

VARIAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS INC
Form 10-Q
August 08, 2006

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

☒ **QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 or 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2006

or

☐ **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 or 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number 1-7598

VARIAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

94-2359345

(I.R.S. Employer
Identification Number)

3100 Hansen Way,

Palo Alto, California

(Address of principal executive offices)

94304-1030

(Zip Code)

(650) 493-4000

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer ☒
filer ☐

Accelerated filer ☐

Non-accelerated

Edgar Filing: VARIAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes ☐ No ☒

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date: 129,931,138 shares of Common Stock, par value \$1 per share, outstanding as of July 28, 2006.

VARIAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC.

FORM 10-Q for the Quarter Ended June 30, 2006

INDEX

<u>Part I.</u>	<u>Financial Information</u>	3
<u>Item 1.</u>	<u>Financial Statements</u>	
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings</u>	3
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets</u>	4
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u>	5
	<u>Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	6
<u>Item 2.</u>	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	22
<u>Item 3.</u>	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	39
<u>Item 4.</u>	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	41
<u>Part II.</u>	<u>Other Information</u>	42
<u>Item 1.</u>	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	42
<u>Item 1A.</u>	<u>Risk Factors</u>	42
<u>Item 2.</u>	<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	57
<u>Item 3.</u>	<u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	57
<u>Item 4.</u>	<u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>	57
<u>Item 5.</u>	<u>Other Information</u>	57
<u>Item 6.</u>	<u>Exhibits</u>	58
<u>Signatures</u>		59
<u>Index to Exhibits</u>		60

PART I**FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. Financial Statements****VARIAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EARNINGS****(Unaudited)**

(In thousands, except per share amounts)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	June 30, 2006	July 1, 2005	June 30, 2006	July 1, 2005
Revenues:				
Product	\$ 329,471	\$ 290,754	\$ 956,165	\$ 835,875
Service contracts and other	66,200	55,787	187,595	160,501
Total revenues	395,671	346,541	1,143,760	996,376
Cost of revenues:				
Product	195,127	162,421	563,209	476,535
Service contracts and other	37,884	32,248	108,042	92,630
Total cost of revenues	233,011	194,669	671,251	569,165
Gross margin	162,660	151,872	472,509	427,211
Operating expenses:				
Research and development	25,456	21,890	72,685	60,486
Selling, general and administrative	64,500	54,678	187,832	151,535
Total operating expenses	89,956	76,568	260,517	212,021
Operating earnings	72,704	75,304	211,992	215,190
Interest income	3,601	2,069	9,984	6,014
Interest expense	(1,027)	(994)	(3,210)	(3,834)
Earnings from operations before taxes	75,278	76,379	218,766	217,370
Taxes on earnings	9,550	25,200	56,080	71,730
Net earnings (1)	\$ 65,728	\$ 51,179	\$ 162,686	\$ 145,640
Net earnings per share - Basic	\$ 0.50	\$ 0.39	\$ 1.24	\$ 1.10
Net earnings per share - Diluted	\$ 0.49	\$ 0.37	\$ 1.20	\$ 1.05
Weighted average shares used in the calculation of:				
Net earnings per share - Basic	131,138	131,933	131,323	132,978
Net earnings per share - Diluted	135,267	136,870	135,915	138,422

(1) For the three months and nine months ended June 30, 2006, net earnings included total share-based compensation expense, net of taxes under SFAS 123(R), of \$6,940 and \$19,906, respectively. For the three months and nine months ended July 1, 2005, net earnings included share-based compensation expense, net of taxes, related to restricted stock, of \$151 and \$574, respectively. See Note 11 of the Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information.

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

VARIAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Unaudited)

(In thousands, except par values)		June 30, 2006	September 30, 2005 (1)
Assets			
Current assets:			
Cash and cash equivalents		\$ 324,711	\$ 243,086
Short-term marketable securities		46,269	135,356
Accounts receivable, net		396,049	351,899
Inventories		196,583	164,873
Prepaid expenses and other		32,030	26,211
Deferred tax assets		101,151	95,470
Total current assets		1,096,793	1,016,895
Property, plant and equipment, net		123,495	114,540
Long-term marketable securities			3,679
Goodwill		121,389	121,389
Other assets		75,171	60,899
Total assets		\$ 1,416,848	\$ 1,317,402
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity			
Current liabilities:			
Accounts payable		\$ 76,122	\$ 71,007
Accrued expenses		300,343	315,287
Current maturities of long-term debt		7,951	2,689
Product warranty		40,609	39,407
Advance payments from customers		133,458	115,543
Total current liabilities		558,483	543,933
Long-term accrued expenses and other		56,820	57,124
Long-term debt		49,409	57,318
Total liabilities		664,712	658,375
Commitments and contingencies (Note 8)			
Stockholders' equity:			
Preferred stock of \$1 par value: 1,000 shares authorized; none issued and outstanding			
Common stock of \$1 par value: 189,000 shares authorized; 130,547 and 130,715 shares issued and outstanding at June 30, 2006 and at September 30, 2005, respectively		130,547	130,715
Capital in excess of par value		244,827	152,263
Deferred stock compensation			(1,797)
Retained earnings		382,583	383,667
Accumulated other comprehensive loss		(5,821)	(5,821)
Total stockholders' equity		752,136	659,027
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity		\$ 1,416,848	\$ 1,317,402

Edgar Filing: VARIAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-Q

(1) The condensed consolidated balance sheet as of September 30, 2005 was derived from audited financial statements as of that date, but does not include all disclosures required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

VARIAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Unaudited)

(In thousands)	Nine Months Ended	
	June 30, 2006	July 1, 2005
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net earnings	\$ 162,686	\$ 145,640
Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Tax benefits from exercises of share-based payment awards	48,933	15,444
Excess tax benefits from share-based compensation	(46,007)	
Share-based compensation expense	30,313	925
Depreciation	17,709	15,695
Provision for doubtful accounts receivable	270	762
Amortization of intangibles	4,363	4,205
Amortization of premium/discount on marketable securities, net	101	352
Deferred taxes	(5,012)	166
Net change in fair value of derivatives and underlying commitments	2,023	1,956
Income on equity investment in affiliate	(1,401)	(1,791)
Loss on disposal of property, plant and equipment	613	220
Other	72	405
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	(40,733)	(31,604)
Inventories	(29,885)	(42,768)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	(10,897)	(7,185)
Accounts payable	4,268	7,023
Accrued expenses	(14,269)	33,970
Product warranty	1,174	(2,376)
Advance payments from customers	17,068	18,831
Long-term accrued expenses and other liabilities	(605)	(940)
Net cash provided by operating activities	140,784	158,930
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Proceeds from maturities of marketable securities	127,665	233,935
Purchases of marketable securities	(35,000)	(135,800)
Purchases of property, plant and equipment	(27,643)	(26,472)
Equity investment in affiliate	(12,267)	
Increase in cash surrender value of life insurance	(4,246)	(6,424)
Acquisition of businesses, net of cash acquired		(12,372)
Proceeds from disposal of property, plant and equipment	366	68
Note receivable from affiliate and other	(17)	(3,024)
Other, net	562	(256)
Net cash provided by investing activities	49,420	49,655
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Repurchases of common stock	(198,535)	(180,806)
Proceeds from issuance of common stock to employees	57,404	26,301
Excess tax benefits from share-based compensation	46,007	
Employees' taxes withheld for restricted performance shares/restricted stock issued	(8,094)	
Repayments of bank borrowings	(2,647)	(5,315)
Net cash used in financing activities	(105,865)	(159,820)
Effects of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(2,714)	(581)

Edgar Filing: VARIAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-Q

Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	81,625	48,184
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	243,086	132,870
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 324,711	\$ 181,054

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

VARIAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Description of Business

Varian Medical Systems, Inc. (VMS) and subsidiaries (collectively, the Company) designs, manufactures, sells and services advanced equipment and software products for treating cancer with radiation. The Company also designs, manufactures, sells and services high quality, cost-effective X-ray tubes for original equipment manufacturers; replacement X-ray tubes; flat panel digital image detectors for filmless X-rays (commonly referred to as flat panel detectors or digital image detectors) for medical, scientific and industrial applications; and linear accelerators for security and inspection purposes.

Fiscal Year

Edgar Filing: VARIAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-Q

The Company's fiscal year is the 52- or 53-week period ending on the Friday nearest September 30. Fiscal year 2006 is the 52-week period ending September 29, 2006, and fiscal year 2005 was the 52-week period ended September 30, 2005. The fiscal quarters ended June 30, 2006 and July 1, 2005 were both 13-week periods.

Basis of Presentation

Edgar Filing: VARIAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-Q

The condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared by the Company pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). Certain information and note disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles have been condensed or omitted pursuant to such rules and regulations. These condensed consolidated financial statements and the accompanying notes are unaudited and should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2005. In the opinion of management, the condensed consolidated financial statements herein include adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring adjustments) necessary for a fair presentation of the Company's financial position as of June 30, 2006 and September 30, 2005, results of operations for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2006 and July 1, 2005, and cash flows for the nine months ended June 30, 2006 and July 1, 2005. The results of operations for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2006 are not necessarily indicative of the operating results to be expected for the full fiscal year or any future periods.

Use of Estimates

Edgar Filing: VARIAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-Q

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

Share-Based Compensation Expense

Effective October 1, 2005, the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123 (revised 2004), *Share-Based Payment* (SFAS 123(R)), which requires the measurement and recognition of compensation expense for all share-based payment awards made to employees and directors, including stock options, employee stock purchases related to the Varian Medical Systems, Inc. Employee Stock Purchase Plan (the Employee Stock Purchase Plan), deferred stock units and restricted stock based on their fair values. SFAS 123(R) supersedes Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees* (APB 25), which the Company previously followed in accounting for stock-based awards. In March 2005, the SEC issued *Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 107* (SAB 107) to provide guidance on SFAS 123(R). The Company has applied SAB 107 in its adoption of SFAS 123(R). See Note 11 of the Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for a detailed discussion of SFAS 123(R).

On November 10, 2005, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued FASB Staff Position (FSP) No. FAS 123(R)-3 *Transition Election Related to Accounting for Tax Effects of Share-Based Payment Awards* (FSP 123(R)-3). The Company has elected to adopt the short-cut method provided in FSP 123(R)-3 for calculating the tax effects of share-based compensation pursuant to SFAS 123(R). The short-cut method includes simplified methods to establish the beginning balance of the additional paid-in capital pool (APIC pool) related to the tax effects of share-based compensation, and to

determine the subsequent impact on the APIC pool and the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows of the tax effects of share-based compensation awards that are outstanding upon adoption of SFAS 123(R).

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In December 2004, the FASB issued FSP No. 109-2, *Accounting and Disclosure Guidance for the Foreign Earnings Repatriation Provision within the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004* (FSP 109-2), which provides guidance under SFAS No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes* (SFAS 109), with respect to recording the potential impact of the repatriation provisions of the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004 (the Jobs Creation Act) on enterprises' income tax expense and deferred tax liability. The Jobs Creation Act was enacted on October 22, 2004. FSP 109-2 states that an enterprise is allowed time beyond the financial reporting period of enactment to evaluate the effect of the Jobs Creation Act on its plan for reinvestment or repatriation of foreign earnings for purposes of applying SFAS 109. During the third quarter of fiscal year 2006, the Company decided to repatriate approximately \$120 million in foreign earnings pursuant to the Jobs Creation Act. The Company had previously recorded a deferred tax liability of approximately \$16 million for taxes for the eventual repatriation of a portion of its foreign earnings. Under the Jobs Creation Act, the Company's tax liability for repatriation of the approximately \$120 million in foreign earnings is expected to be \$6 million. Therefore, the Company recorded a net tax benefit of approximately \$10 million. The Company expects to repatriate the approximately \$120 million during the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2006.

In July 2006, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes - an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109*. This interpretation clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise's financial statements in accordance with SFAS 109. This interpretation is effective for the Company in the first quarter of fiscal year 2008. The Company has not yet evaluated the impact of the adoption of this statement on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

2. MARKETABLE SECURITIES

The carrying amounts of marketable securities, which are all municipal bonds, are reflected as follows:

Edgar Filing: VARIAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-Q

(In millions)	June 30, 2006	September 30, 2005
Short-term marketable securities	\$ 46.3	\$ 135.3
Long-term marketable securities		3.7
Total marketable securities	\$ 46.3	\$ 139.0

3. INVENTORIES

The components of inventories are as follows:

Edgar Filing: VARIAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-Q

(In millions)	June 30, 2006	September 30, 2005
Raw materials and parts	\$ 110.5	\$ 96.4
Work-in-progress	16.1	16.3
Finished goods	70.0	52.2
Total inventories	\$ 196.6	\$ 164.9

4. GOODWILL AND INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Edgar Filing: VARIAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-Q

Pursuant to SFAS No. 142, *Goodwill and Intangible Assets* (SFAS 142), the Company performs an annual impairment test for goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite useful lives. The impairment test for goodwill is a two-step process. Step one consists of a comparison of the fair value of a reporting unit with its carrying amount, including the goodwill allocated to each reporting unit. If the carrying amount is in excess of the fair value, step two requires the comparison of the implied fair value of the reporting unit with the carrying amount of the reporting unit's goodwill. Any excess of the carrying value of the

Edgar Filing: VARIAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-Q

reporting unit's goodwill over the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill will be recorded as an impairment loss. The impairment test for intangible assets with indefinite useful lives consists of a comparison of fair value to carrying value, with any excess of carrying value over fair value being recorded as an impairment loss. Intangible assets with finite useful lives are amortized using the straight-line method over their useful lives, which range from approximately two to twenty years.

The Company performed its annual SFAS 142 goodwill impairment assessment for its three reporting units in the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2005 and determined that there was no impairment. However, the Company could be required to record impairment charges in future periods if indicators of potential impairment exist.

The following table reflects the gross carrying amount and accumulated amortization of the Company's intangible assets included in Other assets on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets:

(In millions)	June 30, 2006	September 30, 2005
Intangible Assets:		
Acquired existing technology	\$ 14.1	\$ 14.1
Patents, licenses and other	13.9	13.9
Customer contracts and supplier relationships	10.1	10.1
Accumulated amortization	(22.8)	(18.4)
Net carrying amount	\$ 15.3	\$ 19.7

Amortization expense for intangible assets required to be amortized under SFAS 142 was \$1.5 million for both the three months ended June 30, 2006 and July 1, 2005 and \$4.4 million and \$4.2 million for the nine months ended June 30, 2006 and July 1, 2005, respectively. The Company estimates amortization expense on a straight-line basis for the remaining three months of fiscal year 2006, fiscal years 2007 through 2010, and thereafter, to be as follows (in millions): \$1.4, \$4.5, \$3.1, \$2.4, \$2.0 and \$1.9.

The following table reflects goodwill allocated to the Company's reportable segments:

(In millions)	June 30, 2006	September 30, 2005
Oncology Systems	\$ 120.9	\$ 120.9
X-ray Products	0.5	0.5
Total	\$ 121.4	\$ 121.4

5. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

In fiscal years 1999 and 2000, VMS invested a total of \$5 million in a three member consortium for a 20% ownership interest in dpiX Holding Company LLC (dpiX Holding), which in turn invested \$25 million for an 80.1% ownership interest in dpiX LLC (dpiX), a supplier of amorphous silicon based thin-film transistor arrays (flat panels) for the Company's X-ray Products' digital image detector products and for its Oncology System's PortalVision imaging systems. The Company purchased flat panels from dpiX totaling approximately \$3.4 million and \$3.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2006 and July 1, 2005, respectively, and \$10.7 million and \$7.2 million for the nine months ended June 30, 2006 and July 1, 2005, respectively, which are included as a component of Inventories in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets and Cost of revenues-Product in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings for such periods. VMS had the right to appoint one manager of the five person board of managers and the investment was accounted for under the equity method. In accordance with the dpiX Holding agreement, net losses were to be allocated to the other two members, in succession, until their capital accounts equaled zero, before being allocated to VMS. The dpiX Holding agreement also provided that net profits were to be allocated to the other two members, in succession, until their capital accounts equaled the net losses previously allocated, then to the three members in accordance with their ownership interests.

In September 2004, VMS acquired another member's 20% ownership interest in dpiX Holding for \$1 million. As a result, VMS has the right to appoint two managers of the five person board of managers and its ownership interest in dpiX Holding increased to 40%, with the remaining 60% being held by the other original member. When VMS acquired this additional 20% ownership interest, the capital account of the selling member was nearly zero because it was the first in the consortium to be allocated losses. However, dpiX Holding has been profitable since VMS acquired the additional 20% ownership interest. As a result, VMS was the first to be allocated net profits to recover previously allocated losses and recorded in the three months ended June 30, 2006 and July 1, 2005 income on the equity investment in dpiX Holding of almost \$0.1 million and \$0.7 million, respectively, and in the nine months ended June 30, 2006 and July 1, 2005 of \$1.4 million and \$1.8 million, respectively, which is included in Selling, general and administrative expenses in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings.

In accordance with the dpiX agreement, the member that owns the other 19.9% ownership interest in dpiX had the right to sell back to dpiX on dpiX's last business day in December 2004, 2005 and 2006, cumulatively all of that member's ownership interest for \$5 million if dpiX had not become a publicly traded company as of the last business day in December 2004. In December 2004, that member exercised its right to sell back to dpiX its 19.9% ownership interest. On each of December 22, 2005 and December 24, 2004, dpiX repurchased from that member a 7.96% ownership interest for a payment of \$2 million (in aggregate, a 15.92% interest for \$4 million). The remaining 3.98% membership interest will be repurchased by dpiX in December 2006. In accordance with the dpiX agreement, the repurchased ownership interest was allocated to the two remaining members of dpiX Holding. As a result, VMS's indirect ownership interest in dpiX has increased to 38.4% as of June 30, 2006.

In December 2004, VMS agreed to loan \$2 million to dpiX in four separate installments, bearing interest at prime rate plus 1% per annum. The principal balance is due and payable to VMS in twelve equal quarterly installments beginning in October 2006; interest is payable in full according to the same quarterly schedule, but beginning in April 2005; and the entire principal balance, together with accrued and unpaid interest thereon and all other related amounts payable thereunder, is fully due and payable on July 10, 2009. As of June 30, 2006, the note receivable from dpiX totaled \$2 million which is primarily included in Other assets in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet.

In March 2006, VMS and the other member of dpiX Holding agreed in principle to invest an aggregate of \$92 million in dpiX Holding for dpiX to acquire and construct a manufacturing facility in Colorado to increase its production capacity. The members' contributions for this facility are based on their percentage of ownership interest in dpiX Holding. As of June 30, 2006, VMS has contributed approximately \$12 million to dpiX Holding related to this manufacturing facility and expects to invest an additional \$25 million over the next 12 months.

6. PRODUCT WARRANTY

The Company provides for estimated future costs of warranty obligations in accordance with FASB Interpretation No. 45, *Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others*, which requires an entity to disclose and recognize a liability for the fair value of the obligation it assumes upon issuance of a guarantee. The Company warrants most of its products for a specific period of time, usually one year, against material defects. For certain software products, the Company usually warrants against material defects for three months. The Company provides for the estimated future costs of warranty obligations in cost of revenues when the related revenues are recognized. The accrued warranty costs represent the best estimate at the time of sale of the total costs that the Company will incur to repair or replace product parts that fail while still under warranty. The amount of accrued estimated warranty costs obligation for established products is primarily based on historical experience as to product failures adjusted for current information on repair costs. For new products, estimates will include historical experience of similar products, as well as reasonable allowance for start-up expenses. On a quarterly basis, the Company reviews the accrued warranty costs and updates the historical warranty cost trends.

The following table reflects the change in the Company's accrued product warranty during the nine months ended June 30, 2006 and July 1, 2005:

(In millions)	Nine Months Ended	
	June 30, 2006	July 1, 2005
Accrued product warranty, at beginning of period	\$ 39.4	\$ 40.7
Charged to cost of revenues	28.7	20.1
Actual product warranty expenditures	(27.5)	(22.5)
Accrued product warranty, at end of period	\$ 40.6	\$ 38.3

7. DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS AND HEDGING ACTIVITIES

The Company has significant transactions denominated in foreign currencies and addresses certain financial exposures through a controlled program of risk management that includes the use of derivative financial instruments. The Company sells products throughout the world, often in the currency of the customer's country, and typically hedges most of these firmly committed foreign currency sales orders. These firmly committed foreign currency sales orders are hedged using forward exchange contracts. The Company enters into foreign currency forward exchange contracts primarily to reduce the effects of fluctuating foreign currency exchange rates. The forward exchange contracts range from one to twelve months in original maturity. As of June 30, 2006, the Company did not have any forward exchange contracts with an original maturity greater than twelve months. As international deliveries may extend beyond twelve months, the Company may hedge beyond twelve months in the future. The Company does not hold derivative instruments for speculative or trading purposes.

Edgar Filing: VARIAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-Q

The Company accounts for its hedges of foreign currency denominated sales orders (firm commitments) as fair value hedges as prescribed by SFAS No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, as amended by SFAS No. 149, *Amendment of SFAS No. 133 on Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities* (SFAS 133). For the three and nine months ended June 30, 2006, there were no material gains or losses due to hedge ineffectiveness. At June 30, 2006, the Company had foreign exchange forward contracts for fair value hedges with notional values to sell and purchase \$237.5 million and \$9.2 million, respectively, in various foreign currencies. At June 30, 2006, all open forward exchange contracts were deemed effective.

The Company also hedges balance sheet exposures from its various foreign subsidiaries and business units. The Company enters into monthly foreign currency forward exchange contracts to minimize the short-term impact of foreign currency fluctuations on assets and liabilities denominated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar functional currency. These monthly hedges of foreign-currency-denominated assets and liabilities do not qualify for hedge accounting treatment under SFAS 133. For derivative instruments not designated as hedging instruments, changes in their fair values are recognized in Selling, general and administrative expenses in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings. Changes in the values of these hedging instruments are offset by changes in the values of foreign currency denominated assets and liabilities. Variations from the forecasted foreign currency assets or liabilities, coupled with a significant currency movement, may result in a material gain or loss if the hedges are not effectively offsetting the change in value of the foreign currency asset or liability.

8. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Commitments

Following a decision by Mitsubishi Electric Co. (MELCO) to exit the radiotherapy equipment and service business and its desire to do so in a nondisruptive manner with an established radiotherapy equipment service provider, the Company entered into two separate transactions with MELCO contemporaneously whereby (i) the Company purchased MELCO's radiotherapy equipment service business (the Service Business) to service MELCO's existing customers and (ii) the Company formed a three-year joint venture (JVA) in Japan with MELCO that was effective as of February 3, 2004.

On February 2, 2004, the Company's Japanese subsidiary (VMS KK) purchased the Service Business in Japan and certain other Asian and South American countries for 2.0 billion Japanese Yen, or US\$19.1 million, plus a contingent earn out payable to MELCO at the end of the JVA period. This earn out payment is equivalent to 100% of the net profits or losses

of the Service Business for a three-year period. The Company accounted for the purchase of the Service Business as an acquisition and 100% of the profits and losses from VMS KK are reflected in the Company's consolidated results. The Company accounts for the earn out payment equivalent to 100% of the net profits or losses of the Service Business during the three-year period as an adjustment to the purchase price of the acquisition at the end of the JVA period. For the period from February 2, 2004 to June 30, 2006, net profits for the Service Business totaled approximately \$3.7 million. Assuming no future profits or losses, \$3.7 million would be payable to MELCO at the end of the three-year JVA period.

In addition to purchasing the Service Business, the Company entered into a distributor arrangement with MELCO to sell MELCO radiotherapy equipment products through VMS KK for two years. During that two-year period ended February 2, 2006, the Company did not sell any MELCO radiotherapy equipment products.

The JVA was accomplished through MELCO's purchase on February 3, 2004 of a 35% ownership interest in VMS KK for 1.4 billion Japanese Yen, or US\$13.5 million. During the three-year JVA period, MELCO is not entitled to any profits or losses generated by VMS KK. However, MELCO is entitled to elect one of the five members of VMS KK's board of directors. At the end of the three-year JVA period, MELCO is required to unconditionally sell and the Company is required to unconditionally repurchase MELCO's 35% ownership interest in VMS KK at the original sale price (1.4 billion Japanese Yen) and there are no settlement alternatives to such a repurchase obligation. The Company has accounted for MELCO's 35% ownership interest as a mandatorily redeemable financial instrument, which is included in Long-term accrued expenses and other in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Contingencies

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) or third parties have named the Company as a potentially responsible party (PRP) under the Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act of 1980, as amended (CERCLA), at eight sites where the Company, as Varian Associates, Inc., is alleged to have shipped manufacturing waste for recycling or disposal, and as a PRP the Company may have an obligation to reimburse the EPA or other third parties for cleanup costs at these sites. In addition, the Company is overseeing environmental cleanup projects and, as applicable, reimbursing third parties for cleanup activities under the direction of, or in consultation with, federal, state and/or local agencies at certain current VMS or former Varian Associates, Inc. facilities (including facilities disposed of in connection with the Company's sale of its electron devices business during 1995 and the sale of its thin film systems business during 1997). Under the terms of the agreement governing the distribution of the shares (the spin-offs) of Varian, Inc. (VI) and Varian Semiconductor Equipment Associates, Inc. (VSEA), by the Company in 1999, VI and VSEA are each obligated to indemnify the Company for one-third of these environmental cleanup costs (after adjusting for any insurance proceeds realized or tax benefits recognized by the Company). The Company spent \$0.2 million and \$0.1 million (net of amounts borne by VI and VSEA) during the three months ended June 30, 2006 and July 1, 2005, respectively, on environmental cleanup costs, third-party claim costs, project management costs and legal costs. The Company spent \$0.8 million (net of amounts borne by VI and VSEA) during both the nine months ended June 30, 2006 and July 1, 2005, on environmental cleanup costs, third-party claim costs, project management costs and legal costs.

Various uncertainties make it difficult to estimate the likelihood or cost of certain third-party claims, project management costs and legal costs at all of the sites and facilities. In addition, for these sites and one of these facilities, various uncertainties make it difficult to assess the likelihood and scope of further cleanup activities or to estimate the future costs of such activities. As of June 30, 2006, the Company nonetheless estimated that the Company's future exposure (net of VI's and VSEA's indemnification obligations) for these cleanup costs, third-party claims, project management costs and legal costs ranged in the aggregate from \$3.7 million to \$7.1 million. The time frame over which the Company expects to complete the cleanup projects varies, ranging up to approximately 30 years as of June 30, 2006. Management believes that no amount in the foregoing range of estimated future costs is more probable of being incurred than any other amount in such range and therefore accrued \$3.7 million as of June 30, 2006. The amount accrued has not been discounted to present value due to the uncertainties that make it difficult to develop a best estimate of future costs.

The Company has gained sufficient knowledge to better estimate the scope and costs of future cleanup activities for all but one of these facilities based upon formal agreements with other parties defining the Company's future liabilities or formal cleanup plans that have either been approved by or completed in accordance with the requirements of the state or federal environmental agency with jurisdiction over the facility. As of June 30, 2006, the Company estimated that the Company's future exposure (net of VI's and VSEA's indemnification obligations) for the cleanup costs at these facilities, and reimbursements of third-party's claims for these facilities, ranged in the aggregate from \$10.2 million to \$45.5 million. The

time frame over which these cleanup projects are expected to be completed varies with each facility, ranging from approximately 10 years to approximately 30 years as of June 30, 2006. As to each of these facilities, management determined that a particular amount within the range of estimated costs was a better estimate of the future environmental liability than any other amount within the range, and that the amount and timing of these future costs were reliably determinable. The best estimate within the range was \$18.6 million at June 30, 2006. The Company accordingly accrued \$12.3 million, which represents its best estimate of the future costs of \$18.6 million discounted at 4%, net of inflation. This accrual is in addition to the \$3.7 million described in the preceding paragraph.

The foregoing amounts are only estimates of anticipated future environmental-related costs to cover the known cleanup projects, and the amounts actually spent may be greater or less than such estimates. The aggregate range of cost estimates reflects various uncertainties inherent in many environmental cleanup activities, the large number of sites and facilities involved and the amount of third-party claims. The Company believes that most of these cost ranges will narrow as cleanup activities progress. The Company believes that its reserves are adequate, but as the scope of its obligations becomes more clearly defined, these reserves (and the associated indemnification obligations of VI and VSEA) may be modified and related charges/credits against earnings may be made.

Although any ultimate liability arising from environmental-related matters described herein could result in significant expenditures that, if aggregated and assumed to occur within a single fiscal year would be material to the Company's consolidated financial statements, the likelihood of such occurrence is considered remote. Based on information currently available to management and its best assessment of the ultimate amount and timing of environmental related events (and assuming VI and VSEA satisfy their indemnification obligations), management believes that the costs of these environmental-related matters are not reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial statements of the Company in any fiscal year.

The Company evaluates its liability for environmental-related investigation and cleanup costs in light of the liability and financial strength of potentially responsible parties and insurance companies with respect to which the Company believes that it has rights to contribution, indemnity and/or reimbursement (in addition to the obligations of VI and VSEA). Claims for recovery of environmental investigation and cleanup costs already incurred, and to be incurred in the future, have been asserted against various insurance companies and other third parties. The Company receives certain cash payments in the form of settlements and judgments from defendants, its insurers and other third parties from time to time. The Company has also reached an agreement with another insurance company under which the insurance company has agreed to pay a portion of the Company's past and future environmental-related expenditures, and the Company therefore included a \$3.1 million receivable in Other assets at June 30, 2006. The Company believes that this receivable is recoverable because it is based on a binding, written settlement agreement with a solvent and financially viable insurance company and the insurance company has in the past paid the claims that the Company has made.

The Company is also involved in other legal proceedings arising in the ordinary course of its business. While there can be no assurances as to the ultimate outcome of any litigation involving the Company, management does not believe any pending legal proceeding will result in a judgment or settlement that would have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

9. RETIREMENT PLANS

The Company's net defined benefit and post-retirement benefit costs were composed of the following:

(In thousands)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	June 30, 2006	July 1, 2005	June 30, 2006	July 1, 2005
Defined Benefit Plans				
Service cost	\$ 930	\$ 757	\$ 2,788	\$ 2,273
Interest cost	843	776	2,531	2,330
Expected return on plan assets	(840)	(731)	(2,522)	(2,195)
Amortization of transition amount	(2)	60	(6)	180
Amortization of prior service cost	33	34	97	100
Recognized actuarial loss	212	180	638	538
Net pension benefit cost	\$ 1,176	\$ 1,076	\$ 3,526	\$ 3,226
Post-Retirement Benefit Plans				
Service cost	\$	\$	\$	\$
Interest cost	70	92	212	276
Expected return on plan assets				
Amortization of transition amount	125	124	371	370
Amortization of prior service cost	1		3	
Recognized actuarial gain	(1)	(3)	(3)	(9)
Net pension benefit cost	\$ 195	\$ 213	\$ 583	\$ 637

The Company made contributions to the defined benefit plans of \$7.1 million during the nine months ended June 30, 2006. This amount is greater than the contributions of \$3.7 million estimated for fiscal year 2006 as of March 31, 2006 due to a discretionary employer contribution of \$3.5 million made to improve the funding level of the pension plan in the United Kingdom during the third quarter of fiscal year 2006. The Company currently expects total contributions to the defined benefit plans for fiscal year 2006 will be approximately \$7.2 million. The Company made contributions to the post-retirement benefit plans of \$0.4 million during the nine months ended June 30, 2006. The Company currently expects total contributions to the post-retirement benefit plans for fiscal year 2006 will be approximately \$0.5 million.

10. STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY***Stock Repurchase Program***

On November 21, 2005, the Company announced that its Board of Directors had authorized the repurchase by the Company of up to 6,000,000 shares of its common stock through December 31, 2006 in addition to the 1,500,000 shares of common stock that had been available for repurchase under the previously approved program as of September 30, 2005. During the nine months ended June 30, 2006, the Company paid \$198.5 million to repurchase 3,895,100 shares of its common stock, of which \$74.7 million was paid to repurchase 1,500,000 shares during the three months ended June 30, 2006. All shares that have been repurchased have been retired. As of June 30, 2006, 3,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock remained available for repurchase under the new program.

Comprehensive Earnings

Comprehensive earnings for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2006 and July 1, 2005 equaled the reported net earnings.

11. EMPLOYEE STOCK PLANS

During fiscal year 1991, VMS adopted the stockholder-approved Omnibus Stock Plan (the "Omnibus Plan") under which shares of common stock could be issued to officers, directors, key employees and consultants. The Omnibus Plan was amended and restated as of the spin-offs. The maximum number of shares that could have been issued was limited to twenty

million shares. Stock options granted under the Omnibus Plan have an exercise price equal to the fair market value of the underlying stock on the grant date (unless the stock market was closed on the grant date, in which case the exercise price was equal to the average of the highest and lowest quoted selling prices on the stock market on the day before and the day after the grant date) and expire no later than ten years from the grant date. Options granted under the Omnibus Plan before November 2000 were generally exercisable in cumulative installments of one-third each year, commencing one year following date of grant. Options granted after November 2000 were exercisable in the following manner: the first one-third one year from the date of grant, with the remainder vesting monthly during the following two-year period. No further awards may be made under the Omnibus Plan.

In November 2000, VMS adopted the 2000 Stock Option Plan (the "2000 Plan"), which was intended to supplement the Omnibus Plan. The maximum number of shares that could have been issued was limited to twelve million shares. The 2000 Plan is similar to the Omnibus Plan in all material respects, with the exception that shares available for awards under the 2000 Plan could not be issued to directors or officers of VMS. Stock options granted under the 2000 Plan are exercisable for the first one-third of the option shares one year from the date of grant, with the remainder vesting monthly during the following two-year period. Other terms of the 2000 Plan mirror the Omnibus Plan. No further awards may be made under the 2000 Plan.

In February 2005, VMS's stockholders approved the 2005 Omnibus Stock Plan (the "2005 Plan"), which provides for the grant of equity incentive awards, including stock options, restricted stock, stock appreciation rights, performance units, restricted stock units and performance shares of up to (a) four million shares, plus (b) the number of shares authorized for issuance, but never issued, under the Omnibus Plan and the 2000 Plan, plus (c) the number of shares subject to awards previously granted under the Omnibus Plan and 2000 Plan that terminate, expire, or lapse and (d) amounts granted in substitution of options in connection with certain transactions. For purposes of the total number of shares available for grant under the 2005 Plan, any shares that are subject to awards of stock options or stock appreciation rights shall be counted against the available-for-grant limit as one share for every one share issued, and any shares issued in connection with awards other than stock options and stock appreciation rights shall be counted against the available-for-grant limit as three shares for every one share issued. All awards may be subject to restrictions on transferability and continued employment as determined by the Compensation and Management Development Committee.

In November 2005, the Company's Board of Directors approved changes in the employee service requirement for grants of non-qualified stock options made on or after November 17, 2005 under the 2005 Plan to employees who qualify for retirement at the time of termination from the Company. Under the new requirements, if an employee retires within one year of the grant date, the number of shares subject to the stock option shall be reduced proportionally by the time during such one-year period that the employee ceased to be an active, full-time employee of the Company (based upon a 365 day year). The revised number of shares subject to the stock option would continue to vest in accordance with the original vesting schedule, and the remaining shares cancelled as of the date of retirement. Under the old requirements, if an employee retired within one year of the grant date, all shares subject to the option grant would continue to vest in accordance with the original vesting schedule.

In February 2006, VMS's stockholders approved the Amended and Restated 2005 Omnibus Stock Plan (the "Amended 2005 Plan"), which modified the 2005 Plan to permit the grant of deferred stock units to non-employee directors. All other aspects of the Amended 2005 Plan are the same as in the 2005 Plan. Each deferred stock unit is deemed to be the equivalent of one share of VMS's common stock. Deferred stock units will vest over a period of not less than one year from the date of grant, unless otherwise provided in the grant agreement as determined by VMS's Board of Directors, and vesting may be pro rata during the vesting period. Payment of deferred stock units generally will be made in shares of VMS's common stock.

Effective October 1, 2005, the Company adopted SFAS 123(R) using the modified prospective transition method, which requires the measurement and recognition of compensation expense for all share-based payment awards made to the Company's employees and directors including stock options and employee stock purchases under the Employee Stock Purchase Plan, deferred stock units and restricted stock based on fair values. The Company's financial statements as of and for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2006 reflect the impact of SFAS 123(R). In accordance with the modified prospective transition method, the Company's financial statements for prior periods have not been restated to reflect, and do not include, the impact of SFAS 123(R). Share-based compensation expense is based on the value of the portion of share-based payment awards that is ultimately expected to vest. Share-based compensation expense recognized in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings during the three and nine months ended June 30, 2006 included compensation expense for share-based payment awards granted prior to, but not yet vested as of, September 30, 2005 based

on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the pro forma provisions of SFAS No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation* (SFAS 123), and compensation expense for the share-based payment awards granted subsequent to September 30, 2005 based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of SFAS 123(R). In conjunction with the adoption of SFAS 123(R), the Company elected to attribute the value of share-based compensation to expense using the straight-line method, which was previously used for its pro forma information required under SFAS 123. During the three and nine months ended June 30, 2006, total share-based compensation expense, before taxes on earnings, was \$10.4 million and \$30.3 million, respectively. During the three and nine months ended July 1, 2005, there was no share-based compensation expense related to stock options and employee stock purchases recognized under the intrinsic value method of APB 25. During the three and nine months ended July 1, 2005, share-based compensation expense related to restricted stock, before taxes on earnings, was \$0.3 million and \$0.9 million, respectively, which was recorded under APB 25.

Upon adoption of SFAS 123(R), the Company elected to value its share-based payment awards granted beginning in fiscal year 2006 using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model (the Black-Scholes model), which was previously used for its pro forma information required under SFAS 123. The Black-Scholes model was developed for use in estimating the fair value of traded options that have no vesting restrictions and are fully transferable. The Black-Scholes model requires the input of certain assumptions. VMS 's stock options and the option component of the Employee Stock Purchase Plan shares have characteristics significantly different from those of traded options, and changes in the assumptions can materially affect the fair value estimates.

The fair value of options granted and the option component of the Employee Stock Purchase Plan shares were estimated at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes model with the following weighted average assumptions:

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	June 30, 2006	July 1, 2005	June 30, 2006	July 1, 2005
Employee Stock Option Plans				
Expected term (in years)	4.10	4.00	4.17	4.00
Risk-free interest rate	5.0	% 3.7	% 4.4	% 3.5
Expected volatility	28.6	% 27.3	% 29.3	% 30.3
Expected dividend				
Weighted average fair value at grant date	\$ 15.56	\$ 10.20	\$ 15.48	\$ 11.66
Employee Stock Purchase Plan				
Expected term (in years)	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50
Risk-free interest rate	5.0	% 3.2	% 4.7	% 3.1
Expected volatility	20.3	% 17.0	% 24.9	% 20.9
Expected dividend				
Weighted average fair value at grant date	\$ 12.15	\$ 10.62	\$ 9.95	\$ 10.05

The expected term of stock options represents the weighted-average period the stock options are expected to remain outstanding. The expected term is based on the observed and expected time to post-vesting exercise and forfeitures of option by employees. Upon the adoption of SFAS 123(R), the Company determined the expected term of stock options based on the demographic grouping of employees and retirement eligibility. Prior to October 1, 2005, the Company determined the expected term of stock options based on the demographic grouping of employees. Upon the adoption of SFAS 123(R), the Company used a combination of historical and implied volatility (blended volatility) in deriving its expected volatility assumption as allowed under SFAS 123(R) and SAB 107. Implied volatility was derived based on six-month traded options on VMS 's common stock. Prior to October 1, 2005, the Company used its historical stock price volatility in accordance with SFAS 123 for purposes of its pro forma information. The selection of the blended volatility approach was based upon the availability of traded options on VMS 's stock and the Company 's assessment that blended volatility is more representative of future stock price trends than just historical volatility alone. The risk-free interest rate assumption is based upon observed interest rates appropriate for the term of VMS 's stock options. The expected dividend assumption is based on the Company 's history and expectation of dividend payouts.

Edgar Filing: VARIAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-Q

As share-based compensation expense recognized in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2006 is based on awards ultimately expected to vest, it has been reduced for estimated forfeitures. SFAS 123(R) requires forfeitures to be estimated at the time of grant and revised, if necessary, in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates. Forfeitures were estimated based on historical experience. In the Company's pro forma information required under SFAS 123 for the periods prior to October 1, 2005, the Company accounted for forfeitures as they occurred.

The table below summarizes the effect of recording share-based compensation expense under SFAS 123(R) for the three months and nine months ended June 30, 2006 which is allocated as follows:

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2006	Nine Months Ended June 30, 2006
(In thousands, except per share amounts)		
Cost of revenues - Product	\$ 1,084	\$ 2,668
Cost of revenues - Service contracts and other	767	2,161
Research and development	1,121	3,214
Selling, general and administrative	7,464	22,269
Taxes on earnings	(3,496)	(10,406)
Net decrease in net earnings	\$ 6,940	\$ 19,906
Increase (decrease) on:		
Cash flows from operating activities	\$ (2,552)	\$ (46,007)
Cash flows from financing activities	\$ 2,552	\$ 46,007
Decrease on:		
Net earnings per share Basic	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.15
Net earnings per share Diluted	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.15

During the three months and nine months ended June 30, 2006, total share-based compensation expense recognized in earnings before taxes was \$10.4 million and \$30.3 million, respectively, and the total related recognized tax benefit was \$3.5 million and \$10.4 million, respectively. During the three months and nine months ended July 1, 2005, total share-based compensation expense recognized in earnings before taxes was \$0.3 million and \$0.9 million, respectively, and the total related recognized tax benefit was \$0.1 million and \$0.3 million, respectively. Total share-based compensation expense capitalized as part of inventory for the three months and nine months ended June 30, 2006 was \$0.6 million and \$1.7 million, respectively.

Activity under the Omnibus Plan, the 2000 Plan, the 2005 Plan and the Amended 2005 Plan (together, the Employee Stock Plans) is presented below:

(In thousands, except per share amounts and contractual term)	Shares Available for Grant	Number of Shares Outstanding	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (in years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (\$)
Balance at September 30, 2005	6,950	16,606	\$ 22.56		
Granted (1)	(3,272)	2,599	50.42		
Cancelled or expired (2)	136	(137)	38.64		
Exercised		(3,387)	15.63		
Balance at June 30, 2006	3,814	15,681	\$ 28.53	6.4	\$ 302,981
Exercisable at June 30, 2006		11,583	\$ 22.57	5.5	\$ 287,905

(1) During the nine months ended June 30, 2006, VMS issued 201,701 shares (net of 161,931 shares withheld for employees' taxes) under the Omnibus Plan and the 2000 Plan pursuant to restricted performance shares awarded to several senior executives in fiscal year 2001 which vested in November 2005. VMS also granted to certain employees an aggregate of 6,500 shares of restricted common stock under the 2005 Plan and the Amended 2005 Plan. In addition, VMS awarded to its directors an aggregate of 16,000 deferred stock units under the Amended 2005 Plan. Restricted common stock, restricted performance shares and deferred stock units awarded under the 2005 Plan and the Amended 2005 Plan are deducted from shares available for grant in a one to three ratio.

(2) During the nine months ended June 30, 2006, VMS excluded from shares available for grant 4,000 shares of expired options that were granted before the spin-offs of VI and VSEA under VMS's previous, now inactive, stock option plans. In addition, during the nine months ended June 30, 2006 VMS cancelled 1,000 shares of restricted common stock that had been previously granted to an employee.

(3) The aggregate intrinsic value represents the total pre-tax intrinsic value, based on VMS's closing stock price of \$47.35 as of June 30, 2006, which would have been received by the option holders had all option holders exercised their options as of that date.

During the three months and nine months ended June 30, 2006, the total pre-tax intrinsic value of options exercised was \$7 million and \$120 million, respectively. The following table summarizes information related to options outstanding and exercisable under the Employee Stock Plans at June 30, 2006:

Range of Exercise Prices (Shares in thousands)	Options Outstanding			Options Exercisable		
	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (in years)	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	
\$3.88 - \$13.89	1,085	2.5	\$ 5.14	1,085	\$ 5.14	
\$13.95 - \$14.72	2,928	4.4	13.95	2,928	13.95	
\$14.73 - \$21.27	1,808	5.2	17.91	1,808	17.91	
\$21.50 - \$29.19	2,054	6.0	24.41	2,054	24.41	
\$32.10 - \$39.85	4,990	7.3	35.78	3,442	34.88	
\$40.21 - \$52.07	2,683	9.2	49.17	194	41.84	
\$52.08 - \$60.32	133	9.6	60.05	72	60.32	
Total	15,681	6.4	\$ 28.53	11,583	\$ 22.57	

SFAS 123(R) requires the Company to present pro forma information for the comparative period prior to the adoption as if it had accounted for all of its stock options under the fair value method of SFAS 123.

Edgar Filing: VARIAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-Q

The following table illustrates the pro forma information regarding the effect on net earnings and net earnings per share if the Company had accounted for the share-based employee compensation under the fair value method of accounting:

(In thousands, except per share amounts)	Three Months Ended July 1, 2005	Nine Months Ended July 1, 2005
Net earnings, as reported	\$ 51,179	\$ 145,640
Add: Stock-based employee compensation expense included in reported net earnings under APB No. 25, net of related tax effects	197	619
Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation determined under the fair value method for all awards, net of related tax effects	(7,471)	(19,386)
Pro forma net earnings	\$ 43,905	\$ 126,873
Net earnings per share Basic:		
As reported	\$ 0.39	\$ 1.10
Pro forma	\$ 0.33	\$ 0.95
Net earnings per share Diluted:		
As reported	\$ 0.37	\$ 1.05
Pro forma	\$ 0.32	\$ 0.92

As of June 30, 2006, there was \$43 million of total unrecognized compensation expense related to stock options granted under the Employee Stock Plans. This unrecognized compensation expense is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 1.2 years.

The activity for restricted stock, restricted performance shares and deferred stock units is summarized as follows:

(In thousands, except per share amounts)	Shares	Weighted Average Grant-Date Fair Value
Balance at September 30, 2005	409	\$ 16.90
Granted	22	57.11
Vested	(364)	14.02
Cancelled or expired	(1)	39.11
Balance at June 30, 2006	66	\$ 46.08

As of June 30, 2006, unrecognized compensation expense totaling \$2.7 million was related to restricted stock and deferred stock units granted under the Employee Stock Plans. This unrecognized compensation expense is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 8.5 years. The 364,275 shares that vested during the nine months ended June 30, 2006 were restricted performance shares and restricted stock, and the total fair value of these shares upon vesting was \$18 million. The Company withheld 162,288 shares (approximately \$8 million) for employees' taxes at vesting.

12. EARNINGS PER SHARE

Basic net earnings per share is computed by dividing net earnings by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding for the period. Diluted net earnings per share is computed by dividing net earnings by the sum of the weighted average number of common shares outstanding and dilutive common shares under the treasury method.

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted net earnings per share:

(In thousands, except per share amounts)		Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
		June 30, 2006	July 1, 2005	June 30, 2006	July 1, 2005
Net earnings		\$ 65,728	\$ 51,179	\$ 162,686	\$ 145,640
Basic weighted average shares outstanding		131,138	131,933	131,323	132,978
Dilutive stock option shares		4,115	4,586	4,579	5,098
Dilutive restricted performance shares and restricted common stock		14	351	13	346
Diluted weighted average shares outstanding		135,267	136,870	135,915	138,422
Net earnings per share Basic		\$ 0.50	\$ 0.39	\$ 1.24	\$ 1.10
Net earnings per share Diluted		\$ 0.49	\$ 0.37	\$ 1.20	\$ 1.05

The Company excludes options from the computation of diluted weighted average shares outstanding if the assumed proceeds, including the sum of (a) the exercise price of the options, (b) the amount of compensation cost attributed to future services and not yet recognized and (c) the amount of tax benefit, are greater than the average market price of the shares because the inclusion of these options would be antidilutive to earnings per share. Accordingly, stock options to purchase 4,179,704 shares and 2,853,586 shares at an average exercise price of \$46.40 and \$39.88 per share, respectively, were excluded from the computation of diluted weighted average shares outstanding for the three months ended June 30, 2006 and July 1, 2005, respectively. Stock options to purchase 4,169,705 shares and 2,691,728 shares at an average exercise price of \$46.42 and \$40.10 per share, respectively, were excluded from the computation of diluted weighted average shares outstanding for the nine months ended June 30, 2006 and July 1, 2005, respectively.

13. SEGMENT INFORMATION

The Company's operations are grouped into two reportable operating segments: Oncology Systems and X-ray Products. These reportable operating segments were determined based on how the Company's Chief Executive Officer, its Chief Operating Decision Maker (CODM), views and evaluates the Company's operations. Beginning on October 1, 2005, the Company moved the BrachyTherapy business from the Other category to the Oncology Systems business segment as the CODM has begun to evaluate the BrachyTherapy business as part of the Oncology Systems business segment due to the natural synergies in the area of radiation oncology. At the same time, the Company moved the Security and Inspection Products business (SIP) from the Oncology Systems business segment into the Other category. The Company's Ginzton Technology Center (GTC) and SIP are reflected in the Other category because neither GTC nor SIP meets the criteria of a reportable operating segment as defined under SFAS No. 131, *Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information*. All prior period amounts have been adjusted retrospectively to reflect the new segments. The CODM allocates resources to and evaluates the financial performance of each operating segment primarily based on operating earnings.

The following table summarizes selected operating results information for each business segment:

(In millions)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	June 30, 2006	July 1, 2005	June 30, 2006	July 1, 2005
Revenues				
Oncology Systems	\$ 331	\$ 289	\$ 954	\$ 830
X-ray Products	56	49	169	144
Total reportable segments	\$ 387	\$ 338	\$ 1,123	\$ 974
Other	9	8	21	22
Corporate				
Total company	\$ 396	\$ 346	\$ 1,144	\$ 996
Operating Earnings				
Oncology Systems	\$ 76	\$ 76	\$ 223	\$ 210
X-ray Products	11	9	32	28
Total reportable segments	\$ 87	\$ 85	\$ 255	\$ 238
Other	(2)		(5)	2
Corporate	(12)	(10)	(38)	(25)
Total company	\$ 73	\$ 75	\$ 212	\$ 215

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and

Stockholders of Varian Medical Systems, Inc.:

We have reviewed the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet of Varian Medical Systems, Inc. and its subsidiaries (the Company) as of June 30, 2006, and the related condensed consolidated statements of earnings for the three-month and nine-month periods ended June 30, 2006 and July 1, 2005 and the condensed consolidated statement of cash flows for the three-month and nine-month periods ended June 30, 2006 and July 1, 2005. These interim financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management.

We conducted our review in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our review, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to the accompanying condensed consolidated interim financial statements for them to be in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We have previously audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheet as of September 30, 2005, the related consolidated statements of earnings, stockholders' equity and cash flows for the year then ended, management's assessment of the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2005 and the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2005; and in our report dated December 9, 2005 on financial statements and internal control over financial reporting, we expressed unqualified opinions thereon. The consolidated financial statements and management's assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting referred to above are not presented herein. In our opinion, the information set forth in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet as of September 30, 2005, is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the consolidated balance sheet from which it has been derived.

/s/ pricewaterhousecoopers llp

San Jose, California
July 26, 2006

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Forward-Looking Statements

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 which provides a safe harbor for statements about future events, products and future financial performance that are based on the beliefs of, estimates made by and information currently available to the management of Varian Medical Systems, Inc. (VMS) and its subsidiaries (we, our, or the Company). The outcome of the events described in these forward-looking statements is subject to risks and uncertainties. Actual results and the outcome or timing of certain events may differ significantly from those projected in these forward-looking statements or management's current expectations due to the factors cited in this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, or MD&A, the Risk Factors listed under Part II, Item 1A of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, and other factors described from time to time in our other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, or other reasons, which are by this reference incorporated in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. For this purpose, statements concerning industry or market segment outlook; market acceptance of or transition to new products or technology such as intensity-modulated radiation therapy, or IMRT, image-guided radiation therapy, or IGRT, brachytherapy, software, treatment techniques, stereotactic radiosurgery, filmless X-rays and security and inspection products; growth drivers; orders, revenues, backlog or earnings growth; future financial results and any statements using the terms believe, expect, expectation, anticipate, can, should, will, would, could, estimate, appear, based on, may, intended, potential and possible or similar statements are forward-looking statements. By making forward-looking statements, we have not assumed any obligation to, and you should not expect us to, update or revise those statements because of new information, future events or otherwise.

Overview

Our revenues and net orders for the third quarter of fiscal year 2006 were up 14% and 19%, respectively, from the third quarter of fiscal year 2005. Gross margins decreased 2.7 percentage points in the third quarter of fiscal year 2006 over the same period of fiscal year 2005 due primarily to a decrease in our Oncology Systems gross margins. Our net earnings for the third quarter of fiscal year 2006 increased 28% over the comparable year-ago quarter with the help of tax benefits recorded in the quarter. We reported a 22% increase in backlog at the end of the third quarter of fiscal year 2006 from the end of the year-ago quarter.

Oncology Systems. Our largest business segment is Oncology Systems, which produces, sells and services hardware and software products for treating cancer with radiation, including linear accelerators, treatment simulation and verification products, brachytherapy equipment, information management and treatment planning software and other sophisticated accessory products and services. Our external beam radiation therapy products enable radiation oncology departments in hospitals and clinics to perform conventional radiotherapy treatments and offer the advanced treatment processes of IMRT, IGRT and stereotactic radiosurgery. Our advanced brachytherapy products include treatment planning software, high-dose-rate afterloaders and applicators and are being used for partial breast irradiation and many other applications. During the first quarter of fiscal year 2006, we moved the BrachyTherapy business from the Other category to the Oncology Systems business segment as our Chief Executive Officer, the Chief Operating Decision Maker, has begun to evaluate the BrachyTherapy business as part of the Oncology Systems segment due to the natural synergies in the area of radiation therapy oncology.

In our view, the fundamental market drivers for long-term growth in the radiation therapy and stereotactic radiosurgery markets continue to be the rising cancer incidence; underserved medical needs outside of the United States; technology advances that are leading to improvements in patient care; customer demand for more advanced and effective cancer treatments, such as IMRT, IGRT, stereotactic radiosurgery and brachytherapy; competitive conditions among hospitals and clinics to offer such advanced treatments; and improvement in cost efficiency in delivering radiation therapy.

Our primary goal in the Oncology Systems business segment is to promote the adoption of these more advanced and effective cancer treatments. We continued to see growth in demand for our new products for IGRT, which contributed to order growth. As of the end of the third quarter of fiscal year 2006, more than 250 installations of our on-board imager product, or OBI, for our high-energy Clinac® accelerators and Trilogy linear accelerators were either complete or in progress. We have also achieved the milestone that, for the first nine months of fiscal year 2006, more than 60% of our orders for high energy accelerators included our OBI devices. We believe that this demonstrates that our IGRT technology has clearly moved into the medical mainstream. We continue to believe that IGRT will become one of the main contributors to net orders and revenue growth in our Oncology Systems business segment in the coming years, with North America ahead of international regions in the timing of

IGRT adoption.

22

During the third quarter of fiscal year 2006 compared to the same period of fiscal year 2005, Oncology Systems net orders demonstrated solid growth, both in North America and in the international regions, based in part on continued growth in demand for our new products for IGRT in North America and our products for IMRT in the international regions. International revenue growth for Oncology Systems for the third quarter of fiscal year 2006 over the third quarter of fiscal year 2005 was higher than North American revenue growth for the same time periods reflecting the shift to international business, which is consistent with our order growth patterns in recent years. Our Oncology Systems gross margin in the third quarter of fiscal year 2006 decreased almost three percentage points from the third quarter of fiscal year 2005 due principally to higher ramp-up costs associated with the rapid growth of our OBI product, a continuing mix shift towards a higher proportion of international revenues, which typically have lower gross margins, delays in revenue recognition in Oncology Systems associated with new products and international shipments and increased share-based compensation expense. We expect that normal quarterly fluctuations of factors, such as the mix between relatively higher and lower margin products, mix of geographic regions in which our products are sold, and the level of new products deliveries, could result in changes in our gross margins of a few percentage points from quarter to quarter. While we have initiatives to shorten installation time for our new products which we believe will reduce delay in revenue recognition, we expect that our deferred revenue may continue to increase as a normal function of our revenues increasing.

Our success in Oncology Systems depends upon our ability to retain leadership in technological innovation, the cost effectiveness of our products, the efficacy of our treatment technology and external economic influences. Factors affecting the success of Oncology Systems and the adoption rate of new technologies such as IGRT include our internal efficiency in design, documentation and testing, ease of deployment and installation of our new products and the more-widely demonstrated efficacy of IGRT by early adopters. They may also include customer training, and our ability to educate customers about the cost effectiveness of our new technology and clinical outcome advantages. External economic factors affecting the success of this business segment could include hospital financial strength in the United States, sharp cuts in reimbursement rates, foreign currency exchange rates and governmental healthcare policies outside the United States.

X-Ray Products. Our X-ray Products business segment manufactures and sells (i) X-ray tubes for use in a range of applications including computed tomography, or CT, scanning, radioscopic/fluoroscopic imaging, mammography, special procedures and industrial applications and (ii) digital image detectors (also commonly referred to as flat panel detectors), which is an alternative to image intensifier tubes for fluoroscopy and X-ray film for radiography. We continue to view the fundamental growth driver for the component business to be the on-going success of key original equipment manufacturers, or OEMs, that incorporate our X-ray tube products and digital image detectors into their medical diagnostic and industrial imaging systems. Our digital image detectors are being incorporated into next generation imaging equipment, including equipment for IGRT such as OBI, and for dental CT scanning and veterinary X-ray imaging. X-ray Products net orders, revenues and operating earnings grew in the third quarter of fiscal year 2006 over the same period of fiscal year 2005 due primarily to continuing strong demand for our digital image detectors.

We are investing to increase manufacturing capacity and quality for the digital image detector product line. We have invested \$12 million as of the third quarter of fiscal year 2006 and expect to invest an additional \$25 million over the next 12 months into dpiX Holding Company LLC, or dpiX Holding, which will help fund the acquisition and construction of a new \$92 million Gen 4 fabrication facility in Colorado where the next generation of amorphous silicon arrays used in our digital image detectors will be produced. dpiX Holding (through its subsidiary, dpiX LLC) is a key supplier of amorphous silicon arrays for our digital image detector products and we are a 40% equity owner in dpiX Holding. At our own facility in Salt Lake City, we are also investing in the expansion of the manufacturing plant where our digital image detectors are assembled.

Our success in our X-ray Products business depends upon our ability to anticipate changes in our markets, the direction of technological innovation and the demands of our customers. Factors affecting the success of our X-ray Products business include our ability to develop products with lower cost, better quality and superior technology and performance, and to maintain strong relationships with our OEM customers.

Other. During the first quarter of fiscal year 2006, we moved the Security and Inspection Products business, or SIP, from the Oncology Systems segment into the Other category, which is now comprised of SIP and the operations of the Gintzon Technology Center, or GTC (see Note 13, Segment Information of the Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements within this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q). SIP designs, manufactures and sells Linatron® X-ray accelerators for security and inspection purposes, such as cargo screening, border protection and traditional nondestructive examination of metallic and other structures. SIP has also developed a new type of dual energy Linatron X-ray accelerator that can automatically detect and alert operators when heavy metals associated with nuclear materials in dirty bombs or weapons of mass destruction are present during cargo screening and non-intrusive inspection of cargo containers. We generally sell our

Linatron X-ray accelerators to OEMs who incorporate our accelerators into their inspection systems, which are then sold to port authorities and other government agencies, as well as to commercial private parties. We believe growth in this business will be driven by security cargo screening and border protection needs, as well as by the needs of customs agencies to verify shipments for assessing duties and taxes. As a result, this business is heavily influenced by U.S. and foreign governmental policies on national and homeland security, border protection and customs revenue activities, which depend upon government budgets and appropriations and subject to political changes. The U.S. government is adopting a layered approach to port and border security including deployment of passive detectors, which we do not manufacture, and X-ray imaging and automatic detection systems for weapons of mass destruction, for which we do manufacture and sell key components and subsystems. While we are optimistic about the long-term potential of our SIP business and encouraged by the increased interest in our products, use of this technology in security cargo screening and border protection is in its early stages. Orders and revenues for our SIP products may be unpredictable as governmental agencies may place larger orders with our OEM customers in a short time period and then may not place any orders for a long time period thereafter.

GTC, our research facility for new and potential markets, continued to invest in developing technologies that enhance our current businesses or may lead to new business areas, including next generation digital X-ray imaging technology, volumetric and functional imaging, improved X-ray sources and technology for security and cargo screening applications. In addition, GTC is developing technologies and products that are designed to improve disease management by more precise targeting of radiation, as well as by employing targeted energy and molecular agents to enhance the effectiveness and broaden the application of radiation therapy.

This discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations is based upon and should be read in conjunction with the condensed consolidated financial statements and the notes included elsewhere in this report, as well as the Consolidated Financial Statements and the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2005, the Risk Factors contained in Part II, Item 1A of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, and other information provided from time to time in our other filings with the SEC.

Critical Accounting Estimates

The preparation of our financial statements and related disclosures in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America, or GAAP, requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses. These estimates and assumptions are based on historical experience and on various other factors that we believe are reasonable under the circumstances. We periodically review our accounting policies and estimates and make adjustments when facts and circumstances dictate. Our critical accounting policies that are affected by accounting estimates include share-based compensation expense, revenue recognition, valuation of allowance for doubtful accounts, valuation of inventory, valuation of warranty obligations, assessment of recoverability of goodwill and intangible assets, assessment of environmental remediation liabilities, valuation of defined benefit and post-retirement benefit plans and valuation of taxes on earnings. Such accounting policies are impacted significantly by judgments, assumptions and estimates used in the preparation of our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, and actual results could differ materially from these estimates. For a discussion of how these estimates and other factors may affect our business, also see the Risk Factors listed under Part II, Item 1A of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Share-based Compensation Expense

Effective October 1, 2005, we adopted on a modified prospective transition method Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (revised 2004), *Share-Based Payment*, or SFAS 123(R), which requires the measurement and recognition of compensation expense for all share-based payment awards made to employees and directors, including stock options, employee stock purchases under the Employee Stock Purchase Plan, restricted stock and deferred stock units based on fair values. Our financial statements as of and for the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal year 2006 reflect the impact of SFAS 123(R). In accordance with the modified prospective transition method, our financial statements for prior periods have not been restated to reflect, and do not include, the impact of SFAS 123(R). Share-based compensation expense is based on the value of the portion of share-based payment awards that is ultimately expected to vest. Share-based compensation expense recognized in our Condensed Consolidated Statement of Earnings during the third quarter of fiscal year 2006 included compensation expense for share-based payment awards granted prior to, but not yet vested as of, September 30, 2005 based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the pro forma provisions of SFAS No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*, or SFAS 123, and compensation expense for the share-based payment awards granted subsequent to September 30, 2005 based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of

SFAS 123(R). In conjunction with the adoption of SFAS 123(R), we elected to attribute the value of share-based compensation to expense using the straight-line method, which was previously used for our pro forma information required under SFAS 123.

During the third quarter and the first nine months of fiscal year 2006, total share-based compensation expense, before taxes on earnings, was \$10.4 million and \$30.3 million, respectively. During the third quarter and the first nine months of fiscal year 2005, there was no share-based compensation expense related to stock options or employee stock purchases recognized under the intrinsic value method in accordance with Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees*, or APB 25. During the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal year 2005, share-based compensation expense related to restricted stock, before taxes on earnings, was \$0.3 million and \$0.9 million, respectively, which was recorded under APB 25. See Note 11 of the Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information.

Upon adoption of SFAS 123(R), we elected to value our share-based payment awards granted beginning in fiscal year 2006 using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model, or the Black-Scholes model, which we previously used for the pro forma information required under SFAS 123. For additional information, see Note 11 of the Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. The determination of fair value of share-based payment awards on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes model is affected by VMS's stock price as well as the input of other subjective assumptions. These assumptions include, but are not limited to the expected term of stock options and the expected price volatility of VMS stock over the expected term of the awards. Our stock options and the option component of the Employee Stock Purchase Plan shares have characteristics significantly different from those of traded options, and changes in the assumptions can materially affect the fair value estimates.

The expected term of stock options represents the weighted-average period the stock options are expected to remain outstanding. The expected term is based on the observed and expected time to post-vesting exercise and forfeitures of stock options by our employees. Upon the adoption of SFAS 123(R), we determined the expected term of stock options based on the demographic grouping of employees and retirement eligibility. Prior to the first quarter of fiscal 2006, we determined the expected term of stock options based on the demographic grouping of employees. Upon adoption of SFAS 123(R), we used a combination of historical and implied volatility, or blended volatility, in deriving the expected volatility assumption as allowed under SFAS 123(R) and Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 107, or SAB 107. Implied volatility was derived based on six-month traded options on our common stock. Prior to the first quarter of fiscal year 2006, we had used our historical stock price volatility in accordance with SFAS 123 for purposes of our pro forma information. The selection of the blended volatility approach was based upon the availability of traded options on our stock and our assessment that blended volatility is more representative of future stock price trends than just historical volatility alone. The risk-free interest rate assumption is based upon observed interest rates appropriate for the term of our stock options. The dividend yield assumption is based on our history and expectation of dividend payouts.

As share-based compensation expense recognized in the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Earnings for the third quarter and the first nine months of fiscal year 2006 is based on awards ultimately expected to vest, it has been reduced for estimated forfeitures. SFAS 123(R) requires forfeitures to be estimated at the time of grant and revised, if necessary, in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates. Forfeitures were estimated based on our historical experience. In our pro forma information required under SFAS 123 for the periods prior to October 1, 2005, we accounted for forfeitures as they occurred. If factors change and we employ different assumptions in the application of SFAS 123(R) in future periods, the compensation expense that we record under SFAS 123(R) may differ significantly from what we have recorded in the current period.

As of June 30, 2006, there was \$43 million of total unrecognized compensation expense related to stock options granted under the Omnibus Stock Plan, the 2000 Stock Option Plan, the 2005 Omnibus Stock Plan and the Amended and Restated 2005 Omnibus Stock Plan (together, the Employee Stock Plans). This unrecognized compensation expense is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 1.2 years. As of June 30, 2006, there was \$2.7 million of total unrecognized compensation expense related to restricted stock and deferred stock units granted under the Employee Stock Plans. This unrecognized compensation expense is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 8.5 years.

Revenue Recognition

We frequently enter into sales arrangements with customers that contain multiple elements or deliverables such as hardware, software and services. Judgments as to the allocation of the proceeds received from an arrangement to the multiple elements of the arrangement, the determination of whether any undelivered elements are essential to the functionality of the delivered

elements and the appropriate timing of revenue recognition are critical in respect to these arrangements to ensure compliance with GAAP. In addition, the amount of product revenues recognized is affected by our judgments as to whether objective and reliable evidence of fair value exists for hardware products and vendor-specific objective evidence of the fair value for software products in arrangements with multiple elements. Changes to the elements in an arrangement and the ability to establish objective and reliable evidence of fair value or vendor-specific objective evidence of the fair value for those elements could affect the timing of revenue recognition. Revenue recognition also depends on the timing of shipment and is subject to customer acceptance and the readiness of customers' facilities. If shipments are not made on scheduled timelines or if the products are not accepted by the customer in a timely manner, our reported revenues may differ materially from expectations.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

Credit evaluations are undertaken for all major sale transactions before shipment is authorized. Normal payment terms require payment of a small portion of the total amount due upon signing of the purchase order contract, a significant amount upon transfer of risk of loss and the remaining amount upon completion of the installation. On a quarterly basis, we evaluate aged items in the accounts receivable aging report and provide allowance in an amount we deem adequate for doubtful accounts. If our evaluation of our customers' financial conditions does not reflect the future ability to collect outstanding receivables, additional provisions may be needed and our future operating results could be negatively impacted.

Inventories

Our inventories include high technology parts and components that may be specialized in nature or subject to rapid technological obsolescence. We have programs to minimize the required inventories on hand and we regularly review inventory quantities on hand and adjust for excess and obsolete inventory based primarily on historical usage rates and our estimates of product demand and production. Actual demand may differ from our estimates, in which case we may have understated or overstated the provision required for obsolete and excess inventory, which would have an impact on our operating results.

Goodwill and Intangible Assets

Goodwill is initially recorded when the purchase price paid for a business acquisition exceeds the estimated fair value of the net identified tangible and intangible assets acquired. The majority of companies that we have acquired have not had significant identified tangible assets and, as a result, a significant portion of the purchase price has been typically allocated to intangible assets and goodwill. Our future operating performance will be impacted by the future amortization of these acquired intangible assets and potential impairment charges related to goodwill if indicators of impairment exist. As a result of business acquisitions, the allocation of the purchase price to goodwill and intangible assets could have a significant impact on our future operating results. The allocation of the purchase price of the acquired companies to goodwill and intangible assets requires us to make significant estimates and assumptions, including estimates of future cash flows expected to be generated by the acquired assets and the appropriate discount rate for these cash flows. Should conditions be different from management's estimates at the time of the acquisition, material write-downs of intangible assets and/or goodwill may be required, which would adversely affect our operating results. We will continue to make assessments of impairment on an annual basis in the fourth quarter of our fiscal years or more frequently if indicators of potential impairment arise. In fiscal year 2005, we performed such evaluations and found no impairment.

Warranty Obligations

We warrant most of our products for a specific period of time, usually one year, against material defects. For certain software products, we usually warrant against material defects for three months. We provide for the estimated future costs of warranty obligations in cost of revenues when the related revenues are recognized. The accrued warranty costs represent our best estimate at the time of sale of the total costs that we will incur to repair or replace product parts that fail while still under warranty. The amount of accrued estimated warranty costs obligation for established products is primarily based on historical experience as to product failures adjusted for current information on repair costs. For new products, estimates will include historical experience of similar products, as well as reasonable allowance for start-up expenses. Actual warranty costs could differ from the estimated amounts. On a quarterly basis, we review the accrued balances of our warranty obligations and update the historical warranty cost trends. If we were required to accrue additional warranty cost in the future, it would negatively impact our operating results.

Environmental Matters

We are subject to a variety of environmental laws around the world regulating the handling, storage, transport and disposal of hazardous materials that do or may create increased costs for some of our operations. Environmental remediation liabilities are recorded when environmental assessments and/or remediation efforts are probable and the costs of these assessments or remediation efforts can be reasonably estimated, in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 5, *Accounting for Contingencies*, and the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, Statement of Position 96-1, *Environmental Remediation Liabilities*. The accrued environmental costs represent our best estimate as to the total costs of remediation and the time period over which these costs will be incurred. On a quarterly basis, we review these accrued balances. If we were required to accrue additional environmental remediation costs in the future, it would negatively impact our operating results.

Defined Benefit and Post-Retirement Benefit Plans

We sponsor several defined benefit pension plans covering the employees who meet the applicable eligibility requirements. Several statistical and other factors that attempt to anticipate future events are used in calculating the expense and liability related to those plans for which the benefit is actuarially determined. These factors include assumptions about the discount rate, expected return on plan assets, rate of future compensation increases and healthcare cost increases, which we determine within certain guidelines. In addition, we also use subjective factors, such as withdrawal and mortality rates, to calculate the expense and liability. The actuarial assumptions we use are long-term assumptions and may differ materially from actual experience in the short-term due to changing market and economic conditions and changing participant demographics. These differences may have a significant impact on the amount of pension expense we recorded.

The expected rates of return on the various defined benefit pension plans' assets are based on the asset allocation of each plan and the long-term projected return of those assets. The discount rate enables us to state expected future cash flows at a present value on the measurement date. The discount rates used for defined benefit plans in all countries other than Germany are based on high quality AA-rated corporate bonds with durations corresponding to the expected durations of the benefit obligations. The discount rate for the defined benefit plan in Germany was determined using fixed-income German government investments corresponding to the duration of the benefit obligations adjusted to take into account the difference between the yield curve on high quality corporate fixed-income investments and government fixed-income investment. A lower discount rate increases the present value of benefit obligations and increases pension expense.

Taxes on Earnings

We are subject to taxes on earnings in both the United States and numerous foreign jurisdictions. As a global taxpayer, significant judgments and estimates are required in evaluating our tax positions and determining our provision for taxes on earnings. The calculation of our tax liabilities involves addressing uncertainties in the application of complex tax regulations. We maintain reserves for potential tax contingencies arising in the jurisdictions in which we do business. Such reserves are based on our assessment of the likelihood of an unfavorable outcome and the potential loss from such contingency, and may be adjusted from time to time in light of changing facts and circumstances. These reserves are maintained until such time as the matter is settled or the statutory period for adjustment has passed. Adjustments could be required in the future if we determine that our reserves for tax contingencies are inadequate. The provision for taxes on earnings includes the effect of changes to these reserves that are considered appropriate.

In addition, the carrying value of our net deferred tax assets assumes that we will be able to generate sufficient future taxable earnings to fully utilize these deferred tax assets. Should we conclude it is more likely than not that we will be unable to recover our net deferred tax assets, our tax provision would increase in the period in which we make such a determination.

Earnings derived from our international regions are generally taxed at rates lower than U.S. rates. The ability to maintain our current effective rate is contingent upon existing tax laws in both the United States and in the respective countries in which our international subsidiaries are located. In addition, a decrease in the percentage of our total earnings from our international regions, or a change in the mix of international regions among particular tax jurisdictions, could increase our effective tax rate. Also, our current effective tax rate does not assume U.S. taxes on undistributed profits of certain foreign subsidiaries. These earnings could become subject to incremental foreign withholding or U.S. federal and state taxes should they either be deemed or actually remitted to the United States.

Results of Operations**Fiscal Year**

Our fiscal year is the 52- or 53-week period ending on the Friday nearest September 30. Fiscal year 2006 is the 52-week period ending September 29, 2006, and fiscal year 2005 was the 52-week period ended September 30, 2005. The fiscal quarters ended June 30, 2006 and July 1, 2005 were both 13-week periods.

Discussion of Financial Data for the Third Quarter and First Nine Months of Fiscal Year 2006 Compared to the Third Quarter and First Nine Months of Fiscal Year 2005
Total Revenues

(Dollars in millions)	Three Months Ended			Nine Months Ended				
	June 30, 2006	July 1, 2005	Percent Change	June 30, 2006	July 1, 2005	Percent Change		
Revenues by sales classification								
Product	\$ 329.5	\$ 290.8	13	% \$ 956.2	\$ 835.9	14	%	
Service Contracts and Other	66.2	55.8	19	% 187.6	160.5	17	%	
Total Revenues	\$ 395.7	\$ 346.6	14	% \$ 1,143.8	\$ 996.4	15	%	
Product as a percentage of total revenues	83	% 84	%	84	% 84	%		
Service Contracts and Other as a percentage of total revenues	17	% 16	%	16	% 16	%		
Revenues by region								
North America	\$ 198.0	\$ 187.0	6	% \$ 573.4	\$ 528.1	9	%	
Europe	114.3	97.3	17	% 314.7	275.6	14	%	
Asia	61.6	49.7	24	% 196.2	155.9	26	%	
Rest of world	21.8	12.6	73	% 59.5	36.8	62	%	
Total International (1)	197.7	159.6	24	% 570.4	468.3	22	%	
Total	\$ 395.7	\$ 346.6	14	% \$ 1,143.8	\$ 996.4	15	%	
North America as a percentage of total revenues	50	% 54	%	50	% 53	%		
International as a percentage of total revenues	50	% 46	%	50	% 47	%		

(1) We consider international revenues to be revenues outside of North America.

During the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal year 2006, total revenues increased 14% and 15%, respectively. Total revenues for the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal year 2006 increased over total revenues for the same periods of fiscal year 2005 due primarily to the increase in Oncology Systems revenues. For the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal year 2006, product and service contracts revenues each as a percentage of total revenues remained consistent with that of fiscal year 2005. Total product revenues increased over these same periods primarily as a result of growth in Oncology Systems product revenues. Oncology Systems service contracts revenues was the primary contributor to the total service contracts and other revenue growth.

International revenue growth exceeded the North American revenue growth for the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal year 2006, which is consistent with our order growth patterns in recent years. Oncology Systems revenue growth was the primary contributor to the increase in revenues of all the geographic regions, although X-ray Products revenue growth also contributed to the revenue increase in all regions.

Oncology Systems Revenues**Revenues by sales classification**

(Dollars in millions)	Three Months Ended		Percent Change	Nine Months Ended		Percent Change
	June 30, 2006	July 1, 2005		June 30, 2006	July 1, 2005	
Product	\$ 266.6	\$ 234.1	14 %	\$ 771.5	\$ 673.3	15 %
Service Contracts (1)	63.9	54.8	17 %	181.8	156.7	16 %
Total Oncology Systems revenues	\$ 330.5	\$ 288.9	14 %	\$ 953.3	\$ 830.0	15 %
<i>Product as a percentage of total Oncology Systems revenues</i>	81	% 81	%	81	% 81	%
<i>Service Contracts as a percentage of Oncology Systems revenues</i>	19	% 19	%	19	% 19	%
<i>Oncology Systems revenues as a percentage of total revenues</i>	84	% 83	%	83	% 84	%

(1) Revenues from service contracts represent revenues from fixed-term service contracts and labor cost services. This excludes revenues from spare parts sold by our service department.

Oncology Systems product revenues for the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal year 2006 increased 14% and 15%, respectively, over the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal year 2005 due primarily to higher sales volume of accessory products that enable IMRT and IGRT (including our OBI) and our Trilogy linear accelerators.

The increase in service contracts revenues for the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal year 2006 over the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal year 2005 was primarily driven by the increased sophistication of our products and higher sales volume of our software products which generate annual maintenance contracts and renewals.

Revenues by region

(Dollars in millions)	Three Months Ended		Percent Change	Nine Months Ended		Percent Change
	June 30, 2006	July 1, 2005		June 30, 2006	July 1, 2005	
North America	\$ 174.5	\$ 166.3	5 %	\$ 501.3	\$ 468.0	7 %
Europe	101.2	85.5	18 %	284.0	245.9	16 %
Asia	34.8	26.2	33 %	113.8	83.9	36 %
Rest of world	20.0	10.9	84 %	54.2	32.2	69 %
Total International	156.0	122.6	27 %	452.0	362.0	25 %
Total Oncology Systems Revenues	\$ 330.5	\$ 288.9	14 %	\$ 953.3	\$ 830.0	15 %
<i>North America as a percentage of Oncology Systems revenues</i>	53	% 58	%	53	% 56	%
<i>International as a percentage of Oncology Systems revenues</i>	47	% 42	%	47	% 44	%

All of our geographic regions contributed to the increase in Oncology Systems revenues for the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal year 2006. The growth in international Oncology Systems revenues of 27% and 25%, respectively, in the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal year 2006 over the same periods of fiscal year 2005 was due primarily to the increases in both product and service contracts revenues driven by the underserved markets in Europe and Asia and the continued adoption of IMRT by our international customers. During the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal year 2006, revenues in North America increased 5% and 7%, respectively, over the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal year 2005 due primarily to higher sales volume of accessory products that enable IMRT and IGRT (including our OBI) and our Trilogy linear accelerators. Consistent with our order growth patterns in recent years, Oncology Systems continued to benefit from strong cyclical demand in the international regions that started a few years ago after several years of very slow international revenue growth and the North America region experienced typical fluctuations in a market where growth had slowed following several years of heavy customer investment in advanced technology for IMRT. The offsetting cycle of higher and lower growth between the international and North American regions is a historical pattern that we continue to experience.

X-ray Products Revenues**Revenues by region**

(Dollars in millions)	Three Months Ended			Percent Change	Nine Months Ended			Percent Change
	June 30, 2006	July 1, 2005			June 30, 2006	July 1, 2005		
North America	\$ 20.3	\$ 18.4	10	%	\$ 62.5	\$ 52.1	20	%
Europe	8.4	6.2	35	%	20.8	18.6	12	%
Asia	25.9	22.9	13	%	80.7	68.6	18	%
Rest of world	1.8	1.7	6	%	5.2	4.5	14	%
Total International	36.1	30.8	17	%	106.7	91.7	16	%
Total X-ray Products Revenues	\$ 56.4	\$ 49.2	15	%	\$ 169.2	\$ 143.8	18	%
North America as a percentage of X-ray Products revenues	36	% 37	%		37	% 36	%	
International as a percentage of X-ray Products revenues	64	% 63	%		63	% 64	%	
X-ray Products revenues as a percentage of total revenues	14	% 14	%		15	% 14	%	

For the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal year 2006, the geographic mix of X-ray Products revenues between North America and the international regions remained relatively unchanged from the same periods of fiscal year 2005. For the third quarter of fiscal year 2006, Asia was a significant contributor to the increase in X-ray Products revenues compared to the third quarter of fiscal year 2005. For the first nine months of fiscal year 2006, Asia and North America were the primary contributors to the increase in X-ray Products revenues compared to the first nine months of fiscal year 2005. The strong growth in X-ray Products revenues in the third quarter of fiscal year 2006 compared to the third quarter of fiscal year 2005 was primarily driven by higher sales volume of our digital image detectors to our OEM customers in Asia and North America. The robust growth in X-ray Products revenues in the first nine months of fiscal year 2006 compared to the first nine months of fiscal year 2005 was primarily driven by higher sales volume of our digital image detectors to our OEM customers in Asia and North America, as well as increased sales volume of our high power, anode grounded CT scanning tubes and X-ray tubes used in security screening.

Other Revenues**Revenues by sales classification**

(Dollars in millions)	Three Months Ended			Percent Change	Nine Months Ended			Percent Change
	June 30, 2006	July 1, 2005			June 30, 2006	July 1, 2005		
Product	\$ 6.6	\$ 7.4	(11))%	\$ 15.5	\$ 18.7	(18))%
Service Contracts and Other	2.2	1.1	103	%	5.7	3.8	50	%
Total Other revenues	\$ 8.8	\$ 8.5	3	%	\$ 21.2	\$ 22.5	(6))%
As a percentage of total revenues	2	% 3	%		2	% 2	%	

For our Other category, which is now comprised of SIP and GTC, revenues increased 3% for the third quarter of fiscal year 2006 and decreased 6% for the first nine months of fiscal year 2006 compared to the same periods in fiscal year 2005. During the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal year 2006, product revenues in our Other category decreased over the same periods of fiscal year 2005 due primarily to increased deferred revenues from our Linatron product as a result of higher portion of payments contractually linked to and conditioned upon acceptance.

Gross Margin

(Dollars in millions)	Three Months Ended				Nine Months Ended			
	June 30, 2006	July 1, 2005	Percent Change		June 30, 2006	July 1, 2005	Percent Change	
<u>Dollar by segment</u>								
Oncology Systems	\$ 141.0	\$ 131.3	7	%	\$ 408.2	\$ 368.0	11	%
X-ray Products	19.5	17.1	14	%	58.9	49.1	20	%
Other	2.1	3.5	(39)%	5.4	10.1	(46)%
Gross margin	\$ 162.6	\$ 151.9	7	%	\$ 472.5	\$ 427.2	11	%
<u>Percentage by segment</u>								
<i>Oncology Systems</i>	42.7	% 45.5			42.8	% 44.3		
<i>X-ray Products</i>	34.7	% 34.8			34.8	% 34.2		
<i>Total Company</i>	41.1	% 43.8			41.3	% 42.9		

During the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal year 2006, total gross margin decreased by 2.7 percentage points and 1.6 percentage point, respectively, due primarily to the decrease in gross margin in the Oncology Systems business segment and the inclusion of share-based compensation expense in those periods in connection with our adoption of SFAS 123(R). Oncology Systems gross margin decreased 2.8 percentage points and 1.5 percentage points, respectively, for the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal year 2006 compared to the same periods of fiscal year 2005. The decreases in Oncology Systems gross margin in the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal year 2006 were due primarily to: (i) higher ramp-up costs associated with the rapid growth of our OBI product; (ii) a continuing mix shift towards a higher proportion of international revenues which typically have lower gross margins than revenues from North America; (iii) delays in revenue recognition in Oncology Systems associated with new products and international shipments, with approximately \$5 million and \$19 million increases in total company deferred revenues from the second quarter of fiscal year 2006 and third quarter of fiscal year 2005, respectively, and (iv) share-based compensation expense of \$1.4 million and \$3.6 million recorded in the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal year 2006, respectively.

X-ray Products gross margin was essentially flat in the third quarter of fiscal year 2006 compared to the third quarter of fiscal year 2005. Gains in gross margin resulting from increased sales of our higher gross margin digital image detectors was offset by lower gross margin for X-ray tube products due primarily to lower X-ray tube product sales and increased share-based compensation expense of \$0.4 million recorded in the third quarter of fiscal year 2006. During the first nine months of fiscal year 2006, X-ray Products gross margin increased 0.6 percentage point from the first nine months of fiscal year 2005 due primarily to higher gross margin contributed by the increasing sales volume of our digital image detectors. This increase in gross margin was partially offset by lower gross margin for X-ray tube products and increased share-based compensation expense of \$1.0 million recorded in the first nine months of fiscal year 2006.

Research and Development

(Dollars in millions)	Three Months Ended			Percent Change	Nine Months Ended			Percent Change
	June 30, 2006	July 1, 2005			June 30, 2006	July 1, 2005		
Research and development	\$ 25.5	\$ 21.9	16	%	\$ 72.7	\$ 60.5	20	%
As a percentage of total revenues	6	% 6	%		6	% 6	%	

Our research and development expenses have remained in line with our revenue growth. The \$3.6 million increase in research and development expenses for the third quarter compared to the same period in fiscal year 2005 was attributable to net increases of \$2.5 million in Oncology Systems, \$0.6 million in the Other category and \$0.5 million in X-ray Products. The increase in Oncology Systems was attributable primarily to: (a) increased employee headcount, materials costs and consulting expenses totaling \$1.7 million and (b) increased share-based compensation expense of \$0.5 million recorded in the third quarter of fiscal year 2006. The increase in X-ray Products was due to: (a) expenses totaling \$0.3 million for new research projects related to both X-ray tubes and digital image detectors and (b) share-based compensation expense of \$0.2 million recorded in the third quarter of fiscal year 2006.

Edgar Filing: VARIAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-Q

The \$12.2 million increase in research and development expenses for the first nine months of fiscal year 2006 compared to the same period in fiscal year 2005 was attributable to net increases of \$7.4 million in Oncology Systems, \$3.0 million in X-ray Products and \$1.8 million in the Other category. The increase in Oncology Systems was attributable primarily to: (a) increased employee headcount, materials costs and consulting expenses totaling \$7.8 million and (b) increased share-based compensation expense of \$1.5 million recorded in the first nine months of fiscal year 2006. These increases were partially offset by favorable foreign currency impact of \$1.3 million. The increase in X-ray Products was due to: (a) expenses totaling \$2.5 million for new research projects related to both X-ray tubes and digital image detectors and (b) share-based compensation expense of \$0.5 million recorded in the first nine months of fiscal year 2006.

Selling, General and Administrative

(Dollars in millions)	Three Months Ended			Percent Change	Nine Months Ended			Percent Change
	June 30, 2006	July 1, 2005			June 30, 2006	July 1, 2005		
Selling, general and administrative	\$ 64.5	\$ 54.7	18	%	\$ 187.8	\$ 151.5	24	%
As a percentage of total revenues	16	% 16	%		16	% 15	%	

Our selling, general and administrative expenses have remained in line with our revenue growth, despite the share-based compensation expense we recorded in the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal year 2006 in connection with our adoption of SFAS 123(R) in fiscal year 2006. The \$9.8 million increase in selling, general and administrative expenses for the third quarter of fiscal year 2006 compared to the same period in fiscal year 2005 was primarily attributable to: (a) increased share-based compensation expense of \$7.5 million recorded in the third quarter of fiscal year 2006 and (b) increased employee-related expenses of \$4.6 million resulting from an increase in employee headcount and other associated costs in Oncology Systems and corporate headquarters to support our growing business activities. These increases were partially offset by gain on balance sheet hedging of \$2.1 million.

The \$36.3 million increase in selling, general and administrative expenses for the first nine months of fiscal year 2006 compared to the same period in fiscal year 2005 was primarily attributable to: (a) increased share-based compensation expense of \$22.3 million recorded in the first nine months of fiscal year 2006, (b) increased employee-related expenses of \$14.2 million resulting from an increase in employee headcount and other associated costs in Oncology Systems and corporate headquarters to support our growing business activities and (c) increased professional fees of \$3.3 million. These increases were partially offset by (i) gain on balance sheet hedging of \$2.5 million, (ii) favorable foreign currency impact of \$2.1 million and (iii) decreased bad debt expense of \$1.5 million. We did not have share-based compensation expense related to stock options and employee stock purchases under SFAS 123(R) during the third quarter and nine months of fiscal year 2005.

Interest Income, Net

(Dollars in millions)	Three Months Ended			Percent Change	Nine Months Ended			Percent Change
	June 30, 2006	July 1, 2005			June 30, 2006	July 1, 2005		
Interest income, net	\$ 2.6	\$ 1.1	139	%	\$ 6.8	\$ 2.2	211	%

The increase in interest income, net in the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal year 2006 compared to the same periods of fiscal year 2005 was primarily attributable to the increase in interest rates.

Taxes on Earnings

	Three Months Ended			Change	Nine Months Ended			Change
	June 30, 2006	July 1, 2005			June 30, 2006	July 1, 2005		
Effective tax rate	13	% 33	%	(20) %	26	% 33	%	(7) %

The decrease in the effective tax rates for the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal year 2006 compared to the year ago periods was primarily due to tax benefits related to our decision to repatriate foreign earnings under the American Jobs

Edgar Filing: VARIAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-Q

Creation of 2004 (the Jobs Creation Act) and the reduction of reserves for potential tax contingencies as a result of the lapse of the statute of limitations in certain domestic jurisdictions and, to a lesser extent, due to a geographic mix shift of earnings towards countries where we have lower tax rates, which resulted in about one percentage point decrease.

The Jobs Creation Act introduced a special one-time dividends received deduction on the repatriation of foreign earnings. During the third quarter of fiscal year 2006, we decided to repatriate approximately \$120 million in foreign earnings pursuant to the Jobs Creation Act. We had previously recorded a deferred tax liability of approximately \$16 million for taxes for the eventual repatriation of a portion of our foreign earnings. Under the Jobs Creation Act, our tax liability for repatriation of the approximately \$120 million in foreign earnings is expected to be \$6 million. Therefore, we recorded a net tax benefit of approximately \$10 million. This benefit is an estimate based on our analysis and we expect to repatriate the approximately \$120 million during the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2006.

In addition, a tax benefit of approximately \$3 million recorded in the third quarter of fiscal year 2006 was related to the reduction of reserves for potential tax contingencies as a result of the lapse of the statute of limitations in certain domestic jurisdictions. Our future effective tax rate could be adversely affected by earnings being lower than anticipated in countries where we have lower statutory rates and higher than anticipated in countries where we have higher statutory rates, by changes in the valuation of our deferred tax assets or liabilities, or changes in tax laws or interpretations thereof.

Net Earnings Per Diluted Share

	Three Months Ended				Nine Months Ended			
	June 30, 2006	July 1, 2005	Percent Change		June 30, 2006	July 1, 2005	Percent Change	
Net earnings per diluted share	\$ 0.49	\$ 0.37	32	%	\$ 1.20	\$ 1.05	14	%

Net earnings per diluted share increased for the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal year 2006 over the same periods of fiscal year 2005 by \$0.12 and \$0.15, respectively, including the one-time tax benefit of \$0.08 per diluted share related to our decision to repatriate foreign earnings under the Jobs Creation Act and a tax benefit of \$0.02 per diluted share from the release of reserves for potential tax contingencies related to the lapse of the statute of limitations in certain domestic jurisdictions. These increases were substantially offset by increases in expenses attributable to share-based compensation for the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal year 2006 of \$0.05 and \$0.15 per diluted share, respectively, in connection with our adoption of SFAS 123(R).

Net Orders

Total Net Orders (by segment and region)

(Dollars in millions)	Three Months Ended				Nine Months Ended			
	June 30, 2006	July 1, 2005	Percent Change		June 30, 2006	July 1, 2005	Percent Change	
Oncology Systems:								
North America	\$ 188.2	\$ 165.6	14	%	\$ 561.4	\$ 482.7	16	%
Total International	185.7	158.9	17	%	503.9	455.5	11	%
Total Oncology Systems	\$ 373.9	\$ 324.5	15	%	\$ 1,065.3	\$ 938.2	14	%
X-ray Products:								
North America	\$ 29.1	\$ 15.6	87	%	\$ 78.8	\$ 56.3	40	%
Total International	31.4	28.5	10	%	95.1	91.5	4	%
Total X-ray Products	\$ 60.5	\$ 44.1	37	%	\$ 173.9	\$ 147.8	18	%
Other:	\$ 8.9	\$ 4.8	85	%	\$ 35.2	\$ 16.7	111	%
Total Net Orders:	\$ 443.3	\$ 373.4	19	%	\$ 1,274.4	\$ 1,102.7	16	%

The increase in net orders for the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal year 2006 from the same periods of fiscal year 2005 was primarily due to the increase in Oncology Systems net orders. During the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal year 2006, international Oncology Systems net orders increased by 17% and 11%, respectively, over the same periods

of fiscal year 2005 due primarily to growth in both Asia and Europe with continuing demand for our products for IMRT in these underserved markets. We are also beginning to see, to a limited extent, demand for our new accessory products that enable IGRT (including our OBI) as these international regions begin the initial stages of adoption of IGRT. In the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal year 2006, excluding the effect of foreign currency impact, international Oncology Systems net orders grew 19% and 16%, respectively, from the same periods of fiscal year 2005. During the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal year 2006, North American Oncology Systems net orders increased 14% and 16%, respectively. The growth in North American net orders primarily reflected increased demand for our new accessory products that enable IGRT (including our OBI) and for the Trilogy linear accelerators.

For the trailing twelve months ended June 30, 2006, Oncology Systems net orders increased by 14%, including a 14% increase for North America and a 15% increase for international regions. By comparison, the trailing twelve-month Oncology Systems net orders growth rate as of March 31, 2006 was 15%, including a 12% increase for North America and a 19% increase for international regions. The trailing twelve-month Oncology Systems net orders growth rate as of December 31, 2005 was 17%, including an 8% increase for North America and a 30% increase for international regions. We believe this is consistent with the historical pattern of offsetting cycle of higher and lower growth between the international and North American regions that we are continuing to experience. We continue to believe that Oncology Systems business segment can sustain global long-term growth of 10% to 15% a year due to fundamental market factors for growth in the radiation therapy market that we believe have remained unchanged. In any given period, however, orders growth in either North America or international regions, or both, could be outside of this range. The actual timing of sales and revenue recognition will vary significantly based on the delivery requirements of individual orders and the readiness of individual customer sites for installation of our products and are usually shorter for some types of orders, such as upgrades (*i.e.*, the addition of new features or accessories to existing equipment). Thus, orders in any quarter or period are not necessarily directly correlated to the level of sales or revenues in any particular future quarter or period. Moreover, as the overall mix of net orders includes a greater proportion of software products and newly introduced Oncology Systems products, which typically have longer time from order to completion of installation, and a greater proportion of our overall Oncology Systems business coming from international regions, which typically have a longer period from shipment to revenue recognition, the average time period within which orders convert into sales could lengthen and our deferred revenues may increase and margins may fall.

X-ray Products have a relatively short turn around time from net orders to shipments. For the third quarter of fiscal year 2006, X-ray Products net orders increased significantly from the third quarter of fiscal year 2005 due to continuing robust demand for our digital image detectors, although our X-ray tube orders were flat for the third quarter. For the first nine months of fiscal year 2006, X-ray Products net orders increased from the first nine months of fiscal year 2005 due to continuing robust demand for our digital image detectors and demand for our X-ray tube products. After years of investment in digital image technology, our digital image product line is becoming a significant contributor to our X-ray Products business segment.

Net orders in the Other category, comprised of GTC and SIP, increased in the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal year 2006 compared to the same periods in fiscal year 2005 primarily due to a substantial increase in orders from two key OEMs for our Linatron X-ray accelerators for cargo screening and border protection in North America and Europe. While we are optimistic about the long-term potential of our SIP business and encouraged by the increased interest in our products, use of this technology in security cargo screening and border protection is in its early stages and governmental agencies have provided limited public information about plans for adopting such technologies. Orders for our SIP products may be unpredictable as governmental agencies may place larger orders with our OEM customers in a short time period and then may not place any orders for a long time period thereafter. The actual timing of sales and revenue recognition will vary significantly as it is difficult to predict our customer delivery and acceptance schedules. Thus, orders in any quarter or period are not necessarily directly correlated to the level of sales or revenues in any particular future quarter or period.

Backlog

At June 30, 2006, we had a backlog of \$1.3 billion, an increase of 22% compared to July 1, 2005.

Outlook

Total Revenues: We continue to believe that total revenues for fiscal year 2006 should increase by about 14% over the total for fiscal year 2005. Very preliminary estimates for fiscal year 2007 indicate that total revenues should increase in the low-double digits over the total for fiscal year 2006.

Net Earnings Per Diluted Share: Excluding the impact of share-based compensation expense and the one-time repatriation tax benefit in the third quarter and with expected gross margin for fiscal year 2006 to be down by about one percentage point, growth in net earnings per diluted share over the comparable fiscal year 2005 period should be in the range of 18% to 19% for fiscal year 2006. Net earnings per diluted share for fiscal year 2007 should grow at a mid-teens rate over the total for fiscal year 2006 excluding the impact of share-based compensation and the one-time repatriation tax benefit. We expect that the annual impact of share-based compensation expense will be in the range of \$0.19 to \$0.22 per diluted share for both fiscal years 2006 and 2007.

Net Orders, *Backlog* and *Outlook* contain forward-looking statements and projections that are subject to the factors, risks and uncertainties set forth or referred to under *Forward Looking Statements* in this MD&A, the Risk Factors contained in Part II, Item 1A of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Actual results and the outcome or timing of certain events may differ significantly.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Edgar Filing: VARIAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-Q

Liquidity is the measurement of our ability to meet potential cash requirements, including ongoing commitments to repay borrowings, acquire businesses and fund continuing operations. Our sources of cash include earnings, net interest income, borrowings under long-term loans, stock option exercises and employee stock purchases. Our cash usage is actively managed on a daily basis to ensure the maintenance of sufficient funds to meet our needs.

Cash, Cash Equivalents and Marketable Securities

The following table summarizes our cash and cash equivalents and marketable securities:

(In millions)	June 30, 2006	September 30, 2005	Increase/ (Decrease)
Cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities:			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 325	\$ 243	\$ 82
Marketable securities	46	139	(93)
Total	\$ 371	\$ 382	\$ (11)

The \$11 million net decrease in cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities during the first nine months of fiscal year 2006 was primarily attributable to the use of cash to repurchase common stock of \$199 million, capital expenditures of \$28 million, equity investment in affiliate of \$12 million, employee tax payments of \$8 million against 162,288 withheld shares in connection with restricted performance share awards and restricted common stock vested, contributions of \$4 million to the trust assets of our deferred compensation plan, which invests in corporate-owned life insurance contracts and repayments on bank borrowings of \$3 million. All of these decreases were significantly offset by \$141 million cash generated from operating activities, \$57 million of cash provided by the issuance of common stock related to employee stock option exercises and employee stock purchases and \$46 million of cash provided by the excess tax benefits from share-based compensation.

At June 30, 2006, we had \$371 million in cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities (approximately 74% of which was held in international jurisdictions and some of which could be subject to additional taxation if repatriated to the U.S.) compared to \$382 million (approximately 52% of which was held in international jurisdictions) at September 30, 2005. During the third quarter of fiscal year 2006, we decided to repatriate approximately \$120 million in foreign earnings pursuant to the Jobs Creation Act. We had previously recorded a deferred tax liability of approximately \$16 million for taxes for the eventual repatriation of a portion of our foreign earnings. Under the Jobs Creation Act, our tax liability for repatriation of the approximately \$120 million in foreign earnings is expected to be \$6 million. Therefore, we recorded a net tax benefit of approximately \$10 million. We expect to repatriate the approximately \$120 million during the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2006.

Cash Flows

	Nine Months Ended	
(In millions)	June 30, 2006	July 1, 2005
Net cash flow provided by (used in):		
Operating activities	\$ 141	\$ 159
Investing activities	49	50
Financing activities	(106)	(160)
Effects of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(2)	(1)
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	\$ 82	\$ 48

Our primary cash inflows and outflows for the first nine months of fiscal year 2006 as compared to the first nine months of fiscal year 2005 were as follows:

- We generated net cash from operating activities of \$141 million during the first nine months of fiscal year 2006, compared to \$159 million for the same period of fiscal year 2005. In connection with our adoption of SFAS 123(R) in the first nine months of fiscal year 2006, we reported \$46 million of excess tax benefits from share-based compensation as cash provided by financing activities, which was previously reported as cash provided by operating activities in the first nine months of fiscal year 2005. The \$18 million decrease in net cash from operating activities during the first nine months of fiscal year 2006 compared to the same period of fiscal year 2005 was driven by a net change of approximately \$49 million in operating assets and liabilities (working capital items), partially offset by an increase in net earnings of \$17 million and a net increase in non-cash items of \$14 million. The major contributors to the net change in working capital items in the first nine months of fiscal year 2006 were accounts receivable, inventories, accrued expenses and advance payments from customers. Accounts receivable and inventories increased primarily due to the continuing shift to a higher proportion of international deliveries, which typically have a longer collection cycle than North America and longer period from shipment to cost recognition. The increase in inventories was also due to anticipated customer demands for both Oncology Systems and X-ray Products business segments. Accrued expenses decreased primarily due to decreases in accrued income taxes. The net decrease in accrued income taxes was due primarily to tax benefits from stock option exercises and tax payments, which were partially offset by an increase in income taxes on current earnings. Advance payments increased due primarily to more down payments received as a result of increased orders from both North America and the international regions. The net increase in non-cash items for the first nine months ended fiscal year 2006 compared to the first nine months ended fiscal year 2005 was due principally to the \$33 million increase in income tax benefits realized from stock options exercised and increased share-based compensation expense of \$29 million, significantly offset by excess tax benefits from share-based compensation of \$46 million and a change in deferred tax assets of \$5 million.

We expect that cash provided by operating activities may fluctuate in future periods as a result of a number of factors, including fluctuations in our operating results, timing of product shipments, accounts receivable collections, inventory management, and the timing of tax and other payments. For additional discussion, see the Risk Factors listed under Part II, Item 1A of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

- Investing activities provided \$49 million of net cash for the first nine months of fiscal year 2006 compared to \$50 million provided in the same period of fiscal year 2005. Our net proceeds from maturities of marketable securities were \$93 million during the first nine months of fiscal year 2006 compared to \$98 million during the same period of fiscal year 2005. Net cash used for the purchase of property, plant and equipment was \$28 million for the first nine months of fiscal years 2006 compared to \$27 million for the same period of fiscal year 2005.
- Financing activities used net cash of \$106 million for the first nine months of fiscal year 2006, compared to net cash of \$160 million used for the same period of fiscal year 2005. During the first nine months of fiscal year 2006, we used \$199 million for the repurchase of common stock and \$8 million (the value of withheld shares) for employees

taxes due when restricted performance share awards and restricted common stock vested, which were partially offset by cash proceeds of \$57 million received from employee stock option exercises and employee stock purchases and \$46 million in excess tax benefits from share-based compensation. During the first nine

36

months of fiscal year 2005, we used \$181 million for the repurchase of common stock, which was partially offset by \$26 million in cash proceeds received from employee stock option exercises and employee stock purchases.

We expect our capital expenditures, which typically represent purchases of facilities, manufacturing equipment, office equipment and furniture and fixtures, to be approximately 3.4% of revenues in fiscal year 2006.

Our liquidity is affected by many factors, some of which are based on the normal ongoing operations of our business and some of which arise from uncertainties and conditions in the U.S. and global economies. Although our cash requirements will fluctuate as a result of the shifting influences of these factors, we believe that existing cash and cash equivalents, cash to be generated from operations and our borrowing capability will be sufficient to satisfy anticipated commitments for capital expenditures and other cash requirements through the next twelve months. We currently anticipate that we will continue to utilize our strong liquidity and cash flows from operations to repurchase our common stock, make strategic acquisitions and investments, invest in the growth of our products, invest in systems and processes and invest in expansion of our training and manufacturing capacity.

Days Sales Outstanding

Trade accounts receivable days of sales outstanding, or DSO, were 91 at June 30, 2006, an increase of 9 days from July 1, 2005, but were in line with our expectations due to the significant shift to a higher proportion of international revenues, which typically have longer collection cycles. Our accounts receivable and DSO could also be impacted by timing of product shipments, collections performance and payment terms. Over the long-term, we expect our DSO to be around 80 days.

Stock Repurchase Program

On November 21, 2005, we announced that our Board of Directors had authorized the repurchase of up to 6,000,000 shares of our common stock through December 31, 2006 in addition to the 1,500,000 shares of common stock available for repurchase as of September 30, 2005 under the previously approved program. During the first nine months of fiscal year 2006, we paid \$198.5 million to repurchase 3,895,100 shares of our common stock, of which \$74.7 million was paid to repurchase 1,500,000 shares of our common stock during the third quarter of fiscal year 2006. All shares that have been repurchased have been retired. As of June 30, 2006, 3,000,000 shares of our common stock remained available for repurchase under the new program.

Contractual Obligations

There has been no significant change to the contractual obligations we reported in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for fiscal year 2005, except that we entered into a commitment in March 2006 to invest approximately \$37 million in dpiX Holding Company LLC for dpiX LLC to acquire and construct a manufacturing facility in Colorado (see Note 5, "Related Party Transactions" of the Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements within this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q). We have invested \$12 million as of the end of the third quarter of fiscal year 2006 and expect to invest an additional \$25 million over the next 12 months. Total debt as a percentage of total capital decreased to 8.5% at June 30, 2006 compared to 9.9% at September 30, 2005. The ratio of current assets to current liabilities increased to 1.96 to 1.0 at June 30, 2006 from 1.87 to 1.0 at September 30, 2005.

Contingencies

We are subject to a variety of environmental laws around the world regulating the handling, storage, transport and disposal of hazardous materials that do or may create increased costs for some of our operations. Although we follow procedures that we consider appropriate under existing regulations, these procedures can be costly and we cannot completely eliminate the risk of contamination or injury from these materials, and, in the event of such an incident, we could be held liable for any damages that result. In addition, we could be assessed fines or penalties for failure to comply with environmental laws and regulations. These costs, and any future violations or liability under environmental laws or regulations, could have a material adverse effect on our business.

In addition, we may be required to incur significant additional costs to comply with future changes in existing environmental laws and regulations or new laws and regulations. For example, several countries, including many in the European Union, or EU, are requiring medical equipment manufacturers to bear some or all of the cost of product disposal at the end of the products' useful life, thus creating increased costs for our operations. The EU has also adopted a directive that may require

the adoption of restrictions on the use of some hazardous substances in certain of our products sold in the EU. This directive could create increased costs for our operations.

From the time we began operating, we handled and disposed of hazardous materials and wastes following procedures that were considered appropriate under regulations, if any, existing at the time. We also hired companies to dispose of wastes generated by our operations. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, or EPA, or third parties have named us as a potentially responsible party, or PRP, under the Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act of 1980, as amended, or CERCLA, at eight sites where we, as Varian Associates, Inc., are alleged to have shipped such wastes for recycling or disposal, and as a PRP we may have an obligation to reimburse the EPA or other third parties for cleanup costs at these sites. In addition, we are overseeing environmental cleanup projects and, as applicable, reimbursing third parties for cleanup activities under the direction of, or in consultation with, federal, state and/or local agencies at certain current VMS or former Varian Associates, Inc. facilities (including facilities disposed of in connection with our sale of our electron devices business during 1995 and the sale of our thin film systems business during 1997). Under the terms of the agreement governing the distribution of the shares, or the spin-offs, of Varian, Inc., or VI, and Varian Semiconductor Equipment Associates, Inc., or VSEA, by us in 1999, VI and VSEA are each obligated to indemnify us for one-third of these environmental cleanup costs (after adjusting for any insurance proceeds realized or tax benefits recognized by us).

As described below, we have accrued a total of \$16.0 million at June 30, 2006 to cover our liabilities for these cleanup projects:

- Various uncertainties make it difficult to estimate the likelihood or cost of certain third-party claims, project management costs and legal costs at all of the sites and facilities. In addition, for these sites and one of these facilities, various uncertainties make it difficult to assess the likelihood and scope of further cleanup activities or to estimate the future costs of such activities. As of June 30, 2006, we nonetheless estimated that our future exposure (net of VI's and VSEA's indemnification obligations) for these cleanup costs, third-party claims, project management costs and legal costs ranged in the aggregate from \$3.7 million to \$7.1 million. The time frame over which we expect to complete the cleanup projects varies, ranging up to approximately 30 years as of June 30, 2006. We believe that no amount in the foregoing range of estimated future costs is more probable of being incurred than any other amount in such range and therefore accrued \$3.7 million as of June 30, 2006. The amount accrued has not been discounted to present value due to the uncertainties that make it difficult to develop a best estimate of future costs.
- We have gained sufficient knowledge to better estimate the scope and costs of future cleanup activities for all but one of these facilities based upon formal agreements with other parties defining our future liabilities or formal cleanup plans that have either been approved by or completed in accordance with the requirements of the state or federal environmental agency with jurisdiction over the facility. As of June 30, 2006, we estimated that the our future exposure (net of VI's and VSEA's indemnification obligations) for the cleanup costs at these facilities, and reimbursements of third-party's claims for these facilities, ranged in the aggregate from \$10.2 million to \$45.5 million. The time frame over which these cleanup projects are expected to be completed varies with each facility, ranging from approximately 10 years to approximately 30 years as of June 30, 2006. As to each of these facilities, management determined that a particular amount within the range of estimated costs was a better estimate of the future environmental liability than any other amount within the range, and that the amount and timing of these future costs were reliably determinable. The best estimate within the range was \$18.6 million at June 30, 2006. We accordingly accrued \$12.3 million, which represents our best estimate of the future costs of \$18.6 million discounted at 4%, net of inflation.

When we developed the estimates above, we considered the financial strength of other potentially responsible parties. These amounts are, however, only estimates and may be revised in the future as we get more information on these projects. We may also spend more or less than these estimates. Based on current information, we believe that our reserves are adequate, but as the scope of our obligations becomes more clearly defined, these reserves (and the associated indemnification obligations of VI and VSEA) may be modified and related charges/credits against earnings may be made.

We receive certain cash payments in the form of settlements and judgments from defendants, our insurers and other third parties from time to time. We have also reached an agreement with an insurance company under which the insurance company has agreed to pay a portion of our past and future environmental-related expenditures, and we therefore had included a \$3.1 million receivable in Other assets at June 30, 2006. We believe that this receivable is recoverable because it is based on a binding, written settlement agreement with a solvent and financially viable

insurance company and the insurance company has in the past paid the claims that we have made.

38

Our present and past facilities have been in operation for many years, and over that time in the course of those operations, these facilities have used substances, that are or might be considered hazardous, and we have generated and disposed of wastes, that are or might be considered hazardous. Therefore, it is possible that additional environmental issues may arise in the future that we cannot now predict.

We are also involved, from time to time, in other legal proceedings, claims and government inspections or investigations, arising in the ordinary course of our business. Such matters are subject to many uncertainties and outcomes are not predictable with assurance. We accrue amounts that we believe are adequate to address any liabilities related to legal proceedings and other loss contingencies that we believe will result in a probable loss. While there can be no assurances as to the ultimate outcome of any legal proceeding or other loss contingency involving us, management does not believe any pending matter will be resolved in a manner that would have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows. However, it is possible that a legal or other proceeding brought against us could have such an impact.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

In conjunction with the sale of our products in the ordinary course of business, we provide standard indemnification of business partners and customers for losses suffered or incurred for patent, copyright or any other intellectual property infringement claims by any third parties with respect to our products. The term of these indemnification arrangements is generally perpetual. The maximum potential amount of future payments we could be required to make under these agreements is unlimited. As of June 30, 2006, we have not incurred any costs since the spin-offs to defend lawsuits or settle claims related to these indemnification arrangements.

We have entered into indemnification agreements with our directors and officers that may require us to indemnify our directors and officers against liabilities that may arise by reason of their status or service as directors or officers, and to advance their expenses incurred as a result of any legal proceeding against them as to which they could be indemnified. Generally, the maximum obligation under such indemnifications is not explicitly stated and, as a result, the overall amount of these obligations cannot be reasonably estimated.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In December 2004, the FASB issued Staff Position (FSP) No. 109-2, *Accounting and Disclosure Guidance for the Foreign Earnings Repatriation Provision within the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004* (FSP 109-2), which provides guidance under SFAS No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes* (SFAS 109), with respect to recording the potential impact of the repatriation provisions of the Jobs Creation Act on enterprises' income tax expense and deferred tax liability. The Jobs Creation Act was enacted on October 22, 2004. FSP 109-2 states that an enterprise is allowed time beyond the financial reporting period of enactment to evaluate the effect of the Jobs Creation Act on its plan for reinvestment or repatriation of foreign earnings for purposes of applying SFAS 109. During the third quarter of fiscal year 2006, we decided to repatriate approximately \$120 million in foreign earnings pursuant to the Jobs Creation Act. We had previously recorded a deferred tax liability of approximately \$16 million for taxes for the eventual repatriation of a portion of our foreign earnings. Under the Jobs Creation Act, our tax liability for repatriation of the approximately \$120 million in foreign earnings is expected to be \$6 million. Therefore, we recorded a net tax benefit of approximately \$10 million. We expect to repatriate the approximately \$120 million during the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2006.

In July 2006, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes - an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109*. This interpretation clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise's financial statements in accordance with SFAS 109. This interpretation is effective for us in the first quarter of fiscal year 2008. We have not yet evaluated the impact of the adoption of this statement on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

We are exposed to two primary types of market risks: foreign currency exchange rate risk and interest rate risk.

Foreign Currency Exchange Rate Risk

As a global entity, we are exposed to movements in foreign currency exchange rates. These exposures may change over time as business practices evolve. Adverse movements could have a material negative impact on our financial results. Our primary exposures related to foreign currency denominated sales and purchases are in Europe, Asia and Australia.

We have significant transactions denominated in foreign currencies and address certain financial exposures through a controlled program of risk management that includes the use of derivative financial instruments. We sell products throughout the world, often in the currency of the customer's country, and typically hedge most of these firmly committed foreign currency sales orders. These firmly committed foreign currency sales orders, excluding the amounts relating to the products made outside of the United States, are hedged with forward exchange contracts. All foreign currency denominated sales orders are valued in the backlog at the original exchange rates when they are recorded. We enter into foreign currency forward exchange contracts primarily to reduce the effects of fluctuating foreign currency exchange rates. We do not enter into forward exchange contracts for speculative or trading purposes. The forward exchange contracts range from one to twelve months in original maturity. As of June 30, 2006, we did not have any forward exchange contracts with an original maturity greater than twelve months, but we may hedge beyond twelve months in the future.

We also hedge the balance sheet exposures from our various foreign subsidiaries and business units having U.S. dollar functional currencies. We enter into monthly foreign currency forward exchange contracts to minimize the short-term impact of currency fluctuations on assets and liabilities denominated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar functional currency.

The notional value of sold forward exchange contracts for both hedges of foreign currency denominated sales orders and balance sheet exposures from our subsidiaries outstanding as of June 30, 2006 totaled \$395.9 million. The notional value of purchased forward exchange contracts for both hedges of foreign currency denominated sales orders and balance sheet exposures from our subsidiaries outstanding as of June 30, 2006 totaled \$19.3 million. The notional amounts of forward exchange contracts are not a measure of our exposure. The fair value of forward exchange contracts generally reflects the estimated amounts that we would receive or pay to terminate the contracts at the reporting date, thereby taking into account and approximating the current unrealized and realized gains or losses of the open contracts. A move in foreign currency exchange rates would change the fair value of the contracts, and the fair value of the underlying exposures hedged by the contracts would change in a similar offsetting manner. Accordingly, we believe that our hedging strategy should yield no material net impact to our results of operations or cash flows.

Interest Rate Risk

Our market risk exposure to changes in interest rates depends primarily on our investment portfolio. Currently, our investment portfolio consists of cash and cash equivalents and highly liquid short-term marketable securities. In the unlikely event that interest rates were to decrease substantially, we might reinvest a substantial portion of our investment portfolio at lower interest rates. We would consider additional debt obligations to support general corporate purposes, including working capital requirements, capital expenditures and acquisitions. To date, we have not used derivative financial instruments to hedge the interest rate in our investment portfolio or long-term debt, but may consider the use of derivative instruments in the future.

The principal amount of cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities at June 30, 2006 totaled \$371 million with a weighted average interest rate of 3.73% and an estimated average tax equivalent yield of 4.04%. All of our marketable securities at June 30, 2006 were in municipal bonds. Our investment portfolio of marketable securities is primarily classified as held-to-maturity (with the exception of our auction rate securities which are classified as available-for-sale), and any gains or losses relating to changes in interest rates would occur in the unlikely event of liquidation of all or part of the investment portfolio. Our debt of \$57.4 million at June 30, 2006 carried a weighted average fixed interest rate of 6.88% with principal payments due in various installments over an eight year period.

The estimated fair value of our cash and cash equivalents and marketable securities (74% of which was held in international jurisdictions at June 30, 2006 and could be subject to additional taxation if it was repatriated in the United States) approximated the principal amounts set forth above based on the maturities of these financial instruments.

Although payments under certain of our operating leases for our facilities are tied to market indices, these operating leases do not expose us to material interest rate risk.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

(a) Disclosure controls and procedures. Based on the evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in the Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act)) required by Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(b) or 15d-15(b), our principal executive officer and principal financial officer have concluded that as of the end of the period covered by this report, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective to ensure that information we are required to disclose in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms, and include controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in such reports is accumulated and communicated to our management, including the principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

(b) Changes in internal control over financial reporting. There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the third quarter of fiscal year 2006 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II

OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

We are subject to various legal proceedings and claims that are discussed in Note 8 of the Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements under the caption "Contingencies" and in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations under the same caption, and such discussion is incorporated by reference into this item.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

The following risk factors and other information included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q should be carefully considered. The risks and uncertainties described below are not the only ones we face. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to us or that we presently deem less significant may also impair our business operations. If any of the following risks actually occur, our business, operating results, and financial condition could be materially adversely affected.

IF WE ARE UNABLE TO ANTICIPATE OR KEEP PACE WITH CHANGES IN THE MARKETPLACE AND THE DIRECTION OF TECHNOLOGICAL INNOVATION AND CUSTOMER DEMANDS, OUR PRODUCTS MAY BECOME LESS USEFUL OR OBSOLETE AND OUR OPERATING RESULTS WILL SUFFER

The marketplace for our Oncology Systems products is characterized by rapid change and technological innovation. Because our products often have long development and government approval cycles, we must anticipate changes in the marketplace and the direction of technological innovation and customer demands. For example, most of our recent product introductions in our Oncology Systems business segment have related to IMRT and the relatively new technology of IGRT, and enhancements of existing products through greater systems integration and simplification.

We believe that IMRT has become a well-accepted standard of treatment in the radiation oncology market; however, if future studies fail to confirm the effectiveness of IMRT or our products or show negative side effects, or if other more effective technologies are introduced, our revenues could fail to increase or could decrease. Our success will depend upon the continued growth in awareness, acceptance and success of IMRT in general and acceptance of our products utilizing this technology in particular. However, as more institutions purchase IMRT-equipped linear accelerators or upgrade their existing accelerators with IMRT technology, the market for IMRT-related products may become saturated and we will face competition from newer technologies. We have seen and continue to expect that the rate of growth for IMRT-related equipment will be lower than what we have experienced previously, particularly in the North American market, as over 50% of our customer sites worldwide have the products and accessories necessary to perform the most advanced forms of IMRT. Our future success, therefore, will depend on our ability to accurately anticipate and capitalize on new customer demands through technological innovations and changes, including new technologies for treatment such as IGRT.

IGRT is an emerging radiation therapy treatment methodology that complements IMRT. We are currently investing in product development to design new classes of imaging products for IGRT treatment as well as enhancements to existing products to enable IGRT treatment capabilities. We believe IGRT is the next generation in radiotherapy treatment of cancers, combining IMRT treatment with sophisticated real-time imaging and visualization systems, and that it will be a driver of growth in our Oncology Systems business over the next several years. IGRT, while recognized as a new technology driver in radiation therapy, is nevertheless a relatively nascent technology that is not yet widely accepted or adopted. Our future success depends upon the wide spread awareness, acceptance and adoption by the radiation oncology market of IGRT and our IGRT products as an evolutionary technology and methodology for radiotherapy treatment of cancers. IMRT drove high order and revenue growth in North America from 1999 to 2003. Hospitals and clinics are still converting to this new clinical process, resulting in slower North American growth. There are indications that IGRT will drive a further growth phase after clinicians have absorbed IMRT and after our early IGRT sites demonstrate the efficiency and effectiveness of IGRT. If our assumptions regarding the future importance of IGRT are incorrect, if IGRT fails to be effective as a treatment methodology or if IGRT fails to become widely accepted, our orders and revenues could fail to increase or could decrease.

As radiation oncology treatment becomes more complex, our customers are increasingly concerned about the integration and simplicity of use of our various products for treating patients. For example, our linear accelerators, treatment simulators, treatment verification products and treatment planning and information management software products are highly sophisticated and require a high level of training and education in order to competently and safely use them. The complexity

and training requirements are further increased by the products' capability of operating together within integrated environments. We have directed substantial product development efforts into tighter integration of our products for more seamless operation within a system and into simplifying the usability through more intuitive user interfaces and greater software intelligence, while maintaining an open systems approach that allows customers the flexibility to mix and match individual products, incorporate products from other manufacturers, share information with other systems or products and use the equipment for offering various modalities of radiation therapy treatment methodologies. We anticipate that these efforts will increase the acceptance and adoption of IMRT and IGRT and will foster greater demand for our products from new customers and upgrades from existing customers. However, we face competition from closed-ended, dedicated-use systems that emphasize simplicity of use while sacrificing the ability for customers to customize the system to their individual needs, incorporate products from other manufacturers, share information with other systems or products, or use the equipment for differing modalities of radiation therapy treatment methodologies. If we have misjudged the importance to our customers of maintaining an open systems approach while enabling greater integration and simplicity-of-use or if we are unsuccessful in these efforts to enable greater integration and enhance simplicity-of-use efforts, our revenues could fail to increase or could decrease.

Our X-ray Products business segment sells products primarily to a limited number of original equipment manufacturer, or OEM, customers who incorporate our products into their diagnostic imaging systems. Some of these companies also manufacture X-ray tubes or digital image detectors (also commonly referred to as flat panel detectors) for their own systems. We, therefore, compete with these in-house X-ray tube and digital image detector manufacturing operations for business from their affiliated systems businesses. To succeed, we must provide X-ray tube and digital image detector products that meet our customer demands for lower cost, better product quality and/or superior technology and performance. If we are unable to continue to innovate our X-ray Products technology and anticipate our customers' demands in the areas of cost, quality, technology and performance, then our revenues could fail to increase or could decrease as our customers purchase from their internal manufacturing operations or from other independent X-ray tube or digital image detector manufacturers.

We may be unable to accurately anticipate changes in our markets and the direction of technological innovation and demands of our customers, our competitors may develop improved products or processes, or the marketplace may conclude that the task our products were designed to do is no longer an element of a generally accepted diagnostic or treatment regimen. If this occurs, the market for our products may be adversely affected and they may become less useful or obsolete. Any development adversely affecting the market for our products would force us to reduce production volumes or to discontinue manufacturing one or more of our products or product lines and would reduce our revenues and earnings.

IF WE ARE UNABLE TO DEVELOP NEW GENERATIONS OF PRODUCTS AND ENHANCEMENTS TO EXISTING PRODUCTS, WE MAY BE UNABLE TO ATTRACT OR RETAIN CUSTOMERS OR GAIN ACCEPTANCE OF OUR PRODUCTS BY CUSTOMERS

Our success depends upon the successful development, introduction and commercialization of new generations of products, treatment systems and enhancements to and/or simplification of existing products. Our Oncology Systems products are technologically complex and must keep pace with rapid and significant technological change, comply with rapidly evolving industry standards and compete effectively with new product introductions of our competitors. Our X-ray Products business segment must also continually innovate to develop products with lower cost, better product quality and superior technology and performance in order to effectively compete with the affiliated X-ray tube or digital image detector manufacturing operations of some of our customers and other independent manufacturers of digital image detectors or X-ray tube products. Accordingly, many of our products require significant planning, design, development and testing at the technological, product and manufacturing process levels. These activities require significant capital commitments and investments on our part, which we may be unable to recover. In addition, a few of our research and development projects are funded by government contracts. Changes in government priorities and our ability to attract such funding may affect our overall research effort and ultimately, our ability to develop successful new products and product enhancements.

Our ability to successfully develop and introduce new products, treatment systems and product enhancements and simplifications, and the revenues and costs associated with these efforts, are affected by our ability to:

- properly identify customer needs;
- prove feasibility of new products;
- limit the time required from proof of feasibility to routine production;
- comply with internal quality assurance systems and processes timely and efficiently;

- limit the timing and cost of regulatory approvals;
- accurately predict and control costs associated with inventory overruns caused by phase-in of new products and phase-out of old products;
- price our products competitively;
- manufacture and deliver our products in sufficient volumes on time, and accurately predict and control costs associated with manufacturing, installation, warranty and maintenance of the products;
- manage customer acceptance and payment for products;
- manage customer demands for retrofits of both new and old products; and
- anticipate and compete successfully with competitors' efforts.

Additionally, our ability to gain healthcare market acceptance and demand for our new Oncology Systems products and treatment procedures may be also affected by the budgeting cycles of hospitals and clinics for capital equipment purchases which frequently fix budgets one or more years in advance. In addition, even if customers accept new products or product enhancements, the revenues from such products may not be sufficient to offset the significant costs associated with making such products available to customers or we may have longer sales and ordering timeframes due to customer budgeting cycles.

We cannot be sure that we will be able to successfully develop, manufacture and phase in new products, treatment systems or product enhancements. The roll-out of new products, systems and product enhancements involves compliance with complex quality assurance processes, including the Quality System Regulation, or QSR, of the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, or the FDA. Failure to complete these processes timely and efficiently could result in delayed introduction of new products, systems and product enhancements. Without the successful introduction of new products, systems and product enhancements, we may be unable to attract and retain customers, causing our revenues and operating results to suffer. Additionally, if we fail to successfully manage the transition from old products to new products, systems and product enhancements, our customers may delay or cancel orders, which would adversely affect our revenues and operating results.

As we roll out new products, the installation times associated with those new products generally are longer than with well-established products. Because recognition of a portion of the revenue associated with those new products is tied to installation and acceptance of the product, our recognition of revenue associated with those products may be deferred longer than expected. While we have initiated plans to decrease the installation times associated with new products, we cannot assure you that these plans will be successful or have a meaningful impact on reducing the associated revenue recognition deferrals. As a result, our revenues may be adversely impacted over a longer period of time, and our financial results, and in particular our gross margins, could be adversely affected. Furthermore, while we anticipate that decreased installation times may allow more potential customers that have only one or two linear accelerators to upgrade and replace their existing linear accelerators with more advanced accelerators capable of IMRT and IGRT without significant downtime, our plans to decrease installation times may not be successful and, even they are successful, it is not certain that such potential customers will want to upgrade and replace their existing accelerators with new ones.

A HIGH PERCENTAGE OF OUR SALES ARE INTERNATIONAL, AND ECONOMIC, POLITICAL AND OTHER RISKS ASSOCIATED WITH INTERNATIONAL SALES AND OPERATIONS COULD ADVERSELY AFFECT OUR SALES OR MAKE THEM LESS PREDICTABLE

We conduct business globally. Our international revenues accounted for approximately 50% and 46% of revenues during the third quarter of fiscal years 2006 and 2005, respectively. As a result, we must provide significant service and support on a worldwide basis, and we have sales and service offices located throughout Europe, Asia, Latin America and Australia. In addition, we have manufacturing and research operations in England, Germany, Switzerland, France and Finland. We have invested substantial financial and management resources to develop an international infrastructure to meet the needs of our customers. We intend to continue to expand our presence in international markets, although we cannot be sure we will be able to compete successfully in the international market or meet the service and support needs of such customers. Accordingly, our future results could be harmed by a variety of factors, including:

- the difficulties in enforcing agreements and collecting receivables through many foreign countries' legal systems;

- the longer payment cycles associated with many foreign customers;

44

- the possibility that foreign countries may impose additional withholding taxes or otherwise tax our foreign income, impose tariffs or adopt other restrictions on foreign trade;
- the fact that international regions typically have a longer period from shipment to revenue recognition resulting in continued increases in revenue recognition deferrals, higher backlog and a lower gross margin on our products;
- our ability to obtain U.S. export licenses and other required export or import licenses or approvals;
- failure to comply with U.S. export laws and requirements which may result in civil or criminal penalties and restrictions on our ability to export our products, particularly our industrial linear accelerator products;
- changes in the political, regulatory, safety or economic conditions in a country or region; and
- the possibility that it may be more difficult to protect our intellectual property in foreign countries.

Also, historically our international sales have had lower average selling prices and gross margins. So, as the geographic distribution of our orders and sales shifts increasingly towards our international regions, our overall rate of orders growth (measured in U.S. dollars) could slow down and overall revenues and gross margins may be negatively affected.

OUR RESULTS MAY BE ADVERSELY AFFECTED BY CHANGES IN FOREIGN CURRENCY EXCHANGE RATES

Since we sell our products internationally and have international operations, we are also subject to market risk due to fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates, which may affect product demand, our expenses and/or the profitability in U.S. dollars of products and services provided by us in foreign markets where payment for our products and services or of our expenses is made in the local currency. We manage this risk through established policies and procedures that include the use of derivative financial instruments. We have historically entered into foreign currency forward exchange contracts to mitigate the effects of operational (sales orders) and balance sheet exposures to fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates. Our forward exchange contracts generally range from one to twelve months in original maturity.

Although we engage in hedging strategies that may offset the effect of fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates, the protection these strategies provide will be affected by the timing of transactions, the effectiveness of the hedges (measured by how closely the changes in fair value of the hedging instrument offset the changes in fair value of the hedged item), the number of transactions that are hedged, forecast volatility and the extent of movement of foreign currency exchange rates. If our hedging strategies are not effective in offsetting the effect of fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates, our operating results may be harmed. In addition, because currencies fluctuate and we engage in hedging strategies over time, movement in foreign currency exchange rates could impact our financial results positively or negatively in one period and not another, and therefore make comparing our financial results from period to period more difficult.

In addition, long-term movements in foreign currency exchange rates could affect the competitiveness of our products. Even though sales of our products internationally occurs predominantly in local currencies, our cost structure is largely U.S. dollar based, and some of our competitors may have cost structures based in other currencies, so our overall margins and pricing competitiveness may be adversely affected. In fact, in the recent past, we have benefited from the relatively weak U.S. dollar that has made our pricing more competitive with our foreign competitors. This has been a contributor to our international order and revenue growth. Any significant strengthening of the U.S. dollar against other countries' currencies may result in slower growth in our international orders and revenues, which then could negatively affect our overall financial performance and results. The relative weakness of the U.S. dollar against other currencies has been a subject of policy discussions within the U.S. government and among other countries' governments. Changes in monetary or other policies will likely affect such foreign currency exchange rates.

WE FACE SIGNIFICANT COSTS IN ORDER TO COMPLY WITH LAWS AND REGULATIONS APPLICABLE TO THE MANUFACTURE AND DISTRIBUTION OF OUR PRODUCTS, AND IF WE FAIL OR ARE DELAYED IN OBTAINING REGULATORY APPROVALS OR FAIL TO COMPLY WITH APPLICABLE REGULATIONS, WE MAY BE UNABLE TO DISTRIBUTE OUR PRODUCTS OR MAY BE SUBJECT TO CIVIL OR CRIMINAL PENALTIES

Many of our products and the products of OEMs that incorporate our products are subject to extensive and rigorous government regulation of the manufacture and distribution of our products, both in the United States and in foreign countries. Compliance with these laws and regulations is expensive and time-consuming, and changes to or failure to comply with these laws and regulations, or adoption of new laws and regulations,

could adversely affect our business.

45

In the United States, as a manufacturer and seller of medical devices and devices utilizing radioactive by-product material, we and some of our suppliers and distributors are subject to extensive regulation by federal governmental authorities, such as the FDA, and state and local regulatory agencies, such as the State of California, to ensure such devices are safe and effective. Such regulations, which include the U.S. Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act, or the FDC Act, and regulations promulgated by the FDA, govern the design, development, testing, manufacturing, packaging, labeling, distribution, import/export, possession, marketing, transportation, disposal, clinical investigations involving humans, sale and marketing of medical devices, post-market surveillance, repairs, replacements, recalls and other matters relating to medical devices, radiation producing devices and devices utilizing radioactive by-product material. State regulations are extensive and vary from state to state. Our Oncology Systems equipment and software products constitute medical devices subject to these regulations. Our X-ray tube products and our digital image detectors are also considered medical devices. Future products in any of our business segments may constitute medical devices and be subject to regulation as such. These laws require that manufacturers adhere to certain standards designed to ensure that the medical devices are safe and effective. Under the FDC Act, each medical device manufacturer must comply with requirements applicable to manufacturing practices.

The FDA generally requires that medical devices receive FDA 510(k) pre-market notification clearance or an approved pre-market approval application, or PMA, before we, as a manufacturer of such devices, can take orders for or distribute those products in the United States. In addition, modifications or enhancements to these products that could significantly affect safety or effectiveness, or constitute a major change in intended use, require further FDA clearance or approval. Obtaining FDA market clearances or approvals can be time-consuming, expensive and uncertain. We may fail to obtain the necessary clearances or approvals or may be unduly delayed in doing so. Furthermore, even if we are granted regulatory clearances, the clearances may include significant limitations on the indicated uses of the product, which may limit the market for those products. The FDA review process typically requires extended proceedings pertaining to the safety and efficacy of new products, which may delay or hinder a product's timely entry into the marketplace. If we were unable to achieve required FDA approval or clearance for a product, or were limited or unduly delayed in doing so, our business would suffer. In addition, our products have either been Class 1 medical devices (our X-ray tube and digital image detectors), which require no pre-market approvals or clearances, or Class 2 medical devices (our Oncology Systems products, with the exception of industrial products), which requires only the 510(k) pre-market notification clearance. The 510(k) clearance process is less time-consuming, expensive and uncertain than the PMA approval process. If we were required to use the PMA approval process for future products or product modifications, it could delay or prevent release of the proposed products or modifications, and could cause our business to suffer.

In addition to FDA-required market clearances and approvals, our manufacturing operations are required to comply with the FDA's QSR which addresses the quality program requirements such as a company's management responsibility for the company's quality systems, and good manufacturing practices, product design, controls, methods, facilities and quality assurance controls used in manufacturing, assembly, packing, storing and installing medical devices. Compliance with the QSR is necessary to receive FDA clearance or approval to market new products and is necessary for us to be able to continue to market cleared or approved product offerings. The FDA makes announced and unannounced inspections to determine compliance with the QSR and in connection with these inspections may issue 483 reports listing instances where we have failed to comply with applicable regulations and/or procedures or Warning Letters citing failure to comply with applicable regulations or procedure. If a Warning Letter were issued, the FDA may cease review or processing of one or more of our FDA 510(k) applications, which would negatively impact our ability to introduce new products. Additionally, if a Warning Letter were issued, customers could delay purchasing decisions and we could face increased pressure from our competitors from their use of such a Warning Letter in competitive sales situations, and our business and stock price could be adversely affected. News of an issuance of a Warning Letter may in itself also cause our stock price to fluctuate. Moreover, if any 483 reports or Warning Letters are not adequately responded to, the FDA could decide to bring enforcement actions against us, and the consequences could include fines, the total shutdown of our production facilities and criminal prosecution.

The FDA and the Federal Trade Commission, or FTC, also regulate the promotion and advertising of our products that are medical devices to ensure that the claims that are made are not off-label from the intended use stated in the 510(k) clearance for the products and also there is scientific data to substantiate such claim. The FDA and FTC determinations on these matters can be subjective, and we cannot assure you that the FDA or FTC would agree that all of our promotional claims are permissible. If the FDA or FTC determined that any of our promotional claims were not permissible, we may be required to revise our promotional claims or may be subject to enforcement actions.

As a manufacturer of medical devices utilizing radioactive byproduct material, we are subject to numerous federal, state and local laws and regulations relating to their manufacture, distribution, transportation, import/export, possession, use and disposal. Our medical devices utilizing radioactive byproduct material are subject to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, or NRC, clearance and approval requirements, and the manufacture and sale of these products are subject to state regulation that

is extensive and varies from state to state. Our manufacture and distribution of medical devices utilizing byproduct material also requires us to obtain a number of licenses and certifications for these devices and materials. Service of these products must also be in accordance with a specific radioactive materials license. We are also subject to a variety of additional environmental laws regulating our manufacturing operations and the handling, storage, transport and disposal of hazardous materials, and imposing liability for the cleanup of contamination from these materials.

As a participant in the healthcare industry, we are also subject to extensive laws and regulations in addition to FDA regulation on a broad array of additional subjects at the federal, state and local levels. These include laws and regulations protecting the privacy and integrity of patient medical information, including the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, or HIPAA, fraud and abuse laws and regulations such as physician self-referral prohibitions, anti-kickback laws and false claims laws. We also must comply with numerous federal, state and local laws of more general applicability relating to such matters as safe working conditions, manufacturing practices and fire hazard control.

If we or any of our suppliers or distributors fail to comply with FDA and other applicable regulatory requirements or are perceived to potentially have failed to comply, it can result in a wide variety of actions, such as:

- adverse publicity affecting both us and our customers;
- increased pressures from our competitors;
- investigations, 483 reports of non-compliance or Warning Letters;
- fines, injunctions, and civil penalties;
- partial suspensions or total shutdown of production, or the imposition of operating restrictions;
- increased difficulty in obtaining applicable FDA clearances or approvals;
- losses of clearances or approvals already granted, or the refusal of future requests for clearance or approval;
- seizures or recalls of our products;
- delays in purchasing decisions by customers;
- the inability to sell our products in the applicable jurisdiction; and
- criminal prosecutions.

Government regulation also may delay for a considerable period of time or prevent the marketing and full commercialization of future products or services that we may develop, and/or impose costly requirements on our business. In addition, changes in existing regulations or adoption of new regulations could affect the timing of, or prevent us from obtaining, future regulatory approvals, or could otherwise adversely affect our business.

Our operations and sales of our products outside the United States are subject to regulatory requirements that vary from country to country, and may differ significantly from those in the United States. In general, our products are regulated outside the United States as medical devices by foreign governmental agencies similar to the FDA and the FTC. We are also subject to laws and regulations outside the United States applicable to manufacturers of medical devices, radiation producing devices and products utilizing radioactive materials, and laws and regulations of general applicability relating to matters such as environmental protection, safe working conditions, manufacturing practices and other matters, in each case that are often comparable, if not more stringent, than regulation in the United States. Our sales of products in foreign countries are also subject to regulation of matters such as product standards, packaging requirements, labeling requirements, environmental and product recycling requirements, import restrictions, tariff regulations, duties and tax requirements. We rely in some countries on our foreign distributors to assist us in complying with foreign regulatory requirements. We may be required to incur significant time and expense in obtaining and maintaining non-U.S. regulatory approvals and in complying with non-U.S. laws and regulations. Delays in receipt of or failure to receive such approvals, the loss of previously obtained approvals or failure to comply with existing or future regulatory requirements could restrict or prevent

Edgar Filing: VARIAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-Q

us from doing business in the applicable country or subject us to a variety of enforcement actions, which would adversely affect our business.

It is also important that our products comply with electrical safety and environmental standards, such as those of Underwriters Laboratories, the Canadian Standards Association, and the International Electrotechnical Commission. If one or

more of our products fail to comply with these standards, we may be unable to obtain or maintain registrations to sell our products, demand for our products may diminish, or we may be subject to other enforcement actions.

The laws and regulations applicable to us and our business and their enforcement are constantly undergoing change, and we cannot predict what effect, if any, changes may have on our business. In addition, new laws and regulations may be adopted which adversely affect our business. There has been a trend in recent years, both in the United States and foreign countries, toward more stringent regulation and enforcement of requirements applicable to medical device manufacturers. The continuing trend of more stringent regulatory oversight in product clearance and enforcement activities may cause medical device manufacturers to experience more uncertainty, greater risk and higher expenses. There is a continuing trend for governments around the world, including the United States and Canada, to start charging fees for the review of pre-market notification clearances.

BECAUSE OUR PRODUCTS INVOLVE THE DELIVERY OF RADIATION AND DIAGNOSTIC IMAGING OF THE HUMAN BODY AND ARE SUBJECT TO EXTENSIVE REGULATION, PRODUCT DEFECTS MAY RESULT IN MATERIAL PRODUCT LIABILITY OR PROFESSIONAL ERRORS AND OMISSIONS CLAIMS, INVESTIGATION BY REGULATORY AUTHORITIES OR PRODUCT RECALLS THAT COULD HARM FUTURE REVENUES AND REQUIRE US TO PAY MATERIAL UNINSURED CLAIMS

Our business exposes us to potential product liability claims that are inherent in the manufacture, sale, installation, servicing and support of medical devices and software. Because our products involve the delivery of radiation to the human body, collection and storage of patient treatment data for physicians use, and the planning of radiation treatment and diagnostic imaging of the human body, the possibility for significant injury and/or death exists. The tolerance for error in the design, manufacture, installation, servicing, support or use of our products may be small or nonexistent. Our products are used as part of an overall process that takes place within our customers facilities and network systems, and under quality assurance (QA) procedures established by the facility that ultimately result in the delivery of radiation to patients. As with any high technology product, the possibility of operator error exists. As such, we may face substantial liability to patients for damages resulting from the faulty design, manufacture, installation, servicing or support or the misuse of our products. We may also be subject to claims for property damages or economic loss related to or resulting from any errors or defects in our products, or the installation, servicing and support of our product, or any professional services rendered in conjunction with our products. Additionally, errors or accidents in treatment may arise from the fact that our products operate in complex environments with products from other vendors, where interoperability or data sharing protocol may not be optimized. In any accident case, we could be subject to legal costs, adverse publicity and damage to our reputation, whether or not our products or services were a factor. Furthermore, adverse publicity regarding accidents or mistreatments involving radiation therapy could adversely impact our business by negatively affecting the reputation of radiation therapy in general, causing patients to question the efficacy of radiation therapy as a viable treatment for cancer and seek other modalities of treatment instead.

In addition, if a product we designed or manufactured is defective or issues respecting the product are deemed likely to result in a serious injury or death (whether due to design or manufacturing defects, improper use of the product or other reasons), we may be required to notify regulatory authorities and/or to recall the product, possibly at our expense. A required notification to a regulatory authority or voluntary recall could result in an investigation by regulatory authorities of our products, which could in turn result in required recalls, restrictions on the sale of the products or other civil or criminal penalties. Such recalls may also result in unexpected financial accruals under GAAP that may cause our quarterly results to fluctuate. The adverse publicity resulting from any of these actions could cause customers to review and potentially terminate their relationships with us. These investigations or recalls, especially if accompanied by unfavorable publicity or termination of customer contracts, could result in our incurring substantial costs and management time, losing revenues and damaging our reputation, each of which would harm our business.

We maintain limited product liability insurance coverage in amounts we deem sufficient for our business and currently self-insure professional liability/errors and omission liability. The product liability insurance policies that we maintain are expensive and have high deductible amounts and self-insured retentions. In the future, these policies may not be available on acceptable terms or in sufficient amounts, if at all. In addition, the insurance coverage we have obtained may not be adequate. A successful material claim brought against us relating to a self-insured liability or a liability that is in excess of our insurance coverage, or for which insurance coverage is denied or limited would require us to pay damage amounts that could be substantial and have a material adverse effect on our financial position and results of operation.

THE MARKETS IN WHICH WE COMPETE ARE HIGHLY COMPETITIVE, AND WE MAY LOSE MARKET SHARE TO COMPANIES WITH GREATER RESOURCES OR WHICH ARE ABLE TO DEVELOP MORE EFFECTIVE TECHNOLOGIES, OR WE COULD BE FORCED TO REDUCE OUR PRICES

The markets for radiation therapy equipment and software are characterized by rapidly evolving technology, intense competition and pricing pressure. Many of the companies with which our Oncology Systems compete have greater financial, marketing and other resources than we have. Also, we expect that the rapid technological changes occurring in our markets will lead to the entry of new competitors into our markets, as well as our encountering new competitors as we apply our technologies in new markets such as stereotactic radiosurgery for neurosurgical and extracranial treatments. Our ability to compete successfully depends in part on our ability to provide technologically superior, clinically proven products that deliver more precise, cost-effective, high quality clinical outcomes, together in a complete package of products and services, and to do so ahead of our competitors. Our ability to compete in the radiation therapy market may be adversely affected when purchase decisions are based solely upon price since our products are generally sold on a total value to the customer basis. This may occur if hospitals and clinics give purchasing decision authority to group purchasing organizations that focus solely on pricing as the primary determinant in making purchase decisions. In our sales of linear accelerator products for radiotherapy and radiosurgery, we compete primarily with Siemens Medical Solutions, Elekta AB, Tomotherapy Incorporated and Accuray Incorporated. We compete with a variety of companies, such as Elekta AB/IMPAC Medical Systems, Inc., Philips Medical Systems, Computerized Medical Systems, Inc., North American Scientific, Inc. and Nucletron B.V. in our software products, treatment simulation and verification products and accessories product lines. We also have begun to encounter some competition from providers of hospital information systems. In respect of our BrachyTherapy business, our primary competitor is Nucletron B.V. For the service and maintenance business for our products, we compete with independent service organizations and our customers' internal service organizations.

The market for X-ray imaging components and subsystems is extremely competitive, with our competitors frequently having greater financial, marketing and other resources than we have. All of the major diagnostic imaging systems companies, which are the primary customers for our X-ray tubes and digital image detectors, also manufacture X-ray tubes and digital image detectors for use in their own products. We must compete with these in-house manufacturing operations that are naturally favored by their affiliated companies. As a result, we must have a competitive advantage in one or more significant areas, which may include lower product cost, better product quality or superior technology and performance. We sell a significant volume of our X-ray tube products to companies such as Toshiba Corporation, Hitachi Medical Corporation, Shimadzu Corporation, Philips Medical Systems and GE, all of which have in-house X-ray tube production capability. In addition, we compete against other stand-alone X-ray tube manufacturers such as Comet AG and IAE Industria Applicazioni Elettroniche Spa. These companies compete with us for both the OEM business of major diagnostic imaging equipment manufacturers and the independent servicing business for X-ray tubes. The market for digital image detectors is also very competitive. We incorporate our digital image detectors into our next generation equipment for IGRT within our Oncology Systems and also sell to a number of OEMs, which incorporate our digital image detectors into their medical diagnostic and industrial imaging systems. Our significant customers include Toshiba Corporation, Imaging Sciences International Inc., Sound Technologies, Inc. and Hitachi Medical Corporation. We primarily compete against GE, Trixell S.A.S., Canon, Inc. and Hologic, Inc. in our digital image detector product line.

In each of our business segments, existing competitors' actions and new entrants may adversely affect our ability to compete. These competitors could develop technologies and products that are more effective than those we currently use or produce or that could render our products obsolete or noncompetitive. In addition, the timing of competitors' introduction of products into the market could affect the market acceptance and market share of our products. Some competitors offer specialized products which are perceived by some healthcare providers to provide a marketing advantage over our mainstream cancer treatment products. Also, we could be competitively disadvantaged by some competitors who are not governed by or operate under the same business standards or requirements as us. If we are unable to develop competitive products, gain regulatory approval and supply commercial quantities of such products to the market as quickly and effectively as our competitors, market acceptance of our products may be limited and our sales reduced. In addition, some of our smaller competitors could be acquired by larger companies that have greater financial strength, which could enable them to compete more aggressively. Some of our suppliers or distributors could also be acquired by competitors, which could disrupt these supply or distribution arrangements and result in less predictable and reduced revenues in our businesses. Therefore, the impact of any such factors could have a negative effect on our pricing, sales, revenues, market share and gross margins and our ability to maintain or increase our operating margins.

INTEROPERABILITY OF OUR PRODUCTS WITH ONE ANOTHER AND THEIR COMPATIBILITY WITH THIRD- PARTY PRODUCTS IS BECOMING INCREASINGLY IMPORTANT, AND IF WE ARE UNABLE TO MAKE OUR PRODUCTS INTEROPERATE WITH ONE ANOTHER OR COMPATIBLE WITH WIDELY USED THIRD-PARTY PRODUCTS, SALES OF OUR PRODUCTS COULD DECREASE

As radiation oncology treatment becomes more and more complex, our customers are increasingly concerned about the interoperability and compatibility of the various products they use in providing treatment to patients. For example, our linear accelerators, treatment simulators, treatment verification products and treatment planning and information management software products are designed to interoperate with one another, and to be compatible with other widely used third-party radiation oncology products. Obtaining and maintaining this interoperability and compatibility is costly and time-consuming, and when third parties modify the design or functionality of their products, it can require us to modify our products to ensure compatibility. Conversely, when we implement design improvements to our products, third-party providers of software network already in place in clinics could slow adoption of our new technology by not providing proper interfaces. In addition, our ability to obtain compatibility with third-party products can depend on the third parties providing us with adequate information regarding their products. These third parties are in many cases our competitors and accordingly the timing of their product changes, and of sharing relevant information with us, may place us at a competitive disadvantage. Further, we could be required to obtain additional regulatory clearances for any modification of our products. It is also possible that, despite our best efforts, we might be unable to make our products interoperable or compatible with widely used third-party products or might only be able to do so at a prohibitive expense, making our products less attractive or more costly to our customers.

WE MAY INCUR SUBSTANTIAL COSTS IN PROTECTING OUR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY, AND IF WE ARE NOT ABLE TO DO SO, OUR COMPETITIVE POSITION WOULD BE HARMED

We file applications as appropriate for patents covering new products and manufacturing processes. We cannot be sure, however, that our patents, patents that will be issued from any of our pending or future patent applications or patents for technologies licensed to us, or that the claims allowed under any issued patents, will be sufficiently broad to protect our technology position against competitors. Issued patents owned by, or licensed to, us may be challenged, invalidated or circumvented, or the rights granted under the patent may not provide us with competitive advantages. We could incur substantial costs and diversion of management resources if we have to assert our patent rights against others in litigation or other legal proceeding. An unfavorable outcome to any such litigation or proceeding could harm us. In addition, we may not be able to detect infringement or may lose competitive position in the market before we do so.

We also rely on a combination of copyright, trade secret and other laws, and contractual restrictions on disclosure, copying and transferring title, including confidentiality agreements with vendors, strategic partners, co-developers, employees, consultants and other third parties, to protect our proprietary rights. We cannot assure you that such protections will prove adequate, that contractual agreements will not be breached, that we will have adequate remedies for any such breaches, or that our trade secrets will not otherwise become known to or independently developed by others. We have trademarks, both registered and unregistered, that are maintained and enforced to provide customer recognition for our products in the marketplace. We cannot assure you that our trademarks will not be used by unauthorized third parties. We also have agreements with third parties that license to us certain patented or proprietary technologies. If we were to lose the rights to license these technologies, or our costs to license these technologies were to materially increase, our business would suffer.

THIRD PARTIES MAY CLAIM WE ARE INFRINGING THEIR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY, AND WE COULD SUFFER SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION OR LICENSING EXPENSES OR BE PREVENTED FROM SELLING OUR PRODUCTS

The industries in which we compete are characterized by a substantial amount of litigation over patent and other intellectual property rights. Our competitors, like companies in many high technology businesses, continually review other companies' products for possible conflicts with their own intellectual property rights. Determining whether a product infringes a third party's intellectual property rights involves complex legal and factual issues, and the outcome of this type of litigation is often uncertain. Third parties may claim that we are infringing their intellectual property rights, and we may be found to infringe those intellectual property rights. While we do not believe that any of our products infringe the valid intellectual property rights of third parties, we may not be aware of intellectual property rights of others that relate to our products, services or technologies. From time to time, we have received notices from third parties or are subject to lawsuits alleging infringement of third-party patent or other intellectual property rights. Any contest regarding patents or other intellectual property could be costly and time-consuming, and could divert our management and key personnel from our business operations. We cannot assure you that we would prevail in any such contest. We also do not maintain insurance for such

intellectual property infringement. Therefore, if we are unsuccessful in defending any such infringement claim, we may be subject to significant damages or injunctions against development and sale of our products, or may be required to enter into costly royalty or license agreements. We cannot assure you that any licenses required would be made available on acceptable terms or at all.

SINCE WE DEPEND UPON A LIMITED GROUP OF SUPPLIERS, AND IN SOME CASES SOLE SOURCE SUPPLIERS, FOR SOME PRODUCT COMPONENTS, THE LOSS OF A SUPPLIER OR ANY INABILITY TO SUPPLY SUCH COMPONENTS COULD REDUCE OUR ABILITY TO MANUFACTURE PRODUCTS, CAUSE MATERIAL DELAYS IN OUR ABILITY TO DELIVER PRODUCTS, OR SIGNIFICANTLY INCREASE OUR COSTS

We obtain some of the components and subassemblies included in our products from a limited group of suppliers, or in some cases a single-source supplier, for example, the source wires for high-dose afterloaders; klystrons for linear accelerators; imaging panels, non-coated array sensors and coating for array sensors for the digital image detectors; specialized integrated circuits for imaging subassemblies; and some targets, housings and glass bulbs for X-ray tubes. If we lose any of these suppliers, we would be required to obtain and qualify one or more replacement suppliers, which may then also require us to redesign or modify our products to incorporate such new parts and/or further require us to obtain clearance, qualification or certification of such product by the FDA or other applicable regulatory approvals in other countries. Such an event would likely cause material delays in delivery and could significantly increase costs for the affected product. Although we have obtained limited insurance to protect against business interruption loss, there can be no assurance that such coverage will be adequate or that such coverage will continue to remain available on acceptable terms, if at all. Additionally, some of these suppliers, including our single-source supplier, supply components in rapidly growing product lines. Manufacturing capacity limitations of any of these suppliers or the inability of these suppliers to be able to meet increasing demand are also possibilities that could adversely affect us, resulting in curtailed growth opportunities for any of our product lines and higher costs of manufacturing for us as prices increase for such components and subassemblies due to shortage and greater demand. Disruptions or loss of any of our limited- or sole-source components or subassemblies or the capacity limitations of the suppliers for such components or subassemblies, including the ones referenced above, could adversely affect our business and financial results and could damage our customer relationships.

WE SELL OUR X-RAY TUBES TO A LIMITED NUMBER OF OEM CUSTOMERS, MANY OF WHOM ARE ALSO OUR COMPETITORS, AND THE LOSS OR REDUCTION IN PURCHASING VOLUME BY ONE OR MORE OF THESE CUSTOMERS OR CONSOLIDATION AMONG OEMs IN THE X-RAY TUBE PRODUCTS MARKET COULD REDUCE OUR SALES OF X-RAY TUBE PRODUCTS

We sell our X-ray tube products to a limited number of OEM customers, many of whom are also our competitors, for incorporation into diagnostic imaging systems. The loss of, or reduction in purchasing volume by, one or more of these customers would have a material adverse effect on our X-ray Products business. There has been a consolidation of diagnostic imaging systems manufacturers over the past few years. The ongoing consolidation of customers, who purchase our X-ray tube products, including the consolidation of these customers into companies that already manufacture X-ray tubes, could result in less predictable and reduced sales of our X-ray tubes products. In addition, our OEM customers' products, which use our tubes, could lose market share to competitive products or technologies and, thereby, result in a reduction in our orders and revenues.

WE SELL OUR LINATRON® X-RAY ACCELERATORS TO OEM CUSTOMERS WHO ARE DEPENDENT UPON CUSTOMER DELIVERY AND ACCEPTANCE SCHEDULES, WHICH MAY CAUSE ORDERS FOR OUR SECURITY AND INSPECTION PRODUCTS TO BE UNPREDICTABLE

Our Security and Inspection Products, or SIP, business designs, manufactures and sells Linatron X-ray accelerators for security and inspection purposes. We generally sell our accelerators to OEMs who incorporate them into their inspection products, which are then sold to port authorities and other government agencies, as well as to commercial private parties. We believe growth in this business will be driven by security cargo screening and border protection needs, as well as by the needs of customs agencies to verify shipments for assessing duties and taxes. However, use of linear accelerator technology in security cargo screening and border protection is in its early stages. Orders for our security and inspections products may be unpredictable and the actual timing of sales and revenue recognition will vary significantly as it is difficult to predict our OEM customer delivery and acceptance schedules.

In addition, our SIP business is heavily influenced by U.S. and foreign governmental policies on national and homeland security, border protection and customs revenue activities, which depend upon government budgets and appropriations and are subject to political changes. These influences are inherently unpredictable, and may cause uncertainty and variability in

the timing of orders. Thus, orders in any quarter or period are not necessarily directly correlated to the level of sales or revenues in any particular future quarter or period. This unpredictability in orders, sales and revenue timing could cause volatility in our revenues and earnings, and therefore our stock price.

IF WE ARE UNABLE TO PROVIDE THE SIGNIFICANT EDUCATION AND TRAINING REQUIRED FOR THE HEALTHCARE MARKET TO ACCEPT OUR PRODUCTS, OUR BUSINESS WILL SUFFER

In order to achieve market acceptance for our Oncology Systems products, we are often required to educate physicians about the use of a new treatment procedure such as IMRT and IGRT, overcome physician objections to some of the effects of the product or its related treatment regimen, convince healthcare payors that the benefits of the product and its related treatment process outweigh its costs and help train qualified physicians in the skilled use of our products. For example, the complexity and dynamic nature of IMRT and IGRT requires significant education of hospitals and physicians regarding the benefits of IMRT and IGRT and the required departures from their customary practices. We have expended and will continue to expend significant resources on marketing and educational efforts to create awareness of IMRT and IGRT generally and to encourage acceptance and adoption of our products for IMRT and IGRT. The timing of our competitors' introduction of products and the market acceptance of their products may also make this educational process more difficult. We cannot be sure that any products we develop will gain any significant market acceptance and market share among physicians, patients and healthcare payors, even if required regulatory approvals are obtained.

WE MAY NOT BE ABLE TO MAINTAIN OR EXPAND OUR BUSINESS IF WE ARE NOT ABLE TO RETAIN, HIRE AND INTEGRATE SUFFICIENTLY QUALIFIED PERSONNEL

Our future success depends to a significant extent on the continued service of members of our key executive, technical, sales, marketing and engineering staff. It also depends on our ability to attract, expand, integrate, train and retain our management team, qualified engineering personnel and technical personnel. The loss of services of key employees could adversely affect our business. Competition for such personnel can be intense. We compete for key personnel with other medical equipment and software manufacturers and technology companies, as well as universities and research institutions. Because the competition for qualified personnel is intense, costs related to compensation could increase significantly if supply decreases or demand increases. If we are unable to hire, train or retain qualified personnel, we will not be able to maintain and expand our business.

IF WE ARE NOT ABLE TO MATCH OUR MANUFACTURING CAPACITY WITH DEMAND FOR OUR PRODUCTS, OUR FINANCIAL RESULTS MAY SUFFER

As a manufacturer of medical devices with a long production cycle, we need to anticipate demand for our products in order to ensure adequate manufacturing or testing capacity. We cannot assure you that we will be able to anticipate demand adequately or adjust our resources appropriately. If our manufacturing or testing capacity does not keep pace with product demand, we will not be able to fulfill orders in a timely manner, which in turn may have a negative effect on our financial results and overall business. Conversely, if demand for our products decreases, the fixed costs associated with excess manufacturing capacity may adversely affect our financial results.

WE MAY ATTEMPT TO ACQUIRE NEW BUSINESSES, PRODUCTS OR TECHNOLOGIES, AND IF WE ARE UNABLE TO SUCCESSFULLY COMPLETE THESE ACQUISITIONS OR TO INTEGRATE ACQUIRED BUSINESSES, PRODUCTS, TECHNOLOGY OR EMPLOYEES, WE MAY FAIL TO REALIZE EXPECTED BENEFITS OR HARM OUR EXISTING BUSINESS

Our success will depend, in part, on our ability to expand our product offerings and grow our businesses in response to changing technologies, customer demands and competitive pressures. In some circumstances, we may determine to do so through the acquisition of complementary businesses, products or technologies rather than through internal development. In the second quarter of fiscal year 2005, we acquired Sigma Micro Informatique Conseil, a privately held French supplier of information management software for radiation oncology and medical oncology. The identification of suitable acquisition candidates can be difficult, time-consuming and costly, and we may not be able to successfully complete identified acquisitions. Furthermore, even if we complete an acquisition, we may not be able to successfully integrate newly acquired organizations, products or technologies into our operations, and the process of integration could be expensive, time-consuming and may strain our resources. In many instances, this will also involve implementing or improving internal controls appropriate for a public company at businesses that lack them. In addition, we may be unable to retain employees of acquired companies, or retain the acquired company's customers, suppliers, distributors or other partners who are our competitors or who have close relationships with our competitors. Consequently, we may not achieve anticipated benefits.

and could harm our existing business. In addition, future acquisitions could result in potentially dilutive issuances of equity securities or the incurrence of debt, contingent liabilities or expenses, or other charges such as in-process research and development, any of which could harm our business and affect our financial results.

WE UTILIZE DISTRIBUTORS FOR A PORTION OF OUR SALES, THE LOSS OF WHICH COULD HARM OUR REVENUES IN THE TERRITORY SERVICED BY THESE DISTRIBUTORS

We have strategic relationships with a number of key distributors for sales and service of our products, principally in foreign countries. If these strategic relationships are terminated and not replaced, our revenues and/or ability to service our products in the territories serviced by these distributors could be adversely affected.

HEALTHCARE REFORMS, CHANGES IN HEALTHCARE POLICIES AND CHANGES TO THIRD-PARTY REIMBURSEMENTS FOR RADIATION ONCOLOGY SERVICES MAY AFFECT DEMAND FOR OUR PRODUCTS

The United States government has in the past, and may in the future, consider (and state and local, as well as a number of foreign governments, are considering or have adopted) healthcare policies and proposals intended to curb rising healthcare costs, including those that could significantly affect both private and public reimbursement for healthcare services. These policies have included, and may in the future include, rationing of government-funded reimbursement for healthcare services and imposing price controls on medical products and services providers. Future significant changes in the healthcare systems in the United States or elsewhere, including those that may reduce reimbursement rates for our products or procedures using our products, could have a negative impact on the demand for our products and services and our business. We are unable to predict what healthcare reform legislation or regulation, if any, will be enacted in the United States or elsewhere, whether other healthcare legislation or regulation affecting our business may be proposed or enacted in the future, or what effect any such legislation or regulation would have on our business.

In addition, sales of some of our products indirectly depend on whether adequate reimbursement is available to our customers for the treatment provided by those products from third-party healthcare payors, such as government healthcare insurance programs, including the Medicare and Medicaid programs, private insurance plans, health maintenance organizations and preferred provider organizations. Once Medicare has made a decision to provide reimbursement for a given treatment, these reimbursement rates are generally reviewed and adjusted by Medicare annually. Private third-party payors often adopt Medicare reimbursement policies and payment amounts. As a result, decisions by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, or CMS, to reimburse for a treatment, or changes to Medicare's reimbursement policies or reductions in payment amounts with respect to a treatment would likely extend to third-party payor reimbursement policies and amounts for that treatment. While we believe reimbursement policies and amounts are a lesser factor in our customer purchasing decisions for radiotherapy products, a dramatic change in the availability and amount of such reimbursement for treatments using our products could influence our customers' decisions. If sharp cuts in overall reimbursement rates for radiotherapy, radiosurgery or brachytherapy occur, this could increase uncertainty and reduce demand for our products and have a material adverse effect on our revenues.

As a general matter, third-party payors are increasingly challenging the pricing of medical procedures or limiting or prohibiting reimbursement for specific services or devices, and we cannot be sure that they will reimburse our customers at levels sufficient to enable us to achieve or maintain sales and price levels for our products. Without adequate support from third-party payors, the market for our products may be limited. There is no uniform policy on reimbursement among third-party payors, nor can we be sure that procedures using our products will qualify for reimbursement from third-party payors. Foreign governments also have their own healthcare reimbursement systems, and there is an emerging private sector. We cannot be sure that adequate reimbursement will be made available with respect to our products under any foreign reimbursement system.

FLUCTUATIONS IN OUR OPERATING RESULTS, INCLUDING QUARTERLY NET ORDERS, REVENUES, AND GROSS MARGINS, MAY CAUSE OUR STOCK PRICE TO BE VOLATILE, WHICH COULD CAUSE LOSSES TO OUR STOCKHOLDERS

We have experienced and expect in the future to experience fluctuations in our operating results, including net orders, revenues and gross margins. Many of our products require significant capital expenditures by our customers. Accordingly, individual product orders can be quite large in dollar amounts, and the timing of when individual orders are made and the revenues recognized could have an effect on our quarterly results. Timing of order placement from customers and their willingness to commit to purchase products are inherently difficult to predict or forecast. Once orders are received, factors that may affect whether these orders become revenues are the timing include:

- delay in shipment due, for example, to unanticipated construction delays at customer locations where our products are to be installed, cancellations by customers, natural disasters, port strikes or manufacturing difficulties;
- delay in the installation and/or acceptance of a product; or
- a change in a customer's financial condition or ability to obtain financing.

Our quarterly operating results may also be affected by a number of other factors, including:

- changes in our or our competitors' pricing or discount levels;
- changes or anticipated changes in third-party reimbursement amounts or policies applicable to treatments using our products;
- revenues becoming affected by seasonal influences;
- the level of our deferred revenues;
- changes in foreign currency exchange rates;
- changes in the relative portion of our revenues represented by our various products;
- timing of the announcement, introduction and delivery of new products or product enhancements by us and by our competitors;
- disruptions in the supply or changes in the costs of raw materials, labor, product components or transportation services;
- changes in the general economic conditions in the regions in which we do business;
- the possibility that unexpected levels of cancellations of orders or backlog may affect certain assumptions upon which we base our forecasts and predictions of future performance;
- the impact of changing levels of sales to sole purchasers of certain of our X-ray products;
- unfavorable outcome of any litigation; and
- accounting adjustments such as those relating to accounting reserves for product recalls, share-based compensation expense as required under SFAS 123(R) and changes in interpretation of accounting pronouncements.

Because many of our operating expenses are based on anticipated capacity levels and a high percentage of such expenses are fixed for the short term, a small variation in the timing of revenue recognition can cause significant variations in operating results from quarter to quarter. For example, in the third quarter of fiscal year 2006, we saw an almost three percentage point year-over-year decline in the gross margin for our Oncology Systems business, which decline principally resulted from (i) higher ramp-up costs associated with the rapid growth of our OBI product; (ii) a continuing mix shift towards a higher proportion of international revenues which typically have lower gross margins than revenues from North America; (iii) delays in revenue recognition in Oncology Systems associated with new products and international shipments and (iv) share-based compensation expense recorded in the third quarter of fiscal year 2006. If results fall below the expectation of securities analysts and investors, the trading price of our common stock would almost certainly decline.

We report on a quarterly and annual basis our net orders and backlog. It is important to understand that, unlike revenues, net orders and backlog are not governed by the rules of GAAP, and are not within the scope of the audit or reviews conducted by our independent public accountants;

therefore, investors should not interpret our net orders or backlog results in such a manner. Also, our net orders and backlog cannot necessarily be relied upon as accurate predictors of future revenues as the timing of such revenues is dependent upon completion of customer site preparation and construction, installation scheduling, customer capital budgeting and financing, appropriate regulatory authorizations and other factors. Unexpected levels of cancellation of individual orders will reduce the quarterly net orders results and also affect the level of future revenues. Accordingly, we cannot be sure if or when orders will mature into revenues. Our operating results for net orders and backlog in one or more future periods may fall below the expectations of securities analysts and investors. In that event, the trading price of our common stock would almost certainly decline.

We prepare our financial statements to conform with GAAP. These principles are subject to interpretation by the Financial Accounting Standards Board, American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, the Securities and Exchange Commission and various other regulatory or accounting bodies. A change in interpretations of, or our application of, these principles can have a significant effect on our reported results and may even affect our reporting of transactions completed before a change is announced.

WE ARE REQUIRED TO RECOGNIZE EXPENSE FOR SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION RELATED TO STOCK OPTIONS AND EMPLOYEE STOCK PURCHASES, AND THERE CAN BE NO ASSURANCE THAT THE EXPENSE THAT WE ARE REQUIRED TO RECOGNIZE ACCURATELY MEASURES THE VALUE OF OUR SHARE-BASED PAYMENT AWARDS, AND THE RECOGNITION OF THIS EXPENSE COULD CAUSE THE TRADING PRICE OF OUR COMMON STOCK TO DECLINE

On October 1, 2005, we adopted SFAS 123(R) using the modified prospective transition method, which requires the measurement and recognition of compensation expense for all share-based payment awards made to our employees and directors including stock options and employee stock purchases related to the Employee Stock Purchase Plan and restricted stock based on fair values. As a result, our operating results for the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal year 2006 contain, and our operating results for future periods will contain, a charge for share-based compensation related to stock options, employee stock purchases, restricted stock and deferred stock units. In prior periods, the only share-based compensation expense we recognized was for restricted stock.

The application of SFAS 123(R) requires the use of an option-pricing model, such as the Black-Scholes option-pricing model, to determine the fair value of share-based payment awards. Option-pricing models were developed for use in estimating the value of traded options that have no vesting restrictions and are fully transferable. Our stock options and the option component of the Employee Stock Purchase Plan shares have characteristics significantly different from those of traded options, and changes in the assumptions (such as expected term, stock price volatility and other variables) can materially affect the fair value estimates. Therefore, although we determine the fair value of stock options and the option component of the Employee Stock Purchase Plan shares in accordance with SFAS 123(R) and SAB 107, the existing valuation models may not provide an accurate measure of such fair value, and there can be no assurance that the resulting expense that we are required to recognize accurately measures that value.

As a result of the adoption of SFAS 123(R), our earnings for the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal 2006 were lower than they would have been had we not been required to adopt SFAS 123(R). This will continue to be the case for future periods. We cannot predict the effect that this adverse impact on our reported operating results will have on the trading price of our common stock.

THE NATURE OF OUR BUSINESS EXPOSES US TO ENVIRONMENTAL CLAIMS, CLEANUP COSTS, OR EXPENSES, WHICH COULD CAUSE US TO PAY SIGNIFICANT AMOUNTS

We are subject to a variety of environmental laws around the world regulating the handling, storage, transport and disposal of hazardous materials and imposing liability for the cleanup of contamination from these materials that do or may create increased costs for some of our operations. Although we follow procedures that we consider appropriate under existing regulations, these procedures can be costly and we cannot completely eliminate the risk of contamination or injury from these hazardous materials, and, in the event of such an incident, we could be held liable for any damages that result. We do not maintain insurance for clean up costs or third-party claims resulting from environmental contamination which could occur in the future. We do, however, maintain insurance policies that may provide coverage for cleanup costs or third-party claims resulting from some historical occurrences of environmental contamination; although such coverage may be inadequate to cover such costs or claims. We could also be assessed fines or penalties for failure to comply with environmental laws and regulations.

In addition, we may be required to incur significant additional costs to comply with future changes in existing environmental laws and regulations or new laws and regulations. For example, several countries, including many in the European Union, or EU, are requiring medical equipment manufacturers to bear some or all of the cost of product disposal at the end of the products' useful life, thus creating increased costs for our operations. The EU has also adopted a directive that may require the adoption of restrictions on the use of some hazardous substances in certain of our products sold in the EU. This directive could create increased costs for our operations. All of these costs, and any future violations or liability under environmental laws or regulations, could have a material adverse effect on our business.

THE EFFECT OF TERRORISM OR AN OUTBREAK OF EPIDEMIC DISEASES MAY NEGATIVELY AFFECT SALES AND HINDER OUR OPERATIONS

Concerns about terrorism or an outbreak of epidemic diseases such as Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome and Avian Influenza, especially in our major markets of North America or Europe, could have a negative effect on travel and our business operations, and result in adverse consequences on our revenues and financial performance.

AS A STRATEGY TO UTILIZE OUR AVAILABLE CASH TO BETTER ASSIST OUR SALES EFFORTS, WE OFFER EXTENDED PAYMENT TERMS, WHICH MAY POTENTIALLY RESULT IN HIGHER DSO AND GREATER PAYMENT DEFAULTS

In light of the relatively low interest rates on short-term investments and in order to better utilize our strong cash position in a manner to better assist sales of our products, we offer longer or extended payment terms for qualified customers in some circumstances. During the third quarter of fiscal year 2006, revenues earned from customer contracts with longer or extended payment terms amounted to approximately 2% of total Oncology Systems revenues. While we qualify customers to whom we offer such longer or extended payment terms, there can be no assurance that the financial positions of such customers will not change adversely over the longer time period given for payment. In such an event, we may experience an increase in payment defaults in our accounts receivable, which will affect our net earnings. Also, such longer or extended payment terms will likely result in an increase in our DSO.

OUR OPERATIONS ARE VULNERABLE TO INTERRUPTION OR LOSS DUE TO NATURAL DISASTERS, POWER LOSS, STRIKES AND OTHER EVENTS BEYOND OUR CONTROL, WHICH WOULD ADVERSELY AFFECT OUR BUSINESS

We conduct a significant portion of our activities including manufacturing, administration and data processing at facilities located in the State of California and other seismically active areas that have experienced major earthquakes in the past, as well as other natural disasters. We carry limited earthquake insurance for inventory only. Such coverage may not be adequate or continue to be available at commercially reasonable rates and terms. A major earthquake or other disaster affecting our facilities could significantly disrupt our operations, delay or prevent product manufacture and shipment for the time required to repair, rebuild or replace our manufacturing facilities, which could be lengthy, and result in large expenses. In addition, our facilities, particularly those located in the western states of the United States, may be subject to a shortage of available electrical power and other energy supplies. Such shortages may increase our costs for power and energy supplies or could result in blackouts, which could disrupt the operations of our affected facilities and harm our business. In addition, our products are typically shipped from a limited number of ports, and any natural disaster, strike or other event blocking shipment from such ports could delay or prevent shipments and harm our business.

OUR STOCKHOLDER RIGHTS PLAN AND PROVISIONS OF OUR CERTIFICATE OF INCORPORATION MAY DISCOURAGE A TAKE-OVER AND THEREFORE LIMIT THE PRICE OF OUR COMMON STOCK

We have a stockholder rights plan that, under specific circumstances, would significantly dilute the equity interest in our company of a person (or persons) seeking to acquire control of our company without the prior approval of our Board of Directors. Our Certificate of Incorporation also includes provisions that may make an acquisition of control of our company without the approval of our Board of Directors more difficult. Such stockholder rights plan and provisions in our Certificate of Incorporation may discourage take-over attempts and limit the price of our common stock.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds**(a) Not applicable****(b) Not applicable****(c) The following table provides information with respect to the shares of common stock repurchased by us during the third quarter of fiscal year 2006.**

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid Per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
April 1, 2006 - April 28, 2006	475,000	\$ 54.42	475,000	4,025,000
April 29, 2006 - May 26, 2006	505,995	\$ 49.27	505,995	3,519,005
May 27, 2006 - June 30, 2006	519,005	\$ 46.09	519,005	3,000,000
Total	1,500,000	\$ 49.80	1,500,000	

On November 21, 2005, we announced that our Board of Directors had authorized the repurchase of up to 6,000,000 shares of our common stock through December 31, 2006. We expect repurchases will be made in accordance with Rule 10b-18 and include a plan designed to satisfy the Rule 10b5-1 safe harbor. Shares will be retired upon repurchase. As of June 30, 2006, 3,000,000 shares of our common stock remained available for repurchase.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities**None.****Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders****None.****Item 5. Other Information****None.**

Item 6. Exhibits

(a) Exhibits required to be filed by Item 601 of Regulation S-K:

Exhibit

No.	Description
15.1	Letter Regarding Unaudited Interim Financial Information.
31.1	Chief Executive Officer Certification Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act.
31.2	Chief Financial Officer Certification Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act.
32.1	Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2	Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Varian Medical Systems, Inc. has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

VARIAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC.

(Registrant)

Dated: August 8, 2006

By: **/s/ ELISHA W. FINNEY**
Elisha W. Finney
Senior Vice President, Finance and
Chief Financial Officer
*(Duly Authorized Officer and
Principal Financial Officer)*

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

Exhibit

No.	Description
15.1	Letter Regarding Unaudited Interim Financial Information.
31.1	Chief Executive Officer Certification Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act.
31.2	Chief Financial Officer Certification Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act.
32.1	Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2	Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.