to the combined effect of the following:

A decrease of \$115 million in unrealized gains on trading securities. We recorded \$249 million in unrealized gains on trading securities during the third quarter of 2007, primarily due to a decline in interest rates during the quarter, which increased the fair value of our trading securities. This increase was partially offset by a decline in the fair value of private-label mortgage-related securities backed by subprime and Alt-A loans due to a widening of credit spreads on these securities during the quarter. In contrast, we recorded \$364 million in unrealized gains on trading securities during the third quarter of 2006, due to a decline in interest rates during the quarter.



A net loss of \$65 million for the third quarter of 2007 on Fannie Mae portfolio securitizations, compared with a net gain of \$45 million for the third quarter of 2006. We securitized some subprime mortgage assets that were in a loss position during the third quarter of 2007. Cash proceeds related to portfolio securitizations accounted for as sales totaled \$9.2 billion and \$5.7 billion for the third quarter of 2007 and 2006, respectively.

An increase of \$75 million in other-than-temporary impairment on investment securities. We recognized other-than-temporary impairment of \$81 million for the third quarter of 2007, due to credit ratings downgrades and other credit-related events relating to certain non-mortgage investments that we had designated as available for sale, which caused the fair value of these securities to decline below their

**Table of Contents**

carrying value, and a deterioration in the credit quality of some of our mortgage revenue bond investments. In contrast, we recognized other-than-temporary impairment of \$6 million for the third quarter of 2006.

The \$656 million decrease in investment losses for the first nine months of 2007 from the first nine months of 2006 was primarily attributable to the combined effect of the following:

A decrease of \$768 million in other-than-temporary impairment on investment securities. We recognized other-than-temporary impairment of \$84 million for the first nine months of 2007, largely due to the impairments recorded during the third quarter of 2007. In contrast, we recognized other-than-temporary impairment of \$852 million for the first nine months of 2006 due to a general increase in interest rates during the period, which caused the fair value of certain securities that we designated for sale to decline below the carrying value of those securities. We expect other-than-temporary impairment on investment securities for the full year 2007 to be significantly lower than the amount recorded in 2006.

An increase of \$289 million in gains on sale of investment securities, net. We recorded net gains of \$414 million and \$125 million for the first nine months of 2007 and 2006, respectively, related to the sale of securities totaling \$45.3 billion and \$46.3 billion, respectively. The investment gains recorded during the first nine months of 2007 were attributable to the recovery in value of securities we sold that we had previously written down due to other-than-temporary impairment.

An increase of \$155 million in unrealized losses on trading securities. As described in Consolidated Balance Sheet Analysis Trading Securities, we increased our portfolio of trading securities during the first nine months of 2007 to approximately \$48.7 billion as of September 30, 2007, from \$11.5 billion as of December 31, 2006. We recorded unrealized losses of \$180 million on our trading securities for the first nine months of 2007, reflecting the combined effect of an increase in our portfolio of mortgage-related securities classified as trading and a decrease in the fair value of these securities due to the significant widening of credit spreads during the period.

While changes in the fair value of our trading securities generally move inversely to changes in the fair value of our derivatives, we recorded losses on both our trading securities and derivatives for the first nine months of the year, due to the effect on our trading securities of the significant widening of credit spreads. Because the fair value of our trading securities is affected by market fluctuations that cannot be predicted, we cannot estimate the impact of changes in the fair value of our trading securities for the full year. We provide information on the sensitivity of changes in the fair value of trading securities to changes in interest rates in Risk Management Interest Rate Risk Management and Other Market Risks Measuring Interest Rate Risk.

**Table of Contents****Derivatives Fair Value Losses, Net**

Table 6 presents, by type of derivative instrument, the fair value gains and losses on our derivatives for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006. Table 6 also includes an analysis of the components of derivatives fair value gains and losses attributable to net contractual interest income (expense) on our interest rate swaps, the net change in the fair value of terminated derivative contracts through the date of termination and the net change in the fair value of outstanding derivative contracts. The five-year interest rate swap rate, which is shown below in Table 6, is a key reference interest rate affecting the estimated fair value of our derivatives.

**Table 6: Derivatives Fair Value Losses, Net**

|   | <b>For the<br/>Three Months Ended<br/>September 30,<br/>2007</b> |             | <b>For the<br/>Nine Months Ended<br/>September 30,<br/>2007</b> |             |
|---|--|-------------|---|-------------|
|   | <b>2006</b>  | <b>2006</b> | <b>2007</b>   | <b>2006</b> |
|   | <b>(Dollars in millions)</b>                                     |             |   |             |
| Risk management derivatives:  |  |             |   |             |
| Swaps:  |  |             |   |             |
| Pay-fixed   | \$ (7,500)   | \$ (7,198)  | \$ (1,780)  | \$ 1,852    |
| Receive-fixed   | 3,834  | 3,329       | 956   | (11)        |
| Basis   | 90   | 38          | (35)  | 25          |
| Foreign currency <sup>(1)</sup>   | 140  | (65)        | 97  | 32          |
| Swaptions:  |  |             |   |             |
| Pay-fixed   | (237)  | (822)       | 32  | (925)       |
| Receive-fixed   | 1,460  | 1,405       | (199)   | (1,951)     |
| Interest rate caps  | (3)  | (33)        | 5   | 92          |
| Other <sup>(2)</sup>  | 3  | 2           | 4   | 4           |
| Risk management derivatives fair value losses, net  | (2,213)  | (3,344)     | (920)   | (882)       |
| Mortgage commitment derivatives fair value gains (losses), net  | (31)   | (37)        | 29  | 28          |
| Total derivatives fair value losses, net  | \$ (2,244)   | \$ (3,381)  | \$ (891)  | \$ (854)    |
| Risk management derivatives fair value gains (losses) attributable to:  |  |             |   |             |
| Net contractual interest income (expense) on interest rate swaps  | \$ 95  | \$ 82       | \$ 193  | \$ (157)    |
| Net change in fair value of terminated derivative contracts from end of prior period to date of termination                 | (50)   | (110)       | (187)   | (154)       |
| Net change in fair value of outstanding derivative contracts, including derivative contracts entered into during the period | (2,258)  | (3,316)     | (926)   | (571)       |
| Risk management derivatives fair value losses, net <sup>(3)</sup>   | \$ (2,213)   | \$ (3,344)  | \$ (920)  | \$ (882)    |
|   |  |             | <b>2007</b>   | <b>2006</b> |

5-year swap rate:

|                    |       |       |
|--------------------|-------|-------|
| As of January 1    | 5.10% | 4.88% |
| As of March 31     | 4.99  | 5.31  |
| As of June 30      | 5.50  | 5.65  |
| As of September 30 | 4.87  | 5.08  |

- (1) Includes the effect of net contractual interest expense of approximately \$16 million and \$20 million for the three months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively, and \$50 million and \$55 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The change in fair value of foreign currency swaps excluding this item resulted in a net gain of \$156 million and a net loss of \$45 million for the three months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively, and net gains of \$147 million and \$87 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively.
- (2) Includes MBS options, forward starting debt, swap credit enhancements and mortgage insurance contracts.
- (3) Reflects net derivatives fair value gains (losses) recognized in the condensed consolidated statements of income, excluding mortgage commitments.

## **Table of Contents**

As shown in Table 6 above, we recorded net contractual interest income on interest rate swaps for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007. In comparison, we recorded net contractual interest income for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and net contractual interest expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2006. Although these amounts are included in the net derivatives fair value losses recognized in our condensed consolidated statements of income, we consider the interest accruals on our interest rate swaps to be part of the cost of funding our mortgage investments.

We recorded derivatives fair value losses totaling \$2.2 billion and \$3.4 billion for the third quarter of 2007 and 2006, respectively, due to fair value losses on our interest rate swaps that were partially offset by fair value gains on our option-based derivatives. The fair value losses on our interest rate swaps were attributable to a decrease in swap rates during each period, which resulted in fair value losses on our pay-fixed swaps that exceeded the fair value gains on our receive-fixed swaps. We experienced fair value gains on our option-based derivatives in each period due to an increase in fair value resulting from an increase in implied volatility that more than offset a decrease in fair value resulting from the combined effect of the decrease in swap rates and the time decay of these options. Time decay refers to the diminishing value of an option over time as less time remains to exercise the option. The less time left on an option, the greater the effects of time decay.

We recorded derivatives fair value losses totaling \$891 million and \$854 million for the first nine months of 2007 and 2006, respectively. The derivatives fair value losses recorded in the third quarter of 2007 and 2006 more than offset the cumulative derivatives fair value gains recorded for the first six months of each year.

Because the fair value of our derivatives is affected by market fluctuations that cannot be predicted, we cannot estimate the impact of changes in the fair value of our derivatives for the remainder of 2007. We provide information on the sensitivity of changes in the fair value of our derivatives to changes in interest rates in [Risk Management](#) [Interest Rate Risk Management](#) and [Other Market Risks](#) [Measuring Interest Rate Risk](#).

### **Losses from Partnership Investments**

Losses from partnership investments decreased to \$147 million for the third quarter of 2007, from \$197 million for the third quarter of 2006. The decrease in losses for the third quarter of 2007 was attributable to the recognition of a gain on the sale of investments in federal low-income housing tax credit ( LIHTC ) partnerships in July 2007, as well as a lower LIHTC portfolio balance compared to the third quarter of 2006, which resulted in fewer net operating losses. LIHTC partnerships generate tax credits and incur operational losses for which we obtain tax benefits through tax deductions. See [Benefit \(Provision\) for Federal Income Taxes](#) for further discussion of LIHTC tax benefits.

Losses from partnership investments decreased to \$527 million for the first nine months of 2007, from \$579 million for the first nine months of 2006. The decrease in losses for the first nine months of 2007 was due to the recognition of gains on sales of investments in LIHTC partnerships in March 2007 and July 2007, which was partially offset by an increase in losses from our continuing investments in LIHTC partnerships.

### **Administrative Expenses**

Table 7 details the components of our administrative expenses, which include ongoing operating costs, as well as costs associated with our efforts to return to timely financial reporting.

**Table of Contents****Table 7: Administrative Expenses**

|  | For the<br>Three Months<br>Ended<br>September 30, |        |          | For the<br>Nine Months Ended<br>September 30, |          |          |
|--|---|--------|----------|---|----------|----------|
|  | 2007  | 2006   | Variance | 2007  | 2006     | Variance |
|  | (Dollars in millions)                             |        |          |   |          |          |
| Ongoing operating costs <sup>(1)</sup>                     | \$ 528  | \$ 509 | 4%       | \$ 1,563                                      | \$ 1,424 | 10%      |
| Restatement and related regulatory expenses <sup>(2)</sup> | 132   | 252    | (48)     | 455   | 825      | (45)     |
| Total administrative expenses                              | \$ 660  | \$ 761 | (13)%    | \$ 2,018                                      | \$ 2,249 | (10)%    |

(1) Excludes costs associated with our efforts to return to timely financial reporting and also excludes various costs that we do not expect to incur on a regular basis.

(2) Includes costs of restatement and related regulatory examinations, investigations and litigation, and costs associated with our efforts to return to timely financial reporting.

The decreases in administrative expenses for the third quarter of 2007 from the third quarter of 2006, and for the first nine months of 2007 from the first nine months of 2006, were due to a significant reduction in restatement and related regulatory expenses. This reduction was partially offset by an increase in our ongoing operating costs, resulting from costs associated with an early retirement program and various involuntary severance initiatives implemented in 2007, as well as costs associated with the significant investment we have made to remediate material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting by enhancing our organizational structure and systems. Due to these costs, we expect our ongoing operating costs for 2007 to exceed those for 2006.

As we have previously disclosed, we undertook a thorough review of our costs beginning in January 2007 as part of a broad reengineering initiative to increase productivity and lower administrative costs. As a result of this effort, we expect to reduce our total administrative expenses by more than \$200 million in 2007 as compared with 2006, primarily through a reduction in employee and contract resources. We estimate that our 2007 productivity and cost reduction reengineering initiative will reduce our ongoing operating costs to approximately \$2 billion in 2008.

**Credit-Related Expenses**

Our credit-related expenses consist of our provision for credit losses and our foreclosed property expense. Our credit-related expenses increased to \$1.2 billion for the third quarter of 2007, from \$197 million for the third quarter of 2006. Credit-related expenses increased to \$2.0 billion for the first nine months of 2007, from \$457 million for the first nine months of 2006. Following is a discussion of changes in the components of our credit-related expenses for each comparable period.

The provision for credit losses increased by \$942 million, or 650%, to \$1.1 billion for the third quarter of 2007, from \$145 million for the third quarter of 2006. The provision for credit losses increased by \$1.4 billion, or 381%, to

\$1.8 billion for the first nine months of 2007, from \$368 million for the first nine months of 2006.

Approximately \$670 million and \$805 million of the provision for credit losses for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, respectively, relates to charge-offs recorded when we purchase delinquent loans from MBS trusts and the purchase price, which is equal to the par amount, exceeds the fair value at the purchase date. These charges totaled \$37 million and \$153 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively.

Accordingly, \$633 million and \$652 million of the increase in the provision for credit losses for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, respectively, was attributable primarily to a substantial decrease in the market value of delinquent loans we purchased from MBS trusts. The decrease began in July 2007 as housing and credit market conditions deteriorated, causing increased credit spread requirements and decreased liquidity for this type of asset.

Pursuant to our MBS trust agreement, we have the option to purchase loans from the MBS trust, at par plus accrued interest, after required payments have not been made in full for four consecutive months. We record

## **Table of Contents**

these loans at their estimated fair value at the date of purchase from the trust and recognize the difference between the amount paid and the fair value as a component of charge-offs. Based on our past experience, the majority of the loans we purchase from MBS trusts cure or pay off; however, our cure rate has declined in recent periods and may decline further. If a loan pays off in full, we recover the loss previously recognized as a component of net interest income. If a loan cures, meaning that the borrower is no longer past due, we recover the loss previously recorded as a component of net interest income over the contractual life of the loan. If we foreclose upon a mortgage loan purchased from an MBS trust, the charge-off recognized at the date of foreclosure and the foreclosed property expense would be reduced because of the loss we previously recorded when we purchased the loan from the MBS trust. In some cases, losses that we record when we purchase loans from MBS trusts may result in our recording gains when we dispose of the foreclosed properties.

We are required by our MBS trust agreement to purchase loans from an MBS trust when specified predetermined triggers are met. Accordingly, we would expect to continue to incur these charges as part of our provision for credit losses in our consolidated financial statements. We do not expect the market prices for these delinquent loans to improve in the reasonably foreseeable future.

The remaining increase in our provision for credit losses of \$309 million and \$750 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, respectively, is attributable to an increase in net charge-offs and incremental additions to the allowance for loan losses and reserve for guaranty losses during each period. The increase in net charge-offs in each period reflects higher default rates and an increase in the average amount of loss per loan, or charge-off severity, resulting from continued economic weakness in the Midwest region and the national decline in home prices during the first nine months of 2007. The higher default rates are, in part, due to earlier than anticipated defaults on loans originated in 2006 and 2007. The increase in charge-off severity is attributable to the combined effect of the national decline in home prices and the higher unpaid principal balances of loans going to foreclosure.

Foreclosed property expense increased by \$61 million, or 117%, to \$113 million for the third quarter of 2007, from \$52 million for the third quarter of 2006. Foreclosed property expense increased by \$180 million, or 202%, to \$269 million for the first nine months of 2007, from \$89 million for the first nine months of 2006. These increases were driven by an increase in the inventory of foreclosed properties and rapidly declining sales prices on foreclosed properties, particularly in the Midwest, which accounted for the majority of the increase in our foreclosed property expense in each period. The national decline in home prices has contributed to further increases in foreclosure activity.

Any amounts due from mortgage insurance companies on primary mortgage insurance in excess of the amount of a loan charge-off and all pool mortgage insurance are recognized as a reduction to our credit losses when such amounts are collected from insurance companies. As such, a significant amount of our current year credit losses will result in a reduction in our credit losses in subsequent periods as cash collections are received from mortgage insurance companies, either as a recovery to our allowance for loan losses or reserve for guaranty losses or as a reduction of foreclosed property expense.

Home prices have declined in the first nine months of 2007, and we expect they will continue to decline for the remainder of 2007 and in 2008. As a result, we expect significant increases in our serious delinquency rates, foreclosure activity, credit losses and credit-related expenses for 2007 compared with 2006, and for 2008 compared with 2007. We provide additional detail on our credit losses and factors affecting our allowance for loan losses and reserve for guaranty losses in Risk Management Credit Risk Management Mortgage Credit Risk Management.

## **Other Non-Interest Expenses**

We recorded other non-interest expenses of \$87 million for the third quarter of 2007, compared with \$29 million for the third quarter of 2006. We recorded other non-interest expenses of \$242 million and \$40 million for the first nine



months of 2007 and 2006, respectively. The increase in expenses for each period was predominately due to higher credit enhancement expenses and a reduction in the amount of net gains recognized on the extinguishment of debt.

**Table of Contents****Federal Income Taxes**

We recorded net tax benefit amounts for the third quarter of 2007 and 2006, which produced an effective tax rate of 29% and 50%, respectively. We recorded a net tax benefit for the first nine months of 2007 that produced an effective tax rate of (45)%, compared with a net tax provision and an effective tax rate of 10% for the first nine months of 2006. The difference between our federal statutory rate of 35% and our effective tax rate is primarily due to the tax benefits we receive from our investments in LIHTC partnerships and other equity investments that help to support our affordable housing mission. In calculating our interim provision for income taxes, we use an estimate of our annual effective tax rate, which we update each quarter based on actual historical information and forward-looking estimates. The estimated annual effective tax rate may fluctuate each period based upon changes in facts and circumstances, if any, as compared to those forecasted at the beginning of the year and each interim period thereafter. The variance in our effective tax rate between periods is primarily due to the combined effect of fluctuations in our actual pre-tax income and our estimated annual taxable income, which affects the relative tax benefit we expect to receive from tax-exempt income and tax credits, and changes in the actual dollar amount of these tax benefits.

**BUSINESS SEGMENT RESULTS**

Results of our three business segments are intended to reflect each segment as if it were a stand-alone business. We describe the management reporting and allocation process used to generate our segment results in our 2006 Form 10-K in Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements Note 15, Segment Reporting. We summarize our segment results for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 in the tables below and provide a discussion of these results. We include more detail on our segment results in Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements Note 13, Segment Reporting.

**Single-Family Business**

Our Single-Family business recorded a net loss of \$186 million for the third quarter of 2007, compared with net income of \$529 million for the third quarter of 2006. Our Single-Family business recorded net income of \$305 million for the first nine months of 2007, a decrease of \$1.3 billion, or 81%, from net income of \$1.6 billion for the first nine months of 2006. Table 8 summarizes the financial results for our Single-Family business for the periods indicated. The primary source of revenue for our Single-Family business is guaranty fee income. Other sources of revenue include trust management income and technology and other fees. Expenses primarily include credit-related expenses, losses on certain guaranty contracts and administrative expenses.

**Table 8: Single-Family Business Results**

|  | For the<br>Three Months<br>Ended<br>September 30, |      | For the<br>Nine Months Ended<br>September 30, |      | Quarterly<br>Variance |   | Year-to-Date<br>Variance |   |
|--|---|------|---|------|-----------------------|---|--------------------------|---|
|  | 2007  | 2006 | 2007  | 2006 | \$                    | % | \$                       | % |

(Dollars in millions)

**Income Statement Data:**

|  |          |          |          |          |        |      |        |      |
|--|----------|----------|----------|----------|--------|------|--------|------|
| Guaranty fee income                    | \$ 1,424 | \$ 1,242 | \$ 4,015 | \$ 3,406 | \$ 182 | 15%  | \$ 609 | 18%  |
| Trust management income <sup>(1)</sup> | 138      |          | 433      |          | 138    |      | 433    |      |
| Other income <sup>(2)</sup>            | 125      | 345      | 476      | 1,030    | (220)  | (64) | (554)  | (54) |

Edgar Filing: FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION FANNIE MAE - Form 10-Q

|  |          |        |         |          |          |        |            |       |
|--|----------|--------|---------|----------|----------|--------|------------|-------|
| Losses on certain guaranty contracts         | (292)    | (101)  | (1,023) | (175)    | (191)    | (189)  | (848)      | (485) |
| Credit-related expenses <sup>(3)</sup>       | (1,195)  | (192)  | (2,040) | (450)    | (1,003)  | (522)  | (1,590)    | (353) |
| Other expenses <sup>(4)</sup>                | (484)    | (482)  | (1,397) | (1,304)  | (2)      |        | (93)       | (7)   |
| Income (loss) before federal income taxes    | (284)    | 812    | 464     | 2,507    | (1,096)  | (135)  | (2,043)    | (81)  |
| Benefit (provision) for federal income taxes | 98       | (283)  | (159)   | (871)    | 381      | 135    | 712        | 82    |
| Net income (loss)                            | \$ (186) | \$ 529 | \$ 305  | \$ 1,636 | \$ (715) | (135)% | \$ (1,331) | (81)% |

**Table of Contents**

| For the<br>Three Months Ended<br>September 30,<br>2007 |  | For the<br>Three Months Ended<br>September 30,<br>2006 |  | For the<br>Nine Months Ended<br>September 30,<br>2007 |  | For the<br>Nine Months Ended<br>September 30,<br>2006 |  | Quarterly<br>Variance<br>\$ % |  | Year-to-Date<br>Variance<br>\$ % |  |
|--|--|--|--|---|--|---|--|-------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|--|
|--|--|--|--|---|--|---|--|-------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|--|

(Dollars in millions)

**Other Key Performance****Data:**

|  |              |              |              |              |            |     |            |    |
|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|------------|-----|------------|----|
| Average<br>single-family<br>guaranty<br>book of<br>business <sup>(5)</sup> | \$ 2,432,904 | \$ 2,198,281 | \$ 2,359,126 | \$ 2,158,428 | \$ 234,623 | 11% | \$ 200,698 | 9% |
|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|------------|-----|------------|----|

- (1) Effective January 1, 2007, we began separately reporting our float income as Trust management income. Float income for 2006 is included in Other income.
- (2) Consists of net interest income, investment gains and losses, and fee and other income.
- (3) Consists of the provision for credit losses and foreclosed property expense.
- (4) Consists of administrative expenses and other expenses.
- (5) The single-family guaranty book of business consists of single-family mortgage loans held in our portfolio, single-family Fannie Mae MBS held in our portfolio, single-family Fannie Mae MBS held by third parties, and other single-family credit enhancements that we provide.

Key factors affecting the results of our Single-Family business for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, compared with the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 included the following.

Increased guaranty fee income for both the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, attributable to an increase in the average single-family guaranty book of business, coupled with an increase in the average effective single-family guaranty fee rate.

The growth in our average single-family guaranty book of business was due to strong growth in single-family Fannie Mae MBS issuances and a decrease in the liquidation rate of the single-family guaranty book of business. Total single-family Fannie Mae MBS outstanding increased to \$2.1 trillion as of September 30, 2007, from \$1.9 trillion as of December 31, 2006. Our estimated overall market share of new single-family mortgage-related securities issuances increased to approximately 41.2% for the third quarter of 2007, from approximately 24.3% for the third quarter of 2006. Our market share has increased in the first nine months of 2007, due to the shift in the product mix of mortgage originations to more traditional conforming fixed-rate loans and reduced competition from private-label issuers of mortgage-related securities. These market share estimates are based on publicly available data and exclude previously securitized mortgages.

The growth in our average effective single-family guaranty fee rate resulted from targeted pricing increases on new business due to the increase in the market pricing of mortgage credit risk, an increase in our acquisition of Alt-A mortgage loans, which generally have higher guaranty fee rates, and an increase in the

accretion of our guaranty obligation and deferred profit into income in the first nine months of 2007 as compared with the same period in 2006.

Significantly higher losses on certain guaranty contracts for both the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, due to the deterioration in home prices and overall housing market conditions during the first nine months of 2007, which led to an increase in mortgage credit risk pricing that resulted in an increase in the estimated fair value of our guaranty obligations. As a result, we recorded increased losses on certain guaranty contracts, in conjunction with our MBS issuances during the third quarter and first nine months of 2007.

A substantial increase in credit-related expenses for both the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, reflecting an increase in both the provision for credit losses and foreclosed property expense due to the continued impact of weak economic conditions in the Midwest and the effect of the national decline in home prices.

A net tax benefit for the third quarter of 2007, which produced an effective tax rate of 35%, and a net tax provision and an effective tax rate of 34% for the nine months ended September 30, 2007. In comparison,

**Table of Contents**

we recorded a net tax provision for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and an effective tax rate of 35% for each period.

**HCD Business**

Net income for our HCD business increased by \$8 million, or 9%, to \$97 million for the third quarter of 2007, from \$89 million for the third quarter of 2006. Net income for our HCD business increased by \$46 million, or 14%, to \$370 million for the first nine months of 2007, from \$324 million for the first nine months of 2006. Table 9 summarizes the financial results for our HCD business for the periods indicated. The primary sources of revenue for our HCD business are guaranty fee income and other income. Expenses primarily include administrative expenses, credit-related expenses and net operating losses associated with LIHTC investments. The losses on our LIHTC investments are offset by the tax benefits generated from these investments.

**Table 9: HCD Business Results**

|  | For the            |               | For the           |               | Quarterly |      | Year-to-Date |       |  |
|--|--------------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------|-----------|------|--------------|-------|--|
|  | Three Months Ended | September 30, | Nine Months Ended | September 30, | Variance  |      | Variance     |       |  |
|  | 2007               | 2006          | 2007              | 2006          | \$        | %    | \$           | %     |  |
| <b>(Dollars in millions)</b>                                 |                    |               |                   |               |           |      |              |       |  |
| <b>Income Statement Data:</b>                                |                    |               |                   |               |           |      |              |       |  |
| Guaranty fee income <sup>(1)</sup>                           | \$ 115             | \$ 120        | \$ 326            | \$ 381        | \$ (5)    | (4)% | \$ (55)      | (14)% |  |
| Other income <sup>(1)(2)</sup>                               | 78                 | 50            | 278               | 156           | 28        | 56   | 122          | 78    |  |
| Losses on partnership investments                            | (147)              | (197)         | (527)             | (579)         | 50        | 25   | 52           | 9     |  |
| Credit-related expenses <sup>(3)</sup>                       | (5)                | (5)           | 1                 | (7)           |           |      | 8            | 114   |  |
| Other expenses <sup>(4)</sup>                                | (245)              | (239)         | (755)             | (672)         | (6)       | (3)  | (83)         | (12)  |  |
| Loss before federal income taxes                             | (204)              | (271)         | (677)             | (721)         | 67        | 25   | 44           | 6     |  |
| Benefit for federal income taxes                             | 301                | 360           | 1,047             | 1,045         | (59)      | (16) | 2            |       |  |
| Net income   | \$ 97              | \$ 89         | \$ 370            | \$ 324        | \$ 8      | 9%   | \$ 46        | 14%   |  |
| <b>Other Key Performance Data:</b>                           |                    |               |                   |               |           |      |              |       |  |
| Average multifamily guaranty book of business <sup>(5)</sup> | \$ 131,643         | \$ 117,629    | \$ 127,061        | \$ 117,845    | \$ 14,014 | 12%  | \$ 9,216     | 8%    |  |

(1) Certain prior period amounts that previously were included as a component of Fee and other income have been reclassified to Guaranty fee income to conform to the current period presentation.

(2) Consists of trust management income and fee and other income.

- (3) Consists of the (provision) benefit for credit losses and foreclosed property income.
- (4) Consists of net interest expense, losses on certain guaranty contracts, administrative expenses, minority interest in earnings of consolidated subsidiaries and other expenses.
- (5) The multifamily guaranty book of business consists of multifamily mortgage loans held in our portfolio, multifamily Fannie Mae MBS held in our portfolio, multifamily Fannie Mae MBS held by third parties and other multifamily credit enhancements that we provide.

Key factors affecting the results of our HCD business for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, compared with the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 included the following.

Decreased guaranty fee income for both the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, resulting from a decline in the average effective multifamily guaranty fee rate, which was partially offset by an increase in the average multifamily guaranty book of business. The decline in our average effective multifamily guaranty fee rate for both the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 was due in part to the amortization and recognition of deferred profits in 2006 related to a large multifamily transaction that was terminated in December 2006. In addition, our HCD business continued to experience competitive fee pressure from private-label issuers of commercial mortgage-backed securities during the first six months of 2007. In the third quarter of 2007, this trend began to reverse as a result of the growing need for credit and liquidity in the multifamily mortgage market. These market factors

**Table of Contents**

contributed to a higher fee rate on new multifamily business and to faster growth in our multifamily guaranty book of business during the third quarter of 2007.

A decrease in losses on partnership investments for the third quarter of 2007, due to the recognition of a gain on the sale of investments in LIHTC partnerships in July 2007, as well as a lower LIHTC portfolio balance compared to the third quarter of 2006, which resulted in fewer net operating losses. Losses on partnership investments declined slightly for the first nine months of 2007 as a result of the recognition of gains on sales of investments in LIHTC partnerships in March 2007 and July 2007, which was partially offset by increased operating losses on retained LIHTC partnerships.

An increase in other income for the first nine months of 2007, due to an increase in loan prepayment and yield maintenance fees resulting from higher liquidations in the first nine months of 2007 relative to the first nine months of 2006.

An increase in other expenses for the first nine months of 2007, primarily resulting from higher net interest expense associated with an increase in segment assets.

**Capital Markets Group**

Our Capital Markets group recorded a net loss of \$1.3 billion for the third quarter of 2007, compared with a net loss of \$1.2 billion for the third quarter of 2006. Our Capital Markets group recorded net income of \$834 million for the first nine months of 2007, a decrease of \$661 million, or 44%, from net income of \$1.5 billion for the first nine months of 2006. Table 10 summarizes the financial results for our Capital Markets group for the periods indicated. The primary sources of revenue for our Capital Markets group are net interest income and fee and other income. Expenses primarily consist of administrative expenses. Derivatives fair value gains and losses, investment gains and losses, and debt extinguishment gains and losses also have a significant impact on the financial performance of our Capital Markets group.

**Table 10: Capital Markets Business Results**

|                                    | For the<br>Three Months<br>Ended<br>September 30, |          | For the<br>Nine Months Ended<br>September 30, |          | Quarterly<br>Variance |       | Year-to-Date<br>Variance |       |
|------------------------------------|---|----------|---|----------|-----------------------|-------|--------------------------|-------|
|                                    | 2007  | 2006     | 2007  | 2006     | \$                    | %     | \$                       | %     |
|                                    | (Dollars in millions)                             |          |   |          |                       |       |                          |       |
| Net interest income                | \$ 1,064  | \$ 1,352 | \$ 3,455                                      | \$ 4,879 | \$ (288)              | (21)% | \$ (1,424)               | (29)% |
| Investment gains (losses), net     | 183   | 529      | (56)  | (831)    | (346)                 | (65)  | 775                      | 93    |
| Derivatives fair value losses, net | (2,244)   | (3,381)  | (891)   | (854)    | 1,137                 | 34    | (37)                     | (4)   |
| Fee and other income (expense)     | (66)  | 117      | 66  | 219      | (183)                 | (156) | (153)                    | (70)  |
| Other expenses <sup>(1)</sup>      | (433)   | (430)    | (1,317)                                       | (1,375)  | (3)                   | (1)   | 58                       | 4     |
|                                    | (1,496)   | (1,813)  | 1,257   | 2,038    | 317                   | 17    | (781)                    | (38)  |



|  |            |            |        |          |         |      |          |       |  |
|--|------------|------------|--------|----------|---------|------|----------|-------|--|
| Income (loss)<br>before federal<br>income taxes and<br>extraordinary gains<br>(losses), net of tax<br>effect |            |            |        |          |         |      |          |       |  |
| Benefit (provision)<br>for federal income<br>taxes   | 183        | 562        | (420)  | (554)    | (379)   | (67) | 134      | 24    |  |
| Extraordinary<br>gains (losses), net<br>of tax effect  | 3          | 4          | (3)    | 11       | (1)     | (25) | (14)     | (127) |  |
| Net income (loss)  | \$ (1,310) | \$ (1,247) | \$ 834 | \$ 1,495 | \$ (63) | (5)% | \$ (661) | (44)% |  |

(1) Includes debt extinguishment gains (losses), guaranty fee expense, administrative expenses and other expenses.

Key factors affecting the results of our Capital Markets group for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, compared with the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 included the following.

A significant reduction in net interest income for both the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 due to continued compression in our net interest yield, largely attributable to the increase in our

**Table of Contents**

short-term and long-term debt costs as we continued to replace, at higher interest rates, maturing debt that we had issued at lower interest rates during the past few years.

A reduction in investment gains for the three months ended September 30, 2007, due to a decrease in unrealized gains on trading securities, a net loss on Fannie Mae portfolio securitizations and an increase in other-than-temporary impairment on investment securities. In addition, a reduction in investment losses for the nine months ended September 30, 2007, due to a lower level of other-than-temporary impairment on investment securities and an increase in gains on the sale of investment securities, which were partially offset by an increase in unrealized losses on trading securities.

We recognized \$81 million and \$84 million in other-than-temporary impairment on investment securities for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007. The impairment recognized in the third quarter of 2007 was the result of credit ratings downgrades and other credit-related events relating to certain non-mortgage investments that we had designated as available for sale, which caused the fair value of these securities to decline below their carrying value, and a deterioration in the credit quality of some of our mortgage revenue bond investments. In contrast, we recognized other-than-temporary impairment on investment securities totaling \$6 million and \$852 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, due to a decline in fair value below carrying value of certain securities that we designated for sale.

We experienced a decrease in gains on the sale of investment securities for the three months ended September 30, 2007. We experienced an increase in gains on the sale of investment securities for the nine months ended September 30, 2007, due to the recovery in value of securities we sold that we had previously written down due to other-than-temporary impairment.

We recorded a decreased level of unrealized gains on trading securities for the three months ended September 30, 2007, and an increased level of unrealized losses on trading securities for the nine months ended September 30, 2007, reflecting the decrease in the fair value of these securities due to wider mortgage-to-debt spreads.

Derivatives fair value losses of \$2.2 billion and \$3.4 billion for the third quarter of 2007 and 2006, respectively, which were largely attributable to fair value losses on our interest rate swaps due to a decline in interest rates during each period. The derivatives fair value losses recorded in the third quarter of 2007 and 2006 more than offset the cumulative derivatives fair value gains for the first six months of each year.

A shift to fee and other expense for the three months ended September 30, 2007, compared with fee and other income for the three months ended September 30, 2006. We experienced a decrease in fee and other income for the nine months ended September 30, 2007, as compared with the nine months ended September 30, 2006. The variance between each period was attributable to an increase in foreign currency exchange losses on our foreign-denominated debt and a decrease in the recognition of certain multifamily fees.

A net tax benefit for the third quarter of 2007, which produced an effective tax rate of 12%, and a net tax provision and effective tax rate of 33% for the nine months ended September 30, 2007. In comparison, we recorded a net tax benefit for the third quarter of 2006, which produced an effective tax rate of 31%, and a net tax provision and effective tax rate of 27% for the nine months ended September 30, 2006. The variance in the effective tax rate and statutory rate was primarily due to fluctuations in our pre-tax income and the relative benefit of tax-exempt income generated from our investments in mortgage revenue bonds.

**CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET ANALYSIS**

Our total assets of \$839.8 billion as of September 30, 2007 decreased by \$4.2 billion, or less than 1%, from December 31, 2006. Our total liabilities of \$799.7 billion as of September 30, 2007 decreased by \$2.6 billion, or less than 1%, from December 31, 2006. Stockholders' equity of \$39.9 billion as of September 30, 2007 reflected a decrease of \$1.6 billion, or 4%, from December 31, 2006. Following is a discussion of material changes since December 31, 2006 in the major components of our assets and liabilities.

**Table of Contents****Mortgage Investments**

Table 11 shows the composition of our mortgage portfolio by product type and the carrying value, which reflects the net impact of our purchases, sales and liquidations, of these products as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006.

**Table 11: Mortgage Portfolio Composition<sup>(1)</sup>**

|  | As of                 |                      |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|
|  | September 30,<br>2007 | December 31,<br>2006 |
|  | (Dollars in millions) |                      |
| Mortgage loans: <sup>(2)</sup>                             |                       |                      |
| Single-family:   |                       |                      |
| Government insured or guaranteed                           | \$ 23,101             | \$ 20,106            |
| Conventional:  |                       |                      |
| Long-term, fixed-rate                                      | 199,200               | 202,339              |
| Intermediate-term, fixed-rate <sup>(3)</sup>               | 48,358                | 53,438               |
| Adjustable-rate  | 51,296                | 46,820               |
| Total conventional single-family                           | 298,854               | 302,597              |
| Total single-family  | 321,955               | 322,703              |
| Multifamily:   |                       |                      |
| Government insured or guaranteed                           | 859                   | 968                  |
| Conventional:  |                       |                      |
| Long-term, fixed-rate                                      | 5,272                 | 5,098                |
| Intermediate-term, fixed-rate <sup>(3)</sup>               | 64,144                | 50,847               |
| Adjustable-rate  | 7,190                 | 3,429                |
| Total conventional multifamily                             | 76,606                | 59,374               |
| Total multifamily  | 77,465                | 60,342               |
| Total mortgage loans                                       | 399,420               | 383,045              |
| Unamortized premiums and other cost basis adjustments, net | 679                   | 943                  |
| Lower of cost or market adjustments on loans held for sale | (101)                 | (93)                 |
| Allowance for loan losses for loans held for investment    | (395)                 | (340)                |
| Total mortgage loans, net                                  | 399,603               | 383,555              |
| Mortgage-related securities:                               |                       |                      |
| Fannie Mae single-class MBS                                | 102,506               | 124,383              |
| Non-Fannie Mae single-class mortgage securities            | 28,015                | 27,980               |
| Fannie Mae structured MBS                                  | 72,784                | 75,261               |

Edgar Filing: FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION FANNIE MAE - Form 10-Q

|   |            |            |
|---|------------|------------|
| Non-Fannie Mae structured mortgage securities <sup>(4)</sup>                          | 106,217    | 97,399     |
| Mortgage revenue bonds  | 16,156     | 16,924     |
| Other mortgage-related securities   | 3,480      | 3,940      |
| Total mortgage-related securities   | 329,158    | 345,887    |
| Market value adjustments <sup>(5)</sup>   | (3,385)    | (1,261)    |
| Other-than-temporary impairments  | (619)      | (1,004)    |
| Unamortized premiums (discounts) and other cost basis adjustments, net <sup>(6)</sup> | (990)      | (1,083)    |
| Total mortgage-related securities, net  | 324,164    | 342,539    |
| Mortgage portfolio, net <sup>(7)</sup>  | \$ 723,767 | \$ 726,094 |

(1) Mortgage loans and mortgage-related securities are reported at unpaid principal balance.

**Table of Contents**

- (2) Mortgage loans include unpaid principal balance totaling \$100.0 billion and \$105.5 billion as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively, related to mortgage-related securities that were consolidated under Financial Accounting Standards Board Interpretation ( FIN ) No. 46R (revised December 2003), *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities (an interpretation of ARB No. 51)* ( FIN 46R ), and mortgage-related securities created from securitization transactions that did not meet the sales criteria under SFAS No. 140, *Accounting for Transfer and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities (a replacement of FASB Statement No. 125)* ( SFAS 140 ), which effectively resulted in mortgage-related securities being accounted for as loans.
- (3) Intermediate-term, fixed-rate consists of mortgage loans with contractual maturities at purchase equal to or less than 15 years.
- (4) As of September 30, 2007, \$76.2 billion of this amount consists of private-label mortgage-related securities backed by subprime or Alt-A mortgage loans. Refer to Risk Management Credit Risk Management Mortgage Credit Risk Management Mortgage Credit Book of Business for a description of our investments in subprime and Alt-A securities.
- (5) Includes unrealized gains and losses on mortgage-related securities and securities commitments classified as trading and available-for-sale.
- (6) Includes the impact of other-than-temporary impairments of cost basis adjustments.
- (7) Includes consolidated mortgage-related assets acquired through the assumption of debt. Also includes \$2.3 billion and \$448 million as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively, of mortgage loans and mortgage-related securities that we have pledged as collateral and for which counterparties have the right to sell or repledge.

Pursuant to a May 2006 consent order with the Office of Federal Housing Enterprise Oversight ( OFHEO ), we are currently subject to a limit on the size of our mortgage portfolio. For the first two quarters of 2007, we were restricted from increasing our net mortgage portfolio assets above \$727.75 billion. On September 19, 2007, OFHEO issued an interpretation of the consent order revising the existing portfolio cap. The mortgage portfolio cap is no longer based on the amount of our net mortgage portfolio assets, which reflects GAAP adjustments, but is now based on our average monthly mortgage portfolio balance. Our average monthly mortgage portfolio balance is based on the unpaid principal balance of our mortgage portfolio as defined and reported in our Monthly Summary, which is a statistical measure rather than an amount computed in accordance with GAAP, and excludes both consolidated mortgage-related assets acquired through the assumption of debt and the impact on the unpaid principal balances recorded on our purchases of delinquent loans from MBS trusts pursuant to Statement of Position No. 03-3, *Accounting for Certain Loans or Debt Securities Acquired in a Transfer* ( SOP 03-3 ). The mortgage portfolio cap was set at \$735 billion for the third quarter of 2007. For the fourth quarter of 2007, the portfolio cap increased by 1% to \$742.35 billion. For each subsequent quarter, the portfolio cap increases by 0.5%, not to exceed 2% per year. Except as described below, compliance with the portfolio cap will be determined by comparing the applicable portfolio cap to the cumulative average month-end portfolio balances, measured by unpaid principal balance, since July 2007 (until the cumulative average becomes and remains a 12-month moving average). For purposes of this calculation, OFHEO's interpretation sets the July 2007 month-end balance at \$725 billion. In addition, any net increase in delinquent loan balances in our portfolio after September 30, 2007 will be excluded from the month-end portfolio balance. Our average monthly mortgage portfolio balance was \$725.9 billion as of September 30, 2007, which was \$9.1 billion below our applicable portfolio limit of \$735 billion. We will be subject to the OFHEO-directed minimum capital requirement and portfolio cap until the Director of OFHEO determines that these requirements should be modified or allowed to expire, taking into account certain specified factors.



**Table of Contents**

Table 12 compares our mortgage portfolio activity for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006.

**Table 12: Mortgage Portfolio Activity<sup>(1)</sup>**

|                       | For the<br>Three Months Ended<br>September 30, |           | Variance   |      | For the<br>Nine Months Ended<br>September 30, |            | Variance    |       |
|-----------------------|--|-----------|------------|------|---|------------|-------------|-------|
|                       | 2007   | 2006      | \$         | %    | 2007  | 2006       | \$          | %     |
| (Dollars in millions) |  |           |            |      |   |            |             |       |
| Purchases             | \$ 49,574                                      | \$ 51,576 | \$ (2,002) | (4)% | \$ 134,407                                    | \$ 150,340 | \$ (15,933) | (11)% |
| Sales                 | 20,222   | 21,415    | (1,193)    | (6)  | 45,301  | 46,251     | (950)       | (2)   |
| Liquidations          | 28,013   | 35,528    | (7,515)    | (21) | 90,007  | 106,110    | (16,103)    | (15)  |

(1) The amounts provided represent the unpaid principal balances. These unpaid principal balance amounts, which represent statistical measures of business activity, do not reflect certain GAAP adjustments, including market valuation adjustments, allowance for loan losses, impairments, unamortized premiums and discounts, and the impact of consolidation of variable interest entities.

We selectively identify and purchase mortgage assets that meet our targeted risk-adjusted return thresholds. We typically are a more active purchaser when mortgage-to-debt spreads are wider and the prices of mortgage assets are lower. We generally reduce our purchases when mortgage-to-debt spreads are narrower and prices are higher. Our level of portfolio purchases decreased during the nine months ended September 30, 2007 as compared with the same period in 2006, due to lower market volumes resulting from the reduction in mortgage origination activity and a more limited availability of mortgage assets that met our risk-adjusted return thresholds for most of the period. Our level of portfolio purchases for the third quarter of 2007 was comparable with that of the third quarter of 2006. Beginning in the third quarter of 2007, there was a significant widening of mortgage-to-debt spreads due to the reduction in liquidity and market estimates of slower prepayments. These market conditions presented more opportunities for us to purchase mortgage assets at attractive prices and spreads during the quarter. However, our ability to capitalize on these opportunities was limited by the OFHEO-directed minimum capital requirement and portfolio cap imposed by our May 2006 consent order with OFHEO.

While our levels of portfolio sales for the first nine months of 2007 were comparable to the first nine months of 2006, we experienced a decrease in sales activity during the third quarter of 2007 due to the widening of mortgage-to-debt spreads. The decrease in mortgage liquidations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 was largely attributable to the decline in home prices, which reduced the level of refinancing activity relative to the same periods in the prior year.

We continue to manage the size of our mortgage portfolio to meet the OFHEO-directed portfolio cap. In addition to the portfolio cap, our investment activities may be constrained by our regulatory capital requirements, certain operational limitations, tax classifications and our intent to hold certain temporarily impaired securities until recovery, as well as risk parameters applied to the mortgage portfolio.

**Liquid Investments**

Our liquid assets consist of non-mortgage investments, cash and cash equivalents, and funding agreements with our lenders, including advances to lenders and repurchase agreements. Our non-mortgage investments, which account for



the majority of our liquid assets, primarily consist of high-quality securities that are readily marketable or have short-term maturities, such as commercial paper. Our liquid assets, net of cash equivalents pledged as collateral, totaled approximately \$62.6 billion and \$69.4 billion as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively. Our non-mortgage investments, which are carried at fair value in our condensed consolidated balance sheets, totaled \$39.5 billion and \$47.6 billion as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively. We provide additional detail on our non-mortgage investments in Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements Note 5, Investments in Securities.

**Table of Contents**

**Trading Securities**

During 2007, we began designating an increasingly large portion of the securities we purchase as trading securities. This change in practice was principally driven by our adoption of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ( SFAS ) No. 155, *Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments, an amendment of SFAS 133 and SFAS 140* ( SFAS 155 ), which requires us to evaluate securities for embedded derivatives unless they are designated as trading securities. We increased our portfolio of trading securities during the first nine months of 2007 to approximately \$48.7 billion as of September 30, 2007, from \$11.5 billion as of December 31, 2006.

**Available-for-Sale Securities**

Although we report both our trading and available-for-sale ( AFS ) securities at fair value in our condensed consolidated balance sheets, changes in the fair value of our trading securities are reported in our earnings while changes in the fair value of our AFS securities are reported as a separate component of stockholders' equity in accumulated other comprehensive income ( AOCI ). The estimated fair value and amortized cost of our AFS securities totaled \$315.0 billion and \$318.2 billion, respectively, as of September 30, 2007, and gross unrealized gains and gross unrealized losses recorded in AOCI related to these securities totaled \$1.8 billion and \$5.0 billion, respectively. In comparison, the estimated fair value and amortized cost of our AFS securities totaled \$378.6 billion and \$379.5 billion, respectively, as of December 31, 2006, and gross unrealized gains and gross unrealized losses recorded in AOCI totaled \$2.8 billion and \$3.7 billion, respectively.

The fair value of our investment securities, which are primarily mortgage-backed securities, are affected by changes in interest rates, credit spreads and other market factors. We generally view changes in the fair value of our investment securities caused by movements in interest rates to be temporary, which is consistent with our experience. While we experienced a significant decrease in the fair value of our AFS securities at the end of the third quarter of 2007, we believe that substantially all of the decline in fair value was due to the significant widening of credit spreads during the first nine months of 2007. We have the intent and ability to hold these securities until the earlier of recovery of the unrealized loss amounts or maturity. Accordingly, we believe that it is probable that we will collect the full principal and interest due in accordance with the contractual terms of the securities, although we may experience future declines in value as a result of movements in interest rates.

**Debt Instruments**

We issue debt instruments as the primary means to fund our mortgage investments and manage our interest rate risk exposure. Table 13 shows the amount of our outstanding short-term borrowings and long-term debt as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006.

**Table of Contents****Table 13: Outstanding Debt<sup>(1)</sup>**

|  | As of<br>September 30, 2007 |  | As of<br>December 31, 2006 |   |
|--|-----------------------------|--|----------------------------|---|
|  | Outstanding                 | Weighted<br>Average<br>Interest<br>Rate<br>(Dollars in millions) | Outstanding                | Weighted<br>Average<br>Interest<br>Rate |
| Federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase | \$ 1,645                    | 5.60%  | \$ 700                     | 5.36%                                   |
| Short-term debt:   |                             |  |                            |   |
| Fixed-rate   | 152,469                     | 5.06   | 164,686                    | 5.16                                    |
| From consolidations  | 677                         | 5.35   | 1,124                      | 5.32                                    |
| Total short-term debt  | \$ 153,146                  | 5.06%  | \$ 165,810                 | 5.16%                                   |
| Long-term debt:  |                             |  |                            |   |
| Senior fixed-rate  | \$ 575,346                  | 5.20%  | \$ 576,099                 | 4.98%                                   |
| Senior floating-rate   | 15,651                      | 5.87   | 5,522                      | 5.06                                    |
| Subordinated fixed-rate  | 10,980                      | 6.13   | 12,852                     | 5.91                                    |
| From consolidations  | 6,642                       | 5.84   | 6,763                      | 5.98                                    |
| Total long-term debt <sup>(2)</sup>  | \$ 608,619                  | 5.25%  | \$ 601,236                 | 5.01%                                   |

(1) Outstanding debt amounts and weighted average interest rate reported in this table include the effect of unamortized discounts, premiums and other cost basis adjustments. The unpaid principal balance of outstanding debt, which excludes unamortized discounts, premiums and other cost basis adjustments, totaled \$770.2 billion as of September 30, 2007, compared with \$773.4 billion as of December 31, 2006.

(2) Reported amounts include a net premium and cost basis adjustments of \$12.4 billion and \$11.9 billion as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively.

Despite our portfolio limit, we have been an active issuer of both short- and long-term debt for refunding and rebalancing purposes. We present our debt activity in Table 18 in Liquidity and Capital Management Liquidity Debt Funding.

**Derivative Instruments**

We supplement our issuance of debt with interest rate-related derivatives to manage the prepayment and duration risk inherent in our mortgage investments. We present, by derivative instrument type, the estimated fair value of derivatives recorded in our condensed consolidated balance sheets and the related outstanding notional amount as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006 in Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements Note 9,

Derivative Instruments.

Table 14 provides an analysis of the change in the estimated fair value of the net derivative asset (liability) amounts, excluding mortgage commitments, recorded in our condensed consolidated balance sheets between December 31, 2006 and September 30, 2007. As indicated in Table 14, we recorded a net derivative asset of \$1.8 billion as of September 30, 2007 related to our risk management derivatives, compared with a net derivative asset of \$3.7 billion as of December 31, 2006. The related outstanding notional amounts totaled \$814.4 billion and \$745.4 billion as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively.

**Table of Contents****Table 14: Changes in Risk Management Derivative Assets (Liabilities) at Fair Value, Net<sup>(1)</sup>**

|   | <b>(Dollars in millions)</b> |
|---|------------------------------|
| Net derivative asset as of December 31, 2006 <sup>(2)</sup>                             | \$ 3,725                     |
| Effect of cash payments:  |                              |
| Fair value at inception of contracts entered into during the period <sup>(3)</sup>      | 155                          |
| Fair value at date of termination of contracts settled during the period <sup>(4)</sup> | 42                           |
| Periodic net cash contractual interest receipts   | (1,191)                      |
| Total cash receipts, net  | (994)                        |
| Income statement impact of recognized amounts:  |                              |
| Periodic net contractual interest income on interest rate swaps                         | 193                          |
| Net change in fair value during the period  | (1,113)                      |
| Derivatives fair value losses, net <sup>(5)</sup>                                       | (920)                        |
| Net derivative asset as of September 30, 2007 <sup>(2)</sup>                            | \$ 1,811                     |

(1) Excludes mortgage commitments.

(2) Represents the net of Derivative assets at fair value and Derivative liabilities at fair value recorded in our condensed consolidated balance sheets, excluding mortgage commitments.

(3) Primarily includes upfront premiums paid on option contracts.

(4) Primarily represents cash paid upon termination of derivative contracts.

(5) Reflects net derivatives fair value losses recognized in our condensed consolidated statements of income, excluding mortgage commitments.

The \$1.9 billion decrease in the fair value of the net derivative asset was largely attributable to the decrease in the aggregate net fair value of our interest rate swaps due to the decrease in swap rates between December 31, 2006 and September 30, 2007. We present, by derivative instrument type, our risk management derivative activity for the nine months ended September 30, 2007, along with the stated maturities of our derivatives outstanding as of September 30, 2007, in Table 28 in Risk Management Interest Rate Risk Management and Other Market Risks.

**SUPPLEMENTAL NON-GAAP INFORMATION FAIR VALUE BALANCE SHEETS**

Our assets and liabilities consist predominately of financial instruments. The balance sheets presented in our condensed consolidated financial statements reflect some financial assets measured and reported at fair value while other financial assets, along with most of our financial liabilities, are measured and reported at historical cost. Each of the non-GAAP supplemental consolidated fair value balance sheets presented below in Table 15 reflects all of our

assets and liabilities at estimated fair value. Estimated fair value is the amount at which an asset or liability could be exchanged between willing parties, other than in a forced or liquidation sale. The non-GAAP estimated fair value of our net assets (net of tax effect) is derived from our non-GAAP fair value balance sheet.

The non-GAAP supplemental consolidated fair value balance sheets and estimated fair value of our net assets are not defined terms within GAAP and may not be comparable to similarly titled measures reported by other companies. In addition, they are not intended as a substitute for amounts reported in our condensed consolidated financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP. However, we routinely use fair value measures to make investment decisions and to measure, monitor and manage our risk because our assets and liabilities consist predominately of financial instruments. Management, particularly our Capital Markets group, uses this information to analyze changes in our assets and liabilities from period to period and understand how the overall value of the company is changing from period to period and to measure the performance of our capital markets investment activities. Accordingly, we believe that the non-GAAP supplemental consolidated fair value balance sheets and the fair value of our net assets are useful to investors because they provide consistency in the measurement and reporting of all of our assets and liabilities. We believe that the non-GAAP supplemental consolidated fair value balance sheets and the fair value of our net assets, when used in

**Table of Contents**

conjunction with our condensed consolidated financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP, can serve as valuable incremental tools for investors to assess changes in our overall value over time relative to changes in market conditions.

**Cautionary Language Relating to Supplemental Non-GAAP Financial Measures**

In reviewing our supplemental non-GAAP consolidated fair value balance sheets, there are a number of important factors and limitations to consider. The presentation of some of the line items in our non-GAAP consolidated fair value balance sheets may differ from the presentation in our consolidated GAAP balance sheets, as we have disaggregated certain line items and aggregated certain other line items. We describe these differences in the notes to the non-GAAP consolidated fair value balance sheets. We believe this revised presentation, for purposes of analyzing our non-GAAP consolidated fair value balance sheets, provides greater transparency into the components of our balance sheet associated with our guaranty business activities and the components associated with our capital markets business activities, which is consistent with the way we manage risks and allocate revenues and expenses for segment reporting purposes.

Moreover, as discussed in *Critical Accounting Policies Fair Value of Financial Instruments*, when quoted market prices or observable market data are not available, we rely on internally developed models that may require management judgment and assumptions to estimate fair value. Differences in assumptions used in our models could result in significant changes in our estimates of fair value. In addition, the estimated fair value of our net assets is calculated as of a particular point in time based on our existing assets and liabilities and does not incorporate other factors that may have a significant impact on that value, most notably any value from future business activities in which we expect to engage. As a result, the estimated fair value of our net assets presented in our non-GAAP supplemental consolidated fair value balance sheets does not represent an estimate of our net realizable value, liquidation value or our market value as a whole. Amounts we ultimately realize from the disposition of assets or settlement of liabilities may vary significantly from the estimated fair values presented in our non-GAAP supplemental consolidated fair value balance sheets. Because temporary changes in market conditions can substantially affect the fair value of our net assets, we do not believe that short-term fluctuations in the fair value of our net assets attributable to mortgage-to-debt option-adjusted spreads ( OAS ) or changes in the fair value of our net guaranty assets are necessarily representative of the effectiveness of our investment strategy or the long-term underlying value of our business. We believe the long-term value of our business depends primarily on our ability to acquire new assets and funding at attractive prices, to effectively manage the risks of these assets and liabilities over time and to earn attractive returns on our guaranty business. However, we believe that assessing the factors that affect near-term changes in the estimated fair value of our net assets helps us evaluate our long-term value and assess whether temporary market factors have caused our net assets to become overvalued or undervalued relative to the level of risk and expected long-term fundamentals of our business.

**Table of Contents****Table 15: Non-GAAP Supplemental Consolidated Fair Value Balance Sheets**

|  | As of September 30, 2007  |  |   | As of December 31, 2006   |  |                            |
|--|---------------------------|--|---|---------------------------|--|----------------------------|
|  | GAAP<br>Carrying<br>Value | Fair<br>Value<br>Adjustment <sup>(1)</sup> | Estimated<br>Fair<br>Value<br>(Dollars in millions) | GAAP<br>Carrying<br>Value | Fair<br>Value<br>Adjustment <sup>(1)</sup> | Estimated<br>Fair<br>Value |
| <b>Assets:</b>   |                           |  |   |                           |  |                            |
| Cash and cash equivalents  | \$ 4,976                  | \$   | \$ 4,976 <sup>(2)</sup>                             | \$ 3,972                  | \$   | \$ 3,972 <sup>(2)</sup>    |
| Federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell     | 8,349                     | 2  | 8,351 <sup>(2)</sup>                                | 12,681                    |  | 12,681 <sup>(2)</sup>      |
| Trading securities   | 48,683                    |  | 48,683 <sup>(2)</sup>                               | 11,514                    |  | 11,514 <sup>(2)</sup>      |
| Available-for-sale securities  | 315,012                   |  | 315,012 <sup>(2)</sup>                              | 378,598                   |  | 378,598 <sup>(2)</sup>     |
| Mortgage loans:  |                           |  |   |                           |  |                            |
| Mortgage loans held for sale   | 5,053                     | 21   | 5,074 <sup>(3)</sup>                                | 4,868                     | (88)                                       | 4,780 <sup>(3)</sup>       |
| Mortgage loans held for investment, net of allowance for loan losses       | 394,550                   | (3,601)                                    | 390,949 <sup>(3)</sup>                              | 378,687                   | (2,821)                                    | 375,866 <sup>(3)</sup>     |
| Guaranty assets of mortgage loans held in portfolio                        |                           | 4,105                                      | 4,105 <sup>(3)(4)</sup>                             |                           | 3,669                                      | 3,669 <sup>(3)(4)</sup>    |
| Guaranty obligations of mortgage loans held in portfolio                   |                           | (5,299)                                    | (5,299) <sup>(3)(4)</sup>                           |                           | (2,831)                                    | (2,831) <sup>(3)(4)</sup>  |
| Total mortgage loans   | 399,603                   | (4,774)                                    | 394,829 <sup>(2)(3)</sup>                           | 383,555                   | (2,071)                                    | 381,484 <sup>(2)(3)</sup>  |
| Advances to lenders  | 11,738                    | (122)                                      | 11,616 <sup>(2)</sup>                               | 6,163                     | (152)                                      | 6,011 <sup>(2)</sup>       |
| Derivative assets at fair value  | 3,172                     |  | 3,172 <sup>(2)</sup>                                | 4,931                     |  | 4,931 <sup>(2)</sup>       |
| Guaranty assets and buy-ups  | 10,332                    | 4,212                                      | 14,544 <sup>(2)(4)</sup>                            | 8,523                     | 3,737                                      | 12,260 <sup>(2)(4)</sup>   |
| Total financial assets   | 801,865                   | (682)                                      | 801,183 <sup>(2)</sup>                              | 809,937                   | 1,514                                      | 811,451 <sup>(2)</sup>     |
| Master servicing assets and credit enhancements                            | 1,668                     | 1,752                                      | 3,420 <sup>(4)(5)</sup>                             | 1,624                     | 1,063                                      | 2,687 <sup>(4)(5)</sup>    |
| Other assets   | 36,250                    | 2,901                                      | 39,151 <sup>(5)(6)</sup>                            | 32,375                    | (948)                                      | 31,427 <sup>(5)(6)</sup>   |
| Total assets   | \$ 839,783                | \$ 3,971                                   | \$ 843,754  | \$ 843,936                | \$ 1,629                                   | \$ 845,565                 |
| <b>Liabilities:</b>  |                           |  |   |                           |  |                            |
| Federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase | \$ 1,645                  | \$ 2                                       | \$ 1,647 <sup>(2)</sup>                             | \$ 700                    | \$   | \$ 700 <sup>(2)</sup>      |
| Short-term debt  | 153,146                   | 199  | 153,345 <sup>(2)</sup>                              | 165,810                   | (63)                                       | 165,747 <sup>(2)</sup>     |
| Long-term debt   | 608,619                   | 10,316                                     | 618,935 <sup>(2)</sup>                              | 601,236                   | 5,358                                      | 606,594 <sup>(2)</sup>     |



Edgar Filing: FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION FANNIE MAE - Form 10-Q

|  |                  |                   |                        |                  |                 |                        |
|--|------------------|-------------------|------------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------------|
| Derivative liabilities at fair value                               | 1,336            |                   | 1,336 <sup>(2)</sup>   | 1,184            |                 | 1,184 <sup>(2)</sup>   |
| Guaranty obligations   | 14,322           | 1,771             | 16,093 <sup>(2)</sup>  | 11,145           | (2,960)         | 8,185 <sup>(2)</sup>   |
| Total financial liabilities  | 779,068          | 12,288            | 791,356 <sup>(2)</sup> | 780,075          | 2,335           | 782,410 <sup>(2)</sup> |
| Other liabilities  | 20,672           | (2,572)           | 18,100 <sup>(7)</sup>  | 22,219           | (2,101)         | 20,118 <sup>(7)</sup>  |
| Total liabilities  | 799,740          | 9,716             | 809,456                | 802,294          | 234             | 802,528                |
| Minority interests in consolidated subsidiaries                    | 121              |                   | 121                    | 136              |                 | 136                    |
| <b>Stockholders Equity:</b>  |                  |                   |                        |                  |                 |                        |
| Preferred  | 9,008            | (287)             | 8,721 <sup>(8)</sup>   | 9,108            | (90)            | 9,018 <sup>(8)</sup>   |
| Common   | 30,914           | (5,458)           | 25,456 <sup>(9)</sup>  | 32,398           | 1,485           | 33,883 <sup>(9)</sup>  |
| <b>Total stockholders equity/non-GAAP fair value of net assets</b> | <b>\$ 39,922</b> | <b>\$ (5,745)</b> | <b>\$ 34,177</b>       | <b>\$ 41,506</b> | <b>\$ 1,395</b> | <b>\$ 42,901</b>       |
| Total liabilities and stockholders equity                          | \$ 839,783       | \$ 3,971          | \$ 843,754             | \$ 843,936       | \$ 1,629        | \$ 845,565             |

**Table of Contents****Explanation and Reconciliation of Non-GAAP Measures to GAAP Measures**

- (1) Each of the amounts listed as a fair value adjustment represents the difference between the carrying value included in our GAAP condensed consolidated balance sheets and our best judgment of the estimated fair value of the listed asset or liability.
- (2) We determined the estimated fair value of these financial instruments in accordance with the fair value guidelines outlined in SFAS No. 107, *Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments* ( SFAS 107 ), as described in Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements Note 15, Fair Value of Financial Instruments. In Note 15, we also disclose the carrying value and estimated fair value of our total financial assets and total financial liabilities as well as discuss the methodologies and assumptions we use in estimating the fair value of our financial instruments.
- (3) We have separately presented the estimated fair value of Mortgage loans held for sale, Mortgage loans held for investment, net of allowance for loan losses, Guaranty assets of mortgage loans held in portfolio and Guaranty obligations of mortgage loans held in portfolio. These combined line items together represent total mortgage loans reported in our GAAP condensed consolidated balance sheets. This presentation provides transparency into the components of the fair value of our mortgage loans associated with our guaranty business activities and the components of our capital markets business activities, which is consistent with the way we manage risks and allocate revenues and expenses for segment reporting purposes. While the carrying values and estimated fair values of the individual line items may differ from the amounts presented in Note 15, the combined amounts together equal the carrying value and estimated fair value amounts of total mortgage loans in Note 15.
- (4) In our GAAP condensed consolidated balance sheets, we report the guaranty assets associated with our outstanding Fannie Mae MBS and other guaranties as a separate line item and include buy-ups, master servicing assets and credit enhancements associated with our guaranty assets in Other assets. The GAAP carrying value of our guaranty assets reflects only those guaranty arrangements entered into subsequent to our adoption of FIN No. 45, *Guarantor s Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others (an interpretation of FASB Statements No. 5, 57, and 107 and rescission of FIN No. 34)* ( FIN 45 ), on January 1, 2003. On a GAAP basis, our guaranty assets totaled \$9.4 billion and \$7.7 billion as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively. The associated buy-ups totaled \$894 million and \$831 million as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively. In our non-GAAP supplemental consolidated fair value balance sheets, we also disclose the estimated guaranty assets and obligations related to mortgage loans held in our portfolio. The aggregate estimated fair value of the guaranty asset-related components totaled \$16.8 billion as of September 30, 2007, compared with \$15.8 billion as of December 31, 2006. These components represent the sum of the following line items in this table: (i) Guaranty assets of mortgage loans held in portfolio; (ii) Guaranty obligations of mortgage loans held in portfolio, (iii) Guaranty assets and buy-ups; and (iv) Master servicing assets and credit enhancements.
- (5) The line items Master servicing assets and credit enhancements and Other assets together consist of the assets presented on the following five line items in our GAAP condensed consolidated balance sheets: (i) Accrued interest receivable; (ii) Acquired property, net; (iii) Deferred tax assets; (iv) Partnership investments; and (v) Other assets. The carrying value of these items in our GAAP condensed consolidated balance sheets together totaled \$38.8 billion and \$34.8 billion as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively. We deduct the carrying value of the buy-ups associated with our guaranty obligation, which totaled \$894 million and \$831 million as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively, from Other assets reported in our GAAP condensed consolidated balance sheets because buy-ups are a financial instrument that we combine with guaranty assets in our SFAS 107 disclosure in Note 15. We have estimated the fair value of master

servicing assets and credit enhancements based on our fair value methodologies discussed in Note 15.

- (6) With the exception of partnership investments and deferred tax assets, the GAAP carrying values of other assets generally approximate fair value. While we have included partnership investments at their carrying value in each of the non-GAAP supplemental consolidated fair value balance sheets, the fair values of these items are generally different from their GAAP carrying values, potentially materially. For example, our LIHTC partnership investments had a carrying value of \$8.0 billion and an estimated fair value of \$9.1 billion as of September 30, 2007. We assume that other deferred assets, consisting primarily of prepaid expenses, have no fair value. We adjust the GAAP-basis deferred income taxes for purposes of each of our non-GAAP supplemental consolidated fair value balance sheets to include estimated income taxes on the difference between our non-GAAP supplemental consolidated fair value balance sheets net assets, including deferred taxes from the GAAP condensed consolidated balance sheets, and our GAAP condensed consolidated balance sheets stockholders' equity. Because our adjusted deferred income taxes are a net asset in each year, the amounts are included in our non-GAAP fair value balance sheets as a component of other assets.
- (7) The line item "Other liabilities" consists of the liabilities presented on the following four line items in our GAAP condensed consolidated balance sheets: (i) Accrued interest payable; (ii) Reserve for guaranty losses; (iii) Partnership liabilities; and (iv) Other liabilities. The carrying value of these items in our GAAP condensed consolidated balance sheets together totaled \$20.7 billion and \$22.2 billion as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively. The GAAP carrying values of these other liabilities generally approximate fair value. We assume that deferred liabilities, such as deferred debt issuance costs, have no fair value.

**Table of Contents**

- (8) Preferred stockholders' equity is reflected in our non-GAAP supplemental consolidated fair value balance sheets at the estimated fair value amount.
- (9) Common stockholders' equity consists of the stockholders' equity components presented on the following five line items in our GAAP condensed consolidated balance sheets: (i) Common stock; (ii) Additional paid-in capital; (iii) Retained earnings; (iv) Accumulated other comprehensive loss; and (v) Treasury stock, at cost. Common stockholders' equity is the residual of the excess of the estimated fair value of total assets over the estimated fair value of total liabilities, after taking into consideration preferred stockholders' equity and minority interest in consolidated subsidiaries.

**Changes in Non-GAAP Estimated Fair Value of Net Assets**

Table 16 summarizes the change in the estimated fair value of our net assets for the first nine months of 2007.

**Table 16: Non-GAAP Estimated Fair Value of Net Assets (Net of Tax Effect)**

|  | <b>(Dollars in millions)</b> |         |
|--|------------------------------|---------|
| Balance as of December 31, 2006  | \$                           | 42,901  |
| Capital transactions: <sup>(1)</sup>   |                              |         |
| Common dividends, common share repurchases and issuances, net                |                              | (1,279) |
| Preferred dividends, redemptions and issuances                               |                              | (472)   |
| Capital transactions, net  |                              | (1,751) |
| Change in estimated fair value of net assets, excluding capital transactions |                              | (6,973) |
| Decrease in estimated fair value of net assets, net                          |                              | (8,724) |
| Balance as of September 30, 2007 <sup>(2)</sup>                              | \$                           | 34,177  |

(1) Represents net capital transactions, which are reflected in the condensed consolidated statements of changes in stockholders' equity.

(2) Represents estimated fair value of net assets (net of tax effect) presented in Table 15: Non-GAAP Supplemental Consolidated Fair Value Balance Sheets.

**Summary of Fair Value Results**

The estimated fair value of our net assets decreased by \$8.7 billion to \$34.2 billion as of September 30, 2007, from \$42.9 billion as of December 31, 2006. The \$8.7 billion decrease included the effect of a reduction of \$1.8 billion attributable to capital transactions, consisting primarily of payments of \$1.1 billion for the redemption of preferred stock and \$1.7 billion for dividends to holders of our common and preferred stock, which were partially offset by proceeds of \$1.0 billion from the issuance of preferred stock.

Excluding the effect of capital transactions, we experienced a \$7.0 billion decrease in the estimated fair value of our net assets for the first nine months of 2007. The primary factors affecting the fair value of our net assets for the first

nine months of 2007 included the benefit from the economic income generated by our businesses, which was more than offset by a decrease in value resulting from the decline in home prices and wider mortgage-to-debt OAS. We expect periodic fluctuations in the estimated fair value of our net assets due to our business activities, as well as due to changes in market conditions, including changes in interest rates, changes in relative spreads between our mortgage assets and debt, and changes in implied volatility. Below we provide selected market information in Table 17 and discuss how changes in market conditions have contributed to the significant decrease in the estimated fair value of our net assets.

**Table of Contents****Table 17: Selected Market Information<sup>(1)</sup>**

|  | As of                 |                      |          |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|----------|
|  | September 30,<br>2007 | December 31,<br>2006 | Change   |
| 10-year U.S. Treasury note yield   | 4.59%                 | 4.70%                | (0.11)%  |
| Implied volatility <sup>(2)</sup>  | 17.20%                | 15.70%               | 1.50%    |
| 30-year Fannie Mae MBS par coupon rate                                     | 5.97%                 | 5.79%                | 0.18%    |
| Lehman U.S. MBS Index OAS (in basis points) over LIBOR yield curve         | 21.4bp                | (2.7) bp             | 24.1bp   |
| Lehman U.S. Agency Debt Index OAS (in basis points) over LIBOR yield curve | (18.8) bp             | (13.8)bp             | (5.0) bp |

(1) Information obtained from Lehman Live, Lehman POINT and Bloomberg.

(2) Implied volatility for an interest rate swaption with a 3-year option on a 10-year final maturity.

***Estimated Impact of Changes in Market Conditions on Fair Value Results***

For the first nine months of 2007, we experienced a decrease in the fair value of our net guaranty assets, including related deferred tax assets, of \$4.5 billion. This fair value change does not include the impact of the economic earnings of the guaranty business during the period. The decline is primarily due to a substantial increase in the estimated fair value of our guaranty obligations attributable to the decline in the home prices and the market's expectation of future home price declines. This increase more than offset an increase in the fair value of our guaranty assets that resulted from growth in our guaranty book of business.

We estimate that the significant widening of mortgage-to-debt spreads during the first nine months of 2007 caused a decline of approximately \$4.5 billion to \$5.0 billion in the fair value of our net portfolio. As displayed in Table 17 above, the Lehman U.S. MBS index, which primarily includes 30-year and 15-year mortgages, reflected a significant widening of OAS during the first nine months of 2007. The OAS on securities held by us that are not in the index, such as AAA-rated 10-year commercial mortgage-backed securities and AAA-rated private-label mortgage-related securities, widened even more dramatically, resulting in an overall decrease in the fair value of our mortgage assets. Debt OAS based on the Lehman U.S. Agency Debt Index to the London Interbank Offered Rate ( LIBOR ) tightened by 5 basis points to minus 18.8 basis points as of September 30, 2007, resulting in an increase in the fair value of our debt. Our economic earnings from our portfolio investments resulted in an increase in fair value of our net assets that partially offset the decrease that resulted from the change in market conditions.

**Table of Contents****LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT****Liquidity****Debt Funding**

Our primary source of cash is proceeds from the issuance of our debt securities. As a result, we are dependent on our continuing ability to issue debt securities in the capital markets to meet our cash requirements. Table 18 summarizes our debt activity for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006.

**Table 18: Debt Activity**

|   | For the<br>Three Months Ended<br>September 30, |            | For the<br>Nine Months Ended<br>September 30, |              |
|---|--|------------|---|--------------|
|   | 2007   | 2006       | 2007  | 2006         |
|   | (Dollars in millions)                          |            |   |              |
| Issued during the period: <sup>(1)</sup>      |  |            |   |              |
| Short-term: <sup>(2)</sup>                    |  |            |   |              |
| Amount <sup>(3)</sup>                         | \$ 341,033                                     | \$ 432,575 | \$ 1,124,200                                  | \$ 1,715,094 |
| Weighted average interest rate                | 4.91%  | 5.16%      | 5.07%   | 4.78%        |
| Long-term:                                    |  |            |   |              |
| Amount <sup>(3)</sup>                         | \$ 37,462                                      | \$ 40,833  | \$ 150,753                                    | \$ 140,046   |
| Weighted average interest rate                | 5.58%  | 5.73%      | 5.57%   | 5.52%        |
| Total issued:                                 |  |            |   |              |
| Amount <sup>(3)</sup>                         | \$ 378,495                                     | \$ 473,408 | \$ 1,274,953                                  | \$ 1,855,140 |
| Weighted average interest rate                | 4.98%  | 5.21%      | 5.13%   | 4.84%        |
| Redeemed during the period: <sup>(1)(4)</sup> |  |            |   |              |
| Short-term: <sup>(2)</sup>                    |  |            |   |              |
| Amount <sup>(3)</sup>                         | \$ 351,130                                     | \$ 455,380 | \$ 1,135,352                                  | \$ 1,735,420 |
| Weighted average interest rate                | 4.97%  | 5.08%      | 5.07%   | 4.68%        |
| Long-term:                                    |  |            |   |              |
| Amount <sup>(3)</sup>                         | \$ 45,725                                      | \$ 43,339  | \$ 142,973                                    | \$ 119,899   |
| Weighted average interest rate                | 4.68%  | 4.15%      | 4.58%   | 3.73%        |
| Total redeemed:                               |  |            |   |              |
| Amount <sup>(3)</sup>                         | \$ 396,855                                     | \$ 498,719 | \$ 1,278,325                                  | \$ 1,855,319 |
| Weighted average interest rate                | 4.93%  | 5.00%      | 5.02%   | 4.62%        |

(1) Excludes debt activity resulting from consolidations and intraday loans.

(2) Includes Federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase.

(3) Represents the face amount at issuance or redemption.

(4) Represents all payments on debt, including regularly scheduled principal payments, payments at maturity, payments as the result of a call and payments for any other repurchases.

The amount of our total outstanding debt remained relatively consistent between December 31, 2006 and September 30, 2007, as we managed the size of our mortgage portfolio to meet the OFHEO-directed portfolio cap. In addition, the mix between our outstanding short-term and long-term debt remained relatively consistent. Despite a lack of portfolio growth for the first nine months of 2007, we remained an active participant in the international capital markets to meet our consistent need for funding and rebalancing our portfolio. Changes in the amount of our debt issuances and redemptions between periods are influenced by investor demand for our debt, changes in interest rates, and the maturity of existing debt. For information on our outstanding short-term and long-term debt as of September 30, 2007, refer to Consolidated Balance Sheet Analysis Debt Instruments.



**Table of Contents**

Our sources of liquidity remained adequate to meet both our short-term and long-term funding needs during the first nine months of 2007, and we anticipate that they will remain adequate. Despite the overall reduction in liquidity and funding sources in the mortgage credit market in recent months, our ability to issue debt at rates we consider attractive has not been impaired. In addition, we issued \$1.375 billion in preferred stock in September and October 2007.

***Liquidity Contingency Plan***

We maintain a liquidity contingency plan in the event that factors, whether internal or external to our business, temporarily compromise our ability to access capital through normal channels. Our contingency plan provides for alternative sources of liquidity that would allow us to meet all of our cash obligations for 90 days without relying upon the issuance of unsecured debt. In the event of a liquidity crisis in which our access to the unsecured debt funding market becomes impaired, our primary source of liquidity is the sale or pledge of mortgage assets in our unencumbered mortgage portfolio. Another source of liquidity in the event of a liquidity crisis is the sale of assets in our liquid investment portfolio.

Pursuant to our September 1, 2005 agreement with OFHEO, we periodically test our liquidity contingency plan. We believe we were in compliance with our agreement with OFHEO to maintain and test our liquidity contingency plan as of March 31, 2007, June 30, 2007 and September 30, 2007.

***Credit Ratings and Risk Ratings***

Our ability to borrow at attractive rates is highly dependent upon our credit ratings. Our senior unsecured debt (both long-term and short-term), benchmark subordinated debt and preferred stock are rated and continuously monitored by Standard & Poor's, a division of The McGraw Hill Companies (Standard & Poor's), Moody's Investors Service (Moody's), and Fitch Ratings (Fitch), each of which is a nationally recognized statistical rating organization. Table 19 below sets forth the credit ratings issued by each of these rating agencies of our long-term and short-term senior unsecured debt, qualifying benchmark subordinated debt and preferred stock as of November 8, 2007. To date, we have not experienced any limitations in our ability to access the capital markets due to a credit ratings downgrade. Table 19 also sets forth our risk to the government rating and our Bank Financial Strength Rating as of November 8, 2007.

**Table 19: Fannie Mae Debt Credit Ratings and Risk Ratings**

|                   | Senior<br>Long-Term<br>Unsecured<br>Debt | Senior<br>Short-Term<br>Unsecured<br>Debt | Qualifying<br>Benchmark<br>Subordinated<br>Debt | Preferred<br>Stock | Risk to<br>the<br>Government <sup>(1)</sup> | Bank<br>Financial<br>Strength <sup>(1)</sup> |
|-------------------|--|---|---|--------------------|---|--|
| Standard & Poor's | AAA                                      | A-1+                                      | AA-(2)  | AA-(2)             | AA-(2)                                      |  |
| Moody's           | Aaa                                      | P-1                                       | Aa2   | Aa3                |   | B+   |
| Fitch             | AAA                                      | F1+                                       | AA-   | AA-                |   |  |

(1) Pursuant to our September 1, 2005 agreement with OFHEO, we agreed to seek to obtain a rating, which will be continuously monitored by at least one nationally recognized statistical rating organization, that assesses, among other things, the independent financial strength or risk to the government of Fannie Mae operating under its authorizing legislation but without assuming a cash infusion or extraordinary support of the government in the

event of a financial crisis.

- (2) Negative outlook.

***Cash Flows***

Our primary sources of funding include proceeds from our issuance of our debt securities, principal and interest payments on mortgage assets, and guaranty fees. Our primary uses of funds include the purchase of mortgage assets, repayment of debt and interest payments, payment of dividends, administrative expenses and taxes.

*Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007.* Our cash and cash equivalents of \$4.5 billion as of September 30, 2007 increased by \$1.2 billion from December 31, 2006. We generated cash flows from operating activities of

**Table of Contents**

\$16.9 billion, largely attributable to net cash provided from trading securities. We also generated cash flows from investing activities of \$746 million, attributable to funds provided from a reduction in federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell. These cash flows were partially offset by net cash used in financing activities of \$16.5 billion, as amounts paid to extinguish debt exceeded the proceeds from the issuance of debt.

*Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006.* Our cash and cash equivalents of \$3.1 billion as of September 30, 2006 increased by \$259 million from December 31, 2005. We generated cash flows from operating activities of \$25.6 billion, largely attributable to net cash provided from trading securities. These cash flows were partially offset by net cash used in financing activities of \$20.5 billion, as amounts paid to extinguish debt exceeded the proceeds from the issuance of debt, and net cash used in investing activities of \$4.9 billion, attributable to an increase in federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell.

Because our cash flows are complex and interrelated and bear little relationship to our net earnings and net assets, we do not rely on this traditional cash flow analysis to evaluate our liquidity position. Instead, we rely on our liquidity contingency plan described above to ensure that we preserve stable, reliable and cost effective sources of cash to meet all obligations from normal operations and maintain sufficient excess liquidity to withstand both a severe and moderate liquidity stress environment.

**Capital Management**

***Regulatory Capital***

Table 20 displays our regulatory capital classification measures as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, with the exception of our statutory risk-based capital measure and related total capital measure, which have been provided as of June 30, 2007 (the most recent date for which our statutory risk-based capital measure is available) and December 31, 2006. The regulatory capital classification measures as of September 30, 2007 provided in the table below represent amounts that will be resubmitted to OFHEO for its certification and are subject to its review and approval. They do not represent OFHEO's announced capital classification measures.

**Table of Contents****Table 20: Regulatory Capital Measures**

|   | As of                                |                      |
|---|--------------------------------------|----------------------|
|   | September 30,<br>2007 <sup>(1)</sup> | December 31,<br>2006 |
|   | (Dollars in millions)                |                      |
| Core capital <sup>(2)</sup>   | \$ 41,713                            | \$ 41,950            |
| Statutory minimum capital <sup>(3)</sup>  | 30,303                               | 29,359               |
| Surplus of core capital over required minimum capital                                 | \$ 11,410                            | \$ 12,591            |
| Surplus of core capital percentage over required minimum capital <sup>(4)</sup>       | 37.7%                                | 42.9%                |
| Core capital <sup>(2)</sup>   | \$ 41,713                            | \$ 41,950            |
| OFHEO-directed minimum capital <sup>(5)</sup>   | 39,393                               | 38,166               |
| Surplus of core capital over OFHEO-directed minimum capital                           | \$ 2,319                             | \$ 3,784             |
| Surplus of core capital percentage over OFHEO-directed minimum capital <sup>(6)</sup> | 5.9%                                 | 9.9%                 |
| Total capital <sup>(7)</sup>  | \$ 43,798                            | \$ 42,703            |
| Statutory risk-based capital <sup>(8)</sup>   | 10,225                               | 26,870               |
| Surplus of total capital over required risk-based capital                             | \$ 33,573                            | \$ 15,833            |
| Surplus of total capital percentage over required risk-based capital <sup>(9)</sup>   | 328.3%                               | 58.9%                |
| Core capital <sup>(2)</sup>   | \$ 41,713                            | \$ 41,950            |
| Statutory critical capital <sup>(10)</sup>  | 15,682                               | 15,149               |
| Surplus of core capital over required critical capital                                | \$ 26,031                            | \$ 26,801            |
| Surplus of core capital percentage over required critical capital <sup>(11)</sup>     | 166.0%                               | 176.9%               |

(1) Statutory risk-based capital and total capital measures have been provided as of June 30, 2007 (the most recent date for which the statutory risk-based capital measure is available) and December 31, 2006. The regulatory capital classification measures as of September 30, 2007 provided in this table represent estimates that will be resubmitted to OFHEO for its certification.

(2) The sum of (a) the stated value of our outstanding common stock (common stock less treasury stock); (b) the stated value of our outstanding non-cumulative perpetual preferred stock; (c) our paid-in capital; and (d) our retained earnings. Core capital excludes accumulated other comprehensive income (loss).

(3) Generally, the sum of (a) 2.50% of on-balance sheet assets; (b) 0.45% of the unpaid principal balance of outstanding Fannie Mae MBS held by third parties; and (c) up to 0.45% of other off-balance sheet obligations, which may be adjusted by the Director of OFHEO under certain circumstances (See 12 CFR 1750.4 for

existing adjustments made by the Director of OFHEO).

- (4) Defined as the surplus of core capital over statutory minimum capital expressed as a percentage of statutory minimum capital.
- (5) Defined as a 30% surplus over the statutory minimum capital requirement. We are currently required to maintain this surplus under the OFHEO consent order until such time as the Director of OFHEO determines that the requirement should be modified or allowed to expire, taking into account certain specified factors.
- (6) Defined as the surplus of core capital over OFHEO-directed minimum capital expressed as a percentage of OFHEO-directed minimum capital.
- (7) The sum of (a) core capital and (b) the total allowance for loan losses and reserve for guaranty losses, less (c) the specific loss allowance (that is, the allowance required on individually impaired loans). The specific loss allowance totaled \$51 million as of June 30, 2007 and \$106 million as of December 31, 2006.
- (8) Defined as the amount of total capital required to be held to absorb projected losses flowing from future adverse interest rate and credit risk conditions specified by statute (see 12 CFR 1750.13 for conditions), plus 30% mandated by statute to cover management and operations risk.
- (9) Defined as the surplus of total capital over statutory risk-based capital expressed as a percentage of statutory risk-based capital.

**Table of Contents**

- (10) Generally, the sum of (a) 1.25% of on-balance sheet assets; (b) 0.25% of the unpaid principal balance of outstanding Fannie Mae MBS held by third parties and (c) up to 0.25% of other off-balance sheet obligations, which may be adjusted by the Director of OFHEO under certain circumstances.
- (11) Defined as the surplus of core capital over statutory critical capital expressed as a percentage of statutory critical capital.

Based on financial estimates that we provided to OFHEO, on September 27, 2007, OFHEO announced that we were classified as adequately capitalized as of June 30, 2007 (the most recent date for which results have been published by OFHEO).

In September 2007 we issued \$1.0 billion in preferred stock, which was intended to partially replace the \$1.1 billion in preferred stock we redeemed in February and April 2007. We issued an additional \$375 million in preferred stock in October 2007. Our core capital and our capital surplus have decreased since September 30, 2007, due to market trends that have adversely affected our earnings. If these market trends continue to negatively affect our net income, they will continue to cause a reduction in our retained earnings and, as a result, in the amount of our core capital. We may be required to take actions, or refrain from taking actions, in order to maintain or increase our statutory and OFHEO-directed minimum capital surplus. Like the portfolio cap, our need to maintain capital at specific levels limits our ability to increase our portfolio investments. In order to maintain our regulatory capital at required levels, we may forgo purchase opportunities or sell assets. We also may issue additional preferred securities. Refer to Item 1A Risk Factors for a more detailed discussion of how continued declines in our earnings could negatively impact our regulatory capital position.

The significant reduction in our statutory risk-based capital requirement from December 31, 2006 to June 30, 2007 resulted from risk management actions that served to lower our investment portfolio's exposure to extreme interest rate movements. On October 11, 2007, OFHEO announced a proposed rule that would change the mortgage loan loss severity formulas used in the regulatory risk-based capital stress test. If adopted, the proposed changes would increase our risk-based capital requirement. Using data from the third and fourth quarters of 2006, OFHEO's recalculation of the risk-based capital requirement for those periods using the proposed formulas showed that our total capital base would continue to exceed all risk-based capital requirements.

***Capital Activity***

***Common Stock***

Shares of common stock outstanding, net of shares held in treasury, totaled approximately 974 million, 973 million, 973 million and 972 million as of September 30, 2007, June 30, 2007, March 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively. We issued 0.3 million, 0.3 million and 1.0 million shares of common stock from treasury for our employee benefit plans during the quarters ended September 30, 2007, June 30, 2007 and March 31, 2007, respectively. We did not issue any common stock during the first three quarters of 2007 other than in accordance with these plans.

From April 2005 to November 2007, we prohibited all of our employees from engaging in purchases or sales of our securities except in limited circumstances relating to financial hardship. In May 2006, we implemented a stock repurchase program that authorized the repurchase of up to \$100 million of our shares from our non-officer employees, who are employees below the level of vice president. From May 31, 2006 to September 30, 2007, we purchased an aggregate of approximately 122,000 shares of common stock from our employees under the program. In November 2007, the prohibition on employee sales and purchases of our securities was lifted and the employee stock

repurchase program was terminated.

*Non-Cumulative Preferred Stock*

On February 28, 2007, we redeemed all of the shares of our Variable Rate Non-Cumulative Preferred Stock, Series J, with an aggregate stated value of \$700 million.

## **Table of Contents**

On April 2, 2007, we redeemed all of the shares of our Variable Rate Non-Cumulative Preferred Stock, Series K, with an aggregate stated value of \$400 million.

On September 28, 2007, we issued 40 million shares of Variable Rate Non-Cumulative Preferred Stock, Series P, with an aggregate stated value of \$1.0 billion. The Series P Preferred Stock has a variable dividend rate that will reset quarterly on each March 31, June 30, September 30 and December 31, beginning December 31, 2007, at a per annum rate equal to the greater of (i) 3-Month LIBOR plus 0.75% and (ii) 4.50%. The Series P Preferred Stock may be redeemed, at our option, on or after September 30, 2012. The net proceeds from the issuance of Series P Preferred Stock were added to our working capital and will be used for general corporate purposes.

On October 4, 2007, we issued 15 million shares of 6.75% Non-Cumulative Preferred Stock, Series Q, with an aggregate stated value of \$375 million. The Series Q Preferred Stock has a dividend rate of 6.75% per annum. The Series Q Preferred Stock may be redeemed, at our option, on or after September 30, 2010. The net proceeds from the issuance of Series Q Preferred Stock were added to our working capital and will be used for general corporate purposes.

### *Subordinated Debt*

Pursuant to our September 1, 2005 agreement with OFHEO, we agreed to issue qualifying subordinated debt, rated by at least two nationally recognized statistical rating organizations, in a quantity such that the sum of our total capital plus the outstanding balance of our qualifying subordinated debt equals or exceeds the sum of (1) outstanding Fannie Mae MBS held by third parties times 0.45% and (2) total on-balance sheet assets times 4%, which we refer to as our subordinated debt requirement.

As of March 31, 2007, June 30, 2007 and September 30, 2007, we were in compliance with our subordinated debt requirement. As of March 31, 2007, our total capital plus the outstanding balance of our qualifying subordinated debt was approximately \$49.8 billion and exceeded our subordinated debt requirement by \$7.9 billion. As of June 30, 2007, our total capital plus the outstanding balance of our qualifying subordinated debt was approximately \$51.0 billion and exceeded our subordinated debt requirement by \$8.1 billion. Our total capital plus the outstanding balance of our qualifying subordinated debt was approximately \$49.5 billion and exceeded our subordinated debt requirement by \$6.9 billion as of September 30, 2007.

We have not issued any subordinated debt securities since 2003. We had qualifying subordinated debt totaling \$2.0 billion, based on redemption value, that matured in January 2007. As of the date of this filing, we have \$9.0 billion in outstanding qualifying subordinated debt.

### *Dividends*

We paid common stock dividends of \$0.40 per share for the first quarter of 2007 and \$0.50 per share for the second and third quarters of 2007. On October 16, 2007, our Board of Directors declared common stock dividends of \$0.50 per share for the fourth quarter of 2007, payable on November 26, 2007.

We paid preferred stock dividends of \$138 million, \$121 million and \$115 million in the first, second and third quarter of 2007, respectively. On October 16, 2007, our Board of Directors declared total preferred stock dividends of \$137 million for the fourth quarter of 2007, payable on December 31, 2007.

## **OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS AND VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES**



We enter into certain business arrangements that are not recorded in our condensed consolidated balance sheets or may be recorded in amounts that are different from the full contract or notional amount of the transaction. These arrangements are commonly referred to as off-balance sheet arrangements, and expose us to potential losses in excess of the amounts recorded in the condensed consolidated balance sheets. The most significant off-balance sheet arrangements that we engage in result from the mortgage loan securitization and resecuritization transactions that we routinely enter into as part of the normal course of our business operations. We also hold limited partnership interests in LIHTC partnerships that are established to finance the

**Table of Contents**

construction or development of low-income affordable multifamily housing and other limited partnerships. LIHTC and other limited partnerships may involve off-balance sheet entities, some of which are consolidated on our balance sheets and some of which are accounted for under the equity method.

**Fannie Mae MBS Transactions and Other Financial Guaranties**

Table 21 presents a summary of our on- and off-balance sheet Fannie Mae MBS and other guaranties as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006.

**Table 21: On- and Off-Balance Sheet MBS and Other Guaranty Arrangements**

|  | As of                 |                      |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|
|  | September 30,<br>2007 | December 31,<br>2006 |
|  | (Dollars in millions) |                      |
| Fannie Mae MBS and other guaranties outstanding <sup>(1)</sup> | \$ 2,214,180          | \$ 1,996,941         |
| Less: Fannie Mae MBS held in portfolio <sup>(2)</sup>          | 175,290               | 199,644              |
| Fannie Mae MBS held by third parties and other guaranties      | \$ 2,038,890          | \$ 1,797,297         |

(1) Includes \$35.5 billion and \$19.7 billion in unpaid principal balance of other guaranties as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively. Excludes \$99.1 billion and \$105.6 billion in unpaid principal balance of consolidated Fannie Mae MBS as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively.

(2) Amounts represent unpaid principal balance and are recorded in Investments in securities in our condensed consolidated balance sheets.

**LIHTC Partnership Interests**

As of September 30, 2007, we had a recorded investment in LIHTC partnerships of \$8.0 billion, compared with \$8.8 billion as of December 31, 2006. In March 2007, we sold a portfolio of investments in LIHTC partnerships reflecting approximately \$676 million in future LIHTC tax credits and the release of future capital obligations relating to the investments. In July 2007, we sold a portfolio of investments in LIHTC partnerships reflecting approximately \$254 million in future LIHTC tax credits and the release of future capital obligations relating to the investments. For additional information regarding our holdings in off-balance sheet limited partnerships, refer to Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements Note 2, Consolidations.

**RISK MANAGEMENT****Credit Risk Management*****Mortgage Credit Risk Management***

Mortgage credit risk is the risk that a borrower will fail to make required mortgage payments. We are exposed to credit risk on our mortgage credit book of business because we either hold the mortgage assets or have issued a

guaranty in connection with the creation of Fannie Mae MBS backed by mortgage assets.

*Mortgage Credit Book of Business*

Table 22 displays the composition of our entire mortgage credit book of business, which consists of both on- and off-balance sheet arrangements, as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006. Our single-family mortgage credit book of business accounted for approximately 94% of our entire mortgage credit book of business as of both September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006.

**Table of Contents****Table 22: Composition of Mortgage Credit Book of Business**

|   | As of September 30, 2007     |                           |                             |                           |                             |                           |
|---|------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
|   | Single-Family <sup>(1)</sup> |                           | Multifamily <sup>(2)</sup>  |                           | Total                       |                           |
|   | Conventional <sup>(3)</sup>  | Government <sup>(4)</sup> | Conventional <sup>(3)</sup> | Government <sup>(4)</sup> | Conventional <sup>(3)</sup> | Government <sup>(4)</sup> |
|   | (Dollars in millions)        |                           |                             |                           |                             |                           |
| Mortgage portfolio: <sup>(5)</sup>                  |                              |                           |                             |                           |                             |                           |
| Mortgage loans <sup>(6)</sup>                       | \$ 298,854                   | \$ 23,101                 | \$ 76,606                   | \$ 859                    | \$ 375,460                  | \$ 23,960                 |
| Fannie Mae MBS                                      | 172,518                      | 2,246                     | 312                         | 214                       | 172,830                     | 2,460                     |
| Agency mortgage-related securities <sup>(7)</sup>   | 30,898                       | 1,723                     |                             | 50                        | 30,898                      | 1,773                     |
| Mortgage revenue bonds                              | 3,186                        | 2,896                     | 7,616                       | 2,458                     | 10,802                      | 5,354                     |
| Other mortgage-related securities <sup>(8)</sup>    | 80,692                       | 968                       | 23,350                      | 31                        | 104,042                     | 999                       |
| Total mortgage portfolio                            | 586,148                      | 30,934                    | 107,884                     | 3,612                     | 694,032                     | 34,546                    |
| Fannie Mae MBS held by third parties <sup>(9)</sup> | 1,948,986                    | 15,467                    | 37,780                      | 1,149                     | 1,986,766                   | 16,616                    |
| Other credit guaranties <sup>(10)</sup>             | 18,638                       |                           | 16,810                      | 60                        | 35,448                      | 60                        |
| Mortgage credit book of business                    | \$ 2,553,772                 | \$ 46,401                 | \$ 162,474                  | \$ 4,821                  | \$ 2,716,246                | \$ 51,222                 |
| Guaranty book of business <sup>(11)</sup>           | \$ 2,438,996                 | \$ 40,814                 | \$ 131,508                  | \$ 2,282                  | \$ 2,570,504                | \$ 43,096                 |

|   | As of December 31, 2006      |                           |                             |                           |                             |                           |
|---|------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
|   | Single-Family <sup>(1)</sup> |                           | Multifamily <sup>(2)</sup>  |                           | Total                       |                           |
|   | Conventional <sup>(3)</sup>  | Government <sup>(4)</sup> | Conventional <sup>(3)</sup> | Government <sup>(4)</sup> | Conventional <sup>(3)</sup> | Government <sup>(4)</sup> |
|   | (Dollars in millions)        |                           |                             |                           |                             |                           |
| Mortgage portfolio: <sup>(5)</sup>                  |                              |                           |                             |                           |                             |                           |
| Mortgage loans <sup>(6)</sup>                       | \$ 302,597                   | \$ 20,106                 | \$ 59,374                   | \$ 968                    | \$ 361,971                  | \$ 21,074                 |
| Fannie Mae MBS                                      | 198,335                      | 709                       | 277                         | 323                       | 198,612                     | 1,032                     |
| Agency mortgage-related securities <sup>(7)</sup>   | 29,987                       | 1,995                     |                             | 56                        | 29,987                      | 2,051                     |
| Mortgage revenue bonds                              | 3,394                        | 3,284                     | 7,897                       | 2,349                     | 11,291                      | 5,633                     |
| Other mortgage-related securities <sup>(8)</sup>    | 85,339                       | 2,084                     | 9,681                       | 177                       | 95,020                      | 2,261                     |
| Total mortgage portfolio                            | 619,652                      | 28,178                    | 77,229                      | 3,873                     | 696,881                     | 32,051                    |
| Fannie Mae MBS held by third parties <sup>(9)</sup> | 1,714,815                    | 19,069                    | 42,184                      | 1,482                     | 1,756,999                   | 20,551                    |
| Other credit guaranties <sup>(10)</sup>             | 3,049                        |                           | 16,602                      | 96                        | 19,651                      | 96                        |

|  |              |           |            |          |              |           |
|--|--------------|-----------|------------|----------|--------------|-----------|
| Mortgage credit book of business         | \$ 2,337,516 | \$ 47,247 | \$ 136,015 | \$ 5,451 | \$ 2,473,531 | \$ 52,698 |
| Guaranty book of business <sup>(1)</sup> | \$ 2,218,796 | \$ 39,884 | \$ 118,437 | \$ 2,869 | \$ 2,337,233 | \$ 42,753 |

- (1) The amounts reported reflect our total single-family mortgage credit book of business. Of these amounts, the portion of our conventional single-family mortgage credit book of business for which we have access to detailed loan-level information represented approximately 95% of our total conventional single-family mortgage credit book of business as of both September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006. Unless otherwise noted, the credit statistics we provide in the Mortgage Credit Risk Management discussion that follows relate only to this specific portion of our conventional single-family mortgage credit book of business. The remaining portion of our single-family mortgage credit book of business consists of non-Fannie Mae mortgage-related securities backed by single-family mortgage loans, credit enhancements that we provide on single-family mortgage assets and all other single-family government related loans and securities. Non-Fannie Mae mortgage-related securities held in our portfolio include Freddie Mac securities, Ginnie Mae securities, private-label mortgage-related securities, Fannie Mae MBS backed by private-label mortgage-

**Table of Contents**

related securities, and housing-related municipal revenue bonds. Our Capital Markets group prices and manages credit risk related to this specific portion of our single-family mortgage credit book of business. We may not have access to detailed loan-level data on these particular mortgage-related assets and therefore may not manage the credit performance of individual loans. However, a substantial majority of these securities benefit from significant forms of credit enhancement, including guaranties from Ginnie Mae or Freddie Mac, insurance policies, structured subordination and similar sources of credit protection. All non-Fannie Mae agency securities held in our portfolio as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006 were rated AAA/Aaa by Standard & Poor's and Moody's. Over 90% of non-agency mortgage-related securities held in our portfolio as of both September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006 were rated AAA/Aaa by Standard & Poor's and Moody's.

- (2) The amounts reported reflect our total multifamily mortgage credit book of business. Of these amounts, the portion of our multifamily mortgage credit book of business for which we have access to detailed loan-level information represented approximately 78% and 84% of our total multifamily mortgage credit book as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively. Unless otherwise noted, the credit statistics we provide in the Mortgage Credit Risk Management discussion that follows relate only to this specific portion of our multifamily mortgage credit book of business.
- (3) Refers to mortgage loans and mortgage-related securities that are not guaranteed or insured by the U.S. government or any of its agencies.
- (4) Refers to mortgage loans and mortgage-related securities guaranteed or insured by the U.S. government or one of its agencies.
- (5) Mortgage portfolio data is reported based on unpaid principal balance.
- (6) Includes unpaid principal totaling \$100.0 billion and \$105.5 billion as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively, related to mortgage-related securities that were consolidated under FIN 46R and mortgage-related securities created from securitization transactions that did not meet the sales criteria under SFAS 140, which effectively resulted in mortgage-related securities being accounted for as loans.
- (7) Consists of mortgage-related securities issued by Freddie Mac and Ginnie Mae.
- (8) Consists of mortgage-related securities issued by entities other than Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac or Ginnie Mae.
- (9) Consists of Fannie Mae MBS held by third-party investors. The principal balance of resecuritized Fannie Mae MBS is included only once in the reported amount.
- (10) Includes single-family and multifamily credit enhancements that we have provided and that are not otherwise reflected in the table.
- (11) Consists of mortgage loans held in our portfolio, Fannie Mae MBS held in our portfolio, Fannie Mae MBS held by third parties and other credit guaranties. Excludes agency mortgage-related securities, mortgage revenue bonds and other mortgage-related securities held in our portfolio for which we do not provide a guaranty.

**Table of Contents****Single-Family**

Table 23 provides information on the product distribution of our conventional single-family business volumes for the three months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, and our conventional single-family mortgage credit book of business as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006.

**Table 23: Product Distribution of Conventional Single-Family Business Volume and Mortgage Credit Book of Business<sup>(1)</sup>**

|                       | <b>Percent of<br/>Business Volume<sup>(2)</sup><br/>For the<br/>Three Months<br/>Ended<br/>September 30,</b> |             | <b>Percent of<br/>Book of Business<sup>(3)</sup><br/>As of<br/>September 30, December 31,</b> |             |
|-----------------------|--|-------------|---|-------------|
|                       | <b>2007</b>  | <b>2006</b> | <b>2007</b>   | <b>2006</b> |
| Fixed-rate:           |  |             |   |             |
| Long-term             | 74%  | 69%         | 70%   | 68%         |
| Intermediate-term     | 5  | 7           | 15  | 18          |
| Interest-only         | 9  | 6           | 3   | 1           |
| Total fixed-rate      | 88   | 82          | 88  | 87          |
| Adjustable-rate:      |  |             |   |             |
| Interest-only         | 7  | 9           | 5   | 4           |
| Negative-amortizing   | 1  | 4           | 1   | 2           |
| Other ARMs            | 4  | 5           | 6   | 7           |
| Total adjustable-rate | 12   | 18          | 12  | 13          |
| Total                 | 100%   | 100%        | 100%  | 100%        |

- (1) As noted in Table 22 above, we generally have access to detailed loan-level statistics only on conventional single-family mortgage loans held in our portfolio and backing Fannie Mae MBS (whether held in our portfolio or held by third parties).
- (2) Percentages calculated based on unpaid principal balance of loans at time of acquisition. Single-family business volume refers to both single-family mortgage loans we purchase for our mortgage portfolio and single-family mortgage loans we securitize into Fannie Mae MBS.
- (3) Percentages calculated based on unpaid principal balance of loans as of the end of each period.

*Fixed-Rate and ARM Loans:* As presented in Table 23 above, our conventional single-family mortgage credit book of business continues to consist mostly of long-term fixed-rate mortgage loans. In addition, a greater proportion of our

conventional single-family business volumes consisted of fixed-rate loans for the third quarter of 2007, as compared with the third quarter of 2006.

*Alt-A Loans:* An Alt-A mortgage loan generally refers to a loan that can be underwritten with lower or alternative documentation than a full documentation mortgage loan but that may also include other alternative product features. Alt-A mortgage loans generally have a higher risk of default than non-Alt-A mortgage loans. In reporting our Alt-A exposure, we have classified mortgage loans as Alt-A if the lenders that deliver the mortgage loans to us have classified the loans as Alt-A based on documentation or other product features. As of September 30, 2007, we estimate that approximately 12% of our total single-family mortgage credit book of business consisted of Alt-A mortgage loans or Fannie Mae MBS backed by Alt-A mortgage loans. During 2007, we restricted our eligibility standards for Alt-A mortgage loans eligible for delivery to us. Our acquisitions of Alt-A mortgage loans have a combination of credit enhancement and pricing that we believe adequately reflects the higher credit risk posed by these mortgages. We will determine the timing and level of our acquisition of Alt-A mortgage loans in the future based on our assessment of the availability and cost of credit enhancement with adequate levels of pricing to compensate for the risks.



**Table of Contents**

*Subprime Loans:* A subprime mortgage loan generally refers to a mortgage loan made to a borrower with a weaker credit profile than that of a prime borrower. As a result of the weaker credit profile, subprime borrowers have a higher likelihood of default than prime borrowers. Subprime mortgage loans are typically originated by lenders specializing in this type of business or by subprime divisions of large lenders, using processes unique to subprime loans. In reporting our subprime exposure, we have classified mortgage loans as subprime if the mortgage loans are originated by one of these specialty lenders or a subprime division of a large lender. Approximately 0.3% of our total single-family mortgage credit book of business as of September 30, 2007 consisted of subprime mortgage loans or Fannie Mae MBS backed by subprime mortgage loans. Less than 1% of our single-family business volume for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 consisted of subprime mortgage loans or Fannie Mae MBS backed by subprime mortgage loans. Our acquisitions of subprime mortgage loans have a combination of credit enhancement and pricing that we believe adequately reflects the higher credit risk posed by these mortgages. In order to respond to the current subprime mortgage crisis and provide liquidity to the market, we intend to increase our purchase of subprime mortgages. We will determine the timing and level of our acquisition of subprime mortgage loans in the future based on our assessment of the availability and cost of credit enhancement with adequate levels of pricing to compensate for the risks.

*Alt-A and Subprime Securities:* We held approximately \$106.2 billion in non-Fannie Mae structured mortgage-related securities in our investment portfolio as of September 30, 2007. Of this amount, \$76.2 billion consisted of private-label mortgage-related securities backed by subprime or Alt-A mortgage loans. As of September 30, 2007, we held in our investment portfolio approximately \$33.8 billion in private-label mortgage-related securities backed by Alt-A mortgage loans and approximately \$42.4 billion in private-label mortgage-related securities backed by subprime mortgage loans. We also guaranteed approximately \$6.2 billion in resecuritized subprime mortgage-related securities as of September 30, 2007. Approximately \$18.6 billion of these Alt-A- and subprime-backed private-label mortgage-related securities were classified as trading securities in our condensed consolidated balance sheets as of September 30, 2007. In reporting our Alt-A and subprime exposure, we have classified private-label mortgage-related securities as Alt-A or subprime if the securities were labeled as such when issued.

To date, we generally have focused our purchases of private-label mortgage-related securities backed by subprime or Alt-A loans on the highest-rated tranches of these securities available at the time of acquisition. For our private-label mortgage-related securities backed by subprime loans that were rated AAA at acquisition, the weighted average credit enhancement, which is predominantly in the form of subordination, is 32%. In 2007, we began to acquire a limited amount of subprime-backed private-label mortgage-related securities of investment grades below AAA. As of September 30, 2007, approximately \$441 million in unpaid principal balance, or 1%, of the subprime-backed private-label mortgage-related securities in our portfolio had a credit rating of less than AAA. All of these subprime-backed mortgage-related securities with a credit rating of less than AAA were classified as trading securities in our condensed consolidated balance sheets as of September 30, 2007.

In October 2007, the credit ratings of nine subprime private-label mortgage-related securities held in our portfolio, with an aggregate unpaid principal balance of \$263 million as of September 30, 2007, were downgraded by Standard & Poor's. One of these downgraded securities, with an unpaid principal balance of \$178 million as of September 30, 2007, classified as available-for-sale, was downgraded from AAA to AA. The other eight downgraded securities, with an aggregate unpaid principal balance of \$85 million as of September 30, 2007, are classified as trading. Prior to these downgrades, these eight securities had credit ratings that were less than AAA. During October 2007 and through November 8, 2007, seven of our AAA-rated subprime private-label mortgage-related securities, with an aggregate unpaid principal balance of approximately \$1.3 billion, have been put under review for possible credit rating downgrade or on negative watch. As of November 8, 2007, all of these securities continue to be rated AAA. Of these securities, one security with an unpaid principal balance of \$255 million is classified as trading, while the remaining six securities, with an aggregate unpaid principal balance of \$1.0 billion, are classified as available-for-sale.

We have not recorded any impairment of the securities classified as available-for-sale, as they continue to be rated investment grade and we have the intent and ability to hold these securities until the earlier of recovery

**Table of Contents**

of the unrealized amounts or maturity. As of November 8, 2007, all of our private-label mortgage-related securities backed by Alt-A mortgage loans were rated AAA and none had been downgraded or placed under review for possible downgrade.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2007, we estimate that the fair value of the subprime private-label mortgage-related securities held in our portfolio decreased by \$896 million. Of this decrease, \$285 million related to securities classified as trading and is therefore reflected in our earnings as losses on trading securities, which are recorded as a component of Investment gains (losses), net, for the nine months ended September 30, 2007. The remaining \$611 million of this decrease related to securities classified as available-for-sale and is therefore reflected after-tax in AOCI. In addition, we estimate that the fair value of the Alt-A private-label mortgage-related securities held in our portfolio decreased by \$344 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007. Of this decrease, \$91 million was reflected in our earnings as losses on trading securities.

*Credit Characteristics:* The weighted average credit score, the weighted average original loan-to-value ratio and the weighted average estimated mark-to-market loan-to-value ratio for our conventional single-family mortgage credit book of business were 721, 71% and 59%, respectively, as of September 30, 2007, as compared with 721, 70% and 55% , respectively, as of December 31, 2006. Approximately 16% of our conventional single-family mortgage credit book of business had an estimated mark-to-market loan-to-value ratio greater than 80% as of September 30, 2007, up from 10% as of December 31, 2006.

**Multifamily**

As of September 30, 2007, the weighted average original loan-to-value ratio for our multifamily mortgage credit book of business remained at 68%, and the percentage of our multifamily mortgage credit book of business with an original loan-to-value ratio greater than 80% remained at 6%.

*Serious Delinquency*

The serious delinquency rate is an indicator of potential future foreclosures, although most loans that become seriously delinquent do not result in foreclosure. Table 24 below compares the serious delinquency rates for all conventional single-family loans and multifamily loans with credit enhancements and without credit enhancements.

**Table of Contents****Table 24: Serious Delinquency Rates**

|   | September 30, 2007         |                     | December 31, 2006          |                     | September 30, 2006         |                     |
|---|----------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|
|   | Book                       | Serious             | Book                       | Serious             | Book                       | Serious             |
|   | Outstanding <sup>(1)</sup> | Rate <sup>(2)</sup> | Outstanding <sup>(1)</sup> | Rate <sup>(2)</sup> | Outstanding <sup>(1)</sup> | Rate <sup>(2)</sup> |
| Conventional single-family delinquency rates by geographic region: <sup>(3)</sup> |                            |                     |                            |                     |                            |                     |
| Midwest   | 17%                        | 1.14%               | 17%                        | 1.01%               | 17%                        | 0.94%               |
| Northeast   | 19                         | 0.79                | 19                         | 0.67                | 19                         | 0.62                |
| Southeast   | 25                         | 0.88                | 24                         | 0.68                | 24                         | 0.64                |
| Southwest   | 16                         | 0.69                | 16                         | 0.69                | 16                         | 0.69                |
| West  | 23                         | 0.33                | 24                         | 0.20                | 24                         | 0.17                |
| Total conventional single-family loans  | 100%                       | 0.78%               | 100%                       | 0.65%               | 100%                       | 0.61%               |
| Conventional single-family loans:   |                            |                     |                            |                     |                            |                     |
| Credit enhanced   | 20%                        | 2.18%               | 19%                        | 1.81%               | 19%                        | 1.74%               |
| Non-credit enhanced   | 80                         | 0.43                | 81                         | 0.37                | 81                         | 0.35                |
| Total conventional single-family loans  | 100%                       | 0.78%               | 100%                       | 0.65%               | 100%                       | 0.61%               |
| Multifamily loans:  |                            |                     |                            |                     |                            |                     |
| Credit enhanced   | 89%                        | 0.08%               | 96%                        | 0.07%               | 96%                        | 0.13%               |
| Non-credit enhanced   | 11                         | 0.11                | 4                          | 0.35                | 4                          | 0.12                |
| Total multifamily loans   | 100%                       | 0.08%               | 100%                       | 0.08%               | 100%                       | 0.12%               |

(1) Reported based on unpaid principal balance of loans, where we have detailed loan-level information.

(2) Calculated based on number of loans for single-family and unpaid principal balance for multifamily. We include all of the conventional single-family loans that we own and that back Fannie Mae MBS in the calculation of the single-family delinquency rate. We include the unpaid principal balance of all multifamily loans that we own or that back Fannie Mae MBS and any housing bonds for which we provide credit enhancement in the calculation of the multifamily serious delinquency rate.

(3) Midwest consists of IL, IN, IA, MI, MN, NE, ND, OH, SD and WI. Northeast includes CT, DE, ME, MA, NH, NJ, NY, PA, PR, RI, VT and VI. Southeast consists of AL, DC, FL, GA, KY, MD, MS, NC, SC, TN, VA and WV. Southwest consists of AZ, AR, CO, KS, LA, MO, NM, OK, TX and UT. West consists of AK, CA, GU, HI, ID, MT, NV, OR, WA and WY.

The increase in our single-family serious delinquency rate as of September 30, 2007 from our rate as of September 30, 2006 was due to continued economic weakness in the Midwest, particularly in Ohio, Michigan and Indiana, and to the continued housing market downturn and decline in home prices throughout much of the country. We have experienced increases in serious delinquency rates across our conventional single-family mortgage credit book, including in higher risk loan categories, such as subprime loans, Alt-A loans, adjustable-rate loans, interest-only loans, loans made for the purchase of investment properties, negative-amortizing loans, loans to borrowers with lower credit scores and loans with high loan-to-value ratios. We have seen particularly rapid increases in serious delinquency rates in some higher risk loan categories, such as Alt-A loans, interest-only loans, loans with subordinate financing and loans made for the purchase of condominiums. Many of these higher risk loans were originated in 2006 and the first half of 2007. We have also experienced a significant increase in delinquency rates in loans originated in California, Florida, Nevada and Arizona. These states had previously experienced very rapid home price appreciation and are now experiencing home price declines. The conventional single-family serious delinquency rates for California and Florida, which represent the two largest states in our single-family mortgage credit book of business in terms of unpaid principal balance, climbed to 0.30% and 0.99%, respectively, as of September 30, 2007, from 0.11% and 0.37%, respectively, as of September 30, 2006. We expect the housing market to continue to deteriorate

**Table of Contents**

and home prices to continue to decline in these states and on a national basis. Accordingly, we expect our single-family serious delinquency rate to continue to increase for the remainder of 2007 and in 2008.

The decline in our multifamily serious delinquency rate as of September 30, 2007 from our rate as of September 30, 2006 was due primarily to payoffs and the resolution of problems associated with loans secured by properties affected by Hurricane Katrina.

*Foreclosure and REO Activity*

Foreclosure and real estate owned ( REO ) activity affect the level of our credit losses. Table 25 below provides information, by region, on our foreclosure activity for the first nine months of 2007 and 2006. Regional REO acquisition and charge-off trends generally follow a pattern that is similar to, but lags, that of regional delinquency trends.

**Table 25: Single-Family and Multifamily Foreclosed Properties**

|  | <b>For the<br/>Nine Months Ended<br/>September 30,</b> |             |
|--|--|-------------|
|  | <b>2007</b>  | <b>2006</b> |
| Single-family foreclosed properties (number of properties):                                |  |             |
| Beginning of year inventory of single-family foreclosed properties (REO) <sup>(1)</sup>    | 25,125   | 20,943      |
| Acquisitions by geographic area: <sup>(2)</sup>  |  |             |
| Midwest  | 14,754   | 11,799      |
| Northeast  | 2,826  | 1,944       |
| Southeast  | 8,559  | 6,857       |
| Southwest  | 7,230  | 5,858       |
| West   | 1,586  | 408         |
| Total properties acquired through foreclosure  | 34,955   | 26,866      |
| Dispositions of REO  | (30,456)   | (23,743)    |
| End of period inventory of single-family foreclosed properties (REO) <sup>(1)</sup>        | 29,624   | 24,066      |
| Carrying value of single-family foreclosed properties (dollars in millions) <sup>(3)</sup> | \$ 2,913   | \$ 1,905    |
| Single-family foreclosure rate <sup>(4)</sup>  | 0.2%   | 0.2%        |
| Multifamily foreclosed properties (number of properties):                                  |  |             |
| Ending inventory of multifamily foreclosed properties (REO)                                | 12   | 9           |
| Carrying value of multifamily foreclosed properties (dollars in millions) <sup>(3)</sup>   | \$ 63  | \$ 57       |

(1) Includes deeds in lieu of foreclosure.

(2) See footnote 3 to Table 24 for states included in each geographic region.

- (3) Excludes foreclosed property claims receivables, which are reported in our condensed consolidated balance sheets as a component of Acquired property, net.
- (4) Estimated based on the total number of properties acquired through foreclosure as a percentage of the total number of loans in our conventional single-family mortgage credit book as of the end of each respective period.

The increase in foreclosures during the first nine months of 2007 was driven by the housing market downturn and the continued impact of weak economic conditions in the Midwest, particularly Ohio, Indiana and Michigan. The Midwest accounted for approximately 20% of the loans in our conventional single-family mortgage credit book of business as of December 31, 2006; however, this region accounted for approximately 42% of the single-family properties we acquired through foreclosure during the first nine months of 2007.

The continued weakness in regional economic conditions in the Midwest and the continued housing market downturn and decline in home prices on a national basis has resulted in a higher percentage of our mortgage loans that transition from delinquent to foreclosure status, as well as a faster transition from delinquent to foreclosure status, particularly for loans originated in 2006 and 2007. In addition, the combined effect of the

**Table of Contents**

disruption in the subprime market, the overall erosion of property values and near record levels of unsold properties have slowed the sale of, and reduced the sales prices of, our foreclosed single-family properties. As a result, we expect an increase in our overall level of foreclosures and credit losses for 2007 as compared with 2006. We believe that our level of foreclosures and credit losses is likely to continue to increase in 2008.

*Credit Losses*

Credit losses consist of (1) charge-offs, excluding losses on delinquent loans we purchase from our MBS trusts, net of recoveries, plus (2) foreclosed property expense. Table 26 below presents credit losses for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006.

**Table 26: Credit Loss Performance**

|  | For the<br>Three Months Ended<br>September 30, |                     |        |                     | For the<br>Nine Months Ended<br>September 30, |                     |        |                     |
|--|--|---------------------|--------|---------------------|---|---------------------|--------|---------------------|
|  | 2007   | Rate <sup>(1)</sup> | 2006   | Rate <sup>(1)</sup> | 2007  | Rate <sup>(1)</sup> | 2006   | Rate <sup>(1)</sup> |
|  | (Dollars in millions)                          |                     |        |                     |   |                     |        |                     |
| Charge-offs, net of recoveries   | \$ 838   | 12.3bp              | \$ 104 | 1.7bp               | \$ 1,222                                      | 6.2bp               | \$ 330 | 1.8bp               |
| Foreclosed property expense  | 113  | 1.7                 | 52     | 0.8                 | 269   | 1.3                 | 89     | 0.5                 |
| Less excess of purchase price over fair value of delinquent loans purchased from trusts <sup>(2)</sup> | (670)  | (9.9)               | (37)   | (0.6)               | (805)   | (4.1)               | (153)  | (0.8)               |
| Impact of SOP 03-3 on charge-offs and foreclosed property expense <sup>(3)</sup>                       | 62   | 0.9                 | 20     | 0.4                 | 113   | 0.6                 | 56     | 0.3                 |
| Credit losses <sup>(4)(5)</sup>  | \$ 343   | 5.0bp               | \$ 139 | 2.3bp               | \$ 799  | 4.0bp               | \$ 322 | 1.8bp               |

(1) Based on annualized amount for line item presented divided by the average total mortgage credit book of business during the period.

(2) Represents the amount we record as a loss when the purchase price we pay to purchase delinquent loans from Fannie Mae MBS trusts exceeds the fair value of the loan at the time of purchase. Under our MBS trust agreements, we have the option to purchase loans from the MBS trust, at par plus accrued interest, if four or more consecutive monthly payments have not been made. When we purchase a delinquent loan from one of our MBS trusts, we record the delinquent loan at the lower of the loan's acquisition price or its fair value in accordance with SOP 03-3. To the extent that the purchase price of the loan exceeds the fair value of the loan, we recognize a loss at the time we acquire the loan.



- (3) Represents the impact of previously recorded SOP 03-3 reductions to the amount of charge-offs and foreclosed property expense for delinquent loans purchased from MBS trusts that go to foreclosure. Because the carrying value of these loans has been reduced below the purchase price, any charge-off and foreclosed property expense amounts that we record if we foreclose on the mortgage also are reduced. In order to reflect in our credit losses the total loss associated with SOP 03-3 loans that we subsequently determine are uncollectible, we have added back to our credit losses the loss we record at the date of purchase when the fair value is below the purchase price.
- (4) Excludes impact of excess of purchase price over fair value of delinquent loans purchased from trusts.
- (5) Interest forgone on nonperforming loans in our mortgage portfolio reduces our net interest income but is not reflected in our credit losses total. In addition, other-than-temporary impairment resulting from deterioration in credit quality of our mortgage-related securities is not included in our credit losses total.

The decline in home prices on a national basis during the first nine months of 2007 contributed to higher default rates and loss severities, causing an increase in charge-offs and foreclosed property expense for the first nine months of 2007. In addition, we experienced a substantial increase in losses recorded on delinquent loans we purchased from our MBS trusts during the third quarter of 2007, as the disruption in the mortgage credit market and the continued decline in home prices substantially reduced the fair value of the delinquent loans we purchased from our MBS trusts.

We have revised our presentation of credit losses to reflect only our realized credit losses. Accordingly, we have excluded from our credit losses, and from our credit loss ratio, any initial losses that we are required to record pursuant to SOP 03-3 when the purchase price of delinquent loans that we purchase from Fannie Mae MBS trusts exceeds the fair value of the loans at the time of purchase. These initial losses affect our provision for

**Table of Contents**

credit losses and are reported as a component of our charge-offs, net of recoveries in our condensed consolidated financial statements.

In our 2006 Form 10-K, we provided an estimate that our credit loss ratio for 2007 would be within a range of 4 to 6 basis points. As of the date of this filing, we believe our credit loss ratio for 2007, based on our credit losses as reported in Table 26, will remain within our normal historical average range of 4 to 6 basis points. In certain periods, we expect our credit loss ratio is likely to move outside of this historical average range, primarily due to market conditions and the risk profile of our mortgage credit book of business. We expect that, in 2008, our credit loss ratio will increase above our normal historical average range of 4 to 6 basis points.

Pursuant to our September 1, 2005 agreement with OFHEO, we agreed to disclose on a quarterly basis the estimated impact on our expected credit losses from an immediate 5% decline in single-family home prices for the entire United States, which we believe is a stressful scenario based on housing data from OFHEO. Table 27 shows our single-family credit loss sensitivity, before and after consideration of the effect of projected credit risk sharing proceeds, such as private mortgage insurance claims and other credit enhancement, as of September 30, 2007, June 30, 2007, March 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006. The significant increase in the net credit loss sensitivity that occurred during the first nine months of 2007 from the end of 2006 was primarily attributable to the decline in home prices during the first nine months of 2007.

**Table 27: Single-Family Credit Loss Sensitivity<sup>(1)</sup>**

|   | September 30,<br>2007 | June 30,<br>2007 | As of<br>March 31,<br>2007 | December 31,<br>2006 |
|---|-----------------------|------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|
|   | (Dollars in millions) |                  |                            |                      |
| Gross credit loss sensitivity <sup>(2)</sup>  | \$ 5,808              | \$ 5,185         | \$ 4,258                   | \$ 3,887             |
| Less: Projected credit risk sharing proceeds  | (2,969)               | (2,577)          | (2,069)                    | (1,926)              |
| Net credit loss sensitivity   | \$ 2,839              | \$ 2,608         | \$ 2,189                   | \$ 1,961             |
| Single-family whole loans and Fannie Mae MBS  | \$ 2,421,550          | \$ 2,333,256     | \$ 2,260,575               | \$ 2,203,246         |
| Single-family net credit loss sensitivity as a percentage of single-family whole loans and Fannie Mae MBS | 0.12%                 | 0.11%            | 0.10%                      | 0.09%                |

(1) Represents total economic credit losses, which include net charge-offs/recoveries, foreclosed property expenses, forgone interest and the cost of carrying foreclosed properties. Excludes amounts recorded as losses in accordance with SOP 03-3 when the purchase price we pay to purchase delinquent loans from Fannie Mae MBS trusts exceeds the fair value of the loan at the time of purchase. Calculations based on approximately 93% of our total single-family mortgage credit book of business as of September 30, 2007, June 30, 2007, March 31, 2007 and 92% as of December 31, 2006. The mortgage loans and mortgage-related securities that are included in these estimates consist of: (i) single-family Fannie Mae MBS (whether held in our portfolio or held by third parties), excluding certain whole loan REMICs and private-label wraps; (ii) single-family mortgage loans, excluding mortgages secured only by second liens, subprime mortgages, manufactured housing chattel loans and reverse mortgages; and (iii) long-term standby commitments. We expect the inclusion in our estimates of the

excluded products may impact the estimated sensitivities set forth in the preceding paragraphs.

- (2) Reflects the gross sensitivity of our expected future credit losses to an immediate 5% decline in home values for first lien single-family whole loans we own or that back Fannie Mae MBS. After the initial shock, we estimate home price growth rates return to the rate projected by our credit pricing models.

*Allowance for Loan Losses and Reserve for Guaranty Losses*

The combined allowance for loan losses and reserve for guaranty losses increased to \$1.4 billion as of September 30, 2007, from \$859 million as of December 31, 2006. This increase was due to higher charge-offs, attributable to the increase in loan loss severities and default rates due to the national decline in home prices and the impact of continued economic weakness in the Midwest.

## **Table of Contents**

### *OFHEO Direction on Interagency Guidance on Nontraditional Mortgages and Subprime Lending*

In September 2006, five federal financial regulatory agencies jointly issued *Interagency Guidance on Nontraditional Mortgage Product Risks* to address risks posed by mortgage products that allow borrowers to defer repayment of principal or interest, and the layering of risks that results from combining these product types with other features that may compound risk. In June 2007, the same financial regulatory agencies issued the *Statement on Subprime Mortgage Lending*, which addresses risks relating to certain subprime mortgages. The September 2006 and June 2007 interagency guidance directed regulated financial institutions that originate nontraditional and subprime mortgage loans to follow prudent lending practices, including safe and sound underwriting practices and providing borrowers with clear and balanced information about the relative benefits and risks of these products sufficiently early in the process to enable them to make informed decisions.

OFHEO directed us to apply the risk management, underwriting and consumer protection principles of both the September 2006 and June 2007 interagency guidance to the mortgage loans and mortgage-related securities that we acquire for our portfolio and for securitization into Fannie Mae MBS. Accordingly, we have made changes to our underwriting standards implementing the interagency guidance. In addition to the changes made to implement the interagency guidance, we have tightened loan eligibility in most high risk loan categories. We are actively managing our single-family eligibility standards and pricing to account for rapidly changing market conditions.

### *Institutional Counterparty Credit Risk Management*

Institutional counterparty risk is the risk that institutional counterparties may be unable to fulfill their contractual obligations to us. Our primary exposure to institutional counterparty risk exists with our lending partners and servicers, mortgage insurers, dealers who distribute our debt securities or who commit to sell mortgage pools or loans, issuers of investments included in our liquid investment portfolio, and derivatives counterparties. Refer to

Part I Item 1A Risk Factors of our 2006 Form 10-K, filed with the SEC on August 16, 2007, as updated by Part II Item 1A Risk Factors of this report for a description of the risks associated with our institutional counterparties.

### *Mortgage Insurers*

As of September 30, 2007, we were the beneficiary of primary mortgage insurance coverage on \$329.0 billion of single-family loans in our portfolio or underlying Fannie Mae MBS, which represented approximately 14% of our single-family mortgage credit book of business, compared with \$272.1 billion, or approximately 12%, of our single-family mortgage credit book of business as of December 31, 2006. In addition, as of September 30, 2007, we were the beneficiary of pool mortgage insurance coverage on \$128.3 billion of single-family loans, including conventional and government loans, in our portfolio or underlying Fannie Mae MBS, compared with \$106.6 billion as of December 31, 2006.

Two of our seven primary mortgage insurers have recently had their external ratings for claims paying ability or insurer financial strength downgraded by Fitch from AA to AA-. Both have maintained their Standard & Poor's and Moody's ratings of AA and Aa3, respectively. As of September 30, 2007, these two mortgage insurers provided primary and pool mortgage insurance coverage on \$59.1 billion and \$27.8 billion, respectively, of the single-family loans in our portfolio or underlying Fannie Mae MBS, which represented approximately 2% and 1%, respectively, of our single-family mortgage credit book of business. Ratings downgrades imply an increased risk that these mortgage insurers will fail to fulfill their obligations to reimburse us for claims under insurance policies. We continue to closely monitor our exposure to our mortgage insurer counterparties.

Before we consider an insurer to be a qualified mortgage insurer, we generally require that an insurer obtain and maintain external ratings of claims paying ability of at least Aa3 from Moody's and AA- from Standard & Poor's and

Fitch. If a mortgage insurer were downgraded below AA-/Aa3 by any of the three national rating agencies, we would evaluate the insurer, the current market environment and our alternative sources of credit enhancement. Based on the outcome of our evaluation, we could restrict that insurer from conducting certain

## **Table of Contents**

types of business with us and we may take actions that may include not purchasing loans insured by that mortgage insurer. Restricting our business activity with one or more of the seven primary mortgage insurers would increase our concentration risk with the remaining insurers in the industry.

### *Recent Events Relating to Lender Customers and Mortgage Servicers*

Mortgage and credit market conditions deteriorated rapidly in the third quarter of 2007. Factors negatively affecting the mortgage and credit markets in recent months include significant volatility, lower levels of liquidity, wider credit spreads, rating agency downgrades and significantly higher levels of mortgage foreclosures and delinquencies, particularly with respect to subprime mortgage loans. These challenging market conditions have adversely affected, and are expected to continue to adversely affect, the liquidity and financial condition of a number of our lender customers and mortgage servicers. Several of our lender customers and servicers have experienced ratings downgrades and liquidity constraints, including Countrywide Financial Corporation and its affiliates, our largest lender customer and servicer. The weakened financial condition and liquidity position of some of our lender customers and mortgage servicers may negatively affect their ability to perform their obligations to us and the quality of the services that they provide to us. In addition, our arrangements with our lender customers and mortgage servicers could result in significant exposure to us if any one of our significant lender customers were to default or experience a serious liquidity event. The failure of any of our primary lender customers or mortgage servicers to meet their obligations to us could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

In response to these market conditions, we have increased the frequency and depth of our counterparty monitoring, including targeting higher risk counterparties for additional financial and on-site reviews. We have also changed the assumptions of our stress analyses to reflect deteriorating market conditions and are implementing measures to reduce our potential loss exposure to some of our higher risk counterparties.

### **Interest Rate Risk Management and Other Market Risks**

Market risk represents the exposure to potential changes in the fair value of our net assets from changes in prevailing market conditions. A significant market risk we face and actively manage for our net portfolio is interest rate risk the risk of changes in our long-term earnings or in the value of our net assets due to changes in interest rates. Our net portfolio consists of our existing investments in mortgage assets, investments in non-mortgage securities, our outstanding debt used to fund those assets, and the derivatives used to supplement our debt instruments and manage interest rate risk. It also includes any priced asset, debt and derivatives commitments, but excludes our existing guaranty business. Our Capital Markets group, which has primary responsibility for managing the interest rate risk of our net portfolio, employs an integrated interest rate risk management strategy that includes asset selection and structuring of our liabilities, including debt and derivatives, to match and offset the interest rate characteristics of our balance sheet assets and liabilities as much as possible.

### *Derivatives Activity*

The primary tool we use to manage the interest rate risk implicit in our mortgage assets is the variety of debt instruments we issue. We supplement our issuance of debt with derivative instruments, which are an integral part of our strategy in managing interest rate risk. Table 28 presents, by derivative instrument type, our risk management derivative activity for the nine months ended September 30, 2007, along with the stated maturities of derivatives outstanding as of September 30, 2007.



- (1) Excludes mortgage commitments accounted for as derivatives. Dollars represent notional amounts, which indicate only the amount on which payments are being calculated and do not represent the amount at risk of loss.
- (2) Notional amounts include swaps callable by Fannie Mae of \$8.2 billion and \$10.8 billion as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively.
- (3) Notional amounts include swaps callable by derivatives counterparties of \$18.5 billion and \$6.7 billion as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively.
- (4) Notional amounts include swaps callable by derivatives counterparties of \$8.0 billion and \$600 million as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively.
- (5) Includes MBS options, forward starting debt and swap credit enhancements.
- (6) Includes matured, called, exercised, assigned and terminated amounts. Also includes changes due to foreign exchange rate movements.
- (7) Based on contractual maturities.

The outstanding notional balance of our risk management derivatives increased by \$69.0 billion during the first nine months of 2007, to \$814.4 billion as of September 30, 2007, from \$745.4 billion as of December 31, 2006. As the expected duration of our mortgage assets lengthened during the first nine months of 2007, we increased our net pay-fixed swap position to extend the duration of our liabilities to more closely match the expected duration of our mortgage assets.

### ***Measuring Interest Rate Risk***

Because no single measure can reflect all aspects of the interest rate risk inherent in our mortgage portfolio, we utilize various risk metrics that together provide a more complete assessment of our interest rate risk.



**Table of Contents**

Pursuant to our September 1, 2005 agreement with OFHEO, we committed to present to OFHEO proposals for enhanced and uniform public disclosures of our risk measures. Our proposals entailed reporting additional market risk measures with the filing of current financial statements. We now disclose on a monthly basis two interest rate risk sensitivity measures: (i) duration gap and (ii) fair value sensitivity to interest rate level and slope shock. We provide these measures in our Monthly Summary Report, which is submitted to the SEC in a Current Report on Form 8-K and made available on our Web site.

These interest rate risk measures are based on our net portfolio defined above. The measures exclude the interest rate sensitivity of our existing guaranty business. We discuss these measures below, and we also describe the potential effect of changes in interest rates and other market conditions on the fair value of our guaranty business.

*Duration Gap*

Duration measures the price sensitivity of our assets and liabilities to changes in interest rates by quantifying the difference between the estimated durations of our assets and liabilities. Duration gap summarizes the extent to which estimated cash flows for assets and liabilities are matched, on average, over time and across interest rate scenarios. A positive duration gap signals a greater exposure to rising interest rates because it indicates that the duration of our assets exceeds the duration of our liabilities. Our average effective monthly duration gap did not exceed plus or minus one month during the period December 2006 through September 2007 (the most recent date for which this information is available.) The table below presents our monthly effective duration gap for December 2006, March 2007, June 2007 and September 2007.

| <b>Month</b>   | <b>Effective<br/>Duration Gap</b> |
|----------------|-----------------------------------|
| December 2006  | 0                                 |
| March 2007     | (1)                               |
| June 2007      | 1                                 |
| September 2007 | 0                                 |

Beginning with June 2007, and for months after June 2007, we changed the methodology we use to calculate our monthly effective duration gap. The revised monthly calculation reflects the difference between the proportional fair value weightings of our assets and liabilities, based on the daily average for the reported month. In prior months, the duration gap was not calculated on a weighted basis and was simply the daily average of the difference between the duration of our assets and the duration of our liabilities. Our revised methodology presents our effective duration gap on a basis that is consistent with the fair value sensitivity measures of changes in the level and slope of the yield curve discussed below. Under our revised methodology, a duration gap of zero implies that the change in the fair value of our assets from an interest rate move will be offset by an equal change in the fair value of our liabilities, resulting in no change in the fair value of our net assets. Based on the revised methodology, our duration gap was plus one month for the month of June 2007. Under the previous methodology, our duration gap for June 2007 would have measured minus one month, or approximately two months less than the effective duration gap under the revised methodology.

While we maintained our duration gap within a relatively narrow range during the first nine months of 2007, a large movement in interest rates or increased interest rate volatility could cause our duration gap to extend outside of the range we have experienced recently.

*Fair Value Sensitivity to Changes in Level and Slope of Yield Curve*

For the month of June 2007, we began disclosing on a monthly basis the estimated adverse impact on our financial condition of a 50 basis point shift in interest rates and a 25 basis point change in the slope of the yield curve. We believe these changes represent moderate movements in interest rates. We calculate these sensitivity measures based on the estimated amount of pre-tax losses for our net portfolio, or reduction in fair value, that would result from an immediate adverse 50 basis point parallel shift in the level of interest rates and an immediate adverse 25 basis point change in the slope of the yield curve, expressed as a percentage of

**Table of Contents**

the estimated after-tax fair value of our net assets. We track these measures daily and report the monthly measures based on the daily average for the month. We estimate that the adverse impact on the fair value of our net portfolio to a 50 basis point shift in the level of interest rates and a 25 basis point change in the slope of the yield curve was (1)% and 0% for both June 2007 and September 2007.

Table 29 below is an extension of our monthly net sensitivity measures. It includes the identical population of assets and liabilities included in our monthly sensitivity measures; however, it is based on the sensitivities as of September 30, 2007, rather than the average for the month of September. In addition, we expand our monthly interest rate shock disclosures to include the estimated adverse impact on our net portfolio of a more severe movement in interest rates of 100 basis points. We also provide the dollar sensitivity for our net portfolio and include aggregate sensitivities for our trading securities and for our trading securities together with our derivatives.

**Table 29: Interest Rate Sensitivity to Changes in Level and Slope of Yield Curve**

|   | As of September 30, 2007             |                  |                                       |                  |   |                  |
|---|--------------------------------------|------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------|---|------------------|
|   | Rate Level Shock                     |                  |                                       |                  | Slope Shock                             |                  |
|   | Adverse Impact of<br>50 basis points |                  | Adverse Impact of<br>100 basis points |                  | Adverse Impact<br>of<br>25 basis points |                  |
|   | \$                                   | % <sup>(1)</sup> | \$                                    | % <sup>(1)</sup> | \$                                      | % <sup>(1)</sup> |
|   | (Dollars in millions)                |                  |                                       |                  |   |                  |
| Trading financial instruments   | \$ (723)                             | (2)%             | \$ (1,515)                            | (4)%             | \$ (31)                                 |                  |
| Trading financial instruments and<br>derivative assets and liabilities, net       | (2,077)                              | (6)              | (3,666)                               | (11)             | (174)                                   | (1)              |
| Net portfolio of interest-rate sensitive<br>assets and liabilities <sup>(2)</sup> | (397)                                | (1)              | (2,052)                               | (6)              | (104)                                   |                  |

<sup>(1)</sup> Calculated based on the reported dollar amount sensitivity divided by the after-tax estimated fair value of our net assets of \$34.2 billion as of September 30, 2007.

<sup>(2)</sup> Assets included in these interest rate sensitivity measures consist of our existing mortgage portfolio, non-mortgage investments and priced asset commitments. Liabilities consist of our existing debt instruments, derivative instruments and priced debt and derivative commitments.

The sensitivity measures in Table 29 indicate that the value of our net portfolio would decrease by approximately 1% and 6% as a result of an adverse movement in interest rates of 50 basis points and 100 basis points, respectively. We believe these sensitivities, together with our duration gap, indicate a relatively small level of interest rate risk exposure for our net portfolio as of September 30, 2007. We have not experienced a material change in our interest rate sensitivities, on a net portfolio basis, since December 31, 2006.

The fair value of our trading securities and derivatives are highly sensitive to changes in interest rates, and changes in fair value of these instruments are primary drivers of the short-term volatility in our earnings and capital position. We increased our portfolio of trading securities during the first nine months of 2007 to approximately \$48.7 billion as of September 30, 2007, from \$11.5 billion as of December 31, 2006. As a result of this increase, the adverse impact of a change in interest rates on our trading securities was more substantial as of September 30, 2007, as compared with December 31, 2006. Although the recent dislocations in the financial markets disrupted certain historical pricing

relationships, we generally expect the interest rate sensitivity of our trading securities to partially offset the interest rate sensitivity of our derivative instruments. Accordingly, we disclose not only the separate sensitivities for our trading securities, but also the sensitivities of our trading securities and derivatives on a combined basis.

Because we do not hedge the interest rate risk of our guaranty business, these sensitivity measures exclude the interest rate sensitivity of our existing guaranty business, which is based on the difference between the estimated fair value of the guaranty assets and the estimated fair value of the guaranty obligations we assume. The interest rate sensitivity of our net guaranty assets tends to be fairly stable if there is no significant movement in long-term interest rates. Although there was not a significant change in long-term interest rates as of September 30, 2007 compared with December 31, 2006, we experienced a change in the interest rate sensitivities of our net guaranty assets. As of September 30, 2007, the estimated sensitivity resulting from a

## **Table of Contents**

50 basis point decrease in interest rates was lower than the sensitivity of \$(1.3) billion we reported as of December 31, 2006, while the estimated sensitivity of a 100 basis point increase in interest rates was greater than the \$1.7 billion we reported as of December 31, 2006. The change in these sensitivities was due to changes in the sensitivity of our guaranty obligations attributable to the lower initial level of home prices, an increase in implied volatility and growth in our guaranty obligation resulting from the growth in our guaranty book of business. We do not believe that periodic changes in fair value due to movements in interest rates are the best indication of the economic value of our guaranty business because they do not take into account future business activity. Based on our historical experience, we believe that the guaranty fee income generated from future business activity will more than replace any guaranty fee income lost as a result of mortgage prepayments.

Our interest rate sensitivity measures are limited in that they do not capture the potential impact of certain other market risks, such as changes in credit spreads and implied volatility. The impact of these market risks may be significant. See Supplemental Non-GAAP Information Fair Value Balance Sheets for further information. In addition, our interest rate sensitivity measures contemplate only certain hypothetical movements in interest rates and are performed at a particular point in time based on the estimated fair value of our existing net portfolio. These measures and hypothetical scenarios do not incorporate other factors that may have a significant effect on our overall financial performance, most notably the value from expected future business activities and strategic actions that management may take to manage interest rate risk. Accordingly, the preceding estimates should not be viewed as a precise forecast of the potential adverse economic impact that a change in interest rates would have on us.

## **IMPACT OF FUTURE ADOPTION OF ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS**

We identify and discuss the expected impact on our consolidated financial statements of recently issued accounting pronouncements in Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements Note 1, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies.

## **FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS**

This report contains forward-looking statements, which are statements about matters that are not historical facts. In addition, our senior management may from time to time make forward-looking statements orally to analysts, investors, the news media and others. Forward-looking statements often include words such as expects, anticipates, intends, plans, believes, seeks, estimates, would, should, could, may, or similar words.

Among the forward-looking statements in this report are statements relating to:

our expectation that housing market weakness will continue in 2007 and 2008;

our projections for mortgage originations and MDO growth for 2007 and 2008;

our estimates regarding our 2007 business results and market share;

our expectations that our single-family guaranty book of business will grow at a faster rate than the rate of overall MDO growth, and our guaranty fee income will continue to increase;

our expectation that our net interest yield will remain relatively stable for the remainder of 2007;

our expectation that our accounting for changes in the fair value of our derivatives and our trading securities will continue to be a major driver of volatility in our earnings, in our stockholders' equity and in our regulatory capital;

our expectation that our losses on certain guaranty contracts will increase significantly for 2007 as compared with 2006;

our expectation that other-than-temporary impairment on investment securities will be significantly lower for 2007 as compared with 2006;

**Table of Contents**

- our expectation that we will reduce our administrative expenses by more than \$200 million in 2007;
- our expectation that our ongoing operations costs will be reduced to approximately \$2 billion in 2008;
- our expectation that our credit-related expenses and credit losses will significantly increase for 2007 and 2008;
- our expectation that our credit loss ratio will be within our normal historical range of 4 to 6 basis points in 2007, and will increase above this range in 2008;
- our belief that our delinquencies and foreclosures will increase for the remainder of 2007 and in 2008;
- our belief that our sources of liquidity will remain adequate to meet both our short-term and long-term funding needs;
- our estimate of the effect of hypothetical declines in home prices on our credit losses;
- our estimate of the effect of hypothetical changes in interest rates on the fair value of our financial instruments; and
- our belief that our remaining material weaknesses will be remediated on or before December 31, 2007 and that we will have effective disclosure controls and procedures by December 31, 2007.

Forward-looking statements reflect our management's expectations or predictions of future conditions, events or results based on various assumptions and management's estimates of trends and economic factors in the markets in which we are active, as well as our business plans. They are not guarantees of future performance. By their nature, forward-looking statements are subject to risks and uncertainties. Our actual results and financial condition may differ, possibly materially, from the anticipated results and financial condition indicated in these forward-looking statements. There are a number of factors that could cause actual conditions, events or results to differ materially from those described in the forward-looking statements contained in this report, including those factors described in Part I Item 1A Risk Factors of our 2006 Form 10-K, filed with the SEC on August 16, 2007, as updated by Part II Item 1A Risk Factors of this report.

Readers are cautioned to place forward-looking statements in this report or that we make from time to time into proper context by carefully considering the factors discussed in Part I Item 1A Risk Factors of our 2006 Form 10-K and in Part II Item 1A Risk Factors of this report. These forward-looking statements are representative only as of the date they are made, and we undertake no obligation to update any forward-looking statement as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except as required under the federal securities laws.

**Table of Contents****Item 1. Financial Statements****FANNIE MAE****Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets  
(Dollars in millions, except share amounts)  
(Unaudited)**

|   | <b>As of</b>                  |                              |
|---|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
|   | <b>September 30,<br/>2007</b> | <b>December 31,<br/>2006</b> |
| <b>ASSETS</b>   |                               |                              |
| Cash and cash equivalents (includes cash equivalents pledged as collateral that may be sold or repledged of \$215 as of December 31, 2006)          | \$ 4,480                      | \$ 3,239                     |
| Restricted cash   | 496                           | 733                          |
| Federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell  | 8,349                         | 12,681                       |
| Investments in securities:  |                               |                              |
| Trading, at fair value (includes Fannie Mae MBS of \$17,320 and \$11,070 as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively)              | 48,683                        | 11,514                       |
| Available-for-sale, at fair value (includes Fannie Mae MBS of \$155,034 and \$185,608 as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively) | 315,012                       | 378,598                      |
| Total investments in securities   | 363,695                       | 390,112                      |
| Mortgage loans:   |                               |                              |
| Loans held for sale, at lower of cost or market   | 5,053                         | 4,868                        |
| Loans held for investment, at amortized cost  | 394,945                       | 379,027                      |
| Allowance for loan losses   | (395)                         | (340)                        |
| Total loans held for investment, net of allowance   | 394,550                       | 378,687                      |
| Total mortgage loans  | 399,603                       | 383,555                      |
| Advances to lenders   | 11,738                        | 6,163                        |
| Accrued interest receivable   | 3,865                         | 3,672                        |
| Acquired property, net  | 3,107                         | 2,141                        |
| Derivative assets at fair value   | 3,172                         | 4,931                        |
| Guaranty assets   | 9,438                         | 7,692                        |
| Deferred tax assets   | 9,890                         | 8,505                        |
| Partnership investments   | 10,383                        | 10,571                       |
| Other assets  | 11,567                        | 9,941                        |
| Total assets  | \$ 839,783                    | \$ 843,936                   |
| <b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY</b>  |                               |                              |
| Liabilities:  |                               |                              |
| Accrued interest payable  | \$ 8,168                      | \$ 7,847                     |
| Federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase  | 1,645                         | 700                          |



Edgar Filing: FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION FANNIE MAE - Form 10-Q

|   |                   |                   |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|
| Short-term debt   | 153,146           | 165,810           |
| Long-term debt  | 608,619           | 601,236           |
| Derivative liabilities at fair value  | 1,336             | 1,184             |
| Reserve for guaranty losses (includes \$78 and \$46 as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively, related to Fannie Mae MBS included in Investments in securities)  | 1,012             | 519               |
| Guaranty obligations (includes \$319 and \$390 as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively, related to Fannie Mae MBS included in Investments in securities)   | 14,322            | 11,145            |
| Partnership liabilities   | 3,348             | 3,695             |
| Other liabilities   | 8,144             | 10,158            |
| <b>Total liabilities</b>  | <b>799,740</b>    | <b>802,294</b>    |
| Minority interests in consolidated subsidiaries   | 121               | 136               |
| Commitments and contingencies (see Note 16)   |                   |                   |
| Stockholders' Equity:   |                   |                   |
| Preferred stock, 200,000,000 shares authorized 150,175,000 and 132,175,000 shares issued and outstanding as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively   | 9,008             | 9,108             |
| Common stock, no par value, no maximum authorization 1,129,090,420 shares issued as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006; 973,750,241 shares and 972,110,681 shares outstanding as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively | 593               | 593               |
| Additional paid-in capital  | 1,888             | 1,942             |
| Retained earnings   | 37,737            | 37,955            |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss  | (1,791)           | (445)             |
| Treasury stock, at cost, 155,340,179 shares and 156,979,739 shares as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively   | (7,513)           | (7,647)           |
| <b>Total stockholders' equity</b>   | <b>39,922</b>     | <b>41,506</b>     |
| <b>Total liabilities and stockholders' equity</b>   | <b>\$ 839,783</b> | <b>\$ 843,936</b> |

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

**Table of Contents****FANNIE MAE**

**Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income**  
(Dollars and shares in millions, except per share amounts)  
(Unaudited)

|  | <b>Three Months Ended</b> |                | <b>Nine Months Ended</b> |               |
|--|---------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|---------------|
|  | <b>September 30,</b>      |                | <b>September 30,</b>     |               |
|  | <b>2007</b>               | <b>2006</b>    | <b>2007</b>              | <b>2006</b>   |
| Interest income:   |                           |                |                          |               |
| Investments in securities  | \$ 5,900                  | \$ 5,976       | \$ 17,162                | \$ 17,189     |
| Mortgage loans   | 5,572                     | 5,209          | 16,582                   | 15,495        |
| <b>Total interest income</b>   | <b>11,472</b>             | <b>11,185</b>  | <b>33,744</b>            | <b>32,684</b> |
| Interest expense:  |                           |                |                          |               |
| Short-term debt  | 2,401                     | 2,124          | 6,811                    | 5,681         |
| Long-term debt   | 8,013                     | 7,533          | 23,488                   | 21,596        |
| <b>Total interest expense</b>  | <b>10,414</b>             | <b>9,657</b>   | <b>30,299</b>            | <b>27,277</b> |
| <b>Net interest income</b>   | <b>1,058</b>              | <b>1,528</b>   | <b>3,445</b>             | <b>5,407</b>  |
| Guaranty fee income (includes imputed interest of \$380 and \$297 for the three months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively, and \$963 and \$827 for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively) | 1,232                     | 1,084          | 3,450                    | 2,968         |
| Losses on certain guaranty contracts   | (294)                     | (103)          | (1,038)                  | (181)         |
| Trust management income  | 146                       |                | 460                      |               |
| Investment gains (losses), net   | 136                       | 550            | (102)                    | (758)         |
| Derivatives fair value losses, net   | (2,244)                   | (3,381)        | (891)                    | (854)         |
| Debt extinguishment gains, net   | 31                        | 72             | 72                       | 158           |
| Losses from partnership investments  | (147)                     | (197)          | (527)                    | (579)         |
| Fee and other income   | 76                        | 234            | 546                      | 567           |
| <b>Non-interest income (expense)</b>   | <b>(1,064)</b>            | <b>(1,741)</b> | <b>1,970</b>             | <b>1,321</b>  |
| Administrative expenses:   |                           |                |                          |               |
| Salaries and employee benefits   | 362                       | 307            | 1,067                    | 883           |
| Professional services  | 192                       | 333            | 654                      | 1,042         |
| Occupancy expenses   | 64                        | 64             | 180                      | 192           |
| Other administrative expenses  | 42                        | 57             | 117                      | 132           |
| <b>Total administrative expenses</b>   | <b>660</b>                | <b>761</b>     | <b>2,018</b>             | <b>2,249</b>  |
| Minority interest in earnings (losses) of consolidated subsidiaries  | (4)                       | 2              | (3)                      | 7             |
| Provision for credit losses  | 1,087                     | 145            | 1,770                    | 368           |

Edgar Filing: FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION FANNIE MAE - Form 10-Q

|  |            |           |          |          |
|--|------------|-----------|----------|----------|
| Foreclosed property expense  | 113        | 52        | 269      | 89       |
| Other expenses   | 122        | 99        | 317      | 191      |
| Total expenses   | 1,978      | 1,059     | 4,371    | 2,904    |
| Income (loss) before federal income taxes and extraordinary gains (losses) | (1,984)    | (1,272)   | 1,044    | 3,824    |
| Provision (benefit) for federal income taxes                               | (582)      | (639)     | (468)    | 380      |
| Income (loss) before extraordinary gains (losses)                          | (1,402)    | (633)     | 1,512    | 3,444    |
| Extraordinary gains (losses), net of tax effect                            | 3          | 4         | (3)      | 11       |
| Net income (loss)  | \$ (1,399) | \$ (629)  | \$ 1,509 | \$ 3,455 |
| Preferred stock dividends and issuance costs at redemption                 | (119)      | (131)     | (372)    | (380)    |
| Net income (loss) available to common stockholders                         | \$ (1,518) | \$ (760)  | \$ 1,137 | \$ 3,075 |
| Basic earnings (loss) per share:   |            |           |          |          |
| Earnings (loss) before extraordinary gains (losses)                        | \$ (1.56)  | \$ (0.79) | \$ 1.17  | \$ 3.16  |
| Extraordinary gains (losses), net of tax effect                            |            |           |          | 0.01     |
| Basic earnings (loss) per share  | \$ (1.56)  | \$ (0.79) | \$ 1.17  | \$ 3.17  |
| Diluted earnings (loss) per share:   |            |           |          |          |
| Earnings (loss) before extraordinary gains (losses)                        | \$ (1.56)  | \$ (0.79) | \$ 1.17  | \$ 3.15  |
| Extraordinary gains (losses), net of tax effect                            |            |           |          | 0.01     |
| Diluted earnings (loss) per share  | \$ (1.56)  | \$ (0.79) | \$ 1.17  | \$ 3.16  |
| Cash dividends per common share  | \$ 0.50    | \$ 0.26   | \$ 1.40  | \$ 0.78  |
| Weighted-average common shares outstanding:                                |            |           |          |          |
| Basic  | 974        | 972       | 973      | 971      |
| Diluted  | 974        | 972       | 975      | 972      |

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

**Table of Contents****FANNIE MAE**

**Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows**  
**(Dollars in millions)**  
**(Unaudited)**

|  | <b>For the<br/>Nine Months Ended<br/>September 30,</b> |             |
|--|--|-------------|
|  | <b>2007</b>  | <b>2006</b> |
| <b>Cash flows provided by operating activities:</b>  |  |             |
| Net income   | \$ 1,509   | \$ 3,455    |
| Amortization of debt cost basis adjustments  | 7,372  | 6,299       |
| Derivatives fair value adjustments   | 1,884  | 799         |
| Purchases of loans held for sale   | (23,326)   | (22,908)    |
| Net change in trading securities (excluding non-cash transfers of \$63,183 and \$35,015 for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively) | 27,206   | 38,394      |
| Other  | 2,301  | (395)       |
| Net cash provided by operating activities  | 16,946   | 25,644      |
| <b>Cash flows provided by (used in) investing activities:</b>  |  |             |
| Purchases of available-for-sale securities   | (110,472)  | (168,337)   |
| Proceeds from maturities of available-for-sale securities  | 112,299  | 126,902     |
| Proceeds from sales of available-for-sale securities   | 49,108   | 70,687      |
| Purchases of loans held for investment   | (48,448)   | (43,930)    |
| Proceeds from repayments of loans held for investment  | 45,202   | 55,047      |
| Advances to lenders  | (50,067)   | (38,170)    |
| Net proceeds from disposition of foreclosed properties   | 1,049  | 2,136       |
| Net change in federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell   | 2,767  | (7,903)     |
| Other  | (692)  | (1,288)     |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities  | 746  | (4,856)     |
| <b>Cash flows used in financing activities:</b>  |  |             |
| Proceeds from issuance of short-term debt  | 1,284,191  | 1,650,737   |
| Payments to redeem short-term debt   | (1,306,772)  | (1,688,018) |
| Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt   | 149,577  | 138,480     |
| Payments to redeem long-term debt  | (143,149)  | (120,096)   |
| Net change in federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase   | 1,525  | (509)       |
| Other  | (1,823)  | (1,123)     |
| Net cash used in financing activities  | (16,451)   | (20,529)    |
| <b>Net increase in cash and cash equivalents</b>   | <b>1,241</b>   | <b>259</b>  |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period   | 3,239  | 2,820       |

Edgar Filing: FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION FANNIE MAE - Form 10-Q

|  |    |        |    |        |
|--|----|--------|----|--------|
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ | 4,480  | \$ | 3,079  |
| <b>Cash paid during the period for:</b>    |    |        |    |        |
| Interest                                   | \$ | 29,269 | \$ | 25,413 |
| Income taxes                               |    | 1,888  |    | 238    |

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

**Table of Contents****FANNIE MAE**

**Condensed Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity**  
**(Dollars and shares in millions, except per share amounts)**  
**(Unaudited)**

|                            | Shares      |           | Additional |         |          | Accumulated   |          | Total         |           |
|----------------------------|-------------|-----------|------------|---------|----------|---------------|----------|---------------|-----------|
|                            | Outstanding | Preferred | Common     | Paid-In | Retained | Comprehensive | Treasury | Stockholders' |           |
|                            | Preferred   | Common    | Stock      | Capital | Earnings | Income        | Stock    | Equity        |           |
|                            | Common      | Stock     | Stock      | Capital | Earnings | (Loss)        | Stock    | Equity        |           |
| <b>Balance as of</b>       |             |           |            |         |          |               |          |               |           |
| <b>January 1, 2006</b>     | 132         | 971       | \$ 9,108   | \$ 593  | \$ 1,913 | \$ 35,555     | \$ (131) | \$ (7,736)    | \$ 39,302 |
| Comprehensive income:      |             |           |            |         |          |               |          |               |           |
| Net income                 |             |           |            |         | 3,455    |               |          |               | 3,455     |
| Other comprehensive        |             |           |            |         |          |               |          |               |           |
| income, net of tax effect: |             |           |            |         |          |               |          |               |           |
| Unrealized losses on       |             |           |            |         |          |               |          |               |           |
| available-for-sale         |             |           |            |         |          |               |          |               |           |
| securities (net of tax of  |             |           |            |         |          |               |          |               |           |
| \$157)                     |             |           |            |         |          |               | (292)    |               | (292)     |
| Reclassification           |             |           |            |         |          |               |          |               |           |
| adjustment for gains       |             |           |            |         |          |               |          |               |           |
| included in net income     |             |           |            |         |          |               |          |               |           |
| (net of tax of \$39)       |             |           |            |         |          |               | (72)     |               | (72)      |
| Unrealized gains on        |             |           |            |         |          |               |          |               |           |
| guaranty assets and        |             |           |            |         |          |               |          |               |           |
| guaranty fee buy-ups       |             |           |            |         |          |               |          |               |           |
| (net of tax of \$5)        |             |           |            |         |          |               | 10       |               | 10        |
| Net cash flow hedging      |             |           |            |         |          |               |          |               |           |
| losses (net of tax of \$1) |             |           |            |         |          |               | (2)      |               | (2)       |
| Total comprehensive        |             |           |            |         |          |               |          |               |           |
| income                     |             |           |            |         |          |               |          |               | 3,099     |
| Common stock               |             |           |            |         |          |               |          |               |           |
| dividends (\$0.78 per      |             |           |            |         |          |               |          |               |           |
| share)                     |             |           |            |         |          | (758)         |          |               | (758)     |
| Preferred stock            |             |           |            |         |          |               |          |               |           |
| dividends                  |             |           |            |         |          | (380)         |          |               | (380)     |
| Treasury stock issued for  |             |           |            |         |          |               |          |               |           |
| stock options and benefit  |             |           |            |         |          |               |          |               |           |
| plans                      |             | 1         |            |         | 2        |               |          | 73            | 75        |
| <b>Balance as of</b>       |             |           |            |         |          |               |          |               |           |
| <b>September 30, 2006</b>  | 132         | 972       | \$ 9,108   | \$ 593  | \$ 1,915 | \$ 37,872     | \$ (487) | \$ (7,663)    | \$ 41,338 |

|  |      |     |          |        |          |           |            |            |           |
|--|------|-----|----------|--------|----------|-----------|------------|------------|-----------|
| <b>Balance as of<br/>December 31, 2006</b>   | 132  | 972 | \$ 9,108 | \$ 593 | \$ 1,942 | \$ 37,955 | \$ (445)   | \$ (7,647) | \$ 41,506 |
| Cumulative effect from<br>the adoption of FIN 48,<br>net of tax  |      |     |          |        |          | 4         |            |            | 4         |
| <b>Balance as of<br/>January 1, 2007,<br/>adjusted</b>   | 132  | 972 | 9,108    | 593    | 1,942    | 37,959    | (445)      | (7,647)    | 41,510    |
| Comprehensive income:  |      |     |          |        |          |           |            |            |           |
| Net income   |      |     |          |        |          | 1,509     |            |            | 1,509     |
| Other comprehensive<br>income, net of tax effect:  |      |     |          |        |          |           |            |            |           |
| Unrealized losses on<br>available-for-sale<br>securities (net of tax of<br>\$634)                        |      |     |          |        |          |           | (1,177)    |            | (1,177)   |
| Reclassification<br>adjustment for gains<br>included in net income<br>(net of tax of \$154)              |      |     |          |        |          |           | (286)      |            | (286)     |
| Unrealized gains on<br>guaranty assets and<br>guaranty fee buy-ups<br>(net of tax of \$40)               |      |     |          |        |          |           | 74         |            | 74        |
| Net cash flow hedging<br>losses (net of tax of \$2)  |      |     |          |        |          |           | (3)        |            | (3)       |
| Amortization of net loss<br>and prior service cost<br>from defined benefit<br>plans (net of tax of \$25) |      |     |          |        |          |           | 46         |            | 46        |
| Total comprehensive<br>income  |      |     |          |        |          |           |            |            | 163       |
| Common stock<br>dividends (\$1.40 per<br>share)  |      |     |          |        |          | (1,369)   |            |            | (1,369)   |
| Preferred stock<br>dividends   |      |     |          |        |          | (362)     |            |            | (362)     |
| Preferred stock<br>redeemed  | (22) |     | (1,100)  |        |          |           |            |            | (1,100)   |
| Preferred stock issuance   | 40   |     | 1,000    |        | (10)     |           |            |            | 990       |
| Treasury stock issued for<br>stock options and benefit<br>plans  |      | 2   |          |        | (44)     |           |            | 134        | 90        |
| <b>Balance as of<br/>September 30, 2007</b>  | 150  | 974 | \$ 9,008 | \$ 593 | \$ 1,888 | \$ 37,737 | \$ (1,791) | \$ (7,513) | \$ 39,922 |

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.



**Table of Contents**

**FANNIE MAE**

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)**

**1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies**

We are a stockholder-owned corporation organized and existing under the Federal National Mortgage Association Charter Act, which we refer to as the Charter Act or our charter (the Federal National Mortgage Association Charter Act, 12 U.S.C. § 1716 et seq.). We were established in 1938 as a U.S. government entity. We became a mixed-ownership corporation by legislation enacted in 1954, with our preferred stock owned by the federal government and our common stock held by private investors. We became a fully privately-owned corporation by legislation enacted in 1968. The U.S. government does not guarantee, directly or indirectly, our securities or other obligations. Our regulators include the Office of Federal Housing Enterprise Oversight ( OFHEO ), the Department of Housing and Urban Development, the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ( SEC ) and the Department of Treasury.

We operate in the secondary mortgage market by purchasing mortgage loans and mortgage-related securities, including mortgage-related securities guaranteed by us, from primary mortgage market institutions, such as commercial banks, savings and loan associations, mortgage banking companies, securities dealers and other investors. We do not lend money directly to consumers in the primary mortgage market. We provide additional liquidity in the secondary mortgage market by issuing guaranteed mortgage-related securities.

We operate under three business segments: Single-Family Credit Guaranty ( Single-Family ), Housing and Community Development ( HCD ) and Capital Markets. Our Single-Family segment generates revenue primarily from the guaranty fees the segment receives as compensation for assuming the credit risk on the mortgage loans underlying guaranteed single-family Fannie Mae mortgage-backed securities ( Fannie Mae MBS ). Our HCD segment generates revenue from a variety of sources, including guaranty fees the segment receives as compensation for assuming the credit risk on the mortgage loans underlying multifamily Fannie Mae MBS, transaction fees associated with the multifamily business and bond credit enhancement fees. In addition, HCD investments in housing projects eligible for the low-income housing tax credit ( LIHTC ) and other investments generate both tax credits and net operating losses that reduce our federal income tax liability. Our Capital Markets segment invests in mortgage loans, mortgage-related securities and liquid investments, and generates income primarily from the difference, or spread, between the yield on the mortgage assets we own and the cost of the debt we issue in the global capital markets to fund these assets.

***Use of Estimates***

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ( GAAP ) requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities as of the date of the consolidated financial statements and the amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Management has made significant estimates in a variety of areas, including but not limited to, valuation of certain financial instruments and other assets and liabilities, amortization of cost basis adjustments, the allowance for loan losses and reserve for guaranty losses, and assumptions used in evaluating whether we should consolidate variable interest entities. Actual results could be different from these estimates.

***Basis of Presentation***

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with GAAP for interim financial information and with the SEC's instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by GAAP for complete consolidated financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments of a normal recurring nature considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Results for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 may not necessarily be indicative of the results for the year ending December 31, 2007. The unaudited interim condensed consolidated financial statements as of September 30,

**Table of Contents**

**FANNIE MAE**

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)  
(UNAUDITED)**

2007 and the condensed consolidated financial statements as of December 31, 2006 should be read in conjunction with our audited consolidated financial statements and related notes included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006, filed with the SEC on August 16, 2007.

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements include our accounts as well as other entities in which we have a controlling financial interest. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated.

The typical condition for a controlling financial interest is ownership of a majority of the voting interests of an entity. A controlling financial interest may also exist in entities through arrangements that do not involve voting interests. We evaluate entities deemed to be variable interest entities ( VIEs ) under Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) Interpretation ( FIN ) No. 46R (revised December 2003), *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities (an interpretation of ARB No. 51)* ( FIN 46R ), to determine when we must consolidate the assets, liabilities and non-controlling interests of a VIE.

***Guaranty Accounting***

As guarantor of our Fannie Mae MBS issuances, we recognize a non-contingent liability for the fair value of our obligation to stand ready to perform over the term of the guaranty as a component of Guaranty obligations in our condensed consolidated balance sheets. The fair value of this obligation represents management's estimate of the amount that we would be required to pay a third party of similar credit standing to assume our obligation. This amount is based on market information from spot transactions, when available. In instances where such observations are not available, this amount is based on the present value of expected cash flows using management's best estimates of certain key assumptions, which include default and severity rates and a market rate of return. The fair value of our Guaranty obligations as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006 is displayed in Note 15, Fair Value of Financial Instruments.

***Trust Management Income***

As master servicer, issuer and trustee for Fannie Mae MBS, we earn a fee that is derived from float income, which is interest earned on cash flows from the date of remittance by servicers until the date of distribution to MBS certificateholders. In 2007, we have included such compensation as Trust management income in our condensed consolidated statement of income consistent with our change in practice to segregate the funds. Prior to November 2006, funds received from servicers were commingled with our corporate assets. As such, our compensation for these roles could not be segregated and, therefore, was previously included as a component of Interest income in our condensed consolidated statements of income.

***Pledged Non-Cash Collateral***

As of September 30, 2007, we pledged a total of \$2.3 billion, comprised of \$13 million of available-for-sale ( AFS ) securities, \$1.5 billion of trading securities, and \$793 million of loans held for investment, which the counterparties had the right to sell or repledge. As of December 31, 2006, we pledged a total of \$663 million, comprised of \$265 million of AFS securities, \$34 million of trading securities, \$149 million of loans held for investment and

\$215 million of cash equivalents, which the counterparty had the right to sell or repledge.

***Reclassifications***

Certain prior period amounts previously recorded as a component of Fee and other income in the condensed consolidated statements of income have been reclassified as Guaranty fee income to conform to the current period presentation.

**Table of Contents**

**FANNIE MAE**

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)  
(UNAUDITED)**

***New Accounting Pronouncements***

*SFAS No. 155, Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments and DIG Issue No. B40, Embedded Derivatives: Application of Paragraph 13(b) to Securitized Interests in Prepayable Financial Assets*

In February 2006, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ( SFAS ) No. 155, *Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments, an amendment of SFAS 133 and SFAS 140* ( SFAS 155 ). This statement: (i) clarifies which interest-only strips and principal-only strips are not subject to SFAS No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities* ( SFAS 133 ); (ii) establishes a requirement to evaluate interests in securitized financial instruments that contain an embedded derivative requiring bifurcation; (iii) clarifies that concentration of credit risks in the form of subordination are not embedded derivatives; and (iv) permits fair value remeasurement for any hybrid financial instrument that contains an embedded derivative that otherwise would require bifurcation.

In January 2007, FASB issued Derivatives Implementation Group ( DIG ) Issue No. B40 ( DIG B40 ). The objective of DIG B40 is to provide a narrow scope exception to certain provisions of SFAS 133 for securitized interests that contain only an embedded derivative that is tied to the prepayment risk of the underlying financial assets. SFAS 155 and DIG B40 are effective for all financial instruments acquired or issued after the beginning of the first fiscal year that begins after September 15, 2006. We adopted SFAS 155 effective January 1, 2007 and elected fair value remeasurement for hybrid financial instruments that contain embedded derivatives that otherwise require bifurcation. We also elected to classify some investment securities that may contain embedded derivatives as trading securities under SFAS No. 115, *Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities* ( SFAS 115 ), which includes buy-ups and guaranty assets arising from portfolio securitization transactions. SFAS 155 is a prospective standard and had no impact on the consolidated financial statements on the date of adoption.

*SFAS No. 156, Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets*

In March 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 156, *Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets, an amendment of FASB Statement No. 140* ( SFAS 156 ). SFAS 156 modifies SFAS No. 140, *Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities (a replacement of FASB Statement No. 125)* ( SFAS 140 ) by requiring that mortgage servicing rights ( MSR ) be initially recognized at fair value and by providing the option to either (i) carry MSR at fair value with changes in fair value recognized in earnings or (ii) continue recognizing periodic amortization expense and assess the MSR for impairment as was originally required by SFAS 140. This option is available by class of servicing asset or liability. This statement also changes the calculation of the gain from the sale of financial assets by requiring that the fair value of servicing rights be considered part of the proceeds received in exchange for the sale of the assets.

SFAS 156 is effective for all separately recognized servicing assets and liabilities acquired or issued after the beginning of a fiscal year that begins after September 15, 2006, with early adoption permitted. We adopted SFAS 156 effective January 1, 2007, with no material impact to the consolidated financial statements, because we are not electing to measure MSR at fair value subsequent to their initial recognition.

*SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements*

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements* ( SFAS 157 ). SFAS 157 provides enhanced guidance for using fair value to measure assets and liabilities and requires companies to provide expanded information about assets and liabilities measured at fair value, including the effect of fair value measurements on earnings. This statement applies whenever other standards require (or permit) assets or liabilities to be measured at fair value, but does not expand the use of fair value in any new circumstances.

Under SFAS 157, fair value refers to the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants in the market in which the reporting entity

**Table of Contents****FANNIE MAE****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)  
(UNAUDITED)**

transacts. This statement clarifies the principle that fair value should be based on the assumptions market participants would use when pricing the asset or liability. In support of this principle, this standard establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the information used to develop those assumptions. The fair value hierarchy gives the highest priority to quoted prices in active markets and the lowest priority to unobservable data (for example, a company's own data). Under this statement, fair value measurements would be separately disclosed by level within the fair value hierarchy.

SFAS 157 is effective for consolidated financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years. We intend to adopt SFAS 157 effective January 1, 2008 and do not expect its adoption to have a material impact on the consolidated financial statements.

*SFAS No. 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities*

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities* ( SFAS 159 ). SFAS 159 permits companies to make a one-time election to report certain financial instruments at fair value with the changes in fair value included in earnings. SFAS 159 is effective for consolidated financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years. We intend to adopt SFAS 159 effective January 1, 2008. We are still evaluating which financial instruments we will elect to report at fair value. Accordingly, we are not able to reasonably estimate the impact of adoption on the consolidated financial statements.

*FSP FIN 39-1, Amendment of FASB Interpretation No. 39*

In April 2007, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position ( FSP ) No. 39-1, *Amendment of FASB Interpretation No. 39* ( FSP FIN 39-1 ). This FSP amends FIN No. 39, *Offsetting of Amounts Related to Certain Contracts* ( FIN 39 ) to allow an entity to offset cash collateral receivables and payables reported at fair value against derivative instruments (as defined by SFAS 133) for contracts executed with the same counterparty under master netting arrangements. The decision to offset cash collateral under this FSP must be applied consistently to all derivatives counterparties where the entity has master netting arrangements. If an entity nets derivative positions as permitted under FIN 39, this FSP requires the entity to also offset the cash collateral receivables and payables with the same counterparty under a master netting arrangement. FSP FIN 39-1 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. As we have elected to net derivative positions under FIN 39, we will adopt FSP FIN 39-1 on January 1, 2008 and are evaluating the impact of its adoption on the consolidated financial statements.

**2. Consolidations**

We have interests in various entities that are considered to be VIEs, as defined by FIN 46R. These interests include investments in securities issued by VIEs, such as Fannie Mae MBS created pursuant to our securitization transactions, mortgage- and asset-backed trusts that were not created by us, limited partnership interests in LIHTC partnerships that are established to finance the construction or development of low-income affordable multifamily housing and other limited partnerships. These interests may also include our guaranty to the entity.

As of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, we had \$10.4 billion and \$10.6 billion of partnership investments, respectively. We consolidated our investments in certain LIHTC funds that were structured as limited partnerships. The funds that were consolidated, in turn, own a majority of the limited partnership interests in other LIHTC operating partnerships, which did not require consolidation under FIN 46R and are therefore accounted for using the equity method. Such investments, which are generally funded through a combination of debt and equity, have a recorded investment of \$3.5 billion and \$3.7 billion as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively.



**Table of Contents****FANNIE MAE****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)  
(UNAUDITED)**

In March 2007, we sold for cash a portfolio of investments in LIHTC partnerships reflecting approximately \$676 million in future LIHTC tax credits and the release of future capital obligations relating to the investments. The portfolio for which these credits were applicable consisted of investments in 12 funds. In July 2007, we sold a portfolio of LIHTC partnerships reflecting approximately \$254 million in future LIHTC tax credits and the release of future capital obligations relating to the investments.

As of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, we had three and five investments, respectively, in limited partnerships relating to alternative energy sources. The purpose of these investments is to facilitate the development of alternative domestic energy sources and to achieve a satisfactory return on capital via a reduction in our federal income tax liability as a result of the use of the tax credits for which the partnerships qualify, as well as the deductibility of the partnerships' net operating losses. We sold two of these investments for \$16 million during the three months ended March 31, 2007.

**3. Mortgage Loans**

The following table displays the characteristics of both held-for-investment and held-for-sale loans in our mortgage portfolio as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, and does not include loans underlying securities that are not consolidated, since in those instances the mortgage loans are not included in the condensed consolidated balance sheets.

|   | As of                 |                      |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------|
|   | September 30,<br>2007 | December 31,<br>2006 |
|   | (Dollars in millions) |                      |
| Single-family <sup>(1)</sup>  | \$ 321,955            | \$ 322,703           |
| Multifamily   | 77,465                | 60,342               |
| Total unpaid principal balance of mortgage loans <sup>(2)</sup>       | 399,420               | 383,045              |
| Unamortized premiums, discounts and other cost basis adjustments, net | 679                   | 943                  |
| Lower of cost or market adjustments on loans held for sale            | (101)                 | (93)                 |
| Allowance for loan losses for loans held for investment               | (395)                 | (340)                |
| Total mortgage loans, net   | \$ 399,603            | \$ 383,555           |

(1) Includes construction to permanent loans with an unpaid principal balance of \$138 million and \$121 million as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively.

(2) Includes unpaid principal totaling \$100.0 billion and \$105.5 billion as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively, related to mortgage-related securities that were consolidated under FIN 46R and

mortgage-related securities created from securitization transactions that did not meet the sales criteria under SFAS 140, which effectively resulted in mortgage-related securities being accounted for as loans.

Table of Contents**FANNIE MAE****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)  
(UNAUDITED)****4. Allowance for Loan Losses and Reserve for Guaranty Losses**

We maintain an allowance for loan losses for loans held in our mortgage portfolio and a reserve for guaranty losses related to loans that back Fannie Mae MBS. The following table displays changes in the allowance for loan losses and reserve for guaranty losses for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006.

|                              | <b>For the<br/>Three Months<br/>Ended<br/>September 30,<br/>2007</b> |             | <b>For the<br/>Nine Months<br/>Ended<br/>September 30,<br/>2006</b> |             |
|------------------------------|--|-------------|---|-------------|
|                              | <b>2007</b>  | <b>2006</b> | <b>2007</b>   | <b>2006</b> |
|                              | <b>(Dollars in millions)</b>   |             |   |             |
| Allowance for loan losses:   |  |             |   |             |
| Beginning balance            | \$ 337   | \$ 314      | \$ 340  | \$ 302      |
| Provision                    | 148  | 37          | 238   | 114         |
| Charge-offs <sup>(1)</sup>   | (115)  | (57)        | (241)   | (160)       |
| Recoveries                   | 25   | 21          | 58  | 59          |
| Ending balance               | \$ 395   | \$ 315      | \$ 395  | \$ 315      |
| Reserve for guaranty losses: |  |             |   |             |
| Beginning balance            | \$ 821   | \$ 407      | \$ 519  | \$ 422      |
| Provision                    | 939  | 108         | 1,532   | 254         |
| Charge-offs <sup>(2)</sup>   | (757)  | (73)        | (1,078)   | (241)       |
| Recoveries                   | 9  | 5           | 39  | 12          |
| Ending balance               | \$ 1,012   | \$ 447      | \$ 1,012  | \$ 447      |

(1) Includes accrued interest of \$32 million and \$11 million for the three months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively, and \$84 million and \$29 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

(2) Includes charge of \$670 million and \$37 million for the three months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively, and \$805 million and \$153 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively, for loans subject to Statement of Position No. 03-3, *Accounting for Certain Loans or Debt Securities Acquired in a Transfer* ( SOP 03-3 ), where the acquisition price exceeded the fair value of the acquired loan.

During the three months ended September 30, 2007, a decrease in the fair value of loans acquired subject to SOP 03-3 resulted in a higher charge-off amount than in previous periods as displayed in the table above.

**Table of Contents****FANNIE MAE****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)  
(UNAUDITED)****5. Investments in Securities**

Our securities portfolio contains mortgage-related and non-mortgage-related securities. The following table displays our investments in securities, which are presented at fair value as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006.

|  | As of                 |                      |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|
|  | September 30,<br>2007 | December 31,<br>2006 |
|  | (Dollars in millions) |                      |
| Mortgage-related securities:           |                       |                      |
| Non-Fannie Mae structured              | \$ 104,713            | \$ 97,300            |
| Fannie Mae single-class MBS            | 100,246               | 121,994              |
| Fannie Mae structured MBS              | 72,108                | 74,684               |
| Non-Fannie Mae single-class            | 27,668                | 27,590               |
| Mortgage revenue bonds                 | 16,112                | 17,221               |
| Other                                  | 3,317                 | 3,750                |
| <b>Total</b>                           | <b>324,164</b>        | <b>342,539</b>       |
| Non-mortgage-related securities:       |                       |                      |
| Asset-backed securities                | 20,367                | 18,914               |
| Corporate debt securities              | 17,621                | 17,594               |
| Commercial paper                       | 1,305                 | 10,010               |
| Other                                  | 238                   | 1,055                |
| <b>Total</b>                           | <b>39,531</b>         | <b>47,573</b>        |
| <b>Total investments in securities</b> | <b>\$ 363,695</b>     | <b>\$ 390,112</b>    |

***Trading Securities***

Trading securities are recorded at fair value with subsequent changes in fair value recorded as Investment gains (losses), net in the condensed consolidated statements of income. The following table displays our investments in trading securities and the amount of net losses recognized from holding these securities as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006.

As of  
**September 30,    December 31,**

|   | <b>2007</b>                  | <b>2006</b>   |
|---|------------------------------|---------------|
|   | <b>(Dollars in millions)</b> |               |
| Non-Fannie Mae structured mortgage-related securities       | \$ 30,415                    | \$            |
| Fannie Mae single-class MBS                                 | 12,532                       | 11,070        |
| Fannie Mae structured MBS                                   | 4,788                        |               |
| Non-Fannie Mae single-class mortgage-related securities     | 582                          | 444           |
| Mortgage revenue bonds                                      | 366                          |               |
| <br>Total   | <br>\$ 48,683                | <br>\$ 11,514 |
| <br>Losses on trading securities held in our portfolio, net | <br>\$ 454                   | <br>\$ 274    |

**Table of Contents****FANNIE MAE****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)  
(UNAUDITED)**

In connection with our adoption of SFAS 155 on January 1, 2007, we elected to classify some investment securities that may contain embedded derivatives as trading securities under SFAS 115 resulting in a significant increase in our trading portfolio as of September 30, 2007 as displayed in the table above.

We record gains and losses from both the sale of trading securities and from changes in fair value from holding trading securities in our investment portfolio in Investment gains (losses), net in the condensed consolidated statements of income. The following table displays the realized gains and losses from the sale of trading securities as well as the net change in gains and losses from holding trading securities for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006.

|  | <b>For the<br/>Three Months<br/>Ended<br/>September 30,<br/>2007</b> |             | <b>For the<br/>Nine Months<br/>Ended<br/>September 30,<br/>2006</b> |             |
|--|--|-------------|---|-------------|
|  | <b>2007</b>  | <b>2006</b> | <b>2007</b>   | <b>2006</b> |
|  | <b>(Dollars in millions)</b>   |             |   |             |
| Realized gains (losses) from the sale of trading securities  | \$ 52  | \$ (5)      | \$ 41   | \$ 10       |
| Net change in gains (losses) from holding trading securities | 249  | 364         | (180)   | (25)        |

Included as a component of Accumulated other comprehensive income ( AOCI ) are unrealized gains and losses on AFS securities, net of tax effect. As of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, gross unrealized gains on AFS securities included in AOCI were \$1.8 billion and \$2.8 billion, respectively, and gross unrealized losses on AFS securities included in AOCI were \$5.0 billion and \$3.7 billion, respectively.

**6. Financial Guaranties**

We generate revenue by absorbing the credit risk of mortgage loans and mortgage-related securities backing our Fannie Mae MBS in exchange for a guaranty fee. We primarily issue single-class and multi-class Fannie Mae MBS and guarantee to the respective MBS trusts that we will supplement amounts received by the MBS trust as required to permit timely payment of principal and interest on the related Fannie Mae MBS, irrespective of the cash flows received from borrowers. We also provide credit enhancements on taxable or tax-exempt mortgage revenue bonds issued by state and local governmental entities to finance multifamily housing for low- and moderate-income families. Additionally, we issue long-term standby commitments that require us to purchase loans from lenders if the loans meet certain delinquency criteria.

We record a guaranty obligation for (i) guaranties on lender swap transactions issued or modified pursuant to FIN No. 45, *Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others (an interpretation of FASB Statements No. 5, 57, and 107 and rescission of FIN No. 34)* ( FIN 45 ), (ii) guaranties on portfolio securitization transactions, (iii) credit enhancements on mortgage revenue bonds, and (iv) our obligation to absorb losses under long-term standby commitments. Our guaranty obligation represents our estimated obligation to stand ready to perform on these guaranties.

The maximum exposure of guaranties recorded in the condensed consolidated balance sheets is primarily comprised of the unpaid principal balance of the underlying mortgage loans, which totaled \$2.0 trillion and \$1.7 trillion as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively. In addition, we had exposure of \$220.8 billion and \$254.6 billion for other guaranties not recorded in the condensed consolidated balance sheets as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively. The maximum number of interest payments we would make with respect to each delinquent mortgage loan pursuant to these guaranties is typically 24 because generally we are contractually required to purchase loans from trusts when the loan is 24 months past due. Further, we expect that the amount of interest payments would be less to the extent that loans are either purchased earlier than the mandatory purchase date or are foreclosed upon prior to 24 months of delinquency.



Table of Contents**FANNIE MAE****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)  
(UNAUDITED)**

The maximum exposure from our guaranties is not representative of the actual loss we are likely to incur, based on our historical loss experience. In the event we were required to make payments under our guaranties, we would pursue recovery of these payments by exercising our rights to the collateral backing the underlying loans or through available credit enhancements, which includes all recourse with third parties and mortgage insurance. The maximum amount we could recover through available credit enhancements and recourse with third parties on guaranties recorded in the condensed consolidated balance sheets was \$105.7 billion and \$99.4 billion as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively. The maximum amount we could recover through available credit enhancements and recourse with all third parties on other guaranties not recorded in the condensed consolidated balance sheets was \$24.6 billion and \$28.8 billion as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively.

The following table displays changes in our Guaranty obligations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006.

|   | <b>For the<br/>Three Months<br/>Ended<br/>September 30,<br/>2007</b> |             | <b>For the<br/>Nine Months<br/>Ended<br/>September 30,<br/>2007</b> |             |
|---|--|-------------|---|-------------|
|   | <b>2006</b>  | <b>2006</b> | <b>2006</b>   | <b>2006</b> |
|   | <b>(Dollars in millions)</b>   |             |   |             |
| Balance as of beginning of period                             | \$ 12,954  | \$ 10,975   | \$ 11,145   | \$ 10,016   |
| Additions to guaranty obligations <sup>(1)</sup>              | 2,383  | 1,149       | 5,857   | 3,465       |
| Amortization of guaranty obligations into guaranty fee income | (777)  | (742)       | (2,248)   | (1,899)     |
| Impact of consolidation activity <sup>(2)</sup>               | (238)  | (87)        | (432)   | (287)       |
| Balance as of end of period                                   | \$ 14,322  | \$ 11,295   | \$ 14,322   | \$ 11,295   |

(1) Represents the fair value of the contractual obligation and deferred profit at issuance of new guaranties.

(2) Upon consolidation of MBS trusts, we derecognize our guaranty obligation to the respective trust.

Deferred profit is a component of Guaranty obligations in the condensed consolidated balance sheets and is included in the table above. We record deferred profit on guaranties issued or modified on or after the adoption date of FIN 45 if the consideration we expect to receive for our guaranty exceeds the estimated fair value of the guaranty obligation. Deferred profit had a carrying amount of \$4.4 billion and \$4.6 billion as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively. For the three months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, we recognized deferred profit amortization of \$221 million and \$281 million, respectively. For the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, we recognized deferred profit amortization of \$714 million and \$771 million, respectively.



Table of Contents

## FANNIE MAE

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)  
(UNAUDITED)**7. Acquired Property, Net**

Acquired property, net includes foreclosed property received in full satisfaction of a loan net of a valuation allowance for subsequent fair value declines. The following table displays the activity in acquired property and the related valuation allowance for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2007.

|                                   | For the Three Months Ended<br>September 30, 2007 |                        |                              | For the Nine Months Ended<br>September 30, 2007 |                        |                              |
|-----------------------------------|--|------------------------|------------------------------|---|------------------------|------------------------------|
|                                   | Acquired<br>Property                             | Valuation<br>Allowance | Acquired<br>Property,<br>Net | Acquired<br>Property<br>(Dollars in Millions)   | Valuation<br>Allowance | Acquired<br>Property,<br>Net |
| Balance as of beginning of period | \$ 2,810   | \$ (135)               | \$ 2,675                     | \$ 2,257  | \$ (116)               | \$ 2,141                     |
| Additions                         | 1,449  | (78)                   | 1,371                        | 3,794   | (88)                   | 3,706                        |
| Disposals                         | (986)  | 83                     | (903)                        | (2,778)   | 224                    | (2,554)                      |
| Write-downs, net of recoveries    |  | (36)                   | (36)                         |   | (186)                  | (186)                        |
| Balance as of end of period       | \$ 3,273   | \$ (166)               | \$ 3,107                     | \$ 3,273  | \$ (166)               | \$ 3,107                     |

**8. Short-term Borrowings and Long-term Debt***Short-term Borrowings*

Our short-term borrowings (borrowings with an original contractual maturity of one year or less) consist of both Federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase and Short-term debt in the condensed consolidated balance sheets. The following table displays our short-term borrowings as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006.

|             | As of   |                   |  |
|-------------|---|-------------------|--|
|             | September 30, 2007  | December 31, 2006 |  |
| Outstanding | Weighted<br>Average<br>Interest<br>Rate <sup>(1)</sup><br>(Dollars in millions) | Outstanding       | Weighted<br>Average<br>Interest<br>Rate <sup>(1)</sup> |
| \$ 1,645    | 5.60%   | \$ 700            | 5.36%  |

Federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase

Fixed short-term debt:

|                                 |            |       |            |       |
|---------------------------------|------------|-------|------------|-------|
| Discount notes                  | \$ 150,162 | 5.06% | \$ 158,785 | 5.16% |
| Foreign exchange discount notes | 183        | 4.32  | 194        | 4.09  |
| Other short-term debt           | 2,124      | 5.15  | 5,707      | 5.24  |
| Total fixed short-term debt     | 152,469    | 5.06  | 164,686    | 5.16  |
| Debt from consolidations        | 677        | 5.35  | 1,124      | 5.32  |
| Total short-term debt           | \$ 153,146 | 5.06% | \$ 165,810 | 5.16% |

(1) Includes discounts, premiums and other cost basis adjustments.

**Table of Contents****FANNIE MAE****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)  
(UNAUDITED)****Long-term Debt**

Long-term debt represents borrowings with an original contractual maturity of greater than one year. The following table displays our long-term debt as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006.

|                                     | September 30, 2007 |             | As of   |            | December 31, 2006 |  |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------|---|------------|-------------------|--|
|                                     | Maturities         | Outstanding | Weighted<br>Average<br>Interest<br>Rate <sup>(1)</sup><br>(Dollars in millions) | Maturities | Outstanding       | Weighted<br>Average<br>Interest<br>Rate <sup>(1)</sup> |
| Senior fixed:                       |                    |             |   |            |                   |  |
| Benchmark notes and<br>bonds        | 2007-2030          | \$ 261,539  | 5.11%   | 2007-2030  | \$ 277,453        | 4.98%  |
| Medium-term notes                   | 2007-2017          | 241,693     | 5.11  | 2007-2016  | 239,033           | 4.75   |
| Foreign exchange notes and<br>bonds | 2007-2028          | 3,459       | 3.38  | 2007-2028  | 4,340             | 3.88   |
| Other long-term debt                | 2007-2038          | 68,655      | 6.01  | 2007-2038  | 55,273            | 6.05   |
| Total senior fixed                  |                    | 575,346     | 5.20  |            | 576,099           | 4.98   |
| Senior floating:                    |                    |             |   |            |                   |  |
| Medium-term notes                   | 2007-2017          | 14,727      | 5.81  | 2007-2016  | 5,522             | 5.06   |
| Other long-term debt                | 2022-2037          | 924         | 6.80  |            |                   |  |
| Total senior floating               |                    | 15,651      | 5.87  |            | 5,522             | 5.06   |
| Subordinated fixed:                 |                    |             |   |            |                   |  |
| Medium-term notes                   | 2008-2011          | 3,500       | 5.62  | 2007-2011  | 5,500             | 5.38   |
| Other subordinated debt             | 2012-2019          | 7,480       | 6.37  | 2012-2019  | 7,352             | 6.30   |
| Total subordinated fixed            |                    | 10,980      | 6.13  |            | 12,852            | 5.91   |
| Debt from consolidations            | 2007-2039          | 6,642       | 5.84  | 2007-2039  | 6,763             | 5.98   |
| Total long-term debt <sup>(2)</sup> |                    | \$ 608,619  | 5.25%   |            | \$ 601,236        | 5.01%  |

(1) Includes discounts, premiums and other cost basis adjustments.

(2)

Reported amounts include a net premium and other cost basis adjustments of \$12.4 billion and \$11.9 billion as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively.

## **9. Derivative Instruments**

We use derivative instruments, in combination with our debt issuances, to reduce the duration and prepayment risk relating to the mortgage assets we own. We also enter into commitments to purchase and sell mortgage-related securities and commitments to purchase mortgage loans. We account for some of these commitments as derivatives. Typically, we settle the notional amount of our mortgage commitments; however, we do not settle the notional amount of our derivative instruments. Notional amounts, therefore, simply provide the basis for calculating actual payments or settlement amounts.

Although derivative instruments are critical to our interest rate risk management strategy, we did not apply hedge accounting to instruments entered into during any period presented. As such, all fair value changes and gains and losses on these derivatives, including accrued interest, were recognized as Derivatives fair value losses, net in the condensed consolidated statements of income.

**Table of Contents****FANNIE MAE****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)  
(UNAUDITED)**

The following table displays the outstanding notional balances and fair value of our derivative instruments as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006.

|   | September 30, 2007 |  | As of<br>December 31, 2006 |                           |
|---|--------------------|--|----------------------------|---------------------------|
|   | Notional           | Fair Value <sup>(1)</sup><br>(Dollars in millions) | Notional                   | Fair Value <sup>(1)</sup> |
| Risk management derivatives:                              |                    |  |                            |                           |
| Swaps:  |                    |  |                            |                           |
| Pay-fixed   | \$ 329,657         | \$ (3,986)   | \$ 268,068                 | \$ (1,447)                |
| Receive-fixed   | 256,902            | 1,221  | 247,084                    | (615)                     |
| Basis   | 8,401              | (112)  | 950                        | (2)                       |
| Foreign currency  | 3,642              | 431  | 4,551                      | 371                       |
| Swaptions:  |                    |  |                            |                           |
| Receive-fixed   | 86,194             | 1,076  | 114,921                    | 3,721                     |
| Pay-fixed   | 126,315            | 3,688  | 95,350                     | 1,102                     |
| Interest rate caps  | 2,750              | 19   | 14,000                     | 124                       |
| Other <sup>(2)</sup>                                      | 504                | 64   | 469                        | 65                        |
|   | 814,365            | 2,401  | 745,393                    | 3,319                     |
| Accrued interest (payable) receivable                     |                    | (590)  |                            | 406                       |
| Total risk management derivatives                         | \$ 814,365         | \$ 1,811   | \$ 745,393                 | \$ 3,725                  |
| Mortgage commitment derivatives:                          |                    |  |                            |                           |
| Mortgage commitments to purchase whole loans              | \$ 1,985           | \$ (3)   | \$ 1,741                   | \$ (6)                    |
| Forward contracts to purchase mortgage-related securities | 40,328             | 86   | 16,556                     | (25)                      |
| Forward contracts to sell mortgage-related securities     | 42,319             | (58)   | 21,631                     | 53                        |
| Total mortgage commitment derivatives                     | \$ 84,632          | \$ 25  | \$ 39,928                  | \$ 22                     |

(1) Represents the net of Derivative assets at fair value and Derivative liabilities at fair value.

(2) Includes MBS options, swap credit enhancements and mortgage insurance contracts that are accounted for as derivatives and certain forward starting debt. The mortgage insurance contracts have payment provisions that are not based on a notional amount.

**10. Income Taxes**

In July 2006, the FASB issued FIN No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes (an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109)* ( FIN 48 ). FIN 48 supplements SFAS No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes*, by defining a threshold for recognizing tax benefits in the consolidated financial statements. FIN 48 provides a two-step approach to recognizing and measuring tax benefits when a benefit's realization is uncertain. First, income tax benefits should be recognized when, based on the technical merits of a tax position, we believe that it is more likely than not (a probability of greater than 50%) that the tax position would be sustained as filed. Second, the benefit recognized for a tax position that meets the more-likely-than-not criterion is measured based on the largest amount of tax benefit that is more than 50% likely to be realized upon ultimate settlement with the taxing authority, taking into consideration the amounts and probabilities of the outcomes upon settlement.

In May 2007, the FASB issued FSP FIN 48-1, *Definition of Settlement in FASB Interpretation No. 48* ( FSP FIN 48-1 ) to provide guidance on determining whether or not a tax position has been effectively settled for



**Table of Contents**

**FANNIE MAE**

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)  
(UNAUDITED)**

the purpose of recognizing previously unrecognized tax benefits. FIN 48 and FSP FIN 48-1 are effective for consolidated financial statements beginning in the first quarter of 2007. The cumulative effect of applying the provisions of FIN 48 upon adoption is reported as an adjustment to beginning retained earnings.

On January 1, 2007, we adopted FIN 48 and FSP FIN 48-1 and recorded an increase to stockholders' equity of \$4 million to record the cumulative effect adjustment related to uncertain tax positions. Upon adoption, we had unrecognized tax benefits of \$163 million, of which \$8 million, if resolved favorably, would reduce our effective tax rate in future periods. The remaining \$155 million represents temporary differences. Also, as of the adoption date, we had accrued interest payable related to unrecognized tax benefits of \$21 million and did not recognize any tax penalty payable as part of the cumulative effect adjustment. It is reasonably possible that changes in our gross balance of unrecognized tax benefits may occur within the next 12 months, including possible changes arising from an Internal Revenue Service ( IRS ) review of our tax treatment of certain securities. As of November 8, 2007, any potential change in such unrecognized tax benefits related to pending tax matters cannot be estimated.

Effective with our adoption of FIN 48, we elected to recognize potential accrued interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits as Other expenses in our condensed consolidated statement of income. Previously, such amounts were recorded as a component of Provision (benefit) for federal income taxes in our condensed consolidated statements of income.

Our effective tax rate is the provision for federal income taxes, excluding the tax effect of extraordinary items, expressed as a percentage of income before federal income taxes. Our effective rate is different from the federal statutory rate of 35% primarily due to the benefits of our investments in housing projects eligible for the low-income housing tax credit and other equity investments that provide tax credits, as well as our holdings of tax-exempt investments. For the three months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, we recorded a tax benefit of 29% and 50%, respectively. For the nine months ended September 30, 2007, we recorded a tax benefit of 45%, compared to a tax provision of 10% for the nine months ended September 30, 2006.

We are subject to federal income tax, but we are exempt from state and local income taxes. The IRS is currently examining our 2005 and 2006 federal income tax returns. The IRS Appeals Division is currently considering issues related to tax years 1999-2004. We and the IRS have resolved all issues raised by the IRS for the years prior to 1999.

Table of Contents**FANNIE MAE****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)  
(UNAUDITED)****11. Earnings Per Share**

The following table displays the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share of common stock for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006.

|  | <b>For the<br/>Three Months<br/>Ended<br/>September 30,<br/>2007      2006</b> |           | <b>For the<br/>Nine Months<br/>Ended<br/>September 30,<br/>2007      2006</b> |          |
|--|--|-----------|---|----------|
|  | <b>(Dollars and shares in millions,<br/>except per share amounts)</b>          |           |   |          |
| Income (loss) before extraordinary gains (losses)                  | \$ (1,402)   | \$ (633)  | \$ 1,512  | \$ 3,444 |
| Extraordinary gains (losses), net of tax effect                    | 3  | 4         | (3)   | 11       |
| Net income (loss)  | (1,399)  | (629)     | 1,509   | 3,455    |
| Preferred stock dividends and issuance costs at redemption         | (119)  | (131)     | (372)   | (380)    |
| Net income (loss) available to common stockholders <sup>(1)</sup>  | \$ (1,518)   | \$ (760)  | \$ 1,137  | \$ 3,075 |
| Weighted-average common shares outstanding basic                   | 974  | 972       | 973   | 971      |
| Stock-based awards <sup>(2)</sup>                                  |  |           | 2   | 1        |
| Weighted-average common shares outstanding diluted                 | 974  | 972       | 975   | 972      |
| Basic earnings (loss) per share:                                   |  |           |   |          |
| Earnings (loss) before extraordinary gains (losses) <sup>(3)</sup> | \$ (1.56)  | \$ (0.79) | \$ 1.17   | \$ 3.16  |
| Extraordinary gains (losses), net of tax effect                    |  |           |   | 0.01     |
| Basic earnings (loss) per share                                    | \$ (1.56)  | \$ (0.79) | \$ 1.17   | \$ 3.17  |
| Diluted earnings (loss) per share:                                 |  |           |   |          |
| Earnings (loss) before extraordinary gains (losses) <sup>(3)</sup> | \$ (1.56)  | \$ (0.79) | \$ 1.17   | \$ 3.15  |
| Extraordinary gains (losses), net of tax effect                    |  |           |   | 0.01     |
| Diluted earnings (loss) per share                                  | \$ (1.56)  | \$ (0.79) | \$ 1.17   | \$ 3.16  |

(1)

In the computation of diluted EPS, convertible preferred stock dividends are added back to net income available to common stockholders when the assumed conversion of the preferred shares is dilutive and is assumed to be converted from the beginning of the period. For all periods, the assumed conversion of the preferred shares had an anti-dilutive effect.

- (2) Represents incremental shares from in-the-money nonqualified stock options and other performance awards. Weighted-average options to purchase approximately 14 million and 21 million shares of common stock for the three months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively, and 17 million and 23 million shares of common stock for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively, were outstanding in each period, but were excluded from the computation of diluted EPS since they would have been anti-dilutive.
- (3) Amount is net of preferred stock dividends and issuance costs at redemption.

Table of Contents**FANNIE MAE****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)  
(UNAUDITED)****12. Employee Retirement Benefits**

The following table displays components of our net periodic benefit cost for our qualified and nonqualified pension plans and postretirement health care plan for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006.

|  | For the Three Months Ended September 30, |                   |  |               |                   |                             |
|--|--|-------------------|--|---------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|
|  | 2007                                     |                   |  | 2006          |                   |                             |
|  | Pension Plans                            |                   | Other  | Pension Plans |                   | Other                       |
|  | Qualified                                | Non-<br>Qualified | Post-<br>Retirement<br>Plan<br>(Dollars in millions) | Qualified     | Non-<br>Qualified | Post-<br>Retirement<br>Plan |
| Service cost                             | \$ 17                                    | \$ 3              | \$ 5   | \$ 13         | \$ 2              | \$ 3                        |
| Interest cost                            | 12                                       | 2                 | 4  | 11            | 2                 | 3                           |
| Expected return on plan assets           | (14)                                     |                   |  | (12)          |                   |                             |
| Amortization of net loss                 | (1)                                      | 1                 |  | 1             | 1                 |                             |
| Amortization of prior service cost       | 1  | (1)               | (1)  |               | 1                 | (1)                         |
| Amortization of initial transition asset |  |                   | 1  |               |                   | 1                           |
| Curtailment gain                         | 1  |                   | 9  |               |                   |                             |
| Net periodic benefit cost                | \$ 16                                    | \$ 5              | \$ 18  | \$ 13         | \$ 6              | \$ 6                        |

|  | For the Nine Months Ended September 30, |                   |  |               |                   |                             |
|--|---|-------------------|--|---------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|
|  | 2007                                    |                   |  | 2006          |                   |                             |
|  | Pension Plans                           |                   | Other  | Pension Plans |                   | Other                       |
|  | Qualified                               | Non-<br>Qualified | Post-<br>Retirement<br>Plan<br>(Dollars in millions) | Qualified     | Non-<br>Qualified | Post-<br>Retirement<br>Plan |
| Service cost                             | \$ 45                                   | \$ 9              | \$ 11  | \$ 40         | \$ 7              | \$ 9                        |
| Interest cost                            | 36                                      | 7                 | 9  | 33            | 7                 | 8                           |
| Expected return on plan assets           | (42)                                    |                   |  | (34)          |                   |                             |
| Amortization of net loss                 |   | 2                 | 1  | 6             | 3                 | 1                           |
| Amortization of prior service cost       | 1                                       | 1                 | (1)  |               | 2                 | (1)                         |
| Amortization of initial transition asset |   |                   | 2  |               |                   | 2                           |

|                           |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
|---------------------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| Curtailment gain          |    | 1  |    | 9  |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Net periodic benefit cost | \$ | 41 | \$ | 19 | \$ | 31 | \$ | 45 | \$ | 19 | \$ | 19 |

As of September 30, 2007, contributions of \$4 million have been made to the nonqualified pension plans and \$4 million to our postretirement benefit plan. We anticipate contributing an additional \$1 million to fund our nonqualified pension plans in 2007 for a total of \$5 million. Also, we anticipate contributing an additional \$1 million to fund our postretirement benefit plan in 2007 for a total of \$5 million.

As a result of our reduction in workforce from involuntary severance and our voluntary early retirement program offered during the third quarter of 2007, our pension and post-retirement assets and liabilities were remeasured as of August 31, 2007. This remeasurement resulted in a curtailment charge that increased Salaries and employee benefits expense in the condensed consolidated statements of income by \$10 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007. There are no additional cash contributions required as a result of this curtailment, and we recorded a \$13 million prepaid asset in our condensed consolidated balance sheet as of September 30, 2007 to reflect the overfunded status of our pension plans.

**Table of Contents****FANNIE MAE****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)  
(UNAUDITED)**

As a result of the remeasurement, the discount rate used to calculate net periodic benefit cost increased to 6.35% beginning September 1, 2007 based on current yields on high-quality, corporate fixed-income debt instruments with maturities corresponding to the expected duration of our benefit obligations and supported by cash flow matching analysis based on expected cash flows specific to the characteristics of our plan participants. No other assumptions changed as a result of the remeasurement.

**13. Segment Reporting**

We manage our business using three operating segments: Single-Family; HCD; and Capital Markets. The following table displays our segment results for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006.

Our segment financial results include directly attributable revenues and expenses. Additionally, we allocate to each of our segments: (i) capital using OFHEO minimum capital requirements adjusted for over- or under-capitalization; (ii) indirect administrative costs; and (iii) a provision for federal income taxes. In addition, we allocate intercompany guaranty fee income as a charge to Capital Markets from the Single-Family and HCD segments for managing the credit risk on mortgage loans held by the Capital Markets segment.

|  | <b>For the Three Months Ended<br/>September 30, 2007</b> |            |                            |              |
|--|--|------------|----------------------------|--------------|
|  | <b>Single-<br/>Family</b>                                | <b>HCD</b> | <b>Capital<br/>Markets</b> | <b>Total</b> |
|  | <b>(Dollars in millions)</b>                             |            |                            |              |
| Net interest income (expense) <sup>(1)</sup>             | \$ 100   | \$ (106)   | \$ 1,064                   | \$ 1,058     |
| Guaranty fee income (expense) <sup>(2)</sup>             | 1,424  | 115        | (307)                      | 1,232        |
| Losses on certain guaranty contracts                     | (292)  | (2)        |                            | (294)        |
| Trust management income                                  | 138  | 8          |                            | 146          |
| Investment (gains) losses, net                           | (47)   |            | 183                        | 136          |
| Derivatives fair value losses, net                       |  |            | (2,244)                    | (2,244)      |
| Debt extinguishment gains, net                           |  |            | 31                         | 31           |
| Losses from partnership investments                      |  | (147)      |                            | (147)        |
| Fee and other income (expense)                           | 72   | 70         | (66)                       | 76           |
| Administrative expenses                                  | (370)  | (134)      | (156)                      | (660)        |
| Provision for credit losses                              | (1,084)  | (3)        |                            | (1,087)      |
| Other expenses   | (225)  | (5)        | (1)                        | (231)        |
| Loss before federal income taxes and extraordinary gains | (284)  | (204)      | (1,496)                    | (1,984)      |
| Benefit for federal income taxes                         | (98)   | (301)      | (183)                      | (582)        |
| Income (loss) before extraordinary gains                 | (186)  | 97         | (1,313)                    | (1,402)      |
| Extraordinary gains, net of tax effect                   |  |            | 3                          | 3            |

|                   |          |       |            |            |
|-------------------|----------|-------|------------|------------|
| Net income (loss) | \$ (186) | \$ 97 | \$ (1,310) | \$ (1,399) |
|-------------------|----------|-------|------------|------------|

- (1) Includes cost of capital charge.
- (2) Includes intercompany guaranty fee income (expense) allocated to Single-Family and HCD from Capital Markets for absorbing the credit risk on mortgage loans held in our portfolio.

**Table of Contents****FANNIE MAE****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)  
(UNAUDITED)**

|   | <b>For the Three Months Ended<br/>September 30, 2006</b> |            |                            |              |
|---|--|------------|----------------------------|--------------|
|   | <b>Single-<br/>Family</b>                                | <b>HCD</b> | <b>Capital<br/>Markets</b> | <b>Total</b> |
|   | <b>(Dollars in millions)</b>                             |            |                            |              |
| Net interest income (expense) <sup>(1)</sup>                      | \$ 257   | \$ (81)    | \$ 1,352                   | \$ 1,528     |
| Guaranty fee income (expense) <sup>(2)(3)</sup>                   | 1,242  | 120        | (278)                      | 1,084        |
| Losses on certain guaranty contracts                              | (101)  | (2)        |                            | (103)        |
| Investment gains, net   | 21   |            | 529                        | 550          |
| Derivatives fair value losses, net                                |  |            | (3,381)                    | (3,381)      |
| Debt extinguishment gains, net                                    |  |            | 72                         | 72           |
| Losses from partnership investments                               |  | (197)      |                            | (197)        |
| Fee and other income <sup>(3)</sup>                               | 67   | 50         | 117                        | 234          |
| Administrative expenses   | (391)  | (144)      | (226)                      | (761)        |
| Provision for credit losses                                       | (142)  | (3)        |                            | (145)        |
| Other income (expense)  | (141)  | (14)       | 2                          | (153)        |
| Income (loss) before federal income taxes and extraordinary gains | 812  | (271)      | (1,813)                    | (1,272)      |
| Provision (benefit) for federal income taxes                      | 283  | (360)      | (562)                      | (639)        |
| Income (loss) before extraordinary gains                          | 529  | 89         | (1,251)                    | (633)        |
| Extraordinary gains, net of tax effect                            |  |            | 4                          | 4            |
| Net income (loss)   | \$ 529   | \$ 89      | \$ (1,247)                 | \$ (629)     |

(1) Includes cost of capital charge.

(2) Includes intercompany guaranty fee income (expense) allocated to Single-Family and HCD from Capital Markets for absorbing the credit risk on mortgage loans held in our portfolio.

(3) Certain prior period amounts that previously were included as a component of Fee and other income have been reclassified to Guaranty fee income to conform to the current period presentation.



**Table of Contents****FANNIE MAE****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)  
(UNAUDITED)**

|  | <b>For the Nine Months Ended<br/>September 30, 2007</b> |            |                            |              |
|--|---|------------|----------------------------|--------------|
|  | <b>Single-<br/>Family</b>                               | <b>HCD</b> | <b>Capital<br/>Markets</b> | <b>Total</b> |
|  | <b>(Dollars in millions)</b>                            |            |                            |              |
| Net interest income (expense) <sup>(1)</sup>                       | \$ 293  | \$ (303)   | \$ 3,455                   | \$ 3,445     |
| Guaranty fee income (expense) <sup>(2)</sup>                       | 4,015   | 326        | (891)                      | 3,450        |
| Losses on certain guaranty contracts                               | (1,023)   | (15)       |                            | (1,038)      |
| Trust management income  | 433   | 27         |                            | 460          |
| Investment losses, net   | (46)  |            | (56)                       | (102)        |
| Derivatives fair value losses, net                                 |   |            | (891)                      | (891)        |
| Debt extinguishment gains, net                                     |   |            | 72                         | 72           |
| Losses from partnership investments                                |   | (527)      |                            | (527)        |
| Fee and other income   | 229   | 251        | 66                         | 546          |
| Administrative expenses  | (1,108)   | (420)      | (490)                      | (2,018)      |
| (Provision) benefit for credit losses                              | (1,771)   | 1          |                            | (1,770)      |
| Other expenses   | (558)   | (17)       | (8)                        | (583)        |
| Income (loss) before federal income taxes and extraordinary losses | 464   | (677)      | 1,257                      | 1,044        |
| Provision (benefit) for federal income taxes                       | 159   | (1,047)    | 420                        | (468)        |
| Income before extraordinary losses                                 | 305   | 370        | 837                        | 1,512        |
| Extraordinary losses, net of tax effect                            |   |            | (3)                        | (3)          |
| Net income   | \$ 305  | \$ 370     | \$ 834                     | \$ 1,509     |

(1) Includes cost of capital charge.

(2) Includes intercompany guaranty fee income (expense) allocated to Single-Family and HCD from Capital Markets for absorbing the credit risk on mortgage loans held in our portfolio.

**Table of Contents****FANNIE MAE****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)  
(UNAUDITED)**

|   | <b>For the Nine Months Ended<br/>September 30, 2006</b> |            |                            |              |
|---|---|------------|----------------------------|--------------|
|   | <b>Single-<br/>Family</b>                               | <b>HCD</b> | <b>Capital<br/>Markets</b> | <b>Total</b> |
|   | <b>(Dollars in millions)</b>                            |            |                            |              |
| Net interest income (expense) <sup>(1)</sup>                      | \$ 765  | \$ (237)   | \$ 4,879                   | \$ 5,407     |
| Guaranty fee income (expense) <sup>(2)(3)</sup>                   | 3,406   | 381        | (819)                      | 2,968        |
| Losses on certain guaranty contracts                              | (175)   | (6)        |                            | (181)        |
| Investment gains (losses), net                                    | 73  |            | (831)                      | (758)        |
| Derivatives fair value losses, net                                |   |            | (854)                      | (854)        |
| Debt extinguishment gains, net                                    |   |            | 158                        | 158          |
| Losses from partnership investments                               |   | (579)      |                            | (579)        |
| Fee and other income <sup>(3)</sup>                               | 192   | 156        | 219                        | 567          |
| Administrative expenses   | (1,113)   | (423)      | (713)                      | (2,249)      |
| Provision for credit losses                                       | (356)   | (12)       |                            | (368)        |
| Other expense   | (285)   | (1)        | (1)                        | (287)        |
| Income (loss) before federal income taxes and extraordinary gains | 2,507   | (721)      | 2,038                      | 3,824        |
| Provision (benefit) for federal income taxes                      | 871   | (1,045)    | 554                        | 380          |
| Income before extraordinary gains                                 | 1,636   | 324        | 1,484                      | 3,444        |
| Extraordinary gains, net of tax effect                            |   |            | 11                         | 11           |
| Net income  | \$ 1,636  | \$ 324     | \$ 1,495                   | \$ 3,455     |

(1) Includes cost of capital charge.

(2) Includes intercompany guaranty fee income (expense) allocated to Single-Family and HCD from Capital Markets for absorbing the credit risk on mortgage loans held in our portfolio.

(3) Certain prior period amounts that previously were included as a component of Fee and other income have been reclassified to Guaranty fee income to conform to the current period presentation.

**14. Preferred Stock**

As of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, we had preferred stock outstanding of \$9.0 billion and \$9.1 billion, respectively. On February 28, 2007, we redeemed all 14,000,000 shares of our Variable Rate

Non-Cumulative Preferred Stock, Series J, with an aggregate stated value of \$700 million. On April 2, 2007, we redeemed all 8,000,000 shares of our Variable Rate Non-Cumulative Preferred Stock, Series K, with an aggregate stated value of \$400 million. For the nine months ended September 30, 2007, we recorded \$10 million of issuance costs as a reduction of net income attributable to common stockholders as Preferred stock dividends and issuance costs at redemption in our condensed consolidated statements of income.

On September 28, 2007, we received gross proceeds of \$1.0 billion from the issuance of 40,000,000 shares of Variable Rate Non-Cumulative Preferred Stock Series P with a stated value of \$25 per share. The dividend rate will reset quarterly on each March 31, June 30, September 30 and December 31, beginning December 31, 2007, at a per annum rate equal to the greater of (i) 3-Month LIBOR plus 0.75% and (ii) 4.50%. The Series P Preferred Stock may be redeemed, at our option, on or after September 30, 2012.

#### **15. Fair Value of Financial Instruments**

We carry financial instruments at fair value, amortized cost or lower of cost or market. Fair value is the amount at which a financial instrument could be exchanged in a current transaction between willing parties, other than in a forced or liquidation sale. When available, the fair value of our financial instruments is based

**Table of Contents**

**FANNIE MAE**

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)  
(UNAUDITED)**

on observable market prices, or market prices that we obtain from third parties. Pricing information we obtain from third parties is internally validated for reasonableness prior to use in the consolidated financial statements.

When observable market prices are not readily available, we estimate the fair value using market data and model-based interpolation using standard models that are widely accepted within the industry. Market data includes prices of financial instruments with similar maturities and characteristics, duration, interest rate yield curves, measures of volatility and prepayment rates. If market data needed to estimate fair value is not available, we estimate fair value using internally developed models that employ a discounted cash flow approach.

These estimates are based on pertinent information available to us at the time of the applicable reporting periods. In certain cases, fair values are not subject to precise quantification or verification and may fluctuate as economic and market factors vary, and our evaluation of those factors changes. Although we use our best judgment in estimating the fair value of these financial instruments, there are inherent limitations in any estimation technique. In these cases, any minor change in an assumption could result in a significant change in our estimate of fair value thereby increasing or decreasing consolidated assets, liabilities, stockholders' equity and net income.

The disclosure included herein excludes certain financial instruments, such as plan obligations for pension and other postretirement benefits, employee stock option and stock purchase plans, and also excludes all non-financial instruments. The disclosure includes commitments to purchase multifamily mortgage loans, which are off balance sheet financial instruments that are not recorded in the condensed consolidated balance sheets. The fair value of these commitments is included as Mortgage loans held for investment, net of allowance for loan losses. As a result, the following presentation of the fair value of our financial assets and liabilities does not represent the underlying fair value of the total consolidated assets or liabilities.

**Table of Contents****FANNIE MAE****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)  
(UNAUDITED)**

|  | As of                 |                         |                   |                         |
|--|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|
|  | September 30,<br>2007 |                         |                   | December 31,<br>2006    |
|  | Carrying<br>Value     | Estimated<br>Fair Value | Carrying<br>Value | Estimated<br>Fair Value |
|  | (Dollars in millions) |                         |                   |                         |
| Assets:  |                       |                         |                   |                         |
| Cash and cash equivalents <sup>(1)</sup>                                   | \$ 4,976              | \$ 4,976                | \$ 3,972          | \$ 3,972                |
| Federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell     | 8,349                 | 8,351                   | 12,681            | 12,681                  |
| Trading securities   | 48,683                | 48,683                  | 11,514            | 11,514                  |
| Available-for-sale securities  | 315,012               | 315,012                 | 378,598           | 378,598                 |
| Mortgage loans held for sale   | 5,053                 | 5,072                   | 4,868             | 4,796                   |
| Mortgage loans held for investment, net of allowance for loan losses       | 394,550               | 389,757                 | 378,687           | 376,688                 |
| Advances to lenders  | 11,738                | 11,616                  | 6,163             | 6,011                   |
| Derivative assets  | 3,172                 | 3,172                   | 4,931             | 4,931                   |
| Guaranty assets and buy-ups  | 10,332                | 14,544                  | 8,523             | 12,260                  |
| <b>Total financial assets</b>  | <b>\$ 801,865</b>     | <b>\$ 801,183</b>       | <b>\$ 809,937</b> | <b>\$ 811,451</b>       |
| Liabilities:   |                       |                         |                   |                         |
| Federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase | \$ 1,645              | \$ 1,647                | \$ 700            | \$ 700                  |
| Short-term debt  | 153,146               | 153,345                 | 165,810           | 165,747                 |
| Long-term debt   | 608,619               | 618,935                 | 601,236           | 606,594                 |
| Derivative liabilities   | 1,336                 | 1,336                   | 1,184             | 1,184                   |
| Guaranty obligations   | 14,322                | 16,093                  | 11,145            | 8,185                   |
| <b>Total financial liabilities</b>   | <b>\$ 779,068</b>     | <b>\$ 791,356</b>       | <b>\$ 780,075</b> | <b>\$ 782,410</b>       |

(1) Includes restricted cash of \$496 million and \$733 million as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively.

**Notes to Fair Value of Financial Instruments**

The following discussion summarizes the significant methodologies and assumptions we use to estimate the fair values of our financial instruments in the preceding table.

*Cash and Cash Equivalents* The carrying value of cash and cash equivalents is a reasonable estimate of their approximate fair value.

*Federal Funds Sold and Securities Purchased Under Agreements to Resell* The carrying value of our federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell approximates the fair value of these instruments due to their short-term nature.

*Trading Securities and Available-for-Sale Securities* Our investments in securities are recognized at fair value in the condensed consolidated financial statements. Fair values of securities are primarily based on observable market prices or prices obtained from third parties. Details of these estimated fair values by type are displayed in Note 5, Investments in Securities.

*Mortgage Loans Held for Sale* HFS loans are reported at LOCOM in the condensed consolidated balance sheets. We determine the fair value of our mortgage loans based on comparisons to Fannie Mae MBS with

**Table of Contents**

**FANNIE MAE**

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)  
(UNAUDITED)**

similar characteristics. Specifically, we use the observable market value of our Fannie Mae MBS as a base value, from which we subtract or add the fair value of the associated guaranty asset, guaranty obligation and master servicing arrangements.

*Mortgage Loans Held for Investment, net of allowance for loan losses* HFI loans are recorded in the condensed consolidated balance sheets at the principal amount outstanding, net of unamortized premiums and discounts, cost basis adjustments and an allowance for loan losses. We determine the fair value of our mortgage loans based on comparisons to Fannie Mae MBS with similar characteristics. Specifically, we use the observable market value of our Fannie Mae MBS as a base value, from which we subtract or add the fair value of the associated guaranty asset, guaranty obligation and master servicing arrangements.

*Advances to Lenders* The carrying value of our advances to lenders approximates the fair value of the majority of these instruments due to their short-term nature. Advances to lenders for which the carrying value does not approximate fair value are valued based on comparisons to Fannie Mae MBS with similar characteristics, and applying the same pricing methodology as used for HFI loans as described above.

*Derivatives Assets and Liabilities (collectively, Derivatives )* Our risk management derivatives and mortgage commitment derivatives are recognized in the condensed consolidated balance sheets at fair value, taking into consideration the effects of any legally enforceable master netting agreements that allow us to settle derivative asset and liability positions with the same counterparty on a net basis. We use observable market prices or market prices obtained from third parties for derivatives, when available. For derivative instruments where market prices are not readily available, we estimate fair value using model-based interpolation based on direct market inputs. Direct market inputs include prices of instruments with similar maturities and characteristics, interest rate yield curves and measures of interest rate volatility. Details of these estimated fair values by type are displayed in Note 9, Derivative Instruments.

*Guaranty Assets and Buy-ups* We estimate the fair value of guaranty assets based on the present value of expected future cash flows of the underlying mortgage assets using management's best estimate of certain key assumptions, which include prepayment speeds, forward yield curves, and discount rates commensurate with the risks involved. These cash flows are projected using proprietary prepayment, interest rate and credit risk models. Because the guaranty assets are like an interest-only income stream, the projected cash flows from our guaranty assets are discounted using interest spreads from a representative sample of interest-only trust securities. We reduce the spreads on interest-only trusts to adjust for the less liquid nature of the guaranty asset. The fair value of the guaranty asset as presented in the table above includes the fair value of any associated buy-ups, which is estimated in the same manner as guaranty assets but are recorded separately as a component of Other assets in the condensed consolidated balance sheets. While the fair value of the guaranty asset reflects all guaranty arrangements, the carrying value primarily reflects only those arrangements entered into subsequent to our adoption of FIN 45.

*Federal Funds Purchased and Securities Sold Under Agreements to Repurchase* The carrying value of our federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase approximate the fair value of these instruments due to the short-term nature of these liabilities, exclusive of dollar roll repurchase transactions.

*Short-Term Debt and Long-Term Debt* As of September 30, 2007, we estimate the fair value of our non-callable debt using an average of vendor bid prices and then applying an adjustment to convert the average vendor bid prices to ask level prices. As of December 31, 2006 and when vendor pricing is not available, we estimate the fair value of our non-callable debt using the discounted cash flow approach based on the Fannie Mae yield curve with an adjustment to reflect fair values at the offer side of the market. We estimate the fair value of our callable bonds using an option adjusted spread ( OAS ) approach using the Fannie Mae yield curve and market-calibrated volatility. The OAS applied to callable bonds approximates market levels where we have executed secondary market transactions. We continue to use third party prices for subordinated debt.



**Table of Contents**

**FANNIE MAE**

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)  
(UNAUDITED)**

*Guaranty Obligations* Our estimate of the fair value of the guaranty obligation is based on management's estimate of the amount that we would be required to pay a third party of similar credit standing to assume our obligation. This amount is based on market information from spot transactions, when available. In instances when such observations are not available, this amount is based on the present value of expected cash flows using management's best estimates of certain key assumptions, which include default and severity rates and a market rate of return. While the fair value of the guaranty obligation reflects all guaranty arrangements, the carrying value primarily reflects only those arrangements entered into subsequent to our adoption of FIN 45.

**16. Commitments and Contingencies**

We are party to various types of legal proceedings that are subject to many uncertain factors that are not recorded in the condensed consolidated financial statements. Each of these is described below.

**Legal Contingencies**

Litigation, claims and proceedings of all types are subject to many uncertain factors that generally cannot be predicted with assurance. The following describes the material legal proceedings, examinations and other matters that: (1) were pending as of September 30, 2007; (2) were terminated during the period from January 1, 2007 through November 8, 2007; or (3) are pending as of the filing of this report. An unfavorable outcome in certain of these legal proceedings could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. In view of the inherent difficulty of predicting the outcome of these proceedings, we cannot state with confidence what the eventual outcome of the pending matters will be. Because we concluded that a loss with respect to any pending matter discussed below was not both probable and estimable as of November 8, 2007, we have not recorded a reserve for any of those matters. We intend to vigorously defend our interests in these lawsuits.

In addition to the matters specifically described herein, we are also involved in a number of legal and regulatory proceedings that arise in the ordinary course of business that do not have a material impact on our business.

Pursuant to the provisions of our bylaws and indemnification agreements, directors and officers have a right to have their reasonable legal fees and expenses indemnified to the fullest extent permitted by applicable law if such fees and expenses are incurred in connection with certain proceedings due to such person's serving or having served as a director or officer of Fannie Mae. Until an entitlement to indemnification is determined, we are under an obligation to advance those fees and expenses. During 2007, we advanced those fees and expenses of certain current and former officers and directors for various proceedings. None of these amounts were material.

***Restatement-Related Matters***

***In re Fannie Mae Securities Litigation***

Beginning on September 23, 2004, 13 separate complaints were filed by holders of our securities against us, as well as certain of our former officers, in three federal district courts. The complaints in these lawsuits purport to have been made on behalf of a class of plaintiffs consisting of purchasers of Fannie Mae securities between April 17, 2001 and September 21, 2004. The complaints alleged that we and certain of our former officers made material

misrepresentations and/or omissions of material facts in violation of the federal securities laws. Plaintiffs' claims were based on findings contained in OFHEO's September 2004 interim report regarding its findings to that date in its special examination of our accounting policies, practices and controls.

All of the cases were consolidated and/or transferred to the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia. A consolidated complaint was filed on March 4, 2005 against us and former officers Franklin D. Raines, J.

**Table of Contents**

**FANNIE MAE**

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)  
(UNAUDITED)**

Timothy Howard and Leanne Spencer. The court entered an order naming the Ohio Public Employees Retirement System and State Teachers Retirement System of Ohio as lead plaintiffs. The consolidated complaint generally made the same allegations as the individually-filed complaints. More specifically, the consolidated complaint alleged that the defendants made materially false and misleading statements in violation of Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and SEC Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder, largely with respect to accounting statements that were inconsistent with the GAAP requirements relating to hedge accounting and the amortization of premiums and discounts. Plaintiffs contend that the alleged fraud resulted in artificially inflated prices for our common stock. Plaintiffs seek unspecified compensatory damages, attorneys' fees, and other fees and costs. Discovery commenced in this action following the denial of the motions to dismiss filed by us and the former officer defendants on February 10, 2006.

On April 17, 2006, the plaintiffs in the consolidated class action filed an amended consolidated complaint that added purchasers of publicly traded call options and sellers of publicly traded put options to the putative class and sought to extend the end of the putative class period from September 21, 2004 to September 27, 2005. On August 14, 2006, the plaintiffs filed a second amended complaint adding KPMG LLP and Goldman, Sachs & Co. as additional defendants and adding allegations based on the May 2006 report issued by OFHEO and the February 2006 report issued by Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison LLP. Our answer to the second amended complaint was filed on January 16, 2007. Plaintiffs filed a motion for class certification on May 17, 2006, and a hearing on that motion was held on June 21, 2007.

On April 16, 2007, KPMG filed cross-claims against us in this action for breach of contract, fraudulent misrepresentation, fraudulent inducement, negligent misrepresentation, and contribution. KPMG is seeking unspecified compensatory, consequential, restitutionary, rescissory, and punitive damages, including purported damages related to injury to KPMG's reputation, legal costs, exposure to legal liability, costs and expenses of responding to investigations related to our accounting, and lost fees. KPMG is also seeking attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses. We filed a motion to dismiss certain of KPMG's cross-claims. That motion was denied on June 27, 2007. Our separately filed accounting malpractice lawsuit against KPMG LLP was consolidated for pretrial purposes into this action on June 13, 2007. Also on June 13, 2007, KPMG's motion to dismiss our accounting malpractice complaint was denied.

In addition, two individual securities cases have been filed by institutional investor shareholders in the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia. The first case was filed on January 17, 2006 by Evergreen Equity Trust, Evergreen Select Equity Trust, Evergreen Variable Annuity Trust and Evergreen International Trust against us and the following current and former officers and directors: Franklin D. Raines, J. Timothy Howard, Leanne Spencer, Thomas P. Gerrity, Anne M. Mulcahy, Frederick V. Malek, Taylor Segue, III, William Harvey, Joe K. Pickett, Victor Ashe, Stephen B. Ashley, Molly Bordonaro, Kenneth M. Duberstein, Jamie Gorelick, Manuel Justiz, Ann McLaughlin Korologos, Donald B. Marron, Daniel H. Mudd, H. Patrick Swygert and Leslie Rahl.

The second individual securities case was filed on January 25, 2006 by 25 affiliates of Franklin Templeton Investments against us, KPMG LLP, and the following current and former officers and directors: Franklin D. Raines, J. Timothy Howard, Leanne Spencer, Thomas P. Gerrity, Anne M. Mulcahy, Frederick V. Malek, Taylor Segue, III, William Harvey, Joe K. Pickett, Victor Ashe, Stephen B. Ashley, Molly Bordonaro, Kenneth M. Duberstein, Jamie Gorelick, Manuel Justiz, Ann McLaughlin Korologos, Donald B. Marron, Daniel H. Mudd, H. Patrick Swygert and

Leslie Rahl. On April 27, 2007, KPMG also filed cross-claims against us in this action that are essentially identical to those it alleges in the consolidated shareholder class action case.

The individual securities actions assert various federal and state securities law and common law claims against us and certain of our current and former officers and directors based upon essentially the same alleged conduct as that at issue in the consolidated shareholder class action, and also assert insider trading claims against

**Table of Contents**

**FANNIE MAE**

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)  
(UNAUDITED)**

certain former officers. Both cases seek unspecified compensatory and punitive damages, attorneys' fees, and other fees and costs. In addition, the Evergreen plaintiffs seek an award of treble damages under state law.

On May 12, 2006, the individual securities plaintiffs voluntarily dismissed defendants Victor Ashe and Molly Bordonaro from both cases. On June 29, 2006 and then again on August 14 and 15, 2006, the individual securities plaintiffs filed first amended complaints and then second amended complaints adding additional allegations regarding improper accounting practices. The second amended complaints each added Radian Guaranty Inc. as a defendant. The court has consolidated these individual securities actions into the consolidated shareholder class action for pretrial purposes and possibly through final judgment.

On July 31, 2007, the court dismissed all of the individual securities plaintiffs' claims against Thomas P. Gerrity, Anne M. Mulcahy, Frederick V. Malek, Taylor Segue, III, William Harvey, Joe K. Pickett, Victor Ashe, Stephen B. Ashley, Molly Bordonaro, Kenneth M. Duberstein, Jamie Gorelick, Manuel Justiz, Ann McLaughlin Korologos, Donald B. Marron, Daniel H. Mudd, H. Patrick Swygert, Leslie Rahl, and Radian Guaranty Inc. In addition, the court dismissed the individual securities plaintiffs' state law claims and certain of their federal securities law claims against us, Franklin D. Raines, J. Timothy Howard, and Leanne Spencer. It also limited the individual securities plaintiffs' insider trader claims against Franklin D. Raines, J. Timothy Howard and Leanne Spencer.

*In re Fannie Mae Shareholder Derivative Litigation*

Beginning on September 28, 2004, ten plaintiffs filed twelve shareholder derivative actions (*i.e.*, lawsuits filed by shareholder plaintiffs on our behalf) in three different federal district courts and the Superior Court of the District of Columbia against certain of our current and former officers and directors and against us as a nominal defendant. Plaintiffs contend that the defendants purposefully misapplied GAAP, maintained poor internal controls, issued a false and misleading proxy statement, and falsified documents to cause our financial performance to appear smooth and stable, and that Fannie Mae was harmed as a result. The claims are for breaches of the duty of care, breach of fiduciary duty, waste, insider trading, fraud, gross mismanagement, violations of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, and unjust enrichment. Plaintiffs seek unspecified compensatory damages, punitive damages, attorneys' fees, and other fees and costs, as well as injunctive relief directing us to adopt certain proposed corporate governance policies and internal controls.

All of these shareholder derivative actions have been consolidated into the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia and the court entered an order naming Pirelli Armstrong Tire Corporation Retiree Medical Benefits Trust and Wayne County Employees' Retirement System as co-lead plaintiffs. A consolidated complaint was filed on September 26, 2005. The consolidated complaint named the following current and former officers and directors as defendants: Franklin D. Raines, J. Timothy Howard, Thomas P. Gerrity, Frederick V. Malek, Joe K. Pickett, Anne M. Mulcahy, Daniel H. Mudd, Kenneth M. Duberstein, Stephen B. Ashley, Ann McLaughlin Korologos, Donald B. Marron, Leslie Rahl, H. Patrick Swygert, and John K. Wulff.

The plaintiffs filed an amended complaint on September 1, 2006. Among other things, the amended complaint added the Goldman Sachs Group, Inc., Goldman, Sachs & Co., Inc., Lehman Brothers, Inc., and Radian Insurance Inc. as defendants, added allegations concerning the nature of certain transactions between these entities and Fannie Mae, and added additional allegations from OFHEO's May 2006 report on its special examination and the Paul Weiss report.

The plaintiffs have since voluntarily dismissed those newly added defendants. We filed motions to dismiss the first amended complaint and on May 31, 2007, the court issued a Memorandum Opinion and Order dismissing plaintiffs derivative lawsuit for failing to make a demand on the Board of Directors or to plead specific facts demonstrating that such a demand was excused based upon futility. On June 27, 2007, plaintiffs filed a Notice of Appeal and their appeal is currently pending with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia.

**Table of Contents**

**FANNIE MAE**

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)  
(UNAUDITED)**

On September 20, 2007, one of the original derivative plaintiffs, James Kellmer, filed a motion for clarification or, in the alternative, for relief of judgment from the Court's May 31, 2007 Order dismissing the consolidated case. Mr. Kellmer had originally filed a shareholder derivative action on January 10, 2005, which was later consolidated into the main derivative case. His motion seeks clarification that the Court's May 31, 2007 dismissal order does not apply to his January 10, 2005 action, and that his case can now proceed. This motion has been fully briefed and is pending.

On June 29, 2007, Mr. Kellmer also filed a new derivative action in the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia. Mr. Kellmer's new complaint alleges that he made a demand on the Board of Directors on September 24, 2004, and that this new action should now be allowed to proceed. In addition to naming all of the defendants who were named in the amended consolidated complaint, Mr. Kellmer names the following new defendants: James Johnson, Lawrence Small, Jamie Gorelick, Robert Levin, Victor Ashe, Molly Bordonaro, William Harvey, Taylor Segue, III, Manuel Justiz, Vincent Mai, Roger Birk, Stephen Friedman, Garry Mauro, Maynard Jackson, Esteban Torres, KPMG LLP and the Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. On November 5, 2007, Mr. Kellmer voluntarily dismissed Messrs. Ashley and Marron and Ms. Korologos from this action, as well as from his original 2005 complaint.

The factual allegations in Mr. Kellmer's new complaint are largely duplicative of those in the amended consolidated complaint and the complaint's claims are based on theories of breach of fiduciary duty, indemnification, negligence, violations of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and unjust enrichment. The complaint seeks unspecified money damages, including legal fees and expenses, disgorgement and punitive damages, as well as injunctive relief.

In addition, another derivative action based on Mr. Kellmer's alleged September 24, 2004 demand was filed on July 6, 2007 by Arthur Middleton in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia. This complaint names the following current and former officers and directors as defendants: Franklin D. Raines, J. Timothy Howard, Daniel H. Mudd, Kenneth M. Duberstein, Stephen B. Ashley, Thomas P. Gerrity, Ann Korologos, Frederic V. Malek, Donald B. Marron, Joe K. Pickett, Leslie Rahl, H. Patrick Swygert, Anne M. Mulcahy, John K. Wulff, the Goldman Sachs Group, Inc., and Goldman, Sachs & Co. The allegations in this new complaint are essentially identical to the allegations in the amended consolidated complaint referenced above, and this plaintiff seeks identical relief.

On July 27, 2007, Mr. Kellmer filed a motion to consolidate the two new derivative cases and to be appointed lead counsel. On August 10, 2007, we filed our opposition to that motion. This motion has been held in abeyance pending the outcome of our motions to dismiss the newly filed derivative actions. We filed a motion to dismiss Mr. Middleton's complaint for lack of standing on October 3, 2007, and a motion to dismiss Mr. Kellmer's new complaint for lack of subject matter jurisdiction on October 12, 2007. Mr. Kellmer filed his opposition on November 5, 2007.

*In re Fannie Mae ERISA Litigation (formerly David Gwyer v. Fannie Mae)*

Three cases, based on the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA), have been filed against us, our Board of Directors' Compensation Committee, and against the following former and current officers and directors: Franklin D. Raines, J. Timothy Howard, Daniel H. Mudd, Vincent A. Mai, Stephen Friedman, Anne M. Mulcahy, Ann McLaughlin Korologos, Joe K. Pickett, Donald B. Marron, Kathy Gallo and Leanne Spencer.

On October 15, 2004, David Gwyer filed a class action complaint in the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia. Two additional class action complaints were filed by other plaintiffs on May 6, 2005 and May 10, 2005. These cases were consolidated on May 24, 2005 in the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia. A consolidated complaint was filed on June 15, 2005. The plaintiffs in the consolidated ERISA-based lawsuit purport to represent a class of participants in our Employee Stock Ownership Plan between January 1, 2001



**Table of Contents**

**FANNIE MAE**

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)  
(UNAUDITED)**

and the present. Their claims are based on alleged breaches of fiduciary duty relating to accounting matters discussed in our SEC filings and in OFHEO's interim report. Plaintiffs seek unspecified damages, attorneys' fees, and other fees and costs, and other injunctive and equitable relief. We and the other defendants filed motions to dismiss the consolidated complaint. These motions were denied on May 8, 2007. We filed our answer to the consolidated complaint on July 9, 2007. On July 23, 2007, the Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors filed a second motion to dismiss.

***Department of Labor ESOP Investigation***

In November 2003, the Department of Labor commenced a review of our Employee Stock Ownership Plan ( ESOP ) and Retirement Savings Plan. The Department of Labor concluded its investigation of our Retirement Savings Plan, but continued to review the ESOP.

On September 12, 2007, the Department of Labor notified us that it had concluded its investigation of our ESOP. The Department of Labor indicated that the matters raised in the course of the investigation had been addressed and corrected, and that it would take no further action with regard to these matters.

***Other Legal Proceedings***

***Former CEO Arbitration***

On September 19, 2005, Franklin D. Raines, our former Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, initiated arbitration proceedings against Fannie Mae before the American Arbitration Association. On April 10, 2006, the parties convened an evidentiary hearing before the arbitrator. The principal issue before the arbitrator was whether we were permitted to waive a requirement contained in Mr. Raines's employment agreement that he provide six months notice prior to retiring. On April 24, 2006, the arbitrator issued a decision finding that we could not unilaterally waive the notice period, and that the effective date of Mr. Raines's retirement was June 22, 2005, rather than December 21, 2004 (his final day of active employment). Under the arbitrator's decision, Mr. Raines's election to receive an accelerated, lump-sum payment of a portion of his deferred compensation must now be honored. Moreover, we must pay Mr. Raines any salary and other compensation to which he would have been entitled had he remained employed through June 22, 2005, less any pension benefits that Mr. Raines received during that period. On November 7, 2006, the parties entered into a consent award, which partially resolved the issue of amounts due Mr. Raines. In accordance with the consent award, we paid Mr. Raines \$2.6 million on November 17, 2006. By agreement, final resolution of the unresolved issues was deferred until after our accounting restatement results were announced. On June 26, 2007, counsel for Mr. Raines notified the arbitrator that the parties have been unable to resolve the following issues: Mr. Raines's entitlement to additional shares of common stock under our performance share plan for the three-year performance share cycle that ended in 2003; Mr. Raines's entitlement to shares of common stock under our performance share plan for the three-year performance share cycles that ended in each of 2004, 2005 and 2006; and Mr. Raines's entitlement to additional compensation of approximately \$140,000.

***In re G-Fees Antitrust Litigation***

Since January 18, 2005, we have been served with 11 proposed class action complaints filed by single-family borrowers that allege that we and Freddie Mac violated the Clayton and Sherman Acts and state antitrust and consumer protection statutes by agreeing to artificially fix, raise, maintain or stabilize the price of our and Freddie Mac's guaranty fees. Two of these cases were filed in state courts. The remaining cases were filed in federal court. The two state court actions were voluntarily dismissed. The federal court actions were consolidated in the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia. Plaintiffs filed a consolidated amended complaint on August 5, 2005. Plaintiffs in the consolidated action seek to represent a class of consumers whose loans allegedly contain a guarantee fee set by us or Freddie Mac between January 1, 2001 and the

**Table of Contents**

**FANNIE MAE**

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)  
(UNAUDITED)**

present. The consolidated amended complaint alleges violations of federal and state antitrust laws and state consumer protection and other laws. Plaintiffs seek unspecified damages, treble damages, punitive damages, and declaratory and injunctive relief, as well as attorneys' fees and costs.

We and Freddie Mac filed a motion to dismiss on October 11, 2005. The motion to dismiss has been fully briefed and remains pending. On June 12, 2007, we and Freddie Mac filed a supplemental memorandum in support of the October 11, 2005 motion to dismiss.

*Casa Orlando Apartments, Ltd., et al. v. Federal National Mortgage Association (formerly known as Medlock Southwest Management Corp., et al. v. Federal National Mortgage Association)*

A complaint was filed against us in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas (Texarkana Division) on June 2, 2004, in which plaintiffs purport to represent a class of multifamily borrowers whose mortgages are insured under Sections 221(d)(3), 236 and other sections of the National Housing Act and are held or serviced by us. The complaint identified as a class low- and moderate-income apartment building developers who maintained uninvested escrow accounts with us or our servicer. Plaintiffs Casa Orlando Apartments, Ltd., Jasper Housing Development Company, and the Porkolab Family Trust No. 1 allege that we violated fiduciary obligations that they contend we owed to borrowers with respect to certain escrow accounts and that we were unjustly enriched. In particular, plaintiffs contend that, starting in 1969, we misused these escrow funds and are therefore liable for any economic benefit we received from the use of these funds. Plaintiffs seek a return of any profits, with accrued interest, earned by us related to the escrow accounts at issue, as well as attorneys' fees and costs.

Our motions to dismiss and motion for summary judgment were denied on March 10, 2005. We filed a partial motion for reconsideration of our motion for summary judgment, which was denied on February 24, 2006.

Plaintiffs filed an amended complaint on December 16, 2005 and a motion for class certification on January 3, 2006, which was fully briefed and remains pending.

*Investigation by the New York Attorney General*

On November 6, 2007, the New York Attorney General's Office issued a letter to us discussing that Office's investigation into appraisal practices in the mortgage industry. The letter also discussed a complaint filed by the Attorney General of New York against First American Corporation and its subsidiary eAppraiseIT related to inappropriate appraisal practices engaged in by First American and eAppraiseIT with respect to loans appraised for Washington Mutual, Inc. We are cooperating with the Attorney General, and have agreed to appoint an independent examiner to review these matters. The Attorney General's Office has issued a subpoena to us regarding appraisals and valuations as they may relate to our mortgage purchases and securitizations.

**17. Subsequent Events**

***Preferred Stock***

On October 4, 2007, we received gross proceeds of \$375 million from the issuance of 15,000,000 shares of Non-Cumulative Preferred Stock Series Q with a stated value of \$25 per share at a dividend rate of 6.75% per annum. The Series Q Preferred Stock may be redeemed, at our option, on or after September 30, 2010.

On October 16, 2007, our Board of Directors approved a revision to our Bylaws to increase the number of authorized shares of preferred stock from 200,000,000 to 700,000,000.

**Table of Contents****Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk**

Quantitative and qualitative disclosure about market risk is set forth in Part I Item 2 MD&A Risk Management Interest Rate Risk Management and Other Market Risks.

**Item 4. Controls and Procedures****OVERVIEW**

This section discusses management's evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of March 31, 2007, June 30, 2007 and September 30, 2007. This section also describes our efforts from January 1, 2007 through the date of this filing to remediate the material weaknesses in internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006 that were identified by our management and are described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006. It begins with an overview of the remediation status as of March 31, 2007, June 30, 2007, September 30, 2007, and through the date of this filing of each of the material weaknesses reported as of December 31, 2006. This overview is followed by a discussion of management's evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures and management's discussion of changes in our internal control over financial reporting.

As shown in the following table, six of the eight material weaknesses reported as of December 31, 2006 were remediated as of the date of this filing. We describe the actions that we took during 2007 to remediate these six material weaknesses under the heading Remediation Activities and Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Description of Remediation Actions below. This section then describes the remediation actions underway relating to the two remaining material weaknesses.

**Material Weakness**

| <b>Reported<br/>as of December 31, 2006</b>                              | <b>Status as of<br/>March 31, 2007</b> | <b>Status as of<br/>June 30, 2007</b> | <b>Status as of<br/>September 30, 2007</b> | <b>Status as of<br/>Filing Date</b> |
|--|--|---------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| 1. Application of GAAP   | Remediation in process                 | Remediation in process                | Remediation in process                     | Remediated                          |
| 2. Financial Statement Preparation and Reporting                         | Remediation in process                 | Remediation in process                | Remediation in process                     | Remediation in process              |
| 3. Disclosure Controls   | Remediation in process                 | Remediation in process                | Remediation in process                     | Remediation in process              |
| 4. Journal Entry Controls  | Remediation in process                 | Remediated                            | *  | *                                   |
| 5. Reconciliation Controls   | Remediation in process                 | Remediation in process                | Remediated                                 | *                                   |
| 6. Access Control  | Remediation in process                 | Remediation in process                | Remediated                                 | *                                   |
| 7. Pricing and Independent Price Verification Processes Pricing Controls | Remediation in process                 | Remediation in process                | Remediated                                 | *                                   |
| 8.   |  |                                       | Remediated                                 | *                                   |

|  |                           |                           |
|--|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Multifamily Lender<br>Loan Loss Sharing<br>Modifications | Remediation in<br>process | Remediation in<br>process |
|--|---------------------------|---------------------------|

\* Since remediation, this control has continued to be effective.

**Table of Contents**

**EVALUATION OF DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**

As required by Rule 13a-15 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act ), management has evaluated, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of March 31, 2007, June 30, 2007 and September 30, 2007. Disclosure controls and procedures refer to controls and other procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the SEC. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding our required disclosure. In designing and evaluating our disclosure controls and procedures, management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and management was required to apply its judgment in evaluating and implementing possible controls and procedures.

As described in our 2006 Form 10-K, as of December 31, 2006, management identified eight material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting, which management considers an integral component of our disclosure controls and procedures. As a result of these material weaknesses, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were not effective at a reasonable assurance level as of March 31, 2007, June 30, 2007, September 30, 2007 or the date of filing this report.

We have made progress toward achieving effectiveness at a reasonable assurance level in our disclosure controls and procedures. Specifically, we have taken, and are taking, the actions described below under Remediation Activities and Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting to remediate the material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting.

We continue to strive to improve our disclosure controls and procedures to enable us to provide complete and accurate public disclosure on a timely basis. Today's filing of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2007 constitutes our first timely periodic filing since the filing of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2004 on August 9, 2004. Management believes that the material weakness relating to our disclosure controls will be remediated once we have remediated the material weakness in our financial statement preparation and reporting process. We currently estimate that we will remediate these two remaining material weaknesses on or before December 31, 2007.

To address our remaining material weaknesses, management performed additional analyses and other post-closing procedures designed to ensure that our consolidated interim financial statements were prepared in accordance with GAAP. These procedures included data validation and certification procedures from the source systems through the general ledger, testing and documentation of systems, validation of results, disclosure review, and pre- and post-closing analytics. As a result, management believes that the consolidated interim financial statements included in this report fairly present in all material respects the company's financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the periods presented.

**REMEDIATION ACTIVITIES AND CHANGES IN INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING**

**Overview**

Management has evaluated, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, whether any changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the period from January 1, 2007 through the date of this filing have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting. Based on the evaluations management conducted, substantial changes were implemented and tested during the period from January 1, 2007 through September 30, 2007 to remediate our material weaknesses in internal control over financial reporting.



## **Table of Contents**

Management believes the measures that we have implemented during 2007 to remediate the material weaknesses in internal control over financial reporting have had a material impact on our internal control over financial reporting since December 31, 2006. Changes in our internal control over financial reporting from January 1, 2007 through the date of this filing that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting are described below.

### **Description of Remediation Actions**

#### ***Remediation Actions Relating to Remediated Material Weaknesses***

The discussion below describes the actions that management took during the first three quarters of 2007 to remediate the six material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting that were identified as of December 31, 2006 and that were remediated by September 30, 2007.

#### *Application of GAAP*

##### **Description of Material Weakness as of December 31, 2006**

We did not maintain effective internal control over financial reporting relating to designing our process and information technology applications to comply with GAAP as specified in SOP 03-3, which affects our accounting conclusions related to loans purchased from trusts under our default call option. Although we did not have the process and information technology applications in place to comply with SOP 03-3 as of December 31, 2006, our consolidated financial statements for 2005 and 2006 appropriately reflect our adoption of SOP 03-3 for loans acquired out of trusts on or after January 1, 2005.

We did not maintain effective internal control over financial reporting relating to our accounting for certain 2006 securities sold under agreements to repurchase and certain 2006 securities purchased under agreements to resell to comply with GAAP as specified in SFAS 140. Our evaluation of these transactions was insufficient, and, as a result, we initially incorrectly recorded these 2006 transactions as purchases and sales although they did not qualify for such treatment under SFAS 140.

##### **Description of 2007 Remediation Actions**

We completed remediation of this material weakness in the fourth quarter of 2007.

In the first quarter of 2007, we assessed the impact of SOP 03-3 and modified our process and information technology applications to ensure the appropriate application of GAAP in accordance with the recently issued standard.

In the fourth quarter of 2007, we analyzed certain transactions to redefine our controls relating to accounting for certain securities sold under agreements to repurchase and certain securities purchased under agreements to resell to comply with GAAP as specified in SFAS 140. We redesigned our controls to identify these transactions as financings and appropriately record them in accordance with SFAS 140.

#### *Financial Reporting Process Journal Entry Controls*

##### **Description of Material Weakness as of December 31, 2006**

We did not maintain effective internal control over financial reporting relating to the recording of journal entries, both recurring and non-recurring. Specifically, the design and operation of this control was inadequate for ensuring that

journal entries were prepared by personnel with adequate knowledge of the activity being posted. The entries were not supported by appropriate documentation and were not reviewed at the appropriate level to ensure the accuracy and completeness of the entries recorded.

Description of 2007 Remediation Actions

We completed remediation of this material weakness in the second quarter of 2007.

## **Table of Contents**

In the first quarter of 2007, we completed additional training on our journal entry controls related to new controls developed as a result of our restatement of our financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002. Our training focused on controls relating to the review and approval of journal entries, requirements for supporting documentation, and the validation of journal entries. During the second quarter of 2007, we completed implementation of these enhanced controls in our journal entry creation and approval process.

### *Financial Reporting Process Reconciliation Controls*

#### **Description of Material Weakness as of December 31, 2006**

We did not maintain effective internal control over financial reporting relating to the reconciliation of many of our financial statement accounts and other data records that served as inputs to those accounts. Specifically, the design and operation of this control was inadequate for ensuring that our accounts were complete, accurate and in agreement with detailed supporting documentation. In addition, this control did not ensure proper review and approval of reconciliations by appropriate personnel.

#### **Description of 2007 Remediation Actions**

We completed remediation of this material weakness in the third quarter of 2007.

Training on account reconciliations was provided during the first quarter of 2007 with a focus on reconciliation completeness and accuracy. We further enhanced our controls during the second quarter of 2007 to focus on primary and secondary account management responsibilities and the timeliness of reconciliations, including independent monitoring. Implementation of enhanced controls was completed in the third quarter prior to the filing of our 2006 Form 10-K on August 16, 2007.

### *Access Controls for Information Technology Applications and Infrastructure*

#### **Description of Material Weakness as of December 31, 2006**

We did not maintain effective internal control over financial reporting relating to the design of controls over access to financial reporting applications and data. Specifically, ineffective controls included inappropriate access to programs and data, lack of periodic review and monitoring of such access, and lack of clearly communicated policies and procedures governing information technology security and access. Furthermore, we did not maintain effective logging and monitoring of servers and databases to ensure that access was both appropriate and authorized. Given the pervasive nature of this material weakness, it could have materially impacted our financial statement accounts and disclosures.

#### **Description of 2007 Remediation Actions**

We completed remediation of this material weakness in the third quarter of 2007.

During the first quarter of 2007, we began a review of platforms relevant to our financial reporting process. In this review, we evaluated and updated expired access and passwords. Detailed application and technology platform reviews were implemented in the second quarter of 2007 to review the level of access in both our production and development environments for applications and platforms that are material to our financial reporting process. We updated the role definition for access to our applications and updated our workflow to reflect these revised roles. We also implemented additional procedures to monitor our platforms and databases, with corresponding escalation and review of potential incidents. In the third quarter of 2007, we implemented enhanced controls designed to more

systematically manage access for employees transferring within the company. We also provided additional training to our employees on our access management procedures.

**Table of Contents**

*Pricing and Independent Price Verification Processes Pricing Controls*

Description of Material Weakness as of December 31, 2006

We did not maintain effective internal control over financial reporting with respect to the design of our controls related to our pricing processes for securities. As a result, our accounting conclusions, including certain conclusions related to the fair value of our securities and unrealized gains and losses, could have been materially affected.

Description of 2007 Remediation Actions

We completed remediation of this material weakness in the third quarter of 2007.

During the second quarter of 2007, we began implementing improvements to our control processes for pricing securities, which included supervisory review over data inputs, model outputs and computational accuracy. Implementation of enhanced controls was completed in the third quarter prior to the filing of our 2006 Form 10-K on August 16, 2007.

*Multifamily Lender Loss Sharing Modifications*

Description of Material Weakness as of December 31, 2006

We did not maintain effective internal control over financial reporting with respect to the design of our controls related to maintaining and recording accurate multifamily lender loss sharing information in our information systems. As a result, our accounting conclusions, including certain conclusions related to consolidation, could have been materially affected.

Description of 2007 Remediation Actions

We completed remediation of this material weakness in the third quarter of 2007.

During the second quarter of 2007, we implemented data reviews of the lender loss sharing data in our information systems. In the third quarter of 2007, we completed our implementation of monthly validation controls that provide verification of recourse data associated with multifamily loans and deals with product characteristics and lender agreements. Further, we redesigned our controls for monitoring changes to contractual arrangements, and updating and validating such changes in our systems.

***Remediation Actions Relating to Remaining Material Weaknesses***

The discussion below describes the actions that management has taken during 2007 and is in the process of taking to remediate our two remaining material weaknesses in internal control over financial reporting.

*Financial Reporting Process Financial Statement Preparation and Reporting*

Description of Material Weakness as of December 31, 2006

We identified errors in the processes and systems developed to prepare our financial information. Specifically, we identified design errors in these processes and systems which resulted in extensive process and system design changes as well as correcting journal entries. These errors were corrected prior to issuance of our 2006 consolidated financial statements. However, based upon the nature and extent of these errors, our financial reporting processes and systems

did not have adequate controls to ensure that they may be executed on a routine, repeatable basis.

Description of 2007 Remediation Actions

Although we have not yet remediated this material weakness, we have continued to enhance the financial statement preparation and reporting process during 2007 by developing enhanced data sourcing and business processes to create a sustainable, repeatable financial close and reporting process. During the first quarter of 2007, we provided training on cash flow statement and disclosure preparation, and identified and

**Table of Contents**

communicated requirements earlier, while ensuring that our systems have adequate controls and documentation prior to implementation. During the second quarter of 2007, we made continued progress on remediation through additional executions and validations of our results, including ongoing assessment of control effectiveness, to support the filing of our 2006 Form 10-K. In the third quarter of 2007, we implemented significant system enhancements to facilitate the processing of a monthly close within the timelines required to file our financial statements on a timely basis. In addition, we enhanced our pricing and valuation platforms to price our assets and liabilities on a timely basis; we updated our accounting systems to run monthly; we replaced several end user computing systems with applications designed to ensure sustainability; and we automated our data sourcing and reconciliation processes.

We continue to enhance our controls relating to our financial statement preparation and reporting process, and we are currently performing tests to assess the effectiveness of these controls. As of the date of this filing, we estimate that we will complete our implementation of these new controls and remediate this material weakness by December 31, 2007.

*Financial Reporting Process Disclosure Controls and Procedures*

Description of Material Weakness as of December 31, 2006

We did not maintain effective disclosure controls and procedures. Specifically, we have not filed periodic reports on a timely basis as required by the rules of the SEC and the New York Stock Exchange.

Description of 2007 Remediation Actions

While we have made progress toward achieving effectiveness at a reasonable assurance level in our disclosure controls and procedures, as discussed under *Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures* above, management believes that this material weakness will not be remediated until we have remediated all other material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting. As noted above, we currently estimate that this will occur by December 31, 2007.

**Table of Contents**

**PART II OTHER INFORMATION**

**Item 1. Legal Proceedings**

The following information supplements and amends our discussion set forth under Part I Item 3 Legal Proceedings in our 2006 Form 10-K.

In addition to the matters specifically described in this item, we are involved in a number of legal and regulatory proceedings that arise in the ordinary course of business that do not have a material impact on our business.

**RESTATEMENT-RELATED MATTERS**

**Securities Class Action Lawsuits**

*In re Fannie Mae Securities Litigation/KPMG Accounting Malpractice Action*

In the consolidated class action lawsuit against us and certain of our former officers by holders of our securities, we filed our answer to the second amended complaint on January 16, 2007, and a hearing was held on plaintiffs' May 17, 2006 motion for class certification on June 21, 2007.

On April 16, 2007, KPMG LLP, a co-defendant and our former auditor, filed cross-claims against us for breach of contract, fraudulent misrepresentation, fraudulent inducement, negligent misrepresentation, and contribution. KPMG is seeking unspecified compensatory, consequential, restitutionary, rescissory, and punitive damages, including purported damages related to injury to KPMG's reputation, legal costs, exposure to legal liability, costs and expenses of responding to investigations related to our accounting, and lost fees. KPMG is also seeking attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses. We filed a motion to dismiss certain of KPMG's cross-claims. That motion was denied on June 27, 2007. Our separately filed accounting malpractice lawsuit against KPMG LLP was consolidated for pretrial purposes into this action on June 13, 2007. Also on June 13, 2007, KPMG's motion to dismiss our accounting malpractice complaint was denied.

In the individual securities cases filed by affiliates of Franklin Templeton Investments, on April 27, 2007, KPMG filed cross-claims against us that are essentially identical to those it alleges against us in its cross-claims in the consolidated shareholder class action case discussed above.

In connection with both of the individual securities cases, on July 31, 2007, the court dismissed all of the individual securities plaintiffs' claims against Thomas P. Gerrity, Anne M. Mulcahy, Frederick V. Malek, Taylor Segue, III, William Harvey, Joe K. Pickett, Victor Ashe, Stephen B. Ashley, Molly Bordonaro, Kenneth M. Duberstein, Jamie Gorelick, Manuel Justiz, Ann McLaughlin Korologos, Donald B. Marron, Daniel H. Mudd, H. Patrick Swygert, Leslie Rahl, and Radian Guaranty Inc. In addition, the court dismissed the individual securities plaintiffs' state law claims and certain of their federal securities law claims against us, Franklin D. Raines, J. Timothy Howard, and Leanne Spencer. It also limited the individual securities plaintiffs' insider trading claims against Franklin D. Raines, J. Timothy Howard and Leanne Spencer.

**Shareholder Derivative Lawsuits**

*In re Fannie Mae Shareholder Derivative Litigation*



On May 31, 2007, the court issued a Memorandum Opinion and Order dismissing plaintiffs' derivative lawsuit against all defendants. On June 27, 2007, plaintiffs filed a Notice of Appeal and their appeal is currently pending in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia.

On September 20, 2007, one of the original derivative plaintiffs, James Kellmer, filed a motion for clarification or, in the alternative, for relief of judgment from the Court's May 31, 2007 Order dismissing the consolidated case. Mr. Kellmer had originally filed a shareholder derivative action on January 10, 2005, which was later consolidated into the main derivative case. His motion seeks clarification that the Court's May 31, 2007 dismissal order does not apply to his January 10, 2005 action, and that his case can now proceed. This motion has been fully briefed and is pending.

## **Table of Contents**

On June 29, 2007, Mr. Kellmer also filed a new derivative action in the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia. Mr. Kellmer's new complaint alleges that he made a demand on the Board of Directors on September 24, 2004, and that this new action should now be allowed to proceed. In addition to naming all of the defendants who were named in the amended consolidated complaint, Mr. Kellmer names the following new defendants: James Johnson, Lawrence Small, Jamie Gorelick, Robert Levin, Victor Ashe, Molly Bordonaro, William Harvey, Taylor Segue, III, Manuel Justiz, Vincent Mai, Roger Birk, Stephen Friedman, Garry Mauro, Maynard Jackson, Esteban Torres, KPMG LLP and the Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. On November 5, 2007, Mr. Kellmer voluntarily dismissed Messrs. Ashley and Marron and Ms. Korologos from this action, as well as from his original 2005 complaint.

The factual allegations in Mr. Kellmer's new complaint are largely duplicative of those in the amended consolidated complaint and the complaint's claims are based on theories of breach of fiduciary duty, indemnification, negligence, violations of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and unjust enrichment. The complaint seeks unspecified money damages, including legal fees and expenses, disgorgement and punitive damages, as well as injunctive relief.

In addition, another derivative action based on Mr. Kellmer's alleged September 24, 2004 demand was filed on July 6, 2007 by Arthur Middleton in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia. This complaint names the following current and former officers and directors as defendants: Franklin D. Raines, J. Timothy Howard, Daniel H. Mudd, Kenneth M. Duberstein, Stephen B. Ashley, Thomas P. Gerrity, Ann Korologos, Frederic V. Malek, Donald B. Marron, Joe K. Pickett, Leslie Rahl, H. Patrick Swygert, Anne M. Mulcahy, John K. Wulff, the Goldman Sachs Group, Inc., and Goldman, Sachs & Co. The allegations in this new complaint are essentially identical to the allegations in the amended consolidated complaint referenced above, and this plaintiff seeks the identical relief.

On July 27, 2007, Mr. Kellmer filed a motion to consolidate the two new derivative cases and to be appointed lead counsel. On August 10, 2007 we filed our opposition to that motion. This motion has been held in abeyance pending the outcome of our motions to dismiss the newly filed derivative actions. We filed a motion to dismiss Mr. Middleton's complaint for lack of standing on October 3, 2007, and a motion to dismiss Mr. Kellmer's new complaint for lack of subject matter jurisdiction on October 12, 2007. Mr. Kellmer filed his opposition on November 5, 2007.

## **ERISA Action**

*In re Fannie Mae ERISA Litigation (formerly David Gwyer v. Fannie Mae)*

In the consolidated ERISA-based case, our motions to dismiss were denied on May 8, 2007, and we filed our answer to the consolidated complaint on July 9, 2007. On July 23, 2007, the Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors filed a second motion to dismiss.

## **OTHER LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

### **Former CEO Arbitration**

In the pending arbitration proceeding with Franklin D. Raines, our former Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, on June 26, 2007, counsel for Mr. Raines notified the arbitrator that the parties have been unable to resolve the following issues: Mr. Raines's entitlement to additional shares of common stock under our performance share plan for the three-year performance share cycle that ended in 2003; Mr. Raines's entitlement to shares of common stock under our performance share plan for the three-year performance share cycles that ended in each of 2004, 2005 and 2006; and Mr. Raines's entitlement to additional compensation of approximately \$140,000.

## **Table of Contents**

### **Antitrust Lawsuits**

#### *In re G-Fees Antitrust Litigation*

In the consolidated class action, on June 12, 2007, we and Freddie Mac filed a supplemental memorandum in support of our previously filed motion to dismiss. A ruling has not yet been issued.

### **Department of Labor ESOP Investigation**

On September 12, 2007, the Department of Labor notified us that it had concluded its investigation of Fannie Mae's Employee Stock Ownership Plan. The Department of Labor indicated that the matters raised in the course of the investigation had been addressed and corrected, and that it would take no further action with regard to these matters.

### **Investigation by the New York Attorney General**

On November 6, 2007, the New York Attorney General's Office issued a letter to us discussing that Office's investigation into appraisal practices in the mortgage industry. The letter also discussed a complaint filed by the Attorney General of New York against First American Corporation and its subsidiary eAppraiseIT related to inappropriate appraisal practices engaged in by First American and eAppraiseIT with respect to loans appraised for Washington Mutual, Inc. We are cooperating with the Attorney General, and have agreed to appoint an independent examiner to review these matters. The Attorney General's Office has issued a subpoena to us regarding appraisals and valuations as they may relate to our mortgage purchases and securitizations.

### **Item 1A. Risk Factors**

Our 2006 Form 10-K, in Item 1A, summarizes the material risks to our business. The discussion below supplements and updates the discussion of risk factors in our 2006 Form 10-K, primarily to take into account recent developments in the housing, mortgage and credit markets. We believe that this discussion and the discussion in our 2006 Form 10-K represent the material risks relevant to us, our business and our industry. Also refer to the discussion in Part I Item 2 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in this report for additional information that may supplement or update the discussion of risk factors in our 2006 Form 10-K. In addition, new material risks to our business may emerge that we are currently unable to predict.

You should consider the risks described in our 2006 Form 10-K and in this report carefully in evaluating our business because they could cause our actual results to differ materially from our historical results or the results contemplated by the forward-looking statements contained in this report.

### **COMPANY RISKS**

***Increased delinquencies and credit losses relating to our mortgage assets and the mortgage loans that back our Fannie Mae MBS continue to adversely affect our results of operations and could affect our financial condition.***

We are experiencing increasing mortgage loan delinquencies and credit losses. Weak economic conditions in the Midwest and home price declines on a national basis have increased our single-family serious delinquency rates and contributed to higher default rates and loan loss severities in the first nine months of 2007. We have experienced increases in serious delinquency rates across our conventional single-family mortgage credit book, including in higher risk loan categories, such as subprime loans, Alt-A loans, adjustable-rate loans, interest-only loans, loans made for the purchase of investment properties, negative-amortizing loans, loans to borrowers with lower credit scores and loans with high loan-to-value ratios. We have experienced particularly rapid increases in our conventional single-family

serious delinquency rates in some higher risk loan categories, such as Alt-A loans, interest-only loans, loans with subordinate financing and loans made for the purchase of condominiums. If current housing market trends continue, we expect that we will continue to experience

**Table of Contents**

increased delinquencies and credit losses for 2007 and 2008. Moreover, if a recession occurs that negatively impacts economic conditions in the United States as a whole or in specific regions of the country, we could experience significantly higher delinquencies and credit losses. An increase in our credit losses would reduce our earnings and adversely affect our financial condition.

***We are subject to increased credit risk exposures related to subprime and Alt-A mortgage loans that back our private-label mortgage-related securities investments, and any increased delinquency rates and credit losses could adversely affect the yield on or value of our investments, which could negatively affect our earnings and financial condition.***

We invest in private-label mortgage-related securities that are backed by Alt-A and subprime mortgage loans. In October 2007, Standard & Poor's downgraded the credit ratings of a small number of private-label securities held in our portfolio that are backed by subprime mortgage loans, and Moody's placed under review for possible downgrade several additional subprime-backed private-label securities held in our portfolio. In recent months, mortgage loan delinquencies and credit losses generally have increased, particularly in the subprime and Alt-A sectors. In addition, home prices in many states have declined, after extended periods during which home prices appreciated. If delinquency and loss rates on subprime and Alt-A mortgages continue to increase, or there is a further decline in home prices, we could experience reduced yields or losses on our investments in private-label mortgage-related securities backed by subprime or Alt-A loans. In addition, the fair value of these investments may be adversely affected. A reduction in the fair value of these investments could negatively affect our earnings and financial condition.

***Our potential exposure to the risks associated with our dependence on the institutional counterparties to provide services that are critical to our business has increased in recent months, and our earnings and liquidity may be reduced if one or more of our institutional counterparties defaults on its obligations to us.***

Our primary exposure to institutional counterparty risk is with our mortgage insurers, mortgage servicers, lender customers, depository institutions, dealers that commit to sell mortgage pools or loans to us, issuers of investments held in our liquid investment portfolio, and derivatives counterparties. Our business with many of these institutional counterparties is heavily concentrated. For example, seven mortgage insurance companies provided over 99% of our total coverage as of September 30, 2007. In addition, as of September 30, 2007, our ten largest single-family mortgage servicers and their affiliates serviced 78% of our single-family mortgage credit book of business, and Countrywide Financial Corporation and its affiliates, which is our largest single-family mortgage servicer, serviced 23% of our single-family mortgage credit book of business.

The products or services that these counterparties provide are critical to our business operations, and a default by a counterparty with significant obligations to us could adversely affect our ability to conduct our operations efficiently and at cost-effective rates, which in turn could adversely affect our results of operations and our financial condition.

***Mortgage Insurers.*** In August and September 2007, two of our seven primary mortgage insurers had their external ratings for claims paying ability or insurer financial strength downgraded by Fitch from AA to AA-. Both have maintained their Standard & Poor's and Moody's ratings of AA and Aa3, respectively. As of September 30, 2007, these two mortgage insurers provided primary and pool mortgage insurance coverage on \$59.1 billion and \$27.8 billion, respectively, of single-family loans in our portfolio or underlying Fannie Mae MBS, which represented approximately 2% and 1%, respectively, of our single-family mortgage credit book of business. Ratings downgrades imply an increased risk that these mortgage insurers will fail to fulfill their obligations to reimburse us for claims under insurance policies. In addition, if a mortgage insurer were downgraded below AA-/Aa3 by any of the three national rating agencies, we would evaluate the insurer, the current market environment and our alternative sources of credit enhancement. Based on the outcome of our evaluation, we could restrict that insurer from conducting certain types of business with us and we may take actions that may include not purchasing loans insured by that mortgage insurer.

Restricting our business activity with any of our mortgage insurer counterparties would increase our concentration risk with the remaining insurers in the industry.

**Table of Contents**

*Lender Customers and Mortgage Servicers.* Challenging market conditions in recent months have adversely affected, and may continue to adversely affect, the liquidity and financial condition of a number of our lender customers and mortgage servicers. Several of our lender customers and servicers have experienced ratings downgrades and liquidity constraints, including Countrywide Financial Corporation and its affiliates, our largest lender customer and servicer. These and other lender customers and mortgage servicers may become subject to serious liquidity problems that, either temporarily or permanently, negatively affect the viability of their business plans or reduce their access to funding sources. Our arrangements with our lender customers and mortgage servicers could result in significant exposure to us if any one of our significant lender customers or servicers were to default or experience a serious liquidity event. In addition, if current housing market trends continue or worsen, the number of delinquent mortgage loans serviced by our counterparties could continue to increase. Managing a substantially higher volume of non-performing loans could create operational difficulties for our servicers. The financial difficulties that a number of our lender customers and mortgage servicers are currently experiencing, coupled with growth in the number of delinquent loans on their books of business, may negatively affect the ability of these counterparties to meet their obligations to us and the amount or quality of the products or services they provide to us. The failure of any of our primary lender customers or mortgage servicers to meet their obligations to us could increase our credit-related expenses and credit losses, and have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

*Derivatives counterparties.* As of September 30, 2007, we had outstanding transactions with 21 interest rate and foreign currency derivatives counterparties, of which eight counterparties accounted for approximately 80% of the total outstanding notional amount of our derivatives contracts as of that date. Each of these eight counterparties accounted for between approximately 5% and 17% of the total outstanding notional amount of our derivatives contracts as of September 30, 2007. Downgrades in the credit ratings of any of our derivatives counterparties could increase the collateral we hold to reduce our exposure to that counterparty. If a counterparty's credit rating is downgraded below A-, we may cease entering into new arrangements with that counterparty, which would further increase the concentration of our business with our remaining derivatives counterparties. In addition, a derivatives counterparty experiencing liquidity or financial constraints may not be able to meet their obligations to us, which could adversely affect our results of operations and our financial condition.

***We have several key lender customers, and the loss of business volume from any one of these customers could adversely affect our business and result in a decrease in our market share and earnings.***

Our ability to generate revenue from the purchase and securitization of mortgage loans depends on our ability to acquire a steady flow of mortgage loans from the originators of those loans. We acquire a significant portion of our mortgage loans from several large mortgage lenders. For the first nine months of 2007, our top five lender customers of single-family mortgage loans accounted for approximately 57% of our single-family business volume, and the top five lender customers of multifamily mortgage loans accounted for approximately 46% of our multifamily business volume during those periods. In addition, during the first nine months of 2007, Countrywide, which is our largest lender customer of single-family mortgage loans, accounted for approximately 29% of our single-family business volume, and our largest lender customer of multifamily mortgage loans accounted for approximately 14% of our multifamily business volume during those periods. Accordingly, maintaining our current business relationships and business volumes with our top lender customers is critical to our business. Some of our lender customers are experiencing, or may become subject to, liquidity problems that would affect the volume of business they are able to generate. If any of our key lender customers significantly reduces the volume or quality of mortgage loans that the lender delivers to us or that we are willing to buy from them, we could lose significant business volume that we might be unable to replace, which could adversely affect our business and result in a decrease in our market share and earnings.

***In reporting our financial condition, results of operations and liquidity position, and in providing forward-looking statements relating to our results of operations, management must make estimates and rely on the use of models***

*about matters that are inherently uncertain, which may result in significant changes from previously reported information.*



**Table of Contents**

Our accounting policies and methods are fundamental to how we record and report our financial condition and results of operations. Our management must exercise judgment in applying many of these accounting policies and methods so that these policies and methods comply with GAAP and reflect management's judgment of the most appropriate manner to report our financial condition and results of operations. The recent market price volatility resulting from increased credit risk has raised issues of how to measure the fair value of mortgage-related assets in a volatile market. Due to the complexity of many of our accounting policies, our accounting methods relating to these policies involve substantial use of models. Models are inherently imperfect predictors of actual results because they are based on assumptions, including assumptions about future events. Our models may not include assumptions that reflect very positive or very negative market conditions and, accordingly, our actual results could differ significantly from those generated by our models. As a result, the estimates that we use to prepare our financial statements, as well as our estimates of our future results of operations, may be inaccurate, potentially significantly.

***Our results of operations are subject to uncertainty based upon continuing developments in the housing and mortgage markets, making it more difficult for us to operate our business and manage risk.***

The current disruption in the housing and mortgage markets may continue or worsen. The disruption may adversely impact the U.S. economy in general and the housing and mortgage markets in particular. In addition, a variety of legislative, regulatory and other proposals have been or may be introduced in an effort to address the disruption. Depending on the scope and nature of legislative, regulatory or other initiatives, if any, that are adopted to respond to this disruption and applied to us, our financial condition, results of operations or liquidity could, directly or indirectly, benefit or be adversely affected in a manner that could be material to our business.

***Material weaknesses and other control deficiencies relating to our internal control over financial reporting could result in errors in our reported results and could have a material adverse effect on our operations, investor confidence in our business and the trading prices of our securities.***

Management's assessment of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006 identified eight material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting. We have not yet remediated material weaknesses in our financial statement preparation and reporting or our disclosure controls and procedures, which may result in errors in our financial or other reporting, cause us to fail to meet our reporting obligations on a timely basis and decrease investor confidence in our reported information, leading to a decline in our stock price.

In addition, we may identify additional material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in our internal control over financial reporting that we have not discovered to date or that we believed had been remediated and would not reoccur. In addition, we cannot be certain that we will be able to maintain effective disclosure controls and procedures in the future.

***Continued declines in our earnings could negatively impact our regulatory capital position.***

We are required to meet various capital standards, including a requirement that our core capital equal or exceed both our statutory minimum capital requirement and an OFHEO-directed minimum capital requirement. Our retained earnings are a component of our core capital. Accordingly, the level of our core capital may fluctuate significantly depending on our results of operations. As described in Part I Item 2 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Consolidated Results of Operations, our net income declined in the first nine months of 2007 due to derivatives fair value losses, a significant reduction in net interest income, significantly higher losses on certain guaranty contracts and a substantial increase in credit-related expenses. If some or all of the market trends that contributed to these results continue to negatively affect our net income, they will continue to cause a reduction in our retained earnings and, as a result, in the amount of our core capital. In order to maintain our statutory and OFHEO-directed minimum capital surplus, we may be required to take actions, or refrain from taking

actions, to ensure that we maintain or increase our core capital. These actions have included, and in the future may include, selling assets at a time when we believe that it would be economically advantageous to continue to hold the assets and issuing additional equity securities, which in general is a more

## **Table of Contents**

expensive method of funding our operations than issuing debt securities. Either of these actions may further reduce our net income. In addition, in order to remain in compliance with our regulatory capital requirements, we may need to limit or forgo attractive opportunities to acquire assets, and we may lose market share as a result.

### **RISKS RELATING TO OUR INDUSTRY**

#### ***A continuing, or broader, decline in home prices or in activity in the U.S. housing market could negatively impact our earnings and financial condition.***

The continued deterioration of the housing market and national decline in home prices in the first nine months of 2007, along with the expected continued decline, is likely to result in increased delinquencies or defaults on the mortgage assets we own or that back our guaranteed Fannie Mae MBS. In addition, home price declines reduce the fair value of our mortgage assets. Further, the features of a significant portion of mortgage loans made in recent years, including loans that reset to higher interest rates either once or throughout their term, and loans that were made based on limited or no credit or income documentation, also increase the likelihood of future increases in delinquencies or defaults on mortgage loans. An increase in delinquencies or defaults likely will result in a higher level of credit losses, which in turn will reduce our earnings.

Our business volume is affected by the rate of growth in total U.S. residential mortgage debt outstanding and the size of the U.S. residential mortgage market. We expect total mortgage originations to decline by 12% in 2007, from \$2.8 trillion in 2006 to \$2.4 trillion in 2007, and by an additional 18% in 2008 to \$2.0 trillion. If we do not continue to increase our share of the secondary mortgage market, this decline in mortgage originations could reduce our guaranty fee income.

#### ***Changes in general market and economic conditions in the U.S. and abroad may adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.***

Our financial condition and results of operations may be adversely affected by changes in general market and economic conditions in the U.S. and abroad. These conditions are beyond our control, and may change suddenly and dramatically. Changes in market and economic conditions could adversely affect us in many ways, including the following:

fluctuations in the global debt and equity capital markets, including sudden and unexpected changes in short-term or long-term interest rates, could decrease the fair value of our mortgage assets, derivatives positions and other investments, negatively affect our ability to issue debt at attractive rates, and reduce our net interest income; and

a recession or other economic downturn, or rising unemployment, in the United States as a whole or in specific regions of the country could decrease homeowner demand for mortgage loans and increase the number of homeowners who become delinquent or default on their mortgage loans. An increase in delinquencies or defaults would likely result in a higher level of credit losses, which would reduce our earnings. Also, decreased homeowner demand for mortgage loans could reduce our guaranty fee income, net interest income and the fair value of our mortgage assets. A recession or other economic downturn could also increase the risk that our counterparties will default on their obligations to us, resulting in an increase in our liabilities and a reduction in our earnings.

### **Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds**

#### **(a) Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities**

Under the Fannie Mae Stock Compensation Plan of 1993 and the Fannie Mae Stock Compensation Plan of 2003 (the Plans ), we regularly provide stock compensation to employees and members of the Board of Directors to attract, motivate and retain these individuals and promote an identity of interests with shareholders.

During the quarter ended September 30, 2007, we issued 341,758 shares of common stock upon the exercise of stock options for an aggregate exercise price of approximately \$17.9 million, of which approximately

**Table of Contents**

\$14.1 million was paid in cash and the remainder was paid by the delivery to us of 57,393 shares of common stock. Options granted under the Plans typically vest 25% per year beginning on the first anniversary of the date of grant and expire ten years after the grant.

In consideration of services rendered or to be rendered, we also issued 9,000 shares of restricted stock during the quarter ended September 30, 2007. In addition, 2,425 restricted stock units vested, as a result of which 1,603 shares of common stock were issued and 822 shares of common stock that otherwise would have been issued were withheld by us in lieu of requiring the recipients to pay us the withholding taxes due upon vesting. Shares of restricted stock and restricted stock units granted under the Plans typically vest in equal annual installments over three or four years beginning on the first anniversary of the date of grant. Each restricted stock unit represents the right to receive a share of common stock at the time of vesting. As a result, restricted stock units are generally similar to restricted stock, except that restricted stock units do not confer voting rights on their holders.

All options, shares of restricted stock and restricted stock units were granted to persons who were employees or members of the Board of Directors of Fannie Mae.

As reported in a Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on September 28, 2007, we issued 40 million shares of Variable Rate Non-Cumulative Preferred Stock, Series P, with an aggregate stated value of \$1.0 billion on September 28, 2007.

The securities we issue are exempted securities under laws administered by the SEC to the same extent as securities that are obligations of, or are guaranteed as to principal and interest by, the United States. As a result, we do not file registration statements with the SEC with respect to offerings of our securities.

(b) None.

(c) Share Repurchases

### Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer

The following table shows shares of our common stock we repurchased during the third quarter of 2007.

|                | <b>Total<br/>Number<br/>of Shares<br/>Purchased<sup>(1)</sup></b> | <b>Average<br/>Price Paid<br/>per Share</b> | <b>Total Number of<br/>Shares Purchased<br/>as Part of Publicly<br/>Announced<br/>Program<sup>(2)</sup><br/>(Shares in thousands)</b> | <b>Maximum<br/>Number<br/>of Shares that<br/>May Yet be<br/>Purchased Under<br/>the Program<sup>(3)</sup></b> |
|----------------|---|---|---|---|
| <b>2007</b>    |   |   |   |   |
| July 1-31      | 15  | \$ 64.29                                    |   | 59,815  |
| August 1-31    | 81  | 67.73                                       | 31  | 59,353  |
| September 1-30 | 29  | 62.98                                       | 9   | 59,313  |

- (1) In addition to shares repurchased as part of the publicly announced programs described in footnote 2 below, these shares consist of: (a) 20,872 shares of common stock reacquired from employees to pay an aggregate of approximately \$1.3 million in withholding taxes due upon the vesting of restricted stock; (b) 6,062 shares of common stock reacquired from employees to pay an aggregate of approximately \$0.4 million in withholding taxes due upon the exercise of stock options; (c) 57,393 shares of common stock repurchased from employees and members of our Board of Directors to pay an aggregate exercise price of approximately \$3.8 million for stock options; and (d) 899 shares of common stock repurchased from employees in a limited number of instances relating to employees' financial hardship.
- (2) Consists of 39,675 shares of common stock repurchased from employees pursuant to our publicly announced employee stock repurchase program. On May 9, 2006, we announced that the Board of Directors had authorized a stock repurchase program (the Employee Stock Repurchase Program) under which we may repurchase up to \$100 million of Fannie Mae shares from non-officer employees. On January 21, 2003, we publicly announced that the Board of Directors had approved a share repurchase program (the General Repurchase Authority) under which we could purchase in open market transactions the sum of (a) up to 5% of the shares of common stock outstanding as of December 31, 2002 (49.4 million shares) and (b) additional shares to offset stock issued or expected to be issued under our employee benefit plans. No shares were repurchased during the third quarter of 2007 pursuant to the General Repurchase Authority. The General Repurchase Authority has no specified expiration date. The Employee Stock Repurchase Program terminated in November 2007.

**Table of Contents**

- (3) Consists of the total number of shares that may yet be purchased under the General Repurchase Authority as of the end of the month, including the number of shares that may be repurchased to offset stock that may be issued pursuant to awards outstanding under the Stock Compensation Plan of 1993 and the Stock Compensation Plan of 2003. Repurchased shares are first offset against any issuances of stock under our employee benefit plans. To the extent that we repurchase more shares in a given month than have been issued under our plans, the excess number of shares is deducted from the 49.4 million shares approved for repurchase under the General Repurchase Authority. Because of new stock issuances and expected issuances pursuant to new grants under our employee benefit plans, the number of shares that may be purchased under the General Repurchase Authority fluctuates from month to month. See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements Note 13, Stock-Based Compensation Plans, in our 2006 Form 10-K, filed with the SEC on August 16, 2007 for information about shares issued, shares expected to be issued, and shares remaining available for grant under our employee benefit plans. Shares that remain available for grant under our employee benefit plans are not yet included in the amount of shares that may yet be purchased reflected in the table above. The amount in the table above includes the remaining number of shares that may yet be repurchased under the Employee Stock Repurchase Program at the end of each month, based on the average of the high and low stock prices of Fannie Mae common stock at month-end.

**Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities**

None.

**Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders**

None.

**Item 5. Other Information**

(a) None.

(b) Changes to Procedures for Recommending Nominees to the Board of Directors

In October 2007, we revised the procedures by which shareholders may submit written recommendations for nominees to the Board of Directors. To assist the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee of the Board of Directors in its evaluation of candidates for the Board of Directors, shareholder recommendations as to potential candidates should include the following information regarding nominees for the Board of Directors:

- (1) the name, age, business address and residence address of the recommended nominee;
- (2) the principal occupation or employment of the recommended nominee;
- (3) the class of securities and the number of shares of capital stock of the corporation which are beneficially owned by the recommended nominee;
- (4) any other information concerning the recommended nominee that would be required under SEC rules in a proxy statement soliciting proxies for the election of that nominee as a director; and
- (5) a statement whether the recommended nominee, if elected, intends to tender, promptly following the nominee's election or re-election, an irrevocable resignation effective upon the nominee's failure to receive

the required vote for re-election at the next meeting of shareholders at which the nominee faces re-election and upon acceptance of such resignation by the Board of Directors.

We also may require any proposed nominee to furnish such other information as may be reasonably required to determine whether the proposed nominee is eligible to serve as an independent director or that could be material to a reasonable shareholder's understanding of the nominee's independence or lack thereof.

Change in Date of Annual Meeting of Shareholders for 2008

Because we currently expect to hold our 2008 annual meeting of shareholders in the spring of 2008, a shareholder who intends to submit a proposal for consideration at the 2008 annual meeting must submit the proposal so that we receive it by no later than November 30, 2007, in order for the proposal to be considered for inclusion in the proxy statement and form of proxy that the Board of Directors will distribute in connection with that meeting. The shareholder proposal must be delivered to, or mailed and received by,



**Table of Contents**

Fannie Mae Shareholder Proposal, c/o Office of the Secretary, Fannie Mae, Mail Stop 1H-2S/05, 3900 Wisconsin Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20016-2892.

If a shareholder does not wish to have the proposal included in the proxy statement but still wishes to present a proposal at the 2008 annual meeting, other than a director nomination, the shareholder must give written notice to us in accordance with Section 3.12 of our Bylaws. The written notice should be sent via U.S. mail addressed to Fannie Mae Shareholder Proposal, c/o Office of the Secretary, Fannie Mae, Mail Stop 1H-2S/05, 3900 Wisconsin Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20016-2892. In the case of proposals for the 2008 annual meeting of shareholders, the Secretary must receive written notice of the proposal not earlier than the close of business on January 21, 2008, and not later than the close of business on March 21, 2008. The written notice must include or be accompanied by a brief description of the proposal, the reasons for bringing the proposal before the annual meeting, the shareholder's name and address, the class and number of shares beneficially owned by the shareholder, and any material interest of the shareholder in the proposal. If a shareholder does not comply with Section 3.12 of our Bylaws, the chair of the 2008 annual meeting may declare the proposal not properly brought before the meeting.

**Item 6. Exhibits**

An index to exhibits has been filed as part of this report beginning on page E-1 and is incorporated herein by reference.

**Table of Contents**

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Federal National Mortgage Association

By: /s/ Daniel H. Mudd

Daniel H. Mudd  
President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: November 9, 2007

By: /s/ Stephen M. Swad

Stephen M. Swad  
Executive Vice President and  
Chief Financial Officer

Date: November 9, 2007

**Table of Contents****INDEX TO EXHIBITS**

| <b>Item</b> | <b>Description</b>  |
|-------------|---|
| 3.1         | Fannie Mae Charter Act (12 U.S.C. § 1716 et seq.) (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Fannie Mae's registration statement on Form 10, filed March 31, 2003.)   |
| 3.2         | Fannie Mae Bylaws, as amended through October 16, 2007 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Fannie Mae's Current Report on Form 8-K/A, filed October 23, 2007.)   |
| 4.1         | Certificate of Designation of Terms of Fannie Mae Preferred Stock, Series D (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Fannie Mae's registration statement on Form 10, filed March 31, 2003.)                           |
| 4.2         | Certificate of Designation of Terms of Fannie Mae Preferred Stock, Series E (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to Fannie Mae's registration statement on Form 10, filed March 31, 2003.)                           |
| 4.3         | Certificate of Designation of Terms of Fannie Mae Preferred Stock, Series F (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to Fannie Mae's registration statement on Form 10, filed March 31, 2003.)                           |
| 4.4         | Certificate of Designation of Terms of Fannie Mae Preferred Stock, Series G (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to Fannie Mae's registration statement on Form 10, filed March 31, 2003.)                           |
| 4.5         | Certificate of Designation of Terms of Fannie Mae Preferred Stock, Series H (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.5 to Fannie Mae's registration statement on Form 10, filed March 31, 2003.)                           |
| 4.6         | Certificate of Designation of Terms of Fannie Mae Preferred Stock, Series I (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.6 to Fannie Mae's registration statement on Form 10, filed March 31, 2003.)                           |
| 4.7         | Certificate of Designation of Terms of Fannie Mae Preferred Stock, Series L (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to Fannie Mae's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2003.)                |
| 4.8         | Certificate of Designation of Terms of Fannie Mae Preferred Stock, Series M (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to Fannie Mae's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2003.)                 |
| 4.9         | Certificate of Designation of Terms of Fannie Mae Preferred Stock, Series N (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Fannie Mae's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2003.)            |
| 4.10        | Certificate of Designation of Terms of Fannie Mae Non-Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock, Series 2004-1 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Fannie Mae's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed January 4, 2005.) |
| 4.11        | Certificate of Designation of Terms of Fannie Mae Preferred Stock, Series O (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to Fannie Mae's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed January 4, 2005.)                                 |
| 4.12        | Certificate of Designation of Terms of Fannie Mae Preferred Stock, Series P (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Fannie Mae's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed September 28, 2007.)                              |
| 4.13        | Certificate of Designation of Terms of Fannie Mae Preferred Stock, Series Q (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Fannie Mae's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed October 5, 2007.)                                 |
| 31.1        | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a)   |
| 31.2        | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a)   |
| 32.1        | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350   |
| 32.2        | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350   |

**Table of Contents**

FANNIEMAE LOGO

**CA314**