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ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
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NORTHRIM BANCORP, INC.
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
JUNE 30, 2009, DECEMBER 31, 2008, AND JUNE 30, 2008

|  | June 30, <br> 2009 <br> (unaudited) | December 31, <br> 2008 <br> (Dollars in thousands) | June 30, <br> (unaudited) |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| ASSETS | $\$ 23,509$ | $\$$ | 30,925 |
| Cash and due from banks | 6,905 | $\$ 30,567$ |  |
| Money market investments |  | 9,500 | 49,746 |
| Domestic certificates of deposit |  |  | 45,000 |
|  | 10,128 | 9,431 | 11,906 |
| Investment securities held to maturity | 124,566 | 141,010 | 116,498 |
| Investment securities available for sale | 2,003 | 2,003 | 2,003 |
| Investment in Federal Home Loan Bank stock |  |  |  |
|  | 136,697 | 152,444 | 130,407 |
| Total investment securities | 3,426 |  |  |
| Real estate loans for sale | 684,897 | 711,286 | 710,074 |
| Loans | $(13,187)$ | $(12,900)$ | $(13,519)$ |
| Allowance for loan losses |  |  |  |
|  | 675,136 | 698,386 | 696,555 |
| Net loans | 9,822 | 19,075 | 15,973 |
| Purchased receivables, net | 3,860 | 4,812 | 4,742 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 29,171 | 29,733 | 17,034 |
| Premises and equipment, net | 9,156 | 9,320 | 9,483 |
| Goodwill and intangible assets | 11,576 | 12,617 | 1,147 |
| Other real estate owned | 33,624 | 32,675 | 32,703 |
| Other assets |  |  | $\$ 1,043,357$ |

LIABILITIES
Deposits:
Demand
Interest-b
Savings
Alaska CDs
Money market
Certificates of deposit less than $\$ 100,000$
Certificates of deposit greater than $\$ 100,000$
Total deposits

| $\$ 253,118$ | $\$ 244,391$ | $\$ 222,117$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 112,385 | 101,065 | 99,249 |
| 61,331 | 58,214 | 52,576 |
| 104,906 | 108,101 |  |
| 119,944 | 158,114 | 253,546 |
| 70,773 | 76,738 | 63,431 |
| 96,684 | 96,629 | 73,350 |
|  |  |  |
| 819,141 | 843,252 | 901,995 |
|  |  |  |
| 20,858 | 30,106 | 10,310 |
| 18,558 | 18,558 | 18,558 |
| 9,089 | 9,792 | 10,534 |


| Total liabilities | 867,646 | 901,708 | 941,397 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY |  |  |  |
| Common stock, $\$ 1$ par value, $10,000,000$ shares authorized, $6,338,138,6,331,372$, and $6,311,807$ shares issued and outstanding at June 30, 2009, December 31, |  |  |  |
| 2008, and June 30, 2008, respectively | 6,338 | 6,331 | 6,312 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 51,760 | 51,458 | 51,125 |
| Retained earnings | 48,511 | 45,958 | 44,543 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income unrealized gain (loss) on securities, net | 1,406 | 901 | (51) |
| Total Northrim Bancorp shareholders equity | 108,015 | 104,648 | 101,929 |
| Non-controlling interest | 32 | 36 | 31 |
| Total shareholders equity | 108,047 | 104,684 | 101,960 |
| Total Liabilities and Shareholders Equity | \$975,693 | \$1,006,392 | \$ 1,043,357 |

See notes to the consolidated financial statements
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# NORTHRIM BANCORP, INC. <br> CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME <br> FOR THE THREE AND SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2009 AND 2008 

|  | Three Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |
|  | (unaudited) |  |  |  | (unaudited) |  |  |  |
|  | (Dollar in thousands, except per share data) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest and fees on loans | \$ | 12,396 | \$ | 13,265 | \$ | 24,454 | \$ | 27,711 |
| Interest on investment securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Securities available for sale |  | 937 |  | 1,170 |  | 2,000 |  | 2,776 |
| Securities held to maturity |  | 106 |  | 107 |  | 197 |  | 218 |
| Interest on money market investments |  | 15 |  | 171 |  | 33 |  | 321 |
| Interest on domestic certificate of deposit |  | 1 |  | 174 |  | 58 |  | 219 |
| Total Interest Income |  | 13,455 |  | 14,887 |  | 26,742 |  | 31,245 |
| Interest Expense |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest expense on deposits and borrowings |  | 1,789 |  | 3,421 |  | 3,900 |  | 7,584 |
| Net Interest Income |  | 11,666 |  | 11,466 |  | 22,842 |  | 23,661 |
| Provision for loan losses |  | 2,117 |  | 1,999 |  | 3,492 |  | 3,699 |
| Net Interest Income After Provision for |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loan Losses |  | 9,549 |  | 9,467 |  | 19,350 |  | 19,962 |
| Other Operating Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Service charges on deposit accounts |  | 775 |  | 888 |  | 1,478 |  | 1,750 |
| Equity in earnings from mortgage affiliate |  | 764 |  | 273 |  | 1,612 |  | 306 |
| Purchased receivable income |  | 474 |  | 521 |  | 1,232 |  | 1,051 |
| Employee benefit plan income |  | 447 |  | 352 |  | 813 |  | 659 |
| Electronic banking income |  | 351 |  | 292 |  | 661 |  | 538 |
| Equity in loss from Elliott Cove |  | (28) |  | (16) |  | (93) |  | (53) |
| Other income |  | 867 |  | 531 |  | 1,529 |  | 1,022 |
| Total Other Operating Income |  | 3,650 |  | 2,841 |  | 7,232 |  | 5,273 |
| Other Operating Expense |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries and other personnel expense |  | 5,708 |  | 5,440 |  | 11,159 |  | 10,843 |
| Insurance expense |  | 959 |  | 224 |  | 1,764 |  | 594 |
| Occupancy |  | 897 |  | 829 |  | 1,812 |  | 1,663 |
| OREO expense net, including impairment |  | 448 |  | 1,042 |  | 844 |  | 1,135 |
| Marketing expense |  | 316 |  | 391 |  | 634 |  | 781 |
| Professional and outside services |  | 309 |  | 403 |  | 687 |  | 712 |


| Equipment expense |  | 297 |  | 291 |  | 601 |  | 587 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intangible asset amortization expense |  | 83 |  | 88 |  | 165 |  | 176 |
| Other operating expense |  | 1,515 |  | 1,700 |  | 3,386 |  | 3,392 |
| Total Other Operating Expense |  | 10,532 |  | 10,408 |  | 21,052 |  | 19,883 |
| Income Before Income Taxes |  | 2,667 |  | 1,900 |  | 5,530 |  | 5,352 |
| Provision for income taxes |  | 681 |  | 367 |  | 1,508 |  | 1,596 |
| Net Income |  | 1,986 |  | 1,533 |  | 4,022 |  | 3,756 |
| Less: Net income attributable to the non-controlling interest |  | 109 |  | 94 |  | 190 |  | 169 |
| Net income attributable to Northrim Bancorp | \$ | 1,877 | \$ | 1,439 | \$ | 3,832 | \$ | 3,587 |
| Earnings Per Share, Basic | \$ | 0.29 | \$ | 0.23 | \$ | 0.60 | \$ | 0.56 |
| Earnings Per Share, Diluted | \$ | 0.29 | \$ | 0.22 | \$ | 0.60 | \$ | 0.55 |
| Weighted Average Shares Outstanding, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic |  | 6,396,341 |  | 6,350,109 |  | 6,394,965 |  | 6,349,645 |
| Weighted Average Shares Outstanding, Diluted |  | 6,402,502 |  | 6,393,006 |  | 6,398,045 |  | 6,395,067 |

See notes to the consolidated financial statements
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NORTHRIM BANCORP, INC.<br>CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDER S EQUITY AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME FOR THE SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2009 AND 2008



Income

Balance as of June 30, 2009

Three months ending June 30, 2008:
Balance as of
January 1, 2008
Cash dividend declared

6,338 $\$ 6,338$
\$51,760
\$48,511
\$ 1,406
\$ 28
\$ 108,047

Stock option expense
6,300 $\$ 6,300$
\$50,798 \$44,068
\$ 225
\$ 24
\$ 101,415

Exercise of stock options

12
12
$(3,112)$
304
304

Excess tax benefits
from share-based payment
arrangements
Distributions to noncontrolling interest
Comprehensive income:
Change in unrealized holding (gain/loss) on available for sale investment securities, net of related income tax effect

Net income
attributable to the noncontrolling
interest (276)

Net Income
Total
Comprehensive
Income $\quad 7 \quad 3,480$
Balance as of
June 30, 2008
6,312 $\quad \$ 6,312 \quad \$ 51,125 \quad \$ 44,543 \quad \$ \quad(51) \quad \$ 38 \quad \$ 101,960$
See notes to the consolidated financial statements
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NORTHRIM BANCORP, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWSFOR THE SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2009 AND 2008

|  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |
| Operating Activities: |  |  |  |  |
| Net income | \$ | 4,022 | \$ | 3,756 |
| Adjustments to Reconcile Net Income to Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities: |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Security (gains), net |  | (196) |  | (100) |
| Depreciation and amortization of premises and equipment |  | 827 |  | 567 |
| Amortization of software |  | 81 |  | 94 |
| Intangible asset amortization |  | 165 |  | 176 |
| Amortization of investment security premium, net of discount accretion |  | 217 |  | (93) |
| Deferred tax (benefit) |  | (744) |  | $(2,050)$ |
| Stock-based compensation |  | 299 |  | 304 |
| Excess tax benefits from share-based payment arrangements |  | (7) |  | (90) |
| Deferral of loan fees and costs, net |  | (155) |  | (310) |
| Provision for loan losses |  | 3,492 |  | 3,699 |
| Purchased receivable recovery |  | (16) |  | (13) |
| Purchases of loans held for sale |  | $(75,096)$ |  |  |
| Proceeds from the sale of loans held for sale |  | 71,670 |  |  |
| (Gain) loss on sale of other real estate owned |  | (223) |  | 18 |
| Impairment on other real estate owned |  | 495 |  | 977 |
| Distributions (proceeds) in excess of earnings from RML |  | 585 |  | (63) |
| Equity in loss from Elliott Cove |  | 93 |  | 53 |
| Decrease in accrued interest receivable |  | 952 |  | 490 |
| (Increase) decrease in other assets |  | $(1,226)$ |  | 1,230 |
| (Decrease) of other liabilities |  | (703) |  | $(1,136)$ |
| Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities |  | 4,532 |  | 7,509 |

Investing Activities:
Investment in securities:
Purchases of investment securities-available-for-sale $\quad(34,110)$
Purchases of investment securities-held-to-maturity
$(1,217)$
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Proceeds from sales/maturities of securities-available-for-sale } & 51,390\end{array}$
Proceeds from calls/maturities of securities-held-to-maturity
520300
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Proceeds from maturities of domestic certificates of deposit } & 14,500 & 15,000\end{array}$
Purchases of domestic certificates of deposit
$(5,000)$
$(60,000)$
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Investment in purchased receivables, net of repayments } & 9,269 & 3,477\end{array}$

Investments in loans:

| Loan participations | 493 | 5,254 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Loans made, net of repayments | 19,955 | $(8,472)$ |
| Proceeds from sale of other real estate owned | 4,832 | 50 |
| Investment in other real estate owned | $(1,172)$ | $(1,339)$ |
| Loan to Elliott Cove, net of repayments | (54) | (32) |
| Purchases of premises and equipment | (265) | $(1,980)$ |
| Purchases of software | (37) | (75) |
| Net Cash Provided by Investing Activities | 59,104 | $(17,087)$ |
| Financing Activities: |  |  |
| Increase (decrease) in deposits | $(24,111)$ | 34,619 |
| Repayment of borrowings | $(9,248)$ | $(6,460)$ |
| Distributions to non-controlling interest | (194) | (162) |
| Proceeds from issuance of common stock | 3 |  |
| Excess tax benefits from share-based payment arrangements | 7 | 90 |
| Cash dividends paid | $(1,272)$ | $(2,002)$ |
| Net Cash Used by Financing Activities | $(34,815)$ | 26,085 |
| Net Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents | 28,821 | 16,507 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 37,830 | 63,806 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 66,651 | \$ 80,313 |
| Supplemental Information: |  |  |
| Income taxes paid | \$ 1,441 | \$ 2,385 |
| Interest paid | \$ 4,251 | \$ 7,518 |
| Transfer of loans to other real estate owned | \$ 2,891 | \$ 6,340 |
| Cash dividends declared but not paid | \$ 12 | \$ 1,109 |

See notes to the consolidated financial statements
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## NORTHRIM BANCORP, INC.

## NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(unaudited)

June 30, 2009 and 2008

## 1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying unaudited financial statements have been prepared by Northrim BanCorp, Inc. (the Company ) in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ( GAAP ) and with instructions to Form 10-Q under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by GAAP for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Certain reclassifications have been made to prior year amounts to maintain consistency with the current year with no impact on net income or total shareholders equity, except for changes in the presentation of shareholder s equity in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) Statement No. 160. The Company has evaluated the requirements of FASB Statement No. 131, Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information (as amended) and determined that the Company operates as a single operating segment. Operating results for the interim period ended June 30, 2009, are not necessarily indicative of the results anticipated for the year ending December 31, 2009. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

## 2. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

The Company s significant accounting policies are discussed in Note 1 to the audited consolidated financial statements included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.
In December 2007, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ( SFAS ) No. 160, Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements (as amended) ( SFAS 160 ). The FASB issued FAS 160 during 2007 to establish accounting and reporting standards for the non-controlling interest in a subsidiary and for the deconsolidation of a subsidiary. SFAS 160 clarifies that a non-controlling interest in a subsidiary, which is sometimes referred to as minority interest, is an ownership interest in the consolidated entity that should be reported as a component of equity in the consolidated financial statements. Among other requirements, SFAS 160 requires consolidated net income to be reported at amounts that include the amounts attributable to both the parent and the non-controlling interest. It also requires disclosure, on the face of the consolidated income statement, of the amounts of consolidated net income attributable to the parent and to the non-controlling interest. The Company adopted SFAS 160 on January 1, 2009 and affected presentation and disclosure items retroactively. The adoption of SFAS 160 did not significantly impact the Company s financial condition and results of operations.
Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements
In January 2009, the FASB issued FSP EITF No. 99-20-1, Amendments to the Impairment Guidance of EITF Issue No. 99-20 ( FSP EITF 99-20-1 ). FSP EITF 99-20-1 amends the impairment guidance in EITF Issue No. 99-20, Recognition of Interest Income and Impairment on Purchased Beneficial Interests and Beneficial Interests That Continue to Be Held by a Transferor in Securitized Financial Asset ( EITF 99-20 ), to achieve more consistent determination of whether an other-than-temporary impairment has occurred. FSP EITF 99-20-1 also retains and emphasizes the objective of an other-than-temporary impairment assessment and the related disclosure requirements in SFAS No. 115, Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities ( SFAS 115 ), and other related guidance. FSP EITF 99-20-1 applies to beneficial interests within the scope of Issue 99-20 and amends EITF 99-20 to align the impairment guidance therein with that in SFAS 115 and related implementation guidance. FSP EITF No.99-20-1 is effective for the Company s financial statements for the year beginning on January 1, 2009 and - 7 -
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has been adopted prospectively. The adoption of FSP EITF No. 99-20-1 did not impact the Company s financial condition and results of operations.
In April 2009, the FASB issued FSP No. 141(r)-1, Accounting for Assets Acquired and Liabilities Assumed in a Business Combination That Arise from Contingencies ( FSP 141(r)-1 ). FSP 141(r)-1 amends and clarifies FASB Statement No. 141 (revised 2007), Business Combinations, ( SFAS 141(r) ) to address application issues raised by preparers, auditors, and members of the legal profession on initial recognition and measurement, subsequent measurement and accounting, and disclosure of assets and liabilities arising from contingencies in a business combination. FSP 141(r)-1 applies to all assets acquired and liabilities assumed in a business combination that arise from contingencies that would be within the scope of FASB Statement No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies (as amended), if not acquired or assumed in a business combination, except for assets or liabilities arising from contingencies that are subject to specific guidance in SFAS 141(r). FSP 141(r)-1 requires that an acquirer shall recognize at fair value, at the acquisition date, an asset acquired or a liability assumed in a business combination that arises from a contingency if the acquisition-date fair value of that asset or liability can be determined during the measurement period. FSP 141(r)-1 is effective for the Company s financial statements for the year beginning on January 1, 2009 and has been adopted prospectively. The adoption of FSP 141(r)-1 did not impact the Company s financial condition and results of operations.
In April 2009, the FASB issued FSP No.115-2, Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary-Impairment ( FSP 115-2 ). FSP 115-2 amends the other-than-temporary impairment guidance in U.S. GAAP for debt securities to make the guidance more operational and to improve the presentation and disclosure of other-than-temporary impairments on debt and equity securities in the financial statements. FSP 115-2 does not amend existing recognition and measurement guidance related to other-than-temporary impairments of equity securities. Under certain circumstances, only the amount of the estimated credit loss on debt securities with other-than-temporary-impairment will be recorded through earnings while the remaining mark-to-market loss is recognized through other comprehensive income. FSP 115-2 is effective for the Company s financial statements for interim and annual periods ending after June 15, 2009 and has been adopted prospectively. The adoption of FSP 115-2 did not impact the Company s financial condition and results of operations.
In April 2009, the FASB issued FSP No.157-4, Determining Fair Value When the Volume and Level of Activity for the Asset or Liability has Significantly Decreased and Identifying Transactions that are Not Orderly ( FSP 157-4 ). FSP 157-4 provides additional guidance for estimating fair value in accordance with FASB Statement No. 157, Fair Value Measurements, when the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability have significantly decreased. FSP 157-4 also includes guidance on identifying circumstances that indicate a transaction is not orderly. FSP 157-4 is effective for the Company s financial statements for interim and annual periods ending after June 15, 2009 and has been adopted prospectively. The adoption of FSP 157-4 did not impact the Company s financial condition and results of operations. In April 2009, the FASB issued FSP No.107-1, Interim Disclosures About Fair Value of Financial Instruments ( FSP 107-1 ). FSP 107-1 amends FASB Statement No. 107, Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments, to require disclosures about the fair value of financial instruments for interim reporting periods of publicly traded companies as well as in annual financial statements. FSP 107-1 also amends APB Opinion No. 28, Interim Financial Reporting, to require those disclosures in summarized financial information at interim reporting periods. FSP 107-1 is effective for the Company s financial statements for interim and annual periods ending after June 15, 2009 and has been adopted prospectively. The adoption of FSP 107-1 did not impact the Company s financial condition and results of operations.
In May 2009, the FASB issued SFAS 165, Subsequent Events ( SFAS 165 ). The objective of SFAS 165 is to establish general standards of accounting for, and disclosure of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or are available to be issued. SFAS 165 sets forth the period after the balance sheet date during which management of a reporting entity should evaluate events or transactions that may occur for potential recognition or disclosure in the financial statements, the circumstances under which an entity should recognize events or transactions occurring after the balance sheet date in its financial statements, and the disclosures that an entity should make about events or transactions that occurred after the balance sheet date. SFAS 165 is effective for the Company s financial statements for interim and annual periods
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ending after June 15, 2009 and has been adopted prospectively. Management has reviewed events occurring through August 7, 2009, the date the financial statements were issued, and no subsequent events occurred requiring accrual or disclosure.
In June 2009, the FASB issued SFAS 166, Accounting for Transfers of Financial Assets ( SFAS 166 ). SFAS 166 addresses practices that have developed since the issuance of SFAS 140, Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities, that are not consistent with the original intent and key requirements of that Statement as well as concerns of financial statement users that many of the financial assets (and related obligations) that have been derecognized should continue to be reported in the financial statements of transferors. SFAS 166 is effective for the Company s financial statements for interim and annual periods beginning after November 15, 2009 and must be adopted prospectively. SFAS 166 must be applied to transfers occurring on or after the effective date. Additionally, on and after the effective date, the concept of a qualifying special purpose entity is no longer relevant for accounting purposes. Therefore, formerly qualifying special-purpose entities (as defined under previous accounting standards) should be evaluated for consolidation by reporting entities on and after the effective date in accordance with the applicable consolidation guidance. If the evaluation on the effective date results in consolidation, the reporting entity should apply the transition guidance provided in the pronouncement that requires consolidation. Additionally, the disclosure provisions of SFAS 166 should be applied to transfers that occurred both before and after the effective date. The Company does not expect that adoption of SFAS 166 will impact its financial condition and results of operations.
In June 2009, the FASB issued SFAS 167, Amendments to FASB Interpretation $46(R)$ (as amended) ( SFAS 167 ). The FASB s objective in issuing SFAS 167 is to improve financial reporting by enterprises involved with variable interest entities. SFAS 167 addresses the effects on certain provisions of FASB Interpretation No. 46 (revised December 2003), Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities ( FIN 46R ) as a result of the elimination of the qualifying special-purpose entity concept in SFAS 166, and constituent concerns about the application of certain key provisions of FIN 46R, including those in which the accounting and disclosures under the Interpretation do not always provide timely and useful information about an enterprise s involvement in a variable interest entity. SFAS 167 is effective for the Company s financial statements for annual and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2009 and must be adopted prospectively. The Company does not expect that adoption of SFAS 167 will impact its financial condition and results of operations.
In June 2009, the FASB issued SFAS 168, The FASB Accounting Standards Codification and the Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles ( SFAS 168 ). The FASB Accounting Standards Codification ( Codification ) will become the source of authoritative GAAP recognized by the FASB to be applied by nongovernmental entities. Rules and interpretive releases of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC ) under authority of federal securities laws are also sources of authoritative GAAP for SEC registrants. On the effective date of SFAS 168, the Codification will supersede all then-existing non-SEC accounting and reporting standards. All other non-grandfathered, non-SEC accounting literature not included in the Codification will become non-authoritative. Following this Statement, the Board will not issue new standards in the form of Statements, FASB Staff Positions, or Emerging Issues Task Force Abstracts. Instead, it will issue Accounting Standards Updates ( ASU ). The Board will not consider ASUs as authoritative in their own right. ASUs will serve only to update the Codification, provide background information about the guidance, and provide the bases for conclusions on changes in the Codification. FASB Statement No. 162, The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles ( SFAS 162 ) which became effective on November 13, 2008, identified the sources of accounting principles and the framework for selecting the principles used in preparing the financial statements of nongovernmental entities that are presented in conformity with GAAP. SFAS 162 arranged these sources of GAAP in a hierarchy for users to apply accordingly. Once the Codification is in effect, all of its content will carry the same level of authority, effectively superseding SFAS 162. In other words, the GAAP hierarchy will be modified to include only two levels of GAAP: authoritative and non-authoritative. As a result, SFAS 168 replaces SFAS 162 to indicate this change to the GAAP hierarchy. In June 2009, the FASB also issued ASU No. 2009-01, Topic 105 Generally Accepted Accounting Principals ( ASU 2009-01 ). ASU 2009-01 amends the Codification for the issuance of SFAS 168. SFAS 168 is effective for financial statements issued for interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009 and must be adopted prospectively.
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## 3. GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLES

As part of the acquisition of branches from Bank of America in 1999, the Company recorded $\$ 6.9$ million of goodwill and $\$ 2.9$ million of core deposit intangible ( CDI ). In 2007, the Company finished amortizing the CDI related to the Bank of America acquisition. As part of the stock acquisition of Alaska First Bank \& Trust, N.A. ( Alaska First ) in October 2007, the Company recorded $\$ 2.1$ million of goodwill and $\$ 1.3$ million of CDI for the acquisition of Alaska First stock. The Company is amortizing the CDI related to the Alaska First acquisition using the sum of years digits method over the estimated useful life of 10 years. In the first quarter of 2008, the Company recorded a $\$ 289,000$ decrease in goodwill related to the Alaska First acquisition to adjust the net deferred tax assets carried over to the Company s financial statements in accordance with FASB Statement No. 141, Business Combinations (Revised 2007). The Company performed goodwill impairment testing at June 30, 2009 in accordance with the policy described in Note 1 of the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008. There was no triggering event at June 30, 2009 that would require the Company to perform impairment testing. The Company continues to monitor the Company s goodwill for potential impairment on an ongoing basis. No assurance can be given that we will not charge earnings during 2009 for goodwill impairment, if, for example, our stock price declines further and continues to trade at a significant discount to its book value, although there are many factors that we analyze in determining the impairment of goodwill.

## 4. LENDING ACTIVITIES

The following table sets forth the Company s loan portfolio composition by loan type for the dates indicated:

|  | June 30, 2009 |  | December 31, 2008 |  | June 30, 2008 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dollar <br> Amount | Percent of Total | Dollar Amount (Dollars in | Percent of Total usands) | Dollar <br> Amount | Percent of Total |
| Commercial | \$266,227 | 39\% | \$293,173 | 41\% | \$295,532 | 42\% |
| Construction/development | 79,464 | 12\% | 100,441 | 14\% | 115,637 | 16\% |
| Commercial real estate | 294,249 | 43\% | 268,864 | 38\% | 249,123 | 35\% |
| Home equity lines and other consumer | 47,266 | 7\% | 51,357 | 7\% | 51,961 | 7\% |
| Loans in process | 248 | 0\% | 163 | 0\% | 255 | 0\% |
| Unearned loan fees, net | $(2,557)$ | 0\% | $(2,712)$ | 0\% | $(2,434)$ | 0\% |
| Sub total | 684,897 |  | 711,286 |  | 710,074 |  |
| Real estate loans for sale | 3,426 | 0\% |  | 0\% |  | 0\% |
| Total loans | \$688,323 | 100\% | \$711,286 | 100\% | \$710,074 | 100\% |

## 5. ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES, NONPERFORMING ASSETS, AND LOANS MEASURED FOR IMPAIRMENT

The Company maintains an Allowance for Loan Losses (the Allowance ) to reflect inherent losses from its loan portfolio as of the balance sheet date. The Allowance is decreased by loan charge-offs and increased by loan recoveries and provisions for loan losses. On a quarterly basis, the Company calculates the Allowance based on an established methodology which has been consistently applied.
In determining its total Allowance, the Company first estimates a specific allowance for impaired loans. This analysis is based upon a specific analysis for each impaired loan, including appraisals on loans secured by real property, management s assessment of the current market, recent payment history and an evaluation of other sources of repayment.
The Company then estimates an allowance for all loans that are not impaired. This allowance is based on loss factors applied to loans that are quality graded according to an internal risk classification system
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( classified loans ). The Company s internal risk classifications are based in large part upon regulatory definitions for classified loans. The loss factors that the Company applies to each group of loans within the various risk classifications are based on industry standards, historical experience and management s judgment.
Portfolio components also receive specific attention in the Allowance analysis when those components constitute a significant concentration as a percentage of the Company s capital, when current market or economic conditions point to increased scrutiny, or when historical or recent experience suggests that additional attention is warranted in the analysis process.
Once the Allowance is determined using the methodology described above, management assesses the adequacy of the overall Allowance through an analysis of the size and mix of the loan portfolio, historical and recent credit performance of the loan portfolio (including the absolute level and trends in delinquencies and impaired loans), industry metrics and ratio analysis.
Our banking regulators, as an integral part of their examination process, periodically review the Company s Allowance. Our regulators may require the Company to recognize additions to the allowance based on their judgments related to information available to them at the time of their examinations.
The Company recorded a provision for loan losses in the amount of $\$ 2.1$ million and $\$ 3.5$ million, respectively, for the three and six-month periods ending June 30, 2009 based upon its analysis of its loan portfolio as noted above. The following table details activity in the Allowance for the periods indicated:

|  | Three Months Ended June 30, |  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$13,364 | \$12,571 | \$12,900 | \$11,735 |
| Charge-offs: |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial | 1,137 | 534 | 1,148 | 1,463 |
| Construction/development | 207 | 737 | 1,070 | 816 |
| Commercial real estate | 998 |  | 1,058 |  |
| Home equity lines and other consumer | 57 | 32 | 141 | 32 |
| Total charge-offs | 2,399 | 1,303 | 3,417 | 2,311 |
| Recoveries: |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial | 97 | 166 | 167 | 305 |
| Construction/development |  | 51 |  | 51 |
| Commercial real estate |  |  | 9 |  |
| Home equity lines and other consumer | 8 | 35 | 36 | 40 |
| Total recoveries | 105 | 252 | 212 | 396 |
| Net, (recoveries) charge-offs | 2,294 | 1,051 | 3,205 | 1,915 |
| Provision for loan losses | 2,117 | 1,999 | 3,492 | 3,699 |
| Balance at end of period | \$13,187 | \$13,519 | \$13,187 | \$13,519 |
|  | - 11 - |  |  |  |
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Nonperforming assets consist of nonaccrual loans, accruing loans of 90 days or more past due, restructured loans, and other real estate owned ( OREO ). The following table sets forth information with respect to nonperforming assets:

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { June } 30, \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2008 \end{gathered}$ <br> (Dollars in thousands) | $\begin{gathered} \text { June } 30, \\ 2008 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nonaccrual loans | \$18,111 | \$ 20,593 | \$ 11,855 |
| Accruing loans past due 90 days or more | 1,893 | 5,411 | 6,199 |
| Restructured loans |  |  |  |
| Total nonperforming loans | 20,004 | 26,004 | 18,054 |
| Other real estate owned | 11,576 | 12,617 | 11,147 |
| Total nonperforming assets | \$31,580 | \$ 38,621 | \$29,201 |
| Allowance for loan losses | \$ 13,187 | \$ 12,900 | \$ 13,519 |
| Nonperforming loans to loans | 2.92\% | 3.66\% | 2.54\% |
| Nonperforming assets to total assets | 3.24\% | 3.84\% | 2.80\% |
| Allowance to loans | 1.93\% | 1.81\% | 1.90\% |
| Allowance to nonperforming loans | 66\% | 50\% | 75\% |

At June 30, 2009, December 31, 2008, and June 30, 2008, the Company had impaired loans of $\$ 67.1$ million, $\$ 79.7$ million, and $\$ 84.9$ million, respectively. A specific allowance of $\$ 3.3$ million, $\$ 3.2$ million, and $\$ 3.7$ million, respectively, was established for these loans for the periods noted. The decrease in impaired loans at June 30, 2009, as compared to December 31, 2008, resulted mainly from payoffs, pay-downs, or charge-offs of five commercial real estate properties, two land development projects, three commercial loans, and one residential construction project, and the transfer of twenty five residential lots in two different developments and three condominiums to OREO in the six months ended June 30, 2009. These decreases were partially offset by the addition of two land development projects, one commercial real estate property, two commercial properties, and one residential construction project. The decrease in impaired loans at December 31, 2008, as compared to June 30, 2008, resulted from the payoffs, pay-downs, or charge-offs of three land development projects, two residential construction projects, one commercial loan, two commercial real estate loans, and the transfer of one condominium to OREO in the six months ended December 31, 2008. These decreases were partially offset by the addition of three residential construction projects, three land development projects, three commercial loans and two commercial real estate loans that were not included in impaired loans at June 30, 2008.
At June 30, 2009, December 31, 2008, and June 30, 2008 the Company held $\$ 11.6$ million, $\$ 12.6$ million and $\$ 11.1$ million, respectively, as OREO. The Company expects to expend approximately $\$ 370,000$ in 2009 to complete construction of these projects with an estimated completion date of September 30, 2009.
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## 6. INVESTMENT SECURITIES

The carrying values and approximate fair values of investment securities at June 30, 2009 are presented below:

|  | Amortized Cost | Gross <br> Unrealized <br> Gains <br> (In Th | Gross <br> Unrealized <br> Losses ands) |  | Fair <br> Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Securities Available for Sale |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Treasury | \$ 501 | \$ | \$ 1 |  | 500 |
| Government Sponsored Entities | 95,010 | 1,261 | 66 |  | 96,205 |
| Mortgage-backed Securities | 94 | 1 |  |  | 95 |
| Corporate Bonds | 26,574 | 1,195 | 3 |  | 27,766 |
| Total | \$122,179 | \$2,457 | \$ 70 |  | 124,566 |
| Securities Held to Maturity |  |  |  |  |  |
| Municipal Securities | \$ 10,128 | \$ 179 |  |  | 10,307 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank Stock | \$ 2,003 | \$ | \$ |  | 2,003 |

Gross unrealized losses on investment securities and the fair value of the related securities, aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position, at June 30, 2009 were as follows:

| Less Than | 12 Months | More Than 12 Months |  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fair | Unrealized | Fair | Unrealized | Fair | Unrealized |  |  |  |  |
| Value | Losses | Value | Losses | Value | Losses |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | (In |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Securities Available for |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Sale |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Government Sponsored | $\$ 2,665$ | $\$ 20$ | $\$ 2,814$ | $\$ 47$ | $\$ 5,479$ | $\$ 67$ |
| Entities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Corporate Bonds | 3,995 | 3 |  |  | 3,995 | 3 |
| Total | $\$ 6,660$ | $\$ 23$ | $\$ 2,814$ | $\$ 47$ | $\$ 9,474$ | $\$ 70$ |

The unrealized losses on investments in government sponsored entities, corporate bonds and municipal securities were caused by interest rate increases. At June 30, 2009, there were four available-for-sale securities in an unrealized loss position of $\$ 70,000$. The contractual terms of these investments do not permit the issuer to settle the securities at a price less than the amortized cost of the investment. Because the Company has the ability and intent to hold these investments until a market price recovery or maturity, these investments are not considered other-than-temporarily impaired.
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The amortized cost and fair values of debt securities at June 30, 2009, are distributed by contractual maturity as shown below. Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

|  |  | Weighted <br> Average |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Yield |  |  |

The proceeds and resulting gains and losses, computed using specific identification, from sales of investment securities are as follows:

| June 30, | Gross | Gross |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Proceeds | Gains | Losses |

2009:

| Available-for-Sale Securities | $\$ 5,429$ | $\$$ | 201 | $\$$ | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Held-to-Maturity Securities | $\$$ | $\$$ | $\$$ |  |  |

A summary of taxable interest income for the six months ending June 30, 2009 on available for sale investment securities is as follows:
(In Thousands)
Government Sponsored Entities \$1,320
Other 638

Total \$1,958

Investment securities, which include Federal Home Loan Bank ( FHLB ) stock, totaled $\$ 136.7$ million at June 30, 2009, a decrease of $\$ 15.7$ million, or $10 \%$, from $\$ 152.4$ million at December 31, 2008, and an increase of $\$ 6.3$ million, or $5 \%$, from $\$ 130.4$ million at June 30, 2008. Investment securities designated as available-for-sale comprised $91 \%$ of the investment portfolio at June 30, 2009, $92 \%$ at December 31, 2008, and $89 \%$ at June 30, 2008, and are available to meet liquidity requirements. At June 30, 2009, December 31, 2008, and June 30, 2008 the Company had gross unrealized losses on available for sale
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securities of $\$ 70,000, \$ 446,000$ and $\$ 354,000$, respectively. Both available for sale and held to maturity securities may be pledged as collateral to secure public deposits. At June 30, 2009, $\$ 51.3$ million in securities, or 49\%, of the investment portfolio was pledged, as compared to $\$ 67.4$ million, or $44 \%$, at December 31, 2008, and $\$ 47.8$ million, or 37\%, at June 30, 2008.
The Company evaluated its investment in FHLB stock for other-than-temporary impairment as of June 30, 2009, consistent with its accounting policy. Based on the Company s evaluation of the underlying investment, including the long-term nature of the investment, the liquidity position of the FHLB of Seattle, the actions being taken by the FHLB of Seattle to address its regulatory capital situation and the Company s intent and ability to hold the investment for a period of time sufficient to recover the par value, the Company did not recognize an other-than-temporary impairment loss. Even though the Company did not recognize an other-than-temporary impairment loss during the six-month period ending June 30, 2009, continued deterioration in the FHLB of Seattle s financial position may result in future impairment losses.

## 7. OTHER OPERATING INCOME

The Company, through Northrim Capital Investments Co. ( NCIC ), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Northrim Bank, owns a $50.1 \%$ interest in Northrim Benefits Group, LLC ( NBG ). The Company consolidates the balance sheet and income statement of NBG into its financial statements and notes the non controlling interest in this subsidiary as a separate line item on its financial statements. In the three-month periods ending June 30, 2009 and 2008, the Company included employee benefit plan income from NBG of $\$ 447,000$ and $\$ 352,000$, respectively, in its Other Operating Income. The Company included employee benefit plan income from NBG of $\$ 813,000$ and $\$ 659,000$, respectively, in its Other Operating Income in the six-month periods ending June 30, 2009 and 2008. These increases are the result of an increase in NBG s customers as well as an increase in the commission income that NBG earned from the sale of employee benefit plans.
The Company also owns a $24 \%$ interest in Residential Mortgage Holding Company, LLC ( RML Holding Company ) through NCIC. In the three-month period ending June 30, 2009, the Company s earnings from RML Holding Company increased by $\$ 491,000$ to $\$ 764,000$ as compared to $\$ 273,000$ for the three-month period ending June 30, 2008. In the six-month period ending June 30, 2009, the Company s earnings from RML Holding Company increased by $\$ 1.3$ million to $\$ 1.6$ million as compared to $\$ 306,000$ for the six-month period ending June 30, 2008. These increases are the result of increased refinancing activity in response to the decrease in home mortgage interest rates.
The Company owns a $48 \%$ equity interest in Elliott Cove Capital Management LLC ( Elliott Cove ), an investment advisory services company, through its wholly owned subsidiary, Northrim Investment Services Company ( NISC ). In addition to its ownership interest, the Company provides Elliott Cove with a line of credit that has a commitment amount of $\$ 750,000$ and an outstanding balance of $\$ 610,000$ as of June 30, 2009. The Company s share of the loss from Elliott Cove for the second quarter of 2009 was $\$ 28,000$, as compared to a loss of $\$ 16,000$ in the second quarter of 2008. In the six-month period ending June 30, 2009, the Company s share of the loss from Elliott Cove was $\$ 93,000$ as compared to a loss of $\$ 53,000$ for the six-month period ending June 30, 2008. The losses from Elliott Cove were offset by commissions that the Company receives for its sales of Elliott Cove investment products. These commissions are accounted for as other operating income and totaled $\$ 37,000$ in the second quarter of 2009 and $\$ 80,000$ for the six months ending June 30, 2009 as compared to $\$ 64,000$ in the second quarter of 2008 and $\$ 136,000$ for the six months ending June 30, 2008. A portion of these commissions are paid to the Company s employees and accounted for as salary expense. There were no commission payments in the six months ending June 30, 2009. Payments totaled \$9,000 and \$14,000 for the three and six-month periods ending June 30, 2008.
The Company also owns a $24 \%$ interest in Pacific Wealth Advisors, LLC ( PWA ) through NISC. PWA is a holding company that owns Pacific Portfolio Consulting, LLC ( PPC ) and Pacific Portfolio Trust Company ( PPTC ). PPC is an investment advisory company with an existing client base while PPTC is a start-up operation. During the three-month period ending June 30, 2009, the Company s earnings from
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PWA decreased by $\$ 21,000$ to a loss of $\$ 16,000$ as compared to earnings of $\$ 5,000$ for the three-month period ending June 30, 2008. During the six-month period ending June 30, 2009, the Company s earnings from PWA decreased by $\$ 37,000$ to a loss of $\$ 21,000$ as compared to earnings of $\$ 16,000$ for the six-month period ending June 30, 2008.

## 8. DEPOSIT ACTIVITIES

Total deposits at June 30, 2009, December 31, 2008 and June 30, 2008 were $\$ 819.1$ million, $\$ 843.4$ million and $\$ 902.0$ million, respectively. The decrease in deposits is largely due to a decrease in deposits for one customer that was offset in part by an increase in public deposits that the Company holds in certificates of deposit for the Alaska Permanent Fund Corporation. At June 30, 2009, December 31, 2008 and June 30, 2008, the Company held $\$ 35.2$ million, $\$ 45$ million and $\$ 25$ million, respectively, in certificates of deposit for the Alaska Permanent Fund. The Alaska Permanent Fund Corporation may invest in certificates of deposit at Alaska banks in an aggregate amount with respect to each bank, not to exceed its capital and at specified rates and terms. The depository bank must collateralize the deposits either with pledged securities or a letter of credit. There were no depositors with deposits representing $10 \%$ or more of total deposits at June 30, 2009, December 31, 2008 or June 30, 2008.

## 9. STOCK INCENTIVE PLAN

The Company has set aside 330,750 shares of authorized stock for the 2004 Stock Incentive Plan ( 2004 Plan ) under which it may grant stock options and restricted stock units. The Company s policy is to issue new shares to cover awards. The total number of shares under the 2004 Plan and previous stock incentive plans at June 30, 2009 was 481,431 , which includes 220,246 shares granted under the 2004 Plan leaving 63,298 shares available for future awards. Under the 2004 Plan, certain key employees have been granted the option to purchase set amounts of common stock at the market price on the day the option was granted. Optionees, at their own discretion, may cover the cost of exercise through the exchange, at then fair market value, of already owned shares of the Company s stock. Options are granted for a 10-year period and vest on a pro rata basis over the initial three years from grant. In addition to stock options, the Company has granted restricted stock units to certain key employees under the 2004 Plan. These restricted stock grants cliff vest at the end of a three-year time period.
The Company recognized expenses of $\$ 90,000$ and $\$ 75,000$ on the fair value of restricted stock units and $\$ 60,000$ and $\$ 77,000$ on the fair value of stock options for a total of $\$ 150,000$ and $\$ 152,000$ in stock-based compensation expense for the three-month periods ending June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. For the six-month periods ending June 30, 2009 and 2008, the Company recognized expense of $\$ 178,000$ and $\$ 151,000$, respectively, on the fair value of restricted stock units and $\$ 121,000$ and $\$ 153,000$, respectively, on the fair value of stock options for a total of $\$ 299,000$ and $\$ 304,000$, respectively, in stock-based compensation expense.
Proceeds from the exercise of stock options for the three months ended June 30, 2009 were $\$ 71,000$. The Company withheld shares valued at $\$ 68,000$ to pay for stock option exercises or income taxes that resulted from the exercise of stock options or the vesting of restricted stock units for the three-month period ending June 30, 2009. The Company recognized tax deductions of $\$ 15,000$ related to the exercise of these stock options and $\$ 41,000$ related to the vesting of restricted stock units during the quarter ended June 30, 2009. There were no stock options exercised or restricted stock units that vested during the second quarter of 2008.
Proceeds from the exercise of stock options for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 were $\$ 71,000$ and $\$ 232,000$, respectively. The Company withheld shares valued at $\$ 72,000$ and $\$ 287,000$ to pay for stock option exercises or income taxes that resulted from the exercise of stock options or the vesting of restricted stock units for the six-month periods ending June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The Company recognized tax deductions of $\$ 15,000$ and $\$ 90,000$, respectively, related to the exercise of stock options during the six-months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The Company recognized tax deductions of $\$ 41,000$ related to the vesting of restricted stock units during the six months ended June 30, 2009. There were no restricted stock units that vested during the six months ended June 30, 2008.
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## 10. FAIR VALUE OF ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

In accordance with FASB Statement 157, the Company groups its assets and liabilities measured at fair value in three levels, based on the markets in which the assets and liabilities are traded and the reliability of the assumptions used to determine fair value. These levels are:

Level 1 : Valuation is based upon quoted prices for identical instruments traded in active exchange markets, such as the New York Stock Exchange. Level 1 also includes U.S. Treasury and federal agency securities, which are traded by dealers or brokers in active markets. Valuations are obtained from readily available pricing sources for market transactions involving identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 : Valuation is based upon quoted market prices for similar instruments in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active, and model-based valuation techniques for which all significant assumptions are observable in the market.

Level 3: Valuation is generated from model-based techniques that use significant assumptions not observable in the market. These unobservable assumptions reflect the Company s estimation of assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. Valuation techniques include use of option pricing models, discounted cash flow models and similar techniques.
The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate fair value disclosures. All financial instruments are held for other than trading purposes.
Cash and Money Market Investments: Due to the short term nature of these instruments, the carrying amounts reported in the balance sheet represent their fair values.
Investment Securities: Fair values for investment securities are based on quoted market prices, where available. If quoted market prices are not available, fair values are based on quoted market prices of comparable instruments. Investments in Federal Home Loan Bank stock are recorded at cost, which also represents fair value.
Loans: In 2009, fair value adjustments for loans are mainly related to credit risk, interest rate risk, and liquidity risk. Credit risk is primarily addressed in the financial statements through the allowance for loan losses (see Note 5). Impaired loans are recorded at fair value through the specific valuation allowance which is based on the estimated value of underlying collateral less estimated selling costs. Specific valuation allowances are included in the allowance for loan losses. Interest rate risk on fixed rate loans is addressed using a discounted cash flow methodology. A discount rate was developed based on the relative risk of the cash flows, taking into account the maturity of the loans and comparable average interest rates for loans within each maturity band. An additional liquidity risk is estimated for both fixed and variable loans primarily using observable, historical sales of loans during periods of similar economic conditions. The carrying amount of accrued interest receivable approximates its fair value. Loan values presented for comparative purposes at June 30, 2008 were discounted using expected future cash flows, and impaired loans were recorded as described above in accordance with SFAS 114.
Purchased Receivables: Fair values for purchased receivables are based on their carrying amounts due to their short duration and repricing frequency.
Other real estate owned: Other real estate owned represents properties acquired through foreclosure or its equivalent. The fair value of other real estate owned is determined based on management sestimate of the fair value of individual properties. Significant inputs into this estimate include independently prepared appraisals, resent sales data for similar properties, our assessment of current market conditions and estimated costs to complete projects. These valuation inputs generally result in a fair value measurement that is categorized as a Level 3 measurement, including when management determines that discounts on appraised values are required. When appraised values are not discounted, fair value measurements are categorized as Level 2 measurements.
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Deposit Liabilities: The fair values of demand and savings deposits are equal to the carrying amount at the reporting date. The carrying amount for variable-rate time deposits approximate their fair value. Fair values for fixed-rate time deposits are estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that applies currently offered interest rates to a schedule of aggregate expected monthly maturities of time deposits. The carrying amount of accrued interest payable approximates its fair value.
Borrowings: Due to the short term nature of these instruments, the carrying amount of short-term borrowings reported in the balance sheet approximate the fair value. Fair values for fixed-rate long-term borrowings are estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that applies currently offered interest rates to a schedule of aggregate expected monthly payments.
Junior Subordinated Debentures: Fair value adjustments for junior subordinated debentures are based on the current discounted cash flows to maturity. Management utilized a market approach to determine the appropriate discount rate for junior subordinated debentures.
Assets subject to non-recurring adjustment to fair value: Effective January 1, 2009, in accordance with FASB Staff Position 157-2, the Company may be required to measure certain assets such as equity method investments, intangible assets or OREO at fair value on a nonrecurring basis. Any nonrecurring adjustments to fair value usually result from the write down of individual assets.
Commitments to Extend Credit and Standby Letters of Credit: The fair value of commitments is estimated using the fees currently charged to enter into similar agreements, taking into account the remaining terms of the agreements and the present creditworthiness of the counterparties. For fixed-rate loan commitments, fair value also considers the difference between current levels of interest rates and the committed rates. The fair value of letters of credit is based on fees currently charged for similar agreements or on the estimated cost to terminate them or otherwise settle the obligation with the counterparties at the reporting date.

$$
\text { - } 18 \text { - }
$$
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Limitations: Fair value estimates are made at a specific point in time, based on relevant market information and information about the financial instrument. These estimates do not reflect any premium or discount that could result from offering for sale at one time the Company s entire holdings of a particular financial instrument. Because no market exists for a significant portion of the Company s financial instruments, fair value estimates are based on judgments regarding future expected loss experience, current economic conditions, risk characteristics of various financial instruments, and other factors. These estimates are subjective in nature and involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment and therefore cannot be determined with precision. Changes in assumptions could significantly affect the estimates.

June 30,
2009

| Carrying | Fair |
| :---: | :---: |
| Amount | Value |
| (In Thousands) |  |

Financial Assets:

| Cash and money market investments | $\$ 66,651$ | $\$ 66,651$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Investment securities | 134,309 | 136,877 |
| Net loans | 675,136 | 630,747 |
| Purchased receivables | 9,822 | 9,822 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 3,860 | 3,860 |
|  |  |  |
| Financial Liabilities: | $\$ 819,141$ | $\$ 817,777$ |
| Deposits | 552 | 552 |
| Accrued interest payable | 20,858 | 19,352 |
| Borrowings | 18,558 | 7,443 |
| Junior subordinated debentures |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Unrecognized Financial Instruments: | 173,448 | $\$ 1,734$ |
| Commitments to extend credit ${ }^{(\text {a })}$ | 18,858 | 189 |

(a) Carrying
amounts reflect
the notional
amount of credit
exposure under
these financial
instruments.
The following table sets forth the balances of assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis (in thousands):

|  | Quoted <br> Prices in <br> Active | Signifcant |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

Edgar Filing: NORTHRIM BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

|  | Assets <br> (Level 1) | Inputs (Level <br> $2)$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Available-for-sale securities | $\$ 124,566$ |  | $\$ 124,566$ |
| Total | $\$ 124,566$ |  | $\$ 124,566$ |
|  | $-19-$ |  |  |
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As of and for the six months ending June 30, 2009, no impairment or valuation adjustment was recognized for assets recognized at fair value on a nonrecurring basis, except for certain assets as shown in the following table (in thousands):

|  | Total | Quoted <br> Prices in <br> Active <br> Markets for <br> Identical <br> Assets <br> (Level 1) | Signifcant <br> Other <br> Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs <br> (Level 3) | Total <br> (gains) <br> losses |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Loans measured for impairment ${ }^{1}$ Other real estate owned ${ }^{2}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 14,481 \\ 3,134 \end{array}$ |  | \$ 6,007 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ \quad 8,474 \\ & 3,134 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 660 \\ 495 \end{array}$ |
| Total | \$17,615 |  | \$ 6,007 | \$ 11,608 | \$ 1,155 |
| 1 Relates to certain impaired collateral dependant loans. The impairment was measured based on the fair value of collateral, in accordance with the provisions of SFAS 114. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 Relates to certain impaired other real estate owned. This impairment arose from an adjustment to the Company s estimate of the fair market value of these properties based on changes in estimated costs to complete the projects and changes in |  |  |  |  |  |

market
conditions.

## ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

## Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

This report includes forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. These forward-looking statements describe Northrim Bancorp, Inc. s (the Company ) management $s$ expectations about future events and developments such as future operating results, growth in loans and deposits, continued success of the Company s style of banking, and the strength of the local economy. All statements other than statements of historical fact, including statements regarding industry prospects and future results of operations or financial position, made in this report are forward-looking. We use words such as anticipates, believes, expects, intends and similar expressions in part to help identify forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements reflect management $s$ current plans and expectations and are inherently uncertain. Our actual results may differ significantly from management $s$ expectations, and those variations may be both material and adverse. Forward-looking statements are subject to various risks and uncertainties that may cause our actual results to differ materially and adversely from our expectations as indicated in the forward-looking statements. These risks and uncertainties include: the general condition of, and changes in, the Alaska economy; factors that impact our net interest margins; and our ability to maintain asset quality. Further, actual results may be affected by competition on price and other factors with other financial institutions; customer acceptance of new products and services; the regulatory environment in which we operate; and general trends in the local, regional and national banking industry and economy. Many of these risks, as well as other risks that may have a material adverse impact on our operations and business, are identified in our filings with the SEC. However, you should be aware that these factors are not an exhaustive list, and you should not assume these are the only factors that may cause our actual results to differ from our expectations. In addition, you should note that we do not intend to update any of the forward-looking statements or the uncertainties that may adversely impact those statements.

## OVERVIEW

## GENERAL

Northrim BanCorp, Inc. (the Company ) is a publicly traded bank holding company (Nasdaq: NRIM) with four wholly-owned subsidiaries: Northrim Bank (the Bank ), a state chartered, full-service commercial bank, Northrim Investment Services Company ( NISC ), which we formed in November 2002 to hold the Company s equity interest in Elliott Cove Capital Management LLC ( Elliott Cove ), an investment advisory services company; Northrim Capital Trust 1 ( NCT1 ), an entity that we formed in May 2003 to facilitate a trust preferred securities offering by the Company, and Northrim Statutory Trust 2 ( NST2 ), an entity that we formed in December 2005 to facilitate a trust preferred securities offering by the Company. The Company also holds a $24 \%$ interest in the profits and losses of a residential mortgage holding company, Residential Mortgage Holding Company, LLC ( RML Holding Company ), through the Bank s wholly-owned subsidiary, Northrim Capital Investments Co. ( NCIC ). Residential Mortgage LLC ( RML ), the predecessor of RML Holding Company, was formed in 1998 and has offices throughout Alaska. We also operate in the Washington and Oregon market areas through Northrim Funding Services ( NFS ), a division of the Bank that we started in the third quarter of 2004. This division also began operating in Alaska in the second quarter of 2008. NFS purchases accounts receivable from its customers and provides them with working capital. In addition, through NCIC, we hold a $50.1 \%$ interest in Northrim Benefits Group, LLC ( NBG ), an insurance brokerage company that focuses on the sale and servicing of employee benefit plans. In the first quarter of 2006, through NISC, we purchased a $24 \%$ interest in Pacific Wealth Advisors, LLC ( PWA ), an investment advisory and wealth management business located in Seattle, Washington. Finally, in the third quarter of 2008, the Bank formed another wholly-owned subsidiary, Northrim Building, LLC ( NBL ), which owns and operates the Company s main office facility at 3111 C Street in Anchorage. NBL purchased the building in the third quarter of 2008.
CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES
The accounting and reporting policies of the Company conform with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. The Company s accounting policies are fundamental to understanding management s discussion and analysis of results of operations and financial condition. The Company has identified three policies as being critical because they require
management to make estimates, assumptions and judgments that affect the reported amount of assets and liabilities, contingent assets and liabilities, and revenues and expenses included in the consolidated financial statements. The judgments and assumptions used by management are based on historical experience and other factors, which are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. Circumstances and events that differ significantly from those underlying the Company s estimates,

## Table of Contents

assumptions and judgments could cause the actual amounts reported to differ significantly from these estimates. The Company s critical accounting policies include those that address the accounting for the allowance for loan losses, the valuation of goodwill and other intangible assets, and the valuation of other real estate owned. The Company has not made any significant changes in its critical accounting policies or its estimates and assumptions from those disclosed in its Form 10-K as of December 31, 2008. These critical accounting policies are further described in Management s Discussion and Analysis and in Note 1, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Company s Form 10-K as of December 31, 2008. Management has applied its critical accounting policies and estimation methods consistently in all periods presented in these financial statements.
Several new accounting pronouncements became effective for the Company on January 1, 2009. See Note 2 of this Form 10-Q for a summary of the pronouncements and discussion of the impact of their adoption on the Company s consolidated financial statements.

## RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

See note 2 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in this Form 10-Q for further details.

## SUMMARY OF SECOND QUARTER RESULTS

At June 30, 2009, the Company had assets of $\$ 975.7$ million and gross loans of $\$ 684.9$ million, decreases of $6 \%$ and $4 \%$, respectively, as compared to the balances for these accounts at June 30, 2008. As compared to balances at December 31, 2008, total assets and total loans at June 30, 2009 decreased by $3 \%$ and $4 \%$, respectively. The Company s net income and diluted earnings per share for the three months ended June 30, 2009, were $\$ 1.9$ million and $\$ 0.29$, respectively, increases of $30 \%$ and $32 \%$, respectively, as compared to the same period in 2008 . For the quarter ended June 30, 2009, the Company s net interest income increased by $\$ 200,000$, or $2 \%$, its provision for loan losses increased by $\$ 118,000$, or $6 \%$, its other operating income increased $\$ 809,000$, or $28 \%$, and its other operating expenses increased by $\$ 124,000$, or $1 \%$, as compared to the second quarter a year ago.

## RESULTS OF OPERATIONS <br> NET INCOME

Net income attributable to Northrim Bancorp for the quarter ended June 30, 2009, was $\$ 1.9$ million, or $\$ 0.29$, per diluted share, increases of $30 \%$ and $32 \%$, respectively, each as compared to net income of $\$ 1.4$ million and diluted earnings per share of $\$ 0.22$, respectively, for the second quarter of 2008.
The increase in net income attributable to Northrim Bancorp for the three-month period ending June 30, 2009 as compared to the same period a year ago is the result of an increase in other operating income of $\$ 809,000$ and an increase in net interest income of $\$ 200,000$. These increases were partially offset by a $\$ 314,000$ increase in the provision for income taxes, a $\$ 124,000$ increase in other operating expenses and a $\$ 118,000$ increase in the loan loss provision for the three-month period ending June 30, 2009 as compared to the same period a year ago. The increase in other operating income for the second quarter of 2009 was primarily the result of a $\$ 491,000$ increase in earnings from the Company s mortgage affiliate, a $\$ 191,000$ increase in rental income, and a $\$ 133,000$ increase in gain on the sale other real estate owned. The slight increase in the Company s net interest income for the second quarter of 2009 as compared to the second quarter of 2008 was caused by larger declines in its cost of funds as compared to decreases in the yield of its earning assets. The Company s cost of funds declined due to a decrease in interest-bearing liabilities and an increase in demand deposits. The provision for income taxes increased by $\$ 314,000$ in the second quarter of 2009 as compared to the same period in 2008 due to increased pre-tax income, as well as a decreased tax credits relative to the level of taxable income. The increase in other operating expense for the second quarter of 2009
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is primarily the result of a $\$ 735,000$ increase in insurance expense related to FDIC insurance which was partially offset by a $\$ 594,000$ decrease in expenses related to OREO. The provision for loan losses increased slightly by $\$ 118,000$ in the second quarter of 2009 to account for the combined effect of loan charge-offs and changes in the specific allowance for impaired loans. The increase in earnings per diluted share for the second quarter of 2009 as compared to the second quarter of 2008 was caused by the increase in net income in the second quarter of 2009. Net income attributable to Northrim Bancorp for the six months ended June 30, 2009, was $\$ 3.8$ million, or $\$ 0.60$ per diluted share, increases of $7 \%$ and $7 \%$, respectively, as compared to $\$ 3.6$ million and diluted earnings per share of $\$ 0.56$, respectively, for the same period in 2008.
The increase in net income attributable to Northrim Bancorp for the six-month period ending June 30, 2009 as compared to the same period a year ago is the result of an increase in other operating income of $\$ 2$ million, a decrease in the loan loss provision of $\$ 207,000$ and an $\$ 88,000$ decrease in the provision for income taxes. These changes were partially offset by a $\$ 1.2$ million increase in other operating expenses and an $\$ 819,000$ decrease in net interest income for the six-month period ending June 30,2009 as compared to the same period a year ago. The increase in other operating income for the six-month period ending June 30,2009 was primarily the result of a $\$ 1.3$ million increase in earnings from the Company s mortgage affiliate, a $\$ 387,000$ increase in rental income, and a $\$ 241,000$ increase in gain on the sale of other real estate owned. The decrease in the Company s loan loss provision for the six-month period ending June 30, 2009 was due to the decrease in the specific allowance for impaired loans which was due to charge offs and payoffs of loans measured for impairment. The slight decrease in the Company s provision for income taxes in the six-month period ending June 30, 2009 as compared to the same period in 2008 was due to increased tax credits relative to the level of taxable income for the two periods. The increase in other operating expense for the six-month period ending June 30, 2009 was primarily the result of a $\$ 1.2$ million increase in insurance expense related to FDIC insurance and a $\$ 316,000$ increase in salary and benefit costs due mainly to increased group medical costs. These increases in other operating costs for the six month period ending June 30, 2009 were partially offset by a $\$ 291,000$ decrease in expenses related to OREO. The decrease in the Company s net interest income for the six-month period ending June 30, 2009 was caused by a $0.87 \%$ decrease in the yield on its interest-earning assets. Interest-earning assets averaged $\$ 868.5$ million and $\$ 885.4$ million, respectively, for the six months ending June 30, 2009 and 2008. The Company s cost of funds on interest-bearing liabilities, which averaged $\$ 635.6$ million and $\$ 672.4$ million, respectively, for the six months ending June 30, 2009 and 2008, decreased by $1.02 \%$ for the six months ending June 30,2009 as compared to the same period in 2008. The Company s average cost of funds decreased more than the yield on average interest-earning assets for the six months ending June 30, 2009 as compared to the same period in 2008. However, the decrease in yield on interest-earning assets for the six month period ending June 30, 2009 had a greater affect on the margin during the period than the decrease in cost of funds for interest-bearing liabilities, because the average balances of interest-earning assets were approximately $\$ 230$ million higher than the average balances of interest-bearing liabilities. The increase in earnings per diluted share for the second quarter of 2009 as compared to the second quarter of 2008 was caused by the increase in net income in the second quarter of 2009.

## NET INTEREST INCOME

The primary component of income for most financial institutions is net interest income, which represents the institution s interest income from loans and investment securities minus interest expense, ordinarily on deposits and other interest bearing liabilities. Both the Company s loans and deposits consist largely of variable interest rate arrangements, with the result that as loans and deposits reprice, the Company can expect fluctuations in net interest income. Net interest income for the second quarter of 2009 increased $\$ 200,000$, or $2 \%$, to $\$ 11.7$ million from $\$ 11.5$ million in the second quarter of 2008 because of larger reductions in interest expense, accompanied by a smaller decrease in the yields on the Company s loans. Net interest income for the six-month period ending June 30, 2009 decreased $\$ 819,000$, or $3 \%$, to $\$ 22.8$ million from $\$ 23.7$ million in the same period in 2008 due to a decrease in interest income, accompanied by a smaller decrease in interest expense. The following table compares average balances and rates for the quarters ending June 30, 2009 and 2008:
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Three Months Ended June 30,

|  | Average Balances |  | Change |  | Average Yields/Costs Tax Equivalent |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2009 | 2008 | \$ | \% | 2009 | 2008 | Change |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial | \$277,519 | \$283,679 | \$ (6,160) | -2\% | 7.08\% | 7.34\% | -0.26\% |
| Construction/development | 82,831 | 121,416 | $(38,585)$ | -32\% | 8.01\% | 8.49\% | -0.48\% |
| Commercial real estate | 280,661 | 247,960 | 32,701 | 13\% | 7.01\% | 7.52\% | -0.51\% |
| Home equity lines and other consumer | 48,143 | 51,777 | $(3,634)$ | -7\% | 6.81\% | 6.89\% | -0.08\% |
| Real estate loans for sale | 10,503 |  | 10,503 | NA | 4.77\% | NA | NA |
| Other loans | $(1,416)$ | $(1,044)$ | (372) | 36\% |  |  |  |
| Total loans | 698,241 | 703,788 | $(5,547)$ | -1\% | 7.13\% | 7.59\% | -0.46\% |
| Short-term investments | 25,185 | 59,480 | $(34,295)$ | -58\% | 0.25\% | 2.29\% | -2.04\% |
| Long-term investments | 136,734 | 130,066 | 6,668 | 5\% | 3.25\% | 4.10\% | -0.85\% |
| Total investments | 161,919 | 189,546 | $(27,627)$ | -15\% | 2.79\% | 3.56\% | -0.77\% |
| Interest-earning assets | 860,160 | 893,334 | $(33,174)$ | -4\% | 6.31\% | 6.73\% | -0.42\% |
| Nonearning assets | 105,317 | 99,706 | 5,611 | 6\% |  |  |  |
| Total | \$965,477 | \$993,040 | \$ 27,563 ) | -3\% |  |  |  |
| Interest-bearing liabilities | \$605,435 | \$676,271 | \$ $(70,836)$ | -10\% | 1.19\% | 2.03\% | -0.84\% |
| Demand deposits | 243,299 | 203,881 | 39,418 | 19\% |  |  |  |
| Other liabilities | 8,496 | 9,345 | (849) | -9\% |  |  |  |
| Equity | 108,247 | 103,543 | 4,704 | 5\% |  |  |  |
| Total | \$965,477 | \$993,040 | \$ 27,563 ) | -3\% |  |  |  |

Net tax equivalent margin on earning assets
$5.48 \% \quad 5.20 \% \quad 0.28 \%$

Six Months Ended June 30,

|  | Average Balances |  | Change |  | Average Yields/Cost <br> Tax Equivalent |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2009 | 2008 | \$ | \% | 2009 | 2008 | Change |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial | \$278,923 | \$282,108 | \$ $(3,185)$ | -1\% | 6.92\% | 7.71\% | -0.79\% |
| Construction/development | 89,904 | 128,491 | $(38,587)$ | -30\% | 7.57\% | 9.00\% | -1.43\% |
| Commercial real estate | 276,030 | 244,768 | 31,262 | 13\% | 7.03\% | 7.67\% | -0.64\% |
| Consumer | 49,346 | 51,552 | $(2,206)$ | -4\% | 6.81\% | 7.02\% | -0.21\% |
| Real estate loans for sale | 9,308 |  | 9,308 | NA | 4.83\% | NA | NA |
| Other loans | $(1,616)$ | $(1,385)$ | (231) | 17\% |  |  |  |
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| Total loans | 701,895 | 705,534 | $(3,639)$ | -1\% | 7.03\% | 7.91\% | -0.88\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Short-term investments | 30,881 | 42,533 | $(11,652)$ | -27\% | 0.59\% | 2.51\% | -1.92\% |
| Long-term investments | 135,755 | 137,341 | $(1,586)$ | -1\% | 3.43\% | 4.50\% | -1.07\% |
| Total investments | 166,636 | 179,874 | $(13,238)$ | -7\% | 2.93\% | 4.06\% | -1.13\% |
| Interest-earning assets | 868,531 | 885,408 | $(16,877)$ | -2\% | 6.25\% | 7.12\% | -0.87\% |
| Nonearning assets | 109,186 | 99,214 | 9,972 | 10\% |  |  |  |
| Total | \$977,717 | \$984,622 | \$ (6,905) | -1\% |  |  |  |
| Interest-bearing liabilities | \$635,578 | \$672,403 | \$ $(36,825)$ | -5\% | 1.24\% | 2.26\% | -1.02\% |
| Demand deposits | 225,948 | 199,089 | 26,859 | 13\% |  |  |  |
| Other liabilities | 8,816 | 9,962 | $(1,146)$ | -12\% |  |  |  |
| Equity | 107,375 | 103,168 | 4,207 | 4\% |  |  |  |
| Total | \$977,717 | \$984,622 | \$ (6,905) | -1\% |  |  |  |

Net tax equivalent margin on earning assets $\quad 5.34 \% \quad 5.41 \% \quad-0.07 \%$ - 24 -
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Interest-earning assets averaged $\$ 860.2$ million and $\$ 893.3$ million for the three-month periods ending June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, a decrease of $\$ 33.2$ million, or $4 \%$. The tax equivalent yield on interest-earning assets averaged $5.48 \%$ and $5.20 \%$, respectively, for the three-month periods ending June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, an increase of 28 basis points.
Loans, the largest category of interest-earning assets, decreased by $\$ 5.5$ million, or $1 \%$, to an average of $\$ 698.2$ million in the second quarter of 2009 from $\$ 703.8$ million in the second quarter of 2008. During the six-month period ending June 30, 2009, loans decreased by $\$ 3.6$ million, or $1 \%$, to an average of $\$ 701.9$ million from an average of $\$ 705.5$ million for the six-month period ending June 30, 2008. Commercial, construction and home equity lines and other consumer decreased by $\$ 6.2$ million, $\$ 38.6$ million and $\$ 3.6$ on average, respectively, between the second quarters of 2009 and 2008. Commercial real estate loans increased by $\$ 32.7$ million on average between the second quarters of 2009 and 2008. During the six-month period ending June 30, 2009, commercial, construction and home equity lines and other consumer loans decreased by $\$ 3.2$ million, $\$ 38.6$ million, and $\$ 2.2$ million, respectively, on average as compared to the six-month period ending June 30, 2008. Commercial real estate loans increased $\$ 31.3$ million on average between the six-month periods ending June 30, 2009 and June 30, 2008. Additionally, the Company had $\$ 10.5$ million in real estate loans for sale on average in the three months ended June 30, 2009, and no real estate loans for sale during the same period in 2008. The Company had $\$ 9.3$ million in real estate loans for sale on average in the six months ended June 30, 2009, and no real estate loans for sale during the same period in 2008. The decline in the loan portfolio resulted from a combination of refinance and loan payoff activity and a decrease in construction loan originations. We expect the loan portfolio to decrease slightly in the future with moderate growth in commercial real estate and commercial loans offset by further decreases in construction loans due to lower residential construction activity, and decreases home equity lines and other consumer loans as more of these types of loans are paid off due to the increase in mortgage refinance activity. In addition, management intends to continue to aggressively reduce its loans measured for impairment and OREO, much of which is secured by residential construction and land development loans, which is expected to lead to further decreases in construction loan balances. The yield on the loan portfolio averaged $7.13 \%$ for the second quarter of 2009, a decrease of 46 basis points from $7.59 \%$ over the same quarter a year ago. During the six-month period ending June 30, 2009, the yield on the loan portfolio averaged $7.03 \%$, a decrease of 88 basis points from $7.91 \%$ over the same six-month period in 2008. Average investments decreased $\$ 27.6$ million, or $15 \%$, to $\$ 161.9$ million for the second quarter of 2009 from $\$ 189.5$ million in the second quarter of 2008 . For the six-month period ending June 30, 2009, average investments decreased $\$ 13.2$ million or $7 \%$ to $\$ 166.6$ million from $\$ 179.9$ million in the same period in 2008.
Interest-bearing liabilities averaged $\$ 605.4$ million for the second quarter of 2009, a decrease of $\$ 70.8$ million, or $10 \%$, compared to $\$ 676.3$ million for the same period in 2008. For the six-month period ending June 30, 2009, interest-bearing liabilities averaged $\$ 635.6$ million, a decrease of $\$ 36.8$ million, or $5 \%$, compared to $\$ 672.4$ million for the same period in 2008. The average cost of interest-bearing liabilities decreased 84 basis points to $1.19 \%$ for the second quarter of 2009 compared to $2.03 \%$ for the second quarter of 2008 . The average cost of interest-bearing liabilities decreased 102 basis points to $1.24 \%$ for the six-month period ending June 30, 2009 as compared to $2.26 \%$ for the same period in 2008. The decrease in the average cost of funds in 2009 as compared to 2008 is largely due to the interest rate cuts by the Federal Reserve that were made throughout 2008. As a result, many other interest rates declined during the year, which contributed to a decline in deposit rates.
The Company s net interest income as a percentage of average interest-earning assets (net tax-equivalent margin) was $5.48 \%$ and $5.34 \%$, respectively, for the three and six-month periods ending June 30, 2009 as compared to $5.20 \%$ and $5.41 \%$ for the same periods in 2008. The decrease in funding costs for the three-month period ending June 30, 2009 resulted in an increase in net tax-equivalent margin. However, in the six-month period ending June 30, 2009, the decrease in interest income exceeded the decrease in interest expense, as noted above, resulting in a slight decrease in the net tax-equivalent margin.
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## OTHER OPERATING INCOME

Other operating income consists of earnings on service charges, purchased receivable income, equity in earnings from the Company s mortgage affiliate, gains from the sale of other real estate owned and other items. Set forth below is the change in Other Operating Income between the three and six-month periods ending June 30, 2009 and 2008:

|  | Three Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2009 | $\begin{gathered} 2008 \\ \text { (Dollars i } \end{gathered}$ | \$ Chg <br> ousands) | \% Chg | 2009 | $\begin{gathered} 2008 \\ \text { (Dollars } \end{gathered}$ | \$ Chg <br> housands) | \% Chg |
| Equity in earnings from mortgage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| affiliate | \$ 764 | \$ 273 | \$ 491 | 180\% | \$ 1,612 | \$ 306 | \$ 1,306 | 427\% |
| Service charges on deposit accounts | 775 | 888 | (113) | -13\% | 1,478 | 1,750 | (272) | -16\% |
| Purchased receivable income | 474 | 518 | (44) | -8\% | 1,232 | 1,047 | 185 | 18\% |
| Employee benefit plan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| income | 447 | 352 | 95 | 27\% | 813 | 659 | 154 | 23\% |
| Electronic banking fees | 351 | 292 | 59 | 20\% | 661 | 538 | 123 | 23\% |
| Rental income | 208 | 17 | 191 | 1124\% | 414 | 27 | 387 | 1433\% |
| Loan servicing fees | 162 | 126 | 36 | 29\% | 298 | 250 | 48 | 19\% |
| Merchant credit card transaction fees | 70 | 111 | (41) | -37\% | 153 | 217 | (64) | -29\% |
| Equity in loss from Elliott Cove | (28) | (16) | (12) | 75\% | (93) | (53) | (40) | 75\% |
| Gain on sale of securities available for sale, net | 196 | 100 | 96 | 96\% | 196 | 100 | 96 | 96\% |
| Gain on sale of other real estate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| owned, net | 115 | (18) | 133 | -739\% | 223 | (18) | 241 | -1339\% |
| Other income | 116 | 198 | (82) | -41\% | 245 | 450 | (205) | -46\% |
| Total | \$3,650 | \$2,841 | \$ 809 | 28\% | \$7,232 | \$5,273 | \$ 1,959 | 37\% |

Total other operating income for the second quarter of 2009 was $\$ 3.7$ million, an increase of $\$ 809,000$ from
$\$ 2.8$ million in the second quarter of 2008 . Total other operating income for the six months ending June 30,2009 was $\$ 7.2$ million, an increase of $\$ 2.0$ million from $\$ 5.3$ million in same period in 2008 . This increase was due primarily to increases in income from our equity in earnings from our mortgage affiliate and rental income.
The Company s share of the earnings from its $24 \%$ interest in its mortgage affiliate, RML, increased by $\$ 491,000$ and $\$ 1.3$ million, respectively, to $\$ 764,000$ and $\$ 1.6$ million during the three and six-month periods ending June 30, 2009
as compared to $\$ 273,000$ and $\$ 306,000$ in the same periods in 2008. The increase in earnings resulted from increased refinance activity that began in the fourth quarter of 2008 and continued through the second quarter of 2009 . The Company expects that the level of mortgage refinance activity will decrease in future periods in 2009, which will result in the Company receiving a lower level of earnings from its interest in RML.
Service charges on the Company s deposit accounts decreased by $\$ 113,000$ and $\$ 272,000$, respectively, or $13 \%$ and $16 \%$, to $\$ 775,000$ and $\$ 1.5$ million for the three and six-month periods ending June 30,2009 , as compared to $\$ 888,000$ and $\$ 1.8$ million for the same periods in 2008. The decrease in service charges was primarily the result of a decrease in fees collected on non-sufficient funds transactions due to a decrease in the number of overdraft transactions processed during the three and six-month periods ending June 30, 2009.
Income from the Company s purchased receivable products decreased by $\$ 44,000$, or $8 \%$, to $\$ 474,000$ for the three-month period ending June 30, 2009 as compared to $\$ 518,000$ for the same period ending in June 30, 2008. Income from the Company s purchased receivable products increased by $\$ 185,000$, or $18 \%$, to $\$ 1.2$ million for the six-month period ending June 30, 2009 as compared to $\$ 1$ million for the same period in 2008. The Company uses these products to purchase accounts receivable from its customers and provide them with working capital for their businesses. While the customers are responsible for collecting these receivables, the Company mitigates this risk with extensive monitoring of the customers transactions and control of the proceeds from the collection process. The Company expects the income level from this product to fluctuate as the Company adds new customers while some of its existing customers will move into different products to meet their working capital needs. For example, at the end of the three month-period ending March 31, 2009, one of the Company s purchased receivable customers sold a portion of its business and used those proceeds to repay its purchased receivable balance which accounted for a part of the decrease in purchased receivable revenues for the three month period ending June 30, 2009 as compared to revenues for the three month period ending June 30, 2008. The increase in purchased receivable income for the six-month period ending June 30 ,
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2009 as compared to the same period in 2008 resulted from higher average balances in 2009 as compared to 2008. Employee benefit plan income from NBG was $\$ 447,000$ and $\$ 813,000$, respectively, for the three and six-month periods ending June 30, 2009 as compared to $\$ 352,000$ and $\$ 659,000$ for the same periods in 2008 for increases of $\$ 95,000$ and $\$ 154,000$, respectively, or $27 \%$ and $23 \%$. This increase in employee benefit plan income is a reflection of NBG s ability to provide additional products and services to an increasing client base.
The Company s electronic banking revenue increased by $\$ 59,000$ and $\$ 123,000$, respectively, or $20 \%$ and $23 \%$, for the three and six-month periods ending June 30, 2009 to $\$ 351,000$ and $\$ 661,000$ from $\$ 292,000$ and $\$ 538,000$ at June 30, 2008. These increases resulted from additional fees collected from increased point-of-sale and ATM transactions. The point-of-sale and ATM fees have increased as a result of the increased number of deposit accounts that the Company has acquired through the marketing of the high performance checking ( HPC ) product and overall continued increased usage of point-of-sale transactions by the entire customer base.
Rental income increased by $\$ 191,000$ and $\$ 387,000$, respectively, or $1124 \%$ and $1433 \%$, to $\$ 208,000$ and $\$ 414,000$ for the three and six-month periods ending June 30, 2009 from $\$ 17,000$ and $\$ 27,000$ for the same periods in 2008. This increase resulted from the purchase of the Company s main office facility through NBL in July 2008. The Company leases approximately $40 \%$ of the building to other companies and earned $\$ 189,000$ and $\$ 377,000$, respectively, from these leases in the three and six-month periods ending June 30, 2009.
Loan servicing fees increased by $\$ 36,000$ and $\$ 48,000$, respectively, or $29 \%$ and $19 \%$, to $\$ 162,000$ and $\$ 298,000$ for the three and six-month periods ending June 30, 2009 from $\$ 126,000$ and $\$ 250,000$ for the same periods in 2008. These increases were the result of fees on real estate loans that have been sold.
Merchant credit card transaction fees decreased by $\$ 41,000$ and $\$ 64,000$, respectively, or $37 \%$ and $29 \%$, to $\$ 70,000$ and $\$ 153,000$ for the three and six-month periods ending June 30,2009 from $\$ 111,000$ and $\$ 217,000$ for the same periods in 2008. This decrease resulted from both a decrease in the number of transactions processed by merchants and a decrease in average transaction fees due to competitive pressures.
The Company s share of losses from its $48 \%$ interest in Elliott Cove increased by $\$ 12,000$ and $\$ 40,000$, respectively, or $75 \%$ each to $\$ 28,000$ and $\$ 93,000$ for the three and six-month periods ending June 30, 2009 from losses of $\$ 16,000$ and $\$ 53,000$ for the same periods in 2008. The increased losses from Elliott Cove resulted from a decrease in Elliott Cove s revenues that was caused by a decrease in its assets under management for both the three and six-month periods ending June 30, 2009.
Gain on sale of securities available for sale increased by $\$ 96,000$, or $96 \%$, for both the three and six-month periods ending June 30, 2009 as compared to the same periods in 2008.
The Company recognized $\$ 115,000$ and $\$ 223,000$, respectively, in net gains on the sale of other real estate owned in the three and six-month periods ending June 30, 2009. During the second quarter of 2009, the Company sold eight condominium units, six residential lots, and one single family residence. In addition to these properties, the Company also sold four houses, two condominiums, and a number of developed residential lots in the three-month period ending March 31, 2009, with most of the property located in the greater Anchorage area. The Company recognized an $\$ 18,000$ loss on the sale of one single family residence in the second quarter of 2008 . There were no sales of other real estate owned in the first quarter of 2008.
Other income decreased by $\$ 82,000$ and $\$ 205,000$, respectively, or $41 \%$ and $46 \%$, during the three and six-month periods ending June 30, 2009 to $\$ 116,000$ and $\$ 245,000$ from $\$ 198,000$ and $\$ 450,000$ in the same periods in 2008. These decreases were primarily the result of decreases in the Company s commissions from the sale of Elliott Cove products as well as losses incurred by the Company s affiliate PWA and decreases in construction loan fees. Additionally, the Company received $\$ 56,000$ in proceeds
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in the first quarter of 2008 for the mandatory partial redemption of the Company s Class B common stock in VISA Inc.

## EXPENSES

## Other Operating Expense

The following table breaks out the components of and changes in Other Operating Expense between the three and six-month periods ending June 30, 2009 and 2008:


Salaries and other personnel

| expense | \$ 5,708 | \$ 5,440 | \$ 268 | 5\% | \$11,159 | \$ 10,843 | \$ 316 | $3 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Insurance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| expense | 959 | 224 | 735 | 328\% | 1,764 | 594 | 1,170 | 197\% |
| Occupancy | 897 | 829 | 68 | 8\% | 1,812 | 1,663 | 149 | 9\% |
| OREO expense, including |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| impairment | 448 | 1,042 | (594) | -57\% | 844 | 1,135 | (291) | -26\% |
| Marketing | 316 | 391 | (75) | -19\% | 634 | 781 | (147) | -19\% |
| Professional and outside services | 309 | 403 | (94) | -23\% | 687 | 712 | (25) | -4\% |
| Equipment, net | 297 | 291 | 6 | 2\% | 601 | 587 | 14 | 2\% |
| Intangible asset amortization | 83 | 88 | (5) | -6\% | 165 | 176 | (11) | -6\% |
| Purchased receivable losses |  |  |  | N/A | (16) | (13) | (3) | 23\% |
| Other expense | 1,515 | 1,700 | (185) | -11\% | 3,402 | 3,405 | (3) | 0\% |
| Total | \$ 10,532 | \$ 10,408 | \$ 124 | 1\% | \$21,052 | \$19,883 | \$1,169 | 6\% |

Total other operating expense for the second quarter of 2009 was $\$ 10.5$ million, an increase of $\$ 124,000$ from $\$ 10.4$ million in the second quarter of 2008. Total other operating expense for the six months ended June 30, 2009 was $\$ 21.1$ million, an increase of $\$ 1.2$ million from $\$ 19.9$ million in the same period in 2008. These increases were primarily due to increases in insurance and salary and benefit costs which were partially offset by a decrease in costs related to other real estate owned.
Salaries and benefits increased by $\$ 268,000$ and $\$ 316,000$, respectively, or $5 \%$ and $3 \%$, for the three and six-month periods ending June 30, 2009 as compared to the same periods a year ago due primarily to increased group medical costs.
Insurance expense increased by $\$ 735,000$ and $\$ 1.2$ million, respectively, or $328 \%$ and $197 \%$, to $\$ 959,000$ and $\$ 1.8$ million for the three and six-month periods ending June 30, 2009 from $\$ 224,000$ and $\$ 594,000$ in the same periods in 2008. This increase was primarily attributable to an increase in FDIC insurance expense that was due to changes in the assessment of FDIC insurance premiums. In addition to this increase, the FDIC made a special assessment on all FDIC insured financial institutions in the second quarter of 2009 that was in addition to the regular quarterly assessments for deposit insurance. This special assessment was designed to add reserves to the FDIC insurance fund and totaled $\$ 420,000$ for the Company. The FDIC has indicated that it may make another special assessment in a similar amount later in 2009 depending upon the status of the FDIC insurance fund.
Occupancy expense increased by $\$ 68,000$ and $\$ 149,000$, respectively, or $8 \%$ and $9 \%$, for the three and six-month periods ending June 30, 2009 as compared to the same periods in 2008 largely due to the Company s acquisition of its main office facility for $\$ 12.9$ million on July 1, 2008.

OREO expenses decreased to $\$ 448,000$ and $\$ 844,000$, respectively, or $57 \%$ and $26 \%$, for the three and six-month periods ending June 30, 2009 from $\$ 1$ million and $\$ 1.1$ million in the same periods in 2008. These decreases were primarily the result of decreased impairment charges that arose from adjustments to the Company s estimate of the fair value of certain properties. These impairment charges decreased by $\$ 677,000$ and $\$ 481,000$, respectively, for the three and six-month periods ending June 30, 2009 as compared to the same periods in 2008. These decreases were partially offset by increases in taxes and insurance expense and property management costs that arose from increased average balances of properties under management in both the three and six-month periods ending June 30, 2009. Taxes and insurance and property management
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costs increased by $\$ 76,000$ and $\$ 53,000$, respectively, in the second quarter of 2009 and $\$ 58,000$ and $\$ 140,000$, respectively, in the six months ended June 30, 2009 as compared to the same periods in 2008. For the remainder of 2009, the Company expects to incur lower overall OREO expenses due in large part to lower expected impairment charges on its OREO properties. Although we cannot guarantee that we will be successful, by December 31, 2009, the Company intends to reduce the total of its loans measured for impairment and OREO by $20 \%$ from December 31, 2008 levels.
Marketing expenses decreased by $\$ 75,000$ and $\$ 147,000$, respectively, or $19 \%$ each, for the three and six-month periods ending June 30, 2009 as compared to the same periods a year ago primarily due to decreased HPC promotion costs and charitable contributions. While we expect decreased marketing costs related to the Company s HPC consumer and business products in 2009, we still expect the Bank to increase its deposit accounts and balances as it continues to utilize the HPC Program over the next year. Furthermore, the Company expects that the additional deposit accounts will continue to generate increased fee income that will offset a majority of the marketing costs associated with the HPC Program.
Professional and outside services decreased by $\$ 94,000$ and $\$ 25,000$, or $23 \%$ and $4 \%$, for the three and six-month periods ending June 30, 2009 as compared to the same periods a year ago. The decrease for the second quarter of 2009 as compared to the second quarter of 2008 was due to decreases in other outside services, consulting fees and legal fees of $\$ 73,000, \$ 47,000$ and $\$ 46,000$, respectively. These decreases were partially offset by an increase of $\$ 47,000$ in accounting fees. The decrease for the six months ending June 30, 2009 as compared to the same period in 2008 was due to decreases in other outside services and consulting fees of $\$ 62,000$ and $\$ 114,000$, respectively. The decreases in other outside services are primarily due to decreases in fees paid for out-sourced internal audit services. The decreases in consulting fees were primarily due to the fact that in 2008, the Company paid fees to former Alaska First employees for services rendered to facilitate the transition of Alaska First operations to the Company. No fees were paid to former Alaska First employees in 2009. The offsetting increases in accounting fees resulted from fees paid to consultants for analysis of the Company s goodwill in accordance with SFAS No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets for the year ended December 31, 2008 and the quarter ended March 31, 2009. See further discussion at Note 3, Goodwill and Other Intangibles .
Other expense decreased by $\$ 185,000$, or $11 \%$, for the second quarter of 2009 as compared to the second quarter of 2008 and was consistent with prior year for the six-month period ending June 30, 2009. The decrease for the second quarter of 2009 was primarily due to decreases in loan collateral and loan taxes and insurance costs during the three-month period ending June 30, 2009 as compared to the same period in 2008.

## Income Taxes

The provision for income taxes was $\$ 681,000$ and $\$ 1.5$ million for the three and six-month periods ending June 30 , 2009 , as compared to $\$ 367,000$ and $\$ 1.6$ million for the same periods in 2008. The effective tax rates for the three-month periods ending June 30, 2009 and 2008 were $26 \%$ and $19 \%$, respectively. The increase in the tax rate for this period was primarily due to an increase in income before tax, relative to the level of tax credits. The effective tax rates for the six-month periods ending June 30, 2009 and 2008 were $27 \%$ and $30 \%$, respectively. The decrease in the tax rate for this period is primarily due to increased tax credits relative to the level of taxable income.
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## CHANGES IN FINANCIAL CONDITION

## ASSETS

## Loans and Lending Activities

General: Our loan products include short and medium-term commercial loans, commercial credit lines, construction and real estate loans, and consumer loans. From our inception, we have emphasized commercial, land development and home construction, and commercial real estate lending. These types of lending have provided us with market opportunities and higher net interest margins than other types of lending. However, they also involve greater risks, including greater exposure to changes in local economic conditions, than certain other types of lending.
Loans are the highest yielding component of our earning assets. Average loans declined by $\$ 5.5$ million, or $1 \%$, to $\$ 698.2$ million in the second quarter of 2009 as compared to $\$ 703.8$ million in the same period of 2008. Loans comprised $81 \%$ of total average earning assets for the quarter ending June 30, 2009, compared to $79 \%$ of total average earning assets for the quarter ending June 30, 2008. The yield on loans averaged $7.13 \%$ for the quarter ending June 30, 2009 , compared to $7.59 \%$ during the same period in 2008.
The loan portfolio decreased by $\$ 25.2$ million, or $4 \%$, from $\$ 710.1$ million at June 30,2008 to $\$ 684.9$ million at June 30, 2009. Loans decreased by $\$ 26.4$ million, or $4 \%$, from $\$ 711.3$ million at December 31, 2008, to $\$ 684.9$ million at June 30, 2009. Commercial loans decreased $\$ 29.3$ million, or $10 \%$, construction loans decreased $\$ 36.2$ million, or $31 \%$, home equity lines and other consumer loans decreased $\$ 4.7$ million, or $9 \%$, and commercial real estate loans increased $\$ 45.1$ million, or $18 \%$ from June 30, 2008 to June 30, 2009. In addition, commercial loans decreased $\$ 26.9$ million, or $9 \%$, construction loans decreased $\$ 21$ million, or $21 \%$, home equity lines and other consumer loans decreased $\$ 4.1$ million, or $8 \%$, and commercial real estate loans increased $\$ 25.4$ million, or $9 \%$, from December 31, 2008 to June 30, 2009. The decline in the loan portfolio resulted from a combination of transfers to OREO of $\$ 2.8$ million and $\$ 2.9$ million in the three and six-month periods ending June 30, 2009, refinance and loan payoff activity, and a decrease in construction loan originations. We expect the loan portfolio to increase slightly in the future with moderate growth in commercial real estate and commercial loans. We expect decreases in construction loans, due to lower residential construction activity, and also in home equity lines and other consumer loans as more of these types of loans are paid off due to the increase in mortgage refinance activity that has resulted from the declines in long term mortgage rates that began late in the fourth quarter of 2008. Residential construction activity in Anchorage, the Company s largest market, is expected to continue to decline through 2009 due to a decline in available building lots and sales activity. While the Company believes it has offset a portion of this effect by acquiring additional residential construction customers, it expects that the sales activity levels in the real estate markets in Anchorage, the Matanuska-Susitna Valley, and the Fairbanks areas will continue to decrease from the prior year and lead to an overall decline in its construction loans. In addition, management intends to continue to aggressively reduce its loans measured for impairment and OREO, much of which is secured by residential construction and land development loans, which should lead to further decreases in construction loan balances.
Loan Portfolio Composition: Loans decreased to $\$ 688.3$ million at June 30, 2009, from $\$ 710.1$ million at June 30, 2008 and $\$ 711.3$ million at December 31, 2008. At June 30, 2009, $37 \%$ of the portfolio was scheduled to mature over the next 12 months, and $27 \%$ was scheduled to mature between July 1, 2010, and June 30, 2014. Future growth in loans is generally dependent on new loan demand and deposit growth, and is constrained by the Company s policy of being well-capitalized. In addition, the fact that $37 \%$ of the loan portfolio is scheduled to mature in the next 12 months poses an added risk to the Company s efforts to increase its loan totals as it attempts to renew or replace these maturing loans.
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The following table sets forth the Company s loan portfolio composition by loan type for the dates indicated:

|  | June 30, 2009 |  | December 31, 2008 |  | June 30, 2008 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dollar Amount | Percent of Total | Dollar Amount (Dollars | Percent of Total usands) | Dollar <br> Amount | Percent of Total |
| Commercial | \$266,227 | 39\% | \$293,173 | 41\% | \$295,532 | 42\% |
| Construction/development | 79,464 | 12\% | 100,441 | 14\% | 115,637 | 16\% |
| Commercial real estate | 294,249 | 43\% | 268,864 | 38\% | 249,123 | 35\% |
| Home equity lines and other consumer | 47,266 | 7\% | 51,357 | 7\% | 51,961 | 7\% |
| Loans in process | 248 | 0\% | 163 | 0\% | 255 | 0\% |
| Unearned loan fees, net | $(2,557)$ | 0\% | $(2,712)$ | 0\% | $(2,434)$ | 0\% |
| Sub total | 684,897 |  | 711,286 |  | 710,074 |  |
| Real estate loans for sale | 3,426 | 0\% |  | 0\% |  | 0\% |
| Total loans | \$688,323 | 100\% | \$711,286 | 100\% | \$710,074 | 100\% |

Nonperforming Assets: Nonperforming assets consist of nonaccrual loans, accruing loans that are 90 days or more past due, restructured loans, and OREO. The following table sets forth information with respect to nonperforming assets:

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { June } 30, \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ | De | $\begin{aligned} & \text { cember } 31 \text {, } \\ & 2008 \\ & \text { s in thousar } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { June } 30, \\ 2008 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nonaccrual loans | \$ 18,111 | \$ | 20,593 | \$ 11,855 |
| Accruing loans past due 90 days or more | 1,893 |  | 5,411 | 6,199 |
| Restructured loans |  |  |  |  |
| Total nonperforming loans | 20,004 |  | 26,004 | 18,054 |
| Other real estate owned | 11,576 |  | 12,617 | 11,147 |
| Total nonperforming assets | \$31,580 | \$ | 38,621 | \$ 29,201 |
| Allowance for loan losses | \$ 13,187 | \$ | 12,900 | \$ 13,519 |
| Nonperforming loans to loans | 2.92\% |  | 3.66\% | 2.54\% |
| Nonperforming assets to total assets | 3.24\% |  | 3.84\% | 2.80\% |
| Allowance to loans | 1.93\% |  | 1.81\% | 1.90\% |
| Allowance to nonperforming loans | 66\% |  | 50\% | 75\% |

OREO valuation is a critical accounting policy of the Company. The carrying value of OREO property is adjusted to the fair value, less cost to sell, of the real estate by an adjustment to the allowance for loan loss prior to foreclosure. The amount by which the fair value less cost to sell is greater than the carrying amount of the loan is recognized in earnings up to the original cost of the asset. Any subsequent reduction in the carrying value at acquisition is charged against earnings. Reductions in the carrying value of other real estate owned subsequent to acquisition are determined based on management $s$ estimate of the fair value of individual properties.

OREO decreased by $\$ 1$ million to $\$ 11.6$ million at June 30, 2009 from $\$ 12.6$ million at December 31, 2008. This decrease was primarily the result of the sale of ten condominiums, seven residential lots, and six single family residences in the six month period ended June 30, 2009. Proceeds on these sales totaled $\$ 4.8$ million and resulted in $\$ 223,000$ in gains. Additionally, the Company recorded impairment charges on OREO of $\$ 495,000$ in the six months ended June 30, 2009. The decreases in OREO due to sales and impairment charges were partially offset by the transfer of twenty six residential lots in three different developments, three condominiums, one single family residence and two commercial properties to OREO in the six months ended June 30, 2009. Additionally, the Company expended $\$ 1.2$ million in capital costs for OREO projects in the six months ended June 30, 2009.
OREO increased by $\$ 1.5$ million from June 30, 2008 to December 31, 2008. This increase was primarily the net result of the addition of two single family residences, one residential lot, one condominium, two multi-family residences, one commercial property. Additionally, the Company expended $\$ 2.1$ million in capital costs for OREO projects in the six months ended December 31, 2008. These increases were
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partially offset by impairment charges of $\$ 981,000$ and the sale of six single family residences, two condominiums, and one residential lot for proceeds of $\$ 2.3$ million in the six-month period ending December 31, 2008. The Company recognized net gains on the sales of these properties of $\$ 63,000$ in the six months ended December 31, 2008. At June 30, 2009, the OREO portfolio consists of a $\$ 2.7$ million, 3 -story 19 -unit condominium project, a $\$ 1.6$ million lot development project adjacent to a $\$ 3.1$ million, 21-unit townhome-style condominium project that has experienced sales of 5 units in the six months ended June 30, 3009, a $\$ 1.2$ million single-family housing development project, one condominium unit valued at $\$ 812,000$, twenty five residential lots in four different sites totaling $\$ 447,000$, one commercial building totaling $\$ 498,000$, one leasehold estate totaling $\$ 125,000$, and five other small residential construction projects totaling approximately $\$ 1.1$ million.
Nonaccrual, Accruing Loans 90 Days or More Past Due and Restructured Loans: The Company s financial statements are prepared based on the accrual basis of accounting, including recognition of interest income on the Company s loan portfolio, unless a loan is placed on a nonaccrual basis. For financial reporting purposes, amounts received on nonaccrual loans generally will be applied first to principal and then to interest only after all principal has been collected.
Restructured loans are those for which concessions, including the reduction of interest rates below a rate otherwise available to that borrower, have been granted due to the borrower $s$ weakened financial condition. Interest on restructured loans will be accrued at the restructured rates when it is anticipated that no loss of original principal will occur and the interest can be collected. The Company had restructured loans of $\$ 1.2$ million at March 31, 2009. As of June 30, 2009, these loans are classified as nonaccrual loans.
Total nonperforming loans at June 30, 2009, were $\$ 20$ million, or $2.92 \%$, of total loans, a decrease of $\$ 6$ million from $\$ 26.0$ million at December 31, 2008, and an increase of $\$ 1.9$ million from $\$ 18.1$ million at June 30, 2008. The decrease in the nonperforming loans at June 30, 2009 from the end of 2008 was primarily due to the decrease in accruing loans 90 days or more past due that resulted primarily from the payoff of one residential land development loan. The Company plans to continue to devote resources to resolve its nonperforming loans, and it continues to write down assets to their estimated fair value when they are in a nonperforming status, which is accounted for in the Company s analysis of impaired loans and its Allowance.
The increase in nonperforming loans between June 30, 2008 and June 30, 2009 in general was caused by an increase in nonperforming residential construction and land development loans which have increased due to several factors. First, there has been a slowdown in the residential real estate sales cycle in the Company s major markets that has been caused in part by more restrictive mortgage lending standards that has decreased the number of eligible purchasers for residential properties. In addition, there has been a decrease in new construction activity. As a result, inventory levels have remained approximately constant over the last year. Second, the slowdown in the sales cycle and the decrease in new construction have led to slower absorption of residential lots. Third, a number of the Company s residential construction and land development borrowers have been unable to profitably operate in this slower real estate market. As noted above, as a result of the slower residential real estate market, the Company expects that its level of lending in this sector will decrease which will lead to a lower level of earnings from this portion of its loan portfolio. At June 30, 2009, December 31, 2008, and June 30, 2008, the Company had impaired loans of $\$ 67.1$ million, $\$ 79.7$ million, and $\$ 84.9$ million, respectively. A specific allowance of $\$ 3.3$ million, $\$ 3.2$ million, and $\$ 3.7$ million, respectively, was established for these loans for the periods noted. The decrease in impaired loans at June 30, 2009, as compared to December 31, 2008, resulted mainly from payoffs, pay-downs, or charge-offs of five commercial real estate properties two land development projects, three commercial loans, and one residential construction project, and the transfer of twenty five residential lots in two different developments and three condominiums to OREO in the six months ended June 30, 2009.
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These decreases were partially offset by the addition of two land development projects, one commercial real estate property, two commercial properties, and one residential construction project. The decrease in impaired loans at December 31, 2008, as compared to June 30, 2008, resulted from the payoffs, pay-downs, or charge-offs of three land development projects, two residential construction projects, one commercial loan, two commercial real estate loans, and the transfer of one condominium to OREO in the six months ended December 31, 2008. These decreases were partially offset by the addition of three residential construction projects, three land development projects, three commercial loans, and two commercial real estate loans that were not included in impaired loans at June 30, 2008. Management is aggressively attempting to reduce the outstanding loans measured for impairment and OREO. Although we cannot guarantee that we will be successful, by December 31, 2009, the Company intends to reduce its loans measured for impairment and OREO by $10 \%$ from June 30, 2009 levels.
Potential Problem Loans: Potential problem loans are loans which are currently performing and are not included in nonaccrual, accruing loans 90 days or more past due, or restructured loans at the end of the applicable period, about which the Company has developed doubts as to the borrower s ability to comply with present repayment terms and which may later be included in nonaccrual, past due, restructured loans or impaired loans. At June 30, 2009 the Company had $\$ 16.2$ million in potential problem loans, as compared to $\$ 19.3$ million at June 30, 2008. This decrease is mainly the result of the reclassification of one commercial real estate loan to nonperforming status and the payoff of one land development loan. Additionally, one land development loan that was classified as a potential problem loan at June 30, 2008 is not classified as a potential problem loan at June 30, 2009 because it has paid down significantly. These decreases in potential problem loans were partially offset by the addition of one land development loan and one commercial loan relationship at June 30, 2009 that were not classified as potential problem loans at June 30, 2008. At December 31, 2008, the Company had potential problem loans of $\$ 21.6$ million. The decrease from December 31, 2008 to June 30, 2009 is primarily the result of the reclassification of one commercial real estate loan to nonperforming status, the payoff of one land development loan and the reclassification of three condominiums to other real estate owned. Two of these condominiums were sold in the second quarter of 2009. These decreases were partially offset by the addition of one commercial loan relationship at June 30, 2009 that was not classified as potential problem loans at December 31, 2008.
Analysis of Allowance for Loan Losses and Loan Loss Provision: The Company maintains an Allowance to reflect inherent losses from its loan portfolio as of the balance sheet date. The Allowance is a critical accounting policy and is maintained at a level determined by management to be adequate to provide for probable losses inherent in the loan portfolio at the balance sheet date. The Allowance is reported as a reduction of outstanding loan balances and is decreased by loan charge-offs, increased by loan recoveries, and increased by provisions for loan losses. Our periodic evaluation of the adequacy of the Allowance is based on such factors as our past loan loss experience, known and inherent risks in the portfolio, adverse situations that have occurred but are not yet known that may affect the borrowers ability to repay, the estimated value of underlying collateral, and economic conditions. Since we utilize information currently available to evaluate the Allowance, the Allowance is subjective and may be adjusted in the future depending on changes in economic conditions or other factors.
In determining its total Allowance, the Company first estimates a specific allowance for impaired loans. This analysis is based upon a specific analysis for each impaired loan, including appraisals on loans secured by real property, management $s$ assessment of the current market, recent payment history and an evaluation of other sources of repayment. With regard to our appraisal process, the Company obtains appraisals on real and personal property that secure its loans during the loan origination process in accordance with regulatory guidance and its loan policy. The Company obtains updated appraisals on loans secured by real or personal property based upon its assessment of changes in the current market or particular projects or properties, information from other current appraisals, and other sources of information. The Company uses the information provided in these updated appraisals along with its evaluation of all other information available on a particular property as it assesses the collateral coverage on its performing and nonperforming loans and the impact that may have on the adequacy of its Allowance.
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The Company then estimates an allowance for all loans that are not impaired. This allowance is based on loss factors applied to loans that are quality graded according to an internal risk classification system ( classified loans ). The Company s internal risk classifications are based in large part upon regulatory definitions for classified loans. The loss factors that the Company applies to each group of loans within the various risk classifications are based on industry standards, historical experience and management $s$ judgment.
Portfolio components also receive specific attention in the Allowance analysis when those components constitute a significant concentration as a percentage of the Company s capital, when current market or economic conditions point to increased scrutiny, or when historical or recent experience suggests that additional attention is warranted in the analysis process.
Once the Allowance is determined using the methodology described above, management assesses the adequacy of the overall Allowance through an analysis of the size and mix of the loan portfolio, historical and recent credit performance of the loan portfolio (including the absolute level and trends in delinquencies and impaired loans), industry metrics and ratio analysis.
Our banking regulators, as an integral part of their examination process, periodically review the Company s Allowance. Our regulators may require the Company to recognize additions to the allowance based on their judgments related to information available to them at the time of their examinations.
We recognize the determination of the Allowance is sensitive to the assigned credit risk ratings and inherent loss rates at any given point in time. Therefore, we perform a sensitivity analysis to provide insight regarding the impact that adverse changes in risk ratings may have on our Allowance. The sensitivity analysis does not imply any expectation of future deterioration in our loans risk ratings and it does not necessarily reflect the nature and extent of future changes in the Allowance due to the numerous quantitative and qualitative factors considered in determining our Allowance. At June 30, 2009, in the event that one percent of our loans were downgraded from the pass category to the special mention category within our current allowance methodology, the Allowance would have increased by approximately $\$ 327,000$.
Based on our methodology and its components, management believes the resulting Allowance is adequate and appropriate for the risk identified in the Company s loan portfolio. Given current processes employed by the Company, management believes the risk ratings and inherent loss rates currently assigned are appropriate. It is possible that others, given the same information, may at any point in time reach different reasonable conclusions that could be material to the Company s financial statements. In addition, current risk ratings and fair value estimates of collateral are subject to change as we continue to review loans within our portfolio and as our borrowers are impacted by economic trends within their market areas. Although we have established an Allowance that we consider adequate, there can be no assurance that the established Allowance will be sufficient to offset losses on loans in the future. The Allowance was $\$ 13.2$ million, or $1.93 \%$, of total loans outstanding, at June 30, 2009, compared to $\$ 13.5$ million, or $1.90 \%$, of total loans at June 30, 2008 and $\$ 12.9$ million, or $1.81 \%$ of loans, at December 31, 2008. The Company slightly increased its Allowance as a percentage of its total loans outstanding between June 30, 2008 and June 30, 2009 to cover losses inherent but not yet identified in the loan portfolio due to current economic conditions and other qualitative factors. The Allowance represented $66 \%$ of nonperforming loans at June 30, 2009, as compared to $75 \%$ of nonperforming loans at June 30, 2008 and 50\% of nonperforming loans at December 31, 2008.
The Company recorded a provision for loan losses in the amount of $\$ 2.1$ million for the three-month period ending June 30, 2009 to cover losses inherent but not yet identified in the rest of its portfolio due to the estimated increased inherent loss in the remaining portfolio. The Company anticipates that there may be further increases in nonperforming loans that will require additional provision for loan losses in the future and decrease the Company s future liquidity and cash flow. The following table details activity in the Allowance for the periods indicated:

## Table of Contents

|  | Three Months Ended June 30, |  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$13,364 | \$12,571 | \$12,900 | \$11,735 |
| Charge-offs: |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial | 1,137 | 534 | 1,148 | 1,463 |
| Construction/development | 207 | 737 | 1,070 | 816 |
| Commercial real estate | 998 |  | 1,058 |  |
| Home equity lines and other consumer | 57 | 32 | 141 | 32 |
| Total charge-offs | 2,399 | 1,303 | 3,417 | 2,311 |
| Recoveries: |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial | 97 | 166 | 167 | 305 |
| Construction/development |  | 51 |  | 51 |
| Commercial real estate |  |  | 9 |  |
| Home equity lines and other consumer | 8 | 35 | 36 | 40 |
| Total recoveries | 105 | 252 | 212 | 396 |
| Net, (recoveries) charge-offs | 2,294 | 1,051 | 3,205 | 1,915 |
| Provision for loan losses | 2,117 | 1,999 | 3,492 | 3,699 |
| Balance at end of period | \$13,187 | \$13,519 | \$13,187 | \$13,519 |

The provision for loan losses for the three and six-month periods ending June 30, 2009 was $\$ 2.1$ million and $\$ 3.5$ million as compared to a provision for loan losses of $\$ 2$ million and $\$ 3.7$ million for the three and six-month periods ending June 30, 2008. During the three-month period ending June 30, 2009, there were $\$ 2.3$ million in net loan charge-offs as compared to $\$ 1.1$ million of net loan charge-offs for the same period in 2008. During the six-month period ending June 30, 2009, there were $\$ 3.2$ million in net loan charge-offs as compared to $\$ 1.9$ million of net loan charge-offs for the same period in 2008. Loan charge-offs increased during the three and six-month periods ending June 30, 2009 at $\$ 2.4$ million and $\$ 3.4$ million, respectively, as compared to $\$ 1.3$ million and $\$ 2.3$ million in the same periods in 2008.
Management believes that, based on its review of the performance of the loan portfolio and the various methods it uses to analyze its Allowance, at June 30, 2009 the Allowance was adequate to cover losses in the loan portfolio at the balance sheet date.

## Investment Securities

Investment securities, which include Federal Home Loan Bank ( FHLB ) stock, totaled $\$ 136.7$ million at June 30, 2009, a decrease of $\$ 15.7$ million, or $10 \%$, from $\$ 152.4$ million at December 31, 2008, and an increase of $\$ 6.3$ million, or $5 \%$, from $\$ 130.4$ million at June 30, 2008. The decrease in investments from December 31, 2008 to June 30, 2009 was the result of calls of several agency securities where the funds were placed in short term investments. The increase in investments from June 30, 2008 to June 30, 2009 was primarily due to the reinvestment of the proceeds from loan payoffs into security investments.
Investment securities designated as available-for-sale comprised 91\% of the investment portfolio at June 30, 2009, $92 \%$ at December 31, 2008, and $89 \%$ at June 30, 2008, and are available to meet liquidity requirements. Both available-for-sale and held-to-maturity securities may be pledged as collateral to secure public deposits. At June 30, $2009, \$ 51.3$ million in securities, or $49 \%$, of the investment portfolio was pledged, as compared to $\$ 67.4$ million, or $44 \%$, at December 31, 2008, and $\$ 47.8$ million, or $37 \%$, at June 30 , 2008. The changes in pledged securities are due to the fact that as of June 30, 2009, December 31, 2008 and June 30, 2008, the Company had pledged $\$ 36.7$ million,
$\$ 47.0$ million and $\$ 25.7$ million, respectively, to collateralize Alaska Permanent Fund certificates of deposit. - 37 -
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The Company has never had any investment in the common or preferred stock of the Federal National Mortgage Association or the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation, which are commonly known as Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, respectively.

## Goodwill

Goodwill valuation is a critical accounting policy of the Company. Net assets of entities acquired in purchase transactions are recorded at fair value at the date of acquisition. Identified intangibles are amortized over the period benefited either on a straight-line basis or on an accelerated basis depending on the nature of the intangible. Goodwill is not amortized, although it is reviewed for impairment on an annual basis or if events or circumstances indicate a potential impairment.
As noted in Note 3 of this Form 10-Q, the Company has recorded $\$ 6.9$ million and $\$ 2.1$ million of goodwill related to the acquisition of branches from Bank of America in 1999 and the acquisition of Alaska Trust in 2007, respectively. The Company reviews goodwill for impairment in accordance with SFAS No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets at least annually or if an event occurs or circumstances change that reduce the fair value of the reporting unit below its carrying value. The Company s significant accounting policies are discussed in Note 1 to the audited consolidated financial statements included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008. We concluded that there was no indication of impairment in the first step of the impairment test at June 30, 2009, and accordingly, the Company did not perform the second step. The Company continues to monitor the Company s goodwill for potential impairment on an ongoing basis. No assurance can be given that we will not charge earnings during 2009 for goodwill impairment, if, for example, our stock price declines further and continues to trade at a significant discount to its book value, although there are many factors that we analyze in determining the impairment of goodwill.

## LIABILITIES

## Deposits

General: Deposits are the Company s primary source of funds. Total deposits decreased $\$ 24.1$ million to $\$ 819.1$ million at June 30, 2009, from $\$ 843.3$ million at December 31, 2008, and decreased $\$ 82.9$ million from $\$ 902$ million at June 30, 2008. The Company s deposits generally are expected to fluctuate according to the level of the Company s market share, economic conditions, and normal seasonal trends. The decrease in deposits from June 30, 2008 to June 30,2009 was largely due to the decrease in the deposits of one customer that was offset in part by an increase in public deposits that the Company holds in certificates of deposit for the Alaska Permanent Fund Corporation. As mentioned earlier, as the Bank continues to market its HPC products, the Company expects increases in the number of deposit accounts and the balances associated with them. There were no depositors with deposits representing 10\% or more of total deposits at June 30, 2009, December 31, 2008 or June 30, 2008.
Certificates of Deposit: The only deposit category with stated maturity dates is certificates of deposit. At June 30, 2009, the Company had $\$ 167.5$ million in certificates of deposit as compared to certificates of deposit of $\$ 173.4$ million and $\$ 136.8$ million, for the periods ending December 31, 2008 and June 30, 2008, respectively. At June $30,2009, \$ 136.3$ million, or $81 \%$, of the Company s certificates of deposits are scheduled to mature over the next 12 months as compared to $\$ 144.7$ million, or $83 \%$, of total certificates of deposit, at December 31, 2008, and $\$ 107.6$ million, or $79 \%$, of total certificates of deposit at June 30, 2008.
Alaska Certificates of Deposit: The Alaska Certificate of Deposit ( Alaska CD ) is a savings deposit product with an open-ended maturity, interest rate that adjusts to an index that is tied to the two-year United States Treasury Note, and limited withdrawals. The total balance in the Alaska CD at June 30, 2009, was $\$ 104.9$ million, a decrease of $\$ 3.2$ million as compared to the balance of $\$ 108.1$ million at December 31, 2008 and a decrease of $\$ 32.6$ million from a balance of $\$ 137.5$ million at June 30, 2008.
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The Company expects the total balance of the Alaska CD in 2009 to continue to be at lower levels as compared to 2008 as customers move into higher yielding accounts such as term certificates of deposit.
Alaska Permanent Fund Deposits: The Alaska Permanent Fund Corporation may invest in certificates of deposit at Alaska banks in an aggregate amount with respect to each bank, not to exceed its capital and at specified rates and terms. The depository bank must collateralize the deposits either with pledged securities or a letter of credit. At June 30, 2009, the Company held $\$ 35.2$ million in certificates of deposit for the Alaska Permanent Fund. In contrast, at December 31, 2008 and June 30, 2008, the Company held $\$ 45$ million and $\$ 25$ million, respectively, in certificates of deposit for the Alaska Permanent Fund.

## Borrowings

A portion of the Company s borrowings were from the FHLB. At June 30, 2009, the Company s maximum borrowing line from the FHLB was $\$ 102$ million, approximately $10 \%$ of the Company s assets. At June 30, 2009, December 31, 2008 and June 30, 2008 there were outstanding balances on the borrowing line of $\$ 10.3$ million, $\$ 11.0$ million and $\$ 1.6$ million, respectively, and there were no additional monies committed to secure public deposits. The increase in the outstanding balance of the line at June 30, 2009 as compared to June 30, 2008 was the result of an additional draw on the line to fund the Company s acquisition of its main office facility on July 1, 2008. Additional advances are dependent on the availability of acceptable collateral such as marketable securities or real estate loans, although all FHLB advances are secured by a blanket pledge of the Company s assets.
The Company purchased its main office facility for $\$ 12.9$ million on July 1, 2008. In this transaction, the Company, through NBL, assumed an existing loan secured by the building in an amount of $\$ 5.1$ million. At June 30, 2009, the outstanding balance on this loan was $\$ 5.0$ million. This is an amortizing loan and has a maturity date of April 1, 2014 and an interest rate of $5.95 \%$ as of June 30, 2009.
In addition to the borrowings from the FHLB, the Company had $\$ 5.6$ million in other borrowings outstanding at June 30,2009 , as compared to $\$ 14.1$ million and $\$ 8.7$ million, respectively, in other borrowings outstanding at December 31, 2008 and June 30, 2008. Other borrowings at June 30, 2009 and 2008 consist of security repurchase arrangements and short-term borrowings from the Federal Reserve Bank for payroll tax deposits. Other borrowings as of December 31, 2008 consisted of security repurchase arrangements, short-term borrowings from the Federal Reserve Bank for payroll tax deposits, and overnight borrowings from correspondent banks.
Other Short-term Borrowings: At June 30, 2009, the Company had no short-term (original maturity of one year or less) borrowings that exceeded $30 \%$ of shareholders equity.
Off-Balance Sheet Items Commitments/Letters of Credit: The Company is a party to financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk. Among the off-balance sheet items entered into in the ordinary course of business are commitments to extend credit and the issuance of letters of credit. These instruments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit and interest rate risk in excess of the amounts recognized on the balance sheet. Certain commitments are collateralized. As of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, the Company s commitments to extend credit and to provide letters of credit amounted to $\$ 192.3$ million and $\$ 147.2$ million, respectively. Since many of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, these total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements.

## LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

## Liquidity

The Company manages its liquidity through its Asset and Liability Committee. In addition to the $\$ 45.4$ million of cash and cash equivalents and $\$ 85.4$ million in unpledged available-for-sale securities held at June 30, 2009, the Company has additional funding sources which include fed fund borrowing lines
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and advances available at the Federal Home Loan Bank of Seattle and the Federal Reserve Bank of approximately $\$ 184$ million as of June 30, 2009.

## Shareholders Equity

Shareholders equity was $\$ 108$ million at June 30, 2009, compared to $\$ 104.7$ million at December 31, 2008 and $\$ 101.9$ million at June 30, 2008. The Company earned net income of $\$ 1.9$ million during the three-month period ending June 30,2009 , issued 6,766 shares through the vesting of restricted stock and exercise to stock options, and did not repurchase any shares of its common stock under the Company s publicly announced repurchase program. At June 30, 2009, the Company had approximately 6.3 million shares of its common stock outstanding.

## Capital Requirements and Ratios

The Company and the Bank are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum regulatory capital requirements can result in certain mandatory, and possibly additional discretionary, actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the Company s financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, the Company and the Bank must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of assets, liabilities, and certain off-balance sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The capital amounts and classifications are also subject to qualitative judgments by regulators about the components of regulatory capital, risk weightings, and other factors. The regulatory agencies may establish higher minimum requirements if, for example, a bank or bank holding company has previously received special attention or has a high susceptibility to interest rate risk.
The requirements address both risk-based capital and leverage capital. At June 30, 2009, the Company and the Bank met all applicable regulatory capital adequacy requirements.
The FDIC has in place qualifications for banks to be classified as well-capitalized. As of June 15, 2009, the most recent notification from the FDIC categorized the Bank as well-capitalized. There have been no conditions or events known to us since the FDIC notification that have changed the Bank sclassification.
The following table illustrates the actual capital ratios for the Company and the Bank as calculated under regulatory guidelines, compared to the regulatory minimum capital ratios and the regulatory minimum capital ratios needed to qualify as a well-capitalized institution as of June 30, 2009.

|  | Adequately- <br> Capitalized | Well- <br> Capitalized | Actual <br> Ratio <br> BHC | Actual <br> Ratio <br> Bank |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tier 1 risk-based capital | $4.00 \%$ | $6.00 \%$ | $13.50 \%$ | $12.63 \%$ |
| Total risk-based capital | $8.00 \%$ | $10.00 \%$ | $14.76 \%$ | $13.88 \%$ |
| Leverage ratio | $4.00 \%$ | $5.00 \%$ | $12.21 \%$ | $11.45 \%$ |

Based on recent turmoil in the financial markets and the current regulatory environment a prudent course of action is to maintain a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio at the Bank level in excess of $10 \%$. Given this turmoil in the financial markets, the current regulatory environment and the increase in the Company s loans measured
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for impairment and OREO, management intends to maintain a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio for the Bank in excess of $10 \%$ throughout 2009, exceeding the FDIC s well-capitalized minimum regulatory capital requirements. The regulatory capital ratios for the Company exceed those for the Bank primarily because the $\$ 18.6$ million junior subordinated debenture offerings that the Company completed in the third quarter of 2003 and the fourth quarter of 2005 are included in the Company s capital for regulatory purposes although such securities are accounted for as a long-term debt in its financial statements. The junior subordinated debentures are not accounted for on the Bank s financial statements nor are they included in its capital. As a result, the Company has $\$ 18.6$ million more in regulatory capital than the Bank, which explains most of the difference in the capital ratios for the two entities.

## Stock Repurchase Plan

In June 2007, the Board of Directors of the Company amended the stock repurchase plan ( Plan ) to increase the stock in its repurchase program by an additional 305,029 shares. The Company did not repurchase any of its shares in the three or six-month periods ending June 30, 2009, which left the total shares repurchased under this program at 688,442 since its inception at a total cost of $\$ 14.2$ million and the remaining shares available for purchase under the Plan at 227,242 at June 30, 2009. The Company intends to continue to repurchase its common stock from time to time depending upon market conditions, but it can make no assurances that it will repurchase all of the shares authorized for repurchase under the Plan.

## Junior Subordinated Debentures

In May of 2003, the Company formed a wholly-owned Delaware statutory business trust subsidiary, Northrim Capital Trust 1 (the Trust ), which issued $\$ 8$ million of guaranteed undivided beneficial interests in the Company s Junior Subordinated Deferrable Interest Debentures ( Trust Preferred Securities ). These debentures qualify as Tier 1 capital under Federal Reserve Board guidelines. All of the common securities of the Trust are owned by the Company. The proceeds from the issuance of the common securities and the Trust Preferred Securities were used by the Trust to purchase $\$ 8.2$ million of junior subordinated debentures of the Company. The Trust Preferred Securities of the Trust are not consolidated in the Company s financial statements in accordance with FASB Interpretation No. 46R ( FIN46 ); therefore, the Company has recorded its investment in the Trust as an other asset and the subordinated debentures as a liability. The debentures, which represent the sole asset of the Trust, accrue and pay distributions quarterly at a variable rate of 90 -day LIBOR plus $3.15 \%$ per annum, adjusted quarterly. The interest rate on these debentures was $4.06 \%$ at June 30, 2009. The interest cost to the Company on these debentures was $\$ 85,000$ in the quarter ending June 30, 2009 and $\$ 121,000$ in the same period in 2008. The Company has entered into contractual arrangements which, taken collectively, fully and unconditionally guarantee payment of: (i) accrued and unpaid distributions required to be paid on the Trust Preferred Securities; (ii) the redemption price with respect to any Trust Preferred Securities called for redemption by the Trust and (iii) payments due upon a voluntary or involuntary dissolution, winding up or liquidation of the Trust. The Trust Preferred Securities are mandatorily redeemable upon maturity of the debentures on May 15, 2033, or upon earlier redemption as provided in the indenture. The Company has the right to redeem the debentures purchased by the Trust in whole or in part, on or after May 15, 2008. As specified in the indenture, if the debentures are redeemed prior to maturity, the redemption price will be the principal amount and any accrued but unpaid interest.
In December of 2005, the Company formed a wholly-owned Connecticut statutory business trust subsidiary, Northrim Statutory Trust 2 (the Trust 2 ), which issued $\$ 10$ million of guaranteed undivided beneficial interests in the Company s Junior Subordinated Deferrable Interest Debentures ( Trust Preferred Securities 2 ). These debentures qualify as Tier 1 capital under Federal Reserve Board guidelines. All of the common securities of Trust 2 are owned by the Company. The proceeds from the issuance of the common securities and the Trust Preferred Securities 2 were used by Trust 2 to purchase $\$ 10.3$ million of junior subordinated debentures of the Company. The Trust Preferred Securities of the Trust 2 are not consolidated in the Company s financial statements in accordance with FIN46; therefore, the Company has recorded its investment in the Trust 2 as an other asset and the subordinated debentures
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as a liability. The debentures, which represent the sole asset of Trust 2 , accrue and pay distributions quarterly at a variable rate of 90 -day LIBOR plus $1.37 \%$ per annum, adjusted quarterly. The interest rate on these debentures was $2.00 \%$ at June 30, 2009. The interest cost to the Company on these debentures was $\$ 65,000$ for the quarter ending June 30, 2009 and $\$ 105,000$ in the same period in 2008. The Company has entered into contractual arrangements which, taken collectively, fully and unconditionally guarantee payment of: (i) accrued and unpaid distributions required to be paid on the Trust Preferred Securities 2; (ii) the redemption price with respect to any Trust Preferred Securities 2 called for redemption by Trust 2 and (iii) payments due upon a voluntary or involuntary dissolution, winding up or liquidation of Trust 2. The Trust Preferred Securities 2 are mandatorily redeemable upon maturity of the debentures on March 15, 2036, or upon earlier redemption as provided in the indenture. The Company has the right to redeem the debentures purchased by Trust 2 in whole or in part, on or after March 15, 2011. As specified in the indenture, if the debentures are redeemed prior to maturity, the redemption price will be the principal amount and any accrued but unpaid interest.

## CAPITAL EXPENDITURES AND COMMITMENTS

At June 30, 2009, the Company held $\$ 11.6$ million as OREO as compared to $\$ 12.6$ million at December 31, 2008 and $\$ 11.1$ million a year ago. The Company expects to expend approximately $\$ 370,000$ in 2009 to complete construction of these projects with an estimated completion date of September 30, 2009.

## ITEM 3: QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Interest rate and credit risks are the most significant market risks which affect the Company s performance. The Company relies on loan review, prudent loan underwriting standards, and an adequate allowance for credit losses to mitigate credit risk.
The Company utilizes a simulation model to monitor and manage interest rate risk within parameters established by its internal policy. The model projects the impact of a 100 basis point increase and a 100 basis point decrease, from prevailing interest rates, on the balance sheet for a period of 12 months.
The Company is normally asset sensitive, meaning that interest-earning assets mature or reprice more quickly than interest-bearing liabilities in a given period. Therefore, an increase in market rates of interest could positively impact net interest income. Conversely, a declining interest rate environment may negatively impact net interest income. Generalized assumptions are made on how investment securities, classes of loans, and various deposit products might respond to interest rate changes. These assumptions are inherently uncertain, and as a result, the model cannot precisely estimate net interest income nor precisely predict the impact of higher or lower interest rates on net interest income. Actual results may differ materially from simulated results
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due to factors such as timing, magnitude, and frequency of rate changes, customer reaction to rate changes, competitive response, changes in market conditions, the absolute level of interest rates, and management strategies, among other factors.
The results of the simulation model at June 30, 2009, indicate that, if interest rates immediately increased by 100 basis points, the Company would experience an increase in net interest income of approximately $\$ 846,000$ over the next 12 months. Similarly, the simulation model indicates that, if interest rates immediately decreased by 100 basis points, the Company would also experience an increase in net interest income of approximately $\$ 1.4$ million over the next 12 months. The similar results between the 100 basis point increase and decrease are due to current loan pricing with floors on interest rates that limit the negative effect of a decrease in interest rates.
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## ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

## Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this report, we evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures. Our principal executive and financial officers supervised and participated in this evaluation. Based on this evaluation, our principal executive and financial officers each concluded that the disclosure controls and procedures are effective in timely alerting them to material information required to be included in the periodic reports to the Securities and Exchange Commission. The design of any system of controls is based in part upon various assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any of our plans, products, services or procedures will succeed in achieving their intended goals under future conditions.
Changes in Internal Control over Disclosure and Reporting
There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the quarterly period ended June 30, 2009 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.
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## PART II OTHER INFORMATION

## ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

During the normal course of its business, the Company is a party to various debtor-creditor legal actions, which individually or in the aggregate, could be material to the Company s business, operations, or financial condition. These include cases filed as a plaintiff in collection and foreclosure cases, and the enforcement of creditors rights in bankruptcy proceedings.

## ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

For information regarding risk factors, please refer to Item 1A in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008. These risk factors have not materially changed.

## ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

(a)-(b) Not applicable
(c) There were no stock repurchases by the Company during the second quarter of 2009.

## ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None.

## ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

Northrim BanCorp, Inc. held its Annual Shareholders Meeting on May 14, 2009. The matters voted on by the shareholders were (1) the election of directors, (2) amendment to the Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation and (3) the authority to adjourn, postpone or continue the Annual Shareholders Meeting.

## 1. ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

The following individuals were nominated and elected by the shareholders at the Annual Shareholders Meeting held on May 14, 2009 to serve as directors until the 2010 election of directors or until their successors are elected and have qualified:

| DIRECTOR | FOR | WITHHOLD | VOTES <br> CAST | NONVOTES | TOTAL <br> SHARES |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash, Larry S. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Copeland, Mark G. | $5,183,672$ | 598,805 | $5,782,477$ | 549,759 | $6,332,236$ |
| Davis, Ronald A. | $5,660,442$ | 122,035 | $5,782,477$ | 549,759 | $6,332,236$ |
| Drabek, Anthony | $5,665,241$ | 117,236 | $5,782,477$ | 549,759 | $6,332,236$ |
| Knudson, Christopher N. | $5,694,165$ | 88,312 | $5,782,477$ | 549,759 | $6,332,236$ |
| Langland, R. Marc | $4,562,871$ | $1,219,606$ | $5,782,477$ | 549,759 | $6,332,236$ |
| Lowell, Richard L. | $4,544,569$ | $1,237,908$ | $5,782,477$ | 549,759 | $6,332,236$ |
| Rowan, Irene Sparks | $5,683,540$ | 98,937 | $5,782,477$ | 549,759 | $6,332,236$ |
| Swalling, John C. | $4,525,712$ | $1,256,765$ | $5,782,477$ | 549,759 | $6,332,236$ |
| Wight, David G. | $5,707,102$ | 75,375 | $5,782,477$ | 549,759 | $6,332,236$ |
|  | $5,683,544$ | 98,933 | $5,782,477$ | 549,759 | $6,332,236$ |
|  |  | $-45-$ |  |  |  |
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## 2. APPROVAL OF ARTICLES OF AMENDMENT TO THE AMENDED AND RESTATED ARTICLES OF

 INCORPORATION TO AUTHORIZE PREFERRED STOCK.The Articles of Amendment to the Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation were approved by the Board of Directors of Northrim BanCorp, Inc. on February 5, 2009, subject to shareholder approval.
The Articles of Amendment to the Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of Northrim BanCorp, Inc. approved by the shareholders on May 14, 2009 are attached as Exhibit 3.3 to this Form 10-Q.

| FOR | AGAINST | ABSTAIN | VOTES CAST | NONVOTES | TOTAL SHARES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $4,231,732$ | 632,559 | 19,368 | $4,883,659$ | $1,448,577$ | $6,332,236$ |

3. APPROVAL TO GRANT THE MANAGEMENT OF NORTHRIM BANCORP, INC. THE AUTHORITY TO ADJOURN, POSTPONE OR CONTINUE THE ANNUAL MEETING.
The required affirmative vote of the holders of the majority of the shares of the Company s common stock present in person or represented by duly executed proxy at the Annual Meeting granted the management of Northrim BanCorp, Inc. the authority to adjourn, postpone or continue the Annual Meeting.

| FOR | AGAINST | ABSTAIN | VOTES CAST | NONVOTES | TOTAL SHARES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $3,975,162$ | $1,790,764$ | 16,551 | $5,782,477$ | 549,759 | $6,332,236$ |

## ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

(a) Not applicable
(b) There have been no material changes in the procedures for shareholders to nominate directors to the Company s board.

## ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

3.3 Articles of Amendment to the Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation ${ }^{(1)}$
10.29 Northrim Bank Executive Incentive Plan dated November 3, 1994, as amended effective as of May 14, 2009(2)
31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer required by Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a)
31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer required by Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a)
32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer required by Rule 13a-14(b) or Rule 15d-14(b) and Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, 18 U.S.C. Section 1350
32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer required by Rule 13a-14(b) or Rule 15d-14(b) and Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, 18 U.S.C. Section 1350
(1) Filed with this Form 10-Q
(2) Incorporated by reference to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on May 20, 2009
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## SIGNATURES
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## NORTHRIM BANCORP, INC.

August 7, 2009

By /s/ R. Marc Langland<br>R. Marc Langland<br>Chairman, President, and CEO<br>(Principal Executive Officer)

August 7, 2009

By /s/ Joseph M. Schierhorn<br>Joseph M. Schierhorn<br>Executive Vice President, Chief<br>Financial Officer<br>(Principal Financial and Accounting<br>Officer)

