VALERO ENERGY CORP/TX Form 8-K May 04, 2012

# **UNITED STATES**

## **SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

# FORM 8-K

### **CURRENT REPORT**

Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the

Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Date of Report (Date of earliest event reported): May 3, 2012

# VALERO ENERGY CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware (State or other jurisdiction

of incorporation)

1-13175 (Commission 74-1828067 (IRS Employer

File Number)

Identification No.)

#### Edgar Filing: VALERO ENERGY CORP/TX - Form 8-K

#### **One Valero Way**

San Antonio, Texas (Address of principal executive offices) Registrant s telephone number, including area code: (210) 345-2000 78249 (Zip Code)

Check the appropriate box below if the Form 8-K filing is intended to simultaneously satisfy the filing obligation of the registrant under any of the following provisions (see General Instruction A.2. below):

- " Written communications pursuant to Rule 425 under the Securities Act (17 CFR 230.425)
- " Soliciting material pursuant to Rule 14a-12 under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14a-12)
- " Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 14d-2(b) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14d-2(b))
- " Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 13e-4(c) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.13e-4(c))

#### Item 5.07 Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.

The 2012 annual meeting of the stockholders of Valero Energy Corporation (Valero) was held May 3, 2012. Matters voted on at the annual meeting and the results thereof were as follows:

#### (1) <u>Proposal 1</u>: Election of directors. The election of each director was approved as follows.

<i>Ronald K. Calgaard</i> for against abstain broker non-votes	shares voted 387,619,450 10,626,330 730,938 66,389,727	required vote * >50.0%	vote received 97.33%
<i>Jerry D. Choate</i> for against abstain broker non-votes	shares voted 329,661,772 68,585,122 729,824 66,389,727	required vote * >50.0%	vote received 82.78%
Ruben M. Escobedo for against abstain broker non-votes	shares voted 337,427,857 60,758,290 790,571 66,389,727	required vote * >50.0%	vote received 84.74%
<i>William R. Klesse</i> for against abstain broker non-votes	shares voted 382,529,397 15,615,273 832,048 66,389,727	required vote * >50.0%	vote received 96.08%
<i>Bob Marbut</i> for against abstain broker non-votes	shares voted 326,680,196 68,565,106 3,731,416 66,389,727	required vote * >50.0%	vote received 82.65%
<i>Donald L. Nickles</i> for against abstain broker non-votes	shares voted 325,206,188 73,037,040 733,490 66,389,727	required vote * >50.0%	vote received 81.66%
<i>Philip J. Pfeiffer</i> for against abstain broker non-votes	shares voted 393,888,312 4,354,061 734,345 66,389,727	required vote * >50.0%	vote received 98.91%

<i>Robert A. Profusek</i> for against abstain broker non-votes	shares voted 334,198,107 64,044,591 734,020 66,389,727	required vote * >50.0%	vote received 83.92%
Susan Kaufman Purcell for against abstain broker non-votes	shares voted 388,034,787 10,185,812 756,119 66,389,727	required vote * >50.0%	vote received 97.44%
Stephen M. Waters for against abstain broker non-votes	shares voted 392,670,454 5,411,051 895,213 66,389,727	required vote * >50.0%	vote received 98.64%
<i>Randall J. Weisenburger</i> for against abstain broker non-votes	shares voted 390,907,541 7,327,661 741,516 66,389,727	required vote * >50.0%	vote received 98.16%
<i>Rayford Wilkins, Jr.</i> for against abstain broker non-votes	shares voted 334,972,519 63,283,429 720,770 66,389,727	required vote * >50.0%	vote received 84.11%

(2) <u>Proposal 2</u>: Ratify the appointment of KPMG LLP to serve as Valero s independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2012. The proposal was approved as follows:

Proposal 2	shares voted	required vote *	vote received
for	454,739,916	>50.0%	97.72%
against	9,794,754		
abstain	831,775		
broker non-votes	n/a		

(3) <u>Proposal 3</u>: Vote on an advisory resolution to ratify the 2011 compensation of the named executive officers listed in the proxy statement. The proposal was approved as follows:

Proposal 3 for	shares voted 306,615,533	required vote * >50.0%	vote received 76.85%
against	90,349,577		
abstain	2,011,608		
broker non-votes	66,389,727		

#### Stockholder Proposals:

(4) <u>Proposal 4</u>: Vote on a stockholder proposal entitled, Disclosure of Political Contributions. The proposal was not approved. Voting results for the proposal follow.

Proposal 4	shares voted	required vote *	vote received
for	112,774,604	>50.0%	28.27%
against	201,756,243		
abstain	84,445,871		
broker non-votes	66,389,727		

(5) <u>Proposal 5</u>: Vote on a stockholder proposal entitled, Report on Steps Taken to Reduce Risk of Accidents. The proposal was not approved. Voting results for the proposal follow:

Proposal 5	shares voted	required vote *	vote received
for	142,637,925	>50.0%	35.75%
against	181,362,022		
abstain	74,976,771		
broker non-votes	66,389,727		

#### \* <u>Notes</u>:

*Required votes.* For Proposal 1, as required by Valero s bylaws, each director is to be elected by a majority of votes cast with respect to that director s election. Proposals 2 through 5 required approval by the affirmative vote of a majority of the voting power of the shares present in person or by proxy at the Annual Meeting and entitled to vote.

*Effect of abstentions.* Shares voted to abstain are treated as present for purposes of determining a quorum. In the election of directors, pursuant to Valero s bylaws, shares voted to abstain are not deemed to be votes cast, and are accordingly disregarded. When, however, approval for a proposal requires (a) the affirmative vote of a majority of the voting power of the issued and outstanding shares of the company, or (b) the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares present in person or by proxy and entitled to vote, then shares voted to abstain have the effect of a negative vote.

*Effect of broker non-votes.* Brokers holding shares for the beneficial owners of such shares must vote according to specific instructions received from the beneficial owners. If specific instructions are not received, in some instances, a broker may nevertheless vote the shares in the broker s discretion. But under New York Stock Exchange rules, brokers are precluded from exercising voting discretion on certain proposals without specific instructions from the beneficial owner. This results in a broker non-vote on the proposal. A broker non-vote is treated as present for purposes of determining a quorum, has the effect of a negative vote when approval for a particular proposal requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the voting power of the issued and outstanding shares of the company, and has no effect when approval for a proposal requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the voting power of the shares present in person or by proxy and entitled to vote. Per the NYSE s rules, brokers had discretion to vote at the Annual Meeting on Proposal 2 only.

#### SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Company has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned hereunto duly authorized.

VALERO ENERGY CORPORATION

Date: May 4, 2012

By: /s/ Jay D. Browning Jay D. Browning Senior Vice President and Secretary