

DIGITAL INSIGHT CORP  
Form 10-Q  
November 09, 2006  
Table of Contents

---

**UNITED STATES**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**  
**Washington, D.C. 20549**

---

**FORM 10-Q**

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE**  
**SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2006

Commission file number 000-27459

---

**Digital Insight Corporation**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

**Delaware**  
(State of incorporation)

**77-0493142**  
(IRS Employer

Identification Number)

**26025 Mureau Road, Calabasas, CA 91302**

(Address of principal executive offices, including zip code)

**(818) 871-0000**

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

---

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Edgar Filing: DIGITAL INSIGHT CORP - Form 10-Q

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer  Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

As of October 31, 2006, there were 32,423,300 shares of the registrant's \$0.001 par value common stock outstanding.

---

**Table of Contents**

**DIGITAL INSIGHT CORPORATION**

**FORM 10-Q**

**INDEX**

	<b>Page</b>
<b>PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION</b>	
ITEM 1	
Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)	
<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2006 (unaudited) and December 31, 2005</u>	1
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations (unaudited) for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005</u>	2
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited) for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005</u>	3
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity (unaudited) for the nine months ended September 30, 2006</u>	4
<u>Notes To Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)</u>	5
ITEM 2	
<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	15
ITEM 3	
<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	25
ITEM 4	
<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	25
<b>PART II - OTHER INFORMATION</b>	
ITEM 1	
<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	26
ITEM 5	
<u>Other Information</u>	26
ITEM 6	
<u>Exhibits</u>	27
<u>SIGNATURES</u>	28

**Table of Contents****PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION****ITEM 1. CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DIGITAL INSIGHT CORPORATION****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

(in thousands, except share information)

	September 30, 2006	December 31, 2005
	(Unaudited)	
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 40,556	\$ 50,734
Short-term investments	49,410	65,421
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$1,365 and \$1,025	35,162	32,583
Accumulated implementation costs	2,330	2,125
Deferred tax asset, net	8,935	18,987
Prepaid and other current assets	4,892	3,932
<b>Total current assets</b>	<b>141,285</b>	<b>173,782</b>
Property and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of \$75,799 and \$69,705	32,011	29,609
Goodwill	102,408	136,382
Intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization of \$41,713 and \$38,782	6,457	9,388
Accumulated implementation costs	5,468	3,883
Long-term investments	3,949	8,802
Deferred tax asset, net	24,319	17,440
Other assets	5,893	6,116
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>\$ 321,790</b>	<b>\$ 385,402</b>
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 10,731	\$ 8,144
Accrued compensation and related benefits	7,403	6,504
Customer deposits and deferred revenue	16,817	16,250
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	11,737	8,931
Current portion of capital lease obligation	309	262
Tax contingency reserve	5,449	5,158
<b>Total current liabilities</b>	<b>52,446</b>	<b>45,249</b>
Customer deposits and deferred revenue	10,760	7,843
Capital lease obligations	1,025	1,241
<b>Total liabilities</b>	<b>64,231</b>	<b>54,333</b>

Commitments and contingencies (Note 5)

## Edgar Filing: DIGITAL INSIGHT CORP - Form 10-Q

<b>Stockholders' equity:</b>		
Common stock, \$.001 par value; 100,000,000 shares authorized; 39,060,430 shares issued and 32,622,961 shares outstanding at September 30, 2006 and 37,221,490 shares issued and 34,488,234 shares outstanding at December 31, 2005		
	39	37
Additional paid-in capital	516,615	472,729
Treasury stock, at cost; 6,129,057 shares at September 30, 2006 and 2,544,509 shares at December 31, 2005	(159,458)	(50,091)
Deferred stock-based compensation		(4,466)
Accumulated deficit	(99,637)	(87,140)
<b>Total stockholders' equity</b>	<b>257,559</b>	<b>331,069</b>
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 321,790	\$ 385,402

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents**

**DIGITAL INSIGHT CORPORATION**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

(Unaudited, in thousands, except per share data)

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2006	2005	2006	2005
Revenues	\$ 61,943	\$ 53,241	\$ 181,455	\$ 157,312
Cost of revenues (including stock-based compensation of \$582 and \$1,389 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively)	26,858	22,145	79,377	66,681
Gross profit	35,085	31,096	102,078	90,631
Operating expenses:				
Sales, general and administrative (including stock-based compensation of \$2,324 and \$5,681, \$131 and \$209 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively)	15,700	12,605	44,963	38,787
Research and development (including stock-based compensation of \$784 and \$1,859 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively)	8,408	6,127	22,873	17,169
Amortization of intangible assets	897	2,025	2,931	6,075
Goodwill impairment	31,982		31,982	
Restructuring charge	(181)		1,414	
Total operating expenses	56,806	20,757	104,163	62,031
Income (loss) from operations	(21,721)	10,339	(2,085)	28,600
Interest and other income, net	1,035	907	3,426	2,221
Income (loss) before provision for income taxes	(20,686)	11,246	1,341	30,821
Provision for income taxes	4,361	4,428	13,838	11,885
Net income (loss)	\$ (25,047)	\$ 6,818	\$ (12,497)	\$ 18,936
Basic net income (loss) per share	\$ (0.76)	\$ 0.20	\$ (0.37)	\$ 0.54
Diluted net income (loss) per share	\$ (0.76)	\$ 0.19	\$ (0.37)	\$ 0.53
Shares used to compute basic net income per share	32,985	34,122	33,934	34,803
Shares used to compute diluted net income per share	32,985	35,465	33,934	35,648

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents****DIGITAL INSIGHT CORPORATION****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

(Unaudited, in thousands)

	<b>Nine Months Ended September 30,</b>	
	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>
<b>Cash flows from operating activities:</b>		
Net income (loss)	\$ (12,497)	\$ 18,936
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization of property and equipment	9,943	8,854
Amortization of intangible assets	2,931	6,075
Stock-based compensation	8,929	209
Deferred income taxes	2,396	9,665
Loss on disposition of property and equipment	24	47
Restructuring, net of cash paid	938	
Income tax benefit on stock options exercised	10,479	1,917
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation	(5,993)	
Goodwill impairment	31,982	
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	(2,579)	1,547
Accumulated implementation costs	(1,790)	(238)
Prepaid and other current assets	(960)	(1,448)
Other assets	1,000	(5,722)
Accounts payable	2,717	(439)
Accrued compensation and related benefits	(15)	2,387
Customer deposits and deferred revenue	3,484	689
Other liabilities and tax contingency reserve	2,247	1,711
 Net cash provided by operating activities	 53,236	 44,190
<b>Cash flows from investing activities:</b>		
Purchases of investments:		
Held-to-maturity	(61,970)	(80,260)
Available-for sale	(11,546)	(12,743)
Other instruments		(500)
Proceeds from maturity of investments:		
Held-to-maturity	54,070	63,064
Available for sale	40,310	2,281
Purchase of property and equipment	(12,862)	(14,111)
 Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	 8,002	 (42,269)
<b>Cash flows from financing activities:</b>		
Principal payments on capital leases	(169)	
Acquisition of treasury stock	(106,259)	(46,184)
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	29,019	10,817
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation	5,993	
 Net cash used in financing activities	 (71,416)	 (35,367)
 Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	 (10,178)	 (33,446)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	50,734	64,682

Edgar Filing: DIGITAL INSIGHT CORP - Form 10-Q

Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 40,556	\$ 31,236
--	-----------	-----------

**Supplementary disclosures of cash flow information:**

Cash paid during the period for interest	\$ 65	\$
Cash paid during the period for income taxes	\$ 959	\$ 869

**Non-cash items:**

Accrued property and equipment	\$ 583	\$ 949
Accrued treasury stock purchase	\$ 751	\$
Settlement of Escrow Claim (see notes 2 and 5)		
Reduction of goodwill	\$ 1,992	\$
Increase in additional paid-in capital	\$ 365	\$
Return of common stock included in treasury stock	\$ 2,357	\$

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.



**Table of Contents****DIGITAL INSIGHT CORPORATION****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY**

(Unaudited, in thousands, except share data)

	Common Stock		Additional Paid-In Capital	Treasury Shares	Treasury Stock, at cost	Deferred Stock-Based Compensation	Accumulated Deficit	Total Stockholders Equity
	Shares	Amount						
Balance at December 31, 2005	37,221,490	\$ 37	\$ 472,729	(2,544,509)	\$ (50,091)	\$ (4,466)	\$ (87,140)	\$ 331,069
Reclassification of deferred stock-based compensation upon adoption of SFAS 123(R) (Note 9)			(4,466)			4,466		
Stock options exercised	1,551,831	2	27,230					27,232
Issuance of restricted stock	166,380							
Tax benefit on stock options exercised			10,479					10,479
Proceeds from other issuance of common stock	124,497		1,787					1,787
Purchase of treasury stock, at cost				(3,448,326)	(107,010)			(107,010)
Settlement of escrow claim			365	(119,834)	(2,357)			(1,992)
Stock-based compensation			8,929					8,929
Restricted stock forfeitures and other	(3,768)		(438)	(16,388)				(438)
Net income (loss)							(12,497)	(12,497)
Balance at September 30, 2006	39,060,430	\$ 39	\$ 516,615	(6,129,057)	\$ (159,458)		\$ (99,637)	\$ 257,559

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

---

**Table of Contents**

**DIGITAL INSIGHT CORPORATION**

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**(Unaudited)**

**1. The Company and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies**

**The Company**

Digital Insight Corporation (the Company), incorporated in March 1997 in Delaware, provides outsourced online banking applications and services to banks, credit unions and savings and loan associations. Its outsourcing operations include three operating segments: Internet banking, business banking and lending. The Internet banking segment includes online banking for consumers, including bill payment and bill presentment, and the business banking segment includes online banking for business organizations ranging from small businesses to large corporations. The lending operation provides tools that enable financial institutions to streamline underwriting decisions and process consumer loans originated through the Internet, branch and call center channels. Substantially all of the Company's revenues are derived from these products and services. The Company's consolidated financial statements include the accounts of its subsidiaries, and there were no inter-company transactions requiring elimination in consolidation.

**Method of presentation**

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements as of September 30, 2006 and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) and the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements in accordance with GAAP have been omitted or condensed in accordance with quarterly reporting requirements of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC). Independent auditors have not audited these condensed consolidated financial statements. The condensed consolidated financial statements include all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring adjustments), which are, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair statement of the consolidated financial condition, results of operations and cash flows for such periods. However, these results are not necessarily indicative of results for any other interim period or for the full year. The accompanying consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2005 has been derived from the audited consolidated financial statements, but does not include all disclosures required by GAAP.

Management believes that the disclosures included in the accompanying interim condensed consolidated financial statements and footnotes are adequate to make the information not misleading, but should be read together with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005.

**Adoption of Statement of Financial Accounting Standard 123(R)**

During the first quarter of fiscal 2006, the Company adopted the provisions of, and accounted for stock-based compensation in accordance with, the Financial Accounting Standards Board's (FASB) Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 revised 2004 (SFAS 123(R)), *Share-Based Payment*, which replaced Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (SFAS 123), *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*, and superseded APB Opinion No. 25 (APB 25), *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees*. Under the fair value recognition provisions of this statement, stock-based compensation cost is measured at the grant date based on the fair value of the award. The Company elected to recognize the expense on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period, which is the vesting period. The Company also elected the modified-prospective method, under which prior periods are not revised for comparative purposes. The compensation expense recognition provisions of SFAS 123(R) apply to new grants and to the unvested portion of grants that were outstanding as of the effective date. Estimated compensation for the unvested portion of grants that were outstanding as of the effective date will be recognized over the remaining service period using the compensation cost estimated for the SFAS 123 pro forma disclosures.

The application of SFAS 123(R) had a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements. See Note 9 for further information regarding our stock-based compensation assumptions and expenses, including pro forma disclosures for prior periods as if the Company had recorded stock-based compensation expense. The cumulative effect upon adoption of SFAS 123(R) was not material.



---

**Table of Contents**

Shares of newly issued common stock will be issued upon exercise of stock options or vesting of restricted stock.

**New accounting standards**

In May 2005, the FASB issued SFAS No. 154, *Accounting Changes and Error Corrections*. SFAS No. 154 replaces APB Opinion No. 20, *Accounting Changes*, and FASB Statement No. 3, *Reporting Accounting Changes in Interim Financial Statements*, and changes the requirements for the accounting for and reporting of a change in accounting principle. SFAS No. 154 applies to all voluntary changes in accounting principle and to changes required by an accounting pronouncement in the instance that the pronouncement does not include specific transition provisions. APB Opinion No. 20 previously required that most voluntary changes in accounting principle be recognized by including in net income of the period of the change the cumulative effect of changing to the new accounting principle. SFAS No. 154 requires retrospective application to prior periods' financial statements of changes in accounting principle, unless it is impracticable to determine either the period-specific effects or the cumulative effect of the change. SFAS No. 154 defines *retrospective application* as the application of a different accounting principle to prior accounting periods as if that principle had always been used or as the adjustment of previously issued financial statements to reflect a change in the reporting entity. SFAS No. 154 also redefines *restatement* as the revising of previously issued financial statements to reflect the correction of an error. SFAS No. 154 carries forward without change the guidance contained in APB Opinion No. 20 for reporting the correction of an error in previously issued financial statements and a change in accounting estimate. SFAS No. 154 also carries forward the guidance in APB Opinion No. 20 requiring justification of a change in accounting principle on the basis of preferability. SFAS No. 154 was effective in fiscal years beginning after December 31, 2005. The adoption of SFAS No. 154 did not have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

On November 3, 2005, the FASB issued FSP No. FAS 115-1 which addresses the determination as to when an investment is considered impaired, whether that impairment is other than temporary, and the measurement of an impairment loss. This FSP also includes accounting considerations subsequent to the recognition of an other-than-temporary impairment and requires certain disclosures about unrealized losses that have not been recognized as other-than-temporary impairments. The guidance in this FSP amends FASB Statement No. 115, *Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities*. FSP No. 115-1 was effective in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005. The adoption of this FSP did not have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

On November 10, 2005, the FASB issued FSP No. FAS 123(R)-3, *Transition Election Related to Accounting for Tax Effects of Share-Based Payment Awards*. The alternative transition method includes simplified methods to establish the beginning balance of the additional paid-in capital pool ( APIC pool ) related to the tax effects of employee stock-based compensation, and to determine the subsequent impact on the APIC pool and Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows of the tax effects of employee stock-based compensation awards that are outstanding upon adoption of SFAS 123(R). The Company has up to one year from the date of the adoption of FAS 123(R) to elect to use the simplified method. The Company is in the process of evaluating this FSP and the effect the election would have on the Company's financial statements.

In July 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes - an Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109* (FIN 48), which clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in tax positions. This Interpretation requires that the Company recognize in its financial statements the impact of a tax position if that position is more likely than not of being sustained on audit, based on the technical merits of the position. The provisions of FIN 48 are effective as of January 1, 2007, with the cumulative effect, if any, of the change in accounting principle recorded as an adjustment to opening retained earnings. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adopting FIN 48 on its consolidated financial statements.

In September 2006, the Securities and Exchange Commission issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 108, *Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements When Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements* (SAB 108), which provides guidance on the consideration of the effects of prior year misstatements in quantifying current year misstatements for the purpose of materiality assessment. The Company will be required to adopt the provisions of SAB 108 in its consolidated financial statements for fiscal year 2006. The Company does not believe that the adoption of SAB 108 will materially impact its current or prior year consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents**

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements* (SFAS 157). SFAS 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosure requirements about fair value measurements. The Company will be required to adopt the provisions of SFAS 157 beginning with its first quarter ending March 31, 2007. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adopting SFAS 157 on its condensed consolidated financial statements.

**Reclassification**

Certain prior year reported amounts have been reclassified to conform to the 2006 presentation. Accounts payable and accrued expenses and other liabilities related to the acquisition of property and equipment have been excluded from the statement of cash flows balances at September 30, 2006 and 2005. In addition, the related amount in property and equipment purchased during those periods has been excluded as well, with the activity being disclosed in the supplemental disclosure of non-cash activity. The amount has been reflected as a cash outflow under purchase of property and equipment in the period the liability was paid. The effect of this reclassification was a reduction of \$949,000 to previously reported cash used to purchase property and equipment for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 and a corresponding decrease to operating cash flows for the same period.

**2. Goodwill and Intangibles**

In June 2006, the Company settled its escrow arbitration claims with the former stockholders of Magnet Communications following the purchase of Magnet in 2003 (see note 5). As part of this settlement, the Company recovered 119,834 shares of common stock that have been held in escrow since the acquisition closed. The former stockholders of Magnet received the remaining 25,000 shares and approximately \$3.5 million in cash held in escrow. All of the shares held in escrow were included in the initial purchase price allocation. Consequently, goodwill and stockholders' equity were reduced by approximately \$2.0 million.

During the third quarter ended September 30, 2006, the Company's financial results and outlook for the lending operations continued to underperform relative to prior expectations. During the Company's preliminary 2007 planning process initiated in the third quarter, and based on continued underperformance and a reduced financial outlook, the Company determined that it could no longer justify the level of investment in the lending business that would be required to achieve revenue targets consistent with the Company's earlier forecasts. After evaluating the reduced financial outlook for future periods, and its impact on the estimated fair value of the lending reporting unit in accordance with FAS 142, the Company determined that the lending segment's goodwill was impaired. Separately, the Company announced that it is evaluating strategic and operating alternatives for the lending business towards a goal of eliminating its negative impact on the Company's operating profitability and management resources in 2007.

Goodwill by operating segment as of September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005 is set forth below (in thousands):

	<b>Internet Banking</b>	<b>Business Banking</b>	<b>Lending</b>	<b>Total</b>
Balance, December 31, 2005	\$ 70,110	\$ 34,290	\$ 31,982	\$ 136,382
Settlement of escrow		(1,992)		(1,992)
Goodwill impairment			(31,982)	(31,982)
Balance, September 30, 2006	\$ 70,110	\$ 32,298	\$	\$ 102,408

**Table of Contents**

As of September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, all other intangible assets were subject to amortization. A summary of the other intangible assets as of September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005 is set forth below (in thousands):

	Cost	Accumulated Amortization	Carrying Amount	Weighted Average Life
September 30, 2006:				
Customer relationships	\$ 33,330	\$ (27,752)	\$ 5,578	6 years
Acquired technology	12,840	(12,628)	212	4.1 years
Acquired backlog	1,800	(1,133)	667	4.5 years
Trade name	200	(200)		1 year
Total intangible assets	\$ 48,170	\$ (41,713)	\$ 6,457	

	Cost	Accumulated Amortization	Carrying Amount	Weighted Average Life
December 31, 2005:				
Customer relationships	\$ 33,330	\$ (26,310)	\$ 7,020	6 years
Acquired technology	12,840	(11,439)	1,401	4.1 years
Acquired backlog	1,800	(833)	967	4.5 years
Trade name	200	(200)		1 year
Total intangible assets	\$ 48,170	\$ (38,782)	\$ 9,388	

Amortization expense for all intangible assets was \$897,000 and \$2.0 million, and \$2.9 million and \$6.1 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Estimated intangible asset amortization expense remaining for the next five years is as follows (in thousands):

2006 (Remaining three months)	\$ 791
2007	2,321
2008	954
2009	788
2010	788

**3. Restructuring**

In December 2005, the Company initiated restructuring activities involving the outsourcing of the call center associated with the lending division and realigning certain resources to enhance profitability in the lending division. As a result of these restructuring activities, the Company reduced space utilized at one of its facilities, disposed of certain related assets and eliminated approximately 90 employee positions. The call center migration and the work force reduction were generally completed by April 30, 2006. The Company recorded charges for severance related payments, asset disposals and other related costs for these activities as a restructuring charge on the statements of operations.

The charges related to the restructuring were as follows (in thousands):

Total Restructuring Charges	Restructuring Charges Recognized for the Three Months	Cumulative Restructuring Charges Recognized through September 30, 2006
-----------------------------	---	--

Edgar Filing: DIGITAL INSIGHT CORP - Form 10-Q

	Expected to be Recognized	Ended September 30, 2006		
One-time termination benefits	\$ 832	\$ 1	\$	832
Contract termination costs	115	58		115
Other associated costs	897	(240)		897
Total	\$ 1,844	\$ (181)	\$	1,844

**Table of Contents**

The restructuring activity has resulted in the following accrual as of September 30, 2006 (in thousands):

	Restructuring Costs Accrued, Net as of June 30, 2006	Restructuring Costs Accrued in the Three Months Ended September 30, 2006	Payments made during the Three Months Ended September 30, 2006	Balance at September 30, 2006
One-time termination benefits	\$ 64	\$ 1	\$ (15)	\$ 50
Other associated costs		94	(94)	
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 64</b>	<b>\$ 95</b>	<b>\$ (109)</b>	<b>\$ 50</b>

**4. Reportable Segments and Major Customers**

The Company manages its business through three reportable segments: Internet banking, business banking and lending.

The results of operations from these reportable segments were as follows for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 (in thousands):

	Internet Banking	Business Banking	Lending	Unallocated (1)	Total
<b>Three months ended September 30, 2006:</b>					
Revenues	\$ 51,029	\$ 8,448	\$ 2,466	\$	\$ 61,943
Cost of revenues <sup>(4)</sup>	20,795	3,515	1,966	582	26,858
Gross profit	30,234	4,933	500	(582)	35,085
Operating expenses <sup>(2)</sup>	10,138	3,474	777	42,417	56,806
Income (loss) from operations	20,096	1,459	(277)	(42,999)	(21,721)
<b>Three months ended September 30, 2005:</b>					
Revenues	\$ 43,562	\$ 6,784	\$ 2,895	\$	\$ 53,241
Cost of revenues	17,161	2,776	2,208		22,145
Gross profit	26,401	4,008	687		31,096
Operating expenses <sup>(3)</sup>	8,639	3,237	1,219	7,662	20,757
Income (loss) from operations	17,762	771	(532)	(7,662)	10,339
<b>Nine months ended September 30, 2006:</b>					
Revenues	\$ 149,703	\$ 23,845	\$ 7,907	\$	\$ 181,455
Cost of revenues <sup>(4)</sup>	62,063	9,703	6,222	1,389	79,377
Gross profit	87,640	14,142	1,685	(1,389)	102,078
Operating expenses <sup>(2)</sup>	29,078	10,706	4,127	60,252	104,163
Income (loss) from operations	58,562	3,436	(2,442)	(61,641)	(2,085)
<b>Nine months ended September 30, 2005:</b>					
Revenues	\$ 128,041	\$ 19,875	\$ 9,396	\$	\$ 157,312
Cost of revenues	51,846	8,276	6,559		66,681
Gross profit	76,195	11,599	2,837		90,631
Operating expenses <sup>(3)</sup>	25,342	9,604	3,432	23,653	62,031
Income (loss) from operations	50,853	1,995	(595)	(23,653)	28,600

- (1) Unallocated amounts include intangible asset amortization, goodwill impairment, stock-based compensation, and general and administrative expenses that are not included in the measure of segment profit or loss used internally to evaluate the segment operating results.



## Edgar Filing: DIGITAL INSIGHT CORP - Form 10-Q

- (2) Unallocated operating expenses include \$897 and \$2,931 of intangible asset amortization, \$31,982 and \$31,982 in goodwill impairment, \$3,108 and \$7,540 of stock-based compensation under SFAS 123(R) and \$6,430 and \$17,799 of general and administrative expenses for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006.
- (3) Unallocated operating expenses include \$2,025 and \$6,075 of intangible asset amortization and \$5,637 and \$17,578 of general and administrative expenses for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005.
- (4) Unallocated cost of revenues includes \$582 and \$1,389 of stock-based compensation under SFAS 123(R) for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006.

The Company assesses the performance of its long-lived assets in the aggregate and accordingly assets are not presented on a segment basis.

For the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, no customer comprised more than 10% of total revenues. The Company has no significant foreign operations.

---

**Table of Contents**

**5. Commitments and Contingencies**

The Company accounts for contingent liabilities, including pending and threatened litigation and tax contingencies, in accordance with SFAS No. 5, *Accounting for Contingencies* and records liabilities when the outcome is deemed probable and the amount is reasonably estimable.

*In Re Digital Insight Corporation Initial Public Offering Securities Litigation*

On December 6, 2001, the Company and two of its former officers and directors and one current director were named as defendants in a class-action lawsuit filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. The lawsuit is captioned *In Re Digital Insight Corp. Initial Public Offering Securities Litigation*, No. 01 CV 11231. The claims, which were also asserted against the managing underwriters of the Company's previous public offerings, are based on allegations that the underwriter defendants solicited and received from certain investors, in exchange for allocating Digital Insight shares to the investors in connection with the previous public offerings, additional, excessive and undisclosed commissions and undisclosed commitments to purchase additional Digital Insight shares in the aftermarket. Other actions have been filed in New York making similar allegations regarding the public offerings of more than 300 other companies. Along with these companies and the individual defendants, but not the underwriter defendants, the Company has entered into a settlement agreement with the plaintiffs to settle the claims. As part of the settlement, once the settlement documents become effective, the plaintiffs will dismiss with prejudice the settling companies and individual defendants. On August 31, 2005, the court issued an order preliminarily approving the proposed settlement. In April 2006, the court held a fairness hearing for final approval of the proposed settlement and listened to arguments from counsel. The Company is awaiting the court's final ruling on the settlement proposal. In the opinion of management, after consultation with legal counsel and based on currently available information, the ultimate disposition of these matters is not expected to have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition or results of operations. No amounts have been accrued for this lawsuit.

*Magnet Claims*

Approximately \$3.5 million of cash and 144,834 shares of the Company's common stock issued in connection with its acquisition of Magnet were held in escrow. The Company notified the prior stockholders of Magnet that it had various claims against them in connection with the acquisition, and sought recovery of damages, including the amounts in escrow. The prior stockholders initiated arbitration proceedings in Los Angeles, California, seeking release of the escrowed amounts. In June 2006, the Company settled the arbitration claims and related legal proceedings. As part of this settlement, the Company recovered 119,834 shares of common stock held in escrow. The prior stockholders of Magnet received the remaining 25,000 shares and approximately \$3.5 million in cash held in escrow. The settlement agreement, which was also signed by certain former executives of Magnet in July 2006, provides the dismissal of all pending legal proceedings by all parties. All of the shares held in escrow were included in the purchase price allocation. Consequently, goodwill and stockholders' equity have been reduced by approximately \$2.0 million to reflect the shares returned to the Company.

In addition, the Company may be involved from time to time in litigation arising in the normal course of its business. Although the Company is currently not a party to litigation that it believes would have a material adverse effect, individually or in the aggregate, on its business, financial condition or results of operations, it is possible that in the future the Company could become a party to such proceedings.

*Tax Contingencies*

The Company records a contingent income tax liability for differences between the as-filed basis and book basis of certain tax assets and liabilities. As of September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, the cumulative tax contingency reserve of \$5.4 million and \$5.2 million, respectively, is included in current liabilities on the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets.

**6. Revolving Line of Credit**

We have in place a \$30 million revolving credit commitment (the *Revolver*) with a bank that expires on October 31, 2007. The interest rate for this *Revolver* is equal to either (i) the bank's prime rate; or (ii) LIBOR plus 1.75%. The Company may draw down against the *Revolver* by either electing the bank's prime rate or LIBOR for 1-month, 2-month, 3-month, or 6-month LIBOR terms. The *Revolver* is collateralized by all assets of the Company. There were no balances outstanding on the *Revolver* at September 30, 2006 or December 31, 2005.

**Table of Contents**

The Revolver includes covenants that require the Company to (i) maintain a tangible net worth of not less than \$110.0 million at all times, (ii) maintain positive quarterly income before taxes of not less than \$4,000,000, and (iii) maintain a ratio of current assets to current liabilities of greater than 1.50 to 1.0. The Company was not in compliance with the quarterly income before taxes covenant at September 30, 2006 due to the goodwill impairment. The Company obtained a waiver from the bank relating to this covenant.

**7. Treasury Stock**

On October 21, 2004, the Company announced that its Board of Directors had approved a share repurchase program for up to \$25.0 million of the Company's common stock for 12 months. The Company repurchased 1,503,244 shares for an aggregate cost of \$25.0 million.

In April 2005, the Company's Board of Directors approved another \$25.0 million stock repurchase program. The Company repurchased 1,038,100 shares for an aggregate cost of \$25.0 million.

In February 2006, the Company announced that its Board of Directors had approved a share repurchase program for up to \$50.0 million. The Company repurchased 1,492,744 shares for an aggregate cost of \$50.0 million.

In May 2006, the Company announced that its Board of Directors had approved a share repurchase program for up to \$50.0 million. As of September 30, 2006, the Company had repurchased 1,686,249 shares for an aggregate cost of \$49.8 million.

In August 2006, the Company announced that its Board of Directors had approved a share repurchase program for up to \$50.0 million. As of September 30, 2006, the Company had repurchased 269,333 shares for an aggregate cost of \$7.2 million.

There are no restrictions on the Company's treasury stock. The repurchased common stock is classified as Treasury stock, at cost in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets.

**8. Earnings (Loss) Per Share**

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted net income (loss) per share for the periods indicated (in thousands, except per share data):

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2006	2005	2006	2005
Net income (loss)	\$ (25,047)	\$ 6,818	\$ (12,497)	\$ 18,936
Denominator for basic calculation	32,985	34,122	33,934	34,803
Dilutive effect of common stock equivalents outstanding		1,343		845
Denominator for diluted calculation	32,985	35,465	33,934	35,648
Basic net income (loss) per share	\$ (0.76)	\$ 0.20	\$ (0.37)	\$ 0.54
Diluted net income (loss) per share	\$ (0.76)	\$ 0.19	\$ (0.37)	\$ 0.53

**Table of Contents**

Common stock equivalents that are not included in the diluted net income (loss) per share calculation above because to do so would be anti-dilutive for the periods indicated are as follows (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2006		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2005	
Stock options, restricted stock and employee stock purchase plan	1,648	641	1,261	1,156

**9. Stock-Based Compensation****Stock options**

Our stock option program is a long-term retention program that is intended to attract, retain and provide incentives for talented employees, officers and directors, and to align stockholder and employee interests. Currently, the Company grants options from: 1) the 1997 Stock Plan (the 1997 Plan), under which options could be granted to all employees, non-employee board members and consultants; 2) the 1999 Stock Plan (the 1999 Plan), under which options could be granted to all employees, non-employee board members and consultants; and 3) the 2001 Non-Employee Director Stock Option Plan, which provides for the granting of stock options to outside directors who are not employees of the Company. The plans listed above are collectively referred to in the following discussion as the Plans. Option vesting periods are generally four years for all of the Plans. The maximum contractual term of our options is ten years. Our option plans, excluding acquired plans, permit the grant of shares for up to 11.7 million shares of common stock.

**Restricted stock grants**

The Company grants restricted shares to employees under the 1999 Plan. Restricted stock grants generally vest annually over four years but are considered issued at the time of grant, as the stockholders are entitled to dividends and voting rights.

**1999 Employee Stock Purchase Plan**

The Company's 1999 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (the Purchase Plan) allows eligible employees to have up to 15% of their earnings withheld, up to certain maximums, and to be used to purchase shares of the Company's common stock on May 1 and November 1 of each year. The price of the common stock purchased under the Purchase Plan is equal to 85% of the lower of the fair market value of the common stock on the offering date of each two-year offering period or the specified purchase date. Our purchase plan permits the purchase of up to 2.1 million shares of common stock.

**Adoption of SFAS 123(R)**

The Company adopted SFAS 123(R) using the modified prospective transition method beginning January 1, 2006. The Company recognizes compensation expense based on the estimated grant date fair value method using the Black-Scholes valuation model using a straight-line amortization method. As SFAS 123(R) requires that stock-based compensation expense be based on awards that are ultimately expected to vest, stock-based compensation for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2006 has been reduced for estimated forfeitures. When estimating forfeitures, the Company considers voluntary termination patterns as well as trends of historical option forfeitures. The impact on the Company's results of operations of recording stock-based compensation was as follows (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2006		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006	
Cost of revenues	\$	582	\$	1,389
Sales, general and administrative		2,324		5,681
Research and development		784		1,859
	\$	3,690	\$	8,929

## Edgar Filing: DIGITAL INSIGHT CORP - Form 10-Q

Prior to adopting SFAS 123(R), the Company presented all tax benefits resulting from the exercise of stock options as operating cash flows in the condensed consolidated statement of cash flows. SFAS 123(R) requires cash flows resulting from excess tax benefits to be classified as a part of cash flows from financing activities. Excess tax benefits are realized tax benefits from tax

**Table of Contents**

deductions for exercised options in excess of the deferred tax asset attributable to stock compensation costs for such options. As a result of adopting SFAS 123(R), \$6.0 million of excess tax benefits for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 have been classified as a financing cash inflow. Cash received from option exercises and employee stock purchase plan purchases for the nine month periods ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, was \$29.0 million and \$10.8 million, respectively. The actual tax benefits recognized in net income related to stock-based compensation for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 were \$1.2 million and \$2.7 million, respectively. The actual tax benefits recognized in net income related to stock-based compensation for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005 were \$52,000 and \$83,000, respectively. Also, in connection with the adoption of SFAS 123(R), the Company reclassified \$4.5 million out of deferred stock-based compensation to additional paid-in capital.

**Valuation assumptions**

The following assumptions were used for each respective period to value option grants:

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 2006	September 30, 2005	September 30, 2006	September 30, 2005
Risk-free interest rates	4.56%-4.92%	3.83%-4.06%	4.31%-4.92%	3.28%-4.06%
Expected term (in years)	4.5	3.5	4.5	3.5
Dividend yield	0%	0%	0%	0%
Expected volatility	56%	63%	56%	63%

The Company's computation of expected volatility for 2006 is based on a combination of historical and market-based implied volatility from traded options on our stock. Prior to 2006, the Company's computation of expected volatility was based on historical volatility. The Company's computation of expected term in 2006 was determined based on historical experience of similar awards, giving consideration to the contractual terms of the stock-based awards, vesting schedules and expectations of future employee behavior. The interest rate is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant.

**Stock-based payment award activity**

The following table summarizes activity under the Company's equity incentive plans for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 (in thousands, except share and per share amounts):

	Shares	Weighted	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (in years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
		Average Exercise Price		
Outstanding at January 1, 2006	4,660,112	\$ 20.44		
Granted	701,500	32.69		
Exercised	(1,551,831)	17.55		
Forfeited/expired/cancelled	(200,409)	26.60		
Outstanding at September 30, 2006	3,609,372	\$ 23.71	7.31	\$ 29,279
Vested and expected to vest at September 30, 2006	3,354,786	\$ 23.47	6.96	\$ 28,140
Options exercisable at September 30, 2006	1,774,726	\$ 23.99	5.94	\$ 16,142

The aggregate intrinsic value is calculated as the difference between the exercise price of the underlying awards and the quoted price of our common stock for the 2.5 million options that were in-the-money at September 30, 2006. During the three months ended September 30, 2006

## Edgar Filing: DIGITAL INSIGHT CORP - Form 10-Q

and 2005, the aggregate intrinsic value of options exercised under the Company's stock option plans was \$583,000 and \$2.1 million, respectively, determined as of the date of option exercise. During the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, the aggregate intrinsic value of options exercised under the Company's stock option plans was \$25.5 million and \$4.4 million, respectively, determined as of the date of option exercise. As of September 30, 2006, there was approximately \$22.0 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested share-based compensation arrangements granted under the Company's stock awards plans. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.4 years. The total fair value of shares vested during the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 was \$2.4 million and \$3.9 million, respectively. The total fair value of shares vested during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 was \$8.0 million and \$11.2 million, respectively.

**Table of Contents**

The weighted average grant-date fair value of options granted in the nine month periods ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 was \$16.55 and \$8.97, respectively.

As of September 30, 2006, there was approximately \$8.4 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested restricted stock. The cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.1 years.

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, the stock-based compensation expense related to the activity under the Purchase Plan was \$504,000 and \$1.5 million, respectively. As of September 30, 2006, there was \$541,000 of unrecognized compensation cost related to the Purchase Plan which is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 0.8 years.

A summary of the status and changes of the Company's restricted stock related to the Company's stock plans as of and during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 is presented below:

	Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
Restricted stock at January 1, 2006	188,747	\$ 25.67
Granted	166,379	33.18
Vested	(46,715)	25.75
Forfeited	(3,768)	33.33
Restricted stock at September 30, 2006	304,643	\$ 29.66

**Pro forma information for periods prior to the adoption of SFAS 123(R)**

Prior to the adoption of FAS No. 123(R), the Company provided the disclosures required under FAS No. 123, as amended by FAS No. 148, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation - Transition and Disclosures*. Employee stock-based compensation expense recognized under SFAS 123(R) was not reflected in the Company's results of operations for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2005 for employee stock option awards as all options were granted with an exercise price equal to the market value of the underlying common stock on the date of grant. Forfeitures of awards were estimated and applied to determine stock-based compensation. Previously reported amounts have not been restated.

The pro forma information for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005 was as follows (in thousands, except per share amounts):

	Three Months Ended	
	September 30, 2005	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2005
Net income, as reported	\$ 6,818	\$ 18,936
Add: Stock-based compensation expense included in reported income, net of tax	79	126
Deduct: Total stock-based compensation expense determined under fair value based method, net of tax	885	3,316
Pro forma net income	\$ 6,012	\$ 15,746
Earnings per share:		
Basic - Reported	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.54
Pro forma	\$ 0.18	\$ 0.45
Diluted - Reported	\$ 0.19	\$ 0.53
Pro forma	\$ 0.17	\$ 0.44



---

## **Table of Contents**

### **ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

*The forward-looking statements included in this section involve risks and uncertainties, including anticipated financial performance, business prospects, anticipated capital expenditures and other similar matters. Such statements reflect management's best judgment based on factors currently known. Actual results and experience could differ materially from the anticipated results or other expectations expressed in our forward-looking statements as a result of a number of factors, including but not limited to those discussed under the caption "Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005. We undertake no obligation to update publicly any forward-looking statements for any reason after the date of this Quarterly Report.*

*The following discussion should be read together with our condensed consolidated financial statements and related notes.*

#### **Overview**

We manage our business through three reportable segments: Internet banking, business banking and lending. Our Internet banking segment includes online banking for consumers, which includes bill payment and bill presentment. Our business banking segment includes online business banking for small to large financial institutions. The lending operation provides tools that enable financial institutions to streamline underwriting decisions and process consumer loans originated through the Internet, branch and call center channels. In addition, general and administrative expenses and stock-based compensation expenses are managed on a company-wide basis across all of our operations and, therefore, these expenses are not included in the operating results of the business segments.

#### **Critical accounting policies**

The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and operating results are based on our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements. Preparation of this Form 10-Q requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of our financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results may differ from those estimates and assumptions. In preparing our financial statements and accounting for the underlying transactions and balances, we apply our accounting policies as disclosed in our Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. Critical accounting policies are described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005 and changes in our critical accounting policies from the Form 10-K are discussed below.

#### *Stock-based compensation*

Upon the adoption of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (revised 2004), *Share-Based Payment*, or SFAS 123(R), we now record stock-based compensation for all of our share-based awards. The application of this standard had a material impact on our consolidated statements of operations and cash flows.

During the first quarter of 2006, we implemented the following new critical accounting policy related to our stock-based compensation. Beginning on January 1, 2006, we began accounting for stock options, restricted stock, and employee stock purchase program shares under the provisions of SFAS 123(R), which requires the recognition of the fair value of stock-based compensation. Under the fair value recognition provisions for SFAS 123(R), stock-based compensation cost is estimated at the grant date based on the fair value of the awards expected to vest and recognized as expense ratably over the requisite service period of the award. We have used the Black-Scholes valuation model, or BSM, to estimate fair value of our stock-based awards which requires various judgmental assumptions including estimating stock price volatility, forfeiture rates, and expected term. Our computation of expected volatility is based on a combination of historical and market-based implied volatility. In addition, we consider many factors when estimating expected forfeitures and expected term, including types of awards, employee class, and historical experience. If any of the assumptions used in the BSM model change significantly, stock-based compensation expense may differ materially in the future from that recorded in the current period.

We adopted SFAS 123(R) using the modified prospective method which requires the application of the accounting standard as of January 1, 2006. Our consolidated financial statements for 2006 reflect the impact of SFAS 123(R). In accordance with the modified prospective method, the consolidated financial statements for prior periods have not been restated to reflect, and do not include, the impact of SFAS 123(R). The cumulative effect upon adoption of SFAS 123(R) was not material.

## **Table of Contents**

### **Known trends and uncertainties**

The following discussion identifies known trends and uncertainties currently impacting each of our business segments.

The growth in our Internet banking revenues during the first nine months of 2006 was driven primarily by an 18% increase in Internet banking end users and a 31% increase in online bill payment users at September 30, 2006 when compared to September 30, 2005. Revenue from end user growth was partially offset by a slight decrease in the average revenue per end user, due primarily to price discounts experienced at the time of contract renewal (generally once every three to five years) and the effects of tiered pricing incentives in most of our contracts. We expect a continuing modest decline in average monthly revenue per Internet banking end user over the next several quarters as our base of end users continues to increase and as customers renew their contracts with us. Additionally, growth in Internet banking and bill payment end users can vary significantly from quarter to quarter due to the timing of client implementations, merger and acquisition activity among our client base that can lead to client deactivations, the effectiveness of our cooperative marketing initiatives, and other factors outside of our control.

The growth in our business banking revenues during the first nine months of 2006 compared to 2005 was driven by a 15% increase in the number of business banking hosted end users and an increase in professional services revenues compared to the same period in 2005. We continue to invest significant resources to further develop our business banking software for large financial institutions (a product line resulting from our acquisition of Magnet in November 2003), including an initiative to standardize the Magnet software code. Our ability to serve our large bank clients from a common software code should lead to greater efficiency in future product development, and will allow us to be increasingly selective in contracting professional services projects. Since we will be pursuing fewer software customization contracts in the future, we expect reduced lower-margin revenue from non-recurring projects will moderate the business banking segment's revenue outlook in 2007. On the other hand, we expect business banking hosted end users and operating profitability for the segment to increase in 2007.

The decrease in our lending revenues during the nine month period ended September 30, 2006 reflects the continuation of a shift in the percentage of applications processed from the call center to our Internet channel, client attrition and \$500,000 in license fees recognized in the second quarter of 2005. Internet-based applications result in lower revenue per application compared to applications received through the call center. We expect the Internet channel to continue to increase as a percentage of total loan applications processed in future quarters. During the first half of 2006, we outsourced our lending call center to a third party provider of call center services and eliminated 90 positions in a restructuring of the lending business. Although outsourcing the call center has reduced our cost structure, our new lending platform has not achieved the market acceptance and sales targets we had previously anticipated. We expect that the lending business will continue to remain unprofitable for the balance of 2006. In light of the continuing underperformance relative to our prior financial projections, we are evaluating strategic and operating alternatives of this smaller business (accounting for 4% of total sales in 2006) towards a goal of eliminating its negative impact on our operating profitability and management resources in 2007.

### **Results of operations**

We manage our business through three reportable segments: Internet banking, business banking and lending.

**Table of Contents**

Summarized results of operations for our reportable segments were as follows for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005:

	Three Months Ended				Nine Months Ended			
	September 30,		2005		September 30,		2005	
	2006				2006			
	Dollars	%	Dollars	%	Dollars	%	Dollars	%
<b>Revenues:</b>								
Internet banking	\$ 51,029	82	\$ 43,562	82	\$ 149,703	83	\$ 128,041	81
Business banking	8,448	14	6,784	13	23,845	13	19,875	13
Lending	2,466	4	2,895	5	7,907	4	9,396	6
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 61,943</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>\$ 53,241</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>\$ 181,455</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>\$ 157,312</b>	<b>100</b>
	<b>Dollars</b>	<b>Margin<sup>(1)</sup></b>	<b>Dollars</b>	<b>Margin<sup>(1)</sup></b>	<b>Dollars</b>	<b>Margin<sup>(1)</sup></b>	<b>Dollars</b>	<b>Margin<sup>(1)</sup></b>
<b>Gross profit:</b>								
Internet banking	\$ 30,234	59%	\$ 26,401	61%	\$ 87,640	59%	\$ 76,195	60%
Business banking	4,933	58	4,008	59	14,142	59	11,599	58
Lending	500	20	687	24	1,685	21	2,837	30
Unallocated <sup>(5)</sup>	(582)				(1,389)			
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 35,085</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>\$ 31,096</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>\$ 102,078</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>\$ 90,631</b>	<b>58%</b>
	<b>Dollars</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>Dollars</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>Dollars</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>Dollars</b>	<b>%</b>
<b>Operating expenses:</b>								
Internet banking	\$ 10,138	18	\$ 8,639	42	\$ 29,078	28	\$ 25,342	41
Business banking	3,474	6	3,237	15	10,706	10	9,604	15
Lending	777	1	1,219	6	4,127	4	3,432	6
Unallocated <sup>(2) (3) (4)</sup>	42,417	75	7,662	37	60,252	58	23,653	38
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 56,806</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>\$ 20,757</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>\$ 104,163</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>\$ 62,031</b>	<b>100</b>
	<b>Dollars</b>	<b>Margin<sup>(1)</sup></b>	<b>Dollars</b>	<b>Margin<sup>(1)</sup></b>	<b>Dollars</b>	<b>Margin<sup>(1)</sup></b>	<b>Dollars</b>	<b>Margin<sup>(1)</sup></b>
<b>Income (loss) from operations:</b>								
Internet banking	\$ 20,096	39%	\$ 17,762	41%	\$ 58,562	39%	\$ 50,853	40%
Business banking	1,459	17	771	11	3,436	14	1,995	10
Lending	(277)	(11)	(532)	(18)	(2,442)	(31)	(595)	(6)
Unallocated <sup>(2) (3) (4)</sup>	(42,999)		(7,662)		(61,641)		(23,653)	
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ (21,721)</b>	<b>(35)%</b>	<b>\$ 10,339</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>\$ (2,085)</b>	<b>(1)%</b>	<b>\$ 28,600</b>	<b>18%</b>

(1) Margin represents dollar amounts as a percentage of revenues.

(2) Unallocated amounts include intangible asset amortization, goodwill impairment, stock-based compensation, and general and administrative expenses that are not included in the measure of segment profit or loss used internally to evaluate the segment operating results.

## Edgar Filing: DIGITAL INSIGHT CORP - Form 10-Q

- (3) Unallocated operating expenses include \$897 and \$2,931 of intangible asset amortization, \$31,982 and \$31,982 in goodwill impairment, \$3,108 and \$7,540 of stock-based compensation under SFAS 123(R) and \$6,430 and \$17,799 of general and administrative expenses for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006.
- (4) Unallocated operating expenses include \$2,025 and \$6,075 of intangible asset amortization and \$5,637 and \$17,578 of general and administrative expenses for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005.
- (5) Unallocated cost of revenues includes \$582 and \$1,389 of stock-based compensation under SFAS 123(R) for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006.

We assess the performance of our long-lived assets in the aggregate and, accordingly, assets are not presented on a segment basis.

For the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, no customer comprised more than 10% of total revenues. We have no significant foreign operations.

A large portion of our Internet banking revenue is attributable to the number of active Internet banking end users and bill payment end users at our financial institution customers. Summarized end users, in thousands, at September 30, 2006 and 2005 were as follows:

	September 30, 2006		September 30, 2005	
	End Users	Penetration <sup>(1)</sup>	End Users	Penetration <sup>(1)</sup>
Potential end users at live sites	37,600	n/a	36,700	n/a
Active Internet banking end users <sup>(2)</sup>	7,027	18.7%	5,960	16.2%
Bill payment end users	1,746	24.8%	1,328	22.3%

(1) Penetration for active end users is calculated as a percentage of potential end users at live sites. Penetration for bill payment end users is calculated as a percentage of active Internet banking end users.

(2) Active Internet banking end users are consumers whose basic account information is available online as specified by our contract with the financial institution.

### Three Months Ended September 30, 2006 Compared to Three Months Ended September 30, 2005

*Revenues:* Total revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2006 were \$61.9 million, an increase of 16% from \$53.2 million reported for the same period of the prior year.

---

**Table of Contents**

Our Internet banking division reported revenues of \$51.0 million for the quarter ended September 30, 2006 compared to \$43.6 million for the same quarter last year. This increase of \$7.5 million, or 17%, was primarily due to an increased number of active Internet banking end users and bill payment end users within our existing customer base and an increase of approximately \$1.1 million from other revenues including implementation fees, training fees and contract termination fees. Active Internet banking end users increased 18% to 7,027,000 users at September 30, 2006 from 5,960,000 users at September 30, 2005. Bill payment end users increased 31% to 1,746,000 users at September 30, 2006 from 1,328,000 users at September 30, 2005.

Revenues generated by the business banking division were \$8.4 million for the quarter ended September 30, 2006, compared to \$6.8 million for the quarter ended September 30, 2005. The increase of \$1.6 million, or 25%, is primarily attributable to an increase in the number of business banking hosted end users and an increase in professional services revenues in 2006 as compared to 2005.

Revenues related to the lending division for the quarter ended September 30, 2006 were \$2.5 million compared to \$2.9 million for the quarter ended September 30, 2005. This decrease of \$429,000, or 15%, resulted primarily from the continued shift in applications from the call center to the Internet channel (which results in lower revenue per application).

*Cost of Revenues:* Cost of revenues is comprised primarily of: salaries and related personnel expenses; network costs, depreciation and amortization of equipment and software used in providing our services; expenses related to the operation of our data centers, including communication services; fees paid to third parties including bill payment, online statement and check imaging vendors; amortization of deferred implementation costs, and stock-based compensation. Cost of revenues increased \$4.7 million, or 21%, to \$26.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2006 from \$22.1 million for the same period last year as a result of increases in the Internet banking and business banking divisions as described below. Cost of revenues also includes \$582,000 of stock-based compensation expense related to the application of SFAS 123(R) in 2006. Stock-based compensation has been excluded from the segment results.

Cost of revenues for the quarter ended September 30, 2006 included \$20.8 million related to our Internet banking division compared to \$17.2 million for the quarter ended September 30, 2005. This increase of \$3.6 million, or 21%, reflects an increase in third-party costs related to our bill pay product driven by an increase in revenue-generating bill pay users, and increased headcount in Internet banking operations and implementations.

Cost of revenues for the business banking division increased \$739,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2006 from \$2.8 million to \$3.5 million. Our cost of revenues increased as a result of the increase in revenues mentioned above.

Cost of revenues related to the lending division decreased slightly to \$2.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2006 as compared to the same period in the prior year primarily as a result of outsourcing the lending call center.

*Gross Profit:* Gross profit increased \$4.0 million, or 13%, from \$31.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2005 to \$35.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2006. Overall gross profit increased primarily due to the increase in revenues mentioned above.

Gross profit margin for the Internet banking business decreased slightly to 59% for the three months ended September 30, 2006 from 61% for the three months ended September 30, 2005, due primarily to product mix.

Gross profit for the business banking segment decreased slightly to 58% in the three months ended September 30, 2006 from 59% in the same period last year. The decrease is attributable to a greater percentage of revenues attributable to professional service projects in the third quarter of 2006.

Gross profit margin for the lending division decreased to 20% for the three months ended September 30, 2006 from 24% for the same period last year. The decrease in gross margin for the lending division is primarily attributable to the decrease in revenues.

*Operating Expenses:* Operating expenses include sales, general and administrative expenses, research and development, amortization of intangible assets, goodwill impairment, restructuring charges and stock-based compensation. Operating expenses were \$56.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2006, an increase of \$36.0 million, or 174%, from \$20.8 million in the three months ended September 30, 2005. This increase in operating expenses is primarily due to the impairment of goodwill of \$32.0 million, stock-based compensation expense of \$3.1 million related to the application of SFAS 123(R), and increases in research and development personnel costs of \$1.1 million. These increases were partially offset by a reduction in amortization of intangibles expense of \$1.1 million.



**Table of Contents**

*Sales, General and Administrative:* Sales, general and administrative expenses consist primarily of salaries and related expenses for executive, sales, marketing, finance, human resources and administrative personnel, sales commissions and other general corporate expenses. In addition, these expenses include marketing expenses related to expenditures for trade shows, promotions, end user marketing campaigns and payments under marketing alliance agreements with core data processing vendors.

Sales, general and administrative expenses increased \$3.1 million, or 25%, from \$12.6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2005 to \$15.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2006. This increase was due primarily to a \$2.2 million increase in stock-based compensation expense under SFAS 123(R) and the general expansion of the business.

*Research and Development:* Research and development expenses consist primarily of salaries, personnel expenses and consultant fees related to the design, development, testing and enhancement of our products and data processing vendor interface software, and stock-based compensation. Research and development expenses increased to \$8.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2006 from \$6.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2005. The increase of \$2.3 million was primarily due to an increase of \$1.1 million in product development personnel costs and the impact of SFAS 123(R) resulting in stock-based compensation expenses of \$784,000. We will continue to invest significantly in product enhancements, which could further increase our research and development expenses in the future.

*Amortization of Intangible Assets:* Amortization of intangible assets was \$897,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and \$2.0 million for the comparable prior year period. The decrease is due to certain intangibles becoming fully amortized during 2005.

*Goodwill Impairment:* During the third quarter ended September 30, 2006, our financial results and outlook for the lending operations continued to underperform our prior expectations. During our preliminary 2007 planning process initiated in the third quarter, and based on continued underperformance and a reduced financial outlook, we determined that we could not justify the level of investment in the lending business that would be required to achieve revenue targets consistent with our earlier forecasts. After evaluating our reduced financial outlook for future periods, and its impact on the estimated fair value of the lending reporting unit in accordance with FAS 142, we determined that the lending segment's goodwill was impaired. Separately, we announced that we are evaluating strategic and operating alternatives for the lending business towards a goal of eliminating its negative impact on our operating profitability and management resources in 2007.

*Restructuring charge:* In December 2005, we initiated restructuring activities involving the outsourcing of the call center associated with the lending division and realigning certain resources to enhance profitability in the lending division. As a result of these restructuring activities, we reduced the space utilized at one of our facilities, disposed of certain related assets and eliminated approximately 90 employee positions. The call center migration and the work force reduction were generally completed by April 30, 2006. We recorded charges for severance related payments, asset disposals and other related costs for these restructuring activities and these costs are included in operating expenses on the statements of operations.

The charges related to the restructuring were as follows (in thousands):

	<b>Total Restructuring Charges Expected to be Recognized</b>	<b>Restructuring Charges Recognized for the Three Months Ended September 30, 2006</b>	<b>Cumulative Restructuring Charges Recognized through September 30, 2006</b>
One-time termination benefits	\$ 832	\$ 1	\$ 832
Contract termination costs	115	58	115
Other associated costs	897	(240)	897
Total	\$ 1,844	\$ (181)	\$ 1,844

**Table of Contents**

The restructuring activity has resulted in the following accrual as of September 30, 2006 (in thousands):

	Restructuring Costs Accrued, Net as of June 30, 2006	Restructuring Costs Accrued in the Three Months Ended September 30, 2006	Payments Made During the Three Months Ended September 30, 2006	Balance at September 30, 2006
One-time termination benefits	\$ 64	\$ 1	\$ (15)	\$ 50
Other associated costs		94	(94)	
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 64</b>	<b>\$ 95</b>	<b>\$ (109)</b>	<b>\$ 50</b>

*Provision for Income Taxes:* During the three months ended September 30, 2006, we recorded a provision for income taxes of \$4.4 million compared to \$4.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2005. The current quarter's provision was adversely impacted by the non-deductible goodwill impairment charge. The tax rate for the three months ended September 30, 2005 was 39.4%. If the research and development credit is reinstated by Congress, we will have a reduction in our effective tax rate in the future.

As discussed in Liquidity and Capital Resources, we do not expect to make significant cash tax payments, except for alternative minimum tax, until 2007, due to utilization of our net operating loss and research and development credit carry-forwards to offset our current income tax liabilities.

**Nine months Ended September 30, 2006 Compared to Nine months Ended September 30, 2005**

*Revenues:* Total revenues for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 were \$181.5 million, an increase of 15% from the \$157.3 million reported for the same period of the prior year.

Our Internet banking division reported revenues of \$149.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to \$128.0 million for the same period of the prior year. This increase of \$21.7 million, or 17%, was primarily due to an increased number of active Internet banking end users and bill payment end users within our existing customer base and a \$2.6 million increase in other revenues including implementation fees, training fees and contract termination fees.

Revenues generated by the business banking division were \$23.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to \$19.9 million for the same period of the prior year. The increase of \$4.0 million, or 20%, is primarily attributable to an increase in the number of business banking hosted end users and an increase in professional services revenues in 2006 as compared to 2005.

Revenues related to the lending division for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 were \$7.9 million compared to \$9.4 million for the same period of the prior year. This decrease of \$1.5 million, or 16%, resulted primarily from attrition among certain key clients, a one-time license fee of approximately \$500,000 recognized in 2005 and a continued shift in applications from the call center to the Internet channel (which results in lower revenue per application).

*Cost of Revenues:* Cost of revenues is comprised primarily of: salaries and related personnel expenses; network costs, depreciation and amortization of equipment and software used in providing our services; expenses related to the operation of our data centers, including communication services; fees paid to third parties including bill payment, online statement, and check imaging vendors; amortization of deferred implementation costs, and stock-based compensation. Cost of revenues increased \$12.7 million, or 19%, to \$79.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 from \$66.7 million for the same period last year as a result of increases in the Internet banking and business banking divisions as described below. Cost of revenues also includes \$1.4 million of stock-based compensation expense related to the application of SFAS 123(R) in 2006. Stock-based compensation has been excluded from the segment results below.

Cost of revenues for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 included \$62.1 million related to our Internet banking division compared to \$51.8 million for the same period of the prior year. This increase of \$10.2 million, or 20%, reflects an increase in third-party costs related to our bill pay product driven by an increase in revenue-generating bill pay users, and increased headcount in Internet banking operations and implementations.



## Edgar Filing: DIGITAL INSIGHT CORP - Form 10-Q

Cost of revenues for the business banking division increased \$1.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 from \$8.3 million. Our cost of revenues increased as a result of the increase in revenues mentioned above.

---

**Table of Contents**

Cost of revenues related to the lending division decreased \$337,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 from \$6.6 million as a result of outsourcing the lending call center to a third party.

*Gross Profit:* Gross profit increased \$11.4 million, or 13%, from \$90.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 to \$102.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006. Overall gross profit increased primarily due to the increase in revenues mentioned above.

Gross profit margin for the Internet banking business decreased slightly to 59% for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 from 60% for the same period of the prior year primarily due to product mix.

The business banking gross profit margin increased to 59% in the nine months ended September 30, 2006 from 58% in the same period last year. The increase is attributable to rapid growth in business banking end users contributing to increased revenues while cost of revenues remained relatively flat.

Gross profit margin for the lending division decreased to 21% for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 from 30% for the same period last year. The decrease in gross margin for the lending division is primarily attributable to the decrease in revenues.

*Operating Expenses:* Operating expenses include sales, general and administrative expenses, research and development, amortization of intangible assets, goodwill impairment, restructuring charges and stock-based compensation. Operating expenses were \$104.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, an increase of \$42.1 million, or 68%, from \$62.0 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2005. This increase in operating expenses is primarily due to the impairment of goodwill of \$32.0 million, stock-based compensation expense related to the application of SFAS 123(R) of \$7.3 million, increases in research and development personnel costs of \$2.6 million, restructuring charges related to the lending division call center outsourcing of \$1.4 million, legal expenses associated with the Magnet settlement, and other personnel costs. These increases were partially offset by a reduction in amortization of intangibles expenses of \$3.1 million as described below and \$1.4 million in incentive compensation included in sales, general and administrative expenses during the nine months ended September 30, 2006.

*Sales, General and Administrative:* Sales, general and administrative expenses consist primarily of salaries and related expenses for executive, sales, marketing, finance, human resources and administrative personnel, sales commissions and other general corporate expenses. In addition, these expenses include marketing expenses related to expenditures for trade shows, promotions, end user marketing campaigns and payments under marketing alliance agreements with core data processing vendors.

Sales, general and administrative expenses increased \$6.2 million, or 16%, from \$38.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 to \$45.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006. This increase was due primarily to a \$5.5 million increase in stock-based compensation expense under SFAS 123(R) in 2006, legal expenses associated with the Magnet settlement and additional personnel costs, offset by a \$1.4 million decrease in incentive compensation.

*Research and Development:* Research and development expenses consist primarily of salaries, personnel expenses and consultant fees related to the design, development, testing and enhancement of our products and data processing vendor interface software, and stock-based compensation. Research and development expenses increased to \$22.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 from \$17.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005. The increase of \$5.7 million was primarily due to an increase of \$2.6 million in product development personnel costs, the impact of SFAS 123(R) resulting in stock-based compensation expenses of \$1.9 million, consulting costs of \$802,000, and an increase in depreciation expenses of \$169,000. We will continue to invest significantly in product enhancements, which could further increase our research and development expenses in the future.

*Goodwill Impairment:* See discussion in the three months ended September 30, 2006 compared to the three months ended September 30, 2005.

*Amortization of Intangible Assets:* Amortization of intangible assets was \$2.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and \$6.1 million for the comparable prior year period. The decrease is due to certain intangibles becoming fully amortized during 2005 and 2006.

---

## **Table of Contents**

*Provision for Income Taxes:* During the nine months ended September 30, 2006, we recorded a provision for income taxes of \$13.8 million compared to \$11.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005. The provision for the nine months ended was adversely affected by the non-deductible goodwill impairment charge. The tax rate for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 was 38.6%. If the research and development credit is re-instated by Congress, we will have a reduction in our effective tax rate in the future.

### **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

At September 30, 2006, we had cash and cash equivalents of \$40.6 million, short-term investments of \$49.4 million and long-term investments of \$3.9 million. Our investments are comprised of readily marketable commercial paper, corporate debt, U.S. government agency securities, auction rate debt and preferred securities, and our warrants in CashEdge as described below. For financial statement presentation, we classify our investments as short-term and long-term based upon their maturity dates. Short-term investments primarily mature within one year of the date of the financial statements and long-term investments mature between one and two years from September 30, 2006. We classify auction rate securities with maturity dates of more than one year as short-term investments as the established interest rate reset periods are less than one year. Our warrants in CashEdge are classified as long-term investments.

The market values of our investments are sensitive to changes in the level of U.S. interest rates and the market ratings of the underlying companies. Therefore, if investments we hold are sold prior to their maturity date, a gain or loss may result. We invest our cash in debt instruments of the U.S. government and its agencies, and in high quality investment grade corporate issuers. By policy, we limit the amount of credit exposure to any one issuer.

As of December 31, 2005, we had net operating loss carry-forwards for federal and state tax purposes of \$77.3 million and \$32.7 million, respectively. The net operating loss carry-forwards are subject to expiration dates if unused that begin in 2006 and extend through 2023. Under the provisions of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, certain substantial changes in ownership may limit the amount of net operating loss carry-forwards that could be utilized annually in the future to offset taxable income. As of December 31, 2005, we also had research credit carry-forwards for federal and state tax purposes of \$8.4 million and \$2.7 million, respectively, that will begin to expire in 2011 for federal income tax purposes; however, these credits do not expire for state income tax purposes.

In October 2004, we announced that our Board of Directors had approved a share repurchase program for up to \$25.0 million of our common stock for 12 months. We repurchased 1,503,244 shares for an aggregate cost of \$25.0 million.

In April 2005, our Board of Directors approved an additional \$25.0 million stock repurchase program. We repurchased 1,038,100 shares for an aggregate cost of \$25.0 million.

In June 2005, we entered into a strategic alliance agreement with CashEdge Inc., a leading provider of online financial applications, to become a distributor for CashEdge's account opening and funding solution. As part of this agreement, we pre-purchased transactions from CashEdge for use over a five-year term as well as obtained warrants to purchase common shares in CashEdge for aggregate consideration of \$6.25 million.

The estimated fair value of the warrants of \$500,000 was recorded in long-term investments. The warrants contain a cash-less exercise feature and are therefore considered a derivative financial instrument for accounting purposes. The warrants are marked to market at each reporting date with any unrealized gains or losses reflected in net income. The remaining \$5.67 million has been recorded in other current and non-current assets in the consolidated balance sheets reflecting the value of the new account opening transactions prepurchased from CashEdge of \$5.75 million less the value of certain transactions utilized in 2006.

In October 2005, we renewed and amended our agreement with a bank for a revolving credit commitment, or revolver, that expires on October 31, 2007. We increased the facility from \$20 million to \$30 million and made certain other amendments. Based on the amended terms of the revolver the interest rate is equal to either (i) the bank's prime rate or (ii) LIBOR plus 1.75%. We may draw down against the revolver by electing either the bank's prime rate or LIBOR for 1-month, 2-month, 3-month or 6-month LIBOR terms. The revolver is collateralized by all of our assets. There were no amounts outstanding under the revolver as of September 30, 2006. We were out of compliance with the quarterly income before tax covenant for the quarter ended September 30, 2006 and we obtained a waiver from the bank relating to this covenant.

---

**Table of Contents**

In February 2006, we announced that our Board of Directors had approved a share repurchase program for up to \$50.0 million. We repurchased 1,492,744 shares for an aggregate cost of \$50.0 million.

In May 2006, we announced that our Board of Directors had approved a share repurchase program for up to \$50.0 million. As of September 2006, we had repurchased 1,686,249 shares for an aggregate cost of \$49.8 million.

In August 2006, the Company announced that its Board of Directors had approved a share repurchase program for up to \$50.0 million. As of September 30, 2006, we had repurchased 269,333 shares for an aggregate cost of \$7.2 million.

Prior to adopting SFAS 123(R), we presented all tax benefits resulting from the exercise of stock options as operating cash flows in the Statement of Cash Flows. SFAS 123(R) requires cash flows resulting from excess tax benefits to be classified as a part of cash flows from financing activities. Excess tax benefits represent tax benefits related to exercised options in excess of the associated deferred tax asset for such options. As a result of adopting SFAS 123(R), \$6.0 million of excess tax benefits for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 have been classified as an operating cash outflow and a financing cash inflow.

Cash and cash equivalents decreased \$10.2 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2006 to \$40.6 million, due primarily to the acquisition of treasury stock of \$106.3 million and of property and equipment of \$12.9 million. These amounts were partially offset by net operating cash flows of \$53.2 million and \$29.0 million of proceeds from the issuance of common stock from our stock plans and \$20.9 million in proceeds from the sales of investments.

Net cash provided by operating activities increased \$9.0 for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 to \$53.2 million from \$44.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 due primarily to the increase in profitability, excluding the impacts of non-cash charges for goodwill impairment and stock-based compensation.

Net cash provided by investing activities was \$8.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to \$42.3 million of cash used in investing activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2005. The increase in cash provided by investing activities was primarily the result of an increase in net investment sales of \$49.0 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 as compared to 2005.

Net cash used in financing activities was \$71.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to \$35.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005. The increase in cash used in financing activities of \$36.0 million is primarily due to an increase in cash used to acquire treasury stock of \$60.0 million as compared to 2005. This increase in cash used was offset by an increase in proceeds from issuance of common stock in 2006 of \$18.2 million as compared to 2005 and an increase in excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation of \$6.0 million.

Although we expect to continue to expend significant amounts on ongoing research and development, computer and related data center equipment, and personnel, we believe that our cash, cash equivalents and cash flows from operations will be sufficient to satisfy our cash requirements for the foreseeable future. We have no material commitments, other than obligations under our operating and minimum vendor purchase commitments. We do, however, continually evaluate additional investments in our business, particularly in the area of acquisitions and product development and related infrastructure, which could potentially result in significant capital outlays in the future.

**Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements, Contractual Obligations and Commercial Commitments**

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, we did not have any new off-balance sheet transactions, arrangements or obligations, (including contingent obligations) that have, or are reasonably likely to have, a current or future material effect on our financial condition, changes in our financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources. There have been no significant changes in our off-balance sheet arrangements, contractual obligations or commercial commitments since December 31, 2005. Refer to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005 for further discussion of these items.

**Table of Contents****New accounting standards**

In May 2005, the FASB issued SFAS No. 154, *Accounting Changes and Error Corrections*. SFAS No. 154 replaces APB Opinion No. 20, *Accounting Changes*, and FASB Statement No. 3, *Reporting Accounting Changes in Interim Financial Statements*, and changes the requirements for the accounting for and reporting of a change in accounting principle. SFAS No. 154 applies to all voluntary changes in accounting principle and to changes required by an accounting pronouncement in the instance that the pronouncement does not include specific transition provisions. APB Opinion No. 20 previously required that most voluntary changes in accounting principle be recognized by including in net income for the period of the change the cumulative effect of changing to the new accounting principle. SFAS No. 154 requires retrospective application to prior periods financial statements of changes in accounting principle, unless it is impracticable to determine either the period-specific effects or the cumulative effect of the change. SFAS No. 154 defines *retrospective application* as the application of a different accounting principle to prior accounting periods as if that principle had always been used or as the adjustment of previously issued financial statements to reflect a change in the reporting entity. SFAS No. 154 also redefines *restatement* as the revising of previously issued financial statements to reflect the correction of an error. SFAS No. 154 carries forward without change the guidance contained in APB Opinion No. 20 for reporting the correction of an error in previously issued financial statements and a change in accounting estimate. SFAS No. 154 also carries forward the guidance in APB Opinion No. 20 requiring justification of a change in accounting principle on the basis of preferability. SFAS No. 154 was effective in fiscal years beginning after December 31, 2005. The adoption of SFAS No. 154 did not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

On November 3, 2005, the FASB issued FSP No. FAS 115-1, which addresses the determination as to when an investment is considered impaired, whether that impairment is other than temporary, and the measurement of an impairment loss. This FSP also includes accounting considerations subsequent to the recognition of an other-than-temporary impairment and requires certain disclosures about unrealized losses that have not been recognized as other-than-temporary impairments. The guidance in this FSP amends FASB Statements No. 115, *Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities*. FSP No. 115-1 was effective in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005. The adoption of this FSP did not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

On November 10, 2005 FASB issued FSP No. FAS 123(R)-3, *Transition Election Related to Accounting for Tax Effects of Share-Based Payment Awards*. The alternative transition method includes simplified methods to establish the beginning balance of the additional paid-in capital pool, or APIC pool, related to the tax effects of employee stock-based compensation, and to determine the subsequent impact on the APIC pool and Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows of the tax effects of employee stock-based compensation awards that are outstanding upon adoption of SFAS 123(R). We have up to one year from the date of the adoption of FAS 123(R) to elect to use the simplified method. We are in the process of evaluating this FSP and the effect the election would have on our financial statements.

In July 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes – an Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109*, or FIN 48, which clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in tax positions. This Interpretation requires that we recognize in our financial statements the impact of a tax position if that position is more likely than not of being sustained on audit, based on the technical merits of the position. The provisions of FIN 48 are effective as of January 1, 2007, with the cumulative effect, if any, of the change in accounting principle recorded as an adjustment to opening retained earnings. We are currently evaluating the impact of adopting FIN 48 on our condensed consolidated financial statements.

In September 2006, the Securities and Exchange Commission issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 108, *Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements When Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements* (SAB 108), which provides guidance on the consideration of the effects of prior year misstatements in quantifying current year misstatements for the purpose of materiality assessment. We will be required to adopt the provisions of SAB 108 in our consolidated financial statements for fiscal year 2006. We do not believe that the adoption of SAB 108 will materially impact our current or prior year condensed consolidated financial statements.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements* (SFAS 157). SFAS 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosure requirements about fair value measurements. We will be required to adopt the provisions of SFAS 157 beginning with its first quarter ending March 31, 2007. We are currently evaluating the impact of adopting SFAS 157 on our condensed consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents**

**ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURE ABOUT MARKET RISK**

We are exposed to the impact of interest rate changes and changes in the market values of our investments. In this regard, changes in U.S. interest rates affect the interest earned on our cash equivalents and our investment portfolio. We invest our excess cash in debt instruments of the U.S. government and its agencies, and in high-quality corporate issuers and, by policy, limit the amount of credit exposure to any one issuer.

An increase or decrease in market interest rates by 10% as of September 30, 2006 would not cause a significant change in the fair value of our investments. Although the fair value of short-term and long-term investments may change due to interest rate fluctuations, we have the ability to hold the investments to maturity, which reduces the overall risk.

We are also exposed to the impact of interest rate changes as they affect our revolving credit commitment. The interest rate charged on our bank facility varies with the bank's prime rate or LIBOR and, consequently, our interest expense would fluctuate with changes in the general level of these interest rates if we were to borrow any amounts under the facility. As of September 30, 2006, we had no amounts outstanding under our bank facility.

We are not exposed to foreign currency risk since all revenues and expenses are in U.S. dollars.

There were no significant changes to our market risk in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006.

**ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**

*Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures:* As of September 30, 2006, we carried out an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer. Based upon that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer concluded that as of such date our disclosure controls and procedures are effective in alerting them, in a timely manner, to material information to be included in our periodic SEC filings.

*Changes in Our Controls:* There has been no significant change in our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended September 30, 2006 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting. Our internal control over financial reporting is designed with the objective of providing reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of our financial reporting and preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

It should be noted that the design of any system of controls is based in part on certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions, regardless of how remote such conditions may be.

---

**Table of Contents**

**PART II OTHER INFORMATION**

**ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

*In Re Digital Insight Corporation Initial Public Offering Securities Litigation*

On December 6, 2001, Digital Insight and two of its former officers and directors and one current director were named as defendants in a class-action lawsuit filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. The lawsuit is captioned *In Re Digital Insight Corp. Initial Public Offering Securities Litigation*, No. 01 CV 11231. The claims, which were also asserted against the managing underwriters in our previous public offerings, are based on allegations that the underwriter defendants solicited and received from certain investors, in exchange for allocating Digital Insight shares to the investors in connection with the previous public offerings, additional, excessive and undisclosed commissions and undisclosed commitments to purchase additional Digital Insight shares in the aftermarket. Other actions have been filed in New York making similar allegations regarding the IPOs of more than 300 other companies. Along with these companies and the individual defendants, but not the underwriter defendants, we have entered into a settlement agreement with the plaintiffs to settle the claims. As part of the settlement, once the settlement documents become effective, the plaintiffs will dismiss with prejudice the settling companies and individual defendants. On August 31, 2005 the court issued an order preliminarily approving the proposed settlement. In April 2006, the court held a fairness hearing for final approval of the proposed settlement and listened to arguments from counsel. We are awaiting the court's final ruling on the settlement proposal. In the opinion of management, after consultation with legal counsel and based on currently available information, the ultimate disposition of these matters is not expected to have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations, and hence no amounts have been accrued for this lawsuit.

*Magnet Claims*

Approximately \$3.5 million of cash and 144,834 shares of our common stock issued in connection with the acquisition of Magnet were held in escrow. We notified the prior stockholders of Magnet that we had various claims against them in connection with the acquisition, and sought recovery of damages, including the amounts in escrow. The prior stockholders initiated arbitration proceedings in Los Angeles, California, seeking release of the escrowed amounts. In June 2006, we settled the arbitration claims and related legal proceedings. As part of this settlement, we recovered 119,834 shares of common stock held in escrow. The prior stockholders of Magnet received the remaining 25,000 shares and approximately \$3.5 million in cash held in escrow. The settlement agreement, which was also signed by certain former executives of Magnet in July 2006, provided the dismissal of all pending legal proceedings by all parties. All of the shares held in escrow were included in the purchase price allocation. Consequently, goodwill and stockholders' equity have been reduced by \$2.0 million to reflect the shares returned to us.

*Other*

In addition, we may be involved from time to time in litigation arising in the normal course of our business. Although we are currently not a party to such litigation that we believe would have a material adverse effect, individually or in the aggregate, on our business, financial condition, or results of operations, it is possible that in the future we could become a party to such proceedings.

**ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION**

During the third quarter ended September 30, 2006, our financial results and outlook for the lending operations continued to underperform relative to our prior expectations. During our preliminary 2007 planning process initiated in the third quarter and based on continued underperformance and a reduced financial outlook, we determined that we could no longer justify the level of investment in the lending business that would be required to achieve revenue targets consistent with our earlier forecasts. After evaluating the reduced financial outlook for future periods, and its impact on the estimated fair value of the lending reporting unit in accordance with FAS 142, we determined that the lending segment's goodwill was impaired. Separately, we announced that we are evaluating strategic and operating alternatives for the lending business towards a goal of eliminating its negative impact on our operating profitability and management resources in 2007.

**Table of Contents**

**ITEM 6. EXHIBITS**

(a) Exhibits

- 3.1 Third Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of registrant, as currently in effect. Incorporated by reference to the exhibits filed with our Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-81547), which was declared effective on September 30, 1999.
- 3.2 Restated Bylaws of registrant and Amendments One through Eight thereto, as currently in effect. Incorporated by reference to the exhibits filed with our Annual Reports on Form 10-K for the years ended December 31, 2002 and December 31, 2003.
- 31.1 Chief Executive Officer Certification pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.\*
- 31.2 Chief Financial Officer Certification pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.\*
- 32.1 Chief Executive Officer Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.\*
- 32.2 Chief Financial Officer Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.\*

\* Filed or furnished herewith.



**Table of Contents**

**Signatures**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

DIGITAL INSIGHT CORPORATION

Date: November 9, 2006

By: /s/ Paul J. Pucino  
Paul J. Pucino  
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer  
(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)