

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES INC
Form 10-K
March 12, 2015

Use these links to rapidly review the document

[TABLE OF CONTENTS Form 10-K](#)

[Item 7A. Qualitative and Quantitative Disclosures About Market Risk.](#)

[Table of Contents](#)

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ý **ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014

Or

o **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

Commission File Number 001-09279

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

MARYLAND

(State or other jurisdiction of
Incorporation or Organization)

13-3147497

(I.R.S. employer
Identification No.)

60 Cutter Mill Road, Great Neck, New York

(Address of principal executive offices)

11021

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: **(516) 466-3100**

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class

Common Stock, par value \$1.00 per share

Name of exchange on which registered

New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: **NONE**

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes o No ý

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Act. Yes o No ý

Edgar Filing: ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a small reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "small reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
(Do not check if a
small reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether registrant is a shell company (defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

As of June 30, 2014 (the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second quarter), the aggregate market value of all common equity held by non-affiliates of the registrant, computed by reference to the price at which common equity was last sold on said date, was approximately \$267 million.

As of March 9, 2015, the registrant had 16,365,059 shares of common stock outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the proxy statement for the 2015 annual meeting of stockholders of One Liberty Properties, Inc., to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A not later than April 30, 2015, are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS
Form 10-K

| Item No. | | Page(s) |
|------------------------|---|----------------|
| <u><i>PART I</i></u> | | |
| <u>1.</u> | <u>Business</u> | <u>2</u> |
| <u>1A.</u> | <u>Risk Factors</u> | <u>9</u> |
| <u>1B.</u> | <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u> | <u>18</u> |
| <u>2.</u> | <u>Properties</u> | <u>19</u> |
| <u>3.</u> | <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | <u>25</u> |
| <u>4.</u> | <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u> | <u>25</u> |
| <u><i>PART II</i></u> | | |
| <u>5.</u> | <u>Market for the Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u> | <u>25</u> |
| <u>6.</u> | <u>Selected Financial Data</u> | <u>27</u> |
| <u>7.</u> | <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | <u>30</u> |
| <u>7A.</u> | <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | <u>43</u> |
| <u>8.</u> | <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u> | <u>44</u> |
| <u>9.</u> | <u>Changes In and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u> | <u>44</u> |
| <u>9A.</u> | <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | <u>44</u> |
| <u>9B.</u> | <u>Other Information</u> | <u>45</u> |
| <u><i>PART III</i></u> | | |
| <u>10.</u> | <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u> | <u>45</u> |
| <u>11.</u> | <u>Executive Compensation</u> | <u>45</u> |
| <u>12.</u> | <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u> | <u>45</u> |
| <u>13.</u> | <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u> | <u>46</u> |
| <u>14.</u> | <u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u> | <u>46</u> |
| <u><i>PART IV</i></u> | | |
| <u>15.</u> | <u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u> | <u>46</u> |
| <u>Signatures</u> | | <u>50</u> |

Table of Contents

PART I

Item 1. Business.

General

We are a self-administered and self-managed real estate investment trust, also known as a REIT. We were incorporated in Maryland on December 20, 1982. We acquire, own and manage a geographically diversified portfolio of retail, industrial, flex, health and fitness, and other properties, a substantial portion of which are under long-term leases. Substantially all of our leases are "net leases" and ground leases under which the tenant is typically responsible for real estate taxes, insurance and ordinary maintenance and repairs. As of December 31, 2014, we own 110 properties (including two properties disposed of in January 2015 as described below) and participate in joint ventures that own five properties. These properties and the properties owned by our joint ventures are located in 30 states and have an aggregate of approximately 6.8 million square feet (including an aggregate of approximately 924,000 square feet at properties owned by our joint ventures).

As of December 31, 2014:

our 2015 contractual rental income (as described below) is approximately \$53.3 million.

the occupancy rate of our properties is approximately 98.3% based on square footage.

the occupancy rate of properties owned by our joint ventures is 100% based on square footage.

the weighted average remaining term of our mortgage debt is 9.1 years and the weighted average interest rate thereon is 5.02%.

the weighted average remaining term of the leases generating our 2015 contractual rental income and for the leases at properties owned by our joint ventures is 7.8 years and 6.0 years, respectively.

Our 2015 contractual rental income represents, after giving effect to any abatements, concessions or adjustments, the base rent payable to us in 2015 under leases in effect at December 31, 2014. Contractual rental income for 2015 excludes approximately \$1.3 million of straight-line rent, amortization of approximately \$514,000 of intangibles, and our share of the rental income payable to our joint ventures, which in 2015 will be approximately \$1.5 million.

2014 Highlights and Recent Developments

In 2014:

our rental income, net, increased by \$7.4 million, or 14.9%, from 2013.

income from continuing operations increased by \$4.8 million, or 27.5%, from 2013.

we acquired nine properties for an aggregate purchase price of \$56.8 million. The acquired properties account for approximately \$4.3 million, or 8.0%, of our 2015 contractual rental income.

Edgar Filing: ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

we sold three properties for an aggregate sales price of \$45.5 million as follows: (i) two Michigan health club facilities were sold for \$5.5 million in January 2014 contemporaneously with the expiration of the related leases, and at December 31, 2013, we incurred an impairment charge of \$62,000 in connection with such properties; and (ii) a Parsippany, New Jersey office property was sold in October 2014 for \$40.0 million resulting in a net gain (without giving effect to the \$1.6 million of prepayment costs on debt related to sale of real estate) of \$10.2 million.

we entered into an amendment to our credit facility which, among other things, extended the expiration date of the facility from March 2015 to December 31, 2018 and eliminated the

Table of Contents

interest rate floor of 4.75%. Effective as of January 1, 2015, the interest rate equals the one month LIBOR rate plus the applicable margin (as described herein). Assuming that the 30-day LIBOR rate continues to be 0.17%, the rate in effect on the effective date of the amendment, the interest rate on the facility in the first quarter of 2015 will be approximately 1.92%, a decrease of approximately 283 basis points from the interest rate in effect prior to the amendment.

we obtained (i) an aggregate of \$28.1 million from mortgage financings secured by properties acquired in 2014 and 2013 and (ii) \$9.7 million of net proceeds from financings and refinancings of mortgage debt secured by properties acquired prior to 2013.

Since January 1, 2015, we:

disposed of a retail property in Morrow, GA pursuant to a foreclosure proceeding.

sold a retail center in Cherry Hill, NJ for \$16.0 million, net of closing costs, resulting in a gain of approximately \$5.4 million, before giving effect to a swap breakage charge of \$478,000 and the write-off of \$249,000 of the remaining deferred mortgage cost. The non-controlling interest's share of income from the transaction is approximately \$1.3 million.

acquired, through a joint venture in which we have a 90% equity interest, a 101,590 square foot shopping center located in Lakewood, Colorado, for approximately \$17.5 million. In connection with the acquisition, we obtained \$11.9 million of mortgage debt maturing in 2025, amortizing over 25 years and bearing an annual interest rate of 4.12%.

In the narrative portion of this report, information with respect to our consolidated joint ventures is generally described as if such ventures are our wholly owned subsidiaries and information with respect to unconsolidated joint ventures is generally separately described. Except as otherwise indicated, all references to joint ventures refer to unconsolidated joint ventures. In addition, 2015 contractual rental income derived from multiple properties leased pursuant to a master lease is allocated among such properties based on management's estimate of the appropriate allocations.

Acquisition Strategies

We seek to acquire properties throughout the United States that have locations, demographics and other investment attributes that we believe to be attractive. We believe that long-term leases provide a predictable income stream over the term of the lease, making fluctuations in market rental rates and in real estate values less significant to achieving our overall investment objectives. Our goal is to acquire single-tenant properties that are subject to long-term net or ground leases that include periodic contractual rental increases or rent increases based on increases in the consumer price index. Periodic contractual rental increases provide reliable increases in future rent payments and rent increases based on the consumer price index provide protection against inflation. Historically, long-term leases have made it easier for us to obtain longer-term, fixed-rate mortgage financing with principal amortization, thereby moderating the interest rate risk associated with financing or refinancing our property portfolio by reducing the outstanding principal balance over time. We may, however, acquire a property that is subject to a short-term lease when we believe the property represents a good opportunity for recurring income and residual value. Although the acquisition of single-tenant properties subject to net and ground leases is the focus of our investment strategy, we also consider investments in, among other things, (i) properties that can be re-positioned or re-developed, (ii) community shopping centers anchored by national or regional tenants and (iii) properties ground leased to operators of multi-family properties. We pay substantially all the operating expenses at community shopping centers, a significant portion of which is reimbursed by tenants pursuant to their leases.

Generally, we hold the properties we acquire for an extended period of time. Our investment criteria are intended to identify properties from which increased asset value and overall return can be

Table of Contents

realized from an extended period of ownership. Although our investment criteria favor an extended period of ownership, we will dispose of a property if we regard the disposition of the property as an opportunity to realize the overall value of the property sooner or to avoid future risks by achieving a determinable return from the property.

We identify properties through the network of contacts of our senior management and our affiliates, which includes real estate brokers, private equity firms, banks and law firms. In addition, we attend industry conferences and engage in direct solicitations.

Our charter documents do not limit the number of properties in which we may invest, the amount or percentage of our assets that may be invested in any specific property or property type, or the concentration of investments in any region in the United States. We do not intend to acquire properties located outside of the United States. We will continue to form entities to acquire interests in real properties, either alone or with other investors, and we may acquire interests in joint ventures or other entities that own real property.

It is our policy, and the policy of our affiliated entities, that any investment opportunity presented to us or to any of our affiliated entities that involves primarily the acquisition of a net leased property, a ground lease or a community shopping center, will first be offered to us and may not be pursued by any of our affiliated entities unless we decline the opportunity.

Investment Evaluation

In evaluating potential investments, we consider, among other criteria, the following:

the ability of a tenant, if a net leased property, or major tenants, if a shopping center, to meet operational needs and lease obligations;

the current and projected cash flow of the property;

the estimated return on equity to us;

an evaluation of the property and improvements, given its location and use;

an evaluation of the credit quality of the tenant;

local demographics (population and rental trends);

the terms of tenant leases, including the relationship between current rents and market rents;

the projected residual value of the property;

the potential to finance or refinance the property;

potential for income and capital appreciation;

occupancy of and demand for similar properties in the market area; and

alternate uses or tenants for the property.

Our Business Objective

Our business objective is to maintain and increase, over time, the cash available for distribution to our stockholders by:

identifying opportunistic and strategic property acquisitions consistent with our portfolio and our acquisition strategies;

obtaining mortgage indebtedness (including refinancings) on favorable terms and maintaining access to capital to finance property acquisitions;

Edgar Filing: ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

monitoring and maintaining our portfolio, including tenant negotiations and lease amendments with tenants having financial difficulty; and

managing assets effectively, including lease extensions and opportunistic and strategic property sales.

Typical Property Attributes

As of December 31, 2014, the properties in our portfolio and owned by our joint ventures typically have the following attributes:

Net or ground leases. Substantially all of the leases are net and ground leases under which the tenant is typically responsible for real estate taxes, insurance and ordinary maintenance and repairs. We believe that investments in net and ground leased properties offer more predictable returns than investments in properties that are not net or ground leased;

Long-term leases. Many of our leases are long-term leases. Excluding leases relating to properties owned by our joint ventures, the weighted average remaining term of our leases is 7.8 years, leases representing approximately 39.7% of our 2015 contractual rental income expire between 2020 and 2023, and leases representing approximately 32.0% of our 2015 contractual rental income expire after 2023; and

Scheduled rent increases. Leases representing approximately 74.8% of our 2015 contractual rental income and leases representing 39.1% of our share of the rental income payable in 2015 with respect to properties owned by our joint ventures provide for either periodic contractual rent increases or a rent increase based on the consumer price index.

Our Tenants

The following table sets forth information about the diversification of our tenants by industry sector as of December 31, 2014:

| Type of Property | Number of Tenants | Number of Properties | 2015 Contractual Rental Income | Percentage of 2015 Contractual Rental Income |
|-------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| Retail General | 56 | 41 | \$ 14,497,880 | 27.2% |
| Industrial | 11 | 14 | 11,111,543 | 20.8 |
| Retail Furniture(1) | 4 | 14 | 5,808,162 | 10.9 |
| Retail Restaurant | 13 | 19 | 3,691,503 | 6.9 |
| Flex | 3 | 3 | 3,339,262 | 6.3 |
| Retail Office Supply(2) | 2 | 8 | 3,100,631 | 5.8 |
| Health & Fitness | 1 | 3 | 3,054,922 | 5.7 |
| Retail Supermarket | 3 | 3 | 2,818,230 | 5.3 |
| Other | 5 | 5 | 5,922,579 | 11.1 |
| | 98 | 110 | \$ 53,344,712 | 100.0% |

(1) Eleven properties are net leased to Haverty Furniture pursuant to a master lease covering all such properties.

(2) Includes seven properties which are net leased to Office Depot pursuant to seven separate leases. Five of the Office Depot leases contain cross-default provisions. Also includes one property net leased to OfficeMax which was acquired by Office Depot in

Edgar Filing: ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

November 2013.

Many of our retail tenants (including franchisees of national chains) operate on a national basis and include, among others, Applebees, Barnes & Noble, CarMax, CVS, Kohl's, Marshalls, Mens'

Table of Contents

Wearhouse, Office Depot, Party City, PetSmart, TGI Fridays, The Sports Authority, Urban Outfitters, Walgreens, Wendy's and Whole Foods and some of our tenants operate on a regional basis, including Haverty Furniture, Giant Food Stores and hhgregg.

Our Leases

Substantially all of our leases are net or ground leases (including the leases entered into by our joint ventures) under which the tenant, in addition to its rental obligation, typically is responsible for expenses attributable to the operation of the property, such as real estate taxes and assessments, water and sewer rents and other charges. The tenant is also generally responsible for maintaining the property and for restoration following a casualty or partial condemnation. The tenant is typically obligated to indemnify us for claims arising from the property and is responsible for maintaining insurance coverage for the property it leases and naming us an additional insured. Under some net leases, we are responsible for structural repairs, including foundation and slab, roof repair or replacement and restoration following a casualty event, and at several properties we are responsible for certain expenses related to the operation and maintenance of the property.

Our typical lease provides for contractual rent increases periodically throughout the term of the lease or for rent increases pursuant to a formula based on the consumer price index. Some of our leases provide for minimum rents supplemented by additional payments based on sales derived from the property subject to the lease. Such additional payments were not a material part of our 2014 rental revenues and are not expected to be a material part of our 2015 rental revenues.

Our strategy has been to acquire properties that are subject to existing long-term leases or to enter into long-term leases with our tenants. Our leases generally provide the tenant with one or more renewal options.

The following table sets forth scheduled lease expirations of leases for our properties as of December 31, 2014 (other than our Cherry Hill, New Jersey and Morrow, Georgia properties which were disposed of in January 2015):

| Year of Lease Expiration(1) | Number of Expiring Leases | Approximate Square Footage Subject to Expiring Leases | 2015 Contractual Rental Income Under Expiring Leases | Percent of 2015 Contractual Rental Income Represented by Expiring Leases |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---|--|--|
| 2015(2) | 8 | 439,385 | 1,713,238 | 3.2% |
| 2016 | 14 | 456,882 | 3,805,534 | 7.1 |
| 2017 | 11 | 107,008 | 2,134,068 | 4.0 |
| 2018 | 18 | 394,055 | 5,564,863 | 10.4 |
| 2019 | 6 | 146,303 | 1,915,218 | 3.6 |
| 2020 | 8 | 185,108 | 4,560,783 | 8.6 |
| 2021 | 8 | 179,286 | 1,754,300 | 3.3 |
| 2022 | 11 | 1,371,615 | 11,001,473 | 20.6 |
| 2023 | 5 | 545,092 | 3,851,903 | 7.2 |
| 2024 and thereafter | 27 | 1,877,152 | 17,043,332 | 32.0 |
| | 116 | 5,701,886 | \$ 53,344,712 | 100.0% |

(1) Lease expirations assume tenants do not exercise existing renewal options.

(2) Subsequent to December 31, 2014, a lease of 45,974 square feet of retail space expired. This property accounted for \$494,000 of rental income in 2014.

Table of Contents

Financing, Re-Renting and Disposition of Our Properties

Our charter documents do not limit the level of debt we may incur. Our revolving credit facility matures on December 31, 2018 and, among other things, limits total debt that we may incur to 70% of the value of our properties (as determined pursuant to the credit facility). We borrow funds on a secured and unsecured basis and intend to continue to do so in the future.

We mortgage specific properties on a non-recourse basis, subject to the standard carve-outs described under "Item 2. Properties Mortgage Debt", to enhance the return on our investment in a specific property. The proceeds of mortgage loans may be used for property acquisitions, investments in joint ventures or other entities that own real property, to reduce bank debt and for working capital purposes. The funds available pursuant to our credit facility may be used to payoff existing mortgages, fund the acquisition of additional properties, and to a more limited extent, to invest in joint ventures and for working capital. Net proceeds received from the sale, financing or refinancing of properties are generally required to be used to repay amounts outstanding under our credit facility.

With respect to properties we acquire on a free and clear basis, we usually seek to obtain long-term fixed-rate mortgage financing, when available at acceptable terms, shortly after the acquisition of such property to avoid the risk of movement of interest rates and fluctuating supply and demand in the mortgage markets. We also will acquire a property that is subject to (and will assume) a fixed-rate mortgage. Substantially all of our mortgages provide for amortization of part of the principal balance during the term, thereby reducing the refinancing risk at maturity. Some of our properties may be financed on a cross-defaulted or cross-collateralized basis, and we may collateralize a single financing with more than one property.

After termination or expiration of any lease relating to any of our properties, we will seek to re-rent or sell such property in a manner that will maximize the return to us, considering, among other factors, the income potential and market value of such property. We acquire properties for long-term investment for income purposes and do not typically engage in the turnover of investments. We will consider the sale of a property if a sale appears advantageous in view of our investment objectives. If there is a substantial tax gain, we may seek to enter into a tax deferred transaction and reinvest the proceeds in another property. Cash realized from the sale of properties, net of required paydowns of our credit facility and of any distributions to stockholders, is available for general working capital purposes and the acquisition of additional properties.

Our Joint Ventures

As of December 31, 2014, we participated in five joint ventures that own an aggregate of five properties, with approximately 924,000 rentable square feet of space. Four of the properties are retail properties and one is an industrial property. We own 50% of the equity interest in all of these joint ventures. At December 31, 2014, our investment in joint ventures was approximately \$4.9 million.

Based on the leases in effect at December 31, 2014, we anticipate that our share of rental income payable to our joint ventures in 2015 will be approximately \$1.5 million. The leases for two properties are expected to contribute 78.1% of the aggregate projected rental income payable to all of our joint ventures in 2015 and expire in 2021 and 2022.

Competition

We face competition for the acquisition of properties from a variety of investors, including domestic and foreign corporations and real estate companies, financial institutions, insurance companies, pension funds, investment funds, other REITs and individuals, some of which have significant advantages over us, including a larger, more diverse group of properties and greater financial and other resources than we have.

Table of Contents

Our Structure

Nine employees, including Patrick J. Callan, Jr., our President and Chief Executive Officer, Lawrence G. Ricketts, Jr., our Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer, Justin Clair, a Vice-President, Karen Dunleavy, Vice President-Financial and five other employees, devote all of their business time to us. Our other executive, administrative, legal, accounting and clerical personnel share their services on a part-time basis with us and other affiliated entities that share our executive offices.

We entered into a compensation and services agreement with Majestic Property Management Corp., effective as of January 1, 2007. Majestic Property is wholly-owned by our vice chairman of the board and it provides compensation to certain of our executive officers. Pursuant to this agreement, we pay an annual fee to Majestic Property and Majestic Property provides us with the services of all affiliated executive, administrative, legal, accounting and clerical personnel that we use on a part time basis, as well as property management services, property acquisition, sales and leasing and mortgage brokerage services. The fees we pay Majestic Property are negotiated by us and Majestic Property and are approved by our audit committee and independent directors.

In 2014, pursuant to the compensation and services agreement, we paid Majestic Property a fee of approximately \$2.7 million and \$186,000 for our share of all direct office expenses, including, among other expenses, rent, telephone, postage, computer services and internet usage. See Note 10 to our consolidated financial statements for information regarding equity awards to individuals performing services on our behalf.

We believe that the compensation and services agreement allows us to benefit from access to, and from the services of, a group of senior executives with significant knowledge and experience in the real estate industry and our company. If not for this agreement, we believe that a company of our size would not have access to the skills and expertise of these executives at the cost that we have incurred and will incur in the future. For a description of the background of our management, please see the information under the heading "Executive Officers" in Part I of this Annual Report.

Available Information

Our Internet address is www.onelibertyproperties.com. On the Investor Information page of our web site, we post the following filings as soon as reasonably practicable after they are electronically filed with or furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"): our annual report on Form 10-K, our quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, our current reports on Form 8-K, and any amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. All such filings on our Investor Information Web page, which also includes Forms 3, 4 and 5 filed pursuant to Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, are available to be viewed free of charge.

On the Corporate Governance page of our web site, we post the following charters and guidelines: Audit Committee Charter, Compensation Committee Charter, Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee Charter, Corporate Governance Guidelines and Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, as amended and restated. All such documents on our Corporate Governance Web page are available to be viewed free of charge.

Information contained on our web site is not part of, and is not incorporated by reference into, this Annual Report on Form 10-K or our other filings with the SEC. A copy of this Annual Report on Form 10-K and those items disclosed on our Investor Information Web page and our Corporate Governance Web page are available without charge upon written request to: One Liberty Properties, Inc., 60 Cutter Mill Road, Suite 303, Great Neck, New York 11021, Attention: Secretary.

Table of Contents

Forward-Looking Statements

This Annual Report on Form 10-K, together with other statements and information publicly disseminated by us, contains certain forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. We intend such forward-looking statements to be covered by the safe harbor provision for forward-looking statements contained in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and include this statement for purposes of complying with these safe harbor provisions. Forward-looking statements, which are based on certain assumptions and describe our future plans, strategies and expectations, are generally identifiable by use of the words "may," "will," "could," "believe," "expect," "intend," "anticipate," "estimate," "project," or similar expressions or variations thereof. You should not rely on forward-looking statements since they involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors which are, in some cases, beyond our control and which could materially affect actual results, performance or achievements. Factors which may cause actual results to differ materially from current expectations include, but are not limited to:

the financial condition of our tenants and the performance of their lease obligations;

general economic and business conditions, including those currently affecting our nation's economy and real estate markets;

the availability of and costs associated with sources of liquidity;

accessibility of debt and equity capital markets;

general and local real estate conditions, including any changes in the value of our real estate;

compliance with credit facility covenants;

increased competition for leasing of vacant space due to current economic conditions;

changes in governmental laws and regulations relating to real estate and related investments;

the level and volatility of interest rates;

competition in our industry; and

the other risks described under Item 1A. Risk Factors.

Any or all of our forward-looking statements in this report and in any other public statements we make may turn out to be incorrect. Actual results may differ from our forward-looking statements because of inaccurate assumptions we might make or because of the occurrence of known or unknown risks and uncertainties. Many factors mentioned in the discussion below will be important in determining future results. Consequently, no forward-looking statement can be guaranteed and you are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. Actual future results may vary materially.

Except as may be required under the United States federal securities laws, we undertake no obligation to publicly update our forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. You are advised, however, to consult any further disclosures we make in our reports that are filed with or furnished to the SEC.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

Set forth below is a discussion of certain risks affecting our business. The categorization of risks set forth below is meant to help you better understand the risks facing our business and is not intended to limit your consideration of the possible effects of these risks to the listed categories. Any adverse effects arising

Table of Contents

from the realization of any of the risks discussed, including our financial condition and results of operation, may, and likely will, adversely affect many aspects of our business.

In addition to the other information contained or incorporated by reference in this Form 10-K, readers should carefully consider the following risk factors:

Risks Related to Our Business

If we are unable to re-rent properties upon the expiration of our leases or if our tenants default, our rental income will be reduced and we would incur additional costs.

Substantially all of our revenues are derived from rental income paid by our tenants. From 2015 through 2017, leases with respect to 33 tenants that account for 14.3% of our 2015 contractual rental income, expire. If our tenants, and in particular, our significant tenants, (i) do not renew their leases upon the expiration of same, (ii) default on their obligations or (iii) seek rent relief, lease renegotiation or other accommodations, our revenues could decline. At the same time, we would remain responsible for the payment of the mortgage obligations with respect to the related properties and would become responsible for the operating expenses related to these properties, including, among other things, real estate taxes, maintenance and insurance. In addition, we may incur expenses in enforcing our rights as landlord. Even if we find replacement tenants or renegotiate leases with current tenants, the terms of the new or renegotiated leases, including the cost of required renovations or concessions to tenants, or the expense of the reconfiguration of a single tenancy property for use by multiple tenants, may be less favorable than current lease terms and could reduce the amount of cash available to meet expenses and pay distributions.

Approximately 56.1% of our 2015 contractual rental income is derived from tenants operating in the retail industry and the failure of those tenants to pay rent would significantly reduce our revenues.

Approximately 56.1% of our 2015 contractual rental income is derived from retail tenants, including 10.9% and 5.8%, from tenants engaged in retail furniture (*i.e.*, Haverty's, which accounts for 8.6% of 2015 contractual rental income) and office supply activities (*i.e.*, Office Depot, which accounts for 5.8% of 2015 contractual rental income), respectively.

Various factors could cause our retail tenants to close their locations, including difficult economic conditions and corporate merger activity. Corporate merger activity, such as the contemplated merger between Office Depot and Staples, may result in the closure of duplicate or geographically overlapping retail locations. Based on our analysis, four of our eight Office Depot properties will overlap geographically with Staples' properties as a result, the company resulting from this contemplated merger may determine to close one or more of such locations. The failure of our retail tenants to meet their lease obligations, including rent payment obligations, due to difficult economic conditions, corporate merger activity and otherwise, may make it difficult for us to satisfy our operating and debt service requirements, make capital expenditures and make distributions to stockholders.

Approximately 29.8% of our 2015 contractual rental income is derived from five tenants. The default, financial distress or failure of any of these tenants could significantly reduce our revenues.

Haverty Furniture, Office Depot, LA Fitness, Northern Tool and Ferguson Enterprises account for approximately 8.6%, 5.8%, 5.7%, 5.1% and 4.6%, respectively, of our 2015 contractual rental income. The default, financial distress or bankruptcy of any of these tenants could cause interruptions in the receipt of, or the loss of, a significant amount of rental income and would require us to pay operating expenses (including real estate taxes) currently paid by the tenant. This could also result in the vacancy of the property or properties occupied by the defaulting tenant, which would significantly reduce our rental revenues and net income until the re-rental of the property or properties, and could decrease the ultimate sale value of the property.

Table of Contents

Declines in the value of our properties could result in impairment charges.

If we are presented with indications of an impairment in the value of a particular property or group of properties, we will be required to evaluate any such property or properties. If we determine that any of our properties at which indicators of impairment exist have a value below the net book value of such property, we may be required to recognize an impairment charge for the difference between the fair value and the book value during the quarter in which we make such determination; such impairment charges may then increase in subsequent quarters. This evaluation may lead us to write off any straight-line rent receivable balance recorded with respect to such property. In addition, we may incur losses from time to time if we dispose of properties for sales prices that are less than our book value.

Competition that traditional retail tenants face from on-line retail sales could adversely affect our business.

Our retail tenants face increasing competition from on-line retailers. On-line retailers may be able to provide customers with better pricing and the ease and comfort of shopping from their home or office. Internet sales have been obtaining an increasing percentage of retail sales over the past few years and this trend is expected to continue. The continued growth of on-line sales could decrease the need for traditional retail outlets and reduce retailers' space and property requirements. This could adversely impact our ability to rent space at our retail properties and increase competition for retail tenants thereby reducing the rent we would receive at these properties and adversely affecting our results of operations and financial condition.

If we are unable to refinance our mortgage loans at maturity, we may be forced to sell properties at disadvantageous terms, which would result in the loss of revenues and in a decline in the value of our portfolio.

We had, as of December 31, 2014, \$292.0 million in mortgage debt outstanding, all of which is non-recourse (subject to standard carve-outs) and our ratio of mortgage debt to total assets was 49.5%. Our joint ventures had \$17.2 million in total mortgage indebtedness (all of which is non-recourse, subject to standard carve-outs). The risks associated with our mortgage debt and the mortgage debt of our joint ventures include the risk that cash flow from properties securing the indebtedness and our available cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments will be insufficient to meet required payments of principal and interest.

Generally, only a relatively small portion of the principal of our mortgage indebtedness will be repaid prior to maturity and we do not plan to retain sufficient cash to repay such indebtedness at maturity. Accordingly, to meet these obligations if they cannot be refinanced at maturity, we will have to use funds available under our credit facility, if any, and our available cash and cash equivalents to pay our mortgage debt or seek to raise funds through the financing of unencumbered properties, sale of properties or the issuance of additional equity. From 2015 through 2019, approximately \$120.2 million of our mortgage debt matures specifically, \$22.0 million in 2015, \$33.0 million in 2016, \$29.9 million in 2017, \$19.7 million in 2018, and \$15.6 million in 2019. With respect to our joint ventures, approximately \$17.2 million of mortgage debt matures from 2015 through 2019 specifically, \$13.6 million in 2015, \$94,000 in 2016, \$101,000 in 2017, \$3.4 million in 2018. If we (or our joint ventures) are unsuccessful in refinancing or extending existing mortgage indebtedness or financing unencumbered properties, selling properties on favorable terms or raising additional equity, our cash flow (or the cash flow of a joint venture) will not be sufficient to repay all maturing mortgage debt when payments become due, and we (or a joint venture) may be forced to dispose of properties on disadvantageous terms or convey properties secured by mortgages to the mortgagees, which would lower our revenues and the value of our portfolio.

Table of Contents

We may find that the value of a property could be less than the mortgage secured by such property. We may also have to decide whether we should refinance or pay off a mortgage on a property at which the mortgage matures prior to lease expiration and the tenant may not renew the lease. For example, a tenant leasing a property with a net book value of approximately \$2.8 million and generating approximately 2.9% of 2015 contractual rental income has indicated it may not renew the lease when it expires in 2020, generating uncertainty as to whether we should refinance or payoff the mortgage maturing in 2015 or surrender the property to the mortgagee. In these types of situations, after evaluating various factors, including among other things, the tenant's competitive position in the applicable submarket, our and our tenant's estimates of its prospects, consideration of alternative uses and opportunities to re-purpose or re-let the property, we may seek to renegotiate the terms of the mortgage, or to the extent that the loan is non-recourse and the terms of the mortgage cannot be satisfactorily renegotiated, forfeit the property by conveying it to the mortgagee and writing off our investment.

If our borrowings increase, the risk of default on our repayment obligations and our debt service requirements will also increase.

The terms of our revolving credit facility limit our ability to incur indebtedness, including limiting the total indebtedness that we may incur to an amount equal to 70% of the value (as defined in the credit facility) of our properties. Increased leverage could result in increased risk of default on our payment obligations related to borrowings and in an increase in debt service requirements, which could reduce our net income and the amount of cash available to meet expenses and to make distributions to our stockholders.

If a significant number of our tenants default or fail to renew expiring leases, or we take impairment charges against our properties, a breach of our revolving credit facility could occur.

Our revolving credit facility includes financial covenants that require us to maintain certain financial ratios and requirements. If our tenants default under their leases with us or fail to renew expiring leases, generally accepted accounting principles may require us to recognize impairment charges against our properties, and our financial position could be adversely affected causing us to be in breach of the financial covenants contained in our credit facility.

Failure to meet interest and other payment obligations under our revolving credit facility or a breach by us of the covenants to maintain the financial ratios would place us in default under our credit facility, and, if the banks called a default and required us to repay the full amount outstanding under the credit facility, we might be required to rapidly dispose of our properties, which could have an adverse impact on the amounts we receive on such disposition. If we are unable to dispose of our properties in a timely fashion to the satisfaction of the banks, the banks could foreclose on that portion of our collateral pledged to the banks, which could result in the disposition of our properties at below market values. The disposition of our properties at below our carrying value would adversely affect our net income, reduce our stockholders' equity and adversely affect our ability to pay distributions to our stockholders.

Impairment charges against owned real estate may not be adequate to cover actual losses.

Impairment charges are based on an evaluation of known risks and economic factors. The determination of an appropriate level of impairment charges is an inherently difficult process and is based on numerous assumptions. The amount of impairment charges of real estate is susceptible to changes in economic, operating and other conditions that are largely beyond our control. Any impairment charges that we may take may not be adequate to cover actual losses and we may need to take additional impairment charges in the future. Actual losses and additional impairment charges in the future could materially affect our results of operations.

Table of Contents

If credit markets tighten or interest rates increase, it may be more difficult for us to secure financing, which may limit our ability to finance or refinance our real estate properties, reduce the number of properties we can acquire, and adversely affect your investment.

Reduced access to credit markets or increases in interest rates may make it difficult for us to secure mortgage debt, limit the mortgage debt available on properties we wish to acquire and limit the properties we can acquire. Even in the event that we are able to secure mortgage debt on, or otherwise finance our real estate properties, due to increased costs associated with securing financing and other factors beyond our control, we may be unable to refinance the entire outstanding loan balance or be subject to unfavorable terms (such as higher loan fees, interest rates and periodic payments) if we do refinance the loan balance. Either of these results could reduce income from those properties and reduce cash available for distribution, which may adversely affect the investment goals of our stockholders.

Certain of our net leases and our ground leases require us to pay property related expenses that are not the obligations of our tenants.

Under the terms of substantially all of our net leases, in addition to satisfying their rent obligations, our tenants are responsible for the payment of real estate taxes, insurance and ordinary maintenance and repairs. However, under the provisions of certain net and ground leases, we are required to pay some expenses, such as the costs of environmental liabilities, roof and structural repairs, insurance premiums, certain non-structural repairs and maintenance. If our properties incur significant expenses that must be paid by us under the terms of our leases, our business, financial condition and results of operations will be adversely affected and the amount of cash available to meet expenses and to make distributions to holders of our common stock may be reduced.

Uninsured and underinsured losses may affect the revenues generated by, the value of, and the return from a property affected by a casualty or other claim.

Substantially all of our tenants obtain, for our benefit, comprehensive insurance covering our properties in amounts that are intended to be sufficient to provide for the replacement of the improvements at each property. However, the amount of insurance coverage maintained for any property may not be sufficient to pay the full replacement cost of the improvements at the property following a casualty event. In addition, the rent loss coverage under the policy may not extend for the full period of time that a tenant may be entitled to a rent abatement as a result of, or that may be required to complete restoration following, a casualty event. In addition, there are certain types of losses, such as those arising from earthquakes, floods, hurricanes and terrorist attacks, that may be uninsurable or that may not be economically insurable. Changes in zoning, building codes and ordinances, environmental considerations and other factors also may make it impossible or impracticable for us to use insurance proceeds to replace damaged or destroyed improvements at a property. If restoration is not or cannot be completed to the extent, or within the period of time, specified in certain of our leases, the tenant may have the right to terminate the lease. If any of these or similar events occur, it may reduce our revenues, the value of, or our return from, an affected property.

Our revenues and the value of our portfolio are affected by a number of factors that affect investments in real estate generally.

We are subject to the general risks of investing in real estate. These include adverse changes in economic conditions and local conditions such as changing demographics, retailing trends and traffic patterns, declines in the rental rates, changes in the supply and price of quality properties and the market supply and demand of competing properties, the impact of environmental laws, security concerns, prepayment penalties applicable under mortgage financings, changes in tax, zoning, building

Table of Contents

code, fire safety and other laws and regulations, the type of insurance coverage available in the market, and changes in the type, capacity and sophistication of building systems. Approximately 56.1% and 20.8% of our 2015 contractual rental income is expected to come from retail and industrial tenants, respectively, and we are vulnerable to economic declines that negatively impact these sectors of the economy, which could have an adverse effect on our results of operations, liquidity and financial condition.

Our revenues and the value of our portfolio are affected by a number of factors that affect investments in leased real estate generally.

We are subject to the general risks of investing in leased real estate. These include the non-performance of lease obligations by tenants, leasehold improvements that will be costly or difficult to remove should it become necessary to re-rent the leased space for other uses, covenants in certain retail leases that limit the types of tenants to which available space can be rented (which may limit demand or reduce the rents realized on re-renting), rights of termination of leases due to events of casualty or condemnation affecting the leased space or the property or due to interruption of the tenant's quiet enjoyment of the leased premises, and obligations of a landlord to restore the leased premises or the property following events of casualty or condemnation. The occurrence of any of these events could adversely impact our results of operations, liquidity and financial condition.

Real estate investments are relatively illiquid and their values may decline.

Real estate investments are relatively illiquid. Therefore, we will be limited in our ability to reconfigure our real estate portfolio in response to economic changes. We may encounter difficulty in disposing of properties when tenants vacate either at the expiration of the applicable lease or otherwise. If we decide to sell any of our properties, our ability to sell these properties and the prices we receive on their sale may be affected by many factors, including the number of potential buyers, the number of competing properties on the market and other market conditions, as well as whether the property is leased and if it is leased, the terms of the lease. As a result, we may be unable to sell our properties for an extended period of time without incurring a loss, which would adversely affect our results of operations, liquidity and financial condition.

The concentration of our properties in certain regions may make our revenues and the value of our portfolio vulnerable to adverse changes in local economic conditions.

The properties we own may be located in the same or a limited number of geographic regions. Approximately 46.7% of our 2015 contractual rental income will be derived from properties located in five states: Texas (11.7%), New York (10.5%), Pennsylvania (8.6%), Georgia (8.0%) and South Carolina (7.9%). At December 31, 2014, approximately 47.5% of the net book value of our real estate investments was located in five states: Texas (12.5%), South Carolina (10.2%), Pennsylvania (9.0%), Maryland (7.5%) and Georgia (7.3%). As a result, a decline in the economic conditions in these regions or in regions where our properties may be concentrated in the future, may have an adverse effect on the rental and occupancy rates for, and the property values of, these properties, which could lead to a reduction in our rental income and in the results of operations.

We have been, and in the future will be, subject to significant competition and we may not be able to compete successfully for investments.

We have been, and in the future will be, subject to significant competition for attractive investment opportunities from other real estate investors, many of which have greater financial resources than us, including publicly-traded REITs, non-traded REITs, insurance companies, commercial and investment banking firms, private institutional funds, hedge funds, private equity funds and other investors. We may not be able to compete successfully for investments. If we pay higher prices for investments, our

Table of Contents

returns may be lower and the value of our assets may not increase or may decrease significantly below the amount we paid for such assets. If such events occur, we may experience lower returns on our investments.

We cannot assure you of our ability to pay dividends in the future.

We intend to pay quarterly dividends and to make distributions to our stockholders in amounts such that all or substantially all of our taxable income in each year is distributed. This, along with other factors, will enable us to qualify for the tax benefits accorded to a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. We have not established a minimum dividend payment level and our ability to pay dividends may be adversely affected by the risk factors described in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. All distributions will be made at the discretion of our board of directors and will depend on our earnings, our financial condition, maintenance of our REIT status and such other factors as our board of directors may deem relevant from time to time.

If we reduce our dividend, the market value of our common stock may decline.

The level of our common stock dividend is established by our board of directors from time to time based on a variety of factors, including our cash available for distribution, funds from operations and maintenance of our REIT status. Various factors could cause our board of directors to decrease our dividend level, including insufficient income to cover our dividends, tenant defaults or bankruptcies resulting in a material reduction in our funds from operations or a material loss resulting from an adverse change in the value of one or more of our properties. If our board of directors determines to reduce our common stock dividend, the market value of our common stock could be adversely affected.

Our current and future investments in joint ventures could be adversely affected by the lack of sole decision making authority, reliance on joint venture partners' financial condition, and any dispute that may arise between our joint venture partners and us.

A number of properties in which we have an interest are owned through joint ventures (including both consolidated and unconsolidated joint ventures). We may continue to acquire properties through joint ventures and/or contribute some of our properties to joint ventures. Investments in joint ventures may, under certain circumstances, involve risks not present when a third party is not involved, including the possibility that joint venture partners might file for bankruptcy protection, or fail to fund their share of required capital contributions. Further, joint venture partners may have conflicting business interests or goals, and as a result there is the potential risk of impasses on decisions, such as a sale. Any disputes that may arise between joint venture partners and us may result in litigation or arbitration that would increase our expenses and prevent our officers and/or directors from focusing their time and effort on our business. Consequently, actions by or disputes with joint venture partners might result in subjecting properties owned by the joint venture to additional risk.

Compliance with environmental regulations and associated costs could adversely affect our results of operations and liquidity.

Under various federal, state and local laws, ordinances and regulations, an owner or operator of real property may be required to investigate and clean up hazardous or toxic substances or petroleum product releases at the property and may be held liable to a governmental entity or to third parties for property damage and for investigation and cleanup costs incurred in connection with contamination. The cost of investigation, remediation or removal of hazardous or toxic substances may be substantial, and the presence of such substances, or the failure to properly remediate a property, may adversely affect our ability to sell or rent the property or to borrow money using the property as collateral. In connection with our ownership, operation and management of real properties, we may be considered an owner or operator of the properties and, therefore, potentially liable for removal or remediation costs, as well as certain other related costs, including governmental fines and liability for injuries to persons and property, not only with respect to properties we own now or may acquire, but also with respect to properties we have owned in the past.

Table of Contents

We cannot provide any assurance that existing environmental studies with respect to any of our properties reveal all potential environmental liabilities, that any prior owner of a property did not create any material environmental condition not known to us, or that a material environmental condition does not otherwise exist, or may not exist in the future, as to any one or more of our properties. If a material environmental condition does in fact exist, or exists in the future, the remediation costs could have a material adverse impact upon our results of operations, liquidity and financial condition.

Compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act could be costly.

Under the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, all public accommodations must meet Federal requirements for access and use by disabled persons. A determination that our properties do not comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act could result in liability for both governmental fines and damages. If we are required to make unanticipated major modifications to any of our properties to comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act, which are determined not to be the responsibility of our tenants, we could incur unanticipated expenses that could have an adverse impact upon our results of operations, liquidity and financial condition.

Our senior management and other key personnel are critical to our business and our future success depends on our ability to retain them.

We depend on the services of Matthew J. Gould, chairman of our Board of Directors, Fredric H. Gould, vice chairman of our Board of Directors, Patrick J. Callan, Jr., our president and chief executive officer, Lawrence G. Ricketts, Jr., our executive vice president and chief operating officer, Karen Dunleavy, our Vice President Financial, and other members of our senior management to carry out our business and investment strategies. Only three of our senior officers, Messrs. Callan and Ricketts, and Ms. Dunleavy, devote all of their business time to us. The remainder of our senior management provides services to us on a part-time, as-needed basis. The loss of the services of any of our senior management or other key personnel, the inability or failure of the members of senior management providing services to us on a part-time basis to devote sufficient time or attention to our activities or our inability to recruit and retain qualified personnel in the future, could impair our ability to carry out our business and investment strategies.

Our transactions with affiliated entities involve conflicts of interest.

From time to time we have entered into transactions with persons and entities affiliated with us and with certain of our officers and directors. Such transactions involve a potential conflict of interest, and entail a risk that we could have obtained more favorable terms if we had entered into such transaction with an unaffiliated third party. Our policy for transactions with affiliates is to have these transactions approved by our audit committee. We entered into a compensation and services agreement with Majestic Property effective as of January 1, 2007. Majestic Property is wholly-owned by the vice-chairman of our Board of Directors and it provides compensation to certain of our part-time senior executive officers. Pursuant to the compensation and services agreement, we pay an annual fee to Majestic Property which provides us with the services of all affiliated executive, administrative, legal, accounting and clerical personnel that we use on a part time basis, as well as property management services, property acquisition, sales and leasing and mortgage brokerage services. In 2014, pursuant to the compensation and services agreement, we paid Majestic Property a fee of \$2.7 million and an additional \$186,000 for our share of all direct office expenses, including rent, telephone, postage, computer services, and internet usage. We also obtain our property insurance in conjunction with Gould Investors L.P., our affiliate, and in 2014, reimbursed Gould Investors \$400,000 for our share of the insurance premiums paid by Gould. Gould Investors beneficially owns approximately 10.5% of our outstanding common stock and certain of our senior executive officers are also executive officers of the

Table of Contents

general partner of Gould Investors. See Note 9 to our consolidated financial statements for information regarding equity awards to individuals performing services on our behalf.

The failure of any bank in which we deposit our funds could have an adverse impact on our financial condition.

We have diversified our cash and cash equivalents between several banking institutions in an attempt to minimize exposure to any one of these entities. However, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, or "FDIC," only insures accounts in amounts up to \$250,000 per depositor per insured bank. We currently have cash and cash equivalents deposited in certain financial institutions significantly in excess of federally insured levels. If any of the banking institutions in which we have deposited funds ultimately fails, we may lose our deposits over \$250,000. The loss of our deposits may have an adverse effect on our financial condition.

Risks Related to the REIT Industry

Failure to qualify as a REIT could result in material adverse tax consequences and could significantly reduce cash available for distributions.

We operate so as to qualify as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. Qualification as a REIT involves the application of technical and complex legal provisions for which there are limited judicial and administrative interpretations. The determination of various factual matters and circumstances not entirely within our control may affect our ability to qualify as a REIT. In addition, no assurance can be given that legislation, new regulations, administrative interpretations or court decisions will not significantly change the tax laws with respect to qualification as a REIT or the federal income tax consequences of such qualification. If we fail to qualify as a REIT, we will be subject to federal, certain additional state and local income tax (including any applicable alternative minimum tax) on our taxable income at regular corporate rates and would not be allowed a deduction in computing our taxable income for amounts distributed to stockholders. In addition, unless entitled to relief under certain statutory provisions, we would be disqualified from treatment as a REIT for the four taxable years following the year during which qualification is lost. The additional tax would reduce significantly our net income and the cash available for distributions to stockholders.

We are subject to certain distribution requirements that may result in our having to borrow funds at unfavorable rates.

To obtain the favorable tax treatment associated with being a REIT, we generally are required, among other things, to distribute to our stockholders at least 90% of our ordinary taxable income (subject to certain adjustments) each year. To the extent that we satisfy these distribution requirements, but distribute less than 100% of our taxable income we will be subject to Federal corporate tax on our undistributed taxable income.

As a result of differences in timing between the receipt of income and the payment of expenses, and the inclusion of such income and the deduction of such expenses in arriving at taxable income, and the effect of nondeductible capital expenditures, the creation of reserves and the timing of required debt service (including amortization) payments, we may need to borrow funds in order to make the distributions necessary to retain the tax benefits associated with qualifying as a REIT, even if we believe that then prevailing market conditions are not generally favorable for such borrowings. Such borrowings could reduce our net income and the cash available for distributions to holders of our common stock.

Compliance with REIT requirements may hinder our ability to maximize profits.

In order to qualify as a REIT for Federal income tax purposes, we must continually satisfy tests concerning, among other things, our sources of income, the amounts we distribute to our stockholders

Table of Contents

and the ownership of our stock. We may also be required to make distributions to stockholders at disadvantageous times or when we do not have funds readily available for distribution. Accordingly, compliance with REIT requirements may hinder our ability to operate solely on the basis of maximizing profits.

In order to qualify as a REIT, we must also ensure that at the end of each calendar quarter, at least 75% of the value of our assets consists of cash, cash items, government securities and real estate assets. Any investment in securities cannot include more than 10% of the outstanding voting securities of any one issuer or more than 10% of the total value of the outstanding securities of any one issuer. In addition, no more than 5% of the value of our assets can consist of the securities of any one issuer, other than a qualified REIT security. If we fail to comply with these requirements, we must dispose of such portion of these securities in excess of these percentages within 30 days after the end of the calendar quarter in order to avoid losing our REIT status and suffering adverse tax consequences. This requirement could cause us to dispose of assets for consideration that is less than their true value and could lead to an adverse impact on our results of operations and financial condition.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments.

None.

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

Set forth below is a list of our executive officers whose terms expire at our 2015 annual board of directors' meeting. The business history of our officers, who are also directors, will be provided in our proxy statement to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A not later than April 30, 2015.

| NAME | AGE | POSITION WITH THE COMPANY |
|---------------------------|-----|--|
| Matthew J. Gould* | 55 | Chairman of the Board |
| Fredric H. Gould* | 79 | Vice Chairman of the Board |
| Patrick J. Callan, Jr. | 52 | President, Chief Executive Officer and Director |
| Lawrence G. Ricketts, Jr. | 38 | Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer |
| Jeffrey A. Gould* | 49 | Senior Vice President and Director |
| David W. Kalish*** | 67 | Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer |
| Mark H. Lundy** | 52 | Senior Vice President and Secretary |
| Israel Rosenzweig | 67 | Senior Vice President |
| Simeon Brinberg** | 81 | Senior Counsel |
| Karen Dunleavy | 56 | Vice President, Financial |
| Alysa Block | 54 | Treasurer |
| Richard M. Figueroa | 47 | Vice President and Assistant Secretary |
| Isaac Kalish*** | 39 | Vice President and Assistant Treasurer |
| Justin Clair | 32 | Vice President |

*
Matthew J. Gould and Jeffrey A. Gould are Fredric H. Gould's sons.

**
Mark H. Lundy is Simeon Brinberg's son-in-law.

Isaac Kalish is David W. Kalish's son.

Lawrence G. Ricketts, Jr. Mr. Ricketts has been our Chief Operating Officer since 2008, Vice President from 1999 through 2006 and Executive Vice President since 2006.

David W. Kalish. Mr. Kalish has served as our Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer since 1990 and as Senior Vice President, Finance of BRT Realty Trust since 1998. Since 1990, he has served as Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the managing general partner of Gould

Table of Contents

Investors L.P., a master limited partnership involved primarily in the ownership and operation of a diversified portfolio of real estate assets. Mr. Kalish is a certified public accountant.

Mark H. Lundy. Mr. Lundy has served as our Secretary since 1993, as our Vice President since 2000 and as our Senior Vice President since 2006. Mr. Lundy has been a Vice President of BRT Realty Trust from 1993 to 2006, its Senior Vice President since 2006, a Vice President of the managing general partner of Gould Investors from 1990 through 2012 and its President and Chief Operating Officer since 2013. He is an attorney admitted to practice in New York and the District of Columbia.

Israel Rosenzweig. Mr. Rosenzweig has served as our Senior Vice President since 1997, as Chairman of the Board of Trustees of BRT Realty Trust since 2013, as Vice Chairman of its Board of Trustees from 2012 through 2013, and as its Senior Vice President from 1998 through 2012. He has been a Vice President of the managing general partner of Gould Investors since 1997.

Simeon Brinberg. Mr. Brinberg served as our Senior Vice President from 1989 through 2013. He served as Secretary of BRT Realty Trust from 1983 through 2013, as Senior Vice President of BRT from 1988 through 2014 and as Vice President of the managing general partner of Gould Investors since 1988. Mr. Brinberg is an attorney admitted to practice in New York.

Karen Dunleavy. Ms. Dunleavy has been our Vice President, Financial since 1994. She served as Treasurer of the managing general partner of Gould Investors from 1986 through 2013. Ms. Dunleavy is a certified public accountant.

Alysa Block. Ms. Block has been our Treasurer since 2007, and served as Assistant Treasurer from 1997 to 2007. Ms. Block has also served as the Treasurer of BRT Realty Trust from 2008 through 2013, and served as its Assistant Treasurer from 1997 to 2008.

Richard M. Figueroa. Mr. Figueroa has served as our Vice President and Assistant Secretary since 2001, as Vice President and Assistant Secretary of BRT Realty Trust since 2002 and as Vice President of the managing general partner of Gould Investors since 1999. Mr. Figueroa is an attorney admitted to practice in New York.

Isaac Kalish. Mr. Kalish has served as our Vice President since 2013, Assistant Treasurer since 2007, as Assistant Treasurer of the managing general partner of Gould Investors from 2012 through 2013, as Treasurer from 2013, as Vice President and Treasurer of BRT Realty Trust since 2013, and as its Assistant Treasurer from 2009 through 2013. Mr. Kalish is a certified public accountant.

Justin Clair. Mr. Clair has been employed by us since 2006, served as Assistant Vice President from 2010 through 2014 and as Vice President since 2014.

Item 2. Properties.

As of December 31, 2014, we own 110 properties with an aggregate net book value of \$515.0 million and participate in joint ventures that own five properties. Our occupancy rate, based on total rentable square footage, was 98.3% and 99.6% as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The occupancy rate of our joint venture properties, based on total rentable square footage, is 100% as of December 31, 2014 and 2013.

Table of Contents**Our Properties**

The following table summarizes as of December 31, 2014 information about our properties:

| Location | Type of Property | Percentage of 2015 Contractual Rental Income | Approximate Square Footage of Building | 2015 Contractual Rental Income per Square Foot |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|--|---|---|
| Fort Mill, SC | Industrial | 5.1% | 701,595 | \$ 3.84 |
| Baltimore, MD | Industrial | 4.6 | 367,000 | 6.72 |
| Royersford, PA(1) | Retail | 3.8 | 194,600 | 10.56 |
| Round Rock, TX | Assisted Living Facility | 3.5 | 87,560 | 21.30 |
| Hauppauge, NY | Flex | 3.1 | 149,870 | 11.02 |
| Greensboro, NC | Theater | 2.9 | 61,213 | 25.60 |
| W. Hartford, CT(2) | Retail Supermarket | 2.6 | 47,174 | 29.54 |
| Secaucus, NJ | Health & Fitness | 2.5 | 44,863 | 29.93 |
| Brooklyn, NY | Office | 2.2 | 66,000 | 18.15 |
| Knoxville, TN | Retail | 2.2 | 35,330 | 32.84 |
| Philadelphia, PA | Industrial | 2.0 | 166,000 | 6.37 |
| Fort Mill, SC | Flex | 2.0 | 303,188 | 3.48 |
| Tucker, GA | Health & Fitness | 1.8 | 58,800 | 16.67 |
| Kansas City, MO | Retail | 1.5 | 88,807 | 8.77 |
| Hamilton, OH | Health & Fitness | 1.4 | 38,000 | 19.25 |
| Cedar Park, TX | Retail Furniture | 1.3 | 50,810 | 13.88 |
| Columbus, OH | Retail Furniture | 1.3 | 96,924 | 7.13 |
| Indianapolis, IN | Theater | 1.3 | 57,688 | 11.89 |
| El Paso, TX(3) | Retail | 1.3 | 110,179 | 8.54 |
| Joppa, MD | Industrial | 1.3 | 258,710 | 2.62 |
| Indianapolis, IN | Industrial | 1.3 | 125,622 | 5.35 |
| Columbus, OH | Industrial | 1.2 | 100,220 | 6.55 |
| Lake Charles, LA(4) | Retail | 1.2 | 54,229 | 11.95 |
| Ronkonkoma, NY(5) | Flex | 1.2 | 89,500 | 8.50 |
| Houston, TX(6) | Retail | 1.2 | 42,446 | 15.72 |
| Sandy Springs, GA(7) | Apartments | 1.1 | 215,124 | 2.82 |
| Ft. Myers, FL | Retail | 1.1 | 29,993 | 20.17 |
| Philadelphia, PA | Retail Supermarket | 1.1 | 57,653 | 10.41 |
| Chicago, IL | Retail Office Supply | 1.1 | 23,939 | 24.62 |
| Kennesaw, GA | Retail Office Supply | 1.0 | 32,052 | 17.35 |
| Saco, ME | Industrial | 1.0 | 91,400 | 5.82 |
| Wichita, KS | Retail Furniture | 1.0 | 88,108 | 5.99 |
| Clemmons, NC | Retail | 1.0 | 96,725 | 5.40 |
| New Hope, MN | Industrial | 1.0 | 122,461 | 4.18 |
| Melville, NY | Industrial | .9 | 51,351 | 9.48 |
| Athens, GA(8) | Retail | .9 | 41,280 | 11.63 |
| Greenwood Village, CO | Retail | .9 | 45,000 | 10.50 |
| New Hyde Park, NY | Industrial | .9 | 38,000 | 12.41 |
| Champaign, IL(9) | Retail | .9 | 50,530 | 9.30 |
| Cary, NC | Retail Office Supply | .9 | 33,490 | 13.99 |
| Tyler, TX | Retail Furniture | .9 | 72,000 | 6.36 |
| Onalaska, WI | Retail | .8 | 63,919 | 7.00 |
| Fayetteville, GA | Retail Furniture | .8 | 65,951 | 6.57 |
| Houston, TX | Retail | .8 | 25,005 | 16.70 |

Edgar Filing: ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

| Location | Type of Property | Percentage of 2015 Contractual Rental Income | Approximate Square Footage of Building | 2015 Contractual Rental Income per Square Foot |
|---------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Niles, IL | Retail | .7 | 33,089 | 12.09 |
| Highlands Ranch, CO | Retail | .7 | 43,480 | 9.12 |
| Eugene, OR | Retail Office Supply | .7 | 24,978 | 15.66 |
| Richmond, VA | Retail Furniture | .7 | 38,788 | 9.93 |
| Amarillo, TX | Retail Furniture | .7 | 72,227 | 5.31 |
| Virginia Beach, VA | Retail Furniture | .7 | 58,937 | 6.44 |
| Selden, NY | Retail | .7 | 14,550 | 26.05 |
| Deptford, NJ | Retail | .7 | 25,358 | 14.90 |
| El Paso, TX | Retail Office Supply | .7 | 25,000 | 14.54 |
| Lexington, KY | Retail Furniture | .7 | 30,173 | 11.78 |
| Woodbury, MN | Retail | .7 | 49,406 | 7.00 |
| Duluth, GA | Retail Furniture | .6 | 50,260 | 6.88 |
| Newark, DE | Retail | .6 | 23,547 | 14.47 |
| Newport News, VA | Retail Furniture | .6 | 49,865 | 6.69 |
| Durham, NC | Industrial | .6 | 46,181 | 6.89 |
| Houston, TX | Retail | .6 | 20,087 | 15.50 |
| Hyannis, MA | Retail | .6 | 9,750 | 30.07 |
| Hauppauge, NY | Retail Restaurant | .5 | 7,000 | 40.73 |
| Batavia, NY | Retail Office Supply | .5 | 23,483 | 12.10 |
| Somerville, MA | Retail | .5 | 12,054 | 23.23 |
| Greensboro, NC | Retail | .5 | 12,950 | 21.58 |
| Gurnee, IL | Retail Furniture | .5 | 22,768 | 12.21 |
| Naples, FL | Retail Furniture | .5 | 15,912 | 17.00 |
| Bluffton, SC | Retail Furniture | .5 | 35,011 | 7.47 |
| Crystal Lake, IL | Retail | .5 | 32,446 | 8.00 |
| Carrollton, GA | Retail Restaurant | .5 | 6,012 | 42.26 |
| Pinellas Park, FL | Industrial | .5 | 53,064 | 4.61 |
| Cartersville, GA | Retail Restaurant | .5 | 5,635 | 42.55 |
| Island Park, NY | Retail Restaurant | .4 | 6,125 | 37.55 |
| Richmond, VA | Retail Restaurant | .4 | 9,367 | 24.15 |
| Greensboro, NC | Retail Restaurant | .4 | 6,655 | 33.84 |
| Ann Arbor, MI | Retail Restaurant | .4 | 7,945 | 27.47 |
| Vicksburg, MS | Retail | .4 | 2,790 | 73.30 |
| Bolingbrook, IL | Retail | .4 | 33,111 | 6.10 |
| W. Hartford, CT(10) | Retail | .4 | | |
| Cape Girardeau, MO | Retail | .4 | 13,502 | 14.71 |
| Myrtle Beach, SC | Retail Restaurant | .4 | 6,734 | 29.39 |
| Miamisburg, OH | Industrial | .4 | 35,707 | 5.48 |
| Kennesaw, GA | Retail Restaurant | .4 | 4,051 | 48.04 |
| Everett, MA | Retail | .4 | 18,572 | 10.39 |
| Lawrenceville, GA | Retail Restaurant | .4 | 4,025 | 46.86 |
| Concord, NC | Retail Restaurant | .4 | 4,749 | 38.99 |
| Killeen, TX | Retail Restaurant | .3 | 7,470 | 24.61 |
| Flowood, MS | Retail | .3 | 4,505 | 40.78 |
| Monroe, LA | Retail | .3 | 2,756 | 64.35 |
| Bastrop, LA | Retail | .3 | 2,607 | 68.02 |
| D'Iberville, MS | Retail | .3 | 2,650 | 65.11 |

Edgar Filing: ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

| Location | Type of Property | Percentage of 2015 Contractual Rental Income | Approximate Square Footage of Building | 2015 Contractual Rental Income per Square Foot |
|---------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|
| Kentwood, LA | Retail | .3 | 2,578 | 66.93 |
| Monroe, LA | Retail | .3 | 2,806 | 60.35 |
| Houston, TX | Retail | .3 | 12,000 | 14.00 |
| Vicksburg, MS | Retail | .3 | 4,505 | 37.24 |
| Indianapolis, IN | Retail Restaurant | .3 | 12,820 | 12.86 |
| Marston Mills, MA | Retail | .3 | 8,775 | 18.00 |
| Monroeville, PA | Retail | .3 | 6,051 | 23.96 |
| Gettysburg, PA | Retail Restaurant | .3 | 2,944 | 45.84 |
| Hanover, PA | Retail Restaurant | .3 | 2,702 | 48.75 |
| West Palm Beach, FL | Industrial | .3 | 10,361 | 11.80 |
| Palmyra, PA | Retail Restaurant | .2 | 2,798 | 43.05 |
| Reading, PA | Retail Restaurant | .2 | 2,551 | 46.65 |
| Reading, PA | Retail Restaurant | .2 | 2,754 | 42.54 |
| Trexlertown, PA | Retail Restaurant | .2 | 3,004 | 38.21 |
| Lawrence, KS | Retail | .2 | 8,600 | 12.21 |
| Seattle, WA | Retail | .1 | 3,038 | 21.40 |
| Rosenberg, TX | Retail | .1 | 8,000 | 7.99 |
| Cherry Hill, NJ(11) | Retail | | 112,630 | |
| Morrow, GA(11) | Retail | | 50,400 | |
| | | 100.0% | 5,914,958 | |

-
- (1) This property is leased to twelve tenants. Contractual rental income per square foot excludes 2,200 vacant square feet. Approximately 27.9% of the square footage is leased to a supermarket.
 - (2) The property is a supermarket. Additional parking for such property is identified in note 10 below.
 - (3) Contractual rental income per square foot excludes 30,262 vacant square feet. Subsequent to December 31, 2014, as a result of a lease expiration, an additional 45,974 square feet at such property became vacant.
 - (4) This property has three tenants. Approximately 43.4% of the square footage is leased to a retail office supply operator.
 - (5) Contractual rental income per square foot excludes 15,000 vacant square feet.
 - (6) This property has 15 tenants. Contractual rental income per square foot excludes 2,580 vacant square feet.
 - (7) This property is ground leased to a multi-unit apartment complex owner/operator. See note 3 of our consolidated financial statements.
 - (8) This property has two tenants. Approximately 48.4% of the square footage is leased to a retail office supply operator.
 - (9)

This property has two tenants.

- (10) This property is the additional parking lot for the property identified in note 2 above.
- (11) This property was disposed of in January 2015.

Edgar Filing: ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Properties Owned by Joint Ventures

The following table summarizes as of December 31, 2014 information about the properties owned by joint ventures in which we are a venture partner. We own a 50% economic interest in each joint venture:

| Location | Type of Property | Percentage of Rent Payable in 2015 Contributed by the Applicable Joint Venture(1) | Approximate Square Footage of Building(2) | 2015 Contractual Rental Income per Square Foot |
|---------------|------------------|---|---|--|
| Lincoln, NE | Retail | 40.4% | 112,260 | \$ 10.75 |
| Milwaukee, WI | Industrial | 37.7 | 656,631 | 1.71 |
| Savannah, GA | Retail | 12.2 | 45,973 | 7.95 |
| Savannah, GA | Retail | 8.3 | 101,550 | 2.44 |
| Savannah, GA | Retail | 1.4 | 7,959 | 5.36 |
| | | 100.0% | 924,373 | |

(1) Represents the rent payable in 2015 with respect to such joint venture property expressed as a percentage of the aggregate rent payable in 2015 with respect to all of our joint venture properties.

(2) Approximate square footage indicated represents the total rentable square footage of the building owned by the joint venture.

Geographic Concentration

As of December 31, 2014, the 110 properties owned by us are located in 29 states. The following table sets forth information, presented by state, related to our properties as of December 31, 2014:

| State | Number of Properties | 2015 Contractual Rental Income | Percentage of 2015 Contractual Rental Income | Approximate Building Square Feet |
|----------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|
| Texas | 12 | \$ 6,228,419 | 11.7% | 532,784 |
| New York | 9 | 5,619,775 | 10.5 | 445,879 |
| Pennsylvania | 10 | 4,573,231 | 8.6 | 441,057 |
| Georgia | 11 | 4,279,805 | 8.0 | 533,590 |
| South Carolina | 4 | 4,210,693 | 7.9 | 1,046,528 |
| North Carolina | 7 | 3,565,587 | 6.7 | 261,963 |
| Maryland | 2 | 3,145,743 | 5.9 | 625,710 |
| Ohio | 4 | 2,274,408 | 4.3 | 270,851 |
| Illinois | 6 | 2,198,573 | 4.1 | 195,883 |
| New Jersey | 3 | 1,720,799 | 3.2 | 182,851 |
| Connecticut | 2 | 1,593,412 | 3.0 | 47,174 |
| Indiana | 3 | 1,522,716 | 2.9 | 196,130 |
| Louisiana | 5 | 1,344,596 | 2.5 | 64,976 |
| Virginia | 4 | 1,324,461 | 2.5 | 156,957 |
| Florida | 4 | 1,242,300 | 2.3 | 109,330 |
| Tennessee | 1 | 1,160,320 | 2.2 | 35,330 |
| Other | 23 | 7,339,874 | 13.7 | 767,965 |
| | | 110 \$ 53,344,712 | 100.0% | 5,914,958 |

Edgar Filing: ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth information, presented by state, related to the properties owned by our joint ventures as of December 31, 2014. We own a 50% economic interest in each joint venture:

| State | Number of Properties | Our Share of Rent Payable in 2015 to Our Joint Ventures | Approximate Building Square Feet |
|-----------|-------------------------|--|--|
| Nebraska | 1 | \$ 603,594 | 112,260 |
| Wisconsin | 1 | 562,500 | 656,631 |
| Georgia | 3 | 327,881 | 155,482 |
| | 5 | \$ 1,493,975 | 924,373 |

Mortgage Debt

At December 31, 2014, we had:

59 first mortgages on 82 of our 110 properties; and

\$292.0 million of mortgage debt outstanding with a weighted average interest rate of 5.02% and a weighted average maturity of approximately 9.1 years. Substantially all of such mortgage debt bears fixed interest at rates ranging from 3.13% to 7.81% and contains prepayment penalties.

The following table sets forth scheduled principal mortgage payments due for our properties as of December 31, 2014:

| YEAR | PRINCIPAL PAYMENTS DUE (Dollars in Thousands)(1) | |
|--------------|--|----------------|
| 2015 | \$ | 21,968 |
| 2016 | | 32,986 |
| 2017 | | 29,868 |
| 2018 | | 19,760 |
| 2019 | | 15,623 |
| Thereafter | | 171,844 |
| Total | \$ | 292,049 |

(1)

Includes mortgage debt in aggregate principal amount of \$8.9 million associated with the Morrow, Georgia and Cherry Hill, New Jersey properties which were disposed of in January 2015. Does not give effect to financings and refinancings completed after December 31, 2014. See "Item 9B. Other Information."

At December 31, 2014, our joint ventures had first mortgages on four properties with outstanding balances aggregating approximately \$17.2 million, bearing interest at rates ranging from 5.81% to 6% with a weighted average interest rate of 5.82%. Substantially all of these mortgages contain prepayment

Table of Contents

penalties. The following table sets forth the scheduled principal mortgage payments due for properties owned by our joint ventures as of December 31, 2014:

| YEAR | PRINCIPAL PAYMENTS DUE (Dollars in Thousands) | |
|-------|---|--------|
| | 2015 | \$ |
| 2016 | | 94 |
| 2017 | | 101 |
| 2018 | | 3,429 |
| Total | \$ | 17,180 |

(1) In February 2015, a joint venture refinanced \$6.2 million of such mortgage debt bearing an annual interest rate of 6.0% with \$7.5 million of mortgage debt maturing in 2022 and bearing an annual interest rate of 3.49%.

The mortgages on our properties are generally non-recourse, subject to standard carve-outs. The term "standard carve-outs" refers to recourse items to an otherwise non-recourse mortgage and are customary to mortgage financing. While carve-outs vary from lender to lender and transaction to transaction, the carve-outs may include, among other things, voluntary bankruptcy filings, environmental liabilities, the sale, financing or encumbrance of the property in violation of loan documents, damage to property as a result of intentional misconduct or gross negligence, failure to pay valid taxes and other claims which could create liens on property and the conversion of security deposits, insurance proceeds or condemnation awards.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings.

Not applicable.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures.

Not applicable.

Part II

Item 5. Market for the Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.

Our common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol "OLP." The following table sets forth for the periods indicated, the high and low prices for our common stock as reported by the New York Stock Exchange and the per share distributions declared on our common stock.

| Quarter Ended | 2014 | | | 2013 | | |
|---------------|----------|----------|--------------------------|----------|----------|--------------------------|
| | High | Low | Dividend Per Share(1) | High | Low | Dividend Per Share(1) |
| March 31 | \$ 23.23 | \$ 19.70 | \$.37 | \$ 24.36 | \$ 20.65 | \$.35 |
| June 30 | 22.74 | 21.13 | .37 | 27.74 | 21.28 | .35 |
| September 30 | 21.95 | 20.20 | .37 | 24.58 | 19.75 | .35 |
| December 31 | 24.50 | 20.11 | .39 | 22.24 | 19.60 | .37 |

(1)

Edgar Filing: ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

The dividends in the fourth quarter of 2014 and 2013 were distributed on January 7, 2015 and January 3, 2014, respectively.

Table of Contents

As of March 6, 2015, there were approximately 296 holders of record of our common stock.

We qualify as a REIT for Federal income tax purposes. In order to maintain that status, we are required to distribute to our stockholders at least 90% of our annual ordinary taxable income. The amount and timing of future distributions will be at the discretion of our board of directors and will depend upon our financial condition, earnings, business plan, cash flow and other factors. We intend to make distributions in an amount at least equal to that necessary for us to maintain our status as a real estate investment trust for Federal income tax purposes.

Stock Performance Graph

The following graph compares the performance of our common stock with the Standard and Poor's 500 index and a peer group index of publicly traded equity real estate investment trusts prepared by the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts. As indicated, the graph assumes \$100 was invested on December 31, 2009 in our common stock and assumes the reinvestment of dividends.

COMPARISON OF 5 YEAR CUMULATIVE TOTAL RETURN*
Among One Liberty Properties, Inc., the S&P 500 Index, and the FTSE NAREIT Equity REITs Index

*
\$100 Invested on 12/31/09 in stock or index, including reinvestment of dividends.

Fiscal year ending December 31.

| | December 31, | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 |
| OLP | \$ 100 | \$ 204.97 | \$ 220.27 | \$ 290.44 | \$ 307.27 | \$ 386.43 |
| S&P 500 | 100 | 115.06 | 117.49 | 136.30 | 180.44 | 205.14 |
| FTSE NAREIT Equity REITs Index | 100 | 127.96 | 138.57 | 163.60 | 167.63 | 218.16 |

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

We did not repurchase any shares of our outstanding common stock in October, November or December 2014.

Edgar Filing: ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Item 6. Selected Financial Data.

The following table sets forth the selected consolidated statement of operations data for each of the periods indicated, all of which are derived from our audited consolidated financial statements and related notes. The selected financial data for each of 2014, 2013, and 2012 should be read together with our consolidated financial statements and related notes appearing elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K and in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," below, where this data is discussed in more detail.

| | As of and for the Year Ended December 31, (Amounts in thousands, except per share data) | | | | |
|---|--|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| OPERATING DATA | | | | | |
| Total revenues | \$ 60,477(1) | \$ 50,979 | \$ 43,793 | \$ 40,874 | \$ 36,715 |
| Equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint ventures | 533 | 651 | 1,368 | 914 | 992 |
| Income from continuing operations | 22,197(2) | 17,409(2) | 11,328 | 11,088 | 6,990 |
| Income from discontinued operations | 13 | 515 | 20,980(3) | 2,632 | 2,316 |
| Net income attributable to One Liberty Properties, Inc. | 22,116 | 17,875 | 32,320 | 13,724 | 9,306 |
| Weighted average number of common shares outstanding: | | | | | |
| Basic | 15,563 | 14,948 | 14,427 | 13,801 | 11,465 |
| Diluted | 15,663 | 15,048 | 14,527 | 13,851 | 11,510 |
| Net income per common share basic | | | | | |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 1.37(2) | \$ 1.12(2) | \$.77 | \$.77 | \$.61 |
| Income from discontinued operations | | .03 | 1.41(3) | .19 | .20 |
| Net income | \$ 1.37 | \$ 1.15 | \$ 2.18 | \$.96 | \$.81 |
| | | | | | |
| Net income per common share diluted | | | | | |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 1.37(2) | \$ 1.11(2) | \$.76 | \$.77 | \$.61 |
| Income from discontinued operations | | .03 | 1.40(3) | .19 | .20 |
| Net income | \$ 1.37 | \$ 1.14 | \$ 2.16 | \$.96 | \$.81 |
| | | | | | |
| Cash distributions per share of common stock | \$ 1.50 | \$ 1.42 | \$ 1.34 | \$ 1.32 | \$ 1.23 |
| BALANCE SHEET DATA | | | | | |
| Real estate investments, net | \$ 504,850 | \$ 496,187 | \$ 405,161 | \$ 370,617 | \$ 360,779 |
| Properties held for sale and related assets | 10,176 | 5,177 | 5,364 | 22,481 | 33,829 |
| Investment in unconsolidated joint ventures | 4,907 | 4,906 | 19,485 | 7,170 | 6,769 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 20,344 | 16,631 | 14,577 | 12,668 | 7,732 |
| Total assets | 590,439 | 571,898 | 481,166 | 452,821 | 436,362 |
| Mortgages payable | 292,049 | 278,045 | 225,971 | 190,967 | 199,989 |
| Mortgages payable properties held for sale | | | | 6,970 | 7,058 |
| Due under line of credit | 13,250 | 23,250 | | 20,000 | 36,200 |
| Total liabilities | 334,535 | 321,808 | 243,107 | 233,874 | 257,179 |
| Total equity | 255,904 | 250,090 | 238,059 | 218,947 | 179,183 |
| OTHER DATA(4) | | | | | |
| Funds from operations | \$ 28,248 | \$ 25,740 | \$ 23,739 | \$ 22,823 | \$ 18,160 |
| Funds from operations per common share: | | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 1.76 | \$ 1.67 | \$ 1.60 | \$ 1.61 | \$ 1.58 |
| Diluted | \$ 1.75 | \$ 1.66 | \$ 1.59 | \$ 1.61 | \$ 1.58 |
| Adjusted funds from operations | \$ 29,703 | \$ 27,094 | \$ 24,617 | \$ 22,095 | \$ 18,589 |
| Adjusted funds from operations per common share: | | | | | |

Edgar Filing: ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|----|------|----|------|----|------|----|------|----|------|
| Basic | \$ | 1.85 | \$ | 1.76 | \$ | 1.66 | \$ | 1.56 | \$ | 1.62 |
| Diluted | \$ | 1.84 | \$ | 1.75 | \$ | 1.65 | \$ | 1.56 | \$ | 1.62 |

(1)

Includes a lease termination fee of \$1.3 million.

(2)

Includes for 2014, a \$10.2 million net gain from the sale of our Parsippany, NJ property less the related \$1.6 million prepayment cost on debt related to real estate, and for 2013, gains of approximately \$4.7 million from the sales of interests in real estate.

Table of Contents

- (3) Includes \$19.4 million from net gain on sales of real estate.
- (4) See " Funds from Operations and Adjusted Funds from Operations" for a discussion of the limitations on such data and a reconciliation of such data to our financial information presented in accordance with GAAP.

Funds from Operations and Adjusted Funds from Operations

We compute funds from operations, or FFO, in accordance with the "White Paper on Funds From Operations" issued by the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts ("NAREIT") and NAREIT's related guidance. FFO is defined in the White Paper as net income (computed in accordance with generally accepting accounting principles), excluding gains (or losses) from sales of property, plus real estate depreciation and amortization, plus impairment write-downs of depreciable real estate and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures. Adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures will be calculated to reflect funds from operations on the same basis. In computing FFO, we do not add back to net income the amortization of costs in connection with our financing activities or depreciation of non-real estate assets. Since the NAREIT White Paper only provides guidelines for computing FFO, the computation of FFO may vary from one REIT to another. We compute adjusted funds from operations, or AFFO, by deducting from FFO our straight-line rent accruals, amortization of lease intangibles, lease termination fee income and gain on extinguishment of debt and adding back amortization of restricted stock compensation, amortization of costs in connection with our financing activities (including our share of our unconsolidated joint ventures) and debt prepayment costs.

We believe that FFO and AFFO are useful and standard supplemental measures of the operating performance for equity REITs and are used frequently by securities analysts, investors and other interested parties in evaluating equity REITs, many of which present FFO and AFFO when reporting their operating results. FFO and AFFO are intended to exclude GAAP historical cost depreciation and amortization of real estate assets, which assures that the value of real estate assets diminish predictability over time. In fact, real estate values have historically risen and fallen with market conditions. As a result, we believe that FFO and AFFO provide a performance measure that when compared year over year, should reflect the impact to operations from trends in occupancy rates, rental rates, operating costs, interest costs and other matters without the inclusion of depreciation and amortization, providing a perspective that may not be necessarily apparent from net income. We also consider FFO and AFFO to be useful to us in evaluating potential property acquisitions.

FFO and AFFO do not represent net income or cash flows from operations as defined by GAAP. FFO and AFFO should not be considered to be an alternative to net income as a reliable measure of our operating performance; nor should FFO and AFFO be considered an alternative to cash flows from operating, investing or financing activities (as defined by GAAP) as measures of liquidity.

FFO and AFFO do not measure whether cash flow is sufficient to fund all of our cash needs, including principal amortization, capital improvements and distributions to stockholders. FFO and AFFO do not represent cash flows from operating, investing or financing activities as defined by GAAP.

Management recognizes that there are limitations in the use of FFO and AFFO. In evaluating our performance, management is careful to examine GAAP measures such as net income and cash flows from operating, investing and financing activities. Management also prepares and reviews the reconciliation of net income to FFO and AFFO.

Edgar Filing: ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

The table below provides a reconciliation of net income in accordance with GAAP to FFO and AFFO for each of the indicated years (amounts in thousands):

| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Net income attributable to One Liberty Properties, Inc. | \$ 22,116 | \$ 17,875 | \$ 32,320 | \$ 13,724 | \$ 9,306 |
| Add: depreciation of properties | 14,381 | 11,790 | 9,824 | 9,362 | 8,606 |
| Add: our share of depreciation in unconsolidated joint ventures | 374 | 517 | 849 | 595 | 537 |
| Add: impairment loss/charges | 1,093 | 62 | | | |
| Add: amortization of deferred leasing costs | 162 | 148 | 106 | 74 | 53 |
| Add: our share of amortization of deferred leasing cost in unconsolidated joint ventures | | 8 | 82 | | |
| Add: Federal excise tax relating to gain on sales | 302 | 45 | 290 | | |
| Deduct: gain on sales of real estate | (10,180) | | (19,732) | (932) | (235) |
| Deduct: net gains on sales of real estate of unconsolidated joint ventures | | (4,705) | | | (107) |
| Funds from operations | 28,248 | 25,740 | 23,739 | 22,823 | 18,160 |
| Deduct: straight line rent accruals and amortization of lease intangibles | (1,734) | (1,256) | (1,331) | (1,429) | (1,129) |
| Deduct: our share of straight line rent accruals and amortization of lease intangibles of unconsolidated joint ventures | (1) | 91 | 154 | 35 | (1) |
| Deduct: lease termination fee income | (1,269) | | | | |
| Deduct: gain on extinguishment of debt | | | | (1,240) | |
| Add: prepayment costs on debt related to sale of real estate | 1,581 | 171 | | | |
| Add: amortization of restricted stock compensation | 1,833 | 1,440 | 1,223 | 1,009 | 915 |
| Add: amortization of deferred financing costs | 1,028 | 883 | 797 | 850 | 610 |
| Add: our share of amortization of deferred financing costs of unconsolidated joint ventures | 17 | 25 | 35 | 47 | 34 |
| Adjusted funds from operations | \$ 29,703 | \$ 27,094 | \$ 24,617 | \$ 22,095 | \$ 18,589 |

The table below provides a reconciliation of net income per common share (on a diluted basis) in accordance with GAAP to FFO and AFFO:

| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|---|---------|---------|---------|--------|--------|
| Net income attributable to One Liberty Properties, Inc. | \$ 1.37 | \$ 1.14 | \$ 2.16 | \$.96 | \$.81 |
| Add: depreciation of properties | .89 | .77 | .66 | .66 | .75 |
| Add: our share of depreciation in unconsolidated joint ventures | .02 | .03 | .06 | .05 | .05 |
| Add: impairment loss/charges | .07 | .01 | | | |
| Add: amortization of deferred leasing costs | .01 | .01 | .01 | .01 | |
| Add: our share of amortization of deferred leasing cost in unconsolidated joint ventures | | | | | |
| Add: Federal excise tax relating to gain on sales | .02 | | .02 | | |
| Deduct: gain on sales of real estate | (.63) | | (1.32) | (.07) | (.02) |
| Deduct: net gains on sales of real estate of unconsolidated joint ventures | | (.30) | | | (.01) |
| Funds from operations | 1.75 | 1.66 | 1.59 | 1.61 | 1.58 |
| Deduct: straight line rent accruals and amortization of lease intangibles | (.10) | (.07) | (.09) | (.10) | (.10) |
| Deduct: our share of straight line rent accruals and amortization of lease intangibles of unconsolidated joint ventures | | | .01 | | |
| Deduct: lease termination fee income | (.08) | | | | |
| Deduct: gain on extinguishment of debt | | | | (.08) | |
| Add: prepayment costs on debt related to sale of real estate | .10 | .01 | | | |
| Add: amortization of restricted stock compensation | .11 | .09 | .08 | .07 | .08 |
| Add: amortization of deferred financing costs | .06 | .06 | .06 | .06 | .06 |

Edgar Filing: ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Add: our share of amortization of deferred financing costs of
unconsolidated joint ventures

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|----|------|----|------|----|------|----|------|----|------|
| Adjusted funds from operations | \$ | 1.84 | \$ | 1.75 | \$ | 1.65 | \$ | 1.56 | \$ | 1.62 |
|---------------------------------------|----|------|----|------|----|------|----|------|----|------|

Table of Contents

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

Overview

We are a self-administered and self-managed real estate investment trust. We acquire, own and manage a geographically diversified portfolio of retail, industrial, flex, health and fitness, office and other properties, a substantial portion of which are leased under long-term net leases. As of December 31, 2014, we own 110 properties (including the Cherry Hill, NJ and Morrow, GA properties disposed of in January 2015) and our joint ventures own five properties. These 115 properties are located in 30 states.

We face a variety of risks and challenges in our business. As more fully described under Item 1A. Risk Factors, we, among other things, face the possibility we will not be able to acquire accretive properties on acceptable terms, lease our properties on terms favorable to us or at all, our tenants may not be able to pay their rental and other obligations and we may not be able to renew or relet, on acceptable terms, leases that are expiring.

We seek to manage the risk of our real property portfolio by diversifying among types of properties and industries, locations, tenants and scheduled lease expirations. As a result:

our 2015 contractual rental income is derived from the following property types 56.1% from retail, 20.8% from industrial, 6.3% from flex, 5.7% from health and fitness, and 11.1% from other properties,

no tenant accounts for 10% or more of our 2015 contractual rental income,

properties in only two states (*i.e.*, Texas, 11.7% and New York, 10.5%) account for 10% or more of 2015 contractual rental income, and

through 2023, there are two years in which the percentage of our contractual rental income represented by expiring leases exceeds 10% of our 2015 contractual rental income (*i.e.*, 10.4% in 2018 and 20.6% in 2022) and approximately 32.0% of our 2015 contractual rental income is represented by leases expiring in 2024 and thereafter.

We monitor the risk of tenant non-payments through a variety of approaches tailored to the applicable situation. Generally, based on our assessment of the credit risk posed by our tenants, we monitor a tenant's financial condition through one or more of the following actions: reviewing tenant financial statements, obtaining other tenant related financial information, regular contact with tenant's representatives, tenant credit checks and regular management reviews of our tenants.

In acquiring properties, we balance an evaluation of the terms of the leases and the credit of the existing tenants with a fundamental analysis of the real estate to be acquired, which analysis takes into account, among other things, the estimated value of the property, local demographics and the ability to re-rent or dispose of the property on favorable terms upon lease expiration or early termination.

Further, we are sensitive to the risks facing the retail industry as a result of the growth of e-commerce. We are addressing our exposure to the retail industry by seeking to acquire properties that we believe capitalize on e-commerce activities, such as e-commerce distribution and warehousing facilities however, we intend to continue to acquire retail properties as we deem appropriate.

2014 Highlights and Recent Developments

In 2014:

our rental income, net, increased by approximately \$7.4 million, or 14.9%, from 2013.

our income from continuing operations increased by \$4.8 million, or 27.5%, from 2013.

Edgar Filing: ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

we purchased for an aggregate purchase price of \$56.8 million, nine properties representing approximately \$4.3 million of 2015 contractual rental income.

we sold three properties for an aggregate sales price of \$45.5 million as follows: (i) two Michigan health club facilities were sold for \$5.5 million in January 2014 contemporaneously with the expiration of the related leases, and at December 31, 2013, we incurred an impairment charge of \$62,000 in connection with such properties; and (ii) a Parsippany, New Jersey office property was sold in October 2014 for \$40.0 million resulting in a net gain (without giving effect to the \$1.6 million of prepayment costs on debt related to sale of real estate) of \$10.2 million.

we entered into an amendment to our credit facility which extended the expiration date of the facility from March 2015 to December 31, 2018, decreased the minimum required average outstanding balance to \$3 million and eliminated the interest rate floor of 4.75%. Effective as of January 1, 2015, the interest rate equals the one month LIBOR rate plus the applicable margin. The applicable margin ranges from 175 basis points, if our ratio of total debt to total value (as calculated pursuant to the facility) is equal to or less than 50%, increasing to a maximum of 300 basis points if such ratio is greater than 65%. Assuming that the 30-day LIBOR rate continues to be 0.17%, the rate on the effective date of the amendment, the interest rate on the facility in the first quarter of 2015 will be approximately 1.92%, a decrease of approximately 283 basis points from the interest rate in effect prior to this amendment.

we obtained (i) an aggregate of \$28.1 million from mortgage financings secured by properties acquired in 2014 and 2013 and (ii) \$9.7 million of net proceeds from refinancings of mortgage debt secured by properties acquired prior to 2013.

Since January 1, 2015, we:

disposed of a retail property in Morrow, GA, pursuant to a foreclosure proceeding.

sold a retail center in Cherry Hill, NJ for \$16.0 million, resulting in a gain of approximately \$5.4 million, before giving effect to a swap breakage charge of approximately \$478,000 and the write-off of \$249,000 of the remaining deferred mortgage cost. The non-controlling interest's share of income from the transaction is approximately \$1.3 million.

acquired, through a joint venture in which we have a 90% equity interest, a 101,590 square foot shopping center located in Lakewood, Colorado, for approximately \$17.5 million. In connection with the acquisition, we obtained \$11.9 million of mortgage debt maturing in 2025, amortizing over 25 years and bearing an annual interest rate of 4.12%.

Results of Operations

Comparison of Years Ended December 31, 2014 and 2013

Revenues

The following table compares total revenues for the periods indicated:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Year Ended December 31, | | Increase (Decrease) | % Change |
|------------------------|----------------------------|-----------|------------------------|----------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | | |
| Rental income, net | \$ 56,647 | \$ 49,285 | \$ 7,362 | 14.9% |
| Tenant reimbursements | 2,561 | 1,694 | 867 | 51.2 |
| Lease termination fee | 1,269 | | 1,269 | n/a |
| Total revenues | \$ 60,477 | \$ 50,979 | \$ 9,498 | 18.6 |

Table of Contents

Rental income, net. The increase is due primarily to (i) \$5.6 million earned from eleven properties acquired in 2013 and \$2.4 million from nine properties acquired in 2014, (ii) \$329,000 from the lease of vacant space at the Cherry Hill, NJ property (which was sold in January 2015) and (iii) \$126,000 from the straight-line calculation of a lease extension. Partially offsetting the increase were decreases of approximately (i) \$517,000 due to the sale in October 2014 of the Parsippany, NJ property, (ii) \$502,000 related to property vacancies, and (iii) \$237,000 related to the write-off of straight-line rent and intangibles related to the lease termination fee transaction described below and the lower rental rate obtained on the re-lease of such property. The aggregate rental income in 2014 from the Morrow, Parsippany and Cherry Hill properties was \$3.8 million. We estimate that the rental income in 2015 (calculated on a straight-line basis and excluding tenant reimbursements) from the nine properties we acquired in 2014 will be approximately \$5.1 million.

Tenant reimbursements. Tenant real estate tax and expense reimbursements increased due to a \$343,000 increase in rebills from tenants at our former Cherry Hill, NJ property and \$260,000 from five of the properties purchased since July 1, 2013.

Lease termination fee. In connection with a lease buy-out of a retail tenant in June 2014, we received a lease termination fee of \$1.3 million. We re-leased this property simultaneously with the termination of the lease.

Operating Expenses

The following table compares operating expenses for the periods indicated:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Year Ended December 31, | | Increase (Decrease) | % Change |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------|------------------------|----------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | | |
| Operating expenses: | | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | \$ 14,662 | \$ 11,919 | \$ 2,743 | 23.0% |
| General and administrative | 8,796 | 7,801 | 995 | 12.8 |
| Federal excise and state taxes | 488 | 255 | 233 | 91.4 |
| Real estate expenses | 4,407 | 3,213 | 1,194 | 37.2 |
| Leasehold rent | 308 | 308 | | |
| Real estate acquisition costs | 479 | 921 | (442) | (48.0) |
| Impairment loss | 1,093 | | 1,093 | n/a |
| Total operating expenses | 30,233 | 24,417 | 5,816 | 23.8 |
| Operating income | \$ 30,244 | \$ 26,562 | \$ 3,682 | 13.9 |

Depreciation and amortization. Approximately \$632,000 and \$2.2 million of the increase is due to depreciation expense on the properties we acquired in 2014 and 2013, respectively, and approximately \$126,000 is due to depreciation on property improvements. Partially offsetting the increase was a \$234,000 reduction in such expense due to the October 2014 sale of the Parsippany, NJ property. We incurred an aggregate of \$966,000 in depreciation in 2014 related to our Morrow, Parsippany and Cherry Hill properties. We estimate that depreciation expense in 2015 related to the nine properties acquired in 2014 will be approximately \$1.5 million.

General and administrative expenses. Contributing to the increase were increases of: (i) \$393,000, in non-cash compensation expense primarily related to the increase in the number of restricted stock awards granted in 2014 and the higher fair value of such awards at the time of grant; (ii) \$285,000 for third party audit and tax service, a significant portion of which relates to the implementation of COSO 2013; and (iii) \$216,000 in net compensation expense primarily payable to full and part time personnel.

Edgar Filing: ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Federal excise and state taxes. We incurred Federal excise tax of \$302,000 in 2014 and \$45,000 in 2013 (net of an approximate \$110,000 over-accrual for such tax in 2012) because, as a result of profitable property sales, our calendar year distributions were less than the amount required to be distributed so as not to be subject to such tax. State taxes were \$186,000 in 2014 compared to \$210,000 in 2013.

Real estate expenses. The components of the increase include: (i) \$250,000 for property management services pursuant to the compensation and services agreement due to the increase in the number and nature of properties in our portfolio; (ii) \$260,000 from five of the properties acquired since July 2013, all of which is rebilled to tenants; (iii) \$184,000 of real estate taxes at our former Cherry Hill, New Jersey property, a portion of which is rebilled to the tenants; (iv) \$184,000 for two properties vacated by their respective tenants at lease expiration in January 2014 (one of which was re-let in May 2014); and (v) \$174,000 (a significant portion of which is rebilled to tenants) in snow removal expense due to the harsh 2013/2014 winter.

Impairment loss. We recorded this charge with respect to our Morrow, Georgia property the tenant did not renew its lease which expired on October 31, 2014, efforts to re-let the property were unsuccessful and the non-recourse mortgage on the property matured November 1, 2014. The property was acquired by the mortgagee in January 2015 through a foreclosure proceeding.

Other Income and Expenses

The following table compares other income and expenses for the periods indicated:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Year Ended December 31, | | Increase (Decrease) | % Change |
|---|----------------------------|----------|------------------------|----------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | | |
| Other income and expenses: | | | | |
| Equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint ventures | \$ 533 | \$ 651 | \$ (118) | (18.1)% |
| Gain on disposition of real estate unconsolidated joint venture | | 2,807 | (2,807) | (100) |
| Gain on sale unconsolidated joint venture interest | | 1,898 | (1,898) | (100) |
| Gain on sale investment in BRT Realty Trust, related party | 134 | | 134 | n/a |
| Other income | 29 | 97 | (68) | (70.1) |
| Gain on sale of real estate, net | 10,180 | | 10,180 | n/a |
| Prepayment costs on debt related to sale of real estate | (1,581) | | (1,581) | n/a |
| Interest: | | | | |
| Expense | (16,305) | (13,716) | 2,589 | 18.9 |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs | (1,037) | (890) | 147 | 16.5 |
| Income from continuing operations | 22,197 | 17,409 | 4,788 | 27.5 |

Equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint ventures. The decrease is attributable primarily to the sale in May 2013 of a property owned by us and another entity as tenants-in-common and the sale in April 2013 of our interest in the Plano, Texas joint venture.

Gain on disposition of real estate unconsolidated joint venture. In May 2013, the property in which we held a tenant-in-common interest was sold and we recorded a gain of \$2.8 million.

Gain on sale unconsolidated joint venture interest. In April 2013, we sold our 90% equity interest in our Plano, Texas unconsolidated joint venture to our partner and recorded a gain of \$1.9 million.

Gain on sale investment in BRT Realty Trust. We realized this gain from the sale of all of our shares in BRT Realty Trust, a related party. There was no corresponding gain in the prior year.

Edgar Filing: ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Gain on sale of real estate, net. We realized this gain from the October 2014 sale of our Parsippany, New Jersey office property.

Prepayment costs on debt related to sale of real estate. In connection with the sale of the Parsippany, New Jersey property, we incurred a prepayment charge in connection with our payoff, prior to maturity, of the related mortgage. There was no corresponding charge in the prior year.

Interest expense. The following table summarizes interest expense for the periods indicated:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Year Ended December 31, | | Increase (Decrease) | % Change |
|--------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|------------------------|-------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | | |
| Interest expense: | | | | |
| Credit line interest | \$ 1,211 | \$ 501 | \$ 710 | 141.7% |
| Mortgage interest | 15,094 | 13,215 | 1,879 | 14.2 |
| Total | \$ 16,305 | \$ 13,716 | \$ 2,589 | 18.9 |

Credit line interest

The increase is due to the \$16.1 million increase from \$6.8 million in 2013 to \$22.9 million in 2014 in the weighted average balance outstanding under our line of credit. The weighted average balance increased due to borrowings to acquire several properties in 2014, partially offset by repayments on the facility with proceeds from the (i) financing of several properties in 2014 and (ii) sale in 2014 of two properties located in Michigan and the sale of the Parsippany, New Jersey property.

Mortgage interest

The following table reflects the interest rate on our mortgage debt and principal amount of outstanding mortgage debt, in each case on a weighted average basis over the course of the applicable year:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Year Ended December 31, | | Increase (Decrease) | % Change |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|------------|------------------------|----------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | | |
| Interest rate on mortgage debt | 5.29% | 5.48% | (.19)% | (3.5)% |
| Principal amount of mortgage debt | \$ 285,019 | \$ 241,531 | \$ 43,488 | 18.0 |

The increase in mortgage interest expense is due to the increase in the weighted average amount of mortgage debt outstanding, partially offset by a decrease in the weighted average interest rate on outstanding mortgage debt. The increase in the weighted average balance outstanding is due to the incurrence of mortgage debt of \$84.1 million in connection with properties acquired in 2014 and 2013 and the financing or refinancing of \$14.4 million, net of refinanced amounts, in connection with properties acquired in prior years. The decrease in the weighted average interest rate is due to the financing (including financings effectuated in connection with acquisitions) or refinancing in 2014 and 2013 of \$130.1 million of gross new mortgage debt with a weighted average interest rate of approximately 4.7%.

We estimate that in 2015, the mortgage interest expense associated with the nine properties acquired in 2014 with mortgage debt (including mortgage debt placed subsequent to the purchase), will be approximately \$660,000. Interest expense for these nine properties in 2014 was \$169,000.

Table of Contents

Amortization of deferred financing costs. The increase is due to: (i) the write-off of \$58,000 in deferred costs relating to the Parsippany, New Jersey property sold in October 2014; (ii) the write-off of an aggregate \$59,000 relating to three mortgages that were refinanced, and (iii) amortization incurred in connection with financings on several properties we acquired in 2014 and 2013. We estimate that in 2015, the amortization of deferred financing costs associated with the December 2014 amendment of our credit facility will be approximately \$165,000.

Discontinued Operations

The following table compares discontinued operations for the periods indicated:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Year Ended December 31, | | Increase (Decrease) | % Change |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|--------|------------------------|----------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | | |
| Discontinued operations: | | | | |
| Income from operations | \$ 13 | \$ 577 | \$ (564) | (97.7)% |
| Impairment charge | | (62) | 62 | n/a |
| Income from discontinued operations | \$ 13 | \$ 515 | \$ (502) | (97.5) |

Discontinued operations include the income from operations of two Michigan properties sold in February 2014, for which a \$62,000 impairment charge was recorded.

Comparison of Years Ended December 31, 2013 and 2012**Revenues**

The following table compares total revenues for the periods indicated:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Year Ended December 31, | | Increase (Decrease) | % Change |
|------------------------|----------------------------|-----------|------------------------|----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | | |
| Rental income, net | \$ 49,285 | \$ 42,846 | \$ 6,439 | 15.0% |
| Tenant reimbursements | 1,694 | 947 | 747 | 78.9 |
| Total revenues | \$ 50,979 | \$ 43,793 | \$ 7,186 | 16.4 |

Rental income, net. The increase is primarily due to rental income of \$2.9 million earned from eleven properties acquired in 2012 and \$3.3 million from eleven properties acquired in 2013.

Tenant reimbursements: The increase in real estate tax and expense reimbursements from tenants is primarily from seven properties acquired from February 2012 through December 31, 2013.

Table of Contents**Operating Expenses**

The following table compares operating expenses for the periods indicated:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Year Ended December 31, | | Increase (Decrease) | % Change |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------|------------------------|----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | | |
| Operating expenses: | | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | \$ 11,919 | \$ 9,564 | \$ 2,355 | 24.6% |
| General and administrative | 7,801 | 7,317 | 484 | 6.6 |
| Federal excise and state taxes | 255 | 457 | (202) | (44.2) |
| Real estate expenses | 3,213 | 2,618 | 595 | 22.7 |
| Leasehold rent | 308 | 308 | | |
| Real estate acquisition costs | 921 | 823 | 98 | 11.9 |
| Total operating expenses | 24,417 | 21,087 | 3,330 | 15.8 |
| Operating income | \$ 26,562 | \$ 22,706 | \$ 3,856 | 17.0 |

Depreciation and amortization. Approximately \$1.3 million and \$880,000 of the increase is due to depreciation expense on the properties we acquired in 2013 and 2012, respectively. The balance of the increase is primarily due to depreciation on property improvements.

General and administrative. Contributing to the increase were increases of (i) \$217,000 in non-cash compensation expense primarily related to the increase in the number of restricted stock awards granted and the higher fair value of such awards at the time of grant and (ii) \$145,000 in payroll and payroll related expenses due to higher compensation levels and an increase in medical insurance.

Federal excise and state taxes. State taxes were \$210,000 in 2013 compared to \$167,000 in 2012. We incurred Federal excise tax of \$45,000 in 2013 (net of an approximate \$110,000 over-accrual for such tax in 2012) and \$290,000 in 2012 because our calendar year distributions in the applicable year were less than the amount required to be distributed so as not to be subject to such tax.

Real estate expenses. Contributing to the increase was the expense related to a property acquired in July 2013 and the inclusion, for a full year, of the expense related to a property acquired in November 2012.

Table of Contents***Other Income and Expenses***

The following table compares other income and expenses for the periods indicated:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Year Ended December 31, | | Increase (Decrease) | % Change |
|---|----------------------------|----------|------------------------|----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | | |
| Other income and expenses: | | | | |
| Equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint ventures | \$ 651 | \$ 1,368 | \$ (717) | (52.4)% |
| Gain on disposition of real estate unconsolidated joint venture | 2,807 | | 2,807 | n/a |
| Gain on sale unconsolidated joint venture interest | 1,898 | | 1,898 | n/a |
| Other income | 97 | 241 | (144) | (59.8) |
| Gain on sale of real estate, net | | 319 | (319) | (100.0) |
| Interest: | | | | |
| Expense | (13,716) | (12,532) | 1,184 | 9.4 |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs | (890) | (774) | 116 | 15.0 |
| Income from continuing operations | 17,409 | 11,328 | 6,081 | 53.7 |

Equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint ventures. The decrease is attributable substantially to the following factors: (i) the sale in May 2013 of a property owned by us and another entity as tenants-in-common resulting in a decrease of \$515,000, including a \$148,000 mortgage prepayment penalty incurred as a result of the sale, and (ii) the inclusion in 2012 of our share of the net settlement entered into with a former tenant which accounted for \$230,000 of the decrease.

Other income. The 2012 results include a \$199,000 recovery from an insurance claim. There was no comparable income in 2013.

Interest expense. The following table summarizes interest expense for the periods indicated:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Year Ended December 31, | | Increase (Decrease) | % Change |
|--------------------------|----------------------------|-----------|------------------------|----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | | |
| Interest expense: | | | | |
| Credit line interest | \$ 501 | \$ 852 | \$ (351) | (41.2)% |
| Mortgage interest | 13,215 | 11,680 | 1,535 | 13.1 |
| Total | \$ 13,716 | \$ 12,532 | \$ 1,184 | 9.4 |

Credit line interest

The decrease is due to the \$7.8 million decrease from \$14.6 million in 2012 to \$6.8 million in 2013 in the weighted average balance outstanding under our line of credit. The weighted average balance decreased due to repayments on the facility with proceeds from the sales and financing of several properties in 2012 and 2013 and from the sale of our common stock.

Table of ContentsMortgage interest

The following table reflects the interest rate on our mortgage debt and principal amount of outstanding mortgage debt, in each case on a weighted average basis:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Year Ended December 31, | | Increase (Decrease) | % Change |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|------------|------------------------|----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | | |
| Interest rate on mortgage debt | 5.48% | 5.78% | (0.30)% | (5.2)% |
| Principal amount of mortgage debt | \$ 241,531 | \$ 202,190 | \$ 39,341 | 19.5 |

The increase in mortgage interest expense is due to the increase in the weighted average amount of mortgage debt outstanding, partially offset by a decrease in the weighted average interest rate on outstanding mortgage debt. The increase in the weighted average balance outstanding is due to the incurrence of mortgage debt of \$80 million in connection with properties acquired in 2012 and 2013 and the financing or refinancing of \$22.9 million, net of refinanced amounts, in connection with properties acquired in prior years. The decrease in the weighted average interest rate is due to the financing (including financings effectuated in connection with acquisitions) or refinancing in 2012 and 2013 of \$140.2 million of gross new mortgage debt with a weighted average interest rate of approximately 4.8%.

Amortization of deferred financing costs. The increase is due to \$63,000 of amortization incurred in connection with financings on eight properties we acquired in 2013 and 2012 and \$48,000 is due to additional costs relating to the amendment to our line of credit in August 2012.

Discontinued Operations

The following table compares discontinued operations for the periods indicated:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Year Ended December 31, | | Increase (Decrease) | % Change |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------|------------------------|----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | | |
| Discontinued operations: | | | | |
| Income from operations | \$ 577 | \$ 1,567 | \$ (990) | (63.2)% |
| Impairment charge | (62) | | (62) | n/a |
| Net gain on sales | | 19,413 | (19,413) | 100.0 |
| Income from discontinued operations | \$ 515 | \$ 20,980 | \$ (20,465) | (97.5) |

Income from discontinued operations for 2013 includes the results of operations for two properties sold in February 2014 for which a \$62,000 impairment charge was recorded. For 2012, income from discontinued operations includes the results of operations and the gain on sale of five of our properties sold in 2012, as well as the results of operations for the two properties sold in February 2014.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our sources of liquidity and capital include cash flow from operations, cash and cash equivalents, borrowings under our revolving credit facility, refinancing existing mortgage loans, obtaining mortgage loans secured by our unencumbered properties, issuance of our equity securities and property sales. Our available liquidity at March 6, 2015 was approximately \$80.3 million, including approximately \$14.4 million of cash and cash equivalents (net of the credit facility's required \$3 million deposit maintenance balance) and \$65.9 million available under our revolving credit facility.

Table of Contents*Liquidity and Financing*

We expect to meet substantially all of our operating cash requirements (including dividend and mortgage amortization payments) from cash flow from operations. To the extent that this cash flow is inadequate to cover all of our operating needs, we will be required to use our available cash and cash equivalents or draw on our credit line (to the extent permitted) to satisfy operating requirements.

The following table sets forth, as of December 31, 2014, information with respect to our mortgage debt that is payable from January 2014 through December 31, 2017 (excluding our unconsolidated joint ventures):

| (Dollars in thousands) | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | Total |
|---------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Amortization payments(1)(3) | \$ 7,221 | \$ 7,308 | \$ 7,947 | \$ 22,476 |
| Principal due at maturity(2)(3) | 14,747 | 25,678 | 21,921 | 62,346 |
| Total | \$ 21,968 | \$ 32,986 | \$ 29,868 | \$ 84,822 |

-
- (1) Includes an aggregate of \$510,000 of amortization payments from 2015 through 2017 with respect to the Cherry Hill, New Jersey property that was sold in January 2015.
- (2) Includes \$1.5 million of principal payable in 2015 with respect to the Morrow, Georgia property that was disposed of in January 2015.
- (3) See "Item 9B. Other Information" for information regarding financing and refinancing transactions completed after December 31, 2014.

At December 31, 2014, the Company's unconsolidated joint ventures had first mortgages on four properties with outstanding balances aggregating approximately \$17.2 million, bearing interest at rates ranging from 5.81% to 6.0% (*i.e.*, a 5.82% weighted average interest rate) and maturing between 2015 and 2018. (See "Item 9B. Other Information" for information regarding a refinancing completed in February 2015).

We intend to make debt amortization payments from operating cash flow and, though no assurance can be given that we will be successful in this regard, generally intend to refinance or extend the mortgage loans which mature in 2015 through 2017. We intend to repay the amounts not refinanced or extended from our existing funds and sources of funds, including our available cash and our credit line (to the extent available).

We continually seek to refinance existing mortgage loans on terms we deem acceptable to generate additional liquidity. Additionally, in the normal course of our business, we sell properties when we determine that it is in our best interests, which also generates additional liquidity. Further, since each of our encumbered properties is subject to a non-recourse mortgage (with standard carve-outs), if our in-house evaluation of the market value of such property is less than the principal balance outstanding on the mortgage loan, we may determine to convey, in certain circumstances, such property to the mortgagee in order to terminate our mortgage obligations, including payment of interest, principal and real estate taxes, with respect to such property.

Typically, we utilize funds from our credit facility to acquire a property and, thereafter secure long-term, fixed rate mortgage debt on such property. We apply the proceeds from the mortgage loan to repay borrowings under the credit facility, thus providing us with the ability to re-borrow under the credit facility for the acquisition of additional properties. As a result, in order to grow our business, it is important to have a credit facility in place.

Table of Contents*Credit Facility*

We can borrow up to \$75 million pursuant to our revolving credit facility which is available to us for the acquisition of commercial real estate, repayment of mortgage debt, property improvements and general working capital purposes; provided, that if used for property improvements and working capital purposes, the amount outstanding for such purposes will not exceed the lesser of \$15 million and 15% of the borrowing base and if used for working capital purposes, will not exceed \$10 million. The facility matures December 31, 2018 and bears interest equal to the one month LIBOR rate plus the applicable margin. The applicable margin ranges from 175 basis points if our ratio of total debt to total value (as calculated pursuant to the facility) is equal to or less than 50%, increasing to a maximum of 300 basis points if such ratio is greater than 65%. There is an unused facility fee of 0.25% per annum on the difference between the outstanding loan balance and \$75 million. The credit facility requires the maintenance of \$3.0 million in average deposit balances.

The terms of our revolving credit facility include certain restrictions and covenants which may limit, among other things, the incurrence of liens, and which require compliance with financial ratios relating to, among other things, the minimum amount of tangible net worth, the minimum amount of debt service coverage, the minimum amount of fixed charge coverage, the maximum amount of debt to value, the minimum level of net income, certain investment limitations and the minimum value of unencumbered properties and the number of such properties. Net proceeds received from the sale, financing or refinancing of properties are generally required to be used to repay amounts outstanding under our credit facility. At December 31, 2014, we were in compliance in all material respects with the covenants under this facility.

Contractual Obligations

The following sets forth our contractual obligations as of December 31, 2014:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Payment due by period | | | | Total |
|--|-----------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------|------------|
| | Less than 1 Year | 1 - 3 Years | 4 - 5 Years | More than 5 Years | |
| <i>Contractual Obligations</i> | | | | | |
| Mortgages payable interest and amortization(1) | \$ 21,920 | \$ 38,463 | \$ 32,085 | \$ 97,131 | \$ 189,599 |
| Mortgages payable balances due at maturity(1) | 14,747 | 47,599 | 20,492 | 106,293 | 189,131 |
| Credit facility(2) | | | 13,250 | | 13,250 |
| Purchase obligations(3) | 2,950 | 5,857 | 5,851 | 77 | 14,735 |
| Total | \$ 39,617 | \$ 91,919 | \$ 71,678 | \$ 203,501 | \$ 406,715 |

(1) Includes mortgage debt in aggregate principal amount of \$8.9 million associated with the Morrow, Georgia and Cherry Hill, New Jersey properties which were disposed of in January 2015. Does not give effect to financings and refinancings completed after December 31, 2014. See "Item 9B. Other Information."

(2) Represents the amount outstanding at December 31, 2014. We may borrow up to \$75 million under such facility.

(3) Includes \$2.5 million payable annually pursuant to the compensation and services agreement (at the rate in effect at January 1, 2015 and assuming such agreement continues for only five years), amounts payable for office space and amounts payable pursuant to a ground lease.

As of December 31, 2014, we had \$292.0 million of mortgage debt outstanding (excluding mortgage indebtedness of our unconsolidated joint ventures), all of which is non-recourse (subject to standard carve-outs). We expect that mortgage interest and amortization payments (excluding

Table of Contents

repayments of principal at maturity) of approximately \$60.4 million due through 2017 will be paid primarily from cash generated from our operations. We anticipate that debt obligations due through 2017 of approximately \$62.3 million will be paid primarily from cash and cash equivalents and mortgage financings and refinancings. If we are unsuccessful in refinancing our existing indebtedness or financing our unencumbered properties, our cash flow, funds available under our credit facility and available cash, if any, may not be sufficient to repay all debt obligations when payments become due, and we may need to issue additional equity, obtain long or short-term debt, or dispose of properties on unfavorable terms.

Cash Distribution Policy

We have elected to be taxed as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. To qualify as a REIT, we must meet a number of organizational and operational requirements, including a requirement that we distribute currently at least 90% of our ordinary taxable income to our stockholders (pursuant to Internal Revenue Procedures). It is our current intention to comply with these requirements and maintain our REIT status. As a REIT, we generally will not be subject to corporate federal, state or local income taxes on taxable income we distribute currently (in accordance with the Internal Revenue Code and applicable regulations) to our stockholders. If we fail to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, we will be subject to federal, state and local income taxes at regular corporate rates and may not be able to qualify as a REIT for four subsequent tax years. Even if we qualify for federal taxation as a REIT, we may be subject to certain state and local taxes on our income and to federal income taxes on our undistributed taxable income (i.e., taxable income not distributed in the amounts and in the time frames prescribed by the Internal Revenue Code and applicable regulations thereunder) and are subject to Federal excise taxes on our undistributed taxable income.

It is our intention to pay to our stockholders within the time periods prescribed by the Internal Revenue Code no less than 90%, and, if possible, 100% of our annual taxable income, including taxable gains from the sale of real estate and recognized gains on the sale of securities. It will continue to be our policy to make sufficient distributions to stockholders in order for us to maintain our REIT status under the Internal Revenue Code.

Our board of directors reviews the dividend policy regularly to determine if any changes to our dividend should be made.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We are not a party to any material off-balance sheet arrangements. See Note 3 to our Consolidated Financial Statements regarding an off-balance sheet arrangement on our property located in Sandy Springs, Georgia.

Critical Accounting Policies

Our significant accounting policies are more fully described in Note 2 to our Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Certain of our accounting policies are particularly important to an understanding of our financial position and results of operations and require the application of significant judgment by our management; as a result they are subject to a degree of uncertainty. These critical accounting policies include the following, discussed below.

Purchase Accounting for Acquisition of Real Estate

The fair value of real estate acquired is allocated to acquired tangible assets, consisting of land and building, and identified intangible assets and liabilities, consisting of the value of above-market and below-market leases and other value of in-place leases based in each case on their fair values. The fair value of the tangible assets of an acquired property (which includes land, building and building

Table of Contents

improvements) is determined by valuing the property as if it were vacant, and the "as-if-vacant" value is then allocated to land, building and building improvements based on our determination of relative fair values of these assets. We assess fair value of the lease intangibles based on estimated cash flow projections that utilize appropriate discount rates and available market information. The fair values associated with below-market rental renewal options are determined based on our experience and the relevant facts and circumstances that existed at the time of the acquisitions. The portion of the values of the leases associated with below-market renewal options that we deem likely to be exercised are amortized to rental income over the respective renewal periods. The allocation made by us may have a positive or negative effect on net income and may have an effect on the assets and liabilities on the balance sheet.

Revenues

Our revenues, which are substantially derived from rental income, include rental income that our tenants pay in accordance with the terms of their respective leases reported on a straight-line basis over the non-cancellable term of each lease. Since many of our leases provide for rental increases at specified intervals, straight-line basis accounting requires us to record as an asset and include in revenues, unbilled rent receivables which we will only receive if the tenant makes all rent payments required through the expiration of the term of the lease. Accordingly, our management must determine, in its judgment, that the unbilled rent receivable applicable to each specific tenant is collectible. We review unbilled rent receivables on a quarterly basis and take into consideration the tenant's payment history and the financial condition of the tenant. In the event that the collectability of an unbilled rent receivable is in doubt, we are required to take a reserve against the receivable or a direct write off of the receivable, which has an adverse effect on net income for the year in which the reserve or direct write off is taken, and will decrease total assets and stockholders' equity.

Carrying Value of Real Estate Portfolio

We review our real estate portfolio on a quarterly basis to ascertain if there are any indicators of impairment to the value of any of our real estate assets, including deferred costs and intangibles, to determine if there is any need for an impairment charge. In reviewing the portfolio, we examine the type of asset, the current financial statements or other available financial information of the tenant, the economic situation in the area in which the asset is located, the economic situation in the industry in which the tenant is involved and the timeliness of the payments made by the tenant under its lease, as well as any current correspondence that may have been had with the tenant, including property inspection reports. For each real estate asset owned for which indicators of impairment exist, we perform a recoverability test by comparing the sum of the estimated undiscounted future cash flows attributable to the asset to its carrying amount. If the undiscounted cash flows are less than the asset's carrying amount, an impairment loss is recorded to the extent that the estimated fair value is less than the asset's carrying amount. The estimated fair value is determined using a discounted cash flow model of the expected future cash flows through the useful life of the property. Real estate assets that are expected to be disposed of are valued at the lower of carrying amount or fair value less costs to sell on an individual asset basis. We generally do not obtain any independent appraisals in determining value but rely on our own analysis and valuations. Any impairment charge taken with respect to any part of our real estate portfolio will reduce our net income and reduce assets and stockholders' equity to the extent of the amount of any impairment charge, but it will not affect our cash flow or our distributions until such time as we dispose of the property.

Table of Contents**Item 7A. Qualitative and Quantitative Disclosures About Market Risk.**

Our primary market risk exposure is the effect of changes in interest rates on the interest cost of draws on our revolving variable rate credit facility and the effect of changes in the fair value of our interest rate swap agreements. Interest rates are highly sensitive to many factors, including governmental monetary and tax policies, domestic and international economic and political considerations and other factors beyond our control.

We utilize interest rate swaps to limit interest rate risk. These swaps are used for hedging purposes-not for speculation. We do not enter into interest rate swaps for trading purposes.

At December 31, 2014, we had 18 interest rate swap agreements outstanding (including one held by two of our unconsolidated joint ventures). The fair market value of the interest rate swaps is dependent upon existing market interest rates and swap spreads, which change over time. As of December 31, 2014, if there had been an increase of 100 basis points in forward interest rates, the fair market value of the interest rate swaps would have increased by approximately \$4.8 million and the net unrealized loss on derivative instruments would have decreased by approximately \$4.8 million. If there were a decrease of 100 basis points in forward interest rates, the fair market value of the interest rate swaps would have decreased by approximately \$5.0 million and the net unrealized loss on derivative instruments would have increased by approximately \$5.0 million. These changes would not have any impact on our net income or cash.

Our mortgage debt, after giving effect to the interest rate swap agreements, bears interest at fixed rates and accordingly, the effect of changes in interest rates would not impact the amount of interest expense that we incur under these mortgages.

Our variable credit rate facility is sensitive to interest rate changes. At December 31, 2014, after giving effect to the amendment to the credit facility which eliminated the interest rate floor, a 100 basis point increase of the interest rate on this facility would increase our related interest costs by approximately \$133,000 per year and a 100 basis point decrease of the interest rate would decrease our related interest costs by approximately \$22,000 per year.

The fair market value of our long-term debt is estimated based on discounting future cash flows at interest rates that our management believes reflect the risks associated with long term debt of similar risk and duration.

The following table sets forth our debt obligations by scheduled principal cash flow payments and maturity date, weighted average interest rates and estimated fair market value at December 31, 2014:

| (Dollars in thousands) | For the Year Ended December 31, | | | | | | | Fair Market Value |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|------------|-------------------|
| | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | Thereafter | Total | |
| <i>Fixed rate:</i> | | | | | | | | |
| Long-term debt(1) | \$ 21,968 | \$ 32,986 | \$ 29,868 | \$ 19,760 | \$ 15,623 | \$ 171,844 | \$ 292,049 | \$ 300,541 |
| Weighted average interest rate | 5.13% | 5.08% | 5.00% | 5.00% | 5.00% | 5.01% | 5.02% | 4.52% |
| <i>Variable rate:</i> | | | | | | | | |
| Long-term debt(2) | | | | \$ 13,250 | | | \$ 13,250 | |

- (1) Includes mortgage debt in aggregate principal amount of \$8.9 million associated with the Morrow, Georgia and Cherry Hill, New Jersey properties which were disposed of in January 2015. Does not give effect to financings and refinancings completed after December 31, 2014. See "Item 9B. Other Information."

Table of Contents

- (2) Our credit facility matures on December 31, 2018 and bears interest at the 30 day LIBOR rate plus the applicable margin. The applicable margin varies based on the ratio of total debt to total value. See "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Conditions and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Credit Facility."

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

This information appears in Item 15(a) of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, and is incorporated into this Item 8 by reference thereto.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.

Not applicable.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures.

A review and evaluation was performed by our management, including our Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") and Chief Financial Officer ("CFO"), of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Based on that review and evaluation, the CEO and CFO have concluded that our current disclosure controls and procedures, as designed and implemented, were effective. There have been no significant changes in our internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect our internal controls subsequent to the date of their evaluation. There were no significant material weaknesses identified in the course of such review and evaluation and, therefore, we took no corrective measures.

Management Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Internal control over financial reporting is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), as a process designed by, or under the supervision of, a company's principal executive and principal financial officers and effected by a company's board, management and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with GAAP, and includes those policies and procedures that:

pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of a company;

provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP, and that receipts and expenditures of a company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of a company; and

provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of a company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risks that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Our management assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014. In making this assessment, our management used criteria set forth by the

Table of Contents

Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in Internal Control Integrated Framework (2013).

Based on its assessment, our management believes that, as of December 31, 2014, our internal control over financial reporting was effective based on those criteria.

Our independent registered public accounting firm, Ernst & Young LLP, has issued an audit report on management's assessment of our internal control over financial reporting. This report appears on page F-1 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Item 9B. Other Information.

On February 25, 2015, we acquired for \$17.5 million (including the mortgage debt described below), through a consolidated joint venture in which we have a 90% equity interest, a 101,590 square foot shopping center located in Lakewood, Colorado.

Set forth below is a table summarizing information regarding financing and refinancing activities that occurred after December 31, 2014 (dollars in thousands):

| Date | Principal Amount | Interest Rate | Maturity Date | Amortization Period |
|-------------|-------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|
| 1/30/15 | \$ 3,800 | 4.375% | 2030 | 25 years |
| 2/06/15(1) | 7,475 | 3.49% | 2022 | 30 years |
| 2/10/15 | 4,450 | 4.20% | 2025 | 30 years |
| 2/25/15 | 11,853 | 4.12% | 2025 | 25 years |

(1) Reflects the refinancing of \$6.2 million of an unconsolidated joint venture's mortgage debt scheduled to mature in February 2015.

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance.

Apart from certain information concerning our executive officers which is set forth in Part I of this Annual Report, additional information required by this Item 10 shall be included in our proxy statement for our 2015 annual meeting of stockholders, to be filed with the SEC not later than April 30, 2015, and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 11. Executive Compensation.

The information concerning our executive compensation required by this Item 11 shall be included in our proxy statement for our 2015 annual meeting of stockholders, to be filed with the SEC not later than April 30, 2015, and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.

The information concerning our beneficial owners and management required by this Item 12 shall be included in our proxy statement for our 2015 annual meeting of stockholders, to be filed with the SEC not later than April 30, 2015 and is incorporated herein by reference.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

As of December 31, 2014, the only equity compensation plan under which equity compensation may be awarded is our 2012 Incentive Plan, which was approved by our stockholders in June 2012. This plan permits us to grant stock options, restricted stock, restricted stock units and performance based

Edgar Filing: ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

awards to our employees, officers, directors and consultants. The following table provides information as of December 31, 2014 about shares of our common stock that may be issued upon the exercise of options, warrants and rights under our 2012 Stock Incentive Plan:

| Plan Category | Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights (a) | Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights (b) | Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column(a))(1) (c) |
|--|--|--|--|
| Equity compensation plans approved by security holders | | | 371,050 |
| Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders | | | |
| Total | | | 371,050 |

(1) Does not give effect to 129,975 restricted stock awards granted January 15, 2015 pursuant to our 2012 Incentive Plan.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence.

The information concerning certain relationships, related transactions and director independence required by this Item 13 shall be included in our proxy statement for our 2015 annual meeting of stockholders, to be filed with the SEC not later than April 30, 2015 and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services.

The information concerning our principal accounting fees required by this Item 14 shall be included in our proxy statement for our 2015 annual meeting of stockholders, to be filed with the SEC not later than April 30, 2015, and is incorporated herein by reference.

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules.

(a) Documents filed as part of this Report:

(1) The following financial statements of the Company are included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K:

| | |
|--|------------------------|
| <u>Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> Statements: | <u>F-1 through F-2</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets</u> | <u>F-3</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Income</u> | <u>F-4</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income</u> | <u>F-5</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity</u> | <u>F-6</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u> | <u>F-7</u> |

Edgar Filing: ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

F-8 through F-40

(2)

Financial Statement Schedules:

Schedule III Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation

F-41 through F-45

46

Edgar Filing: ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

All other schedules are omitted because they are not applicable or the required information is shown in the consolidated financial statements or the notes thereto.

(b)

Exhibits:

- 3.1 Articles of Amendment and Restatement of One Liberty Properties, Inc., dated July 20, 2004 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2004).
- 3.2 Articles of Amendment to Restated Articles of Incorporation of One Liberty Properties, Inc. filed with the State of Assessments and Taxation of Maryland on June 17, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2005).
- 3.3 Articles of Amendment to Restated Articles of Incorporation of One Liberty Properties, Inc. filed with the State of Assessments and Taxation of Maryland on June 21, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2005).
- 3.4 By-Laws of One Liberty Properties, Inc., as amended (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 12, 2007).
- 3.5 Amendment, effective as of June 12, 2012, to By-Laws of One Liberty Properties, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 12, 2012).
- 3.6 Amendment, effective as of September 11, 2014, to By-Laws of One Liberty Properties, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 12, 2014).
- 4.1* One Liberty Properties, Inc. 2009 Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010).
- 4.2* One Liberty Properties, Inc. 2012 Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2012).
- 4.3 Form of Common Stock Certificate (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to our Registration Statement on Form S-2, Registration No. 333-86850, filed on April 24, 2002 and declared effective on May 24, 2002).
- 10.1 Seconded Amended and Restated Loan Agreement, dated as of March 31, 2010, by and among One Liberty Properties, Inc., Valley National Bank, Merchants Bank Division, Bank Leumi USA, Israel Discount Bank of New York and Manufacturers and Traders Trust Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 10, 2011).
- 10.2 First Amendment dated as of January 6, 2011 to the Second Amended and Restated Loan Agreement, dated as of March 31, 2010, between VNB New York Corp. as assignee of Valley National Bank, Merchants Bank Division, Bank Leumi, USA, Manufacturers and Traders Trust Company, Israel Discount Bank of New York, and One Liberty Properties, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 10, 2011).

Edgar Filing: ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

- 10.3 Second Amendment to Second Amended and Restated Loan Agreement dated as of August 5, 2011, between VNB New York Corp., Bank Leumi USA, Israel Discount Bank of New York, Manufacturers and Traders Trust Company and One Liberty Properties, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed August 15, 2011).
- 10.4 Third Amendment to Second Amended and Restated Loan Agreement dated as of July 31, 2012, between VNB New York Corp., Bank Leumi USA, Israel Discount Bank of New York, Manufacturers and Traders Trust Company and One Liberty Properties, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed August 2, 2012).
- 10.5 Fourth Amendment dated as of December 31, 2014 to Second Amended and Restated Loan Agreement dated as of July 31, 2012, between VNB New York LLC, Bank Leumi USA, Israel Discount Bank of New York, Manufacturers and Traders Trust Company and One Liberty Properties, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed January 5, 2015).
- 10.6* Compensation and Services Agreement effective as of January 1, 2007 between One Liberty Properties, Inc. and Majestic Property Management Corp. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 14, 2007).
- 10.7* First Amendment to Compensation and Services Agreement effective as of April 1, 2012 between One Liberty Properties, Inc. and Majestic Property Management Corp. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2012).
- 10.8* Form of Performance Award Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 15, 2010).
- 10.9* Form of Restricted Stock Award Agreement for the 2009 Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010).
- 10.10* Form of Restricted Stock Award Agreement for the 2012 Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013).
- 14.1 Code of Business Conduct and Ethics (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 14.1 to One Liberty Properties, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 14, 2006).
- 21.1 Subsidiaries of the Registrant
- 23.1 Consent of Ernst & Young LLP
- 31.1 Certification of President and Chief Executive Officer
- 31.2 Certification of Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
- 32.1 Certification of President and Chief Executive Officer
- 32.2 Certification of Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
- 101.INS XBRL Instance Document
- 101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
- 101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
- 101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document

Table of Contents

101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Label Linkbase Document

101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

*

Indicates a management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

The file number for all the exhibits incorporated by reference is 001- 09279 other than exhibit 4.3 whose file number is 333-86850.

Edgar Filing: ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

| Signature | Title | Date |
|---|--|----------------|
| <u>/s/ LOUIS P. KAROL</u> Louis P. Karol | Director | March 12, 2015 |
| <u>/s/ J. ROBERT LOVEJOY</u> J. Robert Lovejoy | Director | March 12, 2015 |
| <u>/s/ LEOR SIRI</u> Leor Siri | Director | March 12, 2015 |
| <u>/s/ EUGENE I. ZURIFF</u> Eugene I. Zuriff | Director | March 12, 2015 |
| <u>/s/ DAVID W. KALISH</u> David W. Kalish | Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer) | March 12, 2015 |
| <u>/s/ KAREN DUNLEAVY</u> Karen Dunleavy | Vice President, Financial (Principal Accounting Officer) | March 12, 2015 |

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders of
One Liberty Properties, Inc.

We have audited One Liberty Properties, Inc. and Subsidiaries' internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (2013 framework), (the COSO criteria). One Liberty Properties, Inc. and Subsidiaries' management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, One Liberty Properties, Inc. and Subsidiaries maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of One Liberty Properties, Inc. and Subsidiaries as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, changes in equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2014 of One Liberty Properties, Inc. and Subsidiaries and our report dated March 12, 2015 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

New York, New York
March 12, 2015

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders of
One Liberty Properties, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of One Liberty Properties, Inc. and Subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, changes in equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2014. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Item 15(a). These financial statements and schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of One Liberty Properties, Inc. and Subsidiaries at December 31, 2014 and 2013, and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2014, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

As discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company changed its method for reporting discontinued operations effective January 1, 2014.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), One Liberty Properties, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (2013 framework) and our report dated March 12, 2015 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

New York, New York
March 12, 2015

Table of Contents**ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****Consolidated Balance Sheets****(Amounts in Thousands, Except Par Value)**

| | December 31, | |
|--|---------------------|-------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 |
| ASSETS | | |
| Real estate investments, at cost | | |
| Land | \$ 165,153 | \$ 153,529 |
| Buildings and improvements | 416,272 | 413,829 |
| Total real estate investments, at cost | 581,425 | 567,358 |
| Less accumulated depreciation | 76,575 | 71,171 |
| Real estate investments, net | 504,850 | 496,187 |
| Properties held-for-sale | 10,176 | 5,177 |
| Investment in unconsolidated joint ventures | 4,907 | 4,906 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 20,344 | 16,631 |
| Restricted cash | 1,607 | |
| Unbilled rent receivable (including \$120 related to property held-for-sale in 2014) | 12,815 | 13,743 |
| Unamortized intangible lease assets, net | 27,387 | 26,035 |
| Escrow, deposits and other assets and receivables | 4,310 | 5,690 |
| Investment in BRT Realty Trust at market (related party) | | 262 |
| Unamortized deferred financing costs, net | 4,043 | 3,267 |
| Total assets | \$ 590,439 | \$ 571,898 |

LIABILITIES AND EQUITY

| | | |
|---|------------|------------|
| Liabilities: | | |
| Mortgages payable | \$ 292,049 | \$ 278,045 |
| Line of credit | 13,250 | 23,250 |
| Dividends payable | 6,322 | 5,806 |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities | 12,451 | 7,790 |
| Unamortized intangible lease liabilities, net | 10,463 | 6,917 |
| Total liabilities | 334,535 | 321,808 |

Commitments and contingencies**Equity:**

| | | |
|---|---------|---------|
| One Liberty Properties Inc. stockholders' equity: | | |
| Preferred stock, \$1 par value; 12,500 shares authorized; none issued | | |
| Common stock, \$1 par value; 25,000 shares authorized; 15,728 and 15,221 shares issued and outstanding | 15,728 | 15,221 |
| Paid-in capital | 219,867 | 210,324 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (3,195) | (490) |
| Accumulated undistributed net income | 21,876 | 23,877 |

Edgar Filing: ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

| | | |
|---|------------|------------|
| Total One Liberty Properties, Inc. stockholders' equity | 254,276 | 248,932 |
| Non-controlling interests in joint ventures | 1,628 | 1,158 |
| Total equity | 255,904 | 250,090 |
| Total liabilities and equity | \$ 590,439 | \$ 571,898 |

See accompanying notes.

F-3

Table of Contents**ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****Consolidated Statements of Income****(Amounts in Thousands, Except Per Share Data)**

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Revenues: | | | |
| Rental income, net | \$ 56,647 | \$ 49,285 | \$ 42,846 |
| Tenant reimbursements | 2,561 | 1,694 | 947 |
| Lease termination fee | 1,269 | | |
| Total revenues | 60,477 | 50,979 | 43,793 |
| Operating expenses: | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 14,662 | 11,919 | 9,564 |
| General and administrative (including \$2,385, \$2,679 and \$2,679 to related parties) | 8,796 | 7,801 | 7,317 |
| Federal excise and state taxes | 488 | 255 | 457 |
| Real estate expenses (including \$850, \$600 and \$600 to related party) | 4,407 | 3,213 | 2,618 |
| Leasehold rent | 308 | 308 | 308 |
| Real estate acquisition costs | 479 | 921 | 823 |
| Impairment loss | 1,093 | | |
| Total operating expenses | 30,233 | 24,417 | 21,087 |
| Operating income | 30,244 | 26,562 | 22,706 |
| Other income and expenses: | | | |
| Equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint ventures | 533 | 651 | 1,368 |
| Gain on disposition of real estate unconsolidated joint venture | | 2,807 | |
| Gain on sale unconsolidated joint venture interest | | 1,898 | |
| Gain on sale investment in BRT Realty Trust (related party) | 134 | | |
| Other income | 29 | 97 | 241 |
| Gain on sale of real estate, net | 10,180 | | 319 |
| Prepayment costs on debt related to sale of real estate | (1,581) | | |
| Interest: | | | |
| Expense | (16,305) | (13,716) | (12,532) |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs | (1,037) | (890) | (774) |
| Income from continuing operations | 22,197 | 17,409 | 11,328 |
| Discontinued operations: | | | |
| Income from operations | 13 | 577 | 1,567 |
| Impairment charge | | (62) | |
| Net gain on sales | | | 19,413 |
| Income from discontinued operations | 13 | 515 | 20,980 |
| Net income | 22,210 | 17,924 | 32,308 |
| Less net (income) loss attributable to non-controlling interests | (94) | (49) | 12 |
| Net income attributable to One Liberty Properties, Inc. | \$ 22,116 | \$ 17,875 | \$ 32,320 |

Edgar Filing: ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|---|--------|--------|--------|
| Weighted average number of common shares outstanding: | | | |
| Basic | 15,563 | 14,948 | 14,427 |

| | | | |
|---------|--------|--------|--------|
| Diluted | 15,663 | 15,048 | 14,527 |
|---------|--------|--------|--------|

| | | | |
|---|---------|---------|---------|
| Per common share attributable to common stockholders basic: | | | |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 1.37 | \$ 1.12 | \$.77 |
| Income from discontinued operations | | .03 | 1.41 |
| | \$ 1.37 | \$ 1.15 | \$ 2.18 |

| | | | |
|---|---------|---------|---------|
| Per common share attributable to common stockholders diluted: | | | |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 1.37 | \$ 1.11 | \$.76 |
| Income from discontinued operations | | .03 | 1.40 |
| | \$ 1.37 | \$ 1.14 | \$ 2.16 |

See accompanying notes.

Table of Contents**ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income**

(Amounts in Thousands)

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Net income | \$ 22,210 | \$ 17,924 | \$ 32,308 |
| Other comprehensive (loss) gain | | | |
| Net unrealized (loss) gain on available-for-sale securities | (121) | 47 | 11 |
| Net unrealized (loss) gain on derivative instruments | (2,643) | 961 | (547) |
| One Liberty Property's share of joint venture net unrealized gain (loss) on derivative instruments | 24 | 76 | (23) |
| Other comprehensive (loss) gain | (2,740) | 1,084 | (559) |
| Comprehensive income | 19,470 | 19,008 | 31,749 |
| Comprehensive (income) loss attributable to non-controlling interests | (94) | (49) | 12 |
| Unrealized loss on derivative instruments attributable to non-controlling interests | (35) | (4) | |
| Comprehensive income attributable to One Liberty Properties, Inc. | \$ 19,341 | \$ 18,955 | \$ 31,761 |

See accompanying notes.

Table of Contents**ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity****For the Three Years Ended December 31, 2014****(Amounts in Thousands, Except Per Share Data)**

| | Common Stock | Paid-in Capital | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) | Accumulated Undistributed Net Income | Non- Controlling Interests in Joint Ventures | Total |
|---|-----------------|--------------------|---|--|--|------------|
| Balances, December 31, 2011 | \$ 14,213 | \$ 189,486 | \$ (1,019) | \$ 15,605 | \$ 662 | \$ 218,947 |
| Distributions common stock | | | | | | |
| Cash \$1.34 per share | | | | (19,924) | | (19,924) |
| Shares issued through equity offering program net | 121 | 2,010 | | | | 2,131 |
| Restricted stock vesting | 49 | (49) | | | | |
| Shares issued through dividend reinvestment plan | 215 | 3,437 | | | | 3,652 |
| Contributions from non-controlling interests | | | | | 571 | 571 |
| Distributions to non-controlling interests | | | | | (290) | (290) |
| Compensation expense restricted stock | | 1,223 | | | | 1,223 |
| Net income (loss) | | | | 32,320 | (12) | 32,308 |
| Other comprehensive (loss) | | | (559) | | | (559) |
| Balances, December 31, 2012 | 14,598 | 196,107 | (1,578) | 28,001 | 931 | 238,059 |
| Distributions common stock | | | | | | |
| Cash \$1.42 per share | | | | (21,999) | | (21,999) |
| Shares issued through equity offering program net | 363 | 8,802 | | | | 9,165 |
| Restricted stock vesting | 50 | (50) | | | | |
| Shares issued through dividend reinvestment plan | 210 | 4,025 | | | | 4,235 |
| Contributions from non-controlling interest | | | | | 480 | 480 |
| Distributions to non-controlling interests | | | | | (298) | (298) |
| Compensation expense restricted stock | | 1,440 | | | | 1,440 |
| Net income | | | | 17,875 | 49 | 17,924 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) | | | 1,088 | | (4) | 1,084 |
| Balances, December 31, 2013 | 15,221 | 210,324 | (490) | 23,877 | 1,158 | 250,090 |
| Distributions common stock | | | | | | |
| Cash \$1.50 per share | | | | (24,117) | | (24,117) |
| Shares issued through equity offering program net | 179 | 3,589 | | | | 3,768 |
| Restricted stock vesting | 101 | (101) | | | | |
| Shares issued through dividend reinvestment plan | 227 | 4,222 | | | | 4,449 |
| Contributions from non-controlling interests | | | | | 639 | 639 |
| Distributions to non-controlling interests | | | | | (228) | (228) |
| Compensation expense restricted stock | | 1,833 | | | | 1,833 |

Edgar Filing: ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------|------------|------------|-----------|----------|------------|
| Net income | | | | 22,116 | 94 | 22,210 |
| Other comprehensive (loss) | | | (2,705) | | (35) | (2,740) |
| Balances, December 31, 2014 | \$ 15,728 | \$ 219,867 | \$ (3,195) | \$ 21,876 | \$ 1,628 | \$ 255,904 |

See accompanying notes.

F-6

Table of Contents**ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows**

(Amounts in Thousands)

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | | |
| Net income | \$ 22,210 | \$ 17,924 | \$ 32,308 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | | |
| Gain on disposition of real estate held by unconsolidated joint venture | | (2,807) | |
| Gain on sale unconsolidated joint venture interest | | (1,898) | |
| Gain on sale of available-for-sale securities (to related party in 2014) | (134) | (6) | (9) |
| Gain on sale of real estate | (10,180) | | (19,732) |
| Impairment loss/charge | 1,093 | 62 | |
| Prepayment costs on debt related to sale of real estate | 1,581 | | |
| Increase in rental income from straight-lining of rent | (1,490) | (1,114) | (1,354) |
| Increase in rental income resulting from bad debt recovery, net | | | (117) |
| (Increase) decrease in rental income from amortization of intangibles relating to leases | (267) | (160) | 2 |
| Amortization of restricted stock expense | 1,833 | 1,440 | 1,223 |
| Equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint ventures | (533) | (651) | (1,368) |
| Distributions of earnings from unconsolidated joint ventures | 502 | 1,103 | 1,016 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 14,662 | 12,043 | 9,966 |
| Amortization and write off of financing costs | 1,037 | 891 | 800 |
| Payment of leasing commissions | (165) | (200) | (438) |
| Decrease (increase) in escrow, deposits, other assets and receivables | 1,149 | (1,653) | (492) |
| Increase in accrued expenses and other liabilities | 505 | 1,763 | 71 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 31,803 | 26,737 | 21,876 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | | |
| Purchase of real estate | (57,096) | (107,579) | (44,088) |
| Improvements to real estate | (769) | (2,867) | (4,969) |
| Net proceeds from sale of real estate | 43,788 | | 36,062 |
| Net proceeds from disposition of unconsolidated joint venture interest | | 13,444 | |
| Distributions of return of capital from unconsolidated joint ventures | 53 | 5,495 | 145 |
| Net proceeds from sale of available-for-sale securities (to related party in 2014) | 266 | 19 | 373 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (13,758) | (91,488) | (12,477) |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | | |
| Scheduled amortization payments of mortgages payable | (7,597) | (6,808) | (5,533) |
| Repayment of mortgages payable | (38,873) | (4,708) | (32,422) |
| Prepayment costs on debt related to sale of real estate | (1,581) | | |
| Proceeds from mortgage financings | 60,474 | 63,590 | 65,989 |
| Proceeds from common stock offering, net | 3,768 | 9,165 | 2,131 |
| Proceeds from bank line of credit | 42,500 | 32,500 | 14,550 |
| Repayment on bank line of credit | (52,500) | (9,250) | (34,550) |
| Issuance of shares through dividend reinvestment plan | 4,449 | 4,235 | 3,652 |
| Payment of financing costs | (1,782) | (656) | (2,111) |
| Capital contributions from non-controlling interests | 639 | 480 | 571 |
| Distributions to non-controlling interests | (228) | (298) | (290) |
| Cash distributions to common stockholders | (23,601) | (21,445) | (19,477) |
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities | (14,332) | 66,805 | (7,490) |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | 3,713 | 2,054 | 1,909 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year | 16,631 | 14,577 | 12,668 |

Edgar Filing: ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Cash and cash equivalents at end of year \$ 20,344 \$ 16,631 \$ 14,577

Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:

| | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Cash paid during the year for interest expense, net of capitalized interest of \$9 and \$35 in 2013 and 2012, respectively | \$ 16,403 | \$ 13,744 | \$ 13,088 |
| Cash paid during the year for income taxes | 90 | 78 | 68 |
| Cash paid during the year for Federal excise tax | 64 | 290 | |

Supplemental schedule of non-cash investing and financing activities:

| | | | |
|---|---------|---------|-----------|
| Contribution of property to unconsolidated joint venture | \$ | \$ | \$ 11,734 |
| Purchase accounting allocations intangible lease assets | 4,771 | 11,624 | 6,641 |
| Purchase accounting allocations intangible lease liabilities | (4,376) | (2,210) | (588) |
| Restricted cash received for tenant improvements and other reserve, net | 1,607 | | |

See accompanying notes.

F-7

Table of Contents

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2014

NOTE 1 ORGANIZATION AND BACKGROUND

One Liberty Properties, Inc. ("OLP") was incorporated in 1982 in Maryland. OLP is a self-administered and self-managed real estate investment trust ("REIT"). OLP acquires, owns and manages a geographically diversified portfolio of retail, industrial, flex, health and fitness and other properties, a substantial portion of which are subject to long-term net leases. As of December 31, 2014, OLP owned 115 properties, including seven properties owned by consolidated joint ventures and five properties owned by unconsolidated joint ventures. The 115 properties are located in 30 states.

NOTE 2 SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts and operations of OLP, its wholly-owned subsidiaries and its investment in seven joint ventures in which the Company, as defined, has a controlling interest. OLP and its subsidiaries are hereinafter referred to as the "Company". Material intercompany items and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Investment in Joint Ventures

The Company assesses the accounting treatment for each joint venture investment. This assessment includes a review of each joint venture or limited liability company agreement to determine the rights of each party and whether those rights are protective or participating. The agreements typically contain certain protective rights such as the requirement of partner approval to sell, finance or refinance the property and to pay capital expenditures and operating expenditures outside of the approved budget or operating plan. In situations where the Company and its partner, among other things, (i) approve the annual budget, (ii) approve certain expenditures, (iii) prepare or review and approve the joint venture's tax return before filing, and (iv) approve each lease at each property, the Company does not consolidate the joint venture as the Company considers these to be substantive participation rights that result in shared power over the activities that most significantly impact the performance of the joint venture.

The Financial Accounting Standards Board, or FASB, guidance for determining whether an entity is a variable interest entity, or VIE, states that a VIE is an entity with one or more of the following characteristics: (1) the entity's total equity investment at risk is insufficient to permit the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support, (2) as a group the holders of the equity investment at risk lack (a) the direct or indirect ability through voting, or similar rights to make decisions about the entity's activities that have a significant effect on the success of the entity, (b) the obligation to absorb the expected losses of the entity, or (c) the right to receive the expected residual returns of the entity, or (3) the equity investors have voting rights that are not proportional to their economic interests, and substantially all of the entity's activities either involve or are conducted on behalf of an investor that has disproportionately fewer voting rights.

A VIE is required to be consolidated by its primary beneficiary. The primary beneficiary of a VIE has the (i) power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the VIE's economic performance and (ii) obligation to absorb losses of the VIE or the right to receive benefits from the VIE that could be significant to the VIE.

Table of Contents

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2014

NOTE 2 SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Additionally, the Company assesses the accounting treatment for any interests pursuant to which the Company may have a variable interest as a lessor. Leases may contain certain protective rights such as the right of sale and the receipt of certain escrow deposits. In situations where the Company does not have the power over tenant activities that most significantly impact the performance of the property, the Company would not consolidate tenant operations.

In June 2014, the Company purchased land for \$6,510,000 in Sandy Springs, Georgia improved with a 196 unit apartment complex, and simultaneously entered into a long-term triple net ground lease with the owner/operator of this complex (see Note 3). The Company determined that it has a variable interest through its ground lease and the owner/operator is a VIE because its equity investment at risk is not sufficient to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support. The Company's fee interest in the land is collateral for the owner/operator's loan on the buildings located at this property. The Company further determined that it is not the primary beneficiary because the Company does not have the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the owner/operator's economic performance such as management, operational budgets and other rights, including leasing of the units and therefore, will not consolidate the VIE for financial statement purposes. Accordingly, the Company accounts for its investment as land and the revenue from the ground lease (\$531,000 for the year ended December 31, 2014) as Rental income, net. At December 31, 2014, the Company's maximum exposure to loss as a result of the ground lease is an aggregate of \$6,712,000, representing the \$6,516,000 carrying value of the land, included in Real estate investments, net, on the consolidated balance sheets and the unbilled rent receivable of \$196,000.

In June 2014, the Company entered into a joint venture, in which the Company has a 95% equity interest, and acquired a property located in Joppa, Maryland (see Note 3). The Company also made a senior preferred equity investment in the joint venture. The Company has determined that this joint venture is a VIE as the Company's voting rights are not proportional to its economic interests and substantially all of the joint venture's activities are conducted by the Company. The Company further determined that it is the primary beneficiary of the VIE as it has the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the joint venture's performance including management, approval of expenditures, and sale of the property, as well as the obligation to absorb the losses or rights to receive benefits from the VIE. Accordingly, the Company consolidates the operations of this joint venture for financial statement purposes. The carrying amounts and classification in the Company's consolidated balance sheets were assets (none of which are restricted) consisting of land of \$3,805,000, building and improvements (net of depreciation) of \$8,069,000, cash of \$527,000, prepaid expenses and receivables of \$42,000, accrued expenses and other liabilities of \$152,000 and non-controlling interest in joint ventures of \$312,000. The joint venture's creditors do not have recourse to the assets of the Company other than those held by the joint venture.

With respect to six consolidated joint ventures in which the Company has between an 85% to 95% interest, the Company has determined that (i) such ventures are not VIE's and (ii) the Company exercises substantial operating control and accordingly, such ventures are consolidated for financial statement purposes. MCB Real Estate, LLC and its affiliates are the Company's joint venture partner in six of the seven of the Company's consolidated joint ventures (including the Joppa, Maryland joint venture described in the previous paragraph).

Table of Contents

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2014

NOTE 2 SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

The Company accounts for its investments in five unconsolidated joint ventures under the equity method of accounting. All investments in these joint ventures have sufficient equity at risk to permit the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support and, as a group, the holders of the equity at risk have power through voting rights to direct the activities of these ventures. As a result, none of these joint ventures are VIE's. In addition, although the Company is the managing member, it does not exercise substantial operating control over these entities, and therefore the entities are not consolidated. These investments are recorded initially at cost, as investments in unconsolidated joint ventures, and subsequently adjusted for their share of equity in earnings, cash contributions and distributions. None of the joint venture debt is recourse to the Company, subject to standard carve-outs.

The Company has elected to follow the cumulative earnings approach when assessing, for the statement of cash flows, whether the distribution from the investee is a return of the investor's investment as compared to a return on its investment. The source of the cash generated by the investee to fund the distribution is not a factor in the analysis (that is, it does not matter whether the cash was generated through investee refinancing, sale of assets or operating results). Consequently, the investor only considers the relationship between the cash received from the investee to its equity in the undistributed earnings of the investee, on a cumulative basis, in assessing whether the distribution from the investee is a return on or return of its investment. Cash received from the unconsolidated entity is presumed to be a return on the investment to the extent that, on a cumulative basis, distributions received by the investor are less than its share of the equity in the undistributed earnings of the entity.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of the consolidated financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Management believes that the estimates and assumptions that are most important to the portrayal of the Company's financial condition and results of operations, in that they require management's most difficult, subjective or complex judgments, form the basis of the accounting policies deemed to be most significant to the Company. These significant accounting policies relate to revenues and the value of the Company's real estate portfolio. Management believes its estimates and assumptions related to these significant accounting policies are appropriate under the circumstances; however, should future events or occurrences result in unanticipated consequences, there could be a material impact on the Company's future financial condition or results of operations.

Revenue Recognition

Rental income includes the base rent that each tenant is required to pay in accordance with the terms of their respective leases reported on a straight-line basis over the non-cancelable term of the lease. In determining, in its judgment, that the unbilled rent receivable applicable to each specific property is collectible, management reviews unbilled rent receivables on a quarterly basis and takes into consideration the tenant's payment history and financial condition. Some of the leases provide for increases based on the consumer price index and for additional contingent rental revenue in the form

Table of Contents

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2014

NOTE 2 SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

of percentage rents. The percentage rents are based upon the level of sales achieved by the lessee and are recognized once the required sales levels are reached.

Substantially all of the Company's properties are subject to long-term net leases under which the tenant is typically responsible to pay for real estate taxes, insurance and ordinary maintenance and repairs for the property directly to the vendor and the Company is not the primary obligor with respect to such items. As a result, the revenue and expenses relating to these properties are recorded on a net basis. For certain properties, the tenants, in addition to base rent, also pay the Company their share of real estate taxes and operating expenses. The income and expenses associated with these properties are recorded on a gross basis. For the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, the Company recorded reimbursements of expenses of \$2,561,000, \$1,694,000 and \$947,000, respectively, which are reported as Tenant reimbursements in the accompanying consolidated statements of income.

Gains or losses on disposition of properties are recorded when the criteria under GAAP has been met.

Fair Value Measurements

The Company measures the fair value of financial instruments based on the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. As a basis for considering market participant assumptions in fair value measurements, a fair value hierarchy distinguishes between market participant assumptions based on market data obtained from sources independent of the reporting entity and the reporting entity's own assumptions about market participant assumptions. In accordance with the fair value hierarchy, Level 1 assets/liabilities are valued based on quoted prices for identical instruments in active markets, Level 2 assets/liabilities are valued based on quoted prices in active markets for similar instruments, on quoted prices in less active or inactive markets, or on other "observable" market inputs and Level 3 assets/liabilities are valued based on significant "unobservable" market inputs.

Purchase Accounting for Acquisition of Real Estate

The Company records acquired real estate investments as business combinations when the real estate is occupied, at least in part, at acquisition. Costs directly related to the acquisition of such investments are expensed as incurred. Acquired real estate investments that do not meet the definition of a business combination are recorded at cost. Transaction costs incurred with asset acquisitions that do not meet the definition of a business combination are capitalized. The Company allocates the purchase price of real estate among land, building, improvements and intangibles, such as the value of above, below and at-market leases and origination costs associated with in-place leases at the acquisition date. The Company assesses the fair value of the lease intangibles and the assumed mortgage based on estimated cash flow projections that utilize appropriate discount rates and available market information. Such inputs are Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy. The fair value of the tangible assets of an acquired property is determined by valuing the property as if it were vacant. The value, as determined, is allocated to land, building and improvements based on management's determination of the relative fair values of these assets.

Table of Contents

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2014

NOTE 2 SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

In valuing an acquired property's intangibles, factors considered by management include an estimate of carrying costs during the expected lease-up periods, such as real estate taxes, insurance, other operating expenses, and estimates of lost rental revenue during the expected lease-up periods based on its evaluation of current market demand. Management also estimates costs to execute similar leases, including leasing commissions, tenant improvements, legal and other related costs.

The values of acquired above-market and below-market leases are recorded based on the present values (using discount rates which reflect the risks associated with the leases acquired) of the difference between the contractual amounts to be received and management's estimate of market lease rates, measured over the terms of the respective leases that management deemed appropriate at the time of the acquisitions. Such valuations include a consideration of the non-cancellable terms of the respective leases as well as any applicable renewal period(s). The fair values associated with below-market rental renewal options are determined based on the Company's experience and the relevant facts and circumstances at the time of the acquisitions. The values of above-market leases are amortized to rental income over the terms of the respective non-cancellable lease periods. The portion of the values of below-market leases associated with the original non-cancellable lease terms are amortized to rental income over the terms of the respective non-cancellable lease periods. The portion of the values of the leases associated with below-market renewal options that management deemed are likely to be exercised by the tenant are amortized to rental income over the respective renewal periods. The value of other intangible assets (including leasing commissions and tenant improvements) is amortized to expense over the remaining terms of the respective leases. If a lease were to be terminated prior to its contractual expiration date or not renewed, all unamortized amounts relating to that lease would be recognized in operations at that time. The estimated useful lives of intangible assets or liabilities generally range from one to 54 years.

Accounting for Long-Lived Assets and Impairment of Real Estate Owned

The Company reviews its real estate portfolio on a quarterly basis to ascertain if there are any indicators of impairment to the value of any of its real estate assets, including deferred costs and intangibles, to determine if there is any need for an impairment charge. In reviewing the portfolio, the Company examines one or more of the following: the type of asset, the current financial statements or other available financial information of the tenant, the economic situation in the area in which the asset is located, the economic situation in the industry in which the tenant is involved, the timeliness of the payments made by the tenant under its lease, and any current communication with the tenant, including property inspection reports. For each real estate asset owned for which indicators of impairment exist, management performs a recoverability test by comparing the sum of the estimated undiscounted future cash flows attributable to the asset to its carrying amount. If the undiscounted cash flows are less than the asset's carrying amount, an impairment loss is recorded to the extent that the estimated fair value is less than the asset's carrying amount. The estimated fair value is determined using a discounted cash flow model of the expected future cash flows through the useful life of the property. The analysis includes an estimate of the future cash flows that are expected to result from the real estate investment's use and eventual disposition. These cash flows consider factors such as expected future operating income, trends and prospects, the effects of leasing demand, competition and other factors. Real estate assets that are classified as held-for-sale are valued at the lower of carrying amount or fair value less costs to sell on an individual asset basis.

Table of Contents

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2014

NOTE 2 SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Real estate investments include costs of development and redevelopment activities, and construction in progress. Capitalized costs, including interest and other carrying costs during the construction and/or renovation periods, are included in the cost of the related asset when the property is ready for its intended use and charged to operations through depreciation over the asset's estimated useful life.

Properties Held-for-Sale

In April 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-08, Reporting Discontinued Operations and Disclosures of Disposals of Components of an Entity, which changes the criteria for determining which future disposals can be presented as discontinued operations and modifies related disclosure requirements. Under the new guidance, a discontinued operation is defined as a disposal of a component or group of components that is disposed of or is classified as held-for-sale and represents a strategic shift that has (or will have) a major effect on an entity's operations and financial results. Additionally, the guidance requires additional disclosures for discontinued operations and new disclosures for individually material disposal transactions that do not meet the definition of a discontinued operation. The Company early adopted the guidance effective January 1, 2014 for disposals (or classifications as held-for-sale) that have not been reported in financial statements previously issued. It did not apply to the two properties sold in February 2014 because these properties were previously classified as properties held-for-sale as of December 31, 2013 and will continue to be accounted for as discontinued operations for the periods presented. It is expected that most of the Company's future dispositions will not meet the criteria for being treated as a discontinued operation.

Real estate investments are classified as held-for-sale when management has determined that it has met the criteria described above. Real estate investments which are held-for-sale are not depreciated.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

All highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less when purchased are considered to be cash equivalents. The Company places its cash and cash equivalents in high quality financial institutions.

Escrow, Deposits and Other Assets and Receivables

Escrow, deposits and other assets and receivables include \$1,376,000 and \$1,453,000 at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively, relating to real estate taxes, insurance and other escrows.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

The Company maintains an allowance for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from the inability of its tenants to make required rent payments. If the financial condition of a specific tenant were to deteriorate resulting in an impairment of its ability to make payments, additional allowances may be required. At December 31, 2014 and 2013, there was no balance in allowance for doubtful accounts.

The Company records bad debt expense as a reduction of rental income. For the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, the Company did not incur any bad debt expense. For the year ended

Table of Contents

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2014

NOTE 2 SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

December 31, 2012, the Company recorded bad debt expense of \$56,000 in income from continuing operations and net recoveries of previously recognized bad debt expense of \$173,000 in discontinued operations as a result of collections from one tenant.

Depreciation and Amortization

Depreciation of buildings is computed on the straight-line method over an estimated useful life of 40 years. Depreciation of improvements is computed on the straight-line method over the lesser of the remaining lease term or the estimated useful life of the improvements. Leasehold interest and the related ground lease payments are amortized over the initial lease term of the leasehold position. Depreciation expense, including amortization of a leasehold position, lease origination costs, and capitalized lease commissions and excluding depreciation expense included in discontinued operations (2013 and 2012), amounted to \$14,662,000, \$11,919,000 and \$9,564,000 for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Deferred Financing Costs

Mortgage and credit line costs are deferred and amortized on a straight-line basis over the terms of the respective debt obligations, which approximates the effective interest method. At December 31, 2014 and 2013, accumulated amortization of such costs was \$4,379,000 and \$3,908,000, respectively.

Investment in Available-For-Sale Securities

The Company determines the classification of equity securities at the time of purchase and reassesses the classification at each reporting date. At December 31, 2014, all equity securities have been classified as available-for-sale and recorded at fair value. The fair value of the Company's equity investment in publicly-traded companies is determined based upon the closing trading price of the securities as of the balance sheet date and unrealized gains and losses on these securities are recorded as a separate component of stockholders' equity. Unrealized losses that are determined to be other-than-temporary are recognized in earnings.

In May 2014, the Company sold to Gould Investors L.P., a related party, 37,081 shares of BRT Realty Trust, a related party, for proceeds of \$266,000 (based on the average of the closing prices for the 30 days preceding the sale). The cost of these shares was \$132,000 and the Company realized a gain on sale of \$134,000, of which \$132,000 was reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive loss on the consolidated balance sheet into earnings. The Company's investment had a fair market value of \$262,000 at December 31, 2013.

At December 31, 2014 and 2013, the total cumulative net unrealized gains of \$24,000 and \$145,000, respectively, on all investments in equity securities is reported as accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) in the stockholders' equity section.

Table of Contents**ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****December 31, 2014****NOTE 2 SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)**

Realized gains and losses are determined using the average cost method. During 2014, 2013 and 2012, sales proceeds and gross realized gains and losses on securities classified as available-for-sale were (amounts in thousands):

| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
|----------------------|--------|-------|--------|
| Sales proceeds | \$ 266 | \$ 19 | \$ 373 |
| Gross realized gains | 134(a) | 6(b) | 9(b) |

(a) Reported as Gain on sale investment in BRT Realty Trust on the consolidated statement of income.

(b) Resulting from the sale of other available-for-sale securities and is included in Other income on the consolidated statement of income.

Income Taxes

The Company is qualified as a real estate investment trust under the applicable provisions of the Internal Revenue Code. Under these provisions, the Company will not be subject to Federal income taxes on amounts distributed to stockholders provided it distributes at least 90% of its taxable income and meets certain other conditions. During the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, the Company recorded accruals of Federal excise tax of \$283,000 and \$45,000, respectively, which are based on taxable income generated but not yet distributed.

For 2014 and 2013, 26% and 27%, respectively, of the distributions were treated as capital gain distributions, with the balance treated as ordinary income.

The Company follows a two-step approach for evaluating uncertain tax positions. Recognition (step one) occurs when an enterprise concludes that a tax position, based solely on its technical merits, is more-likely-than-not to be sustained upon examination. Measurement (step two) determines the amount of benefit that more-likely-than-not will be realized upon settlement. Derecognition of a tax position that was previously recognized would occur when a company subsequently determines that a tax position no longer meets the more-likely-than-not threshold of being sustained. The use of a valuation allowance as a substitute for derecognition of tax positions is prohibited. The Company has not identified any uncertain tax positions requiring accrual.

Concentration of Credit Risk

The Company maintains accounts at various financial institutions. While the Company attempts to limit any financial exposure, substantially all of its deposit balances exceed federally insured limits. The Company has not experienced any losses on such accounts.

Table of Contents**ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****December 31, 2014****NOTE 2 SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)**

Including the properties owned by our unconsolidated joint ventures, the Company's properties are located in 30 states. The following chart lists the states where the Company's properties contributed over 10% to the Company's total revenues, excluding the lease termination fee in 2014 (amounts in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--------------|-------------------------|-------|-------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Texas | 13.3% | 13.0% | 10.8% |
| New York | 9.5 | 11.0 | 12.8 |
| New Jersey | 9.5 | 10.7 | 8.3 |
| Pennsylvania | 7.8 | 8.8 | 10.1 |

The Company owns eleven real estate investments that are located in six states and are net leased to Haverty Furniture Companies, Inc., a retail furniture company, pursuant to a master lease. The initial term of the net lease expires August 2022, with several renewal options. These real estate investments, which represented 9.1% and 9.5% of the depreciated book value of real estate investments at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively, generated rental revenues of approximately \$4,844,000 in each year or 8.2%, 9.5%, and 11.1% for 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively, of the Company's total revenues (excluding the lease termination fee in 2014).

Segment Reporting

Substantially all of the Company's real estate assets, at acquisition, are comprised of real estate owned that is net leased to tenants on a long-term basis. Therefore, the Company operates predominantly in one reportable segment.

Stock Based Compensation

The fair value of restricted stock grants and restricted stock units, determined as of the date of grant, is amortized into general and administrative expense over the respective vesting period. The deferred compensation to be recognized as expense is net of certain forfeiture and performance assumptions which are re-evaluated quarterly.

Derivatives and Hedging Activities

The Company's objective in using interest rate swaps is to add stability to interest expense and to manage its exposure to interest rate movements. The Company does not use derivatives for trading or speculative purposes.

The Company records all derivatives on the consolidated balance sheet at fair value. The valuation of these instruments is determined using widely accepted valuation techniques including discounted cash flow analysis on the expected cash flows of the derivative. In addition, the Company incorporates credit valuation adjustments to appropriately reflect both its own nonperformance risk and the respective counterparty's nonperformance risk in the fair value measurements. These counterparties are generally the larger financial institutions engaged in providing a variety of financial services. These

Table of Contents

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2014

NOTE 2 SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

institutions generally face similar risks regarding adverse changes in market and economic conditions, including, but not limited to, fluctuations in interest rates, exchange rates, equity and commodity prices and credit spreads.

The accounting for changes in the fair value of derivatives depends on the intended use of the derivative, whether the Company has elected to designate a derivative in a hedging relationship and apply hedge accounting and whether the hedging relationship has satisfied the criteria necessary to apply hedge accounting. Derivatives designated and qualifying as a hedge of the exposure to variability in expected future cash flows are considered cash flow hedges. For derivatives designated as cash flow hedges, the effective portion of changes in the fair value of the derivative is initially reported in accumulated other comprehensive income (outside of earnings) and subsequently reclassified to earnings in the period in which the hedged transaction affects earnings. The ineffective portion, if any, of changes in the fair value of the derivative is recognized directly in earnings. For derivatives not designated as cash flow hedges, changes in the fair value of the derivative are recognized directly in earnings in the period in which the change occurs; however, the Company's policy is to not enter into such transactions.

Earnings Per Common Share

Basic earnings per share was determined by dividing net income allocable to common stockholders for each year by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during each year. Net income is also allocated to the unvested restricted stock outstanding during each year, as the restricted stock is entitled to receive dividends and is therefore considered a participating security. Unvested restricted stock is not allocated net losses and/or any excess of dividends declared over net income; such amounts are allocated entirely to the common stockholders, other than the holders of unvested restricted stock. The restricted stock units awarded under the Pay-for-Performance program described in Note 10 are excluded from the basic earnings per share calculation, as these units are not participating securities.

Diluted earnings per share reflects the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other rights exercisable for, or convertible into, common stock were exercised or converted or otherwise resulted in the issuance of common stock that shared in the earnings of the Company. For 2014, 2013 and 2012, the diluted weighted average number of common shares includes 100,000 shares representing the diluted weighted average impact of 100,000 shares (of an aggregate of 200,000 shares) of common stock underlying the restricted stock units awarded pursuant to the Pay-For-Performance Program. These 100,000 shares may vest upon satisfaction of the total stockholder return metric. The number of shares that would be issued pursuant to this metric is based on the market price and dividends paid at the end of each quarterly period assuming the end of that quarterly period was the end of the vesting period. The remaining 100,000 shares of common stock underlying the restricted stock units awarded under the Pay-For-Performance Program are not included during 2014, 2013 and 2012, as they did not meet the return on capital performance metric during such years.

There were no options outstanding to purchase shares of common stock or other rights exercisable for, or convertible into, common stock in 2014, 2013 and 2012.

Table of Contents**ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****December 31, 2014****NOTE 2 SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)**

The following table provides a reconciliation of the numerator and denominator of earnings per share calculations (amounts in thousands, except per share amounts):

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--|--------------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Numerator for basic and diluted earnings per share: | | | |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 22,197 | \$ 17,409 | \$ 11,328 |
| Less net (income) loss attributable to non-controlling interests | (94) | (49) | 12 |
| Less earnings allocated to unvested shares(a) | (722) | (667) | |
| Income from continuing operations available for common stockholders | 21,381 | 16,693 | 11,340 |
| Discontinued operations | 13 | 515 | 20,980 |
| Net income available for common stockholders, basic and diluted | \$ 21,394 | \$ 17,208 | \$ 32,320 |
| Denominator for basic earnings per share: | | | |
| weighted average common shares | 15,563 | 14,948 | 14,427 |
| weighted average unvested shares of restricted stock(b) | | | 411 |
| | 15,563 | 14,948 | 14,838 |
| Effect of diluted securities: | | | |
| restricted stock units awarded under Pay-for-Performance program | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Denominator for diluted earnings per share | | | |
| weighted average shares | 15,663 | 15,048 | 14,938 |
| Earnings per common share, basic | \$ 1.37 | \$ 1.15 | \$ 2.18 |
| Earnings per common share, diluted | \$ 1.37 | \$ 1.14 | \$ 2.16 |
| Amounts attributable to One Liberty Properties, Inc. common stockholders, net of non-controlling interests: | | | |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 22,103 | \$ 17,360 | \$ 11,340 |
| Income from discontinued operations | 13 | 515 | 20,980 |
| Net income attributable to One Liberty Properties, Inc. | \$ 22,116 | \$ 17,875 | \$ 32,320 |

- (a) Represents an allocation to unvested restricted stock (which as participating securities are entitled to receive dividends) of the excess of dividends declared over net income.
- (b) The year ended December 31, 2012 includes unvested restricted stock because net income is greater than dividends declared.

Reclassification

Certain amounts previously reported in the consolidated financial statements have been reclassified in the accompanying consolidated financial statements to conform to the current period's presentation; primarily to break out tenant reimbursements that had been included in rental income, net, for 2013 and 2012.

Table of Contents

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2014

NOTE 2 SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

New Accounting Pronouncements

In January 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-01, Simplifying Income Statement Presentation by Eliminating the Concept of Extraordinary Items, which simplifies income statement presentation by eliminating extraordinary items from US GAAP. The ASU retains current presentation and disclosure requirements for an event or transaction that is of an unusual nature or of a type that indicates infrequency of occurrence. Transactions that meet both criteria would now also follow such presentation and disclosure requirements. The ASU is effective in annual periods, and interim periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2015. Early adoption is permitted; however, adoption must occur at the beginning of an annual period. An entity can elect to apply the guidance prospectively or retrospectively. The Company has elected early adoption for the year ended December 31, 2014, and its adoption did not have any impact on its consolidated financial statements.

In August 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-15, Presentation of Financial Statements - Going Concern (Subtopic 205-40), which provides guidance on management's responsibility in evaluating whether there is substantial doubt about a company's ability to continue as a going concern and to provide related footnote disclosures. For each reporting period, management will be required to evaluate whether there are conditions or events that raise substantial doubt about a company's ability to continue as a going concern within one year from the date the financial statements are issued. The amendments in this update are effective for the annual period ending after December 15, 2016, and for annual periods and interim periods thereafter. Early application is permitted. The Company has elected early adoption for the year ending December 31, 2015, and its adoption is not expected to have any impact on its consolidated financial statements.

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers, which provides guidance for revenue recognition. The core principle of the new guidance is that an entity should recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. Additionally, the guidance requires improved disclosures to help users of financial statements better understand the nature, amount, timing and uncertainty of revenue that is recognized. This update is effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016 and early adoption is not permitted. The new guidance can be applied either retrospectively to each prior reporting period presented, or as a cumulative-effect adjustment as of the date of adoption. The Company is currently in the process of evaluating the impact, if any, the adoption of this ASU will have on its consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****December 31, 2014****NOTE 3 REAL ESTATE INVESTMENTS AND MINIMUM FUTURE RENTALS*****Real Estate Acquisitions***

The following chart details the Company's real estate acquisitions during 2014 and 2013 (amounts in thousands):

| Description of Property | Date Acquired | Contract Purchase Price | Terms of Payment(a) | Third Party Real Estate Acquisition Costs(b) |
|---|----------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|---|
| Total Wine and More retail store, Greensboro, North Carolina | January 21, 2014 | \$ 2,971 | All cash | \$ 20 |
| Chuck E Cheese restaurant, Indianapolis, Indiana | January 23, 2014 | 2,138 | All cash | 10 |
| Savers Thrift Superstore, Highlands Ranch, Colorado | May 7, 2014 | 4,825 | All cash | 83 |
| Hobby Lobby retail store, Woodbury, Minnesota | May 21, 2014 | 4,770 | All cash | 46 |
| Land River Crossing Apartments, Sandy Springs, Georgia(c) | June 4, 2014 | 6,510 | All cash | (d) |
| Noxell Corporation industrial building, Joppa, Maryland(e) | June 26, 2014 | 11,650 | All cash | (d) |
| Regal Cinemas theater, Indianapolis, Indiana | October 2, 2014 | 9,000 | All cash | 78 |
| Pathmark supermarket, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania(f) | October 21, 2014 | 7,729 | Cash and \$4,635 mortgage(g) | 162 |
| Progressive Converting distribution facility, New Hope, Minnesota | November 21, 2014 | 7,200 | All cash | 38 |
| Other(h) | | | | 42 |
| Totals for 2014 | | \$ 56,793 | | \$ 479 |

Table of Contents**ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****December 31, 2014****NOTE 3 REAL ESTATE INVESTMENTS AND MINIMUM FUTURE RENTALS (Continued)**

| Description of Property | Date Acquired | Contract Purchase Price | Terms of Payment(a) | Third Party Real Estate Acquisition Costs(b) |
|--|--------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Kmart retail store, Clemmons, North Carolina(i) | March 22, 2013 | \$ 4,640 | All cash | \$ 119 |
| Shutterfly flex facility, Fort Mill, South Carolina | July 1, 2013 | 15,500 | Cash and \$9,300 mortgage(j) | 124 |
| Texas Land & Cattle restaurant, Killeen, Texas | July 30, 2013 | 2,020 | All cash | (k) |
| Hooters restaurant, Concord, North Carolina | August 1, 2013 | 2,469 | All cash | 15 |
| TRISUN Health Care assisted living facility, Round Rock, Texas | August 6, 2013 | 22,800 | Cash and \$15,275 mortgage(l) | 321 |
| Hooters restaurant, Myrtle Beach, South Carolina | September 3, 2013 | 2,635 | All cash | 33 |
| Joe's Crab Shack restaurant, Ann Arbor, Michigan | September 12, 2013 | 2,980 | All cash | 31 |
| FedEx Express facility, Indianapolis, Indiana | September 13, 2013 | 9,270 | All cash | 39 |
| Northern Tool & Equipment distribution facility, Fort Mill, South Carolina | September 18, 2013 | 39,195 | Cash and \$27,300 mortgage(m) | 91 |
| TGIF restaurant, Greensboro, North Carolina | December 3, 2013 | 3,003 | All cash | (k) |
| TGIF restaurant, Richmond, Virginia | December 3, 2013 | 3,017 | All cash | (k) |
| Other(n) | | | | 148 |
| Totals for 2013 | | \$ 107,529 | | \$ 921 |

-
- (a) All of the mortgages listed in this column were obtained from institutional lenders simultaneously with the acquisition of the respective properties.
- (b) Included as an expense in the accompanying consolidated statements of income.
- (c) The Company's fee interest in the land is collateral for the tenant's mortgage loan secured by the buildings located at this property.
- (d) Transaction costs aggregating \$303 incurred were capitalized as these were asset acquisitions.

Edgar Filing: ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

- (e) Represents 100% of the consolidated joint venture in which the Company has a 95% interest. The non-controlling interest contributed \$306 for its 5% interest, which was equal to the fair value of such interest at the date of purchase. The Company also contributed \$5,825 to the venture as senior preferred equity.
- (f) Represents 100% of the consolidated joint venture in which the Company has a 90% interest. The non-controlling interest contributed \$333 for its 10% interest, which was equal to the fair value of such interest at the date of purchase.
- (g) The mortgage bears interest at 3.885% per annum and matures November 2021.
- (h) Costs incurred for properties purchased in 2013 and transactions that were not consummated.

F-21

Table of Contents**ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****December 31, 2014****NOTE 3 REAL ESTATE INVESTMENTS AND MINIMUM FUTURE RENTALS (Continued)**

- (i) Represents 100% of the consolidated joint venture in which the Company has a 90% interest. The non-controlling interest contributed \$470 for its 10% interest, which was equal to the fair value of such interest at the date of purchase.
- (j) The mortgage bears interest at 4.562% per annum and matures July 2023.
- (k) Transaction costs of \$50 incurred were capitalized as these were asset acquisitions.
- (l) The mortgage bears interest at 5.375% per annum and matures August 2023.
- (m) The mortgage bears interest at 4.875% per annum and matures April 2029.
- (n) Costs incurred for potential acquisitions, properties purchased in 2012 and transactions that were not consummated.

The following chart provides the allocation of the purchase price for the Company's real estate acquisitions during 2014 and 2013 (amounts in thousands):

| Description of Property | Land | Building | Building Improvements | Intangible Lease Asset | Liability | Total |
|---|-----------|-----------|--------------------------|---------------------------|------------|-----------|
| Total Wine and More retail store, Greensboro, North Carolina | \$ 1,046 | \$ 1,468 | \$ 83 | \$ 374 | \$ | \$ 2,971 |
| Chuck E Cheese restaurant, Indianapolis, Indiana | 853 | 1,321 | 145 | 94 | (275) | 2,138 |
| Savers Thrift Superstore, Highlands Ranch, Colorado | 2,361 | 2,644 | 280 | 856 | (1,316) | 4,825 |
| Hobby Lobby retail store, Woodbury, Minnesota | 1,190 | 3,667 | 335 | 734 | (1,156) | 4,770 |
| Land River Crossing Apartments, Sandy Springs, Georgia(a) | 6,516 | | | | | 6,516 |
| Noxell Corporation industrial building, Joppa, Maryland(b) | 3,805 | 7,991 | 151 | | | 11,947 |
| Regal Cinemas theater, Indianapolis, Indiana | 3,087 | 5,000 | 225 | 1,575 | (887) | 9,000 |
| Pathmark supermarket, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania | 1,793 | 5,396 | 244 | 440 | (144) | 7,729 |
| Progressive Converting distribution facility, New Hope, Minnesota | 881 | 6,033 | 30 | 757 | (501) | 7,200 |
| Subtotals | 21,532 | 33,520 | 1,493 | 4,830 | (4,279) | 57,096 |
| Other(c) | 74 | 70 | 18 | (59) | (97) | 6 |
| Totals for 2014 | \$ 21,606 | \$ 33,590 | \$ 1,511 | \$ 4,771 | \$ (4,376) | \$ 57,102 |

Table of Contents**ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****December 31, 2014****NOTE 3 REAL ESTATE INVESTMENTS AND MINIMUM FUTURE RENTALS (Continued)**

| Description of Property | Land | Building | Building Improvements | Intangible Lease Asset | Liability | Total |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------------------|------------------------|------------|------------|
| Kmart retail store, Clemmons, North Carolina | \$ 2,496 | \$ 2,553 | \$ 653 | \$ 425 | \$ (1,487) | \$ 4,640 |
| Shutterfly flex facility, Fort Mill, South Carolina | 1,841 | 12,353 | 335 | 1,546 | (575) | 15,500 |
| Texas Land & Cattle restaurant, Killeen, Texas | 1,263 | 739 | 64 | | | 2,066(d) |
| Hooters restaurant, Concord, North Carolina | 999 | 954 | 122 | 394 | | 2,469 |
| TRISUN Health Care -assisted living facility, Round Rock, Texas | 1,678 | 16,577 | 93 | 4,452 | | 22,800 |
| Hooters restaurant, Myrtle Beach, South Carolina | 1,102 | 1,090 | 71 | 372 | | 2,635 |
| Joe's Crab Shack restaurant, Ann Arbor, Michigan | 1,098 | 1,338 | 122 | 422 | | 2,980 |
| FedEx Express facility, Indianapolis, Indiana | 1,224 | 6,438 | 498 | 1,222 | (112) | 9,270 |
| Northern Tool & Equipment distribution facility, Fort Mill, South Carolina | 1,804 | 31,635 | 2,014 | 3,742 | | 39,195 |
| TGIF restaurant, Greensboro, North Carolina | 1,768 | 1,054 | 183 | | | 3,005(d) |
| TGIF restaurant, Richmond, Virginia | 1,678 | 1,184 | 157 | | | 3,019(d) |
| Subtotals | 16,951 | 75,915 | 4,312 | 12,575 | (2,174) | 107,579 |
| Other(e) | | | | (951) | (36) | (987) |
| Totals for 2013 | \$ 16,951 | \$ 75,915 | \$ 4,312 | \$ 11,624 | \$ (2,210) | \$ 106,592 |

-
- (a) Includes capitalized transaction costs of \$6 incurred with this asset acquisition.
- (b) Includes capitalized transaction costs of \$297 incurred with this asset acquisition.
- (c) Adjustments to finalize intangibles relating to properties purchased in 2013.
- (d)

Edgar Filing: ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Includes capitalized transaction costs of \$50 incurred with these asset acquisitions.

(e)

Adjustments to finalize intangibles relating to properties purchased in 2012.

All of the properties purchased in 2014 and 2013 are (i) currently 100% occupied and (ii) net leased by a single tenant pursuant to a lease that expires between 2015 through 2044, other than the Northern Tool property, which is jointly leased by two companies under common ownership.

In June 2014, the Company purchased land in Sandy Springs, Georgia and simultaneously entered into a long-term triple net ground lease with the owner/operator of this complex. Pursuant to the terms of the ground lease, the owner/operator is obligated to make certain unit renovations as and when units

F-23

Table of Contents**ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****December 31, 2014****NOTE 3 REAL ESTATE INVESTMENTS AND MINIMUM FUTURE RENTALS (Continued)**

become vacant. A cash reserve of \$1,607,000 is held on behalf of the owner/operator to cover renovation work and other reserve requirements and is classified as Restricted cash on the consolidated balance sheet. At closing, the owner/operator obtained a \$16,230,000 mortgage from a third party which, together with the Company's purchase of the land, provided substantially all of the aggregate funds to acquire the complex. The Company provided its land as collateral for the owner/operator's mortgage loan; accordingly the land position is subordinated to the mortgage.

As a result of the 2014 and 2013 purchases, the Company recorded intangible lease assets of \$4,771,000 and \$11,624,000, respectively, and intangible lease liabilities of \$4,376,000 and \$2,210,000, respectively, representing the value of the acquired leases and origination costs. As of December 31, 2014, the weighted average amortization period for the 2014 and 2013 acquisitions is 9.3 years and 13.2 years for the intangible lease assets and 9.1 years and 5.9 years for the intangible lease liabilities, respectively. The Company is currently in the process of finalizing the purchase price allocation for a property purchased in October 2014; therefore the allocation is preliminary and subject to change.

At December 31, 2014 and 2013, accumulated amortization of intangible lease assets was \$9,170,000 and \$7,054,000, respectively, and accumulated amortization of intangible lease liabilities was \$3,928,000 and \$3,099,000, respectively.

The Company recognized a net increase (decrease) in rental revenue of \$267,000, \$160,000 and \$(2,000) for the amortization of the above/below market leases for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. For the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, the Company recognized amortization expense of \$2,430,000, \$1,647,000 and \$1,006,000, respectively, relating to the amortization of the origination costs.

The unamortized balance of intangible lease assets as a result of acquired above market leases at December 31, 2014 will be deducted from rental income through 2032 as follows (amounts in thousands):

| | | |
|--------------|-----------|--------------|
| 2015 | \$ | 468 |
| 2016 | | 453 |
| 2017 | | 413 |
| 2018 | | 348 |
| 2019 | | 263 |
| Thereafter | | 1,634 |
| Total | \$ | 3,579 |

Table of Contents**ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****December 31, 2014****NOTE 3 REAL ESTATE INVESTMENTS AND MINIMUM FUTURE RENTALS (Continued)**

The unamortized balance of intangible lease liabilities as a result of acquired below market leases at December 31, 2014 will be added to rental income through 2055 as follows (amounts in thousands):

| | | |
|--------------|-----------|---------------|
| 2015 | \$ | 982 |
| 2016 | | 967 |
| 2017 | | 958 |
| 2018 | | 835 |
| 2019 | | 789 |
| Thereafter | | 5,932 |
| Total | \$ | 10,463 |

The unamortized balance of origination costs associated with in-place leases at December 31, 2014 will be charged to amortization expense through 2055 as follows (amounts in thousands):

| | | |
|--------------|-----------|---------------|
| 2015 | \$ | 2,594 |
| 2016 | | 2,480 |
| 2017 | | 2,387 |
| 2018 | | 2,238 |
| 2019 | | 2,073 |
| Thereafter | | 12,036 |
| Total | \$ | 23,808 |

Minimum Future Rents

The minimum future contractual rents (without taking into consideration straight-line rent or amortization of intangibles) to be received over the next five years and thereafter on the operating leases in effect at December 31, 2014 are as follows (amounts in thousands):

| | | |
|--------------|-----------|----------------|
| 2015 | \$ | 53,345 |
| 2016 | | 50,916 |
| 2017 | | 48,176 |
| 2018 | | 45,803 |
| 2019 | | 41,338 |
| Thereafter | | 217,547 |
| Total | \$ | 457,125 |

The rental properties owned at December 31, 2014 are leased under non-cancellable operating leases with current expirations ranging from 2015 to 2044, with certain tenant renewal rights. Substantially all lease agreements are net lease arrangements which require the tenant to pay

Edgar Filing: ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

rent and substantially all the expenses of the leased property including maintenance, taxes, utilities and insurance. For certain properties, the tenants pay the Company, in addition to the contractual base rent, their pro rata share of real estate taxes and operating expenses. Certain lease agreements provide for periodic rental increases and others provide for increases based on the consumer price index.

F-25

Table of Contents

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2014

NOTE 3 REAL ESTATE INVESTMENTS AND MINIMUM FUTURE RENTALS (Continued)

Unbilled Rent Receivable

At December 31, 2014 and 2013, the Company's unbilled rent receivables aggregating \$12,815,000 and \$13,743,000, respectively, represent rent reported on a straight-line basis in excess of rental payments required under the respective leases. The unbilled rent receivable is to be billed and received pursuant to the lease terms during the next 30 years.

During the year ended December 31, 2014, the Company wrote off \$2,417,000 of unbilled straight-line rent receivable relating to the New Jersey property sold during the year which reduced the gain on sale reported on the consolidated statements of income (see Note 4).

Acquisition Subsequent to December 31, 2014

On February 25, 2015, the Company purchased through a joint venture in which it has a 90% interest, a shopping center located in Lakewood, Colorado for \$17,485,000, which was financed in part by mortgage financing of \$11,853,000. The mortgage, which matures in February 2025 bears interest at a rate of 4.12% per annum. The property has 29 tenant spaces and was 94.5% occupied at the time of acquisition.

NOTE 4 SALES OF PROPERTIES, DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS AND IMPAIRMENT

Sales of Properties

On October 15, 2014, the Company sold a property located in Parsippany, New Jersey for \$38,611,000, net of closing costs, and the write-off of unbilled rent receivable, resulting in a gain of \$10,180,000, which is recorded as Gain on sale of real estate, net for the year ended December 31, 2014. In connection with the sale, the Company paid off the \$13,417,000 mortgage on this property. Additionally, the Company incurred a \$1,581,000 mortgage prepayment charge, which is recorded as Prepayment costs on debt related to sale of real estate in the accompanying consolidated statement of income for the year ended December 31, 2014. For federal tax purposes, the sale resulted in an estimated net gain of \$20,700,000.

On February 3, 2014, the Company sold two properties located in Michigan for a total sales price of \$5,177,000, net of closing costs. The net book value of the two properties was \$5,177,000 (after recording an impairment charge of \$61,700, representing the loss on sale of the properties) and is recorded as Properties held-for-sale on the accompanying consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2013. The impairment charge is recorded in discontinued operations for the year ended December 31, 2013.

During 2012, the Company sold two properties located in Florida and leased to Office Depot, two properties located in New York and a property located in Texas. The total sales prices aggregated \$36,062,000, net of closing costs, and the Company realized aggregate gains of \$19,413,000 which is recorded as Net gain on sales in discontinued operations for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Table of Contents**ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****December 31, 2014****NOTE 4 SALES OF PROPERTIES, DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS AND IMPAIRMENT (Continued)***Discontinued Operations*

As discussed in Note 2, in 2014, the Company adopted ASU 2014-08 which raises the threshold for disposals to qualify as discontinued operations. Accordingly, the property sold in October 2014 is not considered a discontinued operation. The following summarizes the components of income from discontinued operations which includes the two properties sold in February 2014 and the five properties sold in 2012 (amounts in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------|-----------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Rental income | \$ 141 | \$ 973 | \$ 2,690 |
| Depreciation and amortization | | 125 | 402 |
| Real estate expenses | 17 | 12 | 106 |
| Interest expense | 111 | 259 | 615 |
| Total expenses | 128 | 396 | 1,123 |
| Income from operations | 13 | 577 | 1,567 |
| Impairment charge | | (62) | |
| Net gain on sales | | | 19,413 |
| Income from discontinued operations | \$ 13 | \$ 515 | \$ 20,980 |

Property Held-for-Sale

On January 13, 2015, a consolidated joint venture of the Company sold a property located in Cherry Hill, New Jersey for approximately \$16,025,000, net of closing costs. At December 31, 2014, the Company classified the net book value of the property's land, building and building improvements of \$10,176,000 as properties held-for-sale and the unbilled rent receivable of \$120,000 related to this property is included in Unbilled rent receivable in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet. The sale resulted in a gain of approximately \$5,400,000, which will be included in Gain on sale of real estate, net for the three months ended March 31, 2015. In connection with the sale, the Company paid off the \$7,376,000 mortgage balance on this property and incurred a \$478,000 expense related to the swap termination and will write off the deferred mortgage costs of \$249,000 during the three months ended March 31, 2015. The non-controlling interest's share of income from the transaction is approximately \$1,300,000.

Impairment of Property

During the year ended December 31, 2014, the Company determined there were indicators of impairment at its property located in Morrow, Georgia. The tenant did not renew the lease which expired October 31, 2014, efforts to re-let the property were unsuccessful and the non-recourse mortgage on the property matured on November 1, 2014. Management determined that the undiscounted cash flows in the test for recoverability are less than the property's carrying amount, and that the fair value of the property is less than its carrying amount. Accordingly, the Company recorded an impairment charge of \$1,093,000 which is included in the accompanying consolidated statement of

Table of Contents

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2014

NOTE 4 SALES OF PROPERTIES, DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS AND IMPAIRMENT (Continued)

income for the year ended December 31, 2014. The property was acquired by the mortgagee on January 6, 2015 through a foreclosure proceeding. At December 31, 2014, the adjusted net book value of the property was \$1,470,000.

NOTE 5 LEASE TERMINATION FEE INCOME

In June 2014, the Company received a \$1,269,000 lease termination fee from a retail tenant in a lease buy-out transaction. In connection with the receipt of this fee, the Company wrote-off \$150,000 as an offset to rental income, representing the entire balance of the unbilled rent receivable and the intangible lease asset related to this property. The Company re-leased this property simultaneously with the termination of the existing tenant's lease.

NOTE 6 INVESTMENT IN UNCONSOLIDATED JOINT VENTURES AND SALES OF JOINT VENTURE PROPERTIES

At December 31, 2014 and 2013, the Company had investments in five unconsolidated joint ventures, each of which owned and operated one property. The Company's equity investment in such unconsolidated joint ventures at such dates totaled \$4,907,000 and \$4,906,000, respectively. In addition to the \$2,807,000 gain on the sale of a tenant-in-common property in 2013, the Company recorded equity in earnings of \$533,000, \$651,000 and \$1,368,000 for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012, respectively.

Additionally, in April 2013, the Company sold its 90% equity interest in a joint venture recorded a gain of \$1,898,000, which is included in the accompanying consolidated statement of income for the year ended December 31, 2013.

NOTE 7 DEBT OBLIGATIONS

Mortgages Payable

At December 31, 2014, there were 59 outstanding mortgages payable, all of which are secured by first liens on individual real estate investments with an aggregate carrying value of \$472,312,000 before accumulated depreciation of \$61,592,000. After giving effect to the interest rate swap agreements (see Note 8), the mortgages bear interest at fixed rates ranging from 3.13% to 7.81%, and mature between 2015 and 2037. The weighted average interest rate on all mortgage debt was 5.02% and 5.22% at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Table of Contents**ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****December 31, 2014****NOTE 7 DEBT OBLIGATIONS (Continued)**

Scheduled principal repayments during the next five years and thereafter are as follows (amounts in thousands):

| Year Ending December 31, | |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|
| 2015 | \$ 21,968 |
| 2016 | 32,986 |
| 2017 | 29,868 |
| 2018 | 19,760 |
| 2019 | 15,623 |
| Thereafter | 171,844 |
| Total | \$ 292,049 |

Line of Credit

On December 31, 2014, the Company entered into an amendment of its \$75,000,000 credit facility with Manufacturers & Traders Trust Company, VNB New York, LLC, Bank Leumi USA and Israel Discount Bank of New York, which, among other things, extends the facility's maturity to December 31, 2018 from March 31, 2015, decreases the minimum required average outstanding deposit balances to \$3 million and eliminates the 4.75% interest rate floor. Under the amendment, the interest rate equals the one month LIBOR rate plus an applicable margin which ranges from 175 basis points to 300 basis points depending on the ratio of the Company's total debt to total value, as determined pursuant to the facility. An unused facility fee of .25% per annum continues to apply to the facility. Assuming that the 30-day LIBOR rate continues to be 0.17%, the rate in effect at the effective date of this amendment, the interest rate on the facility in the first quarter of 2015 will be approximately 1.92%. Prior to the amendment, the interest rate was 4.75% per annum. In connection with the amendment, the Company incurred a \$562,500 commitment fee which will be amortized over the remaining term of the facility. At December 31, 2014 and March 9, 2015, there were outstanding balances of \$13,250,000 and \$9,150,000, respectively, under the facility.

The credit facility includes certain restrictions and covenants which may limit, among other things, the incurrence of liens, and which require compliance with financial ratios relating to, among other things, minimum amount of tangible net worth, minimum amount of debt service coverage, minimum amount of fixed charge coverage, maximum amount of debt to value, minimum level of net income, certain investment limitations and minimum value of unencumbered properties and the number of such properties. The Company was in compliance with all covenants at December 31, 2014.

The facility is guaranteed by subsidiaries of the Company that own unencumbered properties and the Company pledged to the lenders the equity interests in the Company's subsidiaries. The facility is available for the acquisition of commercial real estate, repayment of mortgage debt, property improvements and general working capital purposes; provided, that if used for property improvements and working capital purposes, the amount outstanding for such purposes will not exceed the lesser of \$15 million and 15% of the borrowing base and if used for working capital purposes, will not exceed \$10 million. Net proceeds received from the sale, financing or refinancing of properties are generally required to be used to repay amounts outstanding under the credit facility.

Table of Contents**ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****December 31, 2014****NOTE 8 FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS**

The carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, escrow, deposits and other assets and receivables, and accrued expenses and other liabilities are not measured at fair value on a recurring basis, but are considered to be recorded at amounts that approximate fair value.

At December 31, 2014, the \$300,541,000 estimated fair value of the Company's mortgages payable is more than their carrying value by approximately \$8,492,000 assuming a blended market interest rate of 4.5% based on the 9.1 year weighted average remaining term of the mortgages. At December 31, 2013, the \$283,142,000 estimated fair value of the Company's mortgages payable is more than their carrying value by approximately \$5,097,000 assuming a blended market interest rate of 5.0% based on the 9.0 year weighted average remaining term of the mortgages.

At December 31, 2014 and 2013, the \$13,250,000 and \$23,250,000, respectively, carrying amount of the Company's line of credit approximates its fair value.

The fair value of the Company's mortgages payable and line of credit are estimated using unobservable inputs such as available market information and discounted cash flow analysis based on borrowing rates the Company believes it could obtain with similar terms and maturities. These fair value measurements fall within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

Considerable judgment is necessary to interpret market data and develop estimated fair value. The use of different market assumptions and/or estimation methodologies may have a material effect on the estimated fair value amounts.

Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

The fair value of the Company's available-for-sale securities and derivative financial instruments was determined using the following inputs (amounts in thousands):

| | As of December 31, | Carrying and Fair Value | Fair Value Measurements on a Recurring Basis | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|--|----------|
| | | | Level 1 | Level 2 |
| <i>Financial assets:</i> | | | | |
| Available-for-sale securities: | | | | |
| Equity securities | 2014 | \$ 29 | \$ 29 | \$ |
| | 2013 | 282 | 282 | |
| Derivative financial instruments: | | | | |
| Interest rate swaps | 2014 | \$ 27 | | \$ 27 |
| | 2013 | 265 | | 265 |
| <i>Financial liabilities:</i> | | | | |
| Derivative financial instruments: | | | | |
| Interest rate swaps | 2014 | \$ 3,139 | | \$ 3,139 |
| | 2013 | 774 | | 774 |

The Company does not currently own any financial instruments that are classified as Level 3.

Table of Contents

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2014

NOTE 8 FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS (Continued)

Available-for-sale securities

At December 31, 2014, the Company's available-for-sale securities included a \$29,000 investment in equity securities (included in other assets on the consolidated balance sheet). The aggregate cost of these securities was \$5,300 and the unrealized gain was \$24,000. At December 31, 2013, the Company's available-for-sale securities were as follows: (i) a \$262,000 investment in 37,081 shares of BRT Realty Trust and (ii) a \$20,000 investment in other equity securities (included in other assets on the consolidated balance sheet). The aggregate cost of these securities was \$138,000 and unrealized gains on such securities were \$144,000. Such unrealized gains were included in accumulated other comprehensive loss on the balance sheet. Fair values are approximated based on current market quotes from financial sources that track such securities.

During 2014, the Company sold to Gould Investors L.P., a related party, 37,081 shares of BRT Realty Trust, a related party, for proceeds of \$266,000 (based on the average of the closing prices for the 30 days preceding the sale). The cost of these shares was \$132,000 and the Company realized a gain on sale of \$134,000, of which \$132,000 was reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive loss on the consolidated balance sheet into earnings. During 2013, the Company sold certain available-for-sale securities for gross proceeds of \$19,000 and recognized gains of \$6,000.

Derivative financial instruments

Fair values are approximated using widely accepted valuation techniques including discounted cash flow analysis on the expected cash flows of the derivatives. This analysis reflects the contractual terms of the derivatives, including the period to maturity, and uses observable market-based inputs, including interest rate curves and implied volatilities.

Although the Company has determined that the majority of the inputs used to value its derivatives fall within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy, the credit valuation adjustments associated with it use Level 3 inputs, such as estimates of current credit spreads, to evaluate the likelihood of default by itself and its counterparty. As of December 31, 2014, the Company has assessed the significance of the impact of the credit valuation adjustments on the overall valuation of its derivative positions and has determined that the credit valuation adjustments are not significant to the overall valuation of its derivatives. As a result, the Company determined that its derivative valuation is classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

As of December 31, 2014, the Company had entered into 17 interest rate derivatives, all of which were interest rate swaps, related to 17 outstanding mortgage loans with an aggregate \$80,372,000 notional amount and mature between 2016 and 2024 (weighted average maturity of 7.16 years). Such interest rate swaps, all of which were designated as cash flow hedges, converted LIBOR based variable rate mortgages to fixed annual rate mortgages with interest rates ranging from 3.55% to 5.75% and a weighted average interest rate of 4.84% at December 31, 2014. The fair value of the Company's derivatives designated as hedging instruments in asset and liability positions reflected as other assets or other liabilities on the consolidated balance sheets were \$27,000 and \$3,139,000, respectively, at December 31, 2014, and \$265,000 and \$774,000, respectively, at December 31, 2013.

Two of the Company's unconsolidated joint ventures, in which a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company is a 50% partner, had an interest rate derivative outstanding at December 31, 2014 with a

Table of Contents**ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****December 31, 2014****NOTE 8 FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS (Continued)**

notional amount of \$3,714,000. The interest rate derivative has an interest rate of 5.81% and matures in April 2018.

The following table presents the effect of the Company's derivative financial instruments on the statement of income for the periods presented (amounts in thousands):

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|--|--------------------------|--------|------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
| <i>One Liberty Properties and Consolidated Subsidiaries</i> | | | |
| Amount of loss recognized on derivatives in Other comprehensive loss | \$ (4,453) | \$ (1) | \$ (1,051) |
| Amount of loss reclassification from Accumulated other comprehensive loss into Interest expense | (1,810) | (962) | (504) |
| <i>Unconsolidated Joint Ventures (Company's share)</i> | | | |
| Amount of (loss) gain recognized on derivative in Other comprehensive loss | \$ (32) | \$ 21 | \$ (79) |
| Amount of loss reclassification from Accumulated other comprehensive loss into Equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint ventures | (55) | (55) | (56) |

No gain or loss was recognized with respect to hedge ineffectiveness or to amounts excluded from effectiveness testing on the Company's cash flow hedges for the three years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012. During the twelve months ending December 31, 2015, the Company estimates an additional \$1,685,000 will be reclassified from other comprehensive income as an increase to interest expense.

The derivative agreements in effect at December 31, 2014 provide that if the wholly owned subsidiary of the Company which is a party to the agreement defaults or is capable of being declared in default on any of its indebtedness, then a default can be declared on such subsidiary's derivative obligation. In addition, the Company is a party to one of the derivative agreements and if there is a default by the subsidiary on the loan subject to the derivative agreement to which the Company is a party and if there are swap breakage losses on account of the derivative being terminated early, the Company could be held liable for interest rate swap breakage losses, if any.

As of December 31, 2014, the fair value of the derivatives in the liability position, including accrued interest and excluding any adjustments for nonperformance risk was approximately \$3,440,000. In the unlikely event that the Company breaches any of the contractual provisions of the derivative contracts, it would be required to settle its obligations thereunder at their termination liability value of \$3,440,000. Such amount is included in accrued expenses and other liabilities at December 31, 2014.

Fair Value on a Non-Recurring Basis

Non-financial assets measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis in the consolidated financial statements consists of a property located in Morrow, Georgia for which the Company recorded an impairment charge of \$1,093,000 for the year ended December 31, 2014 (as disclosed in Note 4). The Company measured the fair value of the property using a sales comparison approach and included comparable sales and listings in the identified market adjusted for the subject property. Such inputs were determined to be Level 3 inputs in the fair value hierarchy. Significant unobservable inputs used

Table of Contents

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2014

NOTE 8 FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS (Continued)

in the fair value measurement include price per square foot rates, which range from \$25 to \$33 per square foot. The Company's internally prepared valuation is reviewed and approved by a diverse group of management, as deemed necessary, and valuations are performed and updated as appropriate.

NOTE 9 RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

At December 31, 2014 and 2013, Gould Investors L.P. ("Gould"), a related party, owned 1,704,765 and 1,597,304 shares of the outstanding common stock of the Company, or approximately 10.5% and 10.2%, respectively. During 2014, Gould purchased 106,761 shares of the Company's stock through the Company's dividend reinvestment plan and 700 shares of the Company's stock in the open market. During 2013, Gould purchased 69,595 shares of the Company's stock through the Company's dividend reinvestment plan and 3,700 shares of the Company's stock in the open market.

Pursuant to the compensation and services agreement the Company entered into in 2007 with Majestic Property Management Corp. ("Majestic"), a company wholly-owned by the Company's Vice Chairman and in which certain of the Company's executive officers are officers and from which they receive compensation, the Company pays an annual fee to Majestic and Majestic provides the Company with the services of all affiliated executive, administrative, legal, accounting and clerical personnel, as well as property acquisition, sale and lease consulting and brokerage services, consulting services with respect to mortgage financings and construction supervisory services. The Company does not incur any fees or expenses for such services except for the annual fees described below.

In consideration for providing to the Company the services described above, the Company, pursuant to the compensation and services agreement, paid Majestic a fee of \$2,669,000 in 2014 and \$2,725,000 in each of 2013 and 2012. (Effective July 1, 2014, certain employees of affiliated companies performing services pursuant to the compensation and services agreement are paid directly by the Company for services performed on the Company's behalf. Accordingly, the fees were reduced by \$445,000 to give effect to this adjustment.) In 2014, \$850,000, and in each of 2013 and 2012, \$600,000, of property management costs included in these fees was allocated to real estate expenses. Majestic credits against the fees due to it under the compensation and services agreement any management or other fees received by it from any joint venture in which the Company is a joint venture partner (exclusive of fees that were paid by our joint venture partner on a property located in Los Angeles, California until its sale in May 2013). The compensation and services agreement also provides for an additional payment to Majestic of \$186,000 in 2014 and \$175,000 in each of 2013 and 2012 for the Company's share of all direct office expenses, including rent, telephone, postage, computer services, internet usage and supplies. The fee the Company pays Majestic is negotiated for each year by the Company and Majestic, and is approved by the Company's audit committee and independent directors.

Executive officers and others providing services under the compensation and services agreement also receive awards of shares of restricted stock and restricted stock units under the Company's stock incentive plans (described in Note 10). The costs of the plans charged to the Company's operations applicable to the executive officers and others providing services under the compensation and services agreement amounted to \$1,045,000, \$867,000 and \$743,000 in 2014, 2013, and 2012, respectively.

Table of Contents

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2014

NOTE 9 RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS (Continued)

In addition to its share of rent included in the payment to Majestic of \$186,000 in 2014 and \$175,000 in each of 2013 and 2012, the Company leased additional space in the same building, and paid a subsidiary of Gould, an annual rent of \$42,000 in 2014, and \$41,000 in each of 2013 and 2012.

The Company also paid fees of \$250,000 and \$100,000 in each of 2014, 2013 and 2012 to the Company's chairman and vice-chairman, respectively.

Except for \$850,000 in 2014 and \$600,000 in each of 2013 and 2012 of real estate expenses described above, the fees paid under the compensation and services agreement, the chairman and vice-chairman fees and the rent expense are included in general and administrative expense in the Company's consolidated statements of income for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012.

The Company obtains its property insurance in conjunction with Gould and reimburses Gould for the Company's share of the insurance cost. Insurance reimbursed to Gould was \$400,000, \$359,000, and \$305,000 for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and is included in real estate expenses in the Company's consolidated statements of income.

NOTE 10 STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

Stock Based Compensation

The Company's 2012 Incentive Plan, approved by the Company's stockholders in June 2012, permits the Company to grant, among other things, stock options, restricted stock, restricted stock units, performance share awards and any one or more of the foregoing to its employees, officers, directors and consultants. A maximum of 600,000 shares of the Company's common stock is authorized for issuance pursuant to this Plan, of which 228,950 shares of restricted stock are outstanding at December 31, 2014. An aggregate of 452,000 shares of restricted stock and restricted stock units outstanding under the Company's 2003 and 2009 equity incentive plans (collectively, the "Prior Plans") have not yet vested. No additional awards may be granted under the Prior Plans.

The restricted stock grants are charged to general and administrative expense over the respective vesting periods based on the market value of the common stock on the grant date. Substantially all restricted stock awards made to date provide for vesting upon the fifth anniversary of the date of grant and under certain circumstances may vest earlier. For accounting purposes, the restricted stock is not included in the shares shown as outstanding on the balance sheet until they vest; however dividends are paid on the unvested shares.

On September 14, 2010, the Board of Directors approved a Pay-For-Performance Program under the Company's 2009 Incentive Plan and awarded 200,000 performance share awards in the form of restricted stock units (the "Units"), half of which were awarded to full time employees of the Company. The other half were awarded to part time officers of the Company who are compensated through the compensation and services agreement, some of whom are also officers of Majestic. The holders of Units are not entitled to dividends or to vote the underlying shares until the Units vest and shares are issued. Accordingly, for financial statement purposes, the shares underlying the Units are not included in the shares shown as outstanding on the balance sheet. If the defined performance criteria are satisfied in full at June 30, 2017, one share of the Company's common stock will vest and be issued for each Unit outstanding and a pro-rata portion of the Units will vest and be issued if the

Table of Contents**ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****December 31, 2014****NOTE 10 STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (Continued)**

performance criteria fall between defined ranges. In the event that the performance criteria are not satisfied in whole or in part at June 30, 2017, the unvested Units will be forfeited and no shares of the Company's common stock will be issued for those Units. For the awards which vest based on total stockholder return, a third party appraiser prepared a Monte Carlo simulation pricing model to determine the fair value. For the awards which vest based on return on capital, the fair value is based on the market value on the date of grant. Expense is not recognized on the Units which the Company does not expect to vest as a result of service conditions or the Company's performance expectations. The average per Unit grant price of the 200,000 units granted is \$11.74. The total amount recorded as deferred compensation is \$808,000 and is being charged to general and administrative expense over the approximate seven year vesting period. The deferred compensation expense to be recognized is net of certain forfeiture and performance assumptions (which are re-evaluated quarterly). No Units were forfeited or vested during 2014, 2013 and 2012.

As of December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 there were no options outstanding under the Company's equity incentive plans.

The following is a summary of the activity of the equity incentive plans (excluding, except as otherwise noted, the 200,000 Units):

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|---|--------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Restricted share grants | 118,850 | 112,650 | 109,450 |
| Average per share grant price | \$ 20.54 | \$ 21.59 | \$ 16.77 |
| Deferred compensation to be recognized over vesting period | \$ 2,441,000 | \$ 2,432,000 | \$ 1,835,000 |
| Non-vested shares: | | | |
| Non-vested beginning of period | 470,015 | 407,460 | 348,385 |
| Grants | 118,850 | 112,650 | 109,450 |
| Vested during period | (101,300) | (50,095) | (49,325) |
| Forfeitures | (6,570) | | (1,050) |
| Non-vested end of period | 480,995 | 470,015 | 407,460 |
| | | | |
| Average per share value of non-vested shares (based on grant price) | \$ 14.55 | \$ 14.22 | \$ 12.59 |
| | | | |
| Value of shares vested during the year (based on grant price) | \$ 621,000 | \$ 876,000 | \$ 1,208,000 |
| | | | |
| Average value of shares forfeited (based on grant price) | \$ 15.49 | \$ | \$ 13.65 |

The total charge to operations for all incentive plans, including the 200,000 Units, is as follows:

| | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Outstanding restricted stock grants | \$ 1,701,000 | \$ 1,341,000 | \$ 1,050,000 |
| Outstanding restricted stock units | 132,000 | 99,000 | 173,000 |

Edgar Filing: ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|----|-----------|----|-----------|----|-----------|
| Total charge to operations | \$ | 1,833,000 | \$ | 1,440,000 | \$ | 1,223,000 |
|----------------------------|----|-----------|----|-----------|----|-----------|

F-35

Table of Contents

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2014

NOTE 10 STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (Continued)

As of December 31, 2014, there were approximately \$4,856,000 of total compensation costs related to non-vested awards that have not yet been recognized, including \$297,000 related to the Pay-for-Performance Program (net of forfeiture and performance assumptions which are re-evaluated quarterly). These compensation costs will be charged to general and administrative expense over the remaining respective vesting periods. The weighted average vesting period is approximately 2.4 years.

Common Stock Dividend Distributions

In 2014, 2013 and 2012, the Company declared an aggregate \$1.50, \$1.42 and \$1.34 per share in cash distributions, respectively.

Distribution Reinvestment Plan

The Company's Dividend Reinvestment Plan (the "DRP") provides stockholders with the opportunity to reinvest all, or a portion of, their cash dividends paid on the Company's common stock in additional shares of its common stock, at a discount of up to 5% from the market price. The discount is determined in the Company's sole discretion. The Company is currently offering up to a 5% discount from market. The Company issued 227,000, 210,000 and 215,000 common shares under the DRP during 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Shares Issued Through Equity Offering Program

On March 20, 2014, the Company entered into an amended and restated equity offering sales agreement to sell shares of the Company's common stock from time to time with an aggregate sales price of up to approximately \$38,360,000, through an "at the market" equity offering program. During 2014, the Company sold 179,051 shares for proceeds of \$3,889,700, net of commissions of \$39,000, and incurred offering costs, primarily professional fees, of \$122,000. During 2013, the Company sold 363,463 shares for proceeds of \$9,227,800, net of commissions of \$93,000 and incurring offering costs, primarily professional fees, of \$63,000.

NOTE 11 COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

The Company maintains a non-contributory defined contribution pension plan covering eligible employees. Contributions by the Company are made through a money purchase plan, based upon a percent of the qualified employees' total salary (subject to the maximum amount allowed by law). Pension expense approximated \$191,000, \$147,000 and \$128,000 for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and is included in general and administrative expenses in the Company's consolidated statements of income.

The Company pays, with respect to one of its real estate properties, annual fixed leasehold rent of \$296,875 through July 2014, \$371,094 through July 2019, and \$463,867 through March 3, 2020. The Company has the right to extend the lease for up to five 5-year and one seven month renewal options.

As discussed in Note 3, the Company provided its land in Sandy Springs, Georgia as collateral for the owner/operator's mortgage loan and accordingly, the land position is subordinate to the mortgage.

Table of Contents**ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****December 31, 2014****NOTE 11 COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Continued)**

In the ordinary course of business, the Company is party to various legal actions which management believes are routine in nature and incidental to the operation of the Company's business. Management believes that the outcome of the proceedings will not have a material adverse effect upon the Company's consolidated financial statements taken as a whole.

NOTE 12 INCOME TAXES

The Company elected to be taxed as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code, commencing with its taxable year ended December 31, 1983. To qualify as a REIT, the Company must meet a number of organizational and operational requirements, including a requirement that it currently distribute at least 90% of its adjusted taxable income to its stockholders. It is management's current intention to adhere to these requirements and maintain the Company's REIT status. As a REIT, the Company generally will not be subject to corporate level federal, state and local income tax on taxable income it distributes currently to its stockholders. If the Company fails to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, it will be subject to federal, state and local income taxes at regular corporate rates (including any applicable alternative minimum tax) and may not be able to qualify as a REIT for four subsequent taxable years. Even though the Company qualifies for taxation as a REIT, the Company is subject to certain state and local taxes on its income and property, and to federal income and excise taxes on its undistributed taxable income.

Reconciliation between Financial Statement Net Income and Federal Taxable Income (Unaudited):

The following table reconciles financial statement net income to federal taxable income for the years indicated (amounts in thousands):

| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
|---|-----------------|---------------|---------------|
| | Estimate | Actual | Actual |
| Net income | \$ 22,116 | \$ 17,875 | \$ 32,320 |
| Straight line rent adjustments | (1,473) | (1,025) | (919) |
| Book gain on sale less than (in excess of) tax gain | 10,504 | 1,391 | (445) |
| Rent received in advance, net | (172) | 691 | 97 |
| Adjustments for above/below market leases | (217) | (144) | 6 |
| Restricted stock expense-tax (in excess of) book | (149) | 357 | 341 |
| Federal excise tax, non-deductible | 302 | 45 | 290 |
| Book depreciation in excess of tax depreciation | 2,901 | 1,686 | (208) |
| Property acquisition costs capitalized for tax purposes | 425 | 850 | 836 |
| Impairment charge | 1,093 | 62 | |
| Other adjustments | (19) | (111) | (201) |
| Federal taxable income | \$ 35,311 | \$ 21,677 | \$ 32,117 |

Table of Contents**ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****December 31, 2014****NOTE 12 INCOME TAXES (Continued)****Reconciliation between Cash Dividends Paid and Dividends Paid Deduction (Unaudited):**

The following table reconciles cash dividends paid with the dividends paid deduction for the years indicated (amounts in thousands):

| | 2014 Estimate | 2013 Actual | 2012 Actual |
|---|--------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Dividends paid | \$ 24,117 | \$ 21,999 | \$ 24,252 |
| Dividend reinvestment plan ^(a) | 197 | 230 | 256 |
| | 24,314 | 22,229 | 24,508 |
| Less: Spillover dividends designated to previous year | (7,107) | (7,659) | |
| Plus: Dividends designated from following year | 18,104 | 7,107 | 7,659 |
| Dividends paid deduction ^(b) | \$ 35,311 | \$ 21,677 | \$ 32,167 |

(a) Reflects the up to 5% discount on common stock purchased through the dividend reinvestment plan.

(b) Dividends paid deduction is slightly higher than federal taxable income in 2012 to account for an adjustment made to federal taxable income as a result of the impact of the alternative minimum tax.

NOTE 13 SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

Subsequent events have been evaluated and except as disclosed (i) below, (ii) in Note 3 (Real Estate Investments and Minimum Future Rentals) and (iii) in Note 4 (Sales of Properties, Discontinued Operations and Impairment), there were no other events relative to our consolidated financial statements that require additional disclosure.

On January 15, 2015, 129,975 shares were issued as restricted share grants having an aggregate value of approximately \$3,197,000 and are scheduled to vest in January 2020.

On March 10, 2015, the Board of Directors declared a quarterly cash dividend of \$.39 per share on the Company's common stock, totaling \$6,382,000. The quarterly dividend is payable on April 7, 2015 to stockholders of record on March 27, 2015.

Table of Contents**ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****December 31, 2014****NOTE 14 QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA (Unaudited):****(In Thousands, Except Per Share Data)**

| 2014 | Quarter Ended | | | | Total |
|---|----------------------|----------------|-----------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| | March 31 | June 30 | Sept. 30 | Dec. 31 | For Year |
| Total revenues | \$ 14,402 | \$ 15,665 | \$ 15,187 | \$ 15,223 | \$ 60,477 |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 3,287 | \$ 4,662 | \$ 2,647 | \$ 11,601 ^(a) | \$ 22,197 |
| Income from discontinued operations | 13 | | | | 13 |
| Net income | \$ 3,300 | \$ 4,662 | \$ 2,647 | \$ 11,601 | \$ 22,210 |
| Net income attributable to One Liberty Properties, Inc. | \$ 3,273 | \$ 4,640 | \$ 2,620 | \$ 11,583 | \$ 22,116 |
| Weighted average number of common shares outstanding: | | | | | |
| Basic: | 15,356 | 15,518 | 15,650 | 15,727 | 15,563 |
| Diluted: | 15,456 | 15,618 | 15,750 | 15,827 | 15,663 |
| Basic: | | | | | |
| Income from continuing operations | \$.20 | \$.29 | \$.16 | \$.71 | \$ 1.37 ^(b) |
| Income from discontinued operations | | | | | |
| Net income | \$.20 | \$.29 | \$.16 | \$.71 | \$ 1.37 ^(b) |
| Diluted: | | | | | |
| Income from continuing operations | \$.20 | \$.29 | \$.16 | \$.71 | \$ 1.37 ^(b) |
| Income from discontinued operations | | | | | |

Edgar Filing: ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Net income \$.20 \$.29 \$.16 \$.71 \$ 1.37^(b)

-
- (a) Includes a \$10.2 million net gain on sale of real estate and a \$1.6 million prepayment cost on debt related to the sale.
- (b) Calculated on weighted average shares outstanding for the year.

F-39

Table of Contents

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2014

NOTE 14 QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA (Unaudited): (Continued)

| 2013 | Quarter Ended | | | | Total For Year |
|--|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| | March 31 | June 30 | Sept. 30 | Dec. 31 | |
| Total revenues as previously reported | \$ 12,102 | \$ 12,227 | \$ 13,214 | \$ 14,166 | \$ 51,709 |
| Revenues from discontinued operations(c) | (240) | (246) | (244) | | (730) |
| Total revenues | \$ 11,862 | \$ 11,981 | \$ 12,970 | \$ 14,166 | \$ 50,979 |
| Income from continuing operations(d) | \$ 3,313 | \$ 7,607 | \$ 3,084 | \$ 3,405 | \$ 17,409 |
| Income from discontinued operations(d) | 136 | 145 | 144 | 90 | 515 |
| Net income | \$ 3,449 | \$ 7,752 | \$ 3,228 | \$ 3,495 | \$ 17,924 |
| Net income attributable to One Liberty Properties, Inc. | \$ 3,450 | \$ 7,736 | \$ 3,211 | \$ 3,478 | \$ 17,875 |
| Weighted average number of common shares outstanding: | | | | | |
| Basic: | 14,672 | 14,844 | 15,093 | 15,178 | 14,948 |
| Diluted: | 14,772 | 14,944 | 15,193 | 15,278 | 15,048 |
| Basic: | | | | | |
| Income from continuing operations(c) | \$.21 | \$.50 | \$.19 | \$.21 | \$ 1.12(e) |
| Income from discontinued operations(c) | .01 | .01 | .01 | .01 | .03(e) |
| Net income | \$.22 | \$.51 | \$.20 | \$.22 | \$ 1.15(e) |
| Diluted: | | | | | |
| Income from continuing operations(c) | \$.21 | \$.49 | \$.19 | \$.21 | \$ 1.11(e) |
| Income from discontinued operations(c) | .01 | .01 | .01 | .01 | .03(e) |

Edgar Filing: ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Net income \$.22 \$.50 \$.20 \$.22 \$ 1.14(e)

-
- (c) Represents revenues from discontinued operations which were previously included in rental revenues as previously reported.
 - (d) Amounts have been adjusted to give effect to discontinued operations.
 - (e) Calculated on weighted average shares outstanding for the year.

F-40

Table of Contents

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
Schedule III Consolidated Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation
December 31, 2014
(Amounts in Thousands)

| Type | Location | Encumbrances | Initial Cost to Company | | Cost Capitalized Subsequent to Building & Acquisition | | Gross Amount at Which Carried at December 31, 2014 | | | Accumulated Depreciation | Date of Construction | Date Acquired |
|------------------|---------------------|--------------|-------------------------|--------------|---|--------------|--|--------------|----------|--------------------------|----------------------|---------------|
| | | | Land | Improvements | Land | Improvements | Land | Improvements | Total | | | |
| Flex | Ronkonkoma, NY | \$ 3,702 | \$ 1,042 | \$ 4,171 | \$ 1,496 | \$ 1,042 | \$ 5,667 | \$ 6,709 | \$ 1,690 | 1986 | 2000 | |
| Flex | Hauppauge, NY | 8,326 | 1,952 | 10,954 | | 1,952 | 10,954 | 12,906 | 3,845 | 1982 | 2000 | |
| Flex | Fort Mill, SC | 8,996 | 1,840 | 12,687 | | 1,840 | 12,687 | 14,527 | 512 | 1992 | 2013 | |
| Health & Fitness | Tucker, GA | 4,968 | 807 | 3,027 | 3,126 | 807 | 6,153 | 6,960 | 1,775 | 1988 | 2002 | |
| Health & Fitness | Hamilton, OH | 5,178 | 1,483 | 5,953 | | 1,483 | 5,953 | 7,436 | 580 | 2008 | 2011 | |
| Health & Fitness | Secaucus, NJ | 9,590 | 5,449 | 9,873 | | 5,449 | 9,873 | 15,322 | 507 | 1986 | 2012 | |
| Industrial | Columbus, OH | | 435 | 1,703 | | 435 | 1,703 | 2,138 | 606 | 1979 | 1995 | |
| Industrial | West Palm Beach, FL | | 181 | 724 | | 181 | 724 | 905 | 293 | 1973 | 1998 | |
| Industrial | New Hyde Park, NY | | 182 | 728 | 33 | 182 | 761 | 943 | 292 | 1960 | 1999 | |
| Industrial | Melville, NY | 2,982 | 774 | 3,029 | 975 | 774 | 4,004 | 4,778 | 998 | 1982 | 2003 | |
| Industrial | Philadelphia, PA | 5,489 | 1,981 | 7,668 | | 1,981 | 7,668 | 9,649 | 1,893 | 1964 | 2005 | |
| Industrial | Saco, ME | 3,174 | 1,027 | 3,623 | | 1,027 | 3,623 | 4,650 | 789 | 2001 | 2006 | |
| Industrial | Baltimore, MD(2) | 21,114 | 6,474 | 25,282 | | 6,474 | 25,282 | 31,756 | 5,084 | 1960 | 2006 | |
| Industrial | Durham, NC | 2,053 | 1,043 | 2,404 | | 1,043 | 2,404 | 3,447 | 249 | 1991 | 2011 | |
| Industrial | Pinellas Park, FL | | 1,231 | 1,669 | | 1,231 | 1,669 | 2,900 | 134 | 1995 | 2012 | |
| Industrial | Miamisburg, OH | 822 | 165 | 1,348 | | 165 | 1,348 | 1,513 | 80 | 1987 | 2012 | |
| Industrial | Indianapolis, IN | 6,395 | 1,224 | 6,935 | | 1,224 | 6,935 | 8,159 | 300 | 1997 | 2013 | |
| Industrial | Fort Mill, SC | 26,671 | 1,804 | 33,650 | | 1,804 | 33,650 | 35,454 | 1,543 | 1997 | 2013 | |
| Industrial | New Hope, MN | | 881 | 6,064 | | 881 | 6,064 | 6,945 | 19 | 1967 | 2014 | |
| Industrial | Joppa, MD | | 3,805 | 8,142 | 42 | 3,805 | 8,184 | 11,989 | 115 | 1994 | 2014 | |
| Office | Brooklyn, NY | 5,029 | 1,381 | 5,447 | 2,870 | 1,381 | 8,317 | 9,698 | 2,997 | 1973 | 1998 | |
| Other | Greensboro, NC | 5,038 | | 8,328 | | | 8,328 | 8,328 | 5,567 | 1999 | 2004 | |
| Other | Round Rock, TX | 14,898 | 1,678 | 16,670 | | 1,678 | 16,670 | 18,348 | 578 | 2012 | 2013 | |
| Other | Sandy Springs, GA | | 6,516 | | | 6,516 | | 6,516 | | N/A | 2014 | |
| Other | Indianapolis, IN | 4,500 | 3,087 | 5,225 | | 3,087 | 5,225 | 8,312 | 29 | 1997 | 2014 | |
| Retail | Seattle, WA | | 201 | 189 | | 201 | 189 | 390 | 129 | 1986 | 1987 | |
| Retail | Rosenberg, TX | | 216 | 863 | 67 | 216 | 930 | 1,146 | 422 | 1994 | 1995 | |
| Retail | Denver, CO | 2,801 | 780 | 3,248 | 418 | 780 | 3,666 | 4,446 | 1,598 | 1995 | 1996 | |
| Retail | Ft. Myers, FL | 2,947 | 1,013 | 4,054 | | 1,013 | 4,054 | 5,067 | 1,837 | 1995 | 1996 | |

F-41

Edgar Filing: ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

| Type | Location | Encumbrances | Initial Cost to Company | | Cost Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition | Gross Amount at Which Carried at December 31, 2014 | | Total | Accumulated Depreciation | Date of Construction | Date Acquired |
|--------|---------------------|--------------|------------------------------|-------------------|--|--|-------------------|--------|--------------------------|----------------------|---------------|
| | | | Building & Land Improvements | Land Improvements | | Building & Land Improvements | Land Improvements | | | | |
| Retail | Atlanta, GA | 1,466 | 460 | 2,461 | | 460 | 2,461 | 2,921 | 1,455 | 1994 | 1996 |
| Retail | Houston, TX | | 396 | 1,583 | 30 | 396 | 1,613 | 2,009 | 666 | 1997 | 1998 |
| Retail | Selden, NY | 3,175 | 572 | 2,287 | 150 | 572 | 2,437 | 3,009 | 949 | 1997 | 1999 |
| Retail | Champaign, IL | 1,793 | 791 | 3,165 | 274 | 791 | 3,439 | 4,230 | 1,289 | 1985 | 1999 |
| Retail | El Paso, TX | | 2,821 | 11,123 | 321 | 2,821 | 11,444 | 14,265 | 4,244 | 1974 | 2000 |
| Retail | Lake Charles, LA(3) | | 1,167 | 4,669 | 521 | 1,167 | 5,190 | 6,357 | 1,495 | 1998 | 2002 |
| Retail | Somerville, MA | 1,906 | 510 | 1,993 | 24 | 510 | 2,017 | 2,527 | 598 | 1993 | 2003 |
| Retail | Newark, DE | 1,934 | 935 | 3,643 | 10 | 935 | 3,653 | 4,588 | 1,037 | 1996 | 2003 |
| Retail | Knoxville, TN | 3,781 | 2,290 | 8,855 | | 2,290 | 8,855 | 11,145 | 2,390 | 2003 | 2004 |
| Retail | Athens, GA(3) | 2,978 | 1,130 | 4,340 | | 1,130 | 4,340 | 5,470 | 1,153 | 2003 | 2004 |
| Retail | Onalaska, WI | | 753 | 3,099 | | 753 | 3,099 | 3,852 | 785 | 1994 | 2004 |
| Retail | Bastrop, LA | 993 | 378 | 1,465 | | 378 | 1,465 | 1,843 | 297 | 1995 | 2006 |
| Retail | Kentwood, LA | 966 | 368 | 1,425 | | 368 | 1,425 | 1,793 | 290 | 1995 | 2006 |
| Retail | Monroe, LA | 993 | 378 | 1,465 | | 378 | 1,465 | 1,843 | 297 | 1995 | 2006 |
| Retail | Monroe, LA | 948 | 361 | 1,399 | | 361 | 1,399 | 1,760 | 284 | 1995 | 2006 |
| Retail | D'lberville, MS | 966 | 368 | 1,425 | | 368 | 1,425 | 1,793 | 289 | 1995 | 2006 |
| Retail | Flowood, MS | 1,029 | 392 | 1,517 | | 392 | 1,517 | 1,909 | 308 | 1995 | 2006 |
| Retail | Vicksburg, MS | 940 | 358 | 1,385 | | 358 | 1,385 | 1,743 | 282 | 1985 | 2006 |
| Retail | Vicksburg, MS | 1,145 | 436 | 1,689 | | 436 | 1,689 | 2,125 | 343 | 1995 | 2006 |
| Retail | Hyannis, MA | 831 | 802 | 2,324 | | 802 | 2,324 | 3,126 | 404 | 1998 | 2008 |
| Retail | Marston Mills, MA | 344 | 461 | 2,313 | | 461 | 2,313 | 2,774 | 397 | 1998 | 2008 |
| Retail | Everett, MA | 1,265 | 1,935 | | | 1,935 | | 1,935 | | N/A | 2008 |
| Retail | Royersford, PA | 19,750 | 19,538 | 3,150 | 403 | 19,538 | 3,553 | 23,091 | 412 | 2001 | 2010 |
| Retail | Monroeville, PA | | 450 | 863 | | 450 | 863 | 1,313 | 100 | 1994 | 2010 |
| Retail | Kansas City, MO | 4,133 | 2,958 | 5,691 | | 2,958 | 5,691 | 8,649 | 646 | 2004 | 2010 |
| Retail | Houston, TX | 2,697 | 1,962 | 1,540 | | 1,962 | 1,540 | 3,502 | 182 | 2006 | 2010 |
| Retail | Houston, TX | | 2,002 | 1,800 | | 2,002 | 1,800 | 3,802 | 210 | 2009 | 2010 |
| Retail | Bolingbrook, IL | | 834 | 1,887 | 90 | 834 | 1,977 | 2,811 | 187 | 2001 | 2011 |
| Retail | Crystal Lake, IL | 1,913 | 615 | 1,899 | | 615 | 1,899 | 2,514 | 207 | 1997 | 2011 |
| Retail | Niles, IL | 3,245 | 843 | 3,485 | | 843 | 3,485 | 4,328 | 332 | 1995 | 2011 |
| Retail | Lawrence, KS | | 134 | 938 | | 134 | 938 | 1,072 | 67 | 1915 | 2012 |
| Retail | Greensboro, NC | 1,463 | 1,046 | 1,552 | 29 | 1,046 | 1,581 | 2,627 | 43 | 2002 | 2014 |
| Retail | Highlands Ranch, CO | | 2,361 | 2,924 | | 2,361 | 2,924 | 5,285 | 53 | 1995 | 2014 |
| Retail | Woodbury, MN | 3,183 | 1,190 | 4,003 | | 1,190 | 4,003 | 5,193 | 71 | 2006 | 2014 |
| Retail | Cape Girardeau, MO | 1,336 | 545 | 1,547 | | 545 | 1,547 | 2,092 | 97 | 1994 | 2012 |
| Retail | Cherry Hill, NJ(5) | 7,389 | 3,584 | 2,794 | 4,866 | 3,584 | 7,660 | 11,244 | 1,067 | 2000 | 2011 |
| Retail | Clemmons, NC | 2,410 | 2,564 | 3,293 | | 2,564 | 3,293 | 5,857 | 210 | 1993 | 2013 |
| Retail | Deptford, NJ | 1,924 | 572 | 1,779 | 705 | 572 | 2,484 | 3,056 | 252 | 1981 | 2012 |

F-42

Edgar Filing: ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

| Type | Location | Encumbrances | Initial Cost to Company Building & Acquisition | | | Cost Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition | | Gross Amount at Which Carried at December 31, 2014 | | Accumulated Depreciation | Date of Construction | Date Acquired |
|--------------------|-----------------------|--------------|--|--------------|--------------|--|--------------|--|-------|--------------------------|----------------------|---------------|
| | | | Land | Improvements | Improvements | Land | Improvements | Total | | | | |
| Retail Restaurant | Reading, PA | 787 | 655 | 625 | | 655 | 625 | 1,280 | 70 | 1981 | 2010 | |
| Retail Restaurant | Reading, PA | 775 | 618 | 643 | | 618 | 643 | 1,261 | 73 | 1983 | 2010 | |
| Retail Restaurant | Hanover, PA | 871 | 736 | 686 | | 736 | 686 | 1,422 | 75 | 1992 | 2010 | |
| Retail Restaurant | Gettysburg, PA | 893 | 754 | 704 | | 754 | 704 | 1,458 | 77 | 1991 | 2010 | |
| Retail Restaurant | Trexlertown, PA | 759 | 800 | 439 | | 800 | 439 | 1,239 | 48 | 1994 | 2010 | |
| Retail Restaurant | Island Park, NY | 1,047 | 1,235 | 1,355 | | 1,235 | 1,355 | 2,590 | 164 | 1947 | 2010 | |
| Retail Restaurant | Carrollton, GA | 1,698 | 796 | 1,458 | | 796 | 1,458 | 2,254 | 120 | 1996 | 2012 | |
| Retail Restaurant | Cartersville, GA | 1,606 | 786 | 1,346 | | 786 | 1,346 | 2,132 | 118 | 1995 | 2012 | |
| Retail Restaurant | Kennesaw, GA | 1,316 | 702 | 916 | | 702 | 916 | 1,618 | 80 | 1989 | 2012 | |
| Retail Restaurant | Lawrenceville, GA | 1,263 | 866 | 899 | | 866 | 899 | 1,765 | 100 | 1988 | 2012 | |
| Retail Restaurant | Killeen, TX | | 1,265 | 803 | | 1,265 | 803 | 2,068 | 50 | 2007 | 2013 | |
| Retail Restaurant | Concord, NC | 1,647 | 999 | 1,076 | | 999 | 1,076 | 2,075 | 45 | 2000 | 2013 | |
| Retail Restaurant | Myrtle Beach, SC | 1,647 | 1,102 | 1,161 | | 1,102 | 1,161 | 2,263 | 48 | 1978 | 2013 | |
| Retail Restaurant | Ann Arbor, MI | 1,462 | 1,098 | 1,460 | | 1,098 | 1,460 | 2,558 | 63 | 1998 | 2013 | |
| Retail Restaurant | Greensboro, NC | 3,488 | 1,770 | 1,237 | | 1,770 | 1,237 | 3,007 | 40 | 1983 | 2013 | |
| Retail Restaurant | Richmond, VA | | 1,680 | 1,341 | | 1,680 | 1,341 | 3,021 | 42 | 1983 | 2013 | |
| Retail Restaurant | Indianapolis, IN | 996 | 853 | 1,465 | | 853 | 1,465 | 2,318 | 41 | 1982 | 2014 | |
| Retail Supermarket | West Hartford, CT | | 2,881 | 94 | 326 | 2,881 | 420 | 3,301 | 61 | N/A | 2010 | |
| Retail Supermarket | West Hartford, CT | 12,427 | 9,296 | 4,813 | 261 | 9,296 | 5,074 | 14,370 | 615 | 2005 | 2010 | |
| Retail Supermarket | Philadelphia, PA | 4,626 | 1,793 | 5,640 | | 1,793 | 5,640 | 7,433 | 32 | 1992 | 2014 | |
| Retail Furniture | Columbus, OH | | 1,445 | 5,431 | 350 | 1,445 | 5,781 | 7,226 | 2,475 | 1996 | 1997 | |
| Retail Furniture | Duluth, GA(4) | 1,757 | 778 | 3,436 | | 778 | 3,436 | 4,214 | 748 | 1987 | 2006 | |
| Retail Furniture | Fayetteville, GA(4) | 2,204 | 976 | 4,308 | | 976 | 4,308 | 5,284 | 938 | 1987 | 2006 | |
| Retail Furniture | Wichita, KS(4) | 2,684 | 1,189 | 5,248 | | 1,189 | 5,248 | 6,437 | 1,143 | 1996 | 2006 | |
| Retail Furniture | Lexington, KY(4) | 1,806 | 800 | 3,532 | | 800 | 3,532 | 4,332 | 769 | 1999 | 2006 | |
| Retail Furniture | Bluffton, SC(4) | 1,330 | 589 | 2,600 | | 589 | 2,600 | 3,189 | 566 | 1994 | 2006 | |
| Retail Furniture | Amarillo, TX(4) | 1,948 | 863 | 3,810 | | 863 | 3,810 | 4,673 | 830 | 1996 | 2006 | |
| Retail Furniture | Austin, TX(4) | 3,583 | 1,587 | 7,010 | | 1,587 | 7,010 | 8,597 | 1,526 | 2001 | 2006 | |
| Retail Furniture | Tyler, TX(4) | 2,328 | 1,031 | 4,554 | | 1,031 | 4,554 | 5,585 | 991 | 2001 | 2006 | |
| Retail Furniture | Newport News, VA(4) | 1,696 | 751 | 3,316 | | 751 | 3,316 | 4,067 | 722 | 1995 | 2006 | |
| Retail Furniture | Richmond, VA(4) | 1,957 | 867 | 3,829 | | 867 | 3,829 | 4,696 | 833 | 1979 | 2006 | |
| Retail Furniture | Virginia Beach, VA(4) | 1,928 | 854 | 3,770 | | 854 | 3,770 | 4,624 | 821 | 1995 | 2006 | |
| Retail | Houston, TX | 4,844 | 3,122 | 3,767 | 52 | 3,122 | 3,819 | 6,941 | 321 | 2001 | 2012 | |

F-43

Edgar Filing: ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

| Type | Location | Encumbrances | Initial Cost to Company | | Cost Capitalized Subsequent to | Gross Amount at Which Carried at December 31, 2014 | | Accumulated Depreciation | Date of Construction | Date Acquired | |
|----------------------|-----------------|--------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|---------------|-------|
| | | | Land | Building & Improvements | Improvements | Land | Building & Improvements | | | | Total |
| Retail Restaurant | Hauppauge, NY | 1,910 | 725 | 2,963 | | 725 | 2,963 | 3,688 | 676 | 1992 | 2005 |
| Retail Restaurant | Palmyra, PA | 797 | 650 | 650 | | 650 | 650 | 1,300 | 72 | 1981 | 2010 |
| Retail Furniture | Gurnee, IL | 2,398 | 834 | 3,635 | | 834 | 3,635 | 4,469 | 753 | 1994 | 2006 |
| Retail Furniture | Naples, FL | | 3,070 | 2,846 | | 3,070 | 2,846 | 5,916 | 448 | 1992 | 2008 |
| Retail Office Supply | Batavia, NY(3) | | 515 | 2,061 | | 515 | 2,061 | 2,576 | 818 | 1998 | 1999 |
| Retail Office Supply | Kennesaw, GA(3) | | 1,501 | 4,349 | | 1,501 | 4,349 | 5,850 | 684 | 1995 | 2008 |
| Retail Office Supply | Chicago, IL(3) | | 3,877 | 2,256 | | 3,877 | 2,256 | 6,133 | 355 | 1994 | 2008 |
| Retail Office Supply | Cary, NC(3) | | 1,129 | 3,736 | | 1,129 | 3,736 | 4,865 | 588 | 1995 | 2008 |
| Retail Office Supply | Eugene, OR(3) | | 1,952 | 2,096 | | 1,952 | 2,096 | 4,048 | 330 | 1994 | 2008 |
| Retail Office Supply | El Paso, TX(3) | | 1,035 | 2,700 | | 1,035 | 2,700 | 3,735 | 425 | 1993 | 2008 |
| | | \$ 292,049 | \$ 168,737 | \$ 406,492 | \$ 17,439 | \$ 168,737 | \$ 423,931 | \$ 592,668 | \$ 77,643 | | |

Note 1 Depreciation is provided over the estimated useful lives of the buildings and improvements, which range from 3 to 40 years.

Note 2 Upon purchase of the property in December 2006, a \$416,000 rental income reserve was posted by the seller for the Company's benefit, since the property was not producing sufficient rent at the time of acquisition. The Company recorded the receipt of this rental reserve as a reduction to land and building.

Note 3 These eight properties are retail office supply stores net leased to the same tenant, pursuant to separate leases. Five of these leases contain cross default provisions. They are located in seven states (Illinois, Louisiana, North Carolina, Texas, Georgia, Oregon, and New York).

Note 4 These 11 properties are retail furniture stores covered by one master lease and one loan that is secured by cross collateralized mortgages. They are located in six states (Georgia, Kansas, Kentucky, South Carolina, Texas and Virginia).

Note 5 This property was held-for-sale at December 31, 2014.

Table of Contents

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
Notes to Schedule III
Consolidated Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation

(a) Reconciliation of "Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation"
(Amounts in Thousands)

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------|------------|------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Investment in real estate(b): | | | |
| Balance, beginning of year | \$ 574,424 | \$ 473,341 | \$ 430,337 |
| Addition: Land, buildings and improvements | 57,584 | 101,145 | 43,004 |
| Deduction: Properties sold | (38,247) | | |
| Deduction: Impairment loss/charge | (1,093) | (62) | |
| Balance, end of year | \$ 592,668 | \$ 574,424 | \$ 473,341 |

(c)

| | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Accumulated depreciation(b): | | | |
| Balance, beginning of year | \$ 73,060 | \$ 62,816 | \$ 54,214 |
| Addition: Depreciation | 12,064 | 10,244 | 8,602 |
| Deduction: Accumulated depreciation related to properties sold | (7,481) | | |
| Balance, end of year | \$ 77,643 | \$ 73,060 | \$ 62,816 |

(b) Includes one property held-for-sale in 2014 and two properties held-for-sale in 2013.

(c) The aggregate cost of the properties is approximately \$1,808 lower for federal income tax purposes at December 31, 2014.