CAPITAL GOLD CORP Form 424B3 June 20, 2006

Filed Pursuant to Rule 424(b)(3) Registration No.s 333-133565, 333-129939 and 333-123216

PROSPECTUS SUPPLEMENT
Number 1
to
Prospectus dated May 22, 2006
of
CAPITAL GOLD CORPORATION

NEITHER THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION NOR ANY STATE SECURITIES COMMISSION HAS APPROVED OR DISAPPROVED OF THESE SECURITIES OR PASSED UPON THE ACCURACY OR ADEQUACY OF THIS PROSPECTUS SUPPLEMENT. ANY REPRESENTATION TO THE CONTRARY IS A CRIMINAL OFFENSE.

This prospectus supplement no. 1 supplements the information provided in our prospectus dated May 22, 2006. This prospectus supplement should be read in conjunction with that Prospectus, which is to be delivered with this prospectus supplement.

The date of this Prospectus Supplement is June 19, 2006.

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-QSB

|X| QUARTERLY REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
 OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the quarterly period ended April 30, 2006

OR

|_| TRANSITION REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the transition period from to

Commission File Number: 0-13078

CAPITAL GOLD CORPORATION

(Exact name of small business issuer as specified in its charter)

DELAWARE 13-3180530

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

Issuer's telephone number: (212) 344-2785

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Check whether the issuer (1) filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act during the past 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes |X| No |_|

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes |_| No |X|

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common equity as of the latest practicable date.

Class Outstanding at June 19, 2006
-----Common Stock, par value \$.0001 per share 131,464,218

Transitional Small Business Format (check one); Yes |X| No |_|

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The accompanying financial statements are unaudited for the interim periods, but include all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring adjustments), which we consider necessary for the fair presentation of results for the three and nine months ended April 30, 2006.

Moreover, these financial statements do not purport to contain complete disclosure in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and should be read in conjunction with our audited financial statements at, and for the fiscal year ended July 31, 2005.

The results reflected for the three and nine months ended April 30, 2006 are not necessarily indicative of the results for the entire fiscal year.

-2-

CAPITAL GOLD CORPORATION
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE ENTERPRISE)
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET
(UNAUDITED)

ASSETS	April 30, 2006
Current Assets:	
Cash and Cash Equivalents Loans Receivable - Affiliate (Note 7) Prepaid Assets Marketable Securities (Note 2) Deposit Other Current Assets (Note 3)	\$ 3,900,150 39,495 31,725 110,000 250,000 4,345,350
Total Current Assets	8,676,720
Mining Concessions (Note 6)	70,104
Property & Equipment - net (Note 4)	986,435
Intangible Assets - net (Note 5)	14,833
Other Assets: Other Investments (Note 8) Deferred Financing Costs Mining Reclamation Bonds Other Derivative Contracts (Note 15) Security Deposits	21,480 240,000 35,550 43,047 437,790 9,605
Total Other Assets	787,472
Total Assets	\$ 10,535,564 ======
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY	
Current Liabilities: Accounts Payable Accrued Expenses	\$ 225,899 237,093
Total Current Liabilities	462,992
Commitments and Contingencies Stockholders' Equity: Common Stock, Par Value \$.0001 Per Share; Authorized 200,000,000 shares; Issued and Outstanding 131,464,218 Shares Additional Paid-In Capital Deficit Accumulated in the Development Stage Deferred Financing Costs (Note 12) Deferred Compensation Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	13,146 40,309,930 (29,799,967) (522,541) (9,878) 81,882
Total Stockholders' Equity	10,072,572

Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity

\$ 10,535,564 ========

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

-3-

CAPITAL GOLD CORPORATION (A DEVELOPMENT STAGE ENTERPRISE) CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS (UNAUDITED)

	For The Three Months Ended For Th			r The Nine Months Ended April 30,			
	2006	2005	2006	2005			
Revenues	\$	\$	\$ 	\$			
Costs and Expenses: Mine Expenses Write-Down of Mining, Milling and Other Property	487,271	129,404					
and Equipment Selling, General and Administrative							
Expenses	662,803		1,377,104				
Stock Based Compensation	6,585			187,844			
Depreciation and Amortization	7,663		·				
Total Costs and Expenses	1,164,322	416,548					
Loss from Operations	(1,164,322)	(416,548)					
Other Income (Expense): Interest Income	44,616	15,420	85 , 396	16,070			
Miscellaneous		3,782		11,782			
Gain on Sale of Property and Equipment							
Gain on Sale of Subsidiary							
Option Payment Loss on change in fair value							
of derivative Loss on Write-Off of	(362,210)		(362,210)				
Investment							
Loss on Joint Venture							
Loss on Option Gain (Loss) on Other							

Investments				
Loss on Write -Off of Minority Interest				
Total Other Income (Expense)	(317,594)	19,202	(276,814)	27,852
Loss Before Minority Interest	(1,481,916)	(397,346)	(3,216,156)	(1,270,693)
Minority Interest				
Net Loss	\$ (1,481,916) =======	\$ (397,346) =======	\$ (3,216,156) =======	\$ (1,270,693) ========
Net Loss Per Common Share - Basic and Diluted	\$ (0.01)	\$ (0.01)	\$ (0.03) =====	\$ (0.02)
Weighted Average Common Shares Outstanding	124,884,443	64,935,479 	105,698,556	68,992,367

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

-4-

CAPITAL GOLD CORPORATION (A DEVELOPMENT STAGE ENTERPRISE) CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY FOR THE NINE MONTHS ENDED THROUGH APRIL 30, 2006

	Common	Stock		Deferred Financing	Deferred Compensation	Accum Oth Compre
	Shares	Amount	capital	Costs	Costs	Income
Balance at July 31, 2005	95.969.216	95.969	31.851.724	(252.541)		1
Change in par value	30,303,220	30,303	01,001,721	(202,011)		_
to \$0.0001		(86, 372)	86,372			
Deferred Financing		, , ,	,			
Costs	1,000,000	100	269,900	(270,000)		
Issuance of common stock upon warrant and option						
exercises, net	4,355,002	435	715,385			
Issuance of common stock upon warrant and option						
exercises, net	8,600,000	860	2,372,740			
Private placement, net	21,540,000	2,154	4,997,346			
Warrants issued for services			16,463		(9,878)	
Unrealized loss on marketable securities						(

Equity adjustment from foreign currency translation Net loss for the nine months ended April 30, 2006 Balance - April 30, 2006 131,464,218 13,146 40,309,930 (522,541) (9,878)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

-5-

CAPITAL GOLD CORPORATION (A DEVELOPMENT STAGE ENTERPRISE) CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED)

		For T Septemb (Ince	
	2006	1 30, 2005	Apri
Cash Flow From Operating Activities: Net Loss Adjustments to Reconcile Net Loss to Net Cash Used in Operating Activities:	\$ (3,216,156)	\$ (1,270,694)	\$
Depreciation and Amortization	27,000	1,030	
Gain on Sale of Subsidiary			
Minority Interest in Net Loss of Subsidiary			
Write-Down of Impaired Mining, Milling and Other			
Property and Equipment			
Gain on Sale of Property and Equipment			
Loss on change in fair value of derivative	362,210		
Loss on Write-Off of Investment			
Loss on Joint Venture			
Loss on Write-Off of Minority Interest			
Value of Common Stock and Warrants Issued for Services		29,260	
Stock Based Compensation Changes in Operating Assets and Liabilities:	6,585	158,584	
(Increase) Decrease in Prepaid Expenses	(12,734)	2,825	

(Increase) Decrease in Other Current Assets	(5,104,501)	(41,355)
(Increase) in Other Deposits	(286,000)	(54,000)
Decrease in Other Assets	755	
(Increase) in Security Deposits		
Increase (Decrease) in Accounts Payable	133,859	13,221
Increase (Decrease) in Accrued Expenses	46,317	(11,758)
Net Cash Used in Operating Activities	(8,042,665)	(1,172,887)
Cash Flow From Investing Activities:		
(Increase) in Other Investments	(260)	(7,825)
Purchase of Mining, Milling and Other Property and Equipment	(238,541)	(264,240)
Purchase of Concessions		
Investment in Intangibles		
Proceeds on Sale of Mining, Milling and Other Property and Equipment		
Proceeds From Sale of Subsidiary		
Expenses of Sale of Subsidiary		
Advance Payments - Joint Venture		
Investment in Joint Venture		
Investment in Privately Held Company		
Net Assets of Business Acquired (Net of Cash)		
Investment in Marketable Securities		

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

-6-

CAPITAL GOLD CORPORATION
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE ENTERPRISE)

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

(UNAUDITED) - cont'd

For The Nine Months Ended April 30,

	2006	2005
Net Cash Provided By (Used in) Investing Activities	(238,801)	(272 , 065)
Cash Flow From Financing Activities:		
Advances to Affiliate	(8,075)	(1,037)
Proceeds of Borrowings - Officers		
Repayment of Loans Payable - Officers		
Proceeds of Note Payable		
Payments of Note Payable		
Proceeds From Issuance of Common Stock	8,088,920	7,371,829
Commissions on Sale of Common Stock		
Deferred Finance Costs	(140,000)	(100,000)
Expenses of Initial Public Offering		(637,990)
Capital Contributions - Joint Venture Subsidiary		
Purchase of Certificate of Deposit - Restricted		
Purchase of Mining Reclamation Bonds		
Net Cash Provided By Financing Activities	7,940,845	6,632,802
Effect of Exchange Rate Changes	(40,776)	(28,176)
Increase (Decrease) In Cash and Cash Equivalents	(381,398)	5,159,674
Cash and Cash Equivalents - Beginning	4,281,548	208,443
Cash and Cash Equivalents - Ending	\$ 3,900,150 ======	
Supplemental Cash Flow Information: Cash Paid For Interest	\$ =======	•
Cash Paid For Income Taxes		\$
Non-Cash Financing Activities: Issuances of Common Stock as Commissions on Sales of Common Stock	\$	\$ 23,240
Issuance of common stock as payment for financing costs	\$ 270,000	\$
Issuance of common stock warrants as compensation	\$ 16,463	\$
Issuance of common stock and warrants as payment for Expenses	\$	\$

	=====	=====		=====
Issuance of Common Stock as Payment for Mining,				
Milling and Other Property and Equipment	\$		\$	
Exercise of Options as Payment of Accounts Payable	\$		\$	
	=====	=====	======	=====

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

-7-

CAPITAL GOLD CORPORATION (A DEVELOPMENT STAGE ENTERPRISE) NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

NOTE 1 - Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Capital Gold Corporation and its subsidiaries, which are wholly and majority owned. All significant inter-company accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information and with instructions to Form 10-QSB. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. In the opinion of the Company's management, the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements reflect all adjustments (which include only normal recurring adjustments) necessary to present fairly the condensed consolidated financial position and results of operations and cash flows for the periods presented.

Results of operations for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations for a full year.

The condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared on a going concern basis, which contemplates the realization of assets and satisfaction of liabilities in the normal course of business. The Company is a development stage enterprise and has recurring losses from operations and operating cash constraints that raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern.

NOTE 2 - Marketable Securities

Marketable securities are classified as current assets and are summarized as follows:

NOTE 3 - Other Current Assets

Other current assets consist of the following at April 30, 2006:

Cash Collateral on Project Facility (Note 12) Other current assets	\$ 4,267,445 77,905
Total Other Current Assets	4,345,350

NOTE 4 - Property and Equipment

Property and Equipment consist of the following at April 30, 2006:

Improvements	\$ 15,797
Building	116,000
Construction in progress	218,666
Equipment Held for Resale	393 , 829
Equipment	75 , 756
Water Well	141,242
Vehicle	43,125
Office Equipment	12,266

-8-

CAPITAL GOLD CORPORATION (A DEVELOPMENT STAGE ENTERPRISE) NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

NOTE 4 - Property and Equipment (continued)

Furniture	1,843
Total Less: accumulated depreciation	1,018,524 (32,089)
Fixed assets, net	\$ 986,435

Depreciation expense for the nine months ending April 30, 2006 and 2005 was \$23,902 and \$1,030, respectively. See Note 14 for details on sale of equipment held for resale.

In March 2006, the Company made a \$250,000 down payment to a US supplier to acquire a new crushing system, including conveyors, for use at its El Chanate project. The total price for this equipment is approximately \$1,164,000. The Company is required to purchase the equipment by the end of the third quarter of 2006, or the supplier is entitled to retain the down payment. As the Company has adequate funds to purchase this equipment, it anticipates purchasing the equipment within the requisite time period.

NOTE 5 - Intangible Assets

Investment in Right of Way Less: accumulated amortization	\$ 18,620 (3,787)
Intangible assets, net	\$ 14,833

Amortization expense for the nine months ending April 30, 2006 and 2005 was \$3,098\$ and \$0,\$ respectively.

NOTE 6 - Mining Concessions

Mining concessions consist of the following:

	===	======
Total	\$	70,104
El Chanate		44,780
El Charro	\$	25,324

The El Chanate exploitation and exploration concessions are carried at historical cost and were acquired in connection with the purchase of the stock of Minera Chanate, S.A. de C.V. The Company acquired an additional mining concession - El Charro. El Charro lays within the current El Chanate property boundaries. The Company is required to pay a 1 1/2% net smelter royalty in connection with the El Charro concession.

NOTE 7 - Loans Receivable - Affiliate

Loans receivable — affiliate consist of expense reimbursements from a publicly—owned corporation in which the Company has an investment (see Notes 2 & 10). In addition, the Company's president and chairman of the board of directors is an officer and director of that corporation. These loans are non-interest bearing and due on demand.

NOTE 8 - Other Investments

Other investments are carried at cost and consist of tax liens purchased on properties located in Lake County, Colorado.

-9-

CAPITAL GOLD CORPORATION (A DEVELOPMENT STAGE ENTERPRISE) NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

NOTE 9 - Other Comprehensive Income(Loss)-Supplemental Non-Cash Investing Activities

Other comprehensive income (loss) consists of accumulated foreign translation gains and losses and unrealized gains and losses on marketable securities and is summarized as follows:

Balance - July 31, 2004 Equity Adjustments from Foreign	\$ 88,739
Currency Translation	28 , 975
Unrealized Gains on Marketable Securities	40,000
Balance - July 31, 2005	157,714
Equity Adjustments from Foreign Currency Translation	(35,832)
Unrealized Gains (loss) on Marketable Securities	(40,000)
2CCTTTCTC2	(40,000)

Balance - April 30, 2006

\$ 81,882 ======

NOTE 10 - Related Party Transactions

In August 2002, the Company purchased marketable equity securities of a related company. The Company recorded approximately \$8,100 and \$7,150 in expense reimbursements including office rent from this entity for the nine months ended April 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively (see Notes 2 and 7). The Company utilizes a Mexican Corporation 100% owned by two officers/Directors and stockholders of the Company for mining support services. These services include but are not limited to the payment of mining salaries and related costs. The Mexican Corporation bills the Company for these services at cost. Mining expenses charged by the Mexican Corporation and reported on the statement of operations amounted to approximately \$85,000 and \$ -0- for the nine months ended April 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

NOTE 11 - Stockholders' Equity

Common Stock

At various stages in the Company's development, shares of the Company's common stock have been issued at fair market value in exchange for services or property received with a corresponding charge to operations, property and equipment or additional paid—in capital depending on the nature of the services provided or property received.

During the nine months ended April 30, 2005, the Company issued 3,929,610 shares for gross proceeds of \$458,319. During the same period they also issued 259,507 shares of common stock for services rendered value at \$29,260, and 193,666 shares of common stock valued at \$23,240 as commissions on the sale of common stock. During the nine months ended April 30, 2006, the Company issued 4,355,002 shares of stock upon the exercising of Common Stock Purchase Warrants for net proceeds of \$715,820. The Company has also issued 1,000,000 shares of Common Stock (See Note 12) in connection with receiving a commitment letter from Standard Bank informing the Company of its approval for providing a \$12 million senior financing facility.

-10-

CAPITAL GOLD CORPORATION

(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE ENTERPRISE)

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(UNAUDITED)

NOTE 11 - Stockholders' Equity (continued)

The Company closed two private placements and received proceeds from the exercising of warrants and options in February and March 2006, pursuant to which the Company issued an aggregate of 30,140,000 shares of the Company's common stock and warrants to purchase an aggregate of up to 5,310,000 shares of the Company's common stock for an aggregate gross purchase price of approximately \$7,890,000 and the Company received approximately \$7,373,100 in net proceeds. The Warrant issued to each purchaser is exercisable for one share of the Company's common stock, at an exercise price equal to \$0.30 per share. Each Warrant has a term of eighteen months and is fully exercisable from the date of issuance. The Company issued to the placement agent in one of the placements

eighteen month warrants to purchase up to 934,000 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$0.25 per share.

Recapitalization

On September 22, 2005, The Board of Directors recommended an amendment to the Company's Certificate of Incorporation to increase the Company's authorized shares of capital stock from 150,000,000 to 200,000,000 shares. In addition, the Board of Directors recommended that the Company reincorporate in the State of Delaware. These amendments were approved by the stockholders on November 18, 2005 and the Company effected the reincorporation in Delaware and the authorized share increase on November 21, 2005. In addition, the par value was decreased from \$0.001 per share to \$0.0001 per share.

Warrant Re-pricing

In December 2005, the Board of Directors ratified the temporary re-pricing of certain warrants that were issued in connection with the February 2005 private placement from \$0.30 per share to \$0.20 per share exercise price. In addition, warrants issued to the placement agent were also re-priced from \$0.25 per share to \$0.20 per share exercise price. These re-pricings were in effect for the period November 28, 2005 through January 31, 2006.

NOTE 12 - Project Finance Facility

On February 2, 2005, the Company mandated Standard Bank London Limited as the exclusive arranger of a project finance facility of up to \$10 million for our El Chanate gold mining project and associated hedging. The Company anticipates that Standard Bank will administer the loan and the hedging throughout the construction and operational phases of the project. Although the specific terms of the proposed financing are subject to alteration, the Company anticipates, among other things, that the loan would mature in five years after the initial draw and bear interest at a rate linked to the 1,2,3 or 6 month Libor rate. The loan would be secured by the Company's assets and supported by the Company's guarantee. In addition, the Company will be required to deposit all cash proceeds the Company receives from operations and other sources in an off-shore account. Absent default by the Company under the finance documents, the Company may use funds from this account for specific purposes such as approved operating costs, budgeted capital expenditures, hedging costs and funds payable to Standard Bank under the finance documents. The Company would be required to meet and maintain certain financial covenants and the Company would be required to conform to certain negative covenants such as restrictions on sale of assets. The Company also would be required to enter into a gold price protection program that mitigates the gold price risk by purchasing price protection in a manner satisfactory to the lender (see Note 13).

As required by the mandate, the Company issued to Standard Bank 1,000,000 common stock purchase warrants and paid an initial cash fee of \$100,000. Such warrants have been valued at approximately \$253,000 using the

-11-

CAPITAL GOLD CORPORATION

(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE ENTERPRISE)

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(UNAUDITED)

NOTE 12 - Project Finance Facility (continued)

Black-Scholes option pricing model and are reflected as deferred financing costs

as a reduction of stockholders' equity on the Company's balance sheet.

Such costs will be amortized to operations over the life of the debt and in the event the transaction with Standard Bank is not consummated, such costs will be charged to operations immediately. The initial cash fee of \$100,000 is included in Deferred Finance Costs on the Company's balance sheet. If Standard Bank determines to proceed with the funding, we will be required to pay certain additional fees of \$300,000 and issue to Standard Bank an additional 14,600,000 common stock purchase warrants. Per our arrangement with Standard Bank, the shares issuable upon exercise of the 1,000,000 common stock purchase warrants have been included in a registration statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission covering their public resale. We also will be required to so register the shares issuable upon exercise of the additional 14,600,000 warrants if and when these warrants are issued. The warrants may be exercised at a price equivalent to the lower of a) \$.32 per share and b) the Company's common share price at the closing date, but in no case less than \$.30 per share. This mandate is not a commitment to provide the funding. Funding is subject to satisfactory completion of due diligence, approvals from Standards Bank's credit committee and execution of definitive documentation.

On November 11, 2005 the Company received a commitment letter from Standard Bank informing us that its credit committee had approved the banks arranging and providing for a senior project financing facility for up to \$12 million for the development of our El Chanate project. Amongst other requirements, the commitment letter requires us to raise additional equity funding, net of expenses, that, along with cash on hand, is adequate to cover all required covenants and completion conditions. In connection with this letter, the Company paid \$100,000 and issued 1,000,000 shares of the Company common stock. The Company recorded the \$100,000 as deferred financing costs on the Company's balance sheet. Such costs will be amortized to operations over the life of the debt and in the event the transaction with Standard Bank is not consummated, such costs will be charged to operations immediately. The Company recorded the issuance of the 1,000,000 shares of common stock as deferred financing costs as a reduction of stockholders' equity on the Company's balance sheet. The issuance of these shares were recorded at the fair market value of the Company's common stock at the commitment letter date or \$0.27 per share. Pursuant to this letter, instead of delivering on the Closing Date of the facility an additional 14,600,000 common stock purchase warrants, as contemplated in the original Mandate, the Company will be required to deliver an additional 1,000,000 shares of common stock and an additional 12,600,000 common stock purchase warrants.

During March 2006, The Company entered into a gold price protection agreement with Standard Bank plc to protect it against future fluctuations in the price of gold. The Company agreed to a series of gold forward sales and call option purchases in anticipation of entering into a credit agreement with Standard Bank, which will be used to fund part of the cost of development of the Company's El Chanate project. The Company is continuing negotiations with Standard Bank on the terms of the credit agreement. Under the price protection agreement, the Company has agreed to sell a total volume of 121,927 ounces of gold forward to Standard Bank at a price of \$500 per ounce on a quarterly basis during the period from March 2007 to September 2010. The Company will also purchase call options from Standard Bank on a quarterly basis during this same period covering a total volume of 121,927 ounces of gold at a price of \$535 per ounce. The Company paid a fee to Standard Bank associated with these transactions. In addition, the Company provided cash collateral of approximately \$4.3 million to secure our obligations under this agreement and recorded this as an other current asset as of April 30, 2006. The cash collateral will be returned to us when the loan agreement is executed and all conditions precedent to funding have been satisfied.

CAPITAL GOLD CORPORATION

(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE ENTERPRISE)

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(UNAUDITED)

NOTE 13 - Mining Contract

In early December, our wholly-owned Mexican subsidiary, Minera Santa Rita, S.A. de R.L. de C.V.("MSR"), which holds the rights to develop and mine El Chanate Project, entered into a Mining Contract with a Mexican mining contractor, Sinergia Obras Civiles y Mineras, S.A. de C.V,("Contractor"). The Mining Contract becomes effective if and when MSR sends the Contractor a formal "Notice of Award".

Pursuant to the Mining Contract, the Contractor, using its own equipment, will generally perform all of the mining work (other than crushing) at the El Chanate Project for the life of the mine. The Mining Contract becomes effective upon delivery by MSR to the Contractor of a formal "Notice to Proceed". Subsequent to delivery of the "Notice to Proceed" and prior to commencement of any work by the Contractor, MSR must pay the Contractor a mobilization payment of \$70,000, and must also make an advance payment of \$520,000 to the Contractor. This advance payment is recoverable by MSR out of 100% of subsequent payments due to the Contractor under the Mining Contract. The Contractor's mining rates are subject to escalation on an annual basis. This escalation is tied to the percentage escalation in the Contractor's costs for its equipment, interest rates and labor. If the "Notice to Proceed" was not received by the Contractor by June 1, 2006, the Contractor could elect to either terminate the agreement or modify its initial mining rates. MSR is not obligated to proceed with the Mining Contract if those modified rates are unacceptable to MSR. On June 1, 2006, MSR sent a letter to the Contractor requesting a meeting to discuss possible modifications to the Mining Contract and a deferment of the June 1st deadline. If the Contractor does not agree to the deferment, it may terminate the contract or modify its initial mining rates. MSR is in the process of scheduling a meeting with the Contractor on this matter.

NOTE 14 - Consulting Agreements

On March 1, 2006, the Company entered into a consulting agreement with Christopher Chipman pursuant to which the Company has retained Mr. Chipman as its Chief Financial Officer. Pursuant to the Agreement with Mr. Chipman, Mr. Chipman devotes approximately 50% of his time to the Company's business. He receives a monthly fee of \$7,500 and he was issued two year options to purchase an aggregate of 50,000 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$.34 per share. The options will vest at the rate of 10,000 sharesper month during the initial period of his engagement. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the options are not exercisable unless and until the issuance of the options is approved by the Company's stockholders. The agreement runs for an initial one year period, and is renewable thereafter for an additional year. The Company can terminate the agreement at any time; however, if the Company terminates the agreement other than for cause (as defined in the agreement), the Company is required to pay Mr. Chipman the fees otherwise due and payable to him through the last day of the then current term of the Agreement or six months from such termination, which ever is shorter. Mr. Chipman can terminate the Agreement on 30 days notice.

NOTE 15 - Sales Contracts, Commodity and Financial Instruments

In March 2006, the Company entered into two identically structured derivative contracts with Standard Bank (See Note 12). Each derivative consisted of a series of forward sales of gold and a purchase gold cap. The Company agreed to

sell a total volume of 121,927 ounces of gold forward to Standard Bank at a price of \$500 per ounce on a quarterly basis during the period from March 2007 to September 2010. The Company also agreed to a purchase gold cap on a quarterly basis during this same period and at identical volumes covering a total volume of 121,927 ounces of gold at a price of \$535 per ounce. Under FASB Statement No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities" ("FAS 133"), these contracts must be carried on the balance sheet at their fair value, with changes to the fair value of these contracts reflected as Other Income or Expense. These contracts were not designated as hedging derivatives; and therefore, special hedge accounting does not apply.

The first derivative was entered into on March 1, 2006 for a premium of \$550,000; and the second was entered into on March 30, 2006 for a premium of \$250,000. The gold price rose sharply in April 2006 and was the primary reason for the decrease in premium on the second derivative contract. As of April 30, 2006, the carrying value of these derivatives was approximately \$438,000. The change in fair value on these derivative contracts was approximately \$362,000 for the three months ended April 30, 2006, and was recorded as an other expense.

-13-

CAPITAL GOLD CORPORATION

(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE ENTERPRISE)

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(UNAUDITED)

NOTE 16 - Subsequent Events

On May 12, 2006, we entered into an employment agreement with John Brownlie, pursuant to which Mr. Brownlie serves as Vice President Operations. Mr. Brownlie receives a base annual salary of \$150,000 and is entitled to annual bonuses. Upon his employment, he received options to purchase an aggregate of 200,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$.32 per share. 50,000 options vested immediately and the balance vest upon our achieving "Economic Completion" as that term will be defined in the loan agreement with Standard Bank plc (when we have commenced mining operations and have been operating at anticipated capacity for 60 to 90 days). The term of the options is two years from the date of vesting. The agreement runs for an initial two year period, and automatically renews thereafter for additional one year periods unless terminated by either party within 30 days of a renewal date. We can terminate the agreement for cause or upon 30 days notice without cause. Mr. Brownlie can terminate the agreement upon 60 days notice without cause or, if there is a breach of the agreement by us that is not timely cured, upon 30 days notice. In the event that we terminate him without cause or he terminates due to our breach, he will be entitled to certain severance payments. The Company utilized the Black Scholes method to fair value the 200,000 options received by Mr. Brownlie. The Company recorded approximately \$70,000 as deferred compensation expense as of the date of the agreement and will record this as compensation expense over the service term of the agreement.

On May 19, 2006, the Company sold its Equipment Held for Resale and received proceeds, net of commissions, of \$192,000.

In June 2006, the Company's Mexican operating subsidiary retained the contracting services of Mexican subsidiary of M3 Engineering & Technology Corporation ("M3M") to provide EPCM (engineering procurement construction management) services. M3M will supervise the construction and integration of the various components necessary to commence production at the El Chanate Project.

-14-

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.

Cautionary Statement on Forward-Looking Statements

Certain statements in this report constitute "forwarding-looking statements" within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934. Certain, but not necessarily all, of such forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of forward-looking terminology such as "believes," "expects," "may," "will," "should," or "anticipates" or the negative thereof or other variations thereon or comparable terminology, or by discussions of strategy that involve risks and uncertainties. All statements other than statements of historical fact, included in this report regarding our financial position, business and plans or objectives for future operations are forward-looking statements. Without limiting the broader description of forward-looking statements above, we specifically note that statements regarding exploration and mine development and construction plans, costs, grade, production and recovery rates, permitting, financing needs, the availability of financing on acceptable terms or other sources of funding, and the timing of additional tests, feasibility studies and environmental permitting are all forward-looking in nature.

Such forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors, including but not limited to, the factors discussed below in "Risk Factors," which may cause our actual results, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements and other factors referenced in this report. We do not undertake and specifically decline any obligation to publicly release the results of any revisions which may be made to any forward-looking statement to reflect events or circumstances after the date of such statements or to reflect the occurrence of anticipated or unanticipated events.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

GENERAL

Sonora, Mexico

During and subsequent to the quarter ended April 30, 2006, we continued to make progress towards commencement of mining operations at the El Chanate concessions in Mexico. In this regard, as discussed in greater detail below and in Part II, Item 5, during and subsequent to the end of the quarter:

- 1. In February and March 2006, we raised approximately \$7,373,100 in net proceeds from the issuance of securities and the exercise of warrants and options. We believe that these funds in conjunction with cash on hand and the anticipated proceeds from the Standard Bank Plc. financing commitment should enable us to proceed with the El Chanate project.
- 2. In March 2006, We entered into a gold price protection arrangement with Standard Bank plc to protect us against future fluctuations in the price of gold. While we have entered into binding letter agreements that set forth the economic terms, we are in the process of finalizing the master agreement and associated schedule. We agreed to a series of gold forward sales and call option purchases in anticipation of entering into a credit agreement with Standard Bank, which will be used to fund part of the cost of development of our El Chanate project. We are continuing negotiations with Standard Bank on the terms of the credit agreement. With this gold price protection agreement we have

completed our expected hedging requirements under our proposed credit agreement with Standard Bank. Under the price protection agreement, we have agreed to sell a total volume of 121,927 ounces of gold forward to Standard Bank at a price of \$500 per ounce on a quarterly basis during the period from March 2007 to September 2010. We will also purchase call options from Standard Bank on a quarterly basis during this same period covering a total volume of 121,927 ounces of gold at a price of \$535 per ounce. We paid a fee to Standard Bank in connection with the price protection agreement. In addition, we provided aggregate cash collateral of approximately \$4.3 million to secure our obligations under this agreement. The cash collateral will be returned to us when the loan agreement is executed and all conditions precedent to funding have been satisfied. Please see "We may not be successful in hedging against gold price fluctuations and may incur mark to market losses and lose money through our hedging programs" in "Risk Factors," below.

-15-

- 3. In March 2006, we paid \$250,000 as a down payment for a portion of a new crushing system capable of producing 7,500 metric tons per day of ore. The total cost for all of the crushing equipment will be approximately \$5,600,000. The \$250,000 down payment is towards equipment with a total price of approximately \$1,164,000. We are required to purchase this specific equipment by the end of the third quarter of 2006, or the supplier is entitled to retain the down payment. As we have adequate funds to purchase this equipment, we anticipate purchasing the equipment within the requisite time period.
- 4. On May 12, 2006, subsequent to the end of the quarter, we appointed John Brownlie to the position of Vice President Operations for our El Chanate gold mining project in northern Sonora, Mexico. Mr. Brownlie will supervise the construction, start-up and operation of the mine.
- 5. On June 1, 2006, subsequent to the end of the quarter, our Mexican operating subsidiary retained the contracting services of Mexican subsidiary of M3 Engineering & Technology Corporation ("M3M") to provide EPCM (engineering procurement construction management) services. M3M will supervise the construction and integration of the various components necessary to commence production at the El Chanate Project.

We are currently having the physical condition of our well tested and inspected to determine the actual condition of the well casing and the pump. While we believe the well's pumping capacity is about 1,100 gallons per minute at the well head, we will expect the well to pump over eight kilometers to the mine property without interruption during the entire life of the mine. If repairs or upgrades are required, we would prefer to make them before mine production begins. The 2005 feasibility study indicates our average life of mine water requirements, for ore processing only, will be about 94.6 million gallons per year (11.4 liters per second). The amount of water we are currently permitted to pump for our operations is approximately 71.3 million gallons per year (8.6 liters per second). Our currently permitted water rights may not be adequate for all of our total project needs over the entire course of our anticipated mining operations. We are looking into ways to rectify this issue.

Through a wholly-owned subsidiary and an affiliate, we own 100% of 16 mining concessions located in the Municipality of Altar, State of Sonora, Republic of Mexico totaling approximately 3,544 hectares (8,756 acres or 13.7 square miles). We are in the process of constructing and developing an open-pit gold mining operation to mine two of these concessions. We sometimes refer to the planned operations on these two concessions as the El Chanate project.

We plan to construct a surface gold mine and facility at El Chanate capable of

producing about 2.6 million metric tons per year of ore from which we anticipate recovering about 44,000 to 48,000 ounces of gold per year, over a six year mine life. We are following the updated feasibility study (the "2005 Study") for the El Chanate project prepared by M3 Engineering of Tucson, Arizona which was completed in October 2005. The original feasibility study (the "2003 Study") was completed by M3 Engineering in August 2003. Since completion of the 2003 Study, both the price of gold and production costs have increased and equipment choices have broadened from those identified in the 2003 Study.

The 2005 Study includes the following changes from the 2003 Study:

- o an increase in the mine life from five to six years,
- o an increase in the base gold price from \$325/oz to \$375/oz,
- o use of a mining contractor,
- o revised mining, processing and support costs,
- o stockpiling of low grade material for possible processing in year six, if justified by gold prices at that time,
- o a reduced size for the waste rock dump and revised design of reclamation waste dump slopes,
- o a revised process of equipment selection and
- o evaluation of the newly acquired water well for processing the ore.

-16-

Pursuant to the 2005 Study, our estimated mine life is now six years as opposed to five years and the ore reserve is 367,673 ounces of gold present in the ground (up 9,673 ounces). Of this, we anticipate recovering approximately 264,846 ounces of gold (up 16,846 ounces) over a six year mine life. The targeted cash cost (which include mining, processing and on-property general and administrative expenses) per the 2005 Study is \$259 per ounce (up \$29 per ounce). The 2005 Study contains the same mining rate as the 2003 Study of 7,500 metric tonnes per day of ore. We also have commissioned an engineering study to analyze the benefits of expanding production rates beyond 7,500 metric tonnes per day of ore. The 2005 Study takes into consideration a more modern crushing system than the one contemplated in the 2003 Study. The crushing system referred to in the 2005 Study is a new system, that, we believe will be faster to install and provide more efficient processing capabilities than the used equipment referred to in the 2003 Study. In March 2006, we made a \$250,000 down payment to a US supplier to acquire a portion of a new crushing system. In addition, the 2005 Study assumes a contractor will mine the ore and haul it to the crushers. In the 2003 Study, we planned to perform these functions. In this regard, in December 2005, our wholly-owned Mexican subsidiary, Minera Santa Rita, S.A. de R.L. de C.V.("MSR"), entered into a Mining Contract with a Mexican mining contractor, Sinergia Obras Civiles y Mineras, S.A. de C.V. The Mining Contract becomes effective if and when MSR sends the Contractor a formal Notice of Award. Pursuant to the Mining Contract, the contractor may terminate the agreement or modify its initial mining rates if it does not receive the formal Notice by June 1, 2006. MSR is not obligated to proceed with the Mining Contract if those modified rates are unacceptable to it. On June 1, 2006, MSR sent a letter to the Contractor requesting a meeting to discuss possible modifications to the Mining Contract and a deferment of the June 1st deadline. If the Contractor does not agree to the deferment, it may terminate the contract or modify its initial mining rates. MSR is in the process of scheduling a meeting with the Contractor on this matter.

The following Summary is extracted from the 2005 Study. Please note that the reserves as stated are an estimate of what can be economically and legally recovered from the mine and, as such, incorporate losses for dilution and mining recovery. The 367,673 ounces (or 11.4 Tons) of contained gold represents ounces contained in ore in the ground, and therefore does not reflect losses in the

recovery process. Total gold produced is estimated to be approximately 264,846 ounces (or 8.24 Tons), or approximately 70% of the contained gold. The gold recovery rate is expected to average approximately 70% for the entire ore body. Individual portions of the ore body may experience varying recovery rates ranging from about 73% to 48%. Oxidized and sandstone ore types may have recoveries of about 73%; fault zone ore type recoveries may be about 64%; and siltstone ore types recoveries may be about 48%.

El Chanate Project

PRODUCTION SUMMARY

	Metric	U.S.
MATERIALS Reserves		
Other Mineralized Materials Waste Total		15.5 Million Tons @ 0.0 1.1 Million Tons 10.5 Million Tons
Contained Gold	24.6 Million Tonnes 11.4 Million grams	27.1 Million tons 367,673 Oz
PRODUCTION Ore Crushed		
Operating Days/Year Gold Plant Average Recovery Average Annual Production Total Gold Produced	7,500 Mt/d*	2.86 Million Tons/Year 8,250 t/d 365 Days per year 69.2 % 44,390 Oz 264,846 Oz

(2005 Updated Feasibility Study Page 1-1)

-17-

Pursuant to the 2005 Study, based on the current reserve calculations, the mine life is estimated to be approximately 72 months, and at least another year will likely be required to perform required reclamation. The 2005 Study forecasts initial capital costs of \$17.9 million, which includes \$1.7 million of working capital. Annual production is planned at approximately 44,000 to 48,000 ounces per year at an average operating cash cost of \$259 per ounce. We believe that the cash cost may decrease as the production rate increases. Total costs (which include cash costs as well as off-property costs such as property taxes, royalties, refining, transportation and insurance costs and exclude financing costs) will vary depending upon the price of gold (due to the nature of underlying payment obligations to the original owner of the property). Total costs are estimated in the 2005 Study to be \$339 per ounce at a gold price of \$417 per ounce (the three year average gold price as of the date of the study).

^{* &}quot;g/t" means grams per metric tonne , "Mt/d means metric tonnes per day and "opt" means ounces per ton.

We will be working on measures to attempt to reduce costs going forward. Ore reserves and production rates are based on a gold price of \$375 per ounce, which is the Base Case in the 2005 Study. During 2005, the spot price for gold on the London Exchange has fluctuated between \$411.10 and \$537.50 per ounce. Between January 1, 2006 and May 31, 2006, the spot price for gold on the London Exchange has fluctuated between \$520.75 and \$725.75 per ounce.

Management believes that the capital costs to establish a surface, heap leach mining operation at El Chanate will be between \$17.5 and \$18.5 million. Between November 2006 and March 2006, we raised approximately \$8,088,920 in net proceeds from the issuance of securities and the exercise of warrants and options. We believe that these funds in conjunction with cash on hand and the anticipated proceeds from the Standard Bank Plc. financing commitment of up to US \$12 million should enable us to proceed with the El Chanate project. For more information on the Standard Bank commitment, please see "Project Finance Facility" in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations; Liquidity and Capital Resources; Plan of Operations" below.

Leadville, Colorado

We own or lease a number of claims and properties, all of which are located in California Mining District, Lake County, Colorado, Township 9 South, Range 79. During the quarter ended April 30, 2006, activity at our Leadville, Colorado properties consisted primarily of mine maintenance. Primarily as a result of our focus on El Chanate, we ceased activities in Leadville, Colorado. During the year ended July 31, 2002, we performed a review of our Leadville mine and mill improvements and determined that an impairment loss should be realized. Therefore, we significantly reduced the carrying value of certain assets relating to our Leadville, Colorado assets by \$999,445. During the year ending July 31, 2004, we again performed a review of our Colorado mine and mill improvements and determined that an additional impairment loss should be recognized. Accordingly, we further reduced the net carrying value to \$0, recognizing an additional loss of \$300,000.

Three Months Ended April 30, 2006 compared to Three Months Ended April 30, 2005

Revenues

We generated no revenues from mining operations during the quarter ended April 30, 2006 and 2005. There were de minimis non-operating revenues during the quarter ended April 30, 2006 and 2005 of approximately \$45,000 and \$19,000, respectively. These non-operating revenues primarily represent interest and miscellaneous income.

Costs and Expenses

Over all costs and expenses during the quarter ended April 30, 2006 were approximately \$1,164,000, an increase of \$747,000 or 179\$ from the quarter ended April 30, 2005. The primary reason for the significant increase during the quarter ended April 30, 2006 was increases in mine and in selling, general and administrative expenses. We anticipate these costs will increase as we continue to plan and expand the construction of our El Chanate project.

-18-

On May 19, 2006, the Company sold its equipment held for resale and received proceeds, net of commissions, of \$192,000. The Company will record a loss on sale of this equipment of \$154,000.

Mine expenses during the quarter ended April 30, 2006 were approximately \$487,000, an increase of \$358,000 or 277% from the quarter ended April 30, 2005. We believe that the increase in mine expenses resulted primarily from increased professional, engineering and consulting costs associated with planning our El Chanate project for production.

Selling, general and administrative expenses during the quarter ended April 30, 2006 were approximately \$663,000, an increase of \$377,000 or 132% from the quarter ended April 30, 2005. We believe that the increase in selling, general and administrative expenses resulted primarily from an increase in investor relations, professional and consulting fees as well as fees incurred in connection with our listing on the TSX during the quarter ended April 30, 2006.

Stock based compensation during the quarter ended April 30, 2006 was approximately \$7,000 compared to \$0 for the quarter ended April 30, 2005. These charges resulted from the vested portion on the issuance of warrants for services rendered during the quarter ended April 30, 2006.

Depreciation and amortization during the quarter ended April 30, 2006 was approximately \$8,000 compared to \$1,000 for the quarter ended April 30, 2005. This increase resulted from asset acquisitions during the nine months ended April 30, 2006.

Other Income and Expense

Other income and expense during the three and nine months ended April 30, 2006, was approximately \$362,000. This was primarily due to us entering into two identically structured derivative contracts with Standard Bank in March 2006. Each derivative consisted of a series of forward sales of gold and a purchase gold cap. We agreed to sell a total volume of 121,927 ounces of gold forward to Standard Bank at a price of \$500 per ounce on a quarterly basis during the period from March 2007 to September 2010. We also agreed to a purchase gold cap on a quarterly basis during this same period and at identical volumes covering a total volume of 121,927 ounces of gold at a price of \$535 per ounce. Under FASB Statement No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities" ("FAS 133"), these contracts must be carried on the balance sheet at their fair value, with changes to the fair value of these contracts reflected as Other Income or Expense. These contracts were not designated as hedging derivatives; and therefore, special hedge accounting does not apply.

The first derivative was entered into on March 1, 2006 for a premium of \$550,000; and the second was entered into on March 30, 2006 for a premium of \$250,000. The gold price rose sharply in April 2006, and was the primary reason for the decrease in premium on the second derivative contract. The change in fair value reduced the carrying value on these derivative contracts and amounted to approximately \$362,000 for the three months ended April 30, 2006, and was reflected as an other expense during the current period. There was no such transactions entered into during the same period in 2005.

Net Loss

As a result, our net loss for the three months ended April 30, 2006 was approximately \$1,482,000, which was \$1,085,000 or 273% greater than our net loss for the three months ended April 30, 2005, which was \$397,000.

Nine Months Ended April 30, 2006 compared to Nine Months Ended April 30, 2005

Revenues

We generated no revenues from mining operations during the nine months ended April 30, 2006 and 2005. There were de minimis non-operating revenues during the nine months ended April 30, 2006 and 2005 of approximately \$85,000 and \$28,000,

respectively. These non-operating revenues primarily represent interest income.

-19-

Costs and Expenses

Over all costs and expenses during the nine months ended April 30, 2006 were approximately \$2,939,000, an increase of \$1,64,000 or 126% from the nine months ended April 30, 2005. The primary reason for the significant increase during the nine months ended April 30, 2006 was increases in mine and in selling, general and administrative expenses.

Mine expenses during the nine months ended April 30, 2006 were approximately \$1,529,000, an increase of \$1083,000 or 243% from the nine months ended April 30, 2005. We believe that the increase in mine expenses resulted primarily from increased professional, engineering and consulting fees associated with the planning of our El Chanate project.

Selling, general and administrative expenses during the nine months ended April 30, 2006 were approximately \$1,377,000, an increase of \$714,000 or 108% from the nine months ended April 30, 2005. We believe that the increase in selling, general and administrative expenses resulted primarily from an increase in investor relation, professional, and consulting fees as well as fees incurred in connection with our listing on the TSX and travel expenses incurred in connection with fund raising efforts during the nine months ended April 30, 2006.

Stock based compensation during the nine months ended April 30, 2006 was \$7,000 compared to approximately \$188,000 for the nine months ended April 30, 2005. This decrease resulted from a reduction in the amount of options granted and common stock issued for services rendered during the nine months ended April 30, 2006.

Depreciation and amortization during the nine months ended April 30, 2006 was approximately \$27,000 compared to \$1,000 for the nine months ended April 30, 2005. This increase resulted from asset acquisitions during the nine months ended April 30, 2006.

Other Income and Expense

Other income and expense during the nine months ended April 30, 2006, was approximately \$362,000. Please see the disclosure in "Other Income and Expense" in "Three Months Ended April 30, 2006 compared to Three Months Ended April 30, 2005" above.

Net Loss

As a result, our net loss for the nine months ended April 30, 2006 was approximately \$3,216,000, which was \$1,945,000 or 153% greater than our net loss for the nine months ended April 30, 2005, which was \$1,271,000.

Gain in Changes in Foreign Exchange Rates

During the nine months ended April 30, 2006, we recorded equity adjustments from foreign currency translations of approximately \$36,000. These translation adjustments are related to changes in the rates of exchange between the Mexican Peso and the US dollar.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES; PLAN OF OPERATIONS

As of April 30, 2006, we had working capital of approximately \$8,214,000 an increase of \$3,974,000 from July 31, 2005. Our planned activities over the next twelve months in Mexico are outlined in our annual report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended July 31, 2005. Generally, and assuming financing is available, we anticipate that our activities will include the purchase and installation of a crushing system, of which a deposit was paid in March 2006 to a US supplier to acquire this crushing system for use at our El Chanate project, construction of the heap leach pad, moving and erection of the carbon plant and refinery at El Chanate, the construction of the power line and the water line and construction of office and laboratory buildings. The activities and the costs may vary materially, especially if there are significant increase in costs related to such items as fuel, construction materials and labor.

-20-

Historically, we have not generated any material revenues from operations and have been in a precarious financial condition. Our consolidated financial statements have been prepared on a going concern basis, which contemplates the realization of assets and satisfaction of liabilities in the normal course of business. We have recurring losses from operations. Our primary source of funds used during the during the nine months ended April 30, 2006 was from the sale and issuance of equity securities and the exercising of outstanding warrants and options. As discussed below, in February 2005, we completed a private placement, netting approximately \$6.2 million. In November 2005, we received a commitment letter from Standard Bank Plc. for a project finance facility of up to US\$12 million for our El Chanate project in Sonora State, Mexico. Between November 2006 and March 2006, we received approximately \$8,088,920 in net proceeds from private placements and the exercise of outstanding warrants and options.

2006 PRIVATE PLACEMENTS

We closed two private placements in February and March 2006, pursuant to which we issued an aggregate of 21,240,000 shares of our Common Stock and warrants to purchase an aggregate of up to 5,310,000 shares of our Common Stock for an aggregate gross purchase price of approximately \$5,310,000 and we received approximately \$5,000,000 in net proceeds. The Warrant issued to each purchaser is exercisable for one share of our Common Stock, at an exercise price equal to \$0.30 per share. Each Warrant has a term of eighteen months and is fully exercisable from the date of issuance. We issued to the placement agent in one of the placements eighteen month warrants to purchase up to 934,000 shares of our Common Stock at an exercise price of \$0.25 per share. Pursuant to our agreement with the purchasers we have registered the foregoing shares and shares issuable upon the exercise of the foregoing Warrants for public resale.

FEBRUARY 2005 PRIVATE PLACEMENT

In the private placement that closed in February 2005, we issued 27,200,004 shares of our Common Stock and warrants to purchase an aggregate of up to 27,200,004 shares of our Common Stock for an aggregate gross purchase price of approximately \$6.8 million and we received approximately \$6.2 million in net proceeds. The Warrant issued to each purchaser was originally exercisable for one share of our Common Stock, at an exercise price equal to \$0.30 per share. We temporarily lowered the exercise price of the Warrants to \$0.20 per shares for the period commencing on November 28, 2005 and ending on January 31, 2006, after which time the exercise price increased back to \$0.30 per share Each Warrant has a term of two years and is fully exercisable from the date of issuance. We issued to the placement agent two year warrants to purchase up to 2,702,000 shares of our Common Stock at an exercise price of \$0.25 per share.

Pursuant to our agreement with the purchasers we registered the foregoing shares

and shares issuable upon the exercise of the foregoing Warrants for public resale. We also agreed to prepare and file all amendments and supplements necessary to keep the registration statement effective until the earlier of the date on which the selling stockholders may resell all the registrable shares covered by the registration statement without volume restrictions pursuant to Rule 144(k) under the Securities Act or any successor rule of similar effect and the date on which the selling stockholders have sold all the shares covered by the registration statement. If, subject to certain exceptions, sales of all shares registered cannot be made pursuant to the registration statement, we will be required to pay to these selling stockholders in cash or, at our option, in shares, their pro rata share of 0.0833% of the aggregate market value of the registrable shares held by these selling stockholders for each month thereafter until sales of the registrable shares can again be made pursuant to the registration statement. In this regard, we will be paying approximately \$7,100 to the purchasers representing liquidated damages.

In addition, we agreed to have our Common Stock listed for trading on the Toronto Stock Exchange. If our Common Stock was not listed for trading on the Toronto Stock Exchange within 180 days after February 8, 2005, we were required to issue to these selling stockholders an additional number of shares of our Common Stock that is equal to 20% of the number of shares acquired by them in the private placement. We did not timely list our shares on the Toronto Stock Exchange and, in August 2005, we issued 5,440,000 shares to the selling stockholders. We subsequently registered these 5,440,000 shares for public resale.

PROJECT FINANCE FACILITY

On February 2, 2005, we mandated Standard Bank Plc. as the exclusive arranger of a project finance facility of up to US\$10 million for our El Chanate project and associated hedging. In November 2005, we received a commitment letter from Standard Bank informing us that its credit committee had approved the Standard Bank's arranging and providing for a senior project finance facility for up to US\$12 million for the development of our El Chanate project.

-21-

The terms and conditions contained in the commitment letter and the term sheet attached thereto are indicative only and actual funding is subject to the negotiation of and execution of satisfactory definitive documents as well as satisfaction of certain conditions discussed below. The commitment, as extended, expires on July 11, 2006 if not funded by that date.

According to the term sheet included with the commitment letter, Minera Santa Rita S. de R.L. de C.V. and Oro de Altar S. de R. L. de C.V., two of our wholly-owned Mexican subsidiaries (the "Borrowers"), will borrow up to US\$12 million from Standard Bank. The loan proceeds will be used to fund project costs related to the El Chanate project. The loan will mature in five years after the closing date and bear interest at 4% plus the 1,2,3 or 6 month Libor rate. The loan will be repayable in 14 quarterly installments commencing on a date to be determined. In addition, on each installment date, we will be required to apply 50% of excess cash flow towards prepayment of the loan. The loan will be secured by all shares and all of the assets of the Borrowers, The loan will also be supported by our guarantee and we will be required to maintain a minimum cash liquidity balance in an amount to be determined.

We (the Borrowers and/or us) will be required to deposit all cash proceeds we receive from operations and other sources in an off-shore proceeds account which will be subject to Standard Bank's security interest. Absent default by us under the finance documents, funds from this account will be used for specific

purposes such as approved operating costs, budgeted capital expenditures, hedging costs and funds payable to Standard Bank under the finance documents. As additional security, we also will be required to fund an offshore debt service account ("DSRA") and maintain a minimum balance of US\$1,800,000.

We will be required to meet and maintain certain financial covenants and conform to certain customary affirmative and negative covenants, such as restrictions on sale of assets. We also will be required to enter into a gold price protection program that mitigates the risk of downward movement in gold prices by entering into an acceptable hedging program and we will need to structure a hedging program to mitigate interest rate risk and foreign exchange risk, all in a manner satisfactory to Standard Bank (see below).

The facility will close and funding will be available upon satisfaction of certain conditions precedent. In addition to customary conditions precedent such as execution of definitive documents, the absence of events of default and satisfactory representations and warranties, closing and funding of the facility will be subject to: (i) Standard Bank's determination that we have hired appropriate additional management. to provide construction, operations and financial management and oversight; and (ii) our raising sufficient equity funding, net of expenses, that, along with cash on hand, is adequate to cover all required project equity contributions, pre-completion funding of the DSRA and other cash requirements prior to Economic Completion (the date upon which Standard Bank determines that all covenants and completion conditions have been met over a period of 90 consecutive days and are sustainable over the life of the project), and to meet a certain minimum liquidity balance to be determined by Standard Bank. As of the date hereof, we have raised the requisite additional funds and have entered into a gold price protection arrangement with Standard Bank (see below).

Pursuant to the original mandate in February 2005, we issued to Standard Bank 1,000,000 common stock purchase warrants and paid certain upfront fees. Pursuant to the Commitment letter, we paid Standard Bank additional upfront fees consisting of cash and 1,000,000 shares of our common stock. In addition, on the closing date we will be required to deliver to Standard Bank an additional upfront cash fee, an additional 1,000,000 shares of common stock and an additional 12,600,000 common stock purchase warrants. We have registered the 1,000,000 shares and the 1,000,000 shares issuable upon exercise of warrants issued to Standard Bank for public resale and we have agreed to register the above-mentioned additional shares and shares issuable upon exercise of the warrants for public resale.

If Standard determines not to provide the funding, we will be required to obtain such funding from another source. To the extent that we need to obtain additional capital to complete the mine, commence operations and cover ongoing general and administrative expenses, management intends to raise such funds through bank financing, the sale of our securities, the sale of a royalty interest in the future production from the Chanate properties and/or joint venturing with one or more strategic partners. We cannot assure that adequate additional funding will be available. If we are unable to obtain needed capital from outside sources, we will be forced to reduce or curtail our operations. Please see "We lack operating cash flow and rely on external funding sources. If we are unable to continue to obtain needed capital from outside sources until we are able to generate positive cash flow from operations, we will be forced to reduce or curtail our operations" in "Risk Factors" below.

-22-

We entered into a gold price protection arrangement with Standard Bank plc to protect us against future fluctuations in the price of gold. We agreed to a

series of gold forward sales and call option purchases in anticipation of entering into a credit agreement with Standard Bank, which will be used to fund part of the cost of development of our El Chanate project. We are continuing negotiations with Standard Bank on the terms of the credit agreement. With this gold price protection agreement we have completed our expected hedging requirements under our proposed credit agreement with Standard Bank. Under the price protection agreement, we have agreed to sell a total volume of 121,927 ounces of gold forward to Standard Bank at a price of \$500 per ounce on a quarterly basis during the period from March 2007 to September 2010. We will also purchase call options from Standard Bank on a quarterly basis during this same period covering a total volume of 121,927 ounces of gold at a price of \$535 per ounce. We paid a fee to Standard Bank in connection with the price protection agreement. In addition, we provided aggregate cash collateral of approximately \$4.27 million to secure our obligations under this agreement. The cash collateral will be returned to us when the loan agreement is executed and all conditions precedent to funding have been satisfied. Please see "We may not be successful in hedging against gold price fluctuations and may incur mark to market losses and lose money through our hedging programs" in "Risk Factors" below.

As described above, we entered into two identically structured derivative contracts with Standard Bank. Each derivative consisted of a series of forward sales of gold and a purchase gold cap. We agreed to sell a total volume of 121,927 ounces of gold forward to Standard Bank at a price of \$500 per ounce on a quarterly basis during the period from March 2007 to September 2010. We also agreed to a purchase gold cap on a quarterly basis during this same period and at identical volumes covering a total volume of 121,927 ounces of gold at a price of \$535 per ounce. Under FASB Statement No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities" ("FAS 133"), these contracts must be carried on the balance sheet at their fair value, with changes to the fair value of these contracts reflected as Other Income or Expense. These contracts were not designated as hedging derivatives; and therefore, special hedge accounting does not apply.

The first derivative was entered into on March 1, 2006 for a premium of \$550,000; and the second was entered into on March 30, 2006 for a premium of \$250,000. The gold price rose sharply in April 2006, and was the primary reason for the decrease in premium on the second derivative contract. As of April 30, 2006, the carrying value of these derivatives decreased to approximately \$438,000. The change in fair value on these derivative contracts was approximately \$362,000 for the three months ended April 30, 2006, and was reflected as an other expense.

ENVIRONMENTAL AND PERMITTING ISSUES

Management does not expect that environmental issues will have an adverse material effect on our liquidity or earnings. In Mexico, although we must continue to comply with laws, rules and regulations concerning mining, environmental, health, zoning and historical preservation issues, we are not aware of any significant environmental concerns or existing reclamation requirements at the El Chanate concessions. We received the required Mexican government permits for construction, mining and processing the El Chanate ores in January 2004. The permits were extended in June 2005. Pursuant to the extensions, once we file a notice that work has commenced, we have one year to prepare the site and construct the mine and seven years to mine and process ores from the site. We received the explosive permit from the government in January 2004. This permit expired on December 31, 2005. We recently completed construction of the explosive magazines and, once construction of the security building is completed and the requisite inspection is performed, we will seek a new explosive permit.

We own properties in Leadville, Colorado for which we have recorded an

impairment loss. Part of the Leadville Mining District has been declared a federal Superfund site under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980, and the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986. Several mining companies and one individual were declared defendants in a possible lawsuit. We were not named a defendant or Principal Responsible Party. We did respond in full detail to a lengthy questionnaire prepared by the Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") regarding our proposed procedures and past activities in November 1990. To our knowledge, the EPA has initiated no further comments or questions.

-23-

We do include in all our internal revenue and cost projections a certain amount for environmental and reclamation costs on an ongoing basis. This amount is determined at a fixed amount of \$0.13 per metric tonne of material to be milled on a continual, ongoing basis to provide primarily for reclaiming tailing disposal sites and other reclamation requirements. At this time, there do not appear to be any environmental costs to be incurred by us beyond those already addressed above. No assurance can be given that environmental regulations will not be changed in a manner that would adversely affect our planned operations.

NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

On December 2004, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (Revised 2004), "Share-Based Payment" ("SFAS 123R"). On April 14, 2005, the Securities and Exchange Commission issued an amendment to Rule 4-01 of Regulation S-X that allows companies to implement SFAS 123R at the beginning of their next fiscal year, instead of the next reporting period that begins after June 15, 2005 as originally required. Accordingly, adopted SFAS 123R effective January 1, 2006 using the "modified prospective" method in which compensation cost is recognized beginning with the effective date base on (a) the requirements of SFAS 123R for all share-based payments granted after the effective date and (b) the requirements of SFAS 123R that remain unvested on the effective date. In addition, we expect to continue to utilize the Black-Scholes option-pricing model, which is an acceptable option valuation model in accordance with SFAS 123R, to estimate the value of stock options granted to employees.

Beyond those restricted stock and stock option awards previously granted, we cannot predict with certainty the impact of SFAS 123R on its future consolidated financial statements as the type and amount of such awards are determined on an annual basis and encompass a potentially wide range depending upon the compensation decisions made by our Board of Directors. SFAS 123R also requires the benefits of tax deductions in excess of compensation cost recognized in the financial statements to be reported as a financing cash flow, rather than an operating cash flow as currently required under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 95, "Statement of Cash Flows" ("SFAS 95"). This requirement, to the extent it exists, will decrease net operating cash flows and increase net financing cash flows in periods subsequent to adoption. We cannot estimate what those amounts will be in the future because they depend on, among other things, when employees exercise stock options.

On March 29, 2005, the SEC issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 107 ("SAB 107") which expresses the view of the SEC Staff regarding the interaction of SFAS 123R and certain SEC rules and regulations and provides the staff's views regarding the valuation of share-based payment arrangements. We believe that the views provided in SAB 107 are consistent with the approach taken in the valuation and accounting associated with share-based compensation issued in prior periods as well as those issued during 2005.

In June 2005, the FASB's Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") issued EITF Issue No. 05-02 "The Meaning of "Conventional Convertible Debt Instrument" in EITF Issue 00-19 "Accounting for Derivative Financial Instruments Indexed to, and Potentially Settled in, A Company's Own Stock", which retains the exception in paragraph 4 of EITF Issue No. 00-19 for conventional debt instruments. Those instruments in which the holder has an option to convert the instrument into a fixed number of shares (or a corresponding amount of cash at the issuer's discretion) and its ability to exercise the option is based on either (a) the passage of time or (b) a contingent event, should be considered "conventional" for purposes of applying that exception. The consensus should be applied on a prospective basis for new or modified instruments starting from the third quarter of 2005. The adoption of EITF No. 05-02 is not expected to have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements or results of operations.

When there is a modification of a convertible debt instrument, the change in the fair value of an embedded conversion option should be included in the analysis of determining whether a debt extinguishment has occurred. The change in the fair value of the embedded conversion option is calculated as the difference between the fair value of the conversion option immediately prior to and after the modification. Also, when a modification of a convertible debt instrument occurs, the change in the fair value of the embedded conversion prior should be recognized as a discount (or premium) with a corresponding increase (or decrease) in additional paid-in capital. Lastly, a beneficial feature should not be recognized or reassessed upon modification of a convertible debt instrument. The adoption of EITF No. 05-02 is not expected to have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements or results of operations.

In November 2005, FASB issued FSP FAS 115-1 and FAS 124-1, "The Meaning of Other-Than-Temporary Impairment and Its Application to Certain Investments" ("FSP FAS 115-1"), which provides guidance on determining when investments in certain debt and equity securities are considered impaired, whether an impairment is other-than-temporary, and on measuring such impairment loss. FSP FAS 115-1 also includes accounting considerations subsequent to the recognition of an other-than-temporary impairment and requires certain disclosures about unrealized losses that have not been recognized as other-than-temporary impairments. FSP FAS 115-1 is required to be applied to reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2005. We adopted FSP FAS 115-1 in the first quarter of 2006 We have determined that the adoption of this statement will not have a material impact on our consolidated results of operations or financial condition.

-24-

In November 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 151, "Inventory Costs - An amendment of ARB No. 43, Chapter 4" ("SFAS No. 151"). SFAS No. 151 amends the guidance in Accounting Research Bulletin No. 43, Chapter 4, "Inventory Pricing," to clarify the accounting for abnormal amounts of idle facility expense, freight, handling costs, and wasted material (spoilage). Additionally, SFAS No. 151 requires that the allocation of fixed production overheads to the cost of conversion be based on the normal capacity of the production facilities. SFAS No. 151 was adopted in the first quarter of 2006. We have determined that the adoption of SFAS No. 151 will not have a material impact on the consolidated financial statements.

In December 2004, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 153 (SFAS 153"), "Exchanges of Non-monetary Assets-an amendment of APB Opinion No. 29." SFAS 152 addresses the measurement of exchanges of non-monetary assets. It eliminates the exception from fair value measurement for non-monetary exchanges of similar productive assets in paragraph 21(b) of APB Opinion No. 29

"Accounting for Non-monetary Transactions" and replaces it with an exception for exchanges that do not have commercial substance. A non-monetary exchange has commercial substance if the future cash flows of the entity are expected to change significantly as a result of the exchange. As required by SFAS 153, we adopted this new accounting standard effective July 1, 2005. The adoption of SFAS 153 did not have a material impact on our financial statements.

In May 2005, the FASB issued SFAS No. 154, Accounting Changes and Error Corrections. SFAS No. 154 establishes retrospective application as the required method for reporting a change in accounting principle in the absence of explicit transition requirements specific to the newly adopted accounting principle. SFAS No. 154 also provides guidance for determining whether retrospective application is impractical. SFAS No. 154 is effective for accounting changes and corrections of errors made in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005. We do not expect that the adoption of SFAS No. 154 will have a material impact on its results of operations or financial position.

DISCLOSURE ABOUT OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

We do not have any transactions, agreements or other contractual arrangements that constitute off-balance sheet arrangements.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Our financial statements and accompanying notes are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Preparing financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue, and expenses. These estimates and assumptions are affected by management's application of accounting policies. Critical accounting policies for us include impairment of long-lived assets, accounting for stock-based compensation and environmental remediation costs.

In accordance with SFAS 144, "Accounting for the Impairment and Disposal of Long-Lived Assets," we review our long-lived assets for impairments. Impairment losses on long-lived assets are recognized when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the undiscounted cash flows estimated to be generated by such assets are less than their carrying value and, accordingly, all or a portion of such carrying value may not be recoverable. Impairment losses then are measured by comparing the fair value of assets to their carrying amounts.

Environmental remediation costs are accrued based on estimates of known environmental remediation exposure. Such accruals are recorded even if significant uncertainties exist over the ultimate cost of the remediation. It is reasonably possible that our estimates of reclamation liabilities, if any, could change as a result of changes in regulations, extent of environmental remediation required, means of reclamation or cost estimates. Ongoing environmental compliance costs, including maintenance and monitoring costs, are expensed as incurred. There were no environmental remediation costs incurred or accrued at April 30, 2006.

We entered into two identically structured derivative contracts with Standard Bank in March 2006. Each derivative consisted of a series of forward sales of gold and a purchase gold cap. We agreed to sell a total volume of 121,927 ounces of gold forward to Standard Bank at a price of \$500 per ounce on a quarterly basis during the period from March 2007 to September 2010. We also agreed to a purchase gold cap on a quarterly basis during this same period and at identical volumes covering a total volume of 121,927 ounces of gold at a price of \$535 per ounce. Under FASB Statement No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities" ("FAS 133"), these contracts must be carried on the balance sheet at their fair value, with changes to the fair value of these contracts

reflected as Other Income or Expense. These contracts were not designated as hedging derivatives; and therefore, special hedge accounting does not apply.

-25-

RISK FACTORS

We are subject to various risks that may materially harm our business, financial condition and results of operations. If any of these risks or uncertainties actually occur, our business, financial condition or operating results could be materially harmed. In that case, the trading price of our common stock could decline and you could lose all or part of your investment.

RISKS RELATED TO OUR BUSINESS AND OPERATIONS

WE HAVE NOT GENERATED ANY OPERATING REVENUES. IF WE ARE UNABLE TO COMMERCIALLY DEVELOP OUR MINERAL PROPERTIES, WE WILL NOT BE ABLE TO GENERATE PROFITS AND OUR BUSINESS MAY FAIL.

To date, we have no producing properties. As a result, we have no current source of operating revenue and we have historically operated and continue to operate at a loss. Our ultimate success will depend on our ability to generate profits from our properties. Our viability is largely dependent on the successful commercial development of our El Chanate gold mining project in Sonora, Mexico.

WE LACK OPERATING CASH FLOW AND RELY ON EXTERNAL FUNDING SOURCES. IF WE ARE UNABLE TO CONTINUE TO OBTAIN NEEDED CAPITAL FROM OUTSIDE SOURCES UNTIL WE ARE ABLE TO GENERATE POSITIVE CASH FLOW FROM OPERATIONS, WE WILL BE FORCED TO REDUCE OR CURTAIL OUR OPERATIONS.

We do not generate any positive cash flow from operations and we do not anticipate that any positive cash flow will be generated for some time. Our primary focus is the development of our El Chanate project which, we anticipate, will cost between \$17.5 and \$18.5 million. We also anticipate non-mine related operating expenses of approximately \$1.4 million. In February 2005, we raised approximately \$6.2 million from the proceeds of private placements. In February and March 2006, we completed private placements and received proceeds from the exercising of warrants and options netting approximately \$7,373,100. Also, in November 2005, we received a commitment letter from Standard Bank Plc. (formerly, Standard Bank London Limited) for a project finance facility of up to US\$12 million for our El Chanate project. Funding the Standard Bank facility is subject to the negotiation, execution, and delivery of definitive financing documentation, as well as the completion of certain conditions. If Standard determines not to provide the funding, we will be required to obtain such funding from another source. To the extent that we need to obtain additional capital to complete the mine, commence operations and cover ongoing general and administrative expenses, management intends to raise such funds through bank financing, the sale of our securities, the sale of a royalty interest in the future production from the Chanate properties and/or joint venturing with one or more strategic partners. We cannot assure that adequate additional funding will be available. If we are unable to obtain needed capital from outside sources, we will be forced to reduce or curtail our operations.

OUR YEAR END AUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS CONTAIN A "GOING CONCERN" EXPLANATORY PARAGRAPH. OUR INABILITY TO CONTINUE AS A GOING CONCERN WOULD REQUIRE A RESTATEMENT OF ASSETS AND LIABILITIES ON A LIQUIDATION BASIS, WHICH WOULD DIFFER MATERIALLY AND ADVERSELY FROM THE GOING CONCERN BASIS ON WHICH OUR FINANCIAL STATEMENTS INCLUDED IN THIS REPORT HAVE BEEN PREPARED.

Our consolidated financial statements for the year ended July 31, 2005 included in our annual report on form 10-KSB for the year then ended have been prepared on the basis of accounting principles applicable to a going concern. Our auditors' report on the consolidated financial statements contained therein includes an additional explanatory paragraph following the opinion paragraph on our ability to continue as a going concern. A note to these consolidated financial statements describes the reasons why there is substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern and our plans to address this issue. Our July 31, 2005 and April 30, 2006 consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty. Our inability to continue as a going concern would require a restatement of assets and liabilities on a liquidation basis, which would differ materially and adversely from the going concern basis on which our consolidated financial statements have been prepared. See, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations; Liquidity and Capital Resources; Plan of Operations."

-26-

IF WE ARE UNABLE TO OBTAIN A CRUSHING SYSTEM AND OTHER EQUIPMENT FOR OUR MEXICAN CONCESSIONS AT AN ACCEPTABLE COST, OUR ANTICIPATED RESULTS OF OPERATIONS FROM MINING AT THESE CONCESSIONS, ONCE MINING COMMENCES, MAY BE ADVERSELY AFFECTED.

We anticipate that the total cost for all of the crushing equipment will be approximately \$5,600,000. In March 2006, we paid \$250,000 as a down payment for a portion of the new crushing system. The total price for this specific equipment is approximately \$1,164,000. We are required to purchase the specific equipment by the end of the third quarter of 2006, or the supplier is entitled to retain the down payment. As we have adequate funds to purchase this equipment, we anticipate purchasing the equipment within the requisite time period. If we are unable to obtain this equipment or other requisite equipment at an acceptable cost, our planned mining operations and our anticipated results of operations from mining at these concessions, once mining commences, may be adversely affected. See, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations; Liquidity and Capital Resources; Plan of Operations."

WE WILL BE USING RECONDITIONED AND USED EQUIPMENT WHICH COULD ADVERSELY AFFECT OUR COST ASSUMPTIONS AND OUR ABILITY TO ECONOMICALLY AND SUCCESSFULLY MINE THE PROJECT.

We plan to use reconditioned and used carbon column collection equipment to recover gold. Such equipment is subject to the risk of more frequent breakdowns and need for repair than new equipment. If the equipment that we use breaks down and needs to be repaired or replaced, we will incur additional costs and operations may be delayed resulting in lower amounts of gold recovered. In such event, our capital and operating cost assumptions may be inaccurate and our ability to economically and successfully mine the project may be hampered, resulting in decreased revenues and, possibly, a loss from operations.

AS A RESULT OF THE PROJECTED SHORT MINE LIFE OF SIX YEARS, IF MAJOR PROBLEMS DEVELOP, WE WILL HAVE LIMITED TIME TO CORRECT THESE PROBLEMS AND WE MAY HAVE TO CEASE OPERATIONS EARLIER THAN PLANNED.

Pursuant to the 2005 Study, the mine life will be only six years. If major problems develop in the project, or we fail to achieve the operating efficiencies or costs projected in the feasibility study, we will have limited time to find ways to correct these problems and we may have to cease operations earlier than planned.

THE GOLD DEPOSIT WE HAVE IDENTIFIED AT EL CHANATE IS RELATIVELY SMALL AND LOW-GRADE. IF OUR ESTIMATES AND ASSUMPTIONS ARE INACCURATE, OUR RESULTS OF OPERATION AND FINANCIAL CONDITION COULD BE MATERIALLY ADVERSELY AFFECTED.

The gold deposit we have identified at our El Chanate Project is relatively small and low-grade. If the estimates of ore grade or recovery rates contained in the feasibility study turn out to be higher than the actual ore grade and recovery rates, if costs are higher than expected, or if we experience problems related to the mining, processing of, or recovery of gold from, ore at the El Chanate project, our results of operation and financial condition could be materially adversely affected. Moreover, it is possible that actual costs and economic returns may differ materially from our best estimates. It is not unusual in the mining industry for new mining operations to experience unexpected problems during the start-up phase and to require more capital than anticipated. There can be no assurance that our operations at El Chanate will be profitable.

OUR CURRENTLY PERMITTED WATER RIGHTS MAY NOT BE ADEQUATE FOR ALL OF OUR TOTAL PROJECT NEEDS OVER THE ENTIRE COURSE OF OUR ANTICIPATED MINING OPERATIONS. IF WE NEED TO OBTAIN ADDITIONAL RIGHTS, BUT ARE UNABLE TO PROCURE THEM OUR PLANNED OPERATIONS MAY BE ADVERSELY AFFECTED.

We are currently having the physical condition of our well tested and inspected to determine the actual condition of the well casing and the pump. While we believe the well's pumping capacity is about 1,100 gallons per minute at the well head, we will expect the well to pump over eight kilometers to the mine property without interruption during the entire life of the mine. If repairs or upgrades are required, we would prefer to make them before mine production begins. The 2005 feasibility study indicates our average life of mine water requirements, for ore processing only, will be about 94.6 million gallons per year (11.4 liters per second). The amount of water we are currently permitted to pump for our operations is approximately 71.3 million gallons per year (8.6 liters per second). Our currently permitted water rights may not be adequate for all of our total project needs over the entire course of our anticipated mining operations. We are looking into ways to rectify this issue. If we need to obtain additional rights, but are unable to procure them our planned operations may be adversely affected.

-27-

WE HAVE A LIMITED NUMBER OF PROSPECTS. AS A RESULT, OUR CHANCES OF COMMENCING VIABLE MINING OPERATIONS ARE DEPENDENT UPON THE SUCCESS OF ONE PROJECT.

Our only current properties are the El Chanate concessions and our Leadville properties. At present, we are not doing any substantive work at our Leadville properties and, in fact, have written these properties off. Our El Chanate concessions are owned by one of our wholly-owned subsidiaries, Oro de Altar. Santa Rita, another of our Mexican subsidiaries leases the land and claims at El Chanate from Oro de Altar. FG, our former joint venture partner, has the right to receive five percent of Santa Rita's annual dividends, when declared. We currently do not have operations on either of our properties, and we must commence such operations to receive revenues. Accordingly, we are dependent upon the success of the El Chanate concessions.

GOLD PRICES CAN FLUCTUATE ON A MATERIAL AND FREQUENT BASIS DUE TO NUMEROUS FACTORS BEYOND OUR CONTROL. IF AND WHEN WE COMMENCE PRODUCTION, OUR ABILITY TO GENERATE PROFITS FROM OPERATIONS COULD BE

MATERIALLY AND ADVERSELY AFFECTED BY SUCH FLUCTUATING PRICES.

The profitability of any gold mining operations in which we have an interest will be significantly affected by changes in the market price of gold. Gold prices fluctuate on a daily basis. During 2005, the spot price for gold on the London Exchange fluctuated between \$411.10 and \$537.50 per ounce. Between January 1, 2006 and March 1, 2006, the spot price for gold on the London Exchange has fluctuated between \$520.75 and \$569.75 per ounce. Gold prices are affected by numerous factors beyond our control, including:

- o the level of interest rates,
- o the rate of inflation,
- o central bank sales,
- o world supply of gold and
- o stability of exchange rates.

Each of these factors can cause significant fluctuations in gold prices. Such external factors are in turn influenced by changes in international investment patterns and monetary systems and political developments. The price of gold has historically fluctuated widely and, depending on the price of gold, revenues from mining operations may not be sufficient to offset the costs of such operations.

WE MAY NOT BE SUCCESSFUL IN HEDGING AGAINST GOLD PRICE FLUCTUATIONS AND MAY INCUR MARK TO MARKET LOSSES AND LOSE MONEY THROUGH OUR HEDGING PROGRAMS.

We have entered into metals trading transactions to hedge against fluctuations in gold prices, using call option purchases and forward sales. The terms of our anticipated debt financing with Standard Bank will require that we utilize various price hedging techniques to hedge a portion of the gold we plan to produce at the El Chanate project. There can be no assurance that we will be able to successfully hedge against gold price fluctuations and if we fail to maintain the minimum level of hedge transactions required by the terms of our anticipated debt financing, our ability to draw amounts from Standard Bank may be adversely affected.

Further, there can be no assurance that the use of hedging techniques will always be to our benefit. Hedging instruments that protect against market price volatility may prevent us from realizing the full benefit from subsequent increases in market prices with respect to covered production, which would cause us to record a mark-to-market loss, decreasing our revenues and profits. Hedging contracts also are subject to the risk that the other party may be unable or unwilling to perform its obligations under these contracts. Any significant nonperformance could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

-28-

In addition, we expect to settle our forward sales at a time when the El Chanate project is in production. If the completion of the project is delayed or if we are unable for any reason to deliver the quantity of gold required by our forward sales, we may need to settle the forward sales by purchasing gold at spot prices. Depending on the price of gold at that time, the financial settlement of the forward sales could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

OUR MATERIAL PROPERTY INTERESTS ARE IN MEXICO. RISKS OF DOING BUSINESS IN A FOREIGN COUNTRY COULD ADVERSELY AFFECT OUR RESULTS OF OPERATIONS AND FINANCIAL CONDITION.

We face risks normally associated with any conduct of business in foreign countries with respect to our El Chanate project in Sonora, Mexico, including various levels of political and economic risk. The occurrence of one or more of these events could have a material adverse impact on our efforts or future operations which, in turn, could have a material adverse impact on our future cash flows, earnings, results of operations and financial condition. These risks include the following:

- o labor disputes,
- o invalidity of governmental orders,
- uncertain or unpredictable political, legal and economic environments,
- o war and civil disturbances,
- o changes in laws or policies,
- o taxation,
- o delays in obtaining or the inability to obtain necessary governmental permits,
- o governmental seizure of land or mining claims,
- o limitations on ownership,
- o limitations on the repatriation of earnings,
- o increased financial costs,
- o foreign exchange controls.

These risks may limit or disrupt the project, restrict the movement of funds or impair contract rights or result in the taking of property by nationalization or expropriation without fair compensation.

WE ANTICIPATE SELLING GOLD IN U.S. DOLLARS; HOWEVER, WE INCUR A SIGNIFICANT AMOUNT OF OUR EXPENSES IN MEXICAN PESOS. IF AND WHEN WE SELL GOLD, IF APPLICABLE CURRENCY EXCHANGE RATES FLUCTUATE OUR REVENUES AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS MAY BE MATERIALLY AND ADVERSELY AFFECTED.

If and when we commence sales of gold, such sales will be made in U.S. dollars. We incur a significant amount of our expenses in Mexican pesos. As a result, our financial performance would be affected by fluctuations in the value of the Mexican peso to the U.S. dollar.

CHANGES IN REGULATORY POLICY COULD ADVERSELY AFFECT OUR EXPLORATION AND FUTURE PRODUCTION ACTIVITIES.

Any changes in government policy may result in changes to laws affecting:

- o ownership of assets,
- o land tenure,
- o mining policies,
- o monetary policies,
- o taxation,
- o rates of exchange,
- o environmental regulations,

-29-

- o labor relations,
- o repatriation of income and
- o return of capital.

Any such changes may affect our ability to undertake exploration and development

activities in respect of present and future properties in the manner currently contemplated, as well as our ability to continue to explore, develop and operate those properties in which we have an interest or in respect of which we have obtained exploration and development rights to date. The possibility, particularly in Mexico, that future governments may adopt substantially different policies, which might extend to expropriation of assets, cannot be ruled out.

COMPLIANCE WITH ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATIONS COULD ADVERSELY AFFECT OUR EXPLORATION AND FUTURE PRODUCTION ACTIVITIES.

With respect to environmental regulation, environmental legislation generally is evolving in a manner which will require:

- o stricter standards and enforcement,
- o increased fines and penalties for non-compliance,
- o more stringent environmental assessments of proposed projects and
- o a heightened degree of responsibility for companies and their officers, directors and employees.

There can be no assurance that future changes to environmental legislation and related regulations, if any, will not adversely affect our operations. We could be held liable for environmental hazards that exist on the properties in which we hold interests, whether caused by previous or existing owners or operators of the properties. Any such liability could adversely affect our business and financial condition.

WE ARE NOT INSURED AGAINST ANY LOSSES OR LIABILITIES THAT COULD ARISE FROM OUR OPERATIONS BECAUSE WE HAVE NOT COMMENCED OPERATIONS AT EL CHANATE. ALTHOUGH WE PLAN ON OBTAINING INSURANCE ONCE CONSTRUCTION BEGINS, SUCH INSURANCE MAY NOT BE ADEQUATE. IF WE INCUR MATERIAL LOSSES OR LIABILITIES BECAUSE WE DO NOT HAVE INSURANCE OR OUR COVERAGE IS NOT ADEQUATE, OUR FINANCIAL POSITION COULD BE MATERIALLY AND ADVERSELY AFFECTED.

We are in the process of developing our Mexican concessions and hope to commence mining operations during the first calendar quarter of 2007. If and when we commence mining operations, such operations will involve a number of risks and hazards, including:

- o environmental hazards,
- o industrial accidents,
- o metallurgical and other processing,
- o acts of God, and
- o mechanical equipment and facility performance problems.

Such risks could result in:

- o damage to, or destruction of, mineral properties or production facilities,
- o personal injury or death,
- o environmental damage,
- o delays in mining,
- o monetary losses and /or
- o possible legal liability.

Industrial accidents could have a material adverse effect on our future business and operations. Although as we move forward in the development of the El Chanate project we plan to obtain and maintain insurance within ranges of coverage consistent with industry practice. In this regard, we plan on obtaining basic insurance coverage for an amount consistent with industry practice upon

execution of a financing agreement with Standard Bank, and to increase coverage as warranted thereafter. We cannot be certain that this insurance will cover the risks associated with mining or that we will be able to maintain insurance to cover these risks at economically feasible premiums. We also might become subject to liability for pollution or other hazards which we cannot insure against or which we may elect not to insure against because of premium costs or other reasons. Losses from such events may have a material adverse effect on our financial position.

-30-

CALCULATION OF RESERVES AND METAL RECOVERY DEDICATED TO FUTURE PRODUCTION IS NOT EXACT, MIGHT NOT BE ACCURATE AND MIGHT NOT ACCURATELY REFLECT THE ECONOMIC VIABILITY OF OUR PROPERTIES.

Reserve estimates may not be accurate. There is a degree of uncertainty attributable to the calculation of reserves, resources and corresponding grades being dedicated to future production. Until reserves or resources are actually mined and processed, the quantity of reserves or resources and grades must be considered as estimates only. In addition, the quantity of reserves or resources may vary depending on metal prices. Any material change in the quantity of reserves, resource grade or stripping ratio may affect the economic viability of our properties. In addition, there can be no assurance that mineral recoveries in small scale laboratory tests will be duplicated in large tests under on-site conditions or during production.

WE ARE DEPENDENT ON THE EFFORTS OF CERTAIN KEY PERSONNEL AND WE NEED TO RETAIN ADDITIONAL PERSONNEL AND/OR CONTRACTORS TO DEVELOP OUR EL CHANATE PROJECT. IF WE LOSE THE SERVICES OF THESE PERSONNEL OR WE ARE UNABLE TO RETAIN ADDITIONAL PERSONNEL AND/OR CONTRACTORS, OUR ABILITY TO COMPLETE DEVELOPMENT AND OPERATE OUR EL CHANATE PROJECT MAY BE DELAYED AND OUR PLANNED OPERATIONS MAY BE MATERIALLY ADVERSE AFFECTED.

We are dependent on a relatively small number of key personnel, including but not limited to John Brownlie, Vice President of Operations, who oversees the El Chanate project, and Dave Loder, the General Manager of the El Chanate project, the loss of any one of whom could have an adverse effect on us. In addition, while certain of our officers and directors have experience in the exploration and operation of gold producing properties, we need to retain additional personnel and/or contractors to develop and operate our El Chanate project. Certain of these personnel and consultants, including Messrs. Brownlie and Loder, have already been engaged. In addition, MSR entered into a contract with a Mexican mining contractor, Sinergia Obras Civiles y Mineras, S.A. de C.V("Contractor"), pursuant to which the Contractor is to provide all mining services other than crushing. MSR was required to deliver a "Notice to Proceed" by June 1, 2006, or the Contractor could elect to either terminate the agreement or modify its initial mining rates. On June 1, 2006, MSR sent a letter to the Contractor requesting a meeting to discuss possible modifications to the Mining Contract and a deferment of the June 1st deadline. If the Contractor does not agree to the deferment, it may terminate the contract or modify its initial mining rates. MSR is in the process of scheduling a meeting with the Contractor on this matter. While MSR does not believe that the Contractor will terminate the contract or materially increase its rates beyond price escalations anticipated in the contract due to the passage of time, we cannot assure the foregoing.

There can be no guarantee that any needed personnel or contractors, including, possibly the mining contractor, will be available to carry out necessary activities on our behalf or be available upon commercially acceptable terms. If we lose the services of our key personnel or the Contractor or we are unable to retain additional personnel and/or contractors, our ability to complete

development and operate our El Chanate project may be delayed and our planned operations may be materially adversely affected.

THERE ARE UNCERTAINTIES AS TO TITLE MATTERS IN THE MINING INDUSTRY. WE BELIEVE THAT WE HAVE GOOD TITLE TO OUR PROPERTIES; HOWEVER, ANY DEFECTS IN SUCH TITLE THAT CAUSE US TO LOSE OUR RIGHTS IN MINERAL PROPERTIES COULD JEOPARDIZE OUR PLANNED BUSINESS OPERATIONS.

We have investigated our rights to explore, exploit and develop our concessions in manners consistent with industry practice and, to the best of our knowledge, those rights are in good standing. However, we cannot assure that the title to or our rights of ownership of the El Chanate concessions will not be challenged or impugned by third parties or governmental agencies. In addition, there can be no assurance that the concessions in which we have an interest are not subject to prior unregistered agreements, transfers or claims and title may be affected by undetected defects. Any such defects could have a material adverse effect on us.

-31-

SHOULD WE SUCCESSFULLY COMMENCE MINING OPERATIONS IN MEXICO, OUR ABILITY TO REMAIN PROFITABLE LONG TERM, SHOULD WE BECOME PROFITABLE, EVENTUALLY WILL DEPEND ON OUR ABILITY TO FIND, EXPLORE AND DEVELOP ADDITIONAL PROPERTIES. OUR ABILITY TO ACQUIRE SUCH ADDITIONAL PROPERTIES WILL BE HINDERED BY COMPETITION. IF WE ARE UNABLE TO ACQUIRE, DEVELOP AND ECONOMICALLY MINE ADDITIONAL PROPERTIES, WE MOST LIKELY WILL NOT BE ABLE TO BE PROFITABLE ON A LONG-TERM BASIS.

Gold properties are wasting assets. They eventually become depleted or uneconomical to continue mining. The acquisition of gold properties and their exploration and development are subject to intense competition. Companies with greater financial resources, larger staffs, more experience and more equipment for exploration and development may be in a better position than us to compete for such mineral properties. If we are unable to find, develop and economically mine new properties, we most likely will not be able to be profitable on a long-term basis.

OUR ABILITY ON A GOING FORWARD BASIS TO DISCOVER ADDITIONAL VIABLE AND ECONOMIC MINERAL RESERVES IS SUBJECT TO NUMEROUS FACTORS, MOST OF WHICH ARE BEYOND OUR CONTROL AND ARE NOT PREDICTABLE. IF WE ARE UNABLE TO DISCOVER SUCH RESERVES, WE MOST LIKELY WILL NOT BE ABLE TO BE PROFITABLE ON A LONG-TERM BASIS.

Exploration for gold is speculative in nature, involves many risks and is frequently unsuccessful. Few properties that are explored are ultimately developed into commercially producing mines. As noted above, our long-term profitability will be, in part, directly related to the cost and success of exploration programs. Any gold exploration program entails risks relating to

- o the location of economic ore bodies,
- o development of appropriate metallurgical processes,
- o receipt of necessary governmental approvals and
- construction of mining and processing facilities at any site chosen for mining.

The commercial viability of a mineral deposit is dependent on a number of factors including:

- o the price of gold,
- o the particular attributes of the deposit, such as its

- o size,
- o grade and
- o proximity to infrastructure,
- o financing costs,
- o taxation,
- o royalties,
- o land tenure,
- o land use,
- o water use,
- o power use,
- o importing and exporting gold and
- o environmental protection.

The effect of these factors cannot be accurately predicted.

RISKS RELATED TO OWNERSHIP OF OUR STOCK

THERE IS A LIMITED MARKET FOR OUR COMMON STOCK. IF A SUBSTANTIAL AND SUSTAINED MARKET FOR OUR COMMON STOCK DOES NOT DEVELOP, OUR STOCKHOLDERS MAY HAVE DIFFICULTY SELLING, OR BE UNABLE TO SELL, THEIR SHARES.

Our common stock is tradable in the United States in the over-the-counter market and is quoted on the Over-The-Counter Bulletin Board and, effective March 22, 2006, our shares of common stock are listed for trading on the Toronto Stock Exchange. There is only a limited market for our common stock and there can be no assurance that this market will be maintained or broadened. If a substantial and sustained market for our common stock does not develop, our stockholders may have difficulty selling, or be unable to sell, their shares.

-32-

OUR STOCK PRICE MAY BE ADVERSELY AFFECTED IF A SIGNIFICANT AMOUNT OF SHARES ARE SOLD IN THE PUBLIC MARKET.

As of June 14, 2006, approximately 78,859,314 shares of our Common Stock, constituted "restricted securities" as defined in Rule 144 under the Securities Act of 1933. We have registered more than half of these shares for public resale. In addition, we have registered 21,905,000 shares of Common Stock issuable upon the exercise of outstanding warrants and 763,636 shares of Common Stock issuable upon the exercise of outstanding options. All of the foregoing shares, assuming exercise of all of the above options and warrants, would represent in excess of 50% of the then outstanding shares of our Common Stock. Registration of the shares permits the sale of the shares in the open market or in privately negotiated transactions without compliance with the requirements of Rule 144. To the extent the exercise price of the warrants or options is less than the market price of the Common Stock, the holders of the warrants are likely to exercise them and sell the underlying shares of Common Stock and to the extent that the exercise prices of these securities are adjusted pursuant to anti-dilution protection, the securities could be exercisable or convertible for even more shares of Common Stock. In addition, should we consummate the project finance facility with Standard Bank, we will be required to issue an additional 1,000,000 shares and warrants for an additional 12.6 million shares and to register the foregoing shares and the shares issuable upon exercise of these warrants for public resale. We also may issue shares to be used to meet our capital requirements or use shares to compensate employees, consultants and/or directors. We are unable to estimate the amount, timing or nature of future sales of outstanding Common Stock. Sales of substantial amounts of our Common Stock in the public market could cause the market price for our Common Stock to decrease. Furthermore, a decline in the price of our Common Stock would likely

impede our ability to raise capital through the issuance of additional shares of Common Stock or other equity securities.

WE DO NOT INTEND TO PAY DIVIDENDS IN THE NEAR FUTURE.

Our board of directors determines whether to pay dividends on our issued and outstanding shares. The declaration of dividends will depend upon our future earnings, our capital requirements, our financial condition and other relevant factors. Our board does not intend to declare any dividends on our shares for the foreseeable future. We anticipate that we will retain any earnings to finance the growth of our business and for general corporate purposes. In addition, our ability to pay dividends most likely will be restricted by financial covenants in any project finance facility we enter into with Standard Bank or another lender.

IF OUR COMMON STOCK IS DEEMED TO BE A "PENNY STOCK," TRADING OF OUR SHARES WOULD BE SUBJECT TO SPECIAL REQUIREMENTS THAT COULD IMPEDE OUR STOCKHOLDERS' ABILITY TO RESELL THEIR SHARES.

"Penny stocks" as that term is defined in Rule 3a51-1 of the Securities and Exchange Commission are stocks:

- i. with a price of less than five dollars per share;
- ii. that are not traded on a recognized national exchange;
 - o whose prices are not quoted on the NASDAQ automated quotation system; or
- - o -\$2,000,000 if the issuer has been in continuous operation for at least three years; or
 - o -\$5,000,000 if in continuous operation for less than three years, or
 - o of issuers with average revenues of less than \$6,000,000 for the last three years.

Our Common Stock is not currently a penny stock because we have net tangible assets of more than \$2,000,000. Should our net tangible assets drop below \$2,000,000 and we do not meet any of the other criteria for exclusion of our Common Stock from the definition of penny stock, our Common Stock will be a penny stock.

Section 15(g) of the Exchange Act, and Rule 15g-2 of the Securities and Exchange Commission, require broker-dealers dealing in penny stocks to provide potential investors with a document disclosing the risks of penny stocks and to obtain a manually signed and dated written receipt of the document before effecting any transaction in a penny stock for the investor's account. Moreover, Rule 15g-9 of the Securities and Exchange Commission requires broker-dealers in penny stocks to approve the account of any investor for transactions in such stocks before selling any penny stock to that investor. This procedure requires the broker-dealer:

-33-

- i. to obtain from the investor information concerning his or her financial situation, investment experience and investment objectives;
- ii. to determine reasonably, based on that information, that transactions in penny stocks are suitable for the investor and that the investor has sufficient knowledge and experience as to be reasonably capable of evaluating the risks of penny stock transactions;
- iii. to provide the investor with a written statement setting forth the basis on which the broker-dealer made the determination in (ii) above;

and

iv. to receive a signed and dated copy of such statement from the investor, confirming that it accurately reflects the investor's financial situation, investment experience and investment objectives.

Should our Common Stock be deemed to be a penny stock, compliance with the above requirements may make it more difficult for holders of our Common Stock to resell their shares to third parties or to otherwise dispose of them.

ITEM 3. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.

The term "disclosure controls and procedures" is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"). This term refers to the controls and procedures of a company that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the required time periods. Our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer have evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report. They have concluded that, as of that date, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective at ensuring that required information will be disclosed on a timely basis in our reports filed under the Exchange Act.

No change in our internal control over financial reporting occurred during the period covered by this report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

-34-

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.

None.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS.

During the current quarter, we closed two private placements and received proceeds from the exercising of warrants and options, pursuant to which we issued an aggregate of 30,140,000 shares of our common stock and warrants to purchase an aggregate of up to 5,310,000 shares of our common stock. Some of these issuances were noted in our quarterly report for the period ended January 31, 2006. In addition, we issued to the placement agent in one of the placements eighteen month warrants to purchase up to 934,000 shares of our common stock during the quarter ended April 30, 2006. We also issued options to purchase 50,000 common shares to our new Chief Financial Officer.

In May 2006 we issued options to purchase 200,000 shares of common stock to our new Vice President of Operations and options to purchase 200,000 shares of common stock to a consultant. All of the foregoing issuances were exempt from registration pursuant to the provisions of Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES.

None.

ITEM 4 SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS.

None.

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION.

As discussed in more detail in our Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 17, 2006, on May 12, 2006, we appointed John Brownlie to the position of Vice President Operations for our El Chanate gold mining project in northern Sonora, Mexico. Mr. Brownlie will supervise the construction, start-up and operation of the mine. Mr. Brownlie has provided team management for mining projects requiring technical, administrative, political and cultural experience over his 28 year mining career. From 2000 to 2006, Mr. Brownlie was a consultant providing mining and mineral related services to various companies including SRK, Oxus Mining plc and Cemco Inc. From 1995 to 2000, he was the General Manager for the Zarafshan-Newmont Joint Venture in Uzbekistan, a one-million tonne per month heap leach plant which produced over 400,000 ounces of gold per year. From 1989 to 1995, Mr. Brownlie served as the Chief Engineer and General Manager for Monarch Resources in Venezuela, at both the El Callao Revemin Mill and La Camorra gold projects. Before that, was a resident of South Africa and associated with numerous mineral projects across Africa. He is also a mechanical engineer and fluent in Spanish.

In addition, in June 2006, our Mexican operating subsidiary retained the contracting services of Mexican subsidiary of M3 Engineering & Technology Corporation ("M3M") to provide EPCM (engineering procurement construction management) services. M3M will supervise the construction and integration of the various components necessary to commence production at the El Chanate Project.

-35-

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS.

- 10.1 Brownlie Employment Agreement.
- 10.2 EPCM Agreement with M3M.
- 31.1 Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 from the Company's Chief Executive Officer.
- 31.2 Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 from the Company's Chief Financial Officer.
- 32.1 Certification pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 from the Company's Chief Executive Officer.
- 32.2 Certification pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 from the Company's Chief Financial Officer.

-36-

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereto duly authorized.

CAPITAL GOLD CORPORATION
----Registrant

By: /s/ Gifford A. Dieterle

Gifford A. Dieterle President/Treasurer

Date: June 19, 2006

-37-