

First Federal of Northern Michigan Bancorp, Inc.  
Form 10-Q  
August 11, 2011

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934  
For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2011

OR

TRANSITION REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission File Number 000-31957

FIRST FEDERAL OF NORTHERN MICHIGAN BANCORP, INC.  
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

32-0135202  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

100 S. Second Avenue, Alpena, Michigan 49707  
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (989) 356-9041

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports) and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer   
Non-accelerated filer  Smaller reporting company   
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

Edgar Filing: First Federal of Northern Michigan Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the registrant's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Common Stock, Par Value \$0.01 (Title of Class)	Outstanding at August 10, 2011 2,884,049 shares
--	--

---

FIRST FEDERAL OF NORTHERN MICHIGAN BANCORP, INC.  
FORM 10-Q  
Quarter Ended June 30, 2011

INDEX

	PAGE
<b>PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION</b>	
<b>ITEM 1 - UNAUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS</b>	
Consolidated Balance Sheet at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010	3
Consolidated Statements of Income for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2010	4
Consolidated Statement of Changes in Stockholders' Equity for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011	5
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2010	6
Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements	7
 <b>ITEM 2 - MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS</b>	
	25
 <b>ITEM 3 – QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK</b>	
	32
 <b>ITEM 4 - CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES</b>	
	32
 <b>Part II - OTHER INFORMATION</b>	
<b>ITEM 1 - LEGAL PROCEEDINGS</b>	
	33
<b>ITEM 1A - RISK FACTORS</b>	
	33
<b>ITEM 2 - UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS</b>	
	33
<b>ITEM 3 - DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES</b>	
	33
<b>ITEM 4 - (REMOVED AND RESERVED)</b>	
	33
<b>ITEM 5 - OTHER INFORMATION</b>	
	33
<b>ITEM 6 - EXHIBITS</b>	
	33
Section 302 Certifications	
Section 906 Certifications	

When used in this Form 10-Q or future filings by First Federal of Northern Michigan Bancorp, Inc. (the "Company") with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"), in the Company's press releases or other public or stockholder communications, or in oral statements made with the approval of an authorized executive officer, the words or phrases "would be," "will allow," "intends to," "will likely result," "are expected to," "will continue," "is anticipated," "estimate," "project," or similar expressions are intended to identify "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995.

The Company wishes to caution readers not to place undue reliance on any such forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date made, and to advise readers that various factors, including regional and national economic conditions, changes in levels of market interest rates, credit and other risks of lending and investment activities and competitive and regulatory factors, could affect the Company's financial performance and could cause the Company's actual results for future periods to differ materially from those anticipated or projected.

The Company does not undertake, and specifically disclaims any obligation, to update any forward-looking statements to reflect occurrences or unanticipated events or circumstances after the date of such statements.



## PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## ITEM 1 - FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

First Federal of Northern Michigan Bancorp, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Consolidated Balance Sheet

	June 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
	(Unaudited)	
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Cash and cash equivalents:		
Cash on hand and due from banks	\$3,951,713	\$ 1,889,999
Overnight deposits with FHLB	34,756	72,658
Total cash and cash equivalents	3,986,469	1,962,657
Securities AFS	47,671,712	35,301,238
Securities HTM	2,485,000	2,520,000
Loans held for sale	550,613	-
Loans receivable, net of allowance for loan losses of \$2,190,949 and \$2,831,332 as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively	144,825,894	157,143,918
Foreclosed real estate and other repossessed assets	4,625,417	2,818,343
Federal Home Loan Bank stock, at cost	3,266,100	3,775,400
Premises and equipment	5,924,907	6,026,793
Accrued interest receivable	1,158,565	1,230,938
Intangible assets	481,081	627,306
Prepaid FDIC premiums	852,890	967,143
Deferred tax asset	471,751	659,194
Other assets	2,623,837	2,700,034
Total assets	\$218,924,236	\$ 215,732,964
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
Liabilities:		
Deposits	\$156,110,486	\$ 155,465,896
Advances from borrowers for taxes and insurance	370,215	130,030
Federal Home Loan Bank Advances	32,000,000	29,000,000
REPO Sweep Accounts	4,847,231	6,172,362
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	1,530,196	1,728,735
Total liabilities	194,858,128	192,497,023
Stockholders' equity:		
Common stock (\$0.01 par value 20,000,000 shares authorized 3,191,799 shares issued)	31,918	31,918
Additional paid-in capital	23,851,341	23,822,152
Retained earnings	2,661,488	2,238,064
Treasury stock at cost (307,750 shares)	(2,963,918 )	(2,963,918 )
Unearned compensation	(556 )	(38,382 )
Accumulated other comprehensive income	485,835	146,107
Total stockholders' equity	24,066,108	23,235,941

Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$218,924,236	\$ 215,732,964
--	---------------	----------------

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

First Federal of Northern Michigan Bancorp, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Consolidated Statement of Income

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2011 (Unaudited)	2010 (Unaudited)	2011 (Unaudited)	2010 (Unaudited)
<b>Interest income:</b>				
Interest and fees on loans	\$2,317,197	\$2,552,986	\$4,590,517	\$5,093,399
<b>Interest and dividends on investments</b>				
Taxable	134,402	106,843	229,217	239,406
Tax-exempt	40,011	58,455	80,339	111,267
Interest on mortgage-backed securities	199,702	165,313	383,068	321,846
Total interest income	2,691,312	2,883,597	5,283,141	5,765,918
<b>Interest expense:</b>				
Interest on deposits	407,875	601,733	845,128	1,239,557
Interest on borrowings	172,681	298,657	340,755	617,239
Total interest expense	580,556	900,390	1,185,883	1,856,796
Net interest income	2,110,756	1,983,207	4,097,258	3,909,122
Provision for loan losses	(19,238 )	594,840	48,120	605,928
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	2,129,994	1,388,367	4,049,138	3,303,194
<b>Non-interest income:</b>				
Service charges and other fees	181,228	199,340	345,719	403,514
Mortgage banking activities	182,463	315,223	418,446	563,315
Gain on sale of investments	-	447,387	-	496,817
Net gain (loss) on sale of premises and equipment, real estate owned and other repossessed assets	(37,756 )	42,691	(46,431 )	53,867
Other	67,048	260,723	124,601	326,336
Total non-interest income	392,983	1,265,364	842,335	1,843,849
<b>Non-interest expense:</b>				
Compensation and employee benefits	1,159,252	1,194,299	2,328,188	2,365,241
FDIC Insurance Premiums	51,170	94,348	122,387	188,548
Advertising	33,817	36,103	56,838	55,992
Occupancy	267,652	288,237	537,694	600,813
Amortization of intangible assets	73,112	73,112	146,225	146,225
Service bureau charges	79,292	86,114	155,498	165,696
Professional services	133,570	149,091	221,147	252,202
Other	462,389	515,103	900,072	850,786
Total non-interest expense	2,260,254	2,436,407	4,468,049	4,625,503
Income before income tax benefit	262,723	217,324	423,424	521,540
Income tax benefit	-	(101,913 )	-	-
Net Income	\$262,723	\$319,237	\$423,424	\$521,540

Per share data:

Edgar Filing: First Federal of Northern Michigan Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Net income per share				
Basic	\$0.09	\$0.11	\$0.15	\$0.18
Diluted	\$0.09	\$0.11	\$0.15	\$0.18
Weighted average number of shares outstanding				
Basic and diluted	2,884,049	2,884,249	2,884,049	2,884,249
Dividends per common share	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.				



First Federal of Northern Michigan Bancorp Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Consolidated Statement of Changes in Stockholders' Equity (Unaudited)

	Common Stock	Treasury Stock	Additional Paid-in Capital	Unearned Compensation	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	Total
Balance at December 31, 2010	\$ 31,918	\$(2,963,918)	\$23,822,152	\$ (38,382 )	\$2,238,064	\$ 146,107	\$23,235,941
Treasury Stock at Cost	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stock-based compensation	-	-	29,189	37,826	-	-	67,015
Net income for the period	-	-	-	-	423,424	-	423,424
Change in unrealized gain: on available-for-sale securities (net of tax of \$175,011)	-	-	-	-	-	339,728	339,728
Total comprehensive income	-	-	-	-	-	-	763,152
Balance at June 30, 2011	\$ 31,918	\$(2,963,918)	\$23,851,341	\$ (556 )	\$2,661,488	\$ 485,835	\$24,066,108

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

First Federal of Northern Michigan Bancorp, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows

	For Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2011	2010
	(Unaudited)	
<b>Cash Flows from Operating Activities:</b>		
Net income	\$423,424	\$521,540
<b>Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash from operating activities:</b>		
Depreciation and amortization	360,115	410,565
Provision for loan loss	48,120	605,928
Amortization and accretion on securities	133,688	60,794
Gain on sale of investment securities	-	(496,817 )
Stock-based compensation	67,015	109,429
Gain on sale of loans held for sale	(162,421 )	(225,014 )
Originations of loans held for sale	(11,516,189)	(17,133,098)
Proceeds from sale of loans held for sale	11,127,997	16,639,206
Gain on sale of fixed assets	(990 )	(9,423 )
<b>Net change in</b>		
Accrued interest receivable	72,373	132,706
Other assets	568,753	867,161
Prepaid FDIC insurance premiums	114,253	179,338
Deferred income tax expense (benefit)	187,443	(84,193 )
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	(198,539 )	194,306
Net cash provided by operating activities	1,225,042	1,772,428
<b>Cash Flows from Investing Activities:</b>		
Net decrease in loans (loans originated, net of principal payments)	9,795,262	6,787,517
Proceeds from maturity and sale of available-for-sale securities	3,374,182	19,558,755
Proceeds from sale of property and equipment	1,480	30,874
Purchase of securities	(15,328,604)	(18,604,083)
Purchase of premises and equipment	(112,494 )	(11,086 )
Proceeds from sale of Federal Home Loan Bank Stock	509,300	-
Net cash (used for) provided by investing activities	(1,760,874 )	7,761,977
<b>Cash Flows from Financing Activities:</b>		
Net increase/(decrease) in deposits	644,590	(273,222 )
Net decrease in Repo Sweep accounts	(1,325,131 )	(162,167 )
Net increase in advances from borrowers	240,185	268,295
Advances from Federal Home Loan Bank and notes payable	7,350,000	11,925,000
Repayments of Federal Home Loan Bank advances and notes payable	(4,350,000 )	(18,955,927)
Net cash provided by (used for) financing activities	2,559,644	(7,198,021 )
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	2,023,812	2,336,384
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	1,962,657	3,099,058
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$3,986,469	\$5,435,442
<b>Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:</b>		

Cash paid during the period for

Interest	\$1,203,998	\$1,929,931
Income taxes	-	-
Transfers of loans to foreclosed real estate and repossessed assets	2,474,642	208,902

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

6

---

FIRST FEDERAL OF NORTHERN MICHIGAN BANCORP, INC.  
AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(Unaudited)

Note 1—BASIS OF FINANCIAL STATEMENT PRESENTATION

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and with the instructions to Form 10-Q. Accordingly, certain information and disclosures required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for complete financial statements are not included herein. The interim financial statements should be read in conjunction with the financial statements of First Federal of Northern Michigan Bancorp, Inc. and Subsidiaries and the notes thereto included in the Company's annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

All adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, which in the opinion of management are necessary for a fair presentation of financial position, results of operations and cash flows, have been made. The results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2011.

Note 2— PRINCIPLES OF CONSOLIDATION

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of First Federal of Northern Michigan Bancorp, Inc., First Federal of Northern Michigan, and the Bank's wholly owned subsidiaries, Financial Services & Mortgage Corporation ("FSMC") and FFNM Agency, Inc. FSMC invests in real estate, which includes leasing, selling, developing, and maintaining real estate properties. The main activity of FFNM Agency is to collect the stream of income associated with the sale of the Blue Cross/Blue Shield override to the Grotenhuis Group (as discussed further below). All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in the consolidation.

Note 3—SECURITIES

Investment securities have been classified according to management's intent. The carrying value and estimated fair value of securities are as follows:

	Amortized Cost	June 30, 2011		Market Value
		Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	
(in thousands)				
<b>Securities Available for Sale</b>				
U.S. Government and agency obligations	\$ 14,396	\$ 85	\$ -	14,481
Municipal obligations	7,976	288	-	8,264
Mortgage-backed securities	24,562	372	(8 )	24,926
Equity securities	2	-	(1 )	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 46,936</b>	<b>\$ 745</b>	<b>\$ (9 )</b>	<b>\$ 47,672</b>

Securities Held to Maturity

Municipal obligations	\$ 2,485	\$ 159	\$ (1 )	\$ 2,643
-----------------------	----------	--------	---------	----------

	December 31, 2010			Market Value
	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	
(in thousands)				
<b>Securities Available for Sale</b>				
U.S. Government and agency obligations	\$ 4,518	\$ 44	\$ -	4,562
Municipal obligations	4,875	171	-	5,046
Mortgage-backed securities	25,684	83	(75 )	25,692
Equity securities	3	-	(2 )	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 35,080</b>	<b>\$ 298</b>	<b>\$ (77 )</b>	<b>\$ 35,301</b>
<b>Securities Held to Maturity</b>				
Municipal obligations	\$ 2,520	\$ 90	\$ (15 )	\$ 2,595

The amortized cost and estimated market value of securities at June 30, 2011, by contract maturity, are shown below. Expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities because issuers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties. Securities with no specified maturity date are separately stated.

	June 30, 2011	
	Amortized Cost	Market Value
(in thousands)		
<b>Available For Sale:</b>		
Due in one year or less	\$ 1,557	\$ 1,561
Due after one year through five years	13,871	14,030
Due in five year through ten years	6,528	6,671
Due after ten years	416	483
<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>22,372</b>	<b>22,745</b>
Equity securities	2	1
Mortgage-backed securities	24,562	24,926
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 46,936</b>	<b>\$ 47,672</b>
<b>Held To Maturity:</b>		
Due in one year or less	\$ 90	\$ 91
Due after one year through five years	395	424
Due in five year through ten years	645	695
Due after ten years	1,355	1,433
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 2,485</b>	<b>\$ 2,643</b>

At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, securities with a carrying value of \$35,833,000 and \$34,981,000, respectively, were pledged to secure certain deposit accounts, FHLB advances and our line of credit at the Federal

Reserve.

Gross proceeds from the sale of securities for the six-months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 were \$0 and \$10,354,000, respectively, resulting in gross gains of \$0 and \$497,000, respectively and gross losses of \$0 and \$0, respectively.

8

---

The following is a summary of temporarily impaired investments that have been impaired for less than and more than twelve months as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

	June 30, 2011			
	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses <12 months (in thousands)	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses > 12 months
<b>Available For Sale:</b>				
U.S. Government and agency obligations	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Municipal obligations	-	-	-	-
Mortgage-backed securities	1,658	(8 )	-	-
Equity securities	-	-	2	(1 )
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 1,658</b>	<b>\$ (8 )</b>	<b>\$ 2</b>	<b>\$ (1 )</b>

<b>Held to Maturity:</b>				
Municipal obligations	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 29	\$ (1 )

	December 31, 2010			
	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses <12 months (in thousands)	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses > 12 months
<b>Available For Sale:</b>				
U.S. Government and agency obligations	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Municipal obligations	-	-	-	-
Mortgage-backed securities	12,626	(75 )	-	-
Equity securities	3	(2 )	-	-
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 12,629</b>	<b>\$ (77 )</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>

<b>Held to Maturity:</b>				
Municipal obligations	\$ 382	\$ (13 )	\$ 28	\$ (2 )

The unrealized losses on the securities held in the portfolio are not considered other than temporary and have not been recognized into income. This decision is based on the Company's ability and intent to hold any potentially impaired security until maturity. The performance of the security is based on the contractual terms of the agreement, the extent of the impairment and the financial condition and credit quality of the issuer. The decline in market value is considered temporary and a result of changes in interest rates and other market variables.





## Note 4—LOANS

The following table sets forth the composition of our loan portfolio by loan type at the dates indicated.

	At June 30, 2011	At December 31, 2010
	(in thousands)	
<b>Real estate loans:</b>		
Residential mortgage	\$ 65,060	\$ 71,697
<b>Commercial loans:</b>		
Secured by real estate	57,465	61,010
Other	8,208	8,848
Total commercial loans	65,673	69,858
<b>Consumer loans:</b>		
Secured by real estate	15,056	16,547
Other	1,474	2,118
Total consumer loans	16,530	18,665
Total gross loans	\$ 147,263	\$ 160,220
Less:		
Net deferred loan fees	(246 )	(245 )
Allowance for loan losses	(2,191 )	(2,831 )
Total loans, net	\$ 144,826	\$ 157,144

The following table illustrates the contractual aging of the recorded investment in past due loans by class of loans as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

	As of June 30, 2011				Total Current	Total Financing Receivables	Recorded Investment > 90 Days and Accruing
	30 - 59 Days Past Due	60 - 89 Days Past Due	Greater than 90 Days	Total Past Due			
<b>Commercial Real Estate:</b>							
Commercial Real Estate - construction	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 290	\$ 290	\$ 70	\$ 360	\$ -
Commercial Real Estate - other	447	-	514	961	56,144	57,105	-
Commercial - non real estate	-	-	-	-	8,208	8,208	-
<b>Consumer:</b>							
Consumer - Real Estate	272	112	158	542	14,514	15,056	-
Consumer - Other	17	13	1	31	1,443	1,474	1
<b>Residential:</b>							
Residential	2,935	1,191	2,771	6,897	58,163	65,060	340
Total	\$ 3,671	\$ 1,316	\$ 3,734	\$ 8,721	\$ 138,542	\$ 147,263	\$ 341

As of December 31, 2010

	30 - 59 Days Past Due	60 - 89 Days Past Due	Greater than 90 Days	Total Past Due	Current	Total Financing Receivables	Recorded Investment > 90 Days and Accruing
<b>Commercial Real Estate:</b>							
Commercial Real Estate - construction	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,772	\$ 1,772	\$ 1,498	\$ 3,270	\$ -
Commercial Real Estate - other	891	488	784	2,163	55,577	57,740	82
Commercial - non real estate	-	6	-	6	8,842	8,848	-
<b>Consumer:</b>							
Consumer - Real Estate	650	108	205	963	15,584	16,547	-
Consumer - Other	27	14	2	43	2,075	2,118	2
<b>Residential:</b>							
Residential	3,919	2,056	2,434	8,409	63,288	71,697	282
Total	\$ 5,487	\$ 2,672	\$ 5,197	\$ 13,356	\$ 146,864	\$ 160,220	\$ 366

The Bank uses an eight tier risk rating system to grade its commercial loans. The grade of a loan may change during the life of the loans. The risk ratings are described as follows:

**Risk Grade 1 (Excellent)** - Prime loans based on liquid collateral, with adequate margin or supported by strong financial statements. Probability of serious financial deterioration is unlikely. High liquidity, minimum risk, strong ratios, and low handling costs are common to these loans. This classification also includes all loans secured by certificates of deposit or cash equivalents.

**Risk Grade 2 (Good)** - Desirable loans of somewhat less stature than Grade 1, but with strong financial statements. Probability of serious financial deterioration is unlikely. These loans possess a sound repayment source (and/or a secondary source). These loans represent less than the normal degree of risk associated with the type of financing contemplated.

**Risk Grade 3 (Satisfactory)** - Satisfactory loans of average risk – may have some minor deficiency or vulnerability to changing economic conditions, but still fully collectible. There may be some minor weakness but with offsetting features or other support readily available. These loans present a normal degree of risk associated with the type of financing. Actual and projected indicators and market conditions provide satisfactory assurance that the credit shall perform in accordance with agreed terms.

**Risk Grade 4 (Acceptable)** - Loans considered satisfactory, but which are of slightly “below average” credit risk due to financial weaknesses or uncertainty. The loans warrant a somewhat higher than average level of monitoring to insure that weaknesses do not advance. The level of risk is considered acceptable and within normal underwriting guidelines, so long as the loan is given the proper level of management supervision.

**Risk Grade 4.5 (Monitored)** - Loans are considered “below average” and monitored more closely due to some credit deficiency that poses additional risk but is not considered adverse to the point of being a “classified” credit. Possible reasons for additional monitoring may include characteristics such as temporary negative debt service coverage due to weak economic conditions, borrower may have experienced recent losses from operations, declining equity and/or increasing leverage, or marginal liquidity that may affect long-term sustainability. Loans of this grade have a higher degree of risk and warrant close monitoring to insure against further deterioration.

In any tables presented subsequently, Risk Grade 4.5 credits are included with Risk Grade 4 credits.

**Risk Grade 5 (Other Assets Especially Mentioned) (OAEM)** - Loans which possess some credit deficiency or potential weakness, which deserve close attention, but which do not yet warrant substandard classification. Such loans pose unwarranted financial risk that, if not corrected, could weaken the loan and increase risk in the future.

**Risk Grade 6 (Substandard)** - Loans are “substandard” whose full, final collectability does not appear to be a matter of serious doubt, but which nevertheless portray some form of well defined weakness that requires close supervision by Bank management. The noted weaknesses involve more than normal banking risk. One or more of the following characteristics may be exhibited in loans classified Substandard: (1) Loans possess a defined credit weakness and the likelihood that the loan shall be paid from the primary source of repayment is uncertain; (2) Loans are not adequately protected by the current net worth and/or paying capacity of the obligor; (3) primary source of repayment is gone, and the Bank is forced to rely on a secondary source of repayment such as collateral liquidation or guarantees; (4) distinct possibility that the Bank shall sustain some loss if deficiencies are not corrected; (5) unusual courses of action are needed to maintain a high probability of repayment; (6) the borrower is not generating enough cash flow to repay loan principal, however, continues to make interest payments; (7) the Bank is forced into a subordinated or unsecured position due to flaws in documentation; (8) loans have been restructured so that payment schedules, terms, and collateral represent concessions to the borrower when compared to normal loan terms; (9) the Bank is contemplating foreclosure or legal action due to the apparent deterioration in the loan; or (10) there is a significant deterioration in

the market conditions and the borrower is highly vulnerable to these conditions.

Grade 7 (Doubtful) - Loans have all the weaknesses of those classified Substandard. Additionally, however, these weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full, based on existing conditions, improbable. Loans in this category are typically not performing in conformance with established terms and conditions. Full repayment is considered "Doubtful", but extent of loss is not currently determinable.

Risk Grade 8 (Loss) - Loans are considered uncollectible and of such little value, that continuing to carry them as an asset on the Bank's financial statements is not feasible.

The following table presents the risk category of loans by class of loans based on the most recent analysis performed and the contractual aging as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

Loan Grade	As of June 30, 2011		
	Commercial Real Estate Construction	Commercial Real Estate Other	Commercial
1-2	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2
3	70	13,169	2,793
4	-	30,362	5,049
5	-	5,179	364
6	290	8,395	-
7	-	-	-
8	-	-	-
Total	\$ 360	\$ 57,105	\$ 8,208

Loan Grade	As of December 31, 2010		
	Commercial Real Estate Construction	Commercial Real Estate Other	Commercial
1-2	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 5
3	70	12,411	2,958
4	1,428	33,754	5,631
5	-	3,245	248
6	1,772	8,330	6
7	-	-	-
8	-	-	-
Total	\$ 3,270	\$ 57,740	\$ 8,848

For residential real estate and other consumer credit the Company also evaluates credit quality based on the aging status of the loan and by payment activity. Loans 60 or more days past due are monitored by the collection committee.

The following tables present the risk category of loans by class based on the most recent analysis performed as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

As of June 30, 2011

Residential

As of December 31, 2010

Residential

Grade		Grade	
Pass	\$ 61,774	Pass	\$ 68,301
Special Mention	-	Special Mention	-
Substandard	3,286	Substandard	3,396
Total	\$ 65,060	Total	\$ 71,697

As of June 30, 2011

	Consumer - Real Estate	Consumer - Other
Performing	\$ 14,880	\$ 1,468
Nonperforming	176	7
Total	\$ 15,056	\$ 1,475

As of December 31, 2010

	Consumer - Real Estate	Consumer - Other
Performing	\$ 16,341	\$ 2,116
Nonperforming	206	2
Total	\$ 16,547	\$ 2,118

The following table presents the recorded investment in non-accrual loans by class as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

	As of June 30, 2011
<b>Commercial Real Estate:</b>	
Commercial Real Estate - construction	\$ 290
Commercial Real Estate - other	514
Commercial	-
<b>Consumer:</b>	
Consumer - real estate	176
Consumer - other	6
<b>Residential:</b>	
Residential	2,946
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 3,932</b>

	As of December 31, 2010
<b>Commercial Real Estate:</b>	
Commercial Real Estate - construction	\$ 1,772
Commercial Real Estate - other	1,148
Commercial	-
<b>Consumer:</b>	
Consumer - real estate	206
Consumer - other	-



[REDACTED]	
Residential:	
Residential	3,114
Total	\$ 6,240

The key features of the Company's loan modifications are determined on a loan-by-loan basis. Generally, our restructurings have related to interest rate reductions and loan term extensions. In the past the Company has granted reductions in interest rates, payment extensions and short-term payment forbearances as a means to maximize collectability of troubled credits. The Company has not forgiven principal to date, although this would be considered if necessary to ensure the long-term collectability of the loan. The Company's loan modifications are typically short-term in nature, although the Company would consider a long-term modification to ensure the long-term collectability of the credit. In general, a borrower must make at least six consecutive timely payments before the Company would consider a return of a restructured loan to accruing status in accordance with Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation guidelines regarding restoration of credits to accrual status.

The Bank has classified approximately \$1,415,000 of its impaired loans as troubled debt restructurings as of June 30, 2011, as noted in the table below:

As of June 30, 2011

	Number of Contracts	Pre-Modification Outstanding Recorded Investments	Post-Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment
<b>Troubled Debt Restructurings</b>			
Commercial Real Estate - Construction	-	-	-
Commercial Real Estate - Other	3	1,488	1,415
Commercial - non real estate	-	-	-
Residential	-	-	-

	Number of Contracts	Recorded Investment
<b>Troubled Debt Restructurings That Subsequently Defaulted</b>		
Commercial Real Estate - Construction	-	-
Commercial Real Estate - Other	-	-
Commercial - non real estate	-	-
Residential	-	-

For the majority of the Bank's impaired loans, the Bank will apply the observable market price methodology. However, the Bank may also utilize a measurement incorporating the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective rate of interest. To determine observable market price, collateral asset values securing an impaired loan are periodically evaluated. Maximum time of re-evaluation is every 12 months. In this process, third party evaluations are obtained and heavily relied upon. Until such time that updated evaluations are received, the Bank may discount the collateral value used.

The Bank uses the following guidelines as stated in policy to determine when to realize a charge-off, whether a partial or full loan balance. A charge down in whole or in part is realized when unsecured consumer loans, credit card credits and overdraft lines of credit reach 90 days delinquency. At 120 days delinquency, secured consumer loans are charged down to the value of collateral, if repossession of the collateral is assured and/or in the process of repossession.

Consumer mortgage loan deficiencies are charged down upon the sale of the collateral or sooner upon the recognition of collateral deficiency. Commercial credits are charged down at 90 days delinquency, unless an established and approved work-out plan is in place or litigation of the credit will likely result in recovery of the loan balance. Upon notification of bankruptcy, unsecured debt is charged off. Additional charge-offs may be realized as further unsecured positions are recognized.

The following table presents loans individually evaluated for impairment by class of loans as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

As of June 30, 2011					
	Unpaid Principal Balance	Recorded Investment	Related Allowance	Average Recorded Investment	Interest Income Recognized
<b>With no related allowance recorded:</b>					
Commercial	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Commercial Real Estate - Construction	-	-	-	-	-
Commercial Real Estate - Other	60	60	-	60	-
Consumer - Real Estate	68	68	-	70	-
Consumer - Other	6	6	-	7	-
Residential	2,527	2,451	-	2,460	-
<b>With a specific allowance recorded:</b>					
Commercial	-	-	-	-	-
Commercial Real Estate - Construction	290	290	150	290	-
Commercial Real Estate - Other	1,236	1,236	245	1,239	-
Consumer - Real Estate	109	108	20	108	-
Consumer - Other	-	-	-	-	-
Residential	495	495	129	494	-
<b>Totals:</b>					
Commercial	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Commercial Real Estate - Construction	\$ 290	\$ 290	\$ 150	\$ 290	\$ -
Commercial Real Estate - Other	\$ 1,296	\$ 1,296	\$ 245	\$ 1,299	\$ -
Consumer - Real Estate	\$ 177	\$ 176	\$ 20	\$ 178	\$ -
Consumer - Other	\$ 6	\$ 6	\$ -	\$ 7	\$ -
Residential	\$ 3,022	\$ 2,946	\$ 129	\$ 2,954	\$ -

## As of December 31, 2010

	Unpaid Principal Balance	Recorded Investment	Related Allowance	Average Recorded Investment	Interest Income Recognized
<b>With no related allowance recorded:</b>					
Commercial	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	-	-	-	-	-

Commercial Real Estate - Construction					
Commercial Real Estate - Other	822	674	-	667	-
Consumer - Real Estate	124	123	-	193	-
Consumer - Other	-	-	-	-	-
Residential	1,842	1,770	-	1,803	-
With a specific allowance recorded:					
Commercial	-	-	-	-	-
Commercial Real Estate - Construction	3,449	1,772	305	1,805	-
Commercial Real Estate - Other	586	474	89	1,132	-
Consumer - Real Estate	83	83	25	14	-
Consumer - Other	-	-	-	-	-
Residential	1,416	1,344	165	1,330	-
Totals:					
Commercial	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Commercial Real Estate - Construction	\$ 3,449	\$ 1,772	\$ 305	\$ 1,805	\$ -
Commercial Real Estate - Other	\$ 1,408	\$ 1,148	\$ 89	\$ 1,132	\$ -
Consumer - Real Estate	\$ 207	\$ 206	\$ 25	\$ 207	\$ -
Consumer - Other	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Residential	\$ 3,258	\$ 3,114	\$ 165	\$ 3,133	\$ -

The ALLL has a direct impact on the provision expense. An increase in the ALLL is funded through recoveries and provision expense.

Activity in the allowance for loan and lease losses was as follows for the quarter and year ended June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively:

For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011

	Commercial Construction	Commercial Real Estate	Commercial	Consumer Real Estate	Consumer	Residential	Unallocated	Total
<b>Allowance for credit losses:</b>								
Beginning Balance	\$ 535	\$ 1,281	\$ 192	\$ 228	\$ 59	\$ 536	\$ -	\$ 2,831
Charge-offs	(94 )	(119 )	(6 )	(83 )	(18 )	(404 )	-	\$(724 )
Recoveries	-	3	-	23	7	3	-	\$36
Provision	(277 )	(103 )	(34 )	50	(26 )	438	-	\$48
Ending Balance	\$ 164	\$ 1,062	\$ 152	\$ 218	\$ 22	\$ 573	\$ -	\$ 2,191
<b>Ending balance:</b>								
individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 150	\$ 245	\$ -	\$ 20	\$ -	\$ 129	\$ -	\$ 544
<b>Ending balance: loans collectively evaluated for impairment</b>								
	\$ 14	\$ 817	\$ 152	\$ 198	\$ 22	\$ 444	\$ -	\$ 1,647
<b>Ending balance: loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality</b>								
	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
<b>Financing Receivables:</b>								
Ending Balance	\$ 360	\$ 57,105	\$ 8,208	\$ 15,056	\$ 1,474	\$ 65,060	\$ -	\$ 147,263
<b>Ending balance:</b>								
individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 290	\$ 1,296	\$ -	\$ 176	\$ 6	\$ 2,946	\$ -	\$ 4,714
<b>Ending balance: loans collectively evaluated for impairment</b>								
	\$ 70	\$ 55,809	\$ 8,208	\$ 14,880	\$ 1,468	\$ 62,114	\$ -	\$ 142,549
<b>Ending balance: loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality</b>								
	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

For the Year Ended December 31, 2010

	Commercial Construction	Commercial Real Estate	Commercial	Consumer Real Estate	Consumer	Residential	Unallocated	Total
--	----------------------------	---------------------------	------------	-------------------------	----------	-------------	-------------	-------

Allowance for credit losses:								
Beginning Balance	\$ 997	\$ 1,513	\$ 245	\$ 211	\$ 45	\$ 649	\$ -	\$3,660
Charge-offs	(1,013 )	(512 )	-	(220 )	(99 )	(258 )	-	(2,102 )
Recoveries	60	85	-	14	11	2	-	172
Provision	491	195	(53 )	223	102	143	-	1,101
Ending Balance	\$ 535	\$ 1,281	\$ 192	\$ 228	\$ 59	\$ 536	\$ -	\$2,831
Ending balance:								
individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 305	\$ 89	\$ -	\$ 25	\$ -	\$ 165	\$ -	\$584
Ending balance: loans collectively evaluated for impairment								
	\$ 230	\$ 1,192	\$ 192	\$ 203	\$ 59	\$ 371	\$ -	\$2,247
Ending balance: loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality								
	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$-
Financing Receivables:								
Ending Balance	\$ 3,270	\$ 57,740	\$ 8,848	\$ 16,547	\$ 2,118	\$ 71,697	\$ -	\$160,220
Ending balance:								
individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 1,772	\$ 1,148	\$ -	\$ 206	\$ -	\$ 3,114	\$ -	\$6,240
Ending balance: loans collectively evaluated for impairment								
	\$ 1,498	\$ 56,592	\$ 8,848	\$ 16,341	\$ 2,118	\$ 68,583	\$ -	\$153,980
Ending balance: loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality								
	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$-

## Note 5—DIVIDENDS

We suspended our quarterly dividend effective for the quarter ended December 31, 2008. We are dependent primarily upon the Bank for earnings and funds to pay dividends on common stock. The payment of dividends also is subject to legal and regulatory restrictions. Any reinstatement of dividends in the future will depend, in large part, on the Bank's earnings, capital requirements, financial condition and other factors considered by the Board of Directors.

## Note 6—STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

Effective January 1, 2006, the Company adopted Statement of FASB ASC 718-10 “Shareholder Based Payments”, which requires that the grant-date fair value of awarded stock options be expensed over the requisite service period. The Company’s 1996 Stock Option Plan (the “1996 Plan”), which was approved by shareholders, permits the grant of share options to its employees for up to 127,491 shares of common stock (adjusted for the exchange ratio applied in the Company’s 2005 stock offering and related second-step conversion). The Company’s 2006 Stock-Based Incentive Plan (the “2006 Plan”), which was approved by the shareholders on May 17, 2006, permits the award of up to 242,740 shares of common stock of which the maximum number to be granted as Stock Options is 173,386 and the maximum that can be granted as Restricted Stock Awards is 69,354. Option awards are granted with an exercise price equal to the market price of the Company’s stock at the date of grant; those option awards generally vest based on five years of continual service and have ten year contractual terms. Certain options provide for accelerated vesting if there is a change in control (as defined in the Plans).

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, no shares were awarded under the Recognition and Retention Plan (“RRP”). Shares issued under the RRP and exercised pursuant to the exercise of the stock option plan may be either authorized but unissued shares or reacquired shares held by the Company as treasury stock.

Stock Options - A summary of option activity under the Plans during the six months ended June 30, 2011 is presented below:

Options	Shares	Weighted-Average Exercise Price	Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Term (Years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Outstanding at January 1, 2011	186,132	\$ 9.47		
Granted	0	N/A		
Exercised	0	N/A		
Forfeited or expired	0	N/A		
Oustanding at June 30, 2011	186,132	\$ 9.47	4.81	\$ 0
Options Exercisable at June 30, 2011	184,652	\$ 9.48	4.75	\$ 0

The aggregate intrinsic value of outstanding options shown in the table above represents the total pretax intrinsic value (i.e. the difference between the Company’s closing stock price of \$3.75 on June 30, 2011 and the exercise price times the number of shares) that would have been received by the option holder had all option holders exercised their options on June 30, 2011. This amount changes based on the fair market value of the stock.





As of June 30, 2011 there was \$2,000 of total unrecognized compensation cost, net of expected forfeitures, related to nonvested options under the Plans. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.0 years. The total fair value of options vested during the six months ended June 30, 2011 was \$135,992.

A summary of the status of the Company's nonvested options as of June 30, 2011, and changes during the six months ended June 30, 2011, is presented below:

Nonvested Shares	Shares	Weighted-Average Grant-Date Fair Value
Nonvested at January 1, 2011	37,358	\$ 2.10
Granted	0	N/A
Vested	(35,878 )	\$ 2.12
Forfeited	0	N/A
Nonvested at June 30, 2011	1,480	\$ 2.15

Restricted Stock Awards - As of June 30, 2011 there was \$1,000 of unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested restricted stock awards under the Plans. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.0 years.

#### Note 7 – COMMITMENTS TO EXTEND CREDIT

The Company is a party to credit-related financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its customers. These financial instruments include commitments to extend credit, stand-by letters of credit, and commercial lines of credit. Such commitments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit and interest rate risk in excess of the amounts recognized in the consolidated balance sheet. The Company's exposure to credit loss is represented by the contracted amount of these commitments. The Company follows the same credit policies in making commitments as it does for on-balance sheet instruments.

At June 30, 2011, the Company had outstanding commitments to originate loans of \$18.4 million. These commitments included \$5.4 million for permanent one-to-four family dwellings, \$2.2 million for non-residential loans, \$550,000 of undisbursed loan proceeds for construction of one-to-four family dwellings, \$4.1 million of undisbursed lines of credit on home equity loans, \$1.0 million of unused credit card lines, \$3.3 million of unused commercial lines of credit, \$170,000 of undisbursed loans for commercial construction, \$5,000 of unused letters of credit and \$1.7 million in unused Overdraft Protection.

#### Note 8-FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

ASC 820-10 – Fair Value Measurements. The following tables present information about the Company's assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis at June 30, 2011, and the valuation techniques used by the Company to determine those fair values.

In general, fair values determined by Level 1 inputs use quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the Company has the ability to access.

Fair values determined by Level 2 inputs use other inputs that are observable, either directly or indirectly. These Level 2 inputs include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, and other inputs such as interest rates and yield curves that are observable at commonly quoted intervals.

Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs, including inputs that are available in situations where there is little, if any, market activity for the related asset or liability.

In instances where inputs used to measure fair value fall into different levels in the above fair value hierarchy, fair value measurements in their entirety are categorized based on the lowest level input that is significant to the valuation. The Company's assessment of the significance of particular inputs to these fair value measurements requires judgment and considers factors specific to each asset or liability.

Disclosures concerning assets and liabilities measured at fair value are as follows:

Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis at June 30, 2011  
(Dollars in Thousands)

	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Balance at June 30, 2011
<b>Assets</b>				
Investment securities- available-for-sale:				
US Government & agency obligations	\$ -	\$ 14,481	\$ -	\$ 14,481
Municipal obligations	-	8,264	-	8,264
Mortgage-backed securities	-	24,926	-	24,926
Equity securities	-	1	-	1
Total investment securities - available-for-sale	\$ -	\$ 47,672	\$ -	\$ 47,672
<b>Liabilities</b>				
None				

Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis at December 31, 2010  
(Dollars in Thousands)

	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Balance at December 31, 2010
<b>Assets</b>				
Investment securities- available-for-sale:				
US Government & agency obligations	\$ -	\$ 4,562	\$ -	\$ 4,562
Municipal obligations	-	5,046	-	5,046
Mortgage-backed securities	-	25,692	-	25,692
Equity securities	-	1	-	1
Total investment securities - available-for-sale	\$ -	\$ 35,301	\$ -	\$ 35,301

Liabilities

None

The Company also has assets that under certain conditions are subject to measurement at fair value on a non-recurring basis. These assets include non-homogenous loans that are considered impaired and real estate owned. For impaired loans accounted for under ASC 310-10, the Company has estimated the fair value using Level 3 inputs using discounted cash flow projections. Other Real Estate Owned consists of property received in full or partial satisfaction of a receivable. The Company utilizes independent appraisals or broker price opinions to estimate the fair value of these properties.

## Assets Measured at Fair Value on a Nonrecurring Basis at June 30, 2011

	Balance at June 30, 2011	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Change in fair value for the six-month period ended June 30, 2011
Impaired loans accounted for under ASC 310-10	\$ 1,586	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,586	\$ 211
Other real estate owned -residential mortgages	\$ 767	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 767	\$ 12
Other Real estate owned - commercial	\$ 2,545	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,545	\$ 65
Other Repossessed Assets	\$ 1,313	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,313	\$ -
Total change in fair value					\$ 288

## Assets Measured at Fair Value on a Nonrecurring Basis at December 31, 2010

	Balance at December 31, 2010	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Change in fair value for the twelve-month period ended December 31, 2010
Impaired loans accounted for under ASC 310-10	\$ 2,920	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,920	\$ 878
Other real estate owned -residential mortgages	\$ 514	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 514	\$ 68
Other Real estate owned - commercial	\$ 2,569	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,569	\$ 830
Total change in fair value					\$ 1,776

Impaired Loans. The Company does not record loans at fair value on a recurring basis. However, on occasion, a loan is considered impaired and an allowance for loan loss is established. A loan is considered impaired when it is probable that all of the principal and interest due under the original terms of the loan may not be collected. Once a loan is identified as individually impaired, management measures impairment in accordance with ASC 310-10, Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan. The fair value of impaired loans is estimated using one of several methods, including collateral value, market value of similar debt, enterprise value, liquidation value and discounted cash flows. Those impaired loans not requiring an allowance represent loans for which the fair value of the expected repayments or collateral exceed the recorded investments in such loans. In accordance with ASC 820-10, impaired loans where an allowance is established based on the fair value of collateral require classification in the fair value hierarchy. When

the fair value of the collateral is based on an observable market price or a current appraised value, the Company records the impaired loan as nonrecurring Level 2. When an appraised value is not available or management determines the fair value of the collateral is further impaired below the appraised value and there is no observable market price, the Company records the impaired loan as nonrecurring Level 3.

The Company recognizes a collateral dependent loan as non-performing and impaired when the loan becomes 90 or more days delinquent (or sooner depending on the facts and circumstances of the loan). At the time the collateral-dependent loan is determined to be impaired, the Company orders third party appraisals to assist in the determination of the fair value of the underlying collateral supporting the credit. At least annually, the Company obtains updated third-party valuations on impaired loans which are deemed to be collateral-dependent to ensure additional impairment does not exist or, if impairment does exist, that it has been recorded in the proper reporting period.

The Company records a provision for loan loss (specific reserve) on a collateral-dependent impaired loan at the time the third-party appraisal is received and analyzed if the fair market value is determined to be less than the carrying value of the loan as of the date of the appraisal. The timing of an actual charge-off depends on the facts and circumstances of the loan. Generally, the institution recognizes a charge-off on collateral-dependent loans when appraisals are obtained and analyzed by the credit department and the fair value is determined to be less than the carrying value of the credit. Depending on the facts and circumstances of the loan, the actual charge-off may be delayed until all information is received and analyzed pertaining to the individual credit. There have been no significant time lapses during this process.

**Other Real Estate Owned:** At the time of acquisition, other real estate owned is recorded at fair value, less estimated costs to sell, which becomes the property's new basis. Subsequent write-downs to reflect declines in value since the time of acquisition may occur from time to time and are recorded in other expense in the consolidated statements of operations. The fair value of the property used at and subsequent to the time of acquisition is typically determined by a third party appraisal of the property (nonrecurring Level 3).

**Financial Instruments:** The fair value of a financial instrument is the current amount that would be exchanged between willing parties, other than in a forced liquidation. Fair value is best determined based on quoted market prices. However, in many instances, there are no quoted market prices for the Company's various financial instruments. In cases where quoted market prices are not available, fair values are based on estimates using present value or other valuation techniques. Those techniques are significantly affected by the assumptions used, including the discount rate and estimates of future cash flows. Accordingly, the fair value estimates may not be realized in an immediate settlement of the instrument. Certain financial instruments and all nonfinancial instruments are excluded from disclosure. Accordingly, the aggregate fair value amounts presented may not necessarily represent the underlying fair value of the Company. The following methods and assumptions were used by the Company in estimating fair value disclosures for financial instruments:

- **Cash and Cash Equivalents:** The carrying amounts of cash and short-term instruments approximate fair values.
- **Investment Securities Available for Sale:** Fair values for securities, excluding Federal Home Loan Bank stock, are based on quoted market prices. The carrying value of Federal Home Loan Bank stock approximates fair value based on the redemption provisions of the Federal Home Loan Bank.
- **Investment Securities Held to Maturity:** The Company does not record investment securities held to maturity at fair value on a recurring basis. Therefore, when certain securities held to maturity were measured at fair value as discussed below, the Company's municipal bonds classified as held to maturity are fair valued using a discount rate adjustment technique utilizing an imputed discount rate between current market interest rate spreads and market interest rate spreads at the approximate last date an active market existed for these securities. Relevant inputs to the model include market spread data in consideration of credit characteristics, collateral type, credit rating and other relevant features. Where quoted prices are not available, fair values are measured using independent matrix pricing models, or other model-based valuation techniques such as the present value of future cash flows, requiring adjustments for factors such as prepayment speeds, liquidity risk, default rates, credit loss and the security's credit



rating. In instances where market action is inactive or inputs to the valuation are more opaque, securities are classified as nonrecurring Level 3 within the valuation hierarchy. Therefore, when management determines the fair value of an impaired held to maturity security through utilization of this type of model, the Company records the impaired security as nonrecurring Level 3.

- **Loans Held for Sale:** Fair values of mortgage loans held for sale are based on commitments on hand from investors or prevailing market prices.
- **Loans Receivable:** For variable-rate loans that reprice frequently and with no significant change in credit risk, fair values are based on carrying values. Fair values for certain mortgage loans (e.g., one- to four-family residential), credit card loans, and other consumer loans are based on quoted market prices of similar loans sold in conjunction with securitization transactions, adjusted for differences in loan characteristics. Fair values for other loans (e.g., commercial real estate and investment property mortgage loans, commercial, and industrial loans) are estimated using discounted cash flow analyses, using interest rates currently being offered for loans with similar terms to borrowers of similar credit quality. Fair values for nonperforming loans are estimated using discounted cash flow analyses or underlying collateral values, where applicable.
- **Deposit Liabilities:** The fair values disclosed for demand deposits (e.g., interest and noninterest checking, statement savings, and certain types of money market accounts) are, by definition, equal to the amount payable on demand at the reporting date (i.e., their carrying amounts). The carrying amounts of variable-rate accounts and certificates of deposit approximate their fair values at the reporting date. Fair values for fixed-rate certificates of deposit are estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that applies interest rates currently being offered on certificates to a schedule of aggregated expected monthly maturities on time deposits.
- **REPO Sweep Accounts:** The fair values disclosed for REPO Sweeps are equal to the amount payable on demand at the reporting date (i.e., their carrying amounts).
- **Long-term Borrowings:** The fair values of the Company's long-term borrowings are estimated using discounted cash flow analyses based on the Company's current incremental borrowing rates for similar types of borrowing arrangements.
  - **Accrued Interest:** The carrying amounts of accrued interest approximate fair value.

The estimated fair values and related carrying or notional amounts of the Company's financial instruments are as follows:

	June 30, 2011		December 31, 2010	
	Carrying Amounts	Estimated Fair Value	Carrying Amounts	Estimated Fair Value
<b>Financial assets:</b>				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 3,986	\$ 3,986	\$ 1,963	\$ 1,963
Securities available for sale	47,672	47,672	35,301	35,301
Securities held to maturity	2,485	2,643	2,520	2,595
Loans and loans held for sale - Net	145,377	145,942	157,144	157,704
Federal Home Loan Bank stock	3,266	3,266	3,775	3,775
Accrued interest receivable	1,159	1,159	1,231	1,231
<b>Financial liabilities:</b>				
Customer deposits	156,110	157,178	155,466	157,463
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	32,000	32,562	29,000	29,657
REPO sweep accounts	4,847	4,847	6,172	6,172
Accrued interest payable	176	176	194	194

#### Note 9 – RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS.

In July 2010, FASB issued ASU No. 2010-20 “Disclosures about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses”. The standard requires the Company to expand disclosures about the credit quality of our loans and the related reserves against them. The additional disclosures will include details on our past due loans and credit quality indicators. For public entities, ASU 2010-20 disclosures of period-end balances are effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending on or after December 15, 2010 and are included in Note 3 of the financial statements. Disclosures related to activity that occurs during the reporting period are required for interim and annual reporting periods beginning on or after December 15, 2010. The Company has adopted the disclosures related to the activity that occurred during the reporting period beginning with our June 30, 2011 consolidated financial statements.

In April 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-02, “A Creditor’s Determination of Whether a Restructuring Is a Troubled Debt Restructuring”, which provides additional guidance to help creditors in determining whether a creditor has granted a concession and whether a debtor is experiencing financial difficulties for purposes of determining whether a restructuring constitutes a troubled debt restructuring. The amendments in this update are effective for the Corporation beginning in the quarter ended September 30, 2011 and are to be applied retrospectively to January 1, 2011. In addition, the modification disclosures described in ASU 2010-20, which were subsequently deferred by ASU 2011-01, Deferral of the Effective Date of Disclosures about Troubled Debt Restructurings, will be effective on a prospective basis beginning in the quarter ended September 30, 2011. The Company has early adopted the disclosures related to troubled debt restructurings effective with the quarter ended June 30, 2011.

The FASB has issued ASU 2011-04, Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820): “Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs”. This ASU represents the converged guidance of the FASB and the IASB (the Boards) on fair value measurement. The collective efforts of the Boards and their staffs, reflected in ASU 2011-04, have resulted in common requirements for measuring fair value and for disclosing information about fair value measurements, including a consistent meaning of the term “fair value.” The Boards have concluded the common requirements will result in greater comparability of fair value measurements presented and disclosed in financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP and IFRSs. The amendments

to the Codification in this ASU are to be applied prospectively. For public entities, the amendments are effective during interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. Early application by public entities is not permitted. The impact of adoption of this ASU is not expected to be material.

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-05, "Presentation of Comprehensive Income", which provides entities with the option to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. In both choices, an entity is required to present each component of net income along with total net income, each component of other comprehensive income, along with a total for other comprehensive income, and a total amount for comprehensive income. Regardless of whether an entity chooses to present comprehensive income in a single continuous statement or in two separate but consecutive statements, the entity is required to present on the face of the financial statements reclassification adjustments for items that are reclassified from other comprehensive income to net income in the statement(s) where the components of net income and the components of other comprehensive income are presented. This update should be applied retrospectively effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011. The adoption of this ASU will not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

FIRST FEDERAL OF NORTHERN MICHIGAN BANCORP, INC.  
AND SUBSIDIARIES

PART - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 2 - MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF  
FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion compares the consolidated financial condition of the Company at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, and the results of operations for the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010. This discussion should be read in conjunction with the interim financial statements and footnotes included herein.

OVERVIEW

The Company currently operates as a community-oriented financial institution that accepts deposits from the general public in the communities surrounding its 8 full-service banking centers. The deposited funds, together with funds generated from operations and borrowings, are used by the Company to originate loans. The Company's principal lending activity is the origination of mortgage loans for the purchase or refinancing of one-to-four family residential properties. The Company also originates commercial and multi-family real estate loans, construction loans, commercial loans, automobile loans, home equity loans and lines of credit, and a variety of other consumer loans.

For the quarter ended June 30, 2011, the Company reported net income of \$263,000, or \$0.09 per basic and diluted share, compared to \$319,000, or \$0.11 per basic and diluted share, for the year earlier period, a decrease of \$93,000. Net income was \$423,000, or \$0.15 per share, for the six months ended June 30, 2011 as compared to \$522,000, or \$0.18 per share, for the same period ended June 30, 2010.

Total assets increased by \$3.2 million, or 1.5%, from \$215.7 million as of December 31, 2010 to \$218.9 million as of June 30, 2011. Cash and cash equivalents increased by \$2.0 million while investment securities available for sale increased by \$12.4 million and net loans receivable decreased \$12.3 million during this time period. Total deposits increased \$645,000 from December 31, 2010 to June 30, 2011, Federal Home Loan Bank advances increased by \$3.0 million, REPO sweep accounts decreased by \$1.3 million, and equity increased by \$830,000.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

As of June 30, 2011, there have been no changes in the critical accounting policies as disclosed in the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. The Company's critical accounting policies are described in the Management's Discussion and Analysis and financial sections of its 2010 Annual Report. Management believes its critical accounting policies relate to the Company's allowance for loan losses, mortgage servicing rights, valuation of deferred tax assets and impairment of intangible assets.

COMPARISON OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AT JUNE 30, 2011 AND DECEMBER 31, 2010

**ASSETS:** Total assets increased \$3.2 million, or 1.5%, to \$218.9 million at June 30, 2011 from \$215.7 million at December 31, 2010. Investment securities available for sale increased \$12.4 million, or 35.0%, from December 31, 2010 to June 30, 2011 due primarily to the purchase of GNMA and municipal securities as opportunities arose and as we received funds from loan pay-offs. Net loans receivable decreased \$12.3 million, or 7.8% to \$144.8 million at June 30, 2010 from \$157.1 million at December 31, 2010. The decrease in net loans was attributable primarily to the continued effect of adjustable-rate or balloon mortgage loans that have paid off or been refinanced and sold into the secondary market, a large purchased mortgage which paid off during the six-month period, consumer loan balances

that have declined due to normal pay-downs, pay-off of an out-of-state commercial loan participation, and in general, limited originations of loans to be held in the Company's portfolio.

**LIABILITIES:** Deposits increased by \$645,000 to \$156.1 million at June 30, 2011 from \$155.5 million at December 31, 2010. However, the composition of our deposits changed during the six-month period. Our certificate of deposit product decreased by \$6.8 million as, in general, we were not the market leader in rates on this product during this time period. The decrease in our certificate of deposit products was partially offset by increases of \$447,000 in liquid certificate of deposit accounts (from which customers can take a penalty-free withdrawal with seven days advance written notice), \$687,000 in statement savings accounts, \$1.4 million in non-interest bearing demand deposit accounts and \$2.7 million in NOW demand deposit accounts. Total FHLB advances increased \$3.0 million to \$32.0 million at June 30, 2011 from \$29.0 million at December 31, 2010 as we leveraged to grow our balance sheet.

**EQUITY:** Stockholders' equity increased \$830,000 to \$24.1 million at June 30, 2011 from \$23.2 million at December 31, 2010. The increase was due primarily to net income for the six-month period of \$423,000 and an increase of \$340,000 in the unrealized gain on available-for-sale securities.

## RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

### Three Months Ended June 30, 2011 Compared to Three Months Ended June 30, 2010

**General:** Net income decreased by \$57,000 to \$263,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2011 from \$319,000 for the same period ended June 30, 2010.

**Interest Income:** Interest income decreased to \$2.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011 from \$2.9 million for the year earlier period, due to two factors: a decrease of \$10.8 million in the average balance of interest-earning assets to \$201.8 million for the three month period ended June 30, 2011 from \$212.6 million for the three month period ended June 30, 2010 and a decrease of 9 basis points in the average yield on these assets period over period.

**Interest Expense:** Interest expense decreased to \$581,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2011 from \$900,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2010. The decrease in interest expense for the three month period was due primarily to a decrease in our cost of funds related to certificates of deposit and FHLB advances. The average cost of our certificates of deposit decreased from 2.31% for the three months ended June 30, 2010 to 1.76% for the three months ended June 30, 2011 as higher costing deposits matured and either left the Bank as we were not a market leader in rates or were re-priced at a lower rate. In addition, the cost of our FHLB advances decreased 71 basis points from 2.96% for the three months ended June 30, 2010 to 2.25% for the three months ended June 30, 2011 due to decreases in market interest rates.



The following table sets forth information regarding the changes in interest income and interest expense of the Bank during the periods indicated.

	Quarter ended June 30, 2011 Compared to Quarter ended June 30, 2010 Increase (Decrease) Due to:		
	Volume	Rate	Total
	(In thousands)		
<b>Interest-earning assets:</b>			
Loans receivable	\$ (54 )	\$ (182 )	\$ (236 )
Investment securities	60	(22 )	\$ 38
Other investments	(1 )	7	\$ 6
<b>Total interest-earning assets</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>(197 )</b>	<b>(192 )</b>
<b>Interest-bearing liabilities:</b>			
Money Market/NOW accounts	6	(48 )	(42 )
Certificates of Deposit	(46 )	(106 )	(152 )
Deposits	(40 )	(154 )	(194 )
Borrowed funds	403	(529 )	(126 )
<b>Total interest-bearing liabilities</b>	<b>363</b>	<b>(683 )</b>	<b>(320 )</b>
<b>Change in net interest income</b>	<b>\$ (358 )</b>	<b>\$ 486</b>	<b>\$ 128</b>

**Net Interest Income:** Net interest income increased to \$2.1 million for the three-month period ended June 30, 2011 from \$2.0 million for the same period in 2010. For the three months ended June 30, 2011, average interest-earning assets decreased \$10.8 million, or 5.1% when compared to the same period in 2010. Average interest-bearing liabilities decreased \$12.9 million, or 6.7%, to \$178.9 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2011 from \$191.8 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2010. The yield on average interest-earning assets decreased to 5.34% for the three-month period ended June 30, 2011 from 5.43% for the same period ended in 2010 and the cost of average interest-bearing liabilities decreased to 1.30% from 1.88% for the three-month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The net interest margin increased to 4.19% for the three-month period ended June 30, 2011 from 3.73% for same period in 2010.

**Provision for Loan Losses:** The allowance for loan losses is established through a provision for loan losses charged to earnings. Loan losses are charged against the allowance when management believes the uncollectibility of a loan balance is confirmed. Subsequent recoveries, if any, are credited to the allowance.

The allowance for loan losses is evaluated on a regular basis by management and is based upon management's periodic review of the collectability of the loans in light of historical experience, the nature and volume of the loan portfolio, adverse situations that may affect the borrower's ability to repay, estimated value of any underlying collateral and prevailing economic conditions. This evaluation is inherently subjective, as it requires estimates that are susceptible to significant revision as more information becomes available.

The provision for loan losses for the three-month period ended June 30, 2011 was income of \$19,000, as compared to expense of \$595,000 for the prior year period. Our provision for loan losses is based on an eight-quarter rolling average of actual net charge-offs adjusted for various environmental factors for each pool of loans in our portfolio. Total net charge-offs for the quarter-ended June 30, 2011 were \$422,000 as compared to \$1.8 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2009, which rolled-off our required reserve calculation based on our eight-quarter rolling average

method. That decrease in charge-offs quarter over quarter resulted in lower loss factors which were applied to our various pools of loans to establish an adequate reserve. In addition, loan balances have declined substantially from December 31, 2010. Both of these factors enabled us to reverse provision expense recorded in prior periods. The provision was based on management's review of the components of the overall loan portfolio, the status of non-performing loans and various subjective factors.

The following table sets forth the details of our loan portfolio at the dates indicated:

	Portfolio Balance	Delinquent Loans Over 90 Days	Non-Accrual Loans
(Dollars in thousands)			
<b>At June 30, 2011</b>			
Real estate loans:			
Construction	\$ 415	\$ -	\$ 290
One - to four - family	65,005	340	2,946
Commercial Mortgages	57,105	-	514
Home equity lines of credit/ Junior liens	15,056	-	176
Commercial loans	8,208	-	-
Consumer loans	1,474	1	6
<b>Total gross loans</b>	<b>147,263</b>	<b>341</b>	<b>3,932</b>
Less:			
Net deferred loan fees	(246 )	(1 )	(13 )
Allowance for loan losses	(2,191 )	-	(544 )
<b>Total loans, net</b>	<b>\$ 144,826</b>	<b>\$ 340</b>	<b>\$ 3,375</b>
<b>At December 31, 2010</b>			
Real estate loans:			
Construction	\$ 3,426	\$ -	\$ 1,772
One - to four - family	71,541	282	3,114
Commercial Mortgages	57,740	82	1,148
Home equity lines of credit/Junior liens	16,547	-	206
Commercial loans	8,848	-	-
Consumer loans	2,118	2	-
<b>Total gross loans</b>	<b>160,220</b>	<b>366</b>	<b>6,240</b>
Less:			
Net deferred loan fees	(245 )	(1 )	(11 )
Allowance for loan losses	(2,831 )	-	(584 )
<b>Total loans, net</b>	<b>\$ 157,144</b>	<b>\$ 365</b>	<b>\$ 5,645</b>

Non Interest Income: Non interest income decreased to \$393,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2011 from \$1.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010. The period ended June 30, 2010 included a \$447,000 gain on sale of investments as a result of restructuring the investment portfolio in an effort to reduce credit risk as well as a \$200,000 settlement on a lawsuit. Additionally, in 2011 we continued to experience a decrease in mortgage banking activities as mortgage refinances were considerably lower for the three-month period ended June 30, 2011 as compared to the prior year period, resulting in a \$133,000 decrease in income period over period.

Non Interest Expense: Non interest expense decreased from \$2.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 to \$2.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011. Most notably, our FDIC premiums decreased by \$43,000 period over period due in part to improvement in our risk profile. In addition, other expenses, consisting primarily of expenses related to problem credits, decreased \$53,000 period over period.

Income Taxes: The Company had no federal income expense for the three months ended June 30, 2011 due to net operating loss carryforwards, compared to a federal income tax benefit of \$102,000 for the same period in 2010.

## Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 Compared to Six Months Ended June 30, 2010

General: Net income decreased by \$98,000 to \$423,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2011 from \$522,000 for the same period ended June 30, 2010.

Interest Income: Interest income decreased by \$483,000 to \$5.3 million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2011 from \$5.8 million for the same period in 2010. This decrease was primarily attributed to a decline in the average balance of interest earning assets of \$12.4 million to \$201.9 million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2011 from \$214.2 million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2010. In addition, we experienced a decrease in the yield on our interest earning assets of 14 basis points period over period due mainly to lower market interest rates period over period.

Interest Expense: Interest expense for the six months ended June 30, 2011 decreased to \$1.2 million from \$1.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010. The decrease in interest expense for the six-month period was due primarily to a decrease in the cost of our certificates of deposit and FHLB advances. The cost of our certificates of deposit decreased 56 basis points from 2.38% for the six months ended June 30, 2010 to 1.82% for the six months ended June 30, 2011, as higher costing deposits matured and either left the Bank or were re-priced at lower rates. In addition, the cost of our FHLB advances decreased 67 basis points from 2.94% for the six months ended June 30, 2010 to 2.27% for the six months ended June 30, 2011 due primarily to lower market interest rates period over period.

The following table sets forth information regarding the changes in interest income and interest expense of the Bank during the periods indicated.

	Six Months ended June 30, 2011 Compared to Six Months ended June 30, 2010 Increase (Decrease) Due to:		
	Volume	Rate	Total
	(In thousands)		
<b>Interest-earning assets:</b>			
Loans receivable	\$ (530 )	\$ 27	\$ (503 )
Investment securities	35	(21 )	14
Other investments	1	5	6
<b>Total interest-earning assets</b>	<b>(494 )</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>(483 )</b>
<b>Interest-bearing liabilities:</b>			
Money Market/NOW accounts	21	(95 )	(74 )
Certificates of Deposit	(103 )	(217 )	(320 )
Deposits	(82 )	(312 )	(394 )
Borrowed funds	(4 )	(273 )	(277 )
<b>Total interest-bearing liabilities</b>	<b>(86 )</b>	<b>(585 )</b>	<b>(671 )</b>
<b>Change in net interest income</b>	<b>\$ (408 )</b>	<b>\$ 596</b>	<b>\$ 188</b>

Net Interest Income: Net interest income increased by \$188,000 for the six-month period ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010. For the six months ended June 30, 2011, average interest-earning assets decreased \$12.4 million, or 5.8%, when compared to the same period in 2010. Average interest-bearing liabilities decreased \$13.9 million, or 7.24%, to \$179.1 million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2011 from \$193.0 million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2010. The yield on average interest-earning assets decreased to

5.26% for the six month period ended June 30, 2011 from 5.40% for the same period ended in 2010 while the cost of average interest-bearing liabilities decreased to 1.34% from 1.94% for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The net interest margin increased to 4.08% for the six month period ended June 30, 2011 from 3.67% for same period in 2010.

Delinquent Loans and Nonperforming Assets. The following table sets forth information regarding loans delinquent 90 days or more and real estate owned/other repossessed assets of the Bank at the dates indicated. As of the dates indicated, the Bank had \$1.4 million and \$740,000, respectively, of restructured loans within the meaning of ASC 2010-20.

Nonperforming assets decreased by \$526,000 from December 31, 2010 to June 30, 2011 due in large part to a commercial loan which returned to accrual status during the quarter ended June 30, 2011, partially offset by additional write-downs on repossessed properties.

	June 30, 2011	December 31, 2010		
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Total non-accrual loans	\$ 3,932	\$ 6,240		
Accrual loans delinquent 90 days or more:				
One- to four-family residential	340	282		
Other real estate loans	-	82		
Construction	-	-		
Purchased Out-of-State	-	-		
Commerical	-	-		
Consumer & other	1	2		
Total accrual loans delinquent 90 days or more	\$ 341	\$ 366		
Total nonperforming loans (1)	4,273	6,606		
Total real estate owned-residential mortgages (2)	767	494		
Total real estate owned-Commercial (2)	2,545	2,304		
Total real estate owned-Consumer & other repossessed assets (2)	1,313	20		
Total nonperforming assets	\$ 8,898	\$ 9,424		
Total nonperforming loans to loans receivable	2.90	%	4.13	%
Total nonperforming assets to total assets	4.06	%	4.37	%

(1)All of the Bank's loans delinquent more than 90 days are classified as nonperforming.

(2)Represents the net book value of property acquired by the Bank through foreclosure or deed in lieu of foreclosure.

Upon acquisition, this property is recorded at the lower of its fair market value or the principal balance of the related loan.

Provision for Loan Losses: For the six-month period ended June 30, 2011, the provision for loan losses was \$48,000 as compared to \$606,000 for the same period ended June 30, 2010. As discussed above in the discussion for the three-month period ended June 30, 2011, our provision for loan losses is based on an eight-quarter rolling average of actual net charge-offs adjusted for various environmental factors for each pool of loans in our portfolio. Due to declining net charge-offs period over period, we were able to apply lower loss factors to our various pools of loans to establish an adequate reserve. In addition, loan balances have declined substantially from December 31, 2010. Both of these factors enabled us to reverse provision expense recorded in prior periods. The provision was based on management's review of the components of the overall loan portfolio, the status of non-performing loans and various subjective factors.

The ratio of nonperforming loans to total loans was 2.90% and 4.13% at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. As a percent of total assets, nonperforming loans decreased to 4.06% at June 30, 2011 from 4.37% at

December 31, 2010.

Non Interest Income: Non interest income decreased from \$1.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 to \$842,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2011, mainly due to a decrease of \$497,000 in gain on sale of investments due to a restructuring of the investment portfolio in 2010, a decrease of \$200,000 in other income due to settlement of a lawsuit in 2010, and a decrease \$145,000 in mortgage banking activities income period over period, as discussed above in the analysis of results for the three-month period ended June 30, 2011.

30

---



Non Interest Expense. Non interest expense decreased from \$4.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 to \$4.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011. The decrease was primarily due to decreases of \$63,000 in occupancy expenses, \$66,000 in our FDIC premiums due in part to an improvement in our risk profile, and \$37,000 in compensation and employee benefits expenses during the six-month period ended June 30, 2011.

Income Taxes: The Company had no federal income tax expense for either the six-month period ended June 30, 2011 or 2010 due to net operating loss carryforwards.

## LIQUIDITY

The Company's current liquidity position is more than adequate to fund expected asset growth. The Company's primary sources of funds are deposits, FHLB advances, proceeds from principal and interest payments, prepayments on loans and mortgage-backed and investment securities and sale of long-term fixed-rate mortgages into the secondary market. While maturities and scheduled amortization of loans and mortgage-backed securities are a predictable source of funds, deposit flows, mortgage prepayments and sale of mortgage loans into the secondary market are greatly influenced by general interest rates, economic conditions and competition.

Liquidity represents the amount of an institution's assets that can be quickly and easily converted into cash without significant loss. The most liquid assets are cash, short-term U.S. Government securities, U.S. Government agency securities and certificates of deposit. The Company is required to maintain sufficient levels of liquidity as defined by OCC regulations. This requirement may be varied at the direction of the OCC. Regulations currently in effect require that the Bank must maintain sufficient liquidity to ensure its safe and sound operation. The Company's objective for liquidity is to be above 20%. Liquidity as of June 30, 2011 was \$45.6 million, or 36.5% compared to \$35.4 million, or 28.5% at December 31, 2010. The levels of these assets are dependent on the Company's operating, financing, lending and investing activities during any given period. The liquidity calculated by the Company includes additional borrowing capacity available with the FHLB. This borrowing capacity is based on pledged collateral.

The Company intends to retain for its portfolio certain originated residential mortgage loans (primarily adjustable rate and shorter term fixed rate mortgage loans) and to generally sell the remainder in the secondary market. The Bank will from time to time participate in or originate commercial real estate loans, including real estate development loans. During the six month period ended June 30, 2011, the Company originated \$12.4 million in residential mortgage loans, of which \$837,000 were retained in portfolio while the remainder were sold in the secondary market or are being held for sale. This compares to \$17.4 million in originations during the first six months of 2010 of which \$2.4 million were retained in portfolio. The Company also originated \$7.5 million of commercial loans and \$818,000 of consumer loans in the first six months of 2011 compared to \$5.4 million of commercial loans and \$2.2 million of consumer loans for the same period in 2010. Of total loans receivable, excluding loans held for sale, mortgage loans comprised 44.2% and 46.3%, commercial loans 44.6% and 41.7% and consumer loans 11.2% and 12.0% at June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2010, respectively.

Deposits are a primary source of funds for use in lending and for other general business purposes. At June 30, 2011 deposits funded 71.3% of the Company's total assets compared to 72.1% at December 31, 2010. Certificates of deposit scheduled to mature in less than one year at June 30, 2010 totaled \$50.4 million. Management believes that a significant portion of such deposits will remain with the Bank. The Bank monitors the deposit rates offered by competition in the area and sets rates that take into account the prevailing market conditions along with the Bank's liquidity position. Moreover, management believes that growth in assets is not expected to require significant in-flows of liquidity. As such, the Bank does not expect to be a market leader in rates paid for liabilities, although we may from time to time offer higher rates than our competitors, as liquidity needs dictate.

Borrowings may be used to compensate for seasonal or other reductions in normal sources of funds or for deposit outflows at more than projected levels. Borrowings may also be used on a longer-term basis to support increased lending or investment activities. At June 30, 2011 the Company had \$32.0 million in FHLB advances. FHLB borrowings as a percentage of total assets were 14.6% at June 30, 2011 as compared to 13.4% at December 31, 2010. At June 30, 2011, the Company has sufficient available collateral to obtain additional advances of \$22.2 million.

## CAPITAL RESOURCES

Stockholders' equity at June 30, 2011 was \$24.1 million, or 11.0% of total assets, compared to \$23.2 million, or 10.8% of total assets, at December 31, 2010 (See "Consolidated Statement of Changes in Stockholders' Equity"). The Bank is subject to certain capital-to-assets levels in accordance with federal regulations. The Bank was considered "well capitalized" under all capital requirements set forth by the OTS as of June 30, 2011. The following table summarizes the Bank's actual capital with the regulatory capital requirements and with requirements to be "Well Capitalized" under prompt corrective action provisions, as of June 30, 2011:

	Actual		Regulatory Minimum		Minimum to be Well Capitalized	
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
	Dollars in Thousands					
Tier 1 (Core) capital ( to adjusted assets)	\$ 21,810	10.04 %	\$ 8,694	4.00 %	\$ 10,867	5.00 %
Total risk-based capital ( to risk-weighted assets)	\$ 23,459	16.53 %	\$ 11,353	8.00 %	\$ 14,191	10.00 %
Tier 1 risk-based capital ( to risk weighted assets)	\$ 21,810	15.37 %	\$ 5,676	4.00 %	\$ 8,514	6.00 %
Tangible Capital ( to tangible assets)	\$ 21,810	10.04 %	\$ 3,260	1.50 %	\$ 4,347	2.00 %

## ITEM 3 - QUALITATIVE AND QUANTITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK.

Not applicable to smaller reporting companies.

## ITEM 4 - CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, the Company evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of its disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports the Company files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (1) is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified by the SEC's rules and forms, and (2) is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

There has been no change in the Company's internal control over the financial reporting during the Company's second quarter of fiscal year 2011 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

FIRST FEDERAL OF NORTHERN MICHIGAN BANCORP, INC.  
FORM 10-Q  
Quarter Ended June 30, 2011  
PART II – OTHER INFORMATION

- Item 1 - Legal Proceedings:  
There are no material legal proceedings to which the Company is a party or of which any of its property is subject. From time to time the Company is a party to various legal proceedings incident to its business.
- Item 1A - Risk Factors:  
Not applicable to smaller reporting companies
- Item 2 - Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds:  
(a) Not applicable  
(b) Not applicable  
(c) Not applicable
- Item 3 - Defaults upon Senior Securities:  
Not applicable.
- Item 4 - (Removed and Reserved):
- Item 5 - Other Information:  
(a) Not applicable  
(b) There was no material change to the procedures by which security holders may recommend nominees to the Company's Board of Directors during the period covered by the Form 10-Q.
- Item 6 - Exhibits:
- Exhibit 31.1 Certification by Chief Executive Officer pursuant to section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- Exhibit 31.2 Certification by Chief Financial Officer pursuant to section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- Exhibit 32.1 Statement of Chief Executive Officer furnished pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- Exhibit 32.2 Statement of Chief Financial Officer furnished pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- Exhibit 101.INS XBRL Instance Document
- Exhibit 101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
- Exhibit 101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
- Exhibit 101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
- Exhibit 101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document



FIRST FEDERAL OF NORTHERN MICHIGAN BANCORP, INC.

FORM 10-Q

Quarter Ended June 30, 2011

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

FIRST FEDERAL OF NORTHERN MICHIGAN BANCORP, INC.

By: /s/Michael W. Mahler  
Michael W. Mahler  
Chief Executive Officer

Date: August 10, 2011

By: /s/Amy E. Essex  
Amy E. Essex, Chief Financial Officer  
(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

Date: August 10, 2011