Tennessee Valley Authority Form 10-K December 15, 2006

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549 FORM 10-K

(MARK ONE)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13, 15(d), OR 37 OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006

OR

o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission file number 000-52313 TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

A corporate agency of the United States created by

an act of Congress

62-0474417

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

400 W. Summit Hill Drive

Knoxville, Tennessee

37902

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

(865) 632-2101

Registrant s telephone number, including area code Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act: None Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a well-known, seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes o No b

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13, Section 15(d), or Section 37 of the Exchange Act. Yes o No b

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes o No b

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein and will not be contained, to the best of registrant sknowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer o Accelerated filer o Non-accelerated filer b

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No b

Page 1 of 141

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

Forward-L	cooking Information	4
General In	•	5
· .	Part I	
<u>Item 1.</u>	<u>Business</u>	6
	The Corporation	6
	Governance	6
	Service Area	6
	Customers	8
	Rate Authority	10
	Rate Actions	11
	Power and Energy Forecasts	11
	Power Supply	11
	Nuclear Nuclear	15
	Fuel Supply	16
	<u>Transmission Operations</u>	18
	Stewardship Activities	19
	Seasonality	19
	Competition	20
	Regulation	21
	Payments in Lieu of Taxes	22
	Environmental Matters	22
	Employee Relations	26
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	26
1,0111	Strategic Risks	26
	Operational Risks	28
	Financial Risks	30
Item 1B.	Unresolved Staff Comments	32
Item 2.	Properties Properties	32
	Generating Properties	32
	Transmission Properties	33
	Resource Stewardship Properties	33
	Buildings	33
	Disposal of Property	33
Item 3.	Legal Proceedings	34
Item 4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	37
	<u>Part II</u>	
<u>Item 5.</u>	Market for Registrants Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of	20
τ	Equity Securities	38
<u>Item 6.</u>	Selected Financial Data	38
	Statements of Income Data	38
	Balance Sheets Data	39
	Financial Obligations	39
	Reconciliation of Non-GAAP Items Required by Securities and Exchange Commission Rules	39

	Comparative Five-Year Data Statistical and Financial Summaries	41
<u>Item 7.</u>	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	42
	Business Overview	42
	Liquidity and Capital Resources	45
	Results of Operations	51
	Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements	56
	Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates	57
	New Accounting Standards and Interpretations	61
	Legislative and Regulatory Matters	64
	Environmental Matters	65
	Page 2 of 141	

Table of Contents

	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	68
	Risk Management Activities	68
	Management Changes	74
	Subsequent Events	74
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	74
Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	75
	Statements of Income	75
	Balance Sheets	76
	Statements of Cash Flows	77
	Statements of Changes in Proprietary Capital	78
	Notes to Financial Statements	79
	Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	119
Item 9.	Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial	
	<u>Disclosure</u>	120
Item 9A.	Controls and Procedures	121
Item 9B.	Other Information	121
	Part III	
<u>Item 10.</u>	Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant	122
	<u>Directors</u>	122
	Executive Officers	123
	<u>Code of Ethics</u>	126
	Audit Committee	126
	Other Committees	126
<u>Item 11.</u>	Executive Compensation	126
	<u>Director Compensation</u>	126
	Executive Compensation	128
	Retirement Benefits	131
	Long-Term Deferred Compensation Plan Agreements	135
	Other Agreements	135
	Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation	136
<u>Item 12.</u>	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related	
	Stockholder Matters	136
<u>Item 13.</u>	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions	136
<u>Item 14.</u>	Principal Accountant Fees and Services	136
	Part IV	
<u>Item 15.</u>	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	138
<u>Signatures</u>		141
	e Valley Authority Act, As Amended	
Ex-3.2 TVA By-	<u>laws</u> 5, 1960 Power Bond Resolution	
Ex-4.1 October C		
	Maturity Credit Agreement	
•	scount Notes Selling Group Agreement	
_	notes Selling Agent Agreement	
	<u>ment Agreement</u> Contract Supplement No. 95	
	alk Away Agreement	

Ex-10.8 Power Contract Supplement No. 96

Ex-10.9 Overview of TVA's 09/26/03 Lease

Ex-10.10 Participation Agreement

Ex-10.11 Network Lease Agreement

Ex-10.12 Head Lease Agreement

Ex-10.13 Leasehold Security Agreement

Ex-10.14 Directors/Officers Compensation Overview

Ex-10.15 Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan

Ex-10.16 Executive Annual Incentive Plan

Ex-10.17 Executive Long-Term Incentive Plan

Ex-10.18 Long Term Deferred Compensation Plan

Ex-10.19 Tom D. Kilgore Employment Contract

Ex-10.20 Michal E. Rescoe Employment Contract

Ex-10.21 Ashok S. Bhatnagar First Deferral Agreement

Ex-10.22 Ashok S. Bhatnagar Second Deferral Agreement

Ex-10.23 Joseph R. Bynum Deferral Agreement

Ex-10.24 Tom D. Kilgore Deferral Agreement

Ex-10.25 Karl W. Singer First Deferral Agreement

Ex-10.26 Karl W. Singer Second Deferral Agreement

Ex-14 Disclosure and financial Ethics Code

Ex-31.1 Section 302 Certification

Ex-31.2 Section 302 Certification

Ex-32.1 Section 906 Certification

Ex-32.2 Section 906 Certification

Page 3 of 141

FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION

This Annual Report on Form 10-K (Annual Report) contains forward-looking statements relating to future events and future performance. All statements other than those that are purely historical may be forward-looking statements.

In certain cases, forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of words such as may, will, should, expect, anticipate, believe, intend, project, plan, predict, assume, forecast, estimate, objective, likely, potential, or other similar expressions.

Examples of forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to:

Statements regarding strategic objectives;

Projections regarding potential rate actions;

Estimates of costs of certain retirement obligations;

Estimates regarding power and energy forecasts;

Expectations about the adequacy of TVA s pension plans and nuclear decommissioning trust;

Estimates regarding the reduction of total financing obligations;

The impact of new accounting pronouncements and interpretations, including Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 158, Employers Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106, and 132(R);

Estimates of amounts to be reclassified from Other Comprehensive Income to earnings over the next year;

TVA s plans to continue using short-term debt to meet current obligations; and

The anticipated cost and timetable for returning Browns Ferry Unit 1 to service.

Although the Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) believes that the assumptions underlying the forward-looking statements are reasonable, TVA does not guarantee the accuracy of these statements. Numerous factors could cause actual results to differ materially from those in the forward-looking statements. These factors include, among other things:

New laws, regulations, and administrative orders, especially those related to:

TVA s protected service area,

The sole authority of the TVA Board to set power rates,

Various environmental and nuclear matters,

TVA s management of the Tennessee River system,

TVA s credit rating, and

TVA s debt ceiling;

Performance of TVA s generation and transmission assets;

Availability of fuel supplies;

Compliance with existing environmental laws and regulations;

Significant delays or cost overruns in construction of generation and transmission assets; Significant changes in demand for electricity; Legal and administrative proceedings; Weather conditions; Failure of transmission facilities; An accident at any nuclear facility, even one unaffiliated with TVA; Catastrophic events such as fires, earthquakes, floods, pandemics, wars, terrorist activities, and other similar events, especially if these events occur in or near TVA s service area; Changes in the market price of commodities such as coal, uranium, natural gas, fuel oil, electricity, and emission allowances: Changes in the prices of equity securities, debt securities, and other investments; Changes in interest rates; Creditworthiness of TVA or its counterparties; Rising pension costs and health care expenses; Increases in TVA s financial liability for decommissioning its nuclear facilities; Limitations on TVA s ability to borrow money; Changes in economic environments; Ineffectiveness of TVA s disclosure controls and procedures; Changes in accounting standards; The loss of TVA s ability to use regulatory accounting; Loss of key personnel; Changes in technology; and Unforeseeable events. Page 4 of 141

Table of Contents

Additionally, other risks that may cause actual results to differ from the predicted results are set forth in Item 1A, Risk Factors. New factors emerge from time to time, and it is not possible for management to predict all such factors or to assess the extent to which any factor or combination of factors may impact TVA s business or cause results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statement.

TVA undertakes no obligation to update any forward-looking statement to reflect developments that occur after the statement is made.

GENERAL INFORMATION

Fiscal Year

Unless otherwise indicated, years (2006, 2005, etc.) in this Annual Report refer to TVA s fiscal years ended September 30. References to years in the biographical information about directors and executive officers in Item 10, Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant are to calendar years.

Notes

References to Notes are to the Notes to Financial Statements contained in Item 8, Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

Available Information

The public may read and copy any reports or other information that TVA files with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) at the SEC s Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549. The public may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. TVA s SEC reports are also available to the public without charge from the website maintained by the SEC at www.sec.gov and TVA s website at www.tva.gov. Information contained on TVA s website shall not be deemed incorporated into, or to be a part of, this Annual Report.

Page 5 of 141

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

The Corporation

The Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) is a wholly-owned corporate agency and instrumentality of the United States. TVA was created by the U.S. Congress in 1933 by virtue of the Tennessee Valley Authority Act of 1933, as amended, 16 U.S.C. §§ 831-831ee (2000 & Supp. IV 2004) (as amended, the TVA Act). TVA was created to improve navigation on the Tennessee River, reduce flood damage, provide agricultural and industrial development, and provide electric power to the Tennessee Valley region. TVA manages the Tennessee River and its tributaries for multiple river-system purposes, such as navigation; flood damage reduction; power generation; environmental stewardship; shoreline use; and water supply for power plant operations, consumer use, recreation, industry, and other stewardship purposes. TVA s power system operations, however, constitute the majority of its activities and provide virtually all of its revenues.

Although TVA is similar to power companies in many ways, there are many features that make it different. Some of these include:

TVA was created by an act of the U.S. Congress and is a wholly-owned corporate agency of the United States.

TVA s board of directors (the TVA Board) is appointed by the President with the advice and consent of the U.S. Senate.

TVA holds its real properties as an agent for the United States.

TVA is required to make payments to the U.S. Treasury as a repayment of and a return on the appropriation investment that the United States provided TVA for its power program (the Appropriation Investment).

TVA is not authorized to issue equity securities such as common or preferred stock. Accordingly, TVA finances its operations primarily with cash flows from operations and proceeds from issuing debt.

The TVA Board sets the rates TVA charges for power. In setting rates, the TVA Board must have due regard for the objective that power be sold at rates as low as are feasible.

TVA is exempt from paying federal income taxes and state and local taxes but must pay certain states and counties an amount in lieu of taxes equal to five percent of TVA s gross revenues from the sale of power during the preceding year excluding sales or deliveries to other federal agencies and exchange sales with other utilities, with a provision for minimum payments under certain circumstances.

For a discussion of the more significant of these features, see Item 7, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations *Business Overview*.

Governance

TVA is governed by the TVA Board. The Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2005, amended the TVA Act by restructuring the TVA Board from three full-time members to nine part-time members, at least seven of whom must be legal residents of the TVA service area. TVA Board members are appointed by the President of the United Stated with the advice and consent of the U.S. Senate. After an initial phase-in period, TVA Board members serve five-year terms, and at least one member s term ends each year. The TVA Board s role, among other things, is to establish broad goals, objectives, and policies for TVA; establish long-range plans to carry out these goals, objectives, and policies; approve annual budgets; and establish a compensation plan for employees. Information about members of the TVA Board and TVA s executive officers is discussed in Item 10, Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant. Service Area

TVA operates the nation s largest public power system. TVA supplies power in most of Tennessee, northern Alabama, northeastern Mississippi, and southwestern Kentucky and in portions of northern Georgia, western North Carolina, and southwestern Virginia to a population of approximately 8.7 million people.

Subject to certain minor exceptions, TVA may not, without specific authorization by act of the U.S. Congress, enter into contracts which would have the effect of making it, or the distributor customers of its power, a source of power supply outside the area for which TVA or its distributor customers were the primary source of power Page 6 of 141

Table of Contents

supply on July 1, 1957. This statutory provision is referred to as the fence because it bounds TVA s sales activities, essentially limiting TVA to power sales within a defined service area.

Correspondingly, the Federal Power Act (FPA), primarily through its anti-cherrypicking provision, prevents the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) from ordering TVA to provide access to its transmission lines to others for the purpose of delivering power to customers within its defined service area. The anti-cherrypicking provision helps to minimize the financial exposure of TVA to loss of revenue.

Sales of electricity accounted for substantially all of TVA s operating revenues in 2006, 2005, and 2004, amounting to \$9.1 billion, \$7.7 billion, and \$7.4 billion, respectively. TVA s revenues by state for the last three years are detailed in the table below:

Electricity Sales by State (in millions)

	2006	2005	2004
Alabama	\$ 1,268	\$ 1,054	\$ 1,033
Georgia	228	186	182
Kentucky	909	832	731
Mississippi	826	674	658
North Carolina	47	39	38
Tennessee	5,764	4,820	4,734
Virginia	7	4	4
	9,049	7,609	7,380
Sale for resale	13	95	59
	\$ 9,062	\$ 7,704	\$ 7,439

TVA SERVICE AREA

Page 7 of 141

Customers

TVA is primarily a wholesaler of power. TVA sells power at wholesale to distributor customers, consisting of municipalities and cooperatives, that resell the power to their customers at a retail rate. TVA also sells power (1) to directly served customers, consisting primarily of federal agencies and customers with large or unusual loads, and (2) to exchange power customers (electric systems that border TVA s service area) with which TVA has entered into exchange power arrangements as allowed by the TVA Act.

Operating revenues by customer type for each of the last three years are set forth in the table below. In this table, sales to directly-served industries are included in Industries Directly Served, and sales to directly-served federal agencies and to exchange power customers are included in Federal Agencies and Other.

Operating Revenues by Customer Type (in millions)

	2006	2005	2004
Municipalities and cooperatives	\$ 7,880	\$ 6,561	\$ 6,457
Industries directly served	1,066	962	842
Federal agencies and other			
Federal agencies directly served	103	86	81
Exchange sales	13	95	59
Total	\$ 9,062	\$ 7,704	\$ 7,439

Municipalities and Cooperatives

Revenues from distributor customers accounted for 85.8 percent of TVA s total operating revenues in 2006. At September 30, 2006, TVA had wholesale power contracts with 158 municipalities and cooperatives. All of these contracts require distributor customers to purchase all of their electric power and energy requirements from TVA.

All distributor customers purchase power under one of three basic termination notice arrangements:

Contracts that require five years notice to terminate;

Contracts that require 10 years notice to terminate; and

Contracts that require 15 years notice to terminate.

The number of distributor customers with the contract arrangements described above, the revenues derived from such arrangements in 2006, and the percentage of TVA s 2006 total operating revenues represented by these revenues are summarized in the table below.

TVA Distributor Customer Contracts

As of September 30, 2006

	Number			Percentage of
	of	Sal	es to	Total
				Operating
	Distributor	Dist	ributor	Revenues
		Custo	mers in	
Contract Arrangement	Customers	20	006	in 2006
			(in millions)	
15-Year Termination Notice	5	\$	92	1.0%
10-Year Termination Notice	48		2,625	28.6%
5-Year Termination Notice *	99		4,893	53.3%
Notice Given - Less than 5 Years				
Remaining*	6		270	2.9%

158 \$ 7,880 85.8%

* Ordinarily the

distributor

customer and

TVA have the

same

termination

notice period;

however, in

contracts with

six of the

distributor

customers with

a five-year

termination

notice, TVA has

a 10-year

termination

notice (which

becomes a

five-year

termination

notice if TVA

loses its

discretionary

wholesale

rate-setting

authority).

TVA s two largest distributor customers Memphis, Light Gas and Water Division (MLGW) and Nashville Electric Service (NES) have contracts with five-year and 10 year termination notice periods, respectively.

Page 8 of 141

Although no single customer accounted for 10 percent or more of TVA s total operating revenues in 2006, sales to MLGW and NES accounted for 9.1 percent and 7.7 percent, respectively. In 2004, TVA and MLGW entered into a prepayment agreement under which MLGW prepaid TVA \$1.5 billion for the future costs for a portion of the electricity to be delivered by TVA to MLGW over a period of 180 months. See Note 1 *Energy Prepayment Obligations* for more information about this prepayment arrangement.

On September 26, 2006, the city of Bristol, Virginia, announced that it had selected TVA as the new power provider for its municipal electric system, Bristol Virginia Utilities (BVU), beginning in January 2008. TVA had provided wholesale power to BVU from 1945 to 1997. The contract has a minimum 15-year term, and a five-year termination notice may not be given until January 2018. The rates under this contract are intended to recover the cost of reintegrating BVU into TVA s power-supply plan and serving its customer load.

All of the power contracts between TVA and the distributor customers provide for purchase of power by the distributor customers at the rates established by the TVA Board, which beginning with the current fiscal year, will be adjusted quarterly to reflect changing fuel and purchased power costs. In addition, most of the power contracts between TVA and the distributor customers specify the resale rates that distributor customers charge their power customers. These resale rates are divided into the classifications of residential, general power, and manufacturing. The general power and manufacturing classifications are further divided into sub-classifications according to their load size. These rates are revised from time to time to reflect changes in costs, including changes in the wholesale cost of power, and are designed to promote the TVA Act s objective of providing an adequate supply of power at the lowest feasible rates.

Termination Notices

Six of TVA s distributor customers had notices in effect terminating their power contracts with TVA as of September 30, 2006. On November 3, 2006, TVA announced that distributor customers that have given notice to terminate their power contracts with TVA will have an opportunity to rescind their notices on or before January 10, 2007, without any additional costs. After January 10, 2007, TVA will consider requests for rescission of the notice, but would consider serving the returning distributor customer at the standard prevailing rate plus a reintegration fee for any additional costs necessary to supply the returning load. In December 2006, Warren Rural Electric Cooperative Corporation (Warren) announced its intention to take advantage of this opportunity and to enter into a new power supply contract with TVA.

The table below lists the names and locations of the six distributor customers whose termination notices were still in effect, their contract termination dates, the amount of revenues that TVA generated by selling power to these distributor customers in 2006, and the percentage of TVA s total 2006 operating revenues represented by these revenues.

Distributor Customers with Termination Notices in Effect

As of September 30, 2006

			TVA Sale to	S
			Distributo	r Percentage of TVA
		Date of Termination	Customer	Operating Revenues in
Distributor Customer	Location	of Power Contract	in 2006	2006
		(in milli	ons)	
Monticello Electric Plant Board	Kentucky	November 2008	\$	6 0.1%
Glasgow Electric Plant Board	Kentucky	November 2008	2	1 0.2%
Warren Rural Electric Cooperative	Kentucky	April 2009		
Corporation	•	•	9	7 1.0%
Paducah Power System	Kentucky	December 2009	3	9 0.4%
Princeton Electric Plant Board	Kentucky	January 2010		6 0.1%

Duck River Electric Membership	Tennessee	August 2010		
Corporation		-	101	1.1%
Total			\$ 270	2.9%

In 2006, TVA agreed to a one-year extension of the effective date of termination of TVA s power supply contract with Warren and a two-year extension with Duck River Electric Membership. Warren s one-year extension includes a surcharge for costs associated with the additional year. (The extended termination dates are shown in the table above).

Page 9 of 141

Table of Contents

Other Customers

Revenues from directly served industrial customers accounted for 11.6 percent of TVA s total operating revenues in 2006. Directly served customer contracts are normally for 10-year terms. These contracts are subject to termination by TVA or the customer upon a minimum notice period that varies according to the customer s contract demand and the period of time service has been provided.

The United States Enrichment Corporation (USEC) is TVA s largest directly served industrial customer, with sales to USEC for its Paducah, Kentucky, facility representing 3.9 percent of TVA s total operating revenues in 2006. TVA s current contract with USEC expires on June 1, 2010. In January 2004, USEC announced it will begin constructing a new commercial centrifuge facility in Piketon, Ohio, which is outside TVA s service area. Once this new facility is opened (scheduled to be in 2010), it is unclear how much electricity USEC will acquire from TVA for its Paducah, Kentucky, facility, but it is expected to be substantially less than current levels.

Rate Authority

TVA is self-regulated and the TVA Act gives the TVA Board sole responsibility for establishing the rates TVA charges for power. These rates are not subject to review or approval by any state or federal regulatory body.

According to the TVA Act, TVA is required to charge rates for power which will produce gross revenues sufficient to provide funds for:

Operation, maintenance, and administration of its power system;

Payments to states and counties in lieu of taxes;

Debt service on outstanding indebtedness;

Payments to the U.S. Treasury in repayment of and as a return on the Appropriation Investment in TVA s power facilities; and

Such additional margin as the TVA Board may consider desirable for investment in power system assets, retirement of outstanding indebtedness, additional reduction of the Appropriation Investment, and other purposes connected with TVA s power business.

In setting TVA s rates, the TVA Board is charged by the TVA Act to have due regard for the primary objectives of the TVA Act, including the objective that power shall be sold at rates as low as are feasible. *Revenue Requirements*

In conjunction with setting rates to cover the costs set out in the TVA Act, TVA uses a debt-service coverage (DSC) methodology to derive annual revenue requirements in a manner similar to that used by other public power entities that also use the DSC rate methodology. The DSC method is essentially a measure of an organization s ability to cover its operating costs and to satisfy its obligations to pay principal and interest on debt. TVA believes this method is appropriate because of TVA s debt-intensive capital structure. This ratemaking approach is particularly suitable for use by highly leveraged enterprises (i.e., financed primarily, if not entirely, by debt capital). In these enterprises common equity capital does not function, as it does in companies that issue equity, as primary risk capital by providing an adequate buffer against earnings volatility.

The revenue requirements (or projected costs) are typically calculated under the DSC method as the sum of the following components:

- 1) Fuel and purchased power costs;
- 2) Operating and maintenance costs;
- 3) Taxes; and
- 4) Debt service coverage.

Once the revenue requirements (or projected costs) are determined, this amount is compared to the projected revenues for the test year at existing rates to arrive at the shortfall or surplus of revenues as compared to the projected costs. In the event of a projected shortfall, the rates would be adjusted upward to a level sufficient to produce revenues approximately equal to the projected costs. Conversely, in the event of a projected surplus, the rates would be adjusted downward to a level to produce revenues approximately equal to the projected costs. This

Page 10 of 141

reflects the cause-and-effect relationship between a regulated entity s costs and the corresponding rates the entity charges for its regulated products and services.

Rate Actions

On July 22, 2005, the TVA Board approved a 7.52 percent increase in firm wholesale electric rates effective on October 1, 2005. The TVA Board approved the rate adjustment to fund increases in fuel and purchased power costs as well as increased fuel transportation costs. In 2006, fuel and purchased power costs represented about 38 percent of TVA s total costs. Costs continued to increase significantly, and on February 13, 2006, the TVA Board approved a 9.95 percent increase in firm wholesale electric rates effective on April 1, 2006. The combined rate increases provided additional revenues of approximately \$873 million during 2006.

On July 28, 2006, the TVA Board approved a 4.50 percent decrease in firm wholesale electric rates effective on October 1, 2006. In connection with the same rate adjustment, the TVA Board also implemented a fuel cost adjustment (FCA) to be applied quarterly as a mechanism to adjust TVA is rates to reflect changing fuel and purchased power costs beginning in fiscal year 2007. The FCA is initially set to zero and will have its first impact on rates effective January 1, 2007. The FCA amount to be implemented on January 1, 2007, is 0.01 cents per kilowatt-hour and is expected to produce an estimated \$3.9 million in revenue.

Power and Energy Forecasts

TVA forecasts future power and energy requirements by producing a range of load forecasts to bound the range of uncertainty associated with load growth. TVA produces the load forecasts using probabilities. TVA believes that there is a 90 percent probability that the actual load will be less than the high load forecast, a 50 percent probability that the actual load will be less than medium load forecast, and a 10 percent probability that the actual load will be less than the low load forecast. TVA s current forecast through 2007 is a high load forecast of 4.0 percent growth, a medium load forecast of 2.9 percent growth, and a low load forecast of 0.4 percent growth. Numerous factors, such as weather conditions and the health of the regional economy, could cause actual results to differ materially from TVA s forecasts.

Power Supply

General

TVA s power generating facilities in operation at September 30, 2006, included 29 conventional hydroelectric plants, one pumped storage hydroelectric plant, 11 coal-fired plants, three nuclear plants, six combustion turbine plants, two diesel generator plants, one wind energy site, one digester gas plant, and 16 solar energy sites. In addition, TVA acquires power under power purchase agreements, as well as through spot market purchases.

TVA-Owned Generation Facilities

The following table summarizes TVA s net generation in millions of kilowatt-hours (kWh) by generating source and the percentage of all electric power generated by TVA for the years indicated:

Power Supply from TVA-Owned Generation FacilitiesAs of September 30

(millions of kWh)

	2006		2005		2004		2003		2002	
Coal-fired Nuclear Hydroelectric Combustion turbine and	99,630 45,313 9,961	64% 29% 6%	98,404 45,156 15,723	62% 28% 10%	94,648 46,003 13,916	61% 30% 9%	90,975 43,167 16,103	60% 29% 11%	94,930 45,179 10,205	63% 30% 6%
diesel generators Renewable	613	<1%	595	<1%	278	<1%	817	<1%	1,190	1%
resources	19	<1%	18	<1%	18	<1%	15	<1%	18	<1%
Total	155,536	100%	159,896	100%	154,863	100%	151,077	100%	151,522	100%

Coal-Fired. TVA has 11 coal fired power plants consisting of 59 units. At September 30, 2006, these facilities accounted for 15,081 megawatts of winter net dependable capacity. Net dependable capacity is defined as the net power output which can be obtained for a period adequate to satisfy the daily load patterns under expected Page 11 of 141

conditions of operation with equipment in an average state of maintenance excluding any fluctuations in capacity that may occur due to planned outages, unplanned outages, and deratings. Each of TVA s coal-fired units was placed in service between 1951 and 1973.

Nuclear. TVA has three nuclear plants consisting of five units currently in operation. At September 30, 2006, these facilities accounted for 5,770 megawatts of winter net dependable capacity. For a detailed discussion of TVA s nuclear power program, see Item 1, Business *Nuclear*.

Hydroelectric. TVA has 29 conventional hydroelectric plants consisting of 109 units. In addition, TVA has one pumped storage facility consisting of 4 units. At September 30, 2006, these facilities accounted for 5,144 megawatts of winter net dependable capacity. The amount of electricity that TVA is able to generate from its hydroelectric plants depends on a number of factors, including the amount of precipitation, watershed levels, the need for water for competing water management objectives, and the availability of its hydroelectric generation plants. When these factors are unfavorable, TVA must increase its reliance on more expensive generation plants and purchased power.

Combustion Turbines. At September 30, 2006, TVA had six combustion turbine plants consisting of 72 units, and these facilities accounted for 4,663 megawatts of winter net dependable capacity. TVA s combustion turbines are fueled by natural gas and fuel oil and are quick-start facilities that TVA can use at times of peak demand to supply power to its customers. As of September 30, 2006, 24 of TVA s combustion turbine units were leased to private entities and leased back to TVA under long-term leases. See Note 11 **Other Financing Obligations*. In addition, the TVA Board has authorized the purchase of two additional combustion turbine facilities. In October 2006, the TVA Board authorized the acquisition of a 742 megawatt winter peaking capacity, dual-fuel combustion turbine facility and certain related transmission facilities located in Marshall County, Kentucky from KGen Marshall County LLC. In November 2006, the TVA Board approved the acquisition of a natural gas-fired combustion turbine facility located in Weakley County, Tennessee, from Allegheny Energy Supply Gleason Generating Facility, LLC. This facility can produce 555 megawatts of winter peaking capacity.

Diesel Generators. TVA has two diesel generator plants consisting of nine units. At September 30, 2006, these facilities provided 13 megawatts of winter net dependable capacity.

Renewable Resources. TVA has one wind energy site with three wind turbines, one digester gas cofiring site, and 16 solar energy sites. At September 30, 2006, the digester gas cofiring site provided TVA with five megawatts of winter net dependable capacity. In addition, the wind energy site and the photovoltaic sites provided two megawatts of capacity, but because of the nature of this capacity, it is not considered to be winter net dependable capacity. Purchased Power

TVA acquires power from a variety of power producers through long-term and short-term power purchase agreements as well as through spot market purchases. During 2006, TVA acquired 31 percent of the power that it purchased on the spot market, 40 percent through short-term power purchase agreements and 29 percent through long-term power purchase agreements that expire more than one year after September 30, 2006.

At September 30, 2006, TVA s power purchase agreements provided TVA with 4,275 megawatts of winter net dependable capacity. Counterparties to contracts for 3,008 megawatts of this capacity were in bankruptcy, but the counterparties have continued to perform under their power purchase agreements with TVA throughout their bankruptcy proceedings. See Item 7, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations *Risk Management Activities Credit Risk*. A portion of TVA s winter net dependable capacity provided by power purchase agreements is provided under long-term contracts that expire between 2010 and 2032, and the most significant of these contracts are discussed below.

Tapoco, Inc. Four hydroelectric plants owned by Tapoco, Inc. (Tapoco), a subsidiary of Alcoa, Inc. (Alcoa), are operated in coordination with the TVA system. Under contractual arrangements with Tapoco which terminate on June 20, 2010, TVA purchases the electric power generated at these facilities and uses it to partially supply Alcoa s energy needs. TVA s arrangement with Tapoco provides 362 megawatts of winter net dependable capacity.

Southeastern Power Administration. Under arrangements among TVA, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, and the Southeastern Power Administration (SEPA), eight hydroelectric plants of the U.S. Army Corps of

Engineers on the Cumberland River system are operated in coordination with the TVA system. These arrangements provide for 405 megawatts of winter net dependable capacity as well as Page 12 of 141

Table of Contents

all surplus energy from the Cumberland River system to be supplied to TVA by SEPA at the points of generation at a price based on the operating and maintenance expenses and amortization of the power facilities. A portion of the output of the Cumberland River system is also made available to SEPA s customers outside the TVA region. The agreement with SEPA covering these arrangements for power from the Cumberland River system can be terminated upon three years—notice, but this notice of termination may not become effective prior to June 30, 2017.

Choctaw Generation, L.P. TVA has contracted with Choctaw Generation L.P. (Choctaw) for 440 megawatts of winter net dependable capacity from a lignite-fired generating plant in Chester, Mississippi. TVA s contract with Choctaw expires on March 31, 2032.

Under the Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act of 1978, as amended (PURPA), TVA is obligated to purchase such energy at TVA s avoided cost as may be put to TVA from time to time from qualifying independent, non-utility power producers. At September 30, 2006, TVA had such PURPA-required contracts with seven such producers, with a combined capacity of 906 megawatts, but in October 2006, one of these contracts expired. The expired contract was with a producer with approximately three megawatts of capacity. Because of the nature of TVA s obligations under these PURPA-required contracts, the capacity of the associated qualifying generation facilities is not included in TVA s net dependable capacity calculations.

During the past five years, TVA supplemented its power generation through power purchases as follows:

Purchased Power

(in millions of kWh)

2006	2005	2004	2003	2002
20,017	16,637	15,148	15,760	12,241

These purchase agreements provide between 7.5 percent and 11.4 percent of TVA s total power supply during these years.

For more information regarding TVA s power purchase obligations, see Note 13 Commitments Power Purchase Obligations.

Net Dependable Capacity

The following table summarizes the winter net dependable capacity in megawatts TVA had available as of September 30, 2006:

Page 13 of 141

TVA WINTER NET DEPENDABLE CAPACITY

As of September 30, 2006

	Number	Winter Net	Date First Unit	Date Last Unit
	of	Dependable Capacity	Placed in	Placed in
Location	Units	(MW) ¹	Service	Service
Tennessee	3			1959
				1967
		· ·		1965
Tennessee		· ·		1973
Tennessee	4			1959
Tennessee	4	712	1955	1957
Tennessee	10	1,254	1951	1959
Tennessee	9	1,448	1954	1955
Kentucky	3	2,318	1963	1970
Kentucky	10	1,369	1953	1956
Alabama	8	1,628	1952	1965
	59	15,081		
Alabama	2	2,269	1974	1977
Tennessee		•	1981	1982
Tennessee	1	1,168	1996	1996
	5	5,770		
Alabama	36	1,146	1925	1962
Georgia	2	32	1931	1956
Kentucky North	5	165	1944	1948
Carolina	8	536	1940	1956
Tennessee	58	1,647	1912	1972
Tennessee	4	1,618	1978	1979
	113	5,144		
Tennessee	20	575	1971	1972
Alabama	8	486	1972	1972
	Tennessee Tennessee Tennessee Tennessee Tennessee Tennessee Tennessee Kentucky Kentucky Alabama Tennessee	Tennessee 3 Tennessee 1 Alabama 5 Tennessee 2 Tennessee 4 Tennessee 4 Tennessee 10 Tennessee 9 Kentucky 3 Kentucky 10 Alabama 8 59 Alabama 2 Tennessee 2 Tennessee 1 Alabama 8 59 Alabama 2 Tennessee 1 5 Alabama 8 Tennessee 1 1 113 Tennessee 58 Tennessee 4	Location Units Capacity (MW) 1	Number of Dependable Capacity Capacity Capacity

Gallatin	Tennessee	8	730	1975	2000
Johnsonville	Tennessee	20	1,372	1975	2000
Kemper	Mississippi	4	374	2001	2001
Lagoon Creek	Tennessee	12	1,126	2002	2002
			, -		
Total Combustion Turbine		72	4,6632		
Diesel Generator					
Meridian	Mississippi	5	9	1998	1998
Albertville	Alabama	4	4	2000	2000
Total Diesel Generators		9	13		
Total Diesel Generators			13		
Renewable Resources Owned by					
TVA			5		
Total TVA Owned Consuction					
Total TVA-Owned Generation Facilities			30,676		
racinges			30,070		
Power Purchase Agreements					
Tapoco			362		
SEPA			405		
Choctaw			440		
Other Power Purchase Agreements			3,068		
Total Power Purchase Agreements			4,275		
Total Winter Net Dependable			24.054		

Notes

Capacity

(1) Net dependable capacity is the net power output which can be obtained for a period adequate to satisfy the daily load patterns under expected conditions of operation with

Table of Contents 26

34,951

average state of maintenance excluding any fluctuations in capacity that may occur due to planned outages, unplanned outages, and deratings. TVA currently estimates gas, combustion turbine, and diesel generator capacity at 95 degrees Fahrenheit for summer net dependable capacity and at 25 degrees Fahrenheit for winter net dependable capacity. For planning purposes, TVA estimated total summer net dependable capacity at September 30, 2006 to be approximately 33,653 megawatts, including hydroelectric capacity of approximately 5,458 megawatts, coal-fired capacity of approximately 14,709 megawatts,

nuclear power

equipment in an

capacity of approximately 5,611 megawatts, combustion turbine capacity of approximately 3,708 megawatts, diesel generator capacity of approximately 13 megawatts, capacity from renewable assets of approximately five megawatts, and capacity from power purchase agreements of approximately 4,149 megawatts.

(2) As of
September 30,
2006, 24 of
TVA s
combustion
turbine units
were leased to
private entities
and leased back
to TVA under
long-term
leases.

Page 14 of 141

Nuclear

Overview

TVA has five operating nuclear units, one deferred nuclear unit, and one nuclear unit in recovery that is scheduled to be returned to service in 2007. Two units were canceled during 2006. Selected statistics of each of these units are included in the table below.

TVA Nuclear Power As of September 30, 2006

		Installed			
Nuclear Unit	Status	Capacity (Megawatts)	Net Capacity Factor for 2006	Date of Expiration of Operating License	Date of Expiration of Construction License
Sequoyah Unit 1	Operating	1,221	88.9	2020	
Sequoyah Unit 2	Operating	1,221	98.0	2021	
Browns Ferry Unit 2	Operating	1,190	96.4	20343	
Browns Ferry Unit 3	Operating	1,190	84.7	20363	
Watts Bar Unit 1	Operating	1,270	84.0	2035	
Watts Bar Unit 2	Deferred ¹				2010
Bellefonte Unit 1	Canceled ²				
Bellefonte Unit 2	Canceled ²				
Browns Ferry Unit 1	Recovery ⁴	1,150		20333	

Notes

Per the Nuclear (1) Regulatory Commission s definition of deferred nuclear units. TVA is planning to perform a detailed scoping, estimating, and planning study at Watts Bar **Nuclear Plant** Unit 2 during 2007 and 2008 and has budgeted \$30 million for the study. Watts Bar Unit 2 is a partially completed nuclear unit similar in design

to the operating Watts Bar Unit 1. The purpose of the study is to provide accurate cost, schedule, and risk information to enable a more informed future decision regarding new base load generation. No decision has been made to actually complete Watts Bar Unit 2.

(2) September 2006, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) approved TVA s request to terminate the construction permits for unfinished **Bellefonte Units** 1 and 2. The TVA Board approved canceling the Bellefonte construction project in November 2005. Neither of these actions interferes in any way with TVA s ability to use the site for

(3) On May 3, 2006, the NRC approved TVA s applications for 20-year license

future projects.

extensions for these units. (The expiration dates listed in the table reflect the extensions.)

(4) **Browns Ferry** Unit 1 is expected to return to service in 2007 and is expected initially to provide additional generating capacity of approximately 1,150 megawatts and eventually to provide 1,280 megawatts of capacity. At September 30, 2006, the restart construction at **Browns Ferry** Unit 1 was approximately 94 percent

Spent Nuclear Fuel

complete.

Under the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, TVA (and other domestic nuclear utility licensees) entered into a contract with the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) for the disposal of spent nuclear fuel. Payments to DOE are based upon TVA s nuclear generation and charged to nuclear fuel expense. Although the contracts called for DOE to begin accepting spent nuclear fuel from the utilities by January 31, 1998, DOE announced that it will not begin receiving spent nuclear fuel from any domestic nuclear utility until 2010 at the earliest, TVA, like other nuclear utilities, stores spent nuclear fuel in pools of borated water at its nuclear sites. Although TVA would have had sufficient space to continue to store spent nuclear fuel in those storage pools at its Sequoyah and Browns Ferry Nuclear Plants indefinitely had DOE begun accepting spent nuclear fuel, DOE s failure to do so required TVA to construct dry cask storage facilities at its Browns Ferry and Sequoyah Nuclear Plants and to purchase special storage containers for the spent nuclear fuel. (Watts Bar Nuclear Plant currently has sufficient storage capacity in its spent fuel pool to last until approximately 2018.) The Browns Ferry and Sequoyah dry cask storage facilities have been constructed and approved by the NRC and are now in use. To recover the cost of providing long-term, on-site storage for spent nuclear fuel, TVA filed a breach of contract suit against the United States in the Court of Federal Claims in 2001. In August 2006, the United States paid TVA the damages awarded by the Court of Federal Claims. The damages, amounting to almost \$35 million, partially offset the construction costs of the dry cask storage facilities that TVA incurred through 2004. The cumulative cost of the capitalized storage facilities totaled approximately \$61 million as of September 30, 2006, and is included in Property, plant, and equipment on the Balance Sheets. TVA plans to bring additional claims against DOE to recover costs that TVA has incurred after 2004.

Low-Level Radioactive Waste

Low-level radioactive waste (radwaste) results from the normal operation of nuclear units and includes such materials as disposable protective clothing, mops, and filters. TVA has contracted to dispose of radwaste at a Barnwell, South Carolina, disposal facility through June 2008. After June 2008, TVA will no longer be able to use this Page 15 of 141

disposal facility and will have to consider other options, which may include storing the radwaste at its own facilities as it has done in the past.

Nuclear Decommissioning Trust

TVA maintains a nuclear decommissioning trust to provide money for the ultimate decommissioning of its nuclear power plants. The trust is invested in securities generally designed to achieve a return in line with overall equity market performance. The assets of the trust as of September 30, 2006, totaled \$937 million, which is greater than the present value of TVA s estimated future nuclear decommissioning costs as computed under the NRC funding requirements. See Note 13 *Contingencies Decommissioning Costs*.

Nuclear Insurance

The Price-Anderson Act provides a layered framework of protection to compensate for losses arising from a nuclear event. For the first layer, all NRC nuclear plant licensees, including TVA, purchase \$300 million of nuclear liability insurance from American Nuclear Insurers (ANI) for each plant with an operating license. The second layer, the Secondary Financial Program (SFP), would come from an assessment of up to \$101 million from the licensees of each of the 104 NRC licensed reactors in the United States. The assessment for any nuclear accident would be limited to \$15 million per year per reactor. ANI, under a contract with the NRC, administers the SFP. With its six licensed units, TVA could be required to pay a maximum of \$604 million per nuclear incident, but it would have to pay no more than \$90 million per incident in any one year. When the contributions of the nuclear plant licensees are added to the insurance proceeds of \$300 million, over \$10.7 billion would be available. Under the Price-Anderson Act, if the first two layers are exhausted, Congress is required to take action to provide additional funds to cover the additional losses.

TVA carries property, decommissioning, and decontamination insurance of \$4.2 billion for its licensed nuclear plants, with up to \$2.1 billion available for a loss at any one site, to cover the cost of stabilizing or shutting down a reactor after an accident. Some of this insurance may require the payment of retrospective premiums up to a maximum of approximately \$64 million.

TVA purchases accidental outage (business interruption) insurance for TVA s nuclear sites from Nuclear Electric Insurance Limited (NEIL). In the event that an accident covered by this policy takes a nuclear unit offline or keeps a nuclear unit offline, NEIL will pay TVA, after a deductible waiting period, an indemnity (a set dollar amount per week) up to a maximum indemnity of \$490 million per unit. This insurance policy may require the payment of retrospective premiums up to a maximum of approximately \$23 million. See Note 13 *Contingencies Nuclear Insurance*.

Tritium-Related Services

TVA helps produce tritium at certain nuclear facilities under a contract with DOE. See Note 13 *Commitments Tritium-Related Services*.

Fuel Supply

General

TVA s consumption of various types of fuel depends on several factors, the most important of which are the demand for electricity by TVA s customers, the availability of various generating units, and the availability and cost of fuel. The following table indicates TVA s costs for various fuels for the years indicated:

Fuel cost (in millions of dollars)

	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002
Coal	\$ 1,835	\$ 1,495	\$ 1,254	\$ 1,242	\$ 1,233
Natural Gas	60	63	22	42	50
Fuel Oil	46	28	17	40	14
Uranium	71	44	16	42	38
Total	\$ 2,012	\$ 1,630	\$ 1,309	\$ 1,366	\$ 1,335

The following table indicates TVA $\,$ s average fuel costs in cents per kilowatt-hours for the years indicated: Page 16 of 141

Fuel Cost Per kWh

(cents/kWh)

	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002
Coal	2.02	1.65	1.48	1.43	1.39
Natural gas and fuel oil	10.65	11.44	9.01	7.61	4.65
Nuclear	0.38	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.41
Aggregate fuel cost per kWh net thermal					
generation	1.54	1.30	1.14	1.14	1.11

Beginning with the implementation of the fuel cost adjustment mechanism on October 1, 2006, TVA s rates will be adjusted on a quarterly basis to reflect changing fuel and purchased power costs. See Item 1, Business *Rate Actions*.

Coal consumption at TVA s coal-fired generating facilities during 2006 was 46.4 million tons. As of September 30, 2006, and 2005, TVA had 20 days and 16 days of system-wide coal supply at full burn, respectively, with a net book value of coal inventory of \$214 million and \$149 million, respectively.

During 2006, TVA had in place coal contracts with terms of more than one year, which supplied 83 percent of TVA s total coal requirements for 2006. These contracts have expiration dates ranging from October 1, 2006, to September 30, 2017, and TVA plans to continue signing contracts of various lengths, terms, and quality to meet its expected burn requirements. The remaining 17 percent of coal purchased during 2006 was purchased in the spot coal market under contracts with terms of one year or less. During 2006, TVA s coal supply was acquired as follows:

37 percent from the Illinois Basin;

25 percent from the Powder River Basin in Wyoming;

19 percent from the Uinta Basin of Utah and Colorado; and

19 percent from the Appalachian Basin of Kentucky, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, Virginia, and West Virginia. During 2006, TVA purchased additional Appalachian Basin and Illinois Basin coals to replace shortages in deliveries from the Powder River Basin and Uinta Basin. By early summer 2006, coal inventories were at or above normal levels. During 2006, 40 percent of TVA s coal supply was delivered by rail, 21 percent was delivered by barge, and 34 percent was delivered by a combination of barge and rail. The remainder was delivered by truck. *Natural Gas and Fuel Oil*

During 2006, TVA purchased substantially all of its natural gas requirements from a variety of suppliers under contracts with terms of one year or less. TVA purchases substantially all of its natural gas to operate combustion turbine peaking units and to supply fuel under power purchase agreements in which TVA is the fuel supplier. At September 30, 2006, all of TVA s combustion turbines were dual fuel capable, and TVA has fuel oil stored on each site as a backup to natural gas. During 2006, TVA purchased substantially all of its fuel oil on the spot market. At September 30, 2006, and 2005, the net book value of TVA s natural gas in inventory was \$2 million and \$0.4 million, respectively, and the net book value of TVA s fuel oil in inventory was \$54 million and \$35 million, respectively. *Nuclear Fuel*

Converting uranium to nuclear fuel generally involves four stages: the mining and milling of uranium ore to produce uranium concentrates; the conversion of uranium concentrates to uranium hexafluoride gas; enrichment of uranium hexafluoride; and the fabrication of the enriched uranium hexafluoride into usable fuel assemblies. TVA currently has 100 percent of its forward five-year (2007 through 2011) uranium requirements either in inventory or under contract for its boiling water reactor units at Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant and has 100 percent of its forward five-year (2007 through 2011) uranium requirements under contract for its pressurized water reactor units at Sequoyah and Watts Bar Nuclear Plants. In addition, TVA has 100 percent of its conversion, enrichment, and fabrication needs under contract through 2011. TVA plans to meet future uranium requirements through a combination of term and spot

Page 17 of 141

TVA, DOE, and nuclear fuel contractors have entered into agreements providing for surplus DOE uranium that exceeds enrichment levels that can be used in a nuclear power plant to be blended with other uranium down to a level that allows the blended uranium to be fabricated into fuel that can be used in a nuclear plant. This fuel was successfully loaded in Browns Ferry Unit 3 in April 2006 and will provide approximately 11 to 12 more reloads for the Browns Ferry reactors. Under the terms of the interagency agreement, DOE supplies off-specification, highly enriched uranium materials to the appropriate third party fuel processors, either by themselves or through subcontractors, for processing into usable fuel for TVA. In exchange, DOE will participate to a degree in the savings generated by TVA s use of this blended nuclear fuel product. Over the life of the program, TVA projects that DOE s share of savings generated by TVA s use of this blended nuclear fuel could result in future payments to DOE of as much as \$272 million under the interagency agreement. TVA anticipates these future payments could begin in 2009. See Note 1 **Blended Low Enriched Uranium Program*, for a more detailed discussion of the blended low enriched uranium project.

TVA owns all nuclear fuel held for its nuclear plants. As of September 30, 2006, and 2005, the net book value of this nuclear fuel was \$491 million and \$340 million, respectively.

For a discussion of TVA s plans with respect to spent nuclear fuel storage, see Item 1, Business Nuclear Spent Nuclear Fuel.

Transmission Operations

The TVA transmission system is one of the largest in North America having delivered nearly 172 billion kilowatt-hours of electricity in 2006 and having maintained 99.999 percent reliability over the last seven years in delivering electricity to customers. This system is comprised of:

Approximately 17,000 circuit miles of transmission lines, including 2,400 miles of extra-high-voltage (500,000 volt) transmission lines;

537 substations, power switchyards, and switching stations;

1,045 individual interchange and customer connection points; and

260,000 right-of-way acres.

The TVA transmission organization offers transmission services, similar to those offered by other transmission operators, in accordance with standards of conduct that separate its transmission functions from TVA s marketing functions.

Also, TVA is cooperating with other transmission systems to improve regional coordination in the operation of the bulk transmission system. The initial step of this coordination effort was to establish a joint transmission reliability area with other public power systems. In 2002, TVA entered into reliability coordination agreements with Associated Electric Cooperative Inc., Big Rivers Electric Corporation, and East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc. In 2004, Electric Energy, Inc. joined this effort, and in 2006, TVA began providing reliability coordination services for Kentucky Utilities Company and Louisville Gas and Electric Company.

TVA has been designated by the North American Electric Reliability Council (NERC) to serve as the reliability coordinator for parts of 11 states covering 199,000 square miles with a population of nearly 11 million people. As the reliability coordinator for this region, TVA is responsible for monitoring and helping to ensure the reliable operation of the bulk transmission system in a region that includes portions of Alabama, Georgia, Illinois, Iowa, Kentucky, Mississippi, Missouri, North Carolina, Oklahoma, Tennessee, and Virginia. TVA is one of 17 reliability coordination offices in NERC.

TVA has a joint reliability coordination agreement with the Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator and PJM Interconnection, LLC to improve the reliability of the regional grid. This effort includes a coordinated approach to transmission capacity availability, system outage approval, congestion management, and transmission planning. Similar agreements to develop analysis and operational processes in support of regional transmission reliability have been executed with Entergy Services, Inc., Southwest Power Pool, Inc., and VACAR South RC (a Virginia Carolina reliability group). An agreement is pending with Southern Company Services, Inc.

Page 18 of 141

Reliability Coordinator Map

Stewardship Activities

TVA is responsible for managing the Tennessee River and its tributaries—the United States—fifth largest river system—to provide, among other things, year-round navigation, flood damage reduction, affordable and reliable electricity, and, consistent with these primary purposes, recreational opportunities, adequate water supply, improved water quality, and economic development. TVA owns and operates 49 dams, which comprise its integrated reservoir system. Twenty-nine of these dams produce conventional hydroelectric power, and one additional project is solely a pumped storage hydroelectric project. The reservoir system provides 800 miles of commercially navigable waterway, and also provides significant flood reduction benefits both within the Tennessee River system and downstream on the lower Ohio and Mississippi Rivers. The reservoir system also provides a water supply for residential and industrial customers, including cooling water for some of TVA—s fossil fuel and nuclear power plants.

TVA reservoirs and public lands provide outdoor recreation opportunities for millions of visitors each year. TVA has stewardship responsibility for 293,000 acres of reservoir land, 11,000 miles of shoreline, and 650,000 acres of reservoir water surface available for recreation and other purposes. TVA owns over 100 recreation facilities such as campgrounds, boat ramps, fishing piers, and picnic areas.

Seasonality

Weather affects both the demand for and the market prices of electricity. TVA s power system peaks in both the summer and the winter, so TVA typically sells more electricity during the summer and the winter than in the spring and the fall. See Item 1A, Risk Factors, for a discussion of the potential impact of weather on TVA.

TVA uses weather degree days to measure the impact of weather on TVA s power operations. TVA calculates weather degree days for each of the five largest cities in TVA s service area. If the average temperature Page 19 of 141

Table of Contents

for a given day in one of these cities exceeds 65 degrees Fahrenheit, that city will have cooling degree days for that day equal to the amount by which the average temperature for that day exceeds 65 degrees Fahrenheit. Similarly, if the average temperature for a given day in one of these cities is lower than 65 degrees Fahrenheit, that city will have heating degree days for that day equal to the amount by which 65 degrees Fahrenheit exceeds the average temperature for that day.

During 2006, TVA had 162 more heating degree days and 32 more cooling degree days than in 2005. The graph below shows the number of heating and cooling degree days for 2006, 2005, and 2004 as compared to the normal number of heating and cooling degree days.

Heating and Cooling Degree Days

Competition

TVA sells electricity in a service area that is largely free of competition from other electric power providers. This service area is defined primarily by two provisions of law: one called the fence and one called the anti-cherrypicking provision. The fence limits the region in which TVA or distributors of TVA power may provide power. The anti-cherrypicking provision limits the ability of others to provide power within the service area because they are not entitled to use the TVA transmission system for the purpose of delivering power to customers within the service area. Bristol, Virginia, was exempted from the anti-cherrypicking provision.

In July, 2005, Senator Jim Bunning (R-KY) and Senator Mitch McConnell (R-KY) introduced a bill (S. 1499) that would effectively remove any area within Kentucky from coverage by the anti-cherrypicking provision. If the bill Page 20 of 141

Table of Contents

were to become law, FERC could require TVA to provide wheeling from other power suppliers to wholesale customers inside that portion of TVA s service area that is within Kentucky. The bill was referred to and remains in the Senate Energy and Natural Resources Committee.

In 2000, restructuring legislation for competition in the electric power industry appeared imminent. In response, TVA, the Tennessee Valley Public Power Association (TVPPA), an association representing distributors of TVA power, and the Tennessee Valley Industrial Committee (TVIC), an organization representing industries that TVA directly serves, reached consensus on draft legislation addressing the relationships between TVA and its customers in a restructured electric power industry. The draft legislation, as revised by TVA, TVPPA, and TVIC in 2003, provides for:

Simultaneous repeal, on the effective date of the restructuring legislation, of the fence and the anti-cherrypicking provision,

A distributor customer option to gradually take up to a maximum of 30 percent of its power requirements from other suppliers with advance notice to TVA,

New limitations on TVA retail sales in TVA s current service area.

Stranded cost recovery through 2007,

FERC regulation to ensure that TVA charges others transmission service rates and imposes on others terms and conditions of service comparable to those TVA charges and imposes on itself,

TVA to be subject to antitrust laws (with the exception of monetary damages and attorney s fees),

At individual distributor customer election, a reduction in TVA s existing regulation of distributor customers, and

New TVA generation to be limited to that needed to meet demand within the current TVA service area. While earlier versions of this legislation were introduced in Congress, the 2003 version has never been introduced and is not part of any pending or anticipated bill.

Regulation

Congress

TVA exists pursuant to legislation enacted by Congress and carries on its operations in accordance with this legislation. Congress has the authority to change this legislation and thereby expand or reduce TVA s activities or significantly change TVA s structure. To allow TVA to operate more flexibly than a traditional government agency, Congress exempted TVA from some general federal laws that govern other agencies, such as laws related to the hiring of employees, the procurement of supplies and services, and the acquisition of land. Other federal laws enacted since the creation of TVA have been made applicable to TVA including those related to the protection of the environment and cultural resources and civil rights laws.

Securities and Exchange Commission

As part of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2005, Congress added Section 37 to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act). This section requires TVA to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission beginning with this Annual Report such periodic, current, and supplementary information, documents, and reports as would be required pursuant to Section 13 of the Exchange Act if TVA were an issuer of a security registered pursuant to Section 12 of the Exchange Act.

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

Although TVA is not a public utility as defined in the Federal Power Act (FPA) and is thus not subject to the full jurisdiction of the FERC under the FPA, FERC regulation does affect some of TVA s activities, including transmission, interconnection, and, potentially, a limited type of power transaction that TVA does not now use.

Nuclear Regulatory Commission

TVA operates its nuclear facilities in a highly regulated environment and is overseen by the NRC, an independent agency which sets the rules that users of radioactive materials must follow. The NRC has broad authority to impose requirements relating to the licensing, operation, and decommissioning of nuclear generating facilities.

Page 21 of 141

Environmental Protection Agency

TVA is subject to regulation by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in a variety of areas, including air quality control, water quality control, and management and disposal of hazardous wastes. See Item 1, Business *Environmental Matters*.

States

The Supremacy Clause of the United States Constitution prohibits states, without congressional consent, from regulating the manner in which the federal government conducts its activities. As a federal agency, TVA is exempt from regulation, control, and taxation by states except in certain areas such as air and water quality where Congress has given the states limited powers to regulate federal activities.

Governmental Entities

TVA s activities and records are also subject to review by various entities including TVA s Office of Inspector General and the following agencies: the Government Accountability Office, the Congressional Budget Office, and the Office of Management and Budget.

Payments in Lieu of Taxes

TVA is not subject to federal income taxes, and neither TVA nor its property, franchises, or income are subject to taxation by states or their subdivisions. However, the TVA Act requires TVA to make payments in lieu of taxes to states and counties in which TVA conducts power operations and in which TVA has acquired properties previously subject to state and local taxation. The amount of these payments is five percent of gross revenues from the sale of power during the preceding year excluding sales or deliveries to other federal agencies and exchange sales with other utilities, with a provision for minimum payments under certain circumstances.

TVA In Lieu of Tax Payments by State (in millions)

	2006	2005	2004
Alabama	\$ 93	\$ 89	\$ 81
Georgia	6	6	5
Illinois	<1	<1	<1
Kentucky	33	30	27
Mississippi	20	20	19
North Carolina	2	2	2
Tennessee	221	218	203
Virginia	<1	<1	<1
	\$ 376	\$ 365	\$ 338

Environmental Matters

As is the case across the utility industry and in other industrial sectors, TVA s activities are subject to certain federal, state, and local environmental statutes and regulations. Major areas of regulation affecting TVA s activities include air quality control, water quality control, and management and disposal of solid and hazardous wastes.

TVA has incurred and continues to incur substantial capital and operating and maintenance costs in order to comply with evolving environmental requirements. Many of these costs are associated with the operation of TVA s 59 coal-fired generating units. While it is not possible to predict with any precision how these evolving requirements will impact the operation of existing and new coal-fired and other fossil-fuel generating units, it is virtually certain that environmental requirements placed on the operation of these generating units will continue to become more restrictive. Litigation over emissions from coal-fired generating units is also occurring, including litigation against TVA. See Item 3, Legal Proceedings.

Several existing regulatory programs have been and are being made more stringent in their application to fossil-fuel units, and additional regulatory programs affecting fossil-fuel units were promulgated in 2005, including

the Clean Air Interstate Rule (CAIR), which requires significant utility reductions of emissions of sulfur dioxide ($_2$ SO and nitrogen oxides (NQ) in the eastern half of the United States (including in all of TVA $\,$ s operating area), and the Page 22 of 141

Clean Air Mercury Rule (CAMR). TVA had previously estimated its total capital cost for reducing emissions from its power plants from 1977 through 2010 to reach \$5.8 billion, \$4.6 billion of which had already been spent as of September 30, 2006. TVA estimates that compliance with CAIR and CAMR could lead to additional costs of \$3.0 billion to \$3.5 billion in the next decade if TVA should continue to operate all of its present coal plants. As discussed in more detail below, there could be additional material costs if reductions of carbon dioxide (CQ) are mandated, or if future legislative, regulatory, or judicial actions lead to more stringent emission reduction requirements, but these costs cannot reasonably be predicted at this time. TVA will continue to monitor those developments and will assess any potential financial impacts as information becomes available. Clean Air Developments

Air quality in the United States has significantly improved since the enactment of the modern Clean Air Act (CAA) in 1970. These air quality improvements are expected to continue as the CAA continues to be implemented and through the evolution of programs as a result of legislative and regulatory changes. Three substances emitted from coal-fired units have been the focus of emission reduction regulatory programs: SO₂, NO_x, and particulates. Expenditures related to clean air projects during 2006 and 2005 were approximately \$182 million and \$202 million, respectively. These figures include expenditures in 2006 of \$6 million to continue to reduce NO_x emissions through the installation of selective catalytic reduction (SCR) systems, and of \$146 million for the installation of flue gas desulphurization systems (scrubbers) to continue to reduce \$\text{\text{\text{\text{em}}}} missions, each of which are explained in more detail below. The aforementioned estimates do not include additional capital costs of \$3.0 billion to \$3.5 billion that TVA expects to incur over the next decade to comply with CAIR and CAMR. Increasingly stringent regulation of some or all of these substances, and possibly carbon dioxide, will continue to result in significant capital and operating costs for coal-fired generating units, including those operated by TVA. Sulfur Dioxide

Coal-fired utilities have historically emitted large amounts of SO_2 . Utility SO_2 emissions are currently regulated under the Federal Acid Rain Program and state programs designed to meet the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for SO_2 and fine particulate matter. Looking forward, additional regulation of SO_2 emissions from some units will result from implementation of the Regional Haze Program and for more units as a result of the CAIR. In May 2005, EPA finalized CAIR to reduce the interstate transport of fine particulate matter and ozone by requiring large reductions in utility emissions of NO_X and SO_2 from 28 eastern states. CAIR is currently in effect in all of these states as a federal rule. States in TVA s service area are submitting plans to EPA to implement CAIR as state rules and have only proposed a few minor modifications to the federal model rule which establishes an emission allowance driven program, capping regional emissions of SO_2 and NO_X among the targeted states. SO_2 caps are reduced in two phases, 2010 and 2015.

Since 1977, TVA has reduced its SO₂ emissions by approximately 80 percent by switching to lower-sulfur coals, re-powering a unit at its Shawnee Fossil Plant with the advanced Atmospheric Fluidized Bed Combustion (AFBC) technology, and installing scrubbers on six of its larger units. A seventh scrubber at unit 3 of the Paradise Fossil Plant has been constructed and is going through shakedown testing prior to being placed in operation. TVA broke ground in 2005 on its eighth scrubber at its Bull Run Fossil Plant and in 2006 broke ground on two more scrubbers at its Kingston Fossil Plant as part of its previously announced plans to achieve a total SO₂ emission reduction of 80 to 85 percent compared to the 1977 level. Additionally, TVA has switched, or plans to switch, to lower sulfur coal on several additional units in the next few years. These near-term plans are unlikely to change. It is likely that additional emission reduction measures will have to be undertaken after these planned actions are completed to achieve compliance with CAIR and possible future tightening of applicable requirements. *Nitrogen Oxides*

Utility NO_x emissions are extensively regulated and will be regulated further under state programs to achieve and maintain EPA s national ambient air quality standard for ozone, the acid rain control program, the regional haze program (depending on when units commenced operations and their effects on sensitive areas), and CAIR, as discussed above. Since 1995, TVA has reduced its NO_x emissions during the summer (when ozone levels increase) by 81 percent by installing various controls including low-NO_x burners and/or combustion controls on 58 of its coal fired units. (The AFBC unit at Shawnee is inherently low NO_x emitting.) TVA has also installed SCR s on 21 of its largest

units. In 2005, TVA installed Selective Non-Catalytic Reduction (SNCR) systems on two units to demonstrate long term technology capability. TVA has continued operating these two new SNCR installations through the 2006 ozone season. SNCRs generally cost less to install than SCRs but have lower NO_x removal capabilities. Early in 2006, TVA began testing a High Energy Reagent Technology (HERT) on three units for potential future application. HERT is similar to SNCR, has lower capital costs than SCRs, and appears to have lower NO_x removal capabilities than SCRs but higher removal capabilities than SNCRs. The initial HERT testing program

Page 23 of 141

was successful. As a result, in 2007, TVA will install this technology on two coal-fired units that were previously targeted for SNCR installations to demonstrate the HERT technology on a potentially permanent basis. TVA s NQ emission reduction program is expected to continue to depend primarily on SCRs, but will also likely incorporate some mix of SNCRs and/or HERTs as TVA gains more experience with these technologies. These plans may change depending on the timing and severity of future regulatory developments potentially affecting power plant emissions. For example, EPA is currently reviewing the existing national ambient air quality standard for ozone and may make it more stringent.

In 2004, EPA issued final non-attainment designations under the current eight-hour ozone standard. Several counties within the TVA region were designated as not in attainment with that standard. Some of these counties have entered into Early Action Compacts with EPA and have taken steps such as instituting vehicle emissions testing, lowering speed limits, and other activities to help reduce summer ozone levels. In exchange, these counties are exempted from some of the negative consequences of a non-attainment designation. The TVA Nomission reductions described above have been a contributor to improving summer ozone levels in those areas, especially in Tennessee. Current monitoring indicates that all counties are making progress toward meeting the lower standard and achieving an attainment designation. The NO_x reduction requirements of CAIR will continue to help states achieve EPA s ozone and fine particle standards. CAIR caps and reduces NQemissions in two steps, 2009 and 2015. *Particulates/Opacity*

Coarse particulates (particulates of 10 micrometers or larger and especially fly ash) have long been regulated by states to meet EPA s national ambient air quality standard for particulate matter. TVA s coal-fired units have been equipped with mechanical collectors, electrostatic precipitators, scrubbers, or baghouses, which have reduced particulate emissions from the TVA system by more than 99 percent compared to uncontrolled units. In 1997, the EPA for the first time issued separate national ambient air quality standards for even smaller particles with a size of up to 2.5 micrometers (fine particles). In December 2004 and April 2005, EPA issued final determinations regarding which areas of the country are not in attainment with the 1997 fine particles standard. Those non-attainment areas include counties and parts of counties in the Knoxville and Chattanooga, Tennessee metropolitan areas. In September 2006, EPA revised the 1997 standards. The 2006 revisions tighten the 24-hour fine particle standard and retain the current annual fine particle standard. EPA also decided to retain the existing 24-hour standard for coarse particles, but revoked the related annual standard. A preliminary review of the current monitoring data indicates that no additional counties likely will be classified as non-attainment areas under the revised 2006 standards, although actual designations will be based on subsequent year s monitoring data. CAIR is intended to help states attain the fine particle standards, and actions taken to reduce emissions under CAIR, including those planned by TVA, are expected to continue the reduction in fine particle levels.

Issues regarding utility compliance with state opacity requirements are also increasing. Opacity measures the denseness (or color) of power plant plumes and has traditionally been used by states as a means of monitoring good maintenance and operation of particulate control equipment. Under some conditions, retrofitting a unit with additional equipment to better control SO_2 and NO_x emissions can adversely affect opacity performance, and TVA and other utilities are now addressing this issue. There are also disputes with special interest groups over the role of continuous opacity monitors in determining compliance with opacity limitations.

Mercury

In December 2000, the EPA determined that it was appropriate and necessary to regulate mercury emissions from oil and coal-fired power plants as a hazardous air pollutant under the CAA. In March 2005, it reversed that earlier decision, and instead issued CAMR. CAMR establishes caps for overall mercury emissions in two phases, with the first phase becoming effective in 2010 and the second in 2018. It allows the states to regulate mercury emissions through a market-based cap-and-trade program. All of the states in which TVA operates potentially affected sources are expected to adopt CAMR without significant change. In response to a request for reconsideration, EPA confirmed its approach in May 2006. In June 2006, 16 states and several environmental groups filed law suits challenging CAMR. This lawsuit is currently pending. TVA cannot predict the outcome of the pending challenge of CAMR, or what effects any decision may have that would require the EPA to regulate mercury as a hazardous air pollutant. If the EPA is decisions are upheld and CAMR is implemented, TVA expects to achieve the required mercury reductions at

least for Phase I of CAMR as co-benefits of the installation of additional emission control technology in connection with the implementation of CAIR.

CAMR does, however, require the installation of new mercury emission monitoring equipment prior to January 1, 2009. TVA is planning to comply with this requirement by procuring, installing, and certifying approximately 23 monitoring systems by calendar year 2008.

Page 24 of 141

Carbon Dioxide

The causes and importance of climate change observed over recent decades continue to be widely debated. CO₂ is a greenhouse gas and is believed by some to contribute to global warming. Legislation has been introduced in Congress to require reductions of CO₂ and, if enacted, could result in significant additional costs for TVA and other coal-fired utilities. The current Administration has proposed a voluntary initiative that established a goal of reducing the greenhouse gas intensity of the U.S. economy by 18 percent and has asked the electric utility sector and other industry sectors to support this initiative. TVA is supporting this effort in cooperation with electric utility industry trade associations and the Department of Energy. In addition to these activities, TVA is a member of the Southeast Regional Carbon Sequestration Partnership and is working with the Electric Power Research Institute and other electric utilities on projects investigating technologies for CO₂ capture and geologic storage, as well as carbon sequestration via reforestation. The previous Administration also asked utilities to voluntarily participate in an effort to reduce, sequester, or avoid greenhouse gases. Under that program, TVA reduced, sequestered, or avoided more than 305 million tons of CO₂ from 1994 through 2005, as reported under Section 1605b of the Energy Policy Act. TVA s clean air strategy, as it relates to investments on coal-fired generating facilities, allows for continued review of decisions for clean air and other capital investments as potential climate change legislation is developed.

In addition to legislative activity, climate change issues are the subject of several lawsuits including lawsuits against TVA. See Item 3, Legal Proceedings. On November 29, 2006, the United States Supreme Court heard a case concerning whether EPA has the authority and duty to regulate CO_2 emissions under the Clean Air Act. The District of Columbia Circuit Court of Appeals earlier affirmed EPA s decision not to regulate CQ While the case focuses on CO_2 emissions from the transportation industry, it could set a precedent for regulation in other industrial sectors depending upon how the Supreme Court rules. States are also becoming more active on the climate change front. Several northeastern states have formed the Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative which is in the process of being implemented, and California recently passed a bill capping greenhouse gas emissions in the state. Other states are considering a variety of actions. However, in the southeast, to TVA s knowledge, only North Carolina, where TVA does not operate any coal-fired generating facilities, is studying initiatives aimed at climate change under the provisions of the state s Clean Smokestacks Act of 2002. This act required the State Division of Air Quality to study potential control of CO_2 emissions from coal-fired utility plants and other stationary sources. This effort has also prompted actions to develop a climate action plan for North Carolina.

Clean Water Developments

In the second phase of a three-part rulemaking to minimize the adverse impacts from cooling water intake structures on fish and shellfish, as required under Section 316(b) of the Clean Water Act, EPA promulgated a final rule for existing power producing facilities that became effective on September 7, 2004. The new rule requires existing facilities to select among several different compliance options for reducing the number of organisms pinned against and/or drawn into the cooling systems. These include development of a site-specific compliance option based on application of cost/cost or cost/benefit tests. The site specific tests are designed to ensure that a facility s costs are not significantly greater than cost projections in the rule or the benefits derived from taking mitigation actions. Actions taken to compensate for any impacts by restoring habitat, or pursuing other options such as building hatcheries for fish/shellfish production, count toward compliance. Some northeastern states and environmental groups have challenged the new regulation, especially the compliance flexibility it offers, in federal court.

All of the intakes at TVA s existing coal-fired and nuclear generating facilities are subject to this rule. Compliance assessments are underway for these facilities to determine what should be done to meet the new requirements. Some capital and/or operating expenditures may have to be made to comply at some or all facilities. The assessments, however, are complicated by the uncertainty created by pending legal action challenging EPA s rule.

As is the case across the utility industry and in other industrial sectors, TVA is facing more stringent requirements related to protection of wetlands, reductions in storm water impacts from construction activities, water quality degradation and criteria, and laboratory analytical methods. TVA is also following litigation related to the use of herbicides, water transfers, and releases from dams. TVA has a good compliance record and is not facing any substantive requirements related to non-compliance with existing Clean Water Act regulations.

Hazardous Substances

Liability for releases and cleanup of hazardous substances is regulated by the federal Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, among others, and similar state statutes. In a manner similar to many other industries and power systems, TVA has generated or used hazardous substances over the years. TVA operations at some TVA-owned facilities have resulted in releases of hazardous substances and/or oil Page 25 of 141

which require cleanup and/or remediation. TVA also is aware of alleged hazardous-substance releases at 10 non-TVA areas for which it may have some liability. TVA has reached agreements with EPA to settle its liability at two of the non-TVA areas for a total of less than \$0.1 million. There have been no recent assertions of TVA liability for six of the non-TVA areas, and (depending on the site) there is little or no known evidence that TVA contributed any significant quantity of hazardous substances to these six sites. There is evidence that TVA sent materials to the remaining two non-TVA areas. The information necessary to estimate the total cleanup costs, and most of the evidence that might be used to estimate TVA allocated share of such costs and evaluate the likely effectiveness of TVA approximately potential defenses either have not been developed and/or are under the control of parties other than TVA. Consequently, TVA is unable at this time to estimate its liability related to these sites.

As of September 30, 2006, TVA s estimated liability for environmental cleanup for those sites for which sufficient information is available to develop a cost estimate (primarily the TVA sites) is approximately \$23 million on a non-discounted basis and is included in Other Liabilities on the Balance Sheet.

*Coal-Combustion Wastes**

Coal combustion waste disposed in landfills and surface impoundments continues to be regulated as non-hazardous. As part of this 2000 regulatory determination, EPA committed to developing stricter standards for the management of coal-combustion wastes. EPA has also been petitioned to develop stringent regulations relative to the disposal of coal combustion waste. EPA now is developing national solid waste management standards to address coal-combustion wastes disposed in unlined landfills and surface impoundments or placed in mines. These standards are likely to include increased groundwater monitoring, more stringent siting requirements, and closure of existing waste-management facilities not meeting minimum standards. EPA is expected to issue these new management standards sometime in 2007 according to its published Regulatory Agenda. TVA is monitoring these developments and will evaluate the potential impact of these rules upon its operations as more information becomes available.

Employee Relations

On September 30, 2006, TVA had approximately 12,600 employees, of whom approximately 5,285 were trades and labor employees. Neither the federal labor relations laws covering most private sector employers nor those covering most federal agencies apply to TVA. However, the TVA Board has a long-standing policy of acknowledging and dealing with recognized representatives of its employees, and that policy is reflected in long-term agreements to recognize the unions (or their successors) that represent TVA employees. Federal law prohibits TVA employees from engaging in strikes against TVA.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

The risk factors described below, as well as the other information included in this Annual Report, should be carefully considered. Risks and uncertainties described in these risk factors could cause future results to differ materially from historical results as well as from the results predicted in forward-looking statements. Although the risk factors described below are the ones that TVA management considers significant, additional risk factors that are not presently known to TVA management or that TVA management presently considers insignificant may also impair TVA s business operations. Although TVA has the authority to set its own rates and thus mitigate some risks by increasing rates, it is possible that partially or completely eliminating one or more of these risks through rate increases might adversely affect TVA commercially or politically. Accordingly, the occurrence of any of the following could have a material adverse effect on TVA s cash flows, results of operations, and financial condition.

For ease of reference, the risk factors are presented in three categories: strategic risks, operating risks, and financial risks.

Strategic Risks

New laws and regulations may negatively affect TVA s cash flows, results of operations, and financial condition as well as the way TVA conducts its business.

Although it is difficult to predict exactly how any new laws and regulations would impact TVA, some of the possible effects are described below.

TVA could lose its protected service territory.

Page 26 of 141

TVA s service area is primarily defined by two provisions of law.

The TVA Act provides that, subject to certain minor exceptions, neither TVA nor its distributor customers may be a source of power supply outside of TVA s defined service area. This provision is often called the fence since it limits TVA s sales activities to a specified service area.

The Federal Power Act prevents FERC from ordering TVA to provide access to its transmission lines for the purpose of delivering power to customers within TVA s defined service area. This provision is often called the anti-cherrypicking provision since it prevents competitors from cherrypicking TVA s customers.

If Congress were to eliminate or reduce the coverage of the anti-cherrypicking provision, TVA could lose a significant number of its customers, and the loss of these customers could adversely affect TVA s cash flows, results of operations, and financial condition.

The TVA Board could lose its sole authority to set rates for electricity.

Under the TVA Act, the TVA Board has the sole authority to set the rates that TVA charges for electricity, and these rates are not subject to review. The loss of this authority could have materially adverse effects on TVA including, but not limited to, the following:

TVA might be unable to set rates at a level sufficient to generate adequate revenues to service its financial obligations, properly operate and maintain its power assets, and provide for reinvestment in its power program; and

TVA might be subject to additional regulatory oversight that could impede TVA s ability to manage its business.

TVA could become subject to increased environmental regulation.

There is a risk that new environmental laws and regulations could become applicable to TVA or its facilities and that existing environmental regulations could be revised or reinterpreted in a way that adversely affects TVA. Any such developments could require TVA to make significant capital expenditures, increase TVA s operating and maintenance costs, or even lead to TVA s closing certain facilities. For example, proposals in Congress that would regulate carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gases could require TVA and other electric utilities to incur significant increased costs. See Item 1, Business *Environmental Matters*.

TVA could become subject to increased regulation by the NRC.

The NRC has broad authority to impose requirements relating to the licensing, operation, and decommissioning of nuclear generation facilities. If the NRC modifies existing requirements or imposes new requirements, TVA could be required to make substantial capital expenditures at its nuclear plants or make substantial contributions to its nuclear decommissioning trust. In addition, if TVA fails to comply with requirements promulgated by the NRC, the NRC has the authority to impose fines, shut down units, or modify, suspend, or revoke TVA soperating licenses.

TVA could lose responsibility for managing the Tennessee River system.

TVA s management of the rivers is important to effective operation of the power system. TVA s ability to integrate management of the Tennessee River system with power system operations increases power system reliability and reduces costs. Restrictions on how TVA manages the river system could negatively affect TVA s operations.

Congress could take actions that lead to a downgrade of TVA s credit rating.

TVA s rated securities are currently rated. Aaa by Moody s Investors Service and AAA by Standard and Poor s and Fit Ratings, which are the highest ratings assigned by these rating agencies. TVA s credit ratings are not based solely on its underlying business or financial condition, which by themselves may not be commensurate with a triple-A rating. TVA s current ratings are based to a large extent on the body of legislation that defines TVA s business structure. Key characteristics of TVA s business defined by legislation include (1) the TVA Board s ratemaking authority, (2) the current competitive environment, which is defined by the fence and the anti-cherrypicking provision, and (3) TVA s status as a corporate agency and

Page 27 of 141

instrumentality of the United States. Accordingly, if Congress takes any action that effectively alters any of these characteristics, TVA s credit ratings could be downgraded.

TVA s debt ceiling could become more restrictive.

The TVA Act provides that TVA can issue bonds, notes, and other evidences of indebtedness (Bonds) in an amount not to exceed \$30 billion outstanding at any time. If Congress either lowers the debt ceiling or broadens the types of financial instruments that are covered by the debt ceiling, TVA might not be able to raise enough capital to, among other things, service its financial obligations, properly operate and maintain its power assets, and provide for reinvestment in its power program.

TVA may lose some of its customers.

As of September 30, 2006, six distributor customers had notices in effect terminating their power contracts with TVA. Although sales to these six distributor customers generated only 2.9 percent of TVA s total operating revenues in 2006, the loss of additional customers could have a material adverse effect on TVA s cash flows, results of operations, and financial condition. See Item 1, Business *Customers Termination Notices*.

Operational Risks

TVA s generation and transmission assets may not operate as planned.

Many of TVA s generation and transmission assets have been operating since the 1950s and have been in near constant service since they were completed. If these assets fail to operate as planned, TVA, among other things:

Might have to invest a significant amount of resources to repair or replace the assets;

Might be unable to operate the assets for a significant period of time;

Might have to purchase replacement power on the open market;

Might not be able to meet its contractual obligations to deliver power; and

Might have to remediate collateral damage caused by a failure of the assets.

In addition, the failure of TVA s assets to perform as planned could result in such events as the failure of a dam or a nuclear accident. Any of these potential outcomes could negatively affect TVA s cash flows, results of operations, and financial condition.

TVA s fuel supply might be disrupted.

TVA purchases coal, uranium, fuel oil, and natural gas from a number of suppliers. Disruption in the acquisition or delivery of fuel, such as the disruptions TVA experienced in 2006 in acquiring coal, may result from a variety of factors, including, but not limited to, weather, production or transportation difficulties, labor relations, or environmental regulations affecting TVA s fuel suppliers. These disruptions could adversely affect TVA s ability to operate its facilities and could require TVA to acquire power at higher prices on the spot market, thereby adversely affecting TVA s cash flows, results of operations, and financial condition.

Compliance with existing environmental laws and regulations may affect TVA s operations in unexpected ways. TVA is subject to risks from existing federal, state, and local environmental laws and regulations including, but not limited to, the following:

Compliance with existing environmental laws and regulations may cost TVA more than it anticipates.

At some of TVA s older facilities, it may be uneconomical for TVA to install the necessary equipment to comply with existing environmental laws, which may cause TVA to shut down those facilities.

TVA may be responsible for on-site liabilities associated with the environmental condition of facilities that it has acquired or developed, regardless of when the liabilities arose and whether they are known or unknown.

Table of Contents 54

Page 28 of 141

TVA may be unable to obtain or maintain all required environmental regulatory approvals. If there is a delay in obtaining any required environmental regulatory approvals or if TVA fails to obtain, maintain, or comply with any such approval, TVA may be unable to operate its facilities or may have to pay fines or penalties.

See Item 1. Business Environmental Matters.

TVA is the sole power provider for customers within its service area, and if demand for power in TVA s service area increases, TVA is contractually obligated to take steps to meet this increased demand.

If demand for power in the TVA service area increases, TVA may need to meet this increased demand by purchasing power from other sources, building new generation facilities, or purchasing existing generation facilities. Purchasing power from external sources, as well as acquiring or building new generation facilities, could negatively affect TVA seash flows, results of operations, and financial condition.

TVA may incur delays and additional costs in power plant construction.

TVA is in the process of restarting Browns Ferry Unit 1 and may need to construct more generating facilities in the future. The completion of such facilities involves substantial risks of delays and cost overruns. If TVA is unable to complete the development or construction of a facility or decides to delay or cancel construction of a facility, TVA s cash flows, financial condition, and results of operations could be negatively affected. In addition, if construction projects are not completed according to specifications, TVA may suffer reduced plant efficiency and higher operating costs, See Item 1, Business *Nuclear*.

TVA is involved in various legal proceedings whose outcomes may affect TVA s finances and operations.

TVA is involved in various legal proceedings and will become involved in other legal proceedings in the future in the ordinary course of business. Although TVA cannot predict the outcome of the individual matters in which TVA is involved or will become involved, the resolution of these matters could require TVA to make expenditures in excess of established reserves and in amounts that could have a material adverse effect on TVA s cash flows, results of operations, and financial condition. Similarly, resolution could require TVA to change its business practices or procedures, which could also have a material adverse effect on TVA s cash flows, results of operations, and financial condition. See Item 3, Legal Proceedings.

TVA s ability to supply power and its customers demands for power are influenced by weather conditions.

Extreme peaks in either the summer or winter may increase the demand for power and require TVA to purchase power at high prices in order to meet the demand from customers, while unusually mild weather may result in decreased demand for power and lead to reduced electricity sales. In addition, weather conditions affect TVA s ability to supply power to its customers, because in periods of low rainfall or drought, TVA s low-cost hydroelectric generation may be reduced, requiring TVA to purchase power or use more costly means of producing power. Furthermore, high temperatures in the summer may limit TVA s ability to use water from the Tennessee River system for cooling at its generating facilities, thereby limiting TVA s ability to operate its generating facilities.

TVA s transmission reliability could be affected by problems at other utilities or its own facilities.

TVA s transmission facilities are directly interconnected with the transmission facilities of neighboring utilities and are thus part of an interstate power transmission grid. Accordingly, problems at other utilities, or at TVA s own facilities, may cause interruptions in TVA s transmission service. If TVA were to suffer a transmission service interruption, TVA s cash flows, results of operations, and financial condition could be negatively affected.

An incident at any nuclear facility, even one unaffiliated with TVA, could result in increased expenses and oversight.

A nuclear incident at a TVA facility could have significant consequences including loss of life and damage to or loss of the facility. Any nuclear incident, even at a facility unaffiliated with TVA, has the potential to impact TVA adversely by obligating TVA to pay up to \$90 million per year and a total of \$604 million per nuclear incident under the Price Anderson Act. In addition, a nuclear incident could negatively affect TVA by, among other things, obligating TVA to pay retrospective premiums, reducing the availability of insurance, increasing the costs of operating nuclear units, or leading to increased regulation or restriction on the construction, operation, and decommissioning of nuclear facilities.

Page 29 of 141

Catastrophic events could affect TVA s ability to supply electricity or reduce demand for electricity.

TVA could be adversely affected by catastrophic events such as fires, earthquakes, floods, wars, terrorist activities, pandemics, and other similar events. These events, the frequency and severity of which are unpredictable, could directly impact TVA s power operations and negatively affect TVA s cash flows, results of operations, and financial condition. Additionally, such events could indirectly impact TVA by, among other things, disrupting supply lines or operations of a contractor or supplier, leading to an economic downturn, or creating instability in the financial markets.

Demand for electricity supplied by TVA could be reduced by changes in technology.

Research and development activities are ongoing to improve existing and alternative technologies to produce electricity, including gas turbines, fuel cells, microturbines, and solar cells. It is possible that advances in these or other alternative technologies could reduce the costs of electricity production from alternative technologies to a level that will enable these technologies to compete effectively with traditional power plants like TVA s. To the extent these technologies become a more cost-effective option for certain customers, TVA s sales to these customers could be reduced, thereby negatively affecting TVA s cash flows, results of operations, and financial condition.

Financial Risks

TVA is subject to a variety of market risks that could negatively affect TVA s cash flows, results of operations, and financial position.

TVA is subject to a variety of market risks, including, but not limited to, commodity price risk, investment price risk, interest rate risk, and credit risk.

Commodity Price Risk. Prices of commodities critical to TVA s operations, including coal, uranium, natural gas, fuel oil, emission allowances, and electricity, have been extremely volatile in recent years. If TVA fails to effectively manage its commodity price risk, customers may look for alternative power suppliers.

Investment Price Risk. TVA is exposed to investment price risk in both its nuclear decommissioning trust and its pension fund. If the value of the investments held in the nuclear decommissioning trust or the pension fund decreases significantly, TVA could be required to make substantial unplanned contributions to these funds, which would negatively affect TVA s cash flows, results of operations, and financial condition.

Interest Rate Risk. Changes in interest rates could negatively affect TVA s cash flows, results of operations, and financial condition by increasing the amount of interest that TVA pays on new Bonds that it issues, decreasing the return that TVA receives on its short-term investments, decreasing the value of the investments in TVA s pension fund and nuclear decommissioning trust, and increasing the losses on the mark-to-market valuation of certain derivative transactions into which TVA has entered.

Credit Risk. TVA is exposed to the risk that its counterparties will not be able to perform their contractual obligations. If TVA is counterparties fail to perform their obligations, TVA is cash flows, results of operations, and financial condition could be adversely affected. In addition, the failure of a counterparty to perform could make it difficult for TVA to perform its obligations, particularly if the counterparty is a supplier of electricity or fuel to TVA.

See Item 7, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations *Risk Management Activities* for more information regarding market risks.

TVA and owners of TVA securities could be impacted by a downgrade of TVA s credit rating.

A downgrade in TVA s credit rating could have material adverse effects on TVA s cash flows, results of operations, and financial condition as well as on investors in TVA securities. Among other things, a downgrade could have the following effects:

A downgrade would increase TVA s interest expense by increasing the interest rates that TVA pays on new debt securities that it issues. An increase in TVA s interest expense would reduce the amount of cash available for other purposes, which could result in the need to increase borrowings, to reduce other expenses or capital investments, or to increase electricity rates.

Page 30 of 141

Table of Contents

A significant downgrade could result in TVA s having to post collateral under certain physical and financial contracts that contain rating triggers.

A downgrade below a contractual threshold would prevent TVA from borrowing under two credit facilities totaling \$2.5 billion without the consent of the national bank that is the counterparty to the credit facilities.

A downgrade could lower the price of TVA securities in the secondary market, thereby hurting investors who sell TVA securities after the downgrade and diminishing the attractiveness and marketability of TVA Bonds. See Item 7, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations *Liquidity and Capital Resources*.

TVA may have to make significant unplanned contributions to fund its pension and other postretirement benefit plans.

TVA s costs of providing pension benefits and other postretirement benefits depend upon a number of factors, including, but not limited to:

Provisions of the pension and postretirement benefit plans;

Changing employee demographics;

Rates of increase in compensation levels;

Rates of return on plan assets;

Discount rates used in determining future benefit obligations;

Rates of increase in health care costs;

Levels of interest rates used to measure the required minimum funding levels of the plans;

Future government regulation; and

Contributions made to the plans.

Any number of these factors could increase TVA s costs of providing pension and other postretirement benefits and require TVA to make significant unplanned contributions to the plans. Such contributions would negatively affect TVA s cash flows, results of operations, and financial condition.

TVA may have to make significant unplanned contributions to its nuclear decommissioning trust.

TVA maintains a nuclear decommissioning trust for the purpose of providing funds to decommission TVA s nuclear facilities. The decommissioning trust is invested in securities generally designed to achieve a return in line with overall equity market performance. TVA might have to make significant unplanned contributions to the trust if, among other things:

The value of the investments in the trust declines significantly;

The laws or regulations regarding nuclear decommissioning change the decommissioning funding requirements;

The assumed rate of return on plan assets, which is currently five percent, has been approved by the TVA Board;

Changes in technology and experience related to decommissioning cause decommissioning cost estimates to increase significantly; or

TVA is required to decommission a nuclear plant sooner than TVA anticipates.

If TVA makes unplanned contributions to the trust, the contributions would negatively affect TVA s cash flows, results of operations, and financial condition.

TVA may be unable to meet its current cash requirements if its access to the debt markets is limited.

TVA s cash management policy is to use cash provided by operations together with proceeds from issuing discount notes and drawing on a \$150 million note with the U.S. Treasury to fund TVA s current cash requirements. In addition, TVA has access to \$2.5 billion of credit facilities with a national bank. In light of TVA s cash management policy, it is critical that TVA continue to have access to the debt markets, for if TVA is unable to access the debt markets, TVA might be unable to meet its current cash requirements. The importance of

Page 31 of 141

having access to the debt markets is underscored by the fact that TVA, unlike many utilities, relies almost entirely on the debt markets to raise capital since it is not authorized to issue equity securities.

Approaching or reaching its debt ceiling could limit TVA s ability to carry out its business.

At September 30, 2006, TVA had approximately \$22.9 billion of Bonds outstanding. TVA has a statutorily imposed ceiling of \$30 billion on outstanding Bonds. Approaching or reaching this debt ceiling could adversely affect TVA s business by limiting TVA s ability to borrow money and increasing the cost of servicing TVA s debt. In addition, approaching or reaching this debt ceiling could lead to increased legislative or regulatory oversight of TVA s activities.

TVA s cash flows, results of operations, and financial condition could be negatively affected by economic downturns.

Sustained downturns or weakness in the economy in TVA s service area or other parts of the United States could reduce overall demand for electricity and thus reduce TVA s electricity sales and cash flows, especially as TVA s industrial customers reduce their operations and thus their consumption of electricity.

TVA s financial control system cannot guarantee that all control issues and instances of fraud will be detected. No financial control system, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide absolute assurance that the objectives of the control system are met, and no evaluation of financial controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud can be detected. The design of any system of financial controls is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions, regardless of how remote. See Item 9A, Controls and Procedures for TVA s assessment as of September 30, 2006, which includes two material weakness items.

TVA could lose the ability to use regulatory accounting and be required to write off a significant amount of regulatory assets.

TVA is able to use regulatory accounting because it satisfies the requirements set forth in Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 71, *Accounting for the Effects of Certain Types of Regulation*. Accordingly, TVA records as assets certain costs that would not be recorded as assets under generally accepted accounting principles for non-regulated entities. As of September 30, 2006, TVA had \$5.3 billion of regulatory assets. If TVA loses its ability to use regulatory accounting, TVA could be required to write-off its regulatory assets. Any asset write-offs would be required to be recognized in earnings in the period in which regulatory accounting under SFAS No. 71 ceased to apply to TVA.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

Not applicable.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

TVA holds personal property in its own name but holds real property as agent for the United States of America. TVA may acquire real property by negotiated purchase or by eminent domain.

Generating Properties

At September 30, 2006, TVA s generating assets consisted of 59 coal-fired units, five nuclear units, 109 conventional hydroelectric units, four pumped storage units, 72 combustion turbine units, nine diesel generator units, one digester gas site, one wind energy site, and 16 solar energy sites. See Item 1, Business *Power Supply* for a chart that indicates the location, capacity, and in-service dates for each of these properties. In addition, TVA is in the process of restarting Browns Ferry Unit 1. Browns Ferry Unit 1 is scheduled to go online in early 2007 and as of September 30, 2006, was 94 percent complete.

Twenty-four of TVA s combustion turbines are subject to lease-leaseback arrangements. For more information regarding these arrangements, see Note 11 *Other Financing Obligations*.

Page 32 of 141

Transmission Properties

TVA s transmission system interconnects with systems of surrounding utilities and consists primarily of the following assets:

Approximately 17,000 circuit miles of transmission lines, including 2,400 miles of extra-high-voltage (500,000 volt) transmission lines;

537 substations, power switchyards, and switching stations;

1,045 individual interchange and customer connection points; and

260,000 right-of-way acres.

The easements and rights-of-way give TVA the right to construct, operate, and maintain the transmission lines, as well as remove trees located outside the rights of way. Fee title to the land remains with the landowner.

In 2003, TVA entered into a lease-leaseback of certain qualified technological equipment and other software related to TVA s transmission system. For more information regarding this transaction, see Note 11 *Other Financing Obligations*.

Resource Stewardship Properties

TVA owns and operates 49 dams and manages the following resource stewardship properties:

11.000 miles of reservoir shoreline:

293.000 acres of reservoir land:

650,000 surface acres of water; and

Over 100 public recreation areas.

Buildings

TVA owns a variety of buildings throughout its service area in addition to the buildings located at its generation and transmission facilities, including office buildings, customer service centers, power service centers, warehouses, visitor centers, and crew quarters. The most significant of these buildings is the Knoxville Office Complex. TVA also leases buildings when it deems appropriate, including its Chattanooga Office Complex. TVA s lease of the Chattanooga Office Complex expires in 2011, but TVA has the right to extend the lease for up to 30 years. TVA also owns and leases a significant number of buildings in Muscle Shoals, Alabama.

Disposal of Property

Under the TVA Act, TVA has broad authority to dispose of personal property but only limited authority to dispose of real property. TVA s primary sources of authority to dispose of real property are briefly described below:

Under Section 31 of the TVA Act, TVA has authority to dispose of surplus real property at a public auction.

Under Section 4(k) of the TVA Act, TVA can dispose of real property for certain specified purposes, including to provide replacement lands for certain entities whose lands were flooded or destroyed by dam or reservoir construction and to grant easements and rights-of-way upon which are located transmission or distribution lines.

Under Section 15d(g) of the TVA Act, TVA can dispose of real property in connection with the construction of generating plants or other facilities under certain circumstances.

Under 40 U.S.C. § 1314, TVA has authority to grant easements for rights-of-way or other purposes. In addition, TVA s bond covenants prohibit TVA from mortgaging any part of its power properties and from disposing of all or any substantial portion of these properties unless TVA provides for a continuance of the interest, principal, and sinking fund payments due and to become due on all outstanding Bonds, or for the retirement of such

Page 33 of 141

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

TVA is involved in various claims amounting to approximately \$54 million incidental to the conduct of its business for which it has assessed the likelihood of gain or loss. The claims, grouped by likelihood of loss, include (1) claims recorded by TVA in the amount of \$28 million representing probable losses of \$27 million and losses deemed reasonably possible of \$1 million, and (2) claims of about \$26 million for which a determination of loss cannot be made at this time.

Economy Surplus Power Case

On August 31, 1999, suit was filed against TVA in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Alabama by Birmingham Steel Corporation, on behalf of itself and a class of TVA industrial customers who contracted for economy surplus power. While Birmingham Steel Corporation was the original class representative, it filed for bankruptcy and was excluded from the class. Johns Manville Corporation was substituted as the class representative. The lawsuit alleges that TVA overcharged for economy surplus power during the summer of 1998 by improperly including some incremental costs when calculating the price of economy surplus power. The class members seek over \$100 million in damages. On April 18, 2006, the district court ruled on motions for summary judgment filed by both sides. The court held that TVA improperly included charges for approximately 500 hours of power purchased in advance and breached the contracts. The court rejected TVA s position that the additional price charged for all hours represented actual incremental costs incurred by TVA in supplying economy surplus power and thus was an appropriate part of the economy surplus power contract price. The court granted the plaintiffs motion for summary judgment on liability, even though it acknowledged that there are disputed factual issues as to TVA s defenses. TVA filed a motion seeking permission to take an interlocutory appeal of the court s ruling on summary judgment. On July 31, 2006, the court reconsidered its decision on summary judgment with respect to TVA s affirmative defenses and held that TVA is entitled to a trial on its affirmative defenses. A mediator has been selected and the parties anticipate engaging in mediation in December 2006. Trial on TVA s affirmative defenses and the class members damages is scheduled for February 5, 2007.

Case Against TVA and 22 Electric Cooperatives

On December 2, 2004, the United States District Court for the Middle District of Tennessee, dismissed a lawsuit filed by John McCarthy, Stan Cooper, Joe Sliger, Mike Bell, Don Rackley, Terry Motley, Billy Borchert, Jim Foster, and Ryan Hargis on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated against TVA and the Middle Tennessee Electric Membership Cooperative, Appalachian Electric Cooperative, Caney Fork Electric Corporation, Inc., Chickasaw Electric Cooperative, Cumberland Electric Membership Corporation, Duck River Electric Membership Corporation, Fayetteville Public Utilities, Forked Deer Electric Cooperative, Inc., Fort Loudoun Electric Cooperative, Gibson Electric Membership Corporation, Holston Electric Cooperative, Inc., Meriwether Lewis Electric Cooperative, Mountain Electric Cooperative, Inc., Pickwick Electric Cooperative, Plateau Electric Cooperative, Powell Valley Electric Cooperative, Sequachee Valley Electric Cooperative, Southwest Tennessee Electric Membership Corporation, Tennessee Valley Electric Cooperative, Tri-County Electric Membership Cooperation, Tri-State Electric Membership Cooperation, Upper Cumberland Electric Membership Corporation, and Volunteer Energy Cooperative. The lawsuit in part challenged TVA s practice of setting rates for electric power charged by distributor customers through TVA s contracts with distributor customers. In granting the defendants motions to dismiss, the court held that the claims alleging violations of state law failed because the plaintiffs (consisting of Tennessee residents and customers of certain of the cooperatives) had not completed the steps necessary to bring these claims in court. With respect to the claim against TVA, the court held that the alleged violations of federal law failed as a matter of law because Congress had specifically authorized TVA to set the rates charged by distributor customers through TVA s contracts with distributor customers. The plaintiffs appealed to the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit (Sixth Circuit), and on October 17, 2006, the Sixth Circuit affirmed the district court s decision, and held, among other things, that TVA s rates were not subject to judicial review and that TVA is not subject to antitrust liability when doing so would interfere with TVA s purposes.

Global Warming Cases

On July 21, 2004, two lawsuits were filed against TVA in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York alleging that global warming is a public nuisance and that carbon dioxide emissions from fossil-fuel

electric generating facilities should be ordered abated because they contribute to causing the nuisance. The first case was filed by various states (California, Connecticut, Iowa, New Jersey, New York, Rhode Island, Vermont, and Wisconsin) and the City of New York against TVA and other power companies. The second case, which alleges both public and private nuisance, was filed against the same defendants by Open Space Institute, Inc., Open Space Conservancy, Inc., and the Audubon Society of New Hampshire. There are no Clean Air Act requirements limiting carbon dioxide emissions, and, accordingly, the suits do not involve allegations of regulatory noncompliance. The plaintiffs do not seek monetary damages, but instead seek a court order requiring each defendant to cap its carbon dioxide emissions and then reduce these emissions by an unspecified percentage each year for at least a decade. In September 2005, the district court dismissed both lawsuits because they raised political questions that should not be decided by the

Page 34 of 141

Table of Contents

courts. The plaintiffs appealed to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit (Second Circuit). Oral argument was held before the Second Circuit on June 7, 2006, and the parties are awaiting a decision.

Case Involving Modifications to the Colbert Fossil Plant

The National Parks Conservation Association, Inc. (NPCA), and Sierra Club, Inc. (Sierra Club), filed suit on February 13, 2001, in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Alabama, alleging that TVA violated the Clean Air Act and implementing regulations at TVA s Colbert Fossil Plant (Colbert), a coal-fired electric generating facility located in Tuscumbia, Alabama. The plaintiffs allege that TVA made major modifications to one of the power generating units, specifically Colbert Unit 5, without obtaining preconstruction permits (in alleged violation of the Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) program and the Nonattainment New Source Review (NNSR) program) and without complying with emission standards (in alleged violation of the New Source Performance Standards (NSPS) program). The plaintiffs seek injunctive relief; civil penalties of \$25,000 per day for each violation on or before January 30, 1997, and \$27,500 per day for each violation after that date; an order that TVA pay up to \$100,000 for beneficial mitigation projects; and costs of litigation, including attorney and expert witness fees. On November 29, 2005, the district court held that sovereign immunity precluded the plaintiffs from recovering civil penalties against TVA. On January 17, 2006, the district court dismissed the action, on the basis that plaintiffs failed to provide adequate notice of NSPS claims and that the statute of limitations curtailed the PSD and NNSR claims. The plaintiffs appealed to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit (Eleventh Circuit) on January 25, 2006. Briefing of the appeal to the Eleventh Circuit was completed in July 2006. Oral argument of the appeal is scheduled for January 11, 2007. If the decision is reversed on appeal, there is a reasonable possibility that TVA will be ordered to install additional controls on Colbert Unit 5.

Case Involving Modifications to Bull Run Fossil Plant

The NPCA and the Sierra Club filed suit against TVA on February 13, 2001, in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Tennessee, alleging that TVA did not comply with the New Source Review requirements of the Clean Air Act when TVA modified its Bull Run Fossil Plant (Bull Run), a coal-fired electric generating facility located in Anderson County, Tennessee. In March 2005, the district court granted TVA is motion to dismiss the lawsuit on statute of limitation grounds. The plaintiffs motion for reconsideration was denied, and they appealed to the Sixth Circuit. Amicus curiae briefs supporting the plaintiffs appeal have been filed by New York, Connecticut, Illinois, Iowa, Maryland, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, Rhode Island, Kentucky, Massachusetts, and Pennsylvania. Several Ohio utilities filed an amicus curiae brief supporting TVA. Briefing of the appeal to the Sixth Circuit was completed in May 2006. Oral argument was held on September 18, 2006, and the parties are awaiting a decision.

Case Involving Opacity at Colbert

On September 16, 2002, the Sierra Club and the Alabama Environmental Council filed a lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Alabama alleging that TVA violated Clean Air Act opacity limits applicable to Colbert between July 1, 1997, and June 30, 2002. The plaintiffs seek a court order that could require TVA to incur substantial additional costs for environmental controls, and pay civil penalties of up to approximately \$250 million. After the court dismissed the complaint (finding that the challenged emissions were within Alabama s two percent de minimis rule, which provided a safe harbor if emissions did not exceed allowable opacity limits by more than two percent each quarter), the plaintiffs appealed the district court s decision to the Eleventh Circuit. On November 22, 2005, the Eleventh Circuit affirmed the district court s dismissal of the claims for civil penalties, but held that the Alabama de minimis rule was not applicable because Alabama had not yet obtained EPA approval of that rule. The case was remanded to the district court for further proceedings, and the plaintiffs filed a motion for summary judgment. On May 23, 2006, the district court issued orders staying the matter until a decision is issued in a Clean Air Act case accepted by the Supreme Court, *United States v. Duke Energy*; referring the action to mediation to be completed before the close of business on December 15, 2006, unless the district court extends the deadline; and denying as moot the plaintiffs motions to hold TVA liable (with leave to file again, if necessary, after the stay is lifted). On May 26, 2006, the plaintiffs asked the district court to reconsider its orders, and in the alternative to allow an interlocutory appeal, and on July 5, 2006, the district court denied plaintiffs motion. The parties participated in mediation on September 7, 2006, and for several weeks thereafter. The case remains stayed.

Case Brought by North Carolina Alleging Public Nuisance

On January 30, 2006, North Carolina s Attorney General filed suit against TVA in the United States District Court for the Western District of North Carolina alleging that TVA s operation of its coal-fired power plants in Tennessee, Alabama, and Kentucky constitute public nuisances. On April 3, 2006, TVA moved to dismiss the suit on grounds that the case is not suitable for judicial resolution because of separation of powers principles, including the fact that these matters are based on policy decisions left to TVA s discretion in its capacity as a government agency and thus are not subject to tort liability (the discretionary function doctrine), as well as the Supremacy Clause. In July 2006, the court denied TVA s motion, and set the trial for the term of court beginning October 2007. On August 4, 2006, TVA filed a motion requesting permission to file an interlocutory appeal with the United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit (the Fourth Circuit) which the district court granted on September 7, 2006. On September 21,

Page 35 of 141

2006, TVA petitioned the Fourth Circuit to allow the interlocutory appeal. The Fourth Circuit has granted the petition and set a briefing schedule, with briefing to be completed in January 2007. The district court did not stay the case during this appeal, and trial remains scheduled for October 2007.

Case Involving North Carolina s Petition to the EPA

In 2005, the State of North Carolina petitioned the EPA under Section 126 of the Clean Air Act to impose additional emission reduction requirements for sulfur dioxide and nitrogen oxides emitted by coal-fired power plants in 13 states, including states where TVA s coal-fired power plants are located. In March 2006, the EPA denied the North Carolina petition primarily on the basis that the Clean Air Interstate Rule remedies the problem. In June 2006, North Carolina filed a petition for review of EPA s decision with the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit.

Case Arising out of Hurricane Katrina

In April 2006, TVA was added as a defendant to a class action lawsuit brought in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Mississippi by 14 residents of Mississippi allegedly injured by Hurricane Katrina. The plaintiffs sued seven large oil companies and an oil company trade association, three large chemical companies and a chemical trade association, and 31 large companies involved in the mining and/or burning of coal, including TVA and other utilities. The plaintiffs allege that the defendants—greenhouse gas emissions contributed to global warming and were a proximate and direct cause of Hurricane Katrina—s increased destructive force. The plaintiffs are seeking monetary damages among other relief. TVA has moved to dismiss the complaint on grounds that TVA—s operation of its coal-fired plants is not subject to tort liability due to the discretionary function doctrine.

Claim Involving Areva Fuel Fabrication

On November 9, 2005, TVA received two invoices totaling \$76 million from Areva (Areva) and an affiliated company, the successor of Babcock and Wilcox Company (B&W). In 1970, TVA and B&W entered into a contract for fuel fabrication services for its Bellefonte Nuclear Plant. Areva s invoices are based upon its belief that the 1970 contract required TVA to buy more fuel fabrication services from B&W than TVA actually purchased. A meeting was held between TVA and Areva on May 31, 2006, to discuss the issue. TVA subsequently received a letter from Areva which reasserted its claim, but reduced the value of the claim to \$26 million. Areva has not provided any further information concerning the claim nor has it explained the reason for the reduction in the claim amount.

Notification of Potential Liability for Ward Transformer Site

TVA has been notified by one of the parties involved with clean-up of the Ward Transformer (Ward) Superfund Site, a facility located in Raleigh, North Carolina, that it considers TVA a potentially responsible party (PRP) and intends to pursue a claim against TVA. Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA), any entity which arranges for disposal of a CERCLA hazardous substance at a site may bear liability for the cost of cleaning up the site. There is evidence that TVA sent transformers to Ward that contained Polychlorinated Biphenyls. Several responsible parties have entered into a settlement agreement with EPA to clean up on-site contamination at the site, and the cost of the on-site cleanup is currently estimated to be \$20 million. EPA is also investigating off-site contamination from Ward operations, but TVA has no information as to the estimated costs, if any, of cleaning up off-site contamination. It is unknown at this time what level of liability, if any, TVA will have in these matters, whether it will be required to contribute, and, if so, how much such a contribution would be.

TVA is engaged in various administrative and legal proceedings arising from employment disputes. These matters are governed by federal law and involve issues typical of those encountered in the ordinary course of business of a utility. They may include allegations of discrimination or retaliation (including retaliation for raising nuclear safety or environmental concerns), wrongful termination, and failure to pay overtime. Adverse outcomes in these proceedings would not normally be material to TVA s business, although it is possible that some outcomes could require TVA to change how it handles certain personnel matters or operates its plants.

It is not possible to predict with certainty whether TVA will incur any liability or to estimate the damages, if any, that TVA might incur in connection with the lawsuits and claims described above except as specifically noted. TVA has recognized charges to earnings and actual costs, including legal fees and expenses, related to litigation. No assurance can be given that TVA will not be subject to significant additional claims and material additional liabilities.

If actual liabilities significantly exceed the estimates made, the results of operations, liquidity, and financial condition could be materially adversely affected. In accordance with SFAS No. 5, *Accounting for Contingencies*, TVA has accrued approximately \$28 million as of September 30, 2006, related to the cases described above.

Page 36 of 141

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

Not applicable.

Page 37 of 141

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Not applicable.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following selected financial data for the years 2002 through 2006 should be read in conjunction with the audited financial statements and notes thereto (collectively, the Financial Statements) presented in Item 8, Financial Statements and Supplementary Data. In 2003, TVA changed its method for recording interdivisional sales, displacement sales, and limestone used for the production of electricity. Certain reclassifications have been made to the 2002 financial statements to conform to the 2003, 2004, 2005, and 2006 presentation.

Statements of Income Data

For the years ended September 30 (in millions)

	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002
Operating revenues	\$ 9,185	\$ 7,794	\$ 7,533	\$ 6,953	\$ 6,798
Operating expenses	(7,582) ¹	(6,503) 1	$(5,873)^2$	(5,398)	$(5,323)^3$
Operating income	1,603	1,291	1,660	1,555	1,475
Other income, net ⁴	65	52	43	32	19
Unrealized (loss)/gain on					
derivative contracts, net	(15)	3	(7)	(7)	
Interest expense, net ⁴	(1,215)	(1,261)	(1,310)	(1,353)	(1,431)
Cumulative effect of accounting	· · · · · ·	, ,	, , ,	. , ,	, , ,
changes	(109) 5			2176	
Total net income	\$ 329	\$ 85	\$ 386	\$ 444	\$ 63

Notes

During 2006 and (1) 2005, TVA recognized a total of \$9 million and \$24 million, respectively, in impairment losses related to its property, plant, and equipment. The losses included a \$2 million and an \$8 million write-down in 2006 and 2005, respectively, on

one of two buildings in TVA s Knoxville Office Complex based on TVA s plans to sell or lease the East Tower of the **Knoxville Office** Complex. TVA also recognized a \$7 million and a \$16 million write-down in 2006 and 2005, respectively, of certain Construction in Progress assets related to new pollution-control and other technologies that had not been proven effective and a re-evaluation of other projects due to funding limitations.

(2) During 2004, TVA was notified by a supplier that it would not proceed with manufacturing of fuel cells to be installed in the partially completed Regenesys energy storage plant in Columbus, Mississippi. Accordingly, TVA recognized a net \$20 million loss on the

cancellation of the Regenesys project. See Note 1 *Project* Cancellation.

- (3) Due to changes in the market forecast, TVA elected not to complete a gas-fired combined cycle plant in 2002. TVA recognized a \$154 million loss related to the cancellation of this project.
- (4) Prior to 2006, TVA reported short-term investment interest income with interest expense. Interest income of \$19 million, \$6 million, \$3 million, and \$2 million for 2005, 2004, 2003, and 2002, respectively, has been reclassified from Interest expense, net to Other Income.
- (5) During 2006,
 TVA adopted
 FIN No. 47,
 Accounting for
 Conditional
 Asset Retirement
 Obligations an
 interpretation of
 FASB Statement
 No. 143, which
 resulted in a

cumulative effect charge to income of \$109 million and an increase in accumulated depreciation of \$20 million. See Note 1 Impact of New Accounting Standards and Interpretations.

(6) The cumulative effects of \$217 million are due to two accounting changes. Effective October 1, 2002, the TVA Board approved a change in the methodology for estimating unbilled revenue from electricity sales. The impact of this change resulted in an increase in accounts receivable of \$412 million with a cumulative effect gain for the change in accounting for unbilled revenue. In addition, TVA adopted SFAS No. 143, Accounting for Asset Retirement

> Obligations, which resulted in a cumulative effect charge to income of

> \$195 million and

an increase in accumulated depreciation of \$206 million. See Note 1 Impact of New Accounting Standards and Interpretations.

Page 38 of 141

Table of Contents

Balance Sheets Data

At September 30 (in millions)

	2006	2005	2004	2003 1	2002 1
Assets					
Current assets ²	\$ 2,669	\$ 2,176	\$ 2,295	\$ 2,238	\$ 1,626
Property, plant, and equipment, net	24,434	23,888	23,699	23,125	22,175
Investment funds	972	858	744	638	510
Regulatory and other long-term					
assets	6,445	7,551	7,451	7,027	6,522
Total assets	\$34,520	\$34,473	\$34,189	\$33,028	\$30,833
Liabilities and proprietary capital					
Current liabilities ²	\$ 5,203	\$ 6,724	\$ 5,420	\$ 5,8193	\$ 4,755
Regulatory and other liabilities	7,074	7,606	7,168	5,114	3,304
Long-term debt, net of discount	19,544	17,751	19,337	20,201	21,358
Total liabilities	31,821	32,081	31,925	31,134	29,417
Retained earnings	1,565	1,244	1,162	783	349
Other proprietary capital	1,134	1,148	1,102	1,111	1,067
Total proprietary capital	2,699	2,392	2,264	1,894	1,416
Total liabilities and proprietary capital	\$34,520	\$34,473	\$34,189	\$33,028	\$30,833

Notes

(1) Prior to 2004,
TVA presented
2 balance sheets
one for its
power program
and one for all
programs. The
2003 and 2002
Balance Sheets
presented above
are for all
programs which
is consistent
with the

presentation for 2004, 2005, and 2006.

(2) In 2006, TVA began to apply certain customer advances previously reported as Current liabilities as a reduction to Accounts receivable. The advances were \$93 million in 2005, \$91 million in 2004, \$83 million in 2003, and \$56 million in 2002 and reduced both Current assets and Current liabilities by the same amount.

reclassified
\$5 million
related to
discounted
energy units
from a
long-term
liability to a
short-term
liability in 2003.

Financial Obligations

As of September 30 (in millions)

	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002
Long-term debt, including current maturities	\$20,529	\$20,444	\$21,337	\$22,537	\$21,358

Other long-term obligations

Edgar Filing: Tennessee Valley Authority - Form 10-K

Capital leases * Lease/leaseback commitments Energy prepayment obligations	128 1,108 1,244	150 1,143 1,350	138 1,178 1,455	151 1,238 47	162 561
Total other financing obligations	2,480	2,643	2,771	1,436	723
Discount notes	2,376	2,469	1,924	2,080	3,492
Financial obligations	\$25,385	\$25,556	\$26,032	\$26,053	\$25,573

Note

* Included in
Nuclear fuel and
Capital leases
on the Balance
Sheets.

Reconciliation of Non-GAAP Items Required by Securities and Exchange Commission Rules *Net Cash Flow*

TVA uses the non-GAAP net cash flow measure to evaluate its ability to produce cash flow available to reduce total financing obligations after investing in capital additions and improvements. The traditional GAAP cash flow statement does not accommodate this focus on total financing obligations, and TVA has developed the net cash Page 39 of 141

Table of Contents

flow measure for this internal performance measurement purpose. The following is a reconciliation of non-GAAP disclosure for the respective periods to the most directly comparable GAAP measure.

TVA defines net cash flow as cash from operating activities (excluding energy prepayments and changes in short-term investments) less cash used in investing activities. By measuring net cash flow, TVA assesses the debt reduction and investment capacity of its business.

Following is a reconciliation of net cash provided by operating activities to net cash flow:

Non-GAAP Cash Flow

For the years ended September 30 (in millions)

	2006
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	\$ (2)
Energy prepayment	105
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	289
Total	\$ 392

Total Financing Obligations

TVA uses the Total Financing Obligations (TFOs) measure as an internal indicator of TVA s financial flexibility. The components of TFOs include Bonds, lease financing obligations, and energy prepayment obligations. Long-term debt is adjusted for non-cash foreign currency valuations and unamortized discounts or premiums on the sale of Bonds because these amounts would not require a cash outlay upon redemption of the Bonds. Existing capital lease obligations are not included in the TFOs calculation.

Following is a reconciliation of financial obligations to total financing obligations:

Non-GAAP Financing Obligations

As of September 30 (in millions)

	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002				
Financial Obligations	\$ 25,385	\$ 25,556	\$ 26,032	\$ 26,053	\$ 25,573				
Less foreign currency valuations	(195)	(52)	(113)	35	220				
Plus discount on bonds	178	227	102	223	185				
Capital leases	(128)	(150)	(138)	(151)	(162)				
Total	\$ 25,240	\$ 25,581	\$ 25,883	\$ 26,160	\$ 25,816				
Page 40 of 141									

Comparative Five Year Data Statistical and Financial Summaries

For the years ended, or as of, September 30, as appropriate

	2	2006		2005		2004		2003		2002
Sales of electricity (millions of kWh)										
Municipalities and cooperatives Industries directly served Federal agencies and other	143,343 30,987 2,040		1	36,640 30,872 3,986	,872 29,344		130,769 27,756 3,009		1	28,600 26,478 3,579
Total sales	17	76,370	1	71,498	1	165,858	1	61,534	1	58,657
Operating revenues (millions of dollars) ¹ Electric										
Municipalities and cooperatives	\$	7,880	\$	6,561	\$	6,457	\$	5,974	\$	5,856
Industries directly served		1,066		962		842		781		732
Federal agencies and other Other		116 123		181 90		140 94		120 78		120 90
Other		123		90		7 1		70		90
Total revenues	\$	9,185	\$	7,794	\$	7,533	\$	6,953	\$	6,798
Electric revenue per kWh (cents)		5.14		4.49		4.49		4.26		4.23
Winter net dependable generating capacity (megawatts) ²										
Coal-fired		15,081		15,075		15,076		15,029		15,023
Nuclear units in service		5,770		5,790		5,777		5,776		5,751
Hydroelectric		5,144		5,104		4,981		5,022		4,924
Combustion turbine ³ and other ⁴		4,681		4,675		4,685		4,655		4,643
TVA facilities	,	20 676		30,644		20.510		20.492		30,341
Power purchase agreements	•	30,676 4,275		3,337		30,519 2,670		30,482 1,176		1,176
Tower purchase agreements		4,273		3,337		2,070		1,170		1,170
Total available capacity ⁵	3	34,951		33,981		33,189		31,658		31,517
System peak load (megawatts) summer	3	32,008		31,924		29,966		28,530		29,052
System peak load (megawatts) winter	7	27,718		29,278		27,997		29,866		26,061
Percent gross generation by fuel source										

Coal-fired	64%	62%	61%	60%	63%
Nuclear	29%	28%	30%	29%	30%
Hydroelectric	6%	10%	9%	11%	6%
Combustion turbine and other	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	1%
Fuel cost per kWh (cents)					
Coal	2.02	1.65	1.48	1.43	1.39
Natural gas and fuel oil	10.65	11.44	9.01	7.61	4.65
Nuclear	0.38	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.41
Aggregate fuel cost per kWh net thermal generation	1.54	1.30	1.14	1.14	1.11

Notes

- (1) Sales and revenues have been adjusted to include sales to other utilities and to exclude interdivisional sales.
- (2) See Item I,
 Business Power
 Supply.
- (3) As of
 September 30,
 2006, includes
 twenty-four
 85-megawatt
 combustion
 turbine units
 subject to
 lease/leaseback
 arrangements.
- (4) See Item I,
 Business Power
 Supply for a
 discussion of
 TVA s diesel
 generators and
 renewable
 resources.
- (5) Total summer net dependable capacity at September 30,

2006, 2005, 2004, 2003, and 2002 was approximately 33,653 megawatts, 32,259 megawatts, 32,059 megawatts, 30,743 megawatts, and 30,477 megawatts, respectively.

Page 41 of 141

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

(Dollars in millions except where noted)

Business Overview

Distinguishing Features of TVA s Business

TVA operates the nation s largest public power system. In 2006, TVA provided electricity to large industries and federal agencies and to 158 distributor customers that serve approximately 8.7 million people in seven southeastern states. TVA generates almost all of its revenues from the sale of electricity, and in 2006 revenues from the sale of electricity totaled \$9.1 billion. As a wholly-owned agency and instrumentality of the United States, however, TVA is different from electric utilities in a number of ways. A few of the more significant differences are discussed below.

Defined Service Area. TVA has a defined service area established by federal law. Subject to certain minor exceptions, TVA may not, without an act of Congress, enter into contracts which would have the effect of making it or the distributor customers of its power a source of power supply outside the area for which TVA or its distributor customers were the primary source of power supply on July 1, 1957. This provision is referred to as the fence because it bounds TVA is sales activities, essentially limiting TVA to power sales within a defined service area. Correspondingly, however, the possibility of sales by others into TVA is service area is significantly limited. The Federal Power Act, primarily through its anti-cherrypicking provision, prevents the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) from ordering TVA to provide access to its transmission lines for the purpose of delivering power to customers within its service area.

Rate Authority. Typically, a utility is regulated by a public utility commission, which approves the rates the utility may charge. TVA, however, is self-regulated. The TVA Act gives the TVA Board sole responsibility for establishing the rates TVA charges for power. These rates are not subject to review or approval by any state or federal regulatory body. In setting TVA s rates, however, the TVA Board is charged by the TVA Act to have due regard for the objective that power be sold at rates as low as are feasible. In addition, the TVA Board cannot ignore competitive pressures in setting rates.

Funding. TVA s operations were originally funded primarily with appropriations from Congress. In 1959, however, Congress passed legislation that required TVA s power program to be self-financing from power revenues and proceeds from power program financings. Until 1999, TVA continued to receive some appropriations for certain multipurpose activities and for its stewardship activities. Since 1999, however, TVA has not received any appropriations from Congress for any activities and has funded essential stewardship activities primarily with power revenues in accordance with a statutory directive from Congress.

TVA, unlike most power companies, is not authorized to raise capital by issuing equity securities. TVA relies primarily on cash from operations and proceeds from power program borrowings to fund its operations. The TVA Act authorizes TVA to issue bonds, notes, and other evidences of indebtedness (collectively, Bonds) in an amount not to exceed \$30 billion at any time. In June 2005, the Office of Management and Budget transmitted draft legislation to Congress that would expand the type of evidences of indebtedness that count toward TVA s \$30 billion debt ceiling. Under this legislation, long-term obligations that finance capital assets would count toward the debt ceiling, including lease-leaseback arrangements and power prepayment agreements with original terms exceeding one year. If Congress decides to broaden the type of financial instruments that are covered by the debt ceiling or to lower the debt ceiling, TVA might not be able to raise enough capital to, among other things, service its then-existing financial obligations, properly operate and maintain its power assets, and provide for reinvestment in its power program. At September 30, 2006, TVA had approximately \$22.9 billion of Bonds outstanding. For additional information regarding TVA s sources of funding, see Item 7, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Sources of Liquidity.

Stewardship Activities. TVA s mission includes managing the United States fifth largest river system the Tennessee River and its tributaries to provide, among other things, year-round navigation, flood damage reduction, affordable and reliable electricity, and, consistent with these primary purposes, recreational opportunities, adequate water supply, improved water quality, and economic development. TVA owns and operates 49 dams, which comprise its integrated reservoir system. The reservoir system provides 800 miles of commercially navigable waterway and also provides

significant flood reduction benefits both within the Tennessee River system and downstream on the lower Ohio and Mississippi Rivers. The reservoir system also provides a water supply for residential and industrial customers, including cooling water for some of TVA s fossil fuel and nuclear power plants.

Page 42 of 141

Strategy and Performance Indicators

Strategy. In the context of these distinguishing features of TVA s business, the recently restructured TVA Board is now determining TVA s broad business goals, objectives, and policies and is developing the long-range plans for carrying out these goals, objectives, and policies. These will, among other things, guide TVA s strategy for addressing the challenges set out below.

Performance Indicators. TVA will, in the interim, be guided by the 2007 performance indicators that the TVA Board approved in November 2006. TVA will work to achieve measurable numeric goals established for each of these indicators, which are designed to relate activity at the operational level to excellence in four key areas: finances, customers, operations, and people. These performance indicators are described in the table below.

Category	Performance Indicator	Description of Performance Indicator
Financial	Delivered Cost of Power Excluding FCA * Costs	Measures the cost per megawatt-hour of power sold to customers excluding costs covered by the FCA
Financial	FCA Costs	Measures costs covered by the FCA per megawatt-hour of power sold
Financial	Productivity	Measures total TVA labor costs and contract labor costs
Customer	Connection Point Interruptions	Measure interruptions of power caused by TVA s transmission system
Customer	Customer Satisfaction Survey	Measures the satisfaction of TVA s customers with TVA in a variety of areas
Customer	Economic Development	Measures job growth, the quality of jobs, and capital invested by economic development partners in the TVA service area
Operations	Equivalent Availability Factor	Measures availability of generation
Operations	Environmental Impact	Measures 23 environmental elements to assess the impact of TVA s operations on air quality, water quality, land, waste production, and energy consumption
People	Safe Workplace	Measures recordable injuries per hours worked

Note

* FCA Fuel Cost

Adjustment

Challenges During 2006

TVA faced several challenges during 2006 that impacted its cash flows, results of operations, and financial condition. The three most significant of these were the performance challenges at some of TVA s generation plants, increased fuel and purchased power costs, and adverse weather conditions.

Performance of Certain Generation Assets During the Summer. Many of TVA s generation assets have been operating since the 1950 s and have been in near constant service since they were completed. In 2006, some of TVA s generating assets failed to operate as planned during times of high summer demand, and TVA thus had to purchase more power than expected when purchased power prices were high. Significant outages during 2006 included the

following:

On May 30, 2006, operators at Unit 1 of Watts Bar Nuclear Plant (Watts Bar) detected a problem involving the main turbine and took the reactor offline safely without further incident. The low-pressure turbine from Unit 2 at Watts Bar, which has never been put in service, was modified and used to repair the damaged turbine. The unit returned to service on June 25, 2006. Watts Bar Unit 1 was taken offline again on July 31, 2006, when the main generator shut down, and it returned to full power operation on August 4, 2006. Watts Bar Unit 1 has a net winter dependable capacity of approximately 1,168 megawatts.

Bull Run Fossil Plant (Bull Run), which has a winter net dependable capacity of approximately 889 megawatts, was taken offline on July 25, 2006, due to a broken turbine stub shaft. The plant returned to service on August 5, 2006, following replacement of the stub shaft and associated repairs and inspection.

Page 43 of 141

Table of Contents

Increased Fuel and Purchased Power Costs. During 2006, TVA s fuel and purchased power costs increased \$732 million, or 28.1 percent, over TVA s fuel and purchased power costs in 2005. To recover these increased fuel and purchased power costs, TVA implemented two rate increases during 2006, a 7.52 percent rate increase effective October 1, 2005, and a 9.95 percent rate increase effective April 1, 2006. The combined rate increases provided additional revenues of approximately \$873 million during 2006. To more effectively manage fuel and purchased power costs in the future, the TVA Board approved a fuel cost adjustment (FCA) mechanism that will adjust TVA s rates on a quarterly basis to reflect changing fuel and purchased power costs beginning in 2007. The FCA for the first quarter of 2007 is 0.00 cents per kilowatt-hour, and the FCA for the second quarter of 2007 is 0.01 cents per kilowatt-hour. In connection with approving the FCA, the TVA Board approved a 4.5 percent rate decrease effective on October 1, 2006.

Weather. During 2006, TVA was negatively affected by low rainfall. Water runoff was 63 percent of the planned amount for the TVA basin for 2006, which reduced TVA s hydroelectric generation, as well as the amount of water available for cooling TVA s nuclear and coal-fired plants. Hydroelectric generation decreased from 10 percent of TVA s total generation in 2005 to six percent of TVA s total generation in 2006. Because of the lower hydroelectric generation, TVA had to rely more than anticipated on purchased power, as well as on generation from TVA s other generation units, which are more costly to operate than TVA s hydroelectric units. Future Challenges

As TVA looks to the future, TVA faces challenges in addition to the ones that significantly impacted TVA during 2006. Some of the more significant challenges relate to new generation, total financing obligations, retention of customers, TVA s service area, and legislation.

New Generation. TVA is considering the proper balance between using purchased power and TVA is own generation to meet the TVA service area is growing power supply needs. At September 30, 2006, 4,275 megawatts, or 12.2 percent, of TVA is winter net dependable capacity was provided under power purchase agreements. Prices for purchased power have been volatile in recent years. In addition, parties that collectively provide 3,008 megawatts of TVA is winter net dependable capacity under power purchase agreements are in bankruptcy, although each of these parties has continued to perform under its power purchase agreement with TVA during the bankruptcy proceedings.

In light of an expected increase in demand for electricity in TVA s service area and recent purchased power price volatility and provider unreliability, TVA has taken steps to build or acquire new generation. TVA expects to complete recovery work and return Unit 1 of its Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant to service in 2007 at a cost of \$1.8 billion, and this unit is expected to add approximately 1,150 megawatts initially (and approximately 1,280 megawatts potentially) of base-load generation. The TVA Board has also approved the purchase of two combustion turbine generating plants, which together are expected to add approximately 1,297 megawatts of winter peaking capacity. The purchases of these plants are expected to close in December 2006. TVA is currently updating its strategic plan. When completed, the strategic plan could indicate that it is desirable for TVA to acquire additional generation rather than depend on market purchases. Such new generation could require significant capital expenditures in order to meet the needs of the TVA service area. In addition, TVA is studying the cost of completing the unfinished Unit 2 at the Watts Bar Nuclear Plant and considering the possibility of building a new advanced design nuclear unit at its Bellefonte Nuclear Plant site. Additionally, TVA may study acquiring generation from other fuel sources.

Total Financing Obligations. As of September 30, 2006, TVA had \$25.2 billion of Bonds, energy prepayment obligations, and lease financing obligations outstanding (collectively, Total Financing Obligations or TFOs). Payment obligations on TFOs are fixed and do not change with the amount of power sold. If competition increases, large TFO payment obligations could limit TVA s ability to adjust to market pressure. During 2006 and 2005, TVA reduced TFOs by \$341 million and \$302 million, respectively, and TVA has reduced TFOs by a total of \$2.5 billion since 1996, when TFOs reached their highest level. While prudent management of TFOs will remain an important strategic consideration in the future, increased capital commitments may make it difficult for TVA to continue its trend of reducing TFOs.

Retention of Customers. It is important that TVA retain its existing customers since TVA cannot acquire new customers outside of its service area to help compensate for the revenues that TVA loses from customers that begin purchasing power from another power supplier. As of September 30, 2006, six of TVA s distributor customers had

notices terminating their power contracts still in effect, and sales to these six distributor customers generated 2.9 percent of TVA s total operating revenues in 2006. Five of these distributor customers are located in Kentucky, where power rates are among the lowest in the nation.

Page 44 of 141

Challenges to TVA s Service Area. There are currently two significant challenges to TVA s service area involving distributor customers located in Kentucky. The first is the FERC administrative proceeding, which is now in litigation, involving the request of East Kentucky Power Cooperative to interconnect its transmission system with TVA s transmission system in order to serve Warren Rural Electric Cooperative Corporation, a TVA distributor customer that has notified TVA of its decision to terminate its existing power supply contract. TVA believes the interconnection order issued by FERC in that proceeding circumvents the anti-cherrypicking provision. See Note 16 Customers. The second involves legislation introduced by Kentucky Senators McConnell and Bunning that would exempt any distributor customer located in the Commonwealth of Kentucky from the anti-cherrypicking provision. While the sale of power to all of the distributor customers located in Kentucky generated only 4.6 percent of TVA s total operating revenues in 2006, a negative resolution to either of these challenges could establish a precedent for reducing TVA s service area on a piecemeal basis.

Legislation. TVA exists pursuant to legislation enacted by Congress and carries on its operations in accordance with this legislation. Since Congress has the authority to change this legislation, TVA is subject to more legislative risks than most utilities. Given the nature of the legislative process, it is possible that new legislation or a change to existing legislation that has a profound, detrimental impact on TVA s activities could become law with little or no advance notice. For a discussion of the potential impact of legislation on TVA, see Item 1A, Risk Factors.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Sources of Liquidity

TVA s current liabilities exceed current assets because of the continued use of short-term debt as a funding source to meet cash needs as well as scheduled maturities of long-term debt. To meet short-term cash needs and contingencies, TVA depends on various sources of liquidity. TVA s primary sources of liquidity are cash on hand and cash from operations, proceeds from the issuance of short-term and long-term debt, and proceeds from borrowings under TVA s \$150 million note with the U.S. Treasury. Other sources of liquidity include two \$1.25 billion credit facilities with a national bank as well as occasional proceeds from other financing arrangements including call monetization transactions and sales of receivables and loans. Each of these sources of liquidity is discussed below.

Summary Cash Flows. A major source of TVA s liquidity is operating cash flows resulting from the generation and sales of electricity. A summary of cash flow components for the years ended September 30 follows:

Summary Cash Flows

For the years ended September 30

	2006	2005	2004
Cash provided by (used in):			
Operating activities	\$ 2,014	\$ 1,462	\$ 3,290
Investing activities	(1,727)	(1,188)	(1,718)
Financing activities	(289)	(255)	(1,586)
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	\$ (2)	\$ 19	\$ (14)

Issuance of Debt. The TVA Act authorizes TVA to issue Bonds in an amount not to exceed \$30 billion at any time. During the past three years, TVA issued two types of Bonds: power bonds and discount notes. Power bonds have maturities of between one and 50 years, and discount notes have maturities of less than one year.

TVA s rated Bonds are currently rated Aaa by Moody s Investors Service, and AAA by Standard & Poor s and Fitc Ratings, which are the highest ratings assigned by these agencies. The ratings are not recommendations to buy, sell, or hold any TVA securities, and may be subject to revision or withdrawal at any time by the rating agencies. Ratings are assigned independently and each should be evaluated as such. For a discussion on the effects of a reduction in TVA s credit rating, see Item 7, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations *Risk Management Activities Credit Risk*.

TVA relies heavily on proceeds from the issuance of discount notes to fund current cash requirements. During 2006, 2005, and 2004, the average outstanding balance of discount notes was \$2.0 billion, \$2.1 billion, and \$1.1 billion.

Page 45 of 141

TVA issues power bonds primarily to refinance previously-issued power bonds as they mature. During 2006, 2005, and 2004, TVA issued \$1.1 billion, \$1.7 billion, and \$0.8 billion of power bonds, respectively, and redeemed \$1.2 billion, \$2.4 billion, and \$2.3 billion of power bonds, respectively.

For more information regarding TVA s debt activities, see Note 9.

\$150 Million Note with U.S. Treasury. TVA has access to financing arrangements with the U.S. Treasury, whereby the U.S. Treasury is authorized to accept a short-term note with the maturity of one year or less in an amount not to exceed \$150 million. TVA may draw any portion of the authorized \$150 million during the year. Interest is accrued daily and paid quarterly at a rate determined by the U.S. Secretary of the Treasury each month based on the average of outstanding obligations of the United States with maturities of one year or less. During 2006, 2005, and 2004, the daily average amounts outstanding were approximately \$131 million, \$103 million, and \$35 million, respectively. The outstanding balances were repaid quarterly. See Note 7 and Note 9 Debt Short-Term Debt.

Credit Facilities. In the event of shortfalls in cash resources, TVA has short-term funding available in the form of revolving credit facilities. In May 2006, TVA converted its \$2.5 billion short-term revolving credit facility with a national bank into two \$1.25 billion short-term revolving credit facilities with the same national bank. In order to provide greater flexibility going forward, TVA staggered the maturities of the two credit facilities to November 12, 2006, and May 16, 2007. See Note 16 Subsequent Events Revolving Credit Facility Agreement. The interest rate on any borrowing under either of these facilities is variable and based on market factors and the rating of TVA s senior unsecured long-term non-credit enhanced debt at the time TVA draws on either facility. TVA is required to pay an unused facility fee on the portion of the total \$2.5 billion against which TVA has not borrowed. The fee may fluctuate depending upon the rating of TVA s senior unsecured long-term non-credit enhanced debt. There were no outstanding borrowings under the facilities at September 30, 2006. TVA anticipates renewing each credit facility from time to time.

Call Monetization Transactions. From time to time TVA has entered into swaption transactions to monetize the value of call provisions on certain of its Bond issues. A swaption essentially grants a third party the right to enter into a swap agreement with TVA under which TVA receives a floating rate of interest and pays the third party a fixed rate of interest equal to the interest rate on the bond issue whose call provision TVA monetized. Through September 30, 2006, TVA has entered into four swaption transactions that generated proceeds of \$261 million.

In 2002, TVA monetized the call provisions on a \$1 billion Bond issue by entering into a swaption agreement with a third party in exchange for \$175 million.

In 2003, TVA monetized the call provisions on a second Bond issue of \$476 million by entering into a swaption agreement with a third party in exchange for \$81 million.

In 2005, TVA monetized the call provisions on two Bond issues (\$42 million total par value) by entering into swaption agreements with a third party in exchange for \$5 million.

For more information regarding TVA s call monetization transactions, see Note 8 Swaptions and Related Interest Rate Swap.

Sales of Receivables/Loans. From time to time TVA obtains proceeds from selling receivables and loans. During 2006, TVA sold \$22 million of receivables at par such that TVA did not recognize a gain or loss on the sale. Of this amount, \$11 million represents receivables from power customers related to the construction of a substation and other energy conservation projects, which is included within the Cash Flow Statement under the caption Cash Flows from Investing Activities.

During 2005, TVA sold \$60 million of receivables. Of this amount, \$1 million represented receivables from power customers related to the construction of a substation and other energy-conservation projects, which is included within the Cash Flow Statement under the caption Cash Flows from Investing Activities. The receivables were sold at par such that TVA did not recognize a gain or loss on the sale. Additionally, TVA sold a portfolio of 51 power distributor customer loans receivable. The portfolio was sold for \$55 million, without recourse to TVA, and contained loans with maturities ranging from less than one year to over 34 years. The principal amount due on the loans at the time of the sale was \$57 million. The \$2 million loss is reported in Other Income, net on the Income Statement for the year ended

September 30, 2005.

There were no corresponding sales of receivables during 2004. TVA did not retain any claim on these loans and receivables sold, and they are no longer reported on TVA s Balance Sheets. For more information regarding TVA s sales of receivables and loans, see Note 1 Sales of Receivables/Loans.

Page 46 of 141

Table of Contents

2006 Compared to 2005

Net cash provided by operating activities increased \$552 million from 2005 to 2006. This increase resulted from: An increase in cash provided by operating revenues of \$1.4 billion resulting primarily due to higher average rates from rate actions effective in October 2005 and April 2006 and, to a lesser extent, by increased demand in 2006;

Less cash paid for interest of \$46 million in 2006; and

A decrease in expenditures for nuclear refueling outages of \$50 million due to the number and timing of outages during 2006.

These items were partially offset by:

An increase in cash paid for fuel and purchased power of \$734 million due to higher volume and increased market prices;

An increase in payments in lieu of taxes of \$11 million;

An increase in cash outlays for routine and recurring operating costs of \$44 million; and

An increase in other deferred items of \$55 million primarily due to \$22 million of increased contributions to the TVA Retirement System and \$15 million related to customer advances for construction.

Net cash used by changes in components of working capital increased \$117 million from 2005 primarily from: A larger increase in accounts receivable of \$195 million due to increased sales of the prior year and higher rates in 2006; and

A larger increase in inventories of \$108 million due to higher priced coal and natural gas in ending inventory in 2006 and a higher volume of coal on hand at the end of 2006.

These items were partially offset by:

A \$125 million increase in accounts payable and accrued liabilities in 2006 compared to a \$16 million decrease in 2005 primarily due to changes in the amount of collateral held by TVA of \$88 million under terms of a swap agreement and higher costs for fuel and purchased power; and

A \$23 million increase in accrued interest in 2006 compared to a \$22 million increase in 2005 due to timing of interest payments on Bonds issued relative to Bonds retired during 2006.

Cash used in investing activities increased \$539 million from 2005 to 2006. The increase is primarily due to: Sales of short-term investments of \$335 million in 2005 with no comparable sales in 2006;

An increase in expenditures for the enrichment and fabrication of nuclear fuel of \$136 million for the Sequoyah Unit 2 and Watts Bar Unit 1 reloads scheduled to be completed in the first quarter of 2007, and expenditures related to uranium, conversion, and enrichment for Browns Ferry Unit 1;

An increase in expenditures for capital projects of \$60 million was primarily due to increases in transmission construction projects related to reliability and load growth on the TVA system, including a substation and a 500-kv transmission line on the bulk transmission system, an increase in expenditures for nuclear projects of \$17 million primarily for the Browns Ferry Unit 1 restart, and a corresponding increase in allowance for funds used during construction of \$35 million; partially offset by decreases in clean air expenditures of \$20 million related to project completions and a decrease in hydro expenditures of \$26 million; and

A decrease in proceeds received from the sale of certain receivables/loans of \$45 million compared to the same period of 2005.

These items were partially offset by:

A damage award in 2006 of \$35 million in TVA s breach of contract suit against the DOE; and Page 47 of 141

Table of Contents

A smaller increase in collateral deposits in 2006 of \$16 million as compared to 2005. See Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies Restricted Cash and Investments.

Net cash used in financing activities was \$34 million greater in 2006 than 2005 primarily due to:

A decrease in issuance of long-term debt of \$518 million;

Net issuances of short-term debt of \$546 million in 2005 compared to net redemptions of short-term debt of \$93 million in 2006; and

An increase in payments to the U.S. Treasury of \$2 million due to changes in interest rates.

These items were partially offset by:

A decrease in redemptions of long-term debt of \$1.1 billion in 2006 compared to 2005.

2005 Compared to 2004

Net cash provided by operating activities decreased \$1.8 billion from 2004 to 2005. The decrease resulted from: Proceeds of \$1.5 billion received in 2004 for energy prepayments with no comparable prepayment in 2005;

Increased cash paid for fuel and purchased power of \$521 million due to higher volume and increased market prices;

An increase in expenditures for nuclear refueling outages of \$36 million due to the number and timing of outages;

An increase in other deferred items of \$28 million primarily due to increased contributions to the TVA Retirement System;

An increase in payments in lieu of taxes of \$27 million; and

Decreased cash provided from net income components of \$199 million.

These items were partially offset by:

An increase in cash provided by operating revenues of \$251 million resulting primarily from increased sales volume;

A decrease in cash outlays for interest of \$47 million; and

A decrease in cash outlays for operating and maintenance costs of \$38 million primarily due to \$33 million in severance and restructuring costs that were recognized in 2004.

Net cash used by changes in working capital components increased \$59 million from 2004 to 2005. The working capital fluctuation primarily resulted from:

An increase in accounts receivable of \$69 million in 2005 due to increased sales volume during the summer months of 2005;

A larger payment of accrued interest of \$17 million in 2005 than in 2004 due to the timing of interest payments on Bonds issued relative to Bonds retired; and

An increase in inventories and other of \$12 million in 2005 compared to a decrease in inventories and other of \$10 million in 2004 primarily due to purchases of emission allowances and prepayment of insurance premiums for new programs in 2005.

These items were partially offset by a smaller decrease of \$49 million in accounts payable and accrued liabilities primarily due to the receipt of a \$107 million collateral deposit and an increase in fuel and purchased power expense of \$71 million in 2005 partially offset by the payment of certain 2004 accruals in 2005, including a \$41 million

payment related to Browns Ferry Nuclear Unit 1, a \$10 million litigation settlement, a \$6 million annual leave lump sum payment, and a payment of \$18 million in performance incentives.

Cash used in investing activities decreased \$530 million from 2004 to 2005. The change is primarily due to: Page 48 of 141

Table of Contents

Maturity of short-term investments of \$335 million in 2005 compared to an increase in short-term investments of \$68 million in 2004;

A decrease in expenditures for capital projects of \$213 million primarily due to decreases in clean air expenditures of \$210 million partially offset by increases in expenditures for the Browns Ferry Unit 1 restart;

Proceeds received in 2005 from the sale of certain power distributor customer loans receivable of \$55 million (see Note 1 Sale of Receivables/Loans); and

Cash provided by net collections on loans and long-term receivables of \$6 million in 2005 compared to \$5 million in 2004, and net proceeds from investment activity of \$1 million.

These items were partially offset by:

An increase in expenditures for the enrichment and fabrication of nuclear fuel of \$22 million as four nuclear units completed refueling outages in 2005;

A payment of \$15 million in 2004 from Regenesys Technologies Limited in connection with cancellation of the Regenesys project due to inability of manufacturer to supply materials; and

An increase in restricted cash of \$107 million resulting from collateral deposits in 2005 (see Note 1 *Restricted Cash and Investments*).

Net cash used in financing activities decreased \$1.3 billion from 2004 to 2005 primarily due to:

An increase in issuances of long-term debt of \$878 million in 2005;

Net issuances of short-term debt of \$546 million in 2005 compared to net redemptions of short-term debt of \$157 million in 2004;

A decrease in payments to the U.S. Treasury of \$2 million due to lower interest rates in 2005; and

A decrease in lease payments of \$26 million in 2005.

These items were partially offset by:

An increase in redemptions of long-term debt of \$117 million primarily due to the refinancing of callable debt at lower interest rates;

A decrease in bond premium received of \$97 million in 2005;

A decrease in swap receivable monetization of \$55 million in 2005; and

An increase in net financing costs of \$14 million in 2005 related to Bond transactions.

Cash Requirements and Contractual Obligations

Due to the nature of the power industry, which requires large multi-year capital investments, using trends and multi-year forecasts are important in assessing the effectiveness of management s decisions related to capital expenditures, pricing, and accessing capital markets. TVA expects that cash provided by operating activities and new financing activities will be adequate to meet these estimated cash requirements, as well as other cash commitments.

The future planned construction expenditures for property, plant, and equipment additions, including clean air projects and new generation, are estimated to be as follows:

Future Planned Construction Expenditures ¹

As of September 30

Actual Estimated Construction Expenditures

Edgar Filing: Tennessee Valley Authority - Form 10-K

	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Browns Ferry Unit 1 Restart	\$ 428	\$ 76	\$	\$	\$	\$
Clean Air Expenditures	182	286	357	306	290	368
Transmission Expenditures ²	232	203	231	319	312	278
Other Capital Expenditures ³	406	487	611	510	560	534
Total Capital Projects Requirements ⁴	\$ 1,248	\$ 1,052	\$ 1,199	\$ 1,135	\$ 1,162	\$ 1,180

Page 49 of 141

Notes

(1) This table shows only expenditures that are currently planned. TVA is updating its strategic plan. When completed, the strategic plan could indicate that it is desirable for TVA to acquire additional generation rather than depend on market purchases. Such new generation could require significant capital expenditures in order to meet the needs of TVA s service area. The table does not include any projects to provide additional generation which may be identified in the strategic planning process.

(2) Transmission Expenditures include reimbursable projects.

- (3) Other Capital Expenditures are primarily associated with short lead time construction expenditure projects aimed at the continued safe and reliable operation of generating assets.
- (4) Actual 2006
 capital projects
 requirements
 excludes
 allowance for
 funds used
 during
 construction of
 \$151 million.

TVA conducts a continuing review of its construction expenditures and financing programs. The amounts shown in the table above are forward-looking amounts based on a number of assumptions and are subject to various uncertainties. Actual amounts may differ materially based upon a number of factors, including changes in assumptions about system load growth, environmental regulation, rates of inflation, total cost of major projects, and availability and cost of external sources of capital, as well as the outcome of the ongoing restructuring of the electric industry.

TVA does not anticipate receiving a financial return on its clean air expenditures because these expenditures neither generate revenues nor reduce costs. In fact, clean air equipment will reduce the operating efficiency and increase the operating costs of TVA s coal-fired units. In the near term, TVA will be negatively impacted by investments in new generation (i.e., Browns Ferry Unit 1) that are not expected to return a cash contribution until 2007.

TVA also has certain obligations and commitments to make future payments under contracts. The following table sets forth TVA s estimates of future payments as of September 30, 2006. See Notes 7, 9, and 13 for a further description of these obligations and commitments.

Commitments and ContingenciesPayments Due in the Year Ending September 30

	Total	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Thereafter
Debt Interest payments	\$ 22,888*	\$ 3,361	\$ 90	\$ 2,030	\$ 63	\$ 1,015	\$ 16,329
relating to debt Leases	21,555	1,188	1,152	1,096	1,042	1,011	16,066
Non-cancelable	105			•	10		_
operating	135	45	41	26	12	4	7
Capital	272	63	59	57	57	30	6
Power purchase obligations	4,354	205	146	148	152	154	3,549

Edgar Filing: Tennessee Valley Authority - Form 10-K

Purchase obligations							
Fuel purchase							
obligations	3,015	1,083	509	496	400	249	278
Other obligations	327	199	111	5	3	2	7
Payments on other							
financings	1,557	85	89	85	89	95	1,114
Payment to U.S.							
Treasury	432	40	43	42	41	40	226
Retirement plans	90	90					
Total	\$ 54,625	\$ 6,359	\$ 2,240	\$ 3,985	\$ 1,859	\$ 2,600	\$ 37,582

Note

* Does not include noncash items of foreign currency valuation loss of \$195 million and net discount on sale of bonds of \$178 million.

Under the terms of an interagency agreement, DOE and other third-party nuclear fuel processors provide nuclear fuel materials and process the materials into usable fuel for TVA nuclear reactors. In exchange, DOE will participate to a degree in the savings generated by TVA s use of this blended nuclear fuel product. As of September 30, 2006, TVA projects that DOE s share of savings generated by TVA s use of this blended nuclear fuel product could result in future payments to DOE of as much as \$272 million. TVA anticipates these future payments could begin in 2009. At September 30, 2006, TVA has accrued an obligation of \$2 million related to such future potential payments.

In addition to the cash requirements above, TVA has contractual obligations in the form of revenue discounts related to energy prepayments. See Note 1 *Energy Prepayment Obligations*.

Page 50 of 141

Energy Prepayment Obligations

Payments Due in the Year Ending September 30

	Total	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	The	reafter
Energy Prepayment Obligations	\$ 1,244	\$ 106	\$ 106	\$ 105	\$ 105	\$ 105	\$	717

Results of Operations

Financial Results

The following table compares operating results and selected statistics for 2006, 2005, and 2004:

Summary Statements of Income

For the years ended September 30

	2	2006	,	2005		2004
Operating revenues	\$	9,185	\$	7,794	\$	7,533
Operating expenses		(7,582)		(6,503)		(5,873)
Operating income		1,603		1,291		1,660
Other income		67		56		44
Other expense		(2)		(4)		(1)
Unrealized (loss)/gain on derivative contracts, net		(15)		3		(7)
Interest expense, net		(1,215)		(1,261)		(1,310)
Income before cumulative effects of accounting changes Cumulative effect of change in accounting for conditional asset		438		85		386
retirement obligations		(109)				
Net income	\$	329	\$	85	\$	386
Sales (millions of kWh) 2006 Compared to 2005	1	76,370	1	71,498	1	165,858

Net income for 2006 was \$329 million compared with net income of \$85 million for 2005. The \$244 million increase in net income was mainly attributable to a \$1,391 million increase in operating revenues and lower net interest expense of \$46 million, partially offset by a \$1,079 million increase in operating expenses, a \$15 million unrealized loss on derivative contracts, net, in 2006 as compared to an unrealized gain of \$3 million in 2005, and a \$109 million cumulative expense charge in 2006 for adoption of a new accounting standard related to conditional asset retirement obligations. See Note 4.

Operating Revenues. Electricity sales and operating revenue during 2006 and 2005 consisted of the following: Page 51 of 141

Electricity Sales and Operating Revenue

For the years ended September 30

	Sales of Electricity (millions of kWh)			Operating Revenues (millions of dollars)			
			Percent			Percent	
	2006	2005	Change	2006	2005	Change	
Sales of electricity and operating revenues							
Municipalities and				+ = 000			
cooperatives	143,343	136,640	4.9%	\$ 7,880	\$ 6,561	20.1%	
Industries directly served	30,987	30,872	0.4%	1,066	962	10.8%	
Federal agencies and other	2,040	3,986	(48.8%)	116	181	(35.9%)	
Other revenue				123	90	36.7%	
Total sales of electricity and							
operating revenues	176,370	171,498	2.8%	\$ 9,185	\$7,794	17.8%	

Significant items contributing to the \$1,391 million increase in operating revenue include:

A \$1,319 million increase in revenue from municipalities and cooperatives reflecting increased sales of 4.9 percent and average rates rising 14.5 percent of which \$822 million relates to the rate adjustments effective October 1, 2005, and April 1, 2006;

A \$104 million increase in revenue from industries attributable to sales increasing 0.4 percent and average rates rising 10.4 percent of which \$41 million relates to the rate adjustments effective October 1, 2005, and April 1, 2006; and

A \$33 million increase in other revenue primarily due to increased transmission revenues from wheeling activity.

The rate adjustments, effective the first quarter and third quarter of 2006, contributed about \$873 million to the increase in revenues on firm-based products during 2006 as compared to 2005. Firm-based products carry higher rates since they offer the most reliable power supply. As a result, customers purchasing these products are the last to have their supply interrupted during a system emergency. An additional \$237 million of the increase in revenues is due to higher average rates related to a shift in product and customer mix and higher rates for variable priced products.

The \$65 million decrease in Federal agencies and other was primarily due to:

An \$82 million decrease in exchange power sales reflecting decreased sales of 90.3 percent and reduced generation of 2.7 percent which includes a 36.6 percent decrease in hydroelectric generation resulting from dry conditions in 2006; offset by

A \$17 million increase in revenues from federal agencies directly served due to increased sales of 4.9 percent and average rates rising 14.3 percent of which \$10 million relate to the rate adjustments effective October 1, 2005, and April 1, 2006.

Significant items contributing to the 4,872 million kilowatt-hour increase in electricity sales include:

A 6,703 million kilowatt-hour increase in sales to municipalities and cooperatives attributable to 4,707 million kilowatt-hours related to the unbilled estimate methodology used in 2005 (see Note 1 *Accounts Receivable*) and a 1,996 kilowatt-hour increase in sales demand by municipalities and cooperatives during 2006;

A 115 million kilowatt-hour increase in sales to directly served industries as a result of increased firm and Firm Power Interruptible demand of 48.3 percent and 93.6 percent, respectively, offset by decreased Economy Surplus Power/Variable Priced Interruptible and Preferred Interruptible Power/Firm Power Interruptible demand of 29.2 percent and 32.3 percent respectively; and

An 85 million kilowatt-hour increase in sales to federal agencies primarily due to increased demand of 34.5 percent for other miscellaneous products.

Page 52 of 141

Table of Contents

These items were partially offset by a 2,031 million kilowatt-hour decrease in exchange power sales (included in Federal agencies and Other) mainly reflecting decreased generation available for sale.

Operating Expenses. A table of operating expenses follows:

TVA Operating Expenses

For the years ended September 30

	2006	2005	Percent Change
Operating expenses			
Fuel and purchased power	\$ 3,333	\$ 2,601	28.1%
Operating and maintenance	2,372	2,359	<1%
Depreciation, amortization and accretion	1,492	1,154	29.3%
Payments in lieu of taxes	376	365	3.0%
Loss on asset impairment/project cancellation	9	24	(62.5%)
Total operating expenses	\$ 7,582	\$ 6,503	16.6%

Significant drivers contributing to the \$1,079 million increase in total operating expenses include:

A \$377 million increase in fuel expense attributable to higher aggregate fuel cost per kilowatt-hour net thermal generation of 19.0 percent and increased generation of 1.2 percent, 3.0 percent, and 0.3 percent at the coal-fired, combustion turbine, and nuclear plants, respectively, in part because of lower hydroelectric generation;

A \$355 million increase in purchased power expense reflecting increased average purchase price of 16.3 percent and higher volume acquired of 27.7 percent to accommodate for decreased hydroelectric generation and for slightly lower asset availability in 2006 than planned; and

An \$11 million increase in payments in lieu of taxes due to increased gross revenues of 3.1 percent from the sale of power (excluding sales or deliveries to other federal agencies and exchange sales with other utilities) during 2005 as compared to 2004. See Item 1, Business *Payments in Lieu of Taxes*.

Additionally, amortization expense increased \$388 million largely as a result of the amortization of the deferred cost of nuclear generating units at Bellefonte Nuclear Plant. See Note 2.

These items were partially offset by a \$51 million decrease in depreciation mainly due to the depreciation rate reduction for Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant reflecting the 20-year license extensions.

Other Income. The \$11 million increase in other income is largely attributable to increased interest earnings on the collateral deposit funds held by TVA and interest income from short-term investments. See Note 1 Restricted Cash and Investments.

Other Expense. The \$2 million decrease in other expense is due to the loss of \$2 million on the sale of distributor customer loan program receivables in 2005 not present in 2006.

Unrealized (Loss)/Gain on Derivative Contracts, Net. The \$18 million change in net unrealized (loss)/gain on derivative contracts reflecting a gain of \$3 million during 2005 and a loss of \$15 million during 2006 is a result of a \$177 million net loss on the mark-to-market valuation of an embedded call option. This item was partially offset by:

A \$45 million net gain on the mark-to-market valuation adjustment of an interest rate swap contract;

A \$108 million net gain on the mark-to-market valuation adjustment of swaption contracts; and

A \$6 million unrealized net loss related to the mark-to-market valuation of sulfur dioxide emissions allowance call options during the first quarter of 2005 not present in 2006.

Interest Expense. Interest expense, outstanding debt, and interest rates during 2006 and 2005 were as follows:

Page 53 of 141

Interest Expense

For the years ended September 30

	2006	2005	Percent Change
Interest expense			
Interest on debt	\$ 1,357	\$ 1,356	(0.0%)
Amortization of debt discount, issue, and reacquisition costs, net	21	21	0.0%
Allowance for funds used during construction	(163)	(116)	40.5%
Net interest expense	\$ 1,215	\$ 1,261	(3.6%)
		(percent)	_
	•006	2007	Percent
	2006	2005	Change
Interest rates (average)	6.15	6.25	(1.26)
Long-term	6.17	6.25	(1.3%)
Discount notes	4.47	2.70	65.6%
Blended	6.02	5.93	1.5%

Significant items contributing to the \$46 million decrease in net interest expense include:

A decrease in the average long-term interest rate from 6.25 percent in 2005 to 6.17 percent in 2006;

A decrease of \$407 million in the average balance of long-term outstanding debt in 2006;

A decrease of \$75 million in the average balance of discount notes outstanding in 2006; and

A \$47 million increase in AFUDC due to a higher level of construction work-in-progress in 2006. These items were partially offset by an increase in the average discount notes interest rate from 2.70 percent to 4.47 percent between 2005 and 2006.

2005 Compared to 2004

Net income for 2005 was \$85 million compared with net income of \$386 million for 2004. The \$301 million decrease in net income was mainly attributable to a \$630 million increase in operating expenses, partially offset by an increase in operating revenues of \$261 million, lower net interest expense of \$49 million, and a \$10 million change in unrealized (loss)/gain on derivative contracts reflecting a \$3 million gain in 2005 and a \$7 million loss in 2004.

Operating Revenues. A table of electricity sales and operating revenue follows:

Electricity Sales and Operating Revenue

For the years ended September 30

	Sales of Electricity (millions of kWh)			Operating Revenues (millions of dollars)			
			Percent			Percent	
	2005	2004	Change	2005	2004	Change	
Sales of electricity and operating revenue							
Municipalities and cooperatives	136,640	133,161	2.6%	\$ 6,561	\$ 6,457	1.6%	

Industries directly served Federal agencies and other Other revenue	30,872 3,986	29,344 3,353	5.2% 18.9%	962 181 90	842 140 94	14.3% 29.3% (4.3%)
Total sales of electricity and operating revenue	171,498	165,858	3.4%	\$ 7,794	\$ 7,533	3.5%

Significant items contributing to the \$261 million increase in operating revenues include:

A \$104 million increase in revenues from municipalities and cooperatives due to increased sales of

Page 54 of 141

^{2.6} percent although average rates decreased 1.0 percent;

Table of Contents

A \$120 million increase in revenues from industries directly served reflecting increased sales of 5.2 percent and average rates rising 8.6 percent;

A \$5 million increase in revenues from federal agencies directly served as a result of increased sales of 2.0 percent and average rates rising 4.1 percent; and

A \$36 million increase in revenues from exchange power sales (included in Federal Agencies and Other) attributable to increased total generation of 3.3 percent reflecting favorable market conditions. Favorable market conditions relate to electricity demands both inside and outside the TVA service area in addition to advantageous market rates.

Significant items contributing to the 5,640 million kilowatt-hour increase in electricity sales include:

A 3,479 million kilowatt-hour increase in sales to municipalities and cooperatives primarily as a result of increased demand due to warmer summer weather reflecting higher combined degree days of 0.7 percent. During 2005, there were 325, or 18.6 percent, more cooling degree days offset by 291, or 9.0 percent, less heating degree days;

A 1,528 million kilowatt-hour increase in sales to industries directly served largely attributable to increased demand of 19.1 percent from one of TVA s largest industrial consumers of power;

A 34 million kilowatt-hour increase in sales to federal agencies directly served as a result of increased demand of 3.4 percent by firm-based customers; and

A 599 million kilowatt-hour increase in exchange power sales (included in Federal agencies and other) due to increased total generation of 3.3 percent reflecting favorable market conditions.

Operating Expenses. Operating expenses during 2005 and 2004 were as follow:

TVA Operating ExpensesFor the years ended September 30

	2005	2004	Percent Change
Operating expenses			
Fuel and purchased power	\$ 2,601	\$ 2,081	25.0%
Operating and maintenance	2,359	2,319	1.7%
Depreciation, amortization, and accretion	1,154	1,115	3.5%
Payments in lieu of taxes	365	338	8.0%
Loss on asset impairment/project cancellation	24	20	20.0%
Total operating expenses	\$ 6,503	\$ 5,873	10.7%

Significant items contributing to the \$630 million increase in total operating expenses for 2005 include:

A \$269 million increase in fuel expense attributable to higher aggregate fuel cost per kilowatt-hour, net thermal generation of 14.1 percent, increased fuel handling costs of \$8 million, and increased generation of 4.0 percent and 114.0 percent at coal-fired and combustion turbine plants, respectively;

A \$251 million increase in purchased power expense as a result of the average purchase price increasing 43.6 percent and higher volume acquired of 6.2 percent;

A \$77 million increase in pension and post retirement expense due primarily to increased interest cost coupled with increased amortization of actuarial loss (see Note 12);

A \$29 million increase in depreciation expense attributable to capital projects placed in service;

A \$9 million increase in amortization expense related to the amortization of the capital lease recognized for the blended low enriched uranium program (see Note 1 Blended Low Enriched Uranium Program); and

A \$24 million impairment loss related to the \$16 million write-down of certain assets related to a new technology that had not been proven effective and a \$8 million loss equal to the difference in the book value and market price of the East Tower of the Knoxville Office Complex (see Note 1 *Impairment of Assets* and Note 6 *Asset Impairment*).

These items were partially offset by a \$33 million reduction in severance expense due to recognition of termination benefit costs in the prior period.

Page 55 of 141

Table of Contents

Other Income. The \$12 million increase in other income relates to increased interest income from short-term investments.

Other Expense. The \$3 million increase in other expense is primarily due to the loss of over \$2 million on the sale of distributor customer loan program receivables in 2005 not present in 2004.

Unrealized Gain on Derivative Contracts, Net. The \$10 million change in net unrealized (loss)/gain on derivative contracts reflecting a \$7 million loss in 2004 and a \$3 million gain in 2005, is a result of a \$102 million net gain on the mark-to-market valuation of an embedded call option.

This item was partially offset by:

A \$9 million net loss on the mark-to-market valuation adjustment of an interest rate swap contract;

A \$71 million net loss on the mark-to-market valuation adjustment of swaption contracts; and

A \$12 million unrealized net loss related to the mark-to-market valuation of sulfur dioxide emission allowance call options.

Interest Expense. A table of interest expense follows:

TVA Interest Expense

For the years ended September 30

	2005	2004	Percent Change
	2003	2004	Change
Interest expense			
Interest on debt	\$ 1,356	\$ 1,385	(2.1%)
Amortization of debt discount, issue, and reacquisition costs, net	21	24	(12.5%)
Allowance for funds used during construction	(116)	(99)	17.2%
Net interest expense	\$ 1,261	\$ 1,310	(3.7%)
		(percent)	
			Percent
	2005	2004	Change
Interest rates (average)			
Long-term	6.25	6.36	(1.7%)
Discount notes	2.70	1.14	136.8%
Blended	5.93	6.12	(3.1%)

Significant items contributing to the \$49 million decrease in net interest expense include:

A decrease in the average long-term interest rate from 6.36 percent to 6.25 percent;

A reduction of approximately \$1,089 million in the average balance of long-term debt outstanding; and

A \$17 million increase in AFUDC due to a higher level of construction work-in-progress in 2005. These items were partially offset by:

An increase in the average discount note interest rate from 1.14 percent to 2.70 percent; and

An increase of \$995 million in the average balance of discount notes outstanding.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

TVA has entered into one transaction that might constitute an off-balance sheet arrangement. In February 1997, TVA entered into a purchase power agreement with Choctaw Generation, Inc. (subsequently assigned to Choctaw

Generation Limited Partnership) to purchase all the power generated from its facility located in Choctaw County, Mississippi. The facility had a committed capacity of 440 megawatts and the term of the agreement was 30 years. Under the accounting guidance provided by FIN 46R, TVA may be deemed to be the primary beneficiary under the contract; however, TVA does not have access to the financial records of Choctaw Generation Limited Partnership. As a result, TVA was unable to determine whether FIN 46R would require TVA to consolidate Choctaw Generation Limited Partnerships balance sheet, results of operations, and cash flows for the year ended September 30, 2006. Power purchases for 2006 under the agreement amounted to \$121 million, and the remaining financial Page 56 of 141

commitment under this agreement is \$4.1 billion. TVA has no additional financial commitments beyond the purchase power agreement with respect to the facility.

See the discussion of variable interest entities in Item 7, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations New Accounting Standards and Interpretations Variable Interest Entities.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The preparation of financial statements requires TVA to estimate the effects of various matters that are inherently uncertain as of the date of the financial statements. Although the financial statements are prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), management is required to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities, and the amounts of revenues and expenses reported during the reporting period. Each of these estimates varies in regards to the level of judgment involved and its potential impact on TVA s financial results. Estimates are deemed critical either when a different estimate could have reasonably been used, or where changes in the estimate are reasonably likely to occur from period to period, and such use or change would materially impact TVA s financial condition, changes in financial position, or results of operations. TVA s critical accounting policies are also discussed in Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies.

Regulatory Accounting

Although TVA s power rates are not subject to regulation through a public service commission or other similar entity, TVA s Board is authorized by the TVA Act to set rates for power sold to its customers. This rate-setting authority meets the self-regulated provisions of SFAS No. 71, Accounting for the Effects of Certain Types of Regulation, and TVA meets the remaining criteria of SFAS No. 71 that (1) TVA s regulated rates are designed to recover its costs of providing electricity and (2) in view of demand for electricity and the level of competition it is reasonable to assume that the rates, set at levels that will recover TVA s costs, can be charged and collected. Accordingly, TVA records certain assets and liabilities that result from the regulated ratemaking process that would not be recorded under GAAP for non-regulated entities. Regulatory assets generally represent incurred costs that have been deferred because such costs are probable of future recovery in customer rates. Regulatory liabilities generally represent obligations to make refunds to customers for previous collections for costs that are not likely to be incurred. Management assesses whether the regulatory assets are probable of future recovery by considering factors such as applicable regulatory changes, potential legislation, and changes in technology. Based on this assessment, management believes the existing regulatory assets are probable of recovery. This determination reflects the current regulatory and political environment and is subject to change in the future. If future recovery of regulatory assets ceases to be probable, TVA could be required to write-off these costs under the provisions of SFAS No. 101, Regulated Enterprises Accounting for the Discontinuation of Application of FASB Statement No. 71. Any asset write-offs would be required to be recognized in earnings in the period in which regulatory accounting under SFAS No. 71 ceased to apply. See Note 5.

Long-Lived Assets

TVA capitalizes long-lived assets such as property, plant, and equipment at historical cost, which includes direct and indirect costs and an allowance for funds used during construction. TVA recovers the costs of these long-lived assets through depreciation of the physical assets as they are consumed in the process of providing products or services. Depreciation is generally computed on a straight-line basis over the estimated productive lives of the various classes of assets. When TVA retires its regulated long-lived assets, it charges the original asset cost plus removal costs, less salvage value, to accumulated depreciation in accordance with utility industry practice.

Long-Lived Asset Impairments

TVA evaluates the carrying value of long-lived assets when circumstances indicate the carrying value of those assets may not be recoverable. Under the provisions of SFAS No. 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets*, an asset impairment exists for a long-lived asset to be held and used when the carrying value exceeds the sum of estimates of the undiscounted cash flows expected to result from the use and eventual disposition of the asset. If the asset is impaired, the asset is carrying value is adjusted downward to its estimated fair value with a corresponding impairment loss recognized in earnings.

Page 57 of 141

Revenue Recognition

Revenues from power sales are recorded as power is delivered to customers. TVA accrues estimated unbilled revenues for power sales provided to customers for the period of time from the end of the billing cycle to the end of the month. The methodology for estimating unbilled revenue from electricity sales uses meter readings for each customer for the current billing period. See Note 1 *Revenues*.

Asset Retirement Obligations

In accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 143, Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations, and FIN No. 47, Accounting for Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations an Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 143, TVA recognizes legal obligations associated with the future retirement of certain tangible long-lived assets (see Note 4). TVA records estimates of such disposal costs at the time the legal obligation arises or costs are actually incurred. Based on new engineering studies performed annually in accordance with NRC requirements, revisions to the amount and timing of certain cash flow estimates of nuclear asset retirement obligations may be made. See Note 4.

Nuclear Decommissioning

At September 30, 2006, the present value of the estimated future nuclear decommissioning cost of \$1.5 billion was included in Asset Retirement Obligations, and the unamortized regulatory asset of \$474 million was included in Other Regulatory Assets. Under the NRC s regulations, the present value of the estimated future nuclear decommissioning cost was \$670 million. This decommissioning cost estimate is based on NRC s requirements for removing a plant from service, releasing the property for unrestricted use, and terminating the operating license. The actual decommissioning costs may vary from the derived estimates because of changes in current assumptions, such as the assumed dates of decommissioning, changes in regulatory requirements, changes in technology, and changes in the cost of labor, materials, and equipment. Utilities that own and operate nuclear plants are required to use different procedures in estimating nuclear decommissioning costs under SFAS No. 143 than those that are used in estimating nuclear decommissioning costs that are reported to the NRC. Accordingly, the two sets of procedures produce different estimates for the costs of decommissioning.

TVA maintains a nuclear decommissioning trust to provide money for the ultimate decommissioning of its nuclear power plants. The trust s funds are invested in securities generally designed to achieve a return in line with overall equity market performance. The assets of the fund are invested in debt and equity securities and certain derivative instruments. The derivative instruments are used across various asset classes to achieve a desired investment structure. The balance in the trust as of September 30, 2006, is greater than the present value of the estimated future nuclear decommissioning costs.

On May 3, 2006, the NRC approved TVA s application for license extension at each of TVA s three Browns Ferry units. The license extension has the effect of improving the funded status of TVA s nuclear decommissioning trust versus the present value of the estimated decommissioning costs by (1) extending the decommissioning dates of the three Browns Ferry units and thereby pushing the decommissioning liability for these units further into the future and (2) extending the investment horizon for the assets in the trust.

The following key assumptions can have a significant effect on estimates related to the nuclear decommissioning costs:

Timing In projecting decommissioning costs, two assumptions must be made to estimate the timing of plant decommissioning. First, the date of the plant s retirement must be estimated. At a multiple unit site, the expiration of the unit with the latest to expire operating license is typically used for this purpose, or an assumption could be made that the plant will be relicensed and operate for some time beyond the original license term. Second, an assumption must be made whether decommissioning will begin immediately upon plant retirement, or whether the plant will be held in SAFSTOR status—a status authorized by applicable regulations which allows for a nuclear facility to be maintained and monitored in a condition that allows the radioactivity to decay, after which the facility is decommissioned. Afterwards, it is dismantled. While the impact of these assumptions cannot be determined with precision, assuming either license extension or use of SAFSTOR status can significantly decrease the present value of these obligations.

Technology and Regulation Because of the age of the nuclear plants in the United States, there is limited experience with actual decommissioning of large nuclear facilities. Changes in technology and experience as well as changes in regulations regarding nuclear decommissioning could cause cost

Page 58 of 141

Table of Contents

estimates to change significantly. The impact of these potential changes is not presently determinable. TVA s cost studies assume current technology and regulations.

Discount Rate TVA s decommissioning fund uses a blended rate of 5.65 percent to calculate the present value of the weighted estimated cash flows required to satisfy TVA s decommissioning obligation.

Investment Rate of Return TVA assumes that its decommissioning fund will achieve a rate of return that is five percent greater than the rate of inflation.

Cost Escalation Factors TVA s decommissioning estimates include an assumption that decommissioning costs will escalate over present cost levels by 4 percent annually.

Pension and Other Postretirement Benefits

TVA sponsors a defined benefit pension plan with two structures, an average pay structure and a cash balance structure, which cover substantially all employees. Additionally, TVA provides postretirement health care benefits for substantially all employees who reach retirement age while still working for TVA. TVA s costs of providing these benefits are impacted by numerous factors including the provisions of the plans, changing employee demographics, and various actuarial calculations, assumptions, and accounting mechanisms. Because of the complexity of these calculations, the long-term nature of these obligations, and the importance of the assumptions utilized, the costs as reported represent critical accounting estimates for TVA.

Key actuarial assumptions utilized in determining these costs include:

Interest and discount rates used in determining the future benefit obligations;

Projected health care cost trend rates;

Expected long-term rate of return on plan assets; and

Rate of increase in future compensation levels.

TVA reviews these assumptions on an annual basis and adjusts them as necessary. The falling interest rate environment and poor performance of the financial equity markets in recent years have impacted TVA s funding and reported costs for these benefits. In addition, these trends have caused TVA to make a number of adjustments to its assumptions.

In selecting an assumed discount rate, TVA reviews market yields on high-quality corporate debt and long-term obligations of the U.S. Treasury. Such reviews are made as of the end of the year for use in the development of a bond portfolio designed to meet the maturing obligations of the TVA plan. The instruments selected have outstanding maturity values of at least \$25 million or more, are rated Aa or higher, and are non-callable. The resulting portfolio rate of 6.05 percent was utilized along with the end-of-year Moody s Aa Corporate Bond Index of 5.74 percent to establish an upper and lower limit for consideration by TVA in the selection of its discount rate. TVA selected a discount rate that approximated the midpoint of the determined range which resulted in a discount rate of 5.90 percent for 2006.

In determining its expected long-term rate of return on pension plan assets, TVA reviews past long-term performance, asset allocations, and long-term inflation assumptions. TVA decreased its expected long-term rate of return on pension plan assets from 8.50 percent at the end of 2003 to 8.25 percent at the end of both 2004 and 2005 but increased the rate to 8.75 percent for the year ended 2006 to better reflect anticipated future plan asset performance. TVA utilized a rate of return of 8.00 percent during 2003 in the aftermath of the market declines of 2002 and 2001.

The TVA Retirement System, a separate legal entity governed by its own board of directors, administers TVA-sponsored retirement plans. The TVA Retirement System targets an asset allocation for its pension plan assets of approximately 60 percent equity securities and 40 percent fixed income securities. Pursuant to its allocation policy, the asset allocations are to be comprised of approximately 45 percent U.S. equities, of which five percent may be private equity or other similar alternative investments; 40 percent fixed income, of which ten percent may be high

yield securities; and 15 percent non-U.S. equities. The TVA Retirement System s policy includes a permissible three percent deviation from these target allocations. The TVA Retirement System Board can take action, as appropriate, to rebalance the system s assets consistent with the asset allocation policy. See Note 12.

TVA reviews actual recent cost trends and projected future trends in establishing health care cost trend rates. Based on this review process, TVA did not reset its health care cost trend rate assumption used in calculating the 2006 accumulated postretirement benefit obligations. The assumed health care trend rate was 8.5 percent at the

Page 59 of 141

end of 2006 which represents a 50 basis point reduction from the 9.0 percent trend rate used during 2005. TVA reset its health care cost trend rate at the end of each of the last four years prior to 2006. The health care cost trend rate of 8.5 percent is assumed to gradually decrease each successive year until it reaches a five percent annual increase in health care costs in the year beginning October 1, 2013, and beyond.

TVA does not presently set aside assets dedicated solely to fund its postretirement medical benefits. Instead, TVA pays the costs of its postretirement benefit plan through premiums collected from participating retirees and TVA contributions.

The following chart reflects the sensitivity of pension cost to changes in certain actuarial assumptions:

			Impact on
			2006
		Impact on	Projected
	Change in	2007	Benefit
Actuarial Assumption	Assumption	Pension Cost	Obligation
		(Increase in million.	5)
Discount rate	(0.25%)	\$ 18	\$ 248
Rate of return on plan assets	(0.25%)	\$ 16	NA
Rate of compensation	0.25%	\$ 12	\$ 67

The following chart reflects the sensitivity of postretirement benefit cost to changes in certain actuarial assumptions:

			Impact on 2006	
		Impact on		
		2007	Projected	
	Change in	Postretirement	Postretirement	
			Benefit	
Actuarial Assumption	Assumption	Benefit Cost	Obligation	
		(Increase in millions)		
Discount rate	(0.25%)	\$ 1	\$ 14	
Health care cost trend	0.25%	\$ 1	\$ 15	

Each fluctuation above assumes that the other components of the calculation are held constant.

Accounting Mechanisms. In accordance with SFAS No. 87, Employers Accounting for Pensions, TVA utilizes a number of accounting mechanisms that reduce the volatility of reported pension costs. Differences between actuarial assumptions and actual plan results are deferred and are amortized into cost only when the accumulated differences exceed ten percent of the greater of the projected benefit obligation or the market-related value of plan assets. If necessary, the excess is amortized over the average remaining service period of active employees.

Additionally, TVA smoothes the impact of asset performance on pension expense over a three-year phase-in period through a market-related value of assets calculation. Since the market-related value of assets recognizes investment gains and losses over a three year period, the future value of assets will be impacted as previously deferred gains or losses are recognized. As a result, the losses that the pension plan assets experienced in 2002 and 2001 may have an adverse impact on pension cost in future years depending on whether the actuarial losses at each measurement date exceed the ten percent corridor in accordance with SFAS No. 87.

Costs and Funding. In 2006, TVA s total pension cost was \$244 million. TVA expects 2007 pension cost to decrease to \$159 million due in part to an increase in the discount rate from 5.38 percent to 5.90 percent. The impact of the higher discount rate was further enhanced by the recognition of certain actuarial gains. Pension funding amounted to \$75 million.

Due to negative pension plan asset returns from 2002 and 2001, TVA s accumulated benefit obligation at September 30, 2006 and 2005 exceeded plan assets. As a result, TVA was required to recognize an additional minimum pension liability as prescribed in SFAS No. 87. The charge to establish the minimum liability and the

subsequent increases and decreases thereto were entered to Other Comprehensive Income, again in accordance with the requirements of SFAS No. 87. However, TVA reclassified all such minimum pension liability changes to a regulatory asset in accordance with SFAS No. 71. The regulatory treatment of the original changes was deemed necessary from the perspective that it would be improper to presume a level of future earnings on pension assets sufficient to fully recover, within a period of one year, all such costs included in Other comprehensive income.

Page 60 of 141

Total postretirement health care costs for TVA in 2006 were \$58 million. The set of assumptions used for the end-of-year actuarial valuation process had no effect on postretirement benefit costs for 2006, 2005, or 2004 but, when coupled with further experience adjustments related to claims and contributions, will decrease postretirement benefits expense for 2007 by approximately \$16 million compared to 2006. TVA expects 2007 postretirement health care cost to approximate \$42 million, which represents a decrease of \$16 million over 2006 costs, excluding special termination benefits.

In 2006, Medicare began providing prescription drug coverage to Medicare-eligible beneficiaries under Medicare Part D. Under the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003, employers that provide retiree prescription drug coverage, which is actuarially equivalent to standard coverage under Medicare Part D, may receive retiree drug subsidies for retirees who enroll in the employer's retiree prescription drug plan instead of Medicare Part D. TVA determined that its retiree prescription drug coverage did not qualify for retiree drug subsidy. As a result, through its prescription benefit manager, TVA implemented for 2006 an employer-sponsored prescription drug plan (PDP). By providing an employer-sponsored PDP, TVA is prescription benefit manager receives subsidies from Medicare which are passed through to retirees in the form of lower premiums. (See further description in Note 12 Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003).

New Accounting Standards and Interpretations

Variable Interest Entities

In January 2003, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) published FASB Interpretation No. 46, *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities*, which was revised by FASB Interpretation No. 46R (46R) in December 2003. FIN 46R establishes consolidation criteria for entities for which control is not easily discernable under Accounting Research Bulletin (ARB) 51, *Consolidated Financial Statements*, which is based on the premise that holders of the equity of an entity control the entity by virtue of voting rights. FIN 46R provides guidance for identifying the party with a controlling financial interest resulting from arrangements or financial interests rather than from voting interests. FIN 46R defines the term—variable interest entity (VIE) and is based on the premise that if a business enterprise absorbs a majority of the VIE s expected losses and/or receives a majority of its expected residual returns (measures of risk and reward), that enterprise (the primary beneficiary) is deemed to have a controlling financial interest in the VIE. An enterprise that bears the majority of the economic risk is considered to have a controlling financial interest in a VIE, even if it has no decision making (voting) authority or equity interest. TVA adopted FIN 46 and FIN 46R effective October 1, 2005, for VIEs created before December 31, 2003, and immediately for VIEs created after December 31, 2003.

In February 1997, TVA entered into a purchase power agreement with Choctaw Generation, Inc. (subsequently assigned to Choctaw Generation Limited Partnership) to purchase all the power generated from its facility located in Choctaw County, Mississippi. The facility had a committed capacity of 440 megawatts and the term of the agreement was 30 years. Under the accounting guidance provided by FIN 46R, TVA may be deemed to be the primary beneficiary under the contract; however, TVA does not have access to the financial records of Choctaw Generation Limited Partnership. As a result, TVA was unable to determine whether FIN 46R would require TVA to consolidate Choctaw Generation Limited Partnerships balance sheet, results of operations, and cash flows for the year ended September 30, 2006. Power purchases for 2006 under the agreement amounted to \$121 million, and the remaining financial commitment under this agreement is \$4.1 billion. TVA has no additional financial commitments beyond the purchase power agreement with respect to the facility.

On April 13, 2006, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position FIN 46R-6, *Determining the Variability to Be Considered in Applying FASB Interpretation No. 46R*, which addresses how a reporting enterprise should determine the variability to be considered in applying FASB Interpretation No. 46. FIN 46R-6 is to be applied prospectively to all entities with which that enterprise first becomes involved and to all entities previously required to be analyzed under FIN 46R when a reconsideration event has occurred pursuant to paragraph seven of FIN 46R beginning the first day of the first reporting period after June 15, 2006. TVA began applying this guidance with the reporting period ending September 30, 2006. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on TVA s results of operations or financial condition.

Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations

In March 2005, the FASB issued FIN No. 47, Accounting for Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 143. This interpretation clarifies that the term conditional asset retirement obligation (conditional ARO) as used in SFAS No. 143, Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations, refers to a legal obligation to perform an asset retirement activity in which the timing and (or) method of settlement are conditional on a future event that may or may not be within the control of the entity. The obligation to perform the Page 61 of 141

asset retirement activity is unconditional even though uncertainty exists about the timing and (or) method of settlement. Thus, the timing and (or) method of settlement may be conditional on a future event. Accordingly, an entity is required to recognize a liability for the fair value of a conditional ARO if the fair value of the liability can be reasonably estimated. The fair value of a liability for the conditional ARO should be recognized when incurred. This interpretation also clarifies when an entity would have sufficient information to reasonably estimate the fair value of an ARO. On September 30, 2006, TVA began applying FIN 47, *Accounting for Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations*, which resulted in the recognition of additional ARO liabilities for asbestos and Polychlorinated Biphenyls abatement costs. The effect of the adoption of FIN No. 47 during 2006 included a cumulative effect charge to income of \$109 million, a recognition of a corresponding additional long-term liability of \$132 million, a recognition of an increase in assets of \$43 million, and related accumulated depreciation of \$20 million.

Accounting Changes and Error Corrections

In May 2005, the FASB issued SFAS No. 154, Accounting Changes and Error Corrections a replacement of APB Opinion No. 20 and FASB Statement No. 3, which replaces Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 20, Accounting Changes, and FASB Statement No. 3, Reporting Accounting Changes in Interim Financial Statements. This statement applies to all voluntary changes in accounting principles and also applies to changes required by an accounting pronouncement in the unusual instance that the pronouncement does not include specific transition provisions. This statement requires, unless impracticable, retrospective application to prior periods financial statements of changes in accounting principles. If it is impracticable to determine the period-specific effects of an accounting change on one or more individual prior periods presented, this statement requires that the new accounting principle be applied to the balances of assets and liabilities as of the beginning of the earliest period for which retrospective application is practicable and that a corresponding adjustment be made to the opening balance of retained earnings for that period rather than being reported in an income statement. When it is impracticable to determine the cumulative effect of applying a change in accounting principle to all prior periods, this statement requires that the new accounting principle be applied as if it were adopted prospectively from the earliest date practicable. This statement also requires that a change in depreciation, amortization, or depletion method for long-lived, nonfinancial assets be accounted for as a change in accounting estimate effected by a change in accounting principle. The statement will become effective for TVA beginning in 2007 with early adoption permitted for accounting changes and corrections of errors made in fiscal years beginning after May 2005, the date the statement was issued.

Accounting for Inventory Transactions

At its September 28, 2005, meeting, the FASB reached consensus on Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) Issue No. 04-13, Accounting for Purchases and Sales of Inventory with the Same Counterparty. In certain situations, a company may enter into a nonmonetary transaction to sell inventory to another company in the same line of business from which it also purchases inventory. Questions have arisen regarding how the guidance in APB Opinion No. 29, Accounting for Nonmonetary Transactions, should be applied in these situations. The consensus reached states that inventory purchase and sales transactions with the same counterparty that are entered into in contemplation of one another should be combined for purposes of applying APB Opinion 29. The EITF also agreed that the issuance of invoices and the exchange of offsetting cash payments is not a factor in determining whether two or more inventory transactions with the same counterparty should be considered as a single nonmonetary inventory transaction within the scope of Opinion 29. The Task Force also reached a consensus that a nonmonetary exchange within the same line of business involving the transfer of raw materials in exchange for the receipt of raw materials should not be recognized at fair value. This EITF should be applied to transactions completed in reporting periods beginning after March 15, 2006, whether pursuant to arrangements that were in place at the date of initial application of the consensus or arrangements executed subsequent to that date. The carrying amount of the inventory that was acquired under these types of arrangements prior to the initial application of the consensus, and that still remains in an entity s statement of financial position at the date of initial application of the consensus, should not be adjusted for this consensus. TVA adopted EITF Issue No. 04-13 beginning in the second quarter of 2006. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on TVA s results of operations or financial condition.

Put and Call Options

In September 2005, the Derivatives Implementation Group (DIG) of the FASB discussed several issues related to the settlement of a debtor s obligation on the exercise of a call or put option and the exercise only by the debtor of the right to accelerate settlement of a debt with an embedded call option. DIG Implementation Issue No. B38, *Embedded Derivatives: Evaluation of Net Settlement with Respect to the Settlement of a Debt Instrument through Exercise of an Embedded Put Option or Call Option*, addresses whether the settlement of a debtor s obligation on exercise of a call or put option meets the net settlement criterion in paragraph 9(a) of SFAS No. 133, as amended. DIG Implementation Issue No. B39, *Embedded Derivatives: Application of Paragraph 13(b) to Call*

Page 62 of 141

Options That Are Exercisable Only by the Debtor, addresses whether or not Paragraph 13(b) of SFAS No. 133, as amended, applies to a call option embedded with a debt host if the right to accelerate settlement of the debt can be exercised only by the debtor. The effective date of the implementation guidance in these issues was the first day of the first fiscal quarter beginning after December 15, 2005. The issue became effective for TVA beginning in the second quarter of 2006. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on TVA s results of operations or financial condition.

Accounting for Rental Costs

On October 6, 2005, the FASB issued FSP FAS 13-1, Accounting for Rental Costs Incurred during a Construction Period. The FASB concludes in this FSP that rental costs associated with ground or building operating leases that are incurred during a construction period should be expensed. FASB Technical Bulletin (FTB) No. 88-1, Issues Relating to Accounting for Leases, requires that rental costs associated with operating leases be allocated on a straight-line basis in accordance with FASB Statement No. 13, Accounting for Leases, and FTB 85-3, Accounting for Operating Leases with Scheduled Rent Increases, starting with the beginning of the lease term. The FASB believes there is no distinction between the right to use a leased asset during the construction period and the right to use that asset after the construction period. TVA began applying this guidance beginning with the quarterly reporting period ended March 31, 2006. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on TVA s results of operations or financial condition.

Impairment of Investments

On November 3, 2005, the FASB released FSP FAS 115-1 and FAS 124-1, *The Meaning of Other-Than-Temporary Impairment and Its Application to Certain Investments*. This FSP addresses the determination as to when an investment is considered impaired, whether that impairment is other than temporary, and the measurement of an impairment loss. The FSP also includes accounting considerations subsequent to the recognition of an other-than-temporary impairment and requires certain disclosures about unrealized losses that have not been recognized as other-than-temporary impairments. TVA began applying this guidance beginning with the quarterly reporting period ending March 31, 2006. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on TVA s results of operations or financial condition.

Fair Value Measurements

In September 2006, FASB issued SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements. This standard provides guidance for using fair value to measure assets and liabilities. The standard also responds to investors requests for expanded information about the extent to which companies measure assets and liabilities at fair value, the information used to measure fair value, and the effect of fair value measurements on earnings. Statement 157 applies whenever other standards require (or permit) assets or liabilities to be measured at fair value but does not expand the use of fair value in any new circumstances. SFAS No. 157 establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the information used to develop measurement assumptions. The provisions of SFAS No. 157 are effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years. Earlier application is encouraged, provided that the reporting entity has not yet issued financial statements for that fiscal year, including any financial statements for an interim period within that fiscal year. At this time, TVA continues the process of evaluating the requirements of this statement and does not yet know the impact of its implementation, which may or may not be material to TVA s results of operations or financial position.

Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans

On September 29, 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 158, *Employers Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans an amendment of FASB Statements No.* 87, 88, 106, and 132(R). This standard will require employers to fully recognize the obligations associated with single-employer defined benefit pension, retiree healthcare and other postretirement plans in their financial statements. The standard will make it easier for investors, employees, retirees and others to understand and assess an employer s financial position and its ability to fulfill the obligations under its benefit plans. Specifically, the new standard requires an employer to: recognize in its statement of financial position an asset for a plan s overfunded status or a liability for a plan s underfunded status; measure a plan s assets and its obligations that determine its funded status as of the end of the employer s fiscal year (with limited exceptions); and recognize changes in the funded status of a defined benefit postretirement plan in the year in which

the changes occur. Those changes will be reported in comprehensive income of a business entity and in changes in net assets of a not-for-profit organization.

The requirement to recognize the funded status of a benefit plan and the disclosure requirements are effective for TVA as of the end of the fiscal year ending after June 15, 2007. The requirement to measure plan assets

Page 63 of 141

and benefit obligations as of the date of the employer s fiscal year-end statement of financial position is effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2008. TVA plans to apply the new standard for its 2007 year-end financial statements and recognize on its 2007 Balance Sheets the funded status of its pension and other postretirement benefit plans. However, had TVA been required to adopt the standard as of its last actuarial valuation date (September 30, 2006), TVA would have recorded the following amounts on its Balance Sheet for the year then ended: a regulatory asset of \$795 million, additional pension and postretirement obligations of \$368 million and \$152 million, respectively, and the reclassification to the regulatory asset of an intangible asset with a balance of \$275 million representing unamortized prior service cost. The net effect of recognizing such amounts would have been to increase total assets and liabilities by \$520 million at that date.

Accounting for Misstatements

On September 13, 2006, the Securities and Exchange Commission issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 108, Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements. This bulletin provides interpretive guidance on how the effects of the carryover or reversal of prior year misstatements should be considered in quantifying a current year misstatement. Application of the guidance is effective for TVA beginning with the first interim period of fiscal year 2007.

Legislative and Regulatory Matters

In July 2005, Senator Jim Bunning (R-KY) and Senator Mitch McConnell (R-KY) introduced S.1499, a bill that would effectively remove any area within Kentucky from coverage by the anti-cherrypicking provision. See Item 1, Business *Competition* for further discussion of the anti-cherrypicking provision. If the bill were to become law, FERC could require TVA to wheel power from a supplier other than TVA for use inside that portion of TVA s service area that is within Kentucky. The bill was referred to and remains in the Senate Energy and Natural Resources Committee.

In June 2005, the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) transmitted draft legislation to Congress that would expand the type of evidences of indebtedness that count toward TVA s \$30 billion debt ceiling. Under this legislation, long-term obligations that finance capital assets would count toward the debt ceiling, including lease-leaseback arrangements and power prepayment agreements with original terms exceeding one year. This legislation, which would be effective for transactions into which TVA entered after December 31, 1999, has not yet been introduced in Congress.

Congressman Whitfield has introduced H.R. 6087 directing the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers to extend summer pool levels on Lake Barkley through Labor Day for a two-year trial period starting in July 2007. After the trial period, the Corps is required to report findings to Congress with a recommendation on whether to extend the summer pool levels permanently. The bill has been referred to the House Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure, but this referral does not preclude it from being attached to some other piece of legislation that is adopted before Congress adjourns for 2006. The bill, if enacted, could potentially impact operation of TVA s Kentucky Reservoir and Lake Barkley, which are connected by an unregulated canal. In particular, the bill could have environmental effects, adverse impacts to hydroelectric power production, and adverse downstream effects on flood control and commercial navigation on the lower Ohio and Mississippi Rivers.

For a discussion of environmental legislation and regulation, see Item 1, Business *Environmental Matters*. TVA can control neither what legislation becomes law nor what regulations are promulgated. Even legislation or regulations of which TVA has been made aware may be changed in ways which are difficult to predict or which have unforeseen consequences. TVA cannot therefore predict with certainty or with any accuracy whether the initiatives discussed above will become law in the future and in what form, and what their impact would be on TVA. Moreover, given the nature of the legislative process, it is possible that new legislation or a change to existing legislation that has a profound, detrimental impact on TVA s activities could become law with little or no advance notice. As a federal entity, the very nature of TVA can be changed by legislation. For a discussion of the potential impact of legislation and regulation on TVA, see Item 1A, Risk Factors.

Page 64 of 141

Environmental Matters

As is the case across the utility industry and in other industrial sectors, TVA s activities are subject to certain federal, state, and local environmental statutes and regulations. Major areas of regulation affecting TVA s activities include air quality control, water quality control, and management and disposal of solid and hazardous wastes.

TVA has incurred and continues to incur substantial capital and operating and maintenance costs in order to comply with evolving environmental requirements. Many of these costs are associated with the operation of TVA s 59 coal-fired generating units. While it is not possible to predict with any precision how these evolving requirements will impact the operation of existing and new coal-fired and other fossil-fuel generating units, it is virtually certain that environmental requirements placed on the operation of these generating units will continue to become more restrictive. Litigation over emissions from coal-fired generating units is also occurring, including litigation against TVA. See Item 3, Legal Proceedings.

Several existing regulatory programs have been and are being made more stringent in their application to fossil-fuel units, and additional regulatory programs affecting fossil-fuel units were promulgated in 2005, including the Clean Air Interstate Rule (CAIR), which requires significant utility reductions of emissions of sulfur dioxide ($_2$ SO and nitrogen oxides (NQ) in the eastern half of the United States (including in all of TVA s operating area), and the Clean Air Mercury Rule (CAMR). TVA had previously estimated its total capital cost for reducing emissions from its power plants from 1977 through 2010 to reach \$5.8 billion, \$4.6 billion of which had already been spent as of September 30, 2006. TVA estimates that compliance with CAIR and CAMR could lead to additional costs of \$3.0 billion to \$3.5 billion in the next decade if TVA should continue to operate all of its present coal plants. As discussed in more detail below, there could be additional material costs if reductions of carbon dioxide (CQ) are mandated, or if future legislative, regulatory, or judicial actions lead to more stringent emission reduction requirements, but these costs cannot reasonably be predicted at this time. TVA will continue to monitor those developments and will assess any potential financial impacts as information becomes available.

Clean Air Developments

Air quality in the United States has significantly improved since the enactment of the modern Clean Air Act (CAA) in 1970. These air quality improvements are expected to continue as the CAA continues to be implemented and through the evolution of programs as a result of legislative and regulatory changes. Three substances emitted from coal-fired units have been the focus of emission reduction regulatory programs: SO₂, NO_x, and particulates. Expenditures related to clean air projects during 2006 and 2005 were approximately \$182 million and \$202 million, respectively. These figures include expenditures in 2006 of \$6 million to continue to reduce NO_x emissions through the installation of selective catalytic reduction (SCR) systems, and of \$146 million for the installation of flue gas desulphurization systems (scrubbers) to continue to reduce \$\overline{\Omega}\text{missions}, each of which are explained in more detail below. The aforementioned estimates do not include additional capital costs of \$3.0 billion to \$3.5 billion that TVA expects to incur over the next decade to comply with CAIR and CAMR. Increasingly stringent regulation of some or all of these substances, and possibly carbon dioxide, will continue to result in significant capital and operating costs for coal-fired generating units, including those operated by TVA.

Sulfur Dioxide

Coal-fired utilities have historically emitted large amounts of SO_2 . Utility SO_2 emissions are currently regulated under the Federal Acid Rain Program and state programs designed to meet the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for SO_2 and fine particulate matter. Looking forward, additional regulation of SO_2 emissions from some units will result from implementation of the Regional Haze Program and for more units as a result of the CAIR. In May 2005, EPA finalized CAIR to reduce the interstate transport of fine particulate matter and ozone by requiring large reductions in utility emissions of NO_X and SO_2 from 28 eastern states. CAIR is currently in effect in all of these states as a federal rule. States in TVA s service area are submitting plans to EPA to implement CAIR as state rules and have only proposed a few minor modifications to the federal model rule which establishes an emission allowance driven program, capping regional emissions of SO_2 and NO_X among the targeted states. SO_2 caps are reduced in two phases, 2010 and 2015.

Since 1977, TVA has reduced its SO₂ emissions by approximately 80 percent by switching to lower-sulfur coals, re-powering a unit at its Shawnee Fossil Plant with the advanced Atmospheric Fluidized Bed Combustion

(AFBC) technology, and installing scrubbers on six of its larger units. A seventh scrubber at unit 3 of the Paradise Fossil Plant has been constructed and is going through shakedown testing prior to being placed in operation. TVA broke ground in 2005 on its eighth scrubber at its Bull Run Fossil Plant and in 2006 broke ground on two more scrubbers at its Kingston Fossil Plant as part of its previously announced plans to achieve a total SO_2 emission reduction of 80 to 85 percent compared to the 1977 level. Additionally, TVA has switched, or plans to switch, to lower

Page 65 of 141

sulfur coal on several additional units in the next few years. These near-term plans are unlikely to change. It is likely that additional emission reduction measures will have to be undertaken after these planned actions are completed to achieve compliance with CAIR and possible future tightening of applicable requirements.

Nitrogen Oxides

Utility NO_x emissions are extensively regulated and will be regulated further under state programs to achieve and maintain EPA s national ambient air quality standard for ozone, the acid rain control program, the regional haze program (depending on when units commenced operations and their effects on sensitive areas), and CAIR, as discussed above. Since 1995, TVA has reduced its NO_x emissions during the summer (when ozone levels increase) by 81 percent by installing various controls including low-NO_x burners and/or combustion controls on 58 of its coal-fired units. (The AFBC unit at Shawnee is inherently low NO_x emitting.) TVA has also installed SCR s on 21 of its largest units. In 2005, TVA installed Selective Non-Catalytic Reduction (SNCR) systems on two units to demonstrate long term technology capability. TVA has continued operating these two new SNCR installations through the 2006 ozone season. SNCRs generally cost less to install than SCRs but have lower NO_x removal capabilities. Early in 2006, TVA began testing a High Energy Reagent Technology (HERT) on three units for potential future application. HERT is similar to SNCR, has lower capital costs than SCRs, and appears to have lower NO_x removal capabilities than SCRs but higher removal capabilities than SNCRs. The initial HERT testing program was successful. As a result, in 2007, TVA will install this technology on two coal-fired units that were previously targeted for SNCR installations to demonstrate the HERT technology on a potentially permanent basis. TVA s NQemission reduction program is expected to continue to depend primarily on SCRs, but will also likely incorporate some mix of SNCRs and/or HERTs as TVA gains more experience with these technologies. These plans may change depending on the timing and severity of future regulatory developments potentially affecting power plant emissions. For example, EPA is currently reviewing the existing national ambient air quality standard for ozone and may make it more stringent.

In 2004, EPA issued final non-attainment designations under the current eight-hour ozone standard. Several counties within the TVA region were designated as not in attainment with that standard. Some of these counties have entered into Early Action Compacts with EPA and have taken steps such as instituting vehicle emissions testing, lowering speed limits, and other activities to help reduce summer ozone levels. In exchange, these counties are exempted from some of the negative consequences of a non-attainment designation. The TVA Nomission reductions described above have been a contributor to improving summer ozone levels in those areas, especially in Tennessee. Current monitoring indicates that all counties are making progress toward meeting the lower standard and achieving an attainment designation. The NO_x reduction requirements of CAIR will continue to help states achieve EPA s ozone and fine particle standards. CAIR caps and reduces NQemissions in two steps, 2009 and 2015.

Particulates/Opacity

Coarse particulates (particulates of 10 micrometers or larger and especially fly ash) have long been regulated by states to meet EPA s national ambient air quality standard for particulate matter. TVA s coal-fired units have been equipped with mechanical collectors, electrostatic precipitators, scrubbers, or baghouses, which have reduced particulate emissions from the TVA system by more than 99 percent compared to uncontrolled units. In 1997, the EPA for the first time issued separate national ambient air quality standards for even smaller particles with a size of up to 2.5 micrometers (fine particles). In December 2004 and April 2005, EPA issued final determinations regarding which areas of the country are not in attainment with the 1997 fine particles standard. Those non-attainment areas include counties and parts of counties in the Knoxville and Chattanooga, Tennessee metropolitan areas. In September 2006, EPA revised the 1997 standards. The 2006 revisions tighten the 24-hour fine particle standard and retain the current annual fine particle standard. EPA also decided to retain the existing 24-hour standard for coarse particles, but revoked the related annual standard. A preliminary review of the current monitoring data indicates that no additional counties likely will be classified as non-attainment areas under the revised 2006 standards, although actual designations will be based on subsequent year s monitoring data. CAIR is intended to help states attain the fine particle standards, and actions taken to reduce emissions under CAIR, including those planned by TVA, are expected to continue the reduction in fine particle levels.

Issues regarding utility compliance with state opacity requirements are also increasing. Opacity measures the denseness (or color) of power plant plumes and has traditionally been used by states as a means of monitoring good

maintenance and operation of particulate control equipment. Under some conditions, retrofitting a unit with additional equipment to better control SO_2 and NO_x emissions can adversely affect opacity performance, and TVA and other utilities are now addressing this issue. There are also disputes with special interest groups over the role of continuous opacity monitors in determining compliance with opacity limitations.

Page 66 of 141

Mercury

In December 2000, the EPA determined that it was appropriate and necessary to regulate mercury emissions from oil and coal-fired power plants as a hazardous air pollutant under the CAA. In March 2005, it reversed that earlier decision, and instead issued CAMR. CAMR establishes caps for overall mercury emissions in two phases, with the first phase becoming effective in 2010 and the second in 2018. It allows the states to regulate mercury emissions through a market-based cap-and trade program. All of the states in which TVA operates potentially affected sources are expected to adopt CAMR without significant change. In response to a request for reconsideration, EPA confirmed its approach in May 2006. In June 2006, 16 states and several environmental groups filed law suits challenging CAMR. This lawsuit is currently pending. TVA cannot predict the outcome of the pending challenge of CAMR, or what effects any decision may have that would require the EPA to regulate mercury as a hazardous air pollutant. If the EPA s decisions are upheld and CAMR is implemented, TVA expects to achieve the required mercury reductions at least for Phase I of CAMR as co-benefits of the installation of additional emission control technology in connection with the implementation of CAIR.

CAMR does, however, require the installation of new mercury emission monitoring equipment prior to January 1, 2009. TVA is planning to comply with this requirement by procuring, installing, and certifying approximately 23 monitoring systems by calendar year 2008.

Carbon Dioxide

The causes and importance of climate change observed over recent decades continue to be widely debated. CO₂ is a greenhouse gas and is believed by some to contribute to global warming. Legislation has been introduced in Congress to require reductions of CO₂ and, if enacted, could result in significant additional costs for TVA and other coal-fired utilities. The current Administration has proposed a voluntary initiative that established a goal of reducing the greenhouse gas intensity of the U.S. economy by 18 percent and has asked the electric utility sector and other industry sectors to support this initiative. TVA is supporting this effort in cooperation with electric utility industry trade associations and the Department of Energy. In addition to these activities, TVA is a member of the Southeast Regional Carbon Sequestration Partnership and is working with the Electric Power Research Institute and other electric utilities on projects investigating technologies for CO₂ capture and geologic storage, as well as carbon sequestration via reforestation. The previous Administration also asked utilities to voluntarily participate in an effort to reduce, sequester, or avoid greenhouse gases. Under that program, TVA reduced, sequestered, or avoided more than 305 million tons of CO₂ from 1994 through 2005, as reported under Section 1605b of the Energy Policy Act. TVA has also brought on line about 3,850 megawatts of non CO₂-emitting generation since 1990, and is in the process of adding another 1,800 megawatts of non CO₂-emitting generation. TVA s clean air strategy, as it relates to investments on coal-fired generating facilities, allows for continued review of decisions for clean air and other capital investments as potential climate change legislation is developed.

In addition to legislative activity, climate change issues are the subject of several lawsuits including lawsuits against TVA. See Item 3, Legal Proceedings. On November 29, 2006, the United States Supreme Court heard a case concerning whether EPA has the authority and duty to regulate CO₂ emissions under the Clean Air Act. The District of Columbia Circuit Court of Appeals earlier affirmed EPA s decision not to regulate CQ While the case focuses on CO₂ emissions from the transportation industry, it could set a precedent for regulation in other industrial sectors depending upon how the Supreme Court rules. States are also becoming more active on the climate change front. Several northeastern states have formed the Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative which is in the process of being implemented, and California recently passed a bill capping greenhouse gas emissions in the state. Other states are considering a variety of actions. However, in the southeast, to TVA s knowledge, only North Carolina, where TVA does not operate any coal-fired generating facilities, is studying initiatives aimed at climate change under the provisions of the state s Clean Smokestacks Act of 2002. This act required the State Division of Air Quality to study potential control of CO₂ emissions from coal-fired utility plants and other stationary sources. This effort has also prompted actions to develop a climate action plan for North Carolina.

Clean Water Developments

In the second phase of a three-part rulemaking to minimize the adverse impacts from cooling water intake structures on fish and shellfish, as required under Section 316(b) of the Clean Water Act, EPA promulgated a final

rule for existing power producing facilities that became effective on September 7, 2004. The new rule requires existing facilities to select among several different compliance options for reducing the number of organisms pinned against and/or drawn into the cooling systems. These include development of a site-specific compliance option based on application of cost/cost or cost/benefit tests. The site specific tests are designed to ensure that a facility s costs are not significantly greater than cost projections in the rule or the benefits derived from taking mitigation actions. Actions taken to compensate for any impacts by restoring habitat, or pursuing other options such as building Page 67 of 141

hatcheries for fish/shellfish production, count toward compliance. Some northeastern states and environmental groups have challenged the new regulation, especially the compliance flexibility it offers, in federal court.

All of the intakes at TVA s existing coal-fired and nuclear generating facilities are subject to this rule. Compliance assessments are underway for these facilities to determine what should be done to meet the new requirements. Some capital and/or operating expenditures may have to be made to comply at some or all facilities. The assessments, however, are complicated by the uncertainty created by pending legal action challenging EPA s rule.

As is the case across the utility industry and in other industrial sectors, TVA is facing more stringent requirements related to protection of wetlands, reductions in storm water impacts from construction activities, water quality degradation and criteria, and laboratory analytical methods. TVA is also following litigation related to the use of herbicides, water transfers, and releases from dams. TVA has a good compliance record and is not facing any substantive requirements related to non-compliance with existing Clean Water Act regulations.

Hazardous Substances

Liability for releases and cleanup of hazardous substances is regulated by the federal Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, among others, and similar state statutes. In a manner similar to many other industries and power systems, TVA has generated or used hazardous substances over the years. TVA operations at some TVA-owned facilities have resulted in releases of hazardous substances and/or oil which require cleanup and/or remediation. TVA also is aware of alleged hazardous-substance releases at 10 non-TVA areas for which it may have some liability. TVA has reached agreements with EPA to settle its liability at two of the non-TVA areas for a total of less than \$0.1 million. There have been no recent assertions of TVA liability for six of the non-TVA areas, and (depending on the site) there is little or no known evidence that TVA contributed any significant quantity of hazardous substances to these six sites. There is evidence that TVA sent materials to the remaining two non-TVA areas. The information necessary to estimate the total cleanup costs, and most of the evidence that might be used to estimate TVA allocated share of such costs and evaluate the likely effectiveness of TVA is potential defenses either have not been developed and/or are under the control of parties other than TVA. Consequently, TVA is unable at this time to estimate its liability related to these sites.

As of September 30, 2006, TVA s estimated liability for environmental cleanup for those sites for which sufficient information is available to develop a cost estimate (primarily the TVA sites) is approximately \$23 million and is included in Other Liabilities on the Balance Sheet.

Coal-Combustion Wastes

Coal combustion waste disposed in landfills and surface impoundments continues to be regulated as non-hazardous. As part of this 2000 regulatory determination, EPA committed to developing stricter standards for the management of coal-combustion wastes. EPA has also been petitioned to develop stringent regulations relative to the disposal of coal combustion waste. EPA now is developing national solid waste management standards to address coal-combustion wastes disposed in unlined landfills and surface impoundments or placed in mines. These standards are likely to include increased groundwater monitoring, more stringent siting requirements, and closure of existing waste-management facilities not meeting minimum standards. EPA is expected to issue these new management standards sometime in 2007 according to its published Regulatory Agenda. TVA is monitoring these developments and will evaluate the potential impact of these rules upon its operations as more information becomes available.

Legal Proceedings

For a discussion of TVA s current legal proceedings and anticipated outcomes, see Item 3, Legal Proceedings.

Risk Management Activities

Risk Governance

The Enterprise Risk Council (ERC) was created in August 2005 to strengthen and formalize TVA s enterprise-wide risk management efforts. The ERC is responsible for the highest level of risk oversight at TVA and is also responsible for communicating enterprise-wide risks with policy implications to the TVA Board or a designated TVA Board committee. The ERC s current members are the President (chair), the Chief Financial Officer, the Page 68 of 141

Executive Vice President and General Counsel, the Chief Risk Officer (CRO), and a designated representative from the Office of the Inspector General (OIG) (advisory).

In addition to the ERC, TVA has established subordinate risk committees, Financial, Operational, and Strategic, to manage risks based on natural groupings. Each of the subordinate committees reports directly to the ERC. Membership in the subordinate committees includes senior management from organizations that manage the applicable risks, the CRO, and advisory representatives from the OIG and from the Office of the General Counsel. The ERC and the risk committees meet at least quarterly.

The ERC and risk committees spent much of 2006 cataloging the major enterprise level risks for TVA into three main categories: strategic risks, operational risks and financial risks. A discussion of significant risk factors under each of these categories is presented in Item 1A, Risk Factors. In addition, a discussion of derivative instruments that TVA uses to hedge certain of these risks is contained in Note 8. It is TVA s policy to enter into derivative transactions solely for hedging purposes and not for speculative purposes.

Commodity Price Risk

TVA is exposed to commodity price risk for a variety of commodities that are critical to TVA s operations. These commodities include electricity, coal, uranium, natural gas, fuel oil, and emission allowances. In October 2006, TVA implemented the FCA mechanism that will significantly limit TVA s exposure to fluctuations in the prices of these commodities. The FCA mechanism enables TVA to adjust its rates on a quarterly basis for fuel and purchased power costs. Accordingly, with the implementation of the FCA mechanism, the commodity price risks that TVA faces are more timely shared by both TVA and its customers. See Note 8 for a discussion of activities that TVA uses to hedge commodity price risk.

TVA measures price risk associated with the commodities that are critical to its operations using either a Value at Risk (VaR) methodology or sensitivity analysis. Following is an explanation of these methods along with their calculated measures of TVA s commodity price risk.

Value at Risk

TVA uses a VaR methodology to measure the amount of price risk that exists within certain of its commodity portfolios. Price risk is quantified using what is referred to as the variance-covariance technique of measuring VaR, which provides a consistent measure of risk across diverse energy markets and products. This technique requires the selection of a number of assumptions including a confidence level for losses, price volatility, market liquidity, and a specified holding period. This methodology uses standard statistical techniques to predict market movements in light of historical prices, volatilities, and risk correlations.

The VaR calculation gives TVA a dollar amount which reflects the maximum potential loss in the fair value of its portfolios due to adverse market movements over a ten-day period within a specified confidence level. TVA s VaR calculations are based on a 95 percent confidence level, which means that there is a five percent probability that TVA s portfolios will incur a loss in value in ten days at least as large as the reported VaR. For example, if the VaR is calculated at \$5 million, there is a 95 percent probability that if prices move against current positions, the reduction in the value of the portfolio resulting from such 10-day price movements would be less than \$5 million. There would also be a five percent probability that the reduction in the value of the portfolio resulting from such price movements would be greater than \$5 million.

The following table illustrates the potential unfavorable price impact on TVA $\,$ s electricity, natural gas, SQ emission allowance, and $\,$ NO $_{x}$ emission allowance portfolios as measured by the VaR model based on a ten-day holding period and a 95 percent confidence level. The high and low valuations represent the highest and lowest VaR values during 2006, and the average calculation represents the average of the VaR values during 2006.

Page 69 of 141

Table of Contents

Value at Risk

	September 30,			
	2006	Average	High	Low
Electricity ¹	\$45	\$75	\$124	\$19
Natural Gas ²	34	26	61	3
SO ₂ Emission Allowances ³	21	20	59	3
NO _x Emission Allowances ⁴	1	5	10	1

Notes

- 1 TVA s VaR calculations for electricity are based on its on-peak electricity portfolio, which includes electricity forwards and option contracts.
- 2 TVA s VaR calculations for natural gas are based on TVA s natural gas portfolio, which includes natural gas forwards, futures, and options on futures contracts.
- 3 TVA s VaR calculations for SO₂ emission allowances are based on TVA s portfolio of SO₂ emission allowances.
- 4 TVA s VaR calculations for

NO_x emission allowances are based on TVA s portfolio of NO_x emissions allowances.

VaR has several limitations as a measure of portfolio risk, including, but not limited to, its inability to adequately reflect (1) the risk of a portfolio with significant option exposure, (2) the risk of extreme price movements, and (3) the significant regulatory and legislative risks facing TVA.

Electricity. TVA enters into electricity forward contracts in order to hedge its economic risks directly associated with meeting its power supply obligations. During 2006, TVA supplied approximately 8.9 percent of system energy requirements with power purchased under electricity forward contracts.

TVA s average electricity market risk exposure has increased annually since 2003. The increases have resulted primarily from TVA s increased purchases of power to meet growing demand and, to a lesser extent, from increased volatility in the electricity markets.

As shown in the Value at Risk table above, at a 95 percent confidence level, the average VaR for TVA s electricity portfolio for 2006 for a 10-day holding period was \$75 million.

Natural Gas. TVA purchases a substantial portion of its physical natural gas requirements under long-term transportation contracts with prices which are primarily settled on the spot market. TVA uses the natural gas to operate combustion turbine peaking units and to supply fuel under power purchase agreements in which TVA is the fuel supplier. TVA hedges a portion of its natural gas needs by entering into futures contracts and options on futures contracts under a financial hedging program. At September 30, 2006, TVA had derivative positions outstanding under the program equivalent to about 1,158 contracts, made up of 429 futures contracts and 729 swap future contracts, with an approximate net market value of \$40 million.

TVA has tracked natural gas Value at Risk exposure since 2001. The average natural gas VaR decreased from 2001 through 2004, but increased in 2005 and 2006. The increase in 2005 and 2006 resulted primarily from an increase in TVA s natural gas needs because of the increase in the volume of electricity contracts that are indexed to natural gas.

As shown on the Value at Risk table above, at a 95 percent confidence level, the average VaR for TVA s natural gas portfolio for 2006 for a 10-day holding period was \$26 million.

Emission Allowances. TVA acquires both SO_2 emission allowances and NO_x emission allowances to help TVA comply with the emission requirements of the CAA and its implementing regulations. In addition to meeting TVA s emissions requirements, TVA also uses the emissions market to attempt to optimize the value of its emission allowance portfolio. As shown in the VaR table above, at a 95 percent confidence level, the average VaR for 2006 for a 10-day holding period for TVA s SQemission allowance portfolio and NO_x emission allowance portfolio was \$20 million and \$5 million, respectively.

Fuel Oil. TVA purchases fuel oil as a substitute fuel source for TVA s combustion turbines. Thus, TVA s hedge against market risk for fuel oil is the use of natural gas and is captured in the natural gas VaR.

Page 70 of 141

Sensitivity Analysis

TVA uses sensitivity analysis to measure the potential impact that selected hypothetical changes in certain commodity prices would have on TVA over a selected period of time. The selected hypothetical changes in commodity prices are intended to reflect reasonably possible near-term changes.

Coal. During 2006, TVA purchased 83 percent of its coal requirements under term coal contracts and 17 percent of its coal requirements in the spot coal market. If the rates that TVA paid for coal in the spot market during 2006 were 10 percent higher than the rates TVA actually paid, TVA s coal expense would have increased by \$34 million in 2006.

Uranium. During 2006, TVA did not have to purchase any uranium on the spot market, and as of September 30, 2006, TVA had all of its uranium requirements through 2011 either in inventory or under contract. Accordingly, a hypothetical 10 percent change in uranium prices during 2007 would have no material effect on TVA s financial position, results of operations, or cash flows. See Item 1, Business Fuel Supply Nuclear Fuel.

Cash Flow at Risk

Cash Flow at Risk (CFaR) is a modeled portfolio risk metric that measures the amount of potential variability around forecasted cash flows that could be caused by changes in market conditions, hydroelectric generation and availability, and load. Although the FCA will serve to limit the amount of cash flow variability to which TVA is exposed, TVA will continue to manage CFaR for the mutual benefit of TVA and its customers.

TVA forecasts CFaR using a computer model. The rolling 12 month forecast is used to pinpoint months with greater amounts of CFaR that need to be hedged to limit price exposure. At September 30, 2006, TVA estimated its 2007 CFaR at \$322 million based on a 90 percent confidence level.

Investment Price Risk

TVA s investment price risk relates primarily to investments in TVA s nuclear decommissioning trust and pension fund.

Nuclear Decommissioning Trust

The nuclear decommissioning trust is generally designed to achieve a return in line with overall equity market performance. The assets of the trust are invested in debt and equity securities and certain derivative instruments including futures, options, and swaps, and through these investments the trust has exposure to U.S. equities, international equities, real estate investment trusts, high-yield debt, U.S. Treasury-inflation protected securities, commodities, and currencies. As of September 30, 2006, the value of the investments in the trust was \$937 million, and an immediate 10 percent decrease in the price of the investments in the trust would have reduced the value of the trust by \$94 million. See Item 7, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations *Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates Nuclear Decommissioning* for more information regarding TVA s nuclear decommissioning trust.

Pension Fund

The TVA Retirement System Board targets an asset allocation for its pension fund of approximately 60 percent equity securities and 40 percent fixed income securities. The pension fund is invested in equity securities, debt securities, and derivative instruments such as futures, options, and swaps, and through these investments the fund has exposure to U.S. equities, international equities, real estate investment trusts, investment-grade debt, high-yield debt, U.S. Treasury-inflation protected securities, commodities, and currencies. As of September 30, 2006, the value of the investments in the pension fund was \$7.3 billion, and an immediate 10 percent decrease in the value of the investments in the fund would have reduced the value of the fund by \$730 million. See Item 7, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations *Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates Pension and Other Postretirement Benefits* and Note 12 for additional information regarding TVA s pension fund.

Interest Rate Risk

TVA s interest rate risk is related primarily to its short-term investments, its Bonds, and TVA s swaption transactions and an interest rate swap related to one of TVA s swaption transactions.

Page 71 of 141

Short-Term Investments

At September 30, 2006, TVA had \$536 million of cash and cash equivalents, and the average balance of cash and cash equivalents for 2006 was \$541 million. If the rates of interest that TVA received on its short-term investments during 2006 were one percentage point lower that the rates of interest that TVA actually received on these investments, TVA would have received approximately \$5 million less in interest from its short-term investments during 2006. In addition, changes in interest rates could affect the value of TVA s investments in its pension fund and nuclear decommissioning fund. See Item 7, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations *Risk Management Activities Investment Price Risk*.

Debt Portfolio

Short-Term Debt. At September 30, 2006, TVA s short-term borrowings were \$2.4 billion, and the current maturities of long-term debt were \$1.0 billion. Based on TVA s interest rate exposure at September 30, 2006, an immediate 1 percentage point increase in interest rates would have resulted in an increase of \$29 million in TVA s short-term interest expense during 2007. This calculation assumes that the balance of short-term debt during 2007 equals the short-term debt balance at September 30, 2006, plus an amount representing the refinancing of current maturities of long-term debt.

Long-Term Debt. At September 30, 2006, the interest rates on all of TVA s outstanding long-term debt were fixed. Accordingly, an immediate one percentage point increase in interest rates would not have affected TVA s interest expense associated with its long-term debt. When TVA s long-term debt matures or is redeemed, however, TVA typically refinances this debt by issuing additional long-term debt. Accordingly, if interest rates are high when TVA issues this additional long-term debt, TVA s cash flows, results of operations, and financial condition may be adversely affected. This risk is somewhat mitigated by the fact that TVA s debt portfolio is diversified in terms of maturities and has a long average life. As of September 30, 2006, the average life of TVA s debt portfolio was 17 years. A schedule of TVA s debt maturities is contained in Note 9.

Swaption Agreements and Related Interest Rate Swap

Changes in interest rates also affect the amount of gains and losses on the mark-to-market valuation of TVA s three swaption agreements and the related interest rate swap. Gains and losses on these transactions are recorded in earnings as Unrealized Gain/Loss on Derivative Transactions, Net and are non-cash in nature. Based on TVA s interest rate exposure at September 30, 2006, an immediate one percentage point decrease in interest rates would have created a non-cash charge to earnings of \$286 million during 2007 and a corresponding increase in Other Liabilities. Currency Exchange Rate Risk

As of September 30, 2006, TVA had three issues of Bonds outstanding whose principal and interest payments are denominated in British pounds sterling. TVA issued these Bonds in amounts of £200 million, £250 million, and £150 million in 1999, 2001, and 2003, respectively. When TVA issued these Bonds, it hedged its currency exchange rate risk by entering into currency swap agreements. Accordingly, as of September 30, 2006, a 10 percent change in the British pound sterling-U.S. dollar exchange rate would not have had a material impact on TVA s cash flows, results of operations, or financial position.

Inflation Risk

As of September 30, 2006, TVA had outstanding \$385 million of Bonds whose principal amounts fluctuate based on the rate of inflation. When TVA issued these Bonds, it hedged its inflation exposure by entering into an inflation swap agreement. Accordingly, as of September 30, 2006, a 10 percent change in the rate of inflation would not have had a material impact on TVA s cash flows, results of operations, or financial position.

Credit Risk

Credit risk is the exposure to economic loss that would occur as a result of a counterparty s nonperformance of its contractual obligations. Where exposed to credit risk, TVA analyzes the counterparty s financial condition prior to entering into an agreement, establishes credit limits, monitors the appropriateness of those limits, as well as any changes in the creditworthiness of the counterparty on an ongoing basis, and employs credit mitigation measures, such as collateral or prepayment arrangements and master purchase and sale agreements, to mitigate credit risk.

Page 72 of 141

Table of Contents

Credit of Customers

The majority of TVA s credit risk is limited to trade accounts receivable from delivered power sales to municipal and cooperative distributor customers, all located in the Tennessee Valley region. To a lesser extent, TVA is exposed to credit risk from industries and federal agencies directly served and from exchange power arrangements with a small number of investor-owned regional utilities related to either delivered power or the replacement of open positions of longer-term purchased power or fuel agreements.

The table below summarizes TVA s customer credit risk from trades accounts receivable as of September 30, 2006:

Customer Credit Risk

As of September 30

Trade Accounts Receivable 1 Municipalities and Cooperative Distributor Customers **Investment Grade** \$ 845 Internally Rated Investment Grade 433 Industries and Federal Agencies Directly Served Investment Grade 37 Non-investment Grade (1) Internally Rated Investment Grade 4 Internally Rated Non-investment Grade 10 **Exchange Power Arrangements Investment Grade** 4 Non-investment Grade Internally Rated Investment Grade 1 Internally Rated Non-investment Grade 1 Subtotal 1,334 **Other Accounts Receivable** Miscellaneous Accounts 35 Provision for Uncollectible Accounts (10)Subtotal 25

(1) Includes

Total

unbilled power

receivables of

\$1.031 million.

TVA has concentrations of accounts receivable from seven customers that represented 42 percent of total accounts receivable as of September 30, 2006.

\$ 1.359

Credit of Other Counterparties

In addition to being exposed to economic loss on account of the nonperformance of TVA s customers, TVA is exposed to economic loss on account of the nonperformance of its other counterparties, including suppliers and counterparties to its derivative contracts.

Credit of Suppliers. If one of TVA s fuel or purchased power suppliers fails to perform under the terms of its contract with TVA, TVA might lose the money that it paid to the supplier under the contract and have to purchase replacement fuel or power on the spot market, perhaps at a significantly higher price than TVA was entitled to pay

under the contract. In addition, TVA might not be able to acquire replacement fuel or power in a timely manner and thus might be unable to satisfy its own obligations to deliver power. As of September 30, 2006, counterparties with which TVA had power purchase agreements for 3,008 megawatts of capacity were in bankruptcy. Each of these parties has continued to perform under its power purchase agreement with TVA throughout the bankruptcy proceedings, and all of these agreements are secured with either cash or letters of credit. Accordingly, TVA has not experienced any economic or cash losses as a result of the counterparties bankruptcy proceedings.

Credit of Derivative Counterparties. TVA has entered into derivative contracts for hedging purposes, and TVA s nuclear decommissioning trust and pension fund have entered into derivative contracts for investment purposes. If a counterparty to one of TVA s hedging transactions defaults, TVA might incur substantial costs in connection with entering into a replacement hedging transaction. If a counterparty to the derivative contracts into which the nuclear decommissioning trust and the pension fund have entered for investment purposes defaults, the value of the investment could decline significantly, or perhaps become worthless.

Page 73 of 141

Table of Contents

Credit of TVA

A downgrade in TVA s credit rating could have material adverse effects on TVA s cash flows, results of operations, and financial condition as well as on investors in TVA securities. Among other things, a downgrade could have the following effects:

A downgrade would increase TVA s interest expense by increasing the interest rates that TVA pays on debt securities that it issues. An increase in TVA s interest expense would reduce the amount of cash available for other purposes, which could result in the need to increase borrowings, to reduce other expenses or capital investments, or to increase electricity rates.

A significant downgrade could result in TVA s having to post collateral under certain physical and financial contracts that contain rating triggers.

A downgrade below a contractual threshold would prevent TVA from borrowing under two credit facilities totaling \$2.5 billion without the consent of the national bank that is the counterparty to the credit facilities.

A downgrade could lower the price of TVA securities in the secondary market, thereby hurting investors who sell TVA securities after the downgrade and diminishing the attractiveness and marketability of TVA Bonds.

For a discussion of factors that could lead to a downgrade in TVA s credit rating, see Item 1A, Risk Factors.

Management Changes

On November 13, 2006, Chief Financial Officer and Executive Vice President, Financial Services Michael E. Rescoe announced that he was leaving TVA. John Hoskins, who has more than 28 years of experience in finance and accounting at TVA and most recently served as Senior Vice President and Treasurer, was appointed to serve as Interim Chief Financial Officer, effective as of November 13, 2006. In addition, Tammy Wilson, who has more than 16 years of experience in finance and accounting and most recently served as Senior Manager, Finance at TVA, was appointed as Interim Senior Vice President and Treasurer, also effective as of November 13, 2006.

Subsequent Events

See Note 16.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Quantitative and qualitative disclosures about market risk are reported in Item 7, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations *Risk Management Activities*.

Page 74 of 141

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY STATEMENTS OF INCOME

For the years ended September 30 (in millions)

	2006	2005	2004
Operating revenues			
Sales of electricity			
Municipalities and cooperatives	\$ 7,880	\$ 6,561	\$ 6,457
Industries directly served	1,066	962	842
Federal agencies and other	116	181	140
Other revenue	123	90	94
Total operating revenues	9,185	7,794	7,533
Operating expenses			
Fuel and purchased power	3,333	2,601	2,081
Operating and maintenance	2,372	2,359	2,319
Depreciation, amortization and accretion (Note 1 and Note 2)	1,492	1,154	1,115
Tax-equivalents	376	365	338
Loss on asset impairment/project cancellation	9	24	20
Total operating expenses	7,582	6,503	5,873
Operating income	1,603	1,291	1,660
Other income	67	56	44
Other expense	(2)	(4)	(1)
Unrealized (loss)/gain on derivative contracts, net	(15)	3	(7)
Interest expense			
Interest on debt	1,357	1,356	1,385
Amortization of debt discount, issue, and reacquisition costs, net	21	21	24
Allowance for funds used during construction	(163)	(116)	(99)
Net interest expense	1,215	1,261	1,310
Income before cumulative effects of accounting changes	438	85	386
Income before cumulative effects of accounting changes	436	83	360
Cumulative effect of change in accounting for conditional asset retirement			
obligations	(109)		

Net income \$ 329 \$ 85 \$ 386

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements. Page 75 of 141

Table of Contents

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY BALANCE SHEETS

At September 30 (in millions)

	2006	2005
ASSETS		
Current assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 536	\$ 538
Restricted cash and investments	198	107
Accounts receivable, net	1,359	1,052
Inventories and other	576	479
Total current assets	2,669	2,176
Property, plant, and equipment (Note 3)		
Completed plant	35,652	35,215
Less accumulated depreciation	(15,331)	(14,407)
Net completed plant	20,321	20,808
Construction in progress	3,539	2,643
Nuclear fuel and capital leases	574	437
Total property, plant, and equipment, net	24,434	23,888
Investment funds	972	858
Regulatory and other long-term assets		
Deferred nuclear generating units	3,521	3,912
Other regulatory assets (Note 5)	1,809	2,367
Subtotal	5,330	6,279
Other long-term assets	1,115	1,272
Total deferred charges and other assets	6,445	7,551
Total assets	\$ 34,520	\$ 34,473
LIABILITIES AND PROPRIETARY CAPITAL		
Current liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$ 890	\$ 740
Accrued liabilities	211	194
Collateral funds held	195	107
Accrued interest	403	380
Current portion of lease/leaseback obligations	37	35
Current portion of energy prepayment obligations	106	106

144

Short-term debt, net Current maturities of long-term debt (Note 9)	2,376 985	2,469 2,693
Total current liabilities	5,203	6,724
Other liabilities		
Other liabilities	2,305	2,500
Regulatory liabilities (Note 5)	575	897
Asset retirement obligations	1,985	1,857
Lease/leaseback obligations	1,071	1,108
Energy prepayment obligations	1,138	1,244
Total other liabilities	7,074	7,606
Long-term debt, net (Note 9)	19,544	17,751
Total liabilities	31,821	32,081
Commitments and contingencies (Note 13)		
Proprietary capital		
Appropriation investment	4,763	4,783
Retained earnings	1,565	1,244
Accumulated other comprehensive income	43	27
Accumulated net expense of stewardship programs	(3,672)	(3,662)
Total proprietary capital	2,699	2,392
Total liabilities and proprietary capital	\$ 34,520	\$ 34,473

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements. Page 76 of 141

Table of Contents

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

For the years ended September 30 (in millions)

	2006	2005	2004	
Cash flows from operating activities				
Net income	\$ 329	\$ 85	\$ 386	
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating		,	, , , , , ,	
activities				
Depreciation, amortization, and accretion	1,513	1,175	1,140	
Refueling amortization	89	105	100	
Amortization of deferred nuclear refueling costs	128	131	132	
Loss on project cancellations/asset impairment	9	24	20	
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	109			
Net realized and unrealized mark-to-market and hedging transactions	15	(3)	7	
Non-cash retirement benefit expense	302	289	207	
Prepayment credits applied to revenue	(105)	(105)	(96)	
Other, net	(7)	7	13	
Changes in current assets and liabilities	()	•		
Accounts receivable, net	(214)	(19)	50	
Inventories and other	(120)	(12)	10	
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	125	(16)	(65)	
Accrued interest	23	(22)	(5)	
Proceeds from energy prepayments		()	1,504	
Deferred nuclear refueling outage costs	(72)	(122)	(86)	
Other, net	(110)	(55)	(27)	
Net cash provided by operating activities	2,014	1,462	3,290	
Cash flows from investing activities	(1.200)	(1.220)	(1.550)	
Construction expenditures	(1,399)	(1,339)	(1,552)	
Proceeds from project cancellation settlement (Note 1)	(255)	(1.11)	15	
Nuclear fuel expenditures	(277)	(141)	(119)	
Change in restricted cash and investments	(91)	(107)	(60)	
Short-term investments, net		335	(68)	
Loans and other receivables	(4 .	(10)	(4)	
Advances	(17)	(12)	(17)	
Repayments	13	18	22	
Proceeds from sale of receivables/loans (Note 1)	11	56		
Proceeds from settlement of litigation related to capital expenditures	35			
Other, net	(2)	2	1	
Net cash used in investing activities	(1,727)	(1,188)	(1,718)	
Cash flows from financing activities				
Long-term debt				
Issues	1,132	1,650	772	
	2,122	2,000	2	
T.I. (0.1)			4.40	

146

Edgar Filing: Tennessee Valley Authority - Form 10-K

Redemptions and repurchases (Note 10)	(1,241)	(2,368)	(2,251)
Short-term (redemptions)/borrowings, net	(93)	546	(157)
Proceeds from call monetizations		5	
Bond premium received			97
Proceeds from swap receivable monetization			55
Payments on lease/leaseback financing	(28)	(29)	(32)
Payments on equipment financing	(6)	(6)	(29)
Financing costs, net	(14)	(17)	(3)
Payments to U.S. Treasury	(38)	(36)	(38)
Other	(1)		
Net cash used in financing activities	(289)	(255)	(1,586)
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	(2)	19	(14)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	538	519	533
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 536	\$ 538	\$ 519

See Note 10 for supplemental cash flow information.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Page 77 of 141

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN PROPRIETARY CAPITAL

For the years ended September 30 (in millions)

			Accumulated	Accumulated Net Expense		
	Appropriation Retained		Other Comprehensive Income	of Stewardship		Comprehensive
	Investment	Earnings	(Loss)	Programs	Total	Income
Balance at September 30, 2003 Net income (loss) Return on appropriated	\$ 4,823	\$ 783 397	\$ (74)	\$ (3,638) (11)	\$ 1,894 386	\$ 386
investment Accumulated other comprehensive income		(18)			(18)	22
(Note 7) Return of appropriated investment	(20)		22		(20)	22
Balance at September 30, 2004 Net income (loss) Return on appropriated investment Accumulated other	4,803	1,162 98 (16)	(52)	(3,649) (13)	2,264 85 (16)	408 85
comprehensive income (Note 7) Return of appropriated investment	(20)		79		79 (20)	79
Balance at September 30, 2005 Net income (loss) Return on appropriated investment Accumulated other	4,783	1,244 339 (18)	27	(3,662) (10)	2,392 329 (18)	\$ 164 329
comprehensive income (Note 7) Return of appropriated investment	(20)		16		16 (20)	16

Balance at

September 30, 2006 \$4,763 \$ 1,565 \$ 43 \$ (3,672) \$2,699 \$345

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements. Page 78 of 141

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Dollars in millions except where noted)

1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

General

The Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) is a wholly-owned corporate agency and instrumentality of the United States. TVA was created by the U.S. Congress in 1933 by virtue of the Tennessee Valley Authority Act of 1933, as amended, 16 U.S.C. §§ 831-831ee (2000 & Supp. IV 2004) (as amended, the TVA Act). TVA was created to improve navigation on the Tennessee River, reduce flood damage, provide agricultural and industrial development, and provide electric power to the Tennessee Valley region. TVA manages the Tennessee River and its tributaries for multiple river-system purposes, such as navigation; flood damage reduction; power generation; environmental stewardship; shoreline use; and water supply for power plant operations, consumer use, recreation, industry, and other stewardship purposes.

Substantially all TVA revenues and assets are attributable to the power program. TVA s service area includes most of Tennessee, northern Alabama, northeastern Mississippi, and southwestern Kentucky, and in portions of northern Georgia, western North Carolina, and southwestern Virginia to a population of approximately 8.7 million people. The power program has historically been separate and distinct from the stewardship programs. It is required to be self-supporting from power revenues and proceeds from power financings, such as proceeds from the issuance of Bonds. Although TVA does not currently receive congressional appropriations, it is required to make annual payments to the U.S. Treasury in repayment of, and as a return on, the government s Appropriation Investment in TVA power facilities. Until 2000, most of the funding for TVA s stewardship programs was provided by congressional appropriations. These programs are now funded largely with power revenues. Certain stewardship activities are also funded with various revenues and user fees. These activities related to stewardship properties do not meet the criteria of an operating segment, pursuant to Statement of Financial Accounting Standard (SFAS) No. 131, *Disclosures About Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information*. Accordingly, these assets and properties are included as part of the power program, TVA s only operating segment.

Power rates are established by the TVA Board of Directors (TVA Board) as authorized by the TVA Act. The TVA Act requires TVA to charge rates for power that will produce gross revenues sufficient to provide funds for operation, maintenance, and administration of its power system; payments to states and counties in lieu of taxes; debt service on outstanding indebtedness, and payments to the U.S. Treasury in repayment of and as a return on the Appropriation Investment in TVA s power facilities; and such additional margin as the TVA Board may consider desirable for investment in power system assets, retirement of outstanding indebtedness, additional reduction of the Appropriation Investment, and other purposes connected with TVA s power business. In setting TVA s rates, the TVA Board is charged by the TVA Act to have due regard for the primary objectives of the TVA Act, including the objective that power shall be sold at rates as low as are feasible. Rates set by the TVA Board are not subject to review or approval by any state or federal regulatory body.

Fiscal Year

Unless otherwise indicated, years (2006, 2005, etc.) refer to TVA s fiscal years ended September 30. *Cost-Based Regulation*

The rate-setting authority vested in the TVA Board by the TVA Act meets the self-regulated provisions of SFAS No. 71, Accounting for the Effects of Certain Types of Regulation, and TVA meets the remaining criteria for the application of SFAS No. 71 that (1) TVA is regulated rates are designed to recover its costs of providing electricity and (2) in view of the demand for electricity and the level of competition it is reasonable to assume that the rates, set at levels that will recover TVA is costs, can be charged and collected. Accordingly, TVA records certain assets and liabilities that result from the regulated ratemaking process that would not be recorded under generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) for non-regulated entities. Regulatory assets generally represent incurred costs that have been deferred because such costs are probable of future recovery in customer rates. Regulatory liabilities generally represent obligations to make refunds to customers for previous collections for costs that are not likely to be incurred or deferral of gains that will be credited to customers in future periods. Management assesses whether the regulatory assets are probable of future recovery by considering factors such as applicable regulatory changes,

potential legislation, and changes in technology. Based on this assessment, management believes the existing regulatory assets are probable of recovery. This determination reflects the current regulatory and political Page 79 of 141

Table of Contents

environment and is subject to change in the future. If future recovery of regulatory assets ceases to be probable, TVA could be required to write-off these costs. Any asset or liability write-offs would be required to be recognized in earnings in the period in which future recovery ceases to be probable.

Management Estimates

TVA prepares its financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) in the United States of America applied on a consistent basis. In some cases, management may make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities as of the date of the financial statements and the related amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications have been made to the 2005 and 2004 financial statements to conform to the 2006 presentation, including the 2005 Balance Sheet reclassifications of estimated legal liabilities of \$13 million from Accounts Payable to Accrued Liabilities, separation of Collateral Funds Held of \$107 million from Accounts Payable, and reclassifications of customer prepayments of \$93 million from Accrued Liabilities to Accounts Receivable, Net.

Interest income of \$19 million and \$6 million for 2005 and 2004, respectively, was previously included in Interest on Debt on the Statements of Income. Interest income is now included in Other Income.

The cash flow statement has been changed to conform to the 2006 presentation by reducing Accounts Receivable and Accounts Payable for customer prepayments of \$93 million and \$91 million in 2005 and 2004, respectively. In addition, \$1 million in proceeds from the sale of a receivable in 2005 related to a construction project was reclassified from Construction expenditures to Proceeds from the sale of receivables/loans.

These reclassifications had no effect on previously reported results of operations and net cash flows. *Revision to Statements of Cash Flows*

As of September 30, 2006, TVA began reporting the allowance for funds used during construction (AFUDC) related to construction expenditures as a noncash component of investing activities rather than a noncash component of operating activities. The revised classification is consistent with guidance for the cash flow presentation for capitalized interest. The previous method of reporting AFUDC was consistent with the industry practice for the combined reporting of debt and equity AFUDC. The result of this reclassification is an increase in cash from operating activities of \$116 million and \$99 million for 2005 and 2004, respectively and an increase in funds used by investing activities of \$116 million and \$99 million for 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and Cash Equivalents include the cash available in TVA s commercial bank accounts and U.S. Treasury accounts, as well as short-term securities held for the primary purpose of general liquidity. Such securities mature within three months from the original date of issuance.

Restricted Cash and Investments

As of September 30, 2006 and 2005, TVA had \$198 million and \$107 million, respectively, in Restricted Cash and Investments on its Balance Sheets primarily related to collateral posted with TVA by a swap counterparty in accordance with certain credit terms included in the swap agreement, which result in the funds being reported in Restricted Cash and Investments.

Accounts Receivable

Accounts Receivable. Accounts receivable primarily consist of amounts due from customers for power sales. The table below summarizes the types and amounts of receivables:

Page 80 of 141

Table of Contents

Accounts Receivable

As of September 30

	2006	2005	
Power receivables billed Power receivables unbilled	\$ 303 1,031	\$ 286 731	
Total power receivables	1,334	1,017	
Other receivables Allowance for uncollectible accounts	35 (10)	42 (7)	
Net accounts receivable	\$ 1,359	\$ 1,052	

Effective September 2006, TVA implemented a change in the methodology for estimating unbilled revenue for electricity sales. The change in calculating unbilled revenue was from a method that estimated unbilled revenue on an aggregated distributor basis to a method that estimates unbilled revenue for each distributor and sums the results to arrive at the total estimated unbilled revenue. The change also involves moving from an aggregate generation-based estimate to an estimate based on wholesale meter readings for each specific distributor. The impact of this change resulted in an increase in the September 2006 sales estimate of 4,497 million kilowatt-hours and an increase in September 2006 accounts receivable and revenue of \$232 million. In addition, the former method was used in calculating the unbilled revenue estimate for 2005, resulting in a lower sales estimate compared to actual sales and revenue.

Allowance for Uncollectible Accounts

The allowance for uncollectible accounts reflects TVA s estimate of probable losses inherent in the accounts receivable, unbilled revenue, and loans receivable balances. TVA determines the allowance based on known accounts, historical experience, and other currently available information including events such as customer bankruptcy and/or a customer failing to fulfill payment arrangements after 90 days. TVA s corporate credit department is consulted to assess the financial condition of a customer and the credit quality of the accounts. The allowance for uncollectible accounts was \$10 million and \$7 million at September 30, 2006, and 2005, respectively, for accounts receivable and \$15 million at September 30, 2006, and 2005 for loans receivable.

Revenues

Revenues from power sales are recorded as power is delivered to customers. TVA accrues estimated unbilled revenues for power sales provided to customers for the period of time from the end of the billing cycle to month end. Components of the unbilled revenue estimates may include total electricity supply available from generation or purchases, estimated total electricity lost in delivery, and applicable rates. These components can fluctuate as a result of a number of factors including weather, generation patterns, delivery volume, and other operational constraints. These factors can be unpredictable and can vary from historical trends. As a result, the overall estimate of unbilled revenues may be significantly affected, which could have a material impact on TVA s results of operations.

Exchange power sales are presented in the accompanying Statements of Income as a component of Sales of Electricity-Federal Agencies and Other. Exchange power sales are sales of excess power after meeting TVA native load and direct served requirements. (Native load refers to the customers on whose behalf a company, by statute, franchise, regulatory requirement, or contract, has undertaken an obligation to serve.)

Inventories

Certain Fuel, Materials, and Supplies. Coal, oil, limestone, tire-based fuel inventories, and materials and supplies inventories are valued using an average unit cost method. A new average cost is computed after each transaction and inventory issuances are priced at the latest moving weighted average unit cost. At September 30, 2006, and 2005, TVA had \$270 million and \$185 million, respectively, in fuel inventories and \$288 million and

\$283 million, respectively, in materials and supplies inventory.

Allowance for Inventory Obsolescence. TVA reviews supply and material inventories by category and usage on a periodic basis. Each category is assigned a probability of becoming obsolete based on the type of material and historical usage data. Based on the estimated value of the inventory, TVA adjusts its allowance for inventory

Page 81 of 141

obsolescence. The allowance for surplus and obsolete inventory was \$38 million and \$36 million at September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Emission Allowances. TVA has emission allowances for sulfur dioxide and nitrogen oxide (NQ) which are accounted for as inventory. The average cost of allowances used each month is charged to operating expense based on tons of sulfur dioxide and NO_x emitted. NO_x emission allowances are only used during the ozone season, which occurs from May through September. Allowances granted to TVA by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) are recorded at zero cost.

Property, Plant, and Equipment, and Depreciation

Additions to plant are recorded at cost, which includes direct and indirect costs and an allowance for funds used during construction. The cost of current repairs and minor replacements is charged to operating expense. Nuclear fuel inventories, which are included in Property, Plant, and Equipment, are valued using the average cost method for raw materials and the specific identification method for nuclear fuel in a reactor. Amortization of nuclear fuel is calculated on a units-of-production basis and is included in fuel expense. TVA accounts for its properties using the composite convention of accounting. Accordingly, the original cost of property retired, together with removal costs less salvage value, is charged to accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is generally computed on a straight-line basis over the estimated service lives of the various classes of assets. Depreciation expense expressed as a percentage of the average annual depreciable completed plant was 3.15 percent for 2006, 3.33 percent for 2005, and 3.32 percent for 2004. Depreciation rates (percent) by asset class are as follows:

TVA Property, Plant, and Equipment Depreciation Rates
As of September 30

	2006	2005	2004
Asset Class			
Nuclear	3.00	3.40	3.37
Coal-Fired	3.53	3.53	3.51
Hydroelectric	1.79	1.78	1.72
Combustion turbine/diesel generators	4.54	4.55	4.41
Transmission	2.57	2.52	2.53
Other	5.45	5.60	6.05

Depreciation expense for the years ended September 30, 2006, 2005, and 2004, was \$1,082 million, \$1,132 million, and \$1,103 million, respectively. The major single reason for the reduction in depreciation expense for 2006 was the rate change for Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant. The rate change was the result of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) granting TVA a 20-year operating license extension.

Property, plant, and equipment also includes assets recorded under capital lease agreements which primarily consist of office facilities of \$39 million and \$47 million for 2006 and 2005, respectively, and fabrication and blending facilities of \$45 million and \$51 million for 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Blended Low Enriched Uranium Program

On December 5, 2004, TVA received the first fuel assembly under the blended low enriched uranium (BLEU) fuel program for loading into Browns Ferry Unit 2. This fuel was loaded in the reactor during its most recent refueling outage in April 2005, which initiated the amortization of the costs of the BLEU fuel assemblies to nuclear fuel expense.

The BLEU fuel program is implemented, in part, through agreements with counterparties, including an interagency agreement with the Department of Energy (DOE) to provide nuclear fuel materials to be processed into usable fuel for TVA nuclear reactors, and other contracts with third-party nuclear fuel processors under which the nuclear fuel processors, either by themselves or through subcontractors, acquire land, construct facilities, and process the materials from DOE into usable fuel for TVA nuclear reactors.

Under the terms of the interagency agreement, DOE supplies off-specification, highly enriched uranium materials to the appropriate third party fuel processors for processing into usable fuel for TVA. In exchange, DOE will

participate to a degree in the savings generated by TVA s use of this blended nuclear fuel. At September 30, 2006, TVA had accrued an obligation of \$2 million related to the portion of the ultimate future payments estimated to be attributable to the BLEU fuel currently in use. TVA will accrue additional amounts each time BLEU fuel is inserted into a reactor thereby increasing the obligation over future periods.

Page 82 of 141

The third party fuel processors own the conversion and processing facilities and will retain title to all land, property, plant, and equipment used in the BLEU fuel program. There is no provision for TVA to own or otherwise take title to the facilities, materials, or equipment now or at any time in the future. However, in accordance with the requirements of EITF No. 01-08, *Determining Whether an Arrangement Contains a Lease*, and SFAS No. 13, *Accounting for Leases*, TVA recognized a capital lease asset and corresponding lease obligation related to amounts paid or payable to a third party fuel processor. Accounting recognition of the capital lease asset and obligation recharacterization resulted from contract modifications to the pre-existing fuel fabrication contract.

During the quarter ended March 31, 2005, TVA recorded a capital lease asset of \$60 million comprised of \$23 million of contract payments made before the lease was recharacterized as a capital lease and \$37 million in contract payments either paid or payable after the lease was recharacterized as a capital lease. Also during the quarter, TVA recorded an initial capital lease obligation of \$37 million. This obligation has subsequently been reduced by principal payments, leaving an unpaid capital lease obligation of \$13 million and \$18 million at September 30, 2006, and 2005, respectively. Additionally, TVA has recognized asset amortization expense of \$6 million and \$9 million and internal expense of \$1 million and \$2 million related to the capital lease obligation through September 30, 2006, and 2005, respectively.

Investment Funds

Investment funds consist primarily of trust funds designated to fund nuclear decommissioning requirements (see Note 13 *Contingencies Decommissioning Costs*) and the supplemental executive retirement plan (SERP) (see Note 12 *Other Non-Qualified Retirement and Deferred Compensation Plans*). Decommissioning funds and SERP funds, which are classified as trading, are invested in portfolios of securities generally designed to earn returns in line with overall equity market performance.

Other Long-Term Assets

The year-end balances of TVA s Other long-term assets are as follows:

Other Long-Term Assets

As of September 30

2000

2005

	2006	2005
Loans and long-term receivables, net	\$ 102	\$ 93
Intangible asset related to pension prior service cost	280	312
Valuation of currency swaps	246	76
Valuation of commodity contracts	487	791
	\$ 1.115	\$ 1.272

For additional information on the components of Other long-term assets, see Note 1 Allowance for Uncollectible Accounts, Note 8 Overview of Accounting Treatment, Commodity Contracts, and Swaps, Note 11 Loans and Other Long-term Receivables, and Note 12 Components of Pension and Postretirement Benefits and Other Non-Qualified Retirement and Deferred Compensation Plans.

Energy Prepayment Obligations

During 2002, TVA introduced an energy prepayment program, the discounted energy units (DEU) program. Under this program, TVA customers could purchase DEUs generally in \$1 million increments, and each DEU entitled the purchaser to a \$0.025/kilowatt-hour discount on a specified quantity of firm power over a period of years (five, ten, 15, or 20) for each kilowatt-hour in the prepaid block. The remainder of the price of the kilowatt-hours delivered to the customer was due upon billing.

TVA did not offer the DEU program in 2006 or 2005. Sales for the 2004 program included 5.5 DEUs totaling \$5.5 million over a 10-year period and 1.75 DEUs totaling \$1.75 million over a five-year period. Total sales for the program since inception have been \$54.5 million. TVA is accounting for the prepayment proceeds as unearned revenue and is reporting the obligations to deliver power as Energy Prepayment Obligations and Current Portion of

Energy Prepayment Obligations on the September 30, 2006, and 2005 Balance Sheets. TVA recognizes revenue as electricity is delivered to customers, based on the ratio of units of kilowatt-hours delivered to total units of kilowatt-hours under contract. As of September 30, 2006, \$20.2 million has been applied against power billings on a cumulative basis during the life of the program, of which nearly \$5.6 million was recognized as noncash revenue during both 2006 and 2005, and \$5.5 million was recognized as noncash revenue in 2004.

Page 83 of 141

In 2004, TVA and its largest customer, Memphis Light, Gas, and Water Division (MLGW), entered into an energy prepayment agreement under which MLGW prepaid TVA \$1.5 billion for the future costs of electricity to be delivered by TVA to MLGW over a period of 180 months. TVA accounted for the prepayment as unearned revenue, and is reporting the obligation to deliver power under this arrangement as Energy Prepayment Obligations and Current Portion of Energy Prepayment Obligations on the September 30, 2006, and 2005, Balance Sheets. TVA expects to recognize approximately \$100 million of noncash revenue in each year of the arrangement as electricity is delivered to MLGW based on the ratio of units of kilowatt-hours delivered to total units of kilowatt-hours under contract. As of September 30, 2006, \$290.4 million had been recognized as noncash revenue on a cumulative basis during the life of the agreement, \$100 million of which was recognized as noncash revenue during both 2006 and 2005 and \$90.4 million of which was recognized as noncash revenue during 2004.

Insurance

Although TVA uses private companies to administer its health-care plans for eligible active and retired employees not covered by Medicare, TVA does not purchase health insurance. Consulting actuaries assist TVA in determining certain liabilities for self-assumed claims. TVA recovers the costs of losses through power rates and through adjustments to the participants—contributions to their benefit plans. These liabilities are included in Other Liabilities on the Balance Sheets.

TVA purchases nuclear liability insurance, nuclear property, decommissioning, and decontamination insurance, and nuclear accidental outage insurance. See Note 13 *Contingencies Nuclear Insurance*.

TVA does not currently purchase commercial general liability, auto liability, or workers compensation insurance. TVA recovers the costs of losses through power rates. The Federal Employees Compensation Act governs liability to employees for service-connected injuries.

TVA purchases property and business interruption/outage insurance for its conventional non-nuclear assets. TVA also purchases liability insurance which provides coverage for its directors and officers, subject to the terms and conditions of the policy.

Sale of Receivables/Loans

From time to time TVA obtains proceeds from selling receivables and loans. During 2006, TVA sold \$22 million of receivables at par such that TVA did not recognize a gain or loss on the sale. Of this amount, \$11 million represents receivables from power customers related to the construction of a substation and other energy conservation projects, which is included within the Cash Flow Statement under the caption Cash Flows from Investing Activities.

During 2005, TVA sold \$60 million of receivables. Of this amount, \$1 million represented receivables from power customers related to the construction of a substation and other energy-conservation projects, which is included within the Cash Flow Statement under the caption Cash Flows from Investing Activities. The receivables were sold at par such that TVA did not recognize a gain or loss on the sale. Additionally, TVA sold a portfolio of 51 power distributor customer loans receivable. The portfolio was sold for \$55 million, without recourse to TVA, and contained loans with maturities ranging from less than one year to over 34 years. The principal amount due on the loans at the time of the sale was \$57 million. The \$2 million loss is reported in Other Income, net on the Income Statement for the year ended September 30, 2005.

There were no corresponding sales of receivables during 2004. TVA did not retain any claim on these loans and receivables sold, and they are no longer reported on TVA s Balance Sheets.

Asset Retirement Obligations

In accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 143, *Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations*, TVA recognizes legal obligations associated with the future retirement of certain tangible long-lived assets. TVA only records estimates of such disposal costs at the time the legal obligation arises. See Note 4.

Based on updating assumptions in the engineering studies annually in accordance with NRC requirements, revisions to the amount and timing of certain cash flow estimates of nuclear asset retirement obligations may be made. TVA recognizes as incurred all obligations related to closure and removal of its nuclear units. TVA measures the liability for closure at the present value of the weighted estimated cash flows required to satisfy the related obligation, discounted at the credit adjusted rate of interest in effect at the time the liability was actually incurred or originally

accrued, and subsequently modified to comply with SFAS No. 143. Earnings from decommissioning fund Page 84 of 141

Table of Contents

investments, amortization of the decommissioning regulatory asset, and interest expense on the decommissioning liability are deferred as a regulatory asset. See Note 13 *Contingencies Decommissioning Costs*. Beginning in 2003, TVA evaluated the nature and scope of its decommissioning policy as it relates to all electric plant. The evaluation was used to determine the need for recognition of additional asset retirement obligations as described in SFAS No. 143, *Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations*. SFAS No. 143 became effective for TVA at the beginning of 2003. See Note 4. On September 30, 2006, TVA began applying the guidance of Financial Accounting and Standards Board (FASB) Interpretation (FIN) No. 47, *Accounting for Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations an Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 143*. See Note 4 for the effects of applying this interpretation.

Discounts on Sales

TVA s DEU program (see Note 1 Energy Prepayment Obligations) allows customers to use cash on hand to prepay TVA for some of their power needs, providing funding to TVA and a savings to customers in the form of a discount on future purchases. The distributor customer receives a discount on a specified volume of firm energy purchased. The supplement to the power contract specifies the discount rate (2.5 cents per kilowatt-hour), the monthly block of kilowatt-hours to which the discount applies, the number of years (term), and contingencies upon contract termination.

TVA s largest customer, MLGW, also has a power prepayment agreement (see Note 1 *Energy Prepayment Obligations*) under which it has prepaid \$1.5 billion for a fixed amount of power. TVA repays MLGW in the form of a monthly credit sufficient for MLGW to pay debt service on its prepayment bonds plus a return on investment.

Discounts for these programs amounted to \$47 million, \$47 million, and \$43 million for the years ended September 30, 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively.

Allowance for Funds Used During Construction

TVA capitalizes an allowance for funds used during construction based on the average interest rate of TVA s outstanding debt. The allowance is applicable to construction in progress and nuclear fuel fabrication.

Research and Development Costs

Research and development costs are expensed when incurred. During 2006, 2005, and 2004 research and development costs of \$20 million, \$21 million, and \$24 million were expensed and included in the statements of income caption Operating and Maintenance.

Payments In Lieu of Taxes

The TVA Act requires TVA to make payments to states and counties in which TVA conducts its power operations and in which TVA has acquired power properties previously subject to state and local taxation. The amount of these payments is five percent of gross revenues from sale of power during the preceding year excluding sales or deliveries to other federal agencies and exchange sales with other utilities, with a provision for minimum payments under certain circumstances.

Project Cancellation

In December 2003, TVA was notified that Regenesys Technologies Limited (RTL) would not proceed with manufacturing of the fuel cells to be installed in the partially completed Regenesys energy storage plant in Columbus, Mississippi. TVA had invested approximately \$35 million in the Regenesys project. RTL reimbursed TVA for early termination of the contract in the amount of \$15 million, which reduced the net loss to \$20 million on the cancellation of the Regenesys project.

Page 85 of 141

Impairment of Assets

TVA evaluates long-lived assets for impairment in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets, when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of such assets may not be recoverable. For long-lived assets, TVA bases its evaluation on impairment indicators such as the nature of the assets, the future economic benefit of the assets, any historical or future profitability measurements, and other external market conditions or factors that may be present. If such impairment indicators are present or other factors exist that indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable, TVA determines whether an impairment has occurred based on an estimate of undiscounted cash flows attributable to the asset, as compared with the carrying value of the asset. If an impairment has occurred, the amount of the impairment recognized is measured as the excess of the asset s carrying value over its fair value. See Note 6. Reduction in Workforce

During 2004, organizations within TVA performed program and staffing reviews to identify surplus staffing situations. In areas where surplus staffing existed, TVA provided the opportunity for certain qualifying employees to apply for voluntary resignations beginning in February 2004. In conjunction with the voluntary reduction process, TVA also instituted an involuntary reduction in force for certain employees. As of September 30, 2006, there were approximately 700 employees impacted by the combined voluntary and involuntary actions. TVA recognized total expense of approximately \$41 million for termination costs incurred through September 30, 2006. Payout of benefits occurs as employees retire from TVA. Substantially all affected employees had left by the end of 2006.

Impact of New Accounting Standards and Interpretations

Variable Interest Entities. In January 2003, the FASB published FASB Interpretation No. 46, Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities, which was revised by FASB Interpretation No. 46R (46R) in December 2003. FIN 46R establishes consolidation criteria for entities for which control is not easily discernable under Accounting Research Bulletin (ARB) 51, Consolidated Financial Statements, which is based on the premise that holders of the equity of an entity control the entity by virtue of voting rights. FIN 46R provides guidance for identifying the party with a controlling financial interest resulting from arrangements or financial interests rather than from voting interests. FIN 46R defines the term—variable interest entity (VIE) and is based on the premise that if a business enterprise absorbs a majority of the VIE s expected losses and/or receives a majority of its expected residual returns (measures of risk and reward), that enterprise (the primary beneficiary) is deemed to have a controlling financial interest in the VIE. An enterprise that bears the majority of the economic risk is considered to have a controlling financial interest in a VIE, even if it has no decision making (voting) authority or equity interest. TVA adopted FIN 46 and FIN 46R effective October 1, 2005, for VIEs created before December 31, 2003, and immediately for VIEs created after December 31, 2003.

In February 1997, TVA entered into a purchase power agreement with Choctaw Generation, Inc. (subsequently assigned to Choctaw Generation Limited Partnership) to purchase all the power generated from its facility located in Choctaw County, Mississippi. The facility had a committed capacity of 440 megawatts and the term of the agreement was 30 years. Under the accounting guidance provided by FIN 46R, TVA may be deemed to be the primary beneficiary under the contract; however, TVA does not have access to the financial records of Choctaw Generation Limited Partnership. As a result, TVA was unable to determine whether FIN 46R would require TVA to consolidate Choctaw Generation Limited Partnerships balance sheet, results of operations, and cash flows for the year ended September 30, 2006. Power purchases for 2006 under the agreement totaled \$121 million. TVA has no additional financial commitments beyond the purchase power agreement with respect to the facility.

On April 13, 2006, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position FIN 46R-6, *Determining the Variability to Be Considered in Applying FASB Interpretation No. 46R*, which addresses how a reporting enterprise should determine the variability to be considered in applying FASB Interpretation No. 46. FSP FIN 46R-6 is to be applied prospectively to all entities with which that enterprise first becomes involved and to all entities previously required to be analyzed under FIN 46R when a reconsideration event has occurred pursuant to paragraph seven of FIN 46R beginning the first day of the first reporting period after June 15, 2006. TVA began applying this guidance with the reporting period ending September 30, 2006. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on TVA s results of operations or financial condition.

Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations. In March 2005, the FASB issued FIN No. 47, Accounting for Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 143. This interpretation clarifies that the term conditional asset retirement obligation (conditional ARO) as used in SFAS No. 143, Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations, refers to a legal obligation to perform an asset retirement activity in which the timing and (or)

Page 86 of 141

Table of Contents

method of settlement are conditional on a future event that may or may not be within the control of the entity. The obligation to perform the asset retirement activity is unconditional even though uncertainty exists about the timing and (or) method of settlement. Accordingly, an entity is required to recognize a liability for the fair value of a conditional ARO if the fair value of the liability can be reasonably estimated. The fair value of a liability for the conditional ARO should be recognized when incurred. This interpretation also clarifies when an entity would have sufficient information to reasonably estimate the fair value of an ARO. On September 30, 2006, TVA began applying FIN 47, *Accounting for Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations*, which resulted in the recognition of additional ARO liabilities for asbestos and Polychlorinated Biphenyls abatement costs.

The following table sets forth TVA s net income for the years ended September 30, 2006, 2005, and 2004, adjusted as if FIN 47 had been applied during these periods. FIN 47 had an adoption date of September 30, 2006. For a discussion of the effects of the adoption of FIN No. 47, see Note 4.

ProForma Effects of Adoption of FIN 47

For the years ended September 30

	2006	2005	2004	
Reported income before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle FIN 47 pro forma earnings effects	\$ 438 (7)	\$ 85 (7)	\$ 386 (7)	
Proforma income before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	\$ 431	\$ 78	\$ 379	

Accounting Changes and Error Corrections. In May 2005, the FASB issued SFAS No. 154, Accounting Changes and Error Corrections a replacement of APB Opinion No. 20 and FASB Statement No. 3, which replaces Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 20, Accounting Changes, and FASB Statement No. 3, Reporting Accounting Changes in Interim Financial Statements. This statement applies to all voluntary changes in accounting principles and also applies to changes required by an accounting pronouncement in the unusual instance that the pronouncement does not include specific transition provisions. This statement requires, unless impracticable, retrospective application to prior periods financial statements of changes in accounting principles. This statement also requires that a change in depreciation, amortization, or depletion method for long-lived, nonfinancial assets be accounted for as a change in accounting estimate effected by a change in accounting principle. The statement will become effective for TVA beginning in 2007 with early adoption permitted for accounting changes and corrections of errors made in fiscal years beginning after May 2005, the date the statement was issued.

Accounting for Inventory Transactions. At its September 28, 2005, meeting, the FASB reached consensus on Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) Issue No. 04-13, Accounting for Purchases and Sales of Inventory with the Same Counterparty. The consensus reached states that inventory purchase and sales transactions with the same counterparty that are entered into in contemplation of one another should be combined for purposes of applying APB Opinion 29. The Task Force also reached a consensus that a nonmonetary exchange within the same line of business involving the transfer of raw materials in exchange for the receipt of raw materials should not be recognized at fair value. This EITF should be applied to transactions completed in reporting periods beginning after March 15, 2006, whether pursuant to arrangements that were in place at the date of initial application of the consensus or arrangements executed subsequent to that date. The carrying amount of the inventory that was acquired under these types of arrangements prior to the initial application of the consensus, and that still remains in an entity s statement of financial position at the date of initial application of the consensus, should not be adjusted for this consensus. TVA adopted EITF Issue No. 04-13 beginning in the second quarter of 2006. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on TVA s results of operations or financial condition.

Put and Call Options. In September 2005, the Derivatives Implementation Group (DIG) of the FASB discussed several issues related to the settlement of a debtor s obligation on the exercise of a call or put option and the exercise only by the debtor of the right to accelerate settlement of a debt with an embedded call option. DIG Implementation Issue No. B38, Embedded Derivatives: Evaluation of Net Settlement with Respect to the Settlement of a Debt Instrument through Exercise of an Embedded Put Option or Call Option, addresses whether the settlement of a debtor s obligation on exercise of a call or put option meets the net settlement criterion in paragraph 9(a) of SFAS No. 133, as amended. DIG Implementation Issue No. B39, Embedded Derivatives: Application of Paragraph 13(b) to Call Options That Are Exercisable Only by the Debtor, addresses whether or not Paragraph 13(b) of SFAS No. 133, as amended, applies to a call option embedded with a debt host if the right to accelerate settlement of the debt can be exercised only by the debtor. The effective date of the implementation guidance in these issues is the first day of the first fiscal quarter beginning after December 15, 2005. The issue became effective for TVA beginning in the Page 87 of 141

second quarter of 2006. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on TVA s results of operations or financial condition.

Accounting for Rental Costs. On October 6, 2005, the FASB issued FSP FAS 13-1, Accounting for Rental Costs Incurred during a Construction Period. The FASB concludes in this FSP that rental costs associated with ground or building operating leases that are incurred during a construction period should be expensed. TVA began applying this guidance beginning with the quarterly reporting period ending March 31, 2006. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on TVA s results of operations or financial condition.

Impairment of Investments. On November 3, 2005, the FASB released FSP FAS 115-1 and FAS 124-1, The Meaning of Other-Than-Temporary Impairment and Its Application to Certain Investments. This FSP addresses the determination as to when an investment is considered impaired, whether that impairment is other than temporary, and the measurement of an impairment loss. The FSP also includes accounting considerations subsequent to the recognition of an other-than-temporary impairment and requires certain disclosures about unrealized losses that have not been recognized as other-than-temporary impairments. TVA began applying this guidance beginning with the quarterly reporting period ending March 31, 2006. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on TVA s results of operations or financial condition.

Fair Value Measurements. In September 2006, FASB issued SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements. This standard provides guidance for using fair value to measure assets and liabilities. The standard also responds to investors requests for expanded information about the extent to which companies measure assets and liabilities at fair value, the information used to measure fair value, and the effect of fair value measurements on earnings. Statement 157 applies whenever other standards require (or permit) assets or liabilities to be measured at fair value but does not expand the use of fair value in any new circumstances. SFAS No. 157 establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the information used to develop measurement assumptions. The provisions of SFAS No. 157 are effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years. At this time, TVA continues the process of evaluating the requirements of this statement and does not yet know the impact of its implementation, which may or may not be material to TVA s results of operations or financial position. Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans. On September 29, 2006 the FASB issued SFAS No. 158, Employers Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106, and 132(R). This standard will require employers to fully recognize the obligations associated with single-employer defined benefit pension, retiree healthcare and other postretirement plans in their financial statements. The standard will make it easier for investors, employees, retirees and others to understand and assess an employer s financial position and its ability to fulfill the obligations under its benefit plans. Specifically, the new standard requires an employer to: recognize in its statement of financial position an asset for a plan s overfunded status or a liability for a plan s underfunded status; measure a plan s assets and its obligations that determine its funded status as of the end of the employer s fiscal year (with limited exceptions); and recognize changes in the funded status of a defined benefit postretirement plan in the year in which the changes occur. Those changes will be reported in comprehensive income of a business entity and in changes in net assets of a not-for-profit organization.

The requirement to recognize the funded status of a benefit plan and the disclosure requirements are effective for TVA as of the end of the fiscal year ending after June 15, 2007. The requirement to measure plan assets and benefit obligations as of the date of the employer s fiscal year-end statement of financial position is effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2008. TVA plans to apply the new standard for its 2007 year-end financial statements and recognize on its 2007 Balance Sheets the funded status of its pension and other postretirement benefit plans. However, had TVA been required to adopt the standard as of its last actuarial valuation date (September 30, 2006), TVA would have recorded the following amounts on its Balance Sheet for the year then ended: a regulatory asset of \$795 million, additional pension and postretirement obligations of \$368 million and \$152 million, respectively, and the reclassification to the regulatory asset of an intangible asset with a balance of \$275 million representing unamortized prior service cost. The net effect of recognizing such amounts would have been to increase total assets and liabilities by \$520 million at that date.

In August 2006, the Pension Protection Act of 2006 (the Pension Act) became law. The Pension Act amends the Employee Retirement Income Security Act (ERISA) and Section 412 of the Internal Revenue Code to provide new

minimum funding rules for defined benefit plans. The Tennessee Valley Authority Retirement System (TVARS) defined benefit plan, as a governmental plan, is not subject to the minimum funding rules under ERISA and Section 412 of the Internal Revenue Code, and it is unlikely the Pension Act will have any material effect on the TVARS defined benefit plan.

Page 88 of 141

Accounting for Misstatements. On September 13, 2006, the Securities and Exchange Commission issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 108, Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements. This bulletin provides interpretive guidance on how the effects of the carryover or reversal of prior year misstatements should be considered in quantifying a current year misstatement. Application of the guidance is effective for TVA beginning with the first interim period of fiscal year 2007.

2. Nuclear Power Program

At September 30, 2006, TVA s nuclear power program consisted of nine units five operating (commercially generating electricity), one in recovery (being returned to service), one in deferred status (construction halted but still licensed by NRC), and two which were canceled (licensed surrendered to NRC) during 2006 (discussed below). The operating and recovery units are in three locations with investments in property, plants and equipment as follows and in the status indicated:

Nuclear Production Plants

As of September 30

		Con	struction			
	Completed Plant,		in		Fuel	
Browns Ferry *	Net	P	rogress	Investment		
	\$ 1,952	\$	1,993	\$	229	
Sequoyah	1,648		32		118	
Watts Bar	5,317		191		62	
Raw materials					82	
Total Nuclear Production	\$ 8,917	\$	2,216	\$	491	

Notes

* Browns Ferry
Unit 1, a unit in recovery, is discussed below.

Browns Ferry Unit 1 was taken offline in 1985 for plant modifications and regulatory improvements and will continue to remain in an inoperative status until recovered. In May 2002, the TVA Board initiated activities for the return of Unit 1 to service in order to meet long-term power requirements. It is anticipated the Unit 1 recovery project will add approximately 1,150 megawatts of generation initially with an eventual 1,280 megawatts of generation at a cost of approximately \$1.8 billion, exclusive of AFUDC and estimated asset retirement obligation. Unit 1 is expected to return to service in 2007. At September 30, 2006, TVA had incurred approximately \$1.6 billion of costs, and AFUDC of \$182 million, on the restart project.

In 1988, TVA suspended construction activities on Watts Bar Unit 2 and it remains a partially completed nuclear plant similar in design to the operating Watts Bar Unit 1. Because of projected demand in its service area, TVA is studying options which will provide accurate cost, schedule, and risk information to enable a more informed future decision regarding new base load generation. Accordingly, TVA has contracted for a detailed scoping, estimating and planning study of Watts Bar Unit 2 during 2007 and 2008. Watts Bar s Unit 2 construction permit expires in 2010 and as of September 30, 2006, no decision has been made to actually complete Watts Bar Unit 2.

Bellefonte Units 1 and 2 were deferred in 1988 and 1985, respectively. In December 1994, TVA determined that it would not, by itself, complete Bellefonte Unit 1 and Unit 2 and in September 2006, the NRC approved TVA s

request to terminate the construction permits for unfinished Bellefonte Units 1 and 2. NRC determined that terminating the construction permits, which were originally issued in 1974, would not have a significant effect on the quality of the environment. TVA s Board of Directors approved canceling the Bellefonte Nuclear Plant (Bellefonte) construction project in November 2005.

The TVA Board determined as of the end of 2001 that the values of some of its existing assets were impaired and should be reduced. Certain nuclear assets—portions of Bellefonte Unit 1 and Unit 2 and Watts Bar Unit 2 in its entirety—were identified as assets for which the estimated cash flows expected to be provided through future rates were less than recorded book values. In 2001 TVA revalued certain nuclear assets—Watts Bar Unit 2 in its entirety and portions of Bellefonte Unit 1 and Unit 2—downward by \$2.2 billion and recognized an impairment loss. During 2004, the TVA Board approved the reclassification of approximately \$203 million of Bellefonte assets from Deferred Nuclear Generating Units to Completed Plant. In July 2005, the TVA Board approved the amortization of TVA s remaining investment in the deferred generating units at Bellefonte over a 10-year period beginning in 2006. See Note 1—Cost-Based Regulation. TVA began amortizing and recovering in rates the investment of the \$3.9 billion in deferred nuclear generating units at Bellefonte Nuclear Plant on October 1, 2005. See Note 5. None of these actions interfere in any way TVA—s ability to use the site for future projects.

Page 89 of 141

In September 2005, NuStart Development LLC (NuStart) selected Bellefonte as one of the two sites in the country for a new advanced design nuclear plant. NuStart is an industry consortium comprised of nine utilities and two reactor vendors whose purpose is to satisfactorily demonstrate the new NRC licensing process for new nuclear plants. NuStart intends to seek a combined construction and operating license for the site for the new Advanced Passive 1000 reactor design by Westinghouse Electric Co. TVA intends to be the license applicant for NuStart when the combined license application is submitted to the NRC. TVA has been a participant in NuStart since its inception and intends to become a full member of NuStart. No decision has been made to actually build an advanced reactor at the site.

On May 4, 2006, the NRC approved TVA s application for license extension at each of its three reactors at Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant. As a result of the NRC s action, each unit s license has been extended 20 years. See Note 4. The depreciable lives of these units were therefore extended in 2006. Current expiration dates of the operating licenses for the Browns Ferry units are now:

TVA Nuclear Unit Operating License Expiration Dates

As of September 30

	Operating
	License
Nuclear Unit	Expiration Date
Browns Ferry Unit 1	2033
Browns Ferry Unit 2	2034
Browns Ferry Unit 3	2036

3. Completed Plant

Completed plant consisted of the following at September 30:

TVA Completed Plant

As of September 30

	2006			2005					
		Acc	umulated		Accumulated				
	Cost	Dep	oreciation	Net	Cost	Dep	preciation	Net	
Fossil	\$ 10,567	\$	5,249	\$ 5,318	\$ 10,164	\$	4,912	\$ 5,252	
Combustion turbine	1,168		500	668	1,176		447	729	
Nuclear	15,437		6,520	8,917	15,517		6,128	9,389	
Transmission	4,360		1,607	2,753	4,227		1,512	2,715	
Hydroelectric	1,879		683	1,196	1,861		648	1,213	
Other electrical plant	1,235		428	807	1,264		426	838	
Subtotal	34,646		14,987	19,659	34,209		14,073	20,136	
Multipurpose dams	962		336	626	962		326	636	
Other stewardship	44		8	36	44		8	36	
Subtotal	1,006		344	662	1,006		334	672	
Total	\$ 35,652	\$	15,331	\$ 20,321	\$ 35,215	\$	14,407	\$ 20,808	

4. Asset Retirement Obligations

Effective October 1, 2002, TVA adopted SFAS No. 143, Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations, which requires the recognition of a liability, and capitalization of the associated asset retirement cost as part of the carrying amount of the long-lived asset, for legal obligations associated with the retirement of long-lived assets that result from the acquisition, construction, development, and/or normal operation of long-lived assets. TVA identified and reviewed all relevant information in the determination of its potential asset retirement obligations (AROs). TVA identified three categories of AROs which represent legal obligations of TVA under the requirements set forth in the standard. Costs associated with retirement of coal-fired (including ash/waste ponds) and gas/oil combustion turbine generating plants are being expensed as period costs while costs associated with retirement of nuclear generating plants are receiving SFAS No. 71, Accounting for the Effects of Certain Types of Regulation, treatment based on the partially funded status of the nuclear decommissioning obligation (see Note 1 Cost-Based Regulation).

When TVA adopted SFAS No. 143, its accounting requirement was to incur only the minimum legally required costs related to plant shut-down and to consider certain assets as perpetually-lived. TVA adopted a Page 90 of 141

containment strategy through plant maintenance related to asbestos and Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs), and due to uncertainty surrounding the timing of estimated plant closures, did not record an ARO for the complete removal costs. FIN 47, *Accounting for Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations*, clarifies that even though the timing or method of settlement of an obligation may be conditional on a future event, the obligation to perform the asset retirement activity is unconditional. Accordingly, an entity is required to recognize a liability for the fair value of a conditional asset retirement obligation when incurred if the liability fair value can be reasonably estimated.

On September 30, 2006, TVA began applying FIN 47, *Accounting for Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations*, which resulted in the recognition of additional ARO liabilities for asbestos and PCB abatement costs. The effect of the adoption of FIN 47 during 2006 included a cumulative effect charge to income of \$109 million, a recognition of a corresponding additional long-term liability of \$132 million, a recognition of an increase in assets of \$43 million, and related accumulated depreciation of \$20 million.

Asset Retirement Obligations As of September 30

				Forma tember			Fair Market Value of	Fu	imated uture ability
	Pro-Forma October 1, 2004		30, 2005		September 30, 2006		Investment Funds at Sept		at
								Sept 30,	
FIN 47 ARO Category	Obli	gation	Obl	igation	Obli	igation	30, 2006	2	006
Fossil Plants	\$	106	\$	111	\$	117	\$	\$	449
Office and Other Facilities		2		2		2			42
Hydroelectric Plants		5		5		5			32
Transmission Facilities		8		9		8			21
Total	\$	121	\$	127	\$	132	\$	\$	544

TVA has identified but not recognized conditional AROs related to items that contain PCBs such as electromagnets, voltage regulators, and small capacitors. These items reside in numerous larger pieces of equipment throughout TVA s integrated system and generally require retirement action only upon failure or malfunction. The conditional AROs related to these items are not currently estimable because TVA does not have a comprehensive inventory of such items and does not have the historical data available to develop a reasonable estimate of when such items will fail or malfunction. If material, TVA will recognize a conditional ARO associated with these items at the time the information becomes available to develop a reasonable estimate.

Nuclear Generating Plants. Prior to implementing SFAS No. 143, TVA had recognized a decommissioning liability related to its nuclear generating plants in accordance with NRC funding requirements. The adoption of SFAS No. 143 resulted in a change in the methodology of quantifying this nuclear decommissioning obligation in accordance with the new accounting standard. TVA has increased the nuclear decommissioning liability on the balance sheet to reflect the new methodology but has retained its regulatory accounting treatment of capturing all changes in the liability, investment funds, and certain other deferred charges as changes in the regulatory asset instead of recording these items on the income statement because recovery of these net costs is probable in future revenues.

Coal-Fired Generating Plants. The activities associated with coal-fired plant retirement include plant shutdown, securing the physical property, closure of storage and/or waste areas (including ash/waste ponds), maintenance of stack lights, security patrols, and measures to contain asbestos and other hazardous materials from release into the environment. The estimated costs of these activities have been included in the calculation of TVA s coal-fired plant

AROs. Certain ash ponds and waste areas have estimated useful lives that are independent of the lives of the coal plants themselves. Accordingly, these specific ash/waste pond areas were quantified as separate AROs based on their specific estimated useful lives.

Gas/Oil Turbine Generating Plants. The activities associated with gas and oil turbine plant retirement include annual operating costs for site security, lighting, powerhouse and grounds maintenance, containment of asbestos, paint, and other materials, and groundwater monitoring. The estimated costs of these activities have been identified to be included in the calculation of TVA s combustion turbine plant AROs.

For each ARO identified, TVA calculated the net present value of the obligation as of the current period, the original and incremental cost of the long-lived asset at the time of initial operation, the cumulative effect of depreciation on the adjusted asset base, and accretion of the liability from the date of initial operation to the current period.

During the first quarter of 2005, there was a change in the estimated closure date related to the Bellefonte diesel generators. The original estimate assumed asset retirement in 2029 and a six year waiting period before

Page 91 of 141

closure work would begin in 2035. The new estimate assumes that closure work will begin at the date the assets cease to operate in 2029. This change in estimate resulted in a decrease in the total future liability of nearly \$1 million, and an increase in the current net present value of the ARO asset liability of less than \$0.1 million.

In March 2006 and 2005, TVA made revisions to the amount and timing of certain cash flow estimates related to its nuclear AROs. The revisions in cost were based on new engineering analyses of certain components of the cost performed annually in accordance with requirements of the NRC. The effect of the changes in estimates produced obligations that were less than the amounts originally recorded on an accreted basis. Accordingly, TVA made adjustments in the recorded amounts to properly reflect such revised balances based on the latest cost estimates. In 2006, the adjustments resulted in an aggregate decrease of \$89 million in the ARO, a \$29 million reduction in the asset base, a \$12 million reduction in accumulated depreciation, and a decrease of \$72 million in the originally recorded regulatory asset which TVA recorded in accordance with SFAS No. 71. In 2005, the adjustments resulted in an aggregate decrease of \$25 million in the ARO, a \$7 million reduction in the asset base, a \$3 million reduction in accumulated depreciation, and a decrease of \$21 million in the originally recorded regulatory asset. Therefore, the result of the change described did not impact net income for 2006 and 2005.

In May 2006, the NRC granted a 20-year license extension for the operation of each of the 3 units at its Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant. The license extension changes the timing of certain cash flow estimates utilized by TVA in the determination of the Browns Ferry ARO. Accordingly, TVA made adjustments to the Browns Ferry ARO and related accounts to reflect the revised cost estimates. TVA previously calculated the Browns Ferry ARO utilizing two equally weighted sets of estimated cash flows; one set based on a 40-year license life and a second set based on a 60-year license life. The cash flow estimates represented by the 40-year life are no longer applicable. The adjustments made are cumulative for the year and include reductions in the nuclear ARO of \$153 million, a reduction in the incremental asset base of \$31 million, a reduction in the asset s accumulated depreciation of \$44 million, and a reduction in the regulatory asset of \$166 million. The result of the changes described does not impact net income for any of the periods presented.

During 2005, TVA s total ARO liability increased \$75 million due to accretion expense of \$100 million, partially offset by the \$25 million revision in cash flows described above. The nuclear accretion expense of \$87 million was deferred and charged to a regulatory asset in accordance with SFAS No. 71. The remaining accretion expense of \$13 million, related to coal-fired and gas/oil combustion turbine plants, was expensed in 2005. During 2006, TVA s total ARO increased \$128 million, net of all cumulative adjustments, due to combined accretion expense of \$100 million and a recognition of a conditional ARO of \$132 million and \$138 million due to the application of FIN 47 and SFAS 143, respectively, partially offset by the \$242 million in revisions to the nuclear ARO. The nuclear accretion expense of \$87 million was deferred and charged to a regulatory asset in accordance with SFAS No. 71. The remaining accretion expense of \$13 million, related to coal-fired and gas/oil combustion turbine plants, was expensed in 2006.

Reconciliation of Asset Retirement Obligation Liability

As of September 30

	2006	2005
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 1,857	\$ 1,782
Liabilities settled Accretion expense	100	100
Recognition of conditional asset retirement obligations	132	
Revisions in estimated cash flows	(104)	(25)
Balance at end of year	\$ 1,985	\$ 1,857

5. Regulatory Assets and Liabilities

Regulatory assets capitalized under the provisions of SFAS No. 71 are included in Deferred Nuclear Generating Units and Other Regulatory Assets on the September 30, 2006, and 2005 Balance Sheets. Components of Other Regulatory Assets include certain charges related to the closure and removal from service of nuclear generating units, debt reacquisition costs, deferred outage costs, unrealized losses related to power purchase contracts, deferred capital lease asset costs, a deferred loss relating to TVA s financial trading program, and an adjustment to accrue the minimum pension liability. All regulatory assets are probable of recovery in future revenues. Components of Regulatory liabilities include unrealized gains on coal purchase contracts and capital lease liabilities. See Note 1 *Cost-Based Regulation* and Note 2.

The year-end balances of TVA s regulatory assets and liabilities are as follows: Page 92 of 141

TVA Regulatory Assets and Liabilities

As of September 30

	2006	2005	
Regulatory Assets:			
Minimum pension liability	\$ 914	\$ 1,158	
Nuclear decommissioning costs	474	716	
Reacquisition costs	232	264	
Deferred purchased power costs	6		
Deferred outage costs	85	103	
Capital leases	76	84	
Unrealized losses on purchased power contracts	22	42	
Subtotal	1,809	2,367	
Deferred nuclear generating units	3,521	3,912	
Total	\$ 5,330	\$ 6,279	
Regulatory Liabilities:			
Unrealized gain on coal purchase contracts	\$ 487	\$ 791	
Capital lease liability	88	106	
Total	\$ 575	\$ 897	
	+	T '	

TVA s accumulated pension benefit obligation at September 30, 2006, and 2005, exceeded plan assets. As a result, TVA was required to recognize an additional minimum pension liability as prescribed by SFAS No. 87, *Employers Accounting for Pensions*. These future pension costs will be funded through a combination of the pension investment funds already set aside by TVA, future earnings on those pension investment funds, and, if recommended by the TVARS Board under the rules and regulations of TVARS and approved by TVA, future TVA cash contributions to the pension plan which will be recovered in TVA s rates when incurred.

Nuclear decommissioning costs include certain deferred charges related to the future closure and decommissioning of TVA s nuclear generating units under NRC requirements and liability recognition under the accounting rules for asset retirement obligation. These future costs will be funded through a combination of investment funds already set aside by TVA, future earnings on those investment funds, and if necessary, additional TVA cash contributions to the investment funds. See Note 1 *Investment Funds* and Note 4.

Reacquisition expenses, call premiums, and other related costs, such as unamortized debt issue costs associated with redeemed Bond issues, are deferred under provisions of the FERC s Uniform System of Accounts Prescribed for Public Utilities and Licensees Subject to the Provisions of the Federal Power Act (Uniform System of Accounts). These costs are deferred and amortized (accreted) on a straight-line basis over the weighted average life of TVA s debt portfolio. (Even though TVA is not a public utility subject generally to FERC jurisdiction, the TVA Act requires TVA to keep accounts in accordance with the requirements established by FERC.)

Deferred power purchase costs resulting from TVA s financial trading program represent unrealized gains and losses on futures and options at September 30, 2006. The program is used to reduce TVA s economic risk exposure associated with electricity generation, purchases, and sales. Due to the implementation of a fuel cost adjustment

mechanism to be effective October 1, 2006, TVA changed its accounting for these unrealized gains and losses as of September 30, 2006. Prior to this, gains and losses were reported on the income statement as an offset to purchased power. Unrealized losses as of September 30, 2006, were approximately \$6 million. The new accounting treatment reflects TVA s ability and intent to recover the cost of these commodity contracts in future periods through the TVA Board approved fuel cost adjustment.

TVA s investment in the fuel used in its nuclear units is being amortized and accounted for as a component of fuel expense. See Note 2. Nuclear refueling outage and maintenance costs already incurred are deferred and amortized on a straight-line basis over the estimated period until the next refueling outage. The amounts of deferred outage costs for 2006, 2005, and 2004 were \$85 million, \$103 million, and \$86 million, respectively.

Page 93 of 141

Deferred capital lease costs represent the difference between FERC s Uniform System of Accounts model balances recovered in rates and the SFAS No. 13, *Accounting for Leases*, model balances. Under the FERC Uniform System of Accounts, TVA recognized the initial capital lease asset and liability at inception of the lease in accordance with SFAS No. 13; however, the annual expense is equal to the annual lease payments, which differs from SFAS No. 13 accounting treatment. This practice results in TVA s capital lease asset balances being higher than they otherwise would have been under the SFAS No. 13 model, with the difference representing a regulatory asset related to each capital lease. These costs are being amortized over the respective lease terms as lease payments are made.

Unrealized losses on a power purchase contract represent the estimated unrealized loss related to the mark-to-market valuation of the contract. Under the accounting rules contained in SFAS No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, as amended,* this contract qualifies as a derivative contract but does not qualify for cash flow hedge accounting treatment. As a result, TVA recognizes the changes in the market value of this derivative contract as a regulatory asset. This treatment reflects TVA is ability and intent to recover the cost of this commodity contract on a settlement basis for ratemaking purposes. TVA has historically recognized the actual cost of purchased power received under this contract in purchased power expense at the time of settlement. The contract expires in 2007. See Note 8.

In July 2005, the TVA Board approved the amortization, and inclusion into rates of, TVA s \$3.9 billion investment in the deferred nuclear generating units at Bellefonte Nuclear Plant over a 10-year period beginning in 2006. The TVA Board determined that a ten-year recovery period would not place an undue burden on rates while still ensuring the probability of cost recovery during that ten-year period. See Note 2 *Nuclear Power Program*.

Regulatory liabilities accounted for under the provisions of SFAS No. 71 consist of mark-to-market valuation gains on coal purchase contracts and capital leases.

Unrealized gains on coal purchase contracts represent the estimated unrealized gains related to the mark-to-market valuation of coal purchase contracts. Under the accounting rules contained in SFAS No. 133, as amended, these contracts qualify as derivative contracts but do not qualify for cash flow hedge accounting treatment. As a result, TVA recognizes the changes in the market value of these derivative contracts as a regulatory liability. This treatment reflects TVA s ability and intent to recover the cost of these commodity contracts on a settlement basis for ratemaking purposes. TVA has historically recognized the actual cost of fuel received under these contracts in fuel expense at the time the fuel is used to generate electricity. These contracts expire at various times through 2017. See Note 8.

As a result of a capital lease payment stream requiring larger cash payments during the latter years of the lease term than during the early years of the lease term, TVA levelized the annual lease expense recognition related to this lease in order to promote the fair and equitable cost recovery from ratepayers. These costs are being amortized over the lease term.

6. Asset Impairment

During 2006 and 2005, TVA recognized a total of \$9 million and \$24 million, respectively, in impairment losses related to its Property, Plant, and Equipment. The losses included a \$2 million and an \$8 million write-down in 2006 and 2005, respectively, of one of two buildings in TVA s Knoxville Office Complex based on quoted market price. TVA s plans to sell or lease the East Tower of the Knoxville Office Complex. TVA also recognized a \$7 million and a \$16 million write-down in 2006 and 2005, respectively, of certain Construction in Progress assets related to new pollution-control and other technologies that had not been proven effective and a re-evaluation of other projects due to funding limitations.

7. Proprietary Capital

Appropriation Investment

TVA s power program and stewardship program were originally funded primarily with appropriations from Congress. In 1959, however, Congress passed legislation that required TVA s power program to be self-financing from power revenues and proceeds from power program financings. While TVA s power program did not directly receive appropriations after it became self-financing, TVA continued to receive appropriations for certain multipurpose activities as well as for its stewardship activities. TVA has not received any appropriations from Congress for any activities since 1999, and since that time, TVA has funded stewardship program activities primarily with power

Page 94 of 141

revenues in accordance with a statutory directive from Congress. The table below summarizes TVA s activities related to these funds. The balance of the Congressional Appropriation Investment at September 30, 2006, is as follows:

Appropriations

As of September 30

	Power Program	Stewardship Program		Total	
Congressional appropriations and transfers of property from other					
federal agencies (net)	\$ 1,443	\$	9,622	\$ 11,065	
Program expenditures			(5,221)	(5,221)	
Less repayments to the U.S. Treasury	(1,035)		(46)	(1,081)	
Total	\$ 408	\$	4,355	\$ 4,763	

Payments to the U.S. Treasury

Section 15d of the TVA Act requires TVA to make annual payments to the U.S. Treasury from net power proceeds as a return on the net appropriation investment that Congress made in the power system and as a repayment of such investment, beginning in 1961.

TVA paid \$20 million each year for 2006, 2005, and 2004 as a repayment of the Appropriation Investment. In addition, of the \$1 billion portion of the Appropriation Investment TVA is obligated to repay, \$150 million remains unpaid at September 30, 2006. The payments required by Section 15d may be deferred under certain circumstances for not more than two years.

The amount of return payable during each year is based on the Appropriation Investment as of the beginning of that year and the computed average interest rate payable by the U.S. Treasury on its total marketable public obligations as of the same date. TVA paid the U.S. Treasury \$18 million in 2006, \$16 million in 2005, and \$18 million in 2004 as a return on the Appropriation Investment. The interest rate payable by TVA on the Appropriation Investment was 4.24 percent, 3.71 percent, and 3.82 percent for 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively.

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income

SFAS No. 130, *Reporting Comprehensive Income*, requires the disclosure of comprehensive income or loss to reflect changes in capital that result from transactions and economic events from nonowner sources. The items included in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) consist of market valuation adjustments for certain derivative instruments (see Note 8). The accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) as of September 30, 2006, 2005 and 2004, was \$43 million, \$27 million, and \$(52) million, respectively.

Total Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) Activity

As of September 30

\$ (74)
4
18
(52
4
75
27
\$

Changes in fair value:	
Inflation	(11)
Foreign currency swaps	27
Accumulated other comprehensive income, September 30, 2006 \$	43
Note: Foreign	
currency swap	
changes are	
shown net of	
reclassifications	
from Other	
comprehensive	
income to	
earnings.	
Page 95 of 141	

Table of Contents

TVA records exchange rate gains and losses in debt and earnings and marks its currency swap assets to market through other comprehensive income. TVA then reclassifies an amount out of other comprehensive income into earnings which offsets the earnings gain/loss from recording the exchange gain/loss on the debt. The amounts reclassified from other comprehensive income equaled a charge to earnings of \$143 million in 2006, an increase to earnings of \$61 million in 2005, and a charge to earnings of \$148 million in 2004. These reclassifications, coupled with the recording of the exchange gain/loss on the debt, result in a net effect on earnings of zero for 2006, 2005, and 2004. Due to the number of variables affecting the future gains/losses on these instruments, TVA is unable to reasonably estimate the amount to be reclassified from other comprehensive income to earnings in future years.

8. Risk Management Activities and Derivative Transactions

TVA is exposed to various market risks. These market risks include risks related to commodity prices, investment prices, interest rates, currency exchange rates, inflation, and credit risk. To help manage certain of these risks, TVA has entered into various derivative transactions, principally commodity option contracts, forward contracts, swaps, swaptions, futures, and options on futures. Following is a general overview of the accounting treatment for these derivative transactions as well as a more detailed discussion of certain of these derivative transactions. It is TVA spolicy to enter into derivative transactions solely for hedging purposes and not for speculative purposes.

Overview of Accounting Treatment

Prior to October 1, 2000, TVA accounted for hedging activities using the deferral method, and gains and losses were recognized in the financial statements when the related hedged transaction occurred. During 2001, TVA adopted SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, as amended by SFAS No. 138, Accounting for Certain Derivative Instruments and Certain Hedging Activities, and SFAS No. 149, Amendment of Statement 133 on Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities.

The recorded amounts of certain derivative financial instruments are as follows:

Mark-to-Market Values of TVA Derivatives

As of September 30

	2006 Balance	2006 Balance Sheet Presentation	2005 Balance	2005 Balance Sheet Presentation	2006 Notional Amount	Year of Expiration
Inflation swap	\$ 22	Other long-term assets	\$ 17	Other long-term assets	\$300 million	2007
Interest rate swap	(131)	Other liabilities	(158)	Other liabilities	\$476 million	2044
Currency swaps:						
Deutschemark			(69)	Other long-term assets	DM1.5 billion	2006
		Other long-term	. ,	Other long-term	£200 million	2021
Sterling	47	assets Other long-term	20	assets Other long-term	£250 million	2032
Sterling	133	assets	89	assets	C150:11:	2042
Sterling	66	Other long-term assets	36	Other long-term assets	£150 million	2043
Swaptions:						
\$1 billion notional	(296)	Other liabilities	(314)	Other liabilities	\$1 billion	2042
\$28 million notional	(3)	Other liabilities	(4)	Other liabilities	\$28 million	2022
\$14 million notional	(2)	Other liabilities	(2)	Other liabilities	\$14 million	2022

Coal contracts with volume options	487	Other long-term assets	791	Other long-term assets	115 million tons	2017
Purchase power option contracts	(22)	Other liabilities	(42)	Other liabilities	500 MW	2007

In accordance with SFAS No. 133, as amended, the inflation and foreign currency swap contracts are accounted for on a mark-to-market basis and resulted in a gain of \$170 million, \$14 million, and \$166 million for 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively. Since these contracts represent cash flow hedges of certain Bond transactions, the gains have been recognized in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss). Because of the highly effective nature of these hedging transactions, TVA was not required to recognize unrealized gains from these transactions in the Statements of Income. If any loss/(gain) were to be incurred as a result of the early termination of the inflation swap contract or a foreign currency swap contract, any resulting charge/(income) would be amortized over the remaining life of the associated Bond as a component of interest expense.

The inflation and foreign currency swap contracts are the only derivative transactions that receive hedge accounting treatment. Following is a table that describes the accounting treatment for these transactions as well as a Page 96 of 141

table that describes the accounting treatment for derivative transactions that do not qualify for hedge accounting treatment.

Summary of Derivative Instruments That Receive Hedge Accounting TreatmentAs of September 30, 2006

Derivative Hedging Instrument	Hedged Item	Purpose of Hedge Transaction	Type of Hedge Cash Flow (CF)	Accounting for Derivative Hedging Instrument	Accounting for the Hedged Item
Inflation Swap	Variable-principal debt	To fix the debt s variable cash flows to a fixed flow	CF	Cumulative unrealized gains and losses are recorded in Other comprehensive income	No adjustment is made to the basis of the hedged item.
Currency Swaps	Anticipated payment denominated in a foreign currency	To protect against changes in cash flows caused by changes in foreign-currency exchange rates	CF	Cumulative unrealized gains and losses are recorded in Other comprehensive income and reclassified to earnings to the extent they are offset by cumulative gains and losses on the hedged transaction.	No adjustment is made to the basis of the hedged item.

Summary of Derivative Instruments That Do Not Receive Hedge Accounting Treatment As of September 30, 2006

Derivative Type	Purpose of Derivative	Accounting for Derivative Instrument
Coal Contracts with Volume Options	To protect against fluctuations in market prices of the item to be purchased	Gains and losses are recorded as regulatory assets or liabilities until settlement at which time they are recognized in fuel and purchased power expense.
Purchase Power Option Contracts	To protect against fluctuations in market prices of the item to be purchased	Gains and losses are recorded as regulatory assets or liabilities until settlement at which time they are recognized in fuel and purchased power expense.
Interest Rate Swap	To fix short-term debt variable rate to a fixed rate	Gains and losses are recorded in earnings as unrealized gains/losses on derivative contracts.
Swaptions	To protect against decreases in value of the embedded call	Gains and losses are recorded in earnings as unrealized gains/losses on derivative contracts.
Futures and Options on Futures	To protect against fluctuations in the price of the item to be purchased	Realized gains and losses are recorded in earnings as purchased power expense; unrealized gains and losses are recorded as a regulatory asset/liability.

Commodity Contracts

TVA enters into forward contracts that hedge cash flow exposures to market fluctuations in the price and delivery of certain commodities including coal, natural gas, and electricity. TVA expects to take or make delivery, as appropriate, under these forward contracts. Accordingly, these contracts qualify for normal purchases and normal sales accounting under SFAS No. 133, as amended.

Swaps

To hedge certain market risks to which TVA is subject, TVA has entered into four currency swaps and one inflation swap. Each of these swaps is discussed in more detail below.

Currency Swaps. During 1996, TVA entered into a currency swap contract as a hedge for a foreign currency denominated Bond transaction. TVA issued DM1.5 billion of Bonds and entered into a currency swap to hedge fluctuations in the DM-U.S. Dollar exchange rate. The overall effective cost to TVA of these Bonds and the associated swap was 7.13 percent. In 2006, the Bonds matured and the related swap agreement expired.

In addition, TVA entered into currency swap contracts during 2003, 2001, and 1999 as hedges for sterling-denominated Bond transactions in which TVA issued £150 million, £250 million, and £200 million of Bonds, respectively. The overall effective cost to TVA of these Bonds and the associated swaps was 4.96 percent, 6.59

Page 97 of 141

percent, and 5.81 percent, respectively. Any gains or losses on the Bonds due to the foreign currency transactions are offset by losses or gains on the swap contracts. At September 30, 2006, and 2005, the currency transactions had resulted in net translation losses of \$195 million and of \$52 million, respectively, which are included in Current Maturities of Long-Term Debt, Net and Long-Term Debt, Net. However, the net translation losses were offset by corresponding gains on the swap contracts, which are reported as a deferred asset.

Inflation Swap. In 1997, TVA issued \$300 million of inflation-indexed accreting principal Bonds. The 10-year Bonds have a fixed coupon rate that is paid on the inflation-adjusted principal amount. TVA hedged its inflation exposure under the securities through a receive-floating, pay-fixed inflation swap agreement. The overall effective cost to TVA of these Bonds and the associated swap was 6.64 percent. On September 21, 2004, TVA received a payment of \$55 million from the swap counterparty representing the present value of the accretion as of that date. The present value of the accretion is recorded as a long-term receivable on the September 30, 2006, and 2005 Balance Sheets. At the termination of the swap, TVA will receive the additional accretion from September 22, 2004, through the end of the swap.

Swaptions and Related Interest Rate Swap

TVA has entered into four swaption transactions to monetize the value of call provisions on certain of its Bond issues. A swaption essentially grants a third party the right to enter into a swap agreement with TVA under which TVA receives a floating rate of interest and pays the third party a fixed rate of interest equal to the interest rate on the bond issue whose call provision TVA monetized.

In 2002, TVA monetized the call provisions on a \$1 billion Bond issue by entering into a swaption agreement with a third party in exchange for \$175 million (the 2002 Swaption).

In 2003, TVA monetized the call provisions on a second Bond issue of \$476 million by entering into a swaption agreement with a third party in exchange for \$81 million (the 2003 Swaption).

In 2005, TVA monetized the call provisions on two electronotes[®] issues (\$42 million total par value) by entering into swaption agreements with a third party in exchange for \$5 million (the 2005 Swaptions).

In February 2004, the counterparty to the 2003 Swaption transaction exercised its option to enter into a swap with TVA, effective April 10, 2004, requiring TVA to make fixed rate payments to the counterparty of 6.875 percent and the counterparty to make floating payments to TVA based on London Interbank Offered Rate. These payments are based on a notional principal amount of \$476 million, and the parties began making these payments on June 15, 2004.

The 2002 Swaption is recorded in Other Liabilities on the September 30, 2006 Balance Sheet and is designated as a hedge of future changes in the fair value of the original call provision. Under SFAS No. 133, as amended, TVA records the changes in market value of both the swaption and the embedded call. These values historically have been highly correlated; however, to the extent that the values do not perfectly offset, any differences will be recognized currently through earnings. In the third quarter of 2006, the hedge related to the 2002 Swaption ceased to be effective and continued to be ineffective during the fourth quarter from an accounting perspective. As a result, TVA did not receive hedge accounting treatment on the 2002 swaption for the last two quarters of 2006. Changes in the market value of the 2002 Swaption and the embedded call resulted in an unrealized noncash loss of \$43 million for the year-ended September 30, 2006, and an unrealized noncash gain of \$27 million for the year-ended September 30, 2005.

The swap entered into pursuant to the 2003 Swaption and the 2005 Swaptions are also recorded in Other Liabilities on the September 30, 2006 Balance Sheet, and the changes in market value are recognized currently in earnings. TVA did not elect hedge accounting treatment for the 2005 swaptions. These changes amounted to a \$28 million noncash gain for the year ended September 30, 2006, and a \$19 million noncash loss for the year ended September 30, 2005.

Futures and Options on Futures

In 2005, the TVA Board approved a financial trading program under which TVA can purchase swaps, options on swaps, futures, and options on futures to hedge TVA s exposure to natural gas and fuel oil prices. At September 30, 2006, TVA had derivative positions outstanding under the program equivalent to about 1,158 contracts, made up of

429 futures contracts and 729 swap futures contracts, with an approximate net market value of \$40 million. For the year ended September 30, 2006, TVA recognized realized losses of \$24 million which were recorded as an increase to purchased power expense. Unrealized losses at the end of the year were \$6 million which TVA deferred as a regulatory asset in accordance with its 2007 Fuel Cost Adjustment recovery process.

Page 98 of 141

Accordingly, TVA will continue to defer all hedge program unrealized gains or losses and record only realized gains or losses as purchased power costs at the time the positions actually settle.

Financial Trading Program Activity

As of September 30

		2006			2005			
	Notional Amount (in mmBtu)		ntract alue	Notional Amount (in mmBtu)		ntract alue		
Futures contracts Financial positions, beginning of period, net Purchased Settled Realized (losses)/gains Net positions-long	880,000 18,160,000 (14,750,000) 4,290,000	\$	9 146 (97) (23) 35	4,370,000 (3,490,000) 880,000	\$	33 (27) 3		
Swap Futures Financial positions, beginning of period, net Fixed Portion Floating Portion realized Realized (losses)	1,977,500 (155,000)		12 (1)					
Options contracts Financial positions, beginning of period, net Calls Purchased Calls and puts sold Positions closed or expired	1,822,500 240,000 (240,000)		11	580,000 980,000 (1,320,000)		1 (1)		
Net positions-long				240,000				
Holding gains (losses) Unrealized gain at beginning of period, net Unrealized(loss)/gain for the period Unrealized (losses)/gains at end of period, net			1 (7) (6)			1		
Financial positions at end of period, net	6,112,500	\$	40	1,120,000	\$	10		

Concentration of Credit Risk. Seven customers, which represented an aggregate of 33 percent of TVA s total power sales in 2006 and 2005, purchased power from TVA under contracts that require either five or 10 years notice to terminate. Outstanding accounts receivable for these customers at September 30, 2006, were \$561 million, or 42 percent of total outstanding accounts receivable, and at September 30, 2005, were \$399 million, or 39 percent, of total outstanding accounts receivable.

9. Debt

Borrowing Authority

The TVA Act authorizes TVA to issue bonds, notes, and other evidences of indebtedness (Bonds) up to a total of \$30 billion outstanding at any one time. TVA must meet certain financial tests that are contained in the TVA Act and TVA s bond covenants. Debt service on these obligations, which is payable solely from TVA s net power proceeds, has precedence over payments to the U.S. Treasury. See Note 7 Appropriation Investment. TVA Bonds are not obligations of the United States, and the United States does not guarantee the payments of the principal of or the interest on Bonds.

Short-Term Debt

The weighted average rates applicable to short-term debt outstanding in the public market as of September 30, 2006, 2005, and 2004, were 5.21 percent, 3.64 percent, and 1.70 percent, respectively. During 2006, 2005, and 2004, the maximum outstanding balances of TVA short-term borrowings held by the public were \$2.8 billion, \$3.1 billion, and \$2.1 billion, respectively. For these same years, the average amounts (and weighted average interest rates) of TVA short-term borrowings were approximately \$2.0 billion (4.47 percent), \$2.1 billion (2.70 percent), and \$1.1 billion (1.14 percent), respectively.

TVA also has access to a financing arrangement with the U.S. Treasury whereby it is authorized to accept a short-term note with the maturity of 1 year or less in an amount not to exceed \$150 million. TVA may draw any portion of the authorized \$150 million during the year. Interest is accrued daily and paid quarterly at a rate

Page 99 of 141

determined by the United States Secretary of the Treasury each month based on the average rate on outstanding marketable obligations of the United States with maturities of one year or less. During 2006, 2005, and 2004, the daily average amounts outstanding (and average interest rates) were approximately \$131 million (4.33 percent), \$103 million (2.46 percent), and \$35 million (1.06 percent), respectively.

In May 2006, TVA converted its \$2.5 billion short-term revolving credit facility agreement with a national bank into two \$1.25 billion short-term revolving credit facilities with the same national bank. In order to provide greater flexibility going forward, TVA staggered the maturities of the two credit facilities to November 12, 2006, and May 16, 2007, respectively. See Note 16 Subsequent Events Revolving Credit Facility Agreement. The two facilities provide TVA with unsecured revolving lines of credit of up to \$2.5 billion. The interest rate on any borrowing under either of these agreements is variable and based on market factors and the rating of TVA s senior unsecured long-term non-credit enhanced debt at the time TVA draws on either facility. TVA is required to pay an unused facility fee on the portion of the total \$2.5 billion against which TVA has not borrowed. This fee may fluctuate depending upon the rating of TVA s senior unsecured long-term non-credit enhanced debt. There were no outstanding borrowings under the facilities at September 30, 2006. TVA anticipates renewing each facility from time to time.

Put and Call Options

Bond issues of \$2.2 billion held by the public are redeemable in whole or in part, at TVA s option, on call dates ranging from the present to 2020 and at call prices ranging from 100 percent to 106 percent of the principal amount. Additionally, as of September 30, 2006, TVA had a Bond issue of \$600 million, which matures in December 2016 and is redeemable in December 2006 at the option of the bondholders. The Bond issue is reported in the debt schedule with a maturity date corresponding to the earliest redemption date. Sixty-two Bond issues totaling \$1.1 billion, with maturity dates ranging from 2008 to 2026, include a survivor s option, which allows for right of redemption upon the death of a beneficial owner in certain specified circumstances. There is no accounting difference between a survivor s option put and a regular put on any TVA put bond.

Additionally, TVA has two issues of Putable Automatic Rate Reset Securities (PARRS) outstanding. After a fixed-rate period of five years, the coupon rate on the PARRS may automatically be reset downward under certain market conditions on an annual basis. The coupon rate reset on the TVA PARRS is based on a calculation. For either series of PARRS, the coupon rate will reset downward on the reset date if the rate calculated is below the coupon rate on the Bond. The calculation dates, potential reset dates, and terms of the calculation, are different for each series. The coupon rate on the 1998 Series D PARRS may be reset on June 1 (annually) if the sum of the five-day average of the 30-Year Constant Maturity Treasury (CMT) rate for the week ending the last Friday in April, plus 94 basis points, is below the then-current coupon rate. The coupon rate on the 1999 Series A PARRS may be reset on May 1 (annually) if the sum of the five-day average of the 30-Year CMT rate for the week ending the last Friday in March, plus 84 basis points, is below the then-current coupon rate. The coupon rates may only be reset downward, but investors may request to redeem their bonds at par value in conjunction with a coupon rate reset, for a limited period of time prior to the reset dates and under certain circumstances. Due to the contingent nature of the put option on the PARRS, TVA determines whether the PARRS should be classified as long-term debt or current maturities of long-term debt by calculating the expected reset rate on the bonds. The expected reset rate is calculated using forward rates and the fixed spread for each bond issue as noted above. If the expected reset rate is less than the coupon on the bond, the PARRS are included in current maturities. Otherwise, the PARRS are included in long-term debt. At September 30, 2006, the expected reset rate is higher than the current coupon on each issue of PARRS issues, therefore the par amount outstanding is classified as long-term debt.

One PARRS issue totals \$466 million, matures in June 2028, and had its first reset date in June 2003. The rate reset to 5.95 percent from 6.75 percent in June 2003, at which time \$23 million of the original \$575 million of the 1998 Series D PARRS were redeemed at par, and reset again to 5.49 percent from 5.95 percent in June 2005, at which time \$86 million of the 1998 Series D PARRS were redeemed at par. The second issue of PARRS totals \$410 million, matures in May 2029, and had its first rate reset date in May 2004. The rate reset in May 2004 to 5.62 percent from 6.50 percent, and \$115 million of the original \$525 million 1999 Series A PARRS were redeemed at par.

Debt Securities Activity

The table below summarizes TVA s Bond activity for the period from October 1, 2005, to September 30, 2006.

Page 100 of 141

Debt Securities Activity from October 1, 2005 to September 30, 2006

	Principal 2006	Amount 2005
Redemptions/Maturities: electronotes®		
First quarter	\$ 152	\$ 3
Second quarter	3	75
Third quarter	4	101
Fourth quarter	4	3
2000E QUINTS		100
1998D PARRS		86
1995 Series A		2,000
1996 Series C	1,000	
2003 Series B	28*	
2005 Series A	64*	
Total	\$ 1,255	\$ 2,368
Issues		
electronotes®		
First quarter	\$ 49	\$
Second quarter	19	25
Third quarter	37	105
Fourth quarter	27	20
2006 Series A	1,000	
2005 Series A		500
2005 Series B		1,000
Total	\$ 1,132	\$ 1,650
Inflation indexed bond accretion	\$ 15	\$ 11
Note		

* Includes

\$13 million gain on redemption See Note 10.

Debt Outstanding

Debt outstanding at September 30, 2006, consisted of the following:

Short-Term Debt

As of September 30

					2005
		Call/(Put)	Coupon	2006	Par
CUSIP or Other Identifier	Maturity	Date	Rate		Amount

Edgar Filing: Tennessee Valley Authority - Form 10-K

Discount Notes (net of discount)				\$	2,376	\$ 2,469	
Current maturities of long-term debt:							
88059TAE1	06/15/2021	10/02/2005	6.350%			28	
88059TAJ0	08/15/2021	10/02/2005	6.100%			23	
88059TAZ4	05/15/2017	10/02/2005	6.000%			40	
88059TCM1	10/15/2023	10/02/2005	5.625%			15	
88059TCG4	08/15/2018	10/02/2005	5.500%			44	
880591CK6	04/01/2036	(04/03/2006)	5.980%			121	
880591CM2	09/18/2006		7.125%			922	
880591CS9	04/01/2036	(04/03/2006)	5.880%			1,500	
880591CQ3	01/15/2007		6.643%		385		
880591DS8	12/15/2016	(12/15/2006)	4.875%		600		
Current maturities of long-term debt					985	2,693	
Total short-term debt, net				\$	3,361	\$ 5,162	
Page 101 of 141							

Long-Term Debt¹ As of September 30

	Call/(Put)	Coupon	2006 Par	2005 Par
Maturity	Date Rate		Amount	Amount
01/15/2007 12/15/2016	(12/15/2006)	6.643% 4.875%	\$	\$ 370 600
				970
01/15/2008 01/15/2008 05/15/2008	01/15/2004 01/15/2004 05/15/2004	3.050% 3.300% 2.450%	10 40 40	10 40 41
			90	91
11/13/2008 03/15/2009	03/15/2005	5.375% 3.200%	2,000 30	2,000 31
			2,030	2,031
04/15/2010 06/15/2010	04/15/2007 06/15/2006	5.125% 4.125%	21 42	42
			63	42
01/18/2011 05/15/2011 06/15/2011	05/15/2007 06/15/2007	5.625% 5.250% 5.250%	1,000 6 9	1,000
			1,015	1,000
05/23/2012 05/23/2012 09/15/2012	09/15/2004	7.140% 6.790% 4.375%	29 1,486 10	29 1,486 10
			1,525	1,525
03/15/2013 01/15/2013 03/15/2013 03/15/2013 06/15/2013 08/01/2013 07/15/2013	01/15/2005 03/15/2005 03/15/2004 06/15/2004 07/15/2005 07/15/2008	6.000% 4.375% 4.000% 4.250% 3.500% 4.750% 4.350% 5.625%	1,359 14 23 13 12 990 17 9	1,359 14 23 13 12 990 18
	01/15/2007 12/15/2016 01/15/2008 01/15/2008 05/15/2008 11/13/2008 05/15/2009 04/15/2010 01/18/2011 05/15/2011 06/15/2011 05/23/2012 05/23/2012 09/15/2013 01/15/2013 03/15/2013 03/15/2013 03/15/2013 08/01/2013 07/15/2013	Maturity Date 01/15/2007 12/15/2016 (12/15/2006) 01/15/2008 01/15/2008 01/15/2004 05/15/2008 01/15/2004 01/15/2004 05/15/2004 01/15/2008 03/15/2009 03/15/2005 04/15/2010 06/15/2010 04/15/2007 06/15/2006 01/18/2011 05/15/2011 05/15/2011 06/15/2011 05/15/2007 06/15/2007 05/23/2012 09/15/2012 09/15/2013 03/15/2013 03/15/2013 03/15/2013 03/15/2013 06/15/2004 06/15/2013 07/15/2004 01/15/2005 03/15/2004 06/15/2013 07/15/2005	Maturity Date Rate 01/15/2007 12/15/2016 (12/15/2006) 6.643% 4.875% 01/15/2008 01/15/2008 01/15/2004 01/15/2004 3.050% 3.300% 05/15/2004 05/15/2008 05/15/2009 05/15/2004 05/15/2005 5.375% 3.200% 04/15/2010 06/15/2010 04/15/2007 06/15/2006 5.125% 4.125% 01/18/2011 05/15/2011 05/15/2007 06/15/2007 5.250% 5.250% 05/23/2012 05/23/2012 05/23/2012 09/15/2013 7.140% 6.790% 07/15/2013 6.000% 07/15/2005 07/15/2013 07/15/2013 03/15/2013 06/15/2013 06/15/2013 07/15/2013 07/15/2013 07/15/2005 4.300% 4.250% 06/15/2013 07/15/2003 07/15/2003 07/15/2003 07/15/2003 07/15/2005 4.350%	Maturity Date Rate Par Amount 01/15/2007 12/15/2016 (12/15/2006) 6.643% 4.875% \$ 01/15/2008 01/15/2008 01/15/2004 01/15/2004 3.050% 3.300% 40 10 01/15/2008 05/15/2008 05/15/2004 05/15/2004 2.450% 40 40 11/13/2008 03/15/2009 03/15/2005 03/15/2009 5.375% 3.200% 30 2,000 30 04/15/2010 06/15/2010 04/15/2007 06/15/2006 5.125% 42 21 04/15/2011 05/15/2011 05/15/2011 05/15/2011 06/15/2011 06/15/2012 09/15/2012 09/15/2012 09/15/2012 09/15/2004 5.625% 4.250% 9 1,000 6.000% 1,486 0.790

Edgar Filing: Tennessee Valley Authority - Form 10-K

Maturing in 2013				2,437	2,429
88059TCL3	10/15/2013	10/15/2005	4.500%	12	12
88059TCQ2	12/15/2013	12/15/2005	4.700%	8	8
88059TBJ9	10/15/2014	10/15/2004	4.600%	22	22
88059TBN0	12/15/2014	12/15/2004	5.000%	54	55
88059TBY6	04/15/2015	04/15/2005	4.600%	20	20
88059TDB4	04/15/2015	04/15/2007	5.000%	50	50
880591DY5	06/15/2015		4.375%	1,000	1,000
88059TDE8	07/15/2015	07/15/2007	4.500%	7	7
88059TCH2	08/15/2015	08/15/2005	5.125%	34	35
88050TBK6	10/15/2015	10/15/2005	5.050%	19	19
88059TDH1	10/15/2015	10/15/2007	5.000%	28	
88059TBL4	11/15/2015	11/15/2005	4.800%	27	27
88059TCR0	12/15/2015	12/15/2005	4.875%	11	11
88059TDK4	12/15/2015	12/15/2006	5.375%	10	
88059TBU4	02/15/2016	02/15/2006	4.550%	9	9
88059TCV1	02/15/2016	02/15/2006	4.500%	3	3
88059TDN8	03/15/2016	03/15/2008	5.375%	8	
88059TCC3	06/15/2016	06/15/2006	3.875%	4	4
88059TDT5	08/15/2016	08/15/2007	5.625%	4	
88059TCJ8	09/15/2016	09/15/2006	4.950%	11	11
88059TDU2	09/15/2016	09/15/2007	5.375%	14	
88059TCS8	01/15/2017	01/15/2007	5.000%	29	29
880591CU4	12/15/2017		6.250%	750	750
88059TCA7	05/15/2018	05/15/2004	4.750%	24	24
88059TCE9	07/15/2018	07/15/2004	4.700%	35	36
88059TCN9	11/15/2018	11/15/2006	5.125%	18	19
88059TCT6	01/15/2019	01/15/2005	5.000%	28	28
88059TCX7	03/15/2019	03/15/2007	4.500%	13	13
88059TDF5	08/15/2020	08/15/2008	5.000%	10	10
88059TDG3	09/15/2020	09/15/2008	4.800%	3	3
88059TDJ7	11/15/2020	11/15/2008	5.500%	11	
	Page 10	2 of 141			

Long-Term Debt, continued

		Call/(Put) Coupon			2006 Par	2005 Par
CUSIP or Other Identifier	Maturity	Date	Rate	A	mount	Amount
88059TDL2	01/18/2021	01/15/2009	5.125%	\$	5	\$
880591DC3	06/07/2021		5.805%		374	352
88859TAN1	12/15/2021	12/15/2005	6.000%		25	25
88059TAR2	01/15/2022	01/15/2006	6.125%		28	28
88059TAX9	04/15/2022	04/15/2006	6.125%		14	14
88059TBE0	08/15/2022	08/15/2006	5.500%		28	29
88059TBM2	11/15/2022	11/15/2006	5.000%		11	11
88059TBP5	12/15/2022	12/15/2006	5.000%		20	20
88059TBT7	01/15/2023	01/15/2007	5.000%		11	12
88059TBV2	02/15/2023	02/15/2007	5.000%		17	18
88059TBZ3	05/15/2023	05/15/2004	5.125%		15	15
88059TCK5	10/15/2023	10/15/2007	5.200%		14	14
88059TCP4	11/15/2023	11/15/2004	5.250%		12	12
88059TCU3	02/15/2024	02/15/2008	5.125%		9	9
88059TCY5	04/15/2024	04/15/2005	5.375%		14	15
88059TCZ2	02/15/2025	02/15/2006	5.000%		18	19
88059TDA6	03/15/2025	03/15/2009	5.000%		6	6
88059TDC2	05/15/2025	05/15/2009	5.125%		14	14
880591CJ9	11/01/2025		6.750%		1,350	1,350
88059TDM0	02/15/2026	02/15/2010	5.500%		7	,
880591300 ²	06/01/2028		5.490%		466	466
880591409 ²	05/01/2029		5.618%		410	410
880591DM1	05/01/2030		7.125%		1,000	1,000
880591DP4	07/07/2032		6.587%		468	441
880591DV1	07/15/2033		4.700%		472	500
880591DX7	06/15/2035		4.650%		436	500
880591CK6	04/01/2036		5.980%		121	
880591CS9	04/01/2036		5.880%		1,500	
880591CP5	01/15/2038		6.150%		1,000	1,000
880591BL5	04/15/2042	04/15/2012	8.250%		1,000	1,000
880591DU3	06/07/2043		4.962%		281	265
880591CF7	07/15/2045	07/15/2020	6.235%		140	140
880591DZ2	04/01/2056	0771672020	5.375%		1,000	1.0
Maturing 2014-2056					12,562	9,890
Subtotal					19,722	17,978
Unamortized discounts, premiums, and					(1=0)	/aa=
other					(178)	(227)
Total long-term debt, net				\$	19,544	\$ 17,751

Notes

- (1) The above table includes net translation losses from currency transactions of \$195 million and \$52 million at September 30, 2006, and 2005, respectively.
- (2) TVA PARRS,
 CUSIP numbers
 880591300 and
 880591409,
 may be
 redeemed under
 certain
 conditions. See
 Note 9 Put and
 Call Options.

10. Supplemental Cash Flow Information

Interest paid was \$1,260 million in 2006, \$1,351 million in 2005, and \$1,382 million in 2004. This differs from interest expense due to the timing of payments and interest capitalized of \$163 million in 2006, \$116 million in 2005, and \$99 million in 2004 as a part of major capital expenditures.

TVA had non-cash activity related to financing activities on the 2005 Statements of Cash Flows related to a capital lease for BLEU fuel of \$36.2 million. See Note 1 *Blended Low Enriched Uranium Program.* In 2006 TVA had non-cash activity related to financing activities of \$13 million related to a gain on the repurchase of Bonds.

11. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

TVA uses the methods and assumptions described below to estimate the fair value of each significant class of financial instrument. The fair market value of the financial instruments held at September 30, 2006, may not be representative of the actual gains or losses that will be recorded when these instruments mature or if they are called or presented for early redemption.

Page 103 of 141

The estimated values of TVA s financial instruments at September 30 are as follows:

Estimated Values of Financial Instruments

As of September 30

	2006					2005					
		rying nount	Fair Value		Carrying Amount			Fair Value			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	536	\$	536	\$	538		\$	538		
Restricted cash and investments		198		198		107			107		
Investment funds		972		972		858			858		
Loans and other long-term receivables		102		102		93			93		
Short-term debt, net of discount	2	2,376	,	2,376		2,469		2	2,469		
Long-term debt (including current portion), net											
of discount	20),529	22	2,037	2	0,444		22	2,552		
Other financing obligations	1	,108		1,108		1,143		1	1,143		

Cash and Cash Equivalents, Short-Term Investments, and Short-Term Debt

Because of the short-term maturity of these instruments, the carrying amount approximates fair value.

Restricted cash and investments

Because of the short-term maturity of these instruments, the carrying amount approximates fair value. *Investment Funds*

Information on investments by major type at September 30 is as follows:

TVA Investments By Type

As of September 30

	2006	2005	
Securities held as trading Other	\$ 966 6	\$ 853 5	
Total investment funds	\$ 972	\$ 858	

Gains and losses on trading securities are recognized in current earnings. The gains and losses on the nuclear decommissioning trust are subsequently reclassified to a regulatory asset account in accordance with TVA s decommissioning accounting policy. See Note 1 *Decommissioning Costs*. The nuclear decommissioning trust had unrealized losses of \$24 million in 2006, unrealized gains of \$48 million in 2005, and \$29 million in 2004.

Loans and Other Long-Term Receivables

Fair values for loans and long-term receivables are estimated by determining the present value of future cash flows using a discounted rate equal to lending rates for similar loans made to borrowers with similar credit ratings and for the same remaining maturities. The carrying amount approximates fair value.

Long-Term Debt

Fair value of long-term debt traded in the public market is determined by multiplying the par value of the Bonds by the indicative market price at the Balance Sheet date.

Other Financing Obligations

In 2003, 2002, and 2000, TVA received approximately \$325 million, \$320 million, and \$300 million, respectively, in proceeds by entering into lease/leaseback transactions for 24 new peaking combustion turbine units. TVA also received approximately \$389 million in proceeds by entering into a lease/leaseback transaction for qualified technological equipment and software in 2003. Due to the nature of the transactions, the carrying amount of the obligation and the fair market value are equal. At September 30, 2006, and 2005, the total balances of the obligations

were \$1,108 million and \$1,143 million, respectively.

Page 104 of 141

Due to TVA s continuing involvement in the operation and maintenance of the leased units and equipment, and its control over the distribution of power produced by the facilities during the leaseback term, TVA accounted for the respective lease proceeds of \$714 million, \$320 million, and \$300 million as financing obligations as required in accordance with SFAS No. 66, *Accounting for Sales of Real Estate*, and SFAS No. 98, *Accounting for Leases*. Accordingly, the outstanding lease/leaseback obligations of \$1,108 million at September 30, 2006, and \$1,143 million at September 30, 2005, are included in Current Portion of Lease/Leaseback Obligations (\$37 million and \$35 million, respectively) and Lease/Leaseback Obligations (\$1,071 million and \$1,108 million, respectively) in TVA s 2006 and 2005 year-end Balance Sheets.

12. Benefit Plans

Overview

TVA sponsors a defined benefit pension plan that covers most of its full-time employees, an unfunded postretirement medical plan that provides for non-vested contributions toward the cost of certain retirees medical coverage, and other postemployment benefits such as workers compensation. During 2006, 2005, and 2004, TVA recognized pension expense of \$244 million, \$243 million, and \$178 million, respectively, postretirement benefit expense of \$58 million, \$46 million, and \$36 million (which includes \$7 million in special termination costs), respectively, and \$44 million, \$71 million, and \$66 million of postemployment benefit expense, respectively. TVA s reported costs of providing these benefits are impacted by numerous factors including the provisions of the plans, changing employee demographics, and various assumptions, the most significant of which are described below.

Discount Rate. In selecting an assumed discount rate, TVA reviews market yields on high-quality corporate debt and long-term obligations of the U.S. Treasury. Based on recent market trends, TVA increased its discount rate from 5.81 percent and 5.38 percent at the end of 2004 and 2005, respectively, to 5.90 percent at the end of 2006.

Health Care Costs. TVA reviews actual recent cost trends and projected future trends in establishing health care cost trend rates. Based on this review process, TVA did not reset its health care cost trend rate assumption used in calculating the 2006 accumulated postretirement benefit obligations. The assumed health care trend rate used for 2006 is 8.5 percent which represents a one-half percent reduction to the 9.0 percent trend rate used during 2005. Prior to 2006, TVA used a health care cost trend rate of 9.0 percent during each of the four prior years. The 2006 health care cost trend rate of 8.5 percent is assumed to gradually decrease each successive year until it reaches a five percent annual increase in health care costs in the year beginning October 1, 2013, and beyond.

Rate of Return. In determining its expected long-term rate of return on pension plan assets, TVA reviews past long-term performance, asset allocations, and long-term inflation assumptions. TVA utilized a rate of return of 8.00 percent during 2003 in the aftermath of the market declines of 2002 and 2001. TVA increased its expected long-term rate of return on pension plan assets to 8.25 percent at the end of 2004 and 2005. However, TVA has increased its expected rate of return to 8.75 percent at the end of 2006 based on revisions to future expected returns as provided by third party professional asset managers.

Actuarial Assumptions. TVA utilizes professional actuaries to perform valuation services related to the areas of pension, postretirement, and postemployment benefits. Net periodic pension cost is determined using assumptions as of the beginning of each year. Funded status is determined using assumptions as of the end of each year. The valuations performed at the end of 2006 were based on applications of actuarial assumptions that were consistent for all of TVA s benefit plans.

Pension Benefits. TVA sponsors a defined benefit plan for most of its full-time employees that provides two benefit structures: the Original Benefit Structure and the Cash Balance Benefit Structure. The plan is controlled and administered by a legal entity separate from TVA, the TVA Retirement System (TVARS), which is governed by its own independent board of directors. The plan assets are primarily stocks and bonds. Upon notification by the TVARS Board of a recommended contribution for the next fiscal year, TVA determines whether to make the recommended contribution or any contribution that may be required by the Rules and Regulations of TVARS.

The pension benefit for a member participating in the Original Benefit Structure is based on the member s years of creditable service, the member s average base pay for the highest three consecutive years, and the pension rate for the member s age and years of service, less a Social Security offset. The pension benefit for a member participating in the Cash Balance Benefit Structure is based on credits accumulated in the member s account and the member s age. A

member s account receives credits each pay period equal to 6.0 percent of his or her straight-time earnings. The account also increases at an interest rate equal to the change in the Consumer Price Index (CPI) plus 3.0 percent, with the provision that the rate may not be less than 6.0 percent or more than 10.0 percent. The Page 105 of 141

actual change in the CPI for 2006 and 2005 was 3.37 percent and 3.00 percent, which resulted in interest rates of 6.37 percent and 6.00 percent, respectively.

Members of both the Original Benefit Structure and the Cash Balance Benefit Structure can also become eligible for a vested supplemental pension benefit based on age and years of service, which is designed to help retirees offset the cost of medical insurance. TVARS also administers a defined contribution plan, a 401(k) plan to which TVA makes matching contributions of 25 cents on the dollar (up to 1.5 percent of pay) for members participating in the Original Benefit Structure and of 75 cents on the dollar (up to 4.5 percent of pay) for members participating in the Cash Balance Benefit Structure. TVA made matching contributions of about \$19 million to the plan during 2006, \$17 million during 2005, and \$16 million during 2004.

Pension Results

Effective for the end of year measurement date and the calculation of funded status, the discount rate was increased from 5.38 percent for 2005 to 5.90 percent for 2006. The cost of living rate was adjusted upward from the 2005 rate of 2.50 percent to 3.0 percent for 2006 to reflect current market and demographic conditions. Additionally, TVA continued to use its assumption related to mortality based on results of an experience study performed during the prior year which underlies the use of 1983 mortality tables. Based on the use of the assumptions described, the projected benefit obligation (PBO) at September 30, 2006, increased approximately \$167 million compared to the PBO at September 30, 2005. The PBO increased a total of \$167 million comprised, in part, of an increase of \$163 million due to normal operation of the plan (primarily in the form of service cost and interest accruals), a decrease of \$170 million in the PBO due to changes in the discount rate (from 5.38 percent to 5.90 percent) and changes in the cost of living assumptions (from 2.5 percent to 3.0 percent), and incurred liability losses of \$173 million related primarily to more-than-assumed early retirements. The assumptions used in the 2006 end-of-year actuarial valuation process had no effect on pension costs for 2006, 2005, or 2004. The accumulated benefit obligation at September 30, 2006 and September 30, 2005 was \$8.2 billion and \$8.0 billion, respectively.

Other Postretirement Benefits

TVA sponsors an unfunded postretirement plan that provides for non-vested contributions toward the cost of certain retirees medical coverage. This plan formerly covered all eligible retirees participating in the TVA medical plan, and TVA s contributions were a flat dollar amount based on the participants ages and years of service and certain payments toward the plan costs. This plan now operates on a much more limited basis, covering only certain retirees and surviving dependents who do not qualify for TVARS benefits, including the vested supplemental pension benefit.

The initial annual assumed cost trend for covered benefits was 8.5 percent in 2006, decreasing by one-half percent per year to a level of 5.0 percent beginning on October 1, 2013, and thereafter. For 2005 and 2004, annual trend rates of 9.0 percent and 9.0 percent, respectively, were assumed. The effect of the change in assumptions on the cost basis was not significant. Increasing/(reducing) the assumed health-care cost trend rates by one percent would increase/(reduce) the accumulated postretirement benefit obligation (APBO) as of September 30, 2006, by \$61 million/(\$65 million) and the aggregated service and interest cost components of net periodic postretirement benefit cost for 2006 by \$4 million/(\$5 million). The weighted average discount rate used in determining the end-of-year APBO was 5.90 percent for 2006, 5.38 percent for 2005, and 5.81 percent for 2004. Any net unrecognized gain or loss resulting from experience different from that assumed or from changes in assumptions, and exceeding ten percent of the APBO, is amortized over the average remaining service period of active plan participants.

Based on the use of the assumptions described, the 2006 APBO for postretirement benefits decreased approximately \$93 million. The change in the obligation was comprised of a \$16 million increase due to normal operation of the plan (primarily in the form of service cost and interest accruals) and a decrease of \$109 million due to other actuarial and experience adjustments including gains and losses. The \$109 million decrease in the obligation is comprised of two components. The first component of the actuarial and experience adjustments is comprised of an actuarial gain of approximately \$30 million related to the actuarial discount rate which was increased to 5.90 percent in 2006 from 5.38 percent in 2005. The second component includes a combined gain of approximately \$79 million due to actuarial gains resulting from claims experience more favorable than expected combined with a reduction in expected plan retiree medical credits.

The set of assumptions used for the end-of-year actuarial valuation process had no effect on postretirement benefit costs for 2006, 2005, or 2004 but, when coupled with further experience adjustments related to claims and contributions, is expected to decrease postretirement benefits expense for 2007 by approximately \$16 million compared to 2006. TVA expects 2007 postretirement health care cost to approximate \$42 million, a decrease of \$16 million over 2006 costs.

Page 106 of 141

Components of Pension and Other Postretirement Benefits

The components of pension expense and other postretirement benefits expense for the years ended September 30 were:

Components of Pension and Other Postretirement Benefits

		Pension Benefits			Other Postretirement Benefits				
		2006	2005		2	2006	2	2005	
Change in benefit obligation									
Benefit obligation at beginning of year	\$	8,433	\$	7,754	\$	544	\$	447	
Service cost Interest cost		127 440		117 428		9 29		6 25	
Plan participants contributions		35		428		64		63	
Amendments, including special events		33		71		04		03	
Actuarial loss		3		489		(108)		91	
Net transfers from variable fund/401(k) plan		9		24					
Expenses paid		(4)		(4)					
Benefits paid		(443)		(416)		(87)		(88)	
Benefit obligation at end of year	\$	8,600	\$	8,433	\$	451	\$	544	
Change in plan assets									
Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year	\$	7,015	\$	6,415	\$		\$		
Adjustment to reconcile to system asset value	·	- 7	·	-, -	·		'		
Actual return on plan assets		641		902					
Plan participants contributions		35		41		64		63	
Net transfers from variable fund/401(k) plan		9		24					
Employer contributions		75		53		23		25	
Expenses paid		(4)		(4)		(97)		(00)	
Benefits paid		(443)		(416)		(87)		(88)	
Fair value of plan assets at end of year	\$	7,328	\$	7,015	\$		\$		
Funded status	\$	(1,272)	\$	(1,418)	\$	(451)	\$	(544)	
Unrecognized net actuarial loss	Ψ	1,275	Ψ	1,554	Ψ	113	Ψ	237	
Unrecognized prior service cost		275		311		39		44	
Unrecognized transition obligations									
Prepaid (accrued) benefit cost	\$	278	\$	447	\$	(299)	\$	(263)	
Amount recognized on balance sheet									
Prepaid benefit cost	\$		\$		\$		\$		
Accrued benefit liability	·	(903)		(1,010)	•	(299)	•	(263)	
Other long-term asset		275		311		•		•	
		906		1,146					

Accumulated other comprehensive income reclassified to regulatory assets

Net amount recognized	\$	278	\$	447	\$	(299)	\$ (263)
Weighted average assumptions as of September 30	2006		2005		2006		2005
Discount rate		5.90%		5.38%		5.90%	5.38%
Expected return on plan assets	3.3%	8.75% 10. %	3.3%	8.25% 10. %		NA NA	NA NA
Rate of compensation increase Initial health care trend rate	3.3%	10.10 NA	3.3%	10.10 NA		8.50%	9.00%
Ultimate health care trend rate		NA		NA		5.00%	5.00%
Ultimate trend rate is reached in year beginning		NA		NA		2013	2013
	Page 10'	7 of 141					

Components of Pension and Other Postretirement Benefits

						Other Postretirement							
	Pension Benefits					Benefits							
	2006		2	2005		2004		2006		2005		2004	
Components of net periodic													
benefit cost													
Service cost	\$	127	\$	117	\$	112	\$	9	\$	6	\$	5	
Interest cost		440		429		406		28		25		18	
Expected return on plan assets		(490)		(457)		(464)		NA		NA		NA	
Amortization of prior service cost Amortization of transition obligation		36		36		36		5		5		5	
Recognized net actuarial loss		131		118		88		16		10		1	
Net periodic benefit cost Special events		244		243		178		58		46		29 7	
Total benefits cost	\$	244	\$	243	\$	178	\$	58	\$	46	\$	36	