

COVALENT GROUP INC
Form 10-Q
May 17, 2004
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2004.

TRANSITION REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934.

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number: 0-21145

COVALENT GROUP, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: COVALENT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of

56-1668867
(I.R.S. Employer

incorporation or organization)

Identification No.)

One Glenhardie Corporate Center, 1275 Drummers Lane, Suite
100, Wayne, Pennsylvania
(Address of principal executive offices)

19087
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: 610-975-9533

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No .

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in rule 12(b)-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No .

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practical date: As of May 12, 2004 there were 13,196,026 shares of Covalent Group, Inc. common stock outstanding, par value \$.001 per share, excluding 152,932 shares in treasury.

Table of Contents

COVALENT GROUP, INC.

INDEX

	Page
<u>PART I. Financial Information</u>	
Item 1. <u>Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (unaudited)</u>	
<u>Consolidated condensed balance sheets March 31, 2004 and December 31, 2003</u>	3
<u>Consolidated condensed statements of operations Three months ended March 31, 2004 and 2003</u>	4
<u>Consolidated condensed statements of cash flows Three months ended March 31, 2004 and 2003</u>	5
<u>Notes to condensed consolidated financial statements</u>	6
Item 2. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	12
Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure about Market Risk</u>	19
Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	20
<u>PART II. Other Information</u>	
Item 5. <u>Other Information</u>	20
Item 6. <u>Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K</u>	21
<u>SIGNATURES</u>	22

Table of Contents

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

Consolidated Balance Sheets

(Unaudited)

	<u>March 31, 2004</u>	<u>December 31, 2003</u>
Assets		
Current Assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 2,485,989	\$ 2,069,687
Restricted cash	893,384	604,185
Accounts receivable	8,857,037	5,872,137
Prepaid expenses and other	324,089	166,322
Prepaid taxes	589,519	1,074,771
Costs and estimated earnings in excess of related billings on uncompleted contracts	6,646,794	8,578,153
Total Current Assets	<u>19,796,812</u>	<u>18,365,255</u>
Property and Equipment, Net	1,552,423	1,805,331
Other Assets	21,665	21,665
Total Assets	<u>\$ 21,370,900</u>	<u>\$ 20,192,251</u>
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity		
Current Liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$ 3,932,917	\$ 3,545,039
Accrued expenses	1,699,491	263,664
Obligations under capital leases	21,925	24,268
Billings in excess of related costs and estimated earnings on uncompleted contracts	932,077	1,181,426
Customer advances	2,598,641	3,032,758
Total Current Liabilities	<u>9,185,051</u>	<u>8,047,155</u>
Long Term Liabilities		
Obligations under capital leases	81,320	87,018
Other liabilities	668,965	698,050
Deferred income tax	18,310	18,310
Total Long Term Liabilities	<u>768,595</u>	<u>803,378</u>
Total Liabilities	<u>9,953,646</u>	<u>8,850,533</u>
Stockholders' Equity	13,242	13,235

Edgar Filing: COVALENT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Common stock, \$.001 par value 25,000,000 shares authorized, 13,242,133 and 13,235,483 shares issued and outstanding respectively

Additional paid-in capital	11,385,664	11,372,674
Retained earnings	317,023	289,918
Accumulated other comprehensive income	160,299	124,865
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Less:	11,876,228	11,800,692
Treasury stock, at cost, 152,932 shares	(458,974)	(458,974)
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Total Stockholders Equity	11,417,254	11,341,718
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Total Liabilities and Stockholders Equity	\$ 21,370,900	\$ 20,192,251
	<hr/>	<hr/>

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**Covalent Group, Inc.****Consolidated Statements of Operations****(Unaudited)**

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2004	2003
Net revenue	\$ 5,282,585	\$ 6,387,062
Reimbursement revenue	1,640,133	1,015,196
Total Revenue	6,922,718	7,402,258
Operating Expenses		
Direct	3,675,580	3,902,251
Reimbursement out-of-pocket expenses	1,640,133	1,015,196
Selling, general and administrative	1,323,460	1,474,355
Depreciation and amortization	253,880	203,461
Total Operating Expenses	6,893,053	6,595,263
Income from Operations	29,665	806,995
Interest Income	757	5,030
Interest Expense	(2,828)	(1,785)
Net Interest Income (Expense)	(2,071)	3,245
Income before Income Taxes	27,594	810,240
Income Tax Provision	489	328,147
Net Income	\$ 27,105	\$ 482,093
Net Income per Common Share		
Basic	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.04
Diluted	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.04
Weighted Average Common and Common Equivalent Shares Outstanding		
Basic	13,085,410	12,652,083
Diluted	13,229,007	13,021,025

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**Covalent Group, Inc.****Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows****(Unaudited)**

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2004	2003
Operating Activities:		
Net income	\$ 27,105	\$ 482,093
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	253,880	203,461
Changes in assets and liabilities;		
Restricted cash	(289,199)	(185,906)
Accounts receivable	(2,984,900)	1,257,142
Prepaid expenses and other	(157,767)	(60,887)
Prepaid taxes	485,252	
Costs and estimated earnings in excess of related billings on uncompleted contracts	1,931,359	651,408
Other assets		(8,077)
Accounts payable	387,878	(485,211)
Accrued expenses	1,435,827	(163,263)
Other liabilities	(29,085)	
Income taxes payable		112,787
Billings in excess of related costs and estimated earnings on uncompleted contracts	(249,349)	(435,435)
Customer advances	(434,117)	(443,084)
Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities	376,884	925,028
Investing Activities:		
Purchases of property and equipment	(972)	(343,822)
Net Cash Used In Investing Activities	(972)	(343,822)
Financing Activities:		
Net repayments and borrowings under capital leases	(8,041)	(17,738)
Borrowings under line of credit		421,000
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	12,997	
Net Cash Provided By Financing Activities	4,956	403,262
Effect of Exchange Rate Changes on Cash and Cash Equivalents	35,434	(169)
Net Increase In Cash and Cash Equivalents	416,302	984,299
Cash and Cash Equivalents, Beginning of Period	2,069,687	2,121,439
Cash and Cash Equivalents, End of Period	\$ 2,485,989	\$ 3,105,738

Edgar Filing: COVALENT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Covalent Group, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES:

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited financial statements for the three months ended March 31, 2004 and March 31, 2003 have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (generally accepted accounting principles) for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (primarily consisting of normal recurring adjustments) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Operating results for the three months ended March 31, 2004 may not necessarily be indicative of the results that may be expected for other quarters or for the year ending December 31, 2004. For further information, refer to the financial statements and footnotes thereto included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements for the three months ended March 31, 2004 and 2003 include our accounts and the accounts of our wholly-owned subsidiaries. Intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated in consolidation.

Restricted Cash

We received advance payments from one of our clients as part of a long-term contract, which included a separate restricted cash account to be utilized for payment of investigator fees. As of March 31, 2004 and December 31, 2003 this restricted cash amount was \$893 thousand and \$604 thousand, respectively. This amount is also included in customer advances in the accompanying balance sheets.

Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable consists of customer billings pursuant to contractual terms related to work performed as of March 31, 2004. In general, amounts become billable upon the achievement of milestones or in accordance with predetermined payment schedules set forth in the contracts with our clients. A portion of the balance represents amounts billed subsequently to the balance sheet date. Accounts receivable included \$6.6 million and \$4.4 million billed to customers as of March 31, 2004 and December 31, 2003, respectively and \$2.3 million and \$1.5 million that was billable to clients pursuant to contractual terms and invoiced subsequent to March 31, 2004 and December 31, 2003, respectively.

Table of Contents

Revenue Recognition

The majority of our net revenue is recognized from fixed-price contracts on a proportional performance basis. To measure the performance, we compare actual direct costs incurred to estimated total contract direct costs, which is the best indicator of the performance of the contract obligations as the costs relate to the labor hours incurred to perform the service. Total direct costs are incurred for each contract and compared to estimated total direct costs for each contract to determine the percentage of the contract that is completed. This percentage is multiplied by the estimated total contract value to determine the amount of net revenue recognized. A formal project review process takes place quarterly although most projects are evaluated on an ongoing basis. Management reviews the estimated total direct costs on each contract to determine if estimated amounts are correct, and estimates are adjusted as needed. If we determine that a loss will result from the performance of a fixed-price contract, the entire amount of the estimated loss is charged against income in the period in which such determination is made. Because of the inherent uncertainties in estimating direct costs required to complete a project, particularly complex, multi-year studies, it is possible that the estimates used will change and could result in a material change to our estimates. Original estimates might also be changed due to changes in the scope of work. We attempt to negotiate contract amendments with the client to cover these services provided outside the terms of the original contract. There can be no assurance that the client will agree to the proposed amendments, and we ultimately bear the risk of cost overruns. For terminated studies, our contracts frequently entitle us to receive the costs of winding down the terminated project, as well as all fees earned by us up to the time of termination.

Costs and estimated earnings in excess of related billings on uncompleted contracts represents net revenue recognized to date that is currently unbillable to the client pursuant to contractual terms. In general, amounts become billable upon the achievement of milestones or in accordance with predetermined payment schedules set forth in the contracts with our clients. Several of our older contracts contain payment schedules that are weighted towards the later stages of the contract. Billings in excess of related costs and estimated earnings on uncompleted contracts represent amounts billed in excess of net revenue recognized at the balance sheet date.

Reimbursable Out-of-Pocket Expenses

On behalf of our clients, we pay fees to investigators and other out-of-pocket costs for which we are reimbursed at cost, without mark-up or profit. Effective January 1, 2002, in connection with the required implementation of Financial Accounting Standards Board Emerging Issues Task Force Rule No. 01-14 (EITF 01-14), Income Statement Characterization of Reimbursements Received for Out-of-Pocket Expenses Incurred , out-of-pocket costs are now included in Operating Expenses, while the reimbursements received are reported separately as Reimbursement Revenue in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

As is customary in the industry, we exclude from revenue and expense in the Consolidated Statement of Operations fees paid to investigators and the associated reimbursement since we act as agent on behalf of our clients with regard to investigators. These investigator fees are not reflected in our Net Revenue, Reimbursement Revenue, Reimbursement Out-of-Pocket Expenses, and/or Direct Expenses. The amounts of these investigator fees were \$1.2 million and \$2.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

Stock Based Compensation

The Company has adopted equity incentive plans that provide for the granting of stock options to employees, directors, advisors and consultants. We account for grants of options to employees and directors under these plans applying the intrinsic value method provided for in Accounting Principles

Table of Contents

Board (APB) Opinion No. 25 Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees and related interpretations. No stock-based compensation expense is reflected in net income as all options granted under the plan had an exercise price equal to the market value of the underlying common stock on the date of the grant. In addition to APB Opinion No. 25, we provide the disclosures required by SFAS No. 123 Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation and by SFAS No. 148 Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation Transition and Disclosure. See Note 4.

The following table illustrates the effect on net income and earnings per share if the Company had applied the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation to stock-based employee compensation:

	Three Months Ended	
	March 31,	
	2004	2003
Net Income (Loss) - as reported	\$ 27,105	\$ 482,093
Deduct: Pro forma stock-based compensation expense determined under the fair value method, net of related tax effects	(52,561)	(96,009)
Pro forma Net Income (Loss)	\$ (25,456)	\$ 386,084
Net Income (Loss) Per Share		
Basic - as reported	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.04
Basic - pro forma	\$ (0.00)	\$ 0.03
Diluted - as reported	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.04
Diluted - pro forma	\$ (0.00)	\$ 0.03

Reclassifications

Certain prior year balances have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

2. RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING STANDARDS:

In January 2003, the FASB issued Financial Interpretation No. 46 (FIN 46), Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities an Interpretation of ARB No. 51. FIN 46 addresses consolidation by business enterprises of variable interest entities. In December 2003, the FASB then issued FIN 46(R),

Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities an Interpretation of ARB No. 51, which replaced FIN 46. Application of FIN 46(R) is required in financial statements of public entities that have interests in variable interest entities or potential variable interest entities commonly referred to as special-purpose entities for periods ending after December 15, 2003. Application by public entities for all other types of entities is required in financial statements for periods ending after March 15, 2004. The Company has adopted both FIN 46 and FIN 46(R), and their adoption had no impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

Table of Contents**3. EARNINGS PER SHARE**

Earnings per share is calculated in accordance with SFAS No. 128, Earnings Per Share. Basic earnings per share is computed by dividing net income for the period by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share is computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of common shares plus the dilutive effect of outstanding stock options under the Company's equity incentive plans. Stock options outstanding that are not included in the table below because of their anti-dilutive effect for the three months ended March 31, 2004 and 2003 were 763,200 and 1,634,425, respectively.

The net income and weighted average common and common equivalent shares outstanding for purposes of calculating net income per common share were computed as follows:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2004	2003
Net Income	\$ 27,105	\$ 482,093
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding used in computing basic earnings per share	13,085,410	12,652,083
Dilutive effect of stock options outstanding	143,597	368,942
Weighted average shares used in computing diluted earnings per share	13,229,007	13,021,025
Basic earnings per share	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.04
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.04

4. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

The Company has adopted equity incentive plans that provide for the granting of stock options to employees, directors, advisors and consultants. We account for grants of options to employees and directors under these plans applying the intrinsic value method provided for in Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25 Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees and related interpretations. No stock-based compensation expense is reflected in net income as all options granted under the plan had an exercise price equal to the market value of the underlying common stock on the date of the grant. In addition to APB Opinion No. 25, we provide the disclosures required by SFAS No. 123 Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation and by SFAS No. 148 Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation Transition and Disclosure. See Note 1 for disclosure of Pro Forma Net Income and Net Income Per Share.

Table of Contents

For purposes of determining the pro forma amounts in Note 1, the fair value of each option grant is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following assumptions:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2004	2003
Risk - free interest rate	2.85% - 3.35%	2.92% - 3.04%
Expected dividend yield		
Expected life	5 years	5 years
Expected volatility	56%	54%

Based upon the above assumptions, the weighted average fair value of the stock options granted for the three months ended March 31, 2004 and 2003 was \$1.30 and \$1.05, respectively. Because additional option grants are expected to be made, the above pro forma disclosures are not representative of pro forma effects on reported net income for future periods.

5. COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

A reconciliation of comprehensive income in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 130 Reporting Comprehensive Income is as follows:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2004	2003
Net Income	\$ 27,105	\$ 482,093
Foreign currency translation adjustment	35,434	(169)
Comprehensive Income	\$ 62,539	\$ 481,924

6. SEGMENT INFORMATION

The Company has adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 131, Disclosures About Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information which establishes standards for reporting business segment information. The Company operates in one segment predominantly in the clinical research industry providing a broad range of clinical research services on a global basis to the pharmaceutical, biotechnology and medical device industries.

The following table summarizes the distribution of net revenue and contracts with significant clients:

Edgar Filing: COVALENT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

	Three Months Ended		Three Months Ended	
	March 31, 2004		March 31, 2003	
	Percentage of Revenues	Number of Contracts	Percentage of Revenues	Number of Contracts
Client A	25%	3		
Client B	18%	6	27%	3
Client C	13%	2		
Client D	12%	1	47%	7
Total	68%	12	74%	10

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the distribution of net revenues from external clients by geographical area:

	2004			2003		
	United States	Europe	Total	United States	Europe	Total
Net revenue from external clients	\$ 4,756,044	\$ 526,541	\$ 5,282,585	\$ 6,150,940	\$ 236,122	\$ 6,387,062

7. LINE OF CREDIT

We maintain a demand line of credit with a bank under which maximum borrowings are the lesser of \$2.5 million or 75% of eligible accounts receivable, as defined in the loan agreement, and bear interest at the LIBOR Market Index Rate plus 2.65%. As of March 31, 2004 and December 31, 2003 there were no borrowings under the line of credit. The line of credit expires on June 30, 2004 and is expected to be renewed or replaced. The timing and terms have not yet been determined. Borrowings under the line of credit are secured by substantially all of our assets. Our agreement with the bank provides that we maintain a minimum tangible net worth of \$10.75 million and a ratio of total liabilities to tangible net worth of not more than 1.25 to 1.00. As of March 31, 2004, we were in compliance with these covenants.

8. OTHER LIABILITIES

As of January 1, 2003, the Company increased by approximately 12,700 to 34,000 the amount of square feet under lease in the same building. The term of the lease was also extended to 2010 and monthly lease payments increased from \$50 thousand to \$72 thousand. As an incentive for the Company to acquire the additional space, the lessor granted the Company \$814 thousand in lease incentives that were used to pay for architectural fees, renovations and improvement costs for the new space. The lease incentives were capitalized as if the Company incurred the costs to make the improvements and are included in Property and Equipment. These assets and the related liability are amortized over the remaining life of the lease at a rate of approximately \$116 thousand per year as an additional amortization expense and a reduction in rent expense, respectively. The accounting for these lease incentives has no impact on net income, stockholders' equity or cash flow.

9. SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION

Cash paid for income taxes for three months ended March 31, 2004 and 2003 was approximately \$8 thousand and \$215 thousand, respectively. Cash paid for interest for the three months ended March 31, 2004 and 2003 was approximately \$3 thousand and \$2 thousand, respectively. We did not enter into any capital lease obligations during the three months ended March 31, 2004, compared to capital lease obligations of \$139 thousand originated during the three months ended March 31, 2003. We did not acquire any property and equipment through lease incentives during the three months ended March 31, 2004 compared to \$814 thousand during the three months ended March 31, 2003.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Forward Looking Statements

When used in this Report on Form 10-Q and in other public statements, both oral and written, by the Company and Company officers, the words estimate, project, expect, intend, believe, anticipate and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements regarding and trends that may affect our future operating results and financial position. Such statements are subject to risks and uncertainties that could cause our actual results and financial position to differ materially. Such factors include, among others: (i) our success in attracting new business and retaining existing clients and projects; (ii) the size, duration and timing of clinical trials; (iii) the termination, delay or cancellation of clinical trials; (iv) the timing difference between our receipt of contract milestone or scheduled payments and our incurring costs to manage these trials; (v) outsourcing trends in the pharmaceutical, biotechnology and medical device industries; (vi) the ability to maintain profit margins in a competitive marketplace; (vii) our ability to attract and retain qualified personnel; (viii) the sensitivity of our business to general economic conditions; and (ix) other economic, competitive, governmental and technological factors affecting our operations, markets, products, services and prices. We undertake no obligation to publicly release the result of any revision of these forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date they are made or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events. Please refer to the section entitled "Risk Factors that Might Affect our Business or Stock Price" beginning on page 11 on our annual report on Form 10-K for a more complete discussion of factors which could cause our actual results and financial position to change.

Company Overview

In this discussion, the terms Company, we, us and our refer to Covalent Group, Inc. and our consolidated subsidiaries, except where it is made clear otherwise.

We are a clinical research organization (CRO) who is a leader in the design and management of complex clinical trials for the pharmaceutical, biotechnology and medical device industries. Our mission is to provide our clients with high quality, full-service support for their clinical trials. We offer therapeutic expertise, experienced team management and advanced technologies. Our headquarters is in Wayne, Pennsylvania and our International operations are based in Guildford, Surrey, United Kingdom.

Our clients consist of many of the largest companies in the pharmaceutical, biotechnology and medical device industries. From protocol design and clinical program development, to proven patient recruitment, to managing the regulatory approval process, we have the resources to directly implement or manage Phase I through Phase IV clinical trials and to deliver clinical programs on time and within budget. We have clinical trial experience across a wide variety of therapeutic areas, such as cardiovascular, endocrinology/metabolism, diabetes, neurology, oncology, immunology, vaccines, infectious diseases, gastroenterology, dermatology, hepatology, womens health and respiratory medicine. We have the capacity and expertise to conduct clinical trials on a global basis. As of March 31, 2004, we were managing studies in 22 countries, including the United States, Canada, Western and Eastern Europe, the Middle East, South Africa, Australia and Scandinavia.

General

The information set forth and discussed below for the three months ended March 31, 2004 and 2003 is derived from the financial statements included elsewhere herein. The financial information set forth and discussed below is unaudited but, in the opinion of management, reflects all

Edgar Filing: COVALENT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

adjustments (primarily consisting of normal recurring adjustments) necessary for a fair presentation of such information. The results of our operations for a particular quarter may not be indicative of results expected during the other quarters or for the entire year.

Table of Contents

Our quarterly results can fluctuate as a result of a number of factors, including our success in attracting new business, the size and duration of clinical trials, the timing of client decisions to conduct new clinical trials or to cancel or delay ongoing trials, and other factors, many of which are beyond our control.

Net revenue is derived principally from the design, management and monitoring of clinical research studies. Clinical research service contracts generally have terms ranging from several months to several years. A portion of the contract fee is generally payable upon execution of the contract, with the balance payable in installments over the life of the contract. The majority of our net revenue is recognized from fixed-price contracts on a proportional performance basis. To measure the performance, we compare actual direct costs incurred to estimated total contract direct costs, which is the best indicator of the performance of the contract obligations as the costs relate to the labor hours incurred to perform the service.

Contracts generally may be terminated by clients immediately or with short notice. Clinical trials may be terminated or delayed for several reasons, including, among others, unexpected results or adverse patient reactions to the drug, inadequate patient enrollment or investigator recruitment, manufacturing problems resulting in shortages of the drug or decisions by the client to de-emphasize or terminate a particular trial or development efforts on a particular drug. Depending on the size of the trial in question, a client's decision to terminate or delay a trial in which we participate could have a material and adverse effect on our backlog, future revenue and results from operations.

Our backlog was approximately \$11 million as of March 31, 2004 as compared to approximately \$19 million as of March 31, 2003. Our backlog consists of anticipated net revenue from signed contracts, letters of intent and certain verbal commitments that either have not started but are anticipated to begin in the near future or are in process and have not yet been completed. Many of our studies and projects are performed over an extended period of time, which may be several years. Amounts included in backlog have not yet been recognized as net revenue in our Consolidated Statements of Operations. Once contracted work begins, net revenue is recognized over the life of the contract on a proportional performance basis. The recognition of net revenue and contract terminations, if any, reduces our backlog while the awarding of new business increases our backlog. For the three months ended March 31, 2004, we obtained approximately \$4.8 million of new business awards as compared to approximately \$5.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2003.

We believe that our backlog as of any date may not necessarily be a meaningful predictor of future results because backlog can be affected by a number of factors including the size and duration of contracts, many of which are performed over several years. Additionally, contracts relating to our clinical trial business may be subject to early termination by the client or delay for many reasons, as described above. Also, the scope of a contract can change during the course of a study. For these reasons, we might not be able to fully realize our entire backlog as net revenue.

The following table sets forth amounts for certain items in our consolidated statements of operations expressed as a percentage of net revenue. The following table excludes revenue and costs related to reimbursable out-of-pocket expenses because they are not generated by the services we provide, do not yield any gross profit to us, and do not have any impact on our net income. We believe this information is useful to our investors because it presents the net revenue and expenses that are directly attributable to the services we provide to our clients and provides a more accurate picture of our operating results and margins.

Table of Contents

Percentage of Net Revenue, Excluding Reimbursable Out-of-Pocket Expenses

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2004	2003
Net revenue	100.0%	100.0%
Operating Expenses		
Direct	69.6%	61.1%
Selling, general and administrative	25.0%	23.1%
Depreciation	4.8%	3.2%
Income from Operations	0.6%	12.6%
Net Income	0.5%	7.5%

Contractual Obligations and Commitments

We did not enter into any capital lease obligations during the three months ended March 31, 2004, compared to capital lease obligations of \$139 thousand originated during the three months ended March 31, 2003. These leases were recorded as assets and in general were for peripheral office equipment. We are committed under a number of non-cancelable operating leases, primarily related to office space and other office equipment.

Below is a summary of our future payment commitments by year under contractual obligations as of March 31, 2004. Actual amounts paid under these agreements could be higher or lower than the amounts shown below as a result of changes in volume and other variables:

	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	Thereafter	Total
Obligations under capital leases	\$ 24,268	\$ 23,709	\$ 26,314	\$ 29,204	\$ 7,791	\$	\$ 111,286
Operating Leases	961,777	960,171	921,018	937,259	952,728	1,956,495	6,689,448
Employment agreements	403,000	325,000	81,250				809,250
Service agreements	8,926,000						8,926,000
Total	\$ 10,315,045	\$ 1,308,880	\$ 1,028,582	\$ 966,463	\$ 960,519	\$ 1,956,495	\$ 16,535,984

In 2004, we anticipate capital expenditures of approximately \$50 thousand - \$100 thousand for leasehold improvements, software applications, workstations, personal computer equipment and related assets. A significant portion of our service agreement commitments, which are primarily comprised of investigator payments, are expected to be reimbursed under agreements with clients. There has been no material changes to the above data since December 31, 2003.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Edgar Filing: COVALENT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto.

Our consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of these financial statements requires management to make certain estimates, judgments and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the periods presented. On an ongoing basis, management evaluates its judgments and estimates. Management bases its

Table of Contents

judgments and estimates on historical experience and on various other factors that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. Management considers the following policies to be most critical in understanding the more complex judgments that are involved in preparing our consolidated financial statements and the uncertainties that could affect our results of operations and financial condition.

Revenue Recognition

The majority of our net revenue is recognized from fixed-price contracts on a proportional performance basis. To measure the performance, we compare actual direct costs incurred to estimated total contract direct costs, which is the best indicator of the performance of the contract obligations as the costs relate to the labor hours incurred to perform the service. Total direct costs are incurred for each contract and compared to estimated total direct costs for each contract to determine the percentage of the contract that is completed. This percentage is multiplied by the estimated total contract value to determine the amount of net revenue recognized. A formal project review process takes place quarterly although most projects are evaluated on an ongoing basis. Management reviews the estimated total direct costs on each contract to determine if estimated amounts are correct, and estimates are adjusted as needed. If we determine that a loss will result from the performance of a fixed-price contract, the entire amount of the estimated loss is charged against income in the period in which such determination is made. Because of the inherent uncertainties in estimating direct costs required to complete a project, particularly complex, multi-year studies, it is possible that the estimates used will change and could result in a material change to our estimates. Original estimates might also be changed due to changes in the scope of work. We attempt to negotiate contract amendments with the client to cover these services provided outside the terms of the original contract. There can be no assurance that the client will agree to the proposed amendments, and we ultimately bear the risk of cost overruns. For terminated studies, our contracts frequently entitle us to receive the costs of winding down the terminated project, as well as all fees earned by us up to the time of termination.

Costs and estimated earnings in excess of related billings on uncompleted contracts represents net revenue recognized to date that is currently unbillable to the client pursuant to contractual terms. In general, amounts become billable upon the achievement of milestones or in accordance with predetermined payment schedules set forth in the contracts with our clients. Several of our older contracts contain payment schedules that are weighted towards the later stages of the contract. Billings in excess of related costs and estimated earnings on uncompleted contracts represent amounts billed in excess of net revenue recognized at the balance sheet date.

Reimbursable Out-of-Pocket Expenses

On behalf of our clients, we pay fees to investigators and other out-of-pocket costs for which we are reimbursed at cost, without mark-up or profit. Effective January 1, 2002, in connection with the required implementation of Financial Accounting Standards Board Emerging Issues Task Force Rule No. 01-14 (EITF 01-14), Income Statement Characterization of Reimbursements Received for Out-of-Pocket Expenses Incurred , out-of-pocket costs are now included in Operating Expenses, while the reimbursements received are reported separately as Reimbursement Revenue in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

As is customary in the industry, we exclude from revenue and expense in the Consolidated Statement of Operations fees paid to investigators and the associated reimbursement since we act as agent on behalf of our clients with regard to investigators. These investigator fees are not reflected in our Net Revenue, Reimbursement Revenue, Reimbursement Out-of-Pocket Expenses, and/or Direct Expenses. The amounts of these investigator fees were \$1.2 million and \$2.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

Table of Contents

Concentration of Credit Risk

Our accounts receivable and costs and estimated earnings in excess of related billings on uncompleted contracts are concentrated with a small number of companies within the pharmaceutical, biotechnology and medical device industries. The significant majority of this exposure is to large, well established firms. Credit losses have historically been minimal. As of March 31, 2004, the total of accounts receivable and costs and estimated earnings in excess of related billings on uncompleted contracts was \$15.5 million. Of this amount, the exposure to our three largest clients was 61% of the total, with the three largest clients representing 27%, 19%, and 15% of total exposure, respectively. As of March 31, 2003, the total of accounts receivable and costs and estimated earnings in excess of related billings on uncompleted contracts was \$14.5 million. Of this amount, the exposure to our three largest clients was 92% of the total, with the three largest clients representing 45%, 37%, and 10% of total exposure, respectively.

Operating Expenses

Direct expenses include amounts incurred during the period that are directly related to the management or completion of a clinical trial or related project and generally include direct labor and related benefit charges, other direct costs and certain allocated expenses. Direct costs as a percentage of net revenues tend to fluctuate from one period to another as a result of changes in the mix of services provided and the various studies conducted during any time period. Selling, general and administrative expenses include the salaries, wages and benefits of all administrative, finance and business development personnel, and all other support expenses not directly related to specific contracts.

Stock-Based Compensation

The Company has adopted equity incentive plans that provide for the granting of stock options to employees, directors, advisors and consultants. We account for grants of options to employees and directors under these plans applying the intrinsic value method provided for in Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25 Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees and related interpretations. No stock-based compensation expense is reflected in net income as all options granted under the plan had an exercise price equal to the market value of the underlying common stock on the date of the grant. In addition to APB Opinion No. 25, we provide the disclosures required by Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123 Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation and by SFAS No. 148 Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation Transition and Disclosure.

Three Months Ended March 31, 2004 Compared With Three Months Ended March 31, 2003

Net revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2004 decreased 17% to \$5.3 million as compared to \$6.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2003. The decrease of \$1.1 million reflects a lower than anticipated level of new business awards as well as several projects winding down as they enter the later stages of their development schedules. Also reducing revenues were increases to the estimated costs to complete on several projects. New business awards and changes of scope for the three months ended March 31, 2004 were \$4.8 million as compared to \$5.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2003. For the three months ended March 31, 2004, net revenue from our three largest clients amounted to 56% of our net revenue, with the three largest clients representing 25%, 18%, and 13% of net revenue, respectively. For the three months ended March 31, 2003, net revenue from our three largest clients amounted to 79% of our net revenue, with the three largest clients representing 47%, 27%, and 15% of net revenue, respectively.

Edgar Filing: COVALENT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Reimbursement revenue consisted of reimbursable out-of-pocket expenses incurred on behalf of our clients. Reimbursements are made at cost, without mark-up or profit, and therefore have no impact on net income.

Direct expenses included compensation and other expenses directly related to conducting clinical studies. These costs decreased by \$227 thousand to \$3.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2004 from \$3.9

Table of Contents

million for the three months ended March 31, 2003. The decrease in direct expenses resulted principally from a decline in personnel costs associated with lower project requirements and other cost control initiatives. Direct expenses as a percentage of net revenue were 70% for the three months ended March 31, 2004 as compared to 61% for the three months ended March 31, 2003. The increase in the ratio was principally due to the lower level of net revenue reported for the quarter.

Selling, general, and administrative expenses included the salaries, wages and benefits of all administrative, financial and business development personnel and all other support expenses not directly related to specific contracts. Selling, general and administrative expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2004 were \$1.3 million, or 25% of net revenue, as compared to \$1.5 million, or 23% of net revenue, for the three months ended March 31, 2003. The decrease of \$151 thousand resulted principally from a decline in personnel costs and other cost control initiatives. The increase in the ratio was principally due to the lower level of net revenue reported for the quarter.

Depreciation and amortization expense increased to \$254 thousand for the three months ended March 31, 2004 from \$203 thousand for the three months ended March 31, 2003, primarily as a result of additional purchases of office and computer equipment.

Income from operations decreased by \$777 thousand to \$30 thousand primarily for the reasons noted in the preceding paragraphs.

Net interest expense for the three months ended March 31, 2004 was \$2 thousand compared to net interest income of \$3 thousand for the three months ended March 31, 2003.

The effective income tax rate for the three months ended March 31, 2004 and 2003 was 2% and 41%, respectively. The decrease of 39% is largely the result of the ability to utilize carryforwards available as the result of prior losses generated outside the United States.

Net income for the three months ended March 31, 2004 decreased 94% to \$27 thousand, or \$0.00 per diluted share, as compared to \$482 thousand, or \$0.04 per diluted share for the three months ended March 31, 2003, primarily for the reasons noted above.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

The clinical research organization industry is generally not considered capital intensive. We expect to continue to fund our operations from existing cash resources, cash flow from operations and borrowings under our line of credit. We expect that our principal cash requirements on both a short and long-term basis will be for the funding of our operations and capital expenditures. We expect to continue expanding our operations through internal growth, expansion of our existing services, continued expansion of our international operational capabilities, and the development of new products and services for the pharmaceutical, biotechnology and medical device industries. We believe that our existing cash resources, cash generated from operations, and the borrowing availability under our line of credit will provide sufficient liquidity for the foreseeable future. However, in the event that we make significant acquisitions in the future, we may need to raise additional funds through additional borrowings or the issuance of debt or equity securities.

Edgar Filing: COVALENT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Our contracts usually require a portion of the contract amount to be paid at the time the contract is initiated. Additional payments are generally made upon completion of negotiated performance milestones, or on a regularly scheduled basis, throughout the life of the contract. Accordingly, cash receipts do not necessarily correspond to costs incurred and revenue recognized. For terminated studies, our contracts frequently entitle us to receive the costs of winding down the terminated project, as well as all fees earned by us up to the time of termination. Some contracts also entitle us to an early termination fee, usually in the form of a predetermined fee or percentage of revenue expected to be earned for completion of the project.

Table of Contents

Net revenue is recognized on a proportional performance basis. We typically receive a low volume of large-dollar receipts. As a result, the number of days net revenue outstanding in accounts receivable, costs and estimated earnings in excess of related billings, customer advances, and billings in excess of related costs will fluctuate due to the timing and size of billings and cash receipts. At March 31, 2004, the net days revenue outstanding was 206 days compared to 188 days at December 31, 2003. Compared to December 31, 2003, accounts receivable increased \$3.0 million to \$8.9 million at March 31, 2004, primarily due to the timing of billings and progress payments for clinical trials. Of the accounts receivable balance at March 31, 2004, less than 5% of the total was over 60 days past invoice date.

Compared to December 31, 2003, costs and estimated earnings in excess of related billings on uncompleted contracts decreased \$2.0 million to \$6.7 million at March 31, 2004. The decrease primarily represents timing differences between the net revenue recognized on the trials being managed and the billing milestones or payment schedules contained in the contracts with our clients. The balance at March 31, 2004 primarily consisted of 4 clinical trials, which individually constituted 40%, 24%, 9% and 8% of the balance. These clinical trials are expected to be completed during 2004. The decrease in the liability account billings in excess of related costs and estimated earnings on uncompleted contracts of \$249 thousand to \$932 thousand as of March 31, 2004 from \$1.2 million as of December 31, 2003, resulted from continued progress on several contracts with billing schedules weighted toward the earlier phases of the study. The decrease in customer advances of \$434 thousand to \$2.6 million as of March 31, 2004 from \$3.0 million as of December 31, 2003, resulted primarily from the net utilization of customer advances for investigator payments.

Our net cash provided by operating activities was \$377 thousand for the three months ended March 31, 2004, compared with net cash provided by operating activities of \$925 thousand for the three months ended March 31, 2003. Net cash used by investing activities, consisting principally of purchases of property, equipment and leasehold improvements, was \$1 thousand for the three months ended March 31, 2004, compared with net cash used by investing activities of \$344 thousand for the three months ended March 31, 2003. The difference was related to a significant decrease in the amount of capital expenditures needed to sustain operations. Net cash provided by financing activities was \$5 thousand for the three months ended March 31, 2004, compared with net cash provided by financing activities of \$403 thousand for the three months ended March 31, 2003. The difference was related to having no borrowings under the line of credit.

As a result of these cash flows, our cash and cash equivalents balance at March 31, 2004 was \$2.5 million as compared to \$2.1 million at December 31, 2003.

We maintain a demand line of credit with a bank under which maximum borrowings are the lesser of \$2.5 million or 75% of eligible accounts receivable, as defined in the loan agreement, and bear interest at the LIBOR Market Index Rate plus 2.65%. As of March 31, 2004 and December 31, 2003 there were no borrowings under the line of credit. The line of credit expires on June 30, 2004 and is expected to be renewed or replaced. The timing and terms have not yet been determined. Borrowings under the line of credit are secured by substantially all of our assets. Our agreement with the bank provides that we maintain a minimum tangible net worth of \$10.75 million and a ratio of total liabilities to tangible net worth of not more than 1.25 to 1.00. As of March 31, 2004, we were in compliance with these covenants.

Table of Contents

We purchased equipment of \$1 thousand during the three months ended March 31, 2004. We anticipate capital expenditures of approximately \$50 - \$100 thousand during the remainder of 2004, primarily for leasehold improvements, software applications, workstations, personal computer equipment and related assets.

Recently Issued Accounting Standards

In January 2003, the FASB issued Financial Interpretation No. 46 (FIN 46), Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities an Interpretation of ARB No. 51. FIN 46 addresses consolidation by business enterprises of variable interest entities. In December 2003, the FASB then issued FIN 46(R), Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities an Interpretation of ARB No. 51, which replaced FIN 46. Application of FIN 46(R) is required in financial statements of public entities that have interests in variable interest entities or potential variable interest entities commonly referred to as special-purpose entities for periods ending after December 15, 2003. Application by public entities for all other types of entities is required in financial statements for periods ending after March 15, 2004. The Company has adopted both FIN 46 and FIN 46(R), and their adoption had no impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURE ABOUT MARKET RISK

Market Risk

The fair value of cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, accounts receivable, costs and estimated earnings in excess of related billings on uncompleted contracts, accounts payable, accrued expenses and billings in excess of related costs and estimated earnings on uncompleted contracts are not materially different than their carrying amounts as reported at March 31, 2004 and March 31, 2003.

As of March 31, 2004, the Company was not a counterparty to any forward foreign exchange contracts or any other transaction involving a derivative financial instrument.

Foreign Currency Exchange Risk

The Company is exposed to foreign currency exchange risk through its international operations. For the three months ended March 31, 2004, approximately 10% of our net revenues were derived from contracts denominated in other than U.S. Dollars. Our financial statements are denominated in U.S. Dollars. As a result, factors associated with international operations, including changes in foreign currency exchange rates, could affect our results of operations and financial condition. Contracts entered into in the United States are denominated in U.S. Dollars. Contracts entered into by our international subsidiary are generally denominated in pounds sterling, Euros or U.S. Dollars. To date, we have not engaged in any derivative or contractual hedging activities related to our foreign exchange exposures. We believe that these exposures are limited by virtue of their small size relative to our operations as well as the partial natural hedge afforded by our local currency expenditures to service these local currency contracts.

Edgar Filing: COVALENT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Assets and liabilities of the Company's international operations are translated into U.S. Dollars at exchange rates in effect on the balance sheet date. Revenue and expense items are translated at average exchange rates in

Table of Contents

effect during the quarter. Gains or losses from translating foreign currency financial statements are recorded in other comprehensive income. The cumulative translation adjustment included in other comprehensive income for the three months ended March 31, 2004 and March 31, 2003 was \$35 thousand and (\$0.2) thousand, respectively.

Inflation

We believe that the effects of inflation generally do not have a material adverse impact on our operations or financial condition.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

- (a) An evaluation was performed under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including its Chief Executive Officer, and Principal Accounting Officer, of the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures, as such term is defined under Rule 13a-15(e) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act") as of March 31, 2004. Based upon that evaluation, the Company's management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Principal Accounting Officer, concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported as specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms.
- (b) There were no significant changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Company's most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

Director Nominations.

In April 2004, the Company's Board of Directors (the "Board") adopted nominating principles for the director nomination process. These guidelines provide that the Board will evaluate candidates for directors proposed by members of the Board, senior management, and the Company's stockholders and may consider candidates recommended by other parties. In evaluating candidates for Board nomination, the Board will review the range of skills, qualifications, and expertise which in the Board's view should be represented on the Board as well as the eligibility criteria for individual Board and committee membership. In addition, the Board may consider, among other things, a candidate's independence, education, experience, financial literacy, and technical background during the evaluation process. The nominating principles also require that nominees recommended by stockholders in accordance with the provisions of the Company's By-Laws (as described in the Company's proxy statement in connection with the 2004 annual meeting to be held on June 16, 2004) will receive the same consideration as received by candidates identified by the Board through other means. All shareholder recommended nominees will be forwarded by the Company to the Board. The Company's By-Laws specify that stockholder nominations to comply with certain conditions and information requirements, and should be sent to the Corporate Secretary, Covalent Group, Inc., One Glenhardie Corporate Center, Suite 100, 1275 Drummers Lane, Wayne, PA 19087.

Other Information.

As permitted by SEC Rule 10b5-1, certain of the Company's directors and executive officers have set up predefined stock trading programs with their brokers to sell shares of the Company's common stock. Rule 10b5-1 permits corporate insiders to create written plans to buy or sell their company's stock when the insider

Table of Contents

does not possess material non-public information and continue to buy or sell the shares on a pre-determined basis regardless of any subsequent information the individual receives. These type of plans allow prudent and gradual diversification over time, as most financial advisors recommend. The Company's directors and executive officers may also trade shares of common stock outside of the stock trading programs set up under Rule 10b5-1 subject to compliance with the Company's insider trading policy.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

(a) Exhibits

- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer required by Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Certification of Principal Accounting Officer required by Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1 Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.2 Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

(b) Form 8-K

A Current Report on Form 8-K was furnished on March 12, 2004 announcing the Company's financial results for the fourth quarter and year ended December 31, 2003.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

COVALENT GROUP, INC.

Dated: May 14, 2004

By: /s/ Kenneth M. Borow, M.D.

Kenneth M. Borow, M.D.
President and Chief Executive Officer

Dated: May 14, 2004

By: /s/ Daniel W. Hood

Daniel W. Hood
Principal Accounting Officer