DIGI INTERNATIONAL INC Form 10-Q August 02, 2013

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549 FORM 10-Q	
QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION OF 1934	13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT
For the quarterly period ended: June 30, 2013	
OR	
 TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION OF 1934 	13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT
For the transition period from to	
Commission file number: 1-34033	
DIGI INTERNATIONAL INC.	
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)	
Delaware	41-1532464
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	(I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)
11001 Bren Road East	
Minnetonka, Minnesota	55343
(Address of principal executive offices) (952) 912-3444	(Zip Code)

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes b No o Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files.) Yes b No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer o	Accelerated filer þ	Non-accelerated filer o	Smaller reporting company o
		(Do not check if a smaller	
		reporting company)	
Indicate by check mark whet	her the registrant is a shell c	company (as defined in Rule 12t	o-2 of the Act). Yes o No þ

On July 30, 2013, there were 25,712,887 shares of the registrant's \$.01 par value Common Stock outstanding.

INDEX

	Page
PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION	1 age
ITEM 1. Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited):	
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three months and nine months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012	<u>3</u>
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the three months and nine months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012	<u>4</u>
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012	<u>5</u>
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012	<u>6</u>
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	7
ITEM 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	<u>17</u>
Forward-looking Statements	<u>17</u>
ITEM 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	<u>24</u>
ITEM 4. Controls and Procedures	<u>25</u>
PART II. OTHER INFORMATION	
ITEM 1. Legal Proceedings	<u>26</u>
ITEM 1A. Risk Factors	<u>26</u>
ITEM 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	<u>26</u>
ITEM 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities	<u>26</u>
ITEM 4. Mine Safety Disclosures	<u>26</u>
ITEM 5. Other Information	<u>26</u>
ITEM 6. Exhibits	<u>27</u>
EX-31.A EX-31.B EX-32 EX-101 INSTANCE DOCUMENT EX-101 SCHEMA DOCUMENT EX-101 CALCULATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT EX-101 DEFINITION LINKBASE DOCUMENT	

EX-101 LABELS LINKBASE DOCUMENT

EX-101 PRESENTATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DIGI INTERNATIONAL INC. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (UNAUDITED)

	Three mont	hs ended June 30,	Nine months	ended June 30,
	2013	2012	2013	2012
	(in thousand	ls, except per comn	non share data)	
Net sales	\$48,824	\$47,632	\$144,012	\$143,310
Cost of sales	24,104	22,328	69,852	67,793
Gross profit	24,720	25,304	74,160	75,517
Operating expenses:				
Sales and marketing	10,372	9,920	31,060	30,359
Research and development	7,606	7,779	22,798	23,764
General and administrative	4,850	4,560	16,356	13,808
Restructuring		963	(37) 1,259
Total operating expenses	22,828	23,222	70,177	69,190
Operating income	1,892	2,082	3,983	6,327
Other (expense) income, net:				
Interest income	58	71	160	217
Interest expense	_		(37) (15
Other (expense) income, net	(59) (192) 503	(37
Total other (expense) income, net	(1) (121) 626	165
Income before income taxes	1,891	1,961	4,609	6,492
Income tax provision (benefit)	363	(346) 851	1,339
Net income	\$1,528	\$2,307	\$3,758	\$5,153
Net income per common share:				
Basic	\$0.06	\$0.09	\$0.14	\$0.20
Diluted	\$0.06	\$0.09	\$0.14	\$0.20
Weighted average common shares:				
Basic	25,849	25,770	26,057	25,705
Diluted	26,114	26,043	26,323	26,138
The accompanying notes are an integral part of	f the condensed co	nsolidated financia	letatomonte	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

))

DIGI INTERNATIONAL INC. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS) (UNAUDITED)

	Three months ended June 30,			Nine months ended June 3		ded June 30,	
	2013	2012		2013		2012	
	(in thousands)						
Net income	\$1,528	\$2,307		\$3,758		\$5,153	
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax:							
Foreign currency translation adjustment	127	(2,533)	(3,252)	(4,455)
Change in net unrealized (loss) gain on investments	(13)	(7)	(72)	80	
Less income tax benefit (provision)	5	3		28		(31)
Reclassification of realized loss on investments						12	
included in net income (1)						12	
Less income tax benefit (2)						(5)
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	119	(2,537)	(3,296)	(4,399)
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$1,647	\$(230)	\$462		\$754	
(1)Recorded in Other (expense) income, net in our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.							

(2) Recorded in Income tax provision (benefit) in our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

June 30, 2013

September 30, 2012

Table of Contents

DIGI INTERNATIONAL INC. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (UNAUDITED)

	Julie 30, 2013	September 30, 2012		
	(in thousands, except share data)			
ASSETS				
Current assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$45,892	\$60,246		
Marketable securities	44,806	58,372		
Accounts receivable, net	24,969	24,634		
Inventories	25,296	24,435		
Deferred tax assets	3,151	3,389		
Other	5,772	2,493		
Total current assets	149,886	173,569		
Marketable securities, long-term	13,108	2,016		
Property, equipment and improvements, net	14,480	15,157		
Identifiable intangible assets, net	11,021	10,629		
Goodwill	102,611	86,209		
Deferred tax assets	5,232	5,010		
Other	528	494		
Total assets	\$296,866	\$293,084		
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY				
Current liabilities:				
Accounts payable	\$8,505	\$6,040		
Income taxes payable	—	1,269		
Accrued compensation	7,718	5,744		
Accrued warranty	1,132	1,021		
Other	3,424	4,118		
Total current liabilities	20,779	18,192		
Income taxes payable	3,284	3,294		
Deferred tax liabilities	444	630		
Other noncurrent liabilities	97	111		
Total liabilities	24,604	22,227		
Contingencies (see Note 10)				
Stockholders' equity:				
Preferred stock, \$.01 par value; 2,000,000 shares authorized; none issued				
and outstanding				
Common stock, \$.01 par value; 60,000,000 shares authorized; 30,145,957	201	202		
and 29,268,788 shares issued	301	293		
Additional paid-in capital	210,248	199,495		
Retained earnings	114,041	110,283		
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(17,021)	(13,725)		
Treasury stock, at cost, 4,384,774 and 3,356,453 shares	(35,307)	(25,489)		
Total stockholders' equity	272,262	270,857		
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$296,866	\$293,084		
The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidate	ed financial statements			

))

DIGI INTERNATIONAL INC. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED)

(UNAUDITED)	Nine mon June 30,	ths ended	
	2013 (in thousa	2012	
Operating activities:	(III tilousa	nus)	
Net income	\$3,758	\$5,153	
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:	ψ5,750	$\psi 3,133$	
Depreciation of property, equipment and improvements	2,551	2,483	
Amortization of identifiable intangible assets	3,326	3,537	
Stock-based compensation	2,906	2,798	
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation	(60) (133)
Deferred income tax benefit	(1,397) (1,791)
Bad debt/product return provision	616	521)
Inventory obsolescence	759	1,080	
Restructuring		1,259	
Other	(109) (81)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities (net of acquisition)	(4,242) (5,867)
Net cash provided by operating activities	8,108	8,959	,
Investing activities:	0,100	0,707	
Purchase of marketable securities	(49,121) (64,234)
Proceeds from maturities of marketable securities	51,773	45,998	,
Proceeds from sale of investment		135	
Acquisition of business, net of cash acquired	(12,919) —	
Purchase of property, equipment, improvements and certain other intangible assets	(2,415) (3,003)
Net cash used in investing activities	(12,682) (21,104)
Financing activities:		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation	60	133	
Proceeds from stock option plan transactions	1,171	600	
Proceeds from employee stock purchase plan transactions	740	811	
Purchases of common stock	(10,577) —	
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(8,606) 1,544	
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(1,174) (1,869)
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(14,354) (12,470)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	60,246	54,684	
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$45,892	\$42,214	
Supplemental schedule of non-cash investing and financing activities:			
Issuance of common stock for business acquisition	\$(6,804) \$—	
Securities purchased, not settled	\$(250) \$—	
The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial sta	atements.		

<u>Table of Contents</u> DIGI INTERNATIONAL INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION OF UNAUDITED INTERIM CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Basis of Presentation

The interim unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements included in this Form 10-Q have been prepared by Digi International Inc. (the "Company," "Digi," "we," "our," or "us") pursuant to the rules and regulations of the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"). Certain information and footnote disclosures, normally included in consolidated financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("U.S. GAAP"), have been condensed or omitted pursuant to such rules and regulations. These condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related notes thereto, including (but not limited to) the summary of significant accounting policies, presented in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2012 as filed with the SEC ("2012 Financial Statements").

The condensed consolidated financial statements presented herein reflect, in the opinion of management, all adjustments which consist only of normal, recurring adjustments necessary for a fair statement of the condensed consolidated balance sheets and condensed consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income and cash flows for the periods presented. The condensed consolidated results of operations for any interim period are not necessarily indicative of results for the full year. The year-end condensed consolidated balance sheet data were derived from our 2012 Consolidated Financial Statements, but do not include all disclosures required by U.S. GAAP. Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

Adopted

In April 2013, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") 2013-07, "Presentation of Financial Statements (Topic 205); Liquidation Basis of Accounting." The objective of this guidance is to clarify when an entity should apply the liquidation basis of accounting and to provide principles for the measurement of assets and liabilities under the liquidation basis of accounting, as well as any required disclosures. The amendments in this standard are effective prospectively for entities that determine liquidation is imminent during annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2013, and interim reporting periods therein. Early adoption is permitted. We adopted this guidance in the third quarter of fiscal 2013. Since liquidation is not imminent, the adoption did not have an impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In February 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-02, "Comprehensive Income (Topic 220); Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income." This updated guidance improves the reporting of significant items reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income and requires an entity to present, either on the face of the statement where net income is presented or in the notes, separately for each component of comprehensive income, the current period reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income by the respective line items of net income affected by the reclassification. The updated guidance is effective prospectively for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2012. We adopted this guidance beginning January 1, 2013. Other than requiring additional disclosures that are in the footnotes to the Condensed Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss), the adoption did not have an effect on our consolidated financial statements. Not Adopted

In March 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-05, "Foreign Currency Matters (Topic 830); Parent's Accounting for the Cumulative Translation Adjustment upon Derecognition of Certain Subsidiaries or Groups of Assets within a Foreign Entity or of an Investment in a Foreign Entity." This guidance applies to the release of the cumulative translation adjustment into net income when a parent either sells a part or all of its investment in a foreign entity or no longer holds a controlling financial interest in a subsidiary or group of assets that is a business (other than a sale of in

substance real estate or conveyance of oil and gas mineral rights) within a foreign entity. ASU No. 2013-05 is effective prospectively for fiscal years (and interim reporting periods within those years) beginning after December 15, 2013. We will adopt this guidance beginning with our fiscal quarter ending December 31, 2014. We currently are reviewing the provisions of ASU No. 2013-05 but do not expect it to have an effect on our consolidated financial statements as we do not intend to sell any foreign entities for which we hold a controlling financial interest.

2. EARNINGS PER SHARE

Basic net income per common share is calculated based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted net income per common share is computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of common and potentially dilutive common shares outstanding during the period. Potentially dilutive common shares of our stock result from dilutive common stock options, restricted stock units and shares purchased through our employee stock purchase plan.

The following table is a reconciliation of the numerators and denominators in the net income per common share calculations (in thousands, except per common share data):

	Three months ended June 30,		Nine months er	nded June 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012	
Numerator:					
Net income	\$1,528	\$2,307	\$3,758	\$5,153	
Denominator:					
Denominator for basic net income per common	25,849	25,770	26.057	25,705	
share — weighted average shares outstanding	23,049	23,770	20,037	25,705	
Effect of dilutive securities:					
Employee stock options and restricted stock units	265	273	266	433	
Denominator for diluted net income per common	26,114	26,043	26,323	26,138	
share — adjusted weighted average shares	20,114	20,043	20,525	20,130	
Net income per common share, basic	\$0.06	\$0.09	\$0.14	\$0.20	
Net income per common share, diluted	\$0.06	\$0.09	\$0.14	\$0.20	

Because their effect would be anti-dilutive, certain potentially dilutive shares related to stock options to purchase common shares were not included in the above computation of diluted earnings per common share. This is because the options' exercise prices were greater than the average market price of our common shares. For the three and nine month periods ended June 30, 2013, there were 1,516,657 and 2,194,757 potentially dilutive shares related to such stock options. For the three and nine month periods ended June 30, 2013, there were 1,516,657 and 2,194,757 potentially dilutive shares related to such stock options. For the three and nine month periods ended June 30, 2012, there were 3,254,285 and 2,019,690 potentially dilutive shares related to such stock options.

3. ACQUISITION

Etherios, Inc.

On October 31, 2012, we acquired Etherios, Inc. ("Etherios"). The total purchase price of \$20.5 million included \$13.7 million in cash (excluding cash acquired of \$0.8 million) and \$6.8 million represented by 715,571 shares of our common stock. The common stock issued was valued at \$9.508 per common share.

Cash in the amount of \$2.35 million was deposited to an escrow fund with a third party agent. Of the \$2.35 million escrow, \$0.3 million related to a holdback amount pending final determination of the unpaid debt and working capital as shown on the closing balance sheet. This holdback amount was paid in February 2013 as there were no changes to the closing balance sheet. An additional \$2.05 million is held in escrow for a period not to exceed eighteen months from the date of closing to satisfy possible claims that may arise pursuant to specific representation and warranty sections of the stock purchase agreement. The escrowed amounts have been included in the determination of the purchase consideration on the date of acquisition as management expects that the representation and warranty matters is determinable beyond a reasonable doubt.

Costs related to the acquisition, which include legal, accounting and valuation fees, in the amount of \$0.2 million have been charged directly to operations and are included in general and administrative expense in our consolidated statement of operations for the nine months ended June 30, 2013.

The purchase price was allocated to the estimated fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed. The purchase price allocation resulted in the recognition of \$17.1 million of goodwill. We believe that the acquisition resulted in the recognition of goodwill primarily because Etherios is a salesforce.com Platinum Partner and experienced in end user implementation of the

3. ACQUISITION (CONTINUED)

Salesforce Service Cloud. Although we believe the relationship with salesforce.com is important to us, it is not an exclusive relationship and requires Etherios to compete with others for business opportunities. Accordingly, we have determined that this relationship cannot be valued as a separate intangible asset of Etherios and as a result is a component of goodwill.

As salesforce.com has signaled its intent for the Service Cloud to be used as a means to monitor machines, we also believe that the acquisition of Etherios will likely further enhance our solutions offerings and provide another channel for net sales of our networking products.

Etherios' operating results are included in our consolidated results of operations from the date of acquisition. The consolidated balance sheet as of June 30, 2013 reflects the allocation of the purchase price to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their estimated fair values at the date of acquisition.

The Etherios acquisition has been accounted for using the acquisition method of accounting which requires, among other things, that most assets acquired and liabilities assumed pursuant to the stock purchase agreement be recognized at fair value as of the acquisition date. Our estimate for goodwill is not yet finalized and is subject to change. We expect to finalize the purchase price allocation by the end of fiscal 2013.

The table below sets forth the preliminary purchase price allocation (in thousands):

The tuble below sets forth the premining purchase price unocution (in thousands).	
Cash, including cash in escrow	\$13,696
Common stock	6,804
Total	\$20,500
Fair value of net tangible assets acquired	\$1,367
Identifiable intangible assets:	
Existing customer relationships	1,400
Non-compete agreements	1,100
Trade name	440
Order backlog	360
Goodwill	17,120
Deferred tax liabilities related to identifiable intangibles	(1,287)
Total	\$20,500

The weighted average useful life for all the identifiable intangibles listed above is 5.7 years. For purposes of determining fair value, the existing customer relationships identified above are assumed to have useful lives ranging between six to eight years, non-compete agreements are assumed to have useful lives of five years, the trade name is assumed to have a useful life of seven years, and the order backlog is assumed to have a useful life of one year. Useful lives for identifiable intangible assets are estimated at the time of acquisition based on the periods of time from which we expect to derive benefits from the identifiable intangible assets. The identifiable intangible assets are amortized using the straight-line method which reflects the pattern in which the asset is expected to be consumed. We have determined that the Etherios acquisition is not material to our consolidated results of operations or financial position. Pro forma financial information therefore is not presented.

4. SELECTED BALANCE SHEET DATA (in thousands)

	June 30, 2013	September 30, 2012
Accounts receivable, net:		
Accounts receivable	\$25,343	\$24,929
Less allowance for doubtful accounts	374	295
	\$24,969	\$24,634
Inventories:		
Raw materials	\$20,159	\$18,159
Work in process	411	428
Finished goods	4,726	5,848
	\$25,296	\$24,435

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market value, with cost determined using the first-in, first-out method. 5. MARKETABLE SECURITIES

Our marketable securities consist of certificates of deposit, commercial paper, corporate bonds and government municipal bonds. We analyze our available-for-sale marketable securities for impairment on an ongoing basis. When we perform this analysis, we consider factors such as the length of time and extent to which the securities have been in an unrealized loss position and the trend of any unrealized losses. We also consider whether an unrealized loss is a temporary loss or an other-than-temporary loss based on factors such as: (a) whether we have the intent to sell the security, (b) whether it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell the security before its anticipated recovery, or (c) permanent impairment due to bankruptcy or insolvency.

In order to estimate the fair value for each security in our investment portfolio, we obtain quoted market prices and trading activity for each security where available. We obtain relevant information from our investment advisor and, if warranted, also may review the financial solvency of certain security issuers. As of June 30, 2013, 62 of our 71 securities that we are holding were trading below our amortized cost basis. We determined each decline in value to be temporary based upon the above described factors. We expect to realize the fair value of these securities, plus accrued interest, either at the time of maturity or when the security is sold. All of our current holdings are classified as available-for-sale marketable securities and are recorded at fair value on our consolidated balance sheet with the unrealized gains and losses recorded in accumulated other comprehensive loss. All of our current marketable securities will mature in less than one year and our non-current marketable securities will mature in less than 3 years. At June 30, 2013 our marketable securities were (in thousands):

,	Amortized	Unrealized	Unrealized	Fair Value	
	Cost(1)	Gains	Losses (2)	(1)	
Current marketable securities:					
Corporate bonds	\$34,163	\$6	\$(47	\$34,122	
Certificates of deposit	3,004		(3	3,001	
Government municipal bonds	7,682	2	(1) 7,683	
Current marketable securities	44,849	8	(51) 44,806	
Non-current marketable securities:					
Corporate bonds	2,030		(5) 2,025	
Certificates of deposit	8,002		(34) 7,968	
Government municipal bonds	3,117		(2) 3,115	
Non-current marketable securities	13,149		(41) 13,108	
Total marketable securities	\$57,998	\$8	\$(92	\$57,914	
	1 1 .	6 0 0 0			

(1)Included in amortized cost and fair value is purchased and accrued interest of \$388.

(2) The aggregate related fair value of securities with unrealized losses as of June 30, 2013 was \$47,140. These investments have been in an unrealized loss position for less than twelve months.

5. MARKETABLE SECURITIES (CONTINUED)

At September 30, 2012 our marketable securities were (in thousands):

	Amortized	Unrealized	Unrealized	Fair Value
	Cost (1)	Gains	Losses (2)	(1)
Current marketable securities:				
Corporate bonds	\$39,306	\$14	\$(19) \$39,301
Commercial paper	2,000		—	2,000
Certificates of deposit	7,262		(4) 7,258
Government municipal bonds	9,814	1	(2) 9,813
Current marketable securities	58,382	15	(25) 58,372
Non-current marketable securities:				
Corporate bonds	2,019		(3) 2,016
Total marketable securities	\$60,401	\$15	\$(28) \$60,388
(1) In aluded in amountimed as at and fair realize is much as a	and account into	reat of \$195		

(1)Included in amortized cost and fair value is purchased and accrued interest of \$485.

The aggregate related fair value of securities with unrealized losses as of September 30, 2012 was \$34,503. These (2) investments have been in an unrealized loss position for less than twelve months.

6. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

Fair value is defined as the amount that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants as of the measurement date. This standard also establishes a hierarchy for inputs used in measuring fair value. This standard maximizes the use of observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs by requiring that the most observable inputs be used when available. Observable inputs are inputs market participants would use in valuing the asset or liability based on market data obtained from independent sources. Unobservable inputs are inputs that reflect our assumptions about the factors market participants would use in valuing the best information available in the circumstances. The categorization of financial assets and liabilities within the valuation hierarchy is based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

The hierarchy is broken down into the following three levels:

Level 1 — Inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 — Inputs include quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, and inputs (other than quoted prices) that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly.

Level 3 — Inputs are unobservable for the asset or liability and their fair values are determined using pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies or similar techniques and at least one significant model assumption or input is unobservable. Level 3 may also include certain investment securities for which there is limited market activity or a decrease in the observability of market pricing for the investments, such that the determination of fair value requires significant judgment or estimation.

Fair value is applied to financial assets such as our marketable securities, which are classified and accounted for as available-for-sale. These items are stated at fair value at each reporting period using the above guidance.

6. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS (CONTINUED)

The following tables provide information by level for financial assets that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis (in thousands):

	Total carrying value at June 30, 2013	Fair Value M June 30, 2013 Quoted price in active markets (Level 1)	•	t Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3)
Cash equivalents:				
Money market	\$13,894	\$13,894	\$—	\$ <u> </u>
Available-for-sale marketable securities:				
Corporate bonds	36,147		36,147	
Certificates of deposit	10,969		10,969	
Government municipal bonds	10,798		10,798	_
Total cash equivalents and marketable securities measured at fair value	\$71,808	\$13,894	\$57,914	\$—
	Total carrying value at September 30, 2012		easurements a), 2012 using: Significant other observable inputs (Level 2)	t Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3)
Cash equivalents:				
Money market	\$28,355	\$28,355	\$—	\$—
Available-for-sale marketable securities:				
Corporate bonds	41,317		41,317	
Commercial paper	2,000	—	2,000	
Certificates of deposit	7,258		7,258	
Government municipal bonds	9,813		9,813	—
Total cash equivalents and marketable securities measured at fair value	\$88,743	\$28,355	\$60,388	\$—

Cash equivalents are measured at fair value using quoted market prices in active markets for identical assets and are therefore classified as Level 1 assets. We value our Level 2 assets using inputs that are based on market indices of similar assets within an active market. There were no transfers into or out of our Level 2 financial assets during the nine months ended June 30, 2013.

We had no financial assets valued with Level 3 inputs as of June 30, 2013 nor did we purchase or sell any Level 3 financial assets during the nine months ended June 30, 2013.

The use of different assumptions, applying different judgment to matters that inherently are subjective and changes in future market conditions could result in different estimates of fair value of our securities, currently and in the future. If market conditions deteriorate, we may incur impairment charges for securities in our investment portfolio.

7. GOODWILL AND OTHER IDENTIFIABLE INTANGIBLE ASSETS Amortizable identifiable intangible assets were (in thousands):

June 30, 2013 September 30, 2012 Gross Gross Accum. Accum. Net carrying Net carrying amort. amort. amount amount \$46,248 \$46,597 Purchased and core technology \$(44,193) \$2,055 \$(43,639) \$2,958 License agreements 2,840 2,840 (2,737)) 103 (2,682)) 158 Patents and trademarks 11,924 (9,302 10,943) 2,622 (8,469) 2,474 Customer maintenance contracts 700 (700)) — 700 (700)) — Customer relationships (13,531) 5,168 17,504 (12,465) 5,039 18,699 Non-compete agreements 2,131 (1.178)) 953 1,045 (1.045)) — Order backlog) 120 360 (240)Total \$82,902 \$(71,881) \$11,021 \$79,629 \$(69,000) \$10,629

Amortization expense was \$1.1 million for each of the three month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012. Amortization was \$3.3 million and \$3.5 million for the nine month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Amortization expense is recorded on our consolidated statements of operations within cost of sales and in general and administrative expense. Estimated amortization expense related to identifiable intangible assets for the remainder of fiscal 2013 and the five succeeding fiscal years is (in thousands):

2013 (three months)	\$1,093
2014	3,809
2015	2,805
2016	1,466
2017	706
2018	482
The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill are (in thousands):	

	Nine months ended June 30,
	2013 2012
Beginning balance, October 1	\$86,209 \$86,012
Acquisition of Etherios, Inc.	17,120 —
Foreign currency translation adjustment	(718) (468
Ending balance, June 30	\$102,611 \$85,544

The goodwill related to the acquisition of Etherios is not tax deductible. Etherios is included in our single reporting segment for purposes of goodwill impairment testing.

Goodwill is tested for impairment on an annual basis as of June 30, or more frequently if events or circumstances occur which could indicate impairment. The calculation of goodwill impairment requires us to make assumptions about the fair value of our one reporting unit, which historically has been approximated by using our market capitalization plus a control premium. Control premium assumptions require judgment and actual results may differ from assumed or estimated amounts.

At June 30, 2013, we had approximately \$102.6 million of goodwill on our balance sheet. Our test for potential goodwill impairment is a two-step approach. We estimate the fair value for our one reporting unit by comparing its fair value (market capitalization plus control premium) to our carrying value. If the carrying value of the reporting unit exceeds its estimated fair value, the second step of the goodwill impairment analysis requires us to measure the amount of the impairment loss. An impairment loss is calculated by comparing the implied fair value of the goodwill to its carrying amount. To calculate the implied fair value of goodwill, the fair value of the reporting unit's assets and liabilities, excluding goodwill, is estimated. The

)

7. GOODWILL AND OTHER IDENTIFIABLE INTANGIBLE ASSETS (CONTINUED)

excess of the fair value of the reporting unit over the amount assigned to its assets and liabilities, excluding goodwill, is the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill.

In June 2012 we performed a control premium study to determine the appropriate control premium to include in the calculation of fair value, using a third party valuation firm to assist us in performing the control premium analysis. In order to estimate the range of control premiums appropriate for us, three methodologies were used, including: (1) analysis of individual transactions within our industry; (2) analysis of industry-wide data, and (3) analysis of global transaction data. The control premium analysis resulted in a range of control premium of 30 percent to 45 percent. We reviewed the data provided and estimated that a 40 percent control premium best represents the amount an investor would likely pay, over and above market capitalization, in order to obtain a controlling interest given the economic conditions at that time. Based on our industry knowledge and recent discussions with our third party valuation firm, we concluded that the control premium study that was performed in conjunction with our annual goodwill impairment assessment at June 30, 2012 remains valid and that the 40 percent control premium used in our prior year's assessment continues to best represent the amount an investor likely would pay, over and above market capitalization, in order to obtain a controlling interest given the current economic conditions. At June 30, 2013, our market capitalization was \$241.4 million compared to our carrying value of \$272.3 million. Our market capitalization plus our estimated control premium of 40% resulted in a fair value in excess of our carrying value by a margin of 24%. As a result, no impairment was indicated and we were not required to complete the second step of the goodwill impairment analysis. No goodwill impairment charges were recorded.

If our stock price or control premium declines, the first step of our goodwill impairment analysis may fail. We have defined the criteria that could result in additional interim goodwill impairment testing. We would perform the second step of the impairment testing if our stock price fell below defined thresholds for a significant period of time, or if our control premium significantly decreased. Events or circumstances may occur that could negatively impact our stock price, including changes in our anticipated revenues and profits and our ability to execute on our strategies. In addition, our control premium could decline due to changes in economic conditions in the technology industry, in the financial markets or more generally. An impairment could have a material effect on our consolidated balance sheet and results of operations.

8. INCOME TAXES

Income taxes have been provided at an overall effective rate of 18.5% and 20.6% for the nine month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The overall effective tax rate includes the discrete items mentioned in the following paragraphs. Our effective tax rate will vary based on a variety of factors, including overall profitability, the geographical mix of income before taxes and related statutory tax rate in each jurisdiction, and discrete events, such as settlements of audits.

In the third quarter of fiscal 2013, we recorded a discrete tax benefit of \$0.1 million for the release of income tax reserves due to the expiration of the statutes of limitation for U.S. Federal income tax for fiscal 2009. In addition, we recorded adjustments of estimates in connection with the filing of tax returns for the U.S. and a foreign tax jurisdiction. In the second quarter of fiscal 2013, we recorded a discrete tax benefit of \$0.4 million resulting from the enactment of legislation on January 2, 2013 extending the research and development tax credit for the last three quarters of fiscal 2012. In the first quarter of fiscal 2013, we recorded a discrete tax benefit of \$0.1 million for the release of income tax reserves due to the expiration of the statutes of limitation from various U.S. and foreign tax jurisdictions. These discrete tax benefits reduced our effective tax rate by 13.7 percentage points for the nine month period ended June 30, 2013. The effective tax rate for the first nine months of fiscal 2013, before consideration of the impact of the discrete tax benefit, was lower than the Federal statutory rate primarily due to the current year benefit of the federal research and development tax credit.

In the third quarter of fiscal 2012, we recorded a discrete tax benefit of \$1.1 million for additional research and development tax credits identified for fiscal years ended September 30, 2009, 2010 and 2011. In the first quarter of fiscal 2012, we recorded a discrete tax benefit of \$0.1 million for the release of income tax reserves due to the expiration of statutes of limitation from various U.S. tax jurisdictions. These discrete tax benefits reduced our

effective tax rate by 18.6 percentage points for the nine month period ended June 30, 2012. The effective tax rate for the first nine months of fiscal 2012, before consideration of the impact of the discrete tax benefit, was higher than the Federal statutory rate primarily due to additions of certain reserves for unrecognized tax benefits and an adjustment for foreign income taxed at the U.S. rate, which was partially offset by adjustments in domestic tax benefits.

8. INCOME TAXES (CONTINUED)

A reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of unrecognized tax benefits is (in thousands):

Unrecognized tax benefits as of September 30, 2012	\$2,720
Increases related to:	
Prior year income tax positions	202
Decreases related to:	
Expiration of statute of limitations	(191
Unrecognized tax benefits as of June 30, 2013	\$2,731
The total amount of unrecognized tax benefits that, if recognized, would affect our effective tax rate is \$	2.7 million.

We expect that it is reasonably possible that the total amounts of unrecognized tax benefits will increase approximately \$0.4 million over the next 12 months due to increases in current year reserves, partially offset by a release of reserves due to the expiration of the statute of limitations.

We recognize interest and penalties related to income tax matters in income tax expense. During both the nine month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, there were insignificant amounts of interest and penalties related to income tax matters in income tax expense. We had accrued interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits at both June 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012 of \$0.6 million . Our long-term income taxes payable on our condensed consolidated balance sheets includes these accrued interest and penalties in addition to the unrecognized tax benefits in the table above.

At June 30, 2013, we had approximately \$24.5 million of accumulated undistributed foreign earnings, for which we have not accrued additional U.S. tax. Our policy is to reinvest earnings of our foreign subsidiaries indefinitely to fund current operations and provide for future international expansion opportunities, and only repatriate earnings to the extent that U.S. taxes have already been recorded. Although we have no current need to do so, if we change our assertion that we do not intend to repatriate additional undistributed foreign earnings for cash requirements in the United States, we would have to accrue applicable taxes. The amount of any taxes and the application of any tax credits would be determined based on the income tax laws at the time of such repatriation. Under current tax laws, we estimate the unrecognized deferred tax liability to be in the range of \$2.5 million to \$3.5 million which could have a material impact on our current consolidated balance sheet, results of operations and cash flows.

We operate in multiple tax jurisdictions both in the U.S. and outside of the U.S. Accordingly, we must determine the appropriate allocation of income to each of these jurisdictions. This determination requires us to make several estimates and assumptions. Tax audits associated with the allocation of this income, and other complex issues, may require an extended period of time to resolve and may result in adjustments to our income tax balances in those years that are material to our consolidated balance sheet and results of operations. We are no longer subject to income tax examination for tax years prior to fiscal 2010, except for certain refund claims applicable to fiscal 2009, in the case of U.S. federal tax authorities and prior to fiscal 2008 for non-U.S. income tax authorities. For state taxing authorities, most notably in Minnesota, California and Texas, we are no longer subject to income tax examination for tax years generally before fiscal 2008.

9. PRODUCT WARRANTY OBLIGATION

In general, we warrant our products to be free from defects in material and workmanship under normal use and service. The warranty periods generally range from one to five years. We typically have the option to either repair or replace products we deem defective with regard to material or workmanship. Estimated warranty costs are accrued in the period that the related revenue is recognized based upon an estimated average per unit repair or replacement cost applied to the estimated number of units under warranty. These estimates are based upon historical warranty incidents and are evaluated on an ongoing basis to ensure the adequacy of the warranty accrual.

)

9. PRODUCT WARRANTY OBLIGATION (CONTINUED)

The following table summarizes the activity associated with the product warranty accrual (in thousands) and is included on our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as its own line item within current liabilities:

	Balance at	Warranties	Settlements		Balance at
Period	April 1	issued	made		June 30
Three months ended June 30, 2013	\$925	\$331	\$(124)	\$1,132
Three months ended June 30, 2012	\$981	\$132	\$(115)	\$998
	Balance at	Warranties	Settlements		Balance at
Period	Balance at October 1	Warranties issued	Settlements made		Balance at June 30
Period Nine months ended June 30, 2013)	
	October 1	issued	made		June 30

We are not responsible for, and do not warrant that, custom software versions, created by original equipment manufacturer (OEM) customers based upon our software source code, will function in a particular way, will conform to any specifications or are fit for any particular purpose. Further, we do not indemnify these customers from any third-party liability as it relates to or arises from any customization or modifications made by the OEM customer. 10. CONTINGENCIES

Patent Infringement Lawsuits

On May 29, 2012, U.S. Ethernet Innovations, LLC ("USEI") filed a patent infringement lawsuit against us in federal court in the Eastern District of Texas. The lawsuit included allegations against us and one other company pertaining to the infringement of four patents related to Ethernet technology. On April 22, 2013, we announced the settlement of this patent infringement lawsuit for \$1.5 million, which was recorded in general and administrative expense on our Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations during the second quarter of fiscal 2013. The settlement was paid during the third quarter of fiscal 2013. The settlement fully resolves the claims by USEI with no future payment obligations. Net of taxes, the settlement was \$1.0 million and therefore reduced earnings per diluted share for the second quarter of fiscal 2013 by approximately \$0.04.

On May 11, 2010, SIPCO, LLC filed a complaint naming us as a defendant in federal court in the Eastern District of Texas. This claim subsequently was moved to the Northern District of Georgia. The complaint included allegations against us and five other companies pertaining to the infringement of SIPCO's patents by wireless mesh networking and multi-port networking products. On October 23, 2012, we settled the lawsuit for a payment of \$500,000, which was recorded in general and administrative expense on our Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2012, and we entered into a royalty-bearing license agreement for future sales of licensed products sold during the term of the agreement through 2018. We do not expect this license agreement to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements in the future.

In addition to the matters discussed above, in the normal course of business, we are subject to various claims and litigation. There can be no assurance that any claims by third parties, if proven to have merit, will not materially adversely affect our business, liquidity or financial condition. With the exception of certain capitalized legal costs related to patents and trademarks, we expense our legal costs as incurred and record them in general and administrative expense.

11. RESTRUCTURING

U.S. Restructuring

On April 26, 2012, we announced our intention to restructure certain of our operations. We recorded \$1.0 million of restructuring charges on a pre-tax basis. The restructuring related primarily to changes being implemented to focus on a shift in our business to more aggressively sell end-to-end M2M solutions. As a result of this restructuring, we eliminated employment positions in our work force of 30 employees at a cost of \$0.6 million for severance and have moved to hire new employees or re-assign existing employees into newly created positions. We also expected to incur expenses from vacating facilities in Davis, California and Huntington Beach, California at a cost of approximately

\$0.4 million. The payments associated with these charges and all the actions associated with the restructuring were completed during the second quarter of fiscal 2013.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Our management's discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012, as well as our subsequent reports on Forms 10-Q and 8-K.

SAFE HARBOR STATEMENT UNDER THE PRIVATE SECURITIES LITIGATION REFORM ACT OF 1995

This Form 10-Q contains certain statements that are "forward-looking statements" as that term is defined under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, and within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Forward-Looking Statements

The words "assume," "believe," "anticipate," "intend," "estimate," "target," "may," "will," "expect," "plan," "project," "should the negative thereof or other variations thereon or similar terminology, which are predictions of or indicate future events and trends and which do not relate to historical matters, identify forward-looking statements. Among other items, these statements relate to expectations of the business environment in which we operate, projections of future performance, perceived marketplace opportunities and statements regarding our mission and vision. Such statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions, including risks related to the highly competitive market in which our company operates, rapid changes in technologies that may displace products sold by us, declining prices of networking products, our reliance on distributors and other third parties to sell our products, delays in product development efforts, uncertainty in user acceptance of our products, the ongoing shift of our sales efforts to focus more on the delivery of broader based solutions which can be a more complex sales process, has not been a historical sales focus of our company and can involve longer sales cycles than the sale of our legacy hardware products, the ability to integrate our products and services with those of other parties in a commercially accepted manner, potential liabilities that can arise if any of our products have design or manufacturing defects, our ability to defend or settle satisfactorily any litigation, uncertainty in global economic conditions and economic conditions within particular regions of the world which could negatively affect product demand and the financial solvency of customers and suppliers, the impact of natural disasters and other events beyond our control that could negatively impact our supply chain and customers, the ability to achieve the anticipated benefits and synergies associated with acquisitions, such as our recently announced purchase of Etherios, Inc., and changes in our level of revenue or profitability which can fluctuate for many reasons beyond our control. These and other risks, uncertainties and assumptions identified from time to time in our filings with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission, including without limitation, our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2012 and subsequent quarterly reports on Form 10-Q and other filings, could cause the company's future results to differ materially from those expressed in any forward-looking statements made by us or on our behalf. Many of such factors are beyond our ability to control or predict. These forward-looking statements speak only as of the date for which they are made. We disclaim any intent or obligation to update any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

Our discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of our condensed consolidated financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities and the values of purchased assets and assumed liabilities in acquisitions. We base our estimates on historical experience and various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates. A description of our critical accounting policies and estimates was provided in the Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations section of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2012. There have been no material changes to our critical accounting policies as disclosed in that

report.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (CONTINUED)

OVERVIEW

We are a leading provider of machine to machine (M2M) networking products and solutions that enable the connection, monitoring and control of local or remote physical assets by electronic means. These networking products and solutions can connect communication hardware to a physical asset and convey information about the asset's status and performance, which can be sent to a computer system and used to improve or automate one or more processes. Increasingly these products and solutions are being deployed via wireless networks as wireless communications become more and more prevalent. Our products are deployed by a wide range of businesses and institutions. We compete for customers on the basis of existing and planned product features, service and software application capabilities, company reputation, brand recognition, technical support, relationships with partners, quality and reliability, product development capabilities, price and availability.

Net sales were \$48.8 million for the third quarter of fiscal 2013, including revenue from Etherios' consulting services of \$3.4 million, compared to \$47.6 million for the third quarter of fiscal 2012, an increase of \$1.2 million, or 2.5%. North American net sales increased by \$2.7 million, or 9.9%, and international net sales decreased by \$1.5 million, or 7.8%, in the third quarter of fiscal 2013 compared to the comparable quarter a year ago.

Net income was \$1.5 million in the third quarter of fiscal 2013, or \$0.06 per diluted share, compared to \$2.3 million, or \$0.09 per diluted share, in the third quarter of fiscal 2012. Net income in the third quarter of fiscal 2013 benefited by \$0.1 million due to the closure of jurisdictional tax matters. Net income in the third quarter of fiscal 2012 benefited by \$1.1 million, or \$0.04 per diluted share, due to additional research and development tax credits identified for fiscal years ended September 30, 2009, September 30, 2010 and September 30, 2011 that resulted from a research and development tax credit study. Net income in that same quarter also decreased by \$0.6 million, net of taxes, or \$0.02 per diluted share, as a result of restructuring expenses that were incurred to focus on a shift in our business to sell end-to-end M2M solutions more aggressively.

On April 22, 2013, we announced the settlement of a patent infringement lawsuit with U.S. Ethernet Innovations, LLC ("USEI") for \$1.5 million (\$1.0 million, net of taxes). We recorded this settlement in the second quarter of fiscal 2013.

CONSOLIDATED RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following table sets forth selected information derived from our interim condensed consolidated statements of operations (dollars in thousands):

L N	Three mo 2013	onths ende	d June 30, 2012		% incr. (decr.)	Nine mon 2013	ths ended	June 30, 2012		% incr. (decr.)
Net sales	\$48,824	100.0 %		100.0 %	· /	\$144,012	100.0%		100.0%	. ,
Cost of sales	24,104	49.4	22,328	46.9	8.0	69,852	48.5	67,793	47.3	3.0
Gross profit	24,720	50.6	25,304	53.1	(2.3)	74,160	51.5	75,517	52.7	(1.8)
Operating expenses	22,828	46.7	23,222	48.7	(1.7)	70,177	48.7	69,190	48.3	1.4
Operating income	1,892	3.9	2,082	4.4	(9.1)	3,983	2.8	6,327	4.4	(37.0)
Other (expense) income, net	(1)		(121)	(0.3)	N/M	626	0.4	165	0.1	279.4
Income before income taxes	1,891	3.9	1,961	4.1	(3.6)	4,609	3.2	6,492	4.5	(29.0)
	363	0.8	(346)	(0.7)	N/M	851	0.6	1,339	0.9	(36.4)

Income tax provision (benefit) Net income \$1,528 3.1 % \$2,307 4.8 %(33.8)% \$3,758 2.6 % \$5,153 3.6 %(27.1)% N/M means not meaningful NET SALES Net sales increased by \$1.2 million, or 2.5%, for the three months ended June 30, 2013 compared to the three months

ended June 30, 2012. Net sales increased \$0.7 million, or 0.5%, for the nine months ended June 30, 2013 compared to the nine months ended June 30, 2012. We did not experience a significant change in net sales as a result of a change in pricing strategy during the three and nine month periods ended June 30, 2013.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (CONTINUED)

Net Sales by Growth Products and Services and Mature Product Categories

The bales by Glowin	Tiouucis			ature	Touuci	Can	gones					
	Three mo	onths end	ed June 30),	% in	cr.	Nine mon	ths ended	June 30,		% in	cr.
(\$ in thousands)	2013		2012		(decr	.)	2013		2012		(dec	r.)
Growth products and services	¹ \$27,539	56.4 %	\$24,903	52.3	%10.6	%	\$80,563	55.9 %	\$75,097	52.4	%7.3	%
Mature products	21,285	43.6	22,729	47.7	(6.4)	63,449	44.1	68,213	47.6	(7.0)
Total net sales	\$48,824	100.0%	\$47,632	100.0	0%2.5	%	\$144,012	100.0%	\$143,310	100.0)%0.5	%
Our growth products and services portfolio includes all wireless products, as well as the ARM-based embedded												
module product line,	which lev	erages De	evice Clou	d by E	Etherios ^T	^M ((Device Clo	ud) form	erly known	as the	iDigi®	
platform) with both y	wired and	wireless c	onnectivit	y. The	e service	s po	rtfolio inclu	ides our v	vireless des	ign sei	rvices,	
I /	application consulting services, Etherios consulting services, and the Device Cloud platform. Growth products and											
* *	services increased by \$2.6 million and \$5.5 million for the three and nine month periods ended June 30, 2013 as											
compared to the sam								-				3'
consulting services f	•	• •	•	-			•					
ended June 30, 2013		•									-	
month periods ended	-	•			•						ce and	
•									•		nolly ho	u o
Our mature products	•	-	•		-				-	•	•	
decreased as expecte					-				•		-	
a year ago. Net sales		-			-				-			
believe that our seria	l servers, l	Rabbit-bra	anded mod	lules,	chips and	d US	SB product	s are matu	are products	and w	ve expe	ct
that net sales of these	e products	will conti	nue to dec	crease	in the fu	ture	as they are	e near the	end of their	: produ	ict life	
cycles.												
$\mathbf{N} = (\mathbf{O} + \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{N} \mathbf{V} + \mathbf{I})$	XX 7° 1	1.0.1	a									

Net Sales by Wireless, Wired and Other Categories

	Three mo	Three months ended June 30,			% incr.	Nine mon	Nine months ended June 30,				% incr.		
(\$ in thousands)	2013		2012		(decr.)	2013		2012		(decr	.)		
Wireless	\$20,233	41.4 %	\$20,730	43.5	%(2.4)%	\$62,714	43.5 %	\$62,392	43.5	%0.5	%		
Wired	25,125	51.5	26,902	56.5	(6.6)	73,968	51.4	80,918	56.5	(8.6)		
Other	3,466	7.1		N/A	N/A	7,330	5.1		N/A	N/A			
Total net sales	\$48,824	100.0%	\$47,632	100.0)%2.5 %	\$144,012	100.0%	\$143,310	100.0)%0.5	%		

Our Wireless products category comprised 41.4% and 43.5% of our total net sales for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2013, respectively, and 43.5% of our total net sales for both the three and nine months ended June 30, 2012. Wireless product net sales declined in the three month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period a year ago primarily due to reduced net sales of embedded modules to a large customer. The Wireless products category includes all wireless products, in addition to wireless design services, application consulting services and the Device Cloud platform. The Other category includes consulting services revenue from Etherios.

As we continue to transition to a wireless products and solutions-based organization, we may experience uneven demand that may cause fluctuations in revenue in future quarters.

Net Sales by Geographic Location

The following summarizes our total net sales by geographic region for all products:

	Three mont 30,	hs ended June	\$ incr.	% incr.	Nine month 30,	s ended June	\$ incr.	% incr.	
(\$ in thousands)	2013	2012	(decr.)	(decr.)	2013	2012	(decr.)	(decr.)	
North America	\$30,412	\$27,668	\$2,744	9.9 %	\$86,007	\$84,413	\$1,594	1.9 %	%
EMEA	11,388	11,965	(577)(4.8)	35,311	35,707	(396)(1.1)	
Asia countries	5,912	6,430	(518)(8.1)	18,672	18,231	441	2.4	
Latin America	1,112	1,569	(457)(29.1)	4,022	4,959	(937)(18.9)	
Total net sales	\$48,824	\$47,632	\$1,192	2.5 %	\$144,012	\$143,310	\$702	0.5 %	%

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (CONTINUED)

Net sales in North America increased by \$2.7 million and \$1.6 million for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2013, respectively compared to the same periods a year ago. Net sales of Etherios consulting services of \$3.4 million and \$7.3 million, respectively, were included in North American net sales. This was offset by a decrease in net sales in both the three and nine months ended June 30, 2013 primarily as a result of timing of customer orders and a longer than anticipated time to close new customer projects.

Net sales in Europe, Middle East & Africa ("EMEA") decreased by \$0.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period a year ago primarily due to decreases in net sales of cellular products, partially offset by an increase in net sales of serial servers in the mature product category. EMEA net sales for the nine months ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period a year ago decreased by \$0.4 million primarily due to a decrease in cellular product net sales, partially offset by increases in net sales of serial servers and USB connected products. Net sales in Asia countries decreased by \$0.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and increased \$0.4 million for the nine month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period a year ago is primarily due to a decrease in net sales of modules in the mature product category. Net sales increased for the nine months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the same period a year ago is primarily due to a decrease in net sales of modules in the mature product category. Net sales increased for the nine months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the same period a year ago is primarily due to a decrease in net sales of modules in the mature product category. Net sales increased for the nine months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the same period a year ago is primarily due to a decrease in net sales of modules in the mature product category. Net sales increased for the nine months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the same period a year ago is primarily offset by a decrease in the net sales of modules in the mature product category.

Net sales in Latin America decreased by \$0.4 million and \$0.9 million for the three and nine month periods ended June 30, 2013, respectively, compared to the same periods a year ago primarily due to a longer than anticipated time to close new customer projects as our distributors are being impacted by the regulatory changes in Argentina, unfavorable economic conditions in Mexico and a slow-down of the economy in Brazil.

The fluctuation of foreign currency rates for the three month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 was fairly consistent. For the nine months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the same period a year ago, the unfavorable impact on net sales was \$0.3 million due primarily to the weakening of the Yen compared to the U.S. dollar. GROSS MARGIN

Gross margins were 50.6% and 51.5% for the three and nine month periods ended June 30, 2013, respectively, compared to gross margins of 53.1% and 52.7% for the three and nine month periods ended June 30, 2012, respectively. The gross margin decreased for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the same periods in the prior year by 2.5 percentage points and 1.2 percentage points, respectively, primarily due to product mix and to a lesser extent increased spending to support increasingly broader operations. This was partially offset for both the three and nine months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the prior year by a decrease in amortization of purchased and core technology.

OPERATING EXPENSES

Operating expenses decreased by \$0.4 million and \$1.0 million for the three and nine month periods ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the same periods a year ago. The following chart provides the significant reasons for the fluctuations in expenses for the three and nine month periods ended June 30, 2013 when compared to the same periods a year ago:

	Three mo	onths end	ed June 30	,	\$ incr.	Nine mor	ths ended	June 30,		\$ incr.
(\$ in thousands)	2013		2012		(decr.)	2013		2012		(decr.)
Incremental ongoing	\$1,270	2.6 %	\$	0%	\$1,270	\$3,211	2.2 %	\$—	0%	\$3,211
expenses for Etherios	φ1,270	2.0 /0	φ—	— <i>1</i> 0	φ1,270	φ,3,211	2.2 /0	φ—	— <i>1</i> 0	φJ,211
Patent litigation						1,525	1.1			1,525
Restructuring	—		963	2.0	(963)	(37)	—	1,259	0.9	(1,296)
All other operating expenses	21,558	44.1	22,259	46.7	(701)	65,478	45.4	67,931	47.4	(2,453)
Total operating expenses	\$22,828	46.7 %	\$23,222	48.7 %	\$(394)	\$70,177	48.7 %	\$69,190	48.3 %	\$987

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (CONTINUED)

The following summarizes our total operating expenses, including Etherios, in dollars and as a percentage of net sales:

-	Three mo	nths ende	ed June 30,	,	\$ incr.	Nine mon	ths ende	1 June 30,	-	\$ incr.
(\$ in thousands)	2013		2012		(decr.)	2013		2012		(decr.)
Sales and marketing	\$10,372	21.2 %	\$9,920	20.8~%	\$452	\$31,060	21.6 %	\$30,359	21.2 %	\$701
Research and development	7,606	15.6	7,779	16.3	(173)	22,798	15.8	23,764	16.6	(966)
General and administrative	4,850	9.9	4,560	9.6	290	16,356	11.3	13,808	9.6	2,548
Restructuring	_		963	2.0	(963)	(37)		1,259	0.9	(1,296)
Total operating expenses	\$22,828	46.7 %	\$23,222	48.7 %	\$(394)	\$70,177	48.7 %	\$69,190	48.3 %	\$987

Sales and marketing expenses for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the same periods a year ago increased by \$0.5 million and \$0.7 million, respectively, primarily related to an increase in

compensation-related expense and advertising and promotion expenses that related to additional costs for trade shows. Research and development expenses decreased \$0.2 million and \$1.0 million for the three and nine month periods ended June 30, 2013, respectively, as compared to the same periods a year ago primarily due to reduced outside services and certification expenses.

General and administrative expenses increased \$0.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012. General and administrative expenses increased by \$2.5 million for the nine months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the nine months ended June 30, 2012 due primarily related to a patent infringement settlement of \$1.5 million (\$1.0 million, net of taxes) (see Note 10 to our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements), an increase of \$0.3 million related to a prior year reversal of a reserve for the NetSilicon IPO litigation as our insurers paid on our behalf to the plaintiffs the full amount of the settlement share allocated to us, an increase of \$0.4 million for outside services and \$0.4 million increase in amortization expense.

Restructuring expense of \$1.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012 was related to the U.S. restructuring announced April 26, 2012. For the nine months ended June 30, 2012, restructuring expense included the \$1.0 million U.S. restructuring previously mentioned and \$0.3 million related to the Breisach, Germany restructuring announced July 21, 2011.

OTHER (EXPENSE) INCOME, NET

Other (expense) income, net was break even compared to net expenses of \$0.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The favorable variance was due to unrealized exchange gains resulting from remeasuring net assets held in non-functional currencies to local currencies, and realized exchange gains. Other (expense) income, net increased \$0.5 million for the nine month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same periods a year ago primarily due to \$0.7 million of unrealized exchange gains resulting from remeasuring net assets held in non-functional currencies, and realized exchange gains, offset by a \$0.1 million reduction in interest income, net of interest expense and a \$0.1 million gain on the sale of an investment recorded in the first quarter of fiscal 2012.

INCOME TAXES

Income taxes have been provided at an overall effective rate of 18.5% and 20.6% for the nine month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The overall effective tax rate includes the discrete items mentioned in the following paragraphs. Our effective tax rate will vary based on a variety of factors, including overall profitability, the geographical mix of income before taxes and related statutory tax rate in each jurisdiction, and discrete events, such as settlements of audits.

In the third quarter of fiscal 2013, we recorded a discrete tax benefit of \$0.1 million for the release of income tax reserves due to the expiration of the statutes of limitation for U.S. Federal income tax for fiscal 2009. In addition, we recorded adjustments of estimates in connection with the filing of tax returns for the U.S. and a foreign tax jurisdiction. In the second quarter of fiscal 2013, we recorded a discrete tax benefit of \$0.4 million resulting from the

enactment of legislation on January 2, 2013 extending the research and development tax credit for the last three quarters of fiscal 2012. In the first quarter of fiscal 2013, we recorded a discrete tax benefit of \$0.1 million for the release of income tax reserves due to the expiration of the statutes of limitation from various U.S. and foreign tax jurisdictions. These discrete tax benefits reduced our effective tax rate by 13.7 percentage points for the nine month period ended June 30, 2013. The effective tax rate for the first nine months of fiscal

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (CONTINUED)

2013, before consideration of the impact of the discrete tax benefit, was lower than the Federal statutory rate primarily due to the current year benefit of the federal research and development tax credit.

In the third quarter of fiscal 2012, we recorded a discrete tax benefit of \$1.1 million for additional research and development tax credits identified for fiscal years ended September 30, 2009, 2010 and 2011. In the first quarter of fiscal 2012, we recorded a discrete tax benefit of \$0.1 million for the release of income tax reserves due to the expiration of statutes of limitation from various U.S. tax jurisdictions. These discrete tax benefits reduced our effective tax rate by 18.6 percentage points for the nine month period ended June 30, 2012. The effective tax rate for the first nine months of fiscal 2012, before consideration of the impact of the discrete tax benefit, was higher than the Federal statutory rate primarily due to additions of certain reserves for unrecognized tax benefits and an adjustment for foreign income taxed at the U.S. rate, which was partially offset by adjustments in domestic tax benefits. LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

We have financed our operations and capital expenditures principally with funds generated from operations. At June 30, 2013, we had cash, cash equivalents and short-term marketable securities of \$90.7 million compared to \$118.6 million at September 30, 2012. Our working capital (total current assets less total current liabilities) was \$129.1 million at June 30, 2013 and \$155.4 million at September 30, 2012. The decreases in both the liquid assets and the working capital resulted primarily from an increase of \$11.1 million in long-term marketable securities, from September 30, 2012 of \$2.0 million to June 30, 2013 of \$13.1 million, as we modified our investment policy to allow purchases of certificates of deposits for up to a three year term. At June 30, 2013, we had cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities, including long-term marketable securities, of \$103.8 million compared to \$120.6 million at September 30, 2012. In addition we spent \$12.9 million, net of cash acquired, for the acquisition of Etherios, and \$10.6 million to repurchase our common stock. We presently anticipate total fiscal 2013 capital expenditures will be approximately \$3.9 million.

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$8.1 million for the nine months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to \$9.0 million for the nine months ended June 30, 2012, a net decrease of \$0.9 million. This was primarily due to a decrease in net income of \$1.4 million and a decrease in the restructuring accrual of \$1.3 million, partially offset by an increase in cash flow due to changes in working capital of \$1.6 million. The increase of \$1.6 million in cash from changes in working capital was due to an increase in accounts payable balances resulting primarily from timing of inventory purchases and payments and an increase in compensation accruals, offset by an increase in income tax refunds receivable.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$12.7 million during the nine months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to \$21.1 million for the nine months ended June 30, 2012. During the first nine months of fiscal 2013 as compared to the first nine months of fiscal 2012, we had net proceeds from maturities of marketable securities of \$2.7 million in the first nine months of fiscal 2013 offset by net purchases of \$18.2 million in the first nine months of fiscal 2012. Our capital equipment expenditures were \$0.6 million lower in the the first nine months of fiscal 2013 compared to the same period a year ago. This was offset partially by an expenditure of \$12.9 million, net of cash acquired, for the purchase of Etherios, Inc.

Cash used by financing activities was \$8.6 million for the nine months ended June 30, 2013 compared to cash provided by financing activities of \$1.5 million for the nine months ended June 30, 2012, resulting in a net decrease in cash of \$10.1 million. The majority of this decrease was due to the repurchase of common stock of \$10.6 million during the nine months ended June 30, 2013. This was offset partially by additional proceeds of \$0.6 million from stock option plan transactions.

We expect positive cash flows from operations and believe that our current cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities balances, cash generated from operations and our ability to secure debt and/or equity financing will be sufficient to fund our business operations and capital expenditures for the next twelve months and beyond. On July 25, 2012 our Board of Directors authorized a program to repurchase up to \$20.0 million of our common stock. We began repurchasing our common stock on the open market in the first quarter of fiscal 2013. This repurchase authorization expires on September 30, 2013. During the first nine months of fiscal 2013, we repurchased 1,123,912 shares for

\$10.6 million.

At June 30, 2013, our total cash and cash equivalents and marketable securities, including long-term marketable securities, balance was \$103.8 million. This balance includes approximately \$31.8 million of cash and cash equivalents held by our controlled foreign subsidiaries of which \$24.5 million represents accumulated undistributed foreign earnings. Although we have no current need to do so, if we change our assertion that we do not intend to repatriate additional undistributed foreign earnings for cash requirements in the United States, we would have to accrue applicable taxes. The amount of any taxes and the application of any tax credits would be determined based on the income tax laws at the time of such repatriation. Under current

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (CONTINUED)

tax laws, we estimate the unrecognized deferred tax liability to be in the range of \$2.5 million to \$3.5 million which could have a material impact on our current consolidated balance sheet, results of operations and cash flows. RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS Adopted

In April 2013, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") 2013-07, "Presentation of Financial Statements (Topic 205); Liquidation Basis of Accounting." The objective of this guidance is to clarify when an entity should apply the liquidation basis of accounting and to provide principles for the measurement of assets and liabilities under the liquidation basis of accounting, as well as any required disclosures. The amendments in this standard are effective prospectively for entities that determine liquidation is imminent during annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2013, and interim reporting periods therein. Early adoption is permitted. We adopted this guidance in the third quarter of fiscal 2013. Since liquidation is not imminent, the adoption did not have an impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In February 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-02, "Comprehensive Income (Topic 220); Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income." This updated guidance improves the reporting of significant items reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income and requires an entity to present, either on the face of the statement where net income is presented or in the notes, separately for each component of comprehensive income, the current period reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income by the respective line items of net income affected by the reclassification. The updated guidance is effective prospectively for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2012. We adopted this guidance beginning January 1, 2013. Other than requiring additional disclosures that are in the footnotes to the Condensed Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss), the adoption did not have an effect on our consolidated financial statements. Not Adopted

In March 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-05, "Foreign Currency Matters (Topic 830); Parent's Accounting for the Cumulative Translation Adjustment upon Derecognition of Certain Subsidiaries or Groups of Assets within a Foreign Entity or of an Investment in a Foreign Entity." This guidance applies to the release of the cumulative translation adjustment into net income when a parent either sells a part or all of its investment in a foreign entity or no longer holds a controlling financial interest in a subsidiary or group of assets that is a business (other than a sale of in substance real estate or conveyance of oil and gas mineral rights) within a foreign entity. ASU No. 2013-05 is effective prospectively for fiscal years (and interim reporting periods within those years) beginning after December 15, 2013. We will adopt this guidance beginning with our fiscal quarter ending December 31, 2014. We currently are reviewing the provisions of ASU No. 2013-05 but do not expect it to have an effect on our consolidated financial statements as we do not intend to sell any foreign entities for which we hold a controlling financial interest.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK INTEREST RATE RISK

Our exposure to interest rate risk relates primarily to our investment portfolio. Our marketable securities are classified as available-for-sale and are carried at fair value. Our investments consist of certificates of deposit, money market funds, government municipal bonds and corporate bonds. Our investment policy specifies the types of eligible investments and minimum credit quality of our investments, as well as diversification and concentration limits which mitigate our risk. We do not use derivative financial instruments to hedge against interest rate risk because the majority of our investments mature in less than one year.

FOREIGN CURRENCY RISK

We have transactions that are executed in the U.S. Dollar, British Pound, Euro, Japanese Yen and Indian Rupee. As a result, we are exposed to foreign currency transaction risk associated with certain sales transactions being denominated in Euros, British Pounds, Japanese Yen or Indian Rupees, and foreign currency translation risk as the financial position and operating results of our foreign subsidiaries are translated into U.S. Dollars for consolidation. We have not implemented a formal hedging strategy to reduce foreign currency risk as we continue to mitigate this risk with natural hedging strategies.

For the nine months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, we had approximately \$58.0 million and \$58.9 million, respectively, of net sales to foreign customers including export sales. Of these sales, \$17.5 million and \$17.9 million, respectively, were denominated in foreign currency, predominantly Euros and British Pounds. In future periods, we expect a significant portion of sales will continue to be made in both Euros and British Pounds.

The table below compares the average monthly exchange rates of the Euro, British Pound, Japanese Yen and Indian Rupee to the U.S. Dollar:

	Nine month	Nine months ended June 30,			
	2013	2012	(decreas	e)	
Euro	1.3078	1.3149	(0.5)%	
British Pound	1.5655	1.5754	(0.6)%	
Japanese Yen	0.0111	0.0127	(12.6)%	
Indian Rupee	0.0183	0.0192	(4.7)%	

A 10% change from the first nine months of fiscal year 2013 average exchange rate for the Euro, British Pound, Japanese Yen and Indian Rupee to the U.S. Dollar would have resulted in a 1.2% increase or decrease in net sales and a 1.9% increase or decrease in stockholders' equity due to foreign currency translation. The above analysis does not take into consideration any pricing adjustments we might consider in response to changes in such exchange rates. CREDIT RISK

We have some exposure to credit risk related to our accounts receivable portfolio. Exposure to credit risk is controlled through regular monitoring of customer financial status, credit limits and collaboration with sales management and customer contacts to facilitate payment.

Investments are made in accordance with our investment policy and consist of certificates of deposit, money market funds, government municipal bonds and corporate bonds. We may have some credit exposure related to the fair value of our securities, which could change based on changes in market conditions. If market conditions deteriorate or if these securities experience credit rating downgrades, we may incur impairment charges for securities in our investment portfolio. All of our securities are held domestically.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

EVALUATION OF DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

As of the end of the period covered by this report, we conducted an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the principal executive officer and principal financial officer, of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act")). Based on this evaluation, the principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act was recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in SEC rules and forms and is accumulated and communicated to our management, including the principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

CHANGES IN INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting identified in connection with the evaluation required by Rules 13a-15(d) and 15d-15(d) of the Exchange Act that occurred during our most recently completed fiscal quarter that materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The disclosures set forth in Note 10 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in Part I, Item 1 of this Form 10-Q are incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

There have been no material changes in our risk factors from those previously disclosed in Item 1A of Part I of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2012 and Item 1A of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2013.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

On July 25, 2012 our Board of Directors authorized a new program to repurchase up to \$20.0 million of our common stock and terminated the prior repurchase authorization. This repurchase authorization expires on September 30, 2013. During the first quarter of fiscal 2013, we began to repurchase our common stock in the open market. The following table presents our repurchases during the third quarter of fiscal 2013:

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of a Publicly Announced Program	Maximum Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Program
April 1, 2013 - April 30, 2013	222,491	\$9.00	222,491	\$11,232,309.21
May 1, 2013 - May 31, 2013	113,535	\$9.10	113,535	\$10,199,137.95
June 1, 2013 - June 30, 2013	80,232	\$9.67	80,232	\$9,423,307.62
Total	416,258	\$9.16	416,258	\$9,423,307.62

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES None

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

None

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION None

ITEM 6.		EXHIBITS
Exhibit No. I		Description
3	(a)	Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Company, as amended (1)
3	(b)	Amended and Restated By-Laws of the Company (2)
4	(a)	Share Rights Agreement, dated as of April 22, 2008, between the Company and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., as Rights Agent (3)
4	(b)	Form of Amended and Restated Certificate of Powers, Designations, Preferences and Rights of Series A Junior Participating Preferred Shares (4)
31	(a)	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Executive Officer
31	(b)	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Financial Officer
32		Section 1350 Certification
101.INS		XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	[XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL		XBRL Taxonomy Calculation Linkbase Document
101.DEF	1	XBRL Taxonomy Definition Linkbase Document
101.LAE	5	XBRL Taxonomy Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE		XBRL Taxonomy Presentation Linkbase Document
$^{(1)}$ No. 0	-17972	by reference to Exhibit 3 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed January 21, 2011 (File

⁽²⁾No. 1-34033)

(3) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(a) to the Company's Registration Statement on Form 8-A filed on April 25, 2008 (File No. 1-34033)

(4) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(b) to the Company's Registration Statement on Form 8-A filed on April 25, 2008 (File No. 1-34033)

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this Report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

DIGI INTERNATIONAL INC.

Date: August 2, 2013 By: /s/ Steven E. Snyder Steven E. Snyder Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer (Principal Financial Officer and Duly Authorized Officer)

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit Number		Document Description	Form of Filing	
3	(a)	Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Company, as Amended	Incorporated by Reference	
3	(b)	Amended and Restated By-Laws of the Company	Incorporated by Reference	
4	(a)	Share Rights Agreement, dated as of April 22, 2008, between the Company and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., as Rights Agent	Incorporated by Reference	
4	(b)	Form of Amended and Restated Certificate of Powers, Designations, Preferences and Rights of Series A Junior Participating Preferred Shares	Incorporated by Reference	
31	(a)	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Executive Officer	Filed Electronically	
31	(b)	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Financial Officer	Filed Electronically	
32		Section 1350 Certification	Filed Electronically	
101.INS		XBRL Instance Document	Filed Electronically	
101.SCH	[XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document	Filed Electronically	
101.CAI	<u>.</u>	XBRL Taxonomy Calculation Linkbase Document	Filed Electronically	
101.DEF	7	XBRL Taxonomy Definition Linkbase Document	Filed Electronically	
101.LAE	3	XBRL Taxonomy Label Linkbase Document	Filed Electronically	
101.PRE		XBRL Taxonomy Presentation Linkbase Document	Filed Electronically	