

TECHTEAM GLOBAL INC

Form 10-K

March 16, 2009

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-K**

**Annual Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008**

Commission File Number: 0-16284

TECHTEAM GLOBAL, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

38-2774613

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

27335 West 11 Mile Road, Southfield, MI 48033

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: **(248) 357-2866**

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act: **None**

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

Title of Each Class
Common Stock, \$.01 par value

Name of each exchange on which registered
NASDAQ® Global Market

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of Registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

The aggregate market value of the Registrant's common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of June 30, 2008 was approximately \$97,583,000 (based on the June 30, 2008 closing sales price of \$10.70 of the Registrant's common stock, as reported on the NASDAQ® Global Market). For the sole purpose of making this calculation, the term non-affiliates has been interpreted to exclude directors and executive officers of the Company. Such interpretation is not intended to be, and should not be construed to be, an admission by TechTeam Global, Inc. or such directors or executive officers of the Company that such directors and executive officers of the Company are affiliates of TechTeam Global, Inc., as that term is defined under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

The number of shares outstanding of the registrant's common stock as of March 1, 2009 was 10,882,640.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the registrant's definitive Proxy Statement, to be filed on or before April 1, 2009, are incorporated by reference into Items 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14 of Part III of this report.

**TECHTEAM GLOBAL, INC.
FORM 10-K
TABLE OF CONTENTS**

| | Page Number |
|---|------------------------|
| <u>PART I</u> | |
| <u>Item 1 Business</u> | 3 |
| <u>Item 1A Risk Factors</u> | 12 |
| <u>Item 1B Unresolved Staff Comments</u> | 21 |
| <u>Item 2 Properties</u> | 22 |
| <u>Item 3 Legal Proceedings</u> | 22 |
| <u>Item 4 Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u> | 22 |
| <u>PART II</u> | |
| <u>Item 5 Market for Registrant's Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters</u> | 23 |
| <u>Item 6 Selected Financial Data</u> | 25 |
| <u>Item 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 27 |
| <u>Item 7A Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u> | 42 |
| <u>Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u> | 44 |
| <u>Item 9 Changes In and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u> | 80 |
| <u>Item 9A Controls and Procedures</u> | 80 |
| <u>Item 9B Other Information</u> | 80 |
| <u>PART III</u> | |
| <u>Item 10 Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u> | 81 |
| <u>Item 11 Executive Compensation</u> | 81 |
| <u>Item 12 Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u> | 82 |
| <u>Item 13 Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u> | 82 |
| <u>Item 14 Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u> | 82 |
| <u>PART IV</u> | |
| <u>Item 15 Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u> | 83 |
| <u>SIGNATURES</u> | 87 |
| <u>FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULE</u> | 89 |
| <u>EX-10.4</u> | |
| <u>EX-10.8</u> | |
| <u>EX-10.12</u> | |
| <u>EX-10.13</u> | |
| <u>EX-10.20</u> | |
| <u>EX-10.25</u> | |
| <u>EX-10.26</u> | |
| <u>EX-10.28</u> | |
| <u>EX-10.30</u> | |
| <u>EX-10.33</u> | |
| <u>EX-10.34</u> | |
| <u>EX-10.35</u> | |
| <u>EX-10.36</u> | |
| <u>EX-10.37</u> | |
| <u>EX-21.1</u> | |
| <u>EX-23.1</u> | |
| <u>EX-31.1</u> | |
| <u>EX-31.2</u> | |
| <u>EX-32.1</u> | |

EX-32.2

EX-99.1

Table of Contents**Forward-Looking Statements**

This Annual Report on Form 10-K, including Item 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties, as well as assumptions that, if they never materialize or prove incorrect, could cause the results of TechTeam Global, Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries (TechTeam) to differ materially from those expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. All statements other than statements of historical fact are statements that could be deemed forward-looking statements, including any projections of revenue, gross margin, expenses, earnings or losses from operations, synergies or other financial items; any statements of the plans, strategies and objectives of management for future operations; any statements concerning developments or performance relating to our services; any statements regarding future economic conditions or performance; any statements of expectation or belief; and any statements of assumptions underlying any of the foregoing. The risks, uncertainties and assumptions referred to above include the global economic crisis; the performance of contracts by suppliers, customers and partners; employee management issues; the difficulty of aligning expense levels with revenue changes; complexities of global political and economic developments; and other risks that are described herein, including but not limited to the items discussed in Item 1A Risk Factors of this report, and that are otherwise described from time to time in TechTeam's reports filed with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission. TechTeam assumes no obligation and does not intend to update these forward-looking statements.

PART I**Item 1. BUSINESS****General**

TechTeam Global, Inc. (including its consolidated subsidiaries, TechTeam, the Company or we) is a global provider of information technology (IT) outsourcing, enterprise support and business process outsourcing (BPO) services to Fortune 1000 companies, government entities, multinational companies, product and service providers, and small and medium-sized companies. Our periodic reports and current reports filed with the United States (U.S.) Securities and Exchange Commission are available free of charge on our Web site, www.techteam.com.

TechTeam Global, Inc. was incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware in 1987. Our common stock is traded on the NASDAQ® Global Market under the symbol **TEAM**. Our client base includes, but is not limited to, Ford Motor Company, Deere & Company, MICROS, Inc., Essilor International, Boehringer Ingelheim and Phillip Morris International, as well as U.S. Federal Government departments and agencies and local government entities, such as the U.S. Air National Guard, National Institutes of Health (NIH), Department of Defense, Department of Homeland Security and Department of Health and Human Services.

Our subsidiaries are: TechTeam Global NV/SA (Belgium); TechTeam Global Ltd. (United Kingdom); TechTeam Global GmbH (Germany); TechTeam Global AB (Sweden), with its subsidiary TechTeam SQM AB (Sweden); TechTeam Global Denmark (a branch of TechTeam Global AB); TechTeam Global SRL (Romania); TechTeam Akela SRL (Romania); TechTeam Global Sp. z o.o. (Poland); TechTeam Global Canada, Inc.; TechTeam Global SAS (France); TechTeam Global Sàrl (Switzerland); TTG Portugal, Lda (Portugal); TechTeam Government Solutions, Inc. (formerly known as Digital Support Corporation, Virginia), with its subsidiary Sytel, Inc., (Maryland); TechTeam Cyntergy, L.L.C. (Michigan); Onvaio LLC (California), with its subsidiary Onvaio Asia Services (Philippines) and TechTeam Australia Pty Limited (Australia).

Table of Contents

Services and Information about Operating Segments

We provide services to our customers in four operating segments – IT Outsourcing Services, IT Consulting and Systems Integration, Government Technology Services and Other Services. IT Outsourcing Services, IT Consulting and Systems Integration, and Other Services comprise our Commercial business segments, and Government Technology Services is our Government business segment. Information with respect to each of our segments is included in Item 7 Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and in Note 13 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

1. IT Outsourcing Services

Our IT Outsourcing Services segment provides service desk and information technology (IT) infrastructure support around-the-clock (24x7x365) for our clients, their end-users and other constituencies. We maintain and support a full range of our clients’ IT and business process infrastructures from network environments to computing systems, and from shrink-wrapped applications to advanced proprietary and acquired application systems. We also provide technical support internationally and in multiple languages for our customers’ products in the marketplace. The two primary elements of this business segment are Enterprise Support Services and BPO Services, which are supported by a global IT outsourcing delivery model for service desk services as discussed below.

Enterprise Support Services

Our enterprise support services are principally deployed using a single point of contact (SPOC) delivery model designed to enable our clients to consolidate their incident resolution support functions into a centralized service desk, thereby reducing costs by standardizing responses to incidents, reducing unnecessary labor costs and reducing the number of incidents that need to be escalated to a higher-level support function. Our service desk technicians are trained in the client’s IT infrastructure and applications to enable them to diagnose and solve the end-user’s problems and answer technical questions. We then integrate other infrastructure support services into our delivery including, but not limited to, desk side support, remote maintenance, asset management, security administration, network monitoring and server maintenance.

By integrating these services with our service desk, we are able to effectively and efficiently provide standardized infrastructure support services to our customers. We generally provide these services on a managed service basis, with the customer paying for the service on a per-incident, per-seat or volume basis. Our performance is generally measured through service level agreements negotiated with our customers.

Historically, we have provided these services to large enterprises with a need for multilingual support. For example, under the Ford Motor Company (Ford) Global SPOC Program (SPOC Program), we provide a single point of contact service desk for Ford that integrates desk side support. After we have begun to provide service to a customer, we are regularly able to expand the scope of our services to that customer because an increased volume of business allows us to obtain a higher utilization of resources and increased efficiency for the customer. We believe that we will continue to see growth in our multilingual enterprise support for large businesses. We also provide enterprise support services for smaller businesses. Our enterprise support services provide these businesses with a more economical and higher level of service desk, desk side support and network management services than they can provide themselves internally. Our flexible solution design and pricing models enable these businesses to select the level of support their organization requires, whether from dedicated or shared resources.

We are focused on expanding the markets for our enterprise support service model globally. Our customers continue to ask us to expand our support for them to new countries. As a result, we continue to logically expand our global footprint to deliver the multilingual support these clients need. For example, we expanded to the Philippines in 2008, and we are currently exploring means of providing support from Latin America.

Table of Contents

As part of our service offering, we initiated a business relationship with CA, Inc. (formerly known as Computer Associates) under which we license CA's suite of tools to provide Information Technology Infrastructure Library (ITIL) based software and services to our customers. With this arrangement, our customers are able to obtain our services that leverage the use of CA technology. We believe the combination of our integrated infrastructure support and CA technology provides a differentiated service solution to the market, and the availability of CA technology increases demand for our services.

We are strategically expanding the scope of services provided within our enterprise support business model. In order to enhance the value-added set of services within our model, we are increasing our expertise in IT services management through the implementation of the comprehensive set of best practices set forth in ITIL, which has been integrated into the ISO standards.

Business Processing Outsourcing (BPO) Services

Our BPO services provide our clients with a centralized multilingual service desk. Our clients primarily outsource the technical support aspect of their customer service business process to us, such as providing support for our client's applications sold to or provided to their customers. For example, we provide technical support for video editing software and global distribution system software for the travel industry. Where we can create a niche, we also provide a fuller range of services to our customers. For example, we manage the set-up, shipping and support of the hardware and software used in e-clinical trials around the world for our pharmaceutical industry customers. We also provide limited non-technical customer service support for our clients, such as customer enrollments and marketing promotion support.

Global IT Outsourcing Delivery Model

We continue to expand our global IT outsourcing delivery model. In 2008, we established our service desk delivery presence in Asia-Pacific through the acquisition of Onvaio, LLC and the delivery partnership with Rainmaker Systems, Inc. Accordingly, our service desk services for enterprise support and BPO services are now delivered from our facilities in the United States (Dearborn and Southfield, Michigan; and Davenport, Iowa), our facilities in Europe (Brussels, Belgium; Bucharest and Sibiu, Romania; Stockholm, Sweden; and Dresden, Germany), our and Rainmaker's facilities in the Philippines (Manila) and from our customers' facilities. Utilizing a client-specific solution that blends the advantages of each location, we are able to provide cost-effective service in over 32 languages.

As our business becomes more global, our service delivery grows in complexity. To address the complexity we use data analytics, business process improvement methodology, daily productivity/profitability metrics and call center management tools to manage the variables that drive a project's efficiency and profitability. Each delivery site has different costs, available skills and labor laws, which we often need to blend together to deliver a customized solution for our customers. As a result of our global capacity management program, we reduced our facility footprint in Europe in the fourth quarter 2008.

With an increasing number of delivery sites around the world, we are increasingly dependent upon technology to assist in maximizing the overall value and utilization of our technicians. We are in the process of upgrading our phone switch technology globally to fully enable voice over internet protocol (VoIP) and the dynamic routing of calls to the available international resources. Due to these projects and our investment in the CA technology noted earlier, we expect our capital expenditures to increase over 50% in 2009, as compared to 2008.

Table of Contents

2. IT Consulting and Systems Integration

During 2008, the Company undertook a comprehensive evaluation of its business strategy. After careful consideration, we decided to de-emphasize the IT Consulting and Systems Integration business segment. Accordingly, on October 31, 2008, we sold TechTeam A.N.E. NV/SA, which provided \$7.2 million of revenue in this business segment in the first ten months of 2008. While we continue to provide limited services within this business segment to customers who need IT infrastructure design, development, technology deployment, application development and implementation services, we are narrowing our future focus on delivering these services to enhance our IT Outsourcing business segment. For example, we are growing our ability to assist customers in implementing CA s Service Desk software suite.

Through our TechTeam Cyntergy, L.L.C. subsidiary, we offer deployment, technical support and training services to companies in the hospitality, retail and food service industries throughout the United States. TechTeam Cyntergy employees provide on-site services to implement technology and train our customers personnel in the use of point-of-sale and property management software. Through our TechTeam Akela Srl subsidiary, we offer application development and application maintenance services.

3. Government Technology Services

Our Government Technology Services are delivered by TechTeam Government Solutions, Inc. (TTGSI) and its wholly-owned subsidiary, Sytel, Inc. TTGSI provides life-cycle support to the U.S. Government, in which the thought leadership of its Vector Research Center for Enterprise Performance division (Vector Research, formerly known as NewVectors), in business process improvement and organizational change management, is integrated with our operational IT-related delivery capabilities to create a tailored, flexible and innovative solution for our customer s requirements. The types of IT support services provided in this business segment are similar to the services offered in our other primary business segments, but are more heavily focused on supporting the customer s IT network. We provide these services to various departments and agencies of the U.S. Federal Government including, but not limited to, the U.S. Air National Guard, NIH, Department of Defense, Department of Homeland Security, and Department of Health and Human Services, and to local governmental entities in the United States (see information included in Risks Inherent in Government Technology Services located in Item 1A Risk Factors).

The majority of our revenue from this business segment is earned through long-term contracts under which we provide either managed network services for a monthly fee or services on a time and materials basis, except for revenue from Vector Research, over one-half of which is derived from short-term consulting projects. We expect this trend to continue, although the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (the Stimulus Bill) directs agencies to spend stimulus money through firm fixed-price contracts. For our managed network services customers, we provide complete life cycle support for a customer s IT infrastructure ranging from their desktops to their data and voice networks. We provide design, implementation, operation and maintenance (service desk and desk side support) services. For example, TTGSI provides systems administration support, network design, database administration, engineering support and other IT technical support services to the U.S. Air National Guard in all 50 states and four U.S. territories.

Over the past few years in the U.S. Federal Government IT services market, two trends have had a significant effect on our business: (a) an increasing bias toward the award of business to small disadvantaged businesses and large contractors, and (b) uncertain and changing customer priorities due to budgetary constraints and the change in administrations. We expect these trends to continue in the near term, as the new White House Administration sets its priorities and its acquisition policies. As a result, we anticipate unpredictable IT spending by the U.S. Federal Government for the foreseeable future.

Table of Contents

Currently, only the Department of Defense and the Department of Homeland Security are operating under current budgets while the rest of the U.S. Federal Government continues to be funded under a continuing resolution that authorizes agencies of the government to continue to operate, but generally does not authorize new spending initiatives. When the U.S. Federal Government operates pursuant to a continuing resolution, delays can occur in procurement of products and services, and such delays can affect our revenue, profit and cash flow during the period of delay. These circumstances in 2008 contributed to (a) longer collection times for accounts receivable and increased administrative burden for billing and collection activities for some of our U.S. Federal Government contracts, (b) slower ramp-up times and revenue growth on existing contracts and (c) increased pressure for cost savings on new contracts and renewal contracts.

In 2007, TTGSI acquired our Vector Research division, a provider of consultative services in agent-based modeling, operations analysis, program management and supply chain engineering. Vector Research is recognized as a thought leader in providing subject matter expertise, analytical skills and process improvement methodologies to support business transformation initiatives, particularly in the Department of Defense. In addition to providing important critical mass to our Government business, these capabilities provide the Company with the ability to improve the profitability of its service offerings and expand its service offerings by transforming the Company's Commercial best practices to fit the needs of the U.S. Federal Government.

We continue to focus our new business development (a) in areas where we can utilize our considerable expertise to serve the mission-critical IT needs of the U.S. Federal Government; (b) in further developing access to government-wide acquisition contracts (framework contracts entered into by the government without committing to any actual business with the contract holder, or GWACs) under which we can sell task-order-based work; (c) in strengthening our relationships with other government contractors who have GWACs and other attractive contracting vehicles; and (d) in developing opportunities to leverage our considerable commercial sector expertise to provide enterprise support services through a managed service to the U.S. Federal Government. We are recognizing a trend toward consolidation in the U.S. Federal Government IT services market, both in the increased utilization of GWACs and in the number and size of competitors in that market. As this trend continues, we believe our competitive position in the marketplace will be enhanced because we are large and have critical mass to justify reliance upon us by our government clients, yet we are small and creative and able to offer highly efficient, customized solutions to their needs. In this regard, we have won two task orders under the USA Contact GWAC contract based in large part on our commercial sector expertise with call centers, contact centers and service desks.

4. Other Services

We also provide, on a limited basis, technical staffing services and learning services. We provide on-site technical support services including service desk technicians, software developers and network support technicians. Most of our technical staffing placements are long-term assignments. However, in difficult economic environments, contract workers are generally terminated before a customer's employee would be.

Impact of Business with Major Clients

We conduct business under multiple contracts with various entities within the Ford organization and with various agencies and departments of the U.S. Federal Government. Ford accounted for 15.9% of our total revenue in 2008, as compared to 20.1% in 2007 and 26.4% in 2006. The U.S. Federal Government accounted for 29.7% of our total revenue in 2008, as compared to 27.1% in 2007 and 24.9% in 2006. No single agency or department of the U.S. Federal Government comprised 10% or greater of our total revenue in 2006; however, in the aggregate, approximately 18.7% and 15.9% of our total revenue in 2008 and 2007, respectively, was derived from agencies within the U.S. Department of Defense.

Table of Contents

Ford Motor Company

Our business with Ford consists of service desk and desk side services, technical staffing, network management and a specific project installing personal computers subcontracted through Dell Inc. Revenue generated through our business with Ford decreased to \$41.2 million in 2008, from \$44.6 million in 2007 and \$44.1 million in 2006. On December 23, 2008, TechTeam Global, Inc. (TechTeam) executed a three-year renewal of its Global Single Point of Contact (SPOC) contract with Ford Motor Company (Ford), under which TechTeam provides support services to Ford s information technology infrastructure. Under the SPOC contract, TechTeam will continue to provide service desk, deskside support, service management, infrastructure management, and security administrative services to Ford in North America, Western Europe, and Asia. The contract renewal provides for significant changes in the service delivery model. These changes include the transition and centralization of service for English-speaking Ford personnel to our operations in the Philippines, the transition of service for German-speaking Ford personnel to Romania, and an enhanced centralized remote deskside support management function, which will reduce the number of visits necessary to support the deskside. We anticipate this transition to be completed around the middle of 2009.

As a result of the changes in the delivery model, we anticipate lower revenues under the SPOC contract of approximately \$2.7 million in 2009, due to our delivery from lower cost locations. At this time, we do not anticipate a material change in the Company s gross profit margin as a result of the renewal. While there is revenue pressure from the decrease in the number of seats supported and from Ford s continued efforts to seek cost savings on its total cost of IT infrastructure support, we are working to offset the anticipated decrease in revenue through an expansion of the SPOC Program to parts of the Ford enterprise that are not currently a part of the SPOC Program and the expansion of the scope of our services. While we believe that we are well-positioned to expand the SPOC program, we do not anticipate any growth during the first half of 2009.

Moreover, with the global economic crisis significantly affecting Ford s operations and financial performance, Ford has announced its willingness to sell Volvo Car Corporation. It is possible that Ford may sell Volvo or otherwise allow Volvo to withdraw from the SPOC contract.

Under the existing contract, except for our support of Volvo, for whom we bill on a per-incident basis, we provide a set of infrastructure support services under specific service level metrics, and we invoice Ford based upon the number of seats we support. The number of seats supported is determined bi-annually on February 1 and August 1 of each year. If certain contractual conditions are met, Ford and TechTeam have the right, during each six month period, to request one out-of-cycle seat adjustment.

At the end of December 2008, Ford owed the Company \$4.0 million in the Americas and \$3.8 million in Europe. Ford has recently amended its North American standard purchase order terms to increase its payment terms from 30 days to 60 days from receipt of the invoice. Under the terms of the SPOC contract, the change in North American payment terms will go into effect December 1, 2009, and, after that date, we therefore anticipate that there will be an increase in the aggregate accounts receivable. We do not believe that Ford s financial condition will otherwise affect our business with Ford or the collectability of our accounts receivable from Ford; however, any failure to retain a significant amount of business with Ford, a bankruptcy filing or major restructuring by Ford, could have a material adverse effect on our operating results and liquidity.

U.S. Federal Government

We conduct business under multiple contracts with various agencies and departments of the U.S. Federal Government. Revenue generated through our business with the U.S. Federal Government increased to \$77.3 million in 2008, from \$60.3 million in 2007 and \$41.7 million in 2006.

In years when the U.S. Federal Government does not complete its budget process before the end of its fiscal year, government operations typically are funded pursuant to a continuing resolution that authorizes agencies of the government to continue to operate, but traditionally does not authorize new spending initiatives. When the U.S. Federal Government operates pursuant to a continuing resolution, delays can occur in procurement of products and services, and such delays can affect our revenue, profit and cash flow during the period of delay.

Table of Contents

The results of our Government business have been negatively impacted by the difficult government contracting environment created by the budget constraints our customers faced. As a result of this environment, many customers have delayed procurement actions. In turn, we have experienced delays in our expected new business development. We have been informed that we were not selected as prime contractor for the Business Transformation Agency (BTA) of the Department of Defense. In 2008, we earned \$8.9 million in revenue from the BTA. The direct impact of this loss is difficult to assess at this time because we believe that we can replace a significant portion of this revenue by performing the same services for the BTA as a subcontractor to winning bidders. However, there can be no assurances in this regard.

In 2009, we have a few significant contracts that may be re-competed, including our contract for the Air National Guard, with approximately \$14 million in annual revenue, and CIO-SPII (a GWAC under which the Company performs services to the National Institutes of Health).

Competition

In our Commercial business, there are many companies that provide services similar to ours, but no one company dominates our industry. We compete with global IT outsourcing companies (such as IBM, HP and Computer Science Corporation), our potential customers' internal staff and regional service providers. The markets for our services have been under significant price pressure as customers scrutinize their IT spending and globalization increases the number of providers able to provide similar services. Our large competitors typically provide a significantly wider range of services through a global network of service providers and have stronger brand recognition.

We compete with a strong combination of quality, responsiveness and attentiveness to customers' needs, flexibility, competitive pricing, and consistently high levels of client satisfaction. We compete on our service desk offerings based on price, experience and reputation in the industry, technological capabilities, responsiveness to client needs and referrals from existing clients. By integrating a range of IT infrastructure services into one service desk project, we are able to compete based on improved resource utilization. Gartner recently placed TechTeam in the Leaders Quadrant in both the *Magic Quadrant for Help Desk Outsourcing, North America* and *Magic Quadrant for Desktop Outsourcing Services, North America* reports.

In our Government business, the industry is comprised of a large number of enterprises ranging from small, niche-oriented companies to multi-billion dollar corporations with a major presence throughout the U.S. Federal Government. Because of the diverse requirements of U.S. Federal Government customers and the highly competitive nature of large U.S. federal contracting initiatives, corporations frequently form teams to pursue contract opportunities. Prime contractors leading large proposal efforts select team members on the basis of their relevant capabilities and experience particular to each opportunity. As a result of these circumstances, companies that are competitors for one opportunity may be team members for another opportunity.

We have been successful in ensuring our presence on GWAC's and Government Service Administration (GSA) Schedule contracts as either a prime contractor or subcontractor. Competition then takes place at the task order level, where knowledge of the customer and its procurement requirements and environment are keys to winning the business. We have deep relationships with our customers, particularly the Department of Defense, the National Institutes of Health, and throughout our Vector Research customer base, and are focusing increased attention on competing for work where our relationships create a sustainable competitive advantage. Through the various contractual vehicles at our disposal, as either a prime contractor or subcontractor, we have the ability to market our services to many federal agencies. Our mid-tier size in the market may be disadvantageous because we are not a small or disadvantaged business, and we are at a scale disadvantage relative to the large government contractors; however, as a result of our experience in providing services to federal departments and agencies, we have first-hand knowledge of our customers and their goals, problems and challenges. We believe this knowledge gives us a competitive advantage in competing for tasks and positions us well for future growth.

Table of Contents

Sales and Marketing

Our sales and marketing objective in our Commercial business is to leverage our expertise, multilingual capabilities and global presence to develop long-term relationships with existing and potential clients internationally. Our initiatives are designed to build stronger brand identity within our current vertical markets and the overall IT outsourcing marketplace. We believe that our client base provides excellent opportunities for further marketing and cross-selling of our services. Our plans for increasing our visibility include market-focused advertising, consultative personal visits with potential and existing clients, participation in market specific trade shows and seminars, speaking engagements, articles and white papers and our Web site. Further, we intend to invest in establishing and growing our network of channel and alliance partners, such as our relationships with CA and Orange Business Services, who are able to sell our services in a cooperative and mutually beneficial way. Our sales force is focused on new customer acquisitions and our customer services management is focused on growth of business at our existing accounts. Within our Government Technology Services business segment, we are focusing our new business development (a) in areas where we can utilize our considerable expertise to serve the mission-critical IT needs of the U.S. Federal Government; (b) in further developing access to GWACs under which we can sell task-order-based work; (c) in strengthening our relationships with other government contractors who have GWACs and other attractive contracting vehicles; and (d) in developing opportunities to leverage our considerable commercial sector expertise to provide enterprise support services through a managed service to the U.S. Federal Government.

Seasonality

There is limited seasonality to our business. Historically, our third quarter tends to be slower than the other quarters in our Commercial business due to the summer holiday season in Europe, particularly in Sweden. The third quarter in our Government business tends to be positively impacted by the U.S. Federal Government agencies awarding extra tasks or completing other contract actions in the weeks before their September 30 fiscal year end to avoid the loss of unexpended fiscal year funds. The fourth quarter may be negatively affected by the seasonal holidays. Further, since we invoice approximately 41% of our revenue on (1) a time and materials basis in which there are variations in revenue based on the number of billable days during a quarter and (2) a per-incident or per-call-handled basis in which revenue variations are caused by variations in call volumes and incidents handled, we can see significant month-to-month variations in our revenue and gross margin.

Intellectual Property

We rely upon a combination of contract provisions and trade secret laws to protect our proprietary technology. We also rely on a combination of copyright and trade secret laws to protect our proprietary software. We attempt to further protect our trade secrets and other proprietary information through agreements with employees and consultants. Our Vector research division of TechTeam Government Solutions, Inc. has certain patents and patent applications pending, which are not material to our business. There can be no assurance that the steps we have taken to protect our proprietary technology will be adequate to deter misappropriation of our proprietary rights or third-party development of similar proprietary software. We hold a registered trademark for TechTeam®.

Employees

We employed a total of 2,781 employees worldwide as of December 31, 2008, comprised of 2,556 technicians, engineers and operational staff, 45 sales and marketing employees and 180 administrative employees. Our employees, with the exception of approximately 463 employees in Europe, are not represented by a labor union, and we have never suffered an interruption of business as a result of a labor dispute. We consider our relations with our employees generally to be good.

Table of Contents

European Operations

We service our clients in Europe through eleven, wholly-owned subsidiaries: TechTeam Global Ltd., TechTeam Global NV/SA, TechTeam Global GmbH, TechTeam Global AB, TechTeam SQM AB (wholly-owned by TechTeam Global AB), TechTeam Global Denmark (a branch of TechTeam Global AB), TechTeam Global Sp. z o.o., TechTeam Global SRL, TechTeam Akela SRL, TechTeam Global SAS, TechTeam Global Sàrl, and TTG Portugal, Lda. We offer services from each of our business segments in Europe except Government Technology Services; however, the majority of our European revenue has historically been generated in our IT Outsourcing Services segment. TechTeam Global Ltd., TechTeam Global GmbH and TechTeam Global AB provide Ford and its subsidiaries with IT Outsourcing Services and Technical Staffing. TechTeam Global NV/SA and TechTeam Global SRL provide our clients primarily with multilingual IT Outsourcing Services.

A summary of our international revenue and long-lived assets is set forth in Note 13 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

Our international business is subject to risks customarily encountered in foreign operations, including changes in a specific country or region's political or economic conditions, trade protection measures, import or export licensing requirements, the overlap of different tax structures, unexpected changes in regulatory requirements and natural disasters. We are also exposed to foreign currency exchange rate risk inherent in our sales commitments, anticipated sales, and assets and liabilities denominated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar or the local currency of the subsidiary delivering the service; however, the majority of our revenue is received in the same currency in which we pay our expenses. While these risks are believed to be manageable, no assurances can be given in this regard.

Asia/Pacific Operations

We service our clients in Asia/Pacific through two, wholly owned subsidiaries: Onvaio Asia Services (wholly-owned by Onvaio LLC, which we acquired in 2008) and TechTeam Australia Pty Limited.

Discontinued Operations Leasing

TechTeam Capital Group, L.L.C. (Capital Group), a subsidiary of the Company, previously wrote leases for computer, telecommunications and other types of capital equipment, with initial lease terms ranging from two to five years. Capital Group ceased writing new leases in March 2000. The activity that remains in winding-down the leasing operation is the collection of accounts receivable. As a result, Capital Group has been presented as a discontinued operation in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 144, Accounting for the Disposal or Impairment of Long-Lived Assets. Under this statement, the operating results of Capital Group are presented separately from continuing operations in the accompanying financial statements for all periods presented. Capital Group previously was reported as a separate operating segment called Leasing Operations.

Table of Contents

Item 1A. RISK FACTORS

Factors Influencing Future Results

Because of the following factors, as well as other variables affecting our operating results that are not set forth below, past financial performance may not be a reliable indicator of future performance, and historical trends should not be used to anticipate results or trends in future periods.

While we describe each risk separately, some of these risks are interrelated and it is possible that certain risks could trigger the applicability of other risks described below. Also, the risks and uncertainties described below are not the only ones that we face. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to us, or that we currently deem immaterial, could also potentially impair our business, financial condition and operating results.

The recent global economic and financial market crisis has had and may continue to have a negative effect on our business and operations.

The recent global economic and financial market crisis has caused, among other things, a general tightening in the credit markets, lower levels of liquidity, increases in the rates of default and bankruptcy, lower consumer and business spending, all of which has had and may continue to have a negative effect on our business, results of operations, financial condition and liquidity. The economic crisis has affected the financial health and stability of many of our non-government customers, and, if the downturn is prolonged or worsens, the impact to our customers may be severe. Most significantly, the economic turmoil is having serious repercussions in the automotive industry, where the bankruptcy or failure of automobile manufacturers or their suppliers is a real possibility. While the Company has reduced its reliance on revenue from the automotive industry over the past few years, it still earned approximately 18% of its revenue from this industry in 2008.

Moreover, we have seen and anticipate continuing to see erosion in our revenue with our current customers, as these customers seek cost savings, contract their operations and reduce their workforce. Given the enormous economic uncertainty, we are unable to predict the full impact of this pressure on our revenue; however, it could have a material impact on the Company's business, financial condition and/or results of operations. The downturn may also lead to reduced gross margins and increased customer payment delays, defaults and/or increases in accounts receivable write-offs and our reserves for doubtful accounts. We are limited in our ability to reduce costs to offset the results of a prolonged or severe economic downturn given certain fixed costs associated with our operations and our long-term business approach that necessitates we remain in position to respond when market conditions improve.

Inasmuch as we provide potential customers with an effective means of reducing the cost of their IT support and/or making their IT support costs variable based upon utilization, our business is partially counter-cyclical. However, we have observed that current and prospective customers have slowed or stopped their outsourcing decision-making process. Further, potential customers are looking for immediate significant savings on the current cost of their IT support. While the Company may provide significant savings over the long-term, there is a cost to transition new business to the Company which may affect the ability of the Company to obtain new business and/or maintain its gross margin. As a result of these factors, there are no assurances that the Company will be able to off-set the loss of revenue with new business.

The timing and nature of any recovery in the credit and financial markets remains uncertain, and there can be no assurance that market conditions will improve in the near future or that our results will not continue to be materially and adversely affected. Such conditions make it very difficult to forecast operating results, make business decisions and identify and address material business risks. The foregoing conditions may also impact the valuation of certain long-lived or intangible assets that are subject to impairment testing, potentially resulting in impairment charges which may be material to our financial condition or results of operations. See risk-factors below for a discussion of additional risks to our liquidity resulting from the current crisis.

Table of Contents

Our revenue and gross profit may suffer if we are not able to maintain our relationship with significant customers for whom we have contracts up for renewal or we are unable to replace revenue lost as a result of recent contract losses.

During 2009, we have a significant volume of contracts pending renewal that comprise approximately 8% of our 2008 revenue. We believe that we are well-positioned to renew most of these contracts due to our overall value proposition and customer relationships, but there can be no assurance in this regard. Any significant loss of business as a result of these renewals or failure to replace revenue lost for contract non-renewals could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and operating results. See also our discussion below of risks inherent in providing Government Technology Services.

The competitive pressures we face could harm our revenue, gross margin and business prospects.

We face intense competition in all of our markets and for all of our services. Many competitors have a significant scale advantage over us, including more locations, greater financial resources, a larger client base, and greater name and brand recognition. These competitors may be willing to provide the same services that we provide at a loss or at a lower gross margin in order to attain other, more lucrative business from our customers. Due to this competition, it may be difficult for us to retain our current customers or grow our revenue.

The intense competition we face may result in our customers demanding reduced pricing from us in order for us to remain a preferred vendor. These pressures are likely to continue to increase due to the trend to move outsourcing services offshore to countries with lower labor costs, such as India and the Philippines. In response to these pressures, we acquired Onvaio Services Asia to provide services from Manila, the Philippines. We are also utilizing the services of Rainmaker Systems, Inc. to provide personnel, training and infrastructure to support the Ford SPOC contract until we have a larger facility established. Our inability to continue to execute upon this strategy to address the globalization of the support services market could have a material adverse impact on our ability to maintain and grow our customer base. Further, we may have to continue to lower the prices of our services to stay competitive, while at the same time trying to maintain or improve quality, revenue and gross margin. If we cannot proportionately decrease our cost structure on a timely basis in response to competitive price pressures, our gross margin, and therefore our profitability, could be adversely affected. Any of these circumstances could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Moreover, the process to win new business tends to be long. Our IT Outsourcing Services business models require significant changes to our customers' business processes, and each customer may have significant internal political difficulties with local regions surrendering decentralized control of the support function. The decision makers are rarely involved in the early details of the selection process so there are often multiple sales efforts initially to the team charged with selection and then to the Chief Information Officer/Chief Executive Officer/Board that have to occur. Our results are dependent on our ability to successfully manage the sales process and strong competition in these markets.

We are subject to contract risks inherent in our business.

The great majority of our contracts, including our Ford Global SPOC contract, may be terminated without cause on short notice, often upon as little as 90-days notice. Terminations and non-renewals of major contracts could have a material adverse impact upon our business, financial condition and results of operations.

A portion of our IT Outsourcing Services business is billed on a managed service basis (in which the fee is fixed to perform specified services) as opposed to a time and materials basis. The onset of problems in our customers' infrastructure, such as computer viruses, may require us to deploy additional resources to solve these problems. In many instances, we would not receive any additional revenue for the work performed, thereby adversely impacting our profitability.

Table of Contents

To the extent we provide service on a per-incident, per-call or per-minute basis, our financial performance is dependent upon the volume of service requests that we receive on the project. Some of our contracts do not contain minimum guaranteed volume, so we may not receive enough volume during a month to pay for our costs relating to a specific contract. The global economic crisis is making this problem more pronounced as our customers are not receiving their historical volume of service requests or the volume that they expected. Even where volume guarantees exist, we may not receive enough volume to make our expected profit for the month where we enforce the guarantee. Also, many of our contracts contain financial penalties for our failure to meet the contractual performance service levels. For many potential reasons, including volume being higher than anticipated, we may not be able to meet the service levels. In the United States, we are able to manage this risk through changes in our staffing, but our European entities do not have as much flexibility in staffing largely due to labor laws. Due to the competitive market, we often must agree to a price for providing service based primarily on information provided to us by our prospective customer. Sometimes this information is not correct, and it is difficult to either properly design the project to meet service levels or increase our price to account for the incorrect information. Our inability to accurately estimate the resources and related expenses required for a project, or our failure to complete our contractual obligations in a manner consistent with their terms, could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We are subject to risks inherent in the provision of technology services to governmental entities.

We derive a significant amount of our revenue from U.S. Federal Government contracts that typically are awarded through competitive processes and span a one-year base period and one or more option years. When the U.S. Federal Government budget is under pressure, as it is at the present time, it may be difficult to develop business with new customers and grow or maintain contracts with existing customers. The unexpected termination or non-renewal of one or more of our significant contracts could result in significant revenue shortfalls. Our clients generally have the right not to exercise the option periods. In addition, our contracts typically contain provisions permitting an agency to terminate the contract on short notice, with or without cause. Following the expiration of the contract term, if the client requires further services of the type provided in the contract, there is frequently a competitive re-bidding process. We may not win any particular re-bid or be able to successfully bid on new contracts to replace those that have been terminated.

Many of the systems we support involve managing and protecting information involved in the U.S. Department of Defense and other sensitive government functions. A security breach in one of these systems could cause serious harm to our business, could result in negative publicity and could prevent us from having further access to such critically sensitive systems or other similarly sensitive areas for other governmental clients. Losses that we could incur from such a security breach could exceed the policy limits that we have for errors and omissions insurance, or coverage may not apply.

Some of our U.S. Federal Government contracts require us, and certain of our employees, to maintain security clearances. If we lose or are unable to obtain security clearances, the client can terminate the contract or decide not to renew it upon its expiration. As a result, to the extent we cannot obtain the required security clearances for our employees working on a particular engagement, we may not derive the revenue anticipated from the engagement, which could negatively impact our operating results.

U.S. Federal Government agencies routinely audit government contracts. These agencies review a contractor's performance on its contract, pricing practices, cost structure and compliance with applicable laws, regulations and standards. An audit could result in an adjustment to our revenue because any costs found to be improperly allocated to a specific contract will not be reimbursed, while improper costs already reimbursed must be refunded. If a government audit uncovers improper or illegal activities, we may be subject to civil and criminal penalties and administrative sanctions, including termination of contracts, forfeiture of profits, suspension of payments, fines and suspension or debarment from doing business with U.S. Federal Government agencies. In addition, we could suffer harm to our reputation if allegations of impropriety were made against us.

Table of Contents

We must comply with and are affected by U.S. Federal Government regulations relating to the formation, administration and performance of government contracts. These regulations affect how we do business with our clients and subcontractors, including mandating the percentage of business contracted to us that we must subcontract to small and minority businesses. These regulations may impose added costs on our business. Any failure to comply with applicable laws and regulations could result in contract termination, price or fee reductions, or suspension or debarment from contracting with the U.S. Federal Government. Further, the U.S. Federal Government may reform its procurement practices or adopt new contracting methods relating to the General Services Administration schedule or other government-wide contract vehicles. To the extent that we are unable to successfully comply with these regulations, our Government Technology Services business could be negatively impacted.

If we lose key personnel or are unable to recruit additional qualified personnel, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be adversely affected.

Our success is highly dependent upon the efforts, direction and guidance of our executive leadership team. Our CEO's employment contract contains a change of control provision that requires two events 1) a change of control and 2) a termination without cause or his leaving with good reason. Refer to Note 18 Subsequent Event. The election of the state, as proposed, at the Company's next Annual Shareholder Meeting in May 2009 may constitute a change of control under our CEO's employment contract. The loss of key management personnel or our inability to attract, retain or replace key management personnel in the future could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our inability to attract and retain qualified employees could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our business involves the delivery of professional services and is very labor intensive. Our success depends in large part upon our ability to attract, develop, motivate and retain highly skilled technical, clerical and administrative employees. We can experience high turnover of our personnel and are often required to recruit and train replacement personnel as a result of a changing and expanding work force. Qualified personnel, especially in Washington, D.C., are in high demand. Accordingly, we may experience increased compensation costs that may not be offset through either increased productivity or higher customer pricing. Moreover, no assurances can be given that we will be able to attract and retain sufficient numbers of qualified employees in the future, especially when we need to expand our services in a short time period. While we attempt to implement a career path model where our service desks are located, thereby enabling our employees to move to new jobs that require higher skill levels and pay more money, this objective is difficult to achieve. Our inability to attract and retain qualified personnel, or increases in wages or other costs of attracting, training or retaining qualified personnel, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our inability to attract and retain qualified sales and customer service management personnel could have an adverse effect on our ability to meet our organic growth targets.

Our business involves the delivery of complex services over a distributed IT environment. It takes time to train new sales people in our business and for them to build a pipeline of opportunities. Inasmuch as we strive to grow existing accounts by expanding our services to new locations or adding new services to our solution, we rely heavily on our client service managers to grow our revenue. In the past year we have been working to add customer service management personnel. Our inability to find the right personnel and train them quickly may have an adverse effect on our ability to appropriately manage our customers and meet our organic growth targets.

Table of Contents

Capital markets are currently experiencing a period of dislocation and instability, which has had and could continue to have a negative impact on the availability and cost of capital.

The general disruption in the U.S. capital markets has impacted the broader financial and credit markets and reduced the availability of debt and equity capital for the market as a whole. These conditions could persist for a prolonged period of time or worsen in the future. Our ability to access the capital markets (or any other source of funds) may be restricted at a time when we would like, or need, to access those markets, which could have an impact on our flexibility to react to changing economic and business conditions. The resulting lack of available credit, lack of confidence in the financial sector, increased volatility in the financial markets and reduced business activity could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and our ability to obtain and manage our liquidity. In addition, the cost of debt financing and the proceeds of equity financing may be materially adversely impacted by these market conditions. As a result of the difficulties in the capital market, the Company is reconsidering its mergers and acquisition strategy.

Credit market developments may reduce availability under our credit agreement.

Due to the current volatile state of the credit markets, there is risk that lenders, even those with strong balance sheets and sound lending practices, could fail or refuse to honor their legal commitments and obligations under existing credit commitments, including but not limited to: extending credit up to the maximum permitted by a credit facility, allowing access to additional credit features and otherwise accessing capital and/or honoring loan commitments. If our lender(s) fail to honor their legal commitments under our credit facility, it could be difficult in the current environment to replace our credit facility on similar terms. Although we believe that our operating cash flow, access to capital markets and existing credit facilities will give us the ability to satisfy our liquidity needs for at least the next 12 months, the failure of any of the lenders under our credit facility may impact our ability to finance our operating or investing activities.

Implementation of our strategy to grow through complementary business acquisitions is subject to numerous risks and difficulties.

Our business strategy includes seeking to make complementary business acquisitions. In order to pursue a growth by acquisition strategy successfully, we must identify suitable candidates for these transactions, complete and pay for these transactions and fully integrate them into our ongoing operations. Due to the tightening capital markets, our strategy may be delayed or changed. Moreover, integration issues are complex, time-consuming and potentially expensive and, without proper planning and implementation, could significantly disrupt our business. Integration issues include, but are not limited to, the diversion of management's attention, the loss of key business and/or personnel from the acquired company, unanticipated events, legal liabilities, dilutive effect of the issuance of additional securities and possible impairment of acquired intangible assets. Moreover, the financial risks of acquisitions continue after the integration of the acquired company. If the implicit value of the business declines, there could be a non-cash, partial or full write-off of the acquired intangible assets, including goodwill, attributed to the acquisition. Acquisitions also may result in significant costs and expenses and charges to earnings, including those related to severance pay, early retirement costs, employee benefit costs, charges from the elimination of duplicative facilities and contracts, in-process research and development charges, inventory adjustments, legal, accounting and financial advisory fees, and required payments to executive officers and key employees under retention plans. Any of these possible difficulties associated with acquisitions could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Table of Contents

Inasmuch as the Company has a market capitalization lower than its current book value, the Company will need to continue to perform interim impairment testing under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards 142

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets, which may require the Company to record a goodwill impairment charge. During the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, we performed our annual goodwill impairment test as of October 1 of each year, and did not incur an impairment charge. During the year ended December 31, 2008, as a result of recent economic events and the decline in our stock price, we updated our annual goodwill impairment testing from October 1, 2008 to perform an interim impairment test as of December 31, 2008. We determined that no goodwill impairment charge was required as a result of the interim testing and will continue to monitor the relationship of the Company's fair value to its book value as economic events and changes to our stock price occur. If future results are not consistent with our assumptions and estimates, we may be required to record goodwill impairment charges at a later date.

We are subject to numerous risks relating to our international operations.

We operate businesses in many countries outside the United States, many of which are currently located throughout Europe. As part of our business strategy, we have expanded and intend to continue expanding our global reach, to deliver services from the Asia Pacific region and South America. As a result, we expect to continue expansion through start-up operations and acquisitions in additional countries. Expansion of our existing international operations and entry into additional countries will require management attention and financial resources.

Our future revenue, gross margin, expenses and financial condition also could suffer due to a variety of international factors, including the following:

- changes in a country's or region's economic or political conditions, including inflation, recession, interest rate fluctuations, terrorism and religious extremism and unanticipated military conflicts;

- currency fluctuations, particularly in the European euro, which contribute to variations in the sale of services in impacted jurisdictions and also affect our reported results expressed in U.S. dollars;

- longer accounts receivable cycles and financial instability among customers;

- local labor conditions and regulations;

- differences in cultures and languages, which can impair our ability to work as an effective global team;

- differing political and social systems;

- changes in the regulatory or legal environment;

- differing technology standards or customer requirements;

- difficulties associated with repatriating cash generated or held abroad in a tax-efficient manner;

- changes in tax laws in international jurisdictions; and

- natural and man-made disasters.

To the extent we are not able to manage our international operations successfully, our business could be adversely affected and revenue or earnings could be reduced.

There are substantial risks associated with expanding our business into offshore markets.

The outsourcing industry trend to move business toward offshore markets could result in excess operating capacity in the United States and Belgium. Moreover, there are no assurances that we will be able to successfully expand into and conduct business in offshore markets. The success of any offshore operation is subject to numerous contingencies, some of which are beyond management control, including general and regional economic conditions, prices for our

services, competition, changes in regulation and other risks. Any failure in our strategy could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. See the discussion above regarding the risks associated with international operations.

Table of Contents

When a number of service providers enter these offshore locations, the competition for employees increases, causing turnover and increasing labor costs. In these circumstances, we bear the risk of inflation, especially labor inflation, which could result in our costs increasing faster than we can improve technician productivity. While the economic downturn has reduced potential wage inflation, the competitive trend may accelerate when economic conditions recover.

Several of our customers are attracted to the reduction in the cost of our services that they may obtain as a result of delivery from an offshore location. They also wish to enter into contracts that tend to provide them with predictable costs, while shifting the risk of volume fluctuations to us. Accordingly, we enter into long-term contracts to provide monthly services with a price that does not adjust significantly with inflation. Our inability to manage these risks could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We are subject to currency risks as a result of our international operations.

We serve an increasing number of our U.S.-based customers using service desks in Europe. Some of these contracts are priced in U.S. dollars, while a substantial portion of our costs are incurred in Romanian lei or the European euro. In this way, we are subject to operational foreign currency exchange risk. Although we enter into foreign exchange contracts from time to time to limit potential foreign currency exposure, we do not fully hedge this exposure. As a result, unfavorable shifts in exchange rates may reduce our gross profit on these contracts. In addition, we are subject to financing foreign currency exchange risk. In the normal course of our business, our operating subsidiaries will loan funds to each other. This creates a natural foreign currency gain or loss for the financing counterparty subsidiary which operates in a currency different from that in which the loan is denominated. This gain or loss is necessarily realized in our financial statements in unpredictable character (gain or loss) and amount.

Our inability to properly manage projects and capacity could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our ability to profit from the global trend toward outsourcing depends in part on how effectively we manage our service desk capacity. There are several factors and trends that have intensified the challenge of resource management. In order to either create the additional capacity necessary to accommodate new or expanded outsourcing projects or to manage the risk of labor inflation, we must consider opening new service desk facilities. The opening or expansion of a service desk facility may result, at least in the short term, in idle capacity until any new or expanded program is fully implemented. We periodically assess the expected long-term capacity utilization of our service desk facilities. As a result, we may, if deemed necessary, consolidate, close or partially close under-performing service desk facilities in order to maintain or improve targeted utilization and margins. There can be no assurance that we will be able to achieve or maintain optimal utilization of our service desk capacity. If we do not effectively manage our capacity, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be adversely affected.

With the addition of our Philippines service desk facility, we continue to increase the amount of business that we are performing for the same customer from more than one location. Multisite and multilingual delivery increases the complexity of the service provided including, but not limited to, managing call volume and resources. Our inability to manage the different cultures and personnel to deliver consistent quality from different sites could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our customers often ask us to expand our geographic footprint and the languages that we support, while reducing the cost of our support. However, as our profitability is dependent on managing the utilization of resources, the addition of languages or additional locations can impair our profitability. Moreover, in order for us to keep our costs in line with the marketplace, our future success will be dependent upon our ability to find cost-effective locations in which to operate internationally. There is no assurance that we will be able to find cost-effective locations, obtain favorable lease terms, develop subcontractor relationships, establish facilities and train a workforce in a timely or economic manner.

Table of Contents

Further, our work in the IT Consulting and Systems Integration business segment requires the efficient management of human resources. There is a risk that we may not have sold new business to replace projects as they are completed. Because we may not be able to maintain a steady or increasing demand for our services, we could suffer fluctuations in our revenue, the number of employees and results of operations.

We are increasingly selling our services through channel partners and our inability to effectively manage a channel partner or customer relationship may have an adverse affect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We are focused on developing relationships with channel and alliance partners to help us sell our services. These channel and alliance partners may be large companies with complementary services that may hire us to provide services to their customers. In these relationships, we generally do not control the customer relationship. Accordingly, we are dependent upon the prime contractor to appropriately manage our service delivery for the end customer. The failure of the prime contractor to do so can lead to situations where projects are delayed, modified or terminated for reasons outside our control. The channel and alliance partners may be in a different business or we may be their customer, and therefore we must balance our interest in obtaining new business with the best value for our purchases. Our inability to manage these relationships could have a negative effect on our business, financial conditions and results of operations.

We are highly dependent upon technology, and our inability to keep pace with technological advances in our industry, or our failure or inability to protect and maintain our existing systems, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our success depends in part on our ability to develop IT solutions that keep pace with continuing changes in the IT industry, evolving industry standards and changing client preferences. There can be no assurance that we will be successful in adequately addressing these developments on a timely basis or that, if these developments are addressed, we will be successful in the marketplace. We need to continually make significant investments, with ever increasing regularity, in sophisticated and specialized communications and computer technology to meet our clients' needs. We anticipate that it will be necessary to continue to invest in and develop new and enhanced technology in shorter intervals and on a timely basis to maintain our competitiveness. Significant capital expenditures may be required to keep our technology up-to-date. There can be no assurance that any of our information systems will be adequate to meet our future needs or that we will be able to incorporate new technology to enhance and develop our existing services. Moreover, investments in technology, including future investments in upgrades and enhancements to software, may not necessarily maintain our competitiveness. Our future success will also depend in part on our ability to anticipate and develop information technology solutions that keep pace with evolving industry standards and changing client demands. Our inability to effectively keep pace with continuing changes in the IT industry could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Moreover, experienced computer programmers and hackers may be able to penetrate our network security, or that of our customers, and misappropriate confidential information, create system disruptions or cause shutdowns. If this were to occur, we could incur significant expenses in addressing problems created by security breaches of our network. Moreover, we could lose existing or potential customers for information technology outsourcing services or other information technology solutions, or incur significant expenses in connection with our customers' system failures. In addition, sophisticated hardware and operating system software and applications that we produce or procure from third parties may contain defects in design and manufacture, including bugs and other problems that can unexpectedly interfere with the operation of our systems. The costs to eliminate or alleviate security problems, viruses, worms and bugs could be significant, and the efforts to address these problems could result in interruptions, delays or cessation of service.

Table of Contents

Our operations are dependent upon our ability to protect our service desk facilities and our information databases against damages that may be caused by fire and other disasters, power failures, telecommunications failures, unauthorized intrusion, computer viruses and other emergencies. The temporary or permanent loss of such systems could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Notwithstanding precautions we have taken to protect ourselves and our clients from events that could interrupt delivery of our services, there can be no assurance that a fire, natural disaster, human error, equipment malfunction or inadequacy, computer virus, firewall breach or other event would not result in a prolonged interruption in our ability to provide support services to our clients. Moreover, as we deliver services from offshore locations, the risks related to interruption of telecommunications increases. The loss of a critical supplier due to the current economic crisis or any interruption to our data or voice telecommunications networks could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our financial results may be adversely affected by increases in business costs.

Health care and other benefit costs continue to increase. Our business is labor intensive, and therefore we have exposure to these increasing health care and other benefit costs. While we attempt to compensate for these escalating costs in our business cost models and customer pricing and have passed along some of these increased costs to our employees, we generally have long-term, fixed-price pricing agreements with our customers. Accordingly, no assurances can be given that we will be able to recover increases in our costs through increased service fees.

We may be subject to risks associated with terrorist acts or other events beyond our control.

Terrorist acts or acts of war (wherever located around the world) may cause damage or disruption to TechTeam, our employees, facilities, partners, suppliers, distributors, resellers or customers, which could adversely impact our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We are subject to risks associated with our use of intellectual property.

We rely upon a combination of nondisclosure and other contractual arrangements and trade secrets, copyright and trademark laws to protect our proprietary rights and the proprietary rights of third parties from whom we license intellectual property. We enter into confidentiality agreements with our employees, customers and suppliers and limit distribution of proprietary information. There can be no assurance, however, that the steps taken by us in this regard will be adequate to deter misappropriation of proprietary information or that we will be able to detect unauthorized use of such information and take appropriate steps to enforce our intellectual property rights.

Although we believe our services and/or software do not infringe upon the intellectual property rights of others and that we have all of the rights necessary to utilize the intellectual property employed in our business, we are subject to the risk of litigation alleging infringement of third-party intellectual property rights. Any such claims could require us to spend significant sums of money in litigation, pay damages, develop non-infringing intellectual property or acquire licenses of the intellectual property that may be the subject of asserted infringement.

Table of Contents

We may experience volatility in our stock price that could affect your investment.

The price of our common stock has been, and may continue to be, highly volatile in response to various factors, many of which are beyond our control including, but not limited to:

the depth and liquidity of the trading market for our common stock;

general economic conditions;

developments in the industries or markets in which we operate;

announcements by competitors;

actual or anticipated variations in quarterly or annual operating results;

speculation in the press or investment community;

sales of large blocks of our common stock or sales of our common stock by insiders;

regulatory actions or litigation; and

departures of our key personnel.

The market price of our common stock may also be affected by our inability to meet analyst and investor expectations or failure to achieve projected financial results. Any failure to meet such expectations or projected financial results, even if minor, could cause the market price of our common stock to decline. Volatility in our stock price may result in your inability to sell your shares at or above the price at which you purchased them.

In addition, stock markets have generally experienced a high level of price and volume volatility, and the market prices of equity securities of many companies have experienced wide price fluctuations not necessarily related to the operating performance of such companies. These broad market fluctuations may adversely affect the market price of our common stock. In the past, securities class action lawsuits frequently have been instituted against such companies following periods of volatility in the market price of such companies' securities. If any such litigation is instigated against us, it could result in substantial costs and a diversion of management's attention and resources, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Item 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

Table of Contents**Item 2. PROPERTIES**

Our world headquarters and principal executive offices are located in Southfield, Michigan. The following table sets forth certain information regarding the principal properties used by TechTeam as of March 1, 2009, all of which are leased:

| Location | Function | Lease Term Beginning and End (mm/dd/yr) | | Square Footage |
|---------------------|---|--|----------|-----------------------|
| Southfield, MI | World Headquarters and Help Desk Facility | 11/01/93 | 08/31/16 | 73,622 |
| Brussels, Belgium | European Headquarters and Help Desk Facility | 08/01/97 | 12/31/13 | 45,420 |
| Dearborn, MI | Help Desk Facility and Training Center | 04/01/97 | 09/30/09 | 25,284 |
| Bucharest, Romania | Help Desk Facility | 09/01/04 | 05/13/15 | 33,146 |
| Ann Arbor, MI | Sales and Administrative Office | 05/31/07 | 03/31/13 | 17,766 |
| Chantilly, VA | Headquarters of TechTeam Government Solutions, Inc. | 06/12/04 | 05/31/11 | 18,000 |
| Davenport, IA | Help Desk Facility | 10/15/99 | 10/14/09 | 17,346 |
| Bucharest, Romania | Headquarters of TechTeam Akela SRL | 10/01/06 | 07/01/09 | 9,903 |
| Stockholm, Sweden | Headquarters of TechTeam SQM AB | 02/14/07 | 12/31/13 | 8,966 |
| Dresden, Germany | Help Desk Facility | 04/01/08 | 04/01/14 | 5,475 |
| Bethesda, MD | Sales and Administrative Office | 06/01/01 | 10/31/13 | 5,428 |
| Alexandria, VA | Sales and Administrative Office | 05/31/07 | 03/31/09 | 5,258 |
| Portsmouth, RI | Sales and Administrative Office | 06/01/01 | 05/31/09 | 4,200 |
| Sibiu, Romania | Help Desk Facility | 03/07/08 | 03/06/11 | 3,659 |
| Alexandria, VA | Sales and Administrative Office | 04/01/08 | 03/31/13 | 3,142 |
| San Diego, CA | Sales and Administrative Office | 05/31/07 | 12/31/09 | 3,139 |
| Galati, Romania | Sales and Administrative Office | 05/01/07 | 04/30/10 | 1,270 |
| Manila, Philippines | Help Desk Facility | 05/01/08 | 11/30/09 | 3,003 |

We believe the facilities we occupy are well maintained and in good operating condition. Although we also believe these locations are adequate to meet our needs for the foreseeable future, we are continually evaluating our facility requirements in light of our need to provide cost effective global support with specific IT and language skills. These facilities include general office space. Because some of our services are performed at client sites, the cost of maintaining multiple offices is minimized.

Item 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

From time to time we are involved in various litigation matters arising in the ordinary course of business. None of these matters, individually or in the aggregate, currently is material.

Item 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

No matters were submitted to a vote of security holders during the fourth quarter of 2008.

Table of Contents**PART II****Item 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS**

Our common stock trades on the NASDAQ® Global Market under the symbol TEAM. The following table sets forth the reported high and low sales prices of our common stock for the quarters indicated as reported by the NASDAQ® Global Market.

| Year and Quarter | High | Low |
|-------------------------|-------------|------------|
| 2008 | | |
| First Quarter | \$12.60 | \$ 7.80 |
| Second Quarter | 10.85 | 8.45 |
| Third Quarter | 10.65 | 7.15 |
| Fourth Quarter | 7.31 | 3.34 |
| 2007 | | |
| First Quarter | \$12.87 | \$10.50 |
| Second Quarter | 13.75 | 11.42 |
| Third Quarter | 12.23 | 9.66 |
| Fourth Quarter | 14.08 | 11.42 |

The Company has historically not paid dividends on its common stock and is restricted from doing so under its current credit agreement between the Company, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A and Bank of America, N.A. (Credit Agreement). Any future decision regarding the payment of dividends will be made at the discretion of our Board of Directors and will depend upon our earnings, financial position, capital requirements, existing credit agreements and such other factors as the Board of Directors deems relevant. The Company does not intend on paying cash dividends in the foreseeable future.

TechTeam had approximately 340 shareholders of record as of March 1, 2009.

The following table sets forth the information with respect to purchases made by the Company of shares of its common stock during the fourth quarter of 2008:

| Period | Total Number of Shares Purchased (a) | Average Price Paid per Share | Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Program | Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Program |
|---------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|
| October 1, 2008 to October 31, 2008 | 666 | \$6.01 | | 1,000,000 |
| November 1, 2008 to November 30, 2008 | 708 | \$5.56 | 12,258(b) | 987,742 |
| December 1, 2008 to December 31, 2008 | 2,606 | \$4.62 | | 987,742 |

(a) 3,980 shares were purchased by the TechTeam Global Retirement Savings Plan

(one of the Company's 401(k) plans) using employer matching contributions made in cash or through the use of plan forfeitures. These purchases were not made pursuant to publicly announced plans and were made in the open market.

- (b) 12,258 shares were purchased by the Company as part of the stock repurchase program announced on October 30, 2008. Under the program, the Company is authorized to repurchase up to one million shares of its common stock in the open market as the Company deems appropriate in a manner consistent with the Credit Agreement. The stock repurchase program expires on December 31, 2011.

Information regarding our equity compensation plans is contained in Item 12 Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.

Table of Contents

On August 31, 2007, we acquired all of the issued and outstanding capital stock of RL Phillips, Inc. for: (i) \$1,850,000 and (ii) 25,337 shares of common stock having a market value on the acquisition date of \$300,000, as determined by multiplying the number of shares issued by the average closing price per share of our common stock for three days before and three days after August 31, 2007. The shares may not be sold by the holders prior to September 30, 2010. The issuance of our common stock pursuant to this transaction was claimed to be exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933 (the "1933 Act"), pursuant to Section 4(2) of the 1933 Act.

Performance Graph

Set forth below is a graph comparing the cumulative total return on TechTeam's common stock from January 1, 2003 through December 31, 2008, with that of the NASDAQ Stock Market U.S. Index (the "NASDAQ U.S. Index") and the NASDAQ Computer & Data Processing Services Stocks Index (the "NASDAQ Computer Index") over the same period. The graph assumes that the value of the investment in TechTeam's common stock, the NASDAQ U.S. Index and the NASDAQ Computer Index was \$100 on January 1, 2003, and that all dividends were reinvested.

The graph displayed below is presented in accordance with U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission requirements. Stockholders are cautioned against drawing any conclusions from the data contained therein, as past results are not necessarily indicative of future performance. This graph in no way reflects TechTeam's forecast of future financial performance.

Total Return Index

| | Dec 2003 | Dec 2004 | Dec 2005 | Dec 2006 | Dec 2007 | Dec 2008 |
|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| NASDAQ U.S. | \$100 | \$109 | \$111 | \$122 | \$132 | \$64 |
| NASDAQ Computer | \$100 | \$110 | \$114 | \$128 | \$156 | \$90 |
| TechTeam Global | \$100 | \$145 | \$144 | \$161 | \$180 | \$84 |

24

Table of Contents**Item 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA**

The following table presents information derived from our consolidated financial statements for each of the five years ended December 31, 2008. This information should be read in conjunction with Item 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data. The results of operations presented below are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations that may be achieved in the future.

| Statements of Operations Data | Year Ended December 31, | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| | (In thousands, except per share data) | | | | |
| Revenue | | | | | |
| Commercial | | | | | |
| IT Outsourcing Services | \$ 120,166 | \$ 104,659 | \$ 86,461 | \$ 76,845 | \$ 77,205 |
| IT Consulting and Systems Integration | 27,064 | 28,064 | 24,013 | 24,483 | 14,641 |
| Other Services | 24,110 | 20,219 | 9,497 | 9,010 | 8,000 |
| Total Commercial | 171,340(a) | 152,942(c) | 119,971 | 110,338(e) | 99,846(g) |
| Government Technology Services | 88,615 | 69,254(d) | 47,393 | 56,159(f) | 28,142(h) |
| Total revenue | \$ 259,955 | \$ 222,196 | \$ 167,364 | \$ 166,497 | \$ 127,988 |
| Restructuring charges | \$ 5,719(b) | | | | |
| Income before income taxes | \$ 7,150 | \$ 9,639 | \$ 2,750(i) | \$ 7,796 | \$ 7,175(j) |
| Income tax provision | 4,182 | 3,343 | 873 | 2,402 | 2,547 |
| Income from continuing operations | 2,968 | 6,296 | 1,877 | 5,394 | 4,628 |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations | | | (43) | 74 | 97 |
| Net income | \$ 2,968 | \$ 6,296 | \$ 1,834 | \$ 5,468 | \$ 4,725 |
| Diluted earnings per common share | | | | | |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 0.28 | \$ 0.60 | \$ 0.18 | \$ 0.54 | \$ 0.48 |
| Income from discontinued operations | | | | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| Net income per share | \$ 0.28 | \$ 0.60 | \$ 0.18 | \$ 0.54 | \$ 0.49 |
| Weighted average common shares and common share equivalents outstanding | 10,555 | 10,506 | 10,176(k) | 9,832(k) | 8,904 |
| Weighted average preferred shares outstanding | | | (k) | 244(k) | 690 |

(a) On May 30, 2008, we

acquired 100% of the outstanding stock of Onvaio LLC. On October 31, 2008, the Company completed the sale of TechTeam A.N.E NV/SA, the results of which were included in continuing operations through the date of the sale.

(b) On May 28, 2008 and December 30, 2008, we announced corporate-wide organizational realignment and restructuring actions.

(c) On February 9, 2007, we acquired 100% of the outstanding stock of SQM Sverige AB.

(d) On May 31, 2007, we acquired 100% of the membership interest in NewVectors LLC, and on August 31, 2007, we acquired 100% of the

outstanding
stock of RL
Phillips, Inc.

- (e) On October 3, 2005, we acquired 100% of the outstanding stock of Akela Informatique SRL.
- (f) On January 3, 2005, we acquired 100% of the outstanding stock of Sytel, Inc.
- (g) On May 13, 2004, we acquired 100% of the outstanding stock of Advanced Network Engineering NV/SA.
- (h) On December 31, 2003, we acquired 100% of the outstanding stock of Digital Support Corporation.
- (i) During 2006, we recorded expenses totaling \$1.4 million for legal and professional fees associated with a proxy

contest initiated
by a
shareholder, an
asset
impairment
charge of
\$580,000
related to a
software asset
and \$650,000
for the
settlement of
claims against
the Company by
certain former
Company
officers.

(j) During 2004,
we recorded an
asset
impairment
charge of
\$485,000
related to a
software asset.

(k) In May 2005,
the holder of our
preferred stock
converted all
outstanding
shares of
preferred stock
into 689,656
shares of
common stock.

Table of Contents

| Balance Sheet Data | 2008 (a) | 2007 (b) | As of December 31, | | 2004 (d) |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|---------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | | | 2006 | 2005 (c) | |
| | | | (In thousands) | | |
| Total assets | \$ 167,363 | \$ 182,169 | \$ 117,930 | \$ 123,010 | \$ 88,987 |
| Long-term obligations | 30,156 | 33,963 | 5,426 | 14,115 | 1,699 |
| Redeemable convertible preferred stock | | | | | 5,000 |
| Total shareholders' equity | \$ 98,733 | \$ 97,031 | \$ 86,308 | \$ 78,240(e) | \$ 66,660 |

(a) On May 30, 2008, we acquired 100% of the outstanding stock of Onvaio LLC. On October 31, 2008, the Company completed the sale of TechTeam A.N.E NV/SA.

(b) On February 9, 2007, we acquired 100% of the outstanding stock of SQM Sverige AB. On May 31, 2007, we acquired 100% of the membership interest in NewVectors LLC. On August 31, 2007, we acquired 100% of the outstanding stock of RL Phillips, Inc.

(c) On October 3, 2005, we

acquired 100% of the outstanding stock of Akela Informatique SRL. On January 3, 2005, we acquired 100% of the outstanding stock of Sytel, Inc.

- (d) On May 13, 2004, we acquired 100% of the outstanding stock of Advanced Network Engineering NV/SA.
- (e) In May 2005, the holder of our preferred stock converted all outstanding shares of preferred stock into 689,656 shares of common stock.

Table of Contents

Item 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (MD&A)

Overview

We are a global provider of information technology (IT), enterprise support and business process outsourcing services to Fortune 1000 companies, government entities, multinational companies, product and service providers, and small and medium-sized companies. Our business consists of two main components – our Commercial business and our Government business. Together, our IT Outsourcing Services segment, IT Consulting and Systems Integration segment and Other Services segment comprise our Commercial business. Our Government Technology Services segment comprises our Government business. In addition to managing our business by service line, we also manage our business by geographic markets – the Americas (defined as North America excluding our government-based subsidiaries), Europe and Government Solutions (defined as our government-based subsidiaries). Together, the Americas and Europe comprise our Commercial business.

The global economic crisis of 2009 presents unprecedented uncertainties to TechTeam and its customers. We are affected by the general tightening in the credit markets, and lower consumer and business spending. The economic crisis has affected the financial health of many of our customers. At the same time, we are unable to fully anticipate the duration and scope of the downturn and, as such, we cannot provide our shareholders with good visibility of the effects of this crisis on the business. Most significantly, the economic downturn is having serious effects in at least two of our major industry verticals, the automotive and retail industries.

However, the actions we have taken in 2008 have better positioned TechTeam to weather the downturn. In February 2008, Gary J. Cotshott joined the company in the role of president and chief executive officer. Mr. Cotshott led a thorough evaluation of all aspects of our business, which resulted in streamlining our global organization, developing a solid strategy for our future and recruiting a leadership team that supplements the pre-existing leaders with new executive-level talent that has deep experience and functional expertise.

In addition to these efforts, the Company improved its financial flexibility by executing two restructuring plans, which resulted in a restructuring charge in 2008 totaling \$5.7 million, pre-tax. In addition to the organizational change and restructuring plan completed in the second quarter 2008, which removed legacy costs and inefficiency from our business, the Company completed a second restructuring plan in the fourth quarter due in part to the impact of the economic downturn on our customers and to better position the Company to manage through this difficult economic period. The second restructuring totaled \$1.8 million on a pre-tax basis, and related primarily to exiting excess lease capacity and restructuring headcount in Europe, largely related to the worsening economic conditions in that region. We also took steps to implement our business strategy. In the second quarter 2008, TechTeam expanded its low cost delivery models through its acquisition of Onvaio, LLC, which provided TechTeam with cost-effective delivery operations from the Philippines. In order to narrow our focus on our core service offerings and target customers, we divested TechTeam A.N.E. NV/SA which included certain lower margin IT consulting and systems integration capabilities focused on small business customers in Belgium. We have also implemented a capital management program to better evaluate and manage difficult customer accounts, collect outstanding accounts receivable, and manage our bank debt.

As a result of the contraction of the economy and a loss of a contract worth approximately \$8 million per year, we are experiencing a decline in revenue. Given the economic conditions, there is the potential of continued revenue erosion within our current customer base. We have also been informed that we have or will be losing additional contracts over 2009 with an anticipated effect of less than 4% of 2008 revenue. At the same time, current market conditions present an opportunity to the Company to offset the effects of erosion through new business. Our pipeline of new opportunities continues to be robust, although is subject to extended sales and implementation lead-times due to the nature of economic conditions.

Table of Contents

Excluding restructuring charges, we continued to deliver solid results. Total revenue reached \$260 million in 2008, up 17.0% over last year, despite a difficult market environment and declining existing customer volumes later in the year. The growth was realized through a combination of acquisitions and organic growth. Gross profit was \$66.3 million in 2008, up 16.6% versus 2007 while gross margins were relatively flat year over year. Revenue for the Commercial business reached \$171 million in 2008, up 12.0% over last year. The IT Outsourcing business was the largest driver of growth in the Commercial business due primarily to growth in existing accounts, including expansion of our Americas customers to receive service in Europe, as well as new customer business. Gross profit was \$41.9 million for the Commercial business in 2008, up 10.6% versus last year, while gross margin was down slightly. Revenue for the Government business increased by \$19 million, or 28.0% to \$89 million. Gross profit for the Government business improved by over \$5 million in 2008, or 28.7% over 2007.

Results of Operations**Year Ended December 31, 2008 Compared to the Year Ended December 31, 2007****Revenue**

| | Year Ended December 31, 2008 | Year Ended December 31, 2007 | Increase (Decrease) | % Change |
|---------------------------------------|---|---|--------------------------------|---------------------|
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| Revenue | | | | |
| Commercial Business | | | | |
| IT Outsourcing Services | \$ 120,166 | \$ 104,659 | \$ 15,507 | 14.8% |
| IT Consulting and Systems Integration | 27,064 | 28,064 | (1,000) | (3.6)% |
| Other Services | 24,110 | 20,219 | 3,891 | 19.2% |
| Total Commercial | 171,340 | 152,942 | 18,398 | 12.0% |
| Government Technology Services | 88,615 | 69,254 | 19,361 | 28.0% |
| Total revenue | \$ 259,955 | \$ 222,196 | \$ 37,759 | 17.0% |

Total Company revenue increased 17.0% to \$260.0 million for 2008, through a combination of acquisitions completed in 2008 and 2007 along with organic growth across most product lines. Excluding revenue from acquisitions that affect year-over-year comparability, revenue increased 9.1% to \$242.5 million for 2008. Revenue in 2008 was also positively impacted by fluctuations in the international currencies in which we do business. If revenue generated in Europe were translated into U.S. dollars at the average exchange rates in effect for 2007, reported revenue would have decreased by approximately \$4.3 million for 2008. We are unable to predict the effect fluctuations in international currencies will have on revenue in 2009, but given the uncertain market environment and the effect on the U.S. dollar, there could be significant revenue volatility.

IT Outsourcing Services

Revenue from IT Outsourcing Services increased 14.8%, or \$15.5 million, to \$120.2 million for 2008, from \$104.7 million for 2007, primarily as a result of \$14.2 million of revenue growth in Europe. Our solid revenue growth reflects our success at being able to grow existing accounts in our Commercial business by expanding the scope of our services and the geographies in which we deliver services. The majority of revenue growth occurred in existing accounts, including existing clients of the Americas to whom we have expanded our service delivery to include parts of Europe. Revenue also increased \$1.3 million in the Americas due to new customer contracts in the later part of 2008. Revenue growth occurred in 2008 despite a reduction in revenue from two projects, comprising about 4% of IT Outsourcing Services revenue for 2007, that concluded. The related contracts were not renewed at the end of March 2008.

IT Outsourcing Services revenue generated from Ford globally decreased to \$35.0 million for 2008 compared to \$36.6 million for 2007. Revenue from Ford declined 19.1% in the Americas as a result of a decline in seats supported

from a reduction in Ford's workforce, while revenue in Europe increased from expansion of the SPOC Program resulting in aggregate growth in Europe of 16.6%. Please refer to our discussion of Ford in the Impact of Business with Major Clients section of MD&A.

Table of Contents

IT Outsourcing revenue in 2008 was positively impacted by fluctuations in the international currencies in which we do business. If IT Outsourcing revenue in Europe was translated into U.S. dollars at the average exchange rates in effect for 2007, reported revenue would have decreased by approximately \$2.9 million for 2008. Since most of our international operating expenses are also incurred in the same foreign currencies in which the associated revenue is denominated, the net impact of exchange rate fluctuations on gross profit is considerably less than the estimated impact on revenue.

IT Consulting and Systems Integration

Revenue from IT Consulting and Systems Integration decreased 3.6% to \$27.1 million for 2008, from \$28.1 million for 2007, due primarily to a decrease in Europe from the divestiture of ANE and a decrease in project based work due to a difficult economy and de-scoping or elimination of projects in Europe partially offset by an increase in revenue growth in the Americas. Revenue in the Americas increased from growth in the Company's hospitality business and organic growth through existing customers in our IT Outsourcing Services segment. The increase in the Americas was partially offset by a decrease in business with Dell, which resulted from a reduction in Ford's workforce and also from the tendency of this business to fluctuate from period to period.

Government Technology Services

Revenue from Government Technology Services increased 28.0% to \$88.6 million for 2008, from \$69.3 million for 2007, primarily due to our acquisitions of NewVectors and RL Phillips in 2007. Excluding revenue from these acquisitions, revenue increased 5.9% to \$73.4 million for 2008 due to growth in existing customer programs and, to a lesser extent, new customer contracts. Please refer to our discussion of the U.S. Federal Government in the "Impact of Business with Major Clients" section of MD&A.

Gross Profit and Gross Margin

| | Year Ended December 31, | | 2007 | | Increase (Decrease) | % Change |
|--|------------------------------------|----------------------|------------------|----------------------|------------------------|--------------|
| | 2008 | | 2007 | | | |
| | Amount | Gross Margin % | Amount | Gross Margin % | | |
| | (In thousands, except percentages) | | | | | |
| Gross Profit | | | | | | |
| Commercial | | | | | | |
| IT Outsourcing Services | \$ 30,008 | 25.0% | \$ 26,888 | 25.7% | \$ 3,120 | 11.6% |
| IT Consulting and Systems Integration | 6,427 | 23.7% | 6,187 | 22.0% | 240 | 3.9% |
| Other Services | 5,427 | 22.5% | 4,789 | 23.7% | 638 | 13.3% |
| Total Commercial | 41,862 | 24.4% | 37,864 | 24.8% | 3,998 | 10.6% |
| Government Technology Services | 24,428 | 27.6% | 18,978 | 27.4% | 5,450 | 28.7% |
| Total gross profit | \$ 66,290 | 25.5% | \$ 56,842 | 25.6% | \$ 9,448 | 16.6% |

Consistent with revenue, the increase in gross profit is attributable to a combination of acquisitions completed in 2008 and 2007 and organic growth from IT Outsourcing Services, Government Technology Services and Other Services. Excluding gross profit contributed by acquisitions that affect year-over-year comparability, total gross profit increased 7.6% to \$61.1 million and gross margin decreased to 25.2% for 2008 from 25.6% for the same period in 2007.

Table of Contents*IT Outsourcing Services*

Gross profit from IT Outsourcing Services increased 11.6% to \$30.0 million for 2008, from \$26.9 million in 2007, and gross margin decreased to 25.0% from 25.7%. In the Americas, gross margin improved primarily due to margin improvements on certain existing accounts, the acquisition of Onvaio and new customer contracts in the later part of 2008. This improvement in the Americas was partially offset by a decrease in gross margin from the revenue decrease with Ford due to a reduction in their workforce. In Europe, gross margin decreased as a result of several factors, including the cost of expanding our service delivery capabilities in Europe and increased labor and benefit-related costs. During the past year, the Company has expanded its service delivery capability in Europe with the establishment of new locations in Dresden, Germany; Sibiu, Romania; and Stockholm, Sweden. These facilities have some excess capacity that negatively impacted gross margin in 2008.

IT Consulting and Systems Integration

Gross profit from IT Consulting and Systems Integration increased 3.9% to \$6.4 million for 2008 from \$6.2 million in 2007, and gross margin increased to 23.7% from 22.0% in 2007. Gross margin increased in the Americas from new project-based work in the Company's hospitality business, partially offset by a decline in gross margin in business with Dell. In Europe, gross margin declined primarily due to challenges from the competitive environment in our application development business in Romania and from less project-based IT Consulting work over the rest of Europe due to economic pressures across Europe.

Government Technology Services

Gross profit from our Government Technology Services segment increased 28.7% to \$24.4 million for 2008, from \$19.0 million in 2007, and gross margin increased slightly to 27.6% from 27.4%. The increase in gross profit was primarily due to our acquisition of NewVectors in 2007. Excluding gross profit contributed by acquisitions that affect year-over-year comparability, gross profit increased 6.7% to \$20.2 million and gross margin increased to 27.6% for 2008. The increase in gross margin was due to various factors, most notably an increased requirement for the Company to use subcontracted resources on several programs. Please refer to our discussion of the U.S. Federal Government in the Impact of Business with Major Clients section of MD&A.

Geographic Market Discussion

| | Year Ended December 31, | | Increase | % |
|----------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | (Decrease) | Change |
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| Revenue | | | | |
| Commercial | | | | |
| Americas | \$ 72,375 | \$ 68,022 | \$ 4,353 | 6.4% |
| Europe | 98,965 | 84,920 | 14,045 | 16.5% |
| Total Commercial | 171,340 | 152,942 | 18,398 | 12.0% |
| Government | 88,615 | 69,254 | 19,361 | 28.0% |
| Total revenue | \$ 259,955 | \$ 222,196 | \$ 37,759 | 17.0% |
| Gross Margin | | | | |
| Commercial | | | | |
| Americas | 26.2% | 23.0% | | |
| Europe | 23.2% | 26.1% | | |
| Total Commercial | 24.4% | 24.8% | | |
| Government | 27.6% | 27.4% | | |

Total Gross Margin

25.5%

25.6%

30

Table of Contents*Americas*

Revenue generated in the Americas increased 6.4% to \$72.4 million for 2008, from \$68.0 million in 2007 across all services lines, due primarily to new customers and projects. Revenue from IT Outsourcing Services experienced a significant increase in growth from new customers and growth in existing customers that was partially offset by a decline in revenue earned from Ford. Revenue in IT Consulting and Systems Integration increased due to new project-based work in the Company's hospitality business. The Other Services segment also experienced an increase in revenue from an increase in technical staffing growth. Gross margin from the Americas increased to 26.2% for 2008, from 23.0% in 2007, as a result of gross margin improvement across all service lines.

Europe

Revenue generated in Europe increased 16.5% to \$99.0 million for 2008, from \$84.9 million in 2007, due to solid revenue growth in the IT Outsourcing Services and Other Services segments, the acquisition of SQM and the weakening of the U.S. dollar against the currencies in which the Company does business. If revenue in Europe were translated into U.S. dollars at the average exchange rates in effect for 2007, reported revenue would have decreased by approximately \$4.3 million for 2008. Gross margin from Europe decreased to 23.2% for 2008, from 26.1% in 2007, primarily due to expanding IT Outsourcing Services delivery capabilities with the establishment of new locations in Dresden, Germany; Sibiu, Romania; and Stockholm, Sweden. These facilities have some excess capacity that negatively impacted gross margin in 2008.

Operating Expenses and Other

| | Year Ended December 31, | | Increase | % |
|---|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------------|---------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | (Decrease) | Change |
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| Operating Expenses and Other | | | | |
| Selling, general and administrative expense | \$52,774 | \$46,547 | \$ 6,227 | 13.4% |
| Restructuring charges | \$ 5,719 | \$ | \$ 5,719 | NM% |
| Net interest expense | \$ (1,712) | \$ (572) | \$(1,140) | NM% |
| Foreign currency transaction gain (loss) | \$ 910 | \$ (84) | \$ 994 | NM% |
| Other income, net | \$ 155 | \$ | \$ 155 | NM% |
| Income tax provision | \$ 4,182 | \$ 3,343 | \$ 839 | 25.1% |

Selling, general, and administrative (SG&A) expense decreased to 20.3% of total revenue for 2008, from 20.9% of total revenue in 2007. As the Company's revenue has grown, we have achieved greater leverage in our SG&A spending, yet we have incurred greater expenses related to expansion of service delivery locations in Europe, amortization expense in connection with acquisitions, marketing expenses and travel expenses. SG&A expense also increased due to the weakening of the U.S. dollar against the currencies in the foreign jurisdictions in which we operate.

In connection with the decision between the Board of Directors and the Company's former President and Chief Executive Officer, William C. Brown, not to renew Mr. Brown's contract upon its completion in February 2009, Mr. Brown's Employment and Noncompetition Agreement was amended. Under the terms of the amendment, (1) all outstanding, unvested stock-based awards were accelerated and became fully vested in February 2008, (2) Mr. Brown will have until February 15, 2010 to exercise outstanding stock options and (3) Mr. Brown will be paid a bonus for fiscal 2008 of not less than \$75,000. The modification of the stock-based awards to accelerate vesting and extend the period in which stock options may be exercised resulted in additional compensation expense of \$254,000 for 2008.

Table of Contents

During 2008, the Company announced corporate-wide organizational realignment and restructuring actions to improve operating efficiency, achieve greater global consistency and drive improved financial performance. The restructuring plans were approved by the Company's Board of Directors on December 23, 2008 and May 21, 2008. The 2008 pre-tax restructuring charges amounted to \$5,719,000, and were primarily related to separation costs for approximately 80 employees and reductions in excess leased facility capacity. We do not anticipate recording any further expense in future periods related to these realignment and restructuring plans.

Net interest expense was \$1.7 million for 2008, compared to \$572,000 for 2007, as a result of interest expense on long-term debt issued in connection with acquisitions and lower interest income from lower average invested cash equivalents.

For 2008, the consolidated effective tax rate of 58.5% differs from the statutory corporate tax rate of 34.0% in the United States primarily due to foreign operating losses for which a tax benefit is not recorded and other nondeductible expenses. The level of foreign operating losses was increased during 2008 because a significant portion of the Company's restructuring charge was incurred in countries with historical operating losses. Further, the Company recorded State of Michigan income tax expense of \$241,000 for 2008. Prior to 2008, the State of Michigan had a value-added tax called the Single Business Tax that was not considered an income tax and was, therefore, included in SG&A expense. Single Business Tax included in SG&A expense totaled \$423,500 for 2007. For 2007, the consolidated effective tax rate of 34.7% differs from the statutory corporate tax rate of 34.0% in the United States primarily due to state income taxes and nondeductible expenses, which were partially offset by the tax benefit of tax rates in certain foreign countries that are lower than 34%.

Results of Operations**Year Ended December 31, 2007 Compared to the Year Ended December 31, 2006****Revenue**

| | Year Ended December 31, 2007 | Year Ended December 31, 2006 | Increase (Decrease) | % Change |
|---------------------------------------|---|---|--------------------------------|---------------------|
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| Revenue | | | | |
| Commercial Business | | | | |
| IT Outsourcing Services | \$ 104,659 | \$ 86,461 | \$ 18,198 | 21.0% |
| IT Consulting and Systems Integration | 28,064 | 24,013 | 4,051 | 16.9% |
| Other Services | 20,219 | 9,497 | 10,722 | 113% |
| Total Commercial | 152,942 | 119,971 | 32,971 | 27.5% |
| Government Technology Services | 69,254 | 47,393 | 21,861 | 46.1% |
| Total revenue | \$ 222,196 | \$ 167,364 | \$ 54,832 | 32.8% |

Total Company revenue increased 32.8% to \$222.2 million through a combination of acquisitions and organic growth from both new and existing customers across all service lines. Excluding revenue contributed by three acquisitions completed in 2007, total Company revenue increased 13.5% to \$189.9 million. This organic growth in revenue was over 17% in the Commercial business and about 4% in the Government business. Revenue from the Commercial business in 2007 was also favorably impacted by approximately \$6.2 million from the weakening of the U.S. dollar over 2006 relative to the international currencies in which we conduct business.

Table of Contents*IT Outsourcing Services*

Revenue from IT Outsourcing Services increased 21.0% to \$104.7 million in 2007, from \$86.5 million in 2006, as a result of revenue growth of 11.7% in the Americas and 30.0% in Europe. Our revenue growth reflects our continuing success at being able to grow existing accounts in our Commercial business by expanding the scope of our services and the geographies in which we deliver services. Revenue growth in the Americas also reflects greater activity on certain accounts that were ramping up in 2006 and a new Fortune 500 account added in the fourth quarter of 2007. Revenue growth in Europe also resulted from a combination of new account growth, our acquisition of SQM and the weakening of the U.S. dollar over 2006 relative to the international currencies in which we conduct business. If IT Outsourcing Services revenue in Europe were translated into U.S. dollars at the average exchange rate in 2006, reported revenue would have decreased approximately \$4.4 million in 2007. Since most of our international operating expenses are also incurred in the same foreign currencies in which the associated revenue is denominated, the net impact of exchange rate fluctuations on gross profit is considerably less than the estimated impact on revenue and it is not significant.

Ford is the Company's largest Commercial customer. IT Outsourcing Services revenue generated from Ford globally decreased 0.8% to \$36.6 million in 2007, from \$36.9 million in 2006. Revenue from Ford declined over 9% in the Americas while revenue in Europe increased in each country in which we deliver services to Ford resulting in aggregate growth in Europe of over 14%. Please refer to our discussion of Ford in the "Impact of Business with Major Clients" section of MD&A.

IT Consulting and Systems Integration

Revenue from IT Consulting and Systems Integration increased 16.9% to \$28.1 million in 2007, from \$24.0 million in 2006. We experienced an increase in revenue in Europe of \$5.8 million, or 59.5%, driven primarily by new and existing customer growth at TechTeam Akela and the acquisition of SQM. Excluding revenue from the acquisition of SQM, revenue in Europe increased 43.8% to \$14.1 million, and revenue globally increased 10.4% to \$26.5 million. The increase in revenue in Europe was partially offset by a decline in revenue in the Americas of 12.6% from the wind-down of certain systems implementation and training projects in our hospitality business.

Government Technology Services

Revenue from Government Technology Services increased 46.1% to \$69.3 million in 2007, from \$47.4 million in 2006, primarily due to our acquisitions of NewVectors and RL Phillips. Excluding revenue from these acquisitions, revenue increased 3.8% to \$49.2 million. As discussed in our quarterly filings on Form 10-Q, our Government business was adversely affected in 2007 by the difficult government contracting environment created by the continuing resolution funding the civilian agencies enacted by the U.S. Federal Government for fiscal 2007. Our Government business was also impacted by the uncertainty created in funding for our Department of Defense customers earlier in 2007 when the supplemental war funding bill was passed later than anticipated, as they contemplated the need to reallocate funds to support the war effort and delayed procurement decisions. When the U.S. Federal Government operates pursuant to a continuing resolution, delays can occur in procurement of products and services, and such delays can affect our revenue, profit and cash flow during the period of delay. While we experienced delays in customer procurement decisions, revenue from our Government business grew 11.8% in fourth quarter of 2007 over the comparable period in 2006. Please refer to our discussion of the U.S. Federal Government in the "Impact of Business with Major Clients" section of MD&A.

Table of Contents**Gross Profit and Gross Margin**

| | Year Ended December 31, | | 2006 | Gross Margin | Increase | % |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|------------------|----------------------|------------------|--------------|
| | 2007 | | | | | |
| | Amount | Gross Margin % | Amount | Gross Margin % | (Decrease) | Change |
| | (In thousands, except percentages) | | | | | |
| Gross Profit | | | | | | |
| Commercial | | | | | | |
| IT Outsourcing Services | \$ 26,888 | 25.7% | \$ 21,102 | 24.4% | \$ 5,786 | 27.4% |
| Asset impairment loss | | | (580) | | 580 | |
| Total IT Outsourcing Services | 26,888 | 25.7% | 20,522 | 23.7% | 6,366 | 31.0% |
| IT Consulting and Systems | | | | | | |
| Integration | 6,187 | 22.0% | 5,741 | 23.9% | 446 | 7.8% |
| Other Services | 4,789 | 23.7% | 1,610 | 17.0% | 3,179 | 198% |
| Total Commercial | 37,864 | 24.8% | 27,873 | 23.2% | 9,991 | 35.8% |
| Government Technology Services | 18,978 | 27.4% | 12,604 | 26.6% | 6,374 | 50.6% |
| Total gross profit | \$ 56,842 | 25.6% | \$ 40,477 | 24.2% | \$ 16,365 | 40.4% |

The 40.4% increase in gross profit to \$56.8 million was attributable to a combination of growth from acquisitions, organic growth from both new and existing customers across all service lines and gross margin improvement across most service lines. Gross profit growth and gross margin improvement in our Commercial business was led by IT Outsourcing Services and our acquisition of SQM. Gross profit growth and gross margin improvement in our Government business was principally due to acquisitions. Excluding gross profit contributed by acquisitions completed in 2007, total gross profit increased 17.0% to \$47.4 million and gross margin improved to 24.9%.

IT Outsourcing Services

Gross profit from IT Outsourcing Services increased 31.0% to \$26.9 million in 2007, from \$20.5 million in 2006, and gross margin increased to 25.7% from 23.7%. Gross profit in 2006 included an asset impairment loss of \$580,000 related to our decision to discontinue using certain software. Gross profit growth and gross margin improvement occurred in the Americas and included improved performance on two specific accounts, which impaired the Americas gross margin during 2006 while the accounts were ramping up, and over 74% revenue growth from a major U.S.-based customer that is now a global account. Gross profit increased in Europe, but gross margin declined primarily due to a contract renegotiation with a customer during the first quarter of 2007, which resulted in new pricing and severance costs relating to reduction of staff, and costs associated with employee recruiting and retention in Romania where we have had an increasingly difficult time recruiting qualified employees for specialized requirements, such as German language skills and IT infrastructure skills.

IT Consulting and Systems Integration

Gross profit from IT Consulting and Systems Integration increased 7.8% to \$6.2 million in 2007, from \$5.7 million in 2006, driven by new customer growth at TechTeam Akela and our acquisition of SQM. Gross margin decreased to 22.0% in 2007, from 23.9% in 2006, due to a decline in the Americas and, to a lesser extent, in Europe. We experienced a decrease in profitability in the Americas primarily from the wind-down of certain systems implementation and training projects in our hospitality business and training costs that were incurred for a new hospitality project. These decreases were partially offset by improved profitability from our Ford-related services,

which, had experienced a decline in gross profit and gross margin in 2006.

Table of Contents*Government Technology Services*

Gross profit from our Government Technology Services segment increased 50.6% to \$19.0 million in 2007, from \$12.6 million in 2006, and gross margin increased to 27.4% from 26.6%. The increase in gross profit and gross margin is primarily due to our acquisition of NewVectors. Excluding gross profit from acquisitions, gross profit increased slightly and gross margin decreased slightly. The decline in gross margin from 2006 is due to various factors that include hiring additional personnel to support our operations and increasing employee benefits to ensure that we remain competitive in the workplace for attracting the best employees. Moreover, our Government business was adversely affected in 2007 by the difficult government contracting environment created by the continuing resolution discussed earlier in this MD&A. Please refer to our discussion of the U.S. Federal Government in the *Impact of Business with Major Clients* section of MD&A.

Geographic Market Discussion

| | Year Ended December 31, 2007 | 2006 (In thousands) | Increase (Decrease) | % Change |
|---------------------------|---|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|
| Revenue | | | | |
| Commercial | | | | |
| Americas | \$ 68,022 | \$ 63,494 | \$ 4,528 | 7.1% |
| Europe | 84,920 | 56,477 | 28,443 | 50.4% |
| Total Commercial | 152,942 | 119,971 | 32,971 | 27.5% |
| Government | 69,254 | 47,393 | 21,861 | 46.1% |
| Total revenue | \$ 222,196 | \$ 167,364 | \$ 54,832 | 32.8% |
| Gross Margin | | | | |
| Commercial | | | | |
| Americas | 23.0% | 20.3% | | |
| Europe | 26.1% | 26.5% | | |
| Total Commercial | 24.8% | 23.2% | | |
| Government | 27.4% | 26.6% | | |
| Total Gross Margin | 25.6% | 24.2% | | |

Americas

Revenue generated in the Americas increased 7.1% to \$68.0 million in 2007, from \$63.5 million in 2006, led by almost 12% revenue growth from IT Outsourcing Services. In addition, revenue from our Other Services segment increased over 19% in the Americas from a new global account, while revenue from IT Consulting and Systems Integration decreased over 12% primarily from the wind-down of certain systems implementation and training projects in our hospitality business.

Gross margin from the Americas increased to 23.0% in 2007 from 20.3% in 2006. Gross margin in 2006 includes an asset impairment loss of \$580,000 related to our decision to discontinue using certain software that, when excluded, results in gross margin of 21.3% in 2006. Gross margin improvement occurred in the Americas primarily due to improved performance on two specific accounts, which impaired the Americas gross margin while they were ramping up during 2006, and over 74% revenue growth from a major U.S.-based customer that is now a global account. These

improvements were partially offset by a decrease in gross margin from IT Consulting and Systems Integration from the wind-down of certain projects in our hospitality business.

Table of Contents*Europe*

Revenue generated in Europe increased 50.4% to \$84.9 million in 2007, from \$56.5 million in 2006, due to revenue growth across all service lines from new and existing account growth, our acquisition of SQM and the weakening of the U.S. dollar over 2006 relative to the international currencies in which we conduct business. If revenue in Europe were translated into U.S. dollars at the comparable average exchange rate in 2006, reported revenue would have decreased approximately \$6.2 million in 2007. Since most of our international operating expenses are incurred in the same foreign currencies in which the associated revenue is denominated, the net impact of exchange rate fluctuations on operating margins is not significant. Excluding the acquisition of SQM, revenue in Europe increased 28.7% to \$72.7 million led by 24.9% organic growth in IT Outsourcing Services.

Gross margin from Europe decreased slightly to 26.1% in 2007, from 26.5% in 2006, primarily due to a contract renegotiation with an IT Outsourcing Services customer during the first quarter of 2007, which resulted in new pricing and severance costs relating to reduction of staff. Gross margin in Europe also declined from the need to increase staff on certain IT Outsourcing Services projects in order to meet agreed-upon service levels.

Operating Expenses and Other

| | Year Ended December 31, | | Increase | % |
|---|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------------|---------------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | (Decrease) | Change |
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| Operating Expenses and Other | | | | |
| Selling, general and administrative expense | \$46,547 | \$38,317 | \$ 8,230 | 21.5% |
| Net interest income (expense) | \$ (572) | \$ 776 | \$(1,348) | (174)% |
| Foreign currency transaction loss | \$ (84) | \$ (186) | \$ 102 | (54.8)% |
| Income tax provision | \$ 3,343 | \$ 873 | \$ 2,470 | 283% |

Selling, general and administrative expense increased 21.5% to \$46.5 million in 2007, from \$38.3 million in 2006; however, SG&A expense as a percentage of revenue declined to 20.9% of total revenue in 2007 from 22.9% in 2006. SG&A expense in 2007 includes the impact from acquisitions, and SG&A expense in 2006 includes professional fees totaling \$2.1 million related to a shareholder complaint and proxy contest, the settlement agreement related to the complaint and proxy contest matters, and professional fees and a settlement charge related to claims filed against the Company by former officers. Excluding acquisitions completed in 2007 and the aforementioned professional fees and settlement expenses in 2006, SG&A expense was \$39.7 million in 2007, or 20.9% of revenue in 2007, as compared to \$36.2 million in 2006, or 21.6% of revenue in 2006. SG&A expense increased year-over-year as we made investments to support our growth and global expansion and enhance our value-added service capabilities in areas such as employee recruiting and retention, sales and marketing resources, selection of new delivery sites, new business launches in Europe, and the effect of the weakening of the U.S. dollar from 2006. These increases were partially offset by reduced facility costs from expired and renegotiated leases.

We incurred net interest expense of \$572,000 in 2007, compared to net interest income of \$776,000 in 2006. The increase in net interest expense is primarily due to interest expense on long-term debt issued in connection with the acquisitions of NewVectors and RL Phillips.

The consolidated effective tax rate of 34.7% for 2007, differs from the statutory tax rate of 34% primarily due to state income taxes and nondeductible expenses, which are partially offset by the tax benefit of tax rates in certain foreign countries that are lower than 34%. The consolidated effective tax rate in 2007 increased from 31.7% for 2006 primarily due to greater income in jurisdictions with higher tax rates and operating losses in certain jurisdictions for which a tax benefit has not been recorded.

Table of Contents**Impact of Business with Major Clients**

We conduct business under multiple contracts with various entities within the Ford organization and with various agencies and departments of the U.S. Federal Government. Ford accounted for 15.9% of our total revenue in 2008, as compared to 20.1% in 2007 and 26.4% in 2006. The U.S. Federal Government accounted for 29.7% of our total revenue in 2008, as compared to 27.1% in 2007 and 24.9% in 2006. No single agency or department of the U.S. Federal Government comprised 10% or greater of our total revenue in 2006; however, in the aggregate, approximately 18.7% and 15.9% of our total revenue in 2008 and 2007, respectively, was derived from agencies within the U.S. Department of Defense.

Ford Motor Company

Our business with Ford consists of service desk and desk side services, technical staffing, network management and a specific project installing personal computers subcontracted through Dell Inc. Revenue generated through our business with Ford decreased to \$41.2 million in 2008, from \$44.6 million in 2007 and \$44.1 million in 2006. On December 23, 2008, TechTeam Global, Inc. (TechTeam) executed a three-year renewal of its Global Single Point of Contact (SPOC) contract with Ford Motor Company (Ford), under which TechTeam provides support services to Ford s information technology infrastructure. Under the SPOC contract, TechTeam will continue to provide service desk, deskside support, service management, infrastructure management, and identity and access management services to Ford in North America, Western Europe, and Asia. The contract renewal provides for a significant change in the service delivery model. These changes include the transition and centralization of service for English speaking Ford personnel to our operations in the Philippines, the transition of service for German speaking Ford personnel to Romania, and an enhanced centralized remote deskside support management function, which will reduce the number of visits necessary to support the deskside. We anticipate this transition to be completed around the middle of 2009. As a result of the changes in the delivery model, we anticipate lower revenues under the renewed contract of approximately \$2.7 million in 2009, due to our delivery from lower cost locations. At this time, we do not anticipate a material change in the Company s gross profit margin as a result of the renewal. While there is revenue pressure from the decrease in the number of seats supported and from Ford s continued efforts to seek cost savings on its total cost of IT infrastructure support, we are working to offset the anticipated decrease in revenue through an expansion of the SPOC Program to parts of the Ford enterprise that are not currently a part of the SPOC Program and the expansion of the scope of our services. While we believe that we are well positioned to expand the SPOC program, we do not anticipate any growth during the first half of 2009.

Moreover, with the global economic crisis significantly affecting Ford s operations and financial performance, Ford has announced its willingness to sell Volvo Car Corporation. It is possible that Ford may sell Volvo or otherwise allow Volvo to withdraw from the SPOC contract.

Under the existing contract, except for our support of Volvo, for whom we bill on a per-incident basis, we provide a set of infrastructure support services under specific service level metrics, and we invoice Ford based upon the number of seats we support. The number of seats supported is determined bi-annually on February 1 and August 1 of each year. If certain contractual conditions are met, Ford and TechTeam have the right during each six month period to request one out-of-cycle seat adjustment.

At December 31, 2008, Ford owed the Company \$4.0 million in the Americas and \$3.8 million in Europe. Ford has recently amended its North American standard purchase order terms to increase its payment terms from 30 days to 60 days from receipt of the invoice. Under the terms of the December 23, 2008 contract the change in North American payment terms will go into effect December 1, 2009, and, after that date, we therefore anticipate that there will be an increase in the aggregate accounts receivable due from Ford. We do not believe that Ford s financial condition will otherwise affect our business with Ford or the collectability of our accounts receivable from Ford; however, any failure to retain a significant amount of business with Ford, plant idling, a bankruptcy filing or major restructuring by Ford, could have a material adverse effect on our operating results and liquidity.

Table of Contents

U.S. Federal Government

We conduct business under multiple contracts with various agencies and departments of the U.S. Federal Government. Revenue generated through our business with the U.S. Federal Government increased to \$77.3 million in 2008, from \$60.3 million in 2007 and \$41.7 million in 2006.

In years when the U.S. Federal Government does not complete its budget process before the end of its fiscal year, government operations typically are funded pursuant to a continuing resolution that authorizes agencies of the government to continue to operate, but traditionally does not authorize new spending initiatives. When the U.S. Federal Government operates pursuant to a continuing resolution, delays can occur in procurement of products and services, and such delays can affect our revenue, profit and cash flow during the period of delay.

The results of our Government business have been negatively impacted by the difficult government contracting environment created by the budget constraints our customers faced. As a result of this environment, many customers have delayed procurement actions. In turn, we have experienced delays in our expected new business development. We have been informed that we were not selected as prime contractor for the Business Transformation Agency (BTA) of the Department of Defense. In 2008, we earned \$8.9 million in revenue from the BTA. The direct impact of this loss is difficult to assess at this time because we believe that we can replace a significant portion of this revenue by performing the same services for the BTA as a subcontractor to winning bidders. However, there can be no assurances in this regard.

In 2009, we have a few significant contracts that may be re-competed, including our contract for the Air National Guard, with approximately \$14 million in annual revenue, and CIO-SPII (a GWAC under which the Company performs services to the National Institutes of Health), which may impact our ability to do business with the National Institutes of Health in the future.

New Accounting Pronouncements

In March 2008, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) 161, Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities an Amendment of FASB Statement No. 133. SFAS 161 requires enhanced disclosure on (a) how and why an entity uses derivative instruments, (b) how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under SFAS 133 and its related interpretations and (c) how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity's financial position, financial performance and cash flows. SFAS 161 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008, with earlier application encouraged. The Company does not expect that SFAS 161 will have a material impact on the consolidated financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141R, Business Combinations, and SFAS No. 160 Noncontrolling Interests in Financial Statements, an amendment of ARB No. 51. These pronouncements are required to be adopted concurrently and are effective for business combination transactions for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2008. Early adoption is prohibited, thus the provisions of these pronouncements will be effective for the Company in fiscal 2009. The Company is evaluating the potential impact of SFAS 141R and SFAS 160 on the consolidated financial statements. SFAS 141R will have an impact on accounting for future business combinations once adopted, but the effect is dependent upon the terms of each acquisition at that time.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS 157, Fair Value Measurements. SFAS 157 establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. The Company adopted SFAS 157 on January 1, 2008, as required for financial assets and liabilities. The FASB deferred the effective date of SFAS 157 by one year for nonfinancial assets and liabilities that are not recognized or disclosed at fair value on a recurring basis. The Company does not expect that the adoption of the deferred portion of SFAS 157 will have a material impact on the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**Liquidity and Capital Resources**

Cash and cash equivalents were \$16.9 million at December 31, 2008, as compared to \$19.4 million at December 31, 2007. Cash and cash equivalents decreased \$2.6 million in 2008 as a result of \$6.1 million in cash used for acquisitions, \$1.9 million in payments, net of borrowings, to reduce long-term debt and \$2.5 million in cash used for capital expenditures. These uses of cash were partially offset by \$8.8 million in cash provided by operations.

Net cash from operating activities for 2008 provided cash of \$8.8 million compared to \$5.9 million in 2007 primarily due to improvements in net working capital offset by lower net income.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$7.6 million and \$51.0 million for 2008 and 2007, respectively. Net cash used in investing activities during 2008 was related to the Onvaio acquisition, while net cash used in investing activities during 2007 was driven by the acquisitions of SQM, NewVectors and RL Phillips. Capital expenditures were \$2.5 million and \$3.9 million, respectively, for 2008 and 2007. The lower spending in 2008 was driven by management efforts to reduce spending.

Net cash used in financing activities for 2008 was \$1.6 million compared to net cash provided by financing activities of \$34.3 million for 2007. The net cash used in financing activities in 2008 was primarily used to pay down debt. The net cash provided by financing activities in 2007 was used primarily to fund the acquisition of NewVectors.

Long-term cash requirements, other than for normal operating expenses, are anticipated for the continued expansion in Europe and the Asia-Pacific region, enhancements of existing technologies, possible repurchases of our common stock and the possible acquisition of businesses complementary to our existing businesses. We believe that positive cash flows from operations, together with existing cash balances and the existing credit agreement, will continue to be sufficient to meet our ongoing operational requirements for the next twelve months and foreseeable future. We have historically not paid dividends, and we are restricted from doing so under our Credit Agreement.

Material Commitments

Following are material contractual obligations outstanding at December 31, 2008:

| Maturities of Material Contractual Obligations | Debt | Operating Leases |
|---|-------------|-------------------------|
| Less than one year | \$ | \$ 4,941 |
| 1-3 years | 35,189 | 7,763 |
| 4-5 years | | 2,556 |
| Thereafter | | 1,730 |
| Total | \$ 35,189 | \$ 16,990 |

Table of Contents**Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates**

We prepare our financial statements in conformity with United States generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). The preparation of these consolidated financial statements under GAAP requires management to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amount of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expense during the reporting period. On an ongoing basis, management evaluates its estimates including those related to uncollectible accounts receivable, contingent liabilities, revenue recognition, goodwill and other intangible assets. Management bases its estimates on historical experience and on various other factors that are believed to be reasonable at the time the estimates are made. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. Management believes that our critical accounting policies that require more significant judgments and estimates in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements are revenue recognition, deferred income taxes, accounts receivable, goodwill impairment, long-lived assets and identifiable intangible asset impairment, and business combinations.

Revenue Recognition

Under all situations, revenue is not recognized until earned, which is when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, services have been provided, the revenue terms are fixed and determinable, and collectibility is reasonably assured.

We earn revenue under our IT Outsourcing Services segment under one of the following four models: (1) time and material contracts under which we bill an agreed rate for each help desk agent based on the number of units (i.e., hours or days) the individual agent worked during the month; (2) per-transaction contracts under which we bill an agreed rate per incident or call handled during a month or per minute for the length of the telephone call for the incident; (3) fixed monthly fee contracts under which we agree to provide all of the agreed-upon scheduled services on a monthly basis for a fixed monthly fee; and (4) per-seat contracts under which we agree to provide agreed-upon scheduled services for a monthly fee that is determined by multiplying the number of users supported at the customer by the monthly per-seat fee. Within the IT Outsourcing Services segment, greater than 98% of our services are delivered as a monthly service and not over multiple periods. We also refer to our fixed-fee and per-seat contracts as managed service contracts. Many of our contracts that we bill on a per-transaction basis contain a minimum monthly fee, which is derived by multiplying the agreed-upon forecast of anticipated incidents by an agreed-upon minimum percentage. Under this arrangement, we receive a minimum revenue amount for having committed to provide a specific level of staff to support the services projected during a month. Since we invoice the customer for the minimum fee and do not reduce future billings, we recognize the minimum fee as revenue in the month in which the incidents are below the customer's minimum forecast. Incident resolution usually occurs in the same month that incidents are reported. Under our managed service contracts, we generally do not incur material costs in a future month to complete a service obligation that arose in a prior month. In those instances where our service obligation is not complete for a month and we expect to incur more than immaterial costs in a future month, we will defer an amount of revenue that represents the fair value of that service obligation.

Revenue from all other services that we provide under our other operating segments Government Technology Services, IT Consulting and Systems Integration, and Other Services may be categorized into two primary types: time and material and fixed price. For the year ended December 31, 2008, approximately 75% of our revenue in these business segments was time and material and 21% was fixed price (a substantial majority of which were fixed price level of effort contracts). Revenue is recognized under time and materials contracts as time is spent at hourly rates, which are negotiated with the customer, plus the cost of any allowable material costs and out-of-pocket expenses. Revenue is recognized under the majority of fixed price contracts, which are predominantly level of effort contracts, using the cost-to-cost method for all services provided. In addition, we evaluate contracts for multiple deliverables, which may require the segmentation of each deliverable into separate accounting units for proper revenue recognition.

Table of Contents

Our contracts with agencies of the U.S. Federal Government are subject to periodic funding by the respective contracting agency. Funding for a contract may be provided in full at inception of the contract or ratably throughout the term of the contract as the services are provided. From time to time, we may proceed with work and recognize revenue on unfunded portions of existing contracts based on customer direction pending finalization and signing of formal funding documents. In evaluating the probability of funding being received, we consider our previous experience with the customer, communications with the customer regarding funding status, and our knowledge of available funding for the contract or program. If funding is not assessed as probable, revenue is deferred and is not recognized.

We recognize revenue under cost-based U.S. Federal Government contracts based on allowable contract costs, as mandated by the U.S. Federal Government's cost accounting standards. The costs we incur under U.S. Federal Government contracts are subject to regulation and audit by certain agencies of the U.S. Federal Government. Contract cost disallowances, resulting from government audits, have not historically been significant.

Deferred Income Taxes

Deferred income taxes represent temporary differences in the recognition of certain items for income tax and financial reporting purposes. Realization of deferred tax assets depends upon sufficient levels of future taxable income. If at any time we believe that current or future taxable income does not support the realization of deferred tax assets, a valuation allowance is provided.

Based on historical losses in Belgium and Romania, we have provided a valuation allowance against the deferred tax asset related to our net operating loss carryforward in these countries. We anticipate providing a valuation allowance for any future losses incurred in Belgium and Romania. No valuation allowance has been recognized against other deferred tax assets, which are in the United States, as we believe it is more likely than not that these deferred tax assets will be realized based on estimates of future taxable income, which have considered, among other factors, the future benefits of our recent acquisitions.

Accounts Receivable

We periodically review our accounts receivable balances for collectibility based on a combination of historical experience and existing economic conditions. The definition of delinquent accounts is based on the governing contractual terms. Delinquent accounts and balances are reserved when we determine they are more likely than not to become uncollectible. We generally do not require collateral and do not charge interest on past due balances.

We generally continue to be able to collect from our customers and currently do not know of any large accounts which will become uncollectible in the future; however, the credit rating of at least Ford and several automotive component companies have declined to well below investment grade. These downgrades have not negatively affected our relationship with these customers or the collectibility of our accounts receivable from these customers at this time; however, any bankruptcy filing by Ford would have a material adverse impact on the collectibility of our accounts receivable from Ford and our operating results and liquidity. Additionally, there could be concern with other automotive industry customers related to a Ford bankruptcy and/or depressed industry.

Goodwill Impairment

Goodwill relating to our acquisitions represents the excess of cost over the fair value of net tangible and separately identifiable intangible assets acquired, and has a carrying amount of approximately \$65.2 million and \$60.2 million at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The majority of the increase in goodwill in 2008 was related to the acquisition of Onvaio.

During the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, we performed our annual impairment test as of October 1 of each year, and did not incur an impairment charge. During the year ended December 31, 2008, as a result of recent economic events and the decline in our stock price, we updated our annual goodwill impairment testing from October 1, 2008 to perform an interim impairment test as of December 31, 2008. We determined that no goodwill impairment charge was required as a result of the interim testing and will continue to monitor the relationship of the Company's fair value to its book value as economic events and changes to our stock price occur. If future results are not consistent with our assumptions and estimates, we may be required to record goodwill impairment charges at a later date.

Table of Contents***Long-Lived Assets and Identifiable Intangible Asset Impairment***

The carrying amount of long-lived assets and identifiable intangible assets was approximately \$21.3 million and \$26.3 million at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

We continually evaluate whether events and circumstances have occurred that indicate the remaining estimated useful lives of long-lived and identifiable intangible assets may warrant revision or that the remaining balances may not be recoverable. When factors or events indicate that such costs should be evaluated for possible impairment, we estimate the undiscounted cash flows of the assets over their remaining lives to evaluate whether the costs are recoverable. Such events could include, but are not limited to, the loss of a significant customer or contract, decreases in U.S. Federal Government funding of certain programs, or other similar events.

During the first quarter of 2006, we discontinued using certain software related to our help desk operations. We expected no future cash flows related to the asset and, therefore, recorded an impairment loss equal to the net book value of the asset of \$580,000, which was recorded in our IT Outsourcing Services segment. We did not record an impairment loss in any other period presented.

Business Combinations

We apply the provisions of SFAS No. 141, Business Combinations, whereby the net tangible and separately identifiable intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed are recognized at their estimated fair market values at the acquisition date. The purchase price in excess of the estimated fair market value of the net tangible and separately identifiable intangible assets acquired represents goodwill. The allocation of the purchase price related to our business combinations involves significant estimates and management judgment that may be adjusted during the allocation period, but in no case beyond one year from the acquisition date. Costs incurred related to successful business combinations are capitalized as costs of business combinations, while costs incurred by us for unsuccessful or terminated acquisition opportunities are expensed when we determine that such opportunities will no longer be pursued. Costs incurred related to probable business combinations are deferred.

Item 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

On June 1, 2007, the Company entered into a Credit Agreement that provides for long-term borrowings at variable rates of interest based upon either the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR), the bank's prime rate or the federal funds rate, each of which having an applicable interest margin added. Upon entering into the agreement, the Company borrowed \$35.0 million to finance part of the acquisition of NewVectors. On June 4, 2007, the Company entered into an interest rate swap agreement with a notional amount of \$30.0 million to hedge the variable rate of interest on the Company borrowings. For the year ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, the Company recorded a loss of approximately \$590,000 and \$49,000, respectively, as interest expense on the interest rate swap. The Company has recorded a liability of \$1.1 million and \$755,000 for the fair value of the interest rate swap at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively, for which the corresponding offset has been recorded as an unrealized loss within other comprehensive income.

On June 5, 2008, the Company and the banks amended the Credit Agreement to permit borrowings up to \$55,000,000. In addition, the Applicable Margin on a LIBOR-based loan was modified from a range of 0.75%-1.5% to a range of 0.95%-1.45%, and the unused commitment fee increased from a range of 0.1%-0.25% to a range of 0.15%-0.25%. Borrowings under the Credit Agreement are currently secured by substantially all domestic assets of the Company and 65% of its interests in the majority of its foreign subsidiaries. The Credit Agreement terminates on May 31, 2012. Our exposure to market risk relates to the interest rate risk associated with the outstanding loan under the Credit Agreement. The market exposure for the variable interest rate on the loan is mitigated by the interest rate swap with a notional amount of \$19.4 million and \$26.9 million at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Assuming a 100 basis point increase in interest rates on our variable rate debt and assuming the debt was outstanding since January 1, 2008, interest expense would have increased approximately \$133,000 in 2008. The estimated increase in interest expense was based on the portion of our variable interest debt that was not offset by the interest rate swap agreement and assumes no changes in the volume or composition of the debt.

Table of Contents

In the normal course of business, we are subject to market exposure from changes in foreign currency exchange rates due to our global operations as we provide services in the United States and Europe. As a result, our financial results and position could be significantly affected by factors such as changes in foreign currency exchange rates or weak economic conditions in foreign markets in which we provide services. Our operating results are primarily exposed to changes in exchange rates between the U.S. dollar and European currencies. As currency exchange rates change, translation of the statements of operations of our international subsidiaries into U.S. dollars affects year-over-year comparability of operating results. We do not hedge operating translation risks because cash flows from international operations are generally reinvested locally.

Also, certain of our trade receivables at our international subsidiaries are denominated in currencies other than the local currency of the TechTeam entity that delivers the service. As currency exchange rates change, our operating results will be affected by foreign currency transaction gains or losses on the receivable balance until it is collected. We generally do not enter into derivatives or similar instruments to manage our exposure to fluctuations in exchange rates related to trade receivables. From time to time, we enter into foreign currency option or forward contracts to manage our exposure to fluctuations in the exchange rate between the U.S. dollar and European euro. No derivatives, options contracts or similar instruments were outstanding at December 31, 2008 or 2007. We do not enter into derivatives or similar instruments for trading or speculative purposes.

At December 31, 2008 and 2007, our net current assets (defined as current assets less current liabilities) subject to foreign currency translation risk were \$21.5 million and \$22.0 million, respectively. The potential decrease in net current assets from a hypothetical 10% adverse change in quoted foreign currency exchange rates would be \$2.2 million at December 31, 2008 and 2007. Approximately \$1.4 million and \$2.0 million of our trade receivables at our international subsidiaries at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively, are denominated in currencies other than the local currency of the TechTeam entity that delivers the service. The potential loss on trade receivables from a hypothetical 10% adverse change in quoted foreign currency exchange rates would be \$140,000 and \$200,000 at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The sensitivity analysis presented assumes a parallel shift in foreign currency exchange rates yet exchange rates rarely move in the same direction. This assumption may overstate the impact of changing exchange rates on individual assets and liabilities denominated in a foreign currency.

Table of Contents**Item 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA**

The following consolidated financial statements of TechTeam Global, Inc. and Subsidiaries are included in this Item 8:

| | Page |
|--|-------------|
| <u>Management Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting</u> | 45 |
| <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> | 45 |
| <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> | 46 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Income – Years Ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006</u> | 47 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) – Years Ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006</u> | 48 |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets – As of December 31, 2008 and 2007</u> | 49 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity – Years Ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006</u> | 50 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows – Years Ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006</u> | 51 |
| <u>Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 52 |

The following financial statement schedule of TechTeam Global, Inc. and Subsidiaries is included pursuant to the requirements of Item 15:

Schedule II – Valuation and Qualifying Accounts for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

All schedules for which provision is made in the applicable accounting regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and for which the information is not already included in the financial statements are not required under the related instructions or are not applicable and, therefore, have been omitted.

Table of Contents

Management Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) of the Exchange Act). Our management, with the participation of our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting based on the framework in Internal Control Integrated Framework, issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO Framework). Based on our assessment under the COSO Framework, our management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2008.

Our independent registered public accounting firm, Ernst & Young LLP, issued an attestation report on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2008, which appears below.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Shareholders of TechTeam Global, Inc.

We have audited TechTeam Global, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2008, based on criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). TechTeam Global, Inc.'s (the Company) management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, TechTeam Global, Inc. maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2008, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of TechTeam Global, Inc as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income (loss), shareholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2008 of TechTeam Global, Inc. and our report dated March 13, 2009 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Detroit, Michigan
March 13, 2009

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Shareholders TechTeam Global, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of TechTeam Global, Inc. and subsidiaries (the Company) as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income (loss), shareholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2008. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the index at Item 15(a). These financial statements and schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of TechTeam Global, Inc. and subsidiaries at December 31, 2008 and 2007, and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2008, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

As discussed in Note 7 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company changed its method of accounting for income taxes in accordance with FASB Interpretation No. 48 Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes in 2007. We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), TechTeam Global, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2008, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated March 13, 2009 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Detroit, Michigan

March 13, 2009

Table of Contents

TECHTEAM GLOBAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

(In thousands, except per share data)

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---|--------------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| Revenue | | | |
| Commercial | | | |
| IT Outsourcing Services | \$ 120,166 | \$ 104,659 | \$ 86,461 |
| IT Consulting and Systems Integration | 27,064 | 28,064 | 24,013 |
| Other Services | 24,110 | 20,219 | 9,497 |
| Total Commercial | 171,340 | 152,942 | 119,971 |
| Government Technology Services | 88,615 | 69,254 | 47,393 |
| Total revenue | 259,955 | 222,196 | 167,364 |
| Cost of revenue | | | |
| Commercial | | | |
| IT Outsourcing Services | \$ 90,158 | \$ 77,771 | \$ 65,359 |
| Asset impairment loss | | | 580 |
| Total IT Outsourcing Services | 90,158 | 77,771 | 65,939 |
| IT Consulting and Systems Integration | 20,637 | 21,877 | 18,272 |
| Other Services | 18,683 | 15,430 | 7,887 |
| Total Commercial | 129,478 | 115,078 | 92,098 |
| Government Technology Services | 64,187 | 50,276 | 34,789 |
| Total cost of revenue | 193,665 | 165,354 | 126,887 |
| Gross profit | | | |
| Commercial | 41,862 | 37,864 | 27,873 |
| Government Technology Services | 24,428 | 18,978 | 12,604 |
| Total gross profit | 66,290 | 56,842 | 40,477 |
| Selling, general and administrative expense | 52,774 | 46,547 | 38,317 |
| Restructuring charges | 5,719 | | |
| Operating income | 7,797 | 10,295 | 2,160 |
| Net interest income (expense) | (1,712) | (572) | 776 |
| Foreign currency transaction gain (loss) | 910 | (84) | (186) |
| Other income, net | 155 | | |

| | | | |
|--|----------|----------|----------|
| Income before income taxes | 7,150 | 9,639 | 2,750 |
| Income tax provision | 4,182 | 3,343 | 873 |
| Income from continuing operations | 2,968 | 6,296 | 1,877 |
| Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax | | | (43) |
| Net income | \$ 2,968 | \$ 6,296 | \$ 1,834 |
| Basic earnings per common share | | | |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 0.28 | \$ 0.61 | \$ 0.19 |
| Income from discontinued operations | | | |
| Net income per common share | \$ 0.28 | \$ 0.61 | \$ 0.18 |
| Diluted earnings per common share | | | |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 0.28 | \$ 0.60 | \$ 0.18 |
| Income from discontinued operations | | | |
| Net income per common share | \$ 0.28 | \$ 0.60 | \$ 0.18 |
| Weighted average number of common shares and common share equivalents outstanding | | | |
| Basic common | 10,529 | 10,355 | 10,092 |
| Diluted common | 10,555 | 10,506 | 10,176 |

See accompanying notes.

Table of Contents

TECHTEAM GLOBAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

(In thousands, except per share data)

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--|--------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| Net income, as set forth in the consolidated statements of operations | \$ 2,968 | \$ 6,296 | \$ 1,834 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) | | | |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment | (3,525) | 1,487 | 2,839 |
| Unrealized loss on derivative instrument | (318) | (755) | |
| Comprehensive income (loss) | \$ (875) | \$ 7,028 | \$ 4,673 |

See accompanying notes.

48

Table of Contents

TECHTEAM GLOBAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(In thousands)

| | December 31, | |
|--|---------------------|-------------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 |
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 16,881 | \$ 19,431 |
| Accounts receivable (less allowance of \$986 at December 31, 2008 and \$611 at December 31, 2007) | 59,705 | 70,901 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 4,315 | 4,016 |
| Total current assets | 80,901 | 94,348 |
| Property, equipment and software, net | 8,327 | 10,562 |
| Goodwill and other intangible assets, net | 77,361 | 76,686 |
| Other assets | 774 | 573 |
| Total assets | \$ 167,363 | \$ 182,169 |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY | | |
| Current liabilities | | |
| Current portion of long-term debt | \$ 7,987 | \$ 5,850 |
| Accounts payable | 6,340 | 20,952 |
| Accrued payroll and related taxes | 12,477 | 14,237 |
| Accrued expenses | 9,054 | 8,317 |
| Deferred revenue | 1,435 | 1,445 |
| Other current liabilities | 1,181 | 374 |
| Total current liabilities | 38,474 | 51,175 |
| Long-term liabilities | | |
| Long-term debt, less current portion | 27,202 | 31,167 |
| Deferred income taxes | 1,966 | 1,738 |
| Other long-term liabilities | 988 | 1,058 |
| Total long-term liabilities | 30,156 | 33,963 |
| Shareholders equity | | |
| Preferred stock, 5,000,000 shares authorized, no shares issued | | |
| Common stock, \$0.01 par value, 45,000,000 shares authorized, 10,884,998 and 10,693,488 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2008 and 2007, | 109 | 107 |

respectively

| | | |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|
| Additional paid-in capital | 77,939 | 75,364 |
| Retained earnings | 21,359 | 18,391 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) | (674) | 3,169 |
| Total shareholders equity | 98,733 | 97,031 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders equity | \$ 167,363 | \$ 182,169 |

See accompanying notes.

49

Table of Contents

TECHTEAM GLOBAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY
(In thousands)

| | Common Stock | Additional Paid-in Capital | Unamortized Deferred Compensation | Retained Earnings | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) | Total Shareholders Equity |
|---|-----------------|----------------------------------|---|----------------------|---|---------------------------------|
| Balance at January 1, 2006 | \$ 99 | \$ 69,148 | \$ (866) | \$ 10,261 | \$ (402) | \$ 78,240 |
| Proceeds from issuance of shares under stock option plans | 4 | 2,538 | | | | 2,542 |
| Common stock issued to directors | | 19 | | | | 19 |
| Issuance of restricted stock | 1 | (1) | | | | |
| Share-based compensation | | 443 | | | | 443 |
| Reclassification of deferred compensation | | (866) | 866 | | | |
| Net income for 2006 | | | | 1,834 | | 1,834 |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment | | | | | 2,839 | 2,839 |
| Other | | 391 | | | | 391 |
| Balance at December 31, 2006 | 104 | 71,672 | | 12,095 | 2,437 | 86,308 |
| Proceeds from issuance of shares under stock option plans | 1 | 1,093 | | | | 1,094 |
| Common stock issued to directors | | 219 | | | | 219 |
| Issuance of restricted stock | 2 | (2) | | | | |
| Shares issued in connection with acquisitions | | 300 | | | | 300 |
| Share-based compensation | | 1,521 | | | | 1,521 |
| Net income for 2007 | | | | 6,296 | | 6,296 |
| Unrealized loss on derivative instrument | | | | | (755) | (755) |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment | | | | | 1,487 | 1,487 |
| Other | | 561 | | | | 561 |

| | | | | | |
|---|---------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Balance at December 31, 2007 | 107 | 75,364 | 18,391 | 3,169 | 97,031 |
| Proceeds from issuance of shares under stock option plans | 1 | 408 | | | 409 |
| Common stock issued to directors | | 160 | | | 160 |
| Purchase of common stock | | (61) | | | (61) |
| Issuance of restricted stock | 1 | (1) | | | |
| Share-based compensation | | 2,157 | | | 2,157 |
| Net income for 2008 | | | 2,968 | | 2,968 |
| Unrealized loss on derivative instrument | | | | (318) | (318) |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment | | | | (3,525) | (3,525) |
| Other | | (88) | | | (88) |
| Balance at December 31, 2008 | \$ 109 | \$ 77,939 | \$ 21,359 | \$ (674) | \$ 98,733 |

See accompanying notes.

50

Table of Contents

TECHTEAM GLOBAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(In thousands)

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--|--------------------------------|--------------|-------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| Operating activities | | | |
| Net income | \$ 2,968 | \$ 6,296 | \$ 1,834 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | | |
| Depreciation | 4,076 | 3,383 | 3,146 |
| Amortization | 3,859 | 3,623 | 2,002 |
| Asset impairment loss | | | 580 |
| Non-cash expense related to stock options and issuance of common stock and restricted common stock | 2,317 | 1,387 | 462 |
| Gain on disposition of business | (155) | | |
| Credit for deferred income taxes | (187) | (1,148) | (938) |
| Provision for uncollectible accounts | 479 | 145 | 232 |
| Other | (21) | 8 | 30 |
| Changes in assets and liabilities, net of acquisitions | | | |
| Accounts receivable | 6,595 | (18,329) | 3,690 |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | 379 | 1,259 | (1,455) |
| Accounts payable | (13,840) | 11,059 | (4,628) |
| Accrued payroll and related taxes | (477) | (1,084) | (905) |
| Income taxes receivable and accrued income taxes | 1,442 | 721 | (1,629) |
| Deferred revenue | 37 | (415) | 918 |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities | 1,336 | (974) | (53) |
| Loss from discontinued operations | | | 43 |
| Net operating cash flow from discontinued operations | | (3) | 62 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 8,808 | 5,928 | 3,391 |
| Investing activities | | | |
| Disposition of business, net of cash disposed | 953 | | |
| Purchases of property, equipment and software | (2,475) | (3,882) | (4,182) |
| Cash paid for acquisitions, net of cash acquired | (6,084) | (47,160) | (494) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (7,606) | (51,042) | (4,676) |
| Financing activities | | | |
| Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt | 5,000 | 38,900 | |
| Proceeds from issuance of common stock | 348 | 1,085 | 2,542 |
| Purchase of common stock | (61) | | |
| Tax (expense) benefit from stock options | (28) | 570 | 497 |
| Payments on long-term debt | (6,873) | (6,299) | (7,763) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | (1,614) | 34,256 | (4,724) |

| | | | |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents | (2,138) | 207 | 1,335 |
| Decrease in cash and cash equivalents | (2,550) | (10,651) | (4,674) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year | 19,431 | 30,082 | 34,756 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of year | \$ 16,881 | \$ 19,431 | \$ 30,082 |

See accompanying notes.

51

Table of Contents

**TECHTEAM GLOBAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

(continued)

Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Nature of Business and Basis of Presentation

TechTeam Global, Inc. (TechTeam or the Company) is a leading provider of IT outsourcing and business process outsourcing services to large and medium businesses, as well as government organizations. The company s primary services include service desk, technical support, desk-side support, security administration, infrastructure management and related professional services. TechTeam also provides a number of specialized, value-added services in specific vertical markets.

TechTeam provides support services globally through its wholly-owned subsidiaries: TechTeam Global NV/SA (Brussels, Belgium), TechTeam Global Ltd. (United Kingdom); TechTeam Global GmbH (Germany); TechTeam Global AB (Sweden), with its subsidiary TechTeam SQM AB (Sweden); TechTeam Global Denmark (a branch of TechTeam Global AB); TechTeam Global SRL (Bucharest, Romania); TechTeam Akela SRL (Bucharest, Romania); TechTeam Global Sp. z o.o. (Poland); TechTeam Global Canada, Inc.; TechTeam Global SAS (France); TechTeam Global Sàrl (Switzerland); TTG Portugal, Lda (Portugal); TechTeam Government Solutions, Inc. (formerly known as Digital Support Corporation, Chantilly, Virginia), with its subsidiary Sytel, Inc., (Bethesda, Maryland); TechTeam Cyntergy, L.L.C. (Southfield, Michigan); Onvaio LLC (Los Gatos, California), with its subsidiary Onvaio Asia Services (Manila, Philippines) and TechTeam Australia Pty Limited (Australia). TechTeam s other wholly-owned subsidiary is TechTeam Capital Group, L.L.C. (Capital Group), an equipment leasing business that has ceased operations and which has been presented as a discontinued operation in the accompanying consolidated financial statements for all periods presented (see Note 17).

The consolidated financial statements include TechTeam Global, Inc. and its subsidiaries. Intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities as of the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expense during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from these estimates. Significant estimates include realization of deferred tax assets, reserves for uncollectible accounts receivable and assumptions used in testing goodwill and other long-lived assets for impairment.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash includes both interest-bearing and non-interest-bearing deposits, which are available on demand. Cash equivalents include all liquid investments with maturities of three months or less when purchased and are primarily comprised of time deposits and certificates of deposit. The Company s cash equivalents are subject to credit risk. The Company mitigates credit risk by investing only in investment grade securities.

In connection with the Company s Credit Agreement, there are no compensating cash balance requirements. In connection with the Company s credit agreement with LaSalle Bank Midwest, N.A., (now called Bank of America, N.A.) which was amended on October 4, 2007, outstanding borrowings and letters of credit were collateralized by a compensating balance cash deposit required to be held at the bank equal to the amount of any outstanding borrowings and letters of credit. At December 31, 2007 the Company held compensating balance cash deposits totaling \$265,000. At December 31, 2008 the Company held no compensating balance cash deposits.

Table of Contents

**TECHTEAM GLOBAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

(continued)

Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable balances are periodically reviewed for collectibility based on a combination of historical experience and existing economic conditions. The definition of delinquent accounts is based on the governing contractual terms. Delinquent accounts and balances are reserved when it is determined they are more likely than not to become uncollectible. Generally, no collateral is required and no interest is charged on past due balances.

Property, Equipment and Software

Property, equipment and software are stated at cost. Computer equipment, office furniture and transportation equipment are depreciated using the straight-line method over their estimated useful lives, ranging from three to ten years. Leasehold improvements are amortized on a straight-line basis over the shorter of the estimated useful lives of the improvements or the term of the lease. Software is amortized over three to seven years.

Long-lived assets are evaluated for impairment when events occur or circumstances indicate that the remaining estimated useful lives may warrant revision or that the remaining balances may not be recoverable. When this occurs, an estimate of undiscounted cash flows is used to determine if the remaining balances are recoverable. The Company has attempted to implement certain software over the last two years that was not fully implemented due to problems with the functionality of the software. The Company determined that the software purchased does not provide the functionality promised and required. The vendor has agreed to replace the software with another product that will provide the necessary functionality without additional cost to the Company. Based upon this evaluation, the Company does not believe this asset is impaired.

In the first quarter of 2006, the Company determined that certain software would no longer be used. Since no future cash flows related to the software asset were expected, an impairment loss of \$580,000 was recorded to cost of revenue in the IT Outsourcing Services segment.

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

Under SFAS No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets, the Company is required to perform annual impairment tests of its goodwill at least annually or more frequently if impairment indicators are present. The Company has elected to test for goodwill impairment on the first day of the fourth quarter each year. In the first step of the goodwill impairment test, the Company identifies its reporting units and determines the carrying value of each reporting unit by assigning the assets and liabilities, including the existing goodwill and intangible assets, to these reporting units. The Company determines the estimated fair value of each reporting unit and compares it to the carrying amount of the reporting unit. When estimating the reporting units fair values, we calculate the present value of future cash flows based on forecasted revenues, gross profit margins and operating margins, anticipated future cash flows, current industry and economic conditions, market data, historical results and inflation.

The average annual projected revenue growth rates ranged from less than 1% to 7.5% per annum over a seven year period. The assumed long-term annual growth rate after 2015 is 3%. The financial and credit market volatility directly impacts our fair value measurement through our weighted average cost of capital that we used to determine our discount rate. We use a discount rate in the present value calculations which is updated annually and which we consider appropriate for the country where the reporting unit is providing services. The discount rates used in the 2008 goodwill impairment test ranged from 12.5% to 15.0%. We also use available market value information to evaluate fair value. The total fair value of the reporting units plus components of the business which have no goodwill was reconciled to end of year market capitalization.

Table of Contents

**TECHTEAM GLOBAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

(continued)

Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

During the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, we performed our annual impairment test as of October 1 of each year, and did not incur an impairment charge. During the year ended December 31, 2008, as a result of recent economic events and the decline in our stock price, we updated our annual goodwill impairment testing from October 1, 2008 to perform an interim impairment test as of December 31, 2008. We determined that no goodwill impairment charge was required as a result of the interim testing and will continue to monitor the relationship of the Company's fair value to its book value as economic events and changes to its stock price occur. If future results are not consistent with our assumptions and estimates, we may be required to record goodwill impairment charges at a later date.

In the future, to the extent the carrying amount of a reporting unit exceeds the fair value of a reporting unit, an indication would exist that a reporting unit's goodwill may be impaired, and the Company would be required to perform the second step of the impairment test. In the second step, the Company must compare the implied fair value of the reporting unit goodwill with the carrying amount of the reporting unit goodwill. The implied fair value of goodwill is determined by allocating the fair value of the reporting unit to all of the assets (recognized and unrecognized) and liabilities of the reporting unit in a manner similar to a purchase price allocation in an acquisition. The residual fair value after this allocation is the implied fair value of the reporting unit goodwill.

On May 30, 2008, TechTeam Global, Inc. completed the acquisition of Onvaio LLC (Onvaio), a California limited liability company. Goodwill resulting from the acquisition of Onvaio is not deductible for income tax purposes and has been assigned to the IT Outsourcing Services segment.

On August 31, 2007, TechTeam Global, Inc., through its wholly-owned subsidiary TechTeam Government Solutions, Inc., completed the acquisition of all of the outstanding common stock of RL Phillips, Inc. (RL Phillips). On May 31, 2007, TechTeam Global, Inc., through its wholly-owned subsidiary TechTeam Government Solutions, Inc., completed the acquisition of all of the outstanding membership interest in NewVectors LLC (NewVectors). Goodwill resulting from the acquisition of NewVectors is tax deductible for federal income tax purposes over a period of 15 years. Goodwill resulting from the acquisitions of RL Phillips is not deductible for federal income tax purposes. Goodwill from these acquisitions has been assigned to the Government Technology Services segment.

On February 9, 2007, TechTeam Global, Inc., through its wholly-owned subsidiary TechTeam Global AB, completed the acquisition of all of the outstanding stock of SQM Sverige AB (SQM). Goodwill resulting from the acquisition of SQM is not deductible for income tax purposes and has been assigned to the IT Outsourcing Services, IT Consulting and Systems Integration and Other Services segments.

Table of Contents

TECHTEAM GLOBAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(continued)

Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

Changes in the carrying amount of goodwill consist of the following:

| | IT Outsourcing Services | Government Technology Services | IT Consulting and Systems Integration | Other Services | Total |
|---------------------------------|--|---|--|---------------------------|--------------|
| | | | (In thousands) | | |
| Balance as of January 1, 2006 | \$ 371 | \$ 19,670 | \$ 2,063 | \$ | \$ 22,104 |
| Goodwill acquired | | | 297 | | 297 |
| Effect of exchange rate changes | | | 57 | | 57 |
| Balance as of December 31, 2006 | 371 | 19,670 | 2,417 | | 22,458 |
| Goodwill acquired | 875 | 34,133 | 995 | 3,062 | 39,065 |
| Balance as of December 31, 2007 | 1,246 | 53,803 | 3,412 | 3,062 | 61,523 |
| Goodwill acquired | 4,216 | 146 | 23 | 5 | 4,390 |
| Disposition of business | | | (742) | | (742) |
| Balance as of December 31, 2008 | \$ 5,462 | \$ 53,949 | \$ 2,693 | \$ 3,067 | \$ 65,171 |

Other intangible assets consist of the following:

| | December 31, 2008 | | Weighted Average Amortization Period | December 31, 2007 | | Weighted Average Amortization Period |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| | Cost | Accumulated Amortization | | Cost | Accumulated Amortization | |
| | (In thousands) | | | (In thousands) | | |
| Customer-related assets | \$ 22,407 | \$ 10,533 | 7.3 years | \$ 22,094 | \$ 7,447 | 7.4 years |
| Noncompete agreement | 1,175 | 907 | 3.8 years | 975 | 609 | 4.2 years |
| Trademark and other | 443 | 395 | 4.1 years | 443 | 293 | 4.1 years |
| | \$ 24,025 | \$ 11,835 | | \$ 23,512 | \$ 8,349 | |

Intangible assets acquired in a business combination are recognized only if such assets arise from a contractual or other legal right and are separable, that is, capable of being sold, transferred, licensed, rented or exchanged. Intangible assets acquired in a business combination that do not meet these criteria are considered a component of goodwill. The useful life of amortizable intangible assets is determined based on the period from which cash flows are expected to be realized from these assets and considers, among other items, ability and cost to renew contracts with similar terms and conditions and historical customer retention rates.

Amortizable intangible assets are evaluated based on undiscounted operating cash flows whenever significant events or changes occur that might indicate impairment of recorded costs. If undiscounted cash flows are insufficient to recover recorded costs, the carrying value of the assets is reduced to fair value based on discounted cash flows or market values. No impairment loss for amortizable intangible assets was recorded for any period presented.

Expected amortization expense for intangible assets held at December 31, 2008 is as follows: \$3,291,000 in 2009, \$3,053,000 in 2010, \$2,992,000 in 2011, \$1,859,000 in 2012 and \$656,000 in 2013.

Table of Contents

**TECHTEAM GLOBAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

(continued)

Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

Deferred Income Taxes

Deferred income taxes represent temporary differences in the recognition of certain items for income tax and financial reporting purposes. Realization of deferred tax assets depends upon sufficient levels of future taxable income. If at any time the Company believes that current or future taxable income does not support the realization of deferred tax assets, a valuation allowance is provided.

No provision has been made with respect to approximately \$12,503,000 and \$14,141,000 of undistributed earnings of foreign subsidiaries at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively, since these earnings are considered to be permanently reinvested.

Foreign Currency Translation

Assets and liabilities of non-U.S. subsidiaries are translated into U.S. dollars based on the prevailing exchange rate at each respective balance sheet date. Revenue and expenses are translated into U.S. dollars based on the average exchange rate for the period. Cumulative translation adjustments are included as a separate component of shareholders equity as accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). Currency transaction gains or losses are generally derived from cash, receivables and payables that are stated in a currency other than the local currency, and are recognized as income or expense in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

Revenue Recognition

Under all situations, revenue is not recognized until earned, which is when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, services have been provided, the revenue terms are fixed and determinable, and collectibility is reasonably assured.

The Company earns revenue under the IT Outsourcing Services segment under one of the following four models: (1) time and material contracts that are billed at an agreed rate for each help desk agent based on the number of units (i.e., hours or days) the individual agent worked during the month; (2) per-transaction contracts that are billed at an agreed rate per incident or call handled during a month or per minute for the length of the telephone call for the incident; (3) fixed monthly fee contracts that are billed a fixed fee monthly for agreed-upon scheduled services; and (4) per-seat contracts under which agreed-upon scheduled services are provided for a monthly fee that is determined by multiplying the number of users supported at the customer by the monthly per-seat fee. Within the IT Outsourcing Services segment, greater than 98% of services are delivered as a monthly service and not over multiple periods. The Company refers to fixed-fee and per-seat contracts as managed service contracts. Many contracts that are billed on a per-transaction basis contain a minimum monthly fee, which is derived by multiplying the agreed-upon forecast of anticipated incidents by an agreed-upon minimum percentage. Under this arrangement, the Company receives a minimum revenue amount for having committed to provide a specific level of staff to support the services projected during a month. Since the customer is invoiced for the minimum fee without reducing future billings, the minimum fee is recognized as revenue in the month in which the incidents are below the customer's minimum forecast. Incident resolution usually occurs in the same month that incidents are reported. Under managed service contracts, material costs are generally not incurred in a future month to complete a service obligation that arose in a prior month. In those instances where the Company's service obligation is not complete and more costs are expected to be incurred in future months, revenue that represents the fair value of that service obligation is deferred.

Table of Contents

**TECHTEAM GLOBAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

(continued)

Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

Revenue from all other services provided under other operating segments Government Technology Services, IT Consulting and Systems Integration and Other Services may be categorized into two primary types: time and material and fixed price. For the year ended December 31, 2008, approximately 75% of the Company's revenue in these business segments were time and material and 21% were fixed price (a substantial majority of which are fixed price level of effort contracts). Revenue is recognized under time and materials contracts as time is spent at hourly rates, which are negotiated with the customer, plus the cost of any allowable material costs and out-of-pocket expenses. Revenue is recognized under the majority of fixed price contracts, which are predominantly level of effort contracts, using the cost-to-cost method for all services provided. In addition, contracts for multiple deliverables are evaluated and may require the segmentation of each deliverable into separate accounting units for proper revenue recognition. Contracts with agencies of the U.S. Federal Government are subject to periodic funding by the respective contracting agency. Funding for a contract may be provided in full at inception of the contract or ratably throughout the term of the contract as the services are provided. From time to time, the Company may proceed with work and recognize revenue on unfunded portions of existing contracts based on customer direction pending finalization and signing of formal funding documents. In evaluating the probability of funding being received, the Company considers previous experience with the customer, communications with the customer regarding funding status, and the Company's knowledge of available funding for the contract or program. If funding is not assessed as probable, revenue is deferred and not recognized.

Revenue is recognized under cost-based U.S. Federal Government contracts based on allowable contract costs, as mandated by the U.S. Federal Government's cost accounting standards. The costs the Company incurs under U.S. Federal Government contracts are subject to regulation and audit by certain agencies of the U.S. Federal Government. Contract cost disallowances, resulting from government audits, have not been significant.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

At December 31, 2008, the Company's financial instruments consist of accounts receivable, accounts payable and long-term debt. The carrying values of accounts receivable and accounts payable approximate their fair values due to their short maturity periods. The fair value of the Company's debt approximates its carrying value based on the variable nature of the interest rates and current market rates available to the Company.

Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information

Cash paid for interest expense totaled \$2,163,000 in 2008, \$1,212,000 in 2007, and \$67,000 in 2006. Cash paid for income taxes totaled \$4,365,000 in 2008, \$3,506,000 in 2007, and \$2,910,000 in 2006.

Derivatives

Certain trade receivables are denominated in currencies other than the local currency of the TechTeam entity that delivers the service. The Company also has outstanding debt that bears interest at variable rates. From time to time, the Company enters into foreign currency options or forward contracts to manage the Company's exposure to fluctuations in the exchange rate between the U.S. dollar and European euro and enters into interest rate swaps to manage interest costs and the risk associated with variable-rate debt. At December 31, 2008, the Company had an interest rate swap agreement outstanding but had no foreign currency options or forward contracts outstanding.

Table of Contents

**TECHTEAM GLOBAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

(continued)

Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

The Company recognizes derivative instruments as either assets or liabilities and measures those instruments at fair value in accordance with the SFAS No. 133 Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, and its amendments SFAS No. 137, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities-Deferral of the Effective Date of SFAS No. 133, SFAS No. 138, Accounting for Certain Derivative Instruments and Certain Hedging Activities and SFAS No. 149, Amendment of SFAS No. 133 on Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities. The Company enters into derivative financial instrument contracts only for hedging purposes in order to minimize the variability of cash flows associated with the anticipated transactions being hedged. The Company does not hold or issue derivative instruments for trading purposes.

For a derivative instrument designated as a cash flow hedge, the effective portion of the derivative's gain or loss is initially reported as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income and subsequently reclassified into earnings when the hedged exposure affects earnings. The ineffective portion of the gain or loss is reported in earnings immediately. There were no significant gains or losses recognized in earnings for hedge ineffectiveness in 2008, 2007 and 2006.

Stock-Based Compensation

Stock-based compensation represents the cost related to stock-based awards granted to employees, non-employee directors and non-employees. The Company measures stock-based compensation based on the estimated fair value of the award on the grant date. Stock-based compensation is recognized as expense on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period. The Company estimates the fair value of stock options using the Black-Scholes valuation model.

Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications have been made to the 2007 and 2006 financial statements in order to conform to the 2008 financial statement presentation.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS 161, Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities an Amendment of FASB Statement No. 133. SFAS 161 requires enhanced disclosure on (a) how and why an entity uses derivative instruments, (b) how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under SFAS 133 and its related interpretations and (c) how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity's financial position, financial performance and cash flows. SFAS 161 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008, with earlier application encouraged. The Company does not expect that SFAS 161 will have a material impact on the consolidated financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141R, Business Combinations, and SFAS No. 160 Noncontrolling Interests in Financial Statements, an amendment of ARB No. 51. These pronouncements are required to be adopted concurrently and are effective for business combination transactions for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2008. Early adoption is prohibited, thus the provisions of these pronouncements will be effective for the Company in fiscal 2009. The Company is evaluating the potential impact of SFAS 141R and SFAS 160 on the consolidated financial statements. SFAS 141R will have an impact on accounting for future business combinations once adopted, but the effect is dependent upon the terms of each acquisition at that time.

Table of Contents

TECHTEAM GLOBAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(continued)

Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS 157, Fair Value Measurements. SFAS 157 establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. The Company adopted SFAS 157 on January 1, 2008, as required for financial assets and liabilities. The FASB deferred the effective date of SFAS 157 by one year for nonfinancial assets and liabilities that are not recognized or disclosed at fair value on a recurring basis. The Company does not expect that the adoption of the deferred portion of SFAS 157 will have a material impact on the consolidated financial statements.

Note 2 Earnings Per Share

Earnings per share for common stock is computed using the weighted average number of common shares and common share equivalents outstanding. Common share equivalents consist of stock options, unvested restricted stock issued to employees and shares held in escrow in connection with the Company's acquisitions of Akela and RL Phillips. Earnings per share for preferred stock is computed using the weighted average number of preferred shares outstanding. Earnings are allocated to each class of stock pro rata based on the weighted average number of shares and share equivalents outstanding for each class of stock.

During 2008, 2007 and 2006, 1,766,474, 370,900 and 596,900 stock options, respectively, were excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per common share because the exercise prices of the options were higher than the average market price of the Company's common stock for the respective year.

The following table reconciles the numerators and denominators of the basic and diluted earnings per common share computations for income from continuing operations:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| | (In thousands, except per share data) | | |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 2,968 | \$ 6,296 | \$ 1,877 |
| Basic weighted average common shares | 10,529 | 10,355 | 10,092 |
| Common stock equivalents | 26 | 151 | 84 |
| Diluted weighted average common shares | 10,555 | 10,506 | 10,176 |
| Earnings per share from continuing operations: | | | |
| Basic earnings per common share | \$ 0.28 | \$ 0.61 | \$ 0.19 |
| Diluted earnings per common share | \$ 0.28 | \$ 0.60 | \$ 0.18 |

Table of Contents

TECHTEAM GLOBAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(continued)

Note 3 Property, Equipment and Software

Property, equipment and software consisted of the following:

| | December 31, | |
|--|---------------------|-------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 |
| | (In thousands) | |
| Computer equipment and office furniture | \$ 30,575 | \$ 29,670 |
| Software | 15,187 | 15,480 |
| Leasehold improvements | 6,495 | 6,349 |
| Transportation equipment | 373 | 464 |
| | 52,630 | 51,963 |
| Less Accumulated depreciation and amortization | (44,303) | (41,401) |
| Net property, equipment and software | \$ 8,327 | \$ 10,562 |

Note 4 Restructuring

During 2008, the Company announced corporate-wide organizational realignment and restructuring actions to improve operating efficiency, achieve greater global consistency and drive improved financial performance. The restructuring plans were approved by the Company's Board of Directors on December 23, 2008 and May 21, 2008. The 2008 pre-tax restructuring charges amounted to \$5,719,000, and were primarily related to separation costs for approximately 80 employees and reductions in excess leased facility capacity around the world. The following table summarizes the accrued charges related to the restructuring:

| | Accrued Restructuring Adjustments Charges | | | Accrued |
|----------------------|--|---|--------------------------|---|
| | at December 31, 2007 | to Accrued Restructuring Charges | Cash Payments | Restructuring Charges at December 31, 2008 |
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| Workforce reductions | \$ | \$ 3,960 | \$ (3,601) | \$ 359 |
| Other | | 1,759 | (372) | 1,387 |
| Total | \$ | \$ 5,719 | \$ (3,973) | \$ 1,746 |

Table of Contents

TECHTEAM GLOBAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(continued)

Note 4 Restructuring (continued)

The following table summarizes the restructuring charges by operating segment:

| | Accrued Restructuring Charges at December 31, 2007 | Adjustments to Accrued Restructuring Charges | Cash Payments | Accrued Restructuring Charges at December 31, 2008 |
|---|---|---|--------------------------|---|
| | | | (In thousands) | |
| Restructuring charges | | | | |
| Commercial | | | | |
| IT Outsourcing Services | \$ | \$ 791 | \$ (751) | \$ 40 |
| IT Consulting and Systems Integration | | 106 | (56) | 50 |
| Other Services | | 111 | (31) | 80 |
| Total Commercial | | 1,008 | (838) | 170 |
| Government Technology Services | | 789 | (422) | 367 |
| Selling, general and administrative expense | | 3,922 | (2,713) | 1,209 |
| Total restructuring charges | \$ | \$ 5,719 | \$ (3,973) | \$ 1,746 |

Note 5 Acquisitions and Dispositions***Onvaio LLC***

On May 30, 2008, TechTeam Global, Inc. completed the acquisition of Onvaio LLC (Onvaio), a California limited liability company. Onvaio is a provider of technical support outsourcing services for clients globally through its wholly-owned subsidiary, Onvaio Asia Services, Inc., based in Manila, Philippines. The initial purchase price totaled \$4,787,000 and included acquisition costs of \$400,000. In addition to the initial purchase price paid at closing, an additional \$1,500,000 was placed into an escrow account and is payable in increments of \$125,000 on the last day of each fiscal quarter provided that Onvaio is still providing services to its largest customer in substantially the same form and content as provided at closing. As of December 31, 2008, \$250,000 was released from escrow and paid to the selling shareholders. This additional amount is being recorded as goodwill as it is earned. The acquisition was accounted for as a non-taxable transaction; therefore, the Company will not be entitled to a tax deduction for the amortization of goodwill and other intangible assets for tax purposes.

Table of Contents**TECHTEAM GLOBAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

(continued)

Note 5 Acquisitions and Dispositions (continued)***RL Phillips, Inc.***

On August 31, 2007, TechTeam Global, Inc., through its wholly-owned subsidiary TechTeam Government Solutions, Inc., completed the acquisition of all of the outstanding common stock of RL Phillips, Inc. (RL Phillips), a provider of information technology, network engineering and information assurance services to both government and Commercial entities. The total purchase price of approximately \$2,150,000 consisted of initial cash consideration paid by the Company of \$1,750,000, shares of TechTeam common stock equal to \$300,000 and future cash payments totaling \$100,000. All of the stock consideration was placed into escrow to the extent it is necessary to reimburse the Company for any claims for indemnity or breach of representations and warranties. The stock consideration of \$300,000 will be released from escrow on September 30, 2010, if there are no claims for indemnity or breach of representations and warranties. The future cash payments of \$100,000 can also be used to offset any claims for indemnity or breach of representations and warranties. The future cash payments are due in \$50,000 installments on the first and second anniversary of the date of acquisition. On August 31, 2008, the first installment of \$50,000 was paid to the selling shareholders. The acquisition was accounted for as a non-taxable transaction; therefore, the Company will not be entitled to a tax deduction for the amortization of goodwill and other intangible assets for tax purposes.

NewVectors LLC

On May 31, 2007, TechTeam Global, Inc., through its wholly-owned subsidiary TechTeam Government Solutions, Inc., completed the acquisition of all of the outstanding membership interest in NewVectors LLC (NewVectors), a provider of business transformation, logistics modernization, and modeling and simulation services primarily to the Department of Defense. The purchase price totaled approximately \$40,586,000 and included acquisition costs of \$274,000. Of the total purchase price, \$4,000,000 was placed into escrow for a period of one year after closing to reimburse the Company for any claims for indemnity or breach of representation and warranties. On May 31, 2008, the amount held in escrow was released in its entirety. The acquisition was accounted for as a taxable transaction; therefore, the Company is entitled to a tax deduction for the amortization of goodwill and other intangible assets for tax purposes over a period of 15 years.

SQM Sverige AB

On February 9, 2007, TechTeam Global, Inc., through its wholly-owned subsidiary TechTeam Global AB, completed the acquisition of all of the outstanding stock of SQM Sverige AB (SQM), a provider of technical staffing solutions, IT infrastructure support solutions and management consulting related to corporate IT support operations headquartered in Stockholm, Sweden. The purchase price totaled SEK 37,032,000 (\$5,300,000 at the acquisition date) and included acquisition costs of \$117,000. In connection with the acquisition, the selling shareholders had the potential to receive SEK 4,200,000 (equal to \$600,000 at the acquisition date), subject to SQM's achievement of a defined revenue target for the 2007 calendar year. The selling shareholders received SEK 4,200,000 (equal to \$660,000 on the date of payment) in April 2008 as a result of achieving the revenue target. The additional consideration was recorded as goodwill when it was earned in 2007. Of the total purchase price, SEK 5,700,000 (\$800,000) was placed into escrow for a period of one year after closing to reimburse the Company for any claims for indemnity or breach of representations and warranties and was paid to the selling shareholders in February 2008. The acquisition was accounted for as a non-taxable transaction; therefore, the Company will not be entitled to a tax deduction for the amortization of goodwill and other intangible assets for tax purposes.

Table of Contents

TECHTEAM GLOBAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(continued)

Note 5 Acquisitions and Dispositions (continued)**Summary of Acquisition Purchase Price**

The following table summarizes the allocation of the cumulative purchase price and net cash used for the acquisitions of Onvaio, RL Phillips, NewVectors, and SQM through December 31, 2008, including additional payments earned and accrued during 2008.

| | Onvaio | RL Phillips | NewVectors | SQM |
|--|---------------|------------------------|-------------------|------------|
| | | (In thousands) | | |
| Goodwill | \$ 4,213 | \$ 1,604 | \$ 32,675 | \$ 4,382 |
| Amortizable intangible assets | 1,225 | 162 | 6,230 | 2,936 |
| Property, equipment and software | 27 | | 386 | 86 |
| Other current and non-current assets, net of cash acquired | 42 | 993 | 7,458 | 2,232 |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities assumed | (470) | (389) | (6,176) | (4,436) |
| Accrued purchase price | | (50) | | |
| Notes payable assumed | | | | (95) |
| Issuance of equity instruments | | (300) | | |
| Net cash used | \$ 5,037 | \$ 2,020 | \$ 40,573 | \$ 5,105 |

Disposition of TechTeam A.N.E. NV/SA

On October 31, 2008, the Company completed the sale of TechTeam A.N.E NV/SA (ANE), the results of which were included in continuing operations through the date of the sale. This disposition was completed as the Company s determined that this business unit was not core to the Company s long-term growth strategy. This business included in the IT Consulting and Systems Integration segment had net sales of \$7.2 million and a net operating loss of \$76,000 for 2008 through the date of the sale. For the year ending December 31, 2007, the business had net sales of \$7.6 million and operating income of \$12,000. Total gross proceeds from the sale were 1.1 million euro (\$1.4 million at the disposition date); the Company recognized a net gain of \$155,000, which is included in other income in the Consolidated Statement of Income, related to the sale of the business for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Table of Contents

TECHTEAM GLOBAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(continued)

Note 5 Acquisitions and Dispositions (continued)**Pro Forma Results of Operations**

The unaudited pro forma condensed combined results of operations are presented below as though NewVectors had been acquired on January 1 of each period presented. The pro forma results of operations for the acquisitions of Onvaio, RL Phillips and SQM and disposition of ANE are not materially different than reported results and are not presented. There was no material effect on 2008 operating results related to acquisitions or dispositions.

| | Year Ended December 31, | |
|-----------------------------------|--|-------------|
| | 2007 | 2006 |
| | (In thousands, except per share data) | |
| Revenue | | |
| As reported | \$222,196 | \$167,364 |
| Pro forma | \$236,327 | \$200,440 |
| Income from continuing operations | | |
| As reported | \$ 6,296 | \$ 1,877 |
| Pro forma | \$ 6,761 | \$ 1,630 |
| Net income | | |
| As reported | \$ 6,296 | \$ 1,834 |
| Pro forma | \$ 6,761 | \$ 1,630 |
| Diluted earnings per common share | | |
| As reported | \$ 0.60 | \$ 0.18 |
| Pro forma | \$ 0.64 | \$ 0.16 |

Note 6 Notes Payable and Line of Credit**Long-Term Debt Agreement**

On June 1, 2007, the Company entered into a five-year, secured credit agreement (Credit Agreement) with JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. (JPMorgan Chase), as Administrative Agent and participating lender, whereby the Company may borrow up to \$40,000,000 for the issuance of letters of credit and loans. On July 3, 2007, LaSalle Bank Midwest, N.A., now known as Bank of America, N.A. (Bank of America), joined as a participating lender under the Credit Agreement through the assignment of a participation share of \$15,000,000, or 37.5%. On June 5, 2008, the Company and the banks amended the Credit Agreement to permit borrowings up to \$55,000,000. Borrowings under the Credit Agreement are currently secured by substantially all domestic assets of the Company and 65% of its interests in the majority of its foreign subsidiaries. The Credit Agreement terminates on May 31, 2012. As of December 31, 2008, the Company had \$34,908,000 outstanding under the Credit Agreement, of which \$7,820,000 is included in current portion of long-term debt and \$27,088,000 is included in long-term debt on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

Table of Contents**TECHTEAM GLOBAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

(continued)

Note 6 Notes Payable and Line of Credit (continued)

As of December 31, 2007, at the Company's option, each loan under the Credit Agreement incurred interest at a rate equal to either (1) the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR), as defined, plus an Applicable Margin ranging from 0.75% to 1.5% based upon the Company's leverage ratio, as defined, or (2) the Alternate Base Rate, which is the higher of (a) the JPMorgan Chase prime rate or (b) the federal funds rate plus an Applicable Margin ranging from 0% to 0.5% based upon the Company's leverage ratio. The Company is also required to pay an unused commitment fee on the unused portion of the facility ranging from 0.1% to 0.25% based upon the Company's leverage ratio. In connection with the June 5, 2008 amendment to the Credit Agreement the Applicable Margin on a LIBOR-based loan was modified from a range of 0.75%-1.5% to a range of 0.95%-1.45%, and the unused commitment fee increased from a range of 0.1%-0.25% to a range of 0.15%-0.25%.

The Credit Agreement contains various financial and non-financial covenants, the most restrictive of which limit the Company's ability to incur additional indebtedness and pay dividends. The financial covenants require that the Company maintain certain leverage ratios and fixed charge coverage ratios, as defined therein.

On October 28, 2008, the Company completed the second amendment to its five-year, secured credit agreement (Credit Agreement) with JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. and Bank of America. The Credit Agreement was amended in order to provide the Company the ability to enter into a stock repurchase program through 2011 (with an annual limitation of \$3 million per year) and to increase the Company's ability to execute capital lease transactions from \$1.0 million to \$2.0 million.

Prior to entering into the Credit Agreement, the Company had a \$15,000,000 term loan facility and a \$5,000,000 revolving line of credit available under its Amended and Restated Business Loan Agreement, dated January 3, 2005 with LaSalle Bank. Subsequent to executing the Credit Agreement with JPMorgan Chase, the Company amended its agreement with LaSalle Bank such that borrowings are no longer permitted under the term loan and line-of-credit facilities; however the agreement allows for the continuation of existing letters of credit. Standby letters of credit of \$265,000 were outstanding as of December 31, 2007 under the agreement, which were collateralized by a compensating cash balance. As of December 31, 2008 there were no outstanding letters of credit.

Interest Rate Swap Agreement

On June 4, 2007, the Company entered into an interest rate swap agreement with a notional amount of \$30,000,000. Under the swap agreement, the notional amount will be reduced by \$625,000 on a monthly basis and will mature on June 3, 2011. The purpose of the interest rate swap, which is designated as a cash flow hedge, is to manage interest costs and the risk associated with variable-rate debt. The Company does not hold or issue derivative instruments for trading purposes. The swap effectively converts a portion of the Company's variable-rate debt under the Credit Agreement to a fixed rate. Under this agreement, the Company receives a floating rate based on LIBOR and pays a fixed rate of 5.55% on the outstanding notional amount. For the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, the Company recorded a loss of approximately \$590,000 and \$49,000, respectively, as interest expense on the interest rate swap. The Company has recorded a liability of \$1,074,000 and \$755,000 for the fair value of the interest rate swap at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively, for which the corresponding offset has been recorded as an unrealized loss within other comprehensive income (loss).

Interest expense was \$2,109,000 in 2008, \$1,417,000 in 2007 and \$99,000 in 2006.

Table of Contents

TECHTEAM GLOBAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(continued)

Note 7 Income Taxes

The income tax provision from continuing operations consisted of the following:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Current: | | | |
| U.S. federal | \$ 1,498 | \$ 1,568 | \$ 499 |
| State | 695 | 365 | 201 |
| Foreign | 1,386 | 1,710 | 1,111 |
| Total current provision | 3,579 | 3,643 | 1,811 |
| Deferred | 603 | (300) | (938) |
| Total income tax provision from continuing operations | \$ 4,182 | \$ 3,343 | \$ 873 |

The income tax provision from continuing operations was calculated based on the following components of income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Domestic income (loss) | \$ 7,730 | \$ 3,984 | \$ (1,208) |
| Foreign income (loss) | (580) | 5,655 | 3,958 |
| Income from continuing operations before income taxes | \$ 7,150 | \$ 9,639 | \$ 2,750 |

A reconciliation of the income tax provision and the amount computed by applying the federal statutory income tax rate to income from continuing operations before income taxes is as follows:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Income tax provision at federal statutory rate of 34% | \$ 2,431 | \$ 3,277 | \$ 920 |
| State taxes, net of federal benefit | 459 | 241 | 133 |
| Permanent differences | 84 | 75 | 66 |
| Foreign operating losses not benefited | 1,083 | 274 | 40 |
| Effect of foreign tax rates | (76) | (487) | (116) |
| Utilization of foreign operating loss carryforwards | | | (159) |
| Other | 201 | (37) | (11) |
| Total income tax provision from continuing operations | \$ 4,182 | \$ 3,343 | \$ 873 |

Table of Contents

TECHTEAM GLOBAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(continued)

Note 7 Income Taxes (continued)

The principal components of deferred income taxes were as follows:

| | As of December 31, | | | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|-------------|----------|-------------|
| | 2008 | | 2007 | |
| | Assets | Liabilities | Assets | Liabilities |
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| Net operating loss carryforwards | \$ 1,756 | \$ | \$ 1,330 | \$ |
| Accruals and reserves | 466 | | 431 | |
| Accelerated tax depreciation | | 75 | | 101 |
| Intangible assets | | 3,357 | | 2,996 |
| Prepaid expenses | | 320 | | 278 |
| Other | 1,331 | | 882 | |
| Total deferred income taxes | 3,553 | 3,752 | 2,643 | 3,375 |
| Less Valuation allowance | (1,639) | | (503) | |
| Net deferred income taxes | \$ 1,914 | \$ | \$ 3,752 | \$ 3,375 |

At December 31, 2008 and 2007, the Company had available pre-tax net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$5,590,000 and \$1,765,000, respectively, in Europe, which may be used to offset future taxable income in the jurisdiction in which the loss originated. The loss carryforward in Belgium does not expire. The loss carryforward in Romania expires after five years. Based on the historical losses in Belgium and Romania and the uncertainty surrounding the Company's ability to make use of them, a valuation allowance has been provided against the deferred tax asset related to the net operating loss carryforwards in these countries. The Company had a pre-tax loss in the United States of approximately \$2,177,000 which was carried back to 2003 and 2004, and was completely utilized.

The Company and its subsidiaries file income tax returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction and various state and foreign jurisdictions. With few exceptions, the Company is no longer subject to U.S. federal, state and local, or non-U.S. income tax examinations by tax authorities for years before 2002. The Internal Revenue Service (IRS) commenced an examination of the Company's 2004 U.S. federal income tax return in the first quarter of 2007, which was completed in the second quarter of 2008. The following table summarizes tax years that remain subject to examination by major tax jurisdictions:

| Major Jurisdiction | Open Years |
|---------------------------|-------------------|
| U.S. Federal income taxes | 2005 through 2008 |
| U.S. State income taxes | 2004 through 2008 |
| Foreign income taxes | 2002 through 2008 |

The Company adopted the provisions of FASB Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes (FIN 48), on January 1, 2007. FIN 48 prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken in a tax return. FIN 48 also provides guidance regarding subsequent reversal of a tax position, balance sheet classification, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition. The Company did not adjust its liability for unrecognized tax benefits upon adoption of FIN 48.

Table of Contents

TECHTEAM GLOBAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(continued)

Note 7 Income Taxes (continued)

A reconciliation of the beginning and ending unrecognized tax benefits is as follows:

| | December 31, | |
|--|---------------------|-------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 |
| | (In thousands) | |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ 52,000 | \$ 26,000 |
| Additions based on tax positions related to the current year | | 4,000 |
| Additions for tax positions of prior years | 77,100 | 22,000 |
| Reductions for tax positions of prior years | | |
| Settlements | (22,000) | |
| Balance at end of period | \$ 107,100 | \$ 52,000 |

The Company recognizes interest accrued related to unrecognized tax benefits in interest expense and penalties in selling, general and administrative expenses. During the year ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, the Company recognized approximately \$29,000 and \$11,000 in interest and penalties, respectively, related to income taxes. The Company has no material accruals for the payment of interest and penalties at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Note 8 Employee Retirement Plans

At December 31, 2008, TechTeam Global, Inc. and its domestic subsidiaries together have two 401(k) retirement savings plans that cover substantially all U.S.-based employees. Under the provisions of the plans, the Company may make discretionary employer matching contributions. Matching contributions under all plans totaled \$1,824,000 in 2008, \$1,525,000 in 2007 and \$1,250,000 in 2006. Matching contributions for the plan of TechTeam Global, Inc. are made only with Company common stock and are credited to the TechTeam Global Stock Fund for the benefit of each participant. Matching contributions for the plan of the Company's government-based subsidiaries are made in cash. During 2007, the Company merged together the two plans of its government-based subsidiaries into one plan.

Table of Contents

TECHTEAM GLOBAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(continued)

Note 9 Leases

The Company leases its call center facilities, corporate and other offices, and certain office equipment under various operating and month-to-month leases. These leases are renewable with various options and terms. Total rental expense was \$6,895,000 in 2008, \$5,351,000 in 2007 and \$5,433,000 in 2006. The Company subleased a portion of its facilities to third parties. Total sublease income was \$8,000 in 2007 and \$273,000 in 2006. There was no sublease income recorded in 2008 and no future sublease agreements are outstanding as of December 31, 2008.

Minimum future payments and receipts under noncancelable operating leases and subleases with initial terms of one year or more at December 31, 2008, are as follows:

| Year | Lease Payments (In thousands) |
|---------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 2009 | \$ 4,940 |
| 2010 | 3,576 |
| 2011 | 2,217 |
| 2012 | 1,969 |
| 2013 | 1,522 |
| 2014 and thereafter | 2,766 |
| Total | \$ 16,990 |

Certain facilities leases include periods of free rent or rent payments that increase over the life of the lease. For these leases, total rent expense for the entire lease is recorded on a straight-line basis over the life of the lease and a deferred asset or liability is recorded, as appropriate. At December 31, 2008 and 2007, long-term liabilities include a deferred lease liability of \$592,000 and \$612,000, respectively, for these leases.

Note 10 Stock-Based Compensation

The Company accounts for its stock-based compensation under the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123(R), Share-Based Payment, which requires companies to measure and recognize compensation expense for all stock-based payment awards to employees and directors based on the estimated fair value of the award. Compensation expense is recognized over the period during which an employee or director is required to provide service in exchange for the award. Stock-based compensation expense recognized in each period is based on the value of the portion of the share-based award that is ultimately expected to vest during the period. The Company's outstanding stock-based awards consist of stock options and restricted stock.

As of December 31, 2008, the Company had stock options and restricted stock outstanding under three plans—the 2006 Incentive Stock and Awards Plan (2006 Plan), the 2004 Incentive Stock and Awards Plan (2004 Plan) and the 1990 Nonqualified Stock Option Plan (1990 Plan). Stock-based awards may no longer be granted under either the 2004 Plan or the 1990 Plan.

Under the 2006 Plan, the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors may issue stock options, performance stock and restricted stock to employees, non-employee directors of the Company's Board and consultants representing up to 2,300,000 shares of the Company's common stock. In addition, non-employee directors receive up to 100 shares of common stock for attendance at each Board meeting and are required to receive a portion of their cash compensation from serving as a director in shares of common stock, and such shares are funded by the 2006 Plan.

Table of Contents

TECHTEAM GLOBAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(continued)

Note 10 Stock-Based Compensation (continued)**Stock Options**

Under the 2006 Plan, stock options may be granted with terms up to ten years and must have an exercise price that is equal to or greater than the fair market value of the Company's common stock on the date of the grant. Options outstanding under the 2004 Plan have expiration terms of ten years and become exercisable ratably over periods ranging from zero to four years. Options outstanding under the 1990 Plan have expiration terms ranging from four to six years and become exercisable ratably over periods ranging from three to five years.

The Company recorded compensation expense totaling \$1,160,000 in 2008, \$798,000 in 2007 and \$570,000 in 2006 related to outstanding options. As of December 31, 2008, unrecognized compensation cost related to stock options totaled \$3,175,000, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of approximately three years.

Compensation expense reported above includes the expense associated with 110,000 stock options that were granted to directors on June 23, 2006, and approved by shareholders on May 16, 2007. This award was accounted for as a liability award under a share-based payment arrangement and, therefore, the fair value of the award was remeasured at each reporting date until the date of settlement on May 16, 2007, when the final amount of compensation expense was measured. The Company recorded compensation expense of approximately \$366,000 in 2007 and \$257,000 in 2006 for this stock option award. No compensation expense for this stock option award was recorded in 2008.

Compensation expense for 2008 included \$254,000 of expense related to the accelerated vesting of all non-vested restricted stock awards and modification of the exercise period of vested stock options granted to the Company's former President and Chief Executive Officer, William C. Brown, in accordance with Mr. Brown's amended Employment and Noncompetition Agreement.

The Company records compensation expense for stock options based on the estimated fair value of the options on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes valuation model. The Company uses historical data among other factors to estimate the expected price volatility, the expected option term and the expected forfeiture rate. The risk-free rate is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the date of grant for the expected term of the option.

The following assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of options granted:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| Expected dividend yield | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Weighted average volatility | 37% | 35% | 38% |
| Risk free interest rate | 1.2-3.4% | 3.4-5.0% | 4.5-4.7% |
| Expected term (in years) | 3.1 | 3.0 | 2.9 |

Table of Contents

TECHTEAM GLOBAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(continued)

Note 10 Stock-Based Compensation (continued)

A summary of stock option activity under the above plans and related information is as follows:

| | Number of Shares | Weighted Average Exercise Price per Share | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term | Aggregate Intrinsic Value |
|---|---------------------|---|---|---------------------------------|
| Outstanding at January 1, 2006 | 1,407,970 | \$ 9.41 | | |
| Granted | 174,000 | \$ 9.82 | | |
| Exercised | (391,336) | \$ 6.50 | | |
| Canceled | (256,667) | \$ 13.03 | | |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2006 | 933,967 | \$ 9.71 | | |
| Granted | 884,000 | \$ 11.98 | | |
| Exercised | (127,767) | \$ 8.53 | | |
| Canceled | (178,600) | \$ 10.67 | | |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2007 | 1,511,600 | \$ 11.02 | | |
| Granted | 981,500 | \$ 8.73 | | |
| Exercised | (45,500) | \$ 8.98 | | |
| Canceled | (205,626) | \$ 11.80 | | |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2008 | 2,241,974 | \$ 9.99 | 8.2 Years | \$ 4,945 |
| Vested and expected to vest in the future at December 31, 2008 | 2,230,974 | \$ 9.99 | 8.2 Years | \$ 4,945 |
| Exercisable at December 31, 2008 | 982,317 | \$ 10.24 | 7.0 Years | \$ |

The weighted average grant-date fair value of options issued under all plans was \$2.50 in 2008, \$3.85 in 2007 and \$2.96 in 2006. The total intrinsic value of options exercised under all plans was \$53,000 in 2008, \$468,000 in 2007 and \$1,272,000 in 2006. The intrinsic values were determined as of the date of exercise.

Cash received from option exercises under all plans was \$408,000 in 2008, \$1,094,000 in 2007 and \$2,542,000 in 2006.

Restricted Common Stock**General**

Under the 2006 Plan, the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors may grant shares of performance stock and restricted stock to employees, directors and consultants representing up to 800,000 shares of the Company's common stock. Performance stock and restricted stock awards may be granted subject to such terms and conditions as the Compensation Committee deems appropriate, including a condition that one or more performance goals be achieved for the participant to realize all or a portion of the award. As a result of the adoption of the 2006 Plan in May 2007, restricted stock may no longer be granted under the 2004 Plan.

Table of Contents

**TECHTEAM GLOBAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

(continued)

Note 10 Stock-Based Compensation (continued)

The Company issued 178,388, 132,915 and 46,000 shares of restricted stock to employees and directors in 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. No performance stock was granted during any period presented.

Executive Long-Term Incentive Plan

In January 2004, the Board of Directors approved the Executive Long-Term Incentive Plan (Long-Term Incentive Plan) in which awards may be issued under: (1) a restricted stock program that focuses on retaining high performing executives over a longer period of time, (2) a performance stock program that focuses on rewarding extraordinary performing executives and (3) a non-qualified stock option program that focuses on the long-term retention of key executives. Prior to the approval of the 2006 Plan, the awards under these programs were administered in conjunction with the 2004 Plan whereby shares available for issuance were funded by the shares available for issuance under the 2004 Plan. With the approval of the 2006 Plan, the Long-Term Incentive Plan will now be administered and funded by the shares available for issuance under the 2006 Plan. Under the Long-Term Incentive Plan, certain members of management are entitled to an award of restricted stock equal to a percentage of the participant's salary if certain operating targets are met on a rolling three-year basis.

During January 2007, the Long-Term Incentive Plan was modified to change the vesting period of existing and future restricted stock grants such that restricted grants will vest ratably over four years. Previously, restricted stock grants became 100% vested at the end of five years from the date of grant (cliff vesting). Grants awarded on March 15, 2005, were modified to vest ratably over the four-year period from January 1, 2007, through January 1, 2011, and grants awarded on March 15, 2006, were modified to vest ratably over the four-year period from January 1, 2008, through January 1, 2012.

The Company granted 22,388, 13,568 and 42,306 shares of restricted stock to certain employees under the Long-Term Incentive Plan during 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively, for performance during the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005.

Compensation expense related to all restricted stock under all plans is recorded on a straight-line basis over the vesting period. The Company recorded compensation expense related to outstanding shares of restricted stock under all plans totaling \$997,000 in 2008, \$464,000 in 2007 and \$129,000 in 2006. The weighted average grant-date fair value of restricted stock granted under all plans was \$8.61 in 2008, \$12.95 in 2007 and \$10.24 in 2006. The fair value of restricted stock awards granted under all plans was determined based on the closing trading price of the Company's common stock on the grant date.

At December 31, 2008 and 2007, there was approximately \$2,241,000 and \$2,121,000, respectively, of total unrecognized compensation expense related to nonvested shares of restricted stock. Unrecognized compensation expense at December 31, 2008 is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of three years.

Table of Contents

TECHTEAM GLOBAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(continued)

Note 10 Stock-Based Compensation (continued)

A summary of restricted share activity under the above plans and related information is as follows:

| Restricted Shares | Number of Shares | Weighted Average Grant-Date Fair Value |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|
| Nonvested at January 1, 2006 | 46,460 | \$ 11.35 |
| Granted | 88,306 | \$ 10.24 |
| Forfeited | (38,546) | \$ 10.94 |
| Nonvested at December 31, 2006 | 96,220 | \$ 10.50 |
| Granted | 146,483 | \$ 12.95 |
| Vested | (9,000) | \$ 8.47 |
| Forfeited | (11,500) | \$ 8.88 |
| Nonvested at December 31, 2007 | 222,203 | \$ 12.20 |
| Granted | 178,388 | \$ 8.61 |
| Vested | (78,919) | \$ 11.05 |
| Forfeited | (36,107) | \$ 12.09 |
| Nonvested at December 31, 2008 | 285,565 | \$ 10.01 |

Note 11 Common Stock

The Company has reserved for issuance shares of common stock necessary to affect the exercise of all outstanding and ungranted stock options.

On October 30, 2008, the Board of Directors authorized a stock repurchase program. Under the program, the Company is authorized to repurchase up to 1 million shares of its common stock on the open market as the Company deems appropriate. During 2008, we purchased and retired 12,258 shares of common stock for \$61,000, inclusive of commission expense, under this program. The stock repurchase program expires on December 31, 2011.

Note 12 Preferred Stock

The Company's preferred stock may be issued from time to time in one or more series. The Company's Board of Directors is authorized to fix the dividend rights and dividend rates, any conversion rights or right of exchange, any voting rights, rights and terms of redemption, payments in the event of liquidation, and any other rights, preferences, privileges, and restrictions of any series of preferred stock and the number of shares constituting such series and their designation.

On April 8, 2003, the Company completed a private placement of 689,656 shares of newly authorized Series A convertible preferred stock (Preferred Stock) for \$5,000,000, or \$7.25 per share. In May 2005 through a series of transactions, the holder of the Company's preferred stock converted all 689,656 shares of preferred stock into an equal number of shares of unregistered Company common stock. Those shares were later sold in the open market pursuant to rules and regulations of the United States Securities and Exchange Commission. The Company has no present plans to issue any shares of preferred stock.

Table of Contents

**TECHTEAM GLOBAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

(continued)

Note 13 Segment Reporting

Operating segments are defined as components of an enterprise about which separate financial information is available that is evaluated regularly by the chief operating decision maker, or decision-making group, in deciding how to allocate resources and in assessing performance. Our chief operating decision-making group is the Executive Leadership Team, which is comprised of the President and Chief Executive Officer, the Chief Financial Officer, the lead executives of the Americas, Europe and TechTeam Government Solutions, the Vice President of Service Delivery, Chief Information Officer, General Counsel and the Vice Presidents of Human Resources in the Americas and Europe. The operating segments are managed separately because each operating segment represents a strategic business unit that offers different services. Reportable operating segments currently include the following:

IT Outsourcing Services this segment provides corporations and governments with around-the-clock (24x7x365) technical support for their end-users and other constituencies. The Company supports the full range of a client's information technology (IT) and business process infrastructure. The Company also provides technical support to customers of the Company's client's products and software.

Government Technology Services this segment provides services that are more heavily focused on supporting the customer's IT network with complete life cycle support for a customer's IT infrastructure ranging from their desktops to their data and voice networks. The Company also provides consultative services in agent-based modeling, operations analysis, program management and supply chain engineering and assists customers in the design, development and implementation of enterprise-level technology solutions.

IT Consulting and Systems Integration this segment provides IT infrastructure support to commercial customers through systems integration, technology deployment, application development and implementation services from project planning to full-scale network, server and workstation installations and maintenance. The Company offers a wide range of IT services including technology consulting, security, network monitoring and application integration and storage. The Company also provides full-service IT staff and consulting services to companies to help manage their IT infrastructure.

Other Services this segment maintains a staff of trained technical personnel, which are placed at client facilities to provide technical support services including help desk technicians, software developers and network support. This segment also provides custom training and documentation solutions. The Company provides customized training programs for many customers' proprietary applications.

Table of Contents

TECHTEAM GLOBAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(continued)

Note 13 Segment Reporting (continued)

The accounting policies of the operating segments are the same as those described in Note 1. The Company evaluates segment performance based on segment gross profit. Assets are not allocated to operating segments, but certain amounts of depreciation and amortization expense are allocated to operating segments.

Financial information for the Company's operating segments is as follows:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Revenue | | | |
| Commercial | | | |
| IT Outsourcing Services | \$ 120,166 | \$ 104,659 | \$ 86,461 |
| IT Consulting and Systems Integration | 27,064 | 28,064 | 24,013 |
| Other Services | 24,110 | 20,219 | 9,497 |
| Total Commercial | 171,340 | 152,942 | 119,971 |
| Government Technology Services | 88,615 | 69,254 | 47,393 |
| Total revenue | \$ 259,955 | \$ 222,196 | \$ 167,364 |
| Gross Profit | | | |
| Commercial | | | |
| IT Outsourcing Services | \$ 30,008 | \$ 26,888 | \$ 21,102 |
| Asset impairment loss | | | (580) |
| Total IT Outsourcing Services | 30,008 | 26,888 | 20,522 |
| IT Consulting and Systems Integration | 6,427 | 6,187 | 5,741 |
| Other Services | 5,427 | 4,789 | 1,610 |
| Total Commercial | 41,862 | 37,864 | 27,873 |
| Government Technology Services | 24,428 | 18,978 | 12,604 |
| Total gross profit | 66,290 | 56,842 | 40,477 |
| Selling, general and administrative expense | (52,774) | (46,547) | (38,317) |
| Restructuring charge | (5,719) | | |
| Net interest income (expense) | (1,712) | (572) | 776 |
| Foreign currency transaction gain (loss) | 910 | (84) | (186) |
| Other income, net | 155 | | |
| Income from continuing operations before income taxes | \$ 7,150 | \$ 9,639 | \$ 2,750 |

Table of Contents

TECHTEAM GLOBAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(continued)

Note 13 Segment Reporting (continued)

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--|--------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Depreciation and Amortization | | | |
| IT Outsourcing Services | \$ 1,794 | \$ 1,555 | \$ 1,715 |
| IT Consulting and Systems Integration | 173 | 158 | 169 |
| Government Technology Services | | 34 | 70 |
| Other Services | 42 | 1 | 1 |
| Unallocated depreciation and amortization | 5,926 | 5,258 | 3,193 |
| Total depreciation and amortization | \$ 7,935 | \$ 7,006 | \$ 5,148 |

The Company attributes revenue to different geographic areas on the basis of the location providing the services to the customer. Revenue and long-lived assets by geographic area is presented below:

| | Geographic Information | | | | | |
|---------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|
| | 2008 | | 2007 | | 2006 | |
| | Revenue | Long-Lived Assets | Revenue | Long-Lived Assets | Revenue | Long-Lived Assets |
| | (In thousands) | | | | | |
| United States | \$ 160,990 | \$ 72,629 | \$ 137,276 | \$ 71,497 | \$ 110,887 | \$ 32,659 |
| Europe: | | | | | | |
| Belgium | 43,557 | 1,928 | 44,272 | 3,697 | 37,537 | 3,488 |
| Rest of Europe | 55,408 | 11,905 | 40,648 | 12,627 | 18,940 | 5,416 |
| Total Europe | 98,965 | 13,833 | 84,920 | 16,324 | 56,477 | 8,904 |
| Total | \$ 259,955 | \$ 86,462 | \$ 222,196 | \$ 87,821 | \$ 167,364 | \$ 41,563 |

Corporate services for major companies are provided on an international scale. Revenue from customers that comprise 10% or greater of total revenue in any period presented are as follows:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| U.S. Federal Government | 29.7% | 27.1% | 24.9% |
| Ford Motor Company | 15.9% | 20.1% | 26.4% |
| Total | 45.6% | 47.2% | 51.3% |

Table of Contents

TECHTEAM GLOBAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(continued)

Note 13 Segment Reporting (continued)

We conduct business under multiple contracts with various entities within the Ford Motor Company organization and with various agencies and departments of the U.S. Federal Government. No single agency or department of the U.S. Federal Government comprised 10% or greater of our total revenue in 2006; however, in the aggregate, approximately 18.7% and 15.9% of our total revenue in 2008 and 2007, respectively, was derived from agencies within the U.S.

Department of Defense.

Revenue from Ford is earned in the IT Outsourcing Services, IT Consulting and Systems Integration, and Other Services operating segments. All revenue from the U.S. Federal Government is earned in the Government Technology Services operating segment.

Amounts due from the U.S. Federal Government and Ford Motor Company accounted for 36.7% and 13.1% of total accounts receivable at December 31, 2008, respectively, and 43.3% and 13.1%, at December 31, 2007, respectively.

Note 14 Fair Value Measurements

The Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 157, Fair Value Measurements, on January 1, 2008, for its financial assets and liabilities. SFAS 157 defines fair value, establishes a new framework for measuring fair value and expands certain disclosures. SFAS 157 discusses valuation techniques, such as the market approach (comparable market prices), the income approach (present value of future income or cash flow), and the cost approach (cost to replace the service capacity of an asset or replacement cost). The valuation techniques required by SFAS 157 are based on observable and unobservable inputs using the following hierarchy:

Level 1 Observable inputs such as quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 Inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. These include quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets and quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active.

Level 3 Unobservable inputs that reflect the reporting entity's own assumptions.

The following table summarizes the bases used to measure certain financial assets and financial liabilities at fair value on a recurring basis in the balance sheet:

| | Balance at December 31, 2008 | Basis of Fair Value Measurements | | |
|---|------------------------------------|---|---|--|
| | | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Items (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
| Interest rate swap derivative financial instrument. | \$(1,074) | N/A | \$(1,074) | N/A |

(In thousands)

Interest rate swap derivative financial instrument.

\$(1,074)

N/A

\$(1,074)

N/A

The liability associated with the interest rate swap is included in other current liabilities and other long-term liabilities on the consolidated balance sheet in the amounts of \$679,000 and \$395,000, respectively. The fair value of these interest rate derivatives are based on quoted prices for similar instruments from a commercial bank and, therefore, the interest rate derivative is considered a level 2 item.

Table of Contents

TECHTEAM GLOBAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(continued)

Note 15 Related Party Transactions

On May 22, 2008, the Company established service desk operations in Manila, Philippines through an agreement with Rainmaker Asia, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of Rainmaker Systems, Inc. One of the Company's directors, Alok Mohan, is also an independent director and Chairman of Rainmaker Systems, Inc. The Company's Board of Directors and Audit Committee independently approved this transaction.

Note 16 Contingencies

From time to time the Company is involved in various litigation matters arising in the ordinary course of its business. None of these matters, individually or in the aggregate, currently is material to the Company.

Note 17 Discontinued Operations

Capital Group, a subsidiary of the Company, previously wrote leases for computer, telecommunications, and other types of capital equipment, with initial lease terms ranging from two to five years. Capital Group ceased writing new leases in March 2000. The activity that remains in winding-down the leasing operation is the collection of accounts receivable. As a result, Capital Group has been presented as a discontinued operation in accordance with SFAS No. 144, Accounting for the Disposal or Impairment of Long-Lived Assets. Under this statement, the operating results of Capital Group are presented separately from continuing operations in the accompanying financial statements for all periods presented. Capital Group previously was reported as a separate operating segment called Leasing Operations. Summarized information for Capital Group is as follows:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Revenue | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Loss before income taxes | \$ | \$ | \$(43) |

78

Table of Contents

TECHTEAM GLOBAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(continued)

Note 18 Subsequent Event

On February 11, 2009, the Board of Directors of TechTeam Global, Inc. (the Company) increased the size of the Board of Directors to ten members, and elected James A. Lynch, Charles Frumberg and Seth W. Hamot to its Board of Directors. On March 11, 2009, the Board of Directors nominated the following individuals to stand for election at the Company's Annual Meeting of its Shareholders: Gary J. Cotshott, Charles Frumberg, Seth W. Hamot, James A. Lynch, Dov H. Scherzer, Andrew R. Siegel and Richard R. Widgren. See also risk factor regarding loss of key personnel in Item 1A, Risk Factors.

Note 19 Selected Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)

Quarterly condensed consolidated results of operations are summarized as follows:

| | Quarter Ended | | | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| | March 31 | June 30 | September 30 | December 31 |
| | (In thousands, except per share data) | | | |
| 2008 | | | | |
| Revenue | \$65,964 | \$67,876 | \$ 64,184 | \$61,930 |
| Gross profit | 16,397 | 17,132 | 16,159 | 16,601 |
| Net income(loss) | \$ 1,691 | \$ (1,838) | \$ 1,909 | \$ 1,207 |
| Earnings (loss) per share: | | | | |
| Basic per common | \$ 0.16 | \$ (0.17) | \$ 0.18 | \$ 0.11 |
| Diluted per common | \$ 0.16 | \$ (0.17) | \$ 0.18 | \$ 0.11 |

| | Quarter Ended | | | |
|---------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| | March 31 | June 30 | September 30 | December 31 |
| | (In thousands, except per share data) | | | |
| 2007 | | | | |
| Revenue | \$46,194 | \$ 52,544 | \$ 59,151 | \$64,308 |
| Gross profit | 11,576 | 13,610 | 15,642 | 16,015 |
| Net income | \$ 904 | \$ 1,512 | \$ 2,075 | \$ 1,805 |
| Earnings per share: | | | | |
| Basic per common | \$ 0.09 | \$ 0.15 | \$ 0.20 | \$ 0.17 |
| Diluted per common | \$ 0.09 | \$ 0.14 | \$ 0.20 | \$ 0.17 |

Table of Contents

Item 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

There were no changes in accountants, disagreements, or other events requiring reporting under this Item.

Item 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of December 31, 2008 our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act). Based on this evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of December 31, 2008 our disclosure controls and procedures were (1) designed to ensure that material information relating to us, including our consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report was being prepared and (2) effective, in that they provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms.

It should be noted that any system of controls, however well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, and not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the system will be met. In addition, the design of any control system is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of certain events. Because of these and other inherent limitations of control systems, there is only reasonable assurance that our controls will succeed in achieving their goals under all potential future conditions.

Management's report on internal control over financial reporting and Ernst & Young LLP's report on the Company's internal control over financial reporting are included in Item 8 of this Form 10-K and incorporated herein by reference.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

No change in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) occurred during the quarter ended December 31, 2008, that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Item 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

On September 12, 2008, the Company entered into a three-year agreement commencing January 1, 2009 with Ernst & Young US LLP (E&Y) to provide standard service desk support to E&Y's US employees, partners and others who are authorized to access the service desk. This agreement followed a procurement process conducted by E&Y for service desk services in which the Company and other companies participated. The Company and E&Y carefully evaluated the proposed procurement relationship and each separately concluded it is permissible under the applicable auditor independence rules as E&Y is the consumer of the services and the services and terms and conditions are in the ordinary course of business. The Company's Audit Committee similarly concluded there is no impact on E&Y's auditor independence.

Table of Contents

PART III

Item 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Directors and Executive Officers

Information relating to our Board of Directors will be found in our Proxy Statement to be dated on or about April 1, 2009 (the Proxy Statement) under Proposal 1. Election of Directors and is incorporated in this report by reference. Information relating to our executive officers will be found in our Proxy Statement under Executive Officers of the Company. In addition, information relating to certain filing obligations of directors and executive officers under the federal securities laws will be found in the Proxy Statement under Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance. That information is incorporated in this report by reference.

Code of Ethics

We have adopted a code of ethics as set forth in our Code of Business Conduct, which is available on our Web site at <http://phx.corporate-ir.net/phoenix.zhtml?c=91039&p=irol-govhighlights>.

In the event of any amendments to, or waivers from, a provision of the code affecting the chief executive officer, chief financial officer, controller or persons performing similar functions, we intend to post on the above Web site within four business days after the event a description of the amendment or waiver as required under applicable U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission rules. We will maintain that information on our Web site for at least 12 months. Paper copies of these documents are available free of charge upon request to the Company's secretary at the address on the front of this Form 10-K.

Corporate Governance

In our proxy statements, we describe the procedures by which shareholders can recommend nominees to our board of directors. There have been no changes in those procedures since they were last published in our proxy statement of April 4, 2008.

The Board of Directors has determined that the Audit Committee consists entirely of independent directors in accordance with applicable U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission and Nasdaq® Global Market rules for audit committees. The members of the committee are James G. Roche, Andrew R. Siegel and Richard R. Widgren (Chairman). The Board of Directors has determined that Mr. Widgren is an audit committee financial expert as defined in the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission rules.

Item 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Information on director compensation, executive compensation and compensation committee matters will be provided in the Proxy Statement under Directors Compensation, Executive Compensation (which includes the Report of the Compensation Committee) and Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation. That information is incorporated in this report by reference.

Table of Contents**Item 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS**

Information relating to ownership of the Company's common stock by management and by persons known by the Company to be the beneficial owners of more than five percent of the outstanding shares of common stock will be found in the Proxy Statement under Ownership of Company Stock. That information is incorporated in this report by reference.

The following table presents information as of December 31, 2008, regarding our compensation plans under which shares of our common stock have been authorized for issuance.

| | Equity Compensation Plan Information | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| | (a) | (b) | (c) |
| Plan Category | Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights⁽¹⁾ | Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights | Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a)) |
| Equity compensation plans approved by security holders | 1,791,974 | \$ 10.58 | 812,240 |
| Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders | 450,000 | \$ 7.62 | |
| Total | 2,241,974 | \$ 9.99 | 812,240 |

(1) Represents options to purchase shares of the Company's common stock.

Item 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

The information to be set forth under the caption Compensation of Executive Officers Certain Relationships and Related Transactions in the Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.

Director Independence

Information relating to director independence will be found in the Proxy Statement under Director Independence and is incorporated in this report by reference.

Related Party Transactions

Information relating to transactions with related parties can be found in the Proxy Statement under Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and information relating to the Board of Director's policies and procedures for

approval of related party transactions can be found in the Proxy Statement under Board Matters Audit Committee. That information is incorporated in this report by reference.

Item 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

The information to be set forth under the caption Fees of the Independent Auditors for 2008 and 2007 in the Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents**PART IV****Item 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES**

- a) Certain documents are filed as part of this Report on Form 10-K.
- (1) See Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data beginning at page 41.
- (2) Financial Statement Schedules
- Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006
- (3) Exhibits.

| Exhibit Number | Exhibit | Reference * |
|---------------------------|---|--------------------|
| 2.1 | Share Purchase Agreement between TechTeam Global AB and SQM Nordic AB dated January 19, 2007. | *10 |
| 2.2 | First Amendment of Share Purchase Agreement between TechTeam Global AB and SQM Nordic AB dated as of February 9, 2007. | *10 |
| 2.3 | Membership Interest Purchase Agreement between TechTeam Government Solutions, Inc., NewVectors Holding LLC, Altarum Supporting Organization, Inc. and Altarum Institute dated May 23, 2007. | *12 |
| 3.1 | Certification of Incorporation of TechTeam Global, Inc. filed with the Delaware Secretary of State on September 14, 1987. | *5 |
| 3.2 | Certificate of Amendment dated November 27, 1987 to our Certificate of Incorporation. | *5 |
| 3.3 | Certificate of Amendment dated May 8, 2002 to Certificate of Incorporation. | *5 |
| 3.4 | Bylaws of TechTeam Global, Inc. as Amended and Restated February 13, 2006. | *8 |
| 10.1 | Lease Agreement for office space in Southfield, Michigan known as the Cumberland Tech Center between the Company and Eleven Inkster Associates dated September 27, 1993. | *2 |
| 10.2 | Seventh Amendment dated August 24, 2006 to the Lease Agreement for office space in Southfield, Michigan between Eleven Inkster L.L.C. and the Company. | *9 |
| 10.3 | Lease for office space in Dearborn, Michigan between the Company and Dearborn Atrium Associates Limited Partnership dated November 18, 1996. | *3 |
| 10.4 | Fourth Amendment to Lease between the Company and Dearborn Atrium Acquisition, L.L.C. (successor in interest of Dearborn Atrium Associates Limited Partnership) dated September 25, 2008. | |
| 10.5 | Lease Agreement for office space in Davenport, Iowa known as the 1010 Shopping Center between the Company and Partnership 1010, L.L.P. dated August 28, 1999. | *4 |

- | | | |
|------|---|----|
| 10.6 | Office Lease Agreement by and between FJ Dulles Business Park II, L.L.C., as Landlord, and TechTeam Government Solutions, Inc., (formerly known as Digital Support Corporation) as Tenant, dated December 21, 2000. | *6 |
| 10.7 | Lease Contract between IMMOBILIERE DE LA RUE DE STRASBOURG SA and TechTeam Global NV/SA, as amended, dated April 4, 2003. | *6 |

Table of Contents

| Exhibit Number | Exhibit | Reference * |
|-----------------------|--|--------------------|
| 10.8 | Amendment to Contract between EVERE REAL ESTATE (successor in interest to IMMOBILIERE DE LA RUE STRASBOURG SA) and TechTeam Global NV/SA, as amended, dated May 10, 2004 | |
| 10.9 | Office Building Lease between Elizabethan Court Associates III L.P., as landlord, and TechTeam Global, Inc., as tenant, dated May 18, 2006. | *11 |
| 10.10 | Lease Agreement for office space in Bucharest, Romania between S.C. Italian-Romanian Industrial Development Enterprises IRIDE SA and TechTeam Global SRL dated February 2, 2005. | *7 |
| 10.11 | 1990 Nonqualified Stock Option Plan. | *1 |
| 10.12 | 2004 Incentive Stock and Awards Plan. | |
| 10.13 | 2006 Incentive Stock and Awards Plan. | |
| 10.14 | TechTeam Global, Inc. Non-Employee Directors Equity Fee Guidelines under 2006 Incentive Stock and Awards Plan. | *13 |
| 10.15 | TechTeam Global, Inc. Non-Employee Directors Deferred Compensation Plan. | *13 |
| 10.16 | TechTeam Global, Inc. Compensation Policy for Non-Employee Directors. | *13 |
| 10.17 | TechTeam Global, Inc. Executive Annual Incentive Plan. | *18 |
| 10.18 | TechTeam Global, Inc. Executive Long Term Incentive Program. | *18 |
| 10.19 | Supplemental Retirement Plan dated October 1, 2000. | *4 |
| 10.20 | Employment Agreement Relating to Change of Control. | |
| 10.21 | Employment Agreement between TechTeam Europe, NV and Christoph Neut dated October 2, 1996. | *6 |
| 10.22 | Employment and Noncompetition Agreement between TechTeam Global, Inc. and William C. Brown, dated February 3, 2006. | *8 |
| 10.23 | Amendment to Employment and Noncompetition Agreement between TechTeam Global, Inc. and William C. Brown. | *14 |
| 10.24 | Employment and Noncompetition Agreement between TechTeam Global, Inc. and Gary J. Cotshott, dated February 11, 2008. | *15 |

Edgar Filing: TECHTEAM GLOBAL INC - Form 10-K

| | | |
|-------|---|-----|
| 10.25 | Amendment to Employment and Noncompetition Agreement between TechTeam Global, Inc. and Gary J. Cotshott | |
| 10.26 | Option Agreement between TechTeam Global, Inc. and Gary J. Cotshott | |
| 10.27 | Employment and Non-Competition Agreement of Kamran Sokhanvari | *16 |
| 10.28 | Amendment to Employment and Non-Competition Agreement of Kamran Sokhanvari | |
| 10.29 | Employment and Non-Competition Agreement of Armin Pressler | *16 |
| 10.30 | Amendment to Employment and Non-Competition Agreement of Armin Pressler | |
| 10.31 | Employment Separation Agreement and Release of Marc J. Lichtman | *18 |
| 10.32 | Employment and Non-Competition Agreement of Margaret M. Loebel | *19 |
| 10.33 | Amendment to Employment and Non-Competition Agreement of Margaret M. Loebel | |
| 10.34 | Option Agreement between TechTeam Global, Inc. and Margaret M. Loebel | |

Table of Contents

| Exhibit Number | Exhibit | Reference * |
|---------------------------|--|--------------------|
| 10.35 | Employment Agreement Relating to Change of Control of Michael A. Sosin | |
| 10.36 | Employment Agreement Relating to Change of Control of Christopher Donohue | |
| 10.37 | Employment Agreement Relating to Change of Control of David A. Kriegman | |
| 10.38 | Credit Agreement dated as of June 1, 2007 among TechTeam Global, Inc., the Lenders Party Hereto, JPMorgan Chase Bank, NA, as Administrative Agent and J.P. Morgan Securities, Inc., as Sole Bookrunner and Sole Lead Arranger. | *12 |
| 10.39 | Pledge and Security Agreement dated June 1, 2007 between TechTeam Global, Inc., TechTeam Cyntergy, LLC, TechTeam Government Solutions, Inc., Sytel, Inc. and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. as Administrative Agent. | *12 |
| 10.40 | First Amendment to Credit Agreement and Consent | *17 |
| 10.41 | Second Amendment Credit Agreement | *18 |
| 21.1 | List of subsidiaries of TechTeam Global, Inc. | |
| 23.1 | Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm. | |
| 31.1 | Certification of Gary J. Cotshott Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. | |
| 31.2 | Certification of Margaret M. LoebI Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. | |
| 32.1 | Certification of Gary J. Cotshott Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. | |
| 32.2 | Certification of Margaret M. LoebI Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. | |

99.1 Strategy Committee Charter

Exhibits 10.10 through 10.12 and Exhibits 10.16 through 10.23 represent management contracts and compensatory plans.

Exhibit

*1 Incorporated by reference to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year

ended
December 31,
1990, filed as
Exhibit 4.14
thereto.

*2 Incorporated by
reference to our
Annual Report
on Form
10-KSB for the
year ended
December 31,
1993.

*3 Incorporated by
reference to our
Annual Report
on Form 10-K
dated
December 31,
1996.

*4 Incorporated by
reference to our
Annual Report
on Form 10-K
dated March 31,
2001.

*5 Incorporated by
reference to our
Annual Report
on Form 10-K
dated March 18,
2003.

*6 Incorporated by
reference to our
Report on Form
10-K dated
March 24, 2004.

*7 Incorporated by
reference to our
Annual Report
on Form 10-K
dated March 18,
2005.

*8

Incorporated by reference to our Annual Report on Form 10-K dated March 16, 2006.

*9 Incorporated by reference to our Report on Form 10-Q dated November 9, 2006.

Table of Contents

Exhibit

*10 Incorporated by reference to our Report on Form 8-K dated February 9, 2007.

*11 Incorporated by reference to our Annual Report on Form 10-K dated March 16, 2007.

*12 Incorporated by reference to our Report on Form 8-K dated June 5, 2007.

*13 Incorporated by reference to our Report on Form 10-Q dated August 9, 2007.

*14 Incorporated by reference to our Report on Form 8-K dated November 7, 2007.

*15 Incorporated by reference to our Report on Form 8-K dated February 14, 2008.

*16 Incorporated by reference to our Report on Form 8-K dated June 5, 2008.

*17

Incorporated by reference to our Report on Form 8-K dated June 11, 2008.

*18 Incorporated by reference to our Report on Form 8-K dated June 18, 2008.

*19 Incorporated by reference to our Report on Form 8-K dated October 7, 2008.

*20 Incorporated by reference to our Report on Form 10-Q dated November 10, 2008.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

TechTeam Global, Inc.

Date: March 16, 2009

By: /s/ Gary J. Cotshott
Gary J. Cotshott
Chairman of the Board,
President and Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

By: /s/ Margaret M. Loebel
Margaret M. Loebel
Vice President, Chief
Financial Officer and Treasurer
(Principal Financial Officer)

87

Table of Contents

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed by the following persons in the capacities indicated on March 16, 2009.

/s/ Gary J. Cotshott Director

Gary J. Cotshott

/s/ Charles Frumberg Director

Charles Frumberg

/s/ Seth W. Hamot Director

Seth W. Hamot

/s/ Kent Heyman Director

Kent Heyman

/s/ John P. Jumper Director

General John P. Jumper (USAF Retired)

/s/ James A. Lynch Director

James A. Lynch

/s/ Alok Mohan Director

Alok Mohan

/s/ James G. Roche Director

James G. Roche

Director

Andrew R. Siegel

/s/ Richard R. Widgren Director

Richard R. Widgren

Table of Contents

**SCHEDULE II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts
for the Years Ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006**

| Description | Balance at Beginning of Period | Charged to (Reduction of) Costs and Expenses | Deductions | Balance at End of Period |
|--|---|--|------------|--------------------------------|
| | | (In thousands) | | |
| 2008 | | | | |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | \$611 | \$ 375 | \$ | \$986 |
| Valuation allowance for deferred taxes | \$503 | \$ (213) | \$ | \$290 |
| 2007 | | | | |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | \$466 | \$ 145 | \$ | \$611 |
| Valuation allowance for deferred taxes | \$290 | \$ 213 | \$ | \$503 |
| 2006 | | | | |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | \$757 | \$ 232 | \$(523) | \$466 |
| Valuation allowance for deferred taxes | \$505 | \$ (215) | \$ | \$290 |
| | 89 | | | |

Table of Contents

INDEX OF EXHIBITS

| Exhibit Number | Exhibit |
|---------------------------|--|
| 10.4 | Fourth Amendment to Lease between the Company and Dearborn Atrium Acquisition, L.L.C. (successor in interest of Dearborn Atrium Associates Limited Partnership) dated November 30, 2004. |
| 10.9 | Amendment to Contract between EVERE REAL ESTATE (successor in interest to IMMOBILIERE DE LA RUE STRASBOURG SA) and TechTeam Global NV/SA, as amended, dated May 10, 2004 |
| 10.12 | 2004 Incentive Stock and Awards Plan. |
| 10.13 | 2006 Incentive Stock and Awards Plan. |
| 10.20 | Employment Agreement Relating to Change of Control. |
| 10.25 | Amendment to Employment and Noncompetition Agreement between TechTeam Global, Inc. and Gary J. Cotshott |
| 10.26 | Option Agreement between TechTeam Global, Inc. and Gary J. Cotshott |
| 10.28 | Amendment to Employment and Non-Competition Agreement of Kamran Sokhanvari |
| 10.30 | Amendment to Employment and Non-Competition Agreement of Armin Pressler |
| 10.33 | Amendment to Employment and Non-Competition Agreement of Margaret M. Loebel |
| 10.34 | Option Agreement between TechTeam Global, Inc. and Margaret M. Loebel |
| 10.35 | Employment Agreement Relating to Change of Control of Michael A. Sosin |
| 10.36 | Employment Agreement Relating to Change of Control of Christopher Donohue |
| 10.37 | Employment Agreement Relating to Change of Control of David A. Kriegman |
| 21.1 | List of subsidiaries to TechTeam Global, Inc. |
| 23.1 | Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm. |
| 31.1 | Certification of Gary J. Cotshott Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 31.2 | Certification of Margaret M. Loebel Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.1 | Certification of Gary J. Cotshott Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |

Edgar Filing: TECHTEAM GLOBAL INC - Form 10-K

- 32.2 Certification of Margaret M. Loebel Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 99.1 Strategy Committee Charter.

90